

BENCHMARK ELECTRONICS INC  
Form DEFA14A  
May 02, 2016

---

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, DC 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

(Rule 14a-101)

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934  
(Amendment No. )

Filed by the Registrant      Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement  
Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))  
Definitive Proxy Statement  
Definitive Additional Materials  
Soliciting Material under § 240.14a-12

BENCHMARK ELECTRONICS, INC.

---

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)  
(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required.  
Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

- (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
- (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
- (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
- (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
- (5) Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.  
Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the

Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

- (1) Amount Previously Paid:
  - (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
  - (3) Filing Party:
  - (4) Date Filed:
- 
-

Benchmark Electronics, Inc. (the “Company”) used the following materials in connection with the Company’s 2016 Annual Meeting of Shareholders beginning on May 2, 2016.

design develop deliver advanced technology    Strategy and Leadership Delivering Sustainable Value to All  
Shareholders May 2016

---

**Forward-Looking Statements**This document contains forward-looking statements within the scope of the Securities Act of 1933 and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The words “expect,” “estimate,” “anticipate,” “predict” and similar expressions, and the negatives thereof, often identify forward- looking statements, which are not limited to historical facts. Our forward-looking statements include, among other things: guidance for 2016, statements, express or implied, concerning future operating results or margins, the ability to generate sales, income or cash flow, the benefits of the Secure acquisition and our ability to continue share repurchases, and Benchmark’s business and growth strategies and expected growth and performance. Although Benchmark believes these statements are based upon reasonable assumptions, they involve risks and uncertainties relating to our operations, markets and business environment generally. If one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or underlying assumptions prove incorrect, actual outcomes may vary materially from those indicated. All forward-looking statements included in this document are based upon information available to Benchmark as of the date of this document, and the Company assumes no obligation to update them. Readers are advised to consult further disclosures on related subjects, particularly in Item 1A, “Risk Factors” of the Company’s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015, in its other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission and in its press releases.

**Non-GAAP Financial Information**This document includes certain financial measures, such as operating margin, that have been presented to exclude certain items required to be included by generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”) and therefore are not in accordance with GAAP (“Non- GAAP”). A detailed reconciliation between GAAP results and Non-GAAP results is included in the Appendix of this document.

**Additional Information and Where to Find It**Benchmark filed a definitive proxy statement on March 29, 2016, with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”) with respect to the 2016 Annual Meeting and has mailed the definitive proxy statement and accompanying white proxy card to its shareholders. Benchmark shareholders are strongly encouraged to read the definitive proxy statement, the accompanying white proxy card and other documents filed with the SEC carefully in their entirety when they become available because they contain (or will contain) important information. Benchmark, its directors, executive officers and other employees may be deemed to be participants in the solicitation of proxies from Benchmark shareholders in connection with the matters to be considered at Benchmark’s 2016 Annual Meeting. Information about Benchmark’s directors and executive officers is available in Benchmark’s definitive proxy statement for its 2016 Annual Meeting. Shareholders may obtain a free copy of the definitive proxy statement and any other documents filed by Benchmark with the SEC free of charge at the SEC’s website at [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov). Copies also are available free of charge at Benchmark’s website at [www.bench.com](http://www.bench.com) under “Investor Relations – Annual Reports” or by contacting Benchmark Investor Relations at (979) 849-6550. Certain information contained in this document was sourced from third-party publications or other third-party sources. Permission to use such information was not sought or granted in connection with the preparation of this document.

design develop deliver advanced technology 2

---

Benchmark: Leading Global Integrated EMS Player design develop deliver advanced technology 3 Benchmark: Leading Global Integrated EMS Player Strategic plan has delivered strong financial performance and robust valuation in continually evolving industry Highest margin operator among peers<sup>1</sup> Strong TSR record, temporarily impacted by recent quarter Strong Track Record of Proven Execution Returned over 70% of FCF to shareholders in the last five years ahead of 50% target Only EMS company with 35 quarters of consecutive buybacks despite limited domestic cash Secure adds capabilities to core portfolio, and is more accretive to growth, margins and long-term ROIC than other alternatives reviewed Disciplined and Balanced Capital Allocation All Directors are current or former CEOs, CFOs or COOs Average Director tenure is only 6.3 years vs peer average of 10 years Compensation policy unequivocally “pay for performance” Board has steered company through significant challenges (e.g., founder transition, financial crisis / recession, new strategy) Best-In-Class Corporate Governance >10% annual growth in higher-value markets >5% long-term non-GAAP operating margin<sup>1</sup> 50% targeted Free Cash Flow return to shareholders<sup>1</sup> Long-Term Strategy To Drive Shareholder Returns Note: Peer group includes Celestica, Flextronics, Jabil, Plexus, and Sanmina<sup>1</sup> Please refer to the appendix for a reconciliation of non-GAAP operating margin and free cash flow margin to their most directly comparable GAAP financial measures

---

Continuously Evolving Our Platform ahead of Future Trends – Powering the Next Industrial Revolution  
Continuously Evolving Our Platform ahead of Future Trends – Powering the Next Industrial Revolution  
Commoditization of legacy markets necessitates continuous evolution in EMS  
IoE, Cloud, and Analytics will drive future of industry  
Significant opportunity for EMS leaders given core expertise  
Benchmark forward thinking well ahead of industry evolution  
Networking / Computing 2007 Target mix 70% 30% 2015 32% 68% 55% 45% Traditional  
markets Higher-value markets Internet of Everything (IoE) design develop deliver advanced technology 4

---

Source: FactSet as of 04/29/161 All P/E multiples exclude expenses related to stock-based compensation and amortization of intangibles, with no adjustments to market capitalization 23% 39% 10% (19%) (6%)  
2013 2014 2015 BHE PLXS 68% (5%) (15%) 7% 10% 33% 24% (5%) 28% 27% (7%) 2012 Peer mean Peer  
median Annual TSR performance since 2012 Peer median: 9.3 x 2016 P / E113.6x12.7x design develop deliver  
advanced technology 5 9.4x 9.3x 9.3x 7.9x PLXS BHE FLEX CLS SANM JBL BHE's Q1'16 results were  
challenging, largely driven by headwinds with "traditional" customer – not unique to Benchmark Nov 2012: Plexus  
down 27% due to Juniper Sept 2013: Jabil down 10% due to BlackberryQ1'16 results underscore merits of strategic  
shift to higher-value, higher-margin customers Q1'16 had an outsized short-term impact on BHE's TSRSolely  
examining TSR performance at a single point in time does not show the entire picture Strong positive TSR in 3 of the  
last 4 years; no one performed well in 2015Since 2001, Benchmark annual TSR has frequently outperformed peers  
Benchmark's P/E multiple is near the high end of peersEngaged's "Adjusted P/E" is manipulated to increase a company's  
stock price by its net debtEngaged's "Price/Total Book" skewed by over \$10 billion of peer accumulated  
deficits Benchmark Trades at a Strong Valuation Compared to Peers Benchmark Trades at a Strong Valuation  
Compared to Peers

---

Edgar Filing: BENCHMARK ELECTRONICS INC - Form DEFA14A

Q1'16 had an outsized short-term impact on Benchmark's TSR Q1'16 had an outsized short-term impact on Benchmark's TSR Cumulative total return performance design develop deliver advanced technology 6 Source: FactSet as of 04/29/16 Note: Cumulative total return performance defined as the total return generated for shareholders from both stock price appreciation and dividends paid 01/01/06 - 04/20/16 04/20/16 - 04/29/16 01/01/06 - 04/29/16 Pre-Q1'16 earnings release Post-Q1'16 earnings release 01/01/11 - 04/29/16 Benchmark  
0% (14%) (13%) 7% Celestica (0%) 2% 2% 35% Flextronics 17% (0%) 16% 11% Jabil (43%) (4%) (45%) 55% Plexus 70% 8% 84% (5%) Sanmina (14%) 7% (7%) 106% EMS peer average 6% 3% 10% 40% EMS peer median (0%) 2% 2% 35%

---

71% 55% 41% 40% 34% 29% The Secure Acquisition Was a Smart Use of Capital The Secure Acquisition Was a Smart Use of Capital Source: Company filings, Wall Street research<sup>1</sup> Please refer to the appendix for a reconciliation of non-GAAP operating margin and free cash flow margin to their most directly comparable GAAP financial measures EMS companies must shift mix to higher-value markets to create long-term shareholder value The Secure acquisition was an essential building block of transformation strategy Added stability to Q1'16, and already generating additional cross-sell/new wins from Secure BHE paid 8.5x EV/16E EBITDA for Secure, below its two closest peers BHE expects 2016E EBITDA margin of 21% and greater than 10% revenue CAGR Secure was more accretive to growth, margin and ROIC than any other alternatives reviewed Secure transaction IRR > Benchmark WACC 2015 Non-GAAP operating margin<sup>1</sup> %: Peer median: 40% % higher-value revenue in 2015 2015 Non-GAAP operating margin design develop deliver advanced technology 7 PLXS BHE JBL SANM FLEX CLS 4.2% 4.2% 3.5% 3.3% 2.8% 2.7%

---

Engaged Capital's "Plan" Will NOT Deliver Anywhere Near the Value It Suggests Engaged Capital's "Plan" Will NOT Deliver Anywhere Near the Value It Suggests design develop deliver advanced technology 8 \$22.73 \$33.46 \$5.97 \$4.42 \$1.89 (\$1.55) \$35.01 Engaged calculation flawed:\$2.42 per share upside from management's announced initiatives Already factored into current stock price, and generated by executing existing strategic plan Speculative – Benchmark already has robust P/E Engaged acknowledges risk to value Benchmark pro-forma valuation according to Engaged Capital Source: Engaged Capital's presentation dated 04/15/16, FactSet as of 04/13/16 Current share price Cash from working Cash from operating Multiple rerating to Potential margin Year-end 2017 value capital free cash flow peers impact

---

Engaged Capital's Critique of Working Capital Management is Misguided design develop deliver advanced technology 9 Engaged Capital's Critique of Working Capital Management is Misguided EXTERNAL WORKING CAPITAL CONSULTANT THAT ENGAGED RECOMMENDED- AND WE USED - VALIDATED OUR EXISTING INITIATIVES AND HAD NO INCREMENTAL SUGGESTIONS Engaged's spreadsheet-level work, which ignores operational realities, wrongly suggests there is an opportunity to generate \$300mm in incremental cash The existing management plan already targets a 15% to 20% improvement in CCC days from FY15 - this will bring us to a 75-day CCC Represents \$123mm or \$2.42 per share of additional cash Likely that almost all of this cash will be generated overseas The Board actively monitors and holds management accountable for working capital

---

Shareholder-friendly corporate governance Three new independent directors within the last five years, including Paul Tufano in 2016 Average tenure = 6.3 years, well below peer average of approximately 10 years<sup>1</sup> Top decile of companies covered by ISS We actively listen to our shareholders Implemented executive compensation clawback policy for restatement of earnings due to SEC reporting requirements Executive compensation aligned with shareholder interests Culture of transparency and accountability Continued commitment to governance best practices design develop deliver advanced technology 10 Benchmark Has Best-in-Class Corporate Governance Benchmark Has Best-in-Class Corporate Governance 1 Peers are Celestica, Flextronics, Jabil, Plexus, and Sanmina

---

"At Risk" 70% 30% Our approach to management compensation is performance-driven. Equity grants rewarded for financial performance; there are penalties for failing to achieve goals. Metrics include revenue growth, margins, and ROIC because these drive TSR. Annual cash bonus tied to working capital (previously inventory turns, now CCC), revenue, mix, voice of customer and EPS targets. Clear targets as outlined in our proxy on page 26. Target EPS before special items of \$1.76. Strategic revenue of \$1.55bn and "Voice of Customer" score of +1. Revenue target of \$2.95 billion. CEO required to own 3x salary in Benchmark stock. VAST majority of 2015 named executive officer (NEO) pay "at risk". CEO TARGET COMPENSATION Base Salary 1 OTHER NEO AVERAGE COMPENSATION "At Risk" 58% 42% Performance-Based 2011 2012 2013 2014 1 Base salary includes savings plan contributions, elective matching contributions and term life insurance premium payments made by BHE on behalf of its executive officers. The Benchmark Board Is Fully Aligned With Shareholders. The Benchmark Board Is Fully Aligned With Shareholders. Over 90% voted FOR say on pay 91.4% 92.4% 90.7% 90.3% design develop deliver advanced technology 11 91.1% 2015

---

Benchmark Has Sought to Work in Good Faith with Engaged Capital over the Past Year design develop deliver advanced technology 12 Benchmark Has Sought to Work in Good Faith with Engaged Capital over the Past Year As part of Benchmark's commitment to constructive dialogue with its shareholders, the Company has encouraged open and candid communication with Engaged Capital since its initial outreach Benchmark met with Engaged Capital in June 2015 to discuss its investment thesis, sought to provide management insight, and indicated it welcomed continued input from Engaged Capital In the past year, Engaged Capital presented to Benchmark a number of poorly developed theses that were later abandoned by Engaged Capital, including an ill-conceived Dutch auction share repurchase funded by debt and the notion that Benchmark would increase research coverage by raising more debt In October 2015, when the Secure transaction was announced, Engaged Capital threatened to call a special meeting to replace the entire Board unless Benchmark immediately terminated the transaction In February 2016, the Board's Nominating/Governance Committee informed Engaged Capital it was willing to carefully consider Engaged Capital nominees in identifying candidates for Board service Members of the Benchmark Board, management team and its financial advisors have had at least 9 in- person meetings or phone calls with Engaged Capital over the past year (in addition to numerous written exchanges) Despite the Board's best efforts to work constructively, Engaged Capital has publicly stated that any settlement or other compromise must include the appointment of Brendan Springstubb, an employee of Engaged Capital whom Glass Lewis ultimately determined "lacked the requisite experience"

---

Edgar Filing: BENCHMARK ELECTRONICS INC - Form DEFA14A

Benchmark Has the Right Directors to Steer the Company through Its Transformation Benchmark Has the Right Directors to Steer the Company through Its Transformation Benchmark nomineesName / Title Background design develop deliver advanced technology 13 Michael Dawson Chairman of Audit Committee Former CFO of GlobalSantaFe Corporation (GSF), large cap offshore oil and gas drilling contractor Has deep understanding of M&A, working capital and capital allocation spanning over 35 yearsAudit Committee Financial Expert Bernee Strom Chairman of Governance/ Nomination Committee President and CEO of The Strom Group since 1990 Investor and serial entrepreneur, founder and/or CEO of Gemstar and Priceline Instrumental in implementing Benchmark's best-in-class governance Key in refreshing Board, recruiting Paul Tufano, David Scheible and Kenneth Lamneck to join Clay Williams Chairman of Comp. Committee Chairman, President, and CEO of National Oilwell Varco (NYSE: NOV) since 2014, a global oil and gas equipment and services provider Keen understanding of capital allocation (M&A, share repurchases, dividends) Elected "CFO of the Year" in 2012 by Institutional Investor magazine. Brings deep global manufacturing experience and knowledge of cyclical end-markets such as those in which Benchmark participates Vote "FOR" Benchmark Director Nominees on the WHITE Proxy Card Vote "FOR" Benchmark Director Nominees on the WHITE Proxy Card

---

Edgar Filing: BENCHMARK ELECTRONICS INC - Form DEFA14A

Engaged's Nominees Are Not Qualified and Will Add No Value Engaged's Nominees Are Not Qualified and Will Add No Value Engaged nomineesName / Title Background design develop deliver advanced technology 14 Robert Gifford Most recently served as President of Supply Chain Solutions at Ingram Micro No prior public Board or C-level experience; was only a mid-level manager in the computing industry No experience in higher-value markets where the majority of Benchmark's mix is focused Last worked with EMS industry over twenty years ago Jeffrey McCreary Independent management consultant since 2006 Not employed in any permanent position for ten years Not C-level material - unable to achieve permanent CEO position despite twice serving as an interim CEO No evidence of special contribution at prior public company boards Asleep at the switch at Isola, his private company board ("To some extent, [Isola] has fallen off the wagon over the course of the last five years or so" – Jeff Waters, new Isola CEO, January 2016) Highest achievement in Texas Instruments was a mid-level role in a sales position No EMS experience – his supplier experience is several layers removed from EMS Brendan Springstubb Senior analyst at Engaged Capital No relevant industry, operating or management (much less C-level) experience, has never been (and is not qualified to be) a public company director Glass Lewis and ISS recommended AGAINST vote; Glass Lewis states he "lacks requisite experience" Vote "FOR" Benchmark Director Nominees on the WHITE Proxy Card Vote "FOR" Benchmark Director Nominees on the WHITE Proxy Card

---



Edgar Filing: BENCHMARK ELECTRONICS INC - Form DEFA14A

GAAP to Non-GAAP Reconciliations design develop deliver advanced technology 16 GAAP to Non-GAAP Reconciliations (\$mm) 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 Revenue (GAAP) \$2,253.0 \$2,468.2 \$2,506.5 \$2,797.1 \$2,540.9 Income from operations (GAAP) \$41.3 \$75.6 \$116.5 \$100.1 \$93.0 Operating margin (GAAP) 1.8% 3.1% 4.6% 3.6% 3.7% Restructuring charges and integration and acquisition costs 4.5 2.2 9.3 7.1 13.8 Asset impairment charge and other - - 2.6 (1.5) - Thailand flood-related items, net of insurance 3.4 9.0 (41.3) (1.6) - Customer bankruptcy - - - 5.0 - Non-GAAP income from operations \$49.2 \$86.8 \$87.2 \$109.2 \$106.8 Non-GAAP operating margin 1 2.2% 3.5% 3.5% 3.9% 4.2% Net income (GAAP) \$52.0 \$56.6 \$110.9 \$81.2 \$95.4 Restructuring charges and integration costs, net of tax 4.4 1.8 8.3 4.9 9.9 Asset impairment charge and other, net of tax - - 2.8 (1.5) - Thailand flood-related items, net of insurance and tax 3.2 9.9 (35.6) (1.3) - Customer bankruptcy, net of tax - - - 4.8 - Discrete tax benefits - - (17.5) - (21.2) Non-GAAP net income \$59.6 \$68.3 \$69.0 \$88.1 \$84.1 Net cash provided by operations (GAAP) \$54.8 \$151.1 \$98.9 \$135.4 \$146.8 Additions to property, plant & equipment and software 72.0 49.0 28.8 45.4 38.1 Free Cash Flow (\$17.2) \$102.1 \$70.1 \$90.0 \$108.7 Free cash flow margin 1 (0.8%) 4.1% 2.8% 3.2% 4.3% 1 Equals line item immediately above divided by revenue (GAAP)