

AKORN INC
Form POS AM
May 10, 2005

Table of Contents

As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 9, 2005.

Registration No. 333-119168

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Post-Effective Amendment No. 1 to

Form S-1

REGISTRATION STATEMENT UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

Akorn, Inc.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Louisiana

*(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)*

2834

*(Primary Standard Industrial
Classification Code Number)*

72-0717400

*(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)*

2500 Millbrook Drive, Buffalo Grove, Illinois 60089

(Address, including zip code, of Registrant's principal executive offices)

Arthur S. Przybyl

President and Chief Executive Officer

Akorn, Inc.

2500 Millbrook Drive

Buffalo Grove, Illinois 60089

(847) 279-6100

(Name, Address and Telephone Number of Agent for Service)

Copies to:

Kurt L. Kicklighter, Esq.

Luce, Forward, Hamilton & Scripps LLP

600 W. Broadway, Suite 2600

San Diego, California 92101

(619) 236-1414

Approximate date of commencement of proposed sale to public: As soon as practicable after the effective date of this Registration Statement.

If any of the securities being registered on this form are to be offered on a delayed or continuous basis pursuant to Rule 415 under the Securities Act of 1933, check the following box.

Edgar Filing: AKORN INC - Form POS AM

If this form is filed to register additional securities for an offering pursuant to Rule 462(b) under the Securities Act of 1933, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

If this form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(c) under the Securities Act of 1933, check the following box and list the Securities Act of 1933 registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

If delivery of the prospectus is expected to be made pursuant to Rule 434, please check the following box.

The Registrant hereby amends this Registration Statement on such date or dates as may be necessary to delay its effective date until the Registrant shall file a further amendment which specifically states that this Registration Statement shall thereafter become effective in accordance with Section 8(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 or until the Registration Statement shall become effective on such date as the Commission, acting pursuant to said Section 8(a), may determine.

Table of Contents

Prospectus dated May 9, 2005, subject to completion

PROSPECTUS

The information contained in this prospectus is not complete and may be changed. The selling stockholders may not sell these securities pursuant to this prospectus until the registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission is effective. This prospectus is not an offer to sell these securities and is not soliciting an offer to buy these securities in any state where the offer or sale is not permitted.

**63,381,733 Shares
Akorn, Inc.
Common Stock**

This prospectus relates to the resale of 63,381,733 shares of our common stock by the selling stockholders identified in this prospectus, which have been issued or reserved for issuance upon the conversion or exercise of presently outstanding shares of Series A 6.0% Participating Convertible Preferred Stock, shares of Series B 6.0% Participating Convertible Preferred Stock, warrants and convertible notes, including shares estimated to be issuable in satisfaction of accrued and unpaid dividends and interest on shares of preferred stock and convertible notes, respectively.

We are registering 63,381,733 shares of our common stock for resale by the selling stockholders identified in this prospectus on pages 19 through 25. The selling stockholders may sell the shares of common stock described in this prospectus in public or private transactions, at prevailing market prices, or at privately negotiated prices. The selling stockholders may sell shares directly to purchasers or through brokers or dealers. Brokers or dealers may receive compensation in the form of discounts, concessions or commissions from the selling stockholders. We will not receive any of the proceeds from the sale of the shares by the selling stockholders. The selling stockholders will receive all of the proceeds from the sale of the shares and will pay all underwriting discounts and selling commissions, if any, applicable to the sale of the shares. We will, in the ordinary course of business, receive proceeds from the issuance of shares upon exercise of the warrants described in this prospectus. We will pay the expenses of registration of the sale of the shares. It is not possible at the present time to determine the price to the public in any sale of the shares by the selling stockholders and each selling stockholder reserves the right to accept or reject, in whole or in part, any proposed purchase of shares. Accordingly, the public offering price, the amount of any applicable underwriting discounts and commissions and the net proceeds to the selling stockholders will be determined at the time of such sale by the selling stockholders.

Our common stock is traded on the American Stock Exchange under the symbol AKN. On April 29, 2005, the last reported sales price of our common stock was \$2.66 per share.

**Investing in our common stock involves risks.
See Risk Factors beginning on page 9.**

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of these securities or determined if this prospectus is truthful or complete. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

The date of this prospectus is [_____, 2005]

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>PROSPECTUS SUMMARY</u>	1
<u>RISK FACTORS</u>	9
<u>FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS AND FACTORS AFFECTING FUTURE RESULTS</u>	18
<u>SELLING STOCKHOLDERS</u>	19
<u>PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION</u>	25
<u>USE OF PROCEEDS</u>	27
<u>MARKET FOR COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS</u>	27
<u>DIVIDEND POLICY</u>	28
<u>BUSINESS</u>	28
<u>SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA</u>	38
<u>MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</u>	39
<u>QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK</u>	54
<u>MANAGEMENT</u>	55
<u>SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS</u>	59
<u>CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS</u>	62
<u>DESCRIPTION OF CAPITAL STOCK AND CONVERTIBLE SECURITIES</u>	64
<u>LEGAL MATTERS</u>	72
<u>EXPERTS</u>	72
<u>WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION</u>	72
<u>INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u>	F-1
<u>Employee Stock Purchase Plan</u>	
<u>Consent of BDO Seidman, LLP</u>	
<u>Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP</u>	

You should rely only on the information contained in this prospectus. We have not authorized anyone to provide you with information different from that contained in this prospectus. The selling stockholders are not offering to sell or seeking offers to buy shares of our common stock in jurisdictions where offers and sales are prohibited. The information contained in this prospectus is accurate only as of the date of this prospectus, regardless of the time of delivery of this prospectus or of any sale of our common stock.

(i)

Table of Contents

PROSPECTUS SUMMARY

This summary highlights information contained elsewhere in this prospectus. This summary does not contain all of the information you should consider before buying shares in this offering. You should read this entire prospectus carefully, including Risk Factors and our financial statements before making an investment decision. References in this prospectus to Akorn, us, we, our, or the Company refer to Akorn, Inc. and its subsidiary, Akorn (New Jersey) Inc., as the context requires.

Akorn, Inc.

Business Overview

We manufacture and market diagnostic and therapeutic pharmaceuticals in specialty areas such as ophthalmology, rheumatology, anesthesia and antidotes, among others. Our customers include physicians, optometrists, wholesalers, group purchasing organizations and other pharmaceutical companies. We are a Louisiana corporation founded in 1971 in Abita Springs, Louisiana. In 1997, we relocated our headquarters and certain operations to Illinois. We have a wholly owned subsidiary named Akorn (New Jersey), Inc. which has operations in New Jersey and is involved in manufacturing, research and development, and administrative activities related to our ophthalmic and injectable segments. We also have a number of strategic alliances discussed elsewhere in this prospectus for the development and marketing of products.

We classify our operations into three identifiable business segments: ophthalmic, injectable and contract services.

Ophthalmic Segment. We market a line of diagnostic and therapeutic ophthalmic pharmaceutical products. Diagnostic products, primarily used in the office setting, include mydriatics and cycloplegics, anesthetics, topical stains, gonioscopic solutions, angiography dyes and others. Therapeutic products, sold primarily to wholesalers and other national account customers, include antibiotics, anti-infectives, steroids, steroid combinations, glaucoma medications, decongestants/antihistamines and anti-edema medications. Non-pharmaceutical products include various artificial tear solutions, preservative-free lubricating ointments, eyelid cleansers, vitamin supplements and contact lens accessories.

Injectable Segment. We market a line of specialty injectable pharmaceutical products, including antidotes, anesthesia, and products used in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis and pain management. These products are marketed to hospitals through wholesalers and other national account customers, as well as directly to medical specialists.

Contract Services Segment. We manufacture products for third-party pharmaceutical and biotechnology customers based on their specifications.

Government Regulation. Pharmaceutical manufacturers and distributors are subject to extensive regulation by government agencies, including the Food and Drug Administration, or FDA, the Drug Enforcement Administration, or DEA, the Federal Trade Commission, or FTC and other federal, state and local agencies. The federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act, or the FDC Act, the Controlled Substance Act and other federal statutes and regulations govern or influence the development, testing, manufacture, labeling, storage and promotion of products that we manufacture and market. The FDA inspects drug manufacturers and storage facilities to determine compliance with its Current Good Manufacturing Practices, or cGMP regulations, non-compliance with which can result in fines, recall and seizure of products, total or partial suspension of production, refusal to approve new drug applications, or NDAs, and criminal prosecution. The FDA also has the authority to revoke approval of drug products.

Edgar Filing: AKORN INC - Form POS AM

FDA approval is required before any drug can be manufactured and marketed. New drugs require the filing of an NDA, including clinical studies demonstrating the safety and efficacy of the drug. Generic drugs, which are equivalents of existing, off-patent brand name drugs, require the filing of an abbreviated new drug application, or ANDA. An ANDA does not, for the most part, require clinical studies since safety and efficacy have already been

Table of Contents

demonstrated by the product originator. However, the ANDA must provide data demonstrating the equivalency and stability of the generic formulation that is comparable with the product originator. The time required by the FDA to review and approve NDAs and ANDAs is variable and beyond our control.

Business Trends

As described more fully in this prospectus, in recent years we have experienced significant regulatory and financial challenges. In response to these challenges, we have recruited new senior management, addressed our regulatory issues, improved our financial structure and raised additional capital. These improvements have positioned us for future growth and improved operating results.

In March 2002, we received a letter from the regional office of the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, informing us that it would recommend enforcement action against us and that we had misstated our income for fiscal years 2000 and 2001. We continued to address these matters with the SEC into 2003. Also, during late 2002 and until October 2003, we were not in compliance with the covenants of our senior debt and from time to time negotiated forbearances. We had substantial operating losses during these periods, as well.

In September 2002, we appointed Mr. Arthur S. Przybyl, an experienced executive officer, as our president, and in February 2003 named him our chief executive officer. In March 2003, Mr. Ronald M. Johnson, a former FDA compliance and enforcement official, was appointed to our board of directors. We added Messrs. Arjun C. Waney and Jerry I. Treppel, both experienced investment managers, to our board of directors following our October 2003 Exchange Transaction (as defined below). Mr. Waney was one of the investors in that transaction, and Mr. Treppel has specific expertise in managing investments in health care and related industries. Mr. Jeffrey A. Whitnell, an experienced senior manager in the pharmaceutical business, became our chief financial officer in June 2004.

In 2002, 2003 and 2004, we continued to work to correct deviations from FDA regulatory requirements at our Decatur manufacturing facility, some of which were first identified by the FDA in October 2000. Resolution of deviations identified by the FDA has taken longer than expected although we believe that substantial progress has been made. The FDA inspections in 2000, 2002 and 2003 identified several significant deviations. In response, we have invested approximately \$2,000,000 in improved cleaning validation and enhanced process controls and have developed a comprehensive corrective action plan. We have been in regular communication with the FDA and have provided periodic reports of our progress. In 2004, the FDA conducted two additional inspections of our Decatur manufacturing facility. The first, concluded on April 7, 2004, identified several deviations for which we provided the FDA with proposed corrective actions. The FDA initiated no enforcement action. Rather, the FDA notified us that another confirmatory inspection would be made to determine whether the deviations identified have been corrected. The confirmatory inspection concluded November 19, 2004. It identified deviations and we have responded to the FDA with corrective actions. We have since met with the FDA and provided the status of our corrective actions. The FDA has advised us that the findings of the latest inspection are under review and a final agency decision on our regulatory status has not been made. The FDA may conclude that the findings of the latest inspection do not represent significant deviations and our voluntary corrective actions are sufficient, in which case, we can expect the FDA to remove the sanctions of its warning letter. If, however, the FDA concludes that the deviations are significant and our voluntary actions have not been adequate, it may initiate enforcement action including the following: (1) maintain its warning letter sanctions or issue a new warning letter with sanctions; (2) seek a court-ordered injunction which may include suspension of some or all operations at the Decatur manufacturing facility until compliance is achieved, recall of certain products, potential monetary penalties or other sanctions; or (3) seize our products produced at the Decatur manufacturing facility. Any of these actions could significantly impair our ability to continue to manufacture and distribute products, generate cash from our operations and may result in a covenant violation under our senior debt.

Edgar Filing: AKORN INC - Form POS AM

According to the March 27, 2002 letter from the SEC, we had misstated our income in 2000 and 2001 by allegedly failing to reserve for doubtful accounts receivable and overstating our accounts receivable balance as of December 31, 2000. We determined the need to restate our financial statements for 2000 and 2001, resulting in the recording of a \$7,500,000 increase to the allowance for doubtful accounts as of December 31, 2000, which we had originally recorded as of March 31, 2001. On September 25, 2003, we consented to the entry of an administrative cease and desist order with respect to these matters. The consent order also required that we commit to do the

Table of Contents

following: (A) appoint a special committee comprised entirely of outside directors, (B) within 30 days after entry of the order, have the special committee retain a qualified independent consultant acceptable to the staff to perform a test of our material internal controls, practices, and policies related to accounts receivable, and (C) within 180 days, have the consultant present his or her findings to the commission for review to provide assurance that we are keeping accurate books and records and have devised and maintained a system of adequate internal accounting controls with respect to our accounts receivable. On October 27, 2003, we engaged Jefferson Wells International, Inc. to serve as consultant in this capacity. On February 6, 2004, Jefferson Wells reported its findings to the special committee, such findings being that we have made the necessary personnel changes and procedural improvements required to maintain control over the accounts receivable process and establish the necessary reserves. Jefferson Wells report was delivered to the SEC on February 13, 2004. We believe we have complied with all of the terms of the consent order.

In 1997, we entered into a \$15,000,000 revolving credit arrangement with The Northern Trust Company, which was increased to \$25,000,000 in 1998, and subsequently increased to \$45,000,000 in 1999, subject to certain financial covenants and secured by substantially all of our assets. We were notified of default for failure to make payment in September 2002. Under various forbearance agreements, this facility was modified and extended through most of 2003 as we explored ways to restructure our debt. As a condition of our lenders continuing to forbear from exercising remedies against us as a result of certain defaults under our credit agreement, we engaged AEG Partners LLC to assist us in restructuring our credit arrangement. As required by the lenders, on May 9, 2003, we engaged Leerink Swann & Company, an investment banking firm, to assist in raising additional financing and explore other strategic alternatives for repaying the debt.

On October 7, 2003, a group of investors, including entities controlled by Dr. John N. Kapoor, Ph.D. and Mr. Arjun C. Waney, purchased all of our then outstanding senior bank debt from The Northern Trust Company, a balance of \$37,731,000, at a discount. The investors then exchanged that debt with us for Series A 6.0% Participating Convertible Preferred Stock, or Series A Preferred Stock, approximately \$2,767,000 in promissory notes, warrants to purchase our common stock, and \$5,473,862 in cash from the proceeds of a new term loan (described in the next paragraph). We recorded a \$3,102,000 loss from this transaction and we also paid a portion of the legal fees of the investors. We refer to this transaction as the Exchange Transaction.

Simultaneously with the consummation of the Exchange Transaction, we entered into a credit agreement with LaSalle Bank National Association providing us with \$7,000,000 in term loans and a revolving line of credit of up to \$5,000,000 (the New Credit Facility) to provide for working capital needs, secured by substantially all of our assets.

On August 23, 2004, we completed a private placement to certain investors of 141,000 shares of our Series B 6.0% Participating Convertible Preferred Stock, or Series B Preferred Stock, at a price of \$100.00 per share, convertible into common stock at a price of \$2.70 per share, with warrants to purchase 1,566,668 additional shares of our common stock exercisable until August 23, 2009, with an exercise price of \$3.50 per share (the Series B Warrants). The net proceeds to us after payment of investment banker fees and expenses to Leerink Swann & Company and other transaction costs of approximately \$1,056,000, were approximately \$13,044,000. Under the terms of the private placement, we are required to file the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part to enable the investors to resell the shares of our common stock into which the Series B Preferred Stock is convertible and which may be purchased upon exercise of the Series B Warrants.

A portion of the net proceeds of the private placement paid off the term loans from LaSalle Bank. The remainder of the net proceeds is being used for working capital and general corporate purposes. Among other things, we are in the process of completing an expansion of our Decatur, Illinois manufacturing facility to add capacity to provide lyophilization manufacturing services, a manufacturing capability we currently do not have. Subject to among other things, our ability to generate operating cash flow or to obtain new financing for future operations, validation and approval of the lyophilization facility by the FDA is anticipated in late 2005. Manufacturing capabilities for

lyophilized products are projected to be in place by mid-2006.

On August 26, 2004, in connection with the pay off of our outstanding debt under the New Credit Facility, we and LaSalle Bank amended the New Credit Facility to release the guaranty of Dr. John N. Kapoor and The John N. Kapoor Trust dated September 20, 1989 (the Kapoor Trust) effective as of such date provided that if prior to

Table of Contents

November 24, 2004 there is then pending a petition in bankruptcy court against us or our subsidiary and there is then existing a claim that all or any portion of the payoff amount is a fraudulent transfer or a preferential payment, or should otherwise be set aside, then the guaranty shall be reinstated.

The Exchange Transaction, coupled with the private placement, substantially reduced our overall debt from \$45,755,000 as of September 30, 2003 to \$10,452,000 as of March 31, 2005, and positioned us to improve our operating results. Although we continued to suffer operating losses for the year ended December 31, 2004, we generated positive earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA). Even without resolution of the remaining issues with the FDA, we believe that our ability to sustain historical revenue levels and positive EBITDA is achievable. If we can resolve the remaining issues with the FDA, we believe we will be able to manufacture new or revised products at our Decatur facility and enhance our revenue.

Partially because of our improving financial condition, we have been able to structure new strategic business alliances in an effort to enhance our growth opportunities. On April 21, 2004, we announced the signing of a memo of understanding with Strides Arcolab Limited, a major pharmaceutical manufacturer based in India. As a result of negotiations following the execution of the memo of understanding, on September 22, 2004, we entered into agreements with Strides for the development, manufacturing and marketing of grandfathered products, patent-challenging products and ANDA products for the United States hospital and retail markets under a joint venture. Strides will be responsible for developing, manufacturing and supplying products. We will be responsible for sales and marketing of the products. We and Strides each own 50% of the joint venture company, Akorn-Strides, LLC, and we each appointed one of its two managers. Under the terms of our agreement, each of us are to contribute \$1,250,000 in capital to be used to finance the preparation of ANDAs by Strides. As of December 31, 2004, we had funded our \$1,250,000 capital contribution to the joint venture company. In February 2005, we loaned an additional \$1,250,000 to the joint venture company that was advanced to Strides to finance its capital contribution. If within a mutually agreed time period, Strides' manufacturing facilities in India have not received a satisfactory cGMP inspection by the FDA, which remains current, and twelve ANDAs for products developed by Strides at its manufacturing facilities in India have not been submitted to the FDA, among other things, we will become the sole owner of the joint venture company and the joint venture company will be entitled to draw on a \$1,250,000 letter of credit that was established by Strides from an Indian bank that is confirmed by a United States bank. On the other hand, if these conditions are met, and if both managers agree, we and Strides may make additional equivalent capital contributions to finance subsequent ANDA preparation costs under a similar arrangement to our initial capital contributions, including an additional loan by us to the joint venture company to finance Strides' capital contribution.

On July 21, 2004, we and FDC Limited, India's second largest manufacturer and marketer of ophthalmic pharmaceutical products, announced the signing of a purchase and supply agreement, which would provide us with an ophthalmic finished dosage form product pipeline for exclusive use in the United States and Canada. The ophthalmic products will be developed and manufactured for us by FDC. Under the agreement, we will be responsible for FDA regulatory submissions and marketing of the products directly in the United States. Innova, our Canadian distributor for ophthalmic products, will be responsible for the direct marketing of these products in Canada. FDC exports active pharmaceutical ingredients to over 45 countries, including the United States and Canada, and holds drug master files and registration in both countries. Products will be manufactured in India, and FDC intends to submit approximately four to six ANDAs in the first year of the agreement.

On August 31, 2004, we entered into an option agreement with The University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center to license a patent entitled "M-EDTA Pharmaceutical Preparations of Uses Thereof" and related technology rights invented by Issam I. Raad and Robert Sheretz. The option agreement grants us an option to evaluate the patent and to determine an appropriate regulatory pathway based on discussion with the FDA, which we exercised in February 2005. The patent is targeted at the prevention of intravascular catheter-related infections and occlusions. By exercising our right to license the patent, we paid an initial license fee of \$75,000, and are required to fund clinicals

and pay a milestone license fee upon FDA approval and royalties for the life of the patent.

On October 15, 2004, we entered into an agreement with Serum Institute of India, the world's fifth largest vaccine manufacturer, in an exclusive drug development and distribution agreement for oncology and other injectable drug products for the United States and Canada. Under the terms of the five-year agreement, Serum will develop and manufacture certain ANDAs and we will be responsible for all regulatory submissions. We will also own the ANDAs and will buy the products from Serum under a negotiated transfer price arrangement, under which

Table of Contents

we must make a minimum purchase of \$1,000,000 per product in the first year in order to maintain exclusivity. Additionally, we will market and sell the products in the United States and Canada under our label.

On November 16, 2004, we entered into an Exclusive License and Supply Agreement with Hameln Pharmaceuticals for two Orphan Drug NDAs: Calcium-DTPA and Zinc-DTPA. The two drugs were approved on August 11, 2004, by the FDA, and are indicated as antidotes for the treatment of radioactive poisoning - specifically internal contamination with plutonium, americium, or curium. We received a shipment of these drugs from Hameln in December 2004 and recognized approximately \$975,000 in revenue from selling the drugs in December 2004. Under the terms of the License and Supply Agreement, we paid a one-time license fee of 1,550,000 Euros (\$2,095,000) for an exclusive license for five years, which may be extended by the parties for successive two-year periods. Orphan drug exclusivity status is granted by the FDA for a period of seven years from the date of approval of the NDA. We will be responsible for marketing and distributing both drugs in the United States and Canada and the two companies will share revenues 50:50, subject to adjustments. Hameln will be responsible for the manufacturing of both drugs for us. We will be responsible for the payment of any annual FDA establishment fees and for the cost of any post-approval studies.

On January 10, 2005, we and Apotex Corporation, the largest Canadian-owned pharmaceutical manufacturer, entered into an agreement for the purchase, supply, and marketing of select ophthalmic pharmaceutical products in the United States health care market. Under the terms of the agreement, Apotex will manufacture ophthalmic products in finished dosage forms for us, and we will market these products under our label. The agreement includes ophthalmic products currently available from Apotex, as well as select products in Apotex's ophthalmic research and development pipeline.

Table of Contents**The Offering**

Issuer	Akorn, Inc.
Address and Phone Number	2500 Millbrook Drive Buffalo Grove, Illinois 60089 (847) 279-6100
American Stock Exchange Trading Symbol	AKN
Website	www.akorn.com (information found on our website is not part of this prospectus)
Securities Offered	Up to 63,381,733 ⁽¹⁾ shares of our common stock, no par value by the selling stockholders.
Use of Proceeds	We will not receive any proceeds from the sale of shares of our common stock covered by this prospectus. We will receive proceeds from the exercise of the warrants described in this prospectus.
Risk Factors	In analyzing an investment in our common stock offered by this prospectus, you should carefully consider the information set forth under Risk Factors.

(1) We are registering the following number of shares of common stock:

Issuable upon conversion of our Series B Preferred Stock	5,033,166
Issuable upon exercise of Series B Warrants	1,566,668
Issuable upon conversion of our Series A Preferred Stock	37,448,784
Issuable upon exercise of warrants issued to holders of our Series A Preferred Stock (the Series A Warrants)	5,986,400
Issuable upon conversion of the Convertible Tranche A Promissory Note in the aggregate principal amount of \$3,000,000 (the Tranche A Note)	1,894,057
Issuable upon conversion of the Convertible Tranche B Promissory Note in the aggregate principal amount of \$2,000,000 (the Tranche B Note)	1,583,479
Issuable upon exercise of the Tranche A Common Stock Purchase Warrants issued to the holders of the Tranche A Note (the Tranche A Warrants)	1,000,000
Issuable upon exercise of the Tranche B Common Stock Purchase Warrants issued to the holders of the Tranche B Note (the Tranche B Warrants)	667,000
Issuable upon exercise of warrants held by AEG Partners LLC pursuant to a Stock Purchase Warrant dated August 31, 2004 (the AEG Warrants)	1,200,000
Issuable upon exercise of warrants issued on October 7, 2003 as compensation for personal guarantees of our senior bank debt (the Guaranty Warrants)	960,000
Issuable upon exercise of warrants issued on October 7, 2003 in conjunction with the issuance of subordinated notes in the aggregate principal amount of \$2,767,139 (the Note Warrants)	276,714
Previously issued upon exercise of Series A Warrants	2,135,578

Edgar Filing: AKORN INC - Form POS AM

Previously issued upon conversion of our Series A Preferred Stock	2,057,742
Previously issued upon conversion of our Series B Preferred Stock	670,345
Previously issued upon exercise of AEG Warrants	50,000
Issued upon exercise of warrants issued to The John N. Kapoor Trust dated September 20, 1989	851,800
TOTAL	63,381,733

Our Series A Preferred Stock and our Series B Preferred Stock each accrue dividends, which if not paid in cash as scheduled, increase the number of shares of common stock into which such preferred stock is convertible. Included in the shares listed above are 5,618,279 shares of common stock that are or could become issuable in respect of dividends on our Series A Preferred Stock and Series B Preferred Stock through March 31, 2006. Similarly, earned and unpaid interest on the Tranche A Note and Tranche B Note increases the number of shares of common stock into which such notes are convertible. Included in the shares listed above are 1,050,636 shares of common stock that are or could become issuable in respect of earned and unpaid interest on the Tranche A Note and

Table of Contents

Tranche B Note through March 31, 2006. The number of shares of common stock set forth above is subject to adjustment to prevent dilution resulting from stock splits, stock dividends, the issuance of common stock or securities convertible into or exercisable for common stock at prices below certain thresholds or similar events. Therefore, pursuant to Rule 416, we are also registering such indeterminate number of shares as may be issuable in connection with stock splits, stock dividends or similar events. Other than holders of the Series B Preferred Stock and Series B Warrants, who have direct registration rights for this offering, each of the holders of each of the other securities listed above have piggy back registration rights for this offering.

We have reserved for issuance the shares of our common stock identified in this prospectus. Each of the above listed securities which are being sold by the selling stockholders were restricted securities under the Securities Act of 1933, or the Securities Act, prior to this registration. The selling stockholders will determine if and when they will sell their shares and if they will sell their shares at the current market price or at negotiated prices at the time of the sale. Although we have agreed to pay the expenses related to the registration of the shares being offered, we will not receive any proceeds from the sale of the shares by the selling stockholders.

Table of Contents**Summary Selected Consolidated Financial Data****Summary Financial Data**
(In thousands, except per share data)

The following summary financial data is derived from and qualified in its entirety by our financial statements. You should read this summary financial data together with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and the audited consolidated financial statements and related notes beginning at page F-1 of this prospectus.

	Year Ended December 31		
	2004	2003	2002
OPERATIONS DATA (000 s)			
Revenues	\$ 50,708	\$ 45,491	\$ 51,419
Gross profit	18,202	12,148	20,537
Operating loss ⁽¹⁾	(368)	(6,276)	(3,565)
Interest and other expense ⁽²⁾	(2,650)	(6,220)	(3,148)
Pretax loss	(3,018)	(12,496)	(6,713)
Income tax provision (benefit) ⁽³⁾	8	(171)	6,239
Net loss	\$ (3,026)	\$ (12,325)	\$ (12,952)
PER SHARE DATA			
Net Loss:			
Basic	\$ (1.80)	\$ (0.62)	\$ (0.66)
Diluted	\$ (1.80)	\$ (0.62)	\$ (0.66)
BALANCE SHEET (000 s)			
Current assets	\$ 22,393	\$ 10,595	\$ 13,239
Net property plant & equipment	31,893	33,907	35,314
Total assets	66,922	59,415	63,538
Current liabilities including debt in default ⁽⁴⁾	11,160	11,959	43,803
Long-term obligations, less current installments ⁽⁵⁾	8,436	36,065	8,383
Shareholders' equity	47,326	11,391	11,352

- (1) Operating loss includes the following (in thousands): long-lived asset impairment charges of (i) \$2,037 in 2004, and (ii) \$2,362 in 2002.
- (2) Interest and other expense includes the following (in thousands): (a) loss on Exchange Transaction of \$3,102 in 2003 and (b) dividends and discount accretion related to our Series A Preferred Stock of \$1,064 in 2004 and \$589 in 2003. After the July 2004 shareholder approval relating to our Series A Preferred Stock, such dividends and accretion do not impact net income (loss) but will continue to impact earnings (loss) per share.
- (3) Income tax provision (benefit) includes (in thousands) a \$9,216 charge in 2002 to establish a full valuation allowance against our net deferred income tax assets. Such net assets continued to be fully offset by a valuation allowance.
- (4) Current liabilities include debt in default (in thousands) of \$3,250 as of December 31, 2004 and 2003 and \$35,565 as of December 31, 2002. The 2002 debt was refinanced in 2003 as part of the Exchange Transaction.
- (5)

Edgar Filing: AKORN INC - Form POS AM

Long-term obligations include (in thousands) \$21,132 of Series A Preferred Stock as of December 31, 2003. Pursuant to the July 2004 shareholder approval relating to our Series A Preferred Stock, these securities were reclassified into shareholders' equity.

Table of Contents

RISK FACTORS

You should carefully consider the following risk factors and all other information contained in this prospectus before investing. Investing in our common stock involves a high degree of risk. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us or that we currently believe are immaterial also may impair our business. If any of the events described in the following risks occur, our business, results of operations and financial condition could be materially adversely affected. In addition, the trading price of our common stock could decline due to any of the events described in these risks, and you may lose all or part of your investment.

Risks Related to Us

Our Decatur, Illinois manufacturing facility is the subject of an FDA warning letter.

The FDA issued a warning letter to us in October 2000 following a routine inspection of our Decatur manufacturing facility. An FDA warning letter is intended to provide notice to a company of violations of the laws administered by the FDA and to elicit voluntary corrective action. Until the violations identified in the warning letter are corrected, the FDA frequently will withhold approval of any marketing applications (ANDAs, NDAs) submitted by the company and will share contents of the warning letter with other government agencies (for example, the Veterans Administration or Department of Defense) that may contract to purchase products from the company. Failure to take effective corrective actions can result in the FDA enforcement action such as monetary fines, seizure of products, or injunction that could suspend manufacturing and compel recall of product.

The warning letter addressed several deviations from regulatory requirements identified during the inspection and requested that we take corrective actions. Since then, additional FDA inspections in 2002 and 2003 found that certain deviations continued unresolved and identified additional deviations. We have invested approximately \$2,000,000 in improved cleaning validation and enhanced process controls and have developed a comprehensive corrective action plan. We have been in regular communications with the FDA and have provided periodic reports of our progress in making corrections. In 2004, the FDA conducted two additional inspections of our Decatur manufacturing facility. The first, concluded on April 7, 2004, identified several deviations for which we provided the FDA with proposed corrective actions. The FDA initiated no enforcement action. Rather, the FDA notified us that another confirmatory inspection would be made to determine whether the deviations identified have been corrected. The confirmatory inspection concluded November 19, 2004. It identified deviations and we have responded to the FDA with corrective actions. We have met with the FDA and provided the status of our corrective actions. The FDA has advised us that the findings of the latest inspection are under review and a final agency decision on our regulatory status has not been made. The FDA may conclude that the findings of the latest inspection do not represent significant deviations and our voluntary corrective actions are sufficient, in which case, we can expect the FDA to remove the sanctions of the warning letter. If, however, the FDA concludes that the deviations are significant and our voluntary actions have not been adequate, it may initiate enforcement action including the following: (1) maintain the warning letter sanctions or issue a new warning letter with sanctions; (2) seek a court-ordered injunction which may include suspension of some or all operations at the Decatur manufacturing facility until compliance is achieved, recall of certain products, potential monetary penalties or other sanctions; or (3) seize our products produced at the Decatur manufacturing facility. Any of these actions could significantly impair our ability to continue to manufacture and distribute products, generate cash from our operations and may result in a covenant violation under our senior debt.

To date, the noncompliance of our Decatur manufacturing facility has prevented us from developing additional products at Decatur, some of which cannot be developed at our other facility. The inability to fully use our Decatur manufacturing facility has had a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Unless and until we correct the FDA deviations at our Decatur manufacturing facility, it is doubtful that the FDA will approve any applications that may be submitted by us for products to be manufactured in Decatur. This has adversely impacted, and is likely to continue to adversely impact our ability to grow sales. See Business Legal Proceedings.

Table of Contents

We have experienced recent operating losses and negative cash flows from operations, and these losses may continue in the future.

Our recent operating losses and negative cash flows from operations may continue in the future and there can be no assurance that our financial outlook will improve. For the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2003, our operating losses were \$368,000 and \$6,276,000, respectively. We experienced negative cash flows from operations for the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2003 of \$3,461,000 and \$1,932,000, respectively. There can be no assurance that our results of operations will improve in the future. If our results of operations do not improve in the future, your investment in our common stock could be negatively affected.

We have invested significant resources in the development of lyophilization manufacturing capability, and we may not realize the benefit of these efforts and expenditures.

We are in the process of completing an expansion of our Decatur, Illinois manufacturing facility to add capacity to provide lyophilization manufacturing services, a manufacturing capability we currently do not have. Subject to among other things, our ability to generate operating cash flow or to obtain new financing for future operations, validation and approval of the lyophilization facility by the FDA is anticipated in late 2005. Manufacturing capabilities for lyophilized products are projected to be in place by mid-2006.

As of December 31, 2004, we had spent approximately \$18,513,000 on the lyophilization expansion and anticipate the need to spend approximately \$2,000,000 of additional funds (excluding capitalized interest) to complete the expansion. The majority of the additional spending will be focused on validation testing of the lyophilization facility as the major capital equipment items are currently in place. To this end, we expect to use a portion of the proceeds we obtained from the recent sale of our Series B Preferred Stock to help fund validation efforts for the lyophilization facility and to fund the development of an internal ANDA lyophilized product pipeline. However, there is no guarantee that we will be successful in completing development of lyophilization capability, or that other intervening events will not occur that reduce or eliminate the anticipated benefits from such capability. For instance, the market for lyophilized products could significantly diminish or be eliminated, or new technological advances could render the lyophilization process obsolete, prior to our entry into the market. There can be no assurance that we will realize the anticipated benefits from our significant investment into lyophilization capability at our Decatur manufacturing facility, and our failure to do so could significantly limit our ability to grow our business in the future.

We depend on a small number of distributors, the loss of any of which could have a material adverse effect.

A small number of large wholesale drug distributors account for a large portion of our gross sales, revenues and accounts receivable. The following three distributors, AmerisourceBergen Corporation, Cardinal Health, Inc. and McKesson Drug Company, accounted for approximately 57% of total gross sales and 46% of total revenues in 2004, and 74% of gross trade receivables as of December 31, 2004. In addition to acting as distributors of our products, these three companies also distribute a broad range of health care products for many other companies. The loss of one or more of these distributors, together with a delay or inability to secure an alternative distribution source for end users, could have a material negative impact on our revenue and results of operations and lead to a violation of debt covenants. A change in purchasing patterns or inventory levels, increases in returns of our products, delays in purchasing products and delays in payment for products by one or more distributors also could have a material negative impact on our revenue and results of operations. See Business Suppliers and Customers.

Certain of our directors are subject to conflicts of interest.

Dr. John N. Kapoor, Ph.D., our current chairman of our board of directors and our chief executive officer from March 2001 to December 2002, and a principal shareholder, is affiliated with EJ Financial Enterprises, Inc., a health

care consulting investment company. EJ Financial is involved in the management of health care companies in various fields, and Dr. Kapoor is involved in various capacities with the management and operation of these companies. The Kapoor Trust, the beneficiary and sole trustee of which is Dr. Kapoor, is a principal shareholder of each of these companies. As a result, Dr. Kapoor does not devote his full time to our business. Although such

Table of Contents

companies do not currently compete directly with us, certain companies with which EJ Financial is involved are in the pharmaceutical business. Discoveries made by one or more of these companies could render our products less competitive or obsolete. In addition, one of these companies, NeoPharm, Inc., of which Dr. Kapoor is a director and major stockholder, recently entered into a loan agreement with us. On October 6, 2004, we received a notice from NeoPharm indicating that an event of default had occurred on the outstanding promissory note that was issued in connection with the loan agreement (the NeoPharm Note). While the terms of our subordination agreement with our senior lender prohibit NeoPharm from enforcing any remedies against us under the NeoPharm Note, we have yet to resolve this situation. We also owe EJ Financial \$11,000, \$18,000, \$18,000 and \$18,000 in consulting fees for each of 2004, 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively, as well as expense reimbursements of approximately \$2,000, \$2,000, \$2,000 and \$79,000 for 2004, 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively. Further, the Kapoor Trust has loaned us \$5,000,000 resulting in Dr. Kapoor effectively becoming a major creditor of ours as well as a major shareholder. See

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Financial Condition and Liquidity, and Certain Relationships and Related Transactions. As a result of the relationships described above, Dr. Kapoor's interests may be different from yours. Potential conflicts of interest could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

In addition, the Kapoor Trust, Mr. Arjun C. Waney and Argent Fund Management collectively hold subordinated promissory notes issued by us in the aggregate principal amount of approximately \$2,767,000 (the 2003 Subordinated Notes). Mr. Waney, one of our directors, serves as chairman and managing director of Argent, 52% of which is owned by Mr. Waney. The 2003 Subordinated Notes mature on April 7, 2006 and bear interest at prime plus 1.75%, but interest payments are currently prohibited under the terms of subordination arrangements with LaSalle Bank.

Consequently, Mr. Waney and Argent are also creditors of ours and their interests may be different from yours. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Financial Condition and Liquidity, and Certain Relationships and Related Transactions. Potential conflicts of interest could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We may require additional capital to grow our business and such funds may not be available to us.

We may require additional funds to grow our business. We may seek additional funds through public and private financing, including equity and debt offerings. However, adequate funds through the financial markets or from other sources may not be available when needed or on terms favorable to us due to our recent financial history. Without sufficient additional funding, we may be unable to pursue growth opportunities that we view as essential to the expansion of our business, including the development of lyophilization manufacturing capability at our Decatur manufacturing facility. Further, the terms of such additional financing, if obtained, likely will require the granting of rights, preferences or privileges senior to those of our common stock and result in substantial dilution of the existing ownership interests of our common stockholders and could include covenants and restrictions that limit our ability to operate or expand our business in a manner that we deem to be in our best interest.

Our growth depends on our ability to timely develop additional pharmaceutical products and manufacturing capabilities.

Our strategy for growth is dependent upon our ability to develop products that can be promoted through current marketing and distributions channels and, when appropriate, the enhancement of such marketing and distribution channels. We may not meet our anticipated time schedule for the filing of ANDAs and NDAs or may decide not to pursue ANDAs or NDAs that we have submitted or anticipate submitting. Our internal development of new pharmaceutical products is dependent upon the research and development capabilities of our personnel and our infrastructure. There can be no assurance that we will successfully develop new pharmaceutical products or, if developed, successfully integrate new products into our existing product lines. In addition, there can be no assurance that we will receive all necessary FDA approvals or that such approvals will not involve delays, which adversely

affect the marketing and sale of our products. Unless and until our issues pending before the FDA are resolved, it is doubtful that the FDA will approve any NDAs or ANDAs we submit for products to be manufactured at our Decatur manufacturing facility. Our failure to develop new products, to successfully resolve the compliance issues at our Decatur manufacturing facility or to receive FDA approval of ANDAs or NDAs, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. See Our Decatur, Illinois manufacturing facility is the subject of an FDA warning letter.

Table of Contents

We have entered into several strategic business alliances which may not result in marketable products.

We have entered several strategic business alliances that have been formed to supply us with low cost finished dosage form products. In 2004, we entered into certain purchase and supply agreements, license agreements, and a joint venture that are all designed to provide finished dosage form products that can be marketed through our distribution pipeline. However, there can be no assurance that any of these agreements will result in FDA-approved ANDAs or NDAs, or that we will be able to market any such finished dosage form products at a profit. In addition, any clinical trial expenses that we incur may result in adverse financial consequences to our business.

Our success depends on the development of generic and off-patent pharmaceutical products which are particularly susceptible to competition, substitution policies and reimbursement policies.

Our success depends, in part, on our ability to anticipate which branded pharmaceuticals are about to come off patent and thus permit us to develop, manufacture and market equivalent generic pharmaceutical products. Generic pharmaceuticals must meet the same quality standards as branded pharmaceuticals, even though these equivalent pharmaceuticals are sold at prices that are significantly lower than that of branded pharmaceuticals. Generic substitution is regulated by federal and state governments, as is reimbursement for generic drug dispensing. There can be no assurance that substitution will be permitted for newly approved generic drugs or that such products will be subject to government reimbursement. In addition, generic products that third parties develop may render our generic products noncompetitive or obsolete. There can be no assurance that we will be able to consistently bring generic pharmaceutical products to market quickly and efficiently in the future. An increase in competition in the sale of generic pharmaceutical products or our failure to bring such products to market before our competitors could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Further, there is no proprietary protection for most of the branded pharmaceutical products that either we or other pharmaceutical companies sell. In addition, governmental and cost-containment pressures regarding the dispensing of generic equivalents will likely result in generic substitution and competition generally for our branded pharmaceutical products. We attempt to mitigate the effect of this substitution through, among other things, creation of strong brand-name recognition and product-line extensions for our branded pharmaceutical products, but there can be no assurance that we will be successful in these efforts.

We are subject to legal proceedings against us, which may prove costly and time-consuming even if meritless.

We are currently involved in several pending or threatened legal actions with both private parties and certain government agencies. To the extent that our personnel must spend time and we must expend resources to pursue or contest these various matters, or any additional matters that may be asserted from the time to time in the future, this represents time and money that is not available for other actions that we might otherwise pursue which could be beneficial to our future. In addition, to the extent that we are unsuccessful in any legal proceedings, the consequences could have a negative impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations. See Business Legal Proceedings.

Our revenues depend on sales of products manufactured by third-parties, which we cannot control.

We derive a significant portion of our revenues from the sale of products manufactured by third parties, including our competitors in some instances. There can be no assurance that our dependence on third parties for the manufacture of such products will not adversely affect our profit margins or our ability to develop and deliver our products on a timely and competitive basis. If for any reason we are unable to obtain or retain third-party manufacturers on commercially acceptable terms, we may not be able to distribute certain of our products as planned. No assurance can be made that the third-party manufacturers we use will be able to provide us with sufficient quantities of our products

or that the products supplied to us will meet our specifications. Any delays or difficulties with third-party manufacturers could adversely affect the marketing and distribution of certain of our products, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents

Dependence on key executive officers.

Our success will depend, in part, on our ability to attract and retain key executive officers. We are particularly dependent upon Dr. John N. Kapoor, Ph.D., chairman of our board of directors, and Mr. Arthur S. Przybyl, our chief executive officer. The inability to attract and retain key executive officers, or the loss of one or more of our key executive officers could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We must continue to attract and retain key personnel to be able to compete successfully.

Our performance depends, to a large extent, on the continued service of our key research and development personnel, other technical employees, managers and sales personnel and our ability to continue to attract and retain such personnel. Competition for such personnel is intense, particularly for highly motivated and experienced research and development and other technical personnel. We are facing increasing competition from companies with greater financial resources for such personnel. There can be no assurance that we will be able to attract and retain sufficient numbers of highly skilled personnel in the future, and the inability to do so could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

Risks Related to Our Industry

We are subject to extensive government regulations that increase our costs and could subject us to fines and liabilities, prevent us from selling our products or prevent us from operating our facilities.

Federal and state government agencies regulate virtually all aspects of our business. The development, testing, manufacturing, processing, quality, safety, efficacy, packaging, labeling, record keeping, distribution, storage and advertising of our products, and disposal of waste products arising from such activities, are subject to regulation by the FDA, DEA, FTC, the Consumer Product Safety Commission, the Occupational Safety and Health Administration and the Environmental Protection Agency. Similar state and local agencies also have jurisdiction over these activities. Noncompliance with applicable United States regulatory requirements can result in fines, injunctions, penalties, mandatory recalls or seizures, suspensions of production, recommendations by the FDA against governmental contracts and criminal prosecution. Any of these could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. New, modified and additional regulations, statutes or legal interpretation, if any, could, among other things, require changes to manufacturing methods, expanded or different labeling, the recall, replacement or discontinuation of certain products, additional record keeping and expanded documentation of the properties of certain products and scientific substantiation. Such changes or new legislation could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. See Business Government Regulation.

FDA regulations. All pharmaceutical manufacturers, including us, are subject to regulation by the FDA under the authority of the FDC Act. Under the FDC Act, the federal government has extensive administrative and judicial enforcement powers over the activities of pharmaceutical manufacturers to ensure compliance with FDA regulations. Those powers include, but are not limited to, the authority to initiate court action to seize unapproved or non-complying products, to enjoin non-complying activities, to halt manufacturing operations that are not in compliance with cGMP, to recall products, and to seek civil monetary and criminal penalties. Other enforcement activities include refusal to approve product applications or the withdrawal of previously approved applications. Any such enforcement activities, including the restriction or prohibition on sales of products we market or the halting of our manufacturing operations could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. In addition, product recalls may be issued at our discretion, or at the request of the FDA or other government agencies having regulatory authority for pharmaceutical products. Recalls may occur due to disputed labeling claims, manufacturing issues, quality defects or other reasons. No assurance can be given that restriction or prohibition on sales, halting of manufacturing operations or recalls of our pharmaceutical products will not occur in

the future. Any such actions could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Further, such actions, in certain circumstances, could constitute an event of default under our New Credit Facility.

Table of Contents

We must obtain approval from the FDA for each pharmaceutical product that we market. The FDA approval process is typically lengthy and expensive, and approval is never certain. Our new products could take a significantly longer time than we expect to gain regulatory approval and may never gain approval. Even if the FDA or another regulatory agency approves a product, the approval may limit the indicated uses for a product, may otherwise limit our ability to promote, sell and distribute a product or may require post-marketing studies or impose other post-marketing obligations.

We and our third-party manufacturers are subject to periodic inspection by the FDA to assure regulatory compliance regarding the manufacturing, distribution, and promotion of sterile pharmaceutical products. The FDA imposes stringent mandatory requirements on the manufacture and distribution of sterile pharmaceutical products to ensure their sterility. The FDA also regulates drug labeling and the advertising of prescription drugs. A finding by a governmental agency or court that we are not in compliance with FDA requirements could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

If the FDA changes its regulatory position, it could force us to delay or suspend indefinitely, our manufacturing, distribution or sales of certain products. While we believe that all of our current pharmaceuticals are lawfully marketed in the United States under current FDA enforcement policies or have received the requisite agency approvals for manufacture and sale, such marketing authority is subject to withdrawal by the FDA. In addition, modifications or enhancements of approved products are in many circumstances subject to additional FDA approvals which may or may not be granted and which may be subject to a lengthy application process. Any change in the FDA's enforcement policy or any decision by the FDA to require an approved NDA or ANDA for one of our products not currently subject to the approved NDA or ANDA requirements or any delay in the FDA approving an NDA or ANDA for one of our products could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

A number of products we market are grandfathered drugs that are permitted to be manufactured and marketed without FDA-issued ANDAs or NDAs on the basis of their having been marketed prior to enactment of relevant sections of the FDC Act. The regulatory status of these products is subject to change and/or challenge by the FDA, which could establish new standards and limitations for manufacturing and marketing such products, or challenge the evidence of prior manufacturing and marketing upon which grandfathering status is based. We are not aware of any current efforts by the FDA to change the status of any of our grandfathered products, but there can be no assurance that such initiatives will not occur in the future. Any such change in the status of our grandfathered products could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We are subject to extensive DEA regulation, which could result in our being fined or otherwise penalized. We also manufacture and sell drugs which are controlled substances as defined in the federal Controlled Substances Act and similar state laws, which established, among other things, certain licensing, security and record keeping requirements administered by the DEA and similar state agencies, as well as quotas for the manufacture, purchase and sale of controlled substances. The DEA could limit or reduce the amount of controlled substances which we are permitted to manufacture and market. On March 6, 2002, we received a letter from the United States Attorney's Office, Central District of Illinois, Springfield, Illinois, advising us that the DEA had referred a matter to that office for a possible civil legal action for alleged violations of the Comprehensive Drug Abuse Prevention Control Act of 1970, 21 U.S.C. § 801 et. seq., and regulations promulgated thereunder. The alleged violations relate to record keeping and controls surrounding the storage and distribution of controlled substances. On November 6, 2002, we entered into a Civil Consent Decree with the DEA. Under the terms of the Civil Consent Decree, without admitting any of the allegations in the complaint from the DEA, we agreed to pay a fine of \$100,000, upgrade our security system and to remain in substantial compliance with the Comprehensive Drug Abuse Prevention Control Act of 1970. If we failed to remain in substantial compliance during the two-year period following the entry of the Civil Consent Decree, we, in addition to other possible sanctions, might have been held in contempt of court and ordered to pay an additional \$300,000 fine. We completed the upgrades to our security system in 2003 and have received no further notice from the DEA in

connection with the Civil Consent Decree. The two-year compliance period lapsed on November 6, 2004. We were inspected by the DEA in February 2005 and the DEA has not informed us of any further violations. See Business Legal Proceedings.

Table of Contents

We may implement product recalls and could be exposed to significant product liability claims; we may have to pay significant amounts to those harmed and may suffer from adverse publicity as a result.

The manufacturing and marketing of pharmaceuticals involves an inherent risk that our products may prove to be defective and cause a health risk. In that event, we may voluntarily implement a recall or market withdrawal or may be required to do so by a regulatory authority. We have recalled products in the past and, based on this experience, believe that the occurrence of a recall could result in significant costs to us, potential disruptions in the supply of our products to our customers and adverse publicity, all of which could harm our ability to market our products. There were no product recalls in 2004. In February 2003, we recalled two products, Fluress and Fluoracaine, due to container/closure integrity problems resulting in leaking containers. The recall was classified by the FDA as a Class II Recall, which means that the use of, or exposure to, a violative product may cause temporary or medically reversible adverse health consequences or that the probability of serious health consequences as a result of such use or exposure is remote. We had not received any notification or complaints from end users of the recalled products. Because we had curtailed the production of these products due to the above container/closure integrity issues, the financial impact to us of this recall was not material as our customers did not hold significant inventories of these products. We began production of Fluress and re-started distribution in September 2004. We have discontinued production of Fluoracaine.

Although we are not currently subject to any material product liability proceedings, we may incur material liabilities relating to product liability claims in the future. Even meritless claims could subject us to adverse publicity, hinder us from securing insurance coverage in the future and require us to incur significant legal fees and divert the attention of the key employees from running our business. Successful product liability claims brought against us could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We currently have product liability insurance in the amount of \$5,000,000 for aggregate annual claims with a \$50,000 deductible per incident and a \$250,000 aggregate annual deductible. However, there can be no assurance that such insurance coverage will be sufficient to fully cover potential claims. Additionally, there can be no assurance that adequate insurance coverage will be available in the future at acceptable costs, if at all, or that a product liability claim would not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The FDA may authorize sales of some prescription pharmaceuticals on a non-prescription basis, which would reduce the profitability of our prescription products.

From time to time, the FDA elects to permit sales of some pharmaceuticals currently sold on a prescription basis, without a prescription. FDA approval of the sale of our products without a prescription would reduce demand for our competing prescription products and, accordingly, reduce our profits.

Our industry is very competitive. Additionally, changes in technology could render our products obsolete.

We face significant competition from other pharmaceutical companies, including major pharmaceutical companies with financial resources substantially greater than ours, in developing, acquiring, manufacturing and marketing pharmaceutical products. The selling prices of pharmaceutical products typically decline as competition increases. Further, other products now in use, under development or acquired by other pharmaceutical companies, may be more effective or offered at lower prices than our current or future products. The industry is characterized by rapid technological change that may render our products obsolete, and competitors may develop their products more rapidly than we can. Competitors may also be able to complete the regulatory process sooner, and therefore, may begin to market their products in advance of our products. We believe that competition in sales of our products is based primarily on price, service and technical capabilities. There can be no assurance that: (1) we will be able to develop or acquire commercially attractive pharmaceutical products; (2) additional competitors will not enter the market; or (3) competition from other pharmaceutical companies will not have a material adverse effect on our business,

financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents

Many of the raw materials and components used in our products come from a single source.

We require a supply of quality raw materials and components to manufacture and package pharmaceutical products for ourselves and for third parties with which we have contracted. Many of the raw materials and components used in our products come from a single source and interruptions in the supply of these raw materials and components could disrupt our manufacturing of specific products and cause our sales and profitability to decline. Further, in the case of many of our ANDAs and NDAs, only one supplier of raw materials has been identified. Because FDA approval of drugs requires manufacturers to specify their proposed suppliers of active ingredients and certain packaging materials in their applications, FDA approval of any new supplier would be required if active ingredients or such packaging materials were no longer available from the specified supplier. The qualification of a new supplier could delay our development and marketing efforts. If for any reason we are unable to obtain sufficient quantities of any of the raw materials or components required to produce and package our products, we may not be able to manufacture our products as planned, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our patents and proprietary rights may not adequately protect our products and processes.

The patent and proprietary rights position of competitors in the pharmaceutical industry generally is highly uncertain, involves complex legal and factual questions, and is the subject of much litigation. There can be no assurance that any patent applications or other proprietary rights, including licensed rights, relating to our potential products or processes will result in patents being issued or other proprietary rights secured, or that the resulting patents or proprietary rights, if any, will provide protection against competitors who: (1) successfully challenge our patents or proprietary rights; (2) obtain patents or proprietary rights that may have an adverse effect on our ability to conduct business; or (3) are able to circumvent our patent or proprietary rights position. It is possible that other parties have conducted or are conducting research and could make discoveries of pharmaceutical formulations or processes that would precede any discoveries made by us, which could prevent us from obtaining patent or other protection for these discoveries or marketing products developed therefrom. Consequently, there can be no assurance that others will not independently develop pharmaceutical products similar to or obsoleting those that we are planning to develop, or duplicate any of our products. Our inability to obtain patents for, or other proprietary rights in, our products and processes or the ability of competitors to circumvent or obsolete our patents or proprietary rights could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Risks Related to an Investment in Our Common Stock

There is a limited market for our common stock.

The price at which you may be able to sell shares of our common stock is very unpredictable because there are very few trades in our common stock. Because our common stock is so thinly traded, a large block of shares traded can lead to a dramatic fluctuation in the share price.

Concentrated ownership of our common stock and our registration of shares for public sale creates a risk of sudden changes in our share price.

The sale by any of our large shareholders of a significant portion of that shareholder's holdings could have a material adverse effect on the market price of our common stock. The registration statement on Form S-1, of which this prospectus is a part, registers up to 63,381,733 shares of our common stock for sale by certain of our investors. Sales of these shares on the open market could cause the price of our common stock to decline.

Exercise of warrants and the conversion of subordinated debt and preferred stock may have a substantial dilutive effect on our common stock.

If the price per share of our common stock at the time of exercise or conversion of any preferred stock, warrants, options, convertible subordinated debt, or any other convertible securities is in excess of the various exercise or conversion prices of such convertible securities, exercise or conversion of such convertible securities would have a dilutive effect on our common stock. As of March 31, 2005, holders of our convertible securities

Table of Contents

would receive 43,788,537 shares of our common stock upon conversion and holders of our outstanding warrants and options would receive 15,702,931 shares of our common stock at a weighted average exercise price of \$1.77 per share. The amount of such dilution that may result from the exercise or conversion of the foregoing, however, cannot currently be determined as it would depend on the difference between our common stock price and the price at which such convertible securities were exercised or converted at the time of such exercise or conversion. Any additional financing that we secure likely will require the granting of rights, preferences or privileges senior to those of our common stock and which result in substantial dilution of the existing ownership interests of our common shareholders.

The terms of our preferred stock may reduce the value of your common stock.

We are authorized to issue up to a total of 5,000,000 shares of preferred stock in one or more series. As of March 31, 2005, we had 242,172 shares of Series A Preferred Stock and 138,500 shares of Series B Preferred Stock outstanding, and 4,601,828 additional shares of preferred stock remain authorized for issuance. Our board of directors may determine whether to issue additional shares of preferred stock and the terms of such preferred stock without further action by holders of our common stock. If we issue additional shares of preferred stock, it could affect your rights or reduce the value of our common stock. In particular, specific rights granted to future holders of preferred stock could be used to restrict our ability to merge with or sell our assets to a third party. These terms may include voting rights, preferences as to dividends and liquidation, conversion and redemption rights, and sinking fund provisions. We continue to seek capital for the growth of our business, and this additional capital may be raised through the issuance of additional preferred stock.

Our obligations to pay dividends on our preferred stock decrease the returns available to our common shareholders.

Our Series A Preferred Stock and Series B Preferred Stock both bear cumulative dividends at the rate of 6.0%. These dividends are payable in cash, or in our discretion, in additional conversion rights. If dividends are paid in cash, this decreases our working capital available for operations. If dividends are paid in additional conversion rights, this results in further dilution of the holders of our common stock. In either case, the equity per outstanding share of common stock declines, which can cause a decrease in the value of our common stock. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Financial Condition and Liquidity and Description of Capital Stock and Convertible Securities.

We experience significant quarterly fluctuation of our results of operations, which may increase the volatility of our stock price.

Our results of operations may vary from quarter to quarter due to a variety of factors including, but not limited to, the timing of the development and marketing of new pharmaceutical products, the failure to develop such products, delays in obtaining government approvals, including FDA approval of NDAs or ANDAs for our products, expenditures to comply with governmental requirements for manufacturing facilities, expenditures incurred to acquire and promote pharmaceutical products, changes in our customer base, a customer's termination of a substantial account, the availability and cost of raw materials, interruptions in supply by third-party manufacturers, the introduction of new products or technological innovations by our competitors, loss of key personnel, changes in the mix of products sold by us, changes in sales and marketing expenditures, competitive pricing pressures, expenditures incurred to pursue or contest pending or threatened legal action and our ability to meet our financial covenants. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in avoiding losses in any future period. Such fluctuations may result in volatility in the price of our common stock.

Penny Stock rules may make buying or selling our common stock difficult.

Trading in our common stock is subject to the penny stock rules. The SEC has adopted regulations that generally define a penny stock to be any equity security that has a market price of less than \$5.00 per share, subject to certain exceptions. These rules require that any broker-dealer that recommends our common stock to persons other than prior customers and accredited investors, must, prior to the sale, make a special written suitability determination for the purchaser and receive the purchaser's written agreement to execute the transaction. Unless an exception is available, the regulations require the delivery, prior to any transaction involving a penny stock, of a

Table of Contents

disclosure schedule explaining the penny stock market and the risks associated with trading in the penny stock market. In addition, broker-dealers must disclose commissions payable to both the broker-dealer and the registered representative and current quotations for the securities they offer. The additional burdens imposed upon broker-dealers by such requirements may discourage broker-dealers from effecting transactions in our common stock, which could severely limit the market price and liquidity of our common stock.

The requirements of being a public company may strain our resources and distract management.

As a public company, we are subject to the reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or the Exchange Act, and the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. These requirements are extensive. The Exchange Act requires that we file annual, quarterly and current reports with respect to our business and financial condition. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act requires that we maintain effective disclosure controls and procedures and internal controls for financial reporting. In order to maintain and improve the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting, significant resources and management oversight is required. This may divert management's attention from other business concerns, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS AND FACTORS AFFECTING FUTURE RESULTS

Certain statements in this prospectus constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act. When used in this prospectus, the words anticipate, believe, estimate and expect and similar expressions are generally intended to identify forward-looking statements. Any forward-looking statements, including statements regarding our intent, belief or expectations are not guarantees of future performance. These statements involve risks and uncertainties and actual results may differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements as a result of various factors, including but not limited to:

The factors described in this prospectus under the heading Risk Factors beginning on page 9;

Our ability to resolve our FDA compliance issues at our Decatur, Illinois manufacturing facility;

Our ability to avoid defaults under debt covenants;

Our ability to generate cash from operations sufficient to meet our working capital requirements;

Our ability to obtain additional funding to operate and grow our business;

The effects of federal, state and other governmental regulation of our business;

Our success in developing, manufacturing and acquiring new products;

Our ability to bring new products to market and the effects of sales of such products on our financial results;

The effects of competition from generic pharmaceuticals and from other pharmaceutical companies;

Availability of raw materials needed to produce our products; and

Other factors referred to in this prospectus.

These and other factors may cause our actual results to differ materially from any forward-looking statement. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results, levels of activity, performance, or achievements. You should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. Unless required by law, we undertake no obligation to update any of the forward-looking statements after the filing of this prospectus to conform such statements to actual results or to changes in our expectations, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Table of Contents

SELLING STOCKHOLDERS

We are registering 63,381,733 shares of our common stock for resale by the selling stockholders named below. The term selling stockholders includes each stockholder named below and such stockholder's transferees, pledgees, donees or other successors.

Background

In this registration statement, we are registering 5,033,166 shares of common stock issuable upon the conversion of shares of Series B Preferred Stock, all of which were purchased by institutional investors in a private placement offering pursuant to subscription agreements between us and each institutional investor dated August 18, 2004, including shares estimated to be issuable in satisfaction of dividends accrued and unpaid through March 31, 2006. These selling stockholders also received Series B Warrants to purchase an aggregate of 1,566,668 shares of common stock, which have an exercise price of \$3.50 per share of common stock. We are registering the shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of the shares of Series B Preferred Stock and the shares of common stock issuable upon the exercise of the Series B Warrants pursuant to registration rights in each of the subscription agreements to permit the institutional investors and their respective transferees to resell the shares when they deem appropriate.

In addition, we are registering (a) 37,448,784 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of our Series A Preferred Stock including shares estimated to be issuable in satisfaction of dividends accrued and unpaid through March 31, 2006, plus (b) 5,986,400 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of the Series A Warrants held by the holders of our Series A Preferred Stock, plus (c) 3,477,536 shares of common stock in the aggregate issuable upon conversion of the Tranche A Note and the Tranche B Note including shares estimated to be issuable in satisfaction of interest accrued and unpaid through March 31, 2006, and 1,667,000 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of the Tranche A Warrant and the Tranche B Warrant, plus (d) 1,200,000 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of the AEG Warrants, plus (e) 960,000 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of the Guaranty Warrants, plus (f) 276,714 shares issuable upon exercise of the Note Warrants, plus (g) 2,135,578 shares of common stock previously issued upon exercise of the Series A Warrants, plus (h) 2,057,742 shares of common stock previously issued upon conversion of our Series A Preferred Stock, plus (i) 670,345 shares of common stock previously issued upon conversion of our Series B Preferred Stock, plus (j) 50,000 shares of common stock previously issued upon exercise of the AEG Warrants, plus (k) 851,800 shares of common stock issued upon exercise of warrants held by the Kapoor Trust. The holders of the foregoing securities have piggy back registration rights in this offering.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth (1) the names of the selling stockholders; (2) the number of shares of our common stock held by the selling stockholders that may be offered for resale pursuant to this prospectus, including the number of shares of our common stock potentially issuable in satisfaction of accrued and unpaid dividends through March 31, 2006 as to the preferred stock and accrued and unpaid interest through March 31, 2006 as to the convertible notes; (3) the number and percentage of shares of our common stock that the selling stockholders beneficially own prior to the offering for resale of any of the shares of our common stock being registered hereby as of March 31, 2005; and (4) the number and percentage of shares of common stock to be beneficially owned by the selling stockholders after the offering of the shares of our common stock being registered hereby, assuming all of the shares registered hereby are sold by the selling stockholders. We will not receive any proceeds from the resale of our common stock by the selling stockholders. We will receive proceeds from the conversion of the warrants described in the previous two paragraphs.

Name ⁽¹⁾	No. of Shares Offered ⁽²⁾	Shares Beneficially Owned Prior to the Offering ⁽³⁾		Shares Beneficially Owned After the Offering ⁽⁴⁾	
		Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage
AEG Partners LLC ⁽⁵⁾	1,250,000	1,250,000	4.71%		*
Abu Alam	46,992	169,973	*	125,216	*
Argent Fund Management Ltd. ⁽⁶⁾	507,257	941,869	3.65%	458,500	1.78%
Arun K. Puri Living Trust ⁽⁷⁾	1,879,704	1,790,300	6.60%		*
Baystar Capital II, L.P. ⁽⁸⁾	2,585,282	2,542,360	9.17%	69,000	*
JRJAY Public Investments, LLC ⁽⁹⁾	1,700,768	1,700,768	6.71%		*
Merlin BioMed Long Term Appreciation, L.P. ⁽¹⁰⁾	155,596	148,528	*		*
Merlin BioMed Offshore Fund ⁽¹¹⁾	363,059	346,565	1.35%		*
Millennium Partners, L.P. ⁽¹²⁾	743,119	742,639	2.85%		*
Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated ⁽¹³⁾	518,654	638,692	2.47%	143,600	*
Pequot Capital Management, Inc. ⁽¹⁴⁾	17,630,915	17,697,863	44.13%	900,000	2.24%
Arthur S. Przybyl	197,369	1,226,679	4.62%	1,038,697	4.07%
John Sabat	187,970	252,590	*	73,560	*
Shritin Shah	46,992	71,007	*	26,250	*
Neill Shanahan	18,796	139,801	*	121,898	*
Sigma Capital Associates, LLC ⁽¹⁵⁾	311,193	656,056	2.56%	359,000	1.40%
The John N. Kapoor Trust ⁽¹⁶⁾	27,266,680	30,051,198	58.86%	4,840,400	9.57%
Jerry Treppel	469,927	457,576	1.77%	10,000	*
Arjun C. Waney	3,899,410	5,144,601	17.69%	1,424,000	4.90%
Gulu C. Waney	1,845,949	2,808,845	10.40%	1,052,300	3.90%
Jai S. Waney	1,315,793	2,044,460	7.69%	791,250	2.97%
Wheaten Healthcare Partners LP ⁽¹⁷⁾	440,308	440,308	1.73%		*
TOTAL	63,381,733				

* Represents less than 1%.

(1) Dr. Kapoor, the trustee and sole beneficiary of the Kapoor Trust, has served as the chairman of our board of directors since May 1995 and from December 1991 to January 1993. Dr. Kapoor served as our chief executive officer from March 2001 to December 2002. Mr. Przybyl is our president and chief executive officer, positions

he has held since September 2002 and February 2003, respectively. Each of Messrs. Przybyl, Treppel and Waney has served on our board of directors since November 2003. Mr. Waney serves as chairman and managing director of, and owns 52% of, Argent Fund Management Ltd. Mr. Treppel is the managing member of the general partner of Wheaten Healthcare Partners LP. AEG served as our restructuring consultant during 2002 and 2003. To our knowledge, the persons named in the table have sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of common stock shown as beneficially owned by them, subject to community property laws, where applicable, and the information contained in the footnotes to this table.

Table of Contents

- (2) Our Series A Preferred Stock and our Series B Preferred Stock each accrue dividends, which if not paid in cash as scheduled, increase the number of shares of common stock into which such preferred stock is convertible. Included in the shares listed above are 5,618,279 shares of common stock that are or could become issuable in respect of dividends on our Series A Preferred Stock and Series B Preferred Stock through March 31, 2006. Similarly, earned and unpaid interest on the Tranche A Note and Tranche B Note increases the number of shares of common stock into which such notes are convertible. Included in the shares listed above are 1,050,636 shares of common stock that are or could become issuable in respect of earned and unpaid interest on the Tranche A Note and Tranche B Note through March 31, 2006. The number of shares included in this prospectus is subject to adjustment to prevent dilution resulting from stock splits, stock dividends, the issuance of common stock or securities convertible into or exercisable for common stock at prices below certain thresholds or similar events. Therefore, pursuant to Rule 416 under the Securities Act, we are also registering such indeterminate number of shares as may be issuable in connection with stock splits, stock dividends or similar events.
- (3) Includes all shares beneficially owned, whether directly or indirectly, individually or together with associates, jointly or as community property with a spouse and shares to which each individual has the right to acquire beneficial ownership within 60 days of March 31, 2005, by the exercise of stock options, warrants or otherwise.
- (4) Percentage of shares of common stock beneficially owned by each stockholder after the offering is based upon 25,343,598 shares of our common stock outstanding as of March 31, 2005, plus shares of common stock issuable within 60 days of such date upon the conversion of preferred stock or notes and exercise of warrants held by that particular holder. However, we did not treat as outstanding the common stock issuable upon the conversion of preferred stock and related dividends or notes and related interest and the exercise of warrants held by persons other than the particular holder.
- (5) Lawrence M. Adelman, Craig J. Dean and Michael P. Goldsmith, members of AEG Partners LLC, have shared voting and investment power over the securities.
- (6) Arjun C. Waney, chairman, managing director and 52% owner of Argent Fund Management Ltd., has voting and investment power over the securities. Mr. Waney disclaims beneficial ownership over the securities.
- (7) Arun K. Puri is the trustee of the Arun K. Puri Living Trust and is the natural person with voting and investment power over the securities.
- (8) Baystar Capital Management, LLC is the general partner of Baystar Capital II, L.P. Bay East, L.P., Lawrence Goldfarb and Steven M. Lamar are each a managing member of Baystar Capital Management, LLC. Steven Derby is the general partner of Bay East, L.P. Messrs. Lamar and Goldfarb and Bay East, L.P., in their capacities as the managing members of the BayStar Capital Management, LLC, and Mr. Derby, in his capacity as the general partner of Bay East, L.P., may be deemed to share the power to vote or to direct the vote and to dispose or to direct the disposition of the shares beneficially owned by Baystar Capital II, L.P. Each of Bay East, L.P. and Messrs. Lamar, Goldfarb and Derby disclaim beneficial ownership of the securities set forth in this prospectus except to the extent of any indirect pecuniary interest therein.
- (9) Jeffrey R. Jay is the natural person with voting and investment power over the securities.
- (10) Merlin BioMed Group, LLC is the general partner of Merlin BioMed Long Term Appreciation LP. Stuart T. Weisbrod, the managing member of Merlin BioMed Group, LLC, is the natural person with voting and investment power over the securities.

- (11) Merlin BioMed Group, LLC is the general partner of Merlin BioMed Offshore Fund. Stuart T. Weisbrod, the managing member of Merlin BioMed Group, LLC, is the natural person with voting and investment power over the securities.

Table of Contents

- (12) Millennium Management, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, is the managing partner of Millennium Partners, L.P., a Cayman Islands exempted limited partnership, and consequently may be deemed to have voting control and investment discretion over securities owned by Millennium Partners, L.P. Israel A. Englander is the sole managing member of Millennium Management, LLC. As a result, Mr. Englander may be considered the beneficial owner of any shares deemed to be beneficially owned by Millennium Management, LLC. The foregoing should not be construed in and of itself as an admission by either Millennium Management, LLC or Mr. Englander as to beneficial ownership of the shares owned by Millennium Partners, L.P. Certain affiliates of Millennium Partners, L.P. are broker-dealers. Millennium Partners, L.P. purchased the securities convertible or exercisable into the shares of common stock being offered by it under this prospectus in the ordinary course of business, and at the time of the purchase of such securities that are convertible or exercisable into the shares of common stock being offered for resale under this prospectus, Millennium Partners, L.P. had no agreement or understanding, directly or indirectly, with any person to distribute such securities or the shares of common stock issuable upon conversion or exercise in violation of the Securities Act.
- (13) Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated is a reporting company or a subsidiary of a reporting company under the Exchange Act. Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated is a broker-dealer and, as such, is an underwriter.
- (14) Pequot Capital Management, Inc., which is the investment manager/advisor to the below named funds and exercises sole dispositive and investment power for all shares held of record by the funds named below. Pequot Capital Management, Inc. holds voting power for all shares held of record by the funds named below except for Premium Series PCC Limited, Cell C32, which voting power is held by Premium Series PCC Limited, Cell C32. Arthur J. Samberg is the sole shareholder of Pequot Capital Management, Inc. and disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares except for his pecuniary interest. The number of shares being offered by this prospectus by Pequot Capital Management, Inc. represent 939,853 shares held of record by Pequot Scout Fund, L.P., of which 773,186 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of the Series A Preferred Stock, and 166,667 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of Series A Warrants; 939,853 shares held of record by Pequot Navigator Onshore Fund, L.P., of which 773,186 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of Series A Preferred Stock, and 166,667 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of Series A Warrants; 6,254,917 shares held of record by Pequot Healthcare Fund, L.P., of which 920,167 shares of common stock have been issued upon exercise of Series A Warrants, 4,268,759 shares of common stock are issuable upon conversion of Series A Preferred Stock, 837,625 shares of common stock are issuable upon conversion of Series B Preferred Stock and 228,367 shares of common stock are issuable upon exercise of Series B Warrants; 7,653,573 shares held of record by Pequot Healthcare Offshore Fund, Inc., of which 1,115,833 shares of common stock have been issued upon exercise of Series A Warrants, 5,176,478 shares of common stock are issuable upon conversion of Series A Preferred Stock, 1,069,640 shares of common stock are issuable upon conversion of Series B Preferred Stock and 291,622 shares of common stock are issuable upon exercise of Series B Warrants; 1,676,696 shares held of record by Pequot Healthcare Institutional Fund, L.P., of which 1,379,363 shares of common stock are issuable upon conversion of Series A Preferred Stock, and 297,333 shares of common stock are issuable upon exercise of Series A Warrants; and 166,021 shares held of record by Premium Series PCC Limited Cell C32, of which 130,454 shares of common stock are issuable upon conversion of Series B Preferred Stock and 35,567 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of Series B Warrants. Pequot Healthcare Fund, L.P. and Pequot Healthcare Offshore Fund, Inc. also hold 1,820,167 and 1,115,833 shares of common stock, respectively.
- (15) Pursuant to an investment agreement, Sigma Capital Management, LLC has investment and voting power with respect to the securities held by Sigma Capital Associates, LLC. Steven A. Cohen controls Sigma Capital Management, LLC. Each of Sigma Capital Management, LLC and Mr. Cohen disclaim beneficial ownership of any of the securities covered by this prospectus.

- (16) Dr. John N. Kapoor, trustee of the Kapoor Trust, is the natural person with voting and investment power over the securities.
- (17) Jerry Treppel, the general partner of Wheaten Healthcare Partners LP, is the natural person with voting and investment power over the securities.

Table of Contents

Of the shares set forth in the column Number of Shares Offered in the table above the following table sets forth each selling stockholder's (1) shares of common stock, (2) shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of Series A Preferred Stock and related dividends, (3) shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of Series A Warrants, (4) shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of Series B Preferred Stock and related dividends, (5) shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of Series B Warrants, and (6) shares of common stock issuable upon conversion or exercise of warrants or any other security convertible into shares of common stock, as applicable.

Name	Common Stock	Series A Preferred Stock ⁽¹⁾	Series A Warrants ⁽²⁾	Series B Preferred Stock ⁽³⁾	Series B Warrants ⁽⁴⁾	Other	Total
AEG Partners LLC	50,000					1,200,000 ⁽⁵⁾	1,250,000
Abu Alam Argent Fund Management Ltd.		38,659 ⁽⁶⁾	8,333				46,992
Arun K. Puri Living Trust		413,190 ⁽⁷⁾	89,067			5,000 ⁽⁸⁾	507,257
Baystar Capital II, L.P.	93,893	1,546,371 ⁽⁹⁾	333,333				1,879,704
The John N. Kapoor Trust dtd 9/20/89	851,800	16,600,297 ⁽¹¹⁾	3,578,333	1,935,833 ⁽¹⁰⁾	555,556	6,236,250 ⁽¹²⁾	27,266,680
JRJAY Public Investments, LLC	1,700,768						1,700,768
Merlin BioMed Long Term Appreciation, L.P.				122,263 ⁽¹³⁾	33,333		155,596
Merlin BioMed Offshore Fund Millennium Partners, L.P.	576,452			285,281 ⁽¹⁴⁾	77,778		363,059
Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated				407,543 ⁽¹⁵⁾	111,111		518,654
Pequot Capital Management, Inc.	2,036,000	12,370,972 ⁽¹⁶⁾	630,667	2,037,720 ⁽¹⁷⁾	555,556		17,630,915
Arthur S. Przybyl		162,369 ⁽¹⁸⁾	35,000				197,369
John Sabat		154,637 ⁽¹⁹⁾	33,333				187,970
Shritin Shah		38,659 ⁽²⁰⁾	8,333				46,992
Neill Shanahan		15,463 ⁽²¹⁾	3,333				18,796
Sigma Capital Associates, LLC				244,526 ⁽²²⁾	66,667		311,193
Jerry Treppel		386,593 ⁽²³⁾	83,334				469,927

Edgar Filing: AKORN INC - Form POS AM

Arjun C. Waney		3,092,743 ⁽²⁴⁾	666,667			140,000 ⁽²⁵⁾	3,899,410
Gulu C. Waney	99,578	1,546,371 ⁽²⁶⁾	200,000				1,845,949
Jai S. Waney		1,082,460 ⁽²⁷⁾	233,333				1,315,793
Wheaten Healthcare Partners LP	356,974		83,334				440,308
Total:	5,765,465	37,448,784	5,986,400	5,033,166	1,566,668	7,581,250	63,381,733

(1) Each share of Series A Preferred Stock is convertible into a number of shares of common stock equal to the quotient obtained by dividing (x) \$100 plus any accrued but unpaid dividends on such share by (y) \$0.75, as such numerator and denominator may be adjusted from time to time pursuant to the anti-dilution provisions of our restated articles of incorporation.

(2) Each Series A Warrant is convertible into one share of common stock, subject to anti-dilution adjustments, at an exercise price of \$1.00 per share of common stock.

(3) Each share of Series B Preferred Stock is convertible into a number of shares of common stock equal to the quotient obtained by dividing (x) \$100 plus any accrued but unpaid dividends on such share by (y) \$2.70, as such numerator and denominator may be adjusted from time to time pursuant to the anti-dilution provisions of our restated articles of incorporation.

(4) Each Series B Warrant is convertible into one share of common stock, subject to anti-dilution adjustments, at an exercise price of \$3.50 per share of common stock.

(5) Shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of the AEG Warrants.

Table of Contents

(6) Includes the following number of shares of common stock issuable under additional conversion rights accruing in the event cash dividends are not paid on June 30, 2005, September 30, 2005, December 31, 2005, and March 31, 2006, respectively: 546, 555, 563 and 571.

(7) Includes the following number of shares of common stock issuable under additional conversion rights accruing in the event cash dividends are not paid on June 30, 2005, September 30, 2005, December 31, 2005, and March 31, 2006, respectively: 5,840, 5,927, 6,016 and 6,106.

(8) Shares issuable upon exercise of Note Warrants.

(9) Includes the following number of shares of common stock issuable under additional conversion rights accruing in the event cash dividends are not paid on June 30, 2005, September 30, 2005, December 31, 2005, and March 31, 2006, respectively: 21,855, 22,182, 22,515 and 22,853.

(10) Includes the following number of shares of common stock issuable under additional conversion rights accruing in the event cash dividends are not paid on June 30, 2005, September 30, 2005, December 31, 2005, and March 31, 2006, respectively: 27,359, 27,769, 28,186 and 28,608.

(11) Includes the following number of shares of common stock issuable under additional conversion rights accruing in the event cash dividends are not paid on June 30, 2005, September 30, 2005, December 31, 2005, and March 31, 2006, respectively: 234,608, 238,127, 241,699 and 245,325.

(12) Includes 1,894,057 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of the Tranche A Note and related interest, 1,583,479 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of the Tranche B Note and related interest, 1,000,000 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of the Tranche A Warrant, 667,000 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of the Tranche B Warrant, 880,000 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of Guaranty Warrants, and 211,714 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of Note Warrants.

(13) Includes the following number of shares of common stock issuable under additional conversion rights accruing in the event cash dividends are not paid on June 30, 2005, September 30, 2005, December 31, 2005, and March 31, 2006, respectively: 1,728, 1,754, 1,780 and 1,807.

(14) Includes the following number of shares of common stock issuable under additional conversion rights accruing in the event cash dividends are not paid on June 30, 2005, September 30, 2005, December 31, 2005, and March 31, 2006, respectively: 4,032, 4,092, 4,154 and 4,216.

(15) Includes the following number of shares of common stock issuable under additional conversion rights accruing in the event cash dividends are not paid on June 30, 2005, September 30, 2005, December 31, 2005, and March 31, 2006, respectively: 5,760, 5,846, 5,934 and 6,023.

(16) Includes the following number of shares of common stock issuable under additional conversion rights accruing in the event cash dividends are not paid on June 30, 2005, September 30, 2005, December 31, 2005, and March 31, 2006, respectively: 174,835, 177,458, 180,121 and 182,822.

(17) Includes the following number of shares of common stock issuable under additional conversion rights accruing in the event cash dividends are not paid on June 30, 2005, September 30, 2005, December 31, 2005, and March 31, 2006, respectively: 28,799, 29,231, 29,669 and 30,114.

(18) Includes the following number of shares of common stock issuable under additional conversion rights accruing in the event cash dividends are not paid on June 30, 2005, September 30, 2005, December 31, 2005, and March 31, 2006, respectively: 2,295, 2,329, 2,364 and 2,400.

(19) Includes the following number of shares of common stock issuable under additional conversion rights accruing in the event cash dividends are not paid on June 30, 2005, September 30, 2005, December 31, 2005, and March 31, 2006, respectively: 2,185, 2,218, 2,252 and 2,285.

Table of Contents

(20) Includes the following number of shares of common stock issuable under additional conversion rights accruing in the event cash dividends are not paid on June 30, 2005, September 30, 2005, December 31, 2005, and March 31, 2006, respectively: 546, 555, 563 and 571.

(21) Includes the following number of shares of common stock issuable under additional conversion rights accruing in the event cash dividends are not paid on June 30, 2005, September 30, 2005, December 31, 2005, and March 31, 2006, respectively: 219, 222, 225 and 229.

(22) Includes the following number of shares of common stock issuable under additional conversion rights accruing in the event cash dividends are not paid on June 30, 2005, September 30, 2005, December 31, 2005, and March 31, 2006, respectively: 3,456, 3,508, 3,560 and 3,614.

(23) Includes the following number of shares of common stock issuable under additional conversion rights accruing in the event cash dividends are not paid on June 30, 2005, September 30, 2005, December 31, 2005, and March 31, 2006, respectively: 5,464, 5,546, 5,629 and 5,713.

(24) Includes the following number of shares of common stock issuable under additional conversion rights accruing in the event cash dividends are not paid on June 30, 2005, September 30, 2005, December 31, 2005, and March 31, 2006, respectively: 43,709, 44,365, 45,030 and 45,706.

(25) Includes 80,000 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of Guaranty Warrants, and 60,000 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of Note Warrants.

(26) Includes the following number of shares of common stock issuable under additional conversion rights accruing in the event cash dividends are not paid on June 30, 2005, September 30, 2005, December 31, 2005, and March 31, 2006, respectively: 21,855, 22,182, 22,515 and 22,853.

(27) Includes the following number of shares of common stock issuable under additional conversion rights accruing in the event cash dividends are not paid on June 30, 2005, September 30, 2005, December 31, 2005, and March 31, 2006, respectively: 15,298, 15,528, 15,761 and 15,997.

PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION

The shares of common stock offered for resale through this prospectus may be sold by the selling stockholders and any of their pledgees, assignees and successors-in-interest (including successors by gift, partnership distribution or other non-sale-related transfer effected after the date of this prospectus), from time to time, in one or more transactions at fixed prices, at market prices at the time of sale, at varying prices determined at the time of sale or at negotiated prices. The selling stockholders may offer their shares of common stock in one or more of the following transactions:

On any national securities exchange or quotation service at which our common stock may be listed or quoted at the time of sale;

In the over-the-counter market;

In private transactions;

Through options, swaps or other derivative securities (whether exchange listed or otherwise);

By pledge to secure debts and other obligations;

In ordinary brokerage transactions and transactions in which the broker-dealer solicits purchases;

In block trades in which the broker-dealer will attempt to sell the shares as agent but may position and resell a portion of the block as principal to facilitate the transaction;

Table of Contents

Through purchases by a broker-dealer as principal and resale by the broker-dealer for its account;

In settlement of short sales;

Through the sale of a specified number of shares at a stipulated price per share by agreement between broker-dealers and the selling stockholders;

Sales in other ways not involving market makers or established trading markets, including direct sales to purchasers, sales effected through agents or other privately negotiated transactions;

A combination of any of the above methods; or

Any other method permitted pursuant to applicable law.

If required, we will distribute a supplement to this prospectus to describe material changes in the terms of the offering.

The shares of common stock described in this prospectus may be sold from time to time directly by the selling stockholders. Alternatively, the selling stockholders may from time to time offer shares of common stock to or through underwriters, broker/dealers or agents. The selling stockholders that are also broker-dealers are underwriters within the meaning of the Securities Act. Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated, a selling stockholder, is a broker-dealer and, as such, is an underwriter. Millennium Partners, L.P., a selling stockholder, is an affiliate of a broker-dealer. Millennium Partners purchased the securities convertible or exercisable into the shares of common stock being offered by it under this prospectus in the ordinary course of business, and at the time of the purchase of such securities that are convertible or exercisable into the shares of common stock being offered for resale under this prospectus, Millennium Partners had no agreement or understanding, directly or indirectly, with any person to distribute such securities or the shares of common stock issuable upon conversion or exercise. The selling stockholders and any broker or any broker-dealers, agents or underwriters that participate with the selling stockholders in the distribution of the shares offered for resale through this prospectus may also be deemed to be underwriters within the meaning of the Securities Act. In these cases, any commissions received by these broker-dealers, agents or underwriters and any profit on the resale of the shares offered for resale through this prospectus purchased by them may be deemed to be underwriting commissions or discounts under the Securities Act. In addition, any profits realized by the selling stockholders may be deemed to be underwriting discounts and commissions under the Securities Act. To the extent the selling stockholders may be deemed to be underwriters, they will be subject to the prospectus delivery requirements of the Securities Act.

The selling stockholders may from time to time pledge or grant a security interest in some or all of the shares and, if they default in the performance of any of their secured obligations, the pledgees or secured parties may offer and sell the shares of common stock from time to time under this prospectus as it may be supplemented from time to time, or under an amendment to this prospectus under Rule 424(b)(3) or other applicable provisions of the Securities Act amending the list of selling stockholders to include the pledgee, transferee or other successors in interest as selling stockholders under this prospectus.

The selling stockholders may also transfer the shares of common stock in other circumstances, in which case the transferees, pledgees or other successors in interest will be the selling beneficial owners for purposes of this prospectus.

Any shares covered by this prospectus which qualify for sale pursuant to Rule 144 under the Securities Act may be sold under Rule 144 rather than pursuant to this prospectus. The selling stockholders are not obligated to, and there is no assurance that the selling stockholders will, sell all or any of the shares we are registering. The selling stockholders may transfer, devise or gift such shares by other means not described in this prospectus.

Under the Exchange Act, any person engaged in a distribution of our common stock may not simultaneously engage in market-making activities with respect to our common stock for nine business days prior to the start of the distribution. Each selling stockholder, and any other person, who participates in a distribution of our

Table of Contents

common stock will be subject to the Exchange Act which may limit the timing of purchases and sales of our common stock by such selling stockholder or any such other person. These factors may affect the marketability of our common stock and the ability of brokers or dealers to engage in market-making activities.

We will pay all expenses of this registration. These expenses include the filing fees of the SEC, fees under state securities or blue sky laws, and accounting and legal fees. We estimate that our expenses in connection with this registration will be approximately \$258,491. All expenses for the issuance of any supplement to this prospectus will be paid by us. The selling stockholders may pay selling commissions or brokerage fees with respect to the sale of the resale shares by them. Some of the selling stockholders will be indemnified by us against certain civil liabilities under securities laws or will be entitled to contribution in connection therewith. We will be indemnified by some of the selling stockholders against certain liabilities under securities laws or will be entitled to contribution in connection therewith.

USE OF PROCEEDS

We will not receive any of the proceeds from the sale by the selling stockholders of any of the shares of common stock offered for resale through this prospectus. All proceeds from the resale of the shares of our common stock offered for resale through this prospectus will be for the accounts of the selling stockholders. We may receive up to a total of approximately \$18,080,870 in the event that all the Series A Warrants, Series B Warrants, Tranche A Warrant, Tranche B Warrant, AEG Warrants, Guaranty Warrants and Note Warrants collectively held by the selling stockholders are exercised at their respective current exercise prices. Warrants, however, can be exercised on a cashless basis. Any proceeds received by us from the exercise of these warrants will be used by us for general corporate purposes.

MARKET FOR COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The following table sets forth, for the fiscal periods indicated, the high and low sales or closing bid prices, as the case may be, for our common stock for the two most recent fiscal years and for the first quarter of our current fiscal year. On November 24, 2004, our common stock was listed for trading on the American Stock Exchange under the symbol AKN. Before such listing, from May 3, 2004 to November 23, 2004, our common stock was traded on the OTC Bulletin Board® under the stock symbol AKRN.OB. The market represented by the OTC Bulletin Board® is extremely limited and the price for our common stock traded on the OTC Bulletin Board® is not necessarily a reliable indication of the value of our common stock. The quotations for the periods in which our common stock traded on the OTC Bulletin Board® reflect inter-dealer prices, without retail mark-up, markdown or commission and may not represent actual transactions. Trading prices are based on published financial sources, information received from the American Stock Exchange, OTC Bulletin Board® and Reuters based on all transactions reported on the OTC Bulletin Board® and Reuters. Prior to trading on the OTC Bulletin Board our common stock was traded on the Pink Sheets from June 25, 2002 until May 2, 2004.

	High	Low
Fiscal year ending December 31, 2005		
1 st Quarter	\$ 3.95	\$ 2.65
Year Ended December 31, 2004		
1 st Quarter	\$ 3.75	\$ 2.00
2 nd Quarter	3.78	2.00

Edgar Filing: AKORN INC - Form POS AM

3 rd Quarter	3.76	2.30
4 th Quarter	4.30	3.00
Year Ended December 31, 2003:		
1 st Quarter	\$ 1.55	\$ 0.50
2 nd Quarter	1.30	0.50
3 rd Quarter	1.19	0.45
4 th Quarter	2.35	1.22

Table of Contents

Trading in our common stock is subject to the penny stock rules. The SEC has adopted regulations that generally define a penny stock to be any equity security that has a market price of less than \$5.00 per share, subject to certain exceptions. These rules require that any broker-dealer who recommends our common stock to persons other than prior customers and accredited investors, must, prior to the sale, make a special written suitability determination for the purchaser and receive the purchaser's written agreement to execute the transaction. Unless an exception is available, the regulations require the delivery, prior to any transaction involving a penny stock, of a disclosure schedule explaining the penny stock market and the risks associated with trading in the penny stock market. In addition, broker-dealers must disclose commissions payable to both the broker-dealer and the registered representative and current quotations for the securities they offer. The additional burdens imposed upon broker-dealers by such requirements may discourage broker-dealers from effecting transactions in our common stock, which could severely limit the market price and liquidity of our common stock.

As of March 31, 2005, we had 25,343,598 shares of common stock outstanding, which were held by approximately 600 stockholders of record. This number does not include stockholders for which shares are held in a nominee or street name. The closing price of our common stock on March 31, 2005 was \$2.77 per share. The transfer agent for our common stock is Computershare Investor Services, LLC, 2 North LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois 60602.

DIVIDEND POLICY

Our board of directors determines any payment of dividends. We did not pay cash dividends in 2004 or 2003 and do not expect to pay dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. Moreover, we are currently prohibited by our New Credit Facility from making any cash dividend payment to holders of our securities. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation Financial Condition and Liquidity. Any future decision with respect to dividends will depend on future earnings, operations, capital requirements and availability, restrictions in future financing agreements and other business and financial considerations.

BUSINESS

Overview

We manufacture and market diagnostic and therapeutic pharmaceuticals in specialty areas such as ophthalmology, rheumatology, anesthesia and antidotes, among others. Our customers include physicians, optometrists, wholesalers, group purchasing organizations and other pharmaceutical companies. We are a Louisiana corporation founded in 1971 in Abita Springs, Louisiana. In 1997, we relocated our headquarters and certain operations to Illinois. We have a wholly owned subsidiary named Akorn (New Jersey), Inc. which has operations in Somerset, New Jersey and is involved in manufacturing, research and development, and administrative activities related to our ophthalmic and injectable segments. We also have a number of strategic alliances discussed elsewhere in this prospectus for the development and marketing of products.

As described more fully in this prospectus, in recent years we have experienced significant regulatory and financial challenges. In response to these challenges, we have recruited new senior management, addressed our regulatory issues, improved our financial structure and raised additional capital. These improvements have positioned us for future growth and improved operating results.

We classify our operations into three identifiable business segments, ophthalmic, injectable and contract services. These three segments are described in greater detail below. For information regarding revenues and gross profit for each of our segments, see Note M Segment Information to our annual consolidated financial statements beginning at

page F-1 of this prospectus.

Ophthalmic Segment. We market a line of diagnostic and therapeutic ophthalmic pharmaceutical products. Diagnostic products, primarily used in the office setting, include mydriatics and cycloplegics, anesthetics, topical stains, gonioscopic solutions, angiography dyes and others. Therapeutic products, sold primarily to wholesalers and other national account customers, include antibiotics, anti-infectives, steroids, steroid combinations, glaucoma

Table of Contents

medications, decongestants/antihistamines and anti-edema medications. Non-pharmaceutical products include various artificial tear solutions, preservative-free lubricating ointments, eyelid cleansers, vitamin supplements and contact lens accessories. We exited the surgical products business in late 2002. The impact of the exit was not material to our financial results.

Injectable Segment. We market a line of specialty injectable pharmaceutical products, including antidotes, anesthesia, and products used in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis and pain management. These products are marketed to hospitals through wholesalers and other national account customers as well as directly to medical specialists.

Contract Services Segment. We manufacture products for third party pharmaceutical and biotechnology customers based on their specifications.

Manufacturing. We have manufacturing facilities located in Decatur, Illinois and Somerset, New Jersey. See *Properties.* We manufacture a diverse group of sterile pharmaceutical products, including solutions, ointments and suspensions for our ophthalmic and injectable segments. Our Decatur facility manufactures products for all three of our segments. Our Somerset facility manufactures primarily ointment products for our ophthalmic and injectable segments. We are also in the process of adding freeze-dried (lyophilized) manufacturing capabilities at our Decatur manufacturing facility and expect to use a portion of the proceeds from the sale of our Series B Preferred Stock to help fund validation efforts for the lyophilization facility and to fund the development of an internal ANDA lyophilized product pipeline. See *Recent Developments.* However, we cannot assure you that we can add lyophilized manufacturing capabilities to our Decatur manufacturing facility, or that such addition, if completed, will prove to be profitable. See *Risk Factors* Our growth depends on our ability to timely develop additional pharmaceutical products and manufacturing capabilities.

Sales and Marketing. While we are working to expand our proprietary product base through internal development, the majority of our current products are non-proprietary. We rely on our efforts in marketing, distribution, development and low cost manufacturing to maintain and increase market share.

Our ophthalmic segment uses a three-tiered sales effort. Outside sales representatives sell directly to physicians and group practices. In-house sales (telemarketing) and customer service (catalog sales) sell to optometrists and other customers. A national accounts group sells to wholesalers, retail chains and other group purchasing organizations that represent hospitals in the United States. This national accounts group also markets our injectable pharmaceutical products, which we also sell through telemarketing and direct mail activities to individual specialty physicians and hospitals. The contract services segment markets our contract manufacturing services through direct mail, trade shows and direct industry contacts. The manufacturing of products in all three segments must be performed under government mandated cGMP.

Research and Development. As of December 31, 2004, we had 21 ANDAs for generic pharmaceuticals in various stages of internal development. We have an additional 47 ANDAs in various stages of development through various strategic agreements with three international partners. See *Government Regulation.* We plan to continue to file ANDAs on a regular basis as pharmaceutical products come off patent allowing us to compete by marketing generic equivalents. However, unless and until our issues pending before the FDA regarding our Decatur manufacturing facility are favorably resolved, we believe it is doubtful that the FDA will approve any NDAs or ANDAs we submit related to this facility. Our Somerset facility is not impacted by the FDA issues regarding our Decatur manufacturing facility as evidenced by our new product approvals at the Somerset facility.

On February 18, 2003, we received FDA approval for our ANDA for Lidocaine Jelly, 2%, a bioequivalent to Xylocaine Jelly®, a product of AstraZeneca PLC used primarily as a topical anesthetic by urologists and hospitals.

According to industry sources, it is estimated that the total annual United States market for comparable products was approximately \$30,000,000 in 2002. We manufacture this product at our Somerset facility, and it was commercially available in the third quarter of 2003.

On February 9, 2004, we received FDA approval for our ANDA for Neomycin, Polymyxin B Sulfates and Bacitracin Zinc Ophthalmic Ointment, USP. This antibiotic is a bioequivalent to Neosporin® Ophthalmic Ointment, a product of Monarch Pharmaceuticals, Inc., which is used primarily as an ophthalmic antibiotic

Table of Contents

ointment. We began manufacturing this product in April 2004 at our Somerset facility. The distribution of this product began in July 2004.

On April 21, 2004, we announced the signing of a memo of understanding with Strides Arcolab Limited, a pharmaceutical manufacturer based in India. As a result of negotiations following the execution of the memo of understanding, on September 22, 2004, we entered into agreements with Strides for the development, manufacturing and marketing of grandfathered products, patent-challenge products and ANDA products for the United States hospital and retail markets. The joint venture operates in the form of a Delaware limited liability company, Akorn-Strides, LLC, which is equally owned by us and Strides and as to which we each have equal management representation. Strides will be responsible for developing, manufacturing and supplying products under an OEM Agreement between it and Akorn-Strides. We will be responsible for sales and marketing of the products under an exclusive Sales and Marketing Agreement with Akorn-Strides. Under the terms of our agreement, each of us are to contribute \$1,250,000 in capital to be used to finance the preparation of ANDAs by Strides. As of December 31, 2004, we had funded our \$1,250,000 capital contribution to Akorn-Strides. In February 2005, we loaned an additional \$1,250,000 to Akorn-Strides that was advanced to Strides to finance its capital contribution. Under the OEM Agreement, the respective contributions were advanced to Strides to finance the preparation, development and filing with the FDA of ANDAs for generic drugs based on a mutually agreed development schedule. Akorn-Strides will have exclusive rights to FDA approved generic drugs within the United States hospital, medical clinic, physician group and other wholesale drug markets. If within a mutually agreed time period, Strides' manufacturing facilities in India have not received a satisfactory current cGMP inspection by the FDA, which remains current, and twelve ANDAs for products developed by Strides at its manufacturing facilities in India have not been submitted to the FDA, among other things, we will become the sole owner of Akorn-Strides and Akorn-Strides will be entitled to draw on a \$1,250,000 letter of credit that was established by Strides from an Indian bank that is confirmed by a United States bank. On the other hand, if these conditions are met, and if both managers agree, Strides and we may make additional equivalent capital contributions to finance subsequent ANDA preparation costs under a similar arrangement to our initial capital contributions, including an additional loan by us to Akorn-Strides to finance Strides' capital contribution. Pursuant to the requirements of FIN 46®, because we funded Strides' capital contribution (even though that funding is supported by a letter of credit ultimately in our favor), we are required to consolidate Akorn-Strides until such time as our loan is collected. Those collections are expected to occur when Akorn-Strides begins to sell the products that Strides is currently contracted to develop into ANDAs. Accordingly, in our consolidated financial statements, our 2004 contribution and 2005 loan to Akorn-Strides is eliminated. The advance of \$2,500,000 from Akorn-Strides to Strides is reflected as an other current asset and is being amortized over the mutually agreed upon development schedule period. Amortization expense for 2004 and the first quarter of 2005 was \$375,000 and \$625,000, respectively. We have not and will not record a minority interest receivable to recognize Strides' 50% portion of Akorn-Strides' losses until such time as Strides has contributed capital at risk. Because of this, we have recorded 100% of Akorn-Strides' losses in our results of operations.

On July 21, 2004, we and FDC Limited, India's second largest manufacturer and marketer of ophthalmic pharmaceutical products, entered into a purchase and supply agreement, which would provide us with an ophthalmic finished dosage form product pipeline for exclusive use in the United States and Canada. The ophthalmic products will be developed and manufactured for us by FDC. Under the agreement, we will be responsible for FDA regulatory submissions and marketing of the products directly in the United States. Innova, our Canadian distributor for ophthalmic products, will be responsible for the direct marketing of these products in Canada. FDC exports active pharmaceutical ingredients to over 45 countries, including the United States and Canada, and holds drug master files and registration in both countries. Products will be manufactured in India, and FDC intends to submit approximately four to six ANDAs in the first year of the agreement.

On August 31, 2004, we entered into an option agreement with The University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center to license a patent entitled "M-EDTA Pharmaceutical Preparations of Uses Thereof" and related technology

rights invented by Issam I. Raad and Robert Sheretz. The option agreement grants us an option to evaluate the patent and to determine an appropriate regulatory pathway based on discussion with the FDA, which we exercised in February 2005. The patent is targeted at the prevention of intravascular catheter-related infections and occlusions. By exercising our right to license the patent we paid an initial license fee of \$75,000 and are required to fund clinicals and pay a milestone license fee upon FDA approval and royalties for the life of the patent.

Table of Contents

On October 15, 2004, we entered into an agreement with Serum Institute of India, Ltd., the world's fifth largest vaccine manufacturer, in an exclusive drug development and distribution agreement for oncology and other injectable drug products for the United States and Canada. Under the terms of the five-year agreement, Serum will develop and manufacture certain ANDAs and we will be responsible for all regulatory submissions. We will also own the ANDAs and will buy the products from Serum under a negotiated transfer price arrangement, under which we must make a minimum purchase of \$1,000,000 per product in the first year in order to maintain exclusivity. Additionally, we will market and sell the products in the United States and Canada under our label.

On November 16, 2004, we entered into an Exclusive License and Supply Agreement with Hameln Pharmaceuticals for two Orphan Drug NDAs: Calcium-DTPA and Zinc-DTPA. The FDA approved the two drugs on August 11, 2004, and are indicated as antidotes for the treatment of radioactive poisoning - specifically internal contamination with plutonium, americium, or curium. We received a shipment of these drugs from Hameln in December 2004 and recognized approximately \$975,000 in revenue from selling the drugs in December 2004. Under the terms of the License and Supply Agreement, we paid a one-time license fee of 1,550,000 Euros (USD\$2,095,000) for an exclusive license for five years, which may be extended by the parties for successive two-year periods. Orphan drug exclusivity status is granted by the FDA for a period of seven years from the date of approval of the NDA. We will be responsible for marketing and distributing both drugs in the United States and Canada and the two companies will share revenues 50:50, subject to adjustments. Hameln will be responsible for the manufacturing of both drugs for us. We will be responsible for the payment of any annual FDA establishment fees and for the cost of any post-approval studies.

On January 10, 2005, we and Apotex Corporation, the largest Canadian-owned pharmaceutical manufacturer, entered into an agreement for the purchase, supply, and marketing of select ophthalmic pharmaceutical products in the United States health care market. Under the terms of the agreement, Apotex will manufacture ophthalmic products in finished dosage forms for us, and we will market these products under our label. The agreement includes ophthalmic products currently available from Apotex, as well as select products in Apotex's ophthalmic research and development pipeline.

Pre-clinical and clinical trials required in connection with the development of pharmaceutical products are performed by contract research organizations under the direction of our personnel. No assurance can be given as to whether we will file NDAs, or ANDAs, when anticipated, whether we will develop marketable products based on any filings we do make, or as to the actual size of the market for any such products, or as to whether our participation in such market would be profitable. See **Government Regulation** and **Risk Factors** Our growth depends on our ability to timely develop additional pharmaceutical products and manufacturing capabilities.

We also maintain a business development program that identifies potential product acquisition or product licensing candidates. We have focused our business development efforts on niche products that complement our existing product lines and that have few or no competitors in the market.

At March 31, 2005, nine of our full-time employees were involved in research and development and product licensing.

Research and development costs are expensed as incurred. Such costs amounted to \$1,861,000, \$1,465,000, and \$1,886,000 for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

Patents, Trademarks, and Proprietary Technology

We consider the protection of discoveries in connection with our development activities important to our business. We have sought, and intend to continue to seek, patent protection in the United States and selected foreign countries

where deemed appropriate. As of March 31, 2005, we had received six United States patents and had five additional United States patent applications and one international patent application pending.

We also rely upon trademarks, trade secrets, unpatented proprietary know-how and continuing technological innovation to maintain and develop our competitive position. We enter into confidentiality agreements with certain of our employees pursuant to which such employees agree to assign to us any inventions

Table of Contents

relating to our business made by them while in our employ. However, there can be no assurance that others may not acquire or independently develop similar technology or, if patents are not issued with respect to products arising from research, that we will be able to maintain information pertinent to such research as proprietary technology or trade secrets. See Risk Factors Our patents and proprietary rights may not adequately protect our products and processes.

Employee Relations

At March 31, 2005, we had 313 full-time employees, 260 of whom were employed by us and 53 by our wholly owned subsidiary, Akorn (New Jersey), Inc. Akorn-Strides, the joint venture company owned equally by us and Strides Arcolab Limited, has no employees. We believe we enjoy good relations with our employees, none of whom are represented by a collective bargaining agent.

Competition

The marketing and manufacturing of pharmaceutical products is highly competitive, with many established manufacturers, suppliers and distributors actively engaged in all phases of the business. Most of our competitors have substantially greater financial and other resources, including greater sales volume, larger sales forces and greater manufacturing capacity. See Risk Factors Our industry is very competitive. Additionally, changes in technology could render our products obsolete.

The companies that compete with our ophthalmic segment include Alcon Laboratories, Inc., Allergan Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Ciba Vision and Bausch & Lomb, Inc.. The ophthalmic segment competes primarily on the basis of price and service. Our ophthalmic segment purchases some ophthalmic products from Bausch & Lomb, which is in direct competition with us in several markets.

The companies that compete with our injectable segment include both generic and name brand companies such as Hospira, Sicom, American Pharmaceutical Partners, Baxter and American Regent. The injectable segment competes primarily on the basis of price.

Competitors in our contract services segment include Cook Imaging (Baxter), Chesapeake Biological Laboratories and Ben Venue. The contract services segment competes primarily on the basis of price and technical capabilities.

Suppliers and Customers

No supplier of products accounted for more than 10% of our purchases in 2003 or 2002. In 2004, purchases from Cardinal Health PTS, LLC accounted for approximately 17% of our purchases. We require a supply of quality raw materials and components to manufacture and package pharmaceutical products for ourselves and for third parties with which we have contracted. The principal components of our products are active and inactive pharmaceutical ingredients and certain packaging materials. Many of these components are available from only a single source and, in the case of many of our ANDAs and NDAs, only one supplier of raw materials has been identified. Because FDA approval of drugs requires manufacturers to specify their proposed suppliers of active ingredients and certain packaging materials in their applications, FDA approval of any new supplier would be required if active ingredients or such packaging materials were no longer available from the specified supplier. The qualification of a new supplier could delay our development and marketing efforts. If for any reason we are unable to obtain sufficient quantities of any of the raw materials or components required to produce and package our products, we may not be able to manufacture our products as planned, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

A small number of large wholesale drug distributors account for a large portion of our gross sales, revenues and accounts receivable. Those distributors are:

AmerisourceBergen Corporation;

Cardinal Health, Inc.; and

Table of Contents

McKesson Drug Company.

These three wholesale drug distributors accounted for approximately 57% of our total gross sales and 46% of our revenues in 2004, and 74% of our gross accounts receivable as of December 31, 2004. The difference between gross sales and revenue is that gross sales do not reflect the deductions for chargebacks, rebates and product returns. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Critical Accounting Policies. The percentages of gross sales, revenue and gross trade receivables attributed to each of these three wholesale drug distributors for the years ended December 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003 were as follows:

	2004			2003		
	Gross Sales	Revenue	Gross Acct. Receivables	Gross Sales	Revenue	Gross Acct. Receivables
AmerisourceBergen	14%	10%	17%	19%	15%	13%
Cardinal	25%	20%	51%	19%	14%	22%
McKesson Drug	18%	16%	6%	16%	15%	17%

AmerisourceBergen, Cardinal and McKesson are distributors of our products as well as a broad range of health care products for many other companies. None of these distributors is an end user of our products. If sales to any one of these distributors were to diminish or cease, we believe that the end users of our products would find little difficulty obtaining our products either directly from us or from another distributor. However, the loss of one or more of these distributors, together with a delay or inability to secure an alternative distribution source for end users, could have a material negative impact on our revenue, business, financial condition and results of operations. We consider our business relationships with these three wholesalers to be in good standing and have fee for services contracts with Cardinal and McKesson. The difference between the 2004 revenue and accounts receivable percentage factors above is due to the relative customers mix for November/December sales activity which is the primary driver for the end of year accounts receivable balance. We experienced proportionately heavier volumes through Cardinal and lesser volumes with McKesson and AmerisourceBergen during that period. A change in purchasing patterns, a decrease in inventory levels, an increase in returns of our products, delays in purchasing products and delays in payment for products by one or more distributors also could have a material negative impact on our revenue, business, financial condition and results of operations. See Risk Factors We depend on a small number of distributors, the loss of any of which could have a material adverse effect.

Backorders

As of December 31, 2004, we had approximately \$2,400,000 of products on backorder as compared to approximately \$3,100,000 of backorders as of December 31, 2003. This decrease in backorders is due to higher production levels in 2004. As of March 31, 2005, we had approximately \$1,300,000 of products on backorder. We anticipate filling all current open backorders during 2005.

Government Regulation

Pharmaceutical manufacturers and distributors are subject to extensive regulation by government agencies, including the FDA, DEA, FTC and other federal, state and local agencies. The FDC Act, the Controlled Substance Act and other federal statutes and regulations govern or influence the development, testing, manufacture, labeling, storage and promotion of products that we manufacture and market. The FDA inspects drug manufacturers and storage facilities to determine compliance with its cGMP regulations, non-compliance with which can result in fines, recall and seizure of products, total or partial suspension of production, refusal to approve NDAs and criminal prosecution. The FDA also has the authority to revoke approval of drug products.

FDA approval is required before any drug can be manufactured and marketed. New drugs require the filing of an NDA, including clinical studies demonstrating the safety and efficacy of the drug. Generic drugs, which are equivalents of existing, off-patent brand name drugs, require the filing of an ANDA. An ANDA does not, for the most part, require clinical studies since safety and efficacy have already been demonstrated by the product originator. However, the ANDA must provide data demonstrating the equivalency of the generic formulation in

Table of Contents

terms of bioequivalency. The time required by the FDA to review and approve NDAs and ANDAs is variable and beyond our control.

FDA Warning Letter. The FDA issued a warning letter to us in October 2000 following a routine inspection of our Decatur manufacturing facility. An FDA warning letter is intended to provide notice to a company of violations of the laws administered by the FDA and to elicit voluntary corrective action. Until the violations identified in the warning letter are corrected, the FDA frequently will withhold approval of any marketing applications (ANDAs, NDAs) submitted by us and will share contents of the warning letter with government agencies (for example, the Veterans Administration or the Department of Defense) that may contract to purchase products from us. Failure to take effective corrective actions can result in FDA enforcement action such as monetary fines, seizure of products, or injunction that could suspend manufacturing and compel recall of products.

The warning letter addressed several deviations from regulatory requirements identified during the inspection and requested that we take corrective actions. Since then, additional FDA inspections in 2002 and 2003 found that certain deviations continued unresolved and identified additional deviations. We have invested approximately \$2,000,000 in improved cleaning validation and enhanced process controls and have developed a comprehensive corrective action plan. We have been in regular communications with the FDA and have provided periodic reports of our progress in making corrections. In 2004, the FDA conducted two additional inspections of our Decatur manufacturing facility. The first, concluded on April 7, 2004, identified several deviations for which we provided the FDA with proposed corrective actions. The FDA initiated no enforcement action. Rather, the FDA notified us that another confirmatory inspection would be made to determine whether the deviations identified have been corrected. The confirmatory inspection concluded November 19, 2004. It identified deviations and we have responded to the FDA with corrective actions. We have since met with the FDA and provided the status of our corrective actions. The FDA has advised us that the findings of the latest inspection are under review and a final agency decision on our regulatory status has not been made. The FDA may conclude that the findings of the latest inspection do not represent significant deviations and our voluntary corrective actions are sufficient, in which case, we can expect the FDA to remove the sanctions of the warning letter. If, however, the FDA concludes that the deviations are significant and our voluntary actions have not been adequate, it may initiate enforcement action including the following: (1) maintain the warning letter sanctions or issue a new warning letter with sanctions; (2) seek a court-ordered injunction which may include suspension of some or all operations at our Decatur manufacturing facility until compliance is achieved, recall of certain products, potential monetary penalties or other sanctions; or (3) seize our products produced at our Decatur manufacturing facility. Any of these actions could significantly impair our ability to continue to manufacture and distribute products, generate cash from our operations, and may result in a covenant violation under our senior debt.

To date, the noncompliance of our Decatur manufacturing facility has prevented us from developing additional products at Decatur, some of which cannot be developed at our other facility. The inability to fully use our Decatur manufacturing facility has had a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Unless and until we correct the FDA deviations at our Decatur manufacturing facility, it is doubtful the FDA will approve any applications that may be submitted by us for products to be manufactured in Decatur. This has adversely impacted, and is likely to continue to adversely impact our ability to grow sales. See *Business Legal Proceedings* and *Risk Factors* Our Decatur, Illinois manufacturing facility is the subject of an FDA warning letter.

DEA Consent Decree. We also manufacture and distribute several controlled-drug substances, the distribution and handling of which are regulated by the DEA. Failure to comply with DEA regulations can result in fines or seizure of product.

On March 6, 2002, we received a letter from the United States Attorney's Office, Central District of Illinois, Springfield, Illinois, advising us that the DEA had referred a matter to that office for a possible civil legal action for

alleged violations of the Comprehensive Drug Abuse Prevention Control Act of 1970, 21 U.S.C. § 801 et. seq., and regulations promulgated thereunder. The alleged violations relate to record keeping and controls surrounding the storage and distribution of controlled substances. On November 6, 2002, we entered into a Civil Consent Decree with the DEA. Under terms of the Civil Consent Decree, without admitting any of the allegations in

Table of Contents

the complaint from the DEA, we agreed to pay a fine of \$100,000, upgrade our security system and to remain in substantial compliance with the Comprehensive Drug Abuse Prevention Control Act of 1970. If we failed to remain in substantial compliance during the two-year period following the entry of the Civil Consent Decree, we, in addition to other possible sanctions, might have been held in contempt of court and ordered to pay an additional \$300,000 fine. We completed the upgrades to our security system in 2003 and have received no further notice from the DEA in connection with the Civil Consent Decree. The two-year compliance period lapsed on November 6, 2004. We were inspected by the DEA in February 2005 and the DEA has not informed us of any further violations

Product Recalls

There were no product recalls in 2004. In February 2003, we recalled two products, Fluress and Fluoracaine, due to container/closure integrity problems resulting in leaking containers. The recall has been classified by the FDA as a Class II Recall, which means that the use of, or exposure to, a violative product may cause temporary or medically reversible adverse health consequences or that the probability of serious health consequences as a result of such use or exposure is remote. We had not received any notification or complaints from end users of the recalled products. Because we had curtailed the production of these items due to the above container/closure integrity issues, the financial impact to us of this recall was not material as our customers did not hold significant inventories of these products. We began production of Fluress and re-started distribution in September 2004. We have discontinued production of Fluoracaine.

In March 2003, as a result of the December 10, 2002 to February 6, 2003 FDA inspection, we recalled twenty-four lots of product produced from the period December 2001 to June 2002 in one of our production rooms at our Decatur manufacturing facility. The majority of the lots recalled were for third party contract customer products. Subsequent to this decision and after discussions with the FDA, eight of the original twenty-four lots have been exempted from the recall due to medical necessity. The recall has been classified by the FDA as a Class II Recall. We had not received any notification or complaints from end users of the recalled products. Due to the passage of time between the production of these lots and the recall, the financial impact of this recall was not material as our customers did not hold significant inventories of these products.

Environment

We do not anticipate any material adverse effect from compliance with federal, state and local provisions that have been enacted or adopted regulating the discharge of materials into the environment, or otherwise relating to the protection of the environment.

Properties

Since August 1998, our headquarters and certain administrative offices, as well as a finished goods warehouse, have been located in leased space at 2500 Millbrook Drive, Buffalo Grove, Illinois. We leased approximately 24,000 square feet until June 2000 at which time it expanded to the current occupied space of approximately 48,000 square feet.

We own a 76,000 square foot facility located on 15 acres of land in Decatur, Illinois. This facility is currently used for packaging, distribution, warehousing and office space. In addition, we own a 55,000 square-foot manufacturing facility in Decatur, Illinois. Our Decatur facilities support all three of our segments.

Our wholly owned subsidiary, Akorn (New Jersey) Inc., also leases approximately 35,000 square feet of space in Somerset, New Jersey. This space is used for manufacturing, research and development and administrative activities related to our ophthalmic and injectable segments.

We do not have any idled manufacturing facilities, however, the capacity utilization at both our Decatur and Somerset facilities was approximately 65% and 100%, respectively, during the year ended December 31, 2004.

We anticipate these same utilization rates for 2005. We can produce approximately 65 batches per month if our Decatur and Somerset facilities are all operating at normal capacity. Operating the manufacturing facilities at the reduced level has led to lower gross margins due to unabsorbed fixed manufacturing costs.

Table of Contents

We are in the process of completing an expansion of our Decatur manufacturing facility to add capacity to provide lyophilization manufacturing services, a manufacturing capability we currently do not have. Subject to among other things, our ability to generate operating cash flow or to obtain new financing for future operations, validation and approval of the lyophilization facility by the FDA is anticipated in late 2005. Manufacturing capabilities for lyophilized products are projected to be in place by mid-2006.

As of December 31, 2004, we had spent approximately \$18,513,000 on the lyophilization expansion and anticipate the need to spend approximately \$2,000,000 of additional funds (excluding capitalized interest) to complete the expansion. The majority of the additional spending will be focused on validation testing of the lyophilization facility as the major capital equipment items are currently in place. To this end, we expect to use a portion of the proceeds we obtained from the sale of our Series B Preferred Stock to help fund validation efforts for the lyophilization facility and to fund the development of an internal ANDA lyophilized product pipeline.

Our current combined space is considered adequate to accommodate our manufacturing needs for the foreseeable future. We currently do not need lyophilization capabilities, but such capabilities would give us the capability to manufacture additional products for our contract customers and allow us to pursue other ANDA products and to internally produce one of our currently outsourced products.

Legal Proceedings

On March 27, 2002, we received a letter informing us that the staff of the regional office of the SEC in Denver, Colorado, would recommend to the SEC that it bring an enforcement action against us and seek an order requiring us to be enjoined from engaging in certain conduct. On September 25, 2003, we consented to the entry of an administrative cease and desist order without admitting or denying the findings set forth therein. The consent order did not impose a monetary penalty against us or require any additional restatement of our financial statements. The consent order required that we cease and desist from committing or causing any violation and any future violation of certain sections of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and certain rules thereunder. The consent order contained an additional commitment by us to do various acts, the last of which was completed on February 13, 2004.

The FDA issued a warning letter to us in October 2000 following a routine inspection of our Decatur manufacturing facility. An FDA warning letter is intended to provide notice to a company of violations of the laws administered by the FDA and to elicit voluntary corrective action. Until the violations identified in the warning letter are corrected, the FDA frequently will withhold approval of any marketing applications (ANDAs, NDAs) submitted by us and will share contents of the warning letter with government agencies (for example, the Veterans Administration or the Department of Defense) that may contract to purchase products from us. Failure to take effective corrective actions can result in FDA enforcement action such as monetary fines, seizure of products, or injunction that could suspend manufacturing and compel recall of products.

The warning letter addressed several deviations from regulatory requirements identified during the inspection and requested that we take corrective actions. Since then, additional FDA inspections in 2002 and 2003 found that certain deviations continued unresolved and identified additional deviations. We have invested approximately \$2,000,000 in improved cleaning validation and enhanced process controls and have developed a comprehensive corrective action plan. We have been in regular communications with the FDA and have provided periodic reports of our progress in making corrections. In 2004, the FDA conducted two additional inspections of our Decatur manufacturing facility. The first, concluded on April 7, 2004, identified several deviations for which we provided the FDA with proposed corrective actions. The FDA initiated no enforcement action. Rather, the FDA notified us that another confirmatory inspection would be made to determine whether the deviations identified have been corrected. The confirmatory inspection concluded November 19, 2004. It identified deviations and we have responded to the FDA with corrective actions. We have met with the FDA and provided the status of our corrective actions. The FDA has advised us that the

findings of the latest inspection are under review and a final agency decision on our regulatory status has not been made. The FDA may conclude that the findings of the latest inspection do not represent significant deviations and our voluntary corrective actions are sufficient, in which case, we can expect the FDA to remove the sanctions of the warning letter. If, however, the FDA concludes that the deviations are significant and our voluntary actions have not been adequate, it may initiate enforcement action including the following: (1) maintain the warning letter sanctions or issue a new warning letter with sanctions; (2) seek a court-ordered injunction which may include suspension of some or all operations at our Decatur

Table of Contents

manufacturing facility until compliance is achieved, recall of certain products, potential monetary penalties or other sanctions; or (3) seize our products produced at our Decatur manufacturing facility. Any of these actions could significantly impair our ability to continue to manufacture and distribute products, generate cash from our operations, and may result in a covenant violation under our senior debt.

To date, the noncompliance of our Decatur manufacturing facility has prevented us from developing additional products at Decatur, some of which cannot be developed at our other facility. The inability to fully use our Decatur manufacturing facility has had a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Unless and until we correct the FDA deviations at our Decatur manufacturing facility, it is doubtful that the FDA will approve any applications that may be submitted by us for products to be manufactured in Decatur. This has adversely impacted, and is likely to continue to adversely impact our ability to grow sales. See Risk Factors Our Decatur, Illinois manufacturing facility is the subject of an FDA warning letter.

We are party to legal proceedings and potential claims arising in the ordinary course of our business. The amount, if any, of ultimate liability with respect to such matters cannot be determined. Despite the inherent uncertainties of litigation, we at this time do not believe that such proceedings will have a material adverse impact on our financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

Table of Contents**SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA**

The following table sets forth our selected consolidated financial information as of and for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003, 2002, 2001 and 2000.

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000
OPERATIONS DATA (000 s)					
Revenues	\$ 50,708	\$ 45,491	\$ 51,419	\$ 41,545	\$ 66,221
Gross profit	18,202	12,148	20,537	6,398	28,131
Operating loss ⁽¹⁾	(368)	(6,276)	(3,565)	(21,074)	(1,731)
Interest and other expense ⁽²⁾	(2,650)	(6,220)	(3,148)	(3,852)	(2,283)
Pretax loss	(3,018)	(12,496)	(6,713)	(24,926)	(4,014)
Income tax provision (benefit) ⁽³⁾	8	(171)	6,239	(9,780)	(1,600)
Net loss	\$ (3,026)	\$ (12,325)	\$ (12,952)	\$ (15,146)	\$ (2,414)
Weighted average shares outstanding:					
Basic	20,817	19,745	19,589	19,337	19,030
Diluted	20,817	19,745	19,589	19,337	19,030
PER SHARE DATA					
Equity	\$ 2.27	\$ 0.58	\$ 0.58	\$ 1.23	\$ 1.85
Net loss:					
Basic	(1.80)	(0.62)	(0.66)	(0.78)	(0.13)
Diluted	(1.80)	(0.62)	(0.66)	(0.78)	(0.13)
Price: High	4.30	2.35	4.00	6.44	13.63
Low	2.00	0.45	0.60	1.03	3.50
BALANCE SHEET (000 s)					
Current assets	\$ 22,393	\$ 10,595	\$ 13,239	\$ 28,580	\$ 37,522
Net property plant & equipment	31,893	33,907	35,314	33,518	34,031
Total assets	66,922	59,415	63,538	84,546	91,917
Current liabilities including debt in default ⁽⁴⁾	11,160	11,959	43,803	52,937	15,768
Long-term obligations, less current installments ⁽⁵⁾	8,436	36,065	8,383	7,779	40,918
Shareholders equity	47,326	11,391	11,352	23,830	35,231

- (1) Operating loss includes the following (in thousands): (a) long-lived asset impairment charges of (i) \$2,037 in 2004, (ii) \$2,362 in 2002 and (iii) \$2,132 in 2001, and (b) restructuring charges of \$1,117 in 2001.
- (2) Interest and other expense includes the following (in thousands): (a) loss on Exchange Transaction of \$3,102 in 2003 and (b) dividends and discount accretion related to our Series A Preferred Stock of \$1,064 in 2004 and \$589 in 2003. After the July 2004 shareholder approval relating to our Series A Preferred Stock, such dividends and accretion do not impact net income (loss) but will continue to impact earnings (loss) per share.
- (3) Income tax provision (benefit) includes (in thousands) a \$9,216 charge in 2002 to establish a full valuation allowance against our net deferred income tax assets. Such net assets continued to be fully offset by a valuation allowance.
- (4) Current liabilities include debt in default (in thousands) of \$3,250 as of December 31, 2004 and 2003, and \$35,565 and \$44,800 as of December 21, 2002 and 2001, respectively. The 2002 and 2001 debt was refinanced in 2003 as part of the Exchange Transaction.

- (5) Long-term obligations include (in thousands) \$21,132 of Series A Preferred Stock as of December 31, 2003. Pursuant to the July 2004 shareholder approval relating to our Series A Preferred Stock, these securities were reclassified into shareholders' equity.

Table of Contents

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND
ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and related notes beginning at page F-1. This discussion contains forward-looking statements based upon current expectations that involve risks and uncertainties, such as our plans, objectives, expectations and intentions. Our actual results and the timing of certain events could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of certain factors, including those set forth under Risks Factors, Business and elsewhere in this prospectus. See Forward-Looking Statements and Factors Affecting Future Results.

Results of Operations

We have added key management personnel, including the hiring of a new chief financial officer in 2004, and additional personnel in critical areas. Management has reduced our cost structure, improved our processes and systems and implemented strict controls over capital spending. Management believes these activities will improve our results of operations, cash flow from operations and our future prospects.

Our revenues are derived from sales of diagnostic and therapeutic pharmaceuticals by our ophthalmic segment, from sales of diagnostic and therapeutic pharmaceuticals by our injectable segment, and from contract services revenue.

The following table sets forth the percentage relationships that certain items from our Consolidated Statements of Operations bear to revenues for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002.

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2004	2003	2002
Revenues			
Ophthalmic	59%	57%	58%
Injectable	24	27	25
Contract Services	17	16	17
Total revenues	100	100	100
Gross profit/(loss)			
Ophthalmic	29%	18%	27%
Injectable	6	9	12
Contract Services	1	0	1
Total gross profit	36	27	40
Selling, general and administrative expenses	26	35	37
Amortization and write downs of intangibles	7	3	6
Research and development expenses	4	3	4
Operating loss	(1)	(14)	(7)
Net loss	(6)	(27)	(25)

Comparison of Years Ended December 31, 2004 and 2003

Edgar Filing: AKORN INC - Form POS AM

Consolidated revenues increased 11.5% for the year ended December 31, 2004 compared to the prior year.

Ophthalmic segment revenues increased 14.4%, or \$3,756,000, due to increased sales volume for our existing diagnostic ophthalmic products. Injectable segment revenues increased 1.5%, or \$186,000 for the year, reflecting the higher volumes of Lidocaine Jelly, partially offset by lower sales of our antidote kits. Contract services revenues increased by 17.5%, or \$1,275,000, due to increased shipments of Baxter and Pfizer products.

Unless and until we correct the FDA deviations at our Decatur manufacturing facility, it is doubtful the FDA will approve any applications that may be submitted by us for products to be manufactured in Decatur. This

Table of Contents

has adversely impacted, and is likely to continue to adversely impact our ability to grow sales. See Business Legal Proceedings and Risk Factors Our Decatur, Illinois manufacturing facility is the subject of an FDA warning letter.

The chargeback and rebate expense, a component of net revenues, for the year ended December 31, 2004 increased to \$16,915,000 from \$12,836,000 in 2003, due to a general increase in volume and the increase in the product sales mix of higher chargeback and rebate percentage items.

Consolidated gross margin of \$18,202,000 was 35.9% for 2004 as compared to a gross margin of \$12,148,000, or 26.7% for 2003. The gross profit of our Ophthalmic segment increased due to higher sales levels of diagnostic ophthalmic products. The Injectable segment gross profit decreased slightly due to sales mix of lower margin products. Contract sales segment gross profit was in line with prior year.

Selling, general and administrative, or SG&A, expenses decreased 14.4%, to \$13,300,000 for 2004 from \$15,544,000 for 2003, driven by lower personnel and marketing costs.

Amortization and write-down of intangibles increased by \$1,994,000 due to an impairment charge of \$2,037,000 in 2004 related to product license intangible assets for Biolon, Erythromycin, Cromolyn Sodium, AKWA Tears and Tears Renewed products. The carrying value of the intangible assets for these products was reduced to zero.

Research and development, or R&D, expense increased 27.0% in 2004, to \$1,861,000 from \$1,465,000 for the year ended December 31, 2003, mainly due to R&D expenses related to Akorn-Strides. See Business Overview.

Interest expense increased to \$4,218,000 in 2004 from \$3,157,000 in 2003, a 33.6% increase. This increase is primarily due to an \$863,000 increase in amortization of deferred financing fees combined with an increase of \$475,000 in dividends and accretion on our Series A Preferred Stock, which, prior to a related July 2004 shareholders approval, had been classified as interest expense. The residual difference is mainly due to lower outstanding borrowings in 2004 as a result of using a portion of our August 2004 Series B Preferred Stock issuance to pay down bank debt.

Other income (expense) in 2004 was primarily \$1,562,000 for gains related to the settlement of two disputes which resulted in a lower payout than previously accrued and the sale of our investment in Novadaq at a gain. See Note E Investment in Novadaq Technologies to our annual consolidated financial statements beginning at page F-1 of this prospectus. In 2003, other income (expense) was primarily \$3,102,000 of expense related to costs incurred in the Exchange Transaction. See Note G Financing Arrangements to our annual consolidated financial statements beginning at page F-1 of this prospectus.

We recorded adjustments to our valuation allowance in both 2004 and 2003 that offset the deferred income tax assets recorded in those years. Accordingly, the only income tax expense (benefit) recorded those years were immaterial and related to certain state income taxes.

As a result of the matters described above, net loss for 2004 was \$3,026,000 versus a net loss in 2003 of \$12,325,000, a \$9,299,000 improvement. After consideration of preferred stock dividends and adjustments in 2004 of \$34,436,000 related to specific accounting for our preferred stock (see Note H Preferred Stock to our annual consolidated financial statements beginning at page F-1 of this prospectus), loss per share for 2004, on both a basic and diluted basis, was \$1.80 on weighted average shares outstanding of 20,817,000 compared to a basic and diluted loss per share for 2003 of \$0.62 on weighted average shares outstanding of 19,745,000.

Comparison of Years Ended December 31, 2003 and 2002

Consolidated revenues decreased 11.5% for the year ended December 31, 2003 compared to the prior year.

Table of Contents

Ophthalmic segment revenues decreased 11.9%, or \$3,523,000, partially due to the temporary suspension throughout 2003 of production of Fluress and Fluoracaine due to leaking containers, as well as increased customer purchases of angiography and ointment products in the fourth quarter of 2002, which resulted in surplus customer inventory and lower sales during the first half of 2003. Injectable segment revenues decreased 6.3% or \$822,000 for the year, reflecting the lower volumes of anesthesia and antidote products partially offset by sales of our newly introduced product, Lidocaine Jelly. Contract services revenues decreased by 17.9%, or \$1,583,000, due mainly to customer concerns about the status of the ongoing FDA compliance matters at our Decatur manufacturing facility, as well as the temporary closure of an aseptic production room at that same facility in 2003.

The chargeback and rebate expense, a component of net revenues, for the year ended December 31, 2003 declined to \$12,836,000 from \$15,418,000 in 2002, due to a general decrease in volume and the increase in the product sales mix of lower chargeback and rebate percentage items.

The 2003 consolidated gross margin of \$12,148,000 was 26.7% for 2003 as compared to a gross margin of \$20,537,000, or 39.9% for 2002. The gross profit by each of our segments also decreased due to the decrease in volume across all revenue categories as well as increased costs and reduced capacity associated with the resolution of our current FDA compliance matters.

SG&A expenses decreased 18.1%, to \$15,544,000 from \$18,988,000, for the year ended December 31, 2003 as compared to the same period in 2002. Included in 2002 results was a \$545,000 asset impairment charge related to the abandonment of construction-in-progress projects. Excluding this charge, SG&A decreased by 15.7% mainly due to lower personnel and marketing costs. Also included in SG&A, the provision, net of recoveries, for bad debts was a \$471,000 net recovery in 2003, as actual recoveries and reduced reserve requirements exceeded write-offs and newly identified collectibility concerns. The bad debt expense net of recoveries for 2002 was a net \$55,000 recovery.

Amortization and write down of intangibles for 2003 decreased 56.2% to \$1,415,000 from \$3,228,000 in 2002. The results for 2002 include impairment charges of \$1,559,500 related to a patent dispute settlement with Johns Hopkins University and \$257,000 related to licenses for products, which we recognized, after a thorough product review of historical and projected earnings, may not be sellable at amounts and prices that would support the related intangible asset.

R&D expense decreased 22.3% in 2003, to \$1,465,000 from \$1,886,000 for the year ended December 31, 2002, due to refocusing resources away from R&D activities to resolve issues related to FDA compliance.

Interest and other expense for 2003 was \$6,220,000, a 97.5%, or \$3,070,000 increase compared to the prior year, reflecting a \$3,102,000 loss on the Exchange Transaction disclosed in Note G of the financial statements offset by lower interest rates and a lower debt balance as a result of the Exchange Transaction.

We recorded a valuation allowance of \$4,816,000 for the year ended December 31, 2003, which offset the deferred income tax asset recorded in that period. The net income tax benefit of \$171,000 for 2003 relates to state tax refunds. The net income tax provision of \$6,239,000 for 2002 includes a \$9,216,000 deferred income tax valuation allowance established against deferred income tax assets recorded in 2002 and in prior periods.

We reported a net loss of \$12,325,000 or \$0.62 per weighted average share for the year ended December 31, 2003, versus \$12,952,000 or \$0.66 per weighted average share for the prior year. The decrease in net loss was due primarily to the impact of the deferred income tax valuation allowance established in 2002 against previously recorded income tax assets, as well as reduced SG&A, R&D and interest expenses offset by lower sales, gross profit and the loss on the Exchange Transaction in 2003.

Table of Contents

Financial Condition and Liquidity

Overview

We have experienced losses from operations in 2004 and 2003 of \$368,000 and \$6,276,000, respectively. The net losses for these years were \$3,026,000 and \$12,325,000, respectively.

As of December 31, 2004, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$4,110,000. Our net working capital at December 31, 2004 was \$11,233,000 versus a net working capital deficiency of \$1,364,000 at December 31, 2003, resulting primarily from the \$4,956,000 lower receivables level at December 31, 2003 in line with lower fourth quarter 2003 sales, a \$2,614,000 lower inventory level in 2003 as we continued production in December 2004 to meet order backlogs, and a \$3,892,000 increase in cash at December 31, 2004 generated by the residual proceeds from our Series B Preferred Stock after paying off our debt.

During the year ended December 31, 2004, we used \$3,461,000 in cash from operations which included an advance of \$1,250,000 to our joint venture partner (Strides Arcolab Limited) to develop ANDAs on behalf of Akorn-Strides, the joint venture company. Investing activities required \$838,000 in cash. Financing activities provided \$8,191,000 in cash primarily from proceeds from our Series B Preferred Stock issuance, net of such proceeds which were used to retire \$7,664,000 in debt.

During the year ended December 31, 2003, we used \$1,932,000 in cash from operations, as the net loss for the year was partially offset by reductions in inventory. Investing activities, which include the purchase of equipment, required \$1,743,000 in cash and included \$1,504,000 related to the lyophilized (freeze-dried) pharmaceuticals manufacturing line expansion. Financing activities provided \$3,529,000 in cash primarily from borrowings on our line of credit. The balance on our line of credit with our primary lender was \$1,500,000 at December 31, 2003.

In connection with the Exchange Transaction, on October 7, 2003, a group of investors purchased all of our then outstanding senior bank debt from The Northern Trust Company, a balance of \$37,731,000, at a discount and exchanged such debt with us for (1) 257,172 shares of our Series A Preferred Stock, (2) our 2003 Subordinated Notes in the aggregate principal amount of approximately \$2,767,000, (3) Series A Warrants to purchase an aggregate of 8,572,400 shares of our common stock with an exercise price of \$1.00 per share, and (4) \$5,473,862 in cash from the proceeds of the term loan under the New Credit Facility described in the following paragraph. We issued the 2003 Subordinated Notes and cash to (a) the Kapoor Trust, the sole trustee and sole beneficiary of which is Dr. John N. Kapoor, our chairman of our board of directors and the holder of a significant position in our stock, (b) Arjun C. Waney, one of our directors and the holder of a significant position in our stock, and (c) Argent Fund Management Ltd., for which Mr. Waney serves as chairman and managing director and 52% of which is owned by Mr. Waney. We also issued our Note Warrants to the holders of the 2003 Subordinated Notes to purchase an aggregate of 276,714 shares of common stock with an exercise price of \$1.10 per share. We also paid a portion of the legal fees incurred by the investors in connection with the Exchange Transaction.

Simultaneously with the consummation of the Exchange Transaction, we entered into the New Credit Facility with LaSalle Bank which provided us with \$7,000,000 in term loans and a revolving line of credit of up to \$5,000,000 to provide for working capital needs secured by substantially all of our assets. Our obligations under the New Credit Facility were guaranteed by Dr. Kapoor and the Kapoor Trust, and irrevocable standby letters of credit were posted by Dr. Kapoor and Mr. Waney. In exchange for the guaranty and the irrevocable standby letters of credit, we issued the Guaranty Warrants to purchase 880,000 and 80,000 shares of common stock to the Kapoor Trust and Mr. Waney, respectively, with an exercise price of \$1.10 per share, and agreed to issue to each of them, on each anniversary of the date of the consummation of the Exchange Transaction, warrants to purchase an additional number of shares of common stock equal to 0.08 multiplied by the principal dollar amount of the our indebtedness then guaranteed by

them under the New Credit Facility. On August 26, 2004, in connection with the pay off of our outstanding debt under the New Credit Facility, we and LaSalle Bank amended the New Credit Facility to release the Dr. Kapoor and the Kapoor Trust guaranty effective as of such date provided that if prior to November 24, 2004 there is then pending a petition in bankruptcy court against us or our subsidiary and there is then existing a claim that all or any portion of the payoff amount is a fraudulent transfer or a preferential payment, or should otherwise be set aside, then the guaranty shall be reinstated. See Debt and Equity Financing. In addition, on August 27,

Table of Contents

2004, LaSalle Bank cancelled each of the irrevocable standby letters of credit posted by Dr. Kapoor and Mr. Waney. As a result of the release of the guaranty and the cancellation of the irrevocable standby letters of credit, none of the guarantors are entitled to additional warrants.

The primary impact of the Exchange Transaction and New Credit Facility on our liquidity and capital resources was as follows:

The then-existing default on our senior bank debt with Northern Trust was eliminated, as the associated debt was retired;

The then-existing defaults on our subordinated loans from NeoPharm and the Kapoor Trust were waived;

The total amount of our senior bank debt was reduced from \$37,731,000 as of September 30, 2003 to \$7,000,000 as of the closing of those transactions;

The interest rate on our senior bank debt was reduced from prime plus 3.0% to prime plus 1.75% for the new term loans and prime plus 1.50% for the new revolving line of credit;

We obtained a revolving line of credit of up to \$5,000,000 and an additional \$1,000,000 pursuant to one of the term loans under the New Credit Facility to meet working capital needs and fund future operations;

We issued additional subordinated debt with an aggregate principal amount of approximately \$2,767,000, which accrues interest at a rate of prime plus 1.75% per annum;

We issued our Series A Preferred Stock with an aggregate initial stated value of \$25,717,200, which accrues dividends at a rate of 6.0% per annum; and

The investors that acquired our Series A Preferred Stock and Series A Warrant, as of the closing, had the right to acquire approximately 44,000,000 shares of our common stock, or more than 220% of the outstanding shares of common stock prior to the closing.

On August 23, 2004, we completed a private placement to certain investors of 141,000 shares of our Series B Preferred Stock at a price of \$100 per share, convertible into our common stock at a price of \$2.70 per share, along with Series B Warrants to purchase 1,566,668 additional shares of our common stock exercisable until August 23, 2009, with an exercise price of \$3.50 per share. The net proceeds to us after payment of investment banker fees and expenses and other transaction costs of approximately \$1,056,000 were approximately \$13,044,000.

A portion of the net proceeds of the private placement paid off the outstanding debt from LaSalle Bank. The remainder of the net proceeds is being used for working capital and general corporate purposes. Among other things, the proceeds will pay for the validation testing of our new lyophilization facility. Validation and approval of the lyophilization facility by the FDA are anticipated in late 2005, and manufacturing capabilities for lyophilized products are projected to be in place by mid-2006.

The shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of the Series B Preferred Stock and exercise of the Series B Warrants are subject to certain registration rights as set forth in the subscription agreements with the holders of the Series B Preferred Stock and Series B Warrants. Under the subscription agreements, we agreed to file a registration statement on Form S-1 with the SEC by September 22, 2004, for purposes of registering the shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of Series B Preferred Stock and exercise of the Series B Warrants (collectively, the Registrable Securities). This prospectus is part of the registration statement that has been filed to register the Registrable Securities pursuant to the requirements of the subscription agreements. We agreed to maintain the

effectiveness of the registration statement until the earlier of: (1) the holders of Registrable Securities having completed the distribution of the Registrable Securities described in the registration statement, or (2) with respect to any holder of Registrable Securities, the Registration Period, which is defined as such time as all

Table of Contents

Registrable Securities then held by any holder may be sold in compliance with Rule 144 under the Securities Act, within any three-month period.

The Exchange Transaction, coupled with the private placement of our Series A and Series B Preferred Stock, substantially reduced our overall debt from \$45,755,000 as of September 30, 2003 to \$10,380,000 as of December 31, 2004, and positioned us to improve our operating results.

As of December 31, 2004, we had approximately \$4,110,000 in cash and approximately \$5,000,000 of undrawn availability under the New Credit Facility with LaSalle Bank. We believe that our realigned balance sheet, access to our line of credit and cash flows from operations will be sufficient to operate our business for the next twelve months. However, although we were profitable for the six months ended December 31, 2004, we incurred operating losses for the last two years and first six months of 2004.

If our cash flow from operations and current line of credit are not sufficient to fund the operation of our business, we may be required to seek additional financing. Such additional financing may not be available when needed or on terms favorable to us and our shareholders. Any such additional financing, if obtained, will likely require the granting of rights, preferences or privileges senior to those of our common stock and result in additional dilution of the existing ownership interests of the holders of our common stock.

Debt and Equity Financing

New Credit Facility

As described above, we entered into the New Credit Facility with LaSalle Bank in 2003. The New Credit Facility consists of a \$5,500,000 term loan and a \$1,500,000 term loan, as well as a revolving line of credit of up to \$5,000,000 secured by substantially all of our assets. The New Credit Facility matures on October 7, 2005. Each of the term loans bore interest at prime plus 1.75% and required principal payments of \$195,000 per month commencing October 31, 2003. The revolving line of credit bears interest at prime plus 1.50%. Each of the term loans and the revolving line of credit was fully paid off in conjunction with the issuance of our Series B

Preferred Stock in August 2004. As of March 31, 2005, the revolving line of credit had a zero balance.

Availability under the revolving line of credit is determined by the sum of (1) 80% of eligible accounts receivable, (2) 30% of raw material, finished goods and component inventory excluding packaging items, not to exceed \$2,500,000, and (3) the difference between 90% of the forced liquidation value of machinery and equipment (\$4,092,000) and the sum of \$1,750,000 and the outstanding balance under the \$1,500,000 term loan. The New Credit Facility contains certain restrictive covenants including but not limited to certain financial covenants such as EDITDA to interest expense and Senior Debt to EBITDA ratios. If we are not in compliance with the covenants of the New Credit Facility, LaSalle Bank has the right to declare an event of default and all of the outstanding balances owed under the New Credit Facility would become immediately due and payable. The New Credit Facility also contains subjective covenants providing that we would be in default if, in the judgment of the lenders, there is a material adverse change in our financial condition. We negotiated an amendment to the New Credit Facility effective December 31, 2003 that clarified certain covenant computations and waived certain technical violations. Because the New Credit Facility also requires us to maintain our deposit accounts with LaSalle Bank, the existence of these subjective covenants, pursuant to EITF Abstract No. 95-22, require that we classify outstanding borrowings under the Revolving line of credit as a current liability (zero as of March 31, 2005).

On August 13, 2004, we entered into the First Amendment to the New Credit Facility, which, among other things, amended certain of our financial covenants and LaSalle Bank agreed to waive certain events of default arising out of

our noncompliance with certain of our obligations. Certain financial conditions in the Kapoor Trust guaranty were also amended as a result of this amendment.

On August 26, 2004, we entered into the Second Amendment to the New Credit Facility, which released the Kapoor Trust guaranty and eliminated certain event of default provisions that were related to the Kapoor Trust guaranty. In addition, on August 27, 2004, LaSalle Bank cancelled each of the irrevocable standby letters of credit posted by Dr. Kapoor and Mr. Waney.

Table of Contents

On October 8, 2004, we entered into the Third Amendment to the New Credit Facility, which waived events of default associated with the issuance of the AEG Warrants and the NeoPharm Note default (discussed below). In addition, this amendment amended definitions of the Computation Period and EBITDA to Interest Expense Ratio for covenant calculations.

Subordinated Debt

In 2001, we entered into a Convertible Bridge Loan and Warrant Agreement with the Kapoor Trust (the Convertible Note Agreement), which was subsequently amended in connection with the loan we obtained from NeoPharm described below. Under the terms of the Convertible Note Agreement, the Kapoor Trust agreed to provide us two separate promissory notes in the amounts of \$3,000,000, the Tranche A Note, which was received on July 13, 2001, and \$2,000,000, the Tranche B Note, which was received on August 16, 2001. Each of the Tranche A Note and Tranche B Note, which are subordinate to the New Credit Facility, bear interest at prime plus 3% and are due December 20, 2006. Interest payments are currently prohibited under the terms of a subordination arrangement with LaSalle Bank. The Tranche A Note and Tranche B Note allow for conversion of the debt plus interest into shares of our common stock at a price of \$2.28 and \$1.80 per share of common stock, respectively. As part of the consideration provided to the Kapoor Trust for the loans, we issued the Kapoor Trust the Tranche A Warrant to purchase 1,000,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$2.85 per share, and the Tranche B Warrant to purchase 667,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$2.25 per share, each of which are exercisable at any time on or before December 20, 2006.

In December 2001, we entered into a \$3,250,000 five-year loan with NeoPharm to fund our efforts to complete our lyophilization facility located in Decatur. The NeoPharm Note was executed in conjunction with a Processing Agreement that provides NeoPharm with the option of securing at least 15% of the capacity of our lyophilization facility each year. Dr. Kapoor, the chairman of our board of directors, is also a director of NeoPharm and holds a substantial stock position in NeoPharm, as well as in our stock. In September 30, 2003, we defaulted under the NeoPharm Note as a result of our failure to remove all FDA warning letter sanctions related to our Decatur manufacturing facility by June 30, 2003. We also defaulted under the Convertible Note Agreement as a result of a cross-default to the NeoPharm Note.

In connection with the Exchange Transaction, the Kapoor Trust and NeoPharm waived all existing defaults under their respective agreements and entered into amended agreements dated October 7, 2003. As a result of these amendments, interest on the NeoPharm Note accrues at 1.75% above LaSalle Bank's prime rate (7.5% as of March 31, 2005). Interest payments on each of Tranche A Note, Tranche B Note and the NeoPharm Note are currently prohibited under the terms of a subordination arrangement with LaSalle Bank. The amended NeoPharm Note also requires us to make quarterly payments of \$150,000 beginning on the last day of the calendar quarter during which all indebtedness under the New Credit Facility has been paid.

All remaining amounts owed under the amended NeoPharm Note are payable at maturity on December 20, 2006. The amendment we entered into with Kapoor Trust did not change the interest rate or the maturity date of either the Tranche A Note or the Tranche B Note.

On October 6, 2004, we received a notice from NeoPharm indicating that an event of default had occurred on the outstanding NeoPharm Note. The notice stated that an event of default was triggered when a processing agreement between NeoPharm and us which was contractually obligated to go into effect on or before October 1, 2004, failed to occur. The processing agreement failed to become effective, in part, because of an inability to remove the sanctions imposed by the FDA on our Decatur manufacturing facility. The event of default under the NeoPharm Note also triggered a cross-default provision under the Convertible Note Agreement and the New Credit Facility. The Kapoor Trust has waived the cross-default. On October 8, 2004, we entered into the Third Amendment to the New Credit

Facility, which, among other things, amended certain of the financial covenants and LaSalle Bank agreed to waive certain events of default arising out of noncompliance with certain obligations, including noncompliance arising from the event of default under the NeoPharm Note. Pursuant to our subordination agreement with LaSalle Bank, we may not make any payments to NeoPharm and NeoPharm may not enforce any remedies against us under the NeoPharm Note until the senior debt is paid in full and the commitment for the senior debt is terminated. Consequently, NeoPharm cannot take any actions that would have an adverse financial impact to

Table of Contents

us. However, because of this default, we recorded the \$3,250,000 of debt and \$362,000 of accrued interest as current obligations as of December 31, 2004. We are currently trying to resolve this matter with NeoPharm.

As part of the Exchange Transaction, we issued the 2003 Subordinated Notes to the Kapoor Trust, Mr. Waney and Argent Fund Management, Ltd. The 2003 Subordinated Notes mature on April 7, 2006 and bear interest at prime plus 1.75%, but interest payments are currently prohibited under the terms of our subordination agreement with LaSalle Bank. The 2003 Subordinated Notes are subordinate to the New Credit Facility and the NeoPharm Note but senior to the Tranche A Note and Tranche B Note. We also issued to the holders of the 2003 Subordinated Notes, the Note Warrants to purchase an aggregate of 276,714 shares of our common stock with an exercise price of \$1.10 per share.

Other Indebtedness

In June 1998, we entered into a \$3,000,000 mortgage agreement with Standard Mortgage Investors, LLC of which there were outstanding borrowings of \$1,307,000 and \$1,623,000 at December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively. The principal balance is payable over 10 years, with the final payment due in June 2008. The mortgage note bears an interest rate of 7.375% and is secured by the real property located in Decatur, Illinois.

The fair value of the debt obligations approximated the recorded value as of December 31, 2004.

Series A Preferred Stock and Series A Warrants

The Series A Preferred Stock accrues dividends at a rate of 6.0% per annum, which rate is fully cumulative, accrues daily and compounds quarterly. While the dividends could be paid in cash at our option, such dividends are currently being deferred and are convertible into our common stock. Such deferred dividends were \$1,961,000 through December 31, 2004, and \$2,352,000 through March 31, 2005. All shares of Series A Preferred Stock have liquidation rights in preference over junior securities, including our common stock, and have certain anti-dilution protections. The Series A Preferred Stock and unpaid dividends are convertible at any time into a number of shares of common stock equal to the quotient obtained by dividing (x) \$100 per share plus any accrued and unpaid dividends on that share by (y) \$0.75, as such numbers may be adjusted from time to time pursuant to the terms of our restated articles of incorporation. All shares of our Series A Preferred Stock convert to shares of our common stock on the earlier to occur of (i) October 8, 2006 and (ii) the date on which the closing price per share of our common stock for at least 20 consecutive trading days immediately preceding such date exceeds \$4.00 per share. Until our shareholders approved certain provisions regarding our Series A Preferred Stock, which occurred at our annual meeting of shareholders on July 8, 2004, our Series A Preferred Stock was also redeemable in October 2011.

The initial amount recorded for the Series A Preferred Stock was \$5,174,000 below its stated value of \$100 per share. Until July 2004, when our shareholders approved the increase in our authorized shares of our common stock, we had been accreting this difference over the time period from issuance to the mandatory redemption date in October 2011. Accretion was \$267,000 in 2004 and \$220,000 in 2003.

Pursuant to FASB No. 150 Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of Both Liabilities and Equity, as amended, the Series A Preferred Stock was originally reflected as a liability because of its mandatory redemption feature. That characterization remained through July 8, 2004 and as such, accretion as described above and dividends have been reflected as interest expense in the statement of operations through July 8, 2004. As a result of our shareholders approval of the increase in our authorized shares of our common stock on July 8, 2004, the carrying value of our Series A Preferred Stock was reclassified into shareholders equity and future accretion and dividends will be reflected as adjustments to accumulated deficit and are shown in our financial statements as impacting income (loss) available to the holders of our common stock. Additionally, and in accordance with EITF Abstract No. 00-27, we also recorded in July 2004 the value of the conversion option imbedded at issuance in each

share of Series A Preferred Stock, subject to limitations described in the EITF. That value, approximately \$20,874,000, reduced the carrying value of the Series A Preferred Stock to near zero with the offsetting excess to our common stock. The carrying value of the Series A Preferred Stock was then adjusted to its full aggregated stated value, plus unpaid dividends (approximately \$26,552,000) with a charge directly to accumulated deficit. That charge did not impact net earnings for the third quarter of 2004, but substantially reduced earnings available to the holders of our common stock and generated a loss per share for that period.

Table of Contents

As of April 30, 2005, 15,000 shares of our Series A Preferred Stock have been converted on a cashless basis for 2,141,842 shares of our common stock.

The Series A Warrants issued in connection with the Exchange Transaction are exercisable at any time on or before October 7, 2006. The Series A Warrants outstanding as of March 31, 2005 are exercisable in the aggregate for 5,986,400 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$1.00 per share. Assuming all of the Series A Warrants are exercised with cash at the current exercise price, we would receive \$5,986,400 upon such exercise. The exercise price of the Series A Warrants is adjustable from time to time pursuant to the anti-dilution provisions. As permitted under the provisions of the Series A Warrants, as of April 30, 2005, 550,000 Series A Warrants were exercised on a cashless basis for 397,179 shares of our common stock, and 2,036,000 Series A Warrants were exercised with cash for 2,036,000 shares of our common stock.

Series B Preferred Stock and Series B Warrants

On August 23, 2004, we completed a private placement of 141,000 shares of our Series B Preferred Stock at a price of \$100.00 per share, convertible into common stock at a price of \$2.70 per share, along with Series B Warrants to purchase 1,566,668 additional shares of common stock exercisable on or before August 23, 2009, with an exercise price of \$3.50 per share. Assuming all of the Series B Warrants are exercised with cash at the current exercise price, we would receive \$5,483,335 upon such exercise. The conversion price of the Series B Preferred Stock is adjustable from time to time pursuant to the anti-dilution provisions. The exercise price per share of our common stock of the Series B Warrants is adjustable from time to time pursuant to the anti-dilution provisions set forth in the applicable warrant agreement. The net proceeds to us after payment of investment banker fees and expenses and other transaction costs of approximately \$1,056,000 were approximately \$13,044,000. A portion of these proceeds were used to pay off the outstanding debt from LaSalle Bank, including the \$3,000,000 term loan and the \$1,500,000 term loan, and reducing the revolving credit line to zero. The early pay down and resulting elimination of certain personal guarantees of that debt, resulted in the write-off of \$245,000 of unamortized deferred financing fees. The remaining proceeds will be used for working capital and other general corporate purposes, including validation testing of our lyophilization facility. In accounting for the issuance of the Series B Preferred Stock and Series B Warrants, we recorded additional charges directly to accumulated deficit of \$5,998,000. That charge did not impact net earnings for the third quarter of 2004, but substantially reduced earnings available to common stockholders and earnings per share for that period.

Our Series B Preferred Stock accrues dividends at a rate of 6.0% per annum, which rate is fully cumulative, accrues daily and compounds quarterly. While the dividends could be paid in cash at our option, such dividends are currently being deferred and added to the Series B Preferred Stock balance. Each share of our Series B Preferred Stock, and accrued and unpaid dividends with respect to each such share, is convertible by the holder thereof at any time into a number of shares of our common stock equal to the quotient obtained by dividing (x) \$100 plus any accrued but unpaid dividends on such share by (y) \$2.70, as such numerator and denominator may be adjusted from time to time pursuant to the anti-dilution provisions of our restated articles of incorporation. We have the option of converting all shares of Series B Preferred Stock into shares of our common stock on any date after August 23, 2005 as to which the closing price per share of the common stock for at least 20 consecutive trading days immediately preceding such date exceeds \$5.00 per share.

As of April 30, 2005, 17,500 shares of our Series B Preferred Stock have been converted on a cashless basis for 670,345 shares of our common stock.

AEG Warrants

On August 31, 2004, we issued the AEG Warrants to AEG, which are exercisable for 1,250,000 shares of our common stock. The AEG Warrants have an exercise price of \$0.75 per share of our common stock and are exercisable

at any time on or before August 31, 2008, after which time they expire. Assuming all of the AEG Warrants are exercised with cash at the current exercise price, we would receive \$937,500 upon such exercise. The exercise price of the AEG Warrants is adjustable from time to time pursuant to applicable anti-dilution provisions set forth in the governing stock purchase warrant. As of April 30, 2005, AEG has exercised AEG Warrants with cash to purchase 50,000 shares of our common stock.

Table of Contents

Guaranty Warrants

Simultaneously with the consummation of the Exchange Transaction, we entered into the New Credit Facility with LaSalle Bank which provided us with \$7,000,000 in term loans and a revolving line of credit of up to \$5,000,000 to provide for working capital needs, secured by substantially all of our assets. Our obligations under the New Credit Facility were guaranteed by Dr. Kapoor and the Kapoor Trust, and Mr. Waney. In exchange for each guaranty, we issued Guaranty Warrants to purchase 880,000 and 80,000 shares of common stock to the Kapoor Trust and Mr. Waney, respectively, at an exercise price of \$1.10 per share. Assuming all of the Guaranty Warrants are exercised with cash at the current exercise price, we would receive \$1,056,000 upon such exercise. The exercise price of the Guaranty Warrants is adjustable from time to time pursuant to applicable anti-dilution provisions.

Other Matters

FDA Compliance Matters

As described in more detail in Business Legal Proceedings, we continue to be subject to potential claims by the FDA. While we are cooperating with the FDA and seeking to resolve our ongoing compliance matters, an unfavorable outcome may have a material impact on our operations and our financial condition, results of operations and/or cash flows and may constitute a covenant violation under the New Credit Facility, any or all of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Facility Expansion

We are in the process of completing an expansion of our Decatur manufacturing facility to add capacity to provide lyophilization manufacturing services, a manufacturing capability we currently do not have. Subject to, among other things, our ability to generate operating cash flow or to obtain new financing for future operations, validation and approval of the lyophilization facility by the FDA is anticipated in late 2005. Manufacturing capabilities for lyophilized products are projected to be in place by mid-2006.

As of December 31, 2004, we had spent approximately \$18,513,000 on the lyophilization expansion and anticipate the need to spend approximately \$2,000,000 of additional funds (excluding capitalized interest) to complete the expansion. The majority of the additional spending will be focused on validation testing of the lyophilization facility as the major capital equipment items are currently in place. To this end, we expect to use a portion of the proceeds we obtained from the sale of our Series B Preferred Stock to help fund validation efforts for the lyophilization facility and to fund the development of an internal ANDA lyophilized product pipeline.

Strategic Business Alliances

On April 21, 2004, we announced the signing of a memo of understanding with Strides Arcolab Limited, a pharmaceutical manufacturer based in India. As a result of negotiations following the execution of the memo of understanding, on September 22, 2004, we entered into agreements with Strides for the development, manufacturing and marketing of grandfathered products, patent-challenging products and ANDA products for the United States hospital and retail markets.

The joint venture operates in the form of a Delaware limited liability company, Akorn-Strides, LLC, which is equally owned by us and Strides, and as to which we each have equal management representation. Strides will be responsible for developing, manufacturing and supplying products under an OEM Agreement between it and Akorn-Strides. We will be responsible for sales and marketing of the products under an exclusive Sales and Marketing Agreement with Akorn-Strides. Under the terms of our agreement, each of us are to contribute \$1,250,000 in capital to

be used to finance the preparation of ANDAs by Strides. As of December 31, 2004, we had funded our \$1,250,000 capital contribution to Akorn-Strides. In February 2005, we loaned an additional \$1,250,000 to Akorn-Strides that was advanced to Strides to finance its capital contribution.

Under the OEM Agreement, the respective contributions were advanced to Strides to finance the preparation, development and filing with the FDA of ANDAs for generic drugs based on a mutually agreed

Table of Contents

development schedule. Akorn-Strides will have exclusive rights to FDA approved generic drugs within the United States hospital, medical clinic, physician group and other wholesale drug markets. If within a mutually agreed time period, Strides' manufacturing facilities in India have not received a satisfactory current cGMP inspection by the FDA, which remains current, and twelve ANDAs for products developed by Strides at its manufacturing facilities in India have not been submitted to the FDA, among other things, we will become the sole owner of Akorn-Strides and Akorn-Strides will be entitled to draw on a \$1,250,000 letter of credit that was established by Strides from an Indian bank that is confirmed by a United States bank. On the other hand, if these conditions are met, and if both managers agree, Strides and we may make additional equivalent capital contributions to finance subsequent ANDA preparation costs under a similar arrangement to our initial capital contributions, including an additional loan by us to Akorn-Strides to finance Strides' capital contribution. Strides shall repay such advances by crediting Akorn-Strides an amount equal to 35% of all payments due for products provided under the OEM Agreement.

Under the Sales and Marketing Agreement we will market, advertise and fulfill FDA approved generic drugs in the United States supplied to Akorn-Strides by Strides under the OEM Agreement. We will be required to achieve, with respect to each generic drug, a minimum market share in the United States in order to preserve our exclusive marketing rights. We will be paid a commission on the sales of these drugs.

Pursuant to the requirements of FIN 46(R), because we funded Strides' capital contribution (even though that funding is supported by a letter of credit ultimately in our favor), we are required to consolidate Akorn-Strides until such time as our loan is collected. Those collections are expected to occur when Akorn-Strides begins to sell the products that Strides is currently contracted to develop into ANDAs. Accordingly, in our consolidated financial statements, our 2004 contribution and 2005 loan to Akorn-Strides is eliminated. The advance of \$2,500,000 from Akorn-Strides to Strides is reflected as an other current asset and is being amortized over the mutually agreed upon development schedule period. Amortization expense for 2004 and the first quarter of 2005 was \$375,000 and \$625,000, respectively. We have not and will not record a minority interest receivable to recognize Strides' 50% portion of Akorn-Strides' losses until such time as Strides has contributed capital at risk. Because of this, we have recorded 100% of Akorn-Strides' losses in our 2004 results of operations.

On July 21, 2004, we and FDC Limited, India's second largest manufacturer and marketer of ophthalmic pharmaceutical products, announced the signing of a purchase and supply agreement, which would provide us with an ophthalmic finished dosage form product pipeline for exclusive use in the United States and Canada. The ophthalmic products will be developed and manufactured for us by FDC. Under the agreement, we will be responsible for FDA regulatory submissions and marketing of the products directly in the United States. Innova, our Canadian distributor for ophthalmic products, will be responsible for the direct marketing of these products in Canada. FDC exports active pharmaceutical ingredients to over 45 countries, including the United States and Canada, and holds drug master files and registration in both countries. Products will be manufactured in India, and FDC intends to submit approximately four to six ANDAs in the first year of the agreement.

On August 31, 2004, we entered into an option agreement with The University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center to license a patent entitled "M-EDTA Pharmaceutical Preparations of Uses Thereof" and related technology rights invented by Issam I. Raad and Robert Sheretz. The option agreement grants us an option to evaluate the patent and to determine an appropriate regulatory pathway based on discussion with the FDA, which we exercised in February 2005. The patent is targeted at the prevention of intravascular catheter-related infections and occlusions. By exercising our right to license the patent we paid an initial license fee of \$75,000 and are required to fund clinicals and pay a milestone license fee upon FDA approval and royalties for the life of the patent.

On October 15, 2004, we entered into an agreement with Serum Institute of India, Ltd. the world's fifth largest vaccine manufacturer, in an exclusive drug development and distribution agreement for oncology and other injectable drug products for the United States and Canada. Under the terms of the five-year agreement, Serum will develop and

manufacture certain ANDAs and we will be responsible for all regulatory submissions. We will also own the ANDAs and will buy the products from Serum under a negotiated transfer price arrangement, under which we must make a minimum purchase of \$1,000,000 per product in the first year in order to maintain exclusivity. Additionally, we will market and sell the products in the United States and Canada under our label.

On November 16, 2004, we entered into an Exclusive License and Supply Agreement with Hameln Pharmaceuticals for two Orphan Drug NDAs: Calcium-DTPA and Zinc-DTPA. The two drugs were approved on

Table of Contents

August 11, 2004, by the FDA, and are indicated as antidotes for the treatment of radioactive poisoning—specifically internal contamination with plutonium, americium, or curium. We received a shipment of these drugs from Hameln in December 2004 and recognized approximately \$975,000 in revenue from selling the drugs in December 2004. Under the terms of the License and Supply Agreement, we paid a one-time license fee of 1,550,000 Euros (USD\$2,095,000) for an exclusive license for five years, which may be extended by the parties for successive two-year periods. Orphan drug exclusivity status is granted by the FDA for a period of seven years from the date of approval of the NDA. We will be responsible for marketing and distributing both drugs in the United States and Canada and the two companies will share revenues 50:50, subject to adjustments. Hameln will be responsible for the manufacturing of both drugs for us. We will be responsible for the payment of any annual FDA establishment fees and for the cost of any post-approval studies.

On January 10, 2005, we and Apotex Corporation, the largest Canadian-owned pharmaceutical manufacturer, entered into an agreement for the purchase, supply, and marketing of select ophthalmic pharmaceutical products in the United States health care market. Under the terms of the agreement, Apotex will manufacture ophthalmic products in finished dosage forms for us, and we will market these products under our label. The agreement includes ophthalmic products currently available from Apotex, as well as select products in Apotex's ophthalmic research and development pipeline.

Contractual Obligations

The following table details our future contractual obligations as of December 31, 2004. Our ability to satisfy these obligations is primarily dependent upon our ability to generate sufficient working capital or to obtain additional financing.

Description	Total	Payment Due by Period			More than 5 years
		Less than 1 year	1-3 years	3-5 years	
		(In thousands)			
Long-term debt, including current installments	\$ 12,324	\$ 3,590	\$ 8,526	\$ 208	\$
Operating leases	5,629	1,566	3,134	929	
Other long-term liabilities	2,008	362	1,646		
Total	\$ 19,961	\$ 5,518	\$ 13,306	\$ 1,137	\$ 0

Selected Quarterly Financial Data

Year Ended December 31, 2004:	Net Income (Loss)				Per Share Diluted
	Revenues	Gross Profit	Amount	Per Share Basic	
		(In thousand, except per share amounts)			
1st Quarter	\$ 11,660	\$ 4,018	\$ (1,217)	\$ (0.06)	\$ (0.06)
2nd Quarter	11,076	3,319	(3,583)	(0.18)	(0.18)
3rd Quarter	15,388	6,774	2,587	(1.49)	(1.49)

Edgar Filing: AKORN INC - Form POS AM

4th Quarter	12,584	4,091	(813)	(0.09)	(0.09)
Total (a)	\$ 50,708	\$ 18,202	\$ (3,026)	\$ (1.80)	\$ (1.80)
Year Ended December 31, 2003:					
1st Quarter	\$ 12,782	\$ 5,844	\$ 182	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.01
2nd Quarter	8,840	535	(4,197)	(0.21)	(0.21)
3rd Quarter	14,349	5,075	(343)	(0.02)	(0.02)
4th Quarter	9,520	694	(7,967)	(0.40)	(0.40)
Total	\$ 45,491	\$ 12,148	\$ (12,325)	\$ (0.62)	\$ (0.62)

(a) Per share totals reflect rounding in the calculation

Table of Contents

Critical Accounting Policies

Revenue Recognition

We recognize product sales for our ophthalmic and injectable business segments upon the shipment of goods. The contract services segment, which produces products for third party customers, based upon their specification, at a pre-determined price, also recognizes sales upon the shipment of goods or upon delivery of the product as appropriate. Revenue is recognized when all of our obligations have been fulfilled and collection of the related receivable is probable. Provision for estimated doubtful accounts, chargebacks, rebates, discounts and product returns is made at the time of sale and is analyzed and adjusted, if necessary, at each balance sheet date.

Allowance for Chargebacks and Rebates

We enter contractual agreements with certain third parties such as hospitals and group-purchasing organizations to sell certain products at predetermined prices. The parties have elected to have these contracts administered through wholesalers that buy the product from us. When a wholesaler sells products to one of the third parties that are subject to a contractual price agreement, the difference between the price paid to us by the wholesaler and the price under contract is charged back to us by the wholesaler. We track sales and submitted chargebacks by product number for each wholesaler. Utilizing this information, we estimate a chargeback percentage for each product. We reduce gross sales and increase the chargeback allowance by the estimated chargeback amount for each product sold to a wholesaler. We reduce the chargeback allowance when we process a request for a chargeback from a wholesaler. Actual chargebacks processed can vary materially from period to period.

We obtain certain wholesaler inventory reports to aid in analyzing the reasonableness of the chargeback allowance. We assess the reasonableness of our chargeback allowance by applying the product chargeback percentage based on historical activity to the quantities of inventory on hand per the wholesaler inventory reports and an estimate of in-transit inventory that is not reported on the wholesaler inventory reports at the end of the period. In the first quarter of 2004, we obtained more precise information from the wholesalers to estimate the amount of in-transit inventory, which lowered our estimate of in-transit inventory. This resulted in us recognizing approximately \$500,000 less in chargeback expense in the first quarter of 2004. We intend to use this new information on a going forward basis as a more accurate estimate of in-transit inventory. Additionally, in the second quarter of 2004, we, in accordance with our policy, reduced our estimate of the percentage amount of wholesaler inventory that will ultimately be sold to a third party that is subject to a contractual price agreement. This reduction was made in reaction to a six-quarter trend of such sales being below our previous estimates, thereby confirming that the reduced percentage was other than temporary. This estimate change resulted in approximately \$480,000 less in chargeback expense in the second quarter of 2004. We intend to use this revised estimate on a going forward basis until historical trends indicate that additional revisions should be made.

Similarly, we maintain an allowance for rebates related to contract and other programs with certain customers. Rebate percentages vary by product and by volume purchased by each eligible customer. We track sales by product number for each eligible customer and then apply the applicable rebate percentage, using both historical trends and actual experience to estimate our rebate allowance. We reduce gross sales and increase the rebate allowance by the estimated rebate amount when we sell our products to our rebate-eligible customers. We reduce the rebate allowance when we process a customer request for a rebate. At each balance sheet date, we analyze the allowance for rebates against actual rebates processed and make necessary adjustments as appropriate. Actual rebates processed can vary materially from period to period.

The recorded allowances reflect our current estimate of the future chargeback and rebate liability to be paid or credited to our wholesaler and other customers under the various contracts and programs. For the years ended

Edgar Filing: AKORN INC - Form POS AM

December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, we recorded chargeback and rebate expense of \$16,915,000, \$12,836,000, and \$15,418,000, respectively. The allowance for chargebacks and rebates was \$5,406,000 and \$4,804,000 as of December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Table of Contents

Allowance for Product Returns

Certain of our products are sold with the customer having the right to return the product within specified periods and guidelines for a variety of reasons, including but not limited to pending expiration dates. Provisions are made at the time of sale based upon tracked historical experience, by customer in some cases. In evaluating month-end allowance balances, we consider actual returns to date that are in process, the expected impact of product recalls and the wholesaler's inventory information to assess the magnitude of unconsumed product that may result in a product return to us in the future. Actual returns processed can vary materially from period to period. For the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003, and 2002 we recorded a provision for product returns of \$1,956,000, \$2,085,000, and \$2,574,000, respectively. The allowance for potential product returns was \$1,393,000 and \$1,077,000 at December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

Provisions for doubtful accounts, which reflect trade receivable balances owed to us that are believed to be uncollectible, are recorded as a component of SG&A expenses. In estimating the allowance for doubtful accounts, we have:

Identified the relevant factors that might affect the accounting estimate for allowance for doubtful accounts, including: (a) historical experience with collections and write-offs; (b) credit quality of customers; (c) the interaction of credits being taken for discounts, rebates, allowances and other adjustments; (d) balances of outstanding receivables, and partially paid receivables; and (e) economic and other exogenous factors that might affect collectibility (e.g., bankruptcies of customers, channel factors, etc.).

Accumulated data on which to base the estimate for allowance for doubtful accounts, including: (a) collections and write-offs data; (b) information regarding current credit quality of customers; and (c) information regarding exogenous factors, particularly in respect of major customers.

Developed assumptions reflecting our judgments as to the most likely circumstances and outcomes, regarding, among other matters:

(a) collectibility of outstanding balances relating to partial payments; (b) the ability to collect items in dispute (or subject to reconciliation) with customers; and (c) economic and other exogenous factors that might affect collectibility of outstanding balances based upon information available at the time

For the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, we recorded a net benefit for doubtful accounts of (\$43,000), (\$471,000), and (\$55,000), respectively, as recoveries and reduced reserve requirements exceeded write offs and newly identified collectibility concerns. The allowance for doubtful accounts was \$435,000, and \$609,000 as of December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively. As of December 31, 2004, we had a total of \$2,200,000 of past due gross accounts receivable, of which \$420,000 was over 60 days past due.

We perform monthly a detailed analysis of the receivables due from our wholesaler customers and provide a specific reserve against known uncollectible items for each of the wholesaler customers. We also include in the allowance for doubtful accounts an amount that we estimate to be uncollectible for all other customers based on a percentage of the past due receivables. The percentage reserved increases as the age of the receivables increases. Of the recorded allowance for doubtful accounts as of December 31, 2004 of \$435,000, the portion related to wholesaler customers is \$390,000 with the remaining \$45,000 reserve for all other customers.

Allowance for Discounts

Cash discounts are available to certain customers based on agreed upon terms of sale. We evaluate the discount reserve balance against actual discounts taken. For the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, we recorded a provision for discounts of \$925,000, \$689,000 and \$1,014,000, respectively. The allowance for discounts was \$234,000 and \$94,000 as of December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Table of Contents***Allowance for Slow-Moving Inventory***

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost (average cost method) or market. See Note D Inventories to our annual consolidated financial statements beginning at page F-1 of this prospectus. We maintain an allowance for slow-moving and obsolete inventory. For finished goods inventory, we estimate the amount of inventory that may not be sold prior to its expiration or is slow moving based upon recent sales activity by unit and wholesaler inventory information. We also analyzed our raw material and component inventory for slow moving items. For the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, we recorded a provision for inventory obsolescence of \$1,290,000, \$940,000, and \$838,000, respectively. The allowance for inventory obsolescence was \$660,000 and \$917,000 as of December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Income Taxes

Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are determined based on differences between financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities, and net operating loss and other tax credit carryforwards. These items are measured using the enacted tax rates and laws that will be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse. We record a valuation allowance to reduce the deferred income tax assets to the amount that is more likely than not to be realized.

Intangibles

Intangibles consist primarily of product licensing and other such costs that are capitalized and amortized on the straight-line method over the lives of the related license periods or the estimated life of the acquired product, which range from 3 years to 18 years. Accumulated amortization at December 31, 2004 and 2003 was \$13,367,000 and \$9,958,000, respectively. Amortization expense was \$1,372,000, \$1,415,000 and \$1,411,000 for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively. We regularly assess the impairment of intangibles based on several factors, including estimated fair market value and anticipated cash flows. In 2004, we recorded impairment charges on certain intangible assets. See Note S Asset Impairment Charges to our annual consolidated financial statements beginning at page F-1 of this prospectus.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2003, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 46 (FIN 46), Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, with the objective of improving financial reporting by companies involved with variable interest entities. A variable interest entity is a corporation, partnership, trust, or other legal structure used for business purposes that either (a) does not have equity investors with sufficient voting rights to direct decisions of the entity, or (b) has equity investors that do not provide sufficient financial resources for the equity to support its activities. Historically, entities generally were not consolidated unless the entity was controlled through voting interests. FIN 46, as amended by FIN 46(R) in 2004, changes that by requiring a variable interest entity to be consolidated by a company if that company is subject to a majority of risk of loss from the variable interest entity's activities or entitled to receive a majority of the entity's residual returns, or both. A company that consolidates a variable interest entity is called the primary beneficiary of that entity. FIN 46(R) also requires disclosures about variable interest entities that a company is not required to consolidate but in which it has significant variable interest. The consolidation requirements of FIN 46(R) apply immediately to variable interest entities created after January 1, 2003. The consolidation requirements of FIN 46(R) apply to existing entities in the first fiscal year or interim period beginning after June 15, 2003. Also, certain disclosure requirements apply to all financial statements issued after January 31, 2003, regardless of when the variable interest entity was established. See Note Q Business Alliances to our annual consolidated financial statements beginning at page F-1 of this prospectus.

In May 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 150, Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity. SFAS 150 establishes standards for how entities classify and measure in their statement of financial position certain financial instruments with characteristics of both liabilities and equity. The provisions of SFAS 150 are effective for financial statements entered into or modified after May 31, 2003. As a result of SFAS No. 150, we had reflected the Series A Preferred Stock issued as part of the Exchange Transaction as a long-term liability until shareholder approval.

Table of Contents

In November 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 151, Inventory Costs-an amendment of ARB No. 43, Chapter 4 (SFAS 151). SFAS 151 clarifies the accounting for abnormal amounts of idle facility expense, freight, handling costs, and wasted material (spoilage) to require that these items be included as current-period charges and not included in overhead. In addition, SFAS 151 requires that allocation of fixed production overheads to the costs of conversion be based on the normal capacity of the production facilities. The provisions of SFAS 151 are effective for inventory costs incurred during fiscal years beginning June 15, 2005. We are in the process of evaluating the requirements of SFAS 151 but do not expect the adoption of SFAS 151 to have a significant effect on our financial statements.

In December 2004, the FASB issued Staff Position No. FAS 109-2, Accounting and Disclosure Guidance for the Foreign Earnings Repatriation Provision within the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 (FAS 109-2). The American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 allows for a special one-time dividends received deduction on the repatriation of certain foreign earnings to a United States taxpayer if certain criteria are met. The provisions of FAS 109-2 were effective immediately upon issuance. We do not expect that the adoption of FAS 109-2 will have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFA No. 123 (revised 2004). Share-based Payment, which is a revision of SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-based Compensation, SFAS No. 123(R) supercedes APB Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees and amends SFAS No. 95, Statement of Cash Flows. Generally, the approach in SFAS No. 123(R) requires all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, to be recognized in the income statement based on their fair values. Pro forma disclosure is no longer an alternative. The new standard, as amended, will be effective as of January 1, 2006. We have not yet assessed the impact of adopting this new standard, however, we expect the impact to be similar to the pro forma impacts as described in Note B to our annual consolidated financial statements beginning at page F-1 of this prospectus.

QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We are subject to market risk associated with changes in interest rates. Our interest rate exposure currently involves three debt instruments. Debt under the NeoPharm Note and the 2003 Subordinated Promissory Notes bears interest at prime plus 1.75%. Revolving line of credit debt under the New Credit Agreement bears interest at prime plus 1.50%. The Tranche A and B Notes issued to the Kapoor Trust under the Convertible Note Agreement bear interest at prime plus 3.0%. All of our remaining long-term debt is at fixed interest rates. We estimate that a change of 1.0% in our variable rate debt from the interest rates in effect at December 31, 2004 would result in a \$130,000 pre-tax change in annual interest expense.

Our financial instruments consist mainly of cash, accounts receivable, accounts payable and debt. The carrying amounts of these instruments, except debt, approximate fair value due to their short-term nature. The carrying amounts of our bank borrowings under our debt instruments approximate fair value because the interest rates are reset periodically to reflect current market rates.

The fair value of the debt obligations approximated the recorded value as of December 31, 2004.

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT****Directors and Executive Officers**

Set forth below is certain information regarding our directors and executive officers. Each of the directors listed below was elected to our board of directors at our annual meeting of shareholders held on July 8, 2004, to serve until our next annual meeting of shareholders and until his successor is elected and qualified. Our officers are elected by our board of directors to hold office until their successors are elected and qualified. See Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management, for information pertaining to the stock ownership of the named individuals.

Name	Age	Present Position with Akorn
John N. Kapoor, Ph.D.	61	Chairman of the Board
Jerry N. Ellis*#§	67	Director
Ronald M. Johnson*#§	59	Director
Arthur S. Przybyl	48	President, Chief Executive Officer, Director
Jerry I. Treppel*#§	50	Director
Arjun C. Waney#§	64	Director
Jeffrey A. Whitnell	49	Sr. Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, Secretary and Treasurer
Abu S. Alam, Ph.D.	59	Senior Vice President, New Business and Product Development
John R. Sabat	55	Senior Vice President, National Accounts
John W. Stern	39	Vice President, Sales and Marketing

* Member of our Audit Committee. Mr. Ellis is Chair of the committee and has been determined by our board of directors to be independent and to be an audit committee financial expert.

Member of our Compensation Committee. Mr. Johnson is Chair of the committee.

§ Member of our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. Mr. Treppel is Chair of the committee.

John N. Kapoor, Ph.D. Dr. Kapoor has served as the chairman of our board of directors since May 1995 and from December 1991 to January 1993. Dr. Kapoor served as our chief executive officer from March 2001 to December 2002. Dr. Kapoor also served as our acting chairman of our board of directors from April 1993 to May 1995 and as our chief executive officer from May 1996 to November 1998. Dr. Kapoor serves as chairman of the board of directors of Option Care, Inc. (an infusion services and supplies company) and was its chief executive officer from August 1993 to April 1996. Dr. Kapoor is the president of EJ Financial Enterprises, Inc. (a health care consulting and investment company) and served as chairman of the board of directors of NeoPharm, Inc. (a biopharmaceutical company) from July 1990 to June 2004, and currently serves on the board of directors of NeoPharm. Dr. Kapoor is the chairman of the board of directors of each of First Horizon Pharmaceutical Corporation (a distributor of pharmaceuticals), Introgen Therapeutics, Inc. (a gene therapy company), and Duska Therapeutics, Inc. (a biopharmaceutical company).

Jerry N. Ellis. Mr. Ellis has served as a director since 2001. Mr. Ellis is an adjunct professor in the Department of Accounting at The University of Iowa. Mr. Ellis was a consultant to Arthur Andersen, LLP from 1994 to 2000 and a partner at Arthur Andersen in the Dallas, Madrid and Chicago offices from 1973 to 1994. Mr. Ellis is a director of First Horizon Pharmaceutical Corporation (a distributor of pharmaceuticals) and a member of the Board of Trustees of William Penn University in Oskaloosa, Iowa. Mr. Ellis holds a BBA in Economics and an MBA from the University of Iowa.

Ronald M. Johnson. Mr. Johnson was appointed a director by our board of directors in May 2003. Mr. Johnson is currently executive vice president of Quintiles Consulting, a company which provides consulting services to pharmaceutical, medical device, biologic and biotechnology industries in their efforts to meet FDA regulatory requirements. Before joining Quintiles Consulting in 1997, Mr. Johnson spent 30 years with the FDA, holding various senior level positions primarily in the compliance and enforcement areas.

Arthur S. Przybyl. Mr. Przybyl has served as our chief executive officer since February 2003 and as a director since his appointment by our board of directors in November 2003. Previously, since September 2002, Mr.

Table of Contents

Przybyl served as our president and chief operating officer. Mr. Przybyl joined us in August 2002 as senior vice president, sales and marketing. Prior to joining us, Mr. Przybyl served as president and chief executive officer for Hearing Innovations Inc., an innovative, start-up developer of medical devices for the profoundly deaf and tinnitus markets, and prior to that, he served as president and chief operating officer for Bioject, Inc., a NASDAQ company specializing in needle-free technology. Mr. Przybyl was also a director of Novadaq Technologies, Inc., a privately held research company, until July 2004.

Jerry I. Treppel. Mr. Treppel was appointed as a director by our board of directors in November 2003. Mr. Treppel is the managing member of Wheaten Capital Management LLC, a capital management company focusing on investment in the health care sector. Over the past 15 years, Mr. Treppel was an equity research analyst focusing on the specialty pharmaceuticals and generic drug sectors at several investment banking firms including Banc of America Securities, Warburg Dillon Read LLC (now UBS), and Kidder, Peabody & Co. He previously served as a healthcare services analyst at various firms, including Merrill Lynch & Co. He also held administrative positions in the healthcare services industry early in his career. Mr. Treppel is a current member of the board of directors of Able Laboratories Inc., a generic drug company and of Cangene Corporation, a Canadian biotechnology company. Mr. Treppel holds a BA in Biology from Rutgers College in New Brunswick, N.J., an MHA in Health Administration from Washington University in St. Louis, Mo., and an MBA in Finance from New York University. Mr. Treppel has been a Chartered Financial Analyst (CFA) since 1988.

Arjun C. Waney. Mr. Waney was appointed as director by our board of directors in November 2003. Mr. Waney is managing director and principal shareholder of Argent Fund Management Ltd., a UK-based fund management firm that manages First Winchester Investments, an offshore fund specializing in U.S. equities. Mr. Waney has over 30 years experience in the U.S. capital markets in connection with various investment funds. In 1965, he founded Import Cargo Inc. and Cost Less Imports Inc., multi-store retail operations in the U.S. and Europe, respectively, that were sold in succession to Pier 1 Imports Inc. In 1973, Mr. Waney founded Beeba's Creations Inc., now known as Nitches Inc., a U.S. apparel importer and wholesaler that went public in 1982. Mr. Waney has chosen not to stand for re-election to our board of directors at our 2006 annual meeting of shareholders. Mr. Waney's decision does not relate to any disagreement with Akorn or our policies.

Jeffrey A. Whitnell. Mr. Whitnell has served as our vice president, finance and chief financial officer since June 2004. He was appointed as our secretary and treasurer in August 2004 and was promoted to senior vice president in November 2004. Before joining us, Mr. Whitnell served as vice president of finance and treasurer with Ovation Pharmaceuticals, a specialty pharmaceutical company. Prior to joining Ovation Pharmaceuticals in June 2002, Mr. Whitnell worked for MediChem Life Sciences, which he joined in April 1997, and where he held various senior financial management positions.

Abu S. Alam, Ph.D. Dr. Alam has served as our senior vice president, new business and product development since November 2004. Dr. Alam joined us in 1996 as vice president, technical services and was promoted to vice president, research and development in 1997.

John R. Sabat. Mr. Sabat has served as our senior vice president, national accounts since October 2004. He joined us in June 2003 as vice president, national accounts. Prior to joining us, he served as vice president, sales and marketing with Major Pharmaceuticals, a division of Apotex Inc., and a manufacturer and worldwide distributor of proprietary, multi-source prescription and over-the-counter pharmaceuticals.

John W. Stern. Mr. Stern has served as our vice president, sales and marketing since joining us in November 2003. Prior to joining us, he served as senior director, product marketing at VHA Inc., a nationwide network of community-owned health care systems and physicians.

Table of Contents**Executive Compensation**

The following table summarizes the compensation paid by us for services rendered during the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002 to each person who, during 2004, served as our chief executive officer and to each other of our executive officers whose total annual salary and bonus for 2004 exceeded \$100,000 (each, a named executive officer).

Name and Principal Position	Year	Annual Compensation		Long-Term Compensation	All Other Compensation	
		Salary	Bonus(1)	Other Annual Compensation(2)		Securities Underlying Options/SARS
Arthur S. Przybyl (3) President and Chief Executive Officer	2004	305,000		10,000	750,000	103,306
	2003	259,089		10,000	75,000	44,649
	2002	93,482		3,308	300,000	
Jeffrey A. Whitnell (4) Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, Secretary and Treasurer	2004	99,654		3,231	115,000	
	2003					
	2002					
Abu S. Alam, Ph.D. (5) Sr. Vice President, New Business and Product Development	2004	172,847		6,000	50,000	2,693
	2003	157,673		4,846	25,000	2,365
	2002	150,000			25,000	2,250
John R. Sabat (6) Sr. Vice President, National Accounts	2004	171,500		6,000	50,000	2,837
	2003	78,692		2,769	100,000	
	2002					
John W. Stern (7) Vice President, Sales & Marketing	2004	136,462		6,000	40,000	20,276
	2003	15,577		692	75,000	
	2002					

(1) There were no executive officer bonuses awarded for 2004, 2003 or 2002.

(2) Represents automobile allowance.

(3) Mr. Przybyl became our chief executive officer on February 17, 2003. Before then, Mr. Przybyl was our president and chief operating officer. For 2004, his All Other Compensation represents reimbursement for temporary housing expenses of \$101,194 and 401(k) contributions of \$2,112. His All Other Compensation for 2003 is exclusively related to reimbursement for relocation expenses totaling \$44,649.

(4) Mr. Whitnell has been our vice president, finance and chief financial officer since June 2004.

- (5) Dr. Alam has served as senior vice president of new business/new products development since November 2004. His All Other Compensation for 2002/2003/2004 represents 401(k) matching contributions.
- (6) Mr. Sabat has been our senior vice president of national accounts since October 2004. His All Other Compensation for 2004 represents 401(k) matching contributions and a \$264 benefit associated with our employee stock purchase plan.
- (7) Mr. Stern has been our vice president of sales & marketing since November 2003. His All Other Compensation for 2004 includes a \$20,000 signing bonus and a \$276 benefit associated with our employee stock purchase plan.

Table of Contents**Option Grants in Last Fiscal Year**

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to stock options granted to each of our named executive officers in the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004, including the potential realizable value over the five-year term of the options, based on assumed rates of stock appreciation of 5% and 10% of the market price of the underlying security on the date of grant, compounded annually. These assumed rates of appreciation comply with the rules of the SEC and do not represent our estimate of future stock price. Actual gains, if any, on stock option exercises will be dependent on the future performance of our common stock. Each grant was issued pursuant to the 2003 Stock Option Plan.

Name	Number of Securities Underlying Options Granted	Individual Grants			Potential Realizable Value at Assumed Annual Rates of Stock Price Appreciation for Option Term	
		Percent of Total Options Granted to Employees in Fiscal Year	Exercise or Base Price (\$/Sh)	Expiration Date	5%	10%
Arthur S. Przybyl	750,000	41%	2.00	1/02/09	414,422	915,765
Jeffrey A. Whitnell	100,000	5%	3.45	6/16/09	95,317	210,626
	15,000	1%	3.99	11/14/09	16,535	36,539
Abu S. Alam, Ph.D.	40,000	2%	3.45	4/19/09	38,127	84,250
	10,000	1%	3.80	10/18/09	10,499	23,199
John R. Sabat	40,000	2%	3.45	4/19/09	38,127	84,250
	10,000	1%	3.10	10/4/09	8,565	18,926
John W. Stern	40,000	2%	3.45	4/19/09	38,127	84,250

Aggregated Option Exercises in Last Fiscal Year and FY-End Option Values

The following table sets forth information with respect to our named executive officers concerning unexercised options held as of the end of the fiscal year. None of our named executive officers exercised options during the last fiscal year.

Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options	Value of Unexercised in-the-Money Options at
---	--

Name	at FY-End(#)	FY-End\$(1)
	Exercisable/Unexercisable	Exercisable/ Unexercisable
Arthur S. Przybyl	1,012,500/1,125,000	2,102,875/318,375
Jeffrey A. Whitnell	28,750/86,250	9,500/28,500
Abu S. Alam, Ph.D.	103,750/165,000	51,163/50,063
John R. Sabat	62,500/150,000	159,625/170,875
John W. Stern	47,500/115,000	71,675/79,275

(1) Value of unexercised in-the-money options calculated using the December 31, 2004 closing price of \$3.83.

Employment Agreements

In January 2003, Mr. Przybyl received and accepted an employment offer letter for the position of our chief executive officer. His letter provides for an annual salary of \$260,000, a discretionary bonus of up to 50% of his base salary, a grant of options to purchase 50,000 shares of our common stock, severance for one year at his base salary if he is terminated without cause, and other customary benefits for our employees. In January 2004, his annual

Table of Contents

salary was increased to \$305,000 and he was granted stock options to purchase 750,000 shares of common stock. In connection with his serving as our chief executive officer, we have provided to Mr. Przybyl supplemental indemnity assurances with respect to any claims associated with his execution, filing and submission chief executive officer certifications of SEC reports for periods preceding his direct supervision of financial and accounting matters.

In June 2004, Mr. Whitnell received and accepted an employment offer letter for the position of our vice president, finance and chief financial officer. His offer letter provides for an annual salary of \$180,000, a discretionary bonus of up to 30% of his base salary, a grant of options to purchase 100,000 shares of our common stock, severance for six months of his base salary if he is terminated without cause, and other customary benefits for our employees. In November 2004, Mr. Whitnell received and accepted a letter amending the terms of his employment. Under the terms of the amended letter, Mr. Whitnell was promoted to senior vice president, finance and chief financial officer, his annual salary was increased to \$190,000 and he was granted an additional grant of stock options to purchase 15,000 shares of common stock.

We currently have no other employment agreements in place.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

Ronald M. Johnson, Chairman, Arjun C. Waney, Jerry I. Treppel and Jerry N. Ellis currently comprise our Compensation Committee, and each are independent, non-employee directors of Akorn. None of our executive officers has served as a director or member of (i) the compensation committee of another entity in which one of the executive officers of such entity served on our Compensation Committee, (ii) the board of directors of another entity in which one of the executive officers of such entity served on our Compensation Committee, or (iii) the compensation committee of any other entity in which one of the executive officers of such entity served as a member of our board of directors, during the year ended December 31, 2004.

Compensation of Directors

Each director who is not one of our salaried officers receives a fee for his services as a director of \$2,500 per regular meeting of our board of directors, \$500 per telephone meeting and \$500 per committee meeting, plus reimbursement of expenses related to thereto. On March 29, 2005, our board of directors also approved an annual retainer to each independent director in the amount of \$10,000 and annual compensation of \$2,500 for the chairs of each of our Audit Committee, Compensation Committee and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee.

All of our independent directors have participated in our Akorn, Inc. 2003 Stock Option Plan pursuant to which independent directors were granted an option to acquire 10,000 shares of our common stock in both January 2004 and April 2005 and are to receive options to acquire 10,000 shares of our common stock each calendar year thereafter in which such director serves. Any director appointed between annual meetings would receive a pro rata portion of an option to acquire 10,000 shares. Options granted under our Akorn, Inc. 2003 Stock Option Plan vest immediately and expire five years from the date of grant.

On March 29, 2005, our board of directors approved the Amended and Restated Akorn, Inc. 2003 Stock Option Plan, subject to the approval of our shareholders at our 2005 annual shareholders meeting to be held on May 27, 2005. The Amended and Restated Akorn, Inc. 2003 Stock Option Plan is an amendment and restatement of the Akorn, Inc. 2003 Stock Option Plan and provides us with the ability to grant other types of equity awards besides stock options to eligible participants. Under the Amended and Restated Akorn, Inc. 2003 Stock Option Plan we intend to continue to make similar yearly grants to directors as have been made under the Akorn, Inc. 2003 Stock Option Plan.

**SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND
MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS**

As of March 31, 2005, the following persons were our directors and named executive officers, or others with beneficial ownership of five percent or more of our common stock. The information set forth below has been determined in accordance with Rule 13d-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 based upon information

Table of Contents

furnished to us or to the SEC by the persons listed. Unless otherwise noted the address of each of the following persons is 2500 Millbrook Drive, Buffalo Grove, Illinois 60089.

Beneficial Owner	Shares Beneficially Owned (1)	Percent of Class
Directors		
John N. Kapoor, Ph.D.	30,051,198(2)	58.86%
Arjun C. Waney	6,086,469(3)	20.59%
Jerry I. Treppel	897,884(4)	3.47%
Jerry N. Ellis	57,000(5)	0.22%
Ronald M. Johnson	30,000(6)	0.12%
Named Executive Officers		
Arthur S. Przybyl	1,226,679(7)	4.62%
Jeffrey A. Whitnell	28,750(8)	0.11%
Abu S. Alam, Ph.D.	169,973(9)	0.67%
John R. Sabat	252,590(10)	0.99%
John W. Stern	58,786(11)	0.23%
Directors and officers as a group (10 persons)	38,859,329	67.47%
Other Beneficial Owners		
Pequot Capital Management Inc.	17,697,865(12)	44.13%
Gulu Waney	2,808,845(13)	10.40%
Baystar Capital II, LP	2,542,360(14)	9.17%
Jai Waney	2,044,460(15)	7.69%
Arun K. Puri Living Trust	1,790,300(16)	6.60%
JRJAY Public Investments, LLC	1,700,768(17)	6.71%

- (1) Includes all shares beneficially owned, whether directly and indirectly, individually or together with associates, jointly or as community property with a spouse, as well as any shares as to which beneficial ownership may be acquired within 60 days of March 31, 2005 by the exercise of options, warrants or other convertible securities. Unless otherwise specified in the footnotes that follow, the indicated person has sole voting power and sole investment power with respect to the shares.
- (2) Includes (i) 25,000 shares of common stock (ii) 851,800 shares of common stock owned by the Kapoor Trust of which Dr. Kapoor is the sole trustee and beneficiary, (iii) 3,395,000 shares of common stock owned by EJ Financial/Akorn Management, L.P. of which Dr. Kapoor is managing general partner, (iv) 63,600 shares of common stock owned by a trust, the trustee of which is Dr. Kapoor's wife and the beneficiaries of which are their children, (v) 505,000 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of options, (vi) 3,578,333 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of Series A Warrants held by the Kapoor Trust, (vii) 1,091,714 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of the Note Warrants and Guaranty Warrants held by the Kapoor Trust, (viii) 1,667,000 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of the Tranche A and B Warrants held by the Kapoor Trust, (ix) 3,233,213 shares of common stock issuable upon the conversion of the Tranche A and B Notes held by the Kapoor Trust, and (x) 15,640,538 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of Series A Preferred Stock.
- (3) Includes (i) 941,869 shares of common stock held by Argent Fund Management, Ltd., for which Mr. Waney serves as Chairman and Managing Director and owns a 52% interest, including 458,500 shares of common

stock, 389,300 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of Series A Preferred Stock, 89,067 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of Series A Warrants and 5,000 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of Note Warrants, (ii) 628,400 shares of common stock held by First Winchester Investments Ltd., which operates as an equity fund for investors unrelated to Mr. Waney and whose investments are directed by Argent Fund, (iii) 506,000 shares of common stock held by Mr. Waney through individual retirement accounts maintained in the United States, (iv) 10,000 shares of common stock issuable pursuant to stock options and (v) 3,969,967 shares held jointly by Mr. Waney and Mrs. Judith D. Waney, including 279,600 shares of common stock, 2,913,934 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of Series A Preferred Stock, 666,667 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of Series A Warrants and 140,000 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of Note and Guaranty Warrants. Under the Rules of the SEC, Mr. Waney may be deemed to be the beneficial owner of the shares held by First Winchester.

Table of Contents

- (4) Includes (i) 10,000 shares of common stock issuable pursuant to stock options, (ii) 364,242 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of Series A Preferred Stock, (iii) 83,334 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of Series A Warrants, (iv) 356,974 shares of common stock and 83,334 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of Series A Warrants, each of which Mr. Treppel beneficially owns indirectly through Wheaten Healthcare Partners LP, an entity of which Mr. Treppel is the general partner.
- (5) Includes 2,000 shares of common stock and 55,000 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of stock options.
- (6) Such shares are issuable upon exercise of stock options.
- (7) Includes (i) 7,447 shares of common stock, (ii) 1,031,250 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of stock options, (iii) 152,982 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of Series A Preferred Stock, and (iv) 35,000 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of Series A Warrants.
- (8) Such shares are issuable upon exercise of stock options.
- (9) Includes (i) 28,966 shares of common stock, (ii) 36,424 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of Series A Preferred Stock, (iii) 96,250 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of stock options and (iv) 8,333 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of Series A Warrants.
- (10) Includes (i) 1,060 shares of common stock, (ii) 145,697 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of Series A Preferred Stock, (iii) 72,500 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of stock options and (iv) 33,333 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of Series A Warrants.
- (11) Includes 1,286 shares of common stock and 57,500 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of stock options.
- (12) Includes (i) 2,936,000 shares of common stock, 1,820,167 of which are held by Pequot Healthcare Fund, L.P. and 1,115,833 of which are held by Pequot Healthcare Offshore Fund, Inc.; (ii) 11,655,735 shares issuable upon conversion of Series A Preferred Stock, 4,021,957 shares of which are held by Pequot Healthcare Fund, L.P., 4,877,196 shares of which are held by Pequot Healthcare Offshore Fund, Inc., 1,299,614 shares of which are held by Pequot Healthcare Institutional Fund, LP, 728,483 shares of which are held by Pequot Scout Fund, and 728,483 shares of which are held by Pequot Navigator Onshore Fund, LP; (iii) 1,919,907 shares issuable upon conversion of Series B Preferred Stock, 789,197 of which are held by Pequot Healthcare Fund, L.P., 1,007,798 shares of which are held by Pequot Healthcare Offshore Fund, Inc., and 122,912 shares of which are held by Premium Series PCC Limited Cell C32; (iv) 630,667 shares issuable upon exercise of Series A Warrants, 297,333 of which are held by Pequot Healthcare Institutional Fund, LP, 166,667 of which are held by Pequot Scout Fund, and 166,667 of which are held by Pequot Navigator Onshore Fund, LP; and (v) 555,556 shares issuable upon exercise of Series B Warrants, 228,367 of which are held by Pequot Healthcare Fund, L.P., 291,622 of which are held by Pequot Healthcare Offshore Fund, Inc., and 35,567 of which are held by Premium Series PCC Limited Cell C32. Pequot Capital Management, Inc., an investment adviser registered under Section 203 of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, is the beneficially owner of such securities. Pequot Capital Management, Inc. has sole dispositive power over all such securities, but does not have any voting power over the securities held by Premium Series PCC Limited Cell C32, which is retained by Premium Series PCC Limited Cell C32. Arthur J. Samberg is the sole shareholder of Pequot Capital Management, Inc. Pequot's address is 500 Nyala Farm Road, Westport, Connecticut 06880.
- (13)

Edgar Filing: AKORN INC - Form POS AM

Includes (i) 27,278 shares of common stock, (ii) 1,124,600 shares of common stock, 628,400 shares of which are held by First Winchester Investments Ltd., 346,200 shares of which are held by Savika Ltd., 130,000 shares of which are held by Doral Park, and 20,000 shares of which are held by Shiveley Ltd., all four entities of which are owned by Mr. Waney, (iii) 1,456,967 shares issuable upon conversion of Series A Preferred Stock; and (iv) 200,000 shares issuable upon exercise of Series A Warrants. Mr. Waney's address is P.O. Box 27977, Sharjah, United Arab Emirates.

- (14) Includes (i) 162,893 shares of common stock, (ii) 1,823,911 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of Series B Preferred Stock, and (iv) 555,556 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of Series B Warrants. Baystar's address is 80 East Sir Francis Drake Blvd., Suite 2B, Larkspur, California 94939. Baystar Capital Management, LLC is the general partner of Baystar Capital II, L.P. Bay East, L.P., Lawrence Goldfarb and Steven M. Lamar are each a managing member of Baystar Capital Management, LLC. Steven Derby is the general partner of Bay East, L.P. Messrs. Lamar and Goldfarb and Bay East, L.P., in their capacities as the managing members of the BayStar Capital Management, LLC, and Mr. Derby, in his capacity as the general partner of Bay East, L.P., may be deemed to share the power to vote or to direct the vote and to dispose or to direct the disposition of the shares beneficially owned by Baystar Capital II, L.P. Each of Bay East, L.P. and Messrs. Lamar, Goldfarb and Derby disclaim beneficial

Table of Contents

ownership of the securities set forth in this prospectus except to the extent of any indirect pecuniary interest therein.

- (15) Includes (i) 791,250 shares of common stock, 429,750 of which are owned directly by Mr. Waney, 333,000 shares of which are held by Trident Fashions, Inc., an entity of which all outstanding shares are held by Kithel Holding Limited of which Mr. Waney is the sole shareholder, and 28,5000 shares of which are held by Range Resources Limited, an entity of which Mr. Waney owns 50% of its outstanding shares, (ii) 1,019,877 shares issuable upon conversion of Series A Preferred Stock; and (iii) 233,333 shares issuable upon exercise of Series A Warrants. Mr. Waney's address is 18/FL Corporation Square, No. 8 Lamlok Street, Kowloon Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong.
- (16) Includes (i) 1,456,956 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of Series A Preferred Stock, and (ii) 333,334 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of Series A Warrants. The Arun K. Puri Living Trust address is 9100 S. Darelend Blvd., Suite 1011, Miami, Florida 33156.
- (17) JRJAY Public Investments LLC address is 50 Fox Run Lane, Greenwich, Connecticut 06831.

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

Dr. Kapoor, our current chairman of our board of directors and chief executive officer from March 2001 to December 2002, and a principal shareholder, is affiliated with EJ Financial Enterprises, Inc., a health care consulting investment company. EJ Financial is involved in the management of health care companies in various fields, and Dr. Kapoor is involved in various capacities with the management and operation of these companies. The Kapoor Trust, the beneficiary and sole trustee of which is Dr. Kapoor, is a principal shareholder of each of these companies. As a result, Dr. Kapoor does not devote his full time to our business. Although such companies do not currently compete directly with us, certain companies with which EJ Financial is involved are in the pharmaceutical business. Discoveries made by one or more of these companies could render our products less competitive or obsolete. We also owe EJ Financial \$10,500, \$18,000, \$18,000 and \$18,000 in consulting fees for each of 2004, 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively, as well as expense reimbursements of approximately \$1,700, \$1,900, \$2,000 and \$79,000 for 2004, 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation Financial Condition and Liquidity, and Risk Factors Certain of our directors are subject to conflicts of interest. Further, the Kapoor Trust has loaned us \$5,000,000 resulting in Dr. Kapoor becoming one of our major creditors as well as a major shareholder.

In 2001, we entered into the Convertible Note Agreement with the Kapoor Trust. Under the terms of the Convertible Note Agreement, the Kapoor Trust agreed to provide us two separate tranches of funding in the amounts of \$3,000,000 represented by the Tranche A Note, which was received on July 13, 2001, and \$2,000,000 represented by the Tranche B Note, which was received on August 16, 2001. Each of the Tranche A Note and Tranche B Note, which are subordinate to the New Credit Facility, bear interest at prime plus 3% and are due December 20, 2006. Interest payments are currently prohibited under the terms of a subordination arrangement with LaSalle Bank. The Tranche A Note and Tranche B Note allow for conversion of the debt plus interest into shares of our common stock at a price of \$2.28 and \$1.80 per share of common stock, respectively. As part of the consideration provided to the Kapoor Trust for the loans, we issued to the Kapoor Trust the Tranche A Warrant, which is exercisable for 1,000,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$2.85 per share, and the Tranche B Warrant, which is exercisable for 667,000 shares of common stock at a exercise price of \$2.25 per share. The exercise price for each of the Tranche A and B warrants represented a 25% premium over the share price of our common stock at the time of the Kapoor Trust's commitment to provide the subordinated debt. All unexercised warrants expire on December 20, 2006.

Under the terms of the Convertible Note Agreement, the subordinated debt bears interest at prime plus 3%, which is the same rate we pay on our senior debt. Pursuant to the terms of a subordination agreement, interest cannot be paid

to the Kapoor Trust until the repayment of our senior debt under the New Credit Facility with LaSalle Bank. Should the subordination agreement be terminated, interest may be paid sooner. The convertible feature of the Convertible Note Agreement, as amended, allows for conversion of the subordinated debt plus interest into our common stock at a price of \$2.28 per share of common stock for Tranche A and \$1.80 per share of common stock for Tranche B.

Table of Contents

In December 2001, we entered into a \$3,250,000 five-year loan with NeoPharm to fund our efforts to complete our lyophilization facility located in Decatur, Illinois. Under the terms of the NeoPharm Note, evidencing the loan, interest accrued at the initial rate of 3.6% to be reset quarterly based upon NeoPharm's average return on its cash and readily tradable long and short-term securities during the previous calendar quarter. The principal and accrued interest is due and payable on or before maturity on December 20, 2006. The note provides that we will use the proceeds of the loan solely to validate and complete the lyophilization facility located in Decatur, Illinois. In consideration for the loan, under a separate manufacturing agreement between us and NeoPharm, we, upon completion of the lyophilization facility, agree to provide NeoPharm with access to at least 15% of the capacity of our lyophilization facility each year. Dr. Kapoor, the chairman of our board of directors, is also a director of NeoPharm and holds a substantial stock position in NeoPharm, as well as in our stock. The NeoPharm Note is subordinated to our senior debt owed to LaSalle Bank but is senior to our subordinated debt owed to the Kapoor Trust.

Commensurate with the completion of the NeoPharm Note between us and NeoPharm, we entered into an agreement with the Kapoor Trust, which amended the Convertible Note Agreement. The amendment extended the Convertible Note Agreement to terminate concurrently with the NeoPharm Note on December 20, 2006. The amendment also made it possible for the Kapoor Trust to convert the interest accrued on Tranche A into shares of our common stock. Previously, only the interest accrued on Tranche B was convertible.

On October 6, 2004, we received a notice from NeoPharm indicating that an event of default had occurred on the outstanding NeoPharm Note. The notice stated that an event of default was triggered when a processing agreement between NeoPharm and us which was contractually obligated to go into effect on or before October 1, 2004, failed to occur. The processing agreement failed to become effective, in part, because of an inability to remove the sanctions imposed by the FDA on our Decatur manufacturing facility. The event of default under the NeoPharm Note also triggered a cross-default provision under the Convertible Note Agreement and the New Credit Facility. The Kapoor Trust has waived the cross-default. On October 8, 2004, we entered into a Third Amendment to the New Credit Facility, which, among other things, amended certain of the financial covenants and LaSalle Bank agreed to waive certain events of default arising out of noncompliance with certain obligations, including noncompliance arising from the event of default under the NeoPharm Note. Pursuant to a subordination agreement with LaSalle Bank, we may not make any payments to NeoPharm and NeoPharm may not enforce any remedies against us under the NeoPharm Note, until the senior debt is paid in full and the commitment for the senior debt is terminated. Consequently, NeoPharm cannot take any actions that would have an adverse financial impact to us. However, because of this default, we recorded the \$3,250,000 of debt and \$362,000 of accrued interest as current obligations as of December 31, 2004. We are currently trying to resolve this matter with NeoPharm.

In connection with the Exchange Transaction, the Kapoor Trust and NeoPharm waived all existing defaults under their respective agreements and entered into amended agreements dated October 7, 2003. As a result of these amendments, interest on the NeoPharm Note accrues at 1.75% above LaSalle Bank's prime rate. Interest payments on each of the Tranche A Note, Tranche B Note and the NeoPharm Note are currently prohibited under the terms of a subordination arrangement with LaSalle Bank. The amended NeoPharm Note also requires us to make quarterly payments of \$150,000 beginning on the last day of the calendar quarter during which all indebtedness under the New Credit Facility has been paid. All remaining amounts owed under the amended NeoPharm Note are payable at maturity on December 20, 2006. The amendment we entered into with Kapoor Trust did not change the interest rate or the maturity date of either the Tranche A Note or the Tranche B Note.

In 2004, we paid approximately \$92,000 for consulting fees to Quintiles, Inc., a firm at which Mr. Johnson, one of our directors, is employed.

Dr. Abu S. Alam, our Senior Vice President, New Business Development, serves as a consultant to EJ Financial, First Horizon, Alliant Pharmaceuticals and Insys Therapeutics. As a result, Dr. Alam does not devote his full time to

our business and although such companies do not currently compete directly with us, each company is involved in the pharmaceutical business.

Table of Contents

DESCRIPTION OF CAPITAL STOCK AND CONVERTIBLE SECURITIES

Our restated articles of incorporation authorize us to issue up to 150,000,000 shares of common stock, no par value per share, and up to 5,000,000 shares of preferred stock, \$1.00 par value per share. As of March 31, 2005, there were outstanding 25,343,598 shares of our common stock, 242,172 shares of our Series A Preferred Stock, and 138,500 shares of our Series B Preferred Stock. As of March 31, 2005, our Series A Preferred Stock and related dividends was convertible into 35,283,656 shares of our common stock at a conversion price of \$0.75 per share and our Series B Preferred Stock and related dividends was convertible into 5,318,142 shares of our common stock at a conversion price of \$2.70 per share. The number of shares of common stock into which our Series A Preferred Stock and Series B Preferred Stock are convertible, respectively, is increased from time to time in respect of accrued and unpaid cash dividends on our Series A and Series B Preferred Stock.

Our common stock is traded on the American Stock Exchange under the symbol AKN. Our Series A Preferred Stock and our Series B Preferred Stock are not listed or traded on any securities exchange or established trading market.

The following summary description of our capital stock is qualified in its entirety by reference to our restated articles of incorporation and our by-laws, copies of which are filed as exhibits to the registration statement of which this prospectus forms a part.

Common Stock

Voting Rights

Except in cases where a separate vote of the holders of our Series A Preferred Stock or the holders of our Series B Preferred Stock is required by law or our restated articles of incorporation governing our Series A Preferred Stock or Series B Preferred Stock (see Preferred Stock Voting Rights below), the holders of our common stock vote together as a single class with the holders of our Series A Preferred Stock and the holders of our Series B Preferred Stock on all matters submitted to a shareholder vote and all such matters also require the approval of our common shareholders and the holders of Series A Preferred Stock, also voting as a single class.

Each holder of our common stock is entitled to one vote for each share of common stock held of record on all matters as to which our common shareholders are entitled to vote. Each holder of our Series A Preferred Stock and each holder of our Series B Preferred Stock is entitled to a number of votes equal to the number of shares of our common stock into which its shares of preferred stock can be converted. As of March 31, 2005, the outstanding shares of our Series A Preferred Stock and Series B Preferred Stock represented in the aggregate 61.7% of our total outstanding voting power. For further information regarding the voting rights of holders of our Series A Preferred Stock and Series B Preferred Stock, see Preferred Stock Voting Rights below.

Neither the holders of our common stock nor the holders of any series of our outstanding preferred stock may cumulate votes for the election of directors.

Dividends

Holders of our common stock are entitled to dividends at such times and amounts as our board of directors may determine, subject to (1) the dividend preferences and dividend participation rights accorded to the holders of our Series A Preferred Stock and Series B Preferred Stock (see Preferred Stock Dividends below), (2) our redemption obligations with respect to our Series A Preferred Stock (see Preferred Stock Redemption below) and (3) any similar or other rights accorded to, or obligations with respect to, any additional series of preferred stock which be issued

from time to time by our board of directors.

We have not paid any dividends on our common stock since 1991 and do not anticipate paying any cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. Moreover, we are currently prohibited by our credit facility from making any cash dividend payments on our common stock.

Table of Contents

Other Rights

In the event of a voluntary or involuntary liquidation, dissolution or winding up of our company, prior to any distributions to the holders of our common stock, our creditors and the holders of our Series A Preferred Stock and Series B Preferred Stock will receive any payments to which they are entitled. After those payments, the holders of our common stock will share ratably, according to the number of shares held by them, in our remaining assets, if any.

Shares of our common stock are not redeemable; have no redemption, sinking fund, conversion or preemptive rights; and are not subject to further calls or assessments by the company under state statutes or otherwise.

Preferred Stock

Our board of directors has the authority, without the approval of our shareholders, to issue shares of preferred stock out of our authorized shares of preferred stock. Our board of directors may issue such shares in one or more series and may fix the number of shares and the rights, preferences and limitations of each series. Among the matters with respect to preferred stock that may be determined by our board of directors are dividend rights, redemption rights and price, the terms of a sinking fund, if any, the amount payable in the event of any voluntary liquidation, dissolution or winding up of our affairs, conversion rights, and voting powers.

Pursuant to this authority, our board of directors in October 2003 designated and authorized the issuance of 257,172 shares of our Series A Preferred Stock (of which 242,172 are outstanding as of March 31, 2005) and in August 2004 designated and authorized the issuance of 170,000 shares of our Series B Preferred Stock (138,500 of which are outstanding as of March 31, 2005). The following is a summary description of certain provisions of our Series A Preferred Stock and Series B Preferred Stock. For further information regarding our Series A Preferred Stock, see our restated articles of incorporation, a copy of which is filed as an exhibit to the registration statement of which this prospectus forms a part.

Voting Rights

Series A Preferred Stock

Each holder of our Series A Preferred Stock is entitled to a number of votes equal to the number of shares of our common stock into which the holder's Series A preferred shares can be converted (see **Conversion Rights** below). Holders of our Series A Preferred Stock vote together as a class with the holders of our common stock on all matters submitted to a shareholder vote, except in cases where a separate vote of the holders of our Series A Preferred Stock is required by law or by our restated articles of incorporation. Our restated articles of incorporation provide that we cannot, without the approval of the holders of at least 50.1% of our outstanding Series A Preferred Stock, (i) issue any additional Series A Preferred Stock or other securities senior to or ranking equally with our Series A Preferred Stock, (ii) amend our articles of incorporation or by-laws to adversely alter the rights of our Series A Preferred Stock, (iii) effect a change of control of our company, or (iv) effect a reverse stock split of our Series A Preferred Stock.

Series B Preferred Stock

Each holder of our Series B Preferred Stock is entitled to a number of votes equal to the number of shares of our common stock into which the holder's Series B preferred shares can be converted (see **Conversion Rights** below). Holders of our Series B Preferred Stock vote together as a class with the holders of our Series A Preferred Stock and the holders of our common stock on all matters submitted to a shareholder vote, except in cases where a separate vote of the holders of our Series A Preferred Stock or Series B Preferred Stock is required by law or by our articles of incorporation. Our articles of incorporation provide that we cannot, without the approval of the holders of at least

50.1% of our outstanding Series B Preferred Stock, (i) issue any additional Series A Preferred Stock, Series B Preferred Stock or other securities senior to or ranking equally with our Series B Preferred Stock, (ii) amend our articles of incorporation or by-laws to adversely alter the rights of our Series B Preferred Stock,

Table of Contents

(iii) effect a change of control of our company, (iv) effect a reverse stock split of our Series B Preferred Stock, or (v) increase the par value of our common stock.

Dividends

The holders of our Series A Preferred Stock and Series B Preferred Stock are entitled to payment of the dividends described below in preference to and in priority over any dividends payable with respect to our common stock. No dividend payments or other distributions are permitted with respect to our common stock unless all accrued dividends on our preferred stock have been paid, sufficient funds for payments of dividends for the current period on our preferred stock have been set aside for payment, and all redemption obligations with respect to our Series A Preferred Stock have been discharged. Moreover, we are currently prohibited by our credit facility from making any cash dividend payments on our preferred stock.

Series A Preferred Stock

Our Series A Preferred Stock accrues dividends at a rate of 6.0% per annum, which rate is fully cumulative, accrues daily and compounds quarterly. If at any time we do not have a sufficient number of shares of our common stock authorized and reserved for issuance upon conversion of all of our outstanding Series A Preferred Stock, our Series A Preferred Stock will accrue dividends at a rate of 10.0% per annum until such time as a sufficient number of shares of our common stock are authorized and reserved for issuance. At our option, dividends may either be paid in cash at each quarter end or otherwise added to accrued and unpaid dividends, which are also convertible into shares of our common stock.

Series B Preferred Stock

Our Series B Preferred Stock accrues dividends at a rate of 6.0% per annum, which rate is fully cumulative, accrues daily and compounds quarterly. If at any time we do not have a sufficient number of shares of our common stock authorized and reserved for issuance upon conversion of all of our outstanding Series B Preferred Stock, our Series B Preferred Stock will accrue dividends at a rate of 10.0% per annum until such time as a sufficient number of shares of our common stock are authorized and reserved for issuance. At our option, dividends may either be paid in cash at each quarter end or otherwise added to accrued and unpaid dividends, which are also convertible into shares of our common stock.

Dividend Participation Rights

In the event dividends are to be paid with respect to our common stock, each holder of our Series A Preferred Stock and each holder of our Series B Preferred Stock will be entitled to receive, as additional dividends, an amount equal to the dividends that such holder would have received had such holder converted its Series A Preferred Stock or Series B Preferred Stock into shares of our common stock immediately prior to the record date for such common stock dividend. These dividend participation rights in favor of our Series A and Series B Preferred Stock may have the effect of discouraging or effectively preventing the payment of dividends with respect to our common stock.

Conversion Rights

Series A Preferred Stock

Each share of our Series A Preferred Stock, and accrued and unpaid dividends with respect to each such share, is convertible by the holder thereof at any time into a number of shares of our common stock equal to the quotient obtained by dividing (x) \$100 plus any accrued but unpaid dividends on such share by (y) \$0.75, as such numerator

and denominator may be adjusted from time to time pursuant to the anti-dilution provisions of our restated articles of incorporation.

All outstanding shares of our Series A Preferred Stock will automatically convert into shares of our common stock on the earlier to occur of (i) October 8, 2006, or (ii) the date on which the closing price per share of

Table of Contents

our common stock for at least the 20 consecutive trading days immediately preceding such date exceeds \$4.00 per share.

Series B Preferred Stock

Each share of our Series B Preferred Stock, and accrued and unpaid dividends with respect to each such share, is convertible by the holder thereof at any time into a number of shares of our common stock equal to the quotient obtained by dividing (x) \$100 plus any accrued but unpaid dividends on such share by (y) \$2.70, as such numerator and denominator may be adjusted from time to time pursuant to the anti-dilution provisions of our restated articles of incorporation.

We have the option of converting all shares of our Series B Preferred Stock into shares of our common stock on any date after August 23, 2005 as to which the closing price per share of our common stock for at least the 20 consecutive trading days immediately preceding such date exceeds \$5.00 per share.

Redemption

Subject to certain limitations, on October 31, 2011, we are required to redeem all outstanding shares of our Series A Preferred Stock for an amount equal to \$100 per share (as such amount may be adjusted from time to time for stock splits, recapitalizations and similar events with respect to our Series A Preferred Stock), plus all accrued but unpaid dividends on such share. Subject to the holders' conversion rights, we also have the option of redeeming all, but not less than all, of our outstanding Series A Preferred Stock at the same price, on and after October 9, 2006.

We are not required, and do not have the right, to redeem any shares of our Series B Preferred Stock.

Ranking; Liquidation; Anti-Dilution Protections

Our Series A Preferred Stock and Series B Preferred Stock rank equally vis-à-vis one another, and rank senior to our common stock, with respect to the payment of dividends and distributions and the distribution of assets upon our liquidation, winding up or dissolution. With respect to those matters, our Series A Preferred Stock and Series B Preferred Stock rank junior to any class or series of capital stock that may in the future be issued by the company, the terms of which specifically provide that such stock ranks senior to the Series A Preferred Stock and Series B Preferred Stock.

Our Series A Preferred Stock and Series B Preferred Stock also enjoy certain anti-dilution protections.

Warrants and Convertible Notes

As of March 31, 2005, 14,893,520 shares of our common stock registered pursuant to this registration statement represent shares of our common stock that may be issued upon the exercise or conversion of warrants and convertible notes and related interest. The following descriptions of these warrants and convertible notes are only summaries of the instruments governing these warrants and convertible notes and are qualified in their entirety by reference to such instruments, each of which is incorporated by reference as an exhibit to the registration statement of which this prospectus forms a part.

Series A Warrants

As of March 31, 2005, our outstanding Series A Warrants were exercisable for 5,986,400 shares of our common stock. The Series A Warrants have an exercise price of \$1.00 per share of our common stock and are exercisable at

any time on or before October 7, 2006, after which time they expire. The exercise price per share of our common stock of the Series A Warrants is adjustable from time to time pursuant to the anti-dilution provisions set forth in the applicable warrant agreement.

Table of Contents

Series B Warrants

As of March 31, 2005, our outstanding Series B Warrants were exercisable for 1,566,668 shares of our common stock. The Series B Warrants have an exercise price of \$3.50 per share of our common stock and are exercisable at any time on or before August 23, 2009, after which time they expire. The exercise price per share of our common stock of the Series B Warrants is adjustable from time to time pursuant to the anti-dilution provisions set forth in the applicable warrant agreement.

Tranche A Note

As of March 31, 2005, we had outstanding \$3,000,000 principal amount of our Tranche A Note, which was convertible into 1,734,933 shares of our common stock as of such date, including unpaid interest accrued through March 31, 2005. The Tranche A Note bears interest at the prime rate plus 3% per annum. The Tranche A Note converts into our common stock at a rate of \$2.28 per share, and is convertible at any time on or before July 12, 2006, after which time the Tranche A Note matures and the conversion rights terminate. Accrued and unpaid interest on the Tranche A Note is capitalized into principal and thus the number of shares into which the Tranche A Note is convertible increases as unpaid interest accrues.

Tranche B Note

As of March 31, 2005, we had outstanding \$2,000,000 principal amount of our Tranche B Note, which was convertible into 1,451,806 shares of our common stock as of such date, including unpaid interest accrued through March 31, 2005. The Tranche B Note bears interest at the prime rate plus 3% per annum. The Tranche B Note converts into our common stock at a rate of \$1.80 per share, and is convertible at any time on or before July 12, 2006, after which time the Tranche B Note matures and the conversion rights terminate. Accrued and unpaid interest on the Tranche B Note is capitalized into principal and thus the number of shares into which the Tranche B Note is convertible increases as unpaid interest accrues.

Tranche A Warrants and Tranche B Warrants

As of March 31, 2005, our outstanding Tranche A Warrants were exercisable for 1,000,000 shares of our common stock and our Tranche B Warrants were exercisable for 667,000 shares of our common stock. The Tranche A Warrants have an exercise price of \$2.85 per share and the Tranche B Warrants have an exercise price of \$2.25 per share of our common stock and are exercisable at any time on or before July 12, 2006, after which time they expire. The exercise price per share of our common stock of the Tranche A Warrants and Tranche B Warrants, respectively, is adjustable from time to time pursuant to the anti-dilution provisions set forth in the applicable common stock purchase warrant.

AEG Warrants

As of March 31, 2005, our outstanding AEG Warrants were exercisable for 1,200,000 shares of our common stock. The AEG Warrants have an exercise price of \$0.75 per share of our common stock and are exercisable at any time on or before August 31, 2008, after which time they expire. The exercise price per share of our common stock of the AEG Warrants is adjustable from time to time pursuant to the anti-dilution provisions set forth in the governing stock purchase warrant.

Note Warrants

As of March 31, 2005, our outstanding Note Warrants were exercisable for 276,714 shares of our common stock. The Note Warrants have an exercise price of \$1.10 per share of our common stock and are exercisable at any time on or before October 7, 2006, after which time they expire. The exercise price per share of our common stock of the Note Warrants is adjustable from time to time pursuant to the anti-dilution provisions set forth in the applicable warrant agreement.

Table of Contents

Guaranty Warrants

As of March 31, 2005, our outstanding Guaranty Warrants were exercisable for 960,000 shares of our common stock. The Guaranty Warrants have an exercise price of \$1.10 per share of our common stock and are exercisable at any time on or before October 7, 2006, after which time they expire. The exercise price per share of our common stock of the Guaranty Warrants is adjustable from time to time pursuant to the anti-dilution provisions set forth in the applicable warrant agreement.

Stock Options

As of March 31, 2005, we had options outstanding to purchase an aggregate 4,046,150 shares of our common stock at an average exercise price of \$2.42. All options expire five years from the date of grant, unless our board of directors or its duly appointed committee sets an earlier expiration date at the time of grant.

Effect of Authorized and Unissued Capital Stock

Although an attempted takeover of our company is made unlikely by virtue of the ownership or control by our board of directors and management of more than 50% of the total voting power of our capital stock, one of the effects of the existence of authorized but unissued shares of our common stock and undesignated shares of our preferred stock may be to enable our board of directors to make more difficult or to discourage an attempt to obtain control of our company by means of a merger, tender offer, proxy contest or otherwise, and thereby to protect the continuity of our management. If, in the exercise of its fiduciary obligations, our board of directors were to determine that a takeover proposal is not in our company's best interest, such shares could be issued by our board of directors without shareholder approval in one or more transactions that might prevent or make more difficult or costly the completion of the takeover transaction by diluting the voting or other rights of the proposed acquiror or insurgent shareholder group, by creating a substantial voting block in institutional or other hands that might undertake to support the position of our incumbent board of directors, by effecting an acquisition that might complicate or preclude the takeover, or otherwise. Our restated articles of incorporation grant our board of directors broad power to establish the rights and preferences of our authorized and unissued preferred stock, one or more series of which could be issued that would entitle the holders thereof to:

vote separately as a class on any proposed merger or consolidation;

cast a proportionately larger vote together with our common stock, Series A Preferred Stock and Series B Preferred Stock on any such transaction or for all purposes;

elect directors having terms of office or voting rights greater than those of our other directors;

convert such preferred stock into a greater number of shares of our common stock or other securities;

demand redemption at a specified price under prescribed circumstances related to a change of control; or

exercise other rights designed to impede a takeover.

The issuance of shares of preferred stock pursuant to our board of directors' authority described above may adversely effect the rights of the holders of our common stock.

Certain Provisions of Louisiana Law

Although an attempted takeover of our company is made unlikely by virtue of the ownership or control by our board of directors and management of more than 50% of the total voting power of our capital stock, the provisions of Louisiana law described below may have the effect of making more difficult, or discouraging, an acquisition of our company deemed undesirable by our board of directors. The following descriptions are

Table of Contents

abbreviated summaries of detailed and complex statutes. For a complete understanding of these statutes, you should read them in their entirety.

Louisiana Control Share Acquisition Statute

Requirements of the Statute

Louisiana's control share acquisition statute (La. R.S. 12:135 *et seq.*) provides that any shares acquired by a person or group in an acquisition that causes such person or group to have the power to direct the exercise of voting power in the election of directors in excess of 20%, 33 1/3% or 50% thresholds shall have only such voting power as shall be accorded by the holders of all shares other than interested shares at a meeting called for the purpose of considering the voting power to be accorded to such shares. Interested shares include all shares as to which the acquiror, any officer of the company and any director of the company who is also an employee of the company may exercise or direct the exercise of voting power. If a meeting of shareholders is held to consider the voting rights to be accorded to the acquiror and the shareholders do not vote to accord voting rights to such shares, a company may have the right to redeem the shares held by the acquiror for their fair value.

Effects of the Statute

The statute may have the effect of making more difficult, or discouraging, an acquisition of our company deemed undesirable by our board of directors, although, as noted above, an attempted takeover of our company is made unlikely by virtue of the ownership or control by our board of directors and management of more than 50% of the total voting power of our capital stock.

Exclusion from Statute

The control share acquisition statute permits the articles of incorporation or by-laws of a company to exclude from the statute's application acquisitions occurring after the adoption of the exclusion. Our by-laws do contain such an exclusion; however, our board of directors or shareholders, by an amendment to our by-laws, could reverse this exclusion.

Louisiana Fair Price Protection Statute

Requirements of the Statute

Louisiana's fair price protection statute (La. R.S. 12:132 *et seq.*) is designed to discourage any party from (i) acquiring direct or indirect control of 10% or more of the voting power of certain Louisiana corporations (including our company) in the first step of a transaction and then, in a second step, squeezing out the remaining shareholders for a lower price or less favorable form of consideration, or (ii) engaging in other inequitable practices. Unless the second-step transaction meets certain requirements as to price and terms and satisfies other procedural requirements, the statute permits the second-step transaction to be accomplished only if it is recommended by the company's board of directors and approved by a supermajority vote of:

80% of the votes entitled to be cast by outstanding shares of the company's voting stock voting together as a single voting group, and

two-thirds of the votes entitled to be cast by holders of voting stock, other than voting stock held by the acquiring party and its affiliates, voting together as a single group.

These supermajority voting requirements apply unless:

the acquiring party, in the second step of the transaction, pays the other shareholders a price at least equal to the highest price per share paid by the acquiring party for company securities in the first-step transaction or within the two-year period immediately prior to the announcement date of

Table of Contents

the second-step transaction and, in addition, the form of consideration paid in the second-step transaction is cash or is the same as in the first-step transaction,

the company has not failed to declare and pay timely any dividends payable on preferred stock or reduced the annual rate of dividends, if any, paid on common stock,

the acquiring party has not received from the company any loans, guarantees or other financial assistance, and

certain other procedural requirements are met.

The supermajority voting requirements also do not apply, and the entire statute is, in effect, made inapplicable, if the company's board of directors has exempted the acquiring shareholder and its affiliates from the application of those requirements before the first-step transaction.

Effects of the Statute

The fair price protection statute is designed to prevent a purchaser from utilizing two-tier pricing and similar inequitable tactics in an attempted takeover. Without the fair price protection statute, a purchaser who acquired control of our company in the first step of a transaction could more easily compel the remaining shareholders in the second-step transaction to accept a lower price or less desirable form of consideration than that given to our other shareholders. The statute encourages potential purchasers to extend their offers to all shareholders and to negotiate the transaction with our board of directors prior to acquiring a substantial amount of our stock.

The statute may make it more costly for a purchaser to acquire control of our company because it requires higher percentage requirements for shareholder approval, and may cause the purchaser to pay a higher price to other shareholders. Thus, the statute may discourage such purchases, particularly those for less than all of our outstanding shares, and may therefore deprive at least some of our shareholders of an opportunity to sell their stock at attractive prices.

Exemptions Previously Granted

Pursuant to the authority granted by the Louisiana fair price protection statute, our board of directors has irrevocably exempted from the statute's supermajority voting requirements, and therefore has made the statute inapplicable to, transactions involving:

John N. Kapoor, Ph.D., the chairman of our board of directors and the Kapoor Trust or any beneficiary of the trust, who first invested in our company in November 1990;

any of the investors in the Exchange Transaction (i.e., our October 2003 financing transaction pursuant to which we issued our Series A Preferred Stock);

any of the investors in our August 2004 financing transaction pursuant to which we issued our Series B Preferred Stock; or

any affiliate or associate (as such terms are defined in the statute) of any of the foregoing persons and entities.

In each case, this action was taken by our board of directors because the exempted parties required the action to be taken as a condition to their initial investments in our company.

Table of Contents

Transfer Agent and Registrar

The transfer agent and registrar for our common stock is Computershare Investor Services, LLC. We serve as transfer agent and registrar for our Series A Preferred Stock and Series B Preferred Stock.

LEGAL MATTERS

The validity of the shares of common stock being offered hereby will be passed upon for us by Jones, Walker, Waechter, Poitevent, Carrère & Denègre, L.L.P., New Orleans, Louisiana.

EXPERTS

Our financial statements as of and for the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2003, included in this prospectus and in the registration statement have been audited by BDO Seidman, LLP, independent registered public accounting firm, and are included in reliance upon such report given upon the authority of said firm as experts in auditing and accounting.

The financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2002 included in this prospectus have been audited by Deloitte & Touche LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report appearing herein (which report expresses an unqualified opinion and includes an explanatory paragraph relating to our ability to continue as a going concern), and is included in reliance upon the report of such firm given upon their authority as experts in accounting and auditing.

WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION

We have filed a post-effective amendment to a registration statement on Form S-1 under the Securities Act, relating to the shares of common stock being offered by this prospectus, and reference is made to such registration statement. This prospectus constitutes the prospectus of Akorn, Inc., filed as part of the registration statement, and it does not contain all information in the registration statement, as certain portions have been omitted in accordance with the rules and regulations of the SEC.

We are subject to the informational requirements of the Exchange Act, which requires us to file reports, proxy statements and other information with the SEC. Such reports, proxy statements and other information may be inspected at public reference room of the SEC at Judiciary Plaza, 450 Fifth Street N.W., Washington D.C. 20549. Copies of such material can be obtained from the facility at prescribed rates. Please call the SEC toll free at 1-800-SEC-0330 for information about its public reference room. Because we file documents electronically with the SEC, you may also obtain this information by visiting the SEC's website at <http://www.sec.gov> or our website at <http://www.akorn.com>. Information contained in our web site is not part of this prospectus.

Our statements in this prospectus about the contents of any contract or other document are not necessarily complete. You should refer to the copy of our contract or other document we have filed as an exhibit to the registration statement for complete information.

You should rely only on the information incorporated by reference or provided in this prospectus. We have not authorized anyone else to provide you with different information. The selling stockholders are not making an offer of these securities in any state where the offer is not permitted. You should not assume that the information in this

prospectus is accurate as of any date other than the date on the front of the document. We furnish our stockholders with annual reports containing audited financial statements.

Table of Contents

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Audited Statements:

<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	F-2
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	F-3
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as December 31, 2004 and 2003</u>	F-4
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002</u>	F-5
<u>Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002</u>	F-6
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002</u>	F-7
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	F-8

F-1

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Board of Directors and Shareholders

Akorn, Inc.

Buffalo Grove, Illinois

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Akorn, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2004 and 2003 and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's managements. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Akorn, Inc. and Subsidiaries at December 31, 2004 and 2003 and the results of their operations and cash flows for the years then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

BDO Seidman, LLP

Chicago, Illinois

February 25, 2005

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of Akorn, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated financial statements of Akorn, Inc. and subsidiary (the Company) for the year ended December 31, 2002, as listed in the Index to Financial Statements. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the results of operations and cash flows of Akorn, Inc. and subsidiary for the year ended December 31, 2002, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2002 have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. The Company's losses from operations in recent years, working capital deficiency as of December 31, 2002, the need to refinance or extend its debt on a long-term basis and the need to successfully resolve the ongoing governmental proceedings, raise substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

Deloitte & Touche LLP

Chicago, Illinois
May 9, 2003

Table of Contents**AKORN, INC.****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(Dollars in Thousands, Except Share Data)**

	December 31,	
	2004	2003
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 4,110	\$ 218
Trade accounts receivable (less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$435 and \$609 at December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively)	6,582	1,626
Inventories	10,421	7,807
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	1,280	944
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS	22,393	10,595
PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT, NET	31,893	33,907
OTHER ASSETS		
Intangibles, net	11,618	12,872
Investment in Novadaq Technologies		713
Other	1,018	1,328
TOTAL OTHER ASSETS	12,636	14,913
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 66,922	\$ 59,415
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY		
CURRENT LIABILITIES		
Current installments of debt and debt in default	\$ 3,590	\$ 4,156
Trade accounts payable	5,397	5,411
Accrued compensation	499	510
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	1,674	1,882
TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES	11,160	11,959
Long-term debt, less current installments	6,790	13,777
Redeemable preferred stock, \$1.00 par value 5,000,000 shares authorized; 257,172 shares issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2003		21,132
Other long-term liabilities	1,646	1,156
TOTAL LIABILITIES	19,596	48,024
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES		
SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY		
Common stock, no par value 150,000,000 shares authorized; 25,132,684 and 19,825,296 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively	59,571	25,506
Series A Preferred Stock, \$1.00 par value 257,172 shares authorized and issued, 242,172 shares outstanding as of December 31, 2004	25,787	

Edgar Filing: AKORN INC - Form POS AM

Series B Preferred Stock, \$1.00 par value 170,000 shares authorized, 141,000 shares issued and 138,500 outstanding as of December 31, 2004	13,109	
Warrants to acquire common stock	14,160	13,724
Accumulated deficit	(65,301)	(27,839)
TOTAL SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY	47,326	11,391
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY	\$ 66,922	\$ 59,415

See notes to the consolidated financial statements.

F-4

Table of Contents**AKORN, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**
(In Thousands, Except Per Share Data)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2004	2003	2002
Revenues	\$ 50,708	\$ 45,491	\$ 51,419
Cost of sales	32,506	33,343	30,882
GROSS PROFIT	18,202	12,148	20,537
Selling, general and administrative expenses	13,300	15,544	18,988
Amortization and write down of intangibles	3,409	1,415	3,228
Research and development expenses	1,861	1,465	1,886
OPERATING EXPENSES	18,570	18,424	24,102
OPERATING LOSS	(368)	(6,276)	(3,565)
Interest expense	(4,218)	(3,157)	(3,150)
Loss on Exchange Transaction		(3,102)	
Gain related to disputed settlements	1,562		
Other income, net	6	39	2
LOSS BEFORE INCOME TAXES	(3,018)	(12,496)	(6,713)
Income tax provision (benefit)	8	(171)	6,239
NET LOSS	(3,026)	(12,325)	(12,952)
Preferred stock dividends and adjustments	(34,436)		
NET LOSS AVAILABLE TO COMMON STOCKHOLDERS	\$ (37,462)	\$ (12,325)	\$ (12,952)
NET LOSS PER SHARE:			
BASIC	\$ (1.80)	\$ (0.62)	\$ (0.66)
DILUTED	\$ (1.80)	\$ (0.62)	\$ (0.66)
SHARES USED IN COMPUTING NET LOSS PER SHARE:			
BASIC	20,817	19,745	19,589
DILUTED	20,817	19,745	19,589

See notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**AKORN, INC.**

**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2004, 2003 AND 2002
(In Thousands)**

	Common Stock Shares	Common Stock Amount	Series A Preferred Stock	Series B Preferred Stock	Warrants to acquire Common Stock	Retained Earnings (Accumulated Deficit)	Total
BALANCES AT DECEMBER 31, 2001	19,466	\$ 24,876	\$	\$	\$ 1,516	\$ (2,562)	\$ 23,830
Net loss						(12,952)	(12,952)
Intrinsic value of conversion feature in connection with the issuance of convertible debentures		114					114
Exercise of stock options	92	253					253
Employee stock purchase plan issuances	99	107					107
 BALANCES AT DECEMBER 31, 2002	 19,657	 25,350			 1,516	 (15,514)	 11,352
Net loss						(12,325)	(12,325)
Exchange Transaction Warrants:							
Issued to preferred stockholders					9,188		9,188
Issued to bank note guarantors					1,166		1,166
Issued to subordinated note holders					336		336
Due to consultants					1,518		1,518
Exercise of stock options	42	40					40
Employee stock purchase plan issuances	127	116					116
 BALANCES AT DECEMBER 31, 2003	 19,826	 25,506			 13,724	 (27,839)	 11,391
Net loss						(3,026)	(3,026)
Reclassification of Series A Preferred Stock			22,182				22,182
Issuance of Series B Preferred Stock and Warrants, net of issuance				9,914	3,130		13,044

costs								
Preferred stock dividends earned			822	300			(1,122)	
Intrinsic value of beneficial conversion features in convertible preferred stock	25,826	(22,862)	(2,964)					
Accretion to stated value of preferred stock		27,232	6,094				(33,326)	
Conversion of preferred stock into common stock	2,236	1,822	(1,587)	(235)				
Exercise of warrants into common stock	2,433	4,807			(2,771)	12	2,048	
Intrinsic value of beneficial conversion features in convertible interest		269						269
Adjust AEG warrant value due to dispute settlement					77			77
Exercise of stock options	594	1,233						1,233
Employee stock purchase plan issuances	44	108						108
BALANCES AT								
DECEMBER 31, 2004	25,133	\$ 59,571	\$ 25,787	\$ 13,109	\$ 14,160	\$ (65,301)	\$ 47,326	

See notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**AKORN, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**
(Dollars in Thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2004	2003	2002
OPERATING ACTIVITIES			
Net loss	\$ (3,026)	\$ (12,325)	\$ (12,952)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash from operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	4,075	3,783	4,510
Amortization of deferred financing fees	1,208	345	
Amortization of debt discount	945	509	519
Impairment of long-lived assets	2,037		2,362
Non-cash net loss on Exchange Transaction		1,518	
Non-cash expense related to preferred stock	1,064	589	
Gain related to dispute settlements	(1,562)		
Gain on disposal of long-lived assets	(6)	(36)	(23)
Deferred income taxes			5,919
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Trade accounts receivable	(4,956)	(350)	4,481
Income taxes recoverable		625	5,870
Inventories	(2,614)	2,594	(2,266)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(1,234)	257	179
Trade accounts payable	(14)	(217)	2,721
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	622	776	(1,963)
NET CASH (USED IN) PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES	(3,461)	(1,932)	9,357
INVESTING ACTIVITIES			
Purchases of property, plant and equipment	(689)	(1,819)	(5,440)
Proceeds from sale of investments	2,000		
Proceeds from sale of long-lived assets	6	76	125
Purchase of product intangibles and product licenses	(2,155)		
NET CASH USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES	(838)	(1,743)	(5,315)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES			
Proceeds under stock option and stock purchase plans	1,341	156	474
Repayments of long-term debt	(6,730)	(6,352)	(11,994)
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt		9,166	2,487
Net proceeds from Series B Preferred Stock issuance	13,044		
Change in line of credit	(1,500)	1,500	
Costs incurred in Exchange Transaction		(941)	
Proceeds from exercise of stock warrants	2,036		
NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) FINANCING ACTIVITIES	8,191	3,529	(9,033)
INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	3,892	(146)	(4,991)

Edgar Filing: AKORN INC - Form POS AM

CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF YEAR	218	364	5,355
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF YEAR	\$ 4,110	\$ 218	\$ 364

See notes to the consolidated financial statements.

F-7

Table of Contents

AKORN, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note A Business and Basis of Presentation

Business: Akorn, Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiary, Akorn (New Jersey), Inc. (collectively, the Company) manufacture and market diagnostic and therapeutic pharmaceuticals in specialty areas such as ophthalmology, rheumatology, anesthesia and antidotes, among others. Customers, including physicians, optometrists, wholesalers, group purchasing organizations and other pharmaceutical companies, are served primarily from three operating facilities in the United States. In September 2004, the Company, along with a venture partner, formed a mutually owned limited liability company, Akorn-Strides, LLC (the Joint Venture Company). See Note Q Business Alliances.

Basis of Presentation: The accompanying financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business. As a result of the debt refinancing and equity transactions disclosed in Notes G and H, the Company has substantially reversed its historical liquidity concerns. The Company believes that its current line of credit, together with cash generated from operations, will be sufficient to meet its near-term cash requirements.

Note B Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Consolidation: The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Akorn, Inc., its wholly owned subsidiary, Akorn (New Jersey) Inc., as well as the accounts and results of the Joint Venture Company. Intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

Use of Estimates: The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates. Significant estimates and assumptions for the Company relate to the allowance for doubtful accounts, chargebacks, rebates, product returns and discounts and the reserve for slow-moving and obsolete inventories, the carrying value of intangible assets and the carrying value of deferred income tax assets.

Revenue Recognition: The Company recognizes product sales for its ophthalmic and injectable business segments upon the shipment of goods. Revenue is recognized when all obligations of the Company have been fulfilled and collection of the related receivable is probable.

The Contract Services segment, which produces products for third party customers based upon their specification and at a pre-determined price, also recognizes sales upon the shipment of goods or upon delivery of the product as appropriate. Revenue is recognized when all obligations of the Company have been fulfilled and collection of the related receivable is probable.

Provision for estimated doubtful accounts, chargebacks, rebates, discounts and product returns is made at the time of sale and is analyzed and adjusted, if necessary, at each balance sheet date.

Cash Equivalents: The Company considers all highly liquid investments with maturity of three months or less when purchased, to be cash equivalents.

Accounts Receivable: The nature of the Company's business inherently involves, in the ordinary course, significant amounts and substantial volumes of transactions and estimates relating to allowances for doubtful accounts, product returns, chargebacks, rebates and discounts given to customers. This is a natural circumstance of the pharmaceutical industry and not specific to the Company and inherently lengthens the collection process. Depending on the product, the end-user customer, the specific terms of national supply contracts and the particular

Table of Contents

arrangements with the Company's wholesaler customers, certain rebates, chargebacks and other credits are deducted from the Company's accounts receivable. The process of claiming these deductions depends on wholesalers reporting to the Company the amount of deductions that were earned under the respective terms with end-user customers (which, in turn, depends on which end-user customer with different pricing arrangements might be entitled to a particular deduction). This process can lead to partial payments against outstanding invoices as the wholesalers take the claimed deductions at the time of payment.

Unless otherwise noted, the provisions and allowances for the following customer deductions are reflected in the accompanying financial statements as reductions of revenues and trade accounts receivable, respectively.

Shipping & Handling: The Company classifies freight costs and the freight billed to customers as a component of cost of sales.

Chargebacks and Rebates: The Company enters contractual agreements with certain third parties such as hospitals and group-purchasing organizations to sell certain products at predetermined prices. The parties have elected to have these contracts administered through wholesalers that buy the product from the Company. When a wholesaler sells products to one of the third parties that are subject to a contractual price agreement, the difference between the price paid to the Company by the wholesaler and the price under contract is charged back to the Company by the wholesaler. The Company tracks sales and submitted chargebacks by product number for each wholesaler. Utilizing this information, the Company estimates a chargeback percentage for each product. The Company reduces gross sales and increases the chargeback allowance by the estimated chargeback amount for each product sold to a wholesaler. The Company reduces the chargeback allowance when it processes a request for a chargeback from a wholesaler. Actual chargebacks processed can vary materially from period to period.

Management obtains certain wholesaler inventory reports to aid in analyzing the reasonableness of the chargeback allowance. The Company assesses the reasonableness of its chargeback allowance by applying the product chargeback percentage based on historical activity to the quantities of inventory on hand per the wholesaler inventory reports and an estimate of in-transit inventory that is not reported on the wholesaler inventory reports at the end of the period. In the first quarter of 2004, the Company obtained more precise information from the wholesalers to estimate the amount of in-transit inventory, which lowered its estimate of in-transit inventory. This resulted in the Company recognizing approximately \$500,000 less in chargeback expense in the first quarter of 2004. The Company intends to use this new information on a going forward basis as a more accurate estimate of in-transit inventory. Additionally, in the second quarter of 2004, the Company, in accordance with its policy, reduced its estimate of the percentage amount of wholesaler inventory that will ultimately be sold to a third party that is subject to a contractual price agreement. This reduction was made in reaction to a six-quarter trend of such sales being below the Company's previous estimates, thereby confirming that the reduced percentage was other than temporary. This estimate change resulted in approximately \$480,000 less in chargeback expense in the second quarter of 2004. The Company intends to use this revised estimate on a going forward basis until historical trends indicate that additional revisions should be made.

Similarly, the Company maintains an allowance for rebates related to contract and other programs with certain customers. Rebate percentages vary by product and by volume purchased by each eligible customer. The Company tracks sales by product number for each eligible customer and then applies the applicable rebate percentage, using both historical trends and actual experience to estimate its rebate allowance. The Company reduces gross sales and increases the rebate allowance by the estimated rebate amount when the Company sells its products to its rebate-eligible customers. The Company reduces the rebate allowance when it processes a customer request for a rebate. At each balance sheet date, the Company analyzes the allowance for rebates against actual rebates processed and makes necessary adjustments as appropriate. Actual rebates processed can vary materially from period to period.

Edgar Filing: AKORN INC - Form POS AM

The recorded allowances reflect the Company's current estimate of the future chargeback and rebate liability to be paid or credited to its wholesaler and other customers under the various contracts and programs. For the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, the Company recorded chargeback and rebate expense of \$16,915,000, \$12,836,000 and \$15,418,000, respectively. The allowance for chargebacks and rebates was \$5,406,000 and \$4,804,000 as of December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

F-9

Table of Contents

Product Returns: Certain of the Company's products are sold with the customer having the right to return the product within specified periods and guidelines for a variety of reasons, including but not limited to pending expiration dates. Provisions are made at the time of sale based upon tracked historical experience and by customer in some cases. In evaluating month-end allowance balances, the Company considers actual returns to date that are in process, the expected impact of any product recalls and the wholesaler's inventory information to assess the magnitude of unconsumed product that may result in a product return to the Company in the future. Actual returns processed can vary materially from period to period. For the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002 the Company recorded a provision for product returns of \$1,956,000, \$2,085,000 and \$2,574,000, respectively. The allowance for potential product returns was \$1,393,000 and \$1,077,000 at December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Doubtful Accounts: Provisions for doubtful accounts, which reflects trade receivable balances owed to the Company that are believed to be uncollectible, are recorded as a component of selling, general and administrative expenses. In estimating the allowance for doubtful accounts, the Company has:

Identified the relevant factors that might affect the accounting estimate for allowance for doubtful accounts, including: (a) historical experience with collections and write-offs; (b) credit quality of customers; (c) the interaction of credits being taken for discounts, rebates, allowances and other adjustments; (d) balances of outstanding receivables, and partially paid receivables; and (e) economic and other exogenous factors that might affect collectibility (e.g., bankruptcies of customers, channel factors, etc.).

Accumulated data on which to base the estimate for allowance for doubtful accounts, including: (a) collections and write-offs data; (b) information regarding current credit quality of customers; and (c) information regarding exogenous factors, particularly in respect of major customers.

Developed assumptions reflecting management's judgments as to the most likely circumstances and outcomes, regarding, among other matters: (a) collectibility of outstanding balances relating to partial payments; (b) the ability to collect items in dispute (or subject to reconciliation) with customers; and (c) economic and other exogenous factors that might affect collectibility of outstanding balances based upon information available at the time.

For the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, the Company recorded a net benefit for doubtful accounts of (\$43,000), (\$471,000), and (\$55,000), respectively, as recoveries and reduced reserve requirements exceeded write offs and newly identified collectibility concerns. The allowance for doubtful accounts was \$435,000, and \$609,000 as of December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively. As of December 31, 2004, the Company had a total of \$2,200,000 of past due gross accounts receivable, of which \$420,000 was over 60 days past due. The Company performs monthly a detailed analysis of the receivables due from its wholesaler customers and provides a specific reserve against known uncollectible items for each of the wholesaler customers. The Company also includes in the allowance for doubtful accounts an amount that it estimates to be uncollectible for all other customers based on a percentage of the past due receivables. The percentage reserved increases as the age of the receivables increases. Of the recorded allowance for doubtful accounts as of December 31, 2004 of \$435,000, the portion related to wholesaler customers is \$390,000 with the remaining \$45,000 reserve for all other customers.

Discounts: Cash discounts are available to certain customers based on agreed upon terms of sale. The Company evaluates the discount reserve balance against actual discounts taken. For the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, the Company recorded a provision for discounts of \$925,000, \$689,000 and \$1,014,000, respectively. The allowance for discounts was \$234,000 and \$94,000 as of December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Inventories: Inventories are stated at the lower of cost (average cost method) or market (see Note D Inventories). The Company maintains an allowance for slow-moving and obsolete inventory. For finished goods inventory, the Company estimates the amount of inventory that may not be sold prior to its expiration or is slow moving based upon recent sales activity by unit and wholesaler inventory information. The Company also analyzes its raw material and

component inventory for slow moving items. For the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, the Company recorded a provision for inventory obsolescence of \$1,290,000, \$940,000, and \$838,000,

F-10

Table of Contents

respectively. The allowance for inventory obsolescence was \$660,000 and \$917,000 as of December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Intangibles: Intangibles consist primarily of product licensing and other such costs that are capitalized and amortized on the straight-line method over the lives of the related license periods or the estimated life of the acquired product, which range from 3 years to 18 years. Accumulated amortization at December 31, 2004 and 2003 was \$13,367,000 and \$9,958,000, respectively. Amortization expense was \$1,372,000, \$1,415,000 and \$1,411,000 for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively. The Company regularly assesses the impairment of intangibles based on several factors, including estimated fair market value and anticipated cash flows. The Company recorded impairment charges on certain intangible assets which totaled \$2,037,000 for 2004 and \$1,817,000 for 2002. (See Note S Asset Impairment Charges).

The amortization expense of acquired intangible assets, absent any further impairments, for each of the five years ending December 31, 2009 will be as follows (in thousands):

For the year ended 12/31/05	\$ 1,468
For the year ended 12/31/06	1,397
For the year ended 12/31/07	1,366
For the year ended 12/31/08	1,366
For the year ended 12/31/09	1,358

Property, Plant and Equipment: Property, plant and equipment is stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is provided using the straight-line method in amounts considered sufficient to amortize the cost of the assets to operations over their estimated service lives or lease terms. The average estimated service lives of buildings, leasehold improvements, furniture and equipment, and automobiles are approximately 30, 10, 10, and 5 years, respectively. Depreciation expense was \$2,703,000, \$3,058,000 and \$3,098,000 for 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

Net Loss Per Common Share: Basic net loss per common share is based upon weighted average common shares outstanding. Diluted net loss per common share is based upon the weighted average number of common shares outstanding, including the dilutive effect, if any, of stock options, warrants and convertible securities using the treasury stock and if converted methods. However, due to net losses in each of the last three years, the Company had no dilutive stock options, warrants or convertible securities. Antidilutive shares excluded from the computation of diluted net loss per share include 59,229,000, 53,402,000 and 7,528,000 for 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively, related to options, warrants and convertible securities.

Stock Based Compensation: The Company applies the intrinsic-value-based method of accounting prescribed by Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees to account for its fixed-plan stock options. Under this method, compensation expense is recorded on the date of grant only if the current market price of the underlying stock exceeds the exercise price. Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation , established accounting and disclosure requirements using a fair-value-based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation plans. As allowed by SFAS No. 123, as originally issued, the Company has elected to continue to apply the intrinsic-value-based method of accounting described above, and has adopted only the disclosure requirements of SFAS No. 123. The Company accounts for the plans under APB Opinion No. 25, under which no compensation cost has been recognized for the stock option awards to employees, since the exercise price of the options granted was equal to the market value on the date of the grant. See Note J Stock Options and Employee Stock Purchase Plan .

Table of Contents

Had compensation cost for the Company's stock-based compensation plans been determined based on SFAS No. 123, the Company's loss and net loss per share for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002 would have been the pro forma amounts indicated below (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	2004	2003	2002
Net loss, as reported	\$ (3,026)	\$ (12,325)	\$ (12,952)
Add stock based employee compensation expense, included in reported net loss			
Deduct total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair-value-based method for all awards	(3,233)	(1,969)	(1,665)
Pro forma net loss	(6,259)	(14,294)	(14,617)

Income Taxes: Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are determined based on differences between financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities, and net operating loss and other tax credit carryforwards. These items are measured using the enacted tax rates and laws that will be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse. The Company records a valuation allowance to reduce the deferred income tax assets to the amount that is more likely than not to be realized.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments: The Company's financial instruments include cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable and term debt. The fair values of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable and accounts payable approximate fair value because of the short maturity of these instruments. The carrying amounts of the Company's bank and subordinated borrowings approximate fair value because the interest rates are reset periodically to reflect current market rates.

Reclassifications: Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the 2004 year presentation.

Table of Contents**Note C Allowance for Customer Deductions**

The activity in various allowance accounts is as follows (in thousands):

	Doubtful Accounts Years			Returns		
	Ended			Years Ended December 31,		
	December 31,			Years Ended December 31,		
	2004	2003	2002	2004	2003	2002
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 609	\$ 1,200	\$ 3,706	\$ 1,077	\$ 1,166	\$ 548
Provision (recovery)	(43)	(471)	(55)	1,956	2,085	2,574
Charges	(131)	(120)	(2,451)	(1,640)	(2,174)	(1,956)
Balance at end of year	\$ 435	\$ 609	\$ 1,200	\$ 1,393	\$ 1,077	\$ 1,166

	Discounts			Chargebacks and Rebates		
	Years Ended December 31,			Years Ended December 31,		
	2004	2003	2002	2004	2003	2002
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 94	\$ 172	\$ 143	\$ 4,804	\$ 4,302	\$ 4,190
Provision	925	689	1,014	16,915	12,836	15,418
Charges	(785)	(767)	(985)	(16,313)	(12,334)	(15,306)
Balance at end of year	\$ 234	\$ 94	\$ 172	\$ 5,406	\$ 4,804	\$ 4,302

Note D Inventories

The components of inventories are as follows (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2004	2003
Finished goods	\$ 5,194	\$ 3,510
Work in process	1,380	1,385
Raw materials and supplies	3,847	2,912
	\$ 10,421	\$ 7,807

The Company maintains an allowance for excess and obsolete inventory. The activity in this account is as follows (in thousands):

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2004	2003	2002
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 917	\$ 1,206	\$ 1,845
Provision	1,290	940	838
Charges	(1,547)	(1,229)	(1,477)

Balance at end of year	\$ 660	\$ 917	\$ 1,206
------------------------	--------	--------	----------

Note E Investment in Novadaq Technologies

In the first quarter of 2002, the Company received an equity ownership in Novadaq Technologies, Inc., (Novadaq), of 4,000,000 common shares (representing approximately 16.4% of the outstanding shares) as part of a settlement between the Company and Novadaq. The Company had previously advanced \$690,000 to Novadaq for development costs and recorded these advances as an intangible asset. Based on the settlement, the Company had reclassified these advances as an Investment in Novadaq. In the fourth quarter of 2002, the Company received an additional 132,000 shares of Novadaq, valued at \$23,000 which was recorded as a gain in 2002 pursuant to a pre-existing agreement with another third party. In 2004, the Company and Novadaq reached an agreement on a separate dispute whereby Novadaq repurchased the Company s holdings in Novadaq for \$2,000,000. The settlement resulted in a gain of \$1,287,000 which is part of the \$1,562,000 gain related to disputed settlements in the 2004 Consolidated Statement of Operations. (See Note N)

Table of Contents**Note F Property, Plant and Equipment**

Property, plant and equipment consist of the following (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2004	2003
Land	\$ 396	\$ 396
Buildings and leasehold improvements	9,325	8,890
Furniture and equipment	27,516	27,117
Automobiles	55	55
	37,292	36,458
Accumulated depreciation	(24,337)	(21,636)
	12,955	14,822
Construction in progress	18,938	19,085
	\$ 31,893	\$ 33,907

Construction in progress represents capital expenditures principally related to the Company's lyophilization facility. The accumulated lyophilization facility spending through December 31, 2004 was \$18,513,000. The Company capitalized interest expense related to the lyophilization project of \$220,000 and \$1,166,000 in 2004 and 2003, respectively. The Company estimates an additional \$2,000,000 in spending will be required to complete the expansion (excluding capitalized interest). Subject to the Company's ability to generate operating cash flows or obtain new financing, the Company anticipates completing the lyophilization facility in late 2005 and being fully operational in 2006. The Company can make no assurances that it will be able to complete this project within its estimated timeframe or at all, and if not, material impairment charges may be required.

Note G Financing Arrangements

The Company's long-term debt consists of (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2004	2003
Credit Agreement with LaSalle Bank:		
Line of Credit	\$	\$ 1,500
Term Loans		6,415
Convertible subordinated debentures	5,000	5,000
Mortgage payable	1,307	1,623
Promissory note to NeoPharm, Inc.	3,250	3,250
2003 Subordinated Notes	2,767	2,767
	12,324	20,555
Less unamortized discount on debt	(1,944)	(2,622)
Less current installments and debt in default	(3,590)	(4,156)

Long-term debt	\$ 6,790	\$ 13,777
----------------	----------	-----------

Maturities of debt (reflecting debt in default as being due in 2005) are as follows (in thousands):

Year ending December 31:		
2005		\$ 3,590
2006		8,132
2007		394
2008		208
Total		\$ 12,324

In December 1997, the Company entered into a \$45,000,000 (as amended) revolving credit agreement with The Northern Trust Company (Northern Trust). Borrowings under this credit agreement were secured by substantially all of the assets of the Company and bore floating interest rates that were 7.25% at September 30, 2003. The Company went into default under the Northern Trust credit agreement in 2002 and thereafter operated under an agreement under which Northern Trust would agree to forbear from exercising its remedies (the

Table of Contents

Forbearance Agreement). The Forbearance Agreement provided for additional borrowings and was extended on numerous occasions in 2003.

On October 7, 2003, a group of investors (the Investors) purchased all of the Company s then outstanding senior bank debt from Northern Trust , a balance of \$37,731,000, at a discount and exchanged such debt with the Company (the Exchange Transaction) for (i) 257,172 shares of Series A 6.0% Participating Convertible Preferred Stock (Series A Preferred Stock), (ii) subordinated promissory notes in the aggregate principal amount of \$2,767,139 (the 2003 Subordinated Notes), (iii) warrants to purchase an aggregate of 8,572,400 shares of the Company s common stock with an exercise price of \$1.00 per share (Series A Warrants), and (iv) \$5,473,862 in cash from the proceeds of the term loan under the New Credit Facility described in a following paragraph. The 2003 Subordinated Notes and cash were issued by the Company to (a) The John N. Kapoor Trust dated 9/20/89 (the Kapoor Trust), the sole trustee and sole beneficiary of which is Dr. John N. Kapoor, the Company s Chairman of the Board of Directors and the holder of a significant stock position in the Company, (b) Arjun Waney, a director and the holder of a significant stock position in the Company, and (c) Argent Fund Management Ltd., for which Mr. Waney serves as Chairman and Managing Director and 52% of which is owned by Mr. Waney. The Company also issued to the holders of the 2003 Subordinated Notes warrants to purchase an aggregate of 276,714 shares of common stock with an exercise price of \$1.10 per share.

As a result of the Exchange Transaction, the Company recorded transaction costs of approximately \$3,102,000. The transaction costs consisted principally of cash and securities owed to restructuring and investment banking professionals that provided services directly related to the extinguishment of the Northern Trust debt.

In accounting for the Exchange Transaction, the Company first reduced the carrying amount of the Northern Trust debt by the cash paid to Investors. The remaining carrying value was then allocated among the three securities issued to fully extinguish the debt based on the relative fair values of those securities. Accordingly, the Series A Preferred Stock, the 2003 Subordinated Notes and the Series A Warrants were initially recorded at \$20,874,000, \$2,046,000 and \$9,337,000, respectively, before, in the case of the 2003 Subordinated Notes, the discount described below and before, in the case of the stock securities, related issuance costs of \$480,000. The fair value of the Series A Warrants was estimated by the Company using the same method and estimates as described for the warrants issued with the 2003 Subordinated Notes. All unexercised warrants expire on October 7, 2006.

Simultaneously with the consummation of the Exchange Transaction, the Company entered into a credit agreement with LaSalle Bank National Association (LaSalle Bank) providing the Company with two Term Loans (collectively, the Term Loans) which consisted of a \$5,500,000 term loan A, and a \$1,500,000 term loan B totaling \$7,000,000, and a revolving line of credit of up to \$5,000,000 (the Revolver) to provide for working capital needs (collectively, the New Credit Facility) secured by substantially all of the assets of the Company. The obligations of the Company under the New Credit Facility had been guaranteed by the Kapoor Trust and Mr. Waney. In exchange for this guaranty, the Company issued additional warrants (Guarantee Warrants) to purchase 880,000 and 80,000 shares of common stock to the Kapoor Trust and Mr. Waney, respectively, and had agreed to issue to each of them, on each anniversary of the date of the consummation of the Exchange Transaction, warrants to purchase additional shares of common stock; however, the guarantees were terminated before the first anniversary, as described below. The warrants issued in exchange for these guarantees have an exercise price of \$1.10 per share.

The New Credit Facility matures on October 7, 2005. The Term Loans bore interest at prime plus 1.75% and were paid in full on August 23, 2004. The Revolver bears interest at prime plus 1.50% (6.75% as of December 31, 2004). Availability under the Revolver is determined by the sum of (i) 80% of eligible accounts receivable, (ii) 30% of raw material, finished goods and component inventory excluding packaging items, not to exceed \$2,500,000 and (iii) the difference between 90% of the forced liquidation value of machinery and equipment (\$4,092,000 as of August 2003) and \$1,750,000. The availability as of December 31, 2004 was \$5,000,000. The New Credit Facility contains certain

restrictive covenants including but not limited to certain financial covenants such as EBITDA to interest expense and Senior Debt to EBITDA ratios. The New Credit Facility also contains subjective covenants providing that the Company would be in default if, in the judgment of the lenders, there is a material adverse change in the Company's financial condition. Because the New Credit Facility also requires the Company to maintain its deposit accounts with LaSalle Bank, the existence of these subjective covenants, pursuant to EITF Abstract No. 95-22, requires that outstanding borrowings under the Revolver be classified as a current liability. On August 13, 2004, the Company entered into the First Amendment to the New Credit Facility. Among other things, the First

Table of Contents

Amendment amended certain financial covenants and LaSalle Bank agreed to waive certain events of default arising out of noncompliance with certain obligations. Certain financial conditions in the Kapoor Trust guaranty were also amended as a result of the First Amendment. On August 26, 2004, the Company entered into the Second Amendment to the New Credit Facility, which released the Kapoor Trust guaranty and eliminated certain event of default provisions that were related to the Kapoor Trust guaranty. In addition, on August 27, 2004, LaSalle Bank cancelled each of the irrevocable standby letters of credit posted by Dr. Kapoor and Mr. Waney. On October 8, 2004, the Company entered into the Third Amendment to the New Credit Facility (the Third Amendment) which waived events of default associated with the issuing of the AEG Warrants and the NeoPharm Promissory Note default. In addition, the Third Amendment amended definitions of the Computation Period and EBITDA to Interest Expense Ratio for covenant calculations.

As more fully described in Note H, the Company issued additional preferred stock and warrants on August 23, 2004. A portion of the related proceeds was used to pay off the Term Loans and pay down the Revolver. The Company continues to maintain the Revolver which, as of December 31, 2004, had an outstanding balance of zero.

In 2001, the Company entered into a \$5,000,000 convertible subordinated debt agreement (the Convertible Note Agreement) consisting of a \$3,000,000 Tranche A note (Tranche A Note) and a \$2,000,000 Tranche B note (Tranche B Note) with the Kapoor Trust. Borrowing under the Convertible Note Agreement are due December 20, 2006, bear interest at prime plus 3.0% (8.25% as of December 31, 2004), and were issued with detachable warrants to purchase approximately 1,667,000 shares of common stock. Interest cannot be paid under the Convertible Note Agreement until the termination of the New Credit Facility. The convertible feature of the convertible subordinated debt, as amended, allows the Kapoor Trust to immediately convert the subordinated debt plus interest into common stock of the Company, at a price of \$2.28 per share of common stock for Tranche A Note and \$1.80 per share of common stock for Tranche B Note.

The Company, in accordance with APB Opinion No. 14, recorded the convertible subordinated debt and related warrants as separate securities. Furthermore, in accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Abstract No. 00-27, the Company has also computed and recorded a separate amount related to the intrinsic value of the conversion option related to the debt. The resultant debt discount of \$3,024,000, equivalent to the value assigned to the warrants and the intrinsic value of the conversion option, is being amortized and charged to interest expense over the life of the subordinated debt. Additionally, as the accrued interest on the convertible subordinated debt is also convertible into common stock, it may also result in separately recordable beneficial conversion amounts. Such amounts are recorded when the price of the Company's common stock is higher than the conversion rate when the interest is accrued. The beneficial conversion feature amount related to interest was \$269,000 and \$0 in 2004 and 2003, respectively, and was recorded as an increase to paid-in-capital and as additional debt discount amortizable over the remaining term of the convertible subordinated debt. Related debt discount amortization was \$573,000, \$588,000 and \$519,000 in 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

In December 2001, the Company entered into a \$3,250,000 five-year loan with NeoPharm, Inc. (NeoPharm) to fund the Company's efforts to complete its Lyophilization facility located in Decatur, Illinois. This note (the NeoPharm Promissory Note) was executed in conjunction with a processing agreement that provides NeoPharm with the option of securing at least 15% of the capacity of the Company's Lyophilization facility each year. Dr. John N. Kapoor, the Company's chairman, is also a director of NeoPharm and holds a substantial equity position in NeoPharm as well as in the Company. On September 30, 2003, the Company defaulted under the NeoPharm Promissory Note as a result of its failure to remove all FDA warning letter sanctions related to the Company's Decatur, Illinois manufacturing facility by June 30, 2003. On September 30, 2003, the Company defaulted under the Convertible Note Agreement as a result of a cross-default to the NeoPharm Promissory Note.

In connection with the Exchange Transaction, the Kapoor Trust and NeoPharm waived all existing defaults under their respective agreements and entered into amended agreements dated October 7, 2003. Interest under the NeoPharm Promissory Note accrues at 1.75% above LaSalle Bank's prime rate (7.00% as of December 31, 2004). Interest payments under both agreements are currently prohibited under the terms of a subordination arrangement with LaSalle Bank. The amended NeoPharm Promissory Note also requires us to make quarterly payments of \$150,000 beginning on the last day of the calendar quarter during which all indebtedness under the New Credit Facility has been paid and the commitment for the senior debt has been terminated. All remaining amounts owed under the amended NeoPharm Promissory Note are payable at maturity on December 20, 2006. The Kapoor Trust

Table of Contents

amendment did not change the interest rate or the maturity date of the Tranche A Note and Tranche B Note under the Convertible Note Agreement.

On October 6, 2004, the Company received a notice from NeoPharm, indicating that an event of default had occurred on the outstanding NeoPharm Promissory Note. The notice stated that an event of default was triggered when a processing agreement between NeoPharm and the Company, which was contractually obligated to go into effect on or before October 1, 2004, failed to occur. The processing agreement failed to become effective, in part, because of an inability to remove the sanctions imposed by the FDA on the Company's Decatur manufacturing facility. The event of default under the NeoPharm Promissory Note also triggered a cross-default provision under the Convertible Note Agreement and the New Credit Facility. The Kapoor Trust has waived the cross-default. On October 8, 2004, the Company entered into the Third Amendment to the New Credit Facility (the Third Amendment). Among other things, the Third Amendment amended certain of the financial covenants and LaSalle Bank agreed to waive certain events of default arising out of noncompliance with certain obligations, including noncompliance arising from the event of default under the NeoPharm Promissory Note. Pursuant to the subordination agreement with LaSalle Bank, the Company may not make any payments to NeoPharm and NeoPharm may not enforce any remedies against the Company under the NeoPharm Promissory Note, until the senior debt is paid in full and the commitment for the senior debt is terminated. Consequently, NeoPharm cannot take any actions that would have an adverse financial impact to the Company. However, because of its default, the Company has recorded the \$3,250,000 of debt and \$362,000 of accrued interest as current obligations as of December 31, 2004. The Company is currently trying to resolve this matter with NeoPharm.

As part of the Exchange Transaction, the Company issued the 2003 Subordinated Notes to the Kapoor Trust, Arjun Waney and Argent Fund Management, Ltd. The 2003 Subordinated Notes mature on April 7, 2006 and bear interest at prime plus 1.75% (7.00% as of December 31, 2004), but interest payments are currently prohibited under the terms of a subordination arrangement between LaSalle and the note holders. The 2003 Subordinated Notes are subordinate to the New Credit Facility and the amended NeoPharm Promissory Note but senior to the Convertible Note Agreement. The Company also issued to the holders of the 2003 Subordinated Notes warrants (the Note Warrants) to purchase an aggregate of 276,714 shares of common stock with an exercise price of \$1.10 per share. All unexercised Note Warrants expire on October 7, 2006. The Company, in accordance with APB Opinion No. 14, recorded the initial issuance of the 2003 Subordinated Notes and Note Warrants as separate securities. The fair value of the Note Warrants was estimated on the date of issuance using the modified Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions: (i) dividend yield of zero, (ii) expected volatility of 127.5%, (iii) risk free rate of 2.19%, and (iv) expected life of 3 years. As a result, the Company assigned a value of \$336,000 to Note Warrants and recorded this amount in shareholders' equity and as a discount, along with the spread between the face value of the debt and its initial recorded value as described above, on the 2003 Subordinated Notes. Related debt discount amortization was \$373,000 and \$61,000 in 2004 and 2003, respectively.

In June 1998, the Company entered into a \$3,000,000 mortgage agreement with Standard Mortgage Investors, LLC of which there were outstanding borrowings of \$1,307,000 and \$1,623,000 at December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively. The principal balance is payable over 10 years, with the final payment due in June 2008. The mortgage note bears an interest rate of 7.375% and is secured by the real property located in Decatur, Illinois.

As part of the Exchange Transaction, the Company recorded \$1,627,000 as deferred financing costs, including the value of the Guarantee Warrants. This amount is being amortized as a component of interest expense over the life of the related debt or guarantee. With the retirement of the Term Loans and related guarantee terminations in the third quarter of 2004, the remaining guarantee warrant amortization and deferred financing costs not related to the Revolver were charged to interest expense, resulting in \$245,000 of additional amortization. Deferred financing costs relating to the Revolver continue to be amortized. Including these adjustments, amortization in 2004 and 2003 was \$1,208,000 and \$345,000, respectively.

Note H Preferred Stock

The Series A Preferred Stock accrues dividends at a rate of 6.0% per annum, which rate is fully cumulative, accrues daily and compounds quarterly. While the dividends could be paid in cash at the Company's option, such dividends are currently being deferred and added to the Series A Preferred Stock balance. All shares of Series A Preferred Stock have liquidation rights in preference over junior securities, including the common stock, and have

F-17

Table of Contents

certain anti-dilution protections. The Series A Preferred Stock and unpaid dividends are convertible at any time into a number of shares of common stock equal to the quotient obtained by dividing (x) \$100 per share plus any accrued but unpaid dividends on that share by (y) \$0.75, as such numbers may be adjusted from time to time pursuant to the terms of the Company's Restated Articles of Incorporation. All shares of Series A Preferred Stock shall convert to shares of common stock on the earlier to occur of (i) October 8, 2006 and (ii) the date on which the closing price per share of common stock for at least 20 consecutive trading days immediately preceding such date exceeds \$4.00 per share. Until the Company's shareholders approved certain provisions regarding the Series A Preferred Stock (the Stockholders Approval), which occurred in July 2004, the Series A Preferred Stock had a mandatory redeemable feature in October 2011.

Holders of Series A Preferred Stock have full voting rights, with each holder entitled to a number of votes equal to the number of shares of common stock into which its shares can be converted. Holders of Series A Preferred Stock and common stock shall vote together as a single class on all matters submitted to a shareholder vote, except in cases where a separate vote of the holders of Series A Preferred Stock is required by law or by the Company's Restated Articles of Incorporation. The Company's Restated Articles of Incorporation provide that the Company cannot take certain actions, including (i) issuing additional Series A Preferred Stock or securities senior to or on par with the Series A Preferred Stock, (ii) amending the Company's Restated Articles of Incorporation or By-laws to alter the rights of the Series A Preferred Stock, (iii) effecting a change of control or (iv) effecting a reverse split of the Series A Preferred Stock, without the approval of the holders of 50.1% of the Series A Preferred Stock.

Immediately after the Exchange Transaction, the Investors held approximately 75% of the aggregate voting rights represented by outstanding shares of common and Series A Preferred Stock. After the Exchange Transaction and assuming the exercise of all outstanding conversion rights, warrants and options to acquire common stock, the Investors would hold approximately 77% of the common stock, on a fully-diluted basis. Prior to the Exchange Transaction, the Investors held approximately 35% of the outstanding voting securities and would have held approximately 42% of the common stock on a fully-diluted basis.

The initially recorded amount of the Series A Preferred Stock, as described in Note G, was \$5,174,000 below its stated value. The Company, up through the Stockholders Approval date, had been accreting this difference over the time period from issuance to the mandatory redemption date in October 2011. Accretion in 2004 and 2003 was \$267,000 and \$220,000, respectively.

Pursuant to FASB No. 150, Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of Both Liabilities and Equity, as amended, the Series A Preferred Stock was originally reflected as a liability because of its mandatory redemption feature. That characterization remained through July 8, 2004 and as such, dividends have been reflected as interest expense in the statement of operations through July 8, 2004. As a result of the Stockholders Approval on July 8, 2004, the carrying value of the Series A Preferred Stock was reclassified into shareholders' equity and future accretion and dividends are reflected as adjustments to accumulated deficit and are shown in the financial statements as impacting income (loss) available to common stockholders. Additionally, and in accordance with EITF Abstract No. 00-27, the Company also recorded in July 2004 the value of the conversion option imbedded at issuance in each share of Series A Preferred Stock, subject to limitations described in the EITF abstract. That value, approximately \$20,874,000, reduced the carrying value of the Series A Preferred Stock to near zero with an offsetting credit to common stock. The carrying value of the Series A Preferred Stock was then adjusted to its full aggregated stated value, plus unpaid dividends (approximately \$26,552,000) with a charge directly to accumulated deficit. That charge did not impact net earnings for the third quarter, but substantially reduced earnings available to common stockholders and earnings per share for that period.

On August 23, 2004, the Company issued an aggregate of 141,000 shares of Series B 6.0% Participating Preferred Stock (Series B Preferred Stock) at a price of \$100 per share, convertible into common stock at a price of \$2.70 per

share, to certain investors, with warrants to purchase 1,566,668 additional shares of common stock exercisable until August 23, 2009, with an exercise price of \$3.50 per share (the Series B Warrants) The net proceeds to the Company after payment of investment banker fees and expenses and other transaction costs of approximately \$1,056,000 were approximately \$13,044,000. A portion of these proceeds were used to pay off the Term Loans and reduce the Revolver to zero. Remaining proceeds are available for working capital and other general corporate purposes, including validation testing of the Company s Lyophilization facility. In accounting for the issuance of the Series B Preferred Stock and Series B Warrants, the Company recorded additional charges

F-18

Table of Contents

directly to accumulated deficit of \$5,998,000. That charge did not impact net earnings for the third quarter, but substantially reduced earnings available to common stockholders and earnings per share for that period.

Series B Preferred Stock accrues dividends at a rate of 6.0% per annum, which rate is fully cumulative, accrues daily and compounds quarterly. While the dividends could be paid in cash at the Company's option, such dividends are currently being deferred and added to the Series B Preferred Stock balance. Each share of Series B Preferred Stock, and accrued and unpaid dividends with respect to each such share, is convertible by the holder thereof at any time into a number of shares of our common stock equal to the quotient obtained by dividing (x) \$100 plus any accrued but unpaid dividends on such share by (y) \$2.70, as such numerator and denominator may be adjusted from time to time pursuant to the anti-dilution provisions of the Company's Restated Articles of Incorporation governing the Series B Preferred Stock. The Company has the option of converting all shares of Series B Preferred Stock into shares of the Company's common stock on any date after August 23, 2005 as to which the closing price per share of the common stock for at least 20 consecutive trading days immediately preceding such date exceeds \$5.00 per share.

As required under the terms of the Series B Preferred Stock, the Company, in October 2004, completed a registration with the Securities and Exchange Commission of the common shares into which the Series B Preferred Stock is convertible. Due to that registration, the holders of the Series B Preferred Stock can no longer put their shares back to the Company. Accordingly, the Series B Preferred Stock was reclassified into equity from debt in October 2004.

Immediately after the private placement, the purchasers of Series B Preferred Stock held approximately 31% of the aggregate voting rights represented by outstanding shares of common stock and Preferred Stock. After the private placement and assuming the exercise of all outstanding conversion rights, warrants and options to acquire common stock, the purchasers of Series B Preferred Stock would hold approximately 9% of the common stock, on a fully-diluted basis. Prior to the private placement, the purchasers of Series B Preferred Stock held approximately 5% of the outstanding voting securities and would have held approximately 18% of the common stock on a fully-diluted basis.

Note I Leasing Arrangements

The Company leases real and personal property in the normal course of business under various operating leases, including non-cancelable and month-to-month agreements. Payments under these leases were \$1,549,000, \$1,562,000 and \$1,838,000 for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

The following is a schedule, by year, of future minimum rental payments required under non-cancelable operating leases (in thousands):

Year ending December, 31	
2005	\$ 1,566
2006	1,562
2007	1,572
2008	744
2009 and thereafter	185
Total	\$ 5,629

Note J Stock Options and Employee Stock Purchase Plan

Under the 1988 Incentive Compensation Program (the Incentive Program) which expired November 2, 2003, any officer or key employee of the Company was eligible to receive options as designated by the Company s Board of Directors. The exercise price of the options granted under the Incentive Program were not to be less than 50 percent of the fair market value of the shares subject to the option on the date of grant, as determined by the Board of Directors. All options granted under the Incentive Program during the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002 have exercise prices equivalent to the market value of the Company s common stock on the date of grant. Options granted under the Incentive Program generally vest over a period of three years and expire within a period of five years. Under the Akorn, Inc. 2003 Stock Option Plan (the 2003 Plan), 1,747,000 options have been granted to employees. These options generally vest over a period of three years and expire within a period of five years.

Table of Contents

Under the 1991 Stock Option Plan for Directors (the Directors Plan), which expired in December 7, 2001, persons elected as directors of the Company were granted nonqualified options at the fair market value of the shares subject to option on the date of the grant. Options granted under the Directors Plan vested immediately and expire five years from the date of grant. Under the 2003 Plan, 85,000 options have been granted to directors. The 2003 Plan was approved by the shareholders in July 2004.

A summary of the status of the Company's stock options as of December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002 and changes during the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002 is presented below (shares in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,					
	2004		2003		2002	
	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at beginning of period	3,478	\$ 2.30	2,997	\$ 2.93	3,226	\$ 3.72
Granted	1,832	\$ 2.79	1,102	\$ 1.09	1,131	\$ 2.23
Exercised	(597)	\$ 2.55	(42)	\$ 0.93	(92)	\$ 2.19
Expired/Canceled	(350)	\$ 2.62	(579)	\$ 3.83	(1,268)	\$ 4.82
Outstanding at end of period	4,363	\$ 2.46	3,478	\$ 2.30	2,997	\$ 2.93
Options exercisable at end of period	3,118	\$ 2.37	2,076	\$ 2.72	1,940	\$ 3.10
Options available for future grant	2,802		1,077		1,349	
Weighted average fair value of options granted during the period		\$ 2.18		\$ 1.16		\$ 1.56

The fair value of each option granted during the year ended December 31, 2004 was estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions: (i) dividend yield of zero%, (ii) expected volatility of 95%, (iii) risk-free interest rate of 3.4% and (iv) expected life of 5 years.

The fair value of each option granted during the year ended December 31, 2003 was estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions: (i) dividend yield of zero%, (ii) expected volatility of 104%, (iii) risk-free interest rate of 4.0% and (iv) expected life of 5 years.

The fair value of each option granted during the year ended December 31, 2002 was estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions: (i) dividend yield of zero%, (ii) expected volatility of 86%, (iii) risk-free interest rate of 4.4% and (iv) expected life of 5 years.

The following table summarizes information about stock options outstanding at December 31, 2004 (shares in thousands):

Number Outstanding December 31, 2004	Options Outstanding		Options Exercisable	
	Weighted Average Remaining	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number Exercisable at December 31, 2004	Weighted Average Exercise Price

Range of Exercise Prices		Contractual Life							
\$0.50	\$0.75	151	3.4 years	\$	0.71	85	\$	0.69	
\$0.76	\$1.00	463	2.9 years	\$	0.93	274	\$	0.94	
\$1.01	\$2.00	1,411	3.7 years	\$	1.76	1,266	\$	1.76	
\$2.01	\$3.50	1,719	3.9 years	\$	2.83	994	\$	2.48	
\$3.51	\$4.00	388	2.8 years	\$	3.61	273	\$	3.58	
\$4.01	\$5.00	6	4.8 years	\$	4.11	1	\$	4.11	
\$5.01	\$6.00	101	1.1 years	\$	5.31	101	\$	5.31	
\$6.01	\$7.50	79	0.2 years	\$	6.23	79	\$	6.23	
\$7.51	\$10.00	45	0.4 years	\$	8.29	45	\$	8.29	
		4,363				3,118			

The Company applies APB Opinion No. 25 and related interpretations in accounting for its plans. Accordingly, no compensation expense has been recognized for its stock option plans.

The Akorn, Inc. Employee Stock Purchase Plan permits eligible employees to acquire shares of the Company's common stock through payroll deductions not exceeding 15% of base wages, at a 15% discount from market price. A maximum of 1,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock may be acquired under the terms of the Plan. New shares issued under the plan approximated 44,000 in 2004, 127,000 in 2003, and 99,000 in 2002.

Table of Contents**Note K Income Taxes**

The income tax provision (benefit) consisted of the following (in thousands):

	Current	Deferred	Total
Year ended December 31, 2004			
Federal	\$ 6	\$	\$ 6
State	2		2
	\$ 8	\$	\$ 8
Year ended December 31, 2003			
Federal	\$	\$	\$
State	(171)		(171)
	\$ (171)	\$	\$ (171)
Year ended December 31, 2002			
Federal	\$ (293)	\$ 3,585	\$ 3,292
State	613	2,334	2,947
	\$ 320	\$ 5,919	\$ 6,239

Income tax expense (benefit) differs from the expected tax expense (benefit) computed by applying the U.S. Federal corporate income tax rate of 34% to income before income taxes as follows (in thousands):

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2004	2003	2002
Computed expected tax expense (benefit)	\$ (1,027)	\$ (4,191)	\$ (2,283)
Change in income taxes resulting from:			
State income taxes, net of federal income tax	(145)	(765)	(323)
Nondeductible preferred stock accretion and other permanent differences	926		
Valuation allowance change	254	4,816	9,216
Other, net		(31)	(371)
Income tax expense (benefit)	\$ 8	\$ (171)	\$ 6,239

Net deferred income tax assets at December 31, 2004 and 2003 include (in thousands):

December 31, 2004	December 31, 2003
----------------------------------	----------------------------------

Edgar Filing: AKORN INC - Form POS AM

Deferred income tax assets:		
Other accrued expenses	\$ 268	\$ 378
Intangible assets	1,168	448
Net operating loss carry forwards.	11,655	13,666
Other	3,576	2,144
	16,667	16,636
Valuation allowance	(14,140)	(13,886)
	2,527	2,750
Deferred income tax liabilities:		
Property, plant and equipment, net	(2,527)	(2,750)
	(2,527)	(2,750)
Net	\$ 0	\$ 0

The Company records a valuation allowance to reduce the deferred income tax assets to the amount that is more likely than not to be realized. In performing its analysis of whether a valuation allowance to reduce the deferred income tax asset was necessary, the Company considered both negative and positive evidence. Based upon this analysis, the negative evidence outweighed the positive evidence in determining the amount of the net deferred income tax assets that are more likely than not to be realized. Based upon its analysis, the Company established a valuation allowance to reduce the net deferred income tax assets to zero. The Company has net operating loss carry forwards of approximately \$29.8 million expiring from 2021 through 2024.

Table of Contents**Note L Retirement Plan**

All employees who have attained the age of 21 are eligible for participation in the Company's 401(k) Plan. The plan-related expense for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002 totaled \$276,000, \$198,000 and \$242,000, respectively. The employer's matching contribution is a percentage of the amount contributed by each employee and is funded on a current basis.

Note M Segment Information

The Company classifies its operations into three business segments, Ophthalmic, Injectable and Contract Services. The Ophthalmic segment manufactures, markets and distributes diagnostic and therapeutic pharmaceuticals. The Injectable segment manufactures, markets and distributes injectable pharmaceuticals, primarily in niche markets. The Contract Services segment manufactures products for third party pharmaceutical and biotechnology customers based on their specifications. The Company's basis of accounting in preparing its segment information is consistent with that used in preparing its consolidated financial statements.

Selected financial information by industry segment is presented below (in thousands):

	Years ended December 31,		
	2004	2003	2002
Revenues			
Ophthalmic	\$ 29,812	\$ 26,056	\$ 29,579
Injectable	12,341	12,155	12,977
Contract Services	8,555	7,280	8,863
Total revenues	\$ 50,708	\$ 45,491	\$ 51,419
Gross profit/(loss)			
Ophthalmic	\$ 14,486	\$ 7,967	\$ 13,917
Injectable	3,288	4,309	5,955
Contract Services	428	(128)	665
Total gross profit	18,202	12,148	20,537
Operating expenses	18,570	18,424	24,102
Total operating loss	(368)	(6,276)	(3,565)
Interest and other expense, net	(2,650)	(6,220)	(3,148)
Loss before income taxes	\$ (3,018)	\$ (12,496)	\$ (6,713)

The Company manages its business segments to the gross profit level and manages its operating and other costs on a company-wide basis. Intersegment activity at the gross profit level is minimal. The Company does not identify assets by segment for internal purposes, as certain manufacturing and warehouse facilities support more than one segment.

Note N Commitments and Contingencies

(i) The FDA issued a Warning Letter to the Company in October 2000 following a routine inspection of its Decatur manufacturing facility. An FDA Warning Letter is intended to provide notice to a company of violations of the laws administered by the FDA and to elicit voluntary corrective action. Until the violations identified in the Warning Letter are corrected, the FDA frequently will withhold approval of any marketing applications (ANDAs, NDAs) submitted by the Company and will share contents of the Warning Letter with government agencies (for example, the Veterans Administration or the Department of Defense) that may contract to purchase products from the Company. Failure to take effective corrective actions can result in FDA enforcement action such as monetary fines, seizure of products, or injunction that could suspend manufacturing and compel recall of products.

The Warning Letter addressed several deviations from regulatory requirements identified during the inspection and requested that the Company take corrective actions. Since then, additional FDA inspections in 2002 and 2003 found that certain deviations continued unresolved and identified additional deviations. The Company has invested approximately \$2,000,000 in improved cleaning validation and enhanced process controls and has developed a comprehensive corrective action plan. The Company has been in regular communications with the FDA and has provided periodic reports of the Company's progress in making corrections. In 2004, the FDA has

Table of Contents

conducted two additional inspections of the Company's Decatur manufacturing facility. The first, concluded on April 7, 2004, identified several deviations for which the Company provided the FDA proposed corrective actions. The FDA initiated no enforcement action. Rather, the FDA notified the Company that another confirmatory inspection would be made to determine whether the deviations identified have been corrected. The confirmatory inspection concluded November 19, 2004. It identified deviations and the Company has responded to the FDA with corrective actions. The Company has met with the FDA and provided the status of the Company's corrective actions. The FDA has advised the Company that the findings of the latest inspection are under review and a final agency decision on the Company's regulatory status has not been made. The FDA may conclude that the findings of the latest inspection do not represent significant deviations and the Company's voluntary corrective actions are sufficient, in which case, the Company can expect the FDA to remove the sanctions of the Warning Letter. If, however, the FDA concludes that the deviations are significant and the Company's voluntary actions have not been adequate, it may initiate enforcement action including the following: (1) maintain the Warning Letter sanctions or issue a new Warning Letter with sanctions; (2) seek a court-ordered injunction which may include suspension of some or all operations at the Decatur manufacturing facility until compliance is achieved, recall of certain products, potential monetary penalties or other sanctions; or (3) seize the Company's products produced at the Decatur manufacturing facility. Any of these actions could significantly impair the Company's ability to continue to manufacture and distribute products, generate cash from the Company's operations, and may result in a covenant violation under the Company's senior debt.

To date, the noncompliance of the Company's Decatur manufacturing facility has prevented it from developing additional products at Decatur, some of which cannot be developed at the Company's other facility. The inability to fully use its Decatur manufacturing facility has had a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations.

Unless and until the Company corrects the FDA deviations at its Decatur manufacturing facility, it is doubtful the FDA will approve any applications that may be submitted by the Company for products to be manufactured in Decatur. This has adversely impacted, and is likely to continue to adversely impact the Company's ability to grow sales. See Item 3. Legal Proceedings. See Item 1. Factors that may affect future results. Our Decatur, Illinois manufacturing facility is the subject of an FDA warning letter.

(ii) On March 6, 2002, we received a letter from the United States Attorney's Office, Central District of Illinois, Springfield, Illinois, advising us that the DEA had referred a matter to that office for a possible civil legal action for alleged violations of the Comprehensive Drug Abuse Prevention Control Act of 1970, 21 U.S.C. § 801 et. seq. (Comprehensive Drug Act) and regulations promulgated thereunder. The alleged violations relate to record keeping and controls surrounding the storage and distribution of controlled substances. On November 6, 2002, we entered into a Civil Consent Decree with the DEA (the Civil Consent Decree). Under terms of the Civil Consent Decree, without admitting any of the allegations in the complaint from the DEA, we agreed to pay a fine of \$100,000, upgrade our security system and to remain in substantial compliance with the Comprehensive Drug Act. If we failed to remain in substantial compliance during the two-year period following the entry of the Civil Consent Decree, we, in addition to other possible sanctions, might have been held in contempt of court and ordered to pay an additional \$300,000 fine. We completed the upgrades to our security system in 2003 and have received no further notice from the DEA in connection with the Civil Consent Decree. The two-year compliance period lapsed on November 6, 2004. We were inspected by the DEA in February 2005 and the DEA has not informed us of any further violations.

(iii) The Company was party to a License Agreement with Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Lab (JHU/APL) effective April 26, 2000, and amended effective July 15, 2001. Pursuant to the License Agreement, the Company licensed two patents from JHU/APL for the development and commercialization of a diagnosis and treatment for age-related macular degeneration (AMD) using Indocyanine Green (ICG). As a result of the dispute, on March 29, 2002, the Company commenced a lawsuit in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, seeking declaratory and other relief against JHU/APL. On July 3, 2002, the Company reached an agreement with

JHU/APL with regard to the dispute that had arisen between the two parties. The Company and JHU/APL mutually agreed to terminate their license agreement. In exchange for relinquishing its rights to the JHU/APL patent rights, the Company received an abatement of the \$300,000 due to JHU/APL at March 31, 2002 and a payment of \$125,000 to be received by August 3, 2002. The Company also has the right to receive 15% of all cash payments and 20% of all equity received by JHU/APL from any license of the JHU/APL patent rights less any

F-23

Table of Contents

cash or equity returned by JHU/APL to such licensee. The combined total of all such cash and equity payments are not to exceed \$1,025,000. The \$125,000 payment is considered an advance towards cash payments due from JHU/APL and will be credited against any future cash payments due the Company as a result of JHU/APL's licensing efforts. As a result of the resolved dispute above, the Company recorded an asset impairment charge of \$1,559,500 in the second quarter of 2002. The impairment amount represents the net value of the asset recorded on the balance sheet of the Company less the \$300,000 payment abated by JHU/APL and the \$125,000 payment from JHU/APL. The \$125,000 payment was received on August 3, 2002. In the fourth quarter of 2002, the Company learned that JHU/APL had licensed their two patents related to AMD to Novadaq. In connection with the settlement of a prior dispute with Novadaq in January 2002, as discussed below, the Company had previously acquired an equity interest in Novadaq. Pursuant to the settlement with JHU/APL, the Company is entitled to 20% of all equity received by JHU/APL from any license of the patent rights. Therefore, the Company received an additional 132,000 shares of Novadaq, valued at \$23,000 which was recorded as a gain in the fourth quarter of 2002.

(iv) On August 9, 2003, Novadaq notified the Company that it had requested arbitration related to a dispute between the Company and Novadaq regarding the issuance of a Right of Reference. The Company would have been obligated to provide a Right of Reference under the January 4, 2002 Supply Agreement between the two companies. The Company did not believe it was obligated to provide the Right of Reference which, if provided, would likely reduce the required amount of time for clinical trials and reduce Novadaq's cost of developing a product for macular degeneration. The Company was also contemplating the possible development of a separate product for macular degeneration which, if developed, could face competition from any product developed by Novadaq. On June 4, 2004, an agreement was reached between the Company and Novadaq, whereby the Company would provide the requested Right of Reference to Novadaq in exchange for Novadaq's repurchase of the Company's holdings in Novadaq at a purchase price of \$2,000,000. Proceeds were received in July 2004, used to reduce outstanding debt obligations, and a gain of approximately \$1,287,000 was reported during the third quarter of 2004.

(v) On September 25, 2003, the Company consented to the entry of an administrative cease and desist order to resolve the issues arising from the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) staff's investigation related to its allegations that internal control and books and records deficiencies prevented the Company from accurately recording, reconciling and aging its accounts receivable which had resulted in a 2003 restatement of the Company's financial statements for 2000 and 2001 to record a \$7,500,000 increase to the allowance for doubtful accounts as of December 31, 2000, which it had originally recorded as of March 31, 2001. Without the Company admitting or denying the findings set forth therein, the consent order finds that the Company failed to keep accurate books and records and failed to devise and maintain a system of adequate internal accounting controls with respect to its accounts receivable in violation of Sections 13(b)(2)(A) and 13(b)(2)(B) of the Exchange Act. The consent order did not impose a monetary penalty against the Company or require any additional restatement of the Company's financial statements. The consent order contained additional commitments by the Company related to certain corporate governance actions and reporting. The Company has met each commitment.

(vi) On October 8, 2003, the Company, pursuant to the terms of the Letter Agreement dated September 26, 2002 between the Company and AEG Partners LLC (AEG), terminated AEG. On August 2 and 3, 2004, the Company and AEG participated in a mandatory and binding arbitration hearing related to a dispute concerning our compensation of AEG in its capacity as our chief restructuring officer. The arbitrator took the matter under submission and rendered his decision dated August 19, 2004, which was received on August 23, 2004. The arbitrator's decision directed the following: (1) payment to AEG for the sum of \$300,000, plus interest of 5% per annum from October 7, 2003 (approximately \$13,479), (2) issuance of warrants to AEG to purchase 1,250,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.75 per share, and (3) denial of AEG's request that the Company pay AEG's attorneys' fees and costs. As a result of the arbitrator's decision, the Company reported a one-time net gain of approximately \$295,000 in the third quarter of 2004. If AEG decides to exercise all of the AEG Warrants, the Company will receive \$937,500 at the above-noted exercise price of \$0.75 per share. It was determined none of the anti-dilution provisions in our

outstanding securities were triggered by the issuance of the AEG Warrants.

(vii) On February 23, 2004, we were sued in the United States District Court for the District of Arizona for damages resulting from the death of an Arabian show horse allegedly injected with the drug Sarapin in the summer of 2003. The case was dismissed with prejudice on January 7, 2005.

Table of Contents

The Company is a party in other legal proceedings and potential claims arising in the ordinary course of its business. The amount, if any, of ultimate liability with respect to such matters cannot be determined. Despite the inherent uncertainties of litigation, management of the Company at this time does not believe that such proceedings will have a material adverse impact on the financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows of the Company.

Note O Supplemental Cash Flow Information (in thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2004	2003	2002
Interest and taxes paid:			
Interest (net of amounts capitalized)	\$ 434	\$ 2,289	\$ 3,150
Income taxes	2		613
Noncash investing and financing activities:			
Reduction of liability in exchange for intangible asset			300
Investment in Novadaq received in exchange for intangible asset equipment			713
Exchange Transaction:			
Warrants in exchange for consulting services		1,518	
Debt extinguished with other securities		32,257	
Preferred stock issued to extinguish debt		20,874	
Subordinated debt issued to extinguish debt		2,046	
Warrants issued to extinguish debt		9,337	

Note P Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2003, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 46 (FIN 46), Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities , with the objective of improving financial reporting by companies involved with variable interest entities. A variable interest entity is a corporation, partnership, trust, or other legal structure used for business purposes that either (a) does not have equity investors with sufficient voting rights to direct decisions of the entity, or (b) has equity investors that do not provide sufficient financial resources for the equity to support its activities. Historically, entities generally were not consolidated unless the entity was controlled through voting interests. FIN 46, as amended by FIN 46(R) in 2004, changes that by requiring a variable interest entity to be consolidated by a company if that company is subject to a majority of risk of loss from the variable interest entity s activities or entitled to receive a majority of the entity s residual returns, or both. A company that consolidates a variable interest entity is called the primary beneficiary of that entity. FIN 46(R) also requires disclosures about variable interest entities that a company is not required to consolidate but in which it has significant variable interest. The consolidation requirements of FIN 46(R) apply immediately to variable interest entities created after January 1, 2003. The consolidation requirements of FIN 46(R) apply to existing entities in the first fiscal year or interim period beginning after June 15, 2003. Also, certain disclosure requirements apply to all financial statements issued after January 31, 2003, regardless of when the variable interest entity was established. As more fully described in Note Q, the Company is required to consolidate its 50%-owned venture, Akorn-Strides, LLC (the Joint Venture Company).

In May 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 150, Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity. SFAS 150 establishes standards for how entities classify and measure in their statement of financial position certain financial instruments with characteristics of both liabilities and equity. The provisions of SFAS 150 are effective for financial statements entered into or modified after May 31, 2003. As a result of SFAS No. 150, the Company had reflected the Series A Preferred Stock issued as part of the Exchange Transaction as a long-term liability until shareholder approval.

In November 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 151, Inventory Costs-an amendment of ARB No. 43, Chapter 4 (SFAS 151). SFAS 151 clarifies the accounting for abnormal amounts of idle facility expense, freight, handling costs, and wasted material (spoilage) to require that these items be included as current-period charges and not included in overhead. In addition, SFAS 151 requires that allocation of fixed production overheads to the costs of conversion be based on the normal capacity of the production facilities. The provisions of SFAS 151 are effective for inventory costs incurred during fiscal years beginning June 15, 2005. The Company is in the process of evaluating the requirements of SFAS 151 but do not expect the adoption of SFAS 151 to have a material effect on the Company's financial statements.

F-25

Table of Contents

In December 2004, the FASB issued Staff Position no. FAS 109-2, Accounting and Disclosure Guidance for the Foreign Earnings Repatriation Provision within the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 (FAS 109-2). The American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 allows for a special one-time dividends received deduction on the repatriation of certain foreign earnings to a U.S. taxpayer if certain criteria are met. The provisions of FAS 109-2 were effective immediately upon issuance. The Company does not expect that the adoption of FAS 109-2 will have a significant impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFA No. 123 (revised 2004). Share-based Payment, which is a revision of SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-based Compensation, SFAS No. 123(R) supercedes APB Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees and amends SFAS No. 95, Statement of Cash Flows. Generally, the approach in SFAS No. 123(R) requires all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, to be recognized in the income statement based on their fair values. Pro forma disclosure is no longer an alternative. The new standard will be effective as of July 1, 2005. The Company have not yet assessed the impact of adopting this new standard, however, the Company expects the impact to be similar to the pro forma impacts as described in Note B.

Note Q Business Alliances

On April 21, 2004, the Company announced the signing of a memo of understanding with Strides Arcolab Limited (Strides), a pharmaceutical manufacturer based in India. As a result of negotiations following the execution of the memo of understanding, on September 22, 2004, the Company entered agreements with Strides for the development, manufacturing and marketing of grandfathered products, patent-challenging products and ANDA products for the U.S. hospital and retail markets. The Joint Venture Company formed by Strides and us is a Delaware limited liability company. Strides will be responsible for developing, manufacturing and supplying products under an OEM Agreement between it and the Joint Venture Company. The Company will be responsible for sales and marketing of the products under an exclusive Sales and Marketing Agreement with the Joint Venture Company. The Company and Strides each own 50% of the Joint Venture Company with equal management representation. Each will contribute \$1,250,000 in capital, to be used to finance the preparation of ANDAs by Strides. As of December 31, 2004, the Company had funded its \$1,250,000 capital contribution to the Joint Venture Company. In February 2005, the Company loaned an additional \$1,250,000 to the Joint Venture Company that was advanced to Strides to finance its capital contribution. Under the OEM Agreement, the respective contributions were advanced to Strides to finance the preparation, development and filing with the FDA of ANDAs for generic drugs based on a mutually agreed development schedule. The Joint Venture Company will have exclusive rights to FDA approved generic drugs within the United States hospital, medical clinic, physician group and other wholesale drug markets. If within a mutually agreed time period, Strides manufacturing facilities in India have not received a satisfactory cGMP inspection by the FDA, which remains current, and twelve ANDAs for products developed by Strides at its manufacturing facilities in India have not been submitted to the FDA, among other things, the Company will become the sole owner of the Joint Venture Company and the Joint Venture Company will be entitled to draw on a \$1,250,000 letter of credit from an Indian bank that is confirmed by a U.S. bank. On the other hand, if these conditions are met, and if both managers agree, the Company and Strides may make additional equivalent capital contributions to finance subsequent ANDA preparation costs under a similar arrangement to its initial capital contributions, including an additional loan by the Company to the Joint Venture Company to finance Strides capital contribution. Pursuant to the requirements of FIN 46(R), because the Company funded Strides capital contribution (even though that funding is supported by a letter of credit ultimately in favor of the Company), the Company is required to consolidate the Joint Venture Company until such time as the Company's loan is collected. Those collections are expected to occur when the Joint Venture Company begins to sell the products that Strides is currently contracted to develop into ANDAs. Accordingly, in the consolidated financial statements of the Company, its 2004 contribution to the Joint Venture Company is eliminated. The advance of the initial \$1,250,000 from the Joint Venture Company to Strides is reflected as an other current asset and is being amortized over the mutually agreed upon development schedule period. Amortization expense for 2004

was \$375,000. The Company has not and will not record a minority interest receivable to recognize Strides' 50% portion of the Joint Venture Company losses until such time as Strides has contributed capital at risk. Because of this, the Company has recorded 100% of the Joint Venture Company losses in the Company's 2004 results of operations.

On October 15, 2004, the Company entered into an agreement with Serum Institute of India, Ltd. (Serum), in an exclusive drug development and distribution agreement for oncology and other injectable drug

Table of Contents

products for the United States and Canada. Under the terms of the five-year agreement Serum will develop and manufacture certain drug products and the Company will be responsible for all regulatory submissions, will own the ANDAs and will buy the products from Serum under a negotiated transfer price arrangement, which guarantees minimum annual purchases of \$1,000,000 per product in order to maintain exclusivity. Additionally, the Company will market and sell the products in the United States and Canada under the Company's label.

On November 16, 2004, the Company entered into an agreement with Hameln Pharmaceuticals (Hameln), a private German pharmaceuticals company, to license and supply to the Company two Orphan Drug NDA's: Calcium-DTPA and Zinc-DTPA. The two drugs were approved on August 11, 2004 by the FDA, and are indicated as antidotes for the treatment of radioactive poisoning. Sales for the two drugs commenced in the fourth quarter of 2004. Under the agreement, Hameln provided the Company an exclusive license for an initial term of five years with automatic successive two-year extensions. The Company has paid a one-time 1,550,000 Euro (\$2,095,000) license fee, which is reflected as an intangible asset being amortized over a seven year period. The Company is responsible for marketing and distributing both drugs in the U.S. and Canada. The Company will pay Hameln the greater of 50% of its gross revenues or a minimum transfer price for the product. Hameln will be responsible for the manufacturing of both drugs for the Company. The Company will be responsible for the payment of any annual FDA establishment fees and for the cost of any post approval studies.

Note R Customer and Supplier Concentration

AmeriSourceBergen Health Corporation (AmeriSource), Cardinal Health, Inc. (Cardinal) and McKesson Drug Company (McKesson) are all distributors of the Company's products, as well as suppliers of a broad range of health care products. The percentage impact that these customers had on the Company's business as of and for the years ended as indicated is as follows:

	2004			2003			2002	
	Gross		Gross	Gross		Gross	Gross	
	Sales	Revenue	Acct. Receivables	Sales	Revenue	Acct. Receivables	Sales	Revenue
AmeriSource	14%	10%	17%	19%	15%	13%	28%	22%
Cardinal	25%	20%	51%	19%	14%	22%	18%	12%
McKesson	18%	16%	6%	16%	15%	17%	11%	8%

No other customer accounted for more than 10% of gross sales, net revenues or gross trade receivables for the indicated dates and periods.

If sales to either AmeriSource, Cardinal or McKesson were to diminish or cease, the Company believes that the end users of its products would find little difficulty obtaining the Company's products either directly from the Company or from another distributor.

No supplier of products accounted for more than 10% of the Company's purchases in 2003 or 2002. In 2004, purchases from Cardinal Health PTS, LLC accounted for approximately 17% of its purchases. The Company requires a supply of quality raw materials and components to manufacture and package pharmaceutical products for its own use and for third parties with which it has contracted. The principal components of the Company's products are active and inactive pharmaceutical ingredients and certain packaging materials. Many of these components are available from only a single source and, in the case of many of the Company's ANDAs and NDAs, only one supplier of raw materials has been identified. Because FDA approval of drugs requires manufacturers to specify their proposed suppliers of active ingredients and certain packaging materials in their applications, FDA approval of any new

supplier would be required if active ingredients or such packaging materials were no longer available from the specified supplier. The qualification of a new supplier could delay the Company's development and marketing efforts. If for any reason the Company is unable to obtain sufficient quantities of any of the raw materials or components required to produce and package its products, it may not be able to manufacture its products as planned, which could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations.

Note S Asset Impairment Charges

During 2004, the Company recorded impairment charges of \$2,037,000 related to product license intangible assets for Biolon, Erythromycin, Cromolyn Sodium, AKWA Tears, and Tears Renewed in its ophthalmic segment. The Company determined that projected profitability on the products was not sufficient to support the

F-27

Table of Contents

carrying value of the intangible assets. The recording of these charges reduced the carrying value of the intangible assets related to these product licenses to zero.

In the third quarter of 2002, the Company recorded an impairment charge of \$545,000 to write-off abandoned construction projects and dispose of certain other fixed assets in its Ophthalmic segment.

During the third quarter of 2002, the Company recorded an impairment charge of \$257,000 related to the product license intangible assets for the products Sublimaze, Inapsine, Paradrine and Dry Eye test in its Injectable segment. The Company determined that projected profitability on the products was not sufficient to support the carrying value of the intangible asset. The recording of this charge reduced the carrying value of the intangible assets related to these product licenses to zero.

In the second quarter of 2002, the Company settled a dispute with JHU/APL regarding a license agreement and the associated patent with a net carrying value of \$1,559,500 which was written-off as an impaired intangible asset during the second quarter in its Ophthalmic segment (See Note N).

Table of Contents**PART II****INFORMATION NOT REQUIRED IN PROSPECTUS****Item 13. Other Expenses of Issuance and Distribution**

The expenses in connection with the issuance and distribution of the securities being registered in this registration statement are set forth in the following table. The selling security holders will bear none of the following expenses. All amounts except the registration fee are estimated.

Registration Fees	\$ 23,091
Transfer Agent Fees	\$ 400
Printing and Engraving Costs	\$ 40,000
Legal Fees	\$ 100,000
Accounting Fees	\$ 70,000
Miscellaneous	\$ 25,000
 Total	 \$ 258,491

Item 14. Indemnification of Directors and Officers

Section 83A(1) of the Louisiana Business Corporation Law (LBCL) permits a corporation to indemnify any person who was or is a party or is threatened to be made a party to any action, suit, or proceeding, whether civil, criminal, administrative, or investigative, including any action by or in the right of the corporation, by reason of the fact that he is or was a director, officer, employee, or agent of the corporation, or is or was serving at the request of the corporation as a director, officer, employee, or agent of another business, foreign or nonprofit corporation, partnership, joint venture, or other enterprise, against expenses, including attorneys' fees, judgments, fines, and amounts paid in settlement actually and reasonably incurred by him in connection with such action, suit, or proceeding if he acted in good faith and in a manner he reasonably believed to be in, or not opposed to, the best interests of the corporation, and, with respect to any criminal action or proceeding, had no reasonable cause to believe his conduct was unlawful.

Section 83A(2) of the LBCL provides that, in case of actions by or in the right of the corporation, the indemnity shall be limited to expenses, including attorneys' fees and amounts paid in settlement not exceeding, in the judgment of the board of directors, the estimated expense of litigating the action to conclusion, actually and reasonably incurred in connection with the defense or settlement of such action, and that no indemnification shall be made in respect of any claim, issue, or matter as to which such person shall have been adjudged by a court of competent jurisdiction, after exhaustion of all appeals therefrom, to be liable for willful or intentional misconduct in the performance of his duty to the corporation, unless, and only to the extent that the court shall determine upon application that, despite the adjudication of liability but in view of all the circumstances of the case, he is fairly and reasonably entitled to indemnity for such expenses which the court shall deem proper.

Section 83(B) of the LBCL provides that to the extent that a director, officer, employee or agent of a corporation has been successful on the merits or otherwise in defense of any such action, suit or proceeding, or in defense of any claim, issue or matter therein, he shall be indemnified against expenses (including attorneys' fees) actually and reasonably incurred by him in connection therewith.

Edgar Filing: AKORN INC - Form POS AM

Any indemnification under Section 83A of the LBCL, unless ordered by the court, shall be made by the corporation only as authorized in a specific case upon a determination that the applicable standard of conduct has been met, and such determination shall be made:

By the board of directors by a majority vote of a quorum consisting of directors who were not parties to such action, suit, or proceeding, or

If such a quorum is not obtainable and the board of directors so directs, by independent legal counsel, or

II-1

Table of Contents

By the shareholders.

The indemnification provided for by Section 83 of the LBCL shall not be deemed exclusive of any other rights to which the person indemnified is entitled under any bylaw, agreement, authorization of shareholders or directors, regardless of whether directors authorizing such indemnification are beneficiaries thereof, or otherwise, both as to action in his official capacity and as to action in another capacity while holding such office, and shall continue as to a person who has ceased to be a director, officer, employee, or agent and shall inure to the benefit of his heirs and legal representative; however, no such other indemnification measure shall permit indemnification of any person for the results of such person's willful or intentional misconduct.

Section 24 of the LBCL provides that the articles of incorporation of a corporation may contain a provision eliminating or limiting the personal liability of a director or officer to the corporation or its shareholders for monetary damages for breach of fiduciary duty as a director or officer, provided that such provision shall not eliminate or limit the liability of a director or officer:

For any breach of the director's or officer's duty of loyalty to the corporation or its shareholders;

For acts or omissions not in good faith or which involve intentional misconduct or a knowing violation of law;

Who knowingly or without the exercise of reasonable care and inquiry votes in favor of a dividend paid in violation of Louisiana law, any other unlawful distribution, payment or return of assets to be made to the shareholders or stock purchases or redemptions in violation of Louisiana law; or

or any transaction from which the director or officer derived an improper personal benefit.

Article XII of our articles of incorporation contains the provisions permitted by Section 24 of the LBCL. We have entered into indemnity agreements with each of our directors pursuant to which, to the fullest extent permitted by Article XII of our articles of incorporation, our directors are not to be liable for any breach of their fiduciary duty. In addition, subject to limitations contained in the indemnity agreement and except as otherwise prohibited by law, to the extent any expenses incurred by our directors are in excess of the amounts reimbursed or indemnified pursuant to the provisions of the indemnity agreement, we agreed to indemnify and hold harmless each director against any expenses actually and reasonably incurred by such director (as they are incurred) in connection with any claim against such director by reason of his position as our director or officer or of any of our subsidiaries or as a fiduciary with respect to any of our employee benefit plans, or if he is servicing as a director, officer, partner, employee or agent of another entity or enterprise at our request. A director is entitled to be indemnified and held harmless against any such expenses if he is successful in his defense of the claim on the merits or otherwise or impartial members of our board of directors has found that such director met a certain standard of conduct.

Article V of our by-laws makes mandatory the indemnification of any of our officers, directors, employees or agents against any expenses, including attorneys' fees, judgments, fines and amounts paid in settlement actually and reasonably incurred by him by reason of his position as our director, officer, employee or agent or serving in such position at our request of any business, foreign or non-profit corporation, partnership, joint venture or other enterprise, if he acted in good faith and in a manner that he reasonably believed to be in, or not opposed to, the best interest of Akorn, and, in the case of a criminal action or proceeding, with no reasonable cause to believe that his conduct was unlawful. However, in case of actions by or in the right of Akorn, the indemnity shall be limited to expenses (including attorneys' fees and amounts paid in settlement not exceeding, in the judgment of our board of directors, the estimated expense of litigating the action to conclusion) actually and reasonably incurred in connection with the defense or settlement of such action.

No indemnification is permitted under Article V of our by-laws in respect of any matter as to which a director or officer shall have been finally adjudged by a court of competent jurisdiction to be liable for willful or intentional

misconduct or to have obtained an improper personal benefit, unless, and only to the extent that the court shall determine upon application that, in view of all the circumstances of the case, he is fairly and reasonably entitled to indemnity for such expenses which the court shall deem proper.

II-2

Table of Contents

Article V of our by-laws also provides that to the extent that a director, officer, employee or agent of Akorn has been successful on the merits or otherwise in defense of any such action, suit or proceeding, or in defense of any claim, issue or matter therein, he shall be indemnified against expenses (including attorneys' fees) actually and reasonably incurred by him in connection therewith.

Any indemnification under Article V of our by-laws, unless ordered by the court, shall be made by the corporation only as authorized in a specific case upon a determination that the applicable standard of conduct has been met, and such determination shall be made:

By the board of directors by a majority vote of a quorum consisting of directors who were not parties to such action, suit, or proceeding, or

If such a quorum is not obtainable and the board of directors so directs, by independent legal counsel, or

By the shareholders.

Article V of our by-laws also provides that the expenses incurred in defending such action shall be paid by us in advance of the final disposition of such action, upon receipt of an undertaking by or behalf of the director, officer, employee or agent to repay such amount, unless it shall ultimately be determined that he is entitled to be indemnified by us as authorized under Article V. However, our board of directors may determine, by special resolution, not to have Akorn pay in advance the expenses incurred by any person in the defense of any such action.

Article V further provides that indemnification granted thereunder shall not be deemed exclusive of any other rights to which a director, officer, employee or agent is or may become entitled, both as to action in his official capacity and as to action in another capacity while holding such office, and shall continue as to a person who has ceased to be a director, officer, employee or agent and shall inure to the benefit of his heirs and legal representatives.

Article V also permits us to procure insurance on behalf of any person who is or was our director, officer, employee or agent, or is or was serving in such position at our request of any business, foreign or non-profit corporation, partnership, joint venture or other enterprise, against any liability asserted against or incurred by him in any such capacity, or arising out of his status as such, whether or not we would have the power to indemnify him against such liability under the LBCL. We maintain a directors' and officers' liability insurance policy.

We entered into an indemnification agreement dated May 15, 2003 with our chief executive officer, Arthur S. Przybyl. Under the terms of this agreement, subject to certain limitations contained therein, we agreed to hold harmless and indemnify Mr. Przybyl against any and all expenses (including attorneys' fees), judgments, fines and amounts paid in settlement actually and reasonably incurred by Mr. Przybyl in connection with any threatened, pending or completed action, suit or proceeding, whether civil, criminal, administrative or investigative (including an action brought in the right of Akorn) to which Mr. Przybyl is, was or at any time becomes a party, or is threatened to be made a party, by reason of the fact that Mr. Przybyl executes, submits to or files with the SEC any certifications pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 or Rule 13(a)-14 promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, other than certifications covering reports for any period throughout which one chief financial officer reports directly to Mr. Przybyl on financial and accounting matters.

Item 15. Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

On October 7, 2003, we entered into the Exchange Transaction, pursuant to which a group of investors purchased all of our then outstanding senior bank debt from The Northern Trust Company, a balance of \$37,731,000, at a discount and exchanged such debt with us for (1) 257,172 shares of our Series A Preferred Stock, (2) our subordinated 2003 Subordinated Notes in the aggregate principal amount of approximately \$2,767,000, (3) Series A Warrants to

purchase an aggregate of 8,572,400 shares of our common stock with an exercise price of \$1.00 per share, and (4) \$5,473,862 in cash from the proceeds of the term loans under the New Credit Facility described in the following paragraph. We issued the 2003 Subordinated Notes and cash to (a) the Kapoor Trust, the sole trustee and sole beneficiary of which is Dr. Kapoor, the chairman of our board of directors and the holder of a significant position in our stock, (b) Mr. Arjun C. Waney, a director and the holder of a significant position in our

Table of Contents

stock, and (c) Argent Fund Management Ltd., for which Mr. Waney serves as chairman and managing director and 52% of which is owned by Mr. Waney. We also issued to the holders of the 2003 Subordinated Notes, our Notes Warrants to purchase an aggregate of 276,714 shares of common stock with an exercise price of \$1.10 per share. The issuance of shares of our Series A Preferred Stock, the Series A Warrants and the Note Warrants was made as a private placement under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act and/or Rule 506 of the Securities Act.

Simultaneously with the consummation of the Exchange Transaction, we entered into the New Credit Facility with LaSalle Bank which provided us with \$7,000,000 in term loans and a revolving line of credit of up to \$5,000,000 to provide for working capital needs, secured by substantially all of our assets. Our obligations under the New Credit Facility were guaranteed by Dr. Kapoor and the Kapoor Trust, and irrevocable standby letters of credit were posted by Dr. Kapoor and Mr. Waney. In exchange for the guaranty and the irrevocable standby letters of credit, we issued Guaranty Warrants to purchase 880,000 and 80,000 shares of common stock to the Kapoor Trust and Mr. Waney, respectively, at an exercise price of \$1.10 per share. The issuance of the Guaranty Warrants was made as a private placement under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act and/or Rule 506 of the Securities Act.

Effective May 17, 2004, JRJAY Public Investments, LLC exercised Series A Warrants to purchase 416,667 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$1.00 per share by providing us with notice of its election to exercise the cashless exercise option of such warrants. Election of the cashless exercise provision of the Series A Warrants reduced the number of shares of common stock that would otherwise be obtainable upon the exercise of such warrants by 119,048 shares, an aggregate value of \$416,667 as of May 17, 2004. In exchange for such reduction, and the aggregate value of the shares of common stock represented thereby, JRJAY was issued 297,619 shares of our common stock. The issuance of such shares was made as a private placement under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act.

On August 23, 2004, we completed a private placement of 141,000 shares of our Series B Preferred Stock at a price of \$100.00 per share for cash, convertible into common stock at a price of \$2.70 per share, to certain investors, with Series B Warrants to purchase in the aggregate 1,566,668 additional shares of our common stock exercisable until August 23, 2009, with an exercise price of \$3.50 per share. The issuance of such shares was made as a private placement under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act and/or Rule 506 of the Securities Act.

On August 31, 2004, we issued the AEG Warrants to AEG to purchase in the aggregate 1,250,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.75 per share. The AEG Warrants were issued in consideration for AEG's consulting services provided to us in connection with the successful completion of the Exchange Transaction, among other things. The issuance of the AEG Warrants was made as a private placement under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act and/or Rule 506 of the Securities Act.

Effective November 10, 2004, Gulu Waney exercised Series A Warrants to purchase 133,333 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$1.00 per share by providing us with notice of his election to exercise the cashless exercise option of such warrants. Election of the cashless exercise provision of the Series A Warrants reduced the number of shares of common stock that would otherwise be obtainable upon the exercise of such warrants by 33,755 shares, an aggregate value of \$133,332 as of November 10, 2004. In exchange for such reduction, and the aggregate value of the shares of common stock represented thereby, Mr. Waney was issued 99,578 shares of our common stock. The issuance of such shares was made as a private placement under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act.

Also effective November 10, 2004, Pequot Healthcare Fund, L.P. and Pequot Offshore Healthcare Fund, Inc. exercised Series A Warrants with cash to purchase 920,167 and 1,115,833 shares of our common stock, respectively, at an exercise price of \$1.00 per share. Pequot Healthcare Fund, L.P. and Pequot Offshore Healthcare Fund, Inc. were issued 920,167 and 1,115,833 shares of our common stock, respectively. The issuance of such shares was made as a private placement under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act.

Edgar Filing: AKORN INC - Form POS AM

On November 16, 2004, Baystar Capital II, L.P. converted 2,500 shares of our Series B Preferred Stock on a cashless basis. Each share of our Series B Preferred Stock, and accrued and unpaid dividends with respect to each such share, is convertible by the holder thereof at any time into a number of shares of our common stock equal to the quotient obtained by dividing (x) \$100 plus any accrued but unpaid dividends on such share by (y) \$2.70, as such numerator and denominator may be adjusted from time to time pursuant to the anti-dilution provisions of our

II-4

Table of Contents

restated articles of incorporation. Baystar Capital II, L.P. was issued 93,893 shares of our common stock. The issuance of such shares was made as a private placement under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act.

On November 30, 2004, JRJAY Public Investments, LLC and Wheaten Healthcare Partners L.P. converted 12,500 and 2,500 shares of our Series A Preferred Stock, respectively, on a cashless basis. Each share of our Series A Preferred Stock, and accrued and unpaid dividends with respect to each such share, is convertible by the holder thereof at any time into a number of shares of our common stock equal to the quotient obtained by dividing (x) \$100 plus any accrued but unpaid dividends on such share by (y) \$0.75, as such numerator and denominator may be adjusted from time to time pursuant to the anti-dilution provisions of our restated articles of incorporation. JRJAY Public Investments, LLC was issued 1,784,868 shares of our common stock and Wheaten Healthcare Partners L.P. was issued 356,974 shares of our common stock. The issuance of such shares was made as a private placement under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act.

On March 31, 2005, AEG Partners LLC exercised AEG Warrants with cash to purchase 50,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.75 per share. The issuance of such shares was made as a private placement under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act.

On April 5, 2005, Millennium Partners, L.P. converted 15,000 shares of our Series B Preferred Stock on a cashless basis. Millennium Partners, L.P. was issued 576,452 shares of our common stock. The issuance of such shares was made as a private placement under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act.

Item 16. Exhibits

(a) Those exhibits marked with an asterisk (*) refer to exhibits filed herewith. The other exhibits are incorporated herein by reference, as indicated in the following list.

Exhibit No.	Description
3.1	Restated Articles of Incorporation of the Company dated September 16, 2004, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on September 21, 2004.
3.2	Amended and Restated By-laws of the Company, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's report on Form 8-K filed on October 24, 2003 and to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's report on Form 8-K filed on April 19, 2005.
4.1	First Amendment dated October 7, 2003 to Registration Rights Agreement dated July 12, 2001 between the Company and The John N. Kapoor Trust dtd 9/20/89, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's report on Form 8-K filed on October 24, 2003.
4.2	Form of Warrant Certificate, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's report on Form 8-K filed on October 24, 2003.
4.3	Form of Warrant Agreement dated October 7, 2003 between the Company and certain investors, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the Company's report on Form 8-K filed on October 24, 2003.
4.4	Warrant Agreement dated October 7, 2003 between the Company and The John N. Kapoor Trust dtd 9/20/89 issued with respect to New Credit Facility guaranty, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to

the Company's report on Form 8-K filed on October 24, 2003.

- 4.5 Warrant Agreement dated October 7, 2003 between the Company and Arjun C. Waney issued with respect to New Credit Facility guaranty, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to the Company's report on Form 8-K filed on October 24, 2003.

Table of Contents

Exhibit No.	Description
4.6	Warrant Agreement dated October 7, 2003 between the Company and The John N. Kapoor Trust dtd 9/20/89 issued with respect to the Notes, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.6 to the Company s report on Form 8-K filed on October 24, 2003.
4.7	Warrant Agreement dated October 7, 2003 between the Company and Arjun C. Waney issued with respect to the Notes, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.7 to the Company s report on Form 8-K filed on October 24, 2003.
4.8	Warrant Agreement dated October 7, 2003 between the Company and Argent Fund Management issued with respect to the Notes, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.8 to the Company s report on Form 8-K filed on October 24, 2003.
4.9	Registration Rights Agreement dated October 7, 2003 among the Company and certain investors, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.9 to the Company s report on Form 8-K filed on October 24, 2003.
4.10	Form of Subscription Agreement between the Company and certain investors, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company s report on Form 8-K filed on August 24, 2004.
4.11	Form of Common Stock Purchase Warrant between the Company and certain investors, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Company s report on Form 8-K filed on August 24, 2004.
4.12	Warrant Purchase and Registration Agreement dated June 18, 2003 between the Company and AEG Partners LLC, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company s report on Form 8-K filed on August 27, 2004.
4.13	Stock Registration Rights Agreement dated November 15, 1990 between the Company and The John N. Kapoor Trust dtd 9/20/89, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.12 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on September 21, 2004.
4.14	Stock Purchase Agreement dated November 15, 1990 between the Company and The John N. Kapoor Trust dtd 9/20/89, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.13 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on September 21, 2004.
5.1	Opinion of Jones, Walker, Waechter, Poitevent, Carrère & Denègre, L.L.P., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 5.1 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on September 21, 2004.
10.1	Consulting Agreement dated November 15, 1990 by and between E. J. Financial Enterprises, Inc., a Delaware corporation, and the Company, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on September 21, 2004.
10.2	Amended and Restated Akorn, Inc. 1988 Incentive Compensation Program, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on September 21, 2004.
10.3	1991 Akorn, Inc. Stock Option Plan for Directors, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on September 21, 2004.

- 10.4 Promissory Note among the Company, Akorn (New Jersey), Inc. and The Northern Trust Company dated April 16, 2001, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's report on Form 8-K filed on April 25, 2001.

Table of Contents

Exhibit No.	Description
10.5	Letter of Commitment to the Company from John N. Kapoor dated April 17, 2001, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's report on Form 8-K filed on April 25, 2001.
10.6	Convertible Bridge Loan and Warrant Agreement dated as of July 12, 2001, by and between the Company and The John N. Kapoor Trust dtd 9/20/89, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's report on Form 8-K filed on July 26, 2001.
10.7	The Tranche A Common Stock Purchase Warrant, dated July 12, 2001, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's report on Form 8-K filed on July 26, 2001.
10.8	The Tranche B Common Stock Purchase Warrant, dated July 12, 2001, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's report on Form 8-K filed on July 26, 2001.
10.9	Registration Rights Agreement dated July 12, 2001, by and between the Company and The John N. Kapoor Trust dtd 9/20/89, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's report on Form 8-K filed on July 26, 2001.
10.10	Offer Letter dated September 4, 2001 from the Company to Mr. Pothast, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.161 to the Company's report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002, filed on May 21, 2003.
10.11	Promissory Note among the Company, Akorn (New Jersey), Inc. and NeoPharm, Inc. dated December 20, 2001, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 to the Company's report on Form 10-K for fiscal year ended December 31, 2001 filed on April 16, 2002.
10.12	Processing Agreement dated December 20, 2001, by and between the Company and NeoPharm, Inc., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.18 to the Company's report on Form 10-K for fiscal year ended December 31, 2001 filed on April 16, 2002.
10.13	Subordination and Intercreditor Agreement dated December 20, 2001, by and between NeoPharm, Inc. and The John N. Kapoor Trust dtd 9/20/89, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 to the Company's report on Form 10-K for fiscal year ended December 31, 2001 filed on April 16, 2002.
10.14	Allonge to Revolving Note (\$2 million) dated December 20, 2001 by and between the Company and The John N. Kapoor Trust dtd 9/20/89, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on September 21, 2004.
10.15	Allonge to Revolving Note (\$3 million) dated December 20, 2001 by and between the Company and The John N. Kapoor Trust dtd 9/20/89, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on September 21, 2004.
10.16	First Amendment to Convertible Bridge Loan and Warrant Agreement dated December 20, 2001 by and between the Company and The John N. Kapoor Trust dtd 9/20/89, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on September 21, 2004.
10.17	Supply Agreement dated January 4, 2002, by and between the Company and Novadaq Technologies, Inc., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.22 to the Company's report on Form 10-K for fiscal year

Edgar Filing: AKORN INC - Form POS AM

ended December 31, 2001 filed on April 16, 2002.

- 10.18 Mutual Termination and Settlement Agreements by and between The Company and The Johns Hopkins University/Applied Physics Laboratory dtd. July 3, 2002, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.23 to the Company's report on Form 10-K for fiscal year ended December 31, 2001 filed on October 7, 2002.

II-7

Table of Contents

Exhibit No.	Description
10.19	Second Amendment to Convertible Bridge Loan and Warrant Agreement dated August 31, 2002 by and between the Company and The John N. Kapoor Trust dtd 9/20/89, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on September 21, 2004.
10.20	Engagement Letter by and among the Company and AEG Partners LLC dated as of September 26, 2002, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.39 to the Company's Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2002, filed on May 21, 2003.
10.21	Amendment to Engagement Letter by and among the Company and AEG Partners LLC dated as of November 21, 2002 incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.40 to the Company's report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002, filed on May 21, 2003.
10.22	Third Amendment to Convertible Bridge Loan and Warrant Agreement dated December 20, 2001 by and between the Company and The John N. Kapoor Trust dtd 9/20/89, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.22 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on September 21, 2004.
10.23	Offer Letter dated January 22, 2003 from the Company to Arthur S. Przybyl, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.41 to the Company's report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2000, filed on May 21, 2003.
10.24	Indemnification Agreement dated May 15, 2003 by and between the Company and Arthur S. Przybyl, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.42 to the Company's report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002, filed on May 21, 2003.
10.25	Subordinated Promissory Note dated October 7, 2003 issued to The John N. Kapoor Trust dtd 9/20/89, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's report on Form 8-K filed on October 24, 2003.
10.26	Subordinated Promissory Note dated October 7, 2003 issued to Arjun C. Waney, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's report on Form 8-K filed on October 24, 2003.
10.27	Subordinated Promissory Note dated October 7, 2003 issued to Argent Fund Management Ltd., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's report on Form 8-K filed on October 24, 2003.
10.28	Credit Agreement dated October 7, 2003 among the Company, Akorn New Jersey, Inc., the lenders party thereto and LaSalle Bank National Association, as Administrative Agent, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's report on Form 8-K filed on October 24, 2003.
10.29	Form of Indemnity Agreement dated October 7, 2003 between the Company and each of the Directors as incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's report on Form 10-Q for quarter ended September 30, 2003, filed on November 19, 2003.
10.30	Form of Amended and Restated Promissory Note dated October 7, 2003 issued to NeoPharm, Inc., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's report on Form 10-Q for quarter ended September 30, 2003, filed on November 19, 2003.

- 10.31 Form of Reaffirmation of Subordination and Intercreditor Agreement from The John N. Kapoor Trust dtd 9/20/89 to NeoPharm, Inc., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company s report on Form 10-Q for quarter ended September 30, 2003, filed on November 19, 2003.

II-8

Table of Contents

Exhibit No.	Description
10.32	Form of Subordination and Intercreditor Agreement dated October 7, 2003 among the Company, Akorn (New Jersey), Inc., LaSalle Bank and NeoPharm, Inc., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's report on Form 10-Q for quarter ended September 30, 2003, filed on November 19, 2003.
10.33	Form of Fourth Amendment to Convertible Bridge Loan and Warrant Agreement dated October 7, 2003 between the Company and The John N. Kapoor Trust dtd 9/20/89, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's report on Form 10-Q for quarter ended September 30, 2003, filed on November 19, 2003.
10.34	Limited Waiver Letter dated December 20, 2001 from The John N. Kapoor Trust dtd 9/20/89, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.34 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on September 21, 2004.
10.35	Form of Acknowledgment of Subordination dated October 7, 2003 between the Company and The John N. Kapoor Trust dtd 9/20/89, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Company's report on Form 10-Q for quarter ended September 30, 2003, filed on December 31, 2003.
10.36	Form of Subordination and Intercreditor Agreement dated October 7, 2003 among the Company, Akorn (New Jersey), Inc., LaSalle Bank and The John N. Kapoor Trust dtd 9/20/89, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's report on Form 10-Q for quarter ended September 30, 2003, filed on November 19, 2003.
10.37	Form of Subordination and Intercreditor Agreement dated October 7, 2003 among the Company, Akorn (New Jersey), Inc., LaSalle Bank and The John N. Kapoor Trust dtd 9/20/89, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the Company's report on Form 10-Q for quarter ended September 30, 2003, filed on November 19, 2003.
10.38	Form of Subordination and Intercreditor Agreement dated October 7, 2003 among the Company, Akorn (New Jersey), Inc., LaSalle Bank and Arjun C. Waney, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to the Company's report on Form 10-Q for quarter ended September 30, 2003, filed on November 19, 2003.
10.39	Form of Subordination and Intercreditor Agreement dated October 7, 2003 among the Company, Akorn (New Jersey), Inc., LaSalle Bank and Argent Fund Management Ltd, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to the Company's report on Form 10-Q for quarter ended September 30, 2003, filed on November 19, 2003.
10.40	Akorn, Inc. 2003 Stock Option Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.35 to the Company's report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003, filed on March 30, 2004.
10.41	Form of Akorn, Inc. Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.36 to the Company's report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003, filed on March 30, 2004.
10.42	Form of Akorn, Inc. Incentive Stock Option Agreement, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.37 to the Company's report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003, filed on March 30, 2004.

Edgar Filing: AKORN INC - Form POS AM

- 10.43 Offer letter dated June 1, 2004 from the Company to Jeffrey A. Whitnell, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.42 to the Company's report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004, filed on March 31, 2005.
- 10.44 Engagement Letter dated August 5, 2004 between Leerink Swann & Company and the Company,

II-9

Table of Contents

Exhibit No.	Description
	incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's report on Form 8-K filed on August 24, 2004.
10.45	Waiver and Consent dated August 23, 2004, among LaSalle Bank National Association, the financial institutions party thereto, the Company and Akorn (New Jersey), Inc., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's report on Form 8-K filed on August 24, 2004.
10.46	Consent and Agreement of Holders of Series A 6.0% Participating Convertible Preferred Stock of Akorn, Inc. dated as of August 17, 2004, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's report on Form 8-K filed on August 24, 2004.
10.47	The AEG Stock Purchase Warrant, dated August 31, 2004, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's report on Form 8-K filed on September 9, 2004.
10.48	Limited Liability Company Agreement dated September 22, 2004 between the Company and Strides Arcolab Limited, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's report on Form 8-K filed on September 27, 2004.
10.49	OEM Agreement dated September 22, 2004 between Akorn-Strides, LLC and Strides, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's report on Form 8-K filed on September 27, 2004.
10.50	Sales and Marketing Agreement dated September 22, 2004 between the Company and Akorn-Strides, LLC, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's report on Form 8-K filed on September 27, 2004.
10.51	Promissory Note dated September 22, 2004 executed by Akorn-Strides, LLC for the benefit of the Company, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's report on Form 8-K filed on September 27, 2004.
10.52	Capital Contribution Agreement dated September 22, 2004 executed by Strides Arcolab Limited for the benefit of Akorn-Strides, LLC, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's report on Form 8-K filed on September 27, 2004.
10.53	Waiver Letter dated September 28, 2004 from The John N. Kapoor Trust dtd 9/20/89, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's report on Form 8-K filed on September 30, 2004.
10.54	First Amendment to Credit Agreement dated August 13, 2004 among the Company, Akorn New Jersey, Inc., Dr. John N. Kapoor, The John N. Kapoor Trust dated 9/20/90, the lenders party thereto and LaSalle Bank National Association, as Administrative Agent, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2004, filed on August 13, 2004.
10.55	Second Amendment to Credit Agreement dated August 26, 2004 among the Company, Akorn New Jersey, Inc., Dr. John N. Kapoor, The John N. Kapoor Trust dtd 9/20/90, the lenders party thereto and LaSalle Bank National Association, as Administrative Agent, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's report on Form 8-K filed on August 31, 2004.
10.56	

Edgar Filing: AKORN INC - Form POS AM

Third Amendment to Credit Agreement dated October 8, 2004 among the Company, Akorn New Jersey, Inc., the lenders party thereto and LaSalle Bank National Association, as Administrative Agent, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.53 to the Company's Pre-effective Amendment to Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed October 13, 2004.

10.57 Waiver and Consent dated October 8, 2004, among LaSalle Bank National Association, the financial institutions party thereto, the Company and Akorn (New Jersey), Inc., incorporated by

II-10

Table of Contents

Exhibit No.	Description
	reference to Exhibit 10.54 to the Company's Pre-effective Amendment to Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed October 13, 2004.
10.58*	Amended and Restated Akorn, Inc. Employee Stock Purchase Plan.
10.59	License and Supply Agreement November, 11 2004, between Hameln Pharmaceuticals GmbH and the Company incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's report on Form 8-K filed on November 17, 2004.
10.60	Offer letter dated November 15, 2004, from the Company to Jeffrey A. Whitnell, for position of Senior Vice President, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.58 to the Company's report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004, filed on March 31, 2005.
10.61	Amended and Restated Akorn, Inc. 2003 Stock Option Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.59 to the Company's report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004, filed on March 31, 2005.
21.1	Subsidiaries of the Company, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 21.1 to The Company's Pre-effective Amendment to Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed October 13, 2004.
23.1*	Consent of BDO Seidman, LLP, independent registered public accounting firm.
23.2*	Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP, independent registered public accounting firm.
23.3	Consent of Jones, Walker, Waechter, Poitevent, Carrère & Denègre, LLP, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 5.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on September 21, 2004.
24.1	Power of Attorney, incorporated by reference to the Signature Page of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on September 21, 2004.

Item 17. Undertakings

We hereby undertake:

(1) To file, during any period in which offers or sales are being made pursuant to this registration statement, a post-effective amendment to this registration statement:

(i) to include any prospectus required by Section 10(a) (3) of the Securities Act of 1933;

(ii) to reflect in the prospectus any facts or events arising after the effective date of this registration statement (or the most recent post-effective amendment thereof) which, individually or in aggregate, represent a fundamental change in the information in the registration statement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, any increase or decrease in volume of securities offered (if the total dollar value of securities offered would not exceed that which was registered) and any deviation from the low or high end of the estimated maximum offering range may be reflected in the form of prospectus filed with the Commission pursuant to Rule 424(b) if, in the aggregate, the changes in volume and price represent no more than a 20% change in the maximum aggregate offering price set forth in the Calculation of Registration Fee table in the effective registration statement; and

(iii) to include any material information with respect to the plan of distribution not previously disclosed in the registration statement or any material change to such information in the registration statement;

II-11

Table of Contents

(2) That, for the purpose of determining any liability under the Securities Act of 1933, each such post-effective amendment shall be deemed to be a new registration statement relating to the securities offered therein, and the offering of such securities at that time shall be deemed to be the initial bona fide offering thereof; and

(3) To remove from registration by means of a post-effective amendment any of the securities being registered which remain unsold at the termination of the offering.

Insofar as indemnification for liabilities arising under the Securities Act of 1933 may be permitted to directors, officers and controlling persons of the registrant pursuant to the foregoing provisions or otherwise, the registrant has been advised that in the opinion of the Securities and Exchange Commission such indemnification is against public policy as expressed in the Act, and is, therefore, unenforceable. In the event that a claim for indemnification against such liabilities (other than the payment by the registrant of expenses incurred or paid by a director, officer or controlling person of the registrant in the successful defense of any action, suit or proceeding) is asserted by such director, officer or controlling person in connection with the securities being registered, the registrant will, unless in the opinion of its counsel the matter has been settled by controlling precedent, submit to a court of appropriate jurisdiction the question whether such indemnification by it is against public policy as expressed in the Act and will be governed by the final adjudication of such issue.

Table of Contents**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, the registrant has duly caused this registration statement to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, in the City of Buffalo Grove, State of Illinois, on the 9th day of May, 2005.

AKORN, INC.

By: /s/ JEFFREY A. WHITNELL
 Jeffrey A. Whitnell
*Chief Financial Officer,
 Treasurer and Secretary*

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, this registration statement has been signed below by the following persons in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ ARTHUR S. PRZYBYL*	President and Chief Executive Officer	May 9, 2005
Arthur S. Przybyl	(Principal Executive Officer)	
/s/ JEFFREY A. WHITNELL	Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer and	May 9, 2005
Jeffrey A. Whitnell	Secretary (Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)	
/s/ JOHN N. KAPOOR*	Chairman and Director	May 9, 2005
Dr. John N. Kapoor		
/s/ JERRY N. ELLIS*	Director	May 9, 2005
Jerry N. Ellis		
/s/ ARJUN C. WANNEY*	Director	May 9, 2005
Arjun C. Waney		
/s/ JERRY TREPPEL*	Director	
Jerry Treppel		May 9, 2005
/s/ RONALD M. JOHNSON*	Director	May 9, 2005
<u>Ronald M. Johnson</u>		

* Executed by Mr. Jeffrey A. Whitnell pursuant to power of attorney provided on signature page to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on September 21, 2004