CNA SURETY CORP Form 10-K March 15, 2004

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

\_\_\_\_\_

[X] ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2003

[ ] TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER: 1-13277

CNA SURETY CORPORATION (EXACT NAME OF REGISTRANT AS SPECIFIED IN ITS CHARTER)

DELAWARE (STATE OR OTHER JURISDICTION OF INCORPORATION OR (I.R.S. EMPLOYER IDENTIFICATION N ORGANIZATION)

36-4144905

CNA PLAZA, CHICAGO, ILLINOIS (ADDRESS OF PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICES)

60685 (ZIP CODE)

(312) 822-5000 (REGISTRANT'S TELEPHONE NUMBER, INCLUDING AREA CODE)

\_\_\_\_\_

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: NONE

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(q) of the Act: COMMON STOCK, \$0.01 PAR VALUE (Title of Class)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes [X] No []

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. []

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 12b-2). Yes [X] No []

The aggregate market value of voting stock held by nonaffiliates was \$172.9 million based upon the closing price of \$11.11 per share on March 5, 2004, using

beneficial ownership of stock rules adopted pursuant to Section 13 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 to exclude voting stock owned by Directors, Officers and Major Stockholders, some of whom may not be held to be affiliates upon judicial determination.

At March 5, 2004, 42,991,871 shares of the Registrant's Common Stock were outstanding.

#### DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE:

Portions of the CNA Surety Corporation Proxy Statement prepared for the 2004 annual meeting of shareholders, pursuant to Regulation 14A, are incorporated by reference into Part III of this report.

### CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

		PAGE
PART I		
Item 1.	Business	2
	General	2
	Formation of CNA Surety and Merger	2
	Description of Business	2
	Financial Strength Ratings	2
	Product Information	3
	Marketing	5
	Underwriting	7
	Competition	7
	Reinsurance	7
	Reserves for Unpaid Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses	8
	Claims	9
	Environmental Claims	9
	Regulation	10
	Investments.	11
	Employees	11
	Availability of SEC Reports	11
Item 2.	Properties	12
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	12
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	12
PART II	•	
Item 5.	Market for the Registrant's Common Stock and Related	
	Stockholder Matters	13
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	14
Item 7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition	
	and Results of Operations	15
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Discussions About Market	
	Risk	40
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	42
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting	
	and Financial Disclosure	73
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	73
PART III		
Item 10.	Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant	73
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	73
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and	
	Management and Related Stockholder Matters	73

Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions	73
Item 14.	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	73
PART IV		
Item 15.	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules, and Reports on Form	
	8-K	74

1

#### CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

PART I.

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

GENERAL

CNA Surety Corporation ("CNA Surety" or "Company") is an insurance holding company in the United States formed through the September 30, 1997 combination of the surety business of CNA Financial Corporation with Capsure Holdings Corp.'s ("Capsure") insurance subsidiaries. CNA Surety is currently one of the largest surety providers in the United States with approximately a 9.6% market share (based upon 2002 A.M. Best written premium data). Its wide selection of surety products range from very small commercial bonds to large contract bonds.

#### FORMATION OF CNA SURETY AND MERGER

In December 1996, CNA Financial Corporation ("CNAF") and Capsure agreed to merge (the "Merger") the surety business of CNAF with Capsure's insurance subsidiaries, Western Surety Company ("Western Surety") and Universal Surety of America ("USA"), into CNA Surety. CNAF, through its operating subsidiaries, writes multiple lines of property and casualty insurance, including surety business that is reinsured by Western Surety. CNAF owns approximately 64% of the outstanding common stock of CNA Surety. Loews Corporation ("Loews") owns approximately 90% of the outstanding common stock of CNAF. The principal operating subsidiaries of CNAF that wrote the surety line of business for their own account prior to the Merger were Continental Casualty Company and its property and casualty affiliates (collectively, "CCC") and The Continental Insurance Company and its property and casualty affiliates (collectively, "CIC"). CIC was acquired by CNAF on May 10, 1995. The combined surety operations of CCC and CIC are referred to herein as CCC Surety Operations.

### DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

CNA Surety's insurance subsidiaries write surety and fidelity bonds in all 50 states through a combined network of approximately 34,000 independent agencies. CNA Surety's principal insurance subsidiaries are Western Surety and USA. The insurance subsidiaries write, on a direct basis or as business assumed from CCC and CIC, small fidelity and non-contract surety bonds, referred to as commercial bonds; small, medium and large contract bonds; and errors and omissions ("E&O") liability insurance. Western Surety is a licensed insurer in all 50 states, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico. USA is licensed in 44 states and the District of Columbia. Western Surety's affiliated company, Surety Bonding Company of America ("SBCA"), is licensed in 28 states and the District of Columbia.

### FINANCIAL STRENGTH RATINGS

A.M. BEST COMPANY, INC. ("A.M. BEST")

Western Surety is currently rated A (Excellent) with a negative rating

outlook, by A.M. Best. An A (Excellent) rating is assigned to those companies which A.M. Best believes have an excellent ability to meet their ongoing obligations to policyholders. A (Excellent) rated insurers have been shown to be among the strongest in ability to meet policyholder and other contractual obligations. The rating outlook indicates the potential direction of a company's rating for an intermediate period, generally defined as the next 12 to 36 months. Through intercompany reinsurance and related agreements, CNA Surety's customers have access to CCC's broader underwriting capacity. CCC is currently rated A (Excellent) with a negative outlook by A.M. Best. A.M. Best's letter ratings range from A++ (Superior) to F (In Liquidation) with A++ being highest.

2

### STANDARD AND POOR'S ("S&P")

CCC and Western Surety are both currently rated A- (Strong), by S&P. On August 7, 2003, S&P placed CCC and Western Surety on credit watch with negative implications. S&P's letter ratings range from AAA+ (Extremely Strong) to CC (Extremely Weak) with AAA+ being highest. Ratings from "AA" to "CCC" may be modified by the addition of a plus or minus sign to show relative standing within the major rating categories. An insurer rated 'A' has strong financial security characteristics, but is somewhat more likely to be affected by adverse business conditions than are insurers with higher ratings.

#### PRODUCT INFORMATION

According to the Surety Association of America ("SAA"), industry estimates for 2000, approximately 75% of the United States surety market is represented by bonds required by federal statutes, state laws, and local ordinances. These bonding requirements range from federal construction projects, where the contractor is required to post performance and payment bonds which guarantee performance of contracts to the government as well as payment of bills to subcontractors and suppliers, to license and permit bonds which guarantee compliance with legal requirements for business operations.

#### PRODUCTS AND POLICIES

Unlike a standard, two-party insurance policy, surety bonds are three-party agreements in which the issuer of the bond (the surety) joins with a second party (the principal) in guaranteeing to a third party (the owner/obligee) the fulfillment of some obligation on the part of the principal. The surety is the party who guarantees fulfillment of the principal's obligation to the obligee. In addition, sureties are generally entitled to recover from the principal any losses and expenses paid to third parties. The surety's responsibility is to evaluate the risk and determine if the principal meets the underwriting requirements for the bond. Accordingly, surety bond premiums primarily reflect the type and class of risk and related costs associated with both processing the bond transaction and investigating the applicant including, if necessary, an analysis of the applicant's creditworthiness and ability to perform.

There are two broad types of surety products — contract surety and commercial surety bonds. Contract surety bonds secure a contractor's performance and/or payment obligation generally with respect to a construction project. Contract surety bonds are generally required by federal, state and local governments for public works projects. Commercial surety bonds include all surety bonds other than contract and cover obligations typically required by law or regulation.

Contract bond guarantee obligations include the following:

Bid bonds: used by contractors submitting proposals on potential

contracts.

Performance bonds: guarantee to the owner the performance of the contractor's obligations according to the terms and conditions of the contract.

Payment bonds: guarantee payment of the contractor's obligations under the contract for labor, subcontractors, and materials supplied to the project. Payment bonds are utilized in public projects where liens are not permitted.

Other examples of contract bonds are completion, maintenance and supply bonds.

Commercial surety business is comprised of bonds covering obligations typically required by law or regulation, such as the following:

License and Permit bonds: required by statutes or ordinances for a number of purposes including guaranteeing the payment of certain taxes and fees and providing consumer protection as a condition to granting licenses related to selling real estate or motor vehicles and contracting services.

Judicial and Fiduciary bonds: required by statutes, courts or legal documents for the protection of those on whose behalf a fiduciary acts. Examples of such fiduciaries include executors and administrators of estates, and guardians of minors and incompetents.

3

Public Official bonds: required by statutes and ordinances to guarantee the lawful and faithful performance of the duties of office by public officials.

CNA Surety also writes direct contract and commercial surety bonds for international risks. Such bonds are written to satisfy the international bond requirements of domestic customers and for select foreign clients.

In 2000, CNA Surety purchased an equity interest in De Montfort Insurance Company PLC, a United Kingdom-based insurance company, which specializes in providing surety bonds and credit insurance. The investment in De Montfort supports CNA Surety's international growth strategy by enhancing the company's international distribution capabilities in the European surety marketplace.

In addition, the Company markets surety related products such as fidelity bonds and errors and omissions ("E&O") insurance. Fidelity bonds cover losses arising from employee dishonesty. Examples of purchasers of fidelity bonds are law firms, insurance agencies and janitorial service companies. CNA Surety writes E&O policies for two classes of insureds: notaries public and tax preparers. The notary public E&O policy is marketed as a companion product to the notary public bond and the tax preparer E&O policy is marketed to small tax return preparation firms.

Although all of its products are sold through the same independent insurance agent and broker distribution network, the Company's underwriting is organized by the two broad types of surety products — contract surety and commercial surety, which also includes fidelity bonds and other insurance products for these purposes. These two operating segments have been aggregated into one reportable business segment for financial reporting purposes because of their similar economic and operating characteristics.

The following tables set forth, for each principal class of bonds, gross written premiums, net written premiums and number of domestic bonds and policies

			GROSS WRITTE	N PREMI
	2003	% OF TOTAL	2002	% C TOI
			<u></u>	
Contract	\$208,472	56.1%	\$197 <b>,</b> 875	55
License and permit	83,554	22.5	85 <b>,</b> 787	23
Judicial and fiduciary	24,075	6.5	25,358	7
Public official	21,330	5.7	18,861	5
Other	4,774	1.3	4,033	1
Total commercial	133,733	36.0	134,039	37
Fidelity and other	29,170	7.9	27 <b>,</b> 978	7
	\$371,375	100.0%	\$359 <b>,</b> 892	100
Domestic	\$363,290	===== 97.8%	\$348,010	96
International	8,085	2.2	11,882	3
	\$371,375	100.0%	\$359 <b>,</b> 892	100
	=======	=====	=======	===

	NET WRITTEN PREMIUMS					
		% OF		% OF		
	2003	TOTAL	2002	TOTAL	2001	
Contract	\$184 <b>,</b> 449	57.8%	\$172 <b>,</b> 633	56.3%	\$165 <b>,</b> 60	
Commercial	106,899	33.5	107,290	35.0	122,81	
Fidelity and other	27,862	8.7	26,731	8.7	27 <b>,</b> 38	
	\$319,210	100.0%	\$306,654	100.0%	\$315 <b>,</b> 80	
		=====		=====		
Domestic	\$311,125 8,085	97.5% 2.5	\$297 <b>,</b> 385 9 <b>,</b> 269	97.0% 3.0	\$305,48 10,32	
	\$319 <b>,</b> 210	100.0%	\$306 <b>,</b> 654	100.0%	\$315 <b>,</b> 80	
	=======	=====	=======	=====	======	

	DOMESTIC	C BOND/POLIC	CIES IN FORC	CE
2003	% OF TOTAL	2002	% OF TOTAL	2001

	=====	=====	=====	=====	====
	2,310	100.0%	2,157	100.0%	2,04
Fidelity and other	550	23.8	507	23.5	4 6
Commercial	1,723	74.6	1,611	74.7	1,54
Contract	36	1.6%	39	1.8%	3

		AVERAGE BOND PENALTY/POLICY LIMIT
	2003	2002
Contract	\$834,798	\$905 <b>,</b> 896
Commercial	\$ 15 <b>,</b> 235	\$ 19 <b>,</b> 493
Fidelity and other	\$ 17,660	\$ 11,152

-----

(1) The average bond penalty is a measure of the average limit of liability associated with bonds in force at each reporting period.

In 2003, no individual agency generated more than 3.1% of aggregate gross written premiums. Approximately \$61.2 million, or 16.5%, of gross written premiums were generated from national insurance brokers in 2003 with the single largest national broker production comprising \$19.2 million, or 5.2%, of gross written premiums.

### MARKETING

The Company principally markets its products in all 50 states, as well as the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico. Its products are marketed primarily through independent producers, including multi-line agents and brokers such as surety specialists, many of whom are members of the National Association of Surety Bond Producers. CNA Surety enjoys broad national distribution of its products, which are marketed through approximately 34,000 of the approximately 44,000 independent property and casualty insurance agencies in the United States. In addition, the Company employs 41 full-time salaried marketing representatives and 5 telemarketing representatives to continually service its vast producer network. Relationships with these independent producers are maintained through the Company's 42 local branch offices.

5

The following table sets forth the distribution of the domestic business of CNA Surety, by state based upon gross written premiums in each of the last three years:

		YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,	
	2003	2002	2001
Gross Written Premiums by State: California	9.9%	10.8%	9.8%

Texas	9.8	12.6	12.9
Florida	6.6	7.0	6.8
Illinois	4.7	2.8	3.1
New York	4.6	4.9	4.9
Pennsylvania	3.0	4.5	3.3
Michigan	3.0	3.3	3.1
North Carolina	2.8	3.4	2.9
Massachusetts	2.7	3.2	3.0
Georgia	2.7	4.3	3.7
All Other	50.2	43.2	46.5
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	=====	=====	=====

#### Contract Surety

With respect to standard contract surety, the core focus for the Company is contractors with less than \$50 million in contracted work in progress. This segment is comprised of small contractors (less than \$5 million in work in progress), medium contractors (\$5-\$30 million) and the lower end of the large contractors (greater than \$30 million). These small and medium contractors, as a group, represent a significant portion of the United States construction market. While the Company's emphasis continues to be on small and medium contractors, the Company's aggregate exposures to very large accounts has increased in recent years. The Company has approximately 39 very large accounts with contracted work programs of at least \$150 million, the majority of which have been clients of the Company for more than ten years. The Company is actively reducing both the number of these large accounts and the exposure on each account through a variety of underwriting methods. Some of these accounts are maintained on a "co-surety" or joint insurer basis with other sureties in order to manage aggregate exposure.

The Company also fulfills the bond requirements of select small and emerging specialty contractors. For example, CNA Surety participates in the non-standard contract surety market through the federal government's Small Business Administration ("SBA") surety bond guarantee programs. These programs provide that the SBA assumes 70%-90% of the coverage in exchange for 10%-30% of the premium.

### Commercial Surety

A large portion of the commercial surety market is comprised of small obligations that are routine in nature and require minimal underwriting. Customers are focused principally on prompt and efficient service. These small transactional bonds represent approximately 73% of the Company's commercial gross written premiums and 31% of the Company's total gross written premium.

The Company continues to focus its marketing efforts on this small commercial bond market through its Sioux Falls, South Dakota service center. In this market segment, CNA Surety emphasizes one-day response service, easy-to-use forms and an extensive array of commercial bond products. In addition, independent agents are provided pre-executed bond forms, powers of attorney, and facsimile authorizations that allow them to issue many standard bonds in their offices.

CNA Surety also maintains a specific underwriting staff in Chicago dedicated to middle market and "Fortune 1000" accounts. CNA Surety's insurance subsidiaries may also direct their marketing to particular industries or classes of bonds on a broad basis. For instance, the Company maintains programs directed at

6

notary bonds, mortgage broker compliance bonds and grain warehouse dealer bonds (protecting funds associated with grain storage). The Company's large commercial account business is estimated to represent approximately 27% of the Company's commercial gross written premiums and 12% of the Company's total gross written premium.

#### UNDERWRITING

CNA Surety is focused on consistent underwriting profitability. The extent and sophistication of underwriting activity varies by type of risk. Contractor accounts and large commercial surety customers undergo credit, financial and managerial review and analysis on a regular basis. Certain classifications of bonds, such as fiduciary and court appeal bonds, also require more extensive underwriting.

CNA Surety also targets various products in the surety and fidelity bond market which are characterized by relatively low-risk exposure and small bond amounts. The underwriting criteria, including the extent of bonding authority granted to independent agents, varies depending on the class of business and the type of bond. For example, relatively little underwriting information is typically required of certain low-exposure risks such as notary bonds.

#### COMPETITION

The surety and fidelity market is highly competitive. According to 2002 data from A.M. Best, the U.S. market aggregates approximately \$4.8 billion in direct written premiums, comprised of approximately \$3.8 billion in surety premiums and approximately \$1.0 billion in fidelity premiums. The large diversified insurance companies hold the largest market shares. For example, the 20 largest surety companies account for approximately 81% of the domestic surety market and 94.6% of the domestic fidelity market. In 2002, CNA Surety was the third largest surety provider with a 9.6% market share.

Primary competitors of CNA Surety are approximately 20 national, multi-line companies participating in the surety market throughout the country. Management believes that its principal strengths are diverse product offerings, service and accessibility and long-term relationships with agents and accounts. Competition has increased as a result of ten years of profitable underwriting experience through 1999. This competition has typically manifested itself through reduced premium rates and greater tolerance for relaxation of underwriting standards. Beginning in 2000 and through the end of 2003, the surety industry's underwriting performance began to be impacted by the significant increases in corporate defaults. Although premium rates began firming in 2001, particularly on large accounts due to deteriorating underwriting performance throughout the surety industry, management believes such competition will continue and impact the Company's ability to raise rates further.

#### REINSURANCE

The Company's insurance subsidiaries, in the ordinary course of business, cede reinsurance to other insurance companies and affiliates. Reinsurance arrangements are used to limit maximum loss, provide greater diversification of risk and minimize exposure on larger risks. Reinsurance contracts do not ordinarily relieve the Company of its primary obligations to claimants. Therefore, a contingent liability exists with respect to reinsurance ceded to the extent that any reinsurer is unable to meet the obligations assumed under reinsurance contracts. The Company evaluates the financial condition of its reinsurers, establishes allowances for uncollectible amounts and monitors concentrations of credit risk.

The Company's reinsurance program is predominantly comprised of excess of loss reinsurance contracts that limit the Company's retention on a per principal basis. The Company's reinsurance coverage is provided by third party reinsurers and related parties. Refer to Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Reinsurance, for further discussion.

At December 31, 2003, CNA Surety's largest reinsurance receivable from an affiliate, CCC, an A rated company by A.M. Best, was approximately \$18.4 million. At December 31, 2002, CNA Surety's largest

7

reinsurance receivable from an affiliate, CCC, an A rated company by A.M. Best, was approximately \$17.6 million.

In addition, due to the nature of the reinsurance products available to the Company and other sureties, reinsurers may cover principals for whom the Company writes surety bonds in one year, but then exclude or provide only limited reinsurance for these same principals in subsequent years. As a result the Company may continue to have exposure to these principals with limited or no reinsurance for bonds written during years that the Company had reinsurance covering these principals.

#### RESERVES FOR UNPAID LOSSES AND LOSS ADJUSTMENT EXPENSES

CNA Surety's insurance subsidiaries employ generally accepted reserving approaches in establishing the estimated liability for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses that give consideration to the inherent difficulty and variability in the estimation process. In addition, CNA Surety utilizes an independent actuarial firm of national standing to conduct periodic reviews of loss reserving practices, and annually obtains actuarial certification as to the reasonableness of actuarial assumptions used and the sufficiency of year-end reserves for each of its principal insurance subsidiaries.

The estimated liability for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses includes, on an undiscounted basis, estimates of (a) the ultimate settlement value of reported claims, (b) incurred but not reported ("IBNR") claims, (c) future expenses to be incurred in the settlement of claims and (d) claim recoveries, exclusive of reinsurance recoveries which are reported as an asset. These estimates are determined based on the Company's and surety industry loss experience as well as consideration of current trends and conditions. The estimated liability for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses is an estimate and there is the potential that actual future loss payments will differ significantly from initial estimates. The methods of determining such estimates and the resulting estimated liability are regularly reviewed and updated. Changes in the estimated liability are reflected in operating income in the period in which such changes are determined to be needed.

A table is included in Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Reserves for Loss and Loss Adjustment Expenses that presents the activity in the reserves for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses for the Company and is incorporated herein by reference. This table highlights the impact of revisions to the estimated liability established in prior years.

The following table sets forth a reconciliation of the consolidated loss reserves reported in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP"), and the reserves reported to state insurance regulatory authorities in accordance with statutory accounting principles ("SAP") for the year ended December 31, 2003 (dollars in thousands):

\$ 255,182
158 <b>,</b> 357
413,539
(158,357)
\$ 255,182

The loss reserve development table below illustrates the change over time of reserves established for the Company's estimated losses and loss adjustment expenses at the end of various calendar years. The first section shows the reserves as originally reported at the end of the stated year. The second section shows the cumulative amounts paid as of the end of successive years with respect to that reserve liability. The third section shows re-estimates of the original recorded reserve as of the end of each successive year which is the result of management's expanded awareness of additional facts and circumstances that pertain to the unsettled claims. The last section compares the latest re-estimated reserve to the reserve originally established, and indicates whether or not the original reserve was adequate or inadequate to cover the estimated costs of unsettled claims.

8

The loss reserve development table is cumulative as of each December 31, and, therefore, ending balances should not be added since the amount at the end of each calendar year includes activity for both the current and prior years. The loss reserve development table reflects, on a pro forma basis, the reserves of the CCC Surety Operations and Capsure since 1993 and CIC since its acquisition in May of 1995. Such historical development is not necessarily indicative of the financial results that would have occurred under the ownership and management of CNA Surety or of future operating results.

	AS OF DECEMBER 31,							
	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	
				 (DOLLARS IN	 N THOUSANDS)			
Net reserves for losses and loss adjustment								
expenses  Net Paid (Cumulative) as of:	\$64,627	\$70 <b>,</b> 398	\$147,911	\$137 <b>,</b> 064	\$122 <b>,</b> 725	\$142,034	\$137,469	
One year later	12,923	12,018	42,552	9,866	19,595	32,428	35,825	
Two years later Three years	19,671	18,149	43,179	20,171	30,775	52,524	47,795	
later	21,990	21,229	46,782	25,206	43,999	58,421	73,341	
Four years later	23,070	22,313	48,960	32,918	47,144	67,451	81 <b>,</b> 788	
Five years later	23,864	24,776	56,891	35,214	51,742	71,352		
Six years later Seven years	24,706	24,102	54,724	38,371	54,659	,		
later Eight years	23,180	22,167	57 <b>,</b> 275	41,058				

7	01 070	00 010	FO 1FO				
later	21,279	23,212	59 <b>,</b> 153				
Nine years later	22,271	24 <b>,</b> 726					
Ten years later	24,982						
Net Reserves							
Re-estimated as of:							
End of initial							
year	64 <b>,</b> 627	70 <b>,</b> 398	147,911	137,064	122,725	142,034	137,469
One year later	54 <b>,</b> 568	51,471	132,267	96,178	118,373	128,949	130,376
Two years later	44,749	44,135	103,466	90,796	102,304	114,605	128,134
Three years							
later	38 <b>,</b> 972	38 <b>,</b> 829	101,745	77,086	87,321	110,462	130,280
Four years later	28,094	38 <b>,</b> 628	89,348	62,217	86,271	113,748	128,876
Five years later	30,335	31,362	77,477	60 <b>,</b> 882	86,320	108,606	
Six years later	27,842	27,327	72,879	61,443	81,000		
Seven years							
later	26,010	24,497	73,428	58,644			
Eight years							
later	23,221	25,024	72,630				
Nine years later	22,821	34,183					
Ten years later	33,887						
-	======	======	======	======	======	======	======
Total net							
(deficiency)							
redundancy	\$30,740	\$36,215	\$ 75,281	\$ 78,420	\$ 41,725	\$ 33,428	\$ 8,594
_	======	======	=======	=======	=======	=======	=======
Cumulative redundancy							
(deficiency) as a							
percentage of							
original							
estimate	47.6%	51.4%	50.9%	57.2%	34.0%	23.5%	6.3%
	======	======	======	=======	=======	=======	======

	AS	OF	DECEMBER	31,	
2001	L		2002	:	2003

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

Net reserves for losses and loss adjustment			
expenses	\$149,493	\$166 <b>,</b> 132	\$255,182
Net Paid (Cumulative)			
as of:			
One year later	64,832	59 <b>,</b> 567	
Two years later	98 <b>,</b> 885		
Three years			
later			
Four years later			
Five years later			
Six years later			
Seven years			
later			
Eight years			
later			
Nine years later			
Ten years later			
Net Reserves			
Re-estimated as of:			
End of initial			
year	149,493	166,132	255,182
y car	117, 170	100,102	200,102

One year later	155,673	205,422	
-		,	
Two years later	187,502		
Three years			
later			
Four years later			
Five years later			
Six years later			
Seven years			
-			
later			
Eight years			
later			
Nine years later			
Ten years later			
	======	======	=======
Total net			
(deficiency)			
redundancy	\$(38 <b>,</b> 009)	\$(39 <b>,</b> 290)	
Cumulative redundancy			
-			
(deficiency) as a			
percentage of			
original			
-	/OF /\\	(04 () 0	
estimate	(25.4)%	(24.6)%	
	======	======	=======

#### CLAIMS

Proactive claims management is an important factor for the profitable underwriting of surety and fidelity products. The Company maintains an experienced and dedicated staff of in-house claim specialists. Claim handling is performed in Chicago. The disposition of claims and other claim-related activity is done in accordance with established policies, procedures and expense controls designed to minimize loss costs and maximize salvage and subrogation recoveries. Indemnity and subrogation rights exist on a significant portion of the business written, enabling the Company to pursue loss recovery from the principal.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CLAIMS

The Company does not typically bond contractors that specialize in hazardous environmental remediation work. The Company does however bond several accounts that have incidental environmental exposure with

9

respect to which the Company provides bonding programs. In the commercial surety market, the Company provides bonds to large corporations that are in the business of mining various minerals and are obligated to post reclamation bonds that guarantee that property which was disturbed during mining is returned to an acceptable condition when the mining is completed. The Company also provides court and other surety bonds for large corporations wherein the underlying action involves environmental related issues. While no environmental responsibility is overtly provided by commercial or contract bonds, some risk of environmental exposure may exist if the surety were to assume certain rights in the completion of a defaulted project or through salvage recovery. The Company estimates its net case incurred losses on known claims of this nature to be \$11.8 million as of December 31, 2003.

### REGULATION

The Company's insurance subsidiaries are subject to varying degrees of

regulation and supervision in the jurisdictions in which they transact business under statutes that delegate regulatory, supervisory and administrative powers to state insurance regulators. In general, an insurer's state of domicile has principal responsibility for such regulation which is designed generally to protect policyholders rather than investors and relates to matters such as the standards of solvency which must be maintained; the licensing of insurers and their agents; the examination of the affairs of insurance companies, including periodic financial and market conduct examinations; the filing of annual and other reports, prepared on a statutory basis, on the financial condition of insurers or for other purposes; establishment and maintenance of reserves for unearned premiums and losses; and requirements regarding numerous other matters. Licensed or admitted insurers generally must file with the insurance regulators of such states, or have filed on its behalf, the premium rates and bond and policy forms used within each state. In some states, approval of such rates and forms must be received from the insurance regulators in advance of their use.

Western Surety is domiciled in South Dakota and licensed in all 50 states and the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico. SBCA is domiciled in South Dakota and licensed in 28 states and the District of Columbia. USA is domiciled in Texas and licensed in 44 states and the District of Columbia.

Insurance regulations generally also require registration and periodic disclosure of certain information concerning ownership, financial condition, capital structure, general business operations and any material transactions or agreements by or among affiliates. Such regulation also typically restricts the ability of any one person to acquire 10% or more, either directly or indirectly, of a company's stock without prior approval of the applicable insurance regulatory authority. In addition, dividends and other distributions to stockholders generally may be paid only out of unreserved and unrestricted statutory earned surplus. Such distributions may be subject to prior regulatory approval, including a review of the implications on Risk-Based Capital requirements. A discussion of Risk-Based Capital requirements for property and casualty insurance companies is included in both Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Statutory Financial Data. Without prior regulatory approval in 2004, CNA Surety's insurance subsidiaries may pay stockholder dividends of \$19.0 million in the aggregate. For the year ended December 31, 2003, CNA Surety received \$28.5 million in dividends from its insurance subsidiaries.

CNA Surety's insurance subsidiaries are subject to periodic financial and market conduct examinations. These examinations are generally performed by the domiciliary state insurance regulatory authorities. The South Dakota Department of Commerce and Regulation -- Division of Insurance (the "South Dakota Department") conducted its financial and market conduct examination of Western Surety for the five-year period ended December 31, 1996. The South Dakota Department made a finding of non-compliance with respect to the Company's practices regarding return of premiums and recommended that Western Surety change its current procedures regarding the return of premiums. The regulation in question was subsequently amended to exclude surety products that eliminated any non-compliance by the Company. The Texas Department of Insurance (the "Texas Department") conducted an examination of USA's financial matters as of December 31, 1996. There were no significant issues noted which required corrective action by USA. Both the South Dakota Department of Commerce and Regulation-Insurance Division and the Texas Department of Insurance have completed their respective reviews of the five-year period ended December 31, 2001. The

10

points noted in their reviews were largely administrative in nature and have been addressed by management. They do not have a material impact policyholders'

surplus, nor have they resulted in any fines or penalties to CNA Surety or any of its subsidiaries.

Certain states in which CNA Surety's insurance subsidiaries conduct their business require insurers to join a guaranty association. Guaranty associations provide protection to policyholders of insurers licensed in such states against the insolvency of those insurers. In order to provide the associations with funds to pay certain claims under policies issued by insolvent insurers, the guaranty associations charge members assessments based on the amount of direct premiums written in that state. Such assessments were not material to CNA Surety's results of operations in 2003.

Western Surety and SBCA each qualifies as an acceptable surety for federal and other public works project bonds pursuant to U.S. Department of Treasury regulations. U.S. Treasury underwriting limitations are based on an insurer's statutory surplus. The underwriting limitations of Western Surety, SBCA and USA, based on each insurer's statutory surplus, were \$20.7 million, \$0.5 million and \$1.3 million, respectively, for the twelve-month period ended June 30, 2003. Effective July 1, 2003 through June 30, 2004, the underwriting limitations of Western Surety and SBCA are \$21.9 million and \$0.5 million, respectively. Through the Surety Quota Share Treaty between CCC and Western Surety Company, CNA Surety has access to CCC and its affiliates' U.S. Department of Treasury underwriting limitations. The Surety Quota Share Treaty had an original term of five years from the Merger Date and was renewed on October 1, 2002 and on January 1, 2004 on substantially the same terms. Effective July 1, 2003 through June 30, 2004, the underwriting limitations of CCC and its affiliates total \$569.6 million. CNA Surety management believes that the foregoing U.S. Treasury underwriting limitations are sufficient for the conduct of its business.

#### INVESTMENTS

CNA Surety insurance subsidiaries' investment practices must comply with insurance laws and regulations and must also comply with certain covenants under CNA Surety's credit facility. Generally, insurance laws and regulations prescribe the nature and quality of, and set limits on, the various types of investments that may be made by CNA Surety's insurance subsidiaries.

The Company's investment portfolio generally is managed to maximize after-tax investment return, while minimizing credit risk with investments concentrated in high quality income securities. CNA Surety's portfolio is managed to provide diversification by limiting exposures to any one industry, issue or issuer, and to provide liquidity by investing in the public securities markets. The portfolio is structured to support CNA Surety's insurance underwriting operations and to consider the expected duration of liabilities and short-term cash needs.

An investment committee of CNA Surety's Board of Directors establishes investment policy and oversees the management of each portfolio. A professional independent investment adviser has been engaged to assist in the management of each insurance subsidiary investment portfolio pursuant to established investment committee guidelines. The insurance subsidiaries pay an advisory fee based on the market value of the assets under management.

### EMPLOYEES

As of December 31, 2003, the Company employed 785 persons. CNA Surety has not experienced any work stoppages. Management of CNA Surety believes its relations with its employees are good.

### AVAILABILITY OF SEC REPORTS

A copy of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, as well as CNA Surety's

subsequent Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and any amendments to such reports are available, free of charge, on the Internet at CNA Surety's website (www.cnasurety.com) as soon as reasonably practicable after being filed with or submitted to the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"). Prior to the filing of this Form 10-K, CNA Surety provided links to the SEC's website (www.sec.gov) which contained the equivalent

11

of the reports described above. Any materials the Company files with the SEC may be read and obtained at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 450 Fifth Street, NW, Washington, DC 20549. Information regarding the operation of the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. This reference to the CNA Surety's website or the SEC's address does not constitute incorporation by reference of the information contained on the website and should not be considered part of this document.

#### ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

CNA Surety leases its executive offices and its shared branch locations with Continental Casualty Company ("CCC") under the Administrative Services Agreement. CNA Surety currently uses approximately 94,760 square feet and related personal property at 35 branch locations and its home and executive offices (27,648 square feet), in Chicago, Illinois. CNA Surety's annual rent for this space is approximately \$2.8 million. CNA Surety may terminate its use of these locations as set forth in the Administrative Services Agreement, without material penalty, by providing CCC with 30 days written notice. In 2004, CNA Surety intends to enter into separate lease or sub-lease agreements with CCC for these shared locations.

CNA Surety leases approximately 81,100 square feet of office space for its primary processing and service center at 101 South Phillips Avenue, Sioux Falls, South Dakota, under a lease expiring in 2012. The annual rent, which is subject to annual adjustments, was \$0.9 million as of December 31, 2003. CNA Surety also leases space for contract and commercial branch offices in Tallahassee, FL, Dallas, Texas; New York, New York; Troy, Michigan; Roseville, California; Houston, Texas; and San Juan, Puerto Rico. Annual rent for these offices was \$0.6 million with leases terminating in 2004, 2004, 2007, 2005, 2005, 2006, and 2006, respectively.

### ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Company and its subsidiaries are parties to various lawsuits arising in the normal course of business, some seeking material damages. The Company believes the resolution of these lawsuits will not have a material adverse effect on its financial condition or its results of operations.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

None.

12

### PART II.

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT'S COMMON STOCK AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The Company's common stock ("Common Stock") trades on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol SUR. On March 5, 2004, the last reported sale price for the Common Stock was \$11.11 per share. The following table shows the range

of high and low sales prices for shares of the Common Stock as reported on the New York Stock Exchange during 2003 and 2002.

	HIGH	LOW
2003		
1st Quarter	\$ 8.68	\$ 6.10
2nd Quarter	\$10.23	\$ 7.89
3rd Quarter	\$10.70	\$ 9.30
4th Quarter	\$11.08	\$ 8.60
2002		
1st Quarter	\$15.40	\$14.00
2nd Quarter	\$16.60	\$14.40
3rd Quarter	\$14.85	\$12.33
4th Quarter	\$14.15	\$ 7.41

The number of stockholders of record of Common Stock on March 5, 2004, was approximately 414.

#### DIVIDENDS

Effective November 21, 2002, the Company announced that its Board of Directors suspended its quarterly cash dividend. The Board reassessed the level of dividends which would be appropriate based upon a number of factors, including CNA Surety's financial condition, operating characteristics, projected earnings and growth, capital requirements of its insurance subsidiaries and debt service obligations. The reintroduction of a quarterly or annual dividend and the amount of any such dividend will be reassessed at future Board meetings.

13

#### ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following financial information has been derived from the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto.

The following information presented for CNA Surety is as of and for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002, 2001, 2000 and 1999.

	2003		2002		2001	2000	1999
	(DOLL	ARS	IN THOUSAI	NDS,	EXCEPT P	ER SHARE DA	ГА)
Total revenues	\$ 332 <b>,</b> 576		318,487		350,471	\$332 <b>,</b> 273	\$309 <b>,</b> 405
Gross written premiums	\$ 371 <b>,</b> 375	\$	359 <b>,</b> 892	\$	333,003	\$316,667	\$306 <b>,</b> 859
Net written premiums	\$ 319,210	\$	306 <b>,</b> 654	\$	315,804	\$304 <b>,</b> 468	\$298 <b>,</b> 987
Net earned premium	\$ 304,449	\$	298,319	\$	320,910	\$301 <b>,</b> 819	\$283 <b>,</b> 540
expenses  Net commissions, brokerage and other	172,476		94,198		80,836	55 <b>,</b> 683	44,672
underwriting expenses  Net investment income	190,740 26,301		179,827 27,754		202,877 29,515	181,655 29,897	166,974 25,850

Net realized investment gains (losses)		1,826 1,523 		, 		 6,097		557 6,956 500 6,097		15 5,846  5,982
<pre>Income (loss) before income taxes Income taxes</pre>		(32,163) (18,102)		42,754 12,635		56,736 19,828	2	31,382 27,780		85,931 29,433
Net income	\$	(14,151)	\$	30 <b>,</b> 119	\$	36 <b>,</b> 908	\$ !	53 <b>,</b> 602	\$	56,498
Basic and diluted earnings per common share		(0.33)		0.70		0.86	\$	1.25	\$	1.28
Loss ratio(1)		56.7% 62.6		31.6% 60.3		25.2% 63.2		18.4%		15.7% 58.9
Combined ratio(1)		119.3%		91.9%		88.4%		78.6% =====		74.6%
Invested assets and cash Intangible assets, net of		654,072						55 <b>,</b> 975		199,400
amortization		138,785		143,785		143,785	14	49 <b>,</b> 882	1	.55,980
Total assets	1	,169,123	1	,093,380	1	,061,598	95	50,568	8	851 <b>,</b> 575
Insurance reserves		637 <b>,</b> 607		519,646		516,190	4 (	06,636	3	357,233
Debt		50,418		60,816		76,195	10	01,556	1	.01,900
Total liabilities		758 <b>,</b> 982		672,819		673 <b>,</b> 170	5	76,536		525 <b>,</b> 271
Stockholders' equity		410,141		420,561		388,428	3	74,032		326,304
Book value per share	\$	9.54	\$	9.79	\$	9.08	\$	8.76	\$	7.59
Dividends paid per share	\$		\$	0.45	\$	0.54	\$	0.32	\$	0.32

\_\_\_\_\_

14

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following is a discussion and analysis of CNA Surety Corporation ("CNA

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes the effect of recording revisions of prior year reserves. The dollar amount and the percentage point effect on the loss ratio of these reserve revisions were an addition of \$39,290, or 12.9% for the year ended December 31, 2003, an addition of \$6,180, or 2.1%, for the year ended December 31, 2002, an addition of \$4,812, or 1.5%, for the year ended December 31, 2001, a reduction of \$7,093, or 2.4%, for the year ended December 31, 2000, and a reduction of \$13,085, or 4.6%, for the year ended December 31, 1999.

<sup>(2)</sup> As of January 1, 2002, the Company adopted the Financial Accounting Standards Board's Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142 concerning the accounting for goodwill and other intangible assets. The adoption of this standard eliminated the Company's amortization of goodwill and intangibles as of December 31, 2001 and therefore, increased the Company's reported 2002 net income by \$5.7 million, or 13 cents per share, respectively, as compared to the same period in 2001. If the provisions of this standard were applied to prior periods, net income for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000, and 1999 would have been \$42.6 million, or \$0.99 per share; \$59.3 million, or \$1.38 per share; \$62.1 million, or \$1.41 per share respectively.

Surety" or the "Company") and its subsidiaries' operating results, liquidity and capital resources, and financial condition. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements of CNA Surety and notes thereto.

#### INTRODUCTION

Management believes that the following areas represent the most significant risks and uncertainties impacting the operating performance and financial condition of the Company. The financial impacts of these issues would affect gross and net written premium, incurred losses and reserves for unpaid losses. Each of these issues is discussed in greater detail later in this section.

#### Availability and cost of reinsurance

Reinsurance coverage is an important component of the Company's capital structure. Reinsurance allows the Company to meet certain regulatory restrictions that would otherwise limit the size of bonds that the Company writes and limit the market segments in which the Company could compete. In addition, reinsurance reduces the potential volatility of earnings and protects the Company's capital by limiting the amount of loss associated with any one bond principal. Due to increased loss frequency and severity for both the Company and within the surety industry in general that began emerging in 1999, the Company, beginning in 2002, paid substantially higher reinsurance premiums and was required to retain higher amounts of its per principal exposure. Through aggressive exposure reduction efforts and continued underwriting discipline, the Company has been able to purchase additional limits and more expansive reinsurance protection for 2003 and 2004 as compared to 2002.

#### Exposure to loss on principals excluded from reinsurance programs

Beginning in 2002, the Company's reinsurers excluded certain accounts (for which the Company had continuing exposure from bonds written in prior years) from the reinsurance programs. For these accounts, the company would retain up to \$60 million of loss. Due to the improved financial condition of some of these accounts and the Company's efforts to have these accounts covered, two of these accounts are again covered under the Company's 2004 reinsurance program. However, the Company's reinsurance program for 2004 does exclude three principals. Of these excluded principals, one is a foreign industrial enterprise, one is a domestic electric utility and the other is a large national contractor. As of December 31, 2003, Management estimates that the Company's net exposure to these accounts would be \$31 million, \$49 million and \$60 million, respectively. The foreign industrial enterprise and the electric utility are discussed on page 22, and the large national contractor is discussed in detail on page 25. As of December 31, 2003, no material loss event has occurred with respect to these three principals.

### Financial strength ratings

Surety bond principals and obligees often refer to the financial strength ratings assigned by A.M. Best Co. ("A.M. Best"), Standard and Poor's ("S&P") and other similar companies when they are choosing a surety company. Because the Company uses the underwriting capacity of Continental Casualty Company ("CCC") and its subsidiaries to serve larger accounts, the insurer financial strength rating of both the Company and CCC factor into customers' decisions. After reporting a significant operating loss in the third quarter of 2003, the Company's A.M. Best rating was lowered from A+ to A with a negative outlook. CCC also reported a significant operating loss in the third quarter of 2003, but A.M. Best affirmed CCC's rating of A with a negative outlook. Management believes that the current ratings are sufficient for the Company to conduct all aspects of its business. Management also believes that a one level reduction in ratings would have only a minimal impact on operations. A further decrease

beyond one level would likely have a material adverse impact on the Company's ability to write business. Management believes that the likelihood of further ratings

15

downgrades has been reduced by ongoing efforts to reduce large exposures and the lower per principal retentions under the 2004 reinsurance program.

#### Economic Conditions

The Company's results are impacted by general corporate credit conditions, as well as by the condition of the public construction segment of the economy. While corporate credit default rates appear to be improving from recent historically high levels, the amount of new public construction spending appears to be slowing. An improvement in overall corporate default rates could be expected to have a favorable impact on the Company's loss costs. A slow down in public construction spending could be expected to put pressure on the Company's written premium production and also adversely impact loss costs. Management believes that the diversification of the Company's book of business, with approximately 39% of premium from products that are less sensitive to economic conditions, mitigates the impact of these economic factors.

#### Bond Premium Rates

The premium rates that the Company charges for its bonds have a direct impact on the amount of revenue generated and on the ratio of incurred losses to earned premium. Over the last several years, the company has charged higher rates for its bonds, particularly in the large commercial and contract segments. These two segments demonstrated the greater rate need due to the emergence of increased loss frequency and severity as noted above. While the Company will continue to aggressively pursue rate increases where indicated, competitive factors appear to be pointing to a decreased ability to achieve the level of rate increases that have been obtained over the past several years, particularly in the small and middle market contract segments.

### CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Management believes the most significant accounting policies and related disclosures for purposes of understanding the Company's results of operations and financial condition pertain to reserves for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses and reinsurance, investments, goodwill and other intangible assets, and deferred policy acquisition costs. The Company's accounting policies related to reserves for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses and related estimates of reinsurance recoverables, are particularly critical to an assessment of the Company's financial results. These areas are highly subjective and require management's most complex judgments because of the need to make estimates about the effects of matters that are inherently uncertain.

Reserves for Unpaid Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses and Reinsurance

CNA Surety accrues liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses under its surety and property and casualty insurance contracts based upon estimates of the ultimate amounts payable under the contracts related to losses occurring on or before the balance sheet date. As of any balance sheet date, all claims have not yet been reported and some claims may not be reported for many years. As a result, the liability for unpaid losses includes significant estimates for incurred-but-not-reported claims. Additionally, reported claims are in various stages of the settlement process. Each claim is settled individually based upon its merits, and certain claim liabilities may take years to settle, especially if legal action is involved.

The Company uses a variety of techniques to establish the liabilities for unpaid claims recorded at the balance sheet date. While techniques may vary, each employs significant judgments and assumptions. Techniques may involve detailed statistical analysis of past claim reporting, settlement activity, salvage and subrogation activity, claim frequency and severity data when sufficient information exists to lend statistical credibility to the analysis. The analysis may be based upon internal loss experience or industry experience. Techniques may vary depending on the type of claim being estimated. Liabilities may also reflect implicit or explicit assumptions regarding the potential effects of future economic and social inflation, judicial decisions, law changes, and recent trends in such factors.

16

Receivables recorded with respect to insurance losses ceded to reinsurers under reinsurance contracts are estimated in a manner similar to liabilities for insurance losses and, therefore, are also subject to uncertainty. In addition to the factors cited above, estimates of reinsurance recoveries may prove uncollectible if the reinsurer is unable to perform under the contract. Reinsurance contracts do not relieve the ceding company of its obligations to indemnify its own policyholders.

CNA Surety's Consolidated Balance Sheet includes estimated liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses of \$413.5 million and reinsurance receivables related to losses of \$158.4 million at December 31, 2003 compared to estimates of \$303.4 million and \$137.3 million, respectively, at December 31, 2002. While many factors impact these estimates, Management believes that past changes in the Company's business mix and reinsurance program along with increased corporate default rates were the primary drivers of the need to substantially increase reserve levels in 2003. Beginning in the late 1990's, the Company began writing more bonds for large corporate clients. Shortly thereafter, corporate default rates increased dramatically. These exposures proved to be more volatile than the Company's more traditional contract and small commercial surety products, and began resulting in a higher frequency of severe losses. As a result, the Company's reinsurers significantly increased rates, reduced the amount of coverage available to the Company and excluded certain accounts from the reinsurance program. For 2002, the Company's per principal retention increased from \$5 million to \$20 million. Although the Company reduced its per principal retention to \$15 million for 2003, these higher retentions, at a time of continuing higher frequency of severe losses, further increased the volatility of results.

Since 2001, the Company has been aggressively reducing its exposures to large corporate clients. Management believes that these efforts, along with continued underwriting discipline in its traditional contract and small commercial products, have been key to further reducing the Company's per principal retention for most accounts to \$10 million for the 2004 reinsurance program. Management anticipates that these steps will reduce the volatility of the Company's results.

Due to the inherent uncertainties in the process of establishing the liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses, the actual ultimate claims amounts will differ from the currently recorded amounts. This difference could have a material effect on reported earnings. For example, a 10% increase in the December 31, 2003 net estimate for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses would produce a charge to pre-tax earnings of approximately \$25.5 million. Future effects from changes in these estimates will be recorded as a component of losses incurred in the period such changes are determined to be needed.

Investments

Management believes the Company has the ability to hold all fixed income securities to maturity. However, the Company may dispose of securities prior to their scheduled maturity due to changes in interest rates, prepayments, tax and credit considerations, liquidity or regulatory capital requirements, or other similar factors. As a result, the Company considers all of its fixed income securities (bonds and redeemable preferred stocks) and equity securities as available-for-sale. These securities are reported at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses, net of deferred income taxes, reported as a separate component of stockholders' equity. Cash flows from purchases, sales and maturities are reported gross in the investing activities section of the cash flow statement.

The amortized cost of fixed income securities is determined based on cost and the cumulative effect of amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts to maturity. Such amortization and accretion are included in investment income. For mortgage-backed and certain asset-backed securities, the Company recognizes income using the effective-yield method based on estimated cash flows. All securities transactions are recorded on the trade date. Investment gains or losses realized on the sale of securities are determined using the specific identification method. Investments with an other-than-temporary decline in value are written down to fair value, resulting in losses that are included in realized investment gains and losses.

Short-term investments that generally include U.S. Treasury bills, corporate notes, money market funds and investment grade commercial paper equivalents, are carried at amortized cost which approximates fair value. Invested assets are exposed to various risks, such as interest rate risk, market risk and credit risk. Due to

17

the level of risk associated with invested assets and the level of uncertainty related to changes in the value of these assets, it is possible that changes in risks in the near term may significantly affect the amounts reported in the Consolidated Balance Sheets and Consolidated Statements of Income.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

CNA Surety's Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2003 includes goodwill and identified intangibles of approximately \$138.8 million. These amounts represent goodwill and identified intangibles arising from the acquisition of Capsure Holdings Corp. ("Capsure"). Prior to 2002, goodwill from this and other acquisitions were generally amortized as a charge to earnings over periods not exceeding 30 years. Under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 142 entitled "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" ("SFAS No. 142"), which was adopted by CNA Surety as of January 1, 2002, periodic amortization ceased, in accordance with an impairment-only accounting model.

A significant amount of judgment is required in performing goodwill impairment tests. Such tests include periodically determining or reviewing the estimated fair value of CNA Surety's reporting units. Under SFAS No. 142, fair value refers to the amount for which the entire reporting unit may be bought or sold. There are several methods of estimating fair value, including market quotations, asset and liability fair values and other valuation techniques, such as discounted cash flows and multiples of earnings or revenues. If the carrying amount of a reporting unit, including goodwill, exceeds the estimated fair value, then individual assets, including identifiable intangible assets, and liabilities of the reporting unit are estimated at fair value. The excess of the estimated fair value of the reporting unit over the estimated fair value of net assets would establish the implied value of goodwill. The excess of the recorded

amount of goodwill over the implied value of goodwill is recorded as an impairment loss.

Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs

Policy acquisition costs, consisting of commissions, premium taxes and other underwriting expenses which vary with, and are primarily related to, the production of business, net of reinsurance commissions, are deferred and amortized as a charge to income as the related premiums are earned. Anticipated investment income is considered in the determination of the recoverability of deferred acquisition costs.

#### FORMATION OF CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND MERGER

In December 1996, CNA Financial Corporation ("CNAF") and Capsure agreed to merge (the "Merger") the surety business of CNAF with Capsure Holdings Corp.'s ("Capsure") insurance subsidiaries, Western Surety Company ("Western Surety") and Universal Surety of America ("USA"), into CNA Surety. CNAF, through its operating subsidiaries, writes multiple lines of property and casualty insurance, including surety business that is reinsured by Western Surety. CNAF owns approximately 64% of the outstanding common stock of CNA Surety. Loews Corporation ("Loews") owns approximately 90% of the outstanding common stock of CNAF.

#### BUSINESS

CNA Surety's insurance subsidiaries write surety and fidelity bonds in all 50 states through a combined network of approximately 34,000 independent agencies. CNA Surety's principal insurance subsidiaries are Western Surety and USA. The insurance subsidiaries write, on a direct basis or as business assumed from CCC and Continental Insurance Company ("CIC"), small fidelity and non-contract surety bonds, referred to as commercial bonds; small, medium and large contract bonds; and errors and omissions ("E&O") liability insurance. Western Surety is a licensed insurer in all 50 states, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico. USA is licensed in 44 states and the District of Columbia. Western Surety's affiliated company, Surety Bonding Company of America ("SBCA"), is licensed in 28 states and the District of Columbia.

The Company's corporate objective is to be the leading provider of surety and surety-related products in the United States and in select international markets and to be the surety of choice for its customers and independent agents and brokers.

18

Western Surety is currently rated A (Excellent) with a negative rating outlook, by A.M. Best. An A (Excellent) rating is assigned to those companies which A.M. Best believes have an excellent ability to meet their ongoing obligations to policyholders. A (Excellent) rated insurers have been shown to be among the strongest in ability to meet policyholder and other contractual obligations. The rating outlook indicates the potential direction of a company's rating for an intermediate period, generally defined as the next 12 to 36 months. Through inter-company reinsurance and related agreements, CNA Surety's customers have access to CCC's broader underwriting capacity. CCC is currently rated A (Excellent) with a negative outlook by A.M. Best. A.M. Best's letter ratings range from A++ (Superior) to F (In Liquidation) with A++ being highest.

CCC and Western Surety are both currently rated A- (Strong), by S&P. On August 7, 2003, S&P placed CCC and Western Surety on credit watch with negative implications. S&P's letter ratings range from AAA+ (Extremely Strong) to CC (Extremely Weak) with AAA+ being highest. Ratings from "AA" to "CCC" may be modified by the addition of a plus or minus sign to show relative standing

within the major rating categories. An insurer rated "A" has strong financial security characteristics, but is somewhat more likely to be affected by adverse business conditions than are insurers with higher ratings.

#### RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

#### Financial Measures

The Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations ("MD&A") discusses certain generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") and non-GAAP financial measures in order to provide information used by management to monitor the Company's operating performance. Management utilizes various financial measures to monitor the Company's insurance operations and investment portfolio. Underwriting results, which are derived from certain income statement amounts, are considered a non-GAAP financial measure and are used by management to monitor performance of the Company's insurance operations. The Company's investment portfolio is monitored through analysis of various quantitative and qualitative factors and certain decisions related to the sale or impairment of investments produce realized gains and losses, which is also a component used in the calculation of net income and is a non-GAAP financial measure.

Underwriting results are computed as net earned premiums less net loss and loss adjustment expenses and net commissions, brokerage and other underwriting expenses. Management uses underwriting results to monitor its insurance operations' results without the impact of certain factors, including net investment income, net realized investment gains (losses) and interest expense. Management excludes these factors in order to analyze the direct relationship between net earned premiums and the related net loss and loss adjustment expenses along with net commissions, brokerage and other underwriting expenses.

Operating ratios are calculated using insurance results and are widely used by the insurance industry and regulators such as state departments of insurance and the National Association of Insurance Commissioners for financial regulation and as a basis of comparison among companies. The ratios discussed in the Company's MD&A are calculated using GAAP financial results and include the net loss and loss adjustment expense ratio ("loss ratio") as well as the net commissions, brokerage and other underwriting expense ratio ("expense ratio") and combined ratio. The loss ratio is the percentage of net incurred claim and claim adjustment expenses to net earned premiums. The expense ratio is the percentage of net commissions, brokerage and other underwriting expenses, including the amortization of deferred acquisition costs, to net earned premiums. The combined ratio is the sum of the loss and expense ratios.

The Company's investment portfolio is monitored by management through analyses of various factors including unrealized gains and losses on securities, portfolio duration, and exposure to interest rate, market and credit risk. Based on such analyses, the Company may impair an investment security in accordance with its policy, or sell a security. Such activities will produce net realized investment gains and losses.

While management uses various GAAP and non-GAAP financial measures to monitor various aspects of the Company's performance, net income is the most directly comparable GAAP measure and represents a

19

more comprehensive measure of operating performance. Management believes that its process of evaluating performance through the use of these non-GAAP financial measures provides a basis for enhanced understanding of its operating performance and the impact to net income as a whole. Management also believes

that investors may find these widely used financial measures described above useful in interpreting the underlying trends and performance, as well as to provide visibility into the significant components of net income.

COMPARISON OF CNA SURETY ACTUAL RESULTS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2003, 2002 AND 2001

Analysis of Net Income (Loss)

The Company had a net loss of \$14.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2003 compared to net income of \$30.1 million and \$36.9 million for the comparable periods in 2002 and 2001, respectively. The decrease in 2003 compared to 2002 was driven by higher incurred losses and lower investment income.

The decrease in net income for 2002 compared to 2001 was driven by higher incurred losses, realized investment losses and lower investment income. These adverse changes were partially offset by lower interest expense and the cessation of amortization expense related to goodwill and other intangible assets.

Analysis of the components of net income are discussed in the following sections.

Results of Insurance Operations

Underwriting components for the Company for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001 are summarized in the following table (dollars in thousands):

	YEARS E	•	
	2003		2001
Gross written premium	\$371 <b>,</b> 375	\$359 <b>,</b> 892	\$333,003
Net written premium	\$319,210	\$306,654	\$315,804
Net earned premium	\$304,449	\$298,319	\$320,910
Net losses and loss adjustment expenses			80,836
Net commissions, brokerage and other		179,827	
Loss ratio  Expense ratio	56.7% 62.6	31.6%	25.2% 63.2
Combined ratio			

Premiums Written

CNA Surety primarily markets contract and commercial surety bonds. Contract surety bonds generally secure a contractor's performance and/or payment obligation with respect to a construction project. Contract surety bonds are generally required by federal, state and local governments for public works projects. The most common types include bid, performance and payment bonds. Commercial surety bonds include all surety bonds other than contract and cover obligations typically required by law or regulation. The commercial surety

market includes numerous types of bonds categorized as court judicial, court fiduciary, public official, license and permit and many miscellaneous bonds that include guarantees of financial performance. The Company also writes fidelity bonds that cover losses arising from employee dishonesty and other insurance products.

20

Gross written premiums for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001 are shown in the table below (dollars in thousands):

	YEARS 1	ENDED DECEM	BER 31,
	2003	2002	2001
Contract	\$208,472	\$197 <b>,</b> 875	\$180,588
Commercial	133,733	134,039	125,026
Fidelity and other	29,170	27 <b>,</b> 978	27,389
	\$371,375	\$359 <b>,</b> 892	\$333,003
	=======	=======	=======

Gross written premiums for the year ended December 31, 2003 increased 3.2%, or \$11.5 million, over the comparable period in 2002. Gross written premiums for contract surety increased 5.4%, or \$10.6 million, reflecting improving rates. Gross written premiums for commercial surety decreased 0.2%, or \$0.3 million, for the year ended December 31, 2003 reflecting the Company's ongoing effort to reduce aggregate exposures to large commercial accounts that was offset by continued volume growth of small commercial products and improving rates on large commercial bonds. The estimated impact of the Company's exposure reduction efforts to date represents approximately \$23 million in annual premium, assuming an average rate per \$1,000 of bond exposure of \$3.46, or 35 basis points, and approximately \$6.7 billion of bond exposure. Fidelity and other products increased 4.3% or \$1.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2003 as compared to the same period in 2002 due primarily to volume growth in fidelity and E&O products.

Gross written premiums for the year ended December 31, 2002 increased 8.1%, or \$26.9 million, over the comparable period in 2001. Gross written premiums for contract surety increased 9.6%, or \$17.3 million, reflecting modest growth in public construction spending and improving rates. Gross written premiums for commercial surety increased 7.2%, or \$9.0 million, for the year ended December 31, 2002 reflecting continued volume growth of small commercial products and improving rates on large commercial bonds partially offset by the impacts of the Company's ongoing efforts to reduce aggregate exposures on large commercial accounts. Fidelity and other products increased 2.2% to \$28.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2002 as compared to the same period in 2001 due primarily to an increase in fidelity business partially offset by the Company's decision to cease writing agents' E&O business.

Net written premiums for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001 are shown in the table below (dollars in thousands):

YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,

	2003	2002	2001
Contract	\$184,449	\$172 <b>,</b> 633	\$165,603
Commercial	106,899	107,290	122,812
Fidelity and other	27,862	26,731	27,389
	\$319,210	\$306,654	\$315,804
	=======	=======	=======

For the year ended December 31, 2003 net written premiums increased 4.1% to \$319.2 million as compared to the same period in 2002, reflecting the aforementioned gross production partially offset by higher reinsurance costs. Ceded written premiums decreased \$1.0 million to \$52.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2003. Ceded written premiums for 2003 include \$38.0 million for the Company's \$45 million excess of \$15 million per principal excess of loss coverage and \$3.8 million (net of expected return premium) for the Company's \$40 million excess of \$60 million per principal excess of loss coverage purchased from CCC. Net written premiums for contract surety business increased 6.8% to \$184.4 million. Net written premiums for commercial surety decreased 0.4% to \$106.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2003. Fidelity and other products increased 4.2% to \$27.9 million for the year 2003 as compared to 2002.

Net written premiums for the year ended December 31, 2002 decreased 2.9% to \$306.7 million as compared to the same period in 2001, reflecting the aforementioned gross production variances, the effects of higher reinsurance costs and the Company's efforts to reduce large commercial bond exposures. Ceded written

21

premiums increased \$36.0 million to \$53.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2002. Ceded written premiums for 2002 include \$30.0 million for the Company's \$40 million excess of \$20 million per principal excess of loss coverage and \$8.5 million for the purchase of extended discovery coverage on the Company's \$55 million excess of \$5 million per principal excess of loss coverage. Net written premiums for contract surety business increased 4.2% to \$172.6 million. Net written premiums for commercial surety decreased 12.6% to \$107.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2002. Fidelity and other products decreased 2.4% to \$26.7 million for the year 2002 as compared to 2001 due to a new quota share reinsurance arrangement effective January 1, 2002.

### Excess of Loss Reinsurance

2003 Third Party Reinsurance Compared to 2002 Third Party Reinsurance

Effective January 1, 2003, CNA Surety entered into a new excess of loss treaty ("2003 Excess of Loss Treaty") with a group of third party reinsurers that reduced its net retention per principal to \$15 million with a 5% co-participation in the \$45 million layer of third party reinsurance coverage above the Company's retention. This new excess of loss treaty replaced the \$40 million excess of \$20 million per principal coverage ("2002 Excess of Loss Treaty"). The material differences between the new excess of loss reinsurance program and the Company's 2002 Excess of Loss Treaty were as follows. The annual aggregate coverage increased from \$100 million in 2002 to \$110 million in 2003. The minimum annual premium for the 2003 Excess of Loss Treaty was \$38.0 million compared to \$30.0 million of reinsurance premiums paid in 2002. The 2003 Excess of Loss Treaty provided the Company with coverage on a per principal basis of 95% of \$45 million excess of \$15 million retained by the Company.

The contract also included similar special acceptance provisions for larger contract accounts contained in the 2002 Excess of Loss Treaty. In addition to the one large national contract principal and the two commercial principals excluded (based upon class of business in 2002), the Company's reinsurers also excluded three other contract principals from the 2003 Excess of Loss Treaty for a total of six excluded principals. Of the two commercial principals, one is a domestic electric utility with an estimated bonded exposure of \$49 million and is currently rated B by Standard and Poor's ("S&P"). The bonded exposure will decline over the term of the bond which extends until 2007. The other is a foreign industrial enterprise with an estimated bonded exposure of \$31 million. The remaining \$31 million of the bonded exposure is expected to be discharged by June 30, 2004. Each of the four contract principals continues to perform their contractual obligations underlying the Company's surety bonds. With respect to the three contract principals other than the large national contractor (described later), two principals have substantially completed asset sales, debt reductions and other reorganization efforts.

The third contract principal went into claim during 2003. Although in claim and experiencing financial difficulties, the contractor continued to perform substantially all of its contractual obligations underlying the Company's surety bonds. This contractor filed for bankruptcy in late September of 2003. Based upon currently available information, management believes its net exposure to loss on this contractor could be up to \$15 million. This claim exposure was considered in the Company's net reserve addition in the third quarter of 2003 for the 2003 accident year.

2004 Third Party Reinsurance Compared to 2003 Third Party Reinsurance

Effective January 1, 2004, CNA Surety entered into a new excess of loss treaty ("2004 Excess of Loss Treaty") with a group of third party reinsurers that reduced its net retention per principal to \$10 million with a 5% co-participation in the \$90 million layer of third party reinsurance coverage above the Company's retention. This new excess of loss treaty replaces the \$45 million excess of \$15 million per principal coverage, as well as the \$40 million excess of \$60 per principal and the \$3 million excess of \$12 million coverage that had been provided by CCC. The material differences between the new excess of loss reinsurance program and the Company's 2003 Excess of Loss Treaty are as follows. The annual aggregate coverage increases from \$110 million in 2003 to \$157 million in 2004. The minimum annual premium for the 2004 excess of loss treaty is \$49.2 million (net of expected return premium) compared to a total of \$42.0 million of reinsurance

22

premiums paid in 2003 (net of expected return premium) for the \$45 million excess of \$15 million, the \$40 million excess of \$60 million and the \$3 million excess of \$12 million treaties. The contract also includes an optional twelve month extended discovery period, for an additional premium, which will provide coverage for losses discovered in 2005 on bonds that were in force during 2004, and somewhat less restrictive special acceptance provisions for larger contract accounts than those contained in the 2003 Excess of Loss Treaty. In addition to the one large contract principal (described later) and the two commercial principals excluded (based upon class of business), the Company's reinsurers had excluded three other contract principals from the 2003 Excess of Loss Treaty. The one large contract principal and the two commercial principals remain excluded from the 2004 Excess of Loss Treaty. The other two contract principals that have completed asset sales and other reorganization efforts have been accepted into the 2004 Excess of Loss Treaty.

Related Party Reinsurance

Intercompany reinsurance agreements together with the Services and Indemnity Agreement that are described below provide for the transfer of the surety business written by CCC and CIC to Western Surety. All of these agreements originally were entered into on September 30, 1997 (the "Merger Date"): (i) the Surety Quota Share Treaty (the "Quota Share Treaty"); (ii) the Aggregate Stop Loss Reinsurance Contract (the "Stop Loss Contract"); and (iii) the Surety Excess of Loss Reinsurance Contract (the "Excess of Loss Contract"). All of these contracts have expired. Some have been renewed on different terms as described below.

The Services and Indemnity Agreement provides the Company's insurance subsidiaries with the authority to perform various administrative, management, underwriting and claim functions in order to conduct the business of CCC and CIC and to be reimbursed by CCC for services rendered. In consideration for providing the foregoing services, CCC has agreed to pay Western Surety a quarterly fee of \$50,000. This agreement was renewed on January 1, 2004 and expires on December 31, 2004; and is annually renewable thereafter. There was no amount due to the CNA Surety insurance subsidiaries as of December 31, 2003.

Through the Quota Share Treaty, CCC and CIC transfer to Western Surety all surety business written or renewed by CCC and CIC after the Merger Date. CCC and CIC transfer the related liabilities of such business and pay to Western Surety an amount in cash equal to CCC's and CIC's net written premiums written on all such business, minus a quarterly ceding commission to be retained by CCC and CIC equal to \$50,000 plus 28% of net written premiums written on such business.

Under the terms of the Quota Share Treaty, CCC has guaranteed the loss and loss adjustment expense reserves transferred to Western Surety as of September 30, 1997 by agreeing to pay Western Surety, within 30 days following the end of each calendar quarter, the amount of any adverse development on such reserves, as re-estimated as of the end of such calendar quarter. There was not any adverse reserve development for the period from September 30, 1997 (date of inception) through December 31, 2003.

The Quota Share Treaty had an original term of five years from the Merger Date and was renewed on October 1, 2002 on substantially the same terms with an expiration date of December 31, 2003. The Quota Share Treaty was again renewed on January 1, 2004 on substantially the same terms with an expiration date of December 31, 2004; and is annually renewable thereafter. The ceding commission paid to CCC and CIC by Western Surety remained at 28% of net written premiums and contemplates an approximate 4% override commission for fronting fees to CCC and CIC on their actual direct acquisition costs.

The Stop Loss Contract terminated on December 31, 2000 and was not renewed. The Stop Loss Contract protected the insurance subsidiaries from adverse loss experience on certain business underwritten after the Merger Date. The Stop Loss Contract between the insurance subsidiaries and CCC limited the insurance subsidiaries' prospective net loss ratios with respect to certain accounts and lines of insured business for three full accident years following the Merger Date. In the event the insurance subsidiaries' accident year net loss ratio exceeds 24% in any of the accident years 1997 through 2000 on certain insured accounts (the "Loss Ratio Cap"), the Stop Loss Contract requires CCC at the end of each calendar quarter following the Merger Date, to pay to the insurance subsidiaries a dollar amount equal to (i) the amount, if any, by which their actual accident year net loss ratio exceeds the applicable Loss Ratio Cap, multiplied by (ii) the applicable net

23

earned premiums. In consideration for the coverage provided by the Stop Loss Contract, the insurance subsidiaries paid to CCC an annual premium of \$20,000. The CNA Surety insurance subsidiaries have paid CCC all required annual

premiums. As of December 31, 2003, the Company had billed \$54.9 million under the Stop Loss Contract and had received \$24.9 million.

The Excess of Loss Contract provided the insurance subsidiaries of CNA Surety with the capacity to underwrite large surety bond exposures by providing reinsurance support from CCC. The Excess of Loss Contract provided \$75 million of coverage for losses in excess of the \$60 million per principal. Subsequent to the Merger Date, the Company entered into a second excess of loss contract with CCC ("Second Excess of Loss Contract"). The Second Excess of Loss Contract provided additional coverage for principal losses that exceed the foregoing coverage of \$75 million per principal provided by the Excess of Loss Contract, or aggregate losses per principal in excess of \$135 million. In consideration for the reinsurance coverage provided by the Excess of Loss Contracts, the insurance subsidiaries paid to CCC, on a quarterly basis, a premium equal to 1% of the net written premiums applicable to the Excess of Loss Contract, subject to a minimum premium of \$20,000 and \$5,000 per quarter under the Excess of Loss Contract and Second Excess of Loss Contract, respectively. The two Excess of Loss Contracts collectively provided coverage for losses discovered on surety bonds in force as of the Merger Date and for losses discovered on new and renewal business written during the term of the Excess of Loss Contracts. Both Excess of Loss Contracts commenced following the Merger Date and continued until September 30, 2002. The discovery period for losses covered by the Excess of Loss Contracts extends until September 30, 2005.

Effective October 1, 2002, the Company secured replacement excess of loss protection from CCC for per principal losses that exceed \$60 million in two parts -- a) \$40 million excess of \$60 million and b) \$50 million excess of \$100 million. This excess of loss protection is primarily necessary to support contract surety accounts with bonded backlogs or work-in-process in excess of \$60 million. The Company generally limits support for new large commercial surety accounts to \$25 million. In addition to the foregoing structural changes in its high layer excess of loss reinsurance programs, the cost for these protections increased significantly as compared to the cost of the previous two Excess of Loss Contracts. The \$40 million excess of \$60 million contract is for a three year term beginning October 1, 2002 and provides annual aggregate coverage of \$80 million and \$120 million aggregate coverage for the entire three year term. The Company paid annual reinsurance premiums of \$3.8 million (net of expected return premium) in year one to CCC.

Effective January 1, 2004, the Company obtained replacement coverage from third party reinsurers as part of the 2004 Excess of Loss Treaty. Accordingly, the \$40 million excess of \$60 million contract with CCC was commuted effective January 1, 2004. As part of this commutation, the Company is entitled to receive a commutation payment of \$10.9 million from CCC that is expected to be received in the first quarter of 2004. As of December 31, 2003 the full amount of the commutation payment had been recognized as a receivable. The Company and CCC entered into a new \$40 million excess of \$60 million reinsurance contract providing coverage exclusively for the one large national contractor that is excluded from the Company's third party reinsurance. This contract is effective from January 1, 2004 to December 31, 2004. The premium for this contract is \$3.0 million plus an additional premium if a loss is ceded to this contract.

The reinsurance premium for the coverage provided by the \$50 million excess of \$100 million contract was \$6.0 million. This contract expired on December 31, 2003. The Company and CCC entered into a new \$50 million excess of \$100 million contract for the period of January 1, 2004 to December 31, 2004. The premium for this contract is \$6.0 million plus an additional premium if a loss is ceded to this contract.

Effective October 1, 2003, the Company entered into a \$3 million excess of \$12 million excess of loss contract with CCC. The reinsurance premium for the coverage provided by the \$3 million excess of \$12 million contract was \$0.3

million plus, if applicable, additional premiums based on paid losses. The contract provided for aggregate coverage of \$12 million. This contract effectively lowered the Company's net retention per principal for the remainder of 2003 to \$12 million plus a 5% co-participation in the \$45 million layer of excess reinsurance with third party reinsurers. This contract was to expire on December 31, 2004. Effective January 1, 2004, the Company obtained replacement coverage from third party reinsurers as part of the 2004 Excess of Loss Treaty. As of December 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002, CNA Surety had an

2.4

insurance receivable balance from CCC and CIC of \$71.1 million and \$51.7 million, respectively. CNA Surety had no reinsurance payables to CCC and CIC as of December 31, 2003 and had reinsurance payables of \$21.3 million to CCC and CIC as of December 31, 2002.

#### Large National Contractor

The Company has provided significant surety bond protection guaranteeing projects undertaken by the large national contract principal that is excluded from the Company's third party insurance. The related party reinsurance available to the Company for this principal and the credit extended to the principal by affiliates of the Company are described below.

#### Reinsurance

If the Company should suffer any losses that are discovered prior to September 30, 2005 arising from bonds issued to the contractor with effective dates of September 30, 2002 and prior, the Company would retain the first \$60 million of losses on bonds written, and CCC would incur 100% of losses above \$60 million pursuant to the extended discovery provisions of the two Excess of Loss treaties that expired on September 30, 2002. Any losses discovered after September 30, 2005 on bonds with effective dates prior to September 30, 2002 would be covered up to \$150 million pursuant to the \$50 million excess of \$100 million contract with CCC described above and a twelve month contract with CCC effective January 1, 2004 that provides \$40 million excess of \$60 million reinsurance coverage exclusively for the national contractor.

For bonds that the Company has written after September 30, 2003, in addition to the coverage provided by excess of loss reinsurance treaties described above (\$40 million excess of \$60 million and \$50 million excess of \$100 million) the Company and CCC have entered into facultative reinsurance in connection with larger bonds. The Company's exposure on bonds written from October 1, 2002 through October 31, 2003 was limited to \$20 million per bond. For bonds written subsequent to November 1, 2003, and for bonds the company may write in 2004, the Company's exposure will be limited to \$14.5 million per bond.

The Company believes the run-off protection provided by the extended discovery provisions of the expired agreements, the current protection provided by the \$40 million excess of \$60 million and the \$50 million excess of \$100 million treaties, and the facultative reinsurance provided for larger bonds written after September 30, 2002, should limit the Company's exposure for bonds written on behalf of the national contractor to \$60 million.

### CNAF Credit Facility

In December 2002 and January 2003, CNAF, parent of CCC, provided loans in an aggregate amount of approximately \$45 million to the national contractor. The loans were provided by CNAF to help the contractor meet its liquidity needs. The loans are evidenced by demand notes and until replaced by the credit facility described below, accrue interest at 10%. In March 2003, CNAF entered into a

credit facility with the contractor under which CNAF has agreed to provide up to \$86 million of loans to the contractor and certain of its subsidiaries, including the refinancing of the already advanced \$45 million of credit described above. The credit facility matures in March of 2006. CNAF has been granted a security interest in substantially all of the assets of the contractor to secure borrowings under the new credit facility. Loews, parent of CNAF, and CNAF have entered into a participation agreement, pursuant to which Loews has purchased a one-third participation share in CNAF's position in the credit facility, on a dollar-for-dollar basis, up to a maximum of \$25 million. Although Loews does not have rights against the contractor directly under the participation agreement, it shares recoveries and fees under the facility on a proportional basis with CNAF. In March 2003, CNAF also purchased approximately \$28 million of the contractor's outstanding bank debt for \$16.4 million. Under the new credit facility CNAF agreed to sell the bank debt to the contractor for \$16.4 million, with \$11.4 million funded under the new credit facility and \$5million from money loaned to the contractor by its owners. Under its purchase agreement with the banks CNAF is also required to reimburse the banks for any draws upon approximately \$6.5 million in outstanding letters of credit issued by the banks for the contractor's benefit that expire between May and August 2003. Any CNAF reimbursements for draws upon the banks'

25

letters of credit will become obligations of the contractor to CNAF as draws upon the credit facility. As of December 31, 2003, CNAF has credit exposure of \$55 million under the credit facility, net of participation by Loews, in the amount of \$25 million, for total outstanding of \$80 million. As of February 27, 2004, \$83 million was the total outstanding under the credit facility.

The contractor has initiated a restructuring plan that is intended to reduce costs and improve cash flow, and a chief restructuring officer has been appointed to manage execution of the plan. CNA Surety intends to continue to provide surety bonds on behalf of the contractor during this restructuring period, subject to the contractor's initial and ongoing compliance with CNA Surety's underwriting standards. Indemnification and subrogation rights, including rights to contract proceeds on construction projects in the event of default, exist that reduce CNA Surety's exposure to loss. While the Company believes that the contractor's restructuring efforts will be successful and provide sufficient cash flow for its operations and for repayment of its borrowings, the contractor's failure to achieve its restructuring plan could have a material adverse effect on CNA Surety's future results of operations, cash flow and capital. If such failures occur, the Company estimates that possible losses, net of indemnification and subrogation recoveries, but before recoveries under reinsurance contracts, could be up to \$200 million. However, the related party reinsurance treaties discussed above should limit the Company's per principal exposure to approximately \$60 million.

Net Loss Ratio

The loss ratios for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001 were 56.7%, 31.6% and 25.2%, respectively. The loss ratios included \$39.3 million, \$6.2 million, and \$4.8 million in net unfavorable loss reserve development for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively. The net adverse development on prior accident years of \$39.3 million in 2003 primarily relates to accident years 2001 and 2002 due to changes in estimates of large losses resulting from the material adverse claim activity in the third quarter of 2003. This change in estimated net losses reflects the receipt of a payment demand with respect to a portion of an aggregate \$45 million insurance program bond. This net adverse development also includes approximately \$8 million of claims pertaining to self-insured workers' compensation bonds issued in the 1980's on behalf of companies now in bankruptcy.

In addition to the net unfavorable loss reserve development, the higher net loss ratio in 2003 primarily relates to net reserve additions of approximately \$49.0 million for the current accident year due to material adverse loss severity on the Company's branch commercial and contract business. The net additions to reserves for the current accident year primarily relate to two large claims totaling \$23 million incurred in the quarter and changes in estimates of large losses resulting from this significant adverse claim activity. Approximately \$15 million of net additions relate to a net loss with respect to an insurance program bond for a now bankrupt large commercial account. The other \$8 million relates to a contract claim. A portion of the remaining change in estimated net losses for the current accident year relates to a contractor that went into claim during the year.

The net adverse loss reserve development in 2002 includes \$2.4 million associated with the assumed international credit and surety business from CNA Re that the Company ceased writing in 2000. The remainder is primarily related to increased claim frequency in the Company's small and specialty contract business. The occurrence of the \$12.0 million bankruptcy loss in the third quarter of 2002 raised the estimated branch contract and commercial net accident year loss ratio to approximately 40 percent for the nine months ended September 30, 2002. Due to the occurrence of this loss and other adverse loss trends, together with the uncertain outlook for the economy and credit markets, the Company raised its expected baseline accident year net loss ratio on the branch contract and commercial business to 36.0 percent for the fourth quarter from the initial 2002 accident year net loss ratio of 30.0 percent.

The \$4.8 million of adverse reserve development in 2001 includes approximately \$1 million of adverse development for the insurance agent E&O product that the Company ceased writing in 2001. The remainder is primarily related to increased claim frequency in the Company's small and specialty contract business. In addition to the \$4.8 million of net unfavorable loss reserve development during 2001, the 2001 loss ratio includes approximately \$7.8 million pertaining to the Company's exposure to Enron Corporation. Gross

26

incurred loss and loss adjustment expenses for the year ended December 31, 2001 reflect \$78 million for this exposure.

On January 2, 2003, CNA Surety settled litigation brought by J.P. Morgan Chase & Co. ("Chase") in connection with three surety bonds issued on behalf of Enron Corporation subsidiaries. The penal sums of the three bonds totaled approximately \$78 million. The Company paid Chase approximately \$40.7 million and assigned its recovery rights in the Enron bankruptcy to Chase in exchange for a full release of its obligations under the bonds. The Company has no other exposure related to the Enron Corporation. CNA Surety's net loss related to the settlement, after anticipated recoveries under excess of loss reinsurance treaties, was previously fully reserved. Immediately upon execution of the settlement documents, the Company sent written notice for reimbursement to its reinsurers. As of December 31, 2003, the Company has billed a total of \$37.1 million to its reinsurers. Four reinsurers responsible for payment of 46% of the treaty proceeds have paid their portions of the claim. Pursuant to the treaty, the Company demanded and began arbitration proceedings against all the reinsurers that have not paid. Management believes none of the reinsurers have valid defenses under the reinsurance treaties to avoid payment, and that the Company will fully recover all reinsurance recoverables recorded related to this settlement. As such, the Company has not recorded a reduction with respect to these reinsurance recoverables as of December 31, 2003.

The surety business assumed from CCC and CIC is subject to an aggregate

stop loss reinsurance contract between CCC and the Company that limits the Company's accident year net loss ratio on this business to 24% for accident years 1997 (October 1, 1997 to December 31, 1997), 1998, 1999 and 2000. The Company recorded estimated reinsurance recoveries from CCC of \$29.9 in 2003, \$2.5 million in 2002, \$16.6 million in 2001 and \$5.8 million in 2000 under this contract. As of December 31, 2003, the Company had an estimated unpaid loss recoverable balance of approximately \$29.9 million under the Stop Loss Contract.

Within the commercial surety segments, the Company has exposures related to a small number of accounts, which are now in various stages of bankruptcy proceedings. In addition, certain other accounts have experienced deterioration in creditworthiness since the Company issued bonds to them. Given the current economic climate and its impact on these companies, the Company may experience an increase in claims and, possibly, incur high severity losses. Such losses would be recognized in the period in which the claims are filed and determined to be a valid loss under the provisions of the surety bond issued.

#### Expense Ratio

The expense ratio increased to 62.6% for the year ended December 31, 2003 as compared to 60.3% for 2002. The increase in the expense ratio for the year ended December 31, 2003 primarily reflects the impact of higher reinsurance costs on net earned premiums and higher operating expenses. Operating expenses increased 6.1% for the year ended December 31, 2003 primarily due to increased salary and related expenses, including an accrual for post-employment benefits for three former senior executives and other transition related costs, increased policyholder dividend expense and higher costs for insurance and professional services. The Company continues to work to offset higher reinsurance and loss costs with premium rate actions, acquisition cost reductions and continued productivity improvements and operating efficiencies.

The expense ratio decreased to 60.3% for the year ended December 31, 2002 as compared to 63.2% for 2001. The decrease in the expense ratio for the year ended December 31, 2002 primarily reflects reduced acquisition and underwriting expenses which were partially offset by the effect of higher reinsurance costs on net earned premiums. Net earned premiums declined 7.0% and operating expenses decreased at a higher rate of 11.4% for the year ended December 31, 2002.

#### Exposure Management

As the foregoing results indicate, the Company's business is subject to certain risks and uncertainties associated with the current economic environment and corporate credit conditions. In response to these risks and uncertainties, the Company has enacted various exposure management initiatives, particularly to reduce its risks on large commercial accounts. As the following table depicts, the Company has reduced its exposure,

27

before the effects of reinsurance, by 48% in 2003 on large commercial accounts, which are defined as accounts with exposures in excess of \$10 million:

	NUMBER	OF			
	ACCOU	NTS			
	AS OF		TOTAL EXPOSURE		
	DECEMBER 31,		AS OF DECEMBER 31,		
COMMERCIAL ACCOUNT EXPOSURE	2003	2002	2003	2002	% REDUCTION

(DOLLARS IN BILLIONS) \$100 million and larger..... 7 14 \$0.9 \$2.7 66.7% 8 19 \$50 to \$100 million..... 0.6 1.2 52.0 0.6 \$25 to \$50 million..... 13 16 0.5 16.5 75 1.0 1.2 17.5 -------\$3.0 \$5.7 48.0

With respect to contract surety, the Company's portfolio is predominantly comprised of contractors with work programs of less than \$50 million. "Work program" is the estimated contract value of uncompleted bonded and unbonded work. Bonded backlog is a measure of the Company's exposure in the event of default before indemnification, salvage and subrogation recoveries. The following table summarized the composition of the Company's contractor portfolio by size of estimated work program at December 31, 2003:

		ESTIMATED
	NUMBER OF	BONDED
	ACCOUNTS	BACKLOG
	AS OF	AS OF
	DECEMBER 31,	DECEMBER 31,
CONTRACT WORK PROGRAM	2003	2003
		(DOLLARS IN
		BILLIONS)
\$150 million and larger	39	\$ 5.8
\$100 to \$150 million	40	1.8
\$50 to \$100 million	137	5.2
\$30 to \$50 million	151	3.0
Less than \$30 million	3,582	2.3
Total	3,949	\$18.1
	=====	=====

The Company will manage its exposure to any one contract credit and aggressively looks for co-surety, shared accounts and other means to support or reduce larger exposures. Reinsurance, indemnification and subrogation rights, including rights to contract proceeds on construction projects in the event of default, exist that substantially reduce CNA Surety's exposure to loss.

### Investment Income

For the year ended December 31, 2003, net investment income was \$26.3 million compared to net investment income for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001 of \$27.8 million and \$29.5 million, respectively. The annualized pretax yield was 4.4%, 4.8% and 5.3% for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively. The annualized after-tax yield was 3.6%, 3.8% and 4.0% for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively. The decrease in investment income for the year ended December 31, 2003 is attributable to the impact of lower investment yields and greater investment in tax-exempt securities. The decrease in investment income for the year ended December 31, 2002 is attributable to the impact of lower investment yields and reduced invested assets primarily associated with increased policyholder dividend payments to shareholders and the retirement of debt.

Net realized investment gains/losses were approximately \$1.8 million in gains, \$7.6 million in losses and \$46,000 in gains for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively. The net realized investment losses in 2002 reflect the Company's insurance subsidiaries decision to liquidate their common

28

equity portfolios during 2002. The volatility of the equity markets was adversely impacting the Company's reported regulatory capital requirements.

The following summarizes net realized investment gains (losses) for the three years ended December 31, 2003:

	YEARS	YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,		
	2003	2002	2001	
Gross realized investment gains	\$2,368	\$ 11,183	\$ 1,118	
Gross realized investment losses	(542)	(18,769)	(1,072)	
Net realized investment gain (losses)	\$1,826	\$ (7,586)	\$ 46	
	=====	=======	======	

The Company's investment portfolio generally is managed to maximize after-tax investment return, while minimizing credit risk with investments concentrated in high quality fixed income securities. CNA Surety's portfolio is managed to provide diversification by limiting exposures to any one industry, issue or issuer, and to provide liquidity by investing in the public securities markets. The portfolio is structured to support CNA Surety's insurance underwriting operations and to consider the expected duration of liabilities and short-term cash needs. In achieving these goals, assets may be sold to take advantage of market conditions or other investment opportunities or regulatory, credit and tax considerations. These activities will produce realized gains and losses.

Invested assets are exposed to various risks, such as interest rate, market and credit. Due to the level of risk associated with certain of these invested assets and the level of uncertainty related to changes in the value of these assets, it is possible that changes in risks in the near term may significantly affect the amounts reported in the Consolidated Balance Sheets and Consolidated Statements of Income. The Company's Quantitative and Qualitative Discussion about Market Risk is contained in Item 7A of this Form 10-K.

Analysis of Other Operations

As of January 1, 2002, the Company adopted SFAS No. 142 which requires that goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite useful lives no longer be amortized, but instead be tested for impairment at least annually. The periodic amortization of goodwill and intangibles ceased as of December 31, 2001. Amortization expense was \$6.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2001. Intangible assets primarily represent goodwill and identified intangibles arising from the acquisition of Capsure.

The Company completed its annual goodwill impairment testing as of October 1, 2003 whereby no impairment was indicated.

Interest expense decreased \$0.2 million, or 10.8%, for the year ended

December 31, 2003 compared to the same period in 2002, primarily due to lower outstanding debt levels. The weighted average interest rate for the year ended December 31, 2003 was 2.4% compared to 2.2% and 4.4% for the periods ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively. Interest expense decreased \$2.2 million, or 56.5%, for the year ended December 31, 2002 compared to the same period in 2001, primarily due to lower outstanding debt levels and lower interest rates. Average debt outstanding was \$55.6 million in 2003 compared to \$73.4 million and \$82.8 million in 2002 and 2001, respectively.

Income Taxes

The Company's income tax benefit was \$18.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2003 compared to income tax expense of \$12.6 million and \$19.8 million, respectively for the same periods in 2002 and 2001. The effective income tax rates were 56.0%, 29.6% and 35.0% for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively. The change in the estimated effective tax rate in 2003 primarily relates to taxable losses from insurance underwriting and greater investments in tax-exempt securities in 2003. The decrease in the estimated effective tax rate in 2002 primarily relates to increased tax-exempt income and the adoption of SFAS No. 142, which ended the periodic amortization of the Company's goodwill and intangible assets.

29

#### LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

It is anticipated that the liquidity requirements of CNA Surety will be met primarily by funds generated from operations. The principal sources of operating cash flows are premiums, investment income, and sales and maturities of investments. CNA Surety also may generate funds from additional borrowings under the credit facility described below. The primary cash flow uses are payments for claims, operating expenses, federal income taxes, debt service, as well as dividends to CNA Surety stockholders. In general, surety operations generate premium collections from customers in advance of cash outlays for claims. Premiums are invested until such time as funds are required to pay claims and claims adjusting expenses.

The Company believes that total invested assets, including cash and short-term investments, are sufficient in the aggregate and have suitably scheduled maturities to satisfy all policy claims and other operating liabilities, including dividend and income tax sharing payments of its insurance subsidiaries. At December 31, 2003, the carrying value of the Company's insurance subsidiaries' invested assets was comprised of \$573.7 million of fixed income securities, \$49.8 million of short-term investments, \$1.1 million of other investments and \$2.0 million of cash. At December 31, 2002, the carrying value of the Company's insurance subsidiaries' invested assets was comprised of \$564.8 million of fixed income securities, \$41.9 million of short-term investments, \$1.3 million of other investments and \$10.7 million of cash.

Cash flow at the parent company level is derived principally from dividend and tax sharing payments from its insurance subsidiaries. The principal obligations at the parent company level are to service debt, pay operating expenses, including income taxes, and pay dividends to stockholders. At December 31, 2003, the parent company's invested assets consisted of \$6.3 million of fixed income securities, \$1.1 million of equity securities, \$14.1 million of short-term investments and \$6.0 million of cash. At December 31, 2002, the parent company's invested assets consisted of \$5.7 million of fixed income securities, \$0.8 million of equity securities, \$8.8 million of short-term investments and \$4.3 million of cash. As of December 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002, parent company short-term investments and cash included \$6.6 million and \$4.8 million, respectively, of restricted cash related to premium receipt

collections ultimately due to the Company's insurance subsidiaries.

The Company's consolidated net cash flow provided by operating activities was \$25.2 million, \$85.5 million and \$57.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively. The decrease in cash flow provided in 2003 is primarily due to increased loss payments. The increase in net cash flow provided by operating activities in 2002 primarily relates to decreases in insurance receivables, primarily reinsurance recoverables from affiliates.

On September 30, 2002, the Company refinanced \$65 million in outstanding borrowings under its previous credit facility with a new credit facility (the "2002 Credit Facility"). The 2002 Credit Facility, as amended September 30, 2003, provides an aggregate of up to \$50 million in borrowings divided between a revolving credit facility (the "Revolving Credit Facility") of \$30 million and a term loan facility (the "Term Loan") of \$20 million. The Revolving Credit Facility matures on September 30, 2005. The Revolving Credit Facility may be increased from time to time by the amount of amortization under the Term Loan facility up to an additional \$10 million. Such increase is subject to consent by each Revolving Credit Bank, and will take place upon receipt by the Banks of the respective installment payments under the Term Loan facility.

Effective January 30, 2003, the Company entered into an interest rate swap on the Term Loan. As a result, the current effective interest rate on the term loan as of December 31, 2003 was 2.76%.

The Term Loan balance was reduced by \$10 million through December 31, 2003 according to the scheduled amortization. Further amortization of the Term Loan will take place at \$10 million per year, in equal semi-annual installments of \$5 million on the following dates:

DATE	AMORTIZATION	OUTSTANDING BALANCE
March 31, 2004	\$5,000,000	\$15,000,000
September 30, 2004	5,000,000	10,000,000
March 31, 2005	5,000,000	5,000,000
September 30, 2005	5,000,000	

30

The interest rate on borrowings under the 2002 Credit Facility may be fixed, at CNA Surety's option, for a period of one, two, three, or six months and is based on, among other rates, the London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR"), plus the applicable margin. The margin, including a facility fee and utilization fee on the Revolving Credit Facility, was 1.30% at December 31, 2003 and can vary based on CNA Surety's leverage ratio (debt to total capitalization) from 1.15% to 1.45%. The margin on the Term Loan, was 0.625% at December 31, 2003 and can vary based on CNA Surety's leverage ratio (debt to total capitalization) from 0.48% to 0.80%. As of December 31, 2003, the weighted average interest rate was 2.4% on the \$50 million of outstanding borrowings. As of December 31, 2002, the weighted average interest rate on the 2002 Credit Facility was 1.9% on the \$60 million of outstanding borrowings.

The 2002 Credit Facility contains, among other conditions, limitations on CNA Surety with respect to the incurrence of additional indebtedness and maintenance of a rating of at least "A" by A.M. Best for each of the Company's insurance subsidiaries. The 2002 Credit Facility also requires the maintenance of certain financial ratios as follows: a) maximum funded debt to total

capitalization ratio of 25%, b) minimum net worth of \$350.0 million and c) minimum fixed charge coverage ratio of 2.5 times. Due to the net loss reported for the third quarter of 2003, the Company was in violation of the minimum fixed charge coverage test. The lenders granted the Company a waiver for this violation and amended the 2002 Credit Facility to replace the fixed charge coverage ratio requirement for the next three quarters with a minimum earnings requirement. At December 31, 2003 and 2002, CNA Surety was in compliance with all restrictive debt covenants in effect at those times.

In 1999, CNA Surety acquired certain assets of Clark Bonding Company, Inc., a Charlotte, North Carolina, insurance agency and brokerage doing business as The Bond Exchange, for \$5.9 million. As part of this acquisition, the Company incurred an additional \$1.9 million of debt in the form of a promissory note. The promissory note matures on July 27, 2004 and has an interest rate of 5.0%. The balance of this promissory note at December 31, 2003 was \$0.4 million.

A summary of the Company's commitments as of December 31, 2003 is presented in the following table:

#### COMMITMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	THEREAFTER	TOTAL
(IN MILLIONS)							
Debt	\$10.4	\$40.0	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$50.4
Operating leases	1.7	1.6	1.4	1.1	1.0	3.7	10.5
Other long-term liabilities(a)	1.6	1.7	0.4	0.4	0.5	5.5	10.1
Total	\$13.7	\$43.3	\$1.8	\$1.5	\$1.5	\$9.2	\$71.0
	=====	=====	====	====	====	====	=====

-----

As an insurance holding company, CNA Surety is dependent upon dividends and other permitted payments from its insurance subsidiaries to pay operating expenses, meet debt service requirements, as well as to pay cash dividends. The payment of dividends by the insurance subsidiaries is subject to varying degrees of supervision by the insurance regulatory authorities in South Dakota and Texas. In South Dakota, where Western Surety and SBCA are domiciled, insurance companies may only pay dividends from earned surplus excluding surplus arising from unrealized capital gains or revaluation of assets. In Texas, where USA is domiciled, an insurance company may only declare or pay dividends to stockholders from the insurer's earned surplus. The insurance subsidiaries may pay dividends without obtaining prior regulatory approval only if such dividend or distribution (together with dividends or distributions made within the preceding 12-month period) is less than, as of the end of the immediately preceding year, the greater of (i) 10% of the insurer's surplus to policyholders or (ii) statutory net income. In South Dakota, net income includes net realized capital gains in an amount not to exceed 20% of net unrealized capital gains. All dividends must be reported to the appropriate insurance department prior to payment.

The dividends that may be paid without prior regulatory approval are determined by formulas established by the applicable insurance regulations, as described above. The formulas that determine dividend capacity in

<sup>(</sup>a) reflects post-employment obligations to former executives and unfunded post-retirement benefit plans

31

the current year are dependent on, among other items, the prior year's ending statutory surplus and statutory net income. Dividend capacity for 2004 is based on statutory surplus and income at and for the year ended December 31, 2003. Without prior regulatory approval in 2004, CNA Surety's insurance subsidiaries may pay stockholder dividends of \$19.0 million in the aggregate. CNA Surety received \$28.5 million in dividends from its insurance subsidiaries in 2003 and \$31.2 million in 2002.

Combined statutory surplus totaled \$190.4 million at year-end, resulting in a net written premium to statutory surplus ratio of 1.7 to 1. On December 31, 2003 the Company changed its legal entity structure so that USA and SBCA became subsidiaries of Western Surety. Insurance regulations restrict Western Surety's maximum net retention on a single surety bond to 10 percent of statutory surplus. Under the 2004 Excess of Loss Treaty, the Company's net retention on new bonds would generally be \$10 million plus a 5% co-participation in the \$90 million layer of excess reinsurance above the Company's retention and this regulation would require minimum statutory surplus of \$145.0 million at Western Surety. This surplus constraint may limit the amount of future dividends Western Surety could otherwise pay to CNA Surety Corporation.

In accordance with the provisions of inter-company tax sharing agreements between CNA Surety and its subsidiaries, the tax of each subsidiary shall be determined based upon each subsidiary's separate return liability. Intercompany tax payments are made at such times when estimated tax payments would be required by the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS"). CNA Surety received tax-sharing payments from its subsidiaries of \$7.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2003 and \$9.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2002.

Western Surety and SBCA each qualify as an acceptable surety for federal and other public works project bonds pursuant to U.S. Department of Treasury regulations. U.S. Treasury underwriting limitations are based on an insurer's statutory surplus. Effective July 1, 2003 through June 30, 2004, the underwriting limitations of Western Surety and SBCA are \$21.9 million and \$0.5 million, respectively. Through the Surety Quota Share Treaty between CCC and Western Surety Company, CNA Surety has access to CCC and its affiliates' U.S. Department of Treasury underwriting limitations. The Surety Quota Share Treaty had an original term of five years from the Merger Date and was renewed on October 1, 2002 on substantially the same terms. Effective July 1, 2003 through June 30, 2004, the underwriting limitations of CCC and its affiliates total \$569.6 million. CNA Surety management believes that the foregoing U.S. Treasury underwriting limitations are sufficient for the conduct of its business.

Subject to the aforementioned uncertainties concerning the Company's per principal net retentions, CNA Surety management believes that the Company has sufficient available resources, including capital protection against large losses provided by the Company's excess of loss reinsurance arrangements, to meet its present capital needs.

32

## FINANCIAL CONDITION

#### Investment Portfolio

The following table summarizes the distribution of the Company's fixed income and equity portfolios at estimated fair values as of December 31, 2003 and 2002 (dollars in thousands):

	DECEMBER 31, 2003		DECEMBER 31, 2002	
	ESTIMATED FAIR VALUE		ESTIMATED FAIR VALUE	% OF TOTA
Fixed income securities:				
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of				
U.S. Government and agencies:				
U.S. Treasury	\$ 21,764	3.7%	\$ 17,100	3.
U.S. Agencies	6,610	1.1	29,930	5.
Collateralized mortgage obligations	77	0.0	163	0.
Mortgage pass-through securities	7 <b>,</b> 993	1.4	22,047	3.
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	402,411	69.3	367,934	64.
Corporate bonds	101,727	17.5	82,145	14.
Non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations	8,845	1.5	10,916	1.
Other asset-backed securities:				
Second mortgages/home equity loans	6,147	1.1	12,456	2.
Credit card receivables	5,051	0.9	5,087	0.
Other	4,798	0.8	8,491	1.
Redeemable preferred stock	•	2.5	14,269	2.
Total fixed income securities	580,056	99.8%	570,538	99.
Equity securities	1,061		,	0.
Equity Securities			701	
Total	\$581,117	100.0%	\$571 <b>,</b> 299	100.
	=======	=====	=======	

The Company's investment portfolio generally is managed to maximize after-tax investment return, while minimizing credit risk with investments concentrated in high quality income securities. CNA Surety's portfolio is managed to provide diversification by limiting exposures to any one industry, issue or issuer, and to provide liquidity by investing in the public securities markets. The portfolio is structured to support CNA Surety's insurance underwriting operations and to consider the expected duration of liabilities and short-term cash needs.

CNA Surety classifies its fixed maturity securities and its equity securities as available-for-sale, and as such, they are carried at fair value. The amortized cost of fixed maturity securities is adjusted for amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts to maturity, which is included in net investment income. Changes in fair value are reported as a component of other comprehensive income.

33

The estimated fair value and amortized cost of fixed income and equity securities held by CNA Surety by investment category, were as follows (dollars in thousands):

			GROSS		
			UNREALIZE	D LOSSES	
		GROSS			
	AMORTIZED COST	UNREALIZED	LESS THAN	MORE THAN	
DECEMBER 31, 2003	OR COST	GAINS	12 MONTHS	12 MONTHS	

Fixed income securities:				
U.S. Treasury securities and				
obligations of U.S. Government				
and agencies:				
U.S. Treasury	\$ 21,267	\$ 497	\$	\$
U.S. Agencies	6,552	157	(99)	
Collateralized mortgage				
obligations	76	1		
Mortgage pass-through				
securities	7,607	386		
Obligations of states and political				
subdivisions	376,961	25,604	(127)	(27)
Corporate bonds	94,560	7,212	(45)	
Non-agency collateralized mortgage	·	·		
obligations	8,443	424		(22)
Other asset-backed securities:				
Second mortgages/home equity				
loans	5,721	426		
Credit card receivables	5,000	51		
Other	4,619	192	(13)	
Redeemable preferred stock	13,395	1,238		
-				
Total fixed income				
securities	544,201	36,188	(284)	(49)
Equity securities	992	69		
Total	\$545 <b>,</b> 193	\$36 <b>,</b> 257	\$(284)	\$(49)
	======	======	=====	====

Invested assets are exposed to various risks, such as interest rate, market and credit. Due to the level of risk associated with certain of these invested assets and the level of uncertainty related to changes in the value of these assets, it is possible that changes in risks in the near term may significantly affect the amounts reported in the Consolidated Balance Sheets and Consolidated Statements of Income. The Company's Quantitative and Qualitative Discussion about Market Risk is contained in Item 7A of this Form 10-K.

The following table sets forth the ratings assigned by S&P or Moody's Investor Services, Inc. ("Moody's") of the fixed income securities portfolio of the Company as of December 31, 2003 and 2002 (dollars in thousands):

	20			
CREDIT RATING	FAIR VALUE	% OF TOTAL	FAIR VALUE	
AAA/Aaa	\$340,374	58.7%	\$358,976	
AA/Aa	116,051	20.0	110,593	
A/Aa BBB	45,187 69,853	7.8 12.0	52,447 41,612	
Not Rated	8,591 	1.5	6,910 	
Total	\$580,056	100.0%	\$570 <b>,</b> 538	

As of December 31, 2003 and 2002, 99% of the Company's fixed income securities were considered investment grade by S&P or Moody's and 79% and 82%

were rated at least AA by those agencies for 2003 and 2002, respectively. The Company's investments in fixed income securities do not contain any industry concentration of credit risk.

As of December 31, 2003, municipal securities of the State of Texas, the State of Michigan, the State of Florida, and the State of Illinois and each state's related political subdivisions each represent 5.6%, 5.5%, 5.4%

34

and 4.5%, respectively, of the estimated fair value of the Company's fixed income portfolio. Municipal securities of each other state individually represent less than 4% of the Company's fixed income portfolio.

The following table provides the composition of fixed maturity securities with an unrealized loss at December 31, 2003 in relation to the total of all fixed maturity securities by contractual maturities:

CONTRACTUAL MATURITY	% OF MARKET VALUE	% OF UNREALIZED LOSS
Due in one year or less	0% 0	0% 0
Due after one year through five years  Due after five years through ten years	63	51
Due after ten years     Asset-backed securities	23 14	38 11
Total	 100% ===	 100% ===

The following table summarizes for fixed maturities in an unrealized loss position at December 31, 2003, the aggregate fair value and gross unrealized loss by length of time those securities have been continuously in an unrealized loss position (dollars in thousands):

	DECEMBER	31, 2003
UNREALIZED LOSS AGING	ESTIMATED FAIR VALUE	GROSS UNREALIZED LOSS
Fixed maturity securities: Investment grade: 0-12 months	\$21,074 2,224	\$284 49
Total investment grade	23 <b>,</b> 298	333 

A significant judgment in the valuation of investments is the determination of when an other-than-temporary decline in value has occurred. The Company follows a consistent and systematic process for impairing securities that

sustain other-than-temporary declines in value. The Company has established a watch list that is reviewed by the Chief Financial Officer and two other executive officers on at least a quarterly basis. The watch list includes individual securities that fall below certain thresholds or that exhibit evidence of impairment indicators including, but not limited to, a significant adverse change in the financial condition and near term prospects of the investment or a significant adverse change in legal factors, the business climate or credit ratings.

When a security is placed on the watch list, it is monitored for further market value changes and additional news related to the issuer's financial condition. The focus is on objective evidence that may influence the evaluation of impairment factors.

The decision to record an impairment loss incorporates both quantitative criteria and qualitative information. The Company considers a number of factors including, but not limited to: (a) the length of time and the extent to which the market value has been less than book value, (b) the financial condition and near term prospects of the issuer, (c) the intent and ability of the Company to retain its investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in value, (d) whether the debtor is current on interest and principal payments and (e) general market conditions and industry or sector specific factors.

For securities for which an impairment loss has been recorded, the security is written down to fair value and the resulting losses are recognized in realized gains/losses in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

For the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, the Company had no impaired securities.

35

Reserves for Unpaid Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses

CNA Surety's insurance subsidiaries employ generally accepted reserving approaches in establishing the estimated liability for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses that give consideration to the inherent difficulty and variability in the estimation process. The estimated liability for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses includes, on an undiscounted basis, estimates of (a) the ultimate settlement value of reported claims, (b) incurred but not reported ("IBNR") claims, (c) future expenses to be incurred in the settlement of claims and (d) claim recoveries, exclusive of reinsurance recoveries which are reported as an asset. These estimates are determined based on the Company's and surety industry loss experience as well as consideration of current trends and conditions. The estimated liability for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses is an estimate and there is the potential that actual future loss payments will differ significantly from initial estimates. The methods of determining such estimates and the resulting estimated liability are regularly reviewed and updated. Changes in the estimated liability are reflected in operating income in the period in which such changes are determined to be needed.

The Company's estimated liability for unpaid loss and loss adjustment expenses is recorded at management's best estimate that is based on various statistical reviews and analyses performed by the Company and management's judgment as to the responsiveness of these reviews and analyses to the factors affecting the Company's loss and loss adjustment expense reserves. Management considers factors such as changes in inflation, changes in claim handling and case reserving, changes in underwriting and pricing, changes in reinsurance programs, the Company's net retained liability and changes in the legal

environment.

CNA Surety utilizes an independent actuarial firm of national standing to conduct periodic reviews of claim procedures and loss reserving practices, and annually obtains actuarial certification as to the reasonableness of actuarial assumptions used and the sufficiency of year-end reserves for each of its principal insurance subsidiaries. In connection with this actuarial certification, the Company's independent actuarial firm provides management with additional reports and analysis and its independent judgments regarding loss and loss adjustment expense reserve estimates for management's review.

The Company recorded net unfavorable loss reserve development which resulted in increases in the estimated liability of \$39.3 million, \$6.2 million and \$4.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively. Note 7 to the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements presents a table of the activity in the reserves for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses for the Company. This table highlights the impact of revisions to the estimated liability established in prior years.

Risk Based Capital ("RBC") and Other Regulatory Ratios

The National Association of Insurance Commissioners ("NAIC") has promulgated RBC requirements for property and casualty insurance companies to evaluate the adequacy of statutory capital and surplus in relation to investment and insurance risks such as asset quality, loss reserve adequacy, and other business factors. The RBC information is used by state insurance regulators as an early warning mechanism to identify insurance companies that potentially are inadequately capitalized. In addition, the formula defines minimum capital standards that supplement the current system of fixed minimum capital and surplus requirements on a state-by-state basis. Regulatory compliance is determined by a ratio (the "Ratio") of the enterprise's regulatory total adjusted capital, as defined by the NAIC, to its authorized control level RBC, as defined by the NAIC. Generally, a Ratio in excess of 200% of authorized control level RBC requires no corrective actions on behalf of a company or regulators. As of December 31, 2003, each of CNA Surety's insurance subsidiaries had a Ratio that was in compliance with minimum RBC requirements.

CNA Surety's insurance subsidiaries require capital to support premium writings. In accordance with industry and regulatory guidelines, the net written premiums to surplus ratio of a property and casualty insurer generally should not exceed 3 to 1. On December 31, 2003, Western Surety and its insurance subsidiaries had a combined statutory surplus of \$190.4 million and its net written premiums to surplus ratio was 1.7 to 1. On December 31, 2002, CNA Surety had a combined statutory surplus of \$231.4 million. The combined statutory surplus of Western Surety and SBCA was \$223.7 million and its net written premiums to surplus ratio was 1.3 to 1. USA's statutory surplus was \$7.6 million and the net written premiums to surplus ratio was 0.8 to 1. The

36

Company believes that each insurance company's statutory surplus is sufficient to support its current and anticipated premium levels.

The NAIC has also developed a rating system, the Insurance Regulatory Information System ("IRIS"), primarily intended to assist state insurance departments in overseeing the financial condition of all insurance companies operating within their respective states. IRIS consists of twelve financial ratios that address various aspects of each insurer's financial condition and stability. In 2003 and 2002, most of the ratios for Western Surety, USA and SBCA were within the "usual" ranges as defined by the NAIC, except as noted. In 2003, Western Surety's Investment Yield was outside the usual range due to greater investment in tax-exempt securities. Also, the One-Year Reserve Development to

Policyholder's Surplus was outside the usual range due to prior year adverse claim development. In 2002, Western Surety's IRIS Ratios were all within the usual ranges as defined by the NAIC. In 2003, USA's Change in Net Writings was outside the usual range due to decreased writings of contract surety bonds. In 2003 and 2002, USA's Two-Year Overall Operating ratio was outside of the usual range, primarily due to increases in the loss and expense ratios. In 2002, USA's Change in Policyholders' Surplus ratio was outside of the usual range primarily due to USA's declaration and payment of an extraordinary dividend to CNA Surety Corporation. SBCA's Investment Yield for 2003 and 2002 was outside of the usual range primarily due to generally lower investment rates along with a concentration of short-term investments. In 2002, SBCA's Change in Net Writings ratio was outside of the usual range due to increased notary bond volume.

#### IMPACT OF ADOPTING ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In August 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 143 entitled "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations" ("SFAS No 143"). SFAS No. 143 addresses accounting and reporting for obligations associated with the retirement of tangible long-lived assets and the associated asset retirement costs. The Company adopted the provisions of SFAS No.143 effective January 1, 2003. The adoption of SFAS No. 143 did not have an impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In November of 2002, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 45, "Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others (an interpretation of FASB SFAS No. 5, 57, and 107 and rescission of FASB Interpretation No. 34)" ("FIN No. 45"). FIN No. 45 clarifies the requirements of FASB SFAS No. 5, "Accounting for Contingencies" ("SFAS No. 5") relating to a quarantor's accounting for, and disclosure of, the issuance of certain types of guarantees. FIN 45 provided for additional disclosure requirements related to guarantees, effective for financial periods ended after December 15, 2002. Additionally, FIN 45 outlined provisions for initial recognition and measurement of the liability incurred in providing a guarantee. These provisions are applied to guarantees issued or modified after December 31, 2002. The Company has adopted the disclosure requirements of FIN No. 45 and the provisions for initial recognition and measurement for all guarantees issued or modified after December 31, 2002. The adoption of FIN 45 did not have a significant impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In January of 2003, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 46, "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, an interpretation of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51 ("ARB No. 51")" ("FIN No. 46"). As a general rule, ownership by the parent, either directly or indirectly, of over fifty percent of the outstanding voting shares of a subsidiary is a condition pointing toward preparation of consolidated financial statements of the parent and its subsidiary. FIN No. 46 clarifies the exceptions to this general rule, as enunciated in paragraph 2 of ARB No. 51. FIN No. 46 requires an entity to consolidate a variable interest entity ("VIE") even though the entity does not, either directly or indirectly, own over fifty percent of the outstanding voting shares.

FIN No. 46 defines a VIE as one in which a) the equity investment is not sufficient to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support from other parties which is provided through other interests that will absorb some or all of the expected losses of the entity or b) the equity investors lack one or more of the following essential characteristics of a controlling financial interest i) direct

or indirect ability to make decisions about the entity's activities through voting rights or similar rights or ii) the obligation to absorb the expected losses of the entity, if they occur or receive residual returns of the entity, if they occur or iii) the right to receive the expected residual returns of the entity if they occur. The primary beneficiary of a VIE is required to consolidate the results of operations of the VIE. Financial statements issued are required to disclose the nature, purpose, activities and size of the VIE and maximum exposure to loss as a result of its involvement with the VIE. The Company has adopted FIN No. 46. In December 2003, the FASB issued a revision to FIN No. 46 ("Fin No. 46R") that further clarified the application of ARB No. 51. The Company has adopted FIN No. 46R. The Company is neither a primary beneficiary of a VIE nor does it have a significant involvement with a VIE.

In December 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 148 entitled "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, Transition and Disclosure" ("SFAS No.148"). SFAS No. 148 provides alternative methods of transition for a voluntary change to the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. SFAS No. 148 also amends the disclosure requirements of SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation", to require prominent disclosures in both annual and interim financial statements about the method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation and the effect of the method used on reported results. The Company adopted this standard beginning with the 2002 annual financial statements. The Company has not adopted fair value accounting in 2003. The Company applies APB Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," and related interpretations, in accounting for its plans as allowed for under the provisions of SFAS No. 123. Accordingly, no compensation cost has been recognized for its stock-based incentive plans as the exercise price of the granted options equals the market price at the grant date. The following table illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per share data if the Company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123 to stock based compensation under the Company's stock-based compensation plan.

YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31 (IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE DATA)	2003	2002	2001
Net income (loss)  Less: Total stock based compensation cost determined under	\$(14,151)	\$30,119	\$36 <b>,</b> 908
the fair value method, net of tax	(118)	(12)	(530)
Pro forma net income (loss)	\$(14,269)	\$30,107	\$36,378
Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share, as reported	====== \$ (0.33)	====== \$ 0.70	====== \$ 0.86
	======	======	======
Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share, pro forma	\$ (0.33)	\$ 0.70	\$ 0.85

In April of 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 149 entitled "Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities" ("SFAS No. 149"). SFAS No. 149 amends and clarifies accounting for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts, and for hedging activities under SFAS No. 133 entitled "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities" ("SFAS No. 133"). SFAS No. 149 is effective for contracts entered into or modified after June 30, 2003 and for hedging relationships designated after June 30, 2003. Adoption of SFAS No. 149 did not have a significant impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In May of 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 150 entitled "Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity" ("SFAS No. 150"). SFAS No. 150 establishes standards for how an issuer of financial instruments classifies and measures in its statement of financial position certain instruments with characteristics of both liabilities and equity. SFAS No. 150 modifies the accounting and financial statement disclosures of certain financial instruments that, under previous guidance, issuers could account for as equity. SFAS No. 150 affects the issuer's accounting for three types of financial instruments that are required to be accounted for as liabilities.

SFAS No. 150 was effective for all financial instruments entered into or modified after May 31, 2003. For all financial instruments entered into prior to May 31, 2003, SFAS 150 is effective at the beginning of the first interim period beginning after June 15, 2003, which for CNA Surety began July 1, 2003. The Company does

38

not have any financial instruments outstanding to which provisions of SFAS 150 apply. Therefore the adoption of SFAS 150 did not have any impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In November of 2003, the Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") reached consensus on EITF Issue No. 03-1, "The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and its Application to Certain Investments" ("EITF 03-1") that certain quantitative and qualitative disclosures are required for equity and fixed maturity securities that are impaired at the balance sheet date but for which an other-than-temporary impairment has not been recognized. The guidance requires companies to disclose the aggregate amount of unrealized losses and the related fair value of investments with unrealized losses for securities that have been in an unrealized loss position for less than 12 months and separately for those that have been in an unrealized loss position for over 12 months, by investment category. The Company has adopted the disclosure requirements in these financial statements. Further discussion on the meaning of other-than-temporary impairments for EITF 03-1 is expected at a future EITF meeting.

In December of 2003, the FASB revised SFAS No. 132 entitled "Employers' Disclosures about Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits (SFAS No. 132) to require additional disclosures related to pensions and post retirement benefits. While retaining the existing disclosure requirements for pensions and postretirement benefits, additional disclosures are required related to pension plan assets, obligations, contributions and net benefit costs, beginning with fiscal years ending after December 15, 2003. Additional disclosures pertaining to benefit payments are required for fiscal years ending after June 30, 2004. The SFAS No. 132 revisions also include additional disclosure requirements for interim financial reports beginning after December 15, 2003. The Company has implemented the revised disclosures required for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2003 in these financial statements and will implement the interim disclosure requirements and annual benefit payment disclosures in all subsequent interim and annual financial statements.

#### FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report includes a number of statements which relate to anticipated future events (forward-looking statements) rather than actual present conditions or historical events. Forward-looking statements generally include words such as "believes," "expects," "intends," "anticipates," "estimates," and similar expressions. Forward-looking statements in this report include expected developments in the Company's insurance business, including incurred losses and

loss and loss adjustment expense reserves; the impact of routine ongoing insurance reserve reviews being conducted by the Company; the ongoing state regulatory examinations of the Company's primary insurance company subsidiaries, and the Company's responses to the results of those reviews and examinations; the Company's expectations concerning its revenues, earnings, expenses and investment activities; expected cost savings and other results from the Company's expense reduction and restructuring activities; and the Company's proposed actions in response to trends in its business.

Forward-looking statements, by their nature, are subject to a variety of inherent risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from the results projected. Many of these risks and uncertainties cannot be controlled by the Company. Some examples of these risks and uncertainties are:

- general economic and business conditions;
- changes in financial markets such as fluctuations in interest rates, long-term periods of low interest rates, credit conditions and currency, commodity and stock prices;
- the effects of corporate bankruptcies, such as Enron and WorldCom, on surety bond claims, as well as on capital markets;
- changes in foreign or domestic political, social and economic conditions;
- regulatory initiatives and compliance with governmental regulations, judicial decisions, including interpretation of policy provisions, decisions regarding coverage, trends in litigation and the outcome of any litigation involving the Company, and rulings and changes in tax laws and regulations;

39

- regulatory limitations, impositions and restrictions upon the Company, including the effects of assessments and other surcharges for guaranty funds and other mandatory pooling arrangements;
- the impact of competitive products, policies and pricing and the competitive environment in which the Company operates, including changes in the Company's books of business;
- product and policy availability and demand and market responses, including the level of ability to obtain rate increases and decline or non-renew underpriced accounts, to achieve premium targets and profitability and to realize growth and retention estimates;
- development of claims and the impact on loss reserves, including changes in claim settlement practices;
- the performance of reinsurance companies under reinsurance contracts with the Company;
- results of financing efforts, including the availability of bank credit facilities;
- changes in the Company's composition of operating segments;
- the sufficiency of the Company's loss reserves and the possibility of future increases in reserves;

- the risks and uncertainties associated with the Company's loss reserves as outlined in the Reserves section of this MDA and,
- the possibility of further changes in the Company's ratings by ratings agencies, including the inability to access certain markets or distribution channels and the required collateralization of future payment obligations as a result of such changes, and changes in rating agency policies and practices.

Any forward-looking statements made in this report are made by the Company as of the date of this report. The Company does not have any obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statement contained in this report, even if the Company's expectations or any related events, conditions or circumstances change.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCUSSIONS ABOUT MARKET RISK

CNA Surety's investment portfolio is subject to economic losses due to adverse changes in the fair value of its financial instruments, or market risk. Interest rate risk represents the largest market risk factor affecting the Company's consolidated financial condition due to its significant level of investments in fixed income securities. Increases and decreases in prevailing interest rates generally translate into decreases and increases in the fair value of the Company's fixed income portfolio. The fair value of these interest rate sensitive instruments may also be affected by the credit worthiness of the issuer, prepayment options, relative value of alternative investments, the liquidity of the instrument, income tax considerations and general market conditions. The Company manages its exposure to interest rate risk primarily through an asset/liability matching strategy. The Company's exposure to interest rate risk is mitigated by the relative short-term nature of its insurance and other liabilities. The targeted effective duration of the Company's investment portfolio is approximately 5 years, consistent with the expected duration of its insurance and other liabilities.

The tables below summarize the estimated effects of certain hypothetical increases and decreases in interest rates. It is assumed that the changes occur immediately and uniformly across each investment category. The hypothetical changes in market interest rates selected reflect the Company's expectations of the reasonably possible best or worst-case scenarios over a one-year period. The hypothetical fair values are based upon the same prepayment assumptions that were utilized in computing fair values as of December 31, 2003. Significant variations in market interest rates could produce changes in the timing of repayments due to

40

prepayment options available. The fair value of such instruments could be affected and therefore actual results might differ from those reflected in the following table.

> ESTIMATED FAIR HYPOTHETICAL VALUE AFTER CHANGE IN HYPOTHETICAL (DECR FAIR VALUE AT DECEMBER 31, INTEREST ...
> 2003 (BP=BASIS POINTS) CHANGE IN INTEREST RATE \_\_\_\_\_

> > (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

HYPC PER

ΙN

STOCK

F

Fixed Income Securities:			
U.S. Government and government			
agencies and authorities	\$ 45,402	200 bp increase	\$40,128
		100 bp increase	42 <b>,</b> 853
		100 bp decrease	47 <b>,</b> 572
Challes and the Allindra		200 bp decrease	49,641
States, municipalities and political subdivisions	402,411	200 bp increase	349,291
political subdivisions	102, 111	100 bp increase	375,189
		100 bp increase	430,247
		200 bp decrease	459,412
Corporate bonds and all other	132,243	200 bp accrease	133, 112
corporate somes and arr concr		200 bp increase	121,737
		100 bp increase	126,611
		100 bp decrease	137,384
		200 bp decrease	143,331
Total fixed income securities	\$580,056		
	=======	200 bp increase	511,156
		100 bp increase	544,654
		100 bp decrease	615,203
		200 bp decrease	652,384
	FAIR VALUE AT DECEMBER 31, 2002	HYPOTHETICAL CHANGE IN INTEREST RATE (BP=BASIS POINTS)	ESTIMATED FAIR VALUE AFTER HYPOTHETICAL CHANGE IN INTEREST RATE
		(DOLLARS IN	
Fixed Income Securities:			
U.S. Government and government			
	\$ 69,240		
U.S. Government and government	\$ 69,240	(DOLLARS IN	THOUSANDS)
U.S. Government and government	\$ 69,240	(DOLLARS IN	THOUSANDS) \$61,233
U.S. Government and government	\$ 69,240	(DOLLARS IN  200 bp increase 100 bp increase	THOUSANDS) \$61,233 65,075
<pre>U.S. Government and government    agencies and authorities</pre> States, municipalities and		(DOLLARS IN  200 bp increase 100 bp increase 100 bp decrease 200 bp decrease	\$61,233 65,075 72,300 75,547
U.S. Government and government agencies and authorities	\$ 69,240 367,934	(DOLLARS IN  200 bp increase 100 bp increase 100 bp decrease 200 bp decrease	\$61,233 65,075 72,300 75,547
<pre>U.S. Government and government    agencies and authorities</pre> States, municipalities and		(DOLLARS IN  200 bp increase 100 bp increase 100 bp decrease 200 bp decrease 200 bp increase 100 bp increase	\$61,233 65,075 72,300 75,547 335,430 350,594
<pre>U.S. Government and government    agencies and authorities</pre> States, municipalities and		(DOLLARS IN  200 bp increase 100 bp increase 100 bp decrease 200 bp increase 100 bp increase 100 bp increase 100 bp increase	\$61,233 65,075 72,300 75,547 335,430 350,594 386,612
<pre>U.S. Government and government    agencies and authorities</pre> States, municipalities and    political subdivisions	367,934	(DOLLARS IN  200 bp increase 100 bp increase 100 bp decrease 200 bp decrease 200 bp increase 100 bp increase	\$61,233 65,075 72,300 75,547 335,430 350,594
<pre>U.S. Government and government    agencies and authorities</pre> States, municipalities and	367 <b>,</b> 934 133 <b>,</b> 364	(DOLLARS IN  200 bp increase 100 bp increase 100 bp decrease 200 bp increase 100 bp increase 100 bp increase 100 bp decrease 200 bp decrease 200 bp decrease	\$61,233 65,075 72,300 75,547 335,430 350,594 386,612 406,784
<pre>U.S. Government and government    agencies and authorities</pre> States, municipalities and    political subdivisions	367,934	(DOLLARS IN  200 bp increase 100 bp increase 100 bp decrease 200 bp increase 100 bp increase 100 bp increase 100 bp decrease 200 bp decrease 200 bp decrease 200 bp increase	\$61,233 65,075 72,300 75,547 335,430 350,594 386,612 406,784
<pre>U.S. Government and government    agencies and authorities</pre> States, municipalities and    political subdivisions	367 <b>,</b> 934 133 <b>,</b> 364	(DOLLARS IN  200 bp increase 100 bp increase 100 bp decrease 200 bp increase 100 bp increase 100 bp increase 100 bp decrease 200 bp decrease 200 bp decrease 200 bp increase 100 bp increase	\$61,233 65,075 72,300 75,547 335,430 350,594 386,612 406,784 126,858 130,128
<pre>U.S. Government and government    agencies and authorities</pre> States, municipalities and    political subdivisions	367 <b>,</b> 934 133 <b>,</b> 364	(DOLLARS IN  200 bp increase 100 bp increase 100 bp decrease 200 bp increase 100 bp increase 100 bp increase 100 bp decrease 200 bp increase 200 bp decrease 200 bp increase 100 bp increase 100 bp increase 100 bp increase	\$61,233 65,075 72,300 75,547 335,430 350,594 386,612 406,784 126,858 130,128 137,148
U.S. Government and government agencies and authorities  States, municipalities and political subdivisions  Corporate bonds and all other	367,934 133,364 	(DOLLARS IN  200 bp increase 100 bp increase 100 bp decrease 200 bp increase 100 bp increase 100 bp increase 100 bp decrease 200 bp decrease 200 bp decrease 200 bp increase 100 bp increase	\$61,233 65,075 72,300 75,547 335,430 350,594 386,612 406,784 126,858 130,128
<pre>U.S. Government and government    agencies and authorities</pre> States, municipalities and    political subdivisions	367,934 133,364 	(DOLLARS IN  200 bp increase 100 bp increase 100 bp decrease 200 bp increase 100 bp increase 100 bp increase 100 bp decrease 200 bp decrease 200 bp increase 100 bp increase 100 bp increase 200 bp increase 200 bp increase 100 bp increase 100 bp increase 100 bp decrease 200 bp decrease	\$61,233 65,075 72,300 75,547 335,430 350,594 386,612 406,784 126,858 130,128 137,148 140,893
U.S. Government and government agencies and authorities  States, municipalities and political subdivisions  Corporate bonds and all other	367,934 133,364 	(DOLLARS IN  200 bp increase 100 bp increase 100 bp decrease 200 bp increase 100 bp increase 100 bp increase 100 bp decrease 200 bp increase 200 bp decrease 200 bp decrease 200 bp increase 100 bp increase 100 bp increase 100 bp increase 200 bp increase 200 bp increase	\$61,233 65,075 72,300 75,547 335,430 350,594 386,612 406,784 126,858 130,128 137,148 140,893
U.S. Government and government agencies and authorities  States, municipalities and political subdivisions  Corporate bonds and all other	367,934 133,364 	(DOLLARS IN  200 bp increase 100 bp increase 100 bp decrease 200 bp increase 100 bp increase 100 bp increase 100 bp decrease 200 bp increase 200 bp decrease 200 bp increase 100 bp increase 100 bp increase 100 bp increase 100 bp increase 200 bp increase 100 bp increase 200 bp increase	\$61,233 65,075 72,300 75,547 335,430 350,594 386,612 406,784 126,858 130,128 137,148 140,893 523,521 545,797
U.S. Government and government agencies and authorities  States, municipalities and political subdivisions  Corporate bonds and all other	367,934 133,364 	(DOLLARS IN  200 bp increase 100 bp increase 100 bp decrease 200 bp increase 100 bp increase 100 bp increase 100 bp decrease 200 bp increase 200 bp decrease 200 bp decrease 200 bp increase 100 bp increase 100 bp increase 100 bp increase 200 bp increase 200 bp increase	\$61,233 65,075 72,300 75,547 335,430 350,594 386,612 406,784 126,858 130,128 137,148 140,893

41

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

HYPC PER

(DECE STOCK

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

The Board of Directors and Shareholders CNA Surety Corporation

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of CNA Surety Corporation and subsidiaries, as of December 31, 2003 and 2002, and the related consolidated statements of income, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2003. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of CNA Surety Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2003 and 2002, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2003 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As discussed in Note 1 of the consolidated financial statements, the Company changed its method of accounting for goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets in 2002.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Chicago, Illinois March 12, 2004

42

CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

DECEMBI	ER 31,
2003	2002
(AMOUNTS IN	THOUSANDS,
EXCEPT PER	SHARE DATA)

### ASSETS

1100210		
Invested assets and cash:		
Fixed income securities, at fair value (amortized cost:		
\$544,201 and \$539,364)	\$ 580,056	\$ 570,538
Equity securities, at fair value (cost: \$992 and \$852)	1,061	761
Short-term investments, at cost (approximates fair		
value)	63,871	50,669

Other investments, at fair value	1,119 7,965	1,257 14,979
Total invested assets and cash	654,072	
Deferred policy acquisition costs	104,674	
(net of allowance for doubtful accounts: \$1,575 and		
\$1,365)	39,455	45,423
affiliates  Intangible assets (net of accumulated amortization: \$25,523	177 <b>,</b> 775	129 <b>,</b> 964
and \$25,523)	138,785	143,785
Current income taxes receivable  Property and equipment, at cost (less accumulated	21,315	
depreciation: \$18,944 and \$16,047)	16,556	17,260
Prepaid reinsurance premiums	6,432	13,337
Other assets	10,059	9,021
Total assets	\$1,169,123 =======	\$1,093,380 ======
LIABILITIES		
Reserves:		
Unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses	\$ 413,539	\$ 303,433
Unearned premiums	224,068	216,213
Total reserves	637,607	519,646
Debt	50,418	60,816
Deferred income taxes, net	30 <b>,</b> 738	30 <b>,</b> 727
Current income taxes payable		5 <b>,</b> 889
Reinsurance and other payables to affiliates	191	23,003
Accrued expenses	14,854	12,962
Other liabilities	25 <b>,</b> 174	19 <b>,</b> 776
Total liabilities  Commitments and contingencies (See Note 8)  STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$ 758,982	\$ 672,819
Preferred stock, par value \$.01 per share, 20,000 shares authorized; none issued and outstanding		
Common stock, par value \$.01 per share, 100,000 shares authorized; 44,401 shares issued and 42,980 shares outstanding at December 31, 2003 and 44,386 shares issued		
and 42,947 shares outstanding at December 31, 2002	444	444
Additional paid-in capital	255,816	255 <b>,</b> 765
Retained earnings	145,786	159 <b>,</b> 937
Accumulated other comprehensive income	23,351	19,861
Treasury stock, at cost	(15,256)	(15,446)
Total stockholders' equity	410,141	420 <b>,</b> 561
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity		\$1,093,380
	_	_

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

43

CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

	YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,		
	2003	2002	2001
	(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE DATA)		
Revenues:  Net earned premium.  Net investment income.  Net realized investment gains (losses)	26,301	\$298,319 27,754 (7,586)	
Total revenues		318,487	350,471
Expenses:  Net losses and loss adjustment expenses  Net commissions, brokerage and other underwriting	172,476	94,198	
expenses	1,523 		3,925 6,097
Total expenses	364,739	275 <b>,</b> 733	
Income (loss) before income taxes		42,754 12,635	
Net income (loss)	\$ (14,151) ======	\$ 30,119	\$ 36,908
Earnings per share			\$ 0.86
Earnings per share, assuming dilution		\$ 0.70	\$ 0.86
Weighted average shares outstanding	42,967	42,910	42,744
Weighted average shares outstanding, assuming dilution	42,989	43,028	42,938

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

44

## CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

	COMMON STOCK SHARES OUTSTANDING	COMMON STOCK	ADDITIONAL PAID- IN CAPITAL	COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)	RETA EARN
			(AMOUNTS IN	THOUSANDS)	
Balance, December 31, 2000	42,702	\$441	\$253,497		\$135
	=====	====	======		====
Comprehensive income:					
Net income				36,908	36

Other comprehensive income: Change in unrealized gains on securities (after income taxes), net of reclassification adjustment of \$(823)				11
Total comprehensive income				\$ 36 <b>,</b> 919
Purchase of treasury stock Employee Stock Purchase Program issuance from treasury				
stock Stock options exercised and			5	
other Dividends paid to	78	1	631	
stockholders				
Balance, December 31, 2001	42,780 =====	\$442 ====	\$254 <b>,</b> 133	
Comprehensive income: Net income				30,119
reclassification adjustment of \$(16,627)				19 <b>,</b> 583
Total comprehensive income				\$ 49 <b>,</b> 702
Purchase of treasury stock  Employee Stock Purchase Program issuance from treasury				
stock	10		15	
other Dividends paid to	157	2	1,617	
stockholders				
Balance, December 31, 2002	42,947 =====	\$444 ====	\$255 <b>,</b> 765	
Comprehensive income: Net income Other comprehensive income: Change in unrealized gains on securities (after income taxes), net of				(14,151)
reclassification adjustment of \$1,618				3,490
Total comprehensive income				\$(10,661) ======
Purchase of treasury stock  Employee Stock Purchase Program issuance from treasury				
stock	18		(71)	
other	15		122	

(23

\$149

30

(19

\$159

(14

Dividends paid to stockholders			
Balance, December 31, 2003	42,980 =====		\$255,816 ======
	TREASURY STOCK (AT COST)		
	(AMOUNTS	IN THOUSANDS)	
Balance, December 31, 2000	\$(15,481) ======	\$374 <b>,</b> 032	
Comprehensive income: Net income Other comprehensive income: Change in unrealized gains on securities (after income taxes), net of		36,908	
reclassification adjustment of \$(823)		11	
income	(124)	(124)	
stock Stock options exercised and	52	57	
other Dividends paid to		632	
stockholders		(23,088)	
Balance, December 31, 2001	\$(15,553) =====	\$388,428 ======	
Comprehensive income: Net income Other comprehensive income: Change in unrealized gains on securities (after income taxes), net of		30,119	
reclassification adjustment of \$(16,627)  Total comprehensive  income		19,583	
Purchase of treasury stock Employee Stock Purchase Program issuance from treasury			
stockStock options exercised and	107	122	
other Dividends paid to		1,619	
stockholders		(19,310)	
Balance, December 31, 2002	\$(15,446) ======	\$420,561 ======	
Comprehensive income: Net income Other comprehensive income: Change in unrealized gains on		(14,151)	

\$145

securities (after income		
taxes), net of		
reclassification adjustment		
of \$1,618		3,490
Total comprehensive		
income		
Purchase of treasury stock		
Employee Stock Purchase Program		
issuance from treasury		
stock	190	119
Stock options exercised and		
other		122
Dividends paid to		
stockholders		
Balance, December 31, 2003	\$(15,256)	\$410,141
	=======	=======

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

45

## CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,		
	2003	2002	
	(AMOU	UNTS IN THOUS	
OPERATING ACTIVITIES:			
Net income (loss)	\$(14,151)	\$ 30,119	\$ 36,908
Depreciation and amortization	4,197	3,866	9,614
Accretion of bond discount, net	2,093	1,028	728
Net realized investment (gains) losses	(1,826)	7,586	(46)
Changes in:			
Insurance receivables	(41,846)	37 <b>,</b> 774	(99,609)
Reserve for unearned premiums	7,855	15 <b>,</b> 834	(1,800)
expenses	110,106	(12,378)	111,354
Deferred policy acquisition costs	(8,288)	(6 <b>,</b> 598)	1,615
Deferred income taxes, net	(1,872)	171	181
affiliates	(22,811)	15,614	806
Prepaid reinsurance premiums	6,905	(7,499)	(3,306)
Other assets and liabilities	(15,141)	21	593
Net cash provided by operating			
activities	25 <b>,</b> 221	85 <b>,</b> 538	
INVESTING ACTIVITIES: Fixed income securities:			
Purchases	(88,311)	(239,019)	(140,857)
Maturities		29,303	

Sales	53,394	142,322	67,370
Purchases of equity securities	(439)	(22,870)	(6,706)
Proceeds from the sale of equity securities	294	48,900	1,617
Purchases and sales of short-term investments	(13, 193)	•	(938)
		•	
Changes in other investments	229	-,	, ,
Purchases of property and equipment	(3,903)	(3,881)	(6 <b>,</b> 396)
Changes in receivables/payables for securities			
sold/purchased		(11,409)	21,812
Other, net	(286)		(53)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Net cash used in investing activities	(21.964)	(50,565)	(1.775)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES:			
Proceeds from debt		71,000	
Principal payments on debt	(10,398)	•	(25,361)
Dividends to stockholders	(10,330)	, , ,	, , ,
		(19,310)	(23,088)
Issuance of treasury stock to employee stock			
purchase plan	119	122	57
Purchase of treasury stock			(124)
Employee stock option exercises	8	1,414	462
Net cash used in financing activities		(33, 153)	(48,054)
Increase (decrease) in cash		1,820	
Cash at beginning of period		13,159	
Cash at end of period	\$ 7 <b>,</b> 965	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information:			
Cash paid during the period for:			
Interest	\$ 1,260	\$ 2,136	\$ 3,563
Income taxes	\$ 5,816		
Income cancer	T 0,010	7 0,000	+ 23, 130

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

46

#### CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## 1. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Formation of CNA Surety Corporation and Merger

In December 1996, CNA Financial Corporation ("CNAF") and Capsure agreed to merge (the "Merger") the surety business of CNAF with Capsure Holdings Corp.'s ("Capsure") insurance subsidiaries, Western Surety Company ("Western Surety") and Universal Surety of America ("USA"), into CNA Surety. CNAF, through its operating subsidiaries, writes multiple lines of property and casualty insurance, including surety business that is reinsured by Western Surety. CNAF owns approximately 64% of the outstanding common stock of CNA Surety. Loews Corporation ("Loews") owns approximately 90% of the outstanding common stock of CNAF. The principal operating subsidiaries of CNAF that wrote the surety line of business for their own account prior to the Merger were CCC and CIC.

#### Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of CNA Surety Corporation and all majority-owned subsidiaries.

#### Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### Investments

Management believes the Company has the ability to hold all fixed income securities to maturity. However, the Company may dispose of securities prior to their scheduled maturity due to changes in interest rates, prepayments, tax and credit considerations, liquidity or regulatory capital requirements, or other similar factors. As a result, the Company considers all of its fixed income securities (bonds and redeemable preferred stocks) and equity securities as available-for-sale. These securities are reported at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses, net of deferred income taxes, reported as a separate component of stockholders' equity. Cash flows from purchases, sales and maturities are reported gross in the investing activities section of the cash flow statement.

The amortized cost of fixed income securities is determined based on cost and the cumulative effect of amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts to maturity. Such amortization and accretion are included in investment income. For mortgage-backed and certain asset-backed securities, the Company recognizes income using the effective-yield method based on estimated cash flows. All securities transactions are recorded on the trade date. Investment gains or losses realized on the sale of securities are determined using the specific identification method. Investments with an other-than-temporary decline in value are written down to fair value, resulting in losses that are included in realized investment gains and losses.

Short-term investments that generally include U.S. Treasury bills, corporate notes, money market funds and investment grade commercial paper equivalents, are carried at amortized cost which approximates fair value.

Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs

Policy acquisition costs, consisting of commissions, premium taxes and other underwriting expenses that vary with, and are primarily related to, the production of business, net of reinsurance commissions, are

47

#### CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

deferred and amortized as a charge to income as the related premiums are earned. Anticipated investment income is considered in the determination of the recoverability of deferred acquisition costs.

#### Intangible Assets

CNA Surety's Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2003 includes goodwill and identified intangibles of approximately \$138.8 million. These amounts represent goodwill and identified intangibles arising from the acquisition of Capsure Holdings Corp. ("Capsure"). Prior to 2002, goodwill from this and other acquisitions were generally amortized as a charge to earnings over periods not exceeding 30 years. Under Statement of Financial Accounting

Standards ("SFAS") No. 142 entitled "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" ("SFAS No. 142"), which was adopted by CNA Surety as of January 1, 2002, periodic amortization ceased, in accordance with an impairment-only accounting model.

A significant amount of judgment is required in performing goodwill impairment tests. Such tests include periodically determining or reviewing the estimated fair value of CNA Surety's reporting units. Under SFAS No. 142, fair value refers to the amount for which the entire reporting unit may be bought or sold. There are several methods of estimating fair value, including market quotations, asset and liability fair values and other valuation techniques, such as discounted cash flows and multiples of earnings or revenues. If the carrying amount of a reporting unit, including goodwill, exceeds the estimated fair value, then individual assets, including identifiable intangible assets, and liabilities of the reporting unit are estimated at fair value. The excess of the estimated fair value of the reporting unit over the estimated fair value of net assets would establish the implied value of goodwill. The excess of the recorded amount of goodwill over the implied value of goodwill is charged-off as an impairment loss.

The Company completed its annual goodwill impairment testing as of October 1, 2003 whereby no impairment was indicated.

Reserves for Unpaid Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses

The estimated liability for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses includes, on an undiscounted basis, estimates of (a) the ultimate settlement value of reported claims, (b) incurred but not reported ("IBNR") claims, (c) future expenses to be incurred in the settlement of claims and (d) claim recoveries, before reinsurance recoveries which are reported as an asset. These estimates are determined based on the Company's loss experience as well as consideration of industry experience, current trends and conditions. The estimated liability for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses is an estimate and there is the potential that actual future loss payments will differ significantly from initial estimates. The methods of determining such estimates and the resulting estimated liability are regularly reviewed and updated. Changes in the estimated liability are reflected in operating income in the period in which such changes are determined to be needed.

#### Insurance Premiums

Insurance premiums are recognized as revenue ratably over the terms of the related policies in proportion to the insurance protection provided. Premium revenues are net of amounts ceded to reinsurers. Unearned premiums represent the portion of premiums written, before ceded reinsurance which is shown as an asset, applicable to the unexpired terms of policies in force determined on a pro rata basis.

#### Reinsurance

The Company assumes and cedes insurance with other insurers and reinsurers to limit maximum loss, provide greater diversification of risk and minimize exposure on larger risks. Premiums and loss and loss adjustment expenses that are ceded under reinsurance arrangements reduce the respective revenues and

48

CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

expenses. Amounts recoverable from reinsurers are estimated in a manner

consistent with the claim liability associated with the reinsured policy and are reported as reinsurance receivables.

#### Stock-Based Compensation

As allowed under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," the Company accounts for its stock option plans in accordance with Accounting Principles Board ("APB") Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees." The Company has not issued stock options where the exercise price is less than the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date of grant and, accordingly, no compensation expense has been recognized.

#### Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes under the liability method. Under the liability method, deferred income taxes are established for the future tax effects of temporary differences between the tax and financial reporting bases of assets and liabilities using currently enacted tax rates. Such temporary differences primarily relate to unearned premium reserves and deferred policy acquisition costs. The effect on deferred taxes of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period of enactment.

#### Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are carried at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is based on the estimated useful lives of the various classes of property and equipment and determined principally on a straight-line basis. The cost of maintenance and repairs is charged to income as incurred, major improvements are capitalized.

#### Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per common share is computed by dividing income available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted earnings per common share is computed based on the weighted average number of shares outstanding plus the dilutive effect of common stock equivalents which is computed using the treasury stock method

The computation of earnings (loss) per share is as follows (amounts in thousands, except for per share data):

	YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,		
	2003	2002	2001
Net income (loss)	\$(14,151)	\$30,119	\$36,908
Shares: Weighted average shares outstanding Weighted average shares of options exercised	42,947 20	42,780 130	42,706
Total weighted average shares outstanding  Effect of dilutive options	42,967 	42,910 118	42,744 194
Total weighted average shares outstanding, assuming dilution	42 <b>,</b> 967	43,028	42,938
Earnings (loss) per share	\$ (0.33)	\$ 0.70	\$ 0.86

Earnings (loss) per share, assuming dilution...... \$ (0.33) \$ 0.70 \$ 0.86

No adjustments were made to reported net income (loss) in the computation of earnings per share.

49

#### CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

#### Accounting Changes

In August 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 143 entitled "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations" ("SFAS No 143"). SFAS No. 143 addresses accounting and reporting for obligations associated with the retirement of tangible long-lived assets and the associated asset retirement costs. The Company adopted the provisions of SFAS No.143 effective January 1, 2003. The adoption of SFAS No. 143 did not have an impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In November of 2002, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 45, "Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others (an interpretation of FASB SFAS No. 5, 57, and 107 and rescission of FASB Interpretation No. 34)" ("FIN No. 45"). FIN No. 45 clarifies the requirements of FASB SFAS No. 5, "Accounting for Contingencies" ("SFAS No. 5") relating to a guarantor's accounting for, and disclosure of, the issuance of certain types of guarantees. FIN 45 provided for additional disclosure requirements related to guarantees, effective for financial periods ended after December 15, 2002. Additionally, FIN 45 outlined provisions for initial recognition and measurement of the liability incurred in providing a guarantee. These provisions are applied to guarantees issued or modified after December 31, 2002. The Company has adopted the disclosure requirements of FIN No. 45 and the provisions for initial recognition and measurement for all guarantees issued or modified after December 31, 2002. The adoption of FIN 45 did not have a significant impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In January of 2003, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 46, "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, an interpretation of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51 ("ARB No. 51")" ("FIN No. 46"). As a general rule, ownership by the parent, either directly or indirectly, of over fifty percent of the outstanding voting shares of a subsidiary is a condition pointing toward preparation of consolidated financial statements of the parent and its subsidiary. FIN No. 46 clarifies the exceptions to this general rule, as enunciated in paragraph 2 of ARB No. 51. FIN No. 46 requires an entity to consolidate a variable interest entity ("VIE") even though the entity does not, either directly or indirectly, own over fifty percent of the outstanding voting shares.

FIN No. 46 defines a VIE as one in which a) the equity investment is not sufficient to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support from other parties which is provided through other interests that will absorb some or all of the expected losses of the entity or b) the equity investors lack one or more of the following essential characteristics of a controlling financial interest i) direct or indirect ability to make decisions about the entity's activities through voting rights or similar rights or ii) the obligation to absorb the expected losses of the

entity, if they occur or receive residual returns of the entity, if they occur or iii) the right to receive the expected residual returns of the entity if they occur. The primary beneficiary of a VIE is required to consolidate the results of operations of the VIE. Financial statements issued are required to disclose the nature, purpose, activities and size of the VIE and maximum exposure to loss as a result of its involvement with the VIE. The Company has adopted FIN No. 46. The Company is neither a primary beneficiary of a VIE nor does it have a significant involvement with a VIE.

In December 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 148 entitled "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, Transition and Disclosure" ("SFAS No.148"). SFAS No. 148 provides alternative methods of transition for a voluntary change to the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. SFAS No. 148 also amends the disclosure requirements of SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation", to require prominent disclosures in both annual and interim financial statements about the method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation and the effect of the method used on reported results. The Company adopted this standard beginning with the 2002 annual financial statements. The Company has not adopted fair value accounting in 2003. The Company applies APB Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," and related interpretations, in accounting for its plans as

#### CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

allowed for under the provisions of SFAS No. 123. Accordingly, no compensation cost has been recognized for its stock-based incentive plans as the exercise price of the granted options equals the market price at the grant date.

The following table illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per share data if the Company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123 to stock based compensation under the Company's stock-based compensation plan.

	YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,		
	2003	2002	2001
	(IN THOUSANDS,	EXCEPT PER	SHARE DATA)
Net income (loss)  Less: Total stock based compensation cost determined	\$ (14,151)	\$30,119	\$36,908
under the fair value method, net of tax	(118)	(12)	(530)
Pro forma net income (loss)	\$(14 <b>,</b> 269)	\$30 <b>,</b> 107	\$36 <b>,</b> 378
Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share, as			
reported	\$ (0.33)	\$ 0.70	\$ 0.86
	======	======	======
Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share, pro			
forma	\$ (0.33)	\$ 0.70	\$ 0.85
	======	======	======

In April of 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 149 entitled "Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities" ("SFAS No.149"). SFAS No. 149 amends and clarifies accounting for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts, and for

hedging activities under SFAS No. 133 entitled "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities" ("SFAS No. 133"). SFAS No. 149 is effective for contracts entered into or modified after June 30, 2003 and for hedging relationships designated after June 30, 2003. Adoption of SFAS No. 149 did not have a significant impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In May of 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 150 entitled "Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity" ("SFAS No. 150"). SFAS No. 150 establishes standards for how an issuer of financial instruments classifies and measures in its statement of financial position certain instruments with characteristics of both liabilities and equity. SFAS No. 150 modifies the accounting and financial statement disclosures of certain financial instruments that, under previous guidance, issuers could account for as equity. SFAS No. 150 affects the issuer's accounting for three types of financial instruments that are required to be accounted for as liabilities.

SFAS No. 150 is effective for all financial instruments entered into or modified after May 31, 2003. For all financial instruments entered into prior to May 31, 2003, SFAS 150 was effective at the beginning of the first interim period beginning after June 15, 2003, which for CNA Surety began July 1, 2003. The Company does not have any financial instruments outstanding to which provisions of SFAS 150 apply, therefore the adoption of SFAS 150 did not have any impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

51

#### CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

#### Reclassifications

Certain amounts in 2002 and 2001 have been reclassified to conform with the current year presentation.

### 2. INVESTMENTS

The estimated fair value and amortized cost of fixed income and equity securities held by CNA Surety by investment category, were as follows (dollars in thousands):

			GROSS UNREA		
DECEMBER 31, 2003	AMORTIZED COST OR COST		LESS THAN 12 MONTHS	MORE THAN 12  MONTHS	ESTIMA VA
Fixed income securities:					
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. Government					
and agencies:	* 01 06				• •
U.S. Treasury			Ş	\$	\$ 2
U.S. Agencies	6 <b>,</b> 552	157	(99)		
Collateralized mortgage					
obligations	76	1			
Mortgage pass-through					
securities	7,607	386			
Obligations of states and	,				

political subdivisions	376,961	25,604	(127)	(27)	40
Corporate bonds	94,560	7,212	(45)		10
Non-agency collateralized mortgage					
obligations	8,443	424		(22)	
Other asset-backed securities:					
Second mortgages/home equity					
loans	5,721	426			
Credit card receivables	5,000	51			
Other	4,619	192	(13)		
Redeemable preferred stock	13,395	1,238			1
Total fixed income					
securities	544,201	36,188	(284)	(49)	58
Equity securities	992	69			
Total	\$545 <b>,</b> 193	\$36 <b>,</b> 257	\$ (284)	\$ (49)	\$58
	=======	======	=====	====	===

52

#### CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

DECEMBER 31, 2002	AMORTIZED COST OR COST	GROSS UNREALIZED GAINS	GROSS UNREALIZED LOSSES	ESTIMATED VALUE
Fixed income securities:				
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. Government and agencies:				
U.S. Treasury	\$ 16,140	\$ 960	\$	\$ 17 <b>,</b> 1
U.S. Agencies	29 <b>,</b> 396	537	(3)	29 <b>,</b> 9
Collateralized mortgage obligations	156	7		1
Mortgage pass-through securities Obligations of states and political	20,981	1,066		22,0
subdivisions	347,918	20,099	(83)	367,9
Corporate bonds	76,181	6,154	(190)	82,1
Non-agency collateralized mortgage	•	•		
obligations Other asset-backed securities:	10,497	477	(58)	10,9
Second mortgages/home equity loans	11,842	614		12,4
Credit card receivables	5,000	87		5,0
Other	7,838	653		8,4
Redeemable preferred stock	13,415	854		14,2
Total fixed income securities	539,364	31,508	(334)	 570 <b>,</b> 5
Equity securities	852		(91)	7
Total		\$31,508 ======		\$571 <b>,</b> 2

The Company's insurance subsidiaries, as required by state law, deposit certain securities with state insurance regulatory authorities. At December 31, 2003, securities on deposit had an aggregate carrying value of \$3.9 million.

Short-term investments are generally comprised of U.S. Treasury bills,

corporate notes, money market funds and investment grade commercial paper equivalents.

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of fixed income securities, by contractual maturity, at December 31, 2003 and 2002 are shown below. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities as borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties (dollars in thousands):

	2003		2002	
	AMORTIZED COST	ESTIMATED FAIR VALUE	AMORTIZED COST	
Fixed Income Securities:				
Due within one year  Due after one year but within five	\$ 1,006	\$ 1,016	\$ 1,327	\$ 1,329
years  Due after five years but within ten	70,709	74,381	55,040	57,632
years	267,010	286,356	215,008	227,425
Due after ten years	174,010	185 <b>,</b> 392	211 <b>,</b> 675	224,992
Mortgage pass-through securities, collateralized mortgage obligations	512,735	547,145		511,378
and asset-backed securities	31,466	32,911	56,314	59,160
	\$544,201	\$580 <b>,</b> 056	\$539 <b>,</b> 364	\$570 <b>,</b> 538
	=======	=======	======	=======

Major categories of net investment income and gross realized investment gains and (losses) from sales of available-for-sale securities were as follows (dollars in thousands):

53

#### CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

	YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,			
	2003	2002	2001	
Investment income:				
Fixed income securities	\$26,152	\$25,764	\$26 <b>,</b> 819	
Equity securities	306	1,213	393	
Short-term investments	556	1 <b>,</b> 359	2,536	
Other	25	135	402	
Total investment income	27 <b>,</b> 039	28,471	30,150	
Investment expenses	(738)	(717)	(635)	
Net investment income	\$26,301	\$27 <b>,</b> 754	\$29,515	
	======	======	======	

Gross realized investment gains  Gross realized investment losses	\$ 2,368	\$11,183	\$ 1,118
	(542)	(18,769)	(1,072)
Net realized investment gain (losses)	\$ 1,826	\$ (7 <b>,</b> 586)	\$ 46

Net unrealized gain and loss on securities included in stockholders' equity at December 31, 2003 and 2002 was comprised of the following (dollars in thousands):

	2003				2002	
	GAINS	LOSSES	NET	GAINS	LOSSES	
Fixed income securities Equity securities Other	\$36 <b>,</b> 188 69 	\$ (333)  	\$35 <b>,</b> 855 69 	\$31,508  	\$ (334) (91) (527)	\$3
	\$36 <b>,</b> 257	\$ (333)	35 <b>,</b> 924	\$31,508	\$(952)	3
Deferred income taxes			(12,573)			(1
Net unrealized gain on securities			\$23,351 =====			\$1 ==

The following table provides the composition of fixed maturity securities with an unrealized loss at December 31, 2003 in relation to the total of all fixed maturity securities by contractual maturities:

CONTRACTUAL MATURITY	% OF MARKET VALUE	% OF UNREALIZED LOSS
Due in one year or less	0%	0%
Due after one year through five years	0	0
Due after five years through ten years	63	51
Due after ten years	23	38
Asset-backed securities	14	11
Total	100%	100%
	===	===

The following table summarizes for fixed maturities in an unrealized loss position at December 31, 2003, the aggregate fair value and gross unrealized loss by length of time those securities have been continuously in an unrealized loss position (dollars in thousands):

54

CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

	DECEMBER 31, 2003		
UNREALIZED LOSS AGING	ESTIMATED FAIR VALUE	GROSS UNREALIZED LOSS	
Fixed maturity securities: Investment grade:			
0-12 months	\$21,074	\$284	
Greater than 12 months	2,224	49	
Total investment grade	23 <b>,</b> 298	333	

There were no non-investment grade fixed maturities or equity securities in an unrealized loss position at December 31, 2003.

#### 3. DEBT

On September 30, 2002, the Company refinanced \$65 million in outstanding borrowings under its previous credit facility with a new credit facility (the "2002 Credit Facility"). The 2002 Credit Facility, as amended September 30, 2003, provides an aggregate of up to \$50 million in borrowings divided between a revolving credit facility (the "Revolving Credit Facility") of \$30 million and a term loan facility (the "Term Loan Facility") of \$20 million. The Revolving Credit Facility matures on September 30, 2005. The Revolving Credit Facility may be increased from time to time by the amount of amortization under the Term Loan Facility up to an additional \$10 million. Such increase is subject to consent by each bank participating in the Revolving Credit Facility, and will take place upon receipt by the banks of the respective installment payments under the Term Loan Facility.

Effective January 30, 2003, the Company entered into an interest rate swap on the Term Loan. As a result, the current effective interest rate on the term loan as of December 31, 2003 was 2.76%.

The Term Loan Facility balance was reduced by \$10 million through December 31, 2003 according to the scheduled amortization and payment schedules. Further amortization and payment of the Term Loan Facility will take place at \$10 million per year, in equal semi-annual installments of \$5 million on the following dates:

DATE	AMORTIZATION	OUTSTANDING BALANCE
March 31, 2004	\$5,000,000	\$15,000,000
September 30, 2004	5,000,000	10,000,000
March 31, 2005	5,000,000	5,000,000
September 30, 2005	5,000,000	

The interest rate on borrowings under the 2002 Credit Facility may be fixed, at CNA Surety's option, for a period of one, two, three, or six months and is based on, among other rates, the London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR"), plus the applicable margin. The margin, including a facility fee and utilization

fee on the Revolving Credit Facility, was 1.30% at December 31, 2003 and can vary based on CNA Surety's leverage ratio (debt to total capitalization) from 1.15% to 1.45%. The margin on the Term Loan, was 0.625% at December 31, 2003 and can vary based on CNA Surety's leverage ratio (debt to total capitalization) from 0.48% to 0.80%. As of December 31, 2003, the weighted average interest rate was 2.4% on the \$50 million of outstanding borrowings. As of December 31, 2002, the weighted average interest rate on the 2002 Credit Facility was 1.9% on the \$60 million of outstanding borrowings.

The 2002 Credit Facility contains, among other conditions, limitations on CNA Surety with respect to the incurrence of additional indebtedness and maintenance of a rating of at least "A" by A.M. Best for each of the Company's insurance subsidiaries. The 2002 Credit Facility also requires the maintenance of certain financial ratios as follows: a) maximum funded debt to total capitalization ratio of 25%, b) minimum net

55

#### CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

worth of \$350.0 million and c) minimum fixed charge coverage ratio of 2.5 times. Due to the net loss reported for the third quarter of 2003, the Company was in violation of the minimum fixed charge coverage test. The lenders granted the Company a waiver for this violation and amended the 2002 Credit Facility to replace the fixed charge coverage ratio requirement for the next three quarters with a minimum earnings requirement. At December 31, 2003 and 2002, CNA Surety was in compliance with all restrictive debt covenants in effect at those times.

In 1999, CNA Surety acquired certain assets of Clark Bonding Company, Inc., a Charlotte, North Carolina, insurance agency and brokerage doing business as The Bond Exchange, for \$5.9 million. As part of this acquisition, the Company incurred an additional \$1.9 million of debt in the form of a promissory note. The promissory note matures on July 27, 2004 and has an interest rate of 5.0%. The balance of this promissory note at December 31, 2003 was \$0.4 million.

The consolidated balance sheet reflects total debt of \$50.4 million at December 31, 2003 and \$60.8 million at December 31, 2002. The weighted average interest rate on outstanding borrowings was 2.6% and 2.0% at December 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002 respectively.

#### 4. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The following table summarizes fair value information about financial instruments, whether or not recognized in the balance sheet, for which it is practicable to estimate that value. In cases where quoted market prices are not available, fair values may be based on estimates using present value or other valuation techniques. These techniques are significantly affected by the assumptions used, including the discount rates and estimates of future cash flows. Potential taxes and other transaction costs have not been considered in estimating fair value. Accordingly, the estimates presented herein are subjective in nature and are not necessarily indicative of the amounts that the Company could realize in a current market exchange. This information excludes certain financial instruments such as insurance contracts and all non-financial instruments from fair value disclosure. Therefore, these fair value amounts cannot be aggregated to determine the underlying economic value of the Company.

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of financial instruments at December 31, 2003 and 2002 were as follows (dollars in thousands):

	2003		2002	
	CARRYING AMOUNT	ESTIMATED FAIR VALUE	CARRYING AMOUNT	ESTIMATE FAIR VAL
Fixed income securities	\$580 <b>,</b> 056	\$580,056	\$570 <b>,</b> 538	\$570 <b>,</b> 53
Equity securities	1,061	1,061	761	76
Short-term investments	63 <b>,</b> 871	63 <b>,</b> 871	50 <b>,</b> 669	50 <b>,</b> 66
Other investments	1,119	1,119	1,257	1,25
Cash	7,965	7,965	14,979	14,97
Debt	50,418	50,418	60,816	60 <b>,</b> 81

The following methods and assumptions were used by the Company in estimating fair values of financial instruments:

Investments -- The estimated fair values for the fixed income securities and equity securities are based upon quoted market prices, where available. For fixed income securities not actively traded, the estimated fair values are determined using values obtained from independent pricing services or, in the case of private placements, by discounting expected future cash flows using a current market rate applicable to the yield, credit quality and maturity of the investments.

Cash, Short-term Investments and Other Investments -- The carrying value for these instruments approximates their estimated fair values.

56

#### CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

Debt -- The estimated fair value of the Company's debt is based on the quoted market prices for the same or similar issues or on the current rates offered to the Company for debt of the same remaining maturity.

#### 5. DEFERRED POLICY ACQUISITION COSTS AND OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES

Policy acquisition costs deferred and the related amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs were as follows (dollars in thousands):

	YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,			
	2003 2002		2001	
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 96,386	\$ 89 <b>,</b> 788	\$ 91,403	
Costs deferred	149,938	145,589	145,885	
Amortization	(141,650)	(138,991)	(147,500)	
Dalama at and of maniad		c 06 306	s 89.788	
Balance at end of period	\$ 104 <b>,</b> 674	\$ 96 <b>,</b> 386	\$ 89,788	
		=======		

Net commissions, brokerage and other underwriting expenses were comprised as follows (dollars in thousands):

	YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,			
	2003	2001		
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition				
costs	\$141,650	\$138 <b>,</b> 991	\$147,500	
Other operating expenses	49,090	40,836	55 <b>,</b> 377	
Net commissions, brokerage and other				
underwriting expenses	\$190,740	\$179 <b>,</b> 827	\$202,877	
	=======	=======	=======	

#### 6. REINSURANCE

The Company's insurance subsidiaries, in the ordinary course of business, cede insurance to other insurance companies and affiliates. Reinsurance arrangements are used to limit maximum loss, provide greater diversification of risk and minimize exposure on larger risks. Reinsurance contracts do not ordinarily relieve the Company of its primary obligations to claimants. Therefore, a contingent liability exists with respect to insurance ceded to the extent that any reinsurer is unable to meet the obligations assumed under the reinsurance contracts. The Company evaluates the financial condition of its reinsurers, establishes allowances for uncollectible amounts and monitors concentrations of credit risk. At December 31, 2003, CNA Surety's largest reinsurance receivable from an affiliate, CCC, an A (Excellent) rated company by A.M. Best Company, Inc. ("A.M. Best"), was approximately \$18.4 million. At December 31, 2003, CNA Surety's largest reinsurance receivable from a non-affiliate reinsurer was approximately \$31.4 million with a company rated A++ (Superior) by A.M. Best.

The effect of reinsurance on premiums written and earned was as follows (dollars in thousands):

YEARS	ENDED	DECEMBER	31,
-------	-------	----------	-----

	2003		2002		2001	
	WRITTEN	EARNED	WRITTEN	EARNED	WRITTEN	EARNED
Direct	175,589	\$168,510 195,004	\$148,186 211,706	\$137,957 206,146	\$127,494 205,509	\$120,687 214,206
Net premiums	(52,165)  \$319,210	(59,065)  \$304,449	(53,238)  \$306,654	(45,784)  \$298,319	(17,199)  \$315,804	(13,983)  \$320,910

57

CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

Assumed premiums primarily includes all surety business written or renewed, net of reinsurance, by CCC and CIC, and their affiliates, after the Merger Date

that is reinsured by Western Surety pursuant to inter-company reinsurance and related agreements.

The effect of reinsurance on the Company's provision for loss and loss adjustment expenses and the corresponding ratio to earned premium was as follows (dollars in thousands):

YEARS	ENDED	DECEMBER	31

	2003		2002		2001	
	\$	RATIO	\$	RATIO	\$	RATIO
	_		-		_	
Gross losses and loss						
adjustment expenses	\$257,016	70.7%	\$76 <b>,</b> 199	22.1%	\$ 206,980	61.8%
Reinsurance recoveries	(84,540)	(143.1)%	17,999	39.3%	(126,144)	(902.1)%
Net losses and loss						
adjustment expenses	\$172 <b>,</b> 476	56.7%	\$94,198	31.6%	\$ 80,836	25.2%
	=======		======		=======	

The Company's reinsurance program is predominantly comprised of excess of loss reinsurance contracts that limit the Company's retention on a per principal basis. The Company's reinsurance coverage is provided by third party reinsurers and related parties. Due to the terms of conditions of these excess of loss treaties, reinsurers may cover some principals in one year but then exclude these same principals in subsequent years. As a result the Company may have exposures to these principals that have limited or no reinsurance coverage.

Excess of Loss Reinsurance

2003 Third Party Reinsurance Compared to 2002 Third Party Reinsurance

Effective January 1, 2003, CNA Surety entered into a new excess of loss treaty ("2003 Excess of Loss Treaty") with a group of third party reinsurers that reduced its net retention per principal to \$15 million with a 5% co-participation in the \$45 million layer of third party reinsurance coverage above the Company's retention. This new excess of loss treaty replaced the \$40 million excess of \$20 million per principal coverage ("2002 Excess of Loss Treaty"). The material differences between the new excess of loss reinsurance program and the Company's 2002 Excess of Loss Treaty were as follows. The annual aggregate coverage increased from \$100 million in 2002 to \$110 million in 2003. The minimum annual premium for the 2003 Excess of Loss Treaty was \$38.0 million compared to \$30.0 million of reinsurance premiums paid in 2002. The 2003 Excess of Loss Treaty provided the Company with coverage on a per principal basis of 95% of \$45 million excess of \$15 million retained by the Company.

The contract also included similar special acceptance provisions for larger contract accounts contained in the 2002 Excess of Loss Treaty. In addition to the one large national contract principal and the two commercial principals excluded (based upon class of business in 2002), the Company's reinsurers also excluded three other contract principals from the 2003 Excess of Loss Treaty for a total of six excluded principals. Of the two commercial principals, one is a domestic electric utility with an estimated bonded exposure of \$49 million and is currently rated B by Standard and Poor's ("S&P"). The bonded exposure will decline over the term of the bond which extends until 2007. The other is a foreign industrial enterprise with an estimated bonded exposure of \$31 million. The remaining \$31 million of the bonded exposure is expected to be discharged by

June 30, 2004. Each of the four contract principals continues to perform their contractual obligations underlying the Company's surety bonds. With respect to the three contract principals other than the large national contractor (described later), two principals have substantially completed asset sales, debt reductions and other reorganization efforts.

The third contract principal went into claim during 2003. Although in claim and experiencing financial difficulties, the contractor continued to perform substantially all of its contractual obligations underlying the

58

#### CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

Company's surety bonds. This contractor filed for bankruptcy in late September of 2003. Based upon currently available information, management believes its net exposure to loss on this contractor could be up to \$15 million. This claim exposure was considered in the Company's net reserve addition in the third quarter of 2003 for the 2003 accident year.

## Related Party Reinsurance

Intercompany reinsurance agreements together with the Services and Indemnity Agreement that are described below provide for the transfer of the surety business written by CCC and CIC to Western Surety. All of these agreements originally were entered into on September 30, 1997 (the "Merger Date"): (i) the Surety Quota Share Treaty (the "Quota Share Treaty"); (ii) the Aggregate Stop Loss Reinsurance Contract (the "Stop Loss Contract"); and (iii) the Surety Excess of Loss Reinsurance Contract (the "Excess of Loss Contract"). All of these contracts have expired. Some have been renewed on different terms as described below.

The Services and Indemnity Agreement provides the Company's insurance subsidiaries with the authority to perform various administrative, management, underwriting and claim functions in order to conduct the business of CCC and CIC and to be reimbursed by CCC for services rendered. In consideration for providing the foregoing services, CCC has agreed to pay Western Surety a quarterly fee of \$50,000. This agreement was renewed on January 1, 2004 and expires on December 31, 2004; and is annually renewable thereafter. There was no amount due to the CNA Surety insurance subsidiaries as of December 31, 2003.

Through the Quota Share Treaty, CCC and CIC transfer to Western Surety all surety business written or renewed by CCC and CIC after the Merger Date. CCC and CIC transfer the related liabilities of such business and pay to Western Surety an amount in cash equal to CCC's and CIC's net written premiums written on all such business, minus a quarterly ceding commission to be retained by CCC and CIC equal to \$50,000 plus 28% of net written premiums written on such business.

Under the terms of the Quota Share Treaty, CCC has guaranteed the loss and loss adjustment expense reserves transferred to Western Surety as of September 30, 1997 by agreeing to pay Western Surety, within 30 days following the end of each calendar quarter, the amount of any adverse development on such reserves, as re-estimated as of the end of such calendar quarter. There was not any adverse reserve development for the period from September 30, 1997 (date of inception) through December 31, 2003.

The Quota Share Treaty had an original term of five years from the Merger Date and was renewed on October 1, 2002 on substantially the same terms with an expiration date of December 31, 2003. The Quota Share Treaty was again renewed on January 1, 2004 on substantially the same terms with an expiration date of

December 31, 2004; and is annually renewable thereafter. The ceding commission paid to CCC and CIC by Western Surety remained at 28% of net written premiums and contemplates an approximate 4% override commission for fronting fees to CCC and CIC on their actual direct acquisition costs.

The Stop Loss Contract terminated on December 31, 2000 and was not renewed. The Stop Loss Contract protected the insurance subsidiaries from adverse loss experience on certain business underwritten after the Merger Date. The Stop Loss Contract between the insurance subsidiaries and CCC limited the insurance subsidiaries' prospective net loss ratios with respect to certain accounts and lines of insured business for three full accident years following the Merger Date. In the event the insurance subsidiaries' accident year net loss ratio exceeds 24% in any of the accident years 1997 through 2000 on certain insured accounts (the "Loss Ratio Cap"), the Stop Loss Contract requires CCC at the end of each calendar quarter following the Merger Date, to pay to the insurance subsidiaries a dollar amount equal to (i) the amount, if any, by which their actual accident year net loss ratio exceeds the applicable Loss Ratio Cap, multiplied by (ii) the applicable net earned premiums. In consideration for the coverage provided by the Stop Loss Contract, the insurance subsidiaries paid to CCC an annual premium of \$20,000. The CNA Surety insurance subsidiaries have paid

59

#### CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

CCC all required annual premiums. As of December 31, 2003, the Company had billed \$54.9 million under the Stop Loss Contract and had received \$24.9 million.

The Excess of Loss Contract provided the insurance subsidiaries of CNA Surety with the capacity to underwrite large surety bond exposures by providing reinsurance support from CCC. The Excess of Loss Contract provided \$75 million of coverage for losses in excess of the \$60 million per principal. Subsequent to the Merger Date, the Company entered into a second excess of loss contract with CCC ("Second Excess of Loss Contract"). The Second Excess of Loss Contract provided additional coverage for principal losses that exceed the foregoing coverage of \$75 million per principal provided by the Excess of Loss Contract, or aggregate losses per principal in excess of \$135 million. In consideration for the reinsurance coverage provided by the Excess of Loss Contracts, the insurance subsidiaries paid to CCC, on a quarterly basis, a premium equal to 1% of the net written premiums applicable to the Excess of Loss Contract, subject to a minimum premium of \$20,000 and \$5,000 per quarter under the Excess of Loss Contract and Second Excess of Loss Contract, respectively. The two Excess of Loss Contracts collectively provided coverage for losses discovered on surety bonds in force as of the Merger Date and for losses discovered on new and renewal business written during the term of the Excess of Loss Contracts. Both Excess of Loss Contracts commenced following the Merger Date and continued until September 30, 2002. The discovery period for losses covered by the Excess of Loss Contracts extends until September 30, 2005.

Effective October 1, 2002, the Company secured replacement excess of loss protection from CCC for per principal losses that exceed \$60 million in two parts -- a) \$40 million excess of \$60 million and b) \$50 million excess of \$100 million. This excess of loss protection is primarily necessary to support contract surety accounts with bonded backlogs or work-in-process in excess of \$60 million. The Company generally limits support for new large commercial surety accounts to \$25 million. In addition to the foregoing structural changes in its high layer excess of loss reinsurance programs, the cost for these protections increased significantly as compared to the cost of the previous two

Excess of Loss Contracts. The \$40 million excess of \$60 million contract is for a three year term beginning October 1, 2002 and provides annual aggregate coverage of \$80 million and \$120 million aggregate coverage for the entire three year term. The Company paid annual reinsurance premiums of \$3.8 million (net of expected return premium) in year one to CCC.

The reinsurance premium for the coverage provided by the \$50 million excess of \$100 million contract was \$6.0 million. This contract expired on December 31, 2003. The Company and CCC entered into a new \$50 million excess of \$100 million contract for the period of January 1, 2004 to December 31, 2004.

Effective October 1, 2003, the Company entered into a \$3 million excess of \$12 million excess of loss contract with CCC. The reinsurance premium for the coverage provided by the \$3 million excess of \$12 million contract was \$0.3 million plus, if applicable, additional premiums based on paid losses. The contract provided for aggregate coverage of \$12 million. This contract effectively lowered the Company's net retention per principal for the remainder of 2003 to \$12 million plus a 5% co-participation in the \$45 million layer of excess reinsurance with third party reinsurers. This contract was to expire on December 31, 2004. Effective January 1, 2004, the Company obtained replacement coverage from third party reinsurers. As of December 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002, CNA Surety had an insurance receivable balance from CCC and CIC of \$71.1 million and \$51.7 million, respectively. CNA Surety had no reinsurance payables to CCC and CIC as of December 31, 2003 and had reinsurance payables of \$21.3 million to CCC and CIC as of December 31, 2002.

60

#### CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

# Large National Contractor

The Company has provided significant surety bond protection guaranteeing projects undertaken by the large national contract principal that is excluded from the Company's third party insurance. The related party reinsurance available to the Company for this principal and the credit extended to the principal by affiliates of the Company are described below.

#### Reinsurance

If the Company should suffer any losses that are discovered prior to September 30, 2005 arising from bonds issued to the contractor with effective dates of September 30, 2002 and prior, the Company would retain the first \$60 million of losses on bonds written, and CCC would incur 100% of losses above \$60 million pursuant to the extended discovery provisions of the two Excess of Loss treaties that expired on September 30, 2002. Any losses discovered after September 30, 2005 on bonds with effective dates prior to September 30, 2002 would be covered up to \$150 million pursuant to the \$50 million excess of \$100 million contract with CCC described above and a twelve month contract with CCC effective January 1, 2004 that provides \$40 million excess of \$60 million reinsurance coverage exclusively for the national contractor.

For bonds that the Company has written after September 30, 2003, in addition to the coverage provided by excess of loss reinsurance treaties described above (\$40 million excess of \$60 million and \$50 million excess of \$100 million) the Company and CCC have entered into facultative reinsurance in connection with larger bonds. The Company's exposure on bonds written from October 1, 2002 through October 31, 2003 was limited to \$20 million per bond. For bonds written subsequent to November 1, 2003, and for bonds the company may write in 2004, the Company's exposure will be limited to \$14.5 million per bond.

CNAF Credit Facility

In December 2002 and January 2003, CNAF, parent of CCC, provided loans in an aggregate amount of approximately \$45 million to the national contractor. The loans were provided by CNAF to help the contractor meet its liquidity needs. The loans are evidenced by demand notes and until replaced by the credit facility described below, accrue interest at 10%. In March 2003, CNAF entered into a credit facility with the contractor under which CNAF has agreed to provide up to \$86 million of loans to the contractor and certain of its subsidiaries, including the refinancing of the already advanced \$45 million of credit described above. The credit facility matures in March of 2006. CNAF has been granted a security interest in substantially all of the assets of the contractor to secure borrowings under the new credit facility. Loews, parent of CNAF, and CNAF have entered into a participation agreement, pursuant to which Loews has purchased a one-third participation share in CNAF's position in the credit facility, on a dollar-for-dollar basis, up to a maximum of \$25 million. Although Loews does not have rights against the contractor directly under the participation agreement, it shares recoveries and fees under the facility on a proportional basis with CNAF. In March 2003, CNAF also purchased approximately \$28 million of the contractor's outstanding bank debt for \$16.4 million. Under the new credit facility CNAF agreed to sell the bank debt to the contractor for \$16.4 million, with \$11.4 million funded under the new credit facility and \$5million from money loaned to the contractor by its owners. Under its purchase agreement with the banks CNAF is also required to reimburse the banks for any draws upon approximately \$6.5 million in outstanding letters of credit issued by the banks for the contractor's benefit that expire between May and August 2003. Any CNAF reimbursements for draws upon the banks' letters of credit will become obligations of the contractor to CNAF as draws upon the credit facility. As of December 31, 2003, CNAF has credit exposure of \$55 million under the credit facility, net of participation by Loews, in the amount of \$25 million, for total outstanding of \$80 million. As of February 27, 2004, \$83 million was the total outstanding under the credit facility.

The contractor has initiated a restructuring plan that is intended to reduce costs and improve cash flow, and a chief restructuring officer has been appointed to manage execution of the plan. CNA Surety intends to

61

#### CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

continue to provide surety bonds on behalf of the contractor during this restructuring period, subject to the contractor's initial and ongoing compliance with CNA Surety's underwriting standards. Indemnification and subrogation rights, including rights to contract proceeds on construction projects in the event of default, exist that reduce CNA Surety's exposure to loss. While the Company believes that the contractor's restructuring efforts will be successful and provide sufficient cash flow for its operations and for repayment of its borrowings, the contractor's failure to achieve its restructuring plan could have a material adverse effect on CNA Surety's future results of operations, cash flow and capital. If such failures occur, the Company estimates that possible losses, net of indemnification and subrogation recoveries, but before recoveries under reinsurance contracts, could be up to \$200 million. However, the related party reinsurance treaties discussed above should limit the Company's per principal exposure to approximately \$60 million.

#### 7. RESERVES FOR LOSSES AND LOSS ADJUSTMENT EXPENSES

Activity in the reserves for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses was as follows (dollars in thousands):

		YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,		
	2003	2002	2001	
Reserves at beginning of period:				
Gross	\$303,433	\$315,811	\$204,457	
Ceded reinsurance	137,301	166,318	70,159	
Net reserves at beginning of period	166,132		134,298	
Net incurred loss and loss adjustment expenses:				
Provision for insured events of current period  Increase in provision for insured events of prior	133,186	88 <b>,</b> 018	76,024	
1		6 <b>,</b> 180		
Total net incurred	172 <b>,</b> 476		80,836	
Net payments attributable to:				
Current period events	•	12,727	•	
Prior period events		64 <b>,</b> 832		
Total net payments	83,426	•	65,641	
Net reserves at end of period		166,132		
Ceded reinsurance at end of period	158 <b>,</b> 357	137,301	166,318	
Gross reserves at end of period	\$413,539		\$315,811	
	======	=======	=======	

The Company recorded net unfavorable loss reserve development which resulted in increases in the estimated liability of \$39.3 million, \$6.2 million and \$4.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively. Adverse claim trends in more recent accident years, particularly increased claim severity on large commercial accounts, have resulted in increased gross and net incurred loss and loss adjustment expenses for the two years ended December 31, 2003.

Incurred loss and loss adjustment expenses for the year ended December 31, 2003 includes net adverse development on prior accident years of \$39.3 million that primarily relates to accident years 2001 and 2002 and changes in estimates of large losses resulting from the material adverse claim activity in the third quarter of 2003. This change in estimated net losses reflects the recent receipt of a payment demand with respect to a portion of an aggregate \$45 million insurance program bond. Net adverse development also includes approximately \$8 million of claims pertaining to self-insured workers' compensation bonds issued in the 1980's on behalf of companies now in bankruptcy. In addition to the net unfavorable loss reserve development in 2003, the higher net loss ratio in 2003 primarily relates to net reserve additions of approximately \$49.0 million for the current accident year due to material adverse loss severity on the Company's branch commercial and

62

CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

contract business. The net additions to reserves for the current accident year primarily relate to two large claims totaling \$23 million incurred in the quarter and changes in estimates of large losses resulting from this significant adverse claim activity. Approximately \$15 million of net additions relate to a net loss with respect to an insurance program bond for a now bankrupt large commercial account. The other \$8 million relates to a contract claim. A portion of the remaining change in estimated net losses for the current accident year relates to a contractor that went into claim during 2003. Incurred loss and loss adjustment expenses for the year ended December 31, 2002 include \$17 million on a gross basis and \$12 million on a net basis (after reinsurance) related to the September 2002 bankruptcy of a large national trucking concern.

On January 2, 2003, CNA Surety settled litigation brought by J.P. Morgan Chase & Co. ("Chase") in connection with three surety bonds issued on behalf of Enron Corporation subsidiaries. The penal sums of the three bonds totaled approximately \$78 million. The Company paid Chase approximately \$40.7 million and assigned its recovery rights in the Enron bankruptcy to Chase in exchange for a full release of its obligations under the bonds. The Company has no other exposure related to the Enron Corporation. CNA Surety's net loss related to the settlement, after anticipated recoveries under excess of loss reinsurance treaties, was previously fully reserved. Immediately upon execution of the settlement documents, the Company sent written notice for reimbursement to its reinsurers. As of December 31, 2003, the Company has billed a total of \$37.1 million to its reinsurers. Four reinsurers responsible for payment of 46% of the treaty proceeds have paid their portions of the claim. The Company has initiated reinsurance arbitration proceedings against all reinsurers who have not paid their portions of the claim. Management believes none of the reinsurers have valid defenses under the reinsurance treaties to avoid payment, and that the Company will fully recover all reinsurance recoverables recorded related to this settlement. As such, the Company has not recorded a reduction with respect to these reinsurance recoverables as of December 31, 2003.

#### 8. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

At December 31, 2003 the future minimum commitment under operating leases was as follows: 2004 -- \$1.7 million; 2005 -- \$1.6 million; 2006 -- \$1.4 million, 2007 -- \$1.1 million and 2008 -- \$1.0 million and thereafter -- \$3.7 million. Total rental expense for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001 was \$4.6 million, \$4.0 million, and \$4.4 million, respectively.

The Company is party to various lawsuits arising in the normal course of business, some seeking material damages. The Company believes the resolution of these lawsuits will not have a material adverse effect on its financial condition or its results of operations.

63

CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

## 9. INCOME TAXES

The components of deferred income taxes as of December 31, 2003 and 2002 were as follows (dollars in thousands):

2003 2002

Deferred tax assets related to:

Unearned premium reserve	\$14,568 5,355 1,646 3,885	\$13,621 3,675 1,320 3,328
Total deferred tax assets	25 <b>,</b> 454	21,944
Deferred tax liabilities related to:		
Deferred policy acquisition costs	36,636	33,735
Intangible assets	5 <b>,</b> 650	5,650
Unrealized gains on securities	12,573	10,695
Other	1,333	2,591
Total deferred tax liabilities	56 <b>,</b> 192	52 <b>,</b> 671
Net deferred tax liability	\$30,738	\$30,727
•		

 ${\tt CNA}$  Surety and its subsidiaries file a consolidated federal income tax return.

The income tax provisions consisted of the following (dollars in thousands):

	YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,		
	2003	2002	2001
Federal current			\$19,053 181 594
Total income tax expense (benefit)	\$ (18,012) ======	\$12,635 ======	\$19 <b>,</b> 828

A reconciliation from the federal statutory tax rate to the effective tax rate is as follows:

	YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,		
	2003	2002	2001
Federal statutory rate	(35.0)%	35.0%	35.0%
Tax exempt income deduction	(14.9)	(9.4)	(5.0)
Non-deductible expenses	0.5	0.5	3.4
State income tax, net of federal income tax benefit	0.2	0.4	0.7
Other	(6.8)	3.1	0.9
Total income tax expense (benefit)	(56.0)%	29.6%	35.0%
	=====	====	====

#### 10. EMPLOYEE BENEFITS

CNA Surety sponsors a tax deferred savings plan ("401(k) plan") covering substantially all of its employees. Prior to December 31, 1999, the Company matched 70% of the participating employee's contribution up to 6% of eligible compensation (4.2% maximum matching). Effective January 1, 2000, the Company match was increased to 100% of the participating employees contribution up to 3% of eligible compensation and 50% of the participating employees contribution between 3% and 6% of eligible compensation (4.5% maximum matching). In addition, the Company may also make an annual discretionary profit

64

#### CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

sharing contribution to the 401(k) plan, subject to the approval of the Company's Board of Directors. The profit sharing contribution may be restricted by plan and regulatory limitations. The Company contribution, including profit sharing, to the 401(k) plan was 2.3 million, \$2.9 million and \$2.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

CNA Surety established the CNA Surety Corporation Deferred Compensation Plan, effective April 1, 2000. The Company established and maintains the Plan as an unfunded, nonqualified deferred compensation plan for a select group of management or highly compensated employees. The purpose of the Plan is to permit designated employees of the Company and participating affiliates to accumulate additional retirement income through a nonqualified deferred compensation plan that enables them to defer compensation to which they will become entitled in the future. The Company holds equity securities with a fair value of \$1.1 million as of December 31, 2003 in respect of this deferred compensation plan.

Western Surety sponsors two post-retirement benefit plans covering certain employees. One plan provides medical benefits, and the other plan provides sick leave termination payments. The post-retirement health care plan is contributory and the sick leave plan is non-contributory.

Western Surety uses a December 31 measurement date for both of its post-retirement benefit plans.

The following table sets forth the plans' combined accumulated postretirement benefit obligation at the beginning and end of the last two fiscal years (dollars in thousands):

	2003	2002
Reconciliation of benefit obligation		
Benefit obligation at beginning of the year	\$5 <b>,</b> 398	\$6,412
Service cost	195	137
Interest cost	337	302
Plan amendment	(63)	
Curtailment	57	(187)
Actuarial (gain)/loss	283	(1,082)
Benefits and expenses paid	(215)	(184)
Benefit obligation at end of year	\$5 <b>,</b> 992	\$5 <b>,</b> 398
	=====	

The following table sets forth the plans' combined funded status reconciled

with the amount shown in the Company's statement of financial position at December 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002 (dollars in thousands):

	2003	2002
Reconciliation of funded status		
Funded status	\$(5,992)	\$(5,398)
Unrecognized prior service cost	(967)	(1,060)
Unrecognized net loss	546	199
Accrued benefit cost	\$(6,413)	\$(6,259)

The Company expects to contribute \$0.3\$ million to the post-retirement benefit plans to pay benefits in 2004.

The Company's post-retirement health care plan is unfunded; the accumulated post-retirement benefit obligation and plan assets for that plan are \$5.4 million and \$0, respectively.

The Company's post-retirement sick leave plan is unfunded; the accumulated post-retirement benefit obligation and plan assets for that plan are \$0.6 million and \$0, respectively.

65

#### CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

The plans' combined net periodic post-retirement benefit cost for the last three fiscal years included the following components (dollars in thousands):

	2003	2002	2001
Net periodic benefit cost Service cost at end of year	\$ 195	\$ 137	\$196
	337	302	372
-	(154)	(131)	(72)
		(44)	
	(8)	(10)	24
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 370	\$ 254	\$520
	====	====	====
	2003	2002	2001
	2003	2002	2001
Key Assumptions Discount rate Initial health care cost trend, pre-Medicare Initial health care cost trend, post-Medicare	6.5%	6.5%	6.5%
	8.0%	7.0%	7.0%
	10.0%	9.0%	9.0%

Ultimate health care cost trend	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%
Year in which ultimate trend is reached	2009	2006	2005

The health care cost trend rate assumption has an effect on the amounts reported. To illustrate, increasing the assumed health care cost trend rates by 1 percentage point in each year would increase the benefit obligation as of December 31, 2003 by \$1.0 million and increase the aggregate of service cost and interest cost for the year then ended by \$0.1 million. Decreasing the assumed health care cost trend rates by 1 percentage point in each year would decrease the benefit obligation as of December 31, 2003 by \$0.8 million and decrease the aggregate of service cost and interest cost for the year then ended by \$0.1 million.

## 11. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

The Company has reserved shares of its common stock for issuance to directors, officers, employees and certain advisors of the Company through incentive stock options, non-qualified stock options and stock appreciation rights ("SARs") to be granted under the CNA Surety 1997 Long-Term Equity Compensation Plan ("CNA Surety Plan"). The Company has also reserved shares of its common stock for issuance to Capsure option holders under the CNA Surety Corporation Replacement Stock Option Plan ("Replacement Plan"). The CNA Surety Plan and Replacement Plan are collectively referred to as the "Plan". The aggregate number of shares initially available for which options may be granted under the Plan is 3,000,000.

Options issued under the Replacement Plan have the same exercise price, rights, benefits, terms and conditions as the Capsure options replaced. The number of unexercised and outstanding Capsure options issued to the holders under the Replacement Plan on September 30, 1997 was 335,235. The exercise prices of the replacement options ranged between \$0.05 and \$8.00 per share on September 30, 1997.

The Compensation Committee (the "Committee") of the Board of Directors, consisting of independent members of the Board of Directors, administers the Plan. The Committee determines the option prices. Prices may not be less than the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date of grant for incentive stock options and may not be less than the par value of the Company's common stock for non-qualified stock options.

The Plan provides for the granting of incentive stock options as defined under Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. All non-qualified stock options and incentive stock options granted under

66

## CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

the Plan expire ten years after the date of grant and in the case of the Replacement Plan the options expire ten years from the original Capsure grant date.

The following table summarizes stock option activity for the three years ending December 31, 2003.

WEIGHTED AVERAGE OPTION

	SHARES SUBJECT TO OPTION	PRICE PER SHARE
Balance at December 31, 2000	1,453,490	\$12.37
Options granted Options cancelled Options exercised	407,886 (120,250) (77,839)	\$14.42 \$12.32 \$ 5.93
Balance at December 31, 2001.  Options granted.  Options cancelled.  Options exercised.	1,663,287 325,800 (172,419) (146,725)	\$13.18 \$ 9.35 \$13.03 \$ 9.63
Balance at December 31, 2002	1,669,943 344,500 (277,051) (3,000) 1,734,392	\$12.76 \$ 9.52 \$12.96 \$ 9.63 \$12.11
	========	

As of December 31, 2003, the number of shares available for granting of options under the Plan was 733,932.

The following table summarizes information about stock options outstanding at December 31, 2003:

		OPTIONS OUTSTAND:	ING		
		 WEIGHTED AVERAGE		OPTIONS	S EXERCI
RANGE OF	NUMBER	REMAINING	WEIGHTED AVERAGE	NUMBER	WEIGH
EXERCISE PRICES	OUTSTANDING	CONTRACTUAL LIFE	EXERCISE PRICE	EXERCISABLE	EXER
60.075   60.50	5.060	1 0	ć 2 F0	F 260	
\$2.875 to \$3.50	5,268	1.8 years	\$ 3.50	5 <b>,</b> 268	
\$9.35 to \$11.50	1,060,114	8.0 years	\$10.24	467 <b>,</b> 988	
\$13.05 to \$15.875	669,010	5.6 years	\$15.14	516 <b>,</b> 271	

The weighted average fair market value (at grant date) per option granted was \$3.72, \$3.69 and \$3.72 respectively, for options granted during 2003, 2002 and 2001. The fair value of these options was estimated at grant date using a Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions for the year ended December 31, 2003: risk free interest rate of 1.1%; dividend yield of 0.0%; expected option life of six years; and volatility of 39.8%. Similar assumptions for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001 were: risk free interest rate of 1.10% and 1.50%; dividend yield of 0.0% and 4.0%; expected option life of 6 years; and volatility of 39.8% and 38.7%, respectively.

The Company adopted the financial disclosure provisions of SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" with respect to its stock-based incentive plans. The Company applies APB Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," and related interpretations, in accounting for its plans as allowed for under the provisions of SFAS No. 123. Accordingly, no compensation cost has been recognized for its stock-based incentive plans as the exercise price of the granted options equals the market price at the grant date.

67

#### CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

The following table illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per share data if the Company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123 to stock based compensation under the Company's stock-based compensation plan.

2003	2002	2001
(IN THOUSANDS,	EXCEPT PER	SHARE DATA)
\$(14,151)	\$30,119	\$36 <b>,</b> 908
(118)	(12)	(530)
\$(14,269) ======	\$30,107	\$36 <b>,</b> 378
\$ (0.33)	\$ 0.70	\$ 0.86
======	======	======
\$ (0.33) ======	\$ 0.70	\$ 0.85
	(IN THOUSANDS, \$(14,151) (118) 	(IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER \$ (14,151) \$30,119  (118) (12)

Effective January 1, 1998, the Company established the CNA Surety Corporation Non-Employee Directors Deferred Compensation Plan. Under this plan, each director who is not a full-time employee of the Company or any of its affiliates may defer all or a portion of the annual retainer fee that would otherwise be paid to such director. The deferral amount, which must be in multiples of 10% of the retainer fee, will be credited to a deferred compensation account and will be deemed invested in Common Stock Units equal to the deferred fees divided by the fair market value of CNA Surety common stock as of each quarterly meeting date. Each director will be fully vested in his or her deferred compensation amount. Aggregate common stock units outstanding as of December 31, 2003 were 12,932. Common Stock Units are convertible into CNA Surety common stock at the election of the director.

#### 12. SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company is a leading provider of surety and fidelity bonds in the United States. According to A.M. Best, the surety and fidelity segment of the domestic property and casualty insurance industry aggregates approximately \$4.8 billion in direct written premiums, comprised of approximately \$3.8 billion in surety premiums and \$1.0 billion in fidelity premiums.

Surety bonds are three-party agreements in which the issuer of the bond (the surety) joins with a second party (the principal) in guaranteeing to a third party (the owner/obligee) the fulfillment of some obligation on the part of the principal. The surety is the party who guarantees fulfillment of the principal's obligation to the obligee. There are two broad types of surety products -- contract surety and commercial surety bonds.

Contract surety bonds secure a contractor's performance and/or payment obligation generally with respect to a construction project. Contract surety bonds are generally required by federal, state, and local governments for public

works projects. Commercial surety bonds include all surety bonds other than contract and cover obligations typically required by law or regulation. Fidelity bonds cover losses arising from employee dishonesty.

Although all of its products are sold through the same independent insurance agent and broker distribution network, the Company's underwriting is organized by the two broad types of surety products — contract surety and commercial surety, which also includes fidelity bonds and other insurance products for these purposes. These two operating segments have been aggregated into one reportable business segment for financial reporting purposes because of their similar economic and operating characteristics.

68

#### CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

The following tables set forth gross and net written premiums, dollars in thousands, by product and between domestic and international risks and the respective percentage of the total for the past three years.

Gross Written Premium

FOR	THE	YEAR	ENDED	DECEMBER	31,
-----	-----	------	-------	----------	-----

	2003		2002		
Contract	\$208,472	56.1%	\$197 <b>,</b> 875	55.0%	\$180 <b>,</b> 588
Commercial	133,733	36.0	134,039	37.2	125,026
Fidelity and other	29 <b>,</b> 170	7.9	27 <b>,</b> 978	7.8	27,389
Total	\$371,375	100.0	\$359 <b>,</b> 892	100.0	\$333,003
	======	=====	=======	=====	=======
Domestic	\$363 <b>,</b> 290	97.8%	\$348,010	96.7%	\$322,106
International	8,085	2.2	11,882	3.3	10,897
Total	\$371,375	100.0	\$359 <b>,</b> 892	100.0	\$333,003
	=======	=====	=======	=====	=======

Net Written Premium

FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,

	2003		2002		2	
Contract	\$184,449	57.8%	\$172 <b>,</b> 633	56.3%	\$165 <b>,</b> 603	
Commercial	106,899	33.5	107,290	35.0	122 <b>,</b> 812	
Fidelity and other	27,862	8.7	26,731	8.7	27 <b>,</b> 389	
Total	\$319 <b>,</b> 210	100.0	\$306 <b>,</b> 654	100.0	\$315 <b>,</b> 804	
Domestic International	\$311,125 8,085	97.5% 2.5	\$297,385 9,269	97.0% 3.0	\$305,483 10,321	

		=====		=====	=======
Total	\$319 <b>,</b> 210	100.0	\$306 <b>,</b> 654	100.0	\$315 <b>,</b> 804

Approximately \$61.2 million, or 16.5%, of gross written premiums were generated from national insurance brokers in 2003 with the single largest national broker production comprising \$19.2 million, or 5.2%, of gross written premiums.

#### 13. STATUTORY FINANCIAL DATA (UNAUDITED)

CNA Surety's insurance subsidiaries file financial statements prepared in accordance with statutory accounting practices prescribed or permitted by applicable insurance regulatory authorities. Prescribed statutory accounting practices include state laws, regulations and general administrative rules, as well as guidance provided in a variety of publications of the National Association of Insurance Commissioners ("NAIC"). Permitted statutory accounting practices encompass all accounting practices that are not prescribed. The Company's insurance subsidiaries follow two permitted accounting practices which did not have a material effect on reported statutory surplus or income. The Company was given permission to report activity in the Small Business Administration's Surety Bond Guarantee program as a reinsurance program and to report all salvage and subrogation recoveries as recoveries of loss rather than allocating recoveries between loss and loss adjustment expenses. Historically, the principal differences between statutory financial statements and financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles are that statutory financial statements do not reflect deferred policy acquisition costs and deferred income taxes and fixed income securities are generally carried at amortized cost in statutory financial statements.

69

#### CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

The following table reconciles consolidated stockholders' equity at December 31, 2003 and 2002 as reported herein in conformity with GAAP with total statutory capital and surplus of CNA Surety's insurance subsidiaries, determined in accordance with statutory accounting practices prescribed or permitted by insurance regulatory authorities (dollars in thousands):

	2003	2002
Consolidated equity per GAAP  Less: Impact of non-insurance companies and eliminations	\$410,141 (28,921)	\$420,561 (25,845)
Insurance company equity per GAAP.  Intangible assets.  Net unrealized gain on fixed income securities.  Deferred policy acquisition costs.  Deferred income taxes, net.  Non-admitted assets and statutory reserves.  Other.	439,062 (133,361) (34,178) (104,674) 45,159 (21,586)	446,406 (115,267) (30,734) (96,386) 43,683 (14,909) (1,422)
Balance per statutory accounting practices	\$190,422 ======	\$231,371 ======

The NAIC has promulgated Risk Based Capital ("RBC") requirements for property and casualty insurance companies to evaluate the adequacy of statutory capital and surplus in relation to investment and insurance risks such as asset quality, loss reserve adequacy, and other business factors. The RBC information is used by state insurance regulators as an early warning mechanism to identify insurance companies that potentially are inadequately capitalized. In addition, the formula defines new minimum capital standards that supplement the current system of fixed minimum capital and surplus requirements on a state-by-state basis. Regulatory compliance is determined by a ratio (the "Ratio") of the enterprise's regulatory total adjusted capital, as defined by the NAIC, to its authorized control level RBC, as defined by the NAIC. Generally, a Ratio in excess of 200% of authorized control level RBC requires no corrective actions by a company or regulators. As of December 31, 2003, each of CNA Surety's insurance subsidiaries had a Ratio that was in compliance with the minimum RBC requirements.

CNA Surety's insurance subsidiaries are subject to regulation and supervision by the various state insurance regulatory authorities in which they conduct business. Such regulation is generally designed to protect policyholders and includes such matters as maintenance of minimum statutory surplus and restrictions on the payment of dividends. Generally, statutory surplus of each insurance subsidiary in excess of a statutorily prescribed minimum is available for payment of dividends to the parent company. However, such distributions as dividends may be subject to prior regulatory approval. Without prior regulatory approval in 2004, CNA Surety's insurance subsidiaries may pay dividends of \$19.0 million in the aggregate. Combined statutory surplus for the insurance subsidiaries at December 31, 2003 was \$190.4 million.

#### 14. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The Company has the following related party transactions not previously described in Note 6. Reinsurance.

Effective January 1, 2001, CNA Surety renewed an Administrative Services Agreement with CCC. The agreement allows the Company to purchase and/or have access to certain services provided by CNAF. The Company will also pay CNAF a management fee for its proportionate share of administrative and overhead costs incurred in supporting the services provided pursuant to this agreement. The management fee for the year 2004 is \$1.8 million that shall be paid by CNA Surety to CNAF in equal monthly installments by the last day of each month. The management fee shall be increased as of January 1, the "adjustment date", of each

70

## CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

year this Administrative Services Agreement is in force by the greater of 5% or the amount of the increase in the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the Chicago, Illinois area as reported by the Bureau of Labor Statistics for the 12 month period immediately preceding the adjustment date. The agreement was amended in 2003 to allow CCC to purchase services from the Company. In 2003, CCC paid the Company \$0.2 million for services in connection with licensing and appointing CCC's insurance producers as required by state insurance laws. This agreement shall be effective so long as CNAF or their affiliates or shareholders shall continue to own a majority interest in CNA Surety. This agreement may be terminated by either party upon the provision of 30 days prior notice of such termination to the other party.

The Company was charged \$6.1 million, \$5.2 million, and \$5.7 million for

the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively, for rents and services provided under a previous Administrative Services Agreement. In addition, the Company was charged \$1.4 million, \$1.0 million and \$2.1 million for direct costs incurred by CCC on the Company's behalf during 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively. The Company had a payable balance to CCC of \$0.3 million that was reflected in other liabilities in the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2003.

Western Surety has entered into a series of business transactions with entities in which an affiliate of CCC has an interest. The first series involves five separate real estate residual value insurance policies issued by R.V.I. America Insurance Company ("RVI -- America") reinsured by Western Surety through the Quota Share Treaty. RVI America is a wholly owned subsidiary of R.V.I. America Corporation, which is a wholly owned subsidiary of R.V.I. Guaranty Company Ltd. of Bermuda ("RVI -- Bermuda"), an unconsolidated affiliate of CCC. The transactions involve policies with limits totaling approximately \$11.5 million. CCC is reinsuring the full extent of RVI -- America's exposure on the policies. Pursuant to the Quota Share Treaty, Western Surety is, in turn, reinsuring all of CCC's exposures on the policies. Western Surety is reinsuring all of its exposure on the policies with RVI-Bermuda, a non-admitted reinsurer. The policy limits range from \$119,785 to \$2,954,164 with an average policy limit of approximately \$1.8 million and total limits of all policies of \$8,997,395. Net premium amounts retained in 2000 relative to these reinsurance transactions totaled \$519,278 as follows: RVI -- America, \$51,928; CCC, \$130,858; Western Surety, \$67,298; and RVI -- Bermuda, \$269,194.

In addition from time to time Western Surety provided surety bonds guaranteeing insurance payments of certain companies to CCC and its affiliates under retrospectively rated insurance policies underwritten by CCC and its affiliates. Under the terms of these bonds, referred to as insurance program bonds, if the principal, the insured company, failed to make required a required premium payment, CCC and its affiliates would have a claim against the Company under the bond. The Company now has a policy not to issue such bonds to companies insured by CCC and its affiliates. The last such bond was written in 2001 and currently bonds with \$44.5 million of total penal sums remain.

Western Surety from time to time provides license and permit bonds and appeal bonds to CCC and its affiliates and to clients of CCC and its affiliates. Under procedures established by the Audit Committee, the Company may issue appeal bonds for CCC and its affiliates and their clients with penal sums of \$10 million or less without prior audit committee approval as long as those bonds meet the Company's normal underwriting standards, the rates charged are market rates and that the Company has received the indemnity of CCC. Bonds greater than \$10 million require the prior approval of the Audit Committee. As of December 31, 2003, the total amount of the outstanding appeal and license and permit bonds written on behalf of CCC and its affiliates was approximately \$81 million, which was comprised of 39 bonds. Western Surety has entered into indemnity agreements with CCC and its affiliates indemnifying Western Surety for any loss arising from the issuance of appeal bonds for CCC and its affiliates. The premium for these bonds was approximately \$440,000 in 2003.

71

CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

15. SELECTED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (UNAUDITED)

The following is a summary of the results of operations of CNA Surety for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002, and 2001 (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts):

	FIRST	SECOND	THIRD	FOURTH
	QUARTER	QUARTER	QUARTER	QUARTER
2003 Revenues	\$78 <b>,</b> 635	\$84 <b>,</b> 596	\$ 84,162	\$85,183
Income (loss) before income taxes	\$15,380	\$15,966	\$ (77,507)	\$13,998
	4,391	4,283	(30,088)	3,402
Net income (loss)	 \$10 <b>,</b> 989	\$11 <b>,</b> 683	\$ (47,419)	\$10 <b>,</b> 596
Basic and diluted earnings per common share	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.27	\$ (1.10)	\$ 0.24
	=====	======	======	======
2002 Revenues	\$74 <b>,</b> 049	\$84,464	\$ 85 <b>,</b> 529	\$74 <b>,</b> 445
Income before income taxes	\$15,392	\$18,819	\$ 3,715	\$ 4,828
	4,835	5,917	1,098	785
Net income	\$10,557	\$12,902	\$ 2,617	\$ 4,043
	======	======	======	======
Basic and diluted earnings per common share	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.09
	=====	=====	=====	=====
2001 Revenues	\$84,455 ======	\$86 <b>,</b> 522	\$ 89,386 ======	\$90 <b>,</b> 108
Income before income taxes	\$18,420	\$19,044	\$ 18,242	\$ 1,030
	6,476	6,701	6,228	423
Net income	\$11,944	\$12,343	\$ 12,014	\$ 607
	======	======	======	=====
Basic and diluted earnings per common share	\$ 0.28	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.28 ======	\$ 0. 01 ======

72

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

## ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Company maintains a system of disclosure controls and procedures which are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it files or submits to the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, including this report, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported on a timely basis. These disclosure controls and procedures include controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management on a timely basis to allow decisions regarding required disclosure.

The Company's principal executive officer and its principal financial officer undertook an evaluation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a - 15 (e) and 15d - 15 (e)) as of

the end of the period covered by this report and concluded that the Company's controls and procedures were effective.

There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Company's last fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

#### PART III.

ITEMS 10, 11, 12, 13, AND 14. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT, EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION, SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS, CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The Company will file a definitive proxy statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Proxy Statement") relating to the Company's Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 11, 2004, not later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Form 10-K. Information required by Items 10 through 14 will appear in the Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

73

#### PART IV.

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES, AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

	PAGE
Financial Statement Schedules:	
Independent Auditors' Report	75
Schedule I Summary of Investments	76
Schedule II Condensed Financial Information of	
Registrant	77
Schedule III Supplementary Insurance Information	81
Schedule IV Reinsurance	82
Schedule V Valuation and Qualifying Accounts	83
Schedule VI Supplemental Information Concerning	
Property Casualty Insurance Operations	84
(a)(3) Exhibits	85

#### (b) Reports on Form 8-K:

November 3, 2003: CNA Surety announces third quarter results.

November 13, 2003: Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 for the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer issued on November 13, 2003.

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of CNA Surety Corporation

We have audited the consolidated financial statements of CNA Surety Corporation and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2003 and 2002, and for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2003, and have issued our report thereon dated March 12, 2004 (which report expresses an unqualified opinion and includes an explanatory paragraph relating to a change in accounting for goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets in 2002); such consolidated financial statements and report are included in Item 8 of this Form 10-K. Our audits also included the financial statement schedules of CNA Surety Corporation and subsidiaries, listed in Item 15. These financial statement schedules are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion based on our audits. In our opinion, such financial statement schedules, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 of the Form 10-K taken as a whole, present fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Chicago, Illinois March 12, 2004

75

SCHEDULE I

CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

SUMMARY OF INVESTMENTS
OTHER THAN INVESTMENTS IN RELATED PARTIES
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2003 AND 2002

	AS OF	DECEMBER 31,	2003
		FAIR VALUE	
		NTS IN THOUSA	NDS)
Fixed Income Securities:			
U.S. Government and government agencies and authorities	\$ 35,501	\$ 36,444	\$ 36,444
States, municipalities and political subdivisions	376 <b>,</b> 961	402,411	402,411
All other corporate bonds	131 <b>,</b> 739	141,201	141,201
Total fixed income securities	\$544,201	\$580 <b>,</b> 056	\$580 <b>,</b> 056
Equity securities			\$ 1,061
Short-term investments	63,871		63,871
Other investments	1,119		1,119
Total investments	\$610,183		\$646,107
			=======

		DECEMBER 31,	•
	COST OR AMORTIZED	FAIR VALUE	CARRYING
	(AMOUN	NDS)	
Fixed Income Securities:			
U.S. Government and government agencies and authorities	•	\$ 69,240	
States, municipalities and political subdivisions All other corporate bonds	•	367 <b>,</b> 934 133 <b>,</b> 364	•
All other corporate bonds			
Total fixed income securities	\$539 <b>,</b> 364	\$570 <b>,</b> 538	\$570 <b>,</b> 538
Equity securities	\$ 852	====== \$ 761	\$ 761
Short-term investments	50,669	Ş /01	50,669
Other investments	1,784		1,257
Total investments	\$592 <b>,</b> 669		\$623 <b>,</b> 225
	======		=======

76

# SCHEDULE II

## CNA SURETY CORPORATION

# CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF REGISTRANT (PARENT COMPANY) BALANCE SHEETS

	DECEMBER 31,		
	2003	2002	
	(AMOUNTS IN	THOUSANDS)	
ASSETS			
Investments in and advances to subsidiaries  Fixed income securities  Equity investments  Short-term investments (restricted: \$644 and \$601)  Cash (restricted: \$5,971 and \$4,226)  Other assets  Total assets	\$448,162 6,347 1,061 14,089 5,973   \$475,632	\$480,638 5,682 761 8,761 4,228   \$500,070	
LIABILITIES			
Debt Other liabilities	\$ 50,000 15,491	\$ 60,000 19,509	
Total liabilities	65 <b>,</b> 491	79 <b>,</b> 509	
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY			
Common stock.	444	444	

Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$475,632	\$500 <b>,</b> 070
Total stockholders' equity	410,141	420,561
Treasury stock, at cost	(15,256) 	(15,446)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	23,351	19,861
Retained earnings	145,786	159 <b>,</b> 937
Additional paid-in capital	255,816	255 <b>,</b> 765

77

SCHEDULE II

## CNA SURETY CORPORATION

# CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF REGISTRANT (PARENT COMPANY) -- (CONTINUED) STATEMENTS OF INCOME

		YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,				
	2003		2003 2002		2001	
		(AMOUNTS I				
Revenues:						
Net investment income	\$	923	\$	713	\$ 1,891	
Net realized investment losses				(4)		
Total revenues	1,	004		709		
Expenses:						
Interest expense	1,	491	1,	656	3 <b>,</b> 855	
Corporate expense					5,243	
Total expenses	8,	272	5,	362		
Loss from operations before income taxes and equity in net						
income of subsidiaries	(7,	268)	(4,	653)	(7,207)	
Income taxes	(2,	867)			(2,523)	
Net loss before equity in net income of						
subsidiaries Parent Company only	(4,	401)	(3,	024)	(4,684)	
Equity in net income of subsidiaries			33,	143	41,592	
Net income	\$(14,	 151)		119		
	=====	===	====			

78

SCHEDULE II

CNA SURETY CORPORATION

CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF REGISTRANT

(PARENT COMPANY) -- (CONTINUED)
STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,			
	2003	2002	2001	
		S IN THOUS		
OPERATING ACTIVITIES:				
Net income	\$(14,151)	\$30,119	\$36 <b>,</b> 908	
Cash dividends from subsidiaries	28,012	31,162	56,900	
Tax payments received from subsidiaries	7,362	9,894	26,314	
Federal and state income tax payments  Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:	(5,816)	(8,000)	(23,750)	
Equity in net income of subsidiaries	9,750	(33, 143)	(41,592)	
Change in other assets and liabilities	(5 <b>,</b> 878)	(759)	(736)	
Net cash provided by operating activities	19 <b>,</b> 279	29 <b>,</b> 273	54,044	
INVESTING ACTIVITIES:				
Net advances from (to) subsidiaries	2,423	(9,713)	1,222	
Capital contributions to subsidiaries	(4,898)		(26, 158)	
Net sales of fixed income securities	507	19	(210)	
Net purchases of equity securities	(231)	(853)		
Changes in short-term investments	(5,328)	5,900	29,323	
Other	(134)	(62)	(54)	
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities			4,123	
FINANCING ACTIVITIES:				
Proceeds from debt		71,000		
Principal payments on debt	(10,000)	(86,000)	(25,000)	
Dividends to stockholders		(19,310)	(23,088)	
Purchase of treasury stock			(124)	
plan	119	122		
Employee stock option exercises	8	1,414	518	
Net cash used in financing activities		(32,774)	(47,694) ======	
Increase (decrease) in cash	1,745	(8,210)	10,473	
Cash at beginning of period	4,228	12,438	1,965	
Cash at end of period		\$ 4,228 ======	\$12,438 ======	

79

SCHEDULE II

## NOTES TO CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION

# 1. RESTRICTED CASH AND SHORT TERM INVESTMENTS

As of December 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002, parent company short-term investments and cash included \$6.6 million and \$4.8 million, respectively, of

restricted cash related to premium receipt collections ultimately due to the Company's insurance subsidiaries.

80

SCHEDULE III

#### CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

SUPPLEMENTARY INSURANCE INFORMATION
CNA SURETY CORPORATION AS OF AND FOR THE YEARS ENDED
DECEMBER 31, 2003, 2002 AND 2001

	YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,		
		2002	
	(AMOU	NTS IN THOUS	SANDS)
Deferred policy acquisition costs	\$104 <b>,</b> 674	\$ 96,386	\$ 89,788
Unpaid losses and loss adjustment expense reserves	\$413 <b>,</b> 539	\$303,433	\$315,811
Unearned premiums	\$224 <b>,</b> 068	\$216 <b>,</b> 213	\$200,379
Other policy claims and benefits payable	\$	\$	\$
Net premium revenue	\$304 <b>,</b> 449	\$298,319	\$320 <b>,</b> 910
Net investment income	\$ 26,301	\$ 27,754	\$ 29,515
Benefits, claims, losses and settlement expenses	\$172 <b>,</b> 476	\$ 94,198	\$ 80,836
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs	\$141 <b>,</b> 650	\$138,991	\$147 <b>,</b> 500
Other operating expenses	\$ 49,090	\$ 40,836	\$ 55,377
Net premiums written	\$319 <b>,</b> 210	\$306,654	\$315,804
	=======		=======

81

SCHEDULE IV

# CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

REINSURANCE
CNA SURETY CORPORATION FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2003, 2002 AND 2001

				PERCENTAGE
	CEDED TO	ASSUMED		OF AMOUNT
GROSS	OTHER	FROM OTHER	NET	ASSUMED
AMOUNT	COMPANIES	COMPANIES*	AMOUNT	TO NET

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2003 Premiums written: Property and casualty					
insurance	\$195 <b>,</b> 786	\$52 <b>,</b> 165	\$175 <b>,</b> 589	\$319 <b>,</b> 210	55.0%
Total premiums written	\$195 <b>,</b> 786	\$52 <b>,</b> 165	\$175 <b>,</b> 589	\$319,210	55.0%
Premiums earned:					
Property and casualty					
insurance	\$168,510 	\$59 <b>,</b> 065	\$195,004 	\$304 <b>,</b> 449	64.1%
Total premiums earned	\$168,510 ======	\$59 <b>,</b> 065	\$195 <b>,</b> 004	\$304 <b>,</b> 449	64.1% ====
YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002 Premiums written:					
Property and casualty insurance	\$148,186	\$53 <b>,</b> 238	\$211 <b>,</b> 706	\$306 <b>,</b> 654	69.0%
Total premiums written	\$148 <b>,</b> 186	\$53 <b>,</b> 238	\$211,706 ======	\$306,654 ======	69.0% ====
Premiums earned:					
Property and casualty					
insurance	\$137 <b>,</b> 957	\$45 <b>,</b> 784	\$206 <b>,</b> 146	\$298 <b>,</b> 319	69.1%
Total premiums earned	\$137 <b>,</b> 957	\$45,784 ======	\$206,146 ======	\$298,319 ======	69.1% ====
YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2001 Premiums written:					
Property and casualty					
insurance	\$127 <b>,</b> 494	\$17 <b>,</b> 199	\$205 <b>,</b> 509	\$315 <b>,</b> 804	65.1% 
Total premiums written	\$127 <b>,</b> 494	\$17 <b>,</b> 199	\$205 <b>,</b> 509	\$315 <b>,</b> 804	65.1% ====
Premiums earned:					
Property and casualty insurance	\$120,687	\$13,983	\$214,206	\$320,910	66.8%
Total premiums earned	\$120 <b>,</b> 687	\$13 <b>,</b> 983	\$214 <b>,</b> 206	\$320 <b>,</b> 910	 66.8%
	=======	======	=======	=======	====

\_\_\_\_\_

82

SCHEDULE V

# CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS CNA SURETY CORPORATION AS OF AND FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2003, 2002 AND \$2001\$

ADDITIONS

BALANCE AT CHARGED TO CHARGED TO

<sup>\*</sup> From Affiliates

	BEGINNING OF PERIOD	COSTS AND EXPENSES	OTHER ACCOUNTS	DEDUCTIONS(1)
		JOMA)	UNTS IN THOUS	ANDS)
YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2003 Allowance for possible losses on premiums receivable	\$1,365	\$ 963	\$	\$ (753)
		=====		=====
Allowance for possible losses on reinsurance receivable	\$	\$	\$	\$
	=====	====	=====	====
YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002  Allowance for possible losses on premiums receivable	\$2 <b>,</b> 614	\$ (363) =====	\$ =====	\$(886) =====
Allowance for possible losses on reinsurance receivable	\$	\$	\$	\$
	=====	====	=====	====
YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2001 Allowance for possible losses on premiums receivable	\$2,650	\$ 866	\$	\$(902)
	=====	====	=====	====
Allowance for possible losses on reinsurance receivable	\$	\$	\$	\$
	=====	====	=====	====

\_\_\_\_\_

83

SCHEDULE VI

# CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION CONCERNING PROPERTY-CASUALTY INSURANCE OPERATIONS CNA SURETY CORPORATION AS OF AND FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2003, 2002 AND \$2001

	YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,		BER 31,
	2003	2002	2001
	(AMOUR	NTS IN THOUS	SANDS)
Deferred policy acquisition costs	\$104,674	\$ 96,386	\$ 89,788
December for unneightalaims and alaim adirectment	======	=======	=======
Reserves for unpaid claims and claim adjustment	* 4 4 0 5 0 0	****	A015 011
expenses	\$413,539	\$303 <b>,</b> 433	\$315,811
	======	======	======
Discount (if any) deducted	\$	\$	\$
	=======	=======	=======
Unearned premiums	\$224,068	\$216,213	\$200,379
	======	======	======
Net premium revenue	\$304,449	\$298,319	\$320,910

<sup>(1)</sup> Accounts charged against allowance.

	=======	======	=======
Net investment income	\$ 26,301	\$ 27,754	\$ 29,515
		======	
Net claims and claim expenses incurred related to:			
Current year	\$133 <b>,</b> 186	\$ 88,018	\$ 76,024
	=======		
Prior years	\$ 39,290	\$ 6,180	\$ 4,812
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs	\$141,650	\$138,991	\$147,500
		======	
Net paid claims and claim adjustment expenses	\$ 83,426	\$ 77 <b>,</b> 559	\$ 65,642
	======	=======	=======
Net premiums written	\$319,210	\$306,654	\$315,804
		=======	

84

# (A)(3) EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION
2(1)	Reorganization Agreement dated as of December 19, 1996 among Capsure Holdings Corp., Continental Casualty Company, CNA Surety Corporation, Surety Acquisition Company and certain affiliates of Continental Casualty Company (filed on December 27, 1996 as Exhibit 2 to Capsure Holdings Corp.'s Form 8-K, and incorporated herein by reference.)
2(2)	First Amendment to the Reorganization Agreement dated as of July 14, 1997 among Capsure Holdings Corp., Continental Casualty Company, CNA Surety Corporation, Surety Acquisition Company and certain affiliates of Continental Casualty Company (filed on July 16, 1997 as Exhibit 2 to Capsure Holdings Corp.'s Form 8-K, and incorporated herein by reference.)
3(1)	Certificate of Incorporation of CNA Surety Corporation dated December 10, 1996 (filed on August 15, 1997 as Exhibit 3(1) to CNA Surety Corporation's Registration Statement on Form S-4 (Registration No. 333-33753), and incorporated herein by reference.)
3 (2)	Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation of CNA Surety Corporation dated May 27, 1997 (filed on August 15, 1997 as Exhibit 3(2) to CNA Surety Corporation's Registration Statement on Form S-4 (Registration No. 333-33753), and incorporated herein by reference.)
3 (3)	Bylaws of CNA Surety Corporation (filed on August 15, 1997 as Exhibit 3(3) to CNA Surety Corporation's Registration Statement on Form S-4 (Registration No. 333-33753), and incorporated herein by reference.)
3 (4)	Amendment to Bylaws of CNA Surety Corporation (filed on September 23, 1998 as Exhibit 4(3) to CNA Surety Corporation's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (Registration No. 333-64135), and incorporated herein by reference.)
4(1)	Specimen certificate of CNA Surety Corporation (filed on August 15, 1997 as Exhibit 4(1) to CNA Surety Corporation's Registration Statement on Form S-4 (Registration No.

9	333-33753), and incorporated herein by reference.) Not applicable.
10(1)	Form of The CNA Surety Corporation Replacement Stock Option Plan (filed on August 15, 1997 as Exhibit 10(12) to CNA
	Surety Corporation's Registration Statement on Form S-4
	(Registration No. 333-33753), and incorporated herein by
	reference.)
10(2)	Form of CNA Surety Corporation 1997 Long-Term Equity
	Compensation Plan (filed on August 15, 1997 as Exhibit 10(13) to CNA Surety Corporation's Registration Statement on
	Form S-4 (Registration No. 333-33753), and incorporated
	herein by reference.)
10(3)	Form of Aggregate Stop Loss Reinsurance Contract by and
	between Western Surety Company, Universal Surety of America,
	Surety Bonding Company of America and Continental Casualty
	Company (filed on December 27, 1996 as Exhibit 2 to Capsure Holdings Corp.'s Form 8-K, and incorporated herein by
	reference.)
10(4)	Form of Surety Excess of Loss Reinsurance Contract by and
	between Western Surety Company, Universal Surety of America,
	Surety Bonding Company of America and Continental Casualty
	Company (filed on December 27, 1996 as Exhibit 2 to Capsure Holdings Corp.'s Form 8-K, and incorporated herein by
	reference.)
10(5)	Surety Second Excess of Loss Reinsurance Contract by and
	between Western Surety Company, Universal Surety of America,
	Surety Bonding Company of America and Continental Casualty
	Company (filed on July 9, 1998 as Exhibit 10(14) to CNA Surety Corporation's Registration Statement on Form S-1
	(Registration No. 333-56063), and incorporated herein by
	reference.)
10(6)	Form of 10% Quota Share Treaty by and between Western Surety
	Company and Continental Casualty Company (filed on November
	14, 2002 as Exhibit 10(1) to CNA Surety Corporation's Form
10(7)	10-Q, and incorporated herein by reference.) Form of Surety Quota Share Treaty by and between Western
10(//	Surety Company and Continental Casualty Company (filed on
	November 14, 2002 as Exhibit 10(2) to CNA Surety
	Corporation's Form 10-Q, and incorporated herein by
	reference.)

EXHIBIT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION
10(8)	Revised Form of Surety Excess of Loss Reinsurance Contract by and between Western Surety Company, Universal Surety of America, Surety Bonding Company of America and Continental Casualty Company (filed on May 14, 2003 as Exhibit 10(1) to CNA Surety Corporation's Form 10-Q, and incorporated herein
10(9)	by reference.) Placement Slip for Surety Excess of Loss Reinsurance Contract by and between Western Surety Company, Universal Surety of America, Surety Bonding Company of America and Continental Casualty Company (filed on March 26, 2003 as Exhibit 10(12) to CNA Surety Corporation's Form 10-K, and

- incorporated herein by reference.)
- 10(10) Endorsement No. 1 to the Surety Excess of Loss Reinsurance Contract by and between Western Surety Company, Universal Surety of America, Surety Bonding Company of America and Continental Casualty Company. (filed on November 13, 2003 to CNA Surety Corporation's Corporation's Form 10-Q, and incorporated herein by reference.)
- 10(11) Form of Surety Excess of Loss Reinsurance Contract by and between Western Surety Company, Universal Surety of America, Surety Bonding Company of America and Continental Casualty Company.
- 10(12) Endorsement to 10(11) Form of Surety Excess of Loss
  Reinsurance Contract by and between Western Surety Company,
  Universal Surety of America, Surety Bonding Company of
  America and Continental Casualty Company.
- 10(13) Form of Surety Excess of Loss Reinsurance Contract by and between Western Surety Company, Universal Surety of America, Surety Bonding Company of America and Continental Casualty Company.
- 10(14) Form of Surety Excess of Loss Reinsurance Contract by and between Western Surety Company, Universal Surety of America, Surety Bonding Company of America and Continental Casualty Company.
- 10(15) Credit Agreement between CNA Surety Corporation and LaSalle Bank National Association Surety (filed on November 14, 2002 as Exhibit 10(3) to CNA Surety Corporation's Form 10-Q, and incorporated herein by reference.)
- 10(16) Amendment to Credit Agreement between CNA Surety Corporation and LaSalle Bank National Association Surety (filed on March 26, 2003 as Exhibit 10(9) to CNA Surety Corporation's Form 10-K, and incorporated herein by reference.)
- 10(17) Second Amendment to Credit Agreement between CNA Surety Corporation and LaSalle Bank National Association Surety (filed on November 13, 2003 as Exhibit 10(2) to CNA Surety Corporation's Form 10-Q, and incorporated herein by reference.)
- 10(18) Third Amendment to Credit Agreement between CNA Surety Corporation and LaSalle Bank National Association Surety (filed on November 13, 2003 as Exhibit 10(2) to CNA Surety Corporation's Form 10-Q, and incorporated herein by reference.)
- 10(19) Form of Services and Indemnity Agreement by and between Western Surety Company and Continental Casualty Company (filed on November 14, 2002 as Exhibit 10(5) to CNA Surety Corporation's Form 10-Q, and incorporated herein by reference.)
- 10(20) Employment Agreement dated as of June 30, 2003 by and between CNA Surety Corporation and John F. Welch (filed on August 12, 2003 as Exhibit 10(1) to CNA Surety Corporation's Form 10-Q, and incorporated herein by reference.)
- 10(21) Form of CNA Surety Corporation Non-Employee Directors
  Deferred Compensation Plan (filed on July 9, 1998 as Exhibit
  10(15) to CNA Surety Corporation's Registration Statement on
  Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-56063), and incorporated
  herein by reference.)
- 10(22) Form of CNA Surety Corporation Deferred Compensation Plan (filed on March 24, 2000 as Exhibit 10(18) to CNA Surety Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K, and incorporated herein by reference.)
- 10(23) Form of CNA Surety Corporation 2000 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (filed on January 26, 2001 (incorporated by reference) to CNA Surety Corporation's Registration Statement on Form

 $S\!-\!8$  (Registration No. 333-54440), and incorporated herein by reference.)

86

EXHIBIT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION
10(24)	Deferred Bonus Agreement dated as of January 13, 2003 by and between CNA Surety Corporation and Michael Dougherty (filed on March 26, 2003 as Exhibit 10(9) to CNA Surety Corporation's Form 10-K, and incorporated herein by reference.)
10 (25)	Deferred Bonus Agreement dated as of December 19, 2002 by and between CNA Surety Corporation and Enid Tanenhaus (filed on March 26, 2003 as Exhibit 10(9) to CNA Surety Corporation's Form 10-K, and incorporated herein by reference.)
10(26)	Deferred Bonus Agreement dated as of January 13, 2003 by and between CNA Surety Corporation and Thomas Pottle
11	Not Applicable.
12	Not Applicable.
13	Not Applicable.
16	Not Applicable.
18	Not Applicable.
21	Subsidiaries of the Registrant.
22	Not Applicable.
23	Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP dated March 15, 2004.
24	Not Applicable.
31(1)	Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002-Chief Executive Officer.
31(2)	Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002-Chief Financial Officer.
32(1)	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32 (2)	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

87

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

CNA SURETY CORPORATION

/s/ JOHN F. WELCH

John F. Welch

President and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

/s/ JOHN F. CORCORAN

-----

John F. Corcoran.

Senior Vice President and Chief
Financial Officer

(Principal Financial and Accounting
Officer)

Dated: March 15, 2004

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

DATE	TITLE	SIGNATURE
March 15, 2004	Chairman of the Board and Director	/s/ JAMES LEWIS
	and Director	James Lewis
March 15, 2004	Director	/s/ PHILIP H. BRITT
		Philip H. Britt
March 15, 2004	Director	/s/ KEN MILLER
		Ken Miller
March 15, 2004	Director	/s/ THOMAS PONTARELLI
		Thomas Pontarelli
March 15, 2004	Director	/s/ ROY E. POSNER
		Roy E. Posner
March 15, 2004	Director	/s/ ADRIAN M. TOCKLIN
		Adrian M. Tocklin
March 15, 2004	Director	/s/ JOHN F. WELCH
		John F. Welch