GLOBAL SIGNAL INC Form 10-O May 13, 2005 **UNITED STATES** SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549 FORM 10-Q QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2005 OR TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the transition period from to Commission File Number 001-32168 GLOBAL SIGNAL INC. Delaware 65-0652634 (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.) or organization) 301 North Cattlemen Road, Suite 300, Sarasota, Florida 34232-6427 (Address of principal executive offices) Telephone: (941) 364-8886 (Registrant's telephone number, including area code) Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes X No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has filed all documents and reports required to be filed by Sections 12, 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 subsequent to the distribution of securities under a plan confirmed

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_X\_\_

by a court. Yes X No \_\_\_\_

At May 11, 2005, Registrant had outstanding 58,572,715 shares of \$0.01 par value common stock.

# PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

		Page
Item	1. Financial Statements	
	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2005 (unaudited) and	
	December 31, 2004	2
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations (unaudited) for the Three Months	
	Ended March 31, 2005 and 2004	3
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited) for the Three Months	
	Ended March 31, 2005 and 2004	4
	Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	5
Item	2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of	
	Operations	23
Item	3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	43
Item	4. Controls and Procedures	45
PART II.	OTHER INFORMATION	
Item	1. Legal Proceedings	45
Item	2. Changes in Securities and Use of Proceeds	45
Item	3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities	46
Item	4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	46
Item	5. Other Information	46
Item	6. Exhibits	46
SIG	NATURES	48
1		
1		

# PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

# Item 1. Financial Statements:

# GLOBAL SIGNAL INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (in thousands, except share data)

		March 31, 2005 (unaudited)	De	ecember 31, 2004
Assets				
Current assets:	Ф	7,000	Φ	5.001
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	7,080	\$	5,991
Accounts receivable, net		628		533
Prepaid expenses and other current assets		9,930		9,772
Interest rate swap assets, at fair value		11,742		
		29,380		16,296
Restricted cash		78,183		72,854
Fixed assets, net		665,765		636,200
Intangible assets:		150 201		4.40.607
Lease absorption value, net		150,381		149,625
Leasehold interests, net		6,756		7,791
Goodwill		9,770		9,770
Deferred debt issuance costs, net		18,387		18,911
Other		4,611		4,461
Other assets		8,408		7,461
	\$	971,641	\$	923,369
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity				
Current liabilities:				
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$	15,746	\$	16,397
Dividends payable		20,858		20,491
Deferred revenue		15,373		13,410
Interest rate swap liabilities, at fair value		39		
Notes payable and current portion of long-term debt		60,388		8,268
		112,404		58,566
Long-term debt		696,670		698,652
Other long-term liabilities		13,766		12,954
Total liabilities		822,840		770,172
Stockholders' equity:				
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, 20,000,000 shares authorized,				
no shares issued or outstanding at March 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004		_	_	_
Common stock, \$0.01 par value, 150,000,000 shares authorized,				
52,143,987 shares issued and outstanding at March 31, 2005, and				
51,304,769 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2004		521		513
Additional paid-in capital		116,255		157,004
Deferred stock-based compensation		(2,783)		(3,101)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)		10,494		(1,219)
Equity derivatives		24,314		
Retained earnings		_	_	_
-		148,801		153,197
	\$	971,641	\$	923,369

The accompanying Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these condensed financial statements.

# GLOBAL SIGNAL INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (unaudited) (in thousands, except per share data)

	Т	nded March 31, 2004 (restated)		
Revenues	\$	54,422	\$	43,091
Direct site operating expenses (excluding depreciation,				
amortization and accretion)		16,362		13,646
Gross margin		38,060		29,445
Other expenses:				
Selling, general and administrative (excluding \$318 and \$2,604				
of non-cash stock-based compensation expense, respectively)		6,348		6,558
State franchise, excise and minimum taxes		174		172
Depreciation, amortization and accretion		17,554		12,347
Non-cash stock-based compensation expense		318		2,604
		24,394		21,681
Operating income		13,666		7,764
Interest expense, net		10,201		6,091
Loss on early extinguishment of debt		_	_	8,449
Other expenses (income)		(14)		8
Income (loss) from continuing operations before				
income tax benefit (expense)		3,479		(6,784)
Income tax benefit (expense)		525		(11)
Income (loss) from continuing operations		4,004		(6,795)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations		(90)		19
Income (loss) before gain (loss) on sale of properties		3,914		(6,776)
Gain (loss) on sale of properties		(18)		142
Net income (loss)	\$	3,896	\$	(6,634)
Dividends declared per common share	\$	0.4000	\$	0.3125
Basic and diluted income per common share:				
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$	0.08	\$	(0.17)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations		(0.01)		0.00
Gain (loss) on sale of properties		(0.00)		0.01
Net income (loss)	\$	0.07	\$	(0.16)
Diluted income per common share:				
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$	0.07	\$	(0.17)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations		(0.00)		0.00
Gain (loss) on sale of properties		(0.00)		0.01

Net income (loss)	\$ 0.07	\$ (0.16)
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding		
Basic	52,022	41,058
Diluted	53,935	41,058

The accompanying Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these condensed financial statements.

GLOBAL SIGNAL INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (unaudited) (in thousands)

	Three Months Ended March 3 2005 2004 (restated)		
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income (loss)	\$	3,896	\$ (6,634)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net			
cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation, amortization and accretion		17,554	12,347
Amortization of deferred debt issuance and hedging costs		1,564	1,154
Loss on early extinguishment of debt		_	8,449
Non-cash stock-based compensation expense		318	2,604
Other non-cash adjustments		(516)	409
Increase in current assets		(1,208)	(1,487)
Increase in current liabilities		2,383	4,034
Net cash provided by operating activities		23,991	20,876
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Payments made in connection with acquisitions of communications			
sites		(43,999)	(637)
Capital expenditures		(2,934)	(2,294)
Proceeds from the sale of fixed assets		18	611
Funds invested in restricted cash		(5,329)	(11,971)
Purchase of Pinnacle Towers Acquisitions LLC subsidiary		_	(20)
Net cash used in investing activities		(52,244)	(14,311)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Borrowings under mortgage loans		_	418,000
Borrowings under notes payable and long-term debt		67,850	165
Repayment of long-term debt and mortgage loans		(17,955)	(235,606)
Payment of debt issuance costs		(808)	(13,729)
Payment made to terminate interest rate swap		_	(6,175)
Special distribution paid		_	(142,188)
Ordinary dividends paid		(20,857)	(12,813)
Proceeds from the issuance of common stock, net of offering costs		901	1,681

Minority interest in subsidiary	_	_	9
Net cash provided by financing activities	29,131		9,344
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	211		(249)
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	1,089		15,660
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	5,991		9,661
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 7,080	\$	25,321
Non-cash investing and financing transactions:			
Assets acquired under a capital lease obligation	\$ 243	\$	975
Increase (decrease) in the fair value of interest rate			
swaps recorded to other comprehensive income	\$ 11,703	\$	(4,321)
Equity derivative arising from Investment Agreement	\$ (62,157)	\$	
Equity derivative arising from Option Agreement	\$ 37,843	\$	
Supplemental disclosure of cash flows:			
Cash paid for interest and taxes	\$ 8,843	\$	3,198

The accompanying Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these condensed financial statements.

4

GLOBAL SIGNAL INC. NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS MARCH 31, 2005

#### 1. Nature of Business

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements reflect the financial position, results of operations and cash flows of Global Signal Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. Global Signal Inc., formerly Pinnacle Holdings Inc., owns, leases and manages communications towers and other communications sites to providers of wireless communications and broadcast services, such as wireless telephony services, paging, mobile radio, wireless data transmission and radio and television broadcasting, and to operators of private networks such as federal, state and local government agencies.

#### 2. Basis of Presentation

As used herein, as of March 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004, unless the context otherwise requires, "we," "us," "our," "Company," or "Global Signal" refers to Global Signal Inc. and its wholly-owned consolidated subsidiaries, including, without limitation, Pinnacle Towers LLC, Pinnacle Towers Canada, Inc., Pinnacle Towers Acquisitions Holdings LLC, Global Signal Services LLC, and Pinnacle Towers Limited. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated. "Fortress" refers to Fortress Investment Holdings LLC and certain of its affiliates, and "Greenhill" refers to Greenhill Capital Partners, L.P. and affiliated investment funds. "Abrams" refers to Abrams Capital, LLC and certain of its affiliates. Since November 1, 2002, Fortress has been our largest stockholder, Greenhill has been our second largest stockholder and Abrams has been our third largest stockholder.

On May 11, 2004, we completed our formation of an UPREIT structure whereby we own substantially all of our assets and conduct our operations through an operating partnership, Global Signal Operating Partnership, L.P. ("Global Signal OP"). Global Signal Inc. is the special limited partner of Global Signal OP. Global Signal GP LLC, our wholly-owned subsidiary, is the managing general partner and as such, has the exclusive power to manage and

conduct the business of Global Signal OP. Global Signal Inc. holds 99% of the partnership interests and Global Signal GP LLC holds 1% of the partnership interests in Global Signal OP. The partnership agreement of Global Signal OP provides that it distribute cash flows from its operations to its limited partners and the managing general partner in accordance with their relative percentage interests. The distributions that we receive from Global Signal OP will, among other things, enable us to make dividend distributions to our stockholders. We believe that the UPREIT structure provides flexibility by enabling us to execute certain acquisitions more effectively by giving tax advantages to transferees who accept partnership units in the UPREIT as payment.

Results of operations for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of results of any other periods or for the year. The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States for interim financial statements and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X. They do not include all information and notes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments), considered necessary for fair presentation have been included. Certain amounts from the prior year period have been reclassified for consistency with current presentation. These reclassifications were not material to the consolidated financial statements. These unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with Global Signal's audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto for the year ended December 31, 2004 included in Global Signal's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 31, 2005.

5

# 3. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

#### Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results may differ from those estimates and such differences could be material.

#### **Stock-Based Compensation**

As permitted by Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (SFAS) No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, we account for our stock option grants to employees and directors using the intrinsic value method under Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and adopted the disclosure-only provisions of SFAS No. 123 and SFAS No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure an amendment of SFAS Statement No. 123. Under APB No. 25, no compensation costs are recognized relating to the option grants to employees if the exercise price of the options awarded was equal to or greater than the fair value of the common stock on the dates of grant. During the three months ended March 31, 2005, we recognized \$0.3 million of compensation expense related to stock options granted in 2004 with exercise prices below market value and restricted stock granted in 2004. The unamortized portion of the related compensation expense is recorded as deferred stock-based compensation, a contra account within stockholders' equity. We use the accelerated method to recognize compensation expense of our equity-based awards with graded vesting.

We follow SFAS No. 123 and Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 96-18, Accounting for Equity Investments that are Issued to Other than Employees for Acquiring or in Conjunction with Selling Goods and

Services, for our stock option grants to other individuals. As such, we measure compensation expense at the date of grant and recognize the expense ratably over the service period. Prior to vesting or termination, we recognize expense using the fair value of the option at the end of the reporting period. Additional expense due to increases in the value of the options prior to the vesting date would be recognized in the period of the option value increase.

Had compensation costs for employee stock option activity been determined based on the fair value at the dates of grant consistent with the provisions of SFAS No. 123, our net income would have decreased to the pro forma amounts indicated below (in thousands, except per share data):

	Three Months Ended March 31,			
		2005	2004	
	(unaudited)			naudited) restated)
Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders:				
Net income (loss) as reported	\$	3,896	\$	(6,634)
Add: Stock-based compensation costs included in reported net income (loss),				
net of \$0 related tax effect for all periods		318		
Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined				
under fair value based method for all awards, net of \$0 related tax effect for				
all periods		(658)		(931)
Pro forma net income	\$	3,556	\$	(7,565)
Basic income (loss) per share attributable to common stockholders:				
Net income (loss) per share as reported	\$	0.07	\$	(0.16)
Pro forma net income (loss) per share	\$	0.07	\$	(0.18)
Diluted income (loss) per share attributable to common stockholders:				
Net income (loss) per share as reported	\$	0.07	\$	(0.16)
Pro forma net income (loss) per share	\$	0.07	\$	(0.18)

Derivative Financial Instruments indexed to, and Settled in our Stock

For derivative financial instruments indexed to, and settled in our stock, we follow the accounting as specified in EITF Issue No. 00-19 "Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed to, and Potentially Settled in, a Company's Own Stock". Under this pronouncement, for instruments that meet certain criteria, the instrument is valued at fair value on the day it is created and is not marked to market in subsequent periods. The instrument is recorded in the stockholders' equity section of the balance sheet under the caption "Equity Derivatives". The Investor Agreement and Option Agreement, entered into as part of the Sprint Transaction, created instruments that qualified for this accounting treatment as proscribed under EITF Issue No. 00-19 (See Note 6 – Stockholders' Equity).

#### **New Accounting Pronouncements**

6

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123(R) Share-Based Payment, which is a revision to SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation. Generally, the approach in the new pronouncement is similar to the

approach described in SFAS No. 123. However, SFAS No. 123(R) would require all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, to be recognized in the income statement based on their fair values at the date of grant, beginning with the first interim or annual reporting period of the first fiscal year beginning on or after June 15, 2005. The pronouncement is effective for us as of January 1, 2006. As of the required effective date, we will apply this Statement using a modified version of prospective application. Under that transition method, compensation cost for the portion of awards for which the requisite service has not yet been rendered, and that are outstanding as of the required effective date, shall be recognized as the service is rendered based on the grant date fair value of those awards calculated under SFAS No. 123. We have not yet determined the effect of the new standard on our financial statements.

In March 2005, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 47 Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations. This interpretation clarifies that the term "conditional asset retirement obligation" as used in SFAS No. 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations, refers to a legal obligation to perform an asset retirement activity in which the timing and (or) method of settlement are conditional on a future event that may or may not be within the control of the entity. The obligation is unconditional even though uncertainty exists about the timing and (or) method of settlement. This interpretation is effective for us as of January 1, 2006. We have not yet determined the effect of this new interpretation on our financial statements.

7

#### 4. Debt

Our outstanding debt as of March 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004 consists of the following (in thousands):

		March 31, 2005 unaudited)	De	ecember 31, 2004
February 2004 mortgage loan, weighted average interest rate of				
approximately 5.0% secured by first priority mortgage liens on certain sites, monthly principal and interest installments of approximately \$2.4 million				
beginning March 2004. Contractual maturity date of January 2029,				
anticipated maturity date of January 2009	\$	409,998	\$	411,909
December 2004 mortgage loan, weighted average interest rate of	Ψ	.0,,,,,	Ψ	.11,505
approximately 4.7%, secured by first priority mortgage liens on substantially				
all tangible assets of Pinnacle Towers Acquisition LLC and its subsidiaries,				
monthly interest-only installments beginning January 2005, contractual				
maturity date of December 2009		293,825		293,825
Revolving credit agreement, interest at a variable rate of LIBOR plus 1.75%				
to 3.0% or the lender's base rate plus 0.75% to 2.0%, secured by a pledge of				
Global Signal OP's assets, maturity date of December 2005		51,917		
Capital lease obligations, interest rate fixed at 9.7%, secured by the				
underlying capital assets, with monthly principal installments beginning				
April 2004		1,318		1,186
		757,058		706,920
Less: Notes payable and current portion of long-term debt		(60,388)		(8,268)

\$ 696,670 \$ 698,652

The following table shows the maturities of long-term debt for the four twelve month periods after March 31, 2005 (in thousands):

For the twelve months en	nded March	
31,		
2006	\$	60,388
2007		9,013
2008		9,154
2009		678,503
	\$	757,058

#### The February 2004 Mortgage Loan

On February 5, 2004, our largest operating subsidiary based on revenues for 2004, Pinnacle Towers LLC (known as Pinnacle Towers Inc. at the time) and thirteen of its direct and indirect subsidiaries issued a \$418.0 million mortgage loan to a newly formed trust, Global Signal Trust I ("February 2004 mortgage loan"). The Trust then issued an identical amount of commercial mortgage pass-through certificates in a private transaction. We have continued to consolidate our subsidiaries, but have not consolidated the Trust in our financial statements. The net proceeds from the February 2004 mortgage loan were used to repay the then outstanding borrowings under our old credit facility of \$234.4 million, to fund a \$142.2 million special distribution to our stockholders, to fund \$4.6 million of restricted cash into an imposition reserve which was required to be escrowed in connection with our securitization transaction and February 2004 mortgage loan and relates to taxes, insurance and rents, and the remaining \$15.9 million was available to fund operations.

The principal amount of the February 2004 mortgage loan is divided into seven tranches, each having a different level of seniority. Interest accrues on each tranche at a fixed rate per annum. As of March 31, 2005, the weighted average interest rate on the various tranches was approximately 5.0%. The February 2004 mortgage loan is secured by mortgages, deeds of trust, deeds to secure debt and first priority mortgage liens on more than 1,100 of the communications sites of Pinnacle Towers LLC

8

and its thirteen direct and indirect subsidiaries. The February 2004 mortgage loan requires monthly payments of principal and interest calculated based on a 25-year amortization schedule through January 2009 (the "Anticipated Repayment Date"). If the February 2004 mortgage loan is not repaid in its entirety by the Anticipated Repayment Date, the interest rate on the February 2004 mortgage loan increases by the greater of 5.0% or a U.S. Treasury-based index, and substantially all of the borrower's excess cash flows from operations are utilized to repay outstanding amounts due under the February 2004 mortgage loan.

On a monthly basis, the excess cash flows from the securitized entities, after the payment of principal, interest, reserves and expenses, are distributed to us. The February 2004 mortgage loan requires us to maintain a minimum debt service coverage ratio ("DSCR") defined as the preceding 12 months of net cash flow, as defined in the February 2004 mortgage loan, divided by the amount of principal and interest payments required under the February 2004

mortgage loan in the next 12 months, of 1.45 times. Net cash flow, as defined in the February 2004 mortgage loan, with respect to Pinnacle Towers LLC and its 13 direct and indirect subsidiaries, is approximately equal to gross margin minus capital expenditures made for the purpose of maintaining our sites, minus 10% of revenue. If the DSCR falls below 1.45 times, the excess cash flows from the securitized entities are escrowed until the DSCR exceeds 1.45 times for two consecutive quarters, at which time the previously escrowed excess cash flows are released to us. If the DSCR falls below 1.2 times, all excess cash flows, including amounts previously escrowed, are used to repay outstanding principal due under the February 2004 mortgage loan. The February 2004 mortgage loan also restricts our ability to incur unsecured indebtedness without confirmation from the rating agencies that such indebtedness will not impact the February 2004 mortgage loan rating. Because the February 2004 mortgage loan has covenants which require our subsidiaries to maintain certain financial ratios, these covenants could indirectly limit our subsidiaries' ability to pay dividends to us.

We may not prepay the February 2004 mortgage loan in whole or in part at any time prior to February 5, 2006, the second anniversary of the closing date, except in limited circumstances (such as the occurrence of certain casualty and condemnation events relating to the communications sites securing the February 2004 mortgage loan). Thereafter, prepayment is permitted provided it is accompanied by any applicable prepayment consideration. If the prepayment occurs within three months of the January 2009 monthly payment date, no prepayment consideration is due.

The February 2004 mortgage loan documents include covenants customary for mortgage loans subject to rated securitizations. Among other things, the borrowers are prohibited from incurring additional indebtedness or further encumbering their assets. In addition, so long as the tangible assets of the borrowers represent at least 25% of the total tangible assets of Global Signal Inc., it will be an event of default under the February 2004 mortgage loan if Global Signal Inc. incurs any unsecured indebtedness for borrowed money without confirmation from the rating agencies that rated the commercial mortgage pass-through certificates that none of the ratings will be adversely affected.

#### The December 2004 Mortgage Loan

On December 7, 2004, our subsidiary, Pinnacle Towers Acquisition Holdings LLC and five of its direct and indirect subsidiaries issued a \$293.8 million mortgage loan to a newly formed trust, Global Signal Trust II ("December 2004 mortgage loan"). The Trust then issued an identical amount of commercial mortgage pass-through certificates in a private transaction. We have continued to consolidate our subsidiaries, but have not consolidated the Trust in our financial statements. The net proceeds of the December 2004 mortgage loan were used primarily to repay the \$181.7 million of then outstanding borrowings under our credit facility and to partially fund a \$120.7 million site acquisition reserve account to be used to acquire additional qualifying wireless communications sites over the six-month period following the December 2004 closing. Under our December 2004 mortgage loan, we are required to prepay the loan plus applicable prepayment penalties with funds in our acquisition reserve account to the extent such funds are not used to acquire additional qualifying wireless communications sites during the six month period following the closing of the loan. As of March 31, 2005, \$26.3 million remained in the acquisition reserve account, which is included in restricted cash in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet, pending its investment in qualifying wireless

9

communication sites. The site acquisition reserve account was reduced to \$0.6 million as of April 29, 2005 due to additional acquisition investments.

The principal amount of the December 2004 mortgage loan is divided into seven tranches, each having a different level of seniority. Interest accrues on each tranche at a fixed rate per annum. As of March 31, 2005, the weighted

average interest rate on the various tranches was approximately 4.74%. The December 2004 mortgage loan requires monthly payments of interest until its maturity in December 2009 when the unpaid principal balance will be due. The December 2004 mortgage loan is secured by, among other things, (1) mortgage liens on the borrowers' interests (fee, leasehold or easement) in substantially all of their wireless communications sites, (2) a security interest in substantially all of the borrowers' personal property and fixtures and (3) a pledge of the capital stock (or equivalent equity interests) of each of the borrowers (including a pledge of the capital stock of Pinnacle Towers Acquisition Holdings LLC from its direct parent, Global Signal Holdings III LLC).

On a monthly basis, the excess cash flows from the securitized entities, after the payment of principal, interest, reserves and expenses, are distributed to us. If the debt service coverage ratio ("DSCR"), defined in the December 2004 mortgage loan as the net cash flow for the sites for the immediately preceding twelve calendar month period divided by the amount of principal and interest that we will be required to pay over the succeeding twelve months on the December 2004 mortgage loan, as of the end of any calendar quarter falls to 1.30 times or lower, then all excess cash flow will be deposited into a reserve account instead of being released to us. The funds in the reserve account will not be released to us unless the DSCR exceeds 1.30 times for two consecutive calendar quarters. If the DSCR falls below 1.15 times as of the end of any calendar quarter, then all funds on deposit in the reserve account along with future excess cash flows will be applied to prepay the December 2004 mortgage loan.

We may not prepay the December 2004 mortgage loan in whole or in part at any time prior to December 7, 2006, the second anniversary of the closing date, except in limited circumstances (such as the occurrence of certain casualty and condemnation events relating to the communications sites securing the December 2004 mortgage loan). Thereafter, prepayment is permitted provided it is accompanied by any applicable prepayment consideration. If the prepayment occurs within three months of the December 2009 monthly payment date, no prepayment consideration is due.

The December 2004 mortgage loan documents include covenants customary for mortgage loans subject to rated securitizations. Among other things, the borrowers are prohibited from incurring additional indebtedness or further encumbering their assets.

#### Revolving Credit Agreement

On December 3, 2004, Global Signal OP entered into a 364-day \$20.0 million revolving credit facility pursuant to a revolving credit agreement which we refer to as the Revolving Credit Agreement, with Morgan Stanley Asset Funding Inc. and Bank of America, N.A. to provide funding for working capital and other corporate purposes. On February 9, 2005, Global Signal OP amended and restated the Revolving Credit Agreement with Morgan Stanley Asset Funding Inc. and Bank of America, N.A., to provide an additional \$50.0 million term loan facility in connection with the Sprint Transaction (see Note 11 – Acquisitions). On February 14, 2005, the full amount of the term loan was posted as a deposit, as required by the Agreement to Lease from the Sprint Transaction. At March 31, 2005, we had \$50.0 million outstanding under the term loan and \$1.9 million outstanding under the revolving credit facility portion of the Revolving Credit Agreement. On April 15, 2005, we amended and restated the Revolving Credit Agreement to provide an additional \$25.0 million multi-draw term loan to be used for fees and expenses incurred in connection with the Sprint Transaction. Amounts available under the revolving credit facility are reduced to \$15.0 million upon the earlier of June 3, 2005 or the completion of certain equity issuances by us in excess of \$5.0 million (excluding any equity issuances by us in connection with the Sprint Transaction, including the equity offering discussed in Note 7 – Common Stock Offering, or as a result of the exercise of options or warrants outstanding as of February 9, 2005).

10

Interest on the \$20.0 million revolving portion of this credit facility is payable, at Global Signal OP's option, at either the LIBOR plus 3.0% or the bank's base rate plus 2.0%. On March 31, 2005, the interest rates on the individual draws on the Revolving Credit Agreement averaged 5.86%. Interest on the term loans under the Revolving Credit Agreement is payable at our option at either, LIBOR plus 1.75% or the bank's base rate plus 0.75%. On March 31, 2005, the term loan portion of the Revolving Credit Agreement interest rate was 5.93%.

In connection with the Sprint Transaction, we expect to repay and terminate the \$50.0 million and \$25.0 million term loans. As a result, we will write-off as a loss on early extinguishment of debt any unamortized deferred debt issuance costs associated with the term loans.

The revolving credit facility, through the Revolving Credit Agreement and the related ancillary documentation, contains covenants and restrictions customary for a facility of this type including a limitation on our consolidated indebtedness at approximately \$1.0 billion and a requirement to limit our ratio of consolidated indebtedness to consolidated EBITDA, as defined in the loan document, to 7.0 to 1.0. The limitations on consolidated indebtedness will be increased to approximately \$1.8 billion and the ratio to 7.65 to 1.0 upon consummation of the bridge financing for the Sprint Transaction. The Revolving Credit Agreement continues to be guaranteed by us, Global Signal GP, LLC and certain subsidiaries of Global Signal OP. It is secured by a pledge of Global Signal OP's assets, including a pledge of 65% of its interest in our United Kingdom subsidiary, 100% of its interest in certain other domestic subsidiaries, a pledge by us and Global Signal GP, LLC of our interests in Global Signal OP, and a pledge by us of 65% of our interest in our Canadian subsidiary. The term loans must be repaid on the earlier of (1) August 14, 2005, (2) the date that we receive a refund of the deposit from Sprint under the Agreement to Lease, or (3) the date of the closing of the Sprint Transaction.

### **Acquisition Credit Facility**

As of April 25, 2005, our wholly owned subsidiary, Global Signal Acquisitions LLC, or Global Signal Acquisitions, entered into a 364-day \$200.0 million credit facility, which we refer to as the acquisition credit facility, with Morgan Stanley Asset Funding Inc. and Bank of America, N.A. to provide funding for the acquisition of additional communications sites. The acquisition credit facility is guaranteed by Global Signal OP and future subsidiaries of Global Signal Acquisitions. Moreover, it is secured by substantially all of Global Signal Acquisitions' tangible and intangible assets and by a pledge of Global Signal OP's equity interest in Global Signal Acquisitions. In addition, we have agreed to enter into a guarantee agreement with respect to the acquisition credit facility, and to secure that guarantee by a pledge of our equity interest in Global Signal OP, no later than May 16, 2005. We intend to fund future acquisitions with this credit facility along with a portion of the net proceeds from the common stock offering described above and incremental equity offerings, and for a short period of time may fund 100% of the purchase price of acquisitions with the acquisition credit facility. The level of borrowings is limited based on a borrowing base.

Borrowing under the acquisition credit facility will bear interest at our option at either the Eurodollar rate plus 1.5% or the bank's base rate plus approximately 1.25% provided the loan balance is equal to or lower than 68% of the acquisition price (as defined therein) of towers owned, leased or managed by Global Signal Acquisitions, from time to time. If the loan balance is higher than 68% of the aggregate acquisition price of towers owned, leased or managed by Global Signal Acquisitions, from time to time, then borrowings under the acquisition credit facility will bear interest at our option at either the Eurodollar rate plus 2.0% or the bank's base rate plus approximately 1.75%.

In connection with the closing of the acquisition credit facility, we paid an origination fee of 0.375% of the \$200.0 million commitment and agreed to pay to Morgan Stanley Asset Funding Inc. and Bank of America, N.A. an exit fee of 0.375% of the principal amount of the loans under the acquisition credit facility in connection with any refinancing of such borrowings. In addition, to the extent the principal amount of borrowings related to an acquisition of towers to be owned, leased or managed exceeds 68% of the acquisition price thereof, we will be required to pay an additional origination fee to Morgan Stanley Asset Funding Inc. and Bank of America, N.A. in an amount equal to 0.125% of the amount borrowed for such acquisition and an additional exit fee in the amount of

0.125% of the amount borrowed for such acquisition in connection with the refinancing of such borrowings. Any exit fees shall be credited against fees payable to each of Morgan Stanley and Bank of America, N.A. if they are offered the position of co-lender with respect to refinancing of the acquisition credit facility. The acquisition credit facility contains typical representations and covenants for facilities of this type.

#### 5. Interest Rate Swap Agreements

#### December 2003 Swap

On December 11 2003, in anticipation of the issuance of the February 2004 mortgage loan, we entered into a forward-starting interest rate swap agreement ("December 2003 swap") with Morgan Stanley as the counterparty to hedge the variability of future interest payments under the anticipated February 2004 mortgage loan. Under the December 2003 swap, we agreed to pay Morgan Stanley a fixed rate of 3.816% on a notional amount of \$400.0 million for five years beginning in March 2004 in exchange for receiving floating payments based on three month LIBOR on the notional amount for the same five-year period. The December 2003 swap required us to begin making monthly payments to the counterparty equal to the difference between 3.816% and the then current three month LIBOR rate, which was 1.15% on December 31, 2003, on the notional amount of \$400.0 million. The December 2003 swap was terminated in connection with the issuance of the February 2004 mortgage loan on February 5, 2004 at a cost of \$6.2 million which was recorded as part of other comprehensive income and is being amortized as interest expense using the effective interest method over five years, the expected life of the February 2004 mortgage loan. The effective interest rate on the mortgage loan, including the cost of terminating the interest rate swap and the amortization of deferred debt issuance costs, is approximately 6.0%. For the three months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004, amortization of \$0.3 million and \$0.1 million, respectively, was recorded as interest expense.

#### March 2004 and August 2004 Swaps

On March 26, 2004, in anticipation of a future financing, we entered into four forward-starting interest rate swaps with Morgan Stanley as counterparty to hedge the variability of future interest rates on the financing. Under the interest rate swaps, we agreed to pay the counterparty a fixed interest rate of 3.416% on a total notional amount of \$200.0 million beginning in October 2004 through April 2009 in exchange for receiving three month LIBOR on the same notional amount for the same period.

On August 27, 2004, in anticipation of a future financing, we entered into two additional forward-starting interest rate swaps with Morgan Stanley as counterparty to hedge the variability of future interest rates on the financing. Under the interest rate swaps, we agreed to pay the counterparty a fixed interest rate of 3.84% on a total notional amount of \$100.0 million beginning in October 2004 through April 2009 in exchange for receiving three month LIBOR on the same notional amount for the same period.

Concurrent with the pricing of the December 2004 mortgage loan, we terminated our six interest rate swaps and received a net payment of \$2.0 million which was recorded as part of other comprehensive income and which is being amortized as a reduction of interest expense using the effective interest method over five years, the expected life of the December 2004 mortgage loan. Because the \$300.0 million total notional value of the six interest rate swaps exceeded the \$293.8 million principal amount of the December 2004 mortgage loan, one of the swaps was no longer effective and we expensed approximately \$40,000 as additional interest expense, related to the fair market value of one of our August 2004 swaps, during the fourth quarter of 2004. The effective interest rate on the mortgage loan, including the

cost of terminating the interest rate swap and the amortization of deferred debt issuance costs, is approximately 4.9%. For the three months ended March 31, 2005, amortization of \$0.1 million was recorded as an offset to interest expense.

12

### 2005 Interest Rate Swaps

On January 11, 2005, in anticipation of the issuance of interim bridge financing and later a third mortgage loan to finance the acquisition of additional wireless communication towers we expect to acquire during 2005, we entered into six additional forward-starting interest rate swaps agreements with Morgan Stanley as counterparty to hedge the variability of future interest rates on our anticipated mortgage financing. Under the interest rate swaps, we agreed to pay the counterparty a weighted average fixed interest rate of 4.403% on a total notional amount of \$300.0 million beginning on various dates between July 29, 2005 and November 30, 2005 and continuing through September 2010 with a mandatory termination date of January 31, 2006 in exchange for receiving floating payments based on three month LIBOR on the same notional amounts for the same period. The January 2005 interest rate swaps had a fair value of \$2.9 million at March 31, 2005.

On February 2, 2005, in connection with the Sprint Transaction, we entered into eight additional forward-starting interest rate swap agreements with Bank of America, N.A. as counterparty, in anticipation of securing \$750.0 million or more of bridge financing, which is expected to be replaced by a mortgage loan for a total notional value of \$750.0 million. Under the interest rate swaps, we agreed to pay the counterparty a fixed interest rate of 4.303% on a total notional amount of \$750.0 million beginning on June 1, 2005 through December 1, 2010, with a mandatory termination date of March 31, 2006, in exchange for receiving floating payments based on three-month LIBOR on the same notional amount for the same period. The February 2005 interest rate swaps had a fair value of \$8.9 million at March 31, 2005.

On March 21, 2005, in connection with the Sprint Transaction and the \$850.0 million bridge loan term sheet we executed on March 10, 2005, we entered into two additional forward-starting interest rate swap agreements for a total notional amount of \$100.0 million with Bank of America, N.A. as counterparty. This brings the total notional amount of swap agreements related to financing the Sprint Transaction to \$850.0 million. These swap agreements are in anticipation of the Sprint Transaction bridge financing described above, which is expected to be replaced by a mortgage loan of at least \$850.0 million. Under the interest rate swaps, we agreed to pay the counterparty a fixed interest rate of 4.733% on the total notional amount of \$100.0 million beginning June 1, 2005 through December 1, 2010, with a mandatory maturity date of March 31, 2006, in exchange for receiving floating payments based on three-month LIBOR on the same notional amount for the same period. The March 2005 interest rate swaps had a fair value of \$(0.04) million at March 31, 2005.

#### 6. Stockholders' Equity

#### Dividends

On March 30, 2005, our board of directors declared a dividend of \$0.40 per share of our common stock for the three months ended March 31, 2005, payable on April 21, 2005 to the stockholders of record as of April 11, 2005. The portion of this dividend which exceeded our retained earnings as of March 31, 2005, \$17.0 million, represents a return of capital.

#### **Equity Derivatives**

On February 14, 2005, in connection with the execution of the Sprint Transaction, we entered into an Investment Agreement (the "Investment Agreement") with (a) Fortress Investment Fund II LLC, a Delaware limited liability company ("FIF II"), an affiliate of our largest stockholder, Fortress; (b) various affiliates of our third largest stockholder, Abrams Capital, LLC ("Abrams"); and (c) various affiliates of Greenhill, our second largest stockholder. Greenhill, with FIF II and Abrams are referred to as the "Investors", and each individually as an "Investor".

Under the Investment Agreement, the Investors committed to purchase, at the closing of the Sprint Transaction, up to \$500.0 million of our Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share ("Common Stock") at a price of \$25.50 per share. The \$500.0 million aggregate commitment from the Investors will automatically be reduced by (1) the amount of net proceeds received by us pursuant to an

13

offering of our equity securities prior to the closing of the Sprint Transaction (see Note 7 – Common Stock Offering), and (2) the amount of any borrowings in excess of \$750.0 million outstanding prior to the closing of the Sprint Transaction under any credit facility or similar agreements provided to us in connection with the Sprint Transaction (see Note 11 – Acquisitions, Sprint Transaction, Sprint Bridge Financing), provided that the Investors' aggregate commitment will not be reduced below \$250.0 million. As of May 9, 2005, we expect that we will only sell the Investors \$250.0 million of our Common Stock due to the public offering completed on that day and additional debt financing which has been committed. Pursuant to the terms of the Investment Agreement, each of FIF II, Abrams and Greenhill shall purchase such number of shares of Common Stock equal to 48%, 32% and 20%, respectively, of the total number of shares of Common Stock to be purchased under the Investment Agreement. The purchase of the shares by the Investors is conditioned upon the occurrence of the closing of the Sprint Transactions, and will close simultaneously with the Sprint Transaction. In the event an Investor fails to purchase the shares of common stock it is obligated to purchase, the other Investors have the right, but not the obligation, to purchase such shares. This issuance of these securities will be made pursuant to an exemption from registration provided by Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The forward contract to sell up to \$500.0 million of our Common Stock to the Investors had a negative fair value of \$62.1 million as of February 14, 2005. We estimated this fair value using our stock price on the close of business immediately prior to the execution of this agreement compared to the \$25.50 agreed-upon stock sale price. This amount has been reclassified from additional paid in capital and is included in equity derivatives within stockholders' equity in our balance sheet as of March 31, 2005.

In connection with the Investment Agreement, the Investors agreed to issue to us, at the closing of the Investment Agreement, a one-time option to purchase from the Investors a number of shares of Common Stock having a value equal to the difference between the total consideration paid by the Investors for the common stock at the closing of the Sprint Transactions and \$250.0 million. This option would be issued by the Investors pursuant to an Option Agreement among the Investors and us. Pursuant to the Option Agreement, we would have the right to purchase the shares at a price per share of \$26.50. The option would immediately vest upon issuance at the closing and would expire six months and one day after the closing of the Sprint Transactions. If we were to exercise the option, we would purchase shares from each Investor in proportion to that Investor's participation in the Investment Agreement set forth above. We will only sell \$250.0 million of our Common Stock to the Investors and as such, we do not expect the option will be issued. The option to purchase the shares issued to the Investors had a fair value of \$37.8 million as of February 14, 2005. We estimated this fair value using a binomial model, utilizing our stock price on the close of business immediately prior to the execution of this agreement and other inputs based on the volatility of our stock price over the past eight months. This amount has been reclassified to additional paid-in capital and is included in

equity derivatives within stockholders' equity in our balance sheet as of March 31, 2005.

#### 7. Common Stock Offering

On May 3, 2005 the Securities and Exchange Commission declared our Registration Statement on Form S-11 under the Securities Act of 1933 effective, whereby we offered for sale an additional 6,325,000 shares of our common stock, inclusive of the underwriters' overallotment option. This offering was consummated on May 9, 2005 at an offering price to the public of \$30.70 per share, which generated proceeds of \$183.0 million, net of underwriters' commissions, discounts and estimated offering costs, for us. We used a portion of the net proceeds to repay \$55.0 million of outstanding borrowings on the term loans under our Revolving Credit Agreement. This will also terminate our ability to make future draws under the term loan portions. As a result, we will write off as a loss on early extinguishment of debt the unamortized deferred financing cost of approximately \$0.3 million in our second quarter of 2005. In addition, we expect to use approximately \$82.0 million to finance a portion of the up front rental payment to be paid in connection with the Sprint Transaction and the remaining \$46.0 million will be used for working capital and other general corporate purposes, which may include future acquisitions.

14

#### 8. Discontinued Operations

As a part of our ongoing operational reviews, we make decisions to divest ourselves of under-performing tower sites including one and 18 tower sites in the three months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The operations related to each of these assets were sold or liquidated by March 31, 2005 except for 44 under-performing sites that were held for disposal by sale at March 31, 2005. During the three months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004, no impairment charges were recognized on our underperforming sites.

In accordance with SFAS No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets, we classified the operating results of these assets as discontinued operations in the accompanying consolidated financial statements and all prior periods have been classified to conform to the current quarter's presentation with respect to these assets.

Results of operations for these discontinued assets for the three months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004 are as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended March 31,			
	2005		2004	
	(unaudited)		(unaudited)	
			(restated)	
Revenues	\$ 294	\$	738	
Direct operating expenses	(378)		(782)	
Results of operations	(84)		(44)	
Gain (loss) recognized upon sale of assets of				
discontinued sites	(6)		63	
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	\$ (90)	\$	19	

The following is a summarized balance sheet presenting the carrying amounts of major classes of assets and liabilities related to discontinued operations as of March 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004 (in thousands):

	Marc	March 31,		ecember 31,
	200	2005		2004
	(unaud	dited)		
Fixed assets, net	\$	202	\$	225
Other assets		_	_	
Assets held for sale	\$	202	\$	225
Other liabilities	\$		-\$	_

Assets held for sale are included in fixed assets, net in the condensed consolidated balance sheets for all periods presented.

15

#### 9. Income Per Share

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted income per share of common stock (in thousands, except per share data):

	Three Months Ended March 31,				
	2005			2004	
	(1	unaudited)		(unaudited)	
				(restated)	
Numerator:					
Net income (loss)	\$	3,896	\$	(6,634)	
Denominator:					
Denominator for basic income per share —					
Weighted average shares outstanding		52,100		41,058	
Less: Non-vested shares issued		(78)			
Denominator for basic income per share —					
adjusted weighted average		52,022		41,058	
Effect of dilutive securities		1,913			
Denominator for diluted income per share —					
adjusted weighted average		53,935		41,058	
Basic income (loss) per common share					
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$	0.08	\$	(0.17)	
Income (loss) from discontinued operations		(0.01)		0.00	
Gain (loss) on sale of properties		(0.00)		0.01	
Net income (loss)	\$	0.07	\$	(0.16)	
Diluted income (loss) per common share					
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$	0.07	\$	(0.17)	

Income (loss) from discontinued operations	(0.00)	0.00
Gain (loss) on sale of properties	(0.00)	0.01
Net income (loss)	\$ 0.07 \$	(0.16)

Approximately 3.4 million common shares issuable pursuant to outstanding stock options and warrants have been excluded from the calculation of earnings per share for the three months ended March 31, 2004, as their effect would have been antidilutive.

#### 10. Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Comprehensive income (loss) for the three months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004 was \$15.7 million and \$(10.5) million, respectively. The difference between comprehensive income (loss) and net income (loss), defined as other comprehensive income or loss, is primarily related to the effect of our interest rate swaps (see Note 5 – Interest Rate Swaps) and foreign currency translation gains and losses. Other comprehensive income (loss) is comprised of the following (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended March 31,					
	2005			2004		
		(unaudited)		(unaudited)		
				(restated)		
Increase (decrease) in fair value of derivatives	\$	11,703	\$	(4,321)		
Amortization of other comprehensive income		232		139		
Currency translation adjustment		(222)		340		
Other comprehensive income (loss)	\$	11,713	\$	(3,842)		

16

The components of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) were as follows at (in thousands):

			D	ecember 31,
	March 31, 2005			2004
	(ur	naudited)		
Change in fair value of derivatives	\$	8,932	\$	(3,004)
Cumulative translation adjustments		1,562		1,785
	\$	10,494	\$	(1,219)

#### 11. Acquisitions

# **Sprint Transaction**

On February 14, 2005, we, Sprint Corporation ("Sprint") and certain Sprint subsidiaries (the "Sprint Contributors"), entered into an agreement to contribute, lease and sublease (the "Agreement to Lease"). Under the Agreement to Lease, we have agreed to lease or, if certain required consents have not been obtained, operate, for a period of 32 years over 6,600 wireless communications tower sites and the related towers and assets (collectively, the "Sprint").

Towers") from one or more newly formed special purpose entities of Sprint (collectively, "Sprint TowerCo"), under one or more master leases for which we agreed to pay an upfront payment of approximately \$1.2 billion as prepaid rent (the "Upfront Rental Payment"), subject to certain conditions, adjustments and pro-rations (the "Sprint Transaction"). The closing of the Sprint Transaction is expected to occur toward the end of the second quarter of 2005. Certain Sprint entities will share space on approximately 6,400 of the Sprint Towers (as discussed below), and the Sprint Towers have over 5,600 collocation leases with other wireless tenants and substantially all of the revenues are derived from wireless telephony tenants. We will account for this transaction as a capital lease reflecting the substance similar to an acquisition.

Upon the signing of the Agreement to Lease, we placed a \$50.0 million deposit in escrow. This deposit was funded by borrowings under the Amended and Restated Revolving Credit Agreement described in Note 4 – Debt. If the closing of the Sprint Transaction occurs, the deposit and earnings thereon will be credited against the Upfront Rental Payment. If, however, the closing of the Sprint Transaction does not occur as a result of our material breach, or in the event that we are unable to obtain the funds necessary to close the Sprint Transaction, then Sprint will be entitled to retain the deposit.

The Agreement to Lease also contains various covenants, including, but not limited to, (a) covenants by us to use commercially reasonable efforts to obtain certain consents and to enter into agreements with respect to the financing needed to consummate the Sprint Transaction and (b) covenants by Sprint to conduct its business pending closing of the Sprint Transaction in the ordinary course and not to solicit any submissions, or engage in any discussions with any third party, with respect to any proposal for the acquisition or lease of the Sprint Towers. In addition, both parties covenant to use their respective commercially reasonable efforts to close the Sprint Transaction.

Sprint has agreed to indemnify us (including our officers, directors and affiliates) for any losses related to (i) a breach of a Sprint representation, (ii) a breach of a Sprint covenant, (iii) any taxes of Sprint or Sprint TowerCo created in connection with the Agreement to Lease (other than those which we expressly assume), and (iv) the assets and liabilities of Sprint specifically excluded in the Agreement to Lease. We have agreed to indemnify Sprint (including its officers, directors and affiliates) for any losses related to (i) a breach of any of our representations, (ii) a breach of any of our covenants, and (iii) any failure by us to discharge the liabilities we assume in connection with the Sprint Transaction. We and Sprint have agreed that, subject to certain exceptions, neither party shall make any indemnity claim for any individual loss related to a breach of a representation that is less than \$15,000 unless and until all indemnifiable losses, irrespective of amount, related to breaches of representations exceed \$10.0 million, in the aggregate.

The Agreement to Lease contains certain other customary covenants and agreements, including termination rights for each of Sprint and us, including the right of either party to terminate if the

17

closing does not occur within 180 days of signing. In the event that we do not meet certain milestones in obtaining certain consents, Sprint may have additional termination rights; however, we may be able to extend such milestones and/or waive the consent requirements and proceed to closing.

#### **Sprint Master Lease**

At the closing of the Sprint Transaction, the Sprint TowerCo will enter into a Master Lease and Sublease with one or more special purpose entities (collectively, "Lessee") created by us (the "Master Lease"). The term of the Master

Lease will expire in 2037 and there are no contractual renewal options. Except for the Upfront Rental Payment, the Lessee will not be required to make any further payments to the Sprint TowerCo for the right to lease or operate the Sprint Towers during the term of the Master Lease. The Sprint Contributors currently lease the ground under substantially all of the Sprint Towers from third parties and the Lessee will assume all of the Sprint Contributors' obligations that arise under the Sprint Towers ground leases post closing. Additionally, the Lessee will be required to pay all costs of operating the Sprint Towers as well as an agreed upon amount for real and personal property taxes attributable to the Sprint Towers. During the period commencing one year prior to the expiration of the Master Lease and ending 120 days prior to the expiration of the Master Lease, the Lessee will have the option to purchase all (but not less than all) of the Sprint Towers then leased for approximately \$2.3 billion, subject to adjustment, based on a final appraisal of the Sprint Towers to be completed prior to closing of the Sprint Transaction.

The Lessee will be entitled to all revenue from the Sprint Towers leased or operated by it during the term of the Master Lease, including amounts payable under existing Sprint Towers collocation agreements with third parties. In addition, under the Master Lease, Sprint entities that are part of Sprint's wireless division have agreed to sublease or otherwise occupy collocation space (the "Sprint Collocation Agreement") at approximately 6,400 of the Sprint Towers for an initial monthly collocation charge of \$1,400 per Sprint Tower (the "Sprint Collocation Charge") for an initial period of ten years. The Sprint Collocation Charge is scheduled to increase each year, beginning January 2006, at a rate equal to the lesser of (i) 3% or (ii) the sum of 2% plus the increase in the Consumer Price Index during the prior year. After ten years, Sprint may terminate the Sprint Collocation Agreement at any or all Sprint Towers; provided, however, that if Sprint does not exercise its termination right prior to the end of nine years at a Sprint Tower (effective as of the end of the tenth year), the Sprint Collocation Agreement at that Sprint Tower will continue for a further five-year period. Sprint may, subsequent to the ten-year initial term, terminate the Sprint Collocation Agreement as to any or all Sprint Towers upon the 15th, 20th, 25th, or 30th anniversary of the commencement of the Master Lease.

Subject to arbitration and cure rights of the Lessee's lender, in the event of an uncured default under a ground lease, Sprint TowerCo may terminate the Master Lease as to the applicable ground lease site. In the event of an uncured default with respect to more than 20% of the Sprint Towers during any rolling five-year period, and subject to certain other conditions, Sprint TowerCo may terminate the entire Master Lease.

We guarantee the full and timely payment and performance and observance of all of the Lessee's terms, provisions, covenants and obligations under the Master Lease up to a maximum aggregate amount of \$200 million.

#### **Sprint Investment Agreement**

On February 14, 2005, in connection with the execution of the Sprint Transaction, we entered into an Investment Agreement (the "Investment Agreement") with (a) Fortress Investment Fund II LLC, a Delaware limited liability company ("FIF II"), an affiliate of our largest stockholder, Fortress; (b) various affiliates of our third largest stockholder, Abrams Capital, LLC ("Abrams"); and (c) various affiliates of Greenhill, our second largest stockholder. Greenhill, with FIF II and Abrams are referred to as the "Investors", and each individually as an "Investor".

Under the Investment Agreement, the Investors committed to purchase, at the closing of the Sprint Transaction, up to \$500.0 million of our Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share ("Common

18

Stock") at a price of \$25.50 per share. The \$500.0 million aggregate commitment from the Investors will automatically be reduced by (1) the amount of net proceeds received by us pursuant to an offering of our equity

securities prior to the closing of the Sprint Transaction (see Note 7 – Common Stock Offering), and (2) the amount of any borrowings in excess of \$750.0 million outstanding prior to the closing of the Sprint Transaction under any credit facility or similar agreements provided to us in connection with the Sprint Transaction (see "Sprint Bridge Financing" below), provided that the Investors' aggregate commitment will not be reduced below \$250.0 million. As of May 9, 2005, we expect that we will only sell the Investors \$250.0 million of our Common Stock due to the pending public offering and additional debt financing which has been committed. Pursuant to the terms of the Investment Agreement, each of FIF II, Abrams and Greenhill shall purchase such number of shares of Common Stock equal to 48%, 32% and 20%, respectively, of the total number of shares of Common Stock to be purchased under the Investment Agreement. The purchase of the shares by the Investors is conditioned upon the occurrence of the closing of the Sprint Transactions, and will close simultaneously with the Sprint Transaction. In the event an Investor fails to purchase the shares of common stock it is obligated to purchase, the other Investors have the right, but not the obligation, to purchase such shares. This issuance of these securities will be made pursuant to an exemption from registration provided by Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

#### **Sprint Option Agreement**

In connection with the Investment Agreement, the Investors agreed to issue to us, at the closing of the Investment Agreement, a one-time option to purchase from the Investors a number of shares of Common Stock having a value equal to the difference between the total consideration paid by the Investors for the common stock at the closing of the Sprint Transactions and \$250.0 million. This option would be issued by the Investors pursuant to an Option Agreement among the Investors and us. Pursuant to the Option Agreement, we would have the right to purchase the shares at a price per share of \$26.50. The option would immediately vest upon issuance at the closing and would expire six months and one day after the closing of the Sprint Transactions. If we were to exercise the option, we would purchase shares from each Investor in proportion to that Investor's participation in the Investment Agreement set forth above. As discussed above, due to our expectation that we will only sell \$250.0 million of our Common Stock, we do not expect the option will be issued.

#### Sprint Bridge Financing

On February 8, 2005, we received a letter from Morgan Stanley Asset Funding Inc., Bank of America, N.A. and Banc of America Securities LLC setting forth the terms on which they would provide bridge financing of approximately \$750.0 million to us for use in funding the Sprint Transaction. On March 10, 2005, we executed a non-binding term sheet with Morgan Stanley Asset Funding Inc. and Banc of America Securities LLC which increased the amount of bridge financing up to \$850.0 million and provides for the following terms. The borrower is expected to be one or more newly created entities, under our direct or indirect control, that will own 100% of our interest in the Sprint Towers. The loan is expected to be secured by, among other things, the ownership interests in the borrower, borrower's leasehold and subleasehold interests (including purchase options) in the Sprint Towers, and an assignment of leases and rents. The loan is expected to have a term of 12 months after the closing, and subject to compliance with certain conditions, two six-month extensions at our option. During the first 12 months of the loan, the loan is expected to bear interest at 30-day LIBOR plus either 1.5% or 1.75% per annum, depending on cash flows related to the Sprint Towers. In either case, the rate is expected to increase by 0.25% upon the first extension and 0.75% upon the second, if such extension options are exercised. The loan is expected to require an origination fee of 0.375% of the \$775.0 million loan amount and an extension fee in connection with each extension option of 0.25% of the loan amount. In addition, we expect to be required under the facility to pay an exit fee under certain circumstances. The loan is expected to contain customary events of default including, bankruptcy of the borrower or the Company, change of control or cross default to other indebtedness of the Company.

19

#### Other Acquisitions

On March 21, 2005, we entered into an agreement to purchase 169 wireless communications towers for approximately \$56.2 million from Triton PCS Holdings, Inc. The transaction is expected to close in the second half of 2005 and is subject to customary closing conditions. The towers are primarily located in the Charlotte, Raleigh and Greensboro markets of North Carolina, with additional sites located in North and South Carolina and Puerto Rico. All of the revenues on these towers are derived from wireless telephony tenants. As part of the transaction, Global Signal and Triton have agreed to enter into a 10-year master lease agreement at an initial monthly rate of \$1,850 for each of the 169 towers, with three 5-year lease renewal options. Additionally, we obtained an exclusive option to acquire an additional 70 existing towers owned by Triton, together with an option to acquire all new towers constructed by Triton during a one-year period after closing.

We also acquired 95 other communications towers in 16 unrelated transactions during the three months ended March 31, 2005 for \$41.1 million, including fees and expenses, which were funded by proceeds from our December 2004 mortgage loan (see Note 4 – Debt). These towers are located primarily in the eastern, midwestern and southeastern United States and generated substantially all of their revenues from wireless telephony tenants. During the three months ended March 31, 2005, we acquired 18 parcels of land, eight in fee simple title, and ten under long-term easements, which we had previously leased from the sellers, for a total purchase price of \$2.0 million, including fees and expenses.

#### 12. Commitments and Contingencies

#### **Purchase Commitments**

As of March 31, 2005, we had an outstanding purchase agreement to acquire 169 tower assets from Triton PCS Holdings, Inc for a total estimated purchase price of \$56.2 million, including estimated fees and expenses. From April 1, 2005 through April 29, 2005, we have entered into three additional asset purchase agreements, excluding the ForeSite agreement described below, to acquire 14 tower assets from unrelated sellers, for a total estimated purchase price of \$5.7 million, including estimated fees and expenses of the purchases.

On April 14, 2005, we entered into an agreement to purchase 172 wireless communications sites for approximately \$32.8 million, including estimated fees and expenses, from ForeSite LLC. The towers are located in Alabama, Georgia, Mississippi, Louisiana, Florida, Tennessee, and South Carolina. Revenues on these towers are derived 80% from wireless telephony tenants and 18% from public utility tenants. This transaction closed on April 29, 2005 and was funded from our site acquisition reserve account and from borrowings under the acquisition credit facility (see Note 4 – Debt).

#### Earn Outs

A number of our asset purchase agreements provide for additional monies to be paid to the sellers based on future lease commencements during a limited period after the acquisition is completed, generally one year or less. The amount of this contingent purchase price is not expected to be material for the acquisitions we have closed during the year ended December 31, 2004 and the three months ended March 31, 2005. As of March 31, 2005, we had no accruals for future contingent acquisitions payments as they were not yet earned; however, the maximum additional contingent payments on closed acquisitions were \$1.5 million at both March 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004.

#### Legal Matters

We are involved in litigation incidental to the conduct of our business. We believe that none of such pending litigation or unasserted claims of which we have knowledge, will have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

20

### Pending Tenant Lease Renewal

We are currently in the process of negotiating a new lease with USA Mobility for the sites under the Arch Lease, the lease originally executed with Arch Wireless, one of the two companies which recently merged to form USA Mobility. While there can be no assurance that we will be successful in finalizing the new Arch Lease prior to its expiration in May 2005, to the extent we do finalize a new Arch Lease, we would expect our monthly billing amount to be significantly reduced. In the three months ended March 31, 2005, we recognized \$4.8 million of revenue from the Arch Lease. If we are unsuccessful in negotiating a new Arch Lease, the current Arch Lease will automatically convert to a month-to-month lease with payments based on the actual number of transmitters at our sites which will also result in a significant reduction in our monthly billings. As a result, we expect our revenues from any renewal or extension of the Arch Lease to be significantly lower starting June 2005.

# 13. Restatement of Previously Issued Financial Statements

As previously disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004, we have restated the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations and cash flows for the three months ended March 31, 2004. The restatement adjustments reflected in the following table are as follows:

- Correction of an error in the recognition of additional ground lease and other subleased sites rent expense on a straight-line basis over the initial term of the lease plus the future optional renewal periods where there is reasonable assurance that the lease will be renewed based on our evaluation at the inception of the lease or our assumption of the lease due to our acquisition of the related tower asset, and
- Correction of an error in the accounting for the amortization of leasehold improvements (primarily wireless towers) to amortize such improvements over the lesser of the remaining term of the underlying ground lease or the estimated useful life of the leasehold improvement.

21

The following table presents the impact of the restatement adjustments on the Company's previously reported results for the three months ended March 31, 2004 on a condensed consolidated basis:

Three Months Ended March 31, 2004

Adjustments

As Restated

Previously	y
Reported (	1)

	IXC	porteu (1)				
<b>Condensed Statements</b>						
of Operations						
Revenues	\$	43,091	\$	_	\$	43,091
Direct site operating						
expenses, excluding						
impairment losses,						
depreciation, amortization						
and accretion		13,062		584		13,646
Gross margin		30,029		(584)		29,445
Selling, general and		,		( )		- , -
administrative expenses,						
excluding						
non-cash stock based						
compensation expense		6,558				6,558
		0,336				0,556
State franchise, excises		170				170
and minimum taxes		172		_		172
Depreciation amortization		11.024		510		10.047
and accretion		11,834		513		12,347
Non-cash stock-based						
compensation expense		2,604				2,604
Operating income		8,861		(1,097)		7,764
Interest expense, net		6,091		_		6,091
Loss on early						
extinguishment of debt		8,449				8,449
Other expenses		8				8
Loss from continuing						
operations before income						
tax expense		(5,687)		(1,097)		(6,784)
Income tax expense		11		_		11
Loss from continuing						
operations		(5,698)		(1,097)		(6,795)
Income from discontinued		(=,=,=)		(-,-,-,		(-,.,-)
operations		19				19
Loss before gain on sale		1)				17
of properties		(5,679)		(1,097)		(6,776)
Gain on sale of properties		142		(1,077)		142
	\$	(5,537)	\$	(1,097)	\$	(6,634)
Net income (loss)	Ф	(3,337)	Ф	(1,097)	Φ	(0,034)
Basic and diluted earnings						
(loss) per share:						
Loss from continuing	Φ.	(0.14)	Φ.	(0.02)	Φ.	(0.15)
operations	\$	(0.14)	\$	(0.03)	\$	(0.17)
Income from discontinued						
operations		0.00				0.00
Gain on sale of properties		0.01		_		0.01
Net loss	\$	(0.13)	\$	(0.03)	\$	(0.16)
Basic shares		41,058		41,058		41,058
Diluted shares		41,058		41,058		41,058

(1)Amounts as previously reported for the three months ended March 31, 2004 reflect the impact of changes in the site population included in discontinued operations as of March 31, 2005 compared to March 31, 2004.

22

# ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

#### SAFE HARBOR STATEMENT UNDER THE PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995

Certain items in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, and other information we provide from time to time may constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 including, but not necessarily limited to, statements relating to our ability to deploy capital, close accretive acquisitions, close acquisitions under letters of intent, pay or grow dividends, generate growth organically or through acquisitions, secure financing, and increase revenues, earnings, Adjusted EBITDA and/or Adjusted Funds From Operations, add telephony tenants and statements relating to the final cost of the Sprint transaction (including fees and expenses), and how the proceeds of future financings will be used. Forward-looking statements are generally identifiable by use of forward-looking terminology such as "may," "will," "should," "potential," "intend," "expect," "endeavor," "seek," "anticipate," "estimate," "overestimate," "underestimate," "believe," "could," "would," "project," "predict," "continue" or other similar words or expressions. Forward looking statements are based on certain assumptions or estimates, discuss future expectations, describe future plans and strategies, contain projections of results of operations or of financial condition or state other forward-looking information. Our ability to predict results or the actual effect of future plans or strategies is inherently uncertain. Factors which could have a material adverse effect on our operations and future prospects or which could cause events or circumstances to differ from the forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, failure to close the Sprint transaction, failure to successfully and efficiently integrate the Sprint transaction into our operations, difficulties in acquiring towers at attractive prices or integrating acquisitions with our operations, a decrease in the demand for our communications sites and our ability to attract additional tenants, the economies, real estate markets and wireless communications industries in the regions where our sites are located, consolidation in the wireless industry and changes to the regulations governing wireless services, the creditworthiness of our tenants, customer concentration and the loss of one or more of our major customers, the renewal of the Arch Lease, the terms of our leases, integration of new software systems, our ability to compete, competing technologies, equipment and software developments, our ability to modify our towers, our ability to obtain credit facilities on favorable terms, our failure to comply with federal, state and local laws and regulations and changes in the law, our failure to comply with environmental laws, our ability to conduct our business effectively, secure financing and generate revenues, the termination of site management agreements, disasters and other unforeseen events, the demonstrated or perceived negative health effects from our towers or other equipment, our ability to qualify as a REIT, REIT distributions requirements and the stock ownership limit imposed by the Internal Revenue Code for REITs. When considering forward-looking statements, you should keep in mind the risk factors and other cautionary statements detailed from time to time in Global Signal's filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including our most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed on March 31, 2005, and our most recent Registration Statement on Form S-11, filed on May 2, 2005. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements. Such forward-looking statements speak only as of the date of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and Global Signal expressly disclaims any obligation to release publicly any updates or revisions to any forward-looking statements contained herein to reflect any change in the our expectations with regard thereto or any change in events, conditions or circumstances on which any statement is based.

#### **Executive Overview**

Global Signal, formerly known as Pinnacle Holdings Inc., is one of the largest wireless communications tower owners in the United States, based on the number of towers owned. Our growth strategy is to grow (1) organically by adding additional tenants to our towers, (2) by acquiring towers with existing telephony tenants in locations where we believe there are opportunities for

23

organic growth and (3) by financing these newly acquired towers, on a long term basis, using equity combined with low-cost fixed-rate debt obtained through the issuance of mortgage-backed securities. Through this strategy, we will seek to increase our dividend per share over time. Our dividend for the three months ended March 31, 2005, of \$0.40 per share of common stock, represented a 28.0% increase over the total per share dividends we paid for the three months ended March 31, 2004.

We are organized and conduct our operations to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. As such, we will generally not be subject to federal income tax on that portion of our income that is distributed to our stockholders if we distribute at least 90% of our REIT taxable income to our stockholders and comply with various other requirements. We also have certain subsidiaries that are not qualified REIT subsidiaries and, therefore, their operations will be subject to federal income tax. Since May 12, 2004, we own substantially all of our assets and conduct substantially all of our operations through an operating partnership, Global Signal Operating Partnership, L.P., or "Global Signal OP." Global Signal Inc. is the special limited partner and our wholly-owned subsidiary, Global Signal GP LLC, is the managing general partner of Global Signal OP. Global Signal Inc. holds 99% of the partnership interests and Global Signal GP LLC holds 1% of the partnership interests in Global Signal OP. On June 2, 2004, we completed our initial public offering of 8,050,000 shares of our common stock at \$18.00 per share of common stock.

Our customers include a wide variety of wireless service providers, government agencies, operators of private networks and broadcasters. These customers operate networks from our communications sites and provide wireless telephony, mobile radio, paging, broadcast and data services. As of March 31, 2005, we had an aggregate of more than 15,000 leases on our communications sites with over 2,000 customers. Our revenue from wireless telephony tenants has increased from 42.7% of revenues for the month of March 2004 to 52.9% of revenues for the month of March 2005.

Over the past few years, new wireless technologies, devices and applications have become more advanced and broadly utilized by wireless subscribers. As new technologies, devices and applications have developed, new networks have been deployed to support the more advanced applications and the growth in the number of wireless subscribers while more mature technologies, such as paging, have experienced shrinking subscriber bases and network contraction. Some of the key indicators that we regularly monitor to evaluate growth trends affecting wireless technology usage are the growth or contraction of a particular technology's wireless subscribers and the usage as measured in minutes of use or network capacity utilization.

The material opportunities, challenges and risks of our business have changed significantly over the past several years. More recently, concurrent with an increased focus on improving network quality, many of our wireless telephony customers have experienced a general improvement in their overall financial condition. This has resulted in an increase in these customers' abilities to invest in their networks and a related increase in our telephony tenant base. During 2003 and 2004, the demand by wireless telephony service providers for our communications sites increased compared to the demand we experienced during 2002 and 2001. Our growth will be primarily affected by the future

demand for communications sites by wireless telephony service providers, paging service providers, users of mobile radio services and government agencies. The demand for communications site space by wireless telephony service providers is expected to be driven by growth in the number of their subscribers and their utilization of wireless telephony services, including utilization of their networks for data services. In addition, demand could also be affected by carrier consolidation, because consolidation could result in duplicative coverage and excess network capacity. On October 26, 2004, Cingular merged with AT&T Wireless, which could adversely impact tenant lease revenues at some of our communications sites. For example, as of March 31, 2005, 104 of our sites are occupied by both Cingular and AT&T Wireless and the combined revenues from AT&T Wireless and Cingular on these sites was approximately \$1.3 million during the three months ended March 31, 2005. These tenants may also be located on nearby communications towers owned by our competitors. On November 16, 2004, Arch Wireless merged with Metrocall Holdings, Inc. to form USA Mobility, Inc., our largest customer based on revenues for 2004. Both customers offer paging services throughout the United States and consequently will have duplicate coverage in most markets. In addition, on December 15,

24

2004, Sprint announced its agreement to merge with Nextel Communications. As of March 31, 2005, 177 of our sites are occupied by both Sprint and Nextel and the combined revenues from Sprint and Nextel on these sites was approximately \$2.0 million during the three months ended March 31, 2005. These tenants may also be located on nearby communications towers owned by our competitors. On January 10, 2005, ALLTEL announced its agreement to purchase Western Wireless. On February 14, 2005, and subsequently amended as of March 4, 2005, March 29, 2005, and May 1, 2005, Verizon Communications Inc. entered into a merger agreement with MCI, the parent of Skytel Corporation, a paging operator. Verizon Communications is a joint owner of Verizon Wireless who also operates a paging network. As a result of these mergers, network consolidations by these tenants could adversely impact tenant lease revenues at some of our sites. Lastly, the demand for communications site space by government entities will be driven by the agencies' demand for new digital networks and the ability to communicate with other government agencies as well as their ability to gain funding for such networks.

Since our reorganization in November 2002, we have installed a new management team, reengineered our business processes and reduced our unsecured debt. Our debt was reduced primarily as a result of the extinguishment of \$404.8 million of indebtedness pursuant to the terms of our reorganization. We subsequently refinanced our balance sheet through a \$418.0 million tower asset securitization in February 2004, which has provided us with low-cost fixed-rate debt. Furthermore, we have disposed of certain non-core communications sites and under-performing sites to enhance our operating margins. Our growth opportunities are primarily linked to organic growth on our existing towers and acquiring and developing new towers on which our wireless customers will seek to locate their equipment, thereby growing our overall tenant base. From December 2003 through April 29, 2005, we acquired 1,197 wireless communications sites for approximately \$459.8 million including fees and expenses. In addition, during this time, we invested an additional \$9.5 million, including fees and expenses, to acquire a fee interest or long-term easement under 94 wireless communications towers where we previously had a leasehold interest. We have refinanced these newly acquired communications sites on a long-term basis with a portion of the net proceeds from our initial public offering and our \$293.8 million December 2004 mortgage loan.

A key component of our growth strategy is our capital management strategy, which supports the financing of our tower acquisition strategy. Our capital management strategy is to finance newly acquired assets, on a long-term basis, using additional equity issuances combined with low-cost fixed-rate debt obtained through the periodic issuance of mortgage-backed securities. Prior to financing newly acquired towers using mortgage-backed securities, our strategy is to finance communications sites we acquire on a short-term basis through credit facilities we expect to obtain such

as the acquisition credit facility we entered into as of April 25, 2005.

Prior to our reorganization we acquired certain non-strategic assets unrelated to our core tower business, which have subsequently been sold, and our former management was unable to efficiently integrate and manage our communications sites. Our current growth strategy, which is in part based on a new site acquisition and development strategy, is significantly different. The primary differences are (1) our strategy to finance our assets using a capital structure which we believe does not rely on growth to reduce leverage and uses low-cost fixed-rate debt obtained through the issuance of mortgage-backed securities combined with proceeds from equity offerings to finance our new tower acquisitions, (2) our strategy to buy core tower assets with in-place telephony, government or investment grade tenants where we believe there is a high likelihood of multiple lease renewals, (3) our stringent underwriting process which is generally designed to allow us to evaluate and price acquisitions based on their current yield and on the asset and tenant attributes and location of the asset and (4) our focus on integrating, maintaining and operating the assets we acquire efficiently and effectively.

The primary factors affecting our determination of the value of a communications site are its location and the immediate area's competitive structures, tenant base, tenant credit quality and zoning restrictions. While we have communications sites located throughout the United States, Canada and the United Kingdom, our communications sites are primarily located in the southeastern and mid-Atlantic regions of the United States. The locations of our sites are diverse and include sites

25

along active transportation corridors, in dense urban centers and in growing suburban communities. We also have a diverse tenant base, which includes government agencies, large and small wireless service providers and operators of private communication networks. The credit quality of our tenants varies greatly from investment grade credits to significantly lesser credits, including small independent operations.

#### Acquisitions and Dispositions of Communications Sites

Our financial results are also impacted by the timing, size and number of acquisitions and dispositions we complete in a period. Our number of active communications sites increased from 4,060 at December 31, 2004 to 4,123 at March 31, 2005. During the first quarter of 2005 we acquired 95 other communications towers in 16 unrelated transactions for \$41.1 million, including fees and expenses, which were funded by proceeds from our December 2004 mortgage loan (see "December 2004 Mortgage Loan" below). These towers are located primarily in the eastern, midwestern and southeastern United States and generated substantially all of their revenues from wireless telephony tenants. During the three months ended March 31, 2005, we also acquired 18 parcels of land, eight in fee simple title, and ten under long-term easements, which we had previously leased from the sellers, for a total purchase price of \$2.0 million.

In addition, we routinely review and dispose of under-performing sites which generate negative cash flows and which are not compatible with our strategy. During the three months ended March 31, 2005, we disposed of one under-performing owned site and 32 managed sites. As of March 31, 2005, we had 44 under-performing sites held for sale.

On February 14, 2005, we, Sprint Corporation ("Sprint") and certain Sprint subsidiaries (the "Sprint Contributors"), entered into an agreement to contribute, lease and sublease (the "Agreement to Lease"). Under the Agreement to Lease, we have agreed to lease or, if certain required consents have not been obtained, operate, for a period of 32 years approximately 6,600 wireless communications tower sites and the related towers and assets (collectively, the

"Sprint Towers") from one or more newly formed special purpose entities of Sprint (collectively, "Sprint TowerCo"), under one or more master leases for which we agreed to pay an upfront rental payment of approximately \$1.2 billion as prepaid rent (the "Upfront Rental Payment"), subject to certain conditions, adjustments and pro-rations (the "Sprint Transaction"). The closing of the Sprint Transaction is expected to occur toward the end of the second quarter of 2005. Certain Sprint entities will share space on approximately 6,400 of the Sprint Towers and the Sprint Towers have over 5,600 collocation leases with other wireless tenants and substantially all of the revenue is derived from wireless telephony tenants. We will account for this transaction as a capital lease reflecting the substance similar to an acquisition. See "Sprint Transaction and Related Financing" below for a more detailed description of the Sprint Transaction and the related financing.

On March 21, 2005, we entered into an agreement to purchase 169 wireless communications sites for approximately \$56.2 million, including estimated fees and expenses, from Triton PCS Holdings, Inc. The transaction is expected to close toward the end of the second quarter of 2005 and is subject to customary closing conditions. The towers are primarily located in the Charlotte, Raleigh and Greensboro markets of North Carolina, with additional sites located in other regions of North Carolina and in South Carolina, Georgia and Puerto Rico. Substantially all of the revenues on these towers are derived from wireless telephony tenants. As part of the transaction, Global Signal and Triton have agreed to enter into a 10-year master lease agreement, with three 5-year lease renewal options, whereby Triton will pay us an initial monthly rate of \$1,850 for each of the 169 towers. Additionally, we obtained an exclusive option to acquire an additional 70 existing towers owned by Triton, together with an option to acquire all new towers constructed by Triton during a one-year period after closing.

On April 14, 2005, we entered into an agreement to purchase 172 wireless communications sites for approximately \$32.8 million, including estimated fees and expenses, from ForeSite LLC. The towers are located in Alabama, Georgia, Mississippi, Louisiana, Florida, Tennessee and South Carolina. Revenues on these towers are derived approximately 80% from wireless telephony tenants

26

and 18% from public utility tenants. The transaction closed on April 29, 2005 and was funded from our site acquisition reserve account and from borrowings under the acquisition credit facility.

As of April 29, 2005 in addition to the Triton acquisition referred to above, we have executed, but not yet closed on, definitive agreements and non-binding letters of intent with other parties to acquire an additional 45 communications sites and to acquire fee interest or long-term easements under an additional 11 communications towers, for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$27.9 million, including estimated fees and expenses. We are in the process of performing due diligence on the towers under non-binding letters of intent and seek to negotiate definitive agreements.

#### Revenues

We generate substantially all of our revenues from leasing space on communications sites to various tenants including wireless service providers, government agencies, operators of private networks, and broadcasters. Factors affecting our revenues include the rate at which our customers deploy capital to enhance and expand their networks, the rate at which customers rationalize their networks, the renewal rates of our tenants and fixed-price annual escalation clauses in our contracts that allow us to increase our tenants' rental rates over time.

For the three months ended March 31, 2005, 85% and 93% of our revenues and gross margin, respectively, were generated from our owned sites, while 15% and 7% of our revenues and gross margin, respectively, were generated from our managed sites. For the three months ended March 31, 2004, 81% and 91% respectively, of our revenues and gross margin were generated from our owned sites, while 19% and 9%, respectively, of our revenues and gross margin were generated from our managed sites. Typically, our tenant lease agreements are specific to a site, are for terms of one to ten years, and are renewable for multiple pre-determined periods at the option of the tenant. Rents under the tenant leases are generally due to us on a monthly basis, and revenues from each agreement are recognized monthly. These agreements typically contain fixed-price annual escalation clauses. However rental revenues are recognized in our financial statements on a straight-line basis over the contractual term of the agreements excluding customer renewal options.

Our tenants are responsible for the installation and maintenance of their equipment at our sites. These tenants transmit from our sites utilizing a wide variety of technologies including personal communication services (PCS), cellular, enhanced specialized mobile radio (ESMR), mobile radio, paging, and radio and television broadcast. For the months of March 2005 and 2004, our revenue mix for the primary technology categories was as follows:

	Percent of Revenues for the Month of						
Tenant technology type	March 2005	March 2004					
Telephony (PCS, Cellular, ESMR)	52.9%	42.7%					
Mobile radio	21.2%	24.5%					
Paging	17.3%	20.8%					
Broadcast	6.1%	7.5%					
Wireless data and other	2.5%	4.5%					
Total	100.0%	100.0%					

Direct Site Operating Expenses and Other Expenses

Direct site operating expenses consist of ground rents (if we do not own the land at our site), utilities, property and ad valorem taxes, insurance and site maintenance costs. Many of our ground lease agreements contain escalation clauses which are typically based on either a fixed percentage rate or the change in the Consumer Price Index. For ground leases with escalation clauses based on a fixed percentage rate, ground rental expenses are recognized in our financial statements on a straight-line basis over the shorter of (i) the contracted term of the lease agreement assuming we exercise all of the renewal options or (ii) the first renewal option period ending after the later of (a) our tenant

27

leases which were in place or which we anticipated being in place at the date we entered into the ground lease or acquired the communications site or (b) the depreciable life of the assets located on the leased property.

Other shared costs such as property management, site operations and contract administration are included in selling, general and administrative as described below. Because the costs of operating an owned site generally do not increase significantly as we add additional tenants, new lease revenues from additional tenants to a particular site provide high incremental gross margin for that site. Similarly, the loss of any tenant on an owned site does not significantly reduce the costs associated with operating that site; and as a result, the lost lease revenues will reduce cash flows and gross margin from that site. Fluctuations in our gross margins on owned sites are directly related to changes in our tenant

lease revenues. For managed sites, we typically pay the site owner either a fixed fee, a percentage of revenues or a combination of a fixed fee plus a percentage of revenues. In instances where we pay the landlord a percentage of revenues, changes in revenues result in an increase or decrease, as applicable, in our communications site operating expenses.

Selling, general and administrative expenses consist of five major components: (1) sales, marketing and collocations, (2) property management and site operations, (3) contracts administration, (4) business development including acquisitions and new builds, and (5) administrative support including legal, human resources, finance, accounting, and information technology.

#### Financial Developments

The following are certain changes in our financial results which have occurred or we expected to occur in 2005 and beyond, as compared to our 2004 results.

As a new public company, we have incurred, and will continue to incur, significant legal, accounting and other expenses that we did not incur as a private company related to corporate governance, SEC reporting and compliance with the various provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. In particular, we expect to incur significant incremental expenses associated with Sarbanes-Oxley Section 404 compliance documentation and remediation. In addition, as a New York Stock Exchange-listed company, we were required to establish an internal audit function and did so, on an outsourced basis, in October 2004. As a result we will incur additional cost associated with this function. We also expect these new rules and regulations to make it more difficult and more expensive for us to obtain director and officer liability insurance, and we may be required to accept reduced coverage or incur substantially higher costs to obtain coverage.

Since 2003 we have become a more acquisition-focused company. As we evaluate towers for potential acquisition, we incur costs for various third parties' assistance, including in connection with due diligence, negotiation and structuring of these acquisitions. These costs are capitalized once the acquisition is deemed probable. If an acquisition is abandoned, these costs will be expensed. If the acquisition is consummated, these costs will be capitalized as a part of the total purchase price.

Although we have not yet analyzed the impact, the new accounting requirements for stock options and other stock-based compensation will require us to recognize expense whereas generally we have not been required to do so in the past.

#### **Effect of Sprint Transaction**

If we consummate the Sprint Transaction as planned, on a pro forma basis as of March 31, 2005, we will own, manage or lease over 10,700 wireless communications sites. In addition, on a pro forma basis, without giving effect to Sprint's pending merger with Nextel Communications, Sprint would become our largest customer, representing 29.2% of our revenue, for the three months ended March 31, 2005.

We will account for the Sprint Transaction as a capital lease and hence will recognize the assets and the lease obligations on our balance sheet. We will allocate the Upfront Rental Payment along with transaction fees and costs to the leased assets (primarily towers and identifiable intangible assets)

28

based on their fair market value similar to an acquisition of tower assets. We will depreciate and amortize these tangible and intangible assets over their estimated useful lives, which we expect to be similar to the current estimated useful lives of our existing tower and related intangible assets. As a result, our depreciation, amortization and accretion expenses will increase significantly.

We expect to finance the Sprint Transaction with an \$850.0 million bridge loan, a \$250.0 million sale of shares pursuant to an investment agreement as part of the Sprint Transaction ("Investment Agreement" described below) and cash received from our recent common stock offering, which will substantially increase the amount of our debt outstanding and interest expense. In addition, the shares issued in the recent common stock offering and the shares we will issue pursuant to the Investment Agreement, will increase the number of shares outstanding and hence affect our future income (loss) per share.

The Sprint Transaction is significantly larger than any acquisition we have completed to date. There are more Sprint Towers than the number of communications sites we currently operate. The integration of the approximately 6,600 Sprint Towers into our operations will be a significant undertaking. To manage the Sprint Towers, we expect to add over 100 additional employees which will add significant costs. We will also incur a substantial amount of non-recurring integration costs with respect to the Sprint Transaction which will be expensed primarily in 2005.

As a result of our increased depreciation, amortization and accretion expenses, integration costs, additional selling, general and administrative expenses and interest expense, we expect to generate net losses after the closing of the Sprint Transaction.

In addition, we used a portion of the net proceeds of the recent common stock offering to repay and terminate the outstanding borrowings on the \$50.0 million and \$25.0 million term loans under our Revolving Credit Agreement. As a result, we will write off the remaining unamortized deferred debt issuance costs as a loss on the early extinguishment of debt.

29

# Results of Operations

Comparison of the three months ended March 31, 2005 to the three months ended March 31, 2004

The following table sets forth for the periods indicated, each statement of operations item and such item as a percentage of revenues. The results of operations for any particular period are not necessarily indicative of results for any future period. The following data should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, dated March 31, 2005, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, and our Registration Statement on Form S-11, declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 3, 2005.

Т	hree N	Months Ended March	31,	
2005 2004 (Restated)				
	(de	ollars in thousands)		
% of		% of		
\$ Revenue	\$	Revenue	\$	%

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL SIGNAL INC - Form 10-Q

Revenues	\$ 54,422		100.0%	\$ 43,091	100.0%	\$ 11,331	26.3%
Direct site operating expenses (excluding							
depreciation, amortization and accretion)	16,362		30.1%	13,646	31.7%	2,716	19.9%
Gross margin	38,060		69.9%	29,445	68.3%	8,615	29.3%
Other expenses:							
Selling, general and administrative							
(excluding \$318 and \$2,604 of non-cash							
stock-based compensation expense,							
respectively)	6,348		11.7%	6,558	15.2%	(210)	-3.2%
State franchise, excise and minimum							
taxes	174		0.3%	172	0.4%	2	1.2%
Depreciation, amortization and accretion	17,554		32.3%	12,347	28.7%	5,207	42.2%
Non-cash stock-based compensation							
expense	318		0.6%	2,604	6.0%	(2,286)	-87.8%
	24,394		44.8%	21,681	50.3%	2,713	12.5%
Operating income	13,666		25.1%	7,764	18.0%	5,902	76.0%
Interest expense, net	10,201		18.7%	6,091	14.1%	4,110	67.5%
Loss on early extinguishment of debt	_	_	0.0%	8,449	19.6%	(8,449)	-100.0%
Other expense (income)	(14)		0.0%	8	0.0%	(22)	N/A
Income (loss) from continuing operations							
before income tax benefit (expense)	3,479		6.4%	(6,784)	-15.7%	10,263	N/A
Income tax benefit (expense)	525		1.0%	(11)	0.0%	536	N/A
Income (loss) from continuing operations	4,004		7.4%	(6,795)	-15.8%	10,799	N/A
Income (loss) from discontinued							
operations	(90)		-0.2%	19	0.0%	(109)	N/A
Income (loss) before gain (loss) on sale							
of properties	3,914		7.2%	(6,776)	-15.7%	10,690	N/A
Gain (loss) on sale of properties	(18)		0.0%	142	0.3%	(160)	N/A
Net income (loss)	\$ 3,896		7.2%	\$ (6,634)	-15.4%	\$ 10,530	N/A

#### Revenues

Our revenues increased \$11.3 million, or 26.3%, primarily as a result of approximately \$10.1 million in increased revenues from our acquisition of 957 communications sites since January 1, 2004 and from internal growth of 2.7% on sites owned for the entire period since January 1, 2004. Our internal growth was primarily a result of growth in our revenues generated from telephony customers which was in part offset by a decline in our revenues generated by our non-telephony tenants. Our mix of revenues from wireless telephony customers as a percentage of total site revenues increased from 42.6% for the three months ended March 31, 2004 to 52.1% for the three months ended March 31, 2005.

30

For the three months ended March 31, 2005, USA Mobility, our second largest customer, accounted for 12.8% of our revenues. One of our primary master tenant leases with USA Mobility, the Arch Lease, expires at the end of May 2005. The Arch Lease allows Arch Wireless, one of the two companies that merged to form USA Mobility, to locate a fixed number of transmitters on any of our sites for a fixed minimum rate. The number of sites that Arch Wireless currently occupies is significantly less than the number of sites allowable under the current contract for the fixed

minimum rate. Consequently, we believe that it is likely that the Arch Lease will be renewed or extended on terms and rates that are significantly less favorable to us than those currently in place and we expect our revenues from any renewal or extension of the Arch Lease to be significantly lower starting June 2005.

#### Expenses

Direct site operating expenses (excluding depreciation, amortization and accretion expense)

Our direct site operating expenses increased \$2.7 million primarily due to direct site operating expenses associated with the 957 communication sites we have acquired since January 2004, and increases in maintenance expenses at our existing communications sites. These increases were partially offset by decreases in utility expenses at our existing communications sites and decreased rent expense associated with our purchase of various interests in parcels of land in fee simple or other long-term easements, which we had previously leased. As a percentage of revenues, our direct site operating expenses decreased to 30.1% of revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2005 from 31.7% of revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2004.

#### Selling, general and administrative

Our selling, general and administrative expenses decrease of \$0.2 million was primarily attributable to a \$0.5 million decline in monitoring fees paid to Fortress Investment Holdings LLC ("Fortress") and Greenhill Capital Partners, L.P. ("Greenhill") as the monitoring agreement ended in March 2004. This decline was partially offset by increases in payroll expenses associated with the increase in our corporate support staff necessary to manage the growth of the Company, and increased insurance costs primarily associated with our Directors and Officers liability premiums. As a percentage of revenues, our selling, general and administrative expenses declined to 11.7% of revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2005 from 15.2% of revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2004.

#### Depreciation, amortization and accretion

The increase in depreciation, amortization and accretion expenses of \$5.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2005 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2004 was primarily due to the addition of 957 communications sites during the period from January 1, 2004 through March 31, 2005.

#### Non-cash stock-based compensation expense

For the three months ended March 31, 2005, we recognized \$0.3 million in non-cash stock-based compensation expense related to stock options granted in 2004 to an executive at an exercise price less than the market value of the stock on the grant date, and the amortization of deferred stock-based compensation related to non-vested restricted shares of common stock granted to certain members of management in December 2004. During the three months ended March 31, 2004, we recognized \$2.6 million in non-cash stock-based compensation expense related to an employee of Fortress Capital Finance LLC, who served on our board of directors from January 2003 until February 2004 and provided financial advisory services to us through March 2004.

#### Interest expense, net

Our net interest expense increase of \$4.1 million is related to the increase in the amount of debt in our capital structure resulting primarily from the February 2004 and December 2004 mortgage loans, and borrowings under our Revolving Credit Agreement.

#### Loss on early extinguishment of debt

On February 5, 2004, our principal operating subsidiary, Pinnacle Towers LLC, then known as Pinnacle Towers Inc., and 13 of its direct and indirect subsidiaries borrowed \$418.0 million under a mortgage loan, the February 2004 mortgage loan, made payable to a newly formed trust. A portion of the net proceeds were used to repay outstanding borrowings under our old credit facility, and as a result of this repayment, this facility was terminated and \$8.4 million of unamortized deferred financing costs related to the old credit facility were expensed.

#### Income tax expense

Our income tax benefit increase of \$0.5 million primarily resulted from a reconciliation of the book versus tax net book values associated with our tower portfolios held within our taxable REIT subsidiary entities.

#### Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our liquidity needs arise from working capital requirements, debt service, tower acquisitions, construction costs for occasional new tower builds and tower augmentaions, other capital expenditures and dividend payments. We expect to meet our cash requirements for the next twelve months by using cash generated from operating activities, cash generated from our recent equity offering, borrowings under the Revolving Credit Agreement and acquisition credit facility and from additional equity offerings, and mortgage loans and credit facilities we may obtain. However, there can be no assurance that we will be successful in raising additional equity or that we will be able to obtain additional mortgage loans or credit facilities including the bridge financing described below. To the extent we are unsuccessful in raising additional equity, obtaining mortgage loans and additional credit facilities, we may need to curtail our investments in acquisitions and new tower builds, and/or reduce the current level of our dividend.

On May 3, 2005, the Securities and Exchange Commission declared our Registration Statement on Form S-11 under the Securities Act of 1933 effective, whereby we sold 6,325,000 shares of our common stock, inclusive of the underwriters' overallotment option. This offering was consummated on May 9, 2005 at an offering price to the public of \$30.70 per share, which generated proceeds for us of \$183.0 million, net of estimated offering costs.

We used or will use the net proceeds as follows:

- Approximately \$82.0 million to finance a portion of the Upfront Rental Payment of approximately \$1.2 billion (subject to certain conditions, adjustments and prorations) to be paid in connection with the Sprint Transaction. For a more detailed description of the Sprint Transaction, see Sprint Transaction and Related Financing. The Sprint Transaction is subject to certain closing conditions and may not close. In the event the Sprint Transaction does not close, we intend to use the net proceeds of this offering to finance the acquisition of other communications sites over time or for general corporate purposes.
- Approximately \$55.0 million to repay the debt outstanding under our Revolving Credit Agreement with Morgan Stanley Asset Funding Inc. and Bank of America, N.A., including \$50.0 million incurred to finance the Sprint Transaction deposit, currently held in escrow, and \$5.0 million incurred to pay for a portion of the costs and expenses of the Sprint Transaction. The \$50.0 million was borrowed under the term loan portion of the Revolving Credit Agreement and the \$5.0 million was borrowed under the multi-draw portion of the Revolving Credit Agreement. This also terminated our ability to make future draws under the two term loan portions of our Revolving Credit Agreement. As a result, we will write off as a loss on early

- extinguishment of debt the unamortized deferred financing cost of approximately \$0.3 million in our second quarter of 2005;
- Approximately \$46.0 million for working capital and other general corporate purposes, which may include future acquisitions.

32

#### Sprint Transaction and Related Financing

On February 14, 2005, we, Sprint Corporation, or Sprint, and certain Sprint subsidiaries (the Sprint Contributors") entered into an agreement to contribute, lease and sublease, which we refer to as the Agreement to Lease. Under the Agreement to Lease, we have agreed to lease or, if certain consents have not been obtained, operate for a period of 32 years approximately 6,600 wireless communications tower sites and the related towers and assets (collectively, the "Sprint Towers") from one or more newly formed special purpose entities of Sprint (collectively, Sprint TowerCo"), under one or more master leases for which we agreed to pay an upfront payment of approximately \$1.2 billion as prepaid rent, which we refer to as the Upfront Rental Payment, subject to certain conditions, adjustments and prorations. At the closing of the Sprint Transaction, we will also enter into a master lease agreement, which we refer to as the Master Lease, which will expire in 2037. During the period commencing one year prior to the expiration of the Master Lease and ending 120 days prior to the expiration of the Master Lease, the Lessee will have the option to purchase all (but not less than all) of the Sprint Towers then leased for approximately \$2.3 billion, subject to adjustment, including based on a final appraisal of the Sprint Towers to be completed prior to closing. The closing of the Sprint Transaction is expected to occur towards the end of the second quarter of 2005.

On February 14, 2005, in connection with the execution of the Sprint Transaction, we entered into an Investment Agreement with the Investors, which consist of the following entities:

- Fortress Investment Fund II LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, or FIF II, an affiliate of our largest stockholder, Fortress;
- Abrams Capital Partners II, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership, Abrams Capital Partners I, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership, Whitecrest Partners, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership, Abrams Capital International, LTD, a Cayman Islands limited liability company and Riva Capital Partners, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership, affiliates of our third largest stockholder, Abrams Capital, LLC (collectively, the "Abrams Investors"); and
- Greenhill Capital Partners, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership, which together with its affiliates, is our second largest stockholder, and the following affiliates of Greenhill Capital Partners, L.P., Greenhill Capital Partners (Executive), L.P., a Delaware limited partnership, Greenhill Capital, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership, Greenhill Capital Partners (Cayman), L.P., a Cayman Islands limited partnership, and Greenhill Capital Partners (Employees) II, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership (collectively the Greenhill Investors").

Under the Investment Agreement, the Investors committed to purchase, severally and not jointly, at the closing of the Sprint Transaction, up to \$500.0 million of our common stock, at a price of \$25.50 per share. The \$500.0 million aggregate commitment from the Investors will automatically be reduced by (1) the amount of net proceeds, \$183.0 million, received by us from our recent common stock offering, and (2) the amount of any borrowings in excess of \$750.0 million outstanding at the closing of the Sprint Transaction under any credit facility or similar agreements provided to us in connection with the Sprint Transaction, provided that the Investors' aggregate commitment will not be reduced below \$250.0 million. Pursuant to the terms of the Investment Agreement, each of FIF II, the Abrams Investors and the Greenhill Investors shall purchase such number of shares of common stock equal to 48%, 32% and

20%, respectively, of the total number of shares of common stock to be purchased under the Investment Agreement. The purchase of the shares by the Investors is conditioned upon the occurrence of the closing of the Sprint Transaction, and will close simultaneously with the Sprint Transaction. In the event an Investor fails to purchase the shares of common stock it is obligated to purchase, the other Investors have the right, but not the obligation, to purchase such shares. The issuance of these securities will be made pursuant to an exemption from registration provided by Section 4(2) of the Securities Act. If we had not completed the recent offering of our equity securities prior to the closing of the Sprint Transaction, under an Option Agreement with us, the Investors would issue to us, at the closing of the Investment Agreement, a one-time option to purchase from the Investors a number of shares of common stock, at a price of \$26.50 per share having a value equal to the difference between the total consideration paid by the Investors for the common stock at the

33

closing of the Sprint Transaction and \$250.0 million. The option would be immediately vested upon issuance at the closing and would expire six months and one day after the closing of the Sprint Transaction. The option was non-transferable. If we were to exercise the option, we would have purchased shares from each Investor in proportion to that Investor's participation in the Investment Agreement set forth above. We will only sell \$250.0 million of our Common Stock to the Investors and as such, we do not expect the option will be issued.

On February 8, 2005, we received a letter from Morgan Stanley Asset Funding Inc., Bank of America, N.A. and Banc of America Securities LLC, setting forth the terms on which they would provide, subject to certain conditions, bridge financing of approximately \$750.0 million to us for use in funding the Sprint Transaction. On March 10, 2005, we executed a non-binding term sheet with Morgan Stanley Asset Funding Inc., Bank of America, N.A. and Banc of America Securities LLC, which increased the amount of bridge financing up to \$850.0 million. The borrower is expected to be Global Signal Acquisitions II LLC and/or one or more other newly created entities, under our direct control, which we refer to as the borrower, that will own 100% of our interest in the Sprint Towers. The loan is expected to be secured by, among other things, the ownership interests in the borrower, borrower's leasehold and subleasehold interests (including purchase options) in the Sprint Towers, and an assignment of leases and rents. The loan is expected to have a term of 12 months after the closing and subject to compliance with certain conditions, two six-month extensions at our option. During the first 12 months of the loan, the loan is expected to bear interest at 30-day LIBOR plus either 1.5% or 1.75% per annum, depending on cash flows related to the Sprint Towers. In either case, the rate is expected to increase by 0.25% upon the first extension and 0.75% upon the second, if such extension options are exercised. The loan is expected to require an origination fee of 0.375% of \$775.0 million of the loan amount and an extension fee in connection with each extension option of 0.25% of the loan amount. In addition, we expect to be required under the facility to pay an exit fee under certain circumstances. The loan is expected to contain customary conditions precedent to closing including no material adverse change, and customary events of default including, bankruptcy of the borrower or us, change of control or cross default to our other indebtedness.

In connection with the Sprint Transaction, we entered into interest rate swap agreements for a total notional value of \$750.0 million with Bank of America, N.A., as counterparty, in anticipation of securing \$750.0 million or more of bridge financing, which is expected to be replaced by a mortgage loan of a greater amount. Under the interest rate swaps, we agreed to pay the counterparty a fixed interest rate of 4.303% on a total notional amount of \$750.0 million beginning on June 1, 2005 through December 1, 2010, with a mandatory termination date of March 31, 2006, in exchange for receiving floating payments based on three-month LIBOR on the same notional amount for the same period.

On March 21, 2005, in connection with the Sprint Transaction and the \$850.0 million bridge loan term sheet we executed on March 10, 2005, we entered into additional interest rate swap agreements for a total notional amount of \$100.0 million with Bank of America, N.A. as counterparty. This brings the total notional amount of swap agreements related to financing the Sprint Transaction to \$850.0 million. These swap agreements are in anticipation of the Sprint Transaction bridge financing, which is expected to be replaced by a mortgage loan of up to \$850.0 million. Under the interest rate swaps, we agreed to pay the counterparty a fixed interest rate of 4.733% on the total notional amount of \$100.0 million beginning on June 1, 2005 through December 1, 2010, with a mandatory maturity date of March 31, 2006, in exchange for receiving floating payments based on three-month LIBOR on the same notional amount for the same period.

## **Acquisition Credit Facility**

As of April 25, 2005, our wholly owned subsidiary, Global Signal Acquisitions LLC, or Global Signal Acquisitions, entered into a 364-day \$200.0 million credit facility, which we refer to as the acquisition credit facility, with Morgan Stanley Asset Funding Inc. and Bank of America, N.A. to provide funding for the acquisition of additional communications sites. The acquisition credit facility is guaranteed by Global Signal OP and future subsidiaries of Global Signal Acquisitions. Moreover, it is secured by substantially all of Global Signal Acquisitions' tangible and intangible assets and by a pledge of Global Signal OP's equity interest in Global Signal Acquisitions. In addition, we have

34

agreed to enter into a guarantee agreement with respect to the acquisition credit facility, and to secure that guarantee by a pledge of our equity interest in Global Signal OP, no later than May 16, 2005. We intend to fund future acquisitions with this credit facility along with a portion of the net proceeds from the common stock offering described above and incremental equity offerings. The level of borrowings under the acquisition credit facility is limited based on a borrowing base, as defined therein. Borrowing under the acquisition credit facility will bear interest at our option at either the Eurodollar rate plus 1.5% or the bank's base rate plus approximately 1.25% provided the loan balance is equal to or lower than 68% of the aggregate acquisition price (as defined therein) of towers owned, leased or managed by Global Signal Acquisitions, from time to time. If the loan balance is higher than 68% of the aggregate acquisition price of towers owned, leased or managed by Global Signal Acquisitions, from time to time, then borrowings under the acquisition credit facility will bear interest at our option at either the Eurodollar rate plus 2.0% or the bank's base rate plus approximately 1.75%. In connection with closing the acquisition credit facility, we paid an origination fee of 0.375% of the \$200.0 million commitment and agreed to pay to Morgan Stanley Asset Funding Inc. and Bank of America, N.A. an exit fee of 0.375% of the principal amount of loans under the acquisition credit facility in connection with any refinancing of such borrowings. In addition, to the extent the principal amount of borrowings related to an acquisition of towers to be owned, leased or managed exceeds 68% of the acquisition price thereof, we will be required to pay an additional origination fee to Morgan Stanley Asset Funding Inc. and Bank of America, N.A. in an amount equal to 0.125% of the amount borrowed for such acquisition and an additional exit fee in the amount of 0.125% of the amount borrowed for such acquisition in connection with the refinancing of such borrowing. Any exit fees shall be credited against fees payable to each of Morgan Stanley and Bank of America, N.A. if they are offered the position of co-lead lender with respect to a refinancing of the acquisition credit facility. The acquisition credit facility contains typical representations and covenants for facilities of this type.

Revolving Credit Agreement

On December 3, 2004, Global Signal Operating Partnership, L.P., or Global Signal OP, entered into a 364-day \$20.0 million revolving credit facility pursuant to a revolving credit agreement which we refer to as the Revolving Credit Agreement, with Morgan Stanley Asset Funding Inc. and Bank of America, N.A., to provide funding for working capital and other corporate purposes. On February 9, 2005, Global Signal OP amended and restated the Revolving Credit Agreement with Morgan Stanely Asset Funding Inc. and Bank of America, N.A., to provide an additional \$50.0 million term loan facility in connection with the Sprint Transaction. On February 14, 2005, the full amount of the term loan was posted as a deposit, as required by the Agreement to Lease from the Sprint Transaction. At March 31, 2005, we had \$50.0 million outstanding under the term loan and \$1.9 million outstanding under the revolving credit facility portion of the Revolving Credit Agreement. On April 15, 2005, we amended and restated the Revolving Credit Agreement to provide an additional \$25.0 million multi-draw term loan to be used for fees and expenses incurred in connection with the Sprint Transaction. Amounts available under the revolving credit portion of the revolving credit facility are reduced to \$15.0 million upon the earlier of June 3, 2005 or the completion of certain equity issuances by us in excess of \$5.0 million. Interest on the revolving credit facility is payable at our option at either the LIBOR plus 3.0% or the bank's base rate plus 2.0%. The Revolving Credit Agreement and the related ancillary documentation, contains covenants and restrictions customary for a facility of this type including a limitation on our consolidated indebtedness at approximately \$1.0 billion and a requirement to limit our ratio of consolidated indebtedness to consolidated EBITDA, as defined in the loan document, to 7.0 to 1.0. The limitations on consolidated indebtedness will be increased to approximately \$1.8 billion and the ratio to 7.65 to 1.0 upon consummation of the bridge financing for the Sprint Transaction. The Revolving Credit Agreement continues to be guaranteed by us, Global Signal GP, LLC and certain subsidiaries of Global Signal OP. It is secured by a pledge of Global Signal OP's assets, including a pledge of 65% of its interest in our United Kingdom subsidiary, 100% of its interest in certain other domestic subsidiaries, a pledge by us and Global Signal GP, LLC of our interests in Global Signal OP and a pledge by us of 65% of our interest in our Canadian subsidiary. The term loans must be repaid on the earlier of (1) August 14, 2005, (2) the date that we receive a

35

refund of the deposit from Sprint under the Agreement to Lease, or (3) the date of the closing of the Sprint Transaction. On May 9, 2005 we used a portion of the net proceeds from our equity offering to repay \$50.0 million outstanding under the term loan and \$5.0 million outstanding under the multi-draw term loan portions of the Revolving Credit Agreement.

#### December 2004 Mortgage Loan

On December 7, 2004, our subsidiary, Pinnacle Towers Acquisition Holdings LLC and five of its direct and indirect subsidiaries issued a \$293.8 million mortgage loan to a newly formed trust, Global Signal Trust II ("December 2004 mortgage loan"). The Trust then issued an identical amount of commercial mortgage pass-through certificates in a private transaction. We have continued to consolidate our subsidiaries, but have not consolidated the Trust in our financial statements. The net proceeds of the December 2004 mortgage loan were used primarily to repay the \$181.7 million of then outstanding borrowings under our credit facility and to partially fund a \$120.7 million site acquisition reserve account to be used to acquire additional qualifying wireless communications sites over the six-month period following the December 2004 closing. Under our December 2004 mortgage loan, we are required to prepay the loan plus applicable prepayment penalties with funds in our acquisition reserve account to the extent such funds are not used to acquire additional qualifying wireless communications sites during the six month period following the closing of the loan. As of April 29, 2005, the site acquisition reserve account had a balance of \$0.6 million.

The principal amount of the December 2004 mortgage loan is divided into seven tranches, each having a different level of seniority. Interest accrues on each tranche at a fixed rate per annum. As of March 31, 2005, the weighted average interest rate on the various tranches was approximately 4.74%. The December 2004 mortgage loan requires monthly payments of interest until its maturity in December 2009 when the unpaid principal balance will be due. The December 2004 mortgage loan is secured by, among other things, (1) mortgage liens on the borrowers' interests (fee, leasehold or easement) in substantially all of their wireless communications sites, (2) a security interest in substantially all of the borrowers' personal property and fixtures and (3) a pledge of the capital stock (or equivalent equity interests) of each of the borrowers (including a pledge of the capital stock of Pinnacle Towers Acquisition Holdings LLC from its direct parent, Global Signal Holdings III LLC).

On a monthly basis, the excess cash flows from the securitized entities, after the payment of principal, interest, reserves and expenses, are distributed to us. If the debt service coverage ratio ("DSCR"), defined in the December 2004 mortgage loan as the net cash flow for the sites for the immediately preceding twelve calendar month period divided by the amount of principal and interest that we will be required to pay over the succeeding twelve months on the December 2004 mortgage loan, as of the end of any calendar quarter falls to 1.30 times or lower, then all excess cash flow will be deposited into a reserve account instead of being released to us. The funds in the reserve account will not be released to us unless the DSCR exceeds 1.30 times for two consecutive calendar quarters. If the DSCR falls below 1.15 times as of the end of any calendar quarter, then all funds on deposit in the reserve account along with future excess cash flows will be applied to pay the December 2004 mortgage loan.

We may not prepay the December 2004 mortgage loan in whole or in part at any time prior to December 7, 2006, the second anniversary of the closing date, except in limited circumstances (such as the occurrence of certain casualty and condemnation events relating to the communications sites securing the December 2004 mortgage loan). Thereafter, prepayment is permitted provided it is accompanied by any applicable prepayment consideration. If the prepayment occurs within three months of the December 2009 monthly payment date, no prepayment consideration is due.

The December 2004 mortgage loan documents include covenants customary for mortgage loans subject to rated securitizations. Among other things, the borrowers are prohibited from incurring additional indebtedness or further encumbering their assets.

February 2004 Mortgage Loan

On February 5, 2004, our largest operating subsidiary based on revenues for 2004, Pinnacle Towers LLC (known as Pinnacle Towers Inc. at the time) and thirteen of its direct and indirect

36

subsidiaries issued a \$418.0 million mortgage loan to a newly formed trust, Global Signal Trust I ("February 2004 mortgage loan"). The trust then issued an identical amount of commercial mortgage pass-through certificates in a private transaction. We have continued to consolidate our subsidiaries, but have not consolidated the Trust in our financial statements. The net proceeds from the February 2004 mortgage loan were used to repay the then outstanding borrowings under our old credit facility of \$234.4 million, to fund a \$142.2 million special distribution to our stockholders, to fund \$4.6 million of restricted cash into an imposition reserve which was required to be escrowed in connection with our securitization transaction and February 2004 mortgage loan and relates to taxes, insurance and rents and the remaining \$15.9 million was available to fund operations.

The principal amount of the February 2004 mortgage loan is divided into seven tranches, each having a different level of seniority. Interest accrues on each tranche at a fixed rate per annum. As of March 31, 2005, the weighted average interest rate on the various tranches was approximately 5.0%. The February 2004 mortgage loan is secured by mortgages, deeds of trust, deeds to secure debt and first priority mortgage liens in more than 1,100 of our communications sites of Pinnacle Towers LLC and its thirteen direct and indirect subsidiaries. The February 2004 mortgage loan requires monthly payments of principal and interest calculated based on a 25-year amortization schedule through January 2009 (the "Anticipated Repayment Date"). If the February 2004 mortgage loan is not repaid in its entirety by the Anticipated Repayment Date, the interest rate on the February 2004 mortgage loan increases by the greater of 5.0% or a U.S. Treasury-based index, and substantially all of the borrower's excess cash flow from operations is utilized to repay outstanding amounts due under the February 2004 mortgage loan.

On a monthly basis, the excess cash flows from the securitized entities, after the payment of principal, interest, reserves and expenses, are distributed to us. The February 2004 mortgage loan requires us to maintain a minimum debt service coverage ratio ("DSCR") defined as the preceding 12 months of net cash flow, as defined in the February 2004 mortgage loan, divided by the amount of principal and interest payments required under the February 2004 mortgage loan in the next 12 months, of 1.45 times. Net cash flow, as defined in the February 2004 mortgage loan, with respect to Pinnacle Towers LLC and its 13 direct and indirect subsidiaries, is approximately equal to gross margin minus capital expenditures made for the purpose of maintaining our sites minus 10% of revenue. If the DSCR falls below 1.45 times, the excess cash flows from the securitized entities are escrowed until the DSCR exceeds 1.45 times for two consecutive quarters, at which time the previously escrowed excess cash flow is released to us. If the DSCR falls below 1.2 times, all excess cash flow, including amounts previously escrowed, is used to repay outstanding principal due under the February 2004 mortgage loan. The February 2004 mortgage loan also restricts our ability to incur unsecured indebtedness without confirmation from the rating agencies that such indebtedness will not impact the February 2004 mortgage loan rating. Because the February 2004 mortgage loan has covenants which require our subsidiaries to maintain certain financial ratios, these covenants could indirectly limit our subsidiaries' ability to pay dividends to us.

We may not prepay the February 2004 mortgage loan in whole or in part at any time prior to February 5, 2006, the second anniversary of the closing date, except in limited circumstances (such as the occurrence of certain casualty and condemnation events relating to the communications sites securing the February 2004 mortgage loan). Thereafter, prepayment is permitted provided it is accompanied by any applicable prepayment consideration. If the prepayment occurs within three months of the January 2009 monthly payment date, no prepayment consideration is due.

The February 2004 mortgage loan documents include covenants customary for mortgage loans subject to rated securitizations. Among other things, the borrowers are prohibited from incurring additional indebtedness or further encumbering their assets. In addition, so long as the tangible assets of the borrowers represent at least 25% of the total tangible assets of Global Signal Inc., it will be an event of default under the February 2004 mortgage loan if Global Signal Inc. incurs any unsecured indebtedness for borrowed money without confirmation from the rating agencies that rated the commercial mortgage passthrough certificates that none of the ratings will be adversely affected.

37

#### 2005 Interest Rate Swap Agreements

On January 11, 2005, in anticipation of the issuance of bridge financing and later a third mortgage loan to finance the acquisition of additional wireless communication towers we expect to acquire during 2005, we entered into six additional forward starting interest rate swaps agreements with Morgan Stanley as counterparty to hedge the

variability of future interest rates on our anticipated mortgage financing. Under the interest rate swaps, we agreed to pay the counterparty a weighted average fixed interest rate of 4.403% on a total notional amount of \$300.0 million beginning on various dates between July 29, 2005 and November 30, 2005 and continuing through September 2010 with a mandatory termination date of January 31, 2006 in exchange for receiving floating payments based on three month LIBOR on the same notional amounts for the same period.

On February 2, 2005, in connection with the Sprint Transaction, we entered into eight additional interest rate swap agreements with Bank of America, N.A. as counterparty, in anticipation of securing \$750.0 million or more of bridge financing, which is expected to be replaced by a mortgage loan for a total notional value of \$750.0 million. Under the interest rate swaps, we agreed to pay the counterparty a fixed interest rate of 4.303% on a total notional amount of \$750.0 million beginning on June 1, 2005 through December 1, 2010, with a mandatory termination date of March 31, 2006, in exchange for receiving floating payments based on three-month LIBOR on the same notional amount for the same period.

On March 21, 2005, in connection with the Sprint Transaction and the \$850.0 million bridge loan term sheet we executed on March 10, 2005, we entered into two additional interest rate swap agreements for a total notional amount of \$100.0 million with Bank of America, N.A. as counterparty. This brings the total notional amount of swap agreements related to financing the Sprint Transaction to \$850.0 million. These swap agreements are in anticipation of the Sprint Transaction bridge financing described above, which is expected to be replaced by a mortgage loan of at least \$850.0 million. Under the interest rate swaps, we agreed to pay the counterparty a fixed interest rate of 4.733% on the total notional amount of \$100.0 million beginning June 1, 2005 through December 1, 2010, with a mandatory maturity date of March 31, 2006, in exchange for receiving floating payments based on three-month LIBOR on the same notional amount for the same period.

#### 2003 and 2004 Interest Rate Swap Agreements

On December 11, 2003, in anticipation of the issuance of the February 2004 mortgage loan, Pinnacle Towers entered into an interest rate swap agreement with Morgan Stanley as the counterparty to hedge the variability of expected future interest payments under the mortgage loan. Under the swap agreement, Pinnacle Towers agreed to pay Morgan Stanley a fixed rate of 3.816% on a notional amount of \$400.0 million for five years beginning in March 2004 in exchange for receiving floating payments based on three month LIBOR on the notional amount for the same five-year period. The swap, effective on December 11, 2003, required us to begin making monthly payments to the counterparty equal to the difference between 3.816% and the then current three month LIBOR rate, which was 1.13% on February 5, 2004, on the notional amount of \$400.0 million. The swap was terminated in connection with the issuance of the February 2004 mortgage loan at a cost to us of \$6.2 million which was recorded as part of other comprehensive income and which is being amortized as an addition to interest expense using the effective interest method over five years, the expected life of the February 2004 mortgage loan.

On March 26, 2004 and August 27, 2004, in anticipation of acquisitions of additional communications sites and the issuance of the December 2004 mortgage loan, we entered into six interest rate swaps with Morgan Stanley as counterparty to hedge the variability of future interest rates on our anticipated mortgage financing. Under the interest rate swaps, we agreed to pay the counterparty a fixed interest rate of 3.416% on a total notional amount of \$200.0 million and 3.84% on a total notional amount of \$100.0 million beginning in October 2004 through April 2009 in exchange for receiving three month LIBOR on the same notional amounts for the same period. The swaps terminated upon the closing of the December 2004 mortgage loan at which time we received a net payment of \$2.0 million which was recorded as part of other comprehensive income and which is

being amortized as a reduction of interest expense using the effective interest method over five years, the expected life of the December 2004 mortgage loan. Because the \$300.0 million total notional value of the six interest rate swaps exceeded the \$293.8 million principal amount of the December 2004 mortgage loan, one of the swaps was no longer effective and we expensed approximately \$40,000 as additional interest expense, related to the fair market value of one of our August 2004 swaps, during the fourth quarter of 2004.

#### Cash Flows

Net cash flows provided by operating activities were \$24.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2005, compared to \$20.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2004. The increase of \$3.1 million of net cash flows provided by operating activities is primarily the result of higher cash flow being generated from internal growth and acquired communications sites.

Net cash flows used in investing activities were \$52.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2005 compared to \$14.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2004. Investing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2005 consisted primarily of the acquisition of 95 communications sites in 16 unrelated transactions for \$41.1 million, including fees and expenses, which were funded from cash in our site acquisition reserve account established with a portion of the net proceeds from our December 2004 mortgage loan. During the three months ended March 31, 2005, we also acquired 18 parcels of land, eight in fee simple title, and ten under long-term easements, which we had previously leased from the sellers, for a total purchase price of \$2.0 million, including fees and expenses. Additionally, \$50.0 million was invested in restricted cash to fund the Sprint Transaction deposit which was partially offset by funds provided from restricted cash related to the acquisition reserve fund from the December 2004 mortgage loan, used to fund communications site acquisitions during the three months ended March 31, 2005.

Net cash flows used in investing activities were \$14.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and consisted of (1) the funding of restricted cash escrow and imposition reserve accounts totaling \$12.0 million as a part of our February 2004 mortgage loan transaction, (2) the acquisition for \$0.6 million of fee owned interests under six communications sites we own where we previously had a leasehold interest, and (3) \$2.3 million of capital expenditures related to our implementation of new software systems and improvements to our existing communications sites. These uses were, in part, offset by proceeds from the disposals of under-performing sites.

Capital expenditures were \$2.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2005, compared to \$2.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2004. The capital expenditures for these two periods primarily consisted of the purchase of tower-related equipment and tower augmentations and improvements.

Net cash flows provided by financing activities were \$29.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2005 and are primarily related to borrowings under our Revolving Credit Agreement to fund the \$50.0 million Sprint Transaction deposit, partially offset by \$20.9 million in ordinary dividends paid in January 2005, for the fourth quarter of 2004. Net cash provided by financing activities during the three months ended March 31, 2004 primarily relates to (1) \$418.0 million in borrowings related to our mortgage loan transaction that occurred on February 5, 2004, (2) \$235.6 million to repay in full our old credit facility, (3) payment of \$6.2 million to terminate the December 2003 interest rate swap and debt issuance costs of \$13.7 million related to the February 2004 mortgage loan, and (4) payment of a \$12.8 million ordinary dividend and a \$142.2 million special distribution to our stockholders which represented a return of capital.

A number of our asset purchase agreements provide for additional monies to be paid to the sellers based on future lease commencements during a limited period after the acquisition is completed, generally one year or less. The amount of this contingent purchase price is not expected to be material for the acquisitions we have closed during the year ended December 31, 2004 and the three months ended March 31, 2005. As of March 31, 2005, we had no

accruals for future contingent acquisitions payments as they were not yet earned; however, the maximum additional contingent payments closed acquisitions were \$1.5 million at both March 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004.

39

We have no material commitments for capital expenditures, other than planned site acquisitions. However, we anticipate our capital expenditures for tower related equipment and tower augmentations and improvements during 2005 will be comparable on a per tower basis to that which we incurred during 2004. We have completed the initial implementation of our PeopleSoft software system for all of our accounting functions including accounts payable, accounts receivable and all internal reporting functions. We have also completed implementation of a separate software system, manageStar, to manage data related to our communications sites, including tenant leases, ground leases and other operational data. For the three months March 31, 2005, we incurred \$0.6 million related to these implementations and obtained three-year financing for approximately \$0.2 million of the these costs. The remaining costs were paid from cash.

## Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of our financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect our reported amounts of assets and liabilities, revenues and expenses. We consider an accounting estimate to be critical if it requires assumptions to be made that were uncertain at the time the estimate was made and changes in the estimate, or different estimates that could have been selected, could have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations or financial condition. We have identified the following new critical accounting policy.

#### Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed to, and Settled in our Stock

For derivative financial instruments indexed to, and settled in our stock, we follow the accounting as specified in EITF Issue No. 00-19 "Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed to, and Potentially Settled in, a Company's Own Stock". Under this pronouncement, for instruments that meet certain criteria, the instrument is valued at fair value on the day it is created and is not marked to market in subsequent periods. The instrument is recorded in the stockholders' equity section of the balance sheet under the caption "Equity Derivatives". The Investor Agreement and Option Agreement, entered into as part of the Sprint Transaction, created instruments that qualified for this accounting treatment as proscribed under EITF Issue No. 00-19. The Investor Agreement had a negative fair value of \$62.1 million as of February 14, 2005. We estimated this fair value using our stock price on the close of business immediately prior to the execution of this agreement compared to the \$25.50 agreed upon sale price. The volatility of our stock price does not impact this valuation. The Option Agreement had a fair value of \$37.8 million as of February 14, 2005. We estimated this fair value using a binomial model, utilizing our stock price on the close of business immediately prior to the execution of this agreement and based on the volatility of our stock price over the past eight months. We assumed that the volatility of our stock price was 25%. A 500 basis point change in the volatility assumption would impact the value of the Option Agreement by approximately 8% to 9%.

## **New Accounting Pronouncements**

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123(R) Share-Based Payment, which is a revision to SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation. Generally, the approach in the new pronouncement is similar to the approach described in SFAS No. 123. However, SFAS No. 123(R) would require all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, to be recognized in the income statement based on their fair

values at the date of grant. The pronouncement is effective for us as of January 1, 2006. As of the required effective date, we will apply this Statement using a modified version of prospective application. Under that transition method, compensation cost for the portion of awards for which the requisite service has not been rendered and that are outstanding as of the required effective date shall be recognized as the requisite service is rendered on or after the required effective date, based on the grant date fair value of those awards calculated under SFAS No. 123. This pronouncement will not have any impact on our liquidity or cash flows.

In March 2005, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 47 Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations. This interpretation clarifies that the term "conditional asset retirement

40

obligation" as used in SFAS No. 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations, refers to a legal obligation to perform an asset retirement activity in which the timing and (or) method of settlement are conditional on a future event that may or may not be within the control of the entity. The obligation is unconditional even though uncertainty exists about the timing and (or) method of settlement. This interpretation is effective no later than the end of fiscal years ending after December 15, 2005, and is effective for us as of December 31, 2005. We have not yet determined the effect of this new interpretation on our financial statements.

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

## Adjusted EBITDA

We define Adjusted EBITDA as net income before net interest expense, income tax expense (benefit), depreciation, amortization and accretion expenses, non-cash stock based compensation expense and gain or losses on extinguishment of debt. Adjusted EBITDA is not a measure of performance calculated in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, or "GAAP."

We use Adjusted EBITDA as a measure of operating performance. Adjusted EBITDA should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for operating income, net income or loss, cash flows provided by operating, investing and financing activities or other income statement or cash flow statement data prepared in accordance with GAAP.

We believe Adjusted EBITDA is useful to an investor in evaluating our operating performance because:

- it is one of the primary measures used by our management to evaluate the economic productivity of our operations, including the efficiency of our employees and the profitability associated with their performance, the realization of contract revenues under our tenant leases, our ability to obtain and maintain our customers and our ability to operate our leasing business effectively;
- it is widely used in the wireless tower industry to measure operating performance without regard to items such as depreciation and amortization expenses, which can vary depending upon accounting methods and the book value of assets; and
- we believe it helps investors meaningfully evaluate and compare the results of our operations from period to period by removing the impact of our capital structure (primarily interest charges from our outstanding debt) and asset base (primarily depreciation and amortization expenses) from our operating results.

## Our management uses Adjusted EBITDA:

- in presentations to our board of directors to enable it to have the same measurement of operating performance used by management;
- for planning purposes, including the preparation of our annual operating budget;
- for compensation purposes, including as the basis for annual incentive bonuses for certain employees;
- as a valuation measure in strategic analyses in connection with the purchase and sale of assets;
- with respect to compliance with our credit facilities, which requires us to maintain certain financial ratios based on Consolidated EBITDA, which is equivalent to Adjusted EBITDA except that Consolidated EBITDA (i) annualizes the Adjusted EBITDA contribution from newly acquired towers until such towers have been owned for twelve months and (ii) excludes asset impairment charges, gains or losses on foreign currency exchange and certain other non-cash charges; and
- as a measurement of operating performance because it assists us in comparing our operating performance on a consistent basis as it removes the impact of our capital structure (primarily interest charges from our outstanding debt) and asset base (primarily depreciation and amortization) from our operating results.

There are material limitations to using a measure such as Adjusted EBITDA, including the difficulty associated with comparing results among more than one company and the inability to analyze certain significant items, including depreciation expense and interest expense, that directly affect our net income or loss. We compensate for these limitations by considering the economic effect of the excluded expense items independently as well as in connection with our analysis of net income. Adjusted EBITDA should be considered in addition to, but not as a substitute for, other measures of financial performance reported in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

Adjusted EBITDA is calculated as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended March 31,					
		2005	2004 (restated)			
		(una	udite	d)		
Net income	\$	3,896	\$	(6,634)		
Depreciation, amortization and accretion						
expenses (1)		17,558		12,351		
Interest expense, net		10,201		6,091		
Income tax expense (benefit)		(525)		11		
Loss on early extinguishment of debt		_	_	8,449		
Non-cash stock based compensation expense		318		2,604		
Adjusted EBITDA	\$	31,448	\$	22,872		
<b>Supplemental Information:</b>						
Effect of straight-line rent revenue	\$	865	\$	643		
Effect of straight-line ground rent expense	\$	1,054	\$	576		

41

(1)Depreciation, amortization and accretion includes \$4 related to discontinued operations for each of the three months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004.

Adjusted Funds From Operations

We believe Adjusted Funds From Operations, or AFFO, is an appropriate measure of the performance of REITs because it provides investors with an understanding of our ability to incur and service debt and make capital expenditures. AFFO, for our purposes, represents net income available for common stockholders (computed in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles or GAAP), excluding gains (or losses) on the disposition of real estate assets and real estate depreciation, amortization and accretion expenses and non-cash stock based compensation expense.

AFFO does not represent cash generated from operating activities in accordance with GAAP and therefore should not be considered an alternative to net income as an indicator of our operating performance or as an alternative to cash flow provided by operations as a measure of liquidity and is not necessarily indicative of funds available to fund our cash needs including our ability to pay dividends. In addition, AFFO may not be comparable to similarly titled measurements employed by other companies.

# Our management uses AFFO:

- in monthly management reports given to our board of directors;
- to provide a measure of our REIT operating performance that can be compared to other companies using AFFO; and
- as an important supplemental measure of operating performance.

42

Adjusted Funds From Operations is calculated as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended March 31,					
		2005	2004 (restated)			
		(unaudited)				
Net income	\$	3,896	\$	(6,634)		
Real estate depreciation, amortization and						
accretion expenses		17,135		11,921		
(Gain) loss on sale of properties <sup>(1)</sup>		24		(205)		
Loss on early extinguishment of debt		_	_	8,449		
Non-cash stock-based compensation expense		318		2,604		
Adjusted funds from operations	\$	21,373	\$	16,135		

<sup>(1)(</sup>Gain) loss on sale of properties includes \$18 and (\$142) for the three months ended March 31, 2005, and 2004, respectively related to continuing operations; and \$6 and (\$63) for the three months ended March 31, 2005, and 2004, respectively related to discontinued operations.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.

Interest Rate Risk

We are exposed to market risks from changes in interest rates charged on our debt. The impact on earnings and value of our long-term debt is subject to change as a result of movements in market rates and prices. Our variable rate debt under our Revolving Credit Agreement exposed to changes in market interest rates was \$51.9 million at March 31, 2005.

On January 11, 2005, in anticipation of a new acquisition credit facility and a subsequent third mortgage loan to finance the acquisition of additional wireless communication towers we expect to acquire during 2005, we entered into six additional forward starting interest rate swaps agreements with Morgan Stanley as counterparty to hedge the variability of future interest rates on our anticipated financing. Under the interest rate swaps, we agreed to pay the counterparty a weighted average fixed interest rate of 4.403% on a total notional amount of \$300.0 million beginning on various dates between July 29, 2005 and November 30, 2005 and continuing through September 2010 with a mandatory termination date of January 31, 2006 in exchange for receiving floating payments based on three month LIBOR on the same notional amounts for the same period.

On February 2, and March 21, 2005, in connection with the Sprint Transaction, we entered into ten additional interest rate swap agreements with Bank of America, N.A. as counterparty, in anticipation of securing \$850.0 million or more of bridge financing, which is expected to be replaced by a mortgage loan for a total notional value of \$850.0 million. Under the interest rate swaps, we agreed to pay the counterparty a weighted average fixed interest rate of 4.354% on a total notional amount of \$850.0 million beginning on June 1, 2005 through December 1, 2010, with a mandatory termination date of March 31, 2006, in exchange for receiving floating payments based on three-month LIBOR on the same notional amount for the same period.

An unfavorable shift of 100 basis points in interest rates would decrease the value of our interest rate swap at March 31, 2005 by approximately \$47 million. If the financing transaction is completed on terms consistent with those currently anticipated, any settlement payments would be deferred and amortized over the expected life of the mortgage loan. If the financing transaction is not completed as anticipated, we would be required to recognize the aggregate fair value of the swaps in current earnings.

43

The following table presents the future principal payment obligations and weighted-average interest rates at March 31, 2005 associated with our existing debt instruments assuming our actual level of indebtedness of \$51.9 million under our revolver, \$1.3 million under capital leases to finance new computer software and \$703.8 million under our mortgage loans issued on February 5, 2004 and December 7, 2004.

	Weighted Average Interest									
Fixed rate:	Rate	Total	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	There	after	
February 2004 mortgage loan	5.0%	\$409,998	\$ 7,940	\$ 8,430	\$ 8,950	\$384,678	\$	\$		
December 2004 mortgage loan	4.7%	293,825	_			- 293,825			_	
Capital lease obligations	9.7%	1.318	531							