

PHOENIX TECHNOLOGIES LTD

Form 10-Q

May 10, 2007

**Table of Contents**

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549**

**Form 10-Q**

(Mark One)

- QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**  
**For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2007**
- or
- TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**  
**For the transition period to .**

**Commission file number 0-17111**

**PHOENIX TECHNOLOGIES LTD.**

*(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)*

**Delaware**

*(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)*

**04-2685985**

*(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification Number)*

**915 Murphy Ranch Road, Milpitas, CA 95035**

*(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)*

**(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)**

**(408) 570-1000**

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES  NO

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a Shell Company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). YES  NO

As of April 30, 2007, the number of outstanding shares of the registrant's common stock, \$0.001 par value, was 25,842,504.

---

**PHOENIX TECHNOLOGIES LTD.**

**FORM 10-Q**

**INDEX**

**Page**

**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

<b><u>Item 1.</u></b>	<b><u>Financial Statements</u></b>	
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2007 and September 30, 2006</u>	3
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three and Six Months Ended March 31, 2007 and 2006</u>	4
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended March 31, 2007 and 2006</u>	5
	<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	6
<b><u>Item 2.</u></b>	<b><u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u></b>	18
<b><u>Item 3.</u></b>	<b><u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u></b>	28
<b><u>Item 4.</u></b>	<b><u>Controls and Procedures</u></b>	29

**PART II. OTHER INFORMATION**

<b><u>Item 1.</u></b>	<b><u>Legal Proceedings</u></b>	29
<b><u>Item 1A.</u></b>	<b><u>Risk Factors</u></b>	30
<b><u>Item 2.</u></b>	<b><u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u></b>	30
<b><u>Item 3.</u></b>	<b><u>Defaults upon Senior Securities</u></b>	30
<b><u>Item 4.</u></b>	<b><u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u></b>	30
<b><u>Item 5.</u></b>	<b><u>Other Information</u></b>	31
<b><u>Item 6.</u></b>	<b><u>Exhibits</u></b>	31
	<b><u>Signatures</u></b>	32

<u>EXHIBIT 10.1</u>
<u>EXHIBIT 31.1</u>
<u>EXHIBIT 31.2</u>
<u>EXHIBIT 32.1</u>
<u>EXHIBIT 32.2</u>

**Table of Contents****PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****PHOENIX TECHNOLOGIES LTD.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

	<b>March 31, 2007</b>	<b>September 30, 2006</b>
	<b>(Unaudited)</b>	
	<b>(In thousands)</b>	
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 48,293	\$ 34,743
Marketable securities	2,779	25,588
Accounts receivable, net of allowances	6,841	8,434
Prepaid royalties and maintenance	44	111
Other current assets	3,301	4,052
Total current assets	61,258	72,928
Property and equipment, net	3,191	4,247
Purchased technology and intangible assets, net	875	1,458
Goodwill	14,433	14,433
Other assets	1,763	2,094
Total assets	\$ 81,520	\$ 95,160
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 1,504	\$ 3,072
Accrued compensation and related liabilities	3,006	3,844
Deferred revenue	9,792	7,584
Income taxes payable	9,382	9,041
Accrued restructuring charges current	1,163	3,287
Other accrued liabilities	2,158	3,605
Total current liabilities	27,005	30,433
Accrued restructuring charges noncurrent	997	1,166
Other liabilities	2,857	3,385
Total liabilities	30,859	34,984
Stockholders equity:		
Preferred stock		

Edgar Filing: PHOENIX TECHNOLOGIES LTD - Form 10-Q

Common stock	34	34
Additional paid-in capital	195,845	191,519
Retained earnings	(52,866)	(38,899)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(674)	(800)
Less: Cost of treasury stock	(91,678)	(91,678)
Total stockholders' equity	50,661	60,176
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 81,520	\$ 95,160

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements

**Table of Contents****PHOENIX TECHNOLOGIES LTD.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>		<b>Six Months Ended</b>	
	<b>March 31,</b>		<b>March 31,</b>	
	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>
	<b>(Unaudited)</b>			
	<b>(In thousands, except per share amounts)</b>			
Revenues:				
License fees	\$ 7,475	\$ 21,824	\$ 15,399	\$ 39,896
Services fees	1,573	1,288	3,373	1,805
Total revenues	9,048	23,112	18,772	41,701
Cost of revenues:				
License fees	227	1,227	492	2,547
Services fees	1,960	2,757	3,957	5,214
Amortization of purchased technology	291	838	583	1,677
Total cost of revenues	2,478	4,822	5,032	9,438
Gross Margin	6,570	18,290	13,740	32,263
Operating expenses:				
Research and development	4,306	6,045	8,852	11,877
Sales and marketing	2,705	9,086	6,845	18,710
General and administrative	4,411	4,635	8,639	10,129
Amortization of acquired intangible assets		17		35
Restructuring	885		3,096	
Total operating expenses	12,307	19,783	27,432	40,751
Loss from operations	(5,737)	(1,493)	(13,692)	(8,488)
Interest and other income, net	462	330	1,035	885
Loss before income taxes	(5,275)	(1,163)	(12,657)	(7,603)
Income tax expense	681	2,002	1,310	3,485
Net loss	\$ (5,956)	\$ (3,165)	\$ (13,967)	\$ (11,088)
Loss per share:				
Basic and Diluted	\$ (0.23)	\$ (0.13)	\$ (0.55)	\$ (0.44)
Shares used in loss per share calculation:				
Basic and Diluted	25,686	25,111	25,580	25,062

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements

**Table of Contents****PHOENIX TECHNOLOGIES LTD.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

	<b>Six Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>
	<b>(Unaudited)</b>	
	<b>(In thousands)</b>	
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net loss	\$ (13,967)	\$ (11,088)
Reconciliation to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	1,712	3,056
Stock-based compensation	2,755	2,768
Loss from disposal of fixed assets	27	(2)
Change in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	1,603	4,997
Prepaid royalties and maintenance	68	1,088
Other assets	1,090	1,111
Accounts payable	(1,559)	328
Accrued compensation and related liabilities	(1,036)	244
Deferred revenue	2,224	157
Income taxes	343	668
Accrued restructuring charges	(2,276)	(146)
Other accrued liabilities	(1,756)	(995)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	(10,772)	2,186
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Proceeds from sales and maturities of marketable securities	111,935	158,424
Purchases of marketable securities	(89,125)	(156,699)
Purchases of property and equipment	(100)	(1,207)
Acquisition of businesses, net of cash acquired		(500)
Net cash provided by investing activities	22,710	18
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Proceeds from stock purchases under stock option and stock purchase plans	1,572	2,091
Repurchase of common stock		(993)
Net cash provided by financing activities	1,572	1,098
Effect of changes in exchange rates	40	
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	13,550	3,302
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	34,743	27,805
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 48,293	\$ 31,107



See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements

**Table of Contents**

**PHOENIX TECHNOLOGIES LTD.**

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)**

**Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

*Basis of Presentation.* The condensed consolidated financial statements as of March 31, 2007 and for the three and six months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006 have been prepared by the Company, without an audit, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) and in accordance with the Company's accounting policies as described in its latest Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles have been omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations. The condensed consolidated balance sheet as of September 30, 2006 was derived from the audited financial statements but does not include all disclosures required by generally accepted accounting principles. These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Company's audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006.

In the opinion of management, the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments (which include normal recurring adjustments in each of the periods presented) necessary for a fair presentation of the Company's results of operations and cash flows for the interim periods presented, and financial condition of the Company as of March 31, 2007. The results of operations for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for the full fiscal year.

*Reclassifications.* The statement of cash flows for the six months ended in March 31, 2006 has been adjusted due to the reclassification of certain amounts from cash and cash equivalents to marketable securities to conform to the presentation as of March 31, 2007. As of September 30, 2005 and March 31, 2006, reclassifications from cash equivalents to marketable securities totaled \$9.1 million and \$12.2 million, respectively. These reclassifications had no impact on the Company's total assets, total liabilities, loss from operations or net loss for the six months ended March 31, 2007 or 2006.

*Use of Estimates.* The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses for the reporting period. The Company bases its estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances.

On an on-going basis, the Company evaluates its accounting estimates, including but not limited to its estimates relating to: a) allowance for uncollectible accounts receivable and sales allowances; b) accruals for royalty revenues; c) accruals for employee benefits and restructuring and related costs; d) income taxes and realizability of deferred tax assets and the associated valuation allowances; and e) useful lives and/or realizability of carrying values for property and equipment, computer software costs, goodwill and intangibles, and prepaid royalties. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates.

*Revenue Recognition.* The Company licenses software under non-cancelable license agreements and provides services including non-recurring engineering, maintenance (consisting of product support services and rights to unspecified upgrades on a when-and-if available basis) and training.

Revenues from software license agreements are recognized when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred, the fee is fixed or determinable, and collection is probable. The Company uses the residual

method to recognize revenue when an agreement includes one or more elements to be delivered at a future date and vendor specific objective evidence ( VSOE ) of fair value exists for each undelivered element. VSOE of fair value is generally the price charged when that element is sold separately or, for items not yet being sold, it is the price established by management that will not change before the introduction of the item into the marketplace. Under the residual method, the VSOE of fair value of the undelivered element(s) is deferred and the remaining portion of the arrangement fee is recognized as revenue. If VSOE of fair value of one or more undelivered elements does not exist, revenue is deferred and recognized when delivery of those elements occurs or when fair value can be established. Revenue from arrangements that include rights to unspecified future products is recognized ratably over the term of the respective agreement.

**Table of Contents**

**PHOENIX TECHNOLOGIES LTD.**

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The Company recognizes revenue related to the delivered products or services only if the above revenue recognition criteria are met, any undelivered products or services are not essential to the functionality of the delivered products and services, and payment for the delivered products or services is not contingent upon delivery of the remaining products or services.

Royalty revenues from original equipment manufacturers ( OEMs ) and original design manufacturers ( ODMs ) are generally recognized in each period based on estimated consumption by the OEMs and ODMs of products containing the Company's software, provided that all other revenue recognition criteria have been met. The Company normally recognizes revenue for all consumption prior to the end of the accounting period. Since the Company generally receives quarterly royalty reports from OEMs and ODMs approximately 30 to 60 days following the end of a quarter, it has put processes in place to reasonably estimate royalty revenues, including by obtaining estimates of production from OEM and ODM customers and by utilizing historical experience and other relevant current information. To date the variances between estimated and actual revenues have been immaterial.

For volume purchase agreements ( VPAs ) with OEMs and ODMs, the Company recognizes royalty revenues for units consumed by the end of the current accounting quarter, to the extent that the customer has been invoiced for such consumption prior to the end of the current quarter and provided all other revenue recognition criteria have been met. If the agreement provides that the right to consume units lapses at the end of the term of the VPA, the Company recognizes royalty revenues ratably over the term of the VPA, if such amount is higher than that determined based on actual consumption by the end of the current accounting quarter. Amounts that have been invoiced under VPAs and relate to consumption beyond the current accounting quarter are recorded as deferred revenue.

For periods ended on or before December 31, 2006, the Company recognized revenues from VPAs for units estimated to be consumed by the end of the following quarter, provided the customer has been invoiced for such consumption prior to the end of the current quarter and provided all other revenue recognition criteria have been met. These estimates have historically been recorded based on customer forecasts.

Actual consumption that is subsequently reported by these same customers is regularly compared to the previous estimates to confirm the reliability of this method of determining projected consumption. The Company's examination of reports received from its customers during April 2007 regarding actual consumption of the Company's products during the three month period ended March 31, 2007 and a comparison of those consumption reports to forecasts previously provided by these customers, led the Company to the view that customer forecasts are no longer a reliable indicator of future consumption. Since the Company no longer considers the customer forecast to be a reliable estimate of future consumption, it is no longer appropriate to include future period consumption in current period revenue.

As a result of this determination, revenue from VPA and other similar agreements is now recognized over the term of the VPA period, and revenue which previously may have been reported in the quarter prior to the forecasted consumption is now recorded as deferred revenue at the close of the quarter and will only be reported as revenue in subsequent periods.

During fiscal years 2005 and 2006, the Company had increasingly relied on the use of software license agreements with its customers in which they paid a fixed upfront fee for an unlimited number of units subject to certain Phoenix product or design restrictions ( paid-up licenses ). Revenues from such paid-up license arrangements were generally

recognized upfront provided all other revenue recognition criteria had been met. Effective September 2006, the Company decided to eliminate the practice of entering into paid-up licenses.

Revenues for non-recurring engineering services are generally on a time and materials basis and are recognized as the services are performed. Software maintenance revenues are recognized ratably over the maintenance period, which is typically one year. Training and other service fees are recognized as services are performed. Amounts billed in advance for licenses and services that are in excess of revenues recognized are recorded as deferred revenues.

*Income Taxes.* Income taxes are accounted for in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 109 *Accounting for Income Taxes* ( SFAS No. 109 ). Under the asset and liability method of

Table of Contents**PHOENIX TECHNOLOGIES LTD.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

SFAS No. 109, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities, and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period of enactment.

*Stock-Based Compensation.* On October 1, 2005, the Company adopted SFAS No. 123(R) using the modified prospective method. Under this method, compensation cost recognized during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006, includes: (a) compensation cost for all share-based payments granted prior to, but not yet vested as of October 1, 2005, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS No. 123 amortized on a graded vesting basis over the options' vesting period, and (b) compensation cost for all share-based payments granted subsequent to October 1, 2005, based on the grant-date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R) amortized on a straight-line basis over the options' vesting period. The Company has elected to use the alternative transition provisions described in FASB Staff Position FAS 123(R)-3 for the calculation of its pool of excess tax benefits available to absorb tax deficiencies recognized subsequent to the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R). Pro forma results for prior periods have not been restated.

The following table shows total stock-based compensation expense included in the condensed consolidated statement of operations for the three and six month periods ended March 31, 2007 and 2006 (*in thousands*):

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31,</b>		<b>Six Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>
Costs and Expenses				
Cost of goods sold	\$ 53	\$ 96	\$ 94	\$ 193
Research and development	321	258	576	511
Sales and marketing	180	547	489	1,068
General and administrative	1,014	462	1,544	996
Total stock-based compensation expense	\$ 1,568	\$ 1,363	\$ 2,703	\$ 2,768

There was no capitalized stock-based employee compensation cost as of March 31, 2007. There was no recognized tax benefit relating to stock-based employee compensation during the three and six months ended March 31, 2007.

To estimate the fair value of an award, the Company uses the Black-Scholes option pricing model. This model requires inputs such as expected term, expected volatility, expected dividend yield, and risk-free interest rate. Further, the forfeiture rate of options also affects the amount of aggregate compensation. These inputs are subjective and generally require significant analysis and judgment to develop. While estimates of expected term, volatility, and forfeiture rate are derived primarily from the Company's historical data, the risk-free interest rate is based on the yield available on U.S. Treasury zero-coupon issues. Under SFAS No. 123(R), the Company has divided option recipients into three groups (outside directors, officers, and non-officer employees) and determined the expected term and

anticipated forfeiture rate for each group based on the historical activity of that group. The expected term is then used in determining the applicable volatility and risk-free interest rate.

Table of Contents**PHOENIX TECHNOLOGIES LTD.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The fair value of options granted in the three and six month periods ended March 31, 2007 and 2006 reported above has been estimated as of the date of the grant using a Black-Scholes single option pricing model with the following assumptions:

	<b>Employee Stock Options</b>			
	<b>Three Months Ended</b>		<b>Six Months Ended</b>	
	<b>March 31,</b>		<b>March 31,</b>	
	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>
Expected life from grant date (in years)	3.2 - 10.0	3.6 - 10.0	3.2 - 10.0	3.6 - 10.0
Risk-free interest rate	4.7%	4.6%	4.7 - 5.0%	4.3 - 4.6%
Volatility	0.5 - 0.7	0.7 - 0.8	0.5 - 0.7	0.7 - 0.8
Dividend yield	None	None	None	None

	<b>Employee Stock Purchase Plan</b>			
	<b>Three Months Ended</b>		<b>Six Months Ended</b>	
	<b>March 31,</b>		<b>March 31,</b>	
	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>
Expected life from grant date (in years)	0.5 - 2.0	0.5 - 2.0	0.5 - 2.0	0.5 - 2.0
Risk-free interest rate	4.8 - 5.1%	4.3 - 4.4%	4.8 - 5.1%	4.3 - 4.4%
Volatility	0.5 - 0.6	0.5 - 0.6	0.5 - 0.7	0.5 - 0.6
Dividend yield	None	None	None	None

*Computation of Loss per Share.* Basic loss per share is computed using the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net loss per share is computed using the weighted-average number of common and dilutive potential common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted common-equivalent shares primarily consist of employee stock options computed using the treasury stock method. In computing diluted loss per share, the average stock price for the period is used in determining the number of shares assumed to be purchased from the exercise of stock options. See Note 6 to the condensed consolidated financial statements for more information.

*New Accounting Pronouncements.* In July 2006, the FASB issued Financial Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes-an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109* ( FIN No. 48 ), which is a change in accounting for income taxes. FIN No. 48 specifies how tax benefits for uncertain tax positions are to be recognized, measured, and derecognized in financial statements; requires certain disclosures of uncertain tax matters; specifies how reserves for uncertain tax positions should be classified on the balance sheet; and provides transition and interim period guidance, among other provisions. FIN No. 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006, which for the Company will be its fiscal year 2008 beginning on October 1, 2007. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of FIN No. 48 on its consolidated financial position, results of operations, and cash flows.



In September 2006, the SEC staff issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108 *Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements* ( SAB No. 108 ). SAB No. 108 requires that public companies utilize a dual-approach to assessing the quantitative effects of financial misstatements. This dual approach includes both an income statement focused assessment and a balance sheet focused assessment. SAB No. 108 is effective for fiscal years ending after November 15, 2006, which for the Company is its fiscal year 2007 beginning on October 1, 2006. Adoption of SAB No. 108 has had no material effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* ( SFAS No. 157 ). SFAS No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands fair value measurement disclosures. SFAS No. 157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, which for the Company will be its fiscal year 2009 beginning on October 1, 2008. The Company is currently evaluating whether adoption of SFAS No. 157 will have an impact on its consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

Table of Contents**PHOENIX TECHNOLOGIES LTD.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities* ( SFAS No. 159 ). SFAS No. 159 provides companies with an option to report selected financial assets and liabilities at fair value. The standard's objective is to reduce both complexity in accounting for financial instruments and the volatility in earnings caused by measuring related assets and liabilities differently. The standard requires companies to provide additional information that will help investors and other users of financial statements to more easily understand the effect of the Company's choice to use fair value on its earnings. It also requires companies to display the fair value of those assets and liabilities for which the Company has chosen to use fair value on the face of the balance sheet. The new standard does not eliminate disclosure requirements included in other accounting standards, including requirements for disclosures about fair value measurements included in SFAS No. 157 and SFAS No. 107, *Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments* ( SFAS No. 107 ). SFAS No. 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 which for the Company will be its fiscal year 2009 beginning on October 1, 2008. Early adoption is permitted. The Company is currently evaluating whether adoption of SFAS No. 159 will have an impact on its consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

**Note 2. Comprehensive Loss**

The following are the components of comprehensive loss (*in thousands*):

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>		<b>Six Months Ended</b>	
	<b>March 31,</b>		<b>March 31,</b>	
	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>
Net loss	\$ (5,956)	\$ (3,165)	\$ (13,967)	\$ (11,088)
Other comprehensive loss				
Unrealized gain (loss) from short-term investments	(8)	6	(19)	10
Foreign currency translation adjustments	164	81	144	105
Comprehensive loss	\$ (5,800)	\$ (3,078)	\$ (13,842)	\$ (10,973)

Table of Contents**PHOENIX TECHNOLOGIES LTD.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 3. Restructuring Charges**

The following table summarizes the activity related to the liability for restructuring charges through March 31, 2007 (in thousands):

	<b>Facilities Exit Costs Fiscal 2003 Plan</b>	<b>Severance and Benefits Fiscal Year 2006 Plans</b>	<b>Facilities Exit Costs Fiscal Year 2006 Plans</b>	<b>Severance and Benefits Fiscal Year 2007 Plan</b>	<b>Facilities Exit Costs Fiscal Year 2007 Plan</b>	<b>Total</b>
Balance of accrual at September 30, 2003	\$ 3,272					\$ 3,272
Cash payments	(1,232)					(1,232)
True up adjustments	144					144
Balance of accrual at September 30, 2004	2,184					2,184
Cash payments	(546)					(546)
True up adjustments	41					41
Balance of accrual at September 30, 2005	1,679					1,679
Provision in fiscal year 2006 plans		\$ 4,028	\$ 166			4,194
Cash payments	(414)	(1,328)	(120)			(1,862)
True up adjustments	475	(32)	(1)			442
Balance of accrual at September 30, 2006	1,740	2,668	45			4,453
Provision in fiscal year 2007 plans				\$ 1,857		1,857
Cash payments	(208)	(2,040)	(335)	(729)		(3,312)
True up adjustments	(109)	124	376			391
Balance of accrual at December 31, 2006	1,423	752	86	1,128		3,389
Provision in fiscal year 2007 plan				\$ 947	\$ 947	947
Cash payments	(59)	(656)	(6)	(983)	(395)	(2,099)
True up adjustments		(84)		7		(77)

Balance of accrual at March 31, 2007	\$	1,364	\$	12	\$	80	\$	152	\$	552	\$	2,160
---	----	-------	----	----	----	----	----	-----	----	-----	----	-------

**Fiscal Year 2007 Restructuring Plan**

In the first quarter of fiscal year 2007, management approved a restructuring plan designed to reduce operating expenses by eliminating 58 positions and closing or consolidating offices in Beijing, Taipei, and Tokyo. The Company recorded a restructuring charge of approximately \$1.9 million in the first quarter of fiscal year 2007 related to the reduction in staff. In addition, the Company recorded a charge of \$0.9 million in the second quarter of fiscal year 2007 related to the office consolidations. These restructuring costs were accounted for under SFAS No. 146,

*Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities* ( SFAS No. 146 ) and are included in the Company's results of operations. During the three months ended March 31, 2007, the Company paid approximately \$1.4 million of the costs associated with this restructuring program.

**Fiscal Year 2006 Restructuring Plans**

In fiscal year 2006, the Company implemented a number of cost reduction plans aimed at reducing costs which were not integral to its overall strategy and which better align its expense levels with its revenue plan.

In the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2006, management approved a restructuring plan designed to reduce operating expenses by eliminating 68 positions. The Company recorded \$2.2 million of employee severance costs

Table of Contents**PHOENIX TECHNOLOGIES LTD.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

under the plan. In the third quarter of fiscal year 2006, management approved a restructuring plan designed to reduce operating expenses by eliminating 35 positions and closing facilities in Munich, Germany and Osaka, Japan. The Company recorded \$1.8 million of employee severance costs and \$0.2 million of facility closure costs. These restructuring costs were accounted for under SFAS No. 146 and are included in the Company's results of operations. During the three months ended March 31, 2007, the Company paid approximately \$0.7 million of the restructuring costs associated with these two restructuring programs.

**Fiscal Year 2003 Restructuring Plan**

In the first quarter of fiscal year 2003, the Company announced a restructuring plan that affected approximately 100 positions across all business functions and closed its facilities in Irvine, California and Louisville, Colorado. This restructuring resulted in expenses relating to employee termination benefits of \$2.9 million, estimated facilities exit expenses of \$2.5 million, and asset write-offs in the amount of \$0.1 million. All charges were recorded in the three months ended December 31, 2002 in accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force 94-3 *Liability Recognition for Certain Employee Termination Benefits and Other Costs to Exit an Activity* ( EITF 94-3 ). As of September 30, 2003, payments relating to the employee termination benefits were completed. During the period from the first quarter of fiscal year 2003 until the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2004, the Company's financials reflected a net increase of \$1.8 million in the restructuring liability related to the Irvine, California facility. The liability balance was changed due to the Company's revised estimates of sublease income. In the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2006, the Company increased the restructuring liability related to the Irvine, California facility by \$0.5 million, to reflect increased estimated building operating expenses, and in the first quarter of fiscal year 2007, the Company decreased the restructuring liability by \$0.1 million, to reflect decreased estimated building expenses. The estimated unpaid portion of \$1.4 million for facilities exit expenses is included under the captions *Accrued restructuring charges current* and *Accrued restructuring charges noncurrent* in the condensed consolidated balance sheet.

**Note 4. Other Current Assets, Other Assets, Other Accrued Liabilities    Current and Other Liabilities  
Noncurrent**

The following table provides details of other current assets (*in thousands*):

	<b>March 31, 2007</b>	<b>September 30, 2006</b>
Other current assets:		
Prepaid rent	\$ 240	\$ 368
Prepaid insurance	232	262
Prepaid taxes	1,920	1,880
Tax refunds receivable	33	184
VAT receivable	96	237
Other	780	1,121
Total other current assets	\$ 3,301	\$ 4,052

The following table provides details of other assets (*in thousands*):

	<b>March 31, 2007</b>	<b>September 30, 2006</b>
Other assets		
Deposits and Other	\$ 1,666	\$ 1,684
Deferred Tax	97	410
Total other assets	\$ 1,763	\$ 2,094

**Table of Contents****PHOENIX TECHNOLOGIES LTD.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The following table provides details of other accrued liabilities-current (*in thousands*):

	<b>March 31, 2007</b>	<b>September 30, 2006</b>
Other accrued liabilities:		
Royalties and commissions	\$ 489	\$ 469
Accounting and legal fees	622	1,657
Co-op advertising	209	364
Other accrued expenses	838	1,115
Total other accrued liabilities	\$ 2,158	\$ 3,605

The following table provides details of other liabilities-noncurrent (*in thousands*):

	<b>March 31, 2007</b>	<b>September 30, 2006</b>
Other non-current accrued liabilities		
Accrued Rent	\$ 676	\$ 673
Retirement Reserve	2,042	2,348
Other Liabilities	139	364
Total other non-current accrued liabilities	\$ 2,857	\$ 3,385

**Note 5. Segment Reporting and Significant Customers**

The chief operating decision maker assesses the Company's performance by regularly reviewing the operating results as a single segment. The reportable segment is established based on the criteria set forth in the SFAS No. 131, *Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information* (SFAS No. 131), including evaluating the Company's internal reporting structure by the chief operating decision maker and disclosure of revenues and operating expenses. The chief operating decision maker reviews financial information presented on a consolidated basis, accompanied by disaggregated information about revenues by geographic region for purposes of making operating decisions and assessing financial performance. The Company does not assess the performance of its geographic regions on other measures of income or expense, such as depreciation and amortization, gross margin or net income. In addition, as the Company's assets are primarily located in its corporate office in the United States and not allocated to any specific region, it does not produce reports for, or measure the performance of its geographic regions based on, any asset-based metrics. Therefore, geographic information is presented only for revenues.

The Company reports revenues by geographic area, which is categorized into five major countries/regions: North America, Japan, Taiwan, other Asian countries, and Europe (*in thousands*):

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>		<b>Six Months Ended</b>	
	<b>March 31,</b>		<b>March 31,</b>	
	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>
Revenues:				
North America	\$ 1,490	\$ 1,526	\$ 2,817	\$ 3,824
Japan	1,645	8,773	2,995	14,291
Taiwan	4,880	10,472	11,171	19,996
Other Asian Countries	722	1,533	1,198	2,337
Europe	311	808	591	1,253
Total Revenues	\$ 9,048	\$ 23,112	\$ 18,772	\$ 41,701

For the three month period ended March 31, 2007, one customer accounted for 19% of total revenues. For the three month period ended March 31, 2006, three customers accounted for 23%, 16%, and 11%, respectively, of total



**Table of Contents****PHOENIX TECHNOLOGIES LTD.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

revenues. For the six month period ended March 31, 2007, two customers accounted for 18% and 11% of total revenues. For the six month period ended March 31, 2006, one customer accounted for 16% of total revenues. No other customers accounted for more than 10% of total revenues during these periods.

**Note 6. Loss per Share**

Basic loss per share is computed using the weighted average number of ordinary shares outstanding during the applicable periods. Diluted loss per share is computed using the weighted average number of ordinary shares and dilutive ordinary share equivalents outstanding during the applicable periods. Ordinary share equivalents include ordinary shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options, and are computed using the treasury stock method.

The following table presents the calculation of basic and diluted loss per share required under SFAS No. 128, *Earnings per Share* ( SFAS No. 128 ) (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>		<b>Six Months Ended</b>	
	<b>March 31,</b>		<b>March 31,</b>	
	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>
Net loss	\$ (5,956)	\$ (3,165)	\$ (13,967)	\$ (11,088)
Weighted average common shares outstanding	25,686	25,111	25,580	25,062
Effect of dilutive securities (using the treasury stock method):				
Stock options				
Weighted average diluted common and equivalent shares outstanding	25,686	25,111	25,580	25,062
Loss per share:				
Basic and Diluted	\$ (0.23)	\$ (0.13)	\$ (0.55)	\$ (0.44)

Due to the Company's net loss for the three and six month periods ended March 31, 2007 and 2006, all ordinary share equivalents from stock options were excluded from the calculation of diluted loss per share because including them would have had an anti-dilutive effect. The Company had outstanding options of approximately 5.8 million and 6.0 million as of March 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

**Note 7. Goodwill and Other Long-Lived Assets**

Changes in the carrying value of goodwill and certain long-lived assets during the three months ended March 31, 2007 were as follows (in thousands).

	<b>Goodwill</b>	<b>Purchased Technology and Intangible Assets, Net</b>	<b>Prepaid Royalties and Maintenance</b>
Net Balance, December 31, 2006	\$ 14,433	\$ 1,166	\$ 68
Amortization		(291)	(24)
Net Balance, March 31, 2007	\$ 14,433	\$ 875	\$ 44

In accordance with SFAS No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets* ( SFAS No. 144 ), SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets* ( SFAS No. 142 ), and SFAS No. 86, *Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software to Be Sold, Leased, or Otherwise Marketed* ( SFAS No. 86 ), the Company had no impairment charge for the first quarter of fiscal year 2007.

Table of Contents**PHOENIX TECHNOLOGIES LTD.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The following table summarizes amortization of acquired intangible assets and purchased technology (*in thousands*):

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31,</b>		<b>Six Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>
Amortization of purchased technology	\$ 291	\$ 838	\$ 583	\$ 1,676
Amortization of acquired intangible assets		17		35
Total acquisition-related charges	\$ 291	\$ 855	\$ 583	\$ 1,711

Amortization of acquired intangible assets and purchased technology are charged in cost of revenue on the statement of operations. Future acquisitions could cause these amounts to increase. In addition, if impairment events occur they could accelerate the timing of charges.

The following table summarizes the expected annual amortization expense of acquired intangible assets and purchased technology (*in thousands*):

	<b>Expected Amortization Expense</b>
Remainder of 2007	\$ 583
Fiscal year ending September 30, 2008	292
Total	\$ 875

Purchased technology and intangible assets are carried at cost and depreciated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful life of the assets, which for the one remaining purchased technology is 6 years.

**Note 8. Stock Based Compensation**

The Company has a stock-based compensation program that provides its Board of Directors broad discretion in creating employee equity incentives. This program includes incentive stock options, non-statutory stock options, and stock awards (also known as restricted stock) granted under various plans, the majority of which are stockholder approved. Options and awards granted through these plans typically vest over a four year period, although grants to non-employee directors are typically fully vested on the date of grant. Additionally, the Company has an Employee Stock Purchase Plan ( Purchase Plan ) that allows employees to purchase shares of common stock at 85% of the fair market value at either the date of enrollment or the date of purchase, whichever is lower. Under the Company's stock

option plans, as of March 31, 2007 restricted share awards and option grants for 5,781,831 shares of common stock were outstanding from prior awards and 2,584,348 shares of common stock were available for future awards. The outstanding awards and grants as of March 31, 2007 had a weighted average remaining contractual life of 6.9 years and an aggregate intrinsic value of approximately \$4.0 million. Of the options outstanding as of March 31, 2007, there were options exercisable for 3,095,127 shares of common stock having a weighted average remaining contractual life of 4.9 years and an aggregate intrinsic value of \$0.7 million.

Activity under the Company's stock option plans is summarized as follows:

	<b>Number of Shares</b>		<b>Weighted Average Exercise Price</b>
Outstanding at October 1, 2006	7,385,227	\$	7.56
Options granted	542,909		4.97
Options exercised	(204,861)		5.03
Options canceled	(1,941,502)		7.48
Outstanding at March 31, 2007	5,781,773		7.43
Exercisable at March 31, 2007	3,095,127	\$	9.39

**Table of Contents****PHOENIX TECHNOLOGIES LTD.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The weighted-average grant-date fair value of equity options granted through the Company's stock option plans for the six month periods ended March 31, 2007 and 2006 are \$4.88 and \$4.76, respectively. The weighted-average grant-date fair value of equity options granted through the Company's Employee Stock Purchase Plan for the six month periods ended March 31, 2007 and 2006 are \$2.03 and \$2.51, respectively. The total intrinsic value of options exercised for the quarters ended March 31, 2007 and 2006 are \$0.3 million and \$0.4 million, respectively.

Nonvested restricted stock activity for the three and six month periods ended March 31, 2007 and 2006 is summarized as follows:

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31, 2007</b>		<b>Six Months Ended March 31, 2007</b>	
	<b>Non-Vested Number of Shares</b>	<b>Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value</b>	<b>Non-Vested Number of Shares</b>	<b>Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value</b>
Nonvested stock at beginning of period	413,700	\$ 4.82	451,000	\$ 4.97
Granted	50,000	5.65	125,000	4.88
Vested			(5,000)	5.38
Forfeited	(139,000)	4.86	(246,300)	4.96
Nonvested stock at March 31, 2007	324,700	\$ 4.94	324,700	\$ 4.94

As of March 31, 2007, \$1.4 million of total unrecognized compensation costs related to nonvested awards was expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 3.5 years.

**Note 9. Commitments and Contingencies****Litigation**

The Company is subject to certain routine legal proceedings that arise in the normal course of its business. The Company believes that the ultimate amount of liability, if any, for any pending claims of any type (either alone or combined), including the legal proceedings described below, will not materially affect the Company's results of operations, liquidity, or financial position taken as a whole. However, the ultimate outcome of any litigation is uncertain, and unfavorable outcomes could have a material adverse impact. Regardless of outcome, litigation can have an adverse impact on the Company due to defense costs, diversion of management resources, and other factors.

*Digital Development Corp. v. Phoenix Technologies Ltd. and John Does 1-100.* This case was dismissed, without prejudice, effective December 13, 2006. The Notice of Dismissal was received by the Company on March 29, 2007.

*Jablon v. Phoenix Technologies Ltd.* On November 7, 2006, David P. Jablon filed a Demand for Arbitration with the American Arbitration Association (under its Commercial Arbitration Rules) pursuant to the arbitration provision of a Stock Purchase Agreement dated February 16, 2001, by and among Phoenix Technologies Ltd., Integrity Sciences, Incorporated ( ISI ), and David P. Jablon (the Agreement ). The Company acquired ISI from Mr. Jablon (the sole shareholder) pursuant to the Agreement. Mr. Jablon has alleged breach of the earn-out provision of the Agreement. The earn-out provision of the Agreement provides that Mr. Jablon will be entitled to receive 50,000 shares of Company common stock in the event certain revenue milestones are achieved from the sale of various security-related products by the Company. The dispute relates to the calculation of achievement of such milestones. On November 21, 2006, the Company was formally served with a demand for arbitration in this case. No deadlines for action have been set. The Company does not believe that the case has merit and intends to vigorously defend itself. The Company further believes that it is likely to prevail in this case, although other outcomes are possible.

The acquisition of ISI in February 2001 included an earn-out agreement over a five-year period of up to 100,000 shares of Phoenix s common stock and cash payments of \$1.5 million, if certain revenues and technology criteria were met. There is no minimum payment requirement in the earn-out agreement. No payments were earned through September 30, 2002 and 2003. For each year between fiscal year 2004 and fiscal year 2006, the Company

**Table of Contents**

**PHOENIX TECHNOLOGIES LTD.**

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

paid \$0.5 million, for a total of \$1.5 million, in accordance with the earn-out terms noted above, and reported the payment as additional purchase price resulting in incremental goodwill.

**Note 10. Income Taxes**

The Company recorded an income tax provision of \$0.7 million and \$1.3 million for the three and six months ended March 31, 2007 as compared to an income tax provision of \$2.0 million and \$3.5 million for the same periods ended March 31, 2006. The income tax provisions for the three and six months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006 were comprised of taxes on foreign income and foreign withholding taxes (primarily in Taiwan), as well as some U.S. state income taxes.

The income tax provision for the quarter was calculated based on the results of operations for the six months ended March 31, 2007, and does not reflect an annual effective rate. Since the Company cannot consistently predict its future operating income, or in which jurisdiction it will be located, the Company is not using an annual effective tax rate to apply to the operating income for the quarter.

The effective tax rate for the six months ended March 31, 2007 was (10%) compared with (46%) for the comparable period ended March 31, 2006. Although the Company has net losses for each of the six month periods ended March 31, 2007 and 2006, the Company still incurred tax obligations in certain jurisdictions during these periods. The change in the effective tax rate from the six month periods ended March 31, 2006 to the corresponding period in 2007 is primarily due to a net decrease in revenue in Taiwan and Japan.

At the close of the most recent fiscal year, management determined that based upon its assessment of both positive and negative evidence available it was appropriate to continue to provide a full valuation allowance against any U.S. federal and U.S. state net deferred tax assets. As of March 31, 2007, it continues to be the assessment of management that a full valuation against the U.S. federal and U.S. state net deferred tax assets is appropriate. A deferred tax asset amounting to \$0.1 million at March 31, 2007 remains recorded for the activities in Japan for which no valuation allowance is necessary.

As of March 31, 2007, the Company continues to have a tax exposure related to transfer-pricing as a result of a notice received from the Taiwan Tax Authorities in the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2005. The Company has reviewed the exposure and determined that for all of the open years affected by the current transfer pricing policy, an exposure of \$8.5 million exists, which as of March 31, 2007 has been fully reserved.

The Company believes that the Taiwan Tax Authorities' interpretation of the governing law is inappropriate and is contesting this assessment. Given the current political and economic climate within Taiwan, there can be no reasonable assurance as to the ultimate outcome. The Company, however, believes that the reserves established for this exposure are adequate under the present circumstances.

**Table of Contents**

**ITEM 2. *MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS***

This report on Form 10-Q, including without limitation the Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, includes forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. These statements may include, but are not limited to, statements concerning future liquidity and financing requirements, potential price erosion, plans to make acquisitions, dispositions or strategic investments, expectations of sales volume to customers and future revenue growth, plans to improve and enhance existing products, plans to develop and market new products, trends we anticipate in the industries and economies in which we operate, the outcome of pending disputes and litigation, and other information that is not historical information. Words such as could, expects, may, anticipates, believes, projects, estimates, intends, plans, and other similar expressions are intended to indicate forward-looking statements. All forward-looking statements included in this report reflect our current expectations and various assumptions, and are based upon information available to us as of the date hereof. Our expectations, beliefs and projections are expressed in good faith, and we believe there is a reasonable basis for them, but we cannot assure you that our expectations, beliefs and projections will be realized.

Some of the factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements in this Form 10-Q include, but are not limited to: whether and when we will be able to return to profitability and positive cash flow; our ability to transition from our historical reliance on paid-up licenses to VPAs and pay-as-you-go arrangements; our dependence on the timing of other industry participants' release of technology; whether our restructurings in 2006 and the first quarter of 2007 prove to be successful in improving our efficiency of operations and whether further restructurings become necessary; whether our recent reductions in workforce will have a materially negative impact on employee morale or our ability to fulfill contractual obligations; the results of our current assessment of strategic alternatives for the Company; our ability to successfully enhance existing products and develop and market new products and technologies; our ability to attract and retain key personnel; our ability to successfully integrate our new members of senior management; variations in demand for digital devices; the rate of adoption of new operating system and microprocessor design technology; trends regarding the use of the x86 microprocessor architecture for personal computers and other digital devices; the ability of our customers to introduce and market new products that incorporate our products; risks associated with any acquisition strategy that we might employ; results of litigation; failure to protect our intellectual property rights; changes in our effective tax rates; our ability to successfully sell into new markets where we do not have significant prior experience; changes in financial accounting standards and our cost of compliance; changes in our relationship with leading software and semiconductor companies; our dependence on key customers; product and price competition in our industry; risks associated with our international sales and other activities, including currency fluctuations, acts of war or global terrorism, and changes in laws and regulations relating to our employees in international locations; and the effects of software viruses, power shortages and unexpected natural disasters. If any of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or if any of our underlying assumptions are incorrect, our actual results may differ significantly from the results that we express in or imply by any of our forward-looking statements. We do not undertake any obligation to revise these forward-looking statements to reflect future events or circumstances.

For a more detailed discussion of these and other risks associated with our business, see Item 1A Risk Factors in Part II of this Form 10-Q and Item 1A Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006.

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and the related notes and other financial information appearing in our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006.



**Company Overview**

We design, develop and support core system software for personal computers and other computing devices. Our products, which are commonly referred to as firmware, support and enable the compatibility, connectivity, security, and manageability of the various components and technologies used in such devices. We sell these products primarily to computer and component device manufacturers. We also provide training, consulting, maintenance and engineering services to our customers.

## **Table of Contents**

The majority of the Company's revenue comes from Core System Software ( CSS ), the modern form of BIOS ( Basic Input-Output System ), for personal computers, servers and embedded devices. Our CSS customers are primarily original equipment manufacturers ( OEMs ) and original design manufacturers ( ODMs ), who incorporate CSS products during the manufacturing process. The CSS is typically stored in non-volatile memory on a chip that resides on the motherboard built into the device manufactured by our customer. The CSS is executed during the power up in order to test, initialize and manage the functionality of the device's hardware. Our products are incorporated in over 100 million devices per year, giving us global market share leadership in the CSS sector.

The Company also licenses software developer kits ( SDKs ) to qualified partners for the development of core-resident, integrated, value-add software applications built on our CSS platform. These partners, including independent software vendors, independent hardware vendors, OEMs, ODMs, system integrators, and system builders, can build and deploy applications in categories such as utilities, productivity, security, and content delivery using our development tools.

The Company has developed and markets a family of software application products that restore a device's data, enable device identification to a network, and provide instant-on access to certain frequently used applications. Although the true end-users of these applications products are enterprises, governments and service providers, we typically license these products to OEMs and ODMs to assist them in making their products attractive to those end-users.

Finally, the Company derives additional revenue from providing support services such as training, maintenance and engineering expertise to our software customers.

Thus Phoenix revenue arises from two sources:

1. License fees revenue arising from an agreement which transfers Phoenix intellectual property rights to a third party. Primary license fee sources include 1) Core System Software, system firmware development platforms, firmware agents and firmware runtime licenses, 2) software development kits and software development tools, 3) device driver software, 4) embedded operating system software and 5) embedded application software.
2. Service fees revenue arising from an agreement and delivery of professional engineering services. Primary service fees sources include software deployment, software support, software development and technical training.

## **Fiscal Year 2007 Second Quarter Overview**

The three month period ended March 31, 2007 was only the second full quarter of the Company's operations since the arrival of the Company's new management team led by Chief Executive Officer, Woody Hobbs.

The Company's results for the quarter reflect the continuing implementation of new strategic and tactical plans developed under this new leadership team. Under these plans the Company has implemented substantial changes to its business, including significant changes to sales practices and pricing policies, intended to stabilize the Company's revenue from its CSS business and to enhance overall operating margins. This was also only the second full quarter to reflect the Company's previous decisions to discontinue the marketing and sale of enterprise application software products, and to cease the use of fully paid-up licenses in its CSS business and to rely instead on volume purchase agreements ( VPAs ) and pay-as-you-go royalty-based arrangements.

During the quarter, management continued to take additional steps to reduce overall operating costs and to drive higher efficiencies through the Company. The Company's total workforce was reduced from 334 employees at January 1, 2007 to 322 employees as of April 1, 2007.

The Company's reported revenue for the quarter ended March 31, 2007 reflects a conclusion it reached during April 2007 that it is no longer appropriate to continue to rely on customer forecasts of their consumption of the Company's products when reporting revenue from VPA and other similar agreements. The Company based this decision on a detailed analysis of the reliability of such customer forecasts when compared to subsequently received reports of actual consumption of its products.

For periods ended on or before December 31, 2006, the Company recognized revenues from VPAs for units estimated to be consumed by the end of the following quarter providing the customer has been invoiced for such

## **Table of Contents**

consumption prior to the end of the current quarter and provided all other revenue recognition criteria have been met. These estimates have historically been recorded based on customer forecasts.

Actual consumption that is subsequently reported by these same customers is regularly compared to the previous estimates to confirm the reliability of this method of determining projected consumption. The Company's examination of reports received from its customers during April 2007 regarding their actual consumption of its products during the three month period ended March 31, 2007 and a comparison of those consumption reports to forecasts previously provided by these customers, led the Company to the view that the customer forecasts are no longer a reliable indicator of future consumption.

Since the Company no longer considers the associated revenue to be reliably determinable, it is no longer appropriate to include future period consumption in current period revenue. As a result, no revenue associated with consumption of products that is forecasted to occur in future periods has been included in revenue for the quarter ended March 31, 2007.

Overall total revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2007 decreased to \$9.0 million (a 61% decrease) from \$23.1 million in the same period of fiscal year 2006. The decrease in revenue was principally attributable to the effect of the Company having sold substantial proportions of its products through the use of fully paid-up licenses in prior periods and was partially attributable to the deferral of recognition of approximately \$4.0 million that resulted from the cessation of reliance on customer forecasts as described above.

Fully paid-up licenses gave customers unlimited distribution rights of the applicable product over a specific time period or with respect to a specific customer device. In connection with paid-up licenses, the Company recognized all license fees upon execution of the agreement, provided that all other revenue recognition criteria had been met. Paid-up license agreements may have had the effect of accelerating revenue into the quarter in which the agreement was executed and thereby decreasing recurring revenues in subsequent periods. During the third quarter of fiscal year 2006, we began changing our licensing practices away from heavy reliance on paid-up licenses to VPAs for large customers, and pay-as-you-go royalty-based arrangements with smaller customers, and in the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2006 we ceased all sales of paid-up licenses.

Gross margins for the three months ended March 31, 2007 were \$6.6 million, a 64% reduction from gross margins of \$18.3 million in the second quarter of fiscal year 2006. This decline resulted from the reduction in revenue described above combined with the relative stability of overall gross margin percentages.

Operating expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2007 were \$12.3 million, a reduction of 38% from \$19.8 million for the same period in the prior year. This reduction was principally associated with restructuring initiatives announced during the second half of fiscal year 2006 and the further restructuring undertaken during the first half of fiscal year 2007.

The Company incurred a net loss of \$6.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007, compared to a net loss of \$3.2 million for the same period of fiscal year 2006. The increase in net losses is principally associated with the \$14.1 million decline in reported revenue offset by \$2.3 million reduction in costs of revenues and \$7.5 million reduction in operating expenses.

## **Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

We have ceased to rely on customer consumption forecasts in the determination of revenue from VPA and other similar customer agreements for the reasons described under the caption *Revenue Recognition* in Note 1 to the condensed consolidated financial statements above.

With this exception, we believe there have been no significant changes during the three months ended March 31, 2007 to the items that we disclosed as our critical accounting policies and estimates in our management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations in our 2006 Form 10-K.

**Results of Operations**

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, certain amounts included in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations, the relative percentages that those amounts represent to consolidated revenue

**Table of Contents**

(unless otherwise indicated), and the percentage change in those amount from period to period (*in thousands, except percentages*):

	2007	2006	% Change	% of Consolidated Revenue	
				2007	2006
Three months ended March 31:					
Revenues	\$ 9,048	\$ 23,112	(61)%	100%	100%
Cost of revenues	2,478	4,822	(49)%	27%	21%
Gross Margin	6,570	18,290	(64)%	73%	79%
Research and development	4,306	6,045	(29)%	47%	26%
Sales and marketing	2,705	9,086	(70)%	30%	40%
General and administrative	4,411	4,635	(5)%	49%	20%
Amortization of acquired intangible assets		17	(100)%		
Restructuring	885		N/A	10%	
Total operating expenses	12,307	19,783	(38)%	136%	86%
Loss from operations	\$ (5,737)	\$ (1,493)			

	2007	2006	% Change	% of Consolidated Revenue	
				2007	2006
Six months ended March 31:					
Revenues	\$ 18,772	\$ 41,701	(55)%	100%	100%
Cost of revenues	5,032	9,438	(47)%	27%	23%
Gross Margin	13,740	32,263	(57)%	73%	77%
Research and development	8,852	11,877	(25)%	47%	29%
Sales and marketing	6,845	18,710	(63)%	36%	45%
General and administrative	8,639	10,129	(15)%	46%	24%
Amortization of acquired intangible assets		35	(100)%		
Restructuring	3,096		N/A	16%	
Total operating expenses	27,432	40,751	(33)%	145%	98%
Loss from operations	\$ (13,692)	\$ (8,488)			

**Three Months Ended March 31, 2007 Compared to Three Months Ended March 31, 2006*****Revenues***

Revenues by geographic region for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006 were as follows (*in thousands, except percentages*):

	Amount		% Change	% of Consolidated Revenue	
	2007	2006		2007	2006
Three months ended March 31:					
North America	\$ 1,490	\$ 1,526	(2)%	17%	7%
Japan	1,645	8,773	(81)%	18%	38%
Taiwan	4,880	10,472	(53)%	54%	45%
Other Asian countries	722	1,533	(53)%	8%	7%
Europe	311	808	(62)%	3%	3%
Total Revenues	\$ 9,048	\$ 23,112	(61)%	100%	100%

**Table of Contents**

Total revenues for the second quarter of fiscal year 2007 decreased by \$14.1 million or 61% compared with the same period in fiscal year 2006. Revenues for the second quarter of fiscal year 2007 from North America, Japan, Taiwan, other Asian countries, and Europe all decreased over the same period for fiscal year 2006 by 2%, 81%, 53%, 53% and 62%, respectively. The decreases in all regions other than North America are principally a result of large paid-up license transactions recorded in the second quarter of fiscal year 2006 and partially a result of the cessation of reliance on customer forecasts in the determination of revenue from VPA and other similar customer agreements.

Revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006 were as follows (*in thousands, except percentages*):

	Amount		% Change	% of Consolidated Revenue	
	2007	2006		2007	2006
Three months ended March 31:					
License fees					
Fully paid-up	\$	\$ 13,955	(100)%	0%	60%
Other	7,475	7,869	(5)%	83%	34%
	7,475	21,824	(66)%	83%	94%
Service fees	1,573	1,288	22%	17%	6%
Total revenues	\$ 9,048	\$ 23,112	(61)%	100%	100%

License fees for the second quarter of fiscal year 2007 were \$7.5 million, a decrease of 66% from revenues of \$21.8 million in the second quarter of fiscal year 2006. This reduction was principally due to the prior use of paid-up licenses and partially due to the cessation of reliance on customer consumption forecasts as discussed above. Paid-up license fees for the second quarter of fiscal year 2007 were zero as compared to \$14.0 million of revenue from paid-up licenses for the second quarter of fiscal year 2006. Revenues from all other licenses (*i.e.*, other than paid-up licenses) were \$7.5 million in the second quarter of fiscal year 2007, a decrease of \$0.4 million or 5% from \$7.9 million of such revenues in the same period of the previous year.

In the second quarter of fiscal year 2007, the Company executed additional VPA transactions with certain of its customers with payment terms spread over periods of generally nine to twelve months. Consistent with our policy, only fees due within 90 days are invoiced and recorded as revenue or deferred revenue. VPA fees due beyond 90 days are not invoiced and recorded by the Company. As of the end of the second quarter of fiscal 2007, the total amount which has not been recorded by the Company on all of its VPA agreements was approximately \$18.7 million. The Company expects to invoice and recognize this \$18.7 million as revenue over future periods; however, uncertainties such as the timing of customer utilization of our products may impact the timing of invoicing and recognition of this revenue.

As a percentage of total revenue, license fees were 83% for the three months ended March 31, 2007 versus 94% for the same period of the previous fiscal year. This reduction was caused principally by the elimination of the use of paid-up licenses and the cessation of reliance on customer consumption forecasts combined with increasing service fees, as discussed below.



Service fees for the three months ended March 31, 2007 were \$1.6 million, an increase of \$0.3 million, or 22%, from \$1.3 million for the same period in fiscal year 2006. As a percentage of total revenue, service fees were 17% in the second quarter of fiscal year 2007 versus 6% for the same period in fiscal year 2006. The increase in service fees is principally a result of overall price increases for engineering and support services while the increase in service fees as a percentage of total revenue is principally a result of the decline in license fees.

***Cost of Revenues and Gross Margin***

Cost of revenues consists of third party license costs, service costs, and amortization of purchased technology. License costs are primarily third party royalty fees and tend to be variable, based on licensed revenue volumes. During prior periods, including fiscal year 2006, cost of revenues also included product fulfillment costs such as

## **Table of Contents**

product media, duplication, labels, manuals, packing supplies and shipping costs that are no longer incurred due to our change in product strategy. Service costs include personnel-related expenses such as salaries and other related costs associated with work performed under professional service contracts and non-recurring engineering agreements as well as post-sales customer support costs and tend to be fixed within certain service fee volume ranges. Amortization of purchased technology relates to an earlier acquisition of intellectual property.

Cost of revenues decreased by 49% from \$4.8 million in the second quarter of fiscal year 2006 to \$2.5 million in the second quarter of fiscal year 2007, principally as a result of the 61% reduction in revenue partially offset by the higher proportion of service fees (which bear higher costs) to license fees. Cost of revenues associated with license fees declined by 81%, from \$1.2 million to \$0.2 million. This decline in costs associated with license fees is principally due to the Company's product strategy shift away from the sale of enterprise software products which included licensed intellectual property and high fulfillment costs. Cost of revenues associated with service fees declined by 29% from \$2.8 million to \$2.0 million despite the growth in service fees. The decline in costs was principally a result of the Company's previously stated restructuring initiatives that eliminated service related costs involved in the sale and support of enterprise software products. Amortization of purchased technology was reduced from \$0.8 million to \$0.3 million principally as a result of earlier write-offs.

Gross margin percentages decreased from 79% of total revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2006 to 73% of total revenues for same period of fiscal year 2007. Despite the significant reduction in overall revenue and the higher proportion of service fees to license fees, margins were effectively maintained though reductions in costs of license fees via earlier write-downs of acquired intangible assets and purchased technology and the reductions in the costs of service fees discussed above.

Gross margins for the three months ended March 31, 2007 were \$6.6 million, a 64% reduction from gross margins of \$18.3 million in the second quarter of fiscal year 2006. This decline resulted principally from the similar percentage reduction in overall revenue described above.

### ***Research and Development Expenses***

Research and development expenses consist primarily of salaries and other related costs for research and development personnel, quality assurance personnel, product localization expense, fees to outside contractors, facilities and IT support costs as well as depreciation of capital equipment.

Research and development expenses were reduced by 29% to \$4.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007 from \$6.0 million for the year earlier period. As a percentage of revenues, these expenses represent 48% and 26%, respectively.

The \$1.7 million decrease in research and development expense for the three months ended March 31, 2007 versus the same period in fiscal year 2006 was principally a result of the restructuring initiatives which brought lower payroll and related benefit expenses of approximately \$0.9 million, primarily related to reductions in enterprise application product development staff. We also continue to concentrate development staffing in lower cost economic regions in China and India. A decrease of \$0.5 million resulted from lower use of consultants on enterprise application development and a focus on CSS development. The remaining net decrease of \$0.3 million was realized through aggressive cost management programs to reduce travel and to leverage existing capital assets.

The increase in research and development expense as a percentage of revenue is principally the result of the substantial overall revenue decline, partially offset by the savings described above.

### ***Sales and Marketing Expenses***

Sales and marketing expenses consist primarily of salaries, commissions, travel and entertainment, facilities and IT support costs, promotional expenses (marketing and sales literature) and marketing programs, including advertising, trade shows and channel development. Sales and marketing expenses also include costs relating to technical support personnel associated with pre-sales activities, such as performing product and technical presentations and answering customers' product and service inquiries.

Sales and marketing expenses were reduced by 70% to \$2.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007 from \$9.1 million for the year earlier period. As a percentage of revenues, these expenses represent 30% and 40%, respectively.

**Table of Contents**

The \$6.4 million decrease in sales and marketing expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2007 versus the same period in the prior fiscal year was principally a result of restructuring initiatives which included the elimination of costs associated with marketing enterprise software applications. The savings included (i) lower payroll, benefit related and stock-based compensation expenses of approximately \$3.8 million due to workforce reductions and resource alignment to our core product strategy, (ii) decreased spending on travel and entertainment in the amount of \$0.6 million, (iii) decreased marketing program expenses of \$1.3 million, (iv) \$0.5 million in reduced outside support services, and (v) net reductions of \$0.2 million due to other cost management programs.

***General and Administrative Expenses***

General and administrative expenses consist primarily of salaries and other costs relating to administrative, executive and financial personnel and outside professional fees such as audit and legal services.

General and administrative expenses were \$4.4 million and \$4.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. As a percentage of revenues, these represent 49% and 20%, respectively. This increase as a proportion of revenue is principally a result of the overall reduction in revenue.

Although our spending on general and administrative costs for the three months ended March 31, 2007 were similar (a 5%, or \$0.2 million, reduction) to the same period in fiscal year 2006, the elements of this spend changed considerably. Staff in administrative areas was reduced from 90 employees to 56, which contributed to a reduction of \$0.6 million in payroll and benefit-related expenses. Stock-based compensation expense (SFAS No. 123(R)) increased by \$0.6 million principally as a result of grants to newly elected members of the Company's board of directors whose grants vest immediately and therefore give rise to immediate recognition of an expense amounting to the full value of such grants. Also, during the March 31, 2006 period, the Company settled a lawsuit for approximately \$0.2 million, but incurred no similar expense in the current period. Further, the current period spend included approximately \$0.5 million which was directly related to the completion of the board of directors' review of strategic alternatives and the contested election at the Company's annual meeting of shareholders; however, these additional costs were partially offset by various savings in infrastructure costs that arose from the Company's restructuring initiatives.

***Provision for Income Taxes***

The Company recorded an income tax provision of \$0.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007, as compared to a provision of \$2.0 million for the corresponding period in fiscal year 2006. The reduction in tax expense is principally related to the Company's reduction in revenue in Taiwan and other locations where the Company incurs either withholding or income taxes, despite its overall operating deficits.

The income tax provision for the quarter was calculated based on the results of operations for the quarter and does not reflect an annual effective tax rate. Since the Company cannot currently consistently predict its future operating income, or in which jurisdiction it will be located, the Company is not using an annual effective tax rate to apply to operating income for the quarter.

**Table of Contents****Six Months Ended March 31, 2007 Compared to Six Months Ended March 31, 2006*****Revenues***

Revenues by geographic region for the six months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006 were as follows (*in thousands, except percentages*):

	Amount		% Change	% of Consolidated Revenue	
	2007	2006		2007	2006
Six months ended March 31:					
North America	\$ 2,817	\$ 3,824	(26)%	15%	9%
Japan	2,995	14,291	(79)%	16%	34%
Taiwan	11,171	19,996	(44)%	60%	48%
Other Asian countries	1,198	2,337	(49)%	6%	6%
Europe	591	1,253	(53)%	3%	3%
Total Revenues	\$ 18,772	\$ 41,701	(55)%	100%	100%

Total revenues for the first six months in fiscal year 2007 decreased by \$22.9 million, or 55%, compared with the same period in fiscal year 2006. Revenues for the first six months in fiscal year 2007 from North America, Japan, Taiwan, other Asian countries, and Europe all decreased over the same period for fiscal year 2006 by 26%, 79%, 44%, 49% and 53%, respectively. The decreases in Japan and Taiwan are principally a result of large paid-up license transactions recorded in the second quarter of fiscal year 2006, while all regions were impacted by the Company's cessation of reliance on customer forecasts in the determination of revenue from VPA and other similar agreements.

Revenues for the six months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006 were as follows (*in thousands, except percentages*):

	Amount		% Change	% of Consolidated Revenue	
	2007	2006		2007	2006
Six months ended March 31:					
License fees					
Fully paid-up	\$	\$ 25,710	(100)%	0%	62%
Other	15,399	14,186	9%	82%	34%
	15,399	39,896	(61)%	82%	96%
Service fees	3,373	1,805	87%	18%	4%

Total revenues	\$ 18,772	\$ 41,701	(55)%	100%	100%
----------------	-----------	-----------	-------	------	------

License fees for the first six months in fiscal year 2007 were \$15.4 million, a decrease of 61% from revenues of \$39.9 million in the same period for the fiscal year 2006. This reduction was principally due to the prior use of paid-up licenses and partially due to the cessation of reliance on customer consumption forecasts as discussed above. Paid-up license fees for the first six months in fiscal year 2007 were zero as compared to \$25.7 million of revenue from paid-up licenses for the same period for the fiscal year 2006. Revenues from all other licenses (i.e., other than paid-up licenses) were \$15.4 million in the first six months in fiscal year 2007, an increase of \$1.2 million, or 9%, from \$14.2 million of such revenues in the same period of the previous year.

In the first half of fiscal year 2007, the Company executed several large VPA transactions with its customers, with payment terms spread over periods of generally nine to twelve months. Consistent with our policy, only fees due within 90 days are invoiced and recorded as revenue or deferred revenue. VPA fees due beyond 90 days are not invoiced and recorded by the Company. As of the end of the second quarter of fiscal 2007, the total amount which has not been recorded by the Company on all of its VPA agreements was approximately \$18.7 million. The Company expects to invoice and recognize this \$18.7 million as revenue over future periods;

## **Table of Contents**

however, uncertainties such as the timing of customer utilization of our products may impact the timing of invoicing and recognition of this revenue.

As a percentage of total revenues, license fees were 82% for the six months ended March 31, 2007 versus 96% for the same period of the previous fiscal year. This reduction was caused principally by the elimination of the use of paid-up licenses and the cessation of reliance on customer consumption forecasts combined with increasing service fees, as discussed below.

Service fees for the six months ended March 31, 2007 increased \$1.6 million, or 87%, from \$1.8 million in fiscal year 2006 to \$3.4 million in the same period of fiscal year 2007. As a percentage of total revenue, service fees were 18% in fiscal year 2007 versus 4% for the same period in fiscal year 2006. The significant improvement in service fees is a result of increase pricing and increases in sales of revenue producing engineering and support services contracts with our customers, while the increase in service fees as a percentage of total revenue is a result of this increase combined with the decline in license fees.

### ***Cost of Revenues and Gross Margin***

Cost of revenues consists of third party license costs, service costs, and amortization of purchased technology. License costs are primarily third party royalty fees and tend to be variable, based on licensed revenue volumes. During prior periods including fiscal year 2006 cost of revenues also included product fulfillment costs such as product media, duplication, labels, manuals, packing supplies and shipping costs that are no longer incurred due our change in product strategy. Service costs include personnel-related expenses such as salaries and other related costs associated with work performed under professional service contracts and non-recurring engineering agreements as well as post-sales customer support costs and tend to be fixed within certain service fees volume ranges. Amortization of purchased technology relates to and earlier acquisition of intellectual property.

Cost of revenues decreased by 47% from \$9.4 million in fiscal year 2006 to \$5.0 million in the same period of fiscal year 2007, principally as a result of the 55% reduction in revenue offset by the higher proportion of service fees (which bears higher costs) to license fees. Costs of revenues associated with license fees declined by 81%, from \$2.5 million to \$0.5 million. This decline in costs associated with license fees is principally due to the Company's product strategy shift away from the sale of enterprise software products which included licensed intellectual property and high fulfillment costs. Costs of revenue associated with service fees declined by 24% from \$5.2 million to \$4.0 million despite the substantial growth in service fees. The reduction was principally a result of the Company's restructuring initiatives which eliminated certain service related costs. Amortization of purchased technology was reduced from \$1.7 million to \$0.6 million principally as a result of earlier write-offs.

Gross margin percentages decreased from 77% of total revenues for the six months ended March 31, 2006 to 73% of total revenues for the same period of fiscal year 2007. Despite the significant reduction in overall revenue and the higher proportion of service fees to license fees, margins were effectively maintained though reductions in costs of license fees via earlier write-downs of acquired intangible assets and purchased technology and the reductions in the costs of service fees discussed above.

Gross margins for the six months ended March 31, 2007 were \$13.7 million, a 57% reduction from gross margins of \$32.3 million in the same period of fiscal year 2006. This decline resulted principally from the reduction in revenue described above.

### ***Research and Development Expenses***

Research and development expenses consist primarily of salaries and other related costs for research and development personnel, quality assurance personnel, product localization expense, fees to outside contractors, facilities and IT support costs as well as depreciation of capital equipment.

Research and development expenses were reduced by 25% to \$8.9 million for the six months ended March 31, 2007 from \$11.9 million for the six months ended March 31, 2006. As a percentage of revenues, these expenses represent 47% and 29%, respectively.

The \$3.0 million decrease in research and development expense for the six months ended March 31, 2007 versus the same period in fiscal year 2006 was principally a result of the restructuring initiatives which resulted in lower payroll and related benefit expenses of approximately \$1.7 million, primarily related to reductions in



## **Table of Contents**

enterprise application product development staff. We also continue to concentrate development staffing in lower cost economic regions in China and India. A decrease of \$0.9 million resulted from lower use of consultants on enterprise application development and a focus on core system software development. The remaining net decrease of \$0.4 million was realized through aggressive cost management programs to reduce travel and to leverage existing capital assets.

The increase in research and development expense as a percentage of revenue is principally the result of the substantial overall revenue decline, partially offset by the savings described above.

### ***Sales and Marketing Expenses***

Sales and marketing expenses consist primarily of salaries, commissions, travel and entertainment, facilities and IT support costs, promotional expenses (marketing and sales literature) and marketing programs including advertising, trade shows and channel development. Sales and marketing expenses also include costs relating to technical support personnel associated with pre-sales activities such as performing product and technical presentations and answering customers' product and service inquiries.

Sales and marketing expenses were reduced by 63% to \$6.8 million for the six months ended March 31, 2007 from \$18.7 million for the six months ended March 31, 2006. As a percentage of revenues, these expenses represent 36% and 45%, respectively.

The \$11.9 million decrease in sales and marketing expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2007 versus the same period in the prior fiscal year was principally a result of restructuring initiatives which included the elimination of costs associated with marketing enterprise software applications. The savings included lower payroll, benefit related, and stock-based compensation expenses of approximately \$6.9 million due to workforce reductions and resource alignment to our core product strategy, decreased spending on travel and entertainment of \$1.4 million, decreased marketing programs expenses of \$2.4 million, \$0.8 million in reduced outside support services, and net reductions of \$0.4 million due to aggressive cost management programs.

### ***General and Administrative Expenses***

General and administrative expenses consist primarily of salaries and other costs relating to administrative, executive and financial personnel and outside professional fees such as audit and legal services.

General and administrative expenses declined by 15% to \$8.6 million for the six months ended March 31, 2007 from \$10.1 million for the six months ended March 31, 2006. As a percentage of revenues, these represent 46% and 24%, respectively. This increase as a proportion of revenue is principally a result of the overall reduction in revenue.

The \$1.5 million decrease in general and administrative spending for the six months ended March 31, 2007 versus the same period in fiscal year 2006 resulted in part from restructuring initiatives that reduced payroll and benefit-related expenses by approximately \$1.0 million and also reduced various other operating expenses. Lower costs associated with audit expenses and with external support for the second year of Sarbanes-Oxley compliance testing saved \$0.8 million, and during the March 31, 2006 period the Company settled a lawsuit for approximately \$0.2 million, but incurred no similar expense in the current period. These and other reductions amounting to \$0.7 million were partially offset by \$0.5 million in increased stock-based compensation expense, principally related to a one time cost associated with the election of two new Board members, and costs totaling approximately \$0.7 million associated with the board's review of strategic alternatives and the contested election at the Company's annual meeting of shareholders.

### ***Provision for Income Taxes***

The Company recorded an income tax provision of \$1.3 million for the six months ended March 31, 2007, as compared to a provision of \$3.5 million for the corresponding period in fiscal year 2006. The reduction in tax expense is principally related to the Company's reduction in revenue in Taiwan and other locations where the Company incurs either withholding or income taxes despite its overall operating deficits.

The income tax provision for the year was calculated based on the results of operations for the period, and does not reflect an annual effective tax rate. Since the Company cannot currently consistently predict its future operating

## **Table of Contents**

income, or in which jurisdiction it will be located, the Company is not using an annual effective tax rate to apply to the operating income for the period.

## **Financial Condition**

At March 31, 2007, our principal source of liquidity consisted of cash and cash equivalents and marketable securities totaling \$51.1 million. During the three month period ending March 31, 2007, the Company implemented a change in its practices regarding the investment of its cash which led to a substantial reduction in its holdings of marketable securities, offset by a corresponding increase in its cash and cash equivalents balance. Other than this change in investment practices, the primary sources of cash during the six months ended March 31, 2007 were proceeds from accounts receivables of \$1.6 million and proceeds from stock purchases under stock option and stock purchase plans of \$1.6 million. The primary use of cash during the same period was \$14.0 million due to our net loss from operations.

At March 31, 2006, our principal source of liquidity consisted of cash and cash equivalents and marketable securities totaling \$76.4 million. The primary source of cash during the six months ended March 31, 2006 were proceeds from account receivables of \$5.0 million and stock purchase plans of \$2.1 million. The primary use of cash during the same period was \$11.1 million due to our net loss from operations.

## ***Commitments***

We have commitments under non-cancelable operating leases ranging from one to ten years for \$12.6 million. The operating lease obligations include a net lease commitment for the Irvine location of \$1.4 million, after sublease income of \$1.3 million. The Irvine net lease commitment was included in the Company's fiscal year 2003 first quarter restructuring plan. See Note 3 to the condensed consolidated financial statements for further information on the Company's restructuring plans.

Operating lease commitments decreased from \$15.9 million on December 31, 2006 to \$12.6 million on March 31, 2007 primarily as a result of early termination of a building lease and subsequent negotiation of a new building lease in Japan. Except for the change in Japan building leases, we did not enter into any additional material commitments for capital expenditures or non-cancelable purchase commitments during the three month period ended March 31, 2007.

## ***Overview***

Based on past performance and current expectations, we believe that current cash and cash equivalents and marketable securities on hand and those generated from operations in future periods will satisfy our working capital, capital expenditures, commitments and other liquidity requirements associated with our existing operations through at least the next twelve months. There are no transactions and arrangements that are reasonably likely to materially affect liquidity or the availability of our requirements for capital.

## **Available Information**

The Company's website is located at [www.phoenix.com](http://www.phoenix.com). Through a link on the Investor Relations section of our website, we make available the following filings as soon as reasonably practical after they are electronically filed with or furnished to the SEC: the Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, and any amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. All such filings are available free of charge. Information contained on the Company's web site is not part of this report.

**ITEM 3. *QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK***

We believe there has been no material change in our exposure to market risk from that discussed in our fiscal year 2006 Annual Report filed on Form 10-K.

**Table of Contents**

**ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

**Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

Our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have reviewed, as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report, the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act)), which are designed to ensure that information relating to the Company that is required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Exchange Act and related regulations. Based on this review, our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of March 31, 2007, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective in ensuring that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

**Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

During our most recent fiscal quarter, there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

**PART II OTHER INFORMATION**

**ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

The Company is subject to certain routine legal proceedings that arise in the normal course of our business. We believe that the ultimate amount of liability, if any, for pending claims of any type (either alone or combined), including the legal proceedings described below, will not materially affect the Company's results of operations, liquidity, or financial position taken as a whole. However, the ultimate outcome of any litigation is uncertain, and unfavorable outcomes could have a material adverse impact. Regardless of outcome, litigation can have an adverse impact on the Company due to defense costs, diversion of management resources, and other factors.

*Jablon v. Phoenix Technologies Ltd.* On November 7, 2006, David P. Jablon filed a Demand for Arbitration with the American Arbitration Association (under its Commercial Arbitration Rules) pursuant to the arbitration provision of a Stock Purchase Agreement dated February 16, 2001, by and among Phoenix Technologies Ltd., Integrity Sciences, Incorporated (ISI), and David P. Jablon (the ISI Agreement). The Company acquired ISI from Mr. Jablon (the sole shareholder) pursuant to the ISI Agreement. Mr. Jablon has alleged breach of the earn-out provision of the ISI Agreement. The earn-out provision of the Agreement provides that Mr. Jablon will be entitled to receive 50,000 shares of Company common stock in the event certain revenue milestones are achieved from the sale of various security-related products by the Company. The dispute relates to the calculation of achievement of such milestones. On November 21, 2006, the Company was formally served with a demand for arbitration in this case. No deadlines for action have been set. The Company does not believe that the case has merit and intends to vigorously defend itself. The Company further believes that it is likely to prevail in this case, although other outcomes are possible.

*Digital Development Corp. v. Phoenix Technologies Ltd. and John Does 1-100.* This case was dismissed, without prejudice, effective December 13, 2006. The Notice of Dismissal was received by the Company on March 29, 2007.

For additional information on our material legal proceedings, you should read Note 9 Commitments and Contingencies Litigation in the notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Part I Item 1 of this report.

**Table of Contents**

**ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS**

There have been no material changes from the risk factors previously disclosed in Item 1A of Part I of our Form 10-K, except for the following new risk factor:

***Agreements with Large Customers***

The Company's current and potential customers include a number of larger OEMs, ODMs and computer equipment manufacturers that enter into agreements for the purchase of large quantities of our licensed products. As such they may be able to negotiate terms in such agreements which are favorable to them and may impose risks and burdens on us that are greater than those we have historically been exposed to, including those related to indemnification and warranty provisions. These risks may become more pronounced if a larger portion of our revenue is generated from agreements directly with larger computer equipment manufacturers rather than through indirect channels.

**ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS**

Not applicable.

**ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES**

Not applicable.

**ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS**

The Company held its Annual Meeting of Stockholders on February 26, 2007, at which the following occurred:

**ELECTION OF CLASS 1 DIRECTORS TO THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE COMPANY:**

The stockholders elected John Mutch and Robert J. Majteles as Class 1 Directors. The vote on the matter was as follows:

John Mutch	
FOR	16,524,873
WITHHELD	40,824
Robert J. Majteles	
FOR	16,373,990
WITHHELD	191,707

The following individuals continued their term as directors following the Annual Meeting:

Woodson Hobbs  
Dale Fuller  
Anthony P. Morris  
Richard M. Noling

RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT BY THE AUDIT COMMITTEE OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF ERNST & YOUNG LLP AS THE COMPANY'S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM: The stockholders ratified the appointment by the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the 2007 fiscal year. The vote on the matter was as follows:

FOR	16,269,384
AGAINST	290,583
ABSTENTION	5,730
BROKER NON-VOTE	0

The Company entered into settlement agreements with certain Company stockholders, which entities and individuals are affiliated with the Starboard Value and Opportunity Master Fund Ltd. and AWM Investment Company, Inc., pursuant to which such stockholders agreed to withdraw their proxy solicitation. The terms of such settlement agreements were disclosed in the Company's Report on Form 8-K dated February 13, 2007.



**Table of Contents**

**ITEM 5. *OTHER INFORMATION***

Not applicable.

**ITEM 6. *EXHIBITS***

- 10.1\* Severance and Change of Control Agreement between Phoenix and Timothy Chu dated April 27, 2007.
- 10.2 Agreements by and among Phoenix and certain entities and individuals affiliated with the Starboard Value and Opportunity Master Fund Ltd. and AWM Investment Company, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibits 10.1 and 10.2 to Phoenix's Report on Form 8-K dated February 13, 2007).
- 31.1 Certification of Principal Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of Principal Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification of Principal Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.
- 32.2 Certification of Principal Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.

\* Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

**Table of Contents**

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

**PHOENIX TECHNOLOGIES LTD.**

By: /s/ WOODSON M. HOBBS

Woodson M. Hobbs  
President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: May 9, 2007

By: /s/ RICHARD W. ARNOLD

Richard W. Arnold  
Executive Vice President, Strategy &  
Corporate Development and Chief Financial Officer

Date: May 9, 2007

**Table of Contents**

**EXHIBIT INDEX**

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Description</b>
10.1*	Severance and Change of Control Agreement between Phoenix and Timothy Chu dated April 27, 2007.
10.2	Agreements by and among Phoenix and certain entities and individuals affiliated with the Starboard Value and Opportunity Master Fund Ltd. and AWM Investment Company, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibits 10.1 and 10.2 to Phoenix's Report on Form 8-K dated February 13, 2007).
31.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.
32.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.

\* Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.