

TRINITY INDUSTRIES INC

Form DEF 14A

April 01, 2011

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
SCHEDULE 14A**

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities
Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

Trinity Industries, Inc.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

5) Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

1) Amount Previously Paid:

2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

3) Filing Party:

4) Date Filed:

SEC 1913 (11-01) **Persons who are to respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB control number.**

Table of Contents

Trinity Industries, Inc.
2525 Stemmons Freeway
Dallas, Texas 75207-2401
www.trin.net

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS
To Be Held on May 2, 2011

TO: Trinity Industries, Inc. Stockholders:

Please join us for the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Trinity Industries, Inc. The meeting will be held at the principal executive offices of the Company, 2525 Stemmons Freeway, Dallas, Texas 75207, on **Monday, May 2, 2011, at 8:30 a.m.**, Central Daylight Time.

At the meeting, the stockholders will act on the following matters:

- (1) Election of the eleven nominees named in the attached proxy statement as directors;
- (2) Advisory vote on executive compensation;
- (3) Advisory vote on the frequency of advisory votes on executive compensation;
- (4) Ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2011; and
- (5) Any other matters that may properly come before the meeting.

All stockholders of record at the close of business on March 18, 2011 are entitled to vote at the meeting or any postponement or adjournment of the meeting. A list of the stockholders is available at the Company's offices in Dallas, Texas.

By Order of the Board of Directors

JARED S. RICHARDSON
Associate General Counsel and Secretary

April 1, 2011

YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT!

Please vote as promptly as possible by using the internet or telephone or by signing, dating, and returning the enclosed proxy card to the address listed on the card.

**Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the
Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be Held on May 2, 2011:**

This Proxy Statement and the Annual Report to Stockholders for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010, are available for viewing, printing, and downloading at <https://materials.proxyvote.com/896522>.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>Proxy Statement</u>	1
<u>Corporate Governance</u>	2
<u>Independence of Directors</u>	2
<u>Board Leadership Structure</u>	3
<u>Board Committees</u>	4
<u>Board's Role in Risk Oversight</u>	8
<u>Risk Assessment of Compensation Policies and Practices</u>	8
<u>Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation</u>	8
<u>Communications with Directors</u>	8
<u>Election of Directors</u>	9
<u>Nominees</u>	9
<u>Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation</u>	12
<u>Advisory Vote on the Frequency of Advisory Votes on Executive Compensation</u>	12
<u>Ratification of the Appointment of Ernst & Young LLP</u>	13
<u>Fees of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for Fiscal Years 2010 and 2009</u>	13
<u>Report of the Audit Committee</u>	14
<u>Executive Compensation</u>	15
<u>Compensation Discussion and Analysis</u>	15
<u>Human Resources Committee Report</u>	28
<u>Compensation of Executives</u>	29
<u>Summary Compensation Table</u>	29
<u>Grants of Plan-Based Awards</u>	31
<u>Discussion Regarding Summary Compensation Table and Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table</u>	32
<u>Outstanding Equity Awards at Year-End</u>	33
<u>Option Exercises and Stock Vested in 2010</u>	35
<u>Pension Benefits</u>	35
<u>Nonqualified Deferred Compensation</u>	36
<u>Deferred Compensation Discussion</u>	37
<u>Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control</u>	37
<u>Director Compensation</u>	40
<u>Director Compensation Discussion</u>	40
<u>Transactions with Related Persons</u>	41
<u>Security Ownership</u>	43
<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management</u>	43
<u>Additional Information</u>	45
<u>Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance</u>	45
<u>Stockholder Proposals for the 2012 Proxy Statement</u>	45
<u>Director Nominations or Other Business for Presentation at the 2012 Annual Meeting</u>	45
<u>Report on Form 10-K</u>	45
<u>Other Business</u>	46

Table of Contents

**Trinity Industries, Inc.
2525 Stemmons Freeway
Dallas, Texas 75207-2401
www.trin.net**

**PROXY STATEMENT
For
ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS
To Be Held on May 2, 2011**

This Proxy Statement is being mailed on or about April 1, 2011 to the stockholders of Trinity Industries, Inc. (Trinity or the Company) in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Board of Directors of the Company to be voted at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders of the Company to be held at the offices of the Company, 2525 Stemmons Freeway, Dallas, Texas, on Monday, May 2, 2011, at 8:30 a.m., Central Daylight Time (the Annual Meeting), or at any postponement or adjournment thereof, for the purposes set forth in the accompanying Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The Company s mailing address is 2525 Stemmons Freeway, Dallas, Texas, 75207.

You may vote in person by attending the meeting, by completing and returning a proxy by mail, or by using the internet or telephone. To vote your proxy by mail, mark your vote on the enclosed proxy card, then follow the instructions on the card. To vote your proxy using the internet or telephone, see the instructions on the proxy form and have the proxy form available when you access the internet website or place your telephone call.

The named proxies will vote your shares according to your directions. If you sign and return your proxy but do not make any of the selections, the named proxies will vote your shares: (i) FOR the election of the eleven nominees for directors as set forth in this Proxy Statement, (ii) FOR the approval, on an advisory basis, of the compensation of the Company s named executive officers as disclosed in these materials, (iii) for a frequency of ONE YEAR for future advisory votes on executive compensation, and (iv) FOR the ratification of Ernst & Young LLP as independent registered public accounting firm of the Company for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2011. The proxy may be revoked at any time before it is exercised by filing with the Company a written revocation, by executing a proxy bearing a later date or by attending the Annual Meeting and voting in person.

The cost of soliciting proxies will be borne by the Company. In addition to use of postal services or the Internet, proxies may be solicited by directors, officers, and regular employees of the Company (none of whom will receive any additional compensation for any assistance they may provide in the solicitation of proxies) in person or by telephone. The Company has hired Georgeson, Inc. to assist in the solicitation of proxies at an estimated cost of \$10,000 plus disbursements.

The outstanding voting securities of the Company consist of shares of common stock, \$1.00 par value per share (Common Stock). The record date for the determination of the stockholders entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting, or any postponement or adjournment thereof, has been established by the Board of Directors as the close of business on March 18, 2011. At that date, there were outstanding and entitled to vote 79,813,929 shares of Common Stock.

The presence, in person or by proxy, of the holders of record of a majority of the outstanding shares entitled to vote is necessary to constitute a quorum for the transaction of business at the Annual Meeting, but if a quorum should not be present, the meeting may be adjourned from time to time until a quorum is obtained. A holder of Common Stock will be entitled to one vote per share on each matter properly brought before the meeting. Cumulative voting is not permitted in the election of directors.

The proxy card provides space for a stockholder to withhold voting for any or all nominees for the Board of Directors. The election of directors requires a plurality of the votes cast at the meeting. All other proposals require the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote at the meeting. Shares of a stockholder who abstains from voting on any or all proposals will be included for the purpose of determining the presence of a quorum. Votes withheld with respect to the election of the Company's directors will

Table of Contents

not be counted either in favor of or against the election of the nominees. In the case of the other proposals being submitted for stockholder approval, an abstention will effectively count as a vote cast against such proposal. Broker non-votes on any matter, as to which the broker has indicated on the proxy that it does not have discretionary authority to vote, will be treated as shares not entitled to vote with respect to that matter. However, such shares will be considered present and entitled to vote for quorum purposes so long as they are entitled to vote on other matters.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The business affairs of Trinity are managed under the direction of the Board of Directors (also referred to in this proxy statement as the Board) in accordance with the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware and the Company's Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws. The role of the Board of Directors is to oversee the management of the Company for the benefit of the stockholders. This responsibility includes monitoring senior management's conduct of the Company's business operations and affairs; reviewing and approving the Company's financial objectives, strategies, and plans; risk management oversight; evaluating the performance of the chief executive officer and other executive officers; and overseeing the Company's policies and procedures regarding corporate governance, legal compliance, ethical conduct, and maintenance of financial and accounting controls. The Board of Directors first adopted Corporate Governance Principles in 1998, which are reviewed annually by the Corporate Governance and Directors Nominating Committee and were last amended in December 2010. The Company has a long-standing Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, which is applicable to all employees of the Company, including the chief executive officer, the chief financial officer, and principal accounting officer, as well as the Board of Directors. The Company intends to post any amendments to or waivers from its Code of Business Conduct and Ethics on the Company's website to the extent applicable to an executive officer or a director of the Company. The Corporate Governance Principles and the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics are available on the Company's web site at www.trin.net under the heading Investor Relations-Governance.

The directors hold regular and special meetings and spend such time on the affairs of the Company as their duties require. During 2010, the Board of Directors held six meetings. The Board also meets regularly in non-management executive sessions and selects the Presiding Director, who serves as the lead independent director and chairs the non-management executive sessions. Mr. Rhys J. Best currently serves in that capacity. In 2010, all directors of the Company attended at least 75% of the meetings of the Board of Directors and the committees on which they served. It is Company policy that each of our directors is expected to attend the Annual Meeting. All of our directors were in attendance at the 2010 Annual Meeting.

Independence of Directors

The Board of Directors makes all determinations with respect to director independence in accordance with the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) listing standards and the rules and regulations promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). In addition, the Board of Directors has established certain guidelines to assist it in making any such determinations regarding director independence (the Independence Guidelines), which are available on our website at www.trin.net under the heading Investor Relations-Governance-Categorical Standards of Director Independence. The Independence Guidelines set forth commercial and charitable relationships that may not rise to the level of material relationships that would impair a director's independence as set forth in the NYSE listing standards and SEC rules and regulations. The actual determination of whether such relationships as described in the Independence Guidelines actually impair a director's independence is made by the Board on a case-by-case basis. The Board undertook its annual review of director independence and considered transactions and relationships between each director or any member of his or her immediate family and the Company and its subsidiaries and affiliates. In making its determination, the Board applied the NYSE listing standards and SEC rules and regulations together with the Independence Guidelines. In making such determinations, the Board, amongst other things, considered transactions between the Company's subsidiaries and subsidiaries of Austin Industries, Inc. (Austin Industries) for

which Mr. Ronald J. Gafford serves as President and Chief Executive Officer. In 2010, these transactions involved payments from Austin Industries to the Company, net of refunds, of approximately \$5,730,000, and payments from the Company to Austin Industries of approximately \$38,000. These payments constituted less than 2% of the consolidated gross revenues of each of Austin Industries and the Company in 2010, were made in the ordinary course of business in arms-length transactions and substantially all were determined by

Table of Contents

competitive bids. The transactions involved the purchase by Austin Industries from the Company's subsidiaries of concrete, highway products, and steel highway bridge girders, and the purchase by subsidiaries of the Company of demolished concrete from Austin Industries. Mr. Gafford did not have a direct financial interest in any of the transactions with Austin Industries. The Board also considered that the son-in-law of Mr. Hay is employed by the Company on a part-time basis in a non-executive officer capacity. Mr. Hay's son-in-law also provides certain legal services to the Company through an outside law firm. A portion of his compensation from the law firm is related to such legal services provided to the Company. As a result of its review, the Board affirmatively determined that the following directors are independent of the Company and its management under the standards set forth in the listing standards of the NYSE and the SEC rules and regulations: John L. Adams, Rhys J. Best, David W. Biegler, Leldon E. Echols, Ronald J. Gafford, Ronald W. Haddock, Jess T. Hay, Adrian Lajous, Charles W. Matthews, Diana S. Natalicio, and Douglas L. Rock; and that Timothy R. Wallace is not independent because of his employment as Chairman, Chief Executive Officer, and President of the Company. Mr. Hay has reached the mandatory retirement age applicable to him and is therefore not standing for re-election.

Board Leadership Structure

Mr. Wallace serves as the Chairman, Chief Executive Officer, and President of the Company. As stated in the Corporate Governance Principles, the Board believes that the decision as to whether the offices of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer should be combined or separated is the proper responsibility of the Board. The members of the Board possess considerable experience and unique knowledge of the challenges and opportunities the Company faces. They are, therefore, in the best position to evaluate the current and future needs of the Company and to judge how the capabilities of the directors and senior managers can be most effectively organized to meet those needs. Given his deep knowledge of the Company and experience in leading it through a range of business environments, the Board believes that the most effective leadership structure for the Company is to have Mr. Wallace serve as both Chairman and Chief Executive Officer.

While Mr. Wallace serves as both Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, all other directors are independent. After considering the recommendations of our Human Resources Committee, the independent directors determine Mr. Wallace's compensation. Further, we have four standing committees and a rotating Presiding Director, who is independent. Mr. Wallace does not serve on any Board committee. We also have a succession plan in place for Mr. Wallace. We believe that each of those measures counter-balances any risk that may exist in having Mr. Wallace serve as both Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. For these reasons, the Board believes that this leadership structure is effective for the Company.

As described above, Mr. Best currently serves as Presiding Director. The Presiding Director has the following roles and responsibilities:

Serve as a member of the Corporate Governance and Directors Nominating Committee;

Preside at each executive session of non-management and independent directors;

Preside at all meetings when the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer is not present;

As needed or appropriate, develop agendas for executive sessions of non-management and independent directors;

Serve as the principal liaison to advise the Company's Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of actions and/or suggestions taken or made during executive sessions;

Confer periodically with the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer regarding the quality, quantity, and timeliness of information to be furnished from time to time to the members of the Board;

To the extent that the Presiding Director is not the Chairman of the Corporate Governance and Directors Nominating Committee, the Presiding Director assists the Chairman of the Corporate Governance and Directors Nominating Committee in planning and executing each self-evaluation process of the Board;

Table of Contents

In those instances where an ongoing dialog between the stockholders and the non-management directors is appropriate, serve as a conduit for communications between the stockholders and the non-management directors; and

Perform such other duties as the Board from time to time may assign.

Board Committees

The standing committees of the Board of Directors are the Audit Committee, Human Resources Committee, Corporate Governance and Directors Nominating Committee, and Finance and Risk Committee. Each of the committees is governed by a charter, a current copy of which is available on the Company's website at www.trin.net under the heading Investor Relations-Governance. Mr. Wallace, Chairman, Chief Executive Officer, and President of the Company, does not serve on any Board committee. Director membership of the committees is identified below.

Director	Audit Committee	Corporate Governance & Directors Nominating Committee	Finance & Risk Committee	Human Resources Committee
John L. Adams			**	
Rhys J. Best	*	**	*	
David W. Biegler	*	*	*	
Leldon E. Echols	**			*
Ronald J. Gafford		*		*
Ronald W. Haddock	*			*
Jess T. Hay		*	*	**
Adrian Lajous	*		*	
Charles W. Matthews		*		
Diana S. Natalicio				*
Douglas L. Rock	*			*

* Member

** Chair

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee's function is to oversee the integrity of the Company's financial statements and related disclosures; the Company's compliance with legal and regulatory requirements; the qualifications, independence, and performance of the Company's independent auditing firm; the performance of the Company's internal audit function; the Company's internal accounting and disclosure control systems; the Company's procedures for monitoring compliance with its Code of Business Conduct and Ethics; and the Company's policies and procedures with respect to risk assessment, management, and mitigation. In carrying out its function, the Audit Committee (i) reviews with management, the chief audit executive, and the independent auditors the Company's financial statements, the accounting principles applied in their preparation, the scope of the audit, any comments made by the independent auditors upon the financial condition of the Company and its accounting controls and procedures; (ii) reviews with management its processes and policies related to risk assessment, management, and mitigation, compliance with corporate policies, compliance programs, internal controls, corporate aircraft usage, summaries of

Table of Contents

management's travel and entertainment reports; and (iii) performs such other matters as the Audit Committee deems appropriate. The Audit Committee also pre-approves all auditing and all allowable non-audit services provided to the Company by the independent auditors. The Audit Committee selects and retains the independent auditors for the Company, subject to stockholder ratification, and approves audit fees. The Audit Committee met eight times during 2010. The Board of Directors has determined that all members of the Audit Committee are independent as defined by the rules of the SEC and the listing standards of the NYSE. The Board has determined that Mr. Echols, Chair of the Audit Committee, Mr. Best, Mr. Biegler, Mr. Haddock, and Mr. Rock are each qualified as an audit committee financial expert within the meaning of SEC regulations.

Finance and Risk Committee

The oversight duties of the Finance and Risk Committee (the Finance Committee) generally are to periodically review the financial status of the Company; review the Company's compliance with certain debt instruments that may exist; make recommendations to the Board regarding financings and authorize financings within limits prescribed by the Board; review and assess risk exposure related to the Company's operations; monitor the funds for the Company's benefit plans; review the Company's insurance coverages; and review significant acquisitions and dispositions of businesses or assets and authorize such transactions within limits prescribed by the Board. The Finance Committee met seven times in 2010. The Company periodically identifies, assesses, and risk rates the business, commercial, operational, financial, and personal risks associated with its products and services. A cross-section of corporate and business segment executives meets quarterly to review the identified risks and assessed exposures and suggest changes to the Finance Committee as warranted. This management group reports to the Finance Committee at each regularly scheduled meeting and follows through on any action items requested by the Finance Committee to further define risk, assess or improve a mitigation initiative, or otherwise improve the overall enterprise risk management process.

Corporate Governance and Directors Nominating Committee

The functions of the Corporate Governance and Directors Nominating Committee (Nominating Committee) are to identify and recommend to the Board individuals qualified to be nominated for election to the Board; review the qualifications of the members of each committee (including the independence of directors) to ensure that each committee's membership meets applicable criteria established by the SEC and NYSE; recommend to the Board the members and Chairperson for each Board committee; periodically review and assess the Company's Corporate Governance Principles and the Company's Code of Business Conduct and Ethics and make recommendations for changes thereto to the Board; periodically review the Company's orientation program for new directors and the Company's practices for continuing education of existing directors; annually review director compensation and benefits and make recommendations to the Board regarding director compensation and benefits; review, approve, and ratify all transactions with related persons that are required to be disclosed under the rules of the SEC; annually conduct an individual director performance review of each incumbent director; and oversee the annual self-evaluation of the performance of the Board. Each of the members of the Nominating Committee is an independent director under the NYSE listing standards. The Nominating Committee met three times during 2010.

In performing its annual review of director compensation, the Nominating Committee utilizes independent compensation consultants from time to time to assist in making its recommendations to the Board. The Nominating Committee reviewed the director compensation for 2010 and determined it was appropriate and not in need of modification.

The Nominating Committee will consider director candidates recommended to it by stockholders. In considering candidates submitted by stockholders, the Nominating Committee will take into consideration the needs of the Board and the qualifications of the candidate. To have a candidate considered by the Nominating Committee, a stockholder

must submit the recommendation in writing and must include the following information:

The name of the stockholder, evidence of the person's ownership of Company stock, including the number of shares owned and the length of time of ownership, and a description of all arrangements or understandings regarding the submittal between the stockholder and the recommended candidate; and

Table of Contents

The name, age, business, and residence addresses of the candidate, the candidate's résumé or a listing of his or her qualifications to be a director of the Company, and the person's consent to be a director if selected by the Nominating Committee, nominated by the Board, and elected by the stockholders.

The stockholder recommendation and information described above must be sent to the Corporate Secretary at 2525 Stemmons Freeway, Dallas, Texas 75207 and must be received by the Corporate Secretary not less than 120 days prior to the anniversary date of the date the Company's proxy statement was released in connection with the previous year's Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

The Nominating Committee believes that the qualifications for serving as a director of the Company are that a nominee demonstrate depth of experience at the policy-making level in business, government or education, possess the ability to make a meaningful contribution to the Board's oversight of the business and affairs of the Company and a willingness to exercise independent judgment, and have an impeccable reputation for honest and ethical conduct in both his or her professional and personal activities. In addition, the Nominating Committee examines a candidate's time availability, the candidate's ability to make analytical and probing inquiries, and financial independence to ensure he or she will not be financially dependent on director compensation.

The Nominating Committee identifies potential nominees by asking, from time to time, current directors and executive officers for their recommendation of persons meeting the criteria described above who might be available to serve on the Board. The Nominating Committee also may engage firms that specialize in identifying director candidates. As described above, the Nominating Committee will also consider candidates recommended by stockholders.

Once a person has been identified by the Nominating Committee as a potential candidate, the Nominating Committee makes an initial determination regarding the need for additional Board members to fill vacancies or expand the size of the Board. If the Nominating Committee determines that additional consideration is warranted, the Nominating Committee will review such information and conduct interviews as it deems necessary to fully evaluate each director candidate. In addition to the qualifications of a candidate, the Nominating Committee will consider such relevant factors as it deems appropriate, including the current composition of the Board, the evaluations of other prospective nominees, and the need for any required expertise on the Board or one of its committees. The Nominating Committee also contemplates multiple dynamics that promote and advance diversity amongst its members. Although the Nominating Committee does not have a formal diversity policy, the Nominating Committee considers a number of factors regarding diversity of personal and professional backgrounds (both domestic and international), national origins, specialized skills and acumen, and breadth of experience in industry, manufacturing, financing transactions, and business combinations. The Nominating Committee's evaluation process will not vary based on whether or not a candidate is recommended by a stockholder.

Human Resources Committee

The Human Resources Committee (the HR Committee) makes recommendations to the Board of Directors in its responsibilities relating to the fair and competitive compensation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer. The HR Committee has been delegated authority by the Board of Directors to make compensation decisions with respect to the other named executive officers. Each of the members of the HR Committee is an independent director under the NYSE listing standards. The HR Committee met five times during 2010.

The HR Committee reviews management succession and approves awards under the Company's incentive compensation and equity based plans. The HR Committee annually evaluates the leadership and performance of Mr. Wallace, the Company's Chairman, Chief Executive Officer, and President (collectively referred to as the CEO).

The HR Committee annually recommends to the Company's independent directors the total compensation for the CEO. The independent directors are responsible for approving the CEO's compensation. The CEO provides to the HR Committee his assessment of the performance of his direct reports. The HR Committee also has access to the Company's key leaders. The HR Committee reviews and approves compensation for the Chief Financial Officer (the CFO) and the other executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table. The CEO, the CFO, and the other executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table are referred to in this proxy statement as the named executive officers.

Table of Contents

The Role of the Compensation Consultant

The HR Committee hires independent executive compensation consultants to provide an assessment of the Company's executive compensation program and to perform five key tasks. The consultants (i) review and assist in the design of the Company's compensation programs, (ii) provide insight into compensation best practices used by other companies, (iii) benchmark the Company's compensation pay levels with relevant industry surveys, (iv) provide proxy disclosure information for comparator companies, and (v) provide input to the HR Committee on the structure and overall competitiveness of the Company's compensation programs.

The HR Committee retained the services of Hewitt Consulting and, upon its spin-off from Hewitt Consulting, Meridian Compensation Partners, LLC (both Hewitt Consulting and Meridian Compensation Partners, LLC are collectively referred to in this proxy statement as the Compensation Consultant), an internationally-recognized compensation consulting firm, to assist in providing an independent assessment of the executive compensation program. Since its spin-off from Hewitt Consulting, Meridian Compensation Partners, LLC has been the HR Committee's sole compensation consultant. The Compensation Consultant reported directly to the HR Committee for the purposes of advising it on matters relating to 2010 compensation. The services of the Compensation Consultant were used only in conjunction with executive compensation matters. The Compensation Consultant was not retained by the Company for any other purpose.

The HR Committee instructed the Compensation Consultant to provide analyses, insight, and benchmarking information for 2010 on the 25 highest compensated executives to determine whether the compensation packages for these executives were competitive with the market and met the objective of the Company to attract, hire, and retain the best talent. The Compensation Consultant was instructed to:

- review the total direct compensation (base salary, annual incentive, and long term incentive);

- confirm that the comparator companies selected by the HR Committee were appropriate; and

- gather publicly traded comparator company proxies and market surveys to ascertain market competitive rates specifically for the named executive officers.

The Compensation Consultant benchmarked all components of compensation for 2010, excluding the Executive Perquisite Allowance, and determined the 50th percentile (market median) and the 75th percentile for each position.

The Role of Management

The CEO, the CFO, and the Vice President of Human Resources work with the HR Committee and the Compensation Consultant to develop the framework and design the plans for all compensation components. The CEO and CFO recommend the financial performance measurements for the annual incentive awards and the long term performance-based restricted stock awards, subject to HR Committee approval. The HR Committee recommends to the independent directors Mr. Wallace's compensation for their approval. The CFO certifies as to the achievement of these financial performance measures. The Vice President of Human Resources implements compensation-related policies and procedures and oversees the execution of each plan. The CEO makes recommendations to the HR Committee on compensation for each of the other named executive officers.

The Role of the HR Committee

The HR Committee annually reviews management's assessment of the performance of the 25 highest paid executives of the Company and its subsidiaries. The review is conducted prior to the year in which any adjustment to base salary,

annual incentive or long term incentive becomes effective. Both annual incentives and long term incentives are established as a percent of base salary with threshold, target, and maximum payout levels.

The HR Committee realizes that benchmarking and comparing peer group proxy disclosures require certain levels of interpretation due to the complexities associated with executive compensation plans. The HR Committee uses the benchmarking information and the peer group proxy disclosures provided by the Compensation Consultant as general guidelines and retains the right to make adjustments to compensation levels based on what the HR Committee believes is in the best interests of the Company's stockholders. The HR Committee uses its judgment

Table of Contents

and bases its consideration of each executive's compensation on past and expected future performance in respect to specific financial, strategic, and operating objectives; the scope of each executive's responsibilities within the Company; the executive's value to the Company; and competitive market survey data that establishes the market ranges against which compensation is benchmarked.

Board's Role in Risk Oversight

The Audit Committee has the responsibility to oversee the Company's policies and procedures relating to risk assessment, management, and mitigation. The Finance Committee has the responsibility to review and assess risk exposure related to the Company's operations, including safety, environmental, financial, contingent liabilities, and other risks which may be material to the Company, as well as the activities of management in identifying, assessing, and mitigating against business, commercial, operational, financial, and personal risks associated with the Company's products and services. The Finance Committee accomplishes this responsibility as described in Corporate Governance Board Committees Finance and Risk Committee. In addition, the Audit Committee, in its discretion, reviews the Company's major risks and exposures, including (i) any special-purpose entities, complex financing transactions and related off-balance sheet accounting matters; and (ii) legal matters that may significantly impact the Company's financial statements or risk management.

Risk Assessment of Compensation Policies and Practices

The Company conducts a detailed risk assessment of its compensation policies and practices for its employees, including its executive officers. The Company's Internal Audit group reviews the Company's compensation policies and practices (the Compensation Policies), and meets with the Company's management to discuss risks presented by the Compensation Policies. Based on these discussions, and a review of the Compensation Policies, the Internal Audit group assesses the likelihood and potential impact of the risk presented by the Compensation Policies.

The Internal Audit group presents its findings to an internal committee consisting of a cross-section of corporate and business segment executives that meets quarterly to review identified risks and assess exposures. This committee considers the Internal Audit group's findings and assessments. This committee has concluded that the Compensation Policies are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

Messrs. Echols, Gafford, Haddock, Hay, Rock, and Dr. Natalicio served on the HR Committee during the last completed fiscal year. None of the members of the HR Committee has ever served as an executive officer or employee of the Company or any of its subsidiaries. There were no compensation committee interlocks during 2010.

Communications with Directors

The Board has established a process to receive communications from stockholders and other interested parties by mail. Stockholders and other interested parties may contact any member of the Board, including the Presiding Director, Mr. Best, or the non-management directors as a group, any Board committee or any chair of any such committee. To communicate with the Board of Directors, any individual director or any group or committee of directors, correspondence should be addressed to the Board of Directors or any such individual director or group or committee of directors by either name or title. All such correspondence should be sent c/o Corporate Secretary at 2525 Stemmons Freeway, Dallas, Texas 75207.

All communications received as set forth in the preceding paragraph will be opened by the office of the Corporate Secretary for the sole purpose of determining whether the contents represent a message to directors. Any contents that

are not in the nature of advertising, promotions of a product or service, or offensive material will be forwarded promptly to the addressee. In the case of communications to the Board or any group or committee of directors, the Corporate Secretary will make sufficient copies of the contents to send to each director who is a member of the group or committee to which the envelope is addressed.

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

The Board of Directors currently consists of twelve members, but will decrease to eleven effective with Mr. Hay's retirement at the time of the Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Following a recommendation from the Nominating Committee, each of the members of the Board of Directors other than Mr. Hay has been nominated by the Board for election at the Annual Meeting to hold office until the next Annual Meeting or the election of their respective successors. The director nominees are John L. Adams, Rhys J. Best, David W. Biegler, Leldon E. Echols, Ronald J. Gafford, Ronald W. Haddock, Adrian Lajous, Charles W. Matthews, Diana S. Natalicio, Douglas L. Rock, and Timothy R. Wallace. The Board of Directors has determined that all of the director nominees other than Mr. Wallace are independent directors. Mr. Wallace is our Chairman, Chief Executive Officer, and President. Therefore, the Board of Directors has concluded that Mr. Wallace is not an independent director.

The Board of Directors believes that each of the director nominees possesses the qualifications described above in Corporate Governance Board Committees Corporate Governance and Directors Nominating Committee. That is, the Board believes that each nominee possesses: (i) deep experience at the policy making level in business, government or education, (ii) the ability to make a meaningful contribution to the Board's oversight of the business and affairs of the Company, (iii) a willingness to exercise independent judgment, and (iv) an impeccable reputation for honest and ethical conduct in both his or her professional and personal activities.

The information provided below is biographical information about each of the nominees, as well as a description of the experience, qualifications, attributes or skills that led the Board to conclude that the individual should be nominated for election as a director of the Company.

Nominees

Timothy R. Wallace, 57. Director since 1992. Mr. Wallace has been Chairman, Chief Executive Officer, and President of the Company since 1999. From June 2004 until March 2008, Mr. Wallace was a director of MoneyGram International, Inc., a payment service and money transfer business.

Mr. Wallace joined the Company in 1975. During his long tenure with the Company, Mr. Wallace has consistently shown strong performance in a variety of roles, requiring a wide range of business and interpersonal skills. He has provided excellent leadership to the Company in his current positions, exhibiting sound judgment and business acumen.

John L. Adams, 66. Director since 2007. Mr. Adams is Chairman of the Finance and Risk Committee. Mr. Adams served as Executive Vice President of the Company from January 1999 to June 2005, serving thereafter on a part time basis as Vice Chairman until leaving the employ of the Company to join the Board of Directors in March 2007. Since 2007, he has served on several corporate and not-for-profit boards. Mr. Adams is the Chairman of the board and a director of Group 1 Automotive, Inc., a company engaged in the ownership and operation of automotive dealerships and collision centers. He also serves on the audit committee and is a director of Dr Pepper Snapple Group, Inc., a company that is a leading brand owner, bottler, and distributor of non-alcoholic beverages in the U.S., Canada, and Mexico.

As a result of his past employment by the Company, Mr. Adams brings significant knowledge and understanding of the Company's operations and business environment. In addition, he has experience as a senior executive in the banking industry, which provides the Board with experience in managing financing transactions. His service on the

boards of other significant companies provides the Board with additional perspective on the Company's operations.

Rhys J. Best, 64. Director since 2005. Mr. Best is Chairman of the Corporate Governance and Directors Nominating Committee, and a member of the Finance and Risk Committee and the Audit Committee. Mr. Best served, beginning in 1999, as Chairman, President, and CEO of Lone Star Technologies, Inc., a company engaged in the production and marketing of casing, tubing, line pipe, and couplings for the oil and gas, industrial, automotive, and power generation industries. He was also a director of, and remained in these positions with, Lone Star Technologies, Inc., until its acquisition by United States Steel Corporation in June 2007. Mr. Best has been engaged

Table of Contents

in private investments since 2007. He is also Chairman of Crosstex Energy, L.P., an energy company engaged in the gathering, transmission, treating, processing, and marketing of natural gas and natural gas liquids. He is a member of the board of directors of Cabot Oil & Gas Corporation, a leading North American oil and gas exploration and production company; Austin Industries, Inc., a civil, commercial, and industrial construction company; McJunkin Red Man Corporation, a company engaged in the distribution of industrial PVF products, serving the refining, chemical, petrochemical, gas distribution and transmission, oil and gas exploration and production, pharmaceutical, and power generation industries; and Commercial Metals Corporation, which recycles, manufactures, and markets steel and metal products and related materials.

Mr. Best has broad experience in managing and leading significant industrial enterprises. His service on the boards of other significant companies provides the Board with additional perspective on the Company's operations, including its international operations and future international opportunities.

David W. Biegler, 64. Director since 1992. Mr. Biegler is a member of the Audit Committee, the Corporate Governance and Directors Nominating Committee, and the Finance and Risk Committee. Mr. Biegler serves as the Chairman and CEO of Southcross Energy, LLC, a company engaged in natural gas transportation and processing. He retired as Vice Chairman of TXU Corp. at the end of 2001, having served TXU Corp. as President and Chief Operating Officer from 1997–2001. Mr. Biegler is also a director of Dynegy Inc., a company engaged in power generation; Southwest Airlines, Inc., a major domestic airline; Animal Health International, a company engaged in selling and distributing animal health products; and Austin Industries, Inc., a civil, commercial, and industrial construction company. In addition, Mr. Biegler served as a director of Guaranty Financial Group Inc., a company conducting consumer and business banking activities, from February 2008 until August 2009.

Mr. Biegler has broad experience in managing and leading significant industrial enterprises. His service on the boards of other significant companies provides the Board with additional perspective on the Company's operations.

Leldon E. Echols, 55. Director since 2007. Mr. Echols is Chairman of the Audit Committee and a member of the Human Resources Committee. He served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Centex Corporation (Centex) from 2000–2006 when he retired. Prior to joining Centex, he spent 22 years with Arthur Andersen LLP and served as Managing Partner, Audit Practice for the North Texas, Colorado, and Oklahoma Region from 1997–2000. Mr. Echols is a certified public accountant and a member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the Texas Society of CPAs. Mr. Echols has been engaged in private investments since 2006. He is a member of the board of directors and Chairman of the audit committee of Crosstex Energy, L.P., an energy company engaged in the gathering, transmission, treating, processing, and marketing of natural gas and natural gas liquids and Crosstex Energy, Inc., a company holding partnership interests of Crosstex Energy, L.P. He is also a member of the board of directors and Chairman of the audit committees of Holly Corporation, an independent petroleum refiner, and Roofing Supply Group Holdings, Inc., a privately-held company engaged in the distribution of roofing and related construction materials. In addition, Mr. Echols served as a director of TXU Corp. from August 2005 until October 2007.

In addition to having gained substantial managerial experience as an executive officer of Centex, Mr. Echols possesses important skills and experience gained through his service as a certified public accountant. His service on the boards of other significant companies provides the Board with additional perspective on the Company's operations.

Ronald J. Gafford, 61. Director since 1999. Mr. Gafford is a member of the Human Resources Committee and the Corporate Governance and Directors Nominating Committee. Mr. Gafford has been President and Chief Executive Officer of Austin Industries, Inc., a civil, commercial, and industrial construction company, since 2001 and Chairman since 2008. From July 2005 until September 2007, Mr. Gafford served as a member of the board of directors of Chaparral Steel Company, a leading supplier of structural steel and steel bar products.

Mr. Gafford has broad experience in managing and leading a significant industrial enterprise. His service as the Chief Executive Officer of Austin Industries, Inc. provides the Board with additional perspective on the Company's operations.

Ronald W. Haddock, 70. Director since 2005. Mr. Haddock is a member of the Human Resources Committee and the Audit Committee. Mr. Haddock was Chief Executive Officer of FINA, Inc. from December 1989 until his

Table of Contents

retirement in July 2000. He was also the Executive Chairman, CEO, and director of Prisma Energy International, a power generation, power distribution, and natural gas distribution company from August 2003 until its acquisition by Ashmore Energy International Limited. He currently serves as Chairman of the board of AEI Services, LLC, an international power generator and distributor and natural gas distribution company; Rubicon Offshore International, an offshore oil storage and production well services company based in Singapore; and Safety-Kleen Systems, Inc., an environmental services, oil recycling, and refining company; and is a director of Alon USA Energy, Inc., a petroleum refining and marketing company; and Petron, a refining and marketing company based in the Philippines.

Mr. Haddock has broad experience in managing and leading significant enterprises. His service on the boards of other significant companies provides the Board with additional perspective on the Company's operations, including its international opportunities.

Adrian Lajous, 67. Director since 2006. Mr. Lajous is a member of the Audit Committee and the Finance and Risk Committee. Mr. Lajous has been Senior Energy Advisor for McKinsey & Company, a management consulting firm, and President of Petrométrica, S.C., an energy consulting company, since 2001. Mr. Lajous served Pemex in several capacities between 1982 and 1999, having served as Director General and CEO from 1994-1999. Mr. Lajous is Chairman of the Oxford Institute for Energy Studies and is a director of Schlumberger, Ltd., an oilfield services company supplying technology, project management, and information solutions to the oil and gas industry; and Ternium, S.A., a company engaged in the production and distribution of semi-finished and finished steel products.

Mr. Lajous has broad experience in managing and leading significant industrial enterprises in Mexico, where the Company has a number of operations. His service on the boards of other significant companies provides the Board with additional perspective on the Company's operations.

Charles W. Matthews, 66. Director since 2010. Mr. Matthews is a member of the Corporate Governance and Directors Nominating Committee. Mr. Matthews served Exxon Mobil Corporation, one of the leading global energy companies in the world, and its predecessor, Exxon Corporation, in several capacities in its legal department since 1971 before being appointed Vice President and General Counsel in 1995 until his retirement in 2010. He is a member of the board of directors of Cullen/Frost Bankers, Inc., a financial holding company and bank holding company.

During his long employment at Exxon Mobil Corporation, Mr. Matthews accumulated broad experience in legal, managerial, and other matters in the energy industry around the world.

Diana S. Natalicio, 71. Director since 1996. Dr. Natalicio is a member of the Human Resources Committee. Dr. Natalicio has been President of the University of Texas at El Paso since 1988. Dr. Natalicio was appointed by President George H.W. Bush to the Commission on Educational Excellence for Hispanic Americans and by President William J. Clinton to the National Science Board and to the President's Committee on the Arts and Humanities. Under the Board's retirement policy applicable to Dr. Natalicio, this will be the last year that she will be nominated for election to the Board.

During her long tenure at the University of Texas at El Paso, Dr. Natalicio has gained deep experience in dealing with a broad range of constituencies and competing interests. In addition, her service as a Presidential appointee has given her experience in working with significant governmental and civic officials across the political spectrum.

Douglas L. Rock, 64. Director since 2010. Mr. Rock is a member of the Audit Committee and the Human Resources Committee. From 1990 to August 2010, Mr. Rock served as the Chairman of the board of directors of Smith International, Inc., an oilfield services company. Mr. Rock joined Smith International, Inc. in 1974 and served as Chief Executive Officer, President and Chief Operating Officer from March 1989 until December 2008. From 2004 until 2009, he served as a director of MoneyGram International, Inc., a payment service and money transfer business,

and from 1999 until 2008 he served as a director of CE Franklin Ltd., a distributor of pipe, valves, flanges, fittings, production and process control equipment, tubular products and other general oilfield supplies to the oil and gas industry in Canada.

Mr. Rock has broad experience in managing and leading a significant industrial enterprise. His recent service on the boards of other significant companies provides the Board with additional perspective on the Company s

Table of Contents

operations. Mr. Rock was recommended to the Nominating Committee for service as a director by Mr. Best and Mr. Hay.

The Board of Directors recommends that you vote FOR all of the Nominees.

PROPOSAL 2 ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The Company seeks a non-binding advisory vote from its stockholders regarding the compensation of its executive officers as described in this proxy statement.

As discussed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this proxy statement, the Company's long term strategic corporate vision is to be a premier multi-industry growth company that provides superior value to stockholders. The Board of Directors believes that realization of this vision depends in large measure on the talents of the Company's employees. The Company's compensation system plays a significant role in its ability to attract, motivate, and retain a high quality workforce. As described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the Company's executive compensation program (i) encourages high levels of performance and accountability, (ii) aligns the interests of executives with those of stockholders, (iii) links compensation to business objectives and strategies, and (iv) takes into account, as appropriate, the cyclical nature of the Company's businesses.

This proposal provides stockholders the opportunity to endorse or not endorse the Company's executive compensation program through the following resolution:

Resolved, that the compensation paid to the Company's named executive officers, as disclosed pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, compensation tables and narrative discussion is hereby approved.

Because this is an advisory vote, it will not be binding upon the Board of Directors. However, the HR Committee will take into account the outcome of the vote when considering future executive compensation arrangements.

The Board of Directors recommends that you vote FOR approval of this resolution.

PROPOSAL 3 ADVISORY VOTE ON THE FREQUENCY OF ADVISORY VOTES ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The Company seeks a non-binding advisory vote from its stockholders regarding the desired frequency for holding an advisory vote to approve the compensation of our executive officers as described in our annual proxy statements.

This proposal gives stockholders the opportunity to express their views as to whether the advisory vote on the Company's executive compensation program should occur every one, two, or three years. Because this vote is advisory, it will not be binding upon the Board of Directors. However, the Board of Directors will take into account the outcome of the vote when deciding the frequency of the non-binding advisory vote on the Company's executive compensation program.

The Board of Directors recommends that a non-binding advisory vote to approve the compensation of our executive officers as disclosed in the Company's proxy statements occur annually.

While the Board believes this recommendation is appropriate at this time, stockholders are not voting to approve or disapprove this recommendation, but are instead asked to provide an advisory vote on whether the non-binding advisory vote on the approval of the Company's executive officer compensation should be held every one, two or three

years.

The Board of Directors recommends that you vote for ONE YEAR on this proposal.

Table of Contents**PROPOSAL 4 RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF ERNST & YOUNG LLP**

The Audit Committee has appointed Ernst & Young LLP (Ernst & Young) as independent registered public accounting firm of the Company for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2011, subject to ratification of stockholders.

The Company has been advised by Ernst & Young that the firm has no relationship with the Company or its subsidiaries other than that arising from the firm's engagement as auditors, tax advisors, and consultants.

Ernst & Young, or a predecessor of that firm, has been the auditors of the accounts of the Company each year since 1958. The Company has also been advised that representatives of Ernst & Young will be present at the Annual Meeting where they will have an opportunity to make a statement if they desire to do so and will be available to respond to appropriate questions.

Fees of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for Fiscal Years 2010 and 2009

The following table presents fees for professional audit services rendered by Ernst & Young for the audits of the Company's annual financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, and fees for other services rendered by Ernst & Young during those periods:

	2010	2009
Audit fees	\$ 2,527,600	\$ 2,404,500
Audit-related fees	139,911	51,200
Tax fees	208,248	288,957
All other fees	193,295	

Services rendered by Ernst & Young in connection with fees presented above were as follows:

Audit Fees

In fiscal years 2010 and 2009, audit fees include fees associated with the annual audit of the Company's financial statements, the assessment of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as integrated with the annual audit of the Company's financial statements, the quarterly reviews of the financial statements included in the Company's Form 10-Q filings, statutory audits in Mexico and Europe, and consents included in other SEC filings.

Audit-Related Fees

Audit-related fees include fees for employee benefit plan audits, use of online research tools, and certain compliance audits.

Tax Fees

Tax fees in fiscal years 2010 and 2009 include fees for tax advice, tax planning, and tax return review.

All Other Fees

All other fees consist of insurance claims services related to the flooding of the Company's facility in Ashland City, Tennessee. These services include advising the Company on the appropriate methodologies for preparation of insurance claims and assisting in the assembling, analyzing and organizing of accounting documentation with respect to recovery expenditures and business losses.

The Audit Committee pre-approves all audit and permissible non-audit services provided by Ernst & Young. These services may include audit services, audit-related services, tax services, and other services. The Audit Committee has adopted a policy for the pre-approval of services provided by Ernst & Young. In addition, the Audit Committee also may pre-approve particular services on a case-by-case basis. The Audit Committee has delegated pre-approval authority to the Chair of the Audit Committee. Pursuant to this delegation, the Chair must report any pre-approval decision by him to the Audit Committee at its first meeting after the pre-approval was obtained. Under

Table of Contents

this policy, pre-approval is generally provided for up to one year, and any pre-approval is detailed as to the particular services or category of services and includes an anticipated budget.

Report of the Audit Committee

We are a standing committee comprised of independent directors as independence is currently defined by SEC regulations and the applicable listing standards of the NYSE. The Board of Directors has determined that five of the members of the Audit Committee are audit committee financial experts as defined by applicable SEC rules. We operate under a written charter adopted by the Board of Directors. A copy of the charter is available free of charge on the Company's website at www.trin.net under the heading Investor Relations Governance.

We annually select the Company's independent auditors. That recommendation is subject to ratification by the Company's stockholders.

Management is responsible for the Company's internal controls and the financial reporting process. The independent auditors are responsible for performing an independent audit of the Company's consolidated financial statements in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and issuing a report thereon. As provided in our charter, our responsibilities include the monitoring and oversight of these processes.

Consistent with our charter responsibilities, we have met and held discussions with management and the independent auditors. In this context, management and the independent auditors represented to us that the Company's consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 were prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. We reviewed and discussed the consolidated financial statements with management and the independent auditors and discussed with the independent auditors matters required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, as amended.

The Company's independent auditors have also provided to us the written disclosures and the letter required by applicable requirements of The Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the independent auditor's communications with the Audit Committee, and we discussed with the independent auditors that firm's independence. We also considered whether the provision of non-audit services is compatible with maintaining the independent auditors' independence and concluded that such services have not impaired the auditors' independence.

Based upon our reviews and discussions with management and the independent auditors and our review of the representation of management and the report of the independent auditors to the Audit Committee, we recommended that the Board of Directors include the audited consolidated financial statements in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Audit Committee

Leldon E. Echols, Chairman
Rhys J. Best
David W. Biegler
Ronald W. Haddock
Adrian Lajous
Douglas L. Rock

The Board of Directors recommends that you vote FOR the ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2011.

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

The following Compensation Discussion and Analysis describes how the Human Resources Committee designed the executive compensation program and set individual pay for the executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table.

Executive Summary

The primary focus of the Company's executive compensation program is to encourage and reward behavior that promotes attainment of the Company's annual and long-term business goals. Those goals are set by management, under the oversight of the Board of Directors, and are designed to promote sustainable growth in stockholder value. As stockholders themselves, the Company's leaders are keenly focused on achieving these goals. The executive compensation programs for 2010, and the three-year performance period beginning with 2011, align with this approach.

Objectives of the Executive Compensation Program

The HR Committee's primary objectives for the Company's executive compensation program are to:

- attract, motivate, and retain the key executives needed to enhance the profitability of the Company;
- encourage the highest level of performance and accountability for the overall success of the Company;
- provide an incentive for long term value creation for our stockholders;
- align compensation with short term and long term business objectives and strategies, financial targets, and the core values of the Company; and
- take into account as appropriate the cyclical nature of the Company's businesses.

Design of the Executive Compensation Program

The Company's executive compensation program reinforces the importance of performance and accountability at both the individual and corporate achievement levels. The Company's executive compensation program is designed to:

- provide a reasonable balance between short term and long term compensation;
- provide a reasonable mix of fixed and incentive-based compensation;
- retain key executives through the cycles of our businesses;
- be competitive with the compensation comparator company group;
- use equity-based awards, stock ownership guidelines, and annual incentives that are linked to the approved budget and stockholder interests; and

be transparent and easy to understand.

Components of Compensation

The executive compensation program has four key components:

base salary;

an executive perquisite payment;

annual incentive plans designed to focus on short term performance; and

long term incentive plans designed to encourage executives to promote the Company's position as a premier, multi-industry growth company.

Table of Contents

The Named Executive Officers

The Board of Directors has delegated to the HR Committee oversight of the Company's executive compensation program. The HR Committee reviews and recommends to the independent directors the compensation for the CEO, and the independent directors approve the CEO's compensation. The HR Committee reviews and approves the compensation of the other named executive officers. The six named executive officers for 2010 were:

Timothy R. Wallace, Chairman, Chief Executive Officer, and President

James E. Perry, Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (formerly Vice President, Finance and Treasurer until May 3, 2010)

D. Stephen Menzies, Senior Vice President and Group President

William A. McWhirter, Senior Vice President and Group President (formerly Chief Financial Officer until May 3, 2010)

Antonio Carrillo, Vice President and Group President

S. Theis Rice, Vice President, Human Resources and Chief Legal Officer

Competitive Analysis through Benchmarking

The HR Committee retains the Compensation Consultant to perform a total compensation study and include benchmarking information on each of the named executive officers. During 2009 and 2010, the Compensation Consultant provided guidance for setting 2010 and 2011 base salaries, annual incentive compensation, and long term incentive compensation for executives.

The compensation study drew from published market surveys and peer group proxy disclosure data. The benchmarks for the 50th percentile (market median) and 75th percentile were derived from market survey data. The HR Committee selected comparator companies from which to compare proxy disclosure data based on criteria that included:

industry (manufacturing and industrial);

size (based on revenues, assets, market capitalization, and total number of employees);

competitiveness (companies that potentially compete with the Company for executive talent); and

comparable executive positions (companies with executive positions with similar breadth, complexity, and scope of responsibility).

A review of peer group proxy disclosures was conducted for each of the named executive officers as shown in Table 1. This table depicts companies with revenues ranging between +50% and -50% of Trinity's 2008 revenue of \$3.9 billion or asset values ranging between +50% and -50% of Trinity's asset value of \$4.9 billion.

Table of Contents

Table 1 Comparator Companies Used for Proxy Statement Data by Named Executive Officer

	CEO Timothy R. Wallace	VP/CFO James E. Perry⁽¹⁾	EVP/SVP D. Stephen Menzies	EVP/SVP William A. McWhirter⁽¹⁾	EVP/SVP Antonio Carrillo
ed panies	X	X	X	X	X
	X	X	X	X	X
any	X				
	X	X			
Iron	X	X	X		X
PLC	X	X	X	X	X
	X		X	X	X
ation	X	X	X	X	X
n	X	X	X	X	X
	X	X	X	X	X
aterials,	X	X	X	X	X
inc.	X	X			
ted	X	X			
s	X		X	X	X
pany	X	X	X	X	X
	X	X	X	X	X
stries,	X		X	X	X
r	17	13	13	12	13

⁽¹⁾ Benchmark study was conducted by the Compensation Consultant in May 2010 for Messrs. Perry and McWhirter.

As noted in Table 1, there were 17 comparator companies. Mr. Perry's position was compared against 13 of the 17 companies and was not compared against BJ Services Company, Crane Co., The Stanley Works, and Worthington Industries, Inc. because these entities had no CFO position with comparable responsibilities during 2009.

Mr. McWhirter's position was compared to 14 companies and the positions of Messrs. Menzies and Carrillo were compared against 13 of the 17 comparator companies. The positions of Messrs. McWhirter, Menzies, and Carrillo were not compared against BJ Services Company, Briggs & Stratton Corporation, Roper Industries, Inc. or Teleflex Incorporated and for Mr. McWhirter, Chicago Bridge & Iron Company N.V. because these entities did not report comparable operations positions. To capture and include directly applicable industry specific companies for their lines of business, the positions of Messrs. McWhirter, Menzies, and Carrillo were compared against two companies which were not included in our primary comparator group due to not meeting the revenue or asset ranges described above. These additional companies were Dover Corporation and Terex Corporation. Mr. Rice's position was compared against five comparator companies because his position was not included in the named executive officer disclosure of the other comparator companies.

In addition to the comparator company proxy statement data, comparator company data for base salary, annual cash incentives, and long term incentives was obtained from a combination of the following published survey sources: Mercer, 2009 Executive Compensation Survey (Mercer) and Hewitt, TCM Online Executive, United States 2009 Survey (Hewitt TCM). All the named executive officers were compared to the two surveys. Data for all compensation components from the two surveys reflected the Durable Goods Manufacturing industry for companies with corporate revenue ranging between \$2.5 billion and \$5.0 billion or business unit revenue ranging between \$0.6 billion and \$2.6 billion.

Table of Contents

Based on the Hewitt U.S. Salary Increase Survey 2009/2010, all published survey data was time-adjusted to January 1, 2010 using the survey-based annual adjustment factor of 3%.

After establishing the most appropriate job match for each published survey, the Compensation Consultant conducted an analysis of each compensation component using published industry survey data. In addition to the market survey study, a review of peer group proxy disclosures was conducted. The Compensation Consultant then met with Company management, including the CEO, to discuss similarities and differences in responsibilities between the Trinity positions and those in the peer group that could affect the levels of all compensation components. After these discussions and a review of the data from the peer group, the Compensation Consultant provided competitive market information for each executive position. The Compensation Consultant's analyses, along with the CEO's compensation recommendations for each named executive officer other than himself, were presented to the HR Committee.

For each named executive officer, the Compensation Consultant determined an overall 50th percentile (market median) and 75th percentile derived from the relevant published survey sources. The Compensation Consultant has advised the HR Committee that compensation is generally competitive if it falls within a range of 15% above or below the 50th percentile market data. The base salary, annual incentive target and long term incentive compensation target of each named executive officer for 2010 were compared to the 50th and 75th percentiles. For all elements of target compensation for 2010, the named executive officers were within +/-20% of the market median, except for Mr. Perry who is new to his position, as shown in Table 2. The HR Committee believes that the 2010 compensation target levels for the named executive officers were appropriate.

Table 2 2010 Base Salary, Annual Incentive Target, and Long Term Incentive Target Benchmark Data

Base Salary Benchmark Data⁽¹⁾	AIC Target Benchmark Data
---	--------------------------------------