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## ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NORTHFIELD BANCORP, INC. CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
September 30, 2010, and December 31, 2009 (In thousands, except per share amounts)

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { September } \\ 30, \\ 2010 \\ \text { (Unaudited) } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December } \\ 31, \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS: |  |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ | 10,927 | 10,183 |
| Interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions |  | 25,117 | 32,361 |
| Total cash and cash equivalents |  | 36,044 | 42,544 |
| Trading securities |  | 3,901 | 3,403 |
| Securities available-for-sale, at estimated fair value (encumbered \$281,834 in 2010 and $\$ 219,446$ in 2009) |  | 1,233,464 | 1,131,803 |
| Securities held-to-maturity, at amortized cost (estimated fair value of \$5,661 in 2010 and $\$ 6,930$ in 2009) (encumbered $\$ 0$ in 2010 and 2009) |  | 5,452 | 6,740 |
| Loans held-for-sale |  | 759 |  |
| Loans held-for-investment, net |  | 802,598 | 729,269 |
| Allowance for loan losses |  | $(20,929)$ | $(15,414)$ |
| Net loans held-for-investment |  | 781,669 | 713,855 |
| Accrued interest receivable |  | 8,068 | 8,054 |
| Bank owned life insurance |  | 74,034 | 43,751 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank of New York stock, at cost |  | 7,084 | 6,421 |
| Premises and equipment, net |  | 14,498 | 12,676 |
| Goodwill |  | 16,159 | 16,159 |
| Other real estate owned |  | 171 | 1,938 |
| Other assets |  | 12,546 | 14,930 |
| Total assets | \$ | 2,193,849 | 2,002,274 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY: LIABILITIES: |  |  |  |
| Deposits | \$ | 1,412,393 | 1,316,885 |
| Borrowings |  | 342,107 | 279,424 |
| Advance payments by borrowers for taxes and insurance |  | 1,829 | 757 |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities |  | 34,923 | 13,668 |
| Total liabilities |  | 1,791,252 | 1,610,734 |

## STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY:

| Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value; 10,000,000 shares authorized, none issued |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| or outstanding |  |  |
| Common stock, $\$ 0.01$ par value: $90,000,000$ shares authorized, $45,632,611$ |  |  |
| and 45,628,211 shares issued at September 30, 2010, and December 31, |  |  |
| 2009, respectively, 43,540,653 and 43,912,148 outstanding at September 30, | 456 |  |
| 2010, and December 31, 2009, respectively | 205,069 | 202,479 |
| Additional paid-in-capital | $(15,367)$ | $(15,807)$ |
| Unallocated common stock held by employee stock ownership plan | 219,722 | 212,196 |
| Retained earnings | 17,830 | 12,145 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | $(25,113)$ | $(19,929)$ |
| Treasury stock at cost; 2,091,958 and 1,716,063 shares at September 30, | 402,597 | 391,540 |
| 2010, and December 31, 2009, respectively |  |  |
| Total stockholders equity | $\$$ | $2,193,849$ |

See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.
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## Interest income:

| Loans | $\$ 11,908$ | 10,251 | $\$ 34,299$ | 28,075 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 8,224 | 10,382 | 25,837 | 32,420 |
| Other securities | 1,457 | 1,024 | 4,220 | 1,828 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank of New York dividends | 75 | 113 | 233 | 300 |
| Deposits in other financial institutions | 18 | 85 | 132 | 727 |


| Total interest income | 21,682 | 21,855 | 64,721 | 63,350 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest expense: | 3,197 | 4,345 | 10,531 | 13,888 |
| Deposits | 2,807 | 2,733 | 8,046 | 8,087 |
| Borrowings | 6,004 | 7,078 | 18,577 | 21,975 |
| Total interest expense |  |  |  |  |
|  | 15,678 | 14,777 | 46,144 | 41,375 |
| Net interest income | 3,398 | 2,723 | 8,126 | 7,466 |
| Provision for loan losses | 12,280 | 12,054 | 38,018 | 33,909 |


| Non-interest income: |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Fees and service charges for customer services | 631 | 691 | 1,920 | 2,066 |
| Income on bank owned life insurance | 565 | 440 | 1,502 | 1,311 |
| Gain on securities transactions, net | 423 | 337 | 1,568 | 477 |
| Other-than-temporary impairment losses on securities <br> Portion recognized in other comprehensive income <br> (before taxes) | $(962)$ | $(1,365)$ | $(962)$ | $(1,365)$ |
| Net impairment losses on securities recognized in <br> earnings | 808 | 1,189 | 808 | 1,189 |
| Other | $(154)$ | $(176)$ | $(154)$ | $(176)$ |
| Total non-interest income | 36 | 65 | 254 | 172 |


| Non-interest expense: |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Compensation and employee benefits | 4,830 | 4,484 | 13,829 | 12,573 |
| Occupancy | 1,332 | 1,118 | 3,711 | 3,311 |
| Furniture and equipment | 267 | 264 | 798 | 821 |
| Data processing | 644 | 595 | 1,893 | 2,028 |
| FDIC insurance | 452 | 408 | 1,337 | 1,885 |
| Professional fees | 2,220 | 519 | 3,074 | 1,521 |
| Other | 1,426 | 1,041 | 4,107 | 3,133 |
| Total non-interest expense | 11,171 | 8,429 | 28,749 | 25,272 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Income before income tax expense | 2,610 | 4,982 | 14,359 | 12,487 |
| Income tax expense | 215 | 1,795 | 4,397 | 4,443 |
| Net income | $\$ 2,395$ | 3,187 | $\$ 9,962$ | 8,044 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic and diluted earnings per share | $\$ 0.06$ | 0.08 | $\$$ | 0.24 |

See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.


Balance at
September 30, $2009 \quad 45,639,711 \quad 456 \quad 201,681 \quad(15,953) \quad 208,800 \quad 14,267 \quad(12,981) \quad 396,270$

Balance at
December 31, $2009 \quad 45,628,211 \quad 456 \quad 202,479 \quad(15,807) \quad 212,196 \quad 12,145 \quad(19,929) \quad 391,540$ Comprehensive income:
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Net income } & 9,962 & 9,962\end{array}$
Change in accumulated comprehensive income, net of tax of $\$ 3,541$ 5,685

5,685
Total
comprehensive income 15,647

ESOP shares
allocated or
committed to be released $144 \quad 440 \quad 584$
Stock
compensation
expense $\quad 2,215 \quad 2,215$
Additional tax benefit on equity awards 231
Exercise of stock options
Dividends
declared (\$0.14
per share)
$(2,410)$
Issuance of
Restricted Stock 4,400
Treasury stock
(average cost of
$\$ 12.00$ per share)

Balance at
September 30,
2010
$45,632,611 \quad \$ 456 \quad 205,069$
$(15,367) \quad 219,722$
$17,830 \quad(25,113)$
402,597
See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

## Table of Contents

## NORTHFIELD BANCORP, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

Nine months ended September 30, 2010, and 2009
(Unaudited) (In thousands)

|  | Nine months ended September 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 | 2009 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: |  |  |
| Net income | \$ 9,962 | 8,044 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: |  |  |
| Provision for loan losses | 8,126 | 7,466 |
| ESOP and stock compensation expense | 2,799 | 2,674 |
| Depreciation | 1,313 | 1,190 |
| Amortization of premiums, and deferred loan costs, net of (accretion) of discounts, and deferred loan fees | 793 | $(1,218)$ |
| Amortization of mortgage servicing rights | 99 | 84 |
| Income on bank owned life insurance | $(1,502)$ | $(1,311)$ |
| Gain on sale of premises and equipment | (197) |  |
| Net gain on sale of loans held-for-sale | (18) | (98) |
| Proceeds from sale of loans held-for-sale | 2,404 | 6,313 |
| Origination of loans held-for-sale | $(3,145)$ | $(6,572)$ |
| Gain on securities transactions, net | $(1,568)$ | (477) |
| Net impairment losses on securities recognized in earnings | 154 | 176 |
| Net purchases of trading securities | (102) | (377) |
| (Increase) decrease in accrued interest receivable | (14) | 428 |
| Increase in other assets | (19) | $(4,383)$ |
| Increase in accrued expenses and other liabilities | 1,242 | 1,684 |
| Amortization of core deposit intangible | 130 | 284 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 20,457 | 13,907 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: |  |  |
| Net increase in loans receivable | $(76,731)$ | $(78,900)$ |
| (Purchases) redemptions of Federal Home Loan Bank of New York stock, net | (663) | 2,809 |
| Purchases of securities available-for-sale | $(597,759)$ | (470,320) |
| Principal payments and maturities on securities available-for-sale | 365,339 | 309,482 |
| Principal payments and maturities on securities held-to-maturity | 1,292 | 3,497 |
| Proceeds from sale of securities available-for-sale | 161,010 | 1,998 |
| Purchases of certificates of deposit in other financial institutions |  | (63) |
| Proceeds from maturities of certificates of deposit in other financial institutions |  | 53,716 |
| Purchase of bank owned life insurance | $(28,781)$ |  |
| Proceeds from sale of other real estate owned | 400 |  |
| Proceeds from the sale of premises and equipment | 394 |  |
| Purchases and improvements of premises and equipment | $(3,332)$ | $(3,795)$ |
| Net cash used in investing activities | $(178,831)$ | $(181,576)$ |


| Cash flows from financing activities: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net increase in deposits |  | 95,508 | 268,994 |
| Dividends paid |  | $(2,410)$ | $(2,329)$ |
| Exercise of stock options |  | 137 |  |
| Purchase of treasury stock |  | $(5,347)$ | $(12,981)$ |
| Additional tax benefit on equity awards |  | 231 |  |
| Increase (decrease) in advance payments by borrowers for taxes and insurance |  | 1,072 | $(1,360)$ |
| Repayments under capital lease obligations |  | (138) | (119) |
| Proceeds from borrowings |  | 235,501 | 102,015 |
| Repayments related to borrowings |  | $(172,680)$ | $(150,500)$ |
| Net cash provided by financing activities |  | 151,874 | 203,720 |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents |  | $(6,500)$ | 36,051 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period |  | 42,544 | 50,128 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ | 36,044 | 86,179 |
| Supplemental cash flow information: |  |  |  |
| Cash paid during the period for: |  |  |  |
| Interest |  | 18,625 | 22,465 |
| Income taxes |  | 7,839 | 6,943 |
| Non-cash transactions: |  |  |  |
| Loans charged-off, net |  | 2,611 | 2,048 |
| Other real estate owned charged-off |  | 146 |  |
| Loan to finance sale of other real estate owned |  | 900 |  |
| Due to broker for purchases of securities available-for-sale |  | 20,013 |  |

See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.
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## NORTHFIELD BANCORP, INC. <br> Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

## Note 1 Basis of Presentation

The consolidated financial statements are comprised of the accounts of Northfield Bancorp, Inc., and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Northfield Bank (the Bank ), and the Bank s wholly-owned significant subsidiaries, NSB Services Corp. and NSB Realty Trust (collectively, the Company ). All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting solely of normal and recurring adjustments) necessary for the fair presentation of the consolidated financial condition and the consolidated results of operations for the unaudited periods presented have been included. The results of operations and other data presented for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2010, are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2010. Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

Certain information and note disclosures usually included in financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles have been condensed or omitted pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission ( SEC ) for the preparation of interim financial statements. The consolidated financial statements presented should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes to consolidated financial statements included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, of Northfield Bancorp, Inc. as filed with the SEC.

## Note 2 Securities Available-for-Sale

The following is a comparative summary of mortgage-backed securities and other securities available-for- sale at September 30, 2010, and December 31, 2009 (in thousands):

|  | Amortized cost |  | September 30, 2010 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Estimated } \\ \text { fair } \\ \text { value } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gross } \\ \text { unrealized } \\ \text { gains } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gross } \\ \text { unrealized } \\ \text { losses } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Mortgage-backed securities: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pass-through certificates: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government sponsored enterprises (GSE) | \$ | 304,726 | 16,362 |  | 321,088 |
| Non-GSE |  | 31,159 | 1,155 | 1,099 | 31,215 |
| Real estate mortgage investment conduits (REMICs): |  |  |  |  |  |
| GSE |  | 572,160 | 6,045 | 16 | 578,189 |
| Non-GSE |  | 78,230 | 4,785 | 52 | 82,963 |
|  |  | 986,275 | 28,347 | 1,167 | 1,013,455 |
| Other securities: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity investments-mutual funds |  | 15,825 | 88 | 60 | 15,853 |
| GSE bonds |  | 95,003 | 480 |  | 95,483 |
| Corporate bonds |  | 106,138 | 2,535 |  | 108,673 |
|  |  | 216,966 | 3,103 | 60 | 220,009 |
| Total securities available-for-sale |  | ,203,241 | 31,450 | 1,227 | 1,233,464 |
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|  | December 31, 2009 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amortized cost | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gross } \\ \text { unrealized } \\ \text { gains } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gross } \\ \text { unrealized } \\ \text { losses } \end{gathered}$ | Estimated fair value |
| Mortgage-backed securities: |  |  |  |  |
| Pass-through certificates: |  |  |  |  |
| Government sponsored enterprises (GSE) | \$ 404,128 | 13,932 |  | 418,060 |
| Non-GSE | 65,363 | 799 | 3,696 | 62,466 |
| Real estate mortgage investment conduits (REMICs): |  |  |  |  |
| GSE | 344,150 | 5,368 | 430 | 349,088 |
| Non-GSE | 111,756 | 2,627 | 189 | 114,194 |
|  | 925,397 | 22,726 | 4,315 | 943,808 |
| Other securities: |  |  |  |  |
| Equity investments-mutual funds | 21,820 | 52 |  | 21,872 |
| GSE bonds | 28,994 |  | 11 | 28,983 |
| Corporate bonds | 134,595 | 2,595 | 50 | 137,140 |
|  | 185,409 | 2,647 | 61 | 187,995 |
| Total securities available-for-sale | \$ 1,110,806 | 25,373 | 4,376 | 1,131,803 |

The following is a summary of the expected maturity distribution of debt securities available-for-sale, other than mortgage-backed securities, at September 30, 2010 (in thousands):

|  |  | Estimated <br> fair |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Available-for-sale | Amortized <br> cost | value |  |
| Due in one year or less | $\$ 5,732$ | 15,967 |  |
| Due after one year through five years | 185,409 | 188,189 |  |
|  | $\$$ | 201,141 | 204,156 |

Expected maturities on mortgage-backed securities may differ from contractual maturities as borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without penalties.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, the Company had gross proceeds of $\$ 64.9$ million and $\$ 161.0$ million on sales of securities available-for-sale with gross realized gains of approximately $\$ 117,000$ and $\$ 1.2$ million, and gross realized losses of approximately $\$ 4,000$ and $\$ 4,000$, respectively. For the nine months ended September 30, 2009, the Company had gross proceeds of $\$ 2.0$ million on sales of securities available-for-sale with gross realized gains and gross realized losses of approximately $\$ 7,000$ and $\$ 0$, respectively. There were no sales of securities during the quarter ended September 30, 2009. The Company recognized other-than-temporary impairment charges of $\$ 962,000$ during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 related to one private label mortgage-backed security. The Company recognized the credit component of $\$ 154,000$ in earnings and the non-credit component of $\$ 808,000$ as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax. The Company
recognized other-than-temporary impairment charges of $\$ 1.4$ million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 related to one private label mortgage-backed security. The Company recognized the credit component of $\$ 176,000$ in earnings and the non-credit component of $\$ 1.2$ million as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax.

Activity related to the credit component recognized in earnings on debt securities for which a portion of other-than-temporary impairment was recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, is as follows (in thousands):

7
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|  | Three months ended |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| September 30, |  |  | | Nine months ended |
| :---: |
| September 30, |

Gross unrealized losses on mortgage-backed securities, GSE bonds, and corporate bonds available-for-sale, and the estimated fair value of the related securities, aggregated by security category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position, at September 30, 2010, and December 31, 2009, were as follows (in thousands):

|  | Less tha | 12 months | September 30, 2010 <br> 12 months or more |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unrealized losses | Estimated fair value | Unrealized losses | Estimated fair value | Unrealized losses | Estimated fair value |
| Mortgage-backed securities: Pass-through certificates: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-GSE | \$ |  | 1,099 | 10,475 | 1,099 | 10,475 |
| REMICs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GSE | 16 | 5,090 |  |  | 16 | 5,090 |
| Non-GSE |  |  | 52 | 1,269 | 52 | 1,269 |
| Equity investments-mutual funds | 60 | 4,940 |  |  | 60 | 4,940 |
| Total | \$ 76 | 10,030 | 1,151 | 11,744 | 1,227 | 21,774 |


|  | Less than 12 months <br> Unrealized <br> losses |  | Estimated <br> fair value | 12 months or more <br> Unrealized <br> losses | Estimated <br> fair value | Unrealized <br> losses |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Estimated <br> fair value |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mortgage-backed securities: <br> Pass-through certificates: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-GSE | $\$$ | 1 | 1,462 | 3,695 | 27,832 | 3,696 |

Included in the above available-for-sale security amounts at September 30, 2010, were two pass-through non-GSE mortgage-backed securities in an unrealized loss position. These two securities, with an estimated fair value of
$\$ 10.5$ million (amortized cost of $\$ 11.6$ million), were rated less than AAA at September 30, 2010. Of the two securities, one had an estimated fair value of $\$ 4.5$ million (amortized cost of $\$ 4.8$ million), was rated CC, and was supported by collateral entirely originated in 2006. The second security had an estimated fair value of $\$ 5.9$ million (amortized cost of $\$ 6.7$ million), was rated Caa 2 , and had the following underlying collateral characteristics: $81 \%$ originated in 2004, and $19 \%$ originated in 2005 . The ratings of the securities detailed above represent the lowest rating for each security received from the rating agencies of Moody s, Standard \& Poor s, and Fitch. The Company continues to receive principal and interest payments in accordance with the contractual terms of each of these securities. Management has evaluated, among other things, delinquency status, location of collateral, estimated prepayment speeds, and the estimated default rates and loss severity in liquidating the underlying collateral for these two securities. As a result of management s evaluation of these securities, the Company recognized other-than-temporary impairment of $\$ 962,000$ on the $\$ 5.9$ million security that was rated Caa2. Since management does not have the intent to sell the security, and believes it is more likely than not that the Company will not be required to sell the security, before its anticipated recovery (which may be at maturity), the credit component of $\$ 154,000$ was recognized in earnings for the quarter ended September 30, 2010, and the non-credit component of $\$ 808,000$ was recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax.
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In evaluating the range of likely cash flows for the impaired private label security, the Company applied security specific and market assumptions, based on the credit characteristics of the security to a cash flow model. Under certain stress scenarios estimated future losses may arise. For the security in which the Company recorded other-than-temporary impairment in the third quarter of 2010, the average portfolio FICO score at origination was 724 and the weighted average loan to value ratio was $68.7 \%$. Cash flow assumptions incorporated an expected constant default rate of $6.3 \%$ and an ultimate loss on disposition of underlying collateral of $52.6 \%$. The security s cash flows were discounted at the security $s$ effective interest rate (the yield expected to be earned at date of purchase). Although management recognized other-than-temporary impairment charges on this security, the security continues to receive principal and interest payments in accordance with its contractual terms. For the pass-through non-GSE mortgage-backed security in which the Company recorded other-than-temporary impairment in the third quarter of 2009, the average portfolio FICO score at origination was 740 and the weighted average loan to value ratio was $70.3 \%$. In evaluating the likely cash flows of this security, the Company applied security specific assumptions that included an expected constant default rate of $6.8 \%$ and an ultimate loss on disposition of underlying collateral of $47.4 \%$. The security s cash flows were discounted at the security s effective interest rate (the yield expected to be earned at date of purchase).

The Company held one REMIC non-GSE mortgage-backed security, and two REMIC mortgage-backed securities issued or guaranteed by GSEs that were in an unrealized loss position, and rated investment grade at September 30, 2010. The decline in value relates to the general interest rate environment and is considered temporary. The security cannot be prepaid in a manner that would result in the Company not receiving substantially all of its amortized cost. The Company neither has an intent to sell, nor is it more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell, the securities contained in the table above before the recovery of their amortized cost basis or, if necessary, maturity.

The fair values of our securities could decline in the future if the underlying performance of the collateral for the mortgage-backed securities deteriorates and our credit enhancement levels do not provide sufficient protections to our contractual principal and interest. As a result, there is a risk that significant other-than-temporary impairments may occur in the future given the current economic environment.
Note 3 Net Loans Held-for-Investment
Net loans held-for-investment are as follows (in thousands):

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { September } \\ \text { 30, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { December } \\ 31, \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Real estate loans: |  |  |
| Commercial mortgage | \$ 341,098 | 327,802 |
| One- to- four family residential mortgage | 82,045 | 90,898 |
| Construction and land | 36,542 | 44,548 |
| Multifamily | 245,169 | 178,401 |
| Home equity and lines of credit | 29,710 | 26,118 |
| Total real estate loans | 734,564 | 667,767 |
| Commercial and industrial loans | 16,239 | 19,252 |
| Insurance premium loans | 49,518 | 40,382 |
| Other loans | 1,464 | 1,299 |
| Total commercial and industrial, insurance premium, and other loans | 67,221 | 60,933 |
| Total loans held-for-investment | 801,785 | 728,700 |
| Deferred loan cost, net | 813 | 569 |


| Loans held-for-investment, net | 802,598 | 729,269 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Allowance for loan losses | $(20,929)$ | $(15,414)$ |
| Net loans held-for-investment | $\$ 781,669$ | 713,855 |

Loans held-for-sale amounted to $\$ 759,000$ and $\$ 0$ at September 30, 2010, and December 31, 2009, respectively. The Company does not have any lending programs commonly referred to as subprime lending. Subprime lending generally targets borrowers with weakened credit histories typically characterized by payment delinquencies, previous charge-offs, judgments, bankruptcies, or borrowers with questionable repayment capacity as evidenced by low credit scores or high debt-burden ratios.
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Activity in the allowance for loan losses is as follows (in thousands):

|  | At or for the <br> nine months ended <br> September 30, |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ |
| Beginning balance | $\$ 15,414$ | 8,778 |
| Provision for loan losses | 8,126 | 7,466 |
| Charge-offs, net | $(2,611)$ | $(2,048)$ |
| Ending balance | $\$ 20,929$ | 14,196 |

Included in loans receivable are loans for which the accrual of interest income has been discontinued due to deterioration in the financial condition of the borrowers. The principal amount of these nonaccrual loans was $\$ 55.1$ million and $\$ 41.6$ million at September 30, 2010, and December 31, 2009, respectively. These amounts included loans deemed to be impaired of $\$ 48.5$ million and $\$ 36.8$ million at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. Loans on non-accrual status with principal balances less than $\$ 500,000$, and therefore not meeting the Company s definition of an impaired loan, amounted to $\$ 6.6$ million and $\$ 4.8$ million at September 30, 2010, and December 31, 2009, respectively. At September 30, 2010, the Company is under commitment to lend additional funds totaling $\$ 360,000$ to borrowers whose loans are on non-accrual status or who are past due 90 days or more and still accruing interest.

The following table summarizes non-performing loans and loans subject to restructuring agreements and still accruing (in thousands):

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { September } \\ \text { 30, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December } \\ 31, \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-accruing loans | \$ | 37,882 | 30,914 |
| Non-accruing loans subject to restructuring agreements |  | 17,261 | 10,717 |
| Total non-accruing loans |  | 55,143 | 41,631 |
| Loans 90 days or more past maturity and still accruing |  | 248 | 191 |
| Total non-performing loans | \$ | 55,391 | 41,822 |
| Loans subject to restructuring agreements and still accruing | \$ | 11,218 | 7,250 |
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The following tables summarize impaired loans (in thousands):


Included in the table above at September 30, 2010, are loans with carrying balances of $\$ 25.1$ million that were not written down either by charge-offs or specific reserves in our allowance for loan losses. Included in the table above at December 31, 2009, are loans with carrying balances of $\$ 12.7$ million that were not written down either by charge-offs or specific reserves in our allowance for loan losses. Loans not written down by charge-offs or specific reserves at September 30, 2010, and December 31, 2009, have sufficient collateral values supporting the carrying balances of the loans.

The average balance of impaired loans was $\$ 52.0$ million and $\$ 22.9$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, and 2009, respectively. The Company recorded $\$ 782,000$ and $\$ 1.9$ million of interest income on impaired loans for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively, compared to $\$ 318,000$ and $\$ 504,000$ of interest income on impaired loans for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, respectively.

As of September 30, 2010, we serviced $\$ 55.8$ million of loans for Freddie Mac. These one- to four-family residential mortgage real estate loans were underwritten to Freddie Mac guidelines and to comply with applicable federal, state, and local laws. At the time of the closing of these loans the Company owned the loans and subsequently sold them to Freddie Mac providing normal and customary representations and warranties, including representations and warranties related to compliance with Freddie Mac underwriting standards. At the time of sale, the loans were free from encumbrances except for the mortgages filed for by the Company which, with other underwriting documents, were subsequently assigned and delivered to Freddie Mac. At September 30, 2010, substantially all of the loans serviced for Freddie Mac were performing in accordance with their contractual terms and management believes that it has no material repurchase obligations associated with these loans.
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## Note 4 Deposits

Deposits are as follows (in thousands):

|  | September | December |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{3 0 ,}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 ,}$ |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ |
| Non-interest-bearing demand | $\$ 133,677$ | 110,015 |
| Interest-bearing negotiable orders of withdrawal (NOW) | 74,051 | 62,904 |
| Savings-passbook, statement, tiered, and money market | 636,373 | 564,593 |
| Certificates of deposit | 568,292 | 579,373 |
|  | $\$ 1,412,393$ | $1,316,885$ |

Interest expense on deposit accounts is summarized for the periods indicated (in thousands):

|  | Three months |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ended |  |  |
| September 30, |  |  |\(\left.\quad \begin{array}{c}Nine months ended <br>

September 30,\end{array}\right]\)

## Note 5 Equity Incentive Plan

The following table is a summary of the Company s stock options outstanding as of September 30, 2010, and changes therein during the nine months then ended:

|  | Number of Stock Options | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value |  | Weighted Average Exercise |  | Weighted Average <br> Contractual Life (years) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Outstanding- December 31, 2009 | 2,083,400 | \$ | 3.22 | \$ | 9.94 |  |
| Granted | 3,000 |  | 4.66 |  | 13.24 | 9.33 |
| Forfeited |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exercised | $(13,860)$ |  | 3.22 |  | 9.94 |  |
| Outstanding- September 30, 2010 | 2,072,540 | \$ | 3.22 | \$ | 9.94 | 8.34 |
| Exercisable- September 30, 2010 | 424,020 | \$ | 3.22 | \$ | 9.94 | 8.33 |

Expected future stock option expense related to the non-vested options outstanding as of September 30, 2010, is $\$ 4.5$ million over an average period of 3.3 years.

The fair value of stock options granted on January 30, 2010, was estimated utilizing the Black-Scholes option pricing model using the following assumptions: an expected life of 6.5 years utilizing the simplified method, risk-free rate of return of $2.90 \%$, volatility of $38.29 \%$ and a dividend yield of $1.81 \%$. The Company is expensing the grant date fair value of all employee and director share-based compensation over the requisite service periods on a straight-line basis.

The following is a summary of the status of the Company s restricted share awards as of September 30, 2010, and changes therein during the nine months then ended.
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|  | Weighted <br> Average |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number of | Shares | | Grant Date |
| ---: |
| Non-vested at December 31, 2009 |

Expected future stock award expense related to the non-vested restricted share awards as of September 30, 2010, is $\$ 5.5$ million over an average period of 3.3 years. On January $30,2010,174,830$ restricted shares vested. In connection with the vesting, the Company withheld 21,605 shares of common stock from employees (at their request) in satisfaction of minimum payroll taxes. On May 29, 2010, 840 restricted shares vested. In connection with the vesting, the Company withheld 334 shares of common stock from employees (at their request) in satisfaction of minimum payroll taxes.

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, the Company recorded $\$ 716,000$ and $\$ 2.2$ million of stock-based compensation, respectively. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, the Company recorded $\$ 835,000$ and $\$ 2.2$ million of stock-based compensation, respectively.

## Note 6- Fair Value Measurements

The following table presents the assets reported on the consolidated balance sheet at their estimated fair value as of September 30, 2010, and December 31, 2009, by level within the fair value hierarchy as required by the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topic of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification (ASC). Financial assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The fair value hierarchy is as follows:

Level 1 Inputs Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the reporting entity has the ability to access at the measurement date.

Level 2 Inputs Inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. These include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability (for example, interest rates, volatilities, prepayment speeds, loss severities, credit risks and default rates) or inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlations or other means.

Level 3 Inputs Significant unobservable inputs that reflect the Company s own assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the assets or liabilities.
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Available -for- Sale Securities: The estimated fair values for mortgage-backed, GSE and corporate securities are obtained from an independent nationally recognized third-party pricing service. The estimated fair values are derived primarily from cash flow models, which include assumptions for interest rates, credit losses, and prepayment speeds. Broker/dealer quotes are utilized as well when such quotes are available and deemed representative of the market. The significant inputs utilized in the cash flow models are based on market data obtained from sources independent of the Company (Observable Inputs), and are therefore classified as Level 2 within the fair value hierarchy. The estimated fair values of equity securities, classified as Level 1, are derived from quoted market prices in active markets. Equity securities consist of mutual funds. There were no transfers of securities between Level 1 and Level 2 during the quarter or nine months ended September 30, 2010.

Trading Securities: Fair values are derived from quoted market prices in active markets. The assets consist of publicly traded mutual funds.

Impaired Loans: At September 30, 2010, and December 31, 2009, the Company had impaired loans with outstanding principal balances of $\$ 34.5$ million and $\$ 31.4$ million, that were recorded at their estimated fair value of $\$ 32.5$ million and $\$ 29.0$ million, respectively. The Company recorded impairment charges of $\$ 2.0$ million and $\$ 2.3$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and charge-offs of $\$ 1.6$ million and $\$ 2.6$ million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively, compared to charge-offs of $\$ 600,000$ and $\$ 2.0$ million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, respectively, utilizing Level 3 inputs. For purposes of estimating fair value of impaired loans, management utilizes independent appraisals, if the loan is collateral dependent, adjusted downward by management, as necessary, for changes in relevant valuation factors subsequent to the appraisal date, or the present value of expected future cash flows for non-collateral dependent loans and troubled debt restructurings.

Other Real Estate Owned: At September 30, 2010, and December 31, 2009, the Company had assets acquired through foreclosure of $\$ 171,000$ and $\$ 1.9$ million, respectively, recorded at estimated fair value, less estimated selling costs when acquired, thus establishing a new cost basis. Fair value is generally based on independent appraisals. These appraisals include adjustments to comparable assets based on the appraisers market knowledge and experience, and are considered Level 3 inputs. When an asset is acquired, the excess of the loan balance over fair value, less estimated selling costs, is charged to the allowance for loan losses. If the estimated fair value of the asset declines, a write-down is recorded through non-interest expense. The valuation of foreclosed assets is subjective in nature and may be adjusted in the future because of changes in economic conditions. Subsequent valuation adjustments to other real estate owned (REO) totaled $\$ 0$ and $\$ 146,000$, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively, reflecting continued deterioration in estimated fair values. The remaining reduction to REO was a result of sales. There were subsequent valuation adjustments to other real estate owned totaling $\$ 60,000$ and $\$ 138,000$ for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, respectively. Operating costs after acquisition are expensed.

## Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The FASB ASC Topic for Financial Instruments requires disclosure of the fair value of financial assets and financial liabilities, including those financial assets and financial liabilities that are not measured and reported at fair value on a recurring or non-recurring basis. The methodologies for estimating the fair value of financial assets and financial liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring or non-recurring basis are discussed above. The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of other financial assets and financial liabilities not already discussed above:

## (a) Cash, Cash Equivalents, and Certificates of Deposit

Cash and cash equivalents are short-term in nature with original maturities of three months or less; the carrying amount approximates fair value. Certificates of deposit having original terms of six-months or less; carrying value generally approximates fair value. Certificates of deposit with an original maturity of six months or greater, the fair value is derived from discounted cash flows.
(b) Securities (Held to Maturity)

The fair values for substantially all of our securities are obtained from an independent nationally recognized pricing service. The independent pricing service utilizes market prices of same or similar securities whenever such prices are available. Prices involving distressed sellers are not utilized in determining fair value. Where necessary, the
independent third-party pricing service estimates fair
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value using models employing techniques such as discounted cash flow analyses. The assumptions used in these models typically include assumptions for interest rates, credit losses, and prepayments, utilizing market observable data where available.
(c) Federal Home Loan Bank of New York Stock

The fair value for Federal Home Loan Bank of New York stock is its carrying value, since this is the amount for which it could be redeemed and there is no active market for this stock.

## (d) Loans

Fair values are estimated for portfolios of loans with similar financial characteristics. Loans are segregated by type such as residential mortgage, construction, land, multifamily, commercial and consumer. Each loan category is further segmented into amortizing and non-amortizing and fixed and adjustable rate interest terms and by performing and nonperforming categories. The fair value of loans is estimated by discounting the future cash flows using current prepayment assumptions and current rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the same remaining maturities. This method of estimating fair value does not incorporate the exit price concept of fair value prescribed by the FASB ASC Topic for Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures.
(e) Deposits

The fair value of deposits with no stated maturity, such as non-interest-bearing demand deposits, savings, NOW and money market accounts, is equal to the amount payable on demand. The fair value of certificates of deposit is based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows. The discount rate is estimated using the rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities.

## (f) Commitments to Extend Credit and Standby Letters of Credit

The fair value of commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit is estimated using the fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the present creditworthiness of the counterparties. For fixed-rate loan commitments, fair value also considers the difference between current levels of interest rates and the committed rates. The fair value of off-balance-sheet commitments is insignificant and therefore not included in the following table.

## (g) Borrowings

The fair value of borrowings is estimated by discounting future cash flows based on rates currently available for debt with similar terms and remaining maturity.

## (h) Advance Payments by Borrowers

Advance payments by borrowers for taxes and insurance have no stated maturity; the fair value is equal to the amount currently payable.
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The estimated fair values of the Company s significant financial instruments at September 30, 2010, and December 31, 2009, are presented in the following table (in thousands):

|  | September 30, 2010 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Carrying value | Estimated Fair value | Carrying value | Estimated Fair value |
| Financial assets: |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 36,044 | 36,044 | 42,544 | 42,544 |
| Trading securities | 3,901 | 3,901 | 3,403 | 3,403 |
| Securities available-for- sale | 1,233,464 | 1,233,464 | 1,131,803 | 1,131,803 |
| Securities held-to- maturity | 5,452 | 5,661 | 6,740 | 6,930 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank of New York stock, at cost | 7,084 | 7,084 | 6,421 | 6,421 |
| Net loans |  |  |  |  |
| held-for-investment | 781,669 | 788,095 | 713,855 | 726,475 |
| Financial liabilities: |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits | \$ 1,412,393 | 1,416,237 | 1,316,885 | 1,319,612 |
| Borrowings | 342,107 | 360,613 | 279,424 | 288,737 |
| Advance payments by borrowers | 1,829 | 1,829 | 757 | 757 |

## Limitations

Fair value estimates are made at a specific point in time, based on relevant market information and information about the financial instrument. These estimates do not reflect any premium or discount that could result from offering for sale at one time the Company s entire holdings of a particular financial instrument. Because no market exists for a significant portion of the Company s financial instruments, fair value estimates are based on judgments regarding future expected loss experience, current economic conditions, risk characteristics of various financial instruments, and other factors. These estimates are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment and, therefore, cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect the estimates.

Fair value estimates are based on existing on- and off-balance-sheet financial instruments without attempting to estimate the value of anticipated future business and the value of assets and liabilities that are not considered financial instruments. In addition, the tax ramifications related to the realization of the unrealized gains and losses can have a significant effect on fair value estimates and have not been considered in the estimates.

## Note 7 Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing net income available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the period. For purposes of calculating basic earnings per share, weighted average common shares outstanding excludes unallocated employee stock ownership plan (ESOP) shares that have not been committed for release and unvested restricted stock.

Diluted earnings per share is computed using the same method as basic earnings per share, but reflects the potential dilution that could occur if stock options and unvested shares of restricted stock were exercised and converted into common stock. These potentially dilutive shares are included in the weighted average number of shares outstanding for the period using the treasury stock method. When applying the treasury stock method, we add: (1) the assumed proceeds from option exercises; (2) the tax benefit, if any, that would have been credited to additional paid-in capital assuming exercise of non-qualified stock options and vesting of shares of restricted stock; and (3) the average unamortized compensation costs related to unvested shares of restricted stock and stock options.

## Table of Contents

We then divide this sum by our average stock price for the period to calculate assumed shares repurchased. The excess of the number of shares issuable over the number of shares assumed to be repurchased is added to basic weighted average common shares to calculate diluted earnings per share.

The following is a summary of the Company s earnings per share calculations and reconciliation of basic to diluted earnings per share for the periods indicated (dollars in thousands, except share data):

|  | For the three months ended September 30, |  |  | For the nine months ended September 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Net income available to common stockholders | \$ | 2,395 | 3,187 | 9,962 | 8,044 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding-basic |  | 41,341,567 | 42,212,440 | 41,422,228 | 42,639,492 |
| Effect of non-vested restricted stock and stock options outstanding |  | 157,055 | 162,828 | 279,248 | 90,426 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding-diluted |  | 41,498,622 | 42,375,268 | 41,701,476 | 42,729,918 |
| Earnings per share-basic | \$ | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.24 | 0.19 |
| Earnings per share-diluted | \$ | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.24 | 0.19 |

Note 8 Plan of Conversion and Reorganization
The Boards of Directors of Northfield Bancorp, MHC and the Company adopted a Plan of Conversion and Reorganization on June 4, 2010. On September 30, 2010, Northfield Bancorp, Inc., a federal corporation and the stock holding company for Northfield Bank, announced due to the current market conditions that Northfield Bancorp, Inc., the recently formed Delaware corporation and proposed new holding company for Northfield Bank, had postponed its stock offering in connection with the second-step conversion of Northfield Bancorp, MHC.

In connection with the postponement of the offering, the directors and executive officers of the Company were released from the agreements they had executed with Sandler O Neill \& Partners, the Company s marketing agent in connection with the offering, which restricted those individuals from purchasing and selling the Company shares of common stock for a period of 90 days after the completion of the offering. Such agreements would be reinstated should the Company determine to re-commence the stock offering in the future.

The Company expensed approximately $\$ 1.8$ million in costs incurred for the Company s postponed, second-step offering.

## Note 9 Stock Repurchase Program

On October 27, 2010, the Board of Directors of the Company authorized a stock repurchase program pursuant to which the Company intends to repurchase up to $2,177,033$ shares, representing approximately $5 \%$ of its then outstanding shares. The timing of the repurchases will depend on certain factors, including but not limited to, market conditions and prices, the Company s liquidity and capital requirements, and alternative uses of capital. Any repurchased shares will be held as treasury stock and will be available for general corporate purposes. The Company will conduct such repurchases in accordance with a Rule 10b5-1 trading plan.

The Company s previous stock repurchase program that commenced on February 13, 2009, was terminated on June 4, 2010, in connection with the Company s announcement that it intended to convert to a fully public company. Under that program, the Company repurchased $2,083,934$ shares of common stock at an average cost of $\$ 11.99$ per share.

## Note 10 Recent Accounting Pronouncements

ASC 810, Consolidation, replaces the quantitative-based risks and rewards calculation for determining which enterprise, if any, has a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity with an approach focused on identifying which enterprise has the power to direct the activities of a variable interest entity that most significantly affect the entity s economic performance and (i) the obligation to absorb losses of the entity or (ii) the right to receive benefits from the entity. The pronouncement was effective January 1, 2010, and did not have a significant
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effect on the Company s consolidated financial statements.
ASC 860, Transfers and Servicing, improves the information a reporting entity provides in its financial statements about a transfer of financial assets, including the effect of a transfer on an entity s financial position, financial performance and cash flows and the transferor s continuing involvement in the transferred assets. ASC 860 eliminates the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity and changes the guidance for evaluation for consolidation. This pronouncement was effective January 1, 2010, and did not have a significant effect on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

Accounting Standards Update No. 2010-06 under ASC 820 requires new disclosures and clarifies certain existing disclosure requirements about fair value measurement. Specifically, the update requires an entity to disclose separately the amounts of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and describe the reasons for such transfers. A reporting entity is required to present separately information about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements in the reconciliation for fair value measurements using Level 3 inputs. In addition, the update clarifies the following requirements of the existing disclosure: (i) for the purposes of reporting fair value measurement for each class of assets and liabilities, a reporting entity needs to use judgment in determining the appropriate classes of assets; and (ii) a reporting entity is required to include disclosures about the valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value for both recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements. The amendments were effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for the separate disclosures of purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements in the roll forward of activity in Level 3 fair value measurements. Those disclosures are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010, and for interim periods within those fiscal years. We adopted these requirements on January 1, 2010, and have provided the applicable disclosures.

Accounting Standards Update No. 2010-20 under ASC 310 requires new disclosures that provide financial statement users with greater transparency about an entity s allowance for credit losses and the credit quality of its financing receivables. The update requires that an entity provide disclosures on a disaggregated basis. This update defines the two levels of disaggregation as portfolio segment and class of financing receivable. A portfolio segment is defined as the level at which an entity develops and documents a systematic method for determining its allowance for credit losses. Classes of financing receivables generally are a disaggregation of a portfolio segment. This update also requires an entity to disclose credit quality indicators, past due information, and modifications of its financing receivables. These disclosures, as of the end of a reporting period and about activity that occurs during a reporting period, are effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending on or after December 15, 2010.
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## ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

## Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report contains forward-looking statements, which can be identified by the use of words such as estimate, project, believe, intend, anticipate, plan, seek, and similar expressions. These forward looking statements include:
statements of our goals, intentions, and expectations;
statements regarding our business plans, prospects, growth, and operating strategies;
statements regarding the asset quality of our loan and investment portfolios; and
estimates of our risks and future costs and benefits.
These forward-looking statements are subject to significant risks, assumptions and uncertainties, including, among other things, the following important factors that could affect the actual outcome of future events:
the effect of the current financial economic downturn on our loan portfolio, investment portfolio, and deposit and other customers;
significantly increased competition among depository and other financial institutions;
inflation and changes in the interest rate environment or other changes that reduce our interest margins or reduce the fair value of financial instruments;
general economic conditions, either nationally or in our market areas, that are worse than expected;
adverse changes in the securities markets;
legislative or regulatory changes that adversely affect our business;
our ability to enter new markets successfully and take advantage of growth opportunities, and the possible
dilutive effect of potential acquisitions or de novo branches, if any;
changes in consumer spending, borrowing and savings habits;
changes in accounting policies and practices, as may be adopted by bank regulatory agencies, the Financial Accounting Standards Board, the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board and other promulgating authorities;
inability of borrowers and/or third-party providers to perform their obligations to us;
the effect of recent governmental legislation restructuring the U.S. financial and regulatory system;
the effect of developments in the secondary market affecting our loan pricing;
the level of future deposit insurance premiums; and
changes in our organization, compensation and benefit plans.
Because of these and other uncertainties, our actual future results may be materially different from the results indicated by these forward-looking statements.

## Critical Accounting Policies

Note 1 to the Company s Audited Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended December 31, 2009, included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K, as supplemented by this report, contains a summary of significant accounting policies. Various elements of these accounting policies, by their nature, are inherently subject to estimation techniques, valuation assumptions and other subjective assessments. Certain assets are carried in the consolidated Balance Sheets at estimated fair value or the lower of cost or estimated fair value. Policies with respect to the methodologies used to determine the allowance for loan losses and judgments regarding the valuation of intangible assets and securities as well as the valuation allowance against deferred tax assets are the most critical accounting policies because they are important to the presentation of the Company s financial condition and results of operations, involve a higher degree of complexity, and require management to make difficult and subjective judgments which often require assumptions or estimates about highly uncertain matters. The use of different judgments, assumptions, and estimates could result in material differences in the results of operations or financial condition. These critical accounting policies and their application are reviewed periodically and, at least annually, with the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors. For a further discussion of the critical accounting policies of the Company, see Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K, for the year ended December 31, 2009.
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## Overview

This overview highlights selected information and may not contain all the information that is important to you in understanding our performance during the period. For a more complete understanding of trends, events, commitments, uncertainties, liquidity, capital resources, and critical accounting estimates, you should read this entire document carefully, as well as our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Net income was $\$ 2.4$ million and $\$ 10.0$ million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively, compared to $\$ 3.2$ million and $\$ 8.0$ million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, respectively. Basic and diluted earnings per share were $\$ 0.06$ and $\$ 0.24$ for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively, compared to $\$ 0.08$ and $\$ 0.19$ per share for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, respectively. Net income for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2010, included an after-tax charge of $\$ 1.2$ million, or $\$ 0.03$ per share, related to the Company expensing costs incurred for the postponed second-step stock offering. In addition, net income for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2010, was positively affected by the reversal of deferred tax liabilities related to state bad debt reserves of approximately $\$ 738,000$, or $\$ 0.02$ per share.

Return on average assets was $0.44 \%$ and $0.63 \%$, respectively, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 , compared to $0.66 \%$ and $0.59 \%$ for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, respectively. Return on average equity was $2.36 \%$ and $3.35 \%$, respectively, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, compared to $3.23 \%$ and $2.76 \%$, respectively, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009. These ratios include the non-routine transactions discussed in the preceding paragraph.
Comparison of Financial Condition at September 30, 2010, and December 31, 2009
Total assets increased $\$ 191.6$ million, or $9.6 \%$, to $\$ 2.2$ billion at September 30, 2010, from $\$ 2.0$ billion at December 31, 2009. The increase was primarily attributable to increases in securities of $\$ 100.9$ million and loans held for investment, net, of $\$ 73.3$ million. In addition, bank owned life insurance increased $\$ 30.3$ million, primarily resulting from the purchase of $\$ 28.8$ million of insurance policies during the nine months ended September 30, 2010, coupled with $\$ 1.5$ million of income earned on bank owned life insurance for the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

Cash and cash equivalents decreased $\$ 6.5$ million, or $15.3 \%$, to $\$ 36.0$ million at September 30, 2010, from $\$ 42.5$ million at December 31, 2009. We have been deploying funds into higher yielding investments such as loans and securities with risk and return characteristics that we deem acceptable.

Securities available-for-sale increased $\$ 101.7$ million, or $9.0 \%$, to $\$ 1.2$ billion at September 30, 2010, from $\$ 1.1$ billion at December 31, 2009. The increase was primarily attributable to purchases of $\$ 617.8$ million and an increase of $\$ 9.2$ million in net unrealized gains, partially offset by maturities and paydowns of $\$ 365.3$ million and sales of $\$ 161.0$ million.

Securities held-to-maturity decreased $\$ 1.3$ million, or $19.1 \%$, to $\$ 5.5$ million at September 30, 2010, from $\$ 6.7$ million at December 31, 2009. The decrease was attributable to maturities and paydowns during the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

At September 30, 2010, our securities portfolio totaled $\$ 1.2$ billion, as compared to $\$ 1.1$ billion at December 31, 2009, which represented an increase of $\$ 100.9$ million, or $8.8 \%$. At September 30, 2010, $\$ 904.7$ million of the portfolio consisted of residential mortgage-backed securities issued or guaranteed by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, or Ginnie Mae. The Company also held residential mortgage-backed securities not guaranteed by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, or Ginnie Mae, referred to as private label securities. The private label securities had an amortized cost of $\$ 109.4$ million and an estimated fair value of $\$ 114.2$ million at September 30, 2010. These private label securities were in a net unrealized gain position of $\$ 4.8$ million at September 30, 2010, consisting of gross unrealized gains of $\$ 5.9$ million and gross unrealized losses of $\$ 1.1$ million.

Of the $\$ 114.2$ million of private label securities, two securities with an estimated fair value of $\$ 10.5$ million (amortized cost of $\$ 11.6$ million) were rated less than AAA at September 30, 2010. Of the two securities, one had an estimated fair value of $\$ 4.5$ million (amortized cost of $\$ 4.8$ million) and was rated CC, and the other had an estimated fair value of $\$ 5.9$ million (amortized cost of $\$ 6.7$ million) and was rated Caa2. The ratings of the securities detailed above represent the lowest rating for each security received from the rating agencies of Moody s, Standard \& Poor s,
and Fitch. We continue to receive principal and interest payments in accordance with the

## Table of Contents

contractual terms of each of these securities. Management has evaluated, among other things, delinquency status, location of collateral, estimated prepayment speeds, and the estimated default rates and loss severity in liquidating the underlying collateral for each of these three securities. As a result of management sevaluation of these securities, the Company recognized other-than-temporary impairment of $\$ 962,000$ on the $\$ 5.9$ million security that was rated Caa2 for the quarter ended September 30, 2010. Since management does not have the intent to sell the security, and believes it is more likely than not that the Company will not be required to sell the security, before its anticipated recovery, the credit component of $\$ 154,000$ was recognized in earnings for the quarter ended September 30, 2010, and the non-credit component of $\$ 808,000$ was recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax. All other losses within the Company s investment portfolio were deemed to be temporary at September 30, 2010, and as such, were recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax.

Loans held for investment, net, totaled $\$ 802.6$ million at September 30, 2010, as compared to $\$ 729.3$ million at December 31, 2009. The increase was primarily in multi-family real estate loans, which increased $\$ 66.8$ million, or $37.4 \%$, to $\$ 245.2$ million at September 30, 2010, from $\$ 178.4$ million at December 31, 2009. Commercial real estate loans increased $\$ 13.3$ million, or $4.1 \%$, to $\$ 341.1$ million, insurance premium loans increased $\$ 9.1$ million, or $22.6 \%$, to $\$ 49.5$ million, and home equity loans increased $\$ 3.6$ million, or $13.8 \%$, to $\$ 29.7$ million at September 30, 2010. These increases were partially offset by decreases in residential, land and construction, and commercial and industrial loans.

Bank owned life insurance increased $\$ 30.3$ million, or $69.2 \%$, from December 31, 2009 to September 30, 2010. The increase resulted from the purchase of $\$ 28.8$ million of insurance policies during the nine months ended September 30, 2010, coupled with $\$ 1.5$ million of income earned on bank owned life insurance for the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

Federal Home Loan Bank of New York stock, at cost, increased $\$ 663,000$, or $10.3 \%$, from $\$ 6.4$ million at December 31, 2009, to $\$ 7.1$ million at September 30, 2010. This increase was attributable to an increase in borrowings outstanding with the Federal Home Loan Bank of New York over the same time period.

Premises and equipment, net, increased $\$ 1.8$ million, or $14.4 \%$, from $\$ 12.7$ million at December 31, 2009, to $\$ 14.5$ million at September 30, 2010. This increase is primarily attributable to leasehold improvements made to new branches and the renovation of existing branches.

Other real estate owned decreased $\$ 1.8$ million, or $91.2 \%$, from $\$ 1.9$ million at December 31, 2009, to $\$ 171,000$ at September 30, 2010. This decrease was attributable to downward valuation adjustments of $\$ 146,000$ recorded against the carrying balances of the properties in the first quarter of 2010, reflecting deterioration in estimated fair values, coupled with the sale of other real estate owned properties. No valuation adjustments were recorded in the three months ended September 30, 2010.

Other assets decreased $\$ 2.4$ million, or $16.0 \%$, to $\$ 12.5$ million at September 30, 2010, from $\$ 14.9$ million at December 31, 2009. The decrease in other assets was attributable to a decrease in net deferred tax assets, which resulted primarily from an increase in net unrealized gains on the available-for-sale securities portfolio from December 31, 2009, to September 30, 2010.

Deposits increased $\$ 95.5$ million, or $7.3 \%$, to $\$ 1.4$ billion at September 30, 2010, from $\$ 1.3$ billion at December 31, 2009. The increase in deposits for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, was due in part to an increase of $\$ 52.5$ million in short-term certificates of deposit originated through the CDARS ${ }^{\circledR}$ Network. We utilize this funding supply as a cost effective alternative to other short-term funding sources. In addition, money market deposits and transaction accounts increased $\$ 78.5$ million and $\$ 34.8$ million, respectively, from December 31, 2009, to September 30, 2010. These increases were partially offset by a decrease of $\$ 6.7$ million in savings accounts and a decrease of $\$ 63.6$ million in certificates of deposit (issued by the Bank) over the same time period. The Company continues to focus its marketing and pricing of its products which it believes promotes longer-term customer relationships.

Borrowings, consisting primarily of Federal Home Loan Bank advances and repurchase agreements, increased $\$ 62.7$ million, or $22.4 \%$, to $\$ 342.1$ million at September 30, 2010, from $\$ 279.4$ million at December 31, 2009. The increase in borrowings was primarily the result of the Company increasing longer-term borrowings, taking advantage of, and locking in, lower interest rates, partially offset by maturities during the nine months ended September 30,
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Accrued expenses and other liabilities increased $\$ 21.3$ million, to $\$ 34.9$ million at September 30, 2010, from $\$ 13.7$ million at December 31, 2009. The increase was primarily a result of $\$ 20.0$ million of due to securities brokers which resulted from securities purchases occurring prior to September 30, 2010, and settling after the quarter end.

Total stockholders equity increased to $\$ 402.6$ million at September 30, 2010, from $\$ 391.5$ million at December 31, 2009. The increase was primarily attributable to net income of $\$ 10.0$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, and an increase in accumulated other comprehensive income of $\$ 5.7$ million. Generally, as market interest rates decrease, the estimated fair value of our securities available for sale increases. The increase in stockholders equity also was due to a $\$ 2.6$ million increase in additional paid-in capital primarily related to the recognition of compensation expense associated with equity awards. These increases were partially offset by an increase of $\$ 5.2$ million in treasury stock, and the payment of approximately $\$ 2.4$ million in cash dividends for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. On June 4, 2010, in connection with the Company s announcement that it adopted a Plan of Conversion and Reorganization to a fully public company, the Board of Directors terminated its previously announced stock repurchase program. From the inception of the program, through June 4, 2010, we have repurchased 2,083,934 shares of common stock at an average cost of $\$ 11.99$ per share.

On October 27, 2010, the Board of Directors of the Company authorized a stock repurchase program pursuant to which the Company intends to repurchase up to $2,177,033$ shares, representing approximately $5 \%$ of its then outstanding shares. The timing of the repurchases will depend on certain factors, including but not limited to, market conditions and prices, the Company s liquidity and capital requirements, and alternative uses of capital. Any repurchased shares will be held as treasury stock and will be available for general corporate purposes. The Company is conducting such repurchases in accordance with a Rule 10b5-1 trading plan.

## Comparison of Operating Results for the Three Months Ended September 30, 2010 and 2009

Net income. Net income decreased $\$ 792,000$, or $24.9 \%$, to $\$ 2.4$ million for the three months ended September 30, 2010, as compared to $\$ 3.2$ million for the three months ended September 30, 2009. Non-interest expense increased $\$ 2.7$ million, or $32.5 \%$, and the provision for loan losses increased $\$ 675,000$, or $24.8 \%$, which was partially offset by an increase of $\$ 901,000$, or $6.1 \%$, in net interest income, an increase of $\$ 144,000$, or $10.6 \%$, in non-interest income, and a decrease of $\$ 1.6$ million, or $88.0 \%$, in income tax expense.

Interest income. Interest income decreased $\$ 173,000$, or $0.8 \%$, to $\$ 21.7$ million for the three months ended September 30, 2010, from $\$ 21.9$ million for the three months ended September 30, 2009. The decrease in interest income was primarily the result of a decrease in the yield earned on interest-earning assets to $4.21 \%$ for the three months ended September 30, 2010, from $4.74 \%$ for the three months ended September 30, 2009. The rates earned on all asset categories decreased due to the general decline in market interest rates for these asset types. The effect of the decrease in the yield earned on interest earning assets was almost entirely offset by an increase in average interest-earning assets of $\$ 211.8$ million, or $11.6 \%$. The increase in average interest-earning assets was primarily attributable to an increase in average loans of $\$ 134.4$ million, or $20.4 \%$, an increase in mortgage-backed securities of $\$ 35.7$ million, and an increase in other securities of $\$ 106.9$ million, partially offset by a decrease in average interest-earning deposits in other financial institutions of $\$ 65.4$ million, or $71.1 \%$.

Interest expense. Interest expense decreased $\$ 1.1$ million, or $15.2 \%$, to $\$ 6.0$ million for the three months ended September 30, 2010, from $\$ 7.1$ million for the three months ended September 30, 2009. The decrease was attributable to a decrease in interest expense on deposits of $\$ 1.1$ million, or $26.4 \%$, partially offset by an increase in interest expense on borrowings of $\$ 74,000$, or $2.7 \%$. The decrease in interest expense on deposits was attributable to a decrease in the cost of deposits of 57 basis points, or $36.8 \%$, to $0.98 \%$ for the quarter ended September 30, 2010, from $1.55 \%$ for the quarter ended September 30, 2009, reflecting lower market interest rates for short-term deposits. The decrease in the cost of deposits was partially offset by an increase of $\$ 185.6$ million, or $16.7 \%$, in average interest-bearing deposits outstanding between the two quarters. The increase in interest expense on borrowings was primarily attributable to an increase of $\$ 31.8$ million, or $10.4 \%$, in average borrowings outstanding for the three months ended September 30, 2010, compared to the three months ended September 30, 2009, partially offset by a decrease in the cost of borrowings of 25 basis points, to $3.29 \%$, from $3.54 \%$ for the three months ended September 30, 2009, reflecting lower market interest rates for borrowed funds.

Net Interest Income. Net interest income increased $\$ 901,000$, or $6.1 \%$, due primarily to average interest earning assets increasing $\$ 211.8$ million, or $11.6 \%$, partially offset by a decrease in the net interest margin of 15 basis points, or $4.7 \%$, for the quarter ended September 30, 2010, compared to the quarter ended September 30, 2009. The average yield earned on interest earning assets decreased 53 basis points, or $11.2 \%$, to $4.21 \%$ for the quarter
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ended September 30, 2010, compared to $4.74 \%$ for the quarter ended September 30, 2009. This change was offset by a 53 basis point decrease in the average rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities over the comparable periods from $1.98 \%$ to $1.45 \%$. The general decline in yields was due to the overall low interest rate environment and was driven by decreases in yields earned on mortgage-backed securities, as principal repayments were reinvested into lower yielding securities. The increase in average interest earning assets was due primarily to an increase in average loans outstanding of $\$ 134.4$ million, other securities of $\$ 106.9$ million, and $\$ 35.7$ million in mortgage-backed securities, partially offset by decreases in interest-earning assets in other financial institutions. Other securities consist primarily of investment-grade shorter-term corporate bonds and government-sponsored enterprise bonds.

Provision for Loan Losses. The provision for loan losses was $\$ 3.4$ million for the quarter ended September 30, 2010 , which was an increase of $\$ 675,000$, or $24.8 \%$, from the $\$ 2.7$ million provision recorded in the quarter ended September 30, 2009. The increase in the provision for loan losses in the current quarter was due primarily to increases in total loans, the change in the composition of our loan portfolio, and increases in general loss factors, due primarily to higher levels of charge-offs experienced in the multifamily loan portfolio. During the quarter ended September 30, 2010, the Company recorded a $\$ 1.2$ million charge-off on one non-accruing multifamily loan, based on the receipt of a current appraisal. Increases in the general loss factors were also attributable to increases in delinquencies in the Company s loan portfolio and further deterioration in the local economy primarily as it relates to commercial real estate loans. Net charge-offs for the quarter ended September 30, 2010, were $\$ 1.6$ million, as compared to $\$ 600,000$ for the quarter ended September 30, 2009.

Non-interest Income. Non-interest income increased $\$ 144,000$, or $10.6 \%$, to $\$ 1.5$ million for the quarter ended September 30, 2010, as compared to $\$ 1.4$ million for the quarter ended September 30, 2009, primarily as a result of an increase of $\$ 125,000$ of income earned on bank owned life insurance, generated by increased cash surrender values, primarily from $\$ 28.8$ million in additional bank owned life insurance purchased during the nine-months ended September 30, 2010.

Non-interest Expense. Non-interest expense increased \$2.7 million, or $32.5 \%$, for the quarter ended September 30, 2010, as compared to the quarter ended September 30, 2009, due primarily to the expensing of approximately $\$ 1.8$ million in costs incurred for the Company s postponed, second-step stock offering, which costs management believes provide no meaningful future benefit. The increase in non-interest expense is also attributable to compensation and employee benefits expense increasing $\$ 346,000$, which resulted primarily from increases in full time equivalent employees primarily related to our insurance premium finance division formed in October 2009, higher health care costs, and to a lesser extent, salary adjustments effective January 1, 2010. Occupancy expense increased $\$ 214,000$, or $19.1 \%$, over the same time period, primarily due to increases in rent and amortization of leasehold improvements relating to new branches and the renovation of existing branches. In addition, other non-interest expense also increased $\$ 385,000$, or $37.0 \%$, from the quarter ended September 30, 2009 to the quarter ended September 30, 2010. This increase was primarily attributable to operating expenses of the insurance premium finance division.

Income Tax Expense. The Company recorded income tax expense of $\$ 215,000$ and $\$ 1.8$ million for the quarters ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The effective tax rate for the quarter ended September 30, 2010, was $8.2 \%$, as compared to $36.0 \%$ for the quarter ended September 30, 2009. The decrease in the effective tax rate was the result of a lower level of pre-tax income being subject to taxation in 2010 as compared to 2009, and the reversal of deferred tax liabilities related to state bad debt reserves of approximately $\$ 738,000$ resulting from the enactment of State of New York tax laws during the quarter ended September 30, 2010.

## Comparison of Operating Results for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 and 2009

Net Income. Net income increased $\$ 1.9$ million, or $23.8 \%$, to $\$ 10.0$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, as compared to $\$ 8.0$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009, due primarily to an increase of $\$ 4.8$ million, or $11.5 \%$, in net interest income and an increase of $\$ 1.2$ million, or $32.2 \%$, in non-interest income, partially offset by an increase of $\$ 3.5$ million, or $13.8 \%$, in non-interest expense, and an increase of $\$ 660,000$, or $8.8 \%$, in provision for loan losses.

Interest income. Interest income increased $\$ 1.4$ million, or $2.2 \%$, to $\$ 64.7$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, from $\$ 63.4$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The increase in interest
income was primarily the result of an increase in average interest-earning assets of $\$ 243.3$ million, or $13.9 \%$. The increase in average interest-earning assets was primarily attributable to an increase in average loans of $\$ 128.3$ million, or $20.2 \%$, and an increase in securities (other than mortgage-backed securities) of $\$ 162.4$ million, partially offset by a decrease in average mortgage-backed securities of $\$ 5.8$ million, or $0.6 \%$, and a decrease in average
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interest-earning deposits of $\$ 40.6$ million, or $43.3 \%$. The effect of the increase in average interest-earning assets was partially offset by a decrease in the yield earned to $4.35 \%$ for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, from 4.85\% for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The rates earned on all asset categories, other than loans, decreased due to the general decline in market interest rates for these asset types. The rate earned on loans increased from $5.92 \%$ for the nine months ended September 30, 2009, to $6.02 \%$ for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, primarily as a result of the yield earned on the Company $s$ insurance premium loan portfolio.

Interest expense. Interest expense decreased $\$ 3.4$ million, or $15.5 \%$, to $\$ 18.6$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, from $\$ 22.0$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The decrease was attributable to a decrease in interest expense on deposits of $\$ 3.4$ million, or $24.2 \%$, coupled with a decrease in interest expense on borrowings of $\$ 41,000$, or $0.5 \%$. The decrease in interest expense on deposits was attributable to a decrease in the cost of deposits of 68 basis points, or $37.8 \%$, to $1.12 \%$ for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, from $1.80 \%$ for the nine months ended September 30, 2009, reflecting lower market interest rates for short-term deposits. The decrease in the cost of deposits was partially offset by an increase of $\$ 225.4$ million, or $21.8 \%$, in average interest-bearing deposits outstanding over the comparable periods. The decrease in interest expense on borrowings was primarily attributable to a decrease of 27 basis points, or $7.5 \%$, in the cost of borrowings, partially offset by an increase of $\$ 22.5$ million, or $7.5 \%$, in average borrowings outstanding for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2009, reflecting lower market interest rates for borrowed funds.

Net Interest Income. Net interest income increased $\$ 4.8$ million, or $11.5 \%$, due primarily to interest earning assets increasing $\$ 243.3$ million, or $13.9 \%$, partially offset by a decrease in the net interest margin of seven basis points, or $2.2 \%$, over the prior year comparable period. The net interest margin decreased for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, as the average yield earned on interest earning assets decreased, which was partially offset by a decrease in the average rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities. The general decline in yields was due to the overall low interest rate environment and was driven by decreases in yields earned on mortgage-backed securities, as principal repayments were reinvested into lower yielding securities. The decline in yield on interest-earning assets was also due to declining yields on other securities and interest-earning deposits in other financial institutions. These decreases were partially offset by an increase in yield earned on loans due primarily to the yield earned on the Company s insurance premium loan portfolio. The increase in average interest earning assets was due primarily to an increase in average loans outstanding of $\$ 128.3$ million, and other securities of $\$ 162.4$ million, partially offset by decreases in mortgage-backed securities, and interest-earning assets in other financial institutions. Other securities consist primarily of investment-grade corporate bonds, and government-sponsored enterprise bonds.

Provision for Loan Losses. The provision for loan losses was $\$ 8.1$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, an increase of $\$ 660,000$, or $8.8 \%$, from the $\$ 7.5$ million provision recorded for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The increase in the provision was due primarily to increases in total loans, the change in the composition of our loan portfolio, and increases in general loss factors, due to higher levels of charge-offs primarily in the multifamily loan portfolio. The increases in the general loss factors utilized in management s estimate of credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio were also the result of continued increases in delinquencies in the Company s loan portfolio and further deterioration of the local economy. Net charge-offs for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, were $\$ 2.6$ million, as compared to $\$ 2.0$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009.

Non-interest Income. Non-interest income increased $\$ 1.2$ million, or $32.2 \%$, primarily as a result of an increase of $\$ 1.1$ million in gains on securities transactions, net for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The Company recognized $\$ 1.6$ million in gains on securities transactions during the nine months ended September 30, 2010, as compared to $\$ 477,000$ in gains on securities transactions during the nine months ended September 30, 2009. Securities gains during the nine months ended September 30, 2010, included gross realized gains of $\$ 1.2$ million on the sale of mortgage-backed securities, coupled with securities gains of $\$ 397,000$ related to the Company s trading portfolio. During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, securities gains included gross realized gains of $\$ 7,000$ on the sale of mortgage-backed securities, coupled with securities gains of $\$ 470,000$ related to the Company s trading portfolio. The trading portfolio is utilized to fund the Company s deferred compensation obligation to certain employees and directors of the Company. The participants of this plan, at their election, defer a portion of their compensation. Gains and losses on trading securities have no effect on net income
since participants benefit from, and bear the full risk of, changes in the trading securities market values. Therefore, the Company records an equal and offsetting amount in non-interest expense, reflecting the change in the Company s obligations under the plan.
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Non-interest income also was positively affected by a $\$ 191,000$, or $14.6 \%$, increase in income on bank owned life insurance for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The Company also recognized approximately $\$ 197,000$ of income on the sale of fixed assets during the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

Non-interest Expense. Non-interest expense increased $\$ 3.5$ million, or $13.8 \%$, for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2009, due primarily to the expensing of approximately $\$ 1.8$ million in costs incurred on the Company s postponed, second-step stock offering and an increase of $\$ 1.3$ million, or $10.0 \%$, in compensation and employee benefits expense. Compensation and employee benefits expense increased primarily due to increases in full time equivalent employees primarily related to our insurance premium finance division formed in October 2009, higher health care costs, and to a lesser extent, salary adjustments effective January 1,2010 . Occupancy expense increased $\$ 400,000$, or $12.1 \%$, over the same time period, primarily due to increases in rent and amortization of leasehold improvements relating to new branches and the renovation of existing branches. In addition, other non-interest expense also increased $\$ 974,000$, or $31.1 \%$, from the nine months ended September 30, 2009 to the nine months ended September 30, 2010. This increase is primarily attributable to operating expenses of the insurance premium finance division. These increases in non-interest expense were partially offset by a decrease of $\$ 548,000$ in FDIC insurance expense over the same time period. FDIC insurance expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 included $\$ 770,000$ related to the FDIC s special assessment.

Income Tax Expense. The Company recorded income tax expense of $\$ 4.4$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The effective tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, was $30.6 \%$, as compared to $35.6 \%$ for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The decrease in the effective tax rate was the result of the reversal of deferred tax liabilities related to state bad debt reserves of approximately $\$ 738,000$ resulting from the enactment of new State of New York tax laws during the quarter ended September 30, 2010.
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| NORTHFIELD BANCORP, INC. <br> ANALYSIS OF NET INTEREST INCOME <br> (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Average utstanding <br> Balance | Interest | Average <br> Yield/ <br> Rate (1) |  | Average utstanding <br> Balance | Interest | Average Yield/ Rate (1) |
| Interest-earning assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans (5) | \$ | 793,600 | \$ 11,908 | 5.95\% | \$ | 659,247 | \$ 10,251 | 6.17\% |
| Mortgage-backed securities |  | 958,409 | 8,224 | 3.40 |  | 922,723 | 10,382 | 4.46 |
| Other securities |  | 256,146 | 1,457 | 2.26 |  | 149,291 | 1,024 | 2.72 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank of New York stock |  | 7,426 | 75 | 4.01 |  | 7,056 | 113 | 6.35 |
| Interest-earning deposits in financial institutions |  | 26,541 | 18 | 0.27 |  | 91,970 | 85 | 0.37 |
| Total interest-earning assets |  | 2,042,122 | 21,682 | 4.21 |  | 1,830,287 | 21,855 | 4.74 |
| Non-interest-earning assets |  | 125,438 |  |  |  | 95,418 |  |  |
| Total assets |  | 2,167,560 |  |  |  | 1,925,705 |  |  |
| Interest-bearing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Savings, NOW, and money market accounts |  | 673,243 | 1,223 | 0.72 |  | 576,055 | 1,484 | 1.02 |
| Certificates of deposit |  | 626,309 | 1,974 | 1.25 |  | 537,865 | 2,861 | 2.11 |
| Total interest-bearing deposits |  | 1,299,552 | 3,197 | 0.98 |  | 1,113,920 | 4,345 | 1.55 |
| Borrowed funds |  | 338,094 | 2,807 | 3.29 |  | 306,335 | 2,733 | 3.54 |
| Total interest-bearing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-interest bearing deposit accounts |  | 115,614 |  |  |  | 100,299 |  |  |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities |  | 11,704 |  |  |  | 13,144 |  |  |
| Total liabilities |  | 1,764,964 |  |  |  | 1,533,698 |  |  |
| Stockholders equity |  | 402,596 |  |  |  | 392,007 |  |  |
|  |  | 2,167,560 |  |  |  | 1,925,705 |  |  |

Total liabilities and stockholders equity

Net interest income \$15,678 \$ 14,777
Net interest rate spread
(2)
(3) \$ 404,476
2.76
2.76
\$ 410,032
Net interest margin (4)
Average interest-earning assets to interest-bearing liabilities 124.70\%
(1) Average yields and rates for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, are annualized.
(2) Net interest rate spread represents the difference between the weighted average yield on interest-earning assets and the weighted average cost of interest-bearing liabilities.
(3) Net interest-earning assets represent total interest-earning assets less total interest-bearing liabilities.
(4) Net interest margin represents net interest income divided by average total interest-earning assets.
(5) Loans include non-accrual loans.
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Total liabilities and stockholders equity

Net interest income \$46,144 \$41,375
Net interest rate spread
$\stackrel{\text { (2) }}{ }$ Net interest-earning assets
(3)
\$ 405,664
\$ 410,235
Net interest margin (4)
Average interest-earning assets to interest-bearing liabilities
2.78
2.65
(1) Average yields and rates for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, are annualized.
(2) Net interest rate spread represents the difference between the weighted average yield on interest-earning assets and the weighted average cost of interest-bearing liabilities.
(3) Net interest-earning assets represent total interest-earning assets less total interest-bearing liabilities.
(4) Net interest margin represents net interest income divided by average total interest-earning assets.
(5) Loans include non-accrual loans.
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## Loan Quality

The following table details, for the dates indicated, non-accrual loans, troubled debt restructurings (accruing and non-accruing), loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing, non-performing loans, non-performing assets, accruing loans delinquent 30 to 89 days, the ratio of nonperforming loans as a percentage of total loans, and the ratio of non-performing assets to total assets (dollars in thousands).

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { September } \\ 30, \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  | June 30, 2010 | $\begin{gathered} \text { March } \\ \text { 31, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { December } \\ \text { 31, } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { September } \\ 30, \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-accruing loans | \$ | 37,882 | 34,007 | 31,248 | 30,914 | 19,232 |
| Non-accruing loans subject to restructuring agreements |  | 17,261 | 17,417 | 13,090 | 10,717 | 11,003 |
| Total non-accruing loans |  | 55,143 | 51,424 | 44,338 | 41,631 | 30,235 |
| Loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing |  | 248 | 77 | 5,710 | 191 | 5,487 |
| Total non-performing loans |  | 55,391 | 51,501 | 50,048 | 41,822 | 35,722 |
| Other real estate owned |  | 171 | 1,362 | 1,533 | 1,938 | 933 |
| Total non-performing assets | \$ | 55,562 | 52,863 | 51,581 | 43,760 | 36,655 |
| Loans subject to restructuring agreements and still accruing | \$ | 11,218 | 10,708 | 8,817 | 7,250 | 7,258 |
| Accruing loans 30 to 89 days delinquent | \$ | 35,190 | 30,619 | 38,371 | 28,283 | 35,466 |
| Non-performing loans to total loans held for investment, net |  | 6.90\% | 6.66\% | 6.79\% | 5.73\% | 5.36\% |
| Non-performing assets to total assets |  | 2.53\% | 2.39\% | 2.46\% | 2.19\% | 1.84\% |

Total non-accruing loans increased $\$ 3.7$ million, to $\$ 55.1$ million at September 30, 2010, from $\$ 51.4$ million at June 30, 2010. This increase was primarily attributable to the following loan types being placed on non-accrual status during the quarter ended September 30, 2010: $\$ 3.1$ million of multifamily loans, $\$ 2.0$ million of commercial real estate loans, $\$ 456,000$ of commercial and industrial loans, and $\$ 199,000$ of one-to-four family residential loans. The above increases in non-accruing loans during the quarter ended September 30, 2010, are net of charge-offs of $\$ 1.5$ million, and have $\$ 435,000$ in specific reserves allocated to them at September 30, 2010. These increases were partially offset by one relationship, consisting of $\$ 963,000$ of one-to-four family residential loans, and a $\$ 654,000$ land loan, being returned to accrual status, coupled with payoffs of $\$ 41,000$ on a one-to-four family residential loan, and principal paydowns of approximately $\$ 373,000$. At September 30, 2010, $\$ 23.6$ million, or $83.0 \%$ of loans subject to restructuring agreements were performing in accordance with their restructured terms. All of the $\$ 11.2$ million of accruing troubled debt restructurings, and $\$ 12.4$ million of the $\$ 17.3$ million of non-accruing troubled debt restructurings, were performing in accordance with their restructured terms.

Loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing interest increased to $\$ 248,000$ from $\$ 77,000$ at June 30, 2010. The increase is primarily due to one construction loan that is considered well secured and in the process of collection.

Generally, loans are placed on non-accrual status when they become 90 days or more delinquent, and remain on non-accrual status until they are brought current, have a minimum of six months of performance under the loan terms, and factors indicating reasonable doubt about the timely collection of payments no longer exist. Therefore, loans may be current in accordance with their loan terms, or may be less than 90 days delinquent, and still be on a non-accruing status.
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The following tables detail the delinquency status of non-accruing loans at September 30, 2010, and December 31, 2009 (dollars in thousands).

Real estate loans:
Commercial
One -to- four family residential
Construction and land
Multifamily
Home equity and lines of credit
Commercial and industrial loans
Insurance premium loans
Total non-accruing loans
\$ 13,384
13,042
28,717
55,143

December 31, 2009

|  | Days Past Due |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 0 to 29 | 30 to 89 | 90 or more | Total |
| Real estate loans: |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial | $\$ 2,585$ | 10,480 | 15,737 | 28,802 |
| One -to- four family residential |  | 392 | 1,674 | 2,066 |
| Construction and land | 5,864 |  | 979 | 6,843 |
| Multifamily |  | 530 | 1,589 | 2,119 |
| Home equity and lines of credit | 1,470 |  | 269 | 1,739 |
| Commercial and industrial loans | $\$ 9,981$ | 11,402 | 20,248 | 41,631 |
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Loans 30 to 89 days delinquent and on accrual status at September 30, 2010, totaled $\$ 35.2$ million, an increase of $\$ 4.6$ million, from the June 30,2010 , balance of $\$ 30.6$ million, and an increase of $\$ 6.9$ million, from the December 31, 2009, balance of $\$ 28.3$ million. The following table sets forth delinquencies for accruing loans by type and by amount at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 (dollars in thousands).

|  | Delinquent Accruing Loans 90 Days and |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 30 to 89 |  |  |
|  | Days | Over | Total |
| At September 30, 2010 |  |  |  |
| Real estate loans: |  |  |  |
| Commercial | \$ 13,103 |  | 13,103 |
| One -to- four family residential | 6,424 |  | 6,424 |
| Construction and land | 6,451 | 235 | 6,686 |
| Multifamily | 6,853 |  | 6,853 |
| Home equity and lines of credit | 318 |  | 318 |
| Commercial and industrial loans | 1,286 | 13 | 1,299 |
| Insurance premium loans | 646 |  | 646 |
| Other loans | 109 |  | 109 |
| Total | \$ 35,190 | 248 | 35,438 |
| At December 31, 2009 |  |  |  |
| Real estate loans: |  |  |  |
| Commercial | \$ 11,573 |  | 11,573 |
| One -to- four family residential | 4,716 |  | 4,716 |
| Construction and land | 1,976 |  | 1,976 |
| Multifamily | 7,086 |  | 7,086 |
| Home equity and lines of credit | 1,555 |  | 1,555 |
| Commercial and industrial loans | 427 | 191 | 618 |
| Insurance premium loans | 917 |  | 917 |
| Other loans | 33 |  | 33 |
| Total | \$ 28,283 | 191 | 28,474 |

Non-accruing loans subject to restructuring agreements totaled $\$ 17.3$ million and $\$ 10.7$ million at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we entered into eight troubled debt restructuring agreements, of which $\$ 4.0$ million and $\$ 8.3$ million were classified as accruing and non-accruing, respectively, at September 30, 2010. The following table sets forth the amounts and categories of the troubled debt restructurings by loan type as of September 30, 2010, and December 31, 2009 (dollars in thousands).
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| Troubled Debt Restructurings: | Accruing | Accruing | Accruing | Accruing |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Real Estate Loans: |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial | $\$ 12,213$ | 7,895 | 3,960 | 5,499 |
| One- to- four family residential | 4,036 | 1,750 |  |  |
| Construction and land | 511 | 1,573 | 5,726 | 1,751 |
| Multifamily | 501 |  | 530 |  |
| Commercial and industrial | $\$ 17,261$ | 11,218 | 10,717 | 7,250 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |

The following table sets forth the activity in our allowance for loan losses for the periods indicated.

|  | At or For the Nine Months Ended September 30, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2009 |
|  | (in thousands) |  |  |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ | 15,414 | 8,778 |
| Charge-offs: |  |  |  |
| Real estate loans: |  |  |  |
| Commercial |  | (778) | $(1,217)$ |
| One- to- four family residential |  |  | (40) |
| Construction and land |  | (443) | (791) |
| Multifamily |  | $(1,253)$ |  |
| Home equity and lines of credit |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial loans |  | (36) |  |
| Insurance premium loans |  | (101) |  |
| Other loans |  |  |  |
| Total charge-offs |  | $(2,611)$ | $(2,048)$ |
| Recoveries: |  |  |  |
| Other |  |  |  |
| Total recoveries |  |  |  |

Net charge-offs
$(2,611)$
Provisions (benefits) for loan losses:
Real estate loans:
Commercial 4,041
4,617
One- to- four family residential 408
Construction and land $\quad 122 \quad 615$
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Multifamily } & 3,987 & 613\end{array}$
Table of Contents 56
Home equity and lines of credit ..... 45 ..... 59
Commercial and industrial loans ..... (966) ..... 1,381
Insurance premium loans ..... 124
Other loans ..... 20 ..... 3
Unallocated ..... 345 ..... 135
Total provisions for loan losses 8,126 ..... 7,466
Balance at end of period ..... \$ 20,929 ..... 14,196
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## Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity. The overall objective of our liquidity management is to ensure the availability of sufficient funds to meet financial commitments and to take advantage of lending and investment opportunities. We manage liquidity in order to meet deposit withdrawals on demand or at contractual maturity, to repay borrowings as they mature, and to fund new loans and investments as opportunities arise.

Our primary sources of funds are deposits, principal and interest payments on loans and securities, borrowed funds, the proceeds from maturing securities and short-term investments, and to a lesser extent the proceeds from the sales of loans and securities and wholesale borrowings. The scheduled amortizations of loans and securities, as well as proceeds from borrowed funds, are predictable sources of funds. Other funding sources, however, such as deposit inflows and loan prepayments are greatly influenced by market interest rates, economic conditions, and competition. Northfield Bank is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank of New York (FHLB), which provides an additional source of short-term and long-term funding. Northfield Bank also has borrowing capabilities with the Federal Reserve on a short-term basis. The Bank s borrowed funds, excluding capitalized lease obligations, were $\$ 340.1$ million at September 30, 2010, at a weighted average interest rate of $3.16 \%$. A total of $\$ 88.8$ million of these borrowings will mature in less than one year. Borrowed funds, excluding capitalized lease obligations, were $\$ 277.3$ million at December 31, 2009. The Company has the ability to obtain additional funding from the FHLB and Federal Reserve Bank discount window of approximately $\$ 537.8$ million, utilizing unencumbered securities of $\$ 597.5$ million at September 30, 2010. The Company expects to have sufficient funds available to meet current commitments in the normal course of business.

Capital Resources. At September 30, 2010, and December 31, 2009, Northfield Bank exceeded all regulatory capital requirements to which it is subject.

|  | Actual Ratio | Minimum <br> Required for Capital Adequacy Purposes | Minimum <br> Required to Be Well Capitalized under <br> Prompt <br> Corrective Action <br> Provisions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| As of September 30, 2010: |  |  |  |
| Tangible capital to tangible assets | 13.67\% | 1.50\% | NA\% |
| Tier 1 capital (core) (to adjusted assets) | 13.67 | 4.00 | 5.00 |
| Total capital (to risk- weighted assets) | 27.64 | 8.00 | 10.00 |
| As of December 31, 2009: |  |  |  |
| Tangible capital to tangible assets | 14.35\% | 1.50\% | NA\% |
| Tier 1 capital (core) (to adjusted assets) | 14.35 | 4.00 | 5.00 |
| Total capital (to risk- weighted assets) | 28.52 | 8.00 | 10.00 |

## Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Contractual Obligations

In the normal course of operations, the Company engages in a variety of financial transactions that, in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, are not recorded in the financial statements. These transactions primarily relate to lending commitments.
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The following table shows the contractual obligations of the Company by expected payment period as of September 30, 2010:
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## ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

A majority of our assets and liabilities are monetary in nature. Consequently, our most significant form of market risk is interest rate risk. Our assets, consisting primarily of mortgage-related assets and loans, generally have longer maturities than our liabilities, which consist primarily of deposits and wholesale funding. As a result, a principal part of our business strategy involves managing interest rate risk and limiting the exposure of our net interest income to changes in market interest rates. Accordingly, our board of directors has established a management asset liability committee, comprised of our Treasurer, who chairs this Committee, our Chief Executive Officer, our Chief Financial Officer, our Chief Lending Officer, and our Executive Vice President of Operations. This committee is responsible for, among other things, evaluating the interest rate risk inherent in our assets and liabilities, for recommending to the asset liability management committee of our board of director s the level of risk that is appropriate given our business strategy, operating environment, capital, liquidity and performance objectives, and for managing this risk consistent with the guidelines approved by the board of directors.

We seek to manage our interest rate risk in order to minimize the exposure of our earnings and capital to changes in interest rates. As part of our ongoing asset-liability management, we currently use the following strategies to manage our interest rate risk:
originating commercial real estate loans and multifamily loans that generally tend to have shorter maturities and higher interest rates that generally reset at five years;
investing in shorter term investment grade corporate securities and mortgage-backed securities; and
obtaining general financing through lower-cost deposits and longer-term Federal Home Loan Bank advances and repurchase agreements.
Shortening the average term of our interest-earning assets by increasing our investments in shorter-term assets, as well as loans with variable interest rates, helps to better match the maturities and interest rates of our assets and liabilities, thereby reducing the exposure of our net interest income to changes in market interest rates.

Net Portfolio Value Analysis. We compute amounts by which the net present value of our assets and liabilities (net portfolio value or NPV ) would change in the event market interest rates changed over an assumed range of rates. Our simulation model uses a discounted cash flow analysis to measure the interest rate sensitivity of NPV. Depending on current market interest rates we estimate the economic value of these assets and liabilities under the assumption that interest rates experience an instantaneous and sustained increase of 100,200 , or 300 basis points, or a decrease of 100 and 200 basis points, which is based on the current interest rate environment. A basis point equals one-hundredth of one percent, and 100 basis points equals one percent. An increase in interest rates from $3 \%$ to $4 \%$ would mean, for example, a 100 basis point increase in the Change in Interest Rates column below.

Net Interest Income Analysis. In addition to NPV calculations, we analyze our sensitivity to changes in interest rates through our net interest income model. Net interest income is the difference between the interest income we earn on our interest-earning assets, such as loans and securities, and the interest we pay on our interest-bearing liabilities, such as deposits and borrowings. In our model, we estimate what our net interest income would be for a twelve-month period. Depending on current market interest rates we then calculate what the net interest income would be for the same period under the assumption that interest rates experience an instantaneous and sustained increase or decrease of 100,200 , or 300 basis points, or a decrease of 100 and 200 basis points, which is based on the current interest rate environment.

## Table of Contents

The table below sets forth, as of September 30, 2010, our calculation of the estimated changes in our NPV, NPV ratio, and percent change in net interest income that would result from the designated instantaneous and sustained changes in interest rates. Computations of prospective effects of hypothetical interest rate changes are based on numerous assumptions, including relative levels of market interest rates, loan prepayments and deposit decay, and should not be relied on as indicative of actual results (dollars in thousands).

| NPV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Change in Interest |  | Estimated Present |  |  | Estimated Change | Estimated NPV/Present | Net Interest |
|  | Present Value |  |  |  |  |  | Income Percent |
| Rates (basis points) | Value of Assets |  | Value of Liabilities | Estimated <br> NPV | $\begin{gathered} \text { In } \\ \text { NPV } \end{gathered}$ | Value of Assets Ratio | Percent Change |
| +300 | \$ 2,046,929 | \$ | 1,689,319 | \$ 357,610 | \$ (67,836) | 17.47\% | (9.92)\% |
| +200 | 2,098,216 |  | 1,717,042 | 381,174 | $(44,272)$ | 18.17 | (5.27) |
| +100 | 2,151,193 |  | 1,745,728 | 405,465 | $(19,981)$ | 18.85 | (1.57) |
| 0 | 2,200,870 |  | 1,775,424 | 425,446 |  | 19.33 |  |
| -100 | 2,237,724 |  | 1,804,339 | 433,385 | 7,939 | 19.37 | (2.13) |
| -200 | 2,265,987 |  | 1,819,296 | 446,691 | 21,245 | 19.71 | (3.43) |

The table above indicates that at September 30, 2010, in the event of a 300 basis point increase in interest rates, we would experience a 186 basis point decrease in NPV ratio ( $19.33 \%$ less $17.47 \%$ ), and a $9.92 \%$ decrease in net interest income. In the event of a 200 basis point decrease in interest rates, we would experience a 38 basis point increase in NPV ratio ( $19.71 \%$ less $19.33 \%$ ) and a $3.43 \%$ decrease in net interest income. Our internal policies provide that, in the event of a 300 basis point increase in interest rates, our NPV as a percentage of total market assets should decrease by no more than 400 basis points and in the event of a 200 basis point increase/decrease, our projected net interest income should decrease by no more than $20 \%$. Additionally, our internal policy states that our NPV is targeted to be at least $8.5 \%$ of estimated present value of assets. As of September 30, 2010, we were in compliance with our Board approved policy limits.

Certain shortcomings are inherent in the methodologies used in determining interest rate risk through changes in NPV and net interest income. Modeling requires making certain assumptions that may or may not reflect the manner in which actual yields and costs respond to changes in market interest rates. In this regard, the NPV and net interest income information presented assume that the composition of our interest-sensitive assets and liabilities existing at the beginning of a period remains constant over the period being measured and assume that a particular change in interest rates is reflected uniformly across the yield curve regardless of the duration or repricing of specific assets and liabilities. Accordingly, although interest rate risk calculations provide an indication of our interest rate risk exposure at a particular point in time, such measurements are not intended to and do not provide a precise forecast of the effect of changes in market interest rates on our net interest income and will differ from actual results.
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## ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

An evaluation was performed under the supervision and with the participation of the Company s management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) promulgated under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) as of September 30, 2010. Based on that evaluation, the Company s management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

During the quarter ended September 30, 2010, there were no changes in the Company s internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting.
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## PART II

## ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Company and subsidiaries are subject to various legal actions arising in the normal course of business. In the opinion of management, the resolution of these legal actions is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the Company s financial condition or results of operations.

## ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Except as disclosed in our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, there have been no material changes to the risk factors set forth in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, as filed with the SEC.

## ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

(a) Unregistered Sale of Equity Securities. There were no sales of unregistered securities during the period covered by this report.
(b) Use of Proceeds. Not applicable
(c) Repurchases of Our Equity Securities.

The Company did not repurchase any of its common stock during the three months ended September 30, 2010. On February 13, 2009, the Board of Directors of the Company authorized a stock repurchase program pursuant to which the Company is authorized to repurchase up to $2,240,153$ shares, representing approximately $5 \%$ of its then outstanding shares. The original program had no expiration date. On June 4, 2010, in connection with the Company s announcement that it intended to convert to a fully public company, the Board of Directors terminated its previously announced stock repurchase program. From inception of the program through June 4, 2010, the Company repurchased $2,083,934$ shares of common stock at an average cost of $\$ 11.99$ per share.

On October 27, 2010, the Board of Directors of the Company authorized a stock repurchase program pursuant to which the Company intends to repurchase up to $2,177,033$ shares, representing approximately $5 \%$ of its then outstanding shares. The timing of the repurchases will depend on certain factors, including but not limited to, market conditions and prices, the Company s liquidity and capital requirements, and alternative uses of capital. Any repurchased shares will be held as treasury stock and will be available for general corporate purposes. The Company is conducting such repurchases in accordance with a Rule 10b5-1 trading plan.

## ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None

## ITEM 4. [REMOVED AND RESERVED]

## ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None

## ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

The exhibits required by Item 601 of Regulation S-K are included with this Form 10-Q and are listed on the Index to Exhibits immediately following the Signatures.
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## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

NORTHFIELD BANCORP, INC.
(Registrant)
Date: November 9, 2010
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## INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Exhibit
Number Description
31.1 Certification of John W. Alexander, Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a).
31.2 Certification of Steven M. Klein, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a).

32 Certification of John W. Alexander, Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, and Steven M. Klein, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
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