Lender Processing Services, Inc. Form 10-Q November 08, 2010

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

#### **Form 10-Q**

# **DESCRIPTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2010

or

# o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from

to

Commission File No. 001-34005

# **Lender Processing Services, Inc.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware 26-1547801

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

#### 601 Riverside Avenue Jacksonville, Florida

32204

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

#### (904) 854-5100

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

### Yes b No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

### Yes b No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer b Accelerated filer o Non-accelerated filer o Smaller reporting company o

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes o No b

As of October 31, 2010, 91,321,201 shares of the registrant s common stock were outstanding.

# FORM 10-Q QUARTERLY REPORT Quarter Ended September 30, 2010 INDEX

	Page
Part I: FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1. Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)	
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009	3
Consolidated Statements of Earnings for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009	4
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Earnings for the three and nine months ended September 30.	
2010 and 2009	5
Consolidated Statement of Stockholders Equity for the nine months ended September 30, 2010	6
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009	7
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)	8
Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	21
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	36
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	36
Part II: OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	36
Item 1A. Risk Factors	37
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	39
Item 6. Exhibits	39
<u>EX-10.1</u>	
<u>EX-31.1</u>	
EX-31.2 EX-32.1	
EX-32.1 EX-32.2	
EX-101 INSTANCE DOCUMENT	
EX-101 SCHEMA DOCUMENT	
EX-101 CALCULATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT	
EX-101 LABELS LINKBASE DOCUMENT EX-101 PRESENTATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT	
EX-101 PRESENTATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT  EX-101 DEFINITION LINKBASE DOCUMENT	
2	

# **Part I: FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

# Item 1. Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited).

# LENDER PROCESSING SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited)

	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009 (1)
		housands)
ASSETS	(111 0)	iousuiius)
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 72,518	\$ 70,528
Trade receivables, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$31.7 million and		
\$26.0 million, respectively	418,558	401,333
Other receivables	2,747	3,770
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	36,397	26,985
Deferred income taxes, net	43,993	47,528
Total current assets	574,213	550,144
Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$164.9 million and		
\$146.2 million, respectively	123,905	113,108
Computer software, net of accumulated amortization of \$146.0 million and		
\$120.3 million, respectively	210,770	185,376
Other intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$322.7 million and		
\$304.4 million, respectively	55,455	72,796
Goodwill	1,166,142	1,166,142
Other non-current assets	120,106	109,738
Total assets	\$ 2,250,591	\$ 2,197,304
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Current liabilities:	¢ 145 100	¢ 40.100
Current portion of long-term debt	\$ 145,100	\$ 40,100
Trade accounts payable Accrued salaries and benefits	45,006 45,784	38,166 54,376
	13,412	54,376 15,208
Recording and transfer tax liabilities  Due to affiliates	13,412	3,321
Other accrued liabilities	139,604	151,601
Deferred revenues	52,259	66,602
Deferred revenues	32,237	00,002
Total current liabilities	441,165	369,374
Deferred revenues	36,553	37,681
Deferred income taxes, net	81,326	65,215
Long-term debt, net of current portion	1,140,425	1,249,250

Other non-current liabilities	21,616	19,926
Total liabilities	1,721,085	1,741,446
Commitments and contingencies (note 8)		
Stockholders equity:		
Preferred stock \$0.0001 par value; 50 million shares authorized, none issued at		
September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively		
Common stock \$0.0001 par value; 500 million shares authorized, 97.4 million		
and 97.0 million shares issued at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009,		
respectively	10	10
Additional paid-in capital	207,625	173,424
Retained earnings	534,423	330,963
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(1,803)	(7,630)
Treasury stock \$0.0001 par value; 6.1 million and 1.2 million shares at		
September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, at cost	(210,749)	(40,909)
Total stockholders equity	529,506	455,858
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 2,250,591	\$ 2,197,304
(1) Derived from audited consolidated financial statements.		

(1) Derived from audited consolidated financial statements.

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

# LENDER PROCESSING SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Consolidated Statements of Earnings (Unaudited)

	Three mor Septem 2010	ber 30, 2009	Nine mon Septem 2010	ber 30, 2009
	(In	n thousands, ex	cept per share da	nta)
Processing and services revenues (note 3) Cost of revenues (note 3)	\$ 626,040 417,243	\$ 619,427 409,113	\$ 1,817,515 1,204,112	\$ 1,762,415 1,167,829
Gross profit Selling, general, and administrative expenses (note	208,797	210,314	613,403	594,586
3)	64,516	66,671	185,051	203,280
Operating income	144,281	143,643	428,352	391,306
Other income (expense):				
Interest income	147	283	1,070	1,249
Interest expense	(17,073)	(21,195)	(54,533)	(64,734)
Other expense, net	79	(203)	202	(217)
Total other income (expense)	(16,847)	(21,115)	(53,261)	(63,702)
Earnings from continuing operations before income				
taxes and equity in losses of unconsolidated entity	127,434	122,528	375,091	327,604
Provision for income taxes	48,743	46,867	143,471	125,308
Earnings from continuing operations before equity				
in losses of unconsolidated entity	78,691	75,661	231,620	202,296
Equity in losses of unconsolidated entity	,	, , , , , , ,		(37)
Equity in 103503 of unconsolidated entity				(31)
Earnings from continuing operations	78,691	75,661	231,620	202,259
Discontinued operation, net of tax				(504)
Net earnings	78,691	75,661	231,620	201,755
Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling	, 0,021	,2,001	201,020	201,700
minority interest		(119)		(927)
Net earnings attributable to Lender Processing				
Services, Inc.	\$ 78,691	\$ 75,542	\$ 231,620	\$ 200,828
Amounts attributable to Lender Processing				
Services, Inc.:	<b></b>	<b>*  - - - - - - - - </b>	h 221 (22)	<b>.</b>
Earnings from continuing operations, net of tax Discontinued operation, net of tax	\$ 78,691	\$ 75,542	\$ 231,620	\$ 201,332 (504)
-	¢ 70.601	Φ 75.543	Φ 221 (22	
Net earnings	\$ 78,691	\$ 75,542	\$ 231,620	\$ 200,828

Edgar Filing: Lender Processing Services, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Net earnings per share operations Net earnings per share operation	basic from continuing basic from discontinued	\$ 0.85	\$ 0.79	\$ 2.46	\$ 2.11
Net earnings per share	basic	\$ 0.85	\$ 0.79	\$ 2.46	\$ 2.11
Weighted average share	es outstanding basic	92,422	95,996	94,109	95,557
Net earnings per share operations Net earnings per share operation	diluted from continuing diluted from discontinued	\$ 0.85	\$ 0.78	\$ 2.45	\$ 2.09
Net earnings per share	diluted	\$ 0.85	\$ 0.78	\$ 2.45	\$ 2.09
Weighted average share	es outstanding diluted	92,682	96,399	94,658	95,941

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

4

# LENDER PROCESSING SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Earnings (Unaudited)

	Three months ended September 30,		- ,	ths ended
	2010	2009	2010	2009
		(In the	ousands)	
Net earnings attributable to Lender Processing Services, Inc.	\$ 78,691	\$75,542	\$ 231,620	\$ 200,828
Other comprehensive earnings:				
Unrealized gain on other investments, net of tax	502	158	99	188
Unrealized gain on interest rate swaps, net of tax (1)	623	1,034	5,728	3,862
Other comprehensive earnings	1,125	1,192	5,827	4,050
Comprehensive earnings attributable to Lender Processing Services. Inc.	\$ 79.816	\$ 76,734	\$ 237,447	\$ 204.878

<sup>(1)</sup> Net of income taxes of \$0.3 million and \$0.6 million, and \$3.5 million and \$2.4 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

5

# LENDER PROCESSING SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Consolidated Statement of Stockholders Equity (Unaudited)

				A	ccumulate	d			
			Additional		Other			,	Total
	Commod	iommo	n Paid-In	Retaine@o	mprehensi	<b>Fe</b> easury	Treasury	Stoc	kholders
	<b>Shares</b>	Stock	Capital	<b>Earnings</b>	Loss	<b>Shares</b>	Stock	F	Equity
				(In t	housands)				
Balances, December 31, 2009	97,049	\$ 10	\$ 173,424	\$ 330,963	\$ (7,630)	(1,210)	\$ (40,909)	) \$	455,858
Net earnings attributable to									
Lender Processing Services,									
Inc.				231,620					231,620
Cash dividends paid (1)				(28,160)					(28,160)
Issuance of restricted stock	2								
Exercise of stock options									
and restricted stock activity	376		12,354			(44)	(1,849)	)	10,505
Income tax expense from									
exercise of stock options			(205)						(205)
Stock-based compensation			22,052						22,052
Treasury stock repurchases						(4,851)	(167,991	) (	167,991)
Unrealized gain on									
investments, net					99				99
Unrealized gain on interest									
rate swaps, net					5,728				5,728
Balances, September 30, 2010	97,427	\$ 10	\$ 207,625	\$ 534,423	\$ (1,803)	(6,105)	\$ (210,749)	) \$	529,506

<sup>(1)</sup> Dividends of \$0.10 per common share were paid on March 30, 2010, June 17, 2010 and September 16, 2010. See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

6

# LENDER PROCESSING SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)

	Nine months ended September 30,			ptember
		2010	,	2009
		(In thou	ısands	)
Cash flows from operating activities:				
Net earnings attributable to Lender Processing Services, Inc.	\$	231,620	\$	200,828
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating				
activities:		71 014		70 (00
Depreciation and amortization		71,814		72,623
Amortization of debt issuance costs		3,506		3,968
Gain on sale of discontinued operation Deferred income taxes, net		16,604		(2,574) (651)
Stock-based compensation		22,052		20,364
Income tax expense (benefit) from exercise of stock options		205		(2,625)
Equity in losses of unconsolidated entity		203		37
Noncontrolling minority interest				927
Changes in assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisitions:				221
Trade receivables		(17,224)		(76,642)
Other receivables		1,023		13,321
Prepaid expenses and other assets		(17,272)		(7,798)
Deferred revenues		(15,471)		(2,922)
Accounts payable, accrued liabilities and other liabilities		(5,140)		76,281
Net cash provided by operating activities		291,717		295,137
Cash flows from investing activities:				
Additions to property and equipment		(32,601)		(24,896)
Additions to capitalized software		(51,505)		(42,966)
Purchases of investments		(10,856)		
Acquisition of title plants		(1,840)		(14,319)
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired		(271)		(16,403)
Proceeds from sale of discontinued operation, net of cash distributed				(32,638)
Net cash used in investing activities		(97,073)		(131,222)
Cash flows from financing activities:				
Debt service payments		(3,825)		(180,455)
Exercise of stock options and restricted stock activity		10,505		2,002
Income tax (expense) benefit from exercise of stock options		(205)		2,625
Cash dividends paid		(28,160)		(28,723)
Treasury stock repurchases		(167,991)		(9,883)
Bond repurchases				(8,000)
Acquisition of noncontrolling minority interest				(2,600)

Edgar Filing: Lender Processing Services, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Payments of contingent consideration related to acquisitions		(2,978)					
Net cash used in financing activities		(192,654)		(225,034)			
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		1,990		(61,119)			
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period		70,528		125,966			
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$	72,518	\$	64,847			
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:	ф	(0.621	Ф	CO 002			
Cash paid for interest	\$	60,631	\$	69,882			
Cash paid for taxes	\$	116,955	\$	107,709			
Non-cash redistribution of assets to FIS	\$		\$	434			
Non-cash consideration received from sale of discontinued operation	\$		\$	40,310			
Non-cash consideration issued in acquisition of business	\$		\$	(5,162)			
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.							
7							

# LENDER PROCESSING SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

### **Company Information**

Except as otherwise indicated or unless the context otherwise requires, all references to LPS, we, the Company, or the registrant are to Lender Processing Services, Inc., a Delaware corporation that was incorporated in December 2007 as a wholly-owned subsidiary of FIS, and its subsidiaries; all references to FIS, the former parent, or the holding company are to Fidelity National Information Services, Inc., a Georgia corporation formerly known as Certegy Inc., and its subsidiaries, that owned all of LPS s shares until July 2, 2008; all references to former FIS are to Fidelity National Information Services, Inc., a Delaware corporation, and its subsidiaries, prior to the Certegy merger described below; all references to old FNF are to Fidelity National Financial, Inc., a Delaware corporation that owned a majority of former FIS s shares through November 9, 2006; and all references to FNF are to Fidelity National Financial, Inc. (formerly known as Fidelity National Title Group, Inc.), formerly a subsidiary of old FNF but now a stand-alone company.

### (1) Basis of Presentation

The unaudited financial information included in this report includes the accounts of Lender Processing Services, Inc. and its subsidiaries prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. All adjustments considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated. The preparation of these consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from those estimates. This report should be read in conjunction with the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K that was filed on February 23, 2010 and our other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

# Lender Processing Services, Inc. Spin-off Transaction

On July 2, 2008, FIS distributed to its shareholders a dividend of one-half share of our common stock, par value \$0.0001 per share, for each issued and outstanding share of FIS common stock held on June 24, 2008, which we refer to as the spin-off. Also on July 2, 2008, FIS exchanged 100% of our debt obligations for a like amount of FIS s existing Tranche B Term Loans issued under its Credit Agreement dated as of January 18, 2007. The spin-off was tax-free to FIS and its shareholders, and the debt-for-debt exchange undertaken in connection with the spin-off was tax-free to FIS. On July 3, 2008, we commenced regular way trading on the New York Stock Exchange under the trading symbol LPS. Prior to the spin-off, we were a wholly-owned subsidiary of FIS.

# **Principles of Consolidation**

The historical financial statements of the Company have been presented on a consolidated basis for financial reporting purposes.

### Reporting Segments

We are a provider of integrated technology and outsourced services to the mortgage lending industry, with mortgage processing and default management services in the U.S. We conduct our operations through two reporting segments, Technology, Data and Analytics and Loan Transaction Services.

#### (2) Fair Value

### Fair Value of Financial Assets and Liabilities

The fair value of financial assets and liabilities is determined using the following fair value hierarchy:

Level 1 Inputs to the valuation methodology are unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the Company has the ability to access.

Level 2 Inputs to the valuation methodology include: quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in inactive markets; inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability; and inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means.

Level 3 Inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement.

8

# LENDER PROCESSING SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

Assets are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. Our valuation methods are appropriate and consistent with other market participants. The use of different methodologies or assumptions to determine the fair value of certain financial instruments could result in a different fair value measurement at the reporting date.

The following tables set forth by level within the fair value hierarchy our assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis.

As of September 30, 2010 (in millions):

		Fair Value					
		Carrying			Level		
	Classification	Value	Level 1	Level 2	3	Total	
Cash and cash equivalents	Asset	\$ 72.5	\$ 72.5	\$	\$	\$ 72.5	
Long-term debt (note 6)	Liability	1,285.5	395.1	916.9		1,312.0	
Interest rate swaps (note 6)	Liability	3.9		3.9		3.9	

As of December 31, 2009 (in millions):

		Fair Value					
	Carrying				Level		
	Classification	Value	Level 1	Level 2	3	Total	
Cash and cash equivalents	Asset	\$ 70.5	\$ 70.5	\$	\$	\$ 70.5	
Long-term debt (note 6)	Liability	1,289.4	390.7	912.3		1,303.0	
Interest rate swaps (note 6)	Liability	13.2		13.2		13.2	

The fair values of other financial instruments, which primarily include trade receivables and payables and other receivables, are estimated as of period-end. The carrying amounts of these assets and liabilities approximate their fair values. These estimates are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and significant judgment in the interpretation of current market data. Therefore, the values presented are not necessarily indicative of amounts we could realize or settle currently.

# Fair Value of Assets Acquired and Liabilities Assumed

The values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in business combinations are estimated using various assumptions. The most significant assumptions, and those requiring the most judgment, involve the estimated fair values of intangible assets and software, with the remaining value, if any, attributable to goodwill. The Company utilizes third-party experts to determine the fair values of intangible assets and software purchased in business combinations.

#### (3) Related Party Transactions

We have historically conducted business with FNF and FIS. Because William P. Foley, II serves as Executive Chairman of the board of directors of FNF and served as Executive Chairman of the Board of LPS prior to March 15, 2009, FNF was considered a related party of the Company. Mr. Foley retired from our Board of Directors on March 15, 2009, and so FNF is not a related party for periods subsequent to that date. Because Lee A. Kennedy, who is our Executive Chairman and has served on our Board of Directors since May 2008, served as an executive and a director of FIS until February 28, 2010, FIS was considered a related party of the Company. Mr. Kennedy retired as an executive and a director of FIS on February 28, 2010, and so FIS is not a related party of the Company for periods subsequent to that date. Additionally, Mr. Kennedy served as interim Chief Executive Officer of Ceridian Corporation (Ceridian) from January 25, 2010 until August 19, 2010, and continues to serve as Chairman of Ceridian. Therefore Ceridian is a related party for periods subsequent to January 25, 2010, although we do not have any significant

agreements with Ceridian.

We have various agreements with FNF under which we have provided title agency services, software development and other data services. Additionally, we were allocated corporate costs from FIS and continued to receive limited corporate services from FIS for a period of time following the spin-off, and have other agreements under which we incur other expenses to, or receive revenues from, FNF, FIS and Ceridian. A summary of the related party agreements in effect as of September 30, 2010 is as follows:

Agreements to receive support services from Ceridian. Ceridian provides certain support services to our human resources group, including Family and Medical Leave Act (FMLA) administrative services, military leave administrative services and Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act (COBRA) health benefit services. The FMLA and military leave agreement had an initial term of one year beginning in January 2010 and is automatically renewable for successive one year terms unless either party gives 90 days prior written notice, or 30 days after written notice in the event of a breach. The

ç

# LENDER PROCESSING SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

COBRA agreement had an initial term of one year beginning in January 2009 and is automatically renewable for successive one year terms unless either party gives 90 days prior written notice, or 30 days after written notice in the event of a breach.

A detail of related party items included in revenues for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 is as follows (in millions):

	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,		
	2010	2009	2010 (1)	20	09 (2)
Title agency commissions Software development revenue	\$	\$	\$	\$	74.8 13.4
Other data related services					3.4
Total revenues	\$	\$	\$	\$	91.6

- (1) Includes revenues generated from FIS under these agreements through February 28, 2010. The revenues generated from FIS were less than \$10,000 during the period from January 1, 2010 to February 28, 2010. FIS ceased to be a related party of the Company on February 28, 2010. We continue to generate revenues from contracts that were entered into while FIS was a related party.
- (2) Includes revenues generated from FNF under these agreements through March 31, 2009. FNF ceased to be a related party of the Company on March 15, 2009; however, it was impracticable to estimate revenues received from FNF as of that date. We continue to generate revenues from contracts that were entered into while FNF was a related party.

A detail of related party items included in expenses for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 is as follows (in millions):

	Three months ended September 30,		l	Nine months ende September 30,			d	
	2010 (1)	200	9	2010	<b>(2)</b>	2009	<b>(3)</b>	
Title plant information expense (4)	\$	\$		\$		\$	4.1	
Corporate services (5) Licensing, leasing and cost sharing agreements			0.4		(0.1)		7.0	
(5)			(0.7)		0.1		(2.6)	
Total expenses	\$	\$	(0.3)	\$		\$	8.5	

- (1) The expenses paid to Ceridian were less than \$50,000 during the period.
- (2) Includes expense reimbursements paid to or received from FIS under these agreements through February 28, 2010. FIS ceased to be a related party of the Company on February 28, 2010. We continue to incur expenses and receive reimbursements under contracts that were entered into while FIS was a related party.
- (3) Includes expense reimbursements paid to or received from FNF under these agreements through March 31, 2009. FNF ceased to be a related party of the Company on March 15, 2009; however, it was impracticable to estimate expense reimbursements paid to FNF as of that date. We continue to incur expenses and receive reimbursements

under contracts that were entered into while FNF was a related party.

- (4) Included in cost of revenues.
- (5) Included in selling, general, and administrative expenses.

We believe the amounts earned from or charged by FNF, FIS or Ceridian under the various arrangements are fair and reasonable. These transactions between us and FNF, FIS and Ceridian are subject to periodic review for performance and pricing.

# Other related party transactions:

FNRES Holdings, Inc. and Investment Property Exchange Services, Inc.

On December 31, 2006, FNF contributed \$52.5 million to FNRES Holdings, Inc. (FNRES), a FIS subsidiary, for approximately 61% of the outstanding shares of FNRES. In June 2008, FIS contributed its remaining 39% equity investment in FNRES to the Company in the spin-off (note 1). On February 6, 2009, we acquired the remaining 61% of the equity interest of FNRES from FNF in

10

# LENDER PROCESSING SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

exchange for all of our interests in Investment Property Exchange Services, Inc. ( IPEX ) (note 5). The exchange resulted in FNRES becoming our wholly-owned subsidiary.

### (4) Net Earnings Per Share

The basic weighted average shares and common stock equivalents are computed using the treasury stock method. The following table summarizes the earnings per share for the three and nine months ending September 30, 2010 and 2009 (in thousands, except per share amounts):

		Three months ended September 30,		Nine months September				
Amounts attributable to Services, Inc.:	Lender Processing		2010	2009		2010		2009
Earnings from continuir tax Discontinued operation,		\$	78,691	\$ 75,542	\$	231,620	\$	201,332 (504)
Net earnings		\$	78,691	\$ 75,542	\$	231,620	\$	200,828
Weighted average share Plus: Common stock eq	•		92,422 260	95,996 403		94,109 549		95,557 384
Weighted average share diluted	s outstanding		92,682	96,399		94,658		95,941
Net earnings per share continuing operations Net earnings per share discontinued operation	basic from	\$	0.85	\$ 0.79	\$	2.46	\$	2.11
Net earnings per share	basic	\$	0.85	\$ 0.79	\$	2.46	\$	2.11
Net earnings per share continuing operations Net earnings per share discontinued operation	diluted from	\$	0.85	\$ 0.78	\$	2.45	\$	2.09
Net earnings per share	diluted	\$	0.85	\$ 0.78	\$	2.45	\$	2.09

Options to purchase approximately 6.7 million shares and 6.4 million shares, and 4.0 million shares and 5.9 million shares of our common stock were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, because they were antidilutive.

We intend to limit dilution caused by option exercises, including anticipated exercises, by repurchasing shares on the open market or in privately negotiated transactions. On June 18, 2009, our Board of Directors approved a plan authorizing repurchases of common stock and/or senior notes of up to \$75.0 million, of which \$50.0 million was

available to repurchase our senior notes. On February 5, 2010, our Board of Directors authorized us to repurchase shares of our common stock and/or our senior notes in an amount not to exceed \$150.0 million. On July 22, 2010, our Board of Directors authorized us to repurchase shares of our common stock and/or our senior notes in an amount not to exceed \$150.0 million. Most recently, on October 28, 2010 our Board of Directors approved a new authorization for us to repurchase up to \$250.0 million of our common stock and/or our senior notes. This new authorization is effective through December 31, 2011. Each new authorization replaced the previous authorization and subsumed all amounts remaining available thereunder. Our ability to repurchase shares of common stock or senior notes is subject to restrictions contained in our senior secured credit agreement and in the indenture governing our senior unsecured notes.

During the third quarter of 2010, we repurchased 2.3 million shares of our stock for \$70.3 million, at an average price of \$31.22 per share.

### (5) Acquisitions and Dispositions

The results of operations and financial position of entities acquired are included in the consolidated financial statements from and after the date of acquisition. The purchase price of each acquisition was allocated to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their fair value with any excess cost over fair value being allocated to goodwill. The impact of the acquisitions made from January 1, 2009 through September 30, 2010 was not significant individually or in the aggregate to our historical financial results.

### NRC Rising Tide National Auction & REO Solutions, LLC

On October 30, 2009, our subsidiary, LPS Auction Solutions, LLC, acquired substantially all of the assets of NRC Rising Tide National Auction & REO Solutions, LLC (Rising Tide) for a \$3.7 million cash payment and a contingent earn-out payment not to exceed \$30.0 million. As a result of the transaction, we recognized a contingent earn-out liability totaling \$28.2 million. We are in the process of finalizing our review of contingent liabilities resulting from the purchase. The acquisition has resulted in the recognition of

1 1

# LENDER PROCESSING SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

\$29.0 million of goodwill and \$2.9 million of other intangible assets and software. The allocation of the purchase price to goodwill and intangible assets was based on the valuations performed to determine the values of such assets as of the acquisition date. The valuation of Rising Tide was determined using a combination of the income and cost approaches utilizing Level 3-type inputs. Rising Tide is now a part of the Loan Transaction Services segment and it expands our default management services by providing entry into the residential REO auction services market. *RealEC Technologies, Inc.* 

On July 21, 2009, our subsidiary, LPS Asset Management Solutions, Inc. ( Asset Management ), acquired 22% of the noncontrolling minority interest of RealEC Technologies, Inc. ( RealEC ) for \$2.6 million. On November 12, 2009, Asset Management acquired the remaining 22% of the noncontrolling minority interest of RealEC for \$4.3 million. Prior to the acquisitions, we owned 56% of the interest of RealEC, which was consolidated as a part of the Technology, Data and Analytics segment, and we reported noncontrolling minority interest related to RealEC in the equity section of our consolidated balance sheets. RealEC contributed net earnings attributable to minority interest of \$0.1 million and \$0.9 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009. The transactions resulted in RealEC becoming our wholly-owned subsidiary, and we no longer have any outstanding noncontrolling minority interest.

# Tax Verification Bureau, Inc.

On June 19, 2009, we acquired Tax Verification Bureau, Inc., which we have renamed LPS Verification Bureau, Inc. (Verification Bureau), for \$14.9 million (net of cash acquired). As a result of the transaction, during 2010 we have paid contingent consideration totaling \$3.0 million, of which \$2.8 million was recognized as goodwill and \$0.2 was recognized as expense. We also recognized a deferred tax liability totaling \$3.1 million. The acquisition resulted in the recognition of \$12.8 million of goodwill and \$7.7 million of other intangible assets and software. The allocation of the purchase price to goodwill and intangible assets was based on the valuations performed to determine the values of such assets as of the acquisition date. The valuation of Verification Bureau was determined using a combination of the income and cost approaches utilizing Level 3-type inputs. Verification Bureau is now a part of the Technology, Data and Analytics segment and it expands our data and analytics offerings and fraud solutions capabilities.

# FNRES Holdings, Inc.

On February 6, 2009, we acquired the remaining 61% of the equity interest of FNRES from FNF in exchange for all of our interests in Investment Property Exchange Services, Inc. (IPEX). FNRES is now a part of the Technology, Data and Analytics segment and it expands our data and analytics offerings and IT development capabilities. The exchange resulted in FNRES, which we subsequently renamed LPS Real Estate Group, Inc., becoming our wholly-owned subsidiary. Prior to the exchange we did not consolidate FNRES, but recorded our 39% interest as an equity investment. We recorded equity losses (net of tax) from our investment in FNRES of \$2.0 million from January 1, 2009 to February 6, 2009. The net earnings from IPEX, including related party revenues and expense reimbursements, have been reclassified as a discontinued operation in our consolidated statements of earnings.

FNRES and IPEX were valued at \$66.6 million (including \$0.5 million in cash) and \$37.8 million (including \$32.6 million in cash), respectively, resulting in the recognition of a pre-tax gain of \$2.6 million (\$0.5 million after-tax) which is included as a discontinued operation in our consolidated statements of earnings for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The valuation of FNRES was determined using a combination of the market and income approaches utilizing Level 2 and Level 3-type inputs, while the valuation of IPEX was determined using the income approach utilizing Level 3-type inputs. As a result of the transaction, we recognized \$32.6 million of goodwill and \$14.2 million of other intangible assets and software. The allocation of the purchase price to goodwill and intangible assets is based on the valuations performed to determine the values of such assets as of the acquisition date.

# LENDER PROCESSING SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

#### (6) Long-Term Debt

Long-term debt as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 consisted of the following (in thousands):

	September 30, 2010		December 31, 2009	
Term A Loan, secured, interest payable at LIBOR plus 2.00% (2.26% at September 30, 2010), quarterly principal amortization, maturing July 2013	\$	420,000	\$	420,000
Term B Loan, secured, interest payable at LIBOR plus 2.50% (2.76% at	·	,	·	,
September 30, 2010), quarterly principal amortization, maturing July 2014 Revolving Loan, secured, interest payable at LIBOR plus 2.00% (Eurocurrency Borrowings), Fed-funds plus 2.00% (Swingline Borrowings) or Prime plus 1.00% (Base Rate Borrowings) (2.26%, 2.15% or 4.25%, respectively, at September 30, 2010), maturing July 2013. Total of \$138.5 million unused (net of outstanding letters of credit) as of September 30, 2010 Senior unsecured notes, issued at par, interest payable semiannually at		498,525		502,350
8.125%, due July 2016		367,000		367,000
Less current portion		1,285,525 (145,100)		1,289,350 (40,100)
Long-term debt, excluding current portion	\$	1,140,425	\$	1,249,250

The fair value of the Company s long-term debt as of September 30, 2010 is estimated to be approximately 102% of the carrying value. We have estimated the fair value of the term loans based on values of recent quoted market prices and estimated the fair value of the notes based on values of recent trades.

### Principal Maturities of Debt

There have been no significant changes to our principal maturities since our Annual Report on Form 10-K was filed on February 23, 2010.

# **Interest Rate Swaps**

On August 4, 2010, we entered into the following interest rate swap transactions, which have been designated as cash flow hedges:

Period	Notional Amount (in millions)	Bank Pays Variable Rate of	LPS Pays Fixed Rate of
		1 Month	
December 31, 2010 to December 30, 2011	\$ 225.0	LIBOR	0.605%
		1 Month	
December 30, 2011 to December 31, 2012	150.0	LIBOR	1.295%
		1 Month	
December 31, 2012 to December 31, 2013	75.0	LIBOR	2.080%

We have entered into interest rate swap transactions in order to convert a portion of our interest rate exposure on our floating rate debt from variable to fixed. We have designated these interest rate swaps as cash flow hedges. The estimated fair value of these cash flow hedges resulted in liabilities of \$3.9 million and \$13.2 million as of

September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, and is included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets in other accrued liabilities and other non-current liabilities. A portion of the amount included in accumulated other comprehensive earnings will be reclassified into interest expense as a yield adjustment as interest payments are made on the Term Loans. The inputs used to determine the estimated fair value of our interest rate swaps are Level 2-type measurements. We have considered our own credit risk when determining the fair value of our interest rate swaps.

A summary of the effect of derivative instruments on amounts recognized in other comprehensive earnings (OCE) and on the accompanying consolidated statement of earnings for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 is as follows (in millions):

	Amount of Loss Recognized in OCE on Derivatives						
	Three mo	nths ended	Nine months ended September 30,				
<b>Derivatives in Cash</b>	Septem	iber 30,					
Flow Hedging Relationships 2010 2		2009	2010	2009			
Interest rate swap contract	\$(1.9)	\$(3.3)	\$ (3.0)	\$ (8.5)			
	Amount		ified from Accur	nulated OCE			
		into	o Income				
	Three mo	onths ended	Nine mor	ths ended			
<b>Location of Loss Reclassified</b>	Septer	nber 30,	Septen	nber 30,			
from Accumulated OCE into Income	2010	2009	2010	2009			
Interest expense	\$ (2.9)	\$ (5.0)	\$ (12.2)	\$ (14.9)			
	13						

# LENDER PROCESSING SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

It is our policy to execute such instruments with credit-worthy banks and not to enter into derivative financial instruments for speculative purposes. As of September 30, 2010, we believe our interest rate swap counterparties will be able to fulfill their obligations under our agreements, and we believe we will have debt outstanding through the various expiration dates of the swaps such that the occurrence of future hedge cash flows remains probable.

#### (7) Income Taxes

Reserves for uncertain tax positions are computed by determining a minimum recognition threshold a tax position is required to meet before being recognized in the financial statements. The Company has performed an evaluation of its tax positions and has concluded that as of September 30, 2010, there were no significant uncertain tax positions requiring recognition in its consolidated financial statements. The Company s policy is to recognize interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits as a component of income tax expense.

# (8) Commitments and Contingencies

### Litigation

In the ordinary course of business, we are involved in various pending and threatened litigation matters related to our operations, some of which include claims for punitive or exemplary damages. We believe that no actions, other than the matters listed below, depart from customary litigation incidental to our business. As background to the disclosure below, please note the following:

In these matters, plaintiffs seek a variety of remedies but do not make a specific statement as to the dollar amount of damages demanded. Due to these reasons and the early stage of these cases, it is not possible to make meaningful estimates of the amount or range of loss that could result from these matters at this time.

We review these matters on an ongoing basis and follow the provisions of Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification Topic 450, *Contingencies*, when making accrual and disclosure decisions. When assessing reasonably possible and probable outcomes, we base our decision on our assessment of the ultimate outcome following all appeals.

We intend to vigorously defend all litigation matters that are brought against us, and we do not believe that their ultimate disposition will have a material adverse impact on our financial position or results of operations. *Elizabeth Foster, et al vs. MERS, GMAC, Lender Processing Services, Inc., et al.* 

We have been named in a putative class action complaint filed in the United States District Court in the Western District of Kentucky, Louisville Division on September 28, 2010. Although we have not yet received service of the complaint, plaintiffs challenge the securitization of loans, the use of assignments of mortgage and the participation of MERS in the foreclosure process, and make allegations concerning unlawful foreclosure, conspiracy and other matters relating to the handling of the plaintiffs loans and the default process.

Thorne vs. Prommis Solution Holding Corporation, Lender Processing Services, Inc., et al.

We have also been named in a putative class action adversary proceeding filed in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Mississippi on September 30, 2010. The complaint has a single plaintiff and alleges that the defendants engaged in unlawful fee splitting and the unauthorized practice of law. On October 28, 2010, we filed a motion for summary judgment seeking to dismiss the complaint.

Knippel vs. Saxon Mortgage Services, Lender Processing Services, Inc., et al.

We have been named in a putative class action complaint filed in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada on October 5, 2010. Although we have not yet received service of the complaint, the complaint was served on one of our subsidiaries on October 18, 2010. The complaint has a single plaintiff and alleges violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, deceptive trade practices and unlawful fee splitting.

# LENDER PROCESSING SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

### Regulatory Matters

Due to the heavily regulated nature of the mortgage industry, from time to time we receive inquiries and requests for information from various state and federal regulatory agencies, including state insurance departments, attorneys general and other agencies, about various matters relating to our business. These inquiries take various forms, including informal or formal requests, reviews, investigations and subpoenas. We attempt to cooperate with all such inquiries. The U.S. Attorney s office for the Middle District of Florida has been conducting an inquiry concerning certain business processes in our default operations. The Florida Attorney General and other federal and state authorities have initiated inquiries about these matters. We have been cooperating and we have expressed our willingness to continue to fully cooperate with these inquiries. We continue to believe that the outcome of the current inquiries will not have a material adverse impact on our business or results of operations, although, it is difficult to predict the final outcome of these matters due to the current scrutiny being placed on participants in the foreclosure process and the early stage of many of these inquiries.

### **Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

We do not have any material off-balance sheet arrangements other than operating leases and the escrow and fiduciary arrangements described below.

# **Escrow and Fiduciary Arrangements**

In conducting our title agency, closing and tax services, we routinely hold customers—assets in escrow or fiduciary accounts, pending completion of real estate related transactions. These amounts are maintained in segregated accounts and have not been included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. As an incentive for holding deposits at certain banks, we periodically have programs for realizing economic benefits through favorable arrangements with these banks. As of September 30, 2010, the aggregate value of all amounts held in escrow and fiduciary accounts in our title agency, closing and tax services operations totaled \$341.4 million.

# (9) Stock Option Plans

Awards issued to our employees prior to the spin-off were originally issued under plans established by FIS and old FNF. On July 2, 2008, in connection with the spin-off, all options and restricted stock awards held by our employees prior to the spin-off were converted into options and awards issuable in our common stock, authorized by our new stock option plan. The exercise price and number of shares subject to each option and restricted stock award were adjusted to reflect the differences in FIS s and our common stock prices, which resulted in an equal fair value of the options before and after the exchange. Therefore, no compensation charge was recorded in connection with the conversion. Since July 2, 2008, all options and awards held by our employees are issuable in LPS common stock.

Our employees participate in LPS s 2008 Omnibus Incentive Plan (the Plan ). Under the Plan, the Company may grant up to 14 million share-based awards to officers, directors and key employees. As of September 30, 2010, 3.0 million share-based awards were available for future grant under the Plan. The shares may be issued from authorized and unissued shares of the Company s common stock or from the Company s treasury shares. Expired and forfeited awards are available for re-issuance. Vesting and exercise of share-based awards are generally contingent on continued employment.

The Company recognizes equity compensation expense on a straight-line basis over the vesting period of share-based awards. We recorded stock compensation expense of \$8.2 million and \$7.1 million, and \$22.1 million and \$20.4 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, which is included in selling, general and administrative expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of earnings. Additionally, we recorded an income tax benefit (expense) related to the exercise of stock options of \$(43,000) and \$1.3 million, and \$(0.2) million and \$2.6 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, \$1.0 million and \$1.3 million, and \$3.2 million and \$2.8 million of cash was used for minimum statutory withholding requirements upon net settlement of employee share-based awards.

As of September 30, 2010, the Company had \$48.8 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to share-based payments, which is expected to be recognized in pre-tax earnings over a weighted average period of 1.42 years.

15

# LENDER PROCESSING SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

#### **Options**

We measured the fair value of the awards at the date of grant using a Black-Scholes option pricing model with various assumptions. The risk-free interest rate is based on the rate in effect for the expected term of the option at the grant date. The dividend yield is based on historical dividends. The volatility assumptions are based on our historical volatility and the historical volatilities of comparable publicly traded companies using daily closing prices for the historical period commensurate with the expected term of the option. The expected life of the options is determined based on the Securities and Exchange Commission s simplified method for companies without enough historical data.

The following table summarizes weighted average assumptions used to estimate fair values for awards granted during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009:

		Risk			Weighted
	Weighted	Free	<b>X</b> 7 - 1 - 4*1*4	Expected	Average
D ' 1	Average	Interest	Volatility	Dividend	Expected Life
Period	Fair Value	Rate	Factor	Yield	(In Years)
2010	\$10.87	2.3%	36%	1.1%	4.5
2009	\$ 8.30	1.9%	35%	1.4%	5.0

The following table summarizes stock option activity under the Plan during the nine months ended September 30, 2010:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life	Exercisable Shares
Outstanding as of December 31, 2009	6,806,710	\$32.16		
Total Granted	1,434,700	36.15		
Exercised (1)	(431,392)	31.69		
Forfeited	(56,279)	32.64		
Outstanding as of September 30, 2010 (2)	7,753,739	32.93	4.80	4,010,909

- (1) The total intrinsic value of stock options exercised during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was \$3.4 million.
- (2) The total intrinsic value of stock options outstanding as of September 30, 2010 was \$16.5 million. The total intrinsic value of stock options exercisable as of September 30, 2010 was \$10.4 million.

The number of shares vested and expected to vest, which is calculated using our forfeiture rate of 2%, total approximately 7.7 million, have a weighted average remaining contractual life of 4.80 years, a weighted average exercise price of \$32.93 and an intrinsic value of \$16.1 million.

# Restricted Stock

On May 10, 2010, we granted approximately 0.4 million shares of restricted stock with a grant date fair value of \$36.14. This grant is subject to both a service and performance-based vesting condition. If the performance objective is not achieved, the restricted stock is subject to automatic forfeiture to the Company for no consideration. Dividends on the unvested restricted stock are accrued until the vest date, at which time they are paid in full to the participants. Additionally, all executive officers of the Company who were granted restricted stock in connection with this grant are required to hold a portion of their vested shares for a period of six months following the vesting of each tranche.

As of September 30, 2010, approximately 0.4 million shares of restricted stock awards with service-based vesting conditions were outstanding, and approximately 0.4 million shares of restricted stock awards with service and performance-based vesting conditions were outstanding.

16

# LENDER PROCESSING SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

# (10) Segment Information

Summarized unaudited financial information concerning our segments is shown in the following tables.

		chnology, Oata and		Corporate and	
As of and for the three months ended September 30, 2010 (in thousands):	A	nalytics	Services	Other	Total
Results from continuing operations: Processing and services revenues	\$	106 017	\$ 431,062	\$ (1,939)	\$ 626,040
Cost of revenues	Ψ	108,421	310,780	(1,958)	417,243
Gross profit		88,496	120,282	19	208,797
Selling, general and administrative expenses		21,108	23,561	19,847	64,516
Operating income	\$	67,388	\$ 96,721	\$ (19,828)	\$ 144,281
Depreciation and amortization	\$	16,532	\$ 6,152	\$ 1,836	\$ 24,520
Balance sheet data:					
Total assets	\$ 1	1,202,118	\$ 839,127	\$ 209,346	\$ 2,250,591
Goodwill	\$	760,081	\$406,061	\$	\$ 1,166,142
		chnology, Oata and		Corporate	
As of and for the three months ended Sentember 30, 2000 (in thousands).	D	ata and '	Fransaction	and	Total
As of and for the three months ended September 30, 2009 (in thousands): Results from continuing operations:	D			<del>-</del>	Total
Results from continuing operations: Processing and services revenues	D	enalytics	Fransaction Services	and	\$ 619,427
Results from continuing operations:	A	ata and T	Fransaction Services	and Other	
Results from continuing operations: Processing and services revenues Cost of revenues Gross profit	A	nalytics 186,286 105,651 80,635	Fransaction Services \$ 440,480 311,230 129,250	and Other \$ (7,339) (7,768) 429	\$ 619,427 409,113 210,314
Results from continuing operations: Processing and services revenues Cost of revenues	A	2 ata and 7 analytics 186,286 105,651	Services \$440,480 311,230	and Other \$ (7,339) (7,768)	\$ 619,427 409,113
Results from continuing operations: Processing and services revenues Cost of revenues Gross profit	A	20 ata and 7 analytics 186,286 105,651 80,635 18,256	Fransaction Services \$ 440,480 311,230 129,250 27,665	and Other \$ (7,339) (7,768) 429	\$ 619,427 409,113 210,314 66,671
Results from continuing operations: Processing and services revenues Cost of revenues  Gross profit Selling, general and administrative expenses	<b>A</b>	20 ata and 7 chalytics 186,286 105,651 80,635 18,256 62,379	Fransaction Services \$ 440,480 311,230 129,250 27,665 \$ 101,585	and Other \$ (7,339) (7,768) 429 20,750	\$ 619,427 409,113 210,314 66,671 \$ 143,643
Results from continuing operations: Processing and services revenues Cost of revenues  Gross profit Selling, general and administrative expenses  Operating income	<b>A</b> \$	20 ata and 7 chalytics 186,286 105,651 80,635 18,256 62,379	Fransaction Services \$ 440,480 311,230 129,250 27,665 \$ 101,585	and Other \$ (7,339) (7,768) 429 20,750 \$ (20,321)	\$ 619,427 409,113 210,314 66,671 \$ 143,643
Results from continuing operations: Processing and services revenues Cost of revenues  Gross profit Selling, general and administrative expenses  Operating income  Depreciation and amortization	<b>A</b> \$ \$	20 ata and 7 analytics 186,286 105,651 80,635 18,256 62,379 17,595	Fransaction Services \$ 440,480 311,230 129,250 27,665 \$ 101,585 \$ 5,295	and Other \$ (7,339) (7,768) 429 20,750 \$ (20,321)	\$ 619,427 409,113 210,314 66,671 \$ 143,643 \$ 25,044

Technology, Loan
Data and Transaction Corporate

Edgar Filing: Lender Processing Services, Inc. - Form 10-Q

3 9	, -			
For the nine months ended September 30, 2010 (in thousands): Results from continuing operations:	Analytics	Services	and Other	Total
Processing and services revenues	\$ 561,587	\$1,261,864	\$ (5.936)	\$ 1.817.515
Cost of revenues	314,533	895,496	,	
Gross profit	247,054	366,368	(19)	613,403
Selling, general and administrative expenses	60,985	69,216	54,850	185,051
Operating income	\$ 186,069	\$ 297,152	\$ (54,869)	\$ 428,352
Depreciation and amortization	\$ 49,117	\$ 17,087	\$ 5,610	\$ 71,814
	Technology	, Loan		
	Data and	Transaction	Corporate	
			and	
For the nine months ended September 30, 2009 (in thousands): Results from continuing operations:	Analytics	Services	Other	Total
Processing and services revenues	\$ 518,054	\$1,263,047	\$ (18,686)	\$1,762,415
Cost of revenues	295,043	891,515	(18,729)	1,167,829
Gross profit	223,011	371,532	43	594,586
Selling, general and administrative expenses	52,146	82,088	69,046	203,280

17

\$170,865 \$ 289,444 \$(69,003) \$ 391,306

72,618

\$ 51,411 \$ 15,029 \$ 6,178 \$

Operating income

Depreciation and amortization

# LENDER PROCESSING SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

# (11) Condensed Consolidating Financial Information

On July 2, 2008, LPS (the Parent Company ) entered into a credit agreement and issued senior notes (note 6). The credit agreement and senior notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed, jointly and severally, by the majority of the subsidiaries of the Parent Company (the Subsidiary Guarantors ). Certain other subsidiaries (the Other Subsidiaries ) are not guarantors of the Credit Agreement and the Notes. The guarantees by the Subsidiary Guarantors are senior to any of their existing and future subordinated obligations, equal in right of payment with any of their existing and future senior unsecured indebtedness and effectively subordinated to any of their existing and future secured indebtedness.

The Parent Company conducts virtually all of its business operations through its Subsidiary Guarantors and Other Subsidiaries. Accordingly, the Parent Company s main sources of internally generated cash are distributions and other payments with respect to its ownership interests in the subsidiaries, which are derived from the cash flows generated by the subsidiaries.

The following tables set forth, on a condensed consolidating basis, the balance sheet, the statement of earnings and the statement of cash flows for the Parent Company, the Subsidiary Guarantors and Other Subsidiaries as of and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010.

The following table represents our condensed consolidating balance sheet as of September 30, 2010 (in thousands):

	Parent Company	Subsidiary Guarantors	Other Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments	Total Consolidated Amounts
Assets:					
Current assets	\$ 21,558	\$ 530,896	\$ 21,759	\$	\$ 574,213
Investment in subsidiaries	1,765,162			(1,765,162)	
Non-current assets	13,955	1,636,247	26,176		1,676,378
Total assets	\$ 1,800,675	\$ 2,167,143	\$ 47,935	\$ (1,765,162)	\$ 2,250,591
Liabilities and stockholders equity:					
Current liabilities	\$ 129,091	\$ 290,756	\$ 21,318	\$	\$ 441,165
Total liabilities	1,271,169	427,700	22,216		1,721,085
Total stockholders equity	529,506	1,739,443	25,719	(1,765,162)	529,506
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 1,800,675	\$ 2,167,143	\$ 47,935	\$ (1,765,162)	\$ 2,250,591

The following table represents our condensed consolidating statement of earnings for the three months ended September 30, 2010 (in thousands):

					Total
	Parent	Subsidiary	Other C	Consolid	atingonsolidated
	Company				
	(1)	Guarantor	ubsidiarie	<b>As</b> djustm	ents Amounts
Processing and services revenues	\$	\$ 551,892	\$ 74,148	\$	\$ 626,040
Operating expenses	8,215	401,720	71,824		481,759

Edgar Filing: Lender Processing Services, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Operating income Total other income (expense)	(8,215)	150,172	2,324	144,281
	(17,073)	194	32	(16,847)
Earnings before income taxes and equity in earnings of consolidated entities Provision for income taxes	(25,288)	150,366	2,356	127,434
	(9,673)	57,515	901	48,743
Earnings before equity in earnings of consolidated entities Equity in earnings of consolidated entities, net of tax	(15,615) 94,306	92,851	1,455	78,691 (94,306)
Net earnings	\$ 78,691	\$ 92,851	\$ 1,455	\$ (94,306) \$ 78,691

<sup>(1)</sup> The Parent Company does not allocate corporate overhead to the Subsidiary Guarantors or Other Subsidiaries.

18

# LENDER PROCESSING SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

The following table represents our condensed consolidating statement of earnings for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 (in thousands):

	Parent Company	Subsidiary	Other	Total Consolidatin©onsolidated			
	(1)	GuarantorsSubsidiarieAdjustments Amounts					
Processing and services revenues	\$	\$ 1,613,820	\$ 203,695	\$ \$1,817,515			
Operating expenses	22,052	1,171,647	195,464	1,389,163			
Operating income	(22,052)	442,173	8,231	428,352			
Total other income (expense)	(54,533)	767	505	(53,261)			
Earnings before income taxes and equity in earnings of							
consolidated entities	(76,585)	442,940	8,736	•			
Provision for income taxes	(29,295)	169,424	3,342	143,471			
Earnings before equity in earnings of consolidated entities	(47,290)	273,516	5,394	231,620			
Equity in earnings of consolidated entities, net of tax	278,910			(278,910)			
Net earnings	\$ 231,620	\$ 273,516	\$ 5,394	\$ (278,910) \$ 231,620			

(1) The Parent Company does not allocate corporate overhead to the Subsidiary Guarantors or Other Subsidiaries.

The following table represents our condensed consolidating statement of cash flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 (in thousands):

2010 (in thousands):							
	Parent Company	ubsidiary uarantorsS			Consolidating Adjustments	•	
Cash flows from operating activities:							
Net earnings	\$ 231,620	\$ 273,516	\$	5,394	\$ (278,910)	\$	231,620
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating activities:							
Non-cash expenses and other items	(253,180)	88,241		210	278,910		114,181
Changes in assets and liabilities, net of effects from acquisitions	(42,724)	(16,805)		5,445			(54,084)
Net cash provided by operating activities	(64,284)	344,952	1	1,049			291,717
Net cash used in investing activities	(271)	(85,931)	(1	0,871)	)		(97,073)
Net cash used in financing activities	(189,676)	(2,978)				,	(192,654)
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	\$ (254,231)	\$ 256,043	\$	178	\$		1,990
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period							70,528
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period						\$	72,518

### (12) Subsequent Events

Management evaluated all activity of the Company and concluded that no subsequent events have occurred, other than the events described below, that would require recognition in the consolidated financial statements or notes thereto.

#### Dividend Declared

On October 28, 2010, we announced a regular quarterly dividend of \$0.10 per common share. The dividend is payable on December 22, 2010, to shareholders of record as of the close of business on December 8, 2010.

### Share Repurchases

Subsequent to September 30, 2010, we have repurchased 1.0 million shares of our stock for \$30.1 million, at an average price of \$30.11 per share.

On October 28, 2010 our Board of Directors approved a new authorization for us to repurchase up to \$250.0 million of our common stock and/or our senior notes. This new authorization is effective through December 31, 2011. The new authorization replaces the previous authorization and subsumes all amounts remaining available thereunder. Our ability to repurchase shares of our common stock or senior notes is subject to restrictions contained in our senior secured credit agreement and in the indenture governing our senior unsecured notes.

19

# LENDER PROCESSING SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

# Appointment of New Chief Financial Officer

On October 28, 2010, Francis K. Chan ceased to serve as Chief Financial Officer of the Company, and our Board of Directors appointed Thomas L. Schilling to serve as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of LPS.

20

Except as otherwise indicated or unless the context otherwise requires, all references to LPS, we, the Company, or the registrant are to Lender Processing Services, Inc., a Delaware corporation that was incorporated in December 2007 as a wholly-owned subsidiary of FIS, and its subsidiaries; all references to FIS, the former parent, or the holding company are to Fidelity National Information Services, Inc., a Georgia corporation formerly known as Certegy Inc., and its subsidiaries, that owned all of LPS s shares until July 2, 2008; all references to former FIS are to Fidelity National Information Services, Inc., a Delaware corporation, and its subsidiaries, prior to the merger of Certegy, Inc. and former FIS; all references to old FNF are to Fidelity National Financial, Inc., a Delaware corporation that owned a majority of former FIS s shares through November 9, 2006; and all references to FNF are to Fidelity National Financial, Inc. (formerly known as Fidelity National Title Group, Inc.), formerly a subsidiary of old FNF but now a stand-alone company.

# Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with Item 1: Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) and the notes thereto included elsewhere in this report. The discussion below contains forward-looking statements that involve a number of risks and uncertainties. Those forward-looking statements include all statements that are not historical facts, including statements about our beliefs and expectations. Forward-looking statements are based on management s beliefs, as well as assumptions made by and information currently available to management. Because such statements are based on expectations as to future economic performance and are not statements of historical fact, actual results may differ materially from those projected. We undertake no obligation to update any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. The risks and uncertainties to which forward-looking statements are subject include, but are not limited to: our ability to adapt our services to changes in technology or the marketplace; the impact of adverse changes in the level of real estate activity on demand for certain of our services; our ability to maintain and grow our relationships with our customers; the effects of our substantial leverage on our ability to make acquisitions and invest in our business; the level of scrutiny being placed on participants in the foreclosure process; changes to the laws, rules and regulations that regulate our businesses as a result of the current economic and financial environment; changes in general economic, business and political conditions, including changes in the financial markets; the impact of any potential defects, development delays, installation difficulties or system failures on our business and reputation; risks associated with protecting information security and privacy; and other risks and uncertainties detailed in the Statement Regarding Risk Factors and other sections of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K that Forward-Looking Information. was filed on February 23, 2010 and our other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

### Overview

We are a provider of integrated technology and services to the mortgage lending industry, with market leading positions in mortgage processing and default management services in the U.S. We conduct our operations through two reporting segments, Technology, Data and Analytics and Loan Transaction Services, which produced approximately 31% and 69% of our revenues for both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010. A large number of financial institutions use our services. Our technology solutions include our mortgage processing system, which automates all areas of loan servicing, from loan setup and ongoing processing to customer service, accounting and reporting. Our technology solutions also include our Desktop system, which is a middleware enterprise workflow management application designed to streamline and automate business processes. Our loan transaction services include our default management services, which are used by mortgage lenders, servicers, attorneys and trustees to reduce the expense of managing defaulted loans, and our loan facilitation services, which support most aspects of the closing of mortgage loan transactions by national lenders and loan servicers.

Our Technology, Data and Analytics segment principally includes:

our mortgage processing services, which we conduct using our mortgage servicing platform and our team of experienced support personnel;

our Desktop application, a workflow system that assists our customers in managing business processes, which today is primarily used in connection with mortgage loan default management, but which has broader applications;

our other software and related service offerings, including our mortgage origination software, our real estate closing and title insurance production software and our collaborative electronic vendor network, which provides connectivity among mortgage industry participants; and

21

our data and analytics businesses, including: our alternative property valuations business, which provides a range of valuations other than traditional appraisals; our aggregated property and loan data services; our fraud detection solutions; and, our advanced analytic services, which assist our customers in their loan marketing, loss mitigation and fraud prevention efforts.

Our Loan Transaction Services segment offers a range of services used mainly in the production of a mortgage loan, which we refer to as our loan facilitation services, and in the management of mortgage loans that go into default, which we refer to as default management services.

Our loan facilitation services include:

settlement services, which consist of title agency services, in which we act as an agent for title insurers or as an underwriter, and closing services, in which we assist in the closing of real estate transactions;

appraisal services, which consist of traditional appraisal and appraisal management services; and

other origination services, which consist of flood zone information, that assists lenders in determining whether a property is in a federally designated flood zone, and real estate tax services that provide lenders with information about the tax status of a property.

Our default management services include, among others:

foreclosure services, including administrative services provided to independent attorneys and trustees, mandatory title searches, posting and publishing, and other services;

property inspection and preservation services, designed to preserve the value of properties securing defaulted loans; and

asset management services, providing disposition services for our customers real estate owned properties through a network of independent real estate brokers, attorneys and other vendors to facilitate the transaction.

Corporate overhead costs, including stock compensation expense, and other operations that are not included in our operating segments are included in Corporate and Other.

## **Recent Trends and Developments**

Revenues in our loan facilitation businesses and certain of our data businesses are closely related to the level of residential real estate activity in the U.S., which includes sales, mortgage financing and mortgage refinancing. The level of real estate activity is primarily affected by real estate prices, the availability of funds for mortgage loans, mortgage interest rates and the overall state of the U.S. economy. As a result of the declining housing market and the current economic downturn, the volume of refinancing transactions in particular and mortgage originations in general have declined over the last several years resulting in a reduction of revenues in some of our businesses. Various measures taken by the federal government to reduce interest rates led to increased refinancing activity through much of 2009, but refinancing levels declined in the first nine months of 2010. At the end of June 2010 and into October 2010, mortgage rates dropped to historic lows, resulting in an increase in refinance order volumes.

Other steps taken by the U.S. government to relieve the current economic situation may have a positive effect on refinancing activity. Under the Homeowner Affordability and Stability Plan (the HASP), many homeowners with a solid payment history on an existing mortgage owned by Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac, who would otherwise be unable to get a refinancing loan because of a loss in home value increasing their loan-to-value ratio above 80%, have been able to get a refinancing loan.

According to the Mortgage Bankers Association s (MBA) current Mortgage Finance Forecast, U.S. mortgage originations (including refinancing) were approximately \$2.0 trillion and \$1.5 trillion in 2009 and 2008, respectively. The MBA s Mortgage Finance Forecast currently estimates an approximately \$1.4 trillion mortgage origination market for 2010. The MBA further forecasts that this continued low level of activity will result from decreased refinancing and purchase activity, largely driven by current market conditions and tightened loan requirements, such as higher

credit score and down payment requirements and additional fees, that have

22

limited the number of potential borrowers. The MBA estimates that loan origination volumes in the first nine months of 2010 were approximately 22% lower than the first nine months of 2009.

Our various businesses are impacted differently by the level of mortgage originations and refinancing transactions. For instance, while our loan facilitation and some of our data businesses are directly affected by the volume of real estate transactions and mortgage originations, our mortgage processing business is generally less affected because it earns revenues based on the total number of mortgage loans it processes, which tends to stay more constant.

In contrast, we believe that a weaker economy tends to increase the volume of consumer mortgage defaults, which can favorably affect our default management operations, in which we service residential mortgage loans in default. These factors can also increase revenues from our Desktop solution, as the Desktop application, at present, is primarily used in connection with default management. However, the same government legislation aimed at mitigating the current downturn in the housing market that may have a positive effect on refinancing activity adversely affects our default management operations. In addition to providing refinancing opportunities for borrowers who are current on their mortgage payments but have been unable to refinance because their homes have decreased in value, the HASP also provides for a loan modification program targeted at borrowers who are at risk of foreclosure because their incomes are not sufficient to make their mortgage payments.

The Home Affordable Modification Program (HAMP) under the HASP is designed to help as many as 3 to 4 million homeowners avoid foreclosure by providing affordable and sustainable mortgage loans over the next several years. It uses cost sharing and incentives to encourage lenders to reduce homeowners monthly payments to 31 percent of their gross monthly income. Through the end of the third quarter of 2010, the Treasury estimates that banks worked through most of the approximately 3.0 million loans currently eligible for the program, and offered 1.6 million trial modifications, of which approximately 1.4 million were actually implemented and 496,000 of the trial modifications became permanent. Although we believe that HAMP, which expires on December 31, 2012, has had an adverse effect on the processing of delinquent loans (and may continue to have a negative effect in the future as additional mortgages become eligible under the program s current criteria or if those criteria are broadened), the pace of modifications has slowed during 2010, from 89,000 in January to 28,000 in September, indicating a lessened impact going forward. However, we cannot predict the ultimate impact that the HASP and other foreclosure relief and loan modification initiatives, as well as other current or future governmental initiatives to stimulate the economy and increase the flow of credit, may have on our various businesses.

Notwithstanding the effects of existing government programs, we believe that the inventory of delinquent mortgage loans and loans in foreclosure continues to grow. We believe this growth is due in part to continued high delinquency rates and lenders focusing their resources on trying to make modifications under the HAMP program in recent quarters. Despite the high delinquency rates, foreclosure starts declined in the first half of 2010 compared to the same period in 2009, in part due to lender efforts to ensure compliance with new government directives intended to increase the success of the HAMP program. Although foreclosure starts increased in the third quarter of 2010, in the past few months, a number of lenders have once again slowed or in some cases temporarily halted foreclosures as they confirm the compliance of their foreclosure procedures with applicable laws. These factors suggest that the size of the overall default market is likely to be slightly smaller in 2010 compared to 2009, and then increase in future years, which should in turn have a positive effect on our default revenues. However, it is impossible to predict whether any legislative or regulatory changes will be implemented as a result of recent issues reported by banks and servicers in connection with foreclosure actions that might cause a continuation of or further slow the current level of foreclosure volumes and adversely affect our future results.

We have approximately \$1,285.5 million in long-term debt outstanding as of September 30, 2010, of which approximately \$717.0 million bears interest at a fixed rate (\$350.0 million through interest rate swaps), while the remaining portion bears interest at a floating rate. As a result of our current level of debt, we are highly leveraged and subject to risk from changes in interest rates. Having this amount of debt also makes us more susceptible to negative economic changes, as a large portion of our cash is committed to servicing our debt. Therefore, in a bad economy or if interest rates rise, it may be harder for us to attract executive talent, invest in acquisitions or new ventures, or develop new services.

In a number of our business lines, we are also affected by the decisions of potential customers to outsource the types of functions our businesses provide or to perform those functions internally. Generally, demand for outsourcing solutions has increased over time as providers such as us realize economies of scale and improve their ability to provide services that increase customer efficiencies, reduce costs, improve processing transparency and improve risk management. Further, in a slow economy or struggling mortgage market, we believe that larger financial institutions may seek additional outsourcing solutions to avoid the fixed costs of operating or investing in internal capabilities. We have continued to gain new customers, including three significant additions to our Desktop

23

application, two of which are operational and one of which is in the implementation phase. The addition of these customers should enhance our positioning to take further advantage of any increase in foreclosure volumes.

In July 2010, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Wall Street Reform Act ), which contains broad changes for many sectors of the financial services and lending industries, was signed into law. Among other things, the Wall Street Reform Act includes new requirements for appraisals and appraisal management companies. In addition, some states have enacted legislation requiring the registration of appraisal management companies, and numerous states have similar proposals pending. While we believe that we will be able to comply with the new federal and any new state requirements going forward, it is too early to predict with certainty what impact those requirements may have on our business or the results of our operations.

## **Factors Affecting Comparability**

There have been no significant transactions that affect the comparability of the Company s consolidated financial statements.

#### **Critical Accounting Policies**

There have been no significant changes to our critical accounting policies since our Annual Report on Form 10-K was filed on February 23, 2010.

## **Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

In January 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued guidance changing disclosure requirements for fair value measurements. The changes require a reporting entity to disclose separately the amounts of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and describe the reasons for the transfers. The changes also clarify existing disclosure requirements related to how assets and liabilities should be grouped by class and valuation techniques used for recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements. The adoption of the guidance did not materially affect the Company s consolidated financial statements.

In October 2009, the FASB issued guidance eliminating the requirement that all undelivered elements have Vendor Specific Objective Evidence ( VSOE ) or Third-Party Evidence ( TPE ) of standalone selling price before an entity can recognize the portion of an overall arrangement fee that is attributable to items that have been delivered. In the absence of VSOE or TPE of the standalone selling price for one or more delivered or undelivered elements in a multiple-element arrangement, entities will be required to estimate the selling prices of those elements. The overall arrangement fee will be allocated to each element (both delivered and undelivered items) based on their relative selling prices, regardless of whether those selling prices are evidenced by VSOE or TPE or are based on the entity s estimated selling price. Application of the residual method of allocating an overall arrangement fee between delivered and undelivered elements will no longer be permitted upon adoption of this new guidance. Additional disclosure will be required about multiple-element revenue arrangements, as well as qualitative and quantitative disclosure about the effect of the change. The amendment is effective prospectively for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010. Management is currently evaluating the impact of the new guidance, but does not believe it will materially affect the Company s consolidated financial statements.

#### **Related Party Transactions**

We have historically conducted business with FIS and its subsidiaries, FNF and its subsidiaries, and other related parties. See our Annual Report on Form 10-K, that was filed on February 23, 2010, for a detailed description of all related party transactions.

24

#### Results of Operations for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009

The following tables reflect certain amounts included in operating income in our consolidated condensed statements of earnings, the relative percentage of those amounts to total revenues, and the change in those amounts from the comparable prior year period.

Consolidated Condensed Results of Operations Unaudited

Three months ended September 30,			As a % of Revenue (1) (2)		Variance 2010 vs. 2009 (1) (2)		
<i>(*</i> 111. )	2010	2009	2010	2000	ф	<b>0</b> 7	
(in millions)	(1) \$ 626.0	( <b>1</b> ) \$ 619.4	<b>2010</b> 100.0%	<b>2009</b> 100.0%	<b>\$</b> \$ 6.6	<b>%</b> 1.1%	
Processing and services revenues Cost of revenues	\$ 626.0 417.2				•		
Cost of revenues	417.2	409.1	66.6%	66.0%	(8.1)	(2.0)%	
Gross profit	208.8	210.3	33.4%	34.0%	(1.5)	(0.7)%	
Gross margin	33.4%	34.0%	001.70	C	(1.0)	(011)/0	
Selling, general and administrative expenses	64.5	66.7	10.3%	10.8%	2.2	3.3%	
2, 2							
Operating income	144.3	143.6	23.1%	23.2%	0.7	0.5%	
Operating margin	23.1%	23.2%					
Other income (expense)	(16.8)	(21.1)	2.7%	3.4%	4.3	20.4%	
Earnings from continuing operations before income taxes and equity in losses of unconsolidated entity Provision for income taxes	127.4 48.7	122.5 46.9	20.4% 7.8%	19.8% 7.6%	4.9 (1.8)	4.0% (3.8)%	
Earnings from continuing operations before equity in losses of unconsolidated entity, discontinued operation and noncontrolling minority interest Equity in losses of unconsolidated entity, discontinued operation and noncontrolling minority interest	78.7	75.7 (0.1)	12.6% nm	12.2% nm	3.0	4.0% 100.0%	
Net earnings attributable to Lender Processing Services, Inc.	\$ 78.7	\$ 75.5	12.6%	12.2%	\$ 3.2	4.2%	
Net earnings per share attributable to Lender Processing Services, Inc. diluted	\$ 0.85	\$ 0.78					

<sup>(1)</sup> Columns may not total due to rounding.

Processing and services revenues increased \$6.6 million, or 1.1%, during the third quarter of 2010 when compared to the third quarter of 2009. The growth was driven by an increase in revenues from our Technology, Data and Analytics segment, partially offset by a decline in revenues from our Loan Transaction Services segment. The increase

<sup>(2)</sup> Certain operating items are not material as a percentage of revenues, as indicated by nm. *Processing and Services Revenues* 

in our Technology, Data and Analytics segment during the period was primarily driven by growth in revenues from our Desktop operation caused by the recent implementation of two large servicers, as well as from our Empower and RealEC operations, which facilitate the movement of transactional data in the loan origination process. Additionally, while our mortgage processing operation was largely flat on a year-over-year basis, it experienced a number of significant changes including higher project and loan activity fees, professional services and license-based revenues, partially offset by Bank of America s portfolio deconversion at the beginning of 2010. The decrease in our Loan Transaction Services segment during the current year period resulted from a decline in our default management services primarily due to lower foreclosure volumes, partially offset by growth in our loan facilitation services, which include our front-end loan origination related services, due to market share gains in title and appraisal services driven by our continued expansion into the retail branch, wholesale and correspondent channels.

Cost of Revenues

Cost of revenues increased \$8.1 million, or 2.0%, during the third quarter of 2010 when compared to the third quarter of 2009. Cost of revenues as a percentage of processing and services revenues increased from 66.0% during the third quarter of 2009 to 66.6% in the same period of 2010. The increase in cost of revenues as a percentage of processing and services revenues was primarily driven by continued investments in our Desktop platform and infrastructure to support the conversions of

25

three large servicers in 2010, one of which is still in the implementation phase, and by the reduction of our Default Services revenues due to the broader industry slowdown, partially offset by higher marginal revenue contributions from our mortgage processing division due to the factors described above, combined with the market share gains in our loan facilitation services.

Gross Profit

Gross profit was \$208.8 million and \$210.3 million during the third quarter of 2010 and 2009, respectively. Gross profit as a percentage of processing and services revenues (gross margin) was 33.4% and 34.0% during the third quarter of 2010 and 2009, respectively. The decrease in gross margin during the third quarter of 2010 when compared to the third quarter of 2009 was a result of the factors described above.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased \$2.2 million, or 3.3%, during the third quarter of 2010 when compared to the third quarter of 2009. Selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of processing and services revenues were 10.3% and 10.8% during the third quarter of 2010 and 2009, respectively. The decrease in selling, general and administrative expenses was primarily due to an increased emphasis on cost control, as well as from lower incentive compensation, partially offset by higher stock compensation costs.

Operating Income

Operating income increased \$0.7 million, or 0.5%, during the third quarter of 2010 when compared to the third quarter of 2009. Operating income as a percentage of processing and services revenues (operating margin) decreased nominally from 23.2% during the third quarter of 2009 to 23.1% in the third quarter of 2010 as a result of the factors described above.

Other Income (Expense)

Other income and expense, which consists of interest income, interest expense and other items, was \$16.8 million and \$21.1 million during the third quarter of 2010 and 2009, respectively. The decrease during the current year quarter was primarily due to a reduction in interest expense, which totaled \$17.1 million and \$21.2 million during the third quarter of 2010 and 2009, respectively, resulting from lower interest rates and principal balances.

Income Taxes

Income taxes were \$48.7 million and \$46.9 million during the third quarter of 2010 and 2009, respectively. The effective tax rate was 38.25% during both the third quarter of 2010 and 2009.

Equity in Losses of Unconsolidated Entity, Discontinued Operation and Noncontrolling Minority Interest, Net Equity in losses of unconsolidated entity, discontinued operation and noncontrolling minority interest, net was \$0.1 million during the third quarter of 2009.

Net Earnings and Net Earnings Per Share Attributable to LPS Diluted

Net earnings were \$78.7 million and \$75.5 million during the third quarter of 2010 and 2009, respectively. Net earnings per diluted share totaled \$0.85 and \$0.78 during the third quarter of 2010 and 2009, respectively. The increase during the third quarter of 2010 when compared to the third quarter of 2009 was a result of the factors described above, as well as from lower share counts due to higher share repurchase activity during the current year period.

26

#### Segment Results of Operations Technology, Data and Analytics Unaudited

Three months ended September 30,			As a % of Revenue (1)		Variance 2010 vs. 2009 (1)	
-	2010	2009				
(in millions)	<b>(1)</b>	(1)	2010	2009	\$	<b>%</b>
Processing and services revenues	\$ 196.9	\$ 186.3	100.0%	100.0%	\$ 10.6	5.7%
Cost of revenues	108.4	105.7	55.1%	56.7%	(2.7)	(2.6)%
Gross profit	88.5	80.6	44.9%	43.3%	7.9	9.8%
Gross margin	44.9%	43.3%				
Selling, general and administrative expenses	21.1	18.3	10.7%	9.8%	(2.8)	(15.3)%
Operating income	\$ 67.4	\$ 62.4	34.2%	33.5%	\$ 5.0	8.0%
Operating margin	34.2%	33.5%				

## (1) Columns may not total due to rounding.

Processing and Services Revenues

Processing and services revenues increased \$10.6 million, or 5.7%, during the third quarter of 2010 when compared to the third quarter of 2009. The increase in our Technology, Data and Analytics segment during the current year period was primarily driven by growth in revenues from our Desktop operation caused by the recent implementation of two large servicers, as well as from our Empower and RealEC operations, which facilitate the movement of transactional data in the loan origination process. Additionally, while our mortgage processing operation was largely flat on a year-over-year basis, it experienced a number of significant changes including higher project and loan activity fees, professional services and license-based revenues, partially offset by Bank of America s portfolio deconversion at the beginning of 2010.

### Cost of Revenues

Cost of revenues increased \$2.7 million, or 2.6%, during the third quarter of 2010 when compared to the third quarter of 2009. Cost of revenues as a percentage of processing and services revenues decreased from 56.7% during the third quarter of 2009 to 55.1% in the third quarter of 2010. The decrease in cost of revenues as a percentage of processing and services revenues was primarily driven by higher marginal revenue contributions from our mortgage processing operation, as described above, partially offset by continued investments in our Desktop platform and infrastructure to support the conversions of three large servicers in 2010, one of which is still in the implementation phase.

#### Gross Profit

Gross profit was \$88.5 million and \$80.6 million during the third quarter of 2010 and 2009, respectively. Gross margin was 44.9% and 43.3% during the third quarter of 2010 and 2009, respectively. The increase in gross margin during the third quarter of 2010 when compared to the third quarter of 2009 was a result of the factors described above.

## Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses totaled \$21.1 million and \$18.3 million during the third quarter of 2010 and 2009, respectively. As a percentage of processing and services revenues, selling, general and administrative expenses increased from 9.8% during the third quarter of 2009 to 10.7% in the third quarter of 2010 primarily as a result of higher personnel costs.

## Operating Income

Operating income increased \$5.0 million, or 8.0%, during the third quarter of 2010 when compared to the third quarter of 2009. Operating margin increased from 33.5% during the third quarter of 2009 to 34.2% in the third quarter of 2010 as a result of the factors described above.

#### Segment Results of Operations Loan Transaction Services Unaudited

Three months ended September 30,			As a % of Revenue (1)		Variance 2010 vs. 2009 (1)	
	2010	2009		•		
(in millions)	(1)	<b>(1)</b>	2010	2009	\$	<b>%</b>
Processing and services revenues	\$ 431.1	\$ 440.5	100.0%	100.0%	\$ (9.4)	(2.1)%
Cost of revenues	310.8	311.2	72.1%	70.6%	0.4	0.1%
Gross profit	120.3	129.3	27.9%	29.4%	(9.0)	(7.0)%
Gross margin	27.9%	29.4%				
Selling, general and administrative expenses	23.6	27.7	5.5%	6.3%	4.1	14.8%
Operating income	\$ 96.7	\$ 101.6	22.4%	23.1%	\$ (4.9)	(4.8)%
Operating margin	22.4%	23.1%				

## (1) Columns may not total due to rounding.

Processing and Services Revenues

Processing and services revenues decreased \$9.4 million, or 2.1%, during the third quarter of 2010 when compared to the third quarter of 2009. The decrease during the third quarter of 2010 resulted from a decline in our default management services, which was primarily due to lower foreclosure volumes, partially offset by growth in our loan facilitation services, which include our front-end loan origination related services. This growth was due to strong market share gains in title and appraisal services driven by our continued expansion into the retail branch, wholesale and correspondent channels, notwithstanding a year-over-year decline in mortgage market activity.

## Cost of Revenues

Cost of revenues decreased \$0.4 million, or 0.1%, during the third quarter of 2010 when compared to the third quarter of 2009. Cost of revenues as a percentage of processing and services revenues increased from 70.6% during the third quarter of 2009 to 72.1% in the third quarter of 2010. The percentage increase was primarily due to a change in revenue mix as the temporary slowdown in our foreclosure volumes has resulted in near-term excess operating capacity in several of our default businesses. This was partially offset by higher marginal revenue contributions from our loan facilitation services due to continued market share gains.

# Gross Profit

Gross profit was \$120.3 million and \$129.3 million during the third quarter of 2010 and 2009, respectively. Gross margin was 27.9% and 29.4% during the third quarter of 2010 and 2009, respectively. The decrease in gross margin during the third quarter of 2010 when compared to the third quarter of 2009 was a result of the factors described above.

### Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses totaled \$23.6 million and \$27.7 million during the third quarter of 2010 and 2009, respectively. As a percentage of processing and services revenues, selling, general and administrative expenses decreased from 6.3% during the third quarter of 2009 to 5.5% in the third quarter of 2010 as a result of an increased emphasis on cost control, as well as from lower incentive compensation.

### Operating Income

Operating income decreased \$4.9 million, or 4.8%, during the third quarter of 2010 when compared to the third quarter of 2009. Operating margin decreased from 23.1% during the third quarter of 2009 to 22.4% in the third quarter of 2010 as a result of the factors described above.

### Segment Results of Operations Corporate and Other

The Corporate and Other segment consists of corporate overhead costs that are not included in the other segments as well as certain smaller operations. Net expenses for this segment were \$19.8 million and \$20.3 million during the

third quarter of 2010 and 2009, respectively. The decrease in net corporate expenses during the third quarter of 2010 as compared to the third quarter of 2009 was

28

primarily due to lower incentive compensation costs, partially offset by increased stock related compensation expense. Stock related compensation costs totaled \$8.2 million and \$7.1 million during the third quarter of 2010 and 2009, respectively.

### Results of Operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009

The following tables reflect certain amounts included in operating income in our consolidated condensed statements of earnings, the relative percentage of those amounts to total revenues, and the change in those amounts from the comparable prior year period.

Consolidated Condensed Results of Operations Unaudited

Nine months ended September 30,					As a % of Revenue (1) (2)		Variance 2010 vs. 2009 (1) (2)		
(in millions)	20	10 (1)	20	009 (1)	2010	2009		\$	<b>%</b>
Processing and services revenues	\$ 1	1,817.5	\$ 1	1,762.4	100.0%	100.0%	\$	55.1	3.1%
Cost of revenues	]	1,204.1	1	1,167.8	66.3%	66.3%		(36.3)	(3.1)%
Gross profit		613.4		594.6	33.7%	33.7%		18.8	3.2%
Gross margin		33.7%		33.7%					
Selling, general and administrative expenses		185.1		203.3	10.2%	11.5%		18.2	9.0%
Operating income		428.4		391.3	23.6%	22.2%		37.1	9.5%
Operating margin		23.6%		22.2%					
Other income (expense)		(53.3)		(63.7)	2.9%	3.6%		10.4	16.3%
Earnings from continuing operations before income taxes and equity in losses of									
unconsolidated entity		375.1		327.6	20.6%	18.6%		47.5	14.5%
Provision for income taxes		143.5		125.3	7.9%	7.1%		(18.2)	(14.5)%
Earnings from continuing operations before equity in losses of unconsolidated entity, discontinued operation and noncontrolling									
minority interest Equity in losses of unconsolidated entity, discontinued operation and noncontrolling		231.6		202.3	12.7%	11.5%		29.3	14.5%
minority interest				(1.5)	nm	nm		1.5	100.0%
Net earnings attributable to Lender									
Processing Services, Inc.	\$	231.6	\$	200.8	12.7%	11.4%	\$	30.8	15.3%
Net earnings per share attributable to Lender Processing Services, Inc. diluted	\$	2.45	\$	2.09					

<sup>(1)</sup> Columns may not total due to rounding.

<sup>(2)</sup> Certain operating items are not material as a percentage of revenues, as indicated by nm. *Processing and Services Revenues* 

Processing and services revenues increased \$55.1 million, or 3.1%, during the first nine months of 2010 when compared to the first nine months of 2009. The increase was driven by growth in our Technology, Data and Analytics segment, partially offset by a nominal decline in revenues in our Loan Transaction Services segment. The increase in our Technology, Data and Analytics segment during the period was primarily driven by growth in our mortgage processing operation due to an increase in the number of loans serviced as a result of the conversion of JPMorgan Chase s portfolio during the third quarter of 2009, and from increases in project and loan activity fees, professional services and license-based revenues, partially offset by Bank of America s portfolio deconversion at the beginning of 2010. Additionally, the increase in our Technology, Data and Analytics segment during the period resulted from revenue growth in our Desktop operation caused by the recent implementation of two large servicers, as well as from our Empower and RealEC operations, which facilitate the movement of transactional data in the loan origination process. The decrease in our Loan Transaction Services segment during the current year period resulted from a decline in our default management services primarily due to lower foreclosure volumes, partially offset by growth in our loan facilitation services, which include our front-end loan origination related services, due to market share gains in title and appraisal services driven by our continued expansion into the retail branch, wholesale and correspondent channels.

29

Cost of Revenues

Cost of revenues increased \$36.3 million, or 3.1%, during the first nine months of 2010 when compared to the first nine months of 2009. Cost of revenues as a percentage of processing and services revenues was 66.3% during the first nine months of 2010 and 2009. The year-over-year consistency in cost of revenues as a percentage of processing and services revenues was primarily due to a number of significant changes. The higher marginal revenue contributions from our mortgage processing division due to the factors described above, combined with the market share gains in our loan facilitation services, were fully offset by continued investments in our Desktop platform and infrastructure to support the conversions of three large services in 2010, one of which is still in the implementation phase, and by the reduction of our Default Services revenues due to the broader industry slowdown.

Gross Profit

Gross profit was \$613.4 million and \$594.6 million during the first nine months of 2010 and 2009, respectively. Gross margin was 33.7% during the first nine months of 2010 and 2009. The year-over-year consistency in gross margin during the first nine months of 2010 when compared to the first nine months of 2009 was a result of the factors described above.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased \$18.2 million, or 9.0%, during the first nine months of 2010 when compared to the first nine months of 2009. Selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of processing and services revenues were 10.2% and 11.5% during the first nine months of 2010 and 2009, respectively. The decrease in selling, general and administrative expenses during the current period was primarily due to a non-recurring charge totaling \$9.0 million recognized during the first nine months of 2009 primarily related to the retirement of three LPS directors, and from lower current period incentive compensation costs. These decreases were partially offset by increased stock compensation expense during the current year period.

Operating Income

Operating income increased \$37.1 million, or 9.5%, during the first nine months of 2010 when compared to the first nine months of 2009. Operating margin increased from 22.2% during the first nine months of 2009 to 23.6% in the first nine months of 2010 as a result of the factors described above.

Other Income (Expense)

Other income and expense, which consists of interest income, interest expense and other items, was \$53.3 million and \$63.7 million during the first nine months of 2010 and 2009, respectively. The decrease during the current year period was primarily due to a reduction in interest expense, which totaled \$54.5 million and \$64.7 million during the first nine months of 2010 and 2009, respectively, resulting from lower interest rates and principal balances.

Income Taxes

Income taxes were \$143.5 million and \$125.3 million during the first nine months of 2010 and 2009, respectively. The effective tax rate was 38.25% during both the first nine months of 2010 and 2009.

Equity in Losses of Unconsolidated Entity, Discontinued Operation and Noncontrolling Minority Interest, Net Equity in losses of unconsolidated entity, discontinued operation and noncontrolling minority interest, net was \$1.5 million during the first nine months of 2009.

Net Earnings and Net Earnings Per Share Attributable to LPS Diluted

Net earnings were \$231.6 million and \$200.8 million during the first nine months of 2010 and 2009, respectively. Net earnings per diluted share totaled \$2.45 and \$2.09 during the first nine months of 2010 and 2009, respectively. The increase during the first nine months of 2010 when compared to the first nine months of 2009 was a result of the factors described above, as well as from lower share counts due to higher share repurchase activity during the current year period.

30

#### Segment Results of Operations Technology, Data and Analytics Unaudited

Nine months ended September 30,			As a % of Revenue (1)		Variance 2010 vs. 2009 (1)	
(in millions) Processing and services revenues Cost of revenues	2010 (1) \$ 561.6 314.5	2009 (1) \$ 518.1 295.0	<b>2010</b> 100.0% 56.0%	<b>2009</b> 100.0% 56.9%	\$ \$ 43.5 (19.5)	% 8.4% (6.6)%
Gross profit  Gross margin	247.1 <b>44.0</b> %	223.1 <b>43.1%</b>	44.0%	43.1%	24.0	10.8%
Selling, general and administrative expenses	61.0	52.1	10.9%	10.1%	(8.9)	(17.1)%
Operating income	\$ 186.1	\$ 170.9	33.1%	33.0%	\$ 15.2	8.9%
Operating margin	33.1%	33.0%				

## (1) Columns may not total due to rounding.

Processing and Services Revenues

Processing and services revenues increased \$43.5 million, or 8.4%, during the first nine months of 2010 when compared to the first nine months of 2009. The increase during the period was primarily driven by growth in our mortgage processing operation due to an increase in the number of loans serviced as a result of the conversion of JPMorgan Chase s portfolio during the third quarter of 2009, and from increases in project and loan activity fees, professional services and license-based revenues, partially offset by Bank of America s portfolio deconversion at the beginning of 2010. Additionally, revenue growth in our Desktop operation caused by the recent implementations of two large servicers, as well as from our Empower and RealEC operations, which facilitate the movement of transactional data in the loan origination process, also contributed to the current year growth.

#### Cost of Revenues

Cost of revenues increased \$19.5 million, or 6.6%, during the first nine months of 2010 when compared to the first nine months of 2009. Cost of revenues as a percentage of processing and services revenues decreased from 56.9% during the first nine months of 2009 to 56.0% in the first nine months of 2010. The decrease in cost of revenues as a percentage of processing and services revenues was primarily driven by higher marginal revenue contributions from our mortgage processing operation, as described above, partially offset by continued investments in our Desktop platform and infrastructure to support the conversions of three large servicers in 2010, one of which is still in the implementation phase.

### Gross Profit

Gross profit was \$247.1 million and \$223.1 million during the first nine months of 2010 and 2009, respectively. Gross margin was 44.0% and 43.1% during the first nine months of 2010 and 2009, respectively. The increase in gross margin during the first nine months of 2010 when compared to the first nine months of 2009 was a result of the factors described above.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses totaled \$61.0 million and \$52.1 million during the first nine months of 2010 and 2009, respectively. As a percentage of processing and services revenues, selling, general and administrative expenses increased from 10.1% during the first nine months of 2009 to 10.9% in the first nine months of 2010 primarily as a result of higher personnel costs.

## Operating Income

Operating income increased \$15.2 million, or 8.9%, during the first nine months of 2010 when compared to the first nine months of 2009. Operating margin increased from 33.0% during the first nine months of 2009 to 33.1% in the first nine months of 2010 as a result of the factors described above.

#### Segment Results of Operations Loan Transaction Services Unaudited

			As a 9	% of	Variance 2010		
Nine months ended September 30,			Revenu	ıe (1)	vs. 2009 (1)		
(in millions)	2010 (1)	2009 (1)	2010	2009	\$	%	
Processing and services revenues	\$1,261.9	\$ 1,263.0	100.0%	100.0%	\$ (1.1)	(0.1)%	
Cost of revenues	895.5	891.5	71.0%	70.6%	(4.0)	(0.4)%	
Gross profit	366.4	371.5	29.0%	29.4%	(5.1)	(1.4)%	
Gross margin	29.0%	29.4%					
Selling, general and administrative expenses	69.2	82.1	5.5%	6.5%	12.9	15.7%	
Operating income	\$ 297.2	\$ 289.4	23.6%	22.9%	\$ 7.8	2.7%	
Operating margin	23.6%	22.9%					

#### (1) Columns may not total due to rounding.

Processing and Services Revenues

Processing and services revenues decreased \$1.1 million, or 0.1%, during the first nine months of 2010 when compared to the first nine months of 2009. The decrease during the first nine months of 2010 resulted from a decline in our default management services, which was primarily due to lower foreclosure volumes, partially offset by growth in our loan facilitation services, which include our front-end loan origination related services. This growth was due to strong market share gains in title and appraisal services driven by our continued expansion into the retail branch, wholesale and correspondent channels, notwithstanding a year-over-year decline in mortgage market activity.

#### Cost of Revenues

Cost of revenues increased \$4.0 million, or 0.4%, during the first nine months of 2010 when compared to the first nine months of 2009. Cost of revenues as a percentage of processing and services revenues increased from 70.6% during the first nine months of 2009 to 71.0% in the first nine months of 2010. The percentage increase was primarily due to a change in revenue mix as the temporary slowdown in our foreclosure volumes has resulted in near-term excess operating capacity in several of our default businesses. This was partially offset by higher marginal revenue contributions from our loan facilitation services due to continued market share gains.

#### Gross Profit

Gross profit was \$366.4 million and \$371.5 million during the first nine months of 2010 and 2009, respectively. Gross margin was 29.0% and 29.4% during the first nine months of 2010 and 2009, respectively. The decrease in gross margin during the first nine months of 2010 when compared to the first nine months of 2009 was a result of the factors described above.

## Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses totaled \$69.2 million and \$82.1 million during the first nine months of 2010 and 2009, respectively. As a percentage of processing and services revenues, selling, general and administrative expenses decreased from 6.5% during the first nine months of 2009 to 5.5% in the first nine months of 2010 as a result of an increased emphasis on cost control, as well as from lower incentive compensation costs.

#### **Operating Income**

Operating income increased \$7.8 million, or 2.7%, during the first nine months of 2010 when compared to the first nine months of 2009. Operating margin increased from 22.9% during the first nine months of 2009 to 23.6% in the first nine months of 2010 as a result of the factors described above.

## Segment Results of Operations Corporate and Other

The Corporate and Other segment consists of corporate overhead costs that are not included in the other segments as well as certain smaller operations. Net expenses for this segment were \$54.9 million and \$69.0 million during the first nine months of 2010 and 2009, respectively. The decrease in net corporate expenses during the current period was

primarily due to a non-recurring charge totaling \$9.0 million recognized during the first nine months of 2009 primarily related to the retirement of three LPS directors, and from lower

32

current period incentive compensation costs. These decreases were partially offset by increased stock compensation expense during the current year period. Stock related compensation costs totaled \$22.1 million and \$20.4 million during the first nine months of 2010 and 2009, respectively.

### **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

# Cash Requirements

Our cash requirements include cost of revenues, selling, general and administrative expenses, income taxes, debt service payments, capital expenditures, systems development expenditures, stockholder dividends, treasury stock repurchases and business acquisitions. Our principal sources of funds are cash generated by operations.

At September 30, 2010, we had cash on hand of \$72.5 million and debt of \$1,285.5 million, including the current portion. We expect that cash flows from operations over the next twelve months will be sufficient to fund our operating cash requirements and pay principal and interest on our outstanding debt absent any unusual circumstances such as adverse changes in the business environment.

We currently pay a dividend of \$0.10 per common share on a quarterly basis and expect to continue to do so in the future. The declaration and payment of future dividends is at the discretion of the Board of Directors, and depends on, among other things, our investment policy and opportunities, results of operations, financial condition, cash requirements, future prospects, and other factors, including legal and contractual restrictions, that may be considered relevant by our Board of Directors. Additionally, the payment of cash dividends may be limited by covenants in certain debt agreements. A regular quarterly dividend of \$0.10 per common share is payable December 22, 2010 to stockholders of record as of the close of business on December 8, 2010. We continually assess our capital allocation strategy, including decisions relating to the amount of our dividend, reduction of debt, repurchases of our stock and the making of select acquisitions.

We intend to limit dilution caused by option exercises, including anticipated exercises, by repurchasing shares on the open market or in privately negotiated transactions. On June 18, 2009, our Board of Directors approved a plan authorizing repurchases of common stock and/or senior notes of up to \$75.0 million, of which \$50.0 million was available to repurchase our senior notes. On February 5, 2010, our Board of Directors authorized us to repurchase shares of our common stock and/or our senior notes in an amount not to exceed \$150.0 million. On July 22, 2010, our Board of Directors authorized us to repurchase shares of our common stock and/or our senior notes in an amount not to exceed \$150.0 million. Most recently, on October 28, 2010 our Board approved a new authorization for us to repurchase up to \$250.0 million of our common stock and/or our senior notes. This new authorization is effective through December 31, 2011. Each new authorization replaced the previous authorization and subsumed all amounts remaining available thereunder. Our ability to repurchase shares of common stock or senior notes is subject to restrictions contained in our senior secured credit agreement and in the indenture governing our senior unsecured notes.

During the third quarter of 2010, we repurchased 2.3 million shares of our stock for \$70.3 million, at an average price of \$31.22 per share. Subsequent to September 30, 2010, we have repurchased 1.0 million shares of our stock for \$30.1 million, at an average price of \$30.11 per share.

## **Operating Activities**

Cash provided by operating activities reflects net income adjusted for certain non-cash items and changes in certain assets and liabilities. Cash provided by operating activities was approximately \$291.7 million and \$295.1 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The decrease in cash provided by operating activities during the first nine months of 2010 when compared to the first nine months of 2009 was primarily related to a variation in timing of our working capital, partially offset by an increase in earnings, as adjusted for noncash items.

## **Investing Activities**

Investing cash flows consist primarily of capital expenditures, investment activities, purchases of title plants, and acquisitions and dispositions. Cash used in investing activities was approximately \$97.1 million and \$131.2 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The decrease in cash used in investing activities during the first nine months of 2010 when compared to the prior year period was primarily related to the acquisition of the remaining 61% of the equity interest of FNRES, in February 2009, in exchange for all of our

interests in IPEX. In connection with this transaction, we exchanged the net assets of IPEX, which included approximately \$32.6 million of cash and cash equivalents, for the net assets of FNRES, which included approximately \$0.5 million of cash and cash equivalents. The decrease was also related to the acquisition of Tax Verification Bureau,

33

Inc. for \$14.9 million, as well as the purchase of various title plants during the 2009 period, partially offset by an increase in the level of capital expenditures during the current year period as well as the acquisition of investments.

Our principal capital expenditures are for computer software (purchased and internally developed) and additions to property and equipment. We spent approximately \$84.1 million and \$67.9 million on capital expenditures during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

## Financing Activities

Cash used in financing activities was approximately \$192.7 million and \$225.0 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The decrease in cash used in financing activities during the first nine months of 2010 when compared to the prior year period was primarily related to a prepayment of future debt installments made during the prior year period, as well as an increase in stock option exercises during the current year period, partially offset by an increase in the level of treasury stock repurchases during the current year period.

## **Financing**

On July 2, 2008, we entered into a Credit Agreement (the Credit Agreement ) among JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent, Swing Line Lender and Letters of Credit Issuer and various other lenders who are parties to the Credit Agreement. The Credit Agreement consists of: (i) a 5-year revolving credit facility in an aggregate principal amount outstanding at any time not to exceed \$140.0 million (with a \$25.0 million sub-facility for Letters of Credit) under which no borrowings were outstanding at September 30, 2010; (ii) a Term A Loan in an initial aggregate principal amount of \$700.0 million under which \$420.0 million was outstanding at September 30, 2010; and (iii) a Term B Loan in an initial aggregate principal amount of \$510.0 million under which \$498.5 million was outstanding at September 30, 2010. Proceeds from disbursements under the 5-year revolving credit facility are to be used for general corporate purposes.

The loans under the Credit Agreement bear interest at a floating rate, which is an applicable margin plus, at our option, either (a) the Eurodollar (LIBOR) rate or (b) the higher of (i) the prime rate or (ii) the federal funds rate plus 0.5% (the higher of clauses (i) and (ii), the ABR rate ). The annual margin on the Term A Loan and the revolving credit facility is a percentage per annum to be determined in accordance with a leverage ratio-based pricing grid and on the Term B Loan is 2.5% in the case of LIBOR loans and 1.5% in the case of ABR rate loans. At September 30, 2010, the rate on the Term A Loan was 2.26% and the rate on the Term B Loan was 2.76%.

In addition to the scheduled principal payments, the Term Loans are (with certain exceptions) subject to mandatory prepayment upon issuances of debt, casualty and condemnation events, and sales of assets, as well as from up to 50% of excess cash flow (as defined in the Credit Agreement) in excess of an agreed threshold commencing with the cash flow for the year ended December 31, 2009. Voluntary prepayments of the loans are generally permitted at any time without fee upon proper notice and subject to a minimum dollar requirement. Commitment reductions of the revolving credit facility are also permitted at any time without fee upon proper notice. The revolving credit facility has no scheduled principal payments, but it will be due and payable in full on July 2, 2013.

The obligations under the Credit Agreement are jointly and severally, unconditionally guaranteed by certain of our domestic subsidiaries. Additionally, the Company and such subsidiary guarantors pledged substantially all of our respective assets as collateral security for the obligations under the Credit Agreement and our respective guarantees.

The Credit Agreement contains customary affirmative, negative and financial covenants including, among other things, limits on the creation of liens, limits on the incurrence of indebtedness, restrictions on investments and dispositions, limits on the payment of dividends and other restricted payments, a minimum interest coverage ratio and a maximum leverage ratio. Upon an event of default, the administrative agent can accelerate the maturity of the loan. Events of default include events customary for such an agreement, including failure to pay principal and interest in a timely manner and breach of covenants. These events of default include a cross-default provision that permits the lenders to declare the Credit Agreement in default if (i) we fail to make any payment after the applicable grace period under any indebtedness with a principal amount in excess of a specified amount or (ii) we fail to perform any other term under any such indebtedness, as a result of which the holders thereof may cause it to become due and payable prior to its maturity.

On July 2, 2008, we issued senior notes (the Notes ) in an initial aggregate principal amount of \$375.0 million of which \$367.0 million was outstanding at September 30, 2010. The Notes were issued pursuant to an Indenture dated

July 2, 2008 (the Indenture ) among the Company, the guarantors party thereto and U.S. Bank Corporate Trust Services, as Trustee.

34

The Notes bear interest at a rate of 8.125% per annum. Interest payments are due semi-annually each January 1 and July 1. The maturity date of the Notes is July 1, 2016. From time to time we may be in the market to repurchase portions of the Notes, subject to limitations set forth in the Credit Agreement.

The Notes are our general unsecured obligations. Accordingly, they rank equally in right of payment with all of our existing and future unsecured senior debt; senior in right of payment to all of our future subordinated debt; effectively subordinated to our existing and future secured debt to the extent of the assets securing such debt, including all borrowings under our credit facilities; and effectively subordinated to all of the liabilities of our non-guarantor subsidiaries, including trade payables and preferred stock.

The Notes are guaranteed by each existing and future domestic subsidiary that is a guarantor under our credit facilities. The guarantees are general unsecured obligations of the guarantors. Accordingly, they rank equally in right of payment with all existing and future unsecured senior debt of our guarantors; senior in right of payment with all existing and future subordinated debt of such guarantors; and effectively subordinated to such guarantors existing and future secured debt to the extent of the assets securing such debt, including the guarantees by the guarantors of obligations under our credit facilities.

We may redeem some or all of the Notes on or after July 1, 2011, at the redemption prices described in the Indenture, plus accrued and unpaid interest. Upon the occurrence of a change of control, unless we have exercised our right to redeem all of the Notes as described above, each holder may require us to repurchase such holder s Notes, in whole or in part, at a purchase price equal to 101% of the principal amount thereof plus accrued and unpaid interest to the purchase date.

The Indenture contains customary events of default, including a cross default provision that, with respect to any other debt of the Company or any of our restricted subsidiaries having an outstanding principal amount equal to or more than a specified amount in the aggregate for all such debt, occurs upon (i) an event of default that results in such debt being due and payable prior to its scheduled maturity or (ii) failure to make a principal payment. Upon the occurrence of an event of default (other than a bankruptcy default with respect to the Company), the trustee or holders of at least 25% of the Notes then outstanding may accelerate the Notes by giving us appropriate notice. If, however, a bankruptcy default occurs with respect to the Company, then the principal of and accrued interest on the Notes then outstanding will accelerate immediately without any declaration or other act on the part of the trustee or any holder.

### Interest Rate Swaps

See note 6 to the notes to consolidated financial statements for a detailed description of our interest rate swaps.

## **Contractual Obligations**

There have been no significant changes to our principal maturities since our Annual Report on Form 10-K was filed on February 23, 2010.

### **Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

We do not have any material off-balance sheet arrangements other than operating leases and the escrow and fiduciary arrangements described below.

# **Escrow and Fiduciary Arrangements**

In conducting our title agency, closing and tax services, we routinely hold customers—assets in escrow or fiduciary accounts, pending completion of real estate related transactions. These amounts are maintained in segregated accounts and have not been included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. As an incentive for holding deposits at certain banks, we periodically have programs for realizing economic benefits through favorable arrangements with these banks. As of September 30, 2010, the aggregate value of all amounts held in escrow and fiduciary accounts in our title agency, closing and tax services operations totaled \$341.4 million.

#### Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

In the normal course of business, we are routinely subject to a variety of risks, including those described in the Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Information, Risk Factors and other sections of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K that was filed on February 23, 2010 and our other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission. For example, we are exposed to the risk that decreased lending and real estate activity, which depend in part on the level of interest rates, may reduce demand for certain of our services and adversely affect our results of operations. The risks related to our business also include certain market risks that may affect our debt and other financial instruments. In particular, we face the market risks associated with our cash equivalents and interest rate movements on our outstanding debt. We regularly assess market risks and have established policies and business practices to protect against the adverse effects of these exposures.

Our cash equivalents are predominantly invested with high credit quality financial institutions, and consist of short-term investments such as money market accounts, money market funds and time deposits.

We are a highly leveraged company, with approximately \$1,285.5 million in long-term debt outstanding as of September 30, 2010. We have entered into interest rate swap transactions which converted a portion of the interest rate exposure on our floating rate debt from variable to fixed. We performed a sensitivity analysis based on the principal amount of our floating rate debt as of September 30, 2010, less the principal amount of such debt that was then subject to an interest rate swap. This sensitivity analysis takes into account scheduled principal installments that will take place in the next 12 months as well as the related notional amount of interest rate swaps then outstanding. Further, in this sensitivity analysis, the change in interest rates is assumed to be applicable for the entire year. Of the remaining variable rate debt not covered by the swap arrangements, we estimate that a one percent increase in the LIBOR rate would increase our annual interest expense by approximately \$6.2 million.

#### Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

As of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of its principal executive officer and principal financial officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of its disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Act ). Based on this evaluation, the Company s principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that it files or submits under the Act is:

(a) recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Commission s rules and forms; and (b) accumulated and communicated to management, including the Company s principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the most recent fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

#### **Part II: OTHER INFORMATION**

### Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

#### Litigation

In the ordinary course of business, we are involved in various pending and threatened litigation matters related to our operations, some of which include claims for punitive or exemplary damages. We believe that no actions, other than the matters listed below, depart from customary litigation incidental to our business. As background to the disclosure below, please note the following:

In these matters, plaintiffs seek a variety of remedies but do not make a specific statement as to the dollar amount of damages demanded. Due to these reasons and the early stage of these cases, it is not possible to make meaningful estimates of the amount or range of loss that could result from these matters at this time.

We review these matters on an ongoing basis and follow the provisions of Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification Topic 450, *Contingencies*, when making accrual and disclosure decisions. When assessing reasonably possible and probable outcomes, we base our decision on our assessment of the ultimate outcome following all appeals.

We intend to vigorously defend all litigation matters that are brought against us, and we do not believe that their ultimate disposition will have a material adverse impact on our financial position or results of operations.

36

Elizabeth Foster, et al vs. MERS, GMAC, Lender Processing Services, Inc., et al.

We have been named in a putative class action complaint filed in the United States District Court in the Western District of Kentucky, Louisville Division on September 28, 2010. Although we have not yet received service of the complaint, plaintiffs challenge the securitization of loans, the use of assignments of mortgage, and the participation of MERS in the foreclosure process, and make allegations concerning unlawful foreclosure, conspiracy and other matters relating to the handling of the plaintiffs loans and the default process.

Thorne vs. Prommis Solution Holding Corporation, Lender Processing Services, Inc., et al.

We have also been named in a putative class action adversary proceeding filed in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Mississippi on September 30, 2010. The complaint has a single plaintiff and alleges that the defendants engaged in unlawful fee splitting and the unauthorized practice of law. On October 28, 2010, we filed a motion for summary judgment seeking to dismiss the complaint.

Knippel vs. Saxon Mortgage Services, Lender Processing Services, Inc., et al.

We have been named in a putative class action complaint filed in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada on October 5, 2010. Although we have not yet received service of the complaint, the complaint was served on one of our subsidiaries on October 18, 2010. The complaint has a single plaintiff and alleges violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, deceptive trade practices and unlawful fee splitting.

## Regulatory Matters

Due to the heavily regulated nature of the mortgage industry, from time to time we receive inquiries and requests for information from various state and federal regulatory agencies, including state insurance departments, attorneys general and other agencies, about various matters relating to our business. These inquiries take various forms, including informal or formal requests, reviews, investigations and subpoenas. We attempt to cooperate with all such inquiries. The U.S. Attorney s office for the Middle District of Florida has been conducting an inquiry concerning certain business processes in our default operations. The Florida Attorney General and other federal and state authorities have initiated inquiries about these matters. We have been cooperating and we have expressed our willingness to continue to fully cooperate with these inquiries. We continue to believe that the outcome of the current inquiries will not have a material adverse impact on our business or results of operations, although, it is difficult to predict the final outcome of these matters due to the current scrutiny being placed on participants in the foreclosure process and the early stage of many of these inquiries.

### Item 1A. Risk Factors.

#### The strength of the economy and the housing market affect demand for certain of our services.

The level of real estate activity is primarily affected by real estate prices, the availability of funds for mortgage loans, mortgage interest rates and the overall state of the U.S. economy. As a result of the declining housing market and the current economic downturn, the volume of refinancing transactions in particular and mortgage originations in general have declined over the last several years resulting in a reduction of revenues in some of our businesses. Various measures taken by the federal government to reduce interest rates led to increased refinancing activity through much of 2009, but refinancing levels declined in the first half of 2010 as a result of market conditions and tightened loan requirements, such as higher credit score and down payment requirements and additional fees, that have limited the number of potential borrowers. At the end of June 2010 and into October 2010, mortgage rates dropped to historic lows, resulting in an increase in refinance order volumes, although there can be no assurance that this trend will continue. Our revenues for our loan facilitation business in future periods will continue to be subject to these and other factors which are beyond our control and, as a result, are likely to fluctuate. Further, in the event that the difficult economy or other factors lead to a decline in levels of home ownership and a reduction in the aggregate number of U.S. mortgage loans, our revenues from mortgage processing could be adversely affected.

In contrast, the weaker economy and housing market have tended to increase the volume of consumer mortgage defaults, which can favorably affect our default management operations, in which we service residential mortgage loans in default. It can also increase revenues from our Desktop solution, which is currently primarily used in connection with default management. As a result, our default management services have historically provided a natural hedge against the volatility of the current real estate origination business and

37

its resulting impact on our loan facilitation services. However, government legislation aimed at mitigating the current downturn in the housing market by providing a loan modification program targeted at borrowers who are at risk of foreclosure because their incomes are not sufficient to make their mortgage payments, and lenders—efforts to comply with the requirements of that legislation and other foreclosure requirements, has adversely affected foreclosure volumes and the results of our default management operations. Although we believe that the reduction in foreclosure volumes is temporary, it is impossible to predict whether additional legislative or regulatory changes will be implemented or other actions may be taken by regulators or lenders that might cause a continuation of or further slow the current level of foreclosure volumes and adversely affect our future results. In the event that the volume of foreclosure starts remains slow without a corresponding increase in the level of mortgage originations to increase revenues from our loan facilitation businesses, our revenues could be adversely affected.

Efforts by the government, financial institutions and other parties to address the troubled mortgage market and the current economic and financial environment could affect us.

Several pieces of legislation have been enacted to address the struggling mortgage market and the current economic and financial environment. For example, under the Homeowner Affordability and Stability Plan (the HASP), many homeowners with a solid payment history on an existing mortgage owned by Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac, who would otherwise be unable to get a refinancing loan because of a loss in home value increasing their loan-to-value ratio above 80%, have been able to get a refinancing loan. The Treasury Department initially estimated that many of the 4 to 5 million homeowners who fit this description would be eligible to refinance their loans under this program. The federal government has also taken steps to reduce interest rates to further spur increased refinancing activity. We cannot predict the long-term impact of these measures on refinancing volumes or whether the federal government will take further steps to promote mortgage origination activity.

In addition, various federal and state initiatives have been proposed concerning foreclosure relief. The Home Affordable Modification Program (HAMP) under the HASP provides mortgage loan servicers with a set of standardized qualification guidelines for loan modifications aimed at reducing borrower monthly payments to affordable levels. Participating servicers receive incentive payments for completing modifications under the program. Although HAMP has produced a large number of trial modifications, only a small portion of those modifications have been converted to permanent modifications to date. However, we cannot predict the ultimate impact that the HASP and other foreclosure relief and loan modification initiatives, as well as other current or future governmental initiatives to stimulate the economy and increase the flow of credit, may have on our various businesses.

Notwithstanding the effects of existing government programs, we believe that the inventory of delinquent mortgage loans and loans in foreclosure continues to grow. We believe this growth is due in part to continued high delinquency rates and lenders focusing their resources on trying to make modifications under the HAMP program in compliance with its requirements and new government directives intended to increase its success, which slowed the pace of foreclosure starts in the first half of 2010. Although foreclosure starts increased in the third quarter of 2010, in the past few months, a number of lenders have once again slowed or in some cases temporarily halted foreclosures as they confirm the compliance of their foreclosure procedures with applicable laws. It is impossible to predict whether any legislative or regulatory changes will be implemented as a result of recent issues reported by banks and servicers in connection with foreclosure actions, to further improve the success of HAMP or to otherwise address the current issues impeding a housing market recovery. Any such actions could cause a continuation of or further slow the current level of foreclosure volumes and adversely affect our future results.

In July 2010, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Wall Street Reform Act ), which contains broad changes for many sectors of the financial services and lending industries, was signed into law. Among other things, the Wall Street Reform Act includes new requirements for appraisals and appraisal management companies. In addition, some states have enacted legislation requiring the registration of appraisal management companies, and numerous states have similar proposals pending. While we believe that we will be able to comply with the new federal and any new state requirements going forward, it is too early to predict with certainty what impact those requirements may have on our business or the results of our operations.

The current economic downturn and troubled housing market have also resulted in increased scrutiny of all parties involved in the mortgage industry, particularly those involved in the foreclosure process. It has also resulted in

governmental review of aspects of the mortgage lending business, which may lead to greater regulation in areas such as appraisals, default management, loan closings and regulatory reporting. Any of these trends could have an adverse effect on our business or results of operations. As described in Part II, Item 1. Legal Proceedings, the U.S. Attorney s office for the Middle District of Florida has been conducting an inquiry concerning certain business processes in our default operations. The Florida Attorney General and other federal and state authorities have initiated inquiries about these matters, and others may do so in the future. We have been cooperating and we have expressed our willingness to continue to fully cooperate with these inquiries. We continue to believe that the outcome of the current inquiries will not have a

38

material adverse impact on our business or results of operations, although, it is difficult to predict the final outcome of these matters due to the current scrutiny being placed on participants in the foreclosure process and the early stage of certain of these inquiries. There can be no assurance that we will not incur additional material costs and expenses as a result of regulatory, legislative or administrative investigations or actions relating to default procedures.

Item 2. Unre