Fibrocell Science, Inc. Form 10-Q May 14, 2010

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2010 OR

o Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Fibrocell Science, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its Charter.)

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation) **001-31564** (Commission File Number) 87-0458888

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

405 Eagleview Boulevard

Exton, Pennsylvania 19341

(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)

(484) 713-6000

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the preceding 12 months (or for any shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes b No o Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to

submit and post such files). Yes o No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer o Accelerated filer o Non-accelerated filer o Smaller reporting company b (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No b

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has filed all documents and reports required to be filed by Section 12, 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 subsequent to the distribution of securities under a plan confirmed by a court. Yes b No o

As of May 11, 2010, issuer had 19,768,676 shares issued and outstanding of common stock, par value \$0.001.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Part I. Financial Information	Page
Item 1. Financial Statements	
Consolidated Balance Sheets March 31, 2010 (Successor Company) (unaudited) and December 31, 2009 (Predecessor Company)	1
Consolidated Statements of Operations (unaudited) Three months ended March 31, 2010 (Successor Company), cumulative period from inception (September 1, 2009) to March 31, 2010 (Successor Company), three months ended March 31, 2009 (Predecessor Company) and cumulative period from inception (December 28, 1995) to August 31, 2009 (Predecessor Company)	2
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders Equity (Deficit) and Comprehensive Income (Loss) From inception (December 28, 1995) to August 31, 2009 (Predecessor Company) and from inception (September 1, 2009) to March 31, 2010 (Successor Company) (unaudited)	3
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited)</u> <u>Three months ended March 31, 2010 (Successor Company), cumulative period from</u> <u>inception (September 1, 2009) to March 31, 2010 (Successor Company), three months</u> <u>ended March 31, 2009 (Predecessor Company) and cumulative period from inception</u> (December 28, 1995) to August 31, 2009 (Predecessor Company)	15
Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements	17
Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	32
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	41
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	41
Part II. Other Information	
Item 1A. Risk Factors	42
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	42
Item 6. Exhibits	42
Exhibit 31.1 Exhibit 31.2 Exhibit 32.1 Exhibit 32.2	

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. Financial statements.

Fibrocell Science, Inc. (A Development Stage Company) Consolidated Successor Balance Sheets (unaudited)

	March 31, 2010	December 31, 2009
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 2,463,445	\$ 1,362,488
Accounts receivable, net	273,713	269,759
Inventory, net	259,746	226,032
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	414,457	525,024
Total current assets	3,411,361	2,383,303
Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$852 and \$0,		
respectively	25,483	
Other assets	250	250
Intangible assets	6,340,656	6,340,656
Total assets	\$ 9,777,750	\$ 8,724,209
Liabilities, Redeemable Preferred Stock, Shareholders Deficit and Noncontrolling Interest Current liabilities:		
Current debt	\$ 27,522	\$ 47,795
Accounts payable	221,136	245,023
Accrued expenses	1,203,897	544,260
Total current liabilities	1,452,555	837,078
Long-term debt	6,000,060	6,000,060
Deferred tax liability	2,500,000	2,500,000
Warrant liability	4,943,232	635,276
Other long-term liabilities	340,809	369,210
Total liabilities	15,236,656	10,341,624
Commitments and contingencies		
Redeemable preferred stock series A, \$1,000 par value; 9,000 shares authorized; 3,250 shares issued	2,462,809	2,511,070
Equity Fibrocell Science, Inc. shareholders deficit: Successor common stock, \$.001 par value; 250,000,000 shares authorized	19,769	14,692

Edgar Filing: Fibrocell Science, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Additional paid-in capital Accumulated deficit during development stage	1,442,727 (9,797,824)	508,347 (5,049,999)
Total Fibrocell Science, Inc. shareholders deficit	(8,335,328)	(4,526,960)
Noncontrolling interest	413,613	398,475
Total deficit and noncontrolling interest	(7,921,715)	(4,128,485)
Total liabilities, redeemable preferred stock, shareholders deficit and noncontrolling interest	\$ 9,777,750	\$ 8,724,209

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Fibrocell Science, Inc. (A Development Stage Company) Consolidated Statements of Operations (unaudited)

	Successor For the three months	Successor Cumulative period from September 1, 2009 (date of inception) to March	Predecessor For the three months	Predecessor Cumulative period from December 28, 1995 (date of inception) to August
	ended March 31, 2010	31, 2010	ended March 31, 2009	31, 2009
Revenue Product sales License fees	\$ 209,070	\$ 539,011	\$ 158,889	\$ 4,818,994 260,000
Total revenue Cost of sales	209,070 100,519	539,011 282,567	158,889 63,790	5,078,994 2,279,335
Gross profit Impairment of long-lived assets	108,551	256,444	95,099	2,799,659 6,732,754
Selling, general and administrative expenses Research and development	2,019,913	4,728,269	1,199,564	78,072,766
expenses	1,192,610	3,015,806	1,007,907	56,269,869
Operating loss Other income (expense)	(3,103,972)	(7,487,631)	(2,112,372)	(138,275,730)
Interest income Reorganization items, net Other income	3,303	1 (69,174)	240	6,989,539 73,538,984 316,338
Warrant expense Interest expense	(1,417,244) (197,730)	(1,736,328) (444,904)	(972,875)	(18,790,218)
Loss from continuing operations before income taxes Income tax benefit	(4,715,643)	(9,738,036)	(3,085,007)	(76,221,087) 190,754
Loss from continuing operations	(4,715,643)	(9,738,036)	(3,085,007)	(76,030,333)
Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax	(17,044)	(29,157)	(26,500)	(41,091,311)
Net loss	(4,732,687)	(9,767,193)	(3,111,507)	(117,121,644)
T 11 (0) 1				-

Edgar Filing: Fibrocell Science, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Deemed dividend associated with beneficial conversion Preferred stock dividends Plus/(less): Net loss/(income)				(11,423,824) (1,589,861)
attributable to noncontrolling interest	(15,138)	(30,631)	13,929	1,799,523
Net loss attributable to Fibrocell Science, Inc. common shareholders	\$ (4,747,825)	\$ (9,797,824)	\$ (3,097,578)	\$ (128,335,806)
Per share information:				
Loss from continuing operations-basic and diluted Loss from discontinued	\$ (0.30)	\$ (0.65)	\$ (0.08)	\$ (4.30)
operations-basic and diluted Income attributable to				(2.32)
noncontrolling interest Deemed dividend associated with				0.10
beneficial conversion of preferred stock Preferred stock dividends				(0.65) (0.09)
Net loss attributable to common shareholders per common share-basic and diluted	\$ (0.30)	\$ (0.65)	\$ (0.08)	\$ (7.26)
Weighted average number of basic and diluted common shares outstanding	15,806,989	14,994,710	37,663,283	17,678,219

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Fibrocell Science, Inc. (A Development Stage Company) Consolidated Statements of Shareholders Equity (Deficit) and Comprehensive Income (Loss)

						А	ccumulated	I
	Series A Preferred	Series B Preferred			r	Accumula Freasury	te D eficit	Total
	Stock Number Ni	Stock	Common Number	Stock	Additional	Stock Other	During	Shareholders
	of	of	of		Paid-In	of Comprehe	-	
Issuance of common	Sharesnor	htaries noun	t Shares	Amount	Capital SI	ha Aesnou Intcome	Stage	(Deficit)
stock for cash on								
12/28/95	\$	\$	2.285.291	\$ 2.285	\$ (1,465)	\$\$	\$	\$ 820
Issuance of common		Ψ	2,200,271	¢ 2,2 00	¢ (1,100)	ΨΨ	Ψ	ф 0 2 0
stock for cash on								
11/7/96			11,149	11	49,989			50,000
Issuance of common								
stock for cash on								
11/29/96			2,230	2	9,998			10,000
Issuance of common								
stock for cash on			6 600	_	20.002			20.000
12/19/96			6,690	7	29,993			30,000
Issuance of common stock for cash on								
12/26/96			11,148	11	49,989			50,000
Net loss			11,140	11	+7,707		(270,468)	,
1000 10000							(270,100)	(270,100)
Balance, 12/31/96								
(Predecessor)	\$	\$	2,316,508	\$ 2,316	\$ 138,504	\$\$	\$ (270,468)	\$ (129,648)
Issuance of common								
stock for cash on								
12/27/97			21,182	21	94,979			95,000
Issuance of common								
stock for services on			11.1.40		26.240			26.260
9/1/97			11,148	11	36,249			36,260
Issuance of common								
stock for services on 12/28/97			287,193	287	9,968			10,255
Net loss			207,195	207	9,900		(52,550)	
1 100 1000							(52,550)	(52,550)
Balance,								
12/31/97(Predecesso	r) \$	\$	2,636,031	\$ 2,635	\$ 279,700	\$\$	\$ (323,018)	\$ (40,683)
The a	accompanyii	ng notes ar	e an integral	l part of th	nese consolid	lated financial st	atements.	

	G	•							Accumulated	l
	1	ries S A arr æ k	B B B					Accumu	llate D eficit	Total
		ock S	Stock	Common Number	Stock		Treası Number	ury Stock Othe	er During	Shareholders
	of	0	f	of t Shares	Amount	Paid-In Capital	of Shares	Compreh AmountIncor	e Dsive lopment ne Stage	Equity (Deficit)
Issuance of common stock for ca on 8/23/98 Repurchase common stock on	ash	\$	\$	4,459	\$4	\$ 20,063	- 100	\$\$	\$	\$ 20,067
9/29/98 Net loss							2,400	(50,280)	(195,675)	(50,280) (195,675)
Balance, 12/31/98 (Predecesso Issuance of		\$	\$	2,640,490	\$ 2,639	\$ 299,763	2,400	\$(50,280) \$	\$ (518,693)	\$ (266,571)
common stock for ca on 9/10/99 Net loss				52,506	53	149,947			(1,306,778)	150,000 (1,306,778)
Balance, 12/31/99 (Predecesso		\$	\$	2,692,996	\$ 2,692	\$ 449,710	2,400	\$(50,280) \$	\$ (1,825,471)	\$ (1,423,349)
Issuance of common stock for ca on 1/18/00	ash			53,583	54	1,869				1,923
Issuance of common stock for services on				60.600	60					25
3/1/00 Issuance of common stock for				68,698	69	(44)				25
services on 4/4/00 Net loss				27,768	28	(18)			(807,076)	10 (807,076)
Balance, 12/31/00		\$	\$	2,843,045	\$ 2,843	\$ 451,517	2,400	\$(50,280) \$	\$ (2,632,547)	\$ (2,228,467)

(Predecessor)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

	G		G •							Ac	cumul	ated		
		eries A formed	Series B Preferred						Ac	cumu	l ætefi ci	t	Total	
	St	tock	Stock	Common Number	Sto	ock	Additional	Treasur Number	ry Stock	Othe	Durin	Sha	reholders	
			of MarAemount	of Shares	An	nount	Paid-In Capital	of Shares	Con Amount	-	enesiopen nestage		Equity Deficit)	
Issuance of common sto for services	ock													
7/1/01 Issuance of common sto		\$	\$	156,960	\$	157	\$ (101)		\$	\$	\$	\$	56	
for services 7/1/01 Issuance of				125,000		125	(80)						45	
common sto for capitalizatio														
of accrued salaries on 8/10/01 Issuance of common sto				70,000		70	328,055						328,125	
for conversi of convertib debt on 8/10/01 Issuance of	ion ole			1,750,000	1	1,750	1,609,596					1	,611,346	
for conversi of convertib	ock ion ole													
notes payab on 8/10/01 Issuance of common sto	ole			208,972		209	135,458						135,667	
for bridge financing or 8/10/01 Retirement	of			300,000		300	(192)						108	
treasury stor on 8/10/01 Issuance of common stor for net asset of Gemini o	ock ts			3,942,400	2	3,942	(50,280) (3,942)		50,280					

	Edgar Filing: Fibrocell Science, Inc Form 10-Q	
8/10/01 Issuance of common stock for net assets of AFH on	c	
8/10/01 Issuance of common stock for cash on	3,899,547 3,900 (3,900)	
8/10/01 Transaction and fund raising	1,346,669 1,347 2,018,653	2,020,000
expenses on 8/10/01 Issuance of common stock for services or		(48,547)
8/10/01 Issuance of common stock for cash on	60,000 60	60
8/28/01 Issuance of common stock for services or		40,000
9/30/01	314,370 314 471,241 The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements	. 471,555

			Series							A	ccumulated		
	Series	s A	B B Preferred	I				A Treasui		d	Deficit		Total
	Preferred Number	l Stoc		Common	Stock	A		al Stock Number			During	Sh	areholders
	of Shares	Amo	of ut Sihane sour	Number of nt Shares	Amount		Paid-In Capital		omprehensi 1n l ncome	D	evelopment Stage		Equity (Deficit)
Uncompensated contribution of services 3rd quarter Issuance of common stock for services on 11/1/01		\$	\$	145 022	\$	\$	55,5:		\$	\$		\$	55,556 218,900
Uncompensated contribution of services 4th quarter Net loss				145,933	140		218,75				(1,652,004)) (100,000 (1,652,004)
Balance, 12/31/01 (Predecessor) Uncompensated contribution of services 1st		\$	\$	15,189,563	\$ 15,190	\$	5,321,70	61 \$	\$	\$	(4,284,551)	\$	1,052,400
quarter Issuance of preferred stock							100,00	00					100,000
for cash on 4/26/02 Issuance of preferred stock	905,000	ç	905				2,817,33	31					2,818,236
for cash on 5/16/02 Issuance of preferred stock	890,250	8	390				2,772,23	39					2,773,129
for cash on 5/31/02 Issuance of preferred stock for cash on	795,000	7	795				2,473,38	80					2,474,175
6/28/02 Uncompensated contribution of services 2nd	229,642	2	230				712,99 100,00						713,221 100,000

quarter Issuance of preferred stock for cash on 7/15/02 Issuance of common stock	75,108	75			233,886			233,961
for cash on 8/1/02 Issuance of warrants for			38,400	38	57,562			57,600
services on 9/06/02 Uncompensated contribution of					103,388			103,388
services 3rd quarter Uncompensated contribution of					100,000			100,000
services 4th quarter Issuance of					100,000			100,000
preferred stock for dividends Deemed dividend associated with beneficial	143,507	144			502,517		(502,661)	
conversion of preferred stock Comprehensive					10,178,944		(10,178,944)	
income: Net loss Other comprehensive income, foreign currency							(5,433,055)	(5,433,055)
translation adjustment						13,875		13,875
Comprehensive loss								(5,419,180)
Balance, 12/31/02 (Predecessor)	3,038,507 \$ The acco		\$ 15,227,963 notes are an integ				S (20,399,211) nents.	\$ 5,206,930

	Serie	s A	Serie	es B					Accumula	Accumulated ated Deficit	То
	Preferred	1 Stock	Preferred Number		Common	Stock	Addition	Treasu al Stock Number	k Other	During	Shareh
	Number of Shares	Amount	of	Amount	Number of t Shares	Amount	Paid-In Capital	of C		nsi W evelopment e Stage	Equ (Def
e of n stock											
n on		\$		\$	61,600	\$ 62	\$ 92,3	\$38 \$	\$	\$	\$
e of n stock nt											
tion on ation of					100,000	100	539,9	00			54
n stock /03 pensated ution of					(79,382)	(79)) (119,3	80)			(11
s 1st e of							100,0	00			10
ed stock i on e of			110,250) 110			2,773,2	18			2,7
ed stock 1 on sion of			45,500) 46			1,145,7	04			1,14
ed stock nmon End qtr sion of s into	(70,954)) (72))		147,062	147	40,6	26			
n 2nd qtr pensated ation of					114,598	114	(1	14)			
s 2nd e of							100,0	00			10
ed stock ds							1,244,8	80		(1,087,200) (1,244,880)	

		Edg	ar Filinç	g: Fibrocell S	cience, In	ıc Form 10-0	<u>ک</u>		
				202,500	202	309,798			3
				3,359,331	3,359	18,452,202			18,4
(2,967,553)	(2,967)	(155,750)	(156)	7,188,793	7,189	(82,875)			(
				212,834	213	(213)			
						412,812			4
				136,500	137	279,363			2
				393					
								(11,268,294)	(11,2
							360,505		3
									(10,9
	\$ The accor								\$ 17,2
		\$	\$	<pre>\$ \$</pre>	202,500 3,359,331 (2,967,553) (2,967) (155,750) (156) 7,188,793 212,834 136,500 393	202,500 202 3,359,331 3,359 (2,967,553) (2,967) (155,750) (156) 7,188,793 7,189 212,834 213 136,500 137 393 393	x \$ 202,500 202 309,798 3,359,331 3,359 18,452,202 3,359,331 3,359 18,452,202 (2,967,553) (2,967) (155,750) (156) 7,188,793 7,189 (82,875) 212,834 213 (213) 412,812 136,500 137 279,363 393 393	3,359,331 3,359 18,452,202 (2,967,553) (2,967) (155,750) (156) 7,188,793 7,189 (82,875) 212,834 213 (213) 412,812 136,500 137 279,363 393 393 393	202,500 202 309,798 3,359,331 3,359 18,452,202 (2,967,553) (2,967) (155,750) (156) 7,188,793 7,189 (82,875) 212,834 213 (213) 412,812 136,500 137 279,363 393 (11,268,294) 360,505 5 26,672,192 \$26,672 \$50,862,258 \$ \$374,380 \$(33,999,585)

	c •	~ C •							Accumulated	
	Α	es Series B n ed eferre	d					Accumulated	l Deficit	Total
	Stoc	k Stock Number	Common	1 Stock	Additional	Treas Number	ury Stock	Other	During	Shareholo
	of	of	Number of nt Shares	Amount	Paid-In Capital	of Shares	Amount	Comprehensiv t Income	D evelopment Stage	Equity (Defici
version of ants into non stock s	ţ				-				C	
	\$	\$	78,526	\$ 79	\$ (79)	\$	\$	\$	\$
nce of non stock fo in										
ection with vise of stock										
ns ¶ qtr nce of non stock fo			15,000	15	94,985					95,
in										
ection with cise of										
ants st qtr pensation nse on			4,000	4	7,716					7,
ns and ants issued t	to									
employees lirectors अ										
					1,410,498					1,410,
nce of non stock ir ection with vise of										
ants ne of)r		51,828	52	(52)				
non stock for P qtr pensation use on uns and unts issued to employees			7,200,000	7,200	56,810,234					56,817,
lirectors ¹²¹					143,462					143.
nce of non stock ir	1		7,431	7	143,462 (7					143,

		Edga	gi i isi i						
ection with									
vise of									
ants ¹ 9 qtr									/
nce of									/
non stock for									/
in									/
ection with									/
ise of stock									/
ns 19 qtr	11/	0,000 11	10 1	189,890					190,
nce of									/
non stock for									/
in									/
ection with									/
ise of									/
ants 🧐 qtr	25	28,270 2	28	59,667					59,
pensation									/
nse on									/
ns and									/
ants issued to									/
employees									/
lirectors ¹ 3									
_			2	229,133					229,
ince of									/
non stock in									/
ection with									I
tise of	2		•••	(20)					I
ants 4 qtr	Ζ.)	27,652 2	28	(28)					I
pensation									I
nse on									
ns and ants issued to									I
employees, ovees and									
oyees, and tors 堆qtr				127,497					127,
tors 4 qtr			1	.21,471					141,
ury stock ^t									I
UTY SLOCK +					4,000,000	(25,974,000)			(25,974,
prehensive					+,000,000	(23,774,000)			(23,774,
ne:									I
oss								(21,474,469)	(21,474,
055 r								(21,77,702)	(41,777),
prehensive									
ne, foreign									
ncy									
lation									
tment							79,725		79,
r							10,005		10,
prehensive							÷ - , -		
ne, net									
alized gain									

Edgar Filing: Fibrocell Science, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Edgar Filing: Fibrocell Science, Inc. - Form 10-Q

able-for-sale stments

prehensive

nce, 12/31/04 lecessor)

lecessor)

\$

\$ 34,194,899 \$ 34,195 \$ 109,935,174 4,000,000 \$ (25,974,000) \$ 464,110 \$ (55,474,054) \$ 28,985, The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

(21,384,

	c •	a •							Accumulated	
	A Prefer	es Series B n èd eferre						Accumulated	Deficit	Tota
		k Stock Number	Common	Stock	Additional	Treas Number	sury Stock	Other	During	Shareho
	of	of	Number of		Paid-In	of		Comprehensive Income	Development	Equi
ce of on stock :		Sheine sou	int Shares	Amount	Capital	Shares	Amount	(Loss)	Stage	(Defic
n ction with										
se of stoc s ¶ qtr ensation se on s and its issued nployees	\$. to	\$	25,000	\$ 25	\$ 74,975		\$	\$	\$	\$ 7:
iipioyees	4				33,565					3
rsion of its into on stock	nji									
ensation se on s and its issued			27,785	28	(28)					
nployees	n2d				(61,762)					(6
ensation se on s and its issued nployees					(,)					(-
rsion of its into	5				(137,187)					(13)
on stock	rg									
ensation se on s and its issued nployees			12,605	12	(12)					
					18,844					1
					14,950					14

ensation se on			
ration of			
s 4 qtr			
ensation			
se on			
ted stock			
issued to			
yee 4 qtr		606	
rsion of			/
essor			/
iny shares	94		
rehensive			Ţ
l i			Ţ
ss			(35,777,584) (35,77
ehensive			Ţ
oreign			Ţ
су			
ition			
ment		(1,372,600)	(1,372
n exchange			
n			
ntial			
ation of			
n entity		133,851	13:
ehensive			
et			
ized gain			
ple-for-sale			
ments		(10,005)	(10
rehensive			(37,02)
			(57,02
ce, 12/31/05			
cessor)	\$	879,125 4,000,000 \$ (25,974,000) \$ (784,644) \$ egral part of these consolidated financial statements.	
4			

9

ſ	Coming Comi							Accumula	ted	
	Series Series A B	J					Accumula	ted Deficit		
S	efern en eferre Stock Stock nbe N umber	a Common	n Stock	Additional	Treasury Number	v Stock	Other	During		Sh
C	of of AngeoSinangeou	Number of nt Shares	Amount	Paid-In Capital	of Shares	Amount		siveDevelopm Stage	entNoncontr Intero	
ıed										
ees § on	\$\$		\$	\$ 42,810	\$		\$	\$	\$	\$
ls										
nd qtr on				46,336						
ock I qtr		128,750	129	23,368						
on		- ,	-	- ,						
ıed										
ees 12 ^d				96,177						
ls										
nd qtr on				407,012						
sr⊉ ^d				4,210						
of				.,210						
ock -		(97,400)) (97)	97						

1					
h ck	10,000	10	16,490		
rg			25,627		
			389,458		
ġ			3,605		
ı k	76,000	76	156,824		
ц			34,772		
			390,547		
4					
1	(15,002)	(15)	88 15		

		Edgar Filing: Fibrocell Science, Inc Form 10-Q			
of					
ck					
ive					
			(35,821,406)	(78,132)	(
ve					
		657,182			
ive					,
					(
)	\$ \$	34,362,731 \$34,363 \$111,516,561 4,000,000 \$(25,974,000) \$(127,462) \$(1 The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statem		\$2,104,373	\$(

	Series	Series								A	ccumulate	d	
	Α	B B Bedeferred	l						Accumula	ated	Deficit		
٩	Stock NumbelN	Stock	Common	Stock		Additional	Treas Number	ury Stock	Other	•	During		Sha
1	of		Number of			Paid-In	of		Comprehe Incom		evelopmen	tNoncontrol	ling
on	Shanceos	inånes oun	t Shares	Amou	nt	Capital	Shares	Amount	(Loss))	Stage	Interest	(
ued													
rees	st \$	\$		\$	\$	39,742		\$	\$	\$		\$	\$
on													
ds													
and qtr on						448,067							
ock													
sł qt	r					88							
ock													
witł stoc tr			15,000	1	15	23,085							
with n of			10,000	-		_0,000							
iock Itr Ion						1,178,483							
ued													
rees	n2l												
on						39,981 462,363							
ds													

and qtr on			
ock			
啦 qtr on			88
ds			
und qtr on			478,795
ock			
r9 qtr			88
ock se of qtr	492,613	493	893,811
nck tof ts ^{rg} l			12 745 400
ook.	6,767,647	6,767	13,745,400
ock with stock tr on	1,666	2	3,164
ds			
ınd jtr on			378,827
ock			
^收 qtr sive			88
ive n			

r) \$ \$ 41,639,657 \$ 41,640 \$ 129,208,631 4,000,000 \$ (25,974,000) \$ 718,926 \$ (162,646,158) \$ 1,858,026 \$ (5 The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

11

sive

	Janiaa Ca									Accumulated	l
	Series Se A efern ed et	B	1						Accumulated	Deficit	
S	stock St nbeNum	tock	Commo	n Stock	Ĺ	Additional	Treas Number	sury Stock	Other	During	
Q	of of	•	Number of			Paid-In	of		Income		Noncontrolling
Sha	Anex Sina	161 0011	nt Shares	Amo	unt	Capital	Shares	Amount	(Loss)	Stage	Interest
ted to											
	\$	\$		\$	\$	44,849		\$	\$	\$	\$
ion :0											
						151,305					
h f K											
ς.						1,262,815					
			(165	5)	(1)						
ted to											
n <u>n</u> ul						62,697					
ion :0											
•						193,754					
ted to											
, ng						166,687					
ion to											
ltr						171,012					
ted						(86,719)					

		Edgar Filing: Fibrocell Science, Inc Form 10-Q
to 5 4th		
ion co		
ltr e		166,196
n of ge ntial		(31,411,179) (1,680,676)
		(2,152,569)
;		
e		1,433,643
08		
	\$ \$	41,639,492 \$41,639 \$131,341,227 4,000,000 \$(25,974,000) \$ \$(194,057,337) \$ 177,350 The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

	Series S	s Series				Accumulated						
	A B Prefernædeferred Stock Stock			94 1 -	A J.P.Gongl	AccumulatedDeficit						
	Stock NumbelN		Common	Stock	Additional	I reasu	ıry Stock	Other	During			
	of	of	Number of	Number of		Number of	Co	Comprehei Biwe lopmentNoncontrol Income				
	Shaneso	i nane sou	nt Shares	Amount	Capital	Shares	Amount		Stage	Interest	(]	
ion vested												
ted to	· f	¢		ሰ	ф 1 <i>746</i>		ф	ው ው		Φ	¢	
vees §	qtr \$	\$		\$	\$ 1,746		\$	\$\$		\$	\$	
option												
ed to												
and qtr					138,798							
of deb	t				100,770							
n stocl	ĸ			• •								
			37,564	38	343,962							
ion option												
ed to												
and nd atr					112 616							
nd qtr of deb	t				112,616							
on stocl												
9			1,143,324	1,143	10,468,857						1	
ion option												
ed to												
and												
month 09	S				25 292							
09 expens	e				35,382							
ellation												
ssued t	0											
and												
2 mor	nths											
09					294,912							
sive												
									65,721,531	205,632	(
sive											(

Edgar Filing: Fibrocell Science, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Tak		Conto	ata								0.4	
	\$	\$	14,692,167	\$ 14,692	\$	508,347		\$	\$	\$ (5,049,999)	\$ 398,475	\$
sive loss												
ed to rees sive loss:						386,380				(5,049,999)	15,493	
ion option						520,656						
on option ed to						326,838						
nt			600,000	600		167,400						
ion shares			25,501	25		58,627						
ock in with the ng common t. 28,			2,666,666	2,667		1,797,333						
shares of	Ŧ	Ŧ	,,	+,	Ŧ	(_,,		-		•	+ ,	-
/09	\$	\$	11,400,000	\$ 11,400	\$	(2,228,231)		\$	\$ 3	\$	\$ 382,982	\$
n shares stock in with from			11,400,000	11,400		5,460,600						
/09 r)	\$	\$		\$	\$	(7,688,831)		\$	\$	\$	\$ 382,982	\$
d deficit llated rehensive										128,335,806		12
of			(42,820,380)) (42,820)) (]	150,426,331)	(4,000,000)	25,974,000				(12
r) n of common esh start	\$	\$						\$ (25,974,000)	\$	\$ (128,335,806)	\$ 382,982	
1/09												

Table of Contents

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

	с.	G •			Accumulated						
	A Prefern	s Series B Æd eferre k Stock		Stock	Additional		Total				
	Numbel		Common	Duth		Number	During		I Utur		
	of	of	Number of		Paid-In	œomprehæ Income	hsieł opme iN o e	ncontrollin	ıg Equity		
	Shamerof	šhetne s ou	int Shares	Amount	Capital S	Sh ane ro(Inoss)	Stage	Interest	(Deficit)		
Issuance of 5.1 million shares of common stock March 2010, net of issuance costs of \$338,10		\$	5,076,664	\$ 5,077	\$ 3,464,323	3 \$ \$ \$	\$	\$	\$ 3,469,400		
Warrant fair value associated with common shares issued in March 2010					(2,890,711	1)			(2,890,711)		
Compensation expense of shares issued to management Compensation expense of					18,000)			18,000		
option awards issued to directors/employees-1Q1 Compensation expense o option awards issued to					324,377	7			324,377		
non-employees-1Q10 Comprehensive loss:					18,391	l			18,391		
Net loss							(4,747,825)	15,138	(4,732,687)		
Comprehensive loss									(4,732,687)		
Balance 3/31/10 (Successor)	\$	\$	19,768,831	\$ 19,769	\$ 1,442,727	7 \$ \$ \$	\$ (9,797,824)	\$413,613	\$(7,921,715)		
TI	he accom	ıpanying	notes are an i	ntegral par	t of these con	nsolidated finar	ncial statemen	its.			

Fibrocell Science, Inc. (A Development Stage Company) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited)

	Su Three months ended March 31, 2010	ccessor Cumulative period from September 1, 2009 (date of inception) to March 31, 2010	Pre Three months ended March 31, 2009	edecessor Cumulative period from December 28, 1995 (date of inception) to August 31, 2009
Cash flows from operating activities:	2010	2010	2007	2009
Net loss	\$ (4,747,825)	\$ (9,797,824)	\$ (3,097,578)	\$ (115,322,121)
Adjustments to reconcile net				
(loss) income to net cash used in				
operating activities:				
Reorganization items, net		72,477		(74,648,976)
Expense related to equity awards and				
issuance of stock	360,768	1,241,986	140,544	10,608,999
Warrant expense	1,417,244	1,736,328		
Uncompensated contribution of services				755,556
Depreciation and amortization	852	852		9,091,990
Provision for doubtful accounts	(4,948)	(51,567)	252	337,810
Provision for excessive and/or obsolete	(24.522)			250 125
inventory	(34,532)	(22,868)	105 010	259,427
Amortization of debt issue costs			187,310	4,107,067
Amortization of debt discounts on				(500.002)
investments				(508,983)
Loss on disposal or impairment of property and equipment				17,668,477
Foreign exchange (gain) loss on				17,000,477
substantial liquidation of foreign entity	2,448	(166)	20,156	(2,256,408)
Net (loss) income attributable to	2,440	(100)	20,150	(2,250,400)
non-controlling interest	15,138	30,631	(13,929)	(1,799,523)
Change in operating assets and liabilities,	15,150	50,051	(13,727)	(1,7),525)
excluding effects of acquisition:				
Decrease (increase) in accounts receivable	994	24,538	48,378	(91,496)
Decrease (increase) in other receivables	(88)	4,652	10,587	218,978
Decrease (increase) in inventory	818	31,741	(1,605)	(455,282)
Decrease (increase) in prepaid expenses	110,650	(134,255)	211,212	34,341
Decrease in other assets	-	4,120	-	71,000
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable	(23,887)	83,735	(225,214)	57,648
Increase in accrued expenses, liabilities				
subject to compromise and other liabilities	583,164	157,370	684,472	3,311,552
Decrease in deferred revenue			(7,522)	(50,096)

Edgar Filing: Fibrocell Science, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Net cash used in operating activities	(2,319,204)	(6,618,250)	(2,042,937)	(148,610,040)
Cash flows from investing activities: Acquisition of Agera, net of cash acquired Purchase of property and equipment Proceeds from the sale of property and equipment, net of selling costs Purchase of investments Proceeds from sales and maturities of investments	(26,335)	(26,335)		(2,016,520) (25,515,170) 6,542,434 (152,998,313) 153,507,000
Net cash used in investing activities	(26,335)	(26,335)		(20,480,569)
Cash flows from financing activities: Proceeds from convertible debt Offering costs associated with the issuance of convertible debt Proceeds from notes payable to shareholders, net				91,450,000 (3,746,193) 135,667
Proceeds from the issuance of redeemable preferred stock, net		2,870,000		12,931,800
Proceeds from the issuance of common stock, net Costs associated with secured loan and debtor-in-possession loan Proceeds from secured loan	3,469,400	5,269,400		93,753,857 (360,872) 500,471
Proceeds from debtor-in-possession loan Payments on insurance loan Cash dividends paid on preferred stock Cash paid for fractional shares of preferred stock Merger and acquisition expenses	(20,273)	(42,164)	(23,492)	2,750,000 (79,319) (1,087,200) (38,108) (48,547)
Repurchase of common stock Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	3,449,127	8,097,236	(23,492)	(26,024,280) 170,137,276
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash balances	(2,631)	518	(19,829)	(36,391)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of	1,100,957	1,453,169	(2,086,258)	1,010,276
period	1,362,488	1,010,276	2,854,300	
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 2,463,445	\$ 2,463,445	\$ 768,042	\$ 1,010,276

Supplemental disclosures of cash flow	Su Three months ended March 31, 2010	Cumulative period from September 1, 2009 (date of inception) to March 31, 2010	Pr Three months ended March 31, 2009	per Dec (in	or mulative riod from ember 28, 1995 date of ception) August 31, 2009
information: Predecessor cash paid for interest	\$	\$	\$	\$	12,715,283
Non-cash investing and financing activities: Predecessor deemed dividend associated with beneficial conversion of preferred	¢	¢	¢	¢	11 402 004
stock	\$	\$	\$	\$	11,423,824
Predecessor preferred stock dividend					1,589,861
Successor accrued preferred stock dividend	48,260	91,000			
Predecessor uncompensated contribution of services					755,556
Predecessor common stock issued for intangible assets					540,000
Predecessor common stock issued in connection with conversion of debt			344,000		10,814,000
Predecessor equipment acquired through capital lease					167,154
Successor/Predecessor financing of insurance premiums		81,517			87,623
Successor issuance of notes payable					6,000,060
Successor common stock issued in connection with reorganization					5,472,000
Successor intangible assets					6,340,656
Successor deferred tax liability in connection with fresh-start					2,500,000

Edgar Filing: Fibrocell Science, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Elimination of Predecessor common stock and fresh start adjustment

Successor accrued warrant liability 2,890,711 3,206,903

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Fibrocell Science, Inc. (A Development Stage Company) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Note 1 Emergence from Voluntary Reorganization Under Chapter 11 Proceedings and Reorganization Plan *Background*

On June 15, 2009 Isolagen, Inc. (the Predecessor) and Isolagen s wholly owned subsidiary, Isolagen Technologies, Inc. (Isolagen Tech) (Isolagen and Isolagen Tech are referred as the Debtors), each filed a voluntary petition for reorganization under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code (the Bankruptcy Code) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware in Wilmington (the Bankruptcy Court) under Case Nos. 09-12072 and 09-12073, respectively.

On August 27, 2009 (the Confirmation Date), the Bankruptcy Court entered an order (the Confirmation Order) confirming the Debtors Joint First Amended Plan of Reorganization dated July 30, 2009, as supplemented by the Plan Supplement dated August 21, 2009 (as so modified and supplemented, the Plan). The effective date of the Plan (Effective Date) was September 3, 2009. Isolagen and Isolagen Tech emerged from bankruptcy as the reorganized debtors, Fibrocell Science, Inc. (Fibrocell or the Company or the Successor) and Fibrocell Technologies, Inc. (Fibrocell Technologies), respectively (collectively, the Reorganized Debtors). Fibrocell now operates outside of the restraints of the bankruptcy process, free of the debts and liabilities discharged by the Plan.

The Predecessor Company s officers and directors as of the effective date were all deemed to have resigned and a new board of directors was appointed. As of the effective date, the Successor Company s initial board of directors consisted of: David Pernock, Paul Hopper and Kelvin Moore. Dr. Robert Langer was appointed to the Board in late September 2009. Marc Mazur was appointed to the Board of Directors in April 2010. Declan Daly remained as chief operating officer and chief financial officer of the reorganized company, and in November 2009, he was appointed to the Board of Directors. Mr. Daly received 5% of the Common Stock of the Successor, which is subject to a two-year vesting schedule whereby 50% vested on the Effective Date, 25% shall vest on the first anniversary and 25% shall vest on the second anniversary. Mr. Daly was the acting interim chief executive officer until February 1, 2010. On February 1, 2010, David Pernock became the Chief Executive Officer.

Plan of Reorganization

Pursuant to the Plan, all of the Predecessor Company s equity interests, including without limitation its common stock, options and warrants outstanding as of the effective date were cancelled. On the effective date, the Successor Company completed an exit financing of common stock in the amount of \$2 million, after which the equity holders of the Successor Company were:

7,320,000 shares, to our pre-bankruptcy lenders and the lenders that provided us our debtor-in-possession facility, collectively;

3,960,000 shares, to the holders of our 3.5% convertible subordinated notes;

600,000 shares, to our management as of the effective date, which was our chief operating officer;

120,000 shares, to the holders of our general unsecured claims; and

2,666,666 shares, to the purchasers of shares in the \$2 million exit financing (our pre-bankruptcy lenders, the lenders that provided us our debtor-in-possession facility and the holders of our 3.5% convertible subordinated notes were permitted to participate in our exit financing).

17

In the Plan, in addition to the common stock set forth above, each holder of Isolagen s 3.5% convertible subordinated notes, due November 2024, in the approximate non-converted aggregate principal amount of \$81 million, received, in full and final satisfaction, settlement, release and discharge of and in exchange for any an all claims arising out of the 3.5% convertible subordinated notes, its pro rata share of an unsecured note in the principal amount of \$6 million, or the Notes. The Notes have the following features:

12.5% interest payable quarterly in cash or, at the Company s option, 15% payable in kind by capitalizing such unpaid amount and adding it to the principal as of the date it was due;

matures June 1, 2012;

at any time prior to the maturity date, the Company may redeem any portion of the outstanding principal of the Notes in cash at 125% of the stated face value of the Notes; provided that the Company will be obligated to redeem all outstanding Notes upon the following events: (a) the Company or its subsidiary, Fibrocell Technologies, Inc. (formerly, Isolagen Technologies, Inc.) successfully complete a capital campaign raising in excess of \$10,000,000; or (b) the Company or its subsidiary, Fibrocell Technologies, Inc., are acquired by, or sell a majority stake to, an outside party;

the Notes contain customary representations, warranties and covenants, including a covenant that the Company and its subsidiary, Fibrocell Technologies, Inc., shall be prohibited from the incurrence of additional debt without obtaining the consent of 66 2/3% of the Note holders.

Trading of Common Stock

The Predecessor s common stock ceased trading on the NYSE Amex on May 6, 2009 and in June 2009 the NYSE Amex delisted the Predecessor s common stock from listing on the NYSE Amex. Upon the Effective Date, the outstanding common stock of the Predecessor Company was cancelled for no consideration. Consequently, the Predecessor s stockholders prior to the Effective Date no longer have any interest as stockholders of the Predecessor Company by virtue of their ownership of the Predecessor s common stock prior to the emergence from bankruptcy. On October 21, 2009, the Successor Company was available for trading on the OTC Bulletin Board under the symbol FCSC.

Note 2 Basis of Presentation, Business and Organization

Fibrocell is the parent company of Fibrocell Technologies and Agera Laboratories, Inc., a Delaware corporation (Agera). Fibrocell Technologies is the parent company of Isolagen Europe Limited, a company organized under the laws of the United Kingdom (Isolagen Europe), Isolagen Australia Pty Limited, a company organized under the laws of Australia (Isolagen Australia), and Isolagen International, S.A., a company organized under the laws of Switzerland (Isolagen Switzerland).

The Company is an aesthetic and therapeutic company focused on developing novel skin and tissue rejuvenation products. The Company s clinical development product candidates are designed to improve the appearance of skin injured by the effects of aging, sun exposure, acne and burns with a patient s own, or autologous, fibroblast cells produced in the Company s proprietary Fibrocell Process. The Company also markets an advanced skin care line with broad application in core target markets through its Agera subsidiary.

In October 2006, the Predecessor Company reached an agreement with the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) on the design of a Phase III pivotal study protocol for the treatment of nasolabial fold wrinkles. The randomized, double-blind protocol was submitted to the FDA under the agency s Special Protocol Assessment (SPA) regulations. Pursuant to this assessment process, the FDA has agreed that the Predecessor Company s study design for two identical trials, including patient numbers, clinical endpoints, and statistical analyses, is acceptable to the FDA to form the basis of an efficacy claim for a marketing application. The randomized, double-blind, pivotal Phase III trials will evaluate the efficacy and safety of our product against placebo in approximately 400 patients with approximately 200 patients enrolled in each trial. The Predecessor Company completed enrollment of the study and commenced injection of subjects in early 2007. All injections were completed in January 2008 and top line results from this trial were publically announced in August 2008. The data analysis, including safety data, was publically released in October 2008. The related Biologics License Application (BLA) was submitted to the FDA in March 2009. In May 2009, the Predecessor Company announced that the FDA had completed its initial review of the Company s BLA related to its nasolabial fold wrinkles product candidate and that the FDA had accepted (or filed) the BLA for full

review.

On October 9, 2009, the FDA Cellular, Tissue and Gene Therapies Advisory Committee reviewed the Company s nasolabial fold wrinkles product candidate. The Committee voted 11 yes to 3 no that the data presented on our product demonstrated efficacy, and 6 yes to 8 no that the data demonstrated safety; both for the proposed indication of treatment of nasolabial fold wrinkles. The Committee s recommendations are not binding on the FDA, but the FDA will consider their recommendations during their review of our application. The United States Adopted Names (USAN) Council adopted the USAN name, azficel-T, for our nasolabial fold wrinkles product candidate on October 28, 2009, and the FDA is currently evaluating a proposed brand name, Laviv .

On December 21, 2009, Fibrocell received a Complete Response letter from the FDA related to the BLA for azficel-T, an autologous cell therapy for the treatment of moderate to severe nasolabial fold wrinkles in adults. A Complete Response letter is issued by the FDA s Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER) when the review of a file is completed and additional data are needed prior to approval. The Complete Response letter requested that Fibrocell Science provide data from a histopathological study on biopsied tissue samples from patients following injection of azficel-T. The letter also requested finalized Chemistry, Manufacturing and Controls (CMC) information regarding the manufacture of azficel-T as follow-up to discussions that occurred during the BLA review period, as well as revised policies and procedures. In addition, the Company has submitted a proposed protocol concerning a histopathological study on biopsied to the FDA and to the Company 's Investigational Review Board (IRB). The IRB has approved the protocol and the Company received the comments from the FDA on the protocol in May 2010.

On May 13, 2010, the Company announced the initiation of a small histology study (IT-H-001) of azficel-T, an autologous cell therapy currently under review by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of moderate to severe nasolabial fold wrinkles. The study has a target enrollment of approximately 20 participants from the completed and statistically significant pivotal Phase III studies of azficel-T (IT-R-005 and IT-R-006). The FDA requested the comparative histological data from this study in a Complete Response letter issued to the Company on December 21, 2009 related to the Biologics License Application (BLA) for azficel-T for the treatment of moderate to severe nasolabial fold wrinkles in adults.

The histology study will evaluate tissue treated with azficel-T as compared to tissue treated with sterile saline (placebo). The study will also provide information about the skin after treatment, including evaluation of collagen and elastin fibrils, and cellular structure of the sampled tissues.

Basis of Presentation

For discussions on the results of operations, the Successor Company has compared the three months ended March 31, 2010 (Successor Company) to the three months ended March 31, 2009 (Predecessor Company). The Successor Company believes that the financial results provide management and investors a more meaningful analysis of the Successor Company s performance and trends for comparative purposes.

The consolidated financial statements and notes thereto presented herein are unaudited. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal accruals) have been made that are necessary to present fairly the financial position of the Company as of March 31, 2010, and the results of its operations and cash flows for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and the cumulative period from September 1, 2009 (date of inception) to March 31, 2010. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements that were included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2009.

In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Codification 105 (ASC), Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, which became the single source of authoritative nongovernmental U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), superseding existing FASB, American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA), Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF), and related accounting literature. This pronouncement reorganizes the thousands of GAAP pronouncements into roughly 90 accounting topics and displays them using a consistent structure. Also included is relevant Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) guidance organized using the same topical structure in separate sections and will be effective for financial statements issued for reporting periods that end after September 15, 2009. This will have an impact on the Company's financial disclosures since all future references to authoritative accounting literature will be references in accordance with ASC 105.

Financial Reporting by Entities in Reorganization under the Bankruptcy Code

Overall, ASC 852-10, Financial Reporting by Entities in Reorganization Under the Bankruptcy Code, (ASC 852) applies to the Company s financial statements for the periods that the Company operated under the provisions of Chapter 11. ASC 852 does not change the application of generally accepted accounting principles in the preparation of financial statements. However, for periods including and subsequent to the filing of the Chapter 11 petition, ASC 852 does require that the financial statements distinguish transactions and events that are directly associated with the reorganization from the ongoing operations of the business. Accordingly, certain revenues, expenses, gains, and losses that were realized or incurred during the Chapter 11 proceedings have been classified as reorganization items, net on the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

As of September 1, 2009, the Successor Company adopted fresh-start accounting in accordance with ASC 852-10. The Successor Company selected September 1, 2009, as the date to effectively apply fresh-start accounting based on the absence of any material contingencies at the September 3, 2009 effective date and the immaterial impact of transactions between September 1, 2009 and September 3, 2009. The adoption of fresh-start accounting resulted in the Successor Company becoming a new entity for financial reporting purposes. The Successor Company is a development stage company in accordance with ASC 915, Development Stage Entities.

Accordingly, the financial statements prior to September 1, 2009 are not comparable with the financial statements for periods on or after September 1, 2009. References to Successor or Successor Company refer to the Company on or after September 1, 2009, after giving effect to the cancellation of Isolagen, Inc. common stock issued prior to the Effective Date, the issuance of new Fibrocell Science, Inc. common stock in accordance with the Plan, and the application of fresh-start accounting. References to Predecessor or Predecessor Company refer to the Company prior to September 1, 2009. See Note 5 Fresh-Start Accounting in the notes to these Consolidated Financial Statements for further details.

Note 3 Going Concern

The Successor Company emerged from Bankruptcy in September 2009 and continues to operate as a going concern. At March 31, 2010, the Successor Company had cash and cash equivalents of approximately \$2.5 million and working capital of \$2.0 million. In early March 2010, the Successor Company raised approximately \$3.8 million less fees as a result of the issuance of common stock and warrants. The Successor Company believes that its existing capital resources are adequate to sustain its operation through approximately mid-June 2010. As such, the Successor Company will require additional cash resources prior to or during approximately mid-June 2010, or it will likely cease operations. The Successor Company will need to access the capital markets in the future in order to fund future operations. There is no guarantee that any such required financing will be available on terms satisfactory to the Successor Company or available at all. These matters create uncertainty relating to its ability to continue as a going concern. The accompanying consolidated financial statements do not reflect any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of assets or liabilities that might result from the outcome of these uncertainties. Further, if the Successor Company raises additional cash resources prior to mid-June 2010, it may be raised in contemplation of or in connection with bankruptcy. In the event of a bankruptcy, it is likely that its common stock and common stock equivalents will become worthless and our creditors will receive significantly less than what is owed to them.

Through March 31, 2010, the Successor Company has been primarily engaged in developing its initial product technology. In the course of its development activities, the Company has sustained losses and expects such losses to continue through at least 2010. During the three months ended March 31, 2010, the Successor Company financed its operations primarily through its existing cash, but as discussed above it now requires additional financing. There is substantial doubt about the Successor Company s ability to continue as a going concern.

The Successor Company s ability to complete additional offerings is dependent on the state of the debt and/or equity markets at the time of any proposed offering, and such market s reception of the Successor Company and the offering terms. The Successor Company s ability to complete an offering is also dependent on the status of its FDA regulatory milestones and its clinical trials, and in particular, the status of its indication for the treatment of nasolabial fold wrinkles and the status of the related BLA, which cannot be predicted. There is no assurance that capital in any form would be available to the Company, and if available, on terms and conditions that are acceptable.

As a result of the conditions discussed above, and in accordance with GAAP, there exists substantial doubt about the Successor Company s ability to continue as a going concern, and its ability to continue as a going concern is contingent, among other things, upon its ability to secure additional adequate financing or capital prior to or during approximately mid-June 2010. If the Successor Company does not obtain additional funding, or does not anticipate additional funding, prior to or during approximately mid-June 2010, it will likely enter into bankruptcy and/or cease operations. Further, if it does raise additional cash resources prior to mid-June 2010, it may be raised in contemplation of or in connection with bankruptcy. If the Successor Company enters into bankruptcy, it is likely that its common stock and common stock equivalents will become worthless and its creditors will receive significantly less than what is owed to them.

Note 4 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts in the consolidated financial statements and notes. In addition, management s assessment of the Successor Company s ability to continue as a going concern involves the estimation of the amount and timing of future cash inflows and outflows. Actual results may differ materially from those estimates. *Cash and Cash Equivalents*

The Company considers highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents.

Concentration of Credit Risk

As of March 31, 2010, the Successor Company maintains the majority of its cash primarily with one major U.S. domestic bank. The amounts held in this bank exceed the insured limit of \$250,000. The terms of these deposits are on demand to minimize risk. The Successor Company has not incurred losses related to these deposits. Cash and cash equivalents of approximately \$0.2 million, related to Agera and the Successor Company s Swiss subsidiary, is maintained in two separate financial institutions. The Successor Company invests these funds primarily in demand deposit accounts.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

The Successor Company maintains an allowance for doubtful accounts related to its accounts receivable that have been deemed to have a high risk of collectability. Management reviews its accounts receivable on a monthly basis to determine if any receivables will potentially be uncollectible. One foreign customer represents 86% and 87% of accounts receivable, net, at March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. Management analyzes historical collection trends and changes in its customer payment patterns, customer concentration, and creditworthiness when evaluating the adequacy of its allowance for doubtful accounts. In its overall allowance for doubtful accounts, the Successor Company includes any receivable balances that are determined to be uncollectible. Based on the information available, management believes the allowance for doubtful accounts is adequate; however, actual write-offs might exceed the recorded allowance.

The allowance for doubtful accounts related to continuing operations was \$32,150 and \$37,098 at March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

Inventory

Agera purchases the large majority of its inventory from one contract manufacturer. Agera accounts for its inventory on the first-in-first-out method. At March 31, 2010, Agera s inventory of \$0.3 million consisted of \$0.2 million of raw materials and \$0.1 million of finished goods. At December 31, 2009, Agera s inventory of \$0.2 million consisted of \$0.2 million consisted of \$0.2 million of raw materials and less than \$0.1 million of finished goods.

Property and equipment

Property and equipment is carried at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Generally, depreciation and amortization for financial reporting purposes is provided by the straight-line method over the estimated useful life of three years, except for leasehold improvements which are amortized using the straight-line method over the remaining lease term or the life of the asset, whichever is shorter. The cost of repairs and maintenance is charged as an expense as incurred.

Intangible assets

Intangible assets are research and development assets related to the Successor Company s primary study that was recognized upon emergence from bankruptcy (see Note 5). Intangibles are tested for recoverability whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying amount may not be recoverable. An impairment loss, if any, would be measured as the excess of the carrying value over the fair value determined by discounted cash flows. There was no impairment of the intangible assets as of March 31, 2010.

Revenue recognition

The Successor Company recognizes revenue over the period the service is performed in accordance with ASC 605, Revenue Recognition (ASC 605). In general, ASC 605 requires that four basic criteria must be met before revenue can be recognized: (1) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, (2) delivery has occurred or services rendered, (3) the fee is fixed and determinable and (4) collectability is reasonably assured.

Revenue from the sale of Agera s products is recognized upon transfer of title, which is upon shipment of the product to the customer. The Successor Company believes that the requirements of ASC 605 are met when the ordered product is shipped, as the risk of loss transfers to our customer at that time, the fee is fixed and determinable and collection is reasonably assured. Any advanced payments are deferred until shipment.

Shipping and handling costs

Agera charges its customers for shipping and handling costs. Such charges to customers are presented net of the costs of shipping and handling, as selling, general and administrative expense, and are not significant to the consolidated statements of operations.

Advertising cost

Agera advertising costs are expensed as incurred and include the costs of public relations and certain marketing related activities. These costs are included in selling, general and administrative expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

Research and development expenses

Research and development costs are expensed as incurred and include salaries and benefits, costs paid to third-party contractors to perform research, conduct clinical trials, develop and manufacture drug materials and delivery devices, and a portion of facilities cost. Research and development costs also include costs to develop manufacturing, cell collection and logistical process improvements.

Clinical trial costs are a significant component of research and development expenses and include costs associated with third-party contractors. Invoicing from third-party contractors for services performed can lag several months. The Successor Company accrues the costs of services rendered in connection with third-party contractor activities based on its estimate of management fees, site management and monitoring costs and data management costs. Actual clinical trial costs may differ from estimated clinical trial costs and are adjusted for in the period in which they become known.

Warrant Liability

The warrants for the Successor Company are measured at fair value and liability-classified under ASC 815, Derivatives and Hedging, (ASC 815) because the warrants contain down-round protection and therefore, do not meet the scope exception for treatment as a derivative under ASC 815. Since down-round protection is not an input into the calculation of the fair value of the warrants, the warrants cannot be considered indexed to the Company s own stock which is a requirement for the scope exception as outlined under ASC 815. The fair value of the warrants is determined using the Black-Scholes option pricing model and is affected by changes in inputs to that model including our stock price, expected stock price volatility, the contractual term, and the risk-free interest rate. The Successor Company will continue to classify the fair value of the warrants as a liability until the warrants are exercised, expire or are amended in a way that would no longer require these warrants to be classified as a liability. *Stock-based Compensation*

The Successor Company accounts for stock-based awards to employees and non-employees using the fair value based method to determine compensation for all arrangements where shares of stock or equity instruments are issued for compensation. The Successor Company uses a Black-Scholes options-pricing model to determine the fair value of each option grant as of the date of grant for expense incurred. The Black-Scholes model requires inputs for risk-free interest rate, dividend yield, volatility and expected lives of the options. Expected volatility is based on historical volatility of the Company s competitor s stock since the Predecessor Company ceased trading as part of the bankruptcy and emerged as a new entity. The risk-free rate for periods within the contractual life of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of the grant. The expected lives for options granted represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding and is derived from the contractual terms of the options granted. The Successor Company estimates future forfeitures of options based upon expected forfeiture rates. *Income taxes*

An asset and liability approach is used for financial accounting and reporting for income taxes. Deferred income taxes arise from temporary differences between income tax and financial reporting and principally relate to recognition of revenue and expenses in different periods for financial and tax accounting purposes and are measured using currently enacted tax rates and laws. In addition, a deferred tax asset can be generated by net operating loss (NOLs) carryover. If it is more likely than not that some portion or all of a deferred tax asset will not be realized, a valuation allowance is recognized.

In the event the Company is charged interest or penalties related to income tax matters, the Company would record such interest as interest expense and would record such penalties as other expense in the consolidated statement of operations. No such charges have been incurred by the Company. As of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the Successor Company had no accrued interest related to uncertain tax positions.

At March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the Company has provided a full valuation allowance for the net deferred tax assets, the large majority of which relates to the future benefit of loss carryovers. In addition, as a result of fresh-start accounting, the Successor Company may be limited by section 382 of the Internal Revenue Service Code. The tax years 2006 through 2009 remain open to examination by the major taxing jurisdictions to which we are subject. The deferred tax liability at March 31, 2010 relates to the intangible assets recognized upon fresh-start accounting.

Loss per share data

Basic loss per share is calculated based on the weighted average common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share (Diluted EPS) also gives effect to the dilutive effect of stock options, warrants, restricted stock and convertible preferred stock calculated based on the treasury stock method.

The Predecessor and Successor Company s potentially dilutive securities consist of potential common shares related to stock options, warrants, restricted stock and convertible preferred stock. Diluted EPS includes the impact of potentially dilutive securities except in periods in which there is a loss because the inclusion of the potential common shares would be anti-dilutive. There were no potentially dilutive securities issued or outstanding for the three months ended March 31, 2010.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying values of certain of the Successor Company s financial instruments, including cash equivalents and accounts payable approximates fair value due to their short maturities. The fair values of the Successor Company s long-term obligations are based on assumptions concerning the amount and timing of estimated future cash flows and assumed discount rates reflecting varying degrees of risk. The carrying values of the Successor Company s long-term obligations approximate their fair values.

The fair value of the reorganization value which applies in fresh-start accounting was estimated by applying the income approach and a market approach. This fair value measurement is based on significant inputs that are not observable in the market and, therefore, represents a Level 3 measurement as defined in ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements.

Adoption of Standards

In March 2010, the FASB amended the disclosure requirements so that SEC filers are no longer required to disclose the date through which subsequent events have been evaluated in originally issued and revised financial statements. This revised guidance is effective immediately and we adopted this pronouncement on March 31, 2010 and have revised the disclosures as required.

On December 15, 2009, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-06 Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topic 820 Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements . This ASU requires some new disclosures and clarifies some existing disclosure requirements about fair value measurement as set forth in Codification Subtopic 820-10. The FASB s objective is to improve these disclosures and, thus, increase the transparency in financial reporting. The adoption of this ASU did not have a material impact on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

Note 5 Fresh-Start Accounting

On September 1, 2009, the Successor Company adopted fresh-start accounting upon the emergence of bankruptcy in accordance with ASC 852-10, Reorganization. Fresh-start accounting results in the Company becoming a new entity for financial reporting purposes. Accordingly, the Company s consolidated financial statements for periods prior to September 1, 2009 are not comparable to consolidated financial statements presented on or after September 1, 2009. The Company selected September 1, 2009, as the date to apply fresh-start accounting based on the absence of any material contingencies at the September 3, 2009 effective date and the immaterial impact of transactions between September 1, 2009 and September 3, 2009.

Under ASC 852-10, the Successor Company must determine a value to be assigned to the equity of the emerging company as of the date of the adoption of fresh-start accounting. The Successor Company obtained an independent appraisal to value the equity and it served as the fair market value of the emerging Company s equity. Fresh-start accounting reflects the value of the Successor Company as determined in the confirmed Plan. Under fresh-start accounting, the Successor Company s assets values are remeasured and allocated in conformity with ASC 805-20, Business Combinations, Identifiable Assets and Liabilities, and any Noncontrolling Interest. Fresh-start accounting also requires that all liabilities should be stated at fair value. The portion of the reorganization value which was attributed to identified intangible assets was \$6,340,656. This value is related to research and development assets that are not subject to amortization. In accordance with ASC 805-20, this amount is reported as intangibles in the consolidated financial statements as of March 31, 2010, and is not being amortized.

The following fresh-start Consolidated Balance Sheet presents the financial effects on the Successor Company with the implementation of the Plan and the adoption of fresh-start accounting. The effect of the consummation of the transactions contemplated in the Plan included the settlement of liabilities and the issuance of common stock. The effects of the Plan and fresh-start reporting on the Successor Company s Consolidated Balance Sheet are as follows:

	Р	redecessor Reclassifications Fresh Start		resh Start		Successor eptember			
	A	August 31, 2009	-		5 /		ccounting djustments	1, 2009	
Assets			_		-				
Current assets:									
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	1,010,277	\$	\$		\$	1,010,277		
Accounts receivable, net		246,684					246,684		
Inventory, net		268,619					268,619		
Prepaid expenses		221,225					221,225		
Other current assets		4,140					4,140		
Current assets of discontinued									
operations, net		785					785		
Total current assets		1,751,730					1,751,730		
Intangible assets					6,340,656(e)		6,340,656		
Other assets		1,671				1,67			
Total assets	\$	1,753,401	\$	\$	6,340,656	\$	8,094,057		
Liabilities, Shareholders Equity/(Deficit) and Noncontrolling Interests Current liabilities:									
Current debt	\$	8,304	\$	\$		\$	8,304		
Accounts payable	Ψ	137,401	ψ	Ψ		Ψ	137,401		
recounts payable		157,101					137,101		
Accrued expenses		849,395					849,395		
Liabilities subject to		,					,		
compromise		82,181,741	(82,181,741)(a)						
Prepetition secured loan, subject									
to compromise		500,471	(500,471)(b)						
Debtor-in-possession loan		2,750,000	(2,750,000)(b)						
Current liabilities of									
discontinued operations		25,668					25,668		
Total current liabilities		86,452,980	(85,432,212)				1,020,768		
Other long term liabilities of									
continuing operations		407,078					407,078		
Notes payable			6,000,060(a)				6,000,060		
Deferred tax liability					2,500,000(f)		2,500,000		
							50		

Total liabilities	86,860,058	(79,432,152)	2,500,000	9,927,906
Commitments and contingencies				
Shareholders Equity (Deficit)	:			
Predecessor common stock	42,821	(42,821)(c)		
Predecessor additional paid-in	142,737,499	$(25,021,170)(_{0})$	(116.906.220)(x)	
capital Predecessor treasury stock	(25,974,000)	(25,931,179)(c) 25,974,000(c)	(116,806,320)(g)	
Successor common stock	(23,974,000)	11,400(a) (b)		11,400
Successor additional paid-in		11,100(4) (0)		11,100
capital		5,460,600(a) (b)	(7,688,831)(g)	(2,228,231)
Accumulated deficit during				
development stage	(202,295,959)	73,960,152(a) (b) (c) (d)	128,335,807(g)	
Total shareholders equity				
(deficit)	(85,489,639)	79,432,152	3,840,656	(2,216,831)
			, ,	
Noncontrolling interest	382,982			382,982
Total equity (deficit) and noncontrolling interests	(85,106,657)	79,432,152	3,840,656	(1,833,849)
noncontrolling interests	(83,100,037)	79,452,152	5,840,050	(1,855,849)
Total liabilities, shareholders equity/(deficit) and				
noncontrolling interests	\$ 1,753,401	\$	\$ 6,340,656	\$ 8,094,057
2				
		25		
		25		

25

Notes to Plan of Reorganization and fresh-start accounting adjustments

(a)	This adjustment reflects the discharge of liabilities subject to compromise in accordance with the Plan of Reorganization and the issuance of \$6 million in Notes payable and the issuance of 4,080,000 shares of Successor Company common stock in satisfaction of such claims.
(b)	This adjustment reflects the discharge of prepetition loan and debtor in-possession loan in accordance with the Plan of Reorganization and the issuance of 7,320,000 shares of the Successor Company common stock in satisfaction of such claims.
(c)	This adjustment reflects the cancellation of the Predecessor Company s common stock, additional paid-in capital and treasury stock.
(d)	To reset accumulated deficit to zero for the consolidated subsidiaries included in the Plan of Reorganization.
(e)	This adjustment reflects the portion of the reorganization value which was attributed to identified intangible assets.
(f)	To record deferred tax liability as a result of the impact of fresh-start accounting fair value adjustments.
(g)	To reset Predecessor additional paid-in capital, accumulated deficit to zero and record net fresh-start adjustments.
Note 6 Liabilities Subject to Comp	romise and Reorganization Items

Edgar Filing: Fibrocell Science, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Liabilities subject to compromise refers to pre-petition obligations that were impacted by the Chapter 11 reorganization process. For further information regarding the discharge of liabilities subject to compromise, see Note

Fresh-Start Accounting in the notes of these Financial Statements. As of March 31, 2010, there were no liabilities subject to compromise.

The Company incurred certain professional fees and other expenses directly associated with the bankruptcy proceedings. In addition, the Company has made adjustments to the carrying value of certain prepetition liabilities. Such costs and adjustments are classified as reorganization items, net and are presented separately in the unaudited consolidated statements of operations. For the three months ended March 31, 2010, there was \$13,150 in professional fees offset by the gain from discharge of a liability of \$16,453.

Note 7 Agera Laboratories, Inc.

On August 10, 2006, the Predecessor Company acquired 57% of the outstanding common shares of Agera. Agera is a skincare company that has proprietary rights to a scientifically-based advanced line of skincare products. Agera markets its product primarily in the United States and Europe. The results of Agera s operations and cash flows have been included in the consolidated financial statements from the date of the acquisition. The assets and liabilities of Agera have been included in the consolidated balance sheets since the date of the acquisition.

26

Note 8 Accrued Expenses

Accrued expenses are comprised of the following:

	Successor				
	March 31,		cember 31,		
	2010		2009		
Accrued professional fees	\$ 414,662	\$	147,410		
Accrued compensation	146,691		7,208		
Accrued interest	443,671		246,578		
Dividend on preferred stock payable	91,000		42,740		
Accrued other	107,873		100,324		
Accrued expenses	\$ 1,203,897	\$	544,260		

Note 9-Equity

Common Stock Offering

On March 2, 2010, the Company entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement (the Purchase Agreement) with certain accredited investors (the Purchasers), pursuant to which the Company sold to the Purchasers in the aggregate 5,076,664 shares of common stock at a purchase price of \$0.75 per share. Each Purchaser also received a warrant to purchase the same number of shares of common stock acquired in the offering at an exercise price of \$0.98 per share (the Warrants).

The aggregate purchase price paid by the Purchasers for the common stock and the warrants was \$3,807,500. The Company intends to use the proceeds for working capital purposes.

Viriathus Capital LLC and John Carris Investments LLC were co-placement agents for the transaction, and received cash compensation of \$304,600 and warrants to purchase 406,133 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$0.75 per share upon the closing.

Redeemable Preferred Stock

In October 2009, the Successor Company completed an offering of Series A Preferred Stock, Class A Warrants and Class B Warrants (the October 2009 Offering). Each of the foregoing securities were subject to the down-round protection, which provisions require the lowering of the conversion price or exercise price, as applicable, to the purchase price in the current offering, or \$0.75, and with respect to the warrants, the number of shares issuable under the warrants issued in the October 2009 Offering will be proportionately increased such that the aggregate exercise price payable, after taking into the decrease in exercise price, shall be equal to the aggregate exercise price prior to such adjustment. The preferred stock has been classified within the mezzanine section between liabilities and equity in its consolidated balance sheets because any holder of Series A Preferred Stock may require the Successor Company to redeem all of its Series A Preferred Stock in the event of a triggering event which is outside of the control of the Successor Company recorded accrued dividends at a rate of 6% per annum on the Series A Preferred stock of \$91,000 as of March 31, 2010.

Note 10-Warrants

Class A and B Warrants and Placement Agent Warrants

As disclosed above in Note 9, the Successor Company issued Class A warrants, Class B warrants and placement agent warrants in connection with the October 2009 preferred stock transaction. The warrants are liability classified since they have down-round price protection and they are re-measured on the Company s reporting dates. As a result of the March 2, 2010 common stock financing and the down-round provision, the Class A warrants, Class B warrants and placement agent warrants were reissued to purchase 2.6 million shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$0.75 per share.

Common Stock Warrants and Co-placement Agent Warrants

In connection with the March 2, 2010 financing, the Successor Company issued 5,076,664 warrants at an exercise price of \$0.98 per share to the accredited investors and 406,133 warrants at an exercise price of \$0.75 to the

Edgar Filing: Fibrocell Science, Inc. - Form 10-Q

co-placement agents upon closing. The warrants are liability classified since they have down-round price protection and they are re-measured on the Company s reporting dates. The warrants were exercisable immediately after grant and expire five years thereafter. The fair market value of the warrants, at the date of issuance, granted to the accredited investors and co-placement agents, based on the Black-Scholes valuation model, is estimated to be \$0.52 per warrant and \$0.58 per warrant, respectively.

27

The Successor Company recognizes these warrants as a liability at the fair value on each reporting date due to the down-round price protection provision. The Company measured the fair value of these warrants as of March 31, 2010, and recorded warrant expense of \$1.4 million resulting from the increase in the liability associated with the fair value of the warrants for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The Company computed the value of the warrants using the Black-Scholes method. The fair value of the warrants will continue to be classified as a liability until such time as the warrants are exercised, expire or an amendment of the warrant agreements renders these warrants to be no longer classified as a liability.

The fair market value of the warrants was computed using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following key assumptions as of the dates indicated:

	March 2, 2010	March 31, 2010
Expected life (years)	5 years	4.9 years
Interest rate	2.3%	2.6%
Dividend yield		
Volatility	65%	65%
Warrant liability is comprised of the following as of March 21, 2010:		

Warrant liability is comprised of the following as of March 31, 2010:

	Successor Fair Value				
	Number of	of Balance			lance as of
	Warrants	rrants Warrants		March 31, 2010	
Preferred Stock Class A Warrants	1,083,333	\$	0.64	\$	692,872
Preferred Stock Class B Warrants	1,083,334		0.64		692,873
Preferred Stock Co-placement	433,333		0.64		277,149
Common Stock Warrants	5,076,667		0.59		3,013,705
Common Stock Placement Warrants	406,333		0.66		266,633
Total	8,083,000			\$	4,943,232

Note 11 Equity-based Compensation

Total stock-based compensation expense recognized using the straight-line attribution method in the consolidated statement of operations is as follows:

Stock option compensation expense for employees and directors	Three r	Successor Fhree months ended March 31, 2010		
Stock option compensation expense for employees and directors	\$	324,377		
Restricted stock expense		18,000		
Equity awards for nonemployees issued for services		18,391		

Total stock-based compensation expense

On February 23, 2010, modifications were made to all fiscal year 2009 grants for directors and employees. The modifications provided for all options granted under the 2009 Plan in fiscal year 2009 to extend to a ten year term and allowed Directors to extend the exercise period after departure to one year. As a result of the modifications, the Successor Company recognized incremental compensation cost of approximately \$149,000 in the first quarter of 2010.

360,768

\$

On February 1, 2010, the Successor Company granted options to purchase 1,650,000 shares of common stock to the chief executive officer. The weighted average fair market value using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model of these options granted was \$0.63. The fair market value of the stock options at the date of grant was estimated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions:

Successor Three Months Ended March 31, 2010 5.5 years 2.4%

65%

Expected life (years) Interest rate Dividend yield

Volatility

There were no stock options exercised during the three months ended March 31, 2010.

The total fair value of shares vested during the first quarter 2010 was \$0.2 million. As of March 31, 2010, there was \$0.9 million of total unrecognized compensation cost, related to non-vested stock options which vest over time. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.6 years. As of March 31, 2010, there was \$0.2 million of total unrecognized compensation expense related to performance-based, non-vested employee and consultant stock options. That cost will be recognized when the performance criteria within the respective performance-based option grants become probable of achievement.

Restricted stock

As of March 31, 2010, there was \$0.1 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested restricted stock that is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.42 years.

Predecessor Company

Prior to September 3, 2009, the Predecessor Company maintained stock-based incentive compensation plans for employees and directors of the Company. On the Effective Date, the following stock option plans were terminated (and any and all awards granted under such plans were terminated and will no longer be of any force or effect): (1) the 2001 Stock Option and Appreciation Rights Plan, (2) the 2003 Stock Option and Appreciation Rights Plan.

Note 12 Segment Information and Geographical information

The Successor Company has two reportable segments: Fibrocell Therapy and Agera. The Fibrocell Therapy segment specializes in the development and commercialization of autologous cellular therapies for soft tissue regeneration. The Agera segment maintains proprietary rights to a scientifically-based advanced line of skincare products. There is no intersegment revenue. The following table provides operating financial information for the continuing operations of the Successor Company s two reportable segments:

Three Months Ended March 31, 2010

	Segment				
	Successor Fibrocell				Successor
	Therapy		Agera	С	onsolidated
Total operating revenue	\$	\$	209,070	\$	209,070
Segment income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ (4,726,548)	\$	10,905	\$	(4,715,643)
Supplemental information related to continuing operations					
Depreciation and amortization expense	\$ 852	\$		\$	852
Total assets as of March 31, 2010	9,094,140		683,610		9,777,750

Property and equipment, net Intangible assets 25,48325,4836,340,6566,340,656

An intercompany receivable as of March 31, 2010, of \$1.0 million, due from the Agera segment to the Fibrocell Therapy segment, is eliminated in consolidation. This intercompany receivable is primarily due to the intercompany management fee charge to Agera by Fibrocell Technologies, as well as Agera working capital needs provided by Fibrocell Technologies, and has been excluded from total assets of the Fibrocell Therapy segment in the above table. There is no intersegment revenue. Total assets on the consolidated balance sheet at March 31, 2010 are approximately \$9.8 million.

Three Months Ended March 31, 2009

	Segment				
	Predecessor			Р	redecessor
	Isolagen Therapy		Agera	C	onsolidated
Total operating revenue	\$	\$	158,889	\$	158,889
Segment loss from continuing operations	\$ (3,014,739)	\$	(70,268)	\$	(3,085,007)
Supplemental information related to continuing operations					
Depreciation and amortization expense	\$	\$		\$	
Total assets, including assets from discontinued operations as of					
March 31, 2009	1,639,628		869,016		2,508,644
Property and equipment, net					
Intangible assets, net					
An intercompany receivable of \$1.0 million, due from the Agera se	egment to the Isola	agen	Therapy seg	gmer	nt as of
March 31, 2000 is eliminated in consolidation. This intercompany	receivable is prin	arily	v due to the	nter	company

March 31, 2009, is eliminated in consolidation. This intercompany receivable is primarily due to the intercompany management fee charge to Agera by Isolagen, as well as Agera working capital needs provided by Isolagen, and has been excluded from total assets of the Isolagen Therapy segment in the above table. Total assets on the consolidated balance sheet at March 31, 2009 are approximately \$2.5 million, which includes assets of discontinued operations of less than \$0.1 million.

Geographical information concerning the Successor Company s and Predecessor Company s operations and assets are as follows:

	Revenue Successor Three months ended March 31, 2010		Revenue Predecessor Three months ended March 31, 2009	
United States United Kingdom Other	\$	60,194 141,667 7,209	\$ 73,490 59,044 26,355	
	\$	209,070	\$ 158,889	

During the three months ended March 31, 2010, revenue from one foreign customer and one domestic customer represented 68% and 19% of consolidated revenue, respectively. During the three months ended March 31, 2009, revenue from one foreign customer and one domestic customer represented 37% and 31% of consolidated revenue, respectively.

As of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, one foreign customer represented 86% and 87%, respectively, of accounts receivable, net.

Note 13 Subsequent Events

On May 13, 2010, the Company announced the initiation of a small histology study (IT-H-001) of azficel-T, an autologous cell therapy currently under review by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of moderate to severe nasolabial fold wrinkles. The study has a target enrollment of approximately 20 participants from the completed and statistically significant pivotal Phase III studies of azficel-T (IT-R-005 and IT-R-006). The FDA requested the comparative histological data from this study in a Complete Response letter issued to the Company on December 21, 2009 related to the Biologics License Application (BLA) for azficel-T for the treatment of moderate to severe nasolabial fold wrinkles in adults.

The histology study will evaluate tissue treated with azficel-T as compared to tissue treated with sterile saline (placebo). The study will also provide information about the skin after treatment, including evaluation of collagen and elastin fibrils, and cellular structure of the sampled tissues.

Effective April 1, 2010, the board of directors of Fibrocell Science, Inc. approved the appointment of Marc Mazur to the Company s board of directors. On his appointment, Mr. Mazur received an option to purchase 200,000 shares of Company common stock at an exercise price equal to the fair market value of the Company s common stock on the date of issuance, of which 100,000 shares vest immediately and 100,000 shares vest in 12 months.

31

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements, including the notes thereto.

Forward-Looking Information

This report contains certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as well as information relating to Fibrocell that is based on management s exercise of business judgment and assumptions made by and information currently available to management. When used in this document and other documents, releases and reports released by us, the words anticipate, the facts suggest and words of s believe, estimate, expect. intend, import, are intended to identify any forward-looking statements. You should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. These statements reflect our current view of future events and are subject to certain risks and uncertainties as noted below. Should one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or should underlying assumptions prove incorrect, our actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements. Actual events, transactions and results may materially differ from the anticipated events, transactions or results described in such statements. Although we believe that our expectations are based on reasonable assumptions, we can give no assurance that our expectations will materialize. Many factors could cause actual results to differ materially from our forward looking statements. Several of these factors include, without limitation:

our ability to finance our business and continue in operations;

whether the results of our full Phase III pivotal study and our BLA filing will result in approval of our product candidate, and whether any approval will occur on a timely basis;

our ability to meet requisite regulations or receive regulatory approvals in the United States, Europe, Asia and the Americas, and our ability to retain any regulatory approvals that we may obtain; and the absence of adverse regulatory developments in the United States, Europe, Asia and the Americas or any other country where we plan to conduct commercial operations;

whether our clinical human trials relating to the use of autologous cellular therapy applications, and such other indications as we may identify and pursue can be conducted within the timeframe that we expect, whether such trials will yield positive results, or whether additional applications for the commercialization of autologous cellular therapy can be identified by us and advanced into human clinical trials;

our ability to develop autologous cellular therapies that have specific applications in cosmetic dermatology, and our ability to explore (and possibly develop) applications for periodontal disease, reconstructive dentistry, treatment of restrictive scars and burns and other health-related markets;

our ability to decrease our manufacturing costs for our Fibrocell Therapy product candidates through the improvement of our manufacturing process, and our ability to validate any such improvements with the relevant regulatory agencies;

our ability to reduce our need for fetal bovine calf serum by improved use of less expensive media combinations and different media alternatives;

continued availability of supplies at satisfactory prices;

new entrance of competitive products or further penetration of existing products in our markets;

the effect on us from adverse publicity related to our products or the company itself;

any adverse claims relating to our intellectual property;

the adoption of new, or changes in, accounting principles;

our issuance of certain rights to our shareholders that may have anti-takeover effects;

our dependence on physicians to correctly follow our established protocols for the safe administration of our Fibrocell Therapy; and

other risks referenced from time to time elsewhere in this prospectus and in our filings with the SEC. These factors are not necessarily all of the important factors that could cause actual results of operations to differ materially from those expressed in these forward-looking statements. Other unknown or unpredictable factors also could have material adverse effects on our future results. We undertake no obligation and do not intend to update, revise or otherwise publicly release any revisions to these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date hereof or to reflect the occurrence of any unanticipated events. We cannot assure you that projected results will be achieved.

Overview

We are an aesthetic and therapeutic development stage biotechnology company focused on developing novel skin and tissue rejuvenation products. Our clinical development product candidates are designed to improve the appearance of skin injured by the effects of aging, sun exposure, acne and burn scars with a patient s own, or autologous, fibroblast cells produced by our proprietary Fibrocell process. Our clinical development programs encompass both aesthetic and therapeutic indications. Our most advanced indication is for the treatment of nasolabial folds wrinkles (United States adopted name, or USAN, is azficel-T) and has completed Phase III clinical studies, and the related Biologics License Application, or BLA, has been submitted to the Food and Drug Administration, or FDA. In October 2009, the FDA s Cellular, Tissue and Gene Therapies Advisory Committee reviewed this indication. On December 21, 2009, Fibrocell Science received a Complete Response letter from the FDA related to the BLA for azficel-T. A Complete Response letter is issued by the FDA s Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER) when the review of a file is completed and additional data are needed prior to approval. The Complete Response letter requested that we provide data from a histopathological study on biopsied tissue samples from patients following injection of azficel-T. The letter also requested finalized Chemistry, Manufacturing and Controls (CMC) information regarding the manufacture of azficel-T as follow-up to discussions that occurred during the BLA review period, as well as revised policies and procedures regarding shipping practices, and proposed labeling. In addition, the Company has submitted a proposed protocol concerning a histopathological study on biopsied samples to the FDA and to the Company s Investigational Review Board (IRB). The IRB has approved the protocol and the Company is currently awaiting the FDA s comments on the protocol.

During 2009 we completed a Phase II/III study for the treatment of acne scars. During 2008 we completed our open-label Phase II study related to full face rejuvenation.

We also develop and market an advanced skin care product line through our Agera subsidiary, in which we acquired a 57% interest in August 2006.

Exit from Bankruptcy

On August 27, 2009, the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware in Wilmington entered an order, or Confirmation Order, confirming the Joint First Amended Plan of Reorganization dated July 30, 2009, as supplemented by the Plan Supplement dated August 21, 2009, or the Plan, of Isolagen, Inc. and Isolagen s wholly owned subsidiary, Isolagen Technologies, Inc. The effective date of the Plan was September 3, 2009. Isolagen, Inc. and Isolagen Technologies, Inc., respectively.

Our officers and directors as of the effective date were all deemed to have resigned and a new board of directors was appointed. As of the effective date, our initial board of directors consisted of: David Pernock, Paul Hopper and Kelvin Moore. Dr. Robert Langer was appointed to the Board in late September 2009. Marc Mazur was appointed to the Board in April 2010. Declan Daly remained as chief operating officer and chief financial officer of the reorganized company, and in November 2009, he was appointed to the Board of Directors. Mr. Daly also acted as interim chief executive officer until February 1, 2010 when David Pernock became the chief executive officer.

Pursuant to the Plan, all our equity interests, including without limitation our common stock, options and warrants outstanding as of the effective date were cancelled. On the effective date, we completed an exit financing of common stock in the amount of \$2 million, after which the equity holders of our Successor Company were:

7,320,000 shares, to our pre-bankruptcy lenders and the lenders that provided us our debtor-in-possession facility, collectively;

3,960,000 shares, to the holders of our 3.5% convertible subordinated notes;

600,000 shares, to our management as of the effective date, which was our chief operating officer;

120,000 shares, to the holders of our general unsecured claims; and

2,666,666 shares, to the purchasers of shares in the \$2 million exit financing (our pre-bankruptcy lenders, the lenders that provided us our debtor-in-possession facility and the holders of our 3.5% convertible subordinated notes were permitted to participate in our exit financing).

In the Plan, in addition to the common stock set forth above, each holder of Isolagen s 3.5% convertible subordinated notes, due November 2024, in the approximate non-converted aggregate principal amount of \$81 million, received, in full and final satisfaction, settlement, release and discharge of and in exchange for any and all claims arising out of the 3.5% convertible subordinated notes, its *pro rata* share of an unsecured note in the principal amount of \$6 million, or the Notes. The Notes have the following features:

12.5% interest payable quarterly in cash or, at our option, 15% payable in kind by capitalizing such unpaid amount and adding it to the principal as of the date it was due;

matures June 1, 2012;

at any time prior to the maturity date, we may redeem any portion of the outstanding principal of the Notes in cash at 125% of the stated face value of the Notes; provided that we will be obligated to redeem all outstanding Notes upon the following events: (a) we or our subsidiary, Fibrocell Technologies, Inc. (formerly, Isolagen Technologies, Inc.) successfully complete a capital campaign raising in excess of \$10,000,000; or (b) we or our subsidiary, Fibrocell Technologies, Inc., are acquired by, or sell a majority stake to, an outside party;

the Notes contain customary representations, warranties and covenants, including a covenant that we and our subsidiary, Fibrocell Technologies, Inc., shall be prohibited from the incurrence of additional debt without obtaining the consent of 66 2/3% of the Note holders.

Going Concern

The Successor Company emerged from Bankruptcy in September 2009 and continues to operate as a going-concern. At March 31, 2010, we had cash and cash equivalents of approximately \$2.5 million and working capital of \$2.0 million. In early March 2010, we raised approximately \$3.8 million less fees as a result of the issuance of common stock and warrants. We believe that our existing capital resources are adequate to sustain our operation through approximately mid-June 2010. As such, we will require additional cash resources prior to or during approximately mid-June 2010, or we will likely cease operations. The Successor Company will need to access the capital markets in the future in order to fund future operations. There is no guarantee that any such required financing will be available on terms satisfactory to the Successor Company or available at all. These matters create uncertainty relating to our ability to continue as a going concern. The accompanying consolidated financial statements do not reflect any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of assets or liabilities that might result from the outcome of these uncertainties.

Through March 31, 2010, we have been primarily engaged in developing our initial product technology. In the course of our development activities, we have sustained losses and expect such losses to continue through at least 2010. Our ability to complete additional offerings is dependent on the state of the debt and/or equity markets at the time of any proposed offering, and such market s reception of the Successor Company and the offering terms. Our ability to complete an offering is also dependent on the status of our FDA regulatory milestones and our clinical trials, and in particular, the status of our indication for the treatment of nasolabial fold wrinkles and the status of the related BLA, which cannot be predicted. There is no assurance that capital in any form would be available to us, and if available, on terms and conditions that are acceptable.

As a result of the conditions discussed above, and in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States, there exists substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern, and our ability to continue as a going concern is contingent, among other things, upon our ability to secure additional adequate financing or capital prior to or during approximately mid-June 2010. If we do not obtain additional funding, or do not anticipate additional funding, prior to or during approximately mid-June 2010, we will likely enter into bankruptcy and/or cease operations. Further, if we do raise additional cash resources prior to mid-June 2010, it may be raised in contemplation of or in connection with bankruptcy. If we enter into bankruptcy, it is likely that our common stock and common stock equivalents will become worthless and our creditors will receive significantly less than what is owed to them.

Clinical Development Programs

Our product development programs are focused on the aesthetic and therapeutic markets. These programs are supported by a number of clinical trial programs at various stages of development.

Our aesthetics development programs include product candidates to treat targeted areas or wrinkles and to provide full-face rejuvenation that includes the improvement of fine lines, wrinkles, skin texture and appearance. Our therapeutic development programs are designed to treat acne scars, restrictive burn scars and dental papillary recession. All of our product candidates are non-surgical and minimally invasive. Although the discussions below may include estimates of when we expect trials to be completed, the prediction of when a clinical trial will be completed is subject to a number of factors and uncertainties. Also, please refer to Part I, Item 1A of our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, for a discussion of certain of our risk factors related to our clinical development programs, as well as other risk factors related to our business.

Aesthetic Development Programs

Nasolabial Fold Wrinkles Phase III Trials: In October 2006, we reached an agreement with the FDA, on the design of a Phase III pivotal study protocol for the treatment of nasolabial fold wrinkles (lines which run from the sides of the nose to the corners of the mouth). The randomized, double-blind protocol was submitted to the FDA under the agency s Special Protocol Assessment, or SPA. Pursuant to this assessment process, the FDA has agreed that our study design for two identical trials, including subject numbers, clinical endpoints, and statistical analyses, is adequate to provide the necessary data that, depending on the outcome, could form the basis of an efficacy claim for a marketing application. The pivotal Phase III trials evaluated the efficacy and safety of our Fibrocell therapy (USAN name azficel-T) against placebo in approximately 400 subjects total with approximately 200 subjects enrolled in each trial. The injections were completed in January 2008 and the trial data results were disclosed in October 2008. The Phase III trial data results indicated statistically significant efficacy results for the treatment of nasolabial fold wrinkles. The Phase III data analysis, including safety results, was disclosed in October 2008. We submitted the related BLA to the FDA in March 2009. In May 2009, the FDA accepted our BLA submission for filing. On October 9, 2009, the FDA s Cellular, Tissue and Gene Therapies Advisory Committee reviewed azficel-T. The committee voted 11 yes to 3 no that the data presented on azficel-T demonstrated efficacy, and 6 yes to 8 no that the data demonstrated safety, both for the proposed indication. The Committee s recommendations are not binding on the FDA, but the FDA will consider their

recommendations during their review of our application. On December 21, 2009, Fibrocell Science received a Complete Response letter from the FDA related to the BLA for azficel-T. A Complete Response letter is issued by the FDA s Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER) when the review of a file is completed and additional data are needed prior to approval. The Complete Response letter requested that Fibrocell Science provide data from a histopathological study on biopsied tissue samples from patients following injection of azficel-T. The letter also requested finalized Chemistry, Manufacturing and Controls (CMC) information regarding the manufacture of azficel-T as follow-up to discussions that occurred during the BLA review period, as well as revised policies and procedures regarding shipping practices, and proposed labeling. The Company is currently working on obtaining the finalized CMC information for the FDA as well as the revised policies and procedures regarding shipping practices and the proposed labeling. In addition, the Company has submitted a proposed protocol concerning a histopathological study on biopsied samples to the FDA and to the Company s Investigational Review Board (IRB). The IRB has approved the protocol and the Company received the comments from the FDA on the protocol in May 2010. On May 13, 2010, the Company announced the initiation of a small histology study (IT-H-001) of azficel-T, an autologous cell therapy currently under review by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of moderate to severe nasolabial fold wrinkles. The study has a target enrollment of approximately 20 participants from the completed and statistically significant pivotal Phase III studies of azficel-T (IT-R-005 and IT-R-006). The FDA requested the comparative histological data from this study in a Complete Response letter issued to the Company on December 21, 2009 related to the Biologics License Application (BLA) for azficel-T for the treatment of moderate to severe nasolabial fold wrinkles in adults.

The histology study will evaluate tissue treated with azficel-T as compared to tissue treated with sterile saline (placebo). The study will also provide information about the skin after treatment, including evaluation of collagen and elastin fibrils, and cellular structure of the sampled tissues.

The United States Adopted Names (USAN) Council adopted the USAN name, azficel-T, on October 28, 2009, and the FDA is currently evaluating a proposed brand name, Laviv .

<u>Full Face Rejuvenation</u> <u>Phase II Trial</u>: In March 2007, the Predecessor Company commenced an open label (unblinded) trial of approximately 50 subjects. Injections of azficel-T began to be administered in July 2007. This trial was designed to further evaluate the safety and use of azficel-T to treat fine lines and wrinkles for the full face. Five investigators across the United States participated in this trial. The subjects received two series of injections approximately one month apart. In late December 2007, all 45 remaining subjects completed injections. The subjects were followed for twelve months following each subject s last injection. Data results related to this trial were disclosed in August 2008, which included top line positive efficacy results related to this open label Phase II trial. Additional safety data from this trial, collected through telephone calls placed to participating subjects twelve months from the date of their final study treatment, were submitted to the FDA on November 1, 2009. No changes to the safety profile of azficel-T were identified during our review of this data.

Therapeutic Development Programs

<u>Acne Scars</u> *Phase II/III Trial:* In November 2007, the Predecessor Company commenced an acne scar Phase II/III study. This study included approximately 95 subjects. This placebo controlled trial was designed to evaluate the use of azficel-T to correct or improve the appearance of acne scars. Each subject served as their own control, receiving azficel-T on one side of their face and placebo on the other. The subjects received three treatments two weeks apart. The follow-up and evaluation period was completed four months after each subject s last injection. In March 2009, the Predecessor Company disclosed certain trial data results, which included statistically significant efficacy results for the treatment of moderate to severe acne scars. Compilation of safety data and data related to the validation of the study photo guide assessment scale discussed below is ongoing and is also subject to additional financing. In connection with this acne scar program, the Predecessor Company had originally designed the acne scar clinical program as two randomized, double-blind, Phase III, placebo-controlled trials. However, our evaluator assessment scale and photo guide have not previously been utilized in a clinical trial. In November 2007, the FDA recommended that the Predecessor Company consider conducting a Phase II study in order to address certain study issues, including additional validation related to our evaluator assessment scale. As such, the Predecessor Company modified our

Edgar Filing: Fibrocell Science, Inc. - Form 10-Q

clinical plans to initiate a single Phase II/III trial. This Phase II/III study, was powered to demonstrate efficacy, and has allowed for a closer assessment of the evaluator assessment scale and photo guide that is ongoing. The Successor Company is currently in the process of finalizing the Clinical Study Program Report and the next step is to initiate a discussion with the FDA concerning the validation of the evaluator assessment scale and agree the path forward. These steps will be subject to obtaining sufficient financial resources.

<u>Restrictive Burn Scars - Phase II Trial:</u> In January 2007, the Predecessor Company met with the FDA to discuss our clinical program for the use of azficel-T for restrictive burn scar patients. This Phase II trial would evaluate the use of azficel-T to improve range of motion, function and flexibility, among other parameters, in existing restrictive burn scars in approximately 20 patients. However, the Predecessor Company delayed the screening and enrollment in this trial until such time as we raise sufficient additional financing and gather additional data regarding the burn scar market.

36

<u>Dental Study</u> <u>Phase II Trial:</u> In late 2003, the Predecessor Company completed a Phase I clinical trial for the treatment of condition relating to periodontal disease, specifically to treat Interdental Papillary Insufficiency. In the second quarter of 2005, the Predecessor Company concluded the Phase II dental clinical trial with the use of azficel-T and subsequently announced that investigator and subject visual analog scale assessments demonstrated that the azficel-T was statistically superior to placebo at four months after treatment. Although results of the investigator and subject assessment demonstrated that the azficel-T was statistically superior to placebo, an analysis of objective linear measurements did not yield statistically significant results.

In 2006, the Predecessor Company commenced a Phase II open-label dental trial for the treatment of Interdental Papillary Insufficiency. This single site study included 11 subjects. All study treatment and follow up visits were completed, but full analysis of the study was previously placed on internal hold due to our financial resource constraints. The Company is also currently reviewing potential other clinical paths in the dental arena.

Agera Skincare Systems

The Successor Company markets and sells a skin care product line through our majority-owned subsidiary, Agera Laboratories, Inc., which the Predecessor Company acquired in August 2006. Agera offers a complete line of skincare systems based on a wide array of proprietary formulations, trademarks and nano-peptide technology. These skincare products can be packaged to offer anti-aging, anti-pigmentary and acne treatment systems. Agera primarily markets its products in both the United States and Europe (primarily the United Kingdom).

Critical Accounting Policies

The following discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. However, certain accounting policies and estimates are particularly important to the understanding of our financial position and results of operations and require the application of significant judgment by our management or can be materially affected by changes from period to period in economic factors or conditions that are outside of the control of management. As a result they are subject to an inherent degree of uncertainty. In applying these policies, our management uses their judgment to determine the appropriate assumptions to be used in the determination of certain estimates. Those estimates are based on our historical operations, our future business plans and projected financial results, the terms of existing contracts, our observance of trends in the industry, information provided by our customers and information available from other outside sources, as appropriate. The following discusses our critical accounting policies and estimates.

Warrant Liability: The warrants for the Successor Company are measured at fair value and liability-classified under ASC 815, Derivatives and Hedging, (ASC 815) because the warrants contain down-round protection and therefore, do not meet the scope exception for treatment as a derivative under ASC 815. Since down-round protection is not an input into the calculation of the fair value of the warrants, the warrants cannot be considered indexed to the Company s own stock which is a requirement for the scope exception as outlined under ASC 815. The fair value of the warrants is determined using the Black-Scholes option pricing model and is affected by changes in inputs to that model including our stock price, expected stock price volatility, the contractual term, and the risk-free interest rate. We will continue to classify the fair value of the warrants as a liability until the warrants are exercised, expire or are amended in a way that would no longer require these warrants to be classified as a liability.

Stock-Based Compensation: We account for stock-based awards to employees and non-employees using the fair value based method to determine compensation for all arrangements where shares of stock or equity instruments are issued for compensation. We use a Black-Scholes options-pricing model to determine the fair value of each option grant as of the date of grant for expense incurred. The Black-Scholes model requires inputs for risk-free interest rate, dividend yield, volatility and expected lives of the options. Expected volatility is based on historical volatility of our competitor s stock since the Predecessor Company ceased trading as part of the bankruptcy and emerged as a new entity. The risk-free rate for periods within the contractual life of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of the grant. The expected lives for options granted represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding and is derived from the contractual terms of the options granted. We estimate future forfeitures of options based upon expected forfeiture rates.

Research and Development Expenses: Research and development costs are expensed as incurred and include salaries and benefits, costs paid to third-party contractors to perform research, conduct clinical trials, develop and manufacture drug materials and delivery devices, and a portion of facilities cost. Clinical trial costs are a significant component of research and development expenses and include costs associated with third-party contractors. Invoicing from third-party contractors for services performed can lag several months. We accrue the costs of services rendered in connection with third-party contractor activities based on our estimate of management fees, site management and monitoring costs and data management costs. Actual clinical trial costs may differ from estimated clinical trial costs and are adjusted for in the period in which they become known.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In October 2009, the FASB issued ASU No. 2009-13, Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements, or ASU 2009-13. ASU 2009-13, amends existing revenue recognition accounting pronouncements that are currently within the scope of FASB ASC Topic 605. This consensus provides accounting principles and application guidance on how the arrangement should be separated, and the consideration allocated. This guidance changes how to determine the fair value of undelivered products and services for separate revenue recognition. Allocation of consideration is now based on management s estimate of the selling price for an undelivered item where there is no other means to determine the fair value of that undelivered item. This new approach is effective prospectively for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010.

In March 2010, the FASB ratified EITF Issue No. 08-9, *Milestone Method of Revenue Recognition*, that the milestone method is a valid application of the proportional performance model for revenue recognition if the milestones are substantive and there is substantive uncertainty about whether the milestones will be achieved. The Task Force agreed that whether a milestone is substantive is a judgment that should be made at the inception of the arrangement. To meet the definition of a substantive milestone, the consideration earned by achieving the milestone (1) would have to be commensurate with either the level of effort required to achieve the milestone or the enhancement in the value of the item delivered, (2) would have to relate solely to past performance, and (3) should be reasonable relative to all deliverables and payment terms in the arrangement. No bifurcation of an individual milestone is allowed and there can be more than one milestone in an arrangement. The new guidance will be effective for interim and annual periods beginning on or after June 15, 2010.

Emergence from Voluntary Reorganization Under Chapter 11 Proceedings and Reorganization Plan

Fibrocell emerged from Chapter 11 on September 3, 2009. See Note 1 in the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements.

Basis of Presentation

As of September 1, 2009, the Successor Company adopted fresh-start accounting in accordance with ASC 852-10, Reorganizations. The Successor Company selected September 1, 2009, as the date to effectively apply fresh-start accounting based on the absence of any material contingencies at the August 27, 2009 confirmation hearing and the immaterial impact of transactions between August 27, 2009 and September 1, 2009. The adoption of fresh-start accounting resulted in the Successor Company becoming a new entity for financial reporting purposes. Accordingly, the financial statements prior to September 1, 2009 are not comparable with the financial statements for periods on or after September 1, 2009. References to Successor or Successor Company refer to the Company on or after September 1, 2009, after giving effect to the cancellation of Isolagen, Inc. common stock issued prior to the Effective Date, the issuance of new Fibrocell Science, Inc. common stock in accordance with the Plan, and the application of fresh-start accounting. References to Predecessor or Predecessor Company refer to the Company prior to September 1, 2009. See Note 5 Fresh Start Accounting in the notes to these Consolidated Financial Statements for further details.

For discussions on the results of operations, the Successor Company has compared the results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2010, with the results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2009. The Successor Company believes that the comparison of the financial results provide management and investors a more meaningful analysis of the Company s performance and trends.

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and the accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Part 1, Item 1 of this report.

Results of Operations Comparison of the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009

REVENUES. Revenue remained relatively constant at \$0.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and for the three months ended March 31, 2009. Our revenue from continuing operations is from the operations of Agera which we acquired on August 10, 2006. Agera markets and sells a complete line of advanced skin care systems based on a wide array of proprietary formulations, trademarks and non-peptide technology. Due to our financial statement presentation of our United Kingdom operation as a discontinued operation, our revenue for all periods presented is representative of only Agera, as all historical United Kingdom revenue is reflected in loss from discontinued operations.

COST OF SALES. Cost of sales remained constant at \$0.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and March 31, 2009. Our cost of sales relates to the operation of Agera. As a percentage of revenue, Agera cost of sales were approximately 48% for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 40% for the three months ended March 31, 2009. Cost of sales as a percentage of revenue has increased primarily due to the impact of a physical inventory adjustment in the first quarter of 2010 and increased costs of components.

SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES. Selling, general and administrative expenses increased by approximately \$0.8 million, or 68%, to \$2.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 as compared to \$1.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009. The increase primarily relates to a \$0.3 million increase in payroll related expenses, \$0.2 million increase related to general and administrative expenses associated with consultants for financing and marketing as well as office expenses and \$0.3 million increase related to legal expenses. Legal expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2009 were (\$0.2) million due to a \$0.3 million reimbursement received from our insurance carrier related to defense costs associated with our class action and derivative matters. Had we not received this reimbursement, legal expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and March 31, 2009 would have been consistent at \$0.1 million.

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT. Research and development expenses increased by approximately \$0.2 million, or 18%, to \$1.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 as compared to \$1.0 for the three months ended March 31, 2009. The increase primarily relates to a \$0.1 million increase in payroll related expenses and \$0.1 million increase in laboratory costs associated with clinical and manufacturing activities in our Exton, Pennsylvania location. Research and development costs are composed primarily of costs related to our efforts to gain FDA approval for our Fibrocell Therapy for specific dermal applications in the United States, as well as costs related to other potential indications for our Fibrocell Therapy, such as acne scars and burn scars. Also, research and development expense includes costs to develop manufacturing, cell collection and logistical process improvements. Research and development costs primarily include personnel and laboratory costs related to these FDA trials and certain consulting costs. The total inception (December 28, 1995) cost of research and development as of August 31, 2009 for the Predecessor Company was \$56.3 million and total inception (September 1, 2009) to date cost of research and development as of March 31, 2010, for the Successor Company was \$3.0 million.

The FDA approval process is extremely complicated and is dependent upon our study protocols and the results of our studies. In the event that the FDA requires additional studies for our product candidate or requires changes in our study protocols or in the event that the results of the studies are not consistent with our expectations, the process will be more expensive and time consuming. Due to the complexities of the FDA approval process, we are unable to predict what the cost of obtaining approval for our dermal product candidate will be.

LOSS FROM DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS. Discontinued operations had a loss of less than \$0.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and the three months ended March 31, 2009. Administrative costs related primarily to the Swiss operations comprised approximately less than \$0.1 million during the three months ended March 31, 2010 and the three months ended March 31, 2009.

REORGANIZATION ITEMS, NET. On June 15, 2009, Isolagen, Inc. and its wholly-owned, U.S. subsidiary Isolagen Technologies, Inc., filed voluntary petitions for relief under Chapter 11 of the federal bankruptcy laws in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware, as more fully discussed under Note 1 in the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements. A reorganization gain, net of reorganization costs, of less than \$0.1 million was recorded for the three months ended March 31, 2010, which was comprised primarily of administrative costs offset by the gain of discharge of liabilities.

INTEREST EXPENSE. Interest expense decreased \$0.8 million to \$0.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010, as compared to \$1.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009. Our 2010 interest expense is related to our \$6.0 million (in original principal amount) 12.5% notes. Our 2009 interest expense is related to our 3.5% convertible subordinated notes, of which \$89.7 million was outstanding at March 31, 2009, as well as the related amortization of deferred debt issuance costs of \$0.2 million, for the three months ended March 31, 2009. With the emergence out of bankruptcy, the 3.5% convertible subordinated notes were exchanged for \$6.0 million of debt and 3,960,000 shares of the new common stock.

NET LOSS ATTRIBUTABLE TO COMMON SHAREHOLDERS. Net loss attributable to common shareholders increased approximately \$1.6 million to a net loss of \$4.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010, as compared to a net loss of \$3.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009. This increase in loss primarily represents the recording of the revaluation of the warrant liability for the preferred stock issued in October 2009 and the warrant liability attached to the common shares issued in March 2010.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash Flows

Net cash provided by (used in) operating, investing and financing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, were as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31,				
	2010		2009		
		(in mi	llions)		
Cash flows from operating activities	\$	(2.3)	\$	(2.0)	
Cash flows from investing activities					
Cash flows from financing activities		3.4			

OPERATING ACTIVITIES. Cash used in operating activities during the three months ended March 31, 2010 amounted to \$2.3 million, an increase of \$0.3 million over the three months ended March 31, 2009. The increase in our cash used in operating activities over the prior year is primarily due to an increase in net losses (adjusted for non-cash items) of \$0.2 million, in addition to operating cash inflows from changes in operating assets and liabilities. Our negative operating cash flows for the three months ended March 31, 2010 were funded from cash on hand at December 31, 2009, which were primarily the result of previously completed debt and equity offerings as well as funds received from the secured bridge loan, DIP financing, exit financing and the funds received for the issuance of preferred stock in 2009. Funds were also received from the proceeds of the issuance of common stock in March 2010, discussed further below.

INVESTING ACTIVITIES. Less than \$0.1 million cash was provided by or used for investing activities during the three months ended March 31, 2010 and the three months ended March 31, 2009.

FINANCING ACTIVITIES. There was \$3.4 million, net of fees, cash proceeds from financing activities during the three months ended March 31, 2010, as compared to no cash received from financing activities during the three months ended March 31, 2009. In March 2010, we sold to investors in the aggregate 5,076,664 shares of Company common stock at a purchase price of \$0.75 per share. Each purchaser also received a warrant to purchase the same number of shares of common stock acquired in the offering at an exercise price of \$0.98 per share.

Working Capital

As of March 31, 2010, we had cash and cash equivalents of approximately \$2.5 million and working capital of \$2.0 million. As discussed in the above paragraph, in early March 2010, we raised approximately \$3.4 million, net of fees as a result of the issuance of common stock and warrants. We believe that our existing capital resources are adequate to sustain our operation through approximately mid-June 2010. As such, we will require additional cash resources prior to or during approximately mid-June 2010, or we will likely cease operations. Even if we are able to obtain financing prior to mid-June 2010, we will need to access the capital markets in the future in order to continue to fund future operations. There is no guarantee that any such required financing will be available on terms satisfactory to us or available at all. These matters create uncertainty relating to our ability to continue as a going concern. The accompanying consolidated financial statements do not reflect any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of assets or liabilities that might result from the outcome of these uncertainties.

Factors Affecting Our Capital Resources

Inflation did not have a significant impact on the Company s results during the three months ended March 31, 2010.

Off-Balance Sheet Transactions

We do not engage in material off-balance sheet transactions.

ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Market risk is the potential loss arising from adverse changes in market rates and prices, such as foreign currency exchange rates or interest rates.

Foreign Exchange Rate Risk

We do not believe that we have significant foreign exchange rate risk at March 31, 2010.

We do not enter into derivatives or other financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

- (a) Disclosure Controls and Procedures. Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer (the Certifying Officers), have evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act)) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, the Certifying Officers have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective for the purpose of ensuring that material information required to be in this quarterly report is made known to them by others on a timely basis and that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.
- (b) Changes in Internal Controls. There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during our most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

There have been no material changes to the Risk Factors disclosed in our December 31, 2009 Form 10-K. Investors should consider the risks and uncertainties set forth in our December 31, 2009 Form 10-K, or updates to such risks and uncertainties, prior to making an investment decision with respect to our securities.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

The information set forth in our Form 8-K filed March 3, 2010 regarding our offering of common stock and warrants during the three months ended March 31, 2010 is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

(a) Exhibits

EXHIBIT NO. IDENTIFICATION OF EXHIBIT

- 31.1 Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a), required under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 31.2 Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a), required under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.1 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.2 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

SIGNATURES

In accordance with the requirements of the Exchange Act, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Fibrocell Science, Inc.

By: /s/ Declan Daly Declan Daly Chief Financial Officer Date: May 14, 2010

42