

MACK CALI REALTY CORP

Form 10-K

February 14, 2008

---

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission File Number: 1-13274

MACK-CALI REALTY CORPORATION  
(Exact Name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

22-3305147  
(IRS Employer  
Identification No.)

343 Thornall Street, Edison, New Jersey  
(Address of principal executive offices)

08837-2206  
(Zip code)

(732) 590-1000  
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

(Title of Each Class)  
Common Stock, \$0.01 par value  
Preferred Share Purchase Rights

(Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered)  
New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:  
None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes  No

Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act. Yes \_\_\_ No X

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes X No \_\_\_

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendments to this Form 10-K. [ X ]

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "accelerated filer," "large accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check One):

Large accelerated filer X Accelerated filer \_\_\_ Non-accelerated filer \_\_\_  
Smaller reporting company \_\_\_

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.) Yes \_\_\_ No X

As of June 30, 2007, the aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was \$2,922,992,821. The aggregate market value was computed with reference to the closing price on the New York Stock Exchange on such date. This calculation does not reflect a determination that persons are affiliates for any other purpose.

As of February 8, 2008, 65,664,851 shares of common stock, \$0.01 par value, of the Company ("Common Stock") were outstanding.

LOCATION OF EXHIBIT INDEX: The index of exhibits is contained herein on page number 122.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE: Portions of the registrant's definitive proxy statement for fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 to be issued in conjunction with the registrant's annual meeting of shareholders expected to be held on May 21, 2008 are incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K. The definitive proxy statement will be filed by the registrant with the SEC not later than 120 days from the end of the registrant's fiscal year ended December 31, 2007.

## FORM 10-K

## Table of Contents

	Page No.
<b>PART I</b>	
Item 1	3
Item 1A	8
Item 1B	16
Item 2	17
Item 3	37
Item 4	37
<b>PART II</b>	
Item 5	38
Item 6	41
Item 7	42
Item 7A	61
Item 8	62
Item 9	62
Item 9A	62
Item 9B	63
<b>PART III</b>	
Item 10	63
Item 11	63
Item 12	63
Item 13	63
Item 14	63
<b>PART IV</b>	
Item 15	64
SIGNATURES	120
EXHIBIT INDEX	122



## PART I

### ITEM 1. BUSINESS

#### GENERAL

Mack-Cali Realty Corporation, a Maryland corporation (together with its subsidiaries, the “Company”), is a fully-integrated, self-administered and self-managed real estate investment trust (“REIT”) that owns and operates a real estate portfolio comprised predominantly of Class A office and office/flex properties located primarily in the Northeast. The Company performs substantially all commercial real estate leasing, management, acquisition, development and construction services on an in-house basis. Mack-Cali Realty Corporation was incorporated on May 24, 1994. The Company’s executive offices are located at 343 Thornall Street, Edison, New Jersey 08837-2206, and its telephone number is (732) 590-1000. The Company has an internet website at [www.mack-cali.com](http://www.mack-cali.com).

As of December 31, 2007, the Company owned or had interests in 294 properties, aggregating approximately 33.7 million square feet, plus developable land (collectively, the “Properties”), which are leased to approximately 2,200 tenants. The Properties are comprised of: (a) 255 wholly-owned or Company-controlled properties consisting of 150 office buildings and 95 office/flex buildings aggregating approximately 28.8 million square feet, six industrial/warehouse buildings totaling approximately 387,400 square feet, two stand-alone retail properties totaling approximately 17,300 square feet, and two land leases (collectively, the “Consolidated Properties”); and (b) 38 buildings, which are primarily office properties, aggregating approximately 4.5 million square feet, and a 350-room hotel, which are owned by unconsolidated joint ventures in which the Company has investment interests. Unless otherwise indicated, all references to square feet represent net rentable area. As of December 31, 2007, the office, office/flex, industrial/warehouse and stand-alone retail properties included in the Consolidated Properties were 92.7 percent leased. Percentage leased includes all leases in effect as of the period end date, some of which have commencement dates in the future (including, at December 31, 2007, a lease with a commencement date substantially in the future consisting of 8,590 square feet scheduled to commence in 2009), and leases that expire at the period end date. Leases that expire as of December 31, 2007 aggregate 146,261 square feet, or 0.5 percent of the net rentable square footage. The Properties are located in six states, primarily in the Northeast, and the District of Columbia. See Item 2: Properties.

The Company’s strategy has been to focus its operations, acquisition and development of office properties in high-barrier-to-entry markets and sub-markets where it believes it is, or can become, a significant and preferred owner and operator. The Company plans to continue this strategy by expanding through acquisitions and/or development in Northeast markets where it has, or can achieve, similar status. The Company believes that its Properties have excellent locations and access and are well-maintained and professionally managed. As a result, the Company believes that its Properties attract high quality tenants and achieve among the highest rental, occupancy and tenant retention rates within their markets. The Company also believes that its extensive market knowledge provides it with a significant competitive advantage, which is further enhanced by its strong reputation for, and emphasis on, delivering highly responsive, professional management services. See “Business Strategies.”

As of December 31, 2007, executive officers and directors of the Company and their affiliates owned approximately 9 percent of the Company’s outstanding shares of Common Stock (including Units redeemable into shares of Common Stock). As used herein, the term “Units” refers to limited partnership interests in Mack-Cali Realty, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership (the “Operating Partnership”) through which the Company conducts its real estate activities. The Company’s executive officers have been employed by the Company and/or its predecessor companies for an average of approximately 20 years.

## BUSINESS STRATEGIES

### Operations

Reputation: The Company has established a reputation as a highly-regarded landlord with an emphasis on delivering quality tenant services in buildings it owns and/or manages. The Company believes that its continued success depends in part on enhancing its reputation as an operator of choice, which will facilitate the retention of current tenants and the attraction of new tenants. The Company believes it provides a superior level of service to its tenants, which should in turn, allow the Company to outperform the market with respect to occupancy rates, as well as improve tenant retention.

Communication with tenants: The Company emphasizes frequent communication with tenants to ensure first-class service to the Properties. Property management personnel generally are located on site at the Properties to provide convenient access to management and to ensure that the Properties are well-maintained. Property management's primary responsibility is to ensure that buildings are operated at peak efficiency in order to meet both the Company's and tenants' needs and expectations. Property management personnel additionally budget and oversee capital improvements and building system upgrades to enhance the Properties' competitive advantages in their markets and to maintain the quality of the Company's properties.

Additionally, the Company's in-house leasing representatives develop and maintain long-term relationships with the Company's diverse tenant base and coordinate leasing, expansion, relocation and build-to-suit opportunities within the Company's portfolio. This approach allows the Company to offer office space in the appropriate size and location to current or prospective tenants in any of its sub-markets.

### Growth

The Company plans to continue to own and operate a portfolio of properties in high-barrier-to-entry markets, with a primary focus in the Northeast. The Company's primary objectives are to maximize operating cash flow and to enhance the value of its portfolio through effective management, acquisition, development and property sales strategies, as follows:

**Internal Growth:** The Company seeks to maximize the value of its existing portfolio through implementing operating strategies designed to produce the highest effective rental and occupancy rates and lowest tenant installation cost within the markets that it operates. The Company continues to pursue internal growth through re-leasing space at higher effective rents with contractual rent increases and developing or redeveloping space for its diverse base of high credit tenants, including New Cingular Wireless PCS LLC, Morgan Stanley and The United States of America - GSA. In addition, the Company seeks economies of scale through volume discounts to take advantage of its size and dominance in particular sub-markets, and operating efficiencies through the use of in-house management, leasing, marketing, financing, accounting, legal, development and construction services.

**Acquisitions:** The Company also believes that growth opportunities exist through acquiring operating properties or properties for redevelopment with attractive returns in its core Northeast sub-markets where, based on its expertise in leasing, managing and operating properties, it believes it is, or can become, a significant and preferred owner and operator. The Company intends either directly or through joint ventures to acquire, invest in or redevelop additional properties that: (i) are expected to provide attractive initial yields with potential for growth in cash flow from operations; (ii) are well-located, of high quality and competitive in their respective sub-markets; (iii) are located in its existing sub-markets or in sub-markets in which the Company can become a significant and preferred owner and operator; and (iv) it believes have been under-managed or are otherwise capable of improved performance through intensive management, capital improvements and/or leasing that should result in increased effective rental and occupancy rates.

**Development:** The Company seeks to selectively develop additional properties either directly or through joint ventures where it believes such development will result in a favorable risk-adjusted return on investment in coordination with the above operating strategies. Such development primarily will occur: (i) when leases have been executed prior to construction; (ii) in stable core Northeast sub-markets where the demand for such space exceeds available supply; and (iii) where the Company is, or can become, a significant and preferred owner and operator.

**Property Sales:** While management's principal intention is to own and operate its properties on a long-term basis, it periodically assesses the attributes of each of its properties, with a particular focus on the supply and demand fundamentals of the sub-markets in which they are located. Based on these ongoing assessments, the Company may, from time to time, decide to sell any of its properties.



#### Financial

The Company currently intends to maintain a ratio of debt-to-undepreciated assets (total debt of the Company as a percentage of total undepreciated assets) of 50 percent or less. As of December 31, 2007 and 2006, the Company's total debt constituted approximately 40.2 and 41.4 percent of total undepreciated assets of the Company, respectively. The Company has three investment grade credit ratings. Standard & Poor's Rating Services ("S&P") and Fitch, Inc. ("Fitch") have each assigned their BBB rating to existing and prospective senior unsecured debt of the Operating Partnership. S&P and Fitch have also assigned their BBB- rating to existing and prospective preferred stock offerings of the Company. Moody's Investors Service ("Moody's") has assigned its Baa2 rating to existing and prospective senior unsecured debt of the Operating Partnership and its Baa3 rating to existing and prospective preferred stock offerings of the Company. Although there is no limit in the Company's organizational documents on the amount of indebtedness that the Company may incur or a requirement for the maintenance of investment grade credit ratings, the Company has entered into certain financial agreements which contain covenants that limit the Company's ability to incur indebtedness under certain circumstances. The Company intends to conduct its operations so as to best be able to maintain its investment grade rated status. The Company intends to utilize the most appropriate sources of capital for future acquisitions, development, capital improvements and other investments, which may include funds from operating activities, proceeds from property and land sales, short-term and long-term borrowings (including draws on the Company's revolving credit facility), and the issuance of additional debt or equity securities.

## EMPLOYEES

As of December 31, 2007, the Company had approximately 485 full-time employees.

## COMPETITION

The leasing of real estate is highly competitive. The Properties compete for tenants with lessors and developers of similar properties located in their respective markets primarily on the basis of location, rent charged, services provided, and the design and condition of the Properties. The Company also experiences competition when attempting to acquire or dispose of real estate, including competition from domestic and foreign financial institutions, other REITs, life insurance companies, pension trusts, trust funds, partnerships, individual investors and others.

## REGULATIONS

Many laws and governmental regulations are applicable to the Properties and changes in these laws and regulations, or their interpretation by agencies and the courts, occur frequently.

Under various laws and regulations relating to the protection of the environment, an owner of real estate may be held liable for the costs of removal or remediation of certain hazardous or toxic substances located on or in the property. These laws often impose liability without regard to whether the owner was responsible for, or even knew of, the presence of such substances. The presence of such substances may adversely affect the owner's ability to rent or sell the property or to borrow using such property as collateral and may expose it to liability resulting from any release of, or exposure to, such substances. Persons who arrange for the disposal or treatment of hazardous or toxic substances at another location may also be liable for the costs of re-removal or remediation of such substances at the disposal or treatment facility, whether or not such facility is owned or operated by such person. Certain environmental laws impose liability for the release of asbestos-containing materials into the air, and third parties may also seek recovery from owners or operators of real properties for personal injury associated with asbestos-containing materials and other hazardous or toxic substances.

In connection with the ownership (direct or indirect), operation, management and development of real properties, the Company may be considered an owner or operator of such properties or as having arranged for the disposal or treatment of hazardous or toxic substances and, therefore, potentially liable for removal or remediation costs, as well as certain other related costs, including governmental penalties and injuries to persons and property.

There can be no assurance that (i) future laws, ordinances or regulations will not impose any material environmental liability, (ii) the current environmental condition of the Properties will not be affected by tenants, by the condition of land or operations in the vicinity of the Properties (such as the presence of underground storage tanks), or by third parties unrelated to the Company, or (iii) the Company's assessments reveal all environmental liabilities and that there are no material environmental liabilities of which the Company is aware. If compliance with the various laws and regulations, now existing or hereafter adopted, exceeds the Company's budgets for such items, the Company's ability to make expected distributions to stockholders could be adversely affected.

There are no other laws or regulations which have a material effect on the Company's operations, other than typical federal, state and local laws affecting the development and operation of real property, such as zoning laws.

## INDUSTRY SEGMENTS

The Company operates in two industry segments: (i) real estate; and (ii) construction services. As of December 31, 2007, the Company does not have any foreign operations and its business is not seasonal. In May 2006, in conjunction with the Company's acquisition of the Gale Company and related businesses, the Company acquired a business specializing solely in construction and related services whose operations comprise the Company's construction services segment. Please see our financial statements attached hereto and incorporated by reference herein for financial information relating to our industry segments.

## RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

The Company's core markets continue to be weak. The percentage leased in the Company's consolidated portfolio of stabilized operating properties was 92.7 percent at December 31, 2007 as compared to 92.0 percent at December 31, 2006 and 91.0 percent at December 31, 2005. Percentage leased includes all leases in effect as of the period end date, some of which have commencement dates in the future (including, at December 31, 2007, a lease with a commencement date substantially in the future consisting of 8,590 square feet scheduled to commence in 2009), and leases that expire at the period end date. Leases that expired as of December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 aggregate 146,261, 103,477 and 311,623 square feet, respectively, or 0.5, 0.4 and 1.1 percentage of the net rentable square footage, respectively. Rental rates on the Company's space that was re-leased (based on first rents payable) during the year ended December 31, 2007 decreased an average of 0.2 percent compared to rates that were in effect under the prior leases, as compared to a 0.2 percent decrease in 2006 and an 8.2 percent decrease in 2005. The Company believes that vacancy rates may continue to increase in some of its markets in 2008. As a result, the Company's future earnings and cash flow may continue to be negatively impacted by current market conditions.

## Property Acquisitions

The Company acquired the following office properties during the year ended December 31, 2007: (dollars in thousands)

Acquisition Date	Property/Address	Location	# of Bldgs.	Rentable Square Feet	Acquisition Cost
05/08/07	AAA Properties (a)	Hamilton Township, New Jersey	2	69,232	\$ 9,048
06/11/07	125 Broad Street	New York, New York	1	524,476	274,091
	(b) (c)				
Total Property Acquisitions:			3	593,708	\$283,139

(a) Included in this transaction was the acquisition of two parcels of developable land aggregating approximately 13 acres.

(b) Acquisition represented two units of office condominium interests, which collectively comprise floors 2 through 16, or 39.6 percent, of the 40-story, 1.2 million square-foot building.

(c) Transaction was funded primarily through borrowing on the Company's revolving credit facility.

## Properties Commencing Initial Operations

The following office property commenced initial operations during the year ended December 31, 2007 (dollars in thousands):

# of	Rentable	Investment
		by

Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Date	Property/Address	Location	Bldgs.	Square Feet	Company (a)
05/08/07	700 Horizon Drive	Hamilton Township, New Jersey	1	120,000	\$16,751
Total Properties Commencing Initial Operations:			1	120,000	\$16,751

(a) Development costs were funded primarily through borrowing on the Company's revolving credit facility. Amounts are as of December 31, 2007.

Land Acquisition

In February 2007, the Company exercised its option to acquire approximately 43 acres of land sites within its Capital Office Park complex in Greenbelt, Maryland, which is able to accommodate the development of up to 600,000 square feet of office space, for \$13 million. On May 25, 2007, the Company completed the purchase of the land for approximately \$13 million, which consisted of 114,911 common operating partnership units valued at \$5.2 million, and the remainder in cash.

Sales

The Company sold the following office properties during the year ended December 31, 2007: (dollars in thousands)

Sale Date	Property/Address	Location	# of Bldgs.	Rentable Square Feet	Net Sales Proceeds	Net Book Value	Net Realized Gain
05/10/07	1000 Bridgeport Avenue	Shelton, Connecticut	1	133,000	\$16,411	\$13,782	\$ 2,629
06/11/07	500 W. Putnam Avenue	Greenwich, Connecticut	1	121,250	54,344	18,113	36,231
07/13/07	100 & 200 Decadon Drive	Egg Harbor, New Jersey	2	80,344	11,448	5,894	5,554
Total Office Property Sales:			4	334,594	\$82,203	\$37,789	\$44,414

FINANCING ACTIVITY

On February 7, 2007, the Company completed an underwritten offer and sale of 4,650,000 shares of its common stock and used the net proceeds, which totaled approximately \$252 million (after offering costs), primarily to pay down its outstanding borrowings under the Company's revolving credit facility and for general corporate purposes.

On September 12, 2007, the Board of Directors authorized an increase to the Company's repurchase program under which the Company was permitted to purchase up to \$150 million of the Company's outstanding common stock ("Repurchase Program"). During the year ended December 31, 2007 and through February 8, 2008, the Company purchased and retired 2,893,630 shares of its outstanding common stock for an aggregate cost of approximately \$104 million. The Company has a remaining authorization to repurchase up to approximately \$46 million of its outstanding common stock, which it may repurchase from time to time in open market transactions at prevailing prices or through privately negotiated transactions.

AVAILABLE INFORMATION

The Company's internet website is [www.mack-cali.com](http://www.mack-cali.com). The Company makes available free of charge on or through its website its annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as soon as reasonably practicable after it electronically files or furnishes such materials to the Securities and Exchange Commission. In addition, the Company's internet website includes other items related to corporate governance matters, including, among other things, the Company's corporate governance guidelines, charters of various committees of the Board of Directors, and the Company's code of business conduct and ethics applicable to all employees, officers and directors. The Company intends to disclose on its internet website any amendments to or waivers from its code of business conduct and ethics as well as any amendments to its corporate governance principles or the charters of various committees of the Board of Directors. Copies of these documents may be obtained, free of charge, from our internet website. Any shareholder also may obtain copies of these documents, free of charge, by sending a request in writing to: Mack-Cali Investor Relations Department, 343 Thornall Street, Edison, NJ 08837-2206.

DISCLOSURE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

We consider portions of this report, including the documents incorporated by reference, to be forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. We intend such

forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe harbor provisions for forward-looking statements contained in Section 21E of such act. Such forward-looking statements relate to, without limitation, our future economic performance, plans and objectives for future operations and projections of revenue and other financial items. Forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of words such as “may,” “will,” “plan,” “should,” “expect,” “anticipate,” “estimate,” “continue” or comparable terminology. Forward-looking statements are inherently subject to risks and uncertainties, many of which we cannot predict with accuracy and some of which we might not even anticipate. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are based upon reasonable assumptions at the time made, we can give no assurance that such expectations will be achieved. Future events and actual results, financial and otherwise, may differ materially from the results discussed in the forward-looking statements. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements.

Among the factors about which we have made assumptions are:

- changes in the general economic climate and conditions, including those affecting industries in which our principal tenants operate;
  - the extent of any tenant bankruptcies or of any early lease terminations;
  - our ability to lease or re-lease space at current or anticipated rents;
- changes in the supply of and demand for office, office/flex and industrial/warehouse properties;
  - changes in interest rate levels;
  - changes in operating costs;
- our ability to obtain adequate insurance, including coverage for terrorist acts;
  - the availability of financing;
- changes in governmental regulation, tax rates and similar matters; and
- other risks associated with the development and acquisition of properties, including risks that the development may not be completed on schedule, that the tenants will not take occupancy or pay rent, or that development or operating costs may be greater than anticipated.

For further information on factors which could impact us and the statements contained herein, see Item 1A: Risk Factors. We assume no obligation to update and supplement forward-looking statements that become untrue because of subsequent events, new information or otherwise.

#### ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Our results from operations and ability to make distributions on our equity and debt service on our indebtedness may be affected by the risk factors set forth below. All investors should consider the following risk factors before deciding to purchase securities of the Company. The Company refers to itself as “we” or “our” in the following risk factors.

Declines in economic activities in the Northeastern office markets could adversely affect our operating results.

A majority of our revenues are derived from our properties located in the Northeast, particularly in New Jersey, New York and Pennsylvania. Adverse economic developments in this region could adversely impact the operations of our properties and, therefore, our profitability. Because our portfolio consists primarily of office and office/flex buildings (as compared to a more diversified real estate portfolio), a decline in the economy and/or a decline in the demand for office space may adversely affect our ability to make distributions or payments to our investors.

Our performance is subject to risks associated with the real estate industry.

General: Our business and our ability to make distributions or payments to our investors depend on the ability of our properties to generate funds in excess of operating expenses (including scheduled principal payments on debt and capital expenditures). Events or conditions that are beyond our control may adversely affect our operations and the value of our properties. Such events or conditions could include:

- changes in the general economic climate;

- changes in local conditions, such as an oversupply of office space, a reduction in demand for office space, or reductions in office market rental rates;
  - decreased attractiveness of our properties to tenants;
  - competition from other office and office/flex properties;
    - our inability to provide adequate maintenance;
- increased operating costs, including insurance premiums, utilities and real estate taxes, due to inflation and other factors which may not necessarily be offset by increased rents;
- changes in laws and regulations (including tax, environmental, zoning and building codes, and housing laws and regulations) and agency or court interpretations of such laws and regulations and the related costs of compliance;
  - changes in interest rate levels and the availability of financing;
  - the inability of a significant number of tenants to pay rent;
  - our inability to rent office space on favorable terms; and
- civil unrest, earthquakes, acts of terrorism and other natural disasters or acts of God that may result in uninsured losses.



We may suffer adverse consequences if our revenues decline since our operating costs do not necessarily decline in proportion to our revenue: We earn a significant portion of our income from renting our properties. Our operating costs, however, do not necessarily fluctuate in relation to changes in our rental revenue. This means that our costs will not necessarily decline even if our revenues do. Our operating costs could also increase while our revenues do not. If our operating costs increase but our rental revenues do not, we may be forced to borrow to cover our costs, we may incur losses and we may not have cash available for distributions to our stockholders.

Financially distressed tenants may be unable to pay rent: If a tenant defaults, we may experience delays and incur substantial costs in enforcing our rights as landlord and protecting our investments. If a tenant files for bankruptcy, a potential court judgment rejecting and terminating such tenant's lease could adversely affect our ability to make distributions or payments to our investors.

Renewing leases or re-letting space could be costly: If a tenant does not renew its lease upon expiration or terminates its lease early, we may not be able to re-lease the space. If a tenant does renew its lease or we re-lease the space, the terms of the renewal or new lease, including the cost of required renovations or concessions to the tenant, may be less favorable than the current lease terms, which could adversely affect our ability to make distributions or payments to our investors.

Our insurance coverage on our properties may be inadequate: We currently carry comprehensive insurance on all of our properties, including insurance for liability, fire and flood. We cannot guarantee that the limits of our current policies will be sufficient in the event of a catastrophe to our properties. We cannot guarantee that we will be able to renew or duplicate our current insurance coverage in adequate amounts or at reasonable prices. In addition, while our current insurance policies insure us against loss from terrorist acts and toxic mold, in the future, insurance companies may no longer offer coverage against these types of losses, or, if offered, these types of insurance may be prohibitively expensive. If any or all of the foregoing should occur, we may not have insurance coverage against certain types of losses and/or there may be decreases in the limits of insurance available. Should an uninsured loss or a loss in excess of our insured limits occur, we could lose all or a portion of the capital we have invested in a property or properties, as well as the anticipated future revenue from the property or properties. Nevertheless, we might remain obligated for any mortgage debt or other financial obligations related to the property or properties. We cannot guarantee that material losses in excess of insurance proceeds will not occur in the future. If any of our properties were to experience a catastrophic loss, it could seriously disrupt our operations, delay revenue and result in large expenses to repair or rebuild the property. Such events could adversely affect our ability to make distributions or payments to our investors.

Illiquidity of real estate limits our ability to act quickly: Real estate investments are relatively illiquid. Such illiquidity may limit our ability to react quickly in response to changes in economic and other conditions. If we want to sell an investment, we might not be able to dispose of that investment in the time period we desire, and the sales price of that investment might not recoup or exceed the amount of our investment. The prohibition in the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), and related regulations on a real estate investment trust holding property for sale also may restrict our ability to sell property. In addition, we acquired a significant number of our properties from individuals to whom we issued Units as part of the purchase price. In connection with the acquisition of these properties, in order to preserve such individual's income tax deferral, we contractually agreed not to sell or otherwise transfer the properties for a specified period of time, except in a manner which does not result in recognition of any built-in-gain (which may result in an income tax liability) or which reimburses the appropriate individuals for the income tax consequences of the recognition of such built-in-gains. As of December 31, 2007, 13 of our properties, with an aggregate net book value of approximately \$220.9 million, were subject to these restrictions, which expire periodically through 2016. For those properties where such restrictions have lapsed, we are generally required to use commercially reasonable efforts to prevent any sale, transfer or other disposition of the subject properties from resulting in the recognition of built-in gain to the appropriate individuals. 124 of our properties, with an aggregate net

book value of approximately \$1.9 billion, have lapsed restrictions and are subject to these conditions. The above limitations on our ability to sell our investments could adversely affect our ability to make distributions or payments to our investors.

Americans with Disabilities Act compliance could be costly: Under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (“ADA”), all public accommodations and commercial facilities must meet certain federal requirements related to access and use by disabled persons. Compliance with the ADA requirements could involve removal of structural barriers from certain disabled persons’ entrances. Other federal, state and local laws may require modifications to or restrict further renovations of our properties with respect to such accesses. Although we believe that our properties are substantially in compliance with present requirements, noncompliance with the ADA or related laws or regulations could result in the United States government imposing fines or private litigants being awarded damages against us. Such costs may adversely affect our ability to make distributions or payments to our investors.

Environmental problems are possible and may be costly: Various federal, state and local laws and regulations subject property owners or operators to liability for the costs of removal or remediation of certain hazardous or toxic substances located on or in the property. These laws often impose liability without regard to whether the owner or operator was responsible for or even knew of the presence of such substances. The presence of or failure to properly remediate hazardous or toxic substances (such as toxic mold) may adversely affect our ability to rent, sell or borrow against contaminated property and may impose liability upon us for personal injury to persons exposed to such substances. Various laws and regulations also impose liability on persons who arrange for the disposal or treatment of hazardous or toxic substances at another location for the costs of removal or remediation of such substances at the disposal or treatment facility. These laws often impose liability whether or not the person arranging for such disposal ever owned or operated the disposal facility. Certain other environmental laws and regulations impose liability on owners or operators of property for injuries relating to the release of asbestos-containing or other materials into the air, water or otherwise into the environment. As owners and operators of property and as potential arrangers for hazardous substance disposal, we may be liable under such laws and regulations for removal or remediation costs, governmental penalties, property damage, personal injuries and related expenses. Payment of such costs and expenses could adversely affect our ability to make distributions or payments to our investors.

Competition for acquisitions may result in increased prices for properties: We plan to acquire additional properties in New Jersey, New York and Pennsylvania and in the Northeast generally. We may be competing for investment opportunities with entities that have greater financial resources. Several office building developers and real estate companies may compete with us in seeking properties for acquisition, land for development and prospective tenants. Such competition may adversely affect our ability to make distributions or payments to our investors by:

- reducing the number of suitable investment opportunities offered to us;
  - increasing the bargaining power of property owners;
  - interfering with our ability to attract and retain tenants;
- increasing vacancies which lowers market rental rates and limits our ability to negotiate rental rates; and/or
  - adversely affecting our ability to minimize expenses of operation.

New acquisitions may fail to perform as expect: We may require new office properties, assuming we are able to obtain capital on favorable terms. Such newly acquired properties may not perform as expected. Inaccurate assumptions regarding future rental or occupancy rates could result in overly optimistic estimates of future revenues. In addition, future operating expenses or the costs necessary to bring an acquired property up to standards established for its intended market position may be underestimated.

Development of real estate could be costly: As part of our operating strategy, we may acquire land for development or construct on owned land, under certain conditions. Included among the risks of the real estate development business are the following, which may adversely affect our ability to make distributions or payments to our investors:



- financing for development projects may not be available on favorable terms;
- long-term financing may not be available upon completion of construction; and
- failure to complete construction on schedule or within budget may increase debt service expense and construction costs.

Property ownership through joint ventures could subject us to the contrary business objectives of our co-venturers: We, from time to time, invest in joint ventures or partnerships in which we do not hold a controlling interest in the assets underlying the entities in which we invest, including joint ventures in which (i) we own a direct interest in an entity which controls such assets, or (ii) we own a direct interest in an equity which owns indirect interests, through one or more intermediaries, of such assets. These investments involve risks that do not exist with properties in which we own a controlling interest with respect to the underlying assets, including the possibility that our co-venturers or partners may, at any time, have business, economic or other objectives that are inconsistent with our objectives. Because we lack a controlling interest, our co-venturers or partners may be in a position to take action contrary to our instructions or requests or contrary to our policies or objectives. While we seek protective rights against such contrary actions, there can be no assurance that we will be successful in procuring any such protective rights, or if procured, that the rights will be sufficient to fully protect us against contrary actions. Our organizational documents do not limit the amount of available funds that we may invest in joint ventures or partnerships. If the objectives of our co-venturers or partners are inconsistent with ours, it may adversely affect our ability to make distributions or payments to our investors.

Our real estate construction management activities are subject to risks particular to third-party construction projects. As a result of the Gale/Green Transactions, we now perform fixed price construction services for third parties and we are subject to a variety of risks unique to these activities. If construction costs of a project exceed original estimates, such costs may have to be absorbed by us, thereby making the project less profitable than originally estimated, or possibly not profitable at all. In addition, a construction project may be delayed due to government or regulatory approvals, supply shortages, or other events and circumstances beyond our control, or the time required to complete a construction project may be greater than originally anticipated. If any such excess costs or project delays were to be material, such events may adversely effect our cash flow and liquidity and thereby impact our ability to pay dividends or make distributions to our investors.

Debt financing could adversely affect our economic performance.

Scheduled debt payments and refinancing could adversely affect our financial condition: We are subject to the risks normally associated with debt financing. These risks, including the following, may adversely affect our ability to make distributions or payments to our investors:

- our cash flow may be insufficient to meet required payments of principal and interest;
- payments of principal and interest on borrowings may leave us with insufficient cash resources to pay operating expenses;
  - we may not be able to refinance indebtedness on our properties at maturity; and
- if refinanced, the terms of refinancing may not be as favorable as the original terms of the related indebtedness.

As of December 31, 2007, we had total outstanding indebtedness of \$2.2 billion comprised of \$1.6 billion of senior unsecured notes, outstanding borrowings of \$250 million under our \$775 million revolving credit facility and approximately \$329 million of mortgage loans payable and other obligations indebtedness. We may have to refinance the principal due on our current or future indebtedness at maturity, and we may not be able to do so.

If we are unable to refinance our indebtedness on acceptable terms, or at all, events or conditions that may adversely affect our ability to make distributions or payments to our investors include the following:

- we may need to dispose of one or more of our properties upon disadvantageous terms;
- prevailing interest rates or other factors at the time of refinancing could increase interest rates and, therefore, our interest expense;
- if we mortgage property to secure payment of indebtedness and are unable to meet mortgage payments, the mortgagee could foreclose upon such property or appoint a receiver to receive an assignment of our rents and leases; and
- foreclosures upon mortgaged property could create taxable income without accompanying cash proceeds and, therefore, hinder our ability to meet the real estate investment trust distribution requirements of the Internal Revenue Code.

We are obligated to comply with financial covenants in our indebtedness that could restrict our range of operating activities: The mortgages on our properties contain customary negative covenants, including limitations on our ability, without the prior consent of the lender, to further mortgage the property, to enter into new leases outside of stipulated guidelines or to materially modify existing leases. In addition, our credit facility contains customary requirements, including restrictions and other limitations on our ability to incur debt, debt to assets ratios, secured debt to total assets ratios, interest coverage ratios and minimum ratios of unencumbered assets to unsecured debt. The indentures under which our senior unsecured debt have been issued contain financial and operating covenants including coverage ratios and limitations on our ability to incur secured and unsecured debt. These covenants limit our flexibility in conducting our operations and create a risk of default on our indebtedness if we cannot continue to satisfy them.

Rising interest rates may adversely affect our cash flow: As of December 31, 2007, outstanding borrowings of approximately \$250 million under our revolving credit facility bear interest at variable rates. We may incur additional indebtedness in the future that also bears interest at variable rates. Variable rate debt creates higher debt service requirements if market interest rates increase. Higher debt service requirements could adversely affect our ability to make distributions or payments to our investors and/or cause us to default under certain debt covenants.

Our degree of leverage could adversely affect our cash flow: We fund acquisition opportunities and development partially through short-term borrowings (including our revolving credit facility), as well as from proceeds from property sales and undistributed cash. We expect to refinance projects purchased with short-term debt either with long-term indebtedness or equity financing depending upon the economic conditions at the time of refinancing. Our Board of Directors has a general policy of limiting the ratio of our indebtedness to total undepreciated assets (total debt as a percentage of total undepreciated assets) to 50 percent or less, although there is no limit in Mack-Cali Realty, L.P.'s or our organizational documents on the amount of indebtedness that we may incur. However, we have entered into certain financial agreements which contain financial and operating covenants that limit our ability under certain circumstances to incur additional secured and unsecured indebtedness. The Board of Directors could alter or eliminate its current policy on borrowing at any time at its discretion. If this policy were changed, we could become more highly leveraged, resulting in an increase in debt service that could adversely affect our cash flow and our ability to make distributions or payments to our investors and/or could cause an increased risk of default on our obligations.

We are dependent on external sources of capital for future growth: To qualify as a real estate investment trust, we must distribute to our shareholders each year at least 90 percent of our net taxable income, excluding any net capital gain. Because of this distribution requirement, it is not likely that we will be able to fund all future capital needs, including for acquisitions and developments, from income from operations. Therefore, we will have to rely on third-party sources of capital, which may or may not be available on favorable terms or at all. Our access to third-party sources of capital depends on a number of things, including the market's perception of our growth potential and our current and potential future earnings. Moreover, additional equity offerings may result in substantial dilution of our shareholders' interests, and additional debt financing may substantially increase our leverage.

Competition for skilled personnel could increase our labor costs.

We compete with various other companies in attracting and retaining qualified and skilled personnel. We depend on our ability to attract and retain skilled management personnel who are responsible for the day-to-day operations of our company. Competitive pressures may require that we enhance our pay and benefits package to compete effectively for such personnel. We may not be able to offset such added costs by increasing the rates we charge our tenants. If there is an increase in these costs or if we fail to attract and retain qualified and skilled personnel, our business and operating results could be harmed.

We are dependent on our key personnel whose continued service is not guaranteed.

We are dependent upon our executive officers for strategic business direction and real estate experience. While we believe that we could find replacements for these key personnel, loss of their services could adversely affect our

operations. We have entered into an employment agreement (including non-competition provisions) which provides for a continuous four-year employment term with each of Mitchell E. Hersh, Barry Lefkowitz and Roger W. Thomas, a continuous one-year employment term with Michael A. Grossman, and a three-year employment term with Mark Yeager which, as of May 9, 2009, shall convert to a continuous one-year employment term. We do not have key man life insurance for our executive officers.



Certain provisions of Maryland law and our charter and bylaws as well as our stockholder rights plan could hinder, delay or prevent changes in control.

Certain provisions of Maryland law, our charter and our bylaws, as well as our stockholder rights plan have the effect of discouraging, delaying or preventing transactions that involve an actual or threatened change in control. These provisions include the following:

**Classified Board of Directors:** Our Board of Directors is divided into three classes with staggered terms of office of three years each. The classification and staggered terms of office of our directors make it more difficult for a third party to gain control of our board of directors. At least two annual meetings of stockholders, instead of one, generally would be required to affect a change in a majority of the board of directors.

**Removal of Directors:** Under our charter, subject to the rights of one or more classes or series of preferred stock to elect one or more directors, a director may be removed only for cause and only by the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of all votes entitled to be cast by our stockholders generally in the election of directors. Neither the Maryland General Corporation Law nor our charter define the term “cause.” As a result, removal for “cause” is subject to Maryland common law and to judicial interpretation and review in the context of the facts and circumstances of any particular situation.

**Number of Directors, Board Vacancies, Terms of Office:** We have, in our bylaws, elected to be subject to certain provisions of Maryland law which vest in the Board of Directors the exclusive right to determine the number of directors and the exclusive right, by the affirmative vote of a majority of the remaining directors, even if the remaining directors do not constitute a quorum, to fill vacancies on the board. These provisions of Maryland law, which are applicable even if other provisions of Maryland law or the charter or bylaws provide to the contrary, also provide that any director elected to fill a vacancy shall hold office for the remainder of the full term of the class of directors in which the vacancy occurred, rather than the next annual meeting of stockholders as would otherwise be the case, and until his or her successor is elected and qualifies.

**Stockholder Requested Special Meetings:** Our bylaws provide that our stockholders have the right to call a special meeting only upon the written request of the stockholders entitled to cast not less than a majority of all the votes entitled to be cast by the stockholders at such meeting.

**Advance Notice Provisions for Stockholder Nominations and Proposals:** Our bylaws require advance written notice for stockholders to nominate persons for election as directors at, or to bring other business before, any meeting of stockholders. This bylaw provision limits the ability of stockholders to make nominations of persons for election as directors or to introduce other proposals unless we are notified in a timely manner prior to the meeting.

**Exclusive Authority of the Board to Amend the Bylaws:** Our bylaws provide that our board of directors has the exclusive power to adopt, alter or repeal any provision of the bylaws or to make new bylaws. Thus, our stockholders may not effect any changes to our bylaws.

**Preferred Stock:** Under our charter, our Board of Directors has authority to issue preferred stock from time to time in one or more series and to establish the terms, preferences and rights of any such series of preferred stock, all without approval of our stockholders.

**Duties of Directors with Respect to Unsolicited Takeovers:** Maryland law provides protection for Maryland corporations against unsolicited takeovers by limiting, among other things, the duties of the directors in unsolicited takeover situations. The duties of directors of Maryland corporations do not require them to (a) accept, recommend or respond to any proposal by a person seeking to acquire control of the corporation, (b) authorize the corporation to redeem any rights under, or modify or render inapplicable, any stockholders rights plan, (c) make a determination

under the Maryland Business Combination Act or the Maryland Control Share Acquisition Act, or (d) act or fail to act solely because of the effect of the act or failure to act may have on an acquisition or potential acquisition of control of the corporation or the amount or type of consideration that may be offered or paid to the stockholders in an acquisition. Moreover, under Maryland law, the act of a director of a Maryland corporation relating to or affecting an acquisition or potential acquisition of control is not subject to any higher duty or greater scrutiny than is applied to any other act of a director. Maryland law also contains a statutory presumption that an act of a director of a Maryland corporation satisfies the applicable standards of conduct for directors under Maryland law.

**Ownership Limit:** In order to preserve our status as a real estate investment trust under the Code, our charter generally prohibits any single stockholder, or any group of affiliated stockholders, from beneficially owning more than 9.8 percent of our outstanding capital stock unless our Board of Directors waives or modifies this ownership limit.

**Maryland Business Combination Act:** The Maryland Business Combination Act provides that unless exempted, a Maryland corporation may not engage in business combinations, including mergers, dispositions of 10 percent or more of its assets, certain issuances of shares of stock and other specified transactions, with an “interested stockholder” or an affiliate of an interested stockholder, for five years after the most recent date on which the interested stockholder became an interested stockholder, and thereafter unless specified criteria are met. An interested stockholder is generally a person owning or controlling, directly or indirectly, 10 percent or more of the voting power of the outstanding stock of the Maryland corporation. Our board of directors has exempted from this statute business combinations between the Company and certain affiliated individuals and entities. However, unless our board adopts other exemptions, the provisions of the Maryland Business Combination Act will be applicable to business combinations with other persons.

**Maryland Control Share Acquisition Act:** Maryland law provides that “control shares” of a corporation acquired in a “control share acquisition” shall have no voting rights except to the extent approved by a vote of two-thirds of the votes eligible to cast on the matter under the Maryland Control Share Acquisition Act. “Control Shares” means shares of stock that, if aggregated with all other shares of stock previously acquired by the acquirer, would entitle the acquirer to exercise voting power in electing directors within one of the following ranges of the voting power: one-tenth or more but less than one-third, one-third or more but less than a majority or a majority or more of all voting power. A “control share acquisition” means the acquisition of control shares, subject to certain exceptions.

If voting rights of control shares acquired in a control share acquisition are not approved at a stockholder’s meeting, then subject to certain conditions and limitations, the issuer may redeem any or all of the control shares for fair value. If voting rights of such control shares are approved at a stockholder’s meeting and the acquirer becomes entitled to vote a majority of the shares of stock entitled to vote, all other stockholders may exercise appraisal rights. Our bylaws contain a provision exempting from the Maryland Control Share Acquisition Act any acquisitions of shares by certain affiliated individuals and entities, any directors, officers or employees of the Company and any person approved by the board of directors prior to the acquisition by such person of control shares. Any control shares acquired in a control share acquisition which are not exempt under the foregoing provisions of our bylaws will be subject to the Maryland Control Share Acquisition Act.

**Stockholder Rights Plan:** We have adopted a stockholder rights plan that may discourage any potential acquirer from acquiring more than 15 percent of our outstanding common stock since, upon this type of acquisition without approval of our board of directors, all other common stockholders will have the right to purchase a specified amount of common stock at a substantial discount from market price.

Consequences of failure to qualify as a real estate investment trust could adversely affect our financial condition. Failure to maintain ownership limits could cause us to lose our qualification as a real estate investment trust: In order for us to maintain our qualification as a real estate investment trust, not more than 50 percent in value of our outstanding stock may be actually and/or constructively owned by five or fewer individuals (as defined in the Code to include certain entities). We have limited the ownership of our outstanding shares of our common stock by any single stockholder to 9.8 percent of the outstanding shares of our common stock. Our Board of Directors could waive this restriction if they were satisfied, based upon the advice of tax counsel or otherwise, that such action would be in our best interests and would not affect our qualification as a real estate investment trust. Common stock acquired or transferred in breach of the limitation may be redeemed by us for the lesser of the price paid and the average closing price for the 10 trading days immediately preceding redemption or sold at the direction of us. We may elect to redeem such shares of common stock for Units, which are nontransferable except in very limited circumstances. Any transfer

of shares of common stock which, as a result of such transfer, causes us to be in violation of any ownership limit, will be deemed void. Although we currently intend to continue to operate in a manner which will enable us to continue to qualify as a real estate investment trust, it is possible that future economic, market, legal, tax or other considerations may cause our Board of Directors to revoke the election for us to qualify as a real estate investment trust. Under our organizational documents, our Board of Directors can make such revocation without the consent of our stockholders.

In addition, the consent of the holders of at least 85 percent of Mack-Cali Realty, L.P.'s partnership units is required: (i) to merge (or permit the merger of) us with another unrelated person, pursuant to a transaction in which Mack-Cali Realty, L.P. is not the surviving entity; (ii) to dissolve, liquidate or wind up Mack-Cali Realty, L.P.; or (iii) to convey or otherwise transfer all or substantially all of Mack-Cali Realty, L.P.'s assets. As of February 8, 2008, as general partner, we own approximately 81.4 percent of Mack-Cali Realty, L.P.'s outstanding common partnership units.

**Tax liabilities as a consequence of failure to qualify as a real estate investment trust:** We have elected to be treated and have operated so as to qualify as a real estate investment trust for federal income tax purposes since our taxable year ended December 31, 1994. Although we believe we will continue to operate in such manner, we cannot guarantee that we will do so. Qualification as a real estate investment trust involves the satisfaction of various requirements (some on an annual and some on a quarterly basis) established under highly technical and complex tax provisions of the Internal Revenue Code. Because few judicial or administrative interpretations of such provisions exist and qualification determinations are fact sensitive, we cannot assure you that we will qualify as a real estate investment trust for any taxable year.

If we fail to qualify as a real estate investment trust in any taxable year, we will be subject to the following:

- we will not be allowed a deduction for dividends paid to shareholders;
- we will be subject to federal income tax at regular corporate rates, including any alternative minimum tax, if applicable; and
- unless we are entitled to relief under certain statutory provisions, we will not be permitted to qualify as a real estate investment trust for the four taxable years following the year during which we were disqualified.

A loss of our status as a real estate investment trust could have an adverse effect on us. Failure to qualify as a real estate investment trust also would eliminate the requirement that we pay dividends to our stockholders.

**Other tax liabilities:** Even if we qualify as a real estate investment trust, we are subject to certain federal, state and local taxes on our income and property and, in some circumstances, certain other state and local taxes. In addition, our taxable REIT subsidiaries will be subject to federal, state and local income tax for income received in connection with certain non-customary services performed for tenants and/or third parties.

**Risk of changes in the tax law applicable to real estate investment trusts:** Since the Internal Revenue Service, the United States Treasury Department and Congress frequently review federal income tax legislation, we cannot predict whether, when or to what extent new federal tax laws, regulations, interpretations or rulings will be adopted. Any of such legislative action may prospectively or retroactively modify our and Mack-Cali Realty, L.P.'s tax treatment and, therefore, may adversely affect taxation of us, Mack-Cali Realty, L.P., and/or our investors.

**Changes in market conditions could adversely affect the market price of our common stock.**

As with other publicly traded equity securities, the value of our common stock depends on various market conditions, which may change from time to time. Among the market conditions that may affect the value of our common stock are the following:

- the general reputation of REITs and the attractiveness of our equity securities in comparison to other equity securities, including securities issued by other real estate-based companies;
  - our financial performance; and
- the extent of your interest in us;
- general stock and bond market conditions.



The market value of our common stock is based primarily upon the market's perception of our growth potential and our current and potential future earnings and cash dividends. Consequently, our common stock may trade at prices that are higher or lower than our net asset value per share of common stock.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

16

---

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

PROPERTY LIST

As of December 31, 2007, the Company's Consolidated Properties consisted of 251 in-service office, office/flex and industrial/warehouse properties, as well as two stand-alone retail properties and two land leases. The Consolidated Properties are located primarily in the Northeast. The Consolidated Properties are easily accessible from major thoroughfares and are in close proximity to numerous amenities. The Consolidated Properties contain a total of approximately 29.2 million square feet, with the individual properties ranging from 6,216 to 1,246,283 square feet. The Consolidated Properties, managed by on-site employees, generally have attractively landscaped sites and atriums in addition to quality design and construction. The Company's tenants include many service sector employers, including a large number of professional firms and national and international businesses. The Company believes that all of its properties are well-maintained and do not require significant capital improvements.



Office  
Properties

Property Location	Year Built	Net Rentable Area (Sq. Ft.)	Percentage Leased as of 12/31/07 (%) (a)	2007 Base Rent (\$000's) (b) (c)	2007 Percentage of Total 2007 Base Rent (\$) (%) (c)	2007 Average Base Rent Per Sq. Ft. (d)	2007
							Average Effective Rent Per Sq. Ft. (e)
<b>NEW JERSEY</b>							
<b>Bergen County</b>							
<b>Fair Lawn</b>							
17-17 Route 208 North	1987	143,000	68.6	3,144	0.55	32.05	27.79
<b>Fort Lee</b>							
One Bridge Plaza	1981	200,000	72.7	2,784	0.48	19.15	17.75
2115 Linwood Avenue	1981	68,000	40.2	865	0.15	31.64	30.40
<b>Little Ferry</b>							
200 Riser Road	1974	286,628	100.0	2,071	0.36	7.23	6.67
<b>Montvale</b>							
95 Chestnut Ridge Road	1975	47,700	100.0	796	0.14	16.69	15.28
135 Chestnut Ridge Road	1981	66,150	100.0	1,520	0.26	22.98	19.09
<b>Paramus</b>							
15 East Midland Avenue	1988	259,823	80.5	5,235	0.91	25.03	24.27
140 East Ridgewood Avenue	1981	239,680	98.4	4,846	0.84	20.55	18.73
461 From Road	1988	253,554	98.6	6,125	1.06	24.50	24.42
650 From Road	1978	348,510	92.2	7,786	1.36	24.23	21.42
61 South Paramus Avenue	1985	269,191	100.0	7,208	1.25	26.78	23.99
<b>Ridgefield Park</b>							
105 Challenger Road	1992	150,050	87.5	4,250	0.74	32.37	29.72
<b>Rochelle Park</b>							
120 Passaic Street	1972	52,000	99.6	1,402	0.24	27.07	25.53
365 West Passaic Street	1976	212,578	100.0	4,473	0.78	21.04	18.78
395 West Passaic Street	1979	100,589	96.9	2,217	0.39	22.75	19.64
<b>Upper Saddle River</b>							
1 Lake Street	1973/94	474,801	100.0	7,465	1.30	15.72	15.72
10 Mountainview Road	1986	192,000	98.2	4,328	0.75	22.95	20.84
<b>Woodcliff Lake</b>							
400 Chestnut Ridge Road	1982	89,200	100.0	1,950	0.34	21.86	16.32
470 Chestnut Ridge Road	1987	52,500	100.0	1,107	0.19	21.09	19.83
530 Chestnut Ridge Road	1986	57,204	100.0	1,199	0.21	20.96	20.40
50 Tice Boulevard	1984	235,000	98.7	6,140	1.07	26.47	23.93
300 Tice Boulevard	1991	230,000	99.8	5,880	1.02	25.62	23.18

Burlington County

Moorestown

224 Strawbridge Drive	1984	74,000	98.4	1,521	0.26	20.89	18.35
228 Strawbridge Drive	1984	74,000	100.0	1,043	0.18	14.09	12.11
232 Strawbridge Drive	1986	74,258	98.8	1,461	0.25	19.91	16.22

Essex County

Millburn

150 J.F. Kennedy Parkway	1980	247,476	100.0	7,539	1.31	30.46	26.58
--------------------------	------	---------	-------	-------	------	-------	-------

Office  
Properties  
(Continued)

Property Location	Year Built	Net Rentable Area (Sq. Ft.)	Percentage Leased as of 12/31/07 (%) (a)	2007	2007	2007	
				Base Rent (\$000's) (b) (c)	Percentage of Total 2007 Base Rent (%) (%) (c)	Average Base Rent Per Sq. Ft. (d) (\$)	Average Effective Rent Per Sq. Ft. (e) (\$)
<b>Roseland</b>							
101 Eisenhower Parkway	1980	237,000	95.8	5,642	0.98	24.85	22.33
103 Eisenhower Parkway	1985	151,545	78.6	2,934	0.51	24.63	21.53
105 Eisenhower Parkway	2001	220,000	91.9	4,636	0.81	22.93	17.23
<b>Hudson County</b>							
<b>Jersey City</b>							
Harborside Financial Center Plaza 1	1983	400,000	100.0	10,016	1.74	25.04	22.48
Harborside Financial Center Plaza 2	1990	761,200	100.0	18,987	3.30	24.94	22.12
Harborside Financial Center Plaza 3	1990	725,600	99.1	17,983	3.12	25.01	22.16
Harborside Financial Center Plaza 4-A	2000	207,670	97.7	6,206	1.08	30.59	26.31
Harborside Financial Center Plaza 5	2002	977,225	99.9	34,428	5.99	35.27	29.08
101 Hudson Street	1992	1,246,283	99.2	26,528	4.61	21.46	18.80
<b>Mercer County</b>							
<b>Hamilton Township</b>							
3 AAA Drive (f)	1981	35,270	62.6	124	0.02	8.61	8.06
2 South Gold Drive (f)	1974	33,962	64.5	258	0.04	18.06	17.22
600 Horizon Drive	2002	95,000	100.0	1,373	0.24	14.45	14.45
700 Horizon Drive (f)	2007	120,000	100.0	1,593	0.28	20.36	19.22
<b>Princeton</b>							
103 Carnegie Center	1984	96,000	72.5	1,837	0.32	26.39	21.98
3 Independence Way	1983	111,300	50.7	1,102	0.19	19.53	15.40
100 Overlook Center	1988	149,600	100.0	4,859	0.84	32.48	28.36
5 Vaughn Drive	1987	98,500	100.0	2,391	0.42	24.27	21.43
<b>Middlesex County</b>							
<b>East Brunswick</b>							
377 Summerhill Road Edison	1977	40,000	100.0	353	0.06	8.83	8.65

Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

343 Thornall Street (c) Piscataway	1991	195,709	100.0	4,008	0.70	20.48	17.58
30 Knightsbridge Road, Bldg 3	1977	160,000					
			100.0	2,465	0.43	15.41	15.41
30 Knightsbridge Road, Bldg 4	1977	115,000					
			100.0	1,771	0.31	15.40	15.40
30 Knightsbridge Road, Bldg 5	1977	332,607					
			62.9	2,437	0.42	11.65	8.30
30 Knightsbridge Road, Bldg 6	1977	72,743					
			63.8	172	0.03	3.71	1.90
Plainsboro							
500 College Road East Woodbridge	1984	158,235	95.7	4,261	0.74	28.14	25.91
581 Main Street	1991	200,000	100.0	5,268	0.92	26.34	23.15
Monmouth County							
Freehold							
2 Paragon Way	1989	44,524	38.1	374	0.06	22.05	13.85
3 Paragon Way	1991	66,898	100.0	785	0.14	11.73	9.55
4 Paragon Way	2002	63,989	100.0	1,097	0.19	17.14	12.96
100 Willbowbrook Holmdel	1988	60,557	74.8	880	0.15	19.43	16.62
23 Main Street	1977	350,000	100.0	4,012	0.70	11.46	8.64

Office  
Properties  
(Continued)

Property Location	Year Built	Net Rentable Area (Sq. Ft.)	Percentage	2007	2007	2007
			Leased as of 12/31/07 (%) (a)	Base Rent (\$000's) (b) (c)	Percentage of Total 2007 Base Rent (%)	Average Base Rent Per Sq. Ft. (c) (d)
<b>Middletown</b>						
One River Center Bldg 1	1983	122,594	100.0	3,097	0.54	21.22
One River Center Bldg 2	1983	120,360	100.0	2,874	0.50	22.75
One River Center Bldg 3	1984	214,518	93.6	4,593	0.80	22.57
<b>Neptune</b>						
3600 Route 66	1989	180,000	100.0	2,400	0.42	12.06
<b>Wall Township</b>						
1305 Campus Parkway	1988	23,350	77.3	443	0.08	23.66
1350 Campus Parkway	1990	79,747	91.9	1,571	0.27	18.83
<b>Morris County</b>						
<b>Florham Park</b>						
325 Columbia Turnpike	1987	168,144	96.1	4,005	0.70	21.96
<b>Morris Plains</b>						
250 Johnson Road	1977	75,000	100.0	1,579	0.27	18.47
201 Littleton Road	1979	88,369	88.6	1,750	0.30	19.92
<b>Morris Township</b>						
412 Mt. Kemble Avenue	1986	475,100	36.7	2,664	0.46	12.78
<b>Parsippany</b>						
4 Campus Drive	1983	147,475	94.3	3,298	0.57	21.01
6 Campus Drive	1983	148,291	75.1	2,422	0.42	17.94
7 Campus Drive	1982	154,395	67.8	979	0.17	8.75
8 Campus Drive	1987	215,265	100.0	6,249	1.09	25.66
9 Campus Drive	1983	156,495	93.6	3,483	0.61	19.93
4 Century Drive	1981	100,036	78.9	1,641	0.29	18.70
5 Century Drive	1981	79,739	97.3	1,293	0.22	16.54
6 Century Drive	1981	100,036	72.4	1,351	0.23	11.87
2 Dryden Way	1990	6,216	100.0	96	0.02	15.44
4 Gatehall Drive	1988	248,480	89.6	5,655	0.98	22.66
2 Hilton Court	1991	181,592	100.0	4,224	0.73	20.58
1633 Littleton Road	1978	57,722	100.0	1,131	0.20	19.59
600 Parsippany Road	1978	96,000	92.4	1,596	0.28	13.96
1 Sylvan Way	1989	150,557	100.0	3,621	0.63	21.41
5 Sylvan Way	1989	151,383	100.0	4,157	0.72	24.69
7 Sylvan Way	1987	145,983	100.0	3,219	0.56	19.29
35 Waterview Boulevard	1990	172,498	96.2	4,460	0.78	24.03

Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

5 Wood Hollow Road	1979	317,040	96.7	6,118	1.07	19.96	17.10
Passaic County							
Clifton							
777 Passaic Avenue	1983	75,000	100.0	1,627	0.28	21.69	19.53
Totowa							
999 Riverview Drive	1988	56,066	100.0	1,084	0.19	19.33	17.21
Somerset County							
Basking Ridge							
222 Mt. Airy Road	1986	49,000	60.7	615	0.11	20.68	15.53
233 Mt. Airy Road	1987	66,000	100.0	1,315	0.23	19.92	16.71

Office  
Properties  
(Continued)

Property Location	Year Built	Net Rentable Area (Sq. Ft.)	Percentage Leased as of 12/31/07 (%) (a)	2007	2007	2007	
				Base Rent (\$000's) (b) (c)	Percentage of Total Base Rent (%) (d)	Average Base Rent Per Sq. Ft. (e)	Average Effective Rent Per Sq. Ft. (f)
<b>Bernards</b>							
106 Allen Road	2000	132,010	96.2	3,149	0.55	24.80	18.74
<b>Bridgewater</b>							
721 Route 202/206	1989	192,741	81.2	3,817	0.66	24.39	22.93
<b>Union County</b>							
<b>Clark</b>							
100 Walnut Avenue	1985	182,555	98.5	4,624	0.80	25.72	22.67
<b>Cranford</b>							
6 Commerce Drive	1973	56,000	84.1	1,029	0.18	21.85	19.05
11 Commerce Drive	1981	90,000	71.3	1,389	0.24	21.65	18.73
12 Commerce Drive	1967	72,260	95.1	967	0.17	14.07	11.54
14 Commerce Drive	1971	67,189	64.3	1,031	0.18	23.86	23.12
20 Commerce Drive	1990	176,600	99.8	4,531	0.79	25.71	22.60
25 Commerce Drive	1971	67,749	90.1	1,333	0.23	21.84	20.30
<b>65 Jackson Drive</b>							
1984	82,778	97.5	1,857	0.32	23.01	19.99	
<b>New Providence</b>							
890 Mountain Avenue	1977	80,000	95.1	1,797	0.31	23.62	22.31
<b>Total New Jersey Office</b>		<b>17,646,642</b>	<b>92.4</b>	<b>373,269</b>	<b>64.88</b>	<b>22.94</b>	<b>20.22</b>
<b>NEW YORK</b>							
<b>New York County</b>							
<b>New York</b>							
125 Broad Street (f)	1970	524,476	100.0	11,579	2.02	39.50	35.69
<b>Rockland County</b>							
<b>Suffern</b>							
400 Rella Boulevard	1988	180,000	91.4	3,752	0.65	22.81	19.18
<b>Westchester County</b>							
<b>Elmsford</b>							
100 Clearbrook Road (c)	1975	60,000	94.4	1,083	0.19	19.12	17.39
101 Executive Boulevard	1971	50,000	43.0	513	0.09	23.86	21.77
555 Taxter Road	1986	170,554	100.0	4,263	0.74	25.00	20.87

Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

565 Taxter Road	1988	170,554	98.8	4,138	0.72	24.56	20.37
570 Taxter Road	1972	75,000	77.8	1,648	0.29	28.24	26.24
Hawthorne							
1 Skyline Drive	1980	20,400	99.0	340	0.06	16.84	15.70
2 Skyline Drive	1987	30,000	98.9	490	0.09	16.51	14.19
7 Skyline Drive	1987	109,000	98.7	2,570	0.45	23.89	21.90
17 Skyline Drive	1989	85,000	100.0	871	0.15	10.25	10.15
19 Skyline Drive	1982	248,400	100.0	4,471	0.78	18.00	16.80



Office  
Properties  
(Continued)

Property Location	Year Built	Net Rentable Area (Sq. Ft.)	Percentage	2007	2007	2007
			Leased as of 12/31/07 (%) (a)	Base Rent (\$000's) (b) (c)	Percentage of Total 2007 Base Rent (%)	2007 Average Base Rent Per Sq. Ft. (c) (d)
<b>Tarrytown</b>						
200 White Plains Road	1982	89,000	99.3	1,905	0.33	19.38
220 White Plains Road	1984	89,000	95.9	2,052	0.36	21.56
<b>White Plains</b>						
1 Barker Avenue	1975	68,000	97.3	1,749	0.30	24.67
3 Barker Avenue	1983	65,300	100.0	1,659	0.29	23.29
50 Main Street	1985	309,000	99.1	9,438	1.65	28.01
11 Martine Avenue	1987	180,000	85.6	4,599	0.80	26.90
1 Water Street	1979	45,700	100.0	1,177	0.20	22.30
<b>Yonkers</b>						
1 Executive Boulevard	1982	112,000	100.0	2,848	0.49	22.19
3 Executive Boulevard	1987	58,000	100.0	1,507	0.26	22.66
<b>Total New York Office</b>		<b>2,739,384</b>	<b>96.2</b>	<b>62,652</b>	<b>10.91</b>	<b>24.37</b>
<b>PENNSYLVANIA</b>						
<b>Chester County</b>						
<b>Berwyn</b>						
1000 Westlakes Drive	1989	60,696	95.7	1,589	0.28	18.69
1055 Westlakes Drive	1990	118,487	96.8	2,622	0.46	26.20
1205 Westlakes Drive	1988	130,265	84.7	2,464	0.43	19.25
1235 Westlakes Drive	1986	134,902	97.7	2,951	0.51	18.41
<b>Delaware County</b>						
<b>Lester</b>						
100 Stevens Drive	1986	95,000	100.0	2,551	0.44	24.82
200 Stevens Drive	1987	208,000	100.0	5,656	0.98	25.52
300 Stevens Drive	1992	68,000	89.6	1,519	0.26	20.09
<b>Media</b>						
1400 Providence Road - Center I	1986	100,000	95.4	2,072	0.36	19.73
1400 Providence Road - Center II	1990	160,000	94.0	3,394	0.59	19.24
<b>Montgomery County</b>						

Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Bala Cynwyd							
150 Monument Road	1981	125,783	99.9	2,946	0.51	23.44	21.30
Blue Bell							
4 Sentry Parkway	1982	63,930	94.1	1,337	0.23	22.22	22.08
5 Sentry Parkway East	1984	91,600	50.3	632	0.11	13.72	12.61
5 Sentry Parkway West	1984	38,400	31.5	158	0.03	13.06	12.40
16 Sentry Parkway	1988	93,093	100.0	2,281	0.40	24.50	22.49
18 Sentry Parkway	1988	95,010	88.5	2,198	0.38	26.14	23.90
King of Prussia							
2200 Renaissance	1985	174,124					
Boulevard			79.4	2,433	0.42	17.60	15.28
Lower Providence							
1000 Madison Avenue	1990	100,700	81.3	1,404	0.24	17.15	13.28
Plymouth Meeting							
1150 Plymouth Meeting	1970	167,748					
Mall			92.6	3,131	0.54	20.16	15.92
Total Pennsylvania Office		2,025,738	89.9	41,338	7.17	22.70	19.98

Office  
Properties  
(Continued)

Property Location	Year Built	Net Rentable Area (Sq. Ft.)	Percentage Leased as of 12/31/07 (%) (a)	2007 Base Rent (\$000's) (b)	2007 Percentage of Total Base Rent (%) (c)	2007 Average Base Rent Per Sq. Ft. (\$) (d)	2007 Average Effective Rent Per Sq. Ft. (\$) (e)
<b>CONNECTICUT</b>							
Fairfield County							
Norwalk							
40 Richards Avenue	1985	145,487	76.6	2,446	0.43	21.95	19.35
Stamford							
1266 East Main Street	1984	179,260	73.3	3,615	0.63	27.51	24.64
Total Connecticut Office		324,747	74.8	6,061	1.06	24.96	22.21
<b>DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA</b>							
Washington							
1201 Connecticut Avenue, NW	1940	169,549	100.0	6,742	1.18	39.76	36.86
1400 L Street, NW	1987	159,000	100.0	5,539	0.96	34.84	29.81
Total District of Columbia Office		328,549	100.0	12,281	2.14	37.38	33.45
<b>MARYLAND</b>							
Prince George's County							
Greenbelt							
9200 Edmonston Road	1973	38,690	100.0	911	0.16	23.55	21.19
6301 Ivy Lane	1979	112,003	87.2	2,104	0.37	21.54	18.54
6303 Ivy Lane	1980	112,047	62.2	2,182	0.38	31.31	27.74
6305 Ivy Lane	1982	112,022	70.2	1,610	0.28	20.47	16.63
6404 Ivy Lane	1987	165,234	77.9	2,637	0.46	20.49	15.98
6406 Ivy Lane	1991	163,857	100.0	2,905	0.50	17.73	16.58
6411 Ivy Lane	1984	138,405	88.5	2,854	0.50	23.30	20.17
Lanham							
4200 Parliament Place	1989	122,000	85.8	2,719	0.47	25.98	24.08
Total Maryland Office		964,258	83.4	17,922	3.12	22.28	19.44

TOTAL OFFICE PROPERTIES	24,029,318	92.2	513,523	89.28	23.65	20.89
----------------------------	------------	------	---------	-------	-------	-------

Office/Flex  
Properties

Property Location	Year Built	Net Rentable Area (Sq. Ft.)	Percentage	2007 Base Rent (\$000's)	2007 Percentage of Total Base Rent (%)	2007 Average Base Rent Per Sq. Ft. (\$)	2007
			Leased as of 12/31/07 (%) (a)				Average Effective Rent Per Sq. Ft. (\$)
				(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)
<b>NEW JERSEY</b>							
<b>Burlington County</b>							
<b>Burlington</b>							
3 Terri Lane	1991	64,500	100.0	507	0.09	7.86	4.40
5 Terri Lane	1992	74,555	100.0	627	0.11	8.41	7.01
<b>Moorestown</b>							
2 Commerce Drive	1986	49,000	21.3	145	0.03	13.89	9.29
101 Commerce Drive	1988	64,700	100.0	275	0.05	4.25	3.85
102 Commerce Drive	1987	38,400	100.0	209	0.04	5.44	4.27
201 Commerce Drive	1986	38,400	75.0	173	0.03	6.01	4.24
202 Commerce Drive	1988	51,200	100.0	252	0.04	4.92	3.54
1 Executive Drive	1989	20,570	81.1	157	0.03	9.41	6.41
2 Executive Drive	1988	60,800	100.0	430	0.07	7.07	5.00
101 Executive Drive	1990	29,355	90.2	296	0.05	11.18	9.18
102 Executive Drive	1990	64,000	100.0	383	0.07	5.98	5.38
225 Executive Drive	1990	50,600	67.6	232	0.04	6.78	5.06
97 Foster Road	1982	43,200	50.0	113	0.02	5.23	4.54
1507 Lancer Drive	1995	32,700	100.0	134	0.02	4.10	3.79
1245 North Church Street	1998	52,810	90.5	313	0.05	6.55	6.19
1247 North Church Street	1998	52,790	58.1	281	0.05	9.16	8.12
1256 North Church Street	1984	63,495	100.0	452	0.08	7.12	5.83
840 North Lenola Road	1995	38,300	100.0	367	0.06	9.58	7.96
844 North Lenola Road	1995	28,670	100.0	180	0.03	6.28	5.06
915 North Lenola Road	1998	52,488	100.0	290	0.05	5.53	4.46
2 Twosome Drive	2000	48,600	100.0	429	0.07	8.83	8.29
30 Twosome Drive	1997	39,675	89.9	226	0.04	6.34	5.13
31 Twosome Drive	1998	84,200	100.0	468	0.08	5.56	5.51
40 Twosome Drive	1996	40,265	100.0	291	0.05	7.23	5.84
41 Twosome Drive	1998	43,050	100.0	231	0.04	5.37	5.16
50 Twosome Drive	1997	34,075	100.0	249	0.04	7.31	6.96
<b>Gloucester County</b>							
<b>West Deptford</b>							
1451 Metropolitan Drive	1996	21,600	100.0	148	0.03	6.85	6.85

Mercer County

Hamilton Township

100 Horizon Center Boulevard	1989	13,275	100.0	193	0.03	14.54	12.58
200 Horizon Drive	1991	45,770	100.0	591	0.10	12.91	11.73
300 Horizon Drive	1989	69,780	100.0	1,155	0.20	16.55	13.43
500 Horizon Drive	1990	41,205	100.0	620	0.11	15.05	14.51

Office/Flex  
Properties  
(Continued)

Property Location	Year	Net Rentable Area (Sq. Ft.)	Percentage Leased as of 12/31/07 (%) (a)	2007		2007		2007
				Base Rent (\$000's) (b) (c)	Percentage of Total Base Rent 2007 (%) (d)	Base Rent Per Sq. Ft. (c)	Average Effective Rent Per Sq. Ft. (e)	
<b>Monmouth County</b>								
<b>Wall Township</b>								
1325 Campus Parkway	1988	35,000	100.0	655	0.11	18.71	14.06	
1340 Campus Parkway	1992	72,502	100.0	948	0.16	13.08	9.75	
1345 Campus Parkway	1995	76,300	100.0	947	0.16	12.41	9.12	
1433 Highway 34	1985	69,020	76.4	525	0.09	9.96	8.08	
1320 Wyckoff Avenue	1986	20,336	100.0	178	0.03	8.75	8.31	
1324 Wyckoff Avenue	1987	21,168	100.0	229	0.04	10.82	10.16	
<b>Passaic County</b>								
<b>Totowa</b>								
1 Center Court	1999	38,961	100.0	534	0.09	13.71	11.06	
2 Center Court	1998	30,600	99.3	373	0.06	12.28	10.89	
11 Commerce Way	1989	47,025	100.0	576	0.10	12.25	11.50	
20 Commerce Way	1992	42,540	100.0	330	0.06	7.76	6.44	
29 Commerce Way	1990	48,930	100.0	711	0.12	14.53	11.44	
40 Commerce Way	1987	50,576	72.1	564	0.10	15.47	14.53	
45 Commerce Way	1992	51,207	96.4	428	0.07	8.67	6.89	
60 Commerce Way	1988	50,333	73.6	519	0.09	14.01	12.61	
80 Commerce Way	1996	22,500	100.0	311	0.05	13.82	12.80	
100 Commerce Way	1996	24,600	66.9	340	0.06	20.66	19.20	
120 Commerce Way	1994	9,024	100.0	125	0.02	13.85	12.74	
140 Commerce Way	1994	26,881	99.5	374	0.06	13.98	12.86	
<b>Total New Jersey Office/Flex</b>		<b>2,189,531</b>	<b>91.9</b>	<b>19,084</b>	<b>3.27</b>	<b>9.49</b>	<b>7.95</b>	
<b>NEW YORK</b>								
<b>Westchester County</b>								
<b>Elmsford</b>								
11 Clearbrook Road	1974	31,800	100.0	427	0.07	13.43	12.26	
75 Clearbrook Road	1990	32,720	100.0	702	0.12	21.45	20.35	
125 Clearbrook Road	2002	33,000	100.0	712	0.12	21.58	17.94	
150 Clearbrook Road	1975	74,900	100.0	1,181	0.21	15.77	14.46	
175 Clearbrook Road	1973	98,900	100.0	1,592	0.28	16.10	14.93	

Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

200 Clearbrook Road	1974	94,000	99.8	1,288	0.22	13.73	12.47
250 Clearbrook Road	1973	155,000	97.3	1,416	0.25	9.39	8.47
50 Executive Boulevard	1969	45,200	98.2	490	0.09	11.04	10.63
77 Executive Boulevard	1977	13,000	100.0	183	0.03	14.08	13.31
85 Executive Boulevard	1968	31,000	93.8	546	0.09	18.78	16.20
300 Executive Boulevard	1970	60,000	100.0	415	0.07	6.92	6.45
350 Executive Boulevard	1970	15,400	98.8	296	0.05	19.45	17.88
399 Executive Boulevard	1962	80,000	100.0	922	0.16	11.53	11.09
400 Executive Boulevard	1970	42,200	100.0	774	0.13	18.34	16.21
500 Executive Boulevard	1970	41,600	78.3	585	0.10	17.96	16.15



Office/Flex  
Properties  
(Continued)

Property Location	Year Built	Net Rentable Area (Sq. Ft.)	Percentage	2007 Base Rent (\$000's)	2007 Percentage of Total Base Rent (%)	2007 Average Base Rent Per Sq. Ft. (\$)	2007
			Leased as of 12/31/07 (%) (a)				Average Effective Rent Per Sq. Ft. (\$)
525 Executive Boulevard	1972	61,700	83.6	814	0.14	15.78	13.76
1 Westchester Plaza	1967	25,000	100.0	335	0.06	13.40	12.72
2 Westchester Plaza	1968	25,000	100.0	514	0.09	20.56	19.32
3 Westchester Plaza	1969	93,500	100.0	636	0.11	6.80	5.90
4 Westchester Plaza	1969	44,700	93.1	467	0.08	11.22	9.80
5 Westchester Plaza	1969	20,000	88.9	292	0.05	16.42	14.45
6 Westchester Plaza	1968	20,000	100.0	322	0.06	16.10	15.00
7 Westchester Plaza	1972	46,200	100.0	765	0.13	16.56	16.26
8 Westchester Plaza	1971	67,200	100.0	921	0.16	13.71	12.31
Hawthorne							
200 Saw Mill River Road	1965	51,100	100.0	684	0.12	13.39	12.23
4 Skyline Drive	1987	80,600	92.2	1,319	0.23	17.75	14.98
5 Skyline Drive	1980	124,022	99.3	1,642	0.29	13.33	11.90
6 Skyline Drive	1980	44,155	100.0	571	0.10	12.93	12.86
8 Skyline Drive	1985	50,000	85.3	768	0.13	18.01	10.39
10 Skyline Drive	1985	20,000	100.0	368	0.06	18.40	13.00
11 Skyline Drive	1989	45,000	100.0	803	0.14	17.84	16.91
12 Skyline Drive	1999	46,850	100.0	676	0.12	14.43	10.82
15 Skyline Drive	1989	55,000	88.2	920	0.16	18.97	18.04
Yonkers							
100 Corporate Boulevard	1987	78,000	98.3	1,391	0.24	18.14	17.10
200 Corporate Boulevard South	1990	84,000	99.8	1,569	0.27	18.72	17.82
4 Executive Plaza	1986	80,000	100.0	1,374	0.24	17.18	14.15
6 Executive Plaza	1987	80,000	95.7	1,391	0.24	18.17	16.90
1 Odell Plaza	1980	106,000	99.9	1,495	0.26	14.12	13.35
3 Odell Plaza	1984	71,065	100.0	1,597	0.28	22.47	20.84
5 Odell Plaza	1983	38,400	74.4	445	0.08	15.58	14.67
7 Odell Plaza	1984	42,600	99.6	740	0.13	17.44	16.80
Total New York Office/Flex		2,348,812	97.1	34,348	5.96	15.06	13.59
CONNECTICUT							
Fairfield County							
Stamford							
419 West Avenue	1986	88,000	100.0	1,360	0.24	15.45	13.81

Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

500 West Avenue	1988	25,000	82.3	375	0.07	18.23	15.89
550 West Avenue	1990	54,000	100.0	858	0.15	15.89	15.80
600 West Avenue	1999	66,000	100.0	804	0.14	12.18	11.62
650 West Avenue	1998	40,000	100.0	609	0.11	15.23	14.55
Total Connecticut Office/Flex		273,000	98.4	4,006	0.71	14.92	13.94
TOTAL OFFICE/FLEX PROPERTIES		4,811,343	94.8	57,438	9.94	12.59	11.12

## Industrial/Warehouse, Retail and Land Lease Properties

Property Location	Year Built	Net Rentable Area (Sq. Ft.)	Percentage Leased as of 12/31/07 (%) (a)	2007 Base Rent (\$000's) (b) (c)	Percentage of Total 2007 Base Rent (%) (d)	2007 Average Base Rent Per Sq. Ft. (\$) (c) (d)	2007 Average Effective Rent Per Sq. Ft. (\$) (c) (e)
<b>NEW YORK</b>							
Westchester County							
Elmsford							
1 Warehouse Lane	1957	6,600	100.0	86	0.01	13.03	12.73
2 Warehouse Lane	1957	10,900	100.0	161	0.03	14.77	13.03
3 Warehouse Lane	1957	77,200	100.0	324	0.06	4.20	3.80
4 Warehouse Lane	1957	195,500	97.4	1,738	0.30	9.13	8.11
5 Warehouse Lane	1957	75,100	97.1	963	0.17	13.21	11.78
6 Warehouse Lane	1982	22,100	100.0	513	0.09	23.21	22.62
<b>Total Industrial/Warehouse Properties</b>		<b>387,400</b>	<b>98.1</b>	<b>3,785</b>	<b>0.66</b>	<b>9.96</b>	<b>9.00</b>
Westchester County							
Tarrytown							
230 White Plains Road	1984	9,300	100.0	195	0.03	20.97	19.68
Yonkers							
2 Executive Boulevard	1986	8,000	100.0	223	0.04	27.88	27.88
<b>Total Retail Properties</b>		<b>17,300</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>418</b>	<b>0.07</b>	<b>24.16</b>	<b>23.47</b>
Westchester County							
Elmsford							
700 Executive Boulevard	--	--	--	114	0.02	--	--
Yonkers							
1 Enterprise Boulevard	--	--	--	185	0.03	--	--
<b>Total Land Leases</b>		<b>--</b>	<b>--</b>	<b>299</b>	<b>0.05</b>	<b>--</b>	<b>--</b>
<b>TOTAL PROPERTIES</b>		<b>29,245,361</b>	<b>92.7</b>	<b>575,463</b>	<b>100.00</b>	<b>21.61</b>	<b>19.09</b>

(a) Percentage leased includes all leases in effect as of the period end date, some of which have commencement dates in the future (including, at December 31, 2007, a lease with a commencement date substantially in the future consisting of 8,590 square feet scheduled to commence in 2009), and leases expiring December 31, 2007 aggregating 146,261 square feet (representing 0.5 percent of the Company's total net rentable square footage) for which no new leases were signed.

- (b) Total base rent for 2007, determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”). Substantially all of the leases provide for annual base rents plus recoveries and escalation charges based upon the tenant’s proportionate share of and/or increases in real estate taxes and certain operating costs, as defined, and the pass through of charges for electrical usage.
- (c) Excludes space leased by the Company.
- (d) Base rent for 2007 divided by net rentable square feet leased at December 31, 2007. For those properties acquired during 2007, amounts are annualized, as per Note f.
- (e) Total base rent for 2007 minus total 2007 amortization of tenant improvements, leasing commissions and other concessions and costs, determined in accordance with GAAP, divided by net rentable square feet leased at December 31, 2007. For those properties acquired during 2007, amounts are annualized, as described in Note f.
- (f) As this property was acquired by the Company during 2007, the amounts represented in 2007 base rent and 2007 effective rent reflect only that portion of the year during which the Company owned the property. Accordingly, these amounts may not be indicative of the property’s full year results. For comparison purposes, the amounts represented in 2007 average base rent per sq. ft. and 2007 average effective rent per sq. ft. for this property have been calculated by taking 2007 base rent and 2007 effective rent for such property and annualizing these partial-year results, dividing such annualized amounts by the net rentable square feet leased at December 31, 2007. These annualized per square foot amounts may not be indicative of the property’s results had the Company owned the property for the entirety of 2007.

PERCENTAGE LEASED

The following table sets forth the year-end percentages of square feet leased in the Company's stabilized operating Consolidated Properties for the last five years:

December 31,	Percentage of Square Feet Leased (%) (a)
2007	92.7
2006	92.0
2005	91.0
2004	91.2
2003	91.5

(a) Percentage of square-feet leased includes all leases in effect as of the period end date, some of which have commencement dates in the future and leases that expire at the period end date.

## SIGNIFICANT TENANTS

The following table sets forth a schedule of the Company's 50 largest tenants for the Consolidated Properties as of December 31, 2007 based upon annualized base rental revenue:

	Number of Properties	Annualized Base Rental Revenue (\$) (a)	Percentage of Company Annualized Base Rental Revenue (%)	Square Feet Leased	Percentage Total Company Leased Sq. Ft. (%)	Year of Lease Expiration
Citigroup Global Markets, Inc.	6	14,276,232	2.4	466,489	1.8	2018(b)
DB Services New Jersey, Inc.	2	10,861,093	1.8	402,068	1.5	2017
National Union Fire Insurance	1	10,490,646	1.8	394,849	1.5	2012
Morgan Stanley & Co., Inc.	5	9,493,227	1.6	381,576	1.4	2013(c)
New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC	4	9,144,930	1.5	410,313	1.6	2014(d)
United States Of America-GSA	11	8,993,139	1.5	283,685	1.1	2017(e)
Keystone Mercy Health Plan	2	8,003,134	1.3	303,149	1.1	2015
Prentice-Hall, Inc.	1	7,694,097	1.3	474,801	1.9	2014
Forest Laboratories, Inc.	2	7,463,777	1.3	202,857	0.8	2017(f)
American Institute of Certified Public Accountants	1	6,653,005	1.1	249,768	0.9	2012
ICAP Securities USA, LLC	1	6,236,408	1.0	159,834	0.6	2017
Toys 'R' Us – NJ, Inc.	1	6,072,651	1.0	242,518	0.9	2012
Lehman Brothers Holdings, Inc.	1	5,839,982	1.0	270,063	1.0	2018(g)
Allstate Insurance Company	10	5,713,563	1.0	237,559	0.9	2017(h)
TD Ameritrade Online Holdings	1	5,701,671	1.0	184,222	0.7	2015
Merrill Lynch Pierce Fenner	3	5,294,084	0.9	306,125	1.1	2017(i)
Credit Suisse First Boston	1	5,212,307	0.9	153,464	0.6	2012(j)
KPMG, LLP	3	5,024,296	0.8	181,025	0.7	2012(k)
National Financial Services	1	4,798,621	0.8	112,964	0.4	2012
IBM Corporation	3	4,788,402	0.8	310,263	1.2	2012(l)
Daiichi Sankyo, Inc.	3	4,009,822	0.7	136,366	0.5	2022(m)

Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Bank Of					
Tokyo-Mitsubishi, Ltd.	1	3,872,785	0.6	137,076	0.5 2019
Vonage America, Inc.	1	3,857,000	0.6	350,000	1.3 2017
AT&T Corp.	1	3,805,000	0.6	275,000	1.0 2014
Wyndham Worldwide Corporation	1	3,773,775	0.6	150,951	0.6 2009
Samsung Electronics America	1	3,678,028	0.6	131,300	0.5 2010
Montefiore Medical Center	5	3,533,233	0.6	170,064	0.6 2019(n)
Hewlett-Packard Company	2	3,520,179	0.6	166,977	0.6 2010(o)
SSB Realty, LLC	1	3,492,830	0.6	114,519	0.4 2009
Wyndham Worldwide Operations	1	3,211,626	0.5	145,983	0.5 2011
E*Trade Financial Corporation	1	3,124,160	0.5	106,573	0.4 2022
Dow Jones & Company, Inc.	1	3,057,773	0.5	92,312	0.3 2012
High Point Safety & Insurance	2	2,727,009	0.5	116,889	0.4 2020
American Home Assurance Co.	2	2,686,732	0.5	131,174	0.5 2019(p)
SunAmerica Asset Management	1	2,680,409	0.4	69,621	0.3 2018
Moody's Investors Service	1	2,671,149	0.4	91,344	0.3 2011(q)
Oppenheimer & Co., Inc.	1	2,636,192	0.4	104,008	0.4 2013
Barr Laboratories, Inc.	2	2,579,597	0.4	109,510	0.4 2015(r)
United States Life Insurance Co.	1	2,520,000	0.4	180,000	0.7 2013
AAA Mid-Atlantic, Inc.	2	2,517,680	0.4	129,784	0.5 2022(s)
New Jersey Turnpike Authority	1	2,455,463	0.4	100,223	0.4 2017
Natixis North America, Inc.	1	2,408,679	0.4	83,629	0.3 2021
Regus Business Centre Corp.	2	2,321,656	0.4	79,805	0.3 2011
Movado Group, Inc	1	2,283,547	0.4	90,050	0.3 2013
Deloitte & Touche USA, LLP	1	2,171,275	0.4	86,851	0.3 2008
UBS Financial Services, Inc.	3	2,127,185	0.4	79,530	0.3 2016(t)
Thomson Tradeweb, LLC	1	2,116,075	0.4	56,547	0.2 2017
Ark Asset Management Co., Inc.	1	2,094,608	0.4	67,568	0.3 2017
Nextel of New York, Inc.	2	2,093,440	0.4	97,436	0.4 2014(u)
GAB Robins North America Inc.	2	2,087,199	0.4	84,649	0.3 2009(v)
Totals		233,869,371		39.29,463,331	35.5

See footnotes on subsequent page.



Significant Tenants Footnotes

- (a) Annualized base rental revenue is based on actual December, 2007 billings times 12. For leases whose rent commences after January 1, 2008, annualized base rental revenue is based on the first full month's billing times 12. As annualized base rental revenue is not derived from historical GAAP results, historical results may differ from those set forth above.
- (b) 4,412 square feet expire in 2008; 38,196 square feet expire in 2009; 330,900 square feet expire in 2010; 26,834 square feet expire in 2014; 26,262 square feet expire in 2016; 39,885 square feet expire in 2018.
- (c) 19,500 square feet expire in 2008; 7,000 square feet expire in 2009; 48,906 square feet expire in 2010; 306,170 square feet expire in 2013.
  - (d) 4,783 square feet expire in 2008; 333,145 square feet expire in 2013; 72,385 square feet expire in 2014.
- (e) 7,008 square feet expire in 2008; 4,950 square feet expire in 2010; 9,901 square feet expire in 2011; 11,216 square feet expire in 2012; 58,392 square feet expire in 2013; 4,879 square feet expire in 2014; 180,729 square feet expire in 2015; 6,610 square feet expire in 2017.
  - (f) 22,785 square feet expire in 2010; 180,072 square feet expire in 2017.
  - (g) 198,559 square feet expire in 2010; 71,504 square feet expire in 2018.
- (h) 2,138 square feet expire in 2008; 22,185 square feet expire in 2009; 46,555 square feet expire in 2010; 83,693 square feet expire in 2011; 29,005 square feet expire in 2013; 53,983 square feet expire in 2017.
  - (i) 7,485 square feet expire in 2008; 4,451 square feet expire in 2009; 294,189 square feet expire in 2017.
  - (j) 71,511 square feet expire in 2011; 81,953 square feet expire in 2012.
- (k) 46,440 square feet expire in 2009; 57,204 square feet expire in 2010; 77,381 square feet expire in 2012.
  - (l) 61,864 square feet expire in 2010; 248,399 square feet expire in 2012.
- (m) 46,000 square feet expire in 2009; 5,315 square feet expire in 2011; 85,051 square feet expire in 2022.
- (n) 6,800 square feet expire in 2009; 5,850 square feet expire in 2014; 7,200 square feet expire in 2016; 30,872 square feet expire in 2017; 6,535 square feet expire in 2018; 112,807 square feet expire in 2019.
  - (o) 163,857 square feet expire in 2008; 3,120 square feet expire in 2010.
  - (p) 14,056 square feet expire in 2008; 117,118 square feet expire in 2019.
- (q) 43,344 square feet expire in 2009; 36,193 square feet expire in 2010; 11,807 square feet expire in 2011.
  - (r) 20,000 square feet expire in 2008; 89,510 square feet expire in 2015.
  - (s) 9,784 square feet expire in 2017; 120,000 square feet expire in 2022.
- (t) 21,554 square feet expire in 2010; 20,811 square feet expire in 2013; 37,165 square feet expire in 2016.
  - (u) 62,436 square feet expire in 2010; 35,000 square feet expire in 2014.
  - (v) 75,049 square feet expire in 2008; 9,600 square feet expire in 2009.

## SCHEDULE OF LEASE EXPIRATIONS: ALL CONSOLIDATED PROPERTIES

The following table sets forth a schedule of lease expirations for the total of the Company's office, office/flex, industrial/warehouse and stand-alone retail properties included in the Consolidated Properties beginning January 1, 2008, assuming that none of the tenants exercise renewal or termination options:

Year Of Expiration	Number Of Leases Expiring (a)	Net Rentable Area Subject To Expiring Leases (Sq. Ft.)	Percentage Of Total Leased Square Feet Represented By Expiring Leases (%)	Annualized Base Rental Revenue Under Expiring Leases (\$)(b)	Average Annual Rent Per Net Rentable Square Foot Represented By Expiring Leases (\$)	Percentage Of Annual Base Rent Under Expiring Leases (%)
2008 (c)	293	1,930,173	7.2	40,605,709	21.04	6.8
2009	361	2,397,663	9.0	53,293,256	22.23	8.9
2010	376	3,208,062	12.0	73,768,677	22.99	12.4
2011	358	3,386,416	12.7	76,565,941	22.61	12.9
2012	261	2,855,408	10.7	67,133,300	23.51	11.3
2013	225	3,095,963	11.6	66,615,433	21.52	11.2
2014	114	1,719,904	6.4	37,835,190	22.00	6.3
2015	72	2,281,276	8.6	50,220,025	22.01	8.4
2016	64	904,243	3.4	17,907,753	19.80	3.0
2017	75	2,278,116	8.6	54,153,943	23.77	9.1
2018	47	811,852	3.1	20,054,181	24.70	3.4
2019 and thereafter	55	1,784,202	6.7	37,833,109	21.20	6.3
Totals/Weighted Average	2,301	26,653,278 (d)	100.0	595,986,517	22.36	100.0

(a) Includes office, office/flex, industrial/warehouse and stand-alone retail property tenants only. Excludes leases for amenity, retail, parking and month-to-month tenants. Some tenants have multiple leases.

(b)

Annualized base rental revenue is based on actual December 2007 billings times 12. For leases whose rent commences after January 1, 2008, annualized base rental revenue is based on the first full month's billing times 12. As annualized base rental revenue is not derived from historical GAAP results, historical results may differ from those set forth above.

(c) Includes leases expiring December 31, 2007 aggregating 146,261 square feet and representing annualized rent of \$2,237,232 for which no new leases were signed.

(d) Reconciliation to Company's total net rentable square footage is as follows:

	Square Feet
Square footage leased to commercial tenants	26,653,278
Square footage used for corporate offices, management offices, building use, retail tenants, food services, other ancillary	
service tenants and occupancy adjustments	452,348
Square footage unleased	2,139,735
Total net rentable square footage (does not include land leases)	29,245,361

## SCHEDULE OF LEASE EXPIRATIONS: OFFICE PROPERTIES

The following table sets forth a schedule of lease expirations for the office properties beginning January 1, 2008, assuming that none of the tenants exercise renewal or termination options:

Year Of Expiration	Number Of Leases Expiring (a)	Net Rentable Area Subject To Expiring Leases (Sq. Ft.)	Percentage Of Total Leased Square Feet Represented By Expiring Leases (%)	Annualized Base Rental Revenue Under Expiring Leases (\$)	Average Annual Rent Per Net Square Foot Represented By Expiring Leases (\$)	Percentage Of Annual Base Rent Under Expiring Leases (%)
2008 (c)	226	1,442,795	6.6	34,349,088	23.81	6.4
2009	275	1,763,173	8.1	44,595,505	25.29	8.4
2010	288	2,382,681	11.0	62,482,351	26.22	11.8
2011	295	2,853,419	13.2	70,304,107	24.64	13.2
2012	192	2,246,355	10.4	59,201,643	26.35	11.1
2013	171	2,414,424	11.1	58,168,960	24.09	11.0
2014	96	1,533,173	7.1	35,278,848	23.01	6.6
2015	62	2,131,479	9.8	48,736,523	22.87	9.2
2016	50	587,594	2.7	13,725,094	23.36	2.6
2017	62	2,116,810	9.8	51,506,338	24.33	9.7
2018	32	612,032	2.8	17,424,818	28.47	3.3
2019 and thereafter	47	1,615,268	7.4	35,478,909	21.96	6.7
Totals/Weighted Average	1,796	21,699,203 (c)	100.0	531,252,184	24.48	100.0

- (a) Includes office tenants only. Excludes leases for amenity, retail, parking and month-to-month tenants. Some tenants have multiple leases.
- (b) Annualized base rental revenue is based on actual December 2007 billings times 12. For leases whose rent commences after January 1, 2008, annualized base rental revenue is based on the first full month's billing times 12. As annualized base rental revenue is not derived from historical GAAP results, historical results may differ from those set forth above.
- (c) Includes leases expiring December 31, 2007 aggregating 14,273 square feet and representing annualized rent of \$316,943 for which no new leases were signed..



## SCHEDULE OF LEASE EXPIRATIONS: OFFICE/FLEX PROPERTIES

The following table sets forth a schedule of lease expirations for the office/flex properties beginning January 1, 2008, assuming that none of the tenants exercise renewal or termination options:

Year Of Expiration	Number Of Leases Expiring (a)	Net Rentable Area Subject To Expiring Leases (Sq. Ft.)	Percentage Of Total Leased Square Feet Represented By Expiring Leases (%)	Annualized Base Rental Revenue Under Expiring Leases (\$ (b))	Average Annual Rent Per Net Rentable Square Foot Represented By Expiring Leases (\$)	Percentage Of Annual Base Rent Under Expiring Leases (%)
2008 (c)	65	480,138	10.6	6,173,940	12.86	10.2
2009	81	582,845	12.8	7,772,201	13.33	12.9
2010	86	792,431	17.4	10,889,226	13.74	18.1
2011	62	525,397	11.5	6,166,834	11.74	10.2
2012	68	602,415	13.2	7,867,932	13.06	13.1
2013	44	533,124	11.7	7,198,386	13.50	11.9
2014	18	186,731	4.1	2,556,342	13.69	4.2
2015	10	149,797	3.3	1,483,502	9.90	2.5
2016	12	181,567	4.0	2,764,298	15.22	4.6
2017	13	161,306	3.5	2,647,605	16.41	4.4
2018	14	191,820	4.2	2,404,363	12.53	4.0
2019 and thereafter	8	168,934	3.7	2,354,200	13.94	3.9
Totals/Weighted Average	481	4,556,505 (c)	100.0	60,278,829	13.23	100.0

- (a) Includes office/flex tenants only. Excludes leases for amenity, retail, parking and month-to-month tenants. Some tenants have multiple leases.
- (b) Annualized base rental revenue is based on actual December 2007 billings times 12. For leases whose rent commences after January 1, 2008, annualized base rental revenue is based on the first full month's billing times 12. As annualized base rental revenue is not derived from historical GAAP results, historical results may differ from those set forth above. Includes office/flex tenants only. Excludes leases for amenity, retail, parking and month-to-month tenants. Some tenants have multiple leases.
- (c) Includes leases expiring December 31, 2007 aggregating 131,988 square feet and representing annualized rent of \$1,920,290 for which no new leases were signed.



## SCHEDULE OF LEASE EXPIRATIONS: INDUSTRIAL/WAREHOUSE PROPERTIES

The following table sets forth a schedule of lease expirations for the industrial/warehouse properties beginning January 1, 2008, assuming that none of the tenants exercise renewal or termination options:

Year Of Expiration	Number Of Leases Expiring (a)	Percentage Of Net Total Leased Area Square Feet Subject To Represented Expiring Leases (%)		Annualized Base Rental Revenue Expiring Leases (\$) (b)	Average Annual Rent Per Net	Percentage Of Annual Base Rent Under Expiring Leases (%)
		Area Square Feet Subject To Represented Expiring Leases (Sq. Ft.)	Percentage Of Net Total Leased Area Square Feet Subject To Represented Expiring Leases (%)		Represented Square Foot Annual Base Rent Under Expiring Leases (\$)	
2008	2	7,240	1.9	82,681	11.42	2.0
2009	4	42,345	11.1	730,550	17.25	18.1
2010	2	32,950	8.7	397,100	12.05	9.8
2011	1	7,600	2.0	95,000	12.50	2.4
2012	1	6,638	1.8	63,725	9.60	1.6
2013	10	148,415	39.0	1,248,087	8.41	30.9
2016	2	135,082	35.5	1,418,361	10.50	35.2
Totals/Weighted Average	22	380,270	100.0	4,035,504	10.61	100.0

(a) Includes industrial/warehouse tenants only. Excludes leases for amenity, retail, parking and month-to-month industrial/warehouse tenants. Some tenants have multiple leases.

(b) Annualized base rental revenue is based on actual December 2007 billings times 12. For leases whose rent commences after January 1, 2008, annualized base rental revenue is based on the first full month's billing times 12. As annualized base rental revenue is not derived from historical GAAP results, the historical results may differ from those set forth above.

## SCHEDULE OF LEASE EXPIRATIONS: STAND-ALONE RETAIL PROPERTIES

The following table sets forth a schedule of lease expirations for the stand-alone retail properties beginning January 1, 2008, assuming that none of the tenants exercise renewal or termination options:



Year Of Expiration	Number Of Leases Expiring (a)	Net Rentable Area Subject To Expiring Leases (Sq. Ft.)	Percentage Of		Average Annual Rent Per Net	Percentage Of
			Total Leased Square Feet Represented By Expiring Leases (%)	Annualized Base Rental Revenue Under Expiring Leases (\$) (b)	Square Foot Represented By Expiring Leases (\$)	Annual Base Rent Under Expiring Leases (%)
2009	1	9,300	53.8	195,000	20.97	46.4
2018	1	8,000	46.2	225,000	28.13	53.6
Totals/Weighted Average	2	17,300	100.0	420,000	24.28	100.0

(a) Includes stand-alone retail property tenants only.

(b) Annualized base rental revenue is based on actual December 2007 billings times 12. For leases whose rent commences after January 1, 2008 annualized base rental revenue is based on the first full month's billing times 12. As annualized base rental revenue is not derived from historical GAAP results, historical results may differ from those set forth above.

## INDUSTRY DIVERSIFICATION

The following table lists the Company's 30 largest industry classifications based on annualized contractual base rent of the Consolidated Properties:

Industry Classification (a)	Annualized Base Rental Revenue (\$)	(b) (c) (d)	Percentage of Company Annualized Rental Revenue (%)	Square Feet Leased (d)	Percentage of Total Company Leased Sq. Ft. (%)
Securities, Commodity Contracts & Other Financial	116,331,972		19.5	4,183,589	15.6
Insurance Carriers & Related Activities	48,755,385		8.2	2,118,350	7.9
Manufacturing	47,051,663		7.9	2,216,972	8.3
Computer System Design Services	28,484,708		4.8	1,364,041	5.1
Credit Intermediation & Related Activities	27,705,517		4.6	1,119,532	4.2
Telecommunications	27,147,675		4.6	1,315,096	4.9
Legal Services	24,070,028		4.0	955,919	3.6
Health Care & Social Assistance	24,012,704		4.0	1,198,337	4.5
Wholesale Trade	21,961,034		3.7	1,422,646	5.3
Scientific Research/Development	21,549,733		3.6	937,094	3.5
Other Professional	20,466,810		3.4	885,610	3.3
Accounting/Tax Prep.	18,109,960		3.0	727,704	2.7
Public Administration	16,234,770		2.7	617,621	2.3
Retail Trade	15,563,071		2.6	933,701	3.5
Advertising/Related Services	15,206,639		2.6	619,917	2.3
Other Services (except Public Administration)	14,935,857		2.5	789,184	3.0
Information Services	10,146,488		1.7	436,007	1.6
Arts, Entertainment & Recreation	9,361,518		1.6	566,414	2.1
Architectural/Engineering	9,193,910		1.5	409,436	1.5
Real Estate & Rental & Leasing	8,844,972		1.5	413,448	1.6
Construction	8,584,162		1.4	395,943	1.5
Broadcasting	7,603,641		1.3	475,740	1.8
Utilities	7,206,837		1.2	339,377	1.3
Admin & Support, Waste Mgt. & Remediation Services	6,951,228		1.2	400,488	1.5
Data Processing Services	5,845,322		1.0	240,585	0.9
Transportation	5,830,219		1.0	308,274	1.2
Educational Services	5,508,496		0.9	282,336	1.1
Specialized Design Services	4,175,140		0.7	183,273	0.7
Management of Companies & Finance	3,664,372		0.6	147,079	0.6
Publishing Industries	3,557,304		0.6	185,610	0.7

Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Other	11,925,382	2.1	463,955	1.9
Totals	595,986,517	100.0	26,653,278	100.0

- (a) The Company's tenants are classified according to the U.S. Government's North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) which has replaced the Standard Industrial Code (SIC) system.
- (b) Annualized base rental revenue is based on actual December 2007 billings times 12. For leases whose rent commences after January 1, 2008, annualized base rental revenue is based on the first full month's billing times 12. As annualized base rental revenue is not derived from historical GAAP results, historical results may differ from those set forth above.
- (c) Includes office, office/flex, industrial/warehouse and stand-alone retail tenants only. Excludes leases for amenity, retail, parking and month-to-month tenants. Some tenants have multiple leases.
- (d) Includes leases in effect as of the period end date, some of which have commencement dates in the future (including, at December 31, 2007, a lease with a commencement date substantially in the future consisting of 8,590 square feet scheduled to commence in 2009), and leases expiring December 31, 2007 aggregating 146,261 square feet and representing annualized rent of \$2,237,232 for which no new leases were signed.

## MARKET DIVERSIFICATION

The following table lists the Company's markets (MSAs), based on annualized contractual base rent of the Consolidated Properties:

Market (MSA)	Annualized Base Rental Revenue		Percentage Of Company Annualized Total Property		
	(\$)	(a) (b) (c)	Base Rental Revenue (%)	Size Rentable Area (b) (c) Rentable Area (%)	
Jersey City, NJ	117,129,345		19.6	4,317,978	14.8
Newark, NJ (Essex-Morris-Union Counties)	116,048,183		19.5	5,847,318	20.0
Westchester-Rockland, NY	92,728,821		15.6	4,968,420	17.0
Bergen-Passaic, NJ	91,792,074		15.4	4,602,401	15.7
Philadelphia, PA-NJ	55,501,093		9.3	3,529,994	12.1
Washington, DC-MD-VA-WV	31,191,296		5.2	1,292,807	4.4
Monmouth-Ocean, NJ	26,235,892		4.4	1,620,863	5.5
Trenton, NJ	20,568,550		3.5	956,597	3.3
Middlesex-Somerset-Hunterdon, NJ	19,567,698		3.3	986,760	3.4
New York, NY (Manhattan)	15,442,033		2.6	524,476	1.8
Stamford-Norwalk, CT	7,305,712		1.2	452,260	1.5
Bridgeport, CT	2,475,820		0.4	145,487	0.5
Totals	595,986,517		100.0	29,245,361	100.0

- (a) Annualized base rental revenue is based on actual December 2007 billings times 12. For leases whose rent commences after January 1, 2008, annualized base rental revenue is based on the first full month's billing times 12. As annualized base rental revenue is not derived from historical GAAP results, historical results may differ from those set forth above.
- (b) Includes leases in effect as of the period end date, some of which have commencement dates in the future (including, at December 31, 2007, a lease with a commencement date substantially in the future consisting of 8,590 square feet scheduled to commence in 2009), and leases expiring December 31, 2007 aggregating 146,261 feet and representing annualized rent of \$2,237,232 for which no new leases were signed.
- (c) Includes office, office/flex, industrial/warehouse and stand-alone retail tenants only. Excludes leases for amenity, retail, parking and month-to-month tenants. Some tenants have multiple leases.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

There are no material pending legal proceedings, other than ordinary routine litigation incidental to its business, to which the Company is a party or to which any of the Properties is subject.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

Not Applicable.

37

---

## PART II

## ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

## MARKET INFORMATION

The shares of the Company's Common Stock are traded on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") under the symbol "CLI."

The following table sets forth the quarterly high, low, and closing price per share of Common Stock reported on the NYSE for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively:

For the Year Ended December 31, 2007:

	High	Low	Close
First Quarter	\$56.52	\$46.89	\$47.63
Second Quarter	\$50.83	\$42.33	\$43.49
Third Quarter	\$44.98	\$36.80	\$41.10
Fourth Quarter	\$46.51	\$30.41	\$34.00

For the Year Ended December 31, 2006:

	High	Low	Close
First Quarter	\$48.37	\$42.34	\$48.00
Second Quarter	\$47.47	\$42.17	\$45.92
Third Quarter	\$53.66	\$45.47	\$51.80
Fourth Quarter	\$55.37	\$48.24	\$51.00

On February 8, 2008, the closing Common Stock price reported on the NYSE was \$33.67 per share.

On June 20, 2007, the Company filed with the NYSE its annual CEO Certification and Annual Written Affirmation pursuant to Section 303A.12 of the NYSE Listed Company Manual, each certifying that the Company was in compliance with all of the listing standards of the NYSE.

## HOLDERS

On February 8, 2008, the Company had 585 common shareholders of record.

## RECENT SALES OF UNREGISTERED SECURITIES; USES OF PROCEEDS FROM REGISTERED SECURITIES

During the three months ended December 31, 2007, the Company issued 261,090 shares of common stock to holders of common units in the Operating Partnership upon the redemption of such common units in private offerings pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act. The holders of the common units were limited partners of the Operating Partnership and accredited investors under Rule 501 of the Securities Act. The common units were converted into an equal number of shares of common stock. The Company has registered the resale of such shares under the Securities Act.

DIVIDENDS AND DISTRIBUTIONS

During the year ended December 31, 2007, the Company declared four quarterly common stock dividends and common unit distributions in the amounts of \$0.64, \$0.64, \$0.64 and \$0.64 per share and per unit from the first to the fourth quarter, respectively. Additionally, in 2007, the Company declared quarterly preferred stock dividends of \$50.00 per preferred share from the first to the fourth quarter.

During the year ended December 31, 2006, the Company declared four quarterly common stock dividends and common unit distributions in the amounts of \$0.63, \$0.63, \$0.64 and \$0.64 per share and per unit from the first to the fourth quarter, respectively. Additionally, in 2006, the Company declared quarterly preferred stock dividends of \$50.00 per preferred share from the first to the fourth quarter.

The declaration and payment of dividends and distributions will continue to be determined by the Board of Directors in light of conditions then existing, including the Company's earnings, financial condition, capital requirements, applicable REIT and legal restrictions and other factors.

#### PERFORMANCE GRAPH

The following graph compares total stockholder returns from the last five fiscal years to the Standard & Poor's 500 Index ("S&P 500") and to the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, Inc.'s Equity REIT Total Return Index ("NAREIT"). The graph assumes that the value of the investment in the Company's Common Stock and in the S&P 500 and NAREIT indices was \$100 at December 31, 2002 and that all dividends were reinvested. The price of the Company's Common Stock on December 31, 2002 (on which the graph is based) was \$30.30. The stockholder return shown on the following graph is not necessarily indicative of future performance.

#### Comparison of Five-Year Cumulative Total Return



## SECURITIES AUTHORIZED FOR ISSUANCE UNDER EQUITY COMPENSATION PLANS

Information regarding securities authorized for issuance under our equity compensation plans is disclosed in Item 12: Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.

## PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES BY THE ISSUER AND AFFILIATED PURCHASERS

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid Per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plan (1)	Maximum Dollar Value of Shares That May be Purchased under the Announced Plan (1)
October 1, 2007 to October 31, 2007	--	N/A	--	\$138,829,930.70
November 1, 2007 to November 30, 2007	1,633,700	\$36.28	1,633,700	\$ 79,512,789.61
December 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007	976,230	\$34.32	976,230	\$ 45,983,692.60
Total:	2,609,930	\$35.54	2,609,930	\$ 45,983,692.60

(1) The Board of Directors of the Company adopted a \$100 million share repurchase program on August 6, 1998 and re-authorized and increased the share repurchase program to \$150 million on September 13, 2000. From September 2000 through August 2007, approximately \$105.5 million of shares of the Company's common stock were repurchased. On September 12, 2007, the Board of Directors of the Company further re-authorized and increased the share repurchase program to \$150 million. The share repurchase program authorizes repurchases from time to time in open market transactions at prevailing prices or through privately negotiated transactions and is not subject to an expiration date.

## ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following table sets forth selected financial data on a consolidated basis for the Company. The consolidated selected operating, balance sheet and other data of the Company as of December 31, 2007, 2006, 2005, 2004 and 2003, and for the years then ended have been derived from the Company's financial statements for the respective periods.

Operating Data (a) In thousands, except per share data	Year Ended December 31,				
	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003
Total revenues	\$ 808,350	\$ 732,012	\$ 591,991	\$ 529,225	\$ 509,099
Property expenses (b)	\$ 270,913	\$ 253,667	\$ 207,558	\$ 168,021	\$ 156,073
Direct construction costs	\$ 85,180	\$ 53,602	--	--	--
General and administrative	\$ 52,162	\$ 49,074	\$ 32,432	\$ 31,305	\$ 30,827
Interest expense	\$ 126,672	\$ 134,964	\$ 119,070	\$ 109,211	\$ 114,919
Income from continuing operations	\$ 73,129	\$ 84,679	\$ 73,987	\$ 77,977	\$ 110,731
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 108,466	\$ 142,666	\$ 93,488	\$ 100,453	\$ 141,381
Income from continuing operations per share – basic	\$ 1.06	\$ 1.33	\$ 1.17	\$ 1.26	\$ 1.89
Income from continuing operations per share – diluted	\$ 1.06	\$ 1.32	\$ 1.16	\$ 1.25	\$ 1.88
Net income per share – basic	\$ 1.62	\$ 2.29	\$ 1.52	\$ 1.66	\$ 2.45
Net income per share – diluted	\$ 1.61	\$ 2.28	\$ 1.51	\$ 1.65	\$ 2.43
Dividends declared per common share	\$ 2.56	\$ 2.54	\$ 2.52	\$ 2.52	\$ 2.52
Basic weighted average shares outstanding	67,026	62,237	61,477	60,351	57,724
Diluted weighted average shares outstanding	82,500	77,901	74,189	68,743	65,980
Balance Sheet Data	December 31,				
In thousands	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003
Rental property, before accumulated depreciation and amortization	\$4,885,429	\$4,573,587	\$4,491,752	\$4,160,959	\$3,954,632
Rental property held for sale, net	--	--	--	\$ 19,132	--
Total assets	\$4,593,202	\$4,422,889	\$4,247,502	\$3,850,165	\$3,749,570
Total debt (c)	\$2,211,735	\$2,159,959	\$2,126,181	\$1,702,300	\$1,628,584
Total liabilities	\$2,492,797	\$2,412,762	\$2,335,396	\$1,877,096	\$1,779,983
Minority interests	\$ 457,850	\$ 482,220	\$ 400,819	\$ 427,958	\$ 428,099
Stockholders' equity	\$1,642,555	\$1,527,907	\$1,511,287	\$1,545,111	\$1,541,488

(a)

Certain reclassifications have been made to prior period amounts in order to conform with current period presentation.

- (b) Property expenses is calculated by taking the sum of real estate taxes, utilities and operating services for each of the periods presented.
- (c) Total debt is calculated by taking the sum of senior unsecured notes, revolving credit facilities, and mortgages, loans payable and other obligations.

## ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements of Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and the notes thereto (collectively, the "Financial Statements"). Certain defined terms used herein have the meaning ascribed to them in the Financial Statements.

### Executive Overview

Mack-Cali Realty Corporation (the "Company") is one of the largest real estate investment trusts (REITs) in the United States, with a total market capitalization of approximately \$5.0 billion at December 31, 2007. The Company has been involved in all aspects of commercial real estate development, management and ownership for over 50 years and has been a publicly-traded REIT since 1994. The Company owns or has interests in 294 properties (collectively, the "Properties"), primarily class A office and office/flex buildings, totaling approximately 33.7 million square feet, leased to approximately 2,200 tenants. The properties are located primarily in suburban markets of the Northeast, some with adjacent, Company-controlled developable land sites able to accommodate up to 11.3 million square feet of additional commercial space.

The Company's strategy is to be a significant real estate owner and operator in its core, high-barriers-to-entry markets, primarily in the Northeast.

As an owner of real estate, almost all of the Company's earnings and cash flow is derived from rental revenue received pursuant to leased space at the Properties. Key factors that affect the Company's business and financial results include the following:

- the general economic climate;
- the occupancy rates of the Properties;
- rental rates on new or renewed leases;
- tenant improvement and leasing costs incurred to obtain and retain tenants;
- the extent of early lease terminations;
- operating expenses;
- cost of capital; and
- the extent of acquisitions, development and sales of real estate.

Any negative effects of the above key factors could potentially cause a deterioration in the Company's revenue and/or earnings. Such negative effects could include: (1) failure to renew or execute new leases as current leases expire; (2) failure to renew or execute new leases with rental terms at or above the terms of in-place leases; and (3) tenant defaults.

A failure to renew or execute new leases as current leases expire or to execute new leases with rental terms at or above the terms of in-place leases may be affected by several factors such as: (1) the local economic climate, which may be adversely impacted by business layoffs or downsizing, industry slowdowns, changing demographics and other factors; and (2) local real estate conditions, such as oversupply of office and office/flex space or competition within the market.

The Company's core markets continue to be weak. The percentage leased in the Company's consolidated portfolio of stabilized operating properties was 92.7 percent at December 31, 2007 as compared to 92.0 percent at December 31,

2006 and 91.0 percent at December 31, 2005. Percentage leased includes all leases in effect as of the period end date, some of which have commencement dates in the future (including, at December 31, 2007, a lease with a commencement date substantially in the future consisting of 8,590 square feet scheduled to commence in 2009), and leases that expire at the period end date. Leases that expired as of December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 aggregate 146,261, 103,477 and 311,623 square feet, respectively, or 0.5, 0.4 and 1.1 percentage of the net rentable square footage, respectively. Rental rates on the Company's space that was re-leased (based on first rents payable) during the year ended December 31, 2007 decreased an average of 0.2 percent compared to rates that were in effect under the prior leases, as compared to a 0.2 percent decrease in 2006 and an 8.2 percent decrease in 2005. The Company believes that vacancy rates may continue to increase in some of its markets in 2008. As a result, the Company's future earnings and cash flow may continue to be negatively impacted by current market conditions.

The remaining portion of this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations should help the reader understand:

- property transactions during the period;
- critical accounting policies and estimates;
- results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2007 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2006;
- results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2006 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2005; and
- liquidity and capital resources.

#### Summary of 2007 Transactions

##### Property Acquisitions

The Company acquired the following office properties during the year ended December 31, 2007 (dollars in thousands):

Acquisition Date	Property/Address	Location	# of Bldgs.	Rentable Square Feet	Acquisition Cost
05/08/07	AAA Properties (a) (c)	Hamilton Township, New Jersey	2	69,232	\$ 9,048
06/11/07	125 Broad Street (b) (c)	New York, New York	1	524,476	274,091
Total Property Acquisitions:			3	593,708	\$283,139

(a) Included in this transaction was the acquisition of two parcels of developable land aggregating approximately 13 acres.

(b) Acquisition represented two units of office condominium interests, which collectively comprise floors 2 through 16, or 39.6 percent, of the 40-story, 1.2 million square-foot building.

(c) Transaction was funded primarily through borrowing on the Company's revolving credit facility.

##### Properties Commencing Initial Operations

The following office property commenced initial operations during the year ended December 31, 2007 (dollars in thousands):

Date	Property/Address	Location	# of Bldgs.	Rentable Square Feet	Investment by Company (a)
05/08/07	700 Horizon Drive	Hamilton Township, New Jersey	1	120,000	\$16,751
Total Properties Commencing Initial Operations:			1	120,000	\$16,751

(a) Development costs were funded primarily through borrowing on the Company's revolving credit facility. Amounts are as of December 31, 2007.

##### Land Acquisition

In February 2007, the Company exercised its option to acquire approximately 43 acres of land sites within its Capital Office Park complex in Greenbelt, Maryland, which is able to accommodate the development of up to 600,000 square

feet of office space, for \$13 million. On May 25, 2007, the Company completed the purchase of the land for approximately \$13 million, which consisted of 114,911 common operating partnership units valued at \$5.2 million, and the remainder in cash.

## Property Sales

The Company sold the following office properties during the year ended December 31, 2007 (dollars in thousands):

Sale Date	Property/Address	Location	# of Bldgs.	Rentable Square Feet	Net Sales Proceeds	Net Book Value	Net Realized Gain
05/10/07	1000 Bridgeport Avenue	Shelton, Connecticut	1	133,000	\$16,411	\$13,782	\$ 2,629
06/11/07	500 W. Putnam Avenue	Greenwich, Connecticut	1	121,250	54,344	18,113	36,231
07/13/07	100& 200 Decadon Drive	Egg Harbor, New Jersey	2	80,344	11,448	5,894	5,554
Total Office Property Sales:			4	334,594	\$82,203	\$37,789	\$44,414

## Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The Financial Statements have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. The preparation of the Financial Statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the Financial Statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reported period. These estimates and assumptions are based on management's historical experience that are believed to be reasonable at the time. However, because future events and their effects cannot be determined with certainty, the determination of estimates requires the exercise of judgment. The Company's critical accounting policies are those which require assumptions to be made about matters that are highly uncertain. Different estimates could have a material effect on the Company's financial results. Judgments and uncertainties affecting the application of these policies and estimates may result in materially different amounts being reported under different conditions and circumstances.

## Rental Property:

Rental properties are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Costs directly related to the acquisition, development and construction of rental properties are capitalized. Capitalized development and construction costs include pre-construction costs essential to the development of the property, development and construction costs, interest, property taxes, insurance, salaries and other project costs incurred during the period of development. Interest capitalized by the Company for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 was \$5.1 million, \$6.1, million and \$5.5 million, respectively. Ordinary repairs and maintenance are expensed as incurred; major replacements and betterments, which improve or extend the life of the asset, are capitalized and depreciated over their estimated useful lives. Fully-depreciated assets are removed from the accounts.

The Company considers a construction project as substantially completed and held available for occupancy upon the completion of tenant improvements, but no later than one year from cessation of major construction activity (as distinguished from activities such as routine maintenance and cleanup). If portions of a rental project are substantially completed and occupied by tenants, or held available for occupancy, and other portions have not yet reached that stage, the substantially completed portions are accounted for as a separate project. The Company allocates costs incurred between the portions under construction and the portions substantially completed and held available for occupancy and capitalizes only those costs associated with the portion under construction.

Properties are depreciated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. The estimated useful lives are as follows:



Leasehold interests	Remaining lease term
B u i l d i n g s a n d improvements	5 to 40 years
Tenant improvements	The shorter of the term of the related lease or useful life
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	5 to 10 years

Upon acquisition of rental property, the Company estimates the fair value of acquired tangible assets, consisting of land, building and improvements, and identified intangible assets and liabilities generally consisting of the fair value of (i) above and below market leases, (ii) in-place leases and (iii) tenant relationships. The Company allocates the purchase price to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their relative fair values. In estimating the fair value of the tangible and intangible assets acquired, the Company considers information obtained about each property as a result of its due diligence and marketing and leasing activities, and utilizes various valuation methods, such as estimated cash flow projections utilizing appropriate discount and capitalization rates, estimates of replacement costs net of depreciation, and available market information. The fair value of the tangible assets of an acquired property considers the value of the property as if it were vacant.

Above-market and below-market lease values for acquired properties are recorded based on the present value (using a discount rate which reflects the risks associated with the leases acquired) of the difference between (i) the contractual amounts to be paid pursuant to each in-place lease and (ii) management's estimate of fair market lease rates for each corresponding in-place lease, measured over a period equal to the remaining term of the lease for above-market leases and the remaining initial term plus the term of any below-market fixed rate renewal options for below-market leases. The capitalized above-market lease values are amortized as a reduction of base rental revenue over the remaining term of the respective leases, and the capitalized below-market lease values are amortized as an increase to base rental revenue over the remaining initial terms plus the terms of any below-market fixed rate renewal options of the respective leases.

Other intangible assets acquired include amounts for in-place lease values and tenant relationship values which are based on management's evaluation of the specific characteristics of each tenant's lease and the Company's overall relationship with the respective tenant. Factors to be considered by management in its analysis of in-place lease values include an estimate of carrying costs during hypothetical expected lease-up periods considering current market conditions, and costs to execute similar leases. In estimating carrying costs, management includes real estate taxes, insurance and other operating expenses and estimates of lost rentals at market rates during the expected lease-up periods, depending on local market conditions. In estimating costs to execute similar leases, management considers leasing commissions, legal and other related expenses. Characteristics considered by management in valuing tenant relationships include the nature and extent of the Company's existing business relationships with the tenant, growth prospects for developing new business with the tenant, the tenant's credit quality and expectations of lease renewals. The value of in-place leases are amortized to expense over the remaining initial terms of the respective leases. The value of tenant relationship intangibles will be amortized to expense over the anticipated life of the relationships.

On a periodic basis, management assesses whether there are any indicators that the value of the Company's rental properties may be impaired. A property's value is impaired only if management's estimate of the aggregate future cash flows (undiscounted and without interest charges) to be generated by the property is less than the carrying value of the property. To the extent impairment has occurred, the loss shall be measured as the excess of the carrying amount of the property over the fair value of the property. The Company's estimates of aggregate future cash flows expected to be generated by each property are based on a number of assumptions that are subject to economic and market uncertainties including, among others, demand for space, competition for tenants, changes in market rental rates, and costs to operate each property. As these factors are difficult to predict and are subject to future events that may alter management's assumptions, the future cash flows estimated by management in its impairment analyses may not be achieved. Management does not believe that the value of any of the Company's rental properties is impaired.

#### Rental Property Held for Sale and Discontinued Operations:

When assets are identified by management as held for sale, the Company discontinues depreciating the assets and estimates the sales price, net of selling costs, of such assets. If, in management's opinion, the net sales price of the assets which have been identified as held for sale is less than the net book value of the assets, a valuation allowance is

established. Properties identified as held for sale and/or sold are presented in discontinued operations for all periods presented.

If circumstances arise that previously were considered unlikely and, as a result, the Company decides not to sell a property previously classified as held for sale, the property is reclassified as held and used. A property that is reclassified is measured and recorded individually at the lower of (a) its carrying amount before the property was classified as held for sale, adjusted for any depreciation (amortization) expense that would have been recognized had the property been continuously classified as held and used, or (b) the fair value at the date of the subsequent decision not to sell.

**Revenue Recognition:**

Base rental revenue is recognized on a straight-line basis over the terms of the respective leases. Unbilled rents receivable represents the amount by which straight-line rental revenue exceeds rents currently billed in accordance with the lease agreements. Above-market and below-market lease values for acquired properties are recorded based on the present value (using a discount rate which reflects the risks associated with the leases acquired) of the difference between (i) the contractual amounts to be paid pursuant to each in-place lease and (ii) management's estimate of fair market lease rates for each corresponding in-place lease, measured over a period equal to the remaining term of the lease for above-market leases and the initial term plus the term of any below-market fixed-rate renewal options for below-market leases. The capitalized above-market lease values for acquired properties are amortized as a reduction of base rental revenue over the remaining term of the respective leases, and the capitalized below-market lease values are amortized as an increase to base rental revenue over the remaining initial terms plus the terms of any below-market fixed-rate renewal options of the respective leases. Escalations and recoveries from tenants are received from tenants for certain costs as provided in the lease agreements. These costs generally include real estate taxes, utilities, insurance, common area maintenance and other recoverable costs.

Construction services revenue includes fees earned and reimbursements received by the Company for providing construction management and general contractor services to clients. Construction services revenue is recognized on the percentage of completion method. Using this method, profits are recorded on the basis of our estimates of the overall profit and percentage of completion of individual contracts. A portion of the estimated profits is accrued based upon estimates of the percentage of completion of the construction contract. This revenue recognition method involves inherent risks relating to profit and cost estimates. Real estate services revenue includes property management, facilities management, leasing commission fees and other services, and payroll and related costs reimbursed from clients. Other income includes income from parking spaces leased to tenants, income from tenants for additional services arranged for the Company and income from tenants for early lease terminations.

**Allowance for Doubtful Accounts:**

Management periodically performs a detailed review of amounts due from tenants to determine if accounts receivable balances are impaired based on factors affecting the collectibility of those balances. Management's estimate of the allowance for doubtful accounts requires management to exercise significant judgment about the timing, frequency and severity of collection losses, which affects the allowance and net income.

### Results From Operations

The following comparisons for the year ended December 31, 2007 (“2007”), as compared to the year ended December 31, 2006 (“2006”), and for 2006, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2005 (“2005”), make reference to the following: (i) the effect of the “Same-Store Properties,” which represents all in-service properties owned by the Company at December 31, 2005, (for the 2007 versus 2006 comparison) and which represents all in-service properties owned by the Company at December 31, 2004, (for the 2006 versus 2005 comparison), excluding properties sold or held for sale through December 31, 2007, and (ii) the effect of the “Acquired Properties,” which represents all properties acquired by the Company or commencing initial operations from January 1, 2006 through December 31, 2007 (for the 2007 versus 2006 comparison) and which represent all properties acquired by the Company or commencing initial operation from January 1, 2005 through December 31, 2006 (for the 2006 versus 2005 comparison).

## Year Ended December 31, 2007 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2006

(dollars in thousands)	Year Ended		Dollar Change	Percent Change
	December 31, 2007	2006		
Revenue from rental operations:				
Base rents	\$575,463	\$532,879	\$ 42,584	8.0%
Escalations and recoveries from tenants	104,781	90,214	14,567	16.1
Other income	22,070	21,649	421	1.9
Total revenues from rental operations	702,314	644,742	57,572	8.9
Property expenses:				
Real estate taxes	90,895	85,999	4,896	5.7
Utilities	73,072	59,788	13,284	22.2
Operating services	106,946	107,880	(934)	(0.9)
Total property expenses	270,913	253,667	17,246	6.8
Non-property revenues:				
Construction services	88,066	56,225	31,841	56.6
Real estate services	17,970	31,045	(13,075)	(42.1)
Total non-property revenues	106,036	87,270	18,766	21.5
Non-property expenses:				
Direct constructions costs	85,179	53,602	31,577	58.9
General and administrative	52,162	49,074	3,088	6.3
Depreciation and amortization	183,564	159,096	24,468	15.4
Total non-property expenses	320,905	261,772	59,133	22.6
Operating Income	216,532	216,573	(41)	--
Other (expense) income:				
Interest expense	(126,672)	(134,964)	8,292	6.1
Interest and other investment income	4,670	3,054	1,616	52.9
Equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated joint ventures	(5,918)	(5,556)	(362)	(6.5)
Minority interest in consolidated joint ventures	643	218	425	195.0
Gain on sale of investment in marketable securities	--	15,060	(15,060)	(100.0)
Gain on sale of investment in joint ventures	--	10,831	(10,831)	(100.0)
Gain/(loss) on sale of land and other assets	--	(416)	416	100.0
Total other (expense) income	(127,277)	(111,773)	(15,504)	(13.9)
Income from continuing operations before minority interest in Operating Partnership				
Minority interest in Operating Partnership	(16,126)	(20,121)	3,995	19.9
Income from continuing operations	73,129	84,679	(11,550)	(13.6)
Discontinued operations (net of minority interest):				
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	1,057	12,272	(11,215)	(91.4)

Realized gains (losses) and unrealized losses				
on disposition of rental property, net	36,280	47,715	(11,435)	(24.0)
Total discontinued operations, net	37,337	59,987	(22,650)	(37.8)
Net income	110,466	144,666	(34,200)	(23.6)
Preferred stock dividends	(2,000)	(2,000)	--	--
Net income available to common shareholders	\$108,466	\$142,666	\$(34,200)	(24.0)%

The following is a summary of the changes in revenue from rental operations and property expenses in 2007 as compared to 2006 divided into Same-Store Properties and Acquired Properties (dollars in thousands):

	Total Company		Same-Store Properties		Acquired Properties	
	Dollar Change	Percent Change	Dollar Change	Percent Change	Dollar Change	Percent Change
Revenue from rental operations:						
Base rents	\$42,584	8.0%	\$19,823	3.7%	\$ 22,761	4.3%
Escalations and recoveries from tenants	14,567	16.1%	9,086	10.1%	5,481	6.0%
Other income	421	1.9%	2,037	9.4%	(1,616)	(7.5)%
Total	\$57,572	8.9%	\$30,946	4.8%	\$ 26,626	4.1%
Property expenses:						
Real estate taxes	\$ 4,896	5.7%	\$1,660	1.9%	\$3,236	3.8%
Utilities	13,284	22.2%	10,878	18.2%	2,406	4.0%
Operating services	(934)	(0.9)%	8,884	8.2%	(9,818)	(9.1)%
Total	\$17,246	6.8%	\$21,422	8.4%	\$ (4,176)	(1.6)%
OTHER DATA:						
Number of Consolidated Properties	255		240		15	
Square feet (in thousands)	29,245		27,070		2,175	

Base rents for the Same-Store Properties increased \$19.8 million, or 3.7 percent, for 2007 as compared to 2006, due primarily to an increase in the percentage of space leased at the properties in 2007 from 2006. Escalations and recoveries from tenants for the Same-Store Properties increased \$9.1 million, or 10.1 percent, for 2007 over 2006, due primarily to an increased amount of total property expenses in 2007. Other income for the Same-Store Properties increased \$2.0 million, or 9.4 percent, due primarily to an increase in lease termination fees in 2007.

Real estate taxes on the Same-Store Properties increased \$1.7 million, or 1.9 percent, for 2007 as compared to 2006, due primarily to property tax rate increases in certain municipalities in 2007, partially offset by reduced assessments on certain properties in 2007. Utilities for the Same-Store Properties increased \$10.9 million, or 18.2 percent, for 2007 as compared to 2006, due primarily to increased electric rates in 2007 as compared to 2006. Operating services for the Same-Store Properties increased \$8.9 million, or 8.2 percent, due primarily to increases in maintenance costs of \$3.9 million, snow removal costs of \$2.0 million, salaries and related expenses of \$1.0 million, and property insurance expense of \$0.7 million in 2007 as compared to 2006.

Construction services revenue increased \$31.8 million in 2007 as compared to 2006, due to the effect of the Gale/Green transactions completed in May 2006 ("Gale/Green Transactions"). Real estate services revenues decreased by \$13.1 million, or 42.1 percent, for 2007 as compared to 2006, due primarily to the contribution of the Gale facilities management business to an unconsolidated joint venture in late 2006.

Direct construction costs increased \$31.6 million in 2007 as compared to 2006, due primarily to the effect of the Gale/Green Transactions.



General and administrative increased by \$3.1 million, or 6.3 percent, for 2007 as compared to 2006 due primarily to the effect of the Gale/Green Transactions.

Depreciation and amortization increased by \$24.5 million, or 15.4 percent, for 2007 over 2006. Of this increase, \$8.8 million, or 5.5 percent, was attributable to the Same-Store Properties and \$15.7 million, or 9.9 percent, was due to the Acquired Properties.

Interest expense decreased \$8.3 million, or 6.1 percent, for 2007 as compared to 2006. This decrease was primarily as a result of lower average debt balances in 2007 as compared to 2006, partially due to the use of proceeds from the common stock offering in February 2007 to repay outstanding borrowings.

Interest and other investment income increased \$1.6 million, or 52.9 percent, for 2007 as compared to 2006. This increase was due primarily to higher cash balances invested in 2007.

Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures decreased \$0.4 million, or 6.5 percent, for 2007 as compared to 2006. The decrease was due primarily to an increased loss of \$2.1 million in the Route 93 joint venture and an increased loss of \$1.7 million in the Mack-Green joint venture. These losses were partially offset by 2006 losses of \$1.9 million in the Meadowlands Xanadu venture and \$0.9 million in the G&G Martco venture, with no activity for these ventures in 2007, and an increased net income of \$0.4 million in 2007 in the 12 Vreeland venture.

The Company recognized a gain on sale of investment in marketable securities of \$15.1 million in 2006.

Gain on sale of investment in unconsolidated joint ventures amounted to \$10.8 million in 2006 from the sale of the Company's interest in the G&G Martco joint venture.

Gain (loss) on sale of land and other assets amounted to a loss of \$0.4 million in 2006 due to a loss on the sale of Gale Global Facilities and related companies in 2006 of \$1.5 million, partially offset by a gain of \$1.1 million from the sale of a parcel of land in Hamilton, New Jersey.

Income from continuing operations before minority interest in Operating Partnership decreased to approximately \$89.2 million in 2007 from \$104.8 million in 2006. The decrease of approximately \$15.6 million was due to the factors discussed above.

Net income available to common shareholders decreased by \$34.2 million, or 24.0 percent, from \$142.7 million in 2006 to \$108.5 million in 2007. This decrease was primarily the result of realized gains on disposition of rental property of \$47.7 million in 2006, decrease in income from continuing operations before minority interest in Operating Partnership of approximately \$15.6 million and a decrease in income from discontinued operations of \$11.2 million for 2007 as compared to 2006. These were partially offset by realized gains on disposition of rental property of \$36.3 million in 2007, and a decrease in minority interest in Operating Partnership in 2007 of \$4.0 million as compared to 2006.

Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Year Ended December 31, 2006 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2005

(dollars in thousands)	Year Ended December 31,		Dollar	Percent
	2006	2005	Change	Change
Revenue from rental operations:				
Base rents	\$532,879	\$497,358	\$35,521	7.1%
Escalations and recoveries from tenants	90,214	77,109	13,105	17.0
Other income	21,649	14,607	7,042	48.2
Total revenues from rental operations	644,742	589,074	55,668	9.5
Property expenses:				
Real estate taxes	85,999	76,653	9,346	12.2
Utilities	59,788	51,720	8,068	15.6
Operating services	107,880	79,185	28,695	36.2
Total property expenses	253,667	207,558	46,109	22.2
Non-property revenues:				
Construction services	56,225	--	56,225	--
Real estate services	31,045	2,917	28,128	964.3
Total non-property revenues	87,270	2,917	84,353	2,891.8
Non-property expenses:				
Direct constructions costs	53,602	--	53,602	--
General and administrative	49,074	32,432	16,642	51.3
Depreciation and amortization	159,096	141,771	17,325	12.2
Total non-property expenses	261,772	174,203	87,569	50.3
Operating Income	216,573	210,230	6,343	3.0
Other (expense) income:				
Interest expense	(134,964)	(119,070)	(15,894)	(13.3)
Interest and other investment income	3,054	856	2,198	256.8
Equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated joint ventures	(5,556)	248	(5,804)	(2,340.3)
Minority interest in consolidated joint ventures	218	(74)	292	394.6
Gain on sale of investment in marketable securities	15,060	--	15,060	--
Gain on sale of investment in joint ventures	10,831	35	10,796	30,845.7
Gain on sale of investment in joint ventures	(416)	--	(416)	--
Total other (expense) income	(111,773)	(118,005)	6,232	5.3
Income from continuing operations before minority interest in Operating Partnership				
Minority interest in Operating Partnership	104,800	92,225	12,575	13.6
Minority interest in Operating Partnership	(20,121)	(18,238)	(1,883)	(10.3)
Income from continuing operations	84,679	73,987	10,692	14.5
Discontinued operations (net of minority interest):				
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	12,272	17,075	(4,803)	(28.1)

Realized gains (losses) and unrealized losses				
on disposition of rental property, net	47,715	4,426	43,289	978.1
Total discontinued operations, net	59,987	21,501	38,486	179.0
Net income	144,666	95,488	49,178	51.5
Preferred stock dividends	(2,000)	(2,000)	--	--
Net income available to common shareholders	\$142,666	\$ 93,488	\$49,178	52.6%

The following is a summary of the changes in revenue from rental operations and property expenses in 2006 as compared to 2005 divided into Same-Store Properties and Acquired Properties (dollars in thousands):

	Total Company		Same-Store Properties		Acquired Properties	
	Dollar Change	Percent Change	Dollar Change	Percent Change	Dollar Change	Percent Change
Revenue from rental operations:						
Base rents	\$35,521	7.1%	\$ 6,155	1.2%	\$29,366	5.9%
Escalations and recoveries from tenants	13,105	17.0	6,557	8.5	6,548	8.5
Other income	7,042	48.2	6,181	42.3	861	5.9
Total	\$55,668	9.5%	\$18,893	3.2%	\$36,775	6.3%
Property expenses:						
Real estate taxes	\$ 9,346	12.2%	\$ 5,215	6.8%	\$ 4,131	5.4%
Utilities	8,068	15.6	3,803	7.4	4,265	8.2
Operating services	28,695	36.2	1,778	2.2	26,917	34.0
Total	\$46,109	22.2%	\$10,796	5.2%	\$35,313	17.0%
OTHER DATA:						
Number of Consolidated Properties	251		234		17	
Square feet (in thousands)	28,531		25,238		3,293	

Base rents for the Same-Store Properties increased \$6.2 million, or 1.2 percent, for 2006 as compared to 2005, due primarily to an increase in the percentage of space leased at the properties in 2006 from 2005. Escalations and recoveries from tenants for the Same-Store Properties increased \$6.6 million, or 8.5 percent, for 2006 over 2005, due primarily to an increased amount of total property expenses in 2006. Other income for the Same-Store Properties increased \$6.2 million, or 42.3 percent, due primarily to an increase in lease breakage fees.

Real estate taxes on the Same-Store Properties increased \$5.2 million, or 6.8 percent, for 2006 as compared to 2005, due primarily to property tax rate increases in certain municipalities in 2006. Utilities for the Same-Store Properties increased \$3.8 million, or 7.4 percent, for 2006 as compared to 2005, due primarily to increased electric rates in 2006 as compared to 2005. Operating services for the Same-Store Properties increased \$1.8 million, or 2.2 percent, due primarily to increased maintenance and related labor costs of \$5.1 million for 2006 as compared to 2005, partially offset by a decrease in snow removal costs in 2006 of \$3.1 million.

Construction services amounted to \$56.2 million in 2006, due to the effect of the Gale/Green Transactions. Real estate services increased by \$28.1 million, or 964.3 percent, for 2006 as compared to 2005, also due primarily to the effect of the Gale/Green Transactions.

Direct construction costs totaled \$53.6 million in 2006, due primarily to the effect of the Gale/Green Transactions. General and administrative increased by \$16.6 million, or 51.3 percent, for 2006 as compared to 2005 due primarily to the effect of the Gale/Green Transactions.

Depreciation and amortization increased by \$17.3 million, or 12.2 percent, for 2006 over 2005. Of this increase, \$2.9 million, or 2.1 percent, was attributable to the Same-Store Properties and \$14.4 million, or 10.1 percent, was due to the Acquired Properties.

Interest expense increased \$15.9 million, or 13.3 percent, for 2006 as compared to 2005. This increase was primarily as a result of higher average debt balances in 2006, as compared to 2005.

Interest and other investment income increased \$2.2 million, or 256.8 percent, for 2006 as compared to 2005. This increase was due primarily to the receipt of approximately \$0.9 million in dividends on the Company's investment in marketable securities, as well as higher cash balances invested in 2006 due primarily to property sales proceeds as compared to 2005.

Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures decreased \$5.8 million, or 2,340.3 percent, for 2006 as compared to 2005. The decrease was due primarily to a loss of \$4.9 million in 2006 in the Mack-Green joint venture and a loss of \$1.9 million in 2006 in the Meadowlands Xanadu joint venture, partially offset by an increase of \$1.1 million in the Harborside South Pier joint venture.

The Company recognized a gain on sale of investment in marketable securities of \$15.1 million in 2006.

Gain on sale of investment in unconsolidated joint ventures amounted to \$10.8 million in 2006 from the sale of the Company's interest in the G&G Martco joint venture. Gain on sale of investment in unconsolidated joint ventures amounted to \$35,000 in 2005 from the sale of the Company's interest in the Ashford Loop joint venture.

Gain (loss) on sale of land and other assets amounted to a loss of \$0.4 million in 2006 due to a loss on the sale of Gale Global Facilities and related companies in 2006 of \$1.5 million, partially offset by a gain of \$1.1 million from the sale of a parcel of land in Hamilton, New Jersey.

Income from continuing operations before minority interest in Operating Partnership increased to \$104.8 million in 2006 from \$92.2 million in 2005. The increase of approximately \$12.6 million was due to the factors discussed above.

Net income available to common shareholders increased by \$49.2 million, or 52.6 percent, from \$93.5 million in 2005 to \$142.7 million in 2006. This increase was primarily the result of realized gains on disposition of rental property of \$47.7 million in 2006 and an increase in income from continuing operations before minority interest in Operating Partnership of \$12.6 million. These were partially offset by a decrease in income from discontinued operations of \$4.8 million in 2006 as compared to 2005, realized gains on disposition of rental property of \$4.4 million in 2005, and an increase in minority interest in Operating Partnership in 2006 of \$1.9 million as compared to 2005.

## LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

### Liquidity

#### Overview:

Historically, rental revenue has been the principal source of funds to pay operating expenses, debt service, capital expenditures and dividends, excluding non-recurring capital expenditures. To the extent that the Company's cash flow from operating activities is insufficient to finance its non-recurring capital expenditures such as property acquisitions, development and construction costs and other capital expenditures, the Company has and expects to continue to finance such activities through borrowings under its revolving credit facility and other debt and equity financings.

The Company believes that with the general downturn in the Company's markets in recent years, it is reasonably likely that vacancy rates may continue to increase, effective rental rates on new and renewed leases may continue to decrease and tenant installation costs, including concessions, may continue to increase in most or all of its markets in 2008. As a result of the potential negative effects on the Company's revenue from the overall reduced demand for office space, the Company's cash flow could be insufficient to cover increased tenant installation costs over the short-term. If this situation were to occur, the Company expects that it would finance any shortfalls through borrowings under its revolving credit facility and other debt and equity financings.

The Company expects to meet its short-term liquidity requirements generally through its working capital, net cash provided by operating activities and from its revolving credit facility. The Company frequently examines potential

property acquisitions and development projects and, at any given time, one or more of such acquisitions or development projects may be under consideration. Accordingly, the ability to fund property acquisitions and development projects is a major part of the Company's financing requirements. The Company expects to meet its financing requirements through funds generated from operating activities, proceeds from property sales, long-term and short-term borrowings (including draws on the Company's revolving credit facility) and the issuance of additional debt and/or equity securities.



Construction Projects:

The Company owns a 15 percent indirect interest in a joint venture which plans to develop approximately 1.2 million square foot mixed-use project in downtown Boston consisting of office and retail space, condominium apartments, a hotel and garage. The development project, which is subject to government approval, is currently projected to cost approximately \$710 million, of which the Company is currently projected to invest a total of approximately \$26 million (of which the Company has invested \$18.8 million through February 8, 2008).

On February 22, 2007, the Company announced that it agreed to develop a 250,000 square-foot class A office building for Wyndham Worldwide Corporation for its corporate headquarters. In July 2007, the Company commenced construction on the building, which Wyndham Worldwide pre-leased for 15 years, on a land site located in the Company's Mack-Cali Business Campus in Parsippany, New Jersey. The building is expected to be completed in the fourth quarter 2008 at a total estimated cost of approximately \$64.8 million.

On June 9, 2006, the Company entered into a joint venture with a Gale Affiliate to form 55 Corporate Partners L.L.C. ("55 Corporate"). 55 Corporate was formed for the sole purpose of acquiring from a Gale Affiliate a 50 percent interest in SLG 55 Corporate Drive II LLC ("SLG 55"), an entity presently holding a 100 percent indirect condominium interest in a vacant land parcel located in Bridgewater, New Jersey, which can accommodate development of an approximately 200,000 square foot office building (the "55 Corporate Property"). The remaining 50 percent in SLG 55 is owned by SLG Gale 55 Corporate LLC, an affiliate of SL Green Realty Corp ("SLG Gale 55").

In November 2007, Sanofi-Aventis U.S. Inc. ("Sanofi"), which occupies neighboring buildings, exercised its option to cause the venture to construct a building on the Property and has signed a lease thereof. The lease has a term of fifteen years, subject to three five-year extension options. The construction of the building, estimated to cost approximately \$58 million, is not required to commence until July 1, 2009 for a July 2011 delivery; however, if Sanofi gives a Construction Start Date Acceleration Notice in accordance with the provisions of its lease, then construction shall promptly commence after the necessary permits are obtained, even if such construction start date shall occur prior to July 1, 2009.

Gale Earn-Out and Agreement:

The agreement to acquire the Gale Company ("Gale Agreement"), which was completed as part of the Gale/Green transactions on May 9, 2006, contained earn-out provisions ("Earn-Out") providing for the payment of contingent purchase consideration of up to \$18 million in cash based upon the achievement of Gross Income and NOI (as such terms were defined in the Gale Agreement) targets and other events for the three years following the closing date.

On May 23, 2007, the Company entered into an amendment (the "Amendment") to the Gale Agreement. The Amendment eliminated the Earn-Out and substituted an aggregate of \$14 million in payments by the Company consisting of the following: (1) \$8 million, which was paid on May 31, 2007; (2) \$3 million on May 9, 2008; and (3) \$3 million on May 9, 2009.

In October 2007, the Company reached an agreement with Stanley C. Gale, the seller of the Gale Company, Mark Yeager, an executive officer of the Company, and certain affiliates of Messrs. Gale and Yeager, which are parties to certain non-portfolio interest joint ventures with the Company, to provide primarily for the following:

(1) The Company agreed to satisfy the requirements for the \$3 million payment due on May 9, 2008 and the \$3 million payment due on May 9, 2009 as of October 31, 2007 by:

- (a) paying \$4 million in cash; and
- (b)

transferring to an entity whose beneficial owners includes Messrs. Gale and Yeager a 49.8 percent interest in an entity that owns one of the non-portfolio interests in a development project located in Belmar, New Jersey, which the Company acquired in June 2006 pursuant to the Gale Agreement for \$1.6 million. In 2007, the Company wrote off \$2.1 million of costs related to this project, as it believes the project is no longer viable, which is included in general and administrative expense for the year ended December 31, 2007.

- (2) Under the Gale Agreement, the Company is obligated to acquire from an entity whose beneficial owners include Messrs. Gale and Yeager (the "Florham Entity"), a 50 percent interest in a venture which owns a developable land parcel in Florham Park, New Jersey (the "Florham Park Land") for a maximum purchase price of up to \$10.5 million, subject to reduction based on developable square feet approved and other conditions, with the completion of such acquisition subject to the Florham Entity obtaining final development permits and approvals and related conditions necessary to allow for office development expected to be 600,000 square feet. The Company has agreed to waive its contractual rights for reimbursement from the Florham Entity for certain costs incurred by the Company securing approvals for the development of the Florham Park Land and to defer collection of other costs. Such deferred other costs, including a carrying charge of six percent per annum, will be credited against the purchase price of the Florham Park Land at closing. In the event the acquisition of the Florham Park Land does not close by May 9, 2009, subject to certain conditions, the Florham Entity will be obligated to pay the deferred other costs and an additional \$1 million to the Company at that time.

(3) The Company has agreed to settle a dispute and treat the right to receive certain commission payments in the approximate amount of \$2.3 million as an excluded asset under the Gale Agreement, which commissions may become payable in connection with Sanofi-Aventis' exercise of its option to cause the 55 Corporate venture (see Note 4: Investment in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures) to construct a building for Sanofi-Aventis will lease on a long-term basis, as well as the completion of certain related events. The Company has agreed to pay directly to an entity whose beneficial owners include Messrs. Gale and Yeager up to \$769,000 of these commissions when due.

**REIT Restrictions:**

To maintain its qualification as a REIT, the Company must make annual distributions to its stockholders of at least 90 percent of its REIT taxable income, determined without regard to the dividends paid deduction and by excluding net capital gains. Moreover, the Company intends to continue to make regular quarterly distributions to its common stockholders which, based upon current policy, in the aggregate would equal approximately \$173.9 million on an annualized basis. However, any such distribution, whether for federal income tax purposes or otherwise, would only be paid out of available cash, including borrowings and other sources, after meeting operating requirements, preferred stock dividends and distributions, and scheduled debt service on the Company's debt.

**Property Lock-Ups:**

The Company may not dispose of or distribute certain of its properties, currently comprising 11 properties with an aggregate net book value of approximately \$208.1 million, which were originally contributed by the sellers of certain properties to the Company, without the express written consent of a representative of the contributors of such properties, except in a manner which does not result in recognition of any built-in-gain (which may result in an income tax liability) or which reimburses the appropriate members for the tax consequences of the recognition of such built-in-gains. These transfer restrictions are scheduled to expire periodically through 2016. Additionally, the Company may not dispose of or distribute two properties with an aggregate net book value of approximately \$12.8 million, which were originally contributed by members of the Cali Group (which includes John R. Cali, director, and John J. Cali, a former director) under similar terms and conditions, for which such restrictions are scheduled to expire in June 2008. The aforementioned restrictions do not apply in the event that the Company sells all of its properties or in connection with a sale transaction which the Company's Board of Directors determines is reasonably necessary to satisfy a material monetary default on any unsecured debt, judgment or liability of the Company or to cure any material monetary default on any mortgage secured by a property. Upon expiration, the Company generally is required to use commercially reasonable efforts to prevent any sale, transfer or other disposition of the subject properties from resulting in the recognition of built-in gain to the contributors of the properties. 124 of the Company's properties which were originally contributed by members of either the Mack Group (which includes William L. Mack, Chairman of the Company's Board of Directors; David S. Mack, director; Earle I. Mack, a former director; and Mitchell E. Hersh, president, chief executive officer and director), the Robert Martin Group (which includes Martin S. Berger, director; Robert F. Weinberg, a former director; and Timothy M. Jones, former president), the Cali Group (which includes John R. Cali, director, and John J. Cali, a former director) or certain other common unitholders, with an aggregate net book value of approximately \$1.9 billion, have lapsed restrictions and are subject to these conditions.

**Unencumbered Properties:**

As of December 31, 2007, the Company had 238 unencumbered properties, totaling 25.7 million square feet, representing 87.7 percent of the Company's total portfolio on a square footage basis.

## Credit Ratings:

The Company has three investment grade credit ratings. Standard & Poor's Rating Services ("S&P") and Fitch, Inc. ("Fitch") have each assigned their BBB rating to existing and prospective senior unsecured debt of the Operating Partnership. S&P and Fitch have also assigned their BBB- rating to existing and prospective preferred stock offerings of the Company. Moody's Investors Service ("Moody's") has assigned its Baa2 rating to existing and prospective senior unsecured debt of the Operating Partnership and its Baa3 rating to its existing and prospective preferred stock offerings of the Company.

## Cash Flows

Cash and cash equivalents decreased by \$76.5 million to \$24.7 million at December 31, 2007, compared to \$101.2 million at December 31, 2006. This decrease is comprised of the following net cash flow items:

- (1) \$260 million provided by operating activities.
- (2) \$355.9 million used in investing activities, consisting primarily of the following:
  - (a) \$382.7 million used for additions to rental property; minus
  - (b) \$29 million used for investments in unconsolidated joint ventures; minus
  - (c) \$4.9 million used for the purchase of marketable securities; plus
  - (d) \$57.2 million received from proceeds from sale of rental properties; plus
  - (e) \$0.6 million received from proceeds from the sale of investment in unconsolidated joint ventures; plus
  - (f) \$1 million received from distributions from investments in unconsolidated joint ventures.
- (3) \$19.3 million provided by financing activities, consisting primarily of the following:
  - (a) \$539 million from borrowings under the revolving credit facility; minus
  - (b) \$251.7 million from proceeds received from stock options and warrants exercised; plus
  - (c) \$434 million used for repayments of borrowings under the Company's unsecured credit facility; plus
  - (d) \$211.5 million used for payments of dividends and distributions; plus
  - (e) \$29 million used for repayments of mortgages, loans payable and other obligations; plus
  - (f) \$98.8 million used for the repurchase of common stock.

## Debt Financing

## Summary of Debt:

The following is a breakdown of the Company's debt between fixed and variable-rate financing as of December 31, 2007:

	Balance		Weighted	Weighted Average
	(\$000's)	% of Total	Average	Maturity
		Interest Rate	(a)	in Years
Fixed Rate Unsecured Debt	\$1,660,204	75.07%	6.29%	4.31
Fixed Rate Secured Debt and Other Obligations	301,531	13.63%	5.36%	4.02
Variable Rate Unsecured Debt	250,000	11.30%	5.55%	3.48
Totals/Weighted Average:	\$2,211,735	100.00%	6.08%	4.18

Debt Maturities:

Scheduled principal payments and related weighted average annual interest rates for the Company's debt as of December 31, 2007 are as follows:

56

---

Period	Scheduled Amortization (\$000's)	Principal Maturities (\$000's)	Total (\$000's)	Weighted Avg. Interest Rate of Future Repayments (a)
2008	\$21,191	\$ 12,563	\$ 33,754	5.21%
2009	11,471	300,000	311,471	7.40%
2010	2,583	334,500	337,083	5.26%
2011	2,745	550,000	552,745	6.84%
2012	2,864	210,148	213,012	6.13%
Thereafter	5,702	760,618	766,320	5.41%
Sub-total	46,556	2,167,829	2,214,385	6.08%
Adjustment for unamortized debt discount/premium, net, as of December 31, 2007	(2,650)	0	(2,650)	
Totals/Weighted Average	\$43,906	\$2,167,829	\$2,211,735	6.08%

(a) Actual weighted average LIBOR contract rates relating to the Company's outstanding debt as of December 31, 2007 of 5.01 percent was used in calculating revolving credit facility.

#### Senior Unsecured Notes:

The terms of the Company's senior unsecured notes (which totaled approximately \$1.6 billion as of December 31, 2007) include certain restrictions and covenants which require compliance with financial ratios relating to the maximum amount of debt leverage, the maximum amount of secured indebtedness, the minimum amount of debt service coverage and the maximum amount of unsecured debt as a percent of unsecured assets.

#### Unsecured Revolving Credit Facility:

The Company has an unsecured revolving credit facility with a borrowing capacity of \$775 million (expandable to \$800 million). The interest rate on outstanding borrowings (not electing the Company's competitive bid feature) under the unsecured facility is currently LIBOR plus 55 basis points. The facility has a competitive bid feature, which allows the Company to solicit bids from lenders under the facility to borrow up to \$300 million at interest rates less than the current LIBOR plus 55 basis point spread. As of December 31, 2007, the Company's outstanding borrowings carried a weighted average interest rate of LIBOR plus 55 basis points. The Company may also elect an interest rate representing the higher of the lender's prime rate or the Federal Funds rate plus 50 basis points. The unsecured facility, which also requires a 15 basis point facility fee on the current borrowing capacity payable quarterly in arrears, is scheduled to mature in June 2011 and has an extension option of one year, which would require a payment of 15 basis points of the then borrowing capacity of the facility upon exercise. As of February 8, 2008, the Company had \$291 million of outstanding borrowings under its unsecured revolving credit facility.

The interest rate and the facility fee are subject to adjustment, on a sliding scale, based upon the operating partnership's unsecured debt ratings. In the event of a change in the Operating Partnership's unsecured debt rating, the interest and facility fee rates will be adjusted in accordance with the following table:

Operating Partnership's Unsecured Debt Ratings: S&P Moody's/Fitch (a)	Interest Rate – Applicable Basis Points Above LIBOR	Facility Fee Basis Points
No ratings or less than BBB-/Baa3/BBB-	100.0	25.0

BBB-/Baa3/BBB-	75.0	20.0
BBB/Baa2/BBB (current)	55.0	15.0
BBB+/Baa1/BBB+	42.5	15.0
A-/A3/A- or higher	37.5	12.5

(a) If the Operating Partnership has debt ratings from two rating agencies, one of which is Standard & Poor's Rating Services ("S&P") or Moody's Investors Service ("Moody's"), the rates per the above table shall be based on the lower of such ratings. If the Operating Partnership has debt ratings from three rating agencies, one of which is S&P or Moody's, the rates per the above table shall be based on the lower of the two highest ratings. If the Operating Partnership has debt ratings from only one agency, it will be considered to have no rating or less than BBB-/Baa3/BBB- per the above table.

The terms of the unsecured facility include certain restrictions and covenants which limit, among other things, the payment of dividends (as discussed below), the incurrence of additional indebtedness, the incurrence of liens and the disposition of real estate properties (to the extent that: (i) such property dispositions cause the Company to default on any of the financial ratios of the facility described below, or (ii) the property dispositions are completed while the Company is under an event of default under the facility, unless, under certain circumstances, such disposition is being carried out to cure such default), and which require compliance with financial ratios relating to the maximum leverage ratio, the maximum amount of secured indebtedness, the minimum amount of tangible net worth, the minimum amount of fixed charge coverage, the maximum amount of unsecured indebtedness, the minimum amount of unencumbered property interest coverage and certain investment limitations. The dividend restriction referred to above provides that, if an event of default has occurred and is continuing, the Company will not make any excess distributions with respect to common stock or other common equity interests except to enable the Company to continue to qualify as a REIT under the Code.

The lending group for the credit facility consists of: JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent (the “Agent”); Bank of America, N.A., as syndication agent; Scotiabanc, Inc., Wachovia Bank, National Association, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as documentation agents; SunTrust Bank, as senior managing agent; US Bank National Association, Citicorp North America, Inc. and PNC Bank, National Association, as managing agents; and Bank of China, New York Branch, The Bank of New York; Chevy Chase Bank, F.S.B., The Royal Bank of Scotland PLC, Mizuho Corporate Bank, Ltd., The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ, Ltd. (Successor by merger to UFJ Bank Limited), North Fork Bank, Bank Hapoalim B.M., Comerica Bank, Chang Hwa Commercial Bank, Ltd., New York Branch, First Commercial Bank, New York Agency, Mega International Commercial Bank Co. Ltd., New York Branch, Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas and Hua Nan Commercial Bank, New York Agency, as participants.

#### Mortgages, Loans Payable and Other Obligations:

The Company has mortgages, loans payable and other obligations which consist of various loans collateralized by certain of the Company’s rental properties. Payments on mortgages, loans payable and other obligations are generally due in monthly installments of principal and interest, or interest only.

#### Debt Strategy:

The Company does not intend to reserve funds to retire the Company’s senior unsecured notes or its mortgages, loans payable and other obligations upon maturity. Instead, the Company will seek to refinance such debt at maturity or retire such debt through the issuance of additional equity or debt securities on or before the applicable maturity dates. If it cannot raise sufficient proceeds to retire the maturing debt, the Company may draw on its revolving credit facility to retire the maturing indebtedness, which would reduce the future availability of funds under such facility. As of February 8, 2008, the Company had \$291 million in outstanding borrowings under its \$775 million unsecured revolving credit facility. The Company is reviewing various refinancing options, including the purchase of its senior unsecured notes in privately-negotiated transactions, the issuance of additional, or exchange of current, unsecured debt, preferred stock, and/or obtaining additional mortgage debt, some or all of which may be completed during 2008. The Company anticipates that its available cash and cash equivalents and cash flows from operating activities, together with cash available from borrowings and other sources, will be adequate to meet the Company’s capital and liquidity needs both in the short and long-term. However, if these sources of funds are insufficient or unavailable, the Company’s ability to make the expected distributions discussed below may be adversely affected.



## Equity Financing and Registration Statements

## Equity Activity:

The following table presents the changes in the Company's issued and outstanding shares of Common Stock and the Operating Partnership's common units since December 31, 2006:

	Common Stock	Common Units	Total
Outstanding at December 31, 2006	62,925,191	15,342,283	78,267,474
Common Stock offering	4,650,000	--	4,650,000
Stock options exercised	132,770	--	132,770
Common units redeemed for Common Stock	471,656	(471,656)	--
Common units issued	--	114,911	114,911
Shares issued under Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan	7,738	--	7,738
Restricted shares issued	113,118	--	113,118
Repurchase of Common Stock	(2,742,400)	--	(2,742,400)
Outstanding at December 31, 2007	65,558,073	14,985,538	80,543,611

## Share Repurchase Program:

The Company has a share repurchase program to purchase up to \$150 million of the Company's outstanding common stock ("Repurchase Program"), which it may repurchase from time to time in open market transactions at prevailing prices or through privately negotiated transactions. From January 1, 2007 through February 8, 2008, the Company purchased and retired 2,893,630 shares of its outstanding common stock for a cost of approximately \$104 million, with a remaining authorization under the Repurchase Program of approximately \$46 million.

## Shelf Registration Statements:

The Company has an effective shelf registration statement on Form S-3 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") for an aggregate amount of \$2.0 billion in common stock, preferred stock, depositary shares, and/or warrants of the Company, under which \$260.1 million of securities have been sold through December 31, 2007 and \$1.7 billion remains available for future issuances.

The Company and the Operating Partnership also have an effective shelf registration statement on Form S-3 filed with the SEC for an aggregate amount of \$2.5 billion in common stock, preferred stock, depositary shares and guarantees of the Company and debt securities of the Operating Partnership, under which \$600 million of securities have been sold through February 8, 2008 and \$1.9 billion remains available for future issuances.

## Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

## Unconsolidated Joint Venture Debt:

The debt of the Company's unconsolidated joint ventures aggregating \$535 million at December 31, 2007, is non-recourse to the Company except for customary exceptions pertaining to such matters as intentional misuse of funds, environmental conditions and material misrepresentations. The Company has also posted a \$7.0 million letter of credit in support of the Harborside South Pier joint venture, \$3.5 million of which is indemnified by Hyatt.

The Company's off-balance sheet arrangements are further discussed in Note 4: Investments in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures to the Financial Statements.



## Contractual Obligations

The following table outlines the timing of payment requirements related to the Company's debt (principal and interest), PILOT agreements, ground lease and other agreements as of December 31, 2007:

(dollars in thousands)	Total	Payments Due by Period				
		Less than 1 Year	1 – 3 Years	4 – 5 Years	6 – 10 Years	After 10 Years
Senior unsecured notes	\$2,097,254	\$100,494	\$629,576	\$597,402	\$769,782	--
Revolving credit facility	299,168	13,884	27,769	256,942	573	--
Mortgages, loans payable and other obligations	394,021	150,386	206,324	33,950	75,140	\$28,221
Payments in lieu of taxes (PILOT)	65,909	4,194	12,780	8,697	23,835	16,403
Operating lease payments	816	2	19	--	--	--
Ground lease payments	37,442	486	1,503	1,002	2,418	32,033
Total	\$2,893,875	\$169,506	\$877,971	\$897,993	\$871,748	\$76,657

## Inflation

The Company's leases with the majority of its tenants provide for recoveries and escalation charges based upon the tenant's proportionate share of, and/or increases in, real estate taxes and certain operating costs, which reduce the Company's exposure to increases in operating costs resulting from inflation.

## DISCLOSURE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

We consider portions of this information, including the documents incorporated by reference, to be forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. We intend such forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe harbor provisions for forward-looking statements contained in Section 21E of such act. Such forward-looking statements relate to, without limitation, our future economic performance, plans and objectives for future operations and projections of revenue and other financial items. Forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of words such as "may," "will," "plan," "should," "expect," "anticipate," "estimate," "continue" or comparable terminology. Forward-looking statements are inherently subject to risks and uncertainties, many of which we cannot predict with accuracy and some of which we might not even anticipate. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are based upon reasonable assumptions at the time made, we can give no assurance that such expectations will be achieved. Future events and actual results, financial and otherwise, may differ materially from the results discussed in the forward-looking statements. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements.

Among the factors about which we have made assumptions are:

- changes in the general economic climate and conditions, including those affecting industries in which our principal tenants compete;

- the extent of any tenant bankruptcies or of any early lease terminations;
- our ability to lease or re-lease space at current or anticipated rents;
- changes in the supply of and demand for office, office/flex and industrial/warehouse properties;
- changes in interest rate levels;
- changes in operating costs;
- our ability to obtain adequate insurance, including coverage for terrorist acts;
- the availability of financing;
- changes in governmental regulation, tax rates and similar matters; and
- other risks associated with the development and acquisition of properties, including risks that the development may not be completed on schedule, that the tenants will not take occupancy or pay rent, or that development or operating costs may be greater than anticipated.

## ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Market risk is the exposure to loss resulting from changes in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates, commodity prices and equity prices. In pursuing its business plan, the primary market risk to which the Company is exposed is interest rate risk. Changes in the general level of interest rates prevailing in the financial markets may affect the spread between the Company's yield on invested assets and cost of funds and, in turn, its ability to make distributions or payments to its investors.

Approximately \$2.0 billion of the Company's long-term debt bears interest at fixed rates and therefore the fair value of these instruments is affected by changes in market interest rates. The following table presents principal cash flows (in thousands) based upon maturity dates of the debt obligations and the related weighted-average interest rates by expected maturity dates for the fixed rate debt. The average interest rate on the variable rate debt as of December 31, 2007 was LIBOR plus 55 basis points.

December 31,								
2007								
Debt,								
including current								
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	Thereafter	Total Fair Value	
portion								
(\$'s in thousands)								
Fixed Rate	\$32,782	\$310,568	\$336,407	\$302,329	\$212,806	\$766,843	\$1,961,735	\$1,906,615
Average Interest Rate	5.21%	7.40%	5.26%	7.91%	6.13%	5.41%	6.15%	
Variable Rate				\$250,000			\$ 250,000	\$ 250,000

While the Company has not experienced any significant credit losses, in the event of a significant rising interest rate environment and/or economic downturn, defaults could increase and result in losses to the Company which could adversely affect its operating results and liquidity.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

The information required by Item 8 is contained in the Consolidated Financial Statements and Report of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, together with the notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements and the report of independent registered public accounting firm, filed with this annual report on Form 10-K, see Item 15: Exhibits and Financial Statements.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

**Disclosure Controls and Procedures.** The Company's management, with the participation of the Company's chief executive officer and chief financial officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act")) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on such evaluation, the Company's chief executive officer and chief financial officer have concluded that, as of the end of such period, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective in recording, processing, summarizing and reporting, on a timely basis, information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act.

**Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting.** Internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act, is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the Company's chief executive officer and chief financial officer, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the Company's board of directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. The Company's management, with the participation of the Company's chief executive officer and chief financial officer, has established and maintained policies and procedures designed to maintain the adequacy of the Company's internal control over financial reporting, and includes those policies and procedures that:

- (1) Pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company;
- (2) Provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and
- (3) Provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

The Company's management has evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007 based on the criteria established in a report entitled Internal Control—Integrated Framework,

issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on our assessment and those criteria, the Company's management has concluded that the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2007.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree or compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

The effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007 has been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which appears herein.

Changes In Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. There have not been any changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the fourth fiscal quarter to which this report relates that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

Not Applicable.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The information required by Item 10 will be set forth in the Company's definitive proxy statement for its annual meeting of shareholders expected to be held on May 21, 2008, and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by Item 11 will be set forth in the Company's definitive proxy statement for its annual meeting of shareholders expected to be held on May 21, 2008, and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The information required by Item 12 will be set forth in the Company's definitive proxy statement for its annual meeting of shareholders expected to be held on May 21, 2008, and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information required by Item 13 will be set forth in the Company's definitive proxy statement for its annual meeting of shareholders expected to be held on May 21, 2008, and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by Item 14 will be set forth in the Company's definitive proxy statement for its annual meeting of shareholders expected to be held on May 21, 2008, and is incorporated herein by reference.





PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a) 1. All Financial Statements

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2007 and 2006

Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(a) 2. Financial Statement Schedules

Schedule III - Real Estate Investments and Accumulated Depreciation as of December 31, 2007

All other schedules are omitted because they are not required or the required information is shown in the financial statements or notes thereto.

(a) 3. Exhibits

The exhibits required by this item are set forth on the Exhibit Index attached hereto.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To Board of Directors and Shareholders  
of Mack-Cali Realty Corporation:

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements listed in the index appearing under Item 15(a)(1) present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and its subsidiaries (collectively, the "Company") at December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2007 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedule listed in the index appearing under Item 15(a)(2) presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements and financial statement schedule, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in Management's Report on Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting appearing under Item 9A. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements, on the financial statement schedule, and on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP  
New York, New York  
February 13, 2008

65

---

MACK-CALI REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (in thousands, except per share amounts)

		December 31,
ASSETS	2007	2006
Rental property		
Land and leasehold interests	\$ 726,253	\$ 659,169
Buildings and improvements	3,753,088	3,549,699
Tenant improvements	397,132	356,495
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	8,956	8,224
	4,885,429	4,573,587
Less – accumulated depreciation and amortization	(907,013)	(796,793)
Net investment in rental property	3,978,416	3,776,794
Cash and cash equivalents	24,716	101,223
Marketable securities available for sale at fair value	4,839	--
Investments in unconsolidated joint ventures	181,066	160,301
Unbilled rents receivable, net	107,761	100,847
Deferred charges and other assets, net	246,386	240,637
Restricted cash	13,613	15,448
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$1,576 and \$1,260	36,405	27,639
Total assets	\$4,593,202	\$4,422,889
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Senior unsecured notes	\$1,632,547	\$1,631,482
Revolving credit facilities	250,000	145,000
Mortgages, loans payable and other obligations	329,188	383,477
Dividends and distributions payable	52,099	50,591
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities	142,778	122,134
Rents received in advance and security deposits	51,992	45,972
Accrued interest payable	34,193	34,106
Total liabilities	2,492,797	2,412,762
Minority interests:		
Operating Partnership	456,436	480,103
Consolidated joint ventures	1,414	2,117
Total minority interests	457,850	482,220
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, 5,000,000 shares authorized, 10,000 and 10,000 shares outstanding, at liquidation preference	25,000	25,000
Common stock, \$0.01 par value, 190,000,000 shares authorized, 65,558,073 and 62,925,191 shares outstanding	656	629

Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Additional paid-in capital	1,886,467	1,708,053
Dividends in excess of net earnings	(269,521)	(205,775)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(47)	--
Total stockholders' equity	1,642,555	1,527,907
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$4,593,202	\$4,422,889

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

## MACK-CALI REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (in thousands, except per share amounts)

		Year Ended December 31,	
	2007	2006	2005
<b>REVENUES</b>			
Base rents	\$575,463	\$532,879	\$497,358
Escalations and recoveries from tenants	104,781	90,214	77,109
Construction services	88,066	56,225	--
Real estate services	17,970	31,045	2,917
Other income	22,070	21,649	14,607
Total revenues	808,350	732,012	591,991
<b>EXPENSES</b>			
Real estate taxes	90,895	85,999	76,653
Utilities	73,072	59,788	51,720
Operating services	106,946	107,880	79,185
Direct construction costs	85,179	53,602	--
General and administrative	52,162	49,074	32,432
Depreciation and amortization	183,564	159,096	141,771
Total expenses	591,818	515,439	381,761
Operating Income	216,532	216,573	210,230
<b>OTHER (EXPENSE) INCOME</b>			
Interest expense	(126,672)	(134,964)	(119,070)
Interest and other investment income	4,670	3,054	856
Equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated joint ventures	(5,918)	(5,556)	248
Minority interest in consolidated joint ventures	643	218	(74)
Gain on sale of investment in marketable securities	--	15,060	--
Gain on sale of investment in unconsolidated joint ventures	--	10,831	35
Gain/(loss) on sale of land and other assets	--	(416)	--
Total other (expense) income	(127,277)	(111,773)	(118,005)
Income from continuing operations before minority interest			
in Operating Partnership	89,255	104,800	92,225
Minority interest in Operating Partnership	(16,126)	(20,121)	(18,238)
Income from continuing operations	73,129	84,679	73,987
Discontinued operations (net of minority interest):			
Income from discontinued operations	1,057	12,272	17,075
Realized gains (losses) and unrealized losses			
on disposition of rental property, net	36,280	47,715	4,426
Total discontinued operations, net	37,337	59,987	21,501
Net income	110,466	144,666	95,488
Preferred stock dividends	(2,000)	(2,000)	(2,000)
Net income available to common shareholders	\$108,466	\$142,666	\$ 93,488
Basic earnings per common share:			
Income from continuing operations		\$ 1.06	\$ 1.33
			\$ 1.17

Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Discontinued operations		0.56	0.96	0.35
Net income available to common shareholders		\$ 1.62	\$ 2.29	\$ 1.52
Diluted earnings per common share:				
Income from continuing operations		\$ 1.06	\$ 1.32	\$ 1.16
Discontinued operations		0.55	0.96	0.35
Net income available to common shareholders		\$ 1.61	\$ 2.28	\$ 1.51
Dividends declared per common share		\$ 2.56	\$ 2.54	\$ 2.52
Basic weighted average shares outstanding	67,026	62,237	61,477	
Diluted weighted average shares outstanding	82,500	77,901	74,189	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.



MACK-CALI REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (in thousands)

	Preferred Stock		Common Stock		Additional Paid-In Capital	Unamortized Stock Compensation	Dividends in Excess of Net Earnings	Accumulated		Total Comprehensive Income
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Par Value				Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Stockholders' Equity	
Balance at January 1, 2005	10	\$ 25,000	61,039	\$ 610	\$ 1,650,834	\$ (3,968)	\$ (127,365)	--	\$ 1,545,111	--
Net income	--	--	--	--	--	--	95,488	--	95,488	\$ 95,488
Preferred stock dividends	--	--	--	--	--	--	(2,000)	--	(2,000)	--
Common stock dividends	--	--	--	--	--	--	(155,702)	--	(155,702)	--
Redemption of common units for common stock	--	--	235	2	6,788	--	--	--	6,790	--
Shares issued under Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan	--	--	9	--	390	--	--	--	390	--
Stock options exercised	--	--	574	6	16,597	--	--	--	16,603	--
Stock options expense	--	--	--	--	448	--	--	--	448	--
Comprehensive Loss:										
Unrealized holding loss on marketable securities available for sale	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	(790)	(790)	(790)
Directors Deferred comp. plan	--	--	5	--	288	--	--	--	288	--
Issuance of restricted stock	--	--	166	2	7,189	(7,191)	--	--	--	--
Amortization of stock comp. Adj. to fair value of	--	--	--	--	--	4,661	--	--	4,661	--

## Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

restricted stock	--	--	--	--	(37)	37	--	--	--	--
Cancellation of restricted stock	--	--	(8)	--	(356)	356	--	--	--	--
Balance at December 31, 2005	10	25,000	62,020	620	1,682,141	(6,105)	(189,579)	(790)	1,511,287	\$ 94,698
Reclassification upon the adoption of FASB No. 123(R)	--	--	--	--	(6,105)	6,105	--	--	--	--
Net income	--	--	--	--	--	--	144,666	--	144,666	144,666
Preferred stock dividends	--	--	--	--	--	--	(2,000)	--	(2,000)	--
Common stock dividends	--	--	--	--	--	--	(158,862)	--	(158,862)	--
Redemption of common units for common stock	--	--	475	5	14,669	--	--	--	14,674	--
Shares issued under Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan	--	--	5	--	244	--	--	--	244	--
Stock options exercised	--	--	353	3	10,442	--	--	--	10,445	--
Stock options expense	--	--	--	--	465	--	--	--	465	--
Comprehensive Gain:										
Unrealized holding gain on marketable securities available for sale	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	15,850	15,850	15,850
Directors Deferred comp. plan	--	--	--	--	302	--	--	--	302	--
Issuance of restricted stock	--	--	81	1	--	--	--	--	1	--
Amortization of stock comp.	--	--	--	--	5,895	--	--	--	5,895	--
Cancellation of restricted stock	--	--	(9)	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Reclassification adjustment for realized gain included										

## Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

in net income	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	(15,060)	(15,060)	(15,060)
Balance at	\$		\$	\$		\$		\$		
December 31,										
2006	10	25,000	62,925	629	1,708,053	--	(205,775)	--	1,527,907	\$ 145,456
Net income	--	--	--	--	--	--	110,466	--	110,466	110,466
Preferred stock dividends	--	--	--	--	--	--	(2,000)	--	(2,000)	--
Common stock dividends	--	--	--	--	--	--	(172,212)	--	(172,212)	--
Common stock offering	--	--	4,650	47	251,685	--	--	--	251,732	--
Redemption of common units for common stock	--	--	472	5	14,618	--	--	--	14,623	--
Shares issued under Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan			7	--	311	--	--	--	311	--
Stock options exercised	--	--	133	1	3,801	--	--	--	3,802	--
Stock options expense	--	--	--	--	132	--	--	--	132	--
Comprehensive Gain:										
Unrealized holding gain on marketable securities available for sale	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$ (47)	(47)	(47)
Directors Deferred comp. plan	--	--	--	--	323	--	--	--	323	--
Issuance of restricted stock	--	--	113	1	2,851	--	--	--	2,852	--
Amortization of stock comp.	--	--	--	--	3,487	--	--	--	3,487	--
Cancellation of restricted stock	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Repurchase of common stock	--	--	(2,742)	(27)	(98,794)	--	--	--	(98,821)	--
Balance at										
December 31,										
2007	10	\$ 25,000	65,558	\$ 656	\$ 1,886,467	--	\$ (269,521)	\$ (47)	\$ 1,642,555	\$ 110,419

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.



MACK-CALI REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (in thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,		
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES	2007	2006	2005
Net income	\$ 110,466	\$ 144,666	\$ 95,488
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by			
Operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization, including related intangible assets	179,705	156,987	138,047
Depreciation and amortization on discontinued operations	424	8,853	14,327
Stock options expense	132	465	448
Amortization of stock compensation	3,487	5,895	4,661
Amortization of deferred financing costs and debt discount	2,808	3,157	3,271
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint venture, net	5,918	5,556	(248)
Gain on sale of investment in unconsolidated joint ventures	--	(10,831)	(35)
Gain on sale of marketable securities available for sale	--	(15,060)	--
Loss on sale of land and other assets	--	416	--
(Realized gains) unrealized losses on disposition of rental property (net of minority interest)	(36,280)	(47,715)	(4,426)
Distributions of cumulative earnings from unconsolidated joint ventures	1,875	2,302	--
Minority interest in Operating Partnership	16,126	20,533	18,758
Minority interest in consolidated joint ventures	(643)	(218)	74
Minority interest in income from discontinued operations	240	2,603	2,777
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Increase in unbilled rents receivable, net	(7,490)	(15,989)	(13,283)
Increase in deferred charges and other assets, net	(20,665)	(37,975)	(36,841)
(Increase) decrease in accounts receivable, net	(8,766)	3,162	(1,237)
Increase in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities	6,532	4,598	15,674
Increase (decrease) in rents received in advance and security deposits	6,020	(1,713)	(253)
Increase in accrued interest payable	87	6,235	5,727
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 259,976	\$ 235,927	\$ 242,929

**CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING  
ACTIVITIES**

Additions to rental property, related intangibles and service companies	\$ (382,742)	\$ (217,804)	\$ (451,335)
Repayment of mortgage note receivable	159	150	81
Investment in unconsolidated joint ventures	(29,017)	(163,428)	(17,788)
Distributions from unconsolidated joint ventures	992	39,982	--
Proceeds from sale of investment in unconsolidated joint venture	575	16,324	2,676
Acquisition of minority interest in consolidated joint venture	--	--	(7,713)
Proceeds from sales of rental property and service company	57,204	338,546	102,980
Purchase of marketable securities available for sale	(4,884)	(11,912)	(51,637)
Proceeds from sale of marketable securities available for sale	--	78,609	--
Decrease (increase) in restricted cash	1,835	(6,227)	1,256
 Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	 \$ (355,878)	 \$ 74,240	 \$ (421,480)

**CASH FLOW FROM FINANCING  
ACTIVITIES**

Borrowings from revolving credit facility	\$ 539,000	\$ 983,250	\$ 1,041,560
Proceeds from senior unsecured notes	--	199,914	398,480
Proceeds from mortgages	--	--	58,500
Repurchase of common stock	(98,821)	--	--
Repayment of revolving credit facility	(434,000)	(1,104,643)	(921,560)
Repayment of mortgages, loans payable and other obligations	(29,038)	(160,626)	(169,935)
Payment of financing costs	(1,797)	(646)	(5,071)
Proceeds from stock options exercised	3,802	10,445	16,603
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	251,732	--	--
Payment of dividends and distributions	(211,483)	(197,035)	(191,899)
 Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	 \$ 19,395	 \$ (269,341)	 \$ 226,678
 Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	 \$ (76,507)	 \$ 40,826	 \$ 48,127
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	101,223	60,397	12,270
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 24,716	\$ 101,223	\$ 60,397

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.



MACK-CALI REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. ORGANIZATION AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

ORGANIZATION

Mack-Cali Realty Corporation, a Maryland corporation, together with its subsidiaries (collectively, the “Company”), is a fully-integrated, self-administered, self-managed real estate investment trust (“REIT”) providing leasing, management, acquisition, development, construction and tenant-related services for its properties. As of December 31, 2007, the Company owned or had interests in 294 properties plus developable land (collectively, the “Properties”). The Properties aggregate approximately 33.7 million square feet, which are comprised of 283 buildings, primarily office and office/flex buildings totaling approximately 33.3 million square feet (which include 38 buildings, primarily office buildings aggregating approximately 4.5 million square feet owned by unconsolidated joint ventures in which the Company has investment interests), six industrial/warehouse buildings totaling approximately 387,400 square feet, two retail properties totaling approximately 17,300 square feet, one hotel (which is owned by an unconsolidated joint venture in which the Company has an investment interest) and two parcels of land leased to others. The Properties are located in six states, primarily in the Northeast, plus the District of Columbia.

BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include all accounts of the Company, its majority-owned and/or controlled subsidiaries, which consist principally of Mack-Cali Realty, L.P. (the “Operating Partnership”) and variable interest entities for which the Company has determined itself to be the primary beneficiary, if any. See Note 2: Significant Accounting Policies – Investments in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures, Net for the Company’s treatment of unconsolidated joint venture interests. Intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Certain reclassifications have been made to prior period amounts in order to conform with current period presentation.

2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

**Rental Property** Rental properties are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Costs directly related to the acquisition, development and construction of rental properties are capitalized. Capitalized development and construction costs include pre-construction costs essential to the development of the property, development and construction costs, interest, property taxes, insurance, salaries and other project costs incurred during the period of development. Included in total rental property is construction and development in-progress of \$126,470,000 and \$116,151,000 (including land of \$68,328,000 and \$63,136,000) as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Ordinary repairs and maintenance are expensed as incurred; major replacements and betterments, which improve or extend the life of the asset, are capitalized and depreciated over their estimated useful lives. Fully-depreciated assets are removed from the accounts.

The Company considers a construction project as substantially completed and held available for occupancy upon the completion of tenant improvements, but no later than one year from cessation of major construction activity (as distinguished from activities such as routine maintenance and cleanup). If portions of a rental project are substantially



completed and occupied by tenants, or held available for occupancy, and other portions have not yet reached that stage, the substantially completed portions are accounted for as a separate project. The Company allocates costs incurred between the portions under construction and the portions substantially completed and held available for occupancy, and capitalizes only those costs associated with the portion under construction.

Properties are depreciated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. The estimated useful lives are as follows:

Leasehold interests	Remaining lease term
B u i l d i n g s a n d improvements	5 to 40 years
Tenant improvements	The shorter of the term of the related lease or useful life
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	5 to 10 years

Upon acquisition of rental property, the Company estimates the fair value of acquired tangible assets, consisting of land, building and improvements, and identified intangible assets and liabilities, generally consisting of the fair value of (i) above and below market leases, (ii) in-place leases and (iii) tenant relationships. The Company allocates the purchase price to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their relative fair values. In estimating the fair value of the tangible and intangible assets acquired, the Company considers information obtained about each property as a result of its due diligence and marketing and leasing activities, and utilizes various valuation methods, such as estimated cash flow projections utilizing appropriate discount and capitalization rates, estimates of replacement costs net of depreciation, and available market information. The fair value of the tangible assets of an acquired property considers the value of the property as if it were vacant.

Above-market and below-market lease values for acquired properties are recorded based on the present value, (using a discount rate which reflects the risks associated with the leases acquired) of the difference between (i) the contractual amounts to be paid pursuant to each in-place lease and (ii) management's estimate of fair market lease rates for each corresponding in-place lease, measured over a period equal to the remaining term of the lease for above-market leases and the initial term plus the term of any below-market fixed rate renewal options for below-market leases. The capitalized above-market lease values are amortized as a reduction of base rental revenue over the remaining term of the respective leases, and the capitalized below-market lease values are amortized as an increase to base rental revenue over the remaining initial terms plus the terms of any below-market fixed rate renewal options of the respective leases.

Other intangible assets acquired include amounts for in-place lease values and tenant relationship values, which are based on management's evaluation of the specific characteristics of each tenant's lease and the Company's overall relationship with the respective tenant. Factors to be considered by management in its analysis of in-place lease values include an estimate of carrying costs during hypothetical expected lease-up periods considering current market conditions, and costs to execute similar leases. In estimating carrying costs, management includes real estate taxes, insurance and other operating expenses and estimates of lost rentals at market rates during the expected lease-up periods, depending on local market conditions. In estimating costs to execute similar leases, management considers leasing commissions, legal and other related expenses. Characteristics considered by management in valuing tenant relationships include the nature and extent of the Company's existing business relationships with the tenant, growth prospects for developing new business with the tenant, the tenant's credit quality and expectations of lease renewals. The value of in-place leases are amortized to expense over the remaining initial terms of the respective leases. The value of tenant relationship intangibles are amortized to expense over the anticipated life of the relationships.

On a periodic basis, management assesses whether there are any indicators that the value of the Company's real estate properties held for use may be impaired. A property's value is impaired only if management's estimate of the aggregate future cash flows (undiscounted and without interest charges) to be generated by the property is less than the carrying value of the property. To the extent impairment has occurred, the loss shall be measured as the excess of the carrying amount of the property over the fair value of the property. The Company's estimates of aggregate future cash flows expected to be generated by each property are based on a number of assumptions that are subject to economic and market uncertainties including, among others, demand for space, competition for tenants, changes in market rental rates, and costs to operate each property. As these factors are difficult to predict and are subject to future events that may alter management's assumptions, the future cash flows estimated by management in its impairment analyses may not be achieved. Management does not believe that the value of any of the Company's rental properties is impaired.

Rental Property  
Held for Sale and  
Discontinued

Operations When assets are identified by management as held for sale, the Company discontinues depreciating the assets and estimates the sales price, net of selling costs, of such assets. If, in management's opinion, the net sales price of the assets which have been identified as held for sale is less than the net book value of the assets, a valuation allowance is established. Properties identified as held for sale and/or sold are presented in discontinued operations for all periods presented. See Note 7: Discontinued Operations.

If circumstances arise that previously were considered unlikely and, as a result, the Company decides not to sell a property previously classified as held for sale, the property is reclassified as held and used. A property that is reclassified is measured and recorded individually at the lower of (a) its carrying amount before the property was classified as held for sale, adjusted for any depreciation (amortization) expense that would have been recognized had the property been continuously classified as held and used, or (b) the fair value at the date of the subsequent decision not to sell.

Investments in  
Unconsolidated

J o i n t The Company accounts for its investments in unconsolidated joint ventures for which Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Interpretation No. 46 (revised December 2003), Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities ("FIN 46") does not apply under the equity method of accounting as the Company exercises significant influence, but does not control these entities. These investments are recorded initially at cost, as Investments in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures, and subsequently adjusted for equity in earnings and cash contributions and distributions.

FIN 46 provides guidance on the identification of entities for which control is achieved through means other than voting rights ("variable interest entities" or "VIEs") and the determination of which business enterprise, if any, should consolidate the VIE (the "primary beneficiary"). Generally, FIN 46 applies when either (1) the equity investors (if any) lack one or more of the essential characteristics of a controlling financial interest, (2) the equity investment at risk is insufficient to finance that entity's activities without additional subordinated financial support or (3) the equity investors have voting rights that are not proportionate to their economic interests and the activities of the entity involve or are conducted on behalf of an investor with a disproportionately small voting interest.

On a periodic basis, management assesses whether there are any indicators that the value of the Company's investments in unconsolidated joint ventures may be impaired. An investment is impaired only if management's estimate of the value of the investment is less than the carrying value of the investment, and such decline in value is deemed to be other than temporary. To the extent impairment has occurred, the loss shall be measured as the excess of the carrying amount of the investment over the value of the investment. Management does not believe that the value of any of the Company's investments in unconsolidated joint ventures is impaired. See Note 4: Investments in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures.

#### Cash and Cash

Equivalents All highly liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less when purchased are considered to be cash equivalents.

#### Marketable

Securities The Company classifies its marketable securities among three categories: Held-to-maturity, trading and available-for-sale. Unrealized holding gains and losses relating to available-for-sale securities are excluded from earnings and reported as other comprehensive income (loss) in stockholders' equity until realized. A decline in the market value of any marketable security below cost that is deemed to be other than temporary results in a reduction in the carrying amount to fair value. Any impairment would be charged to earnings and a new cost basis for the security established.

The Company's marketable securities at December 31, 2007 carried a value of \$4.8 million and consisted of common equity securities. The Company's marketable securities at December 31, 2007 were classified as available-for-sale and were carried at fair value based on quoted market prices. The Company recorded an unrealized holding loss of \$46,000 as other comprehensive loss in 2007.

The Company received dividend income of approximately \$130,000 from its holdings in marketable securities during the year ended December 31, 2007, which is included in interest and other investment income.

#### Deferred

Financing Costs incurred in obtaining financing are capitalized and amortized on a straight-line basis, which Costs approximates the effective interest method, over the term of the related indebtedness. Amortization of such costs is included in interest expense and was \$2,808,000, \$3,157,000, and \$3,271,000 for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

#### Deferred

Leasing Costs incurred in connection with leases are capitalized and amortized on a straight-line basis over the terms Costs of the related leases and included in depreciation and amortization. Unamortized deferred leasing costs are charged to amortization expense upon early termination of the lease. Certain employees of the Company are compensated for providing leasing services to the Properties. The portion of such compensation, which is capitalized and amortized, approximated \$4,132,000, \$3,749,000 and \$3,855,000 for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

#### Derivative

Instruments The Company measures derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts, at fair value and records them as an asset or liability, depending on the Company's rights or obligations under the applicable derivative contract. For derivatives designated and qualifying as fair value hedges, the changes in the fair value of both the derivative instrument and the hedged item are recorded in earnings. For derivatives designated as cash flow hedges, the effective portions of the derivative are reported in other comprehensive income ("OCI") and are subsequently reclassified into earnings when the hedged item affects earnings. Changes in fair value of derivative instruments not designated as hedging and ineffective portions of hedges are recognized in earnings in the affected period.

#### Revenue

Recognition Base rental revenue is recognized on a straight-line basis over the terms of the respective leases. Unbilled rents receivable represents the amount by which straight-line rental revenue exceeds rents currently billed in accordance with the lease agreements. Above-market and below-market lease values for acquired

properties are recorded based on the present value (using a discount rate which reflects the risks associated with the leases acquired) of the difference between (i) the contractual amounts to be paid pursuant to each in-place lease and (ii) management's estimate of fair market lease rates for each corresponding in-place lease, measured over a period equal to the remaining term of the lease for above-market leases and the initial term plus the term of any below-market fixed-rate renewal options for below-market leases. The capitalized above-market lease values for acquired properties are amortized as a reduction of base rental revenue over the remaining term of the respective leases, and the capitalized below-market lease values are amortized as an increase to base rental revenue over the remaining initial terms plus the terms of any below-market fixed-rate renewal options of the respective leases. Escalations and recoveries from tenants are received from tenants for certain costs as provided in the lease agreements. These costs generally include real estate taxes, utilities, insurance, common area maintenance and other recoverable costs. See Note 15: Tenant Leases. Construction services revenue includes fees earned and reimbursements received by the Company for providing construction management and general contractor services to clients. Construction services revenue is recognized on the percentage of completion method. Using this method, profits are recorded on the basis of estimates of the overall profit and percentage of completion of individual contracts. A portion of the estimated profits is accrued based upon estimates of the percentage of completion of the construction contract. This revenue recognition method involves inherent risks relating to profit and cost estimates. Real estate services revenue includes property management, facilities management, leasing commission fees and other services, and payroll and related costs reimbursed from clients. Other income includes income from parking spaces leased to tenants, income from tenants for additional services arranged for by the Company and income from tenants for early lease terminations.

Allowance for

Doubtful Management periodically performs a detailed review of amounts due from tenants to determine if accounts receivable balances are impaired based on factors affecting the collectibility of those balances. Management's estimate of the allowance for doubtful accounts requires management to exercise significant judgment about the timing, frequency and severity of collection losses, which affects the allowance and net income.

Income and

Other Taxes The Company has elected to be taxed as a REIT under Sections 856 through 860 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"). As a REIT, the Company generally will not be subject to corporate federal income tax (including alternative minimum tax) on net income that it currently distributes to its shareholders, provided that the Company satisfies certain organizational and operational requirements including the requirement to distribute at least 90 percent of its REIT taxable income to its shareholders. The Company has elected to treat certain of its corporate subsidiaries as taxable REIT subsidiaries (each a "TRS"). In general, a TRS of the Company may perform additional services for tenants of the Company and generally may engage in any real estate or non-real estate related business (except for the operation or management of health care facilities or lodging facilities or the providing to any person, under a franchise, license or otherwise, rights to any brand name under which any lodging facility or health care facility is operated). A TRS is subject to corporate federal income tax. If the Company fails to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, the Company will be subject to federal income tax (including any applicable alternative minimum tax) on its taxable income at regular corporate tax rates. The Company is subject to certain state and local taxes.

The Company adopted the provisions of FASB Interpretation No. 48 ("FIN 48"), Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 ("FAS No. 109") on January 1, 2007. As a result of the implementation of FIN 48, the Company recognized no material adjustments regarding its tax accounting treatment. The Company expects to recognize interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions, if any, as income tax expense, which is included in general and administrative expense.

#### Earnings

**Per Share** The Company presents both basic and diluted earnings per share (“EPS”). Basic EPS excludes dilution and is computed by dividing net income available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of shares outstanding for the period. Diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock, where such exercise or conversion would result in a lower EPS amount.

#### Dividends and Distributions

**Payable** The dividends and distributions payable at December 31, 2007 represents dividends payable to preferred shareholders (10,000 shares) and common shareholders (65,637,709 shares), and distributions payable to minority interest common unitholders of the Operating Partnership (14,985,538 common units) for all such holders of record as of January 4, 2008 with respect to the fourth quarter 2007. The fourth quarter 2007 preferred stock dividends of \$50.00 per share, common stock dividends and common unit distributions of \$0.64 per common share and unit were approved by the Board of Directors on December 4, 2007. The common stock dividends and common unit distributions payable were paid on January 14, 2008. The preferred stock dividends payable were paid on January 15, 2008.

The dividends and distributions payable at December 31, 2006 represents dividends payable to preferred shareholders (10,000 shares) and common shareholders (62,925,271 shares), and distributions payable to minority interest common unitholders of the Operating Partnership (15,342,283 common units) for all such holders of record as of January 4, 2007 with respect to the fourth quarter 2006. The fourth quarter 2006 preferred stock dividends of \$50.00 per share, common stock dividends and common unit distributions of \$0.64 per common share and unit were approved by the Board of Directors on December 5, 2006. The common stock dividends and common unit distributions payable were paid on January 12, 2007. The preferred stock dividends payable were paid on January 16, 2007.

The Company has determined that the \$2.56 dividend per common share paid during the year ended December 31, 2007 represented approximately 80 percent ordinary income and approximately 20 percent capital gain to its stockholders; the \$2.53 dividend per common share paid during the year ended December 31, 2006 represented 81 percent ordinary income and approximately 19 percent capital gain to its stockholders; and the \$2.52 dividend per common share paid during the year ended December 31, 2005 represented approximately 100 percent ordinary income to its stockholders.

#### Costs Incurred For Stock

**Issuances** Costs incurred in connection with the Company’s stock issuances are reflected as a reduction of additional paid-in capital.

#### Stock

**Compensation** The Company accounts for stock options and restricted stock awards granted prior to 2002 using the intrinsic value method prescribed in Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, “Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees,” and related Interpretations (“APB No. 25”). Under APB No. 25, compensation cost for stock options is measured as the excess, if any, of the quoted market price of the Company’s stock at the date of grant over the exercise price of the option granted. Compensation cost for stock options is recognized ratably over the vesting period. The Company’s policy is to grant options with an exercise price equal to the quoted closing market price of the Company’s stock on the business day preceding the grant date. Accordingly, no compensation cost has been recognized under the Company’s stock option plans for the granting of stock options made prior to 2002. Restricted stock awards granted prior to 2002 are valued at the vesting dates of such awards with compensation cost for



such awards recognized ratably over the vesting period.

In 2002, the Company adopted the provisions of FASB No. 123, and in 2006, the Company adopted the provisions of FASB No. 123(R), which did not have a material effect on the Company's financial position and results of operations. These provisions require that the estimated fair value of restricted stock ("Restricted Stock Awards") and stock options at the grant date be amortized ratably into expense over the appropriate vesting period. For the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, the Company recorded restricted stock and stock options expense of \$6,470,000, \$6,360,000 and \$5,109,000, respectively. FASB No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation – Transition and Disclosure, was issued in December 2002 and amends FASB No. 123, Accounting for Stock Based Compensation. FASB No. 148 provides alternative methods of transition for a voluntary change to the fair value based method of accounting for stock based compensation. In addition, this Statement amends the disclosure requirements of FASB No. 123 to require prominent disclosures in both annual and interim financial statements about the method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation and the effect of the method used on reported results. FASB No. 148 disclosure requirements are presented below:

The following table illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per share if the fair value based method had been applied to all outstanding and unvested stock awards for the year ended December 31, 2005: (dollars in thousands)

Net income, as reported	\$95,488
Add: Stock-based compensation expense included in reported net income (net of minority interest)	4,260
Deduct: Total stock-based compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards	(5,391)
Add: Minority interest on stock-based compensation expense under fair value based method	896
Pro forma net income	95,253
Deduct: Preferred stock dividends	(2,000)
Pro forma net income available to common shareholders – basic	\$93,253
Earnings Per Share:	
Basic – as reported	\$ 1.52
Basic – pro forma	\$ 1.52
Diluted – as reported	\$ 1.51
Diluted – pro forma	\$ 1.51

Other

Comprehensive

Income Other comprehensive income (loss) includes items that are recorded in equity, such as unrealized holding gains or losses on marketable securities available for sale.

## 3. REAL ESTATE TRANSACTIONS

## Property Acquisitions

The Company acquired the following office properties during the year ended December 31, 2007 (dollars in thousands):

Acquisition Date	Property/Address	Location	# of Bldgs.	Rentable Square Feet	Acquisition Cost
05/08/07	AAA Properties (a) (c)	Hamilton Township, New Jersey	2	69,232	\$ 9,048
06/11/07	125 Broad Street (b) (c)	New York, New York	1	524,476	274,091
Total Property Acquisitions:			3	593,708	\$283,139

(a) Included in this transaction was the acquisition of two parcels of developable land aggregating approximately 13 acres.

(b) Acquisition represented two units of office condominium interests, which collectively comprise floors 2 through 16, or 39.6 percent, of the 40-story, 1.2 million square-foot building.

(c) Transaction was funded primarily through borrowing on the Company's revolving credit facility.

## Properties Commencing Initial Operations

The following office property commenced initial operations during the year ended December 31, 2007 (dollars in thousands):

Date	Property/Address	Location	# of Bldgs.	Rentable Square Feet	Investment by Company (a)
05/08/07	700 Horizon Drive	Hamilton Township, New Jersey	1	120,000	\$16,751
Total Properties Commencing Initial Operations:			1	120,000	\$16,751

(a) Development costs were funded primarily through borrowing on the Company's revolving credit facility. Amounts are as of December 31, 2007.

## Land Acquisition

In February 2007, the Company exercised its option to acquire approximately 43 acres of land sites within its Capital Office Park complex in Greenbelt, Maryland, which is able to accommodate the development of up to 600,000 square feet of office space, for \$13 million. On May 25, 2007, the Company completed the purchase of the land for approximately \$13 million, which consisted of 114,911 common operating partnership units valued at \$5.2 million, and the remainder in cash.

## Property Sales

The Company sold the following office properties during the year ended December 31, 2007 (dollars in thousands):

Sale Date	Property/Address	Location	# of Bldgs.	Rentable Square Feet	Net Sales Proceeds	Net Book Value	Net Realized Gain
-----------	------------------	----------	-------------	----------------------	--------------------	----------------	-------------------

Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

05/10/07	1000 Bridgeport Avenue	Shelton, Connecticut	1	133,000	\$16,411	\$13,782	\$ 2,629
06/11/07	500 W. Putnam Avenue	Greenwich, Connecticut	1	121,250	54,344	18,113	36,231
07/13/07	100& 200 Decadon Drive	Egg Harbor, New Jersey	2	80,344	11,448	5,894	5,554

Total Office Property Sales: 4 334,594 \$82,203 \$37,789 \$44,414

Gale Earn-Out and Agreement:

The agreement to acquire the Gale Company (“Gale Agreement”), which was completed as part of the Gale/Green transactions on May 9, 2006, contained earn-out provisions (“Earn-Out”) providing for the payment of contingent purchase consideration of up to \$18 million in cash based upon the achievement of Gross Income and NOI (as such terms were defined in the Gale Agreement) targets and other events for the three years following the closing date.

On May 23, 2007, the Company entered into an amendment (the “Amendment”) to the Gale Agreement. The Amendment eliminated the Earn-Out and substituted an aggregate of \$14 million in payments by the Company consisting of the following: (1) \$8 million, which was paid on May 31, 2007; (2) \$3 million on May 9, 2008; and (3) \$3 million on May 9, 2009.

In October 2007, the Company reached an agreement with Stanley C. Gale, the seller of the Gale Company, Mark Yeager, an executive officer of the Company, and certain affiliates of Messrs. Gale and Yeager, which are parties to certain non-portfolio interest joint ventures with the Company, to provide primarily for the following:

- (1) The Company agreed to satisfy the requirements for the \$3 million payment due on May 9, 2008 and the \$3 million payment due on May 9, 2009 as of October 31, 2007 by:
  - (a) paying \$4 million in cash; and
  - (b) transferring to an entity whose beneficial owners includes Messrs. Gale and Yeager a 49.8 percent interest in an entity that owns one of the non-portfolio interests in a development project located in Belmar, New Jersey, which the Company acquired in June 2006 pursuant to the Gale Agreement for \$1.6 million. In 2007, the Company wrote off \$2.1 million of costs related to this project, as it believes the project is no longer viable, which is included in general and administrative expense for the year ended December 31, 2007.
- (2) Under the Gale Agreement, the Company is obligated to acquire from an entity whose beneficial owners include Messrs. Gale and Yeager (the "Florham Entity"), a 50 percent interest in a venture which owns a developable land parcel in Florham Park, New Jersey (the "Florham Park Land") for a maximum purchase price of up to \$10.5 million, subject to reduction based on developable square feet approved and other conditions, with the completion of such acquisition subject to the Florham Entity obtaining final development permits and approvals and related conditions necessary to allow for office development expected to be 600,000 square feet. The Company has agreed to waive its contractual rights for reimbursement from the Florham Entity for certain costs incurred by the Company securing approvals for the development of the Florham Park Land and to defer collection of other costs. Such deferred other costs, including a carrying charge of six percent per annum, will be credited against the purchase price of the Florham Park Land at closing. In the event the acquisition of the Florham Park Land does not close by May 9, 2009, subject to certain conditions, the Florham Entity will be obligated to pay the deferred other costs and an additional \$1 million to the Company at that time.
- (3) The Company has agreed to settle a dispute and treat the right to receive certain commission payments in the approximate amount of \$2.3 million as an excluded asset under the Gale Agreement, which commissions may become payable in connection with Sanofi-Aventis' exercise of its option to cause the 55 Corporate venture (see Note 4: Investment in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures) to construct a building for Sanofi-Aventis will lease on a long-term basis, as well as the completion of certain related events. The Company has agreed to pay directly to an entity whose beneficial owners include Messrs. Gale and Yeager up to \$769,000 of these commissions when due.

#### 4. INVESTMENTS IN UNCONSOLIDATED JOINT VENTURES

The debt of the Company's unconsolidated joint ventures aggregating \$535 million as of December 31, 2007 is non-recourse to the Company, except for customary exceptions pertaining to such matters as intentional misuse of funds, environmental conditions and material misrepresentations, and except as otherwise indicated below.

##### PLAZA VIII AND IX ASSOCIATES, L.L.C.

Plaza VIII and IX Associates, L.L.C. is a joint venture between the Company and Columbia Development Company, L.L.C. ("Columbia"). The venture was formed to acquire land for future development, located on the Hudson River waterfront in Jersey City, New Jersey, adjacent to the Company's Harborside Financial Center office complex. The Company and Columbia each hold a 50 percent interest in the venture. Among other things, the partnership agreement provides for a preferred return on the Company's invested capital in the venture, in addition to the Company's proportionate share of the venture's profit, as defined in the agreement. The venture owns undeveloped land currently used as a parking facility.



**RAMLAND REALTY ASSOCIATES L.L.C. (One Ramland Road)**

On August 20, 1998, the Company entered into a joint venture with S.B. New York Realty Corp. to form Ramland Realty Associates L.L.C. The venture was formed to own, manage and operate One Ramland Road, a 232,000 square foot office/flex building and adjacent developable land, located in Orangeburg, New York. In August 1999, the joint venture completed redevelopment of the property and placed the office/flex building in service. The Company holds a 50 percent interest in the joint venture. The venture has a mortgage loan with a \$14.8 million balance at December 31, 2007 collateralized by its office/flex property. The mortgage bears interest at a rate of LIBOR plus 175 basis points and is scheduled to mature in January 2009.

The Company performs management, leasing and other services for the property owned by the joint venture and recognized \$63,000, \$100,000 and \$93,000 in fees for such services in the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

**SOUTH PIER AT HARBORSIDE – HOTEL DEVELOPMENT**

On November 17, 1999, the Company entered into a joint venture with Hyatt Corporation (“Hyatt”) to develop a 350-room hotel on the South Pier at Harborside Financial Center, Jersey City, New Jersey, which was completed and commenced initial operations in July 2002. The Company owns a 50 percent interest in the venture.

The venture has a \$70.0 million mortgage loan (with a balance as of December 31, 2007 of \$69.1 million) collateralized by the hotel property. The loan carries an interest rate of 6.15 percent and matures in November 2016. The venture has a loan with a balance as of December 31, 2007 of \$7.0 million with the City of Jersey City, provided by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. The loan currently bears interest at fixed rates ranging from 6.09 percent to 6.62 percent and matures in August 2020. The Company has posted a \$7.0 million letter of credit in support of this loan, \$3.5 million of which is indemnified by Hyatt.

**RED BANK CORPORATE PLAZA L.L.C./RED BANK CORPORATE PLAZA II, L.L.C.**

On March 23, 2006, the Company entered into a joint venture with The PRC Group (“PRC”) to form Red Bank Corporate Plaza L.L.C. The venture was formed to develop Red Bank Corporate Plaza, a 92,878 square foot office building located in Red Bank, New Jersey, which has been fully pre-leased to Hovnanian Enterprises, Inc. for a 10-year term. The Company holds a 50 percent interest in the venture. PRC contributed the vacant land for the development of the office building as its initial capital in the venture. The Company funded the costs of development up to the value of the land contributed by PRC of \$3.5 million as its initial capital.

On October 20, 2006, the venture entered into a \$22.0 million construction loan with a commercial bank collateralized by the land and development project. The loan (with a balance as of December 31, 2007 of \$18.1 million), carries an interest rate of LIBOR plus 130 basis points and matures in April 2009. The interest rate will be reduced to LIBOR plus 125 basis points in April 2008. The loan currently has two one-year extension options subject to certain conditions, each of which requires payment of a fee.

In September 2007, the joint venture completed development of the property and placed the office building in service. The Company performs management, leasing and other services for the property owned by the joint venture and recognized \$678,000 in fees for such services during the year ended December 31, 2007.

On July 20, 2006, the Company entered into a second joint venture agreement with PRC to form Red Bank Corporate Plaza II L.L.C. The venture was formed to hold land on which it plans to develop Red Bank Corporate Plaza II, an 18,561 square foot office building located in Red Bank, New Jersey. The Company holds a 50 percent interest in the venture. The terms of the venture are similar to Red Bank Corporate Plaza L.L.C. PRC contributed the vacant land as its initial capital in the venture.

MACK-GREEN-GALE LLC

On May 9, 2006, as part of the Gale/Green transactions completed in May 2006, the Company entered into a joint venture, Mack-Green-Gale LLC (“Mack-Green”), with SL Green, pursuant to which Mack-Green holds a 96 percent interest in and acts as general partner of Gale SLG NJ Operating Partnership, L.P. (the “OP LP”). The Company’s acquisition cost for its interest in Mack-Green was approximately \$125 million, which was funded primarily through borrowing under the Company’s revolving credit facility. The OP LP owns 100 percent of entities which own 25 office properties (the “OP LP Properties”) which aggregate 3.5 million square feet (consisting of 17 office properties aggregating 2.3 million square feet located in New Jersey and eight properties aggregating 1.2 million square feet located in Troy, Michigan), as well as a minor, non-controlling interest in four office properties aggregating 419,000 square feet located in Naperville, Illinois, which was subsequently sold. In December 2007, the OP LP sold its eight properties located in Troy, Michigan for \$83.5 million. The venture recognized a loss of approximately \$22.3 million from the sale. Included in the Company’s equity in earnings in 2007 was \$223,000 in loss related to the sale.



As defined in the Mack-Green operating agreement, the Company shares decision-making equally with SL Green regarding: (i) all major decisions involving the operations of Mack-Green; and (ii) overall general partner responsibilities in operating the OP LP.

The Mack-Green operating agreement generally provides for profits and losses to be allocated as follows:

- (i) 99 percent of Mack-Green's share of the profits and losses from 10 specific OP LP Properties allocable to the Company and one percent allocable to SL Green;
- (ii) one percent of Mack-Green's share of the profits and losses from eight specific OP LP Properties and its minor interest in four office properties allocable to the Company and 99 percent allocable to SL Green; and
- (iii) 50 percent of all other profits and losses allocable to the Company and 50 percent allocable to SL Green.

Substantially all of the OP LP Properties are encumbered by mortgage loans with an aggregate outstanding principal balance of \$282 million at December 31, 2007. \$188.2 million of the mortgage loans bear interest at a weighted average fixed interest rate of 6.26 percent per annum and mature at various times through May 2016. \$93.6 million of the mortgage loans bear interest at a floating rate ranging from LIBOR plus 275 basis points to LIBOR plus 400 basis points per annum and mature at various times through January 2009. Included in the floating rate mortgage loans are \$90.3 million provided by an affiliate of SL Green.

The Company performs management, leasing, and construction services for the properties owned by the joint venture and recognized \$3.9 million and \$2.3 million in income (net of \$974,000 and \$2.2 million in direct costs) for such services in the years ended December 31, 2007, and 2006, respectively.

#### GE/GALE FUNDING LLC (PFV)

The Gale agreement signed as part of the Gale/Green transactions in May 2006 provides for the Company to acquire certain ownership interests in real estate projects (the "Non-Portfolio Properties"), subject to obtaining certain third party consents and the satisfaction of various project-related and/or other conditions. Each of the Company's acquired interests in the Non-Portfolio Properties provide for the initial distributions of net cash flow solely to the Company, and thereafter an affiliate of Mr. Gale ("Gale Affiliate") has participation rights ("Gale Participation Rights") in 50 percent of the excess net cash flow remaining after the distribution to the Company of the aggregate amount equal to the sum of: (a) the Company's capital contributions, plus (b) an internal rate of return ("IRR") of 10 percent per annum, accruing on the date or dates of the Company's investments.

On May 9, 2006, as part of the Gale/Green transactions, the Company acquired from a Gale Affiliate for \$1.8 million a 50 percent controlling interest in GMW Village Associates, LLC ("GMW Village"). GMW Village holds a 20 percent interest in GE/Gale Funding LLC ("GE Gale"). GE Gale owns a 100 percent interest in the entity owning Princeton Forrestal Village, a mixed-use, office/retail complex aggregating 527,015 square feet and located in Plainsboro, New Jersey ("Princeton Forrestal Village" or "PFV").

In addition to the cash consideration paid to acquire the interest, the Company provided a Gale affiliate with the Gale Participation Rights.

The operating agreement of GE Gale, which is owned 80 percent by GEBAM, Inc., provides for, among other things, distributions of net cash flow, initially, in proportion to each member's interest and subject to adjustment upon achievement of certain financial goals, as defined in the operating agreement.



GE Gale has a mortgage loan with a balance of \$52.8 million at December 31, 2007. The loan bears interest at a rate of LIBOR plus 275 basis points and matures on January 9, 2009, with an extension option through January 9, 2011.

The Company performs management, leasing, and construction services for PFV and recognized \$1.1 million and \$956,000 in income (net of \$1.6 million and \$7.0 million in direct costs) for such services in the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

ROUTE 93 MASTER LLC (“Route 93 Participant”)/ROUTE 93 BEDFORD MASTER LLC (with the Route 93 Participant, collectively, the “Route 93 Venture”)

On June 1, 2006, the Route 93 Venture was formed between the Route 93 Participant, a majority-owned subsidiary of the Company, having a 30 percent interest and the Commingled Pension Trust Fund (Special Situation Property) of JPMorgan Chase Bank having a 70 percent interest, for the purpose of acquiring seven office buildings, aggregating 666,697 square feet, located in the towns of Andover, Bedford and Billerica, Massachusetts. Profits and losses are shared by the partners in proportion to their respective interests until the investment yields an 11 percent IRR, then sharing will shift to 40/60, and when the IRR reaches 15 percent, then sharing will shift to 50/50.

The Route 93 Participant is a joint venture between the Company and a Gale affiliate. Profits and losses are shared by the partners under this venture in proportion to their respective interests (83.3/16.7) until the investment yields an 11 percent IRR, then sharing will shift to 50/50.

The Route 93 Ventures has a mortgage loan with an amount not to exceed \$58.6 million, with a \$42.5 million balance at December 31, 2007 collateralized by its office properties. The loan provides the venture the ability to draw additional monies for qualified leasing and capital improvement costs. The loan bears interest at a rate of LIBOR plus 220 basis points and matures on July 11, 2008, with three one-year extension options.

GALE KIMBALL, L.L.C.

On June 15, 2006, the Company entered into a joint venture with a Gale Affiliate to form M-C Kimball, LLC (“M-C Kimball”). M-C Kimball was formed for the sole purpose of acquiring a Gale Affiliate’s 33.33 percent membership interest in Gale Kimball, L.L.C. (“Gale Kimball”), an entity holding a 25 percent interest in 100 Kimball Drive LLC (“100 Kimball”), which developed and placed in service a 175,000 square foot office property that has been substantially pre-leased to a single tenant, located at 100 Kimball Drive, Parsippany, New Jersey (the “Kimball Property”).

The operating agreement of M-C Kimball provides, among other things, for the Gale Participation Rights (of which Mark Yeager, an Executive Vice President of the Company, has a direct 26 percent interest).

Gale Kimball is owned 33.33 percent by M-C Kimball and 66.67 percent by the Hampshire Generational Fund, L.L.C. (“Hampshire”). The operating agreement of Gale Kimball provides, among other things, for the distribution of net cash flow, initially, in accordance with its members’ respective membership interests and, upon achievement of certain financial conditions, 50 percent to each of the Company and Hampshire.

100 Kimball is owned 25 percent by Gale Kimball and 75 percent by 100 Kimball Drive Realty Member LLC, an affiliate of JPMorgan (“JPM”). The operating agreement of 100 Kimball provides, among other things, for the distributions to be made in the following order:

- (i) first, to JPM, such that JPM is provided with an annual 12 percent compound preferred return on Preferred Equity Capital Contributions (as such term is defined in the operating agreement of 100 Kimball and largely comprised of development and construction costs);
- (ii)

second, to JPM, as return of Preferred Equity Capital Contributions until complete repayment of such Preferred Equity Capital Contributions;

- (iii) third, to each of JPM and Gale Kimball in proportion to their respective membership interests until each member is provided, as a result of such distributions, with an annual twelve percent compound return on the Member's Capital Contributions (as defined in the operating agreement of 100 Kimball, and excluding Preferred Equity Capital Contributions, if any); and

(iv) fourth, 50 percent to each of JPM and Gale Kimball.

On September 21, 2007, the venture obtained a mortgage loan which allows a maximum principal amount of \$47 million (with a balance of \$40.4 million at December 31, 2007). The loan provides the ability to draw funds for qualified leasing and capital improvement costs. The loan bears interest at a rate of 5.95 percent and matures in September 2012.

The Company performs management, leasing, construction and development services for the property owned by 100 Kimball for which it recognized \$1.7 million and \$271,000 in income (net of \$9.4 million and \$6.6 million in direct costs) in the years ended December 31, 2007, and 2006, respectively.

#### 55 CORPORATE PARTNERS, LLC

On June 9, 2006, the Company entered into a joint venture with a Gale Affiliate to form 55 Corporate Partners L.L.C. ("55 Corporate"). 55 Corporate was formed for the sole purpose of acquiring from a Gale Affiliate a 50 percent interest in SLG 55 Corporate Drive II LLC ("SLG 55"), an entity presently holding a 100 percent indirect condominium interest in a vacant land parcel located in Bridgewater, New Jersey, which can accommodate development of an approximately 200,000 square foot office building (the "55 Corporate Property"). The remaining 50 percent in SLG 55 is owned by SLG Gale 55 Corporate LLC, an affiliate of SL Green Realty Corp. ("SLG Gale 55").

In November 2007, Sanofi-Aventis U.S. Inc. ("Sanofi"), which occupies neighboring buildings, exercised its option to cause the venture to construct a building on the Property and has signed a lease thereof. The lease has a term of fifteen years, subject to three five-year extension options. The construction of the building, estimated to cost approximately \$58 million, is not required to commence until July 1, 2009 for a July 2011 delivery; however, if Sanofi gives a Construction Start Date Acceleration Notice in accordance with the provisions of its lease, then construction shall promptly commence after the necessary permits are obtained, even if such construction start date shall occur prior to July 1, 2009.

The operating agreement of 55 Corporate provides, among other things, for the Gale Participation Rights (of which Mr. Yeager has a direct 26 percent interest). If Mr. Gale receives any commission payments with respect to a Sanofi lease on the development property, Mr. Gale has agreed to pay to Mr. Yeager 26 percent of such payments.

The operating agreement of SLG 55 provides, among other things, for the distribution of the available net cash flow to each of 55 Corporate and SLG Gale 55 in proportion to their respective membership interests in SLG 55 (50 percent each).

#### 12 VREELAND ASSOCIATES, L.L.C.

On September 8, 2006, the Company entered into a joint venture with a Gale Affiliate to form M-C Vreeland, LLC ("M-C Vreeland"). M-C Vreeland was formed for the sole purpose of acquiring a Gale Affiliate's 50 percent membership interest in 12 Vreeland Associates, L.L.C., an entity owning an office property located at 12 Vreeland Road, Florham Park, New Jersey.

The operating agreement of M-C Vreeland provides, among other things, for the Gale Participation Rights (of which Mr. Yeager has a direct 15 percent interest).

The office property at 12 Vreeland is a 139,750 square foot office building that is fully leased to a single tenant through June 15, 2012. The property is subject to a mortgage loan, which matures on July 1, 2012, and bears interest at 6.9 percent per annum. As of December 31, 2007 the outstanding balance on the mortgage note was \$8.8 million.

Under the operating agreement of 12 Vreeland Associates, L.L.C., M-C Vreeland has a 50 percent interest, with S/K Florham Park Associates, L.L.C. (the managing member) and its affiliate holding the other 50 percent.

BOSTON-FILENES

On October 20, 2006, the Company formed a joint venture (the “MC/Gale JV LLC”) with Gale International/426 Washington St. LLC (“Gale/426”), which, in turn, entered into a joint venture (the “Vornado JV LLC”) with VNO 426 Washington Street JV LLC (“Vornado”), an affiliate of Vornado Realty LP, which was formed to acquire and redevelop the Filenes property located in the Downtown Crossing district of Boston, Massachusetts (the “Filenes Property”).

On January 25, 2007, (i) each of M-C/Gale JV LLC, Gale and Washington Street Realty Member LLC (“JPM”) formed a joint venture (“JPM JV LLC”), (ii) M-C/Gale JV LLC assigned its entire 50 percent ownership interest in the Vornado JV LLC to JPM JV LLC, (iii) the Limited Liability Company Agreement of Vornado JV LLC was amended to reflect, among other things, the change in the ownership structure described in subsection (ii) above, and (iv) the Limited Liability Company Agreement of MC/Gale JV LLC was amended and restated to reflect, among other things, the change in the ownership structure described in subsection (ii) above. The Vornado JV LLC acquired the Filenes Property on January 29, 2007, for approximately \$100 million.

As a result of the foregoing transactions, as of January 29, 2007, (i) the Filenes Property is owned by Vornado JV LLC, (ii) Vornado JV LLC is owned 50 percent by each of Vornado and JPM JV LLC, (iii) JPM JV LLC is owned 30 percent by M-C/Gale JV LLC, 70 percent by JPM and managed by Gale/426, which has no ownership interest in JPM JV LLC, and (iv) M-C/Gale JV LLC is owned 99.99 percent by the Company and 0.01 percent by Gale/426. Thus, the Company holds approximately a 15 percent indirect ownership interest in the Vornado JV LLC and the Filenes Property.

Distributions are made (i) by Vornado JV LLC in proportion to its members’ respective ownership interests, (ii) by JPM JV LLC (a) initially, in proportion to its members’ respective ownership interests until JPM’s investment yields an 11 percent IRR, (b) thereafter, 60/40 to JPM and MC/Gale JV LLC, respectively, until JPM’s investment yields a 15 percent IRR and (c) thereafter, 50/50 to JPM and MC/Gale JV LLC, respectively, and (iii) by MC/Gale JV LLC (w) initially, in proportion to its members’ respective ownership interests until each member has received a 10 percent IRR on its investment, (x) thereafter, 65/35 to the Company and Gale/426, respectively, until the Company’s investment yields a 15 percent IRR, (y) if by the time the Company receives a 15 percent IRR on its investment, Gale/426 has not done so, 100 percent to Gale/426 until Gale/426’s investment yields a 15 percent IRR, and (z) thereafter, 50/50 to each of the Company and Gale/426.

The joint venture’s current plans for the development of the Filenes Property include approximately 1.2 million square feet consisting of office, retail, condominium apartments, hotel and a garage. The project is subject to governmental approvals.

#### NKFGMS OWNERS, LLC

On December 28, 2006, the Company contributed its facilities management business, which was acquired on May 9, 2006 as part of the Gale/Green transactions, to a newly-formed joint venture called NKFGMS Owners, LLC. With the contribution, the Company received \$600,000 in cash and a 40 percent interest in the joint venture. In connection with the Contribution, the Company recognized a loss of approximately \$1.5 million. The joint venture operating agreement provided for, among other things, profits and losses generally to be allocated in proportion to each member’s interest.

On September 21, 2007, the Company sold its 40 percent interest in NKFGMS to its joint venture partner for net proceeds of \$575,000, and recorded a gain of \$19,000 on the sale.

#### GALE JEFFERSON, L.L.C.

On August 22, 2007, the Company entered into a joint venture with a Gale Affiliate to form M-C Jefferson, L.L.C. (“M-C Jefferson”). M-C Jefferson was formed for the sole purpose of acquiring a Gale Affiliate’s 33.33 percent membership interest in Gale Jefferson, L.L.C. (“Gale Jefferson”), an entity holding a 25 percent interest in One Jefferson Road LLC (“One Jefferson”), which is developing a 100,000 square foot office property located at 1 Jefferson Road, Parsippany, New Jersey (the “Jefferson Property”).

The operating agreement of M-C Jefferson provides, among other things, for the Gale Participation Rights (of which Mark Yeager, an Executive Vice President of the Company, has a direct 26 percent interest). Gale Jefferson is owned

33.33 percent by M-C Jefferson and 66.67 percent by the Hampshire Generational Fund, L.L.C. (“Hampshire”). The operating agreements of Gale Jefferson provides, among other things, for the distribution of net cash flow, first, in accordance with its member’s respective interests until each member is provided, as a result of such distributions, with an annual 12 percent compound return on the Member’s Capital Contributions, as defined in the operating agreement and secondly, 50 percent to each of the Company and Hampshire.

One Jefferson is owned 25 percent by Gale Jefferson and 75 percent by One Jefferson Road Realty Member LLC, an affiliate of JPMorgan (“JPM”). The operating agreement of One Jefferson provides, among other things, for the distribution of net cash flow, first, in accordance with its members’ respective interests until each member is provided, as a result of such distributions, with an annual 12 percent compound return on the Member’s Capital Contributions, as defined in the operating agreement and secondly, 50 percent to JPM and Gale Jefferson. One Jefferson has a construction loan in an amount not to exceed \$21 million (with no balance drawn as of December 31, 2007), bearing interest at a rate of LIBOR plus 160 basis points and maturing on October 24, 2010 with a one-year extension option.



The Company performs management, leasing and construction services for Gale Jefferson and recognized \$102,000 in income (net of \$4.0 million in direct costs) for such services in the year ended December 31, 2007.

#### MEADOWLANDS XANADU

On November 25, 2003, the Company and affiliates of The Mills Corporation (“Mills”) entered into a joint venture agreement (“Meadowlands Xanadu Venture Agreement”) to form Meadowlands Mills/Mack-Cali Limited Partnership (“Meadowlands Venture”) for the purpose of developing a \$1.3 billion family entertainment, recreation and retail complex with an office and hotel component to be built at the Meadowlands sports complex in East Rutherford, New Jersey (“Meadowlands Xanadu”). Meadowlands Xanadu’s approximately 4.76 million-square-foot complex is expected to feature a family entertainment, recreation and retail destination comprising five themed zones: sports; entertainment; children’s education; fashion; and food and home, in addition to four office buildings, aggregating approximately 1.8 million square feet, and a 520-room hotel.

The Company and Mills owned a 20 percent and 80 percent interest, respectively, in the Meadowlands Venture. The Meadowlands Xanadu Venture Agreement required the Company to make an equity contribution up to a maximum of \$32.5 million, which it fulfilled in April 2005.

On August 21, 2006, Mills announced that it had signed a non-binding letter of intent with Colony Capital Acquisitions, LLC (“Colony”) and Kan Am USA Management XXII Limited Partnership (“Kan Am”) under which Colony would arrange for construction financing for Meadowlands Xanadu and make a significant equity infusion into the Meadowlands Venture, and Mills would not have any financial obligations post closing (“Colony Transaction”). Kan Am had been a partner with Mills in the Meadowlands Venture since the formation of the venture.

On November 22, 2006, the Company entered into and consummated a Redemption Agreement (the “Redemption Agreement”) with the Meadowlands Venture, Meadowlands Developer Holding Corp., a limited partner in the Meadowlands Venture, and the Meadowlands Limited Partnership (f/k/a Meadowlands/Mills Limited Partnership, and hereafter “MLP”), a general partner and a limited partner in the Meadowlands Venture. Immediately prior to entering into the Redemption Agreement, the investors in MLP undertook a restructuring of MLP whereby Colony became an indirect owner of MLP.

In connection with the Colony Transaction and pursuant to the Redemption Agreement, the Meadowlands Venture redeemed (the “Redemption”) the Company’s entire interest in the Meadowlands Venture and its right to participate in the development of the ERC component in exchange for (i) \$22.5 million in cash and (ii) a non-economic partner interest in each of the office and hotel components of Meadowlands Xanadu. In connection with the Redemption, the Operating Partnership also received a non-interest bearing promissory note for an additional \$2.5 million, which note is payable in full by MLP only at such time as the Operating Partnership exercises one of its options to develop the first of the office and hotel components of Meadowlands Xanadu. The Company’s remaining investment of approximately \$11.9 million is included in deferred charges and other assets, net, as of December 31, 2007 and 2006.

Concurrent with the execution of the Redemption Agreement, the Company also entered into the Mack-Cali Rights, Obligations and Option Agreement (the “Rights Agreement”) by and among the Meadowlands Venture, MLP, Meadowlands Mack-Cali GP, L.L.C., Mack-Cali, Baseball Meadowlands Limited Partnership, A-B Office Meadowlands Mack-Cali Limited Partnership, C-D Office Meadowlands Limited Partnership, Hotel Meadowlands Mack-Cali Limited Partnership and ERC Meadowlands Mills/Mack-Cali Limited Partnership. Pursuant to the Rights Agreement, the Operating Partnership retained certain rights and obligations it held under the Meadowlands Xanadu Venture Agreement with respect to the development of the office and hotel components of Meadowlands Xanadu, including an option to develop any of the office or hotel components of Meadowlands Xanadu (each, a “Take Down Option”). Upon the exercise of an initial Take Down Option, the Operating Partnership will receive economic interests in each of the office or hotel component partnerships as both a general partner and a limited partner in the applicable

office or hotel component, and following receipt of \$2.5 million in full payment of the note from MLP, the Operating Partnership's ownership interest in each of the office or hotel component partnerships will be reduced from 80 percent (as provided in the Meadowlands Xanadu Venture Agreement) to 75 percent.

G&G MARTCO (Convention Plaza)

The Company held a 50 percent interest in G&G Martco, which owns Convention Plaza, a 305,618 square foot office building, located in San Francisco, California. On November 6, 2006, the Company sold substantially all of its interest in the venture to an affiliate of its joint venture partner for approximately \$16.3 million, realizing a gain on the sale of approximately \$10.8 million. The Company performed management and leasing services for the property owned by the joint venture through the date of sale and recognized \$132,000 and \$161,000 in fees for such services in the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

## SUMMARIES OF UNCONSOLIDATED JOINT VENTURES

The following is a summary of the financial position of the unconsolidated joint ventures in which the Company had investment interests as of December 31, 2007 and 2006: (dollars in thousands)

	December 31, 2007										
	Plaza VIII & IX Associates	Ramland Realty	Harborside South Pier	Red Bank Corporate Plaza I & II	Mack-Princeton Gale- Green	Forrestal Village	Route 93 Portfolio	Gale Kimball	55 Corporate	12 Vreeland	Boston- Filenes
Assets:											
Rental property, net	\$ 10,787	\$ 11,522	\$ 64,882	\$ 23,594	\$ 42,713	\$ 56,226	\$ 7,785	\$ 17,000	\$ 7,954		--
Other assets	2,250	762	15,039	2,843	52,741	25,471	2,307	1,809	--	851	\$ 65,134
Total assets	\$ 13,037	\$ 12,284	\$ 79,921	\$ 26,437	\$ 68,184	\$ 58,533	\$ 9,594	\$ 17,000	\$ 8,805	\$ 65,134	
Liabilities and partners'/members' capital (deficit):											
Mortgages, loans payable and other obligations	--	\$ 14,771	\$ 76,072	\$ 18,116	\$ 52,800	\$ 42,495	\$ 10,103	--	\$ 8,761	--	
Other liabilities	\$ 532	365	2,711	133	23,809	6,923	857	30	--	--	\$ 4,171
Partners'/members' capital (deficit)	12,505	(2,852)	1,138	8,188	115,214	8,461	15,181	(539)	\$ 17,000	44	60,963
Total liabilities and partners'/members' capital (deficit)	\$ 13,037	\$ 12,284	\$ 79,921	\$ 26,437	\$ 68,184	\$ 58,533	\$ 9,594	\$ 17,000	\$ 8,805	\$ 65,134	
Company's investment in unconsolidated joint ventures, net	\$ 6,175	--	\$ 513	\$ 3,703	\$ 2,029	\$ 4,729	--	\$ 8,518	\$ 7,752	\$ 18,828	

	December 31, 2006										
	Plaza VIII & IX Associates	Ramland Realty	Harborside South Pier	Red Bank Corporate Plaza I & II	Mack-Princeton Gale- Green	Forrestal Village	Route 93 Portfolio	Gale Kimball	55 Corporate	12 Vreeland	Boston- Filenes
Assets:											
Rental property, net	\$ 11,404	\$ 12,141	\$ 69,303	\$ 13,205	\$ 39,538	\$ 54,866	\$ 6,650	\$ 17,000	\$ 8,221		--
Other assets	1,408	851	11,170	3,320	76,704	24,830	6,857	164	--	909	\$ 10,500
Total assets	\$ 12,812	\$ 12,992	\$ 80,473	\$ 16,525	\$ 64,368	\$ 61,723	\$ 6,814	\$ 17,000	\$ 9,130	\$ 10,500	
Liabilities and											

partners'/members'												
capital (deficit):												
Mortgages, loans												
payable and other												
				\$ 8,673		\$ \$ 47,761	\$ 39,435	\$ 3,838		-- \$ 10,253		--
obligations	-- \$ 14,936	\$ 77,217			358,063							
Other liabilities	\$ 532	259	4,944	13	40,106	5,972	846	--	--	--	--	--
Partners'/members'												
capital (deficit)	12,280	(2,203)	(1,688)	7,839	157,780	10,635	21,442	2,976	\$ 17,000	(1,123)	\$ 10,500	
Total liabilities												
and												
partners'/members'												
capital (deficit)	\$ 12,812	\$ 12,992	\$ 80,473	\$ 16,525		\$ \$ 64,368	\$ 61,723	\$ 6,814	\$ 17,000	\$ 9,130	\$ 10,500	
Company's												
investment												
in unconsolidated												
joint ventures, net	\$ 6,060	--	--	\$ 3,647		\$ \$ 2,560	\$ 6,669	\$ 1,024	\$ 8,500	\$ 7,130	\$ 5,250	
					119,061							

SUMMARIES OF UNCONSOLIDATED JOINT VENTURES

The following is a summary of the results of operations of the unconsolidated joint ventures for the period in which the Company had investment interests during the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005: (dollars in thousands)

	Year Ended December 31, 2007										
	Plaza VIII & IX Associates	Ramland Realty	Ashford Loop	Harborside South Pier	Red Bank Corporate Plaza I & II	Mack-Princeton Green- Gale	Forrestal Village	Route 93 Portfolio	Gale Kimball	55 Corporate	12Bo Vreeland Fi
Total revenues	\$ 1,015	\$ 1,903	--	\$ 43,952	\$ 1,098	\$ 67,113	\$ 12,637	\$ 2,541	\$ 12	--	\$ 2,280
Operating and other expenses	(174)	(1,528)	--	(26,661)	(237)	(53,123)	(6,471)	(3,674)	(83)	--	(65)
Depreciation and amortization	(616)	(727)	--	(5,929)	(208)	(24,751)	(3,589)	(2,794)	(146)	--	(352)
Interest expense	--	(1,047)	--	(4,785)	(367)	(26,706)	(4,751)	(3,429)	(324)	--	(663)
Net income Company's equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated joint ventures	\$ 225	\$(1,399)	--	\$ 6,577	\$ 286	\$(37,467)	\$(2,174)	\$ (541)	\$(7,356)	--	\$ 1,200
	\$ 113	\$(375)	--	\$ 3,182	\$ 143	\$(6,677)	\$(531)	\$(180)	\$(2,236)	--	\$ 600

	Year Ended December 31, 2006										
	Plaza VIII & IX Associates	Ramland Realty	Ashford Loop	Harborside South Pier	Red Bank Corporate Plaza I & II	Mack-Princeton Green- Gale	Forrestal Village	Route 93 Portfolio	Gale Kimball	55 Corporate	12Bo Vreeland Fi
Total revenues	\$ 755	\$ 2,058	--	\$ 39,229	\$ 15	\$ 44,262	\$ 9,495	\$ 3,486	\$ 1	--	\$ 2,102
Operating and other expenses	(186)	(1,496)	--	(23,591)	(6)	(19,136)	(5,925)	(1,585)	--	--	(76)
Depreciation and amortization	(616)	(736)	--	(5,853)	--	(21,129)	(2,908)	(622)	--	--	(352)
Interest expense	--	(1,022)	--	(4,078)	--	(17,117)	(3,063)	(1,969)	--	--	(755)
Net income	\$ (47)	\$(1,196)	--	\$ 5,707	\$ 9	\$(13,120)	\$(2,401)	\$(690)	\$ 1	--	\$ 919

Company's equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated joint ventures	\$ (24)	\$ (225)	--	\$ 2,820	--	\$ (4,945)	\$ (436)	\$ (148)	--	--	\$ 208
--	---------	----------	----	----------	----	------------	----------	----------	----	----	--------

Year Ended December 31, 2005

	Plaza VIII & IX Associates	Ramland Realty	Ashford Loop	Harborside South Pier	Red Bank Corporate Plaza I & II	Mack-Gale	Princeton-Forrestal Village	Route 93 Portfolio	Gale Kimball	55 Corporate	12 Boston Vreeland	Boston Filene
Total revenues	\$ 396	\$ 2,028	--	\$ 35,198	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Operating and other expenses	(169)	(1,407)	--	(22,251)	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Depreciation and amortization	(616)	(638)	--	(5,778)	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Interest expense	--	(759)	--	(4,176)	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Net income	\$ (389)	\$ (776)	--	\$ 2,993	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Company's equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated joint ventures	\$ (196)	--	\$ (30)	\$ 1,693	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

## 5. DEFERRED CHARGES AND OTHER ASSETS

(dollars in thousands)	December 31,	
	2007	2006
Deferred leasing costs	\$202,282	\$184,175
Deferred financing costs	22,922	21,252
	225,204	205,427
Accumulated amortization	(90,482)	(76,407)
Deferred charges, net	134,722	129,020
Notes receivable	11,610	11,769
In-place lease values and related intangible assets, net	64,212	58,495
Prepaid expenses and other assets, net	35,842	41,353
Total deferred charges and other assets, net	\$246,386	\$240,637

## 6. RESTRICTED CASH

Restricted cash includes security deposits for certain of the Company's properties, and escrow and reserve funds for debt service, real estate taxes, property insurance, capital improvements, tenant improvements, and leasing costs established pursuant to certain mortgage financing arrangements, and is comprised of the following: (dollars in thousands)

	December 31,	
	2007	2006
Security deposits	\$ 8,710	\$ 8,496
Escrow and other reserve funds	4,903	6,952
Total restricted cash	\$13,613	\$15,448

## 7. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

On May 10, 2007, the Company sold its 133,000 square-foot office building located at 1000 Bridgeport Avenue in Shelton, Connecticut for net sales proceeds of approximately \$16.4 million and recognized a gain of approximately \$2.6 million on the sale.

On June 11, 2007, the Company sold its 121,250 square-foot office building located at 500 West Putnam Avenue in Greenwich, Connecticut for net sales proceeds of approximately \$54.3 million and recognized a gain of approximately \$36.2 million on the sale.

On July 13, 2007, the Company sold two office buildings in Egg Harbor Township, New Jersey, totaling 80,344 square feet, for approximately \$12.5 million and recognized a gain of approximately \$5.6 million.

The Company has presented these assets as discontinued operations in its statements of operations for the periods presented. As the Company sold 300 Westage Business Center Drive in Fishkill, New York, 1510 Lancer Drive in



Moorestown, New Jersey; a Colorado portfolio in various cities throughout Colorado; and a portfolio in San Francisco, California during the year ended December 31, 2006, the Company has presented these assets as discontinued operations in its statements of operations for all periods presented.

As the Company sold 300 Westage Business Center Drive in Fishkill, New York; 1510 Lancer Drive in Moorestown, New Jersey; the entire Colorado portfolio; and its entire California portfolio during the year ended December 31, 2006; and 111 East Shore Road and 600 Community Drive in North Hempstead, New York; 210 South 16th Street in Omaha, Nebraska; 3600 South Yosemite in Denver Colorado; 201 Willowbrook Boulevard in Wayne, New Jersey; 1122 Alma Road in Richardson, Texas; and 3 Skyline Drive in Hawthorne, New York during the year ended December 31, 2005; the Company has presented these assets as discontinued operations in the statement of operations for all periods presented.

There are no properties identified as held for sale as of December 31, 2007.

The following tables summarize income from discontinued operations (net of minority interest) and the related realized gains (losses) and unrealized losses on disposition of rental property (net of minority interest), net for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005: (dollars in thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2007	2006	2005
Total revenues	\$ 3,881	\$ 43,645	\$ 56,667
Operating and other expenses	(1,638)	(18,214)	(21,744)
Depreciation and amortization	(424)	(8,853)	(14,327)
Interest expense (net of interest income)	(522)	(1,291)	(224)
Minority interest	(240)	(3,015)	(3,297)
Income from discontinued operations (net of minority interest)	\$ 1,057	\$ 12,272	\$ 17,075

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2007	2006	2005
Realized gains (losses) on disposition of rental property, net	\$44,414	\$ 59,605	\$ 7,136
Unrealized losses on disposition of rental property	--	--	(1,613)
Minority interest	(8,134)	(11,890)	(1,097)
Realized gains (losses) and unrealized losses on disposition of rental property (net of minority interest), net	\$ 36,280	\$ 47,715	\$ 4,426

## 8. SENIOR UNSECURED NOTES

A summary of the Company's senior unsecured notes as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 is as follows: (dollars in thousands)

	2007	December 31, 2006	Effective Rate (a)
7.250% Senior Unsecured Notes, due March 15, 2009	\$ 299,716	\$ 299,481	7.49%
5.050% Senior Unsecured Notes, due April 15, 2010	149,874	149,819	5.27%
7.835% Senior Unsecured Notes, due December 15, 2010	15,000	15,000	7.95%
7.750% Senior Unsecured Notes, due February 15, 2011	299,468	299,295	7.93%
5.250% Senior Unsecured Notes, due January 15, 2012	99,210	99,015	5.46%
6.150% Senior Unsecured Notes, due December 15, 2012	92,472	91,981	6.89%

Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

5.820% Senior Unsecured Notes, due March 15, 2013	25,530	25,420	6.45%
4.600% Senior Unsecured Notes, due June 15, 2013	99,844	99,815	4.74%
5.125% Senior Unsecured Notes, due February 15, 2014	201,468	201,708	5.11%
5.125% Senior Unsecured Notes, due January 15, 2015	149,349	149,256	5.30%
5.800% Senior Unsecured Notes, due January 15, 2016	200,616	200,692	5.81%
Total Senior Unsecured Notes	\$1,632,547	\$1,631,482	6.28%

(a) Interest rate for unsecured notes reflects effective rate of debt, including cost of treasury lock agreement (if any), offering and other transaction costs and the discount on the notes, as applicable.

## 9. UNSECURED REVOLVING CREDIT FACILITY

On June 22, 2007, the Company extended and modified its unsecured credit facility with a group of 23 Lenders. Amongst other modifications, the facility was extended for an additional two years and matures in June 2011, with an extension option of one year, which would require a payment of 15 basis points of the then borrowing capacity of the facility upon exercise. In addition, the interest rate on outstanding borrowings (not electing the Company's competitive bid feature) was reduced by 10 basis points to LIBOR plus 55 basis points at the BBB/Baa2 pricing level. As of December 31, 2007, the Company's outstanding borrowings carried a weighted average interest rate of LIBOR plus 55 basis points.

On September 21, 2007, the Company exercised an option to expand the borrowing capacity under its unsecured credit facility from \$600 million to \$775 million (further expandable to \$800 million).

The facility has a competitive bid feature, which allows the Company to solicit bids from lenders under the facility to borrow up to \$300 million at interest rates less than the current LIBOR plus 55 basis point spread. The Company may also elect an interest rate representing the higher of the lender's prime rate or the Federal Funds rate plus 50 basis points. The unsecured facility also requires a 15 basis point facility fee on the current borrowing capacity payable quarterly in arrears.

The interest rate and the facility fee are subject to adjustment, on a sliding scale, based upon the operating partnership's unsecured debt ratings. In the event of a change in the Operating Partnership's unsecured debt rating, the interest and facility fee rates will be adjusted in accordance with the following table:

Operating Partnership's Unsecured Debt Ratings:	Interest Rate – Applicable Basis Points	Facility Fee Basis Points
S&P Moody's/Fitch (a)	Above LIBOR	
No ratings or less than BBB-/Baa3/BBB-	100.0	25.0
BBB-/Baa3/BBB-	75.0	20.0
BBB/Baa2/BBB (current)	55.0	15.0
BBB+/Baa1/BBB+	42.5	15.0
A-/A3/A- or higher	37.5	12.5

(a) If the Operating Partnership has debt ratings from two rating agencies, one of which is Standard & Poor's Rating Services ("S&P") or Moody's Investors Service ("Moody's"), the rates per the above table shall be based on the lower of such ratings. If the Operating Partnership has debt ratings from three rating agencies, one of which is S&P or Moody's, the rates per the above table shall be based on the lower of the two highest ratings. If the Operating Partnership has debt ratings from only one agency, it will be considered to have no rating or less than BBB-/Baa3/BBB- per the above table.

The terms of the unsecured facility include certain restrictions and covenants which limit, among other things, the payment of dividends (as discussed below), the incurrence of additional indebtedness, the incurrence of liens and the disposition of real estate properties (to the extent that: (i) such property dispositions cause the Company to default on any of the financial ratios of the facility described below, or (ii) the property dispositions are completed while the Company is under an event of default under the facility, unless, under certain circumstances, such disposition is being carried out to cure such default), and which require compliance with financial ratios relating to the maximum leverage ratio, the maximum amount of secured indebtedness, the minimum amount of tangible net worth, the minimum amount of fixed charge coverage, the maximum amount of unsecured indebtedness, the minimum amount of unencumbered property interest coverage and certain investment limitations. The dividend restriction referred to above provides that, if an event of default has occurred and is continuing, the Company will not make any excess distributions with respect to common stock or other common equity interests except to enable the Company to

continue to qualify as a REIT under the Code.

The lending group for the credit facility consists of: JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent (the “Agent”); Bank of America, N.A., as syndication agent; Scotiabanc, Inc., Wachovia Bank, National Association, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as documentation agents; SunTrust Bank, as senior managing agent; US Bank National Association, Citicorp North America, Inc. and PNC Bank, National Association, as managing agents; and Bank of China, New York Branch, The Bank of New York; Chevy Chase Bank, F.S.B., The Royal Bank of Scotland PLC, Mizuho Corporate Bank, Ltd., The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ, Ltd. (Successor by merger to UFJ Bank Limited), North Fork Bank, Bank Hapoalim B.M., Comerica Bank, Chang Hwa Commercial Bank, Ltd., New York Branch, First Commercial Bank, New York Agency, Mega International Commercial Bank Co. Ltd., New York Branch, Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas and Hua Nan Commercial Bank, New York Agency, as participants.

## SUMMARY

As of December 31, 2007 and 2006, the Company had outstanding borrowings of \$250 million and \$145 million, respectively, under its unsecured revolving credit facility.

## 10. MORTGAGES, LOANS PAYABLE AND OTHER OBLIGATIONS

The Company has mortgages, loans payable and other obligations which primarily consist of various loans collateralized by certain of the Company's rental properties. As of December 31, 2007, 19 of the Company's properties, with a total book value of approximately \$548 million, are encumbered by the Company's mortgages and loans payable. Payments on mortgages, loans payable and other obligations are generally due in monthly installments of principal and interest, or interest only.

A summary of the Company's mortgages, loans payable and other obligations as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 is as follows: (dollars in thousands)

Property Name	Lender	Effective Interest Rate (a)	Principal Balance at December 31,		Maturity
			2007	2006	
Mack-Cali Airport	Allstate Life Insurance Co.	7.05%	--	\$ 9,422	04/01/07 (b)
6303 Ivy Lane	State Farm Life Insurance Co.	5.57%	--	6,020	07/01/07 (c)
6404 Ivy Lane	Wachovia CMBS	5.58%	\$ 13,029	13,665	08/01/08
Assumed obligations	Various	4.92%	27,657	38,742	05/01/09 (d)
Various (e)	Prudential Insurance Co.	4.84%	150,000	150,000	01/15/10
105 Challenger Road	Archon Financial CMBS	6.24%	18,968	18,748	06/06/10
2200 Renaissance Boulevard	Wachovia CMBS	5.89%	17,442	17,819	12/01/12
Soundview Plaza	Morgan Stanley CMBS	6.02%	17,575	18,013	01/01/13
9200 Edmonston Road	Principal Commercial Funding, L.L.C.	5.53%	5,096	5,232	05/01/13
6305 Ivy Lane	John Hancock Life Insurance Co.	5.53%	7,098	7,285	01/01/14
395 West Passaic	State Farm Life Insurance Co.	6.00%	12,596	12,996	05/01/14
6301 Ivy Lane	John Hancock Life Insurance Co.	5.52%	6,655	6,821	07/01/14
35 Waterview	Wachovia CMBS	6.35%	20,104	20,318	08/11/14
500 West Putnam Avenue	New York Life Ins. Co.	5.57%	--	25,000	01/10/16 (f)
23 Main Street	JP Morgan CMBS	5.59%	32,968	33,396	09/01/18
Total Mortgages, loans payable and other obligations:			\$329,188	\$383,477	

(a) Effective interest rate for mortgages loans payable and other obligations reflects effective rate of debt, including deferred financing costs, comprised of the cost of terminated treasury lock agreements (if any), debt initiation costs and other transaction costs, as applicable.

(b) On February 5, 2007, the Company repaid this mortgage loan at par, using available cash.

(c) On February 15, 2007, the Company repaid this mortgage loan at par, using available cash.

(d) The obligations mature at various times through May 2009.

(e) Mortgage is collateralized by seven properties.

(f) On June 11, 2007, the Company assigned this loan with the sale of the property and the purchaser assumed this obligation..

#### SCHEDULED PRINCIPAL PAYMENTS

Scheduled principal payments and related weighted average annual interest rates for the Company's senior unsecured notes (see Note 8), unsecured revolving credit facility and mortgages, loans payable and other obligations as of December 31, 2007 are as follows: (dollars in thousands)

Period	Scheduled Amortization	Principal Maturities	Total	Weighted Avg. Interest Rate of Future Repayments (a)
2008	\$21,191	\$ 12,563	\$ 33,754	5.21%
2009	11,471	300,000	311,471	7.40%
2010	2,583	334,500	337,083	5.26%
2011	2,745	550,000	552,745	6.84%
2012	2,864	210,148	213,012	6.13%
Thereafter	5,702	760,618	766,320	5.41%
Sub-total	46,556	2,167,829	2,214,385	6.08%
Adjustment for unamortized debt discount/premium, net, as of December 31, 2007	(2,650)	0	(2,650)	
Totals/Weighted Average	\$43,906	\$2,167,829	\$2,211,735	6.08%

(a) Actual weighted average LIBOR contract rates relating to the Company's outstanding debt as of December 31, 2007 of 5.01 percent was used in calculating revolving credit facility and other variable rate debt interest rates.

#### CASH PAID FOR INTEREST AND INTEREST CAPITALIZED

Cash paid for interest for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 was \$128,678,000, \$132,904,000, and \$115,359,000, respectively. Interest capitalized by the Company for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 was \$5,101,000, \$6,058,000 and \$5,518,000, respectively.

#### SUMMARY OF INDEBTEDNESS

As of December 31, 2007 the Company's total indebtedness of \$2,211,735,000 (weighted average interest rate of 6.08 percent) was comprised of \$250,000,000 of revolving credit facility borrowings (weighted average rate of 5.55 percent) and fixed rate debt and other obligations of \$1,961,735,000 (weighted average rate of 6.15 percent).

As of December 31, 2006, the Company's total indebtedness of \$2,159,959,000 (weighted average interest rate of 6.11 percent) was comprised of \$145,000,000 of revolving credit facility borrowings (weighted average rate of 5.76 percent) and fixed rate debt and other obligations of \$2,014,959,000 (weighted average rate of 6.14 percent).

## 11. MINORITY INTERESTS

#### OPERATING PARTNERSHIP

Minority interests in the accompanying consolidated financial statements relate to (i) preferred units ("Preferred Units") and common units in the Operating Partnership, held by parties other than the Company, and (ii) interests in consolidated joint ventures for the portion of such properties not owned by the Company.

#### Preferred Units

The Operating Partnership has one class of outstanding Preferred Units, the Series C Preferred Units, and one class of Preferred Units, the Series B Preferred Units, which were converted to common units on June 13, 2005, each of which are described as follows:



Series C

In connection with the Company's issuance of \$25 million of Series C cumulative redeemable perpetual preferred stock, the Company acquired from the Operating Partnership \$25 million of Series C Preferred Units (the "Series C Preferred Units"), which have terms essentially identical to the Series C preferred stock. See Note 16: Stockholders' Equity – Preferred Stock.

## Series B

The Series B Preferred Units had a stated value of \$1,000 per unit and were preferred as to assets over any class of common units or other class of preferred units of the Company, based on circumstances per the applicable unit certificates. The quarterly distribution on each Series B Preferred Unit was an amount equal to the greater of (i) \$16.875 (representing 6.75 percent of the Series B Preferred Unit stated value of an annualized basis) or (ii) the quarterly distribution attributable to a Series B Preferred Unit determined as if such unit had been converted into common units, subject to adjustment for customary anti-dilution rights.

On June 13, 2005, the Operating Partnership caused the mandatory conversion (the "Conversion") of all 215,018 outstanding Series B Preferred Units into 6,205,425.72 Common Units. Each Series B Preferred Unit was converted into whole and fractional Common Units equal to (x) the \$1,000 stated value, divided by (y) the conversion price of \$34.65. A description of the rights, preferences and privileges of the Common Units is set forth below.

## Common Units

Certain individuals and entities own common units in the Operating Partnership. A common unit and a share of common stock of the Company have substantially the same economic characteristics in as much as they effectively share equally in the net income or loss of the Operating Partnership. Common units are redeemable by the common unitholders at their option, subject to certain restrictions, on the basis of one common unit for either one share of common stock or cash equal to the fair market value of a share at the time of the redemption. The Company has the option to deliver shares of common stock in exchange for all or any portion of the cash requested. The common unitholders may not put the units for cash to the Company or the Operating Partnership. When a unitholder redeems a common unit, minority interest in the Operating Partnership is reduced and the Company's investment in the Operating Partnership is increased.

## Unit Transactions

The following table sets forth the changes in minority interest which relate to the Series B Preferred Units and common units in the Operating Partnership for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005: (dollars in thousands)

	Series B Preferred Units	Series B Common Units	Series B Preferred Unitholders	Common Unitholders	Total
Balance at January 1, 2005	215,018	7,616,447	\$220,547	\$196,308	\$416,855
Net income	--	--	3,909	18,722	22,631
Distributions	--	--	(3,909)	(30,754)	(34,663)
Conversion of Preferred Units into common units	(215,018)	6,205,426	(220,547)	220,547	--
Issuance of common units	--	63,328	--	2,786	2,786
Redemption of common units for shares of common stock	--	(234,762)	--	(6,790)	(6,790)
Balance at December 31, 2005	--	13,650,439	--	\$400,819	\$400,819
Net income	--	--	--	35,026	35,026
Distributions	--	--	--	(38,585)	(38,585)
Issuance of common units	--	2,167,053	--	97,517	97,517
Redemption of common units for shares of common stock	--	(475,209)	--	(14,674)	(14,674)
Balance at December 31, 2006	--	15,342,283	--	\$480,103	\$480,103

Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Net income	--	--	--	24,500	24,500
Distributions	--	--	--	(38,788)	(38,788)
Issuance of common units	--	114,911	--	5,244	5,244
Redemption of common units for shares of common stock	--	(471,656)	--	(14,623)	(14,623)
Balance at December 31, 2007	--	14,985,538	--	\$456,436	\$456,436

#### Minority Interest Ownership

As of December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, the minority interest common unitholders owned 18.5 percent and 19.6 percent of the Operating Partnership, respectively.

#### CONSOLIDATED JOINT VENTURES

The Company has ownership interests in certain joint ventures which it consolidates. Various entities and/or individuals hold minority interests in many of these ventures.

### 12. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT 401(k) PLANS

Employees of the Company who meet certain minimum age and period of service requirements are eligible to participate in a 401(k) defined contribution plan (the "401(k) Plan"). The 401(k) Plan allows eligible employees to defer from 1 to 30 percent of their annual compensation, subject to certain limitations imposed by federal law. The amounts contributed by employees are immediately vested and non-forfeitable. The Company, at management's discretion, may match employee contributions and/or make discretionary contributions. Total expense recognized by the Company for the 401(k) Plan for each of the three years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 was \$400,000.

All employees of the Gale Company and other affiliated participating employers, other than certain employees who are represented for collective bargaining purposes by a labor organization, who meet certain minimum age and period of service requirements are eligible to participate in a 401(k) defined contribution plan (the "Gale Plan"). The Gale Plan allows eligible employees to defer from their annual compensation, the maximum amount permitted under federal law. The amounts contributed by employees are immediately vested and non-forfeitable. The Gale Company or the participant's employer matches the employee's deferral at the rate of 50 percent on the first six percent of the employee's annual compensation for employees who have at least 1,000 hours of service and are employed on the last day of the plan year. In addition, the Company, at management's discretion, may make discretionary contributions. Participants become 50 percent vested in employer contributions after two years of service and become 100 percent vested after three years of service. Effective April 1, 2007, the Gale Plan was merged into the 401(k) Plan. In accordance with the Gale/Green transactions, the Company continued to make matching contributions to former Gale Plan participants under the Gale Plan matching contribution formula through the payroll period ending May 4, 2007. Moreover, federal law requires the Company to preserve (i) the Gale Plan vesting schedule for certain Gale Plan participants with three or more years of service as of May 4, 2007 and (ii) certain benefits previously offered under the Gale Plan. Total expense recognized after the completion of the Gale/Green Transactions by the Company for the Gale Plan for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 was \$111,000 and \$370,000, respectively.

### 13. DISCLOSURE OF FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The following disclosure of estimated fair value was determined by management using available market information and appropriate valuation methodologies. However, considerable judgment is necessary to interpret market data and develop estimated fair value. Accordingly, the estimates presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the amounts the Company could realize on disposition of the financial instruments at December 31, 2007 and 2006. The use of different market assumptions and/or estimation methodologies may have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts.

Cash equivalents, marketable securities, receivables, accounts payable, and accrued expenses and other liabilities are carried at amounts which reasonably approximate their fair values as of December 31, 2007 and 2006.

The fair value of the fixed-rate mortgage debt and unsecured notes as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 approximated the book value of approximately \$2.0 billion as of December 31, 2007 and 2006. The fair value of the mortgage debt and the unsecured notes was determined by discounting the future contractual interest and principal payments by a market rate.

Disclosure about fair value of financial instruments is based on pertinent information available to management as of December 31, 2007 and 2006. Although management is not aware of any factors that would significantly affect the fair value amounts, such amounts have not been comprehensively revalued for purposes of these financial statements since December 31, 2007 and current estimates of fair value may differ significantly from the amounts presented herein.

## 14. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

### TAX ABATEMENT AGREEMENTS

#### Harborside Financial Center

Pursuant to agreements with the City of Jersey City, New Jersey, the Company is required to make payments in lieu of property taxes (“PILOT”) on certain of its properties located in Jersey City, as follows:

The Harborside Plaza 4-A agreement, which commenced in 2000, is for a term of 20 years. The PILOT is equal to two percent of Total Project costs, as defined, and increases by 10 percent in years 7, 10 and 13 and by 50 percent in year 16. Total Project costs, as defined, are \$45.5 million. The PILOT totaled \$1,001,000 for the year ended December 31, 2007 and \$910,000 for each of the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005.

The Harborside Plaza 5 agreement, as amended, which commenced in 2002 upon substantial completion of the property, as defined, is for a term of 20 years. The PILOT is equal to two percent of Total Project Costs. Total Project Costs, as defined, are \$159.6 million. The PILOT totaled \$3.2 million for each of the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005.

At the conclusion of the above-referenced PILOT agreements, it is expected that the properties will be assessed by the municipality and be subject to real estate taxes at the then prevailing rates.

### LITIGATION

The Company is a defendant in litigation arising in the normal course of its business activities. Management does not believe that the ultimate resolution of these matters will have a materially adverse effect upon the Company’s financial condition taken as whole.

### GROUND LEASE AGREEMENTS

Future minimum rental payments under the terms of all non-cancelable ground leases under which the Company is the lessee, as of December 31, 2007, are as follows: (dollars in thousands)

Year	Amount
2008	\$ 486
2009	501
2010	501
2011	501
2012	501
2013 through 2084	34,952
Total	\$37,442

Ground lease expense incurred by the Company during the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 amounted to \$663,000, \$698,000 and \$606,000, respectively.

### OTHER

The Company may not dispose of or distribute certain of its properties, currently comprising 11 properties with an aggregate net book value of approximately \$208.1 million, which were originally contributed by the sellers of certain properties to the Company, without the express written consent of a representative of the contributors of such properties, except in a manner which does not result in recognition of any built-in-gain (which may result in an income tax liability) or which reimburses the appropriate members for the tax consequences of the recognition of such

built-in-gains. These transfer restrictions are scheduled to expire periodically through 2016. Additionally, the Company may not dispose of or distribute two properties with an aggregate net book value of approximately \$12.8 million, which were originally contributed by members of the Cali Group (which includes John R. Cali, director, and John J. Cali, a former director) under similar terms and conditions, for which such restrictions are scheduled to expire in June 2008. The aforementioned restrictions do not apply in the event that the Company sells all of its properties or in connection with a sale transaction which the Company's Board of Directors determines is reasonably necessary to satisfy a material monetary default on any unsecured debt, judgment or liability of the Company or to cure any material monetary default on any mortgage secured by a property. Upon expiration, the Company generally is required to use commercially reasonable efforts to prevent any sale, transfer or other disposition of the subject properties from resulting in the recognition of built-in gain to the contributors of the properties. 124 of the Company's properties which were originally contributed by members of either the Mack Group (which includes William L. Mack, Chairman of the Company's Board of Directors; David S. Mack, director; Earle I. Mack, a former director; and Mitchell E. Hersh, president, chief executive officer and director), the Robert Martin Group (which includes Martin S. Berger, director; Robert F. Weinberg, a former director; and Timothy M. Jones, former president), the Cali Group (which includes John R. Cali, director, and John J. Cali, a former director) or certain other common unitholders, with an aggregate net book value of approximately \$1.9 billion, have lapsed restrictions and are subject to these conditions.

## 15. TENANT LEASES

The Properties are leased to tenants under operating leases with various expiration dates through 2026. Substantially all of the leases provide for annual base rents plus recoveries and escalation charges based upon the tenant's proportionate share of and/or increases in real estate taxes and certain operating costs, as defined, and the pass-through of charges for electrical usage.

Future minimum rentals to be received under non-cancelable operating leases at December 31, 2007 are as follows: (dollars in thousands)

Year	Amount
2008	\$ 574,203
2009	540,826
2010	478,828
2011	410,746
2012	342,727
2013 and thereafter	1,068,116
Total	\$3,415,446

## 16. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

To maintain its qualification as a REIT, not more than 50 percent in value of the outstanding shares of the Company may be owned, directly or indirectly, by five or fewer individuals at any time during the last half of any taxable year of the Company, other than its initial taxable year (defined to include certain entities), applying certain constructive ownership rules. To help ensure that the Company will not fail this test, the Company's Articles of Incorporation provide for, among other things, certain restrictions on the transfer of common stock to prevent further concentration of stock ownership. Moreover, to evidence compliance with these requirements, the Company must maintain records that disclose the actual ownership of its outstanding common stock and demands written statements each year from the holders of record of designated percentages of its common stock requesting the disclosure of the beneficial owners of such common stock.

### COMMON STOCK

On February 7, 2007, the Company completed an underwritten offer and sale of 4,650,000 shares of its common stock and used the net proceeds, which totaled approximately \$252 million (after offering costs), primarily to pay down its outstanding borrowings under the Company's revolving credit facility and general corporate purposes.

### PREFERRED STOCK

On March 14, 2003, in a publicly registered transaction with a single institutional buyer, the Company completed the sale and issuance of 10,000 shares of eight-percent Series C cumulative redeemable perpetual preferred stock ("Series C Preferred Stock") in the form of 1,000,000 depositary shares (\$25 stated value per depositary share). Each depositary share represents 1/100th of a share of Series C Preferred Stock. The Company received net proceeds of approximately \$24.8 million from the sale. See Note 11: Minority Interests – Operating Partnership – Preferred Units.





The Series C Preferred Stock has preference rights with respect to liquidation and distributions over the common stock. Holders of the Series C Preferred Stock, except under certain limited conditions, will not be entitled to vote on any matters. In the event of a cumulative arrearage equal to six quarterly dividends, holders of the Series C Preferred Stock will have the right to elect two additional members to serve on the Company's Board of Directors until dividends have been paid in full. At December 31, 2007, there were no dividends in arrears. The Company may issue unlimited additional preferred stock ranking on a parity with the Series C Preferred Stock but may not issue any preferred stock senior to the Series C Preferred Stock without the consent of two-thirds of its holders. The Series C Preferred Stock is essentially on an equivalent basis in priority with the Preferred Units.

Except under certain conditions relating to the Company's qualification as a REIT, the Series C Preferred Stock is not redeemable prior to March 14, 2008. On and after such date, the Series C Preferred Stock will be redeemable at the option of the Company, in whole or in part, at \$25 per depositary share, plus accrued and unpaid dividends.

#### SHARE REPURCHASE PROGRAM

On September 12, 2007, the Board of Directors authorized an increase to the Company's repurchase program under which the Company was permitted to purchase up to \$150 million of the Company's outstanding common stock ("Repurchase Program"). During the year ended December 31, 2007 and through February 8, 2008, the Company purchased and retired 2,893,630 shares of its outstanding common stock for an aggregate cost of approximately \$104 million. The Company has a remaining authorization to repurchase up to approximately \$46 million of its outstanding common stock, which it may repurchase from time to time in open market transactions at prevailing prices or through privately negotiated transactions.

#### DIVIDEND REINVESTMENT AND STOCK PURCHASE PLAN

The Company has a dividend reinvestment and stock purchase plan, which commenced in March 1999.

#### SHAREHOLDER RIGHTS PLAN

On June 10, 1999, the Board of Directors of the Company authorized a dividend distribution of one preferred share purchase right ("Right") for each outstanding share of common stock which were distributed to all holders of record of the common stock on July 6, 1999. Each Right entitles the registered holder to purchase from the Company one one-thousandth of a share of Series A junior participating preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share ("Preferred Shares"), at a price of \$100.00 per one one-thousandth of a Preferred Share ("Purchase Price"), subject to adjustment as provided in the rights agreement. The Rights expire on July 6, 2009, unless the expiration date is extended or the Right is redeemed or exchanged earlier by the Company.

The Rights are attached to each share of common stock. The Rights are generally exercisable only if a person or group becomes the beneficial owner of 15 percent or more of the outstanding common stock or announces a tender offer for 15 percent or more of the outstanding common stock ("Acquiring Person"). In the event that a person or group becomes an Acquiring Person, each holder of a Right will have the right to receive, upon exercise, common stock having a market value equal to two times the Purchase Price of the Right.

#### STOCK OPTION PLANS

In May 2004, the Company established the 2004 Incentive Stock Plan under which a total of 2,500,000 shares have been reserved for issuance. No options have been granted through December 31, 2007 under this plan. In September 2000, the Company established the 2000 Employee Stock Option Plan ("2000 Employee Plan") and the Amended and Restated 2000 Director Stock Option Plan ("2000 Director Plan"). In May 2002, shareholders of the Company approved amendments to both plans to increase the total shares reserved for issuance under both of the 2000 plans from 2,700,000 to 4,350,000 shares of the Company's common stock (from 2,500,000 to 4,000,000 shares under the 2000 Employee Plan and from 200,000 to 350,000 shares under the 2000 Director Plan). In 1994, and as subsequently

amended, the Company established the Mack-Cali Employee Stock Option Plan (“Employee Plan”) and the Mack-Cali Director Stock Option Plan (“Director Plan”) under which a total of 5,380,188 shares (subject to adjustment) of the Company’s common stock had been reserved for issuance (4,980,188 shares under the Employee Plan and 400,000 shares under the Director Plan). As the Employee Plan and Director Plan expired in 2004, stock options may no longer be issued under those plans. Stock options granted under the Employee Plan in 1994 and 1995 became exercisable over a three-year period. Stock options granted under the 2000 Employee Plan and those options granted subsequent to 1995 under the Employee Plan become exercisable over a five-year period. All stock options granted under both the 2000 Director Plan and Director Plan become exercisable in one year. All options were granted at the fair market value at the dates of grant and have terms of ten years. As of December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, the stock options outstanding had a weighted average remaining contractual life of approximately 4.1 and 4.7 years, respectively. Stock options exercisable at December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006 had a weighted average remaining contractual life of approximately 4.0 and 4.5 years, respectively.

Information regarding the Company's stock option plans is summarized below:

	Shares Under Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Aggregate Intrinsic Value \$(000's)
Outstanding at January 1, 2005	1,703,631	\$29.31	
Granted	5,000	\$45.47	
Exercised	(574,506)	\$28.92	
Lapsed or canceled	(50,540)	\$28.60	
Outstanding at December 31, 2005	1,083,585	\$29.63	
Exercised	(352,699)	\$29.65	
Lapsed or canceled	(40,580)	\$28.53	
Outstanding at December 31, 2006	690,306	\$29.68	
Exercised	(132,770)	\$28.63	
Lapsed or canceled	(59,805)	\$37.44	
Outstanding at December 31, 2007 (\$26.75 – \$45.47)	497,731	\$29.03	\$ 2,776
Options exercisable at December 31, 2006	571,026	\$29.94	\$12,017
Options exercisable at December 31, 2007	497,731	\$29.03	\$ 2,474
Available for grant at December 31, 2006	4,547,214		
Available for grant at December 31, 2007	4,537,574		

The weighted average fair value of options granted during 2005 was \$3.62 per option. The fair value of each significant option grant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes model. The following weighted average assumptions are included in the Company's fair value calculations of stock options granted during the year ended December 31, 2005.

Expected life (in years)	6
Risk-free interest rate	3.97%
Volatility	15.00%
Dividend yield	5.54%

No stock options were granted during the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006.

Cash received from options exercised under all stock option plans was \$3.8 million, \$10.5 million and \$16.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 was \$3.2 million, \$6.2 million and \$9.1 million, respectively. The Company has a policy of issuing new shares to satisfy stock option exercises.

The Company recognized stock options expense of \$132,000, \$465,000 and \$448,000 for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. As of December 31, 2007, the Company had \$11.7 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested stock compensation granted under the Company's stock compensation plans. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 4.5 years.



## STOCK COMPENSATION

The Company has granted stock awards (“Restricted Stock Awards”) to officers, certain other employees, and non-employee members of the Board of Directors of the Company, which allow the holders to each receive a certain amount of shares of the Company’s common stock generally over a one to five-year vesting period and generally based on time and service, of which 170,811 shares were outstanding at December 31, 2007. Of the outstanding Restricted Stock Awards granted to executive officers and senior management, 49,673 are contingent upon the Company meeting certain performance and/or stock price appreciation objectives. All Restricted Stock Awards provided to the officers and certain other employees were granted under the 2000 Employee Plan and the Employee Plan. Restricted Stock Awards granted to directors were granted under the 2000 Director Plan.

Information regarding the Restricted Stock Awards is summarized below:

	Shares	Weighted-Average Grant – Date Fair Value
Outstanding at January 1, 2005	198,703	\$ 33.19
Granted (a)	165,660	\$ 43.41
Vested	(109,419)	\$ 40.36
Forfeited	(8,000)	\$ 43.85
Outstanding at December 31, 2005	246,944	\$ 37.17
Granted (b)	81,034	\$ 52.94
Vested	(102,808)	\$ 43.72
Forfeited	(8,550)	\$ 43.59
Outstanding at December 31, 2006	216,620	\$ 39.78
Granted (c)	113,118	\$ 36.29
Vested	(158,927)	\$ 42.10
Outstanding at December 31, 2007	170,811	\$ 35.32

(a) Included in the 165,660 Restricted Stock Awards granted in 2005 were 37,960 awards granted to the Company’s four executive officers, Mitchell E. Hersh, Barry Lefkowitz, Roger W. Thomas and Michael Grossman.

(b) Included in the 81,034 Restricted Stock Awards granted in 2006 were 67,834 awards granted to the Company’s five executive officers, Mitchell E. Hersh, Barry Lefkowitz, Roger W. Thomas, Michael Grossman and Mark Yeager.

(c) Included in the 113,118 Restricted Stock Awards granted in 2007 were 82,518 awards granted to the Company’s five executive officers, Mitchell E. Hersh, Barry Lefkowitz, Roger W. Thomas, Michael Grossman and Mark Yeager.

On September 12, 2007, the Board of Directors of the Company approved the recommendations and ratified the determinations of the Executive Compensation and Option Committee of the Board of Directors (the “Committee”) with respect to new Restricted Stock Awards totaling 230,586 shares for its executive officers.

The Restricted Stock Awards may vest commencing January 1, 2009, with the number of Restricted Stock Awards scheduled to be vested and earned on each vesting date on an annual basis over a five to seven year vesting schedule, with each annual vesting of each tranche of Restricted Stock Awards is subject to the attainment of annual performance goals to be set by the Committee for each year. In connection with the authorization of the Restricted Stock Awards, the Company also authorized the entering into of tax gross-up agreements with each of the executive officers.

## DEFERRED STOCK COMPENSATION PLAN FOR DIRECTORS

The Deferred Compensation Plan for Directors, which commenced January 1, 1999, allows non-employee directors of the Company to elect to defer up to 100 percent of their annual retainer fee into deferred stock units. The deferred stock units are convertible into an equal number of shares of common stock upon the directors' termination of service from the Board of Directors or a change in control of the Company, as defined in the plan. Deferred stock units are credited to each director quarterly using the closing price of the Company's common stock on the applicable dividend record date for the respective quarter. Each participating director's account is also credited for an equivalent amount of deferred stock units based on the dividend rate for each quarter.

During the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, 8,054, 6,266 and 6,655 deferred stock units were earned, respectively. As of December 31, 2007 and 2006, there were 44,179 and 37,263 director stock units outstanding, respectively.

#### EARNINGS PER SHARE

Basic EPS excludes dilution and is computed by dividing net income available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of shares outstanding for the period. Diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock.

The following information presents the Company's results for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 in accordance with FASB No. 128: (dollars in thousands)

Computation of Basic EPS	Year Ended December 31,		
	2007	2006	2005
Income from continuing operations	\$ 73,129	\$ 84,679	\$ 73,987
Deduct: Preferred stock dividends	(2,000)	(2,000)	(2,000)
Income from continuing operations available to common shareholders	71,129	82,679	71,987
Income from discontinued operations	37,337	59,987	21,501
Net income available to common shareholders	\$108,466	\$142,666	\$ 93,488
Weighted average common shares	67,026	62,237	61,477
Basic EPS:			
Income from continuing operations	\$ 1.06	\$ 1.33	\$ 1.17
Income from discontinued operations	0.56	0.96	0.35
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 1.62	\$ 2.29	\$ 1.52

Computation of Diluted EPS	Year Ended December 31,		
	2007	2006	2005
Income from continuing operations available to common shareholders	\$ 71,129	\$ 82,679	\$ 71,987
Add: Income from continuing operations attributable to Operating Partnership – common units	16,126	20,121	14,329
Income from continuing operations for diluted earnings per share	87,255	102,800	86,316
Income from discontinued operations for diluted earnings per share	45,711	74,892	25,894
Net income available to common shareholders	\$132,966	\$177,692	\$112,210
Weighted average common shares	82,500	77,901	74,189
Diluted EPS:			
Income from continuing operations	\$ 1.06	\$ 1.32	\$ 1.16
Income from discontinued operations	0.55	0.96	0.35
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 1.61	\$ 2.28	\$ 1.51





The following schedule reconciles the shares used in the basic EPS calculation to the shares used in the diluted EPS calculation:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2007	2006	2005
Basic EPS shares	67,026	62,237	61,477
Add: Operating Partnership – common units	15,190	15,286	12,252
Stock options	185	310	401
Restricted Stock Awards	99	68	59
Stock Warrants	--	--	--
Diluted EPS Shares	82,500	77,901	74,189

Not included in the computations of diluted EPS were 5,000, 0, and 1,507 stock options as such securities were anti-dilutive during the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Also excluded from diluted EPS computations was 1,530,105 Series B Preferred Units, on an as converted basis into common units, as such securities were anti-dilutive during the year ended December 31, 2005. Unvested restricted stock outstanding as of December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 were 170,811, 216,620 and 246,944, respectively.

## 17. SEGMENT REPORTING

The Company operates in two business segments: (i) real estate and (ii) construction services. The Company provides leasing, property and facilities management, acquisition, development, construction and tenant-related services for its portfolio. In May 2006, in conjunction with the Company's acquisition of the Gale Company and related businesses, the Company acquired a business specializing solely in construction and related services whose operations comprise the Company's construction services segment. The Company had no revenues from foreign countries recorded for the year ended December 31, 2007. Included in the Company's revenues for the year ended December 31, 2006 was \$4,806,000 derived from foreign countries. The Company had no long lived assets in foreign locations as of December 31, 2007 and 2006. The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in Note 2: Significant Accounting Policies, excluding depreciation and amortization.

The Company evaluates performance based upon net operating income from the combined properties in the real estate segment and net operating income from its construction services segment.

Selected results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 and selected asset information as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 regarding the Company's operating segments are as follows: (dollars in thousands)

	Real Estate	Construction	Corporate	Total	
		Services	& Other	(d)	Company
Total revenues:					
2007	\$ 716,932	\$97,951	\$ (6,533)	\$	808,350
2006	668,297	56,582	7,133		732,012
2005	589,242	--	2,749		591,991
Total operating and interest expenses (a):					
2007	\$ 263,175	\$96,699	\$ 170,382	\$	530,256 (e)
2006	257,688	55,871	174,694		488,253 (f)
2005	207,522	--	150,682		358,204 (g)
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures:					
2007	\$ (5,918)	----		\$	(5,918)
2006	(5,556)	--	--		(5,556)
2005	248	--	--		248
Net operating income (b):					
2007	\$ 447,839	\$ 1,252	\$(176,915)	\$	272,176 (e)
2006	405,053	711	(167,561)		238,203 (f)
2005	381,968	--	(147,933)		234,035 (g)
Total assets:					
2007	\$4,633,500	\$35,019	\$(75,317)	\$4,593,202	
2006	4,281,222	28,353	113,314	4,422,889	
Total long-lived assets (c):					
2007	\$4,268,260	--	\$(1,017)	\$4,267,243	
2006	4,036,393	--	1,550	4,037,943	

(a) Total operating and interest expenses represent the sum of: real estate taxes; utilities; operating services; direct construction costs; real estate services salaries, wages and other costs; general and administrative and interest expense (net of interest income). All interest expense, net of interest income, (including for property-level mortgages) is excluded from segment amounts and classified in Corporate & Other for all periods.

(b) Net operating income represents total revenues less total operating and interest expenses [as defined in Note (a)], plus equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated joint ventures, for the period.

(c) Long-lived assets are comprised of net investment in rental property, unbilled rents receivable and investments in unconsolidated joint ventures.

(d) Corporate & Other represents all corporate-level items (including interest and other investment income, interest expense and non-property general and administrative expense) as well as intercompany eliminations necessary to reconcile to consolidated Company totals.

(e) Excludes \$183,564 of depreciation and amortization.

- (f) Excludes \$159,096 of depreciation and amortization.
- (g) Excludes \$141,771 of depreciation and amortization.

## 18. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

William L. Mack, Chairman of the Board of Directors of the Company (“W. Mack”), David S. Mack, a director of the Company, and Earle I. Mack, a former director of the Company (“E. Mack”), are the executive officers, directors and stockholders of a corporation that leases approximately 7,801 square feet at one of the Company’s office properties, which is scheduled to expire in November 2008. The Company has recognized \$233,000, \$228,000 and \$242,000 in revenue under this lease for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and had no accounts receivable from the corporation as of December 31, 2007 and 2006.

The Company has conducted business with certain entities (“RMC Entity” or “RMC Entities”), whose principals include Timothy M. Jones, Martin S. Berger and Robert F. Weinberg, each of whom are affiliated with the Company as the former president of the Company, a current member of the Board of Directors and a former member of the Board of Directors of the Company, respectively. In connection with the Company’s acquisition of 65 Class A properties from The Robert Martin Company (“Robert Martin”) on January 31, 1997, as subsequently modified, the Company granted Robert Martin the right to designate one seat on the Company’s Board of Directors (“RM Board Seat”), which right has since expired. The RM Board Seat had historically been shared between Robert F. Weinberg and Martin S. Berger, each of whom had agreed that, for so long as either of them serves on the Board of Directors, that such board seat would be rotated among Mr. Berger and Mr. Weinberg annually at the time of each annual meeting of stockholders. At the Company’s 2003 annual meeting of stockholders, Mr. Berger was elected to the Board of Directors and he continued to share his board seat with Mr. Weinberg. At the Company’s 2006 annual meeting of stockholders, Mr. Weinberg was elected to the Board of Directors and he resigned after the Company’s 2007 annual meeting of stockholder and Mr. Berger was appointed to his board seat. The business that the Company has conducted with RMC Entities was as follows:

- (1) The Company provides management, leasing and construction-related services to properties in which RMC Entities have an ownership interest. The Company recognized approximately \$2 million, \$2 million and \$1.1 million in revenue from RMC Entities for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. As of December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively, the Company had \$319,000 and \$131,000 accounts receivable from RMC Entities.
- (2) An RMC Entity leased space at one of the Company’s office properties for approximately 3,330 square feet, which, after a three-year renewal and expansion signed with the Company in 2005, now leases 4,860 square feet which is scheduled to expire in October 2008. The Company has recognized \$132,000, \$119,000 and \$89,000, in revenue under this lease for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and had zero accounts receivable due from the RMC Entity, as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Through June 2007, Mr. Berger held a 24 percent interest, acted as chairman and chief executive officer, Mr. Weinberg also held a 24 percent interest and was a director, and W. Mack held a nine percent interest and was a director of City and Suburban Federal Savings Bank and/or one of its affiliates, which leases a 12,842 square feet of space at one of the Company’s office properties, which was scheduled to expire in April 2013. In July 2007, Messrs. Berger, Weinberg and Mack sold their interests and no longer are directors of City and Suburban Federal Savings Bank and/or its affiliates. The Company recognized \$190,000, \$404,000 and \$396,000 in revenue under the leases for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and had no accounts receivable from the company as of December 31, 2007 and 2006.

Pursuant to an agreement between the Company and certain members and associates of the Cali family executed June 27, 2000, John J. Cali served as the Chairman Emeritus and a Board member of the Company, and as a consultant to the Company and was paid an annual salary of \$150,000 from June 27, 2000 through June 27, 2003. Additionally, the Company provided office space and administrative support to John J. Cali and Ed Leshowitz, his business partner (the “Cali Group”). Such services were in effect from June 27, 2000 through June 27, 2004. From June 27, 2004 through

June 26, 2005, the Company agreed to provide office space at no cost to the Cali Group. The Company also provides administrative support and related services for which it was reimbursed \$192,000, \$184,000 and \$115,000 from the Cali Group for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. On June 27, 2005, an affiliate of the Cali Group entered into a three-year lease for 1,825 square feet of space at one of the Company's office properties, which is scheduled to expire at the end of 2011. On September 18, 2006, an affiliate of the Cali Group entered into another lease agreement for 806 additional square feet, in the same building, commencing on December 29, 2006, which is scheduled to expire at the end of 2011. The Company recognized approximately \$68,000, \$47,000 and \$24,000 in total revenue under the leases for the year ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and had no accounts receivable from the affiliate as of December 31, 2007 and 2006.

## 19. IMPACT OF RECENTLY-ISSUED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

### FASB Statement No. 157 (“FASB No. 157”), Fair Value Measurements

FASB No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. FASB No. 157 applies under other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements, FASB having previously concluded in those accounting pronouncements that fair value is their relevant measurement attribute. Accordingly, this FASB No. 157 does not require any new fair value measurements. However, for some entities, the application of this FASB No. 157 will change current practice. This statement is effective for financial statements for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company does not expect that the implementation of FASB No. 157 will have a material effect on the Company’s consolidated financial position or results of operations.

### FINANCIAL ACCOUNTING STANDARDS BOARD (FASB) Statement No. 159 (“FASB No. 159”), The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities—Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115

FASB No. 159 permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. The objective is to improve financial reporting by providing entities with the opportunity to mitigate volatility in reported earnings caused by measuring related assets and liabilities differently without having to apply complex hedge accounting provisions. FASB No. 159 is expected to expand the use of fair value measurement, which is consistent with FASB’s long-term measurement objectives for accounting for financial instruments. This Statement applies to all entities, including not-for-profit organizations. Most of the provisions of this Statement apply only to entities that elect the fair value option. However, the amendment to FASB Statement No. 115, Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities, applies to all entities with available-for-sale and trading securities. Some requirements apply differently to entities that do not report net income.

The following are eligible items for the measurement option established by FASB No. 159.

1. Recognized financial assets and financial liabilities except:
    - a. An investment in a subsidiary that the entity is required to consolidate;
    - b. An interest in a variable interest entity that the entity is required to consolidate;
  - c. Employers’ and plans’ obligations (or assets representing net overfunded positions) for pension benefits, other postretirement benefits (including health care and life insurance benefits), postemployment benefits, employee stock option and stock purchase plans, and other forms of deferred compensation arrangements, as defined in FASB Statements No. 35, Accounting and Reporting by Defined Benefit Pension Plans, No. 87, Employers’ Accounting for Pensions, No. 106, Employers’ Accounting for Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions, No. 112, Employers’ Accounting for Postemployment Benefits, No. 123 (revised December 2004), Share-Based Payment, No. 43, Accounting for Compensated Absences, No. 146, Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities, and No. 158, Employers’ Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans, and APB Opinion No. 12, Omnibus Opinion—1967;
  - d. Financial assets and financial liabilities recognized under leases as defined in FASB Statement No. 13, Accounting for Leases (This exception does not apply to a guarantee of a third-party lease obligation or a contingent obligation arising from a cancelled lease.);
  - e. Deposit liabilities, withdrawable on demand, of banks, savings and loan associations, credit unions, and other similar depository institutions; and
  - f. Financial instruments that are, in whole or in part, classified by the issuer as a component of shareholder’s equity (including “temporary equity”). An example is a convertible debt security with a noncontingent beneficial conversion feature.
2. Firm commitments that would otherwise not be recognized at inception and that involve only financial instruments.



3. Nonfinancial insurance contracts and warranties that the insurer can settle by paying a third party to provide those goods or services.
4. Host financial instruments resulting from separation of an embedded nonfinancial derivative instrument from a nonfinancial hybrid instrument.

The fair value option established by FASB No. 159 permits all entities to choose to measure eligible items at fair value at specified election dates. A business entity shall report unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected in earnings (or another performance indicator if the business entity does not report earnings) at each subsequent reporting date. FASB No. 159 is effective as of the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year that begins after November 15, 2007. The Company does not expect that the implementation of FASB No. 159 will have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial position or results of operations.

FASB Statement No. 141(R) – (revised 2007), (“FASB No. 141(R)”), Business Combinations

In December 2007, the FASB issued FASB No. 141(R) which establishes principles and requirements for how the acquirer shall recognize and measure in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, liabilities assumed, any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree and goodwill acquired in a business combination. This statement is effective for business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008. The Company is currently assessing the potential impact that the adoption of FASB No. 141(R) will have on its financial position and results of operations.

FASB Statement No. 160 (“FASB No. 160”), Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements — an Amendment of ARB No. 51

In December 2007, the FASB issued No. 160, which establishes and expands accounting and reporting standards for minority interests, which will be recharacterized as noncontrolling interests, in a subsidiary and the deconsolidation of a subsidiary. FASB 160 is effective for business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008. This statement is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2008. The Company is currently assessing the potential impact that the adoption of FASB No. 160 will have on its financial position and results of operations.

## 20. CONDENSED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL INFORMATION (unaudited)

The following summarizes the condensed quarterly financial information for the Company: (dollars in thousands)

Quarter Ended 2007:	December 31	September 30	June 30	March 31
Total revenues	\$201,682	\$212,881	\$200,530	\$193,257
Operating and other expenses	67,281	71,462	66,529	65,641
Direct construction costs	19,155	22,479	22,634	20,911
General and administrative	14,811	13,411	12,870	11,070
Depreciation and amortization	48,500	49,790	43,823	41,451
Total expenses	149,747	157,142	145,856	139,073
Operating Income	51,935	55,739	54,674	54,184
Interest expense	(32,240)	(32,163)	(31,333)	(30,936)
Interest and other investment income	497	985	1,571	1,617
Equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated joint ventures	(432)	(1,559)	(1,696)	(2,231)
Minority interest in consolidated joint ventures	151	51	214	227
Gain on sale of investment in marketable securities	--	--	--	--
Gain on sale of investment in unconsolidated joint ventures	--	--	--	--
Gain/(loss) on sale of land and other assets	--	--	--	--
Total other (expense) income	(32,024)	(32,686)	(31,244)	(31,323)
Income from continuing operations before minority interest in Operating Partnership	19,911	23,053	23,430	22,861
Minority interest in Operating Partnership	(3,562)	(4,146)	(4,197)	(4,221)
Income from continuing operations	16,349	18,907	19,233	18,640
Discontinued operations (net of minority interest):				
Income from discontinued operations	--	20	598	439
Realized gains (losses) and unrealized losses on disposition of rental property, net	--	4,533	31,747	--
Total discontinued operations, net	--	4,553	32,345	439
Net income	16,349	23,460	51,578	19,079
Preferred stock dividends	(500)	(500)	(500)	(500)
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 15,849	\$ 22,960	\$ 51,078	\$ 18,579
Basic earnings per common share:				
Income from continuing operations	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.28	\$ 0.27
Discontinued operations	--	0.07	0.48	0.01
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.34	\$ 0.76	\$ 0.28
Diluted earnings per common share:				
Income from continuing operations	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.28	\$ 0.27
Discontinued operations	--	0.07	0.47	0.01
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.34	\$ 0.75	\$ 0.28

Dividends declared per common share	\$ 0.64	\$ 0.64	\$ 0.64	\$ 0.64
-------------------------------------	---------	---------	---------	---------

107

---

Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Quarter Ended 2006:	December 31	September 30	June 30	March 31
Total revenues	\$ 196,082	\$ 201,252	\$ 182,789	\$ 151,889
Operating and other expenses	67,134	70,895	60,256	55,382
Direct construction costs	18,454	22,569	12,579	--
General and administrative	16,280	12,173	11,846	8,775
Depreciation and amortization	43,415	39,726	39,476	36,479
Total expenses	145,283	145,363	124,157	100,636
Operating Income	50,799	55,889	58,632	51,253
Interest expense	(35,390)	(35,466)	(33,034)	(31,075)
Interest and other investment income	696	514	399	1,446
Equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated joint ventures	(200)	(4,757)	(846)	247
Minority interest in consolidated joint ventures	75	113	30	--
Gain on sale of investment in marketable securities	--	--	--	15,060
Gain on sale of investment in unconsolidated joint ventures	10,831	--	--	--
Gain/(loss) on sale of land and other assets	(416)	--	--	--
Total other (expense) income	(24,404)	(39,596)	(33,451)	(14,322)
Income from continuing operations before minority interest in Operating Partnership	26,395	16,293	25,181	36,931
Minority interest in Operating Partnership	(5,162)	(3,169)	(4,950)	(6,840)
Income from continuing operations	21,233	13,124	20,231	30,091
Discontinued operations (net of minority interest):				
Income from discontinued operations	2,897	3,387	2,982	3,006
Realized gains (losses) and unrealized losses on disposition of rental property, net	43,794	--	3,921	--
Total discontinued operations, net	46,691	3,387	6,903	3,006
Net income	67,924	16,511	27,134	33,097
Preferred stock dividends	(500)	(500)	(500)	(500)
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 67,424	\$ 16,011	\$ 26,634	\$ 32,597
Basic earnings per common share:				
Income from continuing operations	\$ 0.33	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.32	\$ 0.48
Discontinued operations	0.75	0.06	0.11	0.04
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 1.08	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.43	\$ 0.52
Diluted earnings per common share:				
Income from continuing operations	\$ 0.32	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.32	\$ 0.48
Discontinued operations	0.75	0.06	0.11	0.04
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 1.07	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.43	\$ 0.52
Dividends declared per common share	\$ 0.64	\$ 0.64	\$ 0.63	\$ 0.63



MACK-CALI REALTY CORPORATION  
REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION  
December 31, 2007  
(dollars in thousands)

## SCHEDULE III

Property Location (b)	Year Built	Related Acquired	Encumbrances	Initial Costs			Gross Amount at Which Carried at Close of Period (a)		Accumulated Depreciation (c)	
				Land	Buildings and Improvements	Subsequent Capitalized Costs to Acquisition	Land	Buildings and Improvements		Total
NEW JERSEY										
Bergen County										
Fair Lawn										
17-17 Rte 208 North (O)	1987	1995	--	3,067	19,415	2,628	3,067	22,043	25,110	8,075
Fort Lee										
One Bridge Plaza (O)	1981	1996	--	2,439	24,462	4,876	2,439	29,338	31,777	7,591
2115 Linwood Avenue (O)	1981	1998	--	474	4,419	3,772	474	8,191	8,665	1,767
Little Ferry										
200 Riser Road (O)	1974	1997	--	3,888	15,551	575	3,888	16,126	20,014	4,209
Montvale										
95 Chestnut Ridge Road (O)	1975	1997	--	1,227	4,907	718	1,227	5,625	6,852	1,664
135 Chestnut Ridge Road (O)	1981	1997	--	2,587	10,350	2,305	2,588	12,654	15,242	3,901
Paramus										
15 East Midland Avenue (O)	1988	1997	20,600	10,375	41,497	520	10,374	42,018	52,392	10,439
461 From Road (O)	1988	1997	--	13,194	52,778	264	13,194	53,042	66,236	13,340
650 From Road (O)	1978	1997	25,600	10,487	41,949	6,378	10,487	48,327	58,814	13,687
140 East Ridgewood										
Avenue (O)	1981	1997	16,100	7,932	31,463	4,619	7,932	36,082	44,014	9,141
61 South Paramus Avenue (O)	1985	1997	20,800	9,005	36,018	6,245	9,005	42,263	51,268	11,005
Ridgefield Park										

Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

105 Challenger Road (O)	--	2006	18,968				4,714	29,768		1,764
Rochelle Park				4,714	29,768	--			34,482	
120 Passaic Street (O)	1972	1997	--	1,354	5,415	102	1,357	5,514	6,871	1,402
365 West Passaic Street (O)	1976	1997	12,250	4,148	16,592	3,472	4,148	20,064	24,212	5,463
395 West Passaic Street (O)	1979	2006	12,596	2,550	17,131	394	2,550	17,525	20,075	970
Upper Saddle River			--							
1 Lake Street (O)	1994	1997	35,550	13,952	55,812	51	13,953	55,862	69,815	14,023
10 Mountainview Road (O)	1986	1998	--	4,240	20,485	2,522	4,240	23,007	27,247	5,827
Woodcliff Lake										
400 Chestnut Ridge Road (O)	1982	1997	--	4,201	16,802	5,080	4,201	21,882	26,083	5,943
470 Chestnut Ridge Road (O)	1987	1997	--	2,346	9,385	1,213	2,346	10,598	12,944	2,364
530 Chestnut Ridge Road (O)	1986	1997	--	1,860	7,441	3	1,860	7,444	9,304	1,869
300 Tice Boulevard (O)	1991	1996	--	5,424	29,688	3,116	5,424	32,804	38,228	9,821
50 Tice Boulevard (O)	1984	1994	19,100	4,500	--	25,739	4,500	25,739	30,239	14,455



MACK-CALI REALTY CORPORATION  
REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION  
December 31, 2007  
(dollars in thousands)

## SCHEDULE III

Property Location (b)	Year Built	Related Acquired	Related Encumbrances	Initial Costs			Gross Amount at Which Carried at Close of Period (a)		Accumulated Total Depreciation (c)	
				Land	Buildings and Improvements	Subsequent Capitalized to Acquisition	Land	Buildings and Improvements		
B u r l i n g t o n County										
Burlington										
3 Terri Lane (F)	1991	1998	--	652	3,433	1,744	658	5,171	5,829	1,538
5 Terri Lane (F)	1992	1998	--	564	3,792	2,146	569	5,933	6,502	1,948
Moorestown										
2 Commerce Drive (F)	1986	1999	--	723	2,893	471	723	3,364	4,087	667
101 Commerce Drive (F)	1988	1998	--	422	3,528	437	426	3,961	4,387	1,009
102 Commerce Drive (F)	1987	1999	--	389	1,554	321	389	1,875	2,264	419
201 Commerce Drive (F)	1986	1998	--	254	1,694	502	258	2,192	2,450	664
202 Commerce Drive (F)	1988	1999	--	490	1,963	455	490	2,418	2,908	600
1 Executive Drive (F)	1989	1998	--	226	1,453	418	228	1,869	2,097	577
2 Executive Drive (F)	1988	2000	--	801	3,206	905	801	4,111	4,912	942
101 Executive Drive (F)	1990	1998	--	241	2,262	557	244	2,816	3,060	744
102 Executive Drive (F)	1990	1998	--	353	3,607	217	357	3,820	4,177	1,027
225 Executive Drive (F)	1990	1998	--	323	2,477	482	326	2,956	3,282	823
97 Foster Road (F)	1982	1998	--	208	1,382	310	211	1,689	1,900	450
1507 Lancer Drive (F)	1995	1998	--	119	1,106	51	120	1,156	1,276	299
840 North Lenola Road (F)	1995	1998	--	329	2,366	527	333	2,889	3,222	879

Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

844 North Lenola Road (F)	1995	1998	--				241	1,972		602
				239	1,714	260			2,213	
915 North Lenola Road (F)	1998	2000	--				508	2,319		621
				508	2,034	285			2,827	
1 2 4 5 North Church Street (F)	1998	2001	--				691	2,827		477
				691	2,810	17			3,518	
1 2 4 7 North Church Street (F)	1998	2001	--				805	3,474		602
				805	3,269	205			4,279	
1 2 5 6 North Church (F)	1984	1998	--				357	3,627		1,158
				354	3,098	532			3,984	
224 Strawbridge Drive (O)	1984	1997	--				767	8,180		2,989
				766	4,335	3,846			8,947	
228 Strawbridge Drive (O)	1984	1997	--				767	6,541		1,855
				766	4,334	2,208			7,308	
232 Strawbridge Drive (O)	1986	2004	--				1,521	9,011		891
				1,521	7,076	1,935			10,532	
2 Twosome Drive (F)	2000	2001	--				701	2,825		471
				701	2,807	18			3,526	
3 0 Twosome Drive (F)	1997	1998	--				236	2,376		574
				234	1,954	424			2,612	
3 1 Twosome Drive (F)	1998	2001	--				815	3,378		588
				815	3,276	102			4,193	
4 0 Twosome Drive (F)	1996	1998	--				301	2,663		784
				297	2,393	274			2,964	
4 1 Twosome Drive (F)	1998	2001	--				605	2,502		435
				605	2,459	43			3,107	
5 0 Twosome Drive (F)	1997	1998	--				304	2,447		680
				301	2,330	120			2,751	
West Deptford										
1 4 5 1 Metropolitan Drive (F)	1996	1998	--				206	1,216		327
				203	1,189	30			1,422	
Essex County										
Millburn										
150 J.F. Kennedy Parkway (O)	1980	1997	--				12,606	59,045		15,958
				12,606	50,425	8,620			71,651	

MACK-CALI REALTY CORPORATION  
REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION  
December 31, 2007  
(dollars in thousands)

## SCHEDULE III

Property Location (b)	Year Built	Related Acquired	Encumbrances	Initial Costs		Subsequent Capitalized Costs to Acquisition	Gross Amount at Which Carried at Close of Period (a)		Total Depreciation (c)	
				Land	Improvements		Land	Improvements		
Roseland										
101 Eisenhower Parkway (O)	1980	1994	--	228	--	15,969	228	15,969	16,197	10,288
103 Eisenhower Parkway (O)	1985	1994	--	--	--	14,971	2,300	12,671	14,971	7,353
105 Eisenhower Parkway (O)	2001	2001	--	4,430	42,898	2,363	--	49,691	49,691	10,701
Hudson County Jersey City H a r b o r s i d e Financial Center Plaza 1 (O)	1983	1996	--	3,923	51,013	25,709	3,923	76,722	80,645	15,176
H a r b o r s i d e Financial Center Plaza 2 (O)	1990	1996	--	17,655	101,546	16,663	15,057	120,807	135,864	34,410
H a r b o r s i d e Financial Center Plaza 3 (O)	1990	1996	--	17,655	101,878	16,332	15,058	120,807	135,865	34,411
H a r b o r s i d e Financial Center Plaza 4A (O)	2000	2000	--	1,244	56,144	8,722	1,244	64,866	66,110	13,574
H a r b o r s i d e Financial Center Plaza 5 (O)	2002	2002	--	6,218	170,682	55,712	5,705	226,907	232,612	34,175
101 Hudson Street (O)	1992	2004	--	45,530	271,376	4,422	45,530	275,798	321,328	27,024
Mercer County H a m i l t o n Township 3 AAA Drive (O)	1981	2007	--	242	3,218	346	242	3,564	3,806	54

Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

100 Horizon Drive (F)	1989	1995	--				172	1,792		554
				205	1,676	83			1,964	
200 Horizon Drive (F)	1991	1995	--				205	3,240		1,060
				205	3,027	213			3,445	
300 Horizon Drive (F)	1989	1995	--				379	5,459		1,834
				379	4,355	1,104			5,838	
500 Horizon Drive (F)	1990	1995	--				344	4,071		1,353
				379	3,395	641			4,415	
600 Horizon Drive (F)	2002	2002	--				685	7,515		955
				--	7,549	651			8,200	
700 Horizon Drive (O)			--				1,355	16,168		238
	2007	2007		490	43	16,990			17,523	
2 South Gold Drive (O)			--				476	3,512		58
	1974	2007		476	3,487	25			3,988	
Princeton 103 Carnegie Center (O)	1984	1996	--				2,566	9,893		3,152
				2,566	7,868	2,025			12,459	
100 Overlook Center (O)	1988	1997	--				2,378	26,222		7,108
				2,378	21,754	4,468			28,600	
5 Vaughn Drive (O)	1987	1995	--				657	11,532		4,181
				657	9,800	1,732			12,189	
Middlesex County East Brunswick 377 Summerhill Road (O)	1977	1997	--				649	2,968		751
				649	2,594	374			3,617	
Edison 343 Thornall Street (O)	1991	2006	--				6,027	43,721		2,212
				6,027	39,101	4,620			49,748	
Piscataway 30 Knightsbridge Road, Building 3 (O)	1977	2004	--	1,030	7,269	316	1,034	7,581	8,615	657
30 Knightsbridge Road, Building 4 (O)	1977	2004	--	1,433	10,121	344	1,429	10,469	11,898	908

MACK-CALI REALTY CORPORATION  
REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION  
December 31, 2007  
(dollars in thousands)

## SCHEDULE III

Property Location (b)	Year Built	Acquired	Related Encumbrances	Initial Costs			Gross Amount at Which Carried at Close of Period (a)		Accumulated Total Depreciation (c)	
				Land	Buildings and Improvements	Subsequent to Acquisition Capitalized	Land	Buildings and Improvements		
30 Knightsbridge Road, Building 5 (O)	1977	2004	--	2,979	21,035	6,898	2,979	27,933	30,912	2,543
30 Knightsbridge Road, Building 6 (O)	1977	2004	--	448	3,161	4,469	448	7,630	8,078	426
Plainsboro 500 College Road East (O)	1984	1998	--	614	20,626	1,514	614	22,140	22,754	5,482
South Brunswick 3 Independence Way (O)	1983	1997	--	1,997	11,391	1,307	1,997	12,698	14,695	3,379
Woodbridge 581 Main Street (O)	1991	1997	--	3,237	12,949	24,217	8,115	32,288	40,403	7,446
M o n m o u t h County										
Middletown 23 Main Street (O)	1977	2005	32,968	4,336	19,544	8,903	4,336	28,447	32,783	2,739
2 Paragon Way (O)	1989	2005	--	999	4,619	763	999	5,382	6,381	617
3 Paragon Way (O)	1991	2005	--	1,423	6,041	1,693	1,423	7,734	9,157	582
4 Paragon Way (O)	2002	2005	--	1,961	8,827	43	1,961	8,870	10,831	1,172
One River Center, Building 1 (O)	1983	2004	--	3,070	17,414	2,104	2,451	20,137	22,588	2,235
One River Center, Building 2 (O)	1983	2004	--	2,468	15,043	971	2,452	16,030	18,482	1,273
One River Center,										

Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Building 3 (O)	1984	2004	--	4,051	24,790	4,358	4,627	28,572	33,199	2,273
100 Willowbrook Road (O)	1988	2005	--				1,264	6,345		576
Neptune				1,264	5,573	772			7,609	
3600 Route 66 (O)	1989	1995	--	1,098	18,146	1,462	1,098	19,608	20,706	5,728
Wall Township										
1305 Campus Parkway (O)	1988	1995	--				335	3,042		830
1325 Campus Parkway (F)	1988	1995	--	335	2,560	482			3,377	
1340 Campus Parkway (F)	1988	1995	--	270	2,928	1,337	270	4,265		1,713
1340 Campus Parkway (F)	1992	1995	--				489	6,285		1,882
1345 Campus Parkway (F)	1995	1997	--	489	4,621	1,664			6,774	
1350 Campus Parkway (O)	1990	1995	--				1,024	7,260		2,449
1433 Highway 34 (F)	1985	1995	--	1,023	5,703	1,558			8,284	
1320 Wyckoff Avenue (F)	1986	1995	--	454	7,134	1,046	454	8,180		2,597
1324 Wyckoff Avenue (F)	1987	1995	--						8,634	
				889	4,321	1,040	889	5,361		1,587
				255	1,285	68	255	1,353		405
							230	1,685		500
				230	1,439	246			1,915	

MACK-CALI REALTY CORPORATION  
REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION  
December 31, 2007  
(dollars in thousands)

## SCHEDULE III

Property Location (b)	Year Built	Acquired	Related Encumbrances	Initial Costs		Costs Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition	Gross Amount at Which Carried at Close of Period (a)		Accumulated Depreciation (c)
				Land	Improvements and		Land	Improvements	
Morris County Florham Park 325 Columbia Parkway (O)	1987	1994	--	1,564	--	14,847	1,564	14,847	7,400
Morris Plains 250 Johnson Road (O)	1977	1997	--	2,004	8,016	1,175	2,004	9,191	2,354
201 Littleton Road (O)	1979	1997	--	2,407	9,627	1,096	2,407	10,723	2,916
Morris Township 412 Mt. Kemble Avenue (O)	1985	2004	--	4,360	33,167	5,884	4,360	39,051	3,286
Parsippany 4 Campus Drive (O)	1983	2001	--	5,213	20,984	1,665	5,213	22,649	4,160
6 Campus Drive (O)	1983	2001	--	4,411	17,796	2,604	4,411	20,400	3,927
7 Campus Drive (O)	1982	1998	--	1,932	27,788	2,176	1,932	29,964	6,893
8 Campus Drive (O)	1987	1998	--	1,865	35,456	4,123	1,865	39,579	10,685
9 Campus Drive (O)	1983	2001	--	3,277	11,796	17,370	5,842	26,601	6,710
4 Century Drive (O)	1981	2004	--	1,787	9,575	1,067	1,787	10,642	974
5 Century Drive (O)	1981	2004	--	1,762	9,341	446	1,762	9,787	718
6 Century Drive (O)	1981	2004	--	1,289	6,848	2,596	1,289	9,444	946
2 Dryden Way (O)	1990	1998	--	778	420	110	778	530	116
4 Gatehall Drive (O)	1988	2000	--	8,452	33,929	3,810	8,452	37,739	7,630

Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

2 Hilton Court (O)	1991	1998	--	1,971	32,007	3,069	1,971	35,076	37,047	9,201	
1633 Littleton Road (O)	1978	2002	--	2,283	9,550	163	2,355	9,641	11,996	1,807	
600 Parsippany Road (O)	1978	1994	--	1,257	5,594	3,128	1,257	8,722	9,979	2,954	
1 Sylvan Way (O)	1989	1998	--	1,689	24,699	394	1,021	25,761	26,782	7,794	
5 Sylvan Way (O)	1989	1998	--	1,160	25,214	2,250	1,161	27,463	28,624	7,037	
7 Sylvan Way (O)	1987	1998	--	2,084	26,083	2,092	2,084	28,175	30,259	7,521	
35 Waterview Boulevard (O)	1990	2006	20,104	5,133	28,059	605	5,133	28,664	33,797	1,762	
5 Wood Hollow Road (O)	1979	2004	--	5,302	26,488	12,578	5,302	39,066	44,368	3,592	
Passaic County											
Clifton											
777 Passaic Avenue (O)	1983	1994	--	--	--	--	6,981	1,100	5,881	6,981	3,147
Totowa											
1 Center Court (F)	1999	1999	--	270	1,824	627	270	2,451	2,721	971	
2 Center Court (F)	1998	1998	--	191	--	2,255	191	2,255	2,446	519	
11 Commerce Way (F)	1989	1995	--	586	2,986	95	586	3,081	3,667	960	
20 Commerce Way (F)	1992	1995	--	516	3,108	81	516	3,189	3,705	978	
29 Commerce Way (F)	1990	1995	--	586	3,092	950	586	4,042	4,628	1,467	
40 Commerce Way (F)	1987	1995	--	516	3,260	85	516	3,345	3,861	1,012	
45 Commerce Way (F)	1992	1995	--	536	3,379	515	536	3,894	4,430	1,192	
60 Commerce Way (F)	1988	1995	--	526	3,257	431	526	3,688	4,214	1,231	



MACK-CALI REALTY CORPORATION  
REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION  
December 31, 2007  
(dollars in thousands)

## SCHEDULE III

Property Location (b)	Year Built	Related Acquired	Related Encumbrances	Initial Costs			Gross Amount at Which Carried at Close of Period (a)		Accumulated Depreciation (c)	
				Land	Buildings and Improvements	Subsequent Capitalized to Acquisition	Land	Buildings and Improvements		
80 Commerce Way (F)	1996	1996	--	227	--	1,513	453	1,287	1,740	480
100 Commerce Way (F)	1996	1996	--	226	--	1,060	--	1,286	1,286	480
120 Commerce Way (F)	1994	1995	--	228	--	1,574	457	1,345	1,802	414
140 Commerce Way (F)	1994	1995	--	229	--	1,016	--	1,245	1,245	414
999 Riverview Drive (O)	1988	1995	--	476	6,024	626	476	6,650	7,126	2,143
Somerset County										
Basking Ridge										
106 Allen Road (O)	2000	2000	--	3,853	14,465	3,806	4,093	18,031	22,124	5,407
222 Mt. Airy Road (O)	1986	1996	--	775	3,636	2,162	775	5,798	6,573	1,461
233 Mt. Airy Road (O)	1987	1996	--	1,034	5,033	1,646	1,034	6,679	7,713	2,384
Bridgewater										
721 Route 202/206 (O)	1989	1997	--	6,730	26,919	8,278	6,730	35,197	41,927	7,094
Union County										
Clark										
100 Walnut Avenue (O)	1985	1994	--	--	--	16,750	1,822	14,928	16,750	8,494
Cranford										
6 Commerce Drive (O)	1973	1994	--	250	--	2,948	250	2,948	3,198	1,895
11 Commerce Drive (O)	1981	1994	--	470	--	5,749	470	5,749	6,219	3,559

Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

12 Commerce Drive (O)	1967	1997	--	887	3,549	1,851	887	5,400	6,287	1,723
14 Commerce Drive (O)	1971	2003	--	1,283	6,344	49	1,283	6,393	7,676	683
20 Commerce Drive (O)	1990	1994	--	2,346	--	20,714	2,346	20,714	23,060	8,425
25 Commerce Drive (O)	1971	2002	--	1,520	6,186	320	1,520	6,506	8,026	1,651
65 Jackson Drive (O)	1984	1994	--	541	--	6,374	542	6,373	6,915	3,367
New Providence 890 Mountain Road (O)	1977	1997	--	2,796	11,185	5,021	3,765	15,237	19,002	3,803
NEW YORK										
New York County										
New York										
125 Broad Street (O)	1970	2007		50,191	207,002	7,309	50,191	214,311	264,502	4,135
Rockland County										
Suffern										
400 Rella Boulevard (O)	1988	1995	--	1,090	13,412	3,019	1,090	16,431	17,521	5,836
Westchester County										
Elmsford										
11 Clearbrook Road (F)	1974	1997	--	149	2,159	425	149	2,584	2,733	699
75 Clearbrook Road (F)	1990	1997	--	2,314	4,716	107	2,314	4,823	7,137	1,306
100 Clearbrook Road (O)	1975	1997	--	220	5,366	1,262	220	6,628	6,848	1,893
125 Clearbrook Road (F)	2002	2002	--	1,055	3,676	(51)	1,055	3,625	4,680	931
150 Clearbrook Road (F)	1975	1997	--	497	7,030	1,198	497	8,228	8,725	2,241

MACK-CALI REALTY CORPORATION  
REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION  
December 31, 2007  
(dollars in thousands)

## SCHEDULE III

Property Location (b)	Year Built	Related Acquired	Encumbrances	Initial Costs			Gross Amount at Which Carried at Close of Period (a)		Accumulated Depreciation (c)
				Land	Buildings and Improvements	Subsequent Capitalized to Acquisition	Land	Buildings and Improvements	
175 Clearbrook Road (F)	1973	1997	--	655	7,473	907	655	8,380	2,444
200 Clearbrook Road (F)	1974	1997	--	579	6,620	1,031	579	7,651	2,273
250 Clearbrook Road (F)	1973	1997	--	867	8,647	1,476	867	10,123	2,927
50 Executive Boulevard (F)	1969	1997	--	237	2,617	235	237	2,852	749
77 Executive Boulevard (F)	1977	1997	--	34	1,104	154	34	1,258	374
85 Executive Boulevard (F)	1968	1997	--	155	2,507	539	155	3,046	798
101 Executive Boulevard (O)	1971	1997	--	267	5,838	868	267	6,706	1,884
300 Executive Boulevard (F)	1970	1997	--	460	3,609	322	460	3,931	1,058
350 Executive Boulevard (F)	1970	1997	--	100	1,793	153	100	1,946	618
399 Executive Boulevard (F)	1962	1997	--	531	7,191	62	531	7,253	1,977
400 Executive Boulevard (F)	1970	1997	--	2,202	1,846	450	2,202	2,296	783
500 Executive Boulevard (F)	1970	1997	--	258	4,183	781	258	4,964	1,563
525 Executive Boulevard (F)	1972	1997	--	345	5,499	724	345	6,223	1,856
700 Executive Boulevard (L)	N/A	1997	--	970	--	--	970	--	--
3 Odell Plaza (O)	1984	2003	--	1,322	4,777	2,100	1,322	6,877	8,199
5 Skyline Drive (F)	1980	2001	--	2,219	8,916	1,555	2,219	10,471	2,157
	1980	2001	--	740	2,971	24	740	2,995	3,735

Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

6 Skyline Drive (F)										
555 Taxter Road (O)	1986	2000	--	4,285	17,205	5,603	4,285	22,808	27,093	4,980
565 Taxter Road (O)	1988	2000	--	4,285	17,205	3,580	4,233	20,837	25,070	4,620
570 Taxter Road (O)	1972	1997	--	438	6,078	951	438	7,029	7,467	2,208
1 Warehouse Lane (I)	1957	1997	--	3	268	234	3	502	505	124
2 Warehouse Lane (I)	1957	1997	--	4	672	188	4	860	864	309
3 Warehouse Lane (I)	1957	1997	--	21	1,948	526	21	2,474	2,495	784
4 Warehouse Lane (I)	1957	1997	--	84	13,393	2,704	85	16,096	16,181	4,435
5 Warehouse Lane (I)	1957	1997	--	19	4,804	1,436	19	6,240	6,259	1,703
6 Warehouse Lane (I)	1982	1997	--	10	4,419	328	10	4,747	4,757	1,279
1 Westchester Plaza (F)	1967	1997	--	199	2,023	187	199	2,210	2,409	618
2 Westchester Plaza (F)	1968	1997	--	234	2,726	226	234	2,952	3,186	805
3 Westchester Plaza (F)	1969	1997	--	655	7,936	527	655	8,463	9,118	2,415
4 Westchester Plaza (F)	1969	1997	--	320	3,729	404	320	4,133	4,453	1,083
5 Westchester Plaza (F)	1969	1997	--	118	1,949	200	118	2,149	2,267	691
6 Westchester Plaza (F)	1968	1997	--	164	1,998	226	164	2,224	2,388	691
7 Westchester Plaza (F)	1972	1997	--	286	4,321	214	286	4,535	4,821	1,237
8 Westchester Plaza (F)	1971	1997	--	447	5,262	995	447	6,257	6,704	1,675
Hawthorne 200 Saw Mill River Road (F)	1965	1997	--	353	3,353	487	353	3,840	4,193	1,105
1 Skyline Drive (O)	1980	1997	--	66	1,711	301	66	2,012	2,078	571
2 Skyline Drive (O)	1987	1997	--	109	3,128	471	109	3,599	3,708	1,154
4 Skyline Drive (F)	1987	1997	--	363	7,513	1,738	363	9,251	9,614	2,615
7 Skyline Drive (O)	1987	1998	--	330	13,013	1,440	330	14,453	14,783	3,706



MACK-CALI REALTY CORPORATION  
REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION  
December 31, 2007  
(dollars in thousands)

## SCHEDULE III

Property Location (b)	Year Built	Related Acquired	Encumbrances	Initial Costs			Gross Amount at Which Carried at Close of Period (a)		Accumulated Total Depreciation (c)	
				Land	Improvements Capitalized	Subsequent and to Acquisition	Land	Improvements		
8 Skyline Drive (F)	1985	1997	--	212	4,410	1,967	212	6,377	6,589	2,251
10 Skyline Drive (F)	1985	1997	--	134	2,799	605	134	3,404		830
11 Skyline Drive (F)	1989	1997	--	--	4,788	430	--	5,218		1,580
12 Skyline Drive (F)	1999	1999	--	1,562	3,254	1,142	1,320	4,638		1,536
14 Skyline Drive (L)	N/A	2002	--	964		16	980	--		980
15 Skyline Drive (F)	1989	1997	--	--	7,449	344		7,793		2,233
16 Skyline Drive (L)	N/A	2002	--	850	--	31	881	--		881
17 Skyline Drive (O)	1989	1997	--	--	7,269	776	--	8,045		2,051
19 Skyline Drive (O)	1982	1997	--	2,355	34,254	3,536	2,356	37,789	40,145	12,185
Tarrytown 200 White Plains Road (O)	1982	1997	--	378	8,367	1,258	378	9,625	10,003	2,666
220 White Plains Road (O)	1984	1997	--	367	8,112	1,246	367	9,358		2,591
230 White Plains Road (R) White Plains	1984	1997	--	124	1,845	107	124	1,952	2,076	508
1 Barker Avenue (O)	1975	1997	--	208	9,629	1,145	207	10,775	10,982	3,074
3 Barker Avenue (O)	1983	1997	--	122	7,864	2,003	122	9,867	9,989	3,071
50 Main Street (O)	1985	1997	--	564	48,105	7,642	564	55,747	56,311	16,029
11 Martine Avenue (O)	1987	1997	--	127	26,833	4,896	127	31,729	31,856	9,740

Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

1 Water Street (O) Yonkers	1979	1997	--	211	5,382	1,177	211	6,559	6,770	1,906
100 Corporate Boulevard (F)	1987	1997	--	602	9,910	870	602	10,780	11,382	3,152
200 Corporate Boulevard South (F)	1990	1997	--	502	7,575	456	502	8,031	8,533	2,146
250 Corporate Boulevard South (L)	N/A	2002	--	1,028	--	255	1,139	144	1,283	
1 Enterprise Boulevard (L)	N/A	1997	--	1,379	--	1	1,380	--	1,380	--
1 Executive Boulevard (O)	1982	1997	--	1,104	11,904	2,021	1,105	13,924	15,029	4,055
2 Executive Plaza (R)	1986	1997	--	89	2,439	3	89	2,442	2,531	666
3 Executive Plaza (O)	1987	1997	--	385	6,256	1,728	385	7,984	8,369	2,753
4 Executive Plaza (F)	1986	1997	--	584	6,134	1,859	584	7,993	8,577	2,348
6 Executive Plaza (F)	1987	1997	--	546	7,246	384	546	7,630	8,176	2,119
1 Odell Plaza (F)	1980	1997	--	1,206	6,815	880	1,206	7,695	8,901	2,100
5 Odell Plaza (F)	1983	1997	--	331	2,988	270	331	3,258	3,589	902
7 Odell Plaza (F)	1984	1997	--	419	4,418	470	419	4,888	5,307	1,307
PENNSYLVANIA										
Chester County										
Berwyn										
1000 Westlakes Drive (O)	1989	1997	--	619	9,016	570	619	9,586	10,205	2,768
1055 Westlakes Drive (O)	1990	1997	--	1,951	19,046	4,218	1,951	23,264	25,215	7,164

MACK-CALI REALTY CORPORATION  
REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION  
December 31, 2007  
(dollars in thousands)

## SCHEDULE III

Property Location (b)	Year Built	Related Acquired	Encumbrances	Initial Costs			Gross Amount at Which Costs Carried at Close of Period (a)			Accumulated Total Depreciation (c)
				Land	Buildings and Improvements	Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition	Land	Buildings and Improvements		
1205 Westlakes Drive (O)	1988	1997	--	1,323	20,098	2,643	1,323	22,741	24,064	6,275
1235 Westlakes Drive (O)	1986	1997	--	1,417	21,215	3,433	1,418	24,647	26,065	6,902
Delaware County										
Lester										
100 Stevens Drive (O)	1986	1996	--	1,349	10,018	3,154	1,349	13,172	14,521	4,101
200 Stevens Drive (O)	1987	1996	--	1,644	20,186	4,748	1,644	24,934	26,578	7,752
300 Stevens Drive (O)	1992	1996	--	491	9,490	1,919	491	11,409	11,900	3,683
Media										
1400 Providence Rd, Center I (O)	1986	1996	--	1,042	9,054	2,457	1,042	11,511	12,553	3,724
1400 Providence Rd, Center II (O)	1990	1996	--	1,543	16,464	3,138	1,544	19,601	21,145	6,455
Montgomery County										
Bala Cynwyd										
150 Monument Road (O)	1981	2004	--	2,845	14,780	3,352	2,845	18,132	20,977	1,455
Blue Bell										
4 Sentry Parkway (O)	1982	2003	--	1,749	7,721	189	1,749	7,910	9,659	854
16 Sentry Parkway (O)	1988	2002	--	3,377	13,511	1,344	3,377	14,855	18,232	3,138
	1988	2002	--	3,515	14,062	1,544	3,515	15,606	19,121	3,172



Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

18 Sentry Parkway (O) King of Prussia										
2200 Renaissance Blvd (O)	1985	2002	22,034	5,347	21,453	2,696	5,347	24,149	29,496	5,709
Lower Providence 1000 Madison Avenue (O)	1990	1997	--	1,713	12,559	2,539	1,714	15,097	16,811	3,887
Plymouth Meeting 1150 Plymouth Meeting Mall (O)	1970	1997	--	125	499	31,117	6,219	25,522	31,741	6,973
Five Sentry Parkway East (O)	1984	1996	--	642	7,992	1,306	642	9,298	9,940	2,419
Five Sentry Parkway West (O)	1984	1996	--	268	3,334	543	268	3,877	4,145	957
CONNECTICUT										
Fairfield County Norwalk										
40 Richards Avenue (O)	1985	1998	--	1,087	18,399	3,363	1,087	21,762	22,849	5,213
Stamford 1266 East Main Street (O)	1984	2002	17,575	6,638	26,567	3,565	6,638	30,132	36,770	5,499

MACK-CALI REALTY CORPORATION  
REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION  
December 31, 2007  
(dollars in thousands)

## SCHEDULE III

Property Location (b)	Year Built	Related Acquisition	Related Encumbrances	Initial Costs			Gross Amount at Which Carried at Close of Period (a)		Total Accumulated Depreciation (c)	
				Land	Buildings and Improvements	Capitalized Subsequent Improvements to Land and Buildings	Land	Improvements		
419 West Avenue (F)	1986	1997	--	4,538	9,246	1,241	4,538	10,487	15,025	3,131
500 West Avenue (F)	1988	1997	--	415	1,679	165	415	1,844	2,259	564
550 West Avenue (F)	1990	1997	--	1,975	3,856	59	1,975	3,915	5,890	1,057
600 West Avenue (F)	1999	1999	--	2,305	2,863	839	2,305	3,702	6,007	761
650 West Avenue (F)	1998	1998	--	1,328	--	4,260	1,328	4,260	5,588	1,690
D I S T R I C T O F C O L U M B I A										
Washington, 1201 Connecticut Avenue, NW (O)										
	1940	1999	--	14,228	18,571	3,153	14,228	21,724	35,952	4,773
1400 L Street, NW (O)										
	1987	1998	--	13,054	27,423	6,441	13,054	33,864	46,918	7,570
M A R Y L A N D										
Prince George's County Greenbelt										
9200 Edmonston Road (O)										
	1973/03	2006	5,096		1,547		1,547	4,182		327
6301 Ivy Lane (O)										
	1979/95	2006	6,655		1,547	4,131	51	5,168	15,066	1,130
6303 Ivy Lane (O)										
	1980/03	2006	--		5,168	14,706	360	5,115	14,015	1,016
6305 Ivy Lane (O)										
	1982/95	2006	7,098		5,115	13,860	155	5,615	14,809	1,163
6404 Ivy Lane (O)										
	1987	2006	13,029		5,615	14,420	389	5,615	14,809	1,163
6406 Ivy Lane (O)										
	1991	2006	--		7,578	20,785	462	7,578	21,247	1,860
6411 Ivy Lane (O)										
	1984/05	2006	--		7,514	21,152	145	7,514	21,297	1,349
Lanham										
4200 Parliament Place (O)										
	1989	1998	--		6,867	17,470	337	6,867	17,807	1,393
					6,867	17,470	337		24,674	
					2,114	13,546	626	1,393	14,893	4,227
					2,114	13,546	626		16,286	

Projects Under Development and Developable Land	--	122,796	17,949	-122,796	17,949	140,745	297	
Furniture, Fixtures and Equipment	--	--	--	8,956	--	8,956	8,956	6,810
TOTALS	306,123	716,382	3,436,947	732,100	26,253	4,159,176	4,885,429	907,013

(a) The aggregate cost for federal income tax purposes at December 31, 2007 was approximately \$3.0 billion.

(b) Legend of Property Codes:

(O)=Office Property

(R)=Stand-alone Retail Property

(F)=Office/Flex Property

(L)=Land Lease

(I)=Industrial/Warehouse Property

(c) Depreciation of the buildings and improvements are calculated over lives ranging from the life of the lease to 40 years.

MACK-CALI REALTY CORPORATION  
NOTE TO SCHEDULE III

Changes in rental properties and accumulated depreciation for the periods ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 are as follows: (dollars in thousands)

	2007	2006	2005
<b>Rental Properties</b>			
Balance at beginning of year	\$4,573,587	\$4,491,752	\$4,160,959
Additions	372,793	405,883	485,680
Properties sold	(47,394)	(313,345)	(120,755)
Retirements/disposals	(19,488)	(10,703)	(34,132)
Balance at end of year	\$4,879,498	\$4,573,587	\$4,491,752
<b>Accumulated Depreciation</b>			
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 796,793	\$ 722,980	\$ 641,626
Depreciation expense	140,240	131,848	128,814
Properties sold	(11,224)	(53,037)	(16,691)
Retirements/disposals	(18,796)	(4,998)	(30,769)
Balance at end of year	\$ 907,013	\$ 796,793	\$ 722,980

MACK-CALI REALTY CORPORATION

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Mack-Cali Realty Corporation  
(Registrant)

Date: February 13, 2008  
Lefkowitz  
Barry Lefkowitz  
Executive Vice President and  
Chief Financial Officer

/s/ Barry

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated:

Name	Title	Date
/s/ William L. Mack William L. Mack	Chairman of the Board	February 13, 2008
/s/ Mitchell E. Hersh Mitchell E. Hersh	President and Chief Executive Officer and Director	February 13, 2008
/s/ Barry Lefkowitz Barry Lefkowitz	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	February 13, 2008
/s/ Martin S. Berger Martin S. Berger	Director	February 13, 2008
/s/ Alan S. Bernikow Alan S. Bernikow	Director	February 13, 2008
/s/ John R. Cali John R. Cali	Director	February 13, 2008
/s/ Kenneth M. Duberstein Kenneth M. Duberstein	Director	February 13, 2008



Name	Title	Date
/s/ Nathan Gantcher Nathan Gantcher	Director	February 13, 2008
/s/ David S. Mack David S. Mack	Director	February 13, 2008
/s/ Alan G. Philibosian Alan G. Philibosian	Director	February 13, 2008
/s/ Irvin D. Reid Irvin D. Reid	Director	February 13, 2008
/s/ Vincent Tese Vincent Tese	Director	February 13, 2008
/s/ Roy J. Zuckerberg Roy J. Zuckerberg	Director	February 13, 2008

MACK-CALI REALTY CORPORATION

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Title
3.1	Restated Charter of Mack-Cali Realty Corporation dated June 11, 2001 (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Form 10-Q dated June 30, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference).
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws of Mack-Cali Realty Corporation dated June 10, 1999 (filed as Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Form 8-K dated June 10, 1999 and incorporated herein by reference).
3.3	Amendment No. 1 to the Amended and Restated Bylaws of Mack-Cali Realty Corporation dated March 4, 2003, (filed as Exhibit 3.3 to the Company's Form 10-Q dated March 31, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
3.4	Amendment No. 2 to the Mack-Cali Realty Corporation Amended and Restated Bylaws dated May 24, 2006 (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated May 24, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
3.5	Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Mack-Cali Realty, L.P. dated December 11, 1997 (filed as Exhibit 10.110 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 11, 1997 and incorporated herein by reference).
3.6	Amendment No. 1 to the Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Mack-Cali Realty, L.P. dated August 21, 1998 (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's and the Operating Partnership's Registration Statement on Form S-3, Registration No. 333-57103, and incorporated herein by reference).
3.7	Second Amendment to the Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Mack-Cali Realty, L.P. dated July 6, 1999 (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated July 6, 1999 and incorporated herein by reference).
3.8	Third Amendment to the Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Mack-Cali Realty, L.P. dated September 30, 2003 (filed as Exhibit 3.7 to the Company's Form 10-Q dated September 30, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
3.9	Certificate of Designation of Series B Preferred Operating Partnership Units of Limited Partnership Interest of Mack-Cali Realty, L.P. (filed as Exhibit 10.101 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 11, 1997 and incorporated herein by reference).



- 3.10 Articles Supplementary for the 8% Series C Cumulative Redeemable Perpetual Preferred Stock dated March 11, 2003 (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated March 14, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 3.11 Certificate of Designation for the 8% Series C Cumulative Redeemable Perpetual Preferred Operating Partnership Units dated March 14, 2003 (filed as Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Form 8-K dated March 14, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Title
4.1	Amended and Restated Shareholder Rights Agreement, dated as of March 7, 2000, between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and EquiServe Trust Company, N.A., as Rights Agent (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated March 7, 2000 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.2	Amendment No. 1 to the Amended and Restated Shareholder Rights Agreement, dated as of June 27, 2000, by and among Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and EquiServe Trust Company, N.A. (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated June 27, 2000 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.3	Indenture dated as of March 16, 1999, by and among Mack-Cali Realty, L.P., as issuer, Mack-Cali Realty Corporation, as guarantor, and Wilmington Trust Company, as trustee (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Operating Partnership's Form 8-K dated March 16, 1999 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.4	Supplemental Indenture No. 1 dated as of March 16, 1999, by and among Mack-Cali Realty, L.P., as issuer, and Wilmington Trust Company, as trustee (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Operating Partnership's Form 8-K dated March 16, 1999 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.5	Supplemental Indenture No. 2 dated as of August 2, 1999, by and among Mack-Cali Realty, L.P., as issuer, and Wilmington Trust Company, as trustee (filed as Exhibit 4.4 to the Operating Partnership's Form 10-Q dated June 30, 1999 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.6	Supplemental Indenture No. 3 dated as of December 21, 2000, by and among Mack-Cali Realty, L.P., as issuer, and Wilmington Trust Company, as trustee (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Operating Partnership's Form 8-K dated December 21, 2000 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.7	Supplemental Indenture No. 4 dated as of January 29, 2001, by and among Mack-Cali Realty, L.P., as issuer, and Wilmington Trust Company, as trustee (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Operating Partnership's Form 8-K dated January 29, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.8	Supplemental Indenture No. 5 dated as of December 20, 2002, by and between Mack-Cali Realty, L.P., as issuer, and Wilmington Trust Company, as trustee (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Operating Partnership's Form 8-K dated December 20, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.9	Supplemental Indenture No. 6 dated as of March 14, 2003, by and between Mack-Cali Realty, L.P., as issuer, and Wilmington Trust Company, as trustee (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Form 8-K dated March 14, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).

- 4.10 Supplemental Indenture No. 7 dated as of June 12, 2003, by and between Mack-Cali Realty, L.P., as issuer, and Wilmington Trust Company, as trustee (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Form 8-K dated June 12, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 4.11 Supplemental Indenture No. 8 dated as of February 9, 2004, by and between Mack-Cali Realty, L.P., as issuer, and Wilmington Trust Company, as trustee (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Form 8-K dated February 9, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference).

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Title
4.12	Supplemental Indenture No. 9 dated as of March 22, 2004, by and between Mack-Cali Realty, L.P., as issuer, and Wilmington Trust Company, as trustee (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Form 8-K dated March 22, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.13	Supplemental Indenture No. 10 dated as of January 25, 2005, by and between Mack-Cali Realty, L.P., as issuer, and Wilmington Trust Company, as trustee (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Form 8-K dated January 25, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.14	Supplemental Indenture No. 11 dated as of April 15, 2005, by and between Mack-Cali Realty, L.P., as issuer, and Wilmington Trust Company, as trustee (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Form 8-K dated April 15, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.15	Supplemental Indenture No. 12 dated as of November 30, 2005, by and between Mack-Cali Realty, L.P., as issuer, and Wilmington Trust Company, as trustee (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Form 8-K dated November 30, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.16	Supplemental Indenture No. 13 dated as of January 24, 2006, by and between Mack-Cali Realty, L.P., as issuer, and Wilmington Trust Company, as trustee (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Form 8-K dated January 18, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.17	Deposit Agreement dated March 14, 2003 by and among Mack-Cali Realty Corporation, EquiServe Trust Company, N.A., and the holders from time to time of the Depositary Receipts described therein (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated March 14, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.1	Amended and Restated Employment Agreement dated as of July 1, 1999 between Mitchell E. Hersh and Mack-Cali Realty Corporation (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Form 10-Q dated June 30, 1999 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.2	Second Amended and Restated Employment Agreement dated as of July 1, 1999 between Barry Lefkowitz and Mack-Cali Realty Corporation (filed as Exhibit 10.6 to the Company's Form 10-Q dated June 30, 1999 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.3	Second Amended and Restated Employment Agreement dated as of July 1, 1999 between Roger W. Thomas and Mack-Cali Realty Corporation (filed as Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Form 10-Q dated June 30, 1999 and incorporated herein by reference).

- 10.4 Employment Agreement dated as of December 5, 2000 between Michael Grossman and Mack-Cali Realty Corporation (filed as Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.5 Employment Agreement dated as of May 9, 2006 by and between Mark Yeager and Mack-Cali Realty Corporation (filed as Exhibit 10.15 to the Company's Form 8-K dated May 9, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Title
10.6	Restricted Share Award Agreement dated as of July 1, 1999 between Mitchell E. Hersh and Mack-Cali Realty Corporation (filed as Exhibit 10.8 to the Company's Form 10-Q dated June 30, 1999 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.7	Restricted Share Award Agreement dated as of July 1, 1999 between Barry Lefkowitz and Mack-Cali Realty Corporation (filed as Exhibit 10.12 to the Company's Form 10-Q dated June 30, 1999 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.8	Restricted Share Award Agreement dated as of July 1, 1999 between Roger W. Thomas and Mack-Cali Realty Corporation (filed as Exhibit 10.13 to the Company's Form 10-Q dated June 30, 1999 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.9	Restricted Share Award Agreement dated as of March 12, 2001 between Roger W. Thomas and Mack-Cali Realty Corporation (filed as Exhibit 10.10 to the Company's Form 10-Q dated March 31, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.10	Restricted Share Award Agreement dated as of March 12, 2001 between Michael Grossman and Mack-Cali Realty Corporation (filed as Exhibit 10.11 to the Company's Form 10-Q dated March 31, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.11	Restricted Share Award Agreement effective as of January 2, 2003 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Mitchell E. Hersh (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated January 2, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.12	Tax Gross Up Agreement effective as of January 2, 2003 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Mitchell E. Hersh (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Form 8-K dated January 2, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.13	First Amendment effective as of January 2, 2003 to the Restricted Share Award Agreement dated July 1, 1999 between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Mitchell E. Hersh (filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Form 8-K dated January 2, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.14	Restricted Share Award Agreement effective as of January 2, 2003 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Barry Lefkowitz (filed as Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Form 8-K dated January 2, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).

- 10.15 Tax Gross Up Agreement effective as of January 2, 2003 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Barry Lefkowitz (filed as Exhibit 10.8 to the Company's Form 8-K dated January 2, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.16 First Amendment effective as of January 2, 2003 to the Restricted Share Award Agreement dated July 1, 1999 between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Barry Lefkowitz (filed as Exhibit 10.9 to the Company's Form 8-K dated January 2, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Title
10.17	Restricted Share Award Agreement effective as of January 2, 2003 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Roger W. Thomas (filed as Exhibit 10.10 to the Company's Form 8-K dated January 2, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.18	Tax Gross Up Agreement effective as of January 2, 2003 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Roger W. Thomas (filed as Exhibit 10.11 to the Company's Form 8-K dated January 2, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.19	First Amendment effective as of January 2, 2003 to the Restricted Share Award Agreement dated July 1, 1999 between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Roger W. Thomas (filed as Exhibit 10.12 to the Company's Form 8-K dated January 2, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.20	First Amendment effective as of January 2, 2003 to the Restricted Share Award Agreement dated March 12, 2001 between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Roger W. Thomas (filed as Exhibit 10.13 to the Company's Form 8-K dated January 2, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.21	Restricted Share Award Agreement effective as of January 2, 2003 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Michael A. Grossman (filed as Exhibit 10.14 to the Company's Form 8-K dated January 2, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.22	Tax Gross Up Agreement effective as of January 2, 2003 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Michael A. Grossman (filed as Exhibit 10.15 to the Company's Form 8-K dated January 2, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.23	Restricted Share Award Agreement dated December 6, 1999 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Michael A. Grossman (filed as Exhibit 10.16 to the Company's Form 8-K dated January 2, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.24	First Amendment effective as of January 2, 2003 to the Restricted Share Award Agreement dated December 6, 1999 between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Michael A. Grossman (filed as Exhibit 10.17 to the Company's Form 8-K dated January 2, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.25	First Amendment effective as of January 2, 2003 to the Restricted Share Award Agreement dated March 12, 2001 between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Michael A. Grossman (filed as Exhibit 10.18 to the Company's Form 8-K dated January 2, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).



- 10.26 Restricted Share Award Agreement effective as of December 2, 2003 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Mitchell E. Hersh (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 2, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Title
10.27	Tax Gross Up Agreement effective as of December 2, 2003 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Mitchell E. Hersh (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 2, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.28	Restricted Share Award Agreement effective as of December 2, 2003 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Barry Lefkowitz (filed as Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 2, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.29	Tax Gross Up Agreement effective as of December 2, 2003 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Barry Lefkowitz (filed as Exhibit 10.6 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 2, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.30	Restricted Share Award Agreement effective as of December 2, 2003 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Roger W. Thomas (filed as Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 2, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.31	Tax Gross Up Agreement effective as of December 2, 2003 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Roger W. Thomas (filed as Exhibit 10.8 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 2, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.32	Restricted Share Award Agreement effective as of December 2, 2003 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Michael Grossman (filed as Exhibit 10.9 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 2, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.33	Tax Gross Up Agreement effective as of December 2, 2003 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Michael Grossman (filed as Exhibit 10.10 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 2, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.34	Restricted Share Award Agreement effective December 7, 2004 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Mitchell E. Hersh (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 7, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.35	Tax Gross Up Agreement effective December 7, 2004 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Mitchell E. Hersh (filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 7, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference).

- 10.36 Restricted Share Award Agreement effective December 7, 2004 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Barry Lefkowitz (filed as Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 7, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.37 Tax Gross Up Agreement effective December 7, 2004 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Barry Lefkowitz (filed as Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 7, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference).

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Title
10.38	Restricted Share Award Agreement effective December 7, 2004 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Roger W. Thomas (filed as Exhibit 10.6 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 7, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.39	Tax Gross Up Agreement effective December 7, 2004 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Roger W. Thomas (filed as Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 7, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.40	Restricted Share Award Agreement effective December 7, 2004 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Michael A. Grossman (filed as Exhibit 10.8 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 7, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.41	Tax Gross Up Agreement effective December 7, 2004 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Michael A. Grossman (filed as Exhibit 10.9 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 7, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.42	Restricted Share Award Agreement effective December 6, 2005 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Mitchell E. Hersh (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 6, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.43	Tax Gross Up Agreement effective December 6, 2005 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Mitchell E. Hersh (filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 6, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.44	Restricted Share Award Agreement effective December 6, 2005 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Barry Lefkowitz (filed as Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 6, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.45	Tax Gross Up Agreement effective December 6, 2005 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Barry Lefkowitz (filed as Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 6, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.46	Restricted Share Award Agreement effective December 6, 2005 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Roger W. Thomas (filed as Exhibit 10.6 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 6, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference).

- 10.47 Tax Gross Up Agreement effective December 6, 2005 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Roger W. Thomas (filed as Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 6, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.48 Restricted Share Award Agreement effective December 6, 2005 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Michael A. Grossman (filed as Exhibit 10.8 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 6, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference).

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Title
10.49	Tax Gross Up Agreement effective December 6, 2005 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Michael A. Grossman (filed as Exhibit 10.9 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 6, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.50	Restricted Share Award Agreement by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Mark Yeager (filed as Exhibit 10.16 to the Company's Form 8-K dated May 9, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.51	Restricted Share Award Agreement effective December 5, 2006 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Mitchell E. Hersh (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 5, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.52	Tax Gross Up Agreement effective December 5, 2006 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Mitchell E. Hersh (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 5, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.53	Restricted Share Award Agreement effective December 5, 2006 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Mitchell E. Hersh (filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 5, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.54	Tax Gross Up Agreement effective December 5, 2006 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Mitchell E. Hersh (filed as Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 5, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.55	Restricted Share Award Agreement effective December 5, 2006 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Barry Lefkowitz (filed as Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 5, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.56	Tax Gross Up Agreement effective December 5, 2006 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Barry Lefkowitz (filed as Exhibit 10.6 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 5, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.57	Restricted Share Award Agreement effective December 5, 2006 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Barry Lefkowitz (filed as Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 5, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).

- 10.58 Tax Gross Up Agreement effective December 5, 2006 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Barry Lefkowitz (filed as Exhibit 10.8 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 5, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Title
10.59	Restricted Share Award Agreement effective December 5, 2006 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Roger W. Thomas (filed as Exhibit 10.9 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 5, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.60	Tax Gross Up Agreement effective December 5, 2006 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Roger W. Thomas (filed as Exhibit 10.10 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 5, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.61	Restricted Share Award Agreement effective December 5, 2006 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Roger W. Thomas (filed as Exhibit 10.11 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 5, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.62	Tax Gross Up Agreement effective December 5, 2006 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Roger W. Thomas (filed as Exhibit 10.12 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 5, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.63	Restricted Share Award Agreement effective December 5, 2006 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Michael A. Grossman (filed as Exhibit 10.13 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 5, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.64	Tax Gross Up Agreement effective December 5, 2006 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Michael A. Grossman (filed as Exhibit 10.14 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 5, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.65	Restricted Share Award Agreement effective December 5, 2006 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Michael A. Grossman (filed as Exhibit 10.15 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 5, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.66	Tax Gross Up Agreement effective December 5, 2006 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Michael A. Grossman (filed as Exhibit 10.16 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 5, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.67	Restricted Share Award Agreement effective December 5, 2006 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Mark Yeager (filed as Exhibit 10.17 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 5, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).



- 10.68 Tax Gross Up Agreement effective December 5, 2006 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Mark Yeager (filed as Exhibit 10.18 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 5, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.69 Restricted Share Award Agreement effective December 5, 2006 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Mark Yeager (filed as Exhibit 10.19 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 5, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Title
10.70	Tax Gross Up Agreement effective December 5, 2006 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Mark Yeager (filed as Exhibit 10.20 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 5, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.71	Form of Multi-Year Restricted Share Award Agreement (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated September 12, 2007 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.72	Form of Tax Gross-Up Agreement (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Form 8-K dated September 12, 2007 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.73	Form of Restricted Share Award Agreement effective December 4, 2007 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and each of Mitchell E. Hersh, Barry Lefkowitz, Michael Grossman, Mark Yeager and Roger W. Thomas (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 4, 2007 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.74	Form of Tax Gross-Up Agreement effective December 4, 2007 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and each of Mitchell E. Hersh, Barry Lefkowitz, Michael Grossman, Mark Yeager and Roger W. Thomas (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 4, 2007 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.75	Amended and Restated Revolving Credit Agreement dated as of September 27, 2002, among Mack-Cali Realty, L.P. and JPMorgan Chase Bank, Fleet National Bank and Other Lenders Which May Become Parties Thereto with JPMorgan Chase Bank, as administrative agent, swing lender and fronting bank, Fleet National Bank and Commerzbank AG, New York and Grand Cayman branches as syndication agents, Bank of America, N.A. and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as documentation agents, and J.P. Morgan Securities Inc. and Fleet Securities, Inc, as arrangers (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated September 27, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.76	Second Amended and Restated Revolving Credit Agreement among Mack-Cali Realty, L.P., JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., Bank of America, N.A., and other lending institutions that are or may become a party to the Second Amended and Restated Revolving Credit Agreement dated as of November 23, 2004 (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated November 23, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.77	Extension and Modification Agreement dated as of September 16, 2005 by and among Mack-Cali Realty, L.P., JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent, and the several Lenders Party thereto (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the

Company's Form 8-K dated September 16, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference).

- 10.78 Second Modification Agreement dated as of July 14, 2006 by and among Mack-Cali Realty, L.P., JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent, and the several Lenders party thereto (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated July 14, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.79 Extension and Third Modification Agreement dated as of June 22, 2007 by and among Mack-Cali Realty, L.P., JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent, and the several Lenders party thereto. (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated June 22, 2007 and incorporated herein by reference).

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Title
10.80	Fourth Modification Agreement dated as of September 21, 2007 by and among Mack Cali Realty, L.P., JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent and the several Lenders party thereto (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated September 21, 2007 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.81	Amended and Restated Master Loan Agreement dated as of November 12, 2004 among Mack-Cali Realty, L.P., and Affiliates of Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Mack-Cali Realty, L.P., as Borrowers, Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Mack-Cali Realty L.P., as Guarantors and The Prudential Insurance Company of America, as Lender (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated November 12, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.82	Contribution and Exchange Agreement among The MK Contributors, The MK Entities, The Patriot Contributors, The Patriot Entities, Patriot American Management and Leasing Corp., Cali Realty, L.P. and Cali Realty Corporation, dated September 18, 1997 (filed as Exhibit 10.98 to the Company's Form 8-K dated September 19, 1997 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.83	First Amendment to Contribution and Exchange Agreement, dated as of December 11, 1997, by and among the Company and the Mack Group (filed as Exhibit 10.99 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 11, 1997 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.84	Employee Stock Option Plan of Mack-Cali Realty Corporation (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Post-Effective Amendment No. 1 to Form S-8, Registration No. 333-44443, and incorporated herein by reference).
10.85	Director Stock Option Plan of Mack-Cali Realty Corporation (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Post-Effective Amendment No. 1 to Form S-8, Registration No. 333-44443, and incorporated herein by reference).
10.86	2000 Employee Stock Option Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8, Registration No. 333-52478, and incorporated herein by reference), as amended by the First Amendment to the 2000 Employee Stock Option Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.17 to the Company's Form 10-Q dated June 30, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.87	Amended and Restated 2000 Director Stock Option Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Post-Effective Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement on Form S-8, Registration No. 333-100244, and incorporated herein by reference).
10.88	Mack-Cali Realty Corporation 2004 Incentive Stock Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8, Registration

No. 333-116437, and incorporated herein by reference).

- 10.89 Deferred Compensation Plan for Directors (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8, Registration No. 333-80081, and incorporated herein by reference).

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Title
10.90	Form of Indemnification Agreement by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and each of William L. Mack, John J. Cali, Mitchell E. Hersh, John R. Cali, David S. Mack, Martin S. Berger, Alan S. Bernikow, Kenneth M. Duberstein, Martin D. Gruss, Nathan Gantcher, Vincent Tese, Roy J. Zuckerberg, Alan G. Philiposian, Irvin D. Reid, Robert F. Weinberg, Barry Lefkowitz, Roger W. Thomas, Michael A. Grossman, Mark Yeager, Anthony Krug, Dean Cingolani, Anthony DeCaro Jr., Mark Durno, William Fitzpatrick, John Kropke, Nicholas Mitarotonda, Jr., Michael Nevins, Virginia Sobol, Albert Spring, Daniel Wagner, Deborah Franklin, John Marazzo, Christopher DeLorenzo, Jeffrey Warner, Diane Chayes and James Corrigan (filed as Exhibit 10.28 to the Company's Form 10-Q dated September 30, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.91	Indemnification Agreement dated October 22, 2002 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and John Crandall (filed as Exhibit 10.29 to the Company's Form 10-Q dated September 30, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.92	Second Amendment to Contribution and Exchange Agreement, dated as of June 27, 2000, between RMC Development Company, LLC f/k/a Robert Martin Company, LLC, Robert Martin Eastview North Company, L.P., the Company and the Operating Partnership (filed as Exhibit 10.44 to the Company's Form 10-K dated December 31, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.93	Limited Partnership Agreement of Meadowlands Mills/Mack-Cali Limited Partnership by and between Meadowlands Mills Limited Partnership, Mack-Cali Meadowlands Entertainment L.L.C. and Mack-Cali Meadowlands Special L.L.C. dated November 25, 2003 (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 3, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.94	Redevelopment Agreement by and between the New Jersey Sports and Exposition Authority and Meadowlands Mills/Mack-Cali Limited Partnership dated December 3, 2003 (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 3, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.95	First Amendment to Redevelopment Agreement by and between the New Jersey Sports and Exposition Authority and Meadowlands Mills/Mack-Cali Limited Partnership dated October 5, 2004 (filed as Exhibit 10.54 to the Company's Form 10-Q dated September 30, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.96	Letter Agreement by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and The Mills Corporation dated October 5, 2004 (filed as Exhibit 10.55 to the Company's Form 10-Q dated September 30, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference).

reference).

- 10.97 First Amendment to Limited Partnership Agreement of Meadowlands Mills/Mack-Cali Limited Partnership by and between Meadowlands Mills Limited Partnership, Mack-Cali Meadowlands Entertainment L.L.C. and Mack-Cali Meadowlands Special L.L.C. dated as of June 30, 2005 (filed as Exhibit 10.66 to the Company's Form 10-Q dated June 30, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference).

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Title
10.98	Mack-Cali Rights, Obligations and Option Agreement by and between Meadowlands Developer Limited Partnership, Meadowlands Limited Partnership, Meadowlands Developer Holding Corp., Meadowlands Mack-Cali GP, L.L.C., Mack-Cali Meadowlands Special, L.L.C., Baseball Meadowlands Mills/Mack-Cali Limited Partnership, A-B Office Meadowlands Mack-Cali Limited Partnership, C-D Office Meadowlands Mack-Cali Limited Partnership, Hotel Meadowlands Mack-Cali Limited Partnership and ERC Meadowlands Mills/Mack-Cali Limited Partnership dated November 22, 2006 (filed as Exhibit 10.92 to the Company's Form 10-K dated December 31, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.99	Redemption Agreement by and among Meadowlands Developer Limited Partnership, Meadowlands Developer Holding Corp., Mack-Cali Meadowlands entertainment L.L.C., Mack-Cali Meadowlands Special L.L.C., and Meadowlands Limited Partnership dated November 22, 2006 (filed as Exhibit 10.93 to the Company's Form 10-K dated December 31, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.100	Contribution and Exchange Agreement by and between Mack-Cali Realty, L.P. and Tenth Springhill Lake Associates L.L.L.P., Eleventh Springhill Lake Associates L.L.L.P., Twelfth Springhill Lake Associates L.L.L.P., Fourteenth Springhill Lake Associates L.L.L.P., each a Maryland limited liability limited partnership, Greenbelt Associates, a Maryland general partnership, and Sixteenth Springhill Lake Associates L.L.L.P., a Maryland limited liability limited partnership, and certain other natural persons, dated as of November 21, 2005 (filed as Exhibit 10.69 to the Company's Form 10-K dated December 31, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.101	Membership Interest Purchase and Contribution Agreement by and among Mr. Stanley C. Gale, SCG Holding Corp., Mack-Cali Realty Acquisition Corp. and Mack-Cali Realty, L.P. dated as of March 7, 2006 (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated March 7, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.102	Amendment No. 1 to Membership Interest Purchase and Contribution Agreement dated as of March 31, 2006 (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated March 28, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.103	Amendment No. 2 to Membership Interest Purchase and Contribution Agreement dated as of May 9, 2006 (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated May 9, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.104	Amendment No. 8 to Membership Interest Purchase and Contribution Agreement by and among Mr. Stanley C. Gale, SCG Holding Corp., Mack-Cali Realty Acquisition Corp. and Mack-Cali Realty, L.P. dated as of



May 23, 2007 (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated May 23, 2007).

- 10.105 Contribution and Sale Agreement by and among Gale SLG NJ LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, Gale SLG NJ MEZZ LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, and Gale SLG RIDGEFIELD MEZZ LLC, a Delaware limited liability company and Mack-Cali Ventures L.L.C. dated as of March 7, 2006 (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Form 8-K dated March 7, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Title
10.106	First Amendment to Contribution and Sale Agreement by and among GALE SLG NJ LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, GALE SLG NJ MEZZ LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, and GALE SLG RIDGEFIELD MEZZ LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, and Mack-Cali Ventures L.L.C., a Delaware limited liability company, dated as of May 9, 2006 (filed as Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Form 8-K dated May 9, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.107	Non-Portfolio Property Interest Contribution Agreement by and among Mr. Stanley C. Gale, Mr. Mark Yeager, GCF II Investor LLC, The Gale Investments Company, LLC, Gale & Wentworth Vreeland, LLC, Gale Urban Solutions LLC, MSGW-ONE Campus Investors, LLC, Mack-Cali Realty Acquisition Corp. and Mack-Cali Realty, L.P. dated as of May 9, 2006 (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Form 8-K dated May 9, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.108	Loan Agreement by and among the entities set forth on Exhibit A, collectively, as Borrowers, and Gramercy Warehouse Funding I LLC, as Lender, dated May 9, 2006 (filed as Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Form 8-K dated May 9, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.109	Promissory Note of One Grande SPE LLC, 1280 Wall SPE LLC, 10 Sylvan SPE LLC, 5 Independence SPE LLC, 1 Independence SPE LLC, and 3 Becker SPE LLC, as Borrowers, in favor of Gramercy Warehouse Funding I, LLC, as Lender, in the principal amount of \$90,286,551 dated May 9, 2006 (filed as Exhibit 10.6 to the Company's Form 8-K dated May 9, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.110	Mortgage, Security Agreement and Fixture Filing by and between 4 Becker SPE LLC, as Borrower, and Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Lender, dated May 9, 2006 (filed as Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Form 8-K dated May 9, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.111	Promissory Note of 4 Becker SPE LLC, as Borrower, in favor of Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Lender, in the principal amount of \$43,000,000 dated May 9, 2006 (filed as Exhibit 10.8 to the Company's Form 8-K dated May 9, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.112	Mortgage, Security Agreement and Fixture Filing by and between 210 Clay SPE LLC, as Borrower, and Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Lender, dated May 9, 2006 (filed as Exhibit 10.9 to the Company's Form 8-K dated May 9, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.113	Promissory Note of 210 Clay SPE LLC, as Borrower, in favor of Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Lender, in the principal amount of \$16,000,000

Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

dated May 9, 2006 (filed as Exhibit 10.10 to the Company's Form 8-K dated May 9, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).

- 10.114 Mortgage, Security Agreement and Fixture Filing by and between 5 Becker SPE LLC, as Borrower, and Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Lender, dated May 9, 2006 (filed as Exhibit 10.11 to the Company's Form 8-K dated May 9, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.115 Promissory Note of 5 Becker SPE LLC, as Borrower, in favor of Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Lender, in the principal amount of \$15,500,000 dated May 9, 2006 (filed as Exhibit 10.12 to the Company's Form 8-K dated May 9, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.116 Mortgage, Security Agreement and Fixture Filing by and between 51 CHUBB SPE LLC, as Borrower, and Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Lender, dated May 9, 2006 (filed as Exhibit 10.13 to the Company's Form 8-K dated May 9, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Title
10.117	Promissory Note of 51 CHUBB SPE LLC, as Borrower, in favor of Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Lender, in the principal amount of \$4,500,000 dated May 9, 2006 (filed as Exhibit 10.14 to the Company's Form 8-K dated May 9, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.118	Form of Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Mack-Green-Gale LLC dated _____, 2006 (filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Form 8-K dated March 7, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.119	Form of Limited Liability Company Operating Agreement (filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Form 8-K dated May 9, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.120	Agreement of Sale and Purchase dated August 9, 2006 by and between Mack-Cali Realty, L.P. and Westcore Properties AC, LLC (filed as Exhibit 10.91 to the Company's Form 10-Q dated September 30, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.121	First Amendment to Agreement of Sale and Purchase dated September 6, 2006 by and between Mack-Cali Realty, L.P. and Westcore Properties AC, LLC (filed as Exhibit 10.92 to the Company's Form 10-Q dated September 30, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.122	Second Amendment to Agreement of Sale and Purchase dated September 15, 2006 by and between Mack-Cali Realty, L.P. and Westcore Properties AC, LLC (filed as Exhibit 10.93 to the Company's Form 10-Q dated September 30, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.123	Agreement of Sale and Purchase dated September 25, 2006 by and between Phelan Realty Associates L.P., 795 Folsom Realty Associates L.P. and Westcore Properties AC, LLC (filed as Exhibit 10.94 to the Company's Form 10-Q dated September 30, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.124	Membership Interest Purchase and Contribution Agreement dated as of December 28, 2006, by and among NKFGMS Owners, LLC, The Gale Construction Services Company, L.L.C., NKFFM Limited Liability Company, Scott Panzer, Ian Marlow, Newmark & Company Real Estate, Inc. d/b/a Newmark Knight Frank, and Mack-Cali Realty, L.P (filed as Exhibit 10.117 to the Company's Form 10-K dated December 31, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.125	Operating Agreement of NKFGMS Owners, LLC (filed as Exhibit 10.118 to the Company's Form 10-K dated December 31, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).

- 10.126 Loans, Sale and Services Agreement dated December 28, 2006 by and between Newmark & Company Real Estate, Inc. d/b/a Newmark Knight Frank, Mack-Cali Realty, L.P., and Newmark Knight Frank Global Management Services, LLC (filed as Exhibit 10.119 to the Company's Form 10-K dated December 31, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.127 Term Loan Agreement among Mack-Cali Realty, L.P. and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. as Administrative Agent, J.P. Morgan Securities Inc. as Arranger, and other lender which may become parties to this Agreement dated November 29, 2006 (filed as Exhibit 10.120 to the Company's Form 10-K dated December 31, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Title
10.128	Agreement of Purchase and Sale among SLG Broad Street A LLC and SLG Broad Street C LLC, as Sellers, and M-C Broad 125 A L.L.C. and M-C Broad 125 C L.L.C., as Purchasers, dated as of March 15, 2007 (filed as Exhibit 10.121 to the Company's Form 10-Q dated March 31, 2007 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.129	Agreement of Purchase and Sale among 500 West Putnam L.L.C., as Seller, and SLG 500 West Putnam LLC, as Purchaser, dated as of March 15, 2007 (filed as Exhibit 10.122 to the Company's Form 10-Q dated March 31, 2007 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.130	Letter Agreement by and between Mack-Cali Realty, L.P., Mack-Cali Realty Acquisition Corp., Mack-Cali Belmar Realty, LLC, M-C Belmar, LLC, Mr. Stanley C. Gale, SCG Holding Corp., Mr. Mark Yeager, GCF II Investor LLC, The Gale Investments Company, LLC, Gale & Wentworth Vreeland, LLC, Gale Urban Solutions LLC, MSGW-ONE Campus Investors, LLC and Gale/Yeager Investments LLC dated October 31, 2007 (filed as Exhibit 10.128 to the Company's Form 10-Q dated September 30, 2007 and incorporated herein by reference).
21.1*	Subsidiaries of the Company.
23.1*	Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, independent registered public accounting firm.
31.1*	Certification of the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer, Mitchell E. Hersh, pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2*	Certification of the Company's Chief Financial Officer, Barry Lefkowitz, pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1*	Certification of the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer, Mitchell E. Hersh, and the Company's Chief Financial Officer, Barry Lefkowitz, pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

\*filed herewith



