

SeaDrill LTD  
Form 20FR12B  
March 18, 2010

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, DC 20549

FORM 20-F

REGISTRATION STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OR 12(g) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

OR

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended \_\_\_\_

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_

OR

SHELL COMPANY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Date of event requiring this shell company report:

Commission file number:

SEADRILL LIMITED

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Translation of Registrant's name into English)

Bermuda

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

Par-la-Ville Place, 4th Floor, 14 Par-la-Ville Road, Hamilton, HM 08 Bermuda  
(Address of principal executive offices)

Edgar Filing: SeaDrill LTD - Form 20FR12B

Georgina Sousa

Par-la-Ville Place, 14 Par-la-Ville Road, Hamilton, HM 08, Bermuda

Tel: +1 (441) 295-9500, Fax: +1 (441) 295-3494

(Name, Telephone, E-mail and/or Facsimile number and Address of Company

Contact Person

---

Edgar Filing: SeaDrill LTD - Form 20FR12B

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Common stock, \$2.00 par value	New York Stock Exchange
Title of class	Name of exchange on which registered

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Securities for which there is a reporting obligation pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Act: None

Indicate the number of outstanding shares of each of the issuer's classes of capital or common stock as of the close of the period covered by the annual report:

As of December 31, 2008, there were 398,415,416 shares of the Registrant's common stock, \$2.00 par value, outstanding.

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes  No

If this report is an annual report or transition report, indicate by check mark if the Registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer



Non-accelerated filer   
(Do not check if a smaller reporting  
company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark which basis of accounting the Registrant has used to prepare  
the financial statements included in this filing:

U.S. GAAP

International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International  
Accounting Standards Board

Other

If "Other" has been checked in response to the previous question, indicate by check  
mark which  
financial statement item the Registrant has elected to follow.

Item 17

Item 18

If this is an annual report, indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2  
of the Exchange Act).

Yes

No

## FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

Forward-looking statements include statements concerning plans, objectives, goals, strategies, future events or performance, and underlying assumptions and other statements, which are other than statements of historical or present facts or conditions.

This registration statement and any other written or oral statements made by us or on our behalf may include forward-looking statements which reflect our current views with respect to future events and financial performance. The words "believe," "anticipate," "intend," "estimate," "forecast," "project," "plan," "potential," "may," "should," "expect" and similar expressions identify forward-looking statements.

The forward-looking statements in this document are based upon various assumptions, many of which are based, in turn, upon further assumptions, including without limitation, management's examination of historical operating trends, data contained in our records and other data available from third parties. Although we believe that these assumptions were reasonable when made, because these assumptions are inherently subject to significant uncertainties and contingencies which are difficult or impossible to predict and are beyond our control, we cannot assure you that we will achieve or accomplish these expectations, beliefs or projections.

In addition to these important factors and matters discussed elsewhere in this registration statement, and in the documents incorporated by reference in this registration statement, important factors that, in our view, could cause actual results to differ materially from those discussed in the forward-looking statements include factors related to the offshore drilling market, including supply and demand, utilization rates, dayrates, customer drilling programs, commodity prices, effects of new rigs on the market and effects of declines in commodity prices and downturn in global economy on market outlook for our various geographical operating sectors and classes of rigs, customer contracts, including contract backlog, contract commencements, contract terminations, contract option exercises, contract revenues, contract awards and rig mobilizations, newbuildings, upgrades, shipyard and other capital projects, including completion, delivery and commencement of operations dates, expected downtime and lost revenue, the level of expected capital expenditures and the timing and cost of completion of capital projects, liquidity and adequacy of cash flow for our obligations, including our ability and the expected timing to access certain investments in highly liquid instruments, our results of operations and cash flow from operations, including revenues and expenses, uses of excess cash, including debt retirement and share repurchases under our share repurchase program, timing and proceeds of asset sales, tax matters, including our effective tax rate, changes in tax laws, treaties and regulations, tax assessments and liabilities for tax issues, including those associated with our activities in Bermuda, Norway and the United States, legal and regulatory matters, including results and effects of legal proceedings and governmental audits and assessments, outcome and effects of internal and governmental investigations, customs and environmental matters, insurance matters, debt levels, including impacts of the financial and credit crisis, effects of accounting changes and adoption of accounting policies, investments in recruitment, retention and personnel development initiatives, pension plan and other postretirement benefit plan contributions, the timing of severance payments and benefit payments and other important factors described from time to time in the reports filed by us with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or the Commission, and the New York Stock Exchange, or NYSE. We caution readers of this registration statement not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of their dates.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

## PART I

ITEM 1.	IDENTITY OF DIRECTORS, SENIOR MANAGEMENT AND ADVISERS	6
ITEM 2.	OFFER STATISTICS AND EXPECTED TIMETABLE	7
ITEM 3.	KEY INFORMATION	7
ITEM 4.	INFORMATION ON THE COMPANY	23
ITEM 4A.	UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS	39
ITEM 5.	OPERATING AND FINANCIAL REVIEW AND PROSPECTS	39
ITEM 6.	DIRECTORS, SENIOR MANAGEMENT AND EMPLOYEES	68
ITEM 7.	MAJOR SHAREHOLDERS AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS	73
ITEM 8.	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	76
ITEM 9.	THE OFFER AND LISTING	78
ITEM 10.	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	79
ITEM 11.	QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK	94
ITEM 12.	DESCRIPTION OF SECURITIES OTHER THAN EQUITY SECURITIES	97

## PART II

ITEM 13.	DEFAULTS, DIVIDEND ARREARAGES AND DELINQUENCIES	98
ITEM 14.	MATERIAL MODIFICATIONS TO THE RIGHTS OF SECURITY HOLDERS AND USE OF PROCEEDS	98
ITEM 15.	CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	98
ITEM 16.	RESERVED	98
ITEM 16A.	AUDIT COMMITTEE FINANCIAL EXPERT.	98
ITEM 16B.	CODE OF ETHICS	98
ITEM 16C.	PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES	98
ITEM 16D.	EXEMPTIONS FROM THE LISTING STANDARDS FOR AUDIT COMMITTEES	98
ITEM 16E.	PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES BY THE ISSUER AND AFFILIATED PURCHASERS	98
ITEM 16F.	CHANGE IN REGISTRANT'S CERTIFYING ACCOUNTANT	98
ITEM 16G.	CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	98

## PART III

ITEM 17.	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	99
ITEM 18.	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	99
ITEM 19.	EXHIBITS	99





## PART 1.

As used in this registration statement, unless the context otherwise requires, references to "Seadrill Limited," the "Company," "we," "us," "Group," "our" and words of similar import refer to Seadrill Limited, its subsidiaries and its other consolidated entities. Unless otherwise indicated, all references to "USD", "US\$" and "\$" in this report are to, and amounts are represented in, U.S. dollars.

## ITEM 1. IDENTITY OF DIRECTORS, SENIOR MANAGEMENT AND ADVISERS

## A. DIRECTORS AND SENIOR MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth information regarding our executive officers and directors, and also certain key officers of our subsidiaries, who are responsible for overseeing the management of our business:

Name	Position	Business address
John Fredriksen	Board of Directors and Officers of Seadrill Limited President, Director and Chairman of the Board	Sandy Beach Apartments, Block 3, Flat Y3431 61 Amathountos Avenue, 4532 Ayios Tychonas Area CY-3105 Limassol, Cyprus
Tor Olav Trøim	Vice President and Director	15 Sloane Square, London, SW1W 8ER, UK
Kate Blankenship	Director and Audit Committee member	Domaine de Portoi, 11290 Arzens, France
Kjell E. Jacobsen	Director	Kongsgårdbakken 1, 4005 Stavanger, Norway
Kathrine Fredriksen	Director	15 Sloane Square, London, SW1W 8ER, UK
Georgina Sousa	Company Secretary	Par-la-Ville Place, 14 Par-la-Ville Road, Hamilton, Bermuda
Alf C. Thorkildsen	Senior Management Chief Executive Officer and President, Seadrill Management AS	Løkkeveien 111, 4007 Stavanger, Norway
Trond Brandsrud *	Chief Financial Officer and Senior Vice President, Seadrill Management AS	Løkkeveien 111, 4007 Stavanger, Norway
Per Wulff	Chief Operating Officer and Executive Vice President, Seadrill Management AS	Løkkeveien 111, 4007 Stavanger, Norway
Tim Juran	Executive Vice President Deepwater Western Hemisphere, employed by	11210 Equity Drive, Suite 150 Houston, Texas 77041, USA

Edgar Filing: SeaDrill LTD - Form 20FR12B

Seadrill Americas Inc

Svend Anton Maier	Vice President Deepwater Eastern Hemisphere, employed by Seadrill Management AS	Løkkeveien 111, 4007 Stavanger, Norway
Sveinung Lofthus	Senior Vice President Europe, employed by Seadrill Management AS	Løkkeveien 111, 4007 Stavanger, Norway
Ian Shearer	Senior Vice President Asia Pacific Jack-ups, employed by Seadrill Management (S) Pte Ltd	10 Hoe Chiang Road, 1801 Keppel Towers, Singapore 089315
Alf Ragnar Løvdal	Senior Vice President Tender Rigs, employed by Seadrill Offshore Singapore Pte Ltd	10 Hoe Chiang Road, 1801 Keppel Towers, Singapore 089315
Thorleif Egeli	Chief Executive Officer, Seawell Management AS	Løkkeveien 107, 4007 Stavanger, Norway

\* Trond Brandsrud has tendered notice of his resignation and is expected to terminate his employment before the end of April 2010.

## B. ADVISERS

Our U.S. legal counsel is Seward & Kissel LLP, One Battery Park Plaza, New York, New York, 10004. Our Bermuda legal counsel is Mello Jones & Martin, 4 Burnaby Street, Hamilton, Bermuda HM 11.

## C. AUDITORS

Our auditors are PricewaterhouseCoopers AS, Forus Atrium, Postboks 8017, NO-4068 Stavanger, Norway. PricewaterhouseCoopers AS is an independent registered public accounting firm registered with the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board.

## ITEM 2. OFFER STATISTICS AND EXPECTED TIMETABLE

Not applicable.

## ITEM 3. KEY INFORMATION

### A. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following selected financial information should be read in conjunction with (i) our audited financial statements and related notes which are included elsewhere in this registration statement on Form 20-F and (ii) Item 5. "Operating and Financial Review and Prospects." The selected financial and other data in the table as of and for the nine months ended September 30, 2009, and 2008, are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, or U.S. GAAP, and are derived from our unaudited financial statements and related notes appearing elsewhere in this registration statement on Form 20-F. The selected statement of operations data of the Company with respect to the fiscal years ended December 31, 2008, 2007, and 2006, and the selected balance sheet data of the Company with respect to the fiscal years ended December 31, 2008, and 2007, respectively, have been derived from the Company's audited consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP appearing elsewhere in this registration statement on Form 20-F. The selected balance sheet data of the Company with respect to the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006, and the selected statement of operations and balance sheet data with respect to the period from May 10, 2005, (date of incorporation) to December 31, 2005, has been derived from audited consolidated financial statements of the Company not included herein. The selected balance sheet data for all periods up to and including December 31, 2008, has been adjusted to reflect the implementation of SFAS No. 160 "Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements – an amendment of ARB No.51" (currently Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 810-10 Consolidation). The Company's accounts are maintained in U.S. dollars. We refer you to the notes to our consolidated financial statements for a discussion of the basis on which our consolidated financial statements are presented.

	Nine months ended		Year ended December 31,			Period	
	September 30,	2008	2008	2007	2006	from May	
	2009				Adjusted	10, 2005	
	Adjusted *	Adjusted *	Adjusted *	Adjusted *	*	(inception)	
	(in millions of U.S .dollars except common share and per share data)						to
						December	
						31,	
						2005	
						Adjusted *	
<b>Statement of Operations Data:</b>							
Total operating revenues	2,375	1,527	2,106	1,552	1,155	27	
Net operating income	991	495	649	489	226	(15 )	
Net income (loss)	952	564	(123 )	515	245	(8 )	
Earnings per share, basic	\$ 2.21	\$ 1.36	\$ (0.41 )	\$ 1.28	\$ 0.62	\$ (0.04 )	
Earnings per share, diluted	\$ 2.12	\$ 1.33	\$ (0.41 )	\$ 1.20	\$ 0.61	\$ (0.04 )	
Dividends declared	-	688	688	-	-	-	
Dividends declared per share	-	\$ 1.75	\$ 1.75	-	-	-	
<b>Balance Sheet Data (at end of period):</b>							
Cash and cash equivalents	755	514	376	997	210	52	
Drilling units	7,624	3,359	4,645	2,452	2,293	178	
Newbuildings	1,340	3,950	3,661	3,340	2,025	439	
Investment in associated companies	314	492	240	176	238	153	
Goodwill	1,591	1,591	1,547	1,510	1,256	-	
Total assets	14,122	11,667	12,305	9,293	6,743	1,149	
Interest bearing debt (including current portion)	7,899	6,481	7,437	4,601	2,815	314	
Share capital	797	797	797	797	766	458	
Shareholders' equity	4,571	3,635	3,222	3,728	2,927	802	
Common shares outstanding, in millions	398.5	398.4	398.4	398.5	383.1	229.1	
Weighted average common shares outstanding	398.4	398.2	398.3	392.8	352.1	190.9	
<b>Other Financial Data:</b>							

Net cash provided by operating activities	1,192	663	401	486	174	11
Net cash used in investing Activities	(1,064 )	(2,586 )	(3,847 )	(1,868 )	(3,180 )	(256 )
Net cash provided by financing activities	245	1,441	2,826	2,168	3,162	294
Capital expenditure	(1,121 )	(1,685 )	(2,768 )	(1,738 )	(1,196 )	(269 )

\* The shareholders' equity amounts have been adjusted from the amounts shown in the previously reported consolidated financial statements to reflect the implementation of SFAS No. 160 "Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements – an amendment of ARB No.51" (currently Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 810-10 Consolidation).

#### B. CAPITALIZATION AND INDEBTEDNESS

The following table sets forth our unaudited capitalization as of September 30, 2009, on an actual basis and also adjusted to reflect the payment of a \$0.50 per share dividend (totaling \$199 million) declared on November 5, 2009, and the issue of a two-year NOK800 million (\$140 million) bond on November 10, 2009.

This table should be read in conjunction with Item 5 "Operating and Financial Review and Prospects" and the audited financial statements, the unaudited interim financial statements and other information provided in this registration statement.

(in millions of U.S.dollars)	As at September 30, 2009	
	Actual	Adjusted
Debt (Principal balance):		
Secured long-term debt, including current portion	6,009	6,009
Unsecured long-term debt, including current portion	1,890	2,030 (2)
Total Debt	7,899	8,039
Shareholders' equity:		
Total shareholders' equity	4,571	4,372 (1)
Total capitalization	12,470	12,411

(1) On November 5, 2009, a dividend of \$0.50 per common share was declared, to be paid on or about December 7, 2009, at a total cost of \$199 million.

(2) On October 29, 2009, the Company announced the issuance of a two-year NOK800 million (approximately \$140 million) unsecured bond which was settled on November 10, 2009.

#### C. REASONS FOR THE OFFER AND USE OF PROCEEDS

Not applicable.

#### D. RISK FACTORS

##### Risks Relating to Our Industry

Our business, financial condition, results of operations and our ability to pay dividends depend on the level of activity in the offshore oil and gas industry, which is significantly affected by, among other things, volatile oil and gas prices and may be materially and adversely affected by a decline in offshore oil and gas exploration, development and production.

The offshore contract drilling industry is cyclical and volatile. Our business depends on the level of activity in oil and gas exploration, as well as the identification and development of oil and gas reserves and production in offshore areas worldwide. The availability of quality drilling prospects, exploration success, relative production costs, the stage of reservoir development, political concerns and regulatory requirements all affect customers' levels of activity and drilling campaigns. Accordingly, oil and gas prices and market expectations of potential changes in these prices significantly affect the level of activity and demand for our drilling units and well services.

Oil and gas prices are extremely volatile and are affected by numerous factors beyond our control, including the following:

- worldwide demand for oil and gas;
- the cost of exploring for, developing, producing and delivering oil and gas;

- expectations regarding future energy prices;
- advances in exploration and development technology;

- the ability of the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries, or OPEC, to set and maintain production levels and pricing;
  - the level of production in non-OPEC countries;
- government laws and regulations, including environmental protection laws and regulations;
  - local and international political, economic and weather conditions;
  - domestic and foreign tax policies;
- the development and exploitation of alternative fuels;
- the policies of various governments regarding exploration and development of their oil and gas reserves;
  - political and military conflicts in oil-producing and other countries; and
  - volatility in the exchange rate of the U.S dollar against other currencies.

An over-supply of drilling units may lead to a reduction in dayrates, which are the amounts earned per day per drilling unit, which may materially impact on our profitability.

In response to improved market conditions in 2007 and 2008 which were associated with historically high oil and gas prices, offshore drilling contractors ordered new drilling units to meet their customers' then increasing demand for services. In the past significant spikes in oil and gas prices have led to high levels of rig construction orders. This is often followed by a period of sharp and sudden declines in oil and gas prices and an oversupply of drilling units, which in turn results in declines in utilization and dayrates, and an increase in the number of idle drilling units without long-term contracts. The worldwide fleet of dynamically positioned deepwater drilling units currently consists of 64 units. An additional 70 deepwater units are under construction or on order, which would bring the expected total fleet to 134 units in 2012 when the last of the currently ordered units are scheduled to be delivered. The strong growth in deepwater units is due to the increased focus of oil companies on existing and new deepwater regions for exploration and production, and the inability to upgrade or modify the existing mid-water fleet to undertake deepwater drilling campaigns. At the same time, there are 61 jack-up rigs currently under construction, while the existing worldwide fleet of jack-up rigs contains 456 units with an average age of approximately 25 years. The growth in newbuilding jack-up rigs is targeted at oil companies with the need for more advanced and effective jack-up rigs. However, the majority of the newbuilding jack-up rigs have been ordered on speculation, i.e. without fixed employment, and not all of these rigs have secured contracts for future work. This could intensify price competition as scheduled delivery dates come closer, resulting in a reduction in dayrates. Lower utilization and dayrates could adversely affect our revenues and profitability. Prolonged periods of low utilization and dayrates could also have a material adverse effect on the value of our assets.



The market value of our current drilling units and those we acquire in the future may decrease, which could cause us to incur losses if we decide to sell them following a decline in their market values.

If the offshore contract drilling industry suffers adverse developments in the future, the fair market value of our drilling units may decline. The fair market value of the drilling units we currently own or may acquire in the future may increase or decrease depending on a number of factors, including:

- general economic and market conditions affecting the offshore contract drilling industry, including competition from other offshore contract drilling companies;
  - types, sizes and ages of drilling units;
  - supply and demand for drilling units;
  - costs of newbuildings;
  - prevailing level of drilling services contract dayrates;
  - governmental or other regulations; and
  - technological advances.

If we sell any drilling unit when drilling unit prices have fallen, the sale may be at a loss. Such loss could materially and adversely affect our business prospects, financial condition, liquidity, results of operations, and our ability to pay dividends to our shareholders.

Consolidation of suppliers may limit our ability to obtain supplies and services when we need them, at an acceptable cost, or at all.

We rely on a significant supply of consumables, spare parts and equipment to operate, maintain, repair and upgrade our fleet of drilling rigs. During the last decade the number of available suppliers has been reduced, resulting in fewer alternatives for sourcing key supplies and services. In addition, certain key equipment used in our business is protected by patents and other intellectual property of our suppliers. This may limit our ability to obtain supplies and services at an acceptable cost, at the times we need them, or at all. Cost increases, delays or unavailability could negatively impact on our future operations and result in higher rig downtime due to delays in the repair and maintenance of our fleet.

Our international operations involve additional risks associated with operating outside the U.S.

We operate in various regions throughout the world which may expose us to political and other uncertainties, including risks of:

- terrorist acts, war, civil disturbances and piracy;
- seizure, nationalization or expropriation of property or equipment;
  - political unrest;
  - labor unrest and strikes;

- foreign and U.S. monetary policy and foreign currency fluctuations and devaluations;
  - the inability to repatriate income or capital;
- complications associated with repairing and replacing equipment in remote locations;

- import-export quotas, wage and price controls, imposition of trade barriers and other forms of government regulation and economic conditions that are beyond our control;
  - regulatory or financial requirements to comply with foreign bureaucratic actions; and
    - changing taxation policies.

In addition, international contract drilling operations are subject to the various laws and regulations in countries in which we operate, including laws and regulations relating to:

- the equipping and operation of drilling units;
  - repatriation of foreign earnings;
  - oil and gas exploration and development;
  - taxation of offshore earnings and the earnings of expatriate personnel;
  - customs duties on the importation of drilling rigs and related equipment;
- requirements for local registration or ownership of drilling rigs by nationals of the country of operations in certain countries; and
    - the use and compensation of local employees and suppliers by foreign contractors.

Some foreign governments favor or effectively require (i) the awarding of drilling contracts to local contractors or to drilling rigs owned by their own citizens, (ii) the use of a local agent or (iii) foreign contractors to employ citizens of, or purchase supplies from, a particular jurisdiction. These practices may adversely affect our ability to compete in those regions. It is difficult to predict what governmental regulations may be enacted in the future that could adversely affect the international drilling industry. The actions of foreign governments, including initiatives by OPEC, may adversely affect our ability to compete. Failure to comply with applicable laws and regulations, including those relating to sanctions and export restrictions, may subject us to criminal sanctions or civil remedies, including fines, denial of export privileges, injunctions or seizures of assets.

We may be subject to liability under environmental laws and regulations, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

Our operations are subject to regulations controlling the discharge of materials into the environment, requiring removal and clean-up of materials that may harm the environment or otherwise relating to the protection of the environment. For example, as an operator of mobile drilling units offshore Brazil, the United States and other countries, we may be liable for damages and costs incurred in connection with spills of oil and other chemicals and substances related to our operations, and we may also be subject to significant fines in connection with spills. Laws and regulations protecting the environment have become more stringent in recent years, and may in some cases impose strict liability, rendering a person liable for environmental damage without regard to negligence. These laws and regulations may expose us to liability for the conduct of or conditions caused by others, or for acts that were in compliance with all applicable laws at the time they were performed. The application of these requirements or the adoption of new requirements could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows. We have generally been able to obtain some degree of contractual indemnification pursuant to which our clients agree to protect, hold harmless and indemnify us against liability for pollution, well and environmental

damage; however, there is no assurance that we can obtain such indemnities in all of our contracts or that, in the event of extensive pollution and environmental damage, our clients would have the financial capability to fulfill their contractual obligations to us. Also, these indemnities may be held to be unenforceable in certain jurisdictions, as a result of public policy or for other reasons.

Our ability to operate our drilling units in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico could be restricted by governmental regulation.

Hurricanes Ivan, Katrina, Rita, and Ike have caused damage to a number of drilling units unaffiliated to us in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico. In June 2009, the Minerals Management Service, or MMS, of the U.S. Department of the Interior issued the latest guidelines for jack-up drilling rig fitness requirements for the 2009 hurricane season. Also in June 2009, the MMS issued the latest guidelines for tie-downs on any drilling units and permanent equipment and facilities attached to an outer continental shelf production platform, and guidelines for moored drilling rig fitness requirements for the 2009 hurricane season. These guidelines continued requirements on the offshore oil and gas industry, in an attempt to improve the stations that house the moored units and increase the likelihood of survival of jack-up rigs and other offshore drilling units during a hurricane. The guidelines also provided for enhanced information and data requirements from oil and gas companies operating properties in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico. We do not have any jack-up rigs or moored drilling units operating in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico. However, we currently have operating in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico one ultra-deepwater semi-submersible drilling rig that is self propelled and equipped with thrusters and other machinery, which enable the rig to move between drilling locations and remain in position while drilling without the need for anchors. Nevertheless, it is possible that the MMS may issue guidelines for future hurricane seasons and may take other steps which could increase the cost of operations and implementation of such guidelines, or reduce the area of operations for our ultra-deepwater drilling unit.

Public health threats could have an adverse effect on our operations and our financial results.

Public health threats, such as swine flu, bird flu, Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome and other highly communicable diseases, outbreaks of which have already occurred in various parts of the world in which we operate, could adversely impact on our operations, the operations of our customers and the global economy, including the worldwide demand for oil and gas, and ultimately on the level of demand for our services and could adversely affect our financial results.

We may be subject to litigation that could have an adverse effect on us.

We are currently involved in various litigation matters, none of which we expect to have a material adverse effect on us. We anticipate that we will be involved in litigation matters from time to time in the future. The operating hazards inherent in our business expose us to litigation, including personal injury litigation, environmental litigation, contractual litigation with clients, intellectual property litigation, tax or securities litigation, and maritime lawsuits including the possible arrest of our drilling units. We cannot predict with certainty the outcome or effect of any claim or other litigation matter. Any future litigation may have an adverse effect on our business, financial position, results of operations and our ability to pay dividends, because of potential negative outcomes, the costs associated with prosecuting or defending such lawsuits, and the diversion of management's attention to these matters.

Fluctuations in exchange rates and non-convertibility of currencies could result in losses to us.

As a result of our international operations, we are exposed to fluctuations in foreign exchange rates due to revenues being received and operating expenses paid in currencies other than U.S. dollars. Accordingly, we may experience currency exchange losses in situations where we have not fully hedged our exposure to a foreign currency, or if revenues are received in currencies that are not readily convertible. We may also incur losses as a result of an inability to collect revenues because of a shortage of convertible currency available to the country of operation, controls over currency exchange or controls over the repatriation of income or capital. A discussion of our policy and exposure to exchange rate fluctuations is given in Item 11 "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk".

Our business involves numerous operating hazards.

Our operations are subject to hazards inherent in the drilling industry, such as blowouts, reservoir damage, loss of production, loss of well control, lost or stuck drill strings, equipment defects, punch throughs, craterings, fires, explosions and pollution. Contract drilling and well servicing require the use of heavy equipment and exposure to hazardous conditions, which may subject us to liability claims by employees, customers and third parties. These hazards can cause personal injury or loss of life, severe damage to or destruction of property and equipment, pollution or environmental damage, claims by third parties or customers and suspension of operations. Our offshore fleet is also subject to hazards inherent in marine operations, either while on-site or during mobilization, such as capsizing, sinking, grounding, collision, damage from severe weather and marine life infestations. Operations may also be suspended because of machinery breakdowns, abnormal drilling conditions, and failure of subcontractors to perform or supply goods or services, or personnel shortages. We customarily provide contract indemnity to our customers for claims that could be asserted by us relating to damage to or loss of our equipment, including rigs and claims that could be asserted by us or our employees relating to personal injury or loss of life.

Damage to the environment could also result from our operations, particularly through spillage of fuel, lubricants or other chemicals and substances used in drilling operations, or extensive uncontrolled fires. We may also be subject to property, environmental and other damage claims by oil and gas companies. Our insurance policies and contractual rights to indemnity may not adequately cover losses, and we do not have insurance coverage or rights to indemnity for all risks. Consistent with standard industry practice, our clients generally assume, and indemnify us against, well control and subsurface risks under dayrate contracts. These are risks associated with the loss of control of a well, such as blowout or cratering, the cost to regain control of or re-drill the well and associated pollution. However, there can be no assurance that these clients will be willing or financially able to indemnify us against all these risks. We maintain insurance coverage for property damage, occupational injury and illness, and general and marine third-party liabilities. We have no insurance coverage for named storms in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico and war perils worldwide. Furthermore, pollution and environmental risks generally are not totally insurable.

We maintain a portion of deductibles for damage to our offshore drilling equipment and third-party liabilities. With respect to hull and machinery we generally maintain a deductible per occurrence up to \$1.7 million. However, in the event of a total loss or a constructive total loss of a drilling unit, such loss is fully covered by our insurance with no deductible. For general and marine third-party liabilities we generally maintain up to \$250,000 deductible per occurrence on personal injury liability for crew claims as well as non-crew claims and per occurrence on third-party property damage.

If a significant accident or other event occurs and is not fully covered by our insurance or an enforceable or recoverable indemnity from a client, it could adversely affect our consolidated statement of financial position, results of operations or cash flows. The amount of our insurance may be less than the related impact on enterprise value after a loss. Our insurance coverage will not in all situations provide sufficient funds to protect us from all liabilities that could result from our drilling operations. Our coverage includes annual aggregate policy limits. As a result, we retain the risk through self-insurance for any losses in excess of these limits. Any such lack of reimbursement may cause us to incur substantial costs. In addition, we could decide to retain substantially more risk through self-insurance in the future. Moreover, no assurance can be made that we will be able to maintain adequate insurance in the future at rates we consider reasonable or be able to obtain insurance against certain risks.

As of September 30, 2009, all of the drilling units that we owned or operated were covered by existing insurance policies.

Technology disputes involving our suppliers could impact on our operations or increase our costs.

The majority of the intellectual property rights relating to our drilling rigs and related equipment are owned by our suppliers. In the event that one of our suppliers becomes involved in a dispute over infringement of intellectual property rights relating to equipment owned by us, we may lose access to repair services, replacement parts, or could be required to cease use of some equipment. We could also be required to pay royalties for the use of equipment. These consequences of technology disputes involving our suppliers could adversely affect our financial results and operations. We have provisions in most of our supply contracts to provide indemnity from the supplier against intellectual property lawsuits. However, we cannot be assured that our suppliers will be willing or financially able to honor their indemnity obligations, or that the indemnities will fully protect us from the adverse consequences of such technology disputes. We also have provisions in some of our client contracts to require the client to share some of these risks on a limited basis, but we cannot be assured that these provisions will fully protect us from the adverse consequences of such technology disputes.

We may not be able to keep pace with the continual and rapid technological developments that characterize the market for our services, and our failure to do so may result in our loss of market share.

The market for our services is characterized by continual and rapid technological developments that have resulted in, and will likely continue to result in, substantial improvements in equipment functions and performance. As a result, our future success and profitability will be dependent in part upon our ability to:

- improve our existing services and related equipment;
- address the increasingly sophisticated needs of our customers; and
- anticipate changes in technology and industry standards and respond to technological developments on a timely basis.

If we are not successful in acquiring new equipment or upgrading our existing equipment on a timely and cost-effective basis in response to technological developments or changes in standards in our industry, we could lose market share. In addition, current competitors or new market entrants may develop new technologies, services or standards that could render some of our services or equipment obsolete, which could have a material adverse effect on our operations.





### Risks relating to Our Company

The amount of our debt could limit our liquidity and flexibility in obtaining additional financing and in pursuing other business opportunities.

As of September 30, 2009, we had \$7.90 billion in principal amount of debt, representing approximately 63% of our total capitalization. Our current indebtedness and future indebtedness which we may incur could affect our future operations, as a portion of our cash flow from operations will be dedicated to the payment of interest and principal on such debt and will not be available for other purposes. Covenants contained in our debt agreements require us to meet certain financial tests, which may affect our flexibility in planning for, and reacting to, changes in our business and may limit our ability to dispose of assets or place restrictions on the use of proceeds from such dispositions, withstand current or future economic or industry downturns and compete with others in our industry for strategic opportunities, and our ability to obtain additional financing for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions, general corporate and other purposes may be limited. Our ability to meet our debt service obligations and to fund planned expenditures, including construction costs for our newbuilding projects, will be dependent upon our future performance, which will be subject to general economic conditions, industry cycles and financial, business and other factors affecting our operations, many of which are beyond our control. Our future cash flows may be insufficient to meet all of our debt obligations and contractual commitments, and any insufficiency could negatively impact on our business. To the extent that we are unable to repay our indebtedness as it becomes due or at maturity, we may need to refinance our debt, raise new debt, sell assets or repay the debt with the proceeds from equity offerings. Additional indebtedness or equity financing may not be available to us in the future for the refinancing or repayment of existing indebtedness, and we may not be able to complete asset sales in a timely manner sufficient to make such repayments.

If we are unable to comply with the restrictions and the financial covenants in the agreements governing our indebtedness, there could be a default under the terms of these agreements, which could result in an acceleration of repayment of funds that we have borrowed.

If we are unable to comply with the restrictions and covenants in the agreements governing our indebtedness or in current or future debt financing agreements, there could be a default under the terms of those agreements. Our ability to comply with these restrictions and covenants, including meeting financial ratios and tests, is dependent on our future performance and may be affected by events beyond our control. If a default occurs under these agreements, lenders could terminate their commitments to lend or accelerate the outstanding loans and declare all amounts borrowed due and payable. Borrowings under other debt instruments that contain cross-acceleration or cross-default provisions may also be accelerated and become due and payable. If any of these events occur, we cannot guarantee that our assets will be sufficient to repay in full all of our outstanding indebtedness, and we may be unable to find alternative financing. Even if we could obtain alternative financing, that financing might not be on terms that are favorable or acceptable.

We rely heavily on a small number of customers.

Our contract drilling business is subject to the risks associated with having a limited number of customers for our services. As of September 30, 2009, our five largest customers accounted for approximately 78% of our future contracted revenues, or order backlog. Our results of operations could be materially adversely affected if any of our major customers failed to compensate us for our services, were to terminate our contracts with or without cause, failed to renew its existing contracts or refused to award new contracts to us and we are unable to enter into contracts with new customers at comparable dayrates.



Newbuilding projects and surveys are subject to risks which could cause delays or cost overruns.

Rig construction projects are subject to risks of delay or cost overruns inherent in any large construction project from numerous factors, including shortages of equipment, materials or skilled labor, unscheduled delays in the delivery of ordered materials and equipment or shipyard construction, failure of equipment to meet quality and/or performance standards, financial or operating difficulties experienced by equipment vendors or the shipyard, unanticipated actual or purported change orders, inability to obtain required permits or approvals, unanticipated cost increases between order and delivery, design or engineering changes and work stoppages and other labor disputes, adverse weather conditions or any other events of force majeure. Significant cost overruns or delays could adversely affect our financial position, results of operations and cash flows. Additionally, failure to complete a project on time may result in the delay of revenue from that rig. New drilling rigs may experience start-up difficulties following delivery or other unexpected operational problems that could result in uncompensated downtime, which also could adversely affect our financial position, results of operations and cash flows or the cancellation or termination of drilling contracts.

Some of our offshore drilling contracts may be terminated early due to certain events.

Some of our customers have the right to terminate their drilling contracts upon the payment of an early termination fee. However, such payments may not fully compensate us for the loss of the contract. Under certain circumstances our contracts may permit a customer to terminate their contract early without the payment of any termination fee, as a result of non-performance, longer periods of downtime or impaired performance caused by equipment or operational issues, or sustained periods of downtime due to force majeure events. Many of these events are beyond our control. During periods of challenging market conditions, we may be subject to an increased risk of our clients seeking to repudiate their contracts, including through claims of non-performance. Our customers' ability to perform their obligations under their drilling contracts with us may also be negatively impacted by the prevailing uncertainty surrounding the development of the world economy and the credit markets. If our customers cancel some of our contracts, and we are unable to secure new contracts on a timely basis and on substantially similar terms, or if contracts are suspended for an extended period of time or if a number of our contracts are renegotiated, it could adversely affect our consolidated statement of financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Our operating and maintenance costs will not necessarily fluctuate in proportion to changes in operating revenues.

Our operating and maintenance costs will not necessarily fluctuate in proportion to changes in operating revenues. Operating revenues may fluctuate as a function of changes in supply and demand for contract drilling services, which in turn affect dayrates, and the operational performance of our fleet of drilling rigs. However, our operating costs are generally related to the number of units in operation and the cost level in each country or region where the units are located. In addition, equipment maintenance costs fluctuate depending upon the type of activity the unit is performing and the age and condition of the equipment. In connection with new assignments, we might incur expenses relating to preparation for operations under a new contract. The expenses may vary based on the scope and length of such required preparations and the duration of the firm contractual period over which such expenditures are amortized. In situations where our rigs incur idle time between assignments, the opportunity to reduce the size of our crews on those rigs is limited as the crews will be engaged in preparing the rig for its next contract. In a situation where a rig faces longer idle periods, reductions in costs may not be immediate as some of the crew may be required to prepare drilling units for stacking and maintenance in the stacking period. Should rigs be idle for a longer period, we will seek to redeploy crew members, who are not required to maintain the rigs, to active rigs to the extent possible. However, there can be no assurance that we will be successful in reducing our costs.

The provisions of the majority of our offshore rig contracts that are term contracts at fixed dayrates may not permit us fully to recoup our costs in the event of a rise in our expenses.

Most of the units in our fleet have long term contracts. The average contract length as of September 30, 2009, is 38 months for our deepwater units, 28 months for our tender rigs and six months for our jack-up rigs, excluding the three jack-up rigs under construction. The majority of these contracts have dayrates that are fixed over the contract term. In order to mitigate the effects of inflation on revenues from term contracts, most of our contracts include escalation provisions. These provisions allow us to adjust the dayrates based on stipulated costs increases including wages, insurance and maintenance cost. However, because these escalations are normally performed on a semi-annual or annual basis, the timing and amount awarded as a result of such adjustments may differ from our actual cost increases, which could adversely affect our financial performance. Shorter term contracts normally do not contain escalations provisions.

We may not be able to renew or obtain new and favorable contracts for drilling units whose contracts are expiring or are terminated, which could adversely affect our revenues and profitability.

We have five contracts that expire in 2010, four contracts that expire in 2011 and six contracts that expire in 2012. Our ability to renew these contracts or obtain new contracts will depend on the prevailing market conditions. In cases where we are not able to obtain new contracts in direct continuation, or where new contracts are entered into at dayrates substantially below the existing dayrates or on terms less favorable compared to existing contracts terms, our revenues and profitability could be adversely affected.

Our future contracted revenue for our fleet of drilling units may not be ultimately realized.

As of September 30, 2009, the future contracted revenue for our fleet of drilling units, or contract drilling backlog, was approximately \$10.9 billion under firm commitments. We may not be able to perform under these contracts due to events beyond our control, and our customers may seek to cancel or renegotiate our contracts for various reasons, including adverse conditions resulting in lower dayrates. Our inability or the inability of our customers to perform under our or their contractual obligations may have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

Competition within the oilfield services industry may adversely affect our ability to market our services.

The oilfield services industry is highly competitive and fragmented and includes several large companies that compete in many of the markets we serve, as well as numerous small companies that compete with us on a local basis. Our larger competitors' greater resources could allow them to better withstand industry downturns, compete more effectively on the basis of technology and geographic scope and retain skilled personnel. We believe the principal competitive factors in the market areas we serve are price, product and service quality, availability of crews and equipment and technical proficiency. Our operations may be adversely affected if our current competitors or new market entrants introduce new products or services with better features, performance, prices or other characteristics than our products and services, or expand into service areas where we operate. Competitive pressures or other factors also may result in significant price competition, particularly during industry downturns, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition. In addition, competition among oilfield services and equipment providers is affected by each provider's reputation for safety and quality.

Uncertainty relating to the development of the world economy may reduce demand for our drilling services or result in contract delays or cancellations.

We depend on our customers' willingness and ability to make operating and capital expenditures to explore, develop and produce oil and gas, and to purchase drilling and related equipment. Recent deterioration of the world economy has caused a decline in oil and gas prices from previous high levels, which in turn has caused a number of oil and gas producers to adjust future capital budgets. Limitations on the availability of capital or higher costs of capital for financing expenditures, or the desire to preserve liquidity, may cause these and other customers to make additional reductions in future capital budgets and outlays. Such adjustments could reduce demand for our products and services, which could adversely affect our results of operations and cash flows. We cannot assure you that our customers will increase their capital budgets in response to the recent recovery in crude oil prices, which were approximately \$79 per barrel as of March 15, 2010, after hitting a low of approximately \$40 per barrel in February, 2009.

Failure to obtain or retain highly skilled personnel could adversely affect our operations.

We require highly skilled personnel to operate and provide technical services and support for our business. Competition for skilled and other labor required for our drilling operations has increased in recent years as the number of rigs activated or added to worldwide fleets has increased. The recent drop in energy prices and utilization rate has to some extent reduced the need for people related to international jack-up rigs. For deepwater operations utilization rates remain high and the number of deepwater units in operation is growing as a result of the delivery of units ordered in the period 2005 to 2008. This is expected to increase the demand for qualified personnel with deepwater experience in particular. If this expansion continues and is coupled with improved demand for drilling services in general, shortages of qualified personnel could develop, creating upward pressure on wages and making it more difficult to staff and service our rigs. Such developments could adversely affect our financial results and cashflow.

Our labor costs and the operating restrictions which apply to us could increase as a result of collective bargaining negotiations and changes in labor laws and regulations.

Some of our employees are represented by collective bargaining agreements. The majority of these employees work in Brazil, Nigeria, Norway and the U.K. In addition, some of our contracted labor works under collective bargaining agreements. As part of the legal obligations in some of these agreements, we are required to contribute certain amounts to retirement funds and pension plans and have restricted ability to dismiss employees. In addition, many of these represented individuals are working under agreements that are subject to salary negotiation. These negotiations could result in higher personnel costs, other increased costs or increased operating restrictions that could adversely affect our financial performance.

The failure to consummate or integrate acquisitions of other businesses and assets in a timely and cost-effective manner could have an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Acquisition of assets or businesses that expand our drilling and well services operations is an important component of our business strategy. We believe that acquisition opportunities may arise from time to time, and any such acquisition could be significant. Any acquisition could involve the payment by us of a substantial amount of cash, the incurrence of a substantial amount of debt or the issuance of a substantial amount of equity. Certain acquisition and investment opportunities may not result in the consummation of a transaction. In addition, we may not be able to obtain acceptable terms for the required financing for any such acquisition or investment that arises. We cannot predict the effect, if any, that any announcement or consummation of an acquisition would have on the trading price of our common stock. Our future acquisitions present a number of risks, including the risk of incorrect assumptions regarding the future results of acquired operations or assets or expected cost reductions or other synergies expected to be realized as a result of acquiring operations or assets, the risk of failing to successfully and timely integrate the operations or management of any acquired businesses or assets and the risk of diverting management's attention from existing operations or other priorities. If we fail to consummate and integrate our acquisitions in a timely and cost-effective manner, our financial condition and results of operations will be adversely affected.

In order to execute our growth strategy, we may require additional capital in the future, which may not be available to us.

Our business is capital intensive and, to the extent we do not generate sufficient cash from operations, we may need to raise additional funds through public or private debt or equity financings to execute our growth strategy and to fund capital expenditures. Adequate sources of capital funding may not be available when needed or may not be available on favorable terms. If we raise additional funds by issuing additional equity securities, dilution to the holdings of existing equity holders may result. If funding is insufficient at any time in the future, we may be unable to fund maintenance requirements and acquisitions, take advantage of business opportunities or respond to competitive pressures, any of which could adversely impact on our financial condition and results of operations.

Interest rate fluctuations could affect our profitability, earnings and cash flow.

In order to finance our growth we have incurred significant amounts of debt. With the exception of our convertible bonds, all other debt arrangements have floating interest rates. As such, significant movements in interest rates could have an adverse effect on our profitability, earnings and cash flow. In order to manage our exposure to interest rate fluctuations, we use interest rate swaps to effectively fix some of our floating rate debt obligations. The principal amount covered by interest rate swaps is evaluated continuously and determined based on our debt level, our expectations regarding future interest rates and our overall financial risk exposure. As of September 30, 2009, our total floating rate debt amounted to \$5.99 billion and we had entered into interest rate swaps in order to effectively fix the interest rate for a principal amount of \$5.33 billion.

A change in tax laws of any country in which we operate could result in a higher tax expense or a higher effective tax rate on our worldwide earnings.

We conduct our operations through various subsidiaries in countries throughout the world. Tax laws and regulations are highly complex and subject to interpretation. Consequently, we are subject to changing tax laws, treaties and regulations in and between countries in which we operate, including treaties between the United States and other nations. Our income tax expense is based upon our interpretation of the tax laws in effect in various countries at the time that the expense was incurred. A change in these tax laws, treaties or regulations, including those in and involving the United States, or in the interpretation thereof, or in the valuation of our deferred tax assets, which is beyond our control could result in a materially higher tax expense or a higher effective tax rate on our worldwide

earnings.

20

---

A loss of a major tax dispute or a successful tax challenge to our operating structure, intercompany pricing policies or the taxable presence of our subsidiaries in certain countries could result in a higher tax rate on our worldwide earnings, which could result in a significant negative impact on our earnings and cash flows from operations.

Our income tax returns are subject to review and examination. We do not recognize the benefit of income tax positions we believe are more likely than not to be disallowed upon challenge by a tax authority. If any tax authority successfully challenges our operational structure, intercompany pricing policies or the taxable presence of our subsidiaries in certain countries; or if the terms of certain income tax treaties are interpreted in a manner that is adverse to our structure; or if we lose a material tax dispute in any country, our effective tax rate on our worldwide earnings could increase substantially and our earnings and cash flows from operations could be materially adversely affected.

While we believe that we are not currently a PFIC and do not anticipate becoming a PFIC, United States tax authorities could treat us as a "passive foreign investment company," which could have adverse United States federal income tax consequences to United States holders.

A foreign corporation will be treated as a "passive foreign investment company," or PFIC, for United States federal income tax purposes if either (1) at least 75 percent of its gross income for any taxable year consists of certain types of "passive income" or (2) at least 50 percent of the average value of the corporation's assets produce or are held for the production of those types of "passive income." For purposes of these tests, "passive income" includes dividends, interest, and gains from the sale or exchange of investment property and rents and royalties other than rents and royalties which are received from unrelated parties in connection with the active conduct of a trade or business but does not include income derived from the performance of services.

If the IRS were to find that we are or have been a PFIC for any taxable year, our United States shareholders will face adverse United States tax consequences.

Under the PFIC rules, unless those shareholders make an election available under the Code (which election could itself have adverse consequences for such shareholders, as discussed below under "Tax Considerations – United States Federal Income Taxation of U.S. Holders"), such shareholders would be liable to pay United States federal income tax at the then prevailing income tax rates on ordinary income plus interest upon excess distributions and upon any gain from the disposition of our common shares, as if the excess distribution or gain had been recognized ratably over the shareholder's holding period of our common shares. See "Tax Considerations— United States Federal Income Taxation of U.S. Holders" for a more comprehensive discussion of the United States federal income tax consequences to United States shareholders if we are treated as a PFIC.



### Risks Relating to Our Common Shares

There is no assurance that an active and liquid trading market for our common shares will develop or be sustained in the United States.

There is currently no public market for our common shares in the United States. Our common stock trades on The Oslo Stock Exchange and we expect that it will also trade on the NYSE under the symbol "SDRL". There is no assurance that an active and liquid trading market for our common shares will develop or be sustained in the United States.

Our common share price may be highly volatile.

The market price of our common shares has historically fluctuated over a wide range and may continue to fluctuate significantly in response to many factors, such as actual or anticipated fluctuations in our operating results, changes in financial estimates by securities analysts, economic and regulatory trends, general market conditions, rumors and other factors, many of which are beyond our control. Over the last year the stock market has experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations. Such volatility could adversely affect the market price of our common shares and impact on a potential sale price if holders of our common shares decide to sell their shares.

Because we are a foreign corporation, you may not have the same rights that a shareholder in a U.S. corporation may have.

We are a Bermuda exempted company. Our Memorandum of Association and Bye-laws and The Companies Act, 1981 of Bermuda, or the Companies Act, govern our affairs. The Companies Act does not as clearly establish your rights and the fiduciary responsibilities of our directors as do statutes and judicial precedent in some U.S. jurisdictions. Therefore, it may be more difficult to protect your interests as a shareholder in relation to the actions of management, directors or controlling shareholders, than it would be for shareholders of U.S. corporations. There is a statutory remedy under Section 111 of the Companies Act which provides that a shareholder may seek redress in the courts as long as such shareholder can establish that our affairs are being conducted, or have been conducted, in a manner oppressive or prejudicial to the interests of some part of the shareholders, including such shareholder.

We are incorporated in Bermuda and it may not be possible for our investors to enforce U.S. judgments against us.

We are incorporated in Bermuda and substantially all of our assets are located outside the U.S. In addition, all of our directors and all but one of our executive officers are non-residents of the U.S., and all or a substantial portion of the assets of these non-residents are located outside the U.S. As a result, it may be difficult or impossible for U.S. investors to serve process within the U.S. upon us or our directors and executive officers, or to enforce a judgment against us for civil liabilities in U.S. courts. In addition, you should not assume that courts in the countries in which we are incorporated or where our assets are located (1) would enforce judgments of U.S. courts obtained in actions against us based upon the civil liability provisions of applicable U.S. federal and state securities laws or (2) would enforce, in original actions, liabilities against us based on those laws.

We are subject to certain anti-takeover provisions in our constitutional documents.

Several provisions of our bye-laws may have anti-takeover effects. These provisions are intended to avoid costly takeover battles, lessen our vulnerability to a hostile change of control and enhance the ability of our board of directors to maximize shareholder value in connection with any unsolicited offer to acquire us. However, these anti-takeover provisions could also discourage, delay or prevent the merger, amalgamation or acquisition of our company by means of a tender offer, a proxy contest or otherwise, that a shareholder may consider to be in its best interest. For more detailed information reference is made to Item 10 "Additional Information" of this registration statement.

We depend on directors who are associated with affiliated companies which may create conflicts of interest.

Our principal shareholder Hemen Holding Ltd., which we refer to as Hemen, is controlled by trusts established by John Fredriksen, our President and Chairman, for the benefit of his immediate family. Hemen also has significant shareholdings in two companies affiliated with us, Frontline Ltd. (NYSE: FRO), or Frontline, and Ship Finance International Limited (NYSE: SFL), or Ship Finance. In addition, Hemen owns approximately 6.6% of our majority-owned subsidiary Seawell Limited, or Seawell. One of our directors, Kate Blankenship is also a director of Frontline, Ship Finance and Seawell and another of our directors, Kathrine Fredriksen, the daughter of John Fredriksen, is also a director of Frontline. Mr. Fredriksen, Mrs. Blankenship and Ms. Fredriksen owe fiduciary duties to each of Seadrill, Frontline and Ship Finance and may have conflicts of interest in matters involving or affecting us and our customers. In addition they may have conflicts of interest when faced with decisions that could have different implications for Frontline or Ship Finance than they do for us. We cannot assure you that any of these conflicts of interest will be resolved in our favor.

Investor confidence may be adversely impacted if we are unable to comply with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

We will become subject to Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, which will require us to include in our annual report on Form 20-F our management's report on, and assessment of, the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting. In addition, our independent registered public accounting firm will be required to attest to and report on management's assessment of the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting, which requirement we expect will first apply to our annual report on Form 20-F for the year ended December 31, 2010. If we fail to maintain the adequacy of our internal controls over financial reporting, we will not be in compliance with all of the requirements imposed by Section 404. Any failure to comply with Section 404 could result in an adverse perception of the Company in the financial marketplace.

#### ITEM 4. INFORMATION ON THE COMPANY

##### A. HISTORY AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE COMPANY

###### The Company

We were incorporated under the laws of Bermuda on May 10, 2005, and our shares of common stock have been listed on the Oslo Stock Exchange under the symbol "SDRL" since November 2005. Our principal executive offices are located at Par-la-Ville Place, 4th Floor, 14 Par-la-Ville Road, Hamilton, HM 08, Bermuda and our telephone number is +1 (441) 295-6935.

We are an offshore drilling contractor providing worldwide offshore drilling services to the oil and gas industry. Our primary business is the ownership and operation of jack-up rigs, tender rigs, semi-submersible rigs and drillships, which operate in shallow, mid and deepwater areas as well as benign and harsh environments. A description of our different types of drilling units is given in Item 4.B "Business Overview". We operate through subsidiaries located throughout the world, including in Bermuda, Norway, the Cayman Islands, the British Virgin Islands, Cyprus, Nigeria, Liberia, Hungary, Singapore, Brazil, Hong Kong, Panama, the United Kingdom, Denmark, Malaysia, Brunei and the United States. We own and operate a fleet of 35 offshore drilling units, including nine units under construction, which consist of nine jack-up rigs, 10 semi-submersible rigs, four drillships and 12 tender rigs. The units under construction consist of three jack-up rigs, two semi-submersible rigs, one drillship and three tender rigs. Four of the above units were sold to and leased back from wholly-owned subsidiaries of Ship Finance, a related party, and these subsidiaries are fully consolidated in our financial statements as variable interest entities, or VIEs, in which we hold the primary interest (see Note 33 to the Consolidated Financial Statements). In addition we operate five tender rigs in association with Varia Perdana Sdn Bhd, or Varia Perdana, a Malaysian company in which we have a 49% ownership interest. We have a contractual right not to take delivery of one of the three newbuilding jack-up rigs currently under construction. If we exercise this right we will forfeit the instalment paid to date on the newbuilding.

We own a 73.8% interest in the well services company Seawell. Seawell provides services in platform drilling, facility engineering, modular rig, well intervention and oilfield technologies, and drilling and well services and has approximately 2,500 employees. Seawell currently operates on nearly 50 installations in the North Sea and has offices in Stavanger and Bergen in Norway, Aberdeen and Newcastle in the United Kingdom, Houston in the United States, Esbjerg in Denmark, Rio de Janeiro in Brazil and Kuala Lumpur in Malaysia.

We also hold investments in several other companies in our industry that we consider to be strategic investments, including

- 9.5% equity interest in Pride International Inc. (NYSE: PDE), or Pride, a United States offshore drilling company,
- 23.6% equity interest in SapuraCrest Bhd, or SapuraCrest, a Malaysian oil services company, and
- 38.6% equity interest in Scorpion Offshore Limited, or Scorpion, a Bermuda jack-up rig company.

We consider strategic investments to be investments in companies that own and/or operate offshore drilling rigs with similar characteristics as our fleet of rigs and that provide us with additional exposure to market segments in which we operate or a new market segment. Further, we view investments as strategic that potentially advance the development of our Company in accordance with our business strategy, particularly relating to consolidation in the offshore drilling rig industry.

#### Development of the Company

We were established in May 2005 as a Bermuda company. On May 11, 2005 we entered into a Purchase and Subscription Agreement with three affiliated companies: Greenwich Holdings Limited, or Greenwich, Seatankers Management Co Limited, or Seatankers, and Hemen. Pursuant to agreements we acquired an offshore drilling fleet of three jack-up rigs and two floating production, storage and offloading vessels, or FPSOs, from Greenwich for an aggregate consideration of \$310 million, and contracts for the construction of two new jack-up rigs from Seatankers for a total consideration of \$67 million. In addition, Hemen subscribed for 84,994,000 of our shares at a subscription price of \$2.03 per share and acquired all of Greenwich's and a part of Seatankers's claim for the purchase price for the assets referred to above. Greenwich, Seatankers and Hemen are controlled by trusts established by Mr. John Fredriksen, our President and Chairman, for the benefit of his immediate family. As a result of the related party nature of this transaction, the acquisition of these assets was accounted for as a transfer of assets under common control and

recorded by Seadrill at the historical carrying values in the financial statements of Greenwich and Seatankers.

In September 2005 we acquired a majority shareholding in Odfjell Invest Limited, or Odfjell, an unaffiliated company listed on the Oslo Stock Exchange which held construction contracts for two new jack-up rigs and had an option to build a third jack-up rig. Also in 2005 we entered into contracts to build three new semi-submersible rigs, and acquired a shareholding interest in the unaffiliated Indonesian drilling company PT Apexindo Pratama Duta Tbk, or Apexindo.

Since the end of 2005, through the entry into further contracts for newbuildings and the acquisition of other companies engaged in offshore drilling and related industries, we have expanded our operations and now have approximately 7,300 skilled employees and an active fleet of 26 units, consisting of six jack-up rigs, eight semi-submersible rigs, three drillships and nine tender rigs. Details of significant acquisitions and disposals from 2006 onwards are provided below.

#### Acquisitions

In the year ended December 31, 2006, we acquired the following drilling units and entities involved in offshore drilling. All transactions, acquisitions and disposals discussed in this section are with unaffiliated third parties unless otherwise indicated.

- In January 2006, we purchased Mosvold Drilling Ltd, or Mosvold, for an aggregate purchase price of \$354 million. The acquisition was financed by a private placement of our common shares. Through our purchase of Mosvold, we acquired the construction contracts for two new deepwater drillships West Polaris and West Capella.
- In January 2006, we entered into an agreement with Daewoo Shipbuilding in Korea for the building of a new semi-submersible drilling rig West Aquarius.
- In January 2006, through a series of mandatory offerings and a compulsory acquisition, we acquired control of Smedvig ASA, or Smedvig, a Norwegian offshore drilling and well services contractor that was at the time listed on the Oslo Stock Exchange and the NYSE, for an aggregate purchase price of \$2.30 billion. Smedvig has consequently been included in our consolidated financial statements from the first quarter of 2006. Through our purchase of Smedvig, we acquired one jack-up rig, two semi-submersible rigs, a drillship, seven tender rigs and the construction contract for one tender rig. Through our acquisition of Smedvig we also acquired a 39.8% ownership interest in Eastern Drilling ASA, or Eastern Drilling, a drilling company listed on the Oslo Stock Exchange, and 49% interests in Varia Perdana and Tioman Drilling Company Sdn Bhd, or Tioman, two inter-related drilling companies incorporated in Malaysia. Subsequently, through a series of transactions, we have acquired a 23.6% interest in SapuraCrest, which holds the remaining 51% interest in Varia Perdana and Tioman.

- In March 2006, we exercised an option held by Odfjell, which we acquired in 2005, and entered into an agreement with Keppel FELS Limited in Singapore for the construction of a new jack-up rig, West Ariel.
- In June 2006, we entered into an agreement with Malaysia Marine and Heavy Engineering Sdn Bnd for the construction of a new tender rig, T-11.
- In June 2006, we took delivery of the new jack-up rig West Ceres from Keppel FELS Limited in Singapore for a total cost of \$165 million and subsequently sold the unit to a subsidiary of Ship Finance, an affiliated company, and leased the rig back.
- In September 2006, following a series of transactions including total return swap agreements, we increased our interest in an affiliated company Eastern Drilling to approximately 60.4% of the outstanding shares. In April 2007, we purchased the remaining shares in Eastern Drilling at a cost of \$402 million, at an average price per share of NOK135, which was mandated by the Oslo Stock Exchange. The aggregate cost of acquiring Eastern Drilling, over and above the 39.8% interest acquired through Smedvig, was \$714 million. Through the purchase of Eastern Drilling, we acquired the construction contracts for two new semi-submersible rigs West Phoenix and West Eminence.
- In December 2006, we took delivery of the new tender rig West Berani from Keppel FELS Limited in Singapore for a total cost of \$119 million.

In the year ended December 31, 2007, we acquired the following drilling units and entities involved in offshore drilling:

- In January 2007, we took delivery of the new jack-up rig West Prospero from Keppel FELS Limited in Singapore for a total cost of \$208 million and subsequently sold the unit to an affiliated company that is a subsidiary of Ship Finance, and leased the rig back.
- In May 2007, we entered into an agreement with the Jurong Shipyard in Singapore for the construction of a new semi-submersible rig, West Orion, which we expect to be delivered in the second quarter of 2010 for a total cost of \$675 million.
- In June 2007, we entered into an agreement with Keppel FELS Limited in Singapore for the construction of a new tender rig, West Vencedor, which we expect to be delivered in the first quarter of 2010 for a total cost of \$201 million.
- In July 2007, we entered into a contract with the Samsung Shipyard in South Korea for the construction of a new drillship, West Gemini, which we expect to be delivered in the second quarter of 2010 for a total cost of \$716 million.
- In September 2007, we took delivery of the new jack-up rig West Atlas from Keppel FELS Limited in Singapore for a total cost of \$155 million.
- In September 2007, we established Seawell as a company providing drilling and well services. Our ownership interest in Seawell is currently approximately 73.8%. Seawell has entered into an agreement with the Norwegian Stock Broker Association, which provides an over-the-counter ("OTC") market for its shares.

In the year ended December 31, 2008, we acquired the following drilling units and entities involved in offshore drilling:

- In the first quarter of 2008, the new semi-submersible rig West Phoenix was delivered from the Samsung Shipyard in South Korea and the new semi-submersible rig West Sirius was delivered from the Jurong Shipyard in Singapore, at total costs of \$804 million and \$561 million, respectively. Also in the first quarter of 2008, the new jack-up rig West Triton was delivered from the PPL Shipyard in Singapore at a total cost of \$155 million.

- In January 2008, Seawell acquired Noble Corporation's North Sea platform drilling division, a labor contract well services business, for an aggregate purchase price of \$54 million. This purchase included labor contracts to service the drilling operations on 11 platforms in the UK sector of the North Sea.
- In February 2008, we entered into a construction contract with Malaysia Marine and Heavy Engineering Sdn Bnd for the construction of a new tender rig T12, which we expect to be delivered in the first quarter of 2010 for a total cost of \$123 million.
- In February 2008, Seawell entered into an agreement for the construction of a new modular well service unit. The unit is expected to be delivered in the first half 2010 and will be primarily marketed for operations on platforms on the UK and Norwegian continental shelves.
- In March 2008, we acquired all of the outstanding shares in Peak Well Solutions AS, a company which specializes in the production, manufacturing and installation of equipment for drilling rigs, for the aggregate purchase price of \$85 million.
- In April 2008, we announced that we had acquired beneficial ownership of 200,000 of the issued shares of Pride and had forward purchase contracts for a further 16,300,000 shares, totaling 9.5% of the issued share capital. Pride is one of the largest offshore drilling contractors listed on the NYSE. The aggregate purchase price of the investment in Pride was approximately \$558 million. In August 2009, Pride spun off its mat-supported jack-up rigs into a new company, Seahawk Drilling Inc, which is listed on Nasdaq. In that connection we received a dividend in the form of shares in Seahawk Drilling Inc, corresponding to a 9.5% equity interest which we currently hold.
- In April 2008, we acquired 8,100,000 shares of Scorpion Offshore Limited, or Scorpion, at a price of NOK80 per share, which increased our shareholding in Scorpion to 36% of Scorpion's outstanding shares, which is above the 33.3% threshold for making a mandatory tender offer for the remaining shares under the rules of the Oslo Stock Exchange. We conducted the mandatory tender offer at the offering price of NOK80 per share, which offer expired in June 2008. As a result of the tender offer, we registered acceptances for a further 1.1% of Scorpion's shares. As of January 20, 2010, we hold a 39.6% equity interest in Scorpion, for which we paid an aggregate amount of \$343 million. Scorpion is a drilling contractor listed on the Oslo Stock Exchange, with six recently completed newbuilding jack-up rigs and one additional newbuilding jack-up rig under construction. Under the Oslo Stock Exchange's mandatory offer rules, if we increase our equity interest in Scorpion to 40% or more, we will be required to make another tender offer for Scorpion's shares. Currently, we do not expect to trigger any further mandatory offerings or compulsory acquisitions. Please see "Summary of Oslo Stock Exchange Mandatory Offer Rules" below:

#### Summary of Oslo Stock Exchange Mandatory Offer Rules

- Generally, under the rules of the Oslo Stock Exchange, a shareholder who acts in its own name or in concert with others, and who acquires shares representing more than 1/3 of the votes of an Oslo Stock Exchange listed company is obligated to make an offer for the Company's remaining shares. The obligation to make a mandatory offer is triggered again if the shareholder subsequent to the initial mandatory offer acquires further shares in the Company and through such acquisition becomes the owner of shares representing either 40% or more or 50% or more of the votes in the Company.



- Before January 1, 2008, the threshold of ownership required to trigger the initial mandatory offer requirement was 40%.
- There are various procedural and substantive rules, including a best price rule that relates to the price that the offeror must pay for the shares.
  - There is also a procedure for certain Oslo Stock Exchange companies to obtain exemptions from the rules.
- In May 2008, Seawell acquired TecWel AS, a company which provides logging services to the oil industry worldwide, for an aggregate purchase price of \$34 million.
- In June 2008, we entered into agreements with Keppel FELS Limited in Singapore and the PPL Shipyard in Singapore for the construction of two new jack-up rigs each, all of which are scheduled for delivery in the second half of 2010. In January 2009 the terms of the agreements with the PPL Shipyard and Keppel FELS Limited were amended to include the option on our part not to take delivery of the second rig scheduled for delivery from each yard, while the PPL Shipyard had the option to terminate the construction contract for the second rig scheduled for delivery by them. In October 2009, the PPL Shipyard exercised its option to terminate the construction of one rig. The total cost of the three rigs currently remaining to be delivered is \$658 million.
- In June 2008, we entered into agreement with Keppel FELS Limited in Singapore for the construction of one new tender rig, West Berani III, with delivery expected in the first quarter of 2011 at a total cost of \$119 million. Also in June 2008, we entered into agreement with the Jurong Shipyard in Singapore for the construction of one new semi-submersible drilling rig, West Capricorn, with delivery expected in fourth quarters of 2011 at a total cost of \$771 million.
- In the second quarter of 2008, we took delivery of the new tender rig T11 from Malaysia Marine and Heavy Engineering Sdn Bnd at a total cost of \$96 million, and the new jack-up rig West Ariel from Keppel FELS Limited in Singapore at a total cost of \$177 million.
- In September 2008, following a series of transactions beginning in 2006, we acquired 22.7% of the total outstanding shares of SapuraCrest for a total purchase price of \$124 million. SapuraCrest owns 51% of each of Varia Perdana and Tioman.
- In the third quarter of 2008, we took delivery of the new drillship West Polaris from Samsung Heavy Industries in South Korea for a total cost of \$695 million, and sold the unit to a subsidiary of Ship Finance, an affiliated company, and leased the rig back.
- In the fourth quarter of 2008, we took delivery of the new semi-submersible rig West Hercules from the DSME Shipyard in South Korea and the new semi-submersible rig West Taurus from the Jurong Shipyard in Singapore, at total costs of \$630 million and \$531 million, respectively. These two rigs were sold to Ship Finance, an affiliated company, and leased back. Also in the fourth quarter of 2008, we took delivery of the new drillship West Capella from Samsung Heavy Industries in South Korea at a total cost of \$640 million.

The total cost shown for the above drilling units consists of the accumulated historic cost paid to the shipyards, including amounts paid by entities prior to their acquisition by us. The cost shown includes capitalized interest and other ancillary costs.

Since January 1, 2009, we have acquired the following drilling units and investments in entities involved in offshore drilling:

- In the first quarter of 2009, we took delivery of the new semi-submersible rig West Aquarius from the DSME Shipyard in South Korea and the new semi-submersible rig West Eminence from the Samsung Shipyard in South Korea, at total costs of \$630 million and \$707 million, respectively.
- In March 2009, we acquired an 81% interest in a bond issued by Petromena AS in the amount of NOK2.00 billion, at a cost of \$183 million. The bond was secured by construction contracts for two new deepwater rigs scheduled for delivery later in 2009. Both rigs have subsequently been sold and we have received a partial repayment of the bond amounting to \$101 million, including premium and accrued interest. Based on the achieved sales price of the rigs and the priority of the bonds, we expect to receive payments that equal 100% of the principal bond amount plus a 7% early redemption fee and accrued interests including penalty interests. The total amount we expect to receive is estimated to be \$292 million, based on accrued interest at September 30, 2009. In the third quarter of 2009 we have recognized \$50 million in the statement of operations as interest and other financial items.

#### Disposals

In February 2007, we sold our two FPSOs Crystal Ocean and Crystal Sea for \$90 million and \$80 million, respectively, recording gains totaling \$124 million.

In July 2007, we entered into an agreement to sell the jack-up rig West Titania for a total consideration of \$134 million. The jack-up rig was delivered to its new owner in the second quarter of 2008 and a gain on sale of \$80 million was recorded.

In October 2007, we entered into an agreement to sell our entire holding of shares in Apexindo to third parties for a net consideration of approximately \$220 million. The gain from the disposal was recorded in the first quarter of 2008 and amounted to approximately \$150 million.

In July 2009, we exercised our option to repurchase the jack-up rig West Ceres from Rig Finance Ltd., a subsidiary of Ship Finance, an affiliated party, at the option price of \$135.5 million. In July 2009, we sold the jack-up rig West Ceres to a third party for \$175 million, recording a gain on sale of \$21 million.

On November 30, 2009 our jack-up rig West Atlas was confirmed a constructive total loss following the damage caused by a blow-out and later fire on the Montara production platform in Australia where the rig was working for PTTEPA. The compensation from our insurers amounting to \$200 million was received in December 2009. We have a contractual obligation to PTTEPA for removing the West Atlas wreck from the Montara field. Our insurance coverage provides for reimbursement of the costs related to such removal operations which are expected to be completed during 2010.

## B. BUSINESS OVERVIEW

We are an offshore drilling contractor providing global offshore drilling services to the oil and gas industry. We have a versatile fleet of drilling units that is outfitted to operate in shallow water, mid-water and deepwater areas, in benign and harsh environments. Our customers are national, international and independent oil companies. The various types of drilling units in our fleet are as follows:

### Semi-submersible drilling rigs

Semi-submersible drilling rigs consist of an upper working and living quarters deck resting on vertical columns connected to lower hull pontoons. Such rigs operate in a "semi-submerged" floating position, in which the lower hull is below the waterline and the upper deck protrudes above the surface. The rig is situated over a wellhead location and remains stable for drilling in the semi-submerged floating position, due in part to its wave transparency characteristics at the water line.

There are two types of semi-submersible rigs, moored and dynamically positioned. Moored semi-submersible rigs are positioned over the wellhead location with anchors, while the dynamically positioned semi-submersible rigs are positioned over the wellhead location by a computer-controlled thruster system. Semi-submersible rigs generally operate with crews of 65 to 100 people.

### Drillships

Our drillships are self-propelled ships equipped for drilling in deep waters, and are positioned over the well through a computer-controlled thruster system similar to that used on semi-submersible rigs. Drillships are suitable for drilling in remote locations because of their mobility and large load-carrying capacity. Depending on region, drillships operate with crews of 65 to 100 people.

### Jack-Up Rigs

Jack-up rigs are mobile, self-elevating drilling platforms equipped with legs that are lowered to the ocean floor. A jack-up rig is towed to the drill site with its hull riding in the sea as a vessel and its legs raised. At the drill site, the legs are lowered until they penetrate the sea bed and the hull is elevated until it is above the surface of the water. After completion of the drilling operations, the hull is lowered until it rests on the water, the legs are raised and the rig can be relocated to another drill site. Jack-ups are generally suitable for water depths of 400 feet or less and operate with crews of 40 to 60 people.

### Tender Rigs

Self-erecting tender rigs conduct production drilling from fixed or floating platforms. During drilling operations, the tender rig, is moored next to the platform rig. The modularized drilling package is lifted from the unit onto the platform prior to commencement of operations. The tender rig contains living quarters, helicopter deck, storage for drilling supplies, power machinery for running the drilling equipment and well completion equipment. There are two types of tender rigs, barge type and semi-submersible (semi-tender) type. Tender barges and semi-tenders are equipped with similar equipment but the semi-tender's semi-submersible hull structure allows the unit to operate in rougher weather conditions. Self-erecting tender rigs allow for drilling operations to be performed from platforms without the need for permanently installed drilling packages. Self-erecting tender rigs generally operate with crews of 60 to 85 people.

### Seawell Limited

In addition to owning and operating offshore drilling units, we provide well services through Seawell, our majority owned subsidiary. Seawell provides platform drilling, facility engineering, modular rig, well intervention and oilfield technologies. Seawell currently operates on nearly 50 installations in the North Sea and has offices in Stavanger and Bergen in Norway, Aberdeen and Newcastle in the United Kingdom, Houston in the United States, Esbjerg in Denmark, Rio de Janeiro in Brazil and Kuala Lumpur in Malaysia.

We report our business in the following three operating segments:

- **Mobile units:** We offer services encompassing drilling, completion and maintenance of offshore wells. The drilling contracts relate to semi-submersible rigs, jack-up rigs and drillships.
- **Tender Rigs:** We operate self-erecting tender rigs and semi-submersible tender rigs, which are used for production drilling and well maintenance in Southeast Asia and West Africa.
- **Well Services:** We provide services using platform drilling, facility engineering, modular rig, well intervention and oilfield technologies.

Information regarding our revenues, segment operating profit or loss and total assets attributable to each operating segment for the last three fiscal years is presented in Note 3 to our consolidated financial statements included in this Registration Statement. Information regarding our operating revenues and identifiable assets attributable to each of our geographic areas of operations for the last three fiscal years is also presented in Note 3 to our consolidated financial statements included in this Registration Statement. For information about revenues, operating income, assets and other information relating to our business, our segments and the geographic areas in which we operate, see also Item 5. "Operating and Financial Review and Prospects".

#### Our Business Strategy

Our primary objective is to profitably grow our business to increase long-term distributable cash flow per share to our shareholders.

Our business strategy is to focus our company on modern state-of-the-art offshore drilling units with our main focus on deepwater operations. We believe we have one of the most modern fleets in the industry and believe that by combining quality assets and experienced and skilled employees we will be able to provide our customers with safe and effective operations, and establish, develop and maintain a position as a preferred provider of offshore drilling services for our customers. We believe that a combination of quality assets and highly skilled employees will facilitate the procurement of term contracts and premium dayrates. We have grown our company significantly from its incorporation in 2005 and have strong ambitions to continue the growth. We believe that the combination of term contracts and quality assets will provide us with the opportunity to obtain debt financing for such growth, and allow us to increase the return on our invested equity.

The key elements in our strategy are as follows:

- commitment to provide customers with safe and effective operations;
- combine state-of-the-art mobile drilling units with experienced and skilled employees;
- growth through targeted alliances, purchase of newbuildings, mergers and acquisitions;

- develop our strong position in deepwater and harsh environments;
- develop our strong position in the tender rig market and pursue further growth in conventional waters as well as deepwater areas; and
- offer a diversified range of well services.

We believe that consolidation in the offshore drilling rig industry would improve the pricing and earnings visibility for our services. Such consolidation activities may be in the form of transactions for specific offshore drilling units or companies. We actively look for growth opportunities and intend to take part in the future consolidation of our industry if we determine that potential transactions are in the best interest of our shareholders.

#### Market Overview

Our customers include oil super-majors and major integrated oil and gas companies, state-owned national oil companies and independent oil and gas companies. Our customers have experienced higher oil prices and significantly increased revenues over the last decade. The increase has been related to higher demand for oil and limited increase in available oil production to offset the growth in demand. Over the same period the depletion rate for existing oil production has risen and replacement rates for oil reserves have fallen for most oil producers, highlighting the shortfall in exploration and production spending to meet future demand. In response to this development, oil producers, particularly super-majors, majors and national oil companies, have devoted more of their activities to identifying replacements for existing production in new geographical areas at increasing water depths. This has translated into an increased focus on frontier deepwater and ultra-deepwater areas, not only in existing offshore regions such as Brazil, U.S. Gulf of Mexico, Europe and West Africa but also expanding to India, Southeast Asia, China, East Africa, Mexican Gulf of Mexico, Australasia and the Mediterranean.

#### Mobile units

Developments in the oil and gas industry discussed above have caused a strong increase in demand for offshore drilling services, resulting in materially increased dayrates for drilling units.

For dynamically positioned deepwater units, dayrates increased from \$290,000 in May 2005 at the inception of our Company to more than \$600,000 in September 2008 just prior to the financial downturn in world markets. The increase in dayrates made it attractive for existing drilling contractors as well as new market participants to order new units to meet mounting demand. As a result, the worldwide fleet of dynamically positioned deepwater drilling units is expected to increase from 29 units in 2005 to 134 units in 2013, if all of the new units ordered between 2005 and 2008 are delivered. Most of these newbuildings were ordered on speculation, meaning that no drilling contract in place at the time the construction contract was entered into. As a result of favorable market developments, the majority of these units have secured term contracts on attractive terms. However, due to the sudden and immediate deterioration of overall market conditions in October 2008, there remain a significant number of units under construction that have not yet secured employment. Although the majority of these units will not be delivered before late 2010 or later, some of the owners of these units have limited or no operating experience in deepwater drilling, and there is a risk that they could be willing to accept contract conditions that deviate from prevailing market terms, in order to secure employment for their units and the financing necessary to take delivery of their newbuilds. This could adversely affect dayrates for deepwater drilling units in the short term. Since October 2008, the number of new contracts entered into for dynamically positioned deepwater units has been limited. The most recent fixture was reported at approximately \$450,000 per day, however, dayrate levels are typically dependant on country of operation, length of contract and overall contract terms



Since 2005 we have taken delivery of eight dynamically positioned ultra-deepwater units and have a further three ultra-deepwater units under construction. We believe the long-term prospects for deepwater drilling are positive given the expected growth in oil consumption from developing nations, limited or negative growth in oil reserves, and high depletion rates of mature oil fields. We believe that these factors will continue to provide incentives for the exploration and development of deepwater fields, particularly in view of recent geologic successes in Brazil, US Gulf of Mexico, West Africa and elsewhere, along with improving access to promising offshore areas and new, more efficient technologies.

For jack-up rigs, dayrates increased from \$90,000 from May 2005 to more than \$200,000 per day in September 2008 as a consequence of a significant undersupply of available jack-ups in a period when oil and gas prices were increasing rapidly, thereby making extremely lucrative the drilling of new and previous oil and gas discoveries with a tie-back to the existing infrastructure. In response to this development, approximately 145 new jack-ups have been ordered bringing the total worldwide fleet of jack-ups up to 517, assuming all the ordered units are delivered. The majority of these newbuildings were ordered on speculation and the majority of the 61 jack-up rigs remaining to be delivered have at present not secured initial employment. In a period of considerable uncertainty relating to the development of the world economy and the direction of oil and gas prices, this could intensify price competition as scheduled delivery dates come closer, possibly impacting adversely on dayrates for jack-up rigs. Since October 2008, the utilization rate has been significantly reduced for the jack-up fleet bringing dayrates down sharply as well. As of March 2010, we believe market dayrates for new jack-up rigs are approximately \$120,000 per day, depending on country of operation, length of contract and overall contract terms. In the longer term we believe that the industry will require more modern and more effective jack-ups, as approximately 70% of the current worldwide jack-up fleet is more than 20 years old. We expect operators to gradually replace older and incumbent drilling units with new, more modern and efficient rigs due to wells becoming increasingly technically challenging and consequently demanding with respect to rig equipment. This replacement could however take longer than previously anticipated, given the uncertainty surrounding the global economy.

#### Tender rigs

From May 2005 to September 2008 dayrates increased for barge-type tender rigs from \$45,000 to \$130,000 and for semi-submersible tender rigs from \$70,000 to more than \$200,000. The increase was due to a significant undersupply of available tender rigs and reduced competition from jack-ups due to the overall increase in offshore drilling activity. The tender rig market is a specialized niche, with the world fleet consisting of 29 units, including four units under construction. We are the largest operator in this segment with our fleet of 17 units, including the five units we operate in association with Varia Perdana and the three units we have under construction. Tender rigs are primarily used for development drilling based on term contracts, and this has historically made this market segment more resilient to the volatility in activity levels seen in the shallow water market and experienced by benign environment jack-ups. Nevertheless, the sharp drop in shallow water activity in 2008 and 2009 had an adverse impact on the tender rig market. The short-term effect is that tender rigs that have come off contracts since October 2008 have been warm stacked, as oil companies have postponed drilling activity in response to lower oil and gas prices. Accordingly, there were no tender rig fixtures in 2009. The most recent fixture in 2010 was at approximately \$90,000 per day. We believe the market uncertainty is diminishing in response to more stable oil prices, and the long-term outlook for tender rigs remains favorable, due to their versatility and lower construction costs compared to jack-up rigs. In addition, in recent years a combination of tender rigs and floating platforms, such as mini tension-leg platforms and spar platforms has been used in the development of deepwater oilfields, which has increased the market for tender rigs. Based on this we expect the market to continue to offer opportunities to build additional order backlog and earnings visibility.



## Well services

Seawell is mainly involved in oil production activities in existing mature fields. The level of activity is therefore related to the development and level of the oil price. We believe that when oil prices are above \$70 per barrel, oil companies will focus on maintaining their production from mature fields. Based on current market conditions, demand for drilling and well services is expected to remain high over the next few years. However, the activity level in 2010 will depend on the outcome of ongoing tendering activities, employment of the modular rig we have under construction, and our success in expanding our main products and services into new regions. We have also in response to the oil price developments in 2008 and the beginning of 2009 experienced pressure on pricing from our customers. This has resulted in lower contract rates, which in turn has caused us to emphasize our focus on cost control and utilization of synergies in order to maintain and grow profit levels.

The above overview of the various offshore drilling sectors is based on previous market developments and current market conditions. Future markets conditions and developments cannot be predicted and may well differ from our current expectations.

## Customers

Our customers are oil and gas exploration and production companies, including major integrated oil companies, independent oil and gas producers and government-owned oil and gas companies. In the first nine months of 2009, our five largest customers have been:

- Statoil ASA, or Statoil, accounting for approximately 22% of revenues;
- Royal Dutch Shell, or Shell, accounting for approximately 10% of revenues;
- Petr leo Brasileiro S.A., or Petrobras, accounting for approximately 10% of revenues;
- Exxon Mobil Corp, or Exxon, accounting for approximately 10% of revenues; and
- Total S.A. Group, or Total, accounting for approximately 9% of revenues.

No other customers have accounted for more than ten percent of our revenues in any period since inception. In the year ended December 31, 2008, our two largest customers were Statoil and Shell, who provided approximately 32% and 7% of our contract revenues, respectively. Statoil and Shell were also our largest customers in the year ended December 31, 2007, providing approximately 33% and 13% of our contract revenues, respectively. The loss of any of these significant customers could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations if they were not replaced by other customers.

Most of our drilling units are contracted to customers for periods between one and five years ahead, and our forward contracted revenue, or backlog, at September 30, 2009, totaled approximately \$10.9 billion, with \$9.9 billion of this amount attributable to our semi-submersible rigs and drillships. We expect approximately \$0.7 billion of this backlog to be realized in the fourth quarter of 2009. Backlog for our drilling fleet is calculated as the contract dayrate multiplied by the number of days remaining on the contract, assuming full utilization. Backlog excludes revenues for mobilization and demobilization, contract preparation and customer reimbursables. The amount of actual revenues earned and the actual periods during which revenues are earned will be different from the backlog projections due to various factors. Downtime, caused by unscheduled repairs, maintenance, weather and other operating factors, may result in lower applicable dayrates than the full contractual operating dayrate.

The following table shows the percentage of rig days committed by year as of September 30, 2009. The percentage of rig days committed is calculated as the ratio of total days committed under firm contracts to total available days in the period. Total available days for our nine units under construction are based on their expected delivery dates.

% of rig-days committed	Three month	Year ending December 31,		
	period ending December 31, 2009	2010	2011	2012
Jack-up rigs	62%	44%	5%	0%
Semi-submersible rigs	100%	95%	87%	55%
Drillships	100%	86%	77%	70%
Tender rigs	89%	79%	65%	40%

### Competition

The offshore drilling industry is highly competitive, with market participants ranging from large multinational companies to small locally-owned companies.

The demand for offshore drilling services is driven by oil and gas companies' exploration and development drilling programs. These drilling programs are affected by oil and gas companies' expectations regarding oil and gas prices, anticipated production levels, worldwide demand for oil and gas products and many other factors. The availability of quality drilling prospects, exploration success, availability of qualified rigs and operating personnel, relative production costs, availability and lead time requirements for drilling and production equipment, the stage of reservoir development and political and regulatory environments also affect our customers' drilling programs. Oil and gas prices are volatile, which has historically led to significant fluctuations in expenditures by our customers for drilling services. Variations in market conditions during cycles impact on us in different ways, depending primarily on the length of drilling contracts in different regions. For example, contracts in shallow waters for jack-up rig activities are shorter term, so a deterioration or improvement in market conditions for such units tends to quickly impact on revenues and cash flows from those operations. On the other hand, contracts in deepwater for semi-submersible rigs and drillships tend to be longer term, so a change in market conditions tends to have a delayed impact. Accordingly, short-term changes in these markets may have a minimal short-term impact on revenues and cash flows, unless the timing of contract renewals coincides with short-term movements in the market.

Offshore drilling contracts are generally awarded on a competitive bid basis. In determining which qualified drilling contractor is awarded a contract, the key factors are pricing, rig availability and sustainability, rig location, condition of equipment, operating integrity, safety performance record, crew experience, reputation, industry standing and client relations.

Competition for offshore drilling rigs is generally on a global basis, as rigs are highly mobile. However, the cost associated with mobilizing rigs between regions is sometimes substantial, as entering a new region could necessitate upgrades of the unit and its equipment to specific regional requirements. In particular, for rigs to operate in harsh environments, such as offshore Norway and Canada, as opposed to benign environments, such as the Gulf of Mexico, West Africa, Brazil, the Mediterranean and Southeast Asia, more demanding weather conditions would require more costly investment in the outfitting and maintenance of the drilling units.



We believe that the market for drilling contracts will continue to be highly competitive for the foreseeable future.

#### Risk of Loss and Insurance

Our operations are subject to hazards inherent in the drilling of oil and gas wells, including blowouts and well fires, which could cause personal injury, suspend drilling operations, or seriously damage or destroy the equipment involved. Offshore drilling contractors such as us are also subject to hazards particular to marine operations, including capsizing, grounding, collision and loss or damage from severe weather. Our marine insurance package policy provides insurance coverage for physical damage to our rigs, liability due to control-of-well events and loss of hire insurance.

We maintain a portion of deductibles for damage to our offshore drilling equipment. With respect to hull and machinery, we currently have a deductible per occurrence of up to \$1.7 million. However, a total loss or a constructive total loss of a drilling unit is covered by our insurance with no deductible. For general and marine third-party liabilities we generally maintain a deductible of up to \$250,000 per occurrence on personal injury liability for crew claims, non-crew claims and third-party property damage. Furthermore, we purchase insurance to cover the loss of hire on our fleet due to physical damage. However, we have a deductible period up to 60 days after the occurrence of physical damage. Thereafter our insurance policies are limited to between 100 days and 290 days. If the repair period for any physical damage exceeds the number of days permitted under our loss of hire policy, we will be responsible for the costs in such period.

#### Environmental and Other Regulations in the Offshore Drilling Industry

Our offshore drilling operations include activities that are subject to numerous international, federal, state and local laws and regulations, including the International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships, or MARPOL, the International Convention on Civil Liability for Bunker Oil Pollution Damage, or Bunker Convention, the U.S. Oil Pollution Act, or OPA, the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, or CERCLA, the U.S. Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act, and Brazil's National Environmental Policy Law (6938/81), Environmental Crimes Law (9605/98) and Law 9966/2000 relating to pollution in Brazilian waters. These laws govern the discharge of materials into the environment or otherwise relate to environmental protection. In certain circumstances, these laws may impose strict liability, rendering us liable for environmental and natural resource damages without regard to negligence or fault on our part.

For example, the United Nations' International Maritime Organization, or IMO, adopted MARPOL and Annex VI to MARPOL to regulate the discharge of harmful air emissions from ships, which include rigs and drillships. Rigs and drillships must comply with MARPOL limits on sulfur oxide and nitrogen oxide emissions, chlorofluorocarbons, and the discharge of other air pollutants, except that the MARPOL limits do not apply to emissions that are directly related to drilling, production, or processing activities.

Our drilling units are subject not only to MARPOL regulation of air emissions, but also to the Bunker Convention's strict liability for pollution damage caused by discharges of bunker fuel in ratifying states. We believe that all of our drilling units are currently compliant in all material respects with these regulations. In October 2008, IMO's Maritime Environment Protection Committee, or MEPC, adopted amendments to the Annex VI regulations that will require a progressive reduction of sulfur oxide levels in heavy bunker fuels and create more stringent nitrogen oxide emissions standards for marine engines in the future. We may incur costs to comply with these revised standards.

Furthermore, any drillships we may operate in the waters of the U.S., including the U.S. territorial sea and the 200 nautical mile exclusive economic zone around the U.S., would have to comply with OPA and CERCLA regulations, as described above, that impose liability (unless the spill results solely from the act or omission of a third party, an act of God or an act of war) for all containment and clean-up costs and other damages arising from discharges of oil or other hazardous substances, other than discharges related to drilling.

The Minerals Management Service of the U.S. Department of the Interior ("MMS") periodically issues guidelines for jack-up rig fitness requirements in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico and may take other steps that could increase the cost of operations or reduce the area of operations for our jack-up rigs, thus reducing their marketability. Implementation of MMS guidelines or regulations may subject us to increased costs or limit the operational capabilities of our rigs and could materially and adversely affect our operations and financial condition. Please read "Risk Factors — Our ability to operate our rigs in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico could be restricted or made more costly by government regulation" in Item 3.D of this Registration Statement.

Numerous governmental agencies issue such regulations to implement and enforce the laws of the applicable jurisdiction, which often involve lengthy permitting procedures, impose difficult and costly compliance measures, particularly in ecologically sensitive areas, and subject operators to substantial administrative, civil and criminal penalties or may result in injunctive relief for failure to comply. Some of these laws contain criminal sanctions in addition to civil penalties. Changes in environmental laws and regulations occur frequently, and any changes that result in more stringent and costly compliance or limit contract drilling opportunities could adversely affect our financial results. While we believe that we are in substantial compliance with the current laws and regulations, there is no assurance that compliance can be maintained in the future.

In addition to the MARPOL, OPA, and CERCLA requirements described above, our international operations in the offshore drilling segment are subject to various laws and regulations in countries in which we operate, including laws and regulations relating to the importation of and operation of drilling units and equipment, currency conversions and repatriation, oil and gas exploration and development, environmental protection, taxation of offshore earnings and earnings of expatriate personnel, the use of local employees and suppliers by foreign contractors and duties on the importation and exportation of drilling units and other equipment. New environmental or safety laws and regulations could be enacted, which could adversely affect our ability to operate in certain jurisdictions. Governments in some countries have become increasingly active in regulating and controlling the ownership of concessions and companies holding concessions, the exploration for oil and gas and other aspects of the oil and gas industries in their countries. In some areas of the world, this governmental activity has adversely affected the amount of exploration and development work done by major oil and gas companies and may continue to do so. Operations in less developed countries can be subject to legal systems that are not as mature or predictable as those in more developed countries, which can lead to greater uncertainty in legal matters and proceedings.

Implementation of new environmental laws or regulations that may apply to ultra-deepwater drilling units may subject us to increased costs or limit the operational capabilities of our drilling units and could materially and adversely affect our operations and financial condition. A discussion of risks relating to environmental regulations can be found in Item 3.D "Risk factors" of this Registration Statement.

### C. ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE

We were incorporated on May 10, 2005, under the laws of Bermuda. We are engaged, with our subsidiaries and consolidated companies, in the ownership and operation of a diversified fleet of offshore drilling units and in the provision of well services. Our operations are split into three reporting segments – mobile units (world-wide), tender rigs (mainly in south-east Asia and Africa) and well services (mainly in the North Sea).

Overall responsibility for the management of Seadrill Limited and its subsidiaries rests with the Board of Directors. The Board has organized the provision of management services through two subsidiaries incorporated in Norway, Seadrill Management AS, or Seadrill Management, and Seawell Management AS, or Seawell Management. The Board has defined the scope and terms of the services to be provided by these two companies and has provided authority for them to run day to day operations. The Board must be consulted on all matters of material importance and/or of an unusual nature, and for such matters will provide specific authorization to personnel in Seadrill Management and/or Seawell Management to act on the Company's behalf.

A full list of our significant management, operating and rig-owning subsidiaries is shown in Exhibit 8.1.

### D. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

We own a substantially modern fleet of drilling units. The following table sets forth the units that we own or have contracted for delivery as of March 15, 2010:

Unit	Year built	Water depth (feet)	Drilling depth (feet)	Current location	Month of contract expiry
<b>Jack-up rigs</b>					
West Larissa	1984	300	21,000	Vietnam	December 2010
West Janus	1985	330	21,000	Malaysia	August 2011
West Epsilon	1993	400	30,000	Norway	December 2010
West Prospero(SF)	2007	400	30,000	Red Sea	July 2010
West Triton	2008	375	30,000	Singapore	September 2010
West Ariel	2008	400	30,000	Vietnam	October 2010
West Callisto (NB)	2010	400	30,000		April 2011
West Juno (NB)	2010	400	30,000		
West Leda (NB)	2010	375	30,000		
<b>Tender rigs</b>					
T4	1981	410	20,000	Thailand	July 2013
T8	1982	410	20,000	In transit to Singapore	
T7	1983	410	20,000	Thailand	October 2011
West Pelaut	1994	6,500	30,000	Brunei	March 2012
West Menang	1999	6,500	30,000	Namibia (warm stacked *)	December 2010

Edgar Filing: SeaDrill LTD - Form 20FR12B

West Alliance	2001	6,500	30,000	Malaysia	January 2015
West Setia	2005	6,500	30,000	Angola	August 2012
West Berani	2006	6,500	30,000	Indonesia	December 2011
T11	2008	6,500	30,000	Thailand	May 2013
T12 (NB)	2010	6,500	30,000		April 2011
West Vencedor(NB)	2010	6,500	30,000		April 2015
West BeraniIII (NB)	2011	6,500	30,000		

Unit	Year built	Water depth (feet)	Drilling depth (feet)	Current location	Month of contract expiry
<b>Semi-submersible rigs</b>					
West Alpha	1986	2,000	23,000	Norway	June 2012
West Venture	2000	6,000	30,000	Norway	July 2011
West Phoenix	2008	10,000	30,000	Norway	January 2012
West Hercules (SF)	2008	10,000	35,000	China	November 2011
West Sirius	2008	10,000	35,000	Gulf of Mexico	July 2014
West Taurus (SF)	2008	10,000	35,000	Brazil	February 2015
West Eminence	2009	10,000	30,000	Brazil	July 2015
West Aquarius	2009	10,000	35,000	Indonesia	February 2013
West Orion (NB)	2010	10,000	35,000		July 2016
West Capricorn (NB)	2011	10,000	35,000		
<b>Drillships</b>					
West Navigator	2000	7,500	35,000	Norway	December 2012
West Polaris (SF)	2008	10,000	35,000	Brazil	October 2012
West Capella	2008	10,000	35,000	Nigeria	April 2014
West Gemini (NB)	2010	10,000	35,000		September 2012

NB – newbuilding

SF – unit owned by subsidiary of Ship Finance (see Note 33 to Consolidated Financial Statements)

\* - warm stacked means that the unit is not operating, but is being maintained in a state of readiness for future operations

In addition to the drilling units listed above, as at September 30, 2009, we have buildings, plant and equipment with a net book value of \$117 million, including an office building in Bergen, a modular rig under construction for Seawell, and office equipment. Our offices in Stavanger in Norway, Singapore, Houston in the United States, and Aberdeen in the United Kingdom are leased and aggregate office rental costs were \$9.4 million in 2008, and are expected to be approximately \$11.3 million in 2009.

We do not have any material intellectual property rights

#### ITEM 4A. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

Not applicable.

#### ITEM 5. OPERATING AND FINANCIAL REVIEW AND PROSPECTS



The following should be read in conjunction with Item 3 - "Selected Financial Data", Item 4 - "Information on the Company" and our Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto included herein.

#### Overview

We were established in May 2005 with an operating fleet of five units. Since then, through investment in newbuildings and the acquisition of other companies, we have expanded our operations and now have approximately 7,300 skilled employees and an operating fleet of 26 drilling units. In addition, we have construction contracts for nine new units, and operate a further five units in association with Varia Perdana. A full fleet list is provided in Item 4.D - "Information on the Company".

In addition to owning and operating offshore drilling units, we provide drilling and well services through Seawell, our majority owned subsidiary.

We have also made investments in other companies that are viewed as strategic investments, including Pride (9.5%), SapuraCrest (23.6%), Scorpion (38.6%) and Varia Perdana (49%).

### Fleet Development

The following table summarizes the development of our active fleet of drilling units, based on the dates when the units began operations:

Unit type	Mobile units segment				Tender rigs	Total units
	FPSOs	Jack-up rigs	Drillships	Semi-submersible rigs		
At December 31, 2005	2	3	-	-	-	5
additions in 2006		+2	+1	+2	+7	+12
At December 31, 2006	2	5	1	2	7	17
additions in 2007		+2			+1	+3
disposals in 2007	-2					-2
At December 31, 2007	-	7	1	2	8	18
additions in 2008		+2	+1	+2	+1	+6
disposals in 2008		-1				-1
At December 31, 2008	-	8	2	4	9	23
additions in 2009			+1	+4		+5
disposals in 2009		-2				-2
At March 15, 2010	-	6	3	8	9	26

The following rigs under construction are scheduled to be delivered after March 15, 2010:

- In 2010: three jack-up rigs, two tender rigs, one semi-submersible rig and one drillship.
- In 2011: one tender rig and one semi-submersible rig.

### Factors Affecting our Results of Operations

The principal factors which have affected our results since 2005 and are expected to affect our future results of operations and financial position include:

- the number and operating availability of our drilling units;
- the daily operating revenues earned under our term contracts;
- the daily operating expenses of our drilling units;
- administrative expenses;
- interest and other financial items; and

- tax expenses.

## Revenues

Our revenues are derived primarily from the operation of our drilling units on short, medium and long term contracts at fixed daily rates. Revenues from well services are derived from drilling on our client's fixed installations and from carrying out a wide range of engineering and down-hole services.

In general, each of our drilling units is contracted for a period of time to an oil and gas company to provide offshore drilling services at an agreed daily rate. A unit will be stacked if it has no contract in place. Daily rates can vary from \$50,000 per day to over \$600,000 per day, depending on the type of drilling unit and its capabilities, operating expenses, taxes and other factors. An important factor determining the revenue is the technical utilization of the drilling rig. To the extent that our operations are interrupted due to equipment breakdown or operational failures, we do not generally receive dayrate compensation for the period of the interruption.

The terms and conditions of the contracts allow for compensation when factors influencing the drilling operation are outside our control, for example, weather, and also in some cases for compensation when we perform planned maintenance activities. In many of our contracts we are entitled to cost escalation to compensate for industry specific cost increases as reflected in publicly available cost indices.

In addition to contracted day-rate revenue, customers may pay mobilization and demobilization fees for units before and after their drilling assignments, and may also pay reimbursement of costs incurred by the Company at their request for supplies, personnel and other services.

The following table summarizes our average daily revenues and economic utilization percentage by rig type for the periods under review:

	Nine months ended September 30, 2009		2008		Year ended December 31, 2007		2006	
	Average daily revenues \$	Economic utilization %	Average daily revenues \$	Economic utilization %	Average daily revenues \$	Economic utilization %	Average daily revenues \$	Economic utilization %
Jack-up rigs	129,067	62	195,541	92	172,226	85	122,186	94
Semi-submersible rigs	418,733	92	344,609	93	247,004	99	223,700	98
Drillships	486,844	92	251,053	66	206,263	83	211,550	90
Tender rigs	117,352	95	94,958	98	78,272	99	63,000	97

Note: Average daily revenues are based on total revenues for each type of unit divided by actual days worked by all units of that type. Economic utilization is calculated as the total days worked divided by the total days in the period.

#### Expenses

Our expenses consist primarily of rig operating expenses, reimbursable expenses, depreciation and amortization, administration expenses, interest and other financial expenses and tax expenses.

Rig operating expenses are related to the drilling units we have in operation and include the remuneration of offshore crews and onshore rig supervision staff, as well as expenses for repairs and maintenance. Reimbursable expenses are incurred at the request of customers, and include provision of supplies, personnel and other services. Depreciation and amortization costs are based on the historical cost of our drilling units and other equipment. Administration expenses include the costs of offices in various locations, as well as the remuneration and other compensation of the directors and employees engaged in the management and administration of the Company.

Our interest expenses depend on the overall level of debt and prevailing interest rates. However, these expenses may be reduced as a consequence of capitalization of interest expenses for drilling units under construction. Other financial items include income from associated companies and may reflect various mark-to-market adjustments to the value of our interest rate and forward currency swaps and other derivative financial instruments.

Tax expenses reflect payable and deferred tax related to our rig owning and operating activities and may vary significantly depending on jurisdictions and contractual arrangements. In most cases the tax is based on net income or deemed income based on gross turnover.

#### Critical Accounting Estimates

The preparation of our consolidated financial statements requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses and related disclosures about contingent assets and liabilities. We base these estimates and assumptions on historical experience and on various other information and assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Our critical accounting estimates are important to the portrayal of both our financial condition and results of operations and require us to make difficult, subjective or complex assumptions or estimates about matters that are uncertain. Significant accounting policies are discussed in our Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – Note 2: Accounting policies. We believe that the following are the critical accounting estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements. In addition, there are other items within our consolidated financial statements that require estimation.

#### Drilling Units

Rigs, vessels and equipment are recorded at historical cost less accumulated depreciation. The cost of these assets less estimated residual value is depreciated on a straight-line basis over their estimated remaining economic useful lives. The estimated economic useful life of the Company's mobile units and tender rigs, when new, is 30 years.

Significant investments are capitalized and depreciated in accordance with the nature of the investment. Significant investments that are deemed to increase an asset's value for its remaining useful life are capitalized and depreciated over the remaining life of the asset.

We determine the carrying value of these assets based on policies that incorporate our estimates, assumptions and judgments relative to the carrying value, remaining useful lives and residual values. The assumptions and judgments we use in determining the estimated useful lives of our drilling units reflect both historical experience and expectations regarding future operations, utilization and performance. The use of different estimates, assumptions and judgments in establishing estimated useful lives would probably result in materially different net book values of our drilling units and results of operations.

The useful lives of rigs and related equipment are difficult to estimate due to a variety of factors, including technological advances that impact on the methods or cost of oil and gas exploration and development, changes in market or economic conditions and changes in laws or regulations affecting the drilling industry. We evaluate the remaining useful lives of our drilling units when certain events occur which directly impact on our assessment of their remaining useful lives and include changes in operating condition, functional capability and market and economic factors.

The carrying values of our long-lived assets are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may no longer be appropriate. We assess recoverability of the carrying value of the asset by estimating the undiscounted future net cash flows expected to result from the asset, including eventual disposition. If the undiscounted future net cash flows are less than the carrying value of the asset, an impairment loss is recorded equal to the difference between the asset's carrying value and fair value. In general, impairment analyses are based on expected costs, utilization and dayrates for the estimated remaining useful lives of the asset or group of assets being assessed. An impairment loss is recorded in the period in which it is determined that the aggregate carrying amount is not recoverable. Asset impairment evaluations are, by nature, highly subjective. They involve expectations about future cash flows generated by our assets, and reflect management's assumptions and

judgments regarding future industry conditions and their effect on future utilization levels, dayrates and costs. The use of different estimates and assumptions could result in materially different carrying values of our assets and could materially affect our results of operations.

## Income Taxes

We are a Bermuda company and currently we are not required to pay taxes in Bermuda on ordinary income or capital gains. We have received written assurance from the Minister of Finance in Bermuda that, we will be exempt from taxation until March 2016. Certain subsidiaries operate in other jurisdictions where taxes are imposed. Consequently income taxes have been recorded in these jurisdictions when appropriate. Our income tax expense is based on our income, statutory tax rates and tax planning opportunities available to us in the various jurisdictions in which we operate. We provide for income taxes based on the tax laws and rates in effect in the countries in which operations are conducted and income is earned. The income tax rates and methods of computing taxable income vary substantially between jurisdictions. Our income tax expense is expected to fluctuate from year to year as our operations are conducted in different taxing jurisdictions and the amount of pre-tax income fluctuates.

The determination and evaluation of our annual group income tax provision involves the interpretation of tax laws in various jurisdictions in which we operate and requires significant judgment and the use of estimates and assumptions regarding significant future events, such as the amount, timing and character of income, deductions and tax credits. There are certain transactions for which the ultimate tax determination is unclear due to uncertainty in the ordinary course of business. We recognize tax liabilities based on our assessment of whether our tax positions are sustainable and on estimates of taxes that will ultimately be due. Changes in tax laws, regulations, agreements, treaties, foreign currency exchange restrictions or our levels of operations or profitability in each jurisdiction may impact our tax liability in any given year. While our annual tax provision is based on the information available to us at the time, a number of years may elapse before the ultimate tax liabilities in certain tax jurisdictions are determined. Current income tax expense reflects an estimate of our income tax liability for the current year, withholding taxes, changes in prior year tax estimates as returns are filed, or from tax audit adjustments. Our deferred tax expense or benefit represents the change in the balance of deferred tax assets or liabilities as reflected on the balance sheet. Valuation allowances are determined to reduce deferred tax assets when it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. To determine the amount of deferred tax assets and liabilities, as well as of the valuation allowances, we must make estimates and certain assumptions regarding future taxable income, including where our drilling units are expected to be deployed, as well as other assumptions related to our future tax position. A change in such estimates and assumptions, along with any changes in tax laws, could require us to adjust the deferred tax assets, liabilities, or valuation allowances.

## Contingencies

We establish reserves for estimated loss contingencies when we believe a loss is probable and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. Our contingent liability reserves relate primarily to litigation, indemnities and potential income and other tax assessments (see also "Income Taxes" above). Revisions to contingent liability reserves are reflected in income in the period in which different facts or information become known or circumstances change that affect our previous assumptions with respect to the likelihood or amount of loss. Reserves for contingent liabilities are based upon our assumptions and estimates regarding the probable outcome of the matter and include our costs to defend any action. In situations where we expect insurance proceeds to offset contingent liabilities, we record a receivable for all probable recoveries until the net loss is zero. We recognize contingent gains when the contingency is resolved and the gain has been realized. Should the outcome differ from our assumptions and estimates or other events result in a material adjustment to the accrued estimated contingencies, revisions to the estimated contingency amounts would be required and would be recognized in the period when the new information becomes known.





## Goodwill

We allocate the cost of acquired businesses to the identifiable tangible and intangible assets and liabilities acquired, with any remaining amount being capitalized as goodwill. We perform an annual test of goodwill impairment as of December 31 for each reporting segment or a component of an operating segment that constitutes a business for which financial information is available and is regularly reviewed by management., based on a discounted cash flow model. When testing for impairment we use expected future cash flows using contract dayrates during the contract periods. For periods after expiry of the contract periods, dayrates are projected based on estimates regarding future market conditions, including zero escalation of dayrates. The estimated future cash flows are calculated based on remaining asset lives and are discounted using a weighted average cost of capital. We had no impairment of goodwill for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, as the net present value of the estimated future cash flows supports the book value of goodwill. We have also performed sensitivity analyses using different scenarios regarding future cash flows, remaining asset lives and discount rates showing acceptable tolerance to changes in underlying assumptions in the impairment model before changes in assumptions would result in impairment. The use of different estimates and assumptions could result in materially different carrying value of goodwill and could materially affect our results of operations.

## Defined benefit pension plans

The Company has several defined benefit plans which provide retirement, death and termination benefits. The Company's net obligation is calculated separately for each plan by estimating the amount of the future benefit that employees have earned in return for their cumulative service. Pension and postretirement costs and obligations are actuarially determined and are affected by assumptions including expected return on plan assets, discount rates, compensation increases and employee turnover. The use of different estimates and assumptions could result in materially different carrying value pension obligations and could materially affect our results of operations.

The projected future benefit obligation is discounted to its present value, and the fair value of any plan assets is deducted. The discount rate is the market yield at the balance sheet date on government bonds in the currency and based on terms consistent with the post-employment benefit obligations. The retirement benefits are generally a function of years of employment and amount of compensation. The plans are primarily funded through payments to insurance companies. The Company records its pension costs in the period during which the services are rendered by the employees. Actuarial gains and losses are recognized in the statement of operations when the net cumulative unrecognized actuarial gains or losses for each individual plan at the end of the previous reporting year exceed 10 percent of the higher of the present value of the defined benefit obligation and the fair value of plan assets at that date. These gains and losses are recognized over the expected remaining working lives of the employees participating in the plans. Otherwise, recognition of actuarial gains and losses is included in other comprehensive income. Those amounts will be subsequently recognized as a component of net periodic pension cost on the same basis as the amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income.

#### Impairment of marketable securities and equity method investees

We analyze our available-for-sale securities and equity method investees for impairment during each reporting period to evaluate whether an event or change in circumstances has occurred in that period which may have a significant adverse effect on the fair value of the investment. We record an impairment charge for other-than-temporary declines in fair value when the fair value is not anticipated to recover above cost within a reasonable period after the measurement date, unless there are mitigating factors that indicate impairment may not be required. If an impairment charge is recorded, subsequent recoveries in fair value are not reflected in earnings until sale of the securities held as available for sale or of the equity method investee are sold. The evaluation of whether a decline in fair value is other-than-temporary requires a high degree of judgment and the use of different assumptions could materially affect our results of operations.

#### Recent accounting pronouncements

In September 2006, the FASB issued FAS No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements" (Currently ASC Topic 820 Fair value Measurement and Disclosures), which establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("GAAP") and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. This statement is effective for financial assets and liabilities as well as for any assets and liabilities that are carried at fair value on a recurring basis in financial statements as of the beginning of the entity's first fiscal year that begins after November 15, 2007. In November 2007, the FASB issued a one-year deferral for non-financial assets and liabilities to comply with ASC Topic 820 which delayed the effective date for these items until fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008. In 2008, we adopted the provisions of ASC Topic 820 which were not effected by the delay and the provisions not effected by the delay were adopted during the first quarter of 2009. The adoption did not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In February 2008 the FASB issued ACS 820-15-2 (formerly FSP No. FAS157-1 "Application of FASB Statement No. 157 to FASB Statement No. 13 and Other Accounting Pronouncements That Address Fair Value Measurements for Purposes of Lease Classification or Measurement under Statement 13" ("FSP FAS157-1")). FSP FAS157-1 amends FAS 157 to exclude FASB Statement No. 13 "Accounting for Leases" ("FAS 13") and its related interpretive accounting pronouncements that address leasing transactions. The FASB decided to exclude leasing transactions covered by FAS 13 in order to allow it to more broadly consider the use of fair value measurements for these transactions as part of its project to comprehensively reconsider the accounting for leasing transactions. The Company does not expect the adoption of ASC 820-15-2 to have a material impact on its financial statements.

In February 2007 the FASB issued SFAS No. 159 "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities – including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115" (Currently ASC Topic 825 Financial Instruments). ASC Topic 825 permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. The objective is to improve financial reporting by providing entities with the opportunity to mitigate volatility in reported earnings caused by measuring related assets and liabilities differently without having to apply complex hedge accounting provisions. The statement is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15 2007. The adoption of ASC Topic 825 did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007 the FASB issued SFAS No. 160 "Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements – an amendment of ARB No.51" (Currently ASC Topic 810 Consolidation). ASC Topic 810 is intended to improve the relevance, comparability and transparency of financial information that a reporting entity provides in its consolidated financial statements with reference to a noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary. Such a noncontrolling interest, sometimes called a minority interest, is the portion of equity in a subsidiary not attributable, directly or indirectly, to the parent entity. The statement is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2008. The Company has clarified that the implementation will have classification effects for 2009, the adoption of ASC Topic 810 during the first quarter of 2009 did not have a material impact on its financial statements.

In December 2007 the FASB issued SFAS No. 141 (revised 2007) "Business Combinations" (Currently ASC Topic 810 Business Combinations). The objective of ASC Topic 810 is to improve the relevance, representational faithfulness and comparability of the information that a reporting entity provides in its financial reports about a business combination and its effects. To accomplish this, ASC Topic 810 establishes principles and requirements for how the acquirer a) recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed and any non-controlling interest in the acquiree, b) recognizes and measures the goodwill acquired in the business combination or a gain from a bargain price, and c) determines what information to disclose to enable users of the financial statements to evaluate the nature and financial effects of the business combination. The statement is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2008. ASC Topic 810 is applied prospectively so the Company is unable to predict the future impact of adoption.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS 161 "Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities (Currently ASC Topic 815 Derivatives and Hedging), an Amendment to FASB Statement No. 133". ASC Topic 815 requires enhanced disclosures about an entity's derivative and hedging activities and thereby improves the transparency of financial reporting. This statement is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008. The adoption of ASC Topic 815 during the first quarter of 2009 did not have a significant effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In April 2008, the FASB issued FSP No. 142-3, Determination of the Useful Life of Intangible Assets (Currently ASC Topic 350 Intangibles – Goodwill and Other) ASC Topic 350 amends the factors that should be considered in developing renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of a recognized intangible asset. ASC Topic 350 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 and interim periods within those fiscal years, requiring prospective application to intangible assets acquired after the effective date. The Company has adopted the principles of ASC Topic 350 with respect to intangible assets acquired on or after January 1, 2009. The adoption of ASC Topic 350 did not have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In May 2008, the FASB also issued FSP No. APB 14-1, Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May Be Settled in Cash upon Conversion (Including Partial Cash Settlement) (Currently ASC Topic 470 Debt), which requires the issuer of certain convertible debt instruments to separately account for the liability and equity components of the instrument and reflect interest expense at the entity's market rate of borrowing for non-convertible debt instruments. ASC Topic 470 requires retrospective restatement of all periods presented with the cumulative effect of the change in accounting principle on prior periods being recognized as of the beginning of the first period presented. The adoption of ASC Topic 470 did not have an effect on the accounting for the Company's convertible bond in issue at December 31, 2008, but could have a significant effect for future convertible bond issues.

In June 2008, the FASB ratified the consensus on Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") Issue No. 07-5, Determining Whether an Instrument (or Embedded Feature) Is Indexed to an Entity's Own Stock (Currently ASC Topic 815 Derivatives and Hedging). An instrument or embedded feature that is both indexed to an entity's own stock and potentially settled in shares may be exempt, if certain other criteria are met, from mark-to-market accounting of derivative financial instruments. ASC Topic 815 addresses instruments with contingent and other adjustment features that may change the exercise price or notional amount or otherwise alter the payoff at settlement of convertible notes that are outstanding and are exercisable or convertible into the Company's shares. The statement which is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and did not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated statement of financial position, results of operations or cash flows after adoption in the first quarter of 2009.

In December 2008, the FASB issued FSP No. FAS 140-4 and FIN 46(R)-8, Disclosures by Public Entities (Enterprises) about Transfers of Financial Assets and Interests in Variable Interest Entities (Currently ASC Topic 860 Transfers and Servicing). ASC Topic 860 requires public entities to provide additional disclosures about transfers of financial assets. Additionally, the statement requires additional disclosures about a sponsor's involvement with variable interest entities. The disclosure requirements are effective for periods ending after December 15, 2008. The Company has adopted the principles of ASC Topic 860 and has included such additional disclosures in the notes to the consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2008.

In December 2008, the FASB issued FSP No. FAS 132(R)-1, Employers' Disclosures about Postretirement Benefit Plan Assets (Currently ASC Topic 715 Compensation - Retirement Benefits) which provides additional guidance regarding required disclosures for plan assets of a defined benefit pension or other postretirement plan. The statement is effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2009. The Company will be required to adopt the principles of ASC Topic 715 in the fourth quarter of 2009 and will include the additional disclosures in the notes to the consolidated financial statements for the year ending December 31, 2009.

In April 2009, the FASB issued updated guidance on interim disclosures about the fair value of financial instruments effective for interim periods ending after June 15, 2009. The guidance, which is outlined in ASC Topic 825 Financial Instruments, did not have an impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP FAS 115-2 (ASC Topic 320-10) and FAS 124-2, (ASC Topic 958-320) Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment (FSP 115-2/124-2). FSP 115-2/124-2 amends the requirements for the recognition and measurement of other-than-temporary impairments for debt securities by modifying the pre-existing "intent and ability" indicator. Under FSP 115-2/124-2, an other-than-temporary impairment is triggered when there is an intent to sell the security, it is more likely than not that the security will be required to be sold before recovery, or the security is not expected to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security. Additionally, FSP 115-2/124-2 changes the presentation of other-than-temporary impairment in the income statement for those impairments involving credit losses. The credit loss component will be recognized in earnings and the remainder of the impairment will be recorded in other comprehensive income. FSP 115-2/124-2 is effective for us beginning in the second quarter of fiscal year 2009. Upon implementation at the beginning of the second quarter of 2009, FSP 115-2/124-2 did not have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In May 2009, the FASB issued guidance on accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. The guidance, which is outlined in ASC Topic 855 Subsequent Events, establishes the period after the balance sheet date during which management of a reporting entity should evaluate events or transactions that may occur for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements, the circumstances under which an entity should recognize events or transactions occurring after the balance sheet date in its financial statements, and the disclosures that an entity should make about events or transactions that occurred after the balance sheet date. The adoption of these changes did not have an impact on our consolidated financial statements because the Company already followed a similar approach prior to the adoption of this guidance.

In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued the FASB Accounting Standards Codification (ASC), The Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles Topic ASC 105-10. The Company implemented the guidance in the third quarter of 2009 which stipulates the Codification as the authoritative version of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification (Codification) as the single source of authoritative nongovernmental U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP). The statement is effective for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. The Company updated its references to GAAP in its consolidated financial statements issued for the period ended September 30, 2009. As the Codification was not intended to change or alter existing GAAP, it did not have any impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued Statement No. 167, Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R) (ASC Topic 810-10). Statement 167 amends the evaluation criteria to identify the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity provided by FASB Interpretation No. 46(R), Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities—An Interpretation of ARB No. 51. Additionally, Statement 167 requires ongoing reassessments of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary of the variable interest entity. The Company will adopt Statement 167 in fiscal year 2011 and is currently evaluating the impact of its pending adoption on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

#### Seasonality

In general seasonal factors do not have a significant direct effect on our business as most of our drilling units are contracted for periods of at least 12 months. However, we have operations in certain parts of the world where weather conditions during parts of the year could adversely impact on the operational utilization of the rigs and our ability to relocate rigs between drilling locations, and limit contract opportunities in the short term. Such adverse weather could include the hurricane season for our operations in the Gulf of Mexico, the winter season in offshore Norway, and the monsoon season in Southeast Asia.

#### Inflation

Most of our contracts for drilling and well services include provision for rates to be adjusted annually in line with inflation. Accordingly, we do not consider inflation to be a significant risk to profitability in the current and foreseeable economic environment, although it will have a moderate effect on operating and administration costs.

## A. RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009, compared to the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008.

The following table sets forth the Company's operating results for the nine months ended September 30, 2009, and the nine months ended September 30, 2008.

In US\$millions	Nine months ended September 30, 2009 (unaudited)				Nine months ended September 30, 2008 (unaudited)			
	Mobile units	Tender rigs	Well services	Total	Mobile units	Tender rigs	Well services	Total
Total operating revenues	1,614	304	457	2,375	833	240	454	1,527
Gain on sale of assets	21	-	-	21	80	-	-	80
Total operating expenses	831	160	414	1,405	543	156	413	1,112
Operating income	804	144	43	991	370	84	41	495
Interest expense				(165)				(88)
Other financial items				246				186
Income before taxes				1,072				593
Income taxes				(120)				(29)
Net income				952				564

Total operating revenues

Nine months ended September 30,

In US \$millions	2009	2008	Increase	
Mobile units	1,614	833	+94	%
Tender rigs	304	240	+27	%
Well services	457	454	+1	%
Total operating revenues	2,375	1,527	+56	%

Total operating revenues increased from \$1.53 billion in the first nine months of 2008 to \$2.38 billion for the first nine months of 2009. Total operating revenues are predominantly contract revenues, with additional relatively small amounts of reimbursables and other revenue.

Total operating revenues in the mobile unit segment increased by \$781 million to \$1.61 billion in nine months period 2009. The number of drilling units in the mobile units segment increased from thirteen at September 30, 2008, to nineteen at September 30, 2009. Five new semi-submersible rigs were delivered and started operation during the period (West Phoenix, West Hercules, West Aquarius, West Taurus and West Eminence) along with two ultra-deepwater drillships (West Polaris and West Capella). The jack-up rig West Titania was sold. Although these units were delivered over the course of the year and some did not contribute fully to operating revenues during the

year, the additional revenue generated by the new units, net of the rig sold, amounted to \$815 million. Average economic utilization of the fleet decreased from 92% in the first nine months of 2008 to 80% in the first nine months of 2009. The decrease is related to several of our jack-up units being stacked in the period as well the expected low economic utilization associated with start-up for some of our new units. Average dayrates increased from \$238,000 for the first nine months of 2008 to \$317,000 for the first nine months of 2009.

In the tender rig operating segment, operating revenues increased from \$240 million in the first nine months of 2008 to \$304 million in first nine months of 2009. The increase was mainly related to increased dayrates. The average dayrates for the first nine months of 2009 were \$117,000 per day, an increase of \$26,000 per day compared to the same period in 2008. The delivery of the tender rig T11, which began operations in the second quarter of 2008 also contributed to the increase. These dayrate increases were partly offset by a decline in economic utilization from 98% in the first nine months of 2008 to 95% in the first nine months of 2009.

Total operating revenues for well services increased from \$454 million in the first nine months of 2008 to \$457 million in the first nine months of 2009. A significant portion of well services activity takes place in Norway and operating revenues in Norwegian Kroner increased from NOK 2,438 million in the first nine months of 2008 to NOK 2,963 million in the first nine months of 2009. The increase in revenue resulted from generally stable activity levels along with contributions from new businesses acquired in the first half of 2008 – see Item 4.A "History and Development of the Company – Acquisitions".

#### Gain on sale of assets

In the first nine months of 2009 the jack-up rig West Ceres was sold and a gain of \$21 million was recorded. In the same period for 2008, the jack-up rig West Titania was sold and a gain of \$80 million was recorded. These two units were both in the mobile units operating segment.

#### Total operating expenses

In US\$millions	Nine months ended September 30,			
	2009	2008	Increase	
Mobile units	831	543	+53	%
Tender rigs	160	156	+3	%
Well services	414	413	+0	%
Total operating expenses	1,405	1,112	+26	%

Total operating expenses increased from \$1.11 billion in the first nine months of 2008 to \$1.41 billion in the first nine months of 2009. There were increases within all three operating segments, although only marginally increases in the tender rig segment and the well services segment. Total operating expenses consist of rig operating expenses, depreciation, reimbursable expenses and general and administration expenses. Total general and administration expenses increased to \$102 million in the first nine months of 2009 compared to with \$95 million in same period of 2008. Reimbursable expenses in each segment were closely in line with reimbursable revenues.

Total operating expenses for the mobile units operating segment increased 53% from \$543 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2008 to \$831 billion in the nine months ended September 30, 2009. Vessel and rig operating expenses increased by \$153 million in the same period mainly reflected the new units that came into operations. Reimbursable expenses are closely linked up to reimbursable revenues and we normally earn a margin of 5% to 10%. Depreciation and amortization increased from \$117 million in the first nine months of 2008 to \$245 million in the first nine months of 2009. Of the increase of \$128 million, \$108 million was related to our newbuildings delivered in 2009 while the majority of the remaining \$20 million was related to newbuildings delivered during 2008 for which we expensed a full period of depreciation in the first nine months of 2009 compared to partial in the same period of 2008. General and administrative expenses increased from \$68 million in the first nine months of 2008 to \$77 million in the first nine months of 2009. The increase is related to our expansion which has made it necessary to increase the corporate staff and established new offices in different region.



Total operating expenses in the tender rig segment increased from \$156 million in the first nine months of 2008 to \$160 million in the first nine months of 2009. The increase is primarily related to the delivery of the tender rig T11 in the second quarter of 2008. In 2009 the unit has normal operating expenses as compared to last year where the unit incurred expenses only from delivery in the second quarter of 2008. The expenses for other operating costs have experienced no material changes in the period to period comparison.

Total operating expenses increased marginally in the well services segment from \$413 million in the first nine months of 2008 to \$414 million in the first nine months of 2009. Within this amount operating expenses decreased from \$319 million for the first nine months of 2008 to \$292 million for the first nine months of 2009. The decrease reflected a similar reduction in the operating revenues leaving the operating margin approximately at the same level.

Reimbursable expenses increased from \$68 million in the first nine months of 2008 to \$90 million in the first nine months of 2009. Reimbursable expenses are closely linked up to reimbursable revenues and the numbers could fluctuate from period to period; however we normally earn a margin of approximately 5% within the well services segment.

#### Interest expense

Interest expense increased from \$88 million in the first nine months of 2008 to \$165 million in the first nine months of 2009, as a result of less interest capitalized in the first nine months of 2009. Interest costs incurred during the construction of newbuildings are capitalized, and capitalized interest amounted to \$114 million in the first nine months of 2008 compared with \$44 million in the first nine months of 2009. The increase in interest bearing debt from September 30, 2008, to September 30, 2009, has also contributed to the increase.

#### Other financial items

In US\$millions	Nine months ended September 30,		Change	
	2009	2008		
Interest income	61	20	+205	%
Share in results of associated companies	63	27	+133	%
Gain on sale of associated companies	-	150	n/a	
Gain / (loss) on derivative financial instruments	101	(90 )	n/a	
Foreign exchange gain (loss)	(30 )	54	n/a	
Other financial items	51	25	+104	%
Total other financial items	246	186	+32	%

n/a – percentage change has not been calculated as it is not considered to be meaningful due to one off or exceptional items

Interest income increased by \$41 million to \$61 million in the first nine months of 2009 compared to the same period the preceding year. The increase is primarily related to interest earned on the investment in 81% of the Petromena NOK2 billion bond acquired at the end of first quarter 2009.

The share in results of associated companies increased in the first nine months of 2009 to \$63 million, an increase of \$35 million compared to the same period in the preceding year. The increase is related to a higher net income in our associated companies.

In the first nine months of 2008 a gain of \$150 million was recorded on the disposal of shares in Apexindo.

There was a gain on derivative financial instruments of \$101 million in the first nine months of 2009, compared with a loss of \$90 million in the first nine months of 2008. We have entered into interest rate swaps, forward exchange contracts and total return swap agreements, none of which is accounted for as hedge accounting. The gain in 2009 and the loss in 2008 reflect movements in interest rates, exchange rates and our share price in these periods.

In the first nine months of 2009 there was a foreign exchange loss of \$30 million compared to a gain of \$54 million in the same period in 2008. The loss in 2009 is primarily related to the weakening of the US dollar against the Norwegian kroner, which adversely affects the value of our debt denominated in Norwegian kroner.

Other financial items amounted to \$51 million for the nine months period ending September 30, 2009. This includes Seahawk shares received as dividend in kind from Pride amounting to approximately \$25 million, and a realized gain on the partial redemption of the Petromena NOK2 billion bond.

#### Income taxes

Income taxes amounted to a net cost of \$120 million in the first nine months of 2009 as compared to \$29 million in first nine months of 2008. The Company's effective tax rate increased from approximately 4.9% for the first nine months of 2008 to approximately 11.2% for the same period in 2009. The increase in the effective tax rate is principally due to a higher portion of our income being generated in taxable (versus nontaxable) jurisdictions or in taxable jurisdictions with higher tax rates. Specifically, the Company's recent start up of deepwater units operations in Indonesia and Nigeria, the increased rig operations in Brazil and Norway and the commencement of full operations in China for the reporting period have all contributed to additional taxable income in the first nine months of 2009. Several of the new drilling operations are in countries that tax the drilling operations based on deemed taxation basis, leading to an increase in tax costs compared with the previous year. In addition, the first nine months of 2008 included a nontaxable gain of \$150 million recorded on the disposal of shares in Apexindo.

Significant parts of the Company's income and costs are reported in nontaxable jurisdictions such as Bermuda. The drilling rig operations are normally carried out in taxable jurisdictions. In the tax jurisdictions where the Company operates, the corporate tax rate ranges from 16% to 35% (on earned income) and the deemed tax rate varies from 5% to 8% of revenues. Further, losses in one tax jurisdiction may not be offset against taxable income in other jurisdictions. Accordingly, our effective tax rate may differ significantly from period to period depending on the level of activity in and mix of each of the tax jurisdictions in which our operations are conducted.

Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2008, compared to Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2007.

The following table sets forth the Company's operating results for 2008 and 2007:

In US \$millions	Year ended December 31, 2008				Year ended December 31, 2007			
	Mobile units	Tender rigs	Well services	Total	Mobile units	Tender rigs	Well services	Total
Total operating revenues	1,144	342	620	2,106	837	266	449	1,552
Gain on sale of assets	80	-	-	80	124	-	-	124
Total operating expenses	756	216	565	1,537	612	169	406	1,187
Operating income	468	126	55	649	349	97	43	489
Interest expense				(130)				(113)
Other financial items				(619)				11
Income before taxes				(100)				387

Edgar Filing: SeaDrill LTD - Form 20FR12B

Income taxes	(48)	78
Gain on issuance of shares by subsidiary	25	50
Net income	(123)	515

52

---

## Total operating revenues

In US \$millions	2008	2007	Increase
Mobile units	1,144	837	+37%
Tender rigs	342	266	+29%
Well services	620	449	+38%
Total operating revenues	2,106	1,552	+36%

Total operating revenues increased from \$1.55 billion in 2007 to \$2.11 billion in 2008, with increases in all three operating segments.

Total operating revenues in the mobile unit segment increased by \$307 million to \$1.14 billion in 2008. The number of drilling units in the mobile units segment increased from 10 at December 31, 2007, to 14 at December 31, 2008. Two new semi-submersible rigs were delivered and started operation during the year (West Sirius and West Hercules) along with one ultra-deepwater drillship (West Polaris) and two jack-up rigs (West Triton and West Ariel). The jack-up rig West Titania was sold. Although these units were delivered over the course of the year and some did not contribute fully to operating revenues during the year, the additional revenue generated by the new units, net of the rig sold, amounted to \$208 million. The economic utilization of the mobile units fleet increased overall from 86% in 2007 to 88% in 2008. Average dayrates were also higher in 2008, although in the latter part of the year the jack-up rig market weakened to some extent, resulting in lower dayrates as well as periods with idle units.

In the tender rig operating segment, operating revenues increased from \$266 million in 2007 to \$342 million in 2008. The increase was partly due to the delivery of the newbuilding tender rig T11, which began operations in the second quarter of 2008 and contributed \$29 million in revenue. In addition, average dayrates for the tender rig fleet were higher in 2008, although economic utilization declined from 100% in 2007 to 98% in 2008.

Total operating revenues for well services increased from \$449 million (NOK 2,728 million) in 2007 to \$620 million (NOK3,625 million) in 2008, mainly as a result of higher activity levels in continuing operations and significant contributions from businesses acquired in the year.

## Gain on sale of assets

A gain on sale of assets of \$80 million was recorded in 2008, arising from the sale of the jack-up rig West Titania. In 2007, a \$124 million gain on sale of assets resulted from the sale of the two FPSO's Crystal Ocean and Crystal Sea. These three units were all in the mobile units operating segment.

## Total operating expenses

In US\$millions	2008	2007	Increase
Mobile units	756	612	+24%
Tender rigs	216	169	+28%
Well services	565	406	+39%
Total operating expenses	1,537	1,187	+29%

Total operating expenses increased from \$1.19 billion in 2007 to \$1.54 billion in 2008, with increases in all three operating segments. Total operating expenses consist of rig operating expenses, depreciation, reimbursable expenses and general and administration expenses. Total general and administration expenses increased to \$127 million in 2008 compared with \$110 million in 2007. Reimbursable expenses in each segment were closely in line with reimbursable revenues.

Total operating expenses in the mobile units segment increased from \$612 million in 2007 to \$756 million in 2008. Vessel and rig operating expenses increased by \$86 million in the same period mainly reflecting the expenses of the new units that came into operation during the 2008 period. Reimbursable expenses are at the same level on a year to year comparison and the margin is in the range of 5% to 10%. Depreciation and amortization increased from \$135 million in 2007 to \$173 million in 2008. Of the increase of \$38 million, \$25 million was related to our newbuildings delivered in 2008, while the majority of the remaining \$13 million was related to newbuildings delivered during 2007 for which we have expensed a full year of depreciation in 2008 compared to only part of the year in 2007. General and administrative expenses for the mobile units segment increased from \$73 million in 2007 to \$92 million in 2008. The increase is related to an increase in geographical operations which require larger onshore support.

Total operating expenses for the tender rig segment increased from \$169 million in 2007 to \$216 million in 2008. Vessel and rig operating expenses increased in the same period from \$101 million to \$134 million. The increase of \$33 million is primarily related to the new unit T11, which commenced drilling operations in the second quarter of 2008. Depreciation and amortization amounted to 42 million in 2008, an increase of \$3 million compared to the preceding year. The increase is related to the delivery of T11.

Total operating expenses for the well services division increased from \$286 million in 2007 to \$425 million in 2008. The increase is related to a corresponding increase in operating revenues. Depreciation and amortization increased from \$9 million in 2007 to \$19 million in 2008. Well Services has been involved in several acquisitions during 2008. The acquired companies have owned a significant amount of fixed assets that are depreciated based on a straight line basis, which has contributed to the increase.

## Interest expense

Interest expense increased from \$113 million in 2007 to \$130 million in 2008 as a result of the increase in interest bearing debt used to finance new drilling units and acquisitions, partly offset by the 1.8% reduction in the weighted average interest rate payable in the year. In addition to the interest expense, interest costs incurred during the construction of newbuildings are capitalized, and capitalized interest amounted to \$153 million in 2008 compared with \$134 million in 2007.

## Other financial items

In US\$millions	2008	2007	Change
Interest income	31	24	+29%
Share in results of associated companies	15	23	-35%
Gain on sale of associated companies	150	-	n/a
Impairment loss on marketable securities and investments in associated companies	(615)	-	n/a
Gain / (loss) on derivative financial instruments	(353)	7	n/a
Foreign exchange gain (loss)	131	(53)	n/a
Other financial items	22	10	+120%
Total other financial items	(619)	11	n/a.

n/a – percentage change has not been calculated as it is not considered to be meaningful due to one off or exceptional items

Interest income increased in 2008 as a result of increased levels of cash on deposit, consisting mainly of restricted cash.

The share in results of associated companies declined in 2008 due to the disposal during the year of our interest in Apexindo and the liquidation of Lisme AS, a Norwegian holding company in which we had a 44% interest, in 2007. The sale of shares in Apexindo resulted in a gain on disposal of \$150 million.

At December 31, 2008, we beneficially owned shares, including share purchase agreements, in Pride, Scorpion and SapuraCrest. At December 31, 2008, we determined that the fair value of these investments was below their carrying value and that there was little prospect for a recovery in values in 2009. Accordingly, in 2008 we recognized an impairment charge of \$615 million relating to these investments.

We have entered into interest rate swaps, forward exchange contracts and total return swap agreements, or TRS, none of which is accounted for as hedge accounting. Most of these arrangements were established in 2008 and the fair value of these derivative financial instruments at December 31, 2008, is reflected in the consolidated financial statements, resulting in fair value losses totaling \$353 million. Of this total, \$177 million arises from mark-to-market adjustments on our interest rate swaps (notional principal \$1.78 billion at December 31, 2008) and \$117 million from mark-to-market adjustments on our forward exchange contracts (forward sales of \$0.47 billion at December 31, 2008). The remaining \$59 million loss relates to our TRS agreement indexed to the market price of 4,500,000 of our common shares.

The foreign exchange gain in 2008 mainly results from debt denominated in Norwegian kroner and the weakening of the Norwegian kroner against the U.S. dollar.

Other financial items consist of gains on the sale of marketable securities.

## Income taxes

Income taxes amounted to a net cost of \$48 million in 2008. In 2007, income taxes amounted to a net income of \$78 million, mainly as a result of the restructuring of several rig-owning companies, which resulted in a non-recurring tax benefit of \$75 million. For 2008, restructuring of rig ownership resulted in a non-recurring tax benefit of \$43 million compared to a benefit of \$75 million realized in 2007. The change in the Company's effective tax rate from a benefit

of approximately 20.2% in 2007 to a cost of approximately 48.5% in 2008 was principally due to a higher portion of our income being generated in taxable jurisdictions in 2008, a smaller benefit arising from the restructuring of the Company's rig-assets, and the nondeductible impairment loss on marketable securities which offset the nontaxable gain on the disposal of Apexindo shares earlier in the year. Impairment losses on marketable securities and gains and losses on the sales of shares in associated companies are reported in nontaxable jurisdictions. The Company's recent start up of jack up operations in Australia contributed to increased current tax in 2008.



Significant parts of the Company's income and costs are reported in nontaxable jurisdictions such as Bermuda. The drilling rig operations are normally carried out in taxable jurisdictions. In the tax jurisdictions where the Company operates the corporate tax rate ranges from 16% to 35% (on earned income) and the deemed tax rate varies from 5% to 8% of revenues. Further, losses in one tax jurisdiction may not be offset against taxable income in other jurisdictions. Accordingly, our effective tax rate may differ significantly from period to period depending on the level of activity in and mix of each of the tax jurisdictions in which our operations are conducted.

#### Gain on issuance of shares by subsidiary

Our subsidiary Seawell concluded share issuances in both 2008 and 2007, raising a total of NOK190 million in 2008 and NOK275 million in 2007. We did not fully participate in the 2008 share issuance and as a result our holding in Seawell was reduced from 80% to 74%. We did not participate in the 2007 share issuance, which resulted in a reduction in our holding from 100% to 80%. These share issuances resulted in gains of \$25 million and \$50 million being recorded in 2008 and 2007, respectively. Due to a change in U.S. GAAP, any gains arising on the future issue of shares by Seawell while it is our subsidiary will be accounted for in shareholders equity and not in the statement of operations.

Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2007, compared to Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2006.

The following table sets forth the Company's operating results for 2007 and 2006:

In US\$millions	Year ended December 31, 2007				Year ended December 31, 2006			
	Mobile units	Tender rigs	Well services	Total	Mobile units	Tender rigs	Well services	Total
Total operating revenues	837	266	449	1,552	638	179	338	1,155
Gain on sale of assets	124	-	-	124	-	-	-	-
Total operating expenses	612	169	406	1,187	500	122	307	929
Operating income	349	97	43	489	138	57	31	226
Interest expense				(113)				(80)
Other financial items				11				121
Income before taxes				387				267
Income taxes				78				(22)
Gain on issuance of shares by subsidiary				50				-
Net income				515				245

#### Total operating revenues

In US\$millions	2007	2006	Increase
Mobile units	837	638	+31%
Tender rigs	266	179	+49%
Well services	449	338	+33%
Total operating revenues	1,552	1,155	+34%

Operating revenues in the mobile units segment increased by \$199 million to \$837 million in 2007. The increase was partly due to the delivery in 2007 of the jack-up rigs West Prospero and West Atlas, with these new units contributing

\$55 million of the additional revenue. In addition, we operated on a charter basis the Japanese scientific drillship Chikyu throughout 2007, which contributed approximately \$80 million to the increase in revenues. Several units started new contracts in 2007 at higher dayrates than the preceding contracts. Average economic utilization rates decreased from 94% in 2006 to 86% in 2007.

In the tender rig operating segment, operating revenues increased from \$179 million in 2006 to \$266 million in 2007. The increase was due to the delivery of West Berani in December 2006, which contributed \$45 million of the additional revenue, as well as an overall increase in dayrates for the tender rig fleet and an increase in economic utilization from 98% to 100%.

Total operating revenues for well services increased from \$338 million in 2006 to \$449 million in 2007, mainly as a result of increased activity within existing contracts, particularly in the engineering division.

#### Gain on sale of assets

There was a gain of \$124 million on the sale of assets in 2007, resulting from the sale of the two FPSO's Crystal Ocean and Crystal Sea. No gains on sale of assets were recorded in 2006. The two FPSO's sold were assets of the mobile units operating segment.

#### Total operating expenses

In US\$millions	2007	2006	Increase
Mobile units	612	500	+22%
Tender rigs	169	122	+39%
Well services	406	307	+32%
Total operating expenses	1,187	929	+28%

Total operating expenses increased from \$0.93 billion in 2006 to \$1.19 billion in 2007, with increases in all three operating segments. Total general and administration expenses increased to \$110 million in 2007 compared with \$70 million in 2006. Reimbursable expenses in each segment were closely in line with reimbursable revenues.

In the mobile units operating segment total operating expenses increased 22% from \$500 million in 2006 to \$612 million in 2007. Vessel and rig operating expenses increased by \$94 million in the same period mainly reflected the two new jack-ups that came into operation in 2007 as well as the Japanese drillship Chikyuu. Reimbursable expenses decreased by \$17 million and are linked to the reimbursable revenues. Depreciation and amortization increases from \$127 million in 2006 to \$135 million. The increase is mainly related to the two new units that were delivered during 2007.

In the tender rig segment total operating expenses increased 39% from \$122 million in 2006 to \$169 million in 2007. Vessel and rig operating expenses increased from \$69 million in 2006 to \$101 million in 2007. The increase is primarily related to West Berani delivered in December 2006 as well as increased costs as the consequence of higher utilization and thereby increased costs during the year. Depreciation and amortization increased by \$5 million reflecting the new unit delivered late 2006 and depreciated from January 2007.

In the well services segment operating expenses increased from \$237 million in 2006 to \$286 million in 2007. The increase is related to higher activity which created increased operating expenses. Reimbursable expenses fluctuate from period to period and in 2007 they increased by \$46 million to \$95 million compared to 2006. The margin when comparing to reimbursable revenues was at about the same level. Depreciation and amortization increased from \$7 million to \$9 million due to the acquisition of some drilling equipment.

## Interest expense

Interest expense increased from \$80 million in 2006 to \$113 million in 2007 as a result of the increase in interest bearing debt used to finance new drilling units and acquisitions, and the 0.7% increase in the weighted average interest rate payable in the year.

## Other financial items

In US\$millions	2007	2006	Change
Interest income	24	14	+71%
Share in results of associated companies	23	27	-15%
Gain on derivative financial instruments	7	-	n/a
Foreign exchange gain (loss)	(53)	(4)	n/a
Other financial items	10	84	-88%
Total other financial items	11	121	-91%

n/a – percentage change has not been calculated as it is not considered to be meaningful due to one off or exceptional items

Interest income increased in 2007 as a result of higher interest rates, as well as a higher cash balances in 2007 compared to 2006.

The share in results of associated companies declined in 2007, mainly due to the liquidation in March 2007 of Lisme AS.

The foreign exchange loss in 2007 mainly resulted from debt denominated in Norwegian kroner and the strengthening of the Norwegian kroner against the U.S. dollar.

Other financial items consist of gains on the sale of marketable securities, which in 2006 included a gain of \$80 million on the sale of shares in Ocean Rig ASA, an offshore drilling company previously listed on the Oslo Stock Exchange.

## Income taxes

Income taxes amounted to a net income of \$78 million in 2007, compared to a net cost of \$22 million in 2006. In 2007 a restructuring of several rig-owning companies resulted in a non-recurring tax benefit of \$75 million for which there was no corresponding benefit in 2006. The change in the Company's effective tax rate from a cost of approximately 8.4% in 2006 to a benefit of approximately 20.2% in 2007 was principally due to the restructuring of the Company's rig-owning subsidiaries.

Significant parts of the Company's income and costs are reported in nontaxable jurisdictions such as Bermuda. The drilling rig operations are normally carried out in taxable jurisdictions. In the tax jurisdictions where the Company operates the corporate tax rate ranges from 16% to 35% (on earned income) and the deemed tax rate varies from 5% to 8% of revenues. Further, losses in one tax jurisdiction may not be offset against taxable income in other jurisdictions. Accordingly, our effective tax rate may differ significantly from period to period depending on the level of activity in and mix of each of the tax jurisdictions in which our operations are conducted.



#### Gain on issuance of shares by subsidiary

Our subsidiary Seawell concluded a share issuance in 2007, raising a total of NOK275 million. We did not participate in this issuance, resulting in a pro rata dilution in our holding from 100% to 80%. The share issuance resulted in a gain of \$50 million being recorded in 2007.

#### Recent Developments

On February 25, 2010, we reported updated unaudited financial information related to the fourth quarter of 2009, which is attached hereto as Appendix A of the Financial Statements.

### B. LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

We operate in a capital intensive industry. Our purchase of the units acquired from Greenwich, discussed above in Item 4.A – "History and Development of the Company", was financed through a combination of equity raised and debt issued. Our subsequent investment in new buildings and our acquisition of other companies have been financed through a combination of equity issuances, bond and convertible bond offerings, and borrowings from commercial banks. Our liquidity requirements relate to servicing our debt, funding investment in drilling units, funding working capital requirements and maintaining adequate cash reserves to mitigate the effects of fluctuations in operating cash flows. Most of our contract and other revenues are received monthly in arrears, and most of our operating costs are paid on a monthly basis.

Our funding and treasury activities are conducted within corporate policies to maximize returns while maintaining appropriate liquidity for our requirements. Cash and cash equivalents are held mainly in U.S. dollars, Norwegian Kroner, Singapore dollars and Pound Sterling.

Our short-term liquidity requirements relate to servicing our debt and funding working capital requirements. Sources of liquidity include cash balances, restricted cash balances, short-term investments, amounts available under revolving credit facilities and contract and other revenues. We believe that contract and other revenues will generate sufficient cash flow to fund our anticipated debt service and working capital requirements for the short and medium term.

Our long-term liquidity requirements include funding the equity portion of investments in new drilling units, and repayment of long term debt balances including those relating to the following borrowings of the Company and its consolidated subsidiaries:

#### Secured credit facilities

- \$185 million secured term loan facility due 2010
- \$800 million secured term loan facility due 2011
- \$100 million secured term loan facility due 2010
- \$585 million secured term loan facility due 2012
- \$1.50 billion secured term loan facility due 2013
- NOK1.50 billion senior term loan facility due 2012
- \$100 million secured term loan facility due 2014
- \$1.50 billion senior secured term loan facility due 2014

#### Ship Finance secured credit facilities

- \$170 million secured term loan facility due 2013 (VIE)

- \$700 million secured term loan facility due 2013 (VIE)
- \$1.40 billion secured term loan facility due 2013 (VIE)

Unsecured bonds

- \$30 million unsecured bond due 2012
- NOK500 million unsecured bond due 2012
- NOK800 million unsecured bond due 2011

#### Convertible bonds

- \$1.00 billion 3.625% unsecured convertible bonds due 2012
- \$500 million 4.875% unsecured convertible bonds due 2014

#### CIRR loans

- NOK1.75 billion Commercial Interest Reference Rate ("CIRR") credit facilities due 2016
- NOK1.01 billion CIRR credit facilities due 2020

At September 30, 2009, we had remaining contractual commitments relating to newbuilding contracts totaling \$1.74 billion (December 31, 2008: \$2.89 billion).

As of September 30, 2009, we had cash and cash equivalents totaling \$1.28 billion, including \$0.53 billion of restricted cash. In the nine months ended September 30, 2009, we generated cash from operations of \$1.19 billion, used \$1.06 billion in investing activities and raised \$0.24 billion through financing activities. At December 31, 2008, we had cash and cash equivalents totaling \$1.00 billion (2007: \$1.01 billion), including \$0.63 billion of restricted cash (2007: \$0.02 billion). In the year ended December 31, 2008, we generated cash from operations of \$0.40 billion (2007: \$0.49 billion), used \$3.85 billion in investing activities (2007: \$1.87 billion) and raised \$2.83 billion through financing activities (2007: \$2.17 billion).

During the year ended December 31, 2008, we paid cash dividends of \$1.75 per common share, or a total of \$0.69 billion (2007: nil). No dividends were paid in the nine months ended September 30, 2009. A dividend of \$0.50 per common share was declared on November 5, 2009, totaling \$0.20 billion and was paid on December 7, 2009. A dividend of \$0.55 per common share was declared on February 25, 2010, totaling \$0.22 billion and is payable on March 26, 2010.

To the extent that we enter into significant further investments and/or newbuilding commitments we expect that we will require additional issuances of equity and/or new debt to meet our capital requirements. Without these new investments, we believe that the cash that we generate from our operations will be sufficient to cover our existing commitments to fund newbuildings, support our projected growth including meeting our working capital needs, as well as permit us to pay dividends to our stockholders and to pay our debt in accordance with the existing maturity profile. - see Item 8 "Financial Information – Dividend Policy". A deterioration in our operating performance, inability to obtain cost efficiencies, lack of success in adding new contracts to our backlog, failure to complete our remaining newbuilding program on time and within budget, as well as numerous other factors detailed above in "Risk Factors" could limit our ability to further the growth of our business, to meet working capital requirements, and to pay dividends.

We plan to pay our debt as it becomes due however, our leverage ratio will largely be dependent upon our contract backlog and financial outlook. Any decision to refinance debt maturing the next several years will be taken in the light of the above factors and we believe it is likely that we will refinance a portion of our debt.

Seadrill Limited, as the parent company of its operating subsidiaries, is not a party to any drilling contracts directly and is therefore dependent on receiving cash distributions from its subsidiaries to meet its payment obligations. Cash dividend payments are regularly transferred by the various subsidiaries. Surplus cash held in subsidiaries is transferred to Seadrill Limited by intercompany loans and/or dividend payments.





## Borrowings

As of September 30, 2009, we had total outstanding borrowings of \$7.90 billion under our credit facilities, at an average interest rate of 2.78%. Outstanding borrowings at December 31, 2008, totaled \$7.44 billion (2007: \$4.60 billion) at an average interest rate of 3.53% (2007: 5.74%).

In February 2005, Smedvig raised US\$30.0 million through the issuance of a seven year bond which matures in February 2012. The bond bears quarterly interest of London Inter-Bank Offer Rate, or LIBOR, plus a margin.

In July 2005, we entered into a \$185 million secured term loan facility to partly fund the acquisition of two jack-up rigs under construction. At September 30, 2009, the outstanding balance was \$52 million (December 31, 2008: \$72 million; 2007: \$98 million). The facility bears interest at LIBOR plus a margin and is repayable over a term of five years.

In August 2005, we entered into an \$800 million secured term loan facility with a syndicate of banks. The loan is secured with first priority assignments in the two semi-submersible drilling units West Phoenix and West Eminence. At September 30, 2009, the outstanding balance was \$747 million (December 31, 2008: \$668 million; 2007: \$465 million). The terms of the loan agreement were amended in November 2009. The facility consists of several tranches with differing interest rates and repayment schedules, and each tranche bears interest at LIBOR plus a margin and is repayable over a term of four years. Final payments totaling \$216 million are due on maturity in December 2013.

In September 2005, we raised NOK500 million through the issuance of a seven year bond, which matures in September 2012. The bond bears quarterly interest of NIBOR (Norwegian Inter-Bank Offer Rate) plus a margin. At September 30, 2009, the outstanding balance was \$86 million (December 31, 2008: \$71 million; 2007: \$93 million).

In October 2005, we entered into a \$100 million secured term loan facility to partly fund the acquisition of newbuilding jack-up rigs. At September 30, 2009, the outstanding balance was \$85 million (December 31, 2008: \$92 million; 2007: \$100 million). The facility bears interest at LIBOR plus a margin and is repayable over a term of five years.

In December 2006, we entered into a \$585 million secured term loan facility with a syndicate of banks to refinance existing credit facilities and raise funds for general corporate purposes. Eight tender rigs were pledged as security. At September 30, 2009, the outstanding balance was \$449 million (December 31, 2008: \$486 million; 2007: \$535 million). The facility bears interest at LIBOR plus a margin and is repayable over a term of six years. A final payment of \$300 million is due on maturity.

In February 2007, our fully consolidated VIE Rig Finance II Ltd (which is wholly-owned by Ship Finance, a related party) entered into a \$170 million secured term loan facility with a syndicate of banks, in order to partly fund the acquisition of the jack-up rig West Prospero. At September 30, 2009, the outstanding amount under the facility was \$113 million (December 31, 2008: \$121 million; 2007: \$150 million). The facility bears interest at LIBOR plus a margin and is repayable over a term of six years. The facility is secured by the assets of Rig Finance II Ltd.

In June 2007, we entered into a \$1.50 billion secured term loan facility with a syndicate of banks to refinance an existing credit facility and raise funds for general corporate purposes. The drilling rigs West Alpha, West Epsilon, West Navigator and West Venture were pledged as security. At September 30, 2009, the outstanding balance was \$1.24 billion (December 31, 2008: \$1.34 billion; 2007: \$1.47 billion). The facility bears interest at LIBOR plus a margin and is repayable over a term of seven years. A final payment of \$600 million is due on maturity.



In November 2007, we issued at par \$1.00 billion of convertible bonds, the proceeds of which were used to fund our construction program and for general corporate purposes. Interest on the bonds is fixed at 3.625% per annum, payable semi-annually in arrears. The bonds are convertible into Seadrill Limited common shares by the holders at any time up to 10 banking days prior to November 8, 2012. The conversion price set at the time of issuance was \$34.474 per share, representing a 45% premium to the share price at the time. Since then, dividend distributions have reduced the conversion price to \$30.78. Unless previously redeemed, converted or purchased and cancelled, the bonds mature in November 2012.

In December 2007, our 73.8% subsidiary Seawell entered into a NOK1.50 billion multi-tranche Senior Debt facility with a syndicate of banks to finance working capital. At September 30 2009 the amount outstanding under this facility was NOK1.43 billion, equivalent to \$245 million (December 31 2008: NOK1.43 billion equivalent to \$204 million; 2007: NOK0.75 billion equivalent to \$139 million). The facility bears interest at NIBOR plus a margin and is repayable over a term of five years.

In April 2008, we entered into a \$100 million secured term loan facility with two banks to partly fund the acquisition of a tender rig. At September 30, 2009, the outstanding amount on this facility was \$88 million (December 31, 2008: \$97 million). The facility bears interest at fixed rates and is repayable over a term of six years. A final payment of \$60 million is due on maturity.

In April 2008, we entered into a CIRR term loan for NOK850 million with Eksportfinans ASA, the Norwegian export credit agency. The loan bears interest at a fixed rate of 4.56% and is repayable over a term of eight years. The outstanding balance at September 30, 2009, was NOK750 million, equivalent to \$129 million (December 31, 2008: NOK800 million, equivalent to \$114 million).

In June 2008, we entered into a CIRR term loan for NOK904 million with Eksportfinans ASA. The loan bears fixed interest at a fixed rate of 4.15% and is repayable over a term of eight years. The outstanding balance at September 30, 2009, was NOK798 million, equivalent to \$137 million (December 31, 2008: NOK850 million, equivalent to \$121 million).

In July 2008, we entered into a CIRR term loan for NOK1.01 billion with Eksportfinans ASA. The loan bears fixed interest at a fixed rate of 4.15% and is repayable over a term of twelve years. The outstanding balance at September 30, 2009 was NOK0.93 billion, equivalent to \$160 million (December 31, 2008: NOK1.01 billion, equivalent to \$145 million).

In July 2008, our fully consolidated VIE SFL West Polaris Limited (which is wholly-owned by Ship Finance) entered into a \$700 million secured term loan facility with a syndicate of banks, in order to partly fund the acquisition of the newbuilding drillship West Polaris. At September 30, 2009 the outstanding balance under the facility was \$637 (December 31, 2008: \$688 million). The facility bears interest at LIBOR plus a margin and is repayable over a term of five years. The facility is secured by the assets of SFL West Polaris Limited.

In September 2008, our fully consolidated VIE SFL Deepwater Ltd (which is wholly-owned by Ship Finance) entered into a \$1.40 billion secured term loan facility with a syndicate of banks, in order to partly fund the acquisition of the two semi-submersible rigs West Taurus and West Hercules. At September 30, 2009, the outstanding balance under the facility was \$1.29 billion (December 31, 2008: \$1.14 billion). The facility bears interest at LIBOR plus a margin and is repayable over a term of five years. The facility is secured by the assets of SFL Deepwater Ltd.

In June 2009, we entered into a \$1.50 billion secured facility with a group of various commercial lending institutions and export credit agencies. The loan is secured by first priority mortgages on two ultra-deepwater semi-submersible drilling rigs (West Aquarius and West Sirius), one deepwater drillship (West Capella) and one jack-up drilling rig (West Ariel). The outstanding balance at September 30, 2009, was \$1.00 billion with \$0.45 billion still available to draw down. The facility bears interest at LIBOR plus a margin and is repayable over a term of five years.

In September 2009, we issued at par \$500 million of senior unsecured convertible bonds, the proceeds of which are intended to be used for future growth. Interest on the bonds is fixed at 4.875%, payable semi-annually in arrears. The bonds are convertible into Seadrill Limited common shares at any time up to time banking days prior to September 29, 2014. The conversion price at the time of issuance was \$25.18 per share, representing a 35% premium to the share price at the time. Since then, dividend distributions have reduced the conversion price to \$23.97. We have the right to redeem the bonds after the date falling three years and 21 days after the disbursement date, if our share price is greater than 130% of the prevailing conversion price for a specified period of time. For accounting purposes \$105 million has been allocated to the bond equity component and \$395 million to the bond liability component, due to the cash settlement option stipulated in the bond agreement. Unless previously redeemed, converted or purchased and cancelled, the bonds mature in September 2014.

In the nine month period ended September 30, 2009, we repaid in full (i) a short-term bridging loan (\$792 million outstanding at December 31, 2008), (ii) the loan entered into by our fully consolidated VIE Rig Finance Ltd to partly fund the acquisition of West Ceres, which was sold in July 2009 (\$107 million outstanding at December 31, 2008) and (iii) two floating rate bonds totaling NOK1.00 billion which matured (\$144 million outstanding at December 31, 2008).

In October 2009, we issued a NOK800 million senior unsecured two year bond. The bond bears interest at NIBOR plus a margin and the proceeds are for general corporate purposes.

In connection with the above three CIRR fixed interest term loans totaling NOK2.48 billion, three collateral cash deposits equal to the total outstanding loan balances have been established with commercial banks. The collateral cash deposits are reduced in parallel with repayments of the CIRR loans and receive fixed interest at the same rates as those paid on the CIRR loans. The collateral cash deposits are classified as "restricted cash" on the balance sheet, and the effect of these arrangements is that the CIRR loans have no effect on net interest bearing debt.

In addition to security provided to lenders in the form of pledged assets, which is the case for all of our credit facilities and bank loans, agreements relating to long-term debt generally contain financial covenants. The main financial covenants contained in our loan agreements are as follows:

- Minimum liquidity requirements: to maintain cash and cash equivalents of at least \$100 million within the group.
  - Interest coverage ratio: to maintain an EBITDA to interest expense ratio of 2.5:1.

- Current ratio: to maintain a current assets to current liabilities ratio of at least 1:1. Current assets are defined as book value less minimum liquidity, but including up to 20% of shares in listed companies of which we own 20% or more. Current liabilities are defined as book value less the current portion of long term debt.
- Equity ratio: to maintain a total equity to total assets ratio of at least 30%. Both equity and total assets are adjusted for the difference between book and market values of drilling units.
- Leverage ratio: to maintain a ratio of net debt to EBITDA no greater than 4.5:1. Net debt is calculated as all interest bearing debt less cash and cash equivalents excluding minimum liquidity requirements.

For the purposes of the above tests, EBITDA is defined as 12 months trailing earnings before interest, taxation, depreciation and amortization.

The main covenants for the Company's outstanding bonds are to maintain adjusted shareholders' equity of at least \$1.50 billion and a ratio of adjusted shareholders' equity to total liabilities of at least 30% to 40%. Adjusted shareholder's equity is book value of equity adjusted for the difference between book and market values of drilling units.

We are in compliance with all financial loan covenants as at September 30, 2009, and December 31, 2008. At September 30, 2009, three month U.S. dollar LIBOR was 0.28688% (December 31, 2008: 1.425%) and three month NOK NIBOR was 1.940% (December 31, 2008: 3.97%).

#### Derivatives

We use financial instruments to reduce the risk associated with fluctuations in interest and foreign exchange rates. Most of these agreements do not qualify for hedge accounting, and for these any changes in the fair values of the swap agreements are included in the Consolidated Statement of Operations under "Other financial items." Two of our fully-consolidated VIEs have executed interest rate cash flow hedges in the form of interest rate swaps. Movements in the fair value of these hedging swaps are reflected in "Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)."

At September 30, 2009, the Company and its consolidated subsidiaries, including VIEs, had entered into interest rate swap contracts with a combined notional principal amount of \$5.33 billion at rates between 2.055% per annum and 4.629% per annum. The overall effect of these swaps is to fix the interest rate on \$5.33 billion of floating rate debt at a weighted average interest rate of 3.26% per annum. At September 30, 2009, our net exposure to interest rate fluctuations on our outstanding debt was \$0.66 billion, based on our total interest bearing debt of \$7.90 billion less the \$5.33 billion notional principal of our floating to fixed interest rate swaps, less the \$1.91 billion in fixed interest loans. At December 31, 2008, our net exposure to interest rate fluctuations on our outstanding debt was \$3.04 billion (2007: \$3.50 billion), being our total interest bearing debt of \$7.44 billion (2007: \$4.60 billion), less the \$2.92 billion notional principal of our interest rate swaps (2007: \$0.10 billion), less the \$1.48 billion of our fixed interest debt (2007: \$1.00 billion).

Also at September 30, 2009, we had entered into forward exchange contracts to sell approximately \$312 million in exchange for Norwegian kroner and Singapore dollars between October 2009 and September 2012, at exchange rates ranging from NOK5.78 to NOK6.73 per U.S. dollar and from SGD1.4195 to SGD1.4391 per U.S. dollar. At December 31, 2008, we had forward exchange contracts to sell approximately \$474 million in exchange for Norwegian kroner and Singapore dollars (2007: \$388 million).

In June and July 2008 we entered into Total Return Swap ("TRS") agreements with a total of 4,500,000 of our own common shares as the underlying security. The agreements were scheduled to expire in December 2008 and the initially agreed reference prices were in a range of NOK141.2 to NOK157.8 per share. In November 2008 these contracts were terminated and we simultaneously entered into a new TRS agreement with 4,500,000 of our common shares as underlying security, with an agreed reference price of NOK56.70 per share and an expiration date of February 2009. In February 2009, we entered into a new TRS agreement for the same number of shares with expiration date in August 2009. In August 2009, we entered into a new TRS agreement for the same number of shares with expiry in February 2010 and an agreed reference price of NOK100.41 per share. In February 2010 these contracts were settled and we simultaneously entered a new TRS agreement for 3,500,000 of our common shares as underlying security with an agreed reference price of NOK131.18 per share and an expiration date February 2, 2011. The settlement amount for the TRS transaction will be (A) the market value of the shares at the date of settlement plus all dividends paid by the Company between entering into and settling the contract, less (B) the reference price of the shares agreed at the inception of the contract plus the counterparty's financing costs. Settlement will be either a payment from or to the counterparty, depending on whether (A) is more or less than (B). There is no obligation for us to purchase any shares under the agreement and this arrangement has been recorded as a derivative transaction, with the fair value of the TRS recognized as an asset or liability as appropriate, and changes in fair values recognized in the consolidated statement of operations.

In addition to the above TRS transactions, we may from time to time enter into short-term TRS arrangements relating to securities in other companies. The above TRS indexed to our own common shares was our only TRS agreement as at September 30, 2009, December 31, 2008, and December 31, 2007.

## Equity

At September 30, 2009, December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007 our issued and fully paid share capital amounted to 399,133,216 common shares of par value \$2.00 each, totaling \$798 million. In 2007, we had two issuances of equity totaling 16 million new common shares for total proceeds of approximately \$303 million. A table showing all of our issuances of equity can be found in Item 10.A – "Additional Information – Share Capital".

At September 30, 2009, we were holding 617,800 of our common shares as treasury shares (December 31, 2008: 717,800; December 31, 2007: 608,700) and our net outstanding share capital amounted to \$797 million (December 31, 2008: \$797 million; December 31, 2007: \$797 million). A share repurchase program was approved by the Board in 2007, authorizing us to buy back shares which may be either cancelled, or held as treasury shares to meet our obligations relating to our share option scheme. Under the program we purchased 600,000 shares in the year ended December 31, 2008, and 950,000 shares in the year ended December 31, 2007. As of September 30, 2009, we have not cancelled any shares, and have used 932,200 of them to meet our option scheme obligations.

In May 2005, a general meeting of the Company approved authorizing the Board of Directors to establish and maintain an employee share option scheme, or the Option Scheme, in order to encourage the holding of shares in Seadrill by individuals including directors, officers and employees of Seadrill and its subsidiaries. The Board of Directors has made a number of grants pursuant to rules established to implement the Option Scheme. As of September 30, 2009, we have granted 10,542,500 options, of which 6,791,933 remain outstanding. The fair value cost of options granted is recognized in the statement of operations as an expense, with a corresponding amount credited to additional paid in capital (see Note 28 to the Consolidated Financial Statements). The additional paid-in capital arising from share options was \$12 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2009, \$15 million in the year ended December 31, 2008, and \$15 million in the year ended December 31, 2007.

As at September 30, 2009, our total additional paid-in capital amounted to \$2.11 billion (December 31, 2008: \$1.99 billion; December 31, 2007: \$1.98 billion), of which \$1.96 billion arises from shares issued at a premium, with the remaining balance attributable to the share Option Scheme, purchases and sales of treasury shares and the equity component of the 4.875% convertible bond.

As described above, as at September 30, 2009, we are party to a TRS agreement indexed to 4,500,000 of our shares, whereby we are exposed to the development in the price of our shares.

#### C. RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT, PATENTS AND LICENSES, ETC.

We do not undertake any significant expenditure on research and development, and have no significant interests in patents or licenses.

#### D. TREND INFORMATION

The slowdown in the world economy has adversely affected activity levels in most areas of the offshore drilling industry. Although oil and gas prices have increased from the lows at the end of 2008 and early 2009, market participants still have a cautious attitude as to whether the subsequent price rebound is sustainable in the near term. As such, and in spite of the fact that most oil companies, in our view, regard the long-term outlook for their business as promising, the prevailing uncertainty makes investment in exploration and production activities appear more risky in the short term resulting in postponement of drilling activities. A number of smaller and medium sized independent oil companies have been forced to reduce spending as a result of reduced cash flow and limited ability to raise funds. At the same time, national oil companies and super majors with strong balance sheets have taken the opportunity to be more active in securing new rig capacity to serve their long term rig requirements.

The market segment and type of rig most impacted by the drop in activity is benign environment jack-up rigs, where a significant number of units are being stacked in the Gulf of Mexico, Africa and Southeast Asia regions. The nature of the jack-up market is that drilling assignments generally have a duration from three to 12 months. The wells that are drilled are often tiebacks to existing infrastructure which in many cases implies a higher break-even oil price for marginal projects. Furthermore, the demand side also consists of smaller operators, who are more dependent on funding through the financial markets. As a result, the market for jack-ups continues to be adversely impacted by the uncertainty regarding future oil and gas prices and challenging financial markets. There have been, however, positive signs over the last few months in the form of increased activity from oil companies. Nevertheless, this increase in activity has to some extent been offset by the number of rigs coming off contracts and new units being delivered from yards.

The market for dynamically positioned deepwater units has been less affected, due to the limited availability of such rigs in the short term and the continued focus on this segment by super majors and national oil companies. Although there were less fixtures in 2009 compared to 2008, those that were announced, including sublets between oil companies, were at dayrates of approximately \$500,000, which is relatively high by historical standards. In the first quarter 2010, rates have decreased to the mid \$400,000. We believe that the current dayrate levels are a result of the limited availability of dynamically positioned deepwater units in 2010, strong demand, particularly from Brazil, and increasing deepwater focus in West Africa and the U.S. Gulf of Mexico.



The drop in shallow water activity has adversely affected the market for tender rigs. Like jack-ups, tender rigs that have come off contract have been warm stacked due to oil companies postponing drilling activity in response to the uncertainty surrounding the direction of oil and gas prices. In spite of this near term uncertainty, recent market enquiries from oil companies suggest that demand is picking up painting what we believe is an attractive medium-term outlook for tender rigs. We believe that the market in 2010 will improve and offer opportunities to build additional order backlog and earnings visibility for this asset class.

#### E. OFF BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

At September 30, 2009, as described above, we were party to the TRS agreement indexed to our own common shares. The fair value of this position as at September 30, 2009, is reflected in the unaudited interim Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 18 of this Registration Statement.

At December 31, 2008, in addition to the TRS agreement indexed to our own shares, we had forward purchase contracts for 16,300,000 shares in Pride. The fair values of this position and the TRS agreement as at December 31, 2008 are reflected in the audited Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 18 of this Registration Statement. The forward purchase contracts for shares in Pride became effective in July 2009, and the shares are included in our unaudited Consolidated Balance Sheet as at September 30, 2009. We were not party to any other material off balance sheet arrangements at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008.

At December 31, 2007, we were not party to any material arrangements which may be considered to be off balance sheet arrangements.

#### F. CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

At December 31, 2008, we had the following contractual obligations and commitments:

(In US\$millions)	Payment due by period				Total
	Less than 1 year	1 – 3 years	3 – 5 years	After 5 years	
3.625% convertible bonds due 2012	-	-	1,000	-	1,000
Interest bearing debt	746	2,669	2,647	375	6,437
Total debt repayments	746	2,669	3,647	375	7,437
Total interest payments (1)	280	517	331	110	1,238
Share forward commitments	624	-	-	-	624
Accrued pension liabilities	17	18	19	2	56
Other non-current liabilities	7	15	12	-	34
Total operating lease obligations	11	24	24	60	119
Total drilling unit purchases (2)	950	1,941	-	-	2,891
Total contractual cash obligations	2,635	5,184	4,033	547	12,399

(1) Interest payments are based on the existing borrowings of the Company and its consolidated subsidiaries. It is assumed that no refinancing of existing loans takes place and that there is no repayment on revolving credit facilities. Interest has been calculated using the US\$Yield Curve published by Reuters, plus agreed margins for each loan facility. The effects of interest rate swaps have been included in the calculations.

(2) Drilling unit purchase commitments relate to four jack-up rigs (\$727 million), three tender rigs (\$355 million), four semi-submersible rigs (\$1,414 million) and one drillship (\$395 million). In October 2009, the shipyard exercised its option to terminate the construction of one of the jack-up rigs, which reduces the above contractual obligations by \$205 million. We also have an option not to take delivery of another of the jack-up rigs, which if exercised would reduce the above commitments by a further \$185 million.

## G. SAFE HARBOR

See section entitled "Forward Looking Statements" in this registration statement.

## ITEM 6. DIRECTORS, SENIOR MANAGEMENT AND EMPLOYEES

### A. DIRECTORS AND SENIOR MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth information regarding our directors and officers, and also certain key employees within our operating subsidiaries, who are responsible for overseeing the management of our business.

Name	Age	Position
John Fredriksen	65	President, Director and Chairman of the Board
Tor Olav Trøim	46	Vice President and Director
Kate Blankenship	44	Director and Audit Committee member
Kjell E. Jacobsen	53	Director
Kathrine Fredriksen	26	Director
Georgina Sousa	59	Company Secretary
Alf C. Thorkildsen	53	Chief Executive Officer and President, Seadrill Management AS
Trond Brandsrud	51	Chief Financial Officer and Senior Vice President, Seadrill Management AS
Per Wulff	50	Chief Operating Officer and Executive Vice President, Seadrill Management AS
Tim Juran	49	Executive Vice President Deepwater Western Hemisphere
Svend Anton Maier	45	Vice President Deepwater Eastern Hemisphere
Sveinung Lofthus	48	Senior Vice President Europe

Ian Shearer	47	Senior Vice President Asia Pacific Jack-ups
Alf Ragnar Løvdal	52	Senior Vice President Tender Rigs
Thorleif Egeli	45	Chief Executive Officer, Seawell Management AS

Certain biographical information about each of our directors, executive officers and key officers is set forth below.

John Fredriksen has served as Chairman of the Board, President and a director of the Company since its inception in May 2005. Mr. Fredriksen has established trusts for the benefit of his immediate family which control Hemen, our largest shareholder. Mr. Fredriksen is Chairman, President, Chief Executive Officer and a director of a related party Frontline, a Bermuda company listed on the NYSE, the Oslo Stock Exchange and the London Stock Exchange. He is also a director of a related party Golar LNG Limited, or Golar, a Bermuda company listed on the Nasdaq Global Market and the Oslo Stock Exchange whose principal shareholder is World Shipholding Limited, a company indirectly controlled by trusts established by Mr. John Fredriksen for the benefit of his immediate family. He is also a director of a related party Golden Ocean Group Limited, or Golden Ocean, a Bermuda company publicly on the Oslo Stock Exchange whose principal shareholder is Hemen.

Tor Olav Trøim has served as Vice-President and a director of the Company since its inception in May 2005. Mr. Trøim graduated as M.Sc Naval Architect from the University of Trondheim, Norway in 1985. His careers include Portfolio Manager Equity in Storebrand ASA (1987-1990), and Chief Executive Officer for the Norwegian Oil Company DNO AS (1992-1995). Mr. Trøim serves as a director and Vice President of Golar, and as a director of three Oslo Stock Exchange listed companies, Golden Ocean, Aktiv Kapital ASA and Marine Harvest ASA. He served as a director of Frontline from November 1997 to February 2008. Mr. Trøim served as a director of Seatankers Management from 1995 to June 2009. He also has acted as Chief Executive Officer for Knightsbridge Tankers Limited, a Bermuda company listed on the Nasdaq Global Select Market, until September 2007 and for Golar until April 2006.

Kate Blankenship has served as a director of the Company since its inception in May 2005. Mrs. Blankenship has also served as a director of Frontline since 2003. Mrs. Blankenship joined Frontline in 1994 and served as its Chief Accounting Officer and Secretary until October 2005. Mrs. Blankenship has been a director of Ship Finance since October 2003. Mrs. Blankenship has been a director of Independent Tankers Corporation Limited since February 2008, Golar since July 2003 and Golden Ocean since November 2004. Mrs. Blankenship served as Chief Financial Officer of Knightsbridge Tankers Limited from April 2000 to September 2007 and its Secretary from December 2000 to March 2007. She is a member of the Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales.

Kjell E. Jacobsen has served as a director of the Company since May 2008, when he was appointed to fill a casual vacancy on our board of directors. Mr. Jacobsen was Chief Executive Officer of Seadrill Management AS from 2006 until 2008. From 2002 to 2006, Mr. Jacobsen was the Chief Executive Officer of the Norwegian offshore drilling contractor, Smedvig. Between 1991 and 2002, Mr. Jacobsen held several senior positions, including his appointment as managing director of the mobile units of Smedvig. From 1981 to 1991, Mr. Jacobsen worked for Statoil and Citibank in both Oslo and London. Mr. Jacobsen graduated from the Norwegian Naval Academy in 1976 and from the Norwegian School of Economics and Business Administration in 1981.

Kathrine Fredriksen has served as a director of the Company since September 2008. Ms. Fredriksen has also served as a director of Frontline and Golar since February 2008. She graduated from Wang Handels Gymnas in Norway and studied at the European Business School in London. Ms. Fredriksen is the daughter of Mr. John Fredriksen, our President and Chairman.

Georgina Sousa has served as Company Secretary of the Company since February 2006. She is currently Head of Corporate Administration for Frontline. Up until January 2007, she was Vice-President-Corporate Services of Consolidated Services Limited, a Bermuda Management Company having joined the firm in 1993 as Manager of Corporate Administration. From 1976 to 1982 she was employed by the Bermuda law firm of Appleby, Spurling & Kempe as a Company Secretary and from 1982 to 1993 she was employed by the Bermuda law firm of Cox & Wilkinson as Senior Company Secretary.

Alf C. Thorkildsen was appointed Chief Executive Officer and President of Seadrill Management AS in June 2008. From 2002 to 2006, Mr. Thorkildsen was the Chief Financial Officer in the offshore drilling contractor Smedvig, and following the acquisition of Smedvig by Seadrill Mr Thorkildsen served as the Chief Operating Officer of Seadrill Management AS until June 2008. Prior to joining Smedvig Mr. Thorkildsen worked for more than 20 years at Royal Dutch Shell plc, or Shell, in various senior positions. Mr. Thorkildsen graduated from the Norwegian School of Business Administration with a degree in economics and from Arizona State University with a Masters of Business Administration.

Trond Brandsrud has served as the Chief Financial Officer and Senior Vice President of Seadrill Management AS since joining us in 2007. Prior to joining us, Mr. Brandsrud worked for more than 20 years at Shell in various senior positions, including Chief Financial Officer for Shell in Norway. Mr. Brandsrud has tendered notice of his resignation and is expected to terminate his employment before the end of April 2010.

Per Wulff has served as the Chief Operating Officer and Executive Vice President of Seadrill Management AS since February 2009. Mr. Wulff has more than 28 years of experience in the international offshore and onshore drilling industry with A.P. Moller - Maersk A/S, serving as Managing Director for Maersk Drilling Norge AS from 2006 to 2009.

Tim Juran has served as the Executive Vice President, Deepwater Western Hemisphere since January 2007. Mr. Juran has more than 28 years of experience in the international offshore and onshore drilling industry, including several senior positions in Transocean Ltd. and Reading & Bates Drilling Company. Mr. Juran graduated from the University of Wisconsin - Platteville with a bachelor's degree in mining engineering.

Svend Anton Maier has served as the Vice President, Deepwater Eastern Hemisphere since February 2007. Mr. Maier has more than twenty years of experience in the offshore drilling industry. Prior to joining us, Mr. Maier held several senior positions in Transocean Ltd., including country manager in Nigeria, Equatorial Guinea and Gabon. Mr. Maier graduated from the Maritime Institute of Tønsberg with a degree in marine engineering.

Sveinung Lofthus has served as the Senior Vice President, Europe since 2005. Mr. Lofthus has more than 20 years experience in the international offshore and onshore drilling industry, including project and rig management positions in Smedvig. Mr. Lofthus graduated from the University of Stavanger with a degree in petroleum engineering.

Ian Shearer was appointed the Senior Vice President, Australasia Jack-ups in 2007. From 2004 to 2007 Mr. Shearer was responsible for our platform drilling services in the U.K. Mr. Shearer has 20 years of experience in the drilling industry, including several senior positions with Smedvig. He graduated from the University of Aberdeen with a bachelor's degree in mechanical engineering and from Robert Gordon's Institute of Technology, Aberdeen with an M.Sc in offshore engineering.

Alf Ragnar Løvdaal was appointed Senior Vice President, Tender Rigs in April 2009. He was previously CEO in Seawell Management AS. Mr Løvdaal has 30 years of experience in the oil and gas industry, including 20 years responsibility for the well services business in the drilling contractor Smedvig. Before joining Smedvig, Mr Løvdaal held various positions in different oil service companies, including five years of offshore field experience with Schlumberger. He has a degree in mechanical engineering from Horten Engineering Academy in Norway.

Thorleif Egeli was appointed Chief Executive Officer of Seawell Management AS in October 2009. Mr. Egeli has more than 16 years of experience in the oil services industry, including his most recent position as Vice President, Schlumberger North America. He graduated from the Norwegian Technical University with a degree in mechanical engineering and has an MBA from the Erasmus School of Management, Rotterdam.

## B. COMPENSATION

During the year ended December 31, 2008, we paid our directors and executive officers aggregate compensation of \$12.3 million, including compensation in the form of options exercised. In addition we have incurred compensation expense in the aggregate amount of \$0.2 million for their pension and retirement benefits. These amounts include compensation of \$1.9 million paid to the CEO, and \$0.03 million expensed for the CEO's pension and retirement benefits. The compensation paid to the CEO includes the salary, bonus payments and other taxable benefits paid to the former CEO Kjell E. Jacobson for the period from January 1, 2008, until May 27, 2008, and the current CEO Alf C. Thorkildsen from May 28, 2008, until December 31, 2008.

In the event the Chief Executive Officer resigns at the request of the board of directors, he will receive compensation equal to his salary for two years.

In addition to cash compensation, during 2008 we also recognized an expense of \$7.3 million relating to stock options granted in 2006, 2007 and 2008 to certain of our directors and employees. The options vest over a three year period, with the first tranche vesting in May 2007, and they expire between December 2009 and May 2014. The exercise price of the options is in the range \$2.23 to NOK122.73 (equivalent to \$21.13) per share, and for most options shall be reduced by the amount of any future dividends declared with respect to the common shares.

## C. BOARD PRACTICES

Our board of directors is elected annually by a vote of a majority of the common shares represented at the meeting at which one or more holders of one-third of our outstanding common shares constitutes a quorum. In addition, the maximum and minimum number of directors is determined by our shareholders at the annual general meeting, but no less than two directors shall serve at any given time. We currently have a maximum number of directors of eight. Each director shall hold office until the next annual general meeting following his or her election or until his or her successor is elected.

Our board of directors currently consists of five directors. Three of our directors, John Fredriksen, Kathrine Fredriksen and Tor Olav Trøim may be deemed affiliated to our largest shareholder, Hemen. One of our directors, Kate Blankenship, is independent pursuant to Rule 10A-3 of the Securities and Exchange Commission, but is not considered independent pursuant to the rules of the Oslo Stock Exchange. Following Jan Tore Strømme's resignation from our board of directors in March 2009, our current board of directors does not follow the recommendation of the Norwegian Code of Practice for Corporate Governance of two independent directors.

We currently have an audit committee, which is responsible for overseeing the quality and integrity of our financial statements and its accounting, auditing and financial reporting practices, our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, the independent auditor's qualifications, independence and performance and our internal audit function. Our audit committee consists of Mrs. Blankenship.

In lieu of a compensation committee comprised of independent directors, our Board of Directors is responsible for establishing the executive officers' compensation and benefits. In lieu of a nomination committee comprised of independent directors, our Board of Directors is responsible for identifying and recommending potential candidates to become board members and recommending directors for appointment to board committees.

There are no service contracts between us and any of our Directors providing for benefits upon termination of their employment or service.

#### D. EMPLOYEES

As at March 15, 2010, we have approximately 7,300 employees, including approximately 1,200 contracted-in staff.

Some of our employees and our contracted labor, most of whom work in Brazil, Nigeria, Norway and the U.K., are represented by collective bargaining agreements. As part of the legal obligations in some of these agreements, we are required to contribute certain amounts to retirement funds and pension plans and have restricted ability to dismiss employees. In addition, many of these represented individuals are working under agreements that are subject to salary negotiation. These negotiations could result in higher personnel costs, other increased costs or increased operating restrictions that could adversely affect our financial performance.

We consider our relationships with the various unions as stable, productive and professional. At present, there are no ongoing negotiations or outstanding issues.

	December 31, 2007	December 31, 2008	March 15, 2010
Total employees (including contracted-in staff )			
Operating segments:			
Mobile units	1,700	2,700	3,100
Tender rigs	1,500	1,700	1,600
Well services	1,500	2,400	2,500
Corporate	100	100	100
Total employees	4,800	6,900	7,300
Geographical location:			
Norway	2,300	2,600	2,700
Rest of Europe	300	900	900
USA	-	300	300
South America	-	300	600
Asia and Australia	2,100	2,600	2,600
Africa	100	200	200
Total employees	4,800	6,900	7,300

The number of employees has increased over the past three years as a result of the increase in our operating fleet of drilling units and business acquisitions.

#### E. SHARE OWNERSHIP

The table below shows the number of common shares beneficially owned and the percentage owned of our outstanding common shares for our directors, officers and key employees as of September 30, 2009, and the percentage held of the total common shares in issue. Also shown are their interests in share options awarded to them

under the Option Scheme – see Item 10.A – "Share Capital".



Director or Key Employee	Beneficial Interest in Common Shares of \$2.00 each		Interest in Options			
	Number of shares	%	Total number of options	Number of options vested	Exercise price	Expiry date
John Fredriksen (2) (4)	(2)	(2)	350,000	350,000	\$2.23	May 2012
Tor Olav Trøim (3) (4)	350,000	(1)	275,000	275,000	\$2.23	May 2012
Kate Blankenship (4)	11,000	(1)	30,000 20,000	30,000 -	\$2.23 NOK 90.83	May 2012 May 2014
Kjell E. Jacobsen	-	(1)	175,000 100,000	175,000 33,333	NOK 92.61 NOK 122.73	December 2011 January 2014
Kathrine Fredriksen	-	(1)	-	-	-	-
Georgina Sousa	-	(1)	-	-	-	-
Alf C. Thorkildsen	20,000	(1)	275,000 325,000	275,000 -	NOK 92.61 NOK 90.83	December 2011 May 2014
Trond Brandsrud (4)	12,500	(1)	150,000 80,000	100,000 -	NOK 93.28 NOK 90.83	September 2011 May 2014
Per Wulff	-	(1)	150,000	-	NOK 90.83	May 2014
Tim Juran	-	(1)	150,000 140,000	100,000 -	NOK 98.63 NOK 104.64	September 2011 May 2014
Svend Anton. Maier	-	(1)	25,000 25,000 60,000	16,667 16,667 -	NOK 89.82 NOK 120.24 NOK 90.83	September 2011 September 2011 May 2014
Sveinung Lofthus	3,000	(1)	100,000 60,000	100,000 -	NOK 78.74 NOK 90.83	September 2011 May 2014
Ian Shearer	-	(1)	40,000 110,000 60,000	40,000 -	NOK 78.74 NOK 120.24 NOK 90.83	September 2011 September 2011 May 2014
Alf Ragnar Løvdal (4)	-	(1)	100,000 40,000	100,000 -	NOK 78.74 NOK 90.83	September 2011 May 2014
Thorleif Egeli	-	(1)	-	-	-	-

(1) less than one percent

(2) Hemen Holding Ltd, or Hemen, is a Cyprus holding company, the shares of which are held in trusts established by Mr. John Fredriksen for the benefit of his immediate family. Mr. Fredriksen disclaims beneficial ownership of the 133,097,583 shares of our common stock held by Hemen, except to the extent of his voting and dispositive interest in such shares of common stock. Mr. Fredriksen has no pecuniary interest in the shares held by Hemen. In addition, to the holdings of shares and options contained in the table above, as of March 15, 2010, Hemen is party to separate TRS agreements relating to 3,500,000 of our common shares.

(3) In addition to the holdings of shares and options contained in the table above, as of March 15, 2010, Drew Investment Ltd., a company controlled by Tor Olav Trøim, is party to separate TRS agreements relating to 400,000 of our common shares.

(4) In October 2009, Alf Ragnar Løvudal exercised options relating to 100,000 shares and in November 2009, Trond Brandsud exercised options relating to 75,000 shares. In March 2010, John Fredriksen, Tor Olav Trøim and Kate Blankenship exercised 350,000, 275,000 and 30,000 options, respectively, and increased their shareholding accordingly.

## ITEM 7. MAJOR SHAREHOLDERS AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

## A. MAJOR SHAREHOLDERS

The following table presents certain information as at January 20, 2010, regarding the ownership of our common shares with respect to each shareholder whom we know to beneficially own more than five percent of our outstanding common shares:

Shareholder	Common Shares Held		%
	Number		
Hemen (1)	132,747,583	33.26	%
Folketrygdfondet (2)	29,621,030	7.42	%
Fidelity Management and Research Company (3)	20,501,728	5.10	%
Wellington Management Company LLP (3)	21,846,224	5.47	%

(1) Hemen, a Cyprus holding company, the shares of which are held in trusts established by Mr. John Fredriksen for the benefit of his immediate family.

(2) Folketrygdfondet manages the Government Pension Fund of Norway on behalf of the Norwegian Ministry of Finance.

(3) Share ownership information is based on Norwegian Securities Regulation notification statements as of May 20, 2009, which are available on [www.newsweb.no](http://www.newsweb.no).

As of March 15, 2010, 50,175,048 of our common shares were held by 207 holders of record in the United States, based on the register in the Norwegian Central Securities Depository, which is referred to as the VPS System.

Our major shareholders have the same voting rights as our other shareholders. No corporation or foreign government owns more than 50% of our outstanding common shares. We are not aware of any arrangements, the operation of which may at a subsequent date result in a change in control of Seadrill.

## B. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The Company was formed on March 10, 2005, and on that date entered into a Purchase and Subscription Agreement with Greenwich whereby we acquired five vessel-owning entities from Greenwich. Also in May 2005 we entered into an agreement with Seatankers, whereby we acquired newbuilding contracts for two jack-up rigs from Seatankers. Greenwich and Seatankers are controlled by trusts established by Mr. John Fredriksen for the benefit of his immediate family. Hemen is our largest shareholder and holds approximately 33% of our shares. Under the mandatory offer rules of the Oslo Stock Exchange described in "Item 4. Information on the Company – A. History and Development of the Company – Summary of Oslo Stock Exchange Mandatory Offer Rules," if Hemen were to acquire more than 1/3 of our shares, it could trigger the mandatory offer rules. Hemen has not advised us of any intention to do so.

The operations of our two FPSOs acquired from Greenwich were managed by Seatankers from January 1 to October 15, 2006, for which we paid Seatankers a fee of \$0.3 million. From October 16 to December 31, 2006, the operations of our two FPSOs were managed by Sea Production Limited, or Sea Production, a company which at that time was indirectly controlled by Mr. John Fredriksen, for a fee of \$550 per day for each unit. Total fees payable to Sea Production in 2006 amounted to \$0.1 million.



In July 2006 we entered into a sale and leaseback agreement with Ship Finance, a company listed on the New York Stock Exchange in which Hemen indirectly controls approximately 45% of the outstanding shares. Under the agreement we sold the jack-up rig West Ceres to Rig Finance Ltd, or Rig Finance, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Ship Finance, for a total consideration of \$210 million. Upon sale the rig was immediately leased back to us for a period of 15 years, with the Company having fixed price purchase options after three, five, seven, 10, 12 and 15 years. In July 2009, we exercised our option to repurchase West Ceres from Rig Finance at the option price of US\$136 million. Lease payments to Rig Finance amounted to \$21 million in 2006, \$41 million in 2007, \$41 million in 2008 and \$20 million in the nine months ended September 30 2009.

Frontline and Golden Ocean are indirectly controlled by trusts established by Mr. John Fredriksen for the benefit of his immediate family,. One of our subsidiaries provided services to both Frontline and Golden Ocean in 2006, from each of which we earned fees of \$0.1 million. At the same time, Frontline charged us \$0.2 million for providing us with management and administrative services in 2006. As at December 31, 2006, we were owed \$0.1 million by Frontline and owed \$0.01 million to Seatankers for vessel management fees.

In January 2007 we entered into a sale and leaseback agreement with Ship Finance, under which we sold the jack-up rig West Prospero to Rig Finance II Ltd, or Rig Finance II, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Ship Finance, for a total consideration of \$210 million. Upon sale the rig was immediately leased back to us for a period of 15 years, with the Company having fixed price purchase options after three, five, seven, 10, 12 and 15 years. Lease payments to Rig Finance II amounted to \$30 million in 2007, \$46 million in 2008 and \$22 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2009.

In February 2007 we sold the FPSO Crystal Ocean to Sea Production for a total consideration of \$90 million. Also in February 2007 we sold the FPSO Crystal Sea to BW Offshore, a company listed on the Oslo Stock Exchange, for a total consideration of \$80 million. Aktiv Kapital ASA, or Aktiv, a company indirectly controlled by trusts established by Mr. John Fredriksen for the benefit of his immediate family, was entitled to compensation on the sale of the two FPSOs, and at December 31, 2007, we recorded a provision for \$7.3 million payable to Aktiv. The amount of compensation was agreed at \$2.5 million and settled in 2008.

Frontline charged us fees of \$0.2m for management and administrative services in 2007. At December 31, 2007, we owed Ship Finance \$3.6 million for lease payments and Sea Production \$0.1 million for vessel management fees.

In May 2008 we entered into a sale and leaseback agreement with Ship Finance, under which the Company would sell the drillship West Polaris to SFL West Polaris, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Ship Finance, for a total consideration of \$845 million upon completion of construction. Upon delivery the drillship was leased back to us for a period of 15 years, with the Company having fixed price purchase options after four, six, eight, 10, 12 and 15 years. In addition, Ship Finance has a right to sell the drillship to us after 15 years at a fixed price. Lease payments to SFL West Polaris amounted to \$37 million in 2008, and \$90 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2009.

In September 2008 we entered into a sale and leaseback agreement with Ship Finance, under which we sold two newbuilding semi-submersible rigs West Hercules and West Taurus to SFL Deepwater Ltd, or SFL Deepwater, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Ship Finance, for a total consideration of \$1.70 billion. Upon delivery the rigs were immediately leased back to us for a period of 15 years, with the Company having fixed price purchase options for West Hercules after three, six, eight, 10, and 12 years and for West Taurus after six, eight, 10 and 12 years. In addition, we have fixed price obligations to purchase the rigs after 15 years. Lease payments to SFL Deepwater amounted to \$29 million in 2008 and \$194 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2009.



In November 2008, the Company granted Ship Finance an unsecured short term credit facility of \$115 million. Ship Finance repaid \$25 million in the first quarter of 2009 and the balance of \$90 million was sold to Metrogas Holdings Inc., or Metrogas, a company indirectly controlled by trusts established by Mr. John Fredriksen for the benefit of his immediate family. In November 2009, the loan of \$90 million was assigned back to the Company. At the same time the repayment schedule was amended to provide a maturity date of January 31, 2011. The agreed interest payable monthly by Ship Finance is based on terms believed by us to be no less favorable than are available from unaffiliated third parties. Interest receivable on the loan amounted to \$2.1 million in the year ended December 31, 2008, and \$6.8 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2009.

Frontline charged us fees of \$0.2m for management and administrative services in 2008. At December 31, 2008 we were owed \$0.9 million by Ship Finance in interest on the short-term credit facility.

In April 2009, the Company obtained an unsecured credit facility of \$60 million from Metrogas, which was repaid in June 2009. Interest payable on the facility amounted to \$0.7 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2009.

In November 2009, the Company granted Scorpion an unsecured short term credit facility of \$27.7 million, increasing to \$79.7 million in December 2009. The applicable interest rate is based on terms believed by us to be no less favorable than are available from unaffiliated third parties and is due semi-annually. Interest received on the loan amounted to \$1.0 million in the year ended December 31, 2009. In February 2010, the Company granted Scorpion a secured short term credit facility of \$49.5 million. The applicable interest rate is based on terms believed by us to be no less favorable than are available from unaffiliated third parties.

We consolidate the above four Ship Finance VIEs, Rig Finance, Rig Finance II, SFL West Polaris and SFL Deepwater, as it has been determined that we are the primary beneficiary of the risks and rewards connected with the ownership of the units and the lease contracts. This has the effect that the Ship Finance equity in the VIEs, including their earnings, is attributable to non-controlling interests. Following our repurchase of West Ceres in July 2009, Rig Finance will no longer be a consolidated VIE.

#### C. INTERESTS OF EXPERTS AND COUNSEL.

Not applicable.

### ITEM 8. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

#### A. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS AND OTHER FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Please see the section of this registration statement on Form 20-F entitled Item 18 – "Financial Statements."

## Legal Proceedings

The Company is routinely party, as plaintiff or defendant, to claims and lawsuits in various jurisdictions for demurrage, damages, off-hire and other claims and commercial disputes arising from the operation of our drilling units, in the ordinary course of our business or in connection with our acquisition activities. The Company believes that the resolution of such claims will not have a material adverse effect on our operations or financial condition. The following three disputes are the only legal proceedings which we consider to be material.

### West Larissa Dispute

At the end of 2005 and the beginning of 2006, the Company had a dispute with Gazprom in connection with the operations of the jack-up rig West Larissa, which was named Ekha at that time. In May 2009, legal hearings took place in the High Court of Justice, London, relating to the dispute and the Court has issued a decision with the following main conclusions:

- The Company was awarded charter hire for the period from November 23, 2005, to January 9, 2006, being the date up to when the incident occurred. Including interest this amounted to approximately \$6.8 million.
- The Company was not awarded hire for the time after the incident, nor was the Company awarded any reimbursement for uninsured costs related to its claim.
- The Court has ruled that Gazprom is entitled to recover costs and expenses related to West Larissa, where Gazprom can demonstrate that these were wasted as a consequence of Seadrill's actions during the incident. The Judge also ruled that Gazprom wrongfully terminated the Contract, and has thus rejected Gazprom's claim for losses associated with the contracting of another rig.

It is not possible at this stage to quantify the net outcome of this ruling. The amount of Gazprom's counter-claim, as well as responsibility for incurred legal costs, will be decided in a separate hearing at a later stage. The Court's decision has been appealed by the Company, and appeal hearings are scheduled to take place during first half of 2010. The Company does not expect the final outcome to have a significant effect on its financial results.

### Transocean Dispute

In July 2009, the Norwegian Borgarting Court of Appeal granted the Company full support in Transocean's patent lawsuit against the Company. The Court maintained the judgment of the Oslo District Court given in February 2008 and rejected Transocean's appeal. The rulings have invalidated Transocean's Norwegian patents on both multi-activity offshore drilling apparatus as well as for applying simultaneous operations in offshore drilling activities in Norway. All claims against the Company were found to be invalid and the Court ruled that Transocean should pay all litigation costs. Transocean appealed the decision to the Norwegian Supreme Court, which subsequently dismissed the appeal.

### Heerema Dispute

The Company is in dispute with Heerema Engineering Services B.V. relating to alleged patent infringements in connection with world-wide dual drilling operations performed by the Company. Legal hearings took place in the Oslo District Court in August and September 2009, and on November 3, 2009, the Company was informed that a ruling was made in its favor, whereby Heerema's Norwegian patent for dual drilling operations was declared to be invalid. As of December 4, 2009, the deadline to appeal the ruling, Heerema had not filed such appeal.



## Dividend Policy

Under our bye-laws, our board of directors may declare cash dividends or distributions, and may also pay a fixed cash dividend biannually or on other dates. Our Board of Directors' stated objective is to generate competitive returns for its shareholders. Any dividends declared will be in the sole discretion of the Board of Directors and will depend upon earnings, market prospects, current capital expenditure programs and investment opportunities. Under Bermuda law, the Board of Directors has no discretion to declare or pay a dividend if there are reasonable grounds for believing that (a) the Company is, or would after the payment be, unable to pay its liabilities as they become due; or (b) the realizable value of the Company's assets would thereby be less than the aggregate of its liabilities and issued share capital and share premium accounts.

In addition, since we are a holding company with no material assets other than the shares of our subsidiaries through which we conduct our operations, our ability to pay dividends will depend on our subsidiaries' distributing to us their earnings and cash flow.

Since our listing on the Oslo Stock Exchange in November 2005, we have paid dividends as follows:

Payment date	Amount per share
2010	
March 26, 2010	\$0.55
2009	
December 7, 2009	\$0.50
2008	
March 14, 2008	\$0.25
June 18, 2008	\$0.60
September 16, 2008	\$0.60
September 30, 2008	\$0.30

## B. SIGNIFICANT CHANGES

None

## ITEM 9. THE OFFER AND LISTING

### A. OFFER AND LISTING DETAILS

Shares of our common stock, par value \$2.00 per share, currently trade on the Oslo Stock Exchange under the symbol "SDRL."

The following table sets forth the fiscal years high and low closing prices of our common shares since they began trading on the Oslo Stock Exchange on November 2005:

	High NOK	Low (NOK)
Fiscal year ended December 31,		
2008	179.75	41.60
2007	134.25	98.10
2006	114.50	55.75
2005	55.00	43.00

The following table sets forth, for each full financial quarter for the two most recent fiscal years, the high and low closing prices of our common shares trading on the Oslo Stock Exchange:

	High NOK	Low (NOK)
Fiscal year ended December 31, 2008		
First quarter	141.00	102.75
Second quarter	179.75	135.50
Third quarter	160.25	114.75
Fourth quarter	114.00	41.60

	High NOK	Low (NOK)
Fiscal year ended December 31, 2007		
First quarter	107.25	91.90
Second quarter	131.70	98.10
Third quarter	130.50	102.75
Fourth quarter	134.25	111.75

The following table sets forth, for the six most recent months, the high and low closing prices of our common shares trading on the Oslo Stock Exchange:

	High NOK	Low (NOK)
February 2010	140.20	124.10
January 2010	150.00	132.50
December 2009	148.50	132.20
November 2009	139.00	117.00
October 2009	119.30	104.50
September 2009	122.00	100.10

On December 31, 2009, the exchange rate between the Norwegian Kroner and the U.S. dollar was NOK 5.76 to one U.S. dollar.

## C. MARKETS

Our common shares currently trade on the Oslo Stock Exchange and we expect that it will also trade on the NYSE under the symbol "SDRL". There is no assurance that an active and liquid trading market for our common shares will develop or be sustained in the United States.

## ITEM 10. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

A. SHARE CAPITAL

This section summarizes our share capital and the material provisions of our Memorandum of Association and Bye-Laws, including the rights of the holders of our shares. The description is only a summary and does not describe everything contained in our Memorandum of Association and Bye-Laws, which are filed as exhibits hereto.

We were incorporated in the Islands of Bermuda on May 10, 2005. Under our Memorandum of Association, our authorized capital stock consists of 800 million common shares, par value \$2.00 per share, of which 399,788,216 were issued and outstanding as of March 15, 2010. All of our shares of common stock have the same rights and privileges.

Under our Memorandum of Association, we were initially authorized to issue 6,000 ordinary shares of common stock, par value \$2.00 per share. On May 13, 2005, we filed a certificate of deposit of memorandum of increase of share capital, which raised the number of our authorized common shares to 200,000,000 ordinary shares of common stock, par value \$2.00 per share. On August 8, 2005, we filed a certificate of deposit of memorandum of increase of share capital, which raised the number of our authorized common shares to 400,000,000 ordinary shares of common stock, par value \$2.00 per share. On December 20, 2006, pursuant to a resolution passed at the Annual General Meeting of the Company held on December 1, 2006, we filed a certificate of deposit of memorandum of increase of share capital, which raised the number of our authorized common shares to 800,000,000 ordinary shares of common stock, par value \$2.00 per share. All of our common shares are currently held through the Norwegian VPS System. The VPS System is Norway's paperless centralized securities registry.

Since the formation of the Company in May 2005, we have issued shares of our common stock, par value \$2.00 per share, as follows:

Date of share issue	Number of shares issued	Price at which shares issued (\$)	Number of shares following issue	Increase in share capital (\$)	Share capital following issue (\$)
10/05/2005	6,000	2.03	6,000	12,000	12,000
11/05/2005	84,994,000	2.03	85,000,000	169,988,000	170,000,000
11/05/2005	15,000,000	2.03	100,000,000	30,000,000	200,000,000
02/06/2005	10,000,000	3.00	110,000,000	20,000,000	220,000,000
10/06/2005	10,000,000	3.80	120,000,000	20,000,000	240,000,000
28/06/2005	42,343,940	4.54	162,343,940	84,687,880	324,687,880
14/07/2005	35,695,822	6.10	198,039,762	71,391,644	396,079,524
25/07/2005	1,960,238	6.56	200,000,000	3,920,476	400,000,000
11/08/2005	8,039,762	6.56	208,039,762	16,079,524	416,079,524
19/08/2005	12,000,000	9.00	220,039,762	24,000,000	440,079,524
08/12/2005	9,093,454	7.02	229,133,216	18,186,908	458,266,432
25/01/2006	75,000,000	9.81	304,133,216	150,000,000	608,266,432
27/02/2006	20,000,000	10.48	324,133,216	40,000,000	648,266,432
05/04/2006	39,000,000	13.74	363,133,216	78,000,000	726,266,432
08/09/2006	20,000,000	13.89	383,133,216	40,000,000	766,266,432
16/04/2007	9,000,000	16.67	392,133,216	18,000,000	784,266,432
10/07/2007	7,000,000	21.86	399,133,216	14,000,000	798,266,432
12/03/2010	655,000	2.00	399,788,216	1,310,000	799,576,432

All of the above share issuances were pursuant to private placements, other than the 6,000 shares issued in connection with our formation, the 84,994,000 shares issued to Greenwich pursuant to the agreement whereby we acquired three jack-up rigs and two FPSOs from them and the issuance of 655,000 shares related to exercising of options.

In May 2005, a general meeting of the Company approved authorizing the Board of Directors to establish and maintain an Option Scheme for encouraging the holding of shares in the Company. The Option Scheme permits the board of directors, at its discretion, to grant options to acquire shares in the Company to employees and directors of the Company or its subsidiaries. The options are not transferable and the subscription price is at the discretion of the board of directors, provided the subscription price is never reduced below the par value of the share. The subscription price for certain options granted under the scheme will be reduced by the amount of all dividends declared by the Company in the period from the date of grant until the date the option is exercised. Options granted under the scheme will vest at a date determined by the board at the date of the grant. The options granted under the plan to date vest over a period of one to three and a half years. There is no maximum number of shares authorized for awards of equity share options and authorized and unissued or treasury shares of the Company may be used to satisfy exercised options. As at September 30, 2009 we have granted 10,542,500 options since the adoption of the Option Scheme, of which 6,791,933 remain outstanding. A summary of transactions relating to the Option Scheme is given below:

	9 months ended September 30, 2009		Year ended December 31, 2008		2007		2006	
	Options	Exercise price (\$)	Options	Exercise price (\$)	Options	Exercise price (\$)	Options	Exercise price (\$)
Outstanding at beginning of period	5,978,100	18.11	4,088,700	13.30	3,916,667	12.17	-	-
Granted	3,227,833	14.09	2,658,000	25.38	700,000	18.17	3,956,667	12.17
Exercised	(100,000)	14.07	(490,900)	14.86	(427,967)	11.47	-	-
Forfeited	(2,314,000)	25.28	(277,700)	18.59	(100,000)	14.07	(40,000)	12.17
Outstanding at end of period	6,791,933	13.75	5,978,100	18.11	4,088,700	13.30	3,916,667	12.17
Exercisable at end of period	3,197,100	12.64	1,839,133	11.90	922,033	11.75	nil	-

The above figures for options granted show the average of the prices at the time of granting the options, and for options outstanding at the beginning and end of the period the average of the reduced option prices is shown.

Our Bye-Laws were amended at our annual general meeting in 2007 to allow us to purchase our own common shares, to be held as treasury shares. Treasury shares may be utilized to meet our obligations relating to the Option Scheme. As of September 30, 2009, we have purchased 1,550,000 of our own shares, of which 1,048,900 have been utilized to meet Option Scheme obligations and 501,100 are held as treasury shares.

As of March 15, 2010, we have two convertible bonds which may impact on the numbers of shares issued. The first convertible bond in the aggregate principal amount of \$1.00 billion was issued in November 2007 with a five year maturity. It bears a fixed coupon rate of 3.625% per annum and was issued at 100% of par. The bonds can be converted into Company shares by the holders at any time up to ten banking days prior November 8, 2012. The conversion price at the date of issue was \$34.474 per share, reflecting a 45% premium to the share price at that date. Since then, dividend distributions have reduced the conversion price to \$30.78. Conversion of the full outstanding \$1.0 billion in aggregate principal amount at the conversion price of \$30.78 would require us to issue 32,488,628 additional common shares.

A second convertible bond of \$500 million was issued in September 2009 with a five year maturity. It bears a fixed coupon rate of 4.875% per annum, and was issued at 100% of par. The bonds can be converted into Company shares by the holders at any time up to ten banking days prior September 29, 2014. The conversion price at the date of issue was US\$25.18 per share, reflecting a 35% premium to the share price at that date. Since then, dividend distributions

have reduced the conversion price to \$23.97. Conversion of the full outstanding \$500 million in aggregate principal amount at the conversion price of \$23.97 would require us to issue 20,859,407 additional common shares.

In September 2008, our shareholders at the annual general meeting approved the reduction of the share premium account from \$1.96 billion to zero and the transfer of the balance of \$1.96 billion to our contributed surplus account with immediate effect. Under Bermuda law a company is not permitted to pay dividends from share premium. It is possible that in the future, our ability to pay dividends by way of cash earnings and/or share distributions will exceed our retained earnings and contributed capital surplus. The purpose of this proposal was therefore primarily to increase our ability to declare and distribute dividends to our shareholders.

## B. MEMORANDUM AND ARTICLES OF ASSOCIATION

The object of our business, as stated in Section six of our Memorandum of Association, is to engage in any lawful act or activity for which companies may be organized under The Companies Act, 1981 of Bermuda, or the Companies Act, other than to issue insurance or re-insurance, to act as a technical advisor to any other enterprise or business or to carry on the business of a mutual fund. Our Memorandum of Association and Bye-laws do not impose any limitations on the ownership rights of our shareholders.

Under our Bye-laws, annual shareholder meetings will be held in accordance with the Companies Act at a time and place selected by our board of directors. The quorum at any annual or general meeting is equal to one or more shareholders, either present in person or represented by proxy, holding in the aggregate shares carrying 33 1/3 percent of the exercisable voting rights. The meetings may be held at any place, in or outside of Bermuda, other than Norway. Special meetings may be called at the discretion of the board of directors and at the request of shareholders holding at least one-tenth of all outstanding shares entitled to vote at a meeting. Annual shareholder meetings and special meetings must be called by not less than seven days' prior written notice specifying the place, day and time of the meeting. The board of directors may fix any date as the record date for determining those shareholders eligible to receive notice of and to vote at the meeting. No shareholder shall be entitled to attend unless written notice of the intention to attend and vote in person or by proxy, together with the power of attorney or other authority (if any) under which it is signed, or a notarially-certified copy of that power of attorney, is sent to the Company Secretary, to reach the Registered Office by not later than 48 hours before the time for holding the meeting.

There are no pre-emptive, redemption, conversion or sinking fund rights attached to our shares of common stock. All or any of the rights attached to our shares may be altered by either the written consent or majority vote at a special general meeting of a majority of shareholders who hold at least 75% of the nominal value of our issued and outstanding shares. The holders of common shares are entitled to one vote per share on all matters submitted to a vote of holders of common shares. There are no limitations on the right of non-Bermudians or non-residents of Bermuda to hold or vote our common shares. Unless a different majority is required by law or by our bye-laws under bye-law 57, resolutions to be approved by holders of common shares require approval by a simple majority of votes cast at a general meeting. Under our bye-laws, we have the power to purchase our shares of common stock for cancellation or to be held as treasury shares.

Our directors are elected by a majority of the votes cast at our annual general meeting. Our board of directors must consist of at least two members. The number of directors may be modified by simple majority of the votes cast at a general meeting. Each director serves from his or her election until his or her successor is duly elected and qualified except in the case of earlier resignation or removal. Under our bye-laws, our board of directors has the authority to appoint any individual to fill a casual vacancy on the board. In a director's absence, the director may appoint any person (including another director) to act as his or her alternate. Basic director fees are determined by majority vote at a general meeting, and the board of directors has the authority to grant additional fees for extraordinary services rendered as a director. Directors may participate fully in any transaction or arrangement where they have an interest, so long as they declare the nature of their interest at the first opportunity either in meeting or by writing to our board of directors. Under our bye-laws our board of directors has the authority to exercise all the powers of the Company to borrow money and to mortgage or charge our undertaking property, assets and uncalled capital in the course of

managing our business, subject to the provisions of Bermuda law.

82

---



Our bye-laws provide that no director, alternate director, officer, member of a committee under bye-law 103, resident representative of the Company, or their heirs, executors or administrators, shall be liable for the acts, receipts, neglects, or defaults of any other such person or any person involved in our formation, or for any loss or expense incurred by us through the insufficiency or deficiency of title to any property acquired by us, or for the insufficiency or deficiency of any security in or upon which any of our monies shall be invested, or for any loss or damage arising from the bankruptcy, insolvency, or tortuous act of any person with whom any monies, securities, or effects shall be deposited, or for any loss occasioned by any error of judgment, omission, default, or oversight on his part, or for any other loss, damage or misfortune whatever which shall happen in relation to the execution of his duties, or supposed duties, to us or otherwise in relation thereto.

Bermuda law permits our bye-laws to contain provisions excluding personal liability of a director, alternate director, officer, member of a committee authorized under bye-Law 103, resident representative or their respective heirs, executors or administrators to the company for any loss, damage or expense (including but not limited to liabilities under contract, tort and statute or any applicable foreign law or regulation and all reasonable legal and other costs and expenses properly payable) incurred by him as such director, alternate director, officer, member of a committee authorized under bye-Law 103 or resident representative in the reasonable belief that he has been so appointed or elected notwithstanding any defect in such appointment or election.

Bermuda law also grants us the power generally to indemnify a director, alternate director, officer, member of a committee authorized under bye-law 103, resident representative or their respective heirs, executors or administrators to the company in defending any proceedings, whether civil or criminal, in which judgment is given in his favor, or in which he is acquitted, or in connection with any application under the Companies Act in which relief from liability is granted to him by the court.

Under our bye-laws, our shareholders agree to waive any claim or right of action they might have, whether individually or by right of the Company, against any director, alternate director, officer, person or member of a committee authorized under bye-law 103, resident representative of the company or any of their respective heirs, executors or administrators due to any action taken by any such person, or the failure of any such person to take any action in the performance of his duties, or supposed duties, to us or otherwise in relation thereto.

Notwithstanding any of the foregoing, no indemnity, waiver or exclusion of liability contained in our bye-laws in favor of any person is effective in respect of liabilities arising from such person's own fraud or dishonesty.

Under our bye-laws, our board of directors may in its sole discretion, declare dividends or distributions and pay a fixed cash dividend bi-annually or on other dates. Under Bermuda law, the board of directors has no discretion to declare or pay a dividend if there are reasonable grounds for believing that (a) the Company is, or would after the payment be, unable to pay its liabilities as they become due; or (b) the realizable value of the Company's assets would thereby be less than the aggregate of its liabilities and its issued share capital and share premium accounts.

In the event of our liquidation, dissolution or winding up, our shareholders have the right to receive a pro rata share, in a proportion equal to their proportionate shareholding, of the surplus assets of the Company after all of the Company's liabilities are discharged. A liquidator may, with the sanction of a 2/3 majority vote at a general meeting and after the discharge of all of the Company's liabilities, divide among our shareholders in specie or in kind the whole or any part of the remaining assets and may, for such purposes, assign such values as he deems fair.

#### Anti-Takeover Effects of Provisions of Our Constitutional Documents

Several provisions of our bye-laws may have anti-takeover effects. These provisions are intended to avoid costly takeover battles, lessen our vulnerability to a hostile change of control and enhance the ability of our board of directors to maximize shareholder value in connection with any unsolicited offer to acquire us. However, these anti-takeover provisions, which are summarized below, could also discourage, delay or prevent (1) the merger, amalgamation or acquisition of our company by means of a tender offer, a proxy contest or otherwise, that a shareholder may consider in its best interest and (2) the removal of our incumbent directors and executive officers.

Should a person or persons resident for tax purposes in Norway, other than Nordea Bank Norge ASA, become the holder of 50% or more of the aggregate of our issued and outstanding common stock, being held or owned directly or indirectly, we will be entitled to dispose of such number of shares that would reduce the person or persons ownership of our common stock to under 50%.

Where a person or entity becomes the owner of more than 30% of our issued and outstanding common stock, our board of directors can decline to register the acquired common shares in excess of 30% unless the acquirer makes an offer to purchase our remaining shares of common stock or agrees to sell part of the shares of common stock acquired to reduce the number of our common shares held by them to below 30% of our issued and outstanding common stock. Sale of the acquirer's shares over 30% of the issued and outstanding common stock must take place no later than two weeks from when his total share ownership rose above 30%, the acquisition date. Offers to purchase our remaining shares must occur within four weeks of the acquisition date and the offer price must be at least as high as the highest price paid by the acquirer in the six months prior to the acquisition date. Should the acquirer fail to reduce his common shares or make an offer for the outstanding common shares with the time period, the acquirer will not be able to exercise any rights associated with the shares in excess of 30% of our outstanding and issued common stock.

There is a statutory remedy under Section 111 of the Bermuda Companies Act 1981 which provides that a shareholder may seek redress in the Bermuda courts as long as such shareholder can establish that a company's affairs are being conducted, or have been conducted, in a manner oppressive or prejudicial to the interests of some part of the shareholders, including such shareholder.

#### C. MATERIAL CONTRACTS

The Company has no material contracts other than those entered in the ordinary course of business.

#### D. EXCHANGE CONTROLS

We have been designated as a non-resident of Bermuda for exchange control purposes by the Bermuda Monetary Authority, whose permission for the issue of our common shares was obtained prior to the issuance thereof.

The transfer of shares between persons regarded as residents outside Bermuda for exchange control purposes and the issuance of common shares to or by such persons may be effected without specific consent under the Bermuda Exchange Control Act of 1972, as amended, and regulations thereunder. Issues and transfers of common shares involving any person regarded as resident in Bermuda for exchange control purposes require specific prior approval under the Bermuda Exchange Control Act 1972, as amended.

Subject to the foregoing, there are no limitations on the rights of the owners of our common shares to hold or vote their shares. Because we have been designated as non-resident for Bermuda exchange control purposes, there are no restrictions on our ability to transfer funds in and out of Bermuda or to pay dividends to United States residents who are holders of our common shares, other than in respect of payments in local Bermuda currency.

In accordance with Bermuda law, share certificates may be issued only in the names of corporations, individuals or legal persons. In the case of an applicant acting in a special capacity (for example, as an executor or trustee), certificates may, at the request of the applicant, record the capacity in which the applicant is acting. Notwithstanding the recording of any such special capacity, we are not bound to investigate or incur any responsibility in respect of the proper administration of any such estate or trust.

We will take no notice of any trust applicable to any of our shares or other securities whether or not we had notice of such trust.

As an "exempted company", we are exempt from Bermuda laws which restrict the percentage of share capital that may be held by non-Bermudians, but as an exempted company, we may not participate in certain business transactions including: (i) the acquisition or holding of land in Bermuda (except that required for its business and held by way of lease or tenancy for terms of not more than 21 years) without the express authorization of the Bermuda legislature; (ii) the taking of mortgages on land in Bermuda to secure an amount in excess of \$50,000 without the consent of the Minister of Finance of Bermuda; (iii) the acquisition of securities created or issued by, or any interest in, any local company or business, other than certain types of Bermuda government securities or securities of another "exempted company", "exempted partnership" or other corporation or partnership resident in Bermuda but incorporated abroad; or (iv) the carrying on of business of any kind in Bermuda, except in so far as may be necessary for the carrying on of its business outside Bermuda or under a license granted by the Minister of Finance of Bermuda.

The Bermuda government actively encourages foreign investment in "exempted" entities like us that are based in Bermuda but do not operate in competition with local business. In addition to having no restrictions on the degree of foreign ownership, we are subject neither to taxes on our income or dividends nor to any exchange controls in Bermuda. In addition, there is no capital gains tax in Bermuda, and profits can be accumulated by us, as required, without limitation. There is no income tax treaty between the United States and Bermuda pertaining to the taxation of income other than applicable to insurance enterprises.

## E. TAXATION

The following is a discussion of the material Bermuda, United States federal income and other tax considerations with respect to the Company and holders of common shares. This discussion does not purport to deal with the tax consequences of owning common shares to all categories of investors, some of which, such as dealers in securities, investors whose functional currency is not the United States dollar and investors that own, actually or under applicable constructive ownership rules, 10% or more of our common shares, may be subject to special rules. This discussion deals only with holders who hold the common shares as a capital asset. Holders of common shares are encouraged to consult their own tax advisors concerning the overall tax consequences arising in their own particular situation under United States federal, state, local or foreign law of the ownership of common shares.

### Bermuda and Other Non-U.S. Tax Considerations

As of the date of this document, we are not subject to taxation under the laws of Bermuda, and distributions to us by our subsidiaries also are not subject to any Bermuda tax. As of the date of this document, there is no Bermuda income, corporation or profits tax, withholding tax, capital gains tax, capital transfer tax, estate duty or inheritance tax payable by non-residents of Bermuda in respect of capital gains realized on a disposition of our common shares or in respect of distributions by us with respect to our common shares. This discussion does not, however, apply to the taxation of persons ordinarily resident in Bermuda. Bermuda holders should consult their own tax advisors regarding possible Bermuda taxes with respect to dispositions of, and distributions on, our common shares.

The Minister of Finance in Bermuda has granted Seadrill a tax exempt status until March 28, 2016, under which no income taxes or other taxes (other than duty on goods imported into Bermuda and payroll tax in respect of any Bermuda-resident employees) are payable by Seadrill in Bermuda. If the Minister of Finance in Bermuda does not grant a new exemption or extend the current tax exemption, and if the Bermudian Parliament passes legislation imposing taxes on exempted companies, the Company may become subject to taxation in Bermuda after March 2016.

Bermuda currently has no tax treaties in place with other countries in relation to double-taxation or for the withholding of tax for foreign tax authorities.

### Dividends distributed by Seadrill Limited out of Bermuda

Currently, there are no withholding taxes payable in Bermuda on dividends distributed from Seadrill Limited to its shareholders.

### Taxation of rig owning entities

The majority of our drilling rigs are owned in tax-free jurisdictions such as Bermuda, Cayman Islands and Liberia. There is no taxation of the rig owners' income in these jurisdictions. The remaining drilling rigs are owned in jurisdictions with income or tonnage taxation of the rig owners' income. These jurisdictions are Cyprus, Hong Kong, Hungary, Singapore and Svalbard.

Please also see the section below entitled "Taxation in country of drilling operations".

#### Taxation in country of drilling operations

Income derived from drilling operations is generally taxed in the country where these operations take place (currently including Angola, Australia, Brazil, China, Congo, Denmark, Indonesia, Malaysia, Nigeria, Norway, Thailand, UK, USA and Vietnam). The taxation of income derived from drilling operations could be based on net income, deemed income and/or withholding taxes etc, depending upon the applicable tax legislation in each country of operation. Some countries levy withholding taxes on bareboat charter payments (internal rig rent), branch profits, crew, dividends, interest and management fees.

Drilling operations can be carried out by locally incorporated companies, foreign branches of operating companies or foreign branches of the rig owning entities. We elect the appropriate structure having regard to the applicable legislation of each country where the drilling operations occur.

In some countries where the drilling operations are performed, a tax liability may also arise for the rig owning entity.

#### Net income

Net income corresponds to gross income for the drilling operations less tax-deductible costs (i.e. operating costs, crew, insurance, management fees and capital costs (internal bareboat fee or tax depreciation and interest costs) incurred in relation to those operations. In addition to net income tax, withholding tax on branch profits, dividends, internal bareboat fees etc may also be levied.

Net income taxation for an international drilling contractor is complex, and pricing of internal transactions (rig sales, bareboat fees and services etc.) will allocate overall taxable income between the relevant countries. We apply OECD Transfer Pricing Guidelines as a basis to arrive at pricing for internal transactions. OECD Transfer Pricing Guidelines describe various methods to arrive at pricing of internal services based on terms believed by us to be no less favorable than are available from unaffiliated third parties, and disputes can arise with tax authorities regarding whether the pricing of such internal transactions is correct.

#### Deemed income

Deemed income tax is normally calculated based on gross turnover, which can include or exclude reimbursables and often reflects an assumed profit ratio, multiplied by the applicable corporate tax rate. Some countries will also levy withholding taxes on the distribution of dividend/branch profits at the deemed tax rate.

#### Withholding taxes etc. in country of drilling operations

Some countries base their taxation solely on withholding tax on gross turnover. In addition, some countries levy stamp duties, training taxes or similar taxes on the gross turnover.

#### Customs duties

Customs duties are generally payable on the importation of drilling rigs, equipment and spares into the country of operation, although several countries provide exemption from such duties for the temporary importation of drilling rigs. This exemption may also apply to the temporary importation of equipment.

#### Taxation of other income

Other income related to crewing, management fees and technical services will be generally taxed in the country of residency of the service provider, although withholding tax and/or income tax may also be imposed in the country where the drilling operations take place.

Financial income, dividend income, and investment income will be taxable in accordance with the legislation applicable in the country in which the company holding the investment is resident. For companies resident in Bermuda, there is currently no tax on these types of income.

Some countries levy withholding taxes on outbound dividends and interest payments.

#### Capital gains taxation

For rigs located in Bermuda, Cayman Islands, Cyprus, Liberia and Singapore, no capital gains tax is payable in these countries. However, some countries may apply a capital gains tax or a claw-back of tax depreciation (whole or part) when drilling rigs are sold while working in the country of operation, or within a certain time after completion of such drilling operations, or when the rig is exported after completion of such drilling operations.

#### Other taxes

Our operations may be applicable to sales taxes, VAT or similar taxes in various countries.

#### Taxation of shareholders

Taxation of shareholders will depend upon the jurisdiction where the shareholder is a tax resident. Shareholders should seek advice from their tax advisor to establish the relevant taxation applicable to their circumstances.

#### United States Federal Income Tax Considerations

In the opinion of Seward & Kissel LLP, our United States counsel, the following are the material United States federal income tax consequences to us of our activities and to U.S. Holders and Non-U.S. Holders, each as defined below, of our common stock. This discussion does not purport to deal with the tax consequences of owning common stock to all categories of investors, some of which, such as dealers in securities, investors whose functional currency is not the United States dollar and investors that own, actually or under applicable constructive ownership rules, 10 percent or more of our common stock, may be subject to special rules. The following discussion of United States federal income tax matters is based on the United States Internal Revenue Code of 1986, or the Code, judicial decisions, administrative pronouncements, and existing and proposed regulations issued by the United States Department of the Treasury, all of which are subject to change, possibly with retroactive effect. The discussion below is based, in part, on the description of our business as described herein and assumes that we conduct our business as described herein. Unless otherwise noted, references in the following discussion to the "Company," "we" and "us" are to Seadrill Limited and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis.

#### United States Federal Income Taxation of U.S. Holders

As used herein, the term "U.S. Holder" means a beneficial owner of common stock that is a United States citizen or resident, United States corporation or other United States entity taxable as a corporation, an estate the income of which is subject to United States federal income taxation regardless of its source, or a trust if a court within the United States is able to exercise primary jurisdiction over the administration of the trust and one or more United States

persons have the authority to control all substantial decisions of the trust.

If a partnership holds our common stock, the tax treatment of a partner will generally depend upon the status of the partner and upon the activities of the partnership. If you are a partner in a partnership holding our common stock, you are encouraged to consult your tax advisor.

#### Distributions

Subject to the discussion of passive foreign investment companies below, any distributions made by us with respect to our common stock to a U.S. Holder will generally constitute dividends, which may be taxable as ordinary income or "qualified dividend income" as described in more detail below, to the extent of our current or accumulated earnings and profits, as determined under United States federal income tax principles. Distributions in excess of our earnings and profits will be treated first as a nontaxable return of capital to the extent of the U.S. Holder's tax basis in his common stock on a dollar-for-dollar basis and thereafter as capital gain. Because we are not a United States corporation, U.S. Holders that are corporations will not be entitled to claim a dividends received deduction with respect to any distributions they receive from us. Dividends paid with respect to our common stock will generally be treated as "passive category income" or, in the case of certain types of U.S. Holders, "general category income" for purposes of computing allowable foreign tax credits for United States foreign tax credit purposes.

Dividends paid on our common stock to a U.S. Holder who is an individual, trust or estate (a "U.S. Individual Holder") will generally be treated as "qualified dividend income" that is taxable to such U.S. Individual Holders at preferential tax rates (through 2010) provided that (1) the common stock is readily tradable on an established securities market in the United States (such as the New York Stock Exchange, on which we plan to list our common stock); (2) we are not a passive foreign investment company for the taxable year during which the dividend is paid or the immediately preceding taxable year (as discussed below); and (3) the U.S. Individual Holder has owned the common stock for more than 60 days in the 121-day period beginning 60 days before the date on which the common stock becomes ex-dividend. There is no assurance that any dividends paid on our common stock will be eligible for these preferential rates in the hands of a U.S. Individual Holder. Legislation has been previously introduced in the U.S. Congress which, if enacted in its present form, may preclude our dividends from qualifying for such preferential rates prospectively from the date of the enactment. Any dividends paid by the Company which are not eligible for these preferential rates will be taxed as ordinary income to a U.S. Holder.

Special rules may apply to any "extraordinary dividend" generally, a dividend in an amount which is equal to or in excess of ten percent of a stockholder's adjusted basis (or fair market value in certain circumstances) in a share of common stock paid by us. If we pay an "extraordinary dividend" on our common stock that is treated as "qualified dividend income," then any loss derived by a U.S. Individual Holder from the sale or exchange of such common stock will be treated as long-term capital loss to the extent of such dividend.

#### Sale, Exchange or other Disposition of Common Stock

Assuming we do not constitute a passive foreign investment company for any taxable year, a U.S. Holder generally will recognize taxable gain or loss upon a sale, exchange or other disposition of our common stock in an amount equal to the difference between the amount realized by the U.S. Holder from such sale, exchange or other disposition and the U.S. Holder's tax basis in such stock. Such gain or loss will be treated as long-term capital gain or loss if the U.S. Holder's holding period is greater than one year at the time of the sale, exchange or other disposition. Such capital gain or loss will generally be treated as United States source income or loss, as applicable, for U.S. foreign tax credit purposes. A U.S. Holder's ability to deduct capital losses is subject to certain limitations.



## Passive Foreign Investment Company Status and Significant Tax Consequences

Special United States federal income tax rules apply to a U.S. Holder that holds stock in a foreign corporation classified as a passive foreign investment company (a "PFIC") for United States federal income tax purposes. In general, a foreign corporation will be treated as a PFIC with respect to a United States shareholder in such foreign corporation, if, for any taxable year in which such shareholder holds stock in such foreign corporation, either:

- at least 75 percent of the corporation's gross income for such taxable year consists of passive income (e.g., dividends, interest, capital gains and rents derived other than in the active conduct of a rental business); or
- at least 50 percent of the average value of the assets held by the corporation during such taxable year produce, or are held for the production of, passive income.

For purposes of determining whether a foreign corporation is a PFIC, it will be treated as earning and owning its proportionate share of the income and assets, respectively, of any of its subsidiary corporations in which it owns at least 25 percent of the value of the subsidiary's stock.

Income earned by a foreign corporation in connection with the performance of services would not constitute passive income. By contrast, rental income would generally constitute "passive income" unless the foreign corporation is treated under specific rules as deriving its rental income in the active conduct of a trade or business or is received from a related party.

We presently believe that we are not a PFIC and do not anticipate becoming a PFIC. This is, however, a factual determination made on an annual basis and is subject to change. Therefore, we can give you no assurance as to our PFIC status.

As discussed more fully below, if we were to be treated as a PFIC for any taxable year, a U.S. Holder would be subject to different taxation rules depending on whether the U.S. Holder makes an election to treat us as a "Qualified Electing Fund," which election we refer to as a "QEF election." As an alternative to making a QEF election, a U.S. Holder should be able to make a "mark-to-market" election with respect to our common stock, as discussed below.

### Taxation of U.S. Holders Making a Timely QEF Election

If a U.S. Holder makes a timely QEF election, which U.S. Holder we refer to as an "Electing Holder," the Electing Holder must report each year for United States federal income tax purposes his pro rata share of our ordinary earnings and our net capital gain, if any, for our taxable year that ends with or within the taxable year of the Electing Holder, regardless of whether or not distributions were received from us by the Electing Holder. The Electing Holder's adjusted tax basis in the common stock will be increased to reflect taxed but undistributed earnings and profits. Distributions of earnings and profits that had been previously taxed will result in a corresponding reduction in the adjusted tax basis in the common stock and will not be taxed again once distributed. An Electing Holder would generally recognize capital gain or loss on the sale, exchange or other disposition of our common stock. A U.S. Holder would make a QEF election with respect to any year that our company is a PFIC by filing IRS Form 8621 with his United States federal income tax return. If we were aware that we or any of our subsidiaries were to be treated as a PFIC for any taxable year, we would, if possible, provide each U.S. Holder with all necessary information in order to make the QEF election described above. If we were to be treated as a PFIC, a U.S. Holder would be treated as owning his proportionate share of stock in each of our subsidiaries which is treated as a PFIC and such U.S. Holder would need to make a separate QEF election for any such subsidiaries. It should be noted that we may not be able to provide such information if we did not become aware of our status as a PFIC in a timely manner.



#### Taxation of U.S. Holders Making a "Mark-to-Market" Election

Alternatively, if we were to be treated as a PFIC for any taxable year and, as we anticipate, our stock is treated as "marketable stock," a U.S. Holder would be allowed to make a "mark-to-market" election with respect to our common stock, provided the U.S. Holder completes and files IRS Form 8621 in accordance with the relevant instructions and related Treasury Regulations. The "mark-to-market" election will not be available for any of our subsidiaries. If that election is made, the U.S. Holder generally would include as ordinary income in each taxable year the excess, if any, of the fair market value of the common stock at the end of the taxable year over such holder's adjusted tax basis in the common stock. The U.S. Holder would also be permitted an ordinary loss in respect of the excess, if any, of the U.S. Holder's adjusted tax basis in the common stock over its fair market value at the end of the taxable year, but only to the extent of the net amount previously included in income as a result of the mark-to-market election. A U.S. Holder's tax basis in his common stock would be adjusted to reflect any such income or loss amount. Gain realized on the sale, exchange or other disposition of our common stock would be treated as ordinary income, and any loss realized on the sale, exchange or other disposition of the common stock would be treated as ordinary loss to the extent that such loss does not exceed the net mark-to-market gains previously included by the U.S. Holder. It should be noted that the mark-to-market election would likely not be available for any of our subsidiaries which are treated as PFICs.

#### Taxation of U.S. Holders Not Making a Timely QEF or Mark-to-Market Election

Finally, if we were to be treated as a PFIC for any taxable year, a U.S. Holder who does not make either a QEF election or a "mark-to-market" election for that year, whom we refer to as a "Non-Electing Holder," would be subject to special rules with respect to (1) any excess distribution (i.e., the portion of any distributions received by the Non-Electing Holder on our common stock in a taxable year in excess of 125 percent of the average annual distributions received by the Non-Electing Holder in the three preceding taxable years, or, if shorter, the Non-Electing Holder's holding period for the common stock), and (2) any gain realized on the sale, exchange or other disposition of our common stock. Under these special rules:

- the excess distribution or gain would be allocated ratably over the Non-Electing Holders' aggregate holding period for the common stock;
- the amount allocated to the current taxable year and any taxable year before we became a PFIC would be taxed as ordinary income; and
- the amount allocated to each of the other taxable years would be subject to tax at the highest rate of tax in effect for the applicable class of taxpayer for that year, and an interest charge for the deemed deferral benefit would be imposed with respect to the resulting tax attributable to each such other taxable year.

These penalties would not apply to a pension or profit sharing trust or other tax-exempt organization that did not borrow funds or otherwise utilize leverage in connection with its acquisition of our common stock. If a Non-Electing Holder who is an individual dies while owning our common stock, such holder's successor generally would not receive a step-up in tax basis with respect to such stock.

#### United States Federal Income Taxation of "Non-U.S. Holders"

A beneficial owner of common stock that is not a U.S. Holder is referred to herein as a "Non-U.S. Holder."

#### Dividends on Common Stock

Non-U.S. Holders generally will not be subject to United States federal income tax or withholding tax on dividends received from us with respect to our common stock, unless that income is effectively connected with the Non-U.S. Holder's conduct of a trade or business in the United States. If the Non-U.S. Holder is entitled to the benefits of a United States income tax treaty with respect to those dividends, that income is taxable only if it is attributable to a permanent establishment maintained by the Non-U.S. Holder in the United States.

#### Sale, Exchange or Other Disposition of Common Stock

Non-U.S. Holders generally will not be subject to United States federal income tax or withholding tax on any gain realized upon the sale, exchange or other disposition of our common stock, unless:

- the gain is effectively connected with the Non-U.S. Holder's conduct of a trade or business in the United States. If the Non-U.S. Holder is entitled to the benefits of an income tax treaty with respect to that gain, that gain is taxable only if it is attributable to a permanent establishment maintained by the Non-U.S. Holder in the United States; or
- the Non-U.S. Holder is an individual who is present in the United States for 183 days or more during the taxable year of disposition and other conditions are met.

If the Non-U.S. Holder is engaged in a United States trade or business for United States federal income tax purposes, the income from the common stock, including dividends and the gain from the sale, exchange or other disposition of the stock that is effectively connected with the conduct of that trade or business will generally be subject to regular United States federal income tax in the same manner as discussed in the previous section relating to the taxation of U.S. Holders. In addition, if you are a corporate Non-U.S. Holder, your earnings and profits that are attributable to the effectively connected income, which are subject to certain adjustments, may be subject to an additional branch profits tax at a rate of 30 percent, or at a lower rate as may be specified by an applicable income tax treaty.

#### Backup Withholding and Information Reporting

In general, dividend payments, or other taxable distributions, made within the United States to you will be subject to information reporting requirements. Such payments will also be subject to backup withholding tax if paid to a non-corporate U.S. Holder who:

- fails to provide an accurate taxpayer identification number;
- is notified by the Internal Revenue Service that he has failed to report all interest or dividends required to be shown on his federal income tax returns; or
- in certain circumstances, fails to comply with applicable certification requirements.

Non-U.S. Holders may be required to establish their exemption from information reporting and backup withholding by certifying their status on Internal Revenue Service Form W-8BEN, W-8ECI or W-8IMY, as applicable.

If a Non-U.S. Holder sells his common stock to or through a United States office or broker, the payment of the proceeds is subject to both United States backup withholding and information reporting unless the Non-U.S. Holder certifies that he is a non-U.S. person, under penalties of perjury, or otherwise establishes an exemption. If a Non-U.S. Holder sells his common stock through a non-United States office of a non-United States broker and the sales proceeds are paid to the Non-U.S. Holder outside the United States then information reporting and backup withholding generally will not apply to that payment. However, United States information reporting requirements, but not backup withholding, will apply to a payment of sales proceeds, even if that payment is made to a Non-U.S. Holder outside the United States, if the Non-U.S. Holder sells common stock through a non-United States office of a broker that is a United States person or has some other contacts with the United States.

Backup withholding tax is not an additional tax. Rather, a taxpayer generally may obtain a refund of any amounts withheld under backup withholding rules that exceed the taxpayer's income tax liability by filing a refund claim with the Internal Revenue Service.

#### Other Tax Considerations

In addition to the tax consequences discussed above, we may be subject to tax in one or more other jurisdictions where we conduct activities. The amount of any such tax imposed upon our operations may be material.

#### F. DIVIDENDS AND PAYING AGENTS

During the year ended December 31, 2008, the Company paid cash dividends of \$1.75 per common share, or a total of \$688 million. On November 5, 2009, the Company declared a dividend of \$0.50 per share, which was paid on December 7, 2009. On February 25, 2010, the Company declared a dividend of \$0.55 per share which is payable on or about March 26, 2010. We refer you to the section of this registration statement entitled Item 8. "Financial Information – Consolidated Statements and Other Information – Dividend Policy" for a discussion of our dividend policy.

Notwithstanding the aforementioned, the Company is unaware of any dividend restrictions and has no specific procedures for non-resident holders to claim dividends but might expect to pay their dividends in the same manner as resident holders. The Company expects to appoint BNYMellon as its registrar and transfer agent in the United States and as its paying agent for dividends in the United States.

#### G. STATEMENT BY EXPERTS

The consolidated financial statements of the Company with respect to the fiscal years ended December 31, 2008, 2007, and 2006, have been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers AS, independent registered public accounting firm, Forus Atrium, Postboks 8017 NO-4068, Stavanger, Norway, as stated in their report appearing herein, and is included in reliance upon the report of such firm given upon their authority as experts in accounting and auditing and their consent and authorization. The audit partners of PricewaterhouseCoopers AS are members of the Norwegian Institute of Public Accountants.

#### H. DOCUMENTS ON DISPLAY

When the Commission declares this Registration Statement effective, we will be subject to the informational requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. In accordance with these requirements we will file reports and other information with the Commission. These materials, including this registration statement on Form 20-F and the accompanying exhibits may be inspected and copied at the public reference facilities maintained by the Commission at 100 F Street, NE, Room 1580, Washington, D.C. 20549. You may obtain information on the operation of the public reference room by calling 1 (800) SEC-0330, and you may obtain copies at prescribed rates from the Public Reference Section of the Commission at its principal office in Washington, D.C. The Commission maintains a website (<http://www.sec.gov>) that contains reports, proxy and information statements and other information regarding registrants that file electronically with the Commission. In addition, documents referred to in this registration statement on Form 20-F may be inspected at the offices of Seadrill Management AS at Løkkeveien 111, 4007 Stavanger, Norway.

#### I. SUBSIDIARY INFORMATION

Not applicable

#### ITEM 11. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We are exposed to various market risks, including foreign currency fluctuations, changes in interest rates, equity and credit risk. Our policy is to hedge our exposure to these risks where possible, within boundaries deemed appropriate by management. We accomplish this by entering into a variety of derivative instruments and contracts to maintain the desired level of risk exposure. We may enter into derivative instruments from time to time for speculative purposes.

##### Foreign Exchange Risk

The Company and the majority of its subsidiaries use the U.S. dollar as their functional currency because the majority of their revenues and expenses are denominated in U.S. dollars. Accordingly, the Company's reporting currency is also U.S. dollars. We do, however, earn revenue and incur expenses in other currencies and there is thus a risk that currency fluctuations could have an adverse effect on the value of our cash flows.

Our foreign currency risk arises from:

- the measurement of debt and other monetary assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies converted to U.S. dollars, with the resulting gain or loss recorded as "Other financial items";
- changes in the fair value of foreign currency forward contracts, which are recorded as "Other financial items";

- the impact of fluctuations in exchange rates on the reported amounts of our revenues and expenses which are contracted in foreign currencies; and
- foreign subsidiaries whose accounts are not maintained in U.S. dollars, which when converted into U.S. dollars can result in exchange adjustments which are recorded as a component in shareholders' equity.

We use foreign currency forward contracts to manage our exposure to foreign currency risk on certain assets, liabilities and future anticipated transactions. Such derivative contracts do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment and are recorded in the balance sheet under "Other current assets" if the forward contracts have a net positive fair value, and under "Other current liabilities" if the forward contracts have a net negative fair value, with changes in the fair value recorded in the statement of operations under "Other financial items". At December 31, 2008 we had various forward contracts to sell approximately \$474 million for Norwegian kroner and Singapore dollars at exchange rates ranging from NOK/US\$5.69 to NOK/US\$7.05 between January 2009 and September 2012 and from SGD/US\$1.43 to SGD/US\$1.47. The fair value of our currency forward contracts as at December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007 was as follows:

(In millions of U.S. dollars)	December 31, 2008		December 31, 2007	
	Notional Amount	Fair value	Notional Amount	Fair Value
Other current assets (liabilities)	474	(21)	388	17

A 1% change in the exchange rate between the U.S. dollar and the bought forward currencies would result in a fair value gain or loss of \$4.7 million that would be reflected in our Consolidated Statements of Operations, based on our currency forward contracts as at December 31, 2008. At September 30, 2009 we had forward contracts to sell approximately \$312 million.

#### Interest Rate Risk

A significant portion of our debt obligations and surplus funds placed with financial institutions are subject to movements in interest rates. It is our policy to obtain the most favorable interest rates available without increasing our foreign currency exposure. In keeping with this, our surplus funds are placed in fixed deposits with reputable financial institutions which yield better returns than bank deposits. The deposits generally have short-term maturities so as to provide us with the flexibility to meet working capital and capital investments.

We use interest rate swaps to manage our exposure to interest rate risks. Interest rate swaps are used to convert floating rate debt obligations to a fixed rate in order to achieve an overall desired position of fixed and floating rate debt. The extent to which interest rate swaps are used is determined by reference to our net debt exposure and our views regarding future interest rates. Most of our interest rate swaps do not qualify for hedge accounting and movements in their fair values are reflected in the statement of operations under "Other financial items". Interest rate swap agreements that have a positive fair value are recorded as "Other current assets", while swaps with a negative fair value are recorded as "Other current liabilities".

At December 31, 2008 we had entered into interest rate swap agreements with a combined notional principal amount of approximately \$1.78 billion at rates between 3.16% per annum and 4.63% per annum. The swap agreements mature between December 2011 and December 2018. The fair values of our interest rate swaps as at December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007 were as follows:





(In millions of U.S. dollars)	December 31, 2008		December 31, 2007	
	Notional Amount	Fair value	Notional Amount	Fair Value
Other current assets (liabilities)	1,784	(146)	103	3

In addition to the above interest rate swaps, two of our fully-consolidated VIEs have executed interest rate cash flow hedges in the form of interest rate swaps. Movements in their fair value are reflected in "Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)", with their fair value recorded as "Other current assets" or "Other current liabilities". At December 31, 2008, the fully-consolidated VIEs had entered into interest rate swap agreements with a combined notional principal amount of \$1.14 billion at rates between 2.19% per annum and 3.89% per annum. These swap agreements mature between October 2012 and August 2013, and their fair values as at December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007 were as follows:

(In millions of U.S. dollars)	December 31, 2008		December 31, 2007	
	Notional Amount	Fair value	Notional Amount	Fair Value
Other current assets (liabilities)	1,139	(55)	-	-

At December 31, 2008, our net exposure to interest rate fluctuations on our outstanding debt was \$3.04 billion, compared with \$3.50 billion at December 31 2007. This net exposure is based on our \$5.96 billion of floating rate debt less the \$1.78 billion notional principal covered by our interest rate swaps and the \$1.14 billion notional principal of our VIEs' interest rate hedges at December 3, 2008. A 1% change in interest rates would thus increase or decrease our interest expense by approximately \$30 million per year as of December 31, 2008 (December 31, 2007: \$35 million). As at September 30, 2009 our net exposure to interest rate fluctuations was \$0.66 billion, based on our floating rate debt of \$5.99 billion, interest rate swaps with a notional principal of \$4.03 billion and interest rate hedges with a notional principal of \$1.30 billion.

#### Equity risk

At December 31, 2008, we had entered into a TRS contract indexed to 4,500,000 of our own shares, whereby we carry the risk of fluctuations in the market price of our shares. The settlement amount for the contract will be (A) the market value of the shares at the date of settlement plus the amount of dividends paid on the shares by us between entering into and settling the contract, less (B) the reference price of the shares agreed at the inception of the contract plus the counterparty's financing costs. Settlement will be either a payment from or to the counterparty, depending on whether (A) is more or less than (B). The contract was scheduled to expire in February 2009 and the agreed reference price was NOK56.70 per common share. The open position at December 31, 2008, exposes us to market risk associated with our share price, and it is estimated that a 10% reduction in the price below the value at December 31, 2008, would generate an adverse fair value adjustment of up to \$3.5 million, which would be recorded in the Statement of Operations. A 10% reduction in the price below the value at September 30, 2009, would generate an adverse fair value adjustment of up to \$9.3 million. In February 2010, the number of shares underlying the TRS agreement was reduced by 1,000,000 shares to 3,500,000 shares and the agreement was extended to February 2011. Early termination of this TRS agreement is possible. The new reference price is NOK131.18 per common share

In addition to the above TRS transaction indexed to our own shares, we may from time to time enter into short-term TRS arrangements relating to securities in other companies.



The fair market value of our \$1.00 billion 3.625% convertible bonds at December 31, 2008, was \$0.51 billion (2007: \$1.03 billion). At September 30, 2009, the fair market values of our \$1.00 billion 3.625% convertible bonds and \$0.50 billion 4.875% convertible bonds were \$0.91 billion and \$0.52 billion, respectively.

#### Concentration of credit risk

The market for our services is the offshore oil and gas industry, and the customers consist primarily of major integrated oil companies, independent oil and gas producers and government-owned oil companies. We perform ongoing credit evaluations of our customers and generally do not require collateral in our business agreements. Reserves for potential credit losses are maintained when necessary.

The following table shows those of our customers who have generated more than nine percent of our contract revenues in one of the periods shown:

Customer	Nine months	Year ended	Year ended
	ended September 30, 2009	December 31, 2008	December 31, 2007
Statoil	22%	32%	33%
Shell	10%	7%	13%
Petrobras	10%	-	-
Exxon	10%	5%	6%
Total	9%	5%	8%
Other customers	39%	51%	40%
Total	100%	100%	100%

We may also face credit related losses in the event that counterparties to our derivative financial instrument contracts do not perform according to the terms of the contract. The credit risk arising from these counterparties relates to unrealized profits from foreign exchange forward contracts and interest rate swaps. We generally do not require collateral for our financial instrument contracts. We do, however, enter into master netting agreements with our counterparties to derivative financial instrument contracts to mitigate our exposure to counterparty credit risks. These agreements provide us with the legal right to discharge all or a portion of amounts owed to a counterparty by offsetting against them any amounts that the counterparty may owe us.

In the opinion of management, our counterparties are creditworthy financial institutions, and we do not expect any significant loss to result from their non-performance. The credit exposure of interest rate swap agreements, currency option contracts and foreign currency contracts is represented by the fair value of contracts with a positive fair value at the end of each period, reduced by the effects of master netting agreements.

## ITEM 12. DESCRIPTION OF SECURITIES OTHER THAN EQUITY SECURITIES

### A. DEBT SECURITIES

Not applicable.

### B. WARRANTS AND RIGHTS

Not applicable.

C. OTHER SECURITIES

Not applicable.

97

---

D. AMERICAN DEPOSITORY SHARES

Not applicable.

PART II

ITEM 13. DEFAULTS, DIVIDEND ARREARAGES AND DELINQUENCIES

Not applicable.

ITEM 14. MATERIAL MODIFICATIONS TO THE RIGHTS OF SECURITY HOLDERS AND USE OF PROCEEDS

Not applicable.

ITEM 15. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Not applicable.

ITEM 16. RESERVED

ITEM 16A. AUDIT COMMITTEE FINANCIAL EXPERT.

Not applicable.

ITEM 16B. CODE OF ETHICS

Not applicable.

ITEM 16C. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

Not applicable.

ITEM 16D. EXEMPTIONS FROM THE LISTING STANDARDS FOR AUDIT COMMITTEES

Not applicable.

ITEM 16E. PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES BY THE ISSUER AND AFFILIATED PURCHASERS

Not applicable.

ITEM 16F. CHANGE IN REGISTRANT'S CERTIFYING ACCOUNTANT

Not applicable.

ITEM 16G. CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Not applicable.



PART III

ITEM 17. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

See Item 18.

ITEM 18. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following financial statements listed below and set forth on pages F-1 through F-77 are filed as part of this registration statement on Form 20-F:

Consolidated Financial Statements of Seadrill Limited

Index to Consolidated Financial Statements of Seadrill Limited	F-1
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm – PricewaterhouseCoopers AS	F-2
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006	F-4
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2008 and 2007	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006	F-6
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006	F-8
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-9
Index to Unaudited Interim Financial Statements	F-58
Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008	F-59
Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008	F-60
Unaudited Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008	F-61
Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008	F-62
Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the nine months ended September 30, 2009	F-64

Edgar Filing: SeaDrill LTD - Form 20FR12B

Notes to Unaudited Interim Consolidated Financial Statements	F-65
Appendix A	
Updated Unaudited Interim Financial Information Related to the Fourth Quarter of 2009	
Index to Unaudited Interim Financial Statements	A-1
Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and twelve months ended December 31, 2009 and 2008	A-2
Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three and twelve months ended December 31, 2009 and 2008	A-3
Unaudited Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2009 and 2008	A-4
Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the twelve months ended December 31, 2009 and 2008	A-5
Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the twelve months ended December 30, 2009	A-7
Notes to Unaudited Interim Financial Statements	A-8
Appendix B	
Consolidated Financial Statements of Scorpion Offshore Ltd. for the years ended June 30, 2009, 2008 and 2007	
Index to Consolidated Financial Statements of Scorpion Offshore Ltd.	B-1
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm - Ernst & Young LLP	B-2
Consolidated Balance Sheets for the years ended June 30, 2009 and 2008	B-3
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended June 30, 2009, 2008 and 2007	B-4
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the years ended June 30, 2009, 2008, 2007 and 2006	B-5
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended June 30, 2009, 2008 and 2007	B-6
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements	B-7

ITEM 19. EXHIBITS

Exhibit Number	Description
1.1	Memorandum of Association of Seadrill Limited
1.2	Bye-Laws of Seadrill Limited as adopted by the sole shareholder on May 13, 2005 and as amended by resolution of the shareholders at the



Edgar Filing: SeaDrill LTD - Form 20FR12B

- Annual General Meeting held on December 1, 2006 and as further amended by resolution of the shareholders at the Annual General Meeting held on September 28, 2007
- 1.3 Certificate of Incorporation of SeaDrill Limited delivered May 10, 2005
- 1.4 Certificate of Deposit of Memorandum of Increase of Share Capital delivered May 13, 2005
- 1.5 Certificate of Deposit of Memorandum of Increase of Share Capital delivered August 8, 2005
- 1.6 Certificate of Deposit of Memorandum of Increase of Share Capital delivered December 20, 2006
- 1.7 Certificate of Incorporation on Name Change delivered December 20, 2006
- 2.1 Form of Common Stock Certificat
- 4.1 Share Option Scheme dated December 1, 2006
- 4.2 BermudaTax Assurance
- 8.1 Subsidiaries of the Company
- 15.1 Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers AS
- 15.2 Consent of Ernst & Young LLP
- 15.3 Consent of Seward & Kissel LLP

Index to Consolidated Financial Statements of Seadrill Limited

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-2
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007, and 2006	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007, and 2006	F-4
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2008 and 2007	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Cashflows for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007, and 2006	F-6
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007, and 2006	F-8
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-9

F-1

---

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of Seadrill Limited:

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and the related consolidated statements of operations, consolidated statements of cash flows, consolidated statements of comprehensive income and consolidated statements of changes in shareholders' equity present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Seadrill Limited and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2008 and 2007, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described in Note 35, the accompanying consolidated cash flows statements for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 have been restated.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers AS

PricewaterhouseCoopers AS

Stavanger, Norway

November 19, 2009 except for Note 35, as to which the date is January 21, 2010

## Seadrill Limited

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS  
for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

(In millions of US dollar, except per share data)

	2008	2007	2006
	(as adjusted)	(as adjusted)	(as adjusted)
Operating revenues			
Contract revenues	1,867.8	1,318.5	942.3
Reimbursables	163.5	146.6	109.0
Other revenues	74.5	87.0	103.3
Total operating revenues	2,105.8	1,552.1	1,154.6
Gain on sale of assets	80.1	124.2	-
Operating expenses			
Vessel and rig operating expenses	1,021.6	755.4	587.8
Reimbursable expenses	156.6	139.4	103.4
Depreciation and amortization	233.2	182.9	167.6
General and administrative expenses	125.8	109.8	69.7
Total operating expenses	1,537.2	1,187.5	928.5
Net operating income	648.7	488.8	226.1
Financial items			
Interest income	30.9	23.6	14.0
Interest expenses	(130.0 )	(112.7 )	(79.8 )
Share in results from associated companies	15.6	23.2	26.6
Gain on sale of associated companies	150.5	-	-
Impairment loss on marketable securities and investments in associated companies	(615.0 )	-	-
(Loss) / gain on derivative financial instruments	(353.3 )	6.9	-
Foreign exchange gain (loss)	130.8	(52.9 )	(3.6 )
Other financial items	22.2	9.8	83.6
Total financial items	(748.3 )	(102.1 )	40.8
(Loss)/income before income taxes	(99.6 )	386.7	266.9
Income taxes	(48.3 )	78.3	(22.4 )
Gain on issuance of shares by subsidiary	25.2	50.0	-
Net (loss) / income	(122.7 )	515.0	244.5
Net (loss) / income attributable to the parent	(164.4 )	502.0	214.1
Net income attributable to the non-controlling interest	41.7	13.0	30.4
Basic (loss)/earnings per share (US dollar)	(0.41 )	1.28	0.61

Diluted (loss)/earnings per share (US dollar)	(0.41	)	1.20	0.61
---	-------	---	------	------

See accompanying notes that are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

F-3

---

## Seadrill Limited

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME  
for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

(In millions of US dollar, except per share data)

	2008	2007	2006
	(as adjusted)	(as adjusted)	(as adjusted)
Net (loss) / income	(122.7 )	515.0	244.5
Other comprehensive (loss) / income, net of tax:			
Change in unrealized (loss) / gain on marketable securities	(61.9 )	61.9	(82.4 )
Change in unrealized foreign exchange differences	(28.2 )	33.9	51.8
Change in actuarial (loss) / gain relating to pension	(5.8 )	7.1	(2.7 )
Change in fair value of interest rate swaps in VIEs	(55.2 )	-	-
Other comprehensive (loss) / income:	(151.1 )	102.9	(33.3 )
Total comprehensive (loss) / income for the year	(273.8 )	617.9	211.2
Comprehensive (loss) / income attributable to the parent	(315.5 )	604.9	180.8
Comprehensive (loss) / income attributable to the non-controlling interest	41.7	13.0	30.4
The total balance of accumulated other comprehensive income as at December 31 is made up as follows:			
Unrealized gain / (loss) on marketable securities	-	61.9	-
Unrealized gain / (loss) on foreign exchange	57.5	85.7	51.8
Actuarial gain / (loss) relating to pension	(1.4 )	4.4	(2.7 )
Fair value gain / (loss) in VIEs	(55.2 )	-	-
Accumulated other comprehensive income / (loss) at December 31	0.9	152.0	49.1

Note: All items of other comprehensive income / (loss) are stated net of tax.

The applicable amount of income taxes associated with each component of other comprehensive income is \$0 due to the fact that the items relate to companies domiciled in non-taxable jurisdictions.

See accompanying notes that are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements

F-4

---

## Seadrill Limited

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

as of December 31, 2008 and 2007

(In millions of US dollar)

	2008 (as adjusted)	2007 (as adjusted)
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	376.4	997.0
Restricted cash	280.7	15.9
Marketable securities	134.7	240.4
Accounts receivables, net	341.1	220.5
Amount due from related party	115.0	-
Other current assets	415.9	223.1
Total current assets	1,663.8	1,696.9
Non-current assets		
Investment in associated companies	240.1	176.1
Newbuildings	3,660.5	3,339.8
Drilling units	4,645.5	2,451.9
Goodwill	1,547.3	1,509.5
Other intangible assets	20.1	-
Restricted cash	345.9	-
Deferred tax assets	9.7	3.7
Equipment	83.1	61.4
Other non-current assets	88.5	53.8
Total non-current assets	10,640.7	7,596.2
Total assets	12,304.5	9,293.1
<b>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Current liabilities		
Current portion of long-term debt	746.1	484.1
Trade accounts payable	119.8	167.3
Other current liabilities	1,191.9	503.3
Total current liabilities	2,057.8	1,154.7
Non-current liabilities		
Long-term interest bearing debt	6,690.7	4,116.4
Deferred taxes	125.0	96.1
Other non-current liabilities	209.0	198.1
Total non-current liabilities	7,024.7	4,410.6
Commitments and contingencies	-	-
Shareholders' equity		
Common shares of par value US\$2.00 per share:		
800,000,000 shares authorized		



Edgar Filing: SeaDrill LTD - Form 20FR12B

398,415,416 outstanding at December 31 2008 (2007: 398,524,516)	796.9	797.1
Additional paid-in capital	35.9	1,981.6
Contributed surplus	1,955.4	-
Accumulated other comprehensive income	0.9	152.0
Accumulated (deficit)/earnings	(159.9 )	692.5
Non-controlling interest	592.8	104.6
Total shareholders' equity	3,222.0	3,727.8
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	12,304.5	9,293.1

See accompanying notes that are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

F-5

---

## Seadrill Limited

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS  
for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

(In millions of US dollar)

	2008 (Restated) (as adjusted)	2007 (Restated) (as adjusted)	2006 (as adjusted)
<b>Cash Flows from Operating Activities</b>			
Net (loss)/income	(122.7 )	515.0	244.5
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	233.2	182.9	167.6
Amortization of deferred loan charges	12.7	14.0	6.3
Amortization of unfavorable contracts	(65.3 )	(87.0 )	(113.6 )
Impairment loss on marketable securities and investments in associated companies	615.0	-	-
Share of results from associated companies	(15.6 )	(23.2 )	(26.6 )
Share-based compensation expense	14.9	15.1	9.6
Gain on disposal of fixed assets	(80.1 )	(124.2 )	-
Gain on issuance of shares in subsidiary	(25.2 )	(50.0 )	-
Gain on disposal of associated companies	(150.5 )	-	-
Unrealized loss (gain) related to derivative financial instruments	168.8	(19.8 )	(9.1 )
Realized gain on disposal of other investments	(22.2 )	(9.8 )	(83.6 )
Dividend received from associated company	-	5.4	-
Deferred income tax expense	22.6	(134.6 )	22.4
Unrealized foreign exchange (gain) loss on long term interest bearing debt	(79.2 )	65.6	9.6
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of effect of acquisitions			
Unrecognized mobilization fees received from customers	77.8	-	-
Trade accounts receivable	(83.0 )	(26.4 )	(51.6 )
Trade accounts payable	(62.8 )	31.6	70.8
Prepaid expenses/accrued revenue	(95.6 )	8.3	(68.9 )
Other, net	58.2	123.1	(3.2 )
Net cash provided by operating activities	401.0	486.0	174.2

See accompanying notes that are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

## Seadrill Limited

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS  
for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

(In millions of US dollar)

	2008 (as adjusted)	2007 (as adjusted)	2006 (as adjusted)
<b>Cash Flows from Investing Activities</b>			
Additions to newbuildings	(2,591.2 )	(1,568.0 )	(1,159.7 )
Additions to rigs and equipment	(176.3 )	(169.6 )	(36.1 )
Sale of rigs and equipment	103.8	199.9	7.6
Investment in subsidiaries, net of cash acquired	(173.2 )	(355.8 )	(2,183.0 )
Change in margin calls and other restricted cash	(610.7 )	(15.9 )	-
Investment in associated companies	(369.2 )	-	(4.9 )
Short term loan to related parties	(115.0 )	-	-
Proceeds on issuance of shares in subsidiary	25.2	50.0	-
Purchase of marketable securities	(309.9 )	(141.4 )	(126.8 )
Disposal of associated company	221.0	83.3	-
Sale of marketable securities	148.1	49.3	322.6
Net cash used in investing activities	(3,847.4 )	(1,868.2 )	(3,180.3 )
<b>Cash Flows from Financing Activities</b>			
Proceeds from issuance of short and long term debt	5,150.0	3,947.4	2,078.0
Repayments of short term capital lease obligations	-	(0.1 )	(11.3 )
Repayments of short and long term debt	(2,107.7 )	(2,211.7 )	(642.6 )
Debt fees paid	(30.1 )	(21.1 )	(31.9 )
Change in current liability related to share forward contracts	67.6	109.0	-
Contribution by non-controlling interests	440.1	40.0	45.0
Purchase of treasury shares	(13.7 )	(21.2 )	-
Sale of treasury shares	8.3	21.4	-
Paid dividend	(688.1 )	-	-
Proceeds from issuance of equity	-	303.9	1,724.4
Net cash provided by financing activities	2,826.4	2,167.6	3,161.6
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(0.6 )	1.2	3.1
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	(620.6 )	786.6	158.6
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of the year	997.0	210.4	51.8
Cash and cash equivalents at the end of the year	376.4	997.0	210.4
<b>Supplementary disclosure of cash flow information</b>			
Interest paid	245.4	247.0	108.1
Taxes paid	52.0	13.5	11.6

See accompanying notes that are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

F-7

---

## Seadrill Limited

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY  
for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

(In millions of US dollar)

	Share capital	Additional paid-in capital	Contributed surplus	Accumulated other comprehensive income	Non-controlling interest	Retained earnings (as adjusted)	Total shareholders' equity (as adjusted)
Balance at December 31, 2005	458.3	267.1	-	82.4	1.4	(7.6)	801.6
Shares issued, net of issuance costs	308.0	1,416.4	-	-	-	-	1,724.4
Employee stock options issued		9.6		-		-	9.6
Unrealized gain / (loss) on marketable securities				(82.4)		-	(82.4)
Unrealized foreign exchange differences				51.8		-	51.8
Changes in actuarial gain / (loss) relating to pension				(2.7)		-	(2.7)
Changes in non-controlling interest					180.2		180.2
Net income					30.4	214.1	244.5
Balance at December 31, 2006	766.3	1,693.1	-	49.1	212.0	206.5	2,927.0
Shares issued, net of issuance costs	32.0	271.9					303.9
Employee stock options issued		15.2					15.2
Unrealized gain / (loss) on marketable securities				61.9			61.9
Unrealized foreign exchange differences				33.9			33.9
Changes in actuarial gain / (loss) relating to pension				7.1			7.1
Effect of shares issued to non-controlling interest						(16.0)	(16.0)
Net purchase of treasury shares	(1.2)	1.4					0.2
Changes in non-controlling interest					(120.4)		(120.4)
Net income					13.0	502.0	515.0
Balance at December 31, 2007	797.1	1,981.6	-	152.0	104.6	692.5	3,727.8
Employee stock options issued		14.8					14.8
				(61.9)			(61.9)

Unrealized gain / (loss) on marketable securities							
Unrealized foreign exchange differences				(28.2)			(28.2)
Changes in actuarial gain / (loss) relating to pension				(5.8)			(5.8)
Net purchase of treasury shares	(0.2)	(5.1)					(5.3)
Change in fair value of interest rate swaps in VIEs				(55.2)			(55.2)
Changes in non-controlling interest						446.5	446.5
Transfer between categories	(1,955.4)	1,955.4					-
Dividend payment						(688.0)	(688.0)
Net income				-		41.7 (164.4)	(122.7)
Balance at December 31, 2008	796.9	35.9	1,955.4	0.9		592.8 (159.9)	3,222.0

See accompanying notes that are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements

#### Note 1- General information

Seadrill Limited ("Seadrill" or the "Company"), a publicly listed company on the Oslo Stock Exchange, was incorporated in Bermuda in May 2005. Assisted by the acquisition of other companies and investment in newbuildings, Seadrill has developed into an international offshore drilling contractor providing services within drilling and well services, and at December 31, 2008 had an interest in 38 offshore drilling units, including 11 units under construction. The Company's versatile fleet consists of drillships, jack-up rigs, semi-submersible rigs and tender rigs for operations in shallow and deepwater areas, as well as benign and harsh environments. In addition to owning and operating offshore mobile drilling units and tender rigs, the Company provides platform drilling, well intervention and engineering services through the separately over-the-counter ("OTC") listed subsidiary company Seawell Limited ("Seawell"), a Bermuda company in which the Company owned 74% at December 31, 2008.

As used herein, and unless otherwise required by the context, the term "Seadrill" refers to Seadrill Limited and the terms "Company", "we", "Group", "our" and words of similar import refer to Seadrill and its consolidated companies. The use herein of such terms as group, organization, we, us, our and its, or references to specific entities, is not intended to be a precise description of corporate relationships.

#### Basis of presentation

The financial statements are presented in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America (US GAAP). The amounts are presented in United States dollar rounded to the nearest hundred thousand, unless otherwise stated. The financial statements have been adjusted to reflect the implementation of SFAS No. 160 "Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements – an amendment of ARB No.51" (currently Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 810 Consolidation).

The accompanying consolidated financial statements present the financial position of Seadrill Limited, the consolidated subsidiaries and the group's interest in associated entities. Investments in companies in which the Company directly or indirectly holds more than 50 per cent of the voting control are consolidated in the financial statements, as well as certain variable interest entities in which the Company is deemed to be subject to a majority of the risk of loss from the variable interest entity's activities or entitled to receive a majority of the entity's residual returns, or both.

In accordance with US GAAP, Seawell's acquisition of the Noble Corporation North Sea Platform division ("Noble"), Peak Well Solutions AS ("Peak") and TecWel AS ("TechWel") in 2008, the step-up acquisition of Eastern Drilling ASA ("Eastern Drilling") in 2007, the acquisition of Smedvig ASA ("Smedvig"), Mosvold Drilling Ltd ("Mosvold") and Eastern Drilling in 2006 have been accounted for as purchases in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141 "Business Combinations" (currently Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 805 Business Combinations). The fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed were included in the Company's consolidated financial statements beginning on the date when control was achieved. Derivative financial instruments, financial instruments that are held for trading or classified as available-for-sale and other investments in entities owned less than 20 percent where the Company does not exercise significant influence, are recognized at fair value if fair value is readily determinable.

Non-current assets and disposal groups held for sale are stated at the lower of their carrying amount or fair value less costs of sale.

The accounting policies set out below have been applied consistently to all periods in these consolidated financial statements.

#### Basis of consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include controlled entities, which are those where the Company's voting interests exceed 50 percent or the Company has an interest in a Variable Interest Entity ("VIE") and the Company has been determined to be the primary beneficiary.

A variable interest entity ("VIE") is a legal entity where either (a) equity interest holders as a group lack the characteristics of a controlling financial interest, including: decision making ability and an interest in the entity's residual risks and rewards or (b) the equity holders have not provided sufficient equity investment to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support, or where (c) the voting rights of some investors are not proportional to their obligations to absorb the expected losses of the entity, their rights to receive the expected residual returns of the entity, or both and substantially all of the entity's activities either involve or are conducted on behalf of an investor that has disproportionately few voting rights. ASC Topic 810 Consolidation (formerly FIN 46(R)) requires a variable interest entity to be consolidated if any of its interest holders are entitled to a majority of the entity's residual return or are exposed to a majority of its expected losses.

Investment in companies in which the Company holds between 20 percent and 50 percent of an ownership interest, and over which the Company exercises significant influence, but does not consolidate, are accounted for using the equity method. The Company records its investments in associated companies and its share of earnings or losses in the consolidated statements of operations as "Share in results from associated companies". The excess, if any, of purchase price over book value of the Company's investments in equity method investees is included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets in "Investment in associated companies".

Investments in companies in which the Company's ownership is less than 20 percent are valued at fair value unless it is not possible to estimate fair value, then the cost method is used.

Intercompany transactions and internal sales have been eliminated on consolidation. Unrealized gains and losses arising from transactions with associates are eliminated to the extent of the Company's interest in the entity.

#### Note 2- Accounting policies

##### Use of estimates

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

##### Contract revenue

A substantial majority of the Company's revenues are derived from dayrate based drilling contracts (which may include lump sum fees for mobilization and demobilization), and other service contracts. Both day rate based and lump sum fee revenues are recognized ratably over the contract period when services are rendered. Under some



contracts, the Company is entitled to additional payments for exceeding performance targets. Such additional payments are recognized when any uncertainties are resolved or upon completion of the drilling program.

F-10

---

In connection with drilling contracts, the Company may receive lump sum fees for the mobilization of equipment and personnel or for capital additions and upgrades prior to commencement of drilling services. These up-front fees are recognized as revenue over the contract term, excluding option periods.

In some cases, the Company may receive lump sum non-contingent fees or dayrate fees from customers for demobilization upon completion of a drilling program. Non-contingent demobilization fees are recognized as revenue over contract term, excluding option periods not exercised. Contingent demobilization fees are recognized as earned upon completion.

Fees received from customers under drilling contracts for capital upgrades are deferred and recognized over the contract term, excluding option periods not exercised.

#### Reimbursables

Reimbursements received for the purchase of supplies, personnel services and other services provided at the request of the Company's customers in accordance with a contract or agreement are recorded as revenue. The related costs are recorded as reimbursable expenses in the same period.

#### Other revenues

In a business combination there may exist favorable and unfavorable drilling contracts which are recorded at fair value at the date of acquisition when the purchase price allocation is prepared. A favorable or unfavorable drilling contract is a contract that has a dayrate which differs from prevailing rates at the time of acquisition. The net present value of such contracts is recorded as an asset or liability at the purchase date and subsequently recognized as revenue or reduction to revenue over the contract term.

#### Other operating items

Gain from sale of assets is classified on a separate line item.

#### Mobilization and demobilization expenses

Demobilization costs are costs to return a vessel or drilling rig to a safe harbor or geographic area and are expensed as incurred.

Mobilization costs incurred as part of a contract are capitalized and recognized as expense over the contract term, excluding option periods not exercised. The costs of relocating drilling units that are not under contract are expensed as incurred.

#### Repairs, maintenance and periodic surveys

Costs related to periodic overhauls of drilling units are capitalized under drilling units and amortized over the anticipated period between overhauls, which is generally five years. Related costs are primarily shipyard costs and the cost of employees directly involved in the work. Amortization costs for periodic overhauls are included in depreciation and amortization expense.

Costs for other repair and maintenance activities are included in vessel and rig operating expenses and expensed when the repairs and maintenance take place.

F-11

---

#### Foreign currencies

The Company and the majority of its subsidiaries use the U.S. Dollar as their functional currency because the majority of their revenues and expenses are denominated in U.S. Dollars. Accordingly, the Company's reporting currency is also U.S. Dollars. For subsidiaries that maintain their accounts in currencies other than U.S. Dollars, the Company uses the current method of translation whereby the statements of operations are translated using the average exchange rate for the year and the assets and liabilities are translated using the year end exchange rate. Foreign currency translation gains or losses on consolidation are recorded as a separate component of other comprehensive income in shareholders' equity.

Transactions in foreign currencies are translated into U.S. Dollars at the rates of exchange in effect at the date of the transaction. Foreign currency assets and liabilities are translated using rates of exchange at the balance sheet date. Gains and losses on foreign currency transactions are included in the consolidated statements of operations.

#### Current and non-current classification

Receivables and liabilities are classified as current assets and liabilities respectively, if their maturity is within one year of the balance sheet date. Otherwise, they are classified as non-current assets and liabilities.

#### Cash and cash equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents consist of cash, bank deposits and highly liquid financial instruments with original maturities of three months or less.

#### Restricted cash

Restricted cash consists of bank deposits which have been pledged as collateral for certain guarantees issued by a bank or minimum deposits which must be maintained in accordance with contractual arrangements. Restricted cash with maturity longer than one year are classified on a separate line as non-current assets.

#### Marketable securities

Marketable equity securities held by the Company are considered to be available-for-sale and, as such, are recorded at fair value with resulting unrealized gains and losses recorded as a separate component of accumulated other comprehensive income in shareholders' equity. Gains and losses on forward contracts to purchase marketable equity securities are accounted for as available-for-sale securities when they do not meet the definition of a derivative.

#### Receivables

Receivables, including accounts receivable, are recorded in the balance sheet at their nominal amount less an allowance for doubtful accounts. The Company establishes reserves for doubtful accounts on a case-by-case basis when it is unlikely that required payments of specific amounts will occur. In establishing these reserves, the Company considers the financial condition of the customer as well as specific circumstances such as customer disputes. Uncollectible trade accounts receivables are written off when a settlement is reached for an amount that is less than the outstanding historical balance.

#### Impairment of marketable securities and equity method investees

The Company analyzes its available-for-sale securities and equity method investees for impairment during each reporting period to evaluate whether an event or change in circumstances has occurred in that period that may have a

significant adverse effect on the fair value of the investment. The Company records an impairment charge for other-than-temporary declines in fair value when the fair value is not anticipated to recover above cost within reasonably period after the measurement date, unless there are mitigating factors that indicate impairment may not be required. If an impairment charge is recorded, subsequent recoveries in fair value are not reflected in earnings until sale of the securities held as available for sale or of the equity method investee are sold.

F-12

---

### Newbuildings

The carrying value of rigs under construction ("Newbuildings") represents the accumulated costs at the balance sheet date. Cost components include payments for yard installments and variation orders, construction supervision, equipment, spare parts, capitalized interest, costs related to first time mobilization and commissioning costs. No charge for depreciation is made until commissioning of the newbuilding has been completed and it is ready for its intended use.

In some cases, the Company may have options with shipyards to construct rigs at fixed or variable prices which require some or no additional payment upon exercise. Payments for rig purchase options are capitalized at the time when option contracts are acquired or entered into. The Company reviews the expected future cash flows, which would result from the exercise of each option contract on a contract by contract basis to determine whether the carrying value of the option is recoverable.

### Capitalized interest

Interest expenses are capitalized during construction of newbuildings based on accumulated expenditures for the applicable project at the Company's current rate of borrowing. The amount of interest expense capitalized in an accounting period shall be determined by applying an interest rate ("the capitalization rate") to the average amount of accumulated expenditures for the asset during the period. The capitalization rates used in an accounting period shall be based on the rates applicable to borrowings outstanding during the period. The Company does not capitalize amounts beyond the actual interest expense incurred in the period.

If the Company's financing plans associate a specific new borrowing with a qualifying asset, the Company uses the rate on that borrowing as the capitalization rate to be applied to that portion of the average accumulated expenditures for the asset that does not exceed the amount of that borrowing. If average accumulated expenditures for the asset exceed the amounts of specific new borrowings associated with the asset, the capitalization rate to be applied to such excess shall be a weighted average of the rates applicable to other borrowings of the Company.

### Drilling units

Rigs, vessels and equipment are recorded at historical cost less accumulated depreciation. The cost of these assets less estimated residual value is depreciated on a straight-line basis over their estimated remaining economic useful lives. The estimated economic useful life of the Company's mobile units and tender rigs, when new, is 30 years.

Significant investments are capitalized and depreciated in accordance with the nature of the investment. Significant investments that are deemed to increase an asset's value for its remaining useful life are capitalized and depreciated over the remaining life of the asset.

Assets are classified as held for sale when management is actively committed to a probable asset sale within one year of an asset ready for immediate sale. Cost of property and equipment sold or retired, with the related accumulated depreciation and write-downs are removed from the balance sheet, and resulting gains or losses are included in the consolidated statement of operations.

### Other equipment

Other equipment is recorded at historical cost less accumulated depreciation and is depreciated over its estimated remaining useful life, which approximates is between three and five years depending on the type of asset.

F-13

---

## Goodwill

The Company allocates the cost of acquired businesses to the identifiable tangible and intangible assets and liabilities acquired, with any remaining amount being capitalized as goodwill. Goodwill is tested for impairment at least annually at the reporting unit level, which is defined as an operating segment or a component of an operating segment that constitutes a business for which financial information is available and is regularly reviewed by management. The Company has determined that its reporting units are the same as the operating segments for the purpose of allocating goodwill and the subsequent testing of goodwill for impairment. The goodwill impairment test requires the Company to compare the fair value of its reporting units to their carrying value. In the event that the fair value is less than carrying value, the Company must perform an exercise similar to a purchase price allocation in a business combination in order to determine the amount of the impairment charge.

The Company performs our annual test of goodwill impairment as of December 31 for each reporting segment, based on a discounted cash flow model. When testing for impairment we have used expected future cash flows using contract day rates during the contract periods. For periods after expiry of the contract periods, day rates have been forecasted based on estimates regarding future market conditions, including zero escalation of day rates. The estimated future cash flows have been calculated based on remaining asset lives. The estimated cash flows have been discounted using a weighted average cost of capital (WACC). We had no impairment of goodwill for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 as the net present value of the estimated future cash flows justify the book value of goodwill. We have also performed sensitivity analysis using different scenarios regarding future cash flows, remaining asset lives and discount rates showing acceptable tolerance to changes in underlying assumptions in the impairment model before changes in assumptions would result in impairment.

## Other intangible assets

Other intangible assets are recorded at historical cost less accumulated amortization. The cost of these assets less estimated residual value is amortized on a straight-line basis over the estimated remaining economic useful lives. Other intangible assets include technology and customer relationships.

## Impairment of long-lived assets

The carrying value of long-lived assets that are held and used by the Company are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may no longer be appropriate. The Company assesses recoverability of the carrying value of the asset by estimating the undiscounted future net cash flows expected to result from the asset, including eventual disposition. If the undiscounted future net cash flows are less than the carrying value of the asset, an impairment loss is recorded equal to the difference between the asset's carrying value and fair value.

## Defined benefit pension plans

The Company has several defined benefit plans which provide retirement, death and termination benefits. The Company's net obligation is calculated separately for each plan by estimating the amount of the future benefit that employees have earned in return for their cumulative service.

The projected future benefit obligation is discounted to its present value, and the fair value of any plan assets is deducted. The discount rate is the market yield at the balance sheet date on government bonds in the currency and based on terms consistent with the post-employment benefit obligations. The retirement benefits are generally a function of years of employment and amount of compensation. The plans are primarily funded through payments to insurance companies. The Company records its pension costs in the period during which the services are rendered by the employees. Actuarial gains and losses are recognized in the statement of operations when the net cumulative



unrecognized actuarial gains or losses for each individual plan at the end of the previous reporting year exceed 10 percent of the higher of the present value of the defined benefit obligation and the fair value of plan assets at that date. These gains and losses are recognized over the expected remaining working lives of the employees participating in the plans. Otherwise, recognition of actuarial gains and losses is included in other comprehensive income. Those amounts will be subsequently recognized as a component of net periodic pension cost on the same basis as the amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income.

## Treasury shares

Treasury shares are recognized as a separate component of shareholders' equity at cost. The purchase of treasury shares reduces the Company's share capital by the nominal value of the acquired treasury shares. The amount paid in excess of the nominal value is treated as a reduction of additional paid-in capital.

## Derivative Financial Instruments and Hedging Activities

The Company's interest-rate swap agreements, foreign currency options and forward exchange contracts are recorded at fair value when they do not qualify as hedges for accounting purposes, as they are not designated as hedges of specific assets, liabilities or firm commitments. Consequently, changes in the fair value of interest-rate swap agreements, forward exchange and currency options contracts are recorded as a gain or loss under Other Financial Items. A hedge of a forecasted transaction or of the variability of cash flows to be received or paid related to a recognized asset or liability is designated as a cash flow hedge. [Missing Graphic Reference] When the interest swap qualifies for hedge accounting the Company has formally designated the swap instrument as a hedge of cash flows to be paid on the underlying loan, and when the hedge is effective, the changes in the fair value of the swap is recognized in the "Accumulated other comprehensive loss" line of the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Ineffective portions of the hedges are charged to the income statement. When the hedged item affects the income statement, the gain or loss included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) is reported on the same line in the Consolidated Statements of Income as the hedged item.

Financial instruments such as forward contracts to purchase shares that do not qualify as derivative instruments are not recognized on the balance sheet, unless deemed impaired. Such instruments are off-balance transactions and result in only disclosures.

## Income taxes

Seadrill is a Bermuda company. Currently, Seadrill is not required to pay taxes in Bermuda on ordinary income or capital gains. The Company has received written assurance from the Minister of Finance in Bermuda that, it will be exempt from taxation until March 2016. Certain subsidiaries operate in other jurisdictions where taxes are imposed. Consequently income taxes have been recorded in these jurisdictions when appropriate.

Significant judgment is involved in determining the Group-wide provision for income taxes. There are certain transactions for which the ultimate tax determination is unclear due to uncertainty in the ordinary course of business. The Group recognizes tax liabilities based on its assessment of whether its tax positions are sustainable and on estimates of taxes that will ultimately be due.

Income tax expense consists of taxes currently payable and changes in deferred tax assets and liabilities calculated according to local tax rules. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are based on temporary differences that arise between the carrying values for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for taxation purposes of assets and liabilities and the future tax benefits of tax loss carry forwards. A deferred tax asset is recognized only to the extent that it is more likely than not that future taxable profits will be available against which the asset can be utilized. The amount of deferred tax provided is based upon the expected manner of settlement of the carrying amount of assets and liabilities, using tax rates enacted or substantially enacted at the balance sheet date. The impact of tax law changes is recognized in periods when the change is enacted or substantially enacted.



#### Deferred charges

Loan related costs, including debt arrangement fees, are capitalized and amortized over the term of the related loan and are included in interest expense.

#### Convertible debt

Convertible bond loans issued by the Company include both a loan component (host contract) and an option to convert the loan to shares (embedded derivative).

An embedded derivative, such as a conversion[Missing Graphic Reference] option, may be separated from its host contract and accounted for separately if certain criteria are met (including if the contract that embodies both the embedded derivative and the host contract is not measured at fair value, the economic characteristics and risks of the embedded derivative instrument are not clearly and closely related to the economic characteristics and risks of the host contract and if a separate instrument with the same terms as the embedded instrument would be a derivative).

If an embedded derivative instrument is separated from its host contract, the host contract shall be accounted for based on generally accepted accounting principles applicable to instruments of that type which do not contain embedded derivative instruments.

#### Total Return Equity Swaps

From time to time, the Company enters into total return equity swaps ("TRS") indexed to the Company's own shares, where the counterparty acquires shares in the Company and the Company carries the risk of fluctuations in the share price of the acquired shares. The fair value of each TRS is recorded as an asset or liability, with the changes in fair value recorded in the consolidated statement of operations. The Company may, from time to time, enter into TRS arrangements indexed to shares in other companies and these are accounted for in the same way.

#### Share-based compensation

The Company has established an employee share ownership plan under which employees, directors and officers of the Group may be allocated options to subscribe for new shares in the ultimate parent, Seadrill Limited. The compensation cost for stock options is recognized as an expense over the service period based on the fair value of the options granted.

The fair value of the share options issued under the Company's employee share option plans is determined at grant date taking into account the terms and conditions upon which the options are granted, and using a valuation technique that is consistent with generally accepted valuation methodologies for pricing financial instruments, and that incorporates all factors and assumptions that knowledgeable, willing market participants would consider in determining fair value. The fair value of the share options is recognized as personnel expenses with a corresponding increase in equity over the period during which the employees become unconditionally entitled to the options. Compensation cost is initially recognized based upon options expected to vest with appropriate adjustments to reflect actual forfeitures. National insurance contributions arising from such incentive programs are expensed when the options are exercised.

#### Provisions

A provision is recognized in the balance sheet when the Company has a present legal or constructive obligation as a result of a past event, and it is probable that an outflow of economic benefits will be required to settle the obligation

and a reliable estimate of the amount can be made. If the effect is material, provisions are determined by discounting the expected future cash flows at a pre-tax rate that reflects current market assessments of the time value of money and, where appropriate, the risks specific to the liability.

F-16

---

### Segment reporting

The Company has three reportable business segments which include mobile units, tender rigs and well services. The mobile unit segment reflects the activities of the Company's drillships, semi-submersible and jack-up rigs. The tender rigs business segment includes activities of the Company tender and semi-tender units. The well services business segment includes the activities of Seawell, the Company's 74% owned subsidiary (as of December 31, 2008) which performs various services related to platform drilling, drilling facility engineering, well intervention and oilfield services.

### Related parties

Parties are related if one party has the ability, directly or indirectly, to control the other party or exercise significant influence over the other party in making financial and operating decisions. Parties are also related if they are subject to common control or common significant influence. All transactions between the related parties are based on the principle of arm's length (estimated market value).

### Issuance of shares by a subsidiary/associate

The Company recognizes a profit when its subsidiary or associate issues its stock to third parties at a price per share in excess of its carrying amount if such profit is realizable. If such profit is not realizable, it is recorded as an increase to additional paid in capital. If a loss is realized, the Company performs an impairment evaluation of its remaining investment.

### Earnings per share

Basic earnings per share ("EPS") is calculated based on the income (loss) for the period available to common stockholders divided by the weighted average number of shares outstanding for basic EPS for the period. Diluted EPS includes the effect of the assumed conversion of potentially dilutive instruments which for the Company includes share options and convertible debt. The determination of dilutive earnings per share requires the Company to potentially make certain adjustments to net income and for the weighted average shares outstanding used to compute basic earnings per share unless anti-dilutive.

### Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to prior period amounts to conform with the current year presentation. These reclassifications did not have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

### New Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2006, the FASB issued FAS No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements" (Currently ASC Topic 820 Fair value Measurement and Disclosures), which establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("GAAP") and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. This statement is effective for financial assets and liabilities as well as for any assets and liabilities that are carried at fair value on a recurring basis in financial statements as of the beginning of the entity's first fiscal year that begins after November 15, 2007. In November 2007, the FASB issued a one-year deferral for non-financial assets and liabilities to comply with ASC Topic 820 which delayed the effective date for these items until fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008. In 2008, we adopted the provisions of ASC Topic 820 which were not affected by the delay and the provisions not affected by the delay were adopted during the first quarter of 2009. The adoption did not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In February 2008 the FASB issued ASC 820-15-2 (formerly FSP No. FAS157-1 "Application of FASB Statement No. 157 to FASB Statement No. 13 and Other Accounting Pronouncements That Address Fair Value Measurements for Purposes of Lease Classification or Measurement under Statement 13" ("FSP FAS157-1")). FSP FAS157-1 amends FAS 157 to exclude FASB Statement No. 13 "Accounting for Leases" ("FAS 13") and its related interpretive accounting pronouncements that address leasing transactions. The FASB decided to exclude leasing transactions covered by FAS 13 in order to allow it to more broadly consider the use of fair value measurements for these transactions as part of its project to comprehensively reconsider the accounting for leasing transactions. The Company does not expect the adoption of ASC 820-15-2 to have a material impact on its financial statements.

F-17

---

In February 2007 the FASB issued SFAS No. 159 "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities – including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115" (Currently ASC Topic 825 Financial Instruments). ASC Topic 825 permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. The objective is to improve financial reporting by providing entities with the opportunity to mitigate volatility in reported earnings caused by measuring related assets and liabilities differently without having to apply complex hedge accounting provisions. The statement is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15 2007. The adoption of ASC Topic 825 did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007 the FASB issued SFAS No. 160 "Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements – an amendment of ARB No.51" (Currently ASC Topic 810 Consolidation). ASC Topic 810 is intended to improve the relevance, comparability and transparency of financial information that a reporting entity provides in its consolidated financial statements with reference to a noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary. Such a noncontrolling interest, sometimes called a minority interest, is the portion of equity in a subsidiary not attributable, directly or indirectly, to the parent entity. The statement is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2008. The Company has clarified that the implementation will have classification effects for 2009, the adoption of ASC Topic 810 during the first quarter of 2009 did not have a material impact on its financial statements.

In December 2007 the FASB issued SFAS No. 141 (revised 2007) "Business Combinations" (Currently ASC Topic 810 Business Combinations). The objective of ASC Topic 810 is to improve the relevance, representational faithfulness and comparability of the information that a reporting entity provides in its financial reports about a business combination and its effects. To accomplish this, ASC Topic 810 establishes principles and requirements for how the acquirer a) recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed and any non-controlling interest in the acquiree, b) recognizes and measures the goodwill acquired in the business combination or a gain from a bargain price, and c) determines what information to disclose to enable users of the financial statements to evaluate the nature and financial effects of the business combination. The statement is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2008. ASC Topic 810 is applied prospectively so the Company is unable to predict the future impact of adoption.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS 161 "Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities (Currently ASC Topic 815 Derivatives and Hedging), an Amendment to FASB Statement No. 133". ASC Topic 815 requires enhanced disclosures about an entity's derivative and hedging activities and thereby improves the transparency of financial reporting. This statement is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008. The adoption of ASC Topic 815 during the first quarter of 2009 did not have a significant effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In April 2008, the FASB issued FSP No. 142-3, Determination of the Useful Life of Intangible Assets (Currently ASC Topic 350 Intangibles – Goodwill and Other) ASC Topic 350 amends the factors that should be considered in developing renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of a recognized intangible asset. ASC Topic 350 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 and interim periods within those fiscal years, requiring prospective application to intangible assets acquired after the effective date. The Company has adopted the principles of ASC Topic 350 with respect to intangible assets acquired on or after January 1, 2009. The adoption of ASC Topic 350 did not have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.



In May 2008, the FASB also issued FSP No. APB 14-1, Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May Be Settled in Cash upon Conversion (Including Partial Cash Settlement) (Currently ASC Topic 470 Debt), which requires the issuer of certain convertible debt instruments to separately account for the liability and equity components of the instrument and reflect interest expense at the entity's market rate of borrowing for non-convertible debt instruments. ASC Topic 470 requires retrospective restatement of all periods presented with the cumulative effect of the change in accounting principle on prior periods being recognized as of the beginning of the first period presented. The adoption of ASC Topic 470 did not have an effect on the accounting for the Company's convertible bond in issue at December 31, 2008, but could have a significant effect for future convertible bond issues.

In June 2008, the FASB ratified the consensus on Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") Issue No. 07-5, Determining Whether an Instrument (or Embedded Feature) Is Indexed to an Entity's Own Stock (Currently ASC Topic 815 Derivatives and Hedging). An instrument or embedded feature that is both indexed to an entity's own stock and potentially settled in shares may be exempt, if certain other criteria are met, from mark-to-market accounting of derivative financial instruments. ASC Topic 815 addresses instruments with contingent and other adjustment features that may change the exercise price or notional amount or otherwise alter the payoff at settlement of convertible notes that are outstanding and are exercisable or convertible into the Company's shares. The statement which is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and did not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated statement of financial position, results of operations or cash flows after adoption in the first quarter of 2009.

In December 2008, the FASB issued FSP No. FAS 140-4 and FIN 46(R)-8, Disclosures by Public Entities (Enterprises) about Transfers of Financial Assets and Interests in Variable Interest Entities (Currently ASC Topic 860 Transfers and Servicing). ASC Topic 860 requires public entities to provide additional disclosures about transfers of financial assets. Additionally, the statement requires additional disclosures about a sponsor's involvement with variable interest entities. The disclosure requirements are effective for periods ending after December 15, 2008. The Company has adopted the principles of ASC Topic 860 and has included such additional disclosures in the notes to the consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2008.

In December 2008, the FASB issued FSP No. FAS 132(R)-1, Employers' Disclosures about Postretirement Benefit Plan Assets (Currently ASC Topic 715 Compensation - Retirement Benefits) which provides additional guidance regarding required disclosures for plan assets of a defined benefit pension or other postretirement plan. The statement is effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2009. The Company will be required to adopt the principles of ASC Topic 715 in the fourth quarter of 2009 and will include the additional disclosures in the notes to the consolidated financial statements for the year ending December 31, 2009.

In April 2009, the FASB issued updated guidance on interim disclosures about the fair value of financial instruments effective for interim periods ending after June 15, 2009. The guidance, which is outlined in ASC Topic 825 Financial Instruments, did not have an impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP FAS 115-2 (ASC Topic 320-10) and FAS 124-2, (ASC Topic 958-320) Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment (FSP 115-2/124-2). FSP 115-2/124-2 amends the requirements for the recognition and measurement of other-than-temporary impairments for debt securities by modifying the pre-existing "intent and ability" indicator. Under FSP 115-2/124-2, an other-than-temporary impairment is triggered when there is an intent to sell the security, it is more likely than not that the security will be required to be sold before recovery, or the security is not expected to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security. Additionally, FSP 115-2/124-2 changes the presentation of other-than-temporary impairment in the income statement for those impairments involving credit losses. The credit loss component will be recognized in earnings and the remainder of the impairment will be recorded in other comprehensive income. FSP 115-2/124-2 is effective for us beginning in the second quarter of fiscal year 2009. Upon implementation at the beginning of the second quarter of 2009, FSP 115-2/124-2 did not have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In May 2009, the FASB issued guidance on accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. The guidance, which is outlined in ASC Topic 855 Subsequent Events, establishes the period after the balance sheet date during which management of a reporting entity should evaluate events or transactions that may occur for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements, the circumstances under which an entity should recognize events or transactions occurring after the balance sheet date in its financial statements, and the disclosures that an entity should make about events or transactions that occurred after the balance sheet date. The adoption of these changes did not have an impact on our consolidated financial statements because the Company already followed a similar approach prior to the adoption of this guidance.

In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued the FASB Accounting Standards Codification (ASC), The Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles Topic ASC 105-10. The Company implemented the guidance in the third quarter of 2009 which stipulates the Codification as the authoritative version of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification (Codification) as the single source of authoritative nongovernmental U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP). The statement is effective for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. The Company updated its references to GAAP in its consolidated financial statements issued for the period ended September 30, 2009. As the Codification was not intended to change or alter existing GAAP, it did not have any impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued Statement No. 167, Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R) (ASC Topic 810-10). Statement 167 amends the evaluation criteria to identify the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity provided by FASB Interpretation No. 46(R), Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities—An Interpretation of ARB No. 51. Additionally, Statement 167 requires ongoing reassessments of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary of the variable interest entity. The Company will adopt Statement 167 in fiscal year 2011 and is currently evaluating the impact of its pending adoption on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

### Note 3 – Segment information

#### Operating segments

The Company provides drilling and related services to the offshore oil and gas industry. The split of our organization into segments is based on differences in management structure and reporting, economic characteristics, customer base, asset class and contract structure. As of December 31, 2008, the Company operates in the following three segments:

- **Mobile Units:** The Company offers services encompassing drilling, completion and maintenance of offshore wells. The drilling contracts relate to semi-submersible rigs, jack-ups and drillships.
- **Tender Rigs:** The Company operates self-erecting tender rigs and semi-submersible tender rigs, which are used for production drilling and well maintenance in Southeast Asia and West Africa.
- **Well Services:** The Company performs production drilling and maintenance activities on several fixed installations in the North Sea. The Company also provides wireline services including well maintenance, modification and abandonment.

Segment results are evaluated on the basis of operating profit, and the information given below is based on information used for internal reporting. The accounting principles for the segments are the same as for the Company's consolidated financial statements.

#### Revenues (including gain on sale of drilling units)

(In millions of US dollar)	2008	2007	2006
Mobile Units	1,224.2	961.6	638.1
Tender Rigs	341.4	265.7	179.0
Well Services	620.3	449.1	337.5
Total	2,185.9	1,676.3	1,154.6

#### Depreciation and amortization

(In millions of US dollar)	2008	2007	2006
Mobile Units	173.0	135.1	127.3
Tender Rigs	41.7	38.6	33.7
Well Services	18.5	9.2	6.6
Total	233.2	182.9	167.6

#### Operating income (loss) - net income (loss)

(In millions of US dollar)	2008	2007	2006
Mobile Units	467.7	348.6	138.6
Tender Rigs	126.1	97.0	56.7
Well Services	54.9	43.3	30.8
Operating income	648.7	488.8	226.1
Unallocated items:			
Total financial items	(748.3)	(102.1)	40.8
Income taxes	(48.3)	78.3	(22.4)
Non-controlling interest	(41.7)	(13.0)	(30.4)
Gain on issuance of shares by subsidiary	25.2	50.0	-
Net income (loss)	(164.4)	502.0	214.1

#### Total assets

(In millions of US dollar)	2008	2007
----------------------------	------	------

Edgar Filing: SeaDrill LTD - Form 20FR12B

Mobile Units	10,667.0	8,059.2
Tender Rigs	1,147.1	939.6
Well Services	490.4	294.3
Total	12,304.5	9,293.1

F-21

---

## Goodwill:

(In millions of US dollar)	2008	2007
Mobile Units	1,170.9	1,170.8
Tender Rigs	149.3	149.3
Well Services	227.1	189.4
Total	1,547.3	1,509.5

## Total liabilities

(In millions of US dollar)	2008	2007
Mobile Units	7,922.2	4,645.7
Tender Rigs	723.2	640.8
Well Services	437.1	278.8
Total	9,082.5	5,565.3

## Capital expenditures – fixed assets

(In millions of US dollar)	2008	2007	2006
Mobile Units	2,581.5	1,654.7	3,302.5
Tender Rigs	177.0	66.0	580.4
Well Services	53.9	18.0	36.8
Total	2,812.4	1,738.7	3,919.7

## Geographic segment data

Revenues are attributed to geographical segments based on the country of operations for drilling activities, i.e. the country where the revenues are generated. The following presents the Company's revenues and fixed assets by geographic area:

## Revenues (including gain on sale of assets)

(In millions of US dollar)	2008	2007	2006
Norway	967.3	859.4	672.7
UK	172.0	73.6	80.0
Brunei	30.3	23.5	52.9
Thailand	110.1	38.5	34.3
Malaysia	249.1	136.7	36.7
Congo	87.2	66.8	55.1
Nigeria	65.4	56.7	70.7
Australia	190.5	269.7	8.6
USA	78.7	-	-
Brazil	25.1	-	-
China	25.5	-	-
Other	184.7	151.4	143.6
Total	2,185.9	1,676.3	1,154.6

## Fixed assets – operating drilling units 1)

(In millions of US dollar)	2008	2007
Norway	1,285.2	1,351.1
UK	-	-
Brunei	54.4	52.9
Thailand	319.0	51.1
Malaysia	530.1	386.5
Congo	93.6	98.8
Nigeria	158.7	165.5
Australia	296.2	152.2
USA	539.9	-
Brazil	698.6	-
China	631.4	-
Other	38.4	193.8
Total	4,645.5	2,451.9

1) The fixed assets referred to in the table are the Company's operating drilling units. Asset locations at the end of a period are not necessarily indicative of the geographic distribution of the revenues or operating profits generated by such assets during such period.

## Note 4 – Taxation

Income taxes consist of the follows:

(In millions of US dollar)	Year ended December 31,		
	2008	2007	2006
Current tax expense:			
Bermuda	-	-	-
Foreign	69.1	56.3	13.1
Deferred tax expense:			
Bermuda	-	-	-
Foreign	28.8	(134.6 )	9.3
Deferred taxes acquired during the year	(6.2 )	-	-
Tax related to internal sale of assets in subsidiary, amortized for group purposes	(43.4 )	-	-
Total provision	48.3	(78.3 )	22.4
Effective tax rate	(48.5 )%	(20.2 )%	8.4 %

Norwegian tax rules allow for the offset of taxable income in one entity against taxable losses or carryforward losses in another entity within the same tax jurisdiction to reduce payable taxes (group tax relief). In 2008 and 2007 these rules reduced the company's payable tax by US\$14.1 and US\$14.9 respectively not reflected in the current tax expense above.

The Company, including its subsidiaries, is taxable in several jurisdictions based on its rig operations. A loss in one jurisdiction may not be offset against taxable income in another jurisdiction. Thus, the Company may pay tax within some jurisdictions even though it might have an overall loss at a consolidated level.

The income taxes for the years ended December 31 differed from the amount computed by applying the statutory income tax rate of 0 % as follows:

(In millions of US dollar)	Year ended December 31,		
	2008	2007	2006
Income taxes at statutory rate	-	-	-
Effect of transfers to new tax jurisdictions	(43.4 )	(75.0 )	-
Effect of change in taxable currency	-	(21.3 )	-
Effect of taxable income in various countries	91.7	18.0	22.4
Total	48.3	(78.3 )	22.4

## Deferred Income Taxes

Deferred income taxes reflect the impact of temporary differences between the amount of assets and liabilities recognized for financial reporting purposes and such amounts recognized for tax purposes. The net deferred tax assets (liabilities) consist of the following:

## Deferred Tax Assets:

(In millions of US dollar)	December 31, 2008	December 31, 2007	December 31, 2006
Pension	7.4	16.0	15.5
Tax loss carry forward	15.7	12.2	30.3
Unfavorable contracts	12.0	26.4	63.9
Other	0.0	5.6	0.2
Gross deferred tax asset	35.1	60.2	109.9

## Deferred Tax Liability:

(In millions of US dollar)	December 31, 2008	December 31, 2007	December 31, 2006
Property, plant and equipment	74.8	135.5	246.2
Provisions	0.0	0.0	1.0
Long term maintenance	15.3	11.0	10.3
Currency exchange fluctuations	0.0	0.0	23.6
Gain from sale of fixed assets	57.4	0.5	46.5
Other	3.2	0.0	9.1
Gross deferred tax liability	150.7	147.0	336.7
Net deferred tax	115.6	86.8	226.8

Net deferred taxes are classified as follows:

(In millions of US dollar)	December 31, 2008	December 31, 2007	December 31, 2006
Short-term deferred tax asset	0.0	5.6	0.2
Long-term deferred tax asset	9.8	3.7	109.7
Short-term deferred tax liability	0.4	0.1	11.9
Long-term deferred tax liability	125.0	96.1	324.8
Net deferred tax	115.6	86.8	226.8

Future taxable income justifies the inclusion of tax loss carry forward in the calculation of net deferred taxes.

In the fourth quarter of 2007 the Company established a new office and moved several Norwegian legal entities holding four rigs and one newbuilding contract to a different tax jurisdiction. The Company carried out an analysis under the current tax regulations relating to these transfers in accordance with ASC Topic 740 Income Taxes, and based on this analysis the Company recognized a tax benefit of approximately US\$75 million in 2007.

With effect from January 1, 2007 the Company introduced US dollar as the functional currency for several Norwegian subsidiaries for statutory as well as tax reporting purposes. As a result, and for consolidation purposes, deferred taxes have been recalculated and adjusted as at January 1, 2007. The effect of the adjustment was a reduction of approximately US\$21.3 million in the deferred tax liability as of December 31, 2006. The recalculation and



adjustment of the tax position at January 1, 2007 and the calculation of current and deferred taxes for 2007 have been based on the Company's interpretation of current tax regulations. At December 31, 2007 the Company performed an analysis for uncertain tax positions in the various jurisdictions in which the Company operates, in accordance with ASC Topic 740 Income Taxes. The Company believes that it is more likely than not that a provision for an estimated total tax exposure up to US\$190 million will not be required. Due to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates, including the strengthening of the US dollar against the Norwegian kroner, the estimated total tax exposure has been significantly reduced. The Company has updated its analysis relating to uncertain tax positions as at December 31, 2008 and believes that it is more likely than not that a provision for an estimated total tax exposure in the range of US\$140 million will not be required.

F-25

---

The parent company, Seadrill Limited, is headquartered in Bermuda which is a non-taxable jurisdiction. Other jurisdictions in which the Company and its subsidiaries operate are taxable based on rig operations. A loss in one jurisdiction may not be offset against taxable income in another jurisdiction. Thus, the Company may pay tax within some jurisdictions even though it may have an overall loss at the consolidated level. The following table summarizes the earliest tax years that remain subject to examination by the major taxable jurisdictions in which the Company operates:

Jurisdiction	Earliest Open Year
Australia	2008
Nigeria	2007
Norway	2007
Thailand	2003

#### Note 5 – Earnings per share

The components of the numerator and denominator for the calculation of basic and diluted earnings per share resulting from continuing operations are as follows:

	Net income	Weighted average shares outstanding	Earnings per share
2006			
Earnings per share	214.1	352,133,216	0.62
Effect of dilution:			
Share options		1,085,195	
Diluted earnings per share	214.1	353,218,411	0.61
2007			
Earnings per share	502.0	392,829,818	1.28
Effect of dilution:			
Convertible bonds		29,007,367	
Share options		1,495,764	
Diluted earnings per share	502.0	423,332,949	1.20
2008			
Earnings per share	(164.4)	398,257,603	(0.41)
Effect of dilution:			
Convertible bonds		-	
Share options		-	
Diluted earnings per share	(164.4)	398,257,603	(0.41)

Due to a net loss in 2008, share options and the convertible bond have been excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share, as they would have an antidilutive effect.

## Note 6 – Other revenues

Other revenues comprise the following items:

(In millions of US dollar)	Year ended December 31,		
	2008	2007	2006
Amortization of unfavorable contracts	65.3	87.0	100.2
Other	9.2	-	3.1
Total	74.5	87.0	103.3

The unfavorable contract values arose from the acquisition of Smedvig and Eastern Drilling and represent the net present value of the existing contracts compared to the current market rates, discounted at the weighted average cost of capital. The estimated unfavorable contract values are amortized and recognized under other revenues over the terms of the contracts, ranging from two to five years.

## Note 7 – Gain on sale of assets

The Company has recognized the following gains on sales of assets:

(In millions of US dollar)	Net proceeds	Book value on disposal	Gain
Year ended December 31, 2008:			
Sale of jack-up rig West Titania	131.4	51.3	80.1
Year ended December 31, 2007:			
Sale of FPSO Crystal Sea	80.0	25.3	54.7
Sale of FPSO Crystal Ocean	90.0	20.5	69.5
Total for year ended December 31, 2007	170.0	45.8	124.2

Note: FPSO is an acronym for floating production, storage and offloading vessels.

## Note 8 – Operational leases

The Company has operating leases relating to premises, the most significant being its offices in Stavanger, Singapore, Houston and Aberdeen. In the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 rental expenses amounted to \$9.1 million, \$8.4 million and \$4.4 million, respectively. Future minimum rental payments are as follows:

Year	US\$million
2009	10.9
2010	11.9
2011	12.2
2012	11.9
2013	12.3
Thereafter	60.0
Total	119.1

## Note 9 – Impairment loss on marketable securities and investments in associated companies

The Company holds a number of shares and share purchase agreements in Pride International Inc, Scorpion Offshore Ltd and SapuraCrest Bhd. As of December 31, 2008, the Company determined that the declines in fair value were

other than temporary based primarily upon its evaluation of the severity of the excess of its cost basis over the market price of the security and the prospects for recovery within 2009. As a result of this evaluation the Company recognized an impairment charge so that its adjusted cost basis as of December 31, 2008 is equal to the market price of the securities.

F-27

---

The total impairment loss of US\$615.0 million recorded in the year ended December 31, 2008 relates to write-downs in the values of marketable securities (\$147.4 million), forward share contracts accounted for as marketable securities (\$157.9 million) and associated companies (\$309.7 million).

#### Note 10 – Other financial items

Other financial items in the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 consist of gains on the sale of marketable securities.

#### Note 11 – Gain on issuance of shares by subsidiary

In October 2007 Seawell issued 20 million new shares of par value \$2.00 each for a price of NOK13.75 per share, raising a total of NOK275 million. The Company did not participate in this share issuance and as a result its holding was reduced from 100 percent to 80 percent. A gain of \$50.0 million was recorded in the income statement as a result of this share issuance by Seawell.

In April 2008 Seawell issued 10 million new shares of par value \$2.00 each for a price of NOK19.50 per share, raising a total of NOK195 million. The Company subscribed for two million of the new shares and at the same time sold 831,400 shares. As a result, the Company's shareholding was reduced from 80 percent to 73.79 percent. A gain of \$25.2 million was recorded in the income statement as a result of these transactions.

#### Note 12 – Restricted cash

Restricted cash includes:

(In millions of US dollar)	December 31, 2008	December 31, 2007
CIRR deposits (see Note below)	387.4	-
Margin calls related to share forward agreements	206.2	-
Cash collateral of performance guarantees issued by bank	5.9	-
Restricted deposit related to loan facility	10.0	-
Tax withholding deposits	17.1	15.9
Total restricted cash	626.6	15.9
Long-term restricted cash (related to CIRR deposits)	345.9	-
Short-term restricted cash	280.7	15.9

Note: CIRR deposits are cash deposited with commercial banks, which match Commercial Interest Reference Rate ("CIRR") loans from Exportfinans ASA, the Norwegian export credit agency (see Note 22). The deposits are used to make repayments of the CIRR loans.

#### Note 13 – Marketable securities

Marketable securities held by the Company are equity securities considered to be available-for-sale securities.

(In millions of US dollar)	December 31, 2008	December 31, 2007
Original cost	282.1	197.6
Unrealized holding gain/(loss)	(147.4 )	42.8
Carrying value	134.7	240.4

F-28

---

The unrealized holding loss of \$147.4 as of December 31, 2008 was recorded as an impairment charge in 2008. The unrealized holding gain of \$42.8 as of December 31, 2007 was recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income.

Other information relating to marketable securities is as follows:

(In millions of US dollar)	Year ended December 31,		
	2008	2007	2006
Purchase	309.9	141.4	126.8
Proceeds from sale	148.1	49.3	322.6
Realized gains	22.2	9.8	83.6

Marketable securities held at December 31, 2007 with an original cost of \$99.5 million were reclassified in the year ended December 31, 2008 as investment in associated companies, as a result of additional investments increasing the Company's ownership interests in the companies to above 20%.

#### Note 14 – Accounts receivable

Accounts receivable are presented net of allowances for doubtful accounts. The allowance for doubtful accounts receivables at December 31, 2008 was \$13.0 million (2007: \$22.0 million).

The Company has a disputed receivable with Gazprom relating to drilling operation in 2005 and 2006 (see legal proceedings in Note 32). Apart from this, at December 31, 2008 the Company does not have any material receivables more than 90 days overdue.

The Company did not recognize any bad debt expense in 2008 or in 2007.

#### Note 15 – Other current assets

Other current assets include:

(In millions of US dollar)	December 31, 2008	December 31, 2007
Unbilled revenue	34.3	48.3
Prepaid expenses/accrued revenue	160.2	64.6
Deferred charges – short term portion	17.2	7.1
Assets held for sale *	-	51.3
Short-term deferred tax assets	-	5.6
Receivable for margin calls **	159.9	-
Other	44.3	46.2
Total other current assets	415.9	223.1

\*Assets held for sale relates to West Titania, the jack-up which was sold in May 2008 (see Note 7).

\*\* Receivable for margin calls relates to share forward purchase contracts. The related liability for the share forward purchase contracts is recorded in other current liabilities (see note 23).

## Note 16 – Investment in associated companies

The Company has the following investments that are recorded using the equity method:

	December 31, 2008	December 31, 2007
Scorpion Offshore Limited ("Scorpion")	39.6%	-
SapuraCrest Bhd ("SapuraCrest")	24.3%	-
Varia Perdana Sdn Bhd ("Varia Perdana")	49%	49%
Tioman Drilling Company Sdn Bhd ("Tioman")	49%	49%
PT Apexindo Pratama Duta Tbk ("Apexindo")	-	31.7%

The investment in Apexindo was sold in the first quarter of 2008, resulting in a gain of US\$150.5 million.

Summarized balance sheet information of the Company's equity method investees is as follows:

(in US\$millions)	As of December 31, 2008			
	Current assets	Non-current assets	Current liabilities	Non-current liabilities
Scorpion	103.6	916.6	97.4	550.4
SapuraCrest	684.5	339.0	505.8	134.2
Varia Perdana	109.3	177.1	37.5	57.4
Tioman	104.5	1.1	92.9	-
TOTAL	1,001.9	1,433.8	733.6	742.0

(in US\$millions)	As of December 31, 2007			
	Current assets	Non-current assets	Current liabilities	Non-current liabilities
Scorpion	-	-	-	-
SapuraCrest	-	-	-	-
Varia Perdana	61.0	190.6	34.5	74.4
Tioman	83.7	0.4	71.4	-
Apexindo	128.2	361.3	49.3	205.8
TOTAL	272.9	552.3	155.2	280.3

Summarized statement of operations information for the Company's equity method investees is as follows:

(in US\$millions)	Year ended December 31, 2008		
	Operating revenues	Net operating income	Net income
Scorpion	161.0	(12.0)	(30.1)
SapuraCrest	1,039.7	115.8	75.2
Varia Perdana	157.4	72.8	69.9
Tioman	255.0	(0.1)	0.3
Apexindo	41.2	13.4	6.5
TOTAL	1,654.3	189.9	121.8



Edgar Filing: SeaDrill LTD - Form 20FR12B

(in US\$millions)	Year ended December 31, 2007		
	Operating revenues	Net operating income	Net income
Scorpion	-	-	-
SapuraCrest	-	-	-
Varia Perdana	108.7	34.4	31.4
Tioman	190.2	8.5	6.2
Apexindo	200.0	100.9	34.4
TOTAL	498.9	143.8	72.0

F-30

---

(in US\$millions)	Year ended December 31, 2006		
	Operating revenues	Net operating income	Net income
Scorpion	-	-	-
SapuraCrest	-	-	-
Varia Perdana	56.0	27.5	25.2
Tioman	96.2	1.1	0.9
Apexindo	155.6	43.2	32.7
Lisme AS	118.4	118.3	112.9
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>426.2</b>	<b>190.1</b>	<b>171.7</b>

Scorpion Offshore Limited is a company incorporated in Bermuda and listed on the Oslo Stock Exchange, which operates a fleet of jack-up drilling rigs.

SapuraCrest Bhd is a company incorporated and listed on the stock exchange in Malaysia, which provides drilling and related services to offshore oil and gas industries in Malaysia and other countries.

Varia Perdana Sdn Bhd is a company incorporated in Malaysia, which operates a fleet of tender rigs. It is a subsidiary of SapuraCrest Bhd.

Tioman Drilling Company Sdn Bhd is a company incorporated in Malaysia, which provides well services. It is a subsidiary of SapuraCrest Bhd.

PT Apexindo Pratama Duta Tbk is a company incorporated in Indonesia, which provides drilling and related services in Indonesia and other countries.

LismeAS, a holding company incorporated in Norway in which the Company held an equity-accounted investment, was liquidated in March 2007.

At the year-end the book values of the Company's investment in associated companies are as follows:

(In millions of US dollar)	December 31, 2008	December 31, 2007
Scorpion	53.1	-
SapuraCrest	62.8	-
Apexindo	-	70.5
Tioman	6.2	6.2
Varia Perdana	118.0	99.4
<b>Total</b>	<b>240.1</b>	<b>176.1</b>

In 2008, the Company recorded impairment charges of \$247.5 and \$62.2 relating to the investments in Scorpion and SapuraCrest, respectively, (see note 9). The book value at December 31, 2008 has been determined using the quoted market price of the Company's shares in these two companies. There are no quoted market values for Varia Perdana or Tioman.



At year-end the share of recorded equity in the statutory accounts of the Company's associated companies are as follows:

(In millions of US dollar)	December 31, 2008	December 31, 2007
Scorpion	149.8	-
SapuraCrest	62.4	-
Apexindo	-	68.4
Tioman	6.2	6.2
Varia Perdana	89.4	62.9
Total	307.8	137.5

The difference between the book value and the recorded equity is due to the surplus values and goodwill related to the rig fleet at the time of acquisition (Varia Perdana/Tioman Drilling), and due to uncertainty in the market relating to the financing and market conditions for the jack-up fleet (Scorpion).

#### Note 17 – Newbuildings

Included in the capitalized cost of newbuildings are interest expenses and loan related costs amounting to \$176.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 (2007: \$134.0 million, 2006: \$63.4 million).

#### Note 18 – Drilling units

(In millions of US dollar)	December 31, 2008	December 31, 2007
Cost	5,056.2	2,667.0
Accumulated depreciation	(410.7)	(215.1)
Net book value	4,645.5	2,451.9

Depreciation and amortization expense was \$208.5 million, \$169.8 million and \$158.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

#### Note 19 – Goodwill and other intangible assets

In the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 the Company acquired several entities which have been consolidated into its financial statements since their acquisition dates – see Note 25 "Acquisitions". The assets and liabilities of the acquired entities were measured at fair value at their date of acquisition, and the purchase price paid in excess of the net fair value of the identifiable assets and liabilities acquired was allocated to goodwill. Goodwill relates to human capital, synergies and expected market opportunities. All of the goodwill acquired in the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 was assigned to the Well Services operating segment, apart from \$209.8 million arising in 2007 on the step-up acquisition of Eastern Drilling AS which was assigned to the Mobile Units operating segment.

As described in Note 2 "Accounting policies", the Company tests the value of goodwill at the end of each financial year and if the book value exceeds the fair value then an impairment loss is taken. In the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 no impairment losses were necessary. Also in these years, there was no goodwill included in disposals.

(In millions of US dollar)	December 31, 2008	December 31, 2007
Net book balance at January 1, 2008	1,509.5	1,256.5
Goodwill acquired during the year	112.2	216.5
Impairment losses	-	-
Currency adjustments	(74.4)	36.5
Net book balance at December 31, 2008	1,547.3	1,509.5

In the year ended December 31, 2008 the Company acquired other intangible assets amounting to \$20.1 million after accumulated depreciation. These consist of identified technology and contractual relationships and will, on average, be amortized over 92 months.

#### Note 20- Equipment

Equipment consists of office equipment, furniture and fittings.

(In millions of US dollar)	December 31, 2008	December 31, 2007
Cost	164.4	120.9
Accumulated depreciation	(81.4)	(59.5)
Net book value	83.1	61.4

Depreciation and amortization expense was \$24.7 million, \$13.1 million and \$9.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

#### Note 21 - Other non-current assets

(In millions of US dollar)	December 31, 2008	December 31, 2007
Other non-current assets consists of:		
Long-term part of deferred charges	43.8	30.6
Non-current receivables	1.3	23.2
Other	43.4	0.0
Total non-current assets	88.5	53.8

Deferred charges represent debt arrangement fees that are capitalized and amortized to interest expense over the life of the debt instrument.

(In millions of US dollar)	December 31, 2008	December 31, 2007
Debt arrangement fees	76.3	42.8
Accumulated amortization	(15.3)	(4.0)
Total book value	61.0	38.8
Less: Short-term portion	(17.2)	(8.1)
Long-term portion	43.8	30.7
Amortization for the period	12.7	14.0

F-33

---

## Note 22 – Long-term interest bearing debt and interest expenses

((inUS\$millions)	2008	2007
Long-term debt:		
US\$3.625% convertible bonds due 2012	1,000.0	1,000.0
US\$floating rate bonds due 2012 (LIBOR plus 2.03%)	30.0	30.0
US\$3.025% fixed rate debt due 2014	96.9	-
US\$floating rate debt due 2010 to 2014 (LIBOR plus 0.70% - 3.25%)	3448.8	2,666.6
US\$Ship Finance floating rate debt due 2012 to 2013 (LIBOR plus 1.15% - 1.40%)	2,058.8	279.9
NOK floating rate bonds due 2009 to 2012 (NIBOR plus 1.25% - 2.50%)	215.4	431.6
NOK floating rate debt due 2012 (NIBOR plus 0.95% - 1.55%)	206.7	139.2
NOK CIRR fixed rate facilities (4.15% to 4.56%)	380.2	-
Other loans	-	53.2
	7,436.8	4,600.5
Less: current portion	(746.1)	(484.1)
Long-term portion of interest bearing debt	6,690.7	4,116.4

The outstanding debt as of December 31, 2008 is repayable as follows:

(inUS\$millions)	
Year ending December 31	
2009	746.1
2010	1,904.2
2011	764.3
2012	1,919.0
2013	1,728.2
Thereafter	375.0
Total debt	7,436.8

The weighted average interest rate for floating rate bonds and debt denominated in U.S. dollars at December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, including the Ship Finance floating rate debt, was 3.31% per annum and 5.54% per annum, respectively. These rates take into consideration the effect of related interest rate swaps. At December 31, 2008 the three month \$LIBOR rate was 1.425%.

The weighted average interest rate for floating rate bonds and debt denominated in Norwegian Kroner at December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007 was 5.35% per annum and 7.14% per annum, respectively. At December 31, 2008 the three month NOK NIBOR rate was 3.97%.

### 3.625% Convertible Bonds due 2012

In November 2007 the Company issued at par \$1,000 million of convertible bonds. Interest on the bonds is fixed at 3.625% per annum, payable semi-annually in arrears. The bonds may be converted into Seadrill Limited common shares by the holders at any time up to 10 banking days prior to November 8, 2012. The conversion price set at the time of issuance was \$34.474 per share, representing a 45% premium to the share price at the time. Since then,

dividend distributions have reduced the conversion price to \$32.33. The bond contains covenants, the principle one requiring the Company to maintain a total equity to total assets ratio of at least 30%.

The Company has a right to redeem the bonds at par plus accrued interest at any time following November 29, 2010, if the Company's share price is greater than 130% of the prevailing conversion price on at least 20 trading days during a period of 30 consecutive days, or at any time provided that 90% or more of the principal issued has been redeemed or converted to shares. The convertible bonds are tradable, and their market price on March 24, 2009 was 50.63 percent of nominal value. If the bonds were converted into shares at the current conversion price of \$32.33, a further 30,931,023 new shares would be issued.



\$30 million floating rate bond

In February 2005 the Company's acquired subsidiary Smedvig raised \$30.0 million through the issue of a seven year bond which matures in February 2012. The bond bears quarterly interest of LIBOR plus 2.03%.

\$100 million secured term loan

In April 2008 the Company entered into a \$100 million secured term loan facility with a two banks to partly fund the acquisition of the tender rig T-11, which has been pledged as security. The net book value at December 31, 2008 of the unit pledged as security is \$90.8 million. The facility bears interest at a fixed rate of 3.025% per annum and is repayable over a term of six years. At maturity a balloon payment of \$60 million is due. The outstanding balance at December 31, 2008 was \$96.9 million.

\$1,500 million secured term loan

In June 2007 the Company entered into a \$1,500 million secured term loan facility with a syndicate of banks to partly fund the acquisition of four drilling units, West Epsilon, West Navigator, West Alpha and West Venture, which have been pledged as security. The net book value at December 31, 2008 of the units pledged as security is \$1,285.2 million. The facility bears interest at LIBOR plus a margin of between 0.90% and 1.35% per annum, and is repayable over a term of six years. At maturity a balloon payment of \$600 million is due. The outstanding balance at December 31, 2008 was \$1,339.3 million.

\$185 million secured term loan

In July 2005 the Company entered into a \$185 million secured term loan facility with a bank to partly fund the acquisition of the jack-up rigs West Larissa and West Janus, which have been pledged as security. The net book value at December 31, 2008 of the units pledged as security is \$78.5 million. The facility bears interest at LIBOR plus 1.25% per annum and is repayable over a term of five years. The outstanding balance at December 31, 2008 was \$71.6 million.

\$100 million secured term loan

In October 2005 the Company entered into a \$100 million secured term loan facility with a bank to partly fund the acquisition of the jack-up rigs West Atlas and West Triton, which have been pledged as security. The net book value at December 31, 2008 of the units pledged as security is \$296.2 million. The facility bears interest at LIBOR plus 0.70% per annum and is repayable over a term of five years. The outstanding balance at December 31, 2008 was \$91.6million.

\$800 million secured term loan

In August 2005 the Company entered into a \$500 million secured term loan facility with a syndicate of banks to partly fund the acquisition of two semi-submersible rigs, West Eminence and West Phoenix, which have been pledged as security. The facility was amended and increased in 2006 to \$800 million. The net book value at December 31, 2008 of the units pledged as security is \$1,372.5. The facility consists of several tranches with differing terms and repayment schedules, and each tranche bears interest at LIBOR plus 1.15% per annum. The final repayment is due in 2013. The outstanding balance at December 31, 2008 was \$668.3 million, with \$120.0 million still available to draw.



\$585 million secured term loan

In December 2006 the Company entered into a \$585 million secured term loan facility with a syndicate of banks to partly fund the acquisition of eight tender rigs, which have been pledged as security. The net book value at December 31, 2008 of the units pledged as security is \$461.8 million. The facility bears interest at LIBOR plus between 0.70% and 1.00% per annum depending on the ratio of net debt to EBITDA, and is repayable over a term of six years. At maturity a balloon payment of \$300 million is due. The outstanding balance at December 31, 2008 was \$485.9 million.

\$1,000 million secured term loan.

In May 2008 the Company entered into a \$1,000 million secured two-year bridging loan facility with a syndicate of banks to ensure the short-term financing of the newbuilding program. The units West Sirius, West Aquarius and West Capella have been pledged as security. The net book value at December 31, 2008 of the units pledged as security is \$1,395.8 million. The facility bears interest at LIBOR plus 1.65% per annum and the outstanding balance at December 31, 2008 was \$792.1 million, with \$208.0 million still available to draw. The loan has subsequently been repaid – see Note 34 re subsequent events.

Ship Finance International Loans

In June 2006 the Company entered into a sale and leaseback agreement for the jack-up rig West Ceres with Rig Finance Ltd, a subsidiary of Ship Finance International Limited ("Ship Finance"), which is a related party. Rig Finance Ltd is consolidated as a VIE by the Company. In June 2006 Rig Finance Ltd entered into a \$165 million secured term loan facility with a syndicate of banks to partly fund the acquisition of West Ceres, which has been pledged as security. The net book value at December 31, 2008 of the unit pledged as security is \$158.7 million. The facility bears interest at LIBOR plus 1.15% per annum and is repayable over a term of six years. The outstanding balance at December 31, 2008 was \$106.7 million.

In February 2007 the Company entered into a sale and leaseback agreement for the jack-up rig West Prospero with Rig Finance II Ltd, a subsidiary of Ship Finance. Rig Finance II Ltd is consolidated as a VIE by the Company. In February 2007 Rig Finance II Ltd entered into a US\$170 million secured term loan facility with a syndicate of banks to partly fund the acquisition of West Prospero, which has been pledged as security. The net book value at December 31, 2008 of the unit pledged as security is \$193.7 million. The facility bears interest at LIBOR plus 1.20% per annum and is repayable over a term of six years. The outstanding balance at December 31, 2008 was \$120.8 million.

In May 2008 the Company entered into a sale and leaseback agreement for the drillship West Polaris with SFL West Polaris Limited, a subsidiary of Ship Finance. SFL West Polaris Limited is consolidated as a VIE by the Company. In July 2008 SFL West Polaris Limited entered into a US\$700 million secured term loan facility with a syndicate of banks to partly fund the acquisition of West Polaris, which has been pledged as security. The net book value at December 31, 2008 of the unit pledged as security is \$698.6 million. The facility bears interest at LIBOR plus 1.25% per annum and is repayable over a term of five years. The outstanding balance at December 31, 2008 was \$688.5 million.

In September 2008 the Company entered into a sale and leaseback agreement for the two semi-submersible rigs West Taurus and West Hercules with SFL Deepwater Ltd, a subsidiary of Ship Finance. SFL Deepwater Ltd is consolidated as a VIE by the Company. In September 2008 SFL Deepwater Ltd entered into a \$1,400 million secured term loan facility with a syndicate of banks to partly fund the acquisition of West Taurus and West Hercules, which have been pledged as security. The net book value at December 31, 2008 of the units pledged as security is \$1,160.6 million. The facility bears interest at LIBOR plus 1.40% per annum and is repayable over a term of five years. The outstanding

balance at December 31, 2008 was \$1,142.8 million, with \$250.0 million still available to draw.

F-36

---

NOK550 million floating rate bonds

In September 2008 the Company raised \$78.6 million (NOK550 million) through the issue of a one year bond, the proceeds of which were used to repurchase \$78.6 million (NOK550 million) of the \$142.9 million (NOK1,000 million) bond that matured on January 23, 2009. The bond bears quarterly interest of NIBOR plus 2.50% per annum. The outstanding balance at December 31, 2008 was \$78.6 million (NOK550 million)

NOK1,000 million floating rate bonds

In January 2007 the Company raised \$142.9 million (NOK1,000 million) through the issue of a two year bond, which matured in January 2009. The bond bore quarterly interest of NIBOR plus 1.25% per annum. The outstanding balance at December 31, 2008 was \$65.4 million (NOK450 million).

NOK500 million floating rate bonds

In September 2005 the Company raised \$71.4 million (NOK500 million) through the issue of a seven year bond. The bond bears quarterly interest of NIBOR plus 1.60% per annum. The outstanding balance at December 31, 2008 was \$71.4 million.

NOK1,500 million Senior Debt facility

In December 2007 the Company's 73.79% subsidiary Seawell entered into a five year \$214.3 million (NOK1,500 million) multi-tranche Senior Debt facility with a syndicate of banks to finance working capital. The facility bears interest at NIBOR plus 1.35% per annum until Seawell becomes listing on a regulated stock market or January 2010, whichever is later, and thereafter at NIBOR plus between 0.95% and 1.55% per annum depending on Seawell's ratio of net debt to EBITDA. The outstanding balance at December 31, 2008 was \$202.4 million (NOK1,425 million).

Other Seawell NOK loans

Two Seawell subsidiaries acquired in 2008, Peak Well Solutions AS and Tec Wel AS, have loans totaling \$4.3 million (NOK31 million).

Commercial Interest Reference Rate (CIRR) Credit Facilities

In April 2008 the Company entered into a CIRR term loan for NOK850 million with Eksportfinans ASA, the Norwegian export credit agency. The loan bears fixed interest at 4.56% per annum and is repayable over a term of eight years. The outstanding balance at December 31, 2008 was \$114.2 million (NOK800 million).

In June 2008 the Company entered into a CIRR term loan for NOK904 million with Eksportfinans ASA. The loan bears fixed interest at 4.15% per annum and is repayable over a term of eight years. The outstanding balance at December 31, 2008 was \$121.5 million (NOK850 million).

In July 2008 the Company entered into a CIRR term loan for NOK1,011 million with Eksportfinans ASA. The loan bears fixed interest at 4.15% per annum and is repayable over a term of twelve years. The outstanding balance at December 31, 2008 was \$144.5 million (NOK1,011 million).

In connection with the above three CIRR fixed interest term loans totalling \$380.2 million (NOK2,660 million), interest rate swaps and collateral cash deposits equal to the total outstanding loan balances have been established with commercial banks. The collateral cash deposits are reduced in parallel with repayments of the CIRR loans and receive

fixed interest at the same rates as those paid on the CIRR loans. The collateral cash deposits are classified as "restricted cash" in the balance sheet, and the effect of these arrangements is that the CIRR loans have no effect on net interest bearing debt.

F-37

---

## Covenants on loans and bonds

In addition to security provided to lenders in the form of pledged assets, agreements relating to long-term debt generally contain financial covenants, the main ones being as follows:

- Minimum liquidity requirements: to maintain cash and cash equivalents of at least \$100 million within the group.
- Interest coverage ratio: to maintain an EBITDA to interest expense ratio of at least 2:1, increasing in June 2009 to 2.5:1.
- Current ratio: to maintain current assets to current liabilities ratio of at least 1:1. Current assets are defined as book value less minimum liquidity, but including up to 20% of shares in listed companies owned 20% or more. Current liabilities are defined as book value less the current portion of long term debt.
- Equity ratio: to maintain total equity to total assets ratio of at least 30%. Both equity and total assets are adjusted for the difference between book and market values of drilling units.
- Leverage ratio: to maintain a ratio of net debt to EBITDA no greater than 5.5:1 until June 30, 2009, 5:1 from July 1, 2009 to September 30, 2009 and 4.5:1 thereafter. Net debt is calculated as all interest bearing debt less cash and cash equivalents excluding minimum liquidity requirements.

The main covenants for the Company's bonds are to maintain adjusted shareholders' equity of at least \$1,500 million and a ratio of adjusted shareholders' equity to total liabilities of at least 30% to 40%. Adjusted shareholder's equity is book value of equity adjusted for the difference between book and market values of drilling units.

As of December 31, 2008, the Company is in compliance with all of the covenants under its long-term debt facilities.

## Note 23 – Other current liabilities

Other current liabilities are comprised of the following:

(In millions of US dollar)	December 31, 2008	December 31, 2007
Taxes payable	61.1	25.3
Employee withheld taxes, social security and vacation payment	53.3	50.7
Short-term portion of unfavorable contract values	43.0	70.8
Accrued interest expense	33.4	37.1
Liabilities relating to investment in shares (1)	336.5	-
Unrealized loss on forward contracts not classified as derivatives (2)	157.9	-
Short term portion of deferred mobilization revenues	21.6	-
Derivative financial instruments (3)	168.8	-
Other current liabilities	316.3	319.4
<b>Total other current liabilities</b>	<b>1,191.9</b>	<b>503.3</b>

(1) Liabilities relating to the Company's share forward contracts are recorded as short-term debt.

(2) Accrual for loss relating to forward share contracts where the contracts were not defined as derivative, while the loss was expensed as of December 31, 2008.

F-38

---



(3) Derivative financial instruments consist of net unrealized losses on interest rate swap agreements, currency forward contracts and total return swap agreements (see Note 31).

#### Note 24 – Other non-current liabilities

Other non-current liabilities are comprised of the following:

(In millions of US dollar)	December 31, 2008	December 31, 2007
Accrued pension liabilities	55.8	57.4
Long-term portion of unfavorable contract values	62.8	100.3
Long term portion of deferred mobilization revenues	56.2	-
Other non-current liabilities	34.2	40.4
<b>Total other non-current liabilities</b>	<b>209.0</b>	<b>198.1</b>

#### Note 25 – Acquisitions

##### Acquisitions in 2008

##### Noble Drilling

In April 2008 the Company acquired the entire issued share capital of Noble Drilling UK Limited ("Noble Drilling"), a well services company incorporated in the United Kingdom. Noble Drilling was the North Sea Platform Division of Noble Corporation, a company incorporated in Switzerland and listed on the NYSE. The purchase price was \$53.9 million, including working capital.

##### Peak Well

In March 2008 the Company acquired the entire issued share capital of Peak Well Solutions AS ("Peak Well"), a well services company incorporated in Norway. The purchase price was \$85.0 million, including working capital.

##### TecWel

In May 2008 the Company acquired the entire issued share capital of TecWel AS ("TecWel"), a well services company incorporated in Norway. The purchase price was \$34.3 million, including working capital.

The purchase price of the acquired companies has been allocated as follows:

(in US dollar million)	Noble Drilling	Peak Well	TecWel	Total
<b>Assets:</b>				
Intangible assets	8.5	14.1	12.2	34.9
Goodwill	30.5	61.0	20.7	112.2
Fixed assets	-	6.3	3.7	10.0
Receivables and other current assets	17.6	14.8	5.2	37.6
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>56.6</b>	<b>96.2</b>	<b>41.9</b>	<b>194.7</b>
<b>Liabilities:</b>				

Edgar Filing: SeaDrill LTD - Form 20FR12B

Deferred tax	2.4	0.4	3.4	6.2
Payables and other current liabilities	0.3	10.8	4.2	15.3
Total liabilities	2.7	11.2	7.6	21.5
Purchase Price	53.9	85.0	34.3	173.2

F-39

---

## Acquisition in 2007

## Eastern Drilling

At December 31, 2006 the Company owned approximately 60.4% of the shares in Eastern Drilling AS ("Eastern Drilling") -see below. On April 18, 2007 the Company made a mandatory offer for all of the outstanding shares in Eastern Drilling at a price of NOK135 per share. The offer price was determined by a ruling of the Oslo Stock Exchange Appeals Committee following a disagreement between the Company and the Oslo Stock Exchange relating to the Company's use in 2006 of Total Return Swap Agreements linked to Eastern Drilling shares. Following the mandatory offer, Eastern Drilling becoming a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company and the total cost of increasing the Company's shareholding from 60.4% to 100% amounted to \$401.8 million. The Company has taken legal action against the Oslo Stock Exchange and the Norwegian State with a claim for damages relating to the mandatory offer for Eastern Drilling shares (see note 32).

## Wellbore

In November 2007 the Company acquired a controlling interest in Wellbore Solutions AS ("Wellbore"), a well services company incorporated in Norway. The purchase price was \$4.6 million, including working capital.

The purchase price of Wellbore and Eastern Drilling has been allocated as follows:

(in US dollar million)	Wellbore	Eastern Drilling	Total
Assets			
Goodwill	2.9	213.6	216.5
Settlement of non-controlling interest	-	209.8	209.8
Deferred tax assets (on Unfavorable Contracts)	-	8.4	8.4
Other non current assets	1.1	-	1.1
Receivables and other current assets	0.6	-	0.6
Total assets	4.6	431.8	436.4
Liabilities: unfavorable contracts			
	-	30.0	30.0
Purchase price	4.6	401.8	406.4

## Acquisitions in 2006

## Smedvig

In 2006 the Company acquired the entire issued share capital of Smedvig ASA ("Smedvig"), a substantial company owning drilling rigs and providing drilling and well services listed on the Oslo Stock Exchange. The purchase price was \$2,303.0 million, including working capital.

## Mosvold

In 2006 the Company acquired the entire issued share capital of Mosvold Drilling Ltd ("Mosvold"), a company owning drillships listed on the Oslo Stock Exchange. The purchase price was \$353.5 million, including working capital.

## Eastern Drilling

Through the Company's acquisition of Smedvig and other transactions in 2006, the Company acquired 60.4% of the issued share capital of Eastern Drilling AS ("Eastern Drilling"), a company owning drilling rigs incorporated in

Norway and listed on the Oslo Stock Exchange. The purchase price of was \$312.6 million, including working capital.

F-40

---

The purchase price of the acquisitions of Smedvig, Mosvold and Eastern Drilling in 2006 has been allocated as follows:

(in US dollar million)	Smedvig	Mosvold	Eastern Drilling	Total
<b>Assets:</b>				
Goodwill	1,256.5	-	-	1,256.5
Fixed assets	1,988.9	228.6	465.1	2,682.6
Receivables and other current assets	236.6	211.4	100.3	548.3
Investment in associated companies	282.5	-	-	282.5
Other non-current assets	39.4	-	-	39.4
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>3,803.9</b>	<b>440.0</b>	<b>565.4</b>	<b>4,809.3</b>
<b>Liabilities:</b>				
Deferred tax	178.9	-	31.4	210.3
Payables and other current liabilities	353.7	4.3	2.3	360.3
Long-term debt	726.1	80.0	57.3	863.4
Other non-current liabilities	269.9	-	-	269.9
Non-controlling interest	-	-	159.5	159.5
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>1,528.6</b>	<b>84.3</b>	<b>250.5</b>	<b>1,863.4</b>
<b>Purchase Price (fair value)</b>	<b>2,303.0</b>	<b>353.5</b>	<b>312.6</b>	<b>2,969.1</b>

#### Note 26 – Non-controlling interest

The Company's consolidated Statement of Operations, Balance Sheet and Statement of Cash Flows include Seawell, whose issued share capital is 73.79% owned by the Company. The part of Seawell's total shareholders' equity not attributable to the Company is included in non-controlling interest.

In 2007 and 2008 the Company entered into five sale and leaseback arrangements for drilling rigs with Ship Finance, who incorporated subsidiary companies for the sole purpose of owning and leasing the rigs. The Company has recognised these subsidiary companies as VIEs and concluded that the Company is their primary beneficiary. Accordingly, these subsidiary companies are included in the Company's consolidated accounts, with the Ship Finance equity in these companies included in non-controlling interest. The Other Comprehensive Income in these VIEs is not included in non-controlling interest, as it represents fair value adjustments to interest rate swaps designated as hedges on variable interest rate loans taken out by the VIEs, these swaps having been requirements of the lease contracts between the VIEs and Seadrill.

Changes in non-controlling interest in 2007 and 2008 are as follows:

(in US dollar million)	Eastern Drilling	Seawell	Ship Finance	Total
January 1, 2007	162.1	-	49.9	212.0
Changes in 2007	(162.1)	1.2	40.5	(120.4)
2007 results	-	1.2	11.8	13.0
December 31, 2007	-	2.4	102.2	104.6
Changes in 2008	-	6.5	440.0	446.5

Edgar Filing: SeaDrill LTD - Form 20FR12B

2008 results	-	5.1	36.6	41.7
December 31, 2008	-	14.0	578.8	592.8

F-41

---

## Note 27 – Share capital

	2008		2007	
	Shares	\$millions	Shares	\$millions
All shares are common shares of \$2.00 par value each				
Authorized share capital	800,000,000	1,600.0	800,000,000	1,600.0
Issued and fully paid share capital	399,133,216	798.3	399,133,216	798.3
Treasury shares held by Company	717,800	1.4	608,700	1.2
Outstanding shares in issue	398,415,416	796.8	398,524,516	797.0

The Company's shares are listed on the Oslo Stock Exchange.

The Company was incorporated on May 10, 2005 and 6,000 ordinary shares of par value \$2.00 each were issued. Since incorporation the number of issued shares has increased from 6,000 to 399,133,216 of par value \$2.00 each at December 31, 2008. No shares were issued in 2008.

A share repurchase program was approved by the Board in 2007 giving the Company the authorization to buy back shares. Shares bought back under the authorization may be cancelled or held as treasury shares. Treasury shares may be held to meet the Company's obligations relating to the share option scheme (see Note 24). As at December 31, 2008 the Company holds 717,800 treasury shares and net shares outstanding at December 31, 2008 were 398,415,416.

In September 2008, the shareholders in the annual general meeting approved the reduction of the share premium account from US \$1,955.4 to nil and the transfer of the balance of \$1,955.4 to the Company's Contributed Surplus

## Note 28 – Share option plans

In May 2005, a general meeting of the Company approved authorizing the Board of Directors to establish and maintain an option scheme (the "Seadrill Scheme") for encouraging the holding of shares in the Company. The Seadrill Scheme adopted in May 2006 will expire in May 2016. The Seadrill Scheme permits the board of directors, at its discretion, to grant options to acquire shares in the Company to employees and directors of the Company or its subsidiaries. The options are not transferable. The subscription price is at the discretion of the board of directors provided the subscription price is never reduced below the par value of the share. The subscription price for certain options granted under the scheme will be reduced by the amount of all dividends declared by the Company in the period from the date of grant until the date the option is exercised. Options granted under the scheme will vest at a date determined by the board at the date of the grant. The options granted under the plan to date vest over a period of one to three and a half years. There is no maximum number of shares authorised for awards of equity share options and authorised, unissued or treasury shares of the Company may be used to satisfy exercised options.

Five grants of share options were made in 2008 and the fair value of each option is estimated on the date of the grant using a Black Scholes option valuation model, with the following assumptions: risk-free interest rate of 5.37% (weighted average across options), volatility of 38% (weighted average across options), a dividend yield of 0% and a weighted average expected option term of 6 years. The risk-free interest rates were estimated using the published Norwegian treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant for instruments with a similar life. The dividend yield has been estimated at 0% as the exercise price is reduced by all dividends declared by the Company from the date of grant to the exercise date. It was assumed that 90% of options granted under the plan will vest. The expected term is based on historical information of past employee behavior regarding exercises and forfeiture of options. The Company uses a blended volatility for the volatility assumption, to reflect the expectation of how the share price will react to the

future cyclicality of the Company's industry. The blended volatility is calculated using two components. The first component is derived from volatility computed from historical data for a period of time approximately equal to the expected term of the stock option, starting from the date of grant. The second component is the implied volatility derived from the Company's "at-the-money" long-term call options. The two components are equally weighted to create a blended volatility.

F-42

---



The fair value of share options granted is recognized as personnel expenses, and in the year ended December 31, 2008, \$15.1 million was expensed in the income statement. There were no effects on taxes in the financial statements. However if the option is exercised a tax benefit will be recorded, as the gain is recorded as deductible for tax purposes. If the Company has to expense social security taxes related to the benefit of options exercised such expenses will be recorded at the exercise date.

The following summarizes share option transactions related to the Seadrill Scheme in 2008, 2007 and 2006:

	2008		2007		2006	
	Options	Weighted average exercise price US\$	Options	Weighted average exercise price US\$	Options	Weighted average exercise price US\$
Outstanding at beginning of year	4,088,700	13.30	3,916,667	12.17	-	-
Granted	2,658,000	25.38	700,000	18.17	3,956,667	12.17
Exercised	(490,900)	14.86	(427,967)	11.47	-	-
Forfeited	(277,700)	18.59	(100,000)	14.07	(40,000)	12.17
Outstanding at end of year	5,978,100	18.11	4,088,700	13.30	3,916,667	12.17
Exercisable at end of year	1,839,133	11.90	922,033	11.75	nil	-

Options granted in 2006 had initial exercise prices between \$2.23 and NOK102 (\$16.28) per share, may be exercised one third each year beginning 12 months after they were granted, and expire between May 2010 and September 2011. Options granted in 2007 had initial exercise prices between NOK98.63 (\$15.23) and NOK129.63 (\$22.35) per share, may be exercised one third each year beginning 12 months after they were granted, and expire in September 2011. Options granted in 2008 had initial exercise prices between NOK132.12 (\$24.80) and NOK163.00 (\$31.37) per share, may be exercised one third each year beginning 18 months after they were granted, and expire in January 2014.

The weighted average grant-date fair value of options granted during 2008 is \$12.59 per share (2007: \$6.70 per share, 2006: \$7.89 per share). The exercise price of all options is reduced by the amount of any dividends declared; the above figures for options granted show the average of the prices at the time of granting the options, and for options outstanding at the beginning and end of the year the average of the reduced option prices is shown.

As of December 31, 2008 there was \$19.4 million in unrecognized compensation costs relating to non-vested options granted under the Options Schemes (2007: \$9.7 million). This amount will be recognized as expenses of \$12.4 million in 2009, \$5.2 million in 2010 and \$1.8 million in 2011.

There were 5,978,100 options outstanding at December 31, 2008 (2007: 4,088,700). Their weighted average remaining contractual life was 38 months (2007: 54 months) and their weighted average fair value was \$10.49 per option (2007: \$7.74 per option). The weighted average parameters used in calculating these weighted average fair values are as follows: risk-free interest rate 4.69% (2007: 4.18%), volatility 35% (2007: 33%), dividend yield 0% (2007: 0%), option holder retirement rate 5% (2007: 2%) and expected term 5 years (2007: 4 years).

During 2008 the total intrinsic value of options exercised was \$5.1 million on the day of exercise. The intrinsic value of options full vested but not exercised at December 31, 2008 was \$2.5 million and their average remaining term was 19 months.

In addition to the Seadrill Scheme, in 2007 the Company's 73.79% owned subsidiary Seawell introduced an option scheme under which its senior management and directors may be granted options to subscribe for new shares. In 2007 options for 4,097,000 shares in Seawell were granted, which may be exercised up to October 2012. The options are not transferable and may be withdrawn upon termination of employment. The exercise price was initially NOK13.75 (\$1.96) per share increasing by 6 percent on each anniversary date, and the options vest over a period of one to three years. No options were granted in 2008, and as at December 31, 2008, Seawell could grant a further 903,000 options under the 2007 authorization. As at December 31, 2008, the weighted average exercise price of the 4,097,000 outstanding options was NOK 14.80 (\$2.35) and their remaining term was 57 months. As in the Seadrill Scheme, Seawell has used the Black & Scholes pricing model to estimate the fair value of the options granted, using the following assumptions: risk-free interest rate of 5.55%, volatility of 37%, dividend yield of 0%, option holder retirement rate of 10% and expected term of five years. The fair value of the options granted in 2007 was \$0.77 per option at the grant date and as at December 31, 2008, the unrecognized compensation costs relating to the unvested options totaled \$0.8 million, which will be recognized as expenses of \$0.6 million in 2009 and \$0.2 million in 2010. When any options are exercised, employers' national insurance contributions will be payable by Seawell, while the option holders will be charged for their individual income taxes.

#### Note 29 - Pension benefits

The Company has a defined benefit pension plan covering substantially all Norwegian employees. A significant part of this plan is administered by a life insurance company.

The primary benefits for the onshore employees in Norway are a retirement pension of approximately 66 percent of salary at retirement age of 67 years, together with a long-term disability pension. The retirement pension per employee is capped at an annual payment of 66 percent of the total of 12 times the Norwegian Social Security Base. Most employees in this group may choose to retire at 62 years of age on a pre-retirement pension. Offshore employees in Norway have retirement and long-term disability pension of approximately 60 percent of salary at retirement age of 67. Offshore employees on mobile units may choose to retire at 60 years of age on a pre-retirement pension. Offshore employees on fixed installations have the same pre-retirement pension, but the employees may not retire until they are 62 years of age.

On December 31, 2006, Seadrill adopted the recognition and disclosure provisions of ASC Topic 715 Compensation – Retirement Benefits (formerly SFAS No.158, Employer's Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and other Postretirement Plans, an amendment of formerly FASB Statements No. 87, 88 and 123(R)). ASC Topic 715 requires the recognition of the funded status of the Defined Benefit and Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions ("OPEB") plans on the December 31, 2006 balance sheet with a corresponding adjustment to accumulated other comprehensive income. The adjustment to accumulated other comprehensive income at adoption represents the net unrecognized actuarial losses, unrecognized prior service costs, and unrecognized transition obligation remaining from the initial application of ASC Topic 715, all of which were previously netted against the plans' funded status on the balance sheet. These amounts will be subsequently recognized as net periodic pension cost pursuant to our historical accounting policy for amortizing such amounts. Further, actuarial gains and losses that arise in subsequent periods and are not recognized as net periodic pension cost in the same periods will be recognized as a component of other comprehensive income. Those amounts will be subsequently recognized as a component of net periodic pension cost on the same basis as the amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income.

F-44

---

## Effect of formerly SFAS No. 158 on the consolidated balance sheet

(in US dollar million)	2008	2007
Non-current liabilities	(1.7)	6.1
Deferred tax	0.5	(1.7)
Shareholders equity	(1.3)	4.4

## Annual pension cost

(in US dollar million)	2008	2007	2006
Benefits earned during the year	19.3	20.4	14.5
Interest cost on prior years' benefit obligation	7.7	6.3	4.7
Gross pension cost for the year	27.0	26.7	19.2
Expected return on plan assets	(6.0)	(4.9)	(3.6)
Administration charges	0.4	0.2	0.2
Net pension cost for the year	21.4	22.0	15.8
Social security cost	3.0	3.1	2.3
Amortization of actuarial gains/losses	(0.7)	(0.1)	(0.1)
Amortization of prior service cost	-	-	-
Amortization of net transition assets	-	0.1	-
Total net pension cost	23.7	25.1	18.0

## The funded status of the defined benefit plan

(in US dollar million)	December 31, 2008	December 31, 2007
Projected benefit obligations	141.8	158.3
Plan assets at market value	(92.9)	(108.1)
Accrued pension liability exclusive social security	48.9	50.2
Social security related to pension obligations	6.9	7.2
Accrued pension liabilities	55.8	57.4

## Change in benefit obligations

(in US dollar million)	2008	2007
Benefit obligations at beginning of year	158.3	124.9
Interest cost	7.7	6.3
Current service cost	19.3	20.4
Benefits paid	(1.2)	(1.1)
Change in unrecognized actuarial gain	(1.9)	(13.5)
Foreign currency translations	(40.4)	21.3
Benefit obligations at end of year	141.8	158.3

Change in pension plan assets (in US dollar million)	2008	2007
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year	108.1	71.1
Estimated return	6.0	4.9
Contribution by employer	10.3	21.3
Administration charges	(0.4)	(0.2)
Benefits paid	(1.0)	(0.9)
Change in unrecognized actuarial loss	(3.3)	-
Foreign currency translations	(26.8)	11.9
Fair value of plan assets at end of year	92.9	108.1

Pension obligations are actuarially determined and are critically affected by the assumptions used, including the expected return on plan assets, discount rates, compensation increases and employee turnover rates. The Company periodically reviews the assumptions used, and adjusts them and the recorded liabilities as necessary.

The expected rate of return on plan assets and the discount rate applied to projected benefits are particularly important factors in calculating the Company's pension expense and liabilities. The Company evaluates assumptions regarding the estimated rate of return on plan assets based on historical experience and future expectations on investment returns, which are calculated by a third party investment advisor utilizing the asset allocation classes held by the plan's portfolios. The discount rate is based on the Norwegian government 10 year-bond effective yield. Changes in these and other assumptions used in the actuarial computations could impact the projected benefit obligations, pension liabilities, pension expense and other comprehensive income.

Assumptions used in calculation of pension obligations	2008	2007	2006
Rate of compensation increase at the end of year	4.50%	4.50%	4.30%
Discount rate at the end of year	5.80%	5.30%	4.70%
Prescribed pension index factor	2.50%	2.75%	2.50%
Expected return on plan assets for the year	6.30%	5.75%	5.80%
Employee turnover	4.00%	4.00%	4.00%
Expected increases in Social Security Base	4.25%	4.25%	3.50%
Expected annual early retirement from age 60/62:			
Offshore personnel fixed installations	30.0%	30.0%	30.0%
Offshore personnel Mobile units and onshore employees	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%

The weighted-average asset allocation of funds related to the Company's defined benefit plan at December 31, 2008 was as follows:

Pension benefit plan assets	2008	2007
Equity securities	3.8%	19.4%
Debt securities	58.7%	37.9%
Real estate	16.8%	18.5%
Money market	14.0%	17.3%
Other	6.7%	6.9%
Total	100.0%	100.0%

The investment policies and strategies for the pension benefit plan funds do not use target allocations for the individual asset categories. The investment objectives are to maximize returns subject to specific risk management policies. The Company diversifies its allocation of plan assets by investing in both domestic and international fixed income securities and domestic and international equity securities. These investments are readily marketable and can be sold to fund benefit payment obligations as they become payable. The estimated yearly return on pension assets was 2.2 percent in 2008 and 7.3 percent in 2007.

F-46

---

## Cash flows - Contributions expected to be paid

The table below shows the Company's expected annual pension plan contributions under defined benefit plans for the years 2009-2018. The expected payments are based on the assumptions used to measure the Company's obligations at December 31, 2008 and include estimated future employee services.

(in US dollar million)	December 31, 2008
2009	16.6
2010	18.0
2011	19.4
2012	20.9
2013	22.4
2014-2018	134.5
Total payments expected during the next 10 years	231.8

## Note 30 – Related party transactions

The Company transacts business with the following related parties, being companies in which our principal shareholders Hemen Holding Ltd and Farahead Investments Inc (hereafter jointly referred to as "Hemen") and companies associated with Hemen have a significant interest:

- Ship Finance International Limited ("Ship Finance")
- Frontline Management (Bermuda) Limited ("Frontline")
- Aktiv Kapital ASA ("Aktiv")

The Company has entered into several sale and lease-back contracts for drilling units with wholly-owned subsidiaries of Ship Finance. These subsidiaries are fully consolidated in the financial statement of the Company, as they are recorded as VIEs in which the Company is the primary beneficiary (see note 33). In November 2008, the Company granted Ship Finance an unsecured credit facility of \$115.0 million, to be repaid in full by December 31, 2009. This facility is shown in the balance sheet as "Amount due from related party". The agreed interest payable by Ship Finance is paid monthly and is based on terms believed by the Company to be no less favorable than are available from unaffiliated third parties. Interest receivable on the loan amounted to \$2.1 million in the year ended December 31, 2008, of which \$0.9 was outstanding at December 31, 2008. These amounts are included in the consolidated accounts in "Interest income" and "Other current assets", as they do not merit separate disclosure.

Frontline provides management support and administrative services for the Company, and charged the Company fees of \$0.2 million and \$0.1 million for these services in the years 2008 and 2007, respectively. These amounts are included in "General and administrative expenses", as they do not merit separate disclosure.

In 2007 the Company sold the FPSOs Crystal Sea and Crystal Ocean. Aktiv was entitled to a 5% share of the gain on the sales and at December 31, 2007 the Company recorded a provision of \$7.3 million payable to Aktiv, included in "Other current liabilities". This was settled in the first quarter of 2008.





## Note 31 – Risk management and financial instruments

The majority of the Company's gross earnings from rigs and vessels are receivable in US dollars and the majority of the its other transactions, assets and liabilities are denominated in US dollars, the functional currency of the Company. However, the Company has operations and assets in a number of countries worldwide and incurs expenditure in other currencies, causing its results from operations to be affected by fluctuations in currency exchange rates, primarily relative to the US dollar. The Company is also exposed to changes in interest rates on variable interest rate debt, and to the impact of changes in currency exchange rates on NOK denominated debt. There is thus a risk that currency and interest rate fluctuations will have a negative effect on the value of the Company's cash flows.

## Interest rate risk management

The Company's exposure to interest rate risk relates mainly to its variable interest rate debt and balances of surplus funds placed with financial institutions, and this is managed through the use of interest rate swaps and other derivative arrangements. The Company's policy is to obtain the most favorable interest rate borrowings available without increasing its foreign currency exposure. Surplus funds are generally placed in fixed deposits with reputable financial institutions, yielding higher returns than are available on cash at bank. Such deposits generally have short-term maturities, in order to provide the Company with flexibility to meet requirements for working capital and capital investments.

The extent to which the Company utilizes interest rate swaps and other derivatives to manage its interest rate risk is determined by reference to its net debt exposure and its views regarding future interest rates. At December 31, 2008, the Company had outstanding interest rate swap agreements covering \$1,784 million of its US dollar interest bearing debt (2007: \$103 million), effectively fixing the interest rate on approximately 28% of the debt (2007: 2%). These agreements do not qualify for hedge accounting, and accordingly any changes in the fair values of the swap agreements are included in the Consolidated Statement of Operations under "Other financial items". The total fair value loss relating to interest rate swaps in 2008 amounted to \$176.7 million.

The Company's interest rate swap agreements as at December 31, 2008, were as follows:

Notional amount (In US\$millions)	Receive rate	Pay rate	Length of contract
50	3 month LIBOR	4.6300%	May 2005 - May 2015
34 (NOK 220 mill)	3 month NIBOR+1.2%	3 month LIBOR+1.3%	Sept 2005 - Sept 2012
150	6 month LIBOR	3.8763%	April 2008 - Sept 2016
350	3 month LIBOR	4.1030%	June 2008 - June 2013
300	3 month LIBOR	4.1450%	June 2008 - June 2013
350	3 month LIBOR	4.4600%	Sept 2008 - Sept 2013
250	3 month LIBOR	3.6400%	Dec 2008 - Dec 2011
300	3 month LIBOR	3.1600%	Dec 2008 - Dec 2018

The counterparties to the above contracts are DnB NOR Bank ASA, Nordea Bank Finland Plc, Fokus Bank, and ING Bank N.V. Credit risk exists to the extent that the counterparties are unable to perform under the contracts, but this risk is considered remote as the counterparties are all banks which have provided the Company with loan finance and the interest rate swaps are related to those financing arrangements.

F-48

---

## Interest rate hedge accounting

Two of the subsidiaries of Ship Finance consolidated by the Company as VIE's have entered into interest rate swaps in order to mitigate the Company's exposure to variability in cash flows for future interest payments on the loans taken out to finance the acquisition of West Polaris and West Taurus. These interest rate swaps qualify for hedge accounting and any changes in their fair value are included in "Other comprehensive income/loss". Below is a summary of the notional amounts, fixed interest rates payable and durations of these interest rate swaps.

## Notional amount

(In US\$million)	Receive rate	Pay rate	Length of contract
689 (West Polaris )	1 month LIBOR	3.8945%	July 2008 - Oct 2012
450 (West Taurus)	1 month LIBOR	2.1900%	Dec 2008 - Aug 2013

In the year ended December 31, 2008 the above two VIE Ship Finance subsidiaries recorded fair value losses of \$55.2 million on their interest rate swaps. These losses were recorded by those VIEs as "Other comprehensive loss" but due to their ownership by Ship Finance the losses are included in "Non-controlling interest" on consolidation.

Any change in fair value resulting from hedge ineffectiveness is recognized immediately in earnings. The two VIEs, and therefore the Company, did not recognize any gain or loss due to hedge ineffectiveness in the consolidated financial statements during the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 relating to derivative financial instruments.

## Foreign currency risk management

The Company uses foreign currency forward contracts to manage its exposure to foreign currency risk on certain assets, liabilities and future anticipated transactions. Such derivative contracts do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment and are recorded in the balance sheet under receivables if the forward contracts have a net positive fair value, and under other short-term liabilities if the forward contracts have a net negative fair value. At December 31, 2008, the Company had forward contracts to sell approximately \$474.0 million between January 2009 and September 2012 at exchange rates ranging from NOK5.69 to NOK7.05 per US dollar and from SGD1.43 to SGD1.47 per US dollar. The total fair value loss relating to currency forward contracts in 2008 amounted to \$116.8 million.

## Total Return SWAP Agreements

In June and July 2008, the Company entered into Total Return Swap ("TRS") agreements with a total of 4,500,000 shares in Seadrill as underlying security. The agreements were scheduled to expire in December 2008 and the initially agreed reference prices were in a range of NOK141.2 to NOK157.8 per share. In November 2008, these contracts were terminated and simultaneously the Company entered into a new TRS agreement with 4,500,000 shares in Seadrill as underlying security. This agreement was scheduled to expire in February 2009 and the agreed reference price was NOK56.7 per share. In February 2009, the contract was extended to August 2009 and the new reference price was NOK61.3 per share. The total fair value loss relating to TRS agreements in 2008 amounted to \$59.8 million.

## Credit risk

The Company has financial assets, including cash and cash equivalents, marketable securities, other receivables and certain amounts receivable on derivative instruments, mainly forward exchange contracts and interest rate swaps. These assets expose the Company to credit risk arising from possible default by the counterparty. The Company considers the counterparties to be creditworthy financial institutions and does not expect any significant loss to result from non-performance by such counterparties. The Company, in the normal course of business, does not demand collateral. The credit exposure of interest rate swap agreements, currency option contracts and foreign currency contracts is represented by the fair value of contracts with a positive fair value at the end of each period, reduced by the effects of master netting agreements. It is the Company's policy to enter into master netting agreements with the counterparties to derivative financial instrument contracts, which give the Company the legal right to discharge all or a portion of amounts owed to a counterparty by offsetting them against amounts that the counterparty owes to the Company.

## Fair values

The carrying value and estimated fair value of the Company's financial instruments at December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007 are as follows:

(In millions of US dollar)	December 31, 2008		December 31, 2007	
	Fair value	Carrying value	Fair value	Carrying value
<b>Non-Derivatives:</b>				
Cash and cash equivalents	376.4	376.4	997.0	997.0
Restricted cash	626.6	626.6	15.9	15.9
Marketable securities	134.7	134.7	240.4	240.4
Current portion of long-term debt	746.1	746.1	484.1	484.1
Long-term portion of floating rate debt	5,267.6	5,267.6	3,116.4	3,116.4
Long-term portion of fixed interest rate debt	423.4	423.1	-	-
Fixed interest convertible bonds	506.3	1,000.0	1,026.0	1,000.0
<b>Derivatives:</b>				
Interest rate swap agreements – short term receivables	-	-	2.7	2.7
Currency forward contracts – short term receivables	-	-	17.1	17.1
Total short term amounts receivables	-	-	19.8	19.8
Interest rate swap agreements – short term payables	(146.4 )	(146.4 )	-	-
Currency forward contracts – short term payables	(21.3 )	(21.3 )	-	-
Total return swap agreements – short term payable	(1.1 )	(1.1 )	-	-
Total short term amounts payable	168.8	168.8	-	-

The above financial assets and liabilities are measured at fair value on a recurring basis as follows:



## Fair value measurements at reporting date using

(in millions of \$)	December 31, 2008	Quoted Prices	Significant	Significant
		in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
<b>Assets:</b>				
Cash and cash equivalents	376.4	376.4		
Restricted cash	626.6	626.6		
Marketable securities	134.7	134.7		
Total assets	1,137.7	1,137.7	-	-
<b>Liabilities:</b>				
Current portion of long term debt	746.1	746.1		
Long-term portion of floating rate debt	5,267.7	5,267.7		
Long term portion of fixed rate US\$100 million loan	84.7		84.7	
Long term portion of fixed rate CIRR loans	338.7	338.7		
Convertible bonds due 2012	506.3	506.3		
Interest rate swap contracts – short term payables	146.4		146.4	
Currency forward contracts – short term payables	21.3		21.3	
TRS equity swap contracts – short term payables	1.1		1.1	
Total liabilities	7,112.3	6,858.8	253.5	-

ASC Topic 820 Fair Value Measurement and Disclosures (formerly FAS 157) emphasizes that fair value is a market-based measurement, not an entity-specific measurement, and should be determined based on the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. As a basis for considering market participant assumptions in fair value measurements, ASC Topic 820 establishes a fair value hierarchy that distinguishes between market participant assumptions based on market data obtained from sources independent of the reporting entity (observable inputs that are classified within levels one and two of the hierarchy) and the reporting entity's own assumptions about market participant assumptions (unobservable inputs classified within level three of the hierarchy).

Level one inputs utilize unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Company has the ability to access. Level two inputs are inputs other than quoted prices included in level one that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level two inputs may include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, as well as inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, other than quoted prices, such as interest rates, foreign exchange rates and yield curves that are observable at commonly quoted intervals. Level three inputs are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability, which are typically based on an entity's own assumptions, as there is little, if any, related market activity. In instances where the determination of the fair value measurement is based on inputs from different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the entire fair value measurement falls is based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The Company's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, and considers factors specific to the asset or liability.

The carrying value of cash and cash equivalents, which are highly liquid, is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

Quoted market prices are used to estimate the fair value of marketable securities, which are valued at fair value on a recurring basis.

The fair value of the current portion of long-term debt is estimated to be equal to the carrying value, since it is repayable within twelve month.

F-51

---

The fair value of the long-term portion of floating rate debt is estimated to be equal to the carrying value since it bears variable interest rates, which are reset on a quarterly basis. This debt is not freely tradable and cannot be purchased by the Company at prices other than the outstanding balance plus accrued interest.

The fair value of the long-term portion of the fixed interest \$100 million loan is equal to the loan balance plus the fair market value of the fixed interest agreement, which is an amount payable of \$0.3 million.

The fair value of the long-term portion of the fixed rate CIRR loans is equal to the carrying value, as they are matched with equal balances of restricted cash.

The \$1,000 million convertible bonds due 2012 are freely tradable and their fair value has been set equal to the price at which they were traded at on December 31, 2008 (50.63% of nominal value).

The fair values of interest rate swaps and forward exchange contracts are calculated using well-established independent valuation techniques applied to contracted cash flows and LIBOR and NIBOR interest rates as at December 31, 2008.

The fair value of total return equity swaps is calculated using the closing prices of the underlying listed shares, dividends paid since inception and the interest rate charged by the counterparty.

#### Retained Risk

##### a) Physical Damage Insurance

The Company retains the risk, through self-insurance, for the deductibles relating to physical damage insurance on the Company's rig fleet, currently a maximum of \$2 million per occurrence. In the opinion of management, adequate provisions have been made in relation to such exposures, based on known and estimated losses.

##### b) Loss of Hire Insurance

The Company purchases insurance to cover loss of revenue on the rig fleet following extensive downtime caused by physical damage, where such damage is covered under the Company's physical damage insurance. The Company's self-insured retentions under the loss of hire insurance are up to 60 days after the occurrence of the physical damage. Thereafter, under the terms of the insurance, the Company is compensated for between 100 days and 290 days of lost revenue. The Company retains the risk that the repair of physical damage takes longer than the total number of days in the loss of hire policy.

#### Concentration of risk

The Company has financial assets, including cash and cash equivalents, marketable securities, other receivables and certain derivative instrument receivable amounts. These other assets expose the Company to credit risk arising from possible default by the counterparty. There is also a concentration of credit risk with respect to cash and cash equivalents to the extent that most of the amounts are carried with DnB NOR Bank ASA, Nordea Bank Finland Plc, Fokus Bank, and ING Bank N.V. The Company considers these risks to be remote.

In the year ended December, 31, 2008 31.9% of the Company's contract revenues were received from Statoil ASA ("Statoil") (2007: 33.2%) and 6.5% were received from Royal Dutch Shell Group ("Shell") (2007: 12.5%). There is thus a concentration of revenue risk with Statoil and Shell.





## Note 32 – Commitments and contingencies

## Pledged assets

The book value of assets pledged under mortgages at December 31, 2008 was \$7,569 million (2007: \$3,856 million).

## Purchase Commitments

At December 31, 2008, the Company had contractual commitments under twelve newbuilding contracts totaling \$2,891 million (2007: \$3,066 million). The contracts are for the construction of four semi-submersible rigs, four jack-up rigs, a drillship and three tender rigs. The Company has options not to take delivery of two of the jack-up rigs and if these options are exercised the commitments will be reduced by \$390 million.

## Guarantees

The Company has issued guarantees in favor of third parties as follows, which is the maximum potential future payment for each type of guarantee:

(In millions of US dollar)	December 31, 2008	December 31, 2007
Guarantees to customers of the Company's own performance	630	242
Guarantee in favor of banks	45	59
Guarantee in favor of suppliers	1,673	1,193
Guarantee in favor of Variable Interest Entities	2,793	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>5,141</b>	<b>1,494</b>

## Legal Proceedings:

The Company is a party, as plaintiff or defendant, to several lawsuits in various jurisdictions for demurrage, damages, off-hire and other claims and commercial disputes arising from the operation of its drilling units, in the ordinary course of business or in connection with its acquisition activities. The Company believes that the resolution of such claims will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's operations or financial condition. The Company's best estimate of the outcome of the various disputes has been reflected in the financial statements of the Company as of December 31, 2008.

## 1. FPSO Crystal Sea – dispute related to the termination of operations in India

The Company has a dispute with Discovery Enterprise Pvt Ltd. ("Discovery"), a company incorporated in India, in connection with the operations of the floating production storage and offloading vessel ("FPSO") Crystal Sea. Discovery has sued the Company in the Norwegian Courts claiming that the Company is responsible for losses incurred by Discovery. The Oslo District Court and the Court of Appeal have ruled in favor of the Company.

## 2. Eastern Drilling – dispute related to acquisition of shares

In April 2007 the Company made a mandatory offer for all of the outstanding shares of Eastern Drilling ASA at a price of NOK135 per share. The offer price was determined by a ruling of the Oslo Stock Exchange Appeal Committee following a disagreement between the Oslo Stock Exchange and the Company related to the use of Total

Return Swap Agreements. The Company subsequently took legal actions against the Oslo Stock Exchange and The Norwegian State with a claim for damages up to NOK850 million. In December 2007, the Oslo District Court made its ruling in favor of the Oslo Stock Exchange. However, the Company has appealed against this decision - see Note 34 re subsequent events.

F-53

---

## 3. Jack-up rig West Larissa – dispute with Gazprom

The Company is in dispute with OAO Gazprom ("Gazprom") in connection with the operation in 2005 and 2006 of the jack-up rig West Larissa (called Ekha at that time) – see Note 34 re subsequent events.

## Note 33 – Variable Interest Entities (VIEs)

As at December 31, 2008, the Company leased one drillship, two jack-up rigs, and two semi-submersible rigs from VIEs under finance leases. Each of the units had been sold by the Company to single purpose subsidiaries of Ship Finance and simultaneously leased back by the Company on bareboat charter contracts for a term of 15 years. The Company has several options to repurchase the units during the charter periods, and obligations to purchase three of the assets at the end of the 15 year lease terms. The following table gives a summary of the sale and leaseback arrangements, as at December 31, 2008:

Unit	Effective from	Sale value (US\$millions)	First repurchase option (US\$millions)	Month of first repurchase option	Last repurchase option * (US\$millions)	Month of last repurchase Option *
West Ceres	June 2006	210.0	135.5	June 2009	60.0	June 2021
West Prospero	July 2007	210.0	142.0	June 2010	60.0	June 2022
West Polaris	July 2008	850.0	548.0	September 2012	177.5	June 2023
West Taurus	November 2008	850.0	418.0	February 2015	149.0	November 2023
West Hercules	October 2008	850.0	579.5	August 2011	135.0	August 2023

\* For the units West Polaris, West Taurus and West Hercules repurchase obligations after 15 years have been agreed, at \$75.0, \$149.0 and \$135.0, respectively.

The Company has determined that the Ship Finance subsidiaries, which own the units, are variable interest entities (VIEs), and that the Company is the primary beneficiary of the risks and rewards connected with the ownership of the units and the charter contracts. Accordingly, these VIEs are fully consolidated in the Company's consolidated accounts. The Company did not record any gains from the sale of the units, as they continued to be reported as assets at their original cost in the Company's balance sheet at the time of each transaction. The equity attributable to Ship Finance in the VIEs is included in non-controlling interests in the Company's consolidated accounts. At December 31, 2008 the units are reported under drilling units in the Company's balance sheet, with the exception of West Taurus which is reported under newbuildings.

The bareboat charter rates are set on the basis of a Base LIBOR Interest Rate for each bareboat charter contract, and thereafter are adjusted for differences between the LIBOR fixing each month and the Base LIBOR Interest Rate for each contract. In addition, the VIEs which own the jack-up rigs West Ceres and West Prospero will receive during 2009 a profit-sharing contribution equal to 5% and 4%, respectively, of the adjusted profits arising from the rigs' operating activities, provided that certain operating profit milestones are met. A summary of the bareboat charter rates per day for each unit is given below. The amounts shown are based on the Base LIBOR Interest Rate shown, and reflect average rates for the year.



Unit	Base LIBOR2009 Interest Rate (US\$thousands)	2010 (US\$thousands)	2011 (US\$thousands)	2012 (US\$thousands)	2013 (US\$thousands)
West Ceres	5.60%	82.0	51.5	51.5	51.5
West Prospero	5.10%	81.5	67.5	53.9	52.6
West Polaris	2.85%	348.7 *	346.6 *	344.4 *	305.1
West Taurus	4.25%	285.0 *	304.3 *	307.8 *	311.8 *
West Hercules	4.25%	404.5	404.5	404.5	395.0

\* For a period the interest rates for West Polaris and West Taurus have been fixed at 3.89% and 2.19%, respectively, and the bareboat charter rate for these two units is fixed regardless of movements in LIBOR interest rates. These fixed charter rates are reflected in the above table.

The assets and liabilities of the VIEs included in the Company's consolidated accounts are as follows:

In US dollar millions	Rig Finance Ltd.	Rig Finance II Ltd.	SFL West Polaris Limited	SFL Deepwater Ltd.
Name of units	West Ceres	West Prospero	West Polaris	West Taurus West Hercules
Investment in finance lease	149.2	159.8	833.3	1,680.1
Other assets	24.1	12.0	19.2	31.4
Total assets	173.3	171.8	852.5	1,711.5
Long term debt	106.7	120.8	618.7	1,142.8
Other liabilities	1.4	1.0	118.5	275.7
Total liabilities	108.1	121.8	737.2	1,418.5
Equity	65.2	50.0	115.3	293.0
Book value of units in the Company's consolidated accounts	158.7	193.7	698.6	1,160.6

#### Note 34 – Subsequent Events

The Company had a dispute with the Oslo Stock Exchange relating to acquisition of shares in Eastern Drilling. The Court of Appeal's decision was announced on March 9, 2009, again in favor of the Oslo Stock Exchange. The Court of Appeal also ruled that the Company had to cover all legal costs incurred by Oslo Stock Exchange. See also note 32.

On January 16, 2009, the Company took delivery of the ultra-deepwater semi-submersible rig West Aquarius.

On March 5, 2009 the Company participated in the Scorpion Offshore Limited private placement and was allocated 10,810,811 shares at NOK16.00 per share, representing an investment of \$24.4 million. The Company directly and indirectly controls 39.6 percent of the outstanding shares subsequent to the private placement.

On March 12, 2009 the Company took delivery of the ultra-deepwater semi-submersible rig West Eminence.

On March 27, 2009 the Company acquired NOK1,603 million equal to an 80.2 percent interest in the Petromena NOK2,000 million bond loan (ISIN NO 001031608.6). The bond loan was secured against two new deepwater rigs

which were sold in June and September this year. The Company currently holds 81.1% of the bond, in which it has invested \$183.0 million. In October 2009 the Company received a partial repayment of the bond, amounting to \$101.4 million.

F-55

---

On April 2, 2009, the Appeal Committee of the Supreme Court refused the appeal of Discovery (see note 33 legal proceedings).

In May 2009, legal hearings took place in the High Court of Justice, London, relating to the dispute with Gazprom regarding West Larissa. The Court has issued a decision with the following main conclusions:

- The Company was awarded charter hire for the period November 23, 2005, to January 9, 2006, being the date up to when the incident occurred. Including interest this amounted to approximately \$6.8 million.
- The Company was not awarded hire for the time after the incident, nor was the Company awarded any reimbursement for uninsured costs related to its claim.
- The Court has ruled that Gazprom is entitled to recover costs and expenses related to West Larissa, where Gazprom can demonstrate that these were wasted as a consequence of Seadrill's actions during the incident. The Judge also ruled that Gazprom wrongfully terminated the Contract, and has thus rejected Gazprom's claim for losses associated with the contracting of another rig.

It is not possible at this stage to quantify the net outcome of this ruling. The amount of Gazprom's counter-claim, as well as responsibility for incurred legal costs, will be decided in a separate hearing at a later stage. The Court's decision has been appealed by the Company, and appeal hearings are scheduled to take place during first half of 2010. The Company does not expect the final outcome to have a significant effect on its financial results.

In June 2009 the Company established a \$1,500 million senior secured loan facility with a syndicate of banks, partly to refinance the existing \$1,000 million bridging loan facility. The facility bears interest at LIBOR plus 3.25% per annum and is repayable over a term of five years.

In July 2009 the Company exercised its option to repurchase the jack-up rig West Ceres from the VIE Rig Finance Ltd at the option price of \$135.5 million. The Company subsequently entered into an agreement to sell the rig to an independent third party for \$178.0 million.

In July 2009, the Norwegian Borgarting Court of Appeal granted the Company full support in Transocean's patent lawsuit against the Company. The Court maintained the judgment of the Oslo District Court given in February 2008 and rejected Transocean's appeal. The rulings have invalidated Transocean's Norwegian patents on both multi-activity offshore drilling apparatus as well as for applying simultaneous operations in offshore drilling activities in Norway. All claims against the Company were found to be invalid and the Court ruled that Transocean should pay all litigation costs. Transocean has appealed the decision to the Norwegian Supreme Court. A final decision is expected in 2010.

In September 2009 the Company successfully issued a five year \$500 million convertible bond, the proceeds of which will be used for general purposes. The bonds are unsecured, bear interest at the fixed rate of 4.875% per annum paid twice a year and have a conversion price of \$25.18 per share, representing a premium of 35% over the Company's share price at the time of issue.

Seadrill has two jack-up rigs under construction at PPL Shipyard in Singapore ("PPL"). Following the order in June 2008, the construction agreement was amended in January 2009 giving Seadrill an option to not take delivery of the second unit (West Elara) by allowing PPL to retain the US\$21.5 million already paid as yard installment. At the same time PPL was granted a right to terminate the construction contract for West Elara by returning 50% of the paid installment. Late October 2009, PPL gave notice that they exercised their option and that the termination had effect from November 11, 2009. As a consequence of this, a loss of approximately \$12 million (including incurred yard supervision costs) will be booked in the fourth quarter 2009. Seadrill will in November 2009 be refunded \$10.75



million by PPL.

F-56

---

In Australia, a hydrocarbon leak developed mid August 2009 on an adjacent well on the Montara platform where the jack-up rig West Atlas was working for PTTEP Australasia that necessitated an evacuation of personnel of the jack-up unit. On November 1, 2009 a fire broke out on the Montara wellhead platform and subsequently engulfed the drilling tower of West Atlas which was cantilevered above the wellhead platform. The fire continued for more than two days before the well was killed and caused severe damages to West Atlas. It is difficult to assess the magnitude of the damages to the rig at this point in time but it is possible that the rig will be classified as a constructive total loss with a corresponding payout under the prevailing insurance arrangement. Alternatively, there will be loss of revenue partly offset by loss of hire insurance until the rig is repaired. The Company expects that repair costs will in this case be reimbursed by insurance underwriters covering the physical damage.

The Company is in dispute with Heerema Engineering Services B.V. relating to alleged patent infringements in connection with world-wide dual drilling operations performed by the Company. Legal hearings took place in the Oslo District Court in August and September 2009, and on November 3, 2009, the Company was informed that a ruling was made in its favor, whereby Heerema's Norwegian patent for dual drilling operations was declared to be invalid. Heerema has until December 4, 2009, to decide whether they want to appeal the decision by the District Court.

On November 5, 2009, the Company declared a dividend of \$0.50 per share to be paid on or about December 7, 2009.

On November 10, 2009, the Company issued a two year NOK800 million unsecured bond, bearing quarterly interest at NIBOR plus 2.75%. The proceeds will be used for general corporate purposes.

In November 2009, the Company provided an unsecured loan of \$27.7 million, to Scorpion, an associated company (see Note 16). The loan has duration of six months and bears interest at 16% per annum.

#### Note 35 – Prior Period Adjustment of Cash Flow Classification

The Company has reconsidered its classification in the Cash Flow Statements related to the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007. The effects of these reclassifications are summarized in the table below:

(In millions of US dollar)	2008	2007
Reclassification of proceed from sale of West Titania	-	29.9
Reclassification of changes in current liability related to share forward contracts	67.6	109.0
Total	67.6	138.9
Effect on Cash Flow from Operating Activities (line item "other" )	(67.6 )	(138.9 )
Effect on Cash Flow from Investing Activities (line item "Sale of rigs and equipment)	-	29.9
Effect on Cash Flow from Financing Activities (line item "Changes in current liability related to share forward contracts" )	67.6	109.0
Total Cash Effect	-	-

Seadrill Limited

INDEX TO UNAUDITED INTERIM FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008	F-61
Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008	F-62
Unaudited Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008	F-63
Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008	F-64
Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the nine months ended September 30, 2009	F-66
Notes to Unaudited Interim Financial Statements	F-67

F-58

---

## Seadrill Limited

UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS  
for the three month and nine month periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008

(In millions of US dollar, except per share data)

	Three month period ended September 30,		Nine month period ended September 30,	
	2009	2008 (as adjusted)	2009	2008 (as adjusted)
Operating revenues				
Contract revenues	807.8	517.2	2,210.0	1,350.1
Reimbursables	45.3	30.2	132.7	114.4
Other revenues	9.9	18.8	32.3	62.8
Total operating revenues	863.0	566.2	2,375.0	1,527.3
Gain on sale of assets				
	21.1	-	21.1	80.1
Operating expenses				
Vessel and rig operating expenses	309.1	270.7	887.9	748.3
Reimbursable expenses	40.7	28.9	124.0	108.7
Depreciation and amortization	103.4	60.4	291.1	160.6
General and administrative expenses	37.2	32.6	102.4	94.5
Total operating expenses	490.4	392.6	1,405.4	1,112.1
Net operating income	393.7	173.6	990.7	495.3
Financial items				
Interest income	39.9	4.8	61.3	20.3
Interest expenses	(63.1 )	(25.9 )	(165.0 )	(88.0 )
Share in results from associated companies	26.8	11.5	62.7	27.2
Gain on sale of associated companies	-	-	-	150.0
(Loss)/ Gain on derivative financial instruments	(24.3 )	(121.6 )	100.8	(90.2 )
Foreign exchange gain	(26.0 )	55.6	(29.6 )	54.4
Other financial items	45.3	4.8	51.0	24.5
Total financial items	(1.4 )	(70.8 )	81.2	98.2
Income before income taxes	392.3	102.8	1,071.9	593.5
Income taxes	(47.8 )	(21.7 )	(119.9 )	(29.1 )
Net income	344.5	81.1	952.0	564.4
Net income attributable to the parent	325.0	69.3	882.1	542.1
	19.5	11.8	69.9	22.3

Net income attributable to the non-controlling interest

Basic earnings per share (US dollar)	0.82	0.17	2.21	1.36
Diluted earnings per share (US dollar)	0.78	0.17	2.12	1.33

F-59

---

## Seadrill Limited

UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME  
for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008

(In millions of US dollar)

	Three month period ended September 30, 2008 (as adjusted)		Nine month period ended September 30, 2008 (as adjusted)	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Net income	344.5	81.1	952.0	564.4
Other comprehensive income / (loss), net of tax:				
Change in unrealized gain / (loss) on marketable securities	157.1	(194.4 )	302.2	(142.3 )
Change in unrealized foreign exchange differences	13.5	(1.4 )	24.1	(9.2 )
Change in actuarial gain relating to pension	8.4	-	8.4	-
Change in unrealized (loss)/gain on interest rate swaps in VIEs	(11.1 )	(13.6 )	11.5	16.6
Other comprehensive income/ (loss):	167.9	(209.4 )	346.2	(134.9 )
Total comprehensive income /(loss) for the period	512.4	(128.3 )	1,298.2	429.5
Comprehensive income/(loss) attributable to the parent	501.5	(140.1 )	1,217.8	407.2
Comprehensive income attributable to the non-controlling interest	10.9	11.8	80.4	22.3

Note: All items of other comprehensive income / (loss) are stated net of tax.

The applicable amount of income taxes associated with each component of other comprehensive income is 0 due to the fact that the items relate to companies domiciled in non-taxable jurisdictions.

## Seadrill Limited

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS  
as of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008  
(In millions of US dollar)

ASSETS	September 30, 2009 (unaudited)	December 31, 2008 ( as adjusted)
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	755.2	376.4
Restricted cash	148.1	280.7
Marketable securities	728.2	134.7
Accounts receivables, net	490.9	341.1
Amount due from related party	-	115.0
Other current assets	483.4	415.9
Total current assets	2,605.8	1,663.8
Non-current assets		
Investment in associated companies	313.9	240.1
Newbuildings	1,340.3	3,660.5
Drilling units	7,624.0	4,645.5
Goodwill	1,591.2	1,547.3
Other intangible assets	24.4	20.1
Restricted cash	378.9	345.9
Deferred tax assets	26.6	9.7
Equipment	116.9	83.1
Other non-current assets	100.4	88.5
Total non-current assets	11,516.6	10,640.7
Total assets	14,122.4	12,304.5
<b>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Current liabilities		
Current portion of long-term debt	804.7	746.1
Trade accounts payable	46.0	119.8
Other current liabilities	1,190.8	1,191.9
Total current liabilities	2,041.5	2,057.8
Non-current liabilities		
Long-term interest bearing debt	7,094.3	6,690.7
Deferred taxes	146.8	125.0
Other non-current liabilities	268.6	209.0
Total non-current liabilities	7,509.7	7,024.7
Commitments and contingencies	-	-
Shareholders' equity		
Common shares of par value US\$2.00 per share:		
800,000,000 shares authorized		

Edgar Filing: SeaDrill LTD - Form 20FR12B

398,515,416 outstanding at September 30, 2009 (December, 30 2008: 398,415,416 )	797.1	796.9
Additional paid in capital	154.6	35.8
Contributed surplus	1,955.5	1,955.5
Accumulated other comprehensive income	336.6	0.9
Accumulated earnings/(deficit)	722.2	(159.9)
Non-controlling interest	605.2	592.8
Total shareholders' equity	4,571.2	3,222.0
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	14,122.4	12,304.5

F-61

---



## Seadrill Limited

UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASHFLOWS  
for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008

(In millions of US dollar)

	2009	Nine month period ended September 30, 2008 (as adjusted)
<b>Cash Flows from Operating Activities</b>		
Net income	952.0	564.4
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	291.1	160.6
Amortization of deferred loan charges	18.4	9.5
Amortization of unfavorable contracts	(32.4 )	(55.2 )
Share of results from associated companies	(62.7 )	(27.2 )
Share-based compensation expense	12.8	13.6
Gain on disposal of fixed assets	(21.0 )	(84.1 )
Gain on issuance of shares in subsidiary	-	(25.2 )
Gain on disposal of associated companies	-	(150.5 )
Unrealized (gain)/ loss related to derivative financial instruments	(82.8 )	376.3
Realized gain on disposal of other investments	(15.9 )	(24.6 )
Dividend received from associated company	6.9	-
Deferred income tax expense	4.9	37.4
Unrealized foreign exchange loss (gain) on long term interest bearing debt	73.7	(44.3 )
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of effect of acquisitions		
Unrecognized mobilization fees received from customers	150.5	29.8
Trade accounts receivable	(149.8 )	(125.8 )
Trade accounts payable	(73.8 )	(70.4 )
Prepaid expenses/accrued revenue	164.5	46.7
Other, net	(44.2 )	31.5
Net cash provided by operating activities	1,192.2	662.5

## Seadrill Limited

UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASHFLOWS  
for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008

(In millions of US dollar)

	Nine month period ended September	
	2009	30, 2008 (as adjusted)
<b>Cash Flows from Investing Activities</b>		
Additions to newbuildings	(974.9 )	(1,542.4 )
Additions to rigs and equipment	(145.8 )	(142.5 )
Sale of rigs and equipment	176.7	102.4
Investment in subsidiaries, net of cash acquired	-	(99.3 )
Change in margin calls and other restricted cash	25.6	(674.6 )
Investment in associated companies	(24.3 )	(311.2 )
Repayment of short term loan by related parties	115.0	-
Proceeds on issuance of shares in subsidiary	-	25.2
Purchase of marketable securities	(237.0 )	(251.6 )
Disposal of associated company	-	21.0
Sale of marketable securities	-	148.1
Others, net	-	138.6
Net cash used in investing activities	(1,064.7 )	(2,586.3 )
<b>Cash Flows from Financing Activities</b>		
Proceeds from debt	2,113.7	2,346.2
Repayments of debt	(1,695.0 )	(441.8 )
Debt fees paid	(38.7 )	(12.7 )
Change in current liability related to share forward contracts	(68.6 )	67.6
Contribution (to) / from non-controlling interests	(68.0 )	175.2
Purchase of treasury shares	-	(13.8 )
Proceeds from sale of treasury shares	1.3	8.2
Paid dividend	-	(688.1 )
Net cash provided by financing activities	244.7	1,440.8
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	6.6	(0.5 )
Net increase / (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	378.8	(483.5 )
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of the year	376.4	997.0
Cash and cash equivalents at the end of period	755.2	513.5
<b>Supplementary disclosure of cash flow information</b>		
Interest paid	(166.6 )	(184.0 )
Taxes paid	(76.9 )	(39.2 )

F-63

---

## Seadrill Limited

UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY  
for the nine months ended September 30, 2009  
(In millions of US dollar)

	Share Capital	Additional paid in capital	Contributed surplus	Accumulated other comprehensive income	Retained earnings	Non-controlling interest	Total shareholders' equity
Balance at December 31, 2008 (as adjusted)	796.9	35.8	1,955.5	0.9	(159.9)	592.8	3,222.0
Sale of treasury shares	0.2	1.1					1.3
Employee stock options issued		12.8					12.8
Convertible loan		104.9					104.9
Unrealized gain on marketable securities				302.2			302.2
Foreign exchange differences				25.1		(1.0 )	24.1
Changes in actuarial gain relating to pension				8.4			8.4
Change in unrealized gain on interest rate swaps in VIEs						11.5	11.5
Net paid to non-controlling interest						(68.0 )	(68.0 )
Net income					882.1	69.9	952.0
Balance at September 30, 2009	797.1	154.6	1,955.5	336.6	722.2	605.2	4,571.2

## Note 1- General information

Seadrill Limited ("Seadrill" or the "Company"), a publicly listed company on the Oslo Stock Exchange, was incorporated in Bermuda in May 2005. Assisted by the acquisition of other companies and investment in newbuildings, Seadrill has developed into an international offshore drilling contractor providing services within drilling and well services, and at September 30, 2009 the Company owns and operates 37 offshore drilling units, including 10 units under construction. The Company's versatile fleet consists of drillships, jack-up rigs, semi-submersible rigs and tender rigs for operations in shallow and deepwater areas, as well as benign and harsh environments. In addition to owning and operating offshore mobile drilling units and tender rigs, the Company provides platform drilling, well intervention and engineering services through the separately over-the-counter ("OTC") listed subsidiary company Seawell Limited ("Seawell"), a Bermuda company in which the Company owned 74% at September 30, 2009.

As used herein, and unless otherwise required by the context, the term "Seadrill" refers to Seadrill Limited and the terms "Company", "we", "Group", "our" and words of similar import refer to Seadrill and its consolidated companies. The use herein of such terms as group, organization, we, us, our and its, or references to specific entities, is not intended to be a precise description of corporate relationships.

### Basis of presentation

The unaudited interim consolidated financial statements are presented in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America (US GAAP) for interim financial information. The unaudited interim consolidated financial statements do not include all of the disclosures required in complete annual financial statements. These interim financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements and accompanying notes included in this registration statement on Form 20-F. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included.

### Significant accounting policies

The accounting policies adopted in the preparation of the unaudited interim financial statements are consistent with those followed in the preparation of the Company's audited financial statements and accompanying notes included in this registration statement on Form 20-F except for the accounting policy relating to issuance of shares by a subsidiary/associate which was changed, effective January 1, 2009. FAS 160, which was effective in 2009 precludes a company from recognizing a profit when its subsidiary or associate issues its stock to third parties at a price per share in excess of its carrying amount if such profit is realizable. Instead, a profit of future issuance of shares by a subsidiary/associate will be recorded as equity transactions.

Due to the implementation of new accounting rules, effective January 1, 2009 the Company, changed the reporting of non-controlling interest (former called minority interest). The change requires that non-controlling interests be reported as equity in the consolidated balance sheet and requires that net income attributable to controlling interest and to non-controlling interests be shown separately on the face of the statement of operations. As a result of our adoption, the Company modified the consolidated statements of operations to separately present net income (loss) attributable to non-controlling interest and net income attributable to controlling interest. Prior periods have been adjusted accordingly.

## Note 2– Segment information

## Operating segments

The Company provides drilling and related services to the offshore oil and gas industry. The split of our organization into segments is based on differences in management structure and reporting, economic characteristics, customer base, asset class and contract structure. As of September 30, 2009, the Company operates in the following three segments:

- **Mobile Units:** The Company offers services encompassing drilling, completion and maintenance of offshore wells. The drilling contracts relate to semi-submersible rigs, jack-ups and drillships.
- **Tender Rigs:** The Company operates self-erecting tender rigs and semi-submersible tender rigs, which are used for production drilling and well maintenance in Southeast Asia and West Africa.
- **Well Services:** The Company performs production drilling and maintenance activities on several fixed installations in the North Sea. The Company also provides wireline services including well maintenance, modification and abandonment.

Segment results are evaluated on the basis of operating profit, and the information given below is based on information used for internal reporting. The accounting principles for the segments are the same as for the Company's consolidated financial statements.

## Revenues (including gain on sale of drilling units)

(In millions of US dollar)

	Three months ended		Nine months ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Mobile Units	646.7	310.5	1,634.7	912.6
Tender Rigs	88.7	91.3	304.4	240.6
Well Services	148.7	164.4	457.0	454.2
Total	884.1	566.2	2,396.1	1,607.4

## Depreciation and amortization

(In millions of US dollar)

	Three months ended		Nine months ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Mobile Units	87.5	43.1	244.8	116.8
Tender Rigs	10.3	11.1	31.5	31.0
Well Services	5.6	6.2	14.8	12.8
Total	103.4	60.4	291.1	160.6

Operating income - net income  
(In millions of US dollar)

	Three months ended		Nine months ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Mobile Units	341.9	125.6	803.6	369.4
Tender Rigs	36.6	33.7	144.1	84.9
Well Services	15.2	14.3	43.0	41.0
Operating income	393.7	173.6	990.7	495.3
Unallocated items:				
Total financial items	(1.4)	(70.8)	81.2	98.2
Income taxes	(47.8)	(21.7)	(119.9)	(29.1)
Net income	344.5	81.1	952.0	564.4

Note 3 – Earnings per share

The computation of basic EPS is based on the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the period. Diluted EPS includes the effect of the assumed conversion of potentially dilutive instruments.

The components of the numerator for the calculation of basic and diluted EPS are as follows:

(In millions of US dollar)	Three months ended		Nine months ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Net income available to stockholders	325.0	69.3	882.1	542.1
Effect of dilution	11.2	9.2	29.5	27.6
Diluted net income available to stockholders	336.2	78.5	911.6	569.7

The components of the denominator for the calculation of basic and diluted EPS are as follows:

(In millions)	Three months ended		Nine months ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Basic earnings per share:				
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding	398.4	398.1	398.4	398.2
Diluted earnings per share:				
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding	398.4	398.1	398.4	398.2
Effect of dilutive share options	2.1	1.7	2.1	1.3
Effect of dilutive convertible bonds	29.0	29.0	29.0	29.0
	429.5	428.8	429.5	428.5





## Note 4 – Marketable securities

The historic cost of marketable securities is marked to market, with changes in market value recognized as "Other comprehensive income".

Marketable securities held by the Company consist of approximately 9.5% of the issued shares of Pride International Inc. ("Pride"), 9.5% of the issued shares of Seahawk Drilling Inc. ("Seahawk") and 80.2% of the Petromena NOK2,000 million bond ("Petromena"). Marketable securities and changes in their carrying value are as follows:

(In millions of US dollar)	Pride	Seahawk	Petromena	Total
Historic cost as of December 31, 2008 (1)	268.2	-	-	268.2
Purchases	-	25.2	(2) 175.5	200.7
Partial redemption of bonds	-	-	(42.9 )	(42.9 )
Mark to market changes	234.1	9.0	59.1	302.2
Net book value at September 30, 2009	502.3	34.2	191.7	728.2

(1) The original cost of the Company's holding of shares in Pride was written down at December 31, 2008, establishing a new historic cost for the holding.

(2) The Seahawk shares represent dividends received in connection with the Seahawk spin-off from Pride

## Note 5 – Financial items

## Total Return Swaps (TRS):

As of September 30, 2009 the Company has a TRS agreement with 4,500,000 Seadrill Limited shares as underlying security, with a reference price of NOK100.4 per share and expiry on February 12, 2010. An unrealized gain as of September 30, 2009 is recognized in the statement of operations as gain on derivative financial instruments based on the September 30, 2009, closing share price of NOK120.6. The total realized and unrealized gain related to the TRS agreement amounts to \$46.6 million for the first nine months of 2009.

## Interest-rate swap agreements and forward exchange contracts:

Changes in the fair value of interest-rate swap agreements and forward exchange contracts amount to \$54.2 million for the first nine months of 2009 and are recognized in the statement of operations as gain on derivative financial instruments.

## Other financial items:

In the nine months ended September 30, 2009, the Company recorded a gain of \$15.9 million on the partial redemption of its investment in the Petromena NOK2,000 million bond, and the receipt of shares to the value of \$25.2 million in Seahawk as a dividend in kind paid by Pride (see Note 4 – Marketable securities). These items are included in "other financial items" totaling \$51.0 million

## Note 6 – Newbuildings

(In millions of US dollars)

Opening balance at December 31, 2008	3,660.5
Additions	437.5
Re-classified as drilling units	(2,757.7)
Closing balance at September 30, 2009	1,340.3

Additions to newbuildings are principally instalments paid to yards, but also include interest expenses and loan-related costs amounting to \$26.6 million.

F-68

---

Newbuildings as at September 30, 2009, are as follows:

Drilling unit	Yard	Delivery date	Yard contract price * US\$millions	Instalments paid as of September 30, 2009 US\$millions
<b>Jack-up rigs</b>				
West Callisto	Keppel	3Q 2010	213	75
West Juno **	Keppel	4Q 2010	216	32
West Leda	PPL	3Q2010	219	87
West Elara ***	PPL	4Q2010	226	22
<b>Tender rigs</b>				
T12	MSE	1Q 2010	116	76
West Vencedor	Keppel	1Q 2010	180	155
West BeraniIII	Keppel	1Q 2011	210	71
<b>Semi-submersible rigs</b>				
West Orion	Jurong	2Q 2010	558	187
West Capricorn	Jurong	4Q 2011	640	229
<b>Drillships</b>				
West Gemini	Samsung	2Q 2010	598	298
			3,176	1,232

\* Including variation orders and riser allocations, but excluding spares, accrued interest expenses, construction supervision and operation preparations and mobilization

\*\* Seadrill has an option not to take delivery of this rig. Installments paid to date will not be recovered from the yard if the option is exercised.

\*\*\* In November 2009 PPL exercised its option to terminate the construction contract for the rig (see Note 13 - Subsequent Events).

#### Note 7 – Drilling units

(In millions of US dollar)	September 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
Cost	8,277.9	5,056.2
Accumulated depreciation	(653.9)	(410.7)
Net book value	7,624.0	4,645.5

Depreciation and amortization expense was \$271.4 million and \$146.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

#### Note 8– Equipment

Equipment consists of office equipment, furniture and fittings.

(In millions of US dollar)	September 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
Cost	203.1	164.4

Accumulated depreciation	(86.2)	(81.4)
Net book value	116.9	83.1

Depreciation and amortization expense was \$18.7 million and \$14.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

F-69

---

## Note 9 – Goodwill

In the nine month ended September 30, 2009, and 2008, there were no impairment losses. Goodwill balance and changes in the carrying amount of goodwill are as follows:

(In millions of US dollar)	Nine months ended September 30, 2009	Year ended December 31, 2008
Net book balance at beginning of period	1,547.3	1,509.5
Goodwill acquired during the period	-	112.2
Impairment losses	-	-
Currency adjustments	43.9	(74.4)
Net book balance at end of period	1,591.2	1,547.3

## Note 10 – Long-term interest bearing debt and interest expenses

(In millions of US dollar)	September 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
Long-term debt:		
US\$3.625% convertible bonds due 2012	1,000.0	1,000.0
US\$4.875% convertible bonds due 2014	395.1	-
US\$floating rate bonds due 2012 (LIBOR plus 2.03%)	30.0	30.0
US\$3.025% fixed rate debt due 2014	87.5	96.9
US\$floating rate debt due 2010 to 2014 (LIBOR plus 0.70% - 3.25%)	3,580.1	3448.8
US\$Ship Finance floating rate debt due 2012 to 2013 (LIBOR plus 1.15% - 1.40%)	2,042.5	2,058.8
NOK floating rate bonds due 2009 to 2012 (NIBOR plus 1.25% - 2.50%)	86.1	215.4
NOK floating rate debt due 2012 (NIBOR plus 0.95% - 1.55%)	251.7	206.7
NOK CIRR fixed rate facilities (4.15% to 4.56%)	426.0	380.2
	7,899.0	7,436.8
Less: current portion	(804.7 )	(746.1 )
Long-term portion of interest bearing debt	7,094.3	6,690.7

The outstanding debt as of September 30, 2009 is repayable as follows:

(In millions of US dollar)	
Year ending December 31	
2009 (remaining three months)	209.1
2010	939.7
2011	741.9
2012	2,188.1
2013	1,676.2
Thereafter	2,249.0
Effect of amortization of convertible bond	(105.0)
Total debt	7,899.0

In July 2009, the Company entered into a \$1.50 billion secured facility with a group of various commercial lending institutions and export credit agencies. The loan is secured by first priority mortgages on two ultra-deepwater semi-submersible drilling rigs (West Aquarius and West Sirius), one deepwater drillship (West Capella) and one jack-up drilling rig (West Ariel). The outstanding balance at September 30, 2009, was \$1.00 billion with \$0.45 billion

still available to draw down. The facility bears interest at LIBOR plus a margin and is repayable over a term of five years.

F-70

---

In September 2009, the Company issued at par \$500 million of senior unsecured convertible bonds, the proceeds of which are intended to be used for future growth. Interest on the bonds is fixed at 4.875%, payable semi-annually in arrears. The bonds are convertible into Seadrill Limited common shares at any time up to ten banking days prior to September 29, 2014. The conversion price at the time of issuance was \$25.18 per share, representing a 35% premium to the share price at the time. Future payments of dividends by us will reduce the conversion price. We have the right to redeem the bonds after the date falling three years and 21 days after the disbursement date, if our share price is greater than 130% of the prevailing conversion price for a specified period of time. For accounting purposes \$104.9 million has been allocated to the bond equity component and \$395.1 million to the bond liability component, due to the cash settlement option stipulated in the bond agreement. Unless previously redeemed, converted or purchased and cancelled, the bonds mature in September 2014.

#### Covenants- Credit facilities:

The Company has various covenants relating to its credit facilities. These mainly consist of minimum liquidity requirements, interest coverage ratio, current ratio, equity ratio and leverage ratio - see Annual Report 2008.

#### Note 11 – Share capital

	September 30, 2009		December 31, 2008	
	Shares	\$millions	Shares	\$millions
All shares are common shares of \$2.00 par value each				
Authorized share capital	800,000,000	1,600.0	800,000,000	1,600.0
Issued and fully paid share capital	399,133,216	798.3	399,133,216	798.3
Treasury shares held by Company	617,800	1.2	717,800	1.4
Outstanding shares in issue	398,515,416	797.1	398,415,416	796.9

#### Note 12 – Related party transactions

The Company has entered into sale and lease back contracts for several drilling units with Ship Finance International Limited ("Ship Finance"), a company in which our principal shareholders Hemen Holding Ltd and Farahead Investments Inc (hereafter jointly referred to as "Hemen") and companies associated with Hemen have a significant interest. Hemen is controlled by trusts established by the Company's President and Chairman Mr. John Fredriksen for the benefit of his immediate family. The Company has determined that the Ship Finance subsidiaries, which own the units, are variable interest entities (VIEs), and that the Company is the primary beneficiary of the risks and rewards connected with the ownership of the units and the charter contracts. Accordingly, these VIEs are fully consolidated in the Company's consolidated accounts. The equity attributable to Ship Finance in the VIEs is included in non-controlling interests in the Company's consolidated accounts.

In the nine months ended September 30, 2009, the Company incurred the following lease costs on units leased back from Ship Finance subsidiaries:

West Ceres	\$20.4 million
West Prospero	\$22.3 million
West Polaris	\$90.0 million
West Hercules	\$110.4 million
West Taurus	\$83.9 million
Total	\$327.0 million

In July 2009 the Company exercised its option to repurchase the jack-up rig West Ceres from Rig Finance Ltd, the Ship Finance subsidiary which owned the unit, at the option price of \$135.5 million. Accordingly, Rig Finance Ltd will no longer be consolidated as a VIE by the Company.

In November 2008, the Company granted Ship Finance an unsecured credit facility of \$115.0 million. Ship Finance repaid \$25.0 million in the first quarter of 2009, and the balance of \$90.0 million was sold to Metrogas Holdings Inc ("Metrogas"), a company indirectly controlled by trusts established by Mr. John Fredriksen for the benefit of his immediate family. Interest of \$6.8 million was received from Ship Finance in the nine months ended September 30, 2009.

In April 2009, the Company obtained an unsecured credit facility loan of \$60 million from Metrogas. The amount was repaid in June 2009. Interest payable on the facility amounted to \$0.7 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2009.

#### Note 13 – Fair value of financial instruments

The fair values of the Company's financial instruments are measured on a recurring basis. The fair values, their basis of measurement and the balance sheet carrying value at September 30, 2009, are as follows:

	Fair value	Fair value measurements at reporting date using			Carrying value
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets	Significant Other Observable Inputs	Significant Unobservable Inputs	
(in millions of \$)	September 30, 2009	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)	September 30, 2009
<b>Assets:</b>					
Cash and cash equivalents	755.2	755.2			755.2
Restricted cash	527.0	527.0			527.0
Marketable securities	728.2	728.2			728.2
TRS equity swap contracts	17.2		17.2		17.2
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>2,027.6</b>	<b>2,010.4</b>	<b>17.2</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>2,027.6</b>
<b>Liabilities:</b>					
Current portion of long term debt	804.7	804.7			804.7
Long-term portion of floating rate debt	5,238.6	5,238.6			5,238.6



Edgar Filing: SeaDrill LTD - Form 20FR12B

Long term portion of fixed rate \$100 million loan	81.3		81.3		81.7
Long term portion of fixed rate CIRR loans	378.9	378.9			378.9
Convertible bonds due 2012	910.0	910.0			1,000.0
Convertible bonds due 2014	520.3	520.3			395.1
Interest rate swap contracts – short term payables	87.8		87.8		87.8
Currency forward contracts – short term payables	15.4		15.4		15.4
Total liabilities	8,037.0	7,852.5	184.5	-	8,002.2

F-72

---

ASC Topic 820 Fair Value Measurement and Disclosures (formerly FAS 157) emphasizes that fair value is a market-based measurement, not an entity-specific measurement, and should be determined based on the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. As a basis for considering market participant assumptions in fair value measurements, ASC Topic 820 establishes a fair value hierarchy that distinguishes between market participant assumptions based on market data obtained from sources independent of the reporting entity (observable inputs that are classified within levels one and two of the hierarchy) and the reporting entity's own assumptions about market participant assumptions (unobservable inputs classified within level three of the hierarchy).

Level one inputs utilize unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Company has the ability to access. Level two inputs are inputs other than quoted prices included in level one that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level two inputs may include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, as well as inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, other than quoted prices, such as interest rates, foreign exchange rates and yield curves that are observable at commonly quoted intervals. Level three inputs are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability, which are typically based on an entity's own assumptions, as there is little, if any, related market activity. In instances where the determination of the fair value measurement is based on inputs from different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the entire fair value measurement falls is based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The Company's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, and considers factors specific to the asset or liability.

The carrying value of cash and cash equivalents, which are highly liquid, is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

Quoted market prices are used to estimate the fair value of marketable securities, which are valued at fair value on a recurring basis.

The fair value of total return equity swaps is calculated using the closing prices of the underlying listed shares, dividends paid since inception and the interest rate charged by the counterparty.

The fair value of the current portion of long-term debt is estimated to be equal to the carrying value, since it is repayable within twelve months.

The fair value of the long-term portion of floating rate debt is estimated to be equal to the carrying value since it bears variable interest rates, which are reset on a quarterly basis. This debt is not freely tradable and cannot be purchased by the Company at prices other than the outstanding balance plus accrued interest.

The fair value of the long-term portion of the fixed interest \$100 million loan is equal to the loan balance less the fair market value of the fixed interest agreement, which is an amount receivable of \$0.4 million.

The fair value of the long-term portion of the fixed rate CIRR loans is equal to the carrying value, as they are matched with equal balances of restricted cash.

The \$1,000 million convertible bonds due 2012 are freely tradable and their fair value has been set equal to the price at which they were traded at on September 30, 2009 (91.00% of nominal value).

The \$500 million convertible bonds due 2014 are freely tradable and their fair value has been set equal to the price at which they were traded at on September 30, 2009 (104.06% of nominal value). The carrying value of the \$500 million convertible bonds is \$395.1 million, as \$104.9 million has been allocated to additional paid-in capital due to the cash settlement option stipulated in the bond agreement.

The fair values of interest rate swaps and forward exchange contracts are calculated using well-established independent valuation techniques applied to contracted cash flows and LIBOR and NIBOR interest rates as at September 30, 2009.

#### Note 14 – Commitments and contingencies

##### Purchase Commitments

At September 30, 2009, the Company had ten contractual commitments under newbuilding contracts. The contracts are for the construction of two semi-submersible rigs, four jack-up rigs, a drillship and three tender rigs. The units are scheduled to be delivered in 2010 and 2011. As of September 30, the Company has paid \$1,231.5 million directly to the construction yards on the newbuildings, and is committed to make further payments amounting to \$1,944.5 million. These amounts include contract variation orders but exclude spares, accrued interest expenses, construction supervision and operation preparation and mobilization.

##### Legal Proceedings:

The Company is a party, as plaintiff or defendant, to several lawsuits in various jurisdictions for demurrage, damages, off-hire and other claims and commercial disputes arising from the operation of its drilling units, in the ordinary course of business or in connection with its acquisition activities. The Company believes that the resolution of such claims will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's operations or financial condition. The Company's best estimate of the outcome of the various disputes has been reflected in the financial statements of the Company as of September 30, 2009.

##### Gazprom dispute

At the end of 2005 and the beginning of 2006, the Company had a dispute with Gazprom in connection with the operations of the jack-up rig West Larissa, which was named Ekha at that time.

In May 2009, legal hearings took place in the High Court of Justice, London, and the Court has issued a decision with the following main conclusions:

- The Company was awarded charter hire for the period November 23, 2005, to January 9, 2006, being the date up to when the incident occurred. Including interest this amounted to approximately \$6.8 million.
- The Company was not awarded hire for the time after the incident, nor was the Company awarded any reimbursement for uninsured costs related to its claim.
- The Court has ruled that Gazprom is entitled to recover costs and expenses related to West Larissa, where Gazprom can demonstrate that these were wasted as a consequence of Seadrill's actions during the incident. The Judge also ruled that Gazprom wrongfully terminated the Contract, and has thus rejected Gazprom's claim for losses associated with the contracting of another rig.

It is not possible at this stage to quantify the net outcome of this ruling. The amount of Gazprom's counter-claim, as well as responsibility for incurred legal costs, will be decided in a separate hearing at a later stage. The Court's decision has been appealed by the Company, and appeal hearings are scheduled to take place during first half of 2010. The Company does not expect the final outcome to have a significant effect on its financial results.

#### Transocean Dispute

In July 2009, the Norwegian Borgarting Court of Appeal granted the Company full support in Transocean's patent lawsuit against the Company. The Court maintained the judgment of the Oslo District Court given in February 2008 and rejected Transocean's appeal. The rulings have invalidated Transocean's Norwegian patents on both multi-activity offshore drilling apparatus as well as for applying simultaneous operations in offshore drilling activities in Norway. All claims against the Company were found to be invalid and the Court ruled that Transocean should pay all litigation costs. Transocean has appealed the decision to the Norwegian Supreme Court. A final decision is expected in 2010.

#### Heerema Dispute

The Company is in dispute with Heerema Engineering Services B.V. relating to alleged patent infringements in connection with world-wide dual drilling operations performed by the Company. Legal hearings took place in the Oslo District Court in August and September 2009, and on November 3, 2009, the Company was informed that a ruling was made in its favor, whereby Heerema's Norwegian patent for dual drilling operations was declared to be invalid. Heerema has until December 4, 2009, to decide whether they want to appeal the decision by the District Court.

#### Note 15 – Subsequent Events

Seadrill has two jack-up rigs under construction at PPL Shipyard in Singapore ("PPL"). Following the order in June 2008, the construction agreement was amended in January 2009 giving Seadrill an option to not take delivery of the second unit (West Elara) by allowing PPL to retain the \$21.5 million already paid as yard installment. At the same time PPL was granted a right to terminate the construction contract for West Elara by returning 50% of the paid installment. Late October 2009, PPL gave notice that they exercised their option and that the termination had effect from November 11, 2009. As a consequence of this, a loss of approximately \$12 million (including incurred yard supervision costs) will be booked in the fourth quarter 2009. Seadrill will in November 2009 be refunded by PPL \$10.75 million.

In Australia, a hydrocarbon leak developed mid August 2009 on an adjacent well on the Montara platform where the jack-up rig West Atlas was working for PTTEP Australasia that necessitated an evacuation of personnel of the jack-up unit. On November 1, 2009 a fire broke out on the Montara wellhead platform and subsequently engulfed the drilling tower of West Atlas which was cantilevered above the wellhead platform. The fire continued for more than two days before the well was killed and caused severe damages to West Atlas. It is difficult to assess the magnitude of the damages to the rig at this point in time but it is possible that the rig will be classified as a constructive total loss with a corresponding payout under the prevailing insurance arrangement. Alternatively, there will be loss of revenue partly offset by loss of hire insurance until the rig is repaired. The Company expects that repair costs will in this case be reimbursed by insurance underwriters covering the physical damage.

On November 5, 2009, the Company declared a dividend of \$0.50 per share to be paid on or about December 7, 2009.

On November 10, 2009, the Company issued a two year NOK800 million unsecured bond, bearing quarterly interest at NIBOR plus 2.75%. The proceeds will be used for general corporate purposes.

In November 2009, the Company provided an unsecured loan of \$27.7 million to Scorpion Offshore Limited, an associated company. The loan has duration of six months and bears interest at 16% per annum.

APPENDIX A

Seadrill Limited

INDEX TO UNAUDITED INTERIM FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and twelve months ended December 31, 2009 and 2008	A-2
Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three and twelve months ended December 31, 2009 and 2008	A-3
Unaudited Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2009 and 2008	A-4
Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the twelve months ended December 31, 2009 and 2008	A-5
Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the twelve months ended December 30, 2009	A-7
Notes to Unaudited Interim Financial Statements	A-8

A-1

---

## Seadrill Limited

UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS  
for the three month and 12 month periods ended December 31, 2009 and 2008

(In millions of US dollar, except per share data)

	Three month period ended December 31,		12 month period ended December 31,	
	2009	2008 (as adjusted)	2009	2008 (as adjusted)
Operating revenues				
Contract revenues	834.9	517.7	3,044.9	1,867.8
Reimbursables	33.3	49.1	166.0	163.5
Other revenues	10.7	11.7	43.0	74.5
Total operating revenues	878.9	578.5	3,253.9	2,105.8
Gain on sale of assets	50.0	0.0	71.1	80.1
Operating expenses				
Vessel and rig operating expenses	364.9	273.3	1,252.8	1,021.6
Reimbursable expenses	30.9	47.9	154.9	156.6
Depreciation and amortization	104.8	72.6	395.9	233.2
General and administrative expenses	46.7	31.3	149.1	125.8
Total operating expenses	547.3	425.1	1,952.7	1,537.2
Net operating income	381.6	153.4	1,372.3	648.7
Financial items				
Interest income	16.8	10.6	78.1	30.9
Interest expenses	(63.4 )	(42.0 )	(228.4 )	(130.0 )
Share in results from associated companies	29.7	(11.6 )	92.4	15.6
Gain on sale of associated companies	-	-	-	150.5
Impairment loss on marketable securities and investments in associated companies	-	(615.0 )	-	(615.0 )
Gain/ (loss) on derivative financial instruments	28.8	(263.1 )	129.6	(353.3 )
Foreign exchange gain/ (loss)	4.2	76.4	(25.4 )	130.8
Other financial items	3.5	(1.8 )	54.5	22.2
Total financial items	19.6	(846.5 )	100.8	(748.3 )
Income/ (loss) before income taxes	401.2	(693.1 )	1,473.1	(99.6 )
Income taxes	(0.1 )	(19.2 )	(120.0 )	(48.3 )
Gain on issuance of shares by subsidiary	-	25.2	-	25.2

Edgar Filing: SeaDrill LTD - Form 20FR12B

Net income/ (loss)	401.1	(687.1 )	1,353.1	(122.7 )
Net income/ (loss) attributable to the parent	379.1	(706.5 )	1,261.2	(164.4 )
Net income attributable to the non-controlling interest	22.0	19.4	91.9	41.7
Basic earnings/ (loss) per share (US dollar)	0.95	(1.77 )	3.16	(0.41 )
Diluted earnings/ (loss) per share (US dollar)	0.88	(1.77 )	3.00	(0.41 )

A-2

---



## Seadrill Limited

UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME  
for the three and 12 month periods ended December 31, 2009 and 2008

(In millions of US dollar)

	Three month period ended December 31,		12 month period ended December 31,	
	2009	2008 (as adjusted)	2009	2008 (as adjusted)
Net income/ (loss)	401.1	(687.1 )	1,353.1	(122.7 )
Other comprehensive income/ (loss), net of tax:				
Change in unrealized gain/ (loss) on marketable securities	14.9	80.4	317.1	(61.9 )
Change in unrealized foreign exchange differences	5.5	(19.0 )	29.6	(28.2 )
Change in actuarial (loss)/ gain relating to pension	5.30	(5.8 )	13.7	(5.8 )
Change in unrealized gain/ (loss) on interest rate swaps in VIEs	3.6	(71.8 )	15.1	(55.2 )
Other comprehensive income/ (loss):	29.3	(16.2 )	375.5	(151.1 )
Total comprehensive income/ (loss) for the period	430.4	(703.3 )	1,728.6	(273.8 )
Comprehensive income/ (loss) attributable to the parent	402.0	(722.7 )	1,619.8	(315.5 )
Comprehensive income attributable to the non-controlling interest	28.4	19.4	108.8	41.7
	2009	2008		

The total balance of accumulated other comprehensive income as at

December 31 is made up as follows:

Unrealized gain on marketable securities	317.1	-
Unrealized gain on foreign exchange	80.1	57.5
Actuarial gain relating to pension	10.9	(1.4 )
Fair value (loss) in VIEs	(48.6 )	(55.2 )
Accumulated other comprehensive income at December 31	359.5	0.9

Note: All items of other comprehensive income/ (loss) are stated net of tax.

The applicable amount of income taxes associated with each component of other comprehensive income is \$0 due to the fact that the items relate to companies domiciled in non-taxable jurisdictions.

See accompanying notes that are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

A-3

---

## Seadrill Limited

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS  
as of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008

(In millions of US dollar)

	December 31, 2009	December 31, 2008
	(unaudited)	(as adjusted)
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	460.0	376.4
Restricted cash	142.1	280.7
Marketable securities	742.3	134.7
Accounts receivables, net	451.6	341.1
Amount due from related party	79.7	115.0
Other current assets	385.3	415.9
<b>Total current assets</b>	<b>2,261.0</b>	<b>1,663.8</b>
Non-current assets		
Investment in associated companies	321.0	240.1
Newbuildings	1,430.9	3,660.5
Drilling units	7,514.3	4,645.5
Goodwill	1,596.0	1,547.3
Other intangible assets	23.5	20.1
Restricted cash	371.0	345.9
Deferred tax assets	13.4	9.7
Equipment	115.1	83.1
Amount due from related party	90.0	-
Other non-current assets	95.2	88.5
<b>Total non-current assets</b>	<b>11,570.4</b>	<b>10,640.7</b>
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>13,831.4</b>	<b>12,304.5</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Current liabilities		
Current portion of long-term debt	774.1	746.1
Trade accounts payable	84.7	119.8
Other current liabilities	1,175.3	1,191.9
<b>Total current liabilities</b>	<b>2,034.1</b>	<b>2,057.8</b>
Non-current liabilities		
Long-term interest bearing debt	6,621.8	6,690.7
Deferred taxes	124.5	125.0
Other non-current liabilities	238.1	209.0
<b>Total non-current liabilities</b>	<b>6,984.4</b>	<b>7,024.7</b>
Commitments and contingencies	-	

Shareholders' equity		
Common shares of par value US\$2.00 per share:		
800,000,000 shares authorized 399,023,016 outstanding at December 31, 2009		
(December, 31 2008: 398,415,416)	798.0	796.9
Additional paid in capital	164.2	35.9
Contributed surplus	1,955.4	1,955.4
Accumulated other comprehensive income	359.5	0.9
Accumulated earnings/(deficit)	901.9	(159.9 )
Non-controlling interest	633.9	592.8
Total shareholders' equity	4,812.9	3,222.0
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	13,831.4	12,304.5

See accompanying notes that are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

## Seadrill Limited

UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASHFLOWS  
for the 12 month periods ended December 31, 2009 and 2008

(In millions of US dollar)

	12 month period ended December 31,	
	2009	2008 (as adjusted)
Cash Flows from Operating Activities		
Net income/ (loss)	1,353.1	(122.7 )
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	395.9	233.2
Amortization of deferred loan charges	23.3	12.7
Amortization of unfavorable contracts	(43.0 )	(65.3 )
Amortization of mobilization revenue	(49.8 )	(5.2 )
Impairment loss on marketable securities and investments in associated companies	0.0	615.0
Share of results from associated companies	(92.4 )	(15.6 )
Share-based compensation expense	16.0	14.9
Gain on disposal of fixed assets	(71.1 )	(80.1 )
Gain on issuance of shares in subsidiary	0.0	(25.2 )
Gain on disposal of associated companies	0.0	(150.5 )
Unrealized (gain)/ loss related to derivative financial instruments	(152.9 )	168.8
Realized gain on disposal of other investments	(15.9 )	(22.2 )
Dividend received from associated company	41.2	0.0
Deferred income tax expense	2.2	22.6
Unrealized foreign exchange loss (gain) on long term interest bearing debt	28.0	(79.2 )
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of effect of acquisitions		
Unrecognized mobilization fees received from customers	165.9	83.0
Trade accounts receivable	(110.5 )	(83.0 )
Trade accounts payable	(35.1 )	(62.8 )
Prepaid expenses/accrued revenue	(71.5 )	(95.6 )
Other, net	68.6	58.2
Net cash provided by operating activities	1,452.0	401.0

See accompanying notes that are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

## Seadrill Limited

UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASHFLOWS  
for the 12 month periods ended December 31, 2009 and 2008

(In millions of US dollar)

	2009	2008
<b>Cash Flows from Investing Activities</b>		
Additions to newbuildings	(1,153.2 )	(2,591.2 )
Additions to rigs and equipment	(216.2 )	(176.3 )
Sale of rigs and equipment	392.9	103.8
Investment in subsidiaries, net of cash acquired	0.0	(173.2 )
Change in margin calls and other restricted cash	344.6	(610.7 )
Investment in associated companies	(32.9 )	(369.2 )
Proceed from repayment of short term loan to related parties	115.0	0.0
Short term loan granted to related parties	(169.7 )	(115.0 )
Proceeds on issuance of shares in subsidiary	0.0	25.2
Purchase of marketable securities	(263.0 )	(309.9 )
Disposal of associated company	0.0	221.0
Sale of marketable securities	58.8	148.1
Net cash used in investing activities	(923.7 )	(3,847.4 )
<b>Cash Flows from Financing Activities</b>		
Proceeds from debt	2,407.3	5,150.0
Repayments of debt	(2,490.9 )	(2,107.7 )
Debt fees paid	(42.7 )	(30.1 )
Change in current liability related to share forward contracts	(68.6 )	67.6
Contribution (to) / from non-controlling interests	(68.0 )	440.1
Purchase of treasury shares	0.0	(13.7 )
Proceeds from sale of treasury shares	8.8	8.3
Paid dividend	(199.4 )	(688.1 )
Net cash provided by financing activities	(453.5 )	2,826.4
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	8.8	(0.6 )
Net increase / (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	83.6	(620.6 )
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of the year	376.4	997.0
Cash and cash equivalents at the end of period	460.0	376.4
<b>Supplementary disclosure of cash flow information</b>		
Interest Paid	230.5	245.4
Taxes Paid	137.5	52.0

See accompanying notes that are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

A-6

---

## Seadrill Limited

UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY  
for the 12 months ended December 31, 2009

(In millions of US dollar)

	Share Capital	Additional paid-in capital	Contributed surplus	Accumulated other comprehensive income	Retained earnings	Non- Controlling interest	Total shareholders' equity
Balance at December 31, 2008	796.9	35.9	1,955.4	0.9	(159.9)	592.8	3,222.0
Sale of treasury shares	1.1	7.7					8.8
Employee stock options issued		15.7				0.3	16.0
Convertible loan-equity portion		104.9					104.9
Unrealized gain on marketable securities				317.1			317.1
Foreign exchange differences				29.2		0.4	29.6
Changes in actuarial gain relating to pension				12.3		1.4	13.7
Change in unrealized gain on interest rate swaps in VIES						15.1	15.1
Net paid to non-controlling interest						(68.0)	(68.0)
Dividend payment					(199.4)		(199.4)
Net income					1,261.2	91.9	1,353.1
Balance at December 31, 2009	798.0	164.2	1,955.4	359.5	901.9	633.9	4,812.9

See accompanying notes that are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.



#### Note 1- General information

Seadrill Limited (“Seadrill” or the “Company”), a publicly listed company on the Oslo Stock Exchange, was incorporated in Bermuda in May 2005. Assisted by the acquisition of other companies and investment in newbuildings, Seadrill has developed into an international offshore drilling contractor providing services within drilling and well services, and at December 31, 2009 the Company owns and operates 35 offshore drilling units, including 9 units under construction. The Company’s versatile fleet consists of drillships, jack-up rigs, semi-submersible rigs and tender rigs for operations in shallow and deepwater areas, as well as benign and harsh environments. In addition to owning and operating offshore mobile drilling units and tender rigs, the Company provides platform drilling, well intervention and engineering services through the separately over-the-counter (“OTC”) listed subsidiary company Seawell Limited (“Seawell”), a Bermuda company in which the Company owned 74% at December 31, 2009.

As used herein, and unless otherwise required by the context, the term “Seadrill” refers to Seadrill Limited and the terms “Company”, “we”, “Group”, “our” and words of similar import refer to Seadrill and its consolidated companies. The use herein of such terms as group, organization, we, us, our and its, or references to specific entities, is not intended to be a precise description of corporate relationships.

#### Basis of presentation

The unaudited interim consolidated financial statements are presented in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America (US GAAP) for interim financial information. The unaudited interim consolidated financial statements do not include all of the disclosures required in complete annual financial statements. These interim financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Company’s financial statements as at December 31, 2008. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included.

#### Significant accounting policies

The accounting policies adopted in the preparation of the unaudited interim financial statements are consistent with those followed in the preparation of the Company’s annual consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes for the year ended December 31, 2008, except for the accounting policy relating to issuance of shares by a subsidiary/associate which was changed, effective January 1, 2009. FAS 160, which was effective in 2009 precludes a company from recognizing a profit when its subsidiary or associate issues its stock to third parties at a price per share in excess of its carrying amount if such profit is realizable. Instead, a profit of future issuance of shares by a subsidiary/associate will be recorded as equity transactions.

Due to the implementation of new accounting rules, effective January 1, 2009 the Company, changed the reporting of non-controlling interest (former called minority interest). The change requires that non-controlling interests be reported as equity in the consolidated balance sheet and requires that net income attributable to controlling interest and to non-controlling interests be shown separately on the face of the statement of operations. As a result of our adoption, the Company modified the consolidated statements of operations to separately present net income (loss) attributable to non-controlling interest and net income attributable to controlling interest. Prior periods have been adjusted accordingly.

## Note 2– Segment information

## Operating segments

The Company provides drilling and related services to the offshore oil and gas industry. The split of our organization into segments is based on differences in management structure and reporting, economic characteristics, customer base, asset class and contract structure. As of December 31, 2009, the Company operates in the following three segments:

- **Mobile Units:** The Company offers services encompassing drilling, completion and maintenance of offshore wells. The drilling contracts relate to semi-submersible rigs, jack-ups and drillships.
- **Tender Rigs:** The Company operates self-erecting tender rigs and semi-submersible tender rigs, which are used for production drilling and well maintenance in Southeast Asia and West Africa.
- **Well Services:** The Company performs production drilling and maintenance activities on several fixed installations in the North Sea. The Company also provides wireline services including well maintenance, modification and abandonment.

Segment results are evaluated on the basis of operating profit, and the information given below is based on information used for internal reporting. The accounting principles for the segments are the same as for the Company's consolidated financial statements.

## Revenues (including gain on sale of drilling units)

(In millions of US dollar)

	Three months ended		12 months ended	
	December 31,		December 31,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Mobile Units	688.5	311.6	2,323.2	1,224.2
Tender Rigs	87.6	100.8	392.0	341.4
Well Services	152.8	166.1	609.8	620.3
Total	928.9	578.5	3,325.0	2,185.9

## Depreciation and amortization

(In millions of US dollar)

	Three months ended		12 months ended	
	December 31,		December 31,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Mobile Units	88.0	56.2	332.8	173.0
Tender Rigs	10.3	10.7	41.8	41.7
Well Services	6.5	5.7	21.3	18.5
Total	104.8	72.6	395.9	233.2

Operating income - net income  
(In millions of US dollar)

	Three months ended		12 months ended	
	December 31,		December 31,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Mobile Units	337.7	98.3	1,141.3	467.7
Tender Rigs	29.4	41.2	173.5	126.1
Well Services	14.5	13.9	57.5	54.9
Operating income	381.6	153.4	1,372.3	648.7
Unallocated items:				
Total financial items	19.6	(846.5)	100.8	(748.3)
Income taxes	(0.1)	(19.2)	(120.0)	(48.3)
Gain on issuance of shares by subsidiary	-	25.2	-	25.2
Net income	401.1	(687.1)	1,353.1	(122.7)

Note 3 – Earnings per share

The computation of basic EPS is based on the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the period. Diluted EPS includes the effect of the assumed conversion of potentially dilutive instruments.

The components of the numerator for the calculation of basic and diluted EPS are as follows:

(In millions of US dollar)	Three months ended		12 months ended	
	December 31,		December 31,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Net income available to stockholders	379.1	(706.5)	1,261.2	(164.4)
Effect of dilution	20.2	-	49.6	-
Diluted net income available to stockholders	399.3	(706.5)	1,310.8	(164.4)

The components of the denominator for the calculation of basic and diluted EPS are as follows:

(In millions)	Three months ended		12 months ended	
	December 31,		December 31,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Basic earnings per share:				
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding	398.8	398.4	398.5	398.3
Diluted earnings per share:				
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding	398.8	398.4	398.5	398.3
Effect of dilutive share options	2.8	-	1.5	-
Effect of dilutive convertible bonds	52.0	-	36.8	-
	453.6	398.4	436.8	398.3



## Note 4 – Marketable securities

The historic cost of marketable securities is marked to market, with changes in market value recognized as “Other comprehensive income”.

Marketable securities held by the Company consist of approximately 9.5% of the issued shares of Pride International Inc. (“Pride”), 9.5% of the issued shares of Seahawk Drilling Inc. (“Seahawk”) and 80.2% of the partially redeemed Petromena NOK2,000 million bond (“Petromena”). Marketable securities and changes in their carrying value are as follows:

(In millions of US dollar)	Pride	Seahawk	Petromena	Total
Historic cost as of December 31, 2008 (1)	268.1	-	-	268.1
Purchases	-	25.2	(2) 174.8	200.0
Partial redemption of bonds	-	-	(42.9 )	(42.9 )
Mark to market changes	258.4	(0.4 )	59.1	317.1
Net book value at December 31, 2009	526.5	24.8	191.0	742.3

(1) The original cost of the Company’s holding of shares in Pride was written down at December 31, 2008, establishing a new historic cost for the holding.

(2) The Seahawk shares represent dividends received in connection with the Seahawk spin-off from Pride

## Note 5 – Financial items

## Total Return Swaps (TRS):

As of December 31, 2009 the Company had a TRS agreement with 4,500,000 Seadrill Limited shares as underlying security, with a reference price of NOK100.4 per share and expiry on February 12, 2010. An unrealized gain as of December 31, 2009 is recognized in the statement of operations as gain on derivative financial instruments based on the December 31, 2009, closing share price of NOK148.0. The total realized and unrealized gain related to the TRS agreement amounts to \$69.4 million in 2009.

## Interest-rate swap agreements and forward exchange contracts:

Total realized and unrealized gain on interest-rate swap agreements and forward exchange contracts amounted to \$60.2 million in 2009 and are recognized in the statement of operations as gain on derivative financial instruments.

## Other financial items:

In the twelve months ended December 31, 2009, the Company recorded a gain of \$15.9 million on the partial redemption of its investment in the Petromena NOK2,000 million bond, and the receipt of shares to the value of \$25.2 million in Seahawk as a dividend in kind paid by Pride (see Note 4 – Marketable securities). These items are included in “other financial items” totaling \$54.5 million.

## Note 6 – Newbuildings

## (In millions of US dollars)

Opening balance at December 31, 2008	3,660.5
Additions	1,153.2

Re-classified as drilling units	(3,382.8)
Closing balance at December 31, 2009	1,430.9

A-11

---

Additions to newbuildings are principally instalments paid to yards, but also include interest expenses and loan-related costs amounting to \$80.3 million.

Newbuildings as at December 31, 2009, are as follows:

Drilling unit	Yard	Delivery date	Yard contract price * US\$millions	Instalments paid as of December 31, 2009 US\$millions
Jack-up rigs				
West Callisto	Keppel	3Q 2010	213	75
West Juno **	Keppel	4Q 2010	216	32
West Leda	PPL	3Q2010	219	87
Tender rigs				
T12	MSE	1Q 2010	116	108
West Vencedor	Keppel	1Q 2010	180	180
West Berani III	Keppel	1Q 2011	210	75
Semi-submersible rigs				
West Orion	Jurong	2Q 2010	558	188
West Capricorn	Jurong	4Q 2011	640	229
Drillships				
West Gemini	Samsung	2Q 2010	598	298
			2,950	1,272

\* Including variation orders and riser allocations, but excluding spares, accrued interest expenses, construction supervision and operation preparations and mobilization

\*\* Seadrill has an option not to take delivery of this rig. Installments paid to date will not be recovered from the yard if the option is exercised.

Refer also note 14 (commitments and contingencies) for an overview of the maturity schedule for remaining yard installments.

#### Note 7 – Drilling units

(In millions of US dollar)	December 31, 2009	December 31, 2008
Cost	8,251.7	5,056.2
Accumulated depreciation	(737.4)	(410.7)
Net book value	7,514.3	4,645.5

Depreciation and amortization expense was \$368.9 million and \$208.5 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

## Note 8– Equipment

Equipment consists of office equipment, furniture and fittings.

(In millions of US dollar)	December 31, 2009	December 31, 2008
Cost	210.6	164.4
Accumulated depreciation	(95.5)	(81.3)
Net book value	115.1	83.1

Depreciation and amortization expense was \$27.0 million and \$24.7 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

## Note 9 – Goodwill

In the 12 month ended December 31, 2009, and 2008, there were no impairment losses. Goodwill balance and changes in the carrying amount of goodwill are as follows:

(In millions of US dollar)	Year ended December 31, 2009	Year ended December 31, 2008
Net book balance at beginning of period	1,547.3	1,509.5
Goodwill acquired during the period	-	112.2
Impairment losses	-	-
Currency adjustments	48.7	(74.4)
Net book balance at end of period	1,596.0	1,547.3

## Note 10 – Long-term interest bearing debt and interest expenses

(In millions of US dollar)	December 31, 2009	December 31, 2008
Credit facilities:		
\$1,500 facility	1,140.7	1,339.3
\$185 facility	45.0	71.6
\$100 facility	41.7	91.6
\$800 facility	724.8	668.3
\$585 facility	436.3	485.9
\$100 facility	86.1	96.9
\$1,500 facility	658.8	-
\$1,000 facility	-	792.1
NOK 1,425 facility	210.6	203.4
NOK other loans and leasings	5.6	3.3
Total Bank Loans + other	3,349.6	3,752.4

Debt recorded in consolidated VIE's:



Edgar Filing: SeaDrill LTD - Form 20FR12B

\$165 facility	-	106.7
\$170 facility	110.8	120.8
\$700 facility	618.7	688.5
\$1,400 facility	1,255.3	1,142.8

A-13

---

Total Ship Finance Facilities	1,984.8	2,058.8
Bonds and convertible bonds:		
Bonds	250.9	245.4
Convertible bond loans	1,399.2	1,000
Total bonds	1,650.1	1,245.4
Other credit facilities with corresponding restricted cash deposits:		
	411.4	380.2
Total interest bearing debt	7,395.9	7,436.8
Less: current portion	(774.1)	(746.1)
Long-term portion of interest bearing debt	6,621.8	6,690.7

The outstanding debt as of December 31, 2009 is repayable as follows:

(In millions of US dollar)

Year ending December 31

2010	774.1
2011	834.7
2012	2,126.3
2013	2,028.1
2014 and thereafter	1,733.5
Effect of amortization of convertible bond	(100.8)
Total debt	7,395.9

Covenants- Credit facilities:

The Company has various covenants relating to its credit facilities. These mainly consist of minimum liquidity requirements, interest coverage ratio, current ratio, equity ratio and leverage ratio - see Annual Report 2008.

Note 11 – Share capital

All shares are common shares of \$2.00 par value each	December 31, 2009		December 31, 2008	
	Shares	\$millions	Shares	\$millions
Authorized share capital	800,000,000	1,600.0	800,000,000	1,600.0
Issued and fully paid share capital	399,133,216	798.3	399,133,216	798.3
Treasury shares held by Company	110,200	(0.3)	717,800	(1.4)
Outstanding shares in issue	399,023,016	798.0	398,415,416	796.9

## Note 12 – Related party transactions

The Company has entered into sale and lease back contracts for several drilling units with Ship Finance International Limited (“Ship Finance”), a company in which our principal shareholders Hemen Holding Ltd and Farahead Investments Inc (hereafter jointly referred to as “Hemen”) and companies associated with Hemen have a significant interest. Hemen is controlled by trusts established by the Company’s President and Chairman Mr. John Fredriksen for the benefit of his immediate family. The Company has determined that the Ship Finance subsidiaries, which own the units, are variable interest entities (VIEs), and that the Company is the primary beneficiary of the risks and rewards connected with the ownership of the units and the charter contracts. Accordingly, these VIEs are fully consolidated in the Company’s consolidated accounts. The equity attributable to Ship Finance in the VIEs is included in non-controlling interests in the Company’s consolidated accounts.

In the 12 months ended December 31, 2009, the Company incurred the following lease costs on units leased back from Ship Finance subsidiaries:

Rig	
West Ceres	20.4
West Prospero	29.8
West Polaris	127.3
West Hercules	122.3
West Taurus	102.1
Total	401.9

In July 2009 the Company exercised its option to repurchase the jack-up rig West Ceres from Rig Finance Ltd, the Ship Finance subsidiary which owned the unit, at the option price of \$135.5 million. Accordingly, Rig Finance Ltd will no longer be consolidated as a VIE by the Company.

In November 2008, the Company granted Ship Finance an unsecured credit facility of \$115.0 million. Ship Finance repaid \$25.0 million in the first quarter of 2009, and the balance of \$90.0 million was sold to Metrogas Holdings Inc (“Metrogas”), a company indirectly controlled by trusts established by Mr. John Fredriksen for the benefit of his immediate family. The balance of \$90.0 million was purchased back from Metrogas in the fourth quarter of 2009. Interest of \$8.8 million was received from Ship Finance in the twelve months ended December 31, 2009.

In April 2009, the Company obtained an unsecured credit facility loan of \$60 million from Metrogas. The amount was repaid in June 2009. Interest payable on the facility amounted to \$0.7 million in the twelve months ended December 31, 2009.

In November 2009, the Company provided an unsecured loan of \$27.7 million to Scorpion, increased to \$79.7 million in December 2009. Interest payable on the facility amounted to \$1.0 million in the twelve months ended December 31, 2009. Refer also note 15 subsequent events.

## Note 13 – Fair value of financial instruments

The fair values of the Company's financial instruments are measured on a recurring basis. The fair values, their basis of measurement and the balance sheet carrying value at December 31, 2009, are as follows:

	F a i r value	Fair value measurements at reporting date using			Carrying value
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets	Significant Other Observable Inputs	Significant Unobservable Inputs	
(in millions of \$)	December 31, 2009	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)	December 31, 2009
<b>Assets:</b>					
Cash and cash equivalents	460.0	460.0			460.0
Restricted cash	512.2	512.2			512.2
Marketable securities	742.3	551.3		191.0	742.3
Currency forward contracts – short term receivable	10.6		10.6		10.6
TRS equity swap contracts	40.9		40.9		40.9
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>1,766.0</b>	<b>1,523.5</b>	<b>51.5</b>	<b>191.0</b>	<b>1,766.0</b>
<b>Liabilities:</b>					
Current portion of long term debt	774.1	774.1			774.1
Convertible bonds due 2012	1,006.3	1,006.3			1,000
Convertible bonds due 2014	609.4	609.4			399.2
Other long term debt	5,221.8	5,142.3	79.5		5,222.6
Interest rate swap contracts – short term payables	107.6		107.6		107.6
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>7,719.2</b>	<b>7,532.1</b>	<b>187.1</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>7,503.5</b>

ASC Topic 820 Fair Value Measurement and Disclosures (formerly FAS 157) emphasizes that fair value is a market-based measurement, not an entity-specific measurement, and should be determined based on the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. As a basis for considering market participant assumptions in fair value measurements, ASC Topic 820 establishes a fair value hierarchy that distinguishes between market participant assumptions based on market data obtained from sources independent of the reporting entity (observable inputs that are classified within levels one and two of the hierarchy) and the reporting entity's own assumptions about market participant assumptions (unobservable inputs classified within level three of the hierarchy).

Level one inputs utilize unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Company has the ability to access. Level two inputs are inputs other than quoted prices included in level one that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level two inputs may include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, as well as inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, other than quoted prices, such as interest rates, foreign exchange rates and yield curves that are observable at commonly quoted intervals. Level three inputs are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability, which are typically based on an entity's own assumptions, as there is little, if any, related market activity. In instances where the determination of the fair value measurement is

based on inputs from different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the entire fair value measurement falls is based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The Company's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, and considers factors specific to the asset or liability.

A-16

---

The carrying value of cash and cash equivalents, which are highly liquid, is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

Quoted market prices are used to estimate the fair value of marketable securities, which are valued at fair value on a recurring basis.

The fair value of total return equity swaps is calculated using the closing prices of the underlying listed shares, dividends paid since inception and the interest rate charged by the counterparty.

The fair value of the current portion of long-term debt is estimated to be equal to the carrying value, since it is repayable within twelve months.

The fair value of the long-term portion of floating rate debt is estimated to be equal to the carrying value since it bears variable interest rates, which are reset on a quarterly basis. This debt is not freely tradable and cannot be purchased by the Company at prices other than the outstanding balance plus accrued interest.

The fair value of the long-term portion of the fixed interest \$100 million loan is equal to the loan balance less the fair market value of the fixed interest agreement, which is an amount receivable of \$0.8 million.

The fair value of the long-term portion of the fixed rate CIRR loans is equal to the carrying value, as they are matched with equal balances of restricted cash.

The \$1,000 million convertible bonds due 2012 are freely tradable and their fair value has been set equal to the price at which they were traded at on December 31, 2009 (100.63% of nominal value).

The \$500 million convertible bonds due 2014 are freely tradable and their fair value has been set equal to the price at which they were traded at on December 31, 2009 (121.88% of nominal value). The carrying value of the \$500 million convertible bonds is \$399.2 million, since the calculated equity element of the loan has been recorded as equity and is amortized over the loan period. This is due to the cash settlement option stipulated in the bond agreement.

The fair values of interest rate swaps and forward exchange contracts are calculated using well-established independent valuation techniques applied to contracted cash flows and LIBOR and NIBOR interest rates as at December 31, 2009.

## Note 14 – Commitments and contingencies

## Purchase Commitments

At December 31, 2009, the Company had nine contractual commitments under newbuilding contracts. The contracts are for the construction of two semi-submersible rigs, three jack-up rigs, a drillship and three tender rigs. The units are scheduled to be delivered in 2010 and 2011. As of December 31, the Company has paid \$1,210 million directly to the construction yards on the newbuildings, and is committed to make further payments amounting 1,678 million. These amounts include contract variation orders but exclude spares, accrued interest expenses, construction supervision and operation preparation and mobilization.

The maturity schedule for the remaining yard installments is as follows:

## Maturity schedule for yard installments as of December 31, 2009

Q1-2010	160.0
Q2-2010	800.0
Q3-2010	130.0
Q4-2010	85.0
2011	503.0
Total	1,678

## Legal Proceedings:

The Company is a party, as plaintiff or defendant, to several lawsuits in various jurisdictions for demurrage, damages, off-hire and other claims and commercial disputes arising from the operation of its drilling units, in the ordinary course of business or in connection with its acquisition activities. The Company believes that the resolution of such claims will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's operations or financial condition. The Company's best estimate of the outcome of the various disputes has been reflected in the financial statements of the Company as of December 31, 2009.

## Gazprom dispute

At the end of 2005 and the beginning of 2006, the Company had a dispute with Gazprom in connection with the operations of the jack-up rig West Larissa, which was named Ekha at that time.

In May 2009, legal hearings took place in the High Court of Justice, London, and the Court has issued a decision with the following main conclusions:

- The Company was awarded charter hire for the period November 23, 2005, to January 9, 2006, being the date up to when the incident occurred. Including interest this amounted to approximately \$6.8 million.
- The Company was not awarded hire for the time after the incident, nor was the Company awarded any reimbursement for uninsured costs related to its claim.
- The Court has ruled that Gazprom is entitled to recover costs and expenses related to West Larissa, where Gazprom can demonstrate that these were wasted as a consequence of Seadrill's actions during the incident. The Judge also ruled that Gazprom wrongfully terminated the Contract, and has thus rejected Gazprom's claim for losses associated with the contracting of another rig.





It is not possible at this stage to quantify the net outcome of this ruling. The amount of Gazprom's counter-claim, as well as responsibility for incurred legal costs, will be decided in a separate hearing at a later stage. The Court's decision has been appealed by the Company, and appeal hearings are scheduled to take place during first half of 2010. The Company does not expect the final outcome to have a significant effect on its financial results.

#### Transocean Dispute

In July 2009, the Norwegian Borgarting Court of Appeal granted the Company full support in Transocean's patent lawsuit against the Company. The Court maintained the judgment of the Oslo District Court given in February 2008 and rejected Transocean's appeal. The rulings have invalidated Transocean's Norwegian patents on both multi-activity offshore drilling apparatus as well as for applying simultaneous operations in offshore drilling activities in Norway. All claims against the Company were found to be invalid and the Court ruled that Transocean should pay all litigation costs. Transocean has appealed the decision to the Norwegian Supreme Court, which subsequently dismissed the appeal.

#### Heerema Dispute

The Company is in dispute with Heerema Engineering Services B.V. relating to alleged patent infringements in connection with world-wide dual drilling operations performed by the Company. Legal hearings took place in the Oslo District Court in August and September 2009, and on November 3, 2009, the Company was informed that a ruling was made in its favor, whereby Heerema's Norwegian patent for dual drilling operations was declared to be invalid. As of December 4, 2009, the deadline to appeal the ruling, Heerema had not filed such appeal.

#### Note 15 – Subsequent Events

In February 2009 the Company received a letter of award from an international E&P operator for the ultra-deepwater drillship West Gemini under construction. The letter of award represents a two-year contract with an estimated contract value of approximately US\$345 million, including mobilization fee. Furthermore, the operator has the right to extend the contract for an additional year at a similar dayrate. West Gemini is expected to be completed early July 2010 and will subsequently relocate from Korea to West Africa to commence operations under the two-year contract.

On February 25, 2010, the Company declared a dividend of \$0.55 per share to be paid on or about March 26, 2010.

On February 25, 2010, the Company provided a short term secured loan of \$49.5 million to Scorpion Offshore Limited, an associated company. The loan has duration of two months and bears interest at 12% per annum.

APPENDIX B

Index to Consolidated Financial Statements of Scorpion Offshore Ltd.	B-1
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm - Ernst & Young LLP	B-2
Consolidated Balance Sheets for the years ended June 30, 2009 and 2008	B-3
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended June 30, 2009, 2008 and 2007	B-4
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the years ended June 30, 2009, 2008, 2007 and 2006	B-5
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended June 30, 2009, 2008 and 2007	B-6
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements	B-7

B-1

---

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders of  
Scorpion Offshore Ltd.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Scorpion Offshore Ltd. and subsidiaries (collectively, the Company) as of June 30, 2009 and 2008, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended June 30, 2009. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. We were not engaged to perform an audit of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Scorpion Offshore Ltd. and subsidiaries at June 30, 2009 and 2008, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended June 30, 2009, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Houston, Texas  
August 19, 2009,  
except for Note 18,  
as to which the date is  
February 28, 2010

## Scorpion Offshore Ltd. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Balance Sheets  
(In thousands, except share data)

	June 30, 2009	June 30, 2008
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$77,707	\$12,849
Restricted cash	4,526	—
Trade receivables, net	73,128	19,256
Inventory	16,645	1,671
Current portion of deferred mobilization expense	14,977	17,364
Assets held for sale	124,611	276
Prepaid expenses	9,701	5,907
Other current assets	17,154	2,342
Total current assets	338,449	59,665
Properties and equipment, net:		
Equipment	973,207	458,316
Construction in progress	—	351,482
Net properties and equipment	973,207	809,798
Deferred mobilization expense	17,120	4,211
Other assets	13,178	7,222
Total assets	\$1,341,954	\$880,896
<b>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Current portion of long-term debt	\$63,425	\$17,600
Short-term debt	103,225	44,312
Accounts payable	44,646	51,260
Current portion of deferred revenue	12,335	5,877
Accrued liabilities	23,960	14,731
Total current liabilities	247,591	133,780
Long-term debt	619,275	410,400
Deferred revenue	16,941	2,775
Other long-term liabilities	1,522	1,185
Commitments and contingencies-See Note 6		
Shareholders' equity:		
Common stock, \$0.002 par value; 150,000,000 shares authorized, 87,537,839 and 59,610,527 shares issued and outstanding, respectively	175	119
Additional paid-in capital	487,390	413,570
Receivable for stock issuance	—	(82,967 )
Retained earnings (accumulated deficit)	(29,810 )	2,267
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(1,130 )	(233 )

Total shareholders' equity	456,625	332,756
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$1,341,954	\$880,896

See accompanying notes.

B-3

---

## Scorpion Offshore Ltd. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statements of Operations  
(In thousands, except per share amounts)

	Year Ended June 30,		
	2009	2008	2007
Revenues:			
Contract drilling	\$248,542	\$91,203	\$—
Total revenues	248,542	91,203	—
Expenses and other operating items:			
Operating costs, excluding depreciation	87,329	28,087	1,358
Mobilization expense	27,137	15,565	—
Asset impairment charge	94,524	—	—
Stock-based compensation	5,736	2,489	2,843
Depreciation	26,996	8,030	115
General and administrative, excluding depreciation	6,834	4,125	5,188
Total expenses and other operating items	248,556	58,296	9,504
Operating income (loss)	(14 )	32,907	(9,504 )
Interest expense	(23,387 )	(3,847 )	(2,909 )
Interest income	253	770	4,355
Change in fair value of derivative	(6,840 )	(7,696 )	1,084
Other income (expense), net	182	(3,879 )	(1,174 )
Income (loss) before income taxes	(29,806 )	18,255	(8,148 )
Income taxes	2,271	2,685	255
Net income (loss)	\$(32,077 )	\$15,570	\$(8,403 )
Earnings (loss) per share:			
Basic	\$(0.48 )	\$0.30	\$(0.18 )
Diluted share	(0.48 )	0.29	(0.18 )
Weighted-average number shares outstanding			
Basic	67,399	52,055	46,336
Diluted share	67,399	52,914	46,336

See accompanying notes.

## Scorpion Offshore Ltd. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity  
(In thousands, except for share data)

	Shares	Par Value	Additional Paid-In Capital	Receivable for Stock Issuance	Retained Earnings (Accumulated Deficit)	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Total
Balance at June 30, 2006	45,564,885	\$ 91	\$ 222,751	\$ —	\$ (4,900 )	\$ —	\$ 217,942
Issuance of common stock	3,630,835	7	36,693	—	—	—	36,700
Employee stock-based compensation, net of forfeitures and tax	423,800	1	5,601	—	—	—	5,602
Net loss	—	—	—	—	(8,403 )	—	(8,403 )
Balance at June 30, 2007	49,619,520	99	265,045	—	(13,303 )	—	251,841
Issuance of common stock	9,840,973	20	141,778	(82,967 )	—	—	58,831
Employee stock-based compensation, net of forfeitures and tax	150,034	—	6,747	—	—	—	6,747
Foreign currency translation	—	—	—	—	—	(54 )	(54 )
Unrealized loss on interest rate swap	—	—	—	—	—	(179 )	(179 )
Net income	—	—	—	—	15,570	—	15,570
Balance at June 30, 2008	59,610,527	119	413,570	(82,967 )	2,267	(233 )	332,756
Issuance of common stock	27,274,843	55	63,591	82,967	—	—	146,613
Employee stock-based compensation, net of forfeitures and tax	652,469	1	10,229	—	—	—	10,230
Foreign currency translation	—	—	—	—	—	105	105
Unrealized loss on interest rate swap	—	—	—	—	—	(1,002 )	(1,002 )
Net loss	—	—	—	—	(32,077 )	—	(32,077 )

Balance at June 30, 2009	87,537,839	\$ 175	\$ 487,390	\$ —	\$ (29,810 )	\$ (1,130 )	\$ 456,625
-----------------------------	------------	--------	------------	------	--------------	-------------	------------

See accompanying notes.

B-5

---



## Scorpion Offshore Ltd. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows  
(In thousands)

	Year Ended June 30,		
	2009	2008	2007
Cash flows from operating activities			
Net income (loss) for the year	\$(32,077 )	\$15,570	\$(8,403 )
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Stock-based compensation	6,251	3,209	3,362
Depreciation	26,996	8,030	115
Amortization of debt issuance costs	3,878	2,067	725
Amortization of deferred mobilization costs	27,260	10,411	—
(Gain) loss on mark-to-market derivatives	166	7,125	207
Asset impairment charge	94,524	—	—
Other non-cash items	4,486	57	—
Changes in assets and liabilities:			
Trade receivables	(53,872 )	(19,256 )	—
Inventory	(14,974 )	(1,671 )	—
Deferred mobilization expense	(36,665 )	(30,320 )	(1,529 )
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(8,313 )	(3,713 )	(3,114 )
Other assets	(3,870 )	(1,100 )	(262 )
Accounts payable	21,579	11,856	1,575
Deferred revenue	20,624	8,652	—
Accrued liabilities	6,738	3,371	5,056
Other liabilities	252	25	—
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	62,983	14,313	(2,268 )
Cash flows from investing activities			
Purchase of equipment	(1,441 )	(119 )	(1,239 )
Capital expenditures for construction in progress	(434,592 )	(258,813 )	(222,740 )
Proceeds from sale of equipment	1,653	12	—
Change in restricted cash	(4,526 )	15,949	68,807
Net cash used in investing activities	(438,906 )	(242,971 )	(155,172 )
Cash flows from financing activities			
Proceeds from the issuance of common stock	146,198	50,661	35,181
Proceeds from borrowings	460,672	189,312	68,218
Repayments of borrowings	(151,312 )	(10,218 )	—
Debt issue costs	(14,777 )	(1,605 )	(1,420 )
Net cash provided by financing activities	440,781	228,150	101,979
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	64,858	(508 )	(55,461 )
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	12,849	13,357	68,818
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$77,707	\$12,849	\$13,357
Cash paid during the period for:			
Interest, net of capitalized interest	\$23,213	\$—	\$—
Taxes	7,213	2,269	495
Non-cash transactions during the period:			
	\$414	\$7,867	\$—

Common stock issued in lieu of cash for commission fees related to rig construction

See accompanying notes.

B-6

---

Scorpion Offshore Ltd. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements  
For the Years Ended June 30, 2009, June 30, 2008 and June 30, 2007

1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Nature of Operations

Scorpion Offshore Ltd. (Scorpion) was incorporated in Bermuda with the purpose of operating a fleet of drilling rigs (Rigs) and specifically to construct, own, operate, lease, and charter Rigs. Prior to September 2007, Scorpion was considered a development stage company. Since that point, the Company has begun to operate six ultra premium Rigs in the international offshore oil and gas industry and is constructing one additional Rig.

Basis of Presentation

These consolidated financial statements have been prepared for the years ended June 30, 2009, 2008 and 2007 and include all known accruals and adjustments that, in the opinion of management, are necessary for a fair presentation of the consolidated financial position of Scorpion and its results of operations and cash flows for such periods. All such adjustments are of a normal and recurring nature. Results of operations for the periods presented are not indicative of any future periods. The accompanying consolidated financial statements and related notes of Scorpion, include the accounts of Scorpion, its consolidated subsidiaries and all variable interest entities for which Scorpion is the primary beneficiary (collectively, the Company) and are presented in U.S. dollars and have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Basis of Consolidation

Scorpion consolidated an entity that met the criteria of a variable interest entity where the Company is deemed to be the primary beneficiary for accounting purposes and investments in which the Company has a majority voting interest. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

Use of Certain Significant Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of certain assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the related reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Management believes that its estimates are reasonable.

Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements, which is an amendment of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51. SFAS No. 160 establishes accounting and reporting standards for the noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary and for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary. It clarifies that a noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary is an ownership interest in the consolidated entity that should be reported as equity in the consolidated financial statements. In addition, SFAS No. 160 requires expanded disclosures in the consolidated financial statements that clearly identify and distinguish between the interests of the parent's owners and the interests of the noncontrolling owners of a subsidiary. This statement is effective for the fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning on or after December 15, 2008. We adopted SFAS No. 160 on January 1,

2009 but its adoption did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

On March 19, 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities — an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133. SFAS No. 161 requires enhanced disclosure for derivative instruments and hedging activities about how and why an entity uses derivative instruments and hedges and how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under SFAS No. 133 and how derivative instruments and related hedge items effect an entity's financial position, financial performance and cash flows. SFAS No. 161 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008, with early adoption encouraged. We adopted SFAS No. 161 on January 1, 2009 but its adoption did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

B-7

---

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP SFAS 107-1 and APB 28-1, Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments. This FSP amends SFAS No. 107, Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments, to require publicly-traded companies, as defined in APB Opinion No. 28, Interim Financial Reporting, to provide disclosures on the fair value of financial instruments in interim financial statements. FSP SFAS 107-1 and APB 28-1 are effective for interim periods ending after June 15, 2009. We adopted the new disclosure requirements effective June 30, 2009 with no material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In May 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 165, Subsequent Events, which establishes (i) the period after the balance sheet date during which management shall evaluate events or transactions that may occur for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements; (ii) the circumstances under which an entity shall recognize events or transactions occurring after the balance sheet date in its financial statements; and (iii) the disclosures that an entity shall make about events or transactions that occurred after the balance sheet date. This statement is effective for interim or annual financial periods ending after June 15, 2009, and shall be applied prospectively. We adopted SFAS No. 165 effective June 30, 2009, with no material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 167, Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R). This statement is a revision to FASB Interpretation No. 46 (Revised December 2003), Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, and changes how a reporting entity determines when an entity that is insufficiently capitalized or is not controlled through voting (or similar rights) should be consolidated. The determination of whether a reporting entity is required to consolidate another entity is based on, among other things, the other entity's purpose and design and the reporting entity's ability to direct the activities of the other entity that most significantly impact the other entity's economic performance. This statement will require a reporting entity to provide additional disclosures about its involvement with variable interest entities and any significant changes in risk exposure due to that involvement. A reporting entity will be required to disclose how its involvement with a variable interest entity affects the reporting entity's financial statements. This statement will be effective at the start of a reporting entity's first fiscal year beginning after November 15, 2009. Early application is not permitted. We will adopt this statement effective July 1, 2010 and we do not expect the adoption to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 168, The FASB Accounting Standards Codification<sup>tm</sup> and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles — a replacement of FASB Statement No. 162. The FASB Accounting Standards Codification<sup>tm</sup> (Codification) will become the source of authoritative United States generally accepted accounting principles (US GAAP) recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities. Rules and interpretive releases of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) under authority of federal securities laws are also sources of authoritative US GAAP for SEC registrants. On the effective date of this statement, the Codification will supersede all then-existing non-SEC accounting and reporting standards. All other nongrandfathered non-SEC accounting literature not included in the Codification will become nonauthoritative. This statement is effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009.

#### Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents. Cash and cash equivalents include cash on hand, demand deposits with banks, and all highly liquid investments. The Company's cash, cash equivalents, and short-term investments are subject to potential credit risk. The Company's cash management and investment policies restrict investments to low-risk, highly liquid securities. The Company excludes from its definition of Cash and Cash Equivalents, deposits and other amounts that are considered restricted for specific uses.

#### Fair Value

On July 1, 2008, we adopted, without material impact on our consolidated financial statements, the provisions of Statement of SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurement, for our financial assets and liabilities with respect to which we have recognized or disclosed at fair value on a recurring and non-recurring basis. In February 2008, the FASB issued FSP No. 157-2, Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157, which delays the effective date for non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities to fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008, except for items that are measured at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis at least annually. Beginning July 1, 2009, we will adopt the provisions for non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities that are not required or permitted to be measured at fair value on a recurring basis. We do not expect the provisions of SFAS No. 157 related to these items to have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities — Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115. SFAS No. 159 permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. Unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected will be recognized in earnings at each subsequent reporting date. SFAS No. 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after January 1, 2008. The Company elected not to adopt the fair value system under SFAS No. 159.

B-8

---

The Company's financial instruments consist of cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, receivables, inventory, payables, debt, equity derivative and interest rate swaps. The Company believes that the carrying values of cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, receivables, inventory and payables on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets approximate their fair values due to the short-term maturity of these financial instruments. The Company's debt is primarily at a floating rate and therefore its fair value approximates the carrying value on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

The Company is subject to the risk of variability in interest payments on our floating rate debt. Pursuant to portions of our existing debt, the Company entered into floating-to-fixed interest rate swaps which are intended to manage a portion of the cash flow risk related to the Company's debt. See Note 9 for additional information.

#### Derivative Instruments

In certain instances, interest rate swap agreements are used to manage floating interest rate exposure and are executed with respect to amounts borrowed, or forecasted to be borrowed under credit facilities. These contracts effectively exchange existing, or forecasted, obligations to pay interest based on floating rates for obligations to pay interest based on fixed rates. All derivative instruments are recognized as either assets or liabilities on the balance sheet at their respective fair values. For derivatives designated in hedging relationships, changes in the fair value are either offset through earnings against the change in fair value of the hedged item attributable to the risk being hedged or recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income until the hedged item is recognized in earnings. We discontinue hedge accounting when: (i) we determine that the derivative is no longer effective in offsetting changes in the fair value or cash flows of a hedged item; (ii) the derivative expires or is sold, terminated, or exercised; (iii) it is no longer probable that the forecasted transaction will occur; or (iv) management determines that designating the derivative as a hedging instrument is no longer appropriate. In all situations in which hedge accounting is discontinued or not initially applied, we carry the derivative at its fair value on the balance sheet, recognizing changes in the fair value in current-period earnings.

#### Inventory

Inventory consists of spare rig parts and supplies for use in operations. Inventory is stated at average cost.

#### Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are valued at historical cost. Additions and improvements to the assets under construction are capitalized. Construction in progress also includes all contractual payments classified as prepayments under the construction contracts with the shipyards. Drilling equipment and facilities will be depreciated using the straight-line method over the estimated remaining useful lives as of the in-service date or date of major refurbishment. Estimated useful lives of the Company's drilling equipment are expected to range from 3 to 30 years. Other property and equipment is depreciated using the straight-line method over useful lives ranging from 3 to 5 years.

Scheduled maintenance of equipment and overhauls will be performed on the basis of number of hours operated in accordance with the Company's preventative maintenance program. Repair and maintenance costs will generally be charged to expense as incurred; however, overhauls related to large-scale maintenance projects will be capitalized and depreciated over the estimated useful life.

#### Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

The recoverability of the carrying values of property and equipment will be assessed at minimum annually or whenever, in management's judgment, events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of such

assets may not be recoverable based on estimated future cash flows. If this assessment indicates that the carrying value will not be recoverable, as determined based on undiscounted cash flows over the remaining useful lives, an impairment loss will be recognized. The impairment loss will equal the excess of the carrying value over the fair value of the asset. The fair value of the asset will be based on prices of similar assets, if available, or discounted cash flows.

During the second quarter of 2009, the Company was unable to secure the necessary financing for the construction and delivery of a semisubmersible due to the change in the global credit markets and therefore, has subsequently terminated the related construction contracts. The Company believes that the carrying amount of the semisubmersible was no longer recoverable; therefore, the value of the semisubmersible as of March 31, 2009 was impaired. For the year ended 2009, the impairment charge was \$74.7 million.

During the fourth quarter of 2009, the Company began actively marketing the Offshore Mischief, which is currently under construction. In the June 30, 2009 financials, this asset is reflected as Assets Held for Sale and therefore the carrying value of the rig has been impaired to reflect the fair value less costs to sell the rig. The fair value of the Offshore Mischief is based on current market prices of similar assets. For the year ended 2009, the impairment charge was \$19.4 million.



## Revenue and Cost Recognition

The Company provides fully staffed Rigs to its customers primarily on a dayrate basis. Dayrate contracts will be either for a specified period of time or for the time required to drill a specified well or number of wells. Revenues and expenses from dayrate drilling operations, which are classified under contract drilling services, are recognized on a per-day basis as the work progresses.

The Company may receive lump-sum fees for the mobilization of equipment and personnel. Mobilization fees received and costs incurred to mobilize a Rig from one market to another are recognized over the initial term of the related drilling contract. Costs incurred and otherwise committed to relocate Rigs when a contract has not been secured are expensed as incurred. Lump-sum payments received from customers relating to specific contracts are deferred and amortized to income over the term of the drilling contract. The Company will record or has recorded reimbursements from customers for "out-of-pocket" expenses as revenues and the related costs as direct operating expenses.

The following table summarizes mobilization costs for the years ending June 30, (in thousands):

	2009	2008	2007
Deferred	\$37,525	\$30,458	\$1,529
Amortized	27,260	10,411	—
Expensed	—	5,154	935

## Income Taxes

The Company follows the liability method of accounting for income taxes. Under this method, deferred income taxes are recorded based upon the differences between the financial reporting and tax basis of assets and liabilities and are measured using the enacted tax rates and laws that will be in effect when the underlying assets or liabilities are recovered or settled.

Scorpion and the majority of its subsidiaries are Bermuda companies, and Bermuda does not impose corporate income taxes. Scorpion Offshore, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of Scorpion, is a U.S. company. Accordingly, the Company has provided for current and deferred U.S. and state income taxes on the operations of this company.

Certain Scorpion subsidiaries are subject to income tax in the jurisdictions in which the Company operates its Rigs. Accordingly, the Company has provided for current and, when applicable, deferred income taxes on the operations of these subsidiaries.

## Foreign Currency Translation

We have designated the U.S. dollar as the functional currency for most of our operations in international locations because we contract with customers, purchase equipment and finance capital using the U.S. dollar. In those countries where we have designated the U.S. dollar as the functional currency, certain assets and liabilities of foreign operations are translated at historical exchange rates, revenues and expenses in these countries are translated at the average rate of exchange for the period, and all translation gains or losses are reflected in the period's results of operations. In those countries where the U.S. dollar is not designated as the functional currency, revenues and expenses are translated at the average rate of exchange for the period, assets and liabilities are translated at end of period exchange rates and all translation gains and losses are included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) within stockholders' equity.

Receivables and Concentration of Credit Risk, Concentration of Customers

As the Company currently has five customers, its credit risk is concentrated as such. The Company evaluates the creditworthiness of its customers' financial condition and continually monitors its credit risk.

Allowances for Doubtful Accounts

The Company will maintain allowances for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of the Company's customers to make required payments. Management of the Company monitors the accounts receivable from its customers to ensure amounts are collectable. An allowance for doubtful accounts is established based on reviews of individual customer accounts, recent loss experience, current economic conditions, and other pertinent factors. Accounts deemed uncollectible are charged to the allowance. There was no allowance at June 30, 2009 or 2008.

B-10

---

## Insurance

The Company has purchased insurance for the first six Rigs, which was effective upon delivery of each of the Rigs from the shipyard. During the construction of the Company's Rigs, the shipyard is responsible for insuring both the construction in progress and any delivered owner-furnished equipment (OFE). When required, the Company procures insurance on the OFE during shipment from the vendor to the shipyard. Prepaid insurance is amortized over the terms of the Company's insurance policies.

## Net Income (Loss) Per Share

Net income (loss) per share has been computed on the basis of the weighted-average number of ordinary shares and, where dilutive, ordinary share equivalents outstanding during the indicated periods. In the periods, the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding was calculated utilizing an appropriate fraction of days shares were outstanding. For the years ended June 30, 2009 and 2007, basic and diluted shares outstanding are the same due to the anti-dilutive nature resulting from losses. For the year ended June 30, 2008, 859,000 shares of unvested restricted stock were included in the diluted earning per share computation due to their dilutive effect.

## Debt Issuance Costs

Debt issuance costs are being amortized over the term of the debt using the effective interest rate method.

## Share-Based Compensation

Compensation costs for all share-based awards to employees and directors are measured based on the grant date fair value of those awards and recognized over the period during which the employee is required to perform service in exchange for the award (generally over the vesting period of the award). Excess tax benefits will be recognized as an addition to additional paid-in capital.

Share-based compensation for the restricted stock awards was determined based on the market price of our stock at the date of grant applied to the total number of shares that were ultimately expected to vest. Restricted stock compensation is amortized under the straight-line attribution method from the date of award to and including the final vesting date. Although share-based compensation expense recognized in the consolidated financial statements is based on awards ultimately expected to vest, the Company has not made any adjustments for estimated forfeitures as it does not have an adequate basis for assuming any forfeitures. Forfeitures are estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates.

As of June 30, 2009, the total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested shares was \$10.2 million, which is expected to be recognized on a straight line basis over the remaining period, which is approximately 1.5 years. To date, the Company has experienced forfeitures of 290,350 unvested shares.

## 2. Liquidity

The Company does not yet have sufficient financing to meet all its future commitments related to the Offshore Mischief construction contract. Currently, this unfunded commitment totals \$67 million. The Company is actively marketing this rig for sale and expects to complete a transaction prior to November 1, 2009, the next shipyard installment due date. Under the construction contract as amended by Addendum No. 12 dated May 15, 2009, the Company's next installment totaling \$26 million is due on November 1, 2009. If the installment is not paid within the 30 day cure period, the builder is entitled to cancel the contract, and shall be entitled to claim compensation for any

and all losses. In the event the Company is unable to execute on this plan, this creates a risk as to the recoverability of some portion of this asset, which is classified as Assets Held for Sale in the June 30, 2009 consolidated balance sheet.

### 3. Restricted Cash

As of June 30, 2009, the Company has \$4.5 million of restricted cash which will be used to pay scheduled principal and interest payments.

B-11

---

#### 4. Assets Held for Sale

Assets held for sale consists primarily of the Offshore Mischief Rig. Scorpion is currently actively marketing this rig for sale and reduced the carrying value of this asset by \$19.4 million to its approximate fair value. At June 30, 2009, the net assets subject to sale totaled \$124.6 million and have been classified as current assets. The Offshore Mischief has historically been reported as Construction in Progress and has approximately \$53.8 million in remaining shipyard and equipment payments, which are not currently reflected in the Assets Held for Sale amount at June 30, 2009.

The following table presents the carrying amount and estimated fair value of our long-lived assets held for sale at June 30, 2009, recognized at fair value on a non-recurring basis (in thousands):

Description	Carrying Amount at June 30, 2009	Estimated Fair Value Measures			Total Gains (Losses)
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Other Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
Long-lived assets held for sale	\$124,611	\$—	\$124,407	\$204	\$(19,811)

#### 5. Property and Equipment

Property and equipment consist of the following, (in thousands):

	June 30, 2009	June 30, 2008
Rig and rig equipment	\$1,006,058	\$464,918
Software	1,408	1,397
Equipment	883	167
Construction in progress	—	351,482
	1,008,349	817,964
Accumulated depreciation	(35,142)	(8,166)
Net properties and equipment	\$973,207	\$809,798

Depreciation expense of property and equipment for 2009, 2008 and 2007 was \$27.0 million, \$8.0 million and \$115,000, respectively.

The Company capitalizes project management costs to the Rigs under construction. This includes general and administrative costs and deferred compensation related to supporting the Rig construction. For years ended 2009, 2008 and 2007, total capitalized project management costs were \$16.3 million, \$16.2 million and \$7.4 million, respectively.

The Company capitalizes interest to the construction of the Rigs. For the years ended 2009, 2008 and 2007, the Company capitalized interest of \$19.4 million, \$38.6 million and \$32.6 million, respectively.

#### 6. Commitments and Contingencies

Edgar Filing: SeaDrill LTD - Form 20FR12B

At June 30, 2009, the Company, through its subsidiary Scorpion Rigs Ltd., has one Rig under construction at the Lamprell Energy Ltd. (Lamprell) shipyard in the United Arab Emirates (Lamprell Construction Contract). The following table summarizes the turnkey price contract (in millions):

Rig Name	Shipyard	Estimated Delivery Date	Turnkey Price	Incurring to Date	Remaining Commitment
Offshore Mischief	Lamprell	April 2010	\$176.7	(a) \$123.8	\$ 52.9

(b) Turnkey price amounts do not include project management costs or capitalized interest. In addition, the turnkey price amounts have been updated to include change orders totaling \$766,000 for Rig modifications.

B-12

## Termination of the Semisubmersible Construction and Equipment Contracts

In January 2009, Scorpion negotiated the termination of the semisubmersible construction contract with Keppel FELS Limited (Keppel), and the equipment contracts with both National Oilwell Varco (NOV) and Cameron International Corp. (Cameron). The amicable termination of the contracts releases Scorpion of any further commitments to Keppel, NOV and Cameron. The Company does not expect to incur any additional costs.

## 7. Stockholders' Equity

The Company has raised approximately \$453.3 million in cash from common stock issuances since inception.

The following table summarizes common stock issuances for the years ended June 30, 2009, 2008 and 2007:

Common Stock Issuance Date	Shares Issued	Proceeds (In millions)
October 17, 2006	3,500,000	\$35.2
July 24, 2007	3,800,000	48.1
June 27, 2008	5,400,000	85.5
March 4, 2009	27,027,027	\$63.2

Outstanding shares also include unrestricted stock issued in lieu of cash compensation to the board of directors, unrestricted stock issued in lieu of commission payments in connection with the construction of the Rigs and restricted stock issued and outstanding under the Company's incentive stock plan. Restricted stock issuances are registered in the holders name with the Company's stock registrar and these shares include voting and dividend rights.

## 8. Indebtedness

## Short-Term Debt

## Short-Term Loan — Scorpion Offshore Ltd.

On June 10, 2009, Scorpion Offshore Ltd. secured a \$52.0 million loan to fund start up operations for the Offshore Intrepid and Offshore Freedom in Saudi Arabia, as well as for general working capital purposes. The loan bears interest at LIBOR plus 3.5%, matures on December 10, 2009 and is secured by a 2(nd) priority mortgage on the Offshore Mischief and a stock pledge from Scorpion Rigs Ltd. The loan is guaranteed by two of the Company's largest shareholders, Seadrill Ltd. and Mr. Christen Sveaas.

## Convertible Secured Loan

On May 14, 2009, Scorpion, through its wholly owned subsidiary Scorpion Rigs Ltd., a single purpose entity formed to own the Offshore Mischief (SRL), secured a 26.8 million pound sterling (GBP) convertible loan from a Middle Eastern private equity investor, 21(st) Century Group Holdings, to fund a \$40 million construction payment under the Offshore Mischief's construction contract. At the option of the lender, the loan is either repayable in 12 months or converts into a 40% interest in SRL. The loan bears interest at 10% per annum and is secured by a 1(st) priority mortgage on the Offshore Mischief.

## Bridge Loan — Scorpion Rigs Ltd.

On December 12, 2007 Scorpion, through its wholly owned subsidiary Scorpion Rigs Ltd., entered into a \$20.0 million facility (the Bridge Loan), which was subsequently amended on April 8, 2008 to \$40.0 million with an interest rate of 7.72% per annum and a maturity date of August 10, 2008. The funds from the Bridge Loan were used to fund the progress payments to Lamprell for the construction of the Offshore Mischief. On July 3, 2008, the bridge loan was repaid and cancelled.

Bridge Loan — Scorpion Deepwater Ltd.

On July 14, 2008, Deepwater, entered into an \$81.0 million facility (the Semisubmersible Bridge Loan), with an interest rate of LIBOR + 1% per annum and a maturity date of October 13, 2008, with an option to extend for 90 days. This option was exercised on September 18, 2008, extending the maturity date to January 14, 2009, which was subsequently mutually extended until February 13, 2009. The funds from the Semisubmersible Bridge Loan were used to fund the progress payments to Keppel and the equipment vendors (see Note 6), for the construction of the deepwater semisubmersible.

The Semisubmersible Bridge Loan, through a wholly owned subsidiary, Scorpion Freedom Ltd., was refinanced effective February 13, 2009 with long term debt (Freedom Term Loan Tranche B).

B-13

---



The weighted average interest rate on the outstanding short term borrowings as of June 30, 2009 and 2008 was 7.70% and 7.83%, respectively.

#### Short-Term Financing

From time to time, Scorpion, through a wholly owned subsidiary enters into short-term financing arrangements to fund annual insurance premiums. As of June 30, 2009, \$7.0 million was outstanding under this agreement.

#### Long-Term Debt

Long-term debt consisted of the following (in thousands):

	June 30, 2009	June 30, 2008
Drilling 1(st) Lien Term Loan	\$152,400	\$130,000
Drilling 1(st) Lien Revolving Credit Facility	38,500	14,000
Drilling 2(nd) Lien Loan	225,000	225,000
Intrepid Term Loan	95,000	59,000
Intrepid Revolving Credit Facility	1,000	—
Intrepid Revolving Credit Facility Tranche B	24,000	—
Freedom Term Loan Tranche A	95,000	—
Amended Freedom Term Loan Tranche B	51,800	—
Total debt	682,700	428,000
Current portion of long-term debt	63,425	17,600
Long-term debt	\$619,275	\$410,400

#### Drilling 1(st) Lien Financing

On November 30, 2005 Scorpion, through its wholly owned subsidiary Scorpion Drilling Ltd. (Drilling), entered into \$220 million senior secured credit facilities (the Drilling 1(st) Lien Credit Facilities). The Drilling 1(st) Lien Credit Facilities are comprised of a \$170 million senior secured delayed-draw term loan facility (the Drilling 1(st) Lien Term Loan ) to be used for construction progress payments on the first four Rigs, and a \$50 million senior secured revolving credit facility (the Drilling 1(st) Lien Revolving Credit Facility) to be used for general corporate purposes. In no event shall more than \$25 million of the Drilling 1(st) Lien Revolving Credit Facility be utilized for amounts owing to effect the Rig constructions and/or financings. The Drilling 1(st) Lien Credit Facilities mature on September 5, 2013 (the Maturity Date). Scorpion unconditionally and irrevocably guarantees Drilling's obligations under the Drilling 1(st) Lien Credit Facilities.

The Drilling 1(st) Lien Term Loan amortizes with 19 consecutive quarterly repayments of \$4.4 million which commenced on September 30, 2008, down to a balloon of \$86.4 million due on the Maturity Date. The Drilling 1(st) Lien Revolving Credit Facility is due in full on the Maturity Date. As of June 30, 2009, the Company has \$10.6 million in letters of credit outstanding under these Drilling 1(st) Lien Credit Facilities.

The interest rate for the Drilling 1(st) Lien Credit Facilities varies between LIBOR plus 1.5% to 1.75% based on certain conditions being met. A commitment fee was due on the unutilized portion of the Drilling 1(st) Lien Credit Facilities calculated at a rate of 0.70%.

The Drilling 1(st) Lien Credit Facilities are secured by first priority mortgages on the first four Rigs, assignments of earnings and insurances on these rigs, including term contracts, including stock pledges on Drilling and its

subsidiaries.

The Drilling 1(st) Lien Credit Facilities contain usual and customary covenants for facilities of this nature. Included in the covenants are financial measures around leverage, minimum cash balances, working capital, interest coverage and collateral maintenance.

The Drilling 1(st) Lien Credit Facilities contain a "change of control" clause, under which the lenders may require the facilities to be repaid in the event that a person (or group of related persons) become the beneficial owner of 40% or more of the Company's shares or voting rights and in certain other situations.

B-14

---

### Drilling 2(nd) Lien Financing

On November 8, 2005 (Effective Date) Scorpion, through its wholly owned subsidiary, Drilling, entered into a \$225 million second lien senior secured term loan (the Drilling 2(nd) Lien Loan). The Drilling 2(nd) Lien Loan is subordinated to the Drilling 1(st) Lien Credit Facilities, both in terms of the priority under the mortgages and through an intercreditor agreement executed in connection with the financing. Scorpion unconditionally and irrevocably guarantees Drilling's obligations under the Drilling 2(nd) Lien Loan.

The Drilling 2(nd) Lien Loan bears interest at LIBOR plus 750 basis points and matures on May 8, 2014. These notes are callable after four years from execution at a price of 102, after five years from the Effective Date at a price of 101 and thereafter at par. The Drilling 2(nd) Lien Loan is secured by second priority mortgages on the first four Rigs, assignments of earnings and insurances on these Rigs, including term contracts, including stock pledges on Drilling and its subsidiaries.

The Drilling 2(nd) Lien Loan contains usual and customary covenants that are similar to the Drilling 1(st) Lien Credit Facilities.

The Drilling 2(nd) Lien Loan contains a "change of control" clause, under which the lenders may require the facility to be repaid in the event that a person (or group of related persons) become the beneficial owner of 50% or more of the Company's shares or voting rights and in certain other situations.

### Intrepid Term Loan and Revolver

On April 12, 2007 Scorpion, through its wholly owned subsidiary Scorpion Intrepid Ltd., entered into \$105 million senior secured credit facilities (the Intrepid Facilities). The Intrepid Facilities are comprised of a \$95 million senior secured term loan facility (the Intrepid Term Loan Facility) to be used for construction payments for the Offshore Intrepid, and a \$10 million senior secured revolving credit facility (the Intrepid Revolving Credit Facility) to be used for general corporate purposes.

On February 19, 2009 the Intrepid Revolving Credit Facility was amended to increase its availability by \$24.0 million reflected as Intrepid Revolving Credit Facility Tranche B. The Intrepid Facilities mature on January 23, 2014. The Intrepid Revolving Credit Facility Tranche B matures on or before August 31, 2009. In addition, the interest rate for the Intrepid Revolving Credit Facility is LIBOR plus 3%. Scorpion unconditionally and irrevocably guarantees Scorpion Intrepid Ltd's obligations under the Intrepid Facilities.

The Intrepid Term Loan Facility, subsequently amended on August 5, 2009 (Amended Intrepid Term Loan Facility), amortizes with 10 consecutive bi-annual repayments varying from \$5.25 million to \$6.56 million commencing December 23, 2009, down to a balloon of \$42.5 million due at Maturity. Upon the sale of the Offshore Mischief and a portion of the sale proceeds being used to satisfy the minimum liquidity requirements, the interest rate becomes LIBOR plus 3% and the repayment schedule will be amended to 9 consecutive bi-annual payments of \$5.25 million and a balloon of \$42.5 million due on January 23, 2014. The Intrepid Revolving Credit Facility is due at Maturity. As of June 30, 2009, the Company has \$9.0 million in letters of credit outstanding under these Intrepid Facilities.

The interest rate for the Amended Intrepid Term Loan Facility is LIBOR plus 3.75%. A commitment fee is due on the unutilized portion of the Amended Intrepid Term Loan Facility and on the Intrepid Revolving Credit Facility at a rate of 0.75%.

The Intrepid Facilities are secured by a first priority mortgage on the Offshore Intrepid, assignments of earnings and insurances and a stock pledge on Scorpion Intrepid Ltd.

The Intrepid Facilities contain usual and customary covenants for facilities of this nature. Included in the covenants are financial measures around leverage, minimum cash balances, interest coverage, working capital and collateral maintenance. The consolidated Scorpion Offshore Ltd. working capital covenant was waived for the period March 31, 2009 until September 29, 2009. The Company is compliant with all covenants on June 30, 2009. Subsequently, effective August 5, 2009, the amendment to the Intrepid Term Loan Facility revised the working capital covenant to include Scorpion Intrepid Ltd. and not consolidated Scorpion Offshore Ltd.

The Intrepid Facilities contain a "change of control" clause, under which the lenders may require the facilities to be repaid in the event that the Company is no longer listed on the Oslo stock exchange or any other major stock exchange.

B-15

---

## Freedom Term Loan

On January 20, 2009 Scorpion, through its wholly owned subsidiary Scorpion Freedom Ltd., entered into \$169 million senior secured credit facility, which was subsequently amended on June 30, 2009 to \$149 million (the Amended Freedom Term Loan Facility). The June 30, 2009 amendment was an amendment to Tranche B to adjust the available capacity to \$54 million, adjust the repayment schedule and interest rate. The Amended Freedom Term Loan Facility is comprised of two tranches; a \$95 million tranche A and an amended \$54 million tranche B. Freedom Term Loan Tranche A is to be used for progress payments under the Offshore Freedom construction contracts at Lamprell and Amended Freedom Term Loan Tranche B was used to refinance the Semisubmersible Bridge Loan. Freedom Term Loan Tranche A matures on April 27, 2014. Amended Freedom Term Loan Tranche B matures on December 31, 2013. In the event Scorpion raises new equity, a portion of Amended Freedom Term Loan Tranche B repayment is accelerated. Scorpion unconditionally and irrevocably guarantees Scorpion Freedom Ltd.'s obligations under the Facilities.

Freedom Term Loan Tranche A was available on a delayed-draw basis during the construction period and amortizes with 18 consecutive quarterly installments each in the sum of \$2.4 million and a balloon payment of \$52.3 million, the first installment falling due on October 27, 2009. Amended Freedom Term Loan Tranche B amortizes with 18 consecutive quarterly installments each in the sum of \$2.3 million and a balloon payment of \$3.4 million, the first installment falling due on September 30, 2009.

The interest rate for Freedom Term Loan Tranche A was LIBOR plus 3.5% thru delivery of the Offshore Freedom and reduced to LIBOR plus 3.0% on April 27, 2009. The interest rate for Amended Freedom Term Loan Tranche B is LIBOR plus 4.5%. A success fee in the form of 1 million Scorpion shares with a strike price of NOK 25.00 exercisable until December 31, 2011 is also applicable. See Note 9 for additional information regarding this instrument. A commitment fee is due on the unutilized portion of the Amended Freedom Term Loan Facilities from the closing date calculated at a rate of 30% of the aforementioned margin.

The Amended Freedom Term Loan Facilities are secured by first priority mortgages on the Offshore Freedom, assignments of earnings and insurances, including term contracts, and a stock pledge on Scorpion Freedom Ltd and a second priority mortgage on the Offshore Intrepid, assignments of earnings and insurances, including term contracts of the Offshore Intrepid.

The Amended Freedom Term Loan Facilities contain usual and customary covenants for facilities of this nature. Included in the covenants are financial measures around leverage, minimum cash balances, interest coverage and collateral maintenance.

## Future Maturities

Future maturities of long-term debt were as follows at June 30, 2009 (in millions), taking into effect the August 5, 2009 amendment to the Intrepid Term Loan:

Year Ending June 30,	Amount
2010	\$63.4
2011	48.1
2012	49.4
2013	43.7
2014	478.1
Thereafter	—
Total	\$682.7

## 9. Derivatives and Financial Instruments

### Interest Rate Swaps

Scorpion is subject to the risk of variability in interest payments on its floating rate debt and therefore, in some debt agreements is required to enter into interest rate swaps. The Company will periodically enter into derivative instruments to manage the exposure to fluctuations in interest rates. Scorpion does not engage in derivative transactions for speculative or trading purposes, nor is the Company a party to leveraged derivatives.

For swaps which are designated as a hedge as defined by SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities the hedge effectiveness is measured quarterly based on the relative cumulative changes in fair value between derivative contracts and the hedge item over time. Any change in fair value resulting from ineffectiveness is recognized immediately into earnings. We did not recognize any gain or loss due to hedge ineffectiveness in our Consolidated Statements of Income during the

B-16

---

years ended June 30, 2009, 2008 or 2007 related to derivative instruments. Any change in fair value of the interest rate swaps, not related to hedge ineffectiveness, was recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). The Company included the changes in the fair value of the interest rate swaps of (\$1.0 million) and (\$0.2 million) in our consolidated statements of operations for 2009 and 2008, respectively. No changes in fair value of interest rate swaps were recorded for the year ended June 30, 2007. The fair market value of those derivative instruments totaled \$1.2 million with \$0.7 million and \$1.9 million recorded in other assets and accrued liabilities, respectively, depending on the expected settlement value and timing.

As of June 30, 2009, one interest rate swap has not been designated as a hedging instrument by the Company as defined by SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. Accordingly, the changes in fair value of the interest rate swap will be recorded in earnings. The Company included the changes in the fair value of the interest rate swap of \$0.3 million, (\$7.1 million) and (\$0.2 million) in our consolidated statements of operations for 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The fair value of the interest rate swap at June 30, 2009 was \$4.4 million and is recorded in accrued liabilities.

Through June 30, 2009, the Company has made net payments of \$6.6 million under the interest rate swaps.

#### Equity Derivative

In connection with the Freedom Term Loan Facilities, the Company entered into a letter of agreement (Equity Derivative) with Bayerische Hypo-und Vereinsbank AG, the lead arranger of the aforementioned borrowing, to pay them a fee based upon the Company's future equity share prices. Specifically, Bayerische Hypo-und Vereinsbank AG has the right to receive a cash payment calculated by multiplying up to 1 million equity shares by the market price of the Company's equity shares on the "exercise date" less the exercise price per share of 25.00 NOK. The exercise dates are semi annually ending December 2011.

The fair value of the Equity Derivative at the date of the borrowing was \$781,000 and has been deferred as debt issue costs and is amortized into interest expense over the term of Freedom Term Loan Tranche A. In addition, the Equity Derivative meets the definition of a derivative under SFAS 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, and accordingly, was initially recorded at its fair value, with subsequent changes in the fair value recognized in earnings. The fair value of the Equity Derivative at June 30, 2009 was \$1.3 million and is recorded as other long-term liabilities.

#### Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The following table presents the carrying amount and estimated fair value of our financial instruments at June 30, 2009, recognized at fair value on a recurring basis (in thousands):

	Carrying Amount	Estimated Fair Value Measures		
		Quoted Prices in Active Market (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Derivative Instruments:				
Interest rate swaps	\$ (5,546 )	\$ —	\$ (5,564 )	\$ —
Equity Derivative	\$ (1,270 )	\$ —	\$ (1,270 )	\$ —

The equity derivative and interest rate swap instruments have been valued by an independent third party using a combined income and market based valuation methodology based on forward exchange curves and credit.

The valuation model for the equity derivative uses key inputs such as the current stock price, historical volatility, strike price, risk free rate, credit adjustment, USD-NOK exchange rate, and the early exercise dates. The current stock price is obtained directly from Bloomberg. The historical volatility of the stock price is calculated using a time series of stock prices historically equal to the greater of 500 datapoints or time to expiration. The strike price and early exercise dates come directly from the confirmation. The risk free rate is based on the point on the zero coupon LIBOR curve based on the time to expiration. The credit adjustment is the 5 year Credit Default Swap for Scorpion as used for the interest rate swap derivative valuation. The exchange rate is the current exchange rate from Bloomberg. The model calculates a set of potential stock prices on each day based on potential up and down moves attributable from the volatility. At the early exercise dates, the outcomes are calculated for potential early exercise. The potential outcomes are discounted and averaged back to the valuation date to value the equity derivative.

B-17

---



The valuation model for the interest rate swaps uses various key inputs such as deal terms, prices, LIBOR curves and volatilities. Deal terms and prices were gathered directly from the contracts with the counterparties. The LIBOR curves were obtained directly from Bloomberg and the volatilities were calculated based on historical observations of applicable LIBOR interest rates retrieved from Bloomberg. Risk of non performance associated with the derivative asset or liability is quantified and measured by using credit default spreads for the Company and its counterparty, retrieved directly from Bloomberg, to credit adjust the fair value of the asset or liability.

Our cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable and accounts payable are by their nature short-term. As a result, the carrying value included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets approximate fair value.

#### 10. Stock Incentive Plan

The Company has a stock incentive plan (the Plan) which provides for the granting of restricted stock to key employees and directors. Employee shares generally vest in thirds over a three year period and director shares vest over a two year period. These shares are subject to acceleration of the vesting periods in the event of a change of control of the Company or the termination of the recipient's employment with the Company at the convenience of the Company. On May 19, 2009, the board of directors increased the number of Plan shares by 3.4 million, and on June 30, 2009, the Plan has 3,459,405 shares available for future issuance.

The following table summarizes the activity of our restricted stock plan:

	Shares
Non-vested restricted stock:	
Outstanding as of June 30, 2008	1,626,701
Granted	643,607
Vested	770,784
Forfeitures	11,350
Outstanding as of June 30, 2009	1,488,174
Restricted stock vested as of June 30, 2009	1,452,417

Fair value of restricted stock at date of grant (in millions) for the years ended:

2009	\$8.7
2008	1.9
2007	\$8.8

As Scorpion is currently operating six Rigs and constructing one Rig, the compensation expense of certain employees dedicated to mobilization of the operating rigs is deferred and certain employees dedicated to the construction projects is capitalized each quarter.

The following table summarizes the shared based compensation cost (in thousands) for the years ended June 30:

	2009	2008	2007
Deferred mobilization	\$ 1,117	\$ 555	\$ 51
Capitalized in CIP	3,206	3,679	2,707
Expensed	5,736	2,489	2,843
Total	\$ 10,059	\$ 6,723	\$ 5,601

For employees of Scorpion Offshore, Inc., the Company recognizes a tax benefit for the deduction of restricted stock at the time the compensation is included as U.S. taxable income to each employee, which is based on vesting. For the year ended June 30, 2009 the Company recognized a deduction for stock compensation of \$4.5 million for the tax period. No stock compensation deduction was recognized for the periods ended June 30, 2008 and 2007.

#### 11. Income Taxes

Scorpion and the majority of its subsidiaries are Bermuda companies. Bermuda does not impose corporate income taxes. Consequently, income taxes have been provided based on the laws and rates in effect in the countries in which operations are conducted, or in which Scorpion and/or its subsidiaries are considered resident for income tax purposes. Scorpion's U.S. subsidiaries are subject to a U.S. corporate tax rate of 34 percent.

B-18

---

The components of income (loss) before income taxes were as follows (in thousands):

	2009	2008
United States	\$(624 )	\$669
Non-United States	(29,182 )	17,586
Total income (loss) before income taxes	\$(29,806 )	\$18,255

Scorpion recognizes deferred tax assets and liabilities for the anticipated future tax effects of temporary differences between the financial statement basis and the tax basis of our assets and liabilities at the applicable tax rates in effect. The significant components of deferred tax assets and liabilities are as follows (in thousands):

	2009	2008
Deferred tax assets:		
United States		
Deferred stock compensation	\$2,442	\$1,234
Depreciation	—	10
Accrued expense and other	334	72
Non-United States		
Deferred mobilization revenue	3,335	—
Other	602	—
Net deferred tax assets	\$6,713	\$1,316

	2009	2008
Deferred tax liabilities:		
United States		
Depreciation	\$(2 )	\$—
Non-United States		
Deferred mobilization revenue	(413 )	—
Net deferred tax liabilities	\$(415 )	\$—

The income tax provision consisted of the following (in thousands):

	2009	2008	2007
Current	\$7,253	\$3,758	\$441
Deferred-United States	(1,458 )	(1,073 )	(186 )
Deferred-Non-United States	(3,524 )	—	—
Total income tax provision	\$2,271	\$2,685	\$255

A reconciliation of statutory and effective tax rates is shown below:

	2009	2008	2007
Statutory rate	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
Effect of:			
U.S. rate different than Bermuda rate	0.7	1.2	(1.5 )
International rates different than Bermuda rate	(5.0 )	10.9	—
Permanent differences and other	(3.3 )	2.5	(1.6 )
Total income tax provision	(7.6 )%	14.6 %	(3.1 )%

The Company does not have any unrecognized tax benefits or any interest expense or penalties on unrecognized tax benefits as of June 30, 2009. The Company currently does not anticipate a significant increase in unrecognized tax benefits during the next 12 months.

If the Company recognizes interest expense or penalties on future unrecognized tax benefits, it will classify such interest and penalties as income tax expense. The Company and certain of its subsidiaries file income tax returns in the United States, and various state and foreign jurisdictions. The Company's tax returns for 2005, 2006, 2007 and 2008 remain open for examination by the taxing authorities in the respective jurisdictions where those returns were filed.

Deferred income taxes have not been provided on approximately \$7.7 million of undistributed earnings of Scorpion's U.S. subsidiaries. Scorpion considers such earnings to be permanently reinvested in the U.S. At June 30, 2009, the amount of deferred income taxes associated with these unremitted earnings totaled \$2.3 million.

## 12. Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Comprehensive income (loss) includes all changes in equity during the period except those resulting from investments by or distributions to owners. The components of comprehensive income are as follows (in thousands):

	2009	2008	2007
Net income (loss)	\$(32,077 )	\$15,570	\$(8,403 )
Foreign currency translation	105	(54 )	—
Unrealized gain (loss) on interest rate swap	(1,002 )	(179 )	—
Total comprehensive income (loss)	\$(32,974 )	\$15,337	\$(8,403 )

## 13. Related Party Transaction

On June 11, 2009, the Company's two largest shareholders, Seadrill Limited and Mr. Christen Sveaas, as sole owner of Kistefos AS, guaranteed a \$52.0 million short-term loan for Scorpion. In return for providing these guarantees, the guarantors will be paid a fee of 8.5% or \$4.4 million. This fee will be deferred and amortized over the life of the loan, which matures on December 10, 2009.

## 14. Employment Contracts

The Company has employment contracts with its senior officers including its Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and all Vice Presidents. These agreements automatically renew for one year periods.

If the Company terminates the officer's employment agreement at any time during the term without cause, the officer is entitled to receive compensation provided under the agreement as follows:

- a) Any portion of the annual salary, any success fee bonus and any incentive bonus that shall have been earned by the officer prior to the termination, but not yet paid;
- b) An amount equal to the officer's annual salary in effect at the date of employment; and
- c) The prorated success fee bonus applicable to each Rig scheduled for delivery prior to April 1, 2009, which is delivered after such termination without cause, within sixty days after delivery of such Rig.

In the event the officer's employment is terminated within two years after a change of control, the Company shall pay the officer the following:

- a) Three times the officer's annual salary; and
- b) The maximum amount of the success fee bonus for all Rigs constructed and under construction which has been or is scheduled to be delivered prior to April 1, 2009 assuming that each of these Rigs was or will be delivered on time and within the budgeted amount.

#### 15. Director Compensation

The Compensation Committee of the Company's Board of Directors sets the level of compensation of the Directors. Each non-executive Director is paid a retainer of \$50,000 per annum, of which a maximum of \$25,000 is payable in cash and the balance is paid in shares of Company stock. The Chairman and the committee chairs receive an additional \$10,000 per annum payable in cash, shares or a combination of the two at the discretion of the recipient. The number of shares payable to a director is either determined using the closing price on the first day of the fiscal year or the weighted average for the last 10 trading days of the quarter being compensated. Director compensation accrues daily but is payable quarterly in arrears. All reasonable meeting and travel expenses will be reimbursed by the Company. In addition, effective August 16, 2007, the Compensation Committee of the Company's Board of Directors adopted an Annual Director's Long Term Incentive Fee (Incentive Fee). This Incentive Fee will be an annual award of \$50,000 payable in restricted shares of Scorpion which will vest over a two year period.

Compensation expense for the board of directors for the years ended 2009, 2008 and 2007 was \$386,000, \$400,000 and \$320,000, respectively.

## 16. Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)

Unaudited quarterly consolidated financial information for the years ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 is as follows:

	Quarter Ended			
	Sept. 30	Dec. 31(1)	March 31	June 30(1)
2009				
Total revenues	\$48,770	\$51,099	\$67,841	\$80,832
Operating income (loss)	22,385	(57,579 )	24,703	10,477
Net income (loss)	16,974	(64,757 )	15,227	479
Net income (loss) per share:				
Basic	\$0.29	\$(1.11 )	\$0.23	\$.11
Diluted	0.28	(1.11 )	0.22	.13

(1) Operating income (loss) in quarters ended December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2009 include impairment charges of \$74.7 million related to the termination of constructing a semisubmersible and \$19.4 million related to the asset held for sale Offshore Mischief, respectively. See Note 1.

	Quarter Ended			
	Sept. 30	Dec. 31	March 31	June 30
2008				
Total revenues	\$5,127	\$25,005	\$28,480	\$32,591
Operating income (loss)	5,900	11,013	14,163	1,831
Net income (loss)	(7,777 )	5,625	7,400	10,322
Net income (loss) per share(1):				
Basic	\$(0.15 )	\$0.11	\$0.14	\$0.20
Diluted	(0.15 )	0.11	0.14	0.19

## 17. Geographical and Customer Data

The following table presents revenues and identifiable assets by country based on the location of the service provided or location of the asset (in thousands):

	Revenues		Identifiable Assets	
	Year Ended June 30		As of June 30	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
United States(1)	\$—	\$—	\$469	\$268,656
Non-United States:				
Bermuda	—	—	—	—
Brazil	68,454	20,902	158,678	165,502
India	78	53,414	—	—
Malaysia	28,250	—	133,528	—
Oman	26,200	16,887	—	136,233
Saudi Arabia	10,537	—	367,797	—
Singapore	—	—	—	292
United Arab Emirates	—	—	—	83,468
Venezuela	48,835	—	161,661	—
Vietnam	66,188	—	151,073	155,647

Total non-United States	248,542	91,203	972,738	541,142
Total	\$248,542	\$91,203	\$973,207	\$809,798

---

(1) United States consist of asset values for newbuild rigs under construction in shipyards within the United States.

B-20

---

Our significant customers and their percentage of total revenue for the years ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 were as follows:

	2009		2008	
Cairn	—		58	%
Petrobras	28	%	23	%
Thang Long	27	%	—	
Gazprom	20	%		
Shell	11	%	—	
RAK	11	%	19	%

## 18. Subsequent Events

### Convertible Secured Loan Repayment

On February 14, 2010, Scorpion Rigs Ltd. was provided a notice of default from 21st Century Group Holdings Limited regarding breach of the loan agreement. Scorpion believed it was in full compliance with the loan agreement. The loan was repaid on February 28, 2010, using financing secured from Seadrill Limited, and included loan principal, accrued interest and a redemption premium of \$5 million.

### Seadrill Financing

On November 9, 2009, Seadrill Limited made a \$27.7 million loan to Scorpion. On December 9, 2009, this outstanding loan was combined with an additional loan from Seadrill Limited pursuant to an aggregate \$79.7 million promissory note. The proceeds were used to refinance amounts due under a short-term loan facility and to pay a \$26.1 million construction payment due under the construction contract for the Offshore Mischief and interest. On June 9, 2010, Scorpion must repay the outstanding loan amounts, plus accrued interest at a rate of 16.0% per year.

On February 25, 2010, Seadrill Limited made an additional loan to Scorpion totaling \$49.5 million, with an interest rate of 12% per annum and a maturity date of April 24, 2010. The proceeds were used to repay amounts due under the Convertible Secured Loan.

### Asset Held for Sale

In the fourth quarter of 2009, debt financing became available to Scorpion. As a result, at December 31, 2009, the Company's seventh rig, the Offshore Mischief, was no longer actively marketed for sale and therefore no longer met the requirements under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("U.S. GAAP") for sale, and it will be classified as a fixed asset in the Company's consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2009. Scorpion is currently preparing the rig to begin operations once construction is complete, and Scorpion recently signed a letter of intent with an affiliate of a major U.S. independent for a three well contract that is expected to have a duration of 165 to 240 days (with an option for up to an estimated 240 additional days). Scorpion expects the contract to begin on or before July 1, 2010 and for the initial contract to have estimated revenues of between \$24 million and \$33 million. This agreement remains subject to the negotiation of a definitive contract and other customary conditions.



SIGNATURES

The registrant hereby certifies that it meets all of the requirements for filing on Form 20-F and that it has duly caused and authorized the undersigned to sign this registration statement on its behalf.

Seadrill Limited  
(Registrant)

Date: March 18, 2010

By: /s/ Trond Brandsrud  
Name: Trond Brandsrud  
Title: Principal Financial Officer

S-1

---

