BCB BANCORP INC Form 10-Q May 17, 2010

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

#### FORM 10-Q

/ T 1	<b>^</b>	
(Mark	()ne	١
(IVIAIIX	One	,

T QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2010.

Or

Oi	
o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 O 1934	R 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF
For the transition period from to	
Commission File Number: 0-50275	
BCB Bancor	rp, Inc.
(Exact name of registrant as	specified in its charter)
New Jersey	26-0065262
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	(IRS Employer I.D. No.)
104-110 Avenue C Bayonne, New Jersey	07002
(Address of principal executive offices)	(Zip Code)
(201) 823-0	0700
(Registrant's telephone numb	er, including area code)
(Former name, former address and former fi	iscal year if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

T Yes o No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and larger accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large Accelerated Filer o Accelerated Filer o Non-Accelerated Filer o Smaller Reporting Company

T

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). o Yes  $\,$  T No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

o Yes o No

#### APPLICABLE ONLY TO CORPORATE ISSUERS:

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest pradate. As of May 14, 2010, BCB Bancorp, Inc., had 4,663,756 shares of common stock, no par value, outstanding					

## BCB BANCORP INC, AND SUBSIDIARIES

## INDEX

PART I	CONSOLIDATED FINANC	TAL INFORMATION	Page
	Item 1	Consolidated Financial Statements	
		ments of Financial Condition as of 1 December 31, 2009 (unaudited)	1
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ments of Income for the three months 010 and March 31, 2009 (unaudited)	2
		ment of Changes in Stockholders' Equity s ended March 31, 2010 (unaudited)	3
		ments of Cash Flow for the three months 10 and March 31, 2009 (unaudited)	4
	Notes to Unaudited	Consolidated Financial Statements	5
	Item 2	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	16
	Item 3	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	21
	Item 4T	Controls and Procedures	23
PART II O	THER INFORMATION		24
	Item 1	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	
	Item 1A	Risk Factors	
	Item 2	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	
	Item 3	<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	
	Item 4	Removed and Reserved	
	Item 5	Other Information	
	Item 6	<u>Exhibits</u>	

## <u>Index</u>

## PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION ITEM I. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## BCB BANCORP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition at March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009
(Unaudited)

(in thousands except for share data )

	At 31-Mar-10	At 31-Dec-09
ASSETS		
Cash and amounts due from depository institutions	\$2,877	\$3,587
Interest-earning deposits	88,065	63,760
Total cash and cash equivalents	90,942	67,347
Securities available for sale	1,430	1,346
Securities held to maturity, fair value \$131,578 and \$133,050		
respectively	129,671	132,644
Loans held for sale	3,966	4,275
Loans receivable, net of allowance for loan losses of \$6,660 and		
\$6,644 respectively	391,237	401,872
Premises and equipment	5,292	5,359
Federal Home Loan Bank of New York stock	5,715	5,714
Interest receivable, net	4,084	3,799
Other real estate owned	1,957	1,270
Deferred income taxes	3,591	3,618
Other assets	3,853	4,259
Total assets	\$641,738	\$631,503
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
LIABILITIES		
Non-interest bearing deposits	\$38,733	\$37,082
Interest bearing deposits	435,490	426,656
Total deposits	474,223	463,738
Long-term Debt	114,124	114,124
Other Liabilities	1,762	2,250
Total Liabilities	590,109	580,112
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Common stock, stated value \$0.064; 20,000,000 shares authorized;		
5,201,502 and 5,195,658 shares, respectively, issued; 4,663,287 and		
4,657,906 shares, respectively, outstanding	332	332
Additional paid-in capital	46,957	46,926
Treasury stock, at cost, 538,215 and 537,752 shares,	,	,
respectively	(8,722	(8,719)

Edgar Filing: BCB BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Retained Earnings	12,998	12,839
Accumulated other comprehensive income	64	13
Total stockholders' equity	51,629	51,391
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$641,738	\$631,503

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

## <u>Index</u>

#### BCB BANCORP INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

Consolidated Statements of Income For the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009 (Unaudited)

( in thousands except for per share data)

	Ma	onths Ended rch 31,
	2010	2009
Interest income:		
Loans	\$6,437	\$6,889
Securities	1,504	1,980
Other interest-earning assets	19	4
Total interest income	7,960	8,873
	,	,
Interest expense:		
Deposits:		
Demand	212	198
Savings and club	272	297
Certificates of deposit	1,513	2,221
•	1,997	2,716
Borrowed money	1,221	1,236
Total interest expense	3,218	3,952
Net interest income	4,742	4,921
Provision for loan losses	450	350
Net interest income, after provision for loan losses	4,292	4,571
Non-interest income:	4.60	120
Fees and service charges	160	130
Gain on sales of loans originated for sale	72	42
Other	9	9
Total non-interest income	241	181
Non-interest expense:	1.265	1 222
Salaries and employee benefits	1,367	1,323
Occupancy expense of premises	287	264
Equipment	554	515
Professional Fees	132	83
Director Fees	106	65
Regulatory Assessments	173	73
Advertising	67	47
Merger related expenses	200	-
Other	383	216

Edgar Filing: BCB BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Total non-interest expense	3,269	2,586
Income before income tax provision	1,264	2,166
Income tax provision	546	803
Net Income	\$718	\$1,363
Net Income per common share		
basic	\$0.15	\$0.29
diluted	\$0.15	\$0.29
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding-		
basic	4,662	4,649
diluted	4,678	4,678

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

## <u>Index</u>

#### BCB BANCORP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity For the Three months ended March 31, 2010 (Unaudited)

(in thousands except for share data)

	Common Stock	Additional Paid-In Capital	Treasury Stock	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Total	
Balance, December 31, 2009	\$ 332	\$ 46,926	\$(8,719	) \$12,839	\$ 13	\$51,391	
Exercise of Stock Options (5,844 shares)		31	-	-	_	31	
Treasury Stock Purchases (463 shares)	-	-	(3	) -	-	(3	)
Cash dividend (\$0.12 per share) declared	-	-	-	(559	) -	(559	)
Net income for the three months ended							
March 31, 2010	-	-	-	718	-	718	
Unrealized gain (loss) on securities,							
available for sale, net of deferred income tax of \$33	-	-	-	-	51	51	
Total Comprehensive income	-	-	-	-	-	769	
Balance, March 31, 2010	\$ 332	\$ 46,957	\$(8,722	) \$12,998	\$ 64	\$51,629	

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

## <u>Index</u>

## BCB BANCORP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
For the three months ended
March 31, 2010 and 2009
(Unaudited)
(in thousands)

	Three Months Ende March 31,		31,	
	2010		2009	
Cash flows from operating activities :	Φ.7.1.0		Ф1 262	
Net Income	\$718		\$1,363	
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided				
by operating activities:	0.2		0.1	
Depreciation	93		91	``
Amortization and accretion, net	264		(115	)
Provision for loan losses	450	`	350	
Deferred income tax	(7	)	(198	)
Loans originated for sale	(6,425	)	(3,603	)
Proceeds from sale of loans originated for sale	6,806		2,958	
(Gain) on sale of loans originated for sale	(72	)	(42	)
(Increase) Decrease in interest receivable	(285	)	415	
Decrease in other assets	406		312	
Decrease in accrued interest payable	(45	)	(19	)
(Decrease)Increase in other liabilities	(443	)	218	
Net cash provided by operating activities	1,460		1,730	
Cash flows from investing activities:				
Redemption (Purchase) of FHLB stock	(1	)	90	
Proceeds from calls of securities held to maturity	22,700		8,500	
Purchases of securities held to maturity	(22,411	)	-	
Proceeds from repayments on securities held to maturity	2,384		2,110	
Proceeds from sale of real estate owned	263		-	
Net decrease(increase) in loans receivable	9,285		5,495	
Improvements to other real estate owned	(13	)	-	
Additions to premises and equipment	(26	)	(22	)
•				
Net cash provided by investing activities	12,181		16,173	
,				
Cash flows from financing activities:				
Net increase in deposits	10,485		20,521	
Net change in short-term debt	-		(2,000	)
Purchases of treasury stock	(3	)	(25	)
Cash dividend paid	(559	)	(558	)
Exercise of stock options	31		3	
T. C.				
Net cash provided by financing activities	9,954		17,941	

Edgar Filing: BCB BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	23,595	35,844
Cash and cash equivalents-beginning	67,347	6,761
Cash and cash equivalents-ending	\$90,942	\$42,605
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:		
Cash paid during the year for:		
Income taxes	\$2	\$35
Interest	\$3,263	\$3,971
Transfer of loans to Real Estate Owned	\$936	-

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

**Index** 

## BCB Bancorp Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

#### Note 1 – Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements include the accounts of BCB Bancorp, Inc. (the "Company") and the Company's wholly owned subsidiaries, BCB Community Bank (the "Bank") and BCB Holding Company Investment Company. The Company's business is conducted principally through the Bank. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Regulation S-X and, therefore, do not necessarily include all information that would be included in audited financial statements. The information furnished reflects all adjustments that are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair presentation of consolidated financial condition and results of operations. All such adjustments are of a normal recurring nature. The results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2010 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 or any other future interim period.

These unaudited consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Company's audited consolidated financial statements and related notes for the year ended December 31, 2009, which are included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

In preparing these consolidated financial statements, BCB Bancorp, Inc., evaluated the events and transactions that occurred between March 31, 2010, and the date these consolidated financial statements were issued.

#### Note 2 – Earnings Per Share

Basic net income per common share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding. The diluted net income per common share is computed by adjusting the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding to include the effects of outstanding stock options, if dilutive, using the treasury stock method.

#### **Index**

Note 3 – Securities Available for Sale

			Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses busands)	Fair Value
Equity Securities			\$1,324	\$106	\$-	\$1,430
			Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	r 31, 2009 Gross Unrealized Losses ousands)	Fair Value
Equity Securities			\$1,324	\$40	\$18	\$1,346
The age of unrealized losses and						
	Less than Fair	12 Months Unrealized		12 Months		otal
	Fair Value	Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
	varuc	Losses		ousands)	varuc	Losses
March 31, 2010			(=======			
Equity Securities	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
	Less than Fair Value	12 Months Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	n 12 Months Unrealized Losses ousands)	T Fair Value	otal Unrealized Losses
December 31, 2009						

At March 31, 2010, the Company did not have any unrealized losses in the securities available for sale portfolio. While unrealized losses did previously occur, management did not believe that any of those unrealized losses represented an other-than-temporary impairment as they were primarily related to market interest rates and not related to the underlying credit quality of the issuers of the securities. Additionally, the Company had the ability, and management had the intent, to hold such securities for the time necessary to recover their cost and did not have the intent to sell the securities, and it is more likely than not that it would not have to sell the securities before recovery of their cost.

\$982

\$18

\$982

\$18

\$-

6

**Equity Securities** 

\$-

## <u>Index</u>

Note 4 - Securities Held to Maturity

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses busands)	Fair Value
U.S. Government Agencies:				
Due after one through five years	\$3,315	\$242	\$-	\$3,557
Due after five years through ten years	508	_	-	508
Due after ten years	93,607	139	173	93,573
·				
	97,430	381	173	97,638
Residential mortgage-backed securities:				
Due within one year	\$234	\$-	\$3	\$231
Due after one year through five years	29	-	-	29
Due after five years through ten years	6,289	401	-	6,690
Due after ten years	25,689	1,317	16	26,990
	22.241	1 710	10	22.040
	32,241	1,718	19	33,940
	\$129,671	\$2,099	\$192	\$131,578
		December Gross	r 31, 2009 Gross	
	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Gains	Unrealized Losses busands)	Fair Value
U.S. Government Agencies:				
Due after one through five years	\$3,315	\$254	\$-	\$3,569
Due after five years through ten years	515	-	3	512
Due after ten years	94,193	11	1,397	92,807
	98,023	265	1,400	96,888
Residential mortgage-backed securities:				
Due within one year	\$346	\$-	\$2	\$344
Due after one year through five years	39	1	-	40
Due after five years through ten years	6,783	346	-	7,129
Due after ten years	27,453	1,217	21	28,649
	34,621	1,564	23	36,162

Edgar Filing:	<b>BCB</b>	<b>BANCORP</b>	INC -	Form	10-Q
Lagai i iii ig.					

	\$1:	32,644	\$1,829	\$1,423	\$133,050
7					

#### Index

The amortized cost and carrying values shown above are by contractual final maturity. Actual maturities will differ from contractual final maturities due to scheduled monthly payments related to mortgage—backed securities and due to the borrowers having the right to prepay obligations with or without prepayment penalties. At March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, all residential mortgage backed securities held in the portfolio were Government Sponsored Enterprise securities.

There were no sales of securities during the three months ended March 31, 2010.

The age of unrealized losses and fair value of related securities held to maturity were as follows:

	Less than 12 Months		More than	12 Months	Total		
	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized	
	Value	Losses	Value	Losses	Value	Losses	
March 21, 2010			(In The	ousands)			
March 31, 2010 U.S. Government							
Agencies	\$14,525	\$173	\$-	\$-	\$14,525	\$173	
Residential mortgage-							
backed securities	\$1,369	\$19	\$-	\$-	\$1,369	\$19	
	\$15,894	\$192	\$-	\$-	\$15,894	\$192	
	Less than	12 Months	More than	12 Months	Т	'otal	
	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized	
	Value	Losses	Value	Losses	Value	Losses	
			(In The	ousands)			
December 31, 2009							
U.S. Government							
Agencies	\$82,466	\$1,400	\$-	<b>\$</b> -	\$82,466	\$1,400	
Residential mortgage-							
backed securities	A 1 100	Φ.Ο.Ο.	ф	Ф	¢ 1 402	<b>0.00</b>	
	\$1,483	\$23	\$-	\$-	\$1,483	\$23	

Management does not believe that any of the unrealized losses at March 31, 2010, (which are related to 9 U.S. Government Agency bonds and 2 Mortgage-backed securities) represent an other-than-temporary impairment as they are primarily related to market interest rates and not related to the underlying credit quality of the issuers of the securities as all these securities were issued by U.S. Agencies. Additionally, the Company has the ability, and management has the intent, to hold such securities for the time necessary to recover cost and does not have the intent to sell the securities, and it is more likely than not that it will not have to sell the securities before recovery of their cost.

#### Note 5 – Fair Values of Financial Instruments

Guidance on fair value measurements establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation methods used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities (Level 1

#### **Index**

measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are as follows:

Level 1: Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical, unrestricted assets or liabilities.

Level 2: Quoted prices in markets that are not active, or inputs that are observable either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.

Level 3: Prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both significant to the fair value measurement and unobservable (i.e. supported with little or no market activity).

An asset or liability's level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

The only assets or liabilities that the Company measured at fair value on a recurring basis were as follows (in thousands):

		(Level 1)	(Level 2)	
		Quoted Prices in	Significant	(Level 3)
		Active Markets	Other	Significant
		for Identical	Observable	Unobservable
Description	Total	Assets	Inputs	Inputs
As of March 31, 2010:				
Securities available for sale	\$1,430	\$ 1,430	\$-	\$ -
As of December 31, 2009:				
Securities available for sale	\$1,346	\$ 1,346	\$-	\$ -

The only assets or liabilities that the Company measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis were as follows (in thousands):

Description As of March 31, 2010:	Total	(Level 1) Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets	(Level 2) Significant Other Observable Inputs	(Level 3) Significant Unobservable Inputs
Impaired loans	\$8,702	\$ -	\$-	\$ 8,702
OREO	\$936	\$ -	\$-	\$ 936
As of December 31, 2009:				
Impaired Loans	\$5,657	\$ -	\$-	\$ 5,657

The following information should not be interpreted as an estimate of the fair value of the entire Company since a fair value calculation is only provided for a limited portion of the Company's assets and liabilities. Due to a wide range of valuation techniques and the degree of subjectivity

#### Index

used in making the estimates, comparisons between the Company's disclosures and those of other companies may not be meaningful. The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair values of the Company's financial instruments at March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

Cash and Cash Equivalents (Carried at Cost)

The carrying amounts reported in the consolidated statements of financial condition for cash and short-term instruments approximate those assets' fair values.

#### Securities

The fair value of securities available for sale (carried at fair value) and held to maturity (carried at amortized cost) are determined by obtaining quoted market prices on nationally recognized securities exchanges (Level 1), or matrix pricing (Level 2), which is a mathematical technique used widely in the industry to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted market prices for the specific securities but rather by relying on the securities' relationship to other benchmark quoted prices. For certain securities which are not traded in active markets and/or are subject to transfer restrictions, valuations are adjusted to reflect illiquidity and/or non-transferability, and such adjustments are generally based on available market evidence (Level 3). In the absence of such evidence, management's best estimate is used. Management's best estimate consists of both internal and external support on certain Level 3 investments. Internal cash flow models using a present value formula that includes assumptions market participants would use along with indicative exit pricing obtained from broker/dealers (where available) were used to support fair values of certain Level 3 investments.

Loans Held for Sale (Carried at Lower of Cost or Fair Value)

The fair value of loans held for sale is determined, when possible, using quoted secondary-market prices. If no such quoted prices exist, the fair value of a loan is determined using quoted prices for a similar loan or loans, adjusted for specific attributes of that loan. Loans held for sale are carried at their cost at March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

Loans Receivable (Carried at Cost)

The fair values of loans are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses, using market rates at the balance sheet date that reflect the credit and interest rate-risk inherent in the loans. Projected future cash flows are calculated based upon contractual maturity or call dates, projected repayments and prepayments of principal. Generally, for variable rate loans that reprice frequently and with no significant change in credit risk, fair values are based on carrying values.

Impaired Loans (Generally Carried at Fair Value)

A loan is impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that a creditor will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Impaired loans are measured based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, or as a practical expedient, at the

#### **Index**

loans observable market price or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. Fair value is generally determined based upon independent third-party appraisals of the properties, or discounted cash flows based upon the expected proceeds. These assets are included as Level 3 fair values, based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurements. The fair value consists of the loan balances of \$10,527,000 and \$7,030,000, net of a valuation allowance of \$1,825,000 and \$1,373,000 at March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

Other Real Estate Owned (Generally Carried at Fair Value)

Other Real Estate Owned is generally carried at fair value, whose value is determined based upon independent third-party appraisals of the properties, based upon the expected proceeds. These assets are included as Level 3 fair values, based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurements.

FHLB of New York Stock (Carried at Cost)

The carrying amount of restricted investment in bank stock approximates fair value, and considers the limited marketability of such securities.

Interest Receivable and Payable (Carried at Cost)

The carrying amount of interest receivable and interest payable approximates its fair value.

Deposits (Carried at Cost)

The fair values disclosed for demand deposits (e.g., interest and non-interest checking, passbook savings and money market accounts) are, by definition, equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date (i.e., their carrying amounts). Fair values for fixed-rate certificates of deposit are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies interest rates currently being offered in the market on certificates to a schedule of aggregated expected monthly maturities on time deposits.

Long-Term Debt (Carried at Cost)

Fair values of long-term debt are estimated using discounted cash flow analysis, based on quoted prices for new long-term debt with similar credit risk characteristics, terms and remaining maturity. These prices obtained from this active market represent a market value that is deemed to represent the transfer price if the liability were assumed by a third party.

Off-Balance Sheet Financial Instruments

Fair values for the Company's off-balance sheet financial instruments (lending commitments and unused lines of credit) are based on fees currently charged in the market to enter into similar agreements, taking into account, the remaining terms of the agreements and the counterparties' credit standing. The fair value of these commitments was deemed immaterial and is not presented in the accompanying table.

#### **Index**

The carrying values and estimated fair values of financial instruments were as follows at March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009:

March 31, 2010			nber 31, 009
Carrying		Carrying	
Value	Fair Value	Value	Fair Value
	(In Tho	ousands)	
\$90,942	\$90,942	\$67,347	\$67,347
1,430	1,430	1,346	1,346
129,671	131,578	132,644	133,050
3,966	4,006	4,275	4,275
391,237	393,591	401,872	404,399
5,715	5,715	5,714	5,714
4,084	4,084	3,799	3,799
474,223	476,963	463,738	467,371
114,124	129,730	114,124	136,099
802	802	847	847
	\$90,942 1,430 129,671 3,966 391,237 5,715 4,084 474,223	2010 Carrying Value Fair Value (In The  \$90,942 \$90,942 \$1,430 \$129,671 \$131,578 \$3,966 \$4,006 \$391,237 \$393,591 \$5,715 \$4,084 \$4,084  474,223 \$476,963 \$114,124 \$129,730	Carrying Value Fair Value (In Thousands)  \$90,942 \$90,942 \$67,347  1,430 1,430 1,346  129,671 131,578 132,644  3,966 4,006 4,275  391,237 393,591 401,872  5,715 5,715 5,714  4,084 4,084 3,799  474,223 476,963 463,738   114,124 129,730 114,124

#### Note 6 – Acquisition

On June 30, 2009, BCB Bancorp, Inc., the parent company of BCB Community Bank, and Pamrapo Bancorp, Inc., ("Pamrapo"), the parent company of Pamrapo Savings Bank, S.L.A., jointly announced the signing of an Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of June 29, 2009 (the "Merger Agreement") pursuant to which Pamrapo will merge with and into the Company. Pamrapo Savings Bank, S.L.A., ("Pamrapo Bank"), a New Jersey-chartered stock savings and loan association and a wholly-owned subsidiary of Pamrapo, and BCB Community Bank, will also enter into a subsidiary agreement and plan of merger that provides for the merger of Pamrapo Bank with and into BCB Community Bank, with BCB Community Bank as the surviving institution.

Pursuant to the terms of the Merger Agreement, shareholders of Pamrapo will receive 1.0 share of Company common stock for each share of Pamrapo common stock. In addition, all outstanding unexercised options to purchase Pamrapo common stock will be converted into options to purchase Company common stock.

On December 17, 2009, at a special meeting of stockholders, the stockholders of BCB Bancorp, Inc., approved the adoption of the Agreement and Plan of Merger, as amended, by and between the Company and Pamrapo Bancorp Inc. In addition, at the special meeting of stockholders, the Company approved an amendment to the Company's certificate of incorporation to increase the authorized shares of the Company's common stock to 20 million shares.

#### Index

On February 11, 2010, at a special meeting of stockholders, the stockholders of Pamrapo Bancorp, Inc., approved the adoption of the Agreement and Plan of Merger, as amended, by and between Pamrapo Bancorp Inc., and BCB Bancorp, Inc.

The transaction has received regulatory approval and is expected to close by the end of the second quarter of 2010, pending the satisfaction of customary closing conditions. In the event the merger agreement is terminated, neither the Company nor Pamrapo will have any liability under the merger agreement, except that designated provisions of the merger agreement, including the payment of expenses and a termination fee, will survive termination. Under the terms of the merger agreement, each party must pay to the other a termination fee of \$2.5 million under certain circumstances, the description of which has been disclosed in the Form S-4 Registration Statement previously filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

#### Note 7 – New Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2009, the FASB issued guidance on accounting for transfers of financial assets. This guidance prescribes the information that a reporting entity must provide in its financial reports about a transfer of financial assets; the effects of a transfer on its financial position, financial performance and cash flows; and a transferor's continuing involvement in transferred financial assets. Specifically, among other aspects, this guidance amends accounting for transfers and servicing of financial assets and extinguishments of liabilities, by removing the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity and removes the exception from applying guidance on the variable interest entities that are qualifying special-purpose entities. It also modifies the financial-components approach and is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2009. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued guidance on the consolidation of variable interest entities to require an enterprise to determine whether it's variable interest or interests give it a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity. The primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity is the enterprise that has both (1) the power to direct the activities of a variable interest entity that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance and (2) the obligation to absorb losses of the entity that could potentially be significant to the variable interest entity or the right to receive benefits from the entity that could potentially be significant to the variable interest entity. This guidance also requires ongoing reassessments of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity. This guidance is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2009. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In October 2009, the FASB issued ASU 2009-15, Accounting for Own-Share Lending Arrangements in Contemplation of Convertible Debt Issuance or Other Financing. The ASU amends ASC Topic 470 and provides guidance for accounting and reporting for own-share lending arrangements issued in contemplation of a convertible debt issuance. At the date of issuance, a share-lending arrangement entered into on an entity's own shares should be measured at fair value in accordance with Topic 820 and recognized as an issuance cost, with an offset to additional paid-in capital. Loaned shares are excluded from basic and diluted earnings per share unless default of the share-lending arrangement occurs. The amendments also require several

#### Index

disclosures including a description and the terms of the arrangement and the reason for entering into the arrangement. The effective dates of the amendments are dependent upon the date the share-lending arrangement was entered into and include retrospective application for arrangements outstanding as of the beginning of fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2009. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In October 2009, the FASB issued ASU 2009-16, Transfers and Servicing (Topic 860) - Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets. This Update amends the Codification for the issuance of FASB Statement No. 166, Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets-an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140. The amendments in this Update improve financial reporting by eliminating the exceptions for qualifying special-purpose entities from the consolidation guidance and the exception that permitted sale accounting for certain mortgage securitizations when a transferor has not surrendered control over the transferred financial assets. In addition, the amendments require enhanced disclosures about the risks that a transferor continues to be exposed to because of its continuing involvement in transferred financial assets. Comparability and consistency in accounting for transferred financial assets will also be improved through clarifications of the requirements for isolation and limitations on portions of financial assets that are eligible for sale accounting. This Update is effective at the start of a reporting entity's first fiscal year beginning after November 15, 2009. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In October 2009, the FASB issued ASU 2009-17, Consolidations (Topic 810) - Improvements to Financial Reporting by Enterprises Involved with Variable Interest Entities. This Update amends the Codification for the issuance of FASB Statement No. 167, Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R). The amendments in this Update replace the quantitative-based risks and rewards calculation for determining which reporting entity, if any, has a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity with an approach focused on identifying which reporting entity has the power to direct the activities of a variable interest entity that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance and (1) the obligation to absorb losses of the entity or (2) the right to receive benefits from the entity. An approach that is expected to be primarily qualitative will be more effective for identifying which reporting entity has a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity. The amendments in this Update also require additional disclosures about a reporting entity's involvement in variable interest entities, which will enhance the information provided to users of financial statements. This Update is effective at the start of a reporting entity's first fiscal year beginning after November 15, 2009. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In January 2010, The FASB has issued ASU 2010-06, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (Topic 820): Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements. This ASU requires some new disclosures and clarifies some existing disclosure requirements about fair value measurement as set forth in Codification Subtopic 820-10. The FASB's objective is to improve these disclosures and, thus, increase the transparency in financial reporting. Specifically, ASU 2010-06 amends Codification Subtopic 820-10 to now require that a reporting entity disclose separately the amounts of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and describe the reasons for the transfers; and present separately information

#### **Index**

about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements in the reconciliation for fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs. In addition, ASU 2010-06 clarifies the requirements of the following existing disclosures:

- oFor purposes of reporting fair value measurement for each class of assets and liabilities, a reporting entity needs to use judgment in determining the appropriate classes of assets and liabilities; and
- o A reporting entity should provide disclosures about the valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value for both recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements.

ASU 2010-06 is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for the disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements in the roll forward of activity in Level 3 fair value measurements. Those disclosures are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010, and for interim periods within those fiscal years. Early adoption is permitted. The adoption of the applicable provisions of this pronouncement did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements. The Company is currently evaluating the potential impact the new pronouncement will have on the consolidated financial statements for those disclosures that go into effect during fiscal 2011.

**Index** 

ITEM 2.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

#### **Financial Condition**

Total assets increased by \$10.2 million or 1.6% to \$641.7 million at March 31, 2010 from \$631.5 million at December 31, 2009. The Bank continued to grow assets, funded primarily through cash flow provided by retail deposit growth. During the first quarter the Company's balance of interest earning assets increased primarily as a result of an increase in cash and cash equivalents, partially offset by a decrease in loans receivable and a decrease in investment securities classified as held-to-maturity. Asset growth stabilized as management is concentrating on controlled balance sheet growth and maintaining adequate liquidity in the anticipation of funding loans in the loan pipeline as well as seeking opportunities in the secondary market that provide reasonable returns absent higher than acceptable levels of risk. During the first quarter, the composition of the Bank's assets has shifted to cash and cash equivalents reflecting management's desire to maintain higher than usual liquid investments during the current recessionary and low interest rate period. This decision reflects the lower returns available to the Bank in the current economic environment versus the risk of aggressive lending or investment activity. We intend to continue to grow at a measured pace consistent with our capital levels and as business opportunities permit.

Total cash and cash equivalents increased by \$23.6 million or 35.1% to \$90.9 million at March 31, 2010 from \$67.3 million at December 31, 2009. Investment securities classified as held-to-maturity decreased by \$2.9 million or 2.2% to \$129.7 million at March 31, 2010 from \$132.6 million at December 31, 2009. This decrease was primarily attributable to call options exercised on \$22.7 million of callable agency securities during the three months ended March 31, 2010 and \$2.4 million in repayments and prepayments in the mortgage backed securities portfolio, partially offset by purchases of \$22.4 million in investment securities classified as held-to-maturity during the three months ended March 31, 2010. The excess proceeds were allocated to cash and cash equivalents in an effort to accumulate liquidity in anticipation of future loan closings or additional investment securities purchase opportunities.

Loans receivable decreased by \$10.7 million or 2.7% to \$391.2 million at March 31, 2010 from \$401.9 million at December 31, 2009. The decrease resulted primarily from a \$1.2 million decrease in real estate mortgages comprising residential, commercial, construction and participation loans with other financial institutions, net of amortization, a \$1.7 million decrease in consumer loans, net of amortization and an \$8.3 million decrease in commercial loans comprising business loans and commercial lines of credit, net of amortization, partially offset by a \$20,000 increase in the allowance for loan losses. The balance in the loan pipeline as of March 31, 2010 stood at \$13.2 million. At March 31, 2010, the allowance for loan losses was \$6.7 million or 50.24% of non-performing loans.

#### **Index**

Deposit liabilities increased by \$10.5 million or 2.3% to \$474.2 million at March 31, 2010 from \$463.7 million at December 31, 2009. The increase resulted primarily from an increase of \$9.5 million in transaction accounts and a \$2.9 million increase in savings and club accounts, partially offset by a \$1.9 million decrease in time deposit accounts. During the three months ended March 31, 2010, the Federal Open Market Committee, (FOMC) has continued its philosophy of keeping short term interest rates at historically low levels in an effort to lessen the recession in the American economy. This has resulted in a steepening of the yield curve, helping decrease short term time deposit account yields which in turn has had the effect of decreasing interest expense.

The balance of borrowed money remained constant at \$114.1 million for the periods ended March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009. The purpose of the borrowings reflects the use of long term Federal Home Loan Bank advances to augment retail deposits as the Bank's funding source for originating loans and investing in Government Sponsored Enterprise, (GSE) investment securities.

Stockholders' equity increased by \$238,000 or 0.5% to \$51.6 million at March 31, 2010 from \$51.4 million at December 31, 2009. The increase in stockholders' equity is attributable to net income of the Company for the three months ended March 31, 2010 of \$718,000, a \$51,000 increase in the market value of our available-for-sale securities portfolio, net of tax, and a \$31,000 increase resulting from the exercise of stock options totaling 5,844 shares, partially offset by the payment of a quarterly cash dividend totaling \$559,000 representing a \$0.12/share payment during the three months ended March 31, 2010 and \$3,000 paid to repurchase 463 shares of the Company's common stock. At March 31, 2010 the Bank's Tier 1, Tier 1 Risk-Based and Total Risk Based Capital Ratios were 8.68%, 13.76% and 14.96% respectively.

#### **Results of Operations**

Net income decreased by \$645,000 or 47.4% to \$718,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2010 from \$1.36 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009. The decrease in net income primarily reflects a decrease in net interest income, an increase in non-interest expense and an increase in the provision for loan losses, partially offset by an increase in non-interest income and a decrease in income taxes. Net interest income decreased by \$179,000 or 3.6% to \$4.74 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 from \$4.92 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009. This decrease resulted primarily from a decrease in average yield on interest earning assets to 5.13% for the three months ended March 31, 2010 from 6.21% for the three months ended March 31, 2009, partially offset by an increase in average interest earning assets of \$49.2 million or 8.6% to \$620.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 from \$571.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009, funded primarily through an increase in average interest bearing liabilities of \$44.8 million or 8.9% to \$546.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 from \$501.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009. This resulted in a decrease in the net interest margin to 3.06% for the three months ended March 31, 2010 from 3.44% for the three months ended March 31,

#### Index

2009. Our results were negatively impacted as the yield on interest earning assets decreased at a faster pace than the cost of interest bearing liabilities.

Interest income on loans receivable decreased by \$452,000 or 6.6% to \$6.44 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 from \$6.89 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009. The decrease was primarily attributable to a decrease in the balance of average loans receivable of \$3.6 million or 0.9% to \$406.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 from \$410.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009, and a decrease in the average yield on loans receivable to 6.33% for the three months ended March 31, 2010 from 6.72% for the three months ended March 31, 2009. The decrease in the balance on average loans and average yield on loan facilities reflects the competitive pricing environment for attracting quality loan products in an increasingly challenging lending landscape.

Interest income on securities decreased by \$476,000 or 24.0% to \$1.50 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 from \$1.98 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009. The decrease was primarily attributable to a decrease in the average yield on securities to 4.26% for the three months ended March 31, 2010 from 5.59% for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and a slight decrease in the average balance of securities of \$580,000 or 0.4% to \$141.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 from \$141.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009. The decrease in average balance was primarily attributable to call options exercised on a select number of GSE investment securities. The decrease in the average yield reflects the reduction in yield on the remaining investment portfolio subsequent to the higher yielding securities having had their call options exercised by the issuing Government agency.

Interest income on other interest-earning assets increased by \$15,000 or 375.0% to \$19,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2010 from \$4,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2009. The increase was primarily due to an increase in the average balance of other interest earning assets of \$53.4 million or 272.4% to \$73.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 from \$19.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and a slight increase in the yield on other interest-earning assets to 0.10% for the three months ended March 31, 2010 from 0.08% for the three months ended March 31, 2009. The increase in the average balance primarily reflects management's philosophy to have greater liquidity in anticipation of future loan closings or investment securities purchase opportunities as the current environment offers limited risk/reward opportunities. The slight increase in the average yield reflects the rate environment prevalent during the first quarter of 2010 as compared to the first quarter of 2009.

Total interest expense decreased by \$734,000 or 18.6% to \$3.22 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 from \$3.95 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009. The decrease resulted primarily from a decrease in the average cost of interest bearing liabilities to 2.36% for the three months ended March 31, 2010 from 3.15% for the three months ended March 31, 2009, partially offset by an increase in average interest bearing liabilities of \$44.8 million or 8.9% to \$546.3 million for the three months ended

#### **Index**

March 31, 2010 from \$501.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009. The decrease in average yield reflects the accommodative pricing philosophy adopted by the Federal Open Market Committee continuing through the three months ended March 31, 2010 and the ability of the Company to reduce pricing on a select number of retail deposit products thereby reducing interest expense.

The provision for loan losses totaled \$450,000 and \$350,000 for the three month periods ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The provision for loan losses is established based upon management's review of the Bank's loans and consideration of a variety of factors including, but not limited to, (1) the risk characteristics of the loan portfolio, (2) current economic conditions, (3) actual losses previously experienced, (4) level of loan growth and (5) the existing level of reserves for loan losses that are probable and estimable. During the three months ended March 31, 2010, the Bank experienced \$434,000 in net charge-offs (consisting of \$12,000 in recoveries and \$446,000 in charge-offs). During the three months ended March 31, 2009, the Bank experienced \$13,000 in net charge-offs (consisting of no recoveries and \$13,000 in charge-offs). The Bank had non-performing loans totaling \$13.3 million or 3.33% of gross loans at March 31, 2010, \$11.9 million or 2.92% of gross loans at December 31, 2009 and \$2.7 million or 0.67% of gross loans at March 31, 2009. The allowance for loan losses stood at \$6.7 million or 1.67% of gross loans at March 31, 2010, \$6.6 million or 1.62% of gross loans at December 31, 2009 and \$5.6 million or 1.38% of gross loans at March 31, 2009. The amount of the allowance is based on estimates and the ultimate losses may vary from such estimates. Management assesses the allowance for loan losses on a quarterly basis and makes provisions for loan losses as necessary in order to maintain the adequacy of the allowance. While management uses available information to recognize losses on loans, future loan loss provisions may be necessary based on changes in the aforementioned criteria. In addition, various regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review the allowance for loan losses and may require the Bank to recognize additional provisions based on their judgment of information available to them at the time of their examination. Management believes that the allowance for loan losses was adequate at March 31, 2010, December 31, 2009 and March 31, 2009.

Total non-interest income increased by \$60,000 or 33.1% to \$241,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2010 from \$181,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2009. The increase in non-interest income resulted primarily from a \$30,000 or 21.6% increase in general fees, service charges and other income to \$169,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2010 from \$139,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2009. Gain on sales of loans originated for sale likewise increased by \$30,000 or 71.4% to \$72,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2010 from \$42,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2009. The increase in gain on sale of loans originated for sale reflects the improving one- to four-family residential real estate market during the three months ended March 31, 2010.

Total non-interest expense increased by \$683,000 or 26.4% to \$3.27 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 from \$2.59 million for the three months ended March 31,

#### **Index**

2009. Salaries and employee benefits expense increased by \$44,000 or 3.3% to \$1.37 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 from \$1.32 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009. This increase was primarily attributable to an increase in the number of full time equivalent employees to 88 for the three months ended March 31, 2010, from 82 for the three months ended March 31, 2009. Equipment expense increased by \$39,000 or 7.6% to \$554,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2010 from \$515,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2009. Occupancy expense increased by \$23,000 or 8.7% to \$287,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2010 from \$264,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2009. Merger related expenses were \$200,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2010 as compared to no such expense for the three months ended March 31, 2009. Regulatory assessments increased by \$100,000 or 137.0% to \$173,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2010 from \$73,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2009. This increase is related to an increase in assessment rates instituted by the FDIC. Professional fees increased by \$49,000 or 59.0% to \$132,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2010 from \$83,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2009. Director fees increased by \$41,000 or 63.1% to \$106,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2010 from \$65,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2009. This increase occurred primarily as a result of an increase in the number of Board Meetings held during the three months ended March 31, 2010 as a result of the pending business combination transaction with Pamrapo Bancorp, Inc. Advertising expense increased by \$20,000 or 42.6% to \$67,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2010 from \$47,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2009. Other non-interest expense increased by \$167,000 or 77.3% to \$383,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2010 from \$216,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2009. Other non-interest expense is comprised of loan expense, REO expense, telephone and communication, stationary and supplies, forms and printing, correspondent bank fees, check printing, shareholder relations and other fees and expenses.

The income tax provision decreased \$257,000 or 32.0% to \$546,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2010 from \$803,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2009 reflecting decreased income earned during the three month time period ended March 31, 2010. The consolidated effective income tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2010 was 43.2% as compared to 37.1% the three months ended March 31, 2009. The increase in the consolidated effective tax rate relates primarily to the increase in merger related expenses of \$200,000 and the lack of deductibility for all of these expenses for income tax purposes.

#### **Index**

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

#### Management of Market Risk

General. The majority of our assets and liabilities are monetary in nature. Consequently, one of our most significant forms of market risk is interest rate risk. Our assets, consisting primarily of mortgage loans, have longer maturities than our liabilities, consisting primarily of deposits. As a result, a principal part of our business strategy is to manage interest rate risk and reduce the exposure of our net interest income to changes in market interest rates. Accordingly, our Board of Directors has established an Asset/Liability Committee which is responsible for evaluating the interest rate risk inherent in our assets and liabilities, for determining the level of risk that is appropriate given our business strategy, operating environment, capital, liquidity and performance objectives, and for managing this risk consistent with the guidelines approved by the Board of Directors. Senior management monitors the level of interest rate risk on a regular basis and the Asset/Liability Committee, which consists of senior management and outside directors operating under a policy adopted by the Board of Directors, meets as needed to review our asset/liability policies and interest rate risk position.

The following table presents the Company's net portfolio value ("NPV"). These calculations were based upon assumptions believed to be fundamentally sound, although they may vary from assumptions utilized by other financial institutions. The information set forth below is based on data that included all financial instruments as of March 31, 2010. Assumptions have been made by the Company relating to interest rates, loan prepayment rates, core deposit duration, and the market values of certain assets and liabilities under the various interest rate scenarios. Actual maturity dates were used for fixed rate loans and certificate accounts. Investment securities were scheduled at either the maturity date or the next scheduled call date based upon management's judgment of whether the particular security would be called in the current interest rate environment and under assumed interest rate scenarios. Variable rate loans were scheduled as of their next scheduled interest rate repricing date. Additional assumptions made in the preparation of the NPV table include prepayment rates on loans and mortgage-backed securities, core deposits without stated maturity dates were scheduled with an assumed term of 48 months, and money market and non-interest bearing accounts were scheduled with an assumed term of 24 months. The NPV at "PAR" represents the difference between the Company's estimated value of assets and estimated value of liabilities assuming no change in interest rates. The NPV for a decrease of 100 to 300 basis points has been excluded since it would not be meaningful, in the interest rate environment as of March 31, 2010. The following sets forth the Company's NPV as of March 31, 2010.

			\$ Change		% Change				
Change in	No	et Portfolio	from		from		NPV as a	ı % of	Assets
Calculation		Value	PAR		PAR		NPV Ratio		Change
+300bp	\$	39,808	\$ (12,468	)	-23.85	%	6.59	%	-141 bps
+200bp		50,135	(2,141	)	-4.09		8.04		4 bps
+100bp		56,500	4,224		8.08		8.79		79 bps
PAR		52,276					8.00		

bp – basis points

#### **Index**

The table above indicates that at March 31, 2010, in the event of a 100 basis point increase in interest rates, we would experience a 8.08% increase in NPV.

Certain shortcomings are inherent in the methodology used in the above interest rate risk measurement. Modeling changes in NPV require making certain assumptions that may or may not reflect the manner in which actual yields and costs respond to changes in market interest rates. In this regard, the NPV table presented assumes that the composition of our interest-sensitive assets and liabilities existing at the beginning of a period remains constant over the period being measured and assumes that a particular change in interest rates is reflected uniformly across the yield curve regardless of the duration or repricing of specific assets and liabilities. Accordingly, although the NPV table provides an indication of our interest rate risk exposure at a particular point in time, such measurements are not intended to and do not provide a precise forecast of the effect of changes in market interest rates on our net interest income, and will differ from actual results.

**Index** 

ITEM 4T.

#### Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer, the Company has evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of its disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports that the Company files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms.

There has been no change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

**Index** 

PART II.

#### OTHER INFORMATION

#### ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are involved, from time to time, as plaintiff or defendant in various legal actions arising in the normal course of business. At March 31, 2010, we were not involved in any material legal proceedings, the outcome of which would have a material adverse affect on our financial condition or results of operations.

#### ITEM 1.A. RISK FACTORS

In addition to the risk factors set forth in our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K, set forth below are additional factors for our investors to consider.

If Economic Conditions Deteriorate in our Primary Market, Our Results of Operations and Financial Condition could be Adversely Impacted as Borrowers' Ability to Repay Loans Declines and the Value of the Collateral Securing Loans Decreases.

Our financial results may be adversely affected by changes in prevailing economic conditions, including decreases in real estate values, changes in interest rates which may cause a decrease in interest rate spreads, adverse employment conditions, the monetary and fiscal policies of the federal government and other significant external events. Decreases in real estate values could potentially adversely affect the value of property used as collateral for our mortgage loans. In the event that we are required to foreclose on a property securing a mortgage loan, there can be no assurance that we will recover funds in an amount equal to any remaining loan balance as a result of prevailing general economic conditions, real estate values and other factors associated with the ownership of real property. As a result, the market value of the real estate underlying the loans may not, at any given time, be sufficient to satisfy the outstanding principal amount of the loans. Consequently, we would sustain loan losses and potentially incur a higher provision for loan loss expense. Adverse changes in the economy may also have a negative effect of the ability of borrowers to make timely repayments of their loans, which could have an adverse impact on earnings.

Our Securities Portfolio may be Negatively Impacted by Fluctuations in Market Value.

Our securities portfolio may be impacted by fluctuations in market value, potentially reducing accumulated other comprehensive income and/or earnings. Fluctuations in market value may be caused by decreases in interest rates, lower market prices for securities and lower investor demand. Our securities portfolio is evaluated for other-than-temporary impairment on at least a quarterly basis. If this evaluation shows an impairment to cash flow connected with one or more securities, a potential loss to earnings may occur.

#### **Index**

#### ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

Securities sold within the past three years without registering the securities under the Securities Act of 1933

On November 20, 2007, the Company announced a third stock repurchase plan to repurchase 5% or 234,002 shares of the Company's common stock. This plan commenced upon the completion of the prior plan. The Company's stock purchases for the three months ended March 31, 2010 are as follows:

			$\mathbf{N}$	Iaximum Number of
	Shares	Average	Total Number of	Shares
Period	Purchased	Price	Shares Purchased That	May Yet be Purchased
1/1-1/31		\$ 		132,420
2/1-2/28	463	\$ 8.81	463	131,957
3/1-3/31		\$ 		131,957
Total	463	\$ 8.81	463	131,957

#### ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

Not applicable.

#### ITEM 4. REMOVED AND RESERVED

#### ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

On June 30, 2009, BCB Bancorp, Inc., the parent company of BCB Community Bank, and Pamrapo Bancorp, Inc., ("Pamrapo"), the parent company of Pamrapo Savings Bank, S.L.A., jointly announced the signing of an Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of June 29, 2009 (the "Merger Agreement") pursuant to which Pamrapo will merge with and into the Company. Pamrapo Savings Bank, S.L.A., ("Pamrapo Bank"), a New Jersey-chartered stock savings and loan association and a wholly-owned subsidiary of Pamrapo, and BCB Community Bank, will also enter into a subsidiary agreement and plan of merger that provides for the merger of Pamrapo Bank with and into BCB Community Bank, with BCB Community Bank as the surviving institution.

Pursuant to the terms of the Merger Agreement, shareholders of Pamrapo will receive 1.0 share of Company common stock for each share of Pamrapo common stock. In addition, all outstanding unexercised options to purchase Pamrapo common stock will be converted into options to purchase Company common stock.

On December 17, 2009, at a special meeting of stockholders, the stockholders of BCB Bancorp, Inc., approved the adoption of the Agreement and Plan of Merger, as amended,

#### **Index**

by and between the Company and Pamrapo Bancorp Inc. In addition, at the special meeting of stockholders, the Company approved an amendment to the Company's certificate of incorporation to increase the authorized shares of the Company's common stock to 20 million shares.

On February 11, 2010, at a special meeting of stockholders, the stockholders of Pamrapo Bancorp, Inc., approved the adoption of the Agreement and Plan of Merger, as amended, by and between Pamrapo Bancorp Inc., and BCB Bancorp, Inc.

The transaction has received regulatory approval and is expected to close by the end of the second quarter of 2010, given the satisfaction of other customary closing conditions. In the event the merger agreement is terminated, neither the Company nor Pamrapo will have any liability under the merger agreement, except that designated provisions of the merger agreement, including the payment of expenses and a termination fee, will survive termination. Under the terms of the merger agreement, each party must pay to the other a termination fee of \$2.5 million under certain circumstances, the description of which has been disclosed in the Form S-4 Registration Statement previously filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

#### ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibit <u>31.1</u> and <u>31.2</u> Officers' Certification filed pursuant to section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Exhibit <u>32.1</u> Officers' Certification filed pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.