

TANGER FACTORY OUTLET CENTERS INC  
Form 10-Q  
August 07, 2009

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

☒ QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF  
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2009

OR

☐ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF  
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File No. 1-11986

TANGER FACTORY OUTLET CENTERS, INC.  
(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its Charter)

NORTH CAROLINA  
(State or other jurisdiction  
of incorporation or organization)

56-1815473  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

3200 Northline Avenue, Suite 360, Greensboro, North Carolina 27408  
(Address of principal executive offices)  
(Zip code)

(336) 292-3010  
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ☐ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer ☐ Accelerated filer ☐ Non-accelerated filer ☐

Smaller reporting  
company "

Indicated by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).  
Yes " No ý

36,782,299 shares of Common Stock,  
\$.01 par value, outstanding as of July 28, 2009

TANGER FACTORY OUTLET CENTERS, INC.

Index

|   | Page Number |
|---|-------------|
| Part I. Financial Information   |             |
| Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited)  |             |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets -   |             |
| as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008   | 3           |
| Consolidated Statements of Operations -   |             |
| for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008                                     | 4           |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows -   |             |
| for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008   | 5           |
| Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements  | 6           |
| Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 19          |
| Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk                            | 29          |
| Item 4. Controls and Procedures   | 30          |
| Part II. Other Information  |             |
| Item 1. Legal Proceedings   | 31          |
| Item 1A. Risk Factors   | 31          |
| Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders                                   | 31          |
| Item 6.Exhibits   | 31          |
| Signatures  | 31          |

## PART I. – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## Item 1 – Financial Statements

TANGER FACTORY OUTLET CENTERS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS  
(In thousands, except share and per share data)  
(Unaudited)

|   | June 30,<br>2009 | December 31,<br>2008<br>(as<br>adjusted) |
|---|------------------|--|
| <b>ASSETS:</b>  |                  |  |
| Rental property   |                  |  |
| Land  | \$ 135,708       | \$ 135,689                               |
| Buildings, improvements and fixtures  | 1,343,854        | 1,260,243                                |
| Construction in progress  | ---              | 3,823                                    |
|   | 1,479,562        | 1,399,755                                |
| Accumulated depreciation  | (379,412)        | (359,301)                                |
| Rental property, net  | 1,100,150        | 1,040,454                                |
| Cash and cash equivalents   | 5,150            | 4,977                                    |
| Investments in unconsolidated joint ventures  | 9,808            | 9,496                                    |
| Deferred charges, net   | 43,746           | 37,750                                   |
| Other assets  | 31,771           | 29,248                                   |
| Total assets  | \$ 1,190,625     | \$ 1,121,925                             |
| <b>LIABILITIES AND EQUITY</b>   |                  |  |
| <b>Liabilities</b>  |                  |  |
| Debt  |                  |  |
| Senior, unsecured notes (net of discount of \$975 and \$9,137, respectively)  | \$ 256,235       | \$ 390,363                               |
| Mortgage payable (including a debt discount of \$862 and \$0, respectively)   | 34,938           | ---                                      |
| Unsecured term loan   | 235,000          | 235,000                                  |
| Unsecured lines of credit   | 188,250          | 161,500                                  |
|   | 714,423          | 786,863                                  |
| Construction trade payables   | 6,327            | 11,968                                   |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses   | 25,103           | 26,277                                   |
| Other liabilities   | 32,152           | 30,914                                   |
| Total liabilities   | 778,005          | 856,022                                  |
| <b>Commitments</b>  |                  |  |
| <b>Equity</b>   |                  |  |
| Tanger Factory Outlet Centers, Inc. shareholders' equity  |                  |  |
| Preferred shares, 7.5% Class C, liquidation preference  |                  |  |
| \$25 per share, 8,000,000 shares authorized, 3,000,000 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 | 75,000           | 75,000                                   |
| Common shares, \$.01 par value, 150,000,000 shares authorized, 36,782,179 and 31,667,501 shares issued                      |                  |  |

Edgar Filing: TANGER FACTORY OUTLET CENTERS INC - Form 10-Q

and outstanding at June 30, 2009 and December 31,  
2008, respectively

|   |             |              |
|---|-------------|--------------|
|   | 368         | 317          |
| Paid in capital   | 482,532     | 371,190      |
| Distributions in excess of net income   | (186,202)   | (201,679)    |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss  | (6,879)     | (9,617)      |
| Equity attributable to shareholders of Tanger Factory<br>Outlet Centers, Inc. | 364,819     | 235,211      |
| Equity attributable to noncontrolling interest in Operating Partnership       | 47,801      | 30,692       |
| Total equity  | 412,620     | 265,903      |
| Total liabilities and equity  | \$1,190,625 | \$ 1,121,925 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

TANGER FACTORY OUTLET CENTERS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(In thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

|   | Three months ended<br>June 30, |                          | Six months ended<br>June 30, |                          |
|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|
|   | 2009                           | 2008<br>(as<br>adjusted) | 2009                         | 2008<br>(as<br>adjusted) |
| Revenues  |                                |                          |                              |                          |
| Base rentals  | \$ 43,425                      | \$ 38,623                | \$ 86,352                    | \$ 75,855                |
| Percentage rentals  | 940                            | 1,120                    | 2,248                        | 2,298                    |
| Expense reimbursements  | 18,374                         | 15,692                   | 37,593                       | 33,170                   |
| Other income  | 1,928                          | 1,570                    | 3,632                        | 2,958                    |
| Total revenues  | 64,667                         | 57,005                   | 129,825                      | 114,281                  |
| Expenses  |                                |                          |                              |                          |
| Property operating  | 20,794                         | 17,525                   | 42,542                       | 36,744                   |
| General and administrative  | 5,820                          | 5,677                    | 11,755                       | 10,948                   |
| Depreciation and amortization   | 19,652                         | 14,690                   | 40,049                       | 30,273                   |
| Impairment charge   | 5,200                          | ---                      | 5,200                        | ---                      |
| Total expenses  | 51,466                         | 37,892                   | 99,546                       | 77,965                   |
| Operating income  | 13,201                         | 19,113                   | 30,279                       | 36,316                   |
| Interest expense  | (9,564)                        | (10,143)                 | (20,774)                     | (20,342)                 |
| Gain on early extinguishment of debt  | 10,467                         | ---                      | 10,467                       | ---                      |
| Gain on fair value measurement of<br>previously held<br>interest in acquired joint venture  | ---                            | ---                      | 31,497                       | ---                      |
| Loss on settlement of US treasury rate locks  | ---                            | (8,910)                  | ---                          | (8,910)                  |
| Income before equity in earnings (losses) of<br>unconsolidated joint ventures               | 14,104                         | 60                       | 51,469                       | 7,064                    |
| Equity in earnings (losses) of<br>unconsolidated joint ventures                             | (517)                          | 558                      | (1,414)                      | 952                      |
| Net income  | 13,587                         | 618                      | 50,055                       | 8,016                    |
| Noncontrolling interest   | (1,833)                        | 129                      | (7,531)                      | (852)                    |
| Net income attributable to common<br>shareholders of<br>Tanger Factory Outlet Centers, Inc. | \$ 11,754                      | \$ 747                   | \$ 42,524                    | \$ 7,164                 |
| Basic earnings per common share   |                                |                          |                              |                          |
| Income (loss) from continuing<br>operations   | \$ .30                         | \$ (.03)                 | \$ 1.19                      | \$ .13                   |
| Net income (loss)   | \$ .30                         | \$ (.03)                 | \$ 1.19                      | \$ .13                   |
| Diluted earnings per common share   |                                |                          |                              |                          |
| Income (loss) from continuing<br>operations   | \$ .30                         | \$ (.03)                 | \$ 1.19                      | \$ .13                   |

Edgar Filing: TANGER FACTORY OUTLET CENTERS INC - Form 10-Q

|                                 |    |       |    |       |    |       |    |     |
|---------------------------------|----|-------|----|-------|----|-------|----|-----|
| Net income (loss)               | \$ | .30   | \$ | (.03) | \$ | 1.19  | \$ | .13 |
| Dividends paid per common share | \$ | .3825 | \$ | .38   | \$ | .7625 | \$ | .74 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

TANGER FACTORY OUTLET CENTERS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(In thousands)

(Unaudited)

|   | Six Months Ended<br>June 30, |                       |
|---|------------------------------|-----------------------|
|   | 2009                         | 2008<br>(as adjusted) |
| <b>OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>   |                              |                       |
| Net income  | \$ 50,055                    | \$ 8,016              |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash<br>provided by operating activities:        |                              |                       |
| Depreciation and amortization   | 40,049                       | 30,273                |
| Impairment charge   | 5,200                        | ---                   |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs  | 822                          | 714                   |
| Gain on early extinguishment of convertible debt  | (10,467)                     | ---                   |
| Gain on fair value measurement of previous interest held in<br>acquired joint venture       | (31,497)                     | ---                   |
| Loss on settlement of US treasury rate locks  | ---                          | 8,910                 |
| Equity in earnings (losses) of unconsolidated joint ventures                                | 1,414                        | (952)                 |
| Compensation expense related to restricted shares<br>and options granted                    | 2,889                        | 2,620                 |
| Amortization of debt premiums and discount, net   | 994                          | 190                   |
| Distributions of cumulative earnings from unconsolidated joint<br>ventures                  | 360                          | 1,770                 |
| Net accretion of market rent rate adjustment  | (43)                         | (93)                  |
| Straight-line base rent adjustment  | (1,534)                      | (1,874)               |
| Increase (decrease) due to changes in:  |                              |                       |
| Other assets  | 1,348                        | 1,005                 |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses   | (1,795)                      | (14,347)              |
| Net cash provided by operating activities   | 57,795                       | 36,232                |
| <b>INVESTING ACTIVITIES</b>   |                              |                       |
| Additions to rental property  | (20,962)                     | (61,571)              |
| Acquisition of remaining interests in unconsolidated joint venture, net of<br>cash acquired | (31,086)                     | ---                   |
| Additions to investments in unconsolidated joint ventures                                   | ---                          | (1,527)               |
| Additions to deferred lease costs   | (2,369)                      | (2,106)               |
| Net cash used in investing activities   | (54,417)                     | (65,204)              |
| <b>FINANCING ACTIVITIES</b>   |                              |                       |
| Cash dividends paid   | (27,047)                     | (26,082)              |
| Distributions to noncontrolling interest in Operating Partnership                           | (4,623)                      | (4,486)               |
| Proceeds from debt issuances  | 109,200                      | 600,120               |
| Repayments of debt  | (82,450)                     | (543,378)             |
| Proceeds from tax incremental financing   | 944                          | 1,837                 |
| Additions to deferred financing costs   | ---                          | (2,081)               |
| Proceeds from exercise of options   | 771                          | 1,718                 |



Edgar Filing: TANGER FACTORY OUTLET CENTERS INC - Form 10-Q

|  |          |          |
|--|----------|----------|
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities  | (3,205)  | 27,648   |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 173      | (1,324)  |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period       | 4,977    | 2,412    |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period             | \$ 5,150 | \$ 1,088 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

TANGER FACTORY OUTLET CENTERS INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Business

Tanger Factory Outlet Centers, Inc. and subsidiaries is one of the largest owners and operators of outlet centers in the United States. We are a fully-integrated, self-administered and self-managed real estate investment trust, or REIT, which focuses exclusively on developing, acquiring, owning, operating and managing outlet shopping centers. As of June 30, 2009, we owned and operated 31 outlet centers, with a total gross leasable area of approximately 9.2 million square feet. These outlet centers were 95% occupied. Also, we operated and had partial ownership interests in two outlet centers totaling approximately 950,000 square feet.

Our outlet centers and other assets are held by, and all of our operations are conducted by, Tanger Properties Limited Partnership and subsidiaries. Accordingly, the descriptions of our business, employees and properties are also descriptions of the business, employees and properties of the Operating Partnership. Unless the context indicates otherwise, the term "Company" refers to Tanger Factory Outlet Centers, Inc. and subsidiaries and the term "Operating Partnership" refers to Tanger Properties Limited Partnership and subsidiaries. The terms "we", "our" and "us" refer to the Company or the Company and the Operating Partnership together, as the text requires.

We own the majority of the units of partnership interest issued by the Operating Partnership through our two wholly-owned subsidiaries, the Tanger GP Trust and the Tanger LP Trust. The Tanger GP Trust controls the Operating Partnership as its sole general partner. The Tanger LP Trust holds a limited partnership interest. The Tanger family, through its ownership of the Tanger Family Limited Partnership holds the remaining units as a limited partner. Stanley K. Tanger, our Chairman of the Board, is the sole general partner of the Tanger Family Limited Partnership.

2. Basis of Presentation

Our unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared pursuant to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 and in conjunction with the Current Report on Form 8-K that was filed on July 2, 2009 solely to reflect certain retrospective accounting adjustments described below with respect to the financial information contained in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. The December 31, 2008 balance sheet data in this Form 10-Q was derived from audited financial statements. Certain information and note disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America have been condensed or omitted pursuant to the Securities and Exchange Commission's ("SEC") rules and regulations, although management believes that the disclosures are adequate to make the information presented not misleading. Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation, including changes resulting from the adoption of FSP APB 14-1, FAS 160, and FSP EITF 03-6-1 on January 1, 2009, as discussed below.

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements include our accounts, our wholly-owned subsidiaries, as well as the Operating Partnership and its subsidiaries and reflect, in the opinion of management, all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the interim consolidated financial statements. All such adjustments are of a normal and recurring nature. Intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. We have performed an evaluation of subsequent events through August 7, 2009, which is the date the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q was filed.

Investments in real estate joint ventures that represent non-controlling ownership interests are accounted for using the equity method of accounting. These investments are recorded initially at cost and subsequently adjusted for our equity in the venture's net income (loss) and cash contributions and distributions.

## Adoption of Recent Accounting Pronouncements

## FSP APB 14-1 “Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May Be Settled in Cash Upon Conversion (Including Partial Cash Settlement)”

Effective January 1, 2009, we retrospectively adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board staff position FSP APB 14-1, “Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May Be Settled in Cash Upon Conversion (Including Partial Cash Settlement)” (“FSP 14-1”). In August 2006 we issued \$149.5 million of Exchangeable Notes at an interest rate of 3.75 %, or the Exchangeable Notes. These Exchangeable Notes are within the scope of FSP 14-1, which requires bifurcation of the Exchangeable Notes into a debt component that is initially recorded at fair value and an equity component. The difference between the fair value of the debt component and the initial proceeds from issuance of the instrument is recorded as a component of equity. The liability component of the debt instrument is accreted to par using the effective interest method over the remaining life of the debt (the first redemption date in August 2011). The accretion is reported as a component of interest expense. The equity component is not subsequently re-valued as long as it continues to qualify for equity treatment. Upon implementation of this accounting change we did the following:

- a. We concluded that the difference between the fair value of the debt component at issuance and the initial proceeds received was approximately \$15.0 million based on a market interest rate of 6.11%. Therefore, we recorded an increase to equity of approximately \$15.0 million. The corresponding debt discount of \$15.0 million recognized was as a reduction to the carrying value of the Exchangeable Notes on the balance sheets.
- b. We also reclassified upon adoption approximately \$363,000 of unamortized financing costs to shareholders’ equity as these costs were attributable to the issuance of the conversion feature associated with the Exchangeable Notes.
- c. Distributions in excess of net income as of December 31, 2008 includes a decrease of approximately \$5.1 million for the cumulative accretion of the debt discount from August 2006 through December 31, 2008.
- d. The revised diluted earnings per common share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 were reduced by \$.02 and \$.03 per share, respectively, from their originally recorded amounts.

In May 2009, we completed an exchange offering where we retired a principal amount of \$142.3 million of the outstanding Exchangeable Notes and issued approximately 4.9 million common shares in exchange for the related Exchangeable Notes. See Note 6 for further discussion.

The Exchangeable Notes issued in 2006 had an outstanding principal amount of \$7.2 million and \$149.5 million, respectively, as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 and are reflected on our consolidated balance sheets as follows:

|                                  | As of<br>June 30,<br>2009 | As of<br>December<br>31, 2008 |         |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| Equity component carrying amount | \$0.7                     |                               | \$15.0  |
| Unamortized debt discount        |                           | \$0.3                         | \$8.5   |
| Net debt carrying amount         |                           | \$6.9                         | \$141.0 |

Non-cash interest expense related to the accretion of the debt discounts, net of additional capitalized amounts and reclassified loan cost amortization, and contractual coupon interest expense were recognized for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, as follows (in thousands):

|                             | Three Months<br>Ended |          | Six Months<br>Ended |          |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|----------|---------------------|----------|
|                             | June 30,              |          | June 30,            |          |
|                             | 2009                  | 2008     | 2009                | 2008     |
| FSP 14-1 non-cash interest  | \$ 313                | \$ 647   | \$ 1,044            | \$ 1,298 |
| Contractual coupon interest | \$ 616                | \$ 1,402 | \$ 2,018            | \$ 2,803 |

## FAS 160 “Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements – an amendment of ARB No. 51”

In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 160 “Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements, an amendment of ARB No. 51”, or FAS 160. We adopted the provisions of FAS 160 effective January 1, 2009 and adopted the recent revisions to EITF Topic D-98 “Classification and Measurements of Redeemable Securities”, which became effective upon our adoption of FAS 160. This statement amends ARB 51 to establish accounting and reporting standards for the non-controlling interest in a subsidiary and for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary. FAS 160 clarifies that a noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary should be reported as equity in the consolidated balance sheet and the noncontrolling interest's share of earnings is included in consolidated net income. The calculation of earnings per share continues to be based on income amounts attributable to the Company. FAS 160 requires retrospective adoption of the presentation and disclosure requirements for existing noncontrolling interests. All other requirements of FAS 160 shall be applied prospectively. Upon adoption of FAS 160 we did the following:

- a. We reclassified the noncontrolling interests of the Operating Partnership from the mezzanine section of our balance sheets to equity but separate from the equity attributable to the shareholders of the Company. This reclassification totaled \$47.8 million and \$30.7 million as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively.
- b. We display on the statements of operations net income at levels that include the amounts attributable to both the Company and the noncontrolling interest. We also display the amounts of net income attributable to the Company and net income attributable to the noncontrolling interest. Previously, net income attributable to the noncontrolling interest was reported as an expense or other deduction in arriving at net income.

The following table provides a reconciliation of the beginning and the ending carrying amounts of total equity, equity attributable to shareholders of Tanger Factory Outlet Centers, Inc. and equity attributable to the noncontrolling interest in the Operating Partnership (in thousands, except share and per share amounts):

|   | Shareholders of Tanger Factory Outlet Centers, Inc. |               |                 |                                     |   |                            | Noncontrolling interest in |              |           |
|---|---|---------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|-----------|
|   | Preferred shares                                    | Common shares | Paid in capital | Distributions in excess of earnings | Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | Total shareholders' equity | Operating Partnership      | Total Equity |           |
| Balance at December 31, 2008                    | \$75,000  | \$317         | \$358,891       | \$(196,535)                         |   | \$228,056                  | \$                         | ---          | \$228,056 |
| as previously reported                          |   |               |                 |                                     |   |                            |                            |              |           |
| Cumulative effect from adoption of FSP APB 14-1 |   |               | 12,299          | (5,144)                             |   | 7,155                      | 1,371                      | 8,526        |           |
| Reclassification upon adoption of FAS 160       |   |               |                 |                                     |   |                            | 29,321                     | 29,321       |           |

## Edgar Filing: TANGER FACTORY OUTLET CENTERS INC - Form 10-Q

|   |          |       |           |             |           |           |          |           |
|---|----------|-------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| Balance at<br>December 31, 2008<br>as<br>adjusted   | \$75,000 | \$317 | \$371,190 | \$(201,679) | \$(9,617) | \$235,211 | \$30,692 | \$265,903 |
| Comprehensive<br>Income:  |          |       |           |             |           |           |          |           |
| Net Income  |          |       |           | 42,524      |           | 42,524    | 7,531    | 50,055    |
| Other<br>comprehensive<br>income  |          |       |           |             | 2,738     | 2,738     | 514      | 3,252     |
| Total<br>comprehensive<br>income  |          |       |           |             | 2,738     | 45,262    | 8,045    | 53,307    |
| Compensation<br>under incentive<br>award plan   |          |       | 2,889     |             |           | 2,889     |          | 2,889     |
| Issuance of 39,740<br>common shares<br>upon exercise<br>of options  |          |       | 771       |             |           | 771       |          | 771       |
| Grant of 207,500<br>restricted shares   |          | 2     | (2)       |             |           |           |          |           |
| Issuance of 4.9<br>million common<br>shares<br>in connection<br>with exchange<br>offering<br>related to<br>convertible<br>debt accounted<br>for under<br>FSP 14-1, net<br>of reacquired<br>equity |          | 49    | 121,371   |             |           | 121,420   |          | 121,420   |
| Adjustment for<br>noncontrolling<br>interest in<br>Operating<br>Partnership   |          |       | (13,687)  |             |           | (13,687)  | 13,687   | ---       |
| Preferred dividends<br>(\$0.9375 per share)   |          |       |           | (2,813)     |           | (2,813)   |          | (2,813)   |
| Common dividends<br>(\$0.7625 per share)  |          |       |           | (24,234)    |           | (24,234)  |          | (24,234)  |
| Distributions to<br>noncontrolling<br>interest<br>in the<br>Operating<br>Partnership  |          |       |           |             |           |           | (4,623)  | (4,623)   |
|   | \$75,000 | \$368 | \$482,532 | \$(186,202) | \$(6,879) | \$364,819 | \$47,801 | \$412,620 |

Balance at June 30,  
2009

8

---



FSP EITF 03-6-1, “Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities”

On January 1, 2009, we adopted FASB Staff Position EITF 03-6-1, “Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities” (“FSP 03-6-1”). FSP 03-6-1 addresses whether instruments granted in share-based payment awards are participating securities prior to vesting, and therefore, need to be included in the earnings allocation when computing earnings per share under the two-class method as described in SFAS No. 128. In accordance with FSP 03-6-1, unvested share-based payment awards that contain non-forfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents (whether paid or unpaid) are participating securities and shall be included in the computation of earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method. Upon adoption, all prior-period earnings per share data are required to be adjusted retrospectively. The impact upon retrospective application was a decrease of \$.01 per share for both the three and six months ended June 30, 2008.

#### Reclassifications

Certain amounts in the December 31, 2008 consolidated balance sheet have been reclassified to the caption “other liabilities” from the caption “accounts payable and accrued expenses” to conform to the presentation of the consolidated balance sheet presented as of June 30, 2009. The caption other liabilities includes the fair value of derivative instruments and the liability related to the Washington County, Pennsylvania tax increment financing obligation.

### 3. Development of Rental Properties

#### Expansions at Existing Centers

During the second quarter of 2009, we completed construction of a 23,000 square foot expansion at our Commerce II, Georgia outlet center. The majority of the tenants opened during the second quarter of 2009.

Commitments to complete construction of our renovations and other capital expenditure requirements amounted to approximately \$2.0 million at June 30, 2009. Commitments for construction represent only those costs contractually required to be paid by us.

Interest costs capitalized during the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 amounted to \$48,000 and \$608,000, respectively, and for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 amounted to \$84,000 and \$1.1 million, respectively.

#### Impairment Charge

Rental property held and used by us is reviewed for impairment in the event that facts and circumstances indicate the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. In such an event, we compare the estimated future undiscounted cash flows associated with the asset to the asset’s carrying amount, and if less, recognize an impairment loss in an amount by which the carrying amount exceeds its fair value in accordance with FAS 157.

During the second quarter 2009, we determined for our Commerce I, GA outlet center that the estimated future undiscounted cash flows of that property did not exceed the property’s carrying value based on deteriorating amounts of net operating income and the expectation that the occupancy rate of the property will significantly decrease in future periods. Therefore, we recorded a \$5.2 million non-cash impairment charge in our consolidated statement of operations which equaled the excess of the property’s carrying value over its fair value. We determined the fair value using a market approach whereby we considered the prevailing market income capitalization rates and sales data for

transactions involving similar assets.

## Tax Increment Financing

In December 2006 the Redevelopment Authority of Washington County, Pennsylvania issued tax increment financing bonds to finance a portion of the public infrastructure improvements related to the construction of the Tanger outlet center in Washington, PA. We received the net proceeds from the bond issuance as reimbursement as we spent funds on qualifying assets as defined in the bond agreement. Debt service of these bonds is funded by 80% of the incremental real property taxes assessed within the tax increment financing district and any shortfalls in the debt service are funded by special assessments on the Washington, PA property. We have recorded in other liabilities on our consolidated balance sheet approximately \$17.5 million as of June 30, 2009 which represents the funds that we have received and expect to receive from the bonds. Associated with this liability is a discount of \$6.1 million representing the difference between the amount received and the total amount of the bonds issued. The principal amount of bonds issued totaled \$23.6 million, mature in July 2035 and bear interest at an effective rate of 8.10% and a stated rate of 5.45%. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, approximately \$354,000 and \$709,000, respectively, of interest expense related to this bond is included in the consolidated statement of operations. Estimated principal reductions over the next five years are expected to approximate \$742,000.

## Change in Accounting Estimate

During the first quarter of 2009, we obtained approval from Beaufort County, South Carolina to implement a redevelopment plan at the Hilton Head I, SC outlet center. Based on our current redevelopment timeline, we determined that the estimated remaining useful life of the existing outlet center is approximately three years. As a result of this change in useful lives, additional depreciation and amortization of approximately \$1.2 million and \$2.4 million was recognized during the three and six months ended June 30, 2009. The accelerated depreciation and amortization reduced income from continuing operations and net income by approximately \$.03 and \$.06 per share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009.

## 4. Acquisition of Rental Property

On January 5, 2009, we purchased the remaining 50% interest in the joint venture that owned the outlet center in Myrtle Beach, SC on Hwy 17 for a cash price of \$32.0 million, which was net of the assumption of the existing mortgage loan of \$35.8 million. The acquisition was funded by amounts available under our unsecured lines of credit. We had owned a 50% interest in the Myrtle Beach Hwy 17 joint venture since its formation in 2001 and accounted for it under the equity method. The joint venture is now 100% owned by us and has therefore been consolidated in 2009.

In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141 (revised 2007) "Business Combinations", or FAS 141R. FAS 141R replaces FAS 141 "Business Combinations" and requires that the acquisition method of accounting (which FAS 141 called the purchase method) be used for all business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after January 1, 2009, as well as for an acquirer to be identified for each business combination. The following table illustrates the fair value of the total consideration transferred and the amounts of the identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the acquisition date (in thousands):

|  |           |
|--|-----------|
| Cash   | \$ 32,000 |
| Debt assumed   | 35,800    |
| Fair value of total consideration transferred  | 67,800    |
| Fair value of our equity interest in Myrtle Beach Hwy 17 held before the acquisition | 31,957    |
| Total  | \$ 99,757 |



The following table summarizes the allocation of the purchase price to the identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of January 5, 2009, the date of acquisition (in thousands) and the weighted average amortization period by major intangible asset class (in years):

|  | Value     | Weighted<br>amortization<br>period |
|--|-----------|------------------------------------|
| Buildings, improvements and fixtures                           | \$ 81,182 |                                    |
| Deferred lease costs and other intangibles                     |           |                                    |
| Below market lease value                                       | (2,358)   | 5.8                                |
| Below market land lease value                                  | 4,807     | 56.0                               |
| Lease in place value   | 7,998     | 4.4                                |
| Tenant relationships   | 7,274     | 8.8                                |
| Present value of lease & legal costs                           | 1,145     | 4.9                                |
| Total deferred lease costs and other intangibles               | 18,866    |                                    |
| Subtotal   | 100,048   |                                    |
| Debt discount  | 1,467     |                                    |
| Fair value of interest rate swap assumed                       | (1,715)   |                                    |
| Fair value of identifiable assets and liabilities assumed, net | (43)      |                                    |
| Net assets acquired  | \$ 99,757 |                                    |

There was no contingent consideration associated with this acquisition. We expensed as incurred approximately \$28,000 in third-party acquisition related costs for the Myrtle Beach Hwy 17 acquisition. As a result of acquiring the remaining 50% interest in Myrtle Beach Hwy 17, our previously held interest was remeasured at fair value, resulting in a gain of approximately \$31.5 million.

#### 5. Investments in Unconsolidated Real Estate Joint Ventures

Our investments in unconsolidated joint ventures as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 aggregated \$9.8 million and \$9.5 million, respectively. We have evaluated the accounting treatment for each of the joint ventures under the guidance of FIN 46R and have concluded based on the current facts and circumstances that the equity method of accounting should be used to account for the individual joint ventures. At June 30, 2009, we were members of the following unconsolidated real estate joint ventures:

| Joint Venture   | Center<br>Location                     | Opening<br>Date | Ownership<br>% | Square<br>Feet | Carrying<br>Value of<br>Investment<br>(in millions) | Total Joint<br>Venture Debt<br>(in millions) |
|-----------------|--|-----------------|----------------|----------------|---|--|
| Deer Park       | Deer Park,<br>Long Island,<br>New York | 2008            | 33.3%          | 684,851        | \$4.2   | \$262.9                                      |
| Wisconsin Dells | Wisconsin<br>Dells,<br>Wisconsin       | 2006            | 50%            | 264,929        | \$5.6   | \$25.3                                       |

These investments are recorded initially at cost and subsequently adjusted for our equity in the venture's net income (loss) and cash contributions and distributions. The following management, leasing and marketing fees were recognized from services provided to Myrtle Beach Hwy 17 (2008 period only), Wisconsin Dells and Deer Park (in

thousands):

|                        | Three        |        | Six          |        |
|------------------------|--------------|--------|--------------|--------|
|                        | Months Ended |        | Months Ended |        |
|                        | June         |        |              |        |
|                        | 30,          |        | June 30,     |        |
|                        | 2009         | 2008   | 2009         | 2008   |
| Fee:                   |              |        |              |        |
| Management and leasing | \$ 494       | \$ 264 | \$ 965       | \$ 492 |
| Marketing              | 40           | 31     | 79           | 65     |
| Total Fees             | \$ 534       | \$ 295 | \$ 1,044     | \$ 557 |

Our investments in real estate joint ventures are reduced by 50% of the profits earned for leasing and development services provided to Wisconsin Dells. Our investment in Deer Park is reduced by 33.3% of the profits earned for leasing services provided to Deer Park. Our carrying value of investments in unconsolidated joint ventures differs from our share of the assets reported in the "Summary Balance Sheets – Unconsolidated Joint Ventures" shown below due to adjustments to the book basis, including intercompany profits on sales of services that are capitalized by the unconsolidated joint ventures. The differences in basis are amortized over the various useful lives of the related assets.

We monitor various indicators that the value of our investments in unconsolidated joint ventures may be impaired. An investment is impaired only if management's estimate of the value of the investment is less than the carrying value of the investments, and such decline in value is deemed to be other than temporary. To the extent impairment has occurred, the loss shall be measured as the excess of the carrying amount of the investment over the fair value of the investment. Our estimates of fair value for each joint venture investment are based on a number of assumptions that are subject to economic and market uncertainties including, among others, demand for space, competition for tenants, changes in market rental rates and operating costs of the property. As these factors are difficult to predict and are subject to future events that may alter our assumptions, the values estimated by us in our impairment analysis may not be realized. As of June 30, 2009, we do not believe that any of our equity investments were impaired.

#### Wisconsin Dells

In March 2005, we established the Wisconsin Dells joint venture to construct and operate a Tanger Outlet center in Wisconsin Dells, Wisconsin. The 264,900 square foot center opened in August 2006. In February 2006, in conjunction with the construction of the center, Wisconsin Dells entered into a three-year, interest-only mortgage agreement with a one-year maturity extension option. In February 2009, the one-year option became effective to extend the maturity of the mortgage to February 24, 2010. As of June 30, 2009, the loan had a balance of \$25.3 million with a floating interest rate based on the one month LIBOR index plus 1.30%. The mortgage loan incurred by this unconsolidated joint venture is collateralized by its property as well as joint and several guarantees by us and designated guarantors of our venture partner.

Condensed combined summary financial information of joint ventures accounted for using the equity method is as follows (in thousands):

| Summary Balance Sheets<br>– Unconsolidated Joint Ventures | As of<br>June 30,<br>2009 | As of<br>December 31,<br>2008 |
|---|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| <b>Assets</b>   |                           |                               |
| Investment properties at cost, net                        | \$ 291,166                | \$ 323,546                    |
| Cash and cash equivalents                                 | 5,880                     | 5,359                         |
| Deferred charges, net                                     | 5,685                     | 7,025                         |
| Other assets  | 4,549                     | 6,324                         |
| <b>Total assets</b>                                       | <b>\$307,280</b>          | <b>\$ 342,254</b>             |
| <b>Liabilities and Owners' Equity</b>                     |                           |                               |
| Mortgages payable   | \$ 288,169                | \$ 303,419                    |
| Construction trade payables                               | 1,651                     | 13,641                        |
| Accounts payable and other liabilities (1)                | 1,825                     | 9,479                         |
| <b>Total liabilities</b>                                  | <b>291,645</b>            | <b>326,539</b>                |
| <b>Owners' equity (1)</b>                                 | <b>15,635</b>             | <b>15,715</b>                 |
| <b>Total liabilities and owners' equity</b>               | <b>\$307,280</b>          | <b>\$ 342,254</b>             |

(1) Includes the fair value of interest rate swap agreements at Deer Park and Myrtle Beach Hwy 17 totaling \$5.6 million as of December 31, 2008 as an increase in other liabilities and a reduction of owners' equity.



| Summary Statements of Operations - |                               | Three<br>Months Ended<br>June 30, |          | Six<br>Months Ended<br>June<br>30, |          |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------|------------------------------------|----------|
| Unconsolidated Joint Ventures      |                               | 2009                              | 2008     | 2009                               | 2008     |
| Revenues                           |                               | \$ 8,431                          | \$ 5,031 | \$ 16,955                          | \$ 9,788 |
| Expenses                           |                               |                                   |          |                                    |          |
|                                    | Property operating            | 3,611                             | 1,720    | 7,858                              | 3,522    |
|                                    | General and administrative    | 117                               | 79       | 306                                | 98       |
|                                    | Depreciation and amortization | 3,358                             | 1,344    | 6,532                              | 2,689    |
| Total expenses                     |                               | 7,086                             | 3,143    | 14,696                             | 6,309    |
| Operating income                   |                               | 1,345                             | 1,888    | 2,259                              | 3,479    |
| Interest expense                   |                               | 3,079                             | 820      | 6,810                              | 1,660    |
| Net income (loss)                  |                               | \$ (1,734)                        | \$ 1,068 | \$ (4,551)                         | \$ 1,819 |
| Tanger's share of:                 |                               |                                   |          |                                    |          |
| Net income (loss)                  |                               | \$ (517)                          | \$ 558   | \$ (1,414)                         | \$ 952   |
| Depreciation (real estate related) |                               | 1,223                             | 651      | 2,389                              | 1,303    |

#### 6. Debt

In May 2009, the Exchangeable Notes of the Operating Partnership in the principal amount of \$142.3 million were exchanged for Company common shares, representing approximately 95.2% of the total Exchangeable Notes outstanding prior to the exchange offer. In the aggregate, the exchange offer resulted in the issuance of approximately 4.9 million Company common shares and the payment of approximately \$1.2 million in cash for accrued and unpaid interest and in lieu of fractional shares. Following settlement of the exchange offer, Exchangeable Notes in the principal amount of approximately \$7.2 million remained outstanding. In connection with the exchange offering, we recognized in income from continuing operations and net income a gain on early extinguishment of debt in the amount of \$10.5 million. A portion of the debt discount recorded upon adoption of FSP 14-1 amounting to approximately \$7.0 million was written-off as part of the transaction.

The estimated fair value of our debt, consisting of senior unsecured notes, Exchangeable Notes, unsecured term credit facilities and unsecured lines of credit, at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 was \$660.5 million and \$711.8 million, respectively, and its recorded value was \$714.4 million and \$786.9 million, respectively. A 1% increase from prevailing interest rates at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 would result in a decrease in fair value of total debt of approximately \$19.6 million and \$37.4 million, respectively. Fair values were determined, based on level 2 inputs as defined by FAS 157, using discounted cash flow analyses with an interest rate or credit spread similar to that of current market borrowing arrangements.

## 7. Other Comprehensive Income

Total comprehensive income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 is as follows (in thousands):

|   | Three<br>Months Ended<br>June 30, |         | Six<br>Months Ended<br>June 30, |          |
|---|-----------------------------------|---------|---------------------------------|----------|
|   | 2009                              | 2008    | 2009                            | 2008     |
| Net income  | \$13,587                          | \$ 618  | \$50,055                        | \$ 8,106 |
| Other comprehensive income:   |                                   |         |                                 |          |
| Reclassification adjustment for amortization of gain on 2005 settlement of US treasury rate lock included in net income | (73)                              | (69)    | (145)                           | (137)    |
| Reclassification adjustment for termination of US treasury rate locks   | ---                               | 17,760  | ---                             | 17,760   |
| Change in fair value of treasury rate locks   | ---                               | ---     | ---                             | (9,006)  |
| Change in fair value of cash flow hedges  | 1,331                             | ---     | 1,261                           | ---      |
| Change in fair value of our portion of our unconsolidated joint ventures' cash flow hedges                              | 696                               | 986     | 2,136                           | (220)    |
| Other comprehensive income  | 1,954                             | 18,677  | 3,252                           | 8,397    |
| Total comprehensive income  | 15,541                            | 19,295  | 53,307                          | 16,503   |
| Comprehensive income attributable to the noncontrolling interest  | (2,136)                           | (2,885) | (8,045)                         | (2,223)  |
| Total comprehensive income attributable to common shareholders  | 13,405                            | 16,410  | 45,262                          | 14,280   |

## 8. Share-Based Compensation

During the first quarter of 2009, our Board of Directors approved the grant of 207,500 restricted common shares to the independent directors and our officers. The restricted common shares granted to independent directors vest ratably over a three year period. The restricted common shares granted to officers vest ratably over a five year period. The grant date fair value of the awards, or \$28.19 per share, was determined based upon the closing market price of our common shares on the day prior to the grant date in accordance with the terms of the Company's Incentive Award Plan, or Plan. Compensation expense related to the amortization of the deferred compensation amount is being recognized in accordance with the vesting schedule of the restricted shares.

We recorded share-based compensation expense in our statements of operations as follows (in thousands):

|                                | Three<br>Months Ended<br>June 30, |          | Six<br>Months Ended<br>June 30, |          |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------|---------------------------------|----------|
|                                | 2009                              | 2008     | 2009                            | 2008     |
| Restricted shares              | \$ 1,567                          | \$ 1,340 | \$ 2,811                        | \$ 2,512 |
| Options                        | 25                                | 56       | 78                              | 108      |
| Total share based compensation | \$ 1,592                          | \$ 1,396 | \$ 2,889                        | \$ 2,620 |

As of June 30, 2009, there was \$15.9 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested share-based compensation arrangements granted under the Plan.

## 9. Earnings Per Share

The following table sets forth a reconciliation of the numerators and denominators in computing earnings per share in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 128, "Earnings Per Share" (in thousands, except per share amounts):

|                                   |   | Three Months Ended<br>June 30,<br>2009 |          | Six Months Ended<br>June 30,<br>2009 |          | 2008 | 2008 |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|----------|--------------------------------------|----------|------|------|
| Numerator                         |   |  |          |                                      |          |      |      |
|                                   | Net income attributable to the Company                            | \$ 11,754                              | \$ 747   | \$ 42,524                            | \$ 7,164 |      |      |
|                                   | Less applicable preferred share dividends                         | (1,407)                                | (1,407)  | (2,813)                              | (2,813)  |      |      |
|                                   | Less allocation of earnings to participating securities           | (179)                                  | (195)    | (616)                                | (334)    |      |      |
|                                   | Net income (loss) available to common shareholders of the Company | 10,168                                 | (855)    | 39,095                               | 4,017    |      |      |
| Denominator                       |   |  |          |                                      |          |      |      |
|                                   | Basic weighted average common shares                              | 34,249                                 | 31,068   | 32,767                               | 31,024   |      |      |
|                                   | Effect of Exchangeable Notes                                      | ---                                    | 223      | ---                                  | 223      |      |      |
|                                   | Effect of outstanding options                                     | 78                                     | 155      | 80                                   | 162      |      |      |
|                                   | Diluted weighted average common shares                            | 34,327                                 | 31,446   | 32,847                               | 31,409   |      |      |
| Basic earnings per common share   |   |  |          |                                      |          |      |      |
|                                   | Income (loss) from continuing operations                          | \$ .30                                 | \$ (.03) | \$ 1.19                              | \$ .13   |      |      |
|                                   | Net income (loss)   | \$ .30                                 | \$ (.03) | \$ 1.19                              | \$ .13   |      |      |
| Diluted earnings per common share |   |  |          |                                      |          |      |      |
|                                   | Income (loss) from continuing operations                          | \$ .30                                 | \$ (.03) | \$ 1.19                              | \$ .13   |      |      |
|                                   | Net income (loss)   | \$ .30                                 | \$ (.03) | \$ 1.19                              | \$ .13   |      |      |

Our Exchangeable Notes are included in the diluted earnings per share computation, if the effect is dilutive, using the treasury stock method. In applying the treasury stock method, the effect will be dilutive if the average market price of our common shares for at least 20 trading days in the 30 consecutive trading days at the end of each quarter is higher than the exchange rate of \$36.08 per share.

The computation of diluted earnings per share excludes options to purchase common shares when the exercise price is greater than the average market price of the common shares for the period. No options were excluded from the computations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The assumed conversion of the partnership units held by the noncontrolling interest limited partner as of the beginning of the year, which would result in the elimination of earnings allocated to the noncontrolling interest in the Operating Partnership, would have no impact on earnings per share since the allocation of earnings to a partnership unit, as if converted, is equivalent to earnings allocated to a common share.

The Company's unvested restricted share awards contain non-forfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents. In accordance with FSP EITF 03-06-1 "Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities", the impact of the unvested restricted share awards on earnings per share has been calculated using the two-class method whereby earnings are allocated to the unvested restricted share awards based on dividends declared and the unvested restricted shares' participation rights in undistributed earnings.



## 10. Derivatives

We are exposed to various market risks, including changes in interest rates. Market risk is the potential loss arising from adverse changes in market rates and prices, such as interest rates. We may periodically enter into certain interest rate protection and interest rate swap agreements to effectively convert floating rate debt to a fixed rate basis. We do not enter into derivatives or other financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

In accordance with our derivatives policy, all derivatives are assessed for effectiveness at the time the contracts are entered into and are assessed for effectiveness on an on-going basis at each quarter end. All of our derivatives have been designated as cash flow hedges. Unrealized gains and losses related to the effective portion of our derivatives are recognized in other comprehensive income and gains or losses related to ineffective portions are recognized in the income statement. At June 30, 2009, all of the derivatives which we originally entered into were considered effective.

The table below presents the fair value of our derivative financial instruments as well as their classification on the Balance Sheet as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 (in millions).

|   |                     | Liability Derivatives        |               |                               |               |
|---|---------------------|------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
|   |                     | As of<br>June 30, 2009       |               | As of<br>December 31,<br>2008 |               |
|   | Notional<br>amounts | Balance<br>sheet<br>location | Fair<br>value | Balance<br>sheet<br>location  | Fair<br>value |
| Derivatives designated as hedging instruments under Statement 133         |                     |                              |               |                               |               |
| Interest rate swap agreements   | \$235.0             | Other liabilities            | \$10.5        | Other liabilities             | \$11.7        |
| Derivatives not designated as hedging Instruments under Statement 133 (1) |                     |                              |               |                               |               |
| Interest rate swap agreement  | 35.0                | Other liabilities            | 1.1           | N/A                           | N/A           |
| Total derivatives   | \$270.0             |                              | \$11.6        |                               | \$11.7        |

(1) The derivative not designated as a hedging instrument was the interest rate swap agreement assumed when we purchased the remaining 50% interest in the joint venture that owned the outlet center in Myrtle Beach, SC on Hwy 17. We could not qualify for hedge accounting for this assumed derivative which had a fair value of \$1.7 million upon acquisition and was recorded in other liabilities in the balance sheet. Changes in fair value of this derivative are recorded through the statement of operations until its expiration in March 2010.

The remaining net benefit from a derivative settled during 2005 in accumulated other comprehensive income was an unamortized balance as of June 30, 2009 of \$2.3 million which will amortize into the statement of operations through October 2015.

11. Fair Value Measurements

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, issued Statement No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements", or FAS 157. FAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. We adopted the provisions of FAS 157 as of January 1, 2008 for financial instruments. Although the adoption of FAS 157 did not materially impact our financial condition, results of operations or cash flow, we are now required to provide additional disclosures as part of our consolidated financial statements.

In February 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position 157-2, "Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157", which delayed the effective date of FAS 157 to January 1, 2009 for all nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except for items recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually). Rental property is considered a nonfinancial asset and the testing of it for impairment is considered nonrecurring in nature. Effective January 1, 2009, the definition of fair value in the context of an impairment evaluation became the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date.

FAS 157 established a three-tier fair value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used in measuring fair value. These tiers are defined as follows:

| Tier    | Description   |
|---------|---|
| Level 1 | Defined as observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets  |
| Level 2 | Defined as inputs other than quoted prices in active markets that are either directly or indirectly observable                        |
| Level 3 | Defined as unobservable inputs in which little or no market data exists, therefore requiring an entity to develop its own assumptions |

The valuation of our financial instruments is determined using widely accepted valuation techniques including discounted cash flow analysis on the expected cash flows of each derivative. This analysis reflects the contractual terms of the derivatives, including the period to maturity, and uses observable market-based inputs, including interest rate curves. The valuation also includes a discount for counterparty risk. We have determined that our derivative valuations are classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

For assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis, quantitative disclosure of the fair value for each major category of assets and liabilities is presented below:

|                                      | Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using (in millions) |                                     |                                 |
|--------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|                                      | Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets          | Significant other observable inputs | Significant unobservable inputs |
|                                      | Level 1   | Level 2                             | Level 3                         |
|                                      |   |                                     |                                 |
| Liabilities:                         |   |                                     |                                 |
| Derivative financial instruments (1) | ---   | \$(11.6)                            | ---                             |

(1) Included in "Other liabilities" in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet.

For assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis, quantitative disclosure of the fair value for each major category of assets and liabilities is presented below:

|                                     | Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using (in millions) |                                     |                                 |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|                                     | Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets          | Significant other observable inputs | Significant unobservable inputs |
|                                     | Level 1   | Level 2                             | Level 3                         |
|                                     |   |                                     |                                 |
| Assets:                             |   |                                     |                                 |
| Long lived assets held and used (1) | ---   | ---                                 | \$2.0                           |

(1) In accordance with FAS 144, long-lived assets held and used with a carrying amount of \$7.2 million were written down to their fair value of \$2.0 million, resulting in an impairment charge of \$5.2 million, which was



included in earnings for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009. The new basis is included in "Land" and "Building, improvements and fixtures" in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet.

#### 12. Non-Cash Activities

Non-cash financing activities that occurred during the 2009 period included the assumption of mortgage debt in the amount of \$35.8 million, including a discount of \$1.5 million related to the acquisition of the remaining 50% interest in the Myrtle Beach Hwy 17 joint venture as discussed in Note 4. In addition, rental property increased by \$32.0 million related to the fair market valuation of our previously held interest in excess of carrying amount.

We also completed a non-cash exchange offering, as described in Note 6, which resulted in the retirement of \$142.3 million in principal amount of Exchangeable Notes which had a carrying value of \$135.3 million. These notes were retired with the issuance of approximately 4.9 million common shares.

During the second quarter of 2008, upon the closing of our \$235.0 million LIBOR based unsecured term loan facility, we determined that we were unlikely to enter into a US Treasury based debt offering. In accordance with FAS 133, we reclassified to earnings in the period the amount recorded in other comprehensive income, \$17.8 million, related to our US Treasury rate lock derivatives. This amount had been frozen as of March 31, 2008 when we determined that the probability of the forecast transaction was “reasonably possible” instead of “probable”. Effective April 1, 2008, we discontinued hedge accounting and the changes in the fair value of the derivative contracts subsequent to April 1, 2008 resulted in a gain of \$8.9 million. This accounting treatment of these derivatives resulted in a net loss of \$8.9 million and was reflected in the statement of cash flows as a non-cash operating activity. The \$8.9 million cash settlement of the derivatives during the second quarter was reflected in the statement of cash flows as a change in accounts payable and accrued expenses.

We purchase capital equipment and incur costs relating to construction of facilities, including tenant finishing allowances. Expenditures included in construction trade payables as of June 30, 2009 and 2008 amounted to \$6.3 million and \$28.4 million, respectively.

### 13. Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (“SFAS”) No. 165, “Subsequent Events”, or FAS 165. FAS 165 sets forth the period after the balance sheet date during which management of a reporting entity should evaluate events or transactions that may occur for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements, the circumstances under which an entity should recognize events or transactions occurring after the balance sheet date in its financial statements, and disclosures that an entity should make about events or transactions that occurred after the balance sheet date. FAS 165 requires the disclosure of the date through which an entity has evaluated subsequent events and the basis for that date. This disclosure should alert all users of financial statements that an entity has not evaluated subsequent events after that date in the set of financial statements being presented. FAS 165 is effective for financial periods ending after June 15, 2009. We adopted the requirements of FAS 165 during the second quarter of 2009.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1, Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments (“FSP FAS 107-1”). FSP FAS 107-1 amends SFAS No. 107 to require disclosures about fair value of financial instruments for interim reporting periods of publicly traded companies in addition to the annual financial statements. FSP FAS 107-1 also amends APB No. 28 to require those disclosures in summarized financial information at interim reporting periods. FSP FAS 107-1 is effective for interim periods ending after June 15, 2009. Prior period presentation is not required for comparative purposes at initial adoption.

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 167, “Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R)”, or FAS 167, which requires ongoing assessments to determine whether an entity is a variable entity and requires qualitative analysis to determine whether an enterprise’s variable interest(s) give it a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity. In addition, FAS 167 requires enhanced disclosures about an enterprise’s involvement in a variable interest entity. FAS 167 is effective for the fiscal year that begins after November 15, 2009. We are currently evaluating the impact FAS 167 may have on our financial position, results of operations and disclosures.

### 14. Subsequent Events

In July 2009, Wells Fargo Bank agreed to increase the size of their unsecured line of credit from \$100.0 million to \$125.0 million allowing us to continue to maintain \$325.0 million in unsecured lines of credit.

Also in August 2009, we closed on the sale of an outparcel of land at our property in Washington, PA. The sales price totaled \$1.8 million, which is net of the assumed liability with a carrying amount of \$2.6 million transferred to the buyer representing their portion of the tax increment financing associated with the property described in Note 3.

On August 7, 2009, Stanley K. Tanger, founder of the Company and Chairman of the Board of Directors, notified the Company that, effective September 1, 2009, he will retire as an employee of the Company and resign as Chairman of the Board. Pursuant to Mr. Tanger's employment agreement, as agreed upon by the Company and Mr. Tanger, he will receive a cash severance amount of \$ 3.4 million. Additionally, the Board has approved, upon his retirement, the vesting of 216,000 of the Company's common shares granted to Mr. Tanger pursuant to the Company's Amended and Restated Incentive Award Plan which, under the terms of the initial grant, were not yet fully vested. The Company's Board of Directors currently expects to name a new Chairman prior to the effective date of Mr. Tanger's retirement. Mr. Tanger will continue to serve as a member of the Company's Board of Directors.

## Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The discussion of our results of operations reported in the unaudited, consolidated statements of operations compares the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 with the three and six months ended June 30, 2008. The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the unaudited consolidated financial statements appearing elsewhere in this report. Historical results and percentage relationships set forth in the unaudited, consolidated statements of operations, including trends which might appear, are not necessarily indicative of future operations. Unless the context indicates otherwise, the term "Company" refers to Tanger Factory Outlet Centers, Inc. and subsidiaries and the term "Operating Partnership" refers to Tanger Properties Limited Partnership and subsidiaries. The terms "we", "our" and "us" refer to the Company or the Company and the Operating Partnership together, as the text requires.

## Cautionary Statements

Certain statements made below are forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. We intend for such forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe harbor provisions for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Reform Act of 1995 and included this statement for purposes of complying with these safe harbor provisions. Forward-looking statements, which are based on certain assumptions and describe our future plans, strategies and expectations, are generally identifiable by use of the words "believe", "expect", "intend", "anticipate", "estimate", "project", or similar expressions. You should not rely on forward-looking statements since they involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which are, in some cases, beyond our control and which could materially affect our actual results, performance or achievements. Factors which may cause actual results to differ materially from current expectations include, but are not limited to, those set forth under Item 1A – "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. There have been no material changes to the risk factors listed there through June 30, 2009.

## General Overview

At June 30, 2009, our consolidated portfolio included 31 wholly owned outlet centers in 21 states totaling 9.2 million square feet compared to 29 wholly owned outlet centers in 21 states totaling 8.5 million square feet at June 30, 2008. The changes in the number of outlet centers, square feet and number of states are due to the following events:

|                     |                                     | No. of<br>Centers | Square Feet<br>(000's) | States |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|--------|
| As of June 30, 2008 |                                     | 29                | 8,453                  | 21     |
| New development:    |                                     |                   |                        |        |
|                     | Washington, Pennsylvania            | 1                 | 371                    | ---    |
| Expansion:          |                                     |                   |                        |        |
|                     | Commerce II, Georgia                | ---               | 23                     | ---    |
| Acquisition:        |                                     |                   |                        |        |
|                     | Myrtle Beach Hwy 17, South Carolina | 1                 | 402                    | ---    |
| Other               |                                     | ---               | (8)                    | ---    |
| As of June 30, 2009 |                                     | 31                | 9,241                  | 21     |

Edgar Filing: TANGER FACTORY OUTLET CENTERS INC - Form 10-Q

The following table summarizes certain information for our existing outlet centers in which we have an ownership interest as of June 30, 2009. Except as noted, all properties are fee owned.

| Location                                    | Square Feet | % Occupied |
|---|-------------|------------|
| Wholly Owned Properties                     |             |            |
| Riverhead, New York (1)                     | 729,315     | 98         |
| Rehoboth Beach, Delaware (1)                | 568,868     | 99         |
| Foley, Alabama                              | 557,185     | 91         |
| San Marcos, Texas                           | 442,006     | 99         |
| Myrtle Beach Hwy 501, South Carolina        | 426,417     | 88         |
| Sevierville, Tennessee (1)                  | 419,038     | 100        |
| Myrtle Beach Hwy 17, South Carolina (1) (2) | 402,442     | 99         |
| Hilton Head, South Carolina                 | 388,094     | 85         |
| Washington, Pennsylvania(3)                 | 370,525     | 86         |
| Commerce II, Georgia                        | 370,512     | 95         |
| Charleston, South Carolina                  | 352,315     | 95         |
| Howell, Michigan                            | 324,631     | 94         |
| Branson, Missouri                           | 302,992     | 100        |
| Park City, Utah                             | 298,379     | 99         |
| Locust Grove, Georgia                       | 293,868     | 97         |
| Westbrook, Connecticut                      | 291,051     | 91         |
| Gonzales, Louisiana                         | 282,403     | 100        |
| Williamsburg, Iowa                          | 277,230     | 96         |
| Lincoln City, Oregon                        | 270,280     | 99         |
| Tuscola, Illinois                           | 256,469     | 79         |
| Lancaster, Pennsylvania                     | 255,152     | 97         |
| Tilton, New Hampshire                       | 245,563     | 97         |
| Fort Myers, Florida                         | 198,950     | 92         |
| Commerce I, Georgia                         | 185,750     | 63         |
| Terrell, Texas                              | 177,800     | 94         |
| Barstow, California                         | 171,300     | 100        |
| West Branch, Michigan                       | 112,120     | 96         |
| Blowing Rock, North Carolina                | 104,235     | 100        |
| Nags Head, North Carolina                   | 82,178      | 97         |
| Kittery I, Maine                            | 59,694      | 100        |
| Kittery II, Maine                           | 24,619      | 100        |
| Totals                                      | 9,241,381   |            |
|   |             | 95(3)      |

Unconsolidated Joint Ventures

|                            |         |    |
|----------------------------|---------|----|
| Deer Park, New York (4)    | 684,851 | 80 |
| Wisconsin Dells, Wisconsin | 264,929 | 98 |

(1) These properties or a portion thereof are subject to a ground lease.

(2) Property serves as collateral on a \$35.8 million non-recourse mortgage with an interest rate of LIBOR + 1.40%.

(3)

Excludes the occupancy rate at our Washington, Pennsylvania outlet center which opened during the third quarter of 2008 and has not yet stabilized.

(4) Includes a 29,253 square foot warehouse adjacent to the shopping center.

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Comparison of the three months ended June 30, 2009 to the three months ended June 30, 2008

### BASE RENTALS

Base rentals increased \$4.8 million, or 12%, in the 2009 period compared to the 2008 period. Approximately \$4.0 million of the increase related to our new outlet center in Washington, Pennsylvania which opened in August 2008 and our acquisition in January 2009 of the remaining 50% interest in the joint venture that held the Myrtle Beach Hwy 17, South Carolina center. The Myrtle Beach Hwy 17 outlet center is now wholly-owned and has been consolidated in our 2009 period results. Also, our base rental income increased approximately \$860,000 due to increases in rental rates on lease renewals and incremental rents from re-tenanting vacant space. During the 2009 period, we executed 72 leases totaling approximately 262,000 square feet at an average increase of 14.6%. This compares to our execution of 79 leases totaling approximately 308,000 million square feet at an average increase of 31.1% during the 2008 period.

In addition, termination fees of approximately \$257,000 were recognized in the 2009 period compared to \$43,000 in the 2008 period due to more tenants terminating leases early. Payments received from the early termination of leases are recognized as revenue from the time the payment is receivable until the tenant vacates the space.

Also, included in base rentals is the amortization from the value of the above and below market leases recorded as a result of our property acquisitions as either an increase (in the case of below market leases) or a decrease (in the case of above market leases) to rental income over the remaining term of the associated lease. If a tenant vacates its space prior to the contractual termination of the lease and no rental payments are being made on the lease, any unamortized balance of the related above or below market lease value will be written off and could materially impact our net income positively or negatively. At June 30, 2009, the net liability representing the amount of unrecognized below market lease values totaled approximately \$2.9 million.

### PERCENTAGE RENTALS

Percentage rentals, which represent revenues based on a percentage of tenants' sales volume above predetermined levels (the "breakpoint"), decreased \$180,000 or 16% from the 2008 period to the 2009 period. Sales were negatively impacted by the general weakness in the US economy. Reported tenant comparable sales for our wholly owned properties for the rolling twelve months ended June 30, 2009 decreased 2.7% to \$335 per square foot. Same-space sales is defined as the weighted average sales per square foot reported in space open for the full duration of each comparison period.

### EXPENSE REIMBURSEMENTS

Expense reimbursements, which represent the contractual recovery from tenants of certain common area maintenance, insurance, property tax, promotional, advertising and management expenses, generally fluctuate consistently with the reimbursable property operating expenses to which they relate. Expense reimbursements, expressed as a percentage of property operating expenses, were 88% and 90% in the 2009 and 2008 periods, respectively. This decrease is primarily a function of our lower average occupancy rates for the portfolio during the 2009 period.

### OTHER INCOME

Other income increased \$358,000, or 23%, in the 2009 period as compared to the 2008 period due to management and leasing fees earned from services provided to the Deer Park joint venture which opened in October 2008. This increase in fees was partially offset by a decrease in fees from services provided to the Myrtle Beach Hwy 17 joint venture which became wholly-owned in January 2009. In addition, the two new outlet centers added to the wholly-owned portfolio in 2009 mentioned above incrementally added approximately \$125,000 in other vending income.

#### PROPERTY OPERATING

Property operating expenses increased \$3.3 million, or 19%, in the 2009 period as compared to the 2008 period. The increase is due primarily to the \$2.1 million of incremental operating costs from our new Washington, PA outlet center and the now wholly-owned Myrtle Beach Hwy 17, SC outlet center. Additionally, in 2009 the Easter holiday and the related advertising expenses occurred during the second quarter as compared to the first quarter in 2008.

#### GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE

General and administrative expenses increased \$143,000 or 3%, in the 2009 period as compared to the 2008 period. The increase is due primarily to higher expenses related to reserves for doubtful accounts and bankruptcies and the effect of the restricted shares issued in February 2009. As a percentage of total revenues, general and administrative expenses were 9% and 10% in the 2009 and 2008 periods, respectively.



#### DEPRECIATION AND AMORTIZATION

Depreciation and amortization increased \$5.0 million, or 34%, in the 2009 period compared to the 2008 period. During the first quarter of 2009, we determined that the estimated useful life of the existing Hilton Head I, South Carolina center approximated three years based on the approval received from Beaufort County, South Carolina to implement a redevelopment plan at our Hilton Head I, SC outlet center. As a result of this change in useful lives, additional depreciation and amortization of approximately \$1.2 million was recognized during the three months ended June 30, 2009. The accelerated depreciation and amortization reduced income from continuing operations and net income by approximately \$.03 per share for the three months ended June 30, 2009. The majority of the remaining increase is due the addition of the Washington, PA and Myrtle Beach Hwy 17, SC centers to the wholly-owned portfolio, representing \$3.3 million of depreciation and amortization for the 2009 period.

#### IMPAIRMENT CHARGE

During the second quarter 2009, we determined for our Commerce I, GA outlet center that the estimated future undiscounted cash flows of that property did not exceed the property's carrying value based on deteriorating amounts of net operating income and the expectation that the occupancy rate of the property will significantly decrease in future periods. Therefore, we recorded a \$5.2 million non-cash impairment charge in our consolidated statement of operations which equaled the excess of the property's carrying value over its fair value. We determined the fair value using a market approach whereby we considered the prevailing market income capitalization rates and sales data for transactions involving similar assets. There were no such charges during the 2008 period.

#### INTEREST EXPENSE

Interest expense decreased \$579,000, or 6%, in the 2009 period compared to the 2008 period. The decrease is primarily related to the extinguishment a principal amount of \$142.3 million of debt through the issuance of equity described below. Also, a significant portion of our outstanding debt is comprised of unsecured lines of credit which incur interest based on the LIBOR index plus a credit spread. The 2009 period has seen unprecedented low LIBOR index levels which have reduced the overall borrowing rate associated with our lines of credit. The interest savings associated with lower rates was offset partially by the increase in our average line of credit balances from the 2008 period to the 2009 period due to the funding of the Myrtle Beach Hwy 17 acquisition in January 2009.

#### GAIN ON EARLY EXTINGUISHMENT OF DEBT

In May 2009, Exchangeable Notes of the Operating Partnership in the principal amount of \$142.3 million and a carrying amount of \$135.3 million were exchanged for Company common shares, representing approximately 95.2% of the total Exchangeable Notes outstanding prior to the exchange offer. In the aggregate, the exchange offer resulted in the issuance of approximately 4.9 million Company common shares and the payment of approximately \$1.2 million in cash for accrued and unpaid interest and in lieu of fractional shares. Following settlement of the exchange offer, Exchangeable Notes in the principal amount of approximately \$7.2 million remained outstanding. In connection with the exchange offering, we recognized in income from continuing operations and net income a gain on early extinguishment of debt in the amount of \$10.5 million.

#### EQUITY IN EARNINGS (LOSSES) OF UNCONSOLIDATED JOINT VENTURES

Equity in earnings (losses) of unconsolidated joint ventures decreased \$1.1 million in the 2009 period compared to the 2008 period. The decrease is due mainly to our equity in the losses incurred by the Deer Park property, which opened during October 2008, due to depreciation charges and leverage on the project. We expect results to improve during the stabilization of the property in its first year of operation. In addition, the 2009 period does not include any equity in earnings from the Myrtle Beach Hwy 17 joint venture as we acquired the remaining 50% interest in January 2009.

Comparison of the six months ended June 30, 2009 to the six months ended June 30, 2008

#### BASE RENTALS

Base rentals increased \$10.5 million, or 14%, in the 2009 period compared to the 2008 period. Approximately \$7.9 million of the increase related to our new outlet center in Washington, Pennsylvania which opened in August 2008 and our acquisition in January 2009 of the remaining 50% interest in the joint venture that held the Myrtle Beach Hwy 17, South Carolina center. The Myrtle Beach Hwy 17 outlet center is now wholly-owned and has been consolidated in our 2009 period results. Also, our base rental income increased \$2.3 million due to increases in rental rates on lease renewals and incremental rents from re-tenanting vacant space. During the 2009 period, we executed 285 leases totaling 1.3 million square feet at an average increase of 19.1%. This compares to our execution of 318 leases totaling 1.4 million square feet at an average increase of 25.7% during the 2008 period.

In addition, termination fees of approximately \$946,000 were recognized in the 2009 period compared to \$331,000 in the 2008 period due to more tenants terminating leases early. Payments received from the early termination of leases are recognized as revenue from the time the payment is receivable until the tenant vacates the space.

Also, included in base rentals is the amortization from the value of the above and below market leases recorded as a result of our property acquisitions as either an increase (in the case of below market leases) or a decrease (in the case of above market leases) to rental income over the remaining term of the associated lease. If a tenant vacates its space prior to the contractual termination of the lease and no rental payments are being made on the lease, any unamortized balance of the related above or below market lease value will be written off and could materially impact our net income positively or negatively. At June 30, 2009, the net liability representing the amount of unrecognized below market lease values totaled approximately \$2.9 million.

#### EXPENSE REIMBURSEMENTS

Expense reimbursements, which represent the contractual recovery from tenants of certain common area maintenance, insurance, property tax, promotional, advertising and management expenses, generally fluctuate consistently with the reimbursable property operating expenses to which they relate. Expense reimbursements, expressed as a percentage of property operating expenses, were 88% and 90% in the 2009 and 2008 periods, respectively. This decrease is primarily a function of our lower average occupancy rates for the portfolio during the 2009 period.

#### OTHER INCOME

Other income increased \$674,000, or 23%, in the 2009 period as compared to the 2008 period due to management and leasing fees earned from services provided to the Deer Park joint venture which opened in October 2008. This increase in fees was partially offset by a decrease in fees from services provided to the Myrtle Beach Hwy 17 joint venture which became wholly-owned in January 2009. In addition, the two new outlet centers added to the wholly-owned portfolio in 2009 mentioned above incrementally added approximately \$235,000 in other vending income.

#### PROPERTY OPERATING

Property operating expenses increased \$5.8 million, or 16%, in the 2009 period as compared to the 2008 period. The increase is due primarily to the \$4.3 million of incremental operating costs from our new Washington, PA outlet center and the now wholly-owned Myrtle Beach Hwy 17, SC outlet center. Also, in the first six months of 2009 we incurred an increase of approximately \$400,000 in snow removal costs and higher property tax assessments as compared to the corresponding period in 2008.

#### GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE

General and administrative expenses increased \$807,000 or 7%, in the 2009 period as compared to the 2008 period. The increase is due primarily to higher expenses related to reserves for doubtful accounts and bankruptcies and the effect of the restricted shares issued in February 2009 and 2008. As a percentage of total revenues, general and administrative expenses were 9% and 10% in the 2009 and 2008 periods, respectively.

#### DEPRECIATION AND AMORTIZATION

Depreciation and amortization increased \$9.8 million, or 32%, in the 2009 period compared to the 2008 period. During the first quarter of 2009, we determined that the estimated useful life of the existing Hilton Head I, South Carolina center approximated three years based on the approval received from Beaufort County, South Carolina to implement a redevelopment plan at our Hilton Head I, SC outlet center. As a result of this change in useful lives, additional depreciation and amortization of approximately \$2.4 million was recognized during the six months ended June 30, 2009. The accelerated depreciation and amortization reduced income from continuing operations and net income by approximately \$.06 per share for the six months ended June 30, 2009. The majority of the remaining increase is due the addition of the Washington, PA and Myrtle Beach Hwy 17, SC centers to the wholly-owned portfolio, representing \$6.7 million of depreciation and amortization for the 2009 period.

#### IMPAIRMENT CHARGE

During the second quarter 2009, we determined for our Commerce I, GA outlet center that the estimated future undiscounted cash flows of that property did not exceed the property's carrying value based on deteriorating amounts of net operating income and the expectation that the occupancy rate of the property will significantly decrease in future periods. Therefore, we recorded a \$5.2 million non-cash impairment charge in our consolidated statement of operations which equaled the excess of the property's carrying value over its fair value. We determined the fair value using a market approach whereby we considered the prevailing market income capitalization rates and sales data for transactions involving similar assets. There were no such charges during the 2008 period.



#### GAIN ON EARLY EXTINGUISHMENT OF DEBT

In May 2009, Exchangeable Notes of the Operating Partnership in the principal amount of \$142.3 million and a carrying amount of \$135.3 million were exchanged for Company common shares, representing approximately 95.2% of the total Exchangeable Notes outstanding prior to the exchange offer. In the aggregate, the exchange offer resulted in the issuance of approximately 4.9 million Company common shares and the payment of approximately \$1.2 million in cash for accrued and unpaid interest and in lieu of fractional shares. Following settlement of the exchange offer, Exchangeable Notes in the principal amount of approximately \$7.2 million remained outstanding. In connection with the exchange offering, we recognized in income from continuing operations and net income a gain on early extinguishment of debt in the amount of \$10.5 million.

#### GAIN ON FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENT OF PREVIOUSLY HELD INTEREST IN ACQUIRED JOINT VENTURE

On January 5, 2009, we purchased the remaining 50% interest in the Myrtle Beach Hwy 17 joint venture for a cash price of \$32.0 million which was net of the assumption of the existing mortgage loan of \$35.8 million. The acquisition was funded by amounts available under our unsecured lines of credit. We had owned a 50% interest in the Myrtle Beach Hwy 17 joint venture since its formation in 2001 and accounted for it under the equity method. The joint venture is now 100% owned by us and is consolidated in 2009. The acquisition was accounted for under the provisions of FAS 141R which was effective January 1, 2009. Under these provisions we recorded a gain of \$31.5 million which represented the difference between the fair market value of our previously owned interest and its cost basis.

#### LOSS ON SETTLEMENT OF US TREASURY RATE LOCKS

During the second quarter of 2008, we settled two interest rate lock protection agreements which were intended to fix the US Treasury index at an average rate of 4.62% for an aggregate of \$200 million of new debt for 10 years from July 2008. We originally entered into these agreements in 2005 in anticipation of a public debt offering during 2008, based on the 10 year US Treasury rate. Upon the closing of the LIBOR based unsecured term loan facility, we determined that we were unlikely to execute such a US Treasury based debt offering. The settlement of the interest rate lock protection agreements, at a total cost of \$8.9 million, was reflected as a loss on settlement of US treasury rate locks in our consolidated statements of operations.

#### EQUITY IN EARNINGS (LOSSES) OF UNCONSOLIDATED JOINT VENTURES

Equity in earnings (losses) of unconsolidated joint ventures decreased \$2.4 million in the 2009 period compared to the 2008 period. The decrease is due mainly to our equity in the losses incurred by the Deer Park property, which opened during October 2008, due to depreciation charges and leverage on the project. We expect results to improve during the stabilization of the property in its first year of operation. In addition, the 2009 period does not include any equity in earnings from the Myrtle Beach Hwy 17 joint venture as we acquired the remaining 50% interest in January 2009.

#### LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

##### Operating Activities

Property rental income represents our primary source of net cash provided by operating activities. Rental and occupancy rates are the primary factors that influence property rental income levels. Since the 2008 period, we have added two outlet centers to our wholly-owned portfolio thus increasing our cash provided by operations. In addition, our rental rates upon renewal and re-tenanting have increased in each of the periods between the 2008 period and the 2009 period. These two factors have more than offset the slight decrease in overall portfolio occupancy on a comparative basis between the periods. The 2008 period also included a cash payment of \$8.9 million for the settlement of two US treasury rate lock derivative contracts.

### Investing Activities

Cash flow used in investing activities was higher in the 2008 period by \$10.8 million due to additions to rental property from construction expenditures related to our Washington, PA outlet center which opened in August 2008 and two major renovation projects which were on-going during most of the 2008 period. There were no significant renovation or construction projects during the 2009 period. However, the 2009 period includes the acquisition of the remaining 50% interest in the joint venture that held the Myrtle Beach Hwy 17, South Carolina center at a cash purchase price of \$32.0 million.

### Financing Activities

Cash related to financing activities declined from the 2008 period to the 2009 period as our construction activities were significantly less on a comparable basis. The 2009 period includes the financing of the Myrtle Beach Hwy 17 joint venture acquisition mentioned above but in light of the current economic environment, construction and renovation activity in 2009 is being undertaken on a more conservative basis. The 2008 period included significant construction related financing activities for our Washington, PA center which was completed during August 2008.

### Current Developments and Dispositions

We intend to continue to grow our portfolio by developing, expanding or acquiring additional outlet centers. In the section below, we describe the new developments that are either currently planned, underway or recently completed. However, you should note that any developments or expansions that we, or a joint venture that we are involved in, have planned or anticipated may not be started or completed as scheduled, or may not result in accretive net income or funds from operations. In addition, we regularly evaluate acquisition or disposition proposals and engage from time to time in negotiations for acquisitions or dispositions of properties. We may also enter into letters of intent for the purchase or sale of properties. Any prospective acquisition or disposition that is being evaluated or which is subject to a letter of intent may not be consummated, or if consummated, may not result in an increase in liquidity, net income or funds from operations.

## WHOLLY OWNED CURRENT DEVELOPMENTS

### Expansions at Existing Centers

During the second quarter of 2009, we completed construction of a 23,000 square foot expansion at our Commerce II, Georgia outlet center. The majority of the tenants opened during the second quarter of 2009.

Commitments to complete construction of our renovations and other capital expenditure requirements amounted to approximately \$2.0 million at June 30, 2009. Commitments for construction represent only those costs contractually required to be paid by us.

### Potential Future Developments

We currently have an option for a new development site located in Mebane, North Carolina on the highly traveled Interstate 40/85 corridor, which sees over 83,000 cars daily. The site is located halfway between the Research Triangle Park area of Raleigh, Durham, and Chapel Hill, and the Triad area of Greensboro, High Point and Winston-Salem. During the option period we will be analyzing the viability of the site and determining whether to proceed with the development of a center at this location.

We currently have an option for a new development site located in Irving, Texas, which would be our third in the state. The site is strategically located west of Dallas at the North West quadrant of busy State Highway 114 and Loop 12 and will be the first major project planned for the Texas Stadium Redevelopment Area. It is also adjacent to the upcoming DART light rail line (and station stop) connecting downtown Dallas to the Las Colinas Urban Center, the Irving Convention Center and the Dallas/Fort Worth Airport.

At this time, we are in the initial study period on these potential new locations. As such, there can be no assurance that either of these sites will ultimately be developed. These projects, if realized, would be primarily funded by amounts available under our unsecured lines of credit but could also be funded by other sources of capital such as collateralized construction loans, public debt or equity offerings as necessary or available.

### Financing Arrangements

At June 30, 2009, approximately 95% of our outstanding debt represented unsecured borrowings and approximately 95% of the gross book value of our real estate portfolio was unencumbered. We maintain unsecured, revolving lines of credit that provided for unsecured borrowings of up to \$325.0 million. One of our lines of credit expired June 30, 2009 but we closed on a transaction in July 2009 with Wells Fargo Bank to increase the size of their unsecured line of credit from \$100.0 million to \$125.0 million, thus absorbing the \$25.0 million line expiration.

In May 2009, Exchangeable Notes of the Operating Partnership in the principal amount of \$142.3 million and a carrying amount of \$135.3 million were exchanged for Company common shares, representing approximately 95.2% of the total Exchangeable Notes outstanding prior to the exchange offer. In the aggregate, the exchange offer resulted in the issuance of approximately 4.9 million Company common shares and the payment of approximately \$1.2 million in cash for accrued and unpaid interest and in lieu of fractional shares. Following settlement of the exchange offer, Exchangeable Notes in the principal amount of approximately \$7.2 million remained outstanding. In connection with the exchange offering, we recognized in income from continuing operations and net income a gain on early extinguishment of debt in the amount of \$10.5 million.





We intend to retain the ability to raise additional capital, including public debt or equity, to pursue attractive investment opportunities that may arise and to otherwise act in a manner that we believe to be in our shareholders' best interests. We have no significant debt maturities until 2011. We are a well-known seasoned issuer with a shelf registration, which we updated in July 2009, that allows us to register unspecified amounts of different classes of securities on Form S-3. To generate capital to reinvest into other attractive investment opportunities, we may also consider the use of additional operational and developmental joint ventures, the sale or lease of outparcels on our existing properties and the sale of certain properties that do not meet our long-term investment criteria. Based on cash provided by operations, existing credit facilities, ongoing negotiations with certain financial institutions and our ability to sell debt or issue equity subject to market conditions, we believe that we have access to the necessary financing to fund the planned capital expenditures during 2009.

We anticipate that adequate cash will be available to fund our operating and administrative expenses, regular debt service obligations, and the payment of dividends in accordance with Real Estate Investment Trust, or REIT, requirements in both the short and long-term. Although we receive most of our rental payments on a monthly basis, distributions to shareholders are made quarterly and interest payments on the senior, unsecured notes are made semi-annually. Amounts accumulated for such payments will be used in the interim to reduce the outstanding borrowings under our existing lines of credit or invested in short-term money market or other suitable instruments.

We believe our current balance sheet position is financially sound; however, due to the current weakness in and unpredictability of the capital and credit markets, we can give no assurance that affordable access to capital will exist between now and 2011 when our next debt maturities occur. As a result, our current primary focus is to strengthen our capital and liquidity position by controlling and reducing construction and overhead costs, generating positive cash flows from operations to cover our dividend and reducing outstanding debt.

On July 9, 2009, our Board of Directors declared a \$.3825 cash dividend per common share payable on August 14, 2009 to each shareholder of record on July 30, 2009, and caused a \$.7650 per Operating Partnership unit cash distribution to be paid to the Operating Partnership's noncontrolling interest. The Board of Directors also declared a \$.46875 cash dividend per 7.5% Class C Cumulative Preferred Share payable on August 17, 2009 to holders of record on July 30, 2009.

#### Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The following table details certain information as of June 30, 2009 about various unconsolidated real estate joint ventures in which we have an ownership interest:

| Joint Venture   | Center Location                  | Opening Date | Ownership % | Square Feet | Carrying Value of Investment (in millions) | Total Joint Venture Debt (in millions) |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|--|--|
| Deer Park       | Deer Park, Long Island, New York | 2008         | 33.3%       | 684,851     | \$4.2                                      | \$262.9                                |
| Wisconsin Dells | Wisconsin Dells, Wisconsin       | 2006         | 50%         | 264,929     | \$5.6                                      | \$25.3                                 |

We may issue guarantees for the debt of a joint venture in order for the joint venture to obtain funding or to obtain funding at a lower cost than could be obtained otherwise. We are party to a joint and several guarantee with respect to the construction loan obtained by the Wisconsin Dells joint venture during the first quarter of 2006, which currently has a balance of \$25.3 million. We are also party to a joint and several guarantee with respect to the loans obtained by the Deer Park joint venture which currently have a balance of \$262.9 million.

Each of the above ventures contains provisions where a venture partner can trigger certain provisions and force the other partners to either buy or sell their investment in the joint venture. Should this occur, we may be required to sell the property to the venture partner or incur a significant cash outflow in order to maintain ownership of these outlet centers.

The following table details our share of the debt maturities of the unconsolidated joint ventures as of June 30, 2009 (in thousands):

| Joint Venture   | Our Portion of Joint Venture Debt | M a t u r i t y<br>Date | Interest Rate           |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Deer Park       | \$87,640                          | 5/17/2011(1)            | L i b o r + 1.375-3.50% |
| Wisconsin Dells | \$12,625                          | 2/24/2010               | Libor + 1.30%           |

(1) The Deer Park mortgage has a one-year extension option which is exercisable at the May 17, 2011 initial maturity date.

## Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Refer to our 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K for a discussion of our critical accounting policies which include principles of consolidation, acquisition of real estate, cost capitalization, impairment of long-lived assets and revenue recognition. There have been no material changes to these policies in 2009.

## Related Party Transactions

As noted above in “Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements”, we are 50% owners of the Wisconsin Dells joint venture and a 33.3% owner in the Deer Park joint venture currently and were a 50% owner of Myrtle Beach Hwy 17 during 2008. These joint ventures pay us management, leasing, marketing and development fees, which we believe approximate current market rates, for services provided to the joint ventures. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, we recognized the following fees (in thousands):

|                        | Three<br>Months Ended |        | Six Months Ended |        |
|------------------------|-----------------------|--------|------------------|--------|
|                        | June 30,              |        | June 30,         |        |
|                        | 2009                  | 2008   | 2009             | 2008   |
| Fee:                   |                       |        |                  |        |
| Management and leasing | \$ 494                | \$ 264 | \$ 965           | \$ 492 |
| Marketing              | 40                    | 31     | 79               | 65     |
| Total Fees             | \$ 534                | \$ 295 | \$ 1,044         | \$ 557 |

Tanger Family Limited Partnership is a related party which holds a limited partnership interest in and is the noncontrolling interest of the Operating Partnership. Stanley K. Tanger, the Company’s Chairman of the Board, is the sole general partner of the Tanger Family Limited Partnership. The only material related party transaction with the Tanger Family Limited Partnership is the payment of quarterly distributions of earnings which were \$4.6 million and \$4.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

## Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (“SFAS”) No. 165, “Subsequent Events”, or FAS 165. FAS 165 sets forth the period after the balance sheet date during which management of a reporting entity should evaluate events or transactions that may occur for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements, the circumstances under which an entity should recognize events or transactions occurring after the balance sheet date in its financial statements, and disclosures that an entity should make about events or transactions that occurred after the balance sheet date. FAS 165 requires the disclosure of the date through which an entity has evaluated subsequent events and the basis for that date. This disclosure should alert all users of financial statements that an entity has not evaluated subsequent events after that date in the set of financial statements being presented. FAS 165 is effective for financial periods ending after June 15, 2009. We adopted the requirements of FAS 165 during the second quarter of 2009.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1, Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments (“FSP FAS 107-1”). FSP FAS 107-1 amends SFAS No. 107 to require disclosures about fair value of financial instruments for interim reporting periods of publicly traded companies in addition to the annual financial statements. FSP FAS 107-1 also amends APB No. 28 to require those disclosures in summarized financial information at interim reporting periods. FSP FAS 107-1 is effective for interim periods ending after June 15, 2009. Prior period

presentation is not required for comparative purposes at initial adoption.

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 167, "Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R)", or FAS 167, which requires ongoing assessments to determine whether an entity is a variable entity and requires qualitative analysis to determine whether an enterprise's variable interest(s) give it a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity. In addition, FAS 167 requires enhanced disclosures about an enterprise's involvement in a variable interest entity. FAS 167 is effective for the fiscal year that begins after November 15, 2009. We are currently evaluating the impact FAS 167 may have on our financial position, results of operations and disclosures.

## Funds From Operations

Funds from Operations, or FFO, represents income before extraordinary items and gains (losses) on sale or disposal of depreciable operating properties, plus depreciation and amortization uniquely significant to real estate and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures.

FFO is intended to exclude historical cost depreciation of real estate as required by Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, or GAAP, which assumes that the value of real estate assets diminishes ratably over time. Historically, however, real estate values have risen or fallen with market conditions. Because FFO excludes depreciation and amortization unique to real estate, gains and losses from property dispositions and extraordinary items, it provides a performance measure that, when compared year over year, reflects the impact to operations from trends in occupancy rates, rental rates, operating costs, development activities and interest costs, providing perspective not immediately apparent from net income.

We present FFO because we consider it an important supplemental measure of our operating performance and believe it is frequently used by securities analysts, investors and other interested parties in the evaluation of REITs, many of which present FFO when reporting their results. FFO is widely used by us and others in our industry to evaluate and price potential acquisition candidates. The National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, Inc., of which we are a member, has encouraged its member companies to report their FFO as a supplemental, industry-wide standard measure of REIT operating performance. In addition, a percentage of bonus compensation to certain members of management is based on our FFO performance.

FFO has significant limitations as an analytical tool, and you should not consider it in isolation, or as a substitute for analysis of our results as reported under GAAP. Some of these limitations are:

- § FFO does not reflect our cash expenditures, or future requirements, for capital expenditures or contractual commitments;
- § FFO does not reflect changes in, or cash requirements for, our working capital needs;
- § Although depreciation and amortization are non-cash charges, the assets being depreciated and amortized will often have to be replaced in the future, and FFO does not reflect any cash requirements for such replacements;
- § FFO, which includes discontinued operations, may not be indicative of our ongoing operations; and
- § Other companies in our industry may calculate FFO differently than we do, limiting its usefulness as a comparative measure.

Because of these limitations, FFO should not be considered as a measure of discretionary cash available to us to invest in the growth of our business or our dividend paying capacity. We compensate for these limitations by relying primarily on our GAAP results and using FFO only supplementally.

Below is a reconciliation of net income to FFO for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 as well as other data for those respective periods (in thousands):

|                              | Three months ended<br>June 30, |        | Six months ended<br>June 30, |          |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------|------------------------------|----------|
|                              | 2009                           | 2008   | 2009                         | 2008     |
| <b>FUNDS FROM OPERATIONS</b> |                                |        |                              |          |
| Net income                   | \$ 13,587                      | \$ 618 | \$ 50,055                    | \$ 8,016 |
| Adjusted for:                |                                |        |                              |          |

Edgar Filing: TANGER FACTORY OUTLET CENTERS INC - Form 10-Q

|   |           |           |           |           |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Depreciation and amortization uniquely significant to         |           |           |           |           |
| real estate – wholly-owned                                    | 19,530    | 14,608    | 39,808    | 30,116    |
| Depreciation and amortization uniquely significant to         |           |           |           |           |
| real estate – unconsolidated                                  |           |           |           |           |
| joint ventures  | 1,223     | 651       | 2,389     | 1,303     |
| Gain on fair value measurement of previously held interest in |           |           |           |           |
| acquired joint venture  | ---       | ---       | (31,497)  | ---       |
| Funds from operations (FFO)                                   | 34,340    | 15,877    | 60,755    | 39,435    |
| Preferred share dividends                                     | (1,407)   | (1,407)   | (2,813)   | (2,813)   |
| Allocation of earnings to participating securities            | (452)     | (197)     | (758)     | (448)     |
| Funds from operations available to common shareholders and    |           |           |           |           |
| noncontrolling interest in                                    |           |           |           |           |
| Operating Partnership   | \$ 32,481 | \$ 14,273 | \$ 57,184 | \$ 36,174 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding (1)                       | 40,394    | 37,513    | 38,914    | 37,476    |

(1) Includes the dilutive effect of options and Exchangeable Notes and assumes the partnership units of the Operating Partnership held by the noncontrolling interest are converted to common shares of the Company.

## Economic Conditions and Outlook

The majority of our leases contain provisions designed to mitigate the impact of inflation. Such provisions include clauses for the escalation of base rent and clauses enabling us to receive percentage rentals based on tenants' gross sales (above predetermined levels, which we believe often are lower than traditional retail industry standards) which generally increase as prices rise. Most of the leases require the tenant to pay their share of property operating expenses, including common area maintenance, real estate taxes, insurance and advertising and promotion, thereby reducing exposure to increases in costs and operating expenses resulting from inflation.

While we believe outlet stores will continue to be a profitable and fundamental distribution channel for many brand name manufacturers, some retail formats are more successful than others. As typical in the retail industry, certain tenants have closed, or will close, certain stores by terminating their lease prior to its natural expiration or as a result of filing for protection under bankruptcy laws.

During 2009, we have approximately 1.5 million square feet, or 16%, of our wholly-owned portfolio coming up for renewal. If we were unable to successfully renew or release a significant amount of this space on favorable economic terms, the loss in rent could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Reported tenant comparable sales for our wholly owned properties for the rolling twelve months ended June 30, 2009 decreased 2.7% to \$335 per square foot. We have renewed 69% of the 1.5 million square feet that are coming up for renewal in 2009 with the existing tenants at a 12% increase in the average base rental rate compared to the expiring rate. We also re-tenanted 224,000 square feet during the first six months of 2009 at a 47% increase in the average base rental rate. In addition, we continue to attract and retain additional tenants. Our outlet centers typically include well-known, national, brand name companies. By maintaining a broad base of well-known tenants and a geographically diverse portfolio of properties located across the United States, we reduce our operating and leasing risks. No one tenant (including affiliates) accounts for more than 6% of our combined base and percentage rental revenues. Accordingly, although we can give no assurance, we do not expect any material adverse impact on our results of operations and financial condition as a result of leases to be renewed or stores to be released.

As disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, we had several tenants vacate space in 2008. As of June 30, 2009, approximately 44% of this space had been released at base rental rates averaging 54% higher than the average rent being paid by the previous tenants. During the first six months of 2009, we had non-temporary tenants vacate prior to their natural lease expirations representing an additional 35,000 square feet. As of June 30, 2009, approximately 16% of this space has been released at base rental rates averaging 3% higher than the average rent being paid by the previous tenants.

Given current economic conditions it may take longer to re-lease the remaining space and more difficult to achieve similar increases in base rental rates. Also, there may be additional tenants that have not informed us of their intentions and which may close stores in the coming year. There can be no assurances that we will be able to re-lease such space. While the timing of an economic recovery is unclear and these conditions may not improve quickly, we believe in our business and our long-term strategy.

As of June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, occupancy at our wholly-owned centers was 95% and 96%. Consistent with our long-term strategy of re-merchandising centers, we will continue to hold space off the market until an appropriate tenant is identified. While we believe this strategy will add value to our centers in the long-term, it may reduce our average occupancy rates in the near term.

## Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

### Market Risk

We are exposed to various market risks, including changes in interest rates. Market risk is the potential loss arising from adverse changes in market rates and prices, such as interest rates. We may periodically enter into certain interest rate protection and interest rate swap agreements to effectively convert floating rate debt to a fixed rate basis. We do not enter into derivatives or other financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes.



In July 2008 and September 2008, we entered into LIBOR based interest rate swap agreements with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. and BB&T for notional amounts of \$118.0 million and \$117.0 million, respectively. The purpose of these swaps was to fix the interest rate on the \$235.0 million outstanding under the term loan facility completed in June 2008. The swaps fixed the one month LIBOR rate at 3.605% and 3.70%, respectively. When combined with the current spread of 160 basis points which can vary based on changes in our debt ratings, these swap agreements fix our interest rate on the \$235.0 million of variable rate debt at 5.25% until April 1, 2011. At June 30, 2009, the fair value of these contracts was a liability of \$10.5 million. If interest rates decreased 1%, the fair value would be approximately \$14.7 million. The valuation of our financial instruments is determined using widely accepted valuation techniques including discounted cash flow analysis on the expected cash flows of each derivative. This analysis reflects the contractual terms of the derivatives, including the period to maturity, and uses observable market-based inputs, including interest rate curves. The valuation also includes a discount for counterparty risk. We have determined that our derivative valuations are classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

As of June 30, 2009, 26% of our outstanding debt had variable interest rates that were not covered by an interest rate derivative agreement and was therefore subject to market fluctuations. An increase in the LIBOR rate of 100 basis points would result in an increase of approximately \$1.9 million in interest expense on an annual basis. The information presented herein is merely an estimate and has limited predictive value. As a result, the ultimate effect upon our operating results of interest rate fluctuations will depend on the interest rate exposures that arise during the period, our hedging strategies at that time and future changes in the level of interest rates.

The estimated fair value of our debt, consisting of senior unsecured notes, Exchangeable Notes, unsecured term credit facilities and unsecured lines of credit, at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 was \$660.5 million and \$711.8 million, respectively, and its recorded value was \$714.4 million and \$786.9 million, respectively. A 1% increase from prevailing interest rates at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 would result in a decrease in fair value of total debt of approximately \$19.6 million and \$37.4 million, respectively. Fair values were determined, based on level 2 inputs as defined by FAS 157, using discounted cash flow analyses with an interest rate or credit spread similar to that of current market borrowing arrangements.

#### Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Based on the most recent evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, have concluded the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) were effective as of June 30, 2009. There were no changes to the Company's internal controls over financial reporting during the quarter ended June 30, 2009, that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

## PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

## Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Neither the Company nor the Operating Partnership is presently involved in any material litigation nor, to their knowledge, is any material litigation threatened against the Company or the Operating Partnership or its properties, other than routine litigation arising in the ordinary course of business and which is expected to be covered by liability insurance.

## Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes from the risk factors disclosed in the “Risk Factors” section of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

## Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

On May 8, 2009 we held our Annual Meeting of Shareholders. The first matter on which the common shareholders voted was the election of seven directors to serve until the next Annual Meeting of Shareholders. The results of the voting are as shown below:

| Nominees            | Votes For  | Votes Withheld |
|---------------------|------------|----------------|
| Stanley K. Tanger   | 28,625,880 | 339,669        |
| Steven B. Tanger    | 28,645,757 | 319,792        |
| Jack Africk         | 28,380,596 | 584,954        |
| William G. Benton   | 28,402,475 | 563,075        |
| Thomas E. Robinson  | 28,488,141 | 477,409        |
| Allan L. Schuman    | 28,728,883 | 236,667        |
| Bridget Ryan Berman | 28,817,674 | 147,876        |

The second matter on which the common shareholders voted was the ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2009. The results of the voting are as shown below:

| Votes For  | Votes Against | Abstain |
|------------|---------------|---------|
| 28,282,162 | 653,867       | 29,521  |

The third matter on which the common shareholders voted was the reapproval of the performance criteria under the Amended and Restated Incentive Award Plan. The results of the voting are as shown below:

| Votes For  | Votes Against | Abstain |
|------------|---------------|---------|
| 28,185,745 | 724,820       | 54,985  |

## Item 6. Exhibits

- 12.1 Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges and Ratio of Earnings to Combined Fixed Charges and Preferred Dividends.
- 31.1 Principal Executive Officer Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes - Oxley Act of 2002.

- 31.2 Principal Financial Officer Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350,  
as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes - Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Principal Executive Officer Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350,  
as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes - Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Principal Financial Officer Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350,  
as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes - Oxley Act of 2002.

#### SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

TANGER FACTORY OUTLET CENTERS, INC.

By: /s/ Frank C. Marchisello, Jr.  
Frank C. Marchisello, Jr.  
Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer & Secretary

DATE: August 7, 2009

Exhibit Index

| Exhibit No. | Description   |
|-------------|---|
| 12.1        | Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges and Ratio of Earnings to Combined Fixed Charges and Preferred Dividends.   |
| 31.1        | Principal Executive Officer Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes - Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31.2        | Principal Financial Officer Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes - Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.1        | Principal Executive Officer Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes - Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.2        | Principal Financial Officer Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes - Oxley Act of 2002. |