NUVEEN MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND INC Form N-CSRS July 08, 2015

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number 811-05488

Nuveen Municipal Income Fund, Inc. (Exact name of registrant as specified in charter)

Nuveen Investments
333 West Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

Kevin J. McCarthy
Nuveen Investments
333 West Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606
(Name and address of agent for service)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (312) 917-7700

Date of fiscal year end: October 31

Date of reporting period: April 30, 2015

Form N-CSR is to be used by management investment companies to file reports with the Commission not later than 10 days after the transmission to stockholders of any report that is required to be transmitted to stockholders under Rule 30e-1 under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (17 CFR 270.30e-1). The Commission may use the information provided on Form N-CSR in its regulatory, disclosure review, inspection, and policymaking roles.

A registrant is required to disclose the information specified by Form N-CSR, and the Commission will make this information public. A registrant is not required to respond to the collection of information contained in Form N-CSR unless the Form displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") control number. Please direct comments concerning the accuracy of the information collection burden estimate and any suggestions for reducing the burden to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW, Washington, DC 20549-0609. The OMB has reviewed this collection of information under the clearance requirements of 44 U.S.C. ss. 3507.

ITEM 1. REPORTS TO STOCKHOLDERS.		

Life is Complex

Nuveen makes things e-simple.

It only takes a minute to sign up for e-Reports. Once enrolled, you'll receive an e-mail as soon as your Nuveen Investments Fund information is ready—no more waiting for delivery by regular mail. Just click on the link within the e-mail to see the report and save it on your computer if you wish.

Free e-Reports right to your e-mail!

www.investordelivery.com

If you receive your Nuveen Fund dividends and statements from your financial advisor or brokerage account.

or

www.nuveen.com/accountaccess

If you receive your Nuveen Fund dividends and statements directly from Nuveen.

Table of Contents

Chairman's Letter to Shareholders		2
Portfolio Managers' Comments		
Fund Leverage		8
Share Information		Ģ
Risk Considerations		1
Performance Overview and Holding Summaries		12
Portfolios of Investments		20
Statement of Assets and Liabilities		64
Statement of Operations		6.
Statement of Changes in Net Assets		60
Financial Highlights		68
Notes to Financial Statements		72
Additional Fund Information		84
Glossary of Terms Used in this Report		8.
Reinvest Automatically, Easily and Conveniently		8
	Nuveen Investments	3

Chairman's Letter to Shareholders

Dear Shareholders,

A pattern of divergence has emerged in the past year. Steady and moderate growth in the U.S. economy helped sustain the stock market's bull run another year. U.S. bonds also performed well, amid subdued inflation, interest rates that remained unexpectedly low and concerns about the economic well-being of the rest of the world. The stronger domestic economy enabled the U.S. Federal Reserve (Fed) to gradually reduce its large scale bond purchases, known as quantitative easing (QE), without disruption to the markets, as well as beginning to set expectations for a transition into tightening mode.

The economic story outside the U.S. continues to improve. Despite the drama over Greece's debt negotiations, the European economy appears to be stabilizing. Japan is on a moderate recovery path as it emerged from recession late last quarter. China's economy decelerated and, despite running well above the rate of other major global economies, investors feared it looked slow by China's standards. Some areas of concern were a surprisingly steep decline in oil prices, the U.S. dollar's rally and an increase in geopolitical tensions, including the Russia-Ukraine crisis and terrorist attacks across the Middle East and Africa, as well as more recently in Europe.

While a backdrop of healthy economic growth in the U.S. and the continuation of accommodative monetary policy (with the central banks of Japan and Europe stepping in where the Fed has left off) bodes well for the markets, the global outlook has become more uncertain. Indeed, volatility is likely to feature more prominently in the investment landscape going forward. Such conditions underscore the importance of professional investment management. Experienced investment teams have weathered the market's ups and downs in the past and emerged with a better understanding of the sensitivities of their asset class and investment style, particularly in times of turbulence. We recognize the importance of maximizing gains, while striving to minimize volatility.

And, the same is true for investors like you. Maintaining an appropriate time horizon, diversification and relying on practiced investment teams are among your best strategies for achieving your long-term investment objectives. Additionally, I encourage you to communicate with your financial consultant if you have questions about your investment in a Nuveen Fund. On behalf of the other members of the Nuveen Fund Board, we look forward to continuing to earn your trust in the months and years ahead.

William J. Schneider Chairman of the Board June 22, 2015

Portfolio Managers' Comments

Nuveen Municipal Value Fund, Inc. (NUV) Nuveen AMT-Free Municipal Value Fund (NUW) Nuveen Municipal Income Fund, Inc. (NMI) Nuveen Enhanced Municipal Value Fund (NEV)

These Funds feature portfolio management by Nuveen Asset Management, LLC, an affiliate of Nuveen Investments, Inc. Portfolio managers Thomas C. Spalding, CFA, Christopher L. Drahn, CFA, and Steven M. Hlavin discuss key investment strategies and the six-month performance of these four Funds. Tom has managed NUV since its inception in 1987, adding NUW at its inception in 2009. Chris assumed portfolio management responsibility for NMI in 2011. Steve has been involved in the management of NEV since its inception in 2009, taking on full portfolio management responsibility in 2010.

What key strategies were used to manage these Funds during the six-month reporting period ended April 30, 2015?

A backdrop of supportive technical and fundamental factors helped the municipal market rally in the first half of the reporting period. However, conditions turned more volatile in the second three months. Disappointing economic data, uncertainty about the timeline for the Federal Reserve's first rate increase, an oversupply of new issuance and seasonal weakness due to tax loss selling led to greater price fluctuations within the municipal market in early 2015. In this environment, interest rates fell through January then plodded upward, ending the reporting period at nearly the same level where they began. Municipal bond prices were up modestly for the overall six-month reporting period. We continued to take a bottom-up approach to identifying sectors that appeared undervalued as well as individual credits that had the potential to perform well over the long term and helped us keep the Funds fully invested.

Much of our trading activity during the reporting period was focused on reinvesting the cash from called bonds. The decline in municipal yields and the flattening of the municipal yield curve relative to the Treasury curve helped to make refunding deals more attractive and we saw an increase in this activity during the reporting period, as bond issuers sought to lower costs through refinancings.

Buying activity in NUV and NUW was relatively muted early in the reporting period then accelerated in the latter half. NUV purchased new issues for Atlanta Georgia Water and Wastewater Revenue Bonds and Indiana Finance Authority for Indiana University Hospital, as well as emphasized other shorter duration credits with ample liquidity to help keep the Fund within its specified duration ranges.

NMI maintained the same overall positioning during this reporting period, as we continued to emphasize the lower investment grade (A- and BBB rated) segments, and sectors such as health care, which represents the Fund's largest exposure. Additionally, NMI kept its underweight allocation to state and local general obligation (GO) bonds, where we've seen relatively fewer attractive buying opportunities. Purchases during the reporting period included such names as Central Texas Turnpike System and Rush University Medical Center.

Certain statements in this report are forward-looking statements. Discussions of specific investments are for illustration only and are not intended as recommendations of individual investments. The forward-looking statements and other views expressed herein are those of the portfolio managers as of the date of this report. Actual future results or occurrences may differ significantly from those anticipated in any forward-looking statements, and the views expressed herein are subject to change at any time, due to numerous market and other factors. The Funds disclaim any obligation to update publicly or revise any forward-looking statements or views expressed herein.

Ratings shown are the highest rating given by one of the following national rating agencies: Standard & Poor's (S&P), Moody's Investors Service, Inc. (Moody's) or Fitch, Inc. (Fitch) Credit ratings are subject to change. AAA, AA, A and BBB are investment grade ratings; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D are below investment grade ratings. Certain bonds backed by U.S. government or agency securities are regarded as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by these national rating agencies.

Bond insurance guarantees only the payment of principal and interest on the bond when due, and not the value of the bonds themselves, which will fluctuate with the bond market and the financial success of the issuer and the insurer. Insurance relates specifically to the bonds in the portfolio and not to the share prices of a Fund. No representation is made as to the insurers' ability to meet their commitments.

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this section.

Nuveen Investments

5

Portfolio Managers' Comments (continued)

Trading activity was relatively light in NEV, as well. We emphasized credits in the lower investment grade range, with purchases mainly in A- and BBB rated credits. During this reporting period, additions to the Fund represented a diverse group of sectors, including bonds issued for Louisiana Public Facilities Authority Cleco Power LLC, Guam Power Authority, Virgin Islands Gross Tax Receipts and Pennsylvania State Turnpike Commission.

Cash for purchases was generated primarily by proceeds from called and matured bonds, which we worked to redeploy to keep the Funds fully invested and support their income streams. We sold bonds in NEV for the Detroit City School District GOs on concerns about deteriorating credit conditions. The sale of the Detroit City School District bonds also resulted in a decrease in NEV's use of inverse floating rate securities during this reporting period, as these issues were held in a tender option bond trust.

As of April 30, 2015, all of these Funds continued to use inverse floating rate securities. We employ inverse floaters for a variety of reasons, including duration management, income enhancement and total return enhancement. As part of our duration management strategies, NEV also invested in forward interest rates swaps to help reduce price volatility risk to movements in U.S. interest rates relative to the Fund's benchmark. These swaps had a negative impact on performance.

How did the Funds perform during the six-month reporting period ended April 30, 2015?

The tables in each Fund's Performance Overview and Holding Summaries section of this report provide the Funds' total returns for the six-month, one-year, five-year, ten-year and since inception periods ended April 30, 2015. Each Fund's total returns at net asset value (NAV) are compared with the performance of a corresponding market index and Lipper classification average.

For the six months ended April 30, 2015, the total returns at NAV for all four of these Funds exceeded the return for the national S&P Municipal Bond Index. NUV, NUW and NMI outperformed the average return for the Lipper General and Insured Unleveraged Municipal Debt Funds Classification Average, while NEV surpassed the Lipper General & Insured Leveraged Municipal Debt Funds Classification Average return.

Key management factors that influenced the Funds' returns included duration and yield curve positioning, credit exposure and sector allocation. Keeping the Funds fully invested throughout the reporting period also was beneficial for performance. In addition, the use of regulatory leverage was an important positive factor affecting the Funds' performance. Leverage is discussed in more detail later in the Fund Leverage section of this report.

In this reporting period, municipal bonds with intermediate and longer maturities generally outperformed those with shorter maturities. In general, the Funds' durations and yield curve positioning were positive for performance. Consistent with our long term strategy, these Funds tended to have longer durations than the municipal market in general, with overweightings in the longer parts of the yield curve that performed well and underweightings in the underperforming shorter end of the curve. Exposure to zero coupon bonds, which typically have higher durations, was particularly beneficial. Among the four Funds, NUV had the largest weighting in zero coupon bonds, while NEV had the smallest.

During this reporting period, lower rated bonds generally outperformed higher quality bonds, as the municipal market rally continued and investors became more willing to accept risk. These Funds tended to have overweights in A rated and BBB rated bonds and underweights in the AAA rated and AA rated categories relative to their benchmark and credit exposure was generally positive for their performance. As with duration, differences in credit allocation accounted for some of the differences in performance. All of these Funds benefited from their lower rated holdings

during this reporting period.

Among the municipal market sectors, tobacco, health care (especially hospitals), industrial development revenue (IDR) and transportation (especially tollroads) were some of the top-performing groups during this reporting period. Tobacco bonds performed well due to their lower credit quality and the broader demand for higher yields. Health care, IDR and transportation bonds also benefited from investor demand for lower rated credits, as well as generally improving credit fundamentals across these sectors.

Overweight positions in tobacco, health care and transportation bonds were the main positive drivers of performance for NUV and NUW. Also during this reporting period, Moody's upgraded Harris County-Houston Sports Authority bonds following a successful restructuring in November 2014. NUV held the bonds in its portfolio. NMI's performance benefited from a positive contribution from its broad overweight allocation to revenue (as opposed to tax-supported) bonds, most notably in the health care sector. NEV also saw gains from its overweight exposures to hospital and IDR credits, as well as benefited from an overweight position in land-backed issues and no exposure to state GOs, which was among the weakest-performing sectors during this reporting period.

As noted in the previous Shareholder Fund Report, we continue to monitor two situations in the broader municipal market for any impact on the Funds' holdings and performance: the ongoing economic problems of Puerto Rico and the City of Detroit's bankruptcy case. In terms of Puerto Rico holdings, shareholders should note that NUV and NEV had limited exposure to Puerto Rico debt, 0.34% and 1.02% respectively, while NUW and NMI did not hold any Puerto Rico bonds. The Puerto Rico credits offered higher yields, added diversification and triple exemption (i.e., exemption from most federal, state and local taxes). However, Puerto Rico's continued economic weakening, escalating debt service obligations, and long-standing inability to deliver a balanced budget led to multiple downgrades on its debt over the past two years. Puerto Rico general obligation debt is rated Caa2/CCC+/B (below investment grade) by Moody's, S&P and Fitch, respectively, with negative outlooks.

On February 6, 2015, a federal court found Puerto Rico's Recovery Act to be unconstitutional. Though the Commonwealth is pursuing an appeal of the ruling, the outcome is uncertain. Puerto Rico's non-voting Representative in Congress recently introduced legislation that would make chapter 9 bankruptcy available to the Commonwealth's public corporations. A congressional committee hearing was held on February 26, 2015, but the bill has not advanced out of committee.

In light of the evolving economic situation in Puerto Rico, Nuveen's credit analysis of the Commonwealth had previously considered the possibility of a default and restructuring of public corporations and we adjusted our portfolios to prepare for such an outcome, although no such default or restructuring has occurred to date. The Nuveen complex's entire exposure to obligations of the government of Puerto Rico and other Puerto Rico issuers totaled 0.33% of assets under management as of April 30, 2015. As of April 30, 2015, the Funds' limited exposure to Puerto Rico generally was invested in bonds that were insured, pre-refunded (and therefore backed by securities such as U.S. Treasuries), or tobacco settlement bonds. Overall, the small size of our exposures meant that our Puerto Rico holdings had a negligible impact on performance.

The second situation that we continued to monitor was the City of Detroit's filing for chapter 9 in federal bankruptcy court in July 2013. Burdened by decades of population loss, changes in the auto manufacturing industry and significant tax base deterioration, Detroit had been under severe financial stress for an extended period prior to the filing. Before Detroit could exit bankruptcy, issues surrounding the city's complex debt portfolio, numerous union contracts, significant legal questions and more than 100,000 creditors had to be resolved. By October 2014, all of the major creditors had reached an agreement on the city's plan to restructure its \$18.5 billion of debt and emerge from bankruptcy on November 7, 2014. The U.S. Bankruptcy Court approved the city's bankruptcy exit plan, thereby erasing approximately \$7 billion in debt. The settlement plan also provided for \$1.7 billion to be reinvested in the city for improved public safety, blight removal and upgraded basic services.

In August 2014, Detroit announced a tender offer for the city's water and sewer bonds, aimed at replacing some of the \$5.2 billion of existing debt with lower cost bonds. Approximately \$1.5 billion in existing water and sewer bonds were returned to the city by investors under the tender offer, which enabled Detroit to issue new water and sewer bonds, resulting in savings of \$250 million over the life of the bonds. The city also raised about \$150 million to finance sewer system improvements. As part of the deal, Detroit water and sewer bonds also were permanently removed from the city's bankruptcy case, which led to a rally in the bonds' price. The four Funds continued to hold

Detroit water and sewer bonds, and the small position sizes had an insignificant impact on performance.

Fund Leverage

IMPACT OF THE FUNDS' LEVERAGE STRATEGIES ON PERFORMANCE

One important factor impacting the returns of NEV relative to its comparative benchmark was the Fund's use of leverage through its investments in inverse floating rate securities, which represent leveraged investments in underlying bonds. This was also a factor, although less significantly, for NUV, NUW and NMI because their use of leverage is more modest. The Funds use leverage because our research has shown that, over time, leveraging provides opportunities for additional income, particularly in the recent market environment where short-term market rates are at or near historical lows, meaning that the short-term rates the Fund has been paying on its leveraging instruments have been much lower than the interest the Fund has been earning on its portfolio of long-term bonds that it has bought with the proceeds of that leverage. However, use of leverage also can expose the Fund to additional price volatility. When a Fund uses leverage, the Fund will experience a greater increase in its net asset value if the municipal bonds acquired through the use of leverage increase in value, but it will also experience a correspondingly larger decline in its net asset value if the bonds acquired through leverage decline in value, which will make the Fund's net asset value more volatile, and its total return performance more variable over time. In addition, income in levered funds will typically decrease in comparison to unlevered funds when short-term interest rates increase and increase when short-term interest rates decrease. Leverage made a modest positive contribution to the performance of NUV, NUW and NMI and a positive contribution to the performance of NEV over this reporting period.

As of April 30, 2015, the Funds' percentages of leverage are as shown in the accompanying table.

	NUV	NUW	NMI	NEV
Effective Leverage*	1.89%	7.03%	8.93%	31.80%

^{*} Effective Leverage is a Fund's effective economic leverage, and includes both regulatory leverage and the leverage effects of certain derivative and other investments in a Fund's portfolio that increase the Fund's investment exposure. Currently, the leverage effects of Tender Option Bond (TOB) inverse floater holdings are included in effective leverage values.

⁸ Nuveen Investments

Share Information

DISTRIBUTION INFORMATION

The following information regarding the Funds' distributions is current as of April 30, 2015. Each Fund's distribution levels may vary over time based on each Fund's investment activity and portfolio investment value changes.

During the current reporting period, each Fund's monthly distributions to shareholders were as shown in the accompanying table.

	Pe	r Share Ai	mount	S						
Ex-Dividend Date		NU	V		NUV	N	NM	11	NE	V
November 2014	\$	0.0345		\$	0.0670		\$ 0.0425		\$ 0.0800	
December		0.0345			0.0670		0.0425		0.0800	
January		0.0345			0.0650		0.0425		0.0800	
February		0.0345			0.0650		0.0425		0.0800	
March		0.0345			0.0650		0.0425		0.0800	
April 2015		0.0345			0.0650		0.0425		0.0800	
Ordinary Income Distribution*	\$	0.0009		\$	0.0052		\$ 0.0052		\$ 0.0060	
Market Yield**		4.19	%		4.46	%	4.19	%	6.13	%
Taxable-Equivalent Yield**		5.82	%		6.19	%	5.82	%	8.51	%

^{*} Distribution paid in December 2014.

Each Fund in this report seeks to pay regular monthly dividends out of its net investment income at a rate that reflects its past and projected net income performance. To permit each Fund to maintain a more stable monthly dividend, the Fund may pay dividends at a rate that may be more or less than the amount of net income actually earned by the Fund during the period. If a Fund has cumulatively earned more than it has paid in dividends, it will hold the excess in reserve as undistributed net investment income (UNII) as part of the Fund's net asset value. Conversely, if a Fund has cumulatively paid in dividends more than it has earned, the excess will constitute a negative UNII that will likewise be reflected in the Fund's net asset value. Each Fund will, over time, pay all its net investment income as dividends to shareholders.

As of April 30, 2015, the Funds had positive UNII balances, based upon our best estimate, for tax purposes and positive UNII balances for financial reporting purposes.

All monthly dividends paid by each Fund during the current reporting period, were paid from net investment income. If a portion of the Fund's monthly distributions was sourced from or comprised of elements other than net investment income, including capital gains and/or a return of capital, shareholders would have received a notice to that effect. For financial reporting purposes, the composition and per share amounts of each Fund's dividends for the reporting period are presented in this report's Statement of Changes in Net Assets and Financial Highlights, respectively. For income

^{**} Market Yield is based on the Fund's current annualized monthly dividend divided by the Fund's current market price as of the end of the reporting period. Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a federal income tax rate of 28.0%. When comparing a Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.

tax purposes, distribution information for each Fund as of its most recent tax year end is presented in Note 6 — Income Tax Information within the Notes to Financial Statements of this report.

Share Information (continued)

EQUITY SHELF PROGRAMS

During the current reporting period, the following Funds were authorized to issue additional shares through their ongoing equity shelf programs. Under these programs, each Fund, subject to market conditions, may raise additional capital from time to time in varying amounts and offering methods at a net price at or above the Fund's NAV per share. Under the equity shelf programs, the Funds are authorized to issue the following number of additional shares:

	NUW	NEV
Additional Shares Authorized	1,200,000	5,200,000

During the current reporting period, NUW sold common shares through its equity shelf program at a weighted average premium to its NAV per share as shown in the accompanying table.

	NUW
Shares Sold through Equity Shelf Program	112,069
Weighted Average Premium to NAV per Share Sold	1.35%

As of February 28, 2014, NUW's shelf offering registration statements was no longer current. Therefore, the Fund was unable to issue additional shares under its equity shelf programs until a post-effective amendment to the Fund's registration statement was filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"). On January 27, 2015, a post-effective amendment to NUW's registration statement was filed with the SEC and therefore, NUW may issue additional shares under its equity shelf program.

SHARE REPURCHASES

During August 2014, the Funds' Board of Directors/Trustees reauthorized an open-market share repurchase program, allowing each Fund to repurchase an aggregate of up to approximately 10% of its outstanding shares.

As of April 30, 2015, and since the inception of the Funds' repurchase programs, the Funds have cumulatively repurchased and retired their outstanding shares as shown in the accompanying table.

	NUV	NUW	NMI	NEV
Shares Cumulatively Repurchased and				
Retired	0	0	0	0
Shares Authorized for Repurchase	20,565,000	1,320,000	830,000	2,110,000

OTHER SHARE INFORMATION

As of April 30, 2015, and during the current reporting period, the Funds' share prices were trading at a premium/(discount) to their NAVs as shown in the accompanying table.

	NU	V	NU	W	NN	ΛI	NE	EV
NAV	\$ 10.20	\$	17.16	\$	11.48	\$	15.62	
Share Price	\$ 9.87	\$	17.48	\$	12.18	\$	15.67	
Premium/(Discount) to NAV	(3.24)%	1.86	%	6.10	%	0.32	%
6-Month Average Premium/(Discount) to								
NAV	(4.42)%	(0.04)%	2.64	%	(2.30)%

Risk Considerations

Fund shares are not guaranteed or endorsed by any bank or other insured depository institution, and are not federally insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Fund shares are subject to a variety of risks, including:

Investment, Market and Price Risk. An investment in shares is subject to investment risk, including the possible loss of the entire principal amount that you invest. Your investment in shares represents an indirect investment in the municipal securities owned by the Funds, which generally trade in the over-the-counter markets. Shares of closed-end investment companies like these Funds frequently trade at a discount to their net asset value (NAV). Your shares at any point in time may be worth less than your original investment, even after taking into account the reinvestment of Fund dividends and distributions.

Tax Risk. The tax treatment of Fund distributions may be affected by new IRS interpretations of the Internal Revenue Code and future changes in tax laws and regulations.

Leverage Risk. Each Fund's use of leverage creates the possibility of higher volatility for the Fund's per share NAV, market price, distributions and returns. There is no assurance that a Fund's leveraging strategy will be successful. Certain aspects of the recently adopted Volcker Rule may limit the availability of tender option bonds, which are used by the Funds for leveraging and duration management purposes. The effects of this new Rule, expected to take effect in mid-2015, may make it more difficult for a Fund to maintain current or desired levels of leverage and may cause the Fund to incur additional expenses to maintain its leverage.

Inverse Floater Risk. The Funds may invest in inverse floaters. Due to their leveraged nature, these investments can greatly increase a Fund's exposure to interest rate risk and credit risk. In addition, investments in inverse floaters involve the risk that the Fund could lose more than its original principal investment.

Issuer Credit Risk. This is the risk that a security in a Fund's portfolio will fail to make dividend or interest payments when due.

Interest Rate Risk. Fixed-income securities such as bonds, preferred, convertible and other debt securities will decline in value if market interest rates rise.

Reinvestment Risk. If market interest rates decline, income earned from a Fund's portfolio may be reinvested at rates below that of the original bond that generated the income.

Call Risk or Prepayment Risk. Issuers may exercise their option to prepay principal earlier than scheduled, forcing a Fund to reinvest in lower-yielding securities.

Derivatives Strategy Risk: Derivative securities, such as calls, puts, warrants, swaps and forwards, carry risks different from, and possibly greater than, the risks associated with the underlying investments.

Municipal Bond Market Liquidity Risk. Inventories of municipal bonds held by brokers and dealers have decreased in recent years, lessening their ability to make a market in these securities. This reduction in market making capacity has the potential to decrease a Fund's ability to buy or sell bonds, and increase bond price volatility and trading costs, particularly during periods of economic or market stress. In addition, recent federal banking regulations may cause certain dealers to reduce their inventories of municipal bonds, which may further decrease a Fund's ability to buy or sell bonds. As a result, the Fund may be forced to accept a lower price to sell a security, to sell other securities to raise cash, or to give up an investment opportunity, any of which could have a negative effect on performance. If the Fund

needed to sell large blocks of bonds, those sales could further reduce the bonds' prices and hurt performance.

NUV

Nuveen Municipal Value Fund, Inc. Performance Overview and Holding Summaries as of April 30, 2015

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this section.

Average Annual Total Returns as of April 30, 2015

	Cumulative		Average Annual	
	6-Month	1-Year	5-Year	10-Year
NUV at NAV	1.94%	6.83%	5.92%	4.94%
NUV at Share Price	4.57%	6.36%	4.78%	5.69%
S&P Municipal Bond Index	1.27%	4.86%	4.92%	4.63%
Lipper General & Insured Unleveraged Municipal Debt Funds Classification				
Average	1.79%	6.76%	5.71%	4.82%

Past performance is not predictive of future results. Current performance may be higher or lower than the data shown. Returns do not reflect the deduction of taxes that shareholders may have to pay on Fund distributions or upon the sale of Fund shares. Returns at NAV are net of Fund expenses, and assume reinvestment of distributions. Comparative index and Lipper return information is provided for the Fund's shares at NAV only. Indexes and Lipper averages are not available for direct investment.

This data relates to the securities held in the Fund's portfolio of investments as of the end of the reporting period. It should not be construed as a measure of performance for the Fund itself. Holdings are subject to change.

Ratings shown are the highest rating given by one of the following national rating agencies: Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investors Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. Credit ratings are subject to change. AAA, AA, A and BBB are investment grade ratings; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D are below-investment grade ratings. Certain bonds backed by U.S. Government or agency securities are regarded as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by these national rating agencies.

Fund Allocation		
(% of net assets)	00.5	Cd
Long-Term Municipal Bonds	99.5	%
Common Stocks	0.2	%
Corporate Bonds	0.0	%
Other Assets Less Liabilities	1.1	%
Net Assets Plus Floating Rate Obligations	100.8	%
Floating Rate Obligations	(0.8)% %
Net Assets	100	%
Credit Quality		
(% of total investment exposure)		
AAA/U.S. Guaranteed	18.7	%
AA	46.2	%
A	15.2	%
BBB	8.5	%
BB or Lower	9.1	%
N/R (not rated)	2.1	%
N/A (not applicable)	0.2	%
Total	100	%
Portfolio Composition		
(% of total investments)		
Tax Obligation/Limited	18.9	%
Health Care	18.8	%
Transportation	16.1	%
Tax Obligation/General	12.9	%
U.S. Guaranteed	9.3	%
Consumer Staples	6.4	%
Utilities	5.0	%
Other	12.6	%
Total	100	%
States and Territories		
(% of total municipal bonds)		
Illinois	14.6	%
California	13.7	%
Texas	11.6	%
Florida	6.3	%

Colorado	5.1	%
Michigan	4.9	%
New York	4.9	%
Ohio	3.9	%
Virginia	3.4	%
Wisconsin	3.3	%
Indiana	2.7	%
Washington	2.5	%
Nevada	2.4	%
Louisiana	2.3	%
Other	18.4	%
Total	100	%
Nuveen Investments		13

NUW

Nuveen AMT-Free Municipal Value Fund Performance Overview and Holding Summaries as of April 30, 2015

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this section.

Average Annual Total Returns as of April 30, 2015

	Cumulative			
				Since
	6-Month	1-Year	5-Year	Inception
NUW at NAV	2.14%	7.26%	6.15%	8.41%
NUW at Share Price	5.89%	11.06%	6.59%	8.02%
S&P Municipal Bond Index	1.27%	4.86%	4.92%	5.92%
Lipper General & Insured Unleveraged				
Municipal Debt Funds Classification				
Average	1.79%	6.76%	5.71%	6.36%

Since inception returns are from 2/25/09. Past performance is not predictive of future results. Current performance may be higher or lower than the data shown. Returns do not reflect the deduction of taxes that shareholders may have to pay on Fund distributions or upon the sale of Fund shares. Returns at NAV are net of Fund expenses, and assume reinvestment of distributions. Comparative index and Lipper return information is provided for the Fund's shares at NAV only. Indexes and Lipper averages are not available for direct investment.

This data relates to the securities held in the Fund's portfolio of investments as of the end of the reporting period. It should not be construed as a measure of performance for the Fund itself. Holdings are subject to change.

Ratings shown are the highest rating given by one of the following national rating agencies: Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investors Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. Credit ratings are subject to change. AAA, AA, A and BBB are investment grade ratings; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D are below-investment grade ratings. Certain bonds backed by U.S. Government or agency securities are regarded as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by these national rating agencies.

Fund Allocation		
(% of net assets)	00.7	C4
Long-Term Municipal Bonds	99.7	%
Other Assets Less Liabilities	3.4	%
Net Assets Plus Floating Rate Obligations	103.1	%
Floating Rate Obligations	(3.1)% %
Net Assets	100	%
Credit Quality		
(% of total investment exposure)		
AAA/U.S. Guaranteed	18.9	%
AA	37.8	%
A	18.1	%
BBB	16.5	%
BB or Lower	7.4	%
N/R (not rated)	1.3	%
Total	100	%
Portfolio Composition		
(% of total investments)		
Health Care	18.7	%
Tax Obligation/Limited	17.2	%
U.S. Guaranteed	13.7	%
Transportation	11.4	%
Tax Obligation/General	11.1	%
Utilities	9.5	%
Consumer Staples	6.3	%
Water and Sewer	4.3	%
Other	7.8	%
Total	100	%
States and Territories		
(% of total municipal bonds) Illinois	12.4	07-
California	12.4 10.2	% %
Florida	8.8	% %
Indiana	7.4	%
Louisiana	7.4	%
Colorado	6.3	%
Texas	6.0	%
10/100	0.0	70

Wisconsin	6.0	%
Ohio	5.7	%
Michigan	4.1	%
Nevada	3.8	%
Arizona	3.6	%
Other	18.4	%
Total	100	%
Nuveen Investments		15

NMI

Nuveen Municipal Income Fund, Inc Performance Overview and Holding Summaries as of April 30, 2015

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this section.

Average Annual Total Returns as of April 30, 2015

	Cumulative		Average Annual	
	6-Month	1-Year	5-Year	10-Year
NMI at NAV	1.92%	7.58%	6.81%	5.66%
NMI at Share Price	10.21%	10.70%	6.84%	7.25%
S&P Municipal Bond Index	1.27%	4.86%	4.92%	4.63%
Lipper General & Insured Unleveraged				
Municipal Debt Funds Classification				
Average	1.79%	6.76%	5.71%	4.82%

Past performance is not predictive of future results. Current performance may be higher or lower than the data shown. Returns do not reflect the deduction of taxes that shareholders may have to pay on Fund distributions or upon the sale of Fund shares. Returns at NAV are net of Fund expenses, and assume reinvestment of distributions. Comparative index and Lipper return information is provided for the Fund's shares at NAV only. Indexes and Lipper averages are not available for direct investment.

This data relates to the securities held in the Fund's portfolio of investments as of the end of the reporting period. It should not be construed as a measure of performance for the Fund itself. Holdings are subject to change.

Ratings shown are the highest rating given by one of the following national rating agencies: Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investors Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. Credit ratings are subject to change. AAA, AA, A and BBB are investment grade ratings; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D are below-investment grade ratings. Certain bonds backed by U.S. Government or agency securities are regarded as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by these national rating agencies.

Fund Allocation

ruid Allocation		
(% of net assets)		
Long-Term Municipal Bonds	99.8	%
Short-Term Municipal Bonds	1.1	%
Other Assets Less Liabilities	2.6	%
Net Assets Plus Floating Rate Obligations	103.5	%
Floating Rate Obligations	(3.5)%
Net Assets	100	%
Credit Quality		
(% of total investment exposure)		
AAA/U.S. Guaranteed	13.7	%
AA	30.2	%
A	23.6	%
BBB	20.8	%
BB or Lower	6.1	%
N/R (not rated)	5.6	%
Total	100	%
Portfolio Composition		
(% of total investments)		
Health Care	21.7	%
Tax Obligation/Limited	13.8	%
Tax Obligation/General	11.4	%
Utilities	11.0	%
Education and Civic Organizations	10.6	%
U.S. Guaranteed	8.1	%
Transportation	7.9	%
Consumer Staples	4.6	%
Other	10.9	%
Total	100	%
States and Territories		
(% of total municipal bonds)		
California	17.9	%
Illinois	11.0	%
Missouri	8.8	%
Texas	8.2	%
Colorado	8.1	%
Wisconsin	6.4	%

Florida	5.7	%
Ohio	4.8	%
New York	4.0	%
Pennsylvania	2.8	%
Tennessee	2.5	%
Other	19.8	%
Total	100	%
Nuveen Investments		17

NEV

Nuveen Enhanced Municipal Value Fund Performance Overview and Holding Summaries as of April 30, 2015

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this section.

Average Annual Total Returns as of April 30, 2015

	Cumulative		Average Annual	
				Since
	6-Month	1-Year	5-Year	Inception
NEV at NAV	2.66%	11.07%	8.50%	8.20%
NEV at Share Price	8.43%	17.08%	9.18%	7.52%
S&P Municipal Bond Index	1.27%	4.86%	4.92%	4.73%
Lipper General & Insured Leveraged				
Municipal Debt Funds Classification				
Average	2.40%	9.96%	8.36%	6.56%

Since inception returns are from 9/25/09. Past performance is not predictive of future results. Current performance may be higher or lower than the data shown. Returns do not reflect the deduction of taxes that shareholders may have to pay on Fund distributions or upon the sale of Fund shares. Returns at NAV are net of Fund expenses, and assume reinvestment of distributions. Comparative index and Lipper return information is provided for the Fund's shares at NAV only. Indexes and Lipper averages are not available for direct investment.

This data relates to the securities held in the Fund's portfolio of investments as of the end of the reporting period. It should not be construed as a measure of performance for the Fund itself. Holdings are subject to change.

Ratings shown are the highest rating given by one of the following national rating agencies: Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investors Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. Credit ratings are subject to change. AAA, AA, A and BBB are investment grade ratings; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D are below-investment grade ratings. Certain bonds backed by U.S. Government or agency securities are regarded as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by these national rating agencies.

Fund Allocation		
(% of net assets) Long-Term Municipal Bonds	100.9	%
Common Stocks	1.1	% %
Other Assets Less Liabilities	1.1	%
Net Assets Plus Floating Rate Obligations	103.0	%
Floating Rate Obligations	(3.0)%
Net Assets	100	%
Net Assets	100	70
Credit Quality		
(% of total investment exposure)1		
AAA/U.S. Guaranteed	10.7	%
AA	39.4	%
A	17.1	%
BBB	13.4	%
BB or Lower	10.5	%
N/R (not rated)	8.1	%
N/A (not applicable)	0.8	%
Total	100	%
Portfolio Composition		
(% of total investments)1		
Tax Obligation/Limited	22.0	%
Health Care	17.5	%
Education and Civic Organizations	11.3	%
Transportation	10.6	%
Tax Obligation/General	6.6	%
Consumer Staples	5.6	%
Utilities	4.8	%
Long-Term Care	4.6	%
U.S. Guaranteed	4.3	%
Other	12.7	%
Total	100	%
States and Territories		
(% of total municipal bonds)		
California	16.4	%
Illinois	11.0	%
Florida	6.8	%
Ohio	6.3	%

Pennsylvania	6.2	%
Georgia	6.0	%
Wisconsin	5.4	%
New York	4.3	%
Arizona	3.9	%
Colorado	3.7	%
Texas	3.4	%
Louisiana	3.2	%
Kansas	2.4	%
Washington	2.4	%
Other	18.6	%
Total	100	%

1 Excluding investments in derivatives.

NUV

Nuveen Municipal Value Fund, Inc. Portfolio of Investments

April 30, 2015 (Unaudited)

Principal		Optional Call		
Amount (000)	Description (1)	Provisions (2)	Ratings (3)	Value
	LONG-TERM INVESTMENTS – 99.7% MUNICIPAL BONDS – 99.5%			
	Alaska – 0.6%			
\$ 5,000	Alaska Housing Finance Corporation, General Housing Purpose Bonds, Series 2005B-2, 5.250%, 12/01/30 (Pre-refunded 6/01/15) – NPFG Insured	6/15 at 100.00	AA+ (4) \$	5,021,750
5,405	CivicVentures, Alaska, Revenue Bonds, Anchorage Convention Center Series 2006, 5.000%, 9/01/34 – NPFG Insured	9/15 at 100.00	AA-	5,482,183
2,710	Northern Tobacco Securitization Corporation, Alaska, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2006A, 5.000%, 6/01/32	7/15 at 100.00	B2	2,376,345
13,115	Total Alaska			12,880,278
2 (20	Arizona – 1.0%	N. O.		2 (47 227
2,630	Arizona Board of Regents, Arizona State University System Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2012A, 4.000%, 7/01/15	No Opt. Call	AA	2,647,227
2,500	Phoenix Civic Improvement Corporation, Arizona, Excise Tax Revenue Bonds, Civic Plaza Expansion Project, Subordinate Series 2005A, 5.000%, 7/01/35 (Pre-refunded 7/01/15) – FGIC Insured	7/15 at 100.00	AA+ (4)	2,520,375
2,500	Phoenix Civic Improvement Corporation, Arizona, Senior Lien Airport Revenue Bonds, Series 2008A, 5.000%, 7/01/38	7/18 at 100.00	AA-	2,749,825
2,575	Quechan Indian Tribe of the Fort Yuma Reservation, Arizona, Government Project Bonds, Series 2008, 7.000%, 12/01/27	12/17 at 102.00	В-	2,477,124
5,600	Salt Verde Financial Corporation, Arizona, Senior Gas Revenue Bonds, Citigroup Energy Inc Prepay Contract Obligations, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/37	No Opt. Call	A–	6,373,303
4,240	Scottsdale Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Scottsdale Healthcare, Series 2006C. Re-offering, 5.000%, 9/01/35 – AGC Insured	9/20 at 100.00	AA	4,701,439
20,045	Total Arizona			21,469,293
	Arkansas – 0.1%	4011		4.0.5
1,150	Benton Washington Regional Public Water Authority, Arkansas, Water Revenue Bonds, Refunding & Improvement Series 2007, 4.750%, 10/01/33 (Pre-refunded 10/01/17) – SYNCORA GTY Insured	10/17 at 100.00	A- (4)	1,259,492

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND INC - Form N-CSRS

	California – 13.7%			
4,615	Anaheim Public Financing Authority, California, Lease Revenue Bonds, Public Improvement Project, Series 1997C, 0.000%, 9/01/23 – AGM Insured	No Opt. Call	AA	3,661,679
5,000	Bay Area Toll Authority, California, Revenue Bonds, San Francisco Bay Area Toll Bridge, Series 2013S-4, 5.000%, 4/01/38	4/23 at 100.00	A+	5,599,100
5,195	California County Tobacco Securitization Agency, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Gold Country Settlement Funding Corporation, Series 2006, 0.000%, 6/01/33	7/15 at 35.76	CCC	1,481,198
3,275	California County Tobacco Securitization Agency, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Los Angeles County Securitization Corporation, Series 2006A, 5.450%, 6/01/28	12/18 at 100.00	B2	3,210,646
	California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, Kaiser Permanente System, Series 2006:			
5,000	5.000%, 4/01/37 – BHAC Insured	4/16 at 100.00	AA+	5,188,650
6,000	5.000%, 4/01/37 (UB) (5)	4/16 at 100.00	A+	6,165,300
3,850	California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, Saint Joseph Health System, Series 2013A, 5.000%, 7/01/33	7/23 at 100.00	AA-	4,365,284
2,335	California Municipal Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Eisenhower Medical Center, Series 2010A, 5.750%, 7/01/40	7/20 at 100.00	Baa2	2,571,489
2,130	California Pollution Control Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Series 2004C, 4.750%, 12/01/23 – FGIC Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	6/17 at 100.00	A3	2,258,205

	Principal		Optional Call		
Am	nount (000)	Description (1)		Ratings (3)	Value
		California (continued)	(2)		
\$	1,625	California State Public Works Board, Lease Revenue Bonds, Various Capital Projects, Series 2013I, 5.000%, 11/01/38	11/23 at 100.00	A1 S	1,815,596
	4,400	California State, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding Series 2007, 4.500%, 8/01/30	2/17 at 100.00	Aa3	4,654,980
	2,235	California State, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003, 5.000%, 2/01/33	8/15 at 100.00	Aa3	2,243,046
	16,000	California State, General Obligation Bonds, Various Purpose Series 2007, 5.000%, 6/01/37	6/17 at 100.00	Aa3	17,149,118
	5,000	California State, General Obligation Bonds, Various Purpose Series 2011, 5.000%, 10/01/41	10/21 at 100.00	Aa3	5,607,750
	925	California Statewide Community Development Authority, Certificates of Participation, Internext Group, Series 1999, 5.375%, 4/01/17	10/15 at 100.00	BBB+	928,885
	3,125	California Statewide Community Development Authority, Revenue Bonds, Methodist Hospital Project, Series 2009, 6.750%, 2/01/38	8/19 at 100.00	Aa2	3,728,469
	3,600	California Statewide Community Development Authority, Revenue Bonds, St. Joseph Health System, Series 2007A, 5.750%, 7/01/47 – FGIC Insured	7/18 at 100.00	AA–	4,044,996
	5,000	Coast Community College District, Orange County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006C, 5.000%, 8/01/32 – AGM Insured	8/18 at 100.00	Aal	5,512,200
	4,505	Covina-Valley Unified School District, Los Angeles County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003B, 0.000%, 6/01/28 – FGIC Insured	No Opt. Call	AA-	2,566,859
	16,045	Desert Community College District, Riverside County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Election 2004 Series 2007C, 0.000%, 8/01/33 – AGM Insured	8/17 at 42.63	AA	6,546,200
	2,180	Foothill/Eastern Transportation Corridor Agency, California, Toll Road Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2013A, 0.000%, 1/15/42	1/31 at 100.00	BBB-	1,619,653
	30,000	Foothill/Eastern Transportation Corridor Agency, California, Toll Road Revenue Bonds, Series 1995A, 0.000%, 1/01/22 (ETM)	No Opt. Call	Aaa	26,700,000
		Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corporation, California, Enhanced Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Revenue Bonds, Series 2005A:			
	11,830	5.000%, 6/01/38 (Pre-refunded 6/01/15) – FGIC Insured	6/15 at 100.00	A1 (4)	11,871,405
	15,000	5.000%, 6/01/45 (Pre-refunded 6/01/15)	6/15 at 100.00	A1 (4)	15,052,500
	13,065			A1 (4)	13,110,728

	5.000%, 6/01/45 (Pre-refunded 6/01/15) – AMBAC Insured	6/15 at 100.00		
	Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corporation, California, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2007A-1:			
23,995	4.500%, 6/01/27	6/17 at 100.00	В	23,354,813
14,475	5.000%, 6/01/33	6/17 at 100.00	В	12,197,793
1,500	5.125%, 6/01/47	6/17 at 100.00	В	1,198,095
4,500	Hemet Unified School District, Riverside County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2008B, 5.125%, 8/01/37 (Pre-refunded 8/01/16) – AGC Insured	8/16 at 102.00	AA (4)	4,863,195
	Merced Union High School District, Merced County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 1999A:			
2,500	0.000%, 8/01/23 – FGIC Insured	No Opt. Call	AA-	1,955,325
2,555	0.000%, 8/01/24 – FGIC Insured	No Opt. Call	AA-	1,893,562
2,365	Montebello Unified School District, Los Angeles County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 0.000%, 8/01/27 – FGIC Insured	No Opt. Call	AA-	1,477,179
	Mount San Antonio Community College District, Los Angeles County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Election of 2008, Series 2013A:			
2,200	0.000%, 8/01/28	7/15 at 100.00	AA	1,869,296
2,315	0.000%, 8/01/43	8/35 at 100.00	AA	1,571,329
3,550	M-S-R Energy Authority, California, Gas Revenue Bonds, Citigroup Prepay Contracts, Series 2009C, 6.500%, 11/01/39	No Opt. Call	A	4,825,480
	Napa Valley Community College District, Napa and Sonoma Counties, California, General Obligation Bonds, Election 2002 Series 2007C:			
7,200	0.000%, 8/01/29 – NPFG Insured	8/17 at 54.45	Aa2	3,720,312
11,575	0.000%, 8/01/31 – NPFG Insured	8/17 at 49.07	Aa2	5,297,646
2,620	New Haven Unified School District, Alameda County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004A, 0.000%, 8/01/28 – NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call	AA-	1,320,270

NUV Nuveen Municipal Value Fund, Inc. Portfolio of Investments (continued)

April 30, 2015 (Unaudited)

Principal		Optional		
Amount (000)	Description (1)	Call Provisions (2)	Ratings (3)	Value
	California (continued)			
\$ 2,350	Palomar Pomerado Health Care District, California, Certificates of Participation, Series 2009, 6.750%, 11/01/39	11/19 at 100.00	Ba1 \$	2,596,562
10,150	Placer Union High School District, Placer County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004C, 0.000%, 8/01/33 – AGM Insured	No Opt. Call	AA	4,723,404
2,355	Rancho Mirage Joint Powers Financing Authority, California, Certificates of Participation, Eisenhower Medical Center, Series 1997B, 4.875%, 7/01/22 – NPFG Insured	7/15 at 102.00	A3	2,411,426
4,000	Rancho Mirage Joint Powers Financing Authority, California, Revenue Bonds, Eisenhower Medical Center, Series 2007A, 5.000%, 7/01/47	7/17 at 100.00	Baa2	4,166,000
15,505	Riverside Public Financing Authority, California, Tax Allocation Bonds, University Corridor, Series 2007C, 5.000%, 8/01/37 – NPFG Insured	8/17 at 100.00	AA–	15,861,770
	San Bruno Park School District, San Mateo County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2000B:			
2,575	0.000%, 8/01/24 – FGIC Insured	No Opt. Call	AA	1,977,446
2,660	0.000%, 8/01/25 – FGIC Insured	No Opt. Call	AA	1,963,639
250	San Francisco Redevelopment Financing Authority, California, Tax Allocation Revenue Bonds, Mission Bay South Redevelopment Project, Series 2011D, 7.000%, 8/01/41	2/21 at 100.00	BBB+	302,668
12,095	San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency, Orange County, California, Toll Road Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 1997A, 0.000%, 1/15/25 – NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call	AA-	8,218,553
5,000	San Jose, California, Airport Revenue Bonds, Series 2007A, 6.000%, 3/01/47 – AMBAC Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	3/17 at 100.00	A2	5,333,400
13,220	San Mateo County Community College District, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006A, 0.000%, 9/01/28 – NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call	AAA	8,685,276
5,000	San Mateo Union High School District, San Mateo County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Election of 2000, Series 2002B, 0.000%, 9/01/24 – FGIC Insured	No Opt. Call	AA+	3,893,050
2,000			B+	1,898,440

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND INC - Form N-CSRS

	Tobacco Securitization Authority of Northern California, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Refunding Series 2005A-2, 5.400%, 6/01/27	6/17 at 100.00		
1,090	University of California, General Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2009O, 5.250%, 5/15/39	5/19 at 100.00	AA	1,237,968
210	University of California, General Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2009O, 5.250%, 5/15/39 (Pre-refunded 5/15/19)	5/19 at 100.00	N/R (4)	244,629
331,745	Total California			286,712,462
	Colorado – 5.1%			
5,000	Arkansas River Power Authority, Colorado, Power Revenue Bonds, Series 2006, 5.250%, 10/01/40 – SYNCORA GTY Insured	10/16 at 100.00	BBB-	5,138,200
5,000	Colorado Health Facilities Authority, Colorado, Revenue Bonds, Catholic Health Initiatives, Series 2006A, 4.500%, 9/01/38	9/16 at 100.00	A+	5,110,550
7,105	Colorado Health Facilities Authority, Colorado, Revenue Bonds, Catholic Health Initiatives, Series 2013A, 5.250%, 1/01/45	1/23 at 100.00	A+	7,851,664
1,700	Colorado Health Facilities Authority, Colorado, Revenue Bonds, Poudre Valley Health System, Series 2005C, 5.250%, 3/01/40 – AGM Insured	9/18 at 102.00	AA	1,866,141
15,925	Colorado Health Facilities Authority, Colorado, Revenue Bonds, Sisters of Charity of Leavenworth Health Services Corporation, Series 2010A, 5.000%, 1/01/40	1/20 at 100.00	AA-	17,750,640
750	Colorado Health Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Longmont United Hospital, Series 2006B, 5.000%, 12/01/23 – RAAI Insured	12/16 at 100.00	AA	784,890
2,000	Colorado State Board of Governors, Colorado State University Auxiliary Enterprise System Revenue Bonds, Series 2012A, 5.000%, 3/01/41	3/22 at 100.00	Aa2	2,225,880
	Denver City and County, Colorado, Airport System Revenue Bonds, Series 2012B:			
2,750	5.000%, 11/15/25	No Opt. Call	A+	3,232,268
2,200	5.000%, 11/15/29	11/22 at 100.00	A+	2,515,722
5,160	Denver City and County, Colorado, Airport System Revenue Bonds, Subordinate Lien Series 2013B, 5.000%, 11/15/43	11/23 at 100.00	A	5,747,260

	Principal		Optional		
Am	ount (000)	Description (1)	Call Provisions (2)	Ratings (3)	Value
		Colorado (continued)			
		E-470 Public Highway Authority, Colorado, Senior Revenue Bonds, Series 2000B:			
\$	24,200	0.000%, 9/01/31 – NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call	AA- \$	12,783,650
	17,000	0.000%, 9/01/32 – NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call	AA-	8,476,200
	7,600	E-470 Public Highway Authority, Colorado, Toll Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2006B, 0.000%, 9/01/39 – NPFG Insured	9/26 at 52.09	AA-	2,349,844
		E-470 Public Highway Authority, Colorado, Toll Revenue Bonds, Series 2004B:			
	7,700	0.000%, 9/01/27 – NPFG Insured	9/20 at 67.94	AA-	4,276,426
	10,075	0.000%, 3/01/36 – NPFG Insured	9/20 at 41.72	AA-	3,301,981
	5,000	Ebert Metropolitan District, Colorado, Limited Tax General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.350%, 12/01/37 – RAAI Insured	12/17 at 100.00	AA	5,149,100
	7,000	Northwest Parkway Public Highway Authority, Colorado, Revenue Bonds, Senior Series 2001C, 5.700%, 6/15/21 (Pre-refunded 6/15/16) – AMBAC Insured	6/16 at 100.00	N/R (4)	7,420,490
	5,000	Rangely Hospital District, Rio Blanco County, Colorado, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding Series 2011, 6.000%, 11/01/26	11/21 at 100.00	Baa1	5,843,700
	3,750	Regional Transportation District, Colorado, Denver Transit Partners Eagle P3 Project Private Activity Bonds, Series 2010, 6.000%, 1/15/41	7/20 at 100.00	Baa3	4,285,613
	134,915	Total Colorado Connecticut – 1.0%			106,110,219
	1,500	Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Hartford HealthCare, Series 2011A, 5.000%, 7/01/41	7/21 at 100.00	A	1,637,280
	15,000	Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Yale University, Series 2007Z-1, 5.000%, 7/01/42	7/16 at 100.00	AAA	15,697,498
	8,110	Mashantucket Western Pequot Tribe, Connecticut, Special Revenue Bonds, Subordinate Series 2013A, 6.050%, 7/01/31 (6)	No Opt. Call	N/R	638,655
	3,000	University of Connecticut, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding Series 2014A, 4.000%, 2/15/16	No Opt. Call	AA	3,089,880
	27,610	Total Connecticut District of Columbia – 0.5%			21,063,313
	10,000			A1	10,416,400

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND INC - Form N-CSRS

	Washington Convention Center Authority, District of Columbia, Dedicated Tax Revenue Bonds, Senior Lien Refunding Series 2007A, 4.500%, 10/01/30 – AMBAC Insured	10/16 at 100.00		
	Florida – 6.3%			
3,000	Cape Coral, Florida, Water and Sewer Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2011, 5.000%, 10/01/41 – AGM Insured	10/21 at 100.00	AA	3,313,050
4,725	Florida Department of Transportation, State Infrastructure Bank Revenue Bonds, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 7/01/15	No Opt. Call	AA+	4,764,029
5,090	Florida State Board of Education, Lottery Revenue Bonds, Series 2010C, 5.000%, 7/01/15	No Opt. Call	AAA	5,132,145
10,000	Florida State Board of Education, Public Education Capital Outlay Bonds, Series 2005E, 4.500%, 6/01/35 (Pre-refunded 6/01/15) (UB)	6/15 at 101.00	AAA	10,136,800
2,845	Greater Orlando Aviation Authority, Florida, Airport Facilities Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2009C, 5.000%, 10/01/34	No Opt. Call	Aa3	3,209,075
2,650	Hillsborough County Industrial Development Authority, Florida, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Tampa General Hospital, Series 2006, 5.250%, 10/01/41	10/16 at 100.00	A3	2,753,589
4,555	Lee County, Florida, Transportation Facilities Revenue Bonds, Sanibel Bridges & Causeway Project, Series 2005B, 5.000%, 10/01/30 (Pre-refunded 10/01/15) – CIFG Insured	10/15 at 100.00	AA (4)	4,646,692
5,000	Marion County Hospital District, Florida, Revenue Bonds, Munroe Regional Medical Center, Series 2007, 5.000%, 10/01/34 (Pre-refunded 10/01/17)	10/17 at 100.00	BBB+ (4)	5,505,950
4,090	Miami-Dade County Expressway Authority, Florida, Toll System Revenue Bonds, Series 2010A, 5.000%, 7/01/40	7/20 at 100.00	A–	4,465,135
9,500	Miami-Dade County Health Facility Authority, Florida, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Miami Children's Hospital, Series 2010A, 6.000%, 8/01/46	8/21 at 100.00	A	10,968,130

NUV Nuveen Municipal Value Fund, Inc.
Portfolio of Investments (continued)

Principal

Amount (000) Description (1)

Optional
Call
Provisions Ratings (3) Value
(2)

Florida (continued)