

SEACOR HOLDINGS INC /NEW/
Form 10-Q
April 26, 2016
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2016 or
 TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to
Commission file number 1-12289
SEACOR Holdings Inc.
(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Delaware	13-3542736
(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)	(IRS Employer Identification No.)

2200 Eller Drive, P.O. Box 13038,
Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33316
(Address of Principal Executive Offices) (Zip Code)
954-523-2200
(Registrant’s Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Not Applicable
(Former Name, Former Address and Former Fiscal Year, if Changed Since Last Report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of “large accelerated filer,” “accelerated filer,” and “smaller reporting company” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Non-accelerated filer
Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)
Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).
Yes No

The total number of shares of common stock, par value \$.01 per share, outstanding as of April 22, 2016 was 17,306,524. The Registrant has no other class of common stock outstanding.

Table of Contents

SEACOR HOLDINGS INC.

Table of Contents

Part I. <u>Financial Information</u>	<u>1</u>
Item 1. <u>Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Loss for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2016 and 2015</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2016 and 2015</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statement of Changes in Equity for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2016</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2016 and 2015</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>6</u>
Item 2. <u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>21</u>
Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>40</u>
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>40</u>
Part II. <u>Other Information</u>	<u>42</u>
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>42</u>
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	<u>42</u>
Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	<u>42</u>
Item 3. <u>Default Upon Senior Securities</u>	<u>42</u>
Item 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	<u>42</u>
Item 5. <u>Other Information</u>	<u>42</u>
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	<u>43</u>

Table of Contents

PART I—FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

SEACOR HOLDINGS INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(in thousands, except share data, unaudited)

	March 31, 2016	December 31, 2015
ASSETS		
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$496,473	\$ 530,009
Marketable securities	110,894	138,200
Receivables:		
Trade, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$2,764 and \$2,483 in 2016 and 2015, respectively	130,731	159,076
Other	31,440	27,217
Inventories	18,431	24,768
Prepaid expenses and other	9,615	8,627
Total current assets	797,584	887,897
Property and Equipment:		
Historical cost	2,015,205	2,123,201
Accumulated depreciation	(986,048)	(994,181)
	1,029,157	1,129,020
Construction in progress	484,472	454,605
Held for sale equipment	86,332	—
Net property and equipment	1,599,961	1,583,625
Investments, at Equity, and Advances to 50% or Less Owned Companies	334,370	331,103
Construction Reserve Funds	255,350	255,408
Goodwill	52,376	52,340
Intangible Assets, Net	25,750	26,392
Other Assets	46,496	48,654
	\$3,111,887	\$ 3,185,419
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Current Liabilities:		
Current portion of long-term debt	\$35,688	\$ 35,531
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	50,660	71,952
Other current liabilities	107,811	92,677
Total current liabilities	194,159	200,160
Long-Term Debt	1,018,331	1,034,859
Exchange Option Liability on Subsidiary Convertible Senior Notes	5,747	5,611
Deferred Income Taxes	374,476	389,988
Deferred Gains and Other Liabilities	153,051	163,862
Total liabilities	1,745,764	1,794,480
Equity:		
SEACOR Holdings Inc. stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$.01 par value, 10,000,000 shares authorized; none issued nor outstanding	—	—
Common stock, \$.01 par value, 60,000,000 shares authorized; 37,845,556 and 37,684,829 shares issued in 2016 and 2015, respectively	379	377
Additional paid-in capital	1,508,981	1,505,942

Edgar Filing: SEACOR HOLDINGS INC /NEW/ - Form 10-Q

Retained earnings	1,099,434	1,126,620
Shares held in treasury of 20,550,746 and 20,529,929 in 2016 and 2015, respectively, at cost	(1,357,809)	(1,356,499)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax	(7,764)	(5,620)
	1,243,221	1,270,820
Noncontrolling interests in subsidiaries	122,902	120,119
Total equity	1,366,123	1,390,939
	\$3,111,887	\$3,185,419

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements and should be read in conjunction herewith.

1

Table of Contents

SEACOR HOLDINGS INC.
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF LOSS
 (in thousands, except share data, unaudited)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2016	2015
Operating Revenues	\$213,928	\$260,644
Costs and Expenses:		
Operating	157,468	199,148
Administrative and general	35,704	38,887
Depreciation and amortization	30,989	31,430
	224,161	269,465
Gains (Losses) on Asset Dispositions and Impairments, Net	217	(4,846)
Operating Loss	(10,016)	(13,667)
Other Income (Expense):		
Interest income	5,593	4,579
Interest expense	(11,935)	(10,512)
Debt extinguishment gains, net	3,223	—
Marketable security losses, net	(25,096)	(9,121)
Derivative gains (losses), net	2,620	(2,996)
Foreign currency gains (losses), net	37	(1,993)
Other, net	268	(44)
	(25,290)	(20,087)
Loss Before Income Tax Benefit and Equity in Earnings (Losses) of 50% or Less Owned Companies	(35,306)	(33,754)
Income Tax Benefit	(14,831)	(11,954)
Loss Before Equity in Earnings (Losses) of 50% or Less Owned Companies	(20,475)	(21,800)
Equity in Earnings (Losses) of 50% or Less Owned Companies, Net of Tax	(49)	3,899
Net Loss	(20,524)	(17,901)
Net Income attributable to Noncontrolling Interests in Subsidiaries	6,662	1,668
Net Loss attributable to SEACOR Holdings Inc.	\$(27,186)	\$(19,569)
Basic Loss Per Common Share of SEACOR Holdings Inc.	\$(1.62)	\$(1.10)
Diluted Loss Per Common Share of SEACOR Holdings Inc.	\$(1.62)	\$(1.10)
Weighted Average Common Shares Outstanding:		
Basic	16,817,368	17,777,725
Diluted	16,817,368	17,777,725

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements and should be read in conjunction herewith.

Table of Contents

SEACOR HOLDINGS INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE LOSS

(in thousands, unaudited)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2016	2015
Net Loss	\$(20,524)	\$(17,901)
Other Comprehensive Income (Loss):		
Foreign currency translation losses	(1,868)	(3,734)
Derivative losses on cash flow hedges	(1,830)	(397)
Reclassification of derivative losses on cash flow hedges to equity in earnings (losses) of 50% or less owned companies	224	148
Other	(5)	—
	(3,479)	(3,983)
Income tax benefit	1,154	1,255
	(2,325)	(2,728)
Comprehensive Loss	(22,849)	(20,629)
Comprehensive Income attributable to Noncontrolling Interests in Subsidiaries	6,481	1,272
Comprehensive Loss attributable to SEACOR Holdings Inc.	\$(29,330)	\$(21,901)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements and should be read in conjunction herewith.

3

Table of Contents

SEACOR HOLDINGS INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN EQUITY

(in thousands, unaudited)

	SEACOR Holdings Inc. Stockholders' Equity						
	Common Stock	Additional Paid-In Capital	Retained Earnings	Shares Held In Treasury	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Non- Controlling Interests In Subsidiaries	Total Equity
December 31, 2015	\$377	\$1,505,942	\$1,126,620	\$(1,356,499)	\$ (5,620)	\$ 120,119	\$1,390,939
Issuance of common stock:							
Employee Stock Purchase Plan	—	—	—	1,133	—	—	1,133
Exercise of stock options	—	483	—	—	—	—	483
Director stock awards	—	55	—	—	—	—	55
Restricted stock	2	(1,170)	—	—	—	—	(1,168)
Purchase of conversion option in convertible debt, net of tax	—	(898)	—	—	—	—	(898)
Purchase of treasury shares	—	—	—	(2,396)	—	—	(2,396)
Amortization of share awards	—	4,522	—	—	—	—	4,522
Cancellation of restricted stock	—	47	—	(47)	—	—	—
Distributions to noncontrolling interests	—	—	—	—	—	(3,698)	(3,698)
Net income (loss)	—	—	(27,186)	—	—	6,662	(20,524)
Other comprehensive loss	—	—	—	—	(2,144)	(181)	(2,325)
Three Months Ended March 31, 2016	\$379	\$1,508,981	\$1,099,434	\$(1,357,809)	\$ (7,764)	\$ 122,902	\$1,366,123

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements and should be read in conjunction herewith.

4

Table of Contents

SEACOR HOLDINGS INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(in thousands, unaudited)

	Three Months Ended	
	March 31,	
	2016	2015
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	\$42,446	\$71,117
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:		
Purchases of property and equipment	(47,436)	(47,430)
Proceeds from disposition of property and equipment	246	7,228
Investments in and advances to 50% or less owned companies	(7,953)	(16,734)
Return of investments and advances from 50% or less owned companies	1,136	16,953
Net repayments on revolving credit line to 50% or less owned companies	3,394	—
Payments received on third party leases and notes receivable, net	2,023	3,965
Net increase in restricted cash	—	(461)
Net (increase) decrease in construction reserve funds	58	(10,507)
Net cash used in investing activities	(48,532)	(46,986)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:		
Payments on long-term debt and capital lease obligations	(32,647)	(7,396)
Net repayments under inventory financing arrangements	—	(1,219)
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt, net of issue costs	12,373	4,435
Purchase of conversion option in convertible debt	(1,382)	—
Common stock acquired for treasury	(2,396)	(5,245)
Proceeds and tax benefits from share award plans	442	2,076
Distributions to noncontrolling interests	(3,698)	(7)
Net cash used in financing activities	(27,308)	(7,356)
Effects of Exchange Rate Changes on Cash and Cash Equivalents	(142)	(2,947)
Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents	(33,536)	13,828
Cash and Cash Equivalents, Beginning of Period	530,009	434,183
Cash and Cash Equivalents, End of Period	\$496,473	\$448,011

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements and should be read in conjunction herewith.

5

Table of Contents

SEACOR HOLDINGS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(unaudited)

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The condensed consolidated financial information for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 has been prepared by the Company and has not been audited by its independent registered certified public accounting firm. The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of SEACOR Holdings Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) have been made to fairly present the Company's financial position as of March 31, 2016, its results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, its comprehensive loss for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, its changes in equity for the three months ended March 31, 2016, and its cash flows for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015. Results of operations for the interim periods presented are not necessarily indicative of operating results for the full year or any future periods.

Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States have been condensed or omitted. These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and related notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015.

Unless the context otherwise indicates, any reference in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q to the "Company" refers to SEACOR Holdings Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries and any reference in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q to "SEACOR" refers to SEACOR Holdings Inc. Capitalized terms used and not specifically defined herein have the same meaning given those terms in the Company's Annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015.

Revenue Recognition. The Company recognizes revenue when it is realized or realizable and earned. Revenue is realized or realizable and earned when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred or services have been rendered, the price to the buyer is fixed or determinable, and collectability is reasonably assured. Revenue that does not meet these criteria is deferred until the criteria are met.

As of March 31, 2016, deferred revenues of \$6.8 million, included in other current liabilities in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets, related to the time charter of several offshore support vessels scheduled to be paid through the conveyance of an overriding royalty interest (the "Conveyance") in developmental oil and gas producing properties operated by a customer in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico. Payments under the Conveyance, and the timing of such payments, were contingent upon production and energy sale prices. On August 17, 2012, the customer filed a voluntary petition for Chapter 11 bankruptcy. The Company is vigorously defending its interest in connection with the bankruptcy filing; however, payments received under the Conveyance subsequent to May 19, 2012 are subject to creditors' claims in bankruptcy court. The Company will recognize revenues when reasonably assured of a judgment in its favor. All costs and expenses related to these charters were recognized as incurred.

Property and Equipment. Equipment, stated at cost, is depreciated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful life of the asset to an estimated salvage value. With respect to each class of asset, the estimated useful life is based upon a newly built asset being placed into service and represents the time period beyond which it is typically not justifiable for the Company to continue to operate the asset in the same or similar manner. From time to time, the Company may acquire older assets that have already exceeded the Company's useful life policy, in which case the Company depreciates such assets based on its best estimate of remaining useful life, typically the next survey or certification date.

Table of Contents

As of March 31, 2016, the estimated useful life (in years) of each of the Company's major categories of new equipment was as follows:

Offshore support vessels (excluding wind farm utility)	20
Wind farm utility vessels	10
Inland river dry-cargo barges	20
Inland river liquid tank barges	25
Inland river towboats	25
Product tankers - U.S.-flag	25
Short-sea Container/RORO ⁽¹⁾ vessels	20
Harbor and offshore tugs	25
Ocean liquid tank barges	25
Terminal and manufacturing facilities	20

(1) Roll on/Roll off ("RORO").

Equipment maintenance and repair costs and the costs of routine overhauls, drydockings and inspections performed on vessels and equipment are charged to operating expense as incurred. Expenditures that extend the useful life or improve the marketing and commercial characteristics of equipment as well as major renewals and improvements to other properties are capitalized.

Certain interest costs incurred during the construction of equipment are capitalized as part of the assets' carrying values and are amortized over such assets' estimated useful lives. During the three months ended March 31, 2016, capitalized interest totaled \$5.5 million.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets. The Company performs an impairment analysis of long-lived assets used in operations, including intangible assets, when indicators of impairment are present. If the carrying value of the assets is not recoverable, as determined by the estimated undiscounted cash flows, the carrying value of the assets is reduced to fair value. Generally, fair value is determined using valuation techniques, such as expected discounted cash flows or appraisals, as appropriate. During the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, the Company recognized impairment charges of \$0.4 million and \$6.6 million, respectively, related to long-lived assets held for use.

The Company had previously identified indicators of impairment for certain of its offshore support vessel classes operated by Offshore Marine Services as a result of continued weak market conditions from the decline in oil and gas prices. These indicators may include a significant decrease in the market price of a long-lived asset or asset group, a significant adverse change in the extent or manner in which a long-lived asset or asset group is being used or in its physical condition, or a current period operating or cash flow loss combined with a history of operating or cash flow losses or a forecast that demonstrates continuing losses associated with the use of a long-lived asset or asset group. As a consequence, the Company estimated the undiscounted cash flows for those asset groups and determined that the carrying value of the long-lived assets would be recovered through the future operations of those asset groups. The preparation of the undiscounted cash flows required management to make certain estimates and assumptions on expected future rates per day worked and utilization levels of the vessel classes based on anticipated future offshore oil and gas exploration and production activity in the geographical regions where the Company operates. If difficult market conditions persist and an anticipated recovery is delayed beyond the Company's expectation, revisions to management's forecasts may result in the Company recording additional impairment charges related to its long-lived assets in future periods.

Impairment of 50% or Less Owned Companies. Investments in 50% or less owned companies are reviewed periodically to assess whether there is an other-than-temporary decline in the fair value of the investment. An annual review is performed to consider, among other things, whether the carrying value of the investment is able to be recovered and whether or not the investee's ability to sustain an earnings capacity would justify the carrying value of the investment. When the Company determines its investment in the 50% or less owned company is not recoverable or the decline in fair value is other-than-temporary, the investment is written down to fair value. Actual results may vary from the Company's estimates due to the uncertainty regarding the projected financial performance of 50% or less owned companies, the severity and expected duration of declines in value, and the available liquidity in the capital

markets to support the continuing operations of the 50% or less owned company. During the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, the Company did not recognize any impairment charges related to its 50% or less owned companies.

Income Taxes. During the three months ended March 31, 2016, the Company's effective tax rate of 42.0% was primarily due to taxes not provided on income attributable to noncontrolling interests (see Note 8).

7

Table of Contents

Deferred Gains. The Company has sold certain equipment to its 50% or less owned companies, entered into vessel sale-leaseback transactions with finance companies, and provided seller financing on sales of its equipment to third parties and its 50% or less owned companies. A portion of the gains realized from these transactions were deferred and recorded in deferred gains and other liabilities in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets.

Deferred gain activity related to these transactions for the three month ended March 31 was as follows (in thousands):

	2016	2015
Balance at beginning of period	\$135,909	\$159,911
Amortization of deferred gains included in operating expenses as a reduction to rental expense	(5,658)	(5,641)
Amortization of deferred gains included in gains (losses) on asset dispositions and impairments, net	(623)	(1,048)
Deferred gains on held for sale equipment	(1,707)	—
Balance at end of period	\$127,921	\$153,222

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss. The components of accumulated other comprehensive loss were as follows (in thousands):

	SEACOR Holdings Inc. Stockholders' Equity				Noncontrolling Interests		
	Foreign Currency Translation Adjustments	Derivative Losses on Cash Flow Hedges, net	Other	Total	Foreign Currency Translation Adjustments	Other	Comprehensive Loss
December 31, 2015	\$(5,528)	\$(116)	\$24	\$(5,620)	\$(528)	\$16	
Other comprehensive loss	(1,689)	(1,606)	(3)	(3,298)	(179)	(2)	\$(3,479)
Income tax benefit	591	562	1	1,154	—	—	1,154
Three Months Ended March 31, 2016	\$(6,626)	\$(1,160)	\$22	\$(7,764)	\$(707)	\$14	\$(2,325)

Loss Per Share. Basic loss per common share of SEACOR is computed based on the weighted average number of common shares issued and outstanding during the relevant periods. Diluted loss per common share of SEACOR is computed based on the weighted average number of common shares issued and outstanding plus the effect of potentially dilutive securities through the application of the treasury stock and if-converted methods. Dilutive securities for this purpose assumes restricted stock grants have vested, common shares have been issued pursuant to the exercise of outstanding stock options and common shares have been issued pursuant to the conversion of all outstanding convertible notes.

Table of Contents

Computations of basic and diluted loss per common share of SEACOR were as follows (in thousands, except share data):

	Three Months Ended March 31, Net Loss attributableAverage Per to O/S Shares Share SEACOR		
2016			
Basic Weighted Average Common Shares Outstanding	\$(27,186)	16,817,368	\$(1.62)
Effect of Dilutive Share Awards:			
Options and Restricted Stock ⁽¹⁾	—	—	
Convertible Notes ⁽²⁾	—	—	
Diluted Weighted Average Common Shares Outstanding	\$(27,186)	16,817,368	\$(1.62)
2015			
Basic Weighted Average Common Shares Outstanding	\$(19,569)	17,777,725	\$(1.10)
Effect of Dilutive Share Awards:			
Options and Restricted Stock ⁽¹⁾	—	—	
Convertible Notes ⁽³⁾	—	—	
Diluted Weighted Average Common Shares Outstanding	\$(19,569)	17,777,725	\$(1.10)

For the three months ended March 31, 2016, and 2015, diluted earnings per common share of SEACOR excluded (1) 1,997,822 and 1,977,402, respectively, of certain share awards as the effect of their inclusion in the computation would be anti-dilutive.

For the three months ended March 31, 2016, diluted earnings per common share of SEACOR excluded 3,379,393 common shares issuable pursuant to the Company's 2.5% Convertible Senior Notes, 1,825,326 common shares (2) issuable pursuant to the Company's 3.0% Convertible Senior Notes and 2,243,500 common shares issuable pursuant to the Company's 3.75% Subsidiary Convertible Senior Notes as the effect of their inclusion in the computation would be anti-dilutive.

For the three months ended March 31, 2015, diluted earnings per common share of SEACOR excluded 4,200,525 common shares issuable pursuant to the Company's 2.5% Convertible Senior Notes and 1,825,326 common shares (3) issuable pursuant to the Company's 3.0% Convertible Senior Notes as the effect of their inclusion in the computation would be anti-dilutive.

New Accounting Pronouncements. On May 28, 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued a comprehensive new revenue recognition standard that will supersede nearly all existing revenue recognition guidance under generally accepted accounting principles in the United States. The core principal of the new standard is that a company will recognize revenue when it transfers promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the company expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. The new standard is effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2017 and early adoption is permitted. The Company has not yet selected the method of adoption or determined what impact, if any, the adoption of the new standard will have on its consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

On February 25, 2016, the FASB issued a comprehensive new leasing standard, which improves transparency and comparability among companies by requiring lessees to recognize a lease liability and a corresponding lease asset for virtually all lease contracts. It also requires additional disclosures about leasing arrangements. The new standard is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2018 and requires a modified retrospective approach to adoption. Early adoption is permitted. The Company has not yet determined what impact, if any, the adoption of the new standard will have on its consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

2. EQUIPMENT ACQUISITIONS AND DISPOSITIONS

During the three months ended March 31, 2016, capital expenditures were \$47.4 million. Equipment deliveries during the three months ended March 31, 2016 included one wind farm utility vessel.

During the three months ended March 31, 2016, the Company sold other property and equipment for net proceeds of \$0.1 million in cash. In addition, the Company recognized previously deferred gains of \$0.6 million.

Held for sale equipment. On April 15, 2016, the Company sold 20 30,000 barrel tank barges, the rights to eight leased-in 30,000 barrel tank barges and 14 inland river towboats for \$90.0 million. Included in the sold equipment are one 30,000 barrel tank barge and one towboat currently under construction. The Company is obligated to complete the construction of this equipment prior to delivery, and the estimated costs of completion are included in the Company's capital commitments (see Note 11).

Table of Contents**3. INVESTMENTS, AT EQUITY, AND ADVANCES TO 50% OR LESS OWNED COMPANIES**

Falcon Global. Falcon Global was formed to construct and operate foreign-flag liftboats. During the three months ended March 31, 2016, the Company and its partner each contributed additional capital of \$4.3 million in cash to Falcon Global.

SCFCo. SCFCo was established to operate inland river towboats and inland river dry-cargo barges on the Parana-Paraguay Rivers in South America and a terminal facility at Port Ibicuy, Argentina. During the three months ended March 31, 2016, the Company and its partner each contributed additional capital of \$0.3 million in cash to SCFCo. As of March 31, 2016, the Company had outstanding loans and working capital advances to SCFCo of \$26.1 million.

SEA-Access. SEA-Access owns and operates a U.S.-flag crude oil tanker. During the three months ended March 31, 2016, the Company received dividends of \$1.5 million and capital distributions of \$1.1 million from SEA-Access.

SeaJon. SeaJon owns an articulated tug-barge operating in the Great Lakes trade. During the three months ended March 31, 2016, the Company received dividends of \$0.6 million from SeaJon.

Avion. Avion is a distributor of aircraft and aircraft related parts. During the three months ended March 31, 2016, the Company made advances of \$3.0 million to Avion. As of March 31, 2016, the Company had \$3.0 million of outstanding loans to Avion.

VA&E. VA&E primarily focuses on the global origination, trading and merchandising of sugar, pairing producers and buyers and arranging for the transportation and logistics of the product. The Company provides an unsecured revolving credit facility to VA&E for up to \$6.0 million. During the three months ended March 31, 2016, VA&E borrowed \$2.1 million and repaid \$5.5 million on the revolving credit facility. As of March 31, 2016, the Company had outstanding advances of \$3.6 million to VA&E.

Other. During the three months ended March 31, 2016, the Company made advances of \$0.4 million to other 50% or less owned companies.

Guarantees. The Company has guaranteed the payment of amounts owed under a vessel charter, a construction contract and banking facilities by certain of its 50% or less owned companies. As of March 31, 2016, the total amount guaranteed by the Company under these arrangements was \$55.2 million. In addition, as of March 31, 2016, the Company had uncalled capital commitments to two of its 50% or less owned companies totaling \$1.9 million.

4. LONG-TERM DEBT

SEACOR's Board of Directors previously approved a securities repurchase plan that authorizes the Company to acquire its 7.375% Senior Notes, 3.0% Convertible Senior Notes, 2.5% Convertible Senior Notes and SEACOR common stock, par value \$0.01 per share ("Common Stock"), (collectively the "Securities"), which may be acquired through open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions or otherwise, depending on market conditions. As of March 31, 2016, the Company's repurchase authority for the Securities was \$165.9 million.

2.5% Convertible Senior Notes. During the three months ended March 31, 2016, the Company repurchased \$20.2 million in principal amount of its 2.5% Convertible Senior Notes for total consideration of \$18.9 million.

Consideration of \$17.5 million was allocated to the settlement of the long-term debt resulting in gains on debt extinguishment of \$1.4 million included in the accompanying consolidated statements of loss. Consideration of \$1.4 million was allocated to the purchase of the conversion option embedded in the 2.5% Convertible Senior Notes as included in the accompanying consolidated statements of changes in equity.

7.375% Senior Notes. During the three months ended March 31, 2016, the Company purchased \$13.9 million in principal amount of its 7.375% Senior Notes for \$11.9 million resulting in gains on debt extinguishment of \$1.8 million included in the accompanying consolidated statements of loss.

SEA-Vista Credit Facility. During the three months ended March 31, 2016, SEA-Vista borrowed \$5.0 million on the Revolving Loan and made scheduled repayments of \$1.0 million on the Term A-1 Loan. As of March 31, 2016, SEA-Vista had \$82.0 million of borrowing capacity under the SEA-Vista Credit Facility. Subsequent to March 31, 2016, SEA-Vista borrowed \$32.0 million on the Revolving Loan.

ICP Revolving Credit Facility. As of March 31, 2016, ICP had no borrowings on the ICP Revolving Credit Facility and had \$15.5 million of borrowing capacity.

Other. During the three months ended March 31, 2016, the Company made scheduled payments on other long-term debt of \$2.2 million and received proceeds from the issuance of other long-term debt of \$7.4 million, net of issuance costs of \$0.1 million.

Table of Contents

As of March 31, 2016, the Company had outstanding letters of credit totaling \$25.0 million with various expiration dates through 2019 and had other labor and performance guarantees of \$2.7 million.

5. DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING STRATEGIES

Exchange Option Liability on Subsidiary Convertible Senior Notes. The exchange option liability is carried at fair value and relates to a bifurcated embedded derivative in the Company's 3.75% Subsidiary Convertible Senior Notes. The activity of the exchange option liability for the three months ended March 31, 2016 was as follows:

Balance as of December 31, 2015	\$5,611
Unrealized losses included in derivative gains (losses), net	136
Balance as of March 31, 2016	\$5,747

Cash Flow Hedges. Certain of the Company's 50% or less owned companies have interest rate swap agreements designated as cash flow hedges. By entering into these interest rate swap agreements, the Company's 50% or less owned companies have converted the variable LIBOR component of certain of their outstanding borrowings to a fixed interest rate. The Company recognized losses on derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges of \$1.8 million and \$0.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively, as a component of other comprehensive income (loss). As of March 31, 2016, the interest rate swaps held by the Company's 50% or less owned companies were as follows:

MexMar had four interest rate swap agreements with maturities in 2023 that call for MexMar to pay a fixed rate of interest ranging from 1.71% to 2.05% on the aggregate amortized notional value of \$114.7 million and receive a variable interest rate based on LIBOR on the aggregate amortized notional value.

Sea-Cat Crewzer II had an interest rate swap agreement maturing in 2019 that calls for Sea-Cat Crewzer II to pay a fixed rate of interest of 1.52% on the amortized notional value of \$25.0 million and receive a variable interest rate based on LIBOR on the amortized notional value.

Sea-Cat Crewzer had an interest rate swap agreement maturing in 2019 that calls for Sea-Cat Crewzer to pay a fixed rate of interest of 1.52% on the amortized notional value of \$22.2 million and receive a variable interest rate based on LIBOR on the amortized notional value.

SeaJon had an interest rate swap agreement maturing in 2017 that calls for SeaJon to pay a fixed interest rate of 2.79% on the amortized notional value of \$32.1 million and receive a variable interest rate based on LIBOR on the amortized notional value.

Other Derivative Instruments. Other derivative instruments not designated as hedging instruments are classified as either assets or liabilities based on their individual fair values. Derivative assets and liabilities are included in other receivables and other current liabilities, respectively, in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets. The fair values of the Company's derivative instruments as of March 31, 2016 were as follows (in thousands):

	Derivative Asset	Derivative Liability
Options on equities and equity indices	\$ —	\$ 250
Forward currency exchange, option and future contracts	228	—
Interest rate swap agreements	—	212
Commodity swap, option and future contracts:		
Exchange traded	199	1,413
	\$ 427	\$ 1,875

Table of Contents

The Company recognized gains (losses) on derivative instruments not designated as hedging instruments for the three month ended March 31 as follows (in thousands):

	2016	2015
Options on equities and equity indices	\$2,904	\$(1,374)
Forward currency exchange, option and future contracts	45	(304)
Interest rate swap agreements	(6)	(9)
Commodity swap, option and future contracts:		
Exchange traded	(187)	(2,184)
Non-exchange traded	—	875
	\$2,756	\$(2,996)

The Company holds positions in publicly traded equity options that convey the right or obligation to engage in future transactions in the underlying equity security or index. The Company's investment in equity options primarily includes positions in energy, marine, transportation and other related businesses. These contracts are typically entered into to mitigate the risk of changes in the market value of marketable security positions that the Company is either about to acquire, has acquired or is about to dispose.

The Company enters and settles forward currency exchange, option and future contracts with respect to various foreign currencies. As of March 31, 2016, the outstanding forward currency exchange contracts translated into a net purchase of foreign currencies with an aggregate U.S. dollar equivalent of \$2.0 million. These contracts enable the Company to buy currencies in the future at fixed exchange rates, which could offset possible consequences of changes in currency exchange rates with respect to the Company's business conducted outside of the United States. The Company generally does not enter into contracts with forward settlement dates beyond twelve to eighteen months. The Company and certain of its 50% or less owned companies have entered into interest rate swap agreements for the general purpose of providing protection against increases in interest rates, which might lead to higher interest costs. As of March 31, 2016, the interest rate swaps held by the Company or its 50% or less owned companies were as follows:

The Company had an interest rate swap agreement maturing in 2018 that calls for the Company to pay a fixed interest rate of 3.00% on the amortized notional value of \$5.8 million and receive a variable interest rate based on Euribor on the amortized notional value.

OSV Partners had two interest rate swap agreements with maturities in 2020 that call for OSV Partners to pay a fixed rate of interest ranging from 1.89% to 2.27% on the aggregate amortized notional value of \$41.8 million and receive a variable interest rate based on LIBOR on the aggregate amortized notional value.

Dynamic Offshore had an interest rate swap agreement maturing in 2018 that calls for Dynamic Offshore to pay a fixed interest rate of 1.30% on the amortized notional value of \$81.2 million and receive a variable interest rate based on LIBOR on the amortized notional value.

Falcon Global had an interest rate swap agreement maturing in 2022 that calls for Falcon Global to pay a fixed interest rate of 2.06% on the amortized notional value of \$62.5 million and receive a variable interest rate based on LIBOR on the amortized notional value.

The Company and certain of its 50% or less owned companies enters and settles positions in various exchange and non-exchange traded commodity swap, option and future contracts. ICP enters into exchange traded positions (primarily corn, ethanol and natural gas) to protect its raw material and finished goods inventory balances from market changes. VA&E enters into exchange traded positions to protect its fixed price future purchase and sale contracts for sugar as well as its inventory balances from market changes. As of March 31, 2016, the net market exposure to these commodities under these contracts was not material.

Table of Contents

6. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The fair value of an asset or liability is the price that would be received to sell an asset or transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. The Company utilizes a fair value hierarchy that maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value and defines three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Level 2 inputs are observable inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, including quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted prices in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability, or inputs derived from observable market data. Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

The Company's financial assets and liabilities as of March 31, 2016 that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis were as follows (in thousands):

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
ASSETS			
Marketable securities ⁽¹⁾	\$110,894	\$ —	—
Derivative instruments (included in other receivables)	199	228	—
Construction reserve funds	255,350	—	—
LIABILITIES			
Short sale of marketable securities ⁽¹⁾ (included in other current liabilities)	5,053	—	—
Derivative instruments (included in other current liabilities)	1,663	212	—
Exchange option liability on subsidiary convertible senior notes	—	—	5,747

Marketable security losses, net include unrealized losses of \$24.5 million and \$0.2 million for the three months (1)ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively, related to marketable security positions held by the Company as of March 31, 2016.

Level 3 Inputs. The fair value of the exchange option liability on subsidiary convertible senior notes is estimated with significant inputs that are both observable and unobservable in the market and therefore is considered a Level 3 fair value measurement. Observable inputs include market quotes, current interest rates, benchmark yield curves, volatility, quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics and historical dividends. The significant unobservable input used in the fair value measurement is the probability assessment of a SMH Spin-off. In the fair value measurement, holding the observable inputs constant, a significant increase in the probability of a SMH Spin-off would result in a significantly lower exchange option liability.

The estimated fair values of the Company's other financial assets and liabilities as of March 31, 2016 were as follows (in thousands):

	Carrying Amount	Estimated Fair Value		
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
ASSETS				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$496,473	\$496,473	\$ —	—
Investments, at cost, in 50% or less owned companies (included in other assets)	16,045	see below		
Notes receivable from third parties (included in other receivables and other assets)	22,574	see below		
LIABILITIES				
Long-term debt, including current portion ⁽¹⁾	1,054,019	—	1,019,076	—

(1) The estimated fair value includes the embedded conversion options on the Company's 2.5% and 3.0% Convertible Senior Notes.

The carrying value of cash and cash equivalents approximates fair value. The fair value of the Company's long-term debt was estimated based upon quoted market prices or by using discounted cash flow analyses based on estimated current rates for similar types of arrangements. It was not practicable to estimate the fair value of the Company's investments, at cost, in 50% or less owned companies because of the lack of quoted market prices and the inability to estimate fair value without incurring excessive costs. It was not practicable to estimate the fair value of the Company's notes receivable from third parties as the overall returns are uncertain due to certain provisions for additional payments contingent upon future events. Considerable judgment was

Table of Contents

required in developing certain of the estimates of fair value and, accordingly, the estimates presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the amounts that the Company could realize in a current market exchange.

7. STOCK REPURCHASES

SEACOR's Board of Directors previously approved a securities repurchase plan that authorizes the Company to acquire its 7.375% Senior Notes, 3.0% Convertible Senior Notes, 2.5% Convertible Senior Notes and Common Stock, (collectively the "Securities"), which may be acquired through open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions or otherwise, depending on market conditions. As of March 31, 2016, the Company's repurchase authority for the Securities was \$165.9 million.

During the three months ended March 31, 2016, the Company purchased 47,455 shares of Common Stock for treasury for an aggregate purchase price of \$2.4 million from its employees to cover their tax withholding obligations upon the lapsing of restrictions on share awards. These shares were purchased in accordance with the terms of the Company's Share Incentive Plans and not pursuant to the repurchase authorizations granted by SEACOR's Board of Directors.

8. NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS IN SUBSIDIARIES

Noncontrolling interests in the Company's consolidated subsidiaries were as follows (in thousands):

		Noncontrolling March 31, December 31,	
	Interests	2016	2015
Offshore Marine Services:			
Windcat Workboats	25%	\$ 6,682	\$ 7,484
Other	1.8 % -30%	267	470
Inland River Services:			
Other	3.0 % -51.8%	960	1,146
Shipping Services:			
Sea-Vista	49%	95,118	88,290
Illinois Corn Processing	30%	19,392	22,272
Other	5.0 % -14.6%	483	457
		\$ 122,902	\$ 120,119

Windcat Workboats. Windcat Workboats owns and operates the Company's wind farm utility vessels that are primarily used to move personnel and supplies in the major offshore wind markets of Europe. As of March 31, 2016, the net assets of Windcat Workboats were \$26.7 million. During the three months ended March 31, 2016, the net loss of Windcat Workboats was \$2.5 million, of which \$0.6 million was attributable to noncontrolling interests. During the three months ended March 31, 2015, the net income of Windcat Workboats was \$2.3 million, of which \$0.6 million was attributable to noncontrolling interests.

SEA-Vista. SEA-Vista owns and operates the Company's fleet of U.S.-flag product tankers used in the U.S. coastwise trade of crude oil, petroleum and specialty chemical products. As of March 31, 2016, the net assets of SEA-Vista were \$194.1 million. During the three months ended March 31, 2016, the net income of SEA-Vista was \$13.9 million, of which \$6.8 million was attributable to noncontrolling interests. During the three months ended March 31, 2015, the net loss of SEA-Vista was \$0.1 million.

Illinois Corn Processing. ICP owns and operates an alcohol manufacturing, storage and distribution facility located in Pekin, IL. As of March 31, 2016, the net assets of ICP were \$64.6 million. During the three months ended March 31, 2016, the net income of ICP was \$1.4 million, of which \$0.4 million was attributable to noncontrolling interests. During the three months ended March 31, 2015, the net income of ICP was \$4.1 million, of which \$1.2 million was attributable to noncontrolling interests.

9. MULTI-EMPLOYER PENSION PLANS

AMOPP. During the three months ended March 31, 2016, the Company received notification from the AMOPP that the Company's withdrawal liability as of September 30, 2015 was \$46.7 million based on an actuarial valuation performed as of that date. That liability may change in future years based on various factors, primarily employee census. As of March 31, 2016, the Company has no intention to withdraw from the AMOPP and no deficit amounts have been invoiced. Depending upon the results of the future actuarial valuations and the ten-year rehabilitation plan, it is possible that the AMOPP will experience further funding deficits, requiring the Company to recognize additional

payroll related operating expenses in the periods invoices are received or contribution levels are increased.

14

Table of Contents

10. SHARE BASED COMPENSATION

Transactions in connection with the Company's share based compensation plans during the three months ended March 31, 2016 were as follows:

Director stock awards granted	875
Employee Stock Purchase Plan ("ESPP") shares issued	27,538
Restricted stock awards granted	132,758
Restricted stock awards canceled	900
Stock Option Activities:	
Outstanding as of December 31, 2015	1,690,899
Granted	46,165
Exercised	(27,094)
Forfeited	(18,760)
Expired	(74,602)
Outstanding as of March 31, 2016	1,616,608
Shares available for future grants and ESPP purchases as of March 31, 2016	651,493

11. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The Company's capital commitments as of March 31, 2016 by year of expected payment were as follows (in thousands):

	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
Shipping Services	\$150,476	\$22,630	\$—	\$—	\$173,106
Offshore Marine Services	55,545	38,847	29,199	10,123	133,714
Inland River Services	29,060	28,510	—	—	57,570
Illinois Corn Processing	3,399	—	—	—	3,399
Other	3	—	—	—	3
	\$238,483	\$89,987	\$29,199	\$10,123	\$367,792

Shipping Services' capital commitments included three U.S.-flag product tankers, one U.S.-flag chemical and petroleum articulated tug barge and two U.S.-flag harbor tugs. Offshore Marine Services' capital commitments included eight fast support vessels, four supply vessels, two specialty offshore support vessels and one wind farm utility vessel. Inland River Services' capital commitments included 50 dry-cargo barges, one inland river 30,000 barrel liquid tank barge and five inland river towboats.

On July 20, 2010, two individuals purporting to represent a class commenced a civil action in the Civil District Court for the Parish of Orleans in the State of Louisiana, John Wunstell, Jr. and Kelly Blanchard v. BP, et al., No. 2010-7437 (Division K) (the "Wunstell Action"), in which they assert, among other theories, that Mr. Wunstell suffered injuries as a result of his exposure to certain noxious fumes and chemicals in connection with the provision of remediation, containment, and response services by ORM during the Deepwater Horizon oil spill response and clean-up in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico. The action now is part of the overall multi-district litigation, In re Oil Spill by the Oil Rig "Deepwater Horizon" in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico on April 20, 2010, MDL No. 2179 filed in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana ("MDL"). The complaint also seeks to establish a "class-wide court-supervised medical monitoring program" for all individuals "participating in BP's Deepwater Horizon Vessels of Opportunity Program and/or Horizon Response Program" who allegedly experienced injuries similar to those of Mr. Wunstell. The Company believes this lawsuit has no merit and will continue to vigorously defend the action and pursuant to contractual agreements with the responsible party, the responsible party has agreed, subject to certain potential limitations, to indemnify and defend ORM in connection with the Wunstell Action and claims asserted in the MDL, discussed further below. Although the Company is unable to estimate the potential exposure, if any, resulting from this matter, the Company does not expect it will have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

On December 15, 2010, NRC, a subsidiary of the Company prior to the SES Business Transaction, and ORM were named as defendants in one of the several consolidated "master complaints" that have been filed in the overall MDL. The "B3" master complaint naming ORM and NRC asserts various claims on behalf of a putative class against

multiple defendants concerning the clean-up activities generally, and the use of dispersants specifically. By court order, the Wunstell Action was stayed as a result of the filing of the referenced master complaint. The Company believes that the claims asserted against ORM and NRC in the master complaint have no merit and on February 28, 2011, ORM and NRC moved to dismiss all claims against them in the master

Table of Contents

complaint on legal grounds. On September 30, 2011, the Court granted in part and denied in part the motion to dismiss that ORM and NRC had filed (an amended decision was issued on October 4, 2011 that corrected several grammatical errors and non-substantive oversights in the original order). Although the Court refused to dismiss the referenced master complaint in its entirety at that time, the Court did recognize the validity of the "derivative immunity" and "implied preemption" arguments that ORM and NRC advanced and directed ORM and NRC to (i) conduct limited discovery to develop evidence to support those arguments and (ii) then re-assert the arguments. The Court did, however, dismiss all state-law claims and certain other claims that had been asserted in the referenced master complaint, and dismissed the claims of all plaintiffs that have failed to allege a legally-sufficient injury. A schedule for limited discovery and motion practice was established by the Court and, in accordance with that schedule, ORM and NRC filed for summary judgment re-asserting their derivative immunity and implied preemption arguments on May 18, 2012. Those motions were argued on July 13, 2012 and taken under advisement. On July 17, 2014, the Court issued a pretrial order that established a protocol for disclosures clarifying the basis for the "B3" claims asserted against the Clean-Up Responder Defendants, including ORM and NRC, in the MDL. Under this protocol, plaintiffs who satisfy certain criteria and believe they have specific evidence in support of their claims, including that any Clean-Up Responder Defendant(s) failed to act pursuant to the authority and direction of the federal government in conducting Deepwater Horizon oil spill remediation and clean-up operations, had to submit a sworn statement or face dismissal. Plaintiffs' deadline to serve such sworn statements in support of their claims was September 22, 2014, with the exception of several Plaintiffs who were granted an extension until October 10, 2014. On November 14, 2014, the Clean-Up Responder Defendants and the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee ("PSC") in the MDL submitted a joint report to the Court regarding claimants' compliance with the pretrial order. In this joint report, the parties (i) explained how they complied with the notice requirements of the Court's July 17, 2014 pretrial order, (ii) noted that they had received 102 sworn statements in connection with this pretrial order, and (iii) provided the Court with an assessment of the sworn statements received. An additional sworn statement was received after the joint report was submitted. On January 7, 2016, the Court issued an Order to Show Cause ("OSC") as to the B3 claims against the Clean-Up Responder Defendants, including ORM and NRC. The OSC ordered any plaintiff(s) opposed to the Court entering the proposed Order & Reasons ("O&R") attached to the OSC to show cause, in writing, on or before January 28, 2016 why the Court should not dismiss their B3 claim(s) with prejudice for the reasons set forth in the O&R. The O&R addressed the pending summary judgment motions and stated, among other things, why the Clean-Up Responder Defendants are entitled to derivative immunity under the Clean Water Act and discretionary function immunity under the Federal Tort Claims Act, and why plaintiffs' claims are preempted by the implied conflict preemption doctrine. The O&R also discussed the results of the protocol delineated in the Court's July 17, 2014 pretrial order and concluded with the dismissal of all but eleven plaintiffs' B3 claims against the Clean-Up Responder Defendants with prejudice. Following the issuance of the OSC, ORM and NRC complied with the same notice requirements delineated in the July 17, 2014 pretrial order and, along with the PSC, submitted a joint certification to that effect on January 15, 2016. Eight individual plaintiffs submitted responses to the OSC by the January 28, 2016 deadline, and the Clean-Up Responder Defendants submitted a response thereto on February 4, 2016. On February 16, 2016, the Court issued an order overruling the objections relayed in the eight individual Plaintiffs' responses to the OSC, and then entered a dismissal order nearly identical to the O&R. Accordingly, the final Order & Reasons entered on February 16, 2016 dismissed all but eleven B3 claims against ORM and NRC with prejudice, whether by joinder in the master complaint, individual complaint, or otherwise (the "B3 Dismissal Order"). The deadline for Plaintiffs to appeal the B3 Dismissal Order has passed and the Company is evaluating how this ruling will impact the individual civil actions discussed below. Moreover, on April 8, 2016, the Court entered an order establishing a summary judgment briefing schedule as to the remaining eleven B3 claimants. Such summary judgment motions are to be filed by the Clean-Up Responder Defendants, including ORM and NRC, on May 9, 2016, with opposition and reply briefing to follow. This summary judgment briefing schedule pertains to several individual civil actions discussed herein, including the Wunstell Action. In addition to the indemnity provided to ORM, pursuant to contractual agreements with the responsible party, the responsible party has agreed, subject to certain potential limitations, to indemnify and defend ORM and NRC in connection with these claims in the MDL. Although the Company is unable to estimate the potential exposure, if any, resulting from the remaining B3 claims, the Company does not expect they will have a material effect on the

Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Subsequent to the filing of the referenced master complaint, ten additional individual civil actions have been filed in or removed to the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana concerning the clean-up activities generally, which name the Company, ORM and/or NRC as defendants or third-party defendants and are part of the overall MDL. By court order, all of these additional individuals' cases were stayed upon consolidation with the MDL until further notice. On April 8, 2011, ORM was named as a defendant in *Johnson Bros. Corporation of Louisiana v. BP, PLC, et al.*, No. 2:11-CV-00781 (E.D. La.), which is a suit by an individual business seeking damages allegedly caused by a delay on a construction project alleged to have resulted from the clean-up operations. On April 13, 2011, the Company was named as a defendant in *Mason v. Seacor Marine, LLC*, No. 2:11-CV-00826 (E.D. La.), an action in which plaintiff, a former employee, alleges sustaining personal injuries in connection with responding to the explosion and fire, but also in the months thereafter in connection with the clean-up of oil and dispersants while a member of the crew of the M/V Seacor Vanguard. Although the case was subject to the MDL Court's stay of individual proceedings, the employee moved to sever his case from the MDL on July 16, 2012, which the Court denied on March 5, 2013. The employee filed a motion asking the Court to reconsider, which was denied on May 3, 2013, and the employee filed a Notice of Appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit ("Fifth Circuit") on May 22, 2013. On July 24, 2013, the Company filed a motion

Table of Contents

to dismiss for lack of appellate jurisdiction, which was granted on August 16, 2013. The same Company employee has also brought a claim in the M/V Seacor Vanguard vessel's limitation action in the MDL which relates to any actions that may have been taken by vessels owned by the Company to extinguish the fire. On October 20, 2014, the Company moved for summary judgment, seeking dismissal with prejudice of all of the Company employee's claims in the MDL in light of the Court's prior rulings. On May 22, 2015, the employee filed an opposition to the Company's motion as well as a motion to be recognized as an opt-out plaintiff or extend the opt-out deadline in connection with the below-referenced Medical Settlement, and on May 29, 2015, the Company filed a reply brief in further support of its motion. On June 10, 2015, the Court granted the Company's motion for summary judgment, dismissing all of the employee's claims against the Company and/or the M/V Seacor Vanguard with prejudice, and denied the employee's May 22, 2015 motion regarding his opt-out position in connection with the Medical Settlement. Final judgments for all of the employee's claims were issued by the Court on June 17, 2015, and the employee filed his Notice of Appeal on July 7, 2015. Following the docketing of the employee's appeals with the Fifth Circuit, the Company filed a motion to consolidate these appeals, which was granted on August 21, 2015. The employee filed his appellant brief in the consolidated appeal on October 23, 2015, the Company submitted its appellee brief on November 25, 2015, and the employee filed his reply brief on January 4, 2016. On April 6, 2016, the Fifth Circuit affirmed the district court's decision dismissing all of the employee's claims with prejudice. On April 15, 2011, ORM and NRC were named as defendants in James and Krista Pearson v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc. ("BP Exploration"), et al., No. 2:11-CV-00863 (E.D. La.), which is a suit by a husband and wife who allegedly participated in the clean-up effort and are seeking damages for personal injury, property damage to their boat, and amounts allegedly due under contract. On April 15, 2011, ORM and NRC were named as defendants in Thomas Edward Black v. BP Exploration, et al., No. 2:11-CV-00867 (E.D. La.), which is a suit by an individual who is seeking damages for lost income because he allegedly could not find work in the fishing industry after the oil spill. On April 20, 2011, a complaint was filed in Darnell Alexander, et al. v. BP, PLC, et al., No. 2:11-CV-00951 (E.D. La.) on behalf of 117 individual plaintiffs that sought to adopt the allegations made in the referenced master complaint against ORM and NRC (and the other defendants). Plaintiffs in this matter have since been granted leave to amend their complaint to include 410 additional individual plaintiffs. On October 3, 2012, ORM and NRC were served with a Rule 14(c) Third-Party Complaint by Jambon Supplier II, L.L.C. and Jambon Marine Holdings L.L.C. in their Limitation of Liability action, In the Matter of Jambon Supplier II, L.L.C., et al., No. 2:12-CV-00426 (E.D. La.). This Third-Party Complaint alleges that if claimant David Dinwiddie, who served as a clean-up crewmember aboard the M/V JAMBON SUPPLIER II vessel during the clean-up efforts, was injured as a result of his exposure to dispersants and chemicals during the course and scope of his employment, then said injuries were caused by the third-party defendants. On November 25, 2012, ORM was named as a defendant in Victoria Sanchez v. American Pollution Control Corp. et al., No. 2:12-CV-00164 (E.D. La.), a maritime suit filed by an individual who allegedly participated in the clean-up effort and sustained personal injuries during the course of such employment. On December 17, 2012, the Court unsealed a False Claims Act lawsuit naming ORM as a defendant, Dillon v. BP, PLC et al., No. 2:12-CV-00987 (E.D. La.), which is a suit by an individual seeking damages and penalties arising from alleged false reports and claims made to the federal government with respect to the amount of oil burned and dispersed during the clean-up. The federal government has declined to intervene in this suit. On April 8, 2013, the Company, ORM, and NRC were named as defendants in William and Dianna Fitzgerald v. BP Exploration et al., No. 2:13-CV-00650 (E.D. La.), which is a suit by a husband and wife whose son allegedly participated in the clean-up effort and became ill as a result of his exposure to oil and dispersants. Finally, on April 17, 2013, ORM was named as a defendant in Danos et al. v. BP America Production Co. et al., No. 2:13-CV-03747 (removed to E.D. La.), which is a suit by eight individuals seeking damages for dispersant exposure either as a result of their work during clean-up operations or as a result of their residence in the Gulf. The Company is evaluating the impact of the B3 Dismissal Order and other developments in the MDL, including the settlements discussed below, on these individual actions. The Company is unable to estimate the potential exposure, if any, resulting from these matters, to the extent they remain viable, but believes they are without merit and does not expect that they will have a material effect on its consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows. On February 18, 2011, Triton Asset Leasing GmbH, Transocean Holdings LLC, Transocean Offshore Deepwater Drilling Inc., and Transocean Deepwater Inc. (collectively "Transocean") named ORM and NRC as third-party

defendants in a Rule 14(c) Third-Party Complaint in Transocean's own Limitation of Liability Act action, which is part of the overall MDL, tendering to ORM and NRC the claims in the referenced master complaint that have already been asserted against ORM and NRC. Transocean, Cameron International Corporation ("Cameron"), Halliburton Energy Services, Inc., and M-I L.L.C. ("M-I") also filed cross-claims against ORM and NRC for contribution and tort indemnity should they be found liable for any damages in Transocean's Limitation of Liability Act action and ORM and NRC asserted counterclaims against those same parties for identical relief. Weatherford U.S., L.P. and Weatherford International, Inc. (collectively "Weatherford") had also filed cross-claims against ORM and NRC, but moved to voluntarily dismiss these cross-claims without prejudice on February 8, 2013. The Court granted Weatherford's motion that same day. The remainder of the aforementioned cross-claims in Transocean's limitation action remain pending, although the Court has found Cameron and M-I to be not liable in connection with the Deepwater Horizon incident and resultant oil spill and dismissed these parties from the MDL. As indicated above, the Company is unable to estimate the potential exposure, if any, resulting from these actions but believes they are without merit and does not expect that these matters will have a material effect on its consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Table of Contents

On November 16, 2012, 668 individuals who served as beach clean-up workers in Escambia County, Florida during the Deepwater Horizon oil spill response commenced a civil action in the Circuit Court for the First Judicial Circuit of Florida, in and for Escambia County, Abney et al. v. Plant Performance Services, LLC et al., No. 2012-CA-002947, in which they allege, among other things, that ORM and other defendants engaged in the contamination of Florida waters and beaches in violation of Florida Statutes Chapter 376 and injured the plaintiffs by exposing them to dispersants during the course and scope of their employment. The case was removed to the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Florida on January 13, 2013, Abney et al. v. Plant Performance Services, LLC et al., No. 3:13-CV-00024 (N.D. Fla.), and on January 16, 2013, the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation ("JPML") issued a Conditional Transfer Order ("CTO") transferring the case to the MDL, subject to any timely-filed notice of objection from the plaintiffs. Upon receipt of a notice of objection from the plaintiffs, a briefing schedule was set by the JPML, and so a stay of proceedings and suspension of deadlines was sought and obtained by the Court in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Florida. Following briefing before the JPML, the case was transferred to the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana and consolidated with the MDL on April 2, 2013. On April 22, 2013, a companion case to this matter was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Florida, Abood et al. v. Plant Performance Services, LLC et al., No. 3:13-CV-00284 (N.D. Fla.), which alleges identical allegations against the same parties but names an additional 174 plaintiffs, all of whom served as clean-up workers in various Florida counties during the Deepwater Horizon oil spill response. A CTO was issued by the JPML on May 2, 2013, no objection was filed by the plaintiffs, and the case was transferred to the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana and consolidated with the MDL on May 10, 2013. By court order, both of these matters have been stayed since they were consolidated with the MDL. The Company continues to evaluate the impact of the B3 Dismissal Order and other developments in the MDL, including the settlements discussed below, on these cases. The Company is unable to estimate the potential exposure, if any, resulting from these matters but believes they are without merit and does not expect that these matters will have a material effect on its consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Separately, on March 2, 2012, the Court announced that BP Exploration and BP America Production Company ("BP America") (collectively "BP") and the plaintiffs had reached an agreement on the terms of two proposed class action settlements that will resolve, among other things, plaintiffs' economic loss claims and clean-up related claims against BP. The parties filed their proposed settlement agreements on April 18, 2012 along with motions seeking preliminary approval of the settlements. The Court held a hearing on April 25, 2012 to consider those motions and preliminarily approved both settlements on May 2, 2012. A final fairness hearing took place on November 8, 2012. The Court granted final approval to the Economic and Property Damages Class Action Settlement ("E&P Settlement") on December 21, 2012, and granted final approval to the Medical Benefits Class Action Settlement ("Medical Settlement") on January 11, 2013. Both class action settlements were appealed to the Fifth Circuit. The Fifth Circuit affirmed the MDL Court's decision concerning the E&P Settlement on January 10, 2014, and also affirmed the MDL Court's decision concerning the interpretation of the E&P Settlement with respect to business economic loss claims on March 3, 2014. The appeal of the Medical Settlement, on the other hand, was voluntarily dismissed and the Medical Settlement became effective on February 12, 2014. The deadline for submitting claims in both settlements have passed. Although neither the Company, ORM, nor NRC are parties to the settlement agreements, the Company, ORM, and NRC are listed as released parties on the releases accompanying both settlement agreements. Consequently, class members who did not file timely requests for exclusion will be barred from pursuing economic loss, property damage, personal injury, medical monitoring, and/or other released claims against the Company, ORM, and NRC. The Company believes these settlements have reduced the Company's and ORM's potential exposure, if any, from some of the pending actions described above, and continues to evaluate the settlements' impacts on these cases.

In the course of the Company's business, it may agree to indemnify the counterparty to an agreement. If the indemnified party makes a successful claim for indemnification, the Company would be required to reimburse that party in accordance with the terms of the indemnification agreement. Indemnification agreements generally are subject to threshold amounts, specified claim periods and other restrictions and limitations.

In connection with the SES Business Transaction, the Company remains contingently liable for certain obligations, including potential liabilities relating to work performed in connection with the Deepwater Horizon oil spill response.

Pursuant to the agreement governing the sale, the Company's potential liability to the purchaser may not exceed the consideration received by the Company for the SES Business Transaction. The Company is currently indemnified under contractual agreements with BP for the potential liabilities relating to work performed in connection with the Deepwater Horizon oil spill response.

In the normal course of its business, the Company becomes involved in various other litigation matters including, among other things, claims by third parties for alleged property damages and personal injuries. Management has used estimates in determining the Company's potential exposure to these matters and has recorded reserves in its financial statements related thereto where appropriate. It is possible that a change in the Company's estimates of that exposure could occur, but the Company does not expect such changes in estimated costs would have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Table of Contents

12. SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company's segment presentation and basis of measurement of segment profit or loss are as previously described in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015. The following tables summarize the operating results, capital expenditures and assets of the Company's reportable segments.

	Offshore Marine Services \$'000	Inland River Services \$'000	Shipping Services \$'000	ICP ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ \$'000	Other \$'000	Corporate and Eliminations \$'000	Total \$'000
For the three months ended							
March 31, 2016							
Operating Revenues:							
External customers	59,853	39,028	57,055	49,609	8,383	—	213,928
Intersegment	26	586	—	—	36	(648)	—
	59,879	39,614	57,055	49,609	8,419	(648)	213,928
Costs and Expenses:							
Operating	48,850	30,118	27,234	46,289	5,805	(828)	157,468
Administrative and general	12,398	3,912	6,918	656	4,223	7,597	35,704
Depreciation and amortization	14,838	7,137	6,562	1,053	455	944	30,989
	76,086	41,167	40,714	47,998	10,483	7,713	224,161
Gains (Losses) on Asset Dispositions and Impairments, Net	(380)	605	(6)	—	(2)	—	217
Operating Income (Loss)	(16,587)	(948)	16,335	1,611	(2,066)	(8,361)	(10,016)
Other Income (Expense):							
Derivative gains (losses), net	2,898	—	—	(187)	—	(91)	2,620
Foreign currency gains (losses), net	(1,560)	1,437	(3)	—	(27)	190	37
Other, net	265	—	1	—	—	2	268
Equity in Earnings (Losses) of 50% or Less Owned Companies, Net of Tax	2,161	(2,778)	26	—	542	—	(49)
Segment Profit (Loss)	(12,823)	(2,289)	16,359	1,424	(1,551)		
Other Income (Expense) not included in Segment Profit (Loss)							(28,215)
Less Equity Losses included in Segment Profit (Loss)							49
Loss Before Taxes and Equity Losses							(35,306)
Capital Expenditures	20,907	1,970	23,894	686	—	(21)	47,436
As of March 31, 2016							
Property and Equipment:							
Historical cost	1,099,063	379,310	451,347	51,341	3,053	31,091	2,015,205
Accumulated depreciation	(557,968)	(148,779)	(240,652)	(20,443)	(2,613)	(15,593)	(986,048)
	541,095	230,531	210,695	30,898	440	15,498	1,029,157
Construction in progress	117,809	9,136	357,296	2,031	—	(1,800)	484,472
Held for sale equipment	—	86,332	—	—	—	—	86,332
Net property and equipment	658,904	325,999	567,991	32,929	440	13,698	1,599,961
Investments, at Equity, and Advances to 50% or Less Owned Companies	135,406	79,478	63,365	—	56,121	—	334,370
Inventories	3,199	1,268	544	13,325	95	—	18,431

Edgar Filing: SEACOR HOLDINGS INC /NEW/ - Form 10-Q

Goodwill	—	2,400	1,852	—	48,124	—	52,376
Intangible Assets	1,017	5,744	—	—	18,989	—	25,750
Other current and long-term assets, excluding cash and near cash assets ⁽³⁾	93,050	45,383	26,319	7,969	33,524	12,037	218,282
Segment Assets	891,576	460,272	660,071	54,223	157,293		
Cash and near cash assets ⁽³⁾							862,717
Total Assets							3,111,887

(1) Operating revenues includes \$46.6 million of tangible product sales and operating expenses includes \$43.3 million of costs of goods sold.

(2) Inventories includes raw materials of \$2.5 million and work in process of \$1.5 million.

(3) Cash and near cash assets includes cash, cash equivalents, marketable securities, and construction reserve funds.

Table of Contents

	Offshore Marine Services \$'000	Inland River Services \$'000	Shipping Services \$'000	ICP ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ \$'000	Other \$'000	Corporate and Eliminations \$'000	Total \$'000
For the three months ended							
March 31, 2015							
Operating Revenues:							
External customers	93,421	55,836	51,407	39,598	20,382	—	260,644
Intersegment	35	771	—	—	70	(876)) —
	93,456	56,607	51,407	39,598	20,452	(876)) 260,644
Costs and Expenses:							
Operating	74,355	41,513	37,131	33,118	13,830	(799)) 199,148
Administrative and general	13,559	3,884	6,289	562	7,136	7,457	38,887
Depreciation and amortization	15,366	6,889	6,735	980	500	960	31,430
	103,280	52,286	50,155	34,660	21,466	7,618	269,465
Gains (Losses) on Asset Dispositions and Impairments, Net	(6,649)) 1,803	—	—	—	—	(4,846)
Operating Income (Loss)	(16,473)) 6,124	1,252	4,938	(1,014)) (8,494)) (13,667)
Other Income (Expense):							
Derivative gains (losses), net	(9)) 82	—	(828)) (776)) (1,465)) (2,996)
Foreign currency losses, net	(17)) (1,121)) (12)) —	(40)) (803)) (1,993)
Other, net	(146)) —	29	—	8	65	(44)
Equity in Earnings (Losses) of 50% or Less Owned Companies, Net of Tax	2,975	(274)) 1,141	—	57	—	3,899
Segment Profit (Loss)	(13,670)) 4,811	2,410	4,110	(1,765))	
Other Income (Expense) not included in Segment Profit (Loss)							(15,054)
Less Equity Earnings included in Segment Profit (Loss)							(3,899)
Loss Before Taxes and Equity Earnings							(33,754)
Capital Expenditures	24,128	3,916	18,180	776	3	427	47,430
As of March 31, 2015							
Property and Equipment:							
Historical cost	1,062,061	485,881	454,039	47,256	3,521	30,277	2,083,035
Accumulated depreciation	(504,926)) (162,581)	(219,662)	(16,468)	(3,236)) (11,896)) (918,769)
	557,135	323,300	234,377	30,788	285	18,381	1,164,266
Construction in progress	92,873	25,605	218,729	1,494	234	455	339,390
Net property and equipment	650,008	348,905	453,106	32,282	519	18,836	1,503,656
Investments, at Equity, and Advances to 50% or Less Owned Companies	118,253	101,344	221,658	—	42,493	—	483,748
Inventories	5,360	2,171	977	13,711	937	—	23,156
Goodwill	13,367	2,502	1,852	—	44,967	—	62,688
Intangible Assets	1,515	6,692	146	—	23,602	—	31,955
Other current and long-term assets, excluding cash and near cash assets ⁽³⁾	98,766	63,768	24,866	11,421	71,436	2,053	272,310
Segment Assets	887,269	525,382	702,605	57,414	183,954		
Cash and near cash assets ⁽³⁾							792,438

Total Assets 3,169,951

-
- (1) Operating revenues includes \$37.2 million of tangible product sales and operating expenses includes \$30.7 million of costs of goods sold.
 - (2) Inventories includes raw materials of \$1.6 million and work in process of \$1.7 million.
 - (3) Cash and near cash assets includes cash, cash equivalents, restricted cash, marketable securities, construction reserve funds and Title XI reserve funds.

20

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This Form 10-Q includes "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Certain statements discussed in this Form 10-Q as well as in other reports, materials and oral statements that the Company releases from time to time constitute "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Generally, words such as "anticipate," "estimate," "expect," "project," "intend," "believe," "plan," "target," "forecast" and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Such forward-looking statements concern management's expectations, strategic objectives, business prospects, anticipated economic performance and financial condition and other similar matters. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and actual events or results may differ significantly from these statements. Actual events or results are subject to significant known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other important factors, including decreased demand and loss of revenues as a result of a decline in the price of oil and an oversupply of newly built offshore support vessels, additional safety and certification requirements for drilling activities in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico and delayed approval of applications for such activities, the possibility of U.S. government implemented moratoriums directing operators to cease certain drilling activities in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico and any extension of such moratoriums (the "Moratoriums"), weakening demand for the Company's services as a result of unplanned customer suspensions, cancellations, rate reductions or non-renewals of vessel charters or failures to finalize commitments to charter vessels in response to a decline in the price of oil, an oversupply of newly built offshore support vessels and Moratoriums, increased government legislation and regulation of the Company's businesses could increase cost of operations, increased competition if the Jones Act is repealed, liability, legal fees and costs in connection with the provision of emergency response services, including the Company's involvement in response to the oil spill as a result of the sinking of the Deepwater Horizon in April 2010, decreased demand for the Company's services as a result of declines in the global economy, declines in valuations in the global financial markets and a lack of liquidity in the credit sectors, including, interest rate fluctuations, availability of credit, inflation rates, change in laws, trade barriers, commodity prices and currency exchange fluctuations, the cyclical nature of the oil and gas industry, activity in foreign countries and changes in foreign political, military and economic conditions, changes in foreign and domestic oil and gas exploration and production activity, safety record requirements related to Offshore Marine Services and Shipping Services, decreased demand for Shipping Services due to construction of additional refined petroleum product, natural gas or crude oil pipelines or due to decreased demand for refined petroleum products, crude oil or chemical products or a change in existing methods of delivery, compliance with U.S. and foreign government laws and regulations, including environmental laws and regulations and economic sanctions, the dependence of Offshore Marine Services, Inland River Services, Shipping Services and Illinois Corn Processing on several customers, consolidation of the Company's customer base, the ongoing need to replace aging vessels, industry fleet capacity, restrictions imposed by the Shipping Acts on the amount of foreign ownership of the Company's Common Stock, operational risks of Offshore Marine Services, Inland River Services and Shipping Services, effects of adverse weather conditions and seasonality, the level of grain export volume, the effect of fuel prices on barge towing costs, variability in freight rates for inland river barges, the effect of international economic and political factors on Inland River Services' operations, the effect of the spread between the input costs of corn and natural gas compared with the price of alcohol and distillers grains on Illinois Corn Processing's operations, adequacy of insurance coverage, the potential for a material weakness in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting and the Company's ability to remediate such potential material weakness, the attraction and retention of qualified personnel by the Company, and various other matters and factors, many of which are beyond the Company's control as well as those discussed in Item 1A (Risk Factors) of the Company's Annual report on Form 10-K. It should be understood that it is not possible to predict or identify all such factors. Consequently, the preceding should not be considered to be a complete discussion of all potential risks or uncertainties. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date of the document in which they are made. The Company disclaims any obligation or undertaking to provide any updates or revisions to any forward-looking statement to reflect any change in the Company's expectations or any change in events, conditions or circumstances on which the forward-looking statement is based, except as required by law. The

forward-looking statements in this Form 10-Q should be evaluated together with the many uncertainties that affect the Company's businesses, particularly those mentioned under "Forward-Looking Statements" in Item 7 on the Company's Form 10-K and SEACOR's periodic reporting on Form 8-K (if any), which are incorporated by reference. These statements constitute the Company's cautionary statements under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

Overview

The Company's operations are divided into four main business segments – Offshore Marine Services, Inland River Services, Shipping Services, and Illinois Corn Processing ("ICP"). The Company also has activities that are referred to and described under Other that primarily include emergency and crisis services, lending and leasing activities and noncontrolling investments in various other businesses.

Table of Contents

Consolidated Results of Operations

The sections below provide an analysis of the Company's operations by business segment for the three months ("Current Year Quarter") ended March 31, 2016 compared with the three months ("Prior Year Quarter") ended March 31, 2015. See "Item 1. Financial Statements—Note 12. Segment Information" included in Part I of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for consolidating segment tables for each period presented. Capitalized terms used and not specifically defined herein have the same meaning as such defined terms used in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015.

Offshore Marine Services

	Three Months Ended March			
	2016		2015	
	\$'000	%	\$'000	%
Operating Revenues:				
United States, primarily U.S. Gulf of Mexico	11,954	20	29,518	32
Africa, primarily West Africa	11,045	18	15,825	17
Middle East	10,500	18	11,572	12
Brazil, Mexico, Central and South America	3,234	5	9,816	11
Europe, primarily North Sea	21,408	36	24,502	26
Asia	1,738	3	2,223	2
	59,879	100	93,456	100
Costs and Expenses:				
Operating:				
Personnel	27,558	46	39,568	42
Repairs and maintenance	6,294	11	8,682	9
Drydocking	3,703	6	6,881	8
Insurance and loss reserves	1,878	3	2,570	3
Fuel, lubes and supplies	3,097	5	5,382	6
Leased-in equipment	4,382	7	6,799	7
Brokered vessel activity	95	—	—	—
Other	1,843	3	4,473	5
	48,850	81	74,355	80
Administrative and general	12,398	21	13,559	15
Depreciation and amortization	14,838	25	15,366	16
	76,086	127	103,280	111
Losses on Asset Dispositions and Impairments, Net	(380)	(1)	(6,649)	(7)
Operating Loss	(16,587)	(28)	(16,473)	(18)
Other Income (Expense):				
Derivative gains (losses), net	2,898	5	(9)	—
Foreign currency losses, net	(1,560)	(2)	(17)	—
Other, net	265	—	(146)	—
Equity in Earnings of 50% or Less Owned Companies, Net of Tax	2,161	4	2,975	3
Segment Loss ⁽¹⁾	(12,823)	(21)	(13,670)	(15)

Includes amounts attributable to both SEACOR and noncontrolling interests. See "Item 1. Financial (1) Statements—Note 8. Noncontrolling Interests in Subsidiaries" included in Part I of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Table of Contents

Operating Revenues by Charter Arrangement. The table below sets forth, for the periods indicated, operating revenues by charter arrangement.

	Three Months Ended			
	March 31,			
	2016		2015	
	\$'000	%	\$'000	%
Operating Revenues:				
Time charter:				
United States, primarily U.S. Gulf of Mexico	11,042	18	27,516	29
Africa, primarily West Africa	11,139	19	15,459	17
Middle East	7,152	12	9,337	10
Brazil, Mexico, Central and South America	196	—	7,013	8
Europe, primarily North Sea	21,043	35	23,945	26
Asia	1,001	2	2,323	2
Total time charter	51,573	86	85,593	92
Bareboat charter	2,652	5	2,398	2
Brokered vessel activity	105	—	—	—
Other marine services	5,549	9	5,465	6
	59,879	100	93,456	100

Table of Contents

Time Charter Operating Data. The table below sets forth the average rates per day worked, utilization and available days data for Offshore Marine Services' owned and leased-in vessels available for time charter in the periods indicated. The rate per day worked is the ratio of total time charter revenues to the aggregate number of days worked. Utilization is the ratio of aggregate number of days worked to total available days for all vessels. Available days represents the total calendar days for which vessels were owned or leased-in by Offshore Marine Services whether marketed, under repair, cold-stacked or otherwise out-of-service.

	Three Months Ended		
	March 31,		
	2016	2015	
Rates Per Day Worked:			
Anchor handling towing supply	\$21,719	\$22,792	
Fast support	7,587	9,426	
Mini-supply	5,689	5,778	
Standby safety	9,564	10,147	
Supply	9,010	17,047	
Towing supply	7,200	8,728	
Specialty	12,403	14,537	
Liftboats	15,150	21,951	
Overall Average Rates Per Day Worked (excluding wind farm utility)	10,545	13,178	
Wind farm utility	2,419	2,584	
Overall Average Rates Per Day Worked	7,915	10,057	
Utilization:			
Anchor handling towing supply	47	% 68	%
Fast support	68	% 80	%
Mini-supply	79	% 85	%
Standby safety	79	% 83	%
Supply	11	% 67	%
Towing supply	45	% 95	%
Specialty	45	% 27	%
Liftboats	5	% 28	%
Overall Fleet Utilization (excluding wind farm utility)	52	% 68	%
Wind farm utility	65	% 84	%
Overall Fleet Utilization	56	% 72	%
Available Days:			
Anchor handling towing supply	1,365	1,350	
Fast support	2,093	2,129	
Mini-supply	364	360	
Standby safety	2,184	2,160	
Supply	633	1,022	
Towing supply	182	180	
Specialty	273	270	
Liftboats	1,365	1,350	
Overall Fleet Available Days (excluding wind farm utility)	8,459	8,821	
Wind farm utility	3,245	2,997	
Overall Fleet Available Days	11,704	11,818	

Current Year Quarter compared with Prior Year Quarter

Operating Revenues. Operating revenues were \$33.6 million lower in the Current Year Quarter compared with the Prior Year Quarter. Time charter revenues were \$34.0 million lower in the Current Year Quarter compared with the Prior Year Quarter.

Table of Contents

Excluding the contribution of the wind farm utility vessels, fleet utilization was 52% in the Current Year Quarter compared with 68% in the Prior Year Quarter, and average rates per day worked were \$10,545 in the Current Year Quarter compared with \$13,178 in the Prior Year Quarter, a decrease of \$2,633 per day or 20%. The number of days available for charter were 8,459 in the Current Year Quarter compared with 8,821 in the Prior Year Quarter, a 362 day or 4% reduction.

In the U.S. Gulf of Mexico, time charter revenues were \$16.5 million lower in the Current Year Quarter compared with the Prior Year Quarter primarily due to a \$7.2 million reduction from the liftboat fleet, a \$3.7 million reduction from platform supply vessels, a \$3.3 million reduction from fast support vessels, and a \$2.3 million reduction from anchor handling towing supply vessels. Time charter revenues were \$10.5 million lower due to reduced utilization, of which \$7.5 million was a consequence of cold-stacking vessels and \$3.0 million for vessels in active service. In addition, time charter revenues were \$4.5 million lower due to net fleet dispositions and \$1.9 million lower due to the repositioning of vessels between geographic regions and other changes in fleet mix. Time charter revenues were \$0.4 million higher due to an increase in average day rates. As of March 31, 2016, the Company had 21 of 32 owned and leased-in vessels cold-stacked in the region compared with seven of 34 as of March 31, 2015. Of the 21 vessels cold-stacked, eleven were liftboats.

In Africa, time charter revenues were \$4.3 million lower in the Current Year Quarter compared with the Prior Year Quarter. Time charter revenues were \$2.0 million lower due to reduced utilization primarily as a consequence of cold-stacking vessels, \$2.2 million lower due to a decrease in average day rates and \$0.1 million lower due to the repositioning of vessels between geographic regions. As of March 31, 2016, the Company had three of 15 owned and leased-in vessels cold-stacked in the region compared with none of 16 as of March 31, 2015.

In the Middle East, time charter revenues were \$2.2 million lower in the Current Year Quarter compared with the Prior Year Quarter. Time charter revenues were \$2.7 million lower due to reduced utilization, of which \$0.6 million was a consequence of cold-stacking one vessel and \$2.1 million for vessels in active service, and \$1.3 million lower due to reduced average day rates. Time charter revenues were \$1.0 million higher due to the repositioning of vessels between geographic regions and \$0.8 million higher due to net fleet additions. As of March 31, 2016, the Company had one of 17 owned vessels cold-stacked in the region compared with none of 15 as of March 31, 2015.

In Brazil, Mexico, Central and South America, time charter revenues were \$6.8 million lower in the Current Year Quarter compared with the Prior Year Quarter. Time charter revenues were \$2.1 million lower due to fleet dispositions and \$4.7 million lower due to the repositioning of vessels between geographic regions and other changes in fleet mix.

In Europe, excluding wind farm utility vessels, time charter revenues were \$1.6 million lower in the Current Year Quarter compared with the Prior Year Quarter. Time charter revenues were \$0.5 million lower due to reduced utilization, \$0.2 million lower due to reduced average day rates, and \$0.9 million lower due to unfavorable changes in currency exchange rates. For the wind farm utility vessels, time charter revenues were \$1.3 million lower. Time charter revenues were \$1.6 million lower due to reduced utilization and \$0.2 million lower due to unfavorable changes in currency exchange rates. Time charter revenues were \$0.5 million higher due to fleet additions.

In Asia, time charter revenues were \$1.3 million lower in the Current Year Quarter compared with the Prior Year Quarter. Time charter revenues were \$1.1 million lower as a consequence of cold-stacking vessels and \$0.2 million lower due to the repositioning of vessels between geographic regions. As of March 31, 2016, the Company had one of four owned vessels cold-stacked in the region compared with two of six as of March 31, 2015.

Operating Expenses. Operating expenses were \$25.5 million lower in the Current Year Quarter compared with the Prior Year Quarter. On an overall basis, operating expenses were \$5.7 million lower due to net fleet dispositions, \$10.9 million lower as a consequence of cold-stacking vessels, \$3.2 million lower for vessels in active service, and \$5.7 million lower due to the repositioning of vessels between geographic regions and other changes in fleet mix. Personnel costs were \$2.5 million lower due to net fleet dispositions, \$6.4 million lower due to the effect of cold-stacking vessels, \$1.2 million lower for vessels in active service primarily due to favorable changes in currency exchange rates partially offset by increased seafarer compensation costs, and \$1.9 million lower due to the repositioning of vessels between geographic regions and other changes in fleet mix. Drydocking expenses were \$1.1 million lower due to the effect of cold-stacking vessels, \$1.7 million lower due to the repositioning of vessels between

geographic regions and other changes in fleet mix and \$0.4 million lower for vessels in active service primarily due to reduced expenditures in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico.

Administrative and General. Administrative and general expenses were \$1.2 million lower in the Current Year Quarter compared with the Prior Year Quarter primarily due to a reduction in shore side personnel costs.

Losses on Asset Dispositions and Impairments, net. During the Current Year Quarter, the Company recorded a \$0.4 million impairment charge related to certain suspended offshore support vessel upgrades and other marine equipment spares. During the Prior Year Quarter, the Company recorded a \$6.6 million impairment charge related to the suspended construction of two offshore support vessels.

Table of Contents

Operating Loss. Excluding the impact of losses on asset dispositions and impairments, net, operating loss as a percentage of operating revenues was 27% in the Current Year Quarter compared with 11% in the Prior Year Quarter. The decline was primarily due to lower time charter revenues partially offset by reductions in drydocking expenses and daily running costs as a consequence of cold-stacking additional vessels.

Derivative gains (losses), net. During the Current Year Quarter, derivative gains, net were primarily due to unrealized gains on equity options.

Foreign currency losses, net. During the Current Year Quarter, foreign currency losses, net were primarily due to the weakening of the pound sterling in relation to the euro underlying certain of the Company's debt balances.

The composition of Offshore Marine Services' fleet as of March 31 was as follows:

	Owned	Joint Ventured	Leased-in	Pooled or Managed	Total
2016					
Anchor handling towing supply	13	1	4	—	18
Fast support	23	7	1	3	34
Mini-supply	4	2	—	1	7
Standby safety	24	1	—	—	25
Supply	7	12	1	3	23
Towing supply	2	1	—	—	3
Specialty	3	5	—	1	9
Liftboats	13	—	2	—	15
Wind farm utility	36	3	—	—	39
	125	32	8	8	173
2015					
Anchor handling towing supply	13	1	4	—	18
Fast support	22	7	2	3	34
Mini-supply	4	2	—	1	7
Standby safety	24	1	—	—	25
Supply	7	12	5	3	27
Towing supply	2	1	—	—	3
Specialty	3	5	—	1	9
Liftboats	13	—	2	—	15
Wind farm utility	34	3	—	—	37
	122	32	13	8	175

Table of Contents

Inland River Services

	Three Months Ended March 31,			
	2016		2015	
	\$'000	%	\$'000	%
Operating Revenues:				
United States	39,365	99	56,073	99
Foreign	249	1	534	1
	39,614	100	56,607	100
Costs and Expenses:				
Operating:				
Barge logistics	14,674	37	25,133	44
Personnel	6,980	18	6,565	12
Repairs and maintenance	1,945	5	2,269	4
Insurance and loss reserves	1,026	3	1,189	2
Fuel, lubes and supplies	1,614	4	1,392	2
Leased-in equipment	1,800	4	2,131	4
Other	2,079	5	2,834	5
	30,118	76	41,513	73
Administrative and general	3,912	10	3,884	7
Depreciation and amortization	7,137	18	6,889	12
	41,167	104	52,286	92
Gains on Asset Dispositions	605	2	1,803	3
Operating Income (Loss)	(948)	(2)	6,124	11
Other Income (Expense):				
Derivative gains, net	—	—	82	—
Foreign currency gains (losses), net	1,437	3	(1,121)	(2)
Equity in Losses of 50% or Less Owned Companies, Net of Tax	(2,778)	(7)	(274)	(1)
Segment Profit (Loss) ⁽¹⁾	(2,289)	(6)	4,811	8

Includes amounts attributable to both SEACOR and noncontrolling interests. See "Item 1. Financial (1) Statements—Note 8. Noncontrolling Interests in Subsidiaries" included in Part I of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Operating Revenues by Service Line. The table below sets forth, for the periods indicated, operating revenues by service line.

	Three Months Ended March 31,			
	2016		2015	
	\$'000	%	\$'000	%
Operating Revenues:				
Dry-cargo barge pools	20,250	51	30,826	54
Charter-out of dry-cargo barges	903	2	912	2
Liquid unit tow operations	6,119	16	8,995	16
10,000 barrel liquid tank barge operations	—	—	5,006	9
Terminal operations	7,075	18	5,903	10
Fleeting operations	3,269	8	3,705	7
Inland river towboat operations and other activities	1,998	5	1,260	2
	39,614	100	56,607	100

Table of Contents

Dry-Cargo Barge Pools Operating Data. The following table presents, for the periods indicated, Inland River Services' interest in tons moved and its available barge days in the dry-cargo barge pools. Available barge days represents the total calendar days during which the Company's owned and chartered-in barges were in the pool.

	Three Months Ended			
	March 31,		2015	
	Tons	%	Tons	%
Tons Moved (in thousands):				
Grain	823	55	964	54
Non-Grain	677	45	809	46
	1,500	100	1,773	100

	Days	Days
Available barge days	51,870	51,480

Current Year Quarter compared with Prior Year Quarter

Operating Revenues. Operating revenues were \$17.0 million lower in the Current Year Quarter compared with the Prior Year Quarter. Operating revenues from the dry-cargo barge pools were \$10.6 million lower primarily due to reduced freight rates as a consequence of lower export demand and idling of equipment. As of March 31, 2016, approximately 20% of the Company's dry-cargo barge fleet was idled. Operating revenues from liquid unit tow operations were \$2.9 million lower primarily due to higher equipment out-of-service time for repairs. Operating revenues from the 10,000 barrel tank barge operations were \$5.0 million lower following the sale of equipment during the third quarter of 2015.

Operating Expenses. Operating expenses were \$11.4 million lower in the Current Year Quarter compared with the Prior Year Quarter. Barge logistics expenses were \$10.5 million lower primarily due to lower towing and switching costs as a consequence of lower activity levels and reduced fuel prices. Personnel costs were \$0.4 million higher primarily due to operating two additional towboats. Repairs and maintenance costs were \$0.3 million lower primarily due to U.S. Coast Guard inspections and related repair expenses in the Prior Year Quarter. Fuel, lubes and supplies were \$0.2 million higher primarily due to the completion of machine and repair services provided to third parties. Other operating expenses were \$0.8 million lower primarily due to reduced expenses associated with the 10,000 barrel tank barge equipment that was sold during the third quarter of 2015.

Gains on Asset Dispositions. During the Current Year Quarter, the Company recognized previously deferred gains of \$0.6 million. During the Prior Year Quarter, the Company sold one 10,000 barrel liquid tank barge, twelve deck barges and other equipment for net proceeds of \$7.0 million and gains of \$0.8 million, all of which were recognized currently. In addition, the Company recognized previously deferred gains of \$1.0 million.

Operating Income (Loss). Operating loss as a percentage of operating revenues was 2% in the Current Year Quarter compared with operating income of 11% in the Prior Year Quarter. The decrease is primarily due to lower activity levels in the dry-cargo barge operations and higher equipment out-of-service time for repairs to the liquids unit tow equipment.

Foreign currency gains (losses), net. During the Current Year Quarter, foreign currency gains, net were primarily due to the strengthening of the Colombian peso in relation to the U.S. dollar underlying certain of the Company's intercompany lease obligations.

Equity in Losses of 50% or Less Owned Companies, Net of Tax. During the Current Year Quarter, equity in losses in 50% or less owned companies, net of tax, primarily relate to the Company's joint venture operating on the Parana-Paraguay River Waterway due to continued weakness in the iron ore and grain markets. The Company recognized interest income (not a component of segment profit) of \$0.8 million during the Current Year Quarter on notes due from this 50% or less owned company.

Table of Contents

Fleet Count

The composition of Inland River Services' fleet as of March 31 was as follows:

	Owned	Joint Ventured	Leased-in	Pooled or Managed	Total
2016					
Dry-cargo barges	645	258	—	523	1,426
Liquid tank barges:					
10,000 barrel	18	—	—	—	18
30,000 barrel ⁽¹⁾	19	—	8	—	27
Specialty barges ⁽²⁾	11	—	—	—	11
Towboats:					
4,000 hp - 6,250 hp	2	11	4	—	17
Less than 3,200 hp ⁽³⁾	15	2	—	—	17
	710	271	12	523	1,516
2015					
Dry-cargo barges	645	258	2	534	1,439
Liquid tank barges:					
10,000 barrel	44	—	—	1	45
30,000 barrel	19	—	8	—	27
Specialty barges ⁽²⁾	7	—	—	—	7
Towboats:					
4,000 hp - 6,250 hp	—	17	—	—	17
Less than 3,200 hp	13	2	—	—	15
	728	277	10	535	1,550

(1)Included in held for sale equipment.

(2)Includes non-certificated 10,000 and 30,000 barrel liquid tank barges.

(3)Includes 13 in held for sale equipment.

Held for sale equipment. On April 15, 2016, the Company sold 20 30,000 barrel tank barges, the rights to eight leased-in 30,000 barrel tank barges and 14 inland river towboats for \$90.0 million. Included in the sold equipment are one 30,000 barrel tank barge and one towboat currently under construction. The Company is obligated to complete the construction of this equipment prior to delivery, and the estimated costs of completion are included in the Company's capital commitments.

Table of Contents

Shipping Services

	Three Months Ended			
	March 31,			
	2016		2015	
	\$'000	%	\$'000	%
Operating Revenues:				
United States	45,937	81	43,427	84
Foreign	11,118	19	7,980	16
	57,055	100	51,407	100
Costs and Expenses:				
Operating:				
Personnel	10,375	18	10,216	20
Repairs and maintenance	2,030	4	2,974	6
Drydocking	1,106	2	9,733	19
Insurance and loss reserves	1,010	2	1,067	2
Fuel, lubes and supplies	2,912	5	3,585	7
Leased-in equipment	6,153	11	5,891	11
Other	3,648	6	3,665	7
	27,234	48	37,131	72
Administrative and general	6,918	12	6,289	12
Depreciation and amortization	6,562	12	6,735	13
	40,714	72	50,155	97
Losses on Asset Dispositions	(6))	—	—
Operating Income	16,335	28	1,252	3
Other Income (Expense):				
Foreign currency losses, net	(3))	(12))
Other, net	1	—	29	—
Equity in Earnings of 50% or Less Owned Companies, Net of Tax	26	—	1,141	2
Segment Profit ⁽¹⁾	16,359	28	2,410	5

Includes amounts attributable to both SEACOR and noncontrolling interests. See "Item 1. Financial (1) Statements—Note 8. Noncontrolling Interests in Subsidiaries" included in Part I of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Operating Revenues by Service Line. The table below sets forth, for the periods indicated, operating revenues by service line.

	Three Months Ended			
	March 31,			
	2016		2015	
	\$'000	%	\$'000	%
Operating Revenues:				
Petroleum Transportation:				
Time charter	19,154	34	13,343	26
Bareboat charter	8,649	15	8,554	17
Harbor towing and bunkering	18,811	33	19,842	39
Short-sea transportation	9,884	17	9,327	18
Technical management services	557	1	341	—
	57,055	100	51,407	100
Current Year Quarter compared with Prior Year Quarter				

Operating Revenues. Operating revenues were \$5.6 million higher in the Current Year Quarter compared with the Prior Year Quarter. Operating revenues for petroleum transportation were \$5.9 million higher primarily due to increased time charter

30

Table of Contents

rates for two U.S.-flag product tankers and no out-of-service days for drydocking in the Current Year Quarter. Operating revenues for harbor towing and bunkering were \$1.1 million lower primarily due to a change in contract status for the Company's bunkering operations in St. Eustatius from time charter to bareboat charter. Operating revenues for short-sea transportation were \$0.6 million higher primarily due to higher cargo shipping demand. Operating Expenses. Operating expenses were \$9.9 million lower in the Current Year Quarter compared with the Prior Year Quarter. Drydocking costs were \$8.6 million lower primarily from drydocking two U.S.-flag product tankers in the Prior Year Quarter. Repair and maintenance costs were \$0.9 million lower primarily due to fewer major repairs for harbor towing and bunkering. Fuel, lube and supplies were \$0.7 million lower as a result of lower fuel prices. Operating Income. Operating income as a percentage of operating revenues was 28% in the Current Year Quarter compared with 3% in the Prior Year Quarter. The increase was primarily due to higher time charter rates and lower drydocking costs for U.S.-flag product tankers.

Equity in Earnings of 50% or Less Owned Companies, Net of Tax. Equity in earnings of 50% or less owned companies, net of tax, in the Prior Year Quarter is primarily related to earnings from Dorian, which was reclassified to marketable securities during December 2015.

Fleet Count

The composition of Shipping Services' fleet as of March 31 was as follows:

	Owned	Joint Ventured	Leased-in	Total
2016				
Petroleum and Gas Transportation:				
Product tankers - U.S.-flag	4	—	3	7
Crude oil tanker - U.S.-flag	—	1	—	1
Harbor Towing and Bunkering:				
Harbor tugs - U.S.-flag	15	—	9	24
Harbor tugs - Foreign-flag	4	—	—	4
Offshore tug - U.S.-flag	—	1	—	1
Ocean liquid tank barges - U.S.-flag	5	—	—	5
Liner and Short-Sea Transportation:				
RORO ⁽¹⁾ /Deck barges - U.S.-flag	—	7	—	7
Short-sea container/RORO ⁽¹⁾ - Foreign-flag	7	—	—	7
Other:				
Dry-bulk articulated tug-barge - U.S.-flag	—	1	—	1
	35	10	12	57
2015				
Petroleum and Gas Transportation:				
Product tankers - U.S.-flag	4	—	3	7
Crude oil tanker - U.S.-flag	—	1	—	1
Harbor Towing and Bunkering:				
Harbor tugs - U.S.-flag	15	—	9	24
Harbor tugs - Foreign-flag	4	—	—	4
Offshore tug - U.S.-flag	—	1	—	1
Ocean liquid tank barges - U.S.-flag	5	—	—	5
Liner and Short-Sea Transportation:				
RORO ⁽¹⁾ /Deck barges - U.S.-flag	—	7	—	7
Short-sea container/RORO ⁽¹⁾ - Foreign-flag	7	—	—	7
Other:				
Dry-bulk articulated tug-barge - U.S.-flag	—	1	—	1
	35	10	12	57

(1)Roll On/Roll Off.

31

Table of Contents

Illinois Corn Processing

	Three Months Ended			
	March 31,		2015	
	2016	%	\$'000	%
Operating Revenues:				
United States	49,609	100	39,598	100
Costs and Expenses:				
Operating	46,289	93	33,118	84
Administrative and general	656	2	562	1
Depreciation and amortization	1,053	2	980	3
	47,998	97	34,660	88
Operating Income	1,611	3	4,938	12
Other Expense:				
Derivative losses, net ⁽¹⁾	(187)	—	(828)	(2)
Segment Profit ⁽²⁾	1,424	3	4,110	10

(1) ICP routinely enters into exchange traded positions (primarily corn, natural gas and ethanol derivatives) to offset its net commodity market exposure on raw material and finished goods inventory balances and forward purchase and sales commitments. As of March 31, 2016 and 2015, the net market exposure to corn under its contracts and its raw material and inventory balances was not material.

(2) Includes amounts attributable to both SEACOR and noncontrolling interests. See "Item 1. Financial Statements—Note 8. Noncontrolling Interests in Subsidiaries" included in Part I of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Key Production and Sales Metrics. The table below sets forth, for the periods indicated, key production and sales metrics for Illinois Corn Processing:

	Three Months	
	Ended March 31,	2015
	2016	2015
Production Inputs:		
Corn (average price per bushel)	\$3.82	\$3.92
Production Output Sold:		
Alcohol (gallons in thousands)	23,419	15,272
Dried Distiller's Grains with Solubles ("DDGS") (tons)	64,132	48,249
Production Output Sales Price (excluding freight):		
Alcohol (per gallon)	\$1.57	\$1.87
Dried Distiller's Grains with Solubles ("DDGS") (per ton)	\$146.29	\$165.86

Segment Profit. Segment profit was \$1.4 million on operating revenues of \$49.6 million in the Current Year Quarter compared with \$4.1 million on operating revenues of \$39.6 million in the Prior Year Quarter. Segment profit was lower in the Current Year Quarter compared with the Prior Year Quarter primarily due to an industry wide decline in fuel ethanol margins as a consequence of production exceeding demand.

Table of Contents

Other

Segment profit (loss) of the Company's Other activities was as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2016	2015
	\$'000	\$'000
Emergency and crisis services	(1,891)	(767)
Other activities ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	340	(998)
	(1,551)	(1,765)

Includes amounts attributable to both SEACOR and noncontrolling interests. See "Item 1. Financial (1) Statements—Note 8. Noncontrolling Interests in Subsidiaries" included in Part I of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

(2) The components of segment profit (loss) do not include interest income, which is a significant component of the Company's lending and leasing activities.

Corporate and Eliminations

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2016	2015
	\$'000	\$'000
Corporate Expenses	(8,361)	(8,493)
Other Income (Expense):		
Derivative losses, net	(91)	(1,465)
Foreign currency gains (losses), net	190	(803)
Other, net	2	65
Other Income (Expense) not included in Segment Profit (Loss)		

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2016	2015
	\$'000	\$'000
Interest income	5,593	4,579
Interest expense	(11,935)	(10,512)
Debt extinguishment gains, net	3,223	—
Marketable security losses, net	(25,096)	(9,121)
	(28,215)	(15,054)

Debt Extinguishment Gains, net. During the Current Year Quarter, the Company purchased \$13.9 million in principal amount of its 7.375% Senior Notes for \$11.9 million and purchased \$20.2 million in principal amount of its 2.5% Convertible Senior Notes for total consideration of \$18.9 million resulting in gains on debt extinguishment of \$3.2 million.

Marketable Security Losses, net. During the Current Year Quarter, marketable security losses, net included \$21.7 million of unrealized losses on the Company's investment in 9,177,135 shares of Dorian, a publicly traded company on the New York Stock Exchange trading under the symbol "LPG."

Income Tax Benefit

During the Current Year Quarter, the Company's effective tax rate of 42.0% was primarily due to taxes not provided on income attributable to noncontrolling interests.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

General

The Company's ongoing liquidity requirements arise primarily from working capital needs, capital commitments and its obligations to repay debt. The Company may use its liquidity to fund acquisitions, repurchase shares of SEACOR common stock, par value \$0.01 per share ("Common Stock"), for treasury, repurchase its outstanding notes or make other investments. Sources of liquidity are cash balances, marketable securities, construction reserve funds, and cash flows from operations. From time to

33

Table of Contents

time, the Company may secure additional liquidity through asset sales or the issuance of debt, shares of Common Stock or common stock of its subsidiaries, preferred stock or a combination thereof.

The Company's capital commitments as of March 31, 2016 by year of expected payment were as follows (in thousands):

	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
Shipping Services	\$150,476	\$22,630	\$—	\$—	\$173,106
Offshore Marine Services	55,545	38,847	29,199	10,123	\$133,714
Inland River Services	29,060	28,510	—	—	\$57,570
Illinois Corn Processing	3,399	—	—	—	\$3,399
Other	3	—	—	—	\$3
	\$238,483	\$89,987	\$29,199	\$10,123	\$367,792

As of March 31, 2016, the Company had outstanding debt of \$1,054.0 million, letters of credit totaling \$25.0 million with various expiration dates through 2019 and other labor and performance guarantees totaling \$2.7 million. The Company's long-term debt maturities, assuming payments made on the first available put date, are as follows (in thousands):

Remainder of 2016	\$32,930
2017	457,842
2018	24,627
2019	201,847
2020	399,899
Years subsequent to 2020	8,807
	\$1,125,952

SEACOR's Board of Directors previously approved a securities repurchase plan that authorizes the Company to acquire its 7.375% Senior Notes, 3.0% Convertible Senior Notes, 2.5% Convertible Senior Notes and Common Stock (collectively the "Securities"), which may be acquired through open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions or otherwise, depending on market conditions. On February 26, 2016, SEACOR's Board of Directors increased the Company's repurchase authority for the Securities to \$200.0 million. As of March 31, 2016, the Company's repurchase authority for the Securities was \$165.9 million.

As of March 31, 2016, the Company held balances of cash, cash equivalents, marketable securities and construction reserve funds totaling \$862.7 million. As of March 31, 2016, construction reserve funds of \$255.4 million were classified as non-current assets in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets as the Company has the intent and ability to use the funds to acquire equipment. Additionally, the Company has \$97.5 million available under subsidiary credit facilities. Subsequent to March 31, 2016, SEA-Vista borrowed \$32.0 million on the Revolving Loan. On April 15, 2016, the Company sold 20 30,000 barrel tank barges, the rights to eight leased-in 30,000 barrel tank barges and 14 inland river towboats for \$90.0 million. Included in the sold equipment are one 30,000 barrel tank barge and one towboat currently under construction. The Company is obligated to complete the construction of this equipment prior to delivery, and the estimated costs of completion are included in the Company's capital commitments.

Summary of Cash Flows

	Three Months	
	Ended March 31,	
	2016	2015
	\$'000	\$'000
Cash flows provided by or (used in):		
Operating Activities	42,446	71,117
Investing Activities	(48,532)	(46,986)
Financing Activities	(27,308)	(7,356)
Effect of Exchange Rate Changes on Cash and Cash Equivalents	(142)	(2,947)
Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents	(33,536)	13,828

Table of Contents

Operating Activities

Cash flows provided by operating activities decreased by \$28.7 million in the Current Year Quarter compared with the Prior Year Quarter. The components of cash flows provided by (used in) operating activities during the Current Year Quarter and Prior Year Quarter were as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2016	2015
	\$'000	\$'000
Operating income before depreciation, amortization and gains (losses) on asset dispositions and impairments, net	20,756	22,609
Changes in operating assets and liabilities before interest and income taxes	14,235	22,350
Purchases of marketable securities	(6,732)	(20,404)
Proceeds from sale of marketable securities	9,169	28,491
Cash settlements on derivative transactions, net	(575)	1,538
Dividends received from 50% or less owned companies	2,010	7,627
Interest paid, excluding capitalized interest ⁽¹⁾	—	—
Income taxes paid, net of amounts refunded	(4,779)	3,000
Other	8,362	5,906
Total cash flows provided by operating activities	42,446	71,117

(1) During the Current Year Quarter and Prior Year Quarter, capitalized interest paid and included in purchases or property and equipment was \$3.1 million and \$1.3 million, respectively.

Operating income before depreciation, amortization and gains (losses) on asset dispositions and impairments, net was \$1.9 million lower in the Current Year Quarter compared with the Prior Year Quarter. See “Consolidated Results of Operations” included above for a discussion of the results of each of the Company's business segments.

Changes in operating assets and liabilities before interest and income taxes was primarily due to lower working capital requirements as a consequence of reduced activity levels for Offshore Marine Services, Inland River Services and ICP in the Current Year Quarter and for Offshore Marine Services in the Prior Year Quarter.

During the Current Year Quarter, cash provided by operating activities included \$6.7 million to purchase marketable security long positions. During the Current Year Quarter, cash provided by operating activities included \$8.9 million received from the sale of marketable security long positions and \$0.3 million received upon entering into marketable security short positions.

During the Prior Year Quarter, cash provided by operating activities included \$18.8 million to purchase marketable security long positions and \$1.6 million to cover marketable security short positions. During the Prior Year Quarter, cash provided by operating activities included \$28.5 million received from the sale of marketable security long positions.

Investing Activities

During the Current Year Quarter, net cash used in investing activities was \$48.5 million primarily as follows:

• Capital expenditures were \$47.4 million. Equipment deliveries during the period included one wind farm utility vessel.

• The Company made investments in and advances to its 50% or less owned companies of \$8.0 million, including \$4.3 million in Falcon Global and \$3.0 million in Avion.

• The Company received \$1.1 million from its 50% or less owned company SEA-Access.

• The Company received net repayments on revolving credit lines to VA&E of \$3.4 million.

• The Company received \$2.0 million of net payments on third party leases and notes receivable.

During the Prior Year Quarter, net cash used in investing activities was \$47.0 million primarily as follows:

• Capital expenditures were \$47.4 million. Equipment deliveries during the period included one fast support vessel, one wind farm utility vessel and one inland river towboat.

•

The Company sold one 10,000 barrel inland liquid tank barge, twelve inland river deck barges and other property and equipment for net proceeds of \$7.2 million.

35

Table of Contents

The Company made investments in and advances to its 50% or less owned companies of \$16.7 million, including \$7.9 million in Mexmar, \$6.7 million in Falcon Global, \$1.0 million in SCFCo and \$1.0 million in SeaJon II.

The Company received \$17.0 million from its 50% or less owned companies, including \$15.0 million from Mexmar and \$2.0 million from Bunge-SCF Grain.

The Company made net investments of \$4.0 million in third party leases and notes receivable.

Construction reserve funds account transactions included deposits of \$14.9 million and withdrawals of \$4.4 million.

Financing Activities

During the Current Year Quarter, net cash used in financing activities was \$27.3 million. The Company:

purchased \$13.9 million in principal amount of its 7.375% Senior Notes for \$11.9 million;

purchased \$20.2 million in principal amount of its 2.5% Convertible Senior Notes for total consideration of \$18.9 million. Consideration of \$17.5 million was allocated to the settlement of the long-term debt and \$1.4 million was allocated to the purchase of the conversion option embedded in the 2.5% Convertible Senior Notes.

borrowed \$5.0 million and repaid \$1.0 million under the SEA-Vista Credit Facility;

issued other long-term debt of \$7.4 million, net of issue costs of \$0.1 million;

made other scheduled payments on long-term debt and capital lease obligations of \$2.2 million;

distributed \$3.7 million to non-controlling interests; and

- acquired 47,455 shares of Common Stock for treasury for an aggregate purchase price of \$2.4 million from its employees to cover their tax withholding obligations upon the lapsing of restrictions on share awards. These shares were purchased in accordance with the terms of the Company's Share Incentive Plans and not pursuant to the repurchase authorizations granted by SEACOR's Board of Directors.

During the Prior Year Quarter, net cash used in financing activities was \$7.4 million. The Company:

made scheduled payments on long-term debt and capital lease obligations of \$1.9 million;

- made net repayments on inventory financing arrangements of \$1.2 million;

received advances of \$4.4 million and made repayments of \$5.5 million on Witt O'Brien's revolving credit facility;

received \$2.1 million from share award plans;

acquired 33,354 shares of Common Stock for an aggregate purchase price of \$2.3 million; and

acquired 40,859 shares of Common Stock for treasury for an aggregate purchase price of \$3.0 million from its employees to cover their tax withholding obligations upon the lapsing of restrictions on share awards. These shares were purchased in accordance with the terms of the Company's Share Incentive Plans and not pursuant to the repurchase authorizations granted by SEACOR's Board of Directors.

Short and Long-Term Liquidity Requirements

The Company anticipates it will continue to generate positive cash flows from operations and that these cash flows will be adequate to meet the Company's working capital requirements. In support of the Company's capital expenditure program and debt service requirements, the Company believes that a combination of cash balances on hand, cash generated from operating activities, funding under existing subsidiary financing arrangements and access to the credit and capital markets will provide sufficient liquidity to meet its obligations. The Company continually evaluates possible acquisitions and dispositions of certain businesses and assets. The Company's sources of liquidity may be impacted by the general condition of the markets in which it operates and the broader economy as a whole, which may limit its access to the credit and capital markets on acceptable terms. Management will continue to closely monitor the Company's liquidity and the credit and capital markets.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

For a discussion of the Company's off-balance sheet arrangements, refer to Liquidity and Capital Resources contained in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015. There has been no material change in the Company's off-balance sheet arrangements during the Current Year Quarter.

Table of Contents

Contractual Obligations and Commercial Commitments

For a discussion of the Company's contractual obligations and commercial commitments, refer to Liquidity and Capital Resources contained in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015. There has been no material change in the Company's contractual obligations and commercial commitments during the Current Year Quarter.

Contingencies

On July 20, 2010, two individuals purporting to represent a class commenced a civil action in the Civil District Court for the Parish of Orleans in the State of Louisiana, John Wunstell, Jr. and Kelly Blanchard v. BP, et al., No. 2010-7437 (Division K) (the "Wunstell Action"), in which they assert, among other theories, that Mr. Wunstell suffered injuries as a result of his exposure to certain noxious fumes and chemicals in connection with the provision of remediation, containment, and response services by ORM during the Deepwater Horizon oil spill response and clean-up in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico. The action now is part of the overall multi-district litigation, In re Oil Spill by the Oil Rig "Deepwater Horizon" in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico on April 20, 2010, MDL No. 2179 filed in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana ("MDL"). The complaint also seeks to establish a "class-wide court-supervised medical monitoring program" for all individuals "participating in BP's Deepwater Horizon Vessels of Opportunity Program and/or Horizon Response Program" who allegedly experienced injuries similar to those of Mr. Wunstell. The Company believes this lawsuit has no merit and will continue to vigorously defend the action and pursuant to contractual agreements with the responsible party, the responsible party has agreed, subject to certain potential limitations, to indemnify and defend ORM in connection with the Wunstell Action and claims asserted in the MDL, discussed further below. Although the Company is unable to estimate the potential exposure, if any, resulting from this matter, the Company does not expect it will have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

On December 15, 2010, NRC, a subsidiary of the Company prior to the SES Business Transaction, and ORM were named as defendants in one of the several consolidated "master complaints" that have been filed in the overall MDL. The "B3" master complaint naming ORM and NRC asserts various claims on behalf of a putative class against multiple defendants concerning the clean-up activities generally, and the use of dispersants specifically. By court order, the Wunstell Action was stayed as a result of the filing of the referenced master complaint. The Company believes that the claims asserted against ORM and NRC in the master complaint have no merit and on February 28, 2011, ORM and NRC moved to dismiss all claims against them in the master complaint on legal grounds. On September 30, 2011, the Court granted in part and denied in part the motion to dismiss that ORM and NRC had filed (an amended decision was issued on October 4, 2011 that corrected several grammatical errors and non-substantive oversights in the original order). Although the Court refused to dismiss the referenced master complaint in its entirety at that time, the Court did recognize the validity of the "derivative immunity" and "implied preemption" arguments that ORM and NRC advanced and directed ORM and NRC to (i) conduct limited discovery to develop evidence to support those arguments and (ii) then re-assert the arguments. The Court did, however, dismiss all state-law claims and certain other claims that had been asserted in the referenced master complaint, and dismissed the claims of all plaintiffs that have failed to allege a legally-sufficient injury. A schedule for limited discovery and motion practice was established by the Court and, in accordance with that schedule, ORM and NRC filed for summary judgment re-asserting their derivative immunity and implied preemption arguments on May 18, 2012. Those motions were argued on July 13, 2012 and taken under advisement. On July 17, 2014, the Court issued a pretrial order that established a protocol for disclosures clarifying the basis for the "B3" claims asserted against the Clean-Up Responder Defendants, including ORM and NRC, in the MDL. Under this protocol, plaintiffs who satisfy certain criteria and believe they have specific evidence in support of their claims, including that any Clean-Up Responder Defendant(s) failed to act pursuant to the authority and direction of the federal government in conducting Deepwater Horizon oil spill remediation and clean-up operations, had to submit a sworn statement or face dismissal. Plaintiffs' deadline to serve such sworn statements in support of their claims was September 22, 2014, with the exception of several Plaintiffs who were granted an extension until October 10, 2014. On November 14, 2014, the Clean-Up Responder Defendants and the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee ("PSC") in the MDL submitted a joint report to the Court regarding claimants' compliance with the pretrial order. In this joint report, the parties (i) explained how they complied with the

notice requirements of the Court's July 17, 2014 pretrial order, (ii) noted that they had received 102 sworn statements in connection with this pretrial order, and (iii) provided the Court with an assessment of the sworn statements received. An additional sworn statement was received after the joint report was submitted. On January 7, 2016, the Court issued an Order to Show Cause ("OSC") as to the B3 claims against the Clean-Up Responder Defendants, including ORM

37

Table of Contents

and NRC. The OSC ordered any plaintiff(s) opposed to the Court entering the proposed Order & Reasons ("O&R") attached to the OSC to show cause, in writing, on or before January 28, 2016 why the Court should not dismiss their B3 claim(s) with prejudice for the reasons set forth in the O&R. The O&R addressed the pending summary judgment motions and stated, among other things, why the Clean-Up Responder Defendants are entitled to derivative immunity under the Clean Water Act and discretionary function immunity under the Federal Tort Claims Act, and why plaintiffs' claims are preempted by the implied conflict preemption doctrine. The O&R also discussed the results of the protocol delineated in the Court's July 17, 2014 pretrial order and concluded with the dismissal of all but eleven plaintiffs' B3 claims against the Clean-Up Responder Defendants with prejudice. Following the issuance of the OSC, ORM and NRC complied with the same notice requirements delineated in the July 17, 2014 pretrial order and, along with the PSC, submitted a joint certification to that effect on January 15, 2016. Eight individual plaintiffs submitted responses to the OSC by the January 28, 2016 deadline, and the Clean-Up Responder Defendants submitted a response thereto on February 4, 2016. On February 16, 2016, the Court issued an order overruling the objections relayed in the eight individual Plaintiffs' responses to the OSC, and then entered a dismissal order nearly identical to the O&R.

Accordingly, the final Order & Reasons entered on February 16, 2016 dismissed all but eleven B3 claims against ORM and NRC with prejudice, whether by joinder in the master complaint, individual complaint, or otherwise (the "B3 Dismissal Order"). The deadline for Plaintiffs to appeal the B3 Dismissal Order has passed and the Company is evaluating how this ruling will impact the individual civil actions discussed below. Moreover, on April 8, 2016, the Court entered an order establishing a summary judgment briefing schedule as to the remaining eleven B3 claimants. Such summary judgment motions are to be filed by the Clean-Up Responder Defendants, including ORM and NRC, on May 9, 2016, with opposition and reply briefing to follow. This summary judgment briefing schedule pertains to several individual civil actions discussed herein, including the Wunstell Action. In addition to the indemnity provided to ORM, pursuant to contractual agreements with the responsible party, the responsible party has agreed, subject to certain potential limitations, to indemnify and defend ORM and NRC in connection with these claims in the MDL. Although the Company is unable to estimate the potential exposure, if any, resulting from the remaining B3 claims, the Company does not expect they will have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Subsequent to the filing of the referenced master complaint, ten additional individual civil actions have been filed in or removed to the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana concerning the clean-up activities generally, which name the Company, ORM and/or NRC as defendants or third-party defendants and are part of the overall MDL. By court order, all of these additional individuals' cases were stayed upon consolidation with the MDL until further notice. On April 8, 2011, ORM was named as a defendant in *Johnson Bros. Corporation of Louisiana v. BP, PLC, et al.*, No. 2:11-CV-00781 (E.D. La.), which is a suit by an individual business seeking damages allegedly caused by a delay on a construction project alleged to have resulted from the clean-up operations. On April 13, 2011, the Company was named as a defendant in *Mason v. Seacor Marine, LLC*, No. 2:11-CV-00826 (E.D. La.), an action in which plaintiff, a former employee, alleges sustaining personal injuries in connection with responding to the explosion and fire, but also in the months thereafter in connection with the clean-up of oil and dispersants while a member of the crew of the M/V Seacor Vanguard. Although the case was subject to the MDL Court's stay of individual proceedings, the employee moved to sever his case from the MDL on July 16, 2012, which the Court denied on March 5, 2013. The employee filed a motion asking the Court to reconsider, which was denied on May 3, 2013, and the employee filed a Notice of Appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit ("Fifth Circuit") on May 22, 2013. On July 24, 2013, the Company filed a motion to dismiss for lack of appellate jurisdiction, which was granted on August 16, 2013. The same Company employee has also brought a claim in the M/V Seacor Vanguard vessel's limitation action in the MDL which relates to any actions that may have been taken by vessels owned by the Company to extinguish the fire. On October 20, 2014, the Company moved for summary judgment, seeking dismissal with prejudice of all of the Company employee's claims in the MDL in light of the Court's prior rulings. On May 22, 2015, the employee filed an opposition to the Company's motion as well as a motion to be recognized as an opt-out plaintiff or extend the opt-out deadline in connection with the below-referenced Medical Settlement, and on May 29, 2015, the Company filed a reply brief in further support of its motion. On June 10, 2015, the Court granted the Company's motion for summary judgment, dismissing all of the employee's claims against the Company and/or the M/V Seacor Vanguard with

prejudice, and denied the employee's May 22, 2015 motion regarding his opt-out position in connection with the Medical Settlement. Final judgments for all of the employee's claims were issued by the Court on June 17, 2015, and the employee filed his Notice of Appeal on July 7, 2015. Following the docketing of the employee's appeals with the Fifth Circuit, the Company filed a motion to consolidate these appeals, which was granted on August 21, 2015. The employee filed his appellant brief in the consolidated appeal on October 23, 2015, the Company submitted its appellee brief on November 25, 2015, and the employee filed his reply brief on January 4, 2016. On April 6, 2016, the Fifth Circuit affirmed the district court's decision dismissing all of the employee's claims with prejudice. On April 15, 2011, ORM and NRC were named as defendants in James and Krista Pearson v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc. ("BP Exploration"), et al., No. 2:11-CV-00863 (E.D. La.), which is a suit by a husband and wife who allegedly participated in the clean-up effort and are seeking damages for personal injury, property damage to their boat, and amounts allegedly due under contract. On April 15, 2011, ORM and NRC were named as defendants in Thomas Edward Black v. BP Exploration, et al., No. 2:11-CV-00867 (E.D. La.), which is a suit by an individual who is seeking damages for lost income because he allegedly could not find work in the fishing industry after the oil spill. On April 20, 2011, a complaint was filed in Darnell Alexander, et al. v. BP, PLC, et al., No. 2:11-CV-00951 (E.D. La.) on behalf of 117 individual plaintiffs that sought to adopt the allegations made in the referenced master complaint against ORM and NRC (and the other defendants). Plaintiffs in this matter have since been granted

Table of Contents

leave to amend their complaint to include 410 additional individual plaintiffs. On October 3, 2012, ORM and NRC were served with a Rule 14(c) Third-Party Complaint by Jambon Supplier II, L.L.C. and Jambon Marine Holdings L.L.C. in their Limitation of Liability action, In the Matter of Jambon Supplier II, L.L.C., et al., No. 2:12-CV-00426 (E.D. La.). This Third-Party Complaint alleges that if claimant David Dinwiddie, who served as a clean-up crewmember aboard the M/V JAMBON SUPPLIER II vessel during the clean-up efforts, was injured as a result of his exposure to dispersants and chemicals during the course and scope of his employment, then said injuries were caused by the third-party defendants. On November 25, 2012, ORM was named as a defendant in *Victoria Sanchez v. American Pollution Control Corp. et al.*, No. 2:12-CV-00164 (E.D. La.), a maritime suit filed by an individual who allegedly participated in the clean-up effort and sustained personal injuries during the course of such employment. On December 17, 2012, the Court unsealed a False Claims Act lawsuit naming ORM as a defendant, *Dillon v. BP, PLC et al.*, No. 2:12-CV-00987 (E.D. La.), which is a suit by an individual seeking damages and penalties arising from alleged false reports and claims made to the federal government with respect to the amount of oil burned and dispersed during the clean-up. The federal government has declined to intervene in this suit. On April 8, 2013, the Company, ORM, and NRC were named as defendants in *William and Dianna Fitzgerald v. BP Exploration et al.*, No. 2:13-CV-00650 (E.D. La.), which is a suit by a husband and wife whose son allegedly participated in the clean-up effort and became ill as a result of his exposure to oil and dispersants. Finally, on April 17, 2013, ORM was named as a defendant in *Danos et al. v. BP America Production Co. et al.*, No. 2:13-CV-03747 (removed to E.D. La.), which is a suit by eight individuals seeking damages for dispersant exposure either as a result of their work during clean-up operations or as a result of their residence in the Gulf. The Company is evaluating the impact of the B3 Dismissal Order and other developments in the MDL, including the settlements discussed below, on these individual actions. The Company is unable to estimate the potential exposure, if any, resulting from these matters, to the extent they remain viable, but believes they are without merit and does not expect that they will have a material effect on its consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

On February 18, 2011, Triton Asset Leasing GmbH, Transocean Holdings LLC, Transocean Offshore Deepwater Drilling Inc., and Transocean Deepwater Inc. (collectively "Transocean") named ORM and NRC as third-party defendants in a Rule 14(c) Third-Party Complaint in Transocean's own Limitation of Liability Act action, which is part of the overall MDL, tendering to ORM and NRC the claims in the referenced master complaint that have already been asserted against ORM and NRC. Transocean, Cameron International Corporation ("Cameron"), Halliburton Energy Services, Inc., and M-I L.L.C. ("M-I") also filed cross-claims against ORM and NRC for contribution and tort indemnity should they be found liable for any damages in Transocean's Limitation of Liability Act action and ORM and NRC asserted counterclaims against those same parties for identical relief. Weatherford U.S., L.P. and Weatherford International, Inc. (collectively "Weatherford") had also filed cross-claims against ORM and NRC, but moved to voluntarily dismiss these cross-claims without prejudice on February 8, 2013. The Court granted Weatherford's motion that same day. The remainder of the aforementioned cross-claims in Transocean's limitation action remain pending, although the Court has found Cameron and M-I to be not liable in connection with the Deepwater Horizon incident and resultant oil spill and dismissed these parties from the MDL. As indicated above, the Company is unable to estimate the potential exposure, if any, resulting from these actions but believes they are without merit and does not expect that these matters will have a material effect on its consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

On November 16, 2012, 668 individuals who served as beach clean-up workers in Escambia County, Florida during the Deepwater Horizon oil spill response commenced a civil action in the Circuit Court for the First Judicial Circuit of Florida, in and for Escambia County, *Abney et al. v. Plant Performance Services, LLC et al.*, No. 2012-CA-002947, in which they allege, among other things, that ORM and other defendants engaged in the contamination of Florida waters and beaches in violation of Florida Statutes Chapter 376 and injured the plaintiffs by exposing them to dispersants during the course and scope of their employment. The case was removed to the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Florida on January 13, 2013, *Abney et al. v. Plant Performance Services, LLC et al.*, No. 3:13-CV-00024 (N.D. Fla.), and on January 16, 2013, the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation ("JPML") issued a Conditional Transfer Order ("CTO") transferring the case to the MDL, subject to any timely-filed notice of objection from the plaintiffs. Upon receipt of a notice of objection from the plaintiffs, a briefing schedule was set by the JPML,

and so a stay of proceedings and suspension of deadlines was sought and obtained by the Court in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Florida. Following briefing before the JPML, the case was transferred to the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana and consolidated with the MDL on April 2, 2013. On April 22, 2013, a companion case to this matter was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Florida, Abood et al. v. Plant Performance Services, LLC et al., No. 3:13-CV-00284 (N.D. Fla.), which alleges identical allegations against the same parties but names an additional 174 plaintiffs, all of whom served as clean-up workers in various Florida counties during the Deepwater Horizon oil spill response. A CTO was issued by the JPML on May 2, 2013, no objection was filed by the plaintiffs, and the case was transferred to the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana and consolidated with the MDL on May 10, 2013. By court order, both of these matters have been stayed since they were consolidated with the MDL. The Company continues to evaluate the impact of the B3 Dismissal Order and other developments in the MDL, including the settlements discussed below, on these cases. The Company is unable to estimate the potential exposure, if any, resulting from these matters but believes they are without merit and does not expect that these matters will have a material effect on its consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Table of Contents

Separately, on March 2, 2012, the Court announced that BP Exploration and BP America Production Company (“BP America”) (collectively “BP”) and the plaintiffs had reached an agreement on the terms of two proposed class action settlements that will resolve, among other things, plaintiffs' economic loss claims and clean-up related claims against BP. The parties filed their proposed settlement agreements on April 18, 2012 along with motions seeking preliminary approval of the settlements. The Court held a hearing on April 25, 2012 to consider those motions and preliminarily approved both settlements on May 2, 2012. A final fairness hearing took place on November 8, 2012. The Court granted final approval to the Economic and Property Damages Class Action Settlement (“E&P Settlement”) on December 21, 2012, and granted final approval to the Medical Benefits Class Action Settlement (“Medical Settlement”) on January 11, 2013. Both class action settlements were appealed to the Fifth Circuit. The Fifth Circuit affirmed the MDL Court’s decision concerning the E&P Settlement on January 10, 2014, and also affirmed the MDL Court’s decision concerning the interpretation of the E&P Settlement with respect to business economic loss claims on March 3, 2014. The appeal of the Medical Settlement, on the other hand, was voluntarily dismissed and the Medical Settlement became effective on February 12, 2014. The deadline for submitting claims in both settlements have passed. Although neither the Company, ORM, nor NRC are parties to the settlement agreements, the Company, ORM, and NRC are listed as released parties on the releases accompanying both settlement agreements. Consequently, class members who did not file timely requests for exclusion will be barred from pursuing economic loss, property damage, personal injury, medical monitoring, and/or other released claims against the Company, ORM, and NRC. The Company believes these settlements have reduced the Company's and ORM's potential exposure, if any, from some of the pending actions described above, and continues to evaluate the settlements' impacts on these cases.

In the course of the Company's business, it may agree to indemnify the counterparty to an agreement. If the indemnified party makes a successful claim for indemnification, the Company would be required to reimburse that party in accordance with the terms of the indemnification agreement. Indemnification agreements generally are subject to threshold amounts, specified claim periods and other restrictions and limitations.

In connection with the SES Business Transaction, the Company remains contingently liable for certain obligations, including potential liabilities relating to work performed in connection with the Deepwater Horizon oil spill response. Pursuant to the agreement governing the sale, the Company's potential liability to the purchaser may not exceed the consideration received by the Company for the SES Business Transaction. The Company is currently indemnified under contractual agreements with BP for the potential liabilities relating to work performed in connection with the Deepwater Horizon oil spill response.

In the normal course of its business, the Company becomes involved in various other litigation matters including, among other things, claims by third parties for alleged property damages and personal injuries. Management has used estimates in determining the Company's potential exposure to these matters and has recorded reserves in its financial statements related thereto where appropriate. It is possible that a change in the Company's estimates of that exposure could occur, but the Company does not expect such changes in estimated costs would have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

For a discussion of the Company’s exposure to market risk, refer to Item 7A, Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk, contained in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015. There has been no material change in the Company's exposure to market risk during the Current Year Quarter.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

With the participation of the Company’s principal executive officer and principal financial officer, management evaluated the effectiveness of the Company’s disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”)), as of March 31, 2016. Based on their evaluation, the Company’s principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that the Company’s disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of March 31, 2016.

The Company’s disclosure controls and procedures have been designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and

forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures. All internal control systems, no matter how well designed, have inherent limitations. Therefore, even those internal control systems determined to be effective can provide only a level of reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation.

Table of Contents

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that occurred during the three months ended March 31, 2016 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

41

Table of Contents

PART II—OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

For a description of developments with respect to pending legal proceedings described in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015, see Part I, Item 2, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

For a discussion of the Company's risk factors, refer to Item 1A, Risk Factors, contained in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015. There have been no material changes in the Company's risk factors during the Current Year Quarter.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

(c) This table provides information with respect to purchases by the Company of shares of its Common Stock during the Current Year Quarter:

Period	Total Number Of Shares Purchased ⁽¹⁾	Average Price Paid Per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Value of Shares that may Yet be Purchased under the Plans or Programs ⁽¹⁾
January 1 – 31, 2016	—	\$ —	—	\$ 77,641,980
February 1 – 29, 2016	—	\$ —	—	\$ 200,000,000
March 1 – 31, 2016 ⁽²⁾	—	\$ —	—	\$ 165,906,000

SEACOR's Board of Directors previously approved a securities repurchase plan that authorizes the Company to acquire its 7.375% Senior Notes, 3.0% Convertible Senior Notes, 2.5% Convertible Senior Notes and Common (1) Stock (collectively the "Securities"), which may be acquired through open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions or otherwise, depending on market conditions. On February 26, 2016 SEACOR's Board of Directors increased the Company's repurchase authority for the Securities to \$200.0 million.

During the Current Year Quarter, the Company acquired \$13.9 million in principal amount of its 7.375% Senior (2) Notes and \$20.2 million in principal amount of its 2.5% Convertible Senior Notes reducing the securities repurchase plan authority.

ITEM 3. DEFAULT UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

- 31.1 Certification by the Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act, as amended.
- 31.2 Certification by the Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act, as amended.
- 32.1 Certification by the Principal Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Certification by the Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 101.INS** XBRL Instance Document
- 101.SCH** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema
- 101.CAL** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase
- 101.DEF** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase
- 101.LAB** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase
- 101.PRE** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase

Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, these interactive data files are deemed not filed or part of a registration **statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 or Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and otherwise are not subject to liability.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

SEACOR Holdings Inc. (Registrant)

DATE: April 26, 2016 By: /S/ CHARLES FABRIKANT
Charles Fabrikant, Executive Chairman of the Board and Chief
Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

DATE: April 26, 2016 By: /S/ MATTHEW CENAC
Matthew Cenac, Executive Vice President
and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

- 31.1 Certification by the Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act, as amended.
- 31.2 Certification by the Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act, as amended.
- 32.1 Certification by the Principal Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Certification by the Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 101.INS** XBRL Instance Document
- 101.SCH** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema
- 101.CAL** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase
- 101.DEF** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase
- 101.LAB** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase
- 101.PRE** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase

Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, these interactive data files are deemed not filed or part of a registration **statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 or Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and otherwise are not subject to liability.