



Edgar Filing: MANITOWOC CO INC - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes  No

The Aggregate Market Value on June 29, 2012, of the registrant's Common Stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was \$1,534.3 million based on the closing per share price of \$11.70 on that date.

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's Common Stock as of January 31, 2013, the most recent practicable date, was 132,781,078.

#### DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the registrant's Proxy Statement, to be prepared and filed for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders, dated March 22, 2013 (the "2013 Proxy Statement"), are incorporated by reference in Part III of this report.

See Index to Exhibits immediately following the signature page of this report, which is incorporated herein by reference.



Table of Contents

PART I

Item 1. BUSINESS

GENERAL

The Manitowoc Company, Inc. (referred to as the company, MTW, Manitowoc, we, our, and us) was founded in 1902. We are a multi-industry, capital goods manufacturer operating in two principal markets: Cranes and Related Products (Crane) and Foodservice Equipment (Foodservice). Crane is recognized as one of the world's leading providers of engineered lifting equipment for the global construction industry, including lattice-boom cranes, tower cranes, mobile telescopic cranes, and boom trucks. Foodservice is one of the world's leading innovators and manufacturers of commercial foodservice equipment serving the ice, beverage, refrigeration, food-preparation, and cooking needs of restaurants, convenience stores, hotels, healthcare, and institutional applications. We have over a 110-year tradition of providing high-quality, customer-focused products and support services to our markets. For the year ended December 31, 2012, we had net sales of approximately \$3.9 billion.

Our Crane business is a global provider of engineered lift solutions, offering one of the broadest product lines of lifting equipment in our industry. We design, manufacture, market, and support a comprehensive line of lattice-boom crawler cranes, mobile telescopic cranes, tower cranes, and boom trucks. Our Crane products are principally marketed under the Manitowoc, Grove, Potain, National, Shuttlelift, and Crane Care brand names and are used in a wide variety of applications, including energy and utilities, petrochemical and industrial projects, infrastructure developments such as road, bridge and airport construction, and commercial and high-rise residential construction.

Our Foodservice business is among the world's leading designers and manufacturers of commercial foodservice equipment. Our Foodservice capabilities span refrigeration, ice-making, cooking, holding, food-preparation, and beverage-dispensing technologies, and allow us to be able to equip entire commercial kitchens and serve the world's growing demand for food prepared away from home. Our Foodservice products are marketed under the Manitowoc, Garland, U.S. Range, Convotherm, Cleveland, Lincoln, Merrychef, Frymaster, Delfield, Kolpak, Kysor Panel, Servend, Multiplex, and Manitowoc Beverage System brand names.

During the fourth quarter of 2012, the company decided to divest its warewashing equipment business, which operated under the brand name Jackson, and classified this business as discontinued operations in the company's financial statements. Jackson designs, manufactures and sells warewashing equipment, offering a full range of undercounter dishwashers, door-type dishwashers, conveyor, pot washing, and flight-type dishwashers. On January 28, 2013, the company sold the Jackson warewashing equipment business to Hoshizaki USA Holdings, Inc. for approximately \$38.5 million. Net proceeds were used to reduce ratably the then-outstanding balances of Term Loan A and B.

On December 15, 2010, the company reached a definitive agreement to divest of its Kysor/Warren and Kysor/Warren de Mexico businesses to Lennox International for approximately \$145 million. The transaction subsequently closed on January 14, 2011 and the net proceeds were used to pay down outstanding debt. The results of these operations have been classified as discontinued operations.

In order to secure clearance for the acquisition of Enodis plc ("Enodis") from various regulatory authorities including the European Commission and the United States Department of Justice, the company agreed to sell substantially all of Enodis' global ice machine operations following completion of the transaction. In May 2009, the company completed the sale of the Enodis global ice machine operations to Braveheart Acquisition, Inc., an affiliate of Warburg Pincus Private Equity X, L.P., for \$160 million. The businesses sold were operated under the Scotsman, Ice-O-Matic, Simag, Barline, Icematic, and Oref brand names. The company also agreed to sell certain non-ice businesses of Enodis located in Italy that are operated under the Tecnomac and Icematic brand names. Prior to disposal, the antitrust clearances required that the ice businesses were treated as standalone operations, in competition with the company. The results of these operations have been classified as discontinued operations.

In December 2008, the company completed the sale of its Marine segment to Fincantieri Marine Group Holdings Inc., a subsidiary of Fincantieri - Cantieri Navali Italiani SpA. The sale price in the all-cash deal was approximately \$120 million. The results of these operations have been classified as discontinued operations.

In October 2008, we completed our acquisition of Enodis, a global leader in the design and manufacture of innovative equipment for the commercial foodservice industry. The \$2.7 billion acquisition, inclusive of the purchase of

outstanding shares and rights to shares, acquired debt, the settlement of hedges related to the acquisition and transaction fees, is the largest acquisition for the company and positioned Manitowoc among the world's leading designers and manufacturers of commercial foodservice equipment.

3

---

## Table of Contents

Our principal executive offices are located at 2400 South 44th Street, Manitowoc, Wisconsin 54220.

### BUSINESS STRATEGY

We are committed to our tradition of providing high-quality, customer-focused products and services and building our market-leadership positions in our two core businesses. Major elements of our business strategy are as follows:

#### Emphasize new product development and innovation

We intend to continue to invest capital to develop new products and enhance our existing products with improved cost-effective functionality in response to changing customer requirements. In our Crane segment we have implemented a rigorous Integrated Product Development ("IPD") process that we expect will generate 14 new or updated products in the next two years. We believe these projects will keep us at the forefront of technology and innovation in each of our product lines. Such recent innovations include the introduction of our 2,500 U.S. ton capacity crawler crane, our new patented variable positioning counterweight technology, our innovative winch technology on our tower cranes, and our mega-track suspension systems on our all-terrain cranes.

Similarly in our Foodservice segment, innovative new products include customer-specific models of the Frymaster Protector Fryer which facilitate the use of healthier, zero-trans-fat oil by reducing the amount of oil required to produce consumer-favorite items; new categories of blended ice machines which produce portion-controlled coffee, fruit, yogurt and other flavored "smoothie" drinks in demand by consumers who crave fresh, healthy meal alternatives; and new Indigo line of ice machines, which allow owners to program ice production and monitor key functions, including ice clarity, machine maintenance and energy/water usage, while inhibiting bacterial growth with its unique LuminIce™ feature. We continue to develop resource-saving and reduced environmental footprint products with reduced energy and water consumption, built from materials that are more easily recycled, and shipped in packaging with more recycled content.

For the second consecutive year, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") and Energy Star recognized our Foodservice segment in 2012 as an Energy Star Sustaining Excellence award winner for its contribution to reducing greenhouse gas emissions by manufacturing energy-efficient products and helping to educate consumers about those products.

#### Focus on capital, operating efficiency and our company values

We manage our businesses using various qualitative and quantitative measures of success, including an overarching commitment to the framework of economic value-added (EVA®), which drives us to deploy capital in areas with the greatest expected after-tax returns in excess of the cost of capital employed. We will continue to manage our business with rigorous financial and operating discipline aimed at continuously improving value for our shareholders, customers, employees and communities. Operational excellence is one of our seven strategic imperatives and is very important to maintaining and growing our market positions in both segments. The principles of lean manufacturing and Six Sigma are ingrained in a continuous improvement culture in both the Crane and Foodservice segments.

Just as with people, businesses have to decide what it is they stand for and believe in if they are to grow and be successful. At Manitowoc, our beliefs are best summarized in three core values: Integrity, Commitment to Stakeholders, and Passion for Excellence. We rely on these values every day, throughout the company, to set clear expectations, guide decisions and actions, and measure progress. They help us not only build our personal success, but the successes of our teams, business units, and company as a whole.

#### Optimize global footprint

Over the long-term we plan to continue to optimize our manufacturing, distribution and service networks in existing and select geographic markets. Where appropriate, we will continue to pursue joint ventures and licensing agreements to leverage the operating experience, technical expertise and local market knowledge of our strategic partners.

Table of Contents**FINANCIAL INFORMATION ABOUT BUSINESS SEGMENTS**

The following is financial information about the Crane and Foodservice segments for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010. The financial information for 2011 and 2010 has been revised to correct errors identified that relate to prior periods. See Note 1, "Company and Basis of Presentation" for further discussion. The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K, except that certain expenses are not allocated to the segments. These unallocated expenses are corporate overhead, amortization expense of intangible assets with definite lives, goodwill impairment, intangible asset impairment, restructuring expense, integration expense and other non-operating expenses. The company evaluates segment performance based upon profit and loss before the aforementioned expenses. Amounts are shown in millions of dollars.

(in millions)	2012	2011	2010
Net sales from continuing operations:			
Crane	\$2,440.8	\$2,164.6	\$1,748.6
Foodservice	1,486.2	1,454.6	1,362.9
Total	\$3,927.0	\$3,619.2	\$3,111.5
Operating earnings from continuing operations:			
Crane	\$156.0	\$108.2	\$90.6
Foodservice	238.6	214.4	201.9
Corporate	(63.7)	) (61.3)	) (42.0)
Amortization expense	(37.1)	) (37.9)	) (37.4)
Restructuring expense	(9.5)	) (5.5)	) (3.8)
Other expense	(2.5)	) 0.5	) (2.3)
Total	\$281.8	\$218.4	\$207.0
Capital expenditures:			
Crane	\$52.7	\$52.2	\$21.9
Foodservice	17.4	11.9	12.0
Corporate	2.8	0.7	2.0
Total	\$72.9	\$64.8	\$35.9
Total depreciation:			
Crane	\$44.9	\$54.2	\$56.5
Foodservice	22.3	24.5	27.1
Corporate	2.3	2.8	2.9
Total	\$69.5	\$81.5	\$86.5
Total assets:			
Crane	\$1,903.3	\$1,760.8	\$1,659.3
Foodservice	1,956.8	2,192.6	2,193.4
Corporate	197.2	69.2	219.6
Total	\$4,057.3	\$4,022.6	\$4,072.3



Table of Contents

## PRODUCTS AND SERVICES

We sell our products categorized in the following business segments:

Business Segment	Percentage of 2012 Net Sales	Key Products	Key Brands
Cranes and Related Products	62%	Lattice-boom Cranes: which include crawler and truck mounted lattice-boom cranes, and crawler crane attachments; Tower Cranes: which include top-slewing, luffing jib, topless, and self-erecting tower cranes; Mobile Telescopic Cranes: which include rough-terrain, all-terrain, truck-mounted and industrial cranes; Boom Trucks: which include telescopic boom trucks; and Parts and Service: which include replacement parts, product services and crane rebuilding and remanufacturing services.	Manitowoc Potain Grove National Crane Shuttlelift Dongyue Crane Care  Cleveland Convothem Delfield Frymaster
Foodservice Equipment	38%	Primary cooking and warming equipment; ice machines and storage bins; refrigerator and freezer equipment; beverage dispensers and related products; serving and storage equipment; and parts and service.	Garland Kolpak Kysor Panel Systems Lincoln Manitowoc Merrychef Multiplex Servend

## Cranes and Related Products

Our Crane segment designs, manufactures and distributes a diversified line of crawler-mounted lattice-boom cranes, which we sell under the Manitowoc brand name. Our Crane segment also designs and manufactures a diversified line of top-slewing and self-erecting tower cranes, which we sell under the Potain brand name. We design and manufacture mobile telescopic cranes, which we sell under the Grove and Shuttlelift brand names, and a comprehensive line of hydraulically powered telescopic boom trucks, which we sell under the National Crane brand name. We also provide crane product parts and services, and crane rebuilding, remanufacturing, and training services, which are delivered under the Manitowoc Crane Care brand name. In some cases our products are manufactured for us or distributed for us under strategic alliances. Our crane products are used in a wide variety of applications throughout the world, including energy production / distribution and utilities, petrochemical and industrial projects, infrastructure development such as road, bridge and airport construction, and commercial low-rise and high-rise residential construction. Many of our customers purchase one or more cranes together with several attachments to permit use of the crane in a broader range of lifting applications and other operations. Our largest crane model combined with available options has a lifting capacity up to 2,500 U.S. tons. We believe our primary near-term growth drivers are the relative strength in the energy, infrastructure, construction and petro-chemical-related end markets.

Lattice-boom cranes. Under the Manitowoc brand name we design, manufacture and distribute lattice-boom crawler cranes. Lattice-boom cranes consist of a lattice-boom, which is a fabricated, high-strength steel structure that has four chords and tubular lacings, mounted on a base which is either crawler or truck mounted. Lattice-boom cranes weigh less and provide higher lifting capacities than a telescopic boom of similar length. The lattice-boom cranes are the only category of crane that can pick and move simultaneously with a full-rated load. The lattice-boom sections,

together with the crane base, are transported to and erected at a project site.

We currently offer models of lattice-boom cranes with lifting capacities up to 2,500 U.S. tons, which are used to lift material and equipment in a wide variety of applications and end markets, including heavy construction, bridge and highway, duty cycle and infrastructure and energy related projects. These cranes are also used by the value-added crane rental industry, which serves all of the above end markets.

Lattice-boom crawler cranes may be classified according to their lift capacity-low capacity and high capacity. Low-capacity crawler cranes with 150-U.S. ton capacity or less are often utilized for general construction and duty-cycle applications. High-capacity crawler cranes with greater than 150-U.S. ton capacity are used to lift materials in a wide variety of applications and are often used in heavy construction, energy-related, stadium construction, petrochemical work, and dockside applications. We offer ten low-capacity models and nine high-capacity models.

Table of Contents

We also offer our lattice-boom crawler crane customers various attachments that provide our cranes with greater capacity in terms of height, movement and lifting. Our principal attachments are: MAX-ER™ attachments, luffing jibs, and RINGER™ attachments. The MAX-ER™ is a trailing counterweight, heavy-lift attachment that dramatically improves the reach, capacity and lift dynamics of the basic crane to which it is mounted. It can be transferred between cranes of the same model for maximum economy and occupies less space than competitive heavy-lift systems. A luffing jib is a fabricated structure similar to, but smaller than, a lattice-boom. Mounted at the tip of a lattice-boom, a luffing jib easily adjusts its angle of operation permitting one crane with a luffing jib to make lifts at additional locations on the project site. It can be transferred between cranes of the same model to maximize utilization. A RINGER™ attachment is a high-capacity lift attachment that distributes load reactions over a large area to minimize ground-bearing pressure. It can also be more economical than transporting and setting up a larger crane.

Tower cranes. Under the Potain brand name, we design and manufacture tower cranes utilized primarily in the energy, building and construction industries. Tower cranes offer the ability to lift and distribute material at the point of use more quickly and accurately than other types of lifting machinery without utilizing substantial square footage on the ground. Tower cranes include a stationary vertical mast and a horizontal jib with a counterweight, which is placed near the vertical mast. A cable runs through a trolley which is mounted on the jib, enabling the load to move along the jib. The jib rotates 360 degrees, thus increasing the crane's work area. Unless using a remote control device, operators occupy a cabin, located where the jib and mast meet, which provides superior visibility above the worksite. We offer a complete line of tower crane products, including top slewing, luffing jib, topless, self-erecting, and special cranes for dams, harbors and other large building projects. Top-slewing cranes are the most traditional form of tower cranes. Self-erecting cranes are bottom-slewing cranes which have a counterweight located at the bottom of the mast and are able to be erected, used and dismantled on job sites without assist cranes.

Top-slewing tower cranes have a tower and multi-sectioned horizontal jib. These cranes rotate from the top of their mast and can increase in height with the project. Top-slewing cranes are transported in separate pieces and assembled at the construction site in one to three days depending on the height. We offer 21 models of top-slewing tower cranes with maximum jib lengths of 80 meters and lifting capabilities ranging between 5 and 80 meter-tons. These cranes are generally sold to medium to large energy, building and construction groups, as well as to rental companies.

Topless tower cranes are a type of top-slewing crane and, unlike all others, have no cathead or jib tie-bars on the top of the mast. The cranes are utilized primarily when overhead height is constrained or in situations where several cranes are installed close together. We currently offer 15 models of topless tower cranes with maximum jib lengths of 75 meters and lifting capabilities ranging between 2.5 and 20 meter-tons.

Luffing jib tower cranes, which are a type of top-slewing crane, have an angled rather than horizontal jib. Unlike other tower cranes which have a trolley that controls the lateral movement of the load, luffing jib cranes move their load by changing the angle of the jib. The cranes are utilized primarily in urban areas where space is constrained or in situations where several cranes are installed close together. We currently offer nine models of luffing jib tower cranes with maximum jib lengths of 60 meters and lifting capabilities ranging between 8 and 32 meter-tons.

Self-erecting tower cranes are mounted on axles or transported on a trailer. The lower segment of the range (Igo cranes up to Igo50) unfolds in four sections, two for the mast and two for the jib. The smallest of our models unfolds in less than eight minutes; larger models erect in a few hours. Self-erecting cranes rotate from the bottom of their mast. We offer 24 models of self-erecting cranes with maximum jib lengths of 50 meters and lifting capacities ranging between 1 and 8 meter-tons which are utilized primarily in low to medium rise construction and residential applications.

Mobile telescopic cranes. Under the Grove brand name we design and manufacture 36 models of mobile telescopic cranes utilized primarily in industrial, commercial and construction applications, as well as in maintenance applications to lift and move material at job sites. Mobile telescopic cranes consist of a telescopic boom mounted on a wheeled carrier. Mobile telescopic cranes are similar to lattice-boom cranes in that they are designed to lift heavy loads using a mobile carrier as a platform, enabling the crane to move on and around a job site without typically having to re-erect the crane for each particular job. Additionally, many mobile telescopic cranes have the ability to drive between sites, and some are permitted on public roadways. We currently offer the following four types of mobile telescopic cranes capable of reaching tip heights of up to 427 feet with lifting capacities up to 550 U.S. tons: rough-terrain, all-terrain, truck-mounted, and industrial.

Rough-terrain cranes are designed to lift materials and equipment on rough or uneven terrain. These cranes cannot be driven on public roadways, and, accordingly, must be transported by truck to a work site. We produce, under the Grove brand name, nine models of rough-terrain cranes capable of tip heights of up to 312 feet and maximum load capacities of up to 150 U.S. tons.

7

---

Table of Contents

All-terrain cranes are versatile cranes designed to lift materials and equipment on rough or uneven terrain and yet are highly maneuverable and capable of highway speeds. We produce, under the Grove brand name, 14 models of all-terrain cranes capable of tip heights of up to 449 feet and maximum load capacities of up to 550 U.S. tons.

Truck-mounted cranes are designed to provide simple set-up and long reach high capacity booms and are capable of traveling from site to site at highway speeds. These cranes are suitable for urban and suburban uses. We produce, under the Grove brand name, five models of truck mounted cranes capable of tip heights of up to 237 feet and maximum load capacities of up to 90 U.S. tons.

Industrial cranes are designed primarily for plant maintenance, storage yard and material handling jobs. We manufacture, under the Grove and Shuttlelift brand names, seven models of industrial cranes. We produce industrial cranes with up to 25 U.S. ton capacity and tip heights of up to 86 feet.

High reach telescopic hydraulic cranes. The GTK 1100 is a high-reach telescopic hydraulic crane that can lift a 105 U.S. ton load up to 367 feet, only requires about six hours to erect and is based on a combination of mobile crane and tower crane technology.

Boom trucks. We offer our hydraulic boom truck products under the National Crane product line. A boom truck is a hydraulically powered telescopic crane mounted on a conventional truck chassis. Telescopic boom trucks are used primarily for lifting material on a job site. We currently offer, under the National Crane brand name, 18 models of telescoping boom trucks. The largest capacity cranes of this type are capable of reaching maximum heights of 205 feet and have lifting capacity up to 55 U.S. tons.

Backlog. The year-end backlog of crane products includes accepted orders that have been placed on a production schedule that we expect to be shipped and billed during the next year. Manitowoc's backlog of unfilled orders for the Crane segment at December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 was \$755.8 million, \$760.5 million and \$571.7 million, respectively.

**Foodservice Equipment**

Our Foodservice Equipment business designs, manufactures and sells primary cooking and warming equipment; ice machines and storage bins; refrigerator and freezer equipment; beverage dispensers and related products; and serving and storage equipment. Our suite of products is used by commercial and institutional foodservice operators such as full service restaurants, quick-service restaurant (QSR) chains, hotels, caterers, supermarkets, convenience stores, business and industry, hospitals, schools and other institutions. We have a presence throughout the world's most significant markets in the following product groups:

Primary cooking and warming equipment. We design, manufacture and sell a broad array of ranges, griddles, grills, combination ovens, convection ovens, conveyor ovens, induction cookers, broilers, tilt fry pans/kettles/skillets, braising pans, cheese melters/salamanders, cook stations, table top and counter top cooking/frying systems, fryers, steam jacketed kettles, and steamers. We sell traditional oven, combi oven, convection oven, conveyor oven, accelerated cooking oven, range and grill products under the Convotherm, Garland, Lincoln, Merrychef, U.S. Range, and other brand names. Fryers and frying systems are marketed under the Frymaster and Dean brand names, while steam equipment is manufactured and sold under the Cleveland brand. In addition to cooking, we provide a range of warming, holding, and serving equipment under the Delfield, Fabristeel, Frymaster, Merco, and other brand names.

Ice-cube machines, ice flaker machines, nugget ice machines, ice dispensers and storage bins. We design, manufacture and sell ice machines under the Manitowoc brand name, serving the foodservice, convenience store, healthcare, restaurant, lodging and other markets. Our ice machines make ice in cube, nugget and flake form, and range in daily production capacities. The ice-cube machines are either self-contained units, which make and store ice, or modular units, which make, but do not store ice.



## Table of Contents

Beverage dispensers and related products. We produce beverage dispensers, blended ice machines, ice/beverage dispensers, beer coolers, post-mix dispensing valves, backroom equipment and support system components and related equipment for use by QSR chains, convenience stores, bottling operations, movie theaters, and the soft-drink industry. Our beverage and related products are sold under the Servend, Multiplex, TruPour, Manitowoc Beverage Systems and McCann's brand names.

Serving and storage equipment. We design, manufacture and sell a range of cafeteria/buffet equipment stations, bins, boxes, warming cabinets, display and deli cases, insulated and refrigerated salad/food bars, and warmers. Our equipment stations, cases, food bars and food serving lines are marketed under the Delfield, Viscount and other brand names.

The end-customer base for the Foodservice Equipment segment is comprised of a wide variety of foodservice providers, including, but not limited to, large multinational and regional chain restaurants, convenience stores and retail stores; chain and independent casual and family dining restaurants; independent restaurants and caterers; lodging, resort, leisure and convention facilities; health care facilities; schools and universities; large business and industrial customers; and many other foodservice outlets. We cater to some of the largest and most widely recognized multinational and regional businesses in the foodservice and hospitality industries. We do not typically have long-term contracts with our customers; however, large chains frequently authorize specific foodservice equipment manufacturers as approved vendors for particular products, and thereafter, sales are made locally or regionally to end customers via kitchen equipment suppliers, dealers or distributors. Many large QSR chains refurbish or open a large number of outlets, or implement menu changes requiring investment in new equipment, over a short period of time. When this occurs, these customers often choose a small number of manufacturers whose approved products may or must be purchased by restaurant operators. We work closely with our customers to develop the products they need and to become the approved vendors for these products.

Our end-customers often need equipment upgrades that enable them to improve productivity and food safety, reduce labor costs, respond to enhanced hygiene, environmental and menu requirements or reduce energy consumption. These changes often require customized cooking and cooling and freezing equipment. In addition, many restaurants, especially QSRs, seek to differentiate their products by changing their menu and format. We believe that product development is important to our success because a supplier's ability to provide customized or innovative foodservice equipment is a primary factor when customers are making their purchasing decisions. Recognizing the importance of providing innovative products to our customers, we invest significant time and resources into new product research and development.

The Manitowoc Education and Technology Centers ("ETC") in New Port Richey, Florida and Hangzhou, China contain computer-assisted design platforms, a model shop for on-site development of prototypes, a laboratory for product testing and various display areas for new products. Our test kitchen, flexible demonstration areas and culinary team enable us to demonstrate a wide range of equipment in realistic operating environments, and also support a wide range of menu ideation, food development and sensory testing with our customers and food partners. We also use the ETC to provide training for our customers, marketing representatives, service providers, industry consultants, dealers and distributors.

Backlog. The backlog for unfilled orders for our Foodservice segment at December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 was not significant because orders are generally filled shortly after receiving the customer order.

### Raw Materials and Supplies

The primary raw materials that we use are structural and rolled steel, aluminum, and copper, which are purchased from various domestic and international sources. We also purchase engines and electrical equipment and other semi- and fully-processed materials. Our policy is to maintain, wherever possible, alternate sources of supply for our important materials and parts. We maintain inventories of steel and other purchased material. We have been successful

in our goal to maintain alternative sources of raw materials and supplies, and therefore are not dependent on a single source for any particular raw material or supply.

#### Patents, Trademarks, and Licenses

We hold numerous patents pertaining to our Crane and Foodservice products, and have presently pending applications for additional patents in the United States and foreign countries. In addition, we have various registered and unregistered trademarks and licenses that are of material importance to our business and we believe our ownership of this intellectual property is adequately protected in customary fashions under applicable laws. No single patent, trademark or license is critical to our overall business.

#### Seasonality

Typically, the second and third quarters represent our best quarters for our consolidated financial results. More recently, the traditional seasonality for our Crane and Foodservice segments has been slightly muted due to more diversified product and

Table of Contents

geographic end markets, as well as the impact that the global economic recession and downturn in our end markets has had on our revenue. In our Crane segment, the northern hemisphere summer represents the main construction season. Customers require new machines, parts, and service during that season. Since the summer brings warmer weather, there is also an increase in the use and replacement of ice machines, as well as new construction and remodeling within the foodservice industry. As a result, distributors build inventories during the second quarter to prepare for increased demand.

#### Competition

We sell all of our products in highly competitive industries. We compete in each of our industries based on product design, quality of products and aftermarket support services, product performance, maintenance costs, energy and resource saving, other contributions to sustainability and price. Some of our competitors may have greater financial, marketing, manufacturing or distribution resources than we do. We believe that we benefit from the following competitive advantages: a strong brand name, a reputation for quality products and aftermarket support services, an established network of global distributors and customer relationships, broad product line offerings in the markets we serve, and a commitment to engineering design and product innovation. However, we cannot be certain that our products and services will continue to compete successfully or that we will be able to retain our customer base or improve or maintain our profit margins on sales to our customers. The following table sets forth our primary competitors in each of our business segments:

Business Segment	Products	Primary Competitors
Cranes and Related Products	Lattice-boom Crawler Cranes	Hitachi Sumitomo; Kobelco; Liebherr; Sumitomo/Link-Belt; Terex; XCMG; Fushun; Zoomlion; Fuwa; and Sany
	Tower Cranes	Comansa; Terex Comedil/Peiner; Liebherr; FM Gru; Jaso; Raimondi; Viccario; Saez; Benezato; Cattaneo; Sichuan Construction Machinery; Shenyang; Zoomlion; Jiangu; and Yongmao
	Mobile Telescopic Cranes	Liebherr; Link-Belt; Terex; Tadano; XCMG; Kato; Locatelli; Marchetti; Luna; Broderson; Valla; Ormig; Bencini; Sany; and Zoomlion
	Boom Trucks	Terex; Manitex; Altec; Elliott; Tadano; Fassi; Palfinger; Furukawa; and Hiab
Foodservice Equipment	Ice-Cube Machines, Ice Flaker Machines and Storage Bins	Hoshizaki; Scotsman; Follet; Ice-O-Matic; Brema; Aucma; and Vogt
	Beverage Dispensers and Related Products	Automatic Bar Controls; Celli; Cornelius; Hoshizaki/Lancer Corporation; Taylor; and Vin Service
	Refrigerator and Freezer Equipment	American Panel; ICS; Nor-Lake; Master-Bilt; Thermo-Kool; Bally; Arctic; Beverage Air; Traulsen; True Foodservice; TurboAir; Masterbilt; and Hoshizaki
	Primary Cooking Equipment	Ali Group; Electrolux; Dover Industries; Duke; Henny Penny; ITW; Middleby; Rational; and

Taylor

Serving, Warming and Storage Equipment Alto Shaam; Cambro; Duke; Hatco; ITW;  
Middleby; Standex; and Vollrath

Food Preparation Equipment Ali Group; Bizerba; Electrolux; German Knife;  
Globe; ITW; and Univex



Edgar Filing: MANITOWOC CO INC - Form 10-K

Australia	134.1	121.5	129.2	4.4	4.2
Total	\$3,927.0	\$3,619.2	\$3,111.5	\$2,678.2	\$2,733.5

11

---



Price increases in some materials and sources of supply could affect our profitability.

We use large amounts of steel, stainless steel, aluminum, copper and electronic controls, among other items, in the manufacture of our products. Occasionally, market prices of some of our key raw materials increase significantly. If in the future we are not able to reduce product cost in other areas or pass raw material price increases on to our customers, our margins could be adversely affected. In addition, because we maintain limited raw material and component inventories, even brief unanticipated delays in delivery by suppliers-including those due to capacity constraints, labor disputes, impaired financial condition of suppliers, weather emergencies or other natural disasters-may impair our ability to satisfy our customers and could adversely



rights or to defend against claimed infringement of the rights of others, could result in substantial costs and in a diversion of our resources. In addition, if a third party would prevail in an infringement claim against us, then we would likely need to obtain a license from the third party on commercial terms, which would likely increase our costs. Our failure to maintain or obtain necessary licenses or an adverse outcome in any litigation relating to patent infringement or other intellectual property matters could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Our results of operations may be negatively impacted by product liability lawsuits.

Our business exposes us to potential product liability risks that are inherent in the design, manufacture, sale and use of our products, especially our crane products. Certain of our businesses also have experienced claims relating to past asbestos exposure. Neither we nor our affiliates have to date incurred material costs related to these asbestos claims.

We vigorously



Increased or unexpected product warranty claims could adversely affect us.

We provide our customers a warranty covering workmanship, and in some cases materials, on products we manufacture. Our warranty generally provides that products will be free from defects for periods ranging from 12 months to 60 months with certain equipment having longer term warranties. If a product fails to comply with the warranty, we may be obligated, at our expense, to correct any defect by repairing or replacing the defective product. Although we maintain warranty reserves in an amount based primarily on the number of units shipped and on historical and anticipated warranty claims, there can be no assurance that future warranty claims will follow historical patterns or that we can accurately anticipate the level of future warranty claims. An increase in the rate of warranty claims or the occurrence of unexpected warranty claims could materially and adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Some of our customers rely on financing with third parties to purchase our products, and we may incur expenses associated with our assistance to customers in securing third party financing.

14

---



In the ordinary course of our business, we collect and store sensitive data, including our proprietary business information and that of our customers, suppliers and business partners, as well as personally identifiable information of our customers and employees, in our data centers and on our networks. The secure processing, maintenance and transmission of this information is critical to our operations and business strategy. Despite our security measures, our information technology and infrastructure may be vulnerable to malicious attacks or breached due to employee error, malfeasance or other disruptions, including as a result of rollouts of new systems. Any such breach could compromise our networks and the information stored there could be accessed, publicly disclosed, lost or stolen. Any such access, disclosure or other loss of information could result in legal claims or proceedings and/or regulatory penalties, disrupt our operations, damage our reputation, and/or cause a loss of confidence in our products and services, which could adversely affect our business.

Our inability to recover from natural or man-made disasters could adversely affect our business.

Our business and financial results may be affected by certain events that we cannot anticipate or that are beyond our control, such as natural or man-made disasters, national emergencies, significant labor strikes, work stoppages, political unrest, war or











Glen E. Tellock has been the company's chief executive officer since May 2007 and was elected as chairman of the board effective February 13, 2009. He previously served as the senior vice president of The Manitowoc Company, Inc. and president of the Crane segment since 2002. Earlier, he served as the company's senior vice president and chief financial officer (1999),







Table of Contents

## Item 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following selected historical financial data have been derived from the Consolidated Financial Statements of The Manitowoc Company, Inc. The data should be read in conjunction with these financial statements and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations." Results of the Jackson business, the Kysor/Warren business, substantially all Enodis ice businesses and certain Enodis non-ice businesses, and the Marine segment in the years presented have been classified as discontinued operations to exclude those results from continuing operations. In addition, the earnings (loss) from discontinued operations include the impact of adjustments to certain retained liabilities for operations sold or closed in periods prior to those presented. Financial data for the years prior to 2012 have been revised to correct errors identified in 2012 relating to these periods. See Note 1, "Company and Basis of Presentation," in the Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of these revisions. For businesses acquired during the time periods presented, results are included in the table from their acquisition date. Amounts are in millions except share and per share data.

	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
Net Sales					
Cranes and Related Products	\$2,440.8	\$2,164.6	\$1,748.6	\$2,285.0	\$3,882.9
Foodservice Equipment	1,486.2	1,454.6	1,362.9	1,302.9	589.7
Total	3,927.0	3,619.2	3,111.5	3,587.9	4,472.6
Gross Profit	934.4	826.7	759.5	788.6	1,013.9
Earnings (Loss) from Operations					
Cranes and Related Products	156.0	108.2	90.6	146.7	556.7
Foodservice Equipment	238.6	214.4	201.9	164.1	59.0
Corporate	(63.7 )	(61.3 )	(42.0 )	(46.1 )	(52.8 )
Amortization expense	(37.1 )	(37.9 )	(37.4 )	(37.5 )	(11.3 )
Goodwill impairment	—	—	—	(515.6 )	—
Intangible asset impairment	—	—	—	(146.4 )	—
Restructuring expense	(9.5 )	(5.5 )	(3.8 )	(39.6 )	(21.7 )
Integration expense	—	—	—	(3.6 )	(7.6 )
Other expense	(2.5 )	0.5	(2.3 )	(3.4 )	—
Total	281.8	218.4	207.0	(481.4 )	522.3
Interest expense	(137.1 )	(146.7 )	(175.0 )	(174.0 )	(51.6 )
Amortization of deferred financing fees	(8.2 )	(10.4 )	(22.0 )	(28.8 )	(2.5 )
Loss on debt extinguishment	(6.3 )	(29.7 )	(44.0 )	(9.2 )	(4.1 )
Loss on purchase price hedges	—	—	—	—	(379.4 )
Other income (expense) - net	0.1	2.3	(9.0 )	17.3	(3.0 )
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	130.3	33.9	(43.0 )	(676.1 )	81.7
Provision (benefit) for taxes on income	38.0	13.6	26.2	(68.2 )	(20.8 )
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations	92.3	20.3	(69.2 )	(607.9 )	102.5
Discontinued operations:					
Earnings (loss) from discontinued operations, net of income taxes	0.3	(3.4 )	(8.1 )	(34.6 )	(144.8 )
Gain (loss) on sale or closure of discontinued operations, net of income taxes	—	(34.6 )	—	(24.2 )	53.1
Net earnings (loss)	92.6	(17.7 )	(77.3 )	(666.7 )	10.8
Less: Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest, net of tax	(9.1 )	(6.5 )	(2.7 )	(2.5 )	(1.9 )
Net earnings (loss) attributable to Manitowoc	\$101.7	\$(11.2 )	\$(74.6 )	\$(664.2 )	\$12.7
Amounts attributable to the Manitowoc common shareholders:					

Edgar Filing: MANITOWOC CO INC - Form 10-K

Earnings (loss) from continuing operations	\$101.4	\$26.8	\$(66.5 )	\$(605.4 )	\$104.4
Loss from discontinued operations, net of income taxes	0.3	(3.4 )	(8.1 )	(34.6 )	(144.8 )
Gain (loss) on sale or closure of discontinued operations, net of income taxes	—	(34.6 )	—	(24.2 )	53.1
Net earnings (loss) attributable to Manitowoc	\$101.7	\$(11.2 )	\$(74.6 )	\$(664.2 )	\$12.7



sheet data related to identifiable assets for Foodservice Equipment was an \$8.6 million decrease for 2011, 2010, and 2009 and a \$9.8 million decrease for 2008. The impact of these errors on the 2011, 2010 and 2009 balance sheet data related to identifiable assets for Corporate was increases of \$4.0 million, \$4.9 million and \$1.8 million, respectively. 2011, 2010, 2009 and 2008 net earnings (loss) data have been revised to correct errors identified in 2012. There was a \$0.7 million increase to net loss in 2011, and a reduction of \$4.9 million to the net loss in 2010 and 2009, and an increase to net earnings of \$1.9 million in 2008. There was a \$0.04 decrease to basic and diluted loss per share in 2010 and 2009 and a \$0.02 increase to basic and diluted earnings per share in 2008. See Note 1, "Company and Basis of Presentation," to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of the nature of these errors.

Table of Contents

Item 7. MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes appearing in Part II, Item 8 of the Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Overview The Manitowoc Company, Inc. is a multi-industry, capital goods manufacturer in two principal markets: Cranes and Related Products (Crane) and Foodservice Equipment (Foodservice). Crane is recognized as one of the world’s leading providers of lifting equipment for the global construction industry, including lattice-boom cranes, tower cranes, mobile telescopic cranes, and boom trucks. Foodservice is one of the world’s leading innovators and manufacturers of commercial foodservice equipment serving the ice, beverage, refrigeration, food preparation, and cooking needs of restaurants, convenience stores, hotels, healthcare, and institutional applications.

During the fourth quarter of 2012, the company decided to divest its warewashing equipment business, which operated under the brand name Jackson, and classified this business as discontinued operations in the company's financial statements. Jackson designs, manufactures and sells warewashing equipment, offering a full range of undercounter dishwashers, door-type dishwashers, conveyor, pot washing, and flight-type dishwashers. On January 28, 2013, the company sold the Jackson warewashing equipment business to Hoshizaki USA Holdings, Inc. for approximately \$38.5 million. Net proceeds were used to reduce ratably the then-outstanding balances of Term Loan A and B.

On December 15, 2010, the company reached a definitive agreement to divest its Kysor/Warren and Kysor/Warren de Mexico (collectively “Kysor/Warren”) businesses, which manufactured frozen, medium temperature and heated display merchandisers, mechanical refrigeration systems and remote mechanical and electrical houses to Lennox International for approximately \$145 million, including a preliminary working capital adjustment. The transaction subsequently closed on January 14, 2011 and the net proceeds were used to pay down outstanding debt. On July 1, 2011, the company made a payment to Lennox International of \$2.4 million as the final working capital adjustment under the sale agreement. The results of these operations have been classified as discontinued operations.

The following discussion and analysis covers key drivers behind our results for 2010 through 2012 and is broken down into three major sections. First, we provide an overview of our results of operations for the years 2010 through 2012 on a consolidated basis and by business segment. Next we discuss our market conditions, liquidity and capital resources, off-balance sheet arrangements, and obligations and commitments. Finally, we provide a discussion of risk management techniques, contingent liability issues, critical accounting policies, impacts of future accounting changes, and cautionary statements.

All dollar amounts, except per share amounts, are in millions of dollars throughout the tables included in this Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Conditions and Results of Operations unless otherwise indicated. The 2011 and 2010 results have been revised to reflect the correction of errors relating to these periods. See Note 1, “Company and Basis of Presentation” for further discussion.











respect to any tax audits, and any related litigation, could be materially different from the company's estimates and/or from its historical income tax provisions and accruals and could have a material effect on operating results and/or cash flows in the periods for which that determination is

28

---





















Manitowoc Foodservice and our brands for innovation and supplier support. In 2012, Manitowoc Foodservice received the ENERGY STAR<sup>®</sup> Sustained Excellence award, following previous recognition in 2010 and 2011 as Energy Star Partner of the Year, showcasing our long-term commitment to energy conservation and operating efficiency. Additionally, the U.S. National Restaurant Association recognized our Frymaster, Garland and Merrychef brands with Kitchen Innovation Awards in 2012, bringing our total to 23 of these prestigious awards. Cleveland, Frymaster, Lincoln and Manitowoc Ice received recognition from Foodservice Equipment and Supplies magazine as Best In Class in six equipment categories as voted by end users, design consultants and channel partners. This marks the twelfth straight year of Best in Class awards for Manitowoc Ice and Frymaster.







The following would be the principal and premium paid by the company, expressed as a percentage of the principal amount, if it redeems the 2022 Notes during the 12-month period commencing on October 15 of the year set forth below:

36

---













Table of Contents

that our available cash, revolving credit facility, cash generated from future operations, and access to public debt and equity markets will be adequate to fund our capital and debt financing requirements for the foreseeable future.

Our liquidity positions as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 were as follows:

(in millions)	2012	2011
Cash and cash equivalents	\$76.1	\$71.3
Revolver borrowing capacity	500.0	500.0
Less: Borrowings on revolver	(34.4	) —
Less: outstanding letters of credit	(38.2	) (34.5
Total liquidity	\$503.5	\$536.8

The revolving facility under the Senior Credit Facility has a maximum borrowing capacity of \$500.0 million and expires in May 2016. As of December 31, 2012, the company had \$34.4 million of borrowings on the revolving facility. During the year the highest daily borrowing was \$299.9 million and the average borrowing was \$141.2 million, while the average interest rate was 3.50%. The interest rate fluctuates based upon LIBOR or a Prime rate plus a spread, which is based upon the Consolidated Total Leverage Ratio of the company. As of December 31, 2012, the spreads for LIBOR and Prime borrowings were 2.75% and 1.75%, respectively, given the effective Consolidated Total Leverage Ratio for this period.

The company has not provided for additional U.S. income taxes on approximately \$649.9 million of undistributed earnings of consolidated non-U.S. subsidiaries included in stockholders' equity. Such earnings could become taxable upon sale or liquidation of these non-U.S. subsidiaries or upon dividend repatriation of cash balances. At December 31, 2012, approximately \$57.1 million of our total cash and cash equivalents were held by our foreign subsidiaries. This cash is associated with earnings that we have asserted are permanently reinvested. We have no current plans to repatriate cash or cash equivalents held by our foreign subsidiaries because we plan to reinvest such cash and cash equivalents to support our operations and continued growth plans outside the United States through funding of capital expenditures, acquisitions, research, operating expenses or other similar cash needs of these operations. Further, we do not currently forecast a need for these funds in the United States because the U.S. operations and debt service is supported by the cash generated by the U.S. operations. The company's intent is to repatriate foreign cash when it would be tax effective through the utilization of foreign tax credits or when earnings qualify as previously taxed income.

Management also considers the following regarding liquidity and capital resources to identify trends, demands, commitments, events and uncertainties that require disclosure:

- A. Our Senior Credit Facility requires us to comply with certain financial ratios and tests to comply with the terms of the agreement. We were in compliance with these covenants as of December 31, 2012, the latest measurement date. The occurrence of any default of these covenants could result in acceleration of any outstanding balances under the Senior Credit Facility. Further, such acceleration would constitute an event of default under the indentures governing our 2018 Notes, 2020 Notes, and 2022 Notes, and could trigger cross default provisions in other agreements.
- B. Circumstances that could impair our ability to continue to engage in transactions that have been integral to historical operations or are financially or operationally essential, or that could render that activity commercially impracticable, such as the inability to maintain a specified credit rating, level of earnings, earnings per share, financial ratios, or collateral. We do not believe that these risk factors applicable to our business are reasonably likely to impair our ability to continue to engage in our planned activities at this time.
- C. Factors specific to us and our markets that we expect to be given significant weight in the determination of our credit rating or will otherwise affect our ability to raise short-term and long-term financing. We do not presently believe that events covered by these risk factors applicable to our business could materially affect our credit ratings or could adversely affect our ability to raise short-term or long-term financing.
- D. We have disclosed information related to certain guarantees in Note 18 to our Consolidated Financial Statements.
- E. Written options on non-financial assets (for example, real estate puts). We do not have any written options on non-financial assets.









translation adjustment recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income at December 31, 2012 was \$50.3 million.  
Environmental, Health, Safety, and Other Matters  
Please refer to Part II, Item 8, Note 17, “Contingencies and Significant Estimates,” where we have disclosed our  
Environmental, Health, Safety, Contingencies and other Matters.







Income Taxes - We account for income taxes under the guidance of ASC Topic 740-10, "Income Taxes." Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. We record a valuation allowance that represents a reserve on deferred tax assets for which utilization is not more-likely-than-not. Management judgment is required in

44

---

Table of Contents

determining our provision for income taxes, deferred tax assets and liabilities, and the valuation allowance recorded against our net deferred tax assets. Our policy is to remit earnings from foreign subsidiaries only when it would be tax effective through the utilization of foreign tax credits or when earnings qualify as previously taxed income. Accordingly, we do not currently provide for additional United States and foreign income taxes which would become payable upon repatriation of undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries. We measure and record income tax contingency accruals under the guidance of ASC Topic 740-10. We recognize liabilities for uncertain income tax positions based on a two-step process. The first step is to evaluate the tax position for recognition by determining if the weight of available evidence indicates that it is more-likely-than-not that the position will be sustained on audit, including resolution of related appeals or litigation processes, if any. The second step requires us to estimate and measure the tax benefit as the largest amount that is more than 50% likely to be realized upon ultimate settlement. It is inherently difficult and subjective to estimate such amounts, as we must determine the probability of various possible outcomes. We reevaluate these uncertain tax positions on a quarterly basis or when new information becomes available to management. These reevaluations are based on factors including, but not limited to, changes in facts or circumstances, changes in tax law, successfully settled issues under audit, expirations due to statutes, and new audit activity. Such a change in recognition or measurement could result in the recognition of a tax benefit or an increase to the tax accrual.

Stock Compensation- The computation of the expense associated with stock-based compensation requires the use of certain valuation models and based on projected achievement of underlying performance criteria for performance shares. We currently use a Black-Scholes option pricing model to calculate the fair value of our stock options and Monte Carlo analysis to calculate the total shareholder return portion of performance shares. The Black-Scholes and Monte Carlo models require assumptions regarding the volatility of the company's stock, the expected life of the stock award and the company's dividend ratio. We primarily use historical data to determine the assumptions to be used in the Black-Scholes model and have no reason to believe that future data is likely to differ materially from historical data. However, changes in the assumptions to reflect future stock price volatility, future dividend payments and future stock award exercise experience could result in a change in the assumptions used to value awards in the future and may result in a material change to the fair value calculation of stock-based awards.

Warranties- In the normal course of business, we provide our customers warranties covering workmanship, and in some cases materials, on products manufactured by us. Such warranties generally provide that products will be free from defects for periods ranging from 12 months to 60 months with certain equipment having longer-term warranties. If a product fails to comply with our warranty, we may be obligated, at our expense, to correct any defect by repairing or replacing such defective product. We provide for an estimate of costs that may be incurred under our warranty at the time product revenue is recognized based on historical warranty experience for the related product or estimates of projected losses due to specific warranty issues on new products. These costs primarily include labor and materials, as necessary, associated with repair or replacement. The primary factors that affect our warranty liability include the number of shipped units and historical and anticipated rates or warranty claims. As these factors are impacted by actual experience and future expectations, we assess the adequacy of our recorded warranty liability and adjust the amounts as necessary.

Restructuring Charges- Restructuring charges for exit and disposal activities are recognized when the liability is incurred. The company accounts for restructuring charges under the guidance of ASC Topic 420-10, "Exit or Disposal Cost Obligations." The liability for the restructuring charge associated with an exit or disposal activity is measured initially at its fair value.

#### Recent Accounting Changes and Pronouncements

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-02, Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income. This update adds new disclosure requirements for items reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income. The updated standard is prospectively effective for the company's annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2012. The adoption of this new ASU is not expected to impact the company's





changes in the markets we serve; unexpected issues associated with the availability of local suppliers and skilled labor; changes in the interest rate environment; risks associated with growth; foreign currency fluctuations and their impact on reported results and hedges in place; world-wide political risk; geographic factors and economic risks; pressure of additional financing leverage; success in increasing manufacturing efficiencies and capacities; unanticipated changes in revenue, margins, costs and capital expenditures; work stoppages, labor negotiations, rates and temporary labor; issues associated with workforce reductions and subsequent ramp-up; actions of competitors; unanticipated changes in consumer spending; the ability of our customers to obtain financing; the state of financial and credit markets; the ability to generate cash and manage working capital consistent with our stated goals; non-compliance with debt covenants; unexpected issues affecting the effective tax rate for the year; unanticipated issues associated with the resolution or settlement of uncertain tax positions; unfavorable resolution of a tax matter with the IRS related to the calendar years 2008 and 2009; unanticipated changes in customer demand; the ability to increase operational efficiencies across each of the company's business segments and capitalize





Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Stockholders and Board of Directors of The Manitowoc Company, Inc.:

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements listed in the accompanying index present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of The Manitowoc Company, Inc. and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the results of their operations and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedule listed in the accompanying index presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements and financial statement schedule, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting appearing under Item 9A. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements, on the financial statement schedule, and on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Milwaukee, Wisconsin

February 28, 2013

Table of Contents

The Manitowoc Company, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Operations

For the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

Millions of dollars, except per share data

	2012	2011	2010
<b>Operations</b>			
Net sales	\$3,927.0	\$3,619.2	\$3,111.5
<b>Costs and expenses:</b>			
Cost of sales	2,992.6	2,792.5	2,352.1
Engineering, selling and administrative expenses	603.5	565.4	508.9
Amortization expense	37.1	37.9	37.4
Restructuring expense	9.5	5.5	3.8
Other expenses (income)	2.5	(0.5)	2.3
Total costs and expenses	3,645.2	3,400.8	2,904.5
Operating earnings from continuing operations	281.8	218.4	207.0
<b>Other income (expenses):</b>			
Interest expense	(137.1)	(146.7)	(175.0)
Amortization of deferred financing fees	(8.2)	(10.4)	(22.0)
Loss on debt extinguishment	(6.3)	(29.7)	(44.0)
Other income (expense)-net	0.1	2.3	(9.0)
Total other expenses	(151.5)	(184.5)	(250.0)
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations before taxes on earnings	130.3	33.9	(43.0)
Provision for taxes on earnings	38.0	13.6	26.2
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations	92.3	20.3	(69.2)
<b>Discontinued operations:</b>			
Earnings (loss) from discontinued operations, net of income taxes of \$0.2, (\$2.6) and \$1.7, respectively	0.3	(3.4)	(8.1)
Loss on sale of discontinued operations, net of income taxes of \$0.0, \$29.9 and \$0.0, respectively	—	(34.6)	—
Net earnings (loss)	92.6	(17.7)	(77.3)
Less: Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest, net of tax	(9.1)	(6.5)	(2.7)
Net earnings (loss) attributable to Manitowoc	\$101.7	\$(11.2)	\$(74.6)
<b>Amounts attributable to the Manitowoc common shareholders:</b>			
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations	\$101.4	\$26.8	\$(66.5)
Loss from discontinued operations, net of income taxes	0.3	(3.4)	(8.1)
Loss on sale of discontinued operations, net of income taxes	—	(34.6)	—
Net earnings (loss) attributable to Manitowoc	\$101.7	\$(11.2)	\$(74.6)
<b>Per Share Data</b>			
<b>Basic earnings (loss) per common share:</b>			
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations attributable to Manitowoc common shareholders	\$0.77	\$0.21	\$(0.51)
Earnings (loss) from discontinued operations attributable to Manitowoc common shareholders	—	(0.03)	(0.06)
Loss on sale of discontinued operations, net of income taxes	—	(0.27)	—
Earnings (loss) per share attributable to Manitowoc common shareholders	\$0.77	\$(0.09)	\$(0.57)
<b>Diluted earnings (loss) per common share:</b>			
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations attributable to Manitowoc common shareholders	\$0.76	\$0.20	\$(0.51)
Loss from discontinued operations attributable to Manitowoc common shareholders	—	(0.03)	(0.06)

















to \$8.6 million at December 31, 2011. The company had previously identified an error related to the overstatement of inventory and understatement of cost of goods sold in the amount of \$2.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 that had been corrected as an out-of-period adjustment in the second quarter of 2012. As the company adjusted the 2011 financial statements for the errors listed above, the company also made the adjustment for this inventory item in 2011. The company does not believe these errors to be material individually or in the







the reporting unit. If the carrying amount of the goodwill exceeds its implied fair market value, an impairment loss is recognized in an amount equal to that excess, not to exceed the carrying amount of the goodwill. In addition, goodwill of a reporting unit is tested for impairment between annual tests if an event occurs or circumstances change that would more-likely-than-not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying value. For other indefinite lived intangible assets, the impairment test consists of a comparison of the fair value of the

57

---



The company selectively hedges cash inflows and outflows that are subject to foreign currency exposure from the date of transaction to the related payment date. The hedges for these foreign currency accounts receivable and accounts payable are recorded in the Consolidated Balance Sheets at fair value. Gains or losses due to changes in fair value are recorded as an adjustment to earnings in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.



Recent accounting changes and pronouncements In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-02, Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income. This update adds new disclosure requirements for items reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income. The updated standard is prospectively effective for the company's annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2012. The adoption of this new ASU is not expected to impact the company's consolidated financial statements.



electrical houses to Lennox International for approximately \$145 million, including a preliminary working capital adjustment. The transaction subsequently closed on January 14, 2011, resulting in a \$34.6 million loss on sale, primarily consisting of \$29.9 million of

60

---

Table of Contents

income tax expense, and the net proceeds were used to pay down outstanding debt. On July 1, 2011, the company made a payment to Lennox International of \$2.4 million as the final working capital adjustment under the sale agreement. The results of these operations have been classified as discontinued operations.

The following selected financial data of the Kysor/Warren businesses for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 is presented for informational purposes only and does not necessarily reflect what the results of operations would have been had the businesses operated as a stand-alone entity. There was no general corporate expense or interest expense allocated to discontinued operations for this business during the periods presented.

(in millions)	2012	2011	2010
Net sales	\$—	\$6.5	\$216.4
Pretax loss from discontinued operation	\$(0.8)	\$(5.4)	\$(4.6)
Provision (benefit) for taxes on earnings	(0.3)	(2.2)	2.2
Net loss from discontinued operation	\$(0.5)	\$(3.2)	\$(6.8)

In addition to the Enodis ice and related businesses, the company has classified various businesses disposed of prior to 2009 as discontinued in compliance with ASC Topic 360-10, "Property, Plant, and Equipment."

The following selected financial data of various businesses disposed of prior to 2010, primarily consisting of administrative costs, for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 is presented for informational purposes only and does not necessarily reflect what the results of operations would have been had the businesses operated as stand-alone entities. There was no general corporate expense or interest expense allocated to discontinued operations for these businesses during the periods presented.

(in millions)	2012	2011	2010
Net sales	\$—	\$—	\$—
Pretax loss from discontinued operation	\$(0.4)	\$(1.2)	\$(1.0)
Provision (benefit) for taxes on earnings	(0.2)	(0.5)	(0.2)
Net loss from discontinued operation	\$(0.2)	\$(0.7)	\$(0.8)

#### 5. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The following tables set forth the company's financial assets and liabilities that were accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 by level within the fair value hierarchy. Financial assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.





Table of Contents

- Level 1 Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities
- Level 2 Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for similar assets or liabilities, or  
Unadjusted quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, or  
Inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability

Level 3 Unobservable inputs for the asset or liability

The company endeavors to utilize the best available information in measuring fair value. Financial assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The company estimates fair value of its Term Loans and Senior Notes based on quoted market prices of the instruments; though these markets are typically thinly traded, the liabilities are therefore classified as Level 2 within the valuation hierarchy. The carrying values of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable, deferred purchase price notes on receivables sold (see Note 12, "Accounts Receivable Securitization") and short-term variable debt, including any amounts outstanding under our revolving credit facility, approximate fair value, without being discounted as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 due to the short-term nature of these instruments.

As a result of its global operating and financing activities, the company is exposed to market risks from changes in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates, and commodity prices, which may adversely affect our operating results and financial position. When deemed appropriate, the company minimizes these risks through the use of derivative financial instruments. Derivative financial instruments are used to manage risk and are not used for trading or other speculative purposes, and the company does not use leveraged derivative financial instruments. The foreign currency exchange, interest rate, and commodity contracts are valued using broker quotations. As such, these derivative instruments are classified within Level 2.

6. Derivative Financial Instruments

The company's risk management objective is to ensure that business exposures to risks that have been identified and measured and are capable of being controlled, are minimized using the most effective and efficient methods to eliminate, reduce, or transfer such exposures. Operating decisions consider these associated risks and structure transactions to avoid these risks whenever possible.

Use of derivative instruments is consistent with the overall business and risk management objectives of the company. Derivative instruments may be used to manage business risk within limits specified by the company's risk policy and manage exposures that have been identified through the risk identification and measurement process, provided that they clearly qualify as "hedging" activities as defined in the risk policy. Use of derivative instruments is not automatic, nor is it necessarily the only response to managing pertinent business risk. Use is permitted only after the risks that have been identified are determined to exceed defined tolerance levels and are considered to be unavoidable.

The primary risks managed by the company by using derivative instruments are interest rate risk, commodity price risk and foreign currency exchange risk. Interest rate swap or cap instruments are entered into to help manage interest rate or fair value risk. Swap contracts on various commodities are entered into to help manage the price risk associated with forecasted purchases of materials used in the company's manufacturing process. The company also enters into various foreign currency derivative instruments to help manage foreign currency risk associated with the company's projected purchases and sales and foreign currency denominated receivable and payable balances.

ASC Topic 815-10 requires companies to recognize all derivative instruments as either assets or liabilities at fair value in the statement of financial position. In accordance with ASC Topic 815-10, the company designates commodity swaps, foreign currency exchange contracts, and interest rate derivative contracts as cash flow hedges of forecasted purchases of commodities and currencies, and fixed or variable rate interest payments. Also in accordance with ASC Topic 815-10, the company designates fixed-to-float interest rate swaps as fair market value hedges of fixed rate debt, which synthetically swaps the company's fixed rate debt to floating rate debt.

For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as cash flow hedges, the effective portion of the gain or loss on the derivative is reported as a component of Other Comprehensive Income and reclassified into earnings in the same period or periods during which the hedged transaction affects earnings. Gains and losses on the derivative instruments representing either hedge ineffectiveness or hedge components excluded from the assessment of effectiveness, are recognized in current



Consolidated

64

---



















Table of Contents

The company's Senior Credit Facility originally became effective November 6, 2008. On May 13, 2011, the company amended and extended the maturities of its senior credit facility and entered into a \$1,250.0 million Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (the "Senior Credit Facility").

The Senior Credit Facility includes three different loan facilities. The first is a revolving facility in the amount of \$500.0 million, with a term of five years. The second facility is an amortizing Term Loan A facility in the aggregate amount of \$350.0 million with a term of five years. The third facility is an amortizing Term Loan B facility in the amount of \$400.0 million with a term of 6.5 years. Including interest rate caps at December 31, 2012, the weighted average interest rates for the Term Loan A and the Term Loan B loans were 3.25% and 4.25%, respectively. Excluding interest rate caps, Term Loan A and Term Loan B interest rates were 3.25% and 4.25% respectively, at December 31, 2012. The weighted average interest rates for the term loans at December 31, 2012 including and excluding the impact of the interest rate caps were the same because the relevant one-month U.S. LIBOR rate was below the 3.00% cap level.

The revolving facility under the Senior Credit Facility has a maximum borrowing capacity of \$500.0 million and expires in May 2016. As of December 31, 2012, the company had \$34.4 million in borrowings on the revolving facility. During the year, the highest daily borrowing was \$299.9 million and the average borrowing was \$141.2 million, while the average interest rate was 3.50%. The interest rate fluctuates based upon LIBOR or a Prime rate plus a spread which is based upon the Consolidated Total Leverage Ratio of the company. As of December 31, 2012, the spreads for LIBOR and Prime borrowings were 2.75% and 1.75%, respectively given the effective Consolidated Total Leverage Ratio for this period.

The Senior Credit Facility contains financial covenants including (a) a Consolidated Interest Coverage Ratio, which measures the ratio of (i) consolidated earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, and other adjustments (EBITDA), as defined in the credit agreement to (ii) consolidated cash interest expense, each for the most recent four fiscal quarters, and (b) a Consolidated Senior Secured Leverage Ratio, which measure the ratio of (i) consolidated senior secured indebtedness to (ii) consolidated EBITDA for the most recent four fiscal quarters. The current covenant levels of the financial covenants under the Senior Credit Facility are as set forth below:

Fiscal Quarter Ending	Consolidated Senior Secured Leverage Ratio (less than)	Consolidated Interest Coverage Ratio (greater than)
December 31, 2012	3.50:1.00	2.00:1.00
March 31, 2013	3.50:1.00	2.25:1.00
June 30, 2013	3.25:1.00	2.25:1.00
September 30, 2013	3.25:1.00	2.50:1.00
December 31, 2013	3.25:1.00	2.50:1.00
March 31, 2014	3.25:1.00	2.75:1.00
June 30, 2014	3.25:1.00	2.75:1.00
September 30, 2014	3.25:1.00	2.75:1.00
December 31, 2014, and thereafter	3.00:1.00	3.00:1.00

The loss on debt extinguishment of \$6.3 million during the year ended December 31, 2012 consisted entirely of the write-off of deferred financing fees. The loss on debt extinguishment of \$29.7 million during the year ended December 31, 2011 consisted of \$16.1 million related to the write-off of deferred financing fees and \$13.6 million related to the unwinding of related interest rate swaps. The loss on debt extinguishment of \$44.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 consisted entirely of the write-off of deferred financing fees.

The Senior Credit Facility includes customary representations and warranties and events of default and customary covenants, including without limitation (i) a requirement that the company prepay the term loan facilities from the net proceeds of asset sales, casualty losses, equity offerings, and new indebtedness for borrowed money, and from a portion of its excess cash flow, subject to certain exceptions; and (ii) limitations on indebtedness, capital expenditures, restricted payments, and acquisitions.

The company has three series of Senior Notes outstanding, including the 2018, 2020, and 2022 Notes (collectively the “Senior Notes”). Each series of Senior Notes are unsecured senior obligations ranking subordinate to all existing senior secured indebtedness and equal to all existing senior unsecured obligations. Each series of Senior Notes is guaranteed by certain of the company’s wholly owned domestic subsidiaries, which subsidiaries also guaranty the company’s obligations under the Senior Credit Facility. Each series of Senior Notes contains affirmative and negative covenants which limit, among other things, the company’s ability to redeem or repurchase its debt, incur additional debt, make acquisitions, merge with other entities, pay

72

---



forth below:

73

---







Earnings from continuing operations are summarized below:

75

---





Table of Contents

certain deferred tax assets in the Czech Republic and Italy would not be utilized. As a result of Wisconsin legislation enacted in the second quarter of 2011, an income tax benefit of \$5.5 million was recorded in the second quarter to release the previously recorded valuation allowance on net operating losses in the state. The company continues to record valuation allowances on the deferred tax assets in China, the Czech Republic, France, Italy, Slovakia, Spain, and the UK, as it remains more-likely-than-not that they will not be utilized. The total valuation allowance adjustments of \$17.5 million in 2012 had an unfavorable impact to income tax expense.

No items included in Other items are individually, or when appropriately aggregated, significant.

On January 2, 2013, the American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012 was signed into law, and this legislation retroactively extended the Research & Experimentation ("R&E") tax credit for two years, from January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2013. This legislation also extended the benefits of Internal Revenue Code Section 954(c)(6), look-through rule for Related Controlled Foreign Corporations, retroactively from January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2013. The look-through rule generally excludes from U.S. federal income tax certain dividends, interest, rents, and royalties received or accrued by one controlled foreign corporation ("CFC") of a U.S. multinational enterprise from a related CFC that would otherwise be taxable pursuant to the Subpart F regime. The company expects its income tax expense for the first quarter of 2013 to include the benefits of the R&E tax credit attributable to 2012 and the retroactive extension of look-through resulting in a discrete tax benefit ranging between \$2.0 million and \$3.0 million.

The deferred income tax accounts reflect the impact of temporary differences between the basis of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and their related basis as measured by income tax regulations. A summary of the deferred income tax accounts at December 31 is as follows:

(in millions)	2012	2011
Current deferred tax assets (liabilities):		
Inventories	\$26.2	\$26.2
Accounts receivable	(1.2)	) 0.3
Product warranty reserves	20.5	23.9
Product liability reserves	8.7	8.4
Deferred revenue, current portion	2.9	2.0
Deferred employee benefits	13.2	33.3
Other reserves and allowances	21.7	28.0
Less valuation allowance	(7.0)	) (10.2)
Net deferred tax assets, current	\$85.0	\$111.9
Non-current deferred tax assets (liabilities):		
Property, plant and equipment	\$(33.1)	) \$(34.1)
Intangible assets	(312.1)	) (332.9)
Deferred employee benefits	71.0	42.0
Product warranty reserves	2.5	1.8
Tax credits	1.7	13.8
Net operating loss carryforwards	222.9	180.6
Deferred revenue	4.8	6.2
Other	(3.6)	) (5.5)
Total non-current deferred tax liabilities	(45.9)	) (128.1)
Less valuation allowance	(161.9)	) (115.0)
Net deferred tax liabilities, non-current	\$(207.8)	) \$(243.1)

The net deferred tax assets (liabilities) are reflected in the Consolidated Balance Sheets for the years ended December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 as follows:

Table of Contents

(in millions)	2012	2011	
Current income tax asset	\$ 89.0	\$ 116.7	
Long-term income tax assets, included in other non-current assets	15.2	15.1	
Current deferred income tax liability, included in accounts payable and accrued expenses	(4.0	) (4.8	)
Long-term deferred income tax liability	(223.0	) (258.2	)
Net deferred income tax liability	\$(122.8	) \$(131.2	)

The company has not provided for additional U.S. income taxes on approximately \$649.9 million of undistributed earnings of consolidated non-U.S. subsidiaries included in stockholders' equity. Such earnings could become taxable upon the sale or liquidation of these non-U.S. subsidiaries or upon dividend repatriation. The company's intent is for such earnings to be reinvested by the subsidiaries or to be repatriated only when it would be tax effective through the utilization of foreign tax credits or when earnings qualify as previously taxed income. It is not practicable to estimate the amount of unrecognized withholding taxes and deferred tax liability on such earnings.

As of December 31, 2012, the company has approximately \$4.0 million of federal net operating loss carryforwards, which expire in 2020. Additionally, the company has approximately \$508.0 million of state net operating loss carryforwards, which are available to reduce future state tax liabilities. These state net operating loss carryforwards expire at various times through 2031. The company also has approximately \$715.7 million of foreign loss carryforwards, which are available to reduce future foreign tax liabilities. These foreign loss carryforwards generally have no expiration under current foreign law with the exceptions of China, the Czech Republic, Italy, Slovakia, and Spain, where attributes expire at various times. The valuation allowance represents a reserve for certain loss carryforwards and other net deferred tax assets for which realization is not more-likely-than-not.

The company has recognized a deferred tax asset of \$17.4 million for net operating loss carryforwards generated in the state of Wisconsin. These carryforwards expire at various times through 2031. The company determined that no valuation allowance is necessary on the Wisconsin deferred tax asset for net operating losses.

The company or one of its subsidiaries files income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction, and various state and foreign jurisdictions. The following table provides the open tax years for which the company could be subject to income tax examination by the tax authorities in its major jurisdictions:

Jurisdiction	Open Years
U.S. Federal	2008 — 2012
Wisconsin	2006 — 2012
China	2003 — 2012
France	2010 — 2012
Germany	2001 — 2012

The company is under examination by the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") for the calendar years 2008 and 2009. In August 2012, the company received a Notice of Proposed Assessment ("NOPA") related to the disallowance of the deductibility of a \$380.9 million foreign currency loss incurred in calendar year 2008. In September 2012, the company responded to the NOPA indicating its formal disagreement and subsequently received an Examination Report which includes the proposed disallowance. The largest potential adjustment for this matter could, if the IRS were to prevail, increase the company's potential federal tax expense and cash outflow by approximately \$134.0 million plus interest and penalties, if any. The company filed a formal protest to the proposed adjustment during the fourth quarter of 2012. The company plans to pursue all administrative and, if necessary, judicial remedies with respect to resolving this matter. However, there can be no assurance that this matter will be resolved in the company's favor. The IRS also examined and proposed adjustments to the research and development credit generated in 2009; the company also formally disagreed with these adjustments.

The company regularly assesses the likelihood of an adverse outcome resulting from examinations to determine the adequacy of its tax reserves. As of December 31, 2012, the company believes that it is more-likely-than-not that the tax positions it has taken will be sustained upon the resolution of its audits resulting in no material impact on its consolidated financial position and the results of operations and cash flows. However, the final determination with

respect to any tax audits, and any related litigation, could be materially different from the company's estimates and/or from its historical income tax provisions and accruals and could have a material effect on operating results and/or cash flows in the periods for which that determination is







The 2004 Non-Employee Director Stock and Awards Plan (2004 Director Stock Plan) was approved by the shareholders of the company during the 2004 annual meeting and it replaced the 1999 Stock Plan. Stock-based awards may take the form of stock options, restricted stock, or restricted stock units. The total number of shares of the company's common stock originally available for awards under the 2004 Stock Plan was 0.9 million (adjusted for all stock splits since the plan's inception and is

80

---

Table of Contents

subject to further adjustments for stock splits, stock dividends and certain other transactions or events in the future). Stock options awarded under the plan are granted at an exercise price equal to the market price of the common stock at the date of grant and vest immediately and expire ten years subsequent to the grant date. Restrictions on restricted stock awarded to date under the plan lapse on the third anniversary of the award date.

The company recognizes expense for all stock-based compensation on a straight-line basis over the vesting period of the entire award.

Total stock-based compensation expense before tax was \$16.4 million, \$15.0 million and \$9.2 million during 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively.

**Stock Options**

Any option grants to directors are exercisable immediately upon granting and expire ten years subsequent to the grant date. For all outstanding grants made to officers and employees prior to 2011, options become exercisable in 25% increments annually over a four-year period beginning on the second anniversary of the grant date and expire ten years subsequent to the grant date. Starting with 2011 grants to officers and directors, options become exercisable in 25% increments annually over a four-year period beginning on the first anniversary of the grant date and expire ten years subsequent to the grant date.

The company granted options to acquire 0.7 million, 1.0 million and 1.4 million shares of common stock during 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively. Stock-based compensation expense is calculated by estimating the fair value of incentive and non-qualified stock options at the time of grant and is amortized over the stock options' vesting period. The company recognized \$6.7 million, \$6.9 million and \$6.6 million of compensation expense associated with stock options, which amounted to \$4.2 million, \$4.3 million and \$4.1 million after taxes during 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively.

A summary of the company's stock option activity is as follows (in millions, except weighted average exercise price per share):

	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Options outstanding as of January 1, 2011	7.1	\$13.29	
Granted	1.0	19.78	
Exercised	(0.2)	) 6.94	
Cancelled	(0.4)	) 10.75	
Options outstanding as of December 31, 2011	7.5	\$14.44	
Granted	0.7	16.27	
Exercised	(0.7)	) 6.53	
Cancelled	(0.1)	) 20.53	
Options outstanding as of December 31, 2012	7.4	\$15.27	\$28.7
Options exercisable as of:			
December 31, 2012	4.1	\$17.03	\$15.8

The outstanding stock options at December 31, 2012 have a range of exercise prices from \$4.23 to \$47.84 per share. The following table shows the options outstanding and exercisable by range of exercise prices at December 31, 2012 (in millions, except range of exercise price per share, weighted average remaining contractual life and weighted average exercise price):

Table of Contents

	Outstanding	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Exercisable Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Range of Exercise Price per Share	Options		Exercise Price		
\$4.23 - \$7.49	1.7	6.0	\$4.42	0.8	\$4.42
\$7.50 - \$8.47	0.2	1.2	7.64	0.2	7.64
\$8.48 - \$10.20	0.6	2.3	10.14	0.6	10.14
10.21 - \$16.28	2.2	7.2	12.73	0.6	11.01
\$16.29 - \$23.17	1.3	6.8	19.52	0.6	19.23
\$23.18 - \$27.03	0.5	3.3	26.11	0.5	26.11
\$27.04 - \$29.52	0.5	4.2	29.51	0.5	29.51
\$29.53 - \$47.84	0.4	5.0	38.91	0.3	38.86
	7.4	5.7	\$15.27	4.1	\$17.03

The company uses the Black-Scholes valuation model to value stock options. The company used its historical stock prices as the basis for its volatility assumption. The assumed risk-free rates were based on ten-year U.S. Treasury rates in effect at the time of grant. The expected option life represents the period of time that the options granted are expected to be outstanding and is based on historical experience.

As of December 31, 2012, the company has \$9.7 million of unrecognized compensation expense before tax related to stock options, which will be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.4 years.

The weighted average fair value of options granted per share during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010 was \$7.97, \$9.66, and \$5.19 respectively. The fair value of each option grant was estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing method with the following assumptions:

	2012	2011	2010	
Expected Life (years)	6.0	6.0	6.0	
Risk-free Interest rate	1.1	% 2.8	% 2.9	%
Expected volatility	55.0	% 52.0	% 50.0	%
Expected dividend yield	0.6	% 0.7	% 1.1	%

For the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010 the total intrinsic value of stock options exercised was \$4.9 million, \$2.8 million, and \$0.6 million, respectively.

#### Restricted Share Awards

The company granted restricted stock of 0.2 million, 0.3 million and 0.5 million of common stock during 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively. Restricted share award expense is based on company share fair value as of the grant date. The company recognized \$4.5 million (\$2.8 million after taxes), \$4.0 million (\$2.5 million after taxes), and \$2.6 million (\$1.6 million after taxes) of compensation expense associated with restricted stock options for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively. The restrictions on all shares of restricted stock expire on the third anniversary of the applicable grant date.

A summary of activity for restricted share awards for the year ended December 31, 2012 is as follows (in millions except weighted average grant date fair value):

	Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Unvested as of January 1, 2012	0.9	\$ 12.65
Granted	0.2	16.22
Vested	(0.2)	) 6.30
Cancelled	—	—
Unvested as of December 31, 2012	0.9	\$ 14.86



Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2012, the company has \$3.7 million of unrecognized compensation expense before tax related to restricted stock, which will be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.6 years.

Performance Shares

The company granted performance shares of 0.3 million and 0.4 million in 2012 and 2011, respectively. Performance shares are earned based on the extent to which performance goals are met over the applicable performance period.

The performance goals and the applicable performance period vary for each grant year. The company recognized \$5.2 million (\$3.3 million after taxes) and \$4.1 million (\$2.6 million after taxes) of compensation expense associated with performance shares during 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The performance shares granted in 2012 are earned based on the extent which performance goals are met by the company over a three-year period from January 1, 2012 to December 31, 2014. The performance goals for the performance shares granted in 2012 are based fifty percent (50%) on total shareholder return relative to a peer group of companies over the three-year period and fifty percent (50%) on improvement in the company's total leverage ratio over the three-year period. Depending on the foregoing factors, the number of shares awarded could range from zero to 0.7 million for the 2012 performance share grants. For these awards the expense is based on company share fair value as of the grant date on the total leverage ratio criteria and a Monte Carlo model for the total shareholder return criteria.

The performance shares granted in 2011 are earned based on the extent to which performance goals are met by the company over a two-year period from January 1, 2011 to December 31, 2012. The performance goals for the performance shares granted in 2011 are based fifty percent (50%) on EVA<sup>®</sup> performance over the two-year period and fifty percent (50%) on debt reduction over the two-year period. Seventy-five percent (75%) of the shares earned by an employee will be paid out after the end of the two-year performance period and the remaining twenty-five percent (25%) of the shares earned are subject to the further requirement that the employee be continuously employed by the company during the entire 2013 calendar year. If that criteria is met, then the twenty-five percent (25%) will be paid out to the employee after the end of the 2013 calendar year. Depending on the foregoing factors, the number of shares awarded could range from zero to 0.9 million. These shares vest 75% upon performance results being certified by the company's Compensation Committee after December 31, 2012, and 25% on the third anniversary of the grant date subject to the achievement of the performance criteria and service requirements. For these awards the expense is based on company share fair value as of the grant date.

A summary of activity for performance share activity for the year ended December 31, 2012 is as follows (in millions except weighted average grant date fair value):

	Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Unvested as of January 1, 2012	0.4	\$ 19.00
Granted	0.3	17.62
Vested	—	—
Cancelled	—	—
Unvested as of December 31, 2012	0.7	\$ 18.41

As of December 31, 2012, the company has \$7.6 million of unrecognized compensation expense before tax related to performance shares which will be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.9 years.

17. Contingencies and Significant Estimates

As of December 31, 2012, the company held reserves for environmental matters related to Enodis locations of approximately \$0.5 million. At certain of the company's other facilities, the company has identified potential contaminants in soil and groundwater. The ultimate cost of any remediation required will depend upon the results of future investigation. Based upon available information, the company does not expect the ultimate costs at any of these locations will have a material adverse effect on its financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows individually and in aggregate.

The company believes that it has obtained and is in substantial compliance with those material environmental permits and approvals necessary to conduct its various businesses. Based on the facts presently known, the company does not

expect environmental compliance costs to have a material adverse effect on its financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

83

---

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2012, various product-related lawsuits were pending. To the extent permitted under applicable law, all of these are insured with self-insurance retention levels. The company's self-insurance retention levels vary by business, and have fluctuated over the last ten years. The range of the company's self-insured retention levels is \$0.1 million to \$3.0 million per occurrence. The high-end of the company's self-insurance retention level is a legacy product liability insurance program inherited in the Grove acquisition for cranes manufactured in the United States for occurrences from January 2000 through October 2002. As of December 31, 2012, the largest self-insured retention level for new occurrences currently maintained by the company is \$2.0 million per occurrence and applies to product liability claims for cranes manufactured in the United States.

Product liability reserves in the Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 were \$27.9 million and \$26.8 million, respectively; \$6.3 million and \$6.0 million, respectively, was reserved specifically for actual cases and \$21.6 million and \$20.8 million, respectively, for claims incurred but not reported which were estimated using actuarial methods. Based on the company's experience in defending product liability claims, management believes the current reserves are adequate for estimated case resolutions on aggregate self-insured claims and insured claims. Any recoveries from insurance carriers are dependent upon the legal sufficiency of claims and solvency of insurance carriers.

At December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the company had reserved \$101.4 million and \$103.7 million, respectively, for warranty claims included in product warranties and other non-current liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Certain of these warranty and other related claims involve matters in dispute that ultimately are resolved by negotiations, arbitration, or litigation.

It is reasonably possible that the estimates for environmental remediation, product liability and warranty costs may change in the near future based upon new information that may arise or matters that are beyond the scope of the company's historical experience. Presently, there are no reliable methods to estimate the amount of any such potential changes.

The company is involved in numerous lawsuits involving asbestos-related claims in which the company is one of numerous defendants. After taking into consideration legal counsel's evaluation of such actions, the current political environment with respect to asbestos related claims, and the liabilities accrued with respect to such matters, in the opinion of management, ultimate resolution is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows of the company.

The company is also involved in various legal actions arising out of the normal course of business, which, taking into account the liabilities accrued and legal counsel's evaluation of such actions, in the opinion of management, the ultimate resolution of all matters is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the company's financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

#### 18. Guarantees

The company periodically enters into transactions with customers that provide for residual value guarantees and buyback commitments. These initial transactions are recorded as deferred revenue and are amortized to income on a straight-line basis over a period equal to that of the customer's third party financing agreement. The deferred revenue included in other current and non-current liabilities at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, was \$67.2 million and \$61.2 million, respectively. The total amount of residual value guarantees and buyback commitments given by the company and outstanding at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, was \$80.5 million and \$89.5 million, respectively. These amounts are not reduced for amounts the company would recover from repossessing and subsequent resale of the units. The residual value guarantees and buyback commitments expire at various times through 2017.

During the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, the company sold \$14.3 million and \$11.9 million, respectively, of its long-term notes receivable to third party financing companies. The company guarantees some percentage, up to 100%, of collection of the notes to the financing companies. The company has accounted for the sales of the notes as a financing of receivables. The receivables remain on the company's Consolidated Balance Sheets, net of payments made, in other current and non-current assets and the company has recognized an obligation equal to the net outstanding balance of the notes in other current and non-current liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The cash flow benefit of these transactions is reflected as financing activities in the Consolidated Statements of Cash

Flows. During the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 customers have paid \$14.3 million and \$2.7 million, respectively, of the notes to the third party financing companies. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the outstanding balance of the notes receivables guaranteed by the company was \$14.4 million and \$14.1 million, respectively.

In the normal course of business, the company provides its customers a warranty covering workmanship, and in some cases materials, on products manufactured by the company. Such warranty generally provides that products will be free from defects for periods ranging from 12 months to 60 months with certain equipment having longer-term warranties. If a product fails to

Table of Contents

comply with the company’s warranty, the company may be obligated, at its expense, to correct any defect by repairing or replacing such defective products. The company provides for an estimate of costs that may be incurred under its warranty at the time product revenue is recognized. These costs primarily include labor and materials, as necessary, associated with repair or replacement. The primary factors that affect the company’s warranty liability include the number of units shipped and historical and anticipated warranty claims. As these factors are impacted by actual experience and future expectations, the company assesses the adequacy of its recorded warranty liability and adjusts the amounts as necessary. Below is a table summarizing the warranty activity for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011:

(in millions)	2012	2011
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 103.7	\$ 99.2
Accruals for warranties issued during the period	57.1	66.8
Settlements made (in cash or in kind) during the period	(59.9	) (62.3
Currency translation	0.5	—
Balance at end of period	\$ 101.4	\$ 103.7

19. Restructuring

During the fourth quarter of 2012, the company committed to a restructuring plan to reduce the cost structure of primarily its French crane facilities and recorded restructuring expense of \$6.9 million to establish a reserve for future involuntary employee terminations and related costs. The restructuring plan better aligns the company's resources due to the economic conditions in Europe.

In the fourth quarter of 2008, the company committed to a restructuring plan to reduce the cost structure of its French and Portuguese crane facilities and recorded a restructuring expense of \$21.7 million to establish a reserve for future involuntary employee terminations and related costs. The restructuring plan was primarily to better align the company’s resources due to the accelerated decline in demand in Western and Southern Europe where market conditions have negatively impacted the company’s tower crane product sales. As a result of the continued worldwide decline in crane sales during the year ended December 31, 2009, the company recorded an additional \$29.0 million in restructuring charges to further reduce the Crane segment cost structure in all regions. The restructuring plans will reduce the Crane segment workforce by approximately 40% of 2008 year-end levels. Due to continued weakness in the Crane segment during 2010, additional reserves of \$6.2 million were recorded primarily related to our French operations. These charges were partially offset by \$3.7 million of reductions to the reserve based on updated estimates as production outlooks improved in other locations in Europe. As of December 31, 2012 benefit payments made with respect to the workforce reductions pursuant to these plans had been substantially completed.

The following is a rollforward of all restructuring activities relating to the Crane segment for the twelve-month period ended December 31, 2012 (in millions):

Restructuring Reserve Balance as of December 31, 2011	Restructuring Charges	Use of Reserve	Reserve Revisions	Restructuring Reserve Balance as of December 31, 2012
\$4.3	\$7.2	\$(3.1	) \$—	\$8.4

In conjunction with the acquisition of Enodis in October 2008, certain restructuring activities were undertaken to recognize cost synergies and rationalize the new cost structure of the Foodservice segment. The company recorded additional amounts in 2009 of \$7.8 million, \$5.5 million, and \$14.2 million related to employee termination benefits, facility closure costs, and other, respectively, in conjunction with the finalization of the restructuring plans. These plans are expected to conclude in 2013.

During the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, the company determined that certain restructuring actions originally contemplated in conjunction with the acquisition of Enodis in October 2008 were no longer necessary. Accordingly, the company adjusted the excess reserves of \$0.6 million and \$3.0 million to goodwill for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The following is a rollforward of all restructuring activities relating to the Foodservice segment for the twelve-month period ended December 31, 2012 (in millions):





retirement in a tax-efficient manner despite Tax Code restrictions that would otherwise impair their ability to do so under the Manitowoc 401(k) Retirement Plan. The Manitowoc Deferred Compensation Plan also assists the company in retaining those key employees and directors.

The Manitowoc Deferred Compensation Plan accounts are credited with: (1) elective deferrals made at the request of the individual participant; and/or (2) a discretionary company contribution for each individual participant. Although unfunded

Table of Contents

within the meaning of the Tax Code, the Manitowoc Deferred Compensation Plan utilizes a rabbi trust to hold assets intended to satisfy the company's corresponding future benefit obligations. Each participant in the Manitowoc Deferred Compensation Plan is credited with interest based upon individual elections from amongst a diverse mix of investment funds that are intended to reflect investment funds similar to those offered under the Manitowoc 401(k) Retirement Plan, including company stock. Participants do not receive preferential or above-market rates of return under the Manitowoc Deferred Compensation Plan.

Plan participants are able to direct deferrals and company matching contributions into two separate investment programs, Program A and Program B.

The investment assets in Program A and B are held in two separate Deferred Compensation Plans, which restrict the company's use and access to the funds but which are also subject to the claims of the company's general creditors in rabbi trusts. Program A invests solely in the company's stock; dividends paid on the company's stock are automatically reinvested; and all distributions must be made in company stock. Program B offers a variety of investment options but does not include company stock as an investment option. All distributions from Program B must be made in cash. Participants cannot transfer assets between programs.

Program A is accounted for as a plan which does not permit diversification. As a result, the company stock held by Program A is classified in equity in a manner similar to accounting for treasury stock. The deferred compensation obligation is classified as an equity instrument. Changes in the fair value of the company's stock and the compensation obligation are not recognized. The asset and obligation for Program A were both \$2.2 million at December 31, 2012 and \$2.2 million at December 31, 2011. These amounts are offset in the Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income.

Program B is accounted for as a plan which permits diversification. As a result, the assets held by Program B are classified as an asset in the Consolidated Balance Sheets and changes in the fair value of the assets are recognized in earnings. The deferred compensation obligation is classified as a liability in the Consolidated Balance Sheets and adjusted, with a charge or credit to compensation cost, to reflect changes in the fair value of the obligation. The assets, included in other non-current assets, and obligation, included in other non-current liabilities, were both \$13.0 million at December 31, 2012 and \$12.0 million at December 31, 2011. There was no net impact on the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

**Pension, Postretirement Health and Other Benefit Plans** The company provides certain pension, health care and death benefits for eligible retirees and their dependents. The pension benefits are funded, while the health care and death benefits are not funded but are paid as incurred. Eligibility for coverage is based on meeting certain years of service and retirement qualifications. These benefits may be subject to deductibles, co-payment provisions, and other limitations. The company has reserved the right to modify these benefits.

The components of period benefit costs for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 are as follows:

Table of Contents

(in millions)	US Pension Plans			Non-US Pension Plans			Postretirement Health and Other			
	2012	2011	2010	2012	2011	2010	2012	2011	2010	
Service cost - benefits earned during the year	\$—	\$—	\$0.6	\$2.2	\$1.8	\$1.9	\$0.8	\$0.8	\$0.8	
Interest cost of projected benefit obligation	10.2	10.4	10.3	10.2	11.0	11.2	2.8	3.4	3.6	
Expected return on assets	(10.2 )	(9.5 )	(9.3 )	(8.2 )	(9.3 )	(9.5 )	—	—	—	
Amortization of prior service cost	—	—	—	0.1	0.1	—	—	—	—	
Amortization of actuarial net gain loss	2.9	1.6	0.2	0.8	0.4	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.3	
Curtailment gain recognized	—	—	—	—	—	(0.2 )	—	—	—	
Settlement gain recognized	—	—	—	(1.6 )	—	0.2	—	—	—	
Special termination benefit	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Net periodic benefit cost	\$2.9	\$2.5	\$1.8	\$3.5	\$4.0	\$3.8	\$4.0	\$4.5	\$4.7	
Weighted average assumptions:										
Discount rate	4.6	% 5.4	% 6.0	% 4.7	% 5.3	% 5.6	% 4.6	% 5.4	% 6.0	%
Expected return on plan assets	6.0	% 6.0	% 6.1	% 4.5	% 5.4	% 5.5	% N/A	N/A	N/A	
Rate of compensation increase	N/A	N/A	N/A	3.7	% 4.2	% 4.4	% 3.0	% 3.0	% 3.0	%

The prior service costs are amortized on a straight-line basis over the average remaining service period of active participants. Gains and losses in excess of 10% of the greater of the benefit obligation and the market-related value of assets are amortized over the average remaining service period of active participants.

To develop the expected long-term rate of return on assets assumptions, the company considered the historical returns and future expectations for returns in each asset class, as well as targeted asset allocation percentages within the pension portfolio.

The following is a reconciliation of the changes in benefit obligation, the changes in plan assets, and the funded status as of December 31, 2012 and 2011:



Table of Contents

(in millions)	Pensions		Postretirement Health and Other	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Net actuarial gain (loss)	\$(111.3)	\$(85.3)	\$(2.6)	\$(10.4)
Prior service credit	(1.0)	(1.1)	0.2	—
Total amount recognized	\$(112.3)	\$(86.4)	\$(2.4)	\$(10.4)

The amounts in accumulated other comprehensive income that are expected to be recognized as components of net periodic benefit cost during the next fiscal year are \$5.5 million for the pension plan and none for the postretirement health and other plans.

For measurement purposes, a 7.8% annual rate of increase in the per capita cost of covered health care benefits was assumed for 2012. The rate was assumed to decrease gradually to 5.0% for 2019 and remain at that level thereafter. Assumed health care cost trend rates have a significant effect on the amounts reported for the health care plans. The following table summarizes the sensitivity of our December 31, 2012 retirement obligations and 2013 retirement benefit costs of our plans to changes in the key assumptions used to determine those results (in millions):

Change in assumption:	Estimated increase (decrease) in 2013 pension cost	Estimated increase (decrease) in Projected Benefit Obligation for the year ended December 31, 2012	Estimated increase (decrease) in 2013 Other Postretirement Benefit costs	Estimated increase (decrease) in Other Postretirement Benefit Obligation for the year ended December 31, 2012
0.50% increase in discount rate	\$ (1.5)	\$ (31.1)	\$ 0.1	\$ (2.4)
0.50% decrease in discount rate	1.6	34.0	(0.1)	2.6
0.50% increase in long-term return on assets	(1.9)	N/A	N/A	N/A
0.50% decrease in long-term return on assets	1.9	N/A	N/A	N/A
1% increase in medical trend rates	N/A	N/A	0.3	4.7
1% decrease in medical trend rates	N/A	N/A	(0.2)	(4.2)

It is reasonably possible that the estimate for future retirement and health costs may change in the near future due to changes in the health care environment or changes in interest rates that may arise. Presently, there is no reliable means to estimate the amount of any such potential changes.

The weighted-average asset allocations of the U.S. pension plans at December 31, 2012 and 2011, by asset category are as follows:

	2012	2011	
Equity	19.7	18.7	%
Fixed income	79.8	80.8	%
Other	0.5	0.5	%
	100.0	100.0	%

The weighted-average asset allocations of the Non U.S. pension plans at December 31, 2012 and 2011, by asset category are as follows:

Table of Contents

	2012	2011	
Equity	17.9	% 17.2	%
Fixed income	25.8	% 25.7	%
Other	56.3	% 57.1	%
	100.0	% 100.0	%

The Board of Directors has established the Retirement Plan Committee (the "Committee") to manage the operations and administration of all benefit plans and related trusts. The Committee is committed to diversification to reduce the risk of large losses. On a quarterly basis, the Committee reviews progress toward achieving the pension plans' and individual managers' performance objectives.

**Investment Strategy** The overall objective of our pension assets is to earn a rate of return over time to satisfy the benefit obligations of the pension plans and to maintain sufficient liquidity to pay benefits and address other cash requirements of the pension fund. Specific investment objectives for our long-term investment strategy include reducing the volatility of pension assets relative to pension liabilities, achieving a competitive, total investment return, achieving diversification between and within asset classes and managing other risks. Investment objectives for each asset class are determined based on specific risks and investment opportunities identified.

We review our long-term, strategic asset allocations annually. We use various analytics to determine the optimal asset mix and consider plan liability characteristics, liquidity characteristics, funding requirements, expected rates of return and the distribution of returns. We identify investment benchmarks for the asset classes in the strategic asset allocation that are market-based and investable where possible.

Actual allocations to each asset class vary from target allocations due to periodic investment strategy changes, market value fluctuations, the length of time it takes to fully implement investment allocation positions and the timing of benefit payments and contributions. The asset allocation is monitored and rebalanced on a monthly basis.

The actual allocations for the pension assets at December 31, 2012, and target allocations by asset class, are as follows:

	Target Allocations		Weighted Average Asset Allocations		
	U.S. Plans	International Plans	U.S. Plans	International Plans	
Equity Securities	20	% 0 - 20%	20	% 18	%
Debt Securities	80	% 0 - 100%	80	% 26	%
Other	—	% 0 - 100%	1	% 56	%

**Risk Management** In managing the plan assets, we review and manage risk associated with funded status risk, interest rate risk, market risk, counterparty risk, liquidity risk and operational risk. Liability management and asset class diversification are central to our risk management approach and are integral to the overall investment strategy.

Further, asset classes are constructed to achieve diversification by investment strategy, by investment manager, by industry or sector and by holding. Investment manager guidelines for publicly traded assets are specified and are monitored regularly.

**Fair Value Measurements** The following table presents our plan assets using the fair value hierarchy as of December 31, 2012 and 2011. The fair value hierarchy has three levels based on the reliability of the inputs used to determine fair value. Level 1 refers to fair values determined based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets. Level 2 refers to fair values estimated using significant other observable inputs, and Level 3 includes fair values estimated using significant non-observable inputs.



A reconciliation of the fair values measurements of plan assets using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) from the beginning of the year to the end of the year is as follows:

92

---



## Table of Contents

If Manitowoc ceases to have an obligation to contribute to the multiemployer plan in which it had been a contributing employer, it may be required to pay to the plan an amount based on the underfunded status of the plan and on the history of Manitowoc's participation in the plan prior to the cessation of its obligation to contribute. The amount that an employer that has ceased to have an obligation to contribute to a multiemployer plan is required to pay to the plan is referred to as a withdrawal liability.

Manitowoc's participation in a multiemployer plan for the annual period ended December 31, 2012 is outlined in the table below. The company does not own more than five percent of the plan as of December 31, 2012. The "EIN / Pension Plan Number" column provides the Employee Identification Number and the three-digit plan number assigned to the plan by the Internal Revenue Service.

The most recent Pension Protection Act Zone Status available for 2012 and 2011 is for the plan years that ended in 2012 and 2011, respectively. The zone status is based on information provided to Manitowoc and other participating employers by the plan and is certified by the plan's actuary. A plan in the "red" zone has been determined to be in "critical status", based on criteria established under the Tax Code and is generally less than 65% funded. A plan in the "yellow" zone has been determined to be in "endangered status", based on criteria established under the Tax Code, and is generally less than 80% funded. A plan in the "green" zone has been determined to be in neither "critical status" nor "endangered status", and is generally at least 80% funded.

The "FIP/RP Status Pending/Implemented" column indicates whether a Funding Improvement Plan, as required under the Code to be adopted by plans in the "yellow" zone, or a Rehabilitation Plan, as required under the Code to be adopted by plans in the "red" zone, is pending or has been implemented as of the end of the plan year that ended in 2012.

The "Surcharge Imposed" column indicates whether Manitowoc's contribution rate for 2012 included an amount in addition the contribution rate specified in the applicable collective bargaining agreement, as imposed by a plan in "critical status", in accordance with the requirements of the Code.

The last column lists the expiration dates of the collective bargaining agreements Manitowoc contributes to the plans (dollars in millions).

Pension Fund	EIN / Pension Plan Number	Pension Protection Act Zone Status		FIP/Act RP Status Pending / Implemented	Contributions by Manitowoc				Expiration Dates of Collective Bargaining Agreements
		2012	2011		2012	2011	2010	Surcharge Imposed	
Sheet Metal Workers' National Pension Fund	52-6112463 / 001	Red	Red	Implemented	\$0.9	\$0.8	\$0.8	No	5/1/2013
		Total Contributions			\$0.9	\$0.8	\$0.8		

Estimated contributions to the multiemployer plan in 2013 are \$0.9 million.

## 21. Leases

The company leases various property, plant and equipment. Terms of the leases vary, but generally require the company to pay property taxes, insurance premiums, and maintenance costs associated with the leased property. Rental expense attributed to operating leases was \$38.1 million, \$43.1 million and \$39.9 million in 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Future minimum rental obligations under non-cancelable operating leases, as of December 31, 2012, are payable as follows:

Table of Contents

(in millions)

2013	\$50.8
2014	40.5
2015	32.3
2016	27.6
2017	21.4
Thereafter	34.7
Total	\$207.3

## 22. Business Segments

The company identifies its segments using the “management approach,” which designates the internal organization that is used by management for making operating decisions and assessing performance as the source of the company’s reportable segments. The company has not aggregated individual operating segments within these reportable segments.

The Crane business is a global provider of engineered lift solutions which designs, manufactures and markets a comprehensive line of lattice-boom crawler cranes, mobile telescopic cranes, tower cranes and boom trucks. The Crane products are used in a wide variety of applications, including energy, petrochemical and industrial projects, infrastructure development such as road, bridge and airport construction, commercial and high-rise residential construction, mining and dredging. Our crane-related product support services are principally marketed under the Crane Care brand name and include maintenance and repair services and parts supply.

Our Foodservice equipment business designs, manufactures and sells primary cooking and warming equipment; ice-cube machines, ice flaker machines and storage bins; refrigerator and freezer equipment; beverage dispensers and related products; serving and storage equipment; and food-preparation equipment. Our suite of products is used by commercial and institutional foodservice operators such as full service restaurants, QSR chains, hotels, industrial caterers, supermarkets, convenience stores, hospitals, schools and other institutions.

The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies except that certain expenses are not allocated to the segments. These unallocated expenses are corporate overhead, amortization expense of intangible assets with definite lives, goodwill impairment, intangible asset impairment, restructuring expense, integration expense and other expense. The company evaluates segment performance based upon profit and loss before the aforementioned expenses. Financial information relating to the company’s reportable segments for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 is as follows:



Table of Contents

23. Subsidiary Guarantors of Senior Notes due 2018, Senior Notes due 2020 and Senior Notes due 2022

The following tables present condensed consolidating financial information for (a) The Manitowoc Company, Inc. (Parent); (b) the guarantors of the Senior Notes due 2018, the Senior Notes due 2020, and the Senior Notes due 2022 which include substantially all of the domestic, 100% owned subsidiaries of the company (Subsidiary Guarantors); and (c) the wholly and partially owned foreign subsidiaries of the Parent, which do not guarantee the Senior Notes due 2018, the Senior Notes due 2020, and the Senior Notes due 2022 (Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries). Separate financial statements of the Subsidiary Guarantors are not presented because the guarantors are fully and unconditionally, jointly and severally liable under the guarantees, except for normal and customary release provisions.





Table of Contents

The Manitowoc Company, Inc.  
 Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations  
 For the Year Ended December 31, 2010  
 (In millions)

	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Net sales	\$—	\$1,803.7	\$1,701.4	\$(393.6)	) \$3,111.5
Costs and expenses:					
Cost of sales	—	1,347.5	1,398.2	(393.6)	) 2,352.1
Engineering, selling and administrative expenses	39.8	216.0	253.1	—	) 508.9
Amortization expense	—	29.7	7.7	—	) 37.4
Restructuring expense	—	0.2	3.6	—	) 3.8
Other expense	—	1.9	0.4	—	) 2.3
Equity in (earnings) loss of subsidiaries	(28.1	) (28.7	) —	56.8	) —
Total costs and expenses	11.7	1,566.6	1,663.0	(336.8)	) 2,904.5
Operating earnings (loss) from continuing operations	(11.7	) 237.1	38.4	(56.8)	) 207.0
Other income (expense):					
Interest expense	(166.3	) (2.1	) (6.6	) —	) (175.0
Amortization of deferred financing fees	(22.0	) —	—	—	) (22.0
Loss on debt extinguishment	(44.0	) —	—	—	) (44.0
Management fee income (expense)	37.3	(48.4	) 11.1	—	) —
Other income (expense)-net	68.2	(67.2	) (10.0	) —	) (9.0
Total other income (expense)	(126.8	) (117.7	) (5.5	) —	) (250.0
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations before taxes on earnings	(138.5	) 119.4	32.9	(56.8)	) (43.0
Provision (benefit) for taxes on earnings	(63.9	) 34.8	55.3	—	) 26.2
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations	(74.6	) 84.6	(22.4	) (56.8	) (69.2
Discontinued operations:					
Earnings (loss) from discontinued operations, net of income taxes	—	(0.8	) (7.3	) —	) (8.1
Gain (loss) on sale of discontinued operations, net of income taxes	—	—	—	—	) —
Net earnings (loss)	(74.6	) 83.8	(29.7	) (56.8	) (77.3
Less: Net gain (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interest	—	—	(2.7	) —	) (2.7
Net earnings (loss) attributable to Manitowoc	\$(74.6	) \$83.8	\$(27.0	) \$(56.8	) \$(74.6
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to Manitowoc	\$(126.5	) \$83.6	\$0.6	\$(84.2	) \$(126.5



Edgar Filing: MANITOWOC CO INC - Form 10-K

Long-term liabilities of discontinued operation	—	—	8.6	—	8.6
Total non-current liabilities	5,327.0	864.3	1,206.3	(5,067.5 )	2,330.1
Equity					
Manitowoc stockholders' equity	600.3	5,418.7	2,111.9	(7,530.6 )	600.3
Noncontrolling interest	—	—	(19.0 )	—	(19.0 )
Total equity	600.3	5,418.7	2,092.9	(7,530.6 )	581.3
Total liabilities and equity	\$6,069.3	\$6,770.1	\$3,833.3	\$(12,615.4 )	\$4,057.3

101

---



Edgar Filing: MANITOWOC CO INC - Form 10-K

Long-term liabilities of discontinued operation	—	—	8.7	—	8.7
Total non-current liabilities	5,273.8	1,441.1	1,158.7	(5,435.6 )	2,438.0
Equity					
Manitowoc stockholders' equity	491.1	5,074.7	2,387.2	(7,462.0 )	491.0
Noncontrolling interest	—	—	(9.9 )	—	(9.9 )
Total equity	491.1	5,074.7	2,377.3	(7,462.0 )	481.1
Total liabilities and equity	\$5,873.7	\$7,092.1	\$4,046.6	\$(12,989.8 )	\$4,022.6

102

---

Table of Contents

The Manitowoc Company, Inc.  
 Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows  
 For the year ended December 31, 2012  
 (In millions)

	Parent	Subsidiary Guarantors	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Net cash provided by (used for) operating activities of continuing operations	\$(22.8)	) \$167.4	\$14.5	\$—	\$159.1
Cash provided by (used for) operating activities of discontinued operations	—	(0.9)	) 4.1	—	3.2
Net cash provided by (used for) operating activities	\$(22.8)	) \$166.5	\$18.6	\$—	\$162.3
Cash Flows from Investing:					
Capital expenditures	\$(1.4)	) \$(36.5)	) \$(35.0)	) \$—	\$(72.9)
Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment	—	—	0.9	—	0.9
Restricted cash	1.0	—	(4.3)	) —	(3.3)
Intercompany investments	131.4	(175.4)	) (4.8)	) 48.8	—
Net cash provided by (used for) investing activities of continuing operations	\$131.0	\$(211.9)	) \$(43.2)	) \$48.8	\$(75.3)
Net cash provided by (used for) investing activities of discontinued operations	—	—	(0.2)	) —	(0.2)
Net cash provided by (used for) investing activities	\$131.0	\$(211.9)	) \$(43.4)	) \$48.8	\$(75.5)
Cash Flows from Financing:					
Payments on long-term debt	\$(439.7)	) \$(0.7)	) \$(55.0)	) \$—	\$(495.4)
Proceeds from long-term debt	300.0	—	83.3	—	383.3
Proceeds on revolving credit facility—net	34.4	—	—	—	34.4
Proceeds (payments) on notes financing—net	—	(2.1)	) (8.3)	) —	(10.4)
Proceeds from swap monetization	14.8	—	—	—	14.8
Debt issue costs	(5.7)	) —	—	—	(5.7)
Dividends paid	(10.6)	) —	—	—	(10.6)
Exercises of stock options including windfall tax benefits	6.4	—	—	—	6.4
Intercompany financing	—	43.7	5.1	(48.8)	) —
Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities	\$(100.4)	) \$40.9	\$25.1	\$(48.8)	) \$(83.2)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	—	—	1.2	—	1.2
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	7.8	(4.5)	) 1.5	—	4.8
Balance at beginning of period	4.2	8.5	55.9	—	68.6
Balance at end of period	\$12.0	\$4.0	\$57.4	\$—	\$73.4

Table of Contents

The Manitowoc Company, Inc.  
Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows  
For the year ended December 31, 2011  
(In millions)

	Parent	Subsidiary Guarantors	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Net cash provided by (used for) operating activities of continuing operations	\$(59.8	) \$70.5	\$21.8	\$—	\$32.5
Cash used for operating activities of discontinued operations	—	(1.5	) (15.4	) —	(16.9 )
Net cash provided by (used for) operating activities	\$(59.8	) \$69.0	\$6.4	\$—	\$15.6
Cash Flows from Investing:					
Capital expenditures	\$(0.4	) \$(23.4	) \$(41.0	) \$—	\$(64.8 )
Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment	—	0.1	17.4	—	17.5
Restricted cash	2.0	—	0.2	—	2.2
Proceeds from sale of business	—	143.6	—	—	143.6
Intercompany investments	216.7	(164.5	) (30.7	) (21.5	) —
Net cash provided by (used for) investing activities of continuing operations	218.3	(44.2	) (54.1	) (21.5	) 98.5
Net cash provided by (used for) investing activities of discontinued operations	—	—	(0.1	) —	(0.1 )
Net cash provided by (used for) investing activities	\$218.3	\$(44.2	) \$(54.2	) \$(21.5	) \$98.4
Cash Flows from Financing:					
Payments on long-term debt	\$(884.1	) \$(0.7	) \$(75.5	) \$—	\$(960.3 )
Proceeds from long-term debt	750.0	—	95.0	—	845.0
Payments on revolving credit facility—net	(24.2	) —	—	—	(24.2 )
Proceeds from (payments on) notes financing—net	—	(2.6	) 17.4	—	14.8
Proceeds from swap monetization	21.5	—	—	—	21.5
Debt issue costs	(14.7	) —	—	—	(14.7 )
Dividends paid	(10.6	) —	—	—	(10.6 )
Exercises of stock options including windfall tax benefits	2.6	—	—	—	2.6
Intercompany financing	(0.1	) (32.7	) 11.3	21.5	—
Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities	\$(159.6	) \$(36.0	) \$48.2	\$21.5	\$(125.9 )
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	—	—	(3.2	) —	(3.2 )
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(1.1	) (11.2	) (2.8	) —	(15.1 )
Balance at beginning of period	5.3	19.7	58.7	—	83.7
Balance at end of period	\$4.2	\$8.5	\$55.9	\$—	\$68.6



Table of Contents

The Manitowoc Company, Inc.  
 Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows  
 For the year ended December 31, 2010  
 (In millions)

	Parent	Subsidiary Guarantors	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Net cash provided by (used for) operating activities of continuing operations	\$(28.1)	) \$124.0	\$106.6	\$—	\$202.5
Cash provided by (used for) operating activities of discontinued operations	—	(0.8)	) 7.6	—	6.8
Net cash provided by (used for) operating activities	\$(28.1)	) \$123.2	\$114.2	\$—	\$209.3
<b>Cash Flows from Investing:</b>					
Capital expenditures	\$(0.9)	) \$(16.2)	) \$(18.8)	) \$—	\$(35.9)
Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment	0.5	1.1	21.6	—	23.2
Restricted cash	(3.3)	) —	0.3	—	(3.0)
Business acquisition, net of cash acquired	—	(4.8)	) —	—	(4.8)
Intercompany investments	197.3	(36.2)	) (49.9)	) (111.2)	) —
Net cash provided by (used for) investing activities of continuing operations	193.6	(56.1)	) (46.8)	) (111.2)	) (20.5)
Net cash provided by (used for) investing activities of discontinued operations	—	—	(4.4)	) —	(4.4)
Net cash provided by (used for) investing activities	\$193.6	\$(56.1)	) \$(51.2)	) \$(111.2)	) \$(24.9)
<b>Cash Flows from Financing:</b>					
Payments on long-term debt	\$(1,165.7)	) \$(20.7)	) \$(64.4)	) \$—	\$(1,250.8)
Proceeds from long-term debt	1,000.0	10.0	53.0	—	1,063.0
Proceeds from (payments on) revolving credit facility—net	24.2	—	—	—	24.2
Proceeds from securitization	—	101.0	—	—	101.0
Payments on securitization	—	(101.0)	) —	—	(101.0)
Proceeds from (payments on) notes financing—net	—	(3.2)	) (0.9)	) —	(4.1)
Debt issue costs	(27.0)	) —	—	—	(27.0)
Dividends paid	(10.6)	) —	—	—	(10.6)
Exercises of stock options including windfall tax benefits	0.9	—	—	—	0.9
Intercompany financing	—	(40.5)	) (70.7)	) 111.2	—
Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities	\$(178.2)	) \$(54.4)	) \$(83.0)	) \$111.2	\$(204.4)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	—	—	—	—	—
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(12.7)	) 12.7	(20.0)	) —	(20.0)
Balance at beginning of period	18.0	7.0	78.7	—	103.7
Balance at end of period	\$5.3	\$19.7	\$58.7	\$—	\$83.7









Table of Contents

Item 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

Item 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Conclusion Regarding the Effectiveness of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The company's management, with the participation of the company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, have evaluated the effectiveness of the company's disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended ("the Exchange Act")) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on such evaluation, the company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of the end of such period, the company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective in recording, processing, summarizing, and reporting, on a timely basis, information required to be disclosed by the company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely discussions regarding required disclosure.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

The company's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f). The company's management, with the participation of the company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of the company's internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this evaluation, the company's management has concluded that, as of December 31, 2012, the company's internal control over financial reporting was effective.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

The effectiveness of the company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, has been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which appears herein.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

During the fourth quarter of 2012, there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

Table of Contents

**PART III**

**Item 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE**

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference from the sections of the 2013 Proxy Statement captioned “Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance,” “Audit Committee” and “Election of Directors.” See also “Executive Officers of the Registrant” in Part I hereof, which is incorporated herein by reference.

The company has a Global Ethics Policy and other policies relating to business conduct, that pertain to all employees, which can be viewed at the company’s website [www.manitowoc.com](http://www.manitowoc.com). The company has adopted a code of ethics that applies to the company’s principal executive officer, principal financial officer, and controller, which is part of the company’s Global Ethics Policy and other policies related to business conduct.

**Item 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference from the sections of the 2013 Proxy Statement captioned “Compensation of Directors,” “Executive Compensation,” “Report of the Compensation and Benefits Committee on Executive Compensation,” and “Contingent Employment Agreements.”

**Item 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT**

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference from the sections of the 2013 Proxy Statement captioned “Ownership of Securities” and the subsection captioned “Equity Compensation Plans.”

**Item 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE**

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference from the section of the 2013 Proxy Statement captioned “Governance of the Board and its Committees — Governance of the Company.”

**Item 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES**

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference from the section of the 2013 Proxy Statement captioned “Other Information — Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.”

Table of Contents

## PART IV

## Item 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a) Documents filed as part of this Report.

(1) Financial Statements:

The following Consolidated Financial Statements are filed as part of this report under Item 8, “Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.”

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Consolidated Statements of Operations

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Consolidated Balance Sheets

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

Consolidated Statements of Equity

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(2) Financial Statement Schedule:

Schedule II — Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

Schedule	Description	Filed Herewith
II	Valuation and Qualifying Accounts	X

All other financial statement schedules not listed have been omitted since the required information is included in the Consolidated Financial Statements or the Notes thereto, or is not applicable or required under rules of Regulation S-X.

(b) Exhibits:

See Index to Exhibits immediately following the signature page of this report, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of ContentsTHE MANITOWOC COMPANY, INC  
AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Schedule II: Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

For The Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

(dollars in millions)

	Balance at Beginning of Year	Charge to Costs and Expenses	Utilization of Reserve	Other, Primarily Impact of Foreign Exchange Rates	Balance at end of Year
Year End December 31, 2010					
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$46.4	\$3.3	\$(20.6)	) \$(1.5)	) \$27.6
Inventory obsolescence reserve	\$88.2	\$23.2	\$(28.6)	) \$(3.2)	) \$79.6
Deferred tax valuation allowance	\$70.2	\$52.1	\$—	\$(2.1)	) \$120.2
Year End December 31, 2011					
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$27.6	\$0.5	\$(6.4)	) \$(8.9)	) \$12.8
Inventory obsolescence reserve	\$79.6	\$18.9	\$(23.6)	) \$(0.1)	) \$74.8
Deferred tax valuation allowance	\$120.2	\$12.3	\$—	\$(7.3)	) \$125.2
Year End December 31, 2012					
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$12.8	\$6.7	\$(6.1)	) \$0.1	\$13.5
Inventory obsolescence reserve	\$74.8	\$18.5	\$(19.9)	) \$0.8	\$74.2
Deferred tax valuation allowance	\$125.2	\$40.3	\$—	\$3.4	\$168.9

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized:

Date: February 28, 2013

The Manitowoc Company, Inc.

(Registrant)

/s/ Glen E. Tellock

Glen E. Tellock

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

/s/ Carl J. Laurino

Carl J. Laurino

Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this Report has been signed below by the following persons constituting a majority of the Board of Directors on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated:

/s/ Glen E. Tellock

Glen E. Tellock, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

February 28, 2013

/s/ Carl J. Laurino

Carl J. Laurino, Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

February 28, 2013

/s/ Keith D. Nosbusch

Edgar Filing: MANITOWOC CO INC - Form 10-K

Keith D. Nosbusch, Director	February 28, 2013
/s/ Robert C. Stift Robert C. Stift, Director	February 28, 2013
/s/ James L. Packard James L. Packard, Director	February 28, 2013
/s/ Kenneth W. Krueger Kenneth W. Krueger, Director	February 28, 2013
/s/ Cynthia M. Egnotovitch Cynthia M. Egnotovitch, Director	February 28, 2013
/s/ Donald M. Condon, Jr. Donald M. Condon, Jr., Director	February 28, 2013
/s/ Roy V. Armes Roy V. Armes, Director	February 28, 2013
/s/ Joan K. Chow Joan K. Chow, Director	February 28, 2013

Table of Contents

THE MANITOWOC COMPANY, INC.  
ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K  
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012  
INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Exhibit No.	Description	Filed/Furnished Herewith
1.1	Underwriting Agreement dated October 4, 2012 among The Manitowoc Company, Inc., the Guarantors named therein (filed as Exhibit 1.1 to the company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed October 5, 2012 and incorporated herein by reference.)	
3.1	Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation, as amended on November 5, 1984, May 5, 1998, March 31, 2006, and July 26, 2007 (filed as Exhibit 99.1 to the company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 1, 2007 and incorporated herein by reference).	
3.2	Restated By-laws (filed as Exhibit 3.2 to the company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 7, 2007 and incorporated herein by reference).	
4.1	Rights Agreement dated March 21, 2007 between the Registrant and Computershare Trust Company, N.A. (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the company's Report on Form 8-K dated as of March 21, 2007 and incorporated herein by reference).	
4.2(a)*	Indenture, dated as of November 6, 2003, by and between The Manitowoc Company, Inc., the Guarantors named therein, and BNY Midwest Trust Company, as Trustee (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the company's current Report on Form 8-K dated as of November 6, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).	
4.2(b)	Indenture, dated as of February 8, 2010, between The Manitowoc Company, Inc. and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, a national banking association, as Trustee (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 10, 2010 and incorporated herein by reference).	
4.2(c)	First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of February 8, 2010, among The Manitowoc Company, Inc., the Guarantors named therein, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, a national banking association, as Trustee (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 10, 2010 and incorporated herein by reference).	
4.2(d)	Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of October 18, 2010, among The Manitowoc Company, Inc., the Guarantors named therein, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 20, 2010 and incorporated herein by reference).	

Edgar Filing: MANITOWOC CO INC - Form 10-K

- 4.2(e) Fourth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of October 19, 2012, among The Manitowoc Company, Inc., the Guarantors named therein, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 22, 2012 and incorporated herein by reference.)
- 4.3 Articles III, V, and VIII of the Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation (see Exhibit 3.1 above)

112

---



Edgar Filing: MANITOWOC CO INC - Form 10-K

fiscal year ended July 1, 1989 and incorporated herein by reference).

10.6(c)\*\* Supplemental Retirement Plan dated May 2000, as amended and restated through December 31, 2008, with such Amended and Restated plan filed as Exhibit 10.6(c) to the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference.

10.7(a)\*\* The Manitowoc Company, Inc. 1995 Stock Plan, as amended (filed as Exhibit 10.7(a) to the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference).

Table of Contents

- 10.7(b)\*\* The Manitowoc Company, Inc. 1999 Non-Employee Director Stock Option Plan, as amended (filed as Exhibit 10.7(b) to the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.7(c)\*\* The Manitowoc Company, Inc. 2003 Incentive Stock and Awards Plan, as amended, effective May 1, 2012 with such amended plan filed as Exhibit 10.7(c) to the company's Proxy Statement for the 2012 annual meeting, filed on March 22, 2012 and incorporated herein by reference.
- 10.7(d)\*\* The Manitowoc Company, Inc. 2004 Non-Employee Director Stock and Award Plan, as amended on December 17, 2008, effective January 1, 2005, with such amended plan filed as Exhibit 10.7(e) to the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference.
- 10.8\*\* The Manitowoc Company, Inc. Incentive Stock Option Agreement with Vesting Provisions (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the company's Report on Form 8-K dated as of February 25, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.9\*\* The Manitowoc Company, Inc. Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement with Vesting Provisions (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the company's Report on Form 8-K dated as of February 25, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.10(a)\*\* The Manitowoc Company, Inc. Award Agreement for Restricted Stock Awards under The Manitowoc Company, Inc. 2003 Incentive Stock and Awards Plan, amended February 27, 2007 (filed as Exhibit 10.10 to the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.10(b)\*\* The Manitowoc Company, Inc. Performance Share Award Agreement (filed as Exhibit 10.10 to the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.11\*\* The Manitowoc Company, Inc. Award Agreement for the 2004 Non-employee Director Stock and Awards Plan, as amended effective May 3, 2006 and February 27, 2007 (filed as Exhibit 10.11 to the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).

Table of Contents

10.12(a)	<p>Third Amended and Restated Receivables Purchase Agreement among Manitowoc Funding, LLC, as U.S. Seller, Manitowoc Cayman Islands Funding Ltd., as Cayman Seller, The Manitowoc Company, Inc. as a Servicer, Garland Commercial Ranges Limited, as a Servicer, Convothem Elektrogeräte GmbH, as a Servicer, Hannover funding Company, LLC, as Purchaser, and Norddeutsche Landesbank Girozentrale, as Agent, dated as of September 27, 2011 (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the company’s current report on Form 8-K dated September 27, 2011 and incorporated herein by reference). Amendment No. 1 dated December 16, 2011 to the Third Amended and Restated Receivables Purchase Agreement among Manitowoc funding, LLC, as U.S. Seller, Manitowoc Cayman Islands Funding Ltd., as Cayman Seller, The Manitowoc Company, Inc. as a Servicer, Garland Commercial Ranges Limited, as a Servicer, Convothem Elektrogeräte GmbH, as a Servicer, Hannover funding Company, LLC, as Purchaser, and Norddeutsche Landesbank Girozentrale, as Agent, dated as of September 27, 2011. (filed as Exhibit 12(c) to the company's annual report on Form 10-K in the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 and incorporated herein by reference). (Superseded.)</p>	
10.12(b)	<p>Fourth Amended and Restated Receivables Purchase Agreement among Manitowoc Funding, LLC, as U.S. Seller, Manitowoc Cayman Islands Funding Ltd., as Cayman Seller, The Manitowoc Company, Inc. as a Servicer, Garland Commercial Ranges Limited, as a Servicer, Convothem Elektrogeräte GmbH, as a Servicer, and Wells Fargo, N.A., as Purchaser and Agent dated as of September 26, 2012 (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed September 28, 2012 and incorporated herein by reference).</p>	
10.15	<p>The Manitowoc Company, Inc. Severance Pay Plan adopted by the Board of Directors as of May 4, 2009 (filed as Exhibit 10.13 to the company’s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2009, and incorporated herein by reference.)</p>	
11	<p>Statement regarding computation of basic and diluted earnings per share (see Note 14, “Earnings Per Share” to the Consolidated Financial Statements included herein).</p>	
12.1	Statement of Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges	X(1)
21	Subsidiaries of The Manitowoc Company, Inc.	X(1)
23.1	Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, the company’s Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	X(1)
31	Rule 13a - 14(a)/15d - 14(a) Certifications	X(1)
32.1	Certification of CEO pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350	X(2)

Edgar Filing: MANITOWOC CO INC - Form 10-K

32.2	Certification of CFO pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350	X(2)
101	The following materials from the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 formatted in Extensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL): (i) the Consolidated Statements of Income, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (iii) the Consolidated Balance Sheets, (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, (v) the Consolidated Statement of Equity and (vi) related notes.	X(1)
	(1) Filed Herewith	
	(2) Furnished Herewith	

115

---

Table of Contents

\* Pursuant to Item 601(b)(2) of Regulation S-K, the Registrant agrees to furnish to the Securities and Exchange Commission upon request a copy of any unfiled exhibits or schedules to such documents.

\*\* Management contracts and executive compensation plans and arrangements required to be filed as exhibits pursuant to item 15(c) of Form 10-K.

116