

REGIONAL HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC  
Form 10-K  
April 16, 2018

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017

TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT  
For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission file number 001-33135

Regional Health Properties, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Georgia  
(State or other jurisdiction of

81-5166048  
(I.R.S. Employer

incorporation or organization)

Identification No.)

454 Satellite Boulevard NW, Suite 100, Suwanee, GA

30024-7191

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number including area code (678) 869-5116

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act:

Title of each class	Name of each exchange on which registered
Common Stock, no par value	NYSE American
10.875% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, no par value	NYSE American

Securities registered under Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers in response to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, a smaller reporting company or an emerging growth company. See definition of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer", "smaller reporting company" and "emerging growth company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Emerging growth company

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

The aggregate market value of Regional Health Properties, Inc.'s common stock held by non-affiliates as of June 30, 2017, the last business day of Regional Health Properties Inc.'s most recently completed second fiscal quarter, was \$19,083,752. The number of shares of Regional Health Properties, Inc., common stock, no par value, outstanding as of March 17, 2018, was 19,813,499.

Regional Health Properties, Inc.

Form 10-K

Table of Contents

	Page
	Number
<u>Part I</u>	
<u>Item 1. Business</u>	3
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	18
<u>Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	40
<u>Item 2. Properties</u>	41
<u>Item 3. Legal Proceedings</u>	43
<u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	45
<u>Part II</u>	
<u>Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	45
<u>Item 6. Selected Financial Data</u>	47
<u>Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	48
<u>Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	61
<u>Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	62
<u>Item 9. Changes In and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	107
<u>Item 9A. Controls and Procedures</u>	107
<u>Item 9B. Other Information</u>	108
<u>Part III</u>	
<u>Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	109
<u>Item 11. Executive Compensation</u>	112
<u>Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	119
<u>Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	121
<u>Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	124
<u>Part IV</u>	
<u>Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules</u>	125
<u>Signatures</u>	169

### Special Note Regarding Forward Looking Statements

Certain statements in this Annual Report on Form 10-K (this “Annual Report”) contain “forward-looking” information as that term is defined by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Any statements that do not relate to historical or current facts or matters are forward-looking statements. Examples of forward-looking statements include all statements regarding our expected future financial position, results of operations, cash flows, liquidity, financing and refinancing plans, strategic and business plans, projected expenses and capital expenditures, competitive position, growth and acquisition opportunities, and compliance with, and changes in, governmental regulations. You can identify some of the forward-looking statements by the use of forward-looking words such as “anticipate,” “believe,” “plan,” “estimate,” “expect,” “intend,” “should,” “may” and other similar expressions, although not all forward-looking statements contain these identifying words.

Our actual results may differ materially from those projected or contemplated by our forward-looking statements as a result of various factors, including, among others, the following:

- Our ability to achieve the benefits that we expected to achieve from our transition to a healthcare property holding and leasing company (the “Transition”), including increased cash flow, reduced general and administrative expenses, and a lower cost of capital;
- The impact of liabilities associated with our legacy business of owning and operating healthcare properties, including pending and potential professional and general liability claims;
- Our dependence on the operating success of our tenants and their ability to meet their obligations to us;
- The effect of increasing healthcare regulation and enforcement on our tenants, and the dependence of our tenants on reimbursement from governmental and other third-party payors;
- The impact of litigation and rising insurance costs on the business of our tenants;
- The effect of our tenants declaring bankruptcy or becoming insolvent;
- The ability and willingness of our tenants to renew their leases with us upon expiration, and our ability to reposition our properties on the same or better terms in the event of nonrenewal or if we otherwise need to replace an existing tenant;
- The significant amount of our indebtedness, our ability to service our indebtedness, covenants in our debt agreements that may restrict our ability to pay dividends or incur additional indebtedness, and our ability to refinance our indebtedness on favorable terms;
- Our ability to raise capital through equity and debt financings, and the cost of such capital;
- The availability of, and our ability to identify, suitable acquisition opportunities, and our ability to complete such acquisitions and lease the respective properties on favorable terms; and
- Other risks inherent in the real estate business, including uninsured or underinsured losses affecting our properties, the possibility of environmental compliance costs and liabilities, and the illiquidity of real estate investments.

We urge you to carefully consider these risks and review the additional disclosures we make concerning risks and other factors that may materially affect the outcome of our forward-looking statements and our future business and operating results, including those made in Part I, Item IA, “Risk Factors” in this Annual Report, as such risk factors may be amended, supplemented or superseded from time to time by other reports we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”), including subsequent Annual Reports on Form 10-K and Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q. We caution you that any forward-looking statements made in this Annual Report are not guarantees of future performance, events or results, and you should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this Annual Report. We do not intend, and we undertake no obligation, to update any forward-looking information to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this Annual Report or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events, unless required by law to do so.

## PART I.

### Item 1. Business

#### Overview

Regional Health Properties, Inc. (“Regional Health” or “Regional”), through its subsidiaries (together, the “Company” or “we”), is a self-managed real estate investment company that invests primarily in real estate purposed for long-term care and senior living. Our business primarily consists of leasing and subleasing such facilities to third-party tenants, which operate the facilities. As of December 31, 2017, the Company owned, leased, or managed for third parties 30 facilities primarily in the Southeast. The Company’s facilities provide a range of healthcare and related services to patients and residents, including skilled nursing and assisted living services, social services, various therapy services, and other rehabilitative and healthcare services for both long-term and short-stay patients and residents.

Regional Health’s predecessor was incorporated in Ohio on August 14, 1991, under the name Passport Retirement, Inc. In 1995, the Company acquired substantially all of the assets and liabilities of AdCare Health Systems, Inc. and changed its name to AdCare Health Systems, Inc. (“AdCare”). AdCare completed its initial public offering in November 2006. Initially based in Ohio, AdCare expanded its portfolio through a series of strategic acquisitions to include properties in a number of other states, primarily in the Southeast. In 2012, AdCare relocated its executive offices and accounting operations to Georgia, and AdCare changed its state of incorporation from Ohio to Georgia on December 12, 2013.

Historically, AdCare’s business was focused primarily on owning and operating skilled nursing facilities and managing such facilities for unaffiliated owners with whom AdCare had management contracts. In July 2014, the board of directors approved and commenced a strategic plan to transition AdCare from an operator of healthcare facilities to a healthcare property holding and leasing company (the “Transition”). To effect the Transition, AdCare and its subsidiaries: (i) leased to third-party operators all of the healthcare properties which they own and previously operated; (ii) subleased to third-party operators all of the healthcare properties which they lease (but do not own) and previously operated; and (iii) retained a management agreement to manage two skilled nursing facilities and one independent living facility for third parties. The Transition was completed in December 2015, and, as a result of the Transition, our business has many of the characteristics of a real estate investment trust (“REIT”) and is focused on the ownership, acquisition and leasing of healthcare properties.

On September 29, 2017, AdCare merged (the “Merger”) with and into Regional Health, a Georgia corporation and a then wholly owned subsidiary of AdCare formed for the purposes of the Merger, with Regional Health continuing as the surviving corporation in the Merger.

As a consequence of the Merger:

- the outstanding shares of AdCare’s common stock, no par value per share (the “AdCare common stock”), converted, on a one-for-one basis, into the same number of shares of Regional Health’s common stock, no par value per share (the “RHE common stock”);
- the outstanding shares of AdCare’s 10.875% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (the “AdCare Series A Preferred Stock”) converted, on a one-for-one basis, into the same number of shares of Regional Health’s 10.875% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (the “RHE Series A Preferred Stock”);
- the board of directors (the “AdCare Board”) and executive officers of AdCare immediately prior to the Merger are the board of directors (the “RHE Board”) and executive officers, respectively, of Regional Health immediately following the Merger, and each director and executive officer continued his directorship or employment, as the case may be, with Regional Health under the same terms as his directorship or employment with AdCare immediately following

the Merger;

Regional Health assumed all of AdCare's equity incentive compensation plans, and all rights to acquire shares of AdCare common stock under any AdCare equity incentive compensation plan converted into rights to acquire RHE common stock pursuant to the terms of the equity incentive compensation plans and other related documents, if any;

3

---

Regional Health became the successor issuer to AdCare and succeeded to the assets and continued the business and assumed the obligations of AdCare;

- the RHE common stock and RHE Series A Preferred Stock commenced trading on the NYSE American LLC (“NYSE American”) immediately following the Merger;

the rights of the holders of RHE common stock and RHE Series A Preferred Stock are governed by the amended and restated articles of incorporation of RHE (the “RHE Charter”) and the amended and restated bylaws of RHE (the “RHE Bylaws”). The RHE Charter is substantially equivalent to AdCare’s articles of incorporation, as amended (the “AdCare Charter”), except that the RHE Charter includes ownership and transfer restrictions related to the RHE common stock. The RHE Bylaws are substantially equivalent to the bylaws of AdCare, as amended (the “AdCare Bylaws”);

there was no change in the assets we hold or in the business we conduct; and

there is no fundamental change to our current operational strategy.

As a result of the Merger, the RHE Charter contains ownership and transfer restrictions with respect to the common stock. These ownership and transfer restrictions will better position the Company to comply with certain U.S. federal income tax rules applicable to REITs under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the “Code”) to the extent such rules relate to the common stock. The RHE Board continues to analyze and consider: (i) whether and, if so, when, the Company could satisfy the requirements to qualify as a REIT under the Code; (ii) the structural and operational complexities which would need to be addressed before the Company could qualify as a REIT, including the disposition of certain assets or the termination of certain operations which may not be REIT compliant; and (iii) if the Company were to qualify as a REIT, whether electing REIT status would be in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders in light of various factors, including our significant consolidated federal net operating loss carryforwards. There is no assurance that the Company will qualify as a REIT in future taxable years or, if it were to so qualify, that the RHE Board would determine that electing REIT status would be in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders.

When used in this Annual Report, unless otherwise specifically stated or the context otherwise requires, the terms:

“Board” refers to the AdCare Board with respect to the period prior to the Merger and to the RHE Board with respect to the period after the Merger;

“Company”, “we”, “our” and “us” refer to AdCare and its subsidiaries with respect to the period prior to the Merger and to Regional Health and its subsidiaries with respect to the period after the Merger;

“common stock” refers to the AdCare common stock with respect to the period prior to the Merger and to the RHE common stock with respect to the period after the Merger;

“Series A Preferred Stock” refers to the AdCare Series A Preferred Stock with respect to the period prior to the Merger and to the RHE Series A Preferred Stock with respect to the period after the Merger; and

“Charter” refers to the AdCare Charter with respect to the period prior to the Merger and to the RHE Charter with respect to the period after the Merger.

“Bylaws” refers to the AdCare Bylaws with respect to the period prior to the Merger and to the RHE Bylaws with respect to the period after the Merger.

Our principal executive offices are located at 454 Satellite Boulevard NW, Suite 100, Suwanee, GA 30024, and our telephone number is (678) 869-5116. We maintain a website at [www.regionalhealthproperties.com](http://www.regionalhealthproperties.com). The contents of our website are not incorporated by reference herein or in any of our filings with the SEC.

#### Portfolio of Healthcare Investments

The Company leases its currently-owned healthcare properties, and subleases its currently-leased healthcare properties, on a triple-net basis, meaning that the lessee (i.e., the third-party operator of the property) is obligated under the lease or sublease, as applicable, for all costs of operating the property including insurance, taxes and facility maintenance, as well as the lease or sublease payments, as applicable. These leases are generally long-term in nature with renewal options and annual rent escalation clauses.





As of December 31, 2017, the Company owns, leases, or manages 30 facilities, which are located primarily in the Southeast. Of the 30 facilities, the Company: (i) leased 14 owned and subleased 11 leased skilled nursing facilities to third-party tenants; (ii) leased two owned assisted living facilities to third-party tenants; and (iii) managed on behalf of third-party owners two skilled nursing facilities and one independent living facility (see Note 7- Leases to our audited consolidated financial statements in Part II, Item 8, “Financial Statements and Supplementary Data” in this Annual Report.

The following table provides summary information regarding the number of facilities and related operational beds/units by state and property type as of December 31, 2017:

State	Owned		Leased		Managed for Third-Parties		Total	
	Facilities	Beds/Units	Facilities	Beds/Units	Facilities	Beds/Units	Facilities	Beds/Units
Alabama	3	410	—	—	—	—	3	410
Georgia	4	463	10	1,168	—	—	14	1,631
North Carolina	1	106	—	—	—	—	1	106
Ohio	4	279	1	94	3	332	8	705
Oklahoma	2	197	—	—	—	—	2	197
South Carolina	2	180	—	—	—	—	2	180
Total	16	1,635	11	1,262	3	332	30	3,229
Facility Type								
Skilled Nursing	14	1,449	11	1,262	2	249	27	2,960
Assisted Living	2	186	—	—	—	—	2	186
Independent Living	—	—	—	—	1	83	1	83
Total	16	1,635	11	1,262	3	332	30	3,229

The following table provides summary information regarding the number of facilities and related operational beds/units by operator affiliation as of December 31, 2017:

Operator Affiliation	Number of	
	Facilities (1)	Beds / Units
C.R. Management	8	936
Beacon Health Management	7	585
Wellington Health Services	4	641
Peach Health Group	3	252
Symmetry Healthcare	3	286
Southwest LTC	2	197
Subtotal	27	2,897
Regional Health Managed	3	332
Total	30	3,229

<sup>(1)</sup>Represents the number of facilities which are leased or subleased to separate tenants, of which each tenant is an affiliate of the entity named in the table above. For a more detailed discussion, see Note 7 – Leases to our audited consolidated financial statements in Part II, Item 8., “Financial Statements and Supplementary Data”, and “Portfolio of Healthcare Investments” in Part I, Item 1., “Business”, of this Annual Report.

5

---

### Acquisitions, Dispositions, and Leasing Transactions

**Acquisitions.** On March 8, 2017, the Company executed a purchase and sale agreement (the “Meadowood Purchase Agreement”) with Meadowood Retirement Village, LLC and Meadowood Properties, LLC to acquire an assisted living and memory care community with 106 operational beds in Glencoe, Alabama (the “Meadowood Facility”) for \$5.5 million cash. In addition, on March 21, 2017, the Company executed a long-term, triple net operating lease with an affiliate of C.R. Management (the “Meadowood Operator”) to lease the Meadowood Facility upon purchase. Lease terms include: (i) a 13-year initial term with one five-year renewal option; (ii) base rent of \$37,500 per month; (iii) a rental escalator of 2.0% per annum in the initial term and 2.5% per annum in the renewal term; (iv) a cross renewal provision, whereby the Meadowood Operator may exercise the lease renewal for the Meadowood Facility if its affiliate exercises the lease renewal option for Coosa Valley Health Care, a 124-bed skilled nursing facility located in Gadsden, Alabama (the “Coosa Valley Facility”); and (v) a security deposit equal to one month of base rent. The Company completed the purchase of the Meadowood Facility on May 1, 2017 pursuant to the Meadowood Purchase Agreement, at which time the lease commenced and operations of the Meadowood Facility transferred to the Meadowood Operator. On May 1, 2017, in connection with the Meadowood Purchase Agreement, the Company entered into a loan agreement (the “Meadowood Credit Facility”) with the Exchange Bank of Alabama, which provides for a \$4.1 million principal amount secured credit facility maturing on May 1, 2022. Interest on the Meadowood Credit Facility accrues on the principal balance thereof at 4.5% per annum. The Meadowood Credit Facility is secured by the Meadowood Facility.

**Dispositions.** On February 3, 2016, the Company terminated the separate lease agreements for nine facilities (the “Arkansas Facilities”) with affiliates of Aria Health Group, LLC (“Aria”) collectively (the “Aria Subleases”) and entered into leases with affiliates of Skyline Health Care LLC (“Skyline”), pursuant to a Master Lease Agreement, dated February 5, 2016 (the “Skyline Lease”), which commenced on April 1, 2016. On October 6, 2016, the Company sold the Arkansas Facilities to Little Ark Realty Holdings, LLC, an affiliate of Skyline. The aggregate sales price of the Arkansas Facilities was \$55 million, which consisted of cash consideration of \$52.0 million and a promissory note maturing March 31, 2022 with a principal amount of \$3 million. The Company realized net proceeds of approximately \$20.0 million (excluding the promissory note) after repayment of certain mortgage indebtedness with respect to the Arkansas Facilities.

The Arkansas Facilities consist of:

- River Valley Health and Rehabilitation Center, a 129-bed skilled nursing facility located in Fort Smith, Arkansas;
- Heritage Park Nursing Center, a 110-bed skilled nursing facility located in Rogers, Arkansas;
- Homestead Manor Nursing Home, a 104-bed skilled nursing facility located in Stamps, Arkansas;
- Stone County Nursing and Rehabilitation Center, a 97-bed skilled nursing facility located in Mountain View, Arkansas;
- Stone County Residential Care Center, a 32-bed assisted living facility located in Mountain View, Arkansas;
- Northridge Health Care, a 140-bed skilled nursing facility located in North Little Rock, Arkansas;
- Little Rock Health & Rehabilitation, a 154-bed skilled nursing facility located in Little Rock, Arkansas;
- Woodland Hills Health & Rehabilitation, a 140-bed skilled nursing facility located in Little Rock, Arkansas; and
- Cumberland Health & Rehabilitation Center, a 120-bed skilled nursing facility located in Little Rock, Arkansas.

Bed numbers above refer to the number of licensed beds.

On June 18, 2016, the Company, entered into a new master sublease agreement (the “Peach Health Sublease”) with affiliates (collectively, “Peach Health Sublessee”) of Peach Health Group, LLC (“Peach Health”), providing that Peach Health Sublessee would take possession of the facilities (the “Peach Facilities”) subleased to affiliates of New Beginnings Care, LLC (“New Beginnings”). The Peach Facilities are comprised of: (i) an 85-bed skilled nursing facility located in Tybee Island, Georgia (the “Oceanside Facility”); (ii) a 50-bed skilled nursing facility located in Tybee Island,

Georgia (the “Savannah Beach Facility”); and (iii) a 131-bed skilled nursing facility located in Jeffersonville, Georgia (the “Jeffersonville Facility”). The Peach Health Sublease became effective for the Jeffersonville Facility, on June 18, 2016 and for the Savannah Beach and Oceanside Facilities on July, 13, 2016.

Leasing Transactions. As of the date of this Annual Report, the Company has leased or subleased, as applicable, the following facilities to tenants:

Facility Name	State	Owned / Leased	Transaction Type	Commencement Date
2015				
College Park	GA	Owned	Lease	4/1/2015
LaGrange	GA	Leased	Sublease	4/1/2015
Sumter Valley	SC	Owned	Lease	4/1/2015
Georgetown	SC	Owned	Lease	4/1/2015
Powder Springs	GA	Leased	Sublease	4/1/2015
Tara	GA	Leased	Sublease	4/1/2015
Heritage Park	AR	Owned	Lease	5/1/2015
Homestead Manor	AR	Owned	Lease	5/1/2015
Stone County SNF	AR	Owned	Lease	5/1/2015
Stone County ALF	AR	Owned	Lease	5/1/2015
Northridge	AR	Owned	Lease	5/1/2015
West Markham	AR	Owned	Lease	5/1/2015
Woodland Hills	AR	Owned	Lease	5/1/2015
Cumberland	AR	Owned	Lease	5/1/2015
Mountain Trace	NC	Owned	Lease	6/1/2015
Glenvue	GA	Owned	Lease	7/1/2015
Hearth & Care of Greenfield	OH	Owned	Lease	8/1/2015
The Pavilion Care Center	OH	Owned	Lease	8/1/2015
Eaglewood ALF	OH	Owned	Lease	8/1/2015
Eaglewood Care Center	OH	Owned	Lease	8/1/2015
Covington Care Center	OH	Leased	Sublease	8/1/2015
Bonterra	GA	Leased	Sublease	9/1/2015
Parkview	GA	Leased	Sublease	9/1/2015
Autumn Breeze	GA	Owned	Lease	9/30/2015
River Valley	AR	Owned	Lease	11/1/2015
Quail Creek	OK	Owned	Lease	12/31/2015
Northwest	OK	Owned	Lease	12/31/2015
2016				
Cumberland <sup>(1)</sup>	AR	Owned	Lease	4/1/2016
Heritage Park <sup>(1)</sup>	AR	Owned	Lease	4/1/2016
Homestead Manor <sup>(1)</sup>	AR	Owned	Lease	4/1/2016
Northridge <sup>(1)</sup>	AR	Owned	Lease	4/1/2016
River Valley <sup>(1)</sup>	AR	Owned	Lease	4/1/2016
Stone County SNF <sup>(1)</sup>	AR	Owned	Lease	4/1/2016
Stone County ALF <sup>(1)</sup>	AR	Owned	Lease	4/1/2016
West Markham <sup>(1)</sup>	AR	Owned	Lease	4/1/2016
Woodland Hills <sup>(1)</sup>	AR	Owned	Lease	4/1/2016
Jeffersonville <sup>(2)</sup>	GA	Leased	Sublease	6/18/2016
Oceanside <sup>(2)</sup>	GA	Leased	Sublease	7/13/2016

Edgar Filing: REGIONAL HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC - Form 10-K

Savannah Beach <sup>(2)</sup> 2017	GA	Leased	Sublease	7/13/2016
Meadowood <sup>(3)</sup>	AL	Owned	Lease	5/1/2017

<sup>(1)</sup>On February 3, 2016, the Company terminated the leases with Aria and entered into leases with affiliates of Skyline, which commenced on April 1, 2016. On October 6, 2016, the Company sold the Arkansas Facilities to Little Ark Realty Holdings, LLC, an affiliate of Skyline.

<sup>(2)</sup>On June 18, 2016, a subsidiary of the Company entered into the Peach Health Sublease with Peach Health Sublessee of Peach Health, providing that Peach Health Sublessee would take possession of the facilities (the “Peach Facilities”) subleased to affiliates of New Beginnings. The Peach Facilities are comprised of the Oceanside Facility, the Savannah Beach Facility and the Jeffersonville Facility. The Peach Health Sublease became effective for the Jeffersonville Facility on June 18, 2016 and for the Savannah Beach and Oceanside Facilities on July 13, 2016.

<sup>(3)</sup>On May 1, 2017, a new lease agreement to operate the Meadowood Facility commenced between a subsidiary of the Company and a subsidiary of C.R Management. Lease terms include: (i) a 13-year initial term with one five-year renewal option; (ii) base rent of \$37,500 per month; (iii) a rental escalator of 2.0% per annum in the initial term and 2.5% per annum in the renewal term; (iv) a cross renewal provision with the Coosa Valley Facility; and (v) a security deposit equal to one month of base rent.

## Industry Trends

The skilled nursing segment of the long-term care industry has evolved to meet the growing demand for post-acute and custodial healthcare services generated by an aging population, increasing life expectancies and the trend toward shifting of patient care to lower cost settings. The growth of the senior population in the United States continues to increase healthcare costs, often faster than the available funding from government-sponsored healthcare programs. In response, federal and state governments have adopted cost containment measures that encourage the treatment of patients in more cost effective settings, such as skilled nursing facilities, for which the staffing requirements and associated costs are often significantly lower than acute care hospitals, inpatient rehabilitation facilities and other post-acute care settings. As a result, skilled nursing facilities are generally serving a larger population of higher acuity patients than in the past.

The skilled nursing industry is large, highly fragmented, and characterized predominantly by numerous local and regional providers. Based on a decrease in the number of skilled nursing facilities over the past few years, we expect that the supply and demand balance in the skilled nursing industry will continue to improve. We also anticipate that, as life expectancy continues to increase in the United States, the overall demand for skilled nursing services will increase. At present, the primary market demographic for skilled nursing services is primarily individuals age 75 and older. According to the 2010 U.S. Census, there were over 40 million people in the United States in 2010 that are over 65 years old. The 2010 U.S. Census estimates this group is one of the fastest growing segments of the United States population and is expected to more than double between 2000 and 2030.

We believe the skilled nursing industry has been and will continue to be impacted by several other trends. The use of long-term care insurance is increasing among seniors as a means of planning for the costs of skilled nursing care services. In addition, as a result of increased mobility in society, reduction of average family size, and the increased number of two-wage earner couples, more seniors are looking for alternatives outside their own family for their care.

## Competitive Strengths

We believe we possess the following competitive strengths:

**Long-Term, Triple-Net Lease Structure.** All of our real estate properties are leased under triple-net operating leases with initial terms generally ranging from ten to fifteen years pursuant to which the tenants are responsible for all facility maintenance, insurance and taxes, and utilities. As of December 31, 2017, the leases had an average remaining initial term of approximately 10 years. In addition, the average rent escalator is approximately 2.5%. We also typically receive additional security under these leases in the form of security deposits from the lessee and guarantees from the parent or other related entities of the lessee.

**Tenant Diversification.** Our 30 properties (including the three facilities that are managed by us) are operated by a total of 30 separate tenants, with each of our tenants being affiliated with one of six local or regionally-focused operators. We refer to our tenants who are affiliated with the same operator as a group of affiliated tenants. Each of our operators operate (through a group of affiliated tenants) between two and eight of our facilities, with our most significant operators, C.R Management and Beacon Health Management, each operating eight and seven facilities, or 27% and 23% of the total number of our facilities, respectively. We believe that our tenant diversification should limit the effect of any operator's financial or operating performance decline on our overall performance.

**Geographically Diverse Property Portfolio.** Our portfolio of 30 properties, comprising 3,229 beds/units, is diversified across six states. Our properties in any one state did not account for more than 51% of our total beds/units as of December 31, 2017. Properties in our largest state, Georgia, are geographically dispersed throughout the state. We believe this geographic diversification will limit the effect of a decline in any one regional market on our overall

performance.

#### Business Strategy

Our business strategy primarily is focused on investing capital in our current portfolio and growing our portfolio through the acquisition of skilled nursing and other healthcare facilities. More specifically, we seek to:

Focus on Senior Housing Segment. We intend to continue to focus our investment program on senior housing, primarily the skilled nursing facility segment of the long-term care continuum. We have historically been focused on

8

---



senior housing, and senior management has operating and financial experience and a significant number of relationships in the long-term care industry. In addition, we believe investing in the sector best meets our investing criteria.

**Invest Capital in Our Current Portfolio.** We intend to continue to support our operators by providing capital to them for a variety of purposes, including facility modernization and potentially replacing or renovating facilities in our portfolio that may have become less competitive. We expect to structure these investments as either lease amendments that produce additional rent or as loans that are repaid by operators during the applicable lease term. We believe such projects will provide an attractive return on capital and improve the underlying performance of facility operations.

**Provide Capital to Underserved Operators.** We believe that there is a significant opportunity to be a capital source to long-term care operators through the acquisition and leasing of healthcare properties that are consistent with our investment and financing strategy, but that, due to size and other considerations, are not a focus for large healthcare REITs. We seek primarily small to mid-size acquisition transactions with a focus on individual facilities with existing operators, as well as small groups of facilities and larger portfolios. In addition to pursuing acquisitions using triple-net lease structures, we may pursue other forms of investment, including mortgage loans and joint ventures.

**Identify Talented Operators.** As a result of our management team's operating experience, network of relationships and industry insight, we have been able and expect to continue to be able to identify qualified tenants. We seek tenants who possess local market knowledge, demonstrate hands-on management, have proven track records and focus on patient care.

**Monitor Investments.** We monitor our real estate investments through, among other things: (i) reviewing and evaluating tenant financial statements to assess operational and financial trends and performance; (ii) reviewing the state surveys, occupancy rates and patient payor mix of our facilities; (iii) verifying the payments of property and other taxes and insurance with respect to our facilities; and (iv) conducting periodic physical inspections of our facilities. For tenants or facilities that do not meet performance expectations, we may seek to work with our tenants to ensure our mutual success or seek to re-lease facilities to stronger operators.

**Resolve Legacy Professional and General Liability Claims.** As a result of the Transition (which was completed in December 2015), the Company no longer operates skilled nursing facilities. The Company, however, continues to be subject to certain pending professional and general liability actions with respect to the time it operated skilled nursing facilities, including claims that the services the Company provided while an operator resulted in the injury or death of patients and claims related to professional and general negligence, employment, staffing requirements and commercial matters. Management is committed to resolving pending claims. See Part I, Item 3, "Legal Proceedings" in this Annual Report.

## Competition

We generally compete for real property investments with publicly traded, private and non-listed healthcare REITs, real estate partnerships, healthcare providers, healthcare lenders and other investors, including developers, banks, insurance companies, pension funds, government-sponsored entities and private equity firms, some of whom may have greater financial resources and lower costs of capital than we do. Increased competition challenges our ability to identify and successfully capitalize on opportunities that meet our investment criteria, which is affected by, among other factors, the availability of suitable acquisition or investment targets, our ability to negotiate acceptable transaction terms and our access to and cost of capital.

Our ability to generate rental revenues from our properties also depends on the competition faced by our tenants. Our tenants compete on a local and regional basis with other healthcare operating companies that provide comparable

services. Our tenants compete to attract and retain patients and residents based on scope and quality of care, reputation and financial condition, price, location and physical appearance of the properties, services offered qualified personnel, physician referrals and family preferences. The ability of our tenants to compete successfully could be affected by private, federal and state reimbursement programs and other laws and regulations.

## Revenue Sources and Recognition

**Triple-Net Leased Properties.** The Company's triple-net leases provide for periodic and determinable increases in rent. The Company recognizes rental revenues under these leases on a straight-line basis over the applicable lease term when collectability is reasonably assured. Recognizing rental income on a straight-line basis generally results in recognized revenues during the first half of a lease term exceeding the cash amounts contractually due from our tenants, creating a straight-line rent receivable that is included in other assets on our consolidated balance sheets. In the event the Company cannot reasonably estimate the future collection of rent from one or more tenant(s) of the Company's facilities, rental income for the affected facilities will be recognized only upon cash collection, and any accumulated straight-line rent receivable will be reversed in the period in which the Company first deems rent collection no longer reasonably assured.

**Management Fee and Other Revenue.** The Company recognizes management fee revenues received as services are provided. Further, the Company recognizes income from lease inducement receivables and interest income from loans and investments, using the effective interest method when collectability is reasonably assured. We apply the effective interest method on a loan-by-loan basis.

**Allowances.** The Company assesses the collectability of our rent receivables, including straight-line rent receivables. The Company bases its assessment of the collectability of rent receivables and working capital loans to tenants on several factors, including payment history, the financial strength of the tenant and any guarantors, the value of the underlying collateral, and current economic conditions. If the Company's evaluation of these factors indicates it is probable that the Company will be unable to receive the rent payments or payments on a working capital loan, the Company provides a reserve against the recognized straight-line rent receivable asset or working capital loan for the portion that we estimate may not be recovered. If the Company changes its assumptions or estimates regarding the collectability of future rent payments required by a lease or required from a working capital loan to a tenant, the Company may adjust its reserve to increase or reduce the rental revenue or interest revenue from working capital loans to tenants recognized in the period the Company makes such change in its assumptions or estimates.

Accounts receivable, net totaled \$0.9 million at December 31, 2017 compared with \$2.4 million at December 31, 2016, of which \$0.0 million and \$0.9 million, respectively, related to patient care receivables from our legacy operations.

At December 31, 2017, we allowed for approximately \$2.6 million on approximately \$2.6 million of gross patient care related receivables. We continually evaluate the adequacy of our bad debt reserves based on aging of older balances, payment terms and historical collection trends after facility operations transfer to third-party operators. We continue to evaluate and implement additional processes to strengthen our collection efforts and reduce the incidence of uncollectible accounts. Any changes in patient care receivable allowances are recognized as a component of discontinued operations.

## Government Regulation

**Healthcare Regulation.** Our tenants are typically subject to extensive and complex federal, state and local laws and regulations relating to quality of care, licensure and certain certificate of need requirements ("CON"), government reimbursement, fraud and abuse practices, qualifications of personnel, adequacy of plant and equipment, data privacy and security, and other laws and regulations governing the operation of healthcare facilities. We expect that the healthcare industry will, in general, continue to face increased regulation and pressure in these areas. The applicable rules are wide-ranging and can subject our tenants to civil, criminal, and administrative sanctions, including: the possible loss of accreditation or license; denial of reimbursement; imposition of fines; suspension, decertification, or exclusion from federal and state healthcare programs; or facility closure. Changes in laws or regulations,

reimbursement policies, enforcement activity and regulatory non-compliance by tenants, operators and managers can all have a significant effect on their operations and financial condition, which in turn may adversely impact us, as detailed below and set forth under “Risk Factors” in this Annual Report.

Although the properties within our portfolio may be subject to varying levels of governmental scrutiny, we expect that the healthcare industry, in general, will continue to face increased regulation and pressure in the areas of fraud, waste and abuse, including, but not limited to, the Federal Anti-Kickback Statute, the Federal Stark Law, the Federal False Claims Act, and comparable state counterparts, as well as cost control, healthcare management and provision of services, among others. We also expect that efforts by third-party payors, such as the federal Medicare program, state Medicaid programs and private insurance carriers (including health maintenance organizations and other health plans), to impose greater discounts and more stringent cost controls upon tenants (through changes in reimbursement rates and methodologies, discounted fee structures, the assumption by healthcare providers of all or a portion of the financial risk or otherwise) will intensify and continue. A significant expansion of applicable federal, state or local laws and regulations, existing or future healthcare reform measures, new interpretations of existing laws and regulations, changes in enforcement priorities, or significant limits on the scope of services reimbursed or reductions in reimbursement rates could have a material adverse effect on certain of our tenants' liquidity, financial condition and results of operations and, in turn, their ability to satisfy their contractual obligations, including making rental payments under and otherwise complying with the terms of our leases.

Licensure, Certification and CONs. In general, the operators of our skilled nursing facilities must be licensed and periodically certified through various regulatory agencies that determine compliance with federal, state and local laws to participate in the Medicare and Medicaid programs. Legal requirements pertaining to such licensure and certification relate to the quality of medical care provided by the operator, qualifications of the tenant's administrative personnel and clinical staff, adequacy of the physical plant and equipment and continuing compliance with applicable laws and regulations. A loss of licensure or certification could adversely affect a skilled nursing facility's ability to receive payments from the Medicare and Medicaid programs, which, in turn, could adversely affect its ability to satisfy its obligations to us.

In addition, many of our skilled nursing facilities are subject to state CON laws that require governmental approval prior to the development or expansion of healthcare facilities and services. The approval process in these states generally requires a facility to demonstrate the need for additional or expanded healthcare facilities or services. CONs, where applicable, are also sometimes necessary for changes in ownership or control of licensed facilities, addition of beds, and investment in major capital equipment, introduction of new services or termination of services previously approved through the CON process. CON laws and regulations may restrict a tenant's ability to expand our properties and grow its business in certain circumstances, which could have an adverse effect on the tenant's revenues and, in turn, its ability to make rental payments under and otherwise comply with the terms of our leases. In addition, CON laws may constrain the ability of an operator to transfer responsibility for operating a particular facility to a new operator. If we have to replace a property operator who is excluded from participating in a federal or state healthcare program (as discussed below), our ability to replace the operator may be affected by a particular state's CON laws, regulations, and applicable guidance governing changes in provider control.

Compared to skilled nursing facilities, seniors housing communities (other than those that receive Medicaid payments) do not receive significant funding from governmental healthcare programs and are subject to relatively few, if any, federal regulations. Instead, to the extent they are regulated, such regulation consists primarily of state and local laws governing licensure, provision of services, staffing requirements and other operational matters, which vary greatly from one jurisdiction to another. Although recent growth in the U.S. seniors housing industry has attracted the attention of various federal agencies that believe more federal regulation of these properties is necessary, Congress thus far has deferred to state regulation of seniors housing communities. However, as a result of this growth and increased federal scrutiny, some states have revised and strengthened their regulation of seniors housing communities, and more states are expected to do the same in the future.

Fraud and Abuse Enforcement, Other Related Laws, Initiatives, and Considerations. Long-term/post-acute care facilities (and seniors housing facilities that receive Medicaid payments) are subject to federal, state, and local laws, regulations, and applicable guidance that govern the operations and financial and other arrangements that may be entered into by healthcare providers. Certain of these laws prohibit direct or indirect payments of any kind for the purpose of inducing or encouraging the referral of patients for medical products or services reimbursable by government healthcare programs. Other laws require providers to furnish only medically necessary services and submit to the government valid and accurate statements for each service. Still other laws require providers to comply with a variety of safety, health and other requirements relating to the condition of the licensed property and the quality of care provided. Sanctions for violations of these laws, regulations, and other applicable guidance may include, but are not limited to, criminal and/or civil penalties and fines, loss of licensure, immediate termination of government payments, and exclusion from any government healthcare program. In certain circumstances, violation of these rules (such as those prohibiting abusive and fraudulent behavior) with respect to one property may subject other facilities under common control or ownership to sanctions, including exclusion from participation in the Medicare and Medicaid programs, as well as other government healthcare programs. In the ordinary course of its business, a property operator is regularly subjected to inquiries, investigations, and audits by the federal and state agencies that oversee these laws and regulations.

Long-term/post-acute care facilities (and seniors housing facilities that receive Medicaid payments) are also subject to the Federal Anti-Kickback Statute, which generally prohibits persons from offering, providing, soliciting, or receiving remuneration to induce either the referral of an individual or the furnishing of a good or service for which payment may be made under a federal healthcare program, such as Medicare or Medicaid. Long-term/post-acute care facilities are also subject to the Federal Ethics in Patient Referral Act of 1989, commonly referred to as the Stark Law. The Stark Law generally prohibits the submission of claims to Medicare for payment if the claim results from a physician referral for certain designated services and the physician has a financial relationship with the health service provider that does not qualify under one of the exceptions for a financial relationship under the Stark Law. Similar prohibitions on physician self-referrals and submission of claims apply to state Medicaid programs. Further, long-term/post-acute care facilities (and seniors housing facilities that receive Medicaid payments) are subject to substantial financial penalties under the Civil Monetary Penalties Act and the Federal False Claims Act and, in particular, actions under the Federal False Claims Act's "whistleblower" provisions. Private enforcement of healthcare fraud has increased due in large part to amendments to the Federal False Claims Act that encourage private individuals to sue on behalf of the government. These whistleblower suits brought by private individuals, known as qui tam actions, may be filed by almost anyone, including present and former patients, nurses and other employees, and competitors. Significantly, if a claim is successfully adjudicated, the Federal False Claims Act provides for treble damages and a civil penalty of up to \$22,363 per claim.

Prosecutions, investigations, or whistleblower actions could have a material adverse effect on a property operator's liquidity, financial condition, and operations, which could adversely affect the ability of the operator to meet its financial obligations to us. Finally, various state false claim act and anti-kickback laws may also apply to each property operator. Violation of any of the foregoing statutes can result in criminal and/or civil penalties that could have a material adverse effect on the ability of an operator to meet its financial obligations to us.

Other legislative developments, including the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 ("HIPAA"), have greatly expanded the definition of healthcare fraud and related offenses and broadened its scope to include private healthcare plans in addition to government payors. Congress also has greatly increased funding for the Department of Justice, Federal Bureau of Investigation and The Office of the Inspector General ("OIG") to audit, investigate and prosecute suspected healthcare fraud. Moreover, a significant portion of the billions in healthcare fraud recoveries over the past several years has also been returned to government agencies to further fund their fraud investigation and prosecution efforts.



Additionally, other HIPAA provisions and regulations provide for communication of health information through standard electronic transaction formats and for the privacy and security of health information. In order to comply with the regulations, healthcare providers often must undertake significant operational and technical implementation efforts. Operators also may face significant financial exposure if they fail to maintain the privacy and security of medical records and other personal health information about individuals. The Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health (“HITECH”) Act, passed in February 2009, strengthened the Department of Health and Human Services (“HHS”) Secretary’s authority to impose civil money penalties for HIPAA violations occurring after February 18, 2009. HITECH directs the HHS Secretary to provide for periodic audits to ensure covered entities and their business associates (as that term is defined under HIPAA) comply with the applicable HITECH requirements, increasing the likelihood that a HIPAA violation will result in an enforcement action. The U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (“CMS”) issued an interim Final Rule which conformed HIPAA enforcement regulations to HITECH, increasing the maximum penalty for multiple violations of a single requirement or prohibition to \$1.5 million. Higher penalties may accrue for violations of multiple requirements or prohibitions. Additionally, on January 17, 2013, CMS released an omnibus final rule, which expands the applicability of HIPAA and HITECH and strengthens the government’s ability to enforce these laws. The final rule broadens the definition of “business associate” and provides for civil money penalty liability against covered entities and business associates for the acts of their agents regardless of whether a business associate agreement is in place. This rule also modified the standard for when a breach of unsecured personally identifiable health information must be reported. Some covered entities have entered into settlement agreements with HHS for allegedly failing to adopt policies and procedures sufficient to implement the breach notification provisions in the HITECH Act. Additionally, the final rule adopts certain changes to the HIPAA enforcement regulations to incorporate the increased and tiered civil monetary penalty structure provided by HITECH, and makes business associates of covered entities directly liable under HIPAA for compliance with certain of the HIPAA privacy standards and HIPAA security standards. HIPAA violations are also potentially subject to criminal penalties.

There has been an increased federal and state HIPAA privacy and security enforcement effort and we expect this trend to continue. Under HITECH, state attorneys general have the right to prosecute HIPAA violations committed against residents of their states. Several such actions have already been brought against both covered entities and a business associate, and continued enforcement actions are likely to occur in the future. In addition, HITECH mandates that the Secretary of HHS conduct periodic compliance audits of HIPAA covered entities and business associates. It also tasks HHS with establishing a methodology whereby individuals who are harmed by HIPAA violations may receive a percentage of the civil monetary penalty fine or monetary settlement paid by the violator.

In addition to HIPAA, numerous other state and federal laws govern the collection, dissemination, use, access to and confidentiality of individually identifiable health information. In addition, some states are considering new laws and regulations that further protect the confidentiality, privacy or security of medical records or other types of medical or personal information. These laws may be similar to or even more stringent than the federal provisions and are not preempted by HIPAA. Not only may some of these state laws impose fines and penalties upon violators, but some afford private rights of action to individuals who believe their personal information has been misused.

Also with respect to HIPAA, in September, 2015, OIG issued two reports calling for better privacy oversight of covered entities by the CMS Office for Civil Rights (“OCR”). The first report, titled “OCR Should Strengthen its Oversight of Covered Entities’ Compliance with the HIPAA Privacy Standards,” found that OCR’s oversight is primarily reactive, as OCR has not fully implemented the required audit program to proactively assess possible noncompliance from covered entities. OIG recommended, among other things, that OCR fully implement a permanent audit program and develop a policy requiring OCR staff to check whether covered entities had previously been investigated for noncompliance. The second report, titled “OCR Should Strengthen its Follow-up of Breaches of Patient Information Reported by Covered Entities,” found that (1) OCR did not record corrective action information for 23% of closed “large-breach” cases in which it made determinations of noncompliance, and (2) OCR did not record “small-breach”



information in its case-tracking system, which limits its ability to track and identify covered entities with multiple small breaches. OIG recommended, among other things, that OCR enter small-breach information into its case-tracking system and maintain complete documentation of corrective actions taken. OCR agreed with OIG's recommendations in both reports. If followed, these reports and recommendations may impact our tenants.

More recently with respect to HIPAA, OCR announced on March 21, 2016, that it has begun a new phase of audits of covered entities and their business associates. OCR stated that it will review policies and procedures adopted and employed by covered entities and their business associates to meet selected standards and implementation specifications of the HIPAA Privacy, Security, and Breach Notification Rules.

Congress has significantly increased funding to the governmental agencies charged with enforcing the healthcare fraud and abuse laws to facilitate increased audits, investigations and prosecutions of providers suspected of healthcare fraud. As a result, government investigations and enforcement actions brought against healthcare providers have increased significantly in recent years and are expected to continue. A violation of federal or state anti-fraud and abuse laws or regulations, or other related laws or regulations discussed above, by a tenant of our properties could have a material adverse effect on the tenant's liquidity, financial condition or results of operations, which could adversely affect its ability to satisfy its contractual obligations, including making rental payments under and otherwise complying with the terms of our leases.

#### Government Reimbursement

The majority of skilled nursing facilities' ("SNF") reimbursement is through Medicare and Medicaid. These programs are often their largest source of funding. Senior housing communities generally do not receive funding from Medicare or Medicaid, but their ability to retain their residents is impacted by policy decisions and initiatives established by the administrators of Medicare and Medicaid. In March 2010, President Obama signed into law the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act and the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 (collectively, the "Healthcare Reform Law"). The passage of the Healthcare Reform Law allowed formerly uninsured Americans to acquire coverage and utilize additional healthcare services. In addition, the Healthcare Reform Law gave the CMS new authorities to implement Medicaid waiver and pilot programs that impact healthcare and long term custodial care reimbursement by Medicare and Medicaid. These activities promote "aging in place", allowing senior citizens to stay longer in seniors housing communities, and diverting or delaying their admission into SNFs. The potential risks that accompany these regulatory and market changes are discussed below.

- Enabled by the Medicare Modernization Act (2003) and subsequent laws, Medicare and Medicaid have implemented pilot programs (officially termed demonstrations or models) to "divert" elderly from SNFs and promote "aging in place" in "the least restrictive environment." Several states have implemented Home and Community-based Medicaid waiver programs that increase the support services available to senior citizens in senior housing, lengthening the time that many seniors can live outside of a SNF. These Medicaid waiver programs are subject to re-approval and pilots are time-limited. Roll-back or expiration of these programs could have an adverse effect on the senior housing market.

Changes in certification and participation requirements of the Medicare and Medicaid programs have restricted, and are likely to continue to restrict further, eligibility for reimbursement under those programs. On July 16, 2015, CMS issued a proposed rule that, for the first time in nearly 25 years, would comprehensively update the SNF requirements for participation under Medicare and Medicaid. Among other things, the proposed rule addresses requirements relating to quality of care and quality of life, facility responsibilities and staffing considerations, resident assessments, and compliance and ethics programs. We cannot accurately predict the effect the final rule will have on our tenants' business once it is promulgated. Failure to obtain and maintain Medicare and Medicaid certification by our tenants would result in denial of Medicare and Medicaid payments which would likely result in a significant loss of revenue. In addition, private payors, including managed care payors, increasingly are demanding that providers accept discounted payments resulting in lost revenue for specific patients. Efforts to impose reduced payments, greater discounts and more stringent cost controls by government and other payors are expected to continue. Any reforms that significantly limit rates of reimbursement under the Medicare and Medicaid programs could have a material adverse effect on our tenants' profitability and cash flows which, in turn, could adversely affect their ability to satisfy their obligations to us. We are unable to predict what reform proposals or reimbursement limitations will be

adopted in the future or the effect such changes will have on our tenants' operations. No assurance can be given that such reforms will not have a material adverse effect on our tenants or on their ability to fulfill their obligations to us.

14

---

As a result of the Healthcare Reform Law, and specifically Medicaid expansion and establishment of Health Insurance Exchanges providing subsidized health insurance, more Americans have health insurance. These newly-insured Americans utilize services delivered by providers at medical buildings and other healthcare facilities. The Healthcare Reform Law remains controversial and continued attempts to repeal or reverse aspects of the law (see discussion in Part I, Item 1A, "Risk Factors" in this Annual Report concerning a possible repeal of Healthcare Reform Law following the 2016 presidential election) could result in insured individuals losing coverage, and consequently foregoing services offered by provider tenants in medical buildings and other healthcare facilities. On June 28, 2012, the United States Supreme Court upheld the individual mandate of the Healthcare Reform Law but partially invalidated the expansion of Medicaid. The ruling on Medicaid expansion will allow states not to participate in the expansion - and to forego funding for the Medicaid expansion - without losing their existing Medicaid funding. Given that the federal government substantially funds the Medicaid expansion, it is unclear how many states will ultimately pursue this option. The participation by states in the Medicaid expansion could have the dual effect of increasing our tenants' revenues, through new patients, but could also further strain state budgets. While the federal government paid for approximately 100% of those additional costs from 2014 to 2016, the federal matching rate decreased to 94% in 2018. We cannot predict whether other current or future efforts to repeal or amend the Healthcare Reform Law will be successful. Even absent changes to the Healthcare Reform Law, the executive branch of the federal government may make significant changes to the enforcement and implementation of Healthcare Reform Law requirements. We cannot predict the impact that any such repeal or amendment of the Healthcare Reform Law or related action by the executive branch would have on our operators or tenants and their ability to meet their obligations to us. We cannot predict whether the existing Healthcare Reform Law, or future healthcare reform legislation or regulatory changes, will have a material impact on our operators' or tenants' property or business. If the operations, cash flows or financial condition of our operators and tenants are materially adversely impacted by the Healthcare Reform Law or future legislation, our revenue and operations may be adversely affected as well.

The CMS is currently in the midst of transitioning Medicare from a traditional fee for service reimbursement model to capitated, value-based, and bundled payment approaches in which the government pays a set amount for each beneficiary for a defined period of time, based on that person's underlying medical needs, rather than the actual services provided. The result is increasing use of management tools to oversee individual providers and coordinate their services. This puts downward pressure on the number and expense of services provided. Roughly eight million Medicare beneficiaries now receive care via Accountable Care Organizations, and Medicare Advantage health plans now provide care for roughly seventeen million Medicare beneficiaries. The continued trend toward capitated, value-based, and bundled payment approaches has the potential to diminish the market for certain healthcare providers. In addition, on April 1, 2014, the Protecting Access to Medicare Act of 2014 was enacted, which implements value-based purchasing for SNFs. Beginning in fiscal year 2019, 2% of SNF payments will be withheld and approximately 50% to 70% of the amount withheld will be paid to SNFs through value-based payments. SNFs began reporting the claims-based 30-Day All-Cause Readmission Measure on October 1, 2015 and began reporting a resource use measure on October 1, 2016. Both measures are publicly available.

In October 2015, the U.S. Government Accountability Office ("GAO") released a report recommending that CMS continue to improve data and oversight of nursing home quality measures. The GAO found that although CMS collects several types of data that give some insight into the quality of nursing homes, the data could provide a clearer picture of nursing home quality if some underlying problems with the data (i.e., the use of self-reported data and non-standardized survey methodologies) are corrected. The GAO recommended, among other things, that CMS implement a clear plan for ongoing auditing of self-reported data and establish a process for monitoring oversight modifications to better assess their effects. According to the GAO, timely completion of these actions is particularly important because Medicare payments to nursing homes will be dependent on quality data, through the implementation of the value based purchasing program, starting in fiscal year 2019. HHS agreed with the GAO's recommendations, and to the extent such recommendations are implemented, they could impact our operators and tenants.

The majority of Medicare payments continue to be made through traditional Medicare Part A and Part B fee-for-service schedules. The Medicare and CHIP (Children's Health Insurance Program) Reauthorization Act of 2015 ("MACRA") addressed the risk of a Sustainable Growth Rate cut in Medicare payments for physician services. However, other annual Medicare payment regulations, particularly with respect to certain hospitals, skilled nursing care, and home health services have resulted in lower net pay increases than providers of those services have often expected. In addition, MACRA established a multi-year transition into pay-for-quality approaches for Medicare physicians and other providers. This includes payment reductions for providers who do not meet government quality standards. The implementation of pay-for-quality models is expected to produce funding disparities that could adversely impact some provider tenants in medical buildings and other healthcare properties.

Medicare reimburses nursing centers under a fixed payment methodology named the Skilled Nursing Facility Prospective Payment System ("SNF PPS"). SNF PPS is an acuity based classification system that uses nursing and therapy indexes adjusted by geographical wage indexes to calculate per diem rates for each Medicare patient. Payment rates are updated annually and are generally increased or decreased each October when the federal fiscal year begins. On July 29, 2016, CMS released its final rule outlining the fiscal year 2017 Medicare payments for SNFs, which began October 1, 2016. The 2017 final rule provided for an approximate 2.4% rate update. This estimated increase consisted of a 2.7% market basket increase, reduced by a 0.3% multi-factor productivity required by the Healthcare Reform Law. CMS estimated the update would increase overall payments to SNFs in fiscal year 2017 by \$920 million compared to fiscal year 2016 levels.

In January 2016, the Medicare Payment Advisory Commission finalized its recommendations, among other things advising Congress to eliminate market basket updates for SNFs for fiscal years 2017 and 2018 and directing the Secretary of HHS to revise the SNF prospective payment system. The OIG has increased focus in recent years on billing practices by SNFs. In September 2015, OIG issued a report calling for reevaluation of the Medicare payment system for SNFs. In particular, OIG found that Medicare payments for therapy greatly exceeded SNFs' costs for therapy, and that, under the current payment system, SNFs increasingly billed for the highest level of therapy even though key beneficiary characteristics remained largely the same. OIG determined that its findings demonstrated the need for CMS to reevaluate the Medicare SNF payment system, concluding that payment reform could save Medicare billions of dollars and encourage SNFs to provide services that are better aligned with beneficiaries' care needs. OIG issued (1) its findings regarding the fiscal year 2015 Top Management and Performance Challenges Facing HHS and (2) the FY 2016 OIG Work Plan. Both cited SNF billing as an area that creates incentives for providers to bill more expensive care instead of the appropriate levels of care, requiring ongoing government monitoring and auditing for compliance. The OIG formulates a formal work plan each year for nursing centers. The OIG's most recent work plan indicates that among other things, the OIG's investigative and review focus in 2018 for nursing facilities will include analysis of resident diagnosis to address issues with quality of care reporting and investigation of abuse or neglect within the facilities. If followed, these reports and recommendations may impact our tenants. We cannot predict the likelihood, scope or outcome of any such investigations on our tenants if these recommendations are implemented.

On April 27, 2016, CMS added six new quality measures to its consumer-based Nursing Home Compare website. These quality measures include the rate of rehospitalization, emergency room use, community discharge, and improvements in function, independently worsened and antianxiety or hypnotic medication among nursing home residents. CMS incorporates all of these measures into the calculation of the Nursing Home Five-Star Quality Ratings.

On July 29, 2016, CMS issued its final rule laying out the performance standards relating to preventable hospital readmissions from SNFs. The final rule includes the SNF 30-day All Cause Readmission Measure, which assesses the risk-standardized rates of all-cause, all conditions, unplanned inpatient readmissions for Medicare fee-for-service patients of SNFs within 30 days of discharge from admission to an inpatient prospective payment system (IPPS) hospital, critical access hospital (CAH), or psychiatric hospital. The final rule includes the SNF 30-Day Potentially Preventable Readmission Measure as the SNF all condition risk adjusted potentially preventable hospital readmission measure. This measure assesses the facility-level risk-standardized rate of unplanned, potentially preventable hospital readmissions for SNF patients within 30 days of discharge from a prior admission to an IPPS hospital, CAH, or

psychiatric hospital. Hospital readmissions include readmissions to a short-stay acute-care hospital or CAH, with a diagnosis considered to be unplanned and potentially preventable.

16

---

On September 16, 2016, CMS issued its final rule concerning emergency preparedness requirements for Medicare and Medicaid participating providers, including long-term care facilities and intermediate care facilities for individuals with intellectual disabilities. The rule is designed to ensure providers and suppliers have comprehensive and integrated emergency policies and procedures in place, in particular during natural and man-made disasters. Under the rule, facilities are required to (i) document risk assessment and emergency planning, (ii) develop and implement policies and procedures based on that risk assessment, (iii) develop and maintain an emergency preparedness communication plan in compliance with both federal and state law, and (iv) develop and maintain an emergency-preparedness training and testing program. Facilities were required to have been in compliance with these regulations by November 15, 2017. We cannot predict the impact of these regulations on our tenants.

On July 31, 2017, CMS released its final rule outlining fiscal year 2018 Medicare payment rates and quality programs for SNFs. The policies in the final rule continue to shift Medicare payments from volume to value. CMS projects that aggregate payments to SNFs will increase by a net 1.0% for fiscal year 2018. This estimated increase reflected a 1.0% market basket increase required under Healthcare Reform Law. This final rule also further defines the SNFs' Quality Reporting Program and clarifies the Value-Based Purchasing Program to establish performance standards, baseline and performance periods, performance scoring methodology and feedback reports. CMS projects that the update will increase overall payments to SNFs in fiscal year 2018 by \$370 million compared to fiscal year 2017 levels. The effect of the 2018 PPS rate update on our tenants' revenues will be dependent upon their census and the mix of patients at the various PPS pay rates. In addition, we cannot predict how future changes may impact reimbursement rates under the SNF PPS system.

On February 8, 2018, President Trump signed into law the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2018 (The "BBA") extending the reduction in Medicare provider payments commonly called the "sequestration." This automatic payment reduction remains at 2% and applies to all Medicare physician claims and certain other claims, including physician-administered medications, submitted after April 1, 2013. Scheduled to expire in 2025, the BBA extended the sequestration through 2027.

We are neither an ongoing participant in, nor a direct recipient of, any reimbursement under these programs with respect to our facilities. However, a significant portion of the revenue of the healthcare operators to which we lease and sublease properties is derived from governmentally-funded reimbursement programs, and any adverse change in such programs could negatively impact an operator's ability to meet its obligations to us.

#### Environmental Regulation

As an owner of real property, we are subject to various federal, state and local laws and regulations regarding environmental, health and safety matters.

These laws and regulations address, among other things, asbestos, polychlorinated biphenyls, fuel oil management, wastewater discharges, air emissions, radioactive materials, medical wastes, and hazardous wastes, and, in certain cases, the costs of complying with these laws and regulations and the penalties for non-compliance can be substantial. Although we do not currently operate or manage our properties, we may be held primarily or jointly and severally liable for costs relating to the investigation and clean-up of our current and former properties from which there is or has been an actual or threatened release of a regulated material and any other affected properties, regardless of whether we knew of or caused the release. Such costs typically are not limited by law or regulation and could exceed the property's value. In addition, we may be liable for certain other costs, such as governmental fines and injuries to persons, property or natural resources, as a result of any such actual or threatened release.

Under the terms of our leases, we generally have a right to indemnification by the tenants of our properties for any contamination caused by them. However, we cannot be assured that our tenants will have the financial capability or willingness to satisfy their respective indemnification obligations to us, and any failure, inability or unwillingness to do so may require us to satisfy the underlying environmental claims. In general, we have also agreed to indemnify our tenants against any environmental claims (including penalties and clean-up costs) resulting from any condition arising

in, on or under, or relating to, our properties at any time before the applicable lease commencement date.

We did not make any material capital expenditures in connection with environmental, health, and safety laws, ordinances and regulations in 2016 or 2017.

17

---



## Employees

As of December 31, 2017, we had 16 employees of which 12 were full-time employees (excluding facility-level employees related to the Company's management services agreement for three facilities in Ohio).

### Item 1A. Risk Factors

The following are certain risk factors that could affect our business, operations and financial condition. These risk factors should be considered in connection with evaluating the forward-looking statements contained in this Annual Report because these factors could cause the actual results and conditions to differ materially from those projected in forward-looking statements. This section does not describe all risks applicable to our business, and we intend it only as a summary of certain material factors. If any of the following risks actually occur, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be negatively affected. In that case, the trading price of the common stock and the Series A Preferred Stock could decline.

#### Risks Related to Our Business

If we are unable to resolve our professional and general liability actions on terms acceptable to us, then it could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operation.

The Company is a defendant in various legal actions and administrative proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business, including claims that the services the Company provided during the time it operated skilled nursing facilities resulted in injury or death to former patients. Although the Company settles cases from time to time if settlement is advantageous to the Company, the Company vigorously defends any matter in which it believes the claims lack merit and the Company has a reasonable chance to prevail at trial or in arbitration. Litigation is inherently unpredictable and there is risk in the Company's strategy of aggressively defending these cases. There is no assurance that the outcomes of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition.

The Company is a defendant in 37 professional and general liability actions commenced on behalf of former patients. These actions generally seek unspecified compensatory and punitive damages for former patients who were allegedly injured or died due to professional negligence or understaffing while patients of facilities operated by the Company. Of these 37 actions, the Company reached a settlement in principle with respect to 25 of such actions as discussed under Part I, Item 3., "Legal Proceedings." Of the remaining 12 actions not subject to the settlement in principle, two of such actions are covered by insurance, except that any award of punitive damages would be excluded from such coverage.

The Company has self-insured against professional and general liability claims since it discontinued its healthcare operations in connection with its Transition. The Company has established a self-insurance reserve with respect to the pending actions included within "Accrued expenses and other" in the Company's consolidated balance sheets, of \$5.1 million and \$6.9 million at December 31, 2017, and December 31, 2016, respectively. Additionally, at December 31, 2017, \$0.2 million was reserved in "Other liabilities" and \$0.5 million in "Accounts payable" in the Company's consolidated balance sheet. See Note 15 - Commitments and Contingencies to our audited consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8., "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data." Also see "Critical Accounting Policies - Self Insurance Reserve" and "Liquidity and Capital Resources - Cash Requirements" in Part II, Item 7., "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations."

The Company believes that most of the professional and general liability actions are defensible and intends to defend them through final judgement, unless settlement is more advantageous to the Company. Accordingly, the self-insurance reserve primarily reflects the Company's estimate of settlement amounts for the pending actions, as

appropriate, and legal costs of settling or litigating the pending actions, as applicable.

Because the self-insurance reserve is based on estimates, the amount of the self-insurance reserve may not be sufficient to cover the settlement amounts actually incurred in settling the pending actions, or the legal costs actually incurred in settling or litigating the pending actions. The amount of the self-insurance reserve may increase, perhaps by a material amount, in any given period, particularly if the Company determines that it has probable exposure in one or more actions. If we are unable to resolve the pending actions on terms acceptable to us, then it could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. We have a history of operating losses and may incur losses in the future.

Our leases with tenants comprise our rental revenue and any failure, inability or unwillingness by these tenants to satisfy their obligations under our agreements could have a material adverse effect on us.

Our business depends upon our tenants meeting their obligations to us, including their obligations to pay rent, maintain certain insurance coverage, pay real estate and other taxes and maintain and repair the leased properties. We cannot assure you that these tenants will have sufficient assets, income and access to financing to enable them to satisfy their respective obligations to us, and any failure, inability or unwillingness by these tenants to do so could have a material adverse effect on us. In addition, any failure by these tenants to effectively conduct their operations or to maintain and improve our properties could adversely affect their business reputation and their ability to attract and retain patients and residents in our properties, which could have a material adverse effect on us. Our tenants have agreed to indemnify, defend and hold us harmless from and against various claims, litigation and liabilities arising in connection with their respective businesses, and we cannot assure you that our tenants will have sufficient assets, income, access to financing and insurance coverage to enable them to satisfy their respective indemnification obligations.

We depend on affiliates of C.R Management and Beacon Health Management for a significant portion of our revenues and any inability or unwillingness by such entities to satisfy their obligations to us could have a material adverse effect on us.

Our 27 properties (excluding the three facilities that are managed by us) are operated by a total of 27 separate tenants, with each of our tenants being affiliated with one of six local or regionally-focused operators. We refer to our tenants who are affiliated with the same operator as a group of affiliated tenants. Each of our operators operate (through a group of affiliated tenants) between two and eight of our facilities, with our most significant operators, C.R Management and Beacon Health Management, each operating (through a group of affiliated tenants) eight and seven facilities, respectively. We, therefore depend, on tenants who are affiliated with C.R Management and Beacon Health Management for a significant portion of our revenues. We cannot assure you that the tenants affiliated with C.R Management and Beacon Health Management will have sufficient assets, income and access to financing to enable them to make rental payments to us or to otherwise satisfy their obligations under the applicable leases and subleases, and any inability or unwillingness by such tenants to do so could have a material adverse effect on us.

A prolonged economic slowdown could adversely impact the results of operations of our tenants, which could impair their ability to meet their obligations to us.

We believe the risks associated with our investments will be more acute during periods of economic slowdown or recession (such as the most recent recession) due to the adverse impact caused by various factors, including inflation, deflation, increased unemployment, volatile energy costs, geopolitical issues, the availability and cost of credit, the U.S. mortgage market, a distressed real estate market, market volatility and weakened business and consumer confidence. This difficult operating environment caused by an economic slowdown or recession could have an adverse impact on the ability of our tenants to maintain occupancy rates, which could harm their financial condition. Any sustained period of increased payment delinquencies, foreclosures or losses by our tenants could adversely affect our income from investments in our portfolio.

Increased competition, as well as increased operating costs, could result in lower revenues for some of our tenants and may affect their ability to meet their obligations to us.

The long-term care industry is highly competitive, and we expect that it will become more competitive in the future. Our tenants are competing with numerous other companies providing similar healthcare services or alternatives such as home health agencies, life care at home, community-based service programs, retirement communities and convalescent centers. Our tenants compete on a number of different levels, including the quality of care provided,

reputation, the physical appearance of a facility, price, the range of services offered, family preference, alternatives for healthcare delivery, the supply of competing properties, physicians, staff, referral sources, location and the size and demographics of the population in the surrounding areas. We cannot be certain that the tenants of all of our facilities will be able to achieve occupancy and rate levels that will enable them to meet all of their obligations to us. Our tenants may encounter increased competition in the future that could limit their ability to attract patients or residents or expand their businesses and, therefore, affect their ability to make their lease payments.

In addition, the market for qualified nurses, healthcare professionals and other key personnel is highly competitive, and our tenants may experience difficulties in attracting and retaining qualified personnel. Increases in labor costs due to higher wages and greater benefits required to attract and retain qualified healthcare personnel incurred by our tenants could affect their ability to meet their obligations to us. This situation could be particularly acute in certain states that have enacted legislation establishing minimum staffing requirements.

Disasters and other adverse events may seriously harm our business.

Our facilities and our business may suffer harm as a result of natural or man-made disasters such as storms, earthquakes, hurricanes, tornadoes, floods, fires, terrorist attacks and other conditions. The impact, or impending threat, of such events may require that our tenants evacuate one or more facilities, which could be costly and would involve risks, including potentially fatal risks, for their patients. The impact of disasters and similar events is inherently uncertain. Such events could harm our tenants' patients and employees, severely damage or destroy one or more of our facilities, harm our tenants' business, reputation and financial performance, or otherwise cause our tenants' businesses to suffer in ways that we currently cannot predict.

A severe cold and flu season, epidemics, or any other widespread illnesses could adversely affect the occupancy of our tenants' facilities.

Our and our tenants' revenues are dependent upon occupancy. It is impossible to predict the severity of the cold and flu season or the occurrence of epidemics or any other widespread illnesses. The occupancy of our skilled nursing and assisted living facilities could significantly decrease in the event of a severe cold and flu season, an epidemic, or any other widespread illness. Such a decrease could affect the operating income of our tenants and the ability of our tenants to make payments to us.

The bankruptcy, insolvency or financial deterioration of our tenants could limit or delay our ability to collect unpaid rents or require us to find new tenants.

We are exposed to the risk that a distressed tenant may not be able to meet its obligations to us or other third parties. This risk is heightened during a period of economic or political instability. We are also exposed to increased risk in situations where we lease multiple properties to a single tenant (or affiliated tenants) under a master lease, as a tenant failure or default could reduce or eliminate rental revenue from multiple properties. If tenants are unable to comply with the terms of their leases, then we may be forced to modify the leases in ways that are unfavorable to us. Alternatively, the failure of a tenant to perform under a lease could require us to declare a default, repossess the property, find a suitable replacement tenant, hire third-party managers to operate the property or sell the property. There is no assurance that we would be able to lease a property on substantially equivalent or better terms than the prior lease, or at all, find another qualified tenant, successfully reposition the property for other uses or sell the property on terms that are favorable to us. It may be more difficult to find a replacement tenant for a healthcare property than it would be to find a replacement tenant for a general commercial property due to the specialized nature of the business. Even if we are able to find a suitable replacement tenant for a property, transfers of operations of skilled nursing facilities and assisted living facilities are subject to regulatory approvals not required for transfers of other types of commercial operations, which may affect our ability to successfully transition a property.

If any lease expires or is terminated, then we could be responsible for all of the operating expenses for that property until it is leased again or sold. If a significant number of our properties are unleased, then our operating expenses could increase significantly. Any significant increase in our operating costs may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations, and our ability to pay dividends to our shareholders. Furthermore, to the extent we operate such property for an indeterminate amount of time, we would be subject to the various risks our tenants assume as operators and potentially fail to qualify as a REIT in any given year.

Although each of our lease agreements typically provides us with, or will provide us with, the right to terminate, evict a tenant, foreclose on our collateral, demand immediate payment and exercise other remedies upon the bankruptcy or insolvency of a tenant, the law relating to bankruptcy as codified and enacted as Title 11 of the United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code") would limit or, at a minimum, delay our ability to collect unpaid pre-bankruptcy rents and to pursue other remedies against a bankrupt tenant. A bankruptcy filing by one of our tenants would typically prevent us from collecting unpaid pre-bankruptcy rents or evicting the tenant absent approval of the bankruptcy court. The Bankruptcy Code provides a tenant with the option to assume or reject an unexpired lease within certain specified periods of time. Generally, a lessee is required to pay all rent that becomes payable between the date of its bankruptcy filing and the date of the assumption or rejection of the lease (although such payments will likely be delayed as a result of the bankruptcy filing). Any tenant that chooses to assume its lease with us must cure all monetary defaults existing under the lease (including payment of unpaid pre-bankruptcy rents) and provide adequate assurance of its ability to perform its future obligations under the lease. Any tenant that opts to reject its lease with us would face a claim by us for unpaid and future rents payable under the lease, but such claim would be subject to a statutory "cap" and would generally result in a recovery substantially less than the face value of such claim. Although the tenant's rejection of the lease would permit us to recover possession of the leased facility, we would likely face losses, costs and delays associated with re-leasing the facility to a new tenant.

Several other factors could impact our rights under leases with bankrupt tenants. First, the tenant could seek to assign its lease with us to a third party. The Bankruptcy Code generally disregards anti-assignment provisions in leases to permit the assignment of unexpired leases to third parties (provided all monetary defaults under the lease are cured and the third party can demonstrate its ability to perform its obligations under the lease). Second, in instances in which we have entered into a master lease agreement with a tenant that operates more than one facility, the bankruptcy court could determine that the master lease was comprised of separate, divisible leases (each of which could be separately assumed or rejected), rather than a single, integrated lease (which would have to be assumed or rejected in its entirety). Finally, the bankruptcy court could recharacterize our lease agreement as a disguised financing arrangement, which could require us to receive bankruptcy court approval to foreclose or pursue other remedies with respect to the facility.

In 2016, New Beginnings and its affiliates (including a former tenant of the Company), who operated the Oceanside Facility, the Savannah Beach Facility and the Jeffersonville Facility, filed petitions to reorganize their finances under the Bankruptcy Code. We give no assurance that our current tenants will not undergo bankruptcy, insolvency or financial deterioration that could have a material adverse effect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

If we must replace any of our tenants, we might be unable to rent the properties on as favorable terms, or at all, and we could be subject to delays, limitations and expenses, which could have a material adverse effect on us.

We cannot predict whether our tenants will renew existing leases beyond their current term. If any of our triple-net leases are not renewed, we would attempt to rent those properties to another tenant. In addition, following expiration of a lease term or if we exercise our right to replace a tenant in default, rental payments on the related properties could decline or cease altogether while we reposition the properties with a suitable replacement tenant. We also might not be successful in identifying suitable replacements or entering into leases or other arrangements with new tenants on a timely basis or on terms as favorable to us as our current leases, if at all, and we may be required to fund certain expenses and obligations (e.g., real estate and bed taxes, and maintenance expenses) to preserve the value of, and avoid the imposition of liens on, our properties while they are being repositioned. In addition, we may incur certain obligations and liabilities, including obligations to indemnify the replacement tenant, which could have a material adverse effect on us.

In the event of non-renewal or a tenant default, our ability to reposition our properties with a suitable replacement tenant could be significantly delayed or limited by state licensing, receivership, CON or other laws, as well as by the Medicare and Medicaid change-of-ownership rules, and we could incur substantial additional expenses in connection with any licensing, receivership or change-of-ownership proceedings. Our ability to locate and attract suitable replacement tenants also could be impaired by the specialized healthcare uses or contractual restrictions on use of the properties, and we may be forced to spend substantial amounts to adapt the properties to other uses. Any such delays, limitations and expenses could adversely impact our ability to collect rent, obtain possession of leased properties or otherwise exercise remedies for tenant default and could have a material adverse effect on us.

Moreover, in connection with certain of our properties, we have entered into intercreditor agreements with the tenants' lenders or tri-party agreements with our lenders. Our ability to exercise remedies under the applicable leases or to reposition the applicable properties may be significantly delayed or limited by the terms of the intercreditor agreement or tri-party agreement. Any such delay or limit on our rights and remedies could adversely affect our ability to mitigate our losses and could have a material adverse effect on us.

Our tenants may be subject to significant legal actions that could result in their increased operating costs and substantial uninsured liabilities, which may affect their ability to meet their obligations to us.

As is typical in the long term care industry, our tenants may be subject to claims for damages relating to the services that they provide. We give no assurance that the insurance coverage maintained by our tenants will cover all claims made against them or continue to be available at a reasonable cost, if at all. In some states, insurance coverage for the risk of punitive damages may not, in certain cases, be available to operators due to state law prohibitions or limitations of availability. As a result, our tenants doing business in these states may be liable for punitive damage awards that are either not covered by their insurance or are in excess of their insurance policy limits.

We also believe that there has been, and will continue to be, an increase in governmental investigations of long-term care providers, particularly in the area of Medicare/Medicaid false claims, as well as an increase in enforcement actions resulting from these investigations. The OIG, the enforcement arm of the Medicare and Medicaid programs, formulates a formal work plan each year for nursing centers. The OIG's most recent work plan indicates that, among other things, the OIG's investigative and review focus in 2017 for nursing facilities will include complaint investigations by state agencies, unreported incidents of potential abuse and neglect, reimbursement, background checks, compliance with prospective payment requirements, and potentially avoidable hospitalizations. We cannot predict the likelihood, scope or outcome of any such investigations and reviews with respect to our facilities or our tenants. Insurance is not available to our tenants to cover such losses. Any adverse determination in a legal proceeding or governmental investigation, whether currently asserted or arising in the future, could have a material adverse effect on a tenant's financial condition. If a tenant is unable to obtain or maintain insurance coverage, if judgments are obtained in excess of the insurance coverage, if a tenant is required to pay uninsured punitive damages, or if a tenant is subject to an uninsurable government enforcement action, then such tenant could be exposed to substantial additional liabilities. Such liabilities could adversely affect a tenant's ability to meet its obligations to us.

In addition, we may, in some circumstances, be named as a defendant in litigation involving the services provided by our tenants. Although we generally have no involvement in the services provided by our tenants, and our standard lease agreements generally require (or will require) our tenants to indemnify us and carry insurance to cover us in certain cases, a significant judgment against us in such litigation could exceed our and our tenants' insurance coverage, which would require us to make payments to cover any such judgment.

Our tenants may be sued under a federal whistleblower statute.

Our tenants who engage in business with the federal government may be sued under a federal whistleblower statute designed to combat fraud and abuse in the healthcare industry. See "Governmental Regulation-Healthcare Regulation" in Part I, Item 1, "Business" in this Annual Report. These lawsuits can involve significant monetary damages and award bounties to private plaintiffs who successfully bring these suits. If any of these lawsuits were brought against our tenants, such suits combined with increased operating costs and substantial uninsured liabilities could have a material adverse effect on our tenants' liquidity, financial condition and results of operations and on their ability to satisfy their obligations under our leases, which, in turn, could have a material adverse effect on us.



The amount and scope of insurance coverage provided by policies maintained by our tenants may not adequately insure against losses.

We maintain or require in our leases that our tenants maintain all applicable lines of insurance on our properties and their operations. Although we regularly review the amount and scope of insurance maintained by our tenants and believe the coverage provided to be customary for similarly situated companies in our industry, we cannot assure you that our tenants will continue to be able to maintain adequate levels of insurance. We also cannot assure you that our tenants will maintain the required coverages, that we will continue to require the same levels of insurance under our leases, that such insurance will be available at a reasonable cost in the future or that the policies maintained will fully cover all losses on our properties upon the occurrence of a catastrophic event, nor can we make any guaranty as to the future financial viability of the insurers that underwrite the policies maintained by our tenants.

For various reasons, including to reduce and manage costs, many healthcare companies utilize different organizational and corporate structures coupled with captive programs that may provide less insurance coverage than a traditional insurance policy. Companies that insure any part of their general and professional liability risks through their own captive limited purpose entities generally estimate the future cost of general and professional liability through actuarial studies that rely primarily on historical data. However, due to the rise in the number and severity of professional claims against healthcare providers, these actuarial studies may underestimate the future cost of claims, and reserves for future claims may not be adequate to cover the actual cost of those claims. As a result, the tenants of our properties who self-insure could incur large funded and unfunded general and professional liability expenses, which could materially adversely affect their liquidity, financial condition and results of operations and, in turn, their ability to satisfy their obligations to us. If tenants of our properties decide to implement a captive or self-insurance program, any large funded and unfunded general and professional liability expenses incurred could have a material adverse effect on us.

Should an uninsured loss or a loss in excess of insured limits occur, we could incur substantial liability or lose all or a portion of the capital we have invested in a property, as well as the anticipated future revenues from the property. Following the occurrence of such an event, we might nevertheless remain obligated for any mortgage debt or other financial obligations related to the property. We cannot assure you that material uninsured losses, or losses in excess of insurance proceeds, will not occur in the future.

Failure by our tenants to comply with various local, state and federal government regulations may adversely impact their ability to make lease payments to us.

Healthcare operators are subject to numerous federal, state and local laws and regulations, including those described below, that are subject to frequent and substantial changes (sometimes applied retroactively) resulting from new legislation, adoption of rules and regulations, and administrative and judicial interpretations of existing law. Although we cannot accurately predict the ultimate timing or effect of these changes, such changes could have a material effect on our tenants' costs of doing business and on the amount of reimbursement by both government and other third-party payors. The failure of any of our tenants to comply with these laws, requirements and regulations could adversely affect its ability to meet its obligations to us.

**Healthcare Reform.** The Healthcare Reform Law, which was signed into law in March 2010, represents the most comprehensive change to healthcare benefits since the inception of the Medicare program in 1965 and affects reimbursement for governmental programs, private insurance and employee welfare benefit plans in various ways. Among other things, the Healthcare Reform Law expands Medicaid eligibility, requires most individuals to have health insurance, establishes new regulations for health plans, creates health insurance exchanges, and modifies certain payment systems to encourage more cost-effective care and a reduction of inefficiencies and waste, including through new tools to address fraud and abuse. We cannot accurately predict the impact of the Healthcare Reform

Law on our tenants or their ability to meet their obligations to us.

**Reimbursement; Medicare and Medicaid.** A significant portion of the revenue of the healthcare operators to which we lease, or will lease, properties is, or will be, derived from governmentally-funded reimbursement programs, primarily Medicare and Medicaid. Failure to maintain certification in these programs would result in a loss of funding from such programs and could negatively impact an operator's ability to meet its obligations to us.

23

---

**Quality of Care Initiatives.** CMS has implemented a number of initiatives focused on the quality of care provided by nursing homes that could affect our tenants. Any unsatisfactory rating of our tenants under any rating system promulgated by the CMS could result in the loss of patients or residents or lower reimbursement rates, which could adversely impact their revenues and our business.

**Licensing and Certification.** Healthcare operators are subject to various federal, state and local licensing and certification laws and regulations, including laws and regulations under Medicare and Medicaid requiring operators to comply with extensive standards governing operations. Many of our properties may require a license, registration, and/or CON to operate. State and local laws also may regulate the expansion, including the addition of new beds or services or acquisition of medical equipment, and the construction or renovation of health care facilities, by requiring a CON or other similar approval from a state agency. Governmental agencies administering these laws and regulations regularly inspect facilities and investigate complaints. Failure to obtain any required licensure, certification, or CON, the loss or suspension of any required licensure, certification, or CON, or any violations or deficiencies with respect to relevant operating standards may require a facility to cease operations or result in ineligibility for reimbursement until the necessary licenses, certifications, or CON are obtained or reinstated or until any such violations or deficiencies are cured. In such event, our revenues from these facilities could be reduced or eliminated for an extended period of time or permanently.

**Fraud and Abuse Laws and Regulations.** There are various federal and state civil and criminal laws and regulations governing a wide array of healthcare provider referrals, relationships and arrangements, including laws and regulations prohibiting fraud by healthcare providers. In addition, the Stark Law broadly defines the scope of prohibited physician referrals under federal health care programs to providers with which they have ownership or other financial arrangements. Many states have adopted, or are considering, legislative proposals similar to these laws, some of which extend beyond federal health care programs, to prohibit the payment or receipt of remuneration for the referral of patients and physician referrals regardless of the source of the payment for the care. Many of these complex laws raise issues that have not been clearly interpreted by the relevant governmental authorities and courts. We cannot assure you that governmental officials charged with responsibility for enforcing the provisions of these laws and regulations will not assert that one or more of our arrangements are in violation of the provisions of such laws and regulations. In addition, federal and state governments are devoting increasing attention and resources to anti-fraud initiatives against healthcare providers. The violation of any of these laws or regulations by any of our tenants may result in the imposition of fines or other penalties, including exclusion from Medicare, Medicaid and all other federal and state healthcare programs. Such fines or penalties could jeopardize a tenant's ability to make lease payments to us or to continue operating its facility.

**Privacy Laws.** Healthcare operators are subject to federal, state and local laws and regulations designed to protect the privacy and security of patient health information. These laws and regulations require operators to expend the requisite resources to protect and secure patient health information, including the funding of costs associated with technology upgrades. Operators found in violation of these laws may face large penalties. In addition, compliance with an operator's notification requirements in the event of a breach of unsecured protected health information could cause reputational harm to an operator's business. Such penalties and damaged reputation could adversely affect a tenant's ability to meet its obligations to us.

**Other Laws.** Other federal, state and local laws and regulations affect how our tenants conduct their business. We cannot accurately predict the effect that the costs of complying with these laws may have on the revenues of our tenants and, thus, their ability to meet their obligations to us.

**Legislative and Regulatory Developments.** Each year, legislative and regulatory proposals are introduced at the federal, state and local levels that, if adopted, would result in major changes to the healthcare system in addition to those described herein. We cannot accurately predict whether any proposals will be adopted and, if adopted, what effect (if any) these proposals would have on our tenants or our business.

Our tenants may be adversely affected by healthcare regulation and enforcement.

Regulation of the long-term healthcare industry generally has intensified over time both in the number and type of regulations and in the efforts to enforce those regulations. This is particularly true for large for-profit, multi-facility providers. Federal, state and local laws and regulations affecting the healthcare industry include those relating to, among other things, licensure, conduct of operations, ownership of facilities, addition of facilities and equipment, allowable costs, services, prices for services, qualified beneficiaries, quality of care, patient rights, fraudulent or abusive behavior, data privacy and security, and financial and other arrangements that may be entered into by healthcare providers. In addition, changes in enforcement policies by federal and state governments have resulted in an increase in the number of inspections, citations of regulatory deficiencies and other regulatory sanctions, including terminations from the Medicare and Medicaid programs, bars on Medicare and Medicaid payments for new admissions, civil monetary penalties and even criminal penalties. We are unable to predict the scope of future federal, state and local regulations and legislation, including the Medicare and Medicaid statutes and regulations, or the intensity of enforcement efforts with respect to such regulations and legislation, and any changes in the regulatory framework could have a material adverse effect on our tenants, operators and managers, which, in turn, could have a material adverse effect on us.

If our tenants fail to comply with the extensive laws, regulations and other requirements applicable to their businesses and the operation of our properties, they could become ineligible to receive reimbursement from governmental and private third-party payor programs, face bans on admissions of new patients or residents, suffer civil or criminal penalties or be required to make significant changes to their operations. Our tenants also could face increased costs related to healthcare regulation, such as the Healthcare Reform Law, or be forced to expend considerable resources in responding to an investigation or other enforcement action under applicable laws or regulations. In such event, the results of operations and financial condition of our tenants and the results of operations of our properties operated or managed by those entities could be adversely affected, which, in turn, could have a material adverse effect on us.

The impact of healthcare reform legislation on our tenants cannot be accurately predicted.

The health care industry in the United States is subject to fundamental changes due to ongoing health care reform efforts and related political, economic and regulatory influences. Notably, the Healthcare Reform Law resulted in expanded health care coverage to millions of previously uninsured people beginning in 2014 and has resulted in significant changes to the U.S. health care system. To help fund this expansion, the Healthcare Reform Law outlines certain reductions in Medicare reimbursements for various health care providers, including skilled nursing facilities, as well as certain other changes to Medicare payment methodologies.

Several provisions of the Healthcare Reform Law affect Medicare payments to skilled nursing facilities, including provisions changing Medicare payment methodology and implementing value-based purchasing and payment bundling. Although we cannot accurately predict how all of these provisions may be implemented, or the effect any such implementation would have on our tenants or our business, the Healthcare Reform Law could result in decreases in payments to our tenants, increase our tenants' costs or otherwise adversely affect the financial condition of our tenants, thereby negatively impacting their ability to meet their obligations to us.

The Healthcare Reform Law also requires skilled nursing facilities to have a compliance and ethics program that is effective in preventing and detecting criminal, civil and administrative violations and in promoting quality of care. If our tenants fall short in their compliance and ethics programs and quality assurance and performance improvement programs, then their reputations and ability to attract residents could be adversely affected.

This comprehensive health care legislation has resulted and will continue to result in extensive rulemaking by regulatory authorities, and also may be altered or amended. It is difficult to predict the full impact of the Healthcare

Reform Law due to the complexity of the law and implementing regulations, as well our inability to foresee how CMS and other participants in the health care industry will respond to the choices available to them under the law. We also cannot accurately predict whether any new or pending legislative proposals will be adopted or, if adopted, what effect, if any, these proposals would have on our tenants' business. Similarly, while we can anticipate that some of the rulemaking that will be promulgated by regulatory authorities will affect our tenants and the manner in which they are reimbursed by the federal health care programs, we cannot accurately predict today the impact of those regulations on their business and therefore on our business. The provisions of the legislation and other regulations implementing the provisions of the Healthcare Reform Law may increase our tenants' costs or otherwise adversely affect the financial condition of our tenants, thereby negatively impacting their ability to meet their obligations to us.

Other legislative changes have been proposed and adopted since the Healthcare Reform Law was enacted that also may impact our business. For instance, on April 1, 2014, President Obama signed the Protecting Access to Medicare Act of 2014, which, among other things, requires CMS to measure, track, and publish readmission rates of skilled nursing facilities by 2017 and implement a value-based purchasing program for skilled nursing facilities (the “SNF VBP Program”) by October 1, 2018. The SNF VBP Program will increase Medicare reimbursement rates for skilled nursing facilities that achieve certain levels of quality performance measures to be developed by CMS, relative to other facilities. The value-based payments authorized by the SNF VBP Program will be funded by reducing Medicare payment for all skilled nursing facilities by 2% and redistributing up to 70% of those funds to high-performing skilled nursing facilities. If Medicare reimbursement provided to our tenants is reduced under the SNF VBP Program, that reduction may have an adverse impact on the ability of our tenants to meet their obligations to us.

Our tenants depend on reimbursement from governmental and other third-party payors, and reimbursement rates from such payors may be reduced.

Changes in the reimbursement rate or methods of payment from third-party payors, including the Medicare and Medicaid programs, or the implementation of other measures to reduce reimbursements for services provided by our tenants could result in a substantial reduction in the revenues and operating margins of our tenants. Significant limits on the scopes of services reimbursed and on reimbursement rates could have a material adverse effect on the results of operations and financial condition of our tenants, which could cause their revenues to decline and could negatively impact their ability to meet their obligations to us.

Additionally, net revenue realizable under third-party payor agreements can change after examination and retroactive adjustment by payors during the claims settlement processes or as a result of post-payment audits. Payors may disallow requests for reimbursement based on determinations that certain costs are not reimbursable or reasonable, additional documentation is necessary or certain services were not covered or were not medically necessary. New legislative and regulatory proposals could impose further limitations on government and private payments to healthcare providers. In some cases, states have enacted or are considering enacting measures designed to reduce Medicaid expenditures and to make changes to private healthcare insurance. No assurance is given that adequate third-party payor reimbursement levels will continue to be available for the services provided by our tenants.

The Healthcare Reform Law provides those states that expand their Medicaid coverage to otherwise eligible state residents with incomes at or below 138% of the federal poverty level with an increased federal medical assistance percentage, that became effective January 1, 2014, if certain conditions are met. On June 28, 2012, the United States Supreme Court upheld the individual mandate of the Healthcare Reform Laws but partially invalidated the expansion of Medicaid. The ruling on Medicaid expansion allows states to elect not to participate in the expansion-and to forego funding for the Medicaid expansion-without losing their existing Medicaid funding. Given that the federal government substantially funds the Medicaid expansion, it is unclear how many states will ultimately pursue this option, although, as of early 2018, roughly three-fifths of the states have expanded Medicaid coverage. The participation by states in the Medicaid expansion could have the dual effect of increasing our tenants’ revenues, through new patients, but further straining state budgets and their ability to pay our tenants. While the federal government paid for approximately 100% of those additional costs from 2014 through 2016, states have been responsible for part of those additional costs since 2017. In light of this, at least one state that has passed legislation to allow the state to expand its Medicaid coverage has included sunset provisions in the legislation that require that the expanded benefits be reduced or eliminated if the federal government’s funding for the program is decreased or eliminated, permitting the state to re-visit the issue once it begins to share financial responsibility for the expansion. With increasingly strained budgets, it is unclear how states that do not include such sunset provisions will pay their share of these additional Medicaid costs and what other health care expenditures could be reduced as a result. A significant reduction in other health care related spending by states to pay for increased Medicaid costs could affect our tenants’ revenue streams.



Furthermore, the Supreme Court's decision upholding the constitutionality of the individual healthcare mandate while striking down the provisions linking federal funding of state Medicaid programs with a federally mandated expansion of those programs has contributed to the uncertainty regarding the impact that the law will have on healthcare delivery systems over the next decade. We can expect that federal authorities will continue to implement the law, but because of the Supreme Court's mixed ruling, the implementation will take longer than originally expected, with a commensurate increase in the period of uncertainty regarding the long-term financial impact on the delivery of and payment for healthcare.

A repeal of the Healthcare Reform Law, in whole or in part, may have unforeseen consequences.

It is possible that legislation will be introduced and passed by the Republican-controlled Congress repealing the Healthcare Reform Law in whole or in part and signed into law by President Trump, consistent with statements made by him during his presidential campaign indicating his intention to do so. In the absence of legislation repealing the Healthcare Reform Law, President Trump issued an executive order on October 12, 2017 directing federal agencies to reevaluate regulations and guidance associated with the Healthcare Reform Law. The effects of this executive order are unknown and it is possible that additional executive orders related to the Healthcare Reform Law may be issued. Because of the continued uncertainty about the implementation of the Healthcare Reform Law, including the potential for further legal challenges or repeal of that legislation, we cannot quantify or predict with any certainty the likely impact of the Healthcare Reform Law or its repeal on our tenants and, thus, their ability to meet their obligations to us. We also anticipate that Congress, state legislatures, and third-party payors may continue to review and assess alternative healthcare delivery and payment systems and may in the future propose and adopt legislative or policy changes or implementations affecting additional fundamental changes in the healthcare delivery system. We cannot provide assurances as to the ultimate content, timing, or effect of changes, nor is it possible at this time to estimate the impact of any such potential legislative or policy changes on our tenants and, thus, their ability to meet their obligations to us.

Government budget deficits could lead to a reduction in Medicare and Medicaid reimbursement.

Many states are focusing on the reduction of expenditures under their Medicaid programs, which may result in a reduction in reimbursement rates for our tenants. These potential reductions could be compounded by the potential for federal cost-cutting efforts that could lead to reductions in reimbursement to our tenants under both the Medicare and Medicaid programs. Reductions in Medicare and Medicaid reimbursement to our tenants could reduce the cash flow of our tenants and their ability to make rent payments to us. The need to control Medicaid expenditures may be exacerbated by the potential for increased enrollment in Medicaid due to unemployment and declines in family incomes. Because the Healthcare Reform Law allows states to increase the number of people who are eligible for Medicaid and simplifies enrollment in this program, Medicaid enrollment may significantly increase in the future. Since our tenants' profit margins with respect to Medicaid patients are generally relatively low, more than modest reductions in Medicaid reimbursement and an increase in the number of Medicaid patients could place some tenants in financial distress, which, in turn, could adversely affect us. If funding for Medicare or Medicaid is reduced, then it could have a material adverse effect on our tenants' results of operations and financial condition, which could adversely affect their ability to meet their obligations to us.

Changes in the reimbursement rates or methods of payment from third-party payors, including insurance companies and the Medicare and Medicaid programs, could have a material adverse effect on our tenants.

Our tenants rely on reimbursement from third-party payors, including the Medicare (both traditional Medicare and "managed" Medicare/Medicare Advantage) and Medicaid programs, for substantially all of their revenues. Federal and state legislators and regulators have adopted or proposed various cost-containment measures that would limit payments to healthcare providers, and budget crises and financial shortfalls have caused states to implement or



consider Medicaid rate freezes or cuts. Private third-party payors also have continued their efforts to control healthcare costs. We cannot assure you that our tenants who currently depend on governmental or private payor reimbursement will be adequately reimbursed for the services they provide. Significant limits by governmental and private third-party payors on the scope of services reimbursed or on reimbursement rates and fees, whether from statutory and regulatory changes, retroactive rate adjustments, recovery of program overpayments or set-offs, court decisions, administrative rulings, policy interpretations, payment or other delays by fiscal intermediaries or carriers, government funding restrictions (at a program level or with respect to specific facilities) and interruption or delays in payments due to any ongoing government investigations and audits at such property, or private payor efforts, could have a material adverse effect on the liquidity, financial condition and results of operations of certain of our tenants, which could affect adversely their ability to comply with the terms of our leases and have a material adverse effect on us.

Unforeseen costs associated with the acquisition of new healthcare properties could reduce our profitability.

Our business strategy contemplates future acquisitions that may not prove to be successful. For example, we might encounter unanticipated difficulties and expenditures relating to our acquired healthcare properties, including contingent liabilities, or our newly acquired healthcare properties might require significant management attention that would otherwise be devoted to our ongoing business. Such costs may negatively affect our results of operations.

Our real estate investments are relatively illiquid.

Real estate investments are relatively illiquid and generally cannot be sold quickly. In addition, all of our owned healthcare properties serve as collateral for our secured debt obligations and may not be readily sold. Additional factors that are specific to our industry also tend to limit our ability to vary our portfolio promptly in response to changes in economic or other conditions. For example, all of our healthcare properties are “special purpose” properties that cannot be readily converted into general residential, retail or office use. In addition, transfers of operations of skilled nursing facilities, assisted living facilities and other healthcare facilities are subject to regulatory approvals not required for transfers of other types of commercial operations and other types of real estate. Thus, if the operation of any of our healthcare properties becomes unprofitable due to competition, age of improvements or other factors such that a tenant becomes unable to meet its obligations to us, then the liquidation value of the property may be substantially less, particularly relative to the amount owing on any related mortgage loan, than would be the case if the property were readily adaptable to other uses. Furthermore, the receipt of liquidation proceeds or the replacement of a tenant that has defaulted on its lease could be delayed by the approval process of any federal, state or local agency necessary for the transfer of the property or the replacement of the tenant with a new tenant licensed to manage the facility. In addition, certain significant expenditures associated with real estate investment, such as real estate taxes and maintenance costs, are generally not reduced when circumstances cause a reduction in income from the investment. Should such events occur, our revenues would be adversely affected.

As an owner with respect to real property, we may be exposed to possible environmental liabilities.

Under various federal, state and local environmental laws, ordinances and regulations, a current or previous owner of real property, such as us, may be liable in certain circumstances for the costs of investigation, removal or remediation of, or related releases of, certain hazardous or toxic substances at, under or disposed of in connection with such property, as well as certain other potential costs relating to hazardous or toxic substances, including government fines and damages for injuries to persons and adjacent property. Such laws often impose liability regardless of the owner’s knowledge of, or responsibility for, the presence or disposal of such substances. As a result, liability may be imposed on the owner in connection with the activities of an operator of the property.

The cost of any required investigation, remediation, removal, fines or personal or property damages and the owner’s liability therefor could exceed the value of the property and the assets of the owner. In addition, the presence of such substances, or the failure to properly dispose of or remediate such substances, may adversely affect an operator’s ability to attract additional patients or residents and our ability to sell or rent such property or to borrow using such property as collateral which, in turn, could negatively impact our revenues.

The industry in which we operate is highly competitive.

Our business is highly competitive, and we expect that it may become more competitive in the future. We compete for healthcare facility investments with other healthcare investors, many of which have greater resources and lower costs of capital than we do. Increased competition makes it more challenging for us to identify and successfully capitalize on opportunities that meet our investment criteria. If we cannot identify and purchase a sufficient number of healthcare facilities at favorable prices, or if we are unable to finance such acquisitions on commercially favorable

terms, our business, results of operations and financial condition may be materially adversely affected. In addition, if our cost of capital should increase relative to the cost of capital of our competitors, the spread that we realize on our investments may decline if competitive pressures limit or prevent us from charging higher lease rates.

The geographic concentration of our facilities could leave us vulnerable to an economic downturn or adverse regulatory changes in those areas.

Our properties are located in six states, with concentrations in Georgia and Ohio. As a result of this concentration, the conditions of local economies and real estate markets, changes in governmental rules, regulations and reimbursement rates or criteria, changes in demographics, state funding, acts of nature and other factors that may result in a decrease in demand and reimbursement for skilled nursing services in these states could have a disproportionately adverse effect on our tenants' revenue, costs and results of operations, which may affect their ability to meet their obligations to us.

If we lose our key management personnel, we may not be able to successfully manage our business or achieve our objectives, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

We are dependent on our management team, and our future success depends largely upon the management experience, skill, and contacts of our management and the loss of any of our key management team could harm our business. If we lose the services of any or all of our management team, we may not be able to replace them with similarly qualified personnel, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

During 2017, the Company experienced the departure of certain key management personnel. As a result, the Company must now focus time and resources on recruiting new members for its executive management team. Changes in the Company's executive management team may be disruptive to, or cause uncertainty in, the Company's business, and any additional changes to the executive management team could have a negative impact on the Company's ability to manage and grow its business effectively. Any such disruption or uncertainty or difficulty in efficiently and effectively filling key roles could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Our directors and officers substantially control all major decisions.

Our directors and officers beneficially own a significant number of shares of our outstanding common stock. Therefore, our directors and officers will be able to influence major corporate actions required to be voted on by shareholders, such as the election of directors, the amendment of our charter documents and the approval of significant corporate transactions such as mergers, reorganizations, sales of substantially all of our assets and liquidation. Furthermore, our directors will be able to make decisions affecting our capital structure, including decisions to issue additional capital stock, implement stock repurchase programs and incur indebtedness. This control may have the effect of deterring hostile takeovers, delaying or preventing changes in control or changes in management, or limiting the ability of our other shareholders to approve transactions that they may deem to be in their best interests.

#### Risks Related to Potential REIT Election

As previously discussed, the Board is in the process of evaluating the feasibility of the Company in the future qualifying for and electing status as a REIT under the Code. If the Board determines for any future taxable year, after further consideration and evaluation, that the Company qualifies as a REIT under the Code and that electing status as a REIT under the Code would be in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders, then there would be certain risks we would face if we subsequently elected REIT status, including the risks set forth below under this “- Risks Related to REIT Election” section. The applicability of these risks assumes that: (i) we would qualify in a future taxable year as a REIT under the Code; (ii) the Board determines that electing status as a REIT under the Code is in

the best interests of the Company and its shareholders; and (iii) we subsequently elect status as a REIT under the Code.

29

---

Complying with the REIT requirements may cause us to liquidate assets or hinder our ability to pursue otherwise attractive asset acquisition opportunities.

To qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, we would need to continually satisfy tests concerning, among other things, the nature and diversification of our assets, the sources of our income and the amounts we distribute to our shareholders. For example, to qualify as a REIT, we would need to ensure that, at the end of each calendar quarter, at least 75% of the value of our assets consists of cash, cash items, government securities and “real estate assets” (as defined in the Code), including certain mortgage loans and securities. The remainder of our investments (other than government securities, qualified real estate assets and securities issued by any taxable REIT subsidiary (“TRS”)) generally could not include more than 10% of the outstanding voting securities of any one issuer or more than 10% of the total value of the outstanding securities of any one issuer. In addition, in general, no more than 5% of the value of our total assets (other than government securities, qualified real estate assets and securities issued by a TRS) could consist of the securities of any one issuer, and no more than 20% of the value of our total assets could be represented by securities of one or more TRSs. If we were to elect as a REIT under the Code and subsequently we fail to comply with these requirements at the end of any calendar quarter, then we would need to correct the failure within 30 days after the end of the calendar quarter or qualify for certain statutory relief provisions to avoid losing our REIT qualification and suffering adverse tax consequences. As a result, we could be required to liquidate assets.

In addition to the asset tests set forth above, to qualify and be subject to tax as a REIT, we would generally be required, after the utilization of any available federal net operating loss carryforwards, to distribute at least 90% of our REIT taxable income (determined without regard to the dividends paid deduction and excluding any net capital gain) each year to our shareholders. If we were to elect as a REIT under the Code, any determination as to the timing or amount of future dividends would be based on a number of factors, including investment opportunities and the availability of our existing federal net operating loss carryforwards. If we were to elect as a REIT under the Code, and to the extent that we satisfy the 90% distribution requirement, but distribute less than 100% of our REIT taxable income (after the application of available federal net operating loss carryforwards, if any), we would be subject to U.S. federal corporate income tax on our undistributed taxable income. In addition, we would be subject to a 4% nondeductible excise tax if the actual amount that we pay out to our shareholders for a calendar year is less than a minimum amount specified under the Code. These distribution requirements could hinder our ability to pursue otherwise attractive asset acquisition opportunities. Furthermore, if we were to elect as a REIT under the Code, our ability to compete for acquisition opportunities in domestic markets may be adversely affected if we were to need, or require, the target company to comply with certain REIT requirements. These actions could have the effect of reducing our income, amounts available for distribution to our shareholders and amounts available for making payments on our indebtedness.

Qualifying as a REIT involves highly technical and complex provisions of the Code. If we were to elect as a REIT under the Code, and we fail to qualify as a REIT or fail to remain qualified as a REIT, to the extent we have REIT taxable income and have utilized our federal net operating loss carryforwards, we would be subject to U.S. federal income tax as a regular corporation and could face a substantial tax liability, which would reduce the amount of cash available for distribution to our shareholders.

Qualification as a REIT involves the application of highly technical and complex Code provisions for which only limited judicial and administrative authorities exist. Even a technical or inadvertent violation could jeopardize our potential REIT qualification. Our qualification as a REIT would depend on our satisfaction of certain asset, income, organizational, distribution, shareholder ownership and other requirements on a continuing basis. Our ability to satisfy the asset tests depends upon our analysis of the characterization and fair market values of our assets, some of which are not susceptible to a precise determination, and for which we have not and will not obtain independent appraisals.



If we were to qualify and elect as a REIT under the Code for a future taxable year, and subsequently we fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, to the extent we have taxable income and have utilized our federal net operating loss carryforwards, we would be subject to U.S. federal income tax on our taxable income at regular corporate rates, and dividends paid to our shareholders would not be deductible by us in computing our taxable income. Any resulting corporate tax liability could be substantial and would reduce the amount of cash available for distribution to our shareholders, which in turn could have an adverse impact on the value of our stock. Unless we were entitled to relief under certain provisions of the Code, we also would be disqualified from re-electing to be taxed as a REIT for the four taxable years following the year in which we failed to qualify as a REIT (after having elected as a REIT under the Code). If we were to fail to qualify for taxation as a REIT after having elected as a REIT under the Code, we may be required to borrow additional funds or liquidate assets to pay any additional tax liability and, therefore, funds available for investment and making payments on our indebtedness would be reduced.

We may be required to borrow funds, sell assets, or raise equity to satisfy REIT distribution requirements.

If we were to elect as a REIT under the Code, from time to time thereafter we might generate REIT taxable income greater than our cash flow as a result of differences in timing between the recognition of taxable income and the actual receipt of cash or the effect of nondeductible capital expenditures, the creation of reserves or required debt or amortization payments. If we were to not have other funds available in those situations, we would need to borrow funds, sell assets or raise equity, even if the then-prevailing market conditions are not favorable for these borrowings, sales or offerings, to enable us to satisfy the REIT distribution requirement and to avoid U.S. federal corporate income tax and the 4% excise tax in a particular year. These alternatives could increase our costs and our leverage or require us to distribute amounts that would otherwise be invested in future acquisitions or stock repurchases. Thus, if we were to elect as a REIT under the Code: (i) continued compliance with the REIT requirements may hinder our ability to grow, which could adversely affect the value of our stock; and (ii) continued compliance with the REIT distribution requirements may increase the financing we would need to fund capital expenditures, future growth, or expansion initiatives, which would increase our total leverage.

Covenants specified in the instruments governing our indebtedness may limit our ability to make required REIT distributions.

If we were to elect as a REIT under the Code, restrictive loan covenants in the instruments governing our indebtedness may prevent us from satisfying REIT distribution requirements and, after such election, we could fail to qualify for taxation as a REIT. If these covenant limitations were not to jeopardize our qualification for taxation as a REIT but nevertheless were to prevent us from distributing 100% of our REIT taxable income, then we would be subject to U.S. federal corporate income tax, and potentially the nondeductible 4% excise tax, on the undistributed amounts.

Our payment of cash distributions in the future is not guaranteed and the amount of any future cash distributions may fluctuate, which could adversely affect the value of our stock.

REITs are required to distribute annually at least 90% of their REIT taxable income (determined before the deduction for dividends paid and excluding any net capital gain). We had approximately \$66.8 million in federal net operating loss carryforwards as of December 31, 2017. If we were to elect as a REIT under the Code, we would be able to use, at our discretion, these federal net operating loss carryforwards to offset our REIT taxable income, and thus the required distributions to shareholders may be reduced or eliminated until such time as our federal net operating loss carryforwards have been fully utilized. However, pursuant to the recently enacted Tax Reform Act referenced below, our ability to offset any federal net operating losses arising from our taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017, against any future REIT taxable income would be limited.



If we were to elect as a REIT under the Code, the amount of future distributions would be determined, from time to time, by the Board to balance our goal of increasing shareholder value and retaining sufficient cash to implement our current capital allocation policy, which includes portfolio improvement and potentially stock repurchases (when we believe our stock price is below its intrinsic value. If we were to elect as a REIT under the Code, the actual timing and amount of distributions would be as determined and declared by the Board and would depend on, among other factors, our federal net operating loss carryforwards, our financial condition, earnings, debt covenants and other possible uses of such funds.

Furthermore, we may only pay dividends on our capital stock if we have funds legally available to pay dividends and such payment is not restricted or prohibited by Georgia law, the terms of shares of our capital stock with higher priority with respect to dividends and the terms of any other documents governing our indebtedness. See “–Risks Related to Our Stock – There are no assurances of our ability to pay dividends in the future.”

Certain of our business activities may be subject to corporate level income tax, which would reduce our cash flows, and would have potential deferred and contingent tax liabilities.

If we were to elect as a REIT under the Code: (i) we may be subject to certain federal, state, and local taxes on our income and assets, taxes on any undistributed income and state, local income, franchise, property and transfer taxes; (ii) we could, in certain circumstances, be required to pay an excise or penalty tax, which could be significant in amount, in order to utilize one or more relief provisions under the Code to maintain qualification for taxation as a REIT; and (iii) we may incur a 100% excise tax on transactions with a TRS if they are not conducted on an arm’s length basis. Any of these taxes would decrease our earnings and our available cash.

If we were to elect as a REIT under the Code, any TRS assets and operations we may have would continue to be subject, as applicable, to federal and state corporate income taxes in the jurisdictions in which those assets and operations are located, which taxes would decrease our earnings and our available cash. If we were to elect as a REIT under the Code, we would also be subject to a federal corporate level tax at the highest regular corporate rate (currently 21%) on the gain recognized from a sale of assets occurring during the initial five-year period of time for which we are a REIT, up to the amount of the built-in gain that existed on January 1 of the year for which we elect as a REIT under the Code, which would be based on the fair market value of those assets in excess of our tax basis in those assets as of such date. Gain from a sale of an asset occurring after the specified period ends would not be subject to this corporate level tax.

Use of any TRSs we may have may cause us to fail to qualify as a REIT.

If we were to elect as a REIT under the Code, the net income of any TRSs we may have would not be required to be distributed to us, and such undistributed TRS income would generally not be subject to our REIT distribution requirements. However, if we were to elect as a REIT under the Code and if the accumulation of cash or reinvestment of significant earnings in any TRSs we may have would cause the fair market value of our securities in those entities, taken together with other non-qualifying assets, to represent more than 25% of the fair market value of our assets, or causes the fair market value of such TRS securities alone to represent more than 20% of the value of our total assets, in each case, as determined for REIT asset testing purposes, we would, absent timely responsive action, fail to qualify as a REIT.

Legislative or other actions affecting REITs could have a negative effect on us.

The rules dealing with U.S. federal income taxation are constantly under review by persons involved in the legislative process and by the Internal Revenue Service and the U.S. Treasury Department. Changes to the tax laws or interpretations thereof, with or without retroactive application, could materially and adversely affect our investors or us. We cannot predict how changes in the tax laws might affect our investors or us. New legislation, U.S. Treasury Regulations, administrative interpretations or court decisions could significantly and negatively affect our ability to qualify as a REIT or the U.S. federal income tax consequences to our investors and us of such qualification.

In addition, recently enacted tax reform legislation (the “Tax Reform Act”) has resulted in fundamental changes to the Code. Among the numerous changes included in the Tax Reform Act is a deduction of 20% of ordinary REIT dividends for individual taxpayers for taxable years beginning on or after January 1, 2018 through 2025. The impact of the Tax Reform Act on an investment in our shares, and our ability to qualify for and elect REIT status in any

future year and the desirability thereof, is uncertain. We cannot assure you that the Tax Reform Act or any such other changes will not adversely affect the taxation of our shareholders. Any such changes could have an adverse effect on an investment in our shares or on the market value or the resale potential of our assets. You are urged to consult with your tax advisor with respect to the impact of the Tax Reform Act on your investment in our shares and the status of legislative, regulatory or administrative developments and proposals and their potential effect on an investment in our shares.

We do not have any experience operating as a REIT, which may adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, per share trading price of our stock and ability to satisfy debt service obligations.

We have not actually operated as a REIT previously, and we do not currently qualify as a REIT under the Code. If we were to qualify and elect as a REIT under the Code in a future taxable year: (i) our pre-REIT operating experience may not be sufficient to enable us to operate successfully as a REIT; and (ii) we will be required to implement substantial control systems and procedures in order to maintain REIT status. As a result, we may incur additional legal, accounting and other expenses that we have not previously incurred, which could be significant, and our management and other personnel may need to devote additional time to comply with these rules and regulations and controls required for continued compliance with the Code. Therefore, if we were to qualify and elect as a REIT under the Code in a future taxable year, our historical combined consolidated financial statements may not be indicative of our future costs and performance as a REIT. If our performance is adversely affected, then it could affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow and ability to satisfy our debt service obligations.

The current market price of the common stock may not be indicative of the market price of common stock if we were to elect as a REIT under the Code.

The current market price of the common stock may not be indicative of how the market will value the common stock if we elect as a REIT under the Code in a future taxable year, because of the change in our organization from a taxable C corporation to a REIT. The stock price of REIT securities have historically been affected by changes in market interest rates as investors evaluate the annual yield from distributions on the entity's common stock as compared to yields on other financial instruments. In addition, if we elect as a REIT under the Code in a future taxable year, the market price of common stock in the future may be potentially affected by the economic and market perception of REIT securities.

Generally, ordinary dividends payable by REITs do not qualify for the reduced tax rates available for some dividends.

The maximum U.S. federal income tax rate applicable to income from "qualified dividends" payable to U.S. shareholders that are individuals, trusts and estates is currently 20%. Dividends payable by REITs, however, generally are not eligible for the reduced rates applicable to qualified dividends. Although these rules do not adversely affect the taxation of REITs, the more favorable rates applicable to regular corporate qualified dividends could cause investors who are individuals, trusts and estates to perceive investments in REITs to be relatively less attractive than investments in the stocks of non-REIT corporations that pay dividends, which could adversely affect the value of the stock of REITs, including our stock if we were to elect as a REIT under the Code. However, under the recently enacted Tax Reform Act as referenced above, commencing with taxable years beginning on or after January 1, 2018 and continuing through 2025, individual taxpayers may be entitled to claim a deduction in determining their taxable income of 20% of ordinary REIT dividends (dividends other than capital gain dividends and dividends attributable to certain qualified dividend income received by us), which temporarily reduces the effective tax rate on such dividends.

#### Risks Related to Our Capital Structure

We have substantial indebtedness, which may have a material adverse effect on our business and financial condition.

As of December 31, 2017, we had approximately \$73.1 million in indebtedness, including current maturities of debt. We may also obtain additional short-term and long-term debt to meet future capital needs, subject to certain restrictions under our existing indebtedness, which would increase our total debt. Our substantial amount of debt could have negative consequences to our business. For example, it could:

- increase our vulnerability to general adverse economic and industry conditions or a downturn in our business;

require us to dedicate a substantial portion of cash flows from operations to interest and principal payments on outstanding debt, thereby limiting the availability of cash flow for dividends and other general corporate purposes;

33

---

- require us to maintain certain debt coverage and other financial ratios at specified levels, thereby reducing our financial flexibility;
- make it more difficult for us to satisfy our financial obligations;
- expose us to increases in interest rates for our variable rate debt;
- limit our ability to borrow additional funds on favorable terms, or at all, for working capital, debt service requirements, expansion of our business or other general corporate purposes;
- limit our ability to refinance all or a portion of our indebtedness on or before maturity on the same or more favorable terms, or at all;
- limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and our industry;
- limit our ability to make acquisitions or take advantage of business opportunities as they arise;
- place us at a competitive disadvantage compared with our competitors that have less debt; and
- limit our ability to borrow additional funds, even when necessary to maintain adequate liquidity.

In addition, our ability to borrow funds in the future will depend in part on the satisfaction of the covenants in our debt agreements. If we are unable to satisfy the financial covenants contained in those agreements, or are unable to generate cash sufficient to make required debt payments, the lenders and other parties to those arrangements could accelerate the maturity of some or all of our outstanding indebtedness.

We may not have sufficient liquidity to meet our capital needs.

For the year ended and as of December 31, 2017, we had a net loss of \$1.0 million and negative working capital of \$14.8 million. At December 31, 2017, we had \$1.8 million in cash and cash equivalents, as well as restricted cash of \$3.5 million, and \$73.1 million in indebtedness, including current maturities of \$8.1 million.

We continue to undertake measures to grow our operations and streamline our operations and cost infrastructure by (i) increasing future lease revenue through acquisitions and investments in existing properties; (ii) modifying the terms of existing leases; (iii) refinancing or repaying debt to reduce interest costs and mandatory principal repayments; and (iv) reducing general and administrative expenses.

Management anticipates both access to and receipt of several sources of liquidity, including cash from operations and cash on hand. We have routine ongoing discussions with existing and potential new lenders to refinance current debt on a longer-term basis and, in recent periods, have refinanced short-term acquisition-related debt with traditional long-term mortgage notes, some of which have been executed under government guaranteed lending programs.

In order to satisfy the Company's capital needs, the Company seeks to: (i) refinance debt where possible to obtain more favorable terms; (ii) raise capital through the issuance of debt securities and convertible securities; and (iii) increase operating cash flows through acquisitions. The Company anticipates that these actions, if successful, will provide the opportunity to maintain its liquidity, thereby permitting the Company to better meet its operating and financing obligations. However, there is no guarantee that such actions will be successful.

We rely on external sources of capital to fund our capital needs, and if we encounter difficulty in obtaining such capital, we may not be able to make future investments necessary to grow our business or meet maturing debt commitments.

We rely on external sources of capital, including private or public offerings of debt or equity, the assumption of secured indebtedness, or mortgage financing on a portion of our owned portfolio. If we are unable to obtain needed capital at all or only on unfavorable terms from these sources, we might not be able to make the investments needed to grow our business or to meet our obligations and commitments as they mature. Our access to capital depends upon a number of factors over which we have little or no control, including the performance of the national and global economies generally; competition in the healthcare industry; issues facing the healthcare industry, including regulations and government reimbursement policies; our tenants' operating costs; the market's perception of our growth potential; the market value of our properties; our current and potential future earnings and cash dividends; on its common stock and preferred stock, if any; and the market price of the shares of our capital stock. We may not be in a position to take advantage of future investment opportunities if we are unable to access capital markets on a timely basis or are only able to obtain financing on unfavorable terms.

In particular, we are subject to risks associated with debt financing, which could negatively impact our business and limit our ability to pay dividends to our shareholders and to repay maturing indebtedness. If we are unable to refinance or extend principal payments due at maturity or pay them with proceeds from other capital transactions, our cash flow may not be sufficient to repay our maturing indebtedness. Furthermore, if we have to pay higher interest rates in connection with a refinancing, the interest expense relating to that refinanced indebtedness would increase, which could reduce our profitability. Moreover, additional debt financing increases the amount of our leverage. The degree of leverage could have important consequences to our shareholders, including affecting our ability to obtain additional financing in the future, and making us more vulnerable to a downturn in our results of operations or the economy in general.

Our ability to raise capital through equity sales is dependent, in part, on the market price of our stock, and our failure to meet market expectations with respect to our business could negatively impact the market price of our stock and availability of equity capital.

As with other publicly-traded companies, the availability of equity capital will depend, in part, on the market price of our stock, which, in turn, will depend upon various market conditions and other factors that may change from time to time, including:

- the extent of investor interest;
- our financial performance and that of our tenants;
- general stock and bond market conditions; and
- other factors such as governmental regulatory action.

Covenants in the agreements evidencing our indebtedness limit our operational flexibility, and a covenant breach could materially adversely affect our operations.

The terms of our credit agreements and other agreements evidencing our indebtedness require us to comply with a number of financial and other covenants which may limit management's discretion by restricting our ability to, among other things, incur additional debt, and create liens. Any additional financing we may obtain could contain similar or more restrictive covenants. Our continued ability to incur indebtedness and conduct our operations is subject to compliance with these financial and other covenants. Breaches of these covenants could result in defaults under the instruments governing the applicable indebtedness in addition to any other indebtedness cross-defaulted against such instruments. Any such breach could materially adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.





Our assets may be subject to impairment charges.

We periodically, but not less than annually, evaluate our real estate investments and other assets for impairment indicators. The judgment regarding the existence of impairment indicators is based on factors such as market conditions, operator performance and legal structure. If we determine that a significant impairment has occurred, then we are required to make an adjustment to the net carrying value of the asset, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations in the period in which the write-off occurs.

We may change our investment strategies and policies and capital structure.

The Board, without the approval of our shareholders, may alter our investment strategies and policies if it determines that a change is in our shareholders' best interests. The methods of implementing our investment strategies and policies may vary as new investments and financing techniques are developed.

Economic conditions and turbulence in the credit markets may create challenges in securing indebtedness or refinancing our existing indebtedness.

Depressed economic conditions, the availability and cost of credit, turmoil in the mortgage market and depressed real estate markets have in the past contributed, and will in the future contribute, to increased volatility and diminished expectations for real estate markets and the economy as a whole. Significant market disruption and volatility could impact our ability to secure indebtedness or refinance our existing indebtedness.

We are subject to possible conflicts of interest; we have engaged in, and may in the future engage in, transactions with parties that may be considered related parties.

From time to time, we have engaged in various transactions with related parties including Christopher Brogdon, a former director and owner of greater than 5% of our outstanding common stock. See Part III, Item 13, "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence" in this Annual Report.

Although we do not believe the potential conflicts have adversely affected, or will adversely affect, our business, others may disagree with this position and litigation could ensue in the future. Our relationships with Mr. Brogdon and other related parties may give rise to litigation, or other issues which could result in substantial costs to us, and a diversion of our resources and management's attention, whether or not any allegations made are substantiated.

#### Risks Related to the Ownership and Transfer Restrictions

The ownership and transfer restrictions contained in the Charter may prevent or restrict you from acquiring or transferring shares of the common stock.

As a result of the Merger, the Charter contains provisions restricting the ownership and transfer of the common stock. These ownership and transfer restrictions include that, subject to the exceptions, waivers and the constructive ownership rules described in the Charter, no person (including any "group" as defined in Section 13(d)(3) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act")) may beneficially own, or be deemed to constructively own by virtue of the ownership attribution provisions of the Code, in excess of 9.9% (by value or number of shares, whichever is more restrictive) of the outstanding common stock. The Charter also prohibits, among other things, any person from beneficially or constructively owning shares of common stock to the extent that such ownership would cause the Company to fail to qualify as a REIT by reason of being "closely held" under the Code (without regard to whether the ownership interest is held during the last half of a taxable year) or that would cause the Company to otherwise fail to qualify as a REIT. Furthermore, any transfer, acquisition or other event or transaction

that would result in common stock being beneficially owned by less than 100 persons (determined without reference to any rules of attribution) will be void ab initio, and the intended transferee shall acquire no rights in such common stock.

These ownership and transfer restrictions could have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a transaction or a change in control of us that might involve a premium price for our capital stock or otherwise be in the best interests of our shareholders.

## Risks Related to Our Stock

The price of our stock has fluctuated, and a number of factors may cause the price of our stock to decline.

The market price of our stock has fluctuated and may fluctuate significantly in the future, depending upon many factors, many of which are beyond our control. These factors include:

- actual or anticipated fluctuations in our operating results;
- changes in our financial condition, performance and prospects;
- changes in general economic and market conditions and other external factors;
- the market price of securities issued by other companies in our industry;
- announcements by us or our competitors of significant acquisitions, dispositions, strategic partnerships or other transactions;
- press releases or negative publicity relating to us or our competitors or relating to trends in healthcare;
- government action or regulation, including changes in federal, state and local healthcare regulations to which our tenants are subject;
- changes in financial estimates, our ability to meet those estimates, or recommendations by securities analysts with respect to us or our competitors; and
- future sales of the common stock, our Series A Preferred Stock or another series of our preferred stock, or debt securities.

In addition, the market price of the Series A Preferred Stock also depends upon:

- prevailing interest rates, increases in which may have an adverse effect on the market price of the Series A Preferred Stock;
- trading prices of preferred equity securities issued by other companies in our industry; and
- the annual yield from distributions on the Series A Preferred Stock as compared to yields on other financial instruments.

Furthermore, the stock market in recent years has experienced sweeping price and volume fluctuations that often have been unrelated to the operating performance of affected companies. These market fluctuations may also cause the price of our stock to decline.

In the event of fluctuations in the price of our stock, shareholders may be unable to resell shares of our stock at or above the price at which they purchased such shares. Additionally, due to fluctuations in the price of our stock, comparing our operating results on a period-to-period basis may not be meaningful, and you should not rely on past results as an indication of future performance.

Our common stock ranks junior to our Series A Preferred Stock with respect to dividends and amounts payable in the event of our liquidation.

Our common stock ranks junior to our Series A Preferred Stock with respect to the payment of dividends and amounts payable in the event of our liquidation, dissolution or winding-up. This means that, unless accumulated accrued dividends have been paid or set aside for payment on all outstanding shares of our Series A Preferred Stock for all past dividend periods, no dividends may be declared or paid, or set aside for payment on, our common stock. Likewise, in the event of our voluntary or involuntary liquidation, dissolution or winding-up, no distribution of our assets may be made to holders of our common stock until we have paid to holders of our Series A Preferred Stock the applicable liquidation preference plus all accumulated accrued and unpaid dividends.

We suspended dividend payments with respect to our Series A Preferred Stock for the fourth quarter 2017 and the first quarter 2018 dividend periods. We plan to revisit the dividend payment policy with respect to our Series A Preferred

Stock in the second quarter of 2018. See Part II, Item 7., “Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Liquidity and Capital Resources” of this Annual Report. As a result, the value of your investment in our common stock may suffer if sufficient funds are not available to first satisfy our obligations to the holders of our Series A Preferred Stock in the event of our liquidation.

There are no assurances of our ability to pay dividends in the future.

We are a holding company, and we have no significant operations. We rely primarily on dividends and other distributions from our subsidiaries to us so we may, among other things, pay dividends on our capital stock, if and to the extent declared by the Board. The ability of our subsidiaries to pay dividends and make other distributions to us depends on their earnings and may be restricted in the future by the terms of certain agreements governing their indebtedness. If our subsidiaries are in default under such agreements, then they may not pay dividends or make other distributions to us.

In addition, we may only pay dividends on our capital stock if we have funds legally available to pay dividends and such payment is not restricted or prohibited by law, the terms of any shares with higher priority with respect to dividends or any documents governing our indebtedness. We are restricted by Georgia law from paying dividends on our capital stock if we are not able to pay our debts as they become due in the normal course of business or if our total assets would be less than the sum of our total liabilities plus the amount that would be needed to satisfy preferential rights upon dissolution. In addition, no dividends may be declared or paid on our common stock unless all accumulated accrued and unpaid dividends on our Series A Preferred Stock have been, or contemporaneously are, declared and paid, or declared and a sum sufficient for the payment thereof is set apart for payments, for all past dividend periods. In addition, future debt, contractual covenants or arrangements that we or our subsidiaries enter into may restrict or prevent future dividend payments.

As such, we could become unable, on a temporary or permanent basis, to pay dividends on our stock, including our common stock and our Series A Preferred Stock. The payment of any future dividends on our stock will be at the discretion of the Board and will depend, among other things, on the earnings and results of operations of our subsidiaries, their ability to pay dividends and make other distributions to us under agreements governing their indebtedness, our financial condition and capital requirements, any debt service requirements and any other factors the Board deems relevant.

We suspended dividend payments with respect to our Series A Preferred Stock for the fourth quarter 2017 and the first quarter 2018 dividend periods. We plan to revisit the dividend payment policy with respect to our Series A Preferred Stock in the second quarter of 2018. See Part II, Item 7., “Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Liquidity and Capital Resources” of this Annual Report. As a result of this dividend suspension, no dividends may be declared or paid on the common stock until all accumulated accrued and unpaid dividends on our Series A Preferred Stock have been, or contemporaneously are, declared and paid, or declared and a sum sufficient for the payment thereof is set apart for payment, for all past dividend periods.

The costs of being publicly owned may strain our resources and impact our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

As a public company, we are subject to the reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”), and the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (“Sarbanes-Oxley Act”). The Exchange Act requires that we file annual, quarterly and current reports with respect to our business and financial condition. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act requires that we maintain effective disclosure controls and procedures and internal controls for financial reporting. We are required to document and test our internal control procedures in order to satisfy the requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, which requires annual management assessments of the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting.

These requirements may place a strain on our systems and resources and have required us, and may in the future require us, to hire additional accounting and financial resources with appropriate public company experience and technical accounting knowledge. In addition, failure to maintain such internal controls could result in us being unable

to provide timely and reliable financial information which could potentially subject us to sanctions or investigations by the SEC or other regulatory authorities or cause us to be late in the filing of required reports or financial results. Any of the foregoing events could have a materially adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Provisions in Georgia law and our charter documents may delay or prevent a change in control or management that shareholders may consider desirable.

Various provisions of the Georgia Business Corporation Code (the “GBCC”) and the Charter and Bylaws may inhibit changes in control not approved by the Board and may have the effect of depriving our investors of an opportunity to receive a premium over the prevailing market price of the common stock and other securities in the event of an attempted hostile takeover. These provisions could also discourage proxy contests and make it more difficult for shareholders to elect directors and take other corporate actions. As a result, the existence of these provisions may adversely affect the market price of the common stock and other securities. These provisions include:

- the ownership and transfer restrictions contained in the Charter with respect to the common stock;
- a requirement that special meetings of shareholders be called by the Board, the Chairman, the President, or the holders of shares with voting power of at least 25%;
- advance notice requirements for shareholder proposals and nominations;
- a requirement that directors may only be removed for cause and then only by an affirmative vote of at least a majority of all votes entitled to be cast in the election of such directors;
- a prohibition of shareholder action without a meeting by less than unanimous written consent;
- availability of “blank check” preferred stock; and
- a charter “constituency” clause authorizing (but not requiring) our directors to consider, in discharging their duties as directors, the effects of the Company’s actions on other interests and persons in addition to our shareholders.

In addition, the Company has elected in the Bylaws to be subject to the “fair price” and “business combination” provisions of the GBCC. The business combination provisions generally restrict us from engaging in certain business combination transactions with any “interested shareholder” (as defined in the GBCC) for a period of five years after the date of the transaction in which the person became an interested shareholder unless certain designated conditions are met. The fair price provisions generally restricts us from entering into certain business combinations with an interested shareholder unless the transaction is unanimously approved by the continuing directors who must constitute at least three members of the Board at the time of such approval; or the transaction is recommended by at least two-thirds of the continuing directors and approved by a majority of the shareholders excluding the interested shareholder.

The Board can use these and other provisions to prevent, delay or discourage a change in control of the Company or a change in our management. Any such delay or prevention of a change in control or management could deter potential acquirers or prevent the completion of a takeover transaction pursuant to which our shareholders could receive a substantial premium over the current market price of the common stock and other securities, which in turn may limit the price investors might be willing to pay for such securities.

#### Risks Related to the Delisting of Our Securities

If we fail to meet all applicable continued listing requirements of the NYSE American and the NYSE American determines to delist the common stock and Series A Preferred Stock, the delisting could adversely affect the market liquidity of such securities, impair the value of your investment, adversely affect our ability to raise needed funds and subject us to additional trading restrictions and regulations.

On April 18, 2016, the Company received notice from NYSE Regulation, Inc. (“NYSE Regulation”) that it was not in compliance with certain NYSE American continued listing standards relating to stockholders’ equity. Specifically, the Company was not in compliance with Section 1003(a)(i) (requiring stockholders’ equity of \$2.0 million or more if an issuer has reported losses from continuing operations and/or net losses in two of its three most recent fiscal years), Section 1003(a)(ii) (requiring stockholders’ equity of \$4.0 million or more if an issuer has reported losses from continuing operations and/or net losses in three of its four most recent fiscal years) and Section 1003(a)(iii) (requiring stockholders’ equity of \$6.0 million or more if an issuer has reported losses from continuing operations and/or net

losses in its five most recent fiscal years) of the NYSE American Company Guide, (the “Company Guide”) and (collectively, the “Continued Listing Standards”) because the Company had reported a stockholders’ deficit of \$23.8 million as of December 31, 2015 and net losses for the last five fiscal years.



As a result, the Company became subject to the procedures and requirements of Section 1009 of the Company Guide and was required to submit a plan (a “Compliance Plan”) by May 18, 2016 describing the actions the Company had taken or would take to regain compliance with the Stockholders’ Continued Listing Standards during the period ending October 18, 2017. The Company submitted a Compliance Plan by the May 18, 2016 deadline and was notified on June 2, 2016 that the NYSE Regulation had accepted the Compliance Plan.

In furtherance of the Compliance Plan, on September 29, 2017 AdCare completed the Merger, to ensure the effective adoption of certain charter provisions restricting the ownership and transfer of the common stock. Such ownership and transfer restrictions, among other things, permit the Company, under applicable accounting guidance, to classify the Company’s Series A Preferred Stock as permanent equity on the Company’s consolidated balance sheet, which positioned the Company to regain compliance with the Continued Listing Standards.

On October 18, 2017, the Company received notification (the “Notice”) that the Company had regained compliance with the Continued Listing Standards.

Going forward, the Company will be subject to NYSE Regulation’s normal continued listing monitoring. In addition, in the event that the Company is again determined to be noncompliant with any of the continued listing standards of the NYSE American within twelve (12) months of the Notice, NYSE Regulation will examine the relationship between the Company’s previous noncompliance with the Stockholders’ Equity Continued Listing Standards and such new event of noncompliance in accordance with Section 1009(h) of the Company Guide. In connection with such new event of noncompliance, NYSE Regulation may, among other things, truncate the compliance procedures described in Stockholders’ Equity Continued Listing Standards or initiate immediate delisting proceedings.

If the common stock and Series A Preferred Stock are delisted from the NYSE American, such securities may trade in the over-the-counter market. If our securities were to trade on the over-the-counter market, selling the common stock and Series A Preferred Stock could be more difficult because smaller quantities of shares would likely be bought and sold, transactions could be delayed, and any security analysts’ coverage of us may be reduced. In addition, in the event the common stock and Series A Preferred Stock are delisted, broker-dealers have certain regulatory burdens imposed upon them, which may discourage broker-dealers from effecting transactions in such securities, further limiting the liquidity of the common stock and Series A Preferred Stock. These factors could result in lower prices and larger spreads in the bid and ask prices for our securities. Such delisting from the NYSE American and continued or further declines in our share price could also greatly impair our ability to raise additional necessary capital through equity or debt financing and could significantly increase the ownership dilution to shareholders caused by our issuing equity in financing or other transactions. Any such limitations on our ability to raise debt and equity capital could prevent us from making future investments and satisfying maturing debt commitments.

In addition, if the Company fails for 180 or more consecutive days to maintain a listing of the Series A Preferred Stock on a national exchange, then: (i) the annual dividend rate on the Series A Preferred Stock will be increased from 10.875% per annum to 12.875% per annum on the 181st day; and (ii) the holders of the Series A Preferred Stock will be entitled to vote for the election of two additional directors to serve on the Board. Such increased dividend rate and voting rights will continue for so long as the Series A Preferred Stock is not listed on a national exchange.

#### Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

Disclosure pursuant to Item 1B of Form 10-K is not required to be provided by smaller reporting companies.

## Item 2. Properties

## Operating Facilities

The following table provides summary information regarding our facilities leased and subleased to third parties as of December 31, 2017:

Facility Name	Beds/Units	Structure	Operator Affiliation <sup>(a)</sup>
<b>Alabama</b>			
Attalla Health Care	182	Owned	C.R. Management
Coosa Valley Health Care	122	Owned	C.R. Management
Meadowood	106	Owned	C.R. Management
Subtotal <sup>(3)</sup>	410		
<b>Georgia</b>			
Autumn Breeze	108	Owned	C.R. Management
Bonterra	115	Leased	Wellington Health Services
College Park	95	Owned	C.R. Management
Glenvue H&R	134	Owned	C.R. Management
Jeffersonville	117	Leased	Peach Health Group
LaGrange	137	Leased	C.R. Management
Lumber City	86	Leased	Beacon Health Management
Oceanside	85	Leased	Peach Health Group
Parkview Manor/Legacy	184	Leased	Wellington Health Services
Powder Springs	208	Leased	Wellington Health Services
Savannah Beach	50	Leased	Peach Health Group
Southland Healthcare	126	Owned	Beacon Health Management
Tara	134	Leased	Wellington Health Services
Thomasville N&R	52	Leased	C.R. Management
Subtotal <sup>(14)</sup>	1,631		
<b>North Carolina</b>			
Mountain Trace Rehab	106	Owned	Symmetry Healthcare
Subtotal <sup>(1)</sup>	106		
<b>Ohio</b>			
Covington Care	94	Leased	Beacon Health Management
Eaglewood ALF	80	Owned	Beacon Health Management
Eaglewood Care Center	99	Owned	Beacon Health Management
H&C of Greenfield	50	Owned	Beacon Health Management
Koester Pavilion	150	Managed	N/A
Spring Meade Health Center	99	Managed	N/A
Spring Meade Residence	83	Managed	N/A
The Pavilion Care Center	50	Owned	Beacon Health Management
Subtotal <sup>(8)</sup>	705		
<b>Oklahoma</b>			
NW Nursing Center	88	Owned	Southwest LTC
Quail Creek	109	Owned	Southwest LTC
Subtotal <sup>(2)</sup>	197		
<b>South Carolina</b>			

Edgar Filing: REGIONAL HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC - Form 10-K

Georgetown Health	84	Owned	Symmetry Healthcare
Sumter Valley Nursing	96	Owned	Symmetry Healthcare
Subtotal <sup>(2)</sup>	180		
Total - All Facilities <sup>(30)</sup>	3,229		

<sup>(a)</sup> Indicates the operator with which the tenant of the facility is affiliated.

41

---

Our leases and subleases are generally on an individual facility basis with tenants that are separate legal entities affiliated with the above operators. See “Portfolio of Healthcare Investments” in Part I, Item 1, “Business”, in this Annual Report.

All facilities are skilled nursing facilities except for Eaglewood ALF and the Meadowood Facility, which are assisted living facilities, and Spring Meade Residence, which is an independent living facility. Bed/units numbers refer to the number of operational beds.

For a detailed description of the Company's operating leases, please see Note 7 - Leases to our audited consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8., "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" in this Annual Report.

For a detailed description of the Company's related mortgages payable for owned facilities, see Note 9 - Notes Payable and Other Debt to our audited consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8., "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" in this Annual Report.

#### Portfolio Occupancy Rates

The following table provides summary information regarding our portfolio facility-level occupancy rates for the periods shown:

Operating Metric <sup>(1)</sup>	For the Three Months Ended			
	March 31, 2017	June 30, 2017	September 30, 2017	December 31, 2017
Occupancy (%) <sup>(2)</sup>	82.6%	83.1%	81.8%	80.0%

<sup>(1)</sup>Excludes the three Peach Facilities, due to decertification, which were operated by affiliates of New Beginnings prior to their bankruptcy and are currently operated by affiliates of Peach Health and the Meadowood Facility, which was acquired on May 1, 2017, for all periods presented. Occupancy (%) for the Savannah Beach Facility, the one facility among the Peach Facilities which was not decertified by CMS and which has 50 operational beds, for the three months ended March 31, 2017, June 30, 2017, September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2017 was 86.6%, 82.0% , 84.9% and 88.5%, respectively.

<sup>(2)</sup>Occupancy percentages are based on operational beds. The number of operational beds is reported to us by our tenants and represents the number of available beds that can be occupied by patients. The number of operational beds is always less than or equal to the number of licensed beds with respect to any particular facility.

#### Lease Expiration

The following table provides summary information regarding our lease expirations for the years shown:

Operational Beds	Annual Lease Revenue <sup>(1)</sup>
------------------	-------------------------------------

	Number of		Amount (\$)				
	Facilities	Amount	Percent (%)	'000's	Percent (%)		
2018 - 2023	—	—	—	%	—	—	%
2024	1	126	4.3	%	965	4.0	%
2025	12	1,206	41.7	%	9,671	40.2	%
2026	—	—	0.0	%	—	0.0	%
2027	8	869	30.0	%	8,265	34.4	%
2028	—	—	0.0	%	—	0.0	%
Thereafter	6	696	24.0	%	5,130	21.4	%
Total	27	2,897	100.0	%	24,031	100.0	%

(1) Straight-line rent.

42

---

## Corporate Office

Our corporate office is located in Suwanee, Georgia. We lease approximately 3,000 square feet of office space in the Suwanee, Georgia area with a term through June 2017 and sublease approximately 3,100 square feet of office space in the Atlanta, Georgia area with a term through September 2020, which we no longer occupy. The Atlanta office space has been subleased through the end of the lease term.

## Item 3. Legal Proceedings

The Company is a defendant in various legal actions and administrative proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business, including claims that the services the Company provided during the time it operated skilled nursing facilities resulted in injury or death to former patients. Although the Company settles cases from time to time if settlement is advantageous to the Company, the Company vigorously defends any matter in which it believes the claims lack merit and the Company has a reasonable chance to prevail at trial or in arbitration. Litigation is inherently unpredictable and there is risk in the Company's strategy of aggressively defending these cases. There is no assurance that the outcomes of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition. Although arising in the ordinary course of the Company's business, certain of these matters are described below under "Professional and General Liability Claims."

**Ohio Attorney General Action.** On October 27, 2016, the Ohio Attorney General (the "OAG") filed in the Court of Common Pleas, Franklin County, Ohio a complaint against The Pavilion Care Center, LLC, and Hearth & Home of Greenfield, LLC (each a subsidiary of the Company), and certain other parties (including parties for which the Company provides or provided management services). The lawsuit alleges that defendants submitted improper Medicaid claims for independent laboratory services for glucose blood tests and capillary blood draws and further alleges that defendants (i) engaged in deception, (ii) willfully received Medicaid payments to which they were not entitled or in a greater amount than that to which they were entitled, and (iii) obtained payments under the Medicaid program to which they were not entitled pursuant to their provider agreements and applicable Medicaid rules and regulations. The OAG seeks, among other things, triple the amount of damages proven at trial (plus interest) and not less than \$5,000 and not more than \$10,000 for each deceptive claim or falsification. As previously disclosed, the Company received a letter from the OAG in February 2014 offering to settle its claims against the defendants for improper Medicaid claims related to glucose blood tests and capillary blood draws for a payment of approximately \$1.0 million. The Company responded to such letter in July 2014 denying the allegations and did not receive any response from the OAG until the above referenced lawsuit was filed. The Company filed an answer to the complaint on January 27, 2017 in which it denied the allegations. Although there is no assurance as to the ultimate outcome of this matter or its impact on the Company's business or its financial condition, the Company believes it has meritorious defenses and intends to vigorously defend the claim.

**Aria Avoidance Claim.** On March 28, 2018, the Chapter 7 bankruptcy trustee in the Aria bankruptcy proceeding, together with an unsecured creditor, filed in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of Arkansas an avoidance claim, in the amount of \$4.7 million, against the Company with respect to recovering funds the Company received from Highlands Arkansas Holdings, LLC, an affiliate of Aria ("HAH") and nine affiliates of HAH (Highland of Stamps, LLC; Highlands of Rogers Dixieland, LLC; Highlands of North Little Rock John Ashley, LLC; Highlands of Mountain View SNF, LLC; Highlands of Mountain View RCF, LLC; Highlands of Little Rock West Markham, LLC; Highlands of Little Rock South Cumberland, LLC; Highlands of Little Rock Riley, LLC; and Highlands of Fort Smith, LLC, prior to the bankruptcy filings. The Company believes that this action is defensible and intends to defend through final judgement. There is no guarantee that the Company will prevail in the avoidance action that has been filed against it See Note 7 – Leases and 15 - Commitments and Contingencies to our audited

consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8., "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data."

Professional and General Liability Claims. As of April 2, 2018, the Company is a defendant in 37 professional and general liability actions commenced on behalf of former patients. These actions generally seek unspecified compensatory and punitive damages for former patients who were allegedly injured or died due to professional negligence or understaffing while patients of facilities operated by the Company. Twenty-five of these actions were filed in the State of Arkansas by the same plaintiff attorney who represented the plaintiffs in a purported class action

lawsuit against the Company previously disclosed as the Amy Cleveland Class Action, which settled in December 2015, and such twenty-five actions are subject to a settlement in principle as discussed below. Of the remaining 12 actions not subject to the settlement in principle: (i) two of such actions are covered by insurance, except that any award of punitive damages would be excluded from such coverage; and (ii) three of such actions relate to events which occurred after the Company transitioned the operations of the facilities in question to a third-party operator and which are subject to such operators' indemnification obligations in favor of the Company. These remaining 12 actions are in various stages of discovery, and the Company intends to vigorously defend such actions, where economically favorable to the Company.

On March 12, 2018, the Company entered into a separate mediation settlement agreement with respect to each of the twenty-five actions filed in the State of Arkansas, relating to the settlement in principle of each such action, subject to the satisfaction of certain specified conditions. Each mediation settlement agreement provides for payment by the Company of a specified settlement amount, which settlement amount with respect to each action has been deposited into the mediator's trust account. The settlement of each action must be individually approved by the probate court, and the settlement of one action is not conditioned upon receipt of the probate court's approval with respect to the settlement of any other action. Upon the probate court approving, with respect to a particular action, the settlement and an executed settlement and release agreement, the settlement amount with respect to such action will be disbursed to the plaintiff's counsel. Under the settlement and release agreement with respect to a particular action, the Company will be released from any and all claims arising out of the applicable plaintiff's care while the plaintiff was a resident of one of the Company's facilities.

In connection with a dispute between the Company and its former commercial liability insurance provider regarding, among other things, the Company's insurance coverage with respect to the twenty-five actions filed in the State of Arkansas, the former insurer and the Company entered into a settlement agreement (the "Coverage Settlement Agreement"), providing for, among other things, a settlement payment by the former insurer with respect to such actions (the "Insurance Settlement Amount"), a customary release of claims by the former insurer and the Company, and agreement that the former insurer has exhausted the limits of the insurance policies issued by the former insurer to the Company. See Note 15 - Commitments and Contingencies to our audited consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8., "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data."

Assuming, and subject to, the approval by the probate court of the settlement of each of the twenty-five actions filed in the State of Arkansas and related matters, and the satisfaction of the other conditions with respect thereto, the Company will pay, net of the Insurance Settlement Amount, an aggregate of approximately \$2.4 million in settlement of such actions. The Company gives no assurance that probate court approval of the settlement of such actions will be obtained or the other conditions to such settlements satisfied, or that such actions will be settled on the terms described in this Annual Report or at all.

In addition, during the quarter ended March 31, 2018, the Company, settled for an aggregate total of \$670,000, four previously disclosed professional and general liability actions which were pending as of the filing of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2017. These four actions are excluded from the 37 professional and general liability actions pending at April 2, 2018, as discussed above.

The Company has self-insured against professional and general liability claims since it discontinued its healthcare operations in connection with its Transition. The Company has established a self-insurance reserve with respect to the pending actions included within "Accrued expenses and other" in the Company's consolidated balance sheets, of \$5.1 million (which includes the \$2.4 million settlement amount discussed above) and \$6.9 million at December 31, 2017, and December 31, 2016, respectively. Additionally, at December 31, 2017, \$0.2 million was reserved in "Other



liabilities” and \$0.5 million in “Accounts payable” in the Company’s consolidated balance sheet. See Note 15 - Commitments and Contingencies to our audited consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8., “Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.” Also see “Critical Accounting Policies - Self Insurance Reserve” and “Professional and General Liability” in Part II, Item 7., “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.”

The Company believes that most of the professional and general liability actions are defensible and intends to defend them through final judgement unless settlement is more advantageous to the Company. Accordingly, the self-insurance reserve primarily reflects the Company's estimate of settlement amounts for the pending actions, as appropriate, and legal costs of settling or litigating the pending actions, as applicable. See "Risks Related to Our Business - If we are unable to resolve our professional and general liability claims on terms acceptable to us, then it could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operation" in Part I, Item 1.A, "Risk Factors."

#### Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

## PART II

#### Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

##### Market for Registrant's Common Equity

The common stock is listed for trading on the NYSE American under the symbol "RHE." The high and low sales prices of the common stock and cash dividends declared during the quarters listed below were as follows:

	Sales Price		Cash
	High	Low	Dividends
			Declared
2017 First Quarter	\$1.74	\$1.11	\$ —
Second Quarter	\$1.29	\$0.90	\$ —
Third Quarter	\$1.14	\$0.85	\$ —
Fourth Quarter	\$1.10	\$0.16	\$ —
2016 First Quarter	\$2.70	\$1.85	\$ —
Second Quarter	\$2.50	\$1.71	\$ —
Third Quarter	\$2.60	\$1.65	\$ —
Fourth Quarter	\$2.20	\$1.38	\$ —

Based on information supplied from our transfer agent, there were approximately 127 shareholders of record of the common stock as of March 28, 2018.

We are a holding company, and we have no significant operations. We rely primarily on dividends and other distributions from our subsidiaries to us so we may, among other things, pay dividends on the common stock, and the Series A Preferred Stock, if and to the extent declared by the Board. The ability of our subsidiaries to pay dividends and make other distributions to us depends on their earnings and may be restricted by the terms of certain agreements governing their indebtedness. If our subsidiaries are in default under such agreements, then they may not pay dividends or make other distributions to us.



In addition, we may only pay dividends on the common stock and the Series A Preferred Stock if we have funds legally available to pay dividends and such payment is not restricted or prohibited by law, the terms of any shares with higher priority with respect to dividends or any documents governing our indebtedness. We are restricted by Georgia law from paying dividends on the common stock and the Series A Preferred Stock if we are not able to pay our debts as they become due in the normal course of business or if our total assets would be less than the sum of our total liabilities plus the amount that would be needed to satisfy preferential rights of shareholders whose preferential rights are superior to those receiving the dividend. In addition, no dividends may be declared or paid on the common stock unless full cumulative dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock have been, or contemporaneously are, declared and paid, or declared and a sum sufficient for the payment thereof is set apart for payments, for all past dividend periods. In addition, future debt, contractual covenants or arrangements we or our subsidiaries enter into may restrict or prevent future dividend payments.

The Board suspended dividend payments with respect to the Series A Preferred Stock for the fourth quarter 2017 and the first quarter 2018 dividend periods. The Board plans to revisit the dividend payment policy with respect to the Series A Preferred Stock in the second quarter of 2018. See Part II, Item 7., "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Liquidity and Capital Resources" of this Annual Report. As a result of this dividend suspension, no dividends may be declared or paid on the common stock until all accumulated accrued and unpaid dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock have been, or contemporaneously are, declared and paid, or declared and a sum sufficient for the payment thereof is set apart for payment, for all past dividend periods. See Note 12- Common and Preferred Stock to our audited consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8., "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" in this Annual Report.

#### Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table sets forth additional information as of December 31, 2017, with respect to shares of the common stock that may be issued upon the exercise of options and other rights under our existing equity compensation plans and arrangements, divided between plans approved by our shareholders and plans or arrangements not submitted to the shareholders for approval. The information includes the number of shares covered by and the weighted average exercise price of, outstanding options, warrants, and the number of shares remaining available for future grants, excluding the shares to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants, and other rights.

Plan Category	Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants	Weighted-Average Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected	
		Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants	

			in Column (a))
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders <sup>(1)</sup>	180,725	\$ 3.98	723,530
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders <sup>(2)</sup>	1,018,967	\$ 3.79	—
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,199,692</b>	<b>\$ 3.82</b>	<b>723,530</b>

<sup>(1)</sup>Represents options issued pursuant to the Company's 2011 Stock Incentive Plan which was approved by our shareholders.

<sup>(2)</sup>Represents warrants issued outside of our shareholder approved plan as described below. The warrants listed below contain certain anti-dilution adjustments and, therefore, were adjusted for stock dividends in October 2010, October 2011, and October 2012, if and as applicable. The share numbers and exercise prices below reflect all such applicable adjustments.

On December 19, 2011, we issued to David Rubenstein, as inducement to become our Chief Operating Officer, ten-year warrants, which as of December 31, 2017, represent the right to purchase an aggregate 174,993 shares of common stock at exercises prices per share ranging from \$3.93 to \$4.58, and may be exercised for cash or on a cashless exercise basis. All such warrants are fully vested.

On December 28, 2012, we issued to Strome Alpha Offshore, Ltd., as partial consideration for providing certain financing to the Company, a ten-year warrant to purchase 50,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price per share of \$3.80. Such warrant is fully vested.

On May 15, 2013, we issued to Ronald W. Fleming, as an inducement to become our then Chief Financial Officer, a ten-year warrant, which as of December 31, 2017, represents the right to purchase 23,333 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$5.90, and may be exercised for cash or on a cashless exercise basis. Such warrant is fully vested.

On November 26, 2013, we issued to an investor relations firm, as partial consideration for providing certain investor relations services to the Company, a ten-year warrant to purchase 10,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price per share of \$3.96. Such warrant is fully vested.

On March 28, 2014, we issued to the placement agents in the Company's offering of subordinated convertible promissory notes issued in 2014, as partial compensation for serving as placement agents in such offering, five-year warrants to purchase an aggregate of 48,889 shares of common stock at an exercise price per share of \$4.50. Such warrants are fully vested.

On October 10, 2014, we issued to William McBride III, as an inducement to become our Chief Executive Officer, a ten-year warrant to purchase 300,000 shares of common stock (of which 100,000 shares were forfeited on April 17, 2017 upon his separation from the Company, at an exercise price per share of \$4.49. The balance of such warrant is fully vested and may be exercised for cash or on a cashless basis.

On July 1, 2014, David Tenwick (a director of the Company) sold to Park City Capital Offshore Master, Ltd., an affiliate of Michael J. Fox (a director of the Company): (i) fully vested and unexercised warrants to purchase 109,473 shares of common stock for a total sale price of \$211,283; and (ii) fully vested and unexercised warrants to purchase 109,473 shares of common stock for a total sale price of \$117,136. These warrants have an exercise price of \$2.57 and \$3.43 per share respectively and they expire on November 20, 2019 and were originally issued to Mr. Tenwick in 2007 as compensation for his services.

On February 20, 2015, Mr. Tenwick sold to Park City Capital Offshore Master, Ltd., an affiliate of Mr. Fox, fully vested and unexercised warrants to purchase 109,472 shares of common stock for a total sale price of \$281,343. These warrants have an exercise price of \$1.93 per share, expire on November 20, 2019 and were originally issued to Mr. Tenwick in 2007 as compensation for his services.

On April 1, 2015, we issued to Allan J. Rimland, as an incentive to become our then President and Chief Financial Officer, a ten-year warrant to purchase 275,000 shares of common stock (of which 91,666 shares were forfeited on October 17, 2017 upon his resignation from the Company, at an exercise price per share equal to \$4.25. The balance of such warrant is fully vested and may be exercised for cash or on a cashless exercise basis.

#### Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

During the three months ended December 31, 2017 there were no open-market repurchases of the common stock or the Series A Preferred Stock.

For further information, see Note 12 - Common and Preferred Stock to our audited consolidated financial statements in Part II, Item 8., "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" in this Annual Report.

#### Item 6. Selected Financial Data

Disclosure pursuant to Item 6 of Form 10-K is not required to be provided by smaller reporting companies.

## Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

## Overview

The Company is a self-managed real estate investment company that invests primarily in real estate purposed for long-term care and senior living. Our business primarily consists of leasing and subleasing healthcare facilities to third-party tenants. As of December 31, 2017, the Company owned, leased, or managed for third parties 30 facilities primarily in the Southeast. On October 6, 2016, the Company completed the sale of the nine Arkansas Facilities.

The operators of the Company's facilities provide a range of health care and related services to patients and residents, including skilled nursing and assisted living services, social services, various therapy services, and other rehabilitative and healthcare services for both long-term and short-stay patients and residents.

The following table provides summary information regarding the number of facilities and related operational beds/units as of December 31, 2017:

	Owned		Leased		Managed for Third Parties		Total	
	Facilities	Beds/Units	Facilities	Beds/Units	Facilities	Beds/Units	Facilities	Beds/Units
<b>State</b>								
Alabama	3	410	—	—	—	—	3	410
Georgia	4	463	10	1,168	—	—	14	1,631
North Carolina	1	106	—	—	—	—	1	106
Ohio	4	279	1	94	3	332	8	705
Oklahoma	2	197	—	—	—	—	2	197
South Carolina	2	180	—	—	—	—	2	180
<b>Total</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>1,635</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>1,262</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>332</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>3,229</b>
<b>Facility Type</b>								
Skilled Nursing	14	1,449	11	1,262	2	249	27	2,960
Assisted Living	2	186	—	—	—	—	2	186
Independent Living	—	—	—	—	1	83	1	83
<b>Total</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>1,635</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>1,262</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>332</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>3,229</b>

The following table provides summary information regarding the number of facilities and related operational beds/units by operator affiliation as of December 31, 2017:

Operator Affiliation	Number of	
	Facilities <sup>(1)</sup>	Beds / Units
C.R. Management	8	936
Beacon Health Management	7	585
Wellington Health Services	4	641
Peach Health	3	252

Edgar Filing: REGIONAL HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC - Form 10-K

Symmetry Healthcare	3	286
Southwest LTC	2	197
Subtotal	27	2,897
Regional Health Managed	3	332
Total	30	3,229

<sup>(1)</sup>Represents the number of facilities which are leased or subleased to separate tenants, which tenants are affiliates of the entity named in the table above. See “Portfolio of Healthcare Investments” in Part I, Item 1, “Business” in this Annual Report.

48

---



## Acquisitions

On March 8, 2017, the Company executed the Meadowood Purchase Agreement with Meadowood Retirement Village, LLC and Meadowood Properties, LLC to acquire the Meadowood Facility for \$5.5 million cash. In addition, on March 21, 2017, the Company executed a long-term, triple net operating lease with the Meadowood Operator to lease the facility upon purchase. Lease terms include: (i) a 13-year initial term with one five-year renewal option; (ii) base rent of \$37,500 per month; (iii) a rental escalator of 2.0% per annum in the initial term and 2.5% per annum in the renewal term; (iv) a cross renewal provision, whereby the Meadowood Operator may exercise the lease renewal for the Meadowood Facility if its affiliate exercises the lease renewal option for the Coosa Valley Facility; and (v) a security deposit equal to one month of base rent. The Company completed the purchase of the Meadowood Facility on May 1, 2017 pursuant to the Meadowood Purchase Agreement, at which time the lease commenced and operations of the Meadowood Facility transferred to the Meadowood Operator.

## Divestitures

For information regarding the Company's divestitures, please refer to Note 11 - Discontinued Operations, to our audited consolidated financial statements in Part II, Item 8., "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" in this Annual Report.

The following table summarizes the activity of discontinued operations for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016:

(Amounts in 000's)	For the year ended December 31,	
	2017	2016
Cost of services and other	\$ 1,657	\$ 13,387
Interest expense, net	\$ 22	\$ 41
Net loss	\$ (1,679 )	\$ (13,428 )

## Critical Accounting Policies

We prepare our financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"). The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amount of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses. On an ongoing basis we review our judgments and estimates, including, but not limited to, those related to doubtful accounts, income taxes, stock compensation, intangible assets and loss contingencies. We base our estimates on historical experience, business knowledge and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances at the time. Actual results may vary from our estimates. These estimates are evaluated by management and revised as circumstances change. We believe that the following represents our critical accounting policies.

### Revenue Recognition and Allowances

**Triple-Net Leased Properties.** The Company's triple-net leases provide for periodic and determinable increases in rent. We recognize rental revenues under these leases on a straight-line basis over the applicable lease term when collectability is probable. Recognizing rental income on a straight-line basis generally results in recognized revenues during the first half of a lease term exceeding the cash amounts contractually due from our tenants, creating a straight-line rent receivable that is included in straight-line rent receivable on our consolidated balance sheets. In the

event the Company cannot reasonably estimate the future collection of rent from one or more tenant(s) of the Company's facilities, rental income for the affected facilities will be recognized only upon cash collection, and any accumulated straight-line rent receivable will be reversed in the period in which the Company deems rent collection no longer probable. Rent revenues for the Arkansas Facilities previously leased by us and two facilities in Georgia are recorded on a cash basis. (See Note 11 - Discontinued Operations to our audited consolidated financial statements in Part II, Item 8., "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" in this Annual Report)

Management Fee Revenues and Other Revenues. The Company recognizes management fee revenues as services are provided. Further, the Company recognizes interest income from loans and investments, using the effective interest method when collectability is probable. We apply the effective interest method on a loan-by-loan basis.

Allowances. The Company assesses the collectability of our rent receivables, including straight-line rent receivables and working capital loans to tenants. The Company bases its assessment of the collectability of rent receivables and working capital loans to tenants on several factors, including payment history, the financial strength of the tenant and any guarantors, the value of the underlying collateral, and current economic conditions. If the Company's evaluation of these factors indicates it is probable that the Company will be unable to receive the rent payments or payments on a working capital loan, the Company provides a reserve against the recognized straight-line rent receivable asset or working capital loan for the portion that we estimate may not be recovered. If the Company changes its assumptions or estimates regarding the collectability of future rent payments required by a lease or required from a working capital loan to a tenant, the Company may adjust its reserve to increase or reduce the rental revenue or interest revenue from working capital loans to tenants recognized in the period the Company makes such change in its assumptions or estimates.

At December 31, 2017, we allowed for approximately \$2.6 million on approximately \$2.6 million of gross patient care related receivables. Allowance for patient care receivables are estimated based on an aged-bucket method as well as additional analyses of remaining balances incorporating different payor types. Any changes in patient care receivable allowances are recognized as a component of discontinued operations.

#### Asset Impairment

We review the carrying value of long-lived assets that are held and used in our operations for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of these assets is determined based upon expected undiscounted future net cash flows from the operations to which the assets relate, utilizing management's best estimate, assumptions, and projections at the time. If the carrying value is determined to be unrecoverable from future operating cash flows, the asset is deemed impaired and an impairment loss would be recognized to the extent the carrying value exceeded the estimated fair value of the asset. We estimate the fair value of assets based on the estimated future discounted cash flows of the asset. Management has evaluated its long-lived assets and identified no material asset impairment during the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016.

We test indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment on an annual basis or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the intangible asset may not be recoverable.

Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of identifiable net assets acquired in business combinations. Goodwill is subject to annual testing for impairment. In addition, goodwill is tested for impairment if events occur or circumstances change that would reduce the fair value of a facility below its carrying amount. We perform annual testing for impairment during the fourth quarter of each year (see Note 6 - Intangible Assets and Goodwill to our audited consolidated financial statements in Part II, Item 8., "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" in this Annual Report).

Our asset impairment analysis is consistent with the fair value measurements described in the Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic 820, "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures". During the year ended December 31, 2016, we recognized an approximately \$21,000 impairment charge on an office building located in Roswell, Georgia. (See Note 11 - Discontinued Operations to our audited consolidated financial statements in Part II, Item 8., "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" in this Annual Report). The impairment charges represent the difference between fair values and the carrying amount.

#### Self-Insurance Reserve

The Company has self-insured against professional and general liability claims since it discontinued its healthcare operations in connection with the Transition. Professional and general liability actions generally seek unspecified compensatory and punitive damages for former patients of the Company who were allegedly injured due to professional negligence or understaffing. The Company evaluates quarterly the adequacy of its self-insurance reserve based on a number of factors, including: (i) the number of actions pending and the relief sought; (ii) analyses provided by defense counsel, medical experts or other information which comes to light during discovery; (iii) the legal fees and other expenses anticipated to be incurred in defending the actions; (v) the status and likely success of any mediation or settlement discussions, including estimated settlement amounts and legal fees and other expenses

anticipated to be incurred in such settlement, as applicable; and (vi) the venues in which the actions have been filed or will be adjudicated. The Company believes that most of the professional and general liability actions are defensible and intends to defend them through final judgement unless settlement is more advantageous to the Company. Accordingly, the self-insurance reserve reflects the Company's estimate of settlement amounts for the pending actions, if applicable, and legal costs of settling or litigating the pending actions, as applicable. Because the self-insurance reserve is based on estimates, the amount of the self-insurance reserve may not be sufficient to cover the settlement amounts actually incurred in settling the pending actions, or the legal costs actually incurred in settling or litigating the pending actions.

#### Stock-Based Compensation

We follow the provisions of ASC Topic 718, "Compensation - Stock Compensation", which requires the measurement and recognition of compensation expense for all share-based payment awards either modified or granted to employees, non-employees, and directors based upon estimated fair values. The Black-Scholes-Merton option-pricing model was used to determine the fair value of each option and warrant granted. Option valuation models require the input of highly subjective assumptions, including the expected stock price volatility. We use projected volatility rates, which are based upon historical volatility rates, trended into future years. Because our stock options have characteristics significantly different from those of traded options, and because changes in the subjective input assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate, in management's opinion, the existing models do not necessarily provide a reliable single measure of the fair value of our options.

#### Income Taxes

As required by ASC Topic 740, "Income Taxes", we established deferred tax assets and liabilities for temporary differences between the financial reporting basis and the tax basis of our assets and liabilities at tax rates in effect when such temporary differences are expected to reverse. When necessary, we record a valuation allowance to reduce our net deferred tax assets to the amount that is more likely than not to be realized. At December 31, 2017, the Company has a valuation allowance of approximately \$17.6 million. In future periods, we will continue to assess the need for and adequacy of the remaining valuation allowance. ASC 740 provides information and procedures for financial statement recognition and measurement of tax positions taken, or expected to be taken, in tax returns.

On December 22, 2017, tax legislation commonly known as The Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (the "Tax Reform") was enacted. Among other changes, the Tax Reform reduces the US federal corporate tax rate from 35% to 21% beginning in 2018. The Company has remeasured certain deferred tax assets and liabilities as of the enactment date of the Tax Reform based on the rates at which they are expected to reverse in the future, which is generally 21%. The amount recorded related to the remeasurement of our deferred tax balance was \$9.5 million, which was offset by a reduction in the valuation allowance. The Company also recorded an income tax benefit of approximately \$0.2 million related to the use of our naked credit (a deferred tax liability for an indefinite-lived asset) as a source of income to release a portion of our valuation allowance.

In determining the need for a valuation allowance, the annual income tax rate, or the need for and magnitude of liabilities for uncertain tax positions, we make certain estimates and assumptions. These estimates and assumptions are based on, among other things, knowledge of operations, markets, historical trends and likely future changes and, when appropriate, the opinions of advisors with knowledge and expertise in certain fields. Due to certain risks associated with our estimates and assumptions, actual results could differ.

In October 2014, the Georgia Department of Revenue initiated an examination of our Georgia income tax returns and net worth returns for the 2010, 2011, 2012, and 2013 tax years, which was closed during 2016, with no adjustments required to the filed tax returns.

We are not currently under examination by any other major income tax jurisdiction.

51

---

## Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

The information required by this Item is provided in Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies to our audited consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8., "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" in this Annual Report.

## Results of Operations

## Year Ended December 31, 2017 and 2016

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, statement of operations items and the amount and percentage of change of these items. The results of operations for any particular period are not necessarily indicative of results for any future period. The following data should be read in conjunction with our audited consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto, which are included in Part II, Item 8., "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" in this Annual Report.

(Amounts in 000's)	Year Ended		Increase	
	December 31, 2017	2016	(Decrease) Amount	Percent
<b>Revenues:</b>				
Rental revenues	\$23,690	\$26,287	\$(2,597 )	(9.9 )%
Management fee and other revenues	1,458	1,314	144	11.0 %
Total revenues	25,148	27,601	(2,453 )	(8.9 )%
<b>Expenses:</b>				
Facility rent expense	8,683	8,694	(11 )	(0.1 )%
Depreciation and amortization	4,868	5,296	(428 )	(8.1 )%
General and administrative expenses	4,488	7,714	(3,226 )	(41.8 )%
Other operating expenses	1,971	1,378	593	43.0 %
Total expenses	20,010	23,082	(3,072 )	(13.3 )%
Income from operations	5,138	4,519	619	13.7 %
<b>Other (income) expense:</b>				
Interest expense, net	4,095	7,149	(3,054 )	(42.7 )%
Loss on extinguishment of debt	63	245	(182 )	(74.3 )%
Gain on disposal of assets	—	(8,750 )	8,750	NM
Other expense	474	72	402	NM
Total other (income) expense, net	4,632	(1,284 )	5,916	NM
<b>Income from continuing operations before</b>				
income taxes	506	5,803	(5,297 )	NM
Income tax benefit	(188 )	(163 )	(25 )	15.3 %
Income from continuing operations	694	5,966	(5,272 )	(88.4 )%
Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax	(1,679 )	(13,428)	11,749	(87.5 )%
Net loss	\$(985 )	\$(7,462 )	\$6,477	(86.8 )%

Year Ended December 31, 2017 Compared with Year Ended December 31, 2016:

Rental Revenues—Total rental revenue decreased by \$2.6 million, or 9.9%, to \$23.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2017, compared with \$26.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2016. The decrease reflects lower rent due to the sale of the Arkansas Facilities on October 6, 2016, partially off-set by lease revenue from the Meadowood Facility (acquired on May 1, 2017) and the Peach Facilities. The Company recognizes all rental revenues on a straight line rent accrual basis except with respect to the Oceanside Facility and the Jeffersonville Facility under the Peach Health Sublease prior to recertification (which were recertified by CMS, in February 2017 and December 2016, respectively), the Aria Subleases (which were terminated for non-payment of rent) and the Skyline Lease (which terminated upon sale of the Arkansas Facilities), for which rental revenue is recognized based on cash amount owed, and the sublease with affiliates of New Beginnings (which terminated in connection with the bankruptcy of such entities), for which rental revenue is recognized when cash is received.



Management Fee and Other Revenues—Management fee and other revenues increased by \$0.1 million, or 11.0%, to \$1.4 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2017, compared with \$1.3 million for the same period in 2016, due to a \$0.1 million increase from seller note receivables.

Facility Rent Expense—Facility rent was \$8.7 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2017, and \$8.7 million for the same period in 2016. Rent expense year over year is comparable due to the completion of the Transition, see Note 7 - Leases, to our audited consolidated financial statements in Part II, Item 8., “Financial Statements and Supplementary Data” in this Annual Report.

Depreciation and Amortization—Depreciation and amortization decreased by \$0.4 million or 8.1%, to \$4.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2017, compared with \$5.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2016. The decrease is primarily due to the sale of the Arkansas Facilities on October 6, 2016, that were classified as held for sale beginning May 2016, with the subsequent cessation of depreciation expense partially off-set by depreciation on the Meadowood Facility acquired on May 1, 2017 and leasehold improvements on the Peach Facilities.

General and Administrative—General and administrative costs decreased by \$3.2 million or 41.8%, to \$4.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2017, compared with \$7.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2016. The net decrease is due to a continued reduction in overhead and specifically the following: (i) a decrease in salaries, wages and employee benefits expense of approximately \$1.3 million, (ii) a decrease in stock-based compensation expense of approximately \$0.9 million and (iii) a decrease in legal, contract services, IT, insurance and other expenses of approximately \$1.0 million.

Other Operating Expenses—Other operating expense increased by \$0.6 million or 43.0%, to \$2.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2017, compared with \$1.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2016. The increase is primarily due to (i) a provision of \$0.6 million for a short-term loan to Highlands Arkansas Holdings, LLC, an affiliate of Aria, and (ii) an approximately \$0.3 million investigation expense related to an internal investigation with respect to the circumstances surrounding the inaccurate representation of the educational credentials of William McBride, III, the Company’s then Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board, in the current year period, and (iii) an accrual of \$0.3 million related to the settlement with Mr. McBride offset by, (x) \$0.4 million in non-recurring prior year property and bed tax expenses, and (y) the release of \$0.2 million workers compensation accrual in the prior year.

Interest Expense, net—Interest expense, net decreased by approximately \$3.0 million or 42.7%, to \$4.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2017, compared with \$7.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2016. The decrease is mainly due to the repayment of \$34.8 million of debt principal, and hence cessation of interest of approximately \$2.2 million, in connection with the Arkansas Facilities and the sale thereof in October 2016 and \$6.7 million principal repayment of the Company’s 10% convertible subordinated notes due April 30, 2017 pursuant a tender offer on January 10, 2017 and the remaining \$1.0 million principal amount of such notes on April 30, 2017, and hence cessation of interest of approximately \$0.8 million, partially offset by \$4.1 million in new financing for the Meadowood Facility, and hence approximately \$0.1 million of additional interest and reclassification of \$0.2 million of interest income to revenue in the prior year, see Note 9 - Notes Payable and Other Debt to our audited consolidated financial statements in Part II, Item 8., “Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.”

Loss on Debt Extinguishment—Loss on extinguishment of debt decreased by \$0.2 million or 74.3%, to approximately \$0.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2017, compared with approximately \$0.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2016. The decrease was due to a prepayment penalty incurred on March 20, 2017, when mortgage indebtedness related to the Coosa Valley Facility, and Attalla Health Care, a 182-bed skilled nursing facility located in Attalla, Alabama, was reduced by \$0.7 million and \$0.8 million, respectively through the application of restricted cash held as collateral against such indebtedness, see Note 9 - Notes Payable and Other Debt to our audited consolidated

financial statements in Part II, Item 8., “Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.”

Gain on Disposal of Assets—Gain on disposal of assets was \$8.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2016, due to the sale of the Arkansas Facilities, see Note 10 – Acquisitions and Dispositions to our audited consolidated financial statements in Part II, Item 8., “Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.”

53

---

Other Expense—Other expense increased by \$0.4 million to \$0.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2017, compared with \$0.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2016. The increase is primarily due to legal expenses related to the Merger

Loss from Discontinued Operations—The loss from discontinued operations decreased by \$11.8 million or 87.5% to \$1.7 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2017, compared with a loss of \$13.4 million for the same period in 2016. The decrease is primarily due to lower professional and general legal and bad debt expense. Current period expenses comprise approximately \$0.6 million for professional and general legal expenses and settlements or estimated litigation expenses, net of approximately \$2.8 million insurance contributions for recently settled cases, the remaining \$1.1 million is related to legal expenses, collection activities and other miscellaneous items.

### Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Company continues to undertake measures to grow its operations and to streamline its cost infrastructure by: (i) increasing future lease revenue through acquisitions and investments in existing properties; (ii) modifying the terms of existing leases; (iii) refinancing or repaying debt to reduce interest costs and mandatory principal repayments; and (iv) reducing general and administrative expenses.

Management anticipates access to several sources of liquidity, including cash on hand, cash flows from operations, and debt refinancing. At December 31, 2017, the Company had \$1.8 million in cash and cash equivalents. During the twelve months ended December 31, 2017, the Company generated positive cash flow from continuing operations of approximately \$6.0 million and anticipates continued positive cash flow from operations in the future. The Board of Directors (“Board”) has suspended dividend payments with respect to the Series A Preferred Stock for the fourth quarter 2017 and the first quarter 2018 dividend periods. The Board plans to revisit the dividend payment policy with respect to the Series A Preferred Stock in the second quarter of 2018. The Board believes that the dividend suspension will provide the Company with additional funds to meet its ongoing liquidity needs. If and when the Company resumes payment of the dividend on the Series A Preferred Stock, the Company expects that it will satisfy the dividend requirements (including accrued dividends), if and when declared, from internally generated cash flows. See Note 12—Common and Preferred Stock to our audited consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8., “Financial Statements and Supplementary Data” in this Annual Report.

As of December 31, 2017, the Company had total current liabilities of \$19.5 million and total current assets of \$4.7 million, which includes approximately \$1.8 million of cash and cash equivalents, thus resulting in a working capital deficit of approximately \$14.8 million. Included in current liabilities at December 31, 2017 is the \$8.1 million current portion of its \$73.1 million in indebtedness. The current portion of such indebtedness is comprised of: (i) \$4.3 million mortgage indebtedness under the Company’s credit facility with Housing & Healthcare Funding, LLC (the “Quail Creek Credit Facility”); (ii) convertible debt of \$1.5 million; and (iii) other debt of approximately \$2.3 million, which includes senior debt and bond and mortgage indebtedness. The Company anticipates net principal repayments of approximately \$3.8 million during the next twelve-month period, which includes \$1.5 million of convertible debt (which was repaid in February 2018), approximately \$0.5 million of payments on other non-routine debt, \$1.7 million of routine debt service amortization, and a \$0.1 million payment of other debt. Management is in discussions to obtain an additional extension of the Quail Creek Credit Facility to at least April 30, 2019. Management believes the extension of the maturity of the Quail Creek Credit Facility is probable of being executed as the Company has successfully extended the maturity date of this facility in the past, and the facility is secured by a first mortgage on the real property and improvements constituting the Nursing & Rehabilitation Center located in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma (the “Quail Creek Facility”). See Note 9—Notes Payable and Other Debt to our audited consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8., “Financial Statements and Supplementary Data” in this Annual Report.



Subsequent to year end, on February 15, 2018, the Company entered into a debt refinancing with Pinecone Realty Partners II, LLC (“Pinecone Credit Facility”), with an aggregate principal amount of \$16.25 million, which refinanced existing mortgage debt in an aggregate amount of \$8.7 million on three skilled nursing properties, and provided additional surplus cash flow of \$6.3 million which is available to fund general corporate needs (see Note 19 – Subsequent Events). The surplus cash flow from the Pinecone Credit Facility was used to deposit \$2.4 million of cash into escrow to fund self-insurance reserves for professional and general liability claims with respect to 25 professional and general liability actions (included within current liabilities), and to fund repayment of \$1.5 million in convertible debt. The remaining \$2.4 million in surplus cash proceeds from the Pinecone Credit Facility refinancing will be used for general corporate purposes. See Note 19 – Subsequent Events to our audited consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8., "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" in this Annual Report.

Given the available capital from the Pinecone Credit Facility, management’s plans to extend the due date of the Quail Creek Credit Facility, combined with current funds on hand, and expected future cash flow from operations, management believes that the Company will be able to meet its obligations as they become due in the ordinary course of business for a period of 12 months following the date these financial statements are issued. Management's belief assumes, that the Company will continue to be successful in implementing its business strategy and achieving forecasted results and that there will be no material adverse developments in its business, liquidity or capital requirements.

The following table presents selected data from our consolidated statement of cash flows for the periods presented:

Amounts in (000's)	Year Ended	
	December 31,	
	2017	2016
Net cash provided by operating activities—continuing operations	\$5,995	\$1,598
Net cash (used in) operating activities—discontinued operations	(850 )	(5,007 )
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities—continuing operations	(342 )	24,133
Net cash (used in) financing activities—continuing operations	(16,372)	(8,001 )
Net cash (used in) financing activities—discontinued operations	(658 )	(1,398 )
Net Change in Cash and cash equivalents	(12,227)	11,325
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	14,045	2,720
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$1,818	\$14,045

#### Year Ended December 31, 2017

Net cash provided by operating activities—continuing operations for the year ended December 31, 2017, was approximately \$6.0 million consisting primarily of our income from continuing operations less changes in working capital, and other noncash charges (primarily depreciation and amortization, lease expense in excess of cash paid, share-based compensation, and amortization of debt discounts and related deferred financing costs less lease revenue in excess of cash received) all primarily the result of routine operating activity.

Net cash used in operating activities—discontinued operations for the year months ended December 31, 2017 was approximately \$0.9 million. This amount was to fund legal and associated settlement costs related to our legacy professional and general liability claims and is net of approximately \$1.3 million of collections on patient care receivables.

Net cash used in investing activities—continuing operations for the year ended December 31, 2017, was approximately \$0.3 million. This is the result of a net decrease in restricted cash deposits of approximately \$1.9 million offset by (i) \$1.4 million for the acquisition of the Meadowood Facility transaction consisting of a \$5.5 million purchase price offset by the associated \$4.1 million financing and (ii) capital expenditures of approximately \$0.8 million on building improvements notably, for the Oceanside Facility to assist the Peach Health Sublessee in connection with recertification efforts and the purchase of land adjacent to our facility located in Georgetown, South Carolina.

Net cash used in financing activities—continuing operations was approximately \$16.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2017. This is primarily the result of the repayment of \$7.7 million of convertible debt, the repayment of \$3.8 million of other existing debt obligations, \$0.2 million in payments to repurchase shares of our common stock and \$5.7 million to pay dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock partially off-set by net proceeds of \$1.0 million from issuances of shares of the Series A Preferred Stock.

Net cash used in financing activities—discontinued operations for the year ended December 31, 2017 was approximately \$0.7 million payments for Medicaid and vendor notes.

#### Year Ended December 31, 2016

Net cash provided by operating activities—continuing operations for the year ended December 31, 2016, was approximately \$1.6 million consisting primarily of income from continuing operations less changes in working capital, and other noncash charges (primarily a gain on the disposal of assets, rent revenue in excess of cash received, depreciation and amortization, share-based compensation, rent expense in excess of cash paid and amortization of debt discounts and related deferred financing costs) all primarily the result of routine operating activity.

Net cash used in operating activities—discontinued operations was approximately \$5.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 as we continued to settle legacy vendor liabilities.

Net cash provided by investing activities—continuing operations for the year ended December 31, 2016, was approximately \$24.1 million. This was the result of net proceeds of \$55.0 million received for the sale of the Arkansas Facilities on October 6, 2016 less (i) \$3.0 million of such consideration to be paid to the Company under a promissory note executed by an affiliate of Skyline, (ii) \$35.2 million accounted for as a net cashless transfer of assets for debt liabilities, (iii) proceeds from the sale of office buildings and other assets of \$1.5 million and (iv) a net release in restricted cash deposits of approximately \$7.2 million, approximately \$5.8 million of which was related to the repayment of debt with respect to the Arkansas Facilities. The remaining \$1.5 million of disbursements was for capital expenditures related to the recertification efforts of two facilities in Georgia and other capital expenditures.

Net cash used in financing activities—continuing operations was approximately \$8.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2016. This is primarily the result of repayment of \$51.0 million of debt, which includes: (i) \$35.2 million related to the repayment of debt in connection with the sale of the Arkansas Facilities, accounted for as a net cashless transfer of assets for debt liabilities; (ii) \$10.0 million for a United States Housing and Urban Development (“HUD”) refinancing of debt with respect to the Company’s skilled nursing facility in Sumter, South Carolina; and the Company’s skilled nursing facility in Georgetown, South Carolina; and (iii) other debt repayments of approximately \$5.8 million, including the repayment of debt with respect to the Company’s skilled nursing facility in College Park, Georgia (the “College Park Facility”) and an office building in Georgia. Other disbursements include payments of dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock in the amount of \$7.3 million partially offset by proceeds from refinancing of existing debt obligations of \$9.8 million as well as proceeds of \$6.8 million from issuances of Series A Preferred Stock.

Net cash used in financing activities - discontinued operations was approximately \$1.4 million due to the repayment of vendor notes related to patient care-related accounts payable.

## Notes Payable and Other Debt

Notes payable and other debt consists of the following:

Amounts in (000's)	December 31,	
	2017	2016
Senior debt—guaranteed by HUD	\$33,685	\$34,473
Senior debt—guaranteed by USDA <sup>(A)</sup>	20,320	22,518
Senior debt—guaranteed by SBA <sup>(B)</sup>	2,210	2,319
Senior debt—bonds	7,055	7,145
Senior debt—other mortgage indebtedness	9,486	5,639
Other debt	1,050	1,063
Convertible debt	1,500	9,200
Subtotal	75,306	82,357
Deferred financing costs, net	(2,027 )	(2,196 )
Unamortized discounts on bonds	(177 )	(191 )
Total debt	73,102	79,970
Less: current portion of debt	8,090	13,154
Notes payable and other debt, net of current portion	\$65,012	\$66,816

<sup>(a)</sup>U.S. Department of Agriculture (“USDA”)

<sup>(b)</sup>U.S. Small Business Administration (“SBA”)

For a detailed description of each of the Company's debt financings, see Note 9 - Notes Payable and Other Debt to our audited consolidated financial statements in Part II, Item 8., "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" in this Annual Report.

## Scheduled Maturities

The schedule below summarizes the scheduled gross maturities as of December 31, 2017 for each of the next five years and thereafter.

	Amounts in (000's)
2018	\$ 8,121
2019	2,640
2020	2,942
2021	2,100
2022	5,525
Thereafter	53,978
Subtotal	75,306
Less: unamortized discounts	(177 )
Less: deferred financing costs <sup>(1)</sup>	(2,027 )
Total notes payable and other debt	\$ 73,102



<sup>(1)</sup> Approximately \$0.03 million of deferred financing is recorded in "Current portion of convertible debt, net" on the Company's consolidated balance sheets.

57

---

## Debt Covenant Compliance

As of December 31, 2017, the Company has approximately 25 credit related instruments outstanding that include various financial and administrative covenant requirements. Covenant requirements include, but are not limited to, fixed charge coverage ratios, debt service coverage ratios, minimum EBITDA or EBITDAR, current ratios and tangible net worth requirements. Certain financial covenant requirements are based on consolidated financial measurements whereas others are based on other levels (i.e., facility, multiple facilities or a combination of subsidiaries comprising less than the Company's consolidated financial measurements). The subsidiary level requirements are as follows: (i) financial covenants measured against subsidiaries of the Company; and (ii) financial covenants measured against third-party operator performance. Covenants are based on annual or quarterly financial metric measurements. The Company routinely tracks and monitors its compliance with its covenant requirements. In recent periods the Company has not been in compliance with certain financial and administrative covenants. For each instance of such non-compliance, the Company has obtained waivers or amendments to such requirements including as necessary modifications to future covenant requirements or the elimination of certain requirements in future periods.

The Company's credit-related instruments were all in financial and non-financial compliance as of December 31, 2017.

Included in several of the Company's loan agreements are administrative covenants requiring that a set of audited financial statements be provided to the guarantor within 90 days of the end of each fiscal year (the "Administrative Covenants"). For the year ended December 31, 2017 the Company was in compliance with all such Administrative Covenants.

## Receivables

Our operations could be adversely affected if we experience significant delays in receipt of rental income from our operators. Our future liquidity will continue to be dependent upon the relative amounts of current assets (principally cash and accounts receivable) and current liabilities (principally accounts payable and accrued expenses). In that regard, accounts receivable can have a significant impact on our liquidity.

Accounts receivable totaled \$0.9 million at December 31, 2017 compared with \$2.4 million at December 31, 2016, of which \$0.0 million and \$0.9 million, respectively, related to patient care receivables from our legacy operations.

The allowance for bad debt was \$2.6 million and \$7.5 million at December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively. We continually evaluate the adequacy of our bad debt reserves based on aging of older balances, payment terms and historical collection trends after facility operations transfer to third-party operators. We continue to evaluate and implement additional processes to strengthen our collection efforts and reduce the incidence of uncollectible accounts.

## Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

### Letters of Credit

The Company had \$0.4 million of outstanding letters of credit (under the Company's revolving credit facility with CIBC, formerly the PrivateBank) at December 31, 2016, used primarily for surety bonds, which the Company cancelled when no longer required under such credit facility. Such letters of credit were fully cash collateralized, the cash was returned to the Company during the year ended December 31, 2017.

### Guarantee

On April 6, 2017, the Company guaranteed Peach Health Sublessee's \$2.5 million revolving working capital loan from a third party lender (the "Peach Working Capital Facility"), subsequently capped at \$1.75 million which matures April 5, 2020. Borrowings under the Peach Working Capital Facility are based on a percentage of a borrowing base of eligible accounts receivable. Eligible accounts, net of an allowance for amounts outstanding after 120 days, excluding applicable credits and further reduced for a liquidity factor specific to the payor type, comprise Medicare, Medicaid and commercial accounts only and exclude co-insurance and self-pay. The Peach Working Capital Facility is subject to certain burn-off provisions (i.e., the Company's obligations under such guaranty cease after the later of 18 months or achievement of a certain financial ratio's by Peach Health Sublessee).

## Operating Leases

The Company leases a total of eleven skilled nursing facilities under non-cancelable leases, most of which have rent escalation clauses and provisions for payments of real estate taxes, insurance and maintenance costs; each of the skilled nursing facilities that are leased by the Company are subleased to and operated by third-party operators. The Company also leases certain office space located in Atlanta and Suwanee, Georgia.

Future minimum lease payments for each of the next five years ending December 31 are as follows:

	(Amounts in 000's)
2018	\$ 8,331
2019	8,492
2020	8,671
2021	8,830
2022	9,026
Thereafter	37,430
Total	\$ 80,780

For a further description of the Company's operating leases, see Note 7 - Leases to our audited consolidated financial statements in Part II, Item 8., "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" in this Annual Report.

## Leased and Subleased Facilities to Third-Party Operators

In connection with the Company's transition to a self-managed real estate investment company, twenty-seven facilities (sixteen owned by us and eleven leased to us) are leased or subleased on a triple net basis, meaning that the lessee (i.e., the third-party operator of the property) is obligated under the lease or sublease, as applicable, for all liabilities of the property in respect to insurance, taxes and facility maintenance, as well as the lease or sublease payments, as applicable.

Future minimum lease receivables for each of the next five years ending December 31 are as follows:

	(Amounts in 000's)
2018	\$ 22,281
2019	22,764
2020	23,299
2021	23,886
2022	24,488
Thereafter	112,325
Total	\$ 229,043

The following is a summary of the Company's leases and subleases to third-parties which comprise the future minimum lease receivables of the Company. Each lease or sublease is structured as a "triple-net" lease. For those facilities subleased by the Company to a third-party operator, the renewal option in the sublease agreement is dependent on the Company's renewal of its lease agreement.

Facility Name	Operator Affiliation <sup>(1)</sup>	Initial Lease Term		2018 Cash Annual Rent (Thousands)
		Commencement Date	Expiration Date	
<b>Owned</b>				
Eaglewood ALF	Beacon Health Management	8/1/2015	7/31/2025	764
Eaglewood Care Center	Beacon Health Management	8/1/2015	7/31/2025	764
H&C of Greenfield	Beacon Health Management	8/1/2015	7/31/2025	382
Southland Healthcare	Beacon Health Management	11/1/2014	10/31/2024	938
The Pavilion Care Center	Beacon Health Management	8/1/2015	7/31/2025	382
Attalla Health Care	C.R. Management	12/1/2014	8/31/2030	1,153
Autumn Breeze	C.R. Management	9/30/2015	9/30/2025	867
College Park	C.R. Management	4/1/2015	3/31/2025	633
Coosa Valley Health Care	C.R. Management	12/1/2014	8/31/2030	955
Glenvue H&R	C.R. Management	7/1/2015	6/30/2025	1,228
Meadowood	C.R. Management	5/1/2017	8/31/2030	456
NW Nursing Center	Southwest LTC	12/31/2015	11/30/2025	372
Quail Creek	Southwest LTC	12/31/2015	11/30/2025	768
Georgetown Health	Symmetry Healthcare	4/1/2015	3/31/2030	338
Mountain Trace Rehab	Symmetry Healthcare	6/1/2015	5/31/2030	742
Sumter Valley Nursing	Symmetry Healthcare	4/1/2015	3/31/2030	836
Subtotal Owned Facilities (16)				\$ 11,578
<b>Leased</b>				
Covington Care	Beacon Health Management	8/1/2015	4/30/2025	\$ 818
Lumber City	Beacon Health Management	11/1/2014	8/31/2027	894
LaGrange	C.R. Management	4/1/2015	8/31/2027	1,074
Thomasville N&R	C.R. Management	7/1/2014	8/31/2027	345
Jeffersonville	Peach Health	6/18/2016	8/31/2027	665
Oceanside	Peach Health	7/13/2016	8/31/2027	451
Savannah Beach	Peach Health	7/13/2016	8/31/2027	263
Bonterra	Wellington Health Services	9/1/2015	8/31/2025	1,091
Parkview Manor/Legacy	Wellington Health Services	9/1/2015	8/31/2025	1,091
Powder Springs	Wellington Health Services	4/1/2015	8/31/2027	2,160
Tara	Wellington Health Services	4/1/2015	8/31/2027	1,851
Subtotal Leased Facilities (11)				\$ 10,703
Total (27)				\$ 22,281

<sup>(1)</sup>Represents the number of facilities which are leased or subleased to separate tenants, which tenants are affiliates of the entity named in the table above. See "Portfolio of Healthcare Investments" in Part I, Item 1, "Business" in this Annual Report.

All facilities are skilled nursing facilities except for Eaglewood ALF and Meadowood, which are assisted living facilities. All facilities have renewal provisions of one term of five years except facilities (Mountain Trace, Quail Creek, NW Nursing, Sumter Valley, and Georgetown) which have two renewal terms with each being five years. The leases also contain standard rent escalations that range from 2% to 3% annually.

The Company previously subleased the Arkansas Facilities to affiliates of Aria pursuant to the Aria Subleases. Eight of the Aria Subleases commenced on May 1, 2015 and one such sublease commenced on November 1, 2015. Effective February 3, 2016, each of the Aria Subleases was terminated due to the failure to pay rent pursuant to the terms of such sublease. Subsequently, on February 5, 2016, the Company entered into the Skyline Lease, with Skyline to lease the Arkansas Facilities commencing April 1, 2016. On October 6, 2016, the Company completed the sale of the Arkansas Facilities to an affiliate of Skyline (see Note 10 – Acquisitions and Dispositions to our audited consolidated financial statements in Part II, Item 8., “Financial Statements and Supplementary Data” in this Annual Report.

On January 22, 2016, New Beginnings filed petitions to reorganize its finances under the Bankruptcy Code. New Beginnings operated the Oceanside Facility, the Savannah Beach Facility and the Jeffersonville Facility pursuant to a master lease dated November 3, 2015, with the Company. The Jeffersonville Facility was decertified by CMS in February 2016 for deficiencies related to its operations and maintenance of the facility. From January 1, 2016 until June 4, 2016, New Beginnings paid de minimis rent for the Oceanside and Savannah Beach Facilities and did not pay rent for the Jeffersonville Facility.

On June 18, 2016, ADK Georgia, LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company (“ADK Georgia”), entered the Peach Health Sublease with Peach Health Sublessee, providing that Peach Health Sublessee would take possession of the Peach Facilities subleased by ADK Georgia to affiliates of New Beginnings and operate them as a subtenant.

The Peach Health Sublease became effective for the Jeffersonville Facility, on June 18, 2016 and for the Savannah Beach and Oceanside Facilities on July, 13, 2016, the date on which ADK Georgia accepted possession of the facilities from New Beginnings. The Peach Health Sublease contains de minimis and discounted rent provisions in relation to recertification timing. On December 20, 2016 and February 7, 2017, the Jeffersonville and Oceanside Facilities, respectively, were recertified by CMS and received new Medicare/Medicaid provider contracts. For further information, see Note 7 - Leases to our audited consolidated financial statements in Part II, Item 8., “Financial Statements and Supplementary Data” in this Annual Report.

On February 16, 2017, an affiliate of C.R Management irrevocably extended the term of its lease of the College Park Facility for an additional five years through March 31, 2025.

For a detailed description of each of the Company's leases, see Note 7 - Leases to our audited consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8., "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" in this Annual Report.

#### Professional and General Liability

The Company is a defendant in 37 professional and general liability actions commenced on behalf of former patients. These actions seek unspecified compensatory and punitive damages for former patients who were allegedly injured or died while patients of facilities operated by the Company. Twenty-five of these actions are subject to a settlement in principle in aggregate of approximately \$2.4 million, net of related insurance proceeds, as discussed under Note 19 – Subsequent Events to our audited consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8., "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" in this Annual Report.

The Company established a self-insurance reserve for these professional and general liability claims, included within “Accrued expenses and other”, “Accounts payable” and “Other liabilities” in the Company’s consolidated balance sheets, in the aggregate amount of \$5.8 million and \$6.9 million at December 31, 2017, and December 31, 2016, respectively. The Company believes that most of the professional and general liability are defensible and intends to defend them through final judgement unless settlement is more advantageous to the Company. Accordingly, the \$5.8 million self-insurance reserve accrual primarily reflects the Company’s estimate of settlement amounts for the pending actions, as appropriate and legal costs of settling or litigating the pending actions, as applicable. These amounts are expected

to be paid over time as the legal proceedings progress. The duration of such legal proceedings could be greater than one year subsequent to the year ended December 31, 2017; however management cannot reliably estimate the exact timing of payments. The Company expects to finance litigation, settlements and potential indemnity costs through cash on hand, former insurer insurance settlement proceeds and surplus cash flow from the Pinecone Credit Facility. See Note 19 Subsequent Events to our audited consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8., "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" in this Annual Report.

**ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

Disclosure pursuant to Item 7A. of Form 10-K is not required to be reported by smaller reporting companies.



Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

	PAGE
<u>REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM</u>	63
CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2017 and 2016</u>	64
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2017 and 2016</u>	65
<u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2017 and 2016</u>	66
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2017 and 2016</u>	67
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	69

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders

Regional Health Properties, Inc.:

#### Opinion on the Consolidated Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Regional Health Properties, Inc. and subsidiaries (the “Company”) as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders’ equity, and cash flows for the years then ended, and the related notes (collectively, the “consolidated financial statements”). In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

#### Basis for Opinion

These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits. We are a public accounting firm registered with the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (PCAOB) and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether due to error or fraud. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. As part of our audits, we are required to obtain an understanding of internal control over financial reporting but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company’s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion.

Our audits included performing procedures to assess the risks of material misstatement of the consolidated financial statements, whether due to error or fraud, and performing procedures that respond to those risks. Such procedures included examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. Our audits also included evaluating the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the consolidated financial statements. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

/s/ KPMG LLP

We have served as the Company’s auditor since 2012.

Atlanta, Georgia

April 16, 2018

## REGIONAL HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Amounts in 000's)

	December 31,	
	2017	2016
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$1,818	\$14,045
Restricted cash	960	1,600
Accounts receivable, net of allowance of \$2,570 and \$7,529	945	2,429
Prepaid expenses and other	981	2,395
Total current assets	4,704	20,469
Restricted cash and investments	2,581	3,864
Property and equipment, net	81,213	79,168
Intangible assets—bed licenses	2,471	2,471
Intangible assets—lease rights, net	2,187	2,754
Goodwill	2,105	2,105
Lease deposits	808	1,411
Straight-line rent receivable	6,400	3,730
Notes receivable	3,540	3,000
Other assets	542	514
Total assets	\$106,551	\$119,486
<b>LIABILITIES AND EQUITY (DEFICIT)</b>		
Current Liabilities:		
Current portion of notes payable and other debt	\$6,621	\$4,018
Current portion of convertible debt, net	1,469	9,136
Accounts payable	4,386	3,037
Accrued expenses and other	7,022	9,077
Total current liabilities	19,498	25,268
Notes payable and other debt, net of current portion:		
Senior debt, net	57,801	60,189
Bonds, net	6,567	6,586
Other debt, net	644	41
Other liabilities	4,133	3,677
Deferred tax liability	38	226
Total liabilities	88,681	95,987
Commitments and contingencies (Note 15)		
Preferred stock, no par value; 5,000 shares authorized; 0 and		
2,762 shares issued and outstanding, redemption amount \$0 and		
\$69,038 at December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively	—	61,446
Stockholders' equity (deficit):		
Common stock and additional paid-in capital, no par value; 55,000	61,724	61,643

shares authorized; 19,697 and 19,927 shares issued and outstanding

at December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively

Preferred stock, no par value; 5,000 shares authorized; 2,812 and

0 shares issued and outstanding, redemption amount \$70,288 and

\$0 at December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively

	62,423	—
Accumulated deficit	(106,277)	(99,590)
Total stockholders' equity (deficit)	17,870	(37,947)
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity (deficit)	\$106,551	\$119,486

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

## REGIONAL HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(Amounts in 000's, except per share data)

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2017	2016
<b>Revenues:</b>		
Rental revenues	\$23,690	\$26,287
Management fee and other revenues	1,458	1,314
Total revenues	25,148	27,601
<b>Expenses:</b>		
Facility rent expense	8,683	8,694
Depreciation and amortization	4,868	5,296
General and administrative expenses	4,488	7,714
Other operating expenses	1,971	1,378
Total expenses	20,010	23,082
Income from operations	5,138	4,519
<b>Other (income) expense:</b>		
Interest expense, net	4,095	7,149
Loss on extinguishment of debt	63	245
Gain on disposal of assets	—	(8,750)
Other expense	474	72
Total other expense (income), net	4,632	(1,284)
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	506	5,803
Income tax benefit	(188)	(163)
Income from continuing operations	694	5,966
Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax	(1,679)	(13,428)
Net loss attributable to Regional Health Properties, Inc.	(985)	(7,462)
Preferred stock dividends - declared	(5,702)	(7,335)
Preferred stock dividends - undeclared	(1,912)	—
Net loss attributable to Regional Health Properties, Inc. common stockholders	\$(8,599)	\$(14,797)
Net loss per share of common stock attributable to Regional Health Properties, Inc.		
Basic and diluted:		
Continuing Operations, after current period undeclared dividend	\$(0.35)	\$(0.07)
Discontinued Operations	(0.08)	(0.67)
	\$(0.43)	\$(0.74)
<b>Weighted average shares of common stock outstanding:</b>		
Basic and diluted	19,767	19,892

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements



## REGIONAL HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

(Amounts in 000's)

	Common Stock and Additional					
	Shares of Common Stock	Shares of Preferred Stock	Paid-in Capital	Preferred Stock (a)	Accumulated Deficit	Total
Balance, December 31, 2015	19,861	—	\$ 60,958	—	\$ (84,793 )	\$(23,835)
Stock-based compensation	—	—	1,133	—	—	1,133
Exercises of options and warrants	59	—	—	—	—	—
Issuance of restricted stock, net of						
forfeitures	290	—	—	—	—	—
Stock repurchase program	(283 )	—	(523 )	—	—	(523 )
Forfeiture of unvested restricted stock	—	—	75	—	—	75
Preferred stock dividends	—	—	—	—	(7,335 )	(7,335 )
Net loss	—	—	—	—	(7,462 )	(7,462 )
Balance, December 31, 2016	19,927	—	\$ 61,643	\$ —	\$ (99,590 )	\$(37,947)
Reclassification of preferred stock <sup>(a)</sup>	—	2,812	—	62,423	—	62,423
Stock-based compensation	—	—	267	—	—	267
Issuance of restricted stock, net of						
forfeitures	(112 )	—	—	—	—	—
Stock repurchase program	(118 )	—	(186 )	—	—	(186 )
Preferred stock dividends declared	—	—	—	—	(5,702 )	(5,702 )
Net loss	—	—	—	—	(985 )	(985 )
Balance, December 31, 2017	19,697	2,812	\$ 61,724	\$ 62,423	\$ (106,277 )	\$17,870

(a) Reclassification of the Regional Health Properties, Inc.'s Series A Preferred Stock as permanent equity, as a result of the ownership and transfer restrictions with respect to the common stock implemented in connection with the merger described in Note. 1.

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

## REGIONAL HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Amounts in 000's)

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2017	2016
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net Loss	\$(985 )	\$(7,462 )
Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax	1,679	13,428
Income from continuing operations	694	5,966
Adjustments to reconcile net loss from continuing operations to net cash used in operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	4,868	5,296
Stock-based compensation expense	267	1,133
Forfeiture (remeasurement) of liability based restricted stock	—	75
Rent expense in excess of cash paid	578	896
Rent revenue in excess of cash received	(2,670 )	(2,498 )
Amortization of deferred financing costs	338	1,046
Loss on debt extinguishment	—	(185 )
Deferred tax expense	(188 )	(163 )
Gain on disposal of assets	—	(8,750 )
Bad debt expense	886	215
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	182	(545 )
Prepaid expenses and other	207	672
Accounts payable, and accrued expenses and other	665	(1,361 )
Other liabilities	168	(199 )
Net cash provided by operating activities—continuing operations	5,995	1,598
Net cash used in operating activities—discontinued operations	(850 )	(5,007 )
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	5,145	(3,409 )
Cash flow from investing activities:		
Change in restricted cash and investments	1,879	7,263
Purchase of real estate, net	(1,375 )	—
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	—	18,370
Purchase of property and equipment	(846 )	(1,500 )
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities—continuing operations	(342 )	24,133
Net cash provided by investing activities—discontinued operations	—	—
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(342 )	24,133
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Proceeds from debt	—	9,809
Repayment on notes payable	(3,609 )	(16,284)
Repayment on bonds payable	(90 )	(85 )
Repayment on convertible debt	(7,700 )	—



Edgar Filing: REGIONAL HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC - Form 10-K

Debt issuance costs	(62 )	(315 )
Proceeds from preferred stock issuances, net	977	6,780
Repurchase of common stock	(186 )	(523 )
Repurchase of preferred stock	—	(48 )
Dividends on preferred stock	(5,702 )	(7,335 )
Net cash used in financing activities—continuing operations	(16,372)	(8,001 )
Net cash used in financing activities—discontinued operations	(658 )	(1,398 )
Net cash used in financing activities	(17,030)	(9,399 )
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(12,227)	11,325
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	14,045	2,720
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$1,818	\$14,045
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements		

## REGIONAL HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Amounts in 000's) REGIONAL HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

	Year Ended	
	December 31,	
	2017	2016
Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information:		
Cash paid during the year for:		
Interest	\$3,781	\$6,126
Income taxes	\$29	\$—
Supplemental Disclosure of Non-Cash Activities:		
Issuance of seller note	\$—	\$3,000
Repayment on notes payable - sale of Arkansas Facilities	\$—	\$35,176
Settlement agreements in excess of cash paid	\$264	\$—
Surrender of security deposit	\$500	\$—
Non-cash proceeds from financing of South Carolina Medicaid audit		
repayment	\$385	\$—
Issuance of vendor-financed insurance	\$198	\$—
Non-cash real estate purchase financing	\$4,125	\$—
Gain on extinguishment of exit fee note	\$—	\$185
Cashless exercise of warrants	\$—	\$135

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

### NOTE 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### Description of Business

Regional Health Properties, Inc. (“Regional Health”), through its subsidiaries (together, the “Company”) is a self-managed real estate investment company that invests primarily in real estate purposed for long-term healthcare and senior living. Our business primarily consists of leasing and subleasing such facilities to third-party tenants, which operate the facilities. As of December 31, 2017, the Company owned, leased, or managed for third parties 30 facilities primarily in the Southeast. The operators of the Company's facilities provide a range of health care services to patients and residents, including skilled nursing and assisted living services, social services, various therapy services, and other rehabilitative and healthcare services for both long-term and short-stay patients and residents.

Regional Health's predecessor was incorporated in Ohio on August 14, 1991, under the name Passport Retirement, Inc. In 1995, the company acquired substantially all of the assets and liabilities of AdCare Health Systems, Inc. and changed its name to AdCare Health Systems, Inc. (“AdCare”). AdCare completed its initial public offering in November 2006. Initially based in Ohio, AdCare expanded its portfolio through a series of strategic acquisitions to include properties in a number of other states, primarily in the Southeast. In 2012, AdCare relocated its executive offices and accounting operations to Georgia, and AdCare changed its state of incorporation from Ohio to Georgia on December 12, 2013.

Historically, AdCare's business focused on owning and operating skilled nursing and assisted living facilities. The company also managed facilities on behalf of unaffiliated owners pursuant to management contracts. In July 2014, AdCare's board of directors (the “AdCare Board”) approved a strategic plan to transition (the “Transition”) the company to a healthcare property holding and leasing company through a series of leasing and subleasing transactions. As of December 31, 2015, AdCare and its subsidiaries completed the Transition through: (i) leasing to third-party operators all the healthcare properties which they owned and previously operated; (ii) subleasing to third-party operators all the healthcare properties which they leased (but did not own) and previously operated; and (iii) continuing the one remaining management agreement to manage two skilled nursing facilities and one independent living facility for a third-party. As a result of the Transition, the Company now has many of the characteristics of a real estate investment trust (“REIT”) and is now focused on the ownership, acquisition and leasing of healthcare related properties.

On September 29, 2017, AdCare merged (the “Merger”) with and into Regional Health, a Georgia corporation and then wholly owned subsidiary of AdCare formed for the purposes of the Merger, with Regional Health continuing as the surviving corporation in the Merger. As a consequence of the Merger:

- the outstanding shares of AdCare's common stock, no par value per share (the “AdCare common stock”), converted, on a one for one basis, into the same number of shares of Regional Health's common stock, no par value per share (the “RHE common stock”);
- the outstanding shares of AdCare's 10.875% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (the “AdCare Series A Preferred Stock”) converted, on a one for one basis, into the same number of shares of Regional Health's 10.875% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (the “RHE Series A Preferred Stock”);
- the AdCare Board and executive officers of AdCare immediately prior to the Merger became the board of directors (the “RHE Board”) and executive officers, respectively, of Regional Health immediately following the Merger, and each director and executive officer continued his directorship or employment, as the case may be, with Regional Health under the same terms as his directorship or employment with AdCare immediately following the Merger;

Regional Health assumed all of AdCare's equity incentive compensation plans, and all rights to acquire shares of AdCare common stock under any AdCare equity incentive compensation plan converted into rights to acquire RHE common stock pursuant to the terms of the equity incentive compensation plans and other related documents, if any;

69

---

- Regional Health became the successor issuer to AdCare and succeeded to the assets and continued the business and assumed the obligations of AdCare;
- the RHE common stock and the RHE Series A Preferred Stock commenced trading on the NYSE American LLC (“NYSE American”) immediately following the Merger;
- the rights of the holders of RHE common stock and RHE Series A Preferred Stock are governed by the amended and restated articles of incorporation of RHE (the “RHE Charter”) and the amended and restated bylaws of RHE (the “RHE Bylaws”). The RHE Charter is substantially equivalent to AdCare’s articles of incorporation, as amended (the “AdCare Charter”), except that the RHE Charter includes ownership and transfer restrictions related to the RHE common stock. The RHE Bylaws are substantially equivalent to the bylaws of AdCare, as amended;
- there was no change in the assets held by or in the business conducted by the Company; and
- there is no fundamental change to the Company’s current operational strategy.

When used in these notes to the consolidated financial statements, unless otherwise specifically stated or the context otherwise requires, the terms:

- “Board” or “Board of Directors” refers to the AdCare Board with respect to the period prior to the Merger and to the RHE Board with respect to the period after the Merger;
- “Company” refers to AdCare and its subsidiaries with respect to the period prior to the Merger and to Regional Health and its subsidiaries with respect to the period after the Merger;
- “common stock” refers to the AdCare common stock with respect to the period prior to the Merger and to the RHE common stock with respect to the period after the Merger;
- “Series A Preferred Stock” refers to the AdCare Series A Preferred Stock with respect to the period prior to the Merger and to the RHE Series A Preferred Stock with respect to the period after the Merger; and
- “Charter” refers to the AdCare Charter with respect to the period prior to the Merger and to the RHE Charter with respect to the period after the Merger.

As of December 31, 2017, the Company owns, leases, or manages 30 facilities primarily in the Southeast. Of the 30 facilities, the Company: (i) leased 14 owned and subleased 11 leased skilled nursing facilities to third-party tenants; (ii) leased two owned assisted living facilities to third-party tenants; and (iii) managed on behalf of third-party owners two skilled nursing facilities and one independent living facility (see Note 7 - Leases for a full description of the Company’s leases). The Company leases its currently-owned healthcare properties, and subleases its currently-leased healthcare properties, on a triple-net basis, meaning that the lessee (i.e., the third-party operator of the property) is obligated under the lease or sublease, as applicable, for all costs of operating the properties including insurance, taxes and facility maintenance, as well as the lease or sublease payments, as applicable. These leases are generally long-term in nature with renewal options and annual escalation clauses.

#### Basis of Presentation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements are prepared in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”) in accordance with the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”).

#### Use of Estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make certain estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported results of operations during the

reporting period. Significant estimates include the self-insurance reserve for professional and general liability, allowance for doubtful accounts, contractual allowances for Medicaid, Medicare, and managed care reimbursements, deferred tax valuation allowance, fair value of employee and nonemployee share-based awards, fair value estimation methods used to determine the assigned fair value of assets and liabilities acquired in acquisitions, valuation of goodwill and other long-lived assets, and cash flow projections. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates.

## Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the Company's majority owned and controlled subsidiaries. All intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated through consolidation.

Arrangements with other business enterprises are evaluated, and those in which Regional Health is determined to have controlling financial interest are consolidated. Guidance is provided by FASB ASC Topic 810-10, "Consolidation—Overall", which includes consolidation of business enterprises to which the usual condition of consolidation (ownership of a majority voting interest) does not apply. This guidance includes controlling financial interests that may be achieved through arrangements that do not involve voting interests. In absences of clear control through voting interests, a company's exposure (variable interest) to the economic risks and potential rewards from the variable interest entity's ("VIE") assets and activities are the best evidence of control. If an enterprise holds the power to direct and right to receive benefits of an entity, it would be considered the primary beneficiary. The primary beneficiary is required to consolidate the assets, liabilities and results of operations of the VIE in its financial statements.

The Company has evaluated and concluded that as of December 31, 2017, the Company has no relationship with a VIE in which it is the primary beneficiary required to consolidate the entity.

## Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to the 2016 financial information to conform to the 2017 presentation with no effect on the Company's consolidated financial position or results of operations. These reclassifications did not affect total assets, total liabilities, or stockholders' equity. Reclassifications were made to the consolidated statements of operations for the year ended December 31, 2016 to conform the presentation of interest revenue from notes receivable for both periods presented.

## Cash, Cash Equivalents, and Restricted Cash and Investments

The Company considers all unrestricted short-term investments with original maturities less than three months, which are readily convertible into cash, to be cash equivalents. Certain cash, cash equivalents and investment amounts are restricted for specific purposes such as mortgage escrow requirements; reserves for capital expenditures on United States Housing and Urban Development ("HUD") insured facilities and collateral for other debt obligations.

## Revenue Recognition and Allowances

**Triple-Net Leased Properties.** The Company's triple-net leases provide for periodic and determinable increases in rent. The Company recognizes rental revenues under these leases on a straight-line basis over the applicable lease term when collectability is probable. Recognizing rental income on a straight-line basis generally results in recognized revenues during the first half of a lease term exceeding the cash amounts contractually due from our tenants, creating a straight-line rent receivable that is included in straight-line rent receivable on our consolidated balance sheets. In the event the Company cannot reasonably estimate the future collection of rent from one or more tenant(s) of the Company's facilities, rental income for the affected facilities will be recognized only upon cash collection, and any accumulated straight-line rent receivable will be reversed in the period in which the Company deems rent collection no longer probable. Rent revenues for the nine facilities in Arkansas previously leased by us (the "Arkansas Facilities") and two facilities in Georgia are recorded on a cash basis.

**Management Fee Revenues and Other Revenues.** The Company recognizes management fee revenues as services are provided. Further, the Company recognizes interest income from loans and investments, using the effective interest

method when collectability is probable. The Company applies the effective interest method on a loan-by-loan basis.

71

---



Allowances. The Company assesses the collectability of our rent receivables, including straight-line rent receivables and working capital loans to tenants. The Company bases its assessment of the collectability of rent receivables and working capital loans to tenants on several factors, including payment history, the financial strength of the tenant and any guarantors, the value of the underlying collateral, and current economic conditions. If the Company's evaluation of these factors indicates it is probable that the Company will be unable to receive the rent payments or payments on a working capital loan, the Company provides a reserve against the recognized straight-line rent receivable asset or working capital loan for the portion that may not be recovered. If the Company changes its assumptions or estimates regarding the collectability of future rent payments required by a lease or required from a working capital loan to a tenant, the Company may adjust its reserve to increase or reduce the rental revenue or interest revenue from working capital loans to tenants recognized in the period the Company makes such change in its assumptions or estimates.

Accounts receivable, net totaled \$0.9 million at December 31, 2017 compared with \$2.4 million at December 31, 2016, of which \$0.0 million and \$0.9 million, respectively, related to patient care receivables from our legacy operations. At December 31, 2017, the Company allowed for approximately \$2.6 million on approximately \$2.6 million of gross patient care related receivables primarily from our operations before completion of the Transition. Allowance for patient care receivables are estimated based on an aged-bucket method as well as additional analyses of remaining balances incorporating different payor types. Any changes in patient care receivable allowances are recognized as a component of discontinued operations.

#### Concentrations of Credit Risk

Financial instruments which potentially expose the Company to concentrations of credit risk consist primarily of cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, restricted investments, accounts receivable and straight-line rent receivables. Cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash and restricted investments are held with various financial institutions. From time to time, these balances exceed the federally insured limits. These balances are maintained with high quality financial institutions which management believes limits the risk.

Accounts receivable are recorded at net realizable value. The Company performs ongoing evaluations of its tenants and significant third-party payors with which it contracts, and generally does not require collateral. The Company maintains an allowance for doubtful accounts which management believes is sufficient to cover potential losses. Delinquent accounts receivable are charged against the allowance for doubtful accounts once collection has been determined to be unlikely. Accounts receivable are considered past due and placed on delinquent status based upon contractual terms as well as how frequently payments are received, on an individual account basis.

#### Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost. Expenditures for major improvements are capitalized. Depreciation commences when the assets are placed in service. Maintenance and repairs which do not improve or extend the life of the respective assets are charged to expense as incurred. Upon disposal of assets, the cost and related accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and any gain or loss is recorded. Depreciation is recorded on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the respective assets. Property and equipment also includes bed license intangibles for states other than Ohio (where the building and bed license are deemed complimentary assets) and are amortized over the life of the building. The Company reviews property and equipment for potential impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amounts of assets may not be recoverable.

#### Leases and Leasehold Improvements

The Company leases certain facilities and equipment in the normal course of business. At the inception of each lease, the Company performs an evaluation to determine whether the lease should be classified as an operating lease or capital lease. As of December 31, 2017, all of the Company's leased facilities are accounted for as operating leases. For operating leases that contain scheduled rent increases, the Company records rent expense on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of the useful life of the asset or the lease term.

### Intangible Assets and Goodwill

Intangible assets consist of finite lived and indefinite lived intangibles. The Company's finite lived intangibles include lease rights and certain certificate of need ("CON") and bed licenses that are not separable from the associated buildings. Finite lived intangibles are amortized over their estimated useful lives. For the Company's lease related intangibles, the estimated useful life is based on the terms of the underlying facility leases averaging approximately ten years. For the Company's CON/bed licenses that are not separable from the buildings, the estimated useful life is based on the building life when acquired with an average estimated useful life of approximately 32 years. The Company evaluates the recoverability of the finite lived intangibles whenever an impairment indicator is present.

The Company's indefinite lived intangibles consist primarily of values assigned to CON/bed licenses that are separable from the buildings. The Company does not amortize goodwill or indefinite lived intangibles. On an annual basis, the Company evaluates the recoverability of the indefinite lived intangibles and goodwill by performing an impairment test. The Company performs its annual test for impairment during the fourth quarter of each year. For the year ended December 31, 2017 the test results indicated no impairment necessary.

### Deferred Financing Costs

The Company records deferred financing costs associated with debt obligations as direct reduction from the carrying amount of the debt liability. Costs are amortized over the term of the related debt using the straight-line method and are reflected as interest expense. The straight-line method yields results substantially similar to those that would be produced under the effective interest rate method.

### Income Taxes and Uncertain Tax Positions

Deferred tax assets or liabilities are recognized for the estimated future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective income tax basis. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in the period that included the enactment date. Deferred tax assets are also recognized for the future tax benefits from net operating loss and other carry forwards. Valuation allowances are recorded for deferred tax assets when the recoverability of such assets is not deemed more likely than not.

On December 22, 2017, tax legislation commonly known as The Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (the "Tax Reform") was enacted. Among other changes the Tax Reform reduces the US federal corporate tax rate from 35% to 21% beginning in 2018.

The Company has remeasured certain deferred tax assets and liabilities as of the enactment date of the Tax Reform based on the rates at which they are expected to reverse in the future, which is generally 21%. The amount recorded related to the remeasurement of our deferred tax balance was \$9.5 million, which was offset by a reduction in the valuation allowance. The Company also recorded an income tax benefit of approximately \$0.2 million related to the use of our naked credit (a deferred tax liability for an indefinite-lived asset) as a source of income to release a portion of our valuation allowance.

Judgment is required in evaluating uncertain tax positions. The Company determines whether it is more likely than not that a tax position will be sustained upon examination. If a tax position meets the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold it is measured to determine the amount of benefit to recognize in the financial statements. The Company classifies unrecognized tax benefits that are not expected to result in payment or receipt of cash within one year as

non-current liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets. The Company is subject to income taxes in the U.S. and numerous state and local jurisdictions. In general, the Company's tax returns filed for the 2014 through 2017 tax years are still subject to potential examination by taxing authorities.

The Company is not currently under examination by any major income tax jurisdiction.

73

---

### Stock Based Compensation

The Company follows the provisions of ASC topic 718 "Compensation - Stock Compensation", which requires the use of the fair-value based method to determine compensation for all arrangements under which employees, non-employees, and others receive shares of stock or equity instruments (options, warrants or restricted shares). All awards are amortized on a straight-line basis over their vesting terms.

### Fair Value Measurements and Financial Instruments

Accounting guidance establishes a three-level valuation hierarchy for disclosure of fair value measurements. The valuation hierarchy is based upon the transparency of inputs to the valuation of an asset or liability as of the measurement date. The categorization of a measurement within the valuation hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The three levels are defined as follows:

Level 1— Quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities

Level 2— Other observable market-based inputs or unobservable inputs that are corroborated by market data

Level 3— Significant unobservable inputs

The respective carrying value of certain financial instruments of the Company approximates their fair value. These instruments include cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash and investments, accounts receivable, notes receivable, and accounts payable. Fair values were assumed to approximate carrying values for these financial instruments since they are short-term in nature and their carrying amounts approximate fair values, they are receivable or payable on demand, or the interest rates earned and/or paid approximate current market rates.

### Self-Insurance

The Company was self-insured for employee medical claims (in all states except for Oklahoma, where the Company participated in the Oklahoma state subsidy program) and had a large deductible workers' compensation plan (in all states except for Ohio, where workers' compensation is covered under a premium-only policy provided by the Ohio Bureau of Workers' Compensation). Additionally, the Company maintains insurance programs, including commercial general liability, property, casualty, directors' and officers' liability, crime and employment practices liability.

In July 2014, the Board approved and commenced the Transition. In 2015, the insurance programs described above changed in order to address the different needs of the Company as a result of the Transition. The Company's workers compensation plan transitioned from a high deductible to a guaranteed cost program in February 2015. As of December 31, 2017, claims incurred but not reported or unsettled claims for the legacy self-insured employee medical plan and the large deductible workers' compensation plan are recognized as a liability in the consolidated financial statements.

Professional liability insurance was provided to facilities operations up until the date of transfer. Claims which were associated with prior operations of the Company but not reported as of the transition date were self-insured.

The Company has self-insured against professional and general liability claims since it discontinued its healthcare operations in connection with the Transition. The Company evaluates quarterly the adequacy of its self-insurance reserve based on a number of factors, including: (i) the number of actions pending and the relief sought; (ii) analyses provided by defense counsel, medical experts or other information which comes to light during discovery; (iii) the legal fees and other expenses anticipated to be incurred in defending the actions; (iv) the status and likely success of

any mediation or settlement discussions, including estimated settlement amounts and legal fees and other expenses anticipated to be incurred in such settlement, as applicable; and (v) the venues in which the actions have been filed or will be adjudicated. The Company believes that most of the professional and general liability actions are defensible and intends to defend them through final judgment unless settlement is more advantageous to the Company. Accordingly, the self-insurance reserve reflects the Company's estimate of settlement amounts for the pending actions, if applicable, and legal costs of settling or litigating the pending actions, as applicable. Because the self-insurance reserve is based on estimates, the amount of the self-insurance reserve may not be sufficient to cover the settlement amounts actually incurred in settling the pending actions, or the legal costs actually incurred in settling or litigating the pending actions. See Note 8 - Accrued Expenses and Other.

### Classification of the Series A Preferred Stock as Permanent Equity

As a result of the Merger the common stock is subject to the ownership and transfer restrictions set forth in the RHE Charter. These restrictions permit classification of the Series A Preferred Stock as permanent equity. Prior to the Merger, the common stock was not subject to similar restrictions, and the Series A Preferred Stock was classified outside of permanent equity. As of the Merger date, the Series A Preferred Stock was classified as permanent equity. See Note 12 – Common and Preferred Stock.

### Recently Adopted Accounting Standards

Except for rules and interpretive releases of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") under authority of federal securities laws, FASB ASC is the sole source of authoritative GAAP literature applicable to the Company. The Company has reviewed the FASB accounting pronouncements and Accounting Standards Updates ("ASU") interpretations that have effectiveness dates during the periods reported and in future periods.

On April 1, 2017, the Company adopted ASU 2017-01, Clarifying the Definition of a Business, which narrows the FASB definition of a business and provides a framework that gives entities a basis for making reasonable judgments about whether a transaction involves an asset or a business. ASU 2017-01 states that when substantially all of the fair value of the gross assets acquired is concentrated in a single identifiable asset or group of similar identifiable assets, the acquired asset is not a business. If this initial test is not met, an acquired asset cannot be considered a business unless it includes an input and a substantive process that together significantly contribute to the ability to create output. The primary differences between business combinations and asset acquisitions include recognition of goodwill at the acquisition date and expense recognition for transaction costs as incurred. The Company is applying ASU 2017-01 prospectively for acquisitions after April 1, 2017. Regardless of whether an acquisition is considered a business combination or an asset acquisition, the Company records the cost of the businesses (or assets) acquired as tangible and intangible assets and liabilities based upon their estimated fair values as of the acquisition date. Intangibles primarily include CONs but could include value of in-place leases and acquired lease contracts. For an asset acquisition, the cost of the acquisition is allocated to the assets and liabilities acquired on a relative fair value basis and no goodwill is recognized. The Company estimates the fair value of assets in accordance with FASB ASC 820. The fair value is estimated under market conditions observed at the time of the measurement date and depreciated over the remaining life of the assets.

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-09, with the intention to simplify aspects of the accounting for share-based payment transactions, including income tax impacts, classification on the statement of cash flows, and forfeitures. ASU 2016-09 is effective for fiscal years and interim periods within those years beginning after December 15, 2016. The various amendments within the standard require different approaches to adoption, on a retrospective, modified retrospective or prospective basis. The Company adopted the various amendments in its consolidated financial statements for the three month period ending March 31, 2017 with an effective date of January 1, 2017. The Company has elected to continue to estimate forfeitures expected to occur to determine the amount of compensation cost to be recognized in each period. The adoption of ASU 2016-09 did not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In August 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-15, which provides guidance regarding an entity's ability to continue as a going concern, which requires management to assess a company's ability to continue as a going concern and to provide related footnote disclosures in certain circumstances. Before this new standard, there was minimal guidance in GAAP specific to going concern. Under the new standard, disclosures are required when conditions give rise to substantial doubt about a company's ability to continue as a going concern within one year from the financial statement issuance date. The guidance is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim periods within that reporting period, with early adoption permitted. The adoption of ASU 2014-15 did not have a

material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

75

---



### Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers, which requires a company to recognize revenue when the company transfers control of promised goods and services to the customer. Revenue is recognized in an amount that reflects the consideration to which a company expects to receive in exchange for such goods or services. A company is also required to disclose sufficient quantitative and qualitative information to enable users of financial statements to understand the nature, amount, timing, and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from contracts with customers. The FASB has issued several amendments to the standards, which are intended to promote a more consistent interpretation and application of the principles outlined in the standard.

Companies are permitted to adopt the standard using a retrospective transition method (i.e., restate all prior periods presented) or a cumulative effect method (i.e., recognize the cumulative effect of initially applying the guidance at the date of initial application with no restatement of prior periods). However, both methods allow companies to elect certain practical expedients on transition that will help to simplify how a company restates its contracts. The Company currently anticipates adopting the standard using the cumulative effect method.

The new standard is effective for the Company for annual periods in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018. All companies are permitted to early adopt the standard beginning January 1, 2017. The Company will implement the provisions of ASU 2014-09 as of January 1, 2018.

The Company does not expect the standard to have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements, other than for the additional disclosures required by the standard. While the Company's management fee revenue is subject to the provisions of ASC 606, the pattern and timing of recognition of income under the new standard will be consistent with the current accounting model. The new standard does not apply to rental revenue.

The new guidance will not affect the total revenue or operating earnings recognized for such contract. The Company does not expect the effects of adoption for such contract to be material to the consolidated financial statements.

In January 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-01, which provides revised accounting guidance related to the accounting for and reporting of financial instruments. This guidance significantly revises an entity's accounting related to (i) the classification and measurement of investments in equity securities and (ii) the presentation of certain fair value changes for financial liabilities measured at fair value. It also amends certain disclosure requirements associated with the fair value of financial instruments. The ASU is effective for annual periods and interim periods within those annual periods beginning after December 15, 2017; earlier adoption is permitted. The adoption of this guidance is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02, a comprehensive new standard that amends various aspects of existing guidance for leases and requires additional disclosures about leasing arrangements. It will require companies to recognize lease assets and lease liabilities by lessees for those leases classified as operating leases under previous guidance, ASC 840, Leases. ASU 2016-02 creates a new Topic, ASC 842, Leases. This new topic retains a distinction between finance leases and operating leases. The classification criteria for distinguishing between finance leases and operating leases are substantially similar to the classification criteria for distinguishing between capital leases and operating leases in the previous leases guidance. The ASU is effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2018, including interim periods within those fiscal years; earlier adoption is permitted. In the financial statements in which the ASU is first applied, leases shall be measured and recognized at the beginning of the earliest comparative period presented with an adjustment to equity. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the adoption of this guidance on its consolidated financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

In June 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-13, which changes the impairment model for most financial assets. The new model uses a forward-looking expected loss method, which will generally result in earlier recognition of allowances for losses. ASU 2016-13 is effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2019 and early adoption is permitted for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2018. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting ASU 2016-13 on its consolidated financial statements.

In August 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-15, which eliminates the diversity in practice related to the classification of certain cash receipts and payments for debt prepayment or extinguishment costs, the maturing of a zero coupon bond, the settlement of contingent liabilities arising from a business combination, proceeds from insurance settlements, distributions from certain equity method investees and beneficial interests obtained in a financial asset securitization. ASU 2016-15 designates the appropriate cash flow classification, including requirements to allocate certain components of these cash receipts and payments among operating, investing and financing activities. The retrospective transition method, requiring adjustment to all comparative periods presented, is required unless it is impracticable for some of the amendments, in which case those amendments would be applied prospectively as of the earliest date practicable. ASU 2016-15 is effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2017 and early adoption is permitted. The Company does not expect the adoption of ASU 2016-15 to have a material impact on its consolidated statements of cash flows.

In November 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-18, which requires that the statement of cash flows explain the change during the period in the total of cash, cash equivalents, and amounts generally described as restricted cash. Therefore, amounts generally described as restricted cash will be included with cash and cash equivalents when reconciling the beginning-of-period and end-of-period total amounts shown on the statement of cash flows. ASU 2016-18 is effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2017 and early adoption is permitted using a retrospective transition method to each period presented. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting ASU 2016-09 on its consolidated financial statements.

## NOTE 2. EARNINGS PER SHARE

Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing net income or loss attributable to common stockholders by the weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share is similar to basic earnings per share except net income or loss is adjusted by the impact of the assumed issuance of convertible shares and the weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding (which includes potentially dilutive securities, such as options, warrants, non-vested shares, and additional shares issuable under convertible notes outstanding during the period when such potentially dilutive securities are not anti-dilutive). Potentially dilutive securities from options, warrants and unvested restricted shares are calculated in accordance with the treasury stock method, which assumes that proceeds from the exercise of all options and warrants with exercise prices exceeding the average market value are used to repurchase common stock at market value. The incremental shares remaining after the proceeds are exhausted represent the potentially dilutive effect of the securities. Potentially dilutive securities from convertible promissory notes are calculated based on the assumed issuance at the beginning of the period, as well as any adjustment to income that would result from their assumed issuance. For 2017 and 2016, potentially dilutive securities of 1.6 million and 4.4 million, respectively, were excluded from the diluted loss per share calculation because including them would have been anti-dilutive in both periods.

The following table provides a reconciliation of net loss for continuing and discontinued operations and the number of shares used in the computation of both basic and diluted earnings per share:

	Year Ended December 31,					
	2017			2016		
	Income	Per	Income	Per		
		Share		Share		
(Amounts in 000's, except per share data)	(loss)	( <sup>2</sup> )	(loss)	( <sup>2</sup> )		

Edgar Filing: REGIONAL HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC - Form 10-K

<b>Continuing Operations:</b>						
Income from continuing operations	\$ 694			\$ 5,966		
Preferred stock dividends, declared	(5,702)			(7,335 )		
Preferred stock dividends, undeclared <sup>(1)</sup>	(1,912)			—		
Basic and diluted loss from continuing operations	\$(6,920)	19,767	\$(0.35)	\$(1,369 )	19,892	\$(0.07)
<b>Discontinued Operations:</b>						
Loss from discontinued operations	\$(1,679)			\$(13,428)		
Basic and Diluted Loss from discontinued operations, net						
of tax	\$(1,679)	19,767	\$(0.08)	\$(13,428)	19,892	\$(0.67)
<b>Net Loss Attributable to Regional Health Properties, Inc.:</b>						
Basic and Diluted Net loss attributable to Regional Health						
Properties, Inc. common stockholders	\$(8,599)	19,767	\$(0.43)	\$(14,797)	19,892	\$(0.74)

<sup>(1)</sup>The Board suspended dividend payments with respect to our Series A Preferred Stock for the fourth quarter 2018.

(2) Securities outstanding that were excluded from the computation, prior to the use of the treasury stock method, because they would have been anti-dilutive are as follows:

(Amounts in 000's)	December 31,	
	2017	2016
Stock options	181	355
Common stock warrants - employee	582	1,450
Common stock warrants - nonemployee	437	437
Shares issuable upon conversion of convertible debt	353	2,165
Total shares	1,553	4,407

### NOTE 3. LIQUIDITY

The Company continues to undertake measures to grow its operations and to streamline its cost infrastructure by: (i) increasing future lease revenue through acquisitions and investments in existing properties; (ii) modifying the terms of existing leases; (iii) refinancing or repaying debt to reduce interest costs and mandatory principal repayments; and (iv) reducing general and administrative expenses.

Management anticipates access to several sources of liquidity, including cash on hand, cash flows from operations, and debt refinancing. At December 31, 2017, the Company had \$1.8 million in cash and cash equivalents. During the twelve months ended December 31, 2017, the Company generated positive cash flow from continuing operations of \$6.0 million and anticipates continued positive cash flow from operations in the future. The Board has suspended dividend payments with respect to the Series A Preferred Stock for the fourth quarter 2017 and the first quarter 2018 dividend periods. The Board plans to revisit the dividend payment policy with respect to the Series A Preferred Stock in the second quarter of 2018. The Board believes that the dividend suspension will provide the Company with additional funds to meet its ongoing liquidity needs.

As of December 31, 2017, the Company had total current liabilities of \$19.5 million and total current assets of \$4.7 million, which includes approximately \$1.8 million of cash and cash equivalents, thus resulting in a working capital deficit of approximately \$14.8 million. Included in current liabilities at December 31, 2017 is the \$8.1 million current portion of its \$73.1 million in indebtedness. The current portion of such indebtedness is comprised of: (i) \$4.3 million mortgage indebtedness under the Company's credit facility with Housing & Healthcare Funding, LLC (the "Quail Creek Credit Facility"); (ii) convertible debt of \$1.5 million; and (iii) other debt of approximately \$2.3 million, which includes senior debt and bond and mortgage indebtedness. The Company anticipates net principal repayments of approximately \$3.8 million during the next twelve-month period, which includes \$1.5 million of convertible debt (which was repaid in February 2018), approximately \$0.5 million of payments on other non-routine debt, \$1.7 million of routine debt service amortization, and a \$0.1 million payment of other debt. Management is in discussions to obtain an additional extension of the Quail Creek Credit Facility to at least April 30, 2019. Management believes the extension of the maturity of the Quail Creek Credit Facility is probable of being executed as the Company has successfully extended the maturity date of this facility in the past, and the facility is secured by a first mortgage on the real property and improvements constituting the Nursing & Rehabilitation Center located in Oklahoma City,

Oklahoma (the “Quail Creek Facility”), (see Note 9—Notes Payable and Other Debt).

Subsequent to year end, on February 15, 2018, the Company entered into a debt refinancing with Pinecone Realty Partners II, LLC (“Pinecone Credit Facility”), with an aggregate principal amount of \$16.25 million, which refinanced existing mortgage debt in an aggregate amount of \$8.7 million on three skilled nursing properties, and provided additional surplus cash flow of \$6.3 million which is available to fund general corporate needs (see Note 19 – Subsequent Events). The surplus cash flow from the Pinecone Credit Facility was used to deposit \$2.4 million of cash into escrow to fund self-insurance reserves for professional and general liability claims with respect to 25 professional and general liability actions (included within current liabilities), and to fund repayment of \$1.5 million in convertible debt. The remaining \$2.4 million in surplus cash proceeds from the Pinecone Credit Facility refinancing will be used for general corporate purposes.

Given the available capital from the Pinecone Credit Facility, management's plans to extend the due date of the Quail Creek Credit Facility, combined with current funds on hand, and expected future cash flow from operations, management believes that the Company will be able to meet its obligations as they become due in the ordinary course of business for a period of 12 months following the date these financial statements are issued. Management's belief assumes, that the Company will continue to be successful in implementing its business strategy and achieving forecasted results and that there will be no material adverse developments in its business, liquidity or capital requirements.

#### NOTE 4. RESTRICTED CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The following presents the Company's various restricted cash, escrow deposits and investments:

Amounts in (000's)	December 31,	
	2017	2016
Cash collateral	\$63	\$260
Replacement reserves	260	811
Escrow deposits	637	529
Total current portion	960	1,600
Restricted investments for debt obligations	2,176	2,274
HUD and other replacement reserves	405	1,590
Total noncurrent portion	2,581	3,864
Total restricted cash and investments	\$3,541	\$5,464

Cash collateral— In securing mortgage financing from certain lending institutions, the Company and certain of its wholly-owned subsidiaries are required to deposit cash to be held as collateral in accordance with the terms of such loan agreements.

Replacement reserves—Cash reserves set aside for non-critical building repairs to be completed within the next 12 months, pursuant to loan agreements.

Escrow deposits—In connection with financing secured through our lenders, several wholly-owned subsidiaries of the Company are required to make monthly escrow deposits for taxes and insurance.

Restricted investments for debt obligations—In compliance with certain financing and insurance agreements, the Company and certain wholly-owned subsidiaries of the Company are required to deposit cash held as collateral by the lender or in escrow with certain designated financial institutions.

HUD and other replacement reserves—The regulatory agreements entered into in connection with the financing secured through HUD require monthly escrow deposits for replacement and improvement of the HUD project assets.

#### NOTE 5. PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

Edgar Filing: REGIONAL HEALTH PROPERTIES, INC - Form 10-K

The following table sets forth the Company's property and equipment:

(Amounts in 000's)	Estimated Useful Lives (Years)	December 31,	
		2017	2016
Buildings and improvements	5 - 40	\$89,665	\$84,108
Equipment and computer related	2 - 10	10,893	12,286
Land	—	4,248	3,988
Construction in process	—	49	602
		104,855	100,984
Less: accumulated depreciation and amortization			