PAPA JOHNS INTERNATIONAL INC

Form DEF 14A March 24, 2016 Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES** 

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

**SCHEDULE 14A** 

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of

the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:
Preliminary Proxy Statement
Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a 6(e)(2))
Definitive Proxy Statement
Definitive Additional Materials
Soliciting Material under §240.14a 12

Papa John's International, Inc.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a 6(i)(1) and 0 11.

- (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
- (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
- (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0 11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
- (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
- (5) Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0 11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the

Form or Schedule and the date of its filing. (1) Amount Previously Paid:	
(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:	
(3) Filing Party:	
(4) Date Filed:	

#### **Table of Contents**

# Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders

Thursday, April 28, 2016 Papa John's International, Inc. 11:00 a.m. 2002 Papa John's Boulevard Louisville, Kentucky

#### Items of Business

- · Election of the five directors nominated by the Board of Directors named in the attached Proxy Statement;
- · Ratification of the selection of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company's independent auditors for 2016;
- · Re-approval of the material terms for payment of performance-based incentive compensation for purposes of complying with Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986;
- · Advisory approval of the Company's executive compensation; and
- · Such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof. Record Date March 7, 2016

A Proxy Statement describing matters to be considered at the Annual Meeting is attached to this Notice. Only stockholders of record at the close of business on March 7, 2016 are entitled to receive notice of and to vote at the meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof.

Stockholders are cordially invited to attend the meeting. Following the formal items of business to be brought before the meeting, we will discuss our 2015 results and answer your questions. Please join us after the meeting for a slice of Papa John's pizza!

Thank you for your continued support of Papa John's. We look forward to seeing you on April 28.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

John H. Schnatter Founder, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer March 24, 2016

Internet	Telephone	Mail	In Person
Visit the Web site noted on your proxy card to vote via the Internet.	Use the toll free telephone number on your proxy card to vote by telephone.	Sign, date and return your proxy card in the enclosed envelope to vote by mail.	Attend the meeting in person.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on April 28, 2016 — this Proxy Statement and the Papa John's 2015 Annual Report are available at www.papajohns.com/investor.

# Table of Contents

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT	1
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING AND VOTING	4
Who is entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting?	4
What are my voting rights?	4
How many shares must be present to hold the Annual Meeting?	4
What is the difference between a stockholder of record and a "street name" holder?	4
How can I submit my proxy?	4
How do I vote if I hold shares in the Papa John's International, Inc. 401(k) Plan?	5
What does it mean if I receive more than one set of proxy materials?	5
Can I vote my shares in person at the Annual Meeting?	5
How does the Board recommend that I vote?	5
What if I do not specify how I want my shares voted?	5
Can I change my vote after submitting my proxy?	5
What vote is required to approve each item of business included in the Notice of Annual Meeting?	6
What is householding?	6
Who pays for the cost of proxy preparation and solicitation?	7
<u>CORPORATE GOVERNANCE</u>	8
Majority Voting Standard for Director Elections	8
Code of Ethics and Business Conduct	8
<u>Director Independence</u>	8
Board Leadership Structure and Risk Management	9
<u>Lead Independent Director</u>	10
Meetings of the Board of Directors	10
Committees of the Board of Directors	10
Communications with the Board	12
Nominations for Directors	12
ITEM 1. ELECTION OF DIRECTORS	14
Nominees for Election to the Board	14
<u>Directors Continuing in Office</u>	17
EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION — COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS	18
Summary Compensation Table	30
Grants of Plan Based Awards	32
Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End	34
Option Exercises and Stock Vested	35
Nonqualified Deferred Compensation	36
Change in Control and Termination Payments	36
<u>Director Compensation</u>	39

# Table of Contents

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS	41
Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation	41
Approval of Related Person Transactions	41
<u>Transactions with Related Persons</u>	42
AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT	43
ITEM 2. RATIFICATION OF THE SELECTION OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS	45
ITEM 3. REAPPROVAL OF THE MATERIAL TERMS FOR PAYMENT OF PERFORMANCE-BASED	
INCENTIVE COMPENSATION FOR PURPOSES OF COMPLYING WITH SECTION 162(M) OF THE	
INTERNAL REVENUE CODE OF 1986	46
ITEM 4. ADVISORY APPROVAL OF THE COMPANY'S EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	49
OTHER MATTERS	50
Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance	50
Stockholder Proposals for the 2016 Annual Meeting	50
Other Business	50
Annual Report	50

#### **Table of Contents**

# **Proxy Statement**

The Board of Directors (the "Board") of Papa John's International, Inc. (the "Company") is soliciting proxies for use at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on April 28, 2016 at the Company's corporate offices located at 2002 Papa John's Boulevard, Louisville, Kentucky, and at any adjournment or postponement of the meeting. This Proxy Statement and the enclosed proxy card are first being mailed or given to stockholders on or about March 24, 2016.

At the Annual Meeting, stockholders will be asked to vote on the matters outlined in the Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders. These include the election of five directors to the Board of Directors; ratification of the selection of the Company's independent auditors for 2016; re-approval of the material terms for payment of performance-based incentive compensation for purposes of complying with Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986; and an advisory approval of the Company's executive compensation.

Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

The following table sets forth certain information as of March 7, 2016 (except as noted otherwise), with respect to the beneficial ownership of common stock by (i) Mr. Schnatter and each of the other named executive officers identified in the Summary Compensation Table in this Proxy Statement, (ii) each director or nominee for director of the Company, (iii) all directors and executive officers as a group and (iv) each person known to the Company to be the beneficial owner of more than five percent of the outstanding common stock.

				Percent	of
		Amount and Nature of		Commo	n Stock
	Name of Beneficial Owner	Beneficial Ownership(1)(2)		Outstanding	
	John H. Schnatter				
	P.O. Box 991339, Louisville, KY 40269	10,455,981	(3)	27.6	%
	Norborne P. Cole, Jr.	65,784		*	
	Christopher L. Coleman	16,457		*	
	Olivia F. Kirtley	182,535	(4)	*	
	Laurette T. Koellner	7,433	(5)	*	
	Robert C. Kraut	<del>_</del>		*	
	Sonya E. Medina	1,373		*	
	Timothy C. O'Hern	57,953	(6)	*	
	Steve M. Ritchie	64,422	(7)	*	
	Mark S. Shapiro	41,078		*	
	W. Kent Taylor	45,604		*	
	Lance F. Tucker	43,651	(8)	*	
	All 12 directors and executive officers as a group	10,982,271	(9)	28.8	%
*Re	presents less than one percent of class.				

<sup>1</sup> 

#### **Table of Contents**

#### PROXY STATEMENT

Other 5% Beneficial Owners	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership(1)	Percent of Common Stock Outstanding	
FMR LLC (10)	_		
245 Summer Street			
Boston, MA 02210	3,067,854	7.9	%
BlackRock Inc.(11)			
55 East 52nd Street			
New York, NY 10022	2,722,322	7.0	%
The Vanguard Group(12)			
100 Vanguard Blvd.			
Malvern, PA 19355	2,210,438	5.7	%

- (1) Based upon information furnished to the Company by the named persons and information contained in filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). Under SEC rules, a person is deemed to beneficially own shares over which the person has or shares voting or investment power or of which the person has the right to acquire beneficial ownership within 60 days. Unless otherwise indicated, the named persons have sole voting and investment power with respect to their shares and such shares are not subject to any pledge.
- (2) Includes the following shares subject to options exercisable within 60 days after March 7, 2016, and time based restricted stock over which the named persons have sole voting power.

	Options exercisable	Restricted		Options exercisable	Restricted
Name	within 60 days	Stock	Name	within 60 days	Stock
John H. Schnatter	308,766	19,374	Robert C. Kraut	_	
Norborne P. Cole, Jr.	26,085	1,985	Timothy C. O'Hern	28,086	6,471
Christopher L. Coleman	10,835	2,861	Steve M. Ritchie	39,886	19,145
Olivia F. Kirtley	17,843	2,123	Mark S. Shapiro	17,843	2,123
Laurette T. Koellner	2,195	2,319	W. Kent Taylor	25,799	2,123
Sonya E. Medina		1,373	Lance F. Tucker	14,432	8,379

- (3) Includes 109,500 shares held in a 501(c)(3) charitable foundation of which Mr. Schnatter has both voting and investment power, and 31,194 shares owned by Mr. Schnatter's spouse.
- (4) Ms. Kirtley also holds units deemed invested in 68,265 shares of common stock through a deferred compensation plan provided by the Company, 47,253 of which are distributable in an equivalent number of shares of common stock within 60 days of termination of service on the Board and are included in the shares reported, and 21,012 of which are not included in the shares reported.
- (5) Ms. Koellner also holds units deemed invested in 1,015 shares of common stock through a deferred compensation plan provided by the Company, all of which are distributable in an equivalent number of shares of common stock within 60 days of termination of service on the Board and are included in the shares reported.
- (6) Includes 4,405 shares owned by Mr. O'Hern's spouse of which 2,062 are subject to options exercisable within 60 days after March 7, 2016, 231 are restricted stock, and 230 are held in the 401(k) Plan. Mr. O'Hern also holds units deemed invested in 1,432 shares of common stock through a deferred compensation plan provided by the Company, which are not included in the shares reported.

- (7) Includes 611 shares owned by Mr. Ritchie's spouse of which 280 are subject to options exercisable within 60 days after March 7, 2016, and 283 are restricted stock.
- (8) Mr. Tucker also holds units deemed invested in 3,412 shares of common stock through a deferred compensation plan provided by the Company, which are not included in the shares reported.
- (9) Includes 494,112 shares subject to options exercisable within 60 days, 68,790 shares of unvested restricted stock and 48,268 shares which may be acquired within 60 days of termination of service under the deferred compensation plan, held by all directors and executive officers. Holders of units deemed invested in common stock under the deferred compensation plan have no voting or investment power over any of the shares represented by these units.
- 2 2016 Proxy Statement

#### **Table of Contents**

#### PROXY STATEMENT

- (10) All information regarding FMR LLC and its affiliates is based on an Amendment to Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 12, 2016, by FMR LLC and Abigail P. Johnson. FMR LLC and Abigail P. Johnson have sole dispositive power over all of the shares indicated, and sole power to vote 330,719 shares. Members of the Johnson family, including Abigail P. Johnson, are the predominant owners, directly or through trusts, of Series B voting common shares of FMR LLC, representing 49% of the voting power of FMR LLC. The Johnson family group and all other Series B shareholders have entered into a shareholders' voting agreement under which all Series B voting common shares will be voted in accordance with the majority vote of Series B voting common shares. Through their ownership of voting common shares and the execution of the shareholders' voting agreement, members of the Johnson family may be deemed, under the Investment Company Act of 1940, to form a controlling group with respect to FMR LLC. Neither FMR LLC nor Abigail P. Johnson has the sole power to vote or direct the voting of the shares owned directly by the various investment companies registered under the Investment Company Act ("Fidelity Funds") advised by Fidelity Management & Research Company ("FMR Co"), a wholly owned subsidiary of FMR LLC, which power resides with the Fidelity Funds' Boards of Trustees. Fidelity Management & Research Company carries out the voting of the shares under written guidelines established by the Fidelity Funds' Boards of Trustees.
- (11) All information regarding BlackRock Inc. and its affiliates is based on an Amendment to Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on January 27, 2016 by BlackRock, Inc. BlackRock has sole power to vote 2,648,346 shares and has sole dispositive power over all shares indicated above.
- (12) All information regarding The Vanguard Group is based on a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 11, 2016. The Vanguard Group has sole voting power over 63,640 shares, shared voting power over 1,600 shares, sole dispositive power of 2,146,998 shares, and shared dispositive power of 63,440 shares.

#### **Table of Contents**

Questions and Answers About the Annual Meeting and Voting Who is entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting?

The Board has set March 7, 2016, as the record date ("Record Date") for the Annual Meeting. If you were a stockholder of record at the close of business on the Record Date, you are entitled to vote at the meeting. As of the Record Date, 37,642,819 shares of common stock, representing all of our voting stock, were issued and outstanding and eligible to vote at the meeting.

What are my voting rights?

Holders of our common stock are entitled to one vote per share. There are no cumulative voting rights.

How many shares must be present to hold the Annual Meeting?

In accordance with the Company's amended and restated bylaws, shares equal to a majority of the voting power of the outstanding shares of common stock entitled to vote as of the Record Date must be present at the Annual Meeting in order to hold the meeting and conduct business. This is called a quorum. Shares are counted as present at the meeting if:

- · you are present and vote in person at the meeting; or
- · you have properly and timely submitted your proxy as described below under "How can I submit my proxy?" Abstentions and broker "non votes" are counted as present and entitled to vote for purposes of determining whether a quorum exists. A broker "non vote" occurs when a nominee holding shares for a beneficial owner does not vote on a particular proposal because the nominee has not received voting instructions from the beneficial owner and does not have discretionary voting power with respect to that item.

What is the difference between a stockholder of record and a "street name" holder?

If your shares are registered directly in your name, you are considered the stockholder of record with respect to those shares. If your shares are held in a brokerage account or by a bank, trust or other nominee, then you are considered the beneficial owner of those shares. In that case, your shares are said to be held in "street name." Street name holders generally cannot vote their shares directly and must instead instruct the broker, bank, trust or other nominee how to vote their shares using the method described below under "How can I submit my proxy?"

How can I submit my proxy?

You can designate a proxy to vote stock you own. If you designate someone as your proxy in a written document, that document also is called a proxy or a proxy card. When you designate a proxy, you also may direct the proxy how to vote your shares. Two Company officers, Caroline Miller Oyler and Clara M. Passafiume, have been designated as proxies for the Company's 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

If you are a stockholder of record, you can submit a proxy to be voted at the Annual Meeting in any of the following ways:

- · electronically, using the Internet;
- · over the telephone by calling a toll free number; or
- · by completing, signing and mailing the enclosed proxy card.

The Internet and telephone voting procedures have been set up for your convenience. These procedures have been designed to authenticate your identity, allow you to give voting instructions, and confirm that those instructions have been recorded properly. When you vote by Internet or telephone, you reduce the Company's mailing and handling expenses. If you are a stockholder of record and would like to submit your proxy by Internet or telephone, please refer to the specific instructions provided on the enclosed proxy card. If you wish to vote using a paper proxy card, please return your signed proxy card promptly to ensure we receive it before the Annual Meeting.

If you hold your shares in street name, you must vote your shares in the manner prescribed by your broker, bank, trust or other nominee. Your broker, bank, trust or other nominee has enclosed or otherwise provided a voting instruction card for you to use in directing the broker, bank, trust or other nominee how to vote your shares. In many cases, you may be permitted to submit your voting instructions by Internet or telephone.

### **Table of Contents**

### QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING AND VOTING

How do I vote if I hold shares in the Papa John's International, Inc. 401(k) Plan?

If you hold shares of the Company's common stock in the Papa John's International, Inc. 401(k) Plan, please refer to the voting instructions provided by the plan's trustee. Your voting instructions must be received by the plan trustee at least three days prior to the Annual Meeting in order to be counted. If you do not timely direct the plan trustee how to vote, the trustee will vote your shares in the same proportion as those shares for which the trustee received direction.

What does it mean if I receive more than one set of proxy materials?

If you receive more than one set of proxy materials or multiple control numbers for use in submitting your proxy, it means that you hold shares registered in more than one account. To ensure that all of your shares are voted, sign and return each proxy card or voting instruction card you receive or, if you submit your proxy by Internet or telephone, vote once for each card or control number you receive.

Can I vote my shares in person at the Annual Meeting?

If you are a stockholder of record, you may vote your shares in person at the Annual Meeting by completing a ballot at the Annual Meeting. Even if you currently plan to attend the Annual Meeting, the Company recommends that you also submit your proxy as described above so your vote will be counted if you later decide not to attend the Annual Meeting. If you submit your vote by proxy and later decide to vote in person at the Annual Meeting, the vote you submit at the Annual Meeting will override your proxy vote.

If you are a street name holder, you may vote your shares in person at the Annual Meeting only if you obtain and bring to the Annual Meeting a signed letter or other form of proxy from your broker, bank, trust or other nominee giving you the right to vote the shares at the Annual Meeting.

If you are a participant in the Company's 401(k) Plan, you may submit voting instructions as described above, but you may not vote your shares held in the Company's 401(k) Plan in person at the Annual Meeting.

How does the Board recommend that I vote?

# The Board of Directors recommends a vote:

- · FOR each of the nominees for director:
- FOR the ratification of the selection of Ernst & Young LLP as the independent auditors of the Company for the fiscal year ending December 25, 2016;
- · FOR the re-approval of the material terms for payment of performance-based incentive compensation for purposes of complying with Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986; and
- · FOR the advisory approval of the Company's executive compensation.

What if I do not specify how I want my shares voted?

If you are a stockholder of record and submit a signed proxy card or submit your proxy by Internet or telephone but do not specify how you want to vote your shares on a particular item, your shares will be voted by the proxies in accordance with the Board's recommendations.

If you are a street name holder and hold your shares with a broker, and do not instruct your broker as to how to vote, your shares may be voted by your broker in its discretion on the proposal to ratify the independent auditors. As described below, your broker does not have discretion to vote your uninstructed shares on the remaining proposals.

Your vote is important. The Company urges you to vote, or to instruct your broker, bank, trust or other nominee how to vote, on all matters before the Annual Meeting.

Can I change my vote after submitting my proxy?

If you are a stockholder of record, you may revoke your proxy and change your vote at any time before your proxy is voted at the Annual Meeting, in any of the following ways:

· by submitting a later dated proxy by Internet or telephone before the deadline stated on the enclosed proxy card;

#### **Table of Contents**

### QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING AND VOTING

- by submitting a later dated proxy to the Corporate Secretary of the Company, which must be received by the Company before the time of the Annual Meeting;
- · by sending a written notice of revocation to the Corporate Secretary of the Company, which must be received by the Company before the time of the Annual Meeting; or
- · by voting in person at the Annual Meeting.

If you are a street name holder, you may change your vote only if you comply with the procedures contained in the voting instructions provided to you by your broker, bank, trust or other nominee.

If you are a participant in the Company's 401(k) Plan, you may change your vote only if you comply with the procedures contained in the voting instructions provided by the plan's trustee.

What vote is required to approve each item of business included in the Notice of Annual Meeting?

A majority of votes cast at the meeting is required to elect directors. A majority of the votes cast means that the number of shares voted "FOR" a director must exceed the number of votes cast "AGAINST" that director (with abstentions and broker non votes not counted as a vote cast with respect to that director) in order for the director to be elected. The affirmative vote of a majority of the shares present in person or by proxy and entitled to vote on the matter is required to ratify the selection of our independent auditors, re-approve the material terms for payment of performance-based incentive compensation for purposes of complying with Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, and for the advisory approval of the Company's executive compensation. In determining whether these proposals have received the requisite number of affirmative votes, abstentions will not be counted and will have the same effect as a vote against the proposal.

If your shares are held by a broker, bank, trust or other nominee, that entity will ask you how you want your shares to be voted. If you give instructions, your shares will be voted as you direct. If you do not give instructions, one of two things can happen, depending on the type of proposal. For the ratification of the independent auditors, the broker, bank, trust or other nominee may vote your shares in its discretion. For all other proposals, the broker, bank, trust or other nominee may not vote your shares at all.

What is householding?

We have adopted a procedure called "householding," which has been approved by the SEC. Under this procedure, we will deliver at your request only one copy of our fiscal 2015 Annual Report on Form 10-K and this Proxy Statement, to multiple stockholders who share the same address (if they appear to be members of the same family) unless we have received contrary instructions from an affected stockholder. Stockholders who participate in householding will continue to receive separate proxy cards if they received a paper copy of proxy materials in the mail. This procedure reduces our printing costs, mailing costs and fees, and also reduces waste.

Upon written or oral request, we will deliver a separate copy of our fiscal 2015 Annual Report on Form 10-K and this Proxy Statement to a stockholder at a shared address to which a single copy of the documents has been delivered.

If you are a stockholder, share an address and last name with one or more other stockholders and would like to revoke your householding consent or you are a stockholder eligible for householding and would like to participate in

householding, please contact Broadridge, either by calling toll free at (866) 540-7095 or by writing to Broadridge, Householding Department, 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, New York 11717. You will be removed from the householding program within 30 days of receipt of the revocation of your consent.

A number of brokerage firms have instituted householding. If you hold your shares in "street name," please contact your bank, broker or other holder of record to request information about householding.

# **Table of Contents**

# QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING AND VOTING

Who pays for the cost of proxy preparation and solicitation?

The accompanying proxy is solicited by the Board of Directors of the Company. This Proxy Statement is being mailed to the stockholders on or about March 24, 2016 along with the Company's fiscal 2015 Annual Report on Form 10-K. We have also retained the firm of Georgeson, Inc. to aid in the solicitation of brokers, banks, institutional and other stockholders for a fee of approximately \$6,500, plus reimbursement of expenses. All costs of the solicitation of proxies will be borne by the Company. The Company pays for the cost of proxy preparation and solicitation, including the reasonable charges and expenses of brokerage firms, banks, trusts or other nominees for forwarding proxy materials to street name holders. The Company is soliciting proxies primarily by mail. In addition, the Company's directors, officers and regular employees may solicit proxies by telephone or facsimile or personally. The Company's directors, officers and regular employees will receive no additional compensation for these services other than their regular compensation.

#### **Table of Contents**

### Corporate Governance

Principles of corporate governance that guide the Company are set forth in the Company's Board of Director committee charters, the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines and the Company's Code of Ethics and Business Conduct, all of which are available on our website at www.papajohns.com by first clicking "Investor Relations" and then "Corporate Governance." (The information on the Company's website is not part of this Proxy Statement and is not soliciting material.) The principles set forth in those governance documents were adopted by the Board to ensure that the Board is independent from management, that the Board adequately oversees management, and to help ensure that the interests of the Board and management align with the interests of the stockholders. The Board annually reviews its corporate governance documents.

### Majority Voting Standard for Director Elections

Our amended and restated bylaws provide for a majority voting standard for uncontested director elections and a mechanism for consideration of the resignation of an incumbent director who does not receive a majority of the votes cast in an uncontested election. Under the majority voting standard, a majority of the votes cast means that the number of shares voted "FOR" a director nominee must exceed the number of votes cast "AGAINST" that director nominee. In contested elections where the number of nominees exceeds the number of directors to be elected, the vote standard will be a plurality of votes represented in person or by proxy and entitled to vote on the election of directors. In addition, if an incumbent director is nominated in an uncontested election, the director nominee is required, as a condition of the director's nomination, to submit an irrevocable letter of resignation to the Chairman of the Board. If an incumbent director nominee does not receive a majority of the votes cast, the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee will make a recommendation to the Board on whether to accept or reject the resignation, or whether other action should be taken. The Board will act on the Committee's recommendation and publicly disclose its decision and the rationale behind the decision within 90 days from the date of certification of the election results. The director whose resignation is being considered will not participate in the recommendation of the Committee or the Board's decision.

### Code of Ethics and Business Conduct

The Company's Code of Ethics and Business Conduct, which is the Company's code of ethics applicable to all directors, officers and employees worldwide, embodies the Company's global principles and practices relating to the ethical conduct of the Company's business and its longstanding commitment to honesty, fair dealing and full compliance with all laws affecting the Company's business.

The Board has established a means for employees, customers, suppliers, stockholders and other interested parties to submit confidential and anonymous reports of suspected or actual violations of the Company's Code of Ethics and Business Conduct relating, among other things, to:

- · violations of the federal securities laws;
- · fraud or weakness in the Company's accounting, audit or internal controls, financial statements and records; or
- · misconduct by any member of the Company's senior management.

Any employee, stockholder, or interested party may contact the Company's General Counsel, or submit a confidential, anonymous report by following procedures established by the Company, approved by the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee of the Company's Board of Directors and communicated to team members from time to time. Any employee, stockholder or interested party may also learn about these procedures for reporting issues and concerns

by visiting our website at www.papajohns.com, by first clicking "Investor Relations" and then "Corporate Governance."

### Director Independence

The Board of Directors has determined that the following seven of the Company's eight current directors are "independent" as defined by applicable law and NASDAQ listing standards: Norborne P. Cole, Jr., Christopher L. Coleman, Olivia F. Kirtley, Laurette T. Koellner, Sonya E. Medina, Mark S. Shapiro, and W. Kent Taylor. Each of our Audit, Compensation, and Corporate Governance and Nominating committees is comprised only of independent directors, as identified below under the heading "Committees of the Board of Directors."

#### **Table of Contents**

#### CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Based on such standards, John H. Schnatter is not independent because he is an executive officer of the Company.

Ms. Kirtley, Chairman of the Audit Committee and a member of the Compensation Committee, is a member of the board of directors of U.S. Bancorp. We have a banking relationship with U.S. Bancorp that predates Ms. Kirtley's appointment to the U.S. Bancorp board of directors. Ms. Kirtley is also a member of the board of directors of Delta Dental. Based on a comprehensive request for proposal in 2009, the Company chose Delta Dental as its dental insurance carrier. The Board reviewed these relationships and determined that they do not impact Ms. Kirtley's independence or her business judgment.

Mr. Shapiro, Chairman of the Corporate Governance & Nominating Committee and a member of the Audit Committee, accepted a position as Chief Content Officer with IMG, a global sports and media business, during 2014. The Company and the Papa John's marketing fund have a business relationship with IMG which predates Mr. Shapiro's employment with IMG; in 2015 IMG handled talent agency representation and a contract negotiation for the Company. The Board reviewed these relationships and determined that the dollar amount of the relationship between the Company and IMG is immaterial to IMG compared to IMG's gross revenues, and that the relationship does not impact Mr. Shapiro's independence or his business judgment.

### Board Leadership Structure and Risk Management

Our Board of Directors is committed to the highest standards of corporate governance. As stated in our Corporate Governance Guidelines, our Board has determined that it is in the best interests of the Company and our stockholders for both the positions of Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer to be held by our Founder, John Schnatter, at this time. If circumstances change in the future, the Board may determine that these positions should be separated. This policy allows the Board to evaluate whether the Company is best served at any particular time by having the Founder and Chief Executive Officer or another director hold the position of Chairman. Our Board considers this issue carefully in light of the structure the Board believes will be in the best interest of the Company and our stockholders. The positions are currently combined, but were separate during the years of 2005 through 2008.

The Board of Directors believes that Mr. Schnatter is best suited to serve as Chairman because, as our Founder, he is the director most familiar with our business, industry and our franchise system, and can lead the Board in identifying and prioritizing our strategies and initiatives. The combined role facilitates communication between the Board and management and facilitates development and implementation of our Board approved corporate strategy. We believe this current leadership structure is effective. Our independent directors and management have different perspectives and roles in business and strategy development. Our independent directors bring experience, oversight and expertise from outside the Company and industry, while Mr. Schnatter offers specific Company and industry experience and expertise.

Under our Corporate Governance Guidelines, our independent directors elect a lead independent director. The Board believes the combined role of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, together with an independent lead director having the duties described below, is in the best interests of stockholders at this time because it provides the appropriate balance between strategy development and independent oversight of management.

Our Board has three standing committees — Audit, Compensation, and Corporate Governance and Nominating. Each of the Board committees is comprised solely of independent directors, with each of the three committees having a separate chair. See "Committees of the Board of Directors" below for a description of each of these Board committees and its members. The key responsibilities of the Board include developing the strategic direction for the Company and providing oversight for the execution of that strategy by management. The Board has an active role, as a whole and

also at the committee level, in overseeing management of the Company's risks. The Board regularly reviews information regarding the Company's financial, strategic and operational issues, as well as the risks associated with each, and that oversight includes a thorough and comprehensive annual review of the Company's strategic plan. At the committee level, risks are reviewed and addressed as follows:

- The Audit Committee oversees management of financial risks, information technology and cyber security risks, and the Company's Enterprise Risk Management program, reporting on such matters to the full Board. The Audit Committee's agendas include discussions of individual risk areas throughout the year, and through its oversight of Enterprise Risk Management, the Audit Committee monitors management's responsibility to identify, assess, manage and mitigate risks. Our Enterprise Risk Management program, with oversight of the Audit Committee and a cross functional management level Enterprise Risk Management team, helps establish a culture of managing and mitigating risk and coordination of risk management between our executive team and the Board.
- The Compensation Committee is responsible for overseeing the management of risks relating to the Company's compensation plans and arrangements. The Compensation Committee reviews our compensation policies and

#### **Table of Contents**

#### CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

- practices to determine whether they subject us to unnecessary or excessive risk. As a result of that evaluation, including a review of the plan design and governance aspects of our compensation programs discussed below in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the Compensation Committee concluded that the risks arising from those policies and practices are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company.
- The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee manages risks associated with potential conflicts of interest and reviews governance and compliance issues with a view to managing associated risks, including oversight of our compliance program with respect to our Code of Ethics and Business Conduct.

While each committee is responsible for evaluating and overseeing the management of such risks, the Board of Directors is regularly informed through committee reports about such risks. In addition, the Board and the committees receive regular reports from the Chief Executive Officer, President and Chief Operating Officer, Chief Financial Officer, General Counsel and other Company officers with roles in managing risks.

### Lead Independent Director

Our independent directors elected Norborne P. Cole, Jr. to serve as the lead independent director of the Board. The lead independent director has the duties and responsibilities, as approved by the Board's Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee, to perform the following functions:

- · preside at meetings of the Board in the absence of or when requested to do so by the Chairman;
- · serve as ex officio member of all standing Board Committees;
- · serve formally as liaison between the non management Board members and the Founder, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer;
- establish the dates, agendas and schedules for each Board meeting, in consultation with the Founder, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer:
- · monitor information sent to the Board for quality, quantity and timeliness and discuss this information with the Founder, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer;
- · as needed, call sessions of the independent directors and work with the other independent directors to establish the agenda for those sessions; and
  - · make himself available for consultation with and direct communication from major stockholders.

### Meetings of the Board of Directors

The Board held ten meetings in 2015. Each director attended at least 75% of the meetings of the Board and the Board committees on which he or she served during the period of service in 2015.

### Meetings of the Independent Directors

At both the Board and committee levels, the Company's independent directors meet in regular executive sessions in which members of management do not participate. These sessions typically occur in conjunction with regularly scheduled Board or committee meetings. The lead independent director chairs executive sessions of the Board of Directors.

# Annual Meetings of Stockholders

The Company strongly encourages each of its directors to attend each Annual Meeting of the Company's stockholders whenever attendance does not unreasonably conflict with the director's other business and personal commitments. All of our directors attended the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

### Committees of the Board of Directors

The Board has three standing committees to facilitate and assist the Board in the execution of its responsibilities: the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee and the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee. In accordance with NASDAQ listing standards, all of the committees are comprised solely of independent directors. Charters for each of our committees are available on the Company's website at www.papajohns.com by first clicking on "Investor

#### **Table of Contents**

#### CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Relations" and then "Corporate Governance." The charter of each committee is also available in print to any stockholder who requests it.

#### **Audit Committee**

The Audit Committee's purpose is to assist the Board in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities for the accounting, financial reporting and internal control functions of the Company and its subsidiaries. The Audit Committee is responsible for the appointment, compensation and retention of the independent auditors and oversees the performance of the internal auditing function and the Company's compliance program with respect to legal and regulatory requirements and risk management. The Audit Committee meets with management and the independent auditors to review and discuss the annual audited and quarterly unaudited financial statements, reviews the integrity of our accounting and financial reporting processes and audits of our financial statements, and prepares the Audit Committee Report included in this Proxy Statement. The responsibilities of the Audit Committee are more fully described in the Audit Committee's Charter.

As previously noted, each member of the Audit Committee is independent as determined by the Company's Board of Directors, based upon applicable laws and regulations and NASDAQ listing standards. In addition, the Board has determined that each of Ms. Kirtley, the Chair of the Audit Committee, Ms. Koellner and Mr. Coleman is an "audit committee financial expert" as defined by SEC rules. The Audit Committee met five times during 2015.

### **Compensation Committee**

The Compensation Committee oversees the Company's compensation programs and is responsible for overseeing and making recommendations to the Board of Directors regarding the Company's overall compensation strategies. Specifically, the Compensation Committee reviews and approves annually the compensation of the Company's executive officers, including the executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table below (our "named executive officers" or "NEOs"). The Committee has the authority to administer our equity plans and is responsible for all determinations with respect to participation, the form, amount and timing of any awards to be granted to any such participants, and the payment of any such awards. In addition, the Committee is responsible for recommending stock ownership guidelines for the executive officers and directors, for recommending the compensation and benefits to be provided to non employee directors, and for reviewing and approving the establishment of broad based incentive compensation, equity based, and retirement or other material employee benefit plans. The Committee also reviews risks, if any, created by the Company's compensation policies and practices and provides recommendations to the Board on compensation related proposals to be considered at the Annual Meeting.

The Committee has the authority to retain compensation consultants, outside counsel and other advisers. The Committee has engaged Frederick W. Cook & Company ("F. W. Cook") to advise it and to prepare market studies of the competitiveness of components of the Company's compensation program for its senior executive officers, including the named executive officers. F. W. Cook does not provide any other services to the Company. The Committee performed an assessment of F. W. Cook's independence to determine whether the consultant is independent and, based on that assessment, determined that the firm's work has not raised any conflict of interest and the firm is independent. See "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" for a further description of the Compensation Committee's use of F. W. Cook during 2015, as well as the role of our executive officers in determining or recommending the amount or form of compensation paid to our named executive officers during 2015, and the Committee's process in setting compensation.

The responsibilities of the Compensation Committee are more fully described in the Committee's Charter. The Compensation Committee met six times during 2015.

Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee

The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee assists the Board in identifying qualified individuals for service as directors of the Company and as Board committee members. In addition, the Committee develops and monitors the process for evaluating Board effectiveness and oversees the development and administration of the Company's corporate governance policies. The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee recommended the nomination of five directors for election to the Board at the 2016 Annual Meeting.

As provided in its charter, the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee leads the search for qualified candidates to serve as new directors, evaluates incumbent directors before recommending renomination, and recommends all such approved candidates to the Board for appointment or nomination to the Company's stockholders. The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee selects as candidates for appointment or nomination individuals of high personal and professional integrity and ability who can contribute to the Board's effectiveness in serving the interests of the Company's stockholders. The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee oversees the

#### **Table of Contents**

#### CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Company's compliance program with respect to the Company's Code of Ethics and Business Conduct and also reviews and approves matters pertaining to possible conflicts of interest and related person transactions. See the discussion under "Approval of Related Person Transactions" below.

The responsibilities of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee are more fully described in the Committee's Charter. The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee met five times during 2015.

Communications with the Board

Stockholders of the Company may communicate with the Board in writing addressed to:

Board of Directors c/o Corporate Secretary Papa John's International, Inc. P.O. Box 99900 Louisville, Kentucky 40269 0900

The Secretary will review each stockholder communication. The Secretary will forward to the entire Board (or to members of a Board committee, if the communication relates to a subject matter clearly within that committee's area of responsibility) each communication that (a) relates to the Company's business or governance, (b) is not offensive and is legible in form and reasonably understandable in content, and (c) does not merely relate to a personal grievance against the Company or a team member or further a personal interest not shared by the other stockholders generally.

#### Nominations for Directors

#### **Identifying Candidates**

The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee assists the Board in identifying qualified persons to serve as directors of the Company. The Committee evaluates all proposed director nominees, evaluates incumbent directors before recommending renomination, and recommends all approved candidates to the Board for appointment or nomination to the Company's stockholders.

Our Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee considers diversity in its nomination of directors to the Board, and in its assessment of the effectiveness of the Board and its committees. In considering diversity, the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee looks at a range of different personal factors in light of the business, customers, suppliers and employees of the Company. The range of factors includes diversity of race, ethnicity, gender, age, cultural background and personal and business backgrounds. This includes prior board service, financial expertise, international experience, industry experience, leadership skills, including prior management experience, and a variety of subjective factors. The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee also considers the length of service of the Company's Board members, balancing the value of long standing Board service with the perspective of directors more recently joining the Board. The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee reports regularly to the full Board on its assessment of the composition and functioning of the Board. The Company has focused on assembling a group of Board members who collectively possess the skills and experience necessary to oversee the business of the Company, structure and oversee implementation of the Company's strategic plan and maximize stockholder value in a highly competitive environment. In particular, the Company relies on the skills of its Board members described under "Item 1, Election of Directors" below.

The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee will consider candidates for election to the Board recommended by a stockholder in accordance with the Company's Certificate of Incorporation and will do so in the same manner as the Committee evaluates any other properly recommended nominee. Any nomination by a stockholder of a person for election to the Board at an annual meeting of stockholders, or a special meeting of stockholders called by the Board for the purpose of electing directors, must be received at the Company's principal offices not less than 60 days nor more than 90 days prior to the scheduled date of the meeting and must comply with certain other requirements set forth in the Company's Certificate of Incorporation.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Nominations must be addressed to the Chairman of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee in care of the Secretary of the Company at the Company's headquarters address listed below and must be received on a timely basis in order to be considered for the next annual election of directors:

Chairman of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee c/o Corporate Secretary
Papa John's International, Inc.
P.O. Box 99900
Louisville, Kentucky 40269 0900

### **Director Qualifications**

The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee expects qualified candidates will have high personal and professional integrity and ability and will be able to contribute to the Board's effectiveness in serving the interests of the Company's stockholders. In addition to the factors described above, when considering the diversity of the Board, the Committee also considers qualifications that include: business experience and skills, independence, judgment, integrity, the ability to commit sufficient time and attention to Board activities, and the absence of potential conflicts with the Company's interests. The Committee considers these criteria in the context of the perceived needs of the Board as a whole and seeks to achieve and maintain the diversity of the Board. Although the Board does not establish specific goals with respect to diversity, the overall diversity of the Board is a significant consideration in the nomination process. Three of the five nominees for election at the 2016 Annual Meeting are women, and the Board's collective experience covers a range of experience across different countries and industries.

#### **Table of Contents**

Item 1. Election of Directors

During 2014, the Board recommended, and the stockholders approved, an amendment to the Company's Certificate of Incorporation to eliminate the classification of the Board over a three year period. Directors elected at or before the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders continue to serve out their three year terms, but directors elected after the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders will be elected to one year terms. Therefore, an annual election of all directors will be held beginning at the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The Board of Directors is authorized to fix from time to time the number of directors within the range of three to fifteen members, and currently the Board size is set at eight members. Norborne P. Cole, Jr. has reached the age of retirement under our Corporate Governance Guidelines and is not standing for re election at the Annual Meeting. The Board expresses appreciation to Mr. Cole for his many years of service to the Company, including serving as the Chair of the Compensation Committee and our Board's Lead Independent Director. The Board has reduced the size of the Board to seven members effective immediately prior to the Annual Meeting. The independent directors of the Company will elect a new lead independent director immediately following the Annual Meeting. Committee members and Chairs are also appointed following the Annual Meeting.

Upon the recommendation of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee, Christopher L. Coleman, Olivia F. Kirtley, Laurette T. Koellner, Sonya E. Medina and W. Kent Taylor have been nominated as directors to serve a one year term expiring at the 2017 Annual Meeting and until their successors are elected or appointed. The remaining two directors will continue to serve their term expiring at the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders in accordance with their previous election.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE "FOR" EACH OF THE NOMINEES FOR DIRECTOR.

Set forth below is information concerning the nominees for election and each director whose term will continue after the 2016 Annual Meeting, and their ages as of the date of this Proxy Statement.

Nominees for Election to the Board

Christopher L. Coleman

Age: 47

Director since 2012

Committees: Audit, Corporate Governance & Nominating

Mr. Coleman is based in the

UK where he

is Group Head of

Banking at

Rothschild. He is a

Managing

Director of

Rothschild,

Chairman of

Rothschild

Bank

International

and also

serves on a

number of

other boards

and

committees of

the

Rothschild

Group, which

he joined in

1989.

Mr. Coleman's

extensive

financial

experience

and

international

business

acumen

provide

additional

insight and

expertise to

the Board.

Mr. Coleman

currently

serves as

non executive

chairman on

the board of

Randgold

Resources.

Mr. Coleman

has served on

the board of

Randgold

Resources

since 2008.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### ITEM 1. ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Olivia F. Kirtley

Ms. Kirtley, a certified public accountant, is a business consultant on strategic and Age: 65

corporate governance issues. She has served in this capacity during the past five years. She

is also currently President and Board Chairman of the International Federation of

Director since 2003 Accountants.

Committees: Audit (Chair); Compensation Ms. Kirtley brings extensive experience, expertise and insight to our Board in the areas of audit, risk management and corporate governance. In addition to her expertise in audit and tax issues developed in part as a senior manager at a predecessor to Ernst & Young LLP, Ms. Kirtley also brings corporate management experience from her tenure at Vermont American Corporation, including the positions of Treasurer, Vice President Finance and Chief Financial Officer at that company. She has served as Chairman of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and Chairman of the AICPA Board of Examiners.

Ms. Kirtley has served as a director of U.S. Bancorp since 2006 (including as the chairman of its audit committee, member of its governance, compensation and executive committees) and as a director of ResCare, Inc. since 1998 (including as the chairman of its audit committee and member of its governance committee).

Laurette T. Koellner

Age: 61

Director since 2014

Committees: Audit: Nominating

Ms. Koellner most recently served as Executive Chairman of International Lease Finance Corporation, a subsidiary of American International Group, Inc. ("AIG") from June 2012 until its May 2014 sale to AerCap Holdings N.V. Ms. Koellner served as President of Boeing International, a division of The Boeing Company, where she served in a variety of financial and business leadership roles from 1997 until 2008, including as a member of the Office of the Chairman and Boeing's Chief Administration and Human Resources Officer. Corporate Governance & Prior to her time with Boeing, Ms. Koellner spent 19 years at McDonnell Douglas Corp.

> As a former executive of a publicly traded company, Ms. Koellner brings extensive experience to the Board in the areas of corporate governance, finance and accounting, and international business.

Ms. Koellner served as an independent director of Hillshire Brands, Inc. from 2001 to 2014, at which time it was sold to Tyson Foods. She served as an independent director of AIG from 2009 to 2012. She currently serves on the board of directors of Celestica, Inc., The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Nucor Corporation.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### ITEM 1. ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Sonya E. Medina

Age: 40

Director since 2015

Committee: Corporate Governance & Nominating

Ms. Medina was appointed to the Board in September, 2015, following her recommendation to the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee by one of the independent members of the Board. Ms. Medina is a government and public affairs strategist. She has served as a consultant to the City of San Antonio since March 2015, and as a consultant to Silver Eagle Distributors, the nation's largest distributor of Anheuser-Busch products, since July 2013. She served as Vice President, Community and External Affairs for Silver Eagle Distributors from 2009 to 2013.

Ms. Medina brings leadership, strategy and multi-cultural marketing experience to the Board. She also brings insight into government affairs, through her prior experience as a White House Commission Officer.

She is active in community and civic affairs and currently serves on the board of directors of the San Antonio Hispanic Chamber of Commerce.

W. Kent Taylor

Age: 60

Director since 2011

Committee: Compensation

Mr. Taylor is the founder and Chief Executive Officer of Texas Roadhouse, Inc. a full service, casual dining restaurant chain with locations across the U.S. and in several foreign countries. He served as Chief Executive Officer of Texas Roadhouse from 2000 until 2004, and again from August 2011 to the present, and he has served as its executive Chairman since 2004. Before founding the Texas Roadhouse concept in 1993, Mr. Taylor founded and co owned Buckhead Bar and Grill in Louisville, Kentucky.

His more than 26 years of experience in the restaurant industry provides the Board with additional expertise in the Company's industry, and he also brings to the Board experience in founding and serving as a chief executive officer and director of a public company.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### ITEM 1. ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

**Directors Continuing in Office** 

John H. Schnatter

Age: 54

Director since 1990

Founder, Chairman & CEO

Mr. Schnatter founded Papa John's in 1984 and opened the first Company restaurant in 1985. He currently serves as Founder, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. He previously served as Co Chief Executive Officer from April 2010 to April 2011, Chief Executive Officer from April 2009 to April 2010, Interim Chief Executive Officer from December 2008 to April 2009, Executive Chairman from 2005 until May 2007, as Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer from 1990 until 2005, and as President from 1985 to 1990, from 2001 to 2005, and from 2014 to 2015.

Mr. Schnatter's role as our Founder and brand spokesperson makes him uniquely qualified to chair the Board of Directors while also acting as our Chief Executive Officer.

Mr. Schnatter's experience and entrepreneurial skills offer vision in leading the Board and building our brand, with a consistent focus on maintaining product quality and providing a superior customer service experience. His experience in research and development, quality assurance and supply chain management are critical to our business and our franchise business model.

Mark S. Shapiro

Age: 46

Director since 2011

Committees: Audit; Corporate Governance & Nominating (Chair) Mr. Shapiro has served as Chief Content Officer of IMG since 2014. He served as Chief Executive Officer of Dick Clark Productions from May 2010 to October 2012, and as an executive producer at Dick Clark Productions from October 2012 until 2014. Prior to that, he served as a Director, President and Chief Executive Officer of Six Flags, Inc., a theme park company, from 2005 to 2010. Prior to joining Six Flags in 2005, Mr. Shapiro spent 12 years at ESPN, Inc. where he served as Executive Vice President, Programming and Production and in various other capacities for both ESPN and ABC Sports.

Coupling his board service with experience in executive level positions at large organizations facing complex business challenges, Mr. Shapiro brings business acumen and operational expertise to many of the issues and challenges facing public companies, along with innovation and insight in the areas of content creation, marketing and branding.

Mr. Shapiro has served as a director of Live Nation Entertainment, Inc. since 2008 (including service on its compensation committee); as a trustee of Equity Residential since January 2010 (including service on its compensation and nominating and governance committees); and as a director of Frontier Communications Corporation since March 2010 (including service on its nominating and governance committee). Mr. Shapiro is also Chairman of Captivate Network, a privately held company.

There are no family relationships among the Company's directors, director nominees and executive officers.

#### **Table of Contents**

s
Executive Compensation —

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis explains our executive compensation philosophy and program for our named executive officers ("NEOs") identified below.

Named Executive Officer Title

John H. Schnatter Founder, Chairman, and Chief Executive Officer

Lance F. Tucker Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, Chief Administrative Officer and Treasurer

Steve M. Ritchie President and Chief Operating Officer

Timothy C. O'Hern Senior Vice President and Chief Development Officer Robert C. Kraut Former Senior Vice President and Chief Marketing Officer\*
\*As previously disclosed, Mr. Kraut resigned from his position on September 3, 2015.

#### **Executive Summary**

We focus our compensation program for executives on financial, strategic and operational goals established by the Board of Directors to create value for our stockholders. Our guiding compensation principle is to pay for performance, supporting our objective to create value for our stockholders. Our compensation program is designed to motivate, measure and reward the successful achievement of our goals without promoting excessive or unnecessary risk taking. We believe this is best accomplished by structuring our executive compensation program to:

- · focus on pay for performance with
- § cash compensation that rewards achievement of short term performance targets tied to our annual operating budget and business plan, and
- § equity based compensation that aligns the interests of our executives with those of our stockholders and encourages a long term planning horizon; and
- · be competitive, allowing us to attract, retain and motivate qualified executives.

In 2015, we continued to deliver on our "Better Ingredients, Better Pizza" promise. Our focus on industry leading quality, innovation and a superior customer experience enhances the strength of our brand and provides the foundation for continued profitable growth. Despite aggressive competitor pricing and promotion strategies, the execution of our strategy in 2015 led to strong year over year financial and operating performance, including the following:

### **Table of Contents**

#### EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION — COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

### We also achieved:

- Revenue growth of 2.5% (\$1.64 billion for 2015 compared to \$1.60 billion for 2014);
- Diluted earnings per share ("EPS") growth of 8.0% (\$1.89 per share for 2015 compared to \$1.75 per share for 2014) including a legal settlement which reduced EPS by \$0.20. Excluding the settlement, EPS increased 19.4%. We believe the EPS measure excluding the settlement provides a more comparable measure of our growth and underlying business trends; and
- · Pizza category leader in customer satisfaction among limited service restaurants, according to the 2015 American Customer Satisfaction Index.

Papa John's has delivered total shareholder return of 314% over the five year period ended December 31, 2015, with an annual compound shareholder return of 33% during that period. A \$100 investment in Papa John's at the beginning of 2011 would have grown to \$414 at the end of 2015 (assumes reinvestment of dividends), more than doubling the return of the S&P 500 over the same period.

### Tying Pay to Performance

To execute our strategy and continue to deliver strong growth, the Compensation Committee has tied the bulk of NEO compensation to short- and long-term performance objectives.

In 2015, the Committee applied our pay-for-performance philosophy by:

· Basing the 2015 Short Term Management Incentive Plan ("MIP"), our formula based annual cash incentive plan, on pre tax income as well as non income measures of net domestic and international unit development, and domestic and international combined comparables (comparable sales + comparable transactions), each of which we consider critical to our strategic, financial, and operational success.

### **Table of Contents**

#### EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION — COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

- · Granting performance based restricted stock units ("Performance-based Units") that pay out only if the Company achieves targeted goals over three years on three key metrics: domestic system comparable sales, international system comparable sales, and net global unit openings. These metrics are the primary drivers of the Company's business, and the targeted goals align with our strategic plan. Payouts under these awards are further subject to a threshold achievement of EPS growth.
- · Granting both stock option and restricted stock awards that vest over a period of years, thereby tying executive compensation to long term service and the creation of long term stockholder value.

Consistent with our "pay for performance" compensation philosophy, executives with the greatest potential to impact the Company's success receive a greater proportion of variable compensation. The Company believes that placing a heavier emphasis on "at risk" variable compensation focuses the NEOs on achieving the Company's strategic and performance objectives. For 2015, 74% of our CEO's compensation and 68% of our NEOs' compensation was tied to specific performance objectives or appreciation in our stock price.

### Stockholder Input/Say on Pay Vote

The Company considers input from stockholders, including the results of the annual advisory vote on executive compensation ("say on-pay proposal"), in determining compensation for executives. At our 2015 annual meeting of stockholders held in April 2015, approximately 99% of the votes cast on the say on pay proposal at that meeting were in favor of the say-on-pay proposal, which was one of many factors the Committee considered in determining compensation for our NEOs. The Compensation Committee will continue to consider the outcome of the Company's say on pay votes when making future compensation decisions for the NEOs.

# Governance Aspects of Our Executive Compensation Program

Consistent with stockholder interests and market best practices, our executive compensation program includes the following sound governance features:

- · No tax "gross ups" or "single trigger" change of control payments.
- · No repricing or cash buyouts of underwater stock options.
- · Our annual grants of equity awards for executives and employees provide for a three year graded vesting period and Performance-based Units have a three-year period for full vesting of awards and rolling three-year performance periods.

### **Table of Contents**

#### EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION — COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

- · Our incentive plans provide for the "claw back" of certain compensation payments if the Company is required to prepare an accounting restatement, and an executive received a cash or equity award based on the achievement of performance goals that are later determined, as a result of the accounting restatement, not to have been achieved.
- · Other risk mitigation features include stock ownership requirements, multiple performance metrics to deter focus on a singular performance goal, and limits on quarterly payouts under the MIP, as well as an annual risk assessment by the Compensation Committee.
- · No dividends or dividend equivalent rights on unexercised stock options or unearned Performance based Units.
- · Very limited perquisites and other benefits.
- · The Compensation Committee engages an independent compensation consultant.

## Competitive Compensation

Market pay levels and practices, including those of a self-selected peer group, is one of many factors the Compensation Committee considers in making compensation decisions. The market review is intended to provide an external framework on the range and reasonableness of compensation and to ensure we are able to provide competitive compensation needed to attract and retain the caliber of leadership critical to our success. The Compensation Committee reviews market data for all pay elements, but does not target NEO compensation with respect to a specific benchmark, such as "median" or "50th percentile." The Compensation Committee believes that dependence solely on benchmark data can detract from the focus on the performance of the individual NEO and its relation to Company performance.

The Compensation Committee periodically reviews compensation practices of its self-selected peer group, developed in consultation with F. W. Cook, its independent compensation consultant. This peer group, listed below, was used in the 2015 compensation decisions. There were no changes to the peer group from the prior year. The Committee believes the companies in the peer group share many characteristics with the Company, including a common industry, similar market capitalization and other financial criteria and are an appropriate group of comparable companies with which we compete for executive talent.

#### PEER GROUP

Brinker International, Inc. Denny's Corp. Red Robin Gourmet Burgers, Inc.

Buffalo Wild Wings, Inc. DineEquity, Inc. Ruby Tuesday, Inc.

CEC Entertainment Domino's Pizza, Inc. Sonic Corp.

The Cheesecake Factory, Inc.

Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc.

Jack in the Box, Inc.

Krispy Kreme Doughnuts, Inc.

Texas Roadhouse, Inc.

The Wendy's Company

Cracker Barrel Old Country Store, Inc. Panera Bread Company

Role of Compensation Consultant

The Compensation Committee directly retained F.W. Cook as its independent compensation consultant. F.W. Cook reports directly to the Compensation Committee and does not provide any other services to the Company. In 2015, the Compensation Committee reviewed and assessed F.W. Cook's independence pursuant to SEC and NASDAQ rules and determined that the firm is independent and had no conflicts of interest with the Company. The Committee seeks input from F. W. Cook on compensation trends, appropriate peer group companies and market survey data, and specific compensation decisions as discussed in this Compensation Discussion and Analysis.

### **Table of Contents**

#### EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION — COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

# Role of the CEO in Compensation Decisions

The Compensation Committee considers input from Mr. Schnatter, who reviews the performance of the NEOs and executives (other than himself), provides his recommendations to the Committee on NEO and other executives' compensation, and provides perspective on the performance of the management team. Our Senior Vice President, Human Resources, Chief Financial Officer, and President and Chief Operating Officer also support the Compensation Committee's executive compensation process and regularly attend portions of Committee meetings at the invitation of the Committee. The Committee reviews and discusses pay decisions related to the CEO in executive session without the CEO present, and in accordance with NASDAQ rules, Mr. Schnatter was not present when his compensation was being discussed or approved.

### Elements and Analysis of 2015 Executive Compensation

In 2015, the Compensation Committee continued its annual practice of reviewing for each NEO the following components of executive compensation, collectively referred to as "total direct compensation":

- · base salary;
- · short term cash incentives (which may be realized only to the extent that quarterly or annual performance targets are met); and
- · long term compensation, consisting of equity based incentives (a combination of Performance based Units, time based restricted stock, and stock options).

The Committee determination of each NEO's compensation was based on a qualitative and quantitative review and assessment of many factors, including the individual's performance, scope of responsibilities, depth and breadth of overall leadership experience, and the importance of the position to the successful execution of our strategies.

Target total direct compensation for 2015 approved by the Compensation Committee for each NEO increased from 2014 due to a number of factors, including, succession planning, individual performance, increased scope of responsibilities and organizational changes. The Compensation Committee believes NEO compensation is appropriate given the Company's relative size, geographic location, scope of responsibilities of the NEOs, and performance. Actual total cash compensation paid was dependent on the achievement of specific performance goals, discussed in detail below, while the ultimate value of long term equity awards will depend on future stock performance, and in the case of Performance based Units, the achievement of three-year performance goals.

### Compensation of our Founder, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

In 2015, the Compensation Committee reviewed Mr. Schnatter's performance, including recognition of the significant growth of the Company under his leadership as Founder, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and his unique and instrumental role in building the Papa John's brand through his role as brand spokesperson. Although Mr. Schnatter's outstanding performance warranted an increase in his base compensation, Mr. Schnatter requested that the Committee again forego an increase to his 2015 compensation and instead focus any compensation increases on his executive leadership team, including the other NEOs. Mr. Schnatter's 2015 base salary of \$900,000, his 90% bonus target under the MIP and his annual long term equity incentive award of \$1,000,000 were consistent with his 2014 compensation. Additionally, Mr. Schnatter was granted Performance based Units covering a three year performance period from 2015–2017, the same as the other NEOs. See "The Role of Equity Awards" section below for further details of the 2015–2017 Performance based Units. The Compensation Committee considers Mr. Schnatter's individual pay elements, and target total direct compensation, including the additional contractual obligations discussed below, against market data, and the Committee believes this compensation is appropriate in light of Mr. Schnatter's performance, length of

service, experience, and his unique roles and contributions to Papa John's.

As Founder and Chairman, Mr. Schnatter also receives compensation under the August 9, 2007 Agreement for Services as Chairman (the "Chairman Agreement"), Agreement for Services as Founder (the "Founder Agreement") and Exclusive License Agreement (the "License Agreement"). Under the Chairman and Founder Agreements, the Company agreed to make annual grants of stock options to Mr. Schnatter with a minimum grant date fair value of \$600,000 (\$300,000 under each agreement), or such greater amount as may be determined by the Compensation Committee. The Committee takes these contractual commitments and grants of stock options into account when assessing and determining Mr. Schnatter's

### **Table of Contents**

#### EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION — COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

total compensation. The actual total grant date fair value of Mr. Schnatter's awards in 2015 under the Chairman and Founder Agreements was \$660,000, reflecting Mr. Schnatter's valuable contributions to the Company and to the strength and value of the Company's brand. These grants, which were made in February 2015, were in addition to the awards granted to Mr. Schnatter under the Company's annual long term incentive program.

In entering into these agreements in 2007, the Company's Compensation Committee primarily focused on Mr. Schnatter's key role as brand spokesperson, the critical nature of his public appearance services to the Company, and intellectual property rights associated with Mr. Schnatter's name and likeness to the Company's brand. The Compensation Committee believes that the arrangements are appropriate and protect the long term value of the brand because these agreements were entered into with the specific purpose of protecting the Company's right to use Mr. Schnatter's image, voice, photographs and film footage in connection with marketing and promotion of the brand over the long-term. The Company agreed that in exchange for the exclusive license grant, it will grant options in accordance with the provisions of the Founder Agreement for a period of 15 years following the execution of the License Agreement (whether or not the Founder Agreement is terminated), and subject to termination provisions contained in the License Agreement, the term of the License Agreement continues for fifty (50) years following Mr. Schnatter's death. These guaranteed amounts are only a small percentage of Mr. Schnatter's total compensation, and the stock options under the Chairman and Founder agreement are not on top of the other compensation awarded to Mr. Schnatter, but rather serve as an off-set to other compensation which might be awarded outside the agreements. The ultimate value of these stock options is highly sensitive to the performance of the Company. Further, the Committee has been advised by its independent compensation consultant that the arrangement is appropriate and used at companies in which a founder's image and likeness is strongly associated with the brand.

The following illustrates the alignment between Company performance, based on EPS growth, a key metric that correlates to long term stockholder value, and the compensation of our Founder, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer:

\*excluding (\$.20) impact of one-time legal settlement in 2015

### Compensation of Other NEOs

In recognition of their substantial contributions to the Company's profitable growth, to reflect their performance and expanding responsibilities and to ensure retention through competitive compensation levels, the Compensation Committee in February 2015, with the input of Mr. Schnatter, approved for each of the other NEOs a base salary increase, an annual equity award consisting of equal values of non qualified stock options and restricted stock awards and a grant of Performance-based Units covering the 2015 2017 three-year performance period.

The Committee approved additional compensation changes on July 29, 2015 to reflect the outstanding performance of each other NEO, the market competitiveness of their roles, and to promote retention of each NEO, which is important to the Company's continued success. Mr. Ritchie was promoted to President and due to his increasing responsibility, he was awarded a one-time equity grant valued at approximately \$450,000 vesting at the end of three years, and his base

### **Table of Contents**

#### EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION — COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

salary was increased to \$650,000 from \$550,000. The Compensation Committee also increased the long-term incentive values for each member of the executive leadership team, other than Mr. Schnatter, as follows for the NEOs: \$650,000 for Mr. Ritchie (previously \$600,000), \$515,000 for Chief Administrative Officer and Chief Financial Officer Lance Tucker (previously \$450,000), \$400,000 for Chief Development Officer Timothy C. O'Hern (previously \$370,000), and \$400,000 for former Chief Marketing Officer Robert C. Kraut (previously \$290,000). The additional awards representing the increased values consisted of equal amounts of non-qualified stock options and time-based restricted shares with graded vesting over three years and a 10-year life for the stock options.

# The Role of Cash Compensation

### **Base Salary**

Annual base salary increases are typically considered each year and also upon organizational changes that may occur throughout the year. No executive officer has a guaranteed salary increase. The analysis for adjustments to base salary compensation takes into account all of the factors described under "Elements and Analysis of 2015 Executive Compensation" above.

### **Short-Term Cash Incentive Compensation**

There were no significant changes to the structure of the short term incentive program as the Committee determined that the plan design effectively targeted the fundamental drivers of our business. All of the goals and weightings remained consistent with 2014. In 2015, our short term incentive program consisted of the MIP, which provides quarterly and annual cash payouts to the NEOs and others within the Company based upon achievement of pre established performance goals. Similar to prior years, we again included a 150% of target award limit on our non income metrics, which were net unit development (North America and international) and combined comparables (North America and international) (in each case, as defined and calculated in the table below). The 150% limit for the quarterly metrics is applied after aggregation of the two quarterly metrics on a weighted, combined basis. During payment calculations, any awards above 150% of target would be retained in a pool for potential payout at year end. To the extent actual pre tax income exceeded the 2015 budgeted amount, all or a portion of the additional awards retained in the pool as a result of the 150% limit during each payment date (along with amounts above 150% of target on the annual net development metrics) would be available for payment. The Company believes the plan design with built in limits prevents paying excessive awards when our pre-tax income does not meet our objectives for the full year, and is an important element in mitigating risk of focusing on short term performance. For 2015, all payments on our non-income metrics were below the 150% threshold; therefore, no amounts were retained in the pool for additional award payments to participants, including the NEOs.

Overall payout under the MIP is capped at an individual level of 300% of annual base salary.

# Table of Contents

# EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION — COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

In 2015, performance metrics and the target and actual results of the MIP included:

Metric Pre-Tax; Post-MIP Operating	Definition Consolidated pre-tax corporate	Targe \$ 76,78	t 2,000(2)	Full Year Actual Resul \$ 80,221,000		out centage		Weighting 45	%	Award Frequency Annual
Income  Net Development	operating income.(1) Domestic system-wide store openings less store	70 un	its (2)	48 units	8	%	(3)	10	%	Annual
Net Development	closings. International system-wide store openings less store	178 u	nits (2)	182 units	107	%	(3)	15	%	Annual
Combined Domestic Comparable Sales and Comparable Transactions ("North America Combined Comps")	closings. Domestic system-wide comparable sales (average same-store, year-over-year sales), an industry standard used to measure company growth, plus domestic system-wide comparable transactions, an internal metric used as an indicator of market share growth when considered in conjunction with industry statistics.		(2) (4		(4) 100		(3)		%	Quarterly
			(2) (4	.)	(4) 122	%	(3)	15	%	Quarterly

Combined International International system-wide Comparable comparable Sales and sales (average Comparable same-store, Transactions year-over-year ("International sales), an Combined industry Comps") standard used to measure company growth, plus international system-wide comparable transactions, an internal metric used as an indicator of market share growth when considered in conjunction with industry statistics.

- (1) We exclude PJ Food Service (commissary) income from the operating income component of the MIP results to appropriately incentivize our management team to control food costs for our franchise and corporate restaurants. We also excluded the one time impact of a litigation settlement in 2015 which had an impact on our financial results. As further described in our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 27, 2015, non-GAAP results excluding the legal settlement should not be construed as a substitute for or a better indicator of the Company's performance than the Company's GAAP results. Management believes presenting certain financial information without the legal settlement is important for purposes of comparison to prior year results and uses this metric to evaluate the Company's underlying operating performance and to analyze trends.
- (2) Any payout under the MIP is subject to threshold levels in each performance metric. For 2015, the threshold levels were: Pre Tax Income of \$70.6 million; Net Development Domestic of 46 units; and Net Development International of 118 units. Please refer to Footnote (4) for discussion of Comparable Sales and Transactions targets.
- (3) For 2015, none of the non income measures yielded a payout in excess of the 150% governor at the time of payment.
- (4) We do not disclose comparable transactions for competitive reasons. For comparability purposes, the domestic combined comparables metric yielded a 128% award in 2014 and a 111.5% award in 2013. For comparability purposes of our combined international comparable sales and transactions metric, in our 2014 fiscal year, the first year this metric was included, the metric yielded a 73% award. Each of these percentage yields is expressed as a percentage of the applicable target awards.

Performance targets for each performance metric were set by the Committee in relation to the Company's targets contained in the annual budget and operating plan. Achievement of these metrics beyond the targets established by the Committee results in payouts beyond the target award for each NEO, capped at 300% of annual base salary. In 2015,

### **Table of Contents**

#### EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION — COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

the MIP awards (expressed as a percentage of base salary and an actual dollar amount) for each current NEO were as follows:

		Inc Aw (%	ort Te entive ard of Ba	effarget Short-Term Incentive sAward	Actual Short-Term Incentive Award	`	rd f 2015
Named Executive Officer	Title	Sal	ary)(1	(\$)	(\$)	Base	Salary)
John H. Schnatter	Founder, Chairman, and Chief Executive Officer	90	%	810,000	972,026	108	%
Lance F. Tucker	Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, Chief Administrative Officer and Treasurer	75	%	371,755	445,852	90	%
Steve M. Ritchie	President and Chief Operating Officer	75	%	436,298	521,448	90	%
Timothy C. O'Hern	Senior Vice President and Chief Development Officer	75	%	293,654	352,181	90	%

(1) Reflects pro rated annualized base salary and target awards due to increases in 2015 (other than Mr. Schnatter who received no increase).

Each NEO's actual annual incentive award payment in the table above is determined by formula based on the Company's achievement of the pre established performance targets discussed above. As noted above, each performance metric target is derived from the Board approved annual budget and operating plan. By tying the targets to the budget and operating plan, we believe that the plan rewards performance, and payments will generally correlate to our operating results in a given year. Actual 2015 results for all metrics produced an aggregate payout of 120% of target.

Our NEOs also participated in the Quality Service Incentive Plan ("QSIP"), which did not materially increase their short term incentive potential. The QSIP is available to a wider group of employees and underscores the key customer service and quality fundamentals of our business. A \$9,000 per participant total award was targeted for our NEOs based on an indexed achievement level with a maximum award of \$25,155 per participant. In 2015, a total award of \$12,497 was paid to each current NEO under this plan.

### The Role of Equity Awards

Our long term incentive compensation program for executive officers consists of stock options, time vested restricted stock and Performance based Units.

Stock Options and Time Vested Restricted Stock. We award stock options because they are inherently performance based, meaning that their value only increases if the market price of our common stock increases over time. In addition, stock options and restricted stock provide long term compensation to our NEOs in the form of additional equity, helping to build a culture of ownership among our executives. Finally, we believe that both stock

options and restricted stock awards are a strong executive retention tool and align the interests of our executives with the interests of stockholders. The options and time-vested restricted stock awarded in 2015 generally have a three year graded vesting schedule (i.e., one third vests per year), and the stock options have a ten year term.

The determination of the annual grant value levels is a function of a number of factors considered by the Compensation Committee, including market competitiveness, level of position within the organization, significance of the individual to the Company's strategy and success, and the level of "total direct compensation" deemed to be appropriate for the NEO.

In February 2015, our Compensation Committee approved the annual grant of stock options and time based restricted stock to each NEO in accordance with our equity grant practices policy, with the effective date of grant and the exercise price of each stock option awarded at the closing price of our common stock on the NASDAQ Stock Market on February 26, 2015, two days after the release of our fourth quarter and full year 2014 earnings.

In July 2015, the Committee also approved additional stock option and time based restricted stock awards to Messrs. Tucker, Ritchie, O'Hern and Kraut as discussed above under "Compensation of Other NEOs." These awards

### **Table of Contents**

#### EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION — COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

had an effective date of grant and the exercise price of each stock option awarded at the closing price of our common stock on the NASDAQ Stock Market on August 6, 2015, two days after the release of our second quarter 2015 earnings.

Performance based Units. We believe the Performance based Units encourage focus on the company's long term strategic goals, motivate and retain our executive leadership team and align interests with the Company's stockholders.

In February 2015, the Committee approved a grant of Performance based Units to each NEO with the grant date value of \$100,000 covering the three—year performance period (2015—2017) that will vest in February 2018 if the pre—established Company performance targets are achieved at the end of the three—year period. A 10% EPS Compounded Annual Growth Rate over the applicable three—year period and a threshold level with respect to achievement of each performance goal (described below) must be attained for the Performance-based Units to vest. Achievement of these metrics beyond the targets established by the Compensation Committee results in increasing payouts above the target award (calculated on a straight line basis) for each NEO.

The 2015 target performance metrics and the one year results as of fiscal year end 2015 included:

Metric	Definition	Target		1-Year Results at FYE 2015		Wei	ghting
3-Year Cumulative	Average domestic same-store,	9.0	%	4.2	%	30	%
Domestic Comparable	year-over-year sales, an industry standard						
Sales	to measure company growth						
3-Year Cumulative	Average international same-store,	18.2	%	6.9	%	20	%
International	year-over-year sales, an industry standard						
Comparable Sales	to measure company growth						
Number of Global Units at End of 2017	Domestic & international system-wide store openings less store closings	5,388 units		4,893 units		50	%

The 1-year EPS Compounded Annual Growth Rate was 8% at fiscal year-end 2015.

In February 2014, the Committee approved a grant of Performance based Units to each NEO with the grant date value of \$100,000 covering the three year performance period (2014 2016) that will vest in February 2017 if the pre established Company performance targets are achieved at the end of the three year period. A 10% EPS Compounded Annual Growth Rate over the applicable three year period and a threshold level with respect to achievement of each performance goal (described below) must be attained for the Performance-based Units to vest. Achievement of these metrics beyond the targets established by the Compensation Committee results in increasing payouts above the target award (calculated on a straight line basis) for each NEO.

The 2014 target performance metrics and the two year results as of fiscal year end 2015 included:

			2-Year	
			Results at	
Metric	Definition	Target	FYE 2015	Weighting

3-Year Cumulative	Average domestic same-store,	7.8	%	10.9	%	30	%
Domestic Comparable	year-over-year sales, an industry standard						
Sales	to measure company growth						
3-Year Cumulative	Average international same-store,	19.7	%	14.3	%	20	%
International	year-over-year sales, an industry standard						
Comparable Sales	to measure company growth						
Number of Global Units	Domestic & International system-wide	5,323 units	3	4,893 units		50	%
at End of 2016	store openings less store closings						
The 2-year EPS Compounded Annual Growth Rate was 10.45% at fiscal year-end 2015.							

### **Table of Contents**

#### EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION — COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

### **Stock Ownership Guidelines**

Stock ownership by our NEOs is a key consideration in making compensation decisions. We believe that executive ownership of our stock demonstrates to investors that our executives have a significant stake in the Company and its future and mitigates risks associated with equity compensation programs.

The ownership guidelines for our current NEOs are:

		Ownership Guideline	
		as a Multiple of Base	Guideline
NEO	Title	Salary (x)	(\$)
John H. Schnatter	Founder, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer	5.0x	4,500,000
Steve M. Ritchie	President and Chief Operating Officer	3.0x	2,400,000
Lance F. Tucker	Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer,	1.0x	515,000
	Chief Administrative Officer and Treasurer		
Timothy C. O'Hern	Senior Vice President and Chief Development	1.0x	410,000
	Officer		

NEOs have five years after becoming subject to the ownership requirement to achieve the ownership level, with annual progress required as follows: Year 1, 10%; Year 2, 25%; Year 3, 45%; Year 4, 70%; and Year 5, 100%.

Ownership levels at any particular time are calculated based on the purchase price of shares owned or the actual price on the measurement date, whichever is higher. Sources of ownership for measurement purposes include:

- · stock personally or otherwise beneficially owned directly or indirectly;
- · stock equivalent units held in our nonqualified deferred compensation plan;
- · stock held in a 401(k) account or other qualified retirement account, such as an IRA;
- · unvested restricted stock (excluding Performance-based Units); and
- the net value (gross sale price, less option exercise price) of shares subject to vested, but unexercised, stock options. The Compensation Committee reviews the Stock Ownership Guidelines on an annual basis when considering annual equity grants. As of December 31, 2015, all NEOs met or exceeded the guidelines. In addition to this regular review, the Compensation Committee receives periodic reports detailing the extent to which each executive officer has achieved the required ownership level. Our short term incentive program gives the Committee the discretion to award any portion of resulting payouts in the form of stock, instead of cash, to assist a participant in meeting the guidelines.

# Tax and Accounting Policies

Deductibility of compensation expense under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code has not been a material consideration for our Compensation Committee to date based on the levels and types of compensation we pay. In setting total compensation, the Compensation Committee considers the impact of Section 162(m), and seeks to preserve deductibility of most compensation paid to executive officers, while reserving some flexibility in awarding compensation, with the objective of attaining our primary goal of setting compensation to support the Company's business strategy. However, our time based restricted stock awards do not qualify for tax deductibility under Section 162(m) to the extent the \$1,000,000 limit is exceeded because the awards are subject only to time based vesting requirements.

We expense the cost of employee stock based compensation in accordance with the fair value method contained in the Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification "Compensation — Stock Compensation" (which we refer to as the ASC Stock Compensation Topic). We recorded stock based compensation expense of \$9.4 million in 2015, \$8.7 million in 2014 and \$7.4 million in 2013. As a result, the expense related to equity compensation has been and will continue to be a material consideration in our overall compensation program design.

### **Table of Contents**

### EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION — COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

# Compensation Committee Report

The Compensation Committee of the Board has reviewed and discussed with management the Compensation Discussion and Analysis included in this Proxy Statement. In reliance on the review and discussions referred to above, the Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10 K for the year ended December 27, 2015 and in this Proxy Statement.

### COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

Norborne P. Cole, Jr., Chairman

Olivia F. Kirtley

W. Kent Taylor

This report shall not be deemed to be incorporated by reference by any general statement incorporating by reference this Proxy Statement into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and shall not otherwise be deemed filed under such acts.

### **Table of Contents**

ng Officer

#### **EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

### **Summary Compensation Table**

The table below summarizes the total compensation paid or earned by the NEOs for each of the last three fiscal years during which the officer was a named executive officer.

Change

							in Pension	
							Value and	
							Non-qualified	
						Non-Equity	Deferred	
				Stock	Option	Incentive Plan	Compensation	All Other
		Salary	Bonus	Awards	Awards	Compensation	Earnings	Compensation
ncipal Position	Year	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)(1)	(\$)(2)	(\$)(3)	(\$)	(\$)(4)
itter	2015	900,000	_	600,081	1,160,019(5)	984,524	_	
rman and	2014	900,000	_	600,048	1,160,011(5)	796,087	_	_
ve Officer	2013	838,170	_	500,120	1,160,041(5)	768,364	_	_
ter	2015	495,673	_	357,654	257,501	458,349	_	7,950
resident,	2014	462,109	_	285,024	185,008	310,174	_	3,900
al Officer, Chief	2013	423,365	_	185,087	185,010	256,807	_	3,825
e Officer and Treasurer								
hie	2015	581,731	_	650,162	550,037	533,945	_	7,950
Chief Operating Officer	2014	452,332	_	407,566	307,522	299,272	_	_
	2013	350,069	_	247,585	247,516	174,718	_	_
Hern	2015	391,538		300,093	200,020	364,678	_	7,950
resident and	2014	350,962	_	225,038	125,012	225,268	_	3,900
ment Officer	2013	293,352	_	112,571	112,517	147,701	_	3,825
ut	2015	286,488	_	407,456 (6)	258,011 (7)	85,803	_	326,810
· Vice President and	2014	382,731		312,564	112,511	243,377	_	14,828

- (1) The amounts in the Stock Awards column reflect the aggregate grant date fair value for each respective fiscal year related to both time-based restricted stock granted in 2015, 2014 and 2013 and Performance-based Units granted in 2015 and 2014, respectively. All fair values were computed in accordance with the applicable Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Stock Compensation topic. Assumptions used in the calculation of these amounts are included in Footnote 18 to the Company's audited financial statements for the fiscal years ended December 27, 2015, December 28, 2014, and December 29, 2013, included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K. For each of 2014 and 2015, amounts reported include the value of performance-based restricted stock units based on the probable outcome of performance conditions at 100% at the grant date resulting in a \$100,000 value at the grant date for each NEO.
- (2) The amounts in the Option Awards column reflect the aggregate grant date fair value for each respective fiscal year related to stock options granted in 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively, computed in accordance with the ASC Stock Compensation topic. Assumptions used in the calculation of these amounts are included in Footnote 18 to the Company's audited financial statements for the fiscal years ended December 27, 2015, December 28, 2014 and December 29, 2013, respectively, included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K.
- (3) The amounts in the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column for 2015, 2014, and 2013 include payments earned by each NEO pursuant to the 2015, 2014, and 2013 Management Incentive Plans, based on corporate performance metrics for 2015, 2014, and 2013. The amounts in the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation

column for 2015, 2014, and 2013 also include payments earned by the NEO pursuant to the 2015, 2014, and 2013 QSIP, based on corporate performance during 2015, 2014, and 2013.

For the year ended December 27, 2015, the annual components of the MIP and the fourth quarter of the quarterly components of the MIP, to the extent not deferred by the executive, were paid in February, 2016. The first three installments of the quarterly components of the MIP, to the extent not deferred by the executive, were paid in May, August and November, 2015, respectively. Amounts in the table above for 2015 include a \$12,497 payment under the North American and International QSIP for each NEO other than Mr. Kraut, who received a \$8,458 payment under the QSIP. All other amounts are pursuant to the MIP.

For the year ended December 28, 2014, the annual components of the MIP and the fourth quarter of the quarterly components of the MIP, to the extent not deferred by the executive, were paid in February, 2015. The first three installments of the quarterly components of the MIP, to the extent not deferred by the executive, were paid in May, August and November, 2014, respectively. Amounts in the table above for 2014 include a \$10,450 payment under the North American and International QSIP for each NEO. All other amounts are pursuant to the MIP.

For the year ended December 29, 2013, the annual components of the MIP and the fourth quarter of the quarterly components of the MIP, to the extent not deferred by the executive, were paid in February, 2014. The first three installments of the quarterly components of the MIP, to the extent not deferred by the executive, were paid in May, August, and November, 2013, respectively. Amounts in the table above for 2013 include a \$13,450 payment under the North American and international QSIP for Mr. Schnatter and \$11,983 under the North American QSIP for the other NEOs. All other amounts are pursuant to the MIP.

- (4) Amounts in the All Other Compensation column for 2015 include the Company's matching contribution to the NEO's account in the Company's nonqualified deferred compensation account. See also footnote 8 below for Mr. Kraut.
- 30 2016 Proxy Statement

### **Table of Contents**

### **EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

- (5) Pursuant to the terms of the Chairman Agreement, Founder Agreement and License Agreement described above under the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, we agreed to make annual grants of stock options to Mr. Schnatter with a minimum value of \$600,000 (\$300,000 under each of the Founder Agreement and Chairman Agreement), or such greater amount as may be determined by the Compensation Committee. The specific terms of each grant of stock options, including the grant date and exercise price, are determined by the Compensation Committee. Of the value in the Option Awards column for Mr. Schnatter, for each year, \$660,000 of the amount relates to the grants under the Founder Agreement and Chairman Agreement. The Chairman Agreement will remain in effect so long as Mr. Schnatter is a director of the Company and remains Chairman as elected by our Board of Directors. The Founder Agreement will remain in effect until terminated by either party on 30 days' notice. Under the License Agreement, Mr. Schnatter granted the Company an exclusive right to use his identity in the Company's current and future operation. This license grant allows the Company to use Mr. Schnatter's image, voice, photographs and film footage in connection with the Company's marketing and promotion of the Papa John's brand. We agreed that in exchange for the exclusive license grant, we will grant stock options in accordance with the provisions of the Founder Agreement for a period of 15 years following the execution of the License Agreement (whether or not the Founder Agreement is terminated), subject to termination provisions contained in the License Agreement.
- (6) Mr. Robert Kraut was awarded 2,269 shares of time-based restricted stock with an aggregate grant date fair value of \$145,034 in February 2015, and in August 2015 he was awarded 759 shares of time-based restricted stock with an aggregate grant date fair value of \$55,035. As a result of his September 2015 separation, he forfeited 1,513 shares from the February 2015 grant and 759 shares from the August 2015 grant. He was awarded 1,565 Performance-based Units in February 2015 with an aggregate grant date fair value of \$100,035, all of which were forfeited as a result of his September 2015 separation. By the terms of Mr. Kraut's October 7, 2013 employment agreement, which provides for credit for an additional six months of service for vesting in outstanding stock options and time-based restricted stock upon a termination other than for "cause", a portion of his unvested restricted stock granted in 2015, 2014, and 2013 was modified to accelerate vesting to his separation date. The total amount in the table above represents the grant date fair value of the February and August 2015 time-based restricted stock grant (\$200,069), the February 2015 Performance-based Units (\$100,035), and the fair value of the accelerated vestings from 2015, 2014, and 2013 (\$107,352).
- (7) Mr. Robert Kraut was awarded an option for 8,584 shares of common stock in February 2015 with an aggregate grant date fair value of \$145,012, and in August 2015 he was awarded an option for 3,028 shares of common stock with an aggregate grant date fair value of \$55,011. As a result of his September 2015 separation, he forfeited 5,723 shares from the February 2015 grant and 3,028 shares from the August 2015 grant. By the terms of his employment agreement described in footnote 6 above, a portion of his unvested stock options granted in 2015, 2014, and 2013 was modified to accelerate vesting to his separation date. The total amount in the table above represents the grant date fair value of the February and August 2015 stock option grant (\$200,023), and the fair value of the accelerated vestings from 2015, 2014, and 2013 (\$57,988).
- (8) On September 3, 2015, Mr. Kraut separated from the Company, and under the terms of his employment agreement, which provides for nine months base salary and pro rata bonus upon termination other than for "cause", he received for the remainder of 2015 payments totaling \$326,810, representing the remainder of 2015 base salary, accrued and estimated bonus for the Annual 2015 award under the MIP plan, and payment of accrued vacation and other benefits. In accordance with his employment agreement, Mr. Kraut will be paid an additional sum of \$186,346 in 2016 representing base salary.

# **Table of Contents**

# **EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

# Grants of Plan Based Awards

The following table presents information with respect to the grants of plan based awards made by the Company to each of the NEOs during the fiscal year ended December 27, 2015.

	Date of Compensation Committee Meeting at	Estimated F Under Non Awards(1)			Estimated Founder Equit Awards(2)			All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or		All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying	
	Which Grant	Threshold	Target	Maximum	Threshold	Target	Maximum	Units		Options	
te	Was Approved	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(#)	(#)	(#)	(#)(3)		(#)(4)	
4			810,000	2,700,000		_	_				
4			9,000	22,473		—	_				
5	2/20/2015					1,565	_				
5	2/20/2015					_	_	7,823	(i)		
5	2/20/2015	_		_		_	_	<del></del>		19,466	(ii)
5	2/20/2015	_	_			_	_			19,466	(ii)
5	2/20/2015	_	_			_	_			29,598	(i)
4	_	_	371,755	1,487,019		_	_			_	
4	_	_	9,000	22,473		_	_			_	
5	2/19/2015	_		_		1,565	_			_	
5	2/19/2015							3,521	(i)		
	7/29/2015					_	_	449	(ii)		
5	2/19/2015		_			_	_			13,319	(i)
	7/29/2015		_			_	_			1,789	(iii)
4	_		436,298	1,745,192		_	_				
4	_		9,000	22,473		_	_				
5	2/19/2015	_				1,565	_				
5	2/19/2015			_				4,694	(i)		
	7/29/2015			_			_	345	(ii)	_	
	7/29/2015	_		_			_	3,104	(iii)	_	
5	2/19/2015		_			_	_			17,759	(i)
	7/29/2015		_			_	_			1,377	(iii)
	7/29/2015		_			_	_			12,689	(iv)
4	_		293,654	1,174,615		_	_			_	` /
4	_		9,000	22,473		_	_				
5	2/19/2015		_			1,565					
5	2/19/2015							2,895	(i)		
	7/29/2015				_		_	207	(ii)		
5	2/19/2015				_		_		\ -/	10,952	(i)
	7/29/2015					_				826	(iii)
4			315,570	1,262,279	_			_		_	()
4			9,000	22,473							
5	2/19/2015				_	1,565		_		_	
•	_, 1,,, 2,010					1,505					

2/19/2015		 		 	2,269	(i)		
7/29/2015	_	 	_	 _	759	(ii)		
2/19/2015	_	 	_	 _	_		8,584	(i)
7/29/2015		 	_	 	_		3,028	(iii)
_		 		 	1,585	(6)	5,356	(6)

- (1) The amounts in the Estimated Possible Payouts Under Non Equity Incentive Plan Awards columns represent plan awards pursuant to our annual MIP and QSIP, respectively, for the period commencing December 29, 2014. For the actual amounts paid to the NEOs pursuant to the MIP and QSIP during 2015, see the Non Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column of the Summary Compensation Table above.
- (2) The amounts in the Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards column represent grants of Performance-based Units. The 2015 Performance-based Units vest date is February 26, 2018, subject to achievement of performance conditions. The final award payout is interpolated based on a sliding scale. The performance units have no minimum or maximum payout.
- (3) The amounts in the All Other Stock Awards column represent grants of time based restricted stock. At the time the Company pays dividends to holders of its common stock, recipients of time based restricted stock also receive dividends on the unvested and outstanding shares. The 2015 restricted stock grant vest dates are indicated as follows:
- (i) one third on each of February 26, 2016, 2017 and 2018;
- (ii) one third on each of August 6, 2016, 2017, and 2018; and
- (iii) all shares vest on August 6, 2018.
- (4) The amounts in the Other Option Awards column represent grants of stock options. The 2015 stock option vest dates are indicated as follows:
- (i) one third on each of February 26, 2016, 2017, and 2018;
- (ii) all shares on February 26, 2017;
- (iii) one third on each of August 6, 2016, 2017, and 2018; and
- (iv) all shares vest on August 6, 2018.
- 32 2016 Proxy Statement

### **Table of Contents**

### **EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

(5) The amounts in the Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards column represent the full grant date fair value of each stock option award, time based restricted stock award and Performance-based Unit, as computed in accordance with the ASC Stock Compensation topic. Full grant date fair value and vesting details are as follows:

		Full Grant	
		Date	
		Fair	
		Value/Share	
Equity Type	Grant Date	(\$)	Vesting
			3-year
Stock Options	2/26/2015	16.8932	graded
			2-year
	2/26/2015	16.9530	cliff
			3-year
	8/6/2015	18.1669	graded
			3-year
	8/6/2015	17.7331	cliff
			3-year
Time-Based Restricted Stock	2/26/2015	63.92	graded
			2-year
	2/26/2015	63.92	cliff
			3-year
	8/6/2015	72.51	graded
			3-year
	8/6/2015	72.51	cliff
			3-year
Performance-based Units	2/26/2015	63.92	cliff

Assumptions used in the calculation of these amounts are included in Footnote 18 to the Company's audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 27, 2015, included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10 K.

(6) As discussed in the Summary Compensation Table, certain of Mr. Kraut's equity awards were accelerated to his date of separation pursuant to the terms of his employment agreement. The amount in the "Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards" column represents the incremental fair value of modified awards as of the modification date (September 3, 2015, Mr. Kraut's date of separation), in accordance with the ASC Stock Compensation Topic. The original vesting dates for the modified awards are as follows:

	Scheduled Vesting	# Options or		Exercise
Equity Type	Date	# Shares	Grant Date	Price (\$)
Stock Options	February 26, 2016	2,861	February 26, 2015	\$63.92
	February 27, 2016	2,225	February 27, 2014	\$50.59
	November 7, 2015	270	November 7, 2013	\$37.98
Time Based Restricted Stock	February 26, 2016	756	February 26, 2015	
	February 27, 2016	741	February 27, 2014	
	November 7, 2015	88	November 7, 2013	

# Table of Contents

# **EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End

The following table sets forth information with respect to the outstanding equity awards at 2015 fiscal year end for the NEOs.

ption Awards

Stock Awards

Equity

umber of Se	ecurities				Number of Shares or		Market Valu Shares or	ue Infective Plan Awa Number of Unearn	
nderlying U			Option		Units of Stock			ckShares, Units or Ot	
ptions			Exercise	Option	That		That	Rights That Have N	
xercisable	Unexercisal	ole	Price	Expiration	Have Not Vested	[	Have Not V		
ŧ)	(#)(1)		(\$)	Date	(#)(2)		(\$)(3)	(#)(2)(4)	
1,618	—		14.49	2/24/2016	—		<del></del>	—	
7,372	_		14.49	2/24/2016	_		_	_	
7,372			14.49	2/24/2016	_			_	
7,020			18.46	2/22/2022					
7,020	_		18.46	2/22/2022	_		_	_	
7,932			18.46	2/22/2022					
3,140	9,072	(i)	26.01	2/28/2023	_		_	_	
4,012	<del></del>	(-)	26.01	2/28/2023	_		_	_	
4,012			26.01	2/28/2023				_	
2,880	6,440	(ii)	32.77	5/9/2023	_		_	_	
888	19,776	(iii)	50.59	2/27/2024			_	_	
	19,518	(iv)	50.59	2/27/2024	_		_	_	
	19,518	(iv)	50.59	2/27/2024			_	_	
	19,466	(v)	63.92	2/26/2025	_		_	_	
	19,466	(v)	63.92	2/26/2025			_	_	
	29,598	(vi)	63.92	2/26/2025	_		_	_	
_		( )		_	3,398	(i)	188,045		
_					2,392	(ii)	132,373	_	
_					6,590	(iii)	364,691		
_					7,823	(iv)	432,925		
_			_			()		1,977	(ix)
_								1,565	(x)
_	6,334	(i)	26.01	2/28/2023				<del></del>	
_	7,318	(iii)	50.59	2/27/2024	_			_	
_	13,319	(vi)	63.92	2/26/2025					
_	1,789	(vii)	72.51	8/6/2025					
_		,		_	2,372	(i)	131,266	_	
_				_	2,438	(iii)	134,919	_	
_				_	3,521	(iv)	194,852	_	
_	_				449	(v)	24,848	_	
_	_			_	<u> </u>	( )		1,977	(ix)
_	_			_	_			1,565	(x)
								,	( )

980	_		18.46	2/22/2022						
556	4,280	(i)	26.01	2/28/2023			_			
526	4,264	(viii)	35.25	8/8/2023			_			
472	4,944	(iii)	50.59	2/27/2024			_			
142	6,286	(ix)	41.15	8/7/2024			_			
539	3,079	(ix)	41.15	8/7/2024	_			_		
	17,759	(vi)	63.92	2/26/2025	_			_		
	1,377	(vii)	72.51	8/6/2025	_			_		
	12,689	(x)	72.51	8/6/2025						
	<u> </u>	. ,			1,604	(i)	88,765			
					1,160	(vi)	64,194			
					1,648	(iii)	91,200			
					973	(vii)	53,846			
	_				1,985	(vii)	109,850			
	_				4,694	(iv)	259,766			
	_				345	(v)	19,092			
			_		3,104	(viii)	171,775			
					<del></del>	, ,	_	1,977	(ix)	
_							_	1,565	(x)	
112			18.46	2/22/2022						
702	3,852	(i)	26.01	2/28/2023			_			
225	4,450	(iii)	50.59	2/27/2024			_			
20	642	(ix)	41.15	8/7/2024			_			
- - - -	10,952	(vi)	63.92	2/26/2025			_			
	826	(vii)	72.51	8/6/2025			_			
	_	( )		_	1,444	(i)	79,911			
	_			_	1,483	(iii)	82,069			
	_			_	203	(vi)	11,234			
	_			_	2,895	(iv)	160,209			
					,	()	,			

207

(v)

11,455