Capitol Federal Financial Inc Form 10-Q August 04, 2011

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

(Mark One)

b QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2011

or

"TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission file number: 001-34814

Capitol Federal Financial, Inc. (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland 27-2631712

(State or other jurisdiction of

incorporation (I.R.S. Employer

or

organization) Identification No.)

700 Kansas Avenue, Topeka,

Kansas 66603

(Address of principal executive

offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (785) 235-1341

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such requirements for the past 90 days. Yes b No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files.) Yes þ No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "accelerated filer, large accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer " Accelerated filer " Non-accelerated filer þ Smaller Reporting Company " (do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes "No b

As of July 29, 2011, there were 167,498,133 shares of Capitol Federal Financial, Inc. Common Stock outstanding.

| PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION | Page Number |
|--|----------------|
| Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited): | |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2011 and September 30, 2010 | 3 |
| Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months | |
| ended | |
| June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010 | 4 |
| Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity for the nine months | |
| ended | |
| June 30, 2011 | 6 |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended | |
| June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010 | 7 |
| Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | 9 |
| Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and | |
| Results of Operations | 34 |
| Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk | 78 |
| Item 4. Controls and Procedures | 83 |
| PART II OTHER INFORMATION | |
| Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 83 |
| Item 1A. Risk Factors | 84 |
| Item 2. <u>Unregistered</u> Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds | 84 |
| Item 3. <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> | 84 |
| Item 4. (Removed and Reserved) | 84 |
| Item 5. Other Information | 84 |
| Item 6. Exhibits | 84 |
| Signature Page | 85 |
| INDEX TO EXHIBITS | 86 |

PART I -- FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

CAPITOL FEDERAL FINANCIAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Unaudited)

(Dollars in thousands)

| | June 30, | September 30, |
|--|-------------|---------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| ASSETS: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents (includes interest-earning deposits of \$143,446 and | | |
| \$50,771) | \$161,872 | \$65,217 |
| Securities: | | |
| Available-for-sale ("AFS") at estimated fair value (amortized cost of | | |
| \$1,225,848 and \$1,009,142) | 1,269,987 | 1,060,366 |
| Held-to-maturity ("HTM") at amortized cost (estimated fair value of | | |
| \$2,739,016 and \$1,913,454) | 2,693,719 | 1,880,154 |
| Loans receivable, net (of allowance for credit losses ("ACL") of \$14,856 and | | |
| \$14,892) | 5,162,846 | 5,168,202 |
| Bank-owned life insurance ("BOLI") | 56,059 | 54,710 |
| Capital stock of Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB"), at cost | 125,797 | 120,866 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 31,351 | 30,220 |
| Premises and equipment, net | 46,890 | 41,260 |
| Real estate owned ("REO"), net | 10,064 | 9,920 |
| Income taxes receivable, net | | 716 |
| Other assets | 43,872 | 55,499 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$9,602,457 | \$8,487,130 |
| | | |
| LIABILITIES: | | |
| Deposits | \$4,558,574 | \$4,386,310 |
| Advances from FHLB, net | 2,453,642 | 2,348,371 |
| Other borrowings | 565,000 | 668,609 |
| Advance payments by borrowers for taxes and insurance | 31,019 | 55,036 |
| Income taxes payable | 9,579 | |
| Deferred income tax liabilities, net | 19,986 | 33,244 |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 30,646 | 33,610 |
| Total liabilities | 7,668,446 | 7,525,180 |
| | | |
| STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY: | | |
| Preferred stock (\$0.01 par value) 100,000,000 shares authorized; none issued | | |
| Common stock (\$0.01 par value) 1,400,000,000 shares authorized, | | |
| 167,498,133 | | |
| shares issued; 167,498,133 and 73,992,678 shares outstanding | | |
| as of June 30, 2011 and September 30, 2010, respectively | 1,675 | 915 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 1,391,860 | 457,795 |
| Unearned compensation, Employee Stock Ownership Plan ("ESOP") | (51,290) | (6,050) |
| Unearned compensation, Recognition and Retention Plan ("RRP") | (155) | (255) |
| Retained earnings | 564,467 | 801,044 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income ("AOCI"), net of tax | 27,454 | 31,862 |
| Less shares held in treasury (0 and 17,519,609 shares as of June 30, 2011 | , - | , - |

| and September 30, 2010, respectively, at cost) | | (323,361) | |
|--|-------------|-------------|--|
| Total stockholders' equity | 1,934,011 | 961,950 | |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | \$9,602,457 | \$8,487,130 | |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements. \leq Index \geq

CAPITOL FEDERAL FINANCIAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (Unaudited)

(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | June | ded |
|---|-------------------------------------|------------|-----------|--------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| INTEREST AND DIVIDEND INCOME: | | | | |
| Loans receivable | \$62,393 | \$68,990 | \$189,890 | \$213,831 |
| Mortgage-backed securities ("MBS") | 19,619 | 16,864 | 52,379 | 56,245 |
| Investment securities | 5,103 | 4,565 | 14,621 | 10,850 |
| Capital stock of FHLB | 925 | 1,005 | 2,710 | 2,991 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 43 | 61 | 671 | 162 |
| Total interest and dividend income | 88,083 | 91,485 | 260,271 | 284,079 |
| INTEREST EXPENSE: | | | | |
| FHLB advances | 22,539 | 24,417 | 67,638 | 73,535 |
| | | 19,149 | 48,966 | |
| Deposits Other harmonic as | 15,516 | · | , | 61,030 |
| Other borrowings | 5,720 | 7,032 | 18,798 | 21,090 |
| Total interest expense | 43,775 | 50,598 | 135,402 | 155,655 |
| NET INTEREST INCOME | 44,308 | 40,887 | 124,869 | 128,424 |
| PROVISION FOR CREDIT LOSSES | 1,240 | 1,816 | 2,410 | 8,131 |
| NET INTEREST INCOME AFTER | · | ŕ | • | , |
| PROVISION FOR CREDIT LOSSES | 43,068 | 39,071 | 122,459 | 120,293 |
| OTHER INCOME: | | | | |
| | 2.061 | 4 601 | 11 465 | 12 617 |
| Retail fees and charges | 3,961 548 | 4,681 | 11,465 | 13,617 |
| Insurance commissions Loan fees | 589 | 573 | 2,254 | 1,908 |
| Income from BOLI | 512 | 670 351 | 1,865 | 1,925 842 |
| | | | 1,348 | |
| Gain on securities, net | 490 | 1 470 | 1,629 | 6,454 |
| Other income, net | | 1,479 | • | 2,675 |
| Total other income | 6,100 | 7,754 | 18,561 | 27,421 |
| OTHER EXPENSES: | | | | |
| Salaries and employee benefits | 12,046 | 10,858 | 33,104 | 32,197 |
| Communications, information technology, and | | | | |
| occupancy | 4,168 | 3,703 | 12,021 | 11,499 |
| Federal insurance premium | 1,158 | 1,835 | 4,144 | 5,494 |
| Deposit and loan transaction costs | 1,033 | 1,238 | 3,659 | 3,934 |
| Regulatory and outside services | 1,243 | 927 | 3,571 | 3,369 |
| Advertising and promotional | 1,110 | 1,295 | 2,634 | 4,276 |
| Contribution to Capitol Federal Foundation | | | | |
| ("Foundation") | | | 40,000 | |

Edgar Filing: Capitol Federal Financial Inc - Form 10-Q

| Other expenses, net | 2,344 | 768 | 10,162 | 5,704 |
|----------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Total other expenses | 23,102 | 20,624 | 109,295 | 66,473 |
| INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAX EXPENSE | 26,066 | 26,201 | 31,725 | 81,241 |
| INCOME TAX EXPENSE | 8,807 | 9,443 | 10,088 | 28,848 |
| NET INCOME | \$17,259 | \$16,758 | \$21,637 | \$52,393 |
| | | | | |

(Continued)

| | | Months Ended e 30, | For the Nine Months Ende June 30, | | |
|--|-------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|--|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 | |
| | | | | | |
| Basic earnings per common share | \$0.10 | \$0.10 | \$0.13 | \$0.32 | |
| Diluted earnings per common share | \$0.10 | \$0.10 | \$0.13 | \$0.32 | |
| Dividends declared per public share | \$0.08 | \$0.50 | \$1.55 | \$1.79 | |
| | | | | | |
| Basic weighted average common shares | 161,641,630 | 165,869,158 | 162,908,873 | 165,819,456 | |
| Diluted weighted average common shares | 161 647 914 | 165 922 703 | 162 916 379 | 165 869 015 | |

(Concluded)

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

CAPITOL FEDERAL FINANCIAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

(Unaudited)
(Dollars in thousands)

| | Common Stock | Additional Paid-In Capital | Unear Compens ESOP | | Retained Earnings | AOCI (Loss) | Treasury Stock | Total Stockholders' Equity |
|---|-----------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|----------|----------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|
| Balance at October 1, 2010 Comprehensive | \$ 915 | \$ 457,795 | \$ (6,050) | \$ (255) | \$ 801,044 | \$ 31,862 | \$ (323,361) | \$ 961,950 |
| income: Net income Other comprehensive | | | | | 21,637 | | | 21,637 |
| (loss) income: Changes in unrealized | | | | | | | | |
| gain/losses on securities AFS net of deferred income taxes | , | | | | | | | |
| of \$2,677 Total comprehensive | | | | | | (4,408) | | (4,408) |
| income | | | | | | | | 17,229 |
| ESOP activity, net RRP activity, net Stock based compensation - stock options and | | 2,470 | 2,020 | | | | | 4,490 (4) |
| RRP Stock options | | 89 | | 100 | | | | 189 |
| exercised Dividends on common stock to | | 42 | | | | | | 42 |
| stockholders \$1.55 per share | | | | | (138,004) | | | (138,004) |
| Corporate reorganization: Merger of | | | | | | | | |
| Capitol Federal Savings Bank MHC | (522) | 1,997 | | | (1,223 |) | | 252 |
| Retirement of treasury stock | (175) | (204,199 |) | | (118,987) | | 323,361 | |

| Exchange of | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|----------|--------------|-------------|----------------------|-----------|--------------------|--|
| common stock | 276 | (323) | | | | (47) | |
| Proceeds from | | | | | | | |
| stock offering, net | | | | | | | |
| of offering | | | | | | | |
| expenses | 1,181 | 1,133,993 | | | | 1,135,174 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Purchase of | | | | | | | |
| common stock by | | | | | | | |
| ESOP | | | (47,260) | | | (47,260) | |
| Balance at June | | | | | | | |
| 30, 2011 | \$ 1,675 | \$ 1,391,860 | \$ (51,290) | \$ (155) \$ 564,467 | \$ 27,454 | \$ \$ 1,934,011 | |
| | | | | | | | |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

<Index>

CAPITOL FEDERAL FINANCIAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Unaudited) (Dollars in thousands)

For the Nine Months

Ended June 30, 2011 2010 CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: Net income \$21,637 \$52,393 Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: operating activities: FHLB stock dividends) (2,991 (2,710)Provision for credit losses 2,410 8,131 Originations of loans receivable held-for-sale ("LHFS") (9,611)(32,811)Proceeds from sales of LHFS 11,590 28,505 Amortization and accretion of premiums and discounts on securities 4,449 5,548 Depreciation and amortization of premises and equipment 3,297 3,487 Amortization of deferred amounts related to FHLB advances, net 5,271 4,942 4,490 Common stock committed to be released for allocation - ESOP 5,027 Stock based compensation - stock options and RRP 189 370 Gain on the sale of trading securities received in the loan swap transaction (6,454 Changes in: Prepaid federal insurance premium 3,774 (22,285)Accrued interest receivable (1,131)) 1,062 Other assets, net 1,228 (9,908)Income taxes payable/receivable (296 (2,002)Accounts payable and accrued expenses) (4,249 (5,763)Net cash provided by operating activities 39,923 27,666 CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: Proceeds from sale of trading securities received in the loan swap transaction 199,144 Purchase of AFS securities (480,815) Purchase of HTM securities (1,060,474)(1,775,436)Proceeds from calls, maturities and principal reductions of AFS securities 261,366 462,020 959,066 Proceeds from calls, maturities and principal reductions of HTM securities 247,611 Proceeds from the redemption of capital stock of FHLB 4,941 Purchases of capital stock of FHLB (7,162)Loan originations and purchases, net of principal collected and deferred loan fees (7,705)76,889 Purchases of premises and equipment (8,360 (6,735)) Proceeds from sales of REO 10,060 9,538 Net cash (used in) investing activities (1,044,045)(72,007)

(Continued)

-

| CACH ELONG EDOM EINANGING A CEINHEILE | For the Nin End June 2011 | led |
|--|------------------------------------|----------------------|
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | (120,004.) | (27.004 |
| Dividends paid Deposits, net of withdrawals | (138,004) 189,954 | (37,904) 145,235 |
| Deferred FHLB prepayment penalty | 109,934 | (875) |
| Proceeds from borrowings | 644,062 | 300,000 |
| Repayments of borrowings | | (300,000) |
| Change in advance payments by borrowers for taxes and insurance | (24,017) | |
| Acquisitions of treasury stock | (24,017) | (4,019) |
| Net proceeds from common stock offering | 1,076,411 | (4,01) |
| Stock options exercised | 34 | 178 |
| Excess tax benefits from stock options | 8 | 88 |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 1,100,777 | 79,073 |
| The easi provided by intaneing activities | 1,100,777 | 17,013 |
| NET INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS: | 96,655 | 34,732 |
| Beginning of period | 65,217 | 41,154 |
| End of period | \$161,872 | \$75,886 |
| | ,, - · - | 4 , |
| SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION: | | |
| Income tax payments | \$10,371 | \$30,757 |
| Interest payments | \$131,371 | \$152,855 |
| | | |
| SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF NON-CASH | | |
| INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Note received from ESOP in exchange for common stock | \$47,260 | \$ |
| | | |
| Customer deposit holds related to common stock offering | \$17,690 | \$ |
| Loans transferred to REO | \$11,186 | \$9,014 |
| Swap of loans for trading securities | \$ | \$193,889 |

(Concluded)

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements. \leq Index \geq

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation - In December 2010, Capitol Federal Financial completed its conversion from a mutual holding company form of organization to a stock form of organization ("corporate reorganization"). Capitol Federal Financial, which owned 100% of Capitol Federal Savings Bank (the "Bank"), was succeeded by Capitol Federal Financial, Inc. ("the Company"), a new Maryland corporation. As part of the corporate reorganization, Capitol Federal Savings Bank MHC's ("MHC") ownership interest in Capitol Federal Financial was sold in a public offering. Gross proceeds from the offering were \$1.18 billion and related offering expenses were \$46.7 million, of which \$6.0 million were incurred and deferred in fiscal year 2010. The publicly held shares of Capitol Federal Financial were exchanged for new shares of common stock of the Company. The exchange ratio was 2.2637 and ensured that immediately after the corporate reorganization the public stockholders of Capitol Federal Financial owned the same aggregate percentage of Capitol Federal Financial, Inc. common stock that they owned of Capitol Federal Financial common stock immediately prior to the reorganization. All share information used to calculate earnings per share in the consolidated financial statements prior to the corporate reorganization has been revised to reflect the 2.2637 exchange ratio. In conjunction with the corporate reorganization, the Company contributed \$40.0 million of cash to the Bank's charitable foundation, Capitol Federal Foundation. Additionally, a "liquidation account" has been established for the benefit of certain depositors of the Bank in an amount equal to MHC's ownership interest in the retained earnings of Capitol Federal Financial as of June 30, 2010. Under Office of Thrift Supervision ("OTS") regulations, neither the Company nor the Bank is permitted to pay dividends on its capital stock to its stockholders if stockholders' equity would be reduced below the total of the current amount of the liquidation account at that time. As of July 21, 2011, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (the "OCC") assumed the responsibilities and powers of the Office of Thrift Supervision (the "OTS") with respect to the Bank, and the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the "FRB") assumed the responsibilities and powers of the OTS with respect to the Company. See Note 7 "Subsequent Events."

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiary, the Bank. The Bank has a wholly-owned subsidiary, Capitol Funds, Inc. Capitol Funds, Inc. has a wholly-owned subsidiary, Capitol Federal Mortgage Reinsurance Company. All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. The financial information presented is derived from the consolidated financial statements of the Company after the corporate reorganization in December 2010 and from the consolidated financial statements of Capitol Federal Financial prior to the corporate reorganization.

Capitol Federal Financial's treasury shares were retired in connection with the corporate reorganization. As noted above, the Company is a Maryland corporation. Under Maryland law, there is no concept of "treasury shares." Instead, shares purchased by the Company constitute authorized but unissued shares under Maryland law. There were no treasury shares at June 30, 2011.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements of the Company have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. These statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). Interim results are not necessarily indicative of results for a full year. In preparing the financial statements, management is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Significant estimates include the ACL and fair

value measurements. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Loans Receivable - Loans receivable that management has the intent and ability to hold for the foreseeable future are carried at the amount of unpaid principal, net of the ACL, undisbursed loan funds, unamortized premiums and discounts, and deferred loan origination fees and costs. Net loan origination fees and costs and premiums and discounts are amortized as yield adjustments to interest income using the level-yield method, adjusted for the estimated prepayment speeds of the related loans when applicable. Interest on loans is credited to income as earned and accrued only if deemed collectible.

Existing loan customers, whose loans have not been sold to third parties and who have been current on their contractual loan payments for the previous 12 months, have the opportunity, for a fee, to modify their original loan terms to current loan terms being offered. The fee assessed for modifying the mortgage loan is deferred and amortized over the life of the modified loan using the level-yield method and is reflected as an adjustment to interest income. Each modification is examined on a loan-by-loan basis and if the modification of terms represents more than a minor change to the loan, then the unamortized balance of the pre-modification deferred fees or costs associated with the mortgage loan are recognized in interest income at the time of the modification. If the modification of terms does not represent more than a minor change to the loan, then the unamortized balance of the pre-modification deferred fees or costs continue to be deferred.

A loan is considered delinquent when payment has not been received within 30 days of its contractual due date. The accrual of income on loans is discontinued when interest or principal payments are 90 days in arrears. Loans on which the accrual of income has been discontinued are designated as non-accrual loans and outstanding interest previously credited beyond 90 days delinquent is reversed. A non-accrual loan is returned to accrual status once the contractual payments have been made to bring the loan less than 90 days past due.

A condition in which the Bank grants a concession that it would not otherwise consider to a borrower due to financial difficulties is a troubled debt restructuring ("TDR"). The majority of the Bank's TDRs involve a modification in loan terms such as a temporary reduction in the payment amount requiring only interest and escrow payments (if required) and extending the maturity date of the loan.

A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Bank will be unable to collect all amounts due, including principal and interest, according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Interest income on impaired loans is recognized in the period collected unless the ultimate collection of principal is considered doubtful. Management considers the following loans to be impaired loans: all non-accrual loans, loans classified as substandard, loans with specific valuation allowances ("SVA"), and TDRs that have not been performing under the new terms for 12 consecutive months or are required by the accounting literature to be classified as a TDR for the life of the loan.

Allowance for Credit Losses - The ACL represents management's best estimate of the amount of known and inherent losses in the loan portfolio as of the balance sheet date. Management's methodology for assessing the appropriateness of the ACL consists of a formula analysis for general valuation allowances and the establishment of SVAs for identified problem loans. Management maintains the ACL through provisions for credit losses that are charged to income.

For one- to four-family loans, losses are charged-off when a loan is transferred to REO or if there is a short-sale of the collateral. For consumer home equity loans where the Bank holds the first mortgage, if the loan balance is in excess of the fair value and the loan is in foreclosure, the difference between the loan balances and the fair value is charged-off. Estimated selling costs are also taken into consideration when calculating the amount to charge-off for home equity loans. For consumer home equity loans where the Bank does not hold the first mortgage, foreclosure is pursued or the loan balance is charged-off. Other consumer loans that are unsecured are entirely charged-off once the loan is 120 days past due. For multi-family and commercial loans, the Bank records an SVA when it is determined that the collection of all or a portion of a loan may not be collected and the amount of that loss is reasonably estimated.

The Bank's primary lending emphasis is the origination and purchase of one- to four-family first mortgage loans on residential properties and, to a lesser extent, second mortgage loans on one- to four-family residential properties, resulting in a loan concentration in residential mortgage loans. The Bank has a concentration of loans secured by residential property located in Kansas and Missouri. Based on the composition of the Bank's loan portfolio, the primary risks inherent in the one- to four-family and consumer loan portfolios are the continued weakened economic

conditions, continued high levels of unemployment or underemployment, and a continuing decline in residential real estate values. Any one or a combination of these events may adversely affect borrowers' ability to repay their loans, resulting in increased delinquencies, non-performing assets, loan losses, and future loan loss provisions. Although the multi-family and commercial loan portfolio also shares the risk of continued weakened economic conditions, the primary risks for the portfolio include the ability of the borrower to sustain sufficient cash flows from leases and to control expenses to satisfy their contractual debt payments, or the ability to utilize personal and/or business resources to pay their contractual debt payments if the cash flows are not sufficient. Additionally, if the Bank were to repossess the secured collateral of a multi-family or commercial loan, the pool of potential buyers is limited more than that for a residential property; therefore, the Bank could hold the property for an extended period of time and potentially be forced to sell at a discounted price, resulting in additional losses.

Management considers several quantitative and qualitative factors quarterly while monitoring the credit quality of the loan portfolio and evaluating the adequacy of the ACL. Such factors include the trend and composition of delinquent and non-performing loans, results of foreclosed property and short-sale transactions (historical losses and net charge-offs), the current status and trends of local and national economies, particularly levels of unemployment, trends and current conditions in the residential real estate markets, and loan portfolio growth and concentrations. Since the Bank's loan portfolio is primarily concentrated in one- to four-family real estate, management monitors residential real estate market value trends in the Bank's local market areas and geographic sections of the U.S. by reference to various industry and market reports, economic releases and surveys, and management's general and specific knowledge of the real estate markets in which the Bank lends, in order to determine what impact, if any, such trends may have on the level of ACL. Reviewing these quantitative and qualitative factors assists management in evaluating the overall credit quality of the loan portfolio and the reasonableness of the ACL on an ongoing basis, and whether changes need to be made to the Bank's allowance for credit loss methodology. Management seeks to apply the ACL methodology in a consistent manner; however, the methodology can be modified in response to changing conditions. There were no significant modifications to the formula analysis methodology during the current quarter. The formula analysis for general valuation allowances is updated each quarter. Within the formula analysis, the loan portfolio is segregated into the following categories: one- to four-family loans, multi-family and commercial loans, consumer home equity loans, and other consumer loans. Home equity loans with the same underlying collateral as a one- to four-family loan are combined with the one- to four-family loan in the formula analysis to calculate a combined loan-to-value ("LTV") ratio. Impaired loans are excluded from the formula analysis as they are individually evaluated for SVAs. The one- to four-family loan portfolio and related home equity loans are segregated into additional categories based on the following risk characteristics: originated or purchased from nationwide lender, interest payments (fixed-rate, adjustable-rate, and interest-only), LTV ratios, borrower's credit scores, and certain states where the Bank has experienced measurable losses on REO and short-sales. The additional categories were derived by management based on reviewing the historical performance of the one- to four-family loan portfolio and taking into consideration current economic conditions, such as trends in residential real estate values in certain areas of the U.S. and unemployment rates.

Quantitative loss factors are applied to each loan category in the formula analysis based on the historical loss experience and current SVAs, adjusted for loan delinquency trends, for each respective loan category. Each quarter, management reviews the historical loss time periods and utilizes the historical loss time periods believed to be the most reflective of the current economic conditions and recent charge-off experience for each respective loan category.

Qualitative loss factors are applied to each loan category in the formula analysis. The qualitative factors for the one-to four-family and consumer loan portfolios are: unemployment rate trends, collateral value trends, credit score trends, and delinquent loan trends. The qualitative factors for the multi-family and commercial loan portfolio are: unemployment rate trends, collateral value trends, and delinquent loan trends. As loans are classified as special mention or become 30 to 89 days delinquent, the qualitative loss factors increase based upon delinquent loan trends. As with the additional categories in the formula analysis for one- to four-family loans, the qualitative factors were derived by management based on a review of the historical performance of the respective loan portfolios and consideration of current economic conditions and their likely impact to the loan portfolio.

SVAs are established in connection with individual loan reviews of impaired loans. Since the majority of the Bank's loan portfolio is composed of residential real estate, determining the estimated fair value of the underlying collateral is important in evaluating the amount of SVAs required for impaired one- to four-family loans. If the estimated fair value of the collateral, less estimated costs to sell and anticipated private mortgage insurance ("PMI") proceeds, is less than the current loan balance, an SVA is established for the difference. Once a purchased one- to four-family loan is 90 days delinquent, new collateral values are obtained through automated valuation models ("AVMs") or broker price opinions ("BPOs"). An updated AVM or BPO is then requested approximately every six months while the loan is greater than 90 days delinquent. Due to the relatively stable home values in Kansas and Missouri, new appraisals on originated one- to four- family loans are not obtained until a loan enters foreclosure. For originated one- to

four-family loans and home equity loans that are impaired and the most recent appraisal is more than one year old, management estimates the fair value of the collateral using the most recently published Federal Housing Finance Agency ("FHFA") index. If the Bank holds the first and second mortgage, both loans are combined when evaluating the need for an SVA.

Loans with an outstanding balance of \$1.5 million or more are reviewed annually if secured by property in one of the following categories: multi-family (five or more units) property, unimproved land, other improved commercial property, acquisition and development of land projects, developed building lots, office building, single-use building, or retail building. SVAs are established if necessary, or management may charge-off such losses if deemed appropriate.

Assessing the adequacy of the ACL is inherently subjective. Actual results could differ from estimates as a result of changes in economic or market conditions. Changes in estimates could result in a material change in the ACL. In the opinion of management, the ACL, when taken as a whole, is adequate to absorb estimated losses inherent in the loan portfolio. However, future adjustments may be necessary if loan portfolio performance or economic or market conditions differ substantially from the conditions that existed at the time of the initial determinations.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements - Effective October 1, 2010, the Company adopted new authoritative accounting guidance under Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 860, Transfers of Servicing Assets. The objective of this standard is to improve the relevance, representational faithfulness, and comparability of the information provided in the financial statements related to the transfer of financial assets; the effects of a transfer on the company's financial position, financial performance, and cash flows; and a transferor's continuing involvement in transferred financial assets. The adoption of this standard did not have an impact on the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

Effective October 1, 2010, the Company also adopted new authoritative accounting guidance under ASC 810, Consolidation. The new guidance did not change many of the key principles for determining whether an entity is a variable interest entity consistent with the ASC on "Consolidation", but does amend many important provisions of the existing guidance on "Consolidation." The adoption of this standard did not have an impact on the Company's financial condition, results of operations, or financial statement disclosures.

In July 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2010-20, Disclosures about Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses, which amends ASC 310, Receivables, by requiring more robust and disaggregated disclosures about the credit quality of an entity's financing receivables and its ACL. The objective of enhancing these disclosures is to improve financial statement users' understanding of (1) the nature of an entity's credit risk associated with its financing receivables and (2) the entity's assessment of that risk in estimating its ACL as well as changes in the allowance and the reasons for those changes. The new and amended disclosures that relate to information as of the end of a reporting period were effective for the Company at March 31, 2011. The disclosures that include information for activity that occurs during a reporting period were effective beginning January 1, 2011 for the Company. Since the provisions of ASU 2010-20 are disclosure-related, the Company's adoption of this guidance did not have an impact to its financial condition or results of operations.

In January 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-01, Deferral of the Effective Date of Disclosures About Troubled Debt Restructurings in Update No. 2010-20, which temporarily deferred the effective date in ASU 2010-20 for disclosures about TDRs by creditors until the FASB finalized its project on determining what constitutes a TDR for a creditor. In April 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-02, Receivables (Topic 310): A Creditor's Determination of Whether a Restructuring Is a Troubled Debt Restructuring, which amends the content in ASC 310 related to identifying TDRs and effectively nullifies ASU 2011-01. ASU 2011-02 removes the deferral of the TDR disclosure requirements of ASU 2010-20 for public entities and thus establishes the effective date for those disclosures. ASU 2011-02 is effective for the first interim or annual period beginning on or after June 15, 2011, which is July 1, 2011 for the Company. 2011-02 is to be applied retrospectively to modifications occurring on or after the beginning of the fiscal year of adoption, which is October 1, 2010 for the Company. The adoption of ASU 2011-02 is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company's financial condition, results of operations, or financial statement disclosures.

In April 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-03, Reconsideration of Effective Control for Repurchase Agreements. The primary objective of this ASU is to improve the accounting for repurchase agreements and other agreements that both entitle and obligate a transferor to repurchase or redeem financial assets before their maturity. This ASU eliminates the requirement for entities to consider whether a transferor has the ability to repurchase the financial assets in a repurchase agreement, which may result in more repurchase agreements to be accounted for as secured borrowings rather than as a sale. ASU 2011-03 is effective for the first interim or annual period beginning on or after December

15, 2011, which is January 1, 2012 for the Company, and should be applied prospectively. The Company accounts for its repurchase agreements as secured borrowings; therefore, the adoption of ASU 2011-03 is not expected to have a material impact on its financial condition, results of operations, or financial statement disclosures.

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-04, Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurements and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs. This ASU is a result of joint efforts by the FASB and the International Accounting Standards Board ("IASB") to develop a single, converged fair value framework for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements in accordance with GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRSs). This ASU is largely consistent with existing fair value measurement principles in U.S. GAAP; however, some of the components of this ASU could change how fair value measurement guidance in ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, is applied. This ASU does not require additional fair value measurements and is not intended to establish valuation standards or affect valuation practices outside of financial reporting. ASU 2011-04 is effective during interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011, which is January 1, 2012 for the Company, and should be applied retrospectively. The Company is already disclosing its fair value measurements in compliance with the converged guidance. Additionally, since the applicable provisions of ASU 2011-04 are disclosure-related, the Company's adoption of this guidance is not expected to have an impact to its financial condition or results of operations.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-05, Presentation of Comprehensive Income, which revises how entities present comprehensive income in their financial statements. The ASU requires entities to report components of comprehensive income in either (1) a continuous statement of comprehensive income or (2) two separate but consecutive statements. In a continuous statement of comprehensive income, an entity would be required to present the components of the income statement as presented today, along with the components of other comprehensive income. In the two-statement approach, an entity would be required to present a statement that is consistent with the income statement format used today, along with a second statement, which would immediately follow the income statement, that would include the components of other comprehensive income. The ASU does not change the items that an entity must report in other comprehensive income. ASU 2011-05 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning December 15, 2011, which is October 1, 2012 for the Company, and should be applied retrospectively for all periods presented in the financial statements. The Company has not yet decided which statement format it will adopt.

2. Earnings Per Share

The Company accounts for the shares acquired by its ESOP and the shares awarded pursuant to its RRP in accordance with ASC 260, which requires that unvested RRP awards that contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends be treated as participating securities in the computation of earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method. The two-class method is an earnings allocation that determines earnings per share for each class of common stock and participating security. Shares acquired by the ESOP are not considered in the basic average shares outstanding until the shares are committed for allocation or vested to an employee's individual account. All share information prior to the corporate reorganization in December 2010 has been revised to reflect the 2.2637 exchange ratio.

| | June | Months Ended e 30, | June 30, | | |
|---|-------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------|--|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 | |
| | | usands, except sl | - | | |
| Net income (1) | \$17,259 | \$16,758 | \$21,637 | \$52,393 | |
| | | | | | |
| Average common shares outstanding | 161,385,084 | 165,639,678 | 162,783,841 | 165,704,508 | |
| Average committed ESOP shares | | | | | |
| outstanding | 256,546 | 229,480 | 125,032 | 114,948 | |
| Total basic average common shares | | | | | |
| outstanding | 161,641,630 | 165,869,158 | 162,908,873 | 165,819,456 | |
| | | | | | |
| Effect of dilutive RRP shares | 1,313 | 4,593 | 2,516 | 7,203 | |
| Effect of dilutive stock options | 4,971 | 48,952 | 4,990 | 42,356 | |
| | | | | | |
| Total diluted average common | | | | | |
| shares outstanding | 161,647,914 | 165,922,703 | 162,916,379 | 165,869,015 | |
| , | | | | | |
| Net earnings per share: | | | | | |
| Basic | \$0.10 | \$0.10 | \$0.13 | \$0.32 | |
| Diluted | \$0.10 | \$0.10 | \$0.13 | \$0.32 | |
| | , | , | | | |
| Antidilutive stock options and RRP shares, excluded | | | | | |
| from the diluted average common shares | | | | | |
| outstanding calculation | 901,816 | 230,557 | 895,025 | 496,320 | |

⁽¹⁾ Net income available to participating securities (unvested RRP shares) was inconsequential for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

3. Securities

The following tables reflect the amortized cost, estimated fair value, and gross unrealized gains and losses of AFS and HTM securities at June 30, 2011 and September 30, 2010. The majority of the MBS and investment portfolios are composed of securities issued by U.S. government-sponsored enterprises ("GSEs").

| | June 30, 2011 | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| | | Gross | Gross | Estimated |
| | Amortized | Unrealized | Unrealized | Fair |
| | Cost | Gains | Losses | Value |
| | | (Dollars in | thousands) | |
| AFS: | | | | |
| GSE debentures | \$478,535 | \$661 | \$217 | \$478,979 |
| Municipal bonds | 2,633 | 126 | | 2,759 |
| Trust preferred securities | 3,700 | | 640 | 3,060 |
| MBS | 740,980 | 44,209 | | 785,189 |
| | 1,225,848 | 44,996 | 857 | 1,269,987 |
| HTM: | | | | |
| GSE debentures | 1,077,156 | 3,445 | 929 | 1,079,672 |
| Municipal bonds | 59,207 | 1,984 | 12 | 61,179 |
| MBS | 1,557,356 | 43,018 | 2,209 | 1,598,165 |
| | 2,693,719 | 48,447 | 3,150 | 2,739,016 |
| | \$3,919,567 | \$93,443 | \$4,007 | \$4,009,003 |
| | | | | |
| | | Septembe | r 30, 2010 | |
| | | Gross | Gross | Estimated |
| | Amortized | Unrealized | Unrealized | Fair |
| | Cost | Gains | Losses | Value |
| | | (Dollars in | thousands) | |
| AFS: | | | | |
| GSE debentures | \$50,151 | \$104 | \$ | \$50,255 |
| Municipal bonds | 2,649 | 170 | | 2,819 |
| Trust preferred securities | 3,721 | | 925 | 2,796 |
| MBS | 952,621 | 51,881 | 6 | 1,004,496 |
| | 1,009,142 | 52,155 | 931 | 1,060,366 |
| HTM: | | | | |
| GSE debentures | 1,208,829 | 4,441 | | 1,213,270 |
| Municipal bonds | 67,957 | 2,654 | 1 | 70,610 |
| MBS | 603,368 | 26,209 | 3 | 629,574 |
| | 1,880,154 | 33,304 | 4 | 1,913,454 |
| | \$2,889,296 | \$85,459 | \$935 | \$2,973,820 |

At June 30, 2011 and September 30, 2010, the MBS held within our portfolio were issued by Federal National Mortgage Association ("FNMA"), Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation ("FHLMC"), or Government National Mortgage Association ("GNMA"), with the exception of \$2.1 million and \$2.9 million at those respective dates, which were issued by a private issuer. The following table presents the carrying value of the MBS in our portfolio by issuer at June 30, 2011 and September 30, 2010.

| | June 30, 2011 (Dollars in | September 30, 2010 sands) |
|----------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| FNMA | \$ 1,346,189 | \$ 890,216 |
| FHLMC | 788,891 | 712,253 |
| GNMA | 205,344 | 2,452 |
| Private Issuer | 2,121 | 2,943 |
| | \$ 2,342,545 | \$ 1,607,864 |

The following table presents the taxable and non-taxable components of interest income on investment securities for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010:

| | En | ree Months ded e 30, | For the Nine Months Ended June 30, | | | |
|-------------|----------|----------------------|--|-----------|--|--|
| | 2011 | 2010 (Dollars in | 2011 thousands) | 2010 | | |
| Taxable | \$ 4,639 | \$ 4,031 | \$ 13,176 | \$ 9,243 | | |
| Non-taxable | 464 | 534 | 1,445 | 1,607 | | |
| | \$ 5,103 | \$ 4,565 | \$ 14,621 | \$ 10,850 | | |

The following tables summarize the estimated fair value and gross unrealized losses of those securities on which an unrealized loss at June 30, 2011 and September 30, 2010 was reported and the continuous unrealized loss position for at least 12 months or less than 12 months as of June 30, 2011 and September 30, 2010.

| | June 30, 2011 | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|------------|--|--|
| | | Less Than Equal to or Greater | | | | | | |
| | | 12 Months | | Γ | Than 12 Mont | | | |
| | | Estimated | Unrealized | | Estimated | Unrealized | | |
| | Count | Fair Value | Losses | Count | Fair Value | Losses | | |
| | | | (Dollars in | thousands) | | | | |
| AFS: | | | | | | | | |
| GSE debentures | 3 | \$74,783 | \$217 | | \$ | \$ | | |
| Trust preferred securities | | | | 1 | 3,060 | 640 | | |
| MBS | | | | | | | | |
| | 3 | \$74,783 | \$217 | 1 | \$3,060 | \$640 | | |
| T LUCK A | | | | | | | | |
| HTM: | (| Φ1.CQ 4Q7 | Φ.020 | | ¢. | Ф | | |
| GSE debentures | 6 | \$168,497 | \$929 | | \$ | \$ | | |
| Municipal bonds | | | 2.200 | 1 | 864 | 12 | | |
| MBS | 9 | 227,538 | 2,209 | | | 0.10 | | |
| | 15 | \$396,035 | \$3,138 | 1 | \$864 | \$12 | | |
| | | | September | 20 2010 | | | | |
| | | Less Than | September | | ual to or Gre | otor | | |
| | | 12 Months | | | Than 12 Mont | | | |
| | | Estimated | Unrealized | | Estimated | Unrealized | | |
| | Count | Fair Value | Losses | Count | Fair Value | Losses | | |
| | Count | Tan value | (Dollars in | | Tan value | Losses | | |
| AFS: | | | (Donars III | inousanus) | | | | |
| GSE debentures | | \$ | \$ | | \$ | \$ | | |
| Trust preferred securities | | | | 1 | 2,796 | 925 | | |
| MBS | 4 | 1,678 | 5 | 3 | 359 | 1 | | |
| | 4 | \$1,678 | \$5 | 4 | \$3,155 | \$926 | | |
| | | , ,,,,,, | , - | | , , , , , | | | |
| HTM: | | | | | | | | |
| GSE debentures | | \$ | \$ | | \$ | \$ | | |
| Municipal bonds | | | | 1 | 878 | 1 | | |
| MBS | 1 | 48,392 | 3 | | | | | |
| | 1 | \$48,392 | \$3 | 1 | \$878 | \$1 | | |

On a quarterly basis, management conducts a formal review of securities for the presence of an other-than-temporary impairment. Management assesses whether an other-than-temporary impairment is present when the fair value of a security is less than its amortized cost basis at the balance sheet date. For such securities, other-than-temporary impairment is considered to have occurred if the Company intends to sell the security, if it is more likely than not the Company will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, or if the present value of expected cash flows is not sufficient to recover the entire amortized cost.

The unrealized losses at June 30, 2011 and September 30, 2010 are primarily a result of increases in market yields from the time of purchase. In general, as market yields rise, the fair value of securities will decrease; as market yields fall, the fair value of securities will increase. Management generally views changes in fair value caused by changes in interest rates as temporary; therefore, these securities have not been classified as other-than-temporarily impaired. Additionally, the impairment is also considered temporary because scheduled coupon payments have been made, it is anticipated that the entire principal balance will be collected as scheduled, and management neither intends to sell the securities, nor is it more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the securities before the recovery of the remaining amortized cost amount, which could be at maturity.

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of securities by remaining contractual maturity without consideration for call features or pre-refunding dates as of June 30, 2011 are shown below. Actual maturities of MBS may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers have the right to prepay obligations, generally without penalty. Maturities of MBS depend on the repayment characteristics and experience of the underlying financial instruments.

| | A | FS | H | ГΜ |
|------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | | Estimated | | Estimated |
| | Amortized | Fair | Amortized | Fair |
| | Cost | Value | Cost | Value |
| | | (Dollars in | thousands) | |
| | | | | |
| One year or less | \$282,428 | \$282,661 | \$2,376 | \$2,389 |
| One year through five years | 197,800 | 198,138 | 1,104,950 | 1,108,401 |
| Five years through ten years | 174,623 | 188,512 | 468,340 | 485,297 |
| Ten years and thereafter | 570,997 | 600,676 | 1,118,053 | 1,142,929 |
| | \$1,225,848 | \$1,269,987 | \$2,693,719 | \$2,739,016 |

Issuers of certain investment securities have the right to call and prepay obligations with or without prepayment penalties. As of June 30, 2011, the amortized cost of the securities in our portfolio which are callable or have pre-refunding dates within one year totaled \$1.04 billion.

The following table summarizes the amortized cost and estimated fair value of securities pledged as collateral as of the dates indicated.

| | June 30 | 0, 2011 | Septembe | er 30, 2010 |
|-----------------------|-----------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| | | Estimated | | Estimated |
| | Amortized | Fair | Amortized | Fair |
| | Cost | Value | Cost | Value |
| | | (Dollars in | thousands) | |
| | | | | |
| Repurchase agreements | \$637,523 | \$657,269 | \$671,852 | \$709,919 |
| Retail deposits | 46,910 | 46,315 | | |
| Public unit deposits | 131,504 | 140,957 | 120,241 | 128,621 |
| Federal Reserve Bank | 28,264 | 29,582 | 34,720 | 36,363 |
| | \$844,201 | \$874,123 | \$826,813 | \$874,903 |

During fiscal year 2010, the Bank swapped originated fixed-rate mortgage loans with FHLMC for MBS ("loan swap transaction"). The MBS received in the loan swap transaction were classified as trading securities prior to their subsequent sale by the Bank. Proceeds from the sale of these securities were \$199.1 million, resulting in a gross

realized gain of \$6.5 million. The gain is included in gain on securities, net in the consolidated statements of income for the year ended September 30, 2010. All other dispositions of securities during fiscal year 2010 were the result of principal repayments, maturities, or calls.

4. Loans Receivable and Allowance for Credit Losses

Loans receivable, net at June 30, 2011 and September 30, 2010 is summarized as follows:

| | June 30, 2011 | September 30, 2010 |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| | | thousands) |
| Mortgage loans: | ` | |
| One- to four-family | \$4,931,401 | \$4,915,651 |
| Multi-family and commercial | 61,114 | 66,476 |
| Construction | 45,578 | 33,168 |
| Total real estate loans | 5,038,093 | 5,015,295 |
| | | |
| Consumer loans: | | |
| Home equity | 166,798 | 186,347 |
| Other | 7,100 | 7,671 |
| Total consumer loans | 173,898 | 194,018 |
| | | |
| Total loans receivable | 5,211,991 | 5,209,313 |
| | | |
| Less: | | |
| Undisbursed loan funds | 26,250 | 15,489 |
| Unearned loan fees and deferred costs | 8,039 | 10,730 |
| ACL | 14,856 | 14,892 |
| | \$5,162,846 | \$5,168,202 |

Lending Practices and Underwriting Standards - Originating and purchasing loans secured by one- to four-family residential properties is the Bank's primary business, resulting in a loan concentration in residential first mortgage loans. One- to four-family loans are purchased from a select group of correspondent lenders in our current local market areas in Kansas and Missouri, and also from a select group of correspondent lenders in Oklahoma, Texas, Nebraska, Tennessee, Arkansas, and Alabama. As a result of originating loans in our branches, along with the correspondent lenders in our local markets, the Bank has a concentration of loans secured by real property located in Kansas and Missouri. Additionally, the Bank purchases whole one- to four-family loans in bulk packages from nationwide lenders. The servicing rights for these loans are generally retained by the sellers. The Bank also makes consumer loans, construction loans secured by residential or commercial properties, and real estate loans secured by multi-family dwellings. During the quarter and year-to-date period ended June 30, 2011, the Bank completed a bulk nationwide purchase of \$89.2 million of one- to four-family loans.

One- to four-family loans - One- to four-family loans are underwritten manually or by an automated underwriting system developed by a third party. The system's components closely resemble the Bank's manual underwriting standards which are generally in accordance with FHLMC and FNMA manual underwriting guidelines. The automated underwriting system analyzes the applicant's data, with emphasis on credit history, employment and income history, qualifying ratios reflecting the applicant's ability to repay, asset reserves, and LTV ratio. Full documentation to support the applicant's credit, income, and sufficient funds to cover all applicable fees and reserves at closing is required on all loans. Loans that do not meet the automated underwriting standards are referred to a staff underwriter for manual underwriting. Properties securing one- to four-family loans are appraised by either staff appraisers or fee appraisers, both of which are independent of the loan origination function.

The underwriting standards for loans purchased from correspondent and nationwide lenders are generally similar to the Bank's internal underwriting standards. The underwriting of loans purchased from correspondent lenders is generally performed by the Bank's underwriters and the Bank services these loans. Before committing to purchase a pool of loans from a nationwide lender, the Bank's Chief Lending Officer or Secondary Marketing Manager reviews specific criteria such as loan amount, credit scores, LTV ratios, geographic location, and debt ratios of each loan in the pool. If the specific criteria do not meet the Bank's underwriting standards and compensating factors are not sufficient, then a loan will be removed from the pool. Before the pool is funded, an internal Bank underwriter or a third party reviews at least 25% of the loan files to confirm loan terms, credit scores, debt service ratios, property appraisals, and other underwriting related documentation. The Bank does not currently service the loans purchased from nationwide lenders. For the tables within this footnote, loans purchased from correspondent lenders are included with originated loans, and loans purchased in bulk from nationwide lenders are reported as purchased loans.

The Bank also originates construction-to-permanent loans secured by one- to four-family residential real estate. The majority of the one- to four-family construction loans are secured by property located within the Bank's Kansas City market area. Construction loans are obtained by homeowners who will occupy the property when construction is complete. Construction loans to builders for speculative purposes are not permitted. The application process includes submission of complete plans, specifications, and costs of the project to be constructed. All construction loans are manually underwritten using the Bank's internal underwriting standards. Construction draw requests and the supporting documentation are reviewed and approved by management. The Bank also performs regular documented inspections of the construction project to ensure the funds are being used for the intended purpose and the project is being completed according to the plans and specifications provided.

For a conventional mortgage with an LTV ratio in excess of 80% at the time of origination, PMI is required in order to reduce the Bank's loss exposure to less than 80% of either the appraised value or the purchase price of the property, whichever is less. The Bank will lend up to 97% of the lesser of the appraised value or purchase price for conventional one- to four-family loans, provided PMI is obtained.

Multi-family and commercial loans - The Bank's multi-family and commercial real estate loans are secured primarily by multi-family dwellings and small commercial buildings generally located in the Bank's market areas. These loans are granted based on the income producing potential of the property and the financial strength of the borrower. At the time of origination, LTV ratios on multi-family and commercial real estate loans cannot exceed 80% of the appraised value of the property securing the loans. The net operating income, which is the income derived from the operation of the property less all operating expenses, must be sufficient to cover the payments related to the outstanding debt at the time of origination. The Bank generally requires personal guarantees of the borrowers covering a portion of the debt in addition to the security property as collateral for these loans. Appraisals on properties securing these loans are performed by independent state certified fee appraisers. Bank policy permits a limited amount of construction-to-permanent loans secured by multi-family dwellings and commercial real estate. Currently there are no construction-to-permanent loans in the multi-family and commercial portfolio.

Consumer loans -The Bank offers a variety of secured consumer loans, including home equity loans and lines of credit, home improvement loans, auto loans, and loans secured by savings deposits. The Bank also originates a very limited amount of unsecured loans. The Bank does not originate any consumer loans on an indirect basis, such as contracts purchased from retailers of goods or services which have extended credit to their customers. The majority of the consumer loan portfolio is comprised of home equity lines of credit.

The underwriting standards for consumer loans include a determination of the applicant's payment history on other debts and an assessment of their ability to meet existing obligations and payments on the proposed loan. Although creditworthiness of the applicant is a primary consideration, the underwriting process also includes a comparison of the value of the security in relation to the proposed loan amount.

Credit quality indicators – Based on the Bank's lending emphasis and underwriting standards, management has segmented the loan portfolio into three segments: one- to four-family loans, consumer loans, and multi-family and commercial loans. The one- to four-family and consumer segments are further grouped into classes for purposes of providing disaggregated information about the credit quality of the loan portfolio. The classes are: one- to four-family loans – originated, one- to four-family loans – purchased, consumer loans – home equity, and consumer loans – other. The Bank's primary credit quality indicators for the one- to four-family loan and consumer - home equity loan portfolios are delinquency status, asset classifications in accordance with applicable regulations, LTV ratios and consumer – other loan portfolios are delinquency status and asset classifications in accordance with applicable regulations.

The following table presents the recorded investment of loans, defined as the unpaid loan principal balance (net of unadvanced funds related to loans in process) inclusive of unearned loan fees and deferred costs, of the Company's 30 to 89 day delinquent loans, 90 or more day delinquent loans, total delinquent loans, total current loans, and the total loans receivable balance at June 30, 2011 by class. In the general valuation allowance model, loans in the 30 to 89 day delinquent category are assigned a higher loss factor than corresponding performing loans. Loans 90 or more days delinquent are considered impaired loans and are individually evaluated for impairment. At June 30, 2011, all loans in the 90 or more days delinquent category were on nonaccrual status and represented the entire balance of nonaccrual loans. At June 30, 2011, there were no loans 90 or more days delinquent that were still accruing interest.

| | | | Total | | Total |
|--|------------|------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|
| | | 90 or | | | |
| | 30 to 89 | More | | | |
| | Days | Days | Delinquent | Current | Recorded |
| | Delinquent | Delinquent | Loans | Loans | Investment |
| | | (Do | llars in thous | ands) | |
| One- to four-family loans - originated | \$17,634 | \$12,011 | \$29,645 | \$4,341,407 | \$4,371,052 |
| One- to four-family loans - purchased | 6,174 | 15,735 | 21,909 | 549,759 | 571,668 |
| Multi-family and commercial loans | | | | 61,084 | 61,084 |
| Consumer - home equity | 837 | 322 | 1,159 | 165,639 | 166,798 |
| Consumer - other | 77 | 52 | 129 | 6,971 | 7,100 |
| | \$24,722 | \$28,120 | \$52,842 | \$5,124,860 | \$5,177,702 |

In connection with the filing of the Bank's periodic reports with the OTS and in accordance with the Bank's asset classification policy, management regularly reviews the problem loans in the Bank's portfolio to determine whether any assets require classification in accordance with applicable regulations. Loan classifications, other than pass loans, are defined as follows:

- Special mention These loans are performing loans on which known information about the collateral pledged or the possible credit problems of the borrowers have caused management to have doubts as to the ability of the borrowers to comply with present loan repayment terms and which may result in the future inclusion of such loans in the non-performing loan categories.
- Substandard A loan is considered substandard if it is inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Substandard loans include those characterized by the distinct possibility the Bank will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected.
 - Doubtful Loans classified as doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent as those classified as substandard, with the added characteristic that the weaknesses present make collection or liquidation in full on the basis of currently existing facts and conditions and values highly questionable and improbable.
- Loss Loans classified as loss are considered uncollectible and of such little value that their continuance as loans without the establishment of specific loss allowance is not warranted.

Special mention loans are included with loans 30 to 89 days delinquent in the general valuation allowance model, if the loan is not considered impaired. Loans classified as substandard, doubtful, or loss are considered impaired loans and are individually evaluated for impairment.

The following table sets forth the recorded investment in loans, less SVAs, classified at June 30, 2011 by class. At June 30, 2011, there were no loans classified as doubtful or loss that were not fully reserved. In addition to the classified loans below, at June 30, 2011, the Bank had other assets totaling \$10.9 million, comprised of municipal bonds and a trust preferred security, also classified per its asset classification policy and applicable regulations.

| | Special | |
|----------------------------------|------------|--------------|
| | Mention | Substandard |
| | (Dollars i | n thousands) |
| One- to four-family - originated | \$19,360 | \$ 17,992 |
| One- to four-family - purchased | 801 | 17,337 |
| Multi-family and commercial | 8,172 | |
| Consumer - home equity | 25 | 547 |
| Consumer - other | | 55 |
| | \$28,358 | \$ 35,931 |

The following tables show the LTV and credit score information for originated and purchased one- to four-family loans and originated consumer home equity loans at June 30, 2011. Borrower credit scores are intended to provide an indication as to the likelihood that a borrower will repay their debts. Credit scores were most recently updated in June 2011 and were obtained from a nationally recognized consumer rating agency. The LTV ratios provide an estimate of the extent to which the Bank may incur a loss on any given loan that may go into foreclosure. The LTV ratios were based on the current loan balance and either the lesser of the purchase price or original appraisal, or the most recent bank appraisal, BPO or AVM, if available. In most cases, the most recent appraisal was obtained at the time of origination.

| One- to Four-Family - Originated | Less th | han 660 % | 661 to | 700 % | Credit 701 to | | 751 and a | above | Total | |
|--|-----------|--------------|------------|----------|------------------|----------|-------------|-------|-------------|--------|
| | Recorded | of | Recorded | of | Recorded | % of | Recorded | % of | Recorded | % of |
| LTV ratio | Investmen | t total | Investment | total | Investment | total | Investment | total | Investment | total |
| | | | | | (Dollars in | thousand | ds) | | | |
| Less than | | | | | | | | | | |
| 70% | \$67,494 | 1.5 % | \$94,293 | 2.2% | \$268,376 | 6.2 % | \$999,794 | 22.9% | \$1,429,957 | 32.8 % |
| 70% to 80% | 56,799 | 1.3 | 51,278 | 1.2 | 172,252 | 3.9 | 388,877 | 8.9 | 669,206 | 15.3 |
| More than | | | | | | | | | | |
| 80% | 95,482 | 2.2 | 110,221 | 2.5 | 320,023 | 7.3 | 1,746,163 | 39.9 | 2,271,889 | 51.9 |
| | \$219,775 | 5.0 % | \$255,792 | 5.9% | \$760,651 | 17.4% | \$3,134,834 | 71.7% | \$4,371,052 | 100.0% |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Weighted average LTV | , | | | | | | | | | |
| ratio | 66 | % | | | | | | | | |
| Weighted | | | | | | | | | | |
| average | | | | | | | | | | |
| credit score | 770 | | | | | | | | | |

| Four-Family | , | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|------------|--------|------------|-------|---------------|----------|------------|-------|------------|--------|
| - Purchased | | | | | Credit S | core | | | | |
| | Less tha | ın 660 | 661 to | 700 | 701 to | 750 | 751 and | above | Total | |
| | | | | % | | | | | | |
| | Recorded | % of | Recorded | of | Recorded | % of | Recorded | % of | Recorded | % of |
| LTV ratio | Investment | total | Investment | total | Investment | total | Investment | total | Investment | total |
| | | | | (| Dollars in th | nousands | s) | | | |
| Less than | | | | | | | | | | |
| 70% | \$21,099 | 3.7 % | \$20,864 | 3.7% | \$37,072 | 6.5 % | \$84,265 | 14.8% | \$163,300 | 28.7 % |
| 70% to 80% | 14,775 | 2.6 | 3,197 | 0.6 | 5,970 | 1.0 | 7,575 | 1.3 | 31,517 | 5.5 |
| More than | | | | | | | | | | |
| 80% | 27,150 | 4.7 | 28,812 | 5.0 | 77,252 | 13.5 | 243,637 | 42.6 | 376,851 | 65.8 |
| | \$63,024 | 11.0 % | \$52,873 | 9.3% | \$120,294 | 21.0% | \$335,477 | 58.7% | \$571,668 | 100.0% |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

One- to

Edgar Filing: Capitol Federal Financial Inc - Form 10-Q

| Weighted | | | |
|-------------|----|---|--|
| average LTV | | | |
| ratio | 60 | % | |
| | | | |

Weighted average credit score

734

| Consumer | r | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|----------|------------|-------|-------------|---------|----------------|-------|------------|-------|---|
| - Home | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Equity | | | | | Credit | Score | | | | | |
| • • | Less t | han 660 | 661 to | 700 | 701 to | 750 | 751 and | above | Tota | 1 | |
| | | % | | % | | | | | | | |
| | Recorded | d of | Recorded | of | Recorded | % of | Recorded | % of | Recorded | % of | f |
| LTV ratio | Investmer | nt total | Investment | total | Investment | t total | Investment | total | Investment | total | ĺ |
| | | | | | (Dollars in | thousan | ds) | | | | |
| Less than | | | | | | | , | | | | |
| 70% | \$30 | 0.0 9 | % \$177 | 0.19 | 6 \$75 | 0.0 % | 6 \$721 | 0.4 % | \$1,003 | 0.5 | % |
| 70% to | | | | | | | | | . , | | |
| 80% | 83 | 0.1 | 109 | 0.1 | 209 | 0.1 | 309 | 0.2 | 710 | 0.5 | |
| More | | | | | | | | | | | |
| than 80% | 15,044 | 9.0 | 12,815 | 7.7 | 32,195 | 19.3 | 105,031 | 63.0 | 165,085 | 99.0 | |
| | \$15,157 | | • | | 6 \$32,479 | | \$106,061 | | \$166,798 | 100.0 | |
| | , , | | . , | | | | | | , , | | |
| Weighted | | | | | | | | | | | |
| average | | | | | | | | | | | |
| LTV ratio | 19 | % | | | | | | | | | |
| Weighted | | , , | | | | | | | | | |
| average | | | | | | | | | | | |
| credit | | | | | | | | | | | |
| score | 743 | | | | | | | | | | |

Impaired loans - Impaired loans are defined as non-accrual loans, loans classified as substandard, loans with SVAs, and TDRs that have not yet performed under the restructured terms for 12 consecutive months or are required by the accounting literature to be classified as a TDR for the life of the loan. Substantially all of the impaired loans at June 30, 2011 were secured by residential real estate. Impaired loans related to residential real estate are individually evaluated to ensure that the carrying value of the loan is not in excess of the fair value of the collateral, less estimated selling costs. Fair values of residential real estate are estimated through such methods as current appraisals, AVMs, BPOs, or listing prices. Fair values may be adjusted by management to reflect current economic and market conditions. If the outstanding loan balance is in excess of the estimated fair value determined by management, less estimated costs to sell, then a specific valuation allowance is recorded for the difference. The following is a summary of information pertaining to impaired loans by class at June 30, 2011.

| With no related recorded | Recorded Investment allowance | Unpaid Principal Balance | Related ACL (D | Current Quarter Average Recorded Investment | Fiscal Year-to-Date Average Recorded Investment usands) | Current Quarter Interest Income Recognized | Fiscal Year-to-Date Interest Income Recognized |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|---|---|--|--|
| One- to four-family - originated | \$33,114 | \$33,183 | \$ | \$34,358 | \$ 33,292 | \$ 298 | \$ 855 |
| One- to four-family - purchased | 6,709 | 6,688 | · | 7,287 | 7,708 | 13 | 50 |
| Multi-family and commercial | | 574 | | 577 | 582 | 9 | 27 |
| Consumer - | 312 | 374 | | 311 | 362 | 9 | 21 |
| home equity | 547 | 547 | | 600 | 723 | 5 | 13 |
| Consumer - other | 55 | 55 | | 59 | 56 | | 1 |
| With an allowance recorded | 40,997 | 41,047 | | 42,881 | 42,361 | 325 | 946 |
| One- to four-family - originated | 3,360 | 3,363 | 316 | 2,917 | 2,200 | 22 | 72 |
| One- to four-family - | | | | | | | |
| purchased Multi-family | 14,907 | 14,802 | 3,478 | 13,925 | 14,810 | 38 | 133 |
| and commercial | | | | | | | |
| Consumer - | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 50 | 25 | | |
| home equity Consumer - | 34 | 34 | 34 | 53 | 35 | | |
| other | | | | | | | |
| T-4-1 | 18,301 | 18,199 | 3,828 | 16,895 | 17,045 | 60 | 205 |
| Total | | | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: Capitol Federal Financial Inc - Form 10-Q

| One- to four-family - | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|----------|----------|---------|----------|-----------|--------|----------|
| originated | 36,474 | 36,546 | 316 | 37,275 | 35,492 | 320 | 927 |
| One- to | | | | | | | |
| four-family - | | | | | | | |
| purchased | 21,616 | 21,490 | 3,478 | 21,212 | 22,518 | 51 | 183 |
| Multi-family | | | | | | | |
| and commercial | 572 | 574 | | 577 | 582 | 9 | 27 |
| Consumer - | | | | | | | |
| home equity | 581 | 581 | 34 | 653 | 758 | 5 | 13 |
| Consumer - | | | | | | | |
| other | 55 | 55 | | 59 | 56 | | 1 |
| | \$59,298 | \$59,246 | \$3,828 | \$59,776 | \$ 59,406 | \$ 385 | \$ 1,151 |

Allowance for credit losses - The following is a summary of the activity in the ACL by segment for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2011 and the ending balance of the ACL at June 30, 2011, based on the Company's impairment methodology.

| | One- to Four- Family - Originated | One- to Four- Family - Purchased | One- to Four- Family - Total (Dollars | Multi-family and Commercial in thousands) | | Total | |
|---|--|---|---|--|----------------|-----------------|---|
| Year-to-Date | Φ2.012 | Φ10.4 2 5 | Φ14 22 0 | Φ 275 | ф. 27 0 | \$14.002 | |
| Beginning balance | \$3,813 | \$10,425 | \$14,238 | \$ 275 | \$379 | \$14,892 | |
| Charge-offs | (299) | (2,006 |) (2,305 |) | (141 |) (2,446 |) |
| Recoveries | | | | | | | |
| Provision for credit | | | | | | | |
| losses | 944 | 1,493 | 2,437 | (3 |) (24 |) 2,410 | |
| Ending balance | \$4,458 | \$9,912 | \$14,370 | \$ 272 | \$ 214 | \$14,856 | |
| Ratio of net charge-offs to average loans | | | | | | | |
| outstanding | | | | | | | |
| year-to-date | | | | | | 0.05 | % |
| | | | | | | | |
| Ratio of net charge-offs year-to-date | | | | | | | |
| to average | | | | | | | |
| non-performing assets | | | | | | 6.12 | % |

| | One- to Four- Family - Originated | One- to Four- Family - Purchased | | Multi-family and Commercial in thousands) | Consumer | Total | |
|-----------------------------|--|---|-----------------|--|------------|--------------------|---|
| Quarter | | | 0.10.070 | A. 0. CO | *** | 0.1.2.0.1.1 | |
| Beginning balance | \$4,216 | \$9,037 | \$13,253 | \$ 268 | \$293 | \$13,814 | |
| Charge-offs | (133 |) (26 |) (159 |) | (39 | (198 |) |
| Recoveries | | | | | | | |
| Provision (recovery) for | | | | | | | |
| credit losses | 375 | 901 | 1,276 | 4 | (40 | 1,240 | |
| Ending balance | \$4,458 | \$9,912 | \$14,370 | \$ 272 | \$ 214 | \$14,856 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Ratio of net charge-offs to | | | | | | | |
| average loans | | | | | | | |
| outstanding during the | | | | | | | |
| quarter | | | | | | 0.00 | % |
| | | | | | | | |
| Ratio of net charge-offs | | | | | | | |
| during the quarter | | | | | | | |
| to average | | | | | | | |
| non-performing assets | | | | | | 0.50 | % |
| - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| ACL for loans collectively | | | | | | | |
| evaluated for impairment | \$4,142 | \$6,434 | \$10,576 | \$ 272 | \$ 180 | \$11,028 | |
| ACL for loans individually | | | | | | | |
| evaluated for impairment | \$316 | \$3,478 | \$3,794 | \$ | \$ 34 | \$3,828 | |
| 1 | | | • | | | , | |

The following is a summary of the loan portfolio at June 30, 2011 by loan portfolio segment disaggregated by the Company's impairment method.

| Recorded investment of loans | One- to Four- Family - Originated | One- to Four- Family - Purchased (Do | One- to Four- Family - Total llars in thousa | Multi-family and Commercial ands) | Consumer | Total |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|-----------|-------------|
| collectively evaluated for impairment | \$4,334,578 | \$550,052 | \$4,884,630 | \$ 60,512 | \$173,262 | \$5,118,404 |
| Recorded investment of loans | | | | | | |
| individually evaluated for impairment | 36,474 | 21,616 | 58,090 | 572 | 636 | 59,298 |
| Tot impunition | 20,171 | 21,010 | 20,070 | 5,2 | 020 | 27,270 |
| | \$4,371,052 | \$571,668 | \$4,942,720 | \$ 61,084 | \$173,898 | \$5,177,702 |

As noted above, the Bank has a loan concentration in residential first mortgage loans. Continued declines in residential real estate values could adversely impact the property used as collateral for the Bank's loans. Adverse changes in the economic conditions and increasing unemployment rates may have a negative effect on the ability of the Bank's borrowers to make timely loan payments, which would likely increase delinquencies and have an adverse impact on the Bank's earnings. Further increases in delinquencies will decrease interest income on loans receivable and will likely adversely impact the Bank's loan loss experience, resulting in an increase in the Bank's ACL and provision for credit losses. Although management believes the ACL was at an adequate level to absorb known and inherent losses in the loan portfolio at June 30, 2011, the level of the ACL remains an estimate that is subject to significant judgment and short-term changes. Additions to the ACL may be necessary if future economic and other conditions differ substantially from the current environment.

5. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Fair Value Measurements - ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. ASC 820 applies only to fair value measurements already required or permitted by other accounting standards and does not impose requirements for additional fair value measures. ASC 820 was issued to increase consistency and comparability in reporting fair values.

The Company uses fair value measurements to record fair value adjustments to certain assets and to determine fair value disclosures. The Company did not have any liabilities that were measured at fair value at June 30, 2011 and September 30, 2010. The Company's AFS securities are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. Additionally, from time to time, the Company may be required to record at fair value other assets or liabilities on a non-recurring basis, such as REO, LHFS, and impaired loans. These non-recurring fair value adjustments involve the application of lower-of-cost-or-fair value accounting or write-downs of individual assets.

In accordance with ASC 820, the Company groups its assets at fair value in three levels, based on the markets in which the assets are traded and the reliability of the assumptions used to determine fair value. These levels are:

- Level 1 Valuation is based upon quoted prices for identical instruments traded in active markets.
- Level 2 Valuation is based upon quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active, and model-based valuation techniques for which all significant assumptions are observable in the market.
- Level 3 Valuation is generated from model-based techniques that use significant
 assumptions not observable in the market. These unobservable assumptions reflect the
 Company's own estimates of assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the
 asset or liability. Valuation techniques include the use of option pricing models,
 discounted cash flow models, and similar techniques. The results cannot be determined
 with precision and may not be realized in an actual sale or immediate settlement of the
 asset or liability.

The Company bases its fair values on the price that would be received to sell an asset in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. As required by ASC 820, the Company maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value.

The following is a description of valuation methodologies used for assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis.

AFS Securities - The Company's AFS securities portfolio is carried at estimated fair value, with any unrealized gains and losses, net of taxes, reported as AOCI in stockholders' equity. The Company's major security types based on the nature and risks of the securities are included in the table below. The majority of the securities within the AFS portfolio are issued by U.S. GSEs. The fair values for all AFS securities are based on quoted prices for similar securities. Various modeling techniques are used to determine pricing for the Company's securities, including option pricing and discounted cash flow models. The inputs to these models may include benchmark yields, reported trades, broker/dealer quotes, issuer spreads, benchmark securities, bids, offers and reference data. There is an AFS security in the AFS portfolio that has significant unobservable inputs requiring the independent pricing services to use some

judgment in pricing it. This AFS security is classified as Level 3. All other AFS securities are classified as Level 2.

The following table provides the level of valuation assumption used to determine the carrying value of the Company's assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis, which consists of AFS securities, at June 30, 2011 and September 30, 2010.

| | | | 0 | June 3 | 30, 20 | 011 | | |
|----------------------------|----|-------------------|---------|----------------------------------|--------|-----------------------------------|-----|-------------------|
| | | | F | rices | S | ignificant | Si | gnificant |
| | | | M | Active farkets for entical | O | Other bservable | Uno | bservable |
| | | Carrying Value | A (L | Assets (Level 1) (Dollars in the | | Inputs (Level 2) thousands) | | Inputs evel 3)(1) |
| GSE debentures | \$ | 478,979 | \$ | | \$ | 478,979 | \$ | |
| Municipal bonds | | 2,759 | | | | 2,759 | | |
| Trust preferred securities | | 3,060 | | | | | | 3,060 |
| MBS | | 785,189 | | | | 785,189 | | |
| 1,12,5 | \$ | 1,269,987 | \$ | | \$ | 1,266,927 | \$ | 3,060 |
| | т | -,, | | | 7 | -,, | - | 2,000 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Septemb | er 30 | , 2010 | | |
| | | | _ | uoted Prices | | ignificant | Si | gnificant |
| | | | | Active | 5 | Other | 51 | giiiicant |
| | | | | arkets | 0 | bservable | Unc | bservable |
| | | | | for entical | O | oser vaore | One | observable |
| | | Carrying | | Assets | | Inputs | | Inputs |
| | | Value | | evel 1) | (| Level 2) | | evel 3)(2) |
| | | v aruc | , | Dollars in | | . , | (L(| VCI 3)(2) |
| | | | , | Donais | ii uio | usanus) | | |
| GSE debentures | \$ | 50,255 | \$ | | \$ | 50,255 | \$ | |
| Municipal bonds | Ψ | 2,819 | Ψ. | | 4 | 2,819 | 4 | |
| Trust preferred | | , | | | | , | | |
| securities | | 2,796 | | | | | | 2,796 |
| MBS | | 1,004,496 | | | | 1,004,496 | | |
| | \$ | 1,060,366 | \$ | | \$ | 1,057,570 | \$ | 2,796 |

⁽¹⁾ The Company's Level 3 AFS security has had no activity since September 30, 2010, except for principal repayments and changes in net unrealized losses recognized in other comprehensive income. Principal repayments and decreases in net unrealized losses included in other comprehensive income for the three months ended June 30, 2011 were \$19 thousand and \$289 thousand, respectively. Principal repayments and decreases in net unrealized losses included in other comprehensive income for the nine months ended June 30, 2011 were \$54 thousand and \$284 thousand, respectively.

(2)

The Company's Level 3 AFS security had no activity during fiscal year 2010, except for principal repayments of \$93 thousand and reductions in net unrealized losses recognized in other comprehensive income. Reductions of net unrealized losses included in other comprehensive income for the year ended September 30, 2010 were \$460 thousand.

The following is a description of valuation methodologies used for significant assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis.

Loans Receivable - Loans which meet certain criteria are evaluated individually for impairment. A loan is considered impaired when, based upon current information and events, it is probable the Bank will be unable to collect all amounts due, including principal and interest, according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. The unpaid principal balance of impaired loans at June 30, 2011 and September 30, 2010 was \$59.2 million and \$57.1 million, respectively. Substantially all of the Bank's impaired loans at June 30, 2011 and September 30, 2010 were secured by residential real estate. These impaired loans are individually assessed to ensure that the carrying value of the loan is not in excess of the fair value of the collateral, less estimated selling costs. Fair value is estimated through current appraisals, AVMs, BPOs, or listing prices. Fair values may be adjusted by management to reflect current economic and market conditions and, as such, are classified as Level 3. Based on this evaluation, the Company maintained an ACL of \$3.8 million and \$4.3 million at June 30, 2011 and September 30, 2010, respectively, for such impaired loans.

REO, net - REO represents real estate acquired as a result of foreclosure or by deed in lieu of foreclosure and is carried at lower-of-cost or fair value. Fair value is estimated through current appraisals, AVMs, BPOs, or listing prices. As these properties are actively marketed, estimated fair values may be adjusted by management to reflect current economic and market conditions and, as such, are classified as Level 3. The fair value of REO at June 30, 2011 and September 30, 2010 was \$10.1 million and \$9.9 million, respectively.

The following table provides the level of valuation assumption used to determine the carrying value of the Company's assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis at June 30, 2011 and September 30, 2010.

| | | June | 30, 2011 | |
|----------------|-----------|------------|--------------|--------------|
| | | Quoted | | |
| | | Prices | Significant | Significant |
| | | in Active | Other | - |
| | | Markets | Observable | Unobservable |
| | | for | | |
| | | Identical | | |
| | Carrying | Assets | Inputs | Inputs |
| | Value | (Level 1) | (Level 2) | (Level 3) |
| | | (Dollars i | n thousands) | |
| | | | | |
| Impaired loans | \$ 59,246 | \$ | \$ | \$ 59,246 |
| REO, net | 10,064 | | | 10,064 |
| | \$ 69,310 | \$ | \$ | \$ 69,310 |
| | | | | |
| | | Septemb | er 30, 2010 | |
| | | Quoted | | |
| | | Prices | Significant | Significant |
| | | in Active | Other | |
| | | Markets | Observable | Unobservable |
| | | for | | |
| | | Identical | | |
| | Carrying | Assets | Inputs | Inputs |
| | Value | (Level 1) | (Level 2) | (Level 3) |
| | | (Dollars i | n thousands) | |
| | | | | |
| Impaired loans | \$ 57,118 | \$ | \$ | \$ 57,118 |
| REO, net | 9,920 | | | 9,920 |
| | \$ 67,038 | \$ | \$ | \$ 67,038 |

Fair Value Disclosures - The Company determined estimated fair value amounts using available market information and a selection from a variety of valuation methodologies. However, considerable judgment is required to interpret market data to develop the estimates of fair value. Accordingly, the estimates presented are not necessarily indicative of the amount the Company could realize in a current market exchange. The use of different market assumptions and estimation methodologies may have a material impact on the estimated fair value amounts. The fair value estimates presented herein are based on pertinent information available to management as of June 30, 2011 and September 30, 2010. Although management is not aware of any factors that would significantly affect the estimated fair value amounts, such amounts have not been comprehensively revalued for purposes of these financial statements since those dates.

The estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments as of June 30, 2011 and September 30, 2010 were as follows.

| | June 30 | 0, 2011 | September 30, 2010 | | |
|---------------------------|-----------|-------------|--------------------|-----------|--|
| | | Estimated | - | Estimated | |
| | Carrying | Fair | Carrying | Fair | |
| | Amount | Value | Amount | Value | |
| | | (Dollars in | thousands) | | |
| Assets: | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$161,872 | \$161,872 | \$65,217 | \$65,217 | |
| AFS securities | 1,269,987 | 1,269,987 | 1,060,366 | 1,060,366 | |
| HTM securities | 2,693,719 | 2,739,016 | 1,880,154 | 1,913,454 | |
| Loans receivable | 5,162,846 | 5,436,249 | 5,168,202 | 5,392,550 | |
| BOLI | 56,059 | 56,059 | 54,710 | 54,710 | |
| Capital stock of FHLB | 125,797 | 125,797 | 120,866 | 120,866 | |
| Liabilities: | | | | | |
| Deposits | 4,558,574 | 4,611,865 | 4,386,310 | 4,459,052 | |
| Advances from FHLB | 2,453,642 | 2,611,206 | 2,348,371 | 2,557,064 | |
| Other borrowings | 565,000 | 591,260 | 668,609 | 701,099 | |

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of the financial instruments:

Cash and Cash Equivalents - The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents are considered to approximate their fair value due to the nature of the financial asset.

AFS and HTM Securities - Estimated fair values of securities are based on one of three methods: 1) quoted market prices where available, 2) quoted market prices for similar instruments if quoted market prices are not available, 3) unobservable data that represents the Bank's assumptions about items that market participants would consider in determining fair value where no market data is available. AFS securities are carried at estimated fair value. HTM securities are carried at amortized cost.

Loans Receivable - Fair values are estimated for portfolios with similar financial characteristics. Loans are segregated by type, such as one- to four-family residential mortgages, multi-family residential mortgages, nonresidential, and installment loans. Each loan category is further segmented into fixed- and adjustable interest rate categories. Market pricing sources are used to approximate the estimated fair value of fixed- and adjustable-rate one- to four-family residential mortgages. For all other loan categories, future cash flows are discounted using the LIBOR curve plus a margin at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the same remaining maturity.

BOLI - The carrying value of BOLI is considered to approximate its fair value due to the nature of the financial asset.

Capital Stock of FHLB - The carrying value of FHLB stock equals cost. The fair value is based on redemption at par value.

Deposits - The estimated fair value of demand deposits, savings and money market accounts is the amount payable on demand at the reporting date. The estimated fair value of fixed-maturity certificates of deposit is estimated by discounting the future cash flows using a margin to the LIBOR curve.

Advances from FHLB - The estimated fair value of advances from FHLB is determined by discounting the future cash flows of each advance using a margin to the LIBOR curve.

Other Borrowings - Other borrowings consists of repurchase agreements and Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures (the "Debentures"). The estimated fair value of the repurchase agreements is determined by discounting the future cash flows of each agreement using a margin to the LIBOR curve. The Debentures had a variable rate structure, with the ability to redeem at par; therefore, the carrying value of the Debentures approximated their estimated fair value. The Debentures were redeemed in April 2011.

6. Employee Stock Ownership Plan

The ESOP Trust acquired 4,726,000 shares of common stock in the Company's corporate reorganization, with proceeds from a loan from the Company. The Bank has agreed to make contributions to the ESOP on an annual basis sufficient to enable the ESOP to make the required annual loan payments to the Company on September 30 of each year.

The loan referenced above bears interest at a fixed-rate of 3.25% and has a 30 year term. The first three years of the loan are interest-only, with the first interest payment of \$1.2 million payable on September 30, 2011 and the next two interest payments of \$1.5 million being payable on each of September 30, 2012 and 2013. Beginning in fiscal year 2014, principal and interest payments of \$2.7 million will be payable annually. The loan is secured by the shares of Company stock purchased during the corporate reorganization in December 2010.

As the annual loan payments are made, shares will be released from collateral annually at September 30 and allocated to qualified employees based on the proportion of their qualifying compensation to total qualifying compensation. On September 30, 2011, 74,574 shares will be released from collateral, and on September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2013, 95,540 shares will be released from collateral. As ESOP shares are committed to be released from collateral, the Company records compensation expense. Dividends on unallocated ESOP shares are applied to the ESOP's current year debt service payment. Dividends on unallocated ESOP shares in excess of the debt service payment are recorded as compensation expense and distributed to participants or participants' ESOP accounts.

The loan for the existing ESOP shares acquired in the initial public offering in 1999 bears interest at a fixed-rate of 5.80%, with future principal and interest payable annually in three remaining fixed installments of \$3.0 million, as of June 30, 2011. This loan is also secured by the shares of Company stock originally purchased in the initial public offering. This loan matures on September 30, 2013.

7. Subsequent Events

In preparing these financial statements, management has evaluated events occurring subsequent to June 30, 2011, for potential recognition and disclosure. Subsequent to June 30, 2011, the Bank's primary regulator changed from the OTS to the OCC. The holding company's primary regulator changed from the OTS to the FRB. There have been no material events or transactions which would require adjustments to the consolidated financial statements at June 30, 2011.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The Company and its wholly-owned subsidiary may from time to time make written or oral "forward-looking statements," including statements contained in documents filed or furnished by the Company with the SEC. These forward-looking statements may be included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and the exhibits attached to it, in the Company's reports to stockholders, in the Company's press releases, and in other communications by the Company, which are made in good faith by us pursuant to the "safe harbor" provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

These forward-looking statements include statements about our beliefs, plans, objectives, goals, expectations, anticipations, estimates and intentions, that are subject to significant risks and uncertainties, and are subject to change based on various factors, some of which are beyond our control. The words "may," "could," "should," "would," "believe," "anticipate," "estimate," "expect," "intend," "plan" and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. The following factors, among others, could cause our future results to differ materially from the plans, objectives, goals, expectations, anticipations, estimates and intentions expressed in the forward-looking statements:

- our ability to continue to maintain overhead costs at reasonable levels;
- our ability to continue to originate a significant volume of one- to four-family mortgage loans in our market areas;
 - our ability to acquire funds from or invest funds in wholesale or secondary markets;
- the future earnings and capital levels of the Bank and the continued non-objection by our primary federal banking regulators, to the extent required, to distribute capital from the Bank to the Company, which could affect the ability of the Company to pay dividends in accordance with its dividend policies;
- fluctuations in deposit flows, loan demand, and/or real estate values, as well as unemployment levels, which may adversely affect our business;
- the credit risks of lending and investing activities, including changes in the level and direction of loan delinquencies and write-offs, changes in property values, and changes in estimates of the adequacy of the ACL;
 - results of examinations of the Bank and the Company by their respective primary federal banking regulators, including the possibility that the regulators may, among other things, require us to increase our ACL;
- the strength of the U.S. economy in general and the strength of the local economies in which we conduct operations;
- the effects of, and changes in, trade, monetary and fiscal policies and laws, including interest rate policies of the FRB:
 - the effects of, and changes in, foreign and military policies of the United States government;
 - inflation, interest rate, market and monetary fluctuations;
 - our ability to access cost-effective funding;
- the timely development and acceptance of our new products and services and the perceived overall value of these products and services by users, including the features, pricing and quality compared to competitors' products and services;
 - the willingness of users to substitute competitors' products and services for our products and services;
- our success in gaining regulatory approval of our products and services and branching locations, when required;
- the impact of changes in financial services laws and regulations, including laws concerning taxes, banking, securities and insurance and the impact of other governmental initiatives affecting the financial services industry;
 - implementing business initiatives may be more difficult or expensive than anticipated;
 - technological changes;
 - acquisitions and dispositions;
 - changes in consumer spending and saving habits; and
 - our success at managing the risks involved in our business.

This list of important factors is not all inclusive. We do not undertake to update any forward-looking statement, whether written or oral, that may be made from time to time by or on behalf of the Company or the Bank.

As used in this Form 10-Q, unless we specify otherwise, "the Company," "we," "us," and "our" refer to Capitol Federal Financial, Inc., a Maryland corporation, and its predecessor, Capitol Federal Financial, a United States corporation. "Capitol Federal Savings," and "the Bank," refer to Capitol Federal Savings Bank, a federal savings bank and the wholly-owned subsidiary of Capitol Federal Financial, Inc.

The following discussion and analysis is intended to assist in understanding the financial condition, results of operations, liquidity and capital resources of the Company. It should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes presented in this report. The discussion includes comments relating to the Bank, since the Bank is wholly-owned by the Company and comprises the majority of its assets and is the principal source of income for the Company. This discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with management's discussion and analysis included in the Company's 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC.

Executive Summary

The following summary should be read in conjunction with our Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in its entirety.

In December 2010, Capitol Federal Financial completed its conversion from a mutual holding company form of organization to a stock form of organization. Capitol Federal Financial, which owned 100% of the Bank, was succeeded by Capitol Federal Financial, Inc, a new Maryland corporation. As part of the corporate reorganization, MHC's ownership interest of Capitol Federal Financial was sold in a public stock offering. Capitol Federal Financial, Inc. sold 118,150,000 shares of common stock at \$10.00 per share in the stock offering. The publicly held shares of Capitol Federal Financial were exchanged for new shares of common stock of Capitol Federal Financial, Inc. The exchange ratio was 2.2637 and ensured that immediately after the corporate reorganization the public stockholders of Capitol Federal Financial owned the same aggregate percentage of Capitol Federal Financial, Inc. common stock that they owned of Capitol Federal Financial common stock immediately prior to that time. In lieu of fractional shares, Capitol Federal Financial stockholders were paid in cash. Gross proceeds from the offering were \$1.18 billion and related offering expenses were \$46.7 million, of which \$6.0 million were incurred and deferred in fiscal year 2010. The net proceeds from the stock offering were \$1.13 billion, of which 50%, or \$567.4 million, was contributed to the Bank as a capital contribution, as required by OTS regulations. The other 50%, or \$567.4 million, remained at Capitol Federal Financial, Inc., of which \$40.0 million was contributed to the Bank's charitable foundation, Capitol Federal Foundation, and \$47.3 million was loaned to the ESOP for its purchase of Capitol Federal Financial, Inc. shares in the stock offering. In April 2011, the Company redeemed the outstanding Debentures of \$53.6 million using a portion of the offering proceeds from the corporate reorganization.

On July 21, 2011, the Bank's primary regulator changed from the OTS to the OCC. The holding company's primary regulator changed from the OTS to the FRB. All references to the OTS in this filing on or after that date will refer to the successor regulator, as appropriate. See Note 7 "Subsequent Events."

We have been, and intend to continue to be, a community-oriented financial institution offering a variety of financial services to meet the needs of the communities we serve. We generally attract retail deposits from the general public and invest those funds primarily in permanent loans secured by first mortgages on owner-occupied, one- to four-family residences. To a much lesser extent, we also originate consumer loans, loans secured by first mortgages on non-owner-occupied one- to four-family residences, multi-family and commercial real estate loans, and construction loans. While our primary business is the origination of one- to four-family mortgage loans funded through retail deposits, we also purchase whole one- to four-family mortgage loans from correspondent and nationwide lenders, and invest in certain investment securities and MBS funded through retail deposits, advances from FHLB, and repurchase agreements. The Company is significantly affected by prevailing economic conditions including federal monetary and fiscal policies and federal regulation of financial institutions. Retail deposit balances are influenced by a number of factors including interest rates paid on competing personal investment products, the level of personal income, and the personal rate of savings within our market areas. Lending activities are influenced by the demand for housing and other loans, changing loan underwriting guidelines, as well as interest rate pricing competition from other lending institutions. The primary sources of funds for lending activities include deposits, loan repayments, investment income, borrowings, and funds provided from operations.

The Company's results of operations are primarily dependent on net interest income, which is the difference between the interest earned on loans, MBS, investment securities and cash, and the interest paid on deposits and borrowings. On a weekly basis, management reviews deposit flows, loan demand, cash levels, and changes in several market rates to assess all pricing strategies. We generally price our loan and deposit products based upon an analysis of our competition and changes in market rates. The Bank generally prices its first mortgage loan products based on secondary market and competitor pricing. Generally, deposit pricing is based upon a survey of competitors in the Bank's market areas, and the need to attract funding and retain maturing deposits. The majority of our loans are fixed-rate products with maturities up to 30 years, while the majority of our deposits have maturity or repricing dates of less than two years.

The Federal Open Market Committee of the Federal Reserve (the "FOMC") noted in their June 2011 meeting minutes that the economic recovery was continuing at a moderate pace, although slower than expected, citing more weakness in the job market than the FOMC had previously assumed. The slower pace of recovery is also attributed to factors the FOMC considers temporary, such as higher food and energy prices and inflation. Household spending and business investment continue to expand; however, the housing sector continues to be depressed. The FOMC continued to maintain the target range for federal funds rate at zero to 25 basis points, which has been the range since December 2008. As of June 30, 2011, the Federal Reserve had completed its purchase of \$600 billion of treasury securities as a part of the quantitative easing plan first announced in November 2010. Additionally, the FOMC committed to maintain its existing policy of reinvesting principal payments from securities. These two actions have effectively increased the amount of excess reserves in the banking system, which is intended to reduce long-term interest rates and increase liquidity in an effort to stimulate borrowing and investment. The FOMC has also indicated that other methods of quantitative easing are a possibility, while simultaneously revealing a potential exit strategy from the accommodative monetary policies should the economic outlook and financial developments warrant it.

The historically low interest rate environment during the past two fiscal years and through the first three quarters of fiscal year 2011 has spurred an increased demand for our loan modification program and mortgage refinances. Modification and refinance activity in fiscal year 2011 has already exceeded that of fiscal year 2010, with the majority of the activity occurring in the first quarter of fiscal year 2011 when mortgage rates were lower than current rates. Our loan modification program allows existing loan customers, whose loans have not been sold to third parties and who have been current on their contractual loan payments for the previous 12 months, the opportunity to modify, for a fee, their original loan terms to current loan terms being offered. During the first nine months of fiscal year 2011, the Bank modified \$663.7 million of originated loans, with a weighted average rate decrease of 99 basis points, compared to \$545.1 million for fiscal year 2010 in its entirety, with a weighted average rate decrease of 87 basis points. Additionally, the Bank refinanced \$245.4 million of its customers' loans during the first nine months of fiscal year 2011, compared to \$153.6 million for fiscal year 2010 in its entirety.

Total assets increased \$1.11 billion, from \$8.49 billion at September 30, 2010 to \$9.60 billion at June 30, 2011, due primarily to the proceeds from the corporate reorganization completed in December 2010. The majority of the proceeds from the stock offering were used to purchase securities. Capitol Federal Financial, Inc., at the holding company level, used the net stock offering proceeds to purchase laddered short-term securities in order to provide cash flows that can be used to pay dividends, repurchase stock when allowed by federal banking regulations, reinvest into higher yielding assets if interest rates rise, or pursue other corporate strategies, as deemed appropriate. The yields on these securities are less than the yields on the Bank's current investment portfolio due to the short-term nature of the securities. The net stock offering proceeds received by the Bank were primarily used to purchase securities according to the Bank's current investment strategy. The intent of the Bank's investment portfolio is to create a steady stream of cash flows that can be redeployed into other assets as the Bank grows the loan portfolio, or reinvested into higher yielding assets should interest rates rise. These securities have a lower interest rate risk profile than the Bank's long-term fixed-rate mortgage portfolio and were purchased to help shorten the overall duration of the Bank's total assets.

The principal balance of loans 30 to 89 days delinquent at June 30, 2011 remained relatively unchanged from September 30, 2010, at \$24.7 million for both periods. The principal balance of non-performing loans decreased \$4.0 million from \$32.0 million at September 30, 2010 to \$28.0 million at June 30, 2011. The principal balances of 30 to 89 days delinquent loans and non-performing loans continues to reflect the elevated level of unemployment coupled with the decline in real estate activity and values, particularly in some of the states in which we have purchased loans. Our ratio of non-performing loans to total loans decreased from 0.62% at September 30, 2010 to 0.54% at June 30, 2011. Our ratio of non-performing assets to total assets decreased from 0.49% at September 30, 2010 to 0.40% at June 30, 2011.

Total liabilities increased \$143.3 million from \$7.53 billion at September 30, 2010 to \$7.67 billion at June 30, 2011, due primarily to an increase in deposits of \$172.3 million and an increase in FHLB advances of \$105.3 million, partially offset by a decrease in other borrowings of \$103.6 million. The increase in deposits was primarily in the money market and checking portfolios.

Stockholders' equity increased \$972.1 million, from \$962.0 million at September 30, 2010 to \$1.93 billion at June 30, 2011. The increase was due primarily to the net stock offering proceeds of \$1.13 billion from the corporate reorganization in December 2010 and net income during the fiscal year, partially offset by dividends paid of \$138.0 million.

The Company reported net income of \$17.3 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2011, compared to net income of \$16.8 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2010. The \$501 thousand increase in net income was due primarily to a an increase in net interest income of \$3.4 million and decrease in the provision for credit losses of \$576 thousand, partially offset by a \$2.5 million increase in other expenses and a decrease of \$1.6 million in other income. Net interest income for the quarter ended June 30, 2011 was \$44.3 million compared to \$40.9 million in the same quarter of the prior fiscal year. The \$3.4 million increase in net interest income was primarily a result of a decrease in interest expense of \$6.8 million, partially offset by decrease in interest income of \$3.4 million. The decrease in interest expense was primarily a result of a decrease in deposit interest expense. The decrease in interest income was primarily a result of a decrease of \$6.6 million in interest income on loans receivable, partially offset by a \$2.8 million increase in interest income on mortgage-backed and investment securities. The Bank recorded a provision for credit losses of \$1.2 million during the current quarter primarily due to the increase/establishment of SVAs on purchased loans, compared to a provision for credit losses of \$1.8 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2010.

Net income for the nine months ended June 30, 2011 was \$21.6 million, compared to \$52.4 million for the same period in the prior fiscal year. The \$30.8 million decrease in the current period was due primarily to the \$40.0 million (\$26.0 million, net of income tax benefit) contribution to the Foundation in connection with the corporate reorganization. Additionally, other income decreased \$8.8 million and net interest income decreased \$3.5 million between periods. These decreases were partially offset by an \$18.8 million decrease in income tax expense and a \$5.7 million decrease in provision for credit losses between periods. The decrease in other income was primarily a result of a \$6.5 million gain on the sale of trading MBS in conjunction with a loan swap transaction during the prior fiscal year. Net interest income for the nine months ended June 30, 2011 was \$124.9 million compared to \$128.4 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2010. The \$3.5 million decrease was primarily a result of a decrease of \$23.9 million in interest income on loans receivable, partially offset by a decrease of \$12.1 million in deposit interest expense and a \$5.9 million decrease in FHLB advance interest expense. The majority of the \$5.7 million decrease in the provision is related to the increase in certain loss factors in the general valuation allowance model in the prior year with no similar adjustments in the current fiscal year.

Currently, the Bank has no plans to open any branches in our market areas during fiscal year 2011. We have two branches scheduled to open in fiscal year 2012 in our Kansas City market area. An in-store branch in the Kansas City market area will close in late fiscal year 2011. Management continues to consider expansion opportunities in all of our market areas.

Available Information

Financial and other Company information, including press releases, Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, and all amendments to those reports can be obtained free of charge from our investor relations website, http://ir.capfed.com. SEC filings are available on our website immediately after they are electronically filed with or furnished to the SEC, and are also available on the SEC's website at www.sec.gov.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our most critical accounting policies are the methodologies used to determine the ACL, other-than-temporary declines in the value of securities, and fair value measurements. These policies are important to the presentation of our financial condition and results of operations, involve a high degree of complexity, and require management to make difficult and subjective judgments that may require assumptions or estimates about highly uncertain matters. The use of different judgments, assumptions, and estimates could cause reported results to differ materially. These critical accounting policies and their application are reviewed at least annually by our audit committee. For a full discussion of our critical accounting policies, see Item 7 - "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and

Results of Operations – Critical Accounting Policies" in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010.

Financial Condition

Total assets increased \$1.11 billion, from \$8.49 billion at September 30, 2010 to \$9.60 billion at June 30, 2011, due primarily to stock offering proceeds received from the Company's corporate reorganization in December 2010, which were used to purchase securities.

Total liabilities increased \$143.3 million from \$7.53 billion at September 30, 2010 to \$7.67 billion at June 30, 2011, due primarily to an increase in deposits of \$172.3 million and an increase in FHLB advances of \$105.3 million, partially offset by a decrease in other borrowings of \$103.6 million. The increase in deposits was primarily in the money market and checking portfolios.

| | June 30, 2011 | (Do | March 3 2011 ollars in th | • | Balance and December 31, 2010 ands, except | er | September 30, 2010 share amo | | June 30 2010 | , |
|----------------------------------|------------------|-----|---------------------------------|----|--|----|------------------------------|----|-----------------|----|
| Total assets | \$9,602,457 | | \$9,733,1 | 11 | \$9,798,29 | 94 | \$8,487,13 | 30 | \$8,543,35 | 57 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 161,872 | | 122,002 | 2 | 1,329,86 | 51 | 65,217 | | 75,886 | |
| AFS securities | 1,269,987 | | 1,250,13 | 53 | 923,125 | | 1,060,36 | 66 | 1,163,41 | 16 |
| HTM securities | 2,693,719 |) | 2,953,60 | 61 | 2,119,82 | 26 | 1,880,15 | 54 | 1,660,27 | 71 |
| Loans receivable, net | 5,162,846 |) | 5,096,6 | 15 | 5,121,01 | 18 | 5,168,20 |)2 | 5,316,17 | 72 |
| Capital stock of FHLB | 125,797 | | 122,651 | | 121,768 | | 120,866 | | 136,055 | |
| Deposits | 4,558,574 | | 4,711,13 | 89 | 4,682,10 |)1 | 4,386,31 | 10 | 4,373,84 | 14 |
| Advances from FHLB | 2,453,642 | , | 2,351,80 | 63 | 2,350,12 | 26 | 2,348,37 | 71 | 2,396,63 | 37 |
| Other borrowings | 565,000 | | 643,609 |) | 668,609 | | 668,609 | | 713,609 | |
| Stockholders' equity | 1,934,011 | | 1,926,40 | 09 | 2,018,97 | 73 | 961,950 | | 960,000 | |
| Equity to total assets at end of | | | | | | | | | | |
| period | 20.1 | % | 19.8 | % | 20.6 | % | 11.3 | % | 11.2 | % |
| Bank tangible equity ratio(1) | 14.7 | % | 14.7 | % | 13.9 | % | 9.8 | % | 9.7 | % |
| Book value per share (2) | \$11.95 | | \$11.92 | | \$12.50 | | \$13.11 | | \$13.09 | |

- (1) See tangible equity to GAAP equity reconciliation in "Liquidity and Capital Resources Regulatory Capital."
- (2) Book value per share is calculated using end of period shares outstanding, less unallocated ESOP shares and unvested RRP shares.

Loans Receivable. The loans receivable portfolio decreased \$5.4 million from \$5.17 billion at September 30, 2010 to \$5.16 billion at June 30, 2011. The decrease in the loan portfolio reflects the elevated levels of loan repayments as a result of refinancing activity due to low market interest rates, strong competition for high quality loans, as well as weak loan demand due to the slow economic recovery and increased REO inventory. The balance of our originated, nationwide purchased, and correspondent one- to four-family loan portfolios at June 30, 2011 was \$3.99 billion, \$566.0 million and \$377.8 million, respectively, compared to \$3.95 billion, \$564.9 million and \$397.2 million, respectively, at September 30, 2010. As of June 30, 2011, the average balance of a one- to four-family originated loan was approximately \$120 thousand, the average balance of a one- to four-family purchased nationwide loan was approximately \$260 thousand, and the average balance of a one- to four-family correspondent loan was approximately \$280 thousand.

Loan origination volume, excluding Bank customer refinances of \$245.4 million and loan purchases of \$138.5 million, was \$403.7 million during the first nine months of fiscal year 2011, compared to originations of \$397.2 million, excluding Bank customer refinances of \$114.8 million and loan purchases of \$110.8 million, during the same period in the prior fiscal year. The Bank purchased \$49.3 million of loans from correspondent lenders during the first nine months of fiscal year 2011, compared to \$59.0 million during the same period in the prior fiscal year. The Bank purchased \$89.2 million of one- to four-family loans in a bulk package from nationwide lenders during the first nine months of fiscal year 2011, compared to bulk purchases of \$51.8 million during the first nine months of fiscal year 2010. Management continues its efforts to expand our network of lending relationships related to our nationwide bulk purchase program that would provide mortgage loans in selective geographical locations that adhere to the Bank's underwriting standards.

The Bank has entered into an agreement with a commercial bank, located in one of our market areas, to review commercial real estate loans and municipal leases for participation opportunities. The Bank has not committed to any level with regard to the amount of loans or leases in which it will participate, but will evaluate each potential participation on an individual basis. This is intended to allow the Bank to gradually grow its commercial lending program.

During the nine months ended June 30, 2011, the Bank entered into a correspondent lending relationship with three new lenders in our current local market areas and three new lenders in Midwestern states outside of our current local market area. During the nine months ended June 30, 2011, the Bank expanded the permitted geographic lending area of two existing correspondent lenders. The geographic locations for the mortgage lending volume provided by these correspondent lenders includes our current local market areas of Kansas and Missouri, as well as areas outside of our current local markets, including Oklahoma, Texas, Nebraska, Tennessee, Arkansas, and Alabama. As of June 30, 2011, the Bank had completed due diligence on an additional three correspondent lenders, with the intention of offering those lenders lending agreements. A lending agreement with another correspondent lender is expected to be signed in July 2011. At June 30, 2011, the Bank had 15 correspondent lending relationships.

In connection with entering into lending agreements that would generate loan volume outside of the Bank's current local market areas, the Bank recently executed a subservicing agreement with a reputable subservicer. The subservicer has experience servicing loans in the market areas in which we intend to purchase loans. The subservicer will service the loans according to the Bank's servicing standards, which is intended to allow the Bank greater control over servicing and help maintain a standard of loan performance. It is the Bank's intention for the new subservicer to service bulk loan packages purchased from other lenders, when economically feasible.

Included in the loan portfolio at June 30, 2011 were \$173.3 million of adjustable-rate mortgage ("ARM") loans that were originated as interest-only. Of these interest-only loans, \$138.3 million were purchased from nationwide lenders, primarily during fiscal year 2005. Interest-only ARM loans do not typically require principal payments during their initial term, and have initial interest-only terms of either five or ten years. The \$138.3 million of purchased interest-only ARM loans had a weighted average credit score of 721 and a weighted average LTV ratio of 76% at June 30, 2011. The credit scores were updated in June 2011. The LTV ratios are based on the current loan balance and either the lesser of the purchase price or original appraisal, or the most recent bank appraisal, BPO, or AVM, if available. In order to reduce future credit risk, the Bank has not purchased any interest-only ARM loans since 2006 and discontinued offering the product in its local markets during 2008. At June 30, 2011, \$95.7 million, or 55%, of interest-only loans were still in their interest-only payment term. At June 30, 2011, \$10.8 million, or 38% of non-performing loans, were interest-only ARMs and \$2.2 million was reserved in the ACL for these loans. Of the \$10.8 million non-performing interest-only ARM loans, \$5.4 million, or 50%, were still in the interest-only payment term. Non-performing interest-only ARM loans represented approximately 6% of the total interest-only ARM loan portfolio at June 30, 2011.

Historically, the Bank's underwriting guidelines have provided the Bank with low levels of delinquencies on its loans, and low levels of non-performing assets compared to national levels. Of particular importance is the complete documentation required for each loan the Bank originates and purchases. This allows the Bank to make a well informed credit decision based upon a thorough assessment of the borrower's ability to repay the loan, compared to underwriting methodologies that do not require full documentation.

The following table presents loan origination, refinance and purchase activity for the periods indicated. Loan originations, purchases and refinances are reported together. The fixed-rate one- to four-family loans less than or equal to 15 years have an original maturity at origination of less than or equal to 15 years, while fixed-rate one- to four-family loans greater than 15 years have an original maturity at origination of greater than 15 years. The adjustable-rate one- to four-family loans less than or equal to 36 months have a term to first reset of less than or equal to 36 months at origination and adjustable-rate one- to four-family loans greater than 36 months have a term to first reset of greater than 36 months at origination. Of the \$592.8 million of one- to four-family loan originations and refinances in the table for the nine months ended June 30, 2011, 78% had loan values of \$417 thousand or less and 22% had loan values in excess of \$417 thousand. Of the \$138.5 million of correspondent and nationwide loan purchases, 70% had loan values of \$417 thousand or less and 30% had loan values in excess of \$417 thousand.

| | For the Three Months Ended | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------|------------|-----|----------|---------------|-----------|-----|-------|---|
| | J | June 30, 2 | 011 | | J | une 30, 2 | 010 | | |
| | | | | % of | | | | % of | |
| | Amount | Rate | | Total | Amount | Rate | | Total | |
| Fixed-Rate: | | | | (Dollars | in thousands) | | | | |
| One- to four-family | | | | | | | | | |
| <= 15 years | \$47,006 | 4.39 | % | 17.9 | % \$43,530 | 4.48 | % | 22.8 | % |
| > 15 years | 172,093 | 5.13 | | 65.4 | 95,162 | 5.08 | | 50.0 | |
| Other real estate | | | | | 5,420 | 6.34 | | 2.8 | |
| Home equity | 938 | 6.83 | | 0.4 | 1,130 | 7.12 | | 0.6 | |
| Other consumer | 431 | 7.79 | | 0.2 | 360 | 8.78 | | 0.2 | |
| Total fixed-rate | 220,468 | 4.98 | | 83.9 | 145,602 | 4.97 | | 76.4 | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Adjustable-Rate: | | | | | | | | | |
| One- to four-family | | | | | | | | | |
| <= 36 months | 2,245 | 3.17 | | 0.8 | | | | | |
| > 36 months | 21,965 | 3.55 | | 8.3 | 14,209 | 4.26 | | 7.5 | |
| Other real estate | | | | | 7,713 | 6.05 | | 4.1 | |
| Home equity | 17,738 | 4.82 | | 6.7 | 22,222 | 4.82 | | 11.7 | |
| Other consumer | 887 | 3.79 | | 0.3 | 626 | 4.51 | | 0.3 | |
| Total adjustable-rate | 42,835 | 4.06 | | 16.1 | 44,770 | 4.85 | | 23.6 | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Total originations, | | | | | | | | | |
| refinances and purchases | \$263,303 | 4.83 | % | 100.0 | % \$190,372 | 4.94 | % | 100.0 | % |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Purchased/participation loa | ans included | | | | | | | | |
| above: | | | | | | | | | |
| Fixed-Rate: | | | | | | | | | |
| Correspondent | \$12,840 | 4.69 | % | | \$8,590 | 5.15 | % | | |
| Nationwide | 89,190 | 5.60 | | | | | | | |
| Adjustable-Rate: | | | | | | | | | |
| Correspondent | 5,114 | 3.65 | | | 3,024 | 4.38 | | | |
| Nationwide | | | | | 7,713 | 6.05 | | | |
| Total purchased loans | \$107,144 | 5.40 | % | | \$19,327 | 5.39 | % | | |

| | For the Nine Months Ended | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|------|---|----------|---------------|------------|---|-------|---|
| | June 30, 2011 | | | | | June 30, 2 | | | |
| | | | | % of | | | | % of | |
| | Amount | Rate | | Total | Amount | Rate | | Total | |
| Fixed-Rate: | | | | (Dollars | in thousands) | | | | |
| One- to four-family | | | | | | | | | |
| <= 15 years | \$215,329 | 3.95 | % | 27.4 | % \$139,967 | 4.54 | % | 22.5 | % |
| > 15 years | 420,869 | 4.70 | | 53.4 | 291,739 | 5.09 | | 46.8 | |
| Other real estate | 892 | 6.00 | | 0.1 | 5,420 | 6.34 | | 0.9 | |
| Home equity | 2,389 | 6.84 | | 0.3 | 4,078 | 7.38 | | 0.7 | |
| Other consumer | 957 | 8.08 | | 0.1 | 1,165 | 8.60 | | 0.2 | |
| Total fixed-rate | 640,436 | 4.46 | | 81.3 | 442,369 | 4.96 | | 71.1 | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Adjustable-Rate: | | | | | | | | | |
| One- to four-family | | | | | | | | | |
| <= 36 months | 6,364 | 3.15 | | 0.8 | 38,764 | 3.32 | | 6.2 | |
| > 36 months | 88,753 | 3.52 | | 11.3 | 68,708 | 4.28 | | 11.0 | |
| Other real estate | | | | | 7,713 | 6.05 | | 1.2 | |
| Home equity | 50,346 | 4.80 | | 6.4 | 62,755 | 4.84 | | 10.1 | |
| Other consumer | 1,736 | 3.93 | | 0.2 | 2,435 | 4.64 | | 0.4 | |
| Total adjustable-rate | 147,199 | 3.95 | | 18.7 | 180,375 | 4.35 | | 28.9 | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Total originations, | | | | | | | | | |
| refinances and purchases | \$787,635 | 4.37 | % | 100.0 | % \$622,744 | 4.78 | % | 100.0 | % |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Purchased/participation loa | ans included | | | | | | | | |
| above: | | | | | | | | | |
| Fixed-Rate: | | | | | | | | | |
| Correspondent | \$34,285 | 4.54 | % | | \$38,818 | 5.09 | % | | |
| Nationwide | 89,190 | 5.60 | | | 2,338 | 5.05 | | | |
| Adjustable-Rate: | | | | | | | | | |
| Correspondent | 15,047 | 3.70 | | | 20,215 | 4.45 | | | |
| Nationwide | | | | | 49,463 | 3.88 | | | |
| Total purchased loans | \$138,522 | 5.13 | % | | \$110,834 | 4.43 | % | | |

In an effort to offset the impact of repayments and to retain our customers, the Bank offers existing one- to four-family loan customers whose loans have not been sold to third parties who have been current on their contractual loan payments for the previous 12 months the opportunity, for a fee, to modify their original loan terms to current loan terms being offered. During the nine months ended June 30, 2011, the Bank modified \$663.7 million of loans, with a weighted average rate decrease of 99 basis points. Loan modification activity is not included in the table above because a new loan is not generated at the time of modification.

The Bank generally prices its first mortgage loan products based on secondary market and competitor pricing. During the nine months ended June 30, 2011, the average rate offered on the Bank's 30-year fixed-rate one-to four-family loans, with no points paid by the borrower, was approximately 150 basis points above the average

10-year Treasury rate, while the average rate offered on the Bank's 15-year fixed-rate one- to four-family loans was approximately 80 basis points above the average 10-year Treasury rate.

The following table summarizes our one- to four-family loan commitments for originations, refinances, and purchases for the dates noted. Commitments to originate and refinance one- to four-family loans are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require the payment of a rate lock fee. Some of the commitments are expected to expire without being fully drawn upon; therefore, the amount of total commitments disclosed below does not necessarily represent future cash requirements. The increase in commitments to purchase loans at June 30, 2011 is due in part to our new correspondent relationships.

| | June 30, 2011 (Do | March 31, 2011 ollars in thous | June 30, 2010 ands) |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Originate/refinance fixed-rate | \$65,457 | \$61,859 | \$68,450 |
| Originate/refinance adjustable-rate | 11,302 | 9,506 | 7,755 |
| Purchase fixed-rate | 21,661 | 6,201 | 5,259 |
| Purchase adjustable-rate | 15,817 | 7,791 | 3,819 |
| | \$114,237 | \$85,357 | \$85,283 |

The following table presents annualized prepayment speeds for the quarter ended June 30, 2011 by interest rate tier of our fixed-rate one- to four-family loan portfolio, including our fixed-rate one- to four-family construction loans. Loan refinances are considered a prepayment and are included in the prepayment speeds presented below. The annualized prepayment speeds are presented with and without modifications.

| | Original Term | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---------------|------------------|------------------|---------------------|--------------|---------|-------------|------------------|----|--------------------|---|--|--|--|
| | | | 15 years or less | | | | | | | More than 15 years | | | | |
| | | Prepayment Speed | | | | | | Prepayment Speed | | | | | | |
| | | | _ | - | ıalized) | | | (annualized) | | | | | | |
| Rat | e | Principal | Including | Including Excluding | | | Principal | Includin | ıg | Excluding | 3 | | | |
| Rang | ge | Balance | Modification | ons | Modification | - | Balance | Modifications | | Modifications | | | | |
| ` | | | | | (Dolla | rs in t | thousands) | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | ` | | , | | | | | | | |
| <= | 4.50 % | \$457,562 | 10.30 | % | 6.93 | % | \$747,421 | 4.56 | % | 2.59 | % | | | |
| 4.51 - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.99 | % | 251,232 | 22.25 | | 14.20 | | 390,942 | 10.30 | | 6.84 | | | | |
| 5.00 - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5.50 | % | 215,906 | 22.87 | | 17.87 | | 1,352,262 | 18.79 | | 8.99 | | | | |
| 5.51 - | | • | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5.99 | % | 49,639 | 22.82 | | 19.46 | | 319,577 | 23.30 | | 11.36 | | | | |
| 6.00 - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6.50 | % | 23,777 | 19.32 | | 13.22 | | 254,555 | 25.83 | | 12.95 | | | | |
| 6.51 - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6.99 | % | 6,655 | 6.90 | | 6.90 | | 41,538 | 21.88 | | 10.19 | | | | |
| >= | 7.00 % | 3,580 | 4.63 | | 4.63 | | 32,041 | 11.45 | | 3.25 | | | | |
| T | `otal | \$1,008,351 | 17.00 | % | 12.03 | % | \$3,138,336 | 15.52 | % | 7.78 | % | | | |

We attempt to mitigate the repricing risk of our fixed-rate one- to four-family loan portfolio by the interest rates we offer and through the terms of our modification program. Management closely monitors competitors' rates and also considers interest rate risk and net interest income when setting offered rates. Through our modification program a borrower can modify the rate and/or term of their loan in less time than it takes to process a refinance, and for a cost that is less than a refinance, if they have been current on their payments for the previous 12 months and the loan has not been sold to a third party. At June 30, 2011, the fixed rates offered through our modification program were at least 12 basis points higher than a similar new origination or refinance. This allows the Bank to retain the modified loan and achieve a rate slightly above current market rate.

We manage the reinvestment risk of loan prepayments through our interest rate risk and asset management strategies. In recent periods, principal repayments in excess of loan originations and purchases have been reinvested in shorter-term MBS and investment securities at lower market rates than our loan portfolio, which reduces our interest rate spread. If, however, market rates were to rise, the short-term nature of the securities may allow management the opportunity to reinvest the maturing funds at a higher rate.

The following table summarizes the activity in the loan portfolio for the periods indicated, excluding changes in undisbursed loan funds, unearned loan fees and deferred costs, and ACL. Bank customer refinances are included in "repayments." Purchased loans include flow purchases from correspondent lenders and bulk purchases from nationwide lenders. Loan modification activity is not included in the activity in the following table because a new loan is not generated at the time of modification. The modified balance and rate are, however, included in the ending loan portfolio balance and rate.

| | For the Three Months Ended | | | | | | | |
|---------------|----------------------------|--------------|---|-----------------|-------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| | June 30, 2 | 2011 Rate | March 31, Amount | | | , 2010 Rate | September 2010 Amount | 30, Rate |
| | Amount | Rate | | | thousands) | Rate | Amount | Raic |
| Beginning | * * 4 4 4 0 * 0 | ~ | 4.5.4.62.24. 0 | 4.0= ~ | | - 0 - 0 | | . ~ |
| balance | \$5,144,050 | 4.81 % | \$5,163,319 | 4.87 % | \$5,209,313 | 5.07 % | \$5,361,472 | 5.14 % |
| Originations | | | | | | | | |
| and | | | | | | | | |
| refinances: | 110 420 | 1.55 | 152.070 | 4.22 | 245 251 | 4.00 | 04.040 | 1.61 |
| Fixed | 118,438 | 4.55 | 153,272 | 4.33 | 245,251 | 4.08 | 94,048 | 4.64 |
| Adjustable | 37,721 | 4.12 | 43,434 | 3.91 | 50,997 | 3.93 | 39,170 | 4.33 |
| Purchases: | 102.020 | 7 .40 | 16.460 | 4 47 | 4.077 | 4.20 | 6.050 | 5.05 |
| Fixed | 102,030 | 5.49 | 16,468 | 4.47 | 4,977 | 4.38 | 6,850 | 5.05 |
| Adjustable | 5,114 | 3.65 | 5,979 | 3.57 | 3,954 | 3.96 | 1,417 | 4.40 |
| Repayments | (192,682) | | (233,473) | | (348,545) | | (288,626) | |
| Other (1) | (2,680) | | (4,949) | | (2,628) | | (5,018) | |
| Ending | 4.7.211 001 | 4 = 0 ~ | ** * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * | 404 ~ | | 40= ~ | | - 0 - ~ |
| balance | \$5,211,991 | 4.79 % | \$5,144,050 | 4.81 % | \$5,163,319 | 4.87 % | \$5,209,313 | 5.07 % |
| | F . | 1 NT 1 | | | | | | |
| | | | Months Ended | | | | | |
| | June 30, 2 | | June 30, 2 | | | | | |
| | Amount | Rate | Amount | Rate | | | | |
| | (L | Ollars in | thousands) | | | | | |
| ъ : : | | | | | | | | |
| Beginning | Φ.Σ. 200. 212 | 5.05.64 | Φ.Ε (4.6. 0.5.0) | 5.20 .69 | | | | |
| balance | \$5,209,313 | 5.07 % | \$5,646,950 | 5.29 % |) | | | |
| Originations | | | | | | | | |
| and | | | | | | | | |
| refinances: | 51.C 0.C1 | 1.06 | 401.012 | 4.05 | | | | |
| Fixed | 516,961 | 4.26 | 401,213 | 4.95 | | | | |
| Adjustable | 132,152 | 3.98 | 110,697 | 4.54 | | | | |
| Purchases: | 100 155 | . | | 7 00 | | | | |
| Fixed | 123,475 | 5.31 | 41,156 | 5.08 | | | | |
| Adjustable | 15,047 | 3.70 | 69,678 | 4.05 | | | | |
| Repayments | (774,700) | | (701,200) | | | | | |
| Transfer | | | | | | | | |
| of-loans to | | | | | | | | |
| LHFS, net (2) | (10.055 | | (194,759) | | | | | |
| Other (1) | (10,257) | 4.70 ~ | (12,263) | F 14 ~ | | | | |
| | \$5,211,991 | 4.79 % | \$5,361,472 | 5.14 % |) | | | |

Ending balance

- (1) "Other" consists of transfers to REO, modification fees advanced, and reductions in commitments.
- (2) "Transfer of loans to LHFS, net" in the nine months ended June 30, 2010 includes loans with a principal balance of \$194.8 million related to the loan swap transaction.

The following table presents information concerning the composition of our loan portfolio in dollar amounts and in percentages (before deductions for undisbursed loan funds, unearned loan fees and deferred costs, and the ACL) as of the dates indicated. The weighted average portfolio rate decreased 28 basis points from 5.07% at September 30, 2010 to 4.79% at June 30, 2011, primarily due to modifications, refinances, and ARM loans repricing down. Within the one- to four-family loan portfolio at June 30, 2011, 75% of the loans had a balance at origination of less than \$417 thousand.

| | June 30, 2011 | | | March 31, 2011 | | | September 30, 2010 | | | |
|-----------------|------------------|--------------|---------|----------------|-----------|---------|--------------------|---------|---------|--|
| | | Average % of | | A | Average | | | Average | % of | |
| | Amount | Rate | Total | Amount | Rate | Total | Amount | Rate | Total | |
| | | | | (Dollars i | n thousai | nds) | | | | |
| Real Estate | | | | | | | | | | |
| Loans: | | | | | | | | | | |
| One- to | | | | | | | | | | |
| four-family | \$4,931,401 | 4.75% | 94.6 % | \$4,867,405 | 4.77% | 94.6 % | \$4,915,651 | 5.03% | 94.4 % | |
| Multi-family | | | | | | | | | | |
| and commercial | , | 6.13 | 1.2 | 60,869 | 6.15 | 1.2 | 66,476 | 6.24 | 1.3 | |
| Construction | 45,578 | 4.37 | 0.9 | 39,694 | 4.40 | 0.8 | 33,168 | 4.90 | 0.6 | |
| Total real | 5 000 000 | 4.776 | 06.7 | 4.067.060 | 4.70 | 06.6 | 5.015.005 | 5 0 5 | 06.2 | |
| estate loans | 5,038,093 | 4.76 | 96.7 | 4,967,968 | 4.78 | 96.6 | 5,015,295 | 5.05 | 96.3 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Consumer | | | | | | | | | | |
| Loans: | 166700 | <i>5 5</i> 1 | 2.0 | 160 150 | 5.50 | 2.2 | 106 247 | <i></i> | 2.6 | |
| Home equity | · | 5.51 | 3.2 | 169,150 | 5.52 | 3.3 | 186,347 | 5.55 | 3.6 | |
| Other Total | 7,100 | 5.24 | 0.1 | 6,932 | 5.41 | 0.1 | 7,671 | 5.66 | 0.1 | |
| consumer loans | 172 909 | 5.50 | 2.2 | 176 000 | 5.52 | 3.4 | 104 019 | 5.55 | 3.7 | |
| Total loans | 173,898 | 5.50 | 3.3 | 176,082 | 3.32 | 3.4 | 194,018 | 3.33 | 3.1 | |
| receivable | 5,211,991 | 4.79% | 100.0% | 5,144,050 | 4.81% | 100.0% | 5,209,313 | 5.07% | 100.0% | |
| receivable | 3,211,991 | 4.7970 | 100.0 % | 3,144,030 | 4.01 % | 100.0 % | 3,209,313 | 3.07 % | 100.0 % | |
| Less: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Undisbursed | | | | | | | | | | |
| loan funds | 26,250 | | | 21,004 | | | 15,489 | | | |
| Unearned | 20,230 | | | 21,001 | | | 15,107 | | | |
| loan fees and | | | | | | | | | | |
| deferred costs | 8,039 | | | 12,617 | | | 10,730 | | | |
| ACL | 14,856 | | | 13,814 | | | 14,892 | | | |
| Total loans | , | | | ,- | | | , | | | |
| receivable, net | \$5,162,846 | | | \$5,096,615 | | | \$5,168,202 | | | |

Asset Quality - Loans and REO

The Bank's traditional underwriting guidelines have provided the Bank with generally low delinquencies and low levels of non-performing assets compared to national levels. Of particular importance is the complete and full documentation required for each loan the Bank originates and purchases. This allows the Bank to make an informed credit decision based upon a thorough assessment of the borrower's ability to repay the loan compared to underwriting methodologies that do not require full documentation. See additional discussion regarding underwriting standards in "Lending Practices and Underwriting Standards" in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010. In the following asset quality discussion, loans purchased from correspondent lenders are included with originated loans, and loans purchased from nationwide lenders are reported as purchased loans.

For one- to four-family loans and home equity loans, when a borrower fails to make a loan payment 15 days after the due date, a late charge is assessed and a notice is mailed. Collection personnel review all delinquent loan balances more than 16 days past due. Attempts to contact the borrower occur by personal letter and, if no response is received, by telephone, with the purpose of establishing repayment arrangements for the borrower to bring the loan current. Repayment arrangements must be approved by a designated bank officer. Once a loan becomes 90 days delinquent, a demand letter is issued requiring the loan to be brought current or foreclosure procedures will be implemented. Generally, when a loan becomes 120 days delinquent, and an acceptable repayment plan has not been established, the loan is forwarded to legal counsel to initiate foreclosure. We also monitor whether mortgagors who filed for bankruptcy are meeting their obligation to pay the mortgage debt in accordance with the terms of the bankruptcy petition.

We monitor delinquencies on our purchased loan portfolio with reports we receive from the servicers. We monitor these servicer reports to ensure that the servicer is upholding the terms of the servicing agreement. The reports generally provide total principal and interest due and length of delinquency, and are used to prepare monthly management reports and perform delinquent loan trend analysis. Management also utilizes information from the servicers to monitor property valuations and identify the need to record SVAs. The servicers handle collection efforts per the terms of the servicing agreement.

In April 2011, banking regulators reported enforcement actions against 14 banking organizations to address a pattern of misconduct and negligence in mortgage loan servicing and foreclosure processing. The Bank was not one of the 14 banking organizations noted in the enforcement actions. The banking regulators indicated penalties could be assessed in addition to the corrective actions required. As a result of the enforcement actions, significant modifications in residential mortgage loan servicing and foreclosure processes will be required at the 14 banking organizations. Each organization will be required to submit plans that address certain deficiencies. Additionally, to ensure the corrections are completed, the organizations must retain independent consultants to manage the process or conduct confirming look-back reviews for the years 2009 and 2010. Of the 14 banking organizations, there are three organizations that service loans we purchased from nationwide lenders. During the time period under review, the Bank had 93 loans go into foreclosure with the servicers noted in the enforcement actions. Management cannot currently estimate the cost, if any, to the Bank if deficiencies are detected in any of those loans. The OCC and the GSEs have issued guidance with respect to foreclosure procedures for servicers, effective in 2011. Management is currently reviewing and implementing procedures in response to the guidance.

Delinquent and non-performing loans and REO

The following tables present the Company's 30 to 89 day delinquent loans, non-performing loans, and REO at the dates indicated. Non-performing loans are non-accrual loans that are 90 or more days delinquent or are in the process of foreclosure. At all dates presented, there were no loans 90 or more days delinquent that were still accruing interest. Loans classified as TDRs are not included in delinquent or non-performing loans unless the restructured loans are 30 to 89 days or 90 or more days delinquent. TDR's 30 to 89 days delinquent were \$3.0 million and

non-performing TDR's were \$3.4 million at June 30, 2011. REO includes assets acquired in settlement of loans. Non-performing assets include non-performing loans and REO.

| | | | | Loans I | Delinque | ent for 30 t | o 89 Day | ys | | | |
|-----------------|-------|----------|-------|----------|----------|--------------|----------|-----------|-------|----------|----|
| | | ne 30, | | arch 31, | | ember 31, | • | ember 30, | | ne 30, | |
| | | 2011 | | 2011 | | 2010 | | 2010 | | 2010 | |
| - | Numbe | r Amount | Numbe | erAmount | | | | er Amount | Numbe | r Amoun | ıt |
| _ | | | | (| Dollars | in thousan | ds) | | | | |
| One- to | | | | | | | | | | | |
| four-family: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Originated | 158 | \$17,669 | 141 | \$16,797 | 181 | \$20,009 | 175 | \$17,613 | 154 | \$15,58 | |
| Purchased | 38 | 6,150 | 30 | 5,600 | 35 | 7,573 | 34 | 6,047 | 31 | 6,629 | |
| Multi-family | , | | | | | | | | | | |
| and | | | | | | | | | | | |
| commercial | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Construction | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Consumer | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Loans: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Home | | | | | | | | | | | |
| equity | 36 | 837 | 35 | 456 | 47 | 767 | 50 | 874 | 44 | 806 | |
| Other | 16 | 77 | 12 | 180 | 24 | 313 | 16 | 183 | 17 | 96 | |
| | 248 | \$24,733 | 218 | \$23,033 | 287 | \$28,662 | 275 | \$24,717 | 246 | \$23,112 | 2 |
| 30 to 89 days | | | | | | | | | | | |
| delinquent | | | | | | | | | | | |
| loans | | | | | | | | | | | |
| to total | | | | | | | | | | | |
| loans | | | | | | | | | | | |
| receivable, net | | 0.48 | % | 0.45 | % | 0.56 | % | 0.48 | % | 0.43 | 9 |

| | | ine 30, 2011 | | arch 31, 2011 | | ember 31, 2010 | _ | ember 30, 2010 | | ne 30, 2010 |
|---|-----------|--------------------|------------|--------------------|-----------|--------------------|-------------|--------------------|------------|--------------------|
| N | | | | r Amount | Numbe | | Numbe | | | |
| Non-performing loans: One- to | | | | (- | | | G 5) | | | |
| four-family: | 111 | Ф12 022 | 106 | ф12 000 | 105 | 0.10.040 | 100 | #10 004 | 105 | φ10. 52 0 |
| Originated Purchased | 111 49 | \$12,023 15,637 | 126 49 | \$13,899 15,131 | 125 53 | \$13,248 17,176 | 109 60 | \$12,884 18,375 | 105 73 | \$10,538 22,090 |
| Multi-family | 49 | 13,037 | 49 | 13,131 | 33 | 17,170 | 00 | 10,575 | 13 | 22,090 |
| and commercial | | | | | | | | | | |
| Construction | | | | | | | | | | |
| Consumer | | | | | | | | | | |
| Loans: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Home equity | 24 | 322 | 22 | 428 | 29 | 530 | 31 | 685 | 31 | 516 |
| Other | 5 | 52 | 8 | 59 | 8 | 33 | 6 | 12 | 9 | 36 |
| | 189 | 28,034 | 205 | 29,517 | 215 | 30,987 | 206 | 31,956 | 218 | 33,180 |
| Non-performing as a percentage of total loans | loans | 0.54 | % | 0.58 % | 1 | 0.61 | 77 | 0.62 | % | 0.62 % |
| receivable, net | | 0.54 | 70 | 0.38 % | o | 0.01 | % | 0.62 | //0 | 0.62 % |
| REO: One- to four-family: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Originated (1) | 73 | 6,627 | 73 | 7,161 | 71 | 7,307 | 73 | 6,172 | 59 | 4,738 |
| Purchased | 16 | 3,437 | 19 | 4,176 | 19 | 3,672 | 17 | 3,748 | 11 | 2,412 |
| Multi-family | 10 | 3,737 | 1) | 4,170 | 1) | 3,072 | 1 / | 3,770 | 11 | 2,712 |
| and commercial | | | | | | | | | | |
| Construction | | | | | | | | | | |
| Consumer | | | | | | | | | | |
| Loans: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Home equity | | | | | | | | | | |
| Other | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 89 | 10,064 | 92 | 11,337 | 90 | 10,979 | 90 | 9,920 | 70 | 7,150 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | | | | | | | | | | |
| non-performing assets | 278 | \$38,098 | 297 | \$40,854 | 305 | \$41,966 | 296 | \$41,876 | 288 | \$40,330 |
| Non-performing assets | | | | | | | | | | |
| as a percentage of total assets | | 0.40 | <i>7</i> 0 | 0.42 % | % | 0.43 | <i>1</i> 0 | 0.49 | <i>1</i> 0 | 0.47 % |

(1) Real estate related consumer loans where we also hold the first mortgage are included in the one- to four-family category as the underlying collateral is one- to four-family property.

The following table presents the weighted average percentage of one- to four-family loans, by principal balance, that entered the 30 to 89 days delinquent category during the 12 months ended June 30, 2011 that paid off, returned to non-delinquent status, stayed 30 to 89 days delinquent, or progressed to the non-performing or REO categories.

| | | | 30 to | 89 D | ay Delii | nquen | t Loan ' | I rend | Analysı | S | | |
|-------------------------|--------|-----|---------|------|----------|-------|----------|--------|---------|---|-------|---|
| | | | | | 30 to | 89 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Day | /S | No | n- | | | | |
| | Paid (| Off | Perform | ning | Deling | uent | Perfor | ming | RE | O | Total | |
| Originated | 3.5 | % | 40.9 | % | 37.1 | % | 15.1 | % | 3.4 | % | 100.0 | % |
| Purchased | 0.8 | | 37.8 | | 34.2 | | 26.0 | | 1.2 | | 100.0 | |
| Total portfolio average | 2.8 | % | 40.6 | % | 35.8 | % | 18.0 | % | 2.8 | % | 100.0 | % |

Loans 30 to 89 days delinquent remained relatively unchanged from September 30, 2010 to June 30, 2011, at \$24.7 million for both periods. Non-performing loans decreased \$4.0 million from \$32.0 million at September 30, 2010 to \$28.0 million at June 30, 2011. The \$4.0 million decrease was primarily composed of a \$2.7 million decrease in purchased one- to four-family loans. The decrease in purchased one- to four-family loans was due to the loans moving to REO, short sales, loans paying off, and fewer loans moving into the non-performing loan category. Our local market areas did not feel the impact of the negative economic conditions felt by a large portion of the U.S. until recently, thereby resulting in a lag in delinquencies on our originated loan portfolio compared to our purchased loan portfolio.

For LTV and credit score information for the Bank's one- to four-family loan portfolio, see "Note 4 – Loans Receivable and Allowance for Credit Losses."

The following table presents the calendar year of origination for originated and purchased one- to four-family loans, excluding construction loans, and the origination year for non-performing originated and purchased one- to four-family loans at June 30, 2011. The origination date for modified loans is based on when the loan was originated, not the modification date.

| | | | | | | Total |
|----------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|---------------|----------------|--------------|
| Origination | | | | Originated | Purchased | Non- |
| Calendar | Originated | Purchased | | Non-Performin | Non-Performing | ngPerforming |
| | | | Total | | | |
| Year | Loans | Loans | Loans | Loans | Loans | Loans |
| | | | (Dollars | in thousands) | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 2002 and prior | \$536,841 | \$12,086 | \$548,927 | \$ 2,734 | \$ 329 | \$3,063 |
| 2003 | 304,694 | 18,434 | 323,128 | 1,932 | 372 | 2,304 |
| 2004 | 234,963 | 161,193 | 396,156 | 1,988 | 6,457 | 8,445 |
| 2005 | 304,490 | 176,051 | 480,541 | 1,827 | 7,966 | 9,793 |
| 2006 | 325,282 | 27,893 | 353,175 | 1,866 | 483 | 2,349 |
| 2007 | 443,435 | 16,103 | 459,538 | 1,246 | 8 | 1,254 |
| 2008 | 493,557 | 79,608 | 573,165 | 273 | | 273 |
| 2009 | 778,104 | 69,748 | 847,852 | 157 | | 157 |
| 2010 | 619,652 | 5,044 | 624,696 | | 22 | 22 |
| 2011 | 324,223 | | 324,223 | | | |
| | \$4,365,241 | \$566,160 | \$4,931,401 | \$ 12,023 | \$ 15,637 | \$27,660 |

The following table presents the top 12 states where the properties securing our one- to four-family loans, excluding construction loans, are located and the corresponding balance of 30 to 89 day delinquent loans, non-performing loans and the weighted average LTV ratios for non-performing loans at June 30, 2011. The LTV ratios were based on the current loan balance and either the lesser of the purchase price or original appraisal or the most recent bank appraisal, BPO or AVM, if available. As a result of updated estimated fair values, the LTV of various non-performing loans are now in excess of 100%, as reflected in the table below. We have recorded SVAs on these loans, after taking into consideration potential PMI proceeds.

| | | | Loans | 30 to 89 | | | | | |
|--------------|-------------|-----------|------------|-------------|------------|-----------|------|-------|----|
| | One- to Fou | ır-Family | Days De | elinquent | Non- | Performin | g Lo | oans | |
| | | % of | | % of | | % of | | Avera | ge |
| State | Balance | Total | Balance | Total | Balance | Total | | LTV | ′ |
| | | | (Doll | ars in thou | usands) | | | | |
| Kansas | \$3,650,297 | 73.9 | % \$14,193 | 59.5 | % \$10,302 | 37.3 | % | 78 | % |
| Missouri | 734,146 | 14.9 | 4,065 | 17.1 | 1,906 | 6.9 | | 93 | |
| Nebraska | 68,466 | 1.4 | 231 | 1.0 | | | | | |
| Illinois | 54,460 | 1.1 | | | 1,712 | 6.2 | | 99 | |
| Florida | 38,048 | 0.8 | 309 | 1.3 | 4,083 | 14.8 | | 112 | |
| New York | 32,424 | 0.7 | 115 | 0.5 | 992 | 3.6 | | 105 | |
| Texas | 31,561 | 0.6 | 333 | 1.4 | | | | | |
| Minnesota | 29,812 | 0.6 | 383 | 1.6 | 95 | 0.3 | | 57 | |
| Colorado | 25,258 | 0.5 | 357 | 1.5 | 204 | 0.7 | | 85 | |
| Arizona | 25,070 | 0.5 | 217 | 0.9 | 3,208 | 11.6 | | 135 | |
| Virginia | 23,013 | 0.5 | 473 | 2.0 | 580 | 2.1 | | 93 | |
| Connecticut | 22,452 | 0.5 | | | 145 | 0.5 | | 88 | |
| Other states | 196,394 | 4.0 | 3,143 | 13.2 | 4,433 | 16.0 | | 109 | |
| | \$4,931,401 | 100.0 | % \$23,819 | 100.0 | % \$27,660 | 100.0 | % | 98 | % |

Impaired loans

A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Bank will be unable to collect all amounts due, including principal and interest, according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Management considers the following loans to be impaired loans: all non-accrual loans, loans classified as substandard or doubtful, loans with SVAs, and TDRs that have not been performing under the new terms for 12 consecutive months or are required by the accounting literature to be classified as a TDR for the life of the loan. Impaired loans totaled \$59.2 million at June 30, 2011, of which \$32.7 million were classified as TDRs.

Allowance for credit losses and provision for credit losses

Management maintains an ACL to absorb known and inherent losses in the loan portfolio based on ongoing quarterly assessments of the loan portfolio. Our allowance for credit loss methodology considers a number of quantitative and qualitative factors, including the trend and composition of our delinquent and non-performing loans, results of foreclosed property transactions, and the status and trends of the local and national economies and housing markets. Our local market areas did not experience significant fluctuations in home values over the past 10 years as did other areas of the U.S. so the loss per property in our originated portfolio has generally not been as large as the loss per property in our purchased loan portfolio.

The ACL is maintained through provisions for credit losses which are charged to income. The provision for credit losses is established after considering the results of management's quarterly assessment of the ACL. For the first nine

months of fiscal year 2011, the Company recorded a provision for credit losses of \$2.4 million, primarily related to establishing or increasing SVAs on purchased loans, and also to a decline in the current FHFA home price index, primarily in Kansas and Missouri. See Item 7 - "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Critical Accounting Policies" in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010 and "Note 1 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies" for a full discussion of our ACL methodology. For additional information regarding our ACL activity during fiscal year 2011, see "Note 4 – Loans Receivable and Allowance for Credit Losses."

The following table presents the Company's allocation of the ACL to each respective loan category at June 30, 2011 and September 30, 2010. At June 30, 2011 and September 30, 2010, our ratio of ACL to non-performing loans was 53.0% and 46.6%, respectively, and our ratio of ACL to loans receivable, net was 0.29% for both periods.

| | | A | At | | | 1 | A t | |
|------------------|-----------|--------|-------------|-------------|--------------|----------|-------------|-------------|
| | | June 3 | 0, 2011 | | | Septembe | er 30, 2010 | |
| | | % of | | | | % of | | |
| | | ACL | | | | ACL | | |
| | | to | | | | to | | |
| | Amount | | | % of | Amount | | | % of |
| | of | Total | Total | Loans to | of | Total | Total | Loans to |
| | | | | Total | | | | Total |
| | ACL | ACL | Loans | Loans | ACL | ACL | Loans | Loans |
| | | | | | n thousands) | | | |
| One- to | | | | ` | , | | | |
| four-family: | | | | | | | | |
| Originated | \$4,444 | 30.0 % | \$4,365,241 | 83.7 | % \$3,801 | 25.5 % | \$4,350,772 | 83.5 % |
| Purchased | 9,912 | 66.7 | 566,160 | 10.9 | 10,425 | 70.0 | 564,879 | 10.8 |
| Multi-family and | | | | | | | | |
| commercial | 272 | 1.8 | 61,114 | 1.2 | 275 | 1.9 | 66,476 | 1.3 |
| Construction | 14 | 0.1 | 45,578 | 0.9 | 12 | 0.1 | 33,168 | 0.6 |
| Consumer: | | | | | | | | |
| Home equity | 178 | 1.2 | 166,798 | 3.2 | 319 | 2.1 | 186,347 | 3.6 |
| Other | | | | | | | | |
| consumer | 36 | 0.2 | 7,100 | 0.1 | 60 | 0.4 | 7,671 | 0.2 |
| | \$ 14,856 | 100.0% | \$5,211,991 | 100.0% | \$ 14,892 | 100.0% | \$5,209,313 | 100.0% |

Securities. The following table presents the distribution of our MBS and investment securities portfolios, at amortized cost, at the dates indicated. Overall, fixed-rate securities comprised 77% of these portfolios at June 30, 2011. The weighted average life ("WAL") is the estimated remaining maturity after projected prepayment speeds and projected call option assumptions have been applied. The increase in the WAL between September 30, 2010 and June 30, 2011 was due primarily to the increase in interest rates between time periods. This increase in interest rates resulted in a reduction in the prepayment expectations for the MBS and fewer callable bonds expected to be called. The decrease in the yield between September 30, 2010 and June 30, 2011 was primarily a result of the purchase of securities with yields lower than that of the portfolios, and repayments of MBS with yields higher than that of the existing portfolio. Yields on tax-exempt securities are not calculated on a taxable equivalent basis.

| | June 3 | 30, 2011 | | Septemb | er 30, 20 | 10 | June : | 30, 2010 | |
|-----------------|--------------|----------|--------|--------------|-----------|--------|--------------|----------|--------|
| | Balance | WAL | Yield | Balance | WAL | Yield | Balance | WAL | Yield |
| | | | | (Dollars i | n thousan | ids) | | | |
| Fixed-rate | | | | | | | | | |
| securities: | * | | | * o.co = o.o | - 0- | | . | | . =0 |
| MBS | \$ 1,397,399 | 4.38 | 3.68 % | \$ 860,798 | 3.02 | 4.47 % | \$ 798,037 | 3.11 | 4.79 % |
| GSE | | 0.00 | 4.00 | 4.000.000 | 0.64 | 4.20 | 1 127 010 | o | 4.70 |
| debentures | 1,555,691 | 0.92 | 1.09 | 1,258,980 | 0.64 | 1.39 | 1,125,910 | 0.57 | 1.58 |
| Municipal | (1.040 | 2.46 | 2.07 | 70.605 | 2.76 | 2.05 | 72.550 | 2.02 | 2.05 |
| bonds Total | 61,840 | 2.46 | 2.97 | 70,605 | 2.76 | 2.95 | 73,552 | 3.02 | 2.95 |
| fixed-rate | | | | | | | | | |
| securities | 3,014,930 | 2.55 | 2.33 | 2,190,383 | 1.64 | 2.64 | 1,997,499 | 1.67 | 2.92 |
| securities | 3,014,930 | 2.33 | 2.33 | 2,190,363 | 1.04 | 2.04 | 1,997,499 | 1.07 | 2.92 |
| Adjustable-rate | | | | | | | | | |
| securities: | | | | | | | | | |
| MBS | 900,937 | 6.18 | 2.98 | 695,192 | 4.26 | 3.43 | 763,878 | 4.92 | 3.47 |
| Trust preferred | , | | | , . | | | , | | |
| securities | 3,700 | 25.98 | 1.50 | 3,721 | 26.73 | 1.96 | 3,735 | 26.98 | 2.20 |
| Total | | | | | | | | | |
| adjustable-rate | | | | | | | | | |
| securities | 904,637 | 6.28 | 2.97 | 698,913 | 4.41 | 3.42 | 767,613 | 5.06 | 3.46 |
| Total | | | | | | | | | |
| investment | | | | | | | | | |
| portfolio, at | | | | | | | | | |
| amortized cost | \$ 3,919,567 | 3.41 | 2.48 % | \$ 2,889,296 | 2.31 | 2.83 % | \$ 2,765,112 | 2.61 | 3.07 % |

During the nine months ended June 30, 2011, Capitol Federal Financial, Inc., at the holding company level, purchased \$405.8 million of securities with a WAL of 1.13 years and a yield of 0.42% at the time of purchase. All of the securities were classified as AFS. The securities have laddered maturities in order to provide cash flows that can be used to pay dividends, repurchase stock when allowed by federal banking regulations, reinvest into higher yielding assets if interest rates rise, or pursue other corporate strategies, as deemed appropriate. The yields on these securities are less than the yields on the Bank's current investment portfolio due to the short-term nature of the securities.

Mortgage-Backed Securities. The MBS portfolio, which primarily consists of securities issued by U.S. GSEs, increased \$734.7 million from \$1.61 billion at September 30, 2010 to \$2.34 billion at June 30, 2011. At June 30, 2011, the MBS held within our portfolio were issued by FHLMC, FNMA and GNMA, with the exception of \$2.1 million, which were issued by a private issuer. Unlike MBS issued by GSEs, the principal and interest payments of

privately issued MBS are not guaranteed, although we generally receive a higher interest rate as compensation for the relative increase in credit risk. Should the underlying mortgages in a privately issued MBS security default on their mortgage payment above the level of credit enhancement, losses could be realized.

The following table provides a summary of the activity in our portfolio of MBS for the periods presented. The yields and WAL for purchases are presented as recorded at the time of purchase. The yields for the beginning balances are as of the last day of the period previous to the period presented and the yield for the ending balances are as of the last day of the period presented and are generally derived from recent prepayment activity on the securities in the portfolio as of the dates presented. The weighted average yield of the MBS portfolio decreased from September 30, 2010 to June 30, 2011 as a result of purchases of securities with yields lower than the existing portfolio, the maturity and repayment of securities with higher yields than the overall portfolio, and adjustable-rate MBS resetting to lower coupons due to a decline in related indices, which are generally based upon short-term interest rates. The beginning and ending WAL is the estimated remaining maturity after projected prepayment speeds have been applied. The increase in the WAL at June 30, 2011 compared to September 30, 2010 was due to the increase in long-term interest rates at the end of the quarter which resulted in a reduction in prepayment expectations, thereby lengthening the WAL of the related securities. The increase in the WAL was also due to purchases since September 30, 2010 with a WAL of 4.6 years.

For the Three Months Ended

| | June | 30, 2011 | | March | 31, 2011 | Į | Decembe | er 31, 20 | 10 | Septem ¹ | ber 30, 20 |)10 |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|----------|----------|--------------------|------------|------|-------------------|-----------|------|---------------------|------------|-----|
| | Amount | Yield | WAL | Amount | Yield (Dol | | Amount thousands) | Yield | WAL | Amount | Yield | WA |
| ginning balance - rrying value | \$2,355,899 | 3.44% | 4.45 | \$1,695,294 | | | \$1,607,864 | 4.00% | 3.58 | \$1,620,623 | 3 4.15% | 3.9 |
| aturities and payments | (105,142 |) | | (121,283) |) | | (123,577) | ı | | (131,861 |) | |
| t amortization of emiums/(discounts) | (781 |) | | (689) | , | | (632) | | | (457 |) | |
| rchases: ixed | 92,010 | 2.88 | 4.39 | 501,748 | 3.17 | 4.64 | 168,300 | 2.16 | 3.74 | 126,394 | 2.54 | 3.7 |
| djustable | | | | 282,957 | 2.59 | 4.98 | | 2.24 | 5.08 | | | |
| ange in valuation AFS securities | 559 | | | (2,128) | | | (6,097) | | | (6,835 |) | |
| ding balance - | | | | | | | | | | , | | |
| rrying value | \$2,342,545 | 3.41% | 5.09 | \$2,355,899 | 3.44% | 4.45 | \$1,695,294 | 3.73% | 4.21 | \$1,607,864 | 4.00% | 3.5 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | _ | | Nine I | Months Ended | | | | | | | | |
| | | 30, 2011 | | | 30, 2010 | | | | | | | |
| | Amount | Yield | | | Yield | WAL | | | | | | |
| | | (Do | llars ın | thousands) | | | | | | | | |
| ginning balance - rrying value | \$1,607,864 | 4.00% | 3.58 | \$1,992,467 | 4.42% | 4.67 | | | | | | |
| aturities and | Ŧ ,-· , | | | 7 | | | | | | | | |
| payments | (350,002 |) | | (379,447) | | | | | | | | |
| le of securities, net | | | | /40 2 500 \ | | | | | | | | |
| gains | | | | (192,690) | 1 | | | | | | | |
| t amortization of emiums/(discounts) | (2,102 |) | | (1,284) | | | | | | | | |
| rchases: | (2,102 |) | | (1,20 | | | | | | | | |
| ixed | 762,058 | 2.91 | 4.41 | 5,032 | 4.13 | 7.32 | | | | | | |
| djustable | 332,393 | 2.54 | 4.99 | | | | | | | | | |
| nortized cost of | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| curities received | | | | 192,690 | | | | | | | | |
| i | | | | , | | | | | | | | |

n loan swap

ange in valuation
AFS securities (7,666) 3,855

ding balance -

rrying value \$2,342,545 3.41% 5.09 \$1,620,623 4.15% 3.99

The following table presents the annualized prepayment speeds for the quarter ended June 30, 2011, which represents prepayments during April, May, and June of 2011, and net premiums/discounts by interest rate tier on our fixed-rate MBS portfolio, at amortized cost, based on the underlying weighted average loan rate. Our fixed-rate MBS portfolio is somewhat less sensitive to repricing risk than our fixed-rate one- to four-family loan portfolio due to external refinancing barriers such as unemployment, income changes, and decreases in property values, causing barriers to refinancing to be generally more pronounced outside of our local market areas. However, we are unable to control the interest rates and/or governmental programs that could impact the loans in our fixed-rate MBS portfolio, and are therefore more likely to experience reinvestment risk due to principal prepayments, as any effort by the government to reduce or eliminate these barriers could result in an increase in the prepayment of these assets. Additionally, prepayments impact the amortization/accretion of premiums/discounts on our MBS portfolio. As prepayments increase, the related premiums/discounts are amortized/accreted at a faster rate. The amortization of premiums decreases interest income while the accretion of discounts increases interest income. As noted in the table below, the fixed-rate MBS portfolio had a net premium of \$8.6 million as of June 30, 2011. Given that the weighted average coupon on the underlying loans in this portfolio were above market rates at June 30, 2011, the Bank could experience an increase in the premium amortization should prepayment speeds increase significantly, potentially reducing future interest income.

| | | | Orig | gina | ıl Term | | | | | | | |
|----------------|----|-------------|-------------|------|----------------|------|------------|----|-------------|----|---------|-----|
| | | 15 yea | rs or less | | More | thar | n 15 years | | | | | |
| | | | Prepaymen | ıt | | | Prepayme | nt | | | Net | |
| | | Amortized | Speed | | Amortized | 1 | Speed | | | | Premiur | n/ |
| Rate | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Range | | Cost | (annualized | 1) | Cost | | (annualize | d) | Total | | (Discou | nt) |
| | | | | (Do | llars in thou | ısar | nds) | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <=3.99 | % | \$636,289 | 3.06 | % | \$27,983 | | 1.10 | % | \$664,272 | | \$4,389 | |
| 4.00 - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.50 | % | 198,612 | 7.73 | | 1,595 | | 0.19 | | 200,207 | | 4,251 | |
| 4.51 - | ~ | 207.006 | 1= 60 | | 6 4 0 = | | 0.55 | | 011 050 | | .a | |
| 4.99 | % | 205,086 | 17.69 | | 6,187 | | 0.77 | | 211,273 | | (255 |) |
| 5.00 - | ~ | 126 100 | 21.16 | | 0.245 | | 1400 | | 105 515 | | 460 | , |
| 5.50 | % | 126,498 | 21.16 | | 9,247 | | 14.92 | | 135,745 | | (68 |) |
| 5.51 - | 04 | 00 145 | 21.00 | | 40.772 | | 22.10 | | 121 010 | | 7.4 | |
| 5.99 6.00 - | % | 82,145 | 21.80 | | 49,773 | | 22.19 | | 131,918 | | 74 | |
| | 01 | 12.071 | 26.95 | | 21 000 | | 17.05 | | 25.060 | | 40 | |
| 6.50 6.51 - | % | 13,971 | 26.85 | | 21,998 | | 17.85 | | 35,969 | | 48 | |
| 6.99 | % | 2,693 | 9.91 | | 10,434 | | 16.54 | | 13,127 | | 121 | |
| >=7.00 | % | 2,093 | 9.91 | | 4,888 | | 15.14 | | 4,888 | | 8 | |
| Total | 70 | \$1,265,294 | | 0% | \$132,105 | | 14.52 | 0% | \$1,397,399 | | \$8,568 | |
| 1 Otal | | Ψ1,203,274 | 7.47 | 70 | ψ132,103 | | 17.52 | 70 | ψ1,371,377 | | Ψ0,500 | |
| Average | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| rate | | 4.29 | % | | 5.48 | % | | | 4.41 | % | | |
| Average | | 1.27 | 70 | | 5.10 | 70 | | | 1.11 | 70 | | |
| remaining | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| term | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (months) | | 132 | | | 215 | | | | 139 | | | |
| () | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Investment Securities. Investment securities, which consist of U.S. GSE debentures (primarily issued by FNMA, FHLMC, or FHLB) and municipal investments, increased \$288.5 million, from \$1.33 billion at September 30, 2010 to \$1.62 billion at June 30, 2011. The following tables provide a summary of the activity of investment securities for the periods presented. The yields for the beginning balances are as of the last day of the period previous to the period presented and the yield for the ending balances are as of the last day of the period presented. The decrease in the yield at June 30, 2011 compared to September 30, 2010 was a result of purchases of securities with yields lower than the overall portfolio yield and maturities and calls of securities with yields higher than the overall portfolio yield. The beginning and ending WALs represent the estimated remaining maturity of the securities after projected call dates have been considered, based upon market rates at each date presented. The WAL at June 30, 2011 increased from September 30, 2010 primarily due to purchases of securities with a WAL longer than the remaining portfolio.

For the Three Months Ended

| | June 3 | 30, 2011 | | | 31, 2011 | | | er 31, 20 | | | ber 30, 20 | 10 |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|----------|---------|--------------------|----------|----------|-------------|-----------|------|-------------|------------|-----|
| | Amount | Yield | WAL | Amount | | WAL | | Yield | WAL | Amount | Yield | WA |
| | | | | | (Do | llars in | thousands) | | | | | |
| ginning balance - | ¢ 1 047 015 | 1 170/ | 1 55 | ¢1 247 657 | 1 2207 | 1.61 | ¢1 222 656 | 1 4707 | 0.04 | ¢1 202 064 | 1 6701 | 0.8 |
| rrying value aturities and calls | \$1,847,915 | | 1.33 | \$1,347,657 | | 1.01 | | | 0.64 | (343,999) | | 0.0 |
| t amortization of | (326,009) | | | (291,693) | | | (252,728) | | | (343,999 |) | |
| emiums/(discounts) | (1,391) | | | (1,255) | | | (799) | | | (1,034 | | |
| rchases: | (1,391) | | | (1,233) | | | (177) | | | (1,054 |) | |
| ixed | 99,974 | 1.51 | 2.62 | 793,136 | 0.99 | 1.20 | 268,689 | 1.21 | 1.89 | 475,141 | 1.08 | 1.1 |
| ange in valuation | 77,717 | 1.51 | 2.02 | 173,130 | 0.77 | 1.20 | 200,000 | 1,21 | 1.07 | 773,171 | 1.00 | 1.1 |
| AFS securities | 672 | | | 70 | | | (161) |) | | (516 |) | ļ |
| ding balance - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| rrying value | \$1,621,161 | 1.16% | 1.05 | \$1,847,915 | 1.17% | 1.55 | \$1,347,657 | 1.32% | 1.61 | \$1,332,656 | 1.47% | 0.8 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | For the | Nine 1 | Months Ended | 1 | | | | | | | |
| | June 3 | 30, 2011 | | June 3 | 30, 2010 | | | | | | | |
| | Amount | Yield | | | Yield | WAL | | | | | | |
| | | (Dol | lars in | thousands) | | | | | | | | - |
| ginning balance - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| rrying value | \$1,332,656 | | 0.84 | \$480,704 | 1.93% | 1.53 | | | | | | |
| aturities and calls | (870,430) | | | (330,184) | | | | | | | | |
| t amortization of | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| emiums/(discounts) | (3,445) | | | (3,165) | | | | | | | | |
| rchases: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ixed | 1,161,799 | 1.09 | 1.48 | 1,055,442 | 1.54 | 1.10 | | | | | | |
| nange in valuation | 704 | | | 265 | | | | | | | | |
| AFS securities | 581 | | | 267 | | | | | | | | |
| ding balance - | \$1.601.161 | 1 1600 | 1.05 | #1 2 02 064 | 1 67 0 | 0.00 | | | | | | |
| rrying value | \$1,621,161 | 1.16% | 1.05 | \$1,203,064 | 1.6/% | 0.82 | | | | | | |

Liabilities. Total liabilities increased \$143.3 million from \$7.53 billion at September 30, 2010 to \$7.67 billion at June 30, 2011, due primarily to an increase in deposits of \$172.3 million and an increase in FHLB advances of \$105.3 million, partially offset by a decrease in other borrowings of \$103.6 million. The increase in deposits was primarily in the money market and checking portfolios, which increased \$112.1 million and \$60.4 million, respectively, from September 30, 2010 to June 30, 2011.

Deposits - As noted above, the money market portfolio increased \$112.1 million from \$942.4 million at September 30, 2010 to \$1.05 billion at June 30, 2011. Of the \$112.1 million increase, \$39.1 million relates to the contribution to the Foundation as a result of the corporate reorganization in late December 2010. It is not anticipated that the Foundation will keep the funds in the money market account for an extended period of time. The remaining increase in the money market portfolio was due to customers reinvesting funds from maturing certificates of deposit into the money market portfolio and some customers maintaining higher cash balances due to the current economic uncertainty and in anticipation of market rates increasing, which would allow them to reinvest at higher rates. The checking portfolio increased \$60.4 million from \$482.4 million at September 30, 2010 to \$542.8 million at June 30, 2011. The increase in our checking portfolio was also due to customers keeping more cash readily available, as reflected by a 14% increase in the average customer checking account balance between period ends. If interest rates were to rise, it is possible our money market and checking account customers may move those funds to higher-yielding deposit products inside of the Bank or withdraw their funds to invest in higher yielding investments outside of the Bank.

The following table presents the amount, average rate and percentage of total deposits for checking, savings, money market and certificates at the periods presented. Included in the certificate of deposit portfolio in the table below at March 31, 2011 is a \$199.0 million retail deposit at a rate of 0.05%. This deposit was related to a lawsuit to which the Bank was not a party, and the majority of it was withdrawn in May 2011.

| | Jun | ie 30, 2011 | Ĺ | Marc | ch 31, 201 | 1 | Septen | nber 30, 2 | 010 | Jun | e 30, 2010 |) |
|------------|-------------|-------------|--------|-------------|------------|-----------|---------------|------------|--------|-------------|------------|-------|
| | | Average | % of | | Average | % of | | Average | % of | | Average | % of |
| | Amount | Rate | Total | Amount | Rate | Total | Amount | Rate | Total | Amount | Rate | Total |
| | | | | | (I | Dollars : | in thousands) | | | | | |
| ecking | \$542,855 | 0.08% | 11.9 % | \$541,061 | 0.08% | 11.5 | % \$482,428 | 0.13% | 11.0 % | \$487,279 | 0.13% | 11.1 |
| vings | 254,921 | 0.48 | 5.6 | 250,296 | 0.52 | 5.3 | 234,285 | 0.54 | 5.3 | 238,236 | 0.54 | 5.5 |
| oney | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ırket | 1,054,535 | 0.49 | 23.1 | 1,038,706 | 0.54 | 22.0 | 942,428 | 0.65 | 21.5 | 945,941 | 0.67 | 21.6 |
| rtificates | 2,706,263 | 2.01 | 59.4 | 2,881,126 | 1.96 | 61.2 | 2,727,169 | 2.29 | 62.2 | 2,702,388 | 2.47 | 61.8 |
| | \$4 558 574 | 1 35% | 100 0% | \$4 711 189 | 1 36% | 100.09 | % \$4 386 310 | 1 61% | 100.0% | \$4 373 844 | 171% | 100.0 |

At June 30, 2011, \$83.7 million in certificates were brokered deposits, unchanged from September 30, 2010. The \$83.7 million of brokered deposits at June 30, 2011 had a weighted average rate of 2.58% and a remaining term to maturity of 3.1 years. The Bank regularly considers brokered deposits as a source of funding, provided that investment opportunities are balanced with the funding cost. As of June 30, 2011, \$117.1 million in certificates were public unit deposits, compared to \$109.9 million in public unit deposits at September 30, 2010. The \$117.1 million of public unit deposits at June 30, 2011 had a weighted average rate of 0.31% and a remaining term to maturity of six months. Management will continue to monitor the wholesale deposit market for attractive opportunities relative to the use of proceeds for investments.

The following tables set forth maturity information for our certificate of deposit portfolio at June 30, 2011.

| | | 1 year or less | Amou More than 1 year to 2 years (Do | nt Due More than 2 to 3 years Ilars in thousa | More than 3 years ands) | Total | |
|----------------|-------|-------------------|--|---|-------------------------|-----------------------------|----|
| 0.00 - | 07 | ¢246.655 | ¢ 10 042 | \$ | ¢ | ¢264.607 | |
| 0.99 1.00 – | % | \$246,655 | \$18,042 | \$ | \$ | \$264,697 | |
| 1.99 | % | 792,049 | 263,169 | 74,675 | 5,584 | 1,135,477 | |
| 2.00 - | | | , | , | | , , | |
| 2.99 | % | 88,836 | 126,242 | 215,451 | 347,840 | 778,369 | |
| 3.00 – | ~ | 220 201 | 5 0.005 | 10.150 | 40.00 | 120 006 | |
| 3.99 | % | 328,304 | 79,205 | 12,470 | 19,927 | 439,906 | |
| 4.00 – 4.99 | % | 74,760 | 11,987 | 358 | 417 | 87,522 | |
| 5.00 – | 70 | 74,700 | 11,707 | 330 | 71/ | 01,322 | |
| 5.99 | % | 292 | | | | 292 | |
| | | \$1,530,896 | \$498,645 | \$302,954 | \$373,768 | \$2,706,263 | |
| | | | | | | 4.00 | |
| Weighte | d ave | rage maturity | y (in years) | | | 1.30 | |
| Weighte | d ave | rage maturity | y for the retail | L certificate o | f deposit | | |
| portfolio | | | , for the return | | гаорови | 1.28 | |
| 1 | | , | | | | | |
| | | | | 3 months | Over 3 to 6 | Maturity Over 6 to 12 | Ov |
| | | | | J monuis | 5 10 0 | 0 10 12 | Οv |

or less

\$303,327

197,172

\$500,499

months

\$289,298

137,250

\$426,548

months

\$432,959

170,890

\$603,849

(Dollars in thousands)

12 months

\$767,328

408,039

\$1,175,367

Total

\$1,792,912

913,351

\$2,706,263

56

Certificates of deposit less than

Total certificates of deposit

Certificates of deposit of \$100,000 or

\$100,000

more

Borrowings - During the nine months ended June 30, 2011, \$200.0 million of fixed-rate FHLB advances with a weighted average contractual rate of 4.71% matured and were replaced with \$200.0 million of fixed-rate FHLB advances with a weighted average contractual interest rate of 2.83% and a weighted average term of 83 months. The Bank also obtained an additional advance of \$100.0 million at 2.82% for 84 months during the current quarter. Additionally, a maturing \$100.0 million repurchase agreement with a contractual rate of 4.23% was replaced with another \$100.0 million repurchase agreement with a contractual rate of 3.35% for a term of 84 months, and two repurchase agreements totaling \$50.0 million matured and were not replaced. In April 2011, the Company redeemed the outstanding Debentures of \$53.6 million using a portion of the offering proceeds from the corporate reorganization.

The following table presents the maturity of FHLB advances, at par, and repurchase agreements as of June 30, 2011. The balance of FHLB advances excludes the deferred gain on the terminated interest rate swaps and the deferred prepayment penalty. Management will continue to monitor the Bank's investment opportunities and balance those opportunities with the cost of FHLB advances or other funding sources.

| Maturity by Fiscal year | FHLB Advances Amount (Dollars in | Repurchase Agreements Amount thousands) | Weighted Average Contractual Rate | | Weighted Average Effective Rate(1) | |
|----------------------------|---|--|--|---|---|---|
| 2011 | \$76,000 | \$ 50,000 | 4.73 | % | 4.73 | % |
| 2012 | 350,000 | 150,000 | 3.67 | | 3.67 | |
| 2013 | 525,000 | 145,000 | 3.74 | | 4.00 | |
| 2014 | 450,000 | 100,000 | 3.33 | | 3.96 | |
| 2015 | 200,000 | 20,000 | 3.50 | | 4.16 | |
| 2016 | 275,000 | | 3.86 | | 4.39 | |
| 2017 | 400,000 | | 3.17 | | 3.21 | |
| 2018 | 200,000 | 100,000 | 2.90 | | 2.90 | |
| | \$2,476,000 | \$ 565,000 | 3.53 | % | 3.80 | % |

(1) The effective rate includes the net impact of the amortization of deferred prepayment penalties resulting from the prepayment of certain FHLB advances and deferred gains related to the termination of interest rate swaps during fiscal year 2008.

The following table presents the maturity of FHLB advances and repurchase agreements for the next four quarters as of June 30, 2011. A \$100.0 million FHLB advance was obtained during the current quarter in an effort to mitigate the additional interest rate risk associated with the purchase of \$89.2 million of loans during the current quarter.

| | 7 | Weighted | | | |
|--------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| | | Average | | | |
| Maturity by | Co | Contractual | | | |
| Quarter End | Amount | Rate | | | |
| | (Dollars in thous | housands) | | | |
| September 30, 2011 | \$ 126,000 | 4.73 % | | | |
| December 31, 2011 | 250,000 | 4.22 | | | |
| March 31, 2012 | 150,000 | 2.35 | | | |
| June 30, 2012 | | | | | |

\$ 526,000 3.81 %

Stockholders' Equity. Stockholders' equity increased \$972.1 million, from \$962.0 million at September 30, 2010 to \$1.93 billion at June 30, 2011. The increase was due primarily to the net stock offering proceeds of \$1.13 billion from the corporate reorganization in December 2010 and net income during the fiscal year, partially offset by dividends paid of \$138.0 million. The \$138.0 million consisted of cash dividends of \$17.0 million paid prior to the corporate reorganization, and \$121.0 million paid since the corporate reorganization. The \$17.0 million consisted of a quarterly dividend of \$10.6 million and a special dividend of \$6.4 million related to fiscal year 2010 earnings, per the Company's prior dividend policy. The \$121.0 million consisted of quarterly dividends of \$24.2 million and the one-time special cash dividend (welcome dividend) of \$96.8 million. In July 2011, the Company declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.075 per share, payable August 19, 2011.

The following table presents quarterly dividends paid in calendar years 2011, 2010, and 2009. For the quarter ended September 30, 2011, the table below does not present the actual dividend payout, but rather management's estimate of the dividend payout as of July 29, 2011.

| | Calendar Year | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|----------|----------|--|--|--|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | | | |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | |
| Quarter ended March 31 | | | | | | |
| Total dividends paid | \$12,105 | \$10,739 | \$10,437 | | | |
| Quarter ended June 30 | | | | | | |
| Total dividends paid | 12,105 | 10,496 | 10,446 | | | |
| Quarter ended September 30 | | | | | | |
| Total dividends paid | 12,105 | 10,496 | 10,448 | | | |
| Quarter ended December 31 | | | | | | |
| Total dividends paid | | 10,597 | 10,550 | | | |
| Special year end/welcome dividend | | | | | | |
| Total dividends paid | 96,838 | 6,359 | 6,119 | | | |
| _ | | | | | | |
| Calendar year-to-date dividends paid | \$133,153 | \$48,687 | \$48,000 | | | |

Operating Results

The following table presents selected income statement and other information for the quarters indicated.

| | For the Three Months Ended | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| | | | Decembe | r Septembe | er | | | | |
| | June 30, | March 3 | 1, 31, | 30, | June 30, | | | | |
| | 2011 | 2011 | 2010 | 2010 | 2010 | | | | |
| | (| (Dollars in the | ousands, excep | t per share am | ounts) | | | | |
| Interest and dividend income: | | | _ | | | | | | |
| Loans receivable | \$62,393 | \$61,554 | \$65,943 | \$68,476 | \$68,990 | | | | |
| MBS | 19,619 | 17,320 | 15,440 | 15,614 | 16,864 | | | | |
| Investment securities | 5,103 | 4,743 | 4,775 | 4,832 | 4,565 | | | | |
| Other interest and dividend income | 968 | 1,324 | 1,089 | 1,050 | 1,066 | | | | |
| Total interest and dividend | | | | | | | | | |
| income | 88,083 | 84,941 | 87,247 | 89,972 | 91,485 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest expense: | | | | | | | | | |
| FHLB advances | 22,539 | 21,968 | 23,131 | 23,677 | 24,417 | | | | |
| Deposits | 15,516 | 16,069 | 17,381 | 18,186 | 19,149 | | | | |
| Other borrowings | 5,720 | 6,348 | 6,730 | 6,968 | 7,032 | | | | |
| Total interest expense | 43,775 | 44,385 | 47,242 | 48,831 | 50,598 | | | | |
| - | | | | | | | | | |
| Provision for credit losses | 1,240 | 520 | 650 | 750 | 1,816 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Net interest income | | | | | | | | | |
| (after provision for credit losses) | 43,068 | 40,036 | 39,355 | 40,391 | 39,071 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Other income | 6,100 | 6,144 | 6,317 | 6,990 | 7,754 | | | | |
| Other expenses | 23,102 | 22,855 | 63,338 | 23,257 | 20,624 | | | | |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | 8,807 | 7,689 | (6,408 |) 8,677 | 9,443 | | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$17,259 | \$15,636 | \$(11,258 |) \$15,447 | \$16,758 | | | | |
| , , | | | • | | | | | | |
| Efficiency ratio | 45.83 | % 48.94 | % 136.73 | % 48.32 | % 42.40 % | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Basic earnings (loss) per share (1) | \$0.10 | \$0.10 | \$(0.07 |) \$0.09 | \$0.10 | | | | |
| Diluted earnings (loss) per share (1) | 0.10 | 0.10 | (0.07 |) 0.09 | 0.10 | | | | |
| - · · · · · | | | • | | | | | | |

⁽¹⁾ All earnings per share information prior to the corporate reorganization in December 2010 have been revised to reflect the 2.2637 exchange ratio.

Comparison of Operating Results for the Nine months Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010

Net income for the nine months ended June 30, 2011 was \$21.6 million, compared to \$52.4 million for the same period in the prior fiscal year. The \$30.8 million decrease in the current period was due primarily to the \$40.0 million (\$26.0 million, net of income tax benefit) contribution to the Foundation in connection with the corporate reorganization. Additionally, other income decreased \$8.8 million and net interest income decreased \$3.5 million between periods. These decreases were partially offset by an \$18.8 million decrease in income tax expense and a \$5.7 million decrease in provision for credit losses between periods.

The net interest margin decreased 27 basis points, from 2.09% for the nine months ended June 30, 2010 to 1.82% for the nine months ended June 30, 2011. The decrease in the net interest margin was due to an increase in the average balance of interest-earning assets at lower yields compared to the nine months ended June 30, 2010, partially offset by an increase in the average balance of interest-bearing liabilities at lower rates. The increase in the average balance of interest-earning assets was primarily a result of the proceeds from the corporate reorganization in December 2010. The proceeds were fully deployed into investment securities and MBS by the end of the second quarter of fiscal year 2011. The increase in the average balance of interest-bearing liabilities was due to an increase in deposits.

Interest and Dividend Income

Total interest and dividend income for the nine months ended June 30, 2011 was \$260.3 million compared to \$284.1 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2010. The \$23.8 million decrease was primarily a result of decreases in interest income on loans receivable of \$23.9 million and MBS of \$3.8 million, partially offset by an increase in interest income on investment securities of \$3.7 million.

Interest income on loans receivable for the current nine month period was \$189.9 million compared to \$213.8 million for the prior year period. The \$23.9 million decrease in interest income was the result of a decrease of 31 basis points in the weighted average yield to 4.93% for the period and a decrease of \$304.5 million in the average balance of the portfolio. The decrease in the average balance was due to principal repayments outpacing originations and purchases between the two periods. See additional discussion regarding loan portfolio activity in "Financial Condition – Loans Receivable." The decrease in the weighted average yield was due primarily to a significant amount of loan modifications, refinances, and ARM loans repricing between periods. The decrease in the weighted average yield was also due to principal repayments of loans with higher yields than the remaining portfolio, and originations at market rates which were lower than the existing portfolio.

Interest income on MBS for the current nine month period was \$52.4 million compared to \$56.2 million for the prior year period. The \$3.8 million decrease was a result of a decrease of 67 basis points in the weighted average yield to 3.58% for the current nine months, partially offset by a \$184.3 million increase in the average balance of the portfolio. The weighted average yield decreased between the two periods due to purchases of MBS at a lower average yield than the existing portfolio between the two periods, repayments on MBS with yields higher than the existing portfolio, and adjustable-rate securities repricing to lower market rates.

Interest income on investment securities for the current nine month period was \$14.6 million compared to \$10.9 million for the prior year period. The \$3.7 million increase was primarily a result of an \$800.4 million increase in the average balance, partially offset by a decrease in the average yield of 62 basis points to 1.24% for the current nine month period. The increase in the average balance was a result of purchases funded with stock offering proceeds from the corporate reorganization, principal repayments from the MBS and loan portfolios, and retail deposits. The average yield decreased due to purchases at yields lower than the overall portfolio yield, and to calls and maturities of higher yielding securities.

Interest Expense

Interest expense decreased \$20.3 million to \$135.4 million for the current nine month period from \$155.7 million for the prior year period. The decrease in interest expense was due primarily to a decrease in interest expense on the certificate of deposit portfolio and FHLB advances.

Interest expense on deposits for the current nine month period was \$49.0 million compared to \$61.0 million for the prior year period. The \$12.0 million decrease in interest expense on deposits was due primarily to a decrease in the weighted average rate paid on the certificate of deposit portfolio, as the portfolio continued to reprice to lower market rates. The weighted average rate paid on the certificate of deposit portfolio decreased 67 basis points between the two periods, from 2.74% for the prior year period to 2.07% for the current nine month period. The decrease in interest expense was partially offset by a \$315.4 million increase in the average balance of the deposit portfolio, primarily the certificate of deposit and money market portfolios.

Interest expense on FHLB advances for the current nine month period was \$67.6 million compared to \$73.5 million for the prior year period. The \$5.9 million decrease in interest expense on FHLB advances was a result of the refinance and renewal of maturing FHLB advances between the two periods at lower rates, a new advance at a rate lower than the weighted average portfolio rate, and, to a lesser extent, a decrease in the average balance of \$18.4 million primarily due to an advance that matured between periods that was not renewed.

Provision for Credit Losses

The Bank recorded a provision for credit losses of \$2.4 million during the current nine month period, compared to a provision of \$8.1 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2010. The provision recorded in the current nine month period was primarily a result of the increase in and establishment of SVAs, primarily on purchased loans, and partially due to an increase in the general valuation allowance as a result of a decline in the current FHFA home price index, primarily in Kansas and Missouri. The \$8.1 million provision for credit losses in the prior year period was related primarily to the increase in certain loss factors in the general valuation allowance model in the prior year with no similar adjustments in the current fiscal year, and partially due to establishing and increasing SVAs, primarily on purchased loans.

Other Income and Expense

Total other income was \$18.6 million for the current nine month period compared to \$27.4 million for the prior year period. The \$8.8 million decrease was due primarily to no gains on the sale of securities in the current nine month period, compared to \$6.5 million of gains in the prior nine month period. Retail fees decreased \$2.2 million related to a decrease in overdraft charges as a result of Regulation E, and other income, net decreased by \$1.1 million due primarily to a decrease in net gains on loan sales. Management is analyzing the Bank's deposit account fee structure and plans to make adjustments to its fee structure to ensure that fees accurately reflect the costs of the Bank to provide services to customers. It is unlikely, however, that all of the revenue lost as a result of changes to Regulation E will be recovered.

Effective October 31, 2010, the Bank discontinued its debit card rewards program. The discontinuation of the program is estimated to result in a \$1.5 million decrease in advertising and promotional expense for fiscal year 2011 compared to the prior fiscal year.

Total other expenses for the nine months ended June 30, 2011 were \$109.3 million, compared to \$66.5 million in the prior year period. The \$42.8 million increase was due to a \$40.0 million cash contribution to the Foundation in connection with the corporate reorganization. Other expenses, net increased \$4.5 million, primarily related to REO operations and an impairment and valuation allowance taken on the mortgage-servicing rights asset.

In February 2011, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") adopted a new assessment structure for insured institutions. One of the significant changes includes using average total consolidated assets minus average tangible equity for the assessment base instead of average deposits and secured liabilities for the period. It is estimated the Bank will realize a reduction in its deposit insurance assessment of \$2.1 million in fiscal year 2011 compared to fiscal year 2010. Pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Act, the FDIC has established 2.0% as the designated reserve ratio ("DRR"), that is, the ratio of the Deposit Insurance Fund to the insured deposit base. The FDIC has adopted a plan under which it will meet the statutory minimum DRR of 1.35% by September 30, 2020, the deadline imposed by the Dodd-Frank Act. The Dodd-Frank Act requires that institutions with assets of more than \$10 billion bear the effect of the increase in the statutory minimum DRR to 1.35%, from the former statutory minimum of 1.15%. Although the Bank had less than \$10 billion in assets as of June 30, 2011, in the event the Bank's asset size grows to at least \$10 billion for four consecutive quarters, the effect of this provision of the Dodd-Frank Act may be to increase the Bank's cost of deposit insurance relative to institutions with less than \$10 billion in assets.

A provision of the Dodd-Frank Act, commonly referred to as the "Durbin Amendment," directed the Federal Reserve Board to analyze the debit card payments system and fix the interchange rates based upon their estimate of actual

costs. The Federal Reserve Board has established the interchange rate for all debit transactions for issuers with over \$10 billion in assets, effective October 1, 2011, at \$0.21 per transaction. An additional five basis points of the transaction amount and an additional \$0.01 may be collected by the issuer for fraud prevention and recovery, provided the issuer performs certain actions, all of which the Bank currently provides within its debit card program. Currently this has no impact on the Company. Based upon the Federal Reserve Board's new interchange rate for issuers over \$10 billion and the Bank's debit card transaction volume year-to-date, it is estimated that the related fee income could decrease by \$3.1 million annually from current levels if the Bank exceeds \$10 billion in assets. This estimate was derived from a recalculation of interchange revenues from the first three quarters of fiscal year 2011 based on the Federal Reserve Board's new rates, compared to the actual interchange revenue received. Although the Bank is exempt from the provisions of the rule on the basis of asset size, there is some uncertainty about the impact there will be on the interchange rates for issuers below the \$10 billion level of assets. The Durbin Amendment has been challenged in Federal District Court on the grounds of being unconstitutional, and a hearing is pending.

Income Tax Expense

Income tax expense for the current nine month period was \$10.1 million compared to \$28.9 million for the prior year nine month period. The decrease in income tax expense between the periods was primarily a result of the \$40.0 million contribution to the Foundation, which resulted in \$14.0 million of income tax benefit. The income tax benefit was recorded on the pretax loss due to the fact that the Company believes it will more than likely be able to utilize the \$40.0 million contribution to the Foundation through current federal income tax deductions and those available within the five-year carryforward period. The Company files a consolidated Kansas corporate income tax return, which includes the Company and Capitol Funds, Inc., but not the Bank. The Bank files a Kansas privilege tax return. The Company concluded at June 30, 2011 that it is not more likely than not that the contribution will be utilized on the Kansas corporate income tax return because the Bank's earnings are not included in the Kansas corporate income tax return; therefore a valuation allowance has been established for the entire state income tax benefit. The effective income tax rate for the current nine month period was 31.8% compared to 35.5% for the prior year nine month period. The decrease in the effective tax rate between periods was due primarily to a \$686 thousand tax return to tax provision adjustment related to income tax expense recognized in the prior years. Excluding that adjustment, the effective income tax rate would have been 34.0% for the current nine month period. The remaining difference between the effective income tax rates was due primarily to an increase in deductible expenses associated with the ESOP in the current fiscal year as a result of the new ESOP loan and the \$0.60 per share welcome dividend paid in March 2011. Due to pre-tax income being lower than the prior year, all of the items impacting the income tax rate had a larger impact to the overall effective tax rate than in the prior year. Management anticipates the effective tax rate for the current fiscal year and fiscal year 2012 to be approximately 33% and 36%, respectively.

Average Balance Sheet

The following table presents the average balances of our assets, liabilities and stockholders' equity and the related annualized yields and rates on our interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities for the periods indicated and the weighted average yield/rate on our interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities at June 30, 2011. Average yields are derived by dividing annualized income by the average balance of the related assets and average rates are derived by dividing annualized expense by the average balance of the related liabilities, for the periods shown. Average outstanding balances are derived from average daily balances. The yields and rates include amortization of fees, costs, premiums and discounts which are considered adjustments to yields/rates. Yields on tax-exempt securities were not calculated on a tax-equivalent basis.

| | At For the Nine Months Ended | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|------------------------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------|--|--|
| | June 30, | | | | | | | | |
| | 2011 | Ju | ine 30, 2011 | | Ju | ine 30, 2010 | | | |
| | | Average | Interest | | Average | Interest | | | |
| | Yield/ | Outstanding | Earned/ | Yield/ | Outstanding | Earned/ | Yield/ | | |
| | Rate | Balance | Paid | Rate | Balance | Paid | Rate | | |
| Assets: | | | | (Dollars in t | thousands) | | | | |
| Interest-earning | | | | | | | | | |
| assets: | | | | | | | | | |
| Loans receivable | | | | | | | | | |
| (1) | | % \$ 5,138,334 | \$ 189,890 | 4.93 % | \$ 5,442,854 | \$ 213,831 | 5.24 % | | |
| MBS (2) | 3.41 | 1,950,105 | 52,379 | 3.58 | 1,765,830 | 56,245 | 4.25 | | |
| Investment | | | | | | | | | |
| securities (2)(3) | 1.16 | 1,577,914 | 14,621 | 1.24 | 777,490 | 10,850 | 1.86 | | |
| Capital stock of | | | | | | | | | |
| FHLB | 2.98 | 123,146 | 2,710 | 2.94 | 134,067 | 2,991 | 2.98 | | |
| Cash and cash | | | | | | | | | |
| equivalents | 0.25 | 364,195 | 671 | 0.25 | 92,056 | 162 | 0.24 | | |
| Total | | | | | | | | | |
| interest-earning | | | | | | | | | |
| assets (1) (2) | 3.83 | 9,153,694 | 260,271 | 3.79 | 8,212,297 | 284,079 | 4.61 | | |
| Other | | | | | | | | | |
| noninterest-earning | | | | | | | | | |
| assets | | 234,079 | | | 230,064 | | | | |
| Total assets | | \$ 9,387,773 | | | \$ 8,442,361 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Liabilities and | | | | | | | | | |
| stockholders' | | | | | | | | | |
| equity: | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest-bearing | | | | | | | | | |
| liabilities: | | | | | | | | | |
| Checking | | % \$ 514,396 | \$ 330 | 0.09 % | \$ 468,365 | \$ 471 | 0.13 % | | |
| Savings | 0.48 | 243,122 | 943 | 0.52 | 231,604 | 1,000 | 0.58 | | |
| Money market | 0.49 | 1,011,416 | 4,196 | 0.55 | 904,227 | 4,947 | 0.73 | | |
| Certificates | 2.01 | 2,811,165 | 43,497 | 2.07 | 2,660,540 | 54,612 | 2.74 | | |
| Total deposits | 1.35 | 4,580,099 | 48,966 | 1.43 | 4,264,736 | 61,030 | 1.91 | | |
| FHLB advances | | | | | | | | | |
| (4) | 3.76 | 2,377,063 | 67,638 | 3.80 | 2,395,449 | 73,535 | 4.10 | | |

Edgar Filing: Capitol Federal Financial Inc - Form 10-Q

| Repurchase | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|------|--------------|---------|------|--------------|---------|-------------|
| agreements | 3.98 | 596,685 | 17,943 | 3.97 | 660,000 | 19,857 | 3.97 |
| Other borrowings | | 36,917 | 855 | 3.05 | 53,609 | 1,233 | 3.03 |
| Total | | | | | | | |
| borrowings | 3.80 | 3,010,665 | 86,436 | 3.83 | 3,109,058 | 94,625 | 4.05 |
| Total | | | | | | | |
| interest-bearing | | | | | | | |
| liabilities | 2.32 | 7,590,764 | 135,402 | 2.38 | 7,373,794 | 155,655 | 2.81 |
| Other | | | | | | | |
| noninterest-bearing | | | | | | | |
| liabilities | | 120,361 | | | 115,154 | | |
| Stockholders' | | | | | | | |
| equity | | 1,676,648 | | | 953,413 | | |
| Total liabilities and | | | | | | | |
| stockholders' | | | | | | | |
| equity | | \$ 9,387,773 | | | \$ 8,442,361 | | |
| | | | | | | | (Continued) |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 63 | | | | | | | |

| | At | | For the Nine Months Ended | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|----------|----|---------------------------|------|----------|--------|----------|-------------|-----|--------------|--------|---|
| | June 30, | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2011 | | | June | 30, 2011 | | | | J | une 30, 2010 | | |
| | | 1 | Average | | Interest | | | Average |] | Interest | | |
| | Yield/ | Οι | ıtstanding | | Earned/ | 7 | Yield/ | Outstanding | g l | Earned/ | Yield/ | |
| | Rate |] | Balance | | Paid | | Rate | Balance | | Paid | Rate | |
| | | | | | | (Dolla | ars in t | housands) | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net interest | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| income (5) | | | | \$ | 124,869 | | | | \$ | 128,424 | | |
| Net interest rate | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| spread (6) | 1.51 | % | | | | | 1.41 | % | | | 1.80 | % |
| Net | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| interest-earning | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| assets | | \$ | 1,562,930 | | | | | | | | | |