

Marathon Patent Group, Inc.
Form 10-Q
August 14, 2015

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (D) OF THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2015

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (D) OF THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

MARATHON PATENT GROUP, INC.
(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Charter)

Nevada (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation)	333-171214 (Commission File Number)	01-0949984 (IRS Employer Identification No.)
11100 Santa Monica Blvd., Ste. 380 Los Angeles, CA (Address of principal executive offices)		90025 (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: 703-232-1701

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of “large accelerated filer,” “accelerated filer” and “smaller reporting company” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>
Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if smaller reporting company)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Smaller reporting company	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act)
Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date. 14,323,809 shares of common stock are issued and outstanding as of August 11, 2015.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page No.
PART I. - FINANCIAL INFORMATION		
<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Financial Statements</u>	
	<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	1
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations (unaudited)</u>	2
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited)</u>	3
	<u>Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	4
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	25
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	34
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	35
PART II – OTHER INFORMATION		
<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	35
<u>Item 1A</u>	<u>Risk Factors</u>	35
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	35
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Defaults upon Senior Securities</u>	35
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	35
<u>Item 5.</u>	<u>Other Information</u>	35
<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>Exhibits</u>	36

OTHER PERTINENT INFORMATION

Unless specifically set forth to the contrary, “Marathon Patent Group, Inc.,” “we,” “us,” “our” and similar terms refer to Marathon Patent Group, Inc., a Nevada corporation, and subsidiaries.

Table of Contents

Item 1. Financial Statements

MARATHON PATENT GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	June 30, 2015 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2014
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash	\$ 1,487,242	\$ 5,082,569
Accounts receivable - net of allowance for bad debt of \$0 and \$0 for June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014	704,061	216,997
Bonds posted with courts	1,790,241	1,946,196
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	124,436	438,391
Total current assets	4,105,980	7,684,153
Other assets:		
Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$40,385 and \$16,135 for June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014	70,738	53,828
Intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$12,043,560 and \$6,550,528 for June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014	46,597,522	43,363,832
Deferred tax assets	10,257,415	4,789,293
Goodwill	4,556,291	4,894,208
Total other assets	61,481,966	53,101,161
Total Assets	\$ 65,587,946	\$ 60,785,314
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 5,289,861	\$ 3,293,746
Clouding IP earn out - current portion	883,484	2,092,000
Notes payable, net of discounts and loan fees of \$676,182 and \$82,010 for 6/30/15 and 12/31/14	17,394,103	16,560,000
Total current liabilities	23,567,448	21,945,746
Long-term liabilities		
Notes payable, net of discount and loan fees of \$1,804,517 and \$64,925, for 6/30/15 and 12/31/14	15,608,198	5,403,065
Other non current liability	52,101	-
Deferred tax liability	1,256,480	1,823,884
Revenue sharing liability	1,000,000	-
Clouding IP earn out	6,264,215	7,360,000
Total long-term liabilities	24,180,994	14,586,949
Total liabilities	47,748,442	36,532,695
Stockholders' equity:		
	98	93

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Preferred stock Series B, \$.0001 par value, 50,000,000 shares authorized:
982,000 and 932,000 issued and outstanding at June 30, 2015 and December
31, 2014

Common stock, \$.0001 par value, 200,000,000 shares authorized: 14,024,837 and 13,791,460 issued and outstanding at June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014		
	1,402	1,379
Additional paid-in capital	40,478,627	36,977,169
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(1,018,691)	(388,357)
Accumulated deficit	(21,621,932)	(12,337,665)
Total stockholders' equity	17,839,504	24,252,619
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 65,587,946	\$ 60,785,314

The accompanying notes are an integral part to these unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of ContentsMARATHON PATENT GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	For the three months ended June 30, 2015 (Unaudited)	For the three months ended June 30, 2014 (Unaudited)	For the six months ended June 30, 2015 (Unaudited)	For the six months ended June 30, 2014 (Unaudited)
Revenue	\$1,368,986	\$3,824,500	\$5,462,855	\$6,604,500
Expenses				
Cost of revenues	3,860,210	1,753,833	8,188,375	2,864,412
Amortization of patents and website	3,029,000	938,679	5,627,461	1,392,326
Compensation and related taxes	1,087,058	712,131	2,668,132	1,442,118
Consulting fees	329,081	336,447	1,225,624	764,554
Professional fees	578,920	268,616	1,348,535	525,472
General and administrative	284,976	130,521	504,457	212,185
Patent impairment	766,498	-	766,498	-
Total operating expenses	9,935,743	4,140,227	20,329,082	7,201,067
Operating loss	(8,566,757)	(315,727)	(14,866,227)	(596,567)
Other income (expenses)				
Foreign exchange gain/(loss)	1,899	-	(37,503)	-
Change in fair value adjustment of Clouding IP earn out	2,304,301	-	2,304,301	-
Other income	7,439	(2,770)	7,439	(2,770)
Interest income	-	266	2	494
Interest expense	(1,577,083)	(20)	(2,508,623)	(20)
Total other income	736,556	(2,524)	(234,384)	(2,296)
Loss before provision for income taxes	(7,830,201)	(318,251)	(15,100,611)	(598,863)
Income tax benefit	3,327,505	-	5,816,344	-
Net loss	(4,502,696)	(318,251)	(9,284,267)	(598,863)
Deemed dividends related to beneficial conversion feature of Series A preferred stock	-	(1,271,492)	-	(1,271,492)
Net loss attributable to common shareholders	\$(4,502,696)	\$(1,589,743)	\$(9,284,267)	\$(1,870,355)
Loss per common share, basic and diluted:	\$(0.32)	\$(0.14)	\$(0.67)	\$(0.17)
WEIGHTED AVERAGE COMMON SHARES				
OUTSTANDING - Basic and Diluted	13,998,563	11,016,646	13,937,872	10,980,290

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Other comprehensive income, net of tax:

Foreign currency translation adjustments	\$319,905	\$-	\$(630,334)	\$-
--	-----------	-----	--------------	-----

The accompanying notes are an integral part to these unaudited consolidated financial statements.

-2-

Table of ContentsMARATHON PATENT GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED June 30, 2015 (Unaudited)	FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED June 30, 2014 (Unaudited)
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income (loss)	\$(9,284,267)	\$(598,863)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:		
Depreciation	3,758	2,833
Amortization of intangible assets	5,627,461	1,392,326
Non-cash equity compensation	1,413,724	1,234,983
Stock issued for services	750,334	-
Non-cash interest, discounts and financing costs	1,625,322	-
Deferred tax liability	(509,207)	-
Deferred tax assets	(5,307,139)	-
Impairment of IP	766,498	-
Change in fair value of Clouding IP earn out	(2,304,301)	-
Other non-cash adjustments	14,980	-
Changes in operating assets and liabilities		
Accounts receivable	(487,328)	252,050
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	51,455	(218,037)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	2,046,662	334,294
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	(5,592,048)	2,399,586
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Acquisition of patents	-	(5,100,800)
Purchase of property, equipment, and other intangible assets	(20,668)	-
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(20,668)	(5,100,800)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Payment on note payable in connection with the acquisition of IP Liquidity	-	(937,500)
Cash received upon exercise of warrant	-	138,222
Proceeds received from sale of Preferred Stock /common stock, net of issuance costs	-	6,388,266
Payment on note payable in connection with the acquisition of IP Liquidity	(1,109,375)	-
Payment on assumed note payable in connection with the acquisition of Orthophoenix	(5,000,000)	-
Payment on note payable in connection with the acquisition of Dynamic Advances	(2,624,375)	-
Payment on note payable in connection with the acquisition of Medtech and Orthophoenix	(4,200,000)	-
Payment on MdR Escrow (TLI)	(50,000)	-
Conversion of AP to note payable	705,093	-

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Payment on note payable in connection with the acquisition of Sarif	(276,250)	-
Repayment on convertible notes	(5,050,000)	-
Cash received upon issuance of notes payable (net of issuance costs)	19,600,000	-
Cash received upon exercise of warrant	18,751	-
Net cash provided by financing activities	2,013,844	5,588,988
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	3,545	-
Net increase in cash	(3,595,327)	2,887,774
Cash at beginning of period	5,082,569	3,610,261
Cash at end of period	\$1,487,242	\$6,498,035
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:		
Cash paid for:		
Interest expenses and loan fees	\$805,106	\$20
Taxes paid	\$14,662	\$-
Loan fees	\$400,000	\$-
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF NON-CASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Series B Preferred Stock issued in connection with the acquisition of Dynamic Advances, LLC	\$-	\$1,403,690
Series B Preferred Stock issued in connection with the acquisition of IP Liquidity Ventures, LLC	\$-	\$1,403,690
Common stock issued in connection with the acquisition of Selene Communication Technologies, LLC	\$-	\$980,000
Value of warrants pertaining to equity issuance	\$-	\$11,595
Notes payable in connection with the acquisition of Dynamic Advances, LLC, IP Liquidity Ventures, LLC, and Selene Communication Technologies, LLC	\$-	\$6,000,000
Common stock issued in conjunction with notes payable	\$1,000,000	\$-
Warrants issued in conjunction with note payable	\$318,679	\$-
Revenue share liability incurred in conjunction with note payable	\$1,000,000	\$-
Non-cash interest increase in debt assumed in the acquisition of Orthophoenix	\$750,000	\$-
Notes payable issued in conjunction with acquisition of BATO patent	\$10,000,000	\$-

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

NOTE 1 - ORGANIZATION AND DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

Organization

We acquire patents and patent rights from owners or other ventures and seek to monetize the value of the patents through litigation and licensing strategies, alone or with others. Part of our acquisition strategy is to acquire or invest in patents and patent rights that cover a wide-range of subject matter which allows us to seek the benefits of a diversified portfolio of assets in differing industries and countries. Generally, the patents and patent rights that we seek to acquire have large identifiable targets who are or have been using technology that we believe infringes our patents and patent rights. We generally monetize our portfolio of patents and patent rights by entering into license discussions, and if that is unsuccessful, initiating enforcement activities against any infringing parties with the objective of entering into comprehensive settlement and license agreements that may include the granting of non-exclusive retroactive and future rights to use the patented technology, a covenant not to sue, a release of the party from certain claims, the dismissal of any pending litigation and other terms. Our strategy has been developed with the expectation that it will result in a long-term, diversified revenue stream for the Company. As of June 30, 2015, we owned 381 U.S. and foreign patents and patent rights and ten patent applications. As used in this report, the terms “we”, “us”, “our”, the “Company”, “Marathon Patent Group, Inc.” “Marathon”, and “MARA” mean Marathon Patent Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries, unless otherwise indicated.

Our principal office is located at 11100 Santa Monica Blvd., Suite 380, Los Angeles, CA 90225. Our telephone number is (703) 232-1701.

We were incorporated in the State of Nevada on February 23, 2010 under the name “Verve Ventures, Inc.” On December 7, 2011, we changed our name to “American Strategic Minerals Corporation” and were engaged in exploration and potential development of uranium and vanadium minerals business. During June 2012, we discontinued our minerals business and began to invest in real estate properties in Southern California. In November 2012, we discontinued our real estate business.

On December 7, 2011, the Company filed a Certificate of Amendment to its Articles of Incorporation with the Secretary of State of the State of Nevada in order to change its name to “American Strategic Minerals Corporation” from “Verve Ventures, Inc.”, and increase the Company’s authorized capital to 200,000,000 shares of common stock, par value \$0.0001 per share, and 50,000,000 shares of preferred stock, par value \$0.0001 per share. During June 2012, the Company decided to discontinue its exploration and potential development of uranium and vanadium minerals business.

On August 1, 2012, the shareholders holding a majority of the Company’s voting capital voted in favor of (i) changing the name of the Company to “Fidelity Property Group, Inc.” and (ii) the adoption the 2012 Equity Incentive Plan and reserving 10,000,000 shares of common stock for issuance thereunder (the “2012 Plan”). The board of directors of the Company (the “Board of Directors”) approved the name change and the adoption of the 2012 Plan on August 1, 2012. The Company did not file an amendment to its Articles of Incorporation with the Secretary of State of Nevada and subsequently abandoned the decision to adopt the “Fidelity Property Group, Inc.” name and discontinue its real estate business.

On October 1, 2012, the shareholders holding a majority of the Company’s voting capital had voted and authorized the Company to (i) change the name of the Company to Marathon Patent Group, Inc. (the “Name Change”) and (ii) effectuate a reverse stock split of the Company’s common stock by a ratio of 3-for-2 (the “Reverse Split”) within one year from the date of approval of the stockholders of the Company. The Board of Directors approved the Name Change and the Reverse Split on October 1, 2012. The Board of Directors determined the name “Marathon Patent Group, Inc.” better reflected the long-term strategy in exploring other opportunities and the identity of the Company

going forward. On February 15, 2013, the Company filed the Certificate of Amendment with the Secretary of State of the State of Nevada in order to effectuate the Name Change. On May 31, 2013, shareholders of record holding a majority of the outstanding voting capital of the Company approved a reverse stock split of the Company's issued and outstanding common stock by a ratio of not less than one-for-five and not more than one-for-fifteen at any time prior to April 30, 2014, with such ratio to be determined by the Company's Board of Directors, in its sole discretion. On June 24, 2013, the reverse stock split ratio of one (1) for thirteen (13) basis was approved by the Board of Directors. On July 18, 2013, the Company filed a certificate of amendment to its Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation with the Secretary of State of the State of Nevada in order to effectuate a reverse stock split of the Company's issued and outstanding common stock, par value \$0.0001 per share on a one (1) for thirteen (13) basis. All share and per share values for all periods presented in the accompanying consolidated financial statements are retroactively restated for the effect of the reverse stock split.

Table of Contents

On September 16, 2014, the board of directors of the Company approved and adopted, subject to shareholder approval on or prior to September 16, 2015, the Company's 2014 Equity Incentive Plan. The Company's 2014 Equity Incentive Plan was approved by the shareholders of the Company at the annual meeting held on July 31, 2015.

On November 19, 2014, the Board of Directors of the Company declared a stock dividend pursuant to which holders of the Company's Common Stock as of the close of business of the record date of December 15, 2014 shall receive one additional share of Common Stock at the close of business on December 22, 2014 for each share of Common Stock held by such holders. Throughout this report, all share and per share values for all periods presented in the accompanying consolidated financial statements are retroactively restated for the effect of the stock dividend.

NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Presentation and Principles of Consolidation

The unaudited consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America ("US GAAP") and present the consolidated financial statements of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. In the preparation of consolidated financial statements of the Company, all intercompany transactions and balances were eliminated. All adjustments (consisting of normal recurring items) necessary to present fairly the Company's consolidated financial position as of June 30, 2015, and the results of operations and cash flows for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 have been included. The results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year. Other than where noted, the accounting policies and procedures employed in the preparation of these consolidated financial statements have been derived from the audited financial statements of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2014, which are contained in Form 10-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") on March 26, 2015. The consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2014 was derived from those financial statements.

Cash

The Company considers all highly liquid debt instruments and other short-term investments with maturity of three months or less, when purchased, to be cash equivalents. The Company maintains cash and cash equivalent balances at one financial institution that is insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. The Company's accounts at this institution are insured up to \$250,000 by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC"). As of June 30, 2015, the Company had bank balances exceeding the FDIC insurance limit. To reduce its risk associated with the failure of such financial institution, the Company evaluates at least annually the rating of the financial institution in which it holds deposits. Pursuant to the agreement with Fortress, the Company has agreed to a minimum cash covenant in the amount of \$1,000,000.

Use of Estimates and Assumptions

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with US GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Significant estimates made by management include, but are not limited to, estimating the useful lives of patent assets, the assumptions used to calculate fair value of warrants and options granted, goodwill impairment, realization of long-lived assets, deferred income taxes, unrealized tax positions and business combination accounting.

Accounts Receivable

The Company has a policy of reserving for questionable accounts based on its best estimate of the amount of probable credit losses in its existing accounts receivable. The Company periodically reviews its accounts receivable to determine whether an allowance is necessary based on an analysis of past due accounts and other factors that may indicate that the realization of an account may be in doubt. Account balances deemed to be uncollectible are charged to the bad debt expense after all means of collection have been exhausted and the potential for recovery is considered remote. At June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the allowance for bad debt was \$0 and \$0, respectively. Accounts receivable, net at June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, amounted to \$704,061 and \$216,997, respectively. As of June 30, 2015, accounts receivable related to one license accounted for approximately 12% of the Company's total accounts receivable, accounts receivable related to recurring royalties represented approximately 34% of total accounts receivable and the Company had a receivable from an indemnification claim to be paid by the seller of a portfolio purchased by the Company in the amount of \$375,750 or 54% of total accounts receivable. As of December 31, 2014, 86% of total accounts receivable were associated with outstanding recurring royalties and 14% were associated with one outstanding license payment.

Table of Contents

Concentration of Revenue and Geographic Area

Patent license revenue from enforcement activities is from either the United States or Germany. Revenue attributable to the United States involves US patents; revenue attributable to Germany is based on the enforcement of German patents and in the event that the Company enters into a worldwide license, the revenue is allocated between the two. The Company does not currently have enforcement actions in any other countries.

Revenues from five licenses accounted for approximately 63% of the Company's operating revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2015 and revenue from five licenses accounting for 100% of the revenue for the three months ended June 30, 2014.

For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2015			For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2014		
Licensor	License Amount	% of Revenue	Licensor	License Amount	% of Revenue
Sarif Biomedical LLC	\$ 325,000	24 %	CRFD Research, Inc.	\$ 2,800,000	73 %
Selene Communication Technologies, LLC	\$ 150,000	11 %	IP Liquidity Ventures, LLC	\$ 937,500	24 %
E2E Processing, Inc.	\$ 140,000	10 %	Selene Communication Technologies, LLC	\$ 75,000	2 %
MedTech GmbH	\$ 131,420	9 %	Vantage Point Technology, Inc.	\$ 11,000	0 %
E2E Processing, Inc.	\$ 120,000	9 %	CyberFone Systems, LLC	\$ 1,000	0 %
	Total	63 %	Total	Total	100 %

While the Company has a growing portfolio of patents, the Company has historically received a significant portion of its revenue and expects that a significant portion of its future revenues will be based on one-time grants of similar non-recurring, non-exclusive, non-assignable licenses to a relatively small number of entities and their affiliates. Further, with the expected small number of firms with which the Company enters into license agreements, and the amount and timing of such license agreements, the Company also expects that its revenues may be highly variable from one period to the next.

Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes revenue in accordance with ASC Topic 605, "Revenue Recognition". Revenue is recognized when (i) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, (ii) all obligations have been substantially performed, (iii) amounts are fixed or determinable and (iv) collectability of amounts is reasonably assured.

The Company considers its licensing and enforcement activities as one unit of accounting under ASC 605-25, "Multiple-Element Arrangements" as the delivered items do not have value to customers on a standalone basis, there are no undelivered elements and there is no general right of return relative to the license. Under ASC 605-25, the appropriate recognition of revenue is determined for the combined deliverables as a single unit of accounting and revenue is recognized upon delivery of the final elements, including the license for past and future use, and the release.

Also, due to the fact that the settlement element and license element for past and future use are the major central business, the Company does not present these two elements as different revenue streams in its statement of operations. The Company does not expect to provide licenses that do not provide some form of settlement or release. The Company derived approximately 79% and 100% of its revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014, respectively, and 91% and 100% of its revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014, respectively, from the one-time issuance of non-recurring, non-exclusive, non-assignable licenses for certain of the Company's patents, with the balance comprised of recurring royalties and Opus subscription fees.

The Company's subsidiaries entered into eight license agreements during the three months ended June 30, 2015.

Table of Contents

Cost of Revenue

Cost of revenue mainly includes expenses incurred in connection with the Company's patent enforcement activities, such as legal fees, consulting costs, patent maintenance, royalty fees for acquired patents and other related expenses. Cost of revenue does not include patent amortization expenses, which are included as a separate line item in operating expenses and cost of revenue also does not include expenses related to product development, integration or support, as these are included in general and administrative expenses.

Prepaid Expenses, Bonds Posted and Other Current Assets

Prepaid expenses and other current assets of \$124,436 and \$438,391 at June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively, consist primarily of costs paid for future services and the unamortized portion of a convertible loan fee, which will occur within a year. Prepaid expenses include prepayments in cash and equity instruments for public relation services, business advisory, consulting, and prepaid insurance, which are being amortized over the terms of their respective agreements. In addition, the Company had outstanding litigation bonds in the amount of \$1,790,241 and \$1,946,196 at June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively. These bonds were entered into in Germany after the first instance of litigation of some of the Company's patents in German courts and the difference in the balance of the litigation bonds at December 31, 2014 versus June 30, 2015 is attributable solely to currency translation.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company adopted FASB ASC 820, "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures" ("ASC 820"), for assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis. ASC 820 establishes a common definition for fair value to be applied to existing US GAAP that require the use of fair value measurements, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosure about such fair value measurements. The adoption of ASC 820 did not have an impact on the Company's financial position or operating results, but did expand certain disclosures. ASC 820 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Additionally, ASC 820 requires the use of valuation techniques that maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. These inputs are prioritized below:

- Level 1: Observable inputs such as quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities
- Level 2: Observable market-based inputs or unobservable inputs that are corroborated by market data
- Level 3: Unobservable inputs for which there is little or no market data, which require the use of the reporting entity's own assumptions.

The carrying amounts reported in the consolidated balance sheet for cash, accounts receivable, bonds posted with courts, accounts payable, and accrued expenses, approximate their estimated fair market value based on the short-term maturity of these instruments. The carrying value of notes payable and other long-term liabilities approximates fair value as the related interest rates approximate rates currently available to the Company. Based on changes in the expected timing of proceeds from the Clouding portfolio of patents, the Company reduced the value of the Clouding IP earn out liability, a level 3 liability, in the amount of \$2,304,301; a reduction in the short-term Clouding IP earn out liability represented \$1,208,516 of this amount and a reduction in the long-term earn out liability represented \$1,095,785 of this amount. The Company used a discounted cash flow model as the valuation technique, using unobservable inputs of timing of proceeds and probability of revenue amounts to be recognized in the future. The Company treated this change in forecast for the Clouding portfolio of patents as a triggering event and recognized a

patent impairment of \$766,498, using the discounted cash flow model. A more detailed description can be found in Note 3 below.

Accounting for Acquisitions

In the normal course of its business, the Company makes acquisitions of patent assets and may also make acquisitions of businesses. With respect to each such transaction, the Company evaluates facts of the transaction and follows the guidelines prescribed in accordance with ASC 805 – Business Combinations to determine the proper accounting treatment for each such transaction and then records the transaction in accordance with the conclusions reached in such analysis. The Company performs such analysis with respect to each material acquisition within the consolidated group of entities.

-7-

Table of Contents

Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes pursuant to the provision of ASC 740-10, "Accounting for Income Taxes" which requires, among other things, an asset and liability approach to calculating deferred income taxes. The asset and liability approach requires the recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the carrying amounts and the tax bases of assets and liabilities. A valuation allowance is provided to offset any net deferred tax assets for which management believes it is more likely than not that the net deferred asset will not be realized.

The Company follows the provision of the ASC 740-10 related to Accounting for Uncertain Income Tax Position. When tax returns are filed, it is highly certain that some positions taken would be situated upon examination by the taxing authorities, while others are subject to uncertainty about the merits of the position taken or the amount of the position that would be ultimately sustained. In accordance with the guidance of ASC 740-10, the benefit of a tax position is recognized in the financial statements in the period during which, based on all available evidence, management believes it is more likely that not that the position will be sustained upon examination, including the resolution of appeals or litigation processes, if any. Tax positions taken are not offset or aggregated with other positions.

Tax positions that meet the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold are measured as the largest amount of tax benefit that is more than 50 percent likely of being realized upon settlement with the applicable taxing authority. The portion of the benefits associated with tax positions taken that exceeds the amount measured as described above should be reflected as a liability for uncertain tax benefits in the accompanying balance sheet along with any associated interest and penalties that would be payable to the taxing authorities upon examination. The Company believes its tax positions are all highly certain of being upheld upon examination. As such, the Company has not recorded a liability for uncertain tax benefits.

The Company has adopted ASC 740-10-25 Definition of Settlement, which provides guidance on how an entity should determine whether a tax position is effectively settled for the purpose of recognizing previously unrecognized tax benefits and provides that a tax position can be effectively settled upon the completion and examination by a taxing authority without being legally extinguished. For a tax position considered effectively settled, an entity would recognize the full amount of tax benefit, even if the tax position is not considered more likely than not to be sustained based solely on the basis of its technical merits and the statute of limitations remains open. The federal and state income tax returns of the Company are subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service and state taxing authorities, generally for three years after they were filed. The Company is in the process of filing the previous year's tax returns. After review of the prior year financial statements and the results of operations through June 30, 2015, the Company has recorded a deferred tax asset in the amount of \$10,257,415, from which the Company expects to realize benefits in the future, and an deferred tax liability of \$1,256,480.

The Company files U.S. and state income tax returns with varying statutes of limitations. The 2011 through 2014 tax years generally remain subject to examination by federal and state tax authorities.

Basic and Diluted Net Earnings (Loss) per Share

Net earnings (loss) per common share is calculated in accordance with ASC Topic 260: Earnings Per Share ("ASC 260"). Basic earnings (loss) per share is computed by dividing net earnings (loss) by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period. The computation of diluted net earnings (loss) per share does not include dilutive common stock equivalents in the weighted average shares outstanding, as they would be anti-dilutive. The Company has options to purchase 2,866,393 shares of common stock and warrants to purchase 2,021,308 shares of common stock outstanding at June 30, 2015, which were excluded from the computation of

diluted shares outstanding, as they would have had an anti-dilutive impact on the Company's net loss.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted loss per share:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2015	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2014	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2015	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2014
Net loss	(4,502,696)	\$(1,589,743)	(9,284,267)	\$(1,870,355)
Denominator				
Denominator for basic and diluted loss per share (weighted-average shares)	13,998,563	11,016,646	13,937,872	10,980,290
Earnings (Loss) per common share, basic and diluted:				
Income (Loss)	\$ (0.32)	\$ (0.14)	\$ (0.67)	\$ (0.17)

Intangible Assets

Intangible assets include patents purchased and patents acquired in lieu of cash in licensing transactions. The patents purchased are recorded based on the cost to acquire them and patents acquired in lieu of cash are recorded at their fair market value. The costs of these assets are amortized over their remaining useful lives. Useful lives of intangible assets are periodically evaluated for reasonableness and the assets are tested for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may no longer be recoverable. Based on changes in the expected timing of proceeds from the Clouding portfolio, the Company took an impairment charge as of June 30, 2015 in the carrying value of the Clouding patent intangible assets in the amount of \$766,498.

Goodwill

Goodwill is tested for impairment at the reporting unit level at least annually in accordance with ASC 350, and between annual tests if an event occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying value. When conducting its annual goodwill impairment assessment, the Company initially performs a qualitative evaluation of whether it is more likely than not that goodwill is impaired. If it is determined by a qualitative evaluation that it is more likely than not that goodwill is impaired, the Company then applies a two-step impairment test. The two-step impairment test first compares the fair value of the Company's reporting unit to its carrying or book value. If the fair value of the reporting unit exceeds its carrying value, goodwill is not impaired and the Company is not required to perform further testing. If the carrying value of the reporting unit exceeds its fair value, the Company determines the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill and if the carrying value of the reporting unit's goodwill exceeds its implied fair value, then an impairment loss equal to the difference is recorded in the consolidated statement of operations. The Company performs the annual testing for impairment of goodwill at the reporting unit level during the quarter ended September 30. The Company did not record any impairment charges to its goodwill during the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014.

Other Intangible Assets

In accordance with ASC 350-30-65, "Intangibles - Goodwill and Others", the Company assesses the impairment of identifiable intangibles whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. Factors the Company considers to be important which could trigger an impairment review include the following:

1. Significant underperformance relative to expected historical or projected future operating results;
2. Significant changes in the manner of use of the acquired assets or the strategy for the overall business;
3. Significant negative industry or economic trends; and
4. Significant reduction or exhaustion of the potential licenses of the patents which gave rise to the goodwill.

When the Company determines that the carrying value of intangibles may not be recoverable based upon the existence of one or more of the above indicators of impairment and the carrying value of the asset cannot be recovered from projected undiscounted cash flows, the Company records an impairment charge. The Company measures any impairment based on a projected discounted cash flow method using a discount rate determined by management to be commensurate with the risk inherent in the current business model. The Company did not record any impairment charges to its Other Intangible Assets during the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014.

Table of Contents

Impairment of Long-lived Assets

The Company accounts for the impairment or disposal of long-lived assets according to the ASC 360 “Property, Plant and Equipment”. The Company continually monitors events and changes in circumstances that could indicate that the carrying amounts of long-lived assets may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to the estimated future net undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. When necessary, impaired assets are written down to estimated fair value based on the best information available. Estimated fair value is generally based on either appraised value or measured by discounting estimated future cash flows. Considerable management judgment is necessary to estimate discounted future cash flows. Accordingly, actual results could vary significantly from such estimates. The Company recognizes an impairment loss when the sum of expected undiscounted future cash flows is less than the carrying amount of the asset. The Company did not record any impairment charges on its long-lived assets during the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014.

Stock-based Compensation

Stock-based compensation is accounted for based on the requirements of the Share-Based Payment Topic of ASC 718 which requires recognition in the consolidated financial statements of the cost of employee and director services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments over the period the employee or director is required to perform the services in exchange for the award (presumptively, the vesting period). The ASC also requires measurement of the cost of employee and director services received in exchange for an award based on the grant-date fair value of the award.

Pursuant to ASC Topic 505-50, for share-based payments to consultants and other third-parties, compensation expense is determined at the “measurement date.” The expense is recognized over the vesting period of the award. Until the measurement date is reached, the total amount of compensation expense remains uncertain. The Company initially records compensation expense based on the fair value of the award at the reporting date. As stock-based compensation expense is recognized based on awards expected to vest, forfeitures are also estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, the expected forfeiture rate was 12.8% and 12.8%, respectively, which resulted in an expense of \$5,947 and \$6,670, respectively, recognized in the Company’s compensation expenses. The Company will continue to re-assess the impact of forfeitures if actual forfeitures increase in future quarters.

Reclassification

Certain prior year reported amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation. The Company recorded an adjustment to the purchase price allocation and such adjustment has been retroactively reflected in the comparative information for the prior period presented. The reclassification did not have an impact on previously issued net income (loss) or Total Shareholders Equity.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In April 2015, the FASB issued new guidance on the presentation of debt issuance costs (ASU 2015-03, Interest - Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30): Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs), effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015, and interim periods within those fiscal years and should be applied retrospectively to all periods presented. Early adoption of the new guidance is permitted for financial statements that have not been previously issued. The new guidance will require that debt issuance costs be presented in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the related debt liability rather than as an asset, consistent with debt discounts. The Company adapted ASU 2015-03 and as such, the debt issuance cost for Fortress was presented in the balance sheet as

a direct deduction from the related debt liability.

In August 2014, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2014-15, Disclosure of Uncertainties About an Entity's Ability to Continue as a Going Concern. This standard update provides guidance around management's responsibility to evaluate whether there is substantial doubt about an entity's ability to continue as a going concern and to provide related footnote disclosures. The new guidance is effective for all annual and interim periods ending after December 15, 2016. The new guidance will not have an impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers, or ASU 2014-09, which requires an entity to recognize the amount of revenue to which it expects to be entitled for the transfer of promised goods or services to customers. The standard will replace most existing revenue recognition guidance in U.S. GAAP when it becomes effective and shall take effective on January 1, 2017. The standard permits the use of either the retrospective or cumulative effect transition method and the early application of the standard is not permitted. The Company is presently evaluating the effect that ASU 2014-09 will have on its consolidated financial statements and related disclosures and has not yet selected a transition method.

Table of Contents

NOTE 3 – ACQUISITIONS

CyberFone

On April 22, 2013, CyberFone Acquisition Corp., a Texas corporation and newly formed wholly owned subsidiary of the Company (“Acquisition Corp”) entered into a merger agreement (“CyberFone Merger Agreement”) with CyberFone Systems, LLC (“CyberFone”), TechDev Holdings, LLC (“TechDev”) and The Spangenberg Family Foundation for the Benefit of Children’s Healthcare and Education (“SFF” and together with TechDev, “CyberFone Sellers”). TechDev and SFF owned 100% of the membership interests of CyberFone Systems.

CyberFone owns a foundational patent portfolio that includes claims that provide specific transactional data processing, telecommunications, network and database inventions, including financial transactions. The portfolio, which has a large and established licensing base, consists of ten United States patents and 27 foreign patents and one patent pending. The patent rights that cover digital communications and data transaction processing are foundational to certain applications in the wireless, telecommunications, financial and other industries. IP Navigation Group LLC (“IP Nav”), a Company founded by Erich Spangenberg and associated with the CyberFone Sellers will continue to support and manage the portfolio of patents and retain a contingent participation interest in all recoveries. IP Nav provides patent monetization and support services under an existing agreement with CyberFone.

Pursuant to the terms of the CyberFone Merger Agreement, CyberFone merged with and into Acquisition Corp. with CyberFone surviving the merger as the wholly owned subsidiary of the Company. The Company (i) issued 461,538 post-split (6,000,000 pre-split) shares of common stock to the CyberFone Sellers, (ii) paid the CyberFone Sellers \$500,000 cash and (iii) issued a \$500,000 promissory note to TechDev. The Company valued these common shares at the fair market value on the date of grant at \$4.94 post-split (\$0.38 pre-split) per share or \$2,280,000. The note was non-interest bearing and was due on June 22, 2013, subject to acceleration in the event of default. The Company may prepay the note at any time without premium or penalty. On June 21, 2013, the Company paid \$500,000 to TechDev in satisfaction of the note. The transaction resulted in a business combination and caused CyberFone to become a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company.

In addition to the payments described above, within 30 days following the end of each calendar quarter (commencing with the first full calendar quarter following the calendar quarter in which CyberFone recovers \$4 million from licensing or enforcement activities related to the patents), CyberFone will be required to pay out a certain percentage of such recoveries.

The Company accounted for the acquisition utilizing the purchase method of accounting in accordance with ASC 805 “Business Combinations”. The Company is the acquirer for accounting purposes and CyberFone is the acquired company. Accordingly, the Company applied push-down accounting and adjusted to fair value all of the assets and liabilities directly on the financial statements of the Company subsidiary. The net purchase price paid by the Company was allocated to assets acquired and liabilities assumed on the records of the Company as follows:

Intangible assets	\$ 1,135,512
Goodwill	2,144,488
Net purchase price	\$ 3,280,000

Per the disclosure set forth above, the Company determined at September 30, 2014 that the goodwill was impaired and an impairment loss in the amount of \$2,144,488 was charged to the consolidated statements of operations.

Dynamic Advances, IP Liquidity and Sarif Biomedical

On May 2, 2014, the Company completed the acquisition of certain ownership rights (the “Acquired Intellectual Property”) from TechDev, Granicus IP, LLC (“Granicus”) and SFF pursuant to the terms of three purchase agreements between: (i) the Company, TechDev, SFF and DA Acquisition LLC, a newly formed Texas limited liability company and wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company; (ii) the Company, Granicus, SFF and IP Liquidity Ventures Acquisition LLC, a newly formed Delaware limited liability company and wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company; and (iii) the Company, TechDev, SFF and Sarif Biomedical Acquisition LLC, a newly formed Delaware limited liability company and wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company (the “DA Agreement,” the “IP Liquidity Agreement” and the “Sarif Agreement,” respectively and the collective transactions, the “Acquisitions”).

Table of Contents

Dynamic Advances

Pursuant to the DA Agreement, the Company acquired 100% of the limited liability company membership interests of Dynamic Advances, LLC, a Texas limited liability company, in consideration for: (i) two cash payments of \$2,375,000, one payment due at closing and the other payment due on or before September 30, 2014, with such second payment being subject to increase to \$2,850,000 if not made on or before June 30, 2014; and (ii) 195,500 shares of the Company's Series B Convertible Preferred Stock. Under the terms of the DA Agreement, TechDev and SFF are entitled to possible future payments for a maximum consideration of \$250,000,000 pursuant to the Pay Proceeds Agreement described below. Dynamic Advances, LLC holds exclusive license to monetize certain patents owned by a third party.

On May 2, 2014, the Company issued TechDev and SFF a promissory note in order to evidence the second cash payment due under the terms of the DA Agreement in the amount of \$2,375,000 due on or before September 30, 2014, with such amount due under the terms of the promissory note being subject to increase to \$2,850,000 if the Company's payment pursuant to the terms of the DA Agreement are not made on or before June 30, 2014. The promissory note matured on September 30, 2014; effective September 30, 2014, TechDev and SFF extended the maturity to March 31, 2015 in return for a payment of \$249,375, payable within thirty days. The payment for this extension of the maturity date was made on October 10, 2014 and the promissory note was repaid on April 1, 2015. The promissory note does not otherwise include any interest payable by the Company. Since the Company did not make the payment on the promissory note prior to June 30, 2014, the Company included in the consideration paid for Dynamic Advances the promissory note balance of \$2,850,000. Further, the Company had the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock valued by a third party firm that determined, based on the rights and privileges of the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock, that it was on par with the value of the Company's Common Stock. The total amount of consideration paid by the Company for Dynamic Advances, including capitalized costs associated with the purchase, was \$6,653,078.

After evaluating the facts and circumstances of the purchase, the Company determined that this was an asset purchase. In coming to its conclusion, the Company reviewed the status of the assets, the historical activity and the absence of any employees, licenses, revenues, and any other assets other than the IP Assets. Further, as there are no assumed licensees or historical revenues, the Company is not certain that it will be able to obtain access to customers pursuant to ASC 805-10-55-7.

IP Liquidity

Pursuant to the IP Liquidity Agreement, the Company acquired 100% of the limited liability company membership interests of IP Liquidity Ventures, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, in consideration for: (i) two cash payments of \$2,375,000, one payment due at closing and the other payment due on or before September 30, 2014, with such second payment being subject to increase to \$2,850,000 if not made on or before June 30, 2014; and (ii) 195,500 shares of the Company's Series B Convertible Preferred Stock. Under the terms of the IP Liquidity Agreement, Granicus and SFF are entitled to possible future payments for a maximum consideration of \$250,000,000 pursuant to the Pay Proceeds Agreement described below. IP Liquidity Ventures, LLC holds contract rights to the proceeds from the monetization of certain patents owned by a number of third parties.

On May 2, 2014, the Company issued Granicus and SFF a promissory note in order to evidence the second cash payment due under the terms of the IP Liquidity Agreement in the amount of \$2,375,000 due on or before September 30, 2014, with such amount due under the terms of the promissory note being subject to increase to \$2,850,000 if the Company's payment pursuant to the terms of the IP Liquidity Agreement are not made on or before June 30, 2014. The promissory note matured on September 30, 2014; effective September 30, 2014, Granicus and SFF extended the maturity to March 31, 2015 in return for a payment of \$249,375, payable within thirty days. The payment for this extension of the maturity date was made on October 10, 2014 and the promissory note was repaid on April 1, 2015.

The promissory note does not otherwise include any interest payable by the Company. Since the Company did not make the payment on the promissory note prior to June 30, 2014, the Company included in the consideration paid for IP Liquidity the promissory note balance of \$2,850,000. Further, the Company had the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock valued by a third party firm that determined, based on the rights and privileges of the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock that it was on par with the value of the Company's Common Stock. The total amount of consideration paid by the Company for IP Liquidity, including capitalized costs associated with the purchase, was \$6,653,078.

After evaluating the facts and circumstances of the purchase, the Company determined that this was an asset purchase. In coming to its conclusion, the Company reviewed the status of the assets, the historical activity and the absence of any employees, licenses, revenues, and any other assets other than the IP Assets. Further, as there are no assumed licensees or historical revenues, the Company is not certain that it will be able to obtain access to customers pursuant to ASC 805-10-55-7.

Table of Contents

Sarif Biomedical

Pursuant to the Sarif Agreement, the Company acquired 100% of the limited liability company membership interests of Sarif Biomedical, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, in consideration for two cash payments of \$250,000, one payment due at closing and the other payment due on or before September 30, 2014, with such second payment being subject to increase to \$300,000 if not made on or before June 30, 2014. Under the terms of the Sarif Agreement, TechDev is entitled to possible future payments for a maximum consideration of \$250,000,000 pursuant to the Pay Proceeds Agreement described below. Sarif Biomedical, LLC holds ownership rights to certain patents.

On May 2, 2014, the Company issued TechDev a promissory note in order to evidence the second cash payment due under the terms of the Sarif Agreement in the amount of \$250,000 due on or before September 30, 2014, with such amount due under the terms of the promissory note being subject to increase to \$300,000 if the Company's payment pursuant to the terms of the Sarif Agreement are not made on or before September 30, 2014. The promissory note matured on September 30, 2014; effective September 30, 2014, TechDev extended the maturity to March 31, 2015 in return for a payment of \$26,250, payable within thirty days. The payment for this extension of the maturity date was made on October 10, 2014 and the promissory note was repaid on April 1, 2015. The promissory note does not otherwise include any interest payable by the Company. Since the Company did not make the payment on the promissory note prior to June 30, 2014, the Company included in the consideration paid for Dynamic Advances the higher principal amount of the promissory note. The total amount of consideration paid by the Company for Sarif Biomedical, including capitalized costs associated with the purchase, was \$552,024.

After evaluating the facts and circumstances of the purchase, the Company determined that this was an asset purchase. In coming to its conclusion, the Company reviewed the status of the assets, the historical activity and the absence of any employees, licenses, revenues, and any other assets other than the IP Assets. Further, as there are no assumed licensees or historical revenues, the Company is not certain that it will be able to obtain access to customers pursuant to ASC 805-10-55-7.

Dynamic Advances, IP Liquidity and Sarif Biomedical

Pursuant to the Pay Proceeds Agreement, the Company may pay the sellers a percentage of the net recoveries (gross revenues minus certain defined expenses) that the Company makes with respect to the assets held by the entities that the Company acquired pursuant to the DA Agreement, the IP Liquidity Agreement and the Sarif Agreement (the "IP Assets"). Under the terms of the Pay Proceeds Agreement, if the Company recovers \$10,000,000 or less with regard to the IP Assets, then nothing is due to the sellers; if the Company recovers between \$10,000,000 and \$40,000,000 with regard to the IP Assets, then the Company shall pay 40% of the net proceeds of such recoveries to the sellers; and if the Company recovers over \$40,000,000 with regard to the IP Assets, the Company shall pay 50% of the net proceeds of such recoveries to the sellers. In no event will the total payments made by the Company under the Pay Proceeds Agreement exceed \$250,000,000.

Pursuant to a Registration Rights Agreement with the sellers (the "Acquisition Registration Rights Agreement"), the Company agreed to file a "resale" registration statement with the SEC covering at least 10% of the registrable shares of the Company's Series B Convertible Preferred Stock issued to the sellers under the terms of the DA Agreement and the IP Liquidity Agreement, at any time on or after November 2, 2014 upon receipt of a written demand from the sellers which describes the amount and type of securities to be included in the registration and the intended method of distribution thereof. The Company shall not be required to file more than three such registration statements not more than 60 days after the receipt of each such written demand from the sellers.

TechDev and Mr. Erich Spangenberg (the founder of IP Nav) and his spouse Audrey Spangenberg have jointly filed a Schedule 13G and are deemed to be affiliates of the Company.

Selene Communication Technologies

On June 17, 2014, Selene Communication Technologies Acquisition LLC (“Acquisition LLC”), a Delaware limited liability company and newly formed wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, entered into a merger agreement with Selene Communication Technologies, LLC (“Selene”).

-12-

Table of Contents

Selene owns a patent portfolio consisting of three United States patents in the field of search and network intrusion that relate to tools for intelligent searches applied to data management systems as well as global information networks such as the internet. IP Nav will continue to support and manage the portfolio of patents and retain a contingent participation interest in all recoveries. IP Nav provides patent monetization and support services under an existing agreement with Selene.

Pursuant to the terms of the Selene Interests Sale Agreement, Selene merged with and into Acquisition LLC with Selene surviving the merger as the wholly owned subsidiary of the Company. The Company (i) issued 100,000 shares of common stock to the Selene Sellers and (ii) paid the Selene Sellers \$50,000 cash. The Company valued these common shares at the fair market value on the date of grant at \$9.80 per share or \$980,000. The transaction resulted in a business combination and caused Selene to become a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company.

The Company accounted for the acquisition as a business combination in accordance with ASC 805 “Business Combinations” in which the Company is the acquirer for accounting purposes and Selene is the acquired company. The fair value of the assets purchased, and the net purchase price paid by the Company was allocated to assets acquired and liabilities assumed on the records of the Company as follows:

Intangible assets	\$ 990,000
Net working capital	37,000
Goodwill	3,000
Net purchase price	\$ 1,030,000

Clouding Corp.

On August 29, 2014, the Company entered into a patent purchase agreement (the “Clouding Agreement”) between Clouding Corp., a Delaware corporation and a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company (“Clouding”) and Clouding IP, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (“Clouding IP”), pursuant to which Clouding acquired a portfolio of patents from Clouding IP. Clouding owns patents related to network and data management technology.

The Company paid Clouding IP (i) \$1.4 million in cash, (ii) \$1.0 million in the form of a promissory note issued by the Company that matures on October 31, 2014, (iii) 25,000 shares of its restricted common stock valued at \$281,000 and (iv) fifty percent (50%) of the net recoveries (gross revenues minus certain defined expenses) in excess of \$4.0 million in net revenues that the Company makes with respect to the patents purchased from Clouding IP. The Company valued the Common Stock at the fair market value on the date of the Interests Sale Agreement at \$11.24 per share or \$281,000 and the promissory note was paid in full prior to October 31, 2014. The revenue share under item (iv) above was booked as an earn out liability on the balance sheet in accordance with the appraisal of the consideration and intangible value. The Company booked a payable to the sellers pursuant to the earn out liability in the amount of \$2,148,000 at September 30, 2014, based on license agreements entered into during the quarter. No further amount is owed until the Company generates additional revenue, if any, from the Clouding patents.

The Company accounted for the acquisition as a business combination in accordance with ASC 805 “Business Combinations”. The fair value of the assets purchased, and the net purchase price paid by the Company was allocated to assets acquired and liabilities assumed on the records of the Company as follows:

Intangible assets	\$ 14,500,000
Goodwill	1,296,000
Net purchase price	\$ 15,796,000

Total consideration paid of the following:

Cash	\$1,400,000
Promissory Note	1,000,000
Common Stock	281,000
Earn Out Liability	13,115,000
Net purchase price	\$15,796,000

Table of Contents

Historical financial statements of Clouding IP and the pro forma condensed combined consolidated financial statements (both carve-out of certain operations of Clouding IP) can be found on the Form 8-K/A filed with the SEC on November 12, 2014. The unaudited pro forma condensed combined consolidated financial statements are not necessarily indicative of the results that actually would have been attained if the merger had been in effect on the dates indicated or which may be attained in the future. Such statements should be read in conjunction with the historical financial statements of the Company.

Based on the underlying enforcement case schedule of the Clouding portfolio, the Company evaluated the timing of expected proceeds and updated the carrying value of the assets and the amount of the contingent liability, as a result of which, the Company took an impairment charge in the carrying value of the Clouding IP intangible patent assets in the amount of \$766,498 and a reduction in the value of the Clouding IP earn out liability, a level 3 liability, in the amount of \$2,304,301. The reduction in the value of the Clouding IP earn out liability was divided into a reduction in the short-term Clouding IP earn out liability in the amount of \$1,208,516 and a reduction in the long-term earn out liability in the amount of \$1,095,785.

TLI Communications LLC

On September 19, 2014, TLI Acquisition Corp (“TLIA”), a Virginia corporation and newly formed wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, entered into an interest sale agreement to purchase 100% of the membership interests of TLI Communications LLC (“TLIC”), a Delaware limited liability company. TLIC owns a patent in the telecommunications field.

Pursuant to the terms of the TLIC Interests Sale Agreement, TLIC merged with and into TLIA with TLIC surviving the merger as the wholly owned subsidiary of the Company. The Company (i) agreed to issue 60,000 shares of Common Stock to the sellers of TLIC (“TLIC Sellers”), (ii) paid the TLIC Sellers \$350,000 cash and (iii) agreed to pay the TLIC Sellers a fifty percent (50%) of the net recoveries (gross revenues minus certain defined expenses and the cash portion of the acquisition consideration) that the Company makes with respect to the patent purchased pursuant to the acquisition of TLIC. The Company valued the Common Stock at the fair market value on the date of the Interests Sale Agreement at \$13.63 per share or \$818,000. The cash portion of the consideration was outstanding at September 30, 2014 and was subsequently paid in October. The transaction resulted in a business combination and caused TIC to become a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company.

The Company accounted for the acquisition as a business combination in accordance with ASC 805 “Business Combinations”. The Company is the acquirer for accounting purposes and TLIC is the acquired company. The fair value of the assets purchased, and the net purchase price paid by the Company was allocated to assets acquired and liabilities assumed on the records of the Company as follows:

Intangible assets	\$ 940,000
Goodwill	228,000
Net purchase price	\$ 1,168,000

Medtech Entities

On October 13, 2014, Medtech Group Acquisition Corp (“Medtech Corp.”), a Texas corporation and newly formed wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, entered into an interest sale agreement to purchase 100% of the equity or membership interests of OrthoPhoenix, LLC (“OrthoPhoenix”), a Delaware limited liability company, TLIF, LLC (“TLIF”) and MedTech Development Deutschland GmbH (“MedTech GmbH” and along with OrthoPhoenix and TLIF, the “Medtech Entities”) from MedTech Development, LLC (“MedTech Development”). The Medtech Entities own patents in the medical technology field.

Pursuant to the terms of the Interest Sale Agreement between MedTech Development, Medtech Corp. and the Medtech Entities, the Company (i) paid MedTech Development \$1,000,000 cash and (ii) issue a Promissory Note to MedTech Development in the amount of \$9,000,000 and (iii) assumed existing debt payable to Medtronics, Inc. The assumed debt payable to Medtronics was renegotiated, as a result of which, the outstanding amount was \$6.25 million prior to any repayment by the Company. The debt is due in installments through July 20, 2015; in the event that the Company paid the total amount due by June 30, 2015, the Company would have received a reduction in the remaining principal owed by the Company in the amount of \$750,000. Since the Company expected to make the payment by that time when it entered into the agreement, the Company took a discount to the principal amount during the fourth quarter of 2014 when it made the acquisition. However, since the Company did not actually make the payment of the final principal amount by June 30, 2015, the Company reversed the earlier discount as of June 30, 2015. The transaction resulted in a business combination and caused the Medtech Entities to become wholly-owned subsidiaries of the Company.

Table of Contents

The Company accounted for the acquisition as a business combination in accordance with ASC 805 “Business Combinations”. The Company is the acquirer for accounting purposes and TLIC is the acquired company. The Company engaged a third party valuation firm to determine the fair value of the assets purchases, and the net purchase price paid by the Company was subsequently allocated to assets acquired and liabilities assumed on the records of the Company as follows:

Intangible assets	\$ 12,800,000
Goodwill	2,700,000
Net purchase price	\$ 15,500,000

Historical financial statements of the Medtech Entities and the pro forma condensed combined consolidated financial statements can be found on the Form 8-K/A filed with the SEC on December 24, 2014. The unaudited pro forma condensed combined consolidated financial statements are not necessarily indicative of the results that actually would have been attained if the merger had been in effect on the dates indicated or which may be attained in the future. Such statements should be read in conjunction with the historical financial statements of the Company.

Bridgestone Americas Tire Operations, LLC (“BATO”)

On April 23, 2015, IP Liquidity entered into a Patent Purchase Agreement (“BATO PPA”), as amended, whereby IP Liquidity purchased 43 patents from Bridgestone Americas Tire Operations LLC (“BATO”).

Pursuant to the terms of the BATO PPA, the Company agreed to pay BATO (i) \$3.5 million in two increments within thirty days after the execution of the document and (ii) an additional \$6.5 million in the event that the Company funds the German court bond requirement to put an injunction in place. The Company has not made the first payment to BATO pending further potential amendments to the BATO PPA.

The Company accounted for the acquisition as an asset acquisition in accordance with ASC 805 “Business Combinations”. The Company engaged a third party valuation firm to determine the fair value of the assets purchased, which determined that the fair value of the assets was in excess of the purchase consideration, so the Company booked the assets at the purchase consideration of \$10 million.

NOTE 4 – INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Intangible assets of the Company, including adjustments for currency translation adjustments, consisted of the following:

	June 30, 2015	December 31, 2014
Intangible Assets	\$58,641,082	\$49,914,360
Accumulated Amortization & Impairment	(12,043,560)	(6,550,528)
Intangible assets, net	\$46,597,522	\$43,363,832

Intangible assets are comprised of patents with estimated useful lives between approximately 1 to 15 years. The website was determined to have an estimated useful life of 3 years. Once placed in service, the Company will amortize the costs of intangible assets over their estimated useful lives on a straight-line basis. Costs incurred to acquire patents, including legal costs, are also capitalized as long-lived assets and amortized on a straight-line basis with the associated patent. Amortization of patents is included in operating expenses as reflected in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. As of June 30, 2015, based on changes in the expected timing of proceeds from the Clouding portfolio of patents, the Company assessed the Clouding portfolio of patents for impairment and took an

impairment charge in the carrying value of the Clouding assets in the amount of \$766,498. As of December 31, 2014, management concluded that there was no impairment to the acquired assets.

Table of Contents

2015	\$5,540,859
2016	10,413,062
2017	7,998,735
2018	6,374,412
2019	5,468,467
2020 and thereafter	10,801,987
Total	\$46,597,522

Patent amortization expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 was \$3,029,000 and \$5,627,461, respectively and Patent amortization expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 was \$938,679 and \$1,392,326, respectively, net of foreign currency translation adjustments. Gross future amortization of intangible assets, not incorporating foreign currency translation adjustments, is as follows:

The Company made the following patent purchases:

- In April 2013, the Company through its subsidiary, Relay IP, Inc. acquired a US patent for \$350,000;
- In April 2013, the Company acquired 10 US patents, 27 foreign patents and 1 patent pending from CyberFone Systems valued at \$1,135,512;
- In June 2013, in connection with the closing of a licensing agreement with Siemens Technology, we acquired a patent portfolio from that company valued at \$1,000,000;
- In September 2013, the Company acquired 14 US patents for a total purchase price of \$1,100,000;
- In November 2013, the Company acquired four patents for 150,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock, which the Company valued at \$718,500 based on the fair market value of the stock issued;
- In December 2013, the Company acquired certain patents from Delphi Technologies, Inc. for \$1,700,000 pursuant to a Patent Purchase Agreement entered into on October 31, 2013 and Amended on December 16, 2013;
- In December 2013, in connection with a licensing agreement with Zhone, the Company acquired a portfolio of patents from Zhone;
- In December 2013, in connection with a settlement and license agreement, we agreed to settle and release another defendant for past and future use of our patents, whereby the defendant agreed to assign and transfer 2 U.S. patents and rights to the Company;
- In May 2014, we acquired ownership rights of Dynamic Advances, LLC, a Texas limited liability company, IP Liquidity Ventures, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, and Sarif Biomedical, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, all of which hold patent portfolios or contract rights to the revenue generated from the patent portfolios;
- In June 2014, we acquired Selene Communication Technologies, LLC, which holds multiple patents in the search and network intrusion field;
- In August 2014, we acquired patents from Clouding IP LLC, with such patents related to network and data management technology;
- In September 2014, we acquired TLI Communications, which owns a single patent in the telecommunication field;
- In October 2014, we acquired three patent portfolios from MedTech Development, which owns medical technology patents;
- In April 2015, we acquired forty-three international patents from Bridgestone Americas Tire Operation LLC, with such patents related to tire pressure monitoring sensors and systems.

As of June 30, 2015, the Company's patent portfolios consist of 371 U.S. and foreign active patents and contract rights to ten patents. In the aggregate, the earliest date for expiration of a patent in the Company's patent portfolio is past (the patent is expired, but patent rules allow for six year look-back for royalties), the median expiration date for patents in the Company's portfolio is June 17, 2017, and the latest expiration date for a patent in any of the Company's patent portfolios is July 29, 2029. A summary of the Company's patent portfolios is as follows:

Table of Contents

Subsidiary	Number of Patents	Earliest Expiration Date	Median Expiration Date	Latest Expiration Date	Subject Matter
Bismarck IP Inc.	17	09/15/16	09/15/15	01/22/18	Communication and PBX equipment
Clouding Corp.	60	Expired	10/05/21	03/29/29	Network and data management
CRFD Research, Inc.	5	09/17/21	08/11/22	08/19/23	Web page content translator and device-to-device transfer system
Cyberfone Systems, LLC	37	Expired	09/15/15	11/11/17	Telephony and data transactions
Dynamic Advances, LLC	4	Expired	10/02/17	03/06/23	Natural language interface
E2E Processing, Inc.	4	04/27/20	11/17/23	07/18/24	Manufacturing schedules using adaptive learning
Hybrid Sequence IP, Inc.	2	11/14/15	09/09/16	07/17/17	Asynchronous communications
IP Liquidity Ventures, LLC	49	Expired	06/06/15	07/26/20	Pharmaceuticals / tire pressure systems
Loopback Technologies, Inc.	10	Expired	09/25/17	08/27/22	Automotive
Medtech Group Acquisition Corp.	137	Expired	06/01/18	07/29/29	Medical technology
Relay IP, Inc.	1	Expired	Expired	Expired	Multicasting
Sampo IP, LLC	3	03/13/18	03/13/18	11/16/23	Centrifugal communications
Sarif Biomedical LLC	5	Expired	Expired	Expired	Microsurgery equipment
Selene Communication Technologies, LLC	3	05/05/18	11/23/20	11/28/21	Communications
Signal IP, Inc.	7	Expired	12/01/15	08/06/22	Automotive
TLI Communications, LLC	6	06/17/17	06/17/17	06/17/17	Telecommunications
Vantage Point Technology, Inc.	31	Expired	12/21/16	03/09/18	Computer networking and operations

NOTE 5 - STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

On December 7, 2011, the Company increased its authorized capital to 200,000,000 shares of Common Stock from 75,000,000 shares, changed the par value to \$0.0001 per share from \$.001 per share, and authorized 100,000,000 shares of preferred stock, par value \$0.0001 per share.

On June 24, 2013, the reverse stock split ratio of one (1) for thirteen (13) basis was approved by the Board of Directors. On July 18, 2013, the Company filed a certificate of amendment to its Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation with the Secretary of State of the State of Nevada in order to effectuate a reverse stock split of the Company's issued and outstanding Common Stock, par value \$0.0001 per share on a one (1) for thirteen (13) basis.

On November 19, 2014, the Board of Directors of the Company declared a stock dividend pursuant to which holders of the Company's Common Stock as of the close of business of the record date of December 15, 2014 shall receive one additional share of Common Stock at the close of business on December 22, 2014 for each share of Common Stock held by such holders. All share and per share values for all periods presented in the accompanying consolidated financial statements are retroactively restated for the effect of the reverse stock split and stock dividend.

Series B Convertible Preferred Stock

On May 1, 2014, the Company filed with the Secretary of State of Nevada a Certificate of Designations of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock (the "Series B Certificate of Designations") authorizing 500,000 shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock and establishing the designations, preferences, and other rights of the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock. The Series B Certificate of Designations became effective upon filing.

On May 2, 2014, the Company issued an aggregate of 782,000 shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock valued at \$2,807,380 to acquire IP Liquidity Ventures, LLC, Dynamic Advances, LLC and Sarif Biomedical, LLC. The transaction did not involve any underwriters, underwriting discounts or commissions, or any public offering. The issuance of these securities was deemed to be exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, by virtue of Section 4(a)(2) thereof, as a transaction by an issuer not involving a public offering.

Table of Contents

On September 17, 2014, the Company entered into a consulting agreement (the “GRQ Consulting Agreement”) with GRQ Consultants, Inc. (“GRQ”), pursuant to which GRQ shall provide certain consulting services including, but not limited to, advertising, marketing, business development, strategic and business planning, channel partner development and other functions intended to advance the business of the Company. As consideration, GRQ shall be entitled to 200,000 shares of the Company’s Series B Convertible Preferred Stock, 50% of which vested upon execution of the GRQ Consulting Agreement, and 50% of which shall vest in six (6) equal monthly installments of commencing on October 17, 2014. The first tranche of 100,000 shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock was issued to GRQ on October 6, 2014. In addition, the GRQ Consulting Agreement allows for GRQ to receive additional shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock upon the achievement of certain performance benchmarks. All shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock issuable to GRQ shall be pursuant to the 2014 Plan (as defined below) and shall be subject to shareholder approval of the 2014 Plan on or prior to September 16, 2015. The GRQ Consulting Agreement contains an acknowledgement that the conversion of the preferred stock into shares of the Company’s common stock is precluded by the equity blockers set forth in the certificate of designation and in Section 17 of the 2014 Plan to ensure compliance with NASDAQ Listing Rule 5635(d).

Common Stock

On June 24, 2013, the reverse stock split ratio of one (1) for thirteen (13) basis was approved by the Board of Directors. On July 18, 2013, the Company filed a certificate of amendment to its Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation with the Secretary of State of the State of Nevada in order to effectuate a reverse stock split of the Company’s issued and outstanding Common Stock, par value \$0.0001 per share on a one (1) for thirteen (13) basis. All share and per share values for all periods presented in the accompanying consolidated financial statements are retroactively restated for the effect of the reverse stock split.

On April 22, 2014, the Company issued 300,000 shares of Restricted Common Stock to TT IP LLC pursuant to the acquisition of patents on November 13, 2013.

On June 2, 2014, the Company issued 48,078 shares of unrestricted Common Stock to an investor in the May 2013 PIPE, pursuant to the exercise of a warrant received in the May 2013 PIPE investment.

On June 30, 2014, the Company issued 200,000 shares of Restricted Common Stock pursuant to the acquisition of Selene Communications Technologies, LLC (see Note 3). In connection with this transaction, the Company valued the shares at the fair market value on the date of grant at \$4.90 per share or \$980,000.

On July 18, 2014, the Company issues a total of 26,722 shares of Common Stock pursuant to the exercise of stock options held by a former member of the Company’s Board of Directors and the Company’s former Chief Financial Officer.

On September 16, 2014, the Company issued to two of its independent board members, in lieu of cash compensation, 6,178 shares of Restricted Common Stock. The shares shall vest quarterly over twelve (12) months commencing on the date of grant.

On September 30, 2014, the Company issued 50,000 shares of Restricted Common Stock pursuant to the acquisition of the assets of Clouding IP, LLC (see Note 3). In connection with this transaction, the Company valued the shares at the quoted market price on the date of grant at \$5.62 per share or \$281,000.

For the three months ended September 30, 2014, certain holders of warrants exercised their warrants in a cashless, net exercise basis in exchange for 84,652 shares of the Company’s Common Stock.

On November 19, 2014, the Board of Directors of the Company declared a stock dividend (“Dividend”) pursuant to which holders of the Company’s Common Stock as of the close of business of the record date of December 15, 2014 shall receive one additional share of Common Stock at the close of business on December 22, 2014 for each share of Common Stock held by such holders. Throughout this report, all share and per share values for all periods presented in the accompanying consolidated financial statements are retroactively restated for the effect of the stock dividend.

For the three months ended December 31, 2014, certain holders of warrants exercised their warrants in a cashless, net exercise basis in exchange for 29,230 shares of the Company’s Common Stock.

Table of Contents

On January 29, 2015, the Company issued 134,409 shares of the Company's Common Stock to DBD Credit Funding, LLC ("DBD"), an affiliate of Fortress Credit Corp. ("Fortress"), pursuant to the Fortress transaction as set forth in Note 6.

For the three months ended March 31, 2015, certain holders of warrants exercised their warrants to purchase, in cash, 5,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock.

For the three months ended June 30, 2015, certain holders of warrants exercised their warrants to purchase, on a net exercise basis, 33,968 (net) shares of the Company's Common Stock.

Common Stock Warrants

On May 1, 2014, the Company issued warrants to purchase 511,790 shares of Common Stock, at a price of \$3.75 per share of Common Stock, pursuant to the Private Placement described in detail below. The Company reviewed the issuance of warrants, done in conjunction with the financing closed on May 1, 2014, and determined that pursuant to ASC 480 and ASC 815, the warrants met the requirement to be classified as equity and were booked as Additional Paid-in Capital.

In conjunction with the issuance of \$5,550,000 in convertible debt on October 16, 2014, the Company issued two-year Warrants to purchase 258,998 shares of the Company's Common Stock, par value \$0.0001 per share pursuant to a securities purchase agreement. The warrants were valued at \$164,020 and were recorded as a discount to the fair value of the convertible notes. The Warrants are initially convertible into shares of the Company's Common Stock at an exercise price of \$8.25 per share. The conversion and exercise prices are subject to adjustment in the event of certain events, including stock splits and dividends. The Company reviewed the instruments in the context of ASC 480 and determined that the convertible notes should be recorded as a liability and analyzed the conversion feature and bifurcation pursuant to ASC 815 and ASC 470, respectively, to determine that there was no beneficial conversion feature and that the convertible notes should not be bifurcated.

On January 29, 2015, the Company and certain of its subsidiaries entered into a series of Agreements including a Securities Purchase Agreement ("Fortress Purchase Securities Agreement") with DBD, an affiliate of Fortress, under which the Company issued a five-year warrant ("Fortress Warrant") to purchase 100,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock exercisable at \$7.44 per share, subject to adjustment. The Company reviewed the instruments in the context of ASC 480 and determined that the convertible notes should be recorded as a liability and analyzed the conversion feature and bifurcation pursuant to ASC 815 and ASC 470, respectively, to determine that there was no beneficial conversion feature and that the convertible notes and warrants should not be bifurcated.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, the Company recorded compensation expense of \$0 and \$3,465, respectively, in connection with vested warrants. At June 30, 2015, there was a total of \$0 of unrecognized compensation expense related to this non-vested warrant-based compensation arrangement.

A summary of the status of the Company's outstanding stock warrants at June 30, 2015 is as follows:

	Number of Warrants	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Life
Balance at December 31, 2014	1,926,308	\$4.10	1.55
Granted	100,000	\$7.44	4.59
Cancelled	-	-	-
Forfeited	-	-	-

Edgar Filing: Marathon Patent Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Exercised	5,000	\$3.75	-
Balance at June 30, 2015	2,021,308	4.27	1.23
Warrants exercisable at June 30, 2015	2,021,308		
Weighted average fair value of warrants granted during the period		\$3.19	

-19-

Table of Contents

Warrant Amendment Letter

On April 20, 2014, the Company sent a letter (the "Warrant Amendment Letter") to all the holders of the warrants which were granted in connection with the sale of units pursuant to a securities purchase agreements which occurred between May 2013 and August 2013. The Warrant Amendment Letter offered to reduce the exercise price of the warrants from \$6.50 per share to \$5.75 per share, if the holders of the warrants accepted the Company's offer to exercise the warrants in full for cash by April 22, 2014 (the "Expiration Date"). The Company subsequently extended the Expiration Date to April 24, 2014. On April 24, 2014, one holder of warrants, who is an accredited investor, accepted the Company's offer and thereby exercised his warrants, for gross proceeds to the Company of approximately \$138,222. After analyzing the circumstances relative to the Warrant Amendment Letter – the extremely short period of time to exercise pursuant to the Amendment Letter, the relatively small change in the exercise price and the limited response to the Amendment Letter – the Company deemed that the change was not a significant modification of the terms of the warrant and did not assess a new fair value and consequently did not make an entry for any adjustment in the value.

Common Stock Options

On April 15, 2014, the Company issued a new board member a five (5) year option to purchase an aggregate of 20,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock with an exercise price of \$3.295 per share, subject to adjustment, which shall vest in twelve (12) monthly installments commencing on the date of grant. The option was valued based on the Black-Scholes model, using the strike and market prices of \$3.295 per share, life of three years, volatility of 51% based on the closing price of the 50 trading sessions immediately preceding the grant and a discount rate as published by the Federal Reserve of 0.84%.

On May 14, 2014, the Company issued existing employees, ten (10) year options to purchase an aggregate of 80,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock with an exercise price of \$4.165 per share, subject to adjustment, which shall vest in three (3) annual installments, with 33% vesting on the first anniversary of the date of grant, 33% on the second anniversary of the date of grant and 34% on the third anniversary of the date of grant. The options were valued based on the Black-Scholes model, using the strike and market prices of \$4.165 per share, life of 6.5 years, volatility of 63% based on the closing price of the 50 trading sessions immediately preceding the grant and a discount rate as published by the Federal Reserve of 1.97%.

On May 14, 2014, the Company issued to consultants, five (5) year options to purchase an aggregate of 160,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock with an exercise price of \$4.165 per share, subject to adjustment, which shall vest in three (3) annual installments, with 33% vesting on the first anniversary of the date of grant, 33% on the second anniversary of the date of grant and 34% on the third anniversary of the date of grant. The options were valued based on the Black-Scholes model, using the strike and market prices of \$4.165 per share, life of 3.5 years, volatility of 50% based on the closing price of the 50 trading sessions immediately preceding the grant and a discount rate as published by the Federal Reserve of 1.00%.

On May 15, 2014, the Company entered into an executive employment agreement with Francis Knuettel II ("Knuettel Agreement") pursuant to which Mr. Knuettel would serve as the Company's Chief Financial Officer. As part of the consideration, the Company agreed to grant Mr. Knuettel a ten (10) year stock option to purchase an aggregate of 290,000 shares of Common Stock, with a strike price of \$4.165 per share, vesting in thirty-six (36) equal installments on each monthly anniversary of the date of the Knuettel Agreement. The option was valued based on the Black-Scholes model, using the strike and market prices of \$4.165 per share, life of 6.5 years, volatility of 63% based on the closing price of the 50 trading sessions immediately preceding the grant and a discount rate as published by the Federal Reserve of 1.97%.

On June 15, 2014, the Company issued to a consultant a five (5) year stock option to purchase an aggregate of 40,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock with an exercise price of \$5.05 per share, subject to adjustment, which shall vest in twenty-four (24) each monthly installments on each monthly anniversary date of the grant. The options were valued based on the Black-Scholes model, using the strike and market prices of \$5.05 per share, life of 3.25 years, volatility of 50% based on the closing price of the 50 trading sessions immediately preceding the grant and a discount rate as published by the Federal Reserve of 1.05%.

Table of Contents

On August 29, 2014, the Company entered into an executive employment agreement with Daniel Gelbtuch (“Gelbtuch Agreement”) pursuant to which Mr. Gelbtuch would serve as the Company’s Chief Marketing Officer. As part of the consideration, the Company agreed to grant Mr. Gelbtuch ten (10) year stock options to purchase an aggregate of 290,000 shares of Common Stock, with a strike price of \$5.62 per share, vesting in thirty-six (36) equal installments on each monthly anniversary of the date of the Gelbtuch Agreement. Mr. Gelbtuch’s employment with the Company was terminated as of January 20, 2015 and the vested shares at that time remain available for Mr. Gelbtuch to exercise. The option was valued based on the Black-Scholes model, using the strike and market prices of \$5.62 per share, life of 6.5 years, volatility of 62% based on the average volatility of comparable companies over the prior 10-year period and a discount rate as published by the Federal Reserve of 1.95%.

On September 16, 2014, the Company issued its independent board members five (5) year options to purchase an aggregate of 60,000 shares of the Company’s Common Stock with an exercise price of \$7.445 per share, subject to adjustment, which shall vest monthly over twelve (12) months commencing on the date of grant. The options were valued based on the Black-Scholes model, using the strike and market prices of \$7.445 per share, life of three years, volatility of 49% based on the average volatility of comparable companies over the prior 5-year period and a discount rate as published by the Federal Reserve of 1.04%.

On October 31, 2014, the Company entered into an executive employment agreement with Enrique Sanchez (“Sanchez Agreement”) pursuant to which Mr. Sanchez would serve as the Company’s Senior Vice President of Licensing. As part of the consideration, the Company agreed to grant Mr. Sanchez ten (10) year stock options to purchase an aggregate of 160,000 shares of Common Stock, with a strike price of \$6.40 per share, vesting in thirty-six (36) equal installments on each monthly anniversary of the date of the Sanchez Agreement. The options were valued based on the Black-Scholes model, using the strike and market prices of \$6.40 per share, an expected term of 5.75 years, volatility of 53% based on the average volatility of comparable companies over the comparable prior period and a discount rate as published by the Federal Reserve of 1.78%.

On October 31, 2014, the Company entered into an executive employment agreement with Umesh Jani (“Jani Agreement”) pursuant to which Mr. Jani would serve as the Company’s Chief Technology Officer and SVP of Licensing. As part of the consideration, the Company agreed to grant Mr. Jani ten (10) year stock options to purchase an aggregate of 100,000 shares of Common Stock, with a strike price of \$6.40 per share, vesting in thirty-six (36) equal installments on each monthly anniversary of the date of the Jani Agreement. The options were valued based on the Black-Scholes model, using the strike and market prices of \$6.40 per share, an expected term of 5.75 years, volatility of 53% based on the average volatility of comparable companies over the comparable prior period and a discount rate as published by the Federal Reserve of 1.78%.

On October 31, 2014, the Company issued existing employees, ten (10) year options to purchase an aggregate of 680,000 shares of the Company’s Common Stock with an exercise price of \$6.40 per share, subject to adjustment, which shall vest in twenty-four (24) equal installments on each monthly anniversary. The options were valued based on the Black-Scholes model, using the strike and market prices of \$6.40 per share, an expected term of 5.75 years, volatility of 53% based on the average volatility of comparable companies over the comparable prior period and a discount rate as published by the Federal Reserve of 1.78%.

On October 31, 2014, the Company issued to a consultant, a five (5) year options to purchase an aggregate of 30,000 shares of the Company’s Common Stock with an exercise price of \$6.40 per share, subject to adjustment, which shall vest in twenty-four (24) equal installments on each monthly anniversary of the grant. The options were valued based on the Black-Scholes model, using the strike and market prices of \$6.40 per share, an expected term of 3.25 years, volatility of 49% based on the average volatility of comparable companies over the comparable prior period and a discount rate as published by the Federal Reserve of 1.03%.

On February 5, 2015 the Company issued to a consultant, a five (5) year options to purchase an aggregate of 25,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock with an exercise price of \$6.80 per share, subject to adjustment, which shall vest in twenty-four (24) equal installments on each monthly anniversary of the grant. The options were valued based on the Black-Scholes model, using the strike and market prices of \$6.80 per share, an expected term of 3.25 years, volatility of 47% based on the average volatility of comparable companies over the comparable prior period and a discount rate as published by the Federal Reserve of 0.92%.

Table of Contents

On March 6, 2015 the Company issued a new board member a five (5) year options to purchase an aggregate of 20,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock with an exercise price of \$7.37 per share, subject to adjustment, which shall vest in twelve (12) equal installments on each monthly anniversary of the grant. The options were valued based on the Black-Scholes model, using the strike and market prices of \$7.37 per share, an expected term of 3.00 years, volatility of 41% based on the average volatility of comparable companies over the comparable prior period and a discount rate as published by the Federal Reserve of 1.16%.

On March 18, 2015 the Company issued a new board member a five (5) year options to purchase an aggregate of 20,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock with an exercise price of \$6.61 per share, subject to adjustment, which shall vest in twelve (12) equal installments on each monthly anniversary of the grant. The options were valued based on the Black-Scholes model, using the strike and market prices of \$6.61 per share, an expected term of 3.00 years, volatility of 41% based on the average volatility of comparable companies over the comparable prior period and a discount rate as published by the Federal Reserve of 0.92%.

On April 7, 2015 (the "Effective Date"), the Company entered into a consulting agreement (the "Consulting Agreement") with Richard Chernicoff, a member of the Company's Board of Directors, pursuant to which Mr. Chernicoff shall provide certain services to the Company, including serving as the interim General Counsel and interim General Manager of commercial product commercialization development. Pursuant to the terms of the Consulting Agreement, Mr. Chernicoff shall receive a monthly retainer of \$27,000 and subject to shareholder approval and pursuant to the Company's 2014 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2014 Plan"), a ten (10) year stock option to purchase 280,000 shares of the Company's common stock (the "Award"). The stock options shall have an exercise price of \$6.76 per share, the closing price of the Company's common stock on the date immediately prior to the Board of Directors approval of such stock options and the options shall vest as follows: 25% of the Award shall vest on the 12 month anniversary of the Effective Date and thereafter 2.083% on the 21st day of each succeeding calendar month for the following twelve months, provided Mr. Chernicoff continues to provide services (in addition to as a member of the Company's Board of Directors) at the time of vesting. The Award shall be subject in all respects to the terms of the 2014 Plan. Notwithstanding anything herein to the contrary, the remainder of the Award shall be subject to the following as an additional condition of vesting: (A) options to purchase 70,000 shares of the Company's common stock under the Award shall not vest at all unless the price of the Company's common stock while Mr. Chernicoff continues as an officer and/or director reach \$8.99 and (B) options to purchase 70,000 shares of the Company's common stock under the Award shall not vest at all unless the price of the Company's common stock while Mr. Chernicoff continues as an officer and/or director reach \$10.14. For valuation purposes, the options were divided into two parts – the time-based vesting component and the performance-based vesting component. The time-based vesting component was valued based on the Black-Scholes model, using the strike and market prices of \$6.76 per share, an expected term of 6.25 years, volatility of 53% based on the average volatility of comparable companies over the comparable prior period and a discount rate as published by the Federal Reserve of 1.53%. The performance-based vesting component was valued based on the Monte Carlo Simulation model, using the strike and market prices of \$6.76 per share, an expected term of 10 years, volatility of 61% based on the average volatility of comparable companies over the comparable prior period and a discount rate as published by the Federal Reserve of 1.89%.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 the Company recorded option-based compensation expenses of \$732,108 and \$1,415,118, respectively. At June 30, 2015, there was a total of \$3,451,215 of unrecognized compensation expense related to these non-vested option-based compensation arrangements discussed above.

Table of Contents

A summary of the stock options as of June 30, 2015 is as follows:

	Number of Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Life
Balance at December 31, 2014	3,017,690	\$4.64	7.77
Granted	345,000	\$6.79	8.81
Cancelled	-	\$-	-
Forfeited	462,330	\$5.53	-
Exercised	33,968	\$3.25	-
Balance at June 30, 2015	2,866,392	\$4.70	7.12
Options Exercisable at June 30, 2015	1,521,772		
Options expected to vest	1,344,620		
Weighted average fair value of options granted during the period		\$2.36	

Stock options outstanding at June 30, 2015 as disclosed in the above table have approximately \$149,634 in intrinsic value.

NOTE 6 – COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Fortress Transaction

On January 29, 2015, the Company and certain of its subsidiaries (each a “Subsidiary”) entered into a series of Agreements including Fortress Securities Agreement and a Subscription Agreement with DBD, an affiliate of Fortress, under which the Company sold to the purchasers: (i) \$15,000,000 original principal amount of Senior Secured Notes (“Fortress Notes”), (ii) a right to receive a portion of certain proceeds from monetization net revenues received by the Company (after receipt by the Company of \$15,000,000 of monetization net revenues and repayment of the Fortress Notes), (the “Revenue Stream”), (iii) a five-year Fortress Warrant to purchase 100,000 shares of the Company’s Common Stock exercisable at \$7.44 per share, subject to adjustment; and (iv) 134,409 shares of the Company’s Common Stock. Under the Fortress Purchase Agreement, the Company has the right to require the purchasers to purchase an additional \$5,000,000 of Notes (which will increase proportionately the Revenue Stream), subject to the achievement of certain milestones, and further contemplates that Fortress may, but is not obligated to, fund up to an additional \$30,000,000, on equivalent economic terms. The Company may use the proceeds to finance the monetization of its existing assets, provide further expansion capital for new acquisitions, to repay existing debt (including without limitation, the Company's 11% convertible notes issued October 9, 2013 and for general working capital and corporate purposes.

Pursuant to the Purchase Agreement entered into on January 29, 2015, the Company issued to Fortress a Note in the original principal amount of \$15,000,000 (the “Initial Note”). The Initial Note matures on July 29, 2018. If any additional Notes are issued pursuant to the Fortress Securities Purchase Agreement, the maturity date of such additional Fortress Notes shall be 42 months after issuance. The unpaid principal amount of the Initial Note (including any PIK Interest, as defined below) shall bear cash interest at a rate equal to LIBOR plus 9.75% per annum; provided that upon and during the continuance of an Event of Default (as defined in the Fortress Securities Purchase Agreement), the interest rate shall increase by an additional 2% per annum. As of June 30, 2015, the twelve-month LIBOR USD rate was 0.77775%. Interest on the Initial Note shall be paid on the last business day of each calendar month (the “Interest Payment Date”), commencing January 31, 2015. Interest shall be paid in cash except that 2.75% per annum of the interest due on each Interest Payment Date shall be paid-in-kind, by increasing the principal amount of the Notes by the amount of such interest, effective as of the applicable Interest Payment Date (“PIK Interest”). PIK

Interest shall be treated as added principal of the Initial Note for all purposes, including interest accrual and the calculation of any prepayment premium.

The Fortress Securities Purchase Agreement contains certain customary events of default, and also contains certain covenants including a requirement that the Company maintain minimum liquidity of \$1,000,000 in unrestricted cash and cash equivalents and that the Company shall have Monetization Revenues (as defined in the Fortress Purchase Agreement) for the four fiscal quarters commencing December 31, 2014 of at least \$15,000,000. For the two quarters since the inception of this covenant, the Company has booked \$5,462,855 in revenue, less than half of the amount necessary to fulfill this covenant.

Table of Contents

The terms of the Fortress Warrant provide that until January 29, 2020, the Fortress Warrant may be exercised for cash or on a cashless basis. Exercisability of the Fortress Warrant is limited if, upon exercise, the holder would beneficially own more than 4.99% of the Company's Common Stock.

As part of the transaction, DBD entered into a lock-up agreement (the "Lock-Up Agreement") pursuant to which the parties and certain related holders agreed until the earlier of 12 months or acceleration of an Event of Default (as defined in the Fortress Securities Purchase Agreement), that they will not, directly or indirectly, (i) offer, sell, offer to sell, contract to sell, hedge, hypothecate, pledge, sell any option or contract to purchase any option or contract to sell, grant any option, right or warrant to purchase or sell (or announce any offer, sale, offer of sale, contract of sale, hedge, hypothecation, pledge, sale of any option or contract to purchase, purchase of any option or contract of sale, grant of any option, right or warrant to purchase or other sale or disposition), or otherwise transfer or dispose of (or enter into any transaction or device that is designed to, or could be expected to, result in the disposition by any person at any time in the future), the Lock-Up Shares (as defined in the Lock-Up Agreement), beneficially owned, within the meaning of Rule 13d-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), by such Holder and his/her Related Group (as such terms are defined in the Lock-Up Agreement) on the date of the Lock-Up Agreement or thereafter acquired or (ii) enter into any swap or other agreement or any transaction that transfers, in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, the economic consequence of ownership of the Lock-Up Shares, whether or not any such swap or transaction described in clause (i) or (ii) above is to be settled by delivery of any Lock-Up Shares. The Holders may purchase additional shares of the Company's Common Stock during the Lock-Up Period (as defined in the Lock-Up Agreement) to the extent that such purchase only increases the net holding of the Holders in the Company.

In connection with the transactions described above, TechDev, Audrey Spangenberg, Erich Spangenberg, and Granicus (the "Spangenberg Holders") entered into a lock-up agreement (the "Spangenberg Lockup") with respect to 1,626,924 shares of Common Stock, 48,078 shares of Common Stock underlying warrants, and 782,000 shares of Common Stock underlying preferred stock, pursuant to which the Spangenberg Holders agreed that until payment in full of the Note Obligations (as defined in the Fortress Notes), which shall include but not be limited to all principal and interest on outstanding Notes pursuant to the Purchase Agreement, the Spangenberg Holders and certain related parties agreed that they will not, directly or indirectly, (i) offer, sell, offer to sell, contract to sell, hedge, hypothecate, pledge, sell any option or contract to purchase any option or contract to sell, grant any option, right or warrant to purchase or sell (or announce any offer, sale, offer of sale, contract of sale, hedge, hypothecation, pledge, sale of any option or contract to purchase, purchase of any option or contract of sale, grant of any option, right or warrant to purchase or other sale or disposition), or otherwise transfer or dispose of (or enter into any transaction or device that is designed to, or could be expected to, result in the disposition by any person at any time in the future), more than 5% of the Spangenberg Lockup shares (as defined in the Spangenberg Lock-Up Agreement), beneficially owned, within the meaning of Rule 13d-3 under the Exchange Act, by such Holder and his/her Related Group (as such terms are defined in the Spangenberg Lock-Up Agreement) on the date of the Spangenberg Lock-Up Agreement or thereafter acquired or (ii) enter into any swap or other agreement or any transaction that transfers, in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, the economic consequence of ownership of more than 5% of the Spangenberg Lock-Up Shares, whether or not any such swap or transaction described in clause (i) or (ii) above is to be settled by delivery of any Lock-Up Shares. The Spangenberg Holders may purchase additional shares of the Company's Common Stock during the Lock-Up Period (as defined in the Spangenberg Lock-Up Agreement) to the extent that such purchase only increases the net holding of the Holders in the Company.

Pursuant to the Fortress Securities Purchase Agreement, as security for the payment and performance in full of the Secured Obligations (as defined in the Fortress Securities Purchase Agreement) in favor of DBD, the Company and certain subsidiaries executed and delivered in favor of DBD a Security Agreement ("Security Agreement") and a Patent Security Agreement ("Patent Security Agreement"), including a pledge of the Company's interests in certain of its subsidiaries. As further set forth in the Security Agreement, repayment of the Note Obligations is secured by a first

priority lien and security interest in all of the assets of the Company, subject to permitted liens on permitted indebtedness that existed as of January 29, 2015. The security interest does not include a lien on the assets held by Orthophoenix, LLC. Certain subsidiaries of the Company (excluding Orthophoenix) also executed guarantees in favor of the purchasers (each, a “Guaranty”), guaranteeing the Note Obligations. As required by the terms of certain notes issued by the Company in October 2014 (“October Notes”), the October Note holders consented to the transactions described herein.

Within thirty days, the Company was required to open a cash collateral account into which all Company revenue shall be deposited and which shall be subject to a control agreement outlining the disbursement in accordance with the terms of the Fortress Securities Purchase Agreement of all proceeds.

Table of Contents

Pursuant to the Fortress Securities Purchase Agreement, the Company entered into the Fortress Patent License Agreement with DBD pursuant to which the Company agreed to grant to the Licensee certain rights, including right to license certain patents and patent applications, which licensing rights to be available solely upon an acceleration of the Note Obligations, as provided in the Fortress Securities Purchase Agreement.

Office Lease

In October 2013, the Company entered into a net-lease for its current office space in Los Angeles, California. The lease will commence on May 1, 2014 and runs for seven years through April 30, 2021, with monthly lease payment escalating each year of the lease. In addition, to paying a deposit of \$7,564 and the monthly base lease cost, the Company is required to pay pro rata share of operating expenses and real estate taxes. Under the terms of the lease, the Company will not be required to pay rent for the first five months but must remain in compliance with the terms of the lease to continue to maintain that benefit. In addition, the Company has a one-time option to terminate the lease in the 42th month of the lease. Minimum future lease payments under this lease at June 30, 2015, for the next five years and thereafter are as follows:

2015 (Nine Months)	\$ 33,150
2016	68,244
2017	71,288
2018	74,540
2019	77,872
Thereafter	108,840
Total	\$ 433,934

Legal Proceedings

In the ordinary course of business, we actively pursue legal remedies to enforce our intellectual property rights and to stop unauthorized use of our technology. There are no proceedings in which any of our directors, officers or affiliates, or any registered beneficial shareholder are adverse to the Company or who has a material interest adverse to us.

On August 14, 2014, Dominion Harbor Group, LLC, a former vendor to the Company, filed a complaint against the Company in the Northern District of Texas for breach of contract, unjust enrichment and fraudulent inducement. The Company denies these allegations and believes that this claim is entirely without merit and intends to vigorously defend itself in this action. Other than as disclosed herein, we know of no other material, active or pending legal proceedings against us, nor are we involved as a plaintiff in any material proceedings or pending litigation other than in the normal course of business.

NOTE 7 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Forbearance Agreement

On July 16, 2015, the Company entered into a forbearance agreement (the “Agreement”) with MedTech Development, the holder of a Promissory Note issued by the Company, dated October 10, 2014. Pursuant to the Agreement, the term of the Note was extended to October 1, 2015 and the Note began accruing interest starting from May 13, 2015. In addition, the Company agreed to make certain mandatory prepayments under certain circumstances and issue to MedTech Development 200,000 shares of restricted common stock of the Company.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

This Report on Form 10-Q and other written and oral statements made from time to time by us may contain so-called "forward-looking statements," all of which are subject to risks and uncertainties. Forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of words such as "expects," "plans," "will," "forecasts," "projects," "intends," "estimates," and other words or phrases having similar meaning. One can identify them by the fact that they do not relate strictly to historical or current facts. These statements are likely to address our growth strategy, financial results and product and development programs. One must carefully consider any such statement and should understand that many factors could cause actual results to differ from our forward looking statements. These factors may include inaccurate assumptions and a broad variety of other risks and uncertainties, including some that are known and some that are not. No forward-looking statement can be guaranteed and actual future results may vary materially.

Information regarding market and industry statistics contained in this Report is included based on information available to us that we believe is accurate. It is generally based on industry and other publications that are not produced for purposes of securities offerings or economic analysis. We have not reviewed or included data from all sources, and cannot assure investors of the accuracy or completeness of the data included in this Report. Forecasts and other forward-looking information obtained from these sources are subject to the same qualifications and the additional uncertainties accompanying any estimates of future market size, revenue and market acceptance of products and services. We do not assume any obligation to update any forward-looking statement. As a result, investors should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. As used in this Report, the terms "we", "us", "our", "the Company", "Marathon Patent Group, Inc." "Marathon", and "MARA" mean Marathon Patent Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries, unless otherwise indicated.

Overview

We acquire patents and patent rights from owners or other ventures and seek to monetize the value of the patents through litigation and licensing strategies, alone or with others. Part of our acquisition strategy is to acquire or invest in patents and patent rights that cover a wide-range of subject matter which allows us to seek the benefits of a diversified portfolio of assets in differing industries and countries. Generally, the patents and patent rights that we seek to acquire have large identifiable targets who are or have been using technology that we believe infringes our patents and patent rights. We generally monetize our portfolio of patents and patent rights by entering into license discussions, and if that is unsuccessful, initiating enforcement activities against any infringing parties with the objective of entering into comprehensive settlement and license agreements that may include the granting of non-exclusive retroactive and future rights to use the patented technology, a covenant not to sue, a release of the party from certain claims, the dismissal of any pending litigation and other terms. Our strategy has been developed with the expectation that it will result in a long-term, diversified revenue stream for the Company. As of June 30, 2014, we owned 381 U.S. and foreign patents and patent rights.

Our principal office is located at 11100 Santa Monica Blvd., Suite 380, Los Angeles, CA 90225. Our telephone number is (703) 232-1701.

We were incorporated in the State of Nevada on February 23, 2010 under the name "Verve Ventures, Inc." On December 7, 2011, we changed our name to "American Strategic Minerals Corporation" and were engaged in exploration and potential development of uranium and vanadium minerals business. During June 2012, we discontinued our minerals business and began to invest in real estate properties in Southern California. In November 2012, we discontinue our real estate business.

Table of Contents

On July 18, 2013, we filed a certificate of amendment to our Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation with the Secretary of State of the State of Nevada in order to effectuate a reverse stock split of our issued and outstanding common stock, par value \$0.0001 per share on a one (1) for thirteen (13) basis (the “Reverse Split”). The Reverse Split became effective with the FINRA at the open of business on July 22, 2013. As a result of the Reverse Stock Split, every thirteen shares of our pre-reverse split common stock will be combined and reclassified into one share of our common stock. No fractional shares of common stock will be issued as a result of the Reverse Split. Stockholders who otherwise would be entitled to a fractional share shall receive the next highest number of whole shares.

On November 19, 2014, the Board of Directors of the Company declared a stock dividend (“Dividend”) pursuant to which holders of the Company’s Common Stock as of the close of business of the record date of December 15, 2014 shall receive one additional share of Common Stock at the close of business on December 22, 2014 for each share of Common Stock held by such holders. Throughout this report, all share and per share values for all periods presented in the accompanying consolidated financial statements are retroactively restated for the effect of the stock dividend.

Throughout this Report, each instance in which we refer to a number of shares of our Common Stock, refers to the number of shares of Common Stock after giving effect to the Reverse Split and the Dividend, unless otherwise indicated.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with US GAAP. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates based on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Management believes the following critical accounting policies affect the significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of the financial statements.

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and present the financial statements of the Company and our wholly-owned and majority owned subsidiaries. In the preparation of our consolidated financial statements, intercompany transactions and balances are eliminated.

Use of Estimates and Assumptions

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with US GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Significant estimates made by management include, but are not limited to, estimating the useful lives of patent assets, the assumptions used to calculate fair value of warrants and options granted, goodwill impairment, realization of long-lived assets, deferred income taxes, unrealized tax positions and business combination accounting.

Table of Contents

Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes revenue in accordance with ASC Topic 605, “Revenue Recognition.” Revenue is recognized when (i) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, (ii) all obligations have been substantially performed, (iii) amounts are fixed or determinable and (iv) collectability of amounts is reasonably assured.

The Company considers the revenue generated from a settlement and licensing agreement as one unit of accounting under ASC 605-25, “Multiple-Element Arrangements” as the delivered items do not have value to customers on a standalone basis, there are no undelivered elements and there is no general right of return relative to the license. Under ASC 605-25, the appropriate recognition of revenue is determined for the combined deliverables as a single unit of accounting and revenue is recognized upon delivery of the final elements, including the license for past and future use and the release.

Also, due to the fact that the settlement element and license element for past and future use are the Company’s major central business, the Company presents these two elements as one revenue category in its statement of operations. The Company does not expect to provide licenses that do not provide some form of settlement or release.

Accounting for Acquisitions

In the normal course of its business, the Company makes acquisitions of patent assets and may also make acquisitions of businesses. With respect to each such transaction, the Company evaluates facts of the transaction and follows the guidelines prescribed in accordance with ASC 805 – Business Combinations to determine the proper accounting treatment for each such transaction and then records the transaction in accordance with the conclusions reached in such analysis. The Company performs such analysis with respect to each material acquisition within the consolidated group of entities.

Intangible Assets - Patents

Intangible assets include patents purchased and patents acquired in lieu of cash in licensing transactions. The patents purchased are recorded based on the cost to acquire them and patents acquired in lieu of cash are recorded at their fair market value. The costs of these assets are amortized over their remaining useful lives. Useful lives of intangible assets are periodically evaluated for reasonableness and the assets are tested for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may no longer be recoverable.

Table of Contents

Goodwill

Goodwill is tested for impairment at the reporting unit level at least annually, and between annual tests if an event occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying value. When conducting its annual goodwill impairment assessment, the Company initially performs a qualitative evaluation of whether it is more likely than not that goodwill is impaired. If it is determined by a qualitative evaluation that it is more likely than not that goodwill is impaired, the Company then applies a two-step impairment test. The two-step impairment test first compares the fair value of the Company's reporting unit to its carrying or book value. If the fair value of the reporting unit exceeds its carrying value, goodwill is not impaired and the Company is not required to perform further testing. If the carrying value of the reporting unit exceeds its fair value, the Company determines the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill and if the carrying value of the reporting unit's goodwill exceeds its implied fair value, then an impairment loss equal to the difference is recorded in the consolidated statement of operations.

Other Intangible Assets

In accordance with ASC 350-30-65, "Intangibles - Goodwill and Others", the Company assesses the impairment of identifiable intangibles whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. Factors the Company considers to be important which could trigger an impairment review include the following: (1) significant underperformance relative to expected historical or projected future operating results; (2) significant changes in the manner of use of the acquired assets or the strategy for the overall business; and (3) significant negative industry or economic trends.

When the Company determines that the carrying value of intangibles may not be recoverable based upon the existence of one or more of the above indicators of impairment and the carrying value of the asset cannot be recovered from projected undiscounted cash flows, the Company records an impairment charge. The Company measures any impairment based on a projected discounted cash flow method using a discount rate determined by management to be commensurate with the risk inherent in the current business model.

Impairment of Long-lived Assets

The Company accounts for the impairment or disposal of long-lived assets according to the ASC 360 "Property, Plant and Equipment". The Company continually monitors events and changes in circumstances that could indicate that the carrying amounts of long-lived assets, including mineral rights, may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to the estimated future net undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. When necessary, impaired assets are written down to their estimated fair value based on the best information available. Estimated fair value is generally based on either appraised value or measured by discounting estimated future cash flows. Considerable management judgment is necessary to estimate discounted future cash flows. Accordingly, actual results could vary significantly from such estimates. The Company recognizes an impairment loss when the sum of expected undiscounted future cash flows is less than the carrying amount of the asset.

Table of Contents

Stock-based Compensation

Stock-based compensation is accounted for based on the requirements of the Share-Based Payment Topic of ASC 718 which requires recognition in the consolidated financial statements of the cost of employee and director services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments over the period the employee or director is required to perform the services in exchange for the award (presumptively, the vesting period). The ASC also requires measurement of the cost of employee and director services received in exchange for an award based on the grant-date fair value of the award.

Pursuant to ASC Topic 505-50, for share-based payments to consultants and other third parties, compensation expense is determined at the “measurement date.” The expense is recognized over the vesting period of the award. Until the measurement date is reached, the total amount of compensation expense remains uncertain. The Company initially records compensation expense based on the fair value of the award at the reporting date. As stock-based compensation expense is recognized based on awards expected to vest, forfeitures are also estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. For the three months ended June 30, 2015, the expected forfeiture rate was 12.8%, which resulted in an expense of \$5,947 recognized in the Company’s compensation expenses. The Company will continue to assess the impact of forfeitures in future quarters.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In April 2015, the FASB issued new guidance on the presentation of debt issuance costs (ASU 2015-03, Interest - Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30): Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs), effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015, and interim periods within those fiscal years and should be applied retrospectively to all periods presented. Early adoption of the new guidance is permitted for financial statements that have not been previously issued. The new guidance will require that debt issuance costs be presented in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the related debt liability rather than as an asset, consistent with debt discounts. The Company adapted ASU 2015-03 and as such, the debt issuance cost for Fortress was presented in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the related debt liability.

In August 2014, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2014-15, Disclosure of Uncertainties About an Entity's Ability to Continue as a Going Concern. This standard update provides guidance around management's responsibility to evaluate whether there is substantial doubt about an entity's ability to continue as a going concern and to provide related footnote disclosures. The new guidance is effective for all annual and interim periods ending after December 15, 2016. The new guidance will not have an impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers, or ASU 2014-09, which requires an entity to recognize the amount of revenue to which it expects to be entitled for the transfer of promised goods or services to customers. The standard will replace most existing revenue recognition guidance in U.S. GAAP when it becomes effective and shall take effective on January 1, 2017. The standard permits the use of either the retrospective or cumulative effect transition method and the early application of the standard is not permitted. The Company is presently evaluating the effect that ASU 2014-09 will have on its consolidated financial statements and related disclosures and has not yet selected a transition method.

Table of Contents

Results of Operations

For the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014

We generated revenues of \$1,368,986 and \$5,462,855 during the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 as compared to \$3,824,500 and \$6,604,500 during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, this represented a decrease of \$2,455,514 or 64% and \$1,141,645 or 17%, respectively. Revenue for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 was derived from the issuance of one-time patent licenses, recurring royalties and Opus, our patent analytics system, subscription fees and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 revenue was derived solely from the issuance of one-time patent licenses. The decline in revenue from 2014 to 2015 resulted from a decrease in the patent monetization business.

Revenues from the issuance of licenses to certain of the Company's patent portfolios accounted for approximately 79% and 91% of our revenues for the three months and six ended June 30, 2015 and 100% and 100% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively. For the three months ended June 30, 2015, revenues from the five largest settlement and license agreements accounted for approximately 63% of the Company's revenues, whereas revenues from the five largest settlement and license agreements accounted for 99% of the Company's revenue for the period ending June 30, 2014.

While the Company has a growing portfolio of patents and did generate recurring revenue during the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, at this time, the Company expects that a significant portion of its future revenues will be based on one-time grants of similar non-recurring, non-exclusive, non-assignable licenses to a relatively small number of entities and their affiliates. Further, with the expected small number of firms with which the Company enters into license agreements, and the amount and timing of such license agreements, the Company also expects that its revenues may be highly variable from one period to the next.

Direct cost of revenues during the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 amounted to \$3,860,210 and \$8,188,375, respectively and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, the direct cost of revenues amounted to \$1,753,833 and \$2,864,412, respectively. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, this represented an increase of \$2,106,377 or 120% and \$5,323,963 or 186%, respectively. Direct costs of revenue include contingent payments to patent enforcement legal costs, patent enforcement advisors and inventors as well as various non-contingent costs associated with enforcing the Company's patent rights and otherwise in developing and entering into settlement and licensing agreements that generate the Company's revenue. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, the Company had higher costs associated with experts and a non-contingent engagement with litigation counsel for numerous enforcement actions with scheduled trial dates during the period and over the next few months.

We incurred other operating expenses of \$6,075,533 and \$12,140,707 for the three and six months June 30, 2015, respectively and \$2,386,394 and \$4,336,655 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, this represented an increase in other operating expenses of \$3,689,139 or 155% and \$7,804,052 or 180%, respectively. These expenses primarily consisted of amortization of patents, general expenses, compensation to our officers, directors and employees, professional fees and consulting incurred in connection with the day-to-day operation of our business and notably, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, included a one time patent impairment charge associated with the change in the value of the Clouding IP portfolio.

Table of Contents

The operating expenses consisted of the following:

	Total Other Operating Expenses			
	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2015	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2014	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2015	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2014
Amortization of intangibles (1)	\$ 3,029,000	\$ 938,679	\$ 5,627,461	\$ 1,392,326
Compensation and related taxes (2)	1,087,058	712,131	2,668,132	1,442,118
Consulting fees (3)	329,081	336,447	1,225,624	764,554
Professional fees (4)	578,920	268,616	1,348,535	525,472
Other general and administrative (5)	284,976	130,521	504,457	212,185
Patent Impairment (6)	766,498	-	766,498	-
Total	\$ 6,075,533	\$ 2,386,394	\$ 12,140,707	\$ 4,336,655

Operating expenses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 include non-cash operating expenses totaling \$4,548,319 and \$8,618,747, respectively and for the three and six month ended June 30, 2014, the Company incurred non-cash operating expenses of \$1,480,099 and \$2,615,790, respectively. Non-cash operating expenses consisted of the following:

	Non-Cash Operating Expenses			
	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2015	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2014	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2015	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2014
Amortization of intangibles (1)	\$ 3,029,000	\$ 938,679	\$ 5,627,461	\$ 1,392,326
Compensation and related taxes (2)	600,495	348,061	1,227,821	712,587
Consulting fees (3)	141,946	191,943	965,175	508,044
Professional fees (4)	8,528	-	17,055	-
Other general and administrative (5)	1,852	1,416	14,737	2,833
Patent Impairment (6)	766,498	-	766,498	-
Total	\$ 4,548,319	\$ 1,480,099	\$ 8,618,747	\$ 2,615,790

(1) Amortization of intangibles and depreciation: Amortization expenses associated with patents were \$3,029,000 and \$5,627,461 during the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, respectively, an increase of \$2,090,321 or 223% and \$4,235,135 or 304% relative to the three and six months ended June 30, 2014. The increase results from the significant number of patents and patent portfolios we have added during the last nine months of 2014 and the first six months of 2015. The Company has acquired ownership of or contractual rights to eleven patent portfolios during this period. When the Company acquires patents and patent rights, the Company capitalizes the cost of those assets and amortizes those costs over the remaining useful lives of the assets. All patent amortization expenses are non-cash expenses.

(2) Compensation expense and related taxes: Compensation expense includes cash compensation and related payroll taxes and benefits, and non-cash equity compensation expenses. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, respectively, compensation expense and related payroll taxes were \$1,087,058 and \$2,668,132, an increase of \$374,927 or 53% and \$1,226,014 or 85%

relative to the three and six months ended June 30, 2014. The increase in compensation primarily reflects bonuses paid during the three months ended March 31, 2015, an increase in cash compensation, payroll taxes and benefits to our employees, and an increase in the number of employees replacing certain outside services and third party vendors. We recognized non-cash employee and board equity based compensation of \$600,495 and \$1,227,821, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 and \$348,061 and \$712,587, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014.

- (3) Consulting fees: For the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, respectively, we incurred consulting fees of \$329,081 and \$1,225,624. This represented a decrease in the amount of \$7,366 or 2% and an increase of \$461,070 or 60% relative to the three and six months ended June 30, 2014. Consulting fees include both cash and non-cash related consulting fees primarily for investor relations and public relations services as well as other consulting services. The decrease during the three months ended June 30, 2015 reflects certain third party service brought in-house while the increase for the six months ended June 30, 2015 versus the six months ended June 30, 2014 reflect the non-cash compensation associated with a consulting agreement entered into in September 2014 that ran through March 2015. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, we recognized non-cash equity based consulting expenses of \$141,946 and \$965,175, respectively versus non-cash equity-based consulting expenses of \$191,943 and \$508,044 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014. For the six months ended June 30, 2015, \$750,328 of the non-cash equity based consulting expenses are non-recurring.

Table of Contents

- (4) Professional fees: For the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, we incurred professional fees of \$578,920 and \$1,348,535, respectively, an increase of \$310,304 or 116% and \$823,063 or 157% over the comparable periods in 2014. Professional fees primarily reflect the costs of professional outside accounting fees, legal fees and audit fees. The increase in professional fees for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 over the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 related to professional outside legal, accounting and audit fees resulting from a substantially higher level of activity in the Company's continuing patent acquisition and monetization operations and the costs associated with closing the Fortress transaction. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, we recognized non-cash equity based professional expenses of \$8,527 and \$17,055, respectively, versus no non-cash professional expenses during the same periods in 2014.
- (5) Other general and administrative expenses: For the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, we incurred other general and administrative expenses of \$284,976 and \$504,457, respectively, an increase of \$154,455 or 118% and \$292,272 or 138% over the comparable periods in 2014. General and administrative expenses reflect the other non-categorized operating costs of the Company and include expenses related to being a public company, rent, insurance, technology and other expenses incurred to support the operations of the Company. The increase in general and administrative costs in the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 over the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 resulted from an increase in these expenses, including opening an office in Germany, in support of the continued expansion of the operations of the Company.
- (6) Patent impairment: Based on changes in the expected timing of proceeds from the Clouding portfolio, the Company took an impairment charge as of June 30, 2015 in the carrying value of the Clouding assets in the amount of \$766,498. The total for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 was \$766,498 and \$766,498, respectively, versus no impairment charge during the comparable periods in 2014.

Operating Income (loss)

We reported operating income (loss) of \$(8,566,757) and \$(14,866,227) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 and operating income (loss) of \$(315,727) and \$(596,567), for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014. For the three months ended June 30, 2015, this represented an increased operating loss of \$8,251,030. The increased loss from operations in 2015 relative to 2014 was primarily attributable to the increase in enforcement costs with trials held during the period, preparation for upcoming trials and a fixed fee engagement arrangement with litigation counsel associated with a portfolio that the Company acquired in June 2014.

Other Income (expenses)

Total other income (expenses) was \$736,556 and \$(234,384) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, respectively, and \$(2,524) and \$(2,296) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively. For the three months ended June 30, 2015, this represented an increase in other income of \$747,120. The principal component of the increase in the other expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2015 was cash and non-cash interest expenses associated with debt incurred by the Company and a reversal of a discount for early payment of debt associated with the Medtech portfolio purchase as the Company did not repay the debt prior to June 30, 2015, as earlier expected, offset by a reduction in the value of the Clouding earn out liability in the amount of \$2,304,301.

Income Tax Benefit

We recognized an income tax benefit in the amount of \$3,327,505 and \$5,816,344 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015. There were no income tax benefits for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014.

Net Income (Loss)

We reported net income (loss) of \$(4,502,696) and \$(9,284,267) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, respectively, and net income (loss) of \$(318,251) and \$(598,863) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively. For the three months ended June 30, 2015, this represented an increase in the net loss of \$4,176,405.

Table of Contents

Non-GAAP Reconciliation

The Company incurred total net non-cash expenses in the amount of \$6,529 and \$2,182,598 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, respectively, versus net non-cash expenses in the amount of \$2,765,943 and \$3,901,634 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014. The details of those expenses and non-GAAP reconciliation of these non-cash items are set forth below:

	Non-GAAP Reconciliation			
	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2015	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2014	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2015	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2014
Net loss	\$(4,502,696)	\$(1,589,743)	\$(9,284,267)	\$(1,870,355)
Non-GAAP				
Amortization of intangible assets & depreciation	3,029,000	938,679	5,627,461	1,392,326
Equity-based compensation	750,968	554,356	2,210,051	1,234,983
Beneficial Conversion Feature	-	1,271,492	-	1,271,492
Impairment of Intellectual Property	766,498	-	766,498	-
Change in Earn Out Liability	(2,304,301)	-	(2,304,301)	-
Non-cash interest expense	1,089,798	-	1,703,517	-
Deferred tax benefit	(3,327,505)	-	(5,816,345)	-
Other	1,852	1,416	3,758	2,833
Non-GAAP profit (loss)	\$(4,496,386)	\$1,176,200	\$(7,093,628)	\$2,031,279
Weighted average common shares outstanding - basic and diluted	13,998,563	11,016,646	13,937,872	10,980,290
Non-GAAP net income (loss) per common share - basic and diluted	\$(0.32)	\$0.11	\$(0.51)	\$0.18

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity is the ability of a company to generate funds to support its current and future operations, satisfy its obligations, and otherwise operate on an ongoing basis. At June 30, 2015, the Company's cash and cash equivalents balances totaled \$1,487,242 compared to \$5,082,569 at December 31, 2014. The decrease in the cash balances of \$3,595,327 resulted primarily from a decline in revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2015 along with higher use of cash in operations resulting from the Company's enforcement activities.

Net working capital declined by \$5,199,875 to \$(19,461,468) at June 30, 2015 from \$(14,261,593) at December 31, 2014. The degradation in net working capital resulted primarily from lower cash balance associated with use of cash in operations, investing and financing activities and the incurrence of short term liabilities associated with the BATO purchase.

Cash provided (used) in operating activities was \$(5,592,048) during the six months ended June 30, 2015 and cash provided (used) in operating activities of \$2,399,586 during the six months ended June 30, 2014.

Cash used in investing activities was \$(20,668) for the six months ended June 30, 2015 versus \$(5,100,800) cash used in investing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2014. The use of cash during the six months ended June 30,

2015 was entirely related to the purchase of equipment to manage the business and for the six months ended June 30, 2014, the use of cash was exclusively related to the purchases of patent assets.

Cash provided by financing activities was \$2,013,844 for the six months ended June 30, 2015 versus cash provided by financing activities of \$5,588,988 for the six months ended June 30, 2014. Cash provided by financing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2015 resulted from the transaction entered into with Fortress on January 29, 2015 and the issuance of notes payable associated with the BATO patent acquisition, less repayment of general and patent portfolio acquisition debt, of equal or shorter terms, incurred during 2014.

Management is evaluating the balance of cash and cash equivalents of \$1,487,242 at June 30, 2015 to determine its sufficiency to continue to fund the Company's current operations. The Company's operations are subject to various risks and there is no assurance that changes in the operations of the Company will not require the Company to raise additional cash sooner than planned in order to continue uninterrupted operations. In that event, the Company would seek to raise additional capital from the sale of the Company's securities, from borrowing or from other sources. Should the Company seek to raise capital from the issuances of its securities, such transactions would be subject to the risks of the market for the Company's securities at the time.

Off-balance Sheet Arrangements

We have not entered into any other financial guarantees or other commitments to guarantee the payment obligations of any third parties. We have not entered into any derivative contracts that are indexed to our shares and classified as stockholder's equity or that are not reflected in our consolidated financial statements. Furthermore, we do not have any retained or contingent interest in assets transferred to an unconsolidated entity that serves as credit, liquidity or market risk support to such entity.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

Not required for smaller reporting companies.

Table of Contents

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

Disclosure Controls and Procedures.

We maintain “disclosure controls and procedures,” as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”), that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating our disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that disclosure controls and procedures, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the disclosure controls and procedures are met. Additionally, in designing disclosure controls and procedures, our management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible disclosure controls and procedures. The design of any disclosure controls and procedures also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions.

We conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our “disclosure controls and procedures” (“Disclosure Controls”), as defined by Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”), as of June 30, 2015, the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. The Disclosure Controls evaluation was done under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer. There are inherent limitations to the effectiveness of any system of disclosure controls and procedures. Accordingly, even effective disclosure controls and procedures can only provide reasonable assurance of achieving their control objectives. Based upon this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, due to our limited internal audit function, our Disclosure Controls were not effective as of June 30, 2015, such that the information required to be disclosed by us in reports filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is (i) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms and (ii) accumulated and communicated to the President and Treasurer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding disclosure.

Management is in the process of determining how best to change our current system and implement a more effective system to insure that information required to be disclosed in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q has been recorded, processed, summarized and reported accurately. Our management acknowledges the existence of this problem, and intends to develop procedures to address them to the extent possible given limitations in financial and manpower resources. While management is working on a plan, no assurance can be made at this point that the implementation of such controls and procedures will be completed in a timely manner or that they will be adequate once implemented.

Changes in Internal Controls.

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended June 30, 2015 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

In the ordinary course of business, we actively pursue legal remedies to enforce our intellectual property rights and to stop unauthorized use of our technology. There are no proceedings in which any of our directors, officers or affiliates, or any registered beneficial shareholder are adverse to the Company or who has a material interest adverse to us.

On August 14, 2014, Dominion Harbor Group, LLC, a former vendor to the Company, filed a complaint against the Company in the Northern District of Texas for breach of contract, unjust enrichment and fraudulent inducement. The Company denies these allegations and believes that this claim is entirely without merit and intends to vigorously defend itself in this action. Other than as disclosed herein, we know of no other material, active or pending legal proceedings against us, nor are we involved as a plaintiff in any material proceedings or pending litigation other than in the normal course of business.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

None.

-35-

Table of Contents

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

All unregistered sales of equity securities during the quarter ended June 30, 2015 have been reported on Forms 8-K file with the SEC.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.

None.

Item 5. Other Information.

None. Item 6. Exhibits.

10.1	Consulting Agreement by and between Marathon Patent Group, Inc. and Richard Chernicoff dated April 7, 2015 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on April 13, 2015).
31.1	Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002*
31.2	Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002*
32.1	Certification pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002*
32.2	Certification pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002*
101.ins	XBRL Instance Document
101.sch	XBRL Taxonomy Schema Document
101.cal	XBRL Taxonomy Calculation Document
101.def	XBRL Taxonomy Linkbase Document
101.lab	XBRL Taxonomy Label Linkbase Document
101.pre	XBRL Taxonomy Presentation Linkbase Document

* Filed herein

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date: August 14, 2015

MARATHON PATENT GROUP, INC.

By: /s/ Doug Croxall
Name: Doug Croxall
Title: Chief Executive Officer and Chairman
(Principal Executive Officer)

By: /s/ Francis Knuettel II
Name: Francis Knuettel II
Title: Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)