

Philip Morris International Inc.
Form 10-Q
May 02, 2014
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q
(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2014
OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____
Commission File Number 001-33708
Philip Morris International Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Virginia 13-3435103
(State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer
incorporation or organization) Identification No.)

120 Park Avenue 10017
New York, New York
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code (917) 663-2000

Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Edgar Filing: Philip Morris International Inc. - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

At April 30, 2014, there were 1,572,877,291 shares outstanding of the registrant's common stock, no par value per share.

-1-

Table of Contents

PHILIP MORRIS INTERNATIONAL INC.
TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
PART I - <u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
Item 1. <u>Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at <u>March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013</u>	<u>3 – 4</u>
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings for the <u>Three Months Ended March 31, 2014 and 2013</u>	<u>5</u>
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Earnings for the <u>Three Months Ended March 31, 2014 and 2013</u>	<u>6</u>
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' (Deficit) Equity for the <u>Three Months Ended March 31, 2014 and 2013</u>	<u>7</u>
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the <u>Three Months Ended March 31, 2014 and 2013</u>	<u>8 – 9</u>
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	<u>10 – 33</u>
Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>34 – 58</u>
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>59</u>
PART II - <u>OTHER INFORMATION</u>	
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>60</u>
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	<u>60</u>
Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	<u>61</u>
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	<u>62</u>
<u>Signature</u>	<u>63</u>

In this report, "PMI," "we," "us" and "our" refers to Philip Morris International Inc. and its subsidiaries.

Table of Contents

PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements.

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

(in millions of dollars)

(Unaudited)

	March 31, 2014	December 31, 2013
ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$1,823	\$2,154
Receivables (less allowances of \$52 in 2014 and \$53 in 2013)	3,408	3,853
Inventories:		
Leaf tobacco	3,614	3,709
Other raw materials	1,669	1,596
Finished product	3,441	4,541
	8,724	9,846
Deferred income taxes	423	502
Other current assets	447	497
Total current assets	14,825	16,852
Property, plant and equipment, at cost	13,886	13,957
Less: accumulated depreciation	7,216	7,202
	6,670	6,755
Goodwill (Note 5)	9,009	8,893
Other intangible assets, net (Note 5)	3,234	3,193
Investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries (Note 16)	1,460	1,536
Other assets	939	939
TOTAL ASSETS	\$36,137	\$38,168

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Continued

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (Continued)
(in millions of dollars, except share data)
(Unaudited)

	March 31, 2014	December 31, 2013	
LIABILITIES			
Short-term borrowings (Note 12)	\$3,284	\$2,400	
Current portion of long-term debt (Note 12)	406	1,255	
Accounts payable	1,064	1,274	
Accrued liabilities:			
Marketing and selling	504	503	
Taxes, except income taxes	4,655	6,492	
Employment costs	942	949	
Dividends payable	1,494	1,507	
Other	1,093	1,382	
Income taxes	424	1,192	
Deferred income taxes	105	112	
Total current liabilities	13,971	17,066	
Long-term debt (Note 12)	25,989	24,023	
Deferred income taxes	1,491	1,477	
Employment costs	1,296	1,313	
Other liabilities	547	563	
Total liabilities	43,294	44,442	
Contingencies (Note 10)			
STOCKHOLDERS' (DEFICIT) EQUITY			
Common stock, no par value (2,109,316,331 shares issued in 2014 and 2013)	—	—	
Additional paid-in capital	608	723	
Earnings reinvested in the business	28,228	27,843	
Accumulated other comprehensive losses	(4,213)	(4,190))
	24,623	24,376	
Less: cost of repurchased stock (533,098,073 and 520,313,919 shares in 2014 and 2013, respectively)	33,236	32,142	
Total PMI stockholders' deficit	(8,613)	(7,766))
Noncontrolling interests	1,456	1,492	
Total stockholders' deficit	(7,157)	(6,274))
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' (DEFICIT) EQUITY	\$36,137	\$38,168	

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
 Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings
 (in millions of dollars, except per share data)
 (Unaudited)

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2014	2013
Net revenues	\$17,779	\$18,527
Cost of sales	2,374	2,489
Excise taxes on products	10,862	10,943
Gross profit	4,543	5,095
Marketing, administration and research costs	1,547	1,677
Asset impairment and exit costs (Note 2)	23	3
Amortization of intangibles	22	24
Operating income	2,951	3,391
Interest expense, net	268	236
Earnings before income taxes	2,683	3,155
Provision for income taxes	776	933
Equity (income)/loss in unconsolidated subsidiaries, net	(9) 4
Net earnings	1,916	2,218
Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests	41	93
Net earnings attributable to PMI	\$1,875	\$2,125
Per share data (Note 8):		
Basic earnings per share	\$1.18	\$1.28
Diluted earnings per share	\$1.18	\$1.28
Dividends declared	\$0.94	\$0.85

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
 Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Earnings
 (in millions of dollars)
 (Unaudited)

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2014	2013
Net earnings	\$1,916	\$2,218
Other comprehensive earnings (losses), net of income taxes:		
Change in currency translation adjustment:		
Unrealized losses, net of income taxes of (\$4) in 2014 and (\$28) in 2013	(37) (234
Change in net loss and prior service cost:		
Amortization of net losses, prior service costs and net transition costs, net of income taxes of (\$12) in 2014 and (\$14) in 2013	38	59
Change in fair value of derivatives accounted for as hedges:		
Gains transferred to earnings, net of income taxes of \$1 in 2014 and \$4 in 2013	(7) (31
(Losses) gains recognized, net of income taxes of \$3 in 2014 and (\$13) in 2013	(24) 96
Total other comprehensive losses	(30) (110
Total comprehensive earnings	1,886	2,108
Less comprehensive earnings attributable to:		
Noncontrolling interests	34	53
Redeemable noncontrolling interest (Note 7)	—	46
Comprehensive earnings attributable to PMI	\$1,852	\$2,009

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' (Deficit) Equity
for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2014 and 2013
(in millions of dollars, except per share amounts)
(Unaudited)

	PMI Stockholders' (Deficit) Equity						
	Common Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital	Earnings Reinvested in the Business	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Cost of Repurchased Stock	Noncontrolling Interests	Total
Balances, January 1, 2013	\$—	\$ 1,334	\$ 25,076	\$ (3,604)	\$ (26,282)	\$ 322	\$ (3,154)
Net earnings			2,125			49	2,174 (a)
Other comprehensive earnings (losses), net of income taxes				(116)		4	(112) (a)
Issuance of stock awards and exercise of stock options		(68)			122		54
Dividends declared (\$0.85 per share)			(1,401)				(1,401)
Payments to noncontrolling interests						(116)	(116)
Common stock repurchased					(1,500)		(1,500)
Balances, March 31, 2013	\$—	\$ 1,266	\$ 25,800	\$ (3,720)	\$ (27,660)	\$ 259	\$ (4,055)
Balances, January 1, 2014	\$—	\$ 723	\$ 27,843	\$ (4,190)	\$ (32,142)	\$ 1,492	\$ (6,274)
Net earnings			1,875			41	1,916
Other comprehensive earnings (losses), net of income taxes				(23)		(7)	(30)
Issuance of stock awards and exercise of stock options		(115)			156		41
Dividends declared (\$0.94 per share)			(1,490)				(1,490)
Payments to noncontrolling interests						(70)	(70)
Common stock repurchased					(1,250)		(1,250)
Balances, March 31, 2014	\$—	\$ 608	\$ 28,228	\$ (4,213)	\$ (33,236)	\$ 1,456	\$ (7,157)

(a) For the three months ended March 31, 2013, net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests exclude \$44 million of earnings related to the redeemable noncontrolling interest, which were originally reported outside of the equity section in the condensed consolidated balance sheet at March 31, 2013. Other comprehensive earnings, net of income taxes, also exclude \$2 million of net currency translation adjustment gains related to the redeemable noncontrolling interest at March 31, 2013. In December 2013, the redeemable noncontrolling interest balance of \$1,275 million was reclassified to noncontrolling interests due to the termination of an exit rights agreement. See Note 7. Redeemable Noncontrolling Interests for further details.

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

- 7 -

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
 Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
 (in millions of dollars)
 (Unaudited)

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2014	2013
CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net earnings	\$1,916	\$2,218
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to operating cash flows:		
Depreciation and amortization	211	222
Deferred income tax provision	86	79
Asset impairment and exit costs, net of cash paid	(177)	(2)
Cash effects of changes, net of the effects from acquired companies:		
Receivables, net	395	(67)
Inventories	1,086	806
Accounts payable	35	1
Income taxes	(762)	(734)
Accrued liabilities and other current assets	(2,131)	(1,260)
Pension plan contributions	(29)	(25)
Other	85	125
Net cash provided by operating activities	715	1,363
CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Capital expenditures	(256)	(240)
Other	48	18
Net cash used in investing activities	(208)	(222)

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Continued

- 8-

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
 Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Continued)
 (in millions of dollars)
 (Unaudited)

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2014	2013
CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Short-term borrowing activity by original maturity:		
Net issuances (repayments) - maturities of 90 days or less	\$655	\$(947)
Issuances - maturities longer than 90 days	744	93
Repayments - maturities longer than 90 days	(465)	(25)
Long-term debt proceeds	2,359	4,569
Long-term debt repaid	(1,240)	(739)
Repurchases of common stock	(1,241)	(1,453)
Dividends paid	(1,503)	(1,414)
Other	(114)	(137)
Net cash used in financing activities	(805)	(53)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(33)	(90)
Cash and cash equivalents:		
(Decrease) Increase	(331)	998
Balance at beginning of period	2,154	2,983
Balance at end of period	\$1,823	\$3,981

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of ContentsPhilip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

Note 1. Background and Basis of Presentation:

Background

Philip Morris International Inc. is a holding company incorporated in Virginia, U.S.A., whose subsidiaries and affiliates and their licensees are engaged in the manufacture and sale of cigarettes and other tobacco products in markets outside of the United States of America. Throughout these financial statements, the term “PMI” refers to Philip Morris International Inc. and its subsidiaries.

Basis of Presentation

The interim condensed consolidated financial statements of PMI are unaudited. These interim condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and such principles are applied on a consistent basis. It is the opinion of PMI’s management that all adjustments necessary for a fair statement of the interim results presented have been reflected therein. All such adjustments were of a normal recurring nature. Net revenues and net earnings attributable to PMI for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of results that may be expected for the entire year.

Certain prior years' amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year's presentation, due to the separate disclosure of investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries. For further details, see Note 16. Investments in Unconsolidated Subsidiaries.

These statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and related notes, which appear in PMI’s Annual Report to Shareholders and which are incorporated by reference into PMI’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Note 2. Asset Impairment and Exit Costs:

Pre-tax asset impairment and exit costs consisted of the following:

(in millions)	For the Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2014	2013
Separation programs:		
Asia	\$23	\$—
Total separation programs	23	—
Contract termination charges:		
Asia	—	3
Total contract termination charges	—	3
Asset impairment and exit costs	\$23	\$3

Exit Costs

Separation Programs

PMI recorded pre-tax separation program charges of \$23 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014. These charges related to severance costs for a factory closure in Australia.

Contract Termination Charges

During the three months ended March 31, 2013, PMI recorded exit costs of \$3 million related to the termination of distribution agreements.

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
 Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
 (Unaudited)

Movement in Exit Cost Liabilities

The movement in exit cost liabilities for the three months ended March 31, 2014 was as follows:

(in millions)

Liability balance, January 1, 2014	\$ 308	
Charges	23	
Cash spent	(200)
Currency/other	(7)
Liability balance, March 31, 2014	\$ 124	

Cash payments related to exit costs at PMI were \$200 million and \$5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Future cash payments for exit costs incurred to date are expected to be approximately \$124 million, and will be substantially paid by the end of 2014.

Note 3. Stock Plans:

In May 2012, PMI's stockholders approved the Philip Morris International Inc. 2012 Performance Incentive Plan (the "2012 Plan"). The 2012 Plan replaced the 2008 Performance Incentive Plan (the "2008 Plan") and, as a result, there will be no additional grants under the 2008 Plan. Under the 2012 Plan, PMI may grant to eligible employees restricted stock, restricted stock units and deferred stock units, performance-based cash incentive awards and performance-based equity awards. Up to 30 million shares of PMI's common stock may be issued under the 2012 Plan. At March 31, 2014, shares available for grant under the 2012 Plan were 24,800,140.

In 2008, PMI adopted the Philip Morris International Inc. 2008 Stock Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors (the "Non-Employee Directors Plan"). A non-employee director is defined as a member of the PMI Board of Directors who is not a full-time employee of PMI or of any corporation in which PMI owns, directly or indirectly, stock possessing at least 50% of the total combined voting power of all classes of stock entitled to vote in the election of directors in such corporation. Up to 1 million shares of PMI common stock may be awarded under the Non-Employee Directors Plan. At March 31, 2014, shares available for grant under the plan were 783,905.

During the three months ended March 31, 2014, PMI granted 2.4 million shares of deferred stock awards to eligible employees at a weighted-average grant date fair value of \$77.74 per share. During the three months ended March 31, 2013, PMI granted 2.8 million shares of deferred stock awards to eligible employees at a weighted-average grant date fair value of \$88.43 per share. PMI recorded compensation expense related to stock awards of \$66 million and \$72 million during the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. As of March 31, 2014, PMI had \$341 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested deferred stock awards. The cost is recognized over the original restriction period of the awards, which is typically three or more years after the date of the award, subject to earlier vesting on death or disability or normal retirement, or separation from employment by mutual agreement after reaching age 58.

During the three months ended March 31, 2014, 3.2 million shares of PMI restricted stock and deferred stock awards vested. The grant date fair value of all the vested shares was approximately \$195 million. The total fair value of restricted stock and deferred stock awards that vested during the three months ended March 31, 2014 was approximately \$259 million.

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

- 12-

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
 Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
 (Unaudited)

Note 4. Benefit Plans:

Pension coverage for employees of PMI's subsidiaries is provided, to the extent deemed appropriate, through separate plans, many of which are governed by local statutory requirements. In addition, PMI provides health care and other benefits to substantially all U.S. retired employees and certain non-U.S. retired employees. In general, health care benefits for non-U.S. retired employees are covered through local government plans.

Pension Plans

Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost

Net periodic pension cost consisted of the following:

(in millions)	U.S. Plans		Non-U.S. Plans	
	For the Three Months Ended March 31,		For the Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Service cost	\$1	\$2	\$52	\$65
Interest cost	4	4	51	43
Expected return on plan assets	(4) (4) (88) (87
Amortization:				
Net loss	2	3	28	51
Prior service cost	—	—	2	2
Net periodic pension cost	\$3	\$5	\$45	\$74

Employer Contributions

PMI makes, and plans to make, contributions, to the extent that they are tax deductible and to meet specific funding requirements of its funded U.S. and non-U.S. plans. Employer contributions of \$29 million were made to the pension plans during the three months ended March 31, 2014. Currently, PMI anticipates making additional contributions during the remainder of 2014 of approximately \$82 million to its pension plans, based on current tax and benefit laws. However, this estimate is subject to change as a result of changes in tax and other benefit laws, as well as asset performance significantly above or below the assumed long-term rate of return on pension assets, or changes in interest rates.

Note 5. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, net:

Goodwill and other intangible assets, net, by segment were as follows:

(in millions)	Goodwill		Other Intangible Assets, net	
	March 31, 2014	December 31, 2013	March 31, 2014	December 31, 2013
European Union	\$1,469	\$1,472	\$601	\$604
Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa	589	617	225	228
Asia	4,170	3,960	1,312	1,251
Latin America & Canada	2,781	2,844	1,096	1,110
Total	\$9,009	\$8,893	\$3,234	\$3,193

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

Goodwill is due primarily to PMI's acquisitions in Canada, Colombia, Greece, Indonesia, Mexico, Pakistan and Serbia, as well as the business combination in the Philippines. The movements in goodwill from December 31, 2013, were as follows:

(in millions)	European Union	Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa	Asia	Latin America & Canada	Total
Balances, December 31, 2013	\$1,472	\$617	\$3,960	\$2,844	\$8,893
Changes due to:					
Currency	(3) (28) 210	(63) 116
Balances, March 31, 2014	\$1,469	\$589	\$4,170	\$2,781	\$9,009

Additional details of other intangible assets were as follows:

(in millions)	March 31, 2014		December 31, 2013	
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization
Non-amortizable intangible assets	\$1,861		\$1,798	
Amortizable intangible assets	1,940	\$567	1,940	\$545
Total other intangible assets	\$3,801	\$567	\$3,738	\$545

Non-amortizable intangible assets substantially consist of trademarks from PMI's acquisitions in Indonesia in 2005 and Mexico in 2007. Amortizable intangible assets primarily consist of certain trademarks, distribution networks and non-compete agreements associated with business combinations. The gross carrying amount, the range of useful lives as well as the weighted-average remaining useful life of amortizable intangible assets at March 31, 2014, were as follows:

(dollars in millions)	Gross Carrying Amount	Initial Estimated Useful Lives	Weighted-Average Remaining Useful Life
Trademarks	\$1,583	2 - 40 years	24 years
Distribution networks	162	20 - 30 years	14 years
Non-compete agreements	135	3 - 10 years	1 year
Other (including farmer contracts and intellectual property rights)	60	12.5 - 17 years	12 years
	\$1,940		

Pre-tax amortization expense for intangible assets was \$22 million and \$24 million, respectively during the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013. Amortization expense for each of the next five years is estimated to be approximately \$88 million, assuming no additional transactions occur that require the amortization of intangible assets.

The increase in the gross carrying amount of other intangible assets from December 31, 2013, was due to currency movements.

During the first quarter of 2014, PMI completed its annual review of goodwill and non-amortizable intangible assets for potential impairment, and no impairment charges were required as a result of this review.

Note 6. Financial Instruments:

Overview

PMI operates in markets outside of the United States of America, with manufacturing and sales facilities in various locations around the world. PMI utilizes certain financial instruments to manage foreign currency and interest rate exposure. Derivative financial instruments are used by PMI principally to reduce exposures to market risks resulting from fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates by creating offsetting exposures. PMI is not a party to leveraged derivatives and, by policy, does not use derivative

- 14-

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
 Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
 (Unaudited)

financial instruments for speculative purposes. Financial instruments qualifying for hedge accounting must maintain a specified level of effectiveness between the hedging instrument and the item being hedged, both at inception and throughout the hedged period. PMI formally documents the nature and relationships between the hedging instruments and hedged items, as well as its risk-management objectives, strategies for undertaking the various hedge transactions and method of assessing hedge effectiveness. Additionally, for hedges of forecasted transactions, the significant characteristics and expected terms of the forecasted transaction must be specifically identified, and it must be probable that each forecasted transaction will occur. If it were deemed probable that the forecasted transaction would not occur, the gain or loss would be recognized in earnings. PMI reports its net transaction gains or losses in marketing, administration and research costs on the condensed consolidated statements of earnings.

PMI uses deliverable and non-deliverable forward foreign exchange contracts, foreign currency swaps and foreign currency options, collectively referred to as foreign exchange contracts, to mitigate its exposure to changes in exchange and interest rates from third-party and intercompany actual and forecasted transactions. The primary currencies to which PMI is exposed include the Australian dollar, Euro, Indonesian rupiah, Japanese yen, Mexican peso, Russian ruble, Swiss franc and Turkish lira. At March 31, 2014, PMI had contracts with aggregate notional amounts of \$13.5 billion of which \$2.1 billion related to cash flow hedges, \$1.3 billion related to hedges of net investments in foreign operations and \$10.1 billion related to other derivatives that primarily offset currency exposures on intercompany financing.

The fair value of PMI's foreign exchange contracts included in the condensed consolidated balance sheet as of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, were as follows:

(in millions)	Asset Derivatives			Liability Derivatives		
	Balance Sheet Classification	Fair Value At March 31, 2014	At December 31, 2013	Balance Sheet Classification	Fair Value At March 31, 2014	At December 31, 2013
Foreign exchange contracts designated as hedging instruments	Other current assets	\$74	\$111	Other accrued liabilities	\$5	\$44
	Other assets	1	—	Other liabilities	45	46
Foreign exchange contracts not designated as hedging instruments	Other current assets	26	42	Other accrued liabilities	42	12
				Other liabilities	—	14
Total derivatives		\$101	\$153		\$92	\$116

Table of ContentsPhilip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

Hedging activities, which represent movement in derivatives as well as the respective underlying transactions, had the following effect on PMI's condensed consolidated statements of earnings and other comprehensive earnings for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013:

(in millions)	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2014				
	Cash Flow Hedges	Net Investment Hedges	Other Derivatives	Income Taxes	Total
Statement of Earnings:					
Net revenues	\$15		\$—		\$15
Cost of sales	—		—		—
Marketing, administration and research costs	—		—		—
Operating income	15		—		15
Interest expense, net	(7)		(2)		(9)
Earnings before income taxes	8		(2)		6
Provision for income taxes	(1)		—		(1)
Net earnings attributable to PMI	\$7		\$(2)		\$5
Other Comprehensive Earnings/(Losses):					
Gains transferred to earnings	\$(8)			\$1	\$(7)
Recognized losses	(27)			3	(24)
Net impact on equity	\$(35)			\$4	\$(31)
Currency translation adjustments		\$25		\$(11)	\$14

(in millions)	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2013				
	Cash Flow Hedges	Net Investment Hedges	Other Derivatives	Income Taxes	Total
Statement of Earnings:					
Net revenues	\$41		\$—		\$41
Cost of sales	3		—		3
Marketing, administration and research costs	—		—		—
Operating income	44		—		44
Interest expense, net	(9)		—		(9)
Earnings before income taxes	35		—		35
Provision for income taxes	(4)		—		(4)
Net earnings attributable to PMI	\$31		\$—		\$31
Other Comprehensive Earnings/(Losses):					
Gains transferred to earnings	\$(35)			\$4	\$(31)
Recognized gains	109			(13)	96
Net impact on equity	\$74			\$(9)	\$65
Currency translation adjustments		\$3		\$—	\$3

Each type of hedging activity is described in greater detail below.

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

Cash Flow Hedges

PMI has entered into foreign exchange contracts to hedge foreign currency exchange risk related to certain forecasted transactions. The effective portion of gains and losses associated with qualifying cash flow hedge contracts is deferred as a component of accumulated other comprehensive losses until the underlying hedged transactions are reported in PMI's condensed consolidated statements of earnings. During the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, ineffectiveness related to cash flow hedges was not material. As of March 31, 2014, PMI has hedged forecasted transactions for periods not exceeding the next thirteen months. The impact of these hedges is included in operating cash flows on PMI's condensed consolidated statements of cash flows.

For the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, foreign exchange contracts that were designated as cash flow hedging instruments impacted the condensed consolidated statements of earnings and comprehensive earnings as follows:

(pre-tax, in millions)	For the Three Months Ended March 31,			
Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationship	Statement of Earnings Classification of Gain/(Loss) Reclassified from Other Comprehensive Earnings/(Losses) into Earnings	Amount of Gain/(Loss) Reclassified from Other Comprehensive Earnings/(Losses) into Earnings		Amount of Gain/(Loss) Recognized in Other Comprehensive Earnings/(Losses) on Derivatives
		2014	2013	2014 2013
Foreign exchange contracts				\$ (27) \$ 109
	Net revenues	\$ 15	\$ 41	
	Cost of sales	—	3	
	Interest expense, net	(7)	(9)	
Total		\$ 8	\$ 35	\$ (27) \$ 109

Hedges of Net Investments in Foreign Operations

PMI designates certain foreign currency denominated debt and foreign exchange contracts as net investment hedges of its foreign operations. For the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, these hedges of net investments resulted in gains, net of income taxes, of \$17 million and \$91 million, respectively. These gains were reported as a component of accumulated other comprehensive losses within currency translation adjustments. For the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, ineffectiveness related to net investment hedges was not material. Other investing cash flows on PMI's condensed consolidated statements of cash flows include the premiums paid for, and settlements of, net investment hedges.

For the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, foreign exchange contracts that were designated as net investment hedging instruments impacted the condensed consolidated statements of earnings and comprehensive earnings as follows:

(pre-tax, in millions)	For the Three Months Ended March 31,		
Derivatives in Net Investment Hedging	Statement of Earnings Classification of Gain/(Loss) Reclassified	Amount of Gain/(Loss) Reclassified from Other Comprehensive	Amount of Gain/(Loss) Recognized in Other Comprehensive

Edgar Filing: Philip Morris International Inc. - Form 10-Q

Relationship	from Other Comprehensive Earnings/(Losses) into Earnings	Earnings/(Losses) into Earnings		Earnings/(Losses) on Derivatives	
		2014	2013	2014	2013
Foreign exchange contracts				\$25	\$3
	Interest expense, net	\$—	\$—		

- 17-

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

Other Derivatives

PMI has entered into foreign exchange contracts to hedge the foreign currency exchange and interest rate risks related to intercompany loans between certain subsidiaries, and third-party loans. While effective as economic hedges, no hedge accounting is applied for these contracts; therefore, the unrealized gains (losses) relating to these contracts are reported in PMI's condensed consolidated statements of earnings. For the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, the losses from contracts for which PMI did not apply hedge accounting were \$48 million and \$90 million, respectively. The losses from these contracts substantially offset the gains generated by the underlying intercompany and third-party loans being hedged.

As a result, for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, these items impacted the condensed consolidated statements of earnings as follows:

(pre-tax, in millions)	For the Three Months Ended March 31, Statement of Earnings Classification of Gain/(Loss)	Amount of Gain/(Loss) Recognized in Earnings	
Derivatives not Designated as Hedging Instruments		For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2014	2013
Foreign exchange contracts	Interest expense, net	\$(2)	\$—

Qualifying Hedging Activities Reported in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Losses

Derivative gains or losses reported in accumulated other comprehensive losses are a result of qualifying hedging activity. Transfers of these gains or losses to earnings are offset by the corresponding gains or losses on the underlying hedged item. Hedging activity affected accumulated other comprehensive losses, net of income taxes, as follows:

(in millions)	For the Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2014	2013
Gain as of January 1,	\$63	\$92
Derivative (gains)/losses transferred to earnings	(7)	(31)
Change in fair value	(24)	96
Gain as of March 31,	\$32	\$157

At March 31, 2014, PMI expects \$37 million of derivative gains that are included in accumulated other comprehensive losses to be reclassified to the condensed consolidated statement of earnings within the next twelve months. These gains are expected to be substantially offset by the statement of earnings impact of the respective hedged transactions.

Contingent Features

PMI's derivative instruments do not contain contingent features.

Credit Exposure and Credit Risk

PMI is exposed to credit loss in the event of non-performance by counterparties. While PMI does not anticipate non-performance, its risk is limited to the fair value of the financial instruments less any cash collateral received or pledged. PMI actively monitors its exposure to credit risk through the use of credit approvals and credit limits, and by selecting and continuously monitoring a diverse group of major international banks and financial institutions as counterparties.

Fair Value

See Note 13. Fair Value Measurements and Note 15. Balance Sheet Offsetting for additional discussion of derivative financial instruments.

- 18-

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

Note 7. Redeemable Noncontrolling Interest:

Philippines Business Combination:

On February 25, 2010, PMI's affiliate, Philip Morris Philippines Manufacturing Inc. ("PMPMI"), and Fortune Tobacco Corporation ("FTC") combined their respective business activities by transferring selected assets and liabilities of PMPMI and FTC to a new company called PMFTC Inc. ("PMFTC"). PMPMI and FTC hold equal economic interests in PMFTC, while PMI manages the day-to-day operations of PMFTC and has a majority of its Board of Directors. Consequently, PMI accounted for the contributed assets and liabilities of FTC as a business combination.

The fair value of the assets and liabilities contributed by FTC in this non-cash transaction was determined to be \$1.17 billion. At the time of the business combination, FTC was given the right to sell its interest in PMFTC to PMI, except in certain circumstances, during the period from February 25, 2015, through February 24, 2018, at an agreed-upon value of \$1.17 billion, which was recorded on PMI's condensed consolidated balance sheet as a redeemable noncontrolling interest at the date of the business combination. On December 10, 2013, FTC terminated the agreement related to this exit right. As a result, the amount included in the consolidated balance sheet as redeemable noncontrolling interest at that date was reclassified to noncontrolling interests within stockholders' deficit on the December 31, 2013 consolidated balance sheet.

The redeemable noncontrolling interest balance at March 31, 2013 was \$1,323 million. The movement in redeemable noncontrolling interest for the three months ended March 31, 2013 was as follows:

(in millions)

Redeemable noncontrolling interest at December 31, 2012	\$1,301
Share of net earnings	44
Dividend payments	(24)
Currency translation gains	2
Redeemable noncontrolling interest at March 31, 2013	\$1,323

Note 8. Earnings Per Share:

Basic and diluted earnings per share ("EPS") were calculated using the following:

(in millions)

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2014	2013
Net earnings attributable to PMI	\$1,875	\$2,125
Less distributed and undistributed earnings attributable to share-based payment awards	9	11
Net earnings for basic and diluted EPS	\$1,866	\$2,114
Weighted-average shares for basic and diluted EPS	1,583	1,646

Unvested share-based payment awards that contain non-forfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents are participating securities and therefore are included in PMI's earnings per share calculation pursuant to the two-class method.

For the 2014 and 2013 computations, there were no antidilutive stock options.

Note 9. Segment Reporting:

PMI's subsidiaries and affiliates are engaged in the manufacture and sale of cigarettes and other tobacco products in markets outside of the United States of America. Reportable segments for PMI are organized and managed by geographic region. PMI's reportable segments are European Union; Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa; Asia; and

Latin America & Canada.

- 19-

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

PMI's management evaluates segment performance and allocates resources based on operating companies income, which PMI defines as operating income, excluding general corporate expenses and amortization of intangibles, plus equity (income)/loss in unconsolidated subsidiaries, net. Interest expense, net, and provision for income taxes are centrally managed and, accordingly, such items are not presented by segment since they are excluded from the measure of segment profitability reviewed by management.

Segment data were as follows:

(in millions)	For the Three Months Ended		
	March 31, 2014	2013	
Net revenues:			
European Union	\$6,619	\$6,523	
Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa	4,562	4,423	
Asia	4,475	5,251	
Latin America & Canada	2,123	2,330	
Net revenues	\$17,779	\$18,527	
Earnings before income taxes:			
Operating companies income:			
European Union	\$978	\$938	
Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa	927	935	
Asia	915	1,342	
Latin America & Canada	202	254	
Amortization of intangibles	(22) (24)
General corporate expenses	(40) (58)
Less:			
Equity (income)/loss in unconsolidated subsidiaries, net	(9) 4	
Operating income	2,951	3,391	
Interest expense, net	(268) (236)
Earnings before income taxes	\$2,683	\$3,155	

Items affecting the comparability of results from operations are asset impairment and exit costs. See Note 2. Asset Impairment and Exit Costs for a breakdown of these costs by segment.

Note 10. Contingencies:

Tobacco-Related Litigation

Legal proceedings covering a wide range of matters are pending or threatened against us, and/or our subsidiaries, and/or our indemnitees in various jurisdictions. Our indemnitees include distributors, licensees, and others that have been named as parties in certain cases and that we have agreed to defend, as well as to pay costs and some or all of judgments, if any, that may be entered against them. Pursuant to the terms of the Distribution Agreement between Altria Group, Inc. ("Altria") and PMI, PMI will indemnify Altria and Philip Morris USA Inc. ("PM USA"), a U.S. tobacco subsidiary of Altria, for tobacco product claims based in substantial part on products manufactured by PMI or contract manufactured for PMI by PM USA, and PM USA will indemnify PMI for tobacco product claims based in substantial part on products manufactured by PM USA, excluding tobacco products contract manufactured for PMI. It is possible that there could be adverse developments in pending cases against us and our subsidiaries. An unfavorable outcome or settlement of pending tobacco-related litigation could encourage the commencement of additional litigation.

Damages claimed in some of the tobacco-related litigation are significant and, in certain cases in Brazil, Canada, Israel and Nigeria, range into the billions of U.S. dollars. The variability in pleadings in multiple jurisdictions, together with the actual experience of management in litigating claims, demonstrate that the monetary relief that may be specified in a lawsuit bears little relevance to the ultimate outcome. Much of the tobacco-related litigation is in its early stages, and litigation is subject to uncertainty. However, as discussed below, we have to date been largely successful in defending tobacco-related litigation.

We and our subsidiaries record provisions in the consolidated financial statements for pending litigation when we determine that an unfavorable outcome is probable and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. At the present time, while it is reasonably possible that an unfavorable outcome in a case may occur, after assessing the information available to it (i) management has not concluded that it is probable that a loss has been incurred in any of the pending tobacco-related cases; (ii) management is unable to estimate the possible loss or range of loss for any of the pending tobacco-related cases; and (iii) accordingly, no estimated loss has been accrued in the consolidated financial statements for unfavorable outcomes in these cases, if any. Legal defense costs are expensed as incurred. It is possible that our consolidated results of operations, cash flows or financial position could be materially affected in a particular fiscal quarter or fiscal year by an unfavorable outcome or settlement of certain pending litigation. Nevertheless, although litigation is subject to uncertainty, we and each of our subsidiaries named as a defendant believe, and each has been so advised by counsel handling the respective cases, that we have valid defenses to the litigation pending against us, as well as valid bases for appeal of adverse verdicts, if any. All such cases are, and will continue to be, vigorously defended. However, we and our subsidiaries may enter into settlement discussions in particular cases if we believe it is in our best interests to do so.

To date, we have paid only one judgment in a tobacco-related case. That judgment, including costs, was approximately €1,400 (approximately \$1,900), and that payment was made in order to appeal an Italian small claims case, which was subsequently reversed on appeal. To date, no tobacco-related case has been finally resolved in favor of a plaintiff against us, our subsidiaries or indemnitees.

The table below lists the number of tobacco-related cases pending against us and/or our subsidiaries or indemnitees as of May 1, 2014, May 1, 2013 and May 1, 2012:

Type of Case	Number of Cases Pending as of May 1, 2014	Number of Cases Pending as of May 1, 2013	Number of Cases Pending as of May 1, 2012
Individual Smoking and Health Cases	65	71	76
Smoking and Health Class Actions	11	11	10
Health Care Cost Recovery Actions	15	15	10
Lights Class Actions	1	2	2
Individual Lights Cases	2	1	9
Public Civil Actions	2	4	3

Since 1995, when the first tobacco-related litigation was filed against a PMI entity, 419 Smoking and Health, Lights, Health Care Cost Recovery, and Public Civil Actions in which we and/or one of our subsidiaries and/or indemnitees were a defendant have been terminated in our favor. Ten cases have had decisions in favor of plaintiffs. Eight of these cases have subsequently reached final resolution in our favor and two remain on appeal.

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
 Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
 (Unaudited)

The table below lists the verdicts and post-trial developments in the following cases where verdicts were returned in favor of plaintiffs:

Date	Location of Court/Name of Plaintiff	Type of Case	Verdict	Post-Trial Developments
September 2009	Brazil/Bernhardt	Individual Smoking and Health	The Civil Court of Rio de Janeiro found for plaintiff and ordered Philip Morris Brasil to pay R\$13,000 (approximately \$5,800) in "moral damages."	Philip Morris Brasil filed its appeal against the decision on the merits with the Court of Appeals in November 2009. In February 2010, without addressing the merits, the Court of Appeals annulled the trial court's decision and remanded the case to the trial court to issue a new ruling, which was required to address certain compensatory damage claims made by the plaintiff that the trial court did not address in its original ruling. In July 2010, the trial court reinstated its original decision, while specifically rejecting the compensatory damages claim. Philip Morris Brasil appealed this decision. In March 2011, the Court of Appeals affirmed the trial court's decision and denied Philip Morris Brasil's appeal. The Court of Appeals increased the amount of damages awarded to the plaintiff to R\$100,000 (approximately \$44,600). Philip Morris Brasil has appealed this decision.

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
 Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
 (Unaudited)

Date	Location of Court/Name of Plaintiff	Type of Case	Verdict	Post-Trial Developments
February 2004	Brazil/The Smoker Health Defense Association	Class Action	The Civil Court of São Paulo found defendants liable without hearing evidence. The court did not assess actual damages, which were to be assessed in a second phase of the case. The size of the class was not defined in the ruling.	In April 2004, the court clarified its ruling, awarding “moral damages” of R\$1,000 (approximately \$450) per smoker per full year of smoking plus interest at the rate of 1% per month, as of the date of the ruling. The court did not award actual damages, which were to be assessed in the second phase of the case. The size of the class was not estimated. Defendants appealed to the São Paulo Court of Appeals, which annulled the ruling in November 2008, finding that the trial court had inappropriately ruled without hearing evidence and returned the case to the trial court for further proceedings. In May 2011, the trial court dismissed the claim. Plaintiff has appealed. In addition, the defendants filed a constitutional appeal to the Federal Supreme Tribunal on the basis that the plaintiff did not have standing to bring the lawsuit. This appeal is still pending.

Pending claims related to tobacco products generally fall within the following categories:

Smoking and Health Litigation: These cases primarily allege personal injury and are brought by individual plaintiffs or on behalf of a class or purported class of individual plaintiffs. Plaintiffs' allegations of liability in these cases are based on various theories of recovery, including negligence, gross negligence, strict liability, fraud, misrepresentation, design defect, failure to warn, breach of express and implied warranties, violations of deceptive trade practice laws and consumer protection statutes. Plaintiffs in these cases seek various forms of relief, including compensatory and other damages, and injunctive and equitable relief. Defenses raised in these cases include licit activity, failure to state a claim, lack of defect, lack of proximate cause, assumption of the risk, contributory negligence, and statute of limitations.

As of May 1, 2014, there were a number of smoking and health cases pending against us, our subsidiaries or indemnitees, as follows:

-

Edgar Filing: Philip Morris International Inc. - Form 10-Q

65 cases brought by individual plaintiffs in Argentina (25), Brazil (24), Canada (2), Chile (6), Costa Rica (2), Greece (1), Italy (3), the Philippines (1) and Scotland (1), compared with 71 such cases on May 1, 2013, and 76 cases on May 1, 2012; and

11 cases brought on behalf of classes of individual plaintiffs in Brazil (2) and Canada (9), compared with 11 such cases on May 1, 2013 and 10 such cases on May 1, 2012.

In the first class action pending in Brazil, The Smoker Health Defense Association (ADESF) v. Souza Cruz, S.A. and Philip Morris Marketing, S.A., Nineteenth Lower Civil Court of the Central Courts of the Judiciary District of São Paulo, Brazil, filed July 25, 1995, our subsidiary and another member of the industry are defendants. The plaintiff, a consumer organization, is seeking damages for smokers and former smokers and injunctive relief. The verdict and post-trial developments in this case are described in the above table.

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

In the second class action pending in Brazil, Public Prosecutor of São Paulo v. Philip Morris Brasil Industria e Comercio Ltda., Civil Court of the City of São Paulo, Brazil, filed August 6, 2007, our subsidiary is a defendant. The plaintiff, the Public Prosecutor of the State of São Paulo, is seeking (i) damages on behalf of all smokers nationwide, former smokers, and their relatives; (ii) damages on behalf of people exposed to environmental tobacco smoke (“ETS”) nationwide, and their relatives; and (iii) reimbursement of the health care costs allegedly incurred for the treatment of tobacco-related diseases by all Brazilian States and Municipalities, and the Federal District. In an interim ruling issued in December 2007, the trial court limited the scope of this claim to the State of São Paulo only. In December 2008, the Seventh Civil Court of São Paulo issued a decision declaring that it lacked jurisdiction because the case involved issues similar to the ADESF case discussed above and should be transferred to the Nineteenth Lower Civil Court in São Paulo where the ADESF case is pending. The court further stated that these cases should be consolidated for the purposes of judgment. In April 2010, the São Paulo Court of Appeals reversed the Seventh Civil Court's decision that consolidated the cases, finding that they are based on different legal claims and are progressing at different stages of proceedings. This case was returned to the Seventh Civil Court of São Paulo, and our subsidiary filed its closing arguments in December 2010. In March 2012, the trial court dismissed the case on the merits. In January 2014, the São Paulo Court of Appeals rejected plaintiff's appeal and affirmed the trial court decision. Plaintiff may appeal. In the first class action pending in Canada, Cecilia Letourneau v. Imperial Tobacco Ltd., Rothmans, Benson & Hedges Inc. and JTI Macdonald Corp., Quebec Superior Court, Canada, filed in September 1998, our subsidiary and other Canadian manufacturers are defendants. The plaintiff, an individual smoker, is seeking compensatory and punitive damages for each member of the class who is deemed addicted to smoking. The class was certified in 2005. In February 2011, the trial court ruled that the federal government would remain as a third party in the case. In November 2012, the Court of Appeals dismissed defendants' third-party claims against the federal government. Trial began on March 12, 2012. At the present pace, trial is expected to conclude in 2014, with a judgment to follow at an indeterminate point after the conclusion of the trial proceedings.

In the second class action pending in Canada, Conseil Québécois Sur Le Tabac Et La Santé and Jean-Yves Blais v. Imperial Tobacco Ltd., Rothmans, Benson & Hedges Inc. and JTI Macdonald Corp., Quebec Superior Court, Canada, filed in November 1998, our subsidiary and other Canadian manufacturers are defendants. The plaintiffs, an anti-smoking organization and an individual smoker, are seeking compensatory and punitive damages for each member of the class who allegedly suffers from certain smoking-related diseases. The class was certified in 2005. In February 2011, the trial court ruled that the federal government would remain as a third party in the case. In November 2012, the Court of Appeals dismissed defendants' third-party claims against the federal government. Trial began on March 12, 2012. At the present pace, trial is expected to conclude in 2014, with a judgment to follow at an indeterminate point after the conclusion of the trial proceedings.

In the third class action pending in Canada, Kunta v. Canadian Tobacco Manufacturers' Council, et al., The Queen's Bench, Winnipeg, Canada, filed June 12, 2009, we, our subsidiaries, and our indemnitees (PM USA and Altria), and other members of the industry are defendants. The plaintiff, an individual smoker, alleges her own addiction to tobacco products and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (“COPD”), severe asthma, and mild reversible lung disease resulting from the use of tobacco products. She is seeking compensatory and punitive damages on behalf of a proposed class comprised of all smokers, their estates, dependents and family members, as well as restitution of profits, and reimbursement of government health care costs allegedly caused by tobacco products. In September 2009, plaintiff's counsel informed defendants that he did not anticipate taking any action in this case while he pursues the class action filed in Saskatchewan (see description of Adams, below).

In the fourth class action pending in Canada, Adams v. Canadian Tobacco Manufacturers' Council, et al., The Queen's Bench, Saskatchewan, Canada, filed July 10, 2009, we, our subsidiaries, and our indemnitees (PM USA and Altria), and other members of the industry are defendants. The plaintiff, an individual smoker, alleges her own addiction to tobacco products and COPD resulting from the use of tobacco products. She is seeking compensatory and punitive damages on behalf of a proposed class comprised of all smokers who have smoked a minimum of 25,000 cigarettes

and have allegedly suffered, or suffer, from COPD, emphysema, heart disease, or cancer, as well as restitution of profits. Preliminary motions are pending.

In the fifth class action pending in Canada, *Semple v. Canadian Tobacco Manufacturers' Council, et al.*, The Supreme Court (trial court), Nova Scotia, Canada, filed June 18, 2009, we, our subsidiaries, and our indemnitees (PM USA and Altria), and other members of the industry are defendants. The plaintiff, an individual smoker, alleges his own addiction to tobacco products and COPD resulting from the use of tobacco products. He is seeking compensatory and punitive damages on behalf of a proposed class comprised of all smokers, their estates, dependents and family members, as well as restitution of profits, and reimbursement of government health care costs allegedly caused by tobacco products. No activity in this case is anticipated while plaintiff's counsel pursues the class action filed in Saskatchewan (see description of Adams, above).

In the sixth class action pending in Canada, *Dorion v. Canadian Tobacco Manufacturers' Council, et al.*, The Queen's Bench, Alberta, Canada, filed June 15, 2009, we, our subsidiaries, and our indemnitees (PM USA and Altria), and other members of the

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

industry are defendants. The plaintiff, an individual smoker, alleges her own addiction to tobacco products and chronic bronchitis and severe sinus infections resulting from the use of tobacco products. She is seeking compensatory and punitive damages on behalf of a proposed class comprised of all smokers, their estates, dependents and family members, restitution of profits, and reimbursement of government health care costs allegedly caused by tobacco products. To date, we, our subsidiaries, and our indemnitees have not been properly served with the complaint. No activity in this case is anticipated while plaintiff's counsel pursues the class action filed in Saskatchewan (see description of Adams, above).

In the seventh class action pending in Canada, *McDermid v. Imperial Tobacco Canada Limited, et al.*, Supreme Court, British Columbia, Canada, filed June 25, 2010, we, our subsidiaries, and our indemnitees (PM USA and Altria), and other members of the industry are defendants. The plaintiff, an individual smoker, alleges his own addiction to tobacco products and heart disease resulting from the use of tobacco products. He is seeking compensatory and punitive damages on behalf of a proposed class comprised of all smokers who were alive on June 12, 2007, and who suffered from heart disease allegedly caused by smoking, their estates, dependents and family members, plus disgorgement of revenues earned by the defendants from January 1, 1954 to the date the claim was filed. Defendants have filed jurisdictional challenges on the grounds that this action should not proceed during the pendency of the Saskatchewan class action (see description of Adams, above).

In the eighth class action pending in Canada, *Bourassa v. Imperial Tobacco Canada Limited, et al.*, Supreme Court, British Columbia, Canada, filed June 25, 2010, we, our subsidiaries, and our indemnitees (PM USA and Altria), and other members of the industry are defendants. The plaintiff, the heir to a deceased smoker, alleges that the decedent was addicted to tobacco products and suffered from emphysema resulting from the use of tobacco products. She is seeking compensatory and punitive damages on behalf of a proposed class comprised of all smokers who were alive on June 12, 2007, and who suffered from chronic respiratory diseases allegedly caused by smoking, their estates, dependents and family members, plus disgorgement of revenues earned by the defendants from January 1, 1954 to the date the claim was filed. Defendants have filed jurisdictional challenges on the grounds that this action should not proceed during the pendency of the Saskatchewan class action (see description of Adams, above).

In the ninth class action pending in Canada, *Suzanne Jacklin v. Canadian Tobacco Manufacturers' Council, et al.*, Ontario Superior Court of Justice, filed June 20, 2012, we, our subsidiaries, and our indemnitees (PM USA and Altria), and other members of the industry are defendants. The plaintiff, an individual smoker, alleges her own addiction to tobacco products and COPD resulting from the use of tobacco products. She is seeking compensatory and punitive damages on behalf of a proposed class comprised of all smokers who have smoked a minimum of 25,000 cigarettes and have allegedly suffered, or suffer, from COPD, heart disease, or cancer, as well as restitution of profits. Plaintiff's counsel has indicated that he does not intend to take any action in this case in the near future.

Health Care Cost Recovery Litigation: These cases, brought by governmental and non-governmental plaintiffs, seek reimbursement of health care cost expenditures allegedly caused by tobacco products. Plaintiffs' allegations of liability in these cases are based on various theories of recovery including unjust enrichment, negligence, negligent design, strict liability, breach of express and implied warranties, violation of a voluntary undertaking or special duty, fraud, negligent misrepresentation, conspiracy, public nuisance, defective product, failure to warn, sale of cigarettes to minors, and claims under statutes governing competition and deceptive trade practices. Plaintiffs in these cases seek various forms of relief including compensatory and other damages, and injunctive and equitable relief. Defenses raised in these cases include lack of proximate cause, remoteness of injury, failure to state a claim, adequate remedy at law, "unclean hands" (namely, that plaintiffs cannot obtain equitable relief because they participated in, and benefited from, the sale of cigarettes), and statute of limitations.

As of May 1, 2014, there were 15 health care cost recovery cases pending against us, our subsidiaries or indemnitees in Canada (9), Korea (1) and Nigeria (5), compared with 15 such cases on May 1, 2013 and 10 such cases on May 1, 2012.

In the first health care cost recovery case pending in Canada, *Her Majesty the Queen in Right of British Columbia v. Imperial Tobacco Limited, et al.*, Supreme Court, British Columbia, Vancouver Registry, Canada, filed January 24, 2001, we, our subsidiaries, our indemnitee (PM USA), and other members of the industry are defendants. The plaintiff, the government of the province of British Columbia, brought a claim based upon legislation enacted by the province authorizing the government to file a direct action against cigarette manufacturers to recover the health care costs it has incurred, and will incur, resulting from a “tobacco related wrong.” The Supreme Court of Canada has held that the statute is constitutional. We and certain other non-Canadian defendants challenged the jurisdiction of the court. The court rejected the jurisdictional challenge. Pre-trial discovery is ongoing.

In the second health care cost recovery case filed in Canada, *Her Majesty the Queen in Right of New Brunswick v. Rothmans Inc., et al.*, Court of Queen's Bench of New Brunswick, Trial Court, New Brunswick, Fredericton, Canada, filed March 13, 2008, we, our subsidiaries, our indemnitees (PM USA and Altria), and other members of the industry are defendants. The claim was filed

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

by the government of the province of New Brunswick based on legislation enacted in the province. This legislation is similar to the law introduced in British Columbia that authorizes the government to file a direct action against cigarette manufacturers to recover the health care costs it has incurred, and will incur, as a result of a “tobacco related wrong.” Pre-trial discovery is ongoing.

In the third health care cost recovery case filed in Canada, Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Ontario v. Rothmans Inc., et al., Ontario Superior Court of Justice, Toronto, Canada, filed September 29, 2009, we, our subsidiaries, our indemnitees (PM USA and Altria), and other members of the industry are defendants. The claim was filed by the government of the province of Ontario based on legislation enacted in the province. This legislation is similar to the laws introduced in British Columbia and New Brunswick that authorize the government to file a direct action against cigarette manufacturers to recover the health care costs it has incurred, and will incur, as a result of a “tobacco related wrong.” Preliminary motions are pending.

In the fourth health care cost recovery case filed in Canada, Attorney General of Newfoundland and Labrador v. Rothmans Inc., et al., Supreme Court of Newfoundland and Labrador, St. Johns, Canada, filed February 8, 2011, we, our subsidiaries, our indemnitees (PM USA and Altria), and other members of the industry are defendants. The claim was filed by the government of the province of Newfoundland and Labrador based on legislation enacted in the province that is similar to the laws introduced in British Columbia, New Brunswick and Ontario. The legislation authorizes the government to file a direct action against cigarette manufacturers to recover the health care costs it has incurred, and will incur, as a result of a “tobacco related wrong.” Preliminary motions are pending.

In the fifth health care cost recovery case filed in Canada, Attorney General of Quebec v. Imperial Tobacco Limited, et al., Superior Court of Quebec, Canada, filed June 8, 2012, we, our subsidiary, our indemnitee (PM USA), and other members of the industry are defendants. The claim was filed by the government of the province of Quebec based on legislation enacted in the province that is similar to the laws enacted in several other Canadian provinces. The legislation authorizes the government to file a direct action against cigarette manufacturers to recover the health care costs it has incurred, and will incur, as a result of a “tobacco related wrong.” Preliminary motions are pending.

In the sixth health care cost recovery case filed in Canada, Her Majesty in Right of Alberta v. Altria Group, Inc., et al., Supreme Court of Queen's Bench Alberta, Canada, filed June 8, 2012, we, our subsidiaries, our indemnitees (PM USA and Altria), and other members of the industry are defendants. The claim was filed by the government of the province of Alberta based on legislation enacted in the province that is similar to the laws enacted in several other Canadian provinces. The legislation authorizes the government to file a direct action against cigarette manufacturers to recover the health care costs it has incurred, and will incur, as a result of a “tobacco related wrong.” Preliminary motions are pending.

In the seventh health care cost recovery case filed in Canada, Her Majesty the Queen in Right of the Province of Manitoba v. Rothmans, Benson & Hedges, Inc., et al., The Queen's Bench, Winnipeg Judicial Centre, Canada, filed May 31, 2012, we, our subsidiaries, our indemnitees (PM USA and Altria), and other members of the industry are defendants. The claim was filed by the government of the province of Manitoba based on legislation enacted in the province that is similar to the laws enacted in several other Canadian provinces. The legislation authorizes the government to file a direct action against cigarette manufacturers to recover the health care costs it has incurred, and will incur, as a result of a “tobacco related wrong.” Preliminary motions are pending.

In the eighth health care cost recovery case filed in Canada, The Government of Saskatchewan v. Rothmans, Benson & Hedges Inc., et al., Queen's Bench, Judicial Centre of Saskatchewan, Canada, filed June 8, 2012, we, our subsidiaries, our indemnitees (PM USA and Altria), and other members of the industry are defendants. The claim was filed by the government of the province of Saskatchewan based on legislation enacted in the province that is similar to the laws enacted in several other Canadian provinces. The legislation authorizes the government to file a direct action against cigarette manufacturers to recover the health care costs it has incurred, and will incur, as a result of a “tobacco related wrong.” Preliminary motions are pending.

In the ninth health care cost recovery case filed in Canada, Her Majesty the Queen in Right of the Province of Prince Edward Island v. Rothmans, Benson & Hedges Inc., et al., Supreme Court of Prince Edward Island (General Section), Canada, filed September 10, 2012, we, our subsidiaries, our indemnitees (PM USA and Altria), and other members of the industry are defendants. The claim was filed by the government of the province of Prince Edward Island based on legislation enacted in the province that is similar to the laws enacted in several other Canadian provinces. The legislation authorizes the government to file a direct action against cigarette manufacturers to recover the health care costs it has incurred, and will incur, as a result of a “tobacco related wrong.” Preliminary motions are pending. In the first health care cost recovery case in Nigeria, The Attorney General of Lagos State v. British American Tobacco (Nigeria) Limited, et al., High Court of Lagos State, Lagos, Nigeria, filed March 13, 2008, we and other members of the industry are

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

defendants. Plaintiff seeks reimbursement for the cost of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the past 20 years, payment of anticipated costs of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the next 20 years, various forms of injunctive relief, plus punitive damages. We are in the process of making challenges to service and the court's jurisdiction. Currently, the case is stayed in the trial court pending the appeals of certain co-defendants relating to service objections. We currently have no employees, operations or assets in Nigeria.

In the second health care cost recovery case in Nigeria, *The Attorney General of Kano State v. British American Tobacco (Nigeria) Limited, et al.*, High Court of Kano State, Kano, Nigeria, filed May 9, 2007, we and other members of the industry are defendants. Plaintiff seeks reimbursement for the cost of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the past 20 years, payment of anticipated costs of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the next 20 years, various forms of injunctive relief, plus punitive damages. We are in the process of making challenges to service and the court's jurisdiction. Currently, the case is stayed in the trial court pending the appeals of certain co-defendants relating to service objections.

In the third health care cost recovery case in Nigeria, *The Attorney General of Gombe State v. British American Tobacco (Nigeria) Limited, et al.*, High Court of Gombe State, Gombe, Nigeria, filed October 17, 2008, we and other members of the industry are defendants. Plaintiff seeks reimbursement for the cost of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the past 20 years, payment of anticipated costs of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the next 20 years, various forms of injunctive relief, plus punitive damages. In February 2011, the court ruled that the plaintiff had not complied with the procedural steps necessary to serve us. As a result of this ruling, plaintiff must re-serve its claim. We have not yet been re-served.

In the fourth health care cost recovery case in Nigeria, *The Attorney General of Oyo State, et al., v. British American Tobacco (Nigeria) Limited, et al.*, High Court of Oyo State, Ibadan, Nigeria, filed May 25, 2007, we and other members of the industry are defendants. Plaintiffs seek reimbursement for the cost of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the past 20 years, payment of anticipated costs of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the next 20 years, various forms of injunctive relief, plus punitive damages. We challenged service as improper. In June 2010, the court ruled that plaintiffs did not have leave to serve the writ of summons on the defendants and that they must re-serve the writ. We have not yet been re-served.

In the fifth health care cost recovery case in Nigeria, *The Attorney General of Ogun State v. British American Tobacco (Nigeria) Limited, et al.*, High Court of Ogun State, Abeokuta, Nigeria, filed February 26, 2008, we and other members of the industry are defendants. Plaintiff seeks reimbursement for the cost of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the past 20 years, payment of anticipated costs of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the next 20 years, various forms of injunctive relief, plus punitive damages. In May 2010, the trial court rejected our service objections. We have appealed.

In the health care cost recovery case in Korea, *the National Health Insurance Service v. KT&G, et. al.*, filed April 14, 2014, our subsidiary and other Korean manufacturers are defendants. Plaintiff alleges that defendants concealed the health hazards of smoking, marketed to youth, added ingredients to make their products more harmful and addictive, and misled consumers into believing that Lights cigarettes are safer than regular cigarettes. The National Health Insurance Service seeks to recover approximately \$53.7 million allegedly incurred in treating 3,484 patients with small cell lung cancer, squamous cell lung cancer, and squamous cell laryngeal cancer from 2003 to 2012.

In a series of proceedings in Spain, *Junta de Andalucia, et al. v. Philip Morris Spain, et al.*, Court of First Instance, Madrid, Spain, the first of which was filed February 21, 2002, our subsidiary and other members of the industry were defendants. The plaintiffs sought reimbursement for the cost of treating certain of their citizens for various alleged smoking-related illnesses. In May 2004, the first instance court dismissed the initial case, finding that the State was a necessary party to the claim, and thus, the claim must be filed in the Administrative Court. In September 2007, the plaintiffs filed their complaint in the Administrative Court, which dismissed the claim based on a procedural issue in November 2007. In November 2009, the Supreme Court rejected plaintiffs' appeal, resulting in the final dismissal of

the claim. However, plaintiffs have filed a second claim in the Administrative Court against the Ministry of Economy. This second claim sought the same relief as the original claim, but relied on a different procedural posture. In December 2013, the Administrative Court rejected plaintiffs' reimbursement claim. The case was terminated in March 2014 when plaintiff failed to appeal. This case will no longer be reported.

Lights Cases: These cases, brought by individual plaintiffs, or on behalf of a class of individual plaintiffs, allege that the use of the term "lights" constitutes fraudulent and misleading conduct. Plaintiffs' allegations of liability in these cases are based on various theories of recovery including misrepresentation, deception, and breach of consumer protection laws. Plaintiffs seek various forms of relief including restitution, injunctive relief, and compensatory and other damages. Defenses raised include lack of causation, lack of reliance, assumption of the risk, and statute of limitations.

As of May 1, 2014, the following lights cases were pending against our subsidiaries or indemnitees:

- 26-

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

• 1 case brought on behalf of individual plaintiffs in Israel, compared with 2 such cases on May 1, 2013 and May 1, 2012, respectively; and

• 2 cases brought by individual plaintiffs in Chile (1) and Italy (1), compared with 1 such case on May 1, 2013, and 9 such cases on May 1, 2012.

In the class action pending in Israel, *El-Roy, et al. v. Philip Morris Incorporated, et al.*, District Court of Tel-Aviv/Jaffa, Israel, filed January 18, 2004, our subsidiary and our indemnitees (PM USA and our former importer) are defendants. The plaintiffs filed a purported class action claiming that the class members were misled by the descriptor “lights” into believing that lights cigarettes are safer than full flavor cigarettes. The claim seeks recovery of the purchase price of lights cigarettes and compensation for distress for each class member. Hearings took place in November and December 2008 regarding whether the case meets the legal requirements necessary to allow it to proceed as a class action. The parties' briefing on class certification was completed in March 2011. In November 2012, the court denied class certification and dismissed the individual claims. Plaintiffs have appealed, and an oral hearing has been scheduled for September 2014.

Public Civil Actions: Claims have been filed either by an individual, or a public or private entity, seeking to protect collective or individual rights, such as the right to health, the right to information or the right to safety. Plaintiffs' allegations of liability in these cases are based on various theories of recovery including product defect, concealment, and misrepresentation. Plaintiffs in these cases seek various forms of relief including injunctive relief such as banning cigarettes, descriptors, smoking in certain places and advertising, as well as implementing communication campaigns and reimbursement of medical expenses incurred by public or private institutions.

As of May 1, 2014, there were 2 public civil actions pending against our subsidiaries in Argentina (1) and Venezuela (1), compared with 4 such cases on May 1, 2013, and 3 such cases on May 1, 2012.

In the public civil action in Argentina, *Asociación Argentina de Derecho de Danos v. Massalin Particulares S.A., et al.*, Civil Court of Buenos Aires, Argentina, filed February 26, 2007, our subsidiary and another member of the industry are defendants. The plaintiff, a consumer association, seeks the establishment of a relief fund for reimbursement of medical costs associated with diseases allegedly caused by smoking. Our subsidiary filed its answer in September 2007. In March 2010, the case file was transferred to the Federal Court on Administrative Matters after the Civil Court granted the plaintiff's request to add the national government as a co-plaintiff in the case. The case is currently in the evidentiary stage.

In the public civil action in Venezuela, *Federation of Consumers and Users Associations (“FEVACU”), et al. v. National Assembly of Venezuela and the Venezuelan Ministry of Health, Constitutional Chamber of the Venezuelan Supreme Court*, filed April 29, 2008, we were not named as a defendant, but the plaintiffs published a notice pursuant to court order, notifying all interested parties to appear in the case. In January 2009, our subsidiary appeared in the case in response to this notice. The plaintiffs purport to represent the right to health of the citizens of Venezuela and claim that the government failed to protect adequately its citizens' right to health. The claim asks the court to order the government to enact stricter regulations on the manufacture and sale of tobacco products. In addition, the plaintiffs ask the court to order companies involved in the tobacco industry to allocate a percentage of their “sales or benefits” to establish a fund to pay for the health care costs of treating smoking-related diseases. In October 2008, the court ruled that plaintiffs have standing to file the claim and that the claim meets the threshold admissibility requirements. In December 2012, the court admitted our subsidiary and BAT's subsidiary as interested third parties. In February 2013, our subsidiary answered the complaint.

Other Litigation

We are also involved in other litigation arising in the ordinary course of our business. While the outcomes of these proceedings are uncertain, management does not expect that the ultimate outcomes of other litigation, including any reasonably possible losses in excess of current accruals, will have a material adverse effect on our consolidated results of operations, cash flows or financial position.

Note 11. Income Taxes:

Income tax provisions for jurisdictions outside the United States, as well as state and local income tax provisions, were determined on a separate company basis and the related assets and liabilities were recorded in PMI's condensed consolidated balance sheets.

- 27-

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
 Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
 (Unaudited)

The American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012 (the “Act”) was enacted on January 2, 2013. Included in the Act were extensions through 2013 of several expired or expiring temporary business tax provisions, commonly referred to as “extenders.” The tax impact of new legislation is recognized in the reporting period in which it is enacted. Therefore, PMI recognized the impact of the Act, which was \$17 million of expense, in the condensed consolidated financial statements in the first quarter of 2013.

PMI’s effective tax rates for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 were 28.9% and 29.6%, respectively. The effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2013, was unfavorably impacted by the additional expense associated with the Act (\$17 million). Excluding the special 2013 tax item, the change in the effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2014, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2013, was primarily due to earnings mix and repatriation cost differences.

The effective tax rates are based on PMI’s full-year geographic earnings mix projections and cash repatriation plans. Changes in earnings mix or in cash repatriation plans could have an impact on the effective tax rates, which PMI monitors each quarter. Significant judgment is required in determining income tax provisions and in evaluating tax positions.

PMI is regularly examined by tax authorities around the world and is currently under examination in a number of jurisdictions. The U.S. federal statute of limitations remains open for the years 2007 and onward. Foreign and U.S. state jurisdictions have statutes of limitations generally ranging from three to five years.

It is reasonably possible that, within the next twelve months, certain tax examinations will close, which could result in a change in unrecognized tax benefits along with related interest and penalties. An estimate of any possible change cannot be made at this time.

Note 12. Indebtedness:

Short-term Borrowings:

At March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, PMI’s short-term borrowings, consisting of commercial paper and bank loans to certain PMI subsidiaries, had a carrying value of \$3,284 million and \$2,400 million, respectively. The fair value of PMI’s short-term borrowings, based on current market interest rates, approximates carrying value.

Long-term Debt:

At March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, PMI’s long-term debt consisted of the following:

(in millions)	March 31, 2014	December 31, 2013
U.S. dollar notes, 0.284% to 6.375% (average interest rate 3.878%), due through 2043	\$15,258	\$16,500
Foreign currency obligations:		
Euro notes, 1.750% to 5.875% (average interest rate 3.120%), due through 2033	9,659	7,303
Swiss franc notes, 0.875% to 2.000% (average interest rate 1.240%), due through 2021	1,293	1,289
Other (average interest rate 3.615%), due through 2024	185	186
	26,395	25,278
Less current portion of long-term debt	406	1,255
	\$25,989	\$24,023

Other foreign currency debt above includes mortgage debt in Switzerland and capital lease obligations at March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

PMI's debt offerings in the first quarter of 2014 were as follows:
(in millions)

Type	Face Value	Interest Rate	Issuance	Maturity
EURO notes	(a) €750 (approximately \$1,029)	1.875	% March 2014	March 2021
EURO notes	(a) €1,000 (approximately \$1,372)	2.875	% March 2014	March 2026

(a) Interest on these notes is payable annually in arrears beginning in March 2015. U.S. dollar equivalents for foreign currency notes were calculated based on exchange rates on the date of issuance.

The net proceeds from the sale of the securities listed in the table above will be used for general corporate purposes.
Credit Facilities:

On January 31, 2014, PMI extended the term of its \$2.0 billion 364-day revolving credit facility until February 10, 2015. On February 28, 2014, PMI replaced its \$2.5 billion multi-year revolving credit facility, expiring March 31, 2015, with a new \$2.5 billion multi-year credit facility, expiring on February 28, 2019.

At March 31, 2014, PMI's total committed credit facilities were as follows:

(in billions)

Type	Committed Credit Facilities
364-day revolving credit, expiring February 10, 2015	\$2.0
Multi-year revolving credit, expiring February 28, 2019	2.5
Multi-year revolving credit, expiring October 25, 2016	3.5
Total facilities	\$8.0

At March 31, 2014, there were no borrowings under these committed credit facilities, and the entire committed amounts were available for borrowing.

Note 13. Fair Value Measurements:

The authoritative guidance defines fair value as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. The guidance also establishes a fair value hierarchy, which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The guidance describes three levels of input that may be used to measure fair value, which are as follows:

Level 1 - Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities;

Level 2 - Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities; and

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value
- of the assets or liabilities.

- 30-

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

PMI's policy is to reflect transfers between hierarchy levels at the end of the reporting period.

Derivative Financial Instruments – Foreign Exchange Contracts

PMI assesses the fair value of its derivative financial instruments, which consist of deliverable and non-deliverable foreign exchange forward contracts, foreign currency swaps and foreign currency options, using internally developed models that use, as their basis, readily observable market inputs. The fair value of PMI's foreign exchange forward contracts is determined by using the prevailing foreign exchange spot rates and interest rate differentials, and the respective maturity dates of the instruments. The fair value of PMI's currency options is determined by using a Black-Scholes methodology based on foreign exchange spot rates and interest rate differentials, currency volatilities and maturity dates. PMI's derivative financial instruments have been classified within Level 2 in the table shown below. See Note 6. Financial Instruments for an additional discussion of derivative financial instruments.

Debt

The fair value of PMI's outstanding debt, which is utilized solely for disclosure purposes, is determined using quotes and market interest rates currently available to PMI for issuances of debt with similar terms and remaining maturities. The aggregate carrying value of PMI's debt, excluding short-term borrowings and \$15 million of capital lease obligations, was \$26,380 million at March 31, 2014. The fair value of PMI's outstanding debt, excluding the aforementioned short-term borrowings and capital lease obligations, has been classified within Level 1 and Level 2 in the table shown below.

The aggregate fair values of PMI's derivative financial instruments and debt as of March 31, 2014, were as follows:

(in millions)	Fair Value at March 31, 2014	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets/Liabilities (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Assets:				
Foreign exchange contracts	\$101	\$—	\$101	\$—
Total assets	\$101	\$—	\$101	\$—
Liabilities:				
Debt	\$27,684	\$27,498	\$186	\$—
Foreign exchange contracts	92	—	92	—
Total liabilities	\$27,776	\$27,498	\$278	\$—

Note 14. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Losses:

PMI's accumulated other comprehensive losses, net of taxes, consisted of the following:

(in millions)	At March 31, 2014	At December 31, 2013	At March 31, 2013
Currency translation adjustments	\$(2,237)	\$(2,207)	\$(571)
Pension and other benefits	(2,008)	(2,046)	(3,306)
Derivatives accounted for as hedges	32	63	157
Total accumulated other comprehensive losses	\$(4,213)	\$(4,190)	\$(3,720)

Reclassifications from Other Comprehensive Earnings

The movements in accumulated other comprehensive losses and the related tax impact, for each of the components above, that are due to current period activity and reclassifications to the income statement are shown on the condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive earnings for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013. For additional information, see Note 4. Benefit Plans and Note 6. Financial Instruments for disclosures related to PMI's pension and other benefits, and derivative financial instruments, respectively.

Note 15. Balance Sheet Offsetting:

Foreign Exchange Contracts

PMI uses deliverable and non-deliverable forward foreign exchange contracts, foreign currency swaps and foreign currency options, collectively referred to as foreign exchange contracts, to mitigate its exposure to changes in exchange and interest rates from third-party and intercompany actual and forecasted transactions. Substantially all of PMI's foreign exchange contracts are subject to master netting arrangements, whereby the right to offset occurs in the event of default by a participating party. While these contracts contain the enforceable right to offset through close-out netting rights, PMI elects to present them on a gross basis in the condensed consolidated balance sheets. Collateral associated with these arrangements is in the form of cash and is unrestricted. See Note 6. Financial Instruments for disclosures related to PMI's derivative financial instruments.

The effects of these foreign exchange contract assets and liabilities on PMI's condensed consolidated balance sheets were as follows:

(in millions)	Gross Amounts Recognized	Gross Amount Offset in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet	Net Amounts Presented in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet	Gross Amounts Not Offset in the Condensed Consolidated		
				Balance Sheet Financial Instruments	Cash Collateral Received/Pledged	Net Amount
At March 31, 2014						
Assets						
Foreign exchange contracts	\$ 101	\$—	\$101	\$(24)\$ (66) \$11
Liabilities						
Foreign exchange contracts	\$ 92	\$—	\$92	\$(24)\$ (52) \$16
At December 31, 2013						
Assets						
Foreign exchange contracts	\$ 153	\$—	\$153	\$(52)\$ (79) \$22
Liabilities						
Foreign exchange contracts	\$ 116	\$—	\$116	\$(52)\$ (47) \$17

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
 Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
 (Unaudited)

Note 16. Investments in Unconsolidated Subsidiaries:

At March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, PMI had total investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries of \$1,460 million and \$1,536 million, respectively, which were accounted for under the equity method of accounting. Equity method investments are initially recorded at cost. Under the equity method of accounting, the investment is adjusted for PMI's proportionate share of earnings or losses and movements in currency translation adjustments. The carrying value of our equity method investments exceeded our share of the unconsolidated subsidiaries book value by \$579 million, including \$519 million attributable to goodwill. The difference between the investment carrying value and the amount of underlying equity in net assets, excluding the \$519 million attributable to goodwill, is being amortized on a straight-line basis over the underlying assets' estimated useful lives of 4 to 20 years. At March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, PMI received dividends from unconsolidated subsidiaries of \$13 million and \$1 million, respectively. On September 30, 2013, PMI acquired a 49% equity interest in United Arab Emirates-based Arab Investors-TA (FZC) ("AITA") for approximately \$625 million. As a result of this transaction, PMI holds an approximate 25% economic interest in Société des Tabacs Algéro-Emiratie ("STAEM"), an Algerian joint venture that is 51% owned by AITA and 49% by the Algerian state-owned enterprise Société Nationale des Tabacs et Allumettes SpA. STAEM manufactures and distributes under license some of PMI's brands. The initial investment in AITA was recorded at cost and is included in investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries on the condensed consolidated balance sheets. On December 12, 2013, PMI acquired from Megapolis Investment BV a 20% equity interest in Megapolis Distribution BV, the holding company of CJSC TK Megapolis ("Megapolis"), PMI's distributor in Russia, for a purchase price of \$750 million. An additional payment of up to \$100 million, which is contingent on Megapolis's operational performance over the four fiscal years following the closing of the transaction, will also be made by PMI if the performance criteria are satisfied. PMI has also agreed to provide Megapolis Investment BV with a \$100 million interest-bearing loan. PMI and Megapolis Investment BV have agreed to set off any future contingent payments owed by PMI against the future repayments due under the loan agreement. Any loan repayments in excess of the contingent consideration earned by the performance of Megapolis are due to be repaid, in cash, to PMI on March 31, 2017. At December 31, 2013, PMI had recorded a \$100 million asset related to the loan receivable and a discounted liability of \$86 million related to the contingent consideration. The initial investment in Megapolis was recorded at cost and is included in investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries on the condensed consolidated balance sheets. The determination of the difference between the investment carrying value and the amount of the underlying equity in net assets for Megapolis is expected to be finalized in the second quarter of 2014.

At March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, PMI's investments in other unconsolidated subsidiaries were \$35 million and \$42 million, respectively, with ownership percentages ranging from 40% to 50%.

PMI's earnings activity from unconsolidated subsidiaries was as follows:

(in millions)	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2014
Net revenues	\$1,186

PMI's balance sheet activity related to unconsolidated subsidiaries was as follows:

(in millions)	At March 31, 2014	At December 31, 2013
Receivables	\$426	\$470
Notes receivable	\$102	\$100
Other liabilities	\$88	\$86

Activities are primarily relating to agreements with PMI's unconsolidated subsidiaries within the Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa Region. These agreements, which are in the ordinary course of business, are primarily for distribution, contract manufacturing and licenses. PMI eliminated its respective share of all significant intercompany transactions with the equity method investees.

- 32-

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

Note 17. Acquisitions and Other Business Arrangements:

In May 2013, PMI announced that Grupo Carso, S.A.B. de C.V. ("Grupo Carso") would sell to PMI its remaining 20% interest in PMI's Mexican tobacco business. The sale was completed on September 30, 2013 for \$703 million. As a result, PMI now owns 100% of its Mexican tobacco business. A director of PMI has an affiliation with Grupo Carso. The final purchase price is subject to a potential adjustment based on the actual performance of the Mexican tobacco business over the three-year period ending two fiscal years after the closing of the purchase. In addition, upon declaration, PMI agreed to pay a dividend of approximately \$38 million to Grupo Carso related to the earnings of the Mexican tobacco business for the nine months ended September 30, 2013. In March 2014, the dividend was declared and paid. The purchase of the remaining 20% interest resulted in a decrease to PMI's additional paid-in capital of \$672 million.

Table of Contents

Item 2.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF
FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Description of Our Company

We are a holding company whose subsidiaries and affiliates, and their licensees, are engaged in the manufacture and sale of cigarettes and other tobacco products in markets outside the United States of America. We manage our business in four segments:

European Union;
Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa ("EEMA");
Asia; and
Latin America & Canada.

Our products are sold in more than 180 markets and, in many of these markets, they hold the number one or number two market share position. We have a wide range of premium, mid-price and low-price brands. Our portfolio comprises both international and local brands.

We use the term net revenues to refer to our operating revenues from the sale of our products, net of sales and promotion incentives. Our net revenues and operating income are affected by various factors, including the volume of products we sell, the price of our products, changes in currency exchange rates and the mix of products we sell. Mix is a term used to refer to the proportionate value of premium-price brands to mid-price or low-price brands in any given market (product mix). Mix can also refer to the proportion of shipment volume in more profitable markets versus shipment volume in less profitable markets (geographic mix). We often collect excise taxes from our customers and then remit them to governments, and, in those circumstances, we include the excise taxes in our net revenues and in excise taxes on products. Our cost of sales consists principally of tobacco leaf, non-tobacco raw materials, labor and manufacturing costs.

Our marketing, administration and research costs include the costs of marketing and selling our products, other costs generally not related to the manufacture of our products (including general corporate expenses), and costs incurred to develop new products. The most significant components of our marketing, administration and research costs are marketing and sales expenses and general and administrative expenses.

Philip Morris International Inc. is a legal entity separate and distinct from our direct and indirect subsidiaries. Accordingly, our right, and thus the right of our creditors and stockholders, to participate in any distribution of the assets or earnings of any subsidiary is subject to the prior rights of creditors of such subsidiary, except to the extent that claims of our company itself as a creditor may be recognized. As a holding company, our principal sources of funds, including funds to make payment on our debt securities, are from the receipt of dividends and repayment of debt from our subsidiaries. Our principal wholly owned and majority-owned subsidiaries currently are not limited by long-term debt or other agreements in their ability to pay cash dividends or to make other distributions with respect to their common stock.

Table of Contents

Executive Summary

The following executive summary provides significant highlights from the "Discussion and Analysis" that follows.

Consolidated Operating Results for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2014 – The changes in our reported diluted earnings per share ("diluted EPS") for the three months ended March 31, 2014, from the comparable 2013 amounts, were as follows:

	Diluted EPS	% Growth
For the three months ended March 31, 2013	\$1.28	
2013 Asset impairment and exit costs	—	
2013 Tax items	0.01	
Subtotal of 2013 items	0.01	
2014 Asset impairment and exit costs	(0.01))
2014 Tax items	—	
Subtotal of 2014 items	(0.01))
Currency	(0.16))
Interest	(0.01))
Change in tax rate	—	
Impact of lower shares outstanding and share-based payments	0.05	
Operations	0.02	
For the three months ended March 31, 2014	\$1.18	(7.8)%

Asset Impairment and Exit Costs – During the first quarter of 2014, we decided to cease cigarette production in Australia by the end of 2014 and transition all Australian cigarette production to our affiliate in South Korea. As a result, we recorded pre-tax asset impairment and exit costs of \$23 million (\$16 million after tax or \$0.01 per share) related to severance costs for the factory closure in Australia. During the three months ended March 31, 2013, we recorded pre-tax asset impairment and exit costs of \$3 million (less than one cent impact on diluted EPS) related to the termination of distribution agreements in Asia.

On April 4, 2014, we announced the initiation by our affiliate, Philip Morris Holland B.V. ("PMH"), of consultations with employee representatives on a proposal to discontinue cigarette production at its factory located in Bergen op Zoom, the Netherlands. Subject to the final outcome of the consultations and fulfillment of certain other conditions, PMH would anticipate implementing the contemplated decision by October 2014.

Income Taxes – Our effective income tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2014 decreased by 0.7 percentage points to 28.9%. The effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2013, was unfavorably impacted by the additional expense associated with the enactment of the American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012 (\$17 million). The special tax item discussed in this paragraph decreased our diluted EPS by \$0.01 per share in 2013. Excluding the impact of this special tax item, the change in tax rate was primarily due to earnings mix and repatriation cost differences.

Currency – The unfavorable currency impact during the reporting period was due primarily to the Argentine peso, Australian dollar, Indonesian rupiah, Japanese yen, Russian ruble, Swiss franc and Turkish lira.

Interest – The unfavorable impact of interest was due primarily to higher average debt levels, partially offset by lower average interest rates on debt.

Lower Shares Outstanding and Share-Based Payments – The favorable diluted EPS impact was due to the repurchase of our common stock pursuant to our share repurchase program.

Operations – The increase in diluted EPS of \$0.02 from our operations was due primarily to the following segments:

• EEMA: Higher pricing and lower marketing, administration and research costs, partially offset by unfavorable volume/mix and higher manufacturing costs; and

- 35-

Table of Contents

• European Union: Higher pricing and lower marketing, administration and research costs, partially offset by unfavorable volume/mix;

partially offset by:

• Asia: Unfavorable volume/mix and higher manufacturing costs, partially offset by higher pricing and lower marketing, administration and research costs.

For further details, see the “Consolidated Operating Results” and “Operating Results by Business Segment” sections of the following “Discussion and Analysis.”

2014 Forecasted Results - On April 17, 2014, we revised our 2014 full-year reported diluted EPS forecast to be in a range of \$5.09 to \$5.19, versus \$5.26 in 2013. Excluding an unfavorable currency impact, at then prevailing exchange rates, of approximately \$0.61 for the full-year 2014, and an estimated \$0.03 per share restructuring charge in Australia, reported diluted earnings per share are projected to increase by approximately 6% to 8% versus adjusted diluted earnings per share of \$5.40 in 2013. This forecast includes a productivity and cost savings target of \$300 million and a share repurchase target of \$4.0 billion.

We calculated 2013 adjusted diluted EPS as reported diluted EPS of \$5.26, plus the \$0.02 per share charge related to discrete tax items, and the \$0.12 per share charge related to asset impairment and exit costs.

Adjusted diluted EPS is not a measure under the accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“U.S. GAAP”). We define adjusted diluted EPS as reported diluted EPS adjusted for asset impairment and exit costs, discrete tax items and unusual items. We believe it is appropriate to disclose this measure as it represents core earnings, improves comparability and helps investors analyze business performance and trends. Adjusted diluted EPS should be considered neither in isolation nor as a substitute for reported diluted EPS prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

This 2014 guidance excludes the impact of any future acquisitions, unanticipated asset impairment and exit cost charges, future changes in currency exchange rates and any unusual events. This forecast also excludes the proposal to discontinue cigarette production in Bergen op Zoom in the Netherlands. The factors described in the “Cautionary Factors That May Affect Future Results” section of the following “Discussion and Analysis” represent continuing risks to this forecast.

Table of Contents

Discussion and Analysis

Consolidated Operating Results

See pages 55-58 for a discussion of our "Cautionary Factors That May Affect Future Results." Our cigarette volume, net revenues, excise taxes on products and operating companies income by segment were as follows:

(in millions)	For the Three Months Ended		
	March 31, 2014	2013	
Cigarette volume:			
European Union	41,705	42,967	
Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa	62,006	66,834	
Asia	70,801	72,619	
Latin America & Canada	21,449	22,527	
Total cigarette volume	195,961	204,947	
Net revenues:			
European Union	\$6,619	\$6,523	
Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa	4,562	4,423	
Asia	4,475	5,251	
Latin America & Canada	2,123	2,330	
Net revenues	\$17,779	\$18,527	
Excise taxes on products:			
European Union	\$4,606	\$4,553	
Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa	2,553	2,380	
Asia	2,293	2,461	
Latin America & Canada	1,410	1,549	
Excise taxes on products	\$10,862	\$10,943	
Operating income:			
Operating companies income:			
European Union	\$978	\$938	
Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa	927	935	
Asia	915	1,342	
Latin America & Canada	202	254	
Amortization of intangibles	(22) (24)
General corporate expenses	(40) (58)
Less:			
Equity (income)/loss in unconsolidated subsidiaries, net	(9) 4	
Operating income	\$2,951	\$3,391	

As discussed in Note 9. Segment Reporting to our condensed consolidated financial statements, we evaluate segment performance and allocate resources based on operating companies income, which we define as operating income, excluding general corporate expenses and amortization of intangibles, plus equity (income)/loss in unconsolidated subsidiaries, net. We believe it is appropriate to disclose this measure to help investors analyze the business performance and trends of our various business segments.

References to total international cigarette market, total cigarette market, total market and market shares throughout this "Discussion and Analysis" reflect our best estimates based on a number of internal and external sources.

Table of Contents

Consolidated Operating Results for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2014

The following discussion compares our consolidated operating results for the three months ended March 31, 2014, with the three months ended March 31, 2013.

Our cigarette shipment volume of 196.0 billion units decreased by 9.0 billion units (4.4%), due principally to: the European Union, mainly reflecting lower total markets, partially offset by market share growth; EEMA, due mainly to a lower total market in Russia and unfavorable estimated inventory movements across various markets within the Region, partially offset by Turkey;

Asia, mainly reflecting a lower market share in Indonesia, lower market share and the adverse timing of our shipments in Japan, partially offset by the total market growth driven by retail trade and consumer purchasing in anticipation of the April 1, 2014, consumption tax increase, and lower share in Pakistan, partially offset by the Philippines; and, Latin America & Canada, principally due to the timing of estimated trade inventory movements in Mexico.

Excluding the unfavorable impact of estimated inventory movements in the quarter, our cigarette shipment volume decreased by approximately 2.0%.

Our market share increased in a number of key markets, including Algeria, Argentina, Austria, Belgium, Brazil, Canada, France, Germany, Greece, Korea, Poland, Russia, Saudi Arabia, Spain, Thailand, the United Kingdom and Vietnam.

Total cigarette shipments of Marlboro of 65.9 billion units decreased by 4.1%, due primarily to unfavorable estimated inventory movements in EEMA and Japan, lower share in Japan, and a lower total market in the European Union and Mexico, partially offset by the Philippines.

Total cigarette shipments of L&M of 21.0 billion units decreased by 5.8%, driven notably by Algeria, Egypt and Saudi Arabia, partially offset by Germany. Total cigarette shipments of Bond Street of 9.3 billion units decreased by 6.3%, due predominantly to Hungary, Kazakhstan and Russia. Total cigarette shipments of Parliament of 9.9 billion units increased by 1.2%, driven mainly by Turkey, partially offset by Japan and Russia. Total cigarette shipments of Philip Morris of 8.0 billion units decreased by 5.4%, due primarily to the morphing to Lark in Japan, partially offset by Argentina. Total cigarette shipments of Chesterfield of 8.8 billion units increased by 14.3%, due primarily to Italy, Poland and Turkey, partially offset by Russia and Ukraine. Total cigarette shipments of Lark of 6.8 billion units decreased by 0.3%, due predominantly to Turkey, partially offset by Japan reflecting the morphing from Philip Morris.

Our other tobacco products ("OTP") primarily include tobacco for roll-your-own and make-your-own cigarettes, pipe tobacco, cigars and cigarillos. Total shipment volume of OTP, in cigarette equivalent units, decreased by 1.1% to 8.0 billion cigarette equivalent units, mainly due to declines in the pipe tobacco and snuff categories in Southern Africa that offset slight growth in the fine cut category principally in Europe.

Total shipment volume for cigarettes and OTP, in cigarette equivalent units, decreased by 4.3%.

Our net revenues and excise taxes on products were as follows:

(in millions)	For the Three Months Ended			
	March 31,			
	2014	2013	Variance	%
Net revenues	\$17,779	\$18,527	\$(748)	(4.0)%
Excise taxes on products	10,862	10,943	(81)	(0.7)%
Net revenues, excluding excise taxes on products	\$6,917	\$7,584	\$(667)	(8.8)%

Currency movements decreased net revenues by \$1.3 billion and net revenues, excluding excise taxes on products, by \$542 million. The \$542 million decrease was due primarily to the Argentine peso, Australian dollar, Indonesian rupiah, Japanese yen, Russian ruble, and Turkish lira, partially offset by the Euro.

Net revenues shown in the table above include \$470 million in 2014 and \$450 million in 2013 related to sales of OTP. These net revenue amounts include excise taxes billed to customers. Excluding excises taxes, net revenues for OTP were \$177 million in 2014 and \$179 million in 2013.

Table of Contents

Net revenues, which include excise taxes billed to customers, decreased by \$748 million (4.0)%. Excluding excise taxes, net revenues decreased by \$667 million (8.8)% to \$6.9 billion. This decrease was due to:

- unfavorable currency (\$542 million) and
- unfavorable volume/mix (\$531 million), partly offset by
- price increases (\$406 million).

Excise taxes on products decreased by \$81 million (0.7)%, due to:

- favorable currency (\$780 million) and
- volume/mix (\$374 million), partly offset by
- higher excise taxes resulting from changes in retail prices and tax rates (\$1.1 billion).

Governments have consistently increased excise taxes in most of the markets in which we operate. As discussed under the caption “Business Environment,” we expect excise taxes to continue to increase.

Our cost of sales; marketing, administration and research costs; and operating income were as follows:

(in millions)	For the Three Months Ended				%))%
	March 31, 2014	2013	Variance				
Cost of sales	\$2,374	\$2,489	\$(115)	(4.6))	(4.6))%
Marketing, administration and research costs	1,547	1,677	(130)	(7.8))	(7.8))%
Operating income	2,951	3,391	(440)	(13.0))	(13.0))%

Cost of sales decreased by \$115 million (4.6%), due to:

- favorable currency (\$116 million) and
- volume/mix (\$93 million), partly offset by
- higher manufacturing costs (\$94 million, principally in Egypt due to the impact of the change in our new business structure).

With regard to tobacco leaf prices, we continue to expect modest increases going forward as the market has now stabilized. However, we anticipate some manufacturing cost increases in 2014, driven in large measure by historical leaf tobacco price changes that will continue to affect our product costs in the current year, higher prices for cloves and higher prices for a number of other direct materials we use in the production of our brands.

Marketing, administration and research costs decreased by \$130 million (7.8%), due to:

- favorable currency (\$108 million) and
- lower expenses (\$22 million, primarily lower corporate expenses).

Operating income decreased by \$440 million (13.0)%, due primarily to:

- unfavorable volume/mix (\$438 million),
- unfavorable currency (\$317 million),
- higher manufacturing costs (\$94 million) and
- higher pre-tax charges for asset impairment and exit costs (\$20 million), partly offset by
- price increases (\$406 million) and
- lower marketing, administration and research costs (\$22 million).

Interest expense, net, of \$268 million increased \$32 million, due primarily to higher average debt levels, partially offset by lower average interest rates on debt.

- 39-

Table of Contents

Our effective tax rate decreased by 0.7 percentage points to 28.9%. The effective tax rate is based on our full-year geographic earnings mix and cash repatriation plans. The effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2013, was unfavorably impacted by the additional expense associated with the enactment of the American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012 (\$17 million). The effective tax rate is based on our full-year geographic earnings mix and cash repatriation plans. Changes in our cash repatriation plans could have an impact on the effective tax rate, which we monitor each quarter. Significant judgment is required in determining income tax provisions and in evaluating tax positions.

We are regularly examined by tax authorities around the world, and we are currently under examination in a number of jurisdictions. It is reasonably possible that within the next twelve months certain tax examinations will close, which could result in a change in unrecognized tax benefits along with related interest and penalties. An estimate of any possible charge cannot be made at this time.

Net earnings attributable to PMI of \$1.9 billion decreased by \$250 million (11.8%). This decrease was due primarily to an unfavorable currency impact on operating income and higher interest expense, net, partially offset by a lower effective tax rate. Diluted and basic EPS of \$1.18 decreased by 7.8%. Excluding an unfavorable currency impact of \$0.16, diluted EPS increased by 4.7%.

Operating Results by Business Segment

Business Environment

Taxes, Legislation, Regulation and Other Matters Regarding the Manufacture, Marketing, Sale and Use of Tobacco Products

The tobacco industry and our business face a number of challenges that may adversely affect our business, volume, results of operations, cash flows and financial position. These challenges, which are discussed below and in “Cautionary Factors That May Affect Future Results,” include:

- fiscal challenges, such as excise tax increases and discriminatory tax structures;
- actual and proposed extreme regulatory requirements, including regulation of the packaging, marketing and sale of tobacco products, as well as the products themselves, that may reduce our competitiveness, eliminate our ability to communicate with adult smokers, ban certain of our products, limit our ability to differentiate our products from those of our competitors, and interfere with our intellectual property rights;
- illicit trade in cigarettes and other tobacco products, including counterfeit, contraband and so-called “illicit whites”;
- intense competition, including from non-tax paid volume by local manufacturers;
- pending and threatened litigation as discussed in Note 10. Contingencies; and
- governmental investigations.

FCTC: The World Health Organization's (“WHO”) Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (“FCTC”), an international public health treaty with the objective of reducing tobacco use, drives much of the regulation that shapes the business environment in which we operate. The treaty, to which 177 countries and the European Union are Parties, requires Parties to have in place various tobacco control measures and recommends others.

We support many of the FCTC regulatory policies, including measures that strictly prohibit the sale of tobacco products to minors, limit public smoking, require health warnings on tobacco packaging, regulate product content to prevent increased adverse health effects of smoking and establish a regulatory framework for reduced-risk products. We also support the use of tax and price policies to achieve public health objectives, as long as tax increases are not excessive, disruptive or discriminatory and do not result in increased illicit trade.

However, the FCTC governing body, the Conference of the Parties (“CoP”), has adopted non-binding guidelines and policy recommendations to certain articles of the FCTC, some of which we strongly oppose, including such extreme measures as point-of-sale display bans, plain packaging, bans on all forms of communications with adult smokers and ingredient restrictions or bans based on the concepts of palatability or attractiveness. Among other things, these measures would limit our ability to differentiate our products and disrupt competition, are not based on sound evidence of a public health benefit, are likely to lead to adverse

- 40-

Table of Contents

consequences, such as increased illicit trade and, in some cases, result in the expropriation of our trademarks and violate international treaties.

It is not possible to predict whether or to what extent measures recommended in the FCTC guidelines will be implemented. In some instances where these extreme measures have been adopted by national governments, we have commenced legal proceedings challenging them.

Excise Taxes: Excessive and disruptive tax increases and discriminatory tax structures are expected to continue to have an adverse impact on our sales of cigarettes, due to lower consumption and consumer down-trading from premium to non-premium, discount, other low-price or low-taxed tobacco products, such as fine cut tobacco and illicit products. In addition, in certain jurisdictions, our products are subject to tax structures that discriminate against premium-price products and manufactured cigarettes. We oppose such extreme tax measures. We believe that they undermine public health by encouraging consumers to turn to the illicit trade for cheaper tobacco products and ultimately undercut government revenue objectives, disrupt the competitive environment and encourage criminal activity.

EU Tobacco Products Directive: In December 2013, the European Commission, the Council of Ministers and the European Parliament reached a preliminary agreement on the text of a significantly revised EU Tobacco Products Directive that provides for:

health warnings covering 65% of the front and back panels of packs with specific health warning dimensions that will in effect prohibit certain pack formats, such as smaller packs for slim cigarettes, even though the agreed text does not ban slim cigarettes. Member States would also have the option to further standardize tobacco packaging, including, under certain conditions, by introducing plain packaging;

a ban on packs of fewer than 20 cigarettes;

a ban on some characterizing flavors in tobacco products with a six-year transition period for menthol from the date the revised Directive enters into force;

tracking and tracing measures requiring tracking at pack level down to retail, which we believe will provide no incremental benefit in the fight against illicit trade; and

a framework for the regulation of e-cigarettes and novel tobacco products (except for those found to be medicines or medical devices), including requirements for health warnings and information leaflets, prohibiting product packaging text related to reduced risk, and introducing notification requirements in advance of commercialization.

The revised Directive has been formally adopted by the European Parliament and the Council of the European Union, and is expected to enter into force in May 2014. Thereafter, Member States will have 24 months to implement the Directive.

Plain Packaging: Australia's plain packaging regulation, which came into force in December 2012, bans the use of branding, logos and colors on packaging of all tobacco products other than the brand name and variant, which may be printed only in specified locations and in a uniform font. The remainder of the pack is reserved for health warnings and government messages about cessation. The branding of individual cigarettes is also prohibited under this regulation.

To date, only Australia has implemented plain packaging, although a few other countries are considering it. For example, the U.K. Parliament passed legislation that allows the Secretary of State for Health to implement plain packaging via regulations if he determines it may contribute to reducing the risk of harm or promoting the health or welfare of people. Following the April 2014 release of the most recent government-commissioned review of the plain packaging evidence base, the government has indicated that it is "minded to proceed with regulations" although any final decision on regulation will follow further public consultation on draft regulations, which have not yet been

proposed. In February 2014, draft plain packaging legislation in New Zealand had its first reading in Parliament and is now being considered by a Parliamentary Health Committee, although the government has indicated that the legislation is unlikely to be passed until the legal challenges to Australia's plain packaging law are resolved. In Ireland, the government has announced its intention to formally introduce plain packaging legislation. It is not possible to predict whether other plain packaging legislation will be enacted.

Australia's plain packaging legislation triggered three legal challenges. First, major tobacco manufacturers, including our Australian subsidiary, challenged the legislation's constitutionality in the High Court of Australia. Although the High Court found the legislation constitutional, a majority of the Justices concluded that plain packaging deprives tobacco manufacturers of their property, raising serious questions about the legality of similar proposals in other jurisdictions. Second, our Hong Kong subsidiary has initiated arbitration proceedings against the Australian government pursuant to the Hong Kong-Australia Bilateral Investment

Table of Contents

Treaty and is seeking substantial compensation for the deprivation of its investments in Australia. Third, several countries have initiated World Trade Organization ("WTO") dispute settlement proceedings against Australia. The ongoing legal challenges may take several years to complete, and it is not possible to predict their outcome.

We oppose plain packaging because it expropriates our valuable intellectual property by taking away our trademarks and moves the industry much closer to a commodity business where there is no distinction between brands and, therefore, the ability to compete for adult smoker market share is greatly reduced. Early data from Australia appear to confirm that with plain packaging, adult smokers down-trade to lower price and lower margin brands and illicit products but do not quit or smoke less. According to recent industry-commissioned studies, illicit trade in Australia has increased since the implementation of plain packaging, with a significant shift towards branded illicit products (away from unbranded loose tobacco), while the data show no impact on smoking prevalence among adults or youth.

Restrictions and Bans on the Use of Ingredients: Currently, the WHO and some others in the public health community recommend restrictions or total bans on the use of some or all ingredients in tobacco products, including menthol. Some regulators have considered and rejected such proposals, while others have proposed and, in a few cases, adopted restrictions or bans. In particular, as mentioned above, the European Union has adopted a ban of characterizing flavors in tobacco products, subject to a six-year transition period for menthol, while sweeping ingredient bans have been adopted only by Canada (with an exemption for menthol) and Brazil.

However, the Brazil ingredients ban, which, as originally drafted, would prohibit the use of virtually all ingredients with flavoring or aromatic properties, is not in force due to a legal challenge by a tobacco industry union, of which our Brazilian subsidiary is a member. It is not possible to predict the outcome of this legal proceeding.

Broad restrictions and bans on the use of ingredients would require us to reformulate our American Blend tobacco products and could reduce our ability to differentiate these products in the market in the long term. Menthol bans would eliminate the entire product category. We oppose broad bans or sweeping restrictions on the use of ingredients, as they are often based on the subjective and scientifically unsupported notion that ingredients make tobacco products more "attractive" or "palatable" and therefore could encourage tobacco consumption, and also because prohibiting entire categories of cigarettes, such as menthol, will lead to a massive increase in illicit trade.

Many countries have enacted or proposed legislation or regulations that require cigarette manufacturers to disclose to governments and to the public the ingredients used in the manufacture of tobacco products and, in certain cases, to provide toxicological information about those ingredients. We have made, and will continue to make, full disclosures where adequate assurances of trade secret protection are provided.

Bans on Display of Tobacco Products at Retail: In a few of our markets, governments have banned or propose to ban the display of tobacco products at the point of retail sale. Other countries have rejected display ban proposals. We oppose display bans because they restrict competition by favoring established brands and encourage illicit trade, while not reducing smoking or otherwise benefiting public health. In some markets, our subsidiaries and, in some cases, individual retailers have commenced legal proceedings to overturn display bans.

Health Warning Requirements: In most countries, governments require large and often graphic health warnings covering at least 30% of the front and back of cigarette packs (the size mandated by the FCTC). A growing number of countries require warnings covering 50% of the front and back of the pack, and a small number of countries require larger warnings, such as Australia (75% front and 90% back), Mexico (30% front and 100% back), Uruguay (80% front and back) and Canada (75% front and back).

Most recently, the Ministry of Public Health in Thailand mandated health warnings covering 85% of the front and back of cigarette packs. Currently, this requirement is suspended pending the outcome of legal challenges by two of

our affiliates. It is not possible to predict the outcome of these proceedings.

We support health warning requirements designed to inform consumers of the risks of smoking. In fact, where health warnings are not required, we place them on packaging voluntarily in the official language or languages of the country. We defer to governments on the content of warnings except for content that vilifies tobacco companies or does not fairly represent the actual effects of smoking. However, we oppose excessively large health warnings, i.e., larger than 50%. The data show that disproportionately increasing the size of health warnings does not effectively reduce tobacco consumption. Yet, such health warnings impede our ability to compete in the market by leaving insufficient space for our distinctive trademarks and pack designs.

Other Packaging Restrictions: Some governments have passed, or are seeking to pass, restrictions on packaging and labeling, including standardizing the shape, format and lay-out of packaging, as well as imposing broad restrictions on how the space left

- 42-

Table of Contents

for branding and product descriptions can be used. Examples include prohibitions on (1) the use of colors that are alleged to suggest that one brand is less harmful than others, (2) specific descriptive phrases deemed to be misleading, including, for example, “premium,” “full flavor,” “international,” “gold,” “silver,” and “menthol” and (3) in one country, all but one pack variation per brand. We oppose broad packaging restrictions because they unnecessarily limit brand and product differentiation, are anticompetitive, prevent us from providing consumers with information about our products, unduly restrict our intellectual property rights, and violate international trade agreements. In some instances, we have commenced litigation challenging such regulations. It is not possible to predict the outcome of these proceedings.

Bans and Restrictions on Advertising, Marketing, Promotions and Sponsorships: For many years, the FCTC has called for, and countries have imposed, partial or total bans on tobacco advertising, marketing, promotions and sponsorships, including bans and restrictions on advertising on radio and television, in print and on the Internet. The FCTC also requires disclosure of expenditures on advertising, promotion and sponsorship where such activities are not prohibited. The CoP guidelines recommend that governments adopt extreme and sweeping prohibitions, including all forms of communications to adult smokers. Where restrictions on advertising prevent us from communicating directly and effectively with adult smokers, they impede our ability to compete in the market. For this reason and because we believe that the available evidence does not show that marketing restrictions effectively reduce smoking, we oppose complete bans on advertising and communications that do not allow manufacturers to communicate directly and effectively with adult smokers.

Restrictions on Product Design: Tobacco control advocates and some regulators are calling for the further standardization of tobacco products by, for example, requiring that cigarettes have a certain minimum diameter, which amounts to a ban on slim cigarettes, or requiring the use of standardized filter and cigarette paper designs. We oppose such restrictions because they limit our ability to differentiate our products and because we believe that there is no correlation, let alone a causal link, between product design variations and smoking rates, nor is there any scientific evidence that these restrictions would improve public health.

Reduced cigarette ignition propensity standards are recommended by the FCTC guidelines, have been adopted in several of our markets (e.g., Australia, Canada, Korea and the EU) and are being considered in several others. We believe that due to the costs to manufacturers of implementing such standards, their effectiveness at reducing the risk of cigarette-ignited fires in countries where they have been implemented should be examined before additional countries consider them.

Restrictions on Public Smoking: The pace and scope of public smoking restrictions have increased significantly in most of our markets. Many countries around the world have adopted or are likely to adopt regulations that restrict or ban smoking in public and/or work places, restaurants, bars and nightclubs. Some public health groups have called for, and some regional governments and municipalities have adopted or proposed, bans on smoking in outdoor places, as well as bans on smoking in cars (typically when minors are present) and private homes. The FCTC requires Parties to adopt restrictions on public smoking, and the guidelines call for broad bans in all indoor public places but limit their recommendations on private place smoking, such as in cars and homes, to increased education on the risk of exposure to environmental tobacco smoke.

While we believe outright bans are appropriate in many public places, such as schools, playgrounds, youth facilities, and many indoor public places, governments can and should seek a balance between the desire to protect non-smokers from environmental tobacco smoke and allowing adults who choose to smoke to do so. Owners of restaurants, bars, cafes, and other entertainment establishments should have the flexibility to permit, restrict, or prohibit smoking, and workplaces should be permitted to provide designated smoking rooms for adult smokers. Finally, we oppose bans on smoking outdoors (beyond places and facilities for children) and in private places.

Other Regulatory Issues: Encouraged by the public health community, some regulators are considering, or in some cases have adopted, regulatory measures designed to reduce the supply of tobacco. These include regulations intended to reduce the number of retailers selling tobacco by, for example, reducing the overall number of tobacco retail licenses available or banning the sale of tobacco within arbitrary distances of certain public facilities. We oppose such measures because they stimulate illicit trade and could arbitrarily deprive business owners and their employees of their livelihood with no indication that such restrictions would improve public health.

Regulators in some countries have also called for the exclusion of tobacco from free trade agreements, such as the Trans-Pacific Partnership Agreement, which is under negotiation. This could limit our ability to protect investments and intellectual property through these treaties. We oppose such measures because they unfairly discriminate against a legal industry and are at odds with fundamental principles of global trade.

In a limited number of markets, most notably Japan, we are dependent on governmental approvals that may limit our pricing flexibility.

Table of Contents

Illicit Trade: Illicit tobacco trade creates a cheap and unregulated source of tobacco products, undermines efforts to reduce smoking, especially among youth, damages legitimate businesses, stimulates organized crime and increases corruption and lost tax revenue. Illicit trade may account for as much as 10% of global cigarette consumption; this includes counterfeit, contraband and the growing problem of "illicit whites," which are unique cigarette brands manufactured predominantly for smuggling. We estimate that illicit trade in the European Union accounted for more than 10% of total cigarette consumption in 2011 and for approximately 11% of total cigarette consumption in 2012.

A number of jurisdictions are considering regulatory measures and government action to prevent illicit trade. In November 2012, the CoP adopted the Protocol to Eliminate Illicit Trade in Tobacco Products (the "Protocol"), which includes supply chain control measures, such as licensing of manufacturers and distributors, enforcement in free trade zones, controls on duty free and Internet sales and the implementation of tracking and tracing technologies. The Protocol will come into force once the 40th country ratifies it, after which countries must implement its measures via national legislation. To date, one country -- Nicaragua -- has ratified the Protocol. It is not possible to predict whether or when other countries will do so.

Additionally, we and our subsidiaries have entered into cooperation agreements with governments and authorities to support their anti-illicit trade efforts. For example, in 2004 we entered into a 12-year cooperation agreement with the EU and its member states (except Croatia) that provides for cooperation with European law enforcement agencies on anti-contraband and on anti-counterfeit efforts. Under the terms of this agreement we make financial contributions of approximately \$75 million per year (recorded as an expense in cost of sales when product is shipped) to support these efforts. We are also required to pay the excise taxes, VAT and customs duties in qualifying seizures of up to 90 million genuine PMI products in the EU in a given year, and five times the applicable taxes and duties if seizures exceed 90 million cigarettes in a given year. To date, our payments for product seizures have been immaterial.

In 2009, our Colombian subsidiaries entered into an Investment and Cooperation Agreement with the national and regional governments of Colombia to promote investment in, and cooperation on, anti-contraband and anti-counterfeit efforts. The agreement provides \$200 million in funding over a 20-year period to address issues such as combating the illegal cigarette trade and increasing the quality and quantity of locally grown tobacco.

In June 2012, we committed €15 million to INTERPOL over a three-year period to support the agency's global initiative to combat trans-border crime involving illicit goods, including tobacco products. This initiative funds the coordination of information gathering, training programs for law enforcement officials, development of product authentication standards and public information campaigns.

Reduced-Risk Products: One of our strategic priorities is to develop, assess and commercialize a portfolio of innovative products with the potential to reduce the risk of smoking-related diseases in comparison to cigarettes. We refer to these as reduced-risk products ("RRPs"). The use of this term applies to tobacco-containing products and other nicotine-containing products that have the potential to reduce individual risk and population harm. We draw upon a team of world-class scientists from a broad spectrum of scientific disciplines and our efforts are guided by the following three key objectives:

- to develop RRPs that provide adult smokers the taste, sensory experience, nicotine delivery profile and ritual characteristics that are similar to those currently provided by cigarettes;

- to substantiate the reduction of risk for the individual adult smoker and the reduction of harm to the population as a whole, based on robust scientific evidence derived from well-established assessment processes; and

- to advocate for the development of science-based regulatory frameworks for the approval and commercialization of RRPs, including the communication of substantiated health benefits to adult smokers.

Our product development is based on the elimination of combustion via tobacco heating and other innovative systems for aerosol generation, which we believe is the most promising path to reduce risk.

Our approach to individual risk assessment is to use cessation as the benchmark, because the short-term and long-term effects of smoking cessation are well known, and the closer the clinical data derived from adult smokers who switch to an RRP resemble the data from those who quit, the more confident one can be that the product reduces risk.

Four RRP platforms are being developed and are in various stages of commercialization readiness:

Platform 1 uses a precisely controlled heating device into which a specially designed tobacco product is inserted to generate an aerosol. Eight clinical trials for Platform 1 were initiated in 2013, and we will have the results in 2014.

- 44-

Table of Contents

Platform 2 also uses a controlled heating mechanism to generate an aerosol via the heating of tobacco and has the format and ritual of a cigarette. This platform is in the pre-clinical testing phase and early stages of industrial scale-up. We estimate that the launch of Platform 2 will start approximately one year after that of Platform 1.

- Platform 3 is based on technology we acquired from Professor Jed Rose of Duke University and other co-inventors in May 2011. It uses a chemical reaction to generate a nicotine-containing aerosol. This platform is currently in the product development phase and early stages of pre-clinical assessment.

Platform 4 covers e-vapor products - battery powered devices that produce an aerosol by vaporizing a liquid nicotine solution. Our e-vapor products comprise devices using current generation technology, and we are working on developing the next generation of e-vapor technologies.

In December 2013, we established a strategic framework with Altria Group, Inc. ("Altria") under which Altria will make available its e-vapor products exclusively to us for commercialization outside the United States, and we will make available two of our candidate reduced-risk tobacco products exclusively to Altria for commercialization in the United States. The agreements also provide for cooperation on the scientific assessment of these products and for the sharing of improvements to the existing generation of RRPs.

We are also developing other potential platforms.

We are proceeding with the commercialization of RRPs. In January 2014, we announced an investment of up to €500 million in our first manufacturing facility in the European Union and an associated pilot plant near Bologna, Italy, to produce our RRPs. We plan for the factory to initially manufacture Platform 1 and, when fully operational by 2016, and together with the pilot plant, to reach an annual production capacity of up to 30 billion units.

In the United States an established regulatory framework for assessing "Modified Risk Tobacco Products" ("MRTPs") exists under the jurisdiction of the Food and Drug Administration ("FDA"). We expect that future FDA actions are likely to influence the regulatory approach of other interested governments. Our assessment approach and the studies conducted to date reflect the rigorous evidentiary standards set forth in the FDA's Draft Guidance. We have shared our approach and studies with the FDA's Center for Tobacco Products. In parallel, we are engaging with regulators in several EU member countries, as well as in a number of other countries.

On April 25, 2014, the FDA issued a notice of a proposed rule deeming e-cigarettes (including e-vapor products) and certain other tobacco products to be "tobacco products" subject to its jurisdiction under the Family Smoking Prevention and Tobacco Control Act. Once finalized, the rule would subject e-cigarettes to the following provisions: (1) enforcement action against products determined to be adulterated and misbranded; (2) reporting of ingredients and harmful and potentially harmful constituents; (3) registration and product listing; (4) prohibition against the use of descriptors such as "lights" and claims of modified risk unless approved by the FDA; (5) prohibition of free samples; and (6) premarket review. The proposed rule would not prohibit the use of characterizing flavors in e-cigarettes, but it would ban their sale to minors and require health warnings. The FDA is seeking public comment on the proposed rule.

We expect to launch RRPs (including tobacco-based and e-vapor products) with several commercial pilot city tests in the second half of 2014 and the first national launch in 2015. There can be no assurance that we will succeed in our efforts or that regulators will permit the marketing of our RRPs with substantiated claims of reduced exposure, risk or harm.

Other Legislation, Regulation or Governmental Action: In Argentina, the National Commission for the Defense of Competition issued a resolution in May 2010, in which it found that our affiliate's establishment in 1997 of a system

of exclusive zonified distributors (“EZDs”) in Buenos Aires city and region was anticompetitive, despite having issued two prior decisions (in 1997 and 2000) in which it had found the establishment of the EZD system was not anticompetitive. The resolution is not a final decision, and our Argentinean affiliate has opposed the resolution and submitted additional evidence.

In Germany, in October 2013, the Administrative District Office Munich, acting under the policy supervision of the Bavarian Ministry of Health and Environment, sent our German affiliate an order alleging that certain components of its Marlboro advertising campaign do not comply with the applicable tobacco advertising law, which required our affiliate to stop this particular campaign throughout Germany and remove all outdoor advertisements within one month from the effective date of the order and point-of-sale materials within three months. Our affiliate does not believe the allegations properly reflect the facts and the law and filed a challenge in the Munich Administrative Court against the order. At a hearing held in April 2014, at the Bavarian Higher

Table of Contents

Administrative Court, the parties agreed that our affiliate can continue the campaign with certain limitations on image visuals and text slogans for the duration of the court proceedings.

It is not possible to predict what, if any, additional legislation, regulation or other governmental action will be enacted or implemented relating to the manufacturing, advertising, sale or use of tobacco products, or the tobacco industry generally. It is possible, however, that legislation, regulation or other governmental action could be enacted or implemented that might materially affect our business, volume, results of operations, cash flows and financial position.

Governmental Investigations

From time to time, we are subject to governmental investigations on a range of matters. As part of an investigation by the Department of Special Investigations (“DSI”) of the government of Thailand into alleged under declaration of import prices by Thai cigarette importers, the DSI proposed to bring charges against our subsidiary, Philip Morris (Thailand) Limited, Thailand Branch (“PM Thailand”) for alleged underpayment of customs duties and excise taxes of approximately \$2 billion covering the period from July 28, 2003, to February 20, 2007 (“2003-2007 Investigation”). In September 2009, the DSI submitted the case file to the Public Prosecutor for review. The DSI also commenced an informal inquiry alleging underpayment by PM Thailand of customs duties and excise taxes of approximately \$1.8 billion, covering the period 2000-2003. In early 2011, the Public Prosecutor's office issued a non-prosecution order in the 2003-2007 Investigation. In August 2011, the Director-General of DSI publicly announced that he disagreed with the non-prosecution order. Thus, the matter was referred for resolution to the Attorney General, whose deputy subsequently stated that the Attorney General has made a ruling to proceed with a prosecution order. Based on available information, it is probable that criminal charges will be filed. PM Thailand has been cooperating with the Thai authorities and believes that its declared import prices are in compliance with the Customs Valuation Agreement of the WTO and Thai law.

Additionally, in November 2010, a WTO panel issued its decision in a dispute relating to facts that arose from August 2006 between the Philippines and Thailand concerning a series of Thai customs and tax measures affecting cigarettes imported by PM Thailand into Thailand from the Philippines. The WTO panel decision, which was upheld by the WTO Appellate Body, concluded that Thailand had no basis to find that PM Thailand's declared customs values and taxes paid were too low, as alleged by the DSI in 2009. The decision also created obligations for Thailand to revise its laws, regulations, or practices affecting the customs valuation and tax treatment of future cigarette imports. Thailand agreed in September 2011 to comply with the decision by October 2012. Although the Philippines contends that to date Thailand has not fully complied, the parties remain engaged in consultations to address the outstanding issues. At WTO meetings, the Philippines has repeatedly expressed concerns with ongoing investigations by Thailand of PM Thailand, noting that these investigations appear to be based on grounds not supported by WTO customs valuation rules and inconsistent with several decisions already taken by Thai Customs and other Thai governmental agencies.

Acquisitions and Other Business Arrangements

In the fourth quarter of 2013, as part of our initiative to enhance profitability and growth in North African and Middle Eastern markets, we decided to restructure our business in Egypt. The new business model entails a new contract manufacturing agreement with our long-standing, strategic business partner, Eastern Company S.A.E., the creation of a new PMI affiliate in Egypt and a new distribution agreement with Trans Business for Trading and Distribution LLC. To accomplish this restructuring and to ensure a smooth transition to the new model, we recorded, in the fourth quarter of 2013, a charge to our 2013 full-year reported diluted EPS of approximately \$0.10 to reflect the discontinuation of existing contractual arrangements.

In May 2013, we announced that Grupo Carso, S.A.B. de C.V. ("Grupo Carso") would sell to us its remaining 20% interest in our Mexican tobacco business. The sale was completed on September 30, 2013 for \$703 million. As a result, we now own 100% of the Mexican tobacco business. A director of PMI has an affiliation with Grupo Carso. The final purchase price is subject to a potential adjustment based on the actual performance of the Mexican tobacco business over the three-year period ending two fiscal years after the closing of the purchase. In addition, upon declaration, we agreed to pay a dividend of approximately \$38 million to Grupo Carso related to the earnings of the Mexican tobacco business for the nine months ended September 30, 2013. In March 2014, the dividend was declared and paid. The purchase of the remaining 20% interest resulted in a decrease to our additional paid in capital of \$672 million.

Table of Contents

Investments in Unconsolidated Subsidiaries

On September 30, 2013, we acquired a 49% equity interest in United Arab Emirates-based Arab Investors-TA (FZC) (“AITA”) for approximately \$625 million. As a result of this transaction, we hold an approximate 25% economic interest in Société des Tabacs Algéro-Emiratie (“STAEM”), an Algerian joint venture which is 51% owned by AITA and 49% by the Algerian state-owned enterprise Société Nationale des Tabacs et Allumettes SpA. STAEM manufactures and distributes under license some of our brands. The initial investment in AITA was recorded at cost and is included in investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries on the condensed consolidated balance sheets.

On December 12, 2013, we acquired from Megapolis Investment BV a 20% equity interest in Megapolis Distribution BV, the holding company of CJSC TK Megapolis (“Megapolis”), our distributor in Russia, for a purchase price of \$750 million. An additional payment of up to \$100 million, which is contingent on Megapolis's operational performance over the four fiscal years following the closing of the transaction, will also be made by us if the performance criteria are satisfied. We have also agreed to provide Megapolis Investment BV with a \$100 million interest-bearing loan. We and Megapolis Investment BV have agreed to set off any future contingent payments owed by us against the future repayments due under the loan agreement. Any loan repayments in excess of the contingent consideration earned by the performance of Megapolis are due to be repaid, in cash, to us on March 31, 2017. At December 31, 2013, we recorded a \$100 million asset related to the loan receivable and a discounted liability of \$86 million related to the contingent consideration. The initial investment in Megapolis was recorded at cost and is included in investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries on the condensed consolidated balance sheets.

Trade Policy

We are subject to various trade restrictions imposed by the United States and countries in which we do business (“Trade Sanctions”), including the trade and economic sanctions administered by the U.S. Department of the Treasury's Office of Foreign Assets Control (“OFAC”) and the U.S. Department of State. It is our policy to fully comply with these Trade Sanctions.

Tobacco products are agricultural products under U.S. law and are not technological or strategic in nature. From time to time we make sales in countries subject to Trade Sanctions, pursuant to either exemptions or licenses granted under the applicable Trade Sanctions.

A subsidiary sells products to distributors that in turn sell those products to duty free customers that supply U.N. peacekeeping forces around the world, including those in the Republic of the Sudan. We do not believe that these exempt sales of our products for ultimate resale in the Republic of the Sudan, which are de minimis in volume and value, present a material risk to our shareholders, our reputation or the value of our shares. We have no employees, operations or assets in the Republic of Sudan.

We do not sell products in Cuba, Iran and Syria.

To our knowledge, none of our commercial arrangements result in the governments of any country identified by the U.S. government as a state sponsor of terrorism, nor entities controlled by those governments, receiving cash or acting as intermediaries in violation of U.S. laws.

Certain states within the U.S. have enacted legislation permitting state pension funds to divest or abstain from future investment in stocks of companies that do business with certain countries that are sanctioned by the U.S. We do not believe such legislation has had a material effect on the price of our shares.

Table of Contents

Operating Results – Three Months Ended March 31, 2014

The following discussion compares operating results within each of our reportable segments for the three months ended March 31, 2014, with the three months ended March 31, 2013.

European Union. Net revenues, which include excise taxes billed to customers, increased by \$96 million (1.5%). Excluding excise taxes, net revenues increased by \$43 million (2.2%) to \$2.0 billion. This increase was due to:

- price increases (\$52 million) and
- favorable currency (\$51 million), partly offset by
- unfavorable volume/mix (\$60 million).

The net revenues of the European Union segment include \$387 million in 2014 and \$366 million in 2013 related to sales of OTP. Excluding excise taxes, OTP net revenues for the European Union segment were \$134 million in 2014 and \$129 million in 2013.

Operating companies income of \$978 million increased by \$40 million (4.3%). This increase was due to:

- price increases (\$52 million),
- favorable currency (\$28 million) and
- lower marketing, administration and research costs (\$19 million), partly offset by
- unfavorable volume/mix (\$59 million).

The total cigarette market in the European Union of 106.3 billion units decreased by 5.6%, due primarily to the impact of tax-driven price increases, the unfavorable economic and employment environment and the prevalence of non-duty paid products. Although our cigarette shipment volume of 41.7 billion units decreased by 2.9%, predominantly reflecting a lower total market, our market share increased by 0.9 share points to 38.9%. The total OTP market in the European Union of 38.4 billion cigarette equivalent units decreased by 1.0%, principally reflecting a lower total fine cut market, down by 0.9% to 33.6 billion cigarette equivalent units.

While shipment volume of Marlboro of 20.2 billion units decreased by 3.8%, mainly due to a lower total market, market share increased by 0.4 share points to 19.1%, driven notably by Italy and Spain. Shipment volume of L&M increased by 1.0% to 7.4 billion units and market share increased by 0.3 share points to 6.9%, driven notably by Germany. Shipment volume of Chesterfield of 5.4 billion units increased by 26.9% and market share increased by 0.6 share points to 4.9%, driven notably by Italy and Poland. Shipment volume of Philip Morris of 2.4 billion units increased by 3.0% and market share increased by 0.1 share point to 2.1%, driven notably by Italy.

Our shipments of OTP of 5.3 billion cigarette equivalent units increased by 1.9%, driven principally by higher share. Our OTP total market share was 13.8%, up by 1.0 share point, reflecting gains in the fine cut category, notably in Hungary, up by 10.3 share points to 18.3%, Italy, up by 10.7 share points to 41.2%, Poland, up by 16.2 share points to 32.7%, Portugal, up by 5.1 share points to 33.4%, and Spain, up by 2.3 share points to 15.7%.

In France, the total cigarette market of 10.5 billion units decreased by 8.9%, mainly reflecting the unfavorable impact of price increases in July 2013 and January 2014, and the growth of e-vapor products. While our shipments of 4.6 billion units decreased by 8.0%, our market share increased by 1.0 share point to 41.0%, mainly driven by Marlboro and Philip Morris, up by 0.5 and 0.2 share points to 25.0% and 9.4%, respectively. Market share of L&M increased by 0.1 share point to 2.6% and share of Chesterfield was flat at 3.4%. The total industry fine cut category of 3.2 billion cigarette equivalent units decreased by 6.3%. Our market share of the category decreased by 0.3 share points to 26.1%.

In Germany, the total cigarette market of 18.2 billion units decreased by 3.0%. While our shipments of 6.7 billion units decreased by 0.7%, market share increased by 0.8 share points to 36.9%, driven by L&M and Chesterfield, up by 1.2 share points and 0.1 share point to 11.7% and 1.7%, respectively, partially offset by Marlboro, down by 0.3 share points to 22.0%, reflecting the brand's crossing the €5.00/pack price point in the second quarter of 2013. The total industry fine cut category of 9.8 billion cigarette equivalent units decreased by 0.9%. Our market share of the category was down by 1.8 share points to 13.1%.

In Italy, the total cigarette market of 16.8 billion units decreased by 0.5%, mainly reflecting a stabilization in the prevalence of illicit trade and a lower incidence of e-vapor and fine cut products. Our shipments of 9.1 billion units increased by 0.8%, including favorable estimated inventory movements. Excluding these trade inventory movements, our shipments declined by 0.8%. Our market share decreased by 0.2 share points to 53.0%, due primarily to: Diana in the low-price segment, down by 2.1 share points to 9.9%, impacted by the growth of the super-low price segment; partially offset by Philip Morris, up by 0.6 share points to 2.5%,

- 48-

Table of Contents

and Chesterfield, up by 1.5 share point to 5.1%, benefiting from its repositioning in February 2014 into the €4.00/pack price segment. Market share of Marlboro was flat at 25.6%. While the total industry fine cut category of 1.4 billion cigarette equivalent units decreased by 2.2%, our market share of the category increased by 10.7 share points to 41.2%, driven by Marlboro following its launch in the first quarter of 2013.

In Poland, the total cigarette market of 10.5 billion units decreased by 10.6%, including the unfavorable impact of estimated trade inventory movements. Excluding these trade inventory movements, the total cigarette market declined by an estimated 7.8%, partially reflecting the growth of the fine cut category and non-duty paid OTP products. Although our shipments of 3.7 billion units decreased by 6.0%, our market share increased by 1.7 share points to 35.1%, driven by Marlboro, up by 0.1 share point to 10.2%, L&M, up by 0.6 share points to 16.4%, and Chesterfield, up by 1.4 share points to 6.9%, benefiting from the morphing of Red & White in the fourth quarter of 2013. The total industry fine cut category of 1.0 billion cigarette equivalent units increased by 7.1%. Our market share of the category increased by 16.2 share points to 32.7%.

In Spain, the total cigarette market of 10.5 billion units decreased by 3.6%, mainly due to the impact of the unfavorable economic and employment environment. Our shipments of 3.2 billion units increased by 3.0%, including favorable estimated trade inventory movements. Excluding these trade inventory movements, PMI's shipments decreased by 1.1%. Our market share increased by 0.8 share points to 31.2%, driven by higher share of Marlboro and Chesterfield, up by 1.0 and 0.1 share point to 15.1% and 9.3%, respectively, partially offset by L&M, down 0.2 share points to 6.2%. While the total industry fine cut category of 2.2 billion cigarette equivalent units decreased by 12.8%, partly reflecting the impact of tax-driven price harmonization with the cigarette category in July 2013, our market share of the fine cut category increased by 2.3 share points to 15.7%.

Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa. Net revenues, which include excise taxes billed to customers, increased by \$139 million (3.1%). Excluding excise taxes, net revenues decreased by \$34 million (1.7%) to \$2.0 billion. This decrease was due to:

- unfavorable volume/mix (\$142 million) and
- unfavorable currency (\$126 million), partly offset by
- price increases (\$234 million).

Operating companies income of \$927 million decreased by \$8 million (0.9%). This decrease was due primarily to:

- unfavorable volume/mix (\$105 million),
- unfavorable currency (\$80 million) and
- higher manufacturing costs (\$73 million, principally related to the impact of the change to our new business structure in Egypt), partly offset by
- price increases (\$234 million).

Our cigarette shipment volume in EEMA of 62.0 billion units decreased by 7.2%, mainly due to Kazakhstan, Russia, Serbia and Ukraine, partially offset by Turkey. Excluding the unfavorable impact of estimated inventory movements, our cigarette shipment volume decreased by 3.0%. Our cigarette shipment volume of premium brands decreased by 3.0%, due principally to Marlboro, down by 6.0% to 18.5 billion units, partially due to the aforementioned inventory movements, partially offset by Parliament, up by 4.5% to 7.2 billion units.

In North Africa, the total cigarette market increased by 2.2% to an estimated 34.0 billion units, driven mainly by Egypt. Our shipment volume of 8.6 billion units decreased by 3.5%, principally reflecting lower production of our products as part of the transition to the new business structure in Egypt. Our market share decreased by 1.3 share points to 25.4%, due to lower share in Egypt, partially offset by gains in the other four markets. Market share of Marlboro increased by 1.5 share points to 15.3%, while share of L&M decreased by 2.7 share points to 8.1%.

In Russia, the total cigarette market declined by 6.7% to an estimated 66.9 billion units, mainly due to the impact of the tax-driven price increases of June 2013 and January 2014 and the prevalence of illicit trade. Our shipment volume

of 18.6 billion units decreased by 8.9%. Our market share of 26.7%, as measured by Nielsen, was up by 0.5 share points. Market share of Parliament, L&M and Bond Street increased by 0.2, 0.5 and 0.5 share points to 3.5%, 3.1% and 7.0%, respectively, partially offset by Marlboro and Chesterfield, down by 0.2 and 0.3 share points to 1.6% and 2.9%, respectively.

In Turkey, the total cigarette market increased by 1.3% to an estimated 18.8 billion units. Our shipment volume of 9.0 billion units increased by 9.7%, mainly reflecting a favorable comparison with the first quarter of 2013 in which shipments declined by 17.4% as a result of the reversal of estimated trade inventory movements in the fourth quarter of 2012 ahead of the January 2013 excise tax increase. Our market share, as measured by Nielsen, decreased by 0.3 share points to 44.4%, mainly due to Marlboro,

Table of Contents

mid-price Muratti and low-price L&M, down by 0.3, 0.6 and 1.0 share points to 8.6%, 6.2% and 6.6%, respectively, partially offset by premium Parliament, up by 1.2 share points to 10.5%.

In Ukraine, the total cigarette market decreased by 6.5% to an estimated of 15.2 billion units, mainly reflecting the impact of price increases in 2013, a worsening economy and the prevalence of illicit trade. Our shipment volume of 5.1 billion units decreased by 9.8%, principally due, in addition to the aforementioned factors, to a decrease in our market share, as measured by Nielsen, down by 1.0 share point to 32.9% as a result of price competition and down-trading, with Marlboro and Parliament down by 0.7 and 0.3 share points to 5.0% and 3.0%, respectively. The decrease in our market share was partially offset by growth from our low-price segment brands of Bond Street and President.

Asia. Net revenues, which include excise taxes billed to customers, decreased by \$776 million (14.8%). Excluding excise taxes, net revenues decreased by \$608 million (21.8%) to \$2.2 billion. This decrease was due to:

- unfavorable currency (\$366 million) and
- unfavorable volume/mix (\$276 million), partly offset by
- price increases (\$34 million).

Operating companies income of \$915 million decreased by \$427 million (31.8%). This decrease was due to:

- unfavorable volume/mix (\$226 million),
- unfavorable currency (\$215 million),
- higher pre-tax charges for asset impairment and exit costs (\$20 million, principally due to the announced factory closure in Australia) and
- higher manufacturing costs (\$13 million), partly offset by
- price increases (\$34 million) and
- lower marketing, administration and research costs (\$13 million).

Our cigarette shipment volume of 70.8 billion units decreased by 2.5%, due primarily to: a lower market share in Australia and Indonesia, lower market share and the adverse timing of shipments in Japan; and lower share in Pakistan; partially offset by the Philippines driven by a favorable comparison with the first quarter of 2013 which was significantly impacted by a disruptive excise tax increase. Excluding the unfavorable impact of estimated inventory movements, our cigarette shipment volume was essentially flat. Shipment volume of Marlboro of 18.9 billion units increased by 0.8%, driven by Indonesia and the Philippines, partially offset by Japan.

In Indonesia, the total cigarette market decreased by 1.0% to 73.8 billion units, mainly reflecting a weaker economy. The total cigarette market for the full-year of 2014 is estimated to increase by up to 1.0%. Our shipment volume of 25.5 billion units decreased by 5.5%, primarily due to lower market share, down by 1.6 share points to 34.6% as a result of: the share decline of hand-rolled Dji Sam Soe, which decreased by 2.1 share points to 4.2%, due to the continuing decline of the hand-rolled kretek segment, partially offset by the growth of machine-made Dji Sam Soe Magnum, and the fact that the brand crossed a critical price point ahead of competition; the withdrawal of our ultra-low price brands Trend Mild and Vegas Mild following the implementation of excise tax legislation relating to sister companies in the fourth quarter of 2013; and increased competition in the machine-made LTLN (low-tar, low-nicotine) segment. Market share of Sampoerna A, in the premium machine-made LTLN segment, increased by 0.1 share point to 14.4%, while mid-price U Mild, was up by 1.1 share points to 5.2%. Marlboro's market share increased by 0.3 share points to 5.3% and its share of the "white" cigarettes segment, representing 6.6% of the total cigarette market, increased by 5.9 share points to 80.4%.

In Japan, the total cigarette market increased by 9.6% to 49.4 billion units, mainly driven by retail trade and consumer purchasing ahead of the consumption tax-driven retail price increases of April 1, 2014. Excluding the favorable impact of these inventory movements, the total cigarette market is estimated to have declined by approximately 2.0%. For the full-year 2014, the total cigarette market is projected to decline by an estimated 3.0% to 3.5%. Our shipment volume in the quarter of 13.5 billion units decreased by 9.1%, principally due to the adverse timing of our shipments and lower market share. Our market share decreased by 2.0 share points to 25.5%, with share of Marlboro, Lark,

Philip Morris and Virginia S. down by 0.4, 0.8, 0.2 and 0.3 share points to 11.9%, 7.5%, 2.0% and 1.9%, respectively. In Korea, the total cigarette market decreased by 5.4% to 19.4 billion units, mainly due to an unfavorable comparison with the first quarter of 2013 resulting from trade inventory movements ahead of an anticipated excise tax increase in 2013 that did not materialize. Although our shipment volume of 3.8 billion units decreased by 3.3%, market share increased by 0.7 share points

- 50-

Table of Contents

to 19.9%, with share of Marlboro, up by 0.3 share points to 7.9%, Parliament, up by 0.3 share points to 7.1%, driven by Parliament Hybrid 5mg and the launch in October 2013 of Parliament Hybrid 1mg, and Virginia S., flat at 4.1%. In the Philippines, the total tax-paid industry cigarette volume increased by 25.9% to an estimated 19.3 billion units, primarily reflecting a favorable comparison with the first quarter of 2013 which was significantly impacted by a disruptive excise tax increase in January and a surge in the prevalence of domestic non-duty paid products. Our shipment volume of 16.2 billion units increased by 19.6%. Our market share of 83.7% was down by 4.4 share points. Marlboro's market share decreased by 2.8 share points to 18.8% in the first quarter of 2014. Share of Fortune decreased by 11.4 share points to 32.3%, more than offset by gains from our other local brands.

Latin America & Canada. Net revenues, which include excise taxes billed to customers, decreased by \$207 million (8.9%). Excluding excise taxes, net revenues decreased by \$68 million (8.7%) to \$713 million. This decrease was due to:

- unfavorable currency (\$101 million) and
- unfavorable volume/mix (\$53 million), partly offset by
- price increases (\$86 million).

Operating companies income of \$202 million decreased by \$52 million (20.5%). This decrease was due primarily to:

- unfavorable currency (\$52 million),
- unfavorable volume/mix (\$48 million),
- higher marketing, administration and research costs (\$29 million) and
- higher manufacturing costs (\$8 million), partly offset by
- price increases (\$86 million).

Our cigarette shipment volume in Latin America & Canada of 21.4 billion units decreased by 4.8%, principally due to the timing of estimated trade inventory movements in Mexico. Shipment volume of Marlboro of 8.2 billion units decreased by 10.5%.

In Argentina, the total cigarette market decreased by 0.9% to 10.7 billion units. Our cigarette shipment volume of 8.3 billion units increased by 2.2% and market share increased by 2.5 share points to 77.1%, driven by mid-price Philip Morris, up by 3.2 share points to 43.3%, reflecting the positive impact of its capsule variants, partially offset by low-price Next, down by 0.6 share points to 2.2%. Share of Marlboro was up by 0.1 share point to 24.1%.

In Canada, the total cigarette market decreased by 7.5% to 5.8 billion units, mainly due to the depletion of estimated trade inventory levels and the unfavorable impact of price increases. Excluding the impact of these inventory movements, the total market is estimated to have declined by 4.0%. While our cigarette shipment volume of 2.2 billion units decreased by 2.7%, market share increased by 2.4 share points to 38.5%, with premium brands Benson & Hedges flat at 2.3% and Belmont up by 0.4 share points to 2.8%. Market share of mid-price Canadian Classics was up by 0.9 share points to 10.9%. Market share of low-price brand Next was up by 1.6 share points to 10.8%, partially offset by mid-price Number 7 and low-price Accord, down by 0.1 share point and 0.3 share points to 4.2% and 2.7%, respectively.

In Mexico, the total cigarette market decreased by 11.3% to 7.2 billion units, mainly due to the depletion of estimated trade inventory levels built up ahead of our price increase in December 2013. Excluding these trade inventory movements, the total cigarette market declined by 2.0%. Our cigarette shipment volume of 4.9 billion units decreased by 18.3%. Our market share decreased by 5.8 share points to 67.7%, or by 1.7 share points to 71.3%, excluding the impact of trade inventory movements. While market share of Marlboro was down by 6.2 share points to 47.0%, or down by 2.8 share points to 50.4% excluding the impact of the trade inventory movements, and share of Benson & Hedges was down by 0.6 share points to 5.2%, reflecting consumer down-trading and the timing of price increases by our principal competitor, our share of the premium price segment was up by 1.3 share points to 91.2%. Market share of Delicados, the second best-selling brand in the market, increased by 0.2 share points to 10.7%.

Financial Review

Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities of \$715 million during the first three months of 2014 decreased by \$648 million from the comparable 2013 period. The decrease was due primarily to higher cash payments related to exit costs, an increase in our working capital requirements and lower net earnings.

- 51-

Table of Contents

The unfavorable movements in working capital were due primarily to the following:

- more cash used for accrued liabilities and other current assets (\$871 million), largely due to the timing of payments for excise taxes; partially offset by
- more cash provided by accounts receivable (\$462 million), primarily due to the timing of collections; and
- more cash provided by inventories (\$280 million), primarily related to the timing of finished goods inventory purchases by the trade.

Net Cash Used in Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities of \$208 million during the first three months of 2014 decreased by \$14 million from the comparable 2013 period, due primarily to higher cash proceeds from the sale of fixed assets, partially offset by higher capital expenditures.

Our capital expenditures were \$256 million and \$240 million during the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The 2014 expenditures were primarily related to investments in productivity-enhancing programs, equipment for new products and the expansion of our capacity in Indonesia for machine-made kretek.

Net Cash Used in Financing Activities

During the first three months of 2014, net cash used in financing activities was \$805 million, compared with net cash used in financing activities of \$53 million during the first three months of 2013. During the first three months of 2014, we used a total of \$4.4 billion to repurchase our common stock, pay dividends and repay debt. These uses were partially offset by proceeds from our debt offerings and short-term borrowings in 2014 of \$3.8 billion. During the first three months of 2013, we used a total of \$4.6 billion to repurchase our common stock, pay dividends and repay debt. These uses were more than offset by proceeds from our debt offerings and short-term borrowings in 2013 of \$4.7 billion.

Dividends paid in the first three months of 2014 and 2013 were \$1.5 billion and \$1.4 billion, respectively. The increase reflects a higher dividend rate in 2014, partially offset by lower shares outstanding as a result of our share repurchase program.

Debt and Liquidity

We define cash and cash equivalents as short-term, highly liquid investments, readily convertible to known amounts of cash that mature within a maximum of three months and have an insignificant risk of change in value due to interest rate or credit risk changes. As a policy, we do not hold any investments in structured or equity-linked products. Our cash and cash equivalents are predominantly held in short-term bank deposits with institutions having a long-term rating of A- or better.

Credit Ratings - The cost and terms of our financing arrangements as well as our access to commercial paper markets may be affected by applicable credit ratings. At March 31, 2014, our credit ratings and outlook by major credit rating agencies were as follows:

	Short-term	Long-term	Outlook
Moody's	P-1	A2	Stable
Standard & Poor's	A-1	A	Stable
Fitch	F1	A	Stable

Table of Contents

Credit Facilities – On January 31, 2014, we extended the term of our existing \$2.0 billion 364-day revolving credit facility until February 10, 2015. On February 28, 2014, we replaced our \$2.5 billion multi-year revolving credit facility, expiring March 31, 2015, with a new \$2.5 billion multi-year credit facility, expiring on February 28, 2019. At March 31, 2014, our committed credit facilities and commercial paper outstanding were as follows:

(in billions)

Type	Committed Credit Facilities	Commercial Paper
364-day revolving credit, expiring February 10, 2015	\$2.0	
Multi-year revolving credit, expiring February 28, 2019	2.5	
Multi-year revolving credit, expiring October 25, 2016	3.5	
Total facilities	\$8.0	
Commercial paper outstanding		\$2.6

At March 31, 2014, there were no borrowings under the committed credit facilities, and the entire committed amounts were available for borrowing.

All banks participating in our committed credit facilities have an investment-grade long-term credit rating from the credit rating agencies. We continuously monitor the credit quality of our banking group, and at this time we are not aware of any potential non-performing credit provider.

Each of these facilities requires us to maintain a ratio of consolidated earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (“consolidated EBITDA”) to consolidated interest expense of not less than 3.5 to 1.0 on a rolling four-quarter basis. At March 31, 2014, our ratio calculated in accordance with the agreements was 13.8 to 1.0. These facilities do not include any credit rating triggers, material adverse change clauses or any provisions that could require us to post collateral. We expect to continue to meet our covenants. The terms “consolidated EBITDA” and “consolidated interest expense,” both of which include certain adjustments, are defined in the facility agreements previously filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission.

In addition to the committed credit facilities discussed above, certain of our subsidiaries maintain short-term credit arrangements to meet their respective working capital needs. These credit arrangements, which amounted to approximately \$2.5 billion at March 31, 2014 and \$2.4 billion at December 31, 2013, are for the sole use of our subsidiaries. Borrowings under these arrangements amounted to \$717 million at March 31, 2014, and \$1.0 billion at December 31, 2013.

Commercial Paper Program - We have commercial paper programs in place in the U.S. and in Europe. At March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, we had \$2.6 billion and \$1.4 billion, respectively, of commercial paper outstanding. Effective April 19, 2013, our commercial paper program in the U.S. was increased by \$2.0 billion. As a result, our commercial paper programs in place in the U.S. and in Europe currently have an aggregate issuance capacity of \$8.0 billion.

The existence of the commercial paper program and the committed credit facilities, coupled with our operating cash flows, will enable us to meet our liquidity requirements.

Debt - Our total debt was \$29.7 billion at March 31, 2014 and \$27.7 billion at December 31, 2013.

On February 21, 2014, we filed a new shelf registration statement with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, under which we may from time to time sell debt securities and/or warrants to purchase debt securities over a three-year period.

Our debt offerings in the first quarter of 2014 were as follows:

(in millions)

Type	Face Value	Interest Rate	Issuance	Maturity
------	------------	------------------	----------	----------

Edgar Filing: Philip Morris International Inc. - Form 10-Q

EURO notes	(a) €750 (approximately \$1,029)	1.875	%	March 2014	March 2021
EURO notes	(a) €1,000 (approximately \$1,372)	2.875	%	March 2014	March 2026

- 53-

Table of Contents

(a) Interest on these notes is payable annually in arrears beginning in March 2015. U.S. dollar equivalents for foreign currency notes were calculated based on exchange rates on the date of issuance.

The net proceeds from the sale of the securities listed in the table above will be used for general corporate purposes. In April 2014, we launched and priced two bond offerings in the amount of CHF 275 million (approximately \$311 million) and CHF 250 million (approximately \$283 million). The CHF 275 million bond will have a fixed interest rate of 0.750% and a maturity date of December 2019. The CHF 250 million bond will have a fixed interest rate of 1.625% and a maturity date of May 2024. These transactions are scheduled to close in May 2014.

Guarantees - At March 31, 2014, we were contingently liable for \$0.8 billion of guarantees of our own performance, which were primarily related to excise taxes on the shipment of our products. There is no liability in the condensed consolidated financial statements associated with these guarantees. At March 31, 2014, our third-party guarantees were insignificant.

Equity and Dividends

As discussed in Note 3. Stock Plans to our condensed consolidated financial statements, during the three months ended March 31, 2014, we granted 2.4 million shares of deferred stock awards to eligible employees at a weighted-average grant date fair value of \$77.74 per share. Equity awards generally vest three or more years after the date of the award, subject to earlier vesting on death or disability or normal retirement, or separation from employment by mutual agreement after reaching age 58.

In May 2012, our stockholders approved the Philip Morris International Inc. 2012 Performance Incentive Plan (the "2012 Plan"). The 2012 Plan replaced the 2008 Performance Incentive Plan (the "2008 Plan") and, as a result, there will be no additional grants under the 2008 Plan. Under the 2012 Plan, we may grant to eligible employees restricted stock, restricted stock units and deferred stock units, performance-based cash incentive awards and performance-based equity awards. Up to 30 million shares of our common stock may be issued under the 2012 Plan. At March 31, 2014, shares available for grant under the 2012 Plan were 24,800,140.

On August 1, 2012, we began repurchasing shares under a three-year \$18.0 billion share repurchase program that was authorized by our Board of Directors in June 2012. From August 1, 2012 through March 31, 2014, we repurchased 114.8 million shares of our common stock at a cost of \$10.1 billion under this repurchase program. During the first three months of 2014, we repurchased 15.4 million shares at a cost of \$1.2 billion. During the first quarter of 2013, we repurchased 16.7 million shares at a cost of \$1.5 billion.

As previously announced on February 6, 2014, we have a share repurchase target amount for 2014 of \$4.0 billion. Dividends paid in the first three months of 2014 were \$1.5 billion. During the third quarter of 2013, our Board of Directors approved a 10.6% increase in the quarterly dividend to \$0.94 per common share. As a result, the present annualized dividend rate is \$3.76 per common share.

Market Risk

Counterparty Risk - We predominantly work with financial institutions with strong short- and long-term credit ratings as assigned by Standard & Poor's and Moody's. These banks are also part of a defined group of relationship banks. Non-investment grade institutions are only used in certain emerging markets to the extent required by local business needs. We have a conservative approach when it comes to choosing financial counterparties and financial instruments. As such we do not invest or hold investments in any structured or equity-linked products. The majority of our cash and cash equivalents is currently invested in bank deposits maturing within less than 30 days.

We continuously monitor and assess the credit worthiness of all our counterparties.

Derivative Financial Instruments - We operate in markets outside of the United States, with manufacturing and sales facilities in various locations throughout the world. Consequently, we use certain financial instruments to manage our foreign currency and interest rate exposure. We use derivative financial instruments principally to reduce our exposure to market risks resulting from fluctuations in foreign exchange rates by creating offsetting exposures. We are not a party to leveraged derivatives and, by policy, do not use derivative financial instruments for speculative purposes. See Note 6. Financial Instruments, Note 13. Fair Value Measurements, and Note 15. Balance Sheet Offsetting to our condensed consolidated financial statements for further details on our derivative financial instruments and the related

collateral arrangements.

- 54-

Table of Contents

Contingencies

See Note 10. Contingencies to our condensed consolidated financial statements for a discussion of contingencies.

Cautionary Factors That May Affect Future Results

Forward-Looking and Cautionary Statements

We may from time to time make written or oral forward-looking statements, including statements contained in filings with the SEC, in reports to stockholders and in press releases and investor webcasts. You can identify these forward-looking statements by use of words such as "strategy," "expects," "continues," "plans," "anticipates," "believes," "will," "estimates," "intends," "projects," "goals," "targets" and other words of similar meaning. You can also identify them by the fact that they do not relate strictly to historical or current facts.

We cannot guarantee that any forward-looking statement will be realized, although we believe we have been prudent in our plans and assumptions. Achievement of future results is subject to risks, uncertainties and inaccurate assumptions. Should known or unknown risks or uncertainties materialize, or should underlying assumptions prove inaccurate, actual results could vary materially from those anticipated, estimated or projected. Investors should bear this in mind as they consider forward-looking statements and whether to invest in or remain invested in our securities. In connection with the "safe harbor" provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, we are identifying important factors that, individually or in the aggregate, could cause actual results and outcomes to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements made by us; any such statement is qualified by reference to the following cautionary statements. We elaborate on these and other risks we face throughout this document, particularly in the "Business Environment" section. You should understand that it is not possible to predict or identify all risk factors. Consequently, you should not consider the following to be a complete discussion of all potential risks or uncertainties. We do not undertake to update any forward-looking statement that we may make from time to time except in the normal course of our public disclosure obligations.

Risks Related to Our Business and Industry

Cigarettes are subject to substantial taxes. Significant increases in cigarette-related taxes have been proposed or enacted and are likely to continue to be proposed or enacted in numerous jurisdictions. These tax increases may disproportionately affect our profitability and make us less competitive versus certain of our competitors.

Tax regimes, including excise taxes, sales taxes and import duties, can disproportionately affect the retail price of manufactured cigarettes versus other tobacco products, or disproportionately affect the relative retail price of our manufactured cigarette brands versus cigarette brands manufactured by certain of our competitors. Because our portfolio is weighted toward the premium-price manufactured cigarette category, tax regimes based on sales price can place us at a competitive disadvantage in certain markets. As a result, our volume and profitability may be adversely affected in these markets.

Increases in cigarette taxes are expected to continue to have an adverse impact on our sales of cigarettes, due to resulting lower consumption levels, a shift in sales from manufactured cigarettes to other tobacco products and from the premium-price to the mid-price or low-price cigarette categories, where we may be under-represented, from local sales to legal cross-border purchases of lower price products, or to illicit products such as contraband, counterfeit and "illicit whites."

Our business faces significant governmental action aimed at increasing regulatory requirements with the goal of reducing or preventing the use of tobacco products.

Governmental actions, combined with the diminishing social acceptance of smoking and private actions to restrict smoking, have resulted in reduced industry volume in many of our markets, and we expect that such factors will continue to reduce consumption levels and will increase down-trading and the risk of counterfeiting, contraband, "illicit whites" and cross-border purchases. Significant regulatory developments will take place over the next few years in most of our markets, driven principally by the World Health Organization's Framework Convention on Tobacco Control ("FCTC"). The FCTC is the first international public health treaty on tobacco, and its objective is to establish a global agenda for tobacco regulation. The FCTC has led to increased efforts by tobacco control advocates and public health organizations to reduce the palatability and attractiveness of tobacco products to adult smokers.

Regulatory initiatives that have been proposed, introduced or enacted include:

restrictions on or licensing of outlets permitted to sell cigarettes;
the levying of substantial and increasing tax and duty charges;
restrictions or bans on advertising, marketing and sponsorship;

- 55-

Table of Contents

- the display of larger health warnings, graphic health warnings and other labeling requirements;
- restrictions on packaging design, including the use of colors, and plain packaging;
- restrictions on packaging and cigarette formats and dimensions;
- restrictions or bans on the display of tobacco product packaging at the point of sale and restrictions or bans on cigarette vending machines;
- requirements regarding testing, disclosure and performance standards for tar, nicotine, carbon monoxide and other smoke constituents;
- disclosure, restrictions, or bans of tobacco product ingredients;
- increased restrictions on smoking in public and work places and, in some instances, in private places and outdoors;
- elimination of duty free sales and duty free allowances for travelers; and
- encouraging litigation against tobacco companies.

Our operating income could be significantly affected by regulatory initiatives resulting in a significant decrease in demand for our brands, in particular requirements that lead to a commoditization of tobacco products, as well as any significant increase in the cost of complying with new regulatory requirements.

Litigation related to tobacco use and exposure to environmental tobacco smoke (“ETS”) could substantially reduce our profitability and could severely impair our liquidity.

There is litigation related to tobacco products pending in certain jurisdictions. Damages claimed in some tobacco-related litigation are significant and, in certain cases in Brazil, Canada, Israel and Nigeria, range into the billions of U.S. dollars. We anticipate that new cases will continue to be filed. The FCTC encourages litigation against tobacco product manufacturers. It is possible that our consolidated results of operations, cash flows or financial position could be materially affected in a particular fiscal quarter or fiscal year by an unfavorable outcome or settlement of certain pending litigation. Please see Note 10. Contingencies to our condensed consolidated financial statements for a discussion of tobacco-related litigation.

We face intense competition, and our failure to compete effectively could have a material adverse effect on our profitability and results of operations.

We compete primarily on the basis of product quality, brand recognition, brand loyalty, taste, innovation, packaging, service, marketing, advertising and price. We are subject to highly competitive conditions in all aspects of our business. The competitive environment and our competitive position can be significantly influenced by weak economic conditions, erosion of consumer confidence, competitors' introduction of lower-price products or innovative products, higher tobacco product taxes, higher absolute prices and larger gaps between retail price categories, and product regulation that diminishes the ability to differentiate tobacco products. Competitors include three large international tobacco companies and several regional and local tobacco companies and, in some instances, state-owned tobacco enterprises, principally in Algeria, China, Egypt, Taiwan, Thailand and Vietnam. Industry consolidation and privatizations of state-owned enterprises have led to an overall increase in competitive pressures. Some competitors have different profit and volume objectives and some international competitors are susceptible to changes in different currency exchange rates.

Because we have operations in numerous countries, our results may be influenced by economic, regulatory and political developments or natural disasters in many countries.

Some of the countries in which we operate face the threat of civil unrest and can be subject to regime changes. In others, nationalization, terrorism, conflict and the threat of war may have a significant impact on the business environment. Economic, political, regulatory or other developments or natural disasters could disrupt our supply chain, manufacturing capabilities or our distribution capabilities. In addition, such developments could lead to loss of property or equipment that are critical to our business in certain markets and difficulty in staffing and managing our operations, which could reduce our volumes, revenues and net earnings. In certain markets, we are dependent on governmental approvals of various actions such as price changes.

In addition, despite our high ethical standards and rigorous control and compliance procedures aimed at preventing and detecting unlawful conduct, given the breadth and scope of our international operations, we may not be able to detect all potential improper or unlawful conduct by our employees and international partners.

Table of Contents

We may be unable to anticipate changes in consumer preferences or to respond to consumer behavior influenced by economic downturns.

Our tobacco business is subject to changes in consumer preferences, which may be influenced by local economic conditions. To be successful, we must:

- promote brand equity successfully;
- anticipate and respond to new consumer trends;
- develop new products and markets and broaden brand portfolios;
- improve productivity; and
- be able to protect or enhance margins through price increases.

In periods of economic uncertainty, consumers may tend to purchase lower-price brands, and the volume of our premium-price and mid-price brands and our profitability could suffer accordingly. Such down-trading trends may be reinforced by regulation that limits branding, communication and product differentiation.

We lose revenues as a result of counterfeiting, contraband, cross-border purchases and non-tax paid volume by local manufacturers.

Large quantities of counterfeit cigarettes are sold in the international market. We believe that Marlboro is the most heavily counterfeited international cigarette brand, although we cannot quantify the revenues we lose as a result of this activity. In addition, our revenues are reduced by contraband, legal cross-border purchases and non-tax paid volume by local manufacturers.

From time to time, we are subject to governmental investigations on a range of matters.

Investigations include allegations of contraband shipments of cigarettes, allegations of unlawful pricing activities within certain markets, allegations of underpayment of customs duties and/or excise taxes, allegations of false and misleading usage of descriptors and allegations of unlawful advertising. We cannot predict the outcome of those investigations or whether additional investigations may be commenced, and it is possible that our business could be materially affected by an unfavorable outcome of pending or future investigations. See “Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations-Operating Results by Business Segment-Business Environment-Governmental Investigations” for a description of certain governmental investigations to which we are subject.

We may be unsuccessful in our attempts to produce products with the potential to reduce the risk of smoking-related diseases compared to cigarettes.

We continue to seek ways to develop commercially viable new product technologies that may reduce the risk of smoking-related diseases in comparison to cigarettes. Our goal is to develop products whose potential for exposure, risk and harm reduction can be substantiated and provide adult smokers the taste, sensory experience, nicotine delivery profile and ritual characteristics that are similar to those currently provided by cigarettes. We may not succeed in these efforts. If we do not succeed, but others do, we may be at a competitive disadvantage. Furthermore, we cannot predict whether regulators will permit the marketing of tobacco products with claims of reduced exposure, risk or harm, which could significantly undermine the commercial viability of these products.

Our reported results could be adversely affected by unfavorable currency exchange rates, and currency devaluations could impair our competitiveness.

We conduct our business primarily in local currency and, for purposes of financial reporting, the local currency results are translated into U.S. dollars based on average exchange rates prevailing during a reporting period. During times of a strengthening U.S. dollar, our reported net revenues and operating income will be reduced because the local currency translates into fewer U.S. dollars. During periods of local economic crises, foreign currencies may be devalued significantly against the U.S. dollar, reducing our margins. Actions to recover margins may result in lower volume and a weaker competitive position.

The repatriation of our foreign earnings, changes in the earnings mix, and changes in U.S. tax laws may increase our effective tax rate. Our ability to receive payments from foreign subsidiaries or to repatriate royalties and dividends

could be restricted by local country currency exchange controls.

Because we are a U.S. holding company, our most significant source of funds is distributions from our non-U.S. subsidiaries. Under current U.S. tax law, in general we do not pay U.S. taxes on our foreign earnings until they are repatriated to the U.S. as

- 57-

Table of Contents

distributions from our non-U.S. subsidiaries. These distributions may result in a residual U.S. tax cost. It may be advantageous to us in certain circumstances to significantly increase the amount of such distributions, which could result in a material increase in our overall effective tax rate. Additionally, the Obama Administration has indicated that it favors changes in U.S. tax law that would fundamentally change how our earnings are taxed in the U.S. If enacted and depending upon its precise terms, such legislation could increase our overall effective tax rate. Certain countries in which we operate have adopted or could institute currency exchange controls that limit or prohibit our local subsidiaries' ability to make payments outside the country.

Our ability to grow may be limited by our inability to introduce new products, enter new markets or to improve our margins through higher pricing and improvements in our brand and geographic mix.

Our profitability may suffer if we are unable to introduce new products or enter new markets successfully, to raise prices or maintain an acceptable proportion of our sales of higher margin products and sales in higher margin geographies.

We may be unable to expand our brand portfolio through successful acquisitions or the development of strategic business relationships.

One element of our growth strategy is to strengthen our brand portfolio and market positions through selective acquisitions and the development of strategic business relationships. Acquisition and strategic business development opportunities are limited and present risks of failing to achieve efficient and effective integration, strategic objectives and anticipated revenue improvements and cost savings. There is no assurance that we will be able to acquire attractive businesses on favorable terms, or that future acquisitions or strategic business developments will be accretive to earnings.

Government mandated prices, production control programs, shifts in crops driven by economic conditions and the impact of climate change may increase the cost or reduce the quality of the tobacco and other agricultural products used to manufacture our products.

As with other agricultural commodities, the price of tobacco leaf and cloves can be influenced by imbalances in supply and demand, and crop quality can be influenced by variations in weather patterns, including those caused by climate change. Tobacco production in certain countries is subject to a variety of controls, including government mandated prices and production control programs. Changes in the patterns of demand for agricultural products could cause farmers to plant less tobacco. Any significant change in tobacco leaf and clove prices, quality and quantity could affect our profitability and our business.

Our ability to implement our strategy of attracting and retaining the best global talent may be impaired by the decreasing social acceptance of cigarette smoking.

The tobacco industry competes for talent with consumer products and other companies that enjoy greater societal acceptance. As a result, we may be unable to attract and retain the best global talent.

The failure of our information systems to function as intended or their penetration by outside parties with the intent to corrupt them could result in business disruption, loss of revenue, assets or personal or other sensitive data.

We use information systems to help manage business processes, collect and interpret business data and communicate internally and externally with employees, suppliers, customers and others. Some of these information systems are managed by third-party service providers. We have backup systems and business continuity plans in place, and we take care to protect our systems and data from unauthorized access. Nevertheless, failure of our systems to function as intended, or penetration of our systems by outside parties intent on extracting or corrupting information or otherwise disrupting business processes, could result in loss of revenue, assets or personal or other sensitive data, cause damage to our reputation and that of our brands and result in significant remediation and other costs to us.

We may be required to replace third-party contract manufacturers or service providers with our own resources.

In certain instances, we contract with third parties to manufacture some of our products or product parts or to provide other services. We may be unable to renew these agreements on satisfactory terms for numerous reasons, including government regulations. Accordingly, our costs may increase significantly if we must replace such third parties with our own resources.

Table of Contents

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

PMI carried out an evaluation, with the participation of PMI's management, including PMI's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of PMI's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon that evaluation, PMI's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that PMI's disclosure controls and procedures are effective. There have been no changes in PMI's internal control over financial reporting during the most recent fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, PMI's internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

Part II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

See Note 10. Contingencies of the Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part I – Item 1 of this report for a discussion of legal proceedings pending against Philip Morris International Inc. and its subsidiaries.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Information regarding Risk Factors appears in “MD&A – Cautionary Factors That May Affect Future Results,” in Part I – Item 2 of this Form 10-Q and in Part I – Item 1A. Risk Factors of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013. There have been no material changes to the risk factors disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

- 60-

Table of Contents

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

Our share repurchase activity for each of the three months in the quarter ended March 31, 2014 was as follows:

Period	Total Number of Shares Repurchased	Average Price Paid Per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs (2)	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
January 1, 2014 – January 31, 2014 (1)	3,815,700	\$83.86	103,252,623	\$8,827,043,848
February 1, 2014 – February 28, 2014 (1)	4,267,768	\$79.66	107,520,391	\$8,487,054,001
March 1, 2014 – March 31, 2014 (1)	7,325,468	\$80.54	114,845,859	\$7,897,045,999
Pursuant to Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	15,408,936	\$81.12		
January 1, 2014 – January 31, 2014 (3)	2,771	\$86.81		
February 1, 2014 – February 28, 2014 (3)	377,431	\$79.87		
March 1, 2014 – March 31, 2014 (3)	6,950	\$81.35		
For the Quarter Ended March 31, 2014	15,796,088	\$81.09		

On June 13, 2012, our Board of Directors authorized a share repurchase program of \$18 billion over three years.

(1) The program commenced on August 1, 2012 after the completion of the three-year \$12 billion program in July 2012. These share repurchases have been made pursuant to the \$18 billion program.

(2) Aggregate number of shares repurchased under the above-mentioned share repurchase program as of the end of the period presented.

Shares repurchased represent shares tendered to us by employees who vested in restricted and deferred stock

(3) awards, or exercised stock options, and used shares to pay all, or a portion of, the related taxes and/or option exercise price.

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits.

- 3.1 Amended and Restated By-Laws of Philip Morris International Inc. dated March 12, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 18, 2014).
- 10.1 Form of Deferred Stock Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed February 7, 2014).
- 10.2 Credit Agreement, dated as of February 28, 2014, among Philip Morris International Inc., the lenders named therein, J.P. Morgan Europe Limited, as facility agent, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as swingline agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 3, 2014).
- 10.3 Extension Agreement, dated as of January 31, 2014, to Credit Agreement, dated as of February 12, 2013, among Philip Morris International Inc., the lenders party thereto and the Royal Bank of Scotland plc, as administrative agent.
- 12 Statement regarding computation of ratios of earnings to fixed charges.
- 31.1 Certification of the Registrant's Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of the Registrant's Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification of the Registrant's Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Certification of the Registrant's Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 101.INS XBRL Instance Document.
- 101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema.
- 101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase.
- 101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase.
- 101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase.
- 101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase.

Table of Contents

Signature

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

PHILIP MORRIS INTERNATIONAL INC.

/s/ JACEK OLCZAK

Jacek Olczak
Chief Financial Officer

May 2, 2014

- 63-