

KITE REALTY GROUP TRUST
Form 10-Q
November 09, 2010

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2010

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 001-32268

Kite Realty Group Trust
(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Maryland
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation
or organization)

11-3715772
(IRS Employer Identification Number)

30 S. Meridian Street, Suite 1100
Indianapolis, Indiana
(Address of principal executive offices)

46204
(Zip code)

Telephone: (317) 577-5600
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Not Applicable
(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if

Edgar Filing: KITE REALTY GROUP TRUST - Form 10-Q

any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of “large accelerated filer”, “accelerated filer”, and “smaller reporting company” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

The number of Common Shares outstanding as of November 2, 2010 was 63,339,755 (\$.01 par value)

KITE REALTY GROUP TRUST

QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q

FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|----------|--|
| Part I. | <u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u> |
| Item 1. | <u>Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u> |
| | <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009</u> 3 |
| | <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 and 2009</u> 4 |
| | <u>Condensed Consolidated Statement of Shareholders' Equity for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010</u> 5 |
| | <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 and 2009</u> 6 |
| | <u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u> 7 |
| | <u>Cautionary Note About Forward-Looking Statements</u> 18 |
| Item 2. | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> 19 |
| Item 3. | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk</u> 34 |
| Item 4. | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> 34 |
| Part II. | <u>OTHER INFORMATION</u> |
| Item 1. | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> 35 |
| Item 1A. | <u>Risk Factors</u> 35 |
| Item 2. | <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> 35 |
| Item 3. | <u>Defaults upon Senior Securities</u> 35 |
| Item 4. | <u>Removed and Reserved</u> 35 |
| Item 5. | <u>Other Information</u> 35 |
| | 3 |

| | | |
|---------|-------------------|----|
| Item 6. | <u>Exhibits</u> | 35 |
| | <u>SIGNATURES</u> | 36 |

Table of Contents

Part I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1.

Kite Realty Group Trust
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets
(Unaudited)

| | September 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Assets: | | |
| Investment properties, at cost: | | |
| Land | \$ 229,078,232 | \$ 226,506,781 |
| Land held for development | 27,358,808 | 27,546,315 |
| Buildings and improvements | 764,638,869 | 736,027,845 |
| Furniture, equipment and other | 5,144,470 | 5,060,233 |
| Construction in progress | 167,414,630 | 176,689,227 |
| | 1,193,635,009 | 1,171,830,401 |
| Less: accumulated depreciation | (145,266,371) | (127,031,144) |
| | 1,048,368,638 | 1,044,799,257 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 12,724,095 | 19,958,376 |
| Tenant receivables, including accrued straight-line rent of \$8,982,455 and \$8,570,069, respectively, net of allowance for uncollectible accounts | 17,822,311 | 18,537,031 |
| Other receivables | 6,543,165 | 9,326,475 |
| Investments in unconsolidated entities, at equity | 10,854,037 | 10,799,782 |
| Escrow deposits | 10,801,443 | 11,377,408 |
| Deferred costs, net | 21,830,481 | 21,509,070 |
| Prepaid and other assets | 4,274,989 | 4,378,045 |
| Total Assets | \$ 1,133,219,159 | \$ 1,140,685,444 |
| Liabilities and Equity: | | |
| Mortgage and other indebtedness | \$ 669,003,276 | \$ 658,294,513 |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 38,573,656 | 32,799,351 |
| Deferred revenue and other liabilities | 15,850,978 | 19,835,438 |
| Total Liabilities | 723,427,910 | 710,929,302 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | |
| Redeemable noncontrolling interests in Operating Partnership | 44,489,803 | 47,307,115 |
| Equity: | | |
| Kite Realty Group Trust Shareholders' Equity: | | |
| Preferred Shares, \$.01 par value, 40,000,000 shares authorized, no shares issued and outstanding | — | — |
| Common Shares, \$.01 par value, 200,000,000 shares authorized, 63,332,646 shares and 63,062,083 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively | 633,326 | 630,621 |
| Additional paid in capital and other | 451,045,438 | 449,863,390 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (4,866,031) | (5,802,406) |
| Accumulated deficit | (88,484,324) | (69,613,763) |
| Total Kite Realty Group Trust Shareholders' Equity | 358,328,409 | 375,077,842 |
| Noncontrolling Interests | 6,973,037 | 7,371,185 |

Edgar Filing: KITE REALTY GROUP TRUST - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Total Equity | 365,301,446 | 382,449,027 |
| Total Liabilities and Equity | \$ 1,133,219,159 | \$ 1,140,685,444 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Kite Realty Group Trust

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations
(Unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|--|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| | September 30, | | September 30, | |
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Revenue: | | | | |
| Minimum rent | \$ 18,292,136 | \$ 17,777,146 | \$ 53,768,732 | \$ 53,611,820 |
| Tenant reimbursements | 4,246,120 | 4,220,185 | 13,347,228 | 13,412,648 |
| Other property related revenue | 1,346,672 | 1,027,057 | 3,295,520 | 4,387,131 |
| Construction and service fee revenue | 1,270,928 | 2,684,209 | 5,101,126 | 14,595,667 |
| Total revenue | 25,155,856 | 25,708,597 | 75,512,606 | 86,007,266 |
| Expenses: | | | | |
| Property operating | 4,496,055 | 4,210,950 | 12,804,258 | 13,367,022 |
| Real estate taxes | 3,158,006 | 2,677,703 | 9,697,406 | 8,958,326 |
| Cost of construction and services | 1,147,383 | 2,381,885 | 4,543,084 | 12,958,935 |
| General, administrative, and other | 1,260,314 | 1,387,407 | 3,891,076 | 4,276,451 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 10,731,138 | 7,725,827 | 31,441,383 | 23,865,302 |
| Total expenses | 20,792,896 | 18,383,772 | 62,377,207 | 63,426,036 |
| Operating income | 4,362,960 | 7,324,825 | 13,135,399 | 22,581,230 |
| Interest expense | (6,978,767) | (6,815,787) | (21,313,368) | (20,583,919) |
| Income tax (expense) benefit of taxable REIT subsidiary | (80,954) | 80,714 | (234,054) | 29,529 |
| (Loss) income from unconsolidated entities | (1,847) | 73,524 | (100,442) | 226,041 |
| Non-cash gain from consolidation of subsidiary | — | 1,634,876 | — | 1,634,876 |
| Other income | 53,633 | 42,229 | 186,193 | 126,735 |
| (Loss) income from continuing operations | (2,644,975) | 2,340,381 | (8,326,272) | 4,014,492 |
| Discontinued operations: | | | | |
| Operating loss from discontinued operations | — | (231,261) | — | (714,007) |
| Non-cash loss on impairment of real estate asset | — | (5,384,747) | — | (5,384,747) |
| Loss from discontinued operations | — | (5,616,008) | — | (6,098,754) |
| Consolidated net loss | (2,644,975) | (3,275,627) | (8,326,272) | (2,084,262) |
| Net loss (income) attributable to noncontrolling interests | 255,021 | (107,743) | 841,083 | (340,781) |
| Net loss attributable to Kite Realty Group Trust | \$ (2,389,954) | \$ (3,383,370) | \$ (7,485,189) | \$ (2,425,043) |
| (Loss) income per common share - basic & diluted: | | | | |
| (Loss) income from continuing operations attributable to Kite Realty Group Trust common shareholders | \$ (0.04) | \$ 0.03 | \$ (0.12) | \$ 0.06 |
| | — | (0.08) | — | (0.11) |

Edgar Filing: KITE REALTY GROUP TRUST - Form 10-Q

Loss from discontinued operations
attributable to Kite Realty Group
Trust common shareholders

| | | | | |
|--|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Net loss attributable to Kite Realty Group Trust common shareholders | \$ (0.04) | \$ (0.05) | \$ (0.12) | \$ (0.05) |
|--|------------|------------|------------|------------|

| | | | | |
|--|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Weighted average common shares outstanding - basic | 63,288,181 | 62,980,447 | 63,206,901 | 48,489,799 |
|--|------------|------------|------------|------------|

| | | | | |
|--|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Weighted average common shares outstanding - diluted | 63,288,181 | 62,980,447 | 63,206,901 | 48,489,799 |
|--|------------|------------|------------|------------|

| | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Dividends declared per common share | \$ 0.0600 | \$ 0.0600 | \$ 0.1800 | \$ 0.2725 |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|

Net (loss) income attributable to Kite Realty Group Trust
common shareholders:

| | | | | |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| (Loss) income from continuing operations | \$ (2,389,954) | \$ 1,445,303 | \$ (7,485,189) | \$ 2,807,688 |
| Discontinued operations | — | (4,828,673) | — | (5,232,731) |
| Net loss attributable to Kite Realty Group Trust common shareholders | \$ (2,389,954) | \$ (3,383,370) | \$ (7,485,189) | \$ (2,425,043) |

| | | | | |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Consolidated net loss | \$ (2,644,975) | \$ (3,275,627) | \$ (8,326,272) | \$ (2,084,262) |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | 584,568 | (66,851) | 1,064,035 | 2,006,583 |
| Comprehensive loss | (2,060,407) | (3,342,478) | (7,262,237) | (77,679) |
| Comprehensive loss (income) attributable to noncontrolling interests | 181,378 | (117,502) | 713,423 | (1,313,698) |
| Comprehensive loss attributable to Kite Realty Group Trust | \$ (1,879,029) | \$ (3,459,980) | \$ (6,548,814) | \$ (1,391,377) |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Kite Realty Group Trust

Condensed Consolidated Statement of Shareholders' Equity
(Unaudited)

| | Common Shares | | Additional | Accumulated Other Comprehensive | Accumulated | Total |
|---|---------------|------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| | Shares | Amount | Paid-in Capital | Loss | Deficit | |
| Balances, December 31, 2009 | 63,062,083 | \$ 630,621 | \$ 449,863,390 | \$ (5,802,406) | \$ (69,613,763) | \$ 375,077,842 |
| Stock compensation activity | 147,751 | 1,477 | 572,180 | — | — | 573,657 |
| Proceeds from employee share purchase plan | 7,812 | 78 | 32,166 | — | — | 32,244 |
| Other comprehensive income | — | — | — | 936,375 | — | 936,375 |
| Distributions declared | — | — | — | — | (11,385,372) | (11,385,372) |
| Net loss | — | — | — | — | (7,485,189) | (7,485,189) |
| Exchange of redeemable noncontrolling interests for common stock | 115,000 | 1,150 | 1,493,850 | — | — | 1,495,000 |
| Adjustment to redeemable noncontrolling interests - Operating Partnership | — | — | (916,148) | — | — | (916,148) |
| Balances, September 30, 2010 | 63,332,646 | \$ 633,326 | \$ 451,045,438 | \$ (4,866,031) | \$ (88,484,324) | \$ 358,328,409 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Edgar Filing: KITE REALTY GROUP TRUST - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

Kite Realty Group Trust

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(Unaudited)

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Consolidated net loss | \$ (8,326,272) | \$ (2,084,262) |
| Adjustments to reconcile consolidated net loss to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Non-cash loss on impairment of real estate asset | — | 5,384,747 |
| Non-cash gain from consolidation of subsidiary | — | (1,634,876) |
| Equity in earnings of unconsolidated entities | 100,442 | (226,041) |
| Straight-line rent | (415,806) | (1,331,492) |
| Depreciation and amortization | 32,673,322 | 25,320,473 |
| Provision for credit losses | 1,014,616 | 1,571,161 |
| Compensation expense for equity awards | 368,651 | 415,505 |
| Amortization of debt fair value adjustment | (323,143) | (323,143) |
| Amortization of in-place lease liabilities | (2,196,266) | (2,333,755) |
| Distributions of income from unconsolidated entities | — | 145,701 |
| Changes in assets and liabilities: | | |
| Tenant receivables | 115,909 | (213,528) |
| Deferred costs and other assets | (738,248) | (2,059,747) |
| Accounts payable, accrued expenses, deferred revenue and other liabilities | 2,292,064 | (8,088,778) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 24,565,269 | 14,541,965 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Acquisitions of interests in properties and capital expenditures, net | (31,690,697) | (26,725,899) |
| Change in construction payables | 2,748,621 | (3,244,039) |
| Note receivable from joint venture partner | — | (1,375,298) |
| Contributions to unconsolidated entities | (154,697) | (11,408,799) |
| Cash from consolidation of subsidiary | — | 247,969 |
| Distributions of capital from unconsolidated entities | — | 167,361 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (29,096,773) | (42,338,705) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Offering proceeds, net of issuance costs | 32,244 | 87,528,092 |
| Loan proceeds | 32,583,865 | 74,030,101 |
| Loan transaction costs | (467,830) | (480,880) |
| Loan payments | (21,551,958) | (91,195,857) |
| Distributions paid – common shareholders | (11,368,979) | (15,966,913) |
| Distributions paid – redeemable noncontrolling interests | (1,435,263) | (3,394,712) |
| Distributions to noncontrolling interests in properties | (494,856) | (73,666) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | (2,702,777) | 50,446,165 |
| Net change in cash and cash equivalents | (7,234,281) | 22,649,425 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 19,958,376 | 9,917,875 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ 12,724,095 | \$ 32,567,300 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Kite Realty Group Trust

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
September 30, 2010
(Unaudited)

Note 1. Organization

Kite Realty Group Trust (the “Company”), through its majority-owned subsidiary, Kite Realty Group, L.P. (the “Operating Partnership”), is engaged in the ownership, operation, management, leasing, acquisition, construction, expansion and development of neighborhood and community shopping centers and certain commercial real estate properties in selected markets in the United States. The Company also provides real estate facilities management, construction, development and other advisory services to third parties through its taxable REIT subsidiary. At September 30, 2010, the Company owned interests in 60 properties (consisting of 51 retail operating properties, five retail properties under redevelopment and four commercial operating properties). As of this date, the Company also had two retail properties under development.

Note 2. Basis of Presentation, Consolidation and Investments in Joint Ventures, and Noncontrolling Interests

The Company’s management has prepared the accompanying unaudited financial statements pursuant to the rules and regulations of the SEC. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in the financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (“GAAP”) may have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations, although management believes that the disclosures are adequate to make the presentation not misleading. The unaudited financial statements as of September 30, 2010 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 include, in the opinion of management, all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring adjustments, necessary to present fairly the financial information set forth therein. The consolidated financial statements in this Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and related notes thereto included in the Company’s 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K. The preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities, the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reported period. Actual results could differ from these estimates. The results of operations for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected on an annual basis.

Consolidation and Investments in Joint Ventures

The accompanying financial statements of the Company are presented on a consolidated basis and include all accounts of the Company, the Operating Partnership, the taxable REIT subsidiary of the Operating Partnership, subsidiaries of the Company or the Operating Partnership that are controlled and any variable interest entities (“VIEs”) in which the Company is the primary beneficiary. In general, a VIE is a corporation, partnership, trust or any other legal structure used for business purposes that either (a) has equity investors that do not provide sufficient financial resources for the entity to support its activities, (b) does not have equity investors with voting rights or (c) has equity investors whose votes are disproportionate from their economics and substantially all of the activities are conducted on behalf of the investor with disproportionately fewer voting rights. The Company consolidates properties that are wholly owned as well as properties it controls but in which it owns less than a 100% interest. Control of a property is demonstrated by:

- the Company’s ability to manage day-to-day operations of the property;
- the Company’s ability to refinance debt and sell the property without the consent of any other partner or owner;

- the inability of any other partner or owner to replace the Company as manager of the property; or
- being the primary beneficiary of a VIE. The primary beneficiary is defined as the entity that has (i) the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance, and (ii) the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits that could potentially be significant to the VIE.

The Company considers all relationships between itself and the VIE, including development agreements, management agreements and other contractual arrangements, in determining whether it has the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly affect the VIE's performance. The Company also continuously reassesses primary beneficiary status. Other than with regard to The Centre, as described below, there were no changes during 2010 or 2009 to the Company's conclusions regarding whether an entity qualifies as a VIE or whether the Company is the primary beneficiary of any previously identified VIE.

The Centre

The third party loan secured by The Centre, a previously unconsolidated operating property in which we own a 60% interest, matured in the third quarter of 2009. In order to pay off this loan, the Company made a capital contribution of \$2.1 million and simultaneously extended a loan of \$1.4 million to the partnership. At September 30, 2010, \$0.7 million of this loan remained outstanding, bearing interest at 15%, and is due within 30 days upon demand. The Company's extension of a loan and contribution of equity to the partnership caused the Company to conclude that The Centre qualifies as a VIE and the Company is its primary beneficiary. As a result, the financial statements of The Centre were consolidated as of September 30, 2009, the assets and liabilities were recorded at fair value, and a non-cash gain of \$1.6 million was recorded, of which the Company's share was \$1.0 million. A market participant income approach was utilized to estimate the fair value of the investment property, related intangibles, and noncontrolling interest. The income approach required the Company to make assumptions about market leasing rates, discount rates, noncontrolling interests and disposal values using Level 2 and Level 3 inputs.

Table of Contents

As of September 30, 2010, the Company had investments in seven joint ventures that are VIEs in which the Company is the primary beneficiary. As of this date, these VIEs had total debt of \$86.6 million which is secured by assets of the VIEs with net book values totaling \$178.2 million. The Operating Partnership guarantees the debt of these VIEs; however, the VIEs could sell the properties before the performance of a guarantee would be required.

The Company accounts for its investments in unconsolidated joint ventures under the equity method of accounting as it exercises significant influence over, but does not control, operating and financial policies. These investments are recorded initially at cost and subsequently adjusted for equity in earnings and cash contributions and distributions.

Noncontrolling Interests

Noncontrolling interests are reported as equity and the amount of consolidated net income specifically attributable to noncontrolling interests is identified in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements. The noncontrolling interests in certain of our properties for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 were as follows:

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|--------------|--------------|
| Noncontrolling interests balance January 1 | \$ 7,371,185 | \$ 4,416,533 |
| Net income allocable to noncontrolling interests, excluding redeemable noncontrolling interests | 96,708 | 742,130 |
| Distributions to noncontrolling interests | (494,856) | (73,666) |
| Recognition of noncontrolling interest upon consolidation of a subsidiary | — | 2,116,140 |
| Noncontrolling interests balance at September 30 | \$ 6,973,037 | \$ 7,201,137 |

Redeemable noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership are reflected as temporary equity in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets because the Company may be required to pay cash to unitholders upon redemption of their interests in the limited partnership under certain circumstances. The carrying amount of the redeemable noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership is reflected at the greater of historical book value or redemption value with a corresponding adjustment to additional paid-in capital. As noted above, noncontrolling interests, including redeemable interests, receive an allocation of consolidated net income (loss) in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations. The redeemable noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 was as follows:

Table of Contents

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|---|---------------|---------------|
| Redeemable noncontrolling interests balance January 1 | \$ 47,307,115 | \$ 67,276,904 |
| Net loss allocable to redeemable noncontrolling interests | (937,791) | (401,349) |
| Accrued distributions to redeemable noncontrolling interests | (1,428,329) | (2,193,847) |
| Other comprehensive income allocable to redeemable noncontrolling interests 1 | 127,660 | 972,917 |
| Exchange of redeemable noncontrolling interest for common stock | (1,495,000) | — |
| Adjustment to redeemable noncontrolling interests - operating partnership | 916,148 | (17,668,867) |
| Redeemable noncontrolling interests balance at September 30 | \$ 44,489,803 | \$ 47,985,758 |

1 _____ Represents the noncontrolling interests' share of the changes in the fair value of derivative instruments accounted for as cash flow hedges (see Note 7).

The following sets forth comprehensive income allocable to noncontrolling interests for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009:

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|---------------|----------------|
| Accumulated comprehensive loss balance at January 1 | \$ (731,835) | \$ (1,827,167) |
| Other comprehensive income allocable to noncontrolling interests 1 | 127,660 | 972,917 |
| Accumulated comprehensive loss balance at September 30 | \$ (604,175) | \$ (854,250) |

1 _____ Represents the noncontrolling interests' share of the changes in the fair value of derivative instruments accounted for as cash flow hedges (see Note 7).

The Company allocates net operating results of the Operating Partnership based on the partners' weighted average ownership interest. The Company adjusts the redeemable noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership at the end of each period to reflect their interests in the Operating Partnership. This adjustment is reflected in the Company's shareholders' equity. The weighted average ownership interests of the Company and the redeemable noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 were as follows:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|------|---|------------------------------------|---|------|---|
| | 2010 | | 2009 | | 2010 | | 2009 | |
| Company's weighted average basic interest in Operating Partnership | 88.9 | % | 88.7 | % | 88.8 | % | 85.8 | % |
| Redeemable noncontrolling weighted average basic interests in Operating Partnership | 11.1 | % | 11.3 | % | 11.2 | % | 14.2 | % |

Edgar Filing: KITE REALTY GROUP TRUST - Form 10-Q

Company's weighted average diluted interest
in

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|------|---|------|---|------|---|------|---|
| Operating Partnership | 88.9 | % | 88.7 | % | 88.9 | % | 85.8 | % |
| Redeemable noncontrolling weighted average diluted | | | | | | | | |
| interests in Operating Partnership | 11.1 | % | 11.3 | % | 11.1 | % | 14.2 | % |

At September 30, 2010, the ownership interests of the Company and the noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership were 89.0% and 11.0%, respectively. At December 31, 2009, the ownership interests of the Company and the noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership were 88.8% and 11.2%, respectively.

Table of Contents

Note 3. Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share is calculated based on the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share is determined based on the weighted average number of shares outstanding combined with the incremental average shares that would have been outstanding assuming all potentially dilutive shares were converted into common shares as of the earliest date possible.

Potentially dilutive securities include outstanding share options, units in the Operating Partnership, which may be exchanged, at our option, for either cash or common shares under certain circumstances and deferred share units, which may be credited to the accounts of non-employee trustees in lieu of the payment of cash compensation or the issuance of common shares to such trustees. Due to the Company's net losses for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, the potentially dilutive securities were not dilutive for those periods.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, 1.1 million outstanding common share options were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share because their impact was not dilutive. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, 1.2 million outstanding common share options were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share because their impact was not dilutive.

Note 4. Discontinued Operations

In December 2009, the Company transferred its Galleria Plaza operating property in Dallas, Texas to the ground lessor. The Company had determined during the third quarter of 2009 that there was no value to the improvements and intangibles related to Galleria Plaza and recognized a non-cash impairment charge of \$5.4 million to write off the net book value of the property. Since the Company ceased operating this property during the fourth quarter of 2009, its operating results have been reclassified and are reflected as discontinued operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009.

Note 5. Mortgage and Other Indebtedness

Consolidated mortgage and other indebtedness consisted of the following at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009:

| | Balance at | |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|
| | September 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
| Line of credit | \$ 104,800,000 | \$ 77,800,000 |
| Term loan | 55,000,000 | 55,000,000 |
| Notes payable secured by properties under construction - variable rate | 73,330,157 | 77,143,865 |
| Mortgage notes payable - fixed rate | 296,592,410 | 300,893,193 |
| Mortgage notes payable - variable rate | 138,626,083 | 146,479,685 |
| Net premiums on acquired debt | 654,626 | 977,770 |
| Total mortgage and other indebtedness | \$ 669,003,276 | \$ 658,294,513 |

Consolidated indebtedness, including weighted average maturities and weighted average interest rates at September 30, 2010, is summarized below:

Table of Contents

| | Amount | Weighted Average Maturity (Years) | Weighted Average Interest Rate | Percentage of Total |
|--|----------------|--|--------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Fixed rate debt | \$ 296,592,410 | 4.7 | 6.08 % | 44 % |
| Floating rate debt (hedged) | 219,447,225 | 1.2 | 5.70 % | 33 % |
| Total fixed rate debt, considering hedges | 516,039,635 | 3.2 | 5.92 % | 77 % |
| Notes payable secured by properties under construction - | | | | |
| variable rate | 73,330,157 | 2.0 | 3.64 % | 11 % |
| Other variable rate debt | 298,426,083 | 1.6 | 2.57 % | 45 % |
| Floating rate debt (hedged) | (219,447,225) | -1.2 | -2.49 % | -33 % |
| Total variable rate debt, considering hedges | 152,309,015 | 2.5 | 3.20 % | 23 % |
| Net premiums on acquired debt | 654,626 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Total debt | \$ 669,003,276 | 3.1 | 5.30 % | 100 % |

Mortgage and construction loans are collateralized by certain real estate properties and leases. Mortgage loans are generally due in monthly installments of interest and principal and mature over various terms through 2022. Variable interest rates on mortgage and construction loans are based on LIBOR plus a spread of 125 to 400 basis points. At September 30, 2010, the one-month LIBOR interest rate was 0.26%. Fixed interest rates on mortgage loans range from 5.16% to 7.65%.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, the Company had loan borrowings of \$32.6 million and loan repayments of \$21.6 million. The major components of this activity are as follows:

- Draws of \$27.0 million were made on the unsecured revolving credit facility;
- Draws of \$5.4 million were made on the variable rate construction loan at the Eddy Street Commons development project;
- The Company made scheduled paydowns totaling \$4.7 million on the Delray Marketplace construction loan. After the paydowns, the total loan commitment as of September 30, 2010 was \$4.7 million;
- Upon release of the funds from escrow, the Company made a paydown of \$2.1 million on the Traders Point fixed rate loan;
 - The Company made a paydown of \$0.8 million on the Glendale Town Center variable rate loan;
 - The Company made a paydown of \$5.1 million on the Bayport Commons variable rate loan;
- The maturity date of the construction loan on the South Elgin Commons property was extended to September 2013 at an interest rate of LIBOR + 325 basis points. The Company funded a \$1.6 million paydown with cash and borrowings on the unsecured revolving credit facility;
- The maturity date of the variable rate loan on the Shops at Rivers Edge property was extended to February 2013 at an interest rate of LIBOR + 400 basis points. The Company funded a \$0.6 million paydown with cash. The Company intends to convert this loan to a construction loan for the redevelopment of the asset;
-

The maturity date of the construction loan on the Cobblestone Plaza property was extended to February 2013 at an interest rate of LIBOR + 350 basis points. The Company funded a \$2.9 million paydown with cash and borrowings on the unsecured revolving credit facility; and

- The Company made principal payments totaling \$3.7 million.

Unsecured Revolving Credit Facility and Unsecured Term Loan

As of September 30, 2010, the unsecured revolving credit facility (the “unsecured facility”) was scheduled to mature on February 20, 2011, with a one-year extension option to February 20, 2012 (subject to certain customary conditions, including continued compliance with restrictive covenants). In October 2010, the Company exercised the one-year extension option on the unsecured facility. As a result, the unsecured facility now matures in February 2012. See “Note 12, Subsequent Events.” The unsecured term loan (the “Term Loan”) has a maturity date of July 15, 2011.

The amount that the Company may borrow under the unsecured facility is based on the value of assets in its unencumbered property pool. As of September 30, 2010, the Company has 51 unencumbered properties and other assets used to calculate the value of the unencumbered property pool, of which 47 are wholly owned and four are owned through joint ventures. As of September 30, 2010, the total amount available for borrowing under the unsecured credit facility was \$42.5 million.

Table of Contents

The Operating Partnership's ability to borrow further amounts under the unsecured facility and the Term Loan is subject to ongoing compliance by the Company, the Operating Partnership and their subsidiaries with various restrictive covenants, including those with respect to liens, indebtedness, investments, dividends, mergers and asset sales. The unsecured facility and the Term Loan also require the Company to satisfy certain financial covenants.

Fair Value of Fixed and Variable Rate Debt

As of September 30, 2010, the fair value of fixed rate debt was \$315.5 million compared to the book value of \$296.6 million. The fair value was estimated using cash flows discounted at current borrowing rates for similar instruments which ranged from 3.11% to 5.09%. As of September 30, 2010, the fair value of variable rate debt was \$359.7 million compared to the book value of \$371.9 million. The fair value was estimated using cash flows discounted at current borrowing rates for similar instruments which ranged from 3.26% to 7.90%.

Note 6. Shareholders' Equity

On September 17, 2010, the Company's Board of Trustees declared a cash distribution of \$0.06 per common share for the third quarter of 2010. Simultaneously, the Company's Board of Trustees declared a cash distribution of \$0.06 per Operating Partnership unit for the same period. These distributions were paid on October 13, 2010 to shareholders and unitholders of record as of October 6, 2010.

In February 2010, the Compensation Committee of the Company's Board of Trustees approved long-term equity incentive compensation awards totaling approximately 124,000 restricted shares and 146,000 share options to management and other employees. The restricted shares were granted at a fair value of \$4.15 and will vest ratably over a period of three to five years beginning on the first anniversary date of the grant. The share options were issued with an exercise price of \$4.15 and will vest ratably over five years beginning on the first anniversary date of the grant. The fair value of the options was determined using the Black-Scholes valuation methodology.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively, 105,000 and 115,000 Operating Partnership units were exchanged for the same number of common shares.

Note 7. Derivative Instruments, Hedging Activities and Other Comprehensive Income

The Company is exposed to capital market risk, including changes in interest rates. In order to manage volatility relating to variable interest rate risk, the Company enters into interest rate hedging transactions from time to time. The Company does not use derivatives for trading or speculative purposes nor does the Company currently have any derivatives that are not designated as cash flow hedges. The Company has agreements with each of its derivative counterparties that contain a provision that if the Company defaults on any of its indebtedness, including a default where repayment of the indebtedness has not been accelerated by the lender, then the Company could also be declared in default on its derivative obligations. As of September 30, 2010, the Company was party to various consolidated cash flow hedge agreements with notional amounts totaling \$219.4 million, which effectively fix certain variable rate debt at interest rates ranging from 2.98% to 6.56% and mature over various terms through 2017.

These interest rate hedge agreements are the only assets or liabilities that the Company records at fair value on a recurring basis. The valuation is determined using widely accepted techniques including discounted cash flow analysis, which considers the contractual terms of the derivatives (including the period to maturity) and uses observable market-based inputs such as interest rate curves and implied volatilities. The Company also incorporates credit valuation adjustments to reflect both its own nonperformance risk and the respective counterparty's

nonperformance risk in the fair value measurements.

As a basis for considering market participant assumptions in fair value measurements, accounting guidance establishes a fair value hierarchy that distinguishes between market participant assumptions based on market data obtained from sources independent of the reporting entity (observable inputs for identical instruments that are classified within Level 1 and observable inputs for similar instruments that are classified within Level 2) and the reporting entity's own assumptions about market participant assumptions (unobservable inputs classified within Level 3). In instances where the determination of the fair value measurement is based on inputs from different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the entire fair value measurement falls is based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The Company's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, and considers factors specific to the asset or liability.

12

Table of Contents

Although the Company has determined that the majority of the inputs used to value its derivatives fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, the credit valuation adjustments associated with its derivatives utilize Level 3 inputs, such as estimates of current credit spreads to evaluate the likelihood of default by itself and its counterparties. However, as of September 30, 2010, the Company has assessed the significance of the impact of the credit valuation adjustments on the overall valuation of its derivative positions and has determined that the credit valuation adjustments are not significant to the overall valuation of its derivatives. As a result, the Company has determined that its derivative valuations are classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

At September 30, 2010 the fair value of the Company's interest rate hedge liabilities was \$5.9 million, including accrued interest of \$0.5 million, and was recorded in accounts payable and accrued expenses on the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet. At December 31, 2009 the fair value of the Company's interest rate hedge liabilities was \$7.0 million, including accrued interest of \$0.5 million, and was recorded in accounts payable and accrued expenses on the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet.

The Company currently expects an increase to interest expense of \$4.5 million as the hedged forecasted interest payments occur. No hedge ineffectiveness on cash flow hedges was recognized by the Company during any period presented. Amounts reported in accumulated other comprehensive income related to derivatives will be reclassified to earnings over time as the hedged items are recognized in earnings during the remainder of 2010 and the first nine months of 2011. During the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, \$1.8 million and \$1.7 million, respectively, was reclassified as a reduction to earnings. During the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, \$5.3 million and \$4.7 million, respectively, was reclassified as a reduction to earnings.

The Company's share of net unrealized gains (losses) on its interest rate hedge agreements are the only components of its accumulated other comprehensive loss. The following sets forth comprehensive loss allocable to the Company for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009:

| | Three months ended September 30, | | Nine months ended September 30, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------------|----------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Net loss attributable to Kite Realty Group Trust | \$ (2,389,954) | \$ (3,383,370) | \$ (7,485,189) | \$ (2,425,043) |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) allocable to | | | | |
| Kite Realty Group Trust ¹ | 510,925 | (76,610) | 936,375 | 1,033,666 |
| Comprehensive loss attributable to Kite Realty Group Trust | \$ (1,879,029) | \$ (3,459,980) | \$ (6,548,814) | \$ (1,391,377) |

1 Reflects the Company's share of the net change in the fair value of derivative instruments accounted for as cash flow hedges.

Note 8. Redevelopment Activities

During the second quarter of 2010, the Company completed plans for its redevelopment projects at Shops at Rivers Edge and Coral Springs Plaza. As part of finalizing its plans, the Company reduced the estimated useful lives of certain assets that are scheduled to be or have been demolished. As a result of this change in estimate, a total of \$2.4 million and \$5.8 million of additional depreciation was recognized in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. On a per-share basis, the effect of accelerated depreciation reduced earnings per share by \$0.04

and \$0.09 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. Additional discussion of these redevelopment projects follows:

Shops at Rivers Edge, Indianapolis, Indiana

The former anchor tenant's lease at this property expired on March 31, 2010. The Company has secured Nordstrom Rack, the Container Store, and Buy Buy Baby as new anchor tenants for this property. The renovations to accommodate these new tenants began in the third quarter of 2010 with expected delivery in the first half of 2011. The Company currently anticipates its incremental investment in the redevelopment at the Shops at Rivers Edge will be \$15.5 million, which may increase depending on

Table of Contents

the outcome of current negotiations with additional tenants. The Company recognized \$1.9 million and \$4.8 million of additional depreciation related to this property in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively.

Coral Springs Plaza, Boca Raton, Florida

Toys “R” Us/Babies “R” Us has executed a lease with the Company to occupy the entire center, which was formerly anchored by Circuit City. This new tenant is expected to open during the fourth quarter of 2010. The Company currently anticipates its incremental investment in the redevelopment at Coral Springs Plaza will be \$4.5 million. The Company recognized \$0.5 million and \$1.0 million of additional depreciation related to this property in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively.

Note 9. Segment Data

The operations of the Company are aligned into two business segments: (1) real estate operations and (2) development, construction and advisory services. Segment data of the Company for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 are as follows:

| Three Months Ended September 30, 2010 | Real Estate Operations | Development, Construction and Advisory Services | Subtotal | Intersegment Eliminations | Total |
|---|---------------------------|--|------------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| Revenues | \$ 24,004,078 | \$ 2,605,317 | \$ 26,609,395 | \$ (1,453,539) | \$ 25,155,856 |
| Operating expenses, cost of construction and services, general, administrative and other | 8,901,375 | 2,620,079 | 11,521,454 | (1,459,696) | 10,061,758 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 10,686,079 | 45,059 | 10,731,138 | — | 10,731,138 |
| Operating income | 4,416,624 | (59,821) | 4,356,803 | 6,157 | 4,362,960 |
| Interest expense | (7,087,850) | (34,638) | (7,122,488) | 143,721 | (6,978,767) |
| Income tax expense of taxable REIT subsidiary | — | (80,954) | (80,954) | — | (80,954) |
| Loss from unconsolidated entities | (1,847) | — | (1,847) | — | (1,847) |
| Other income | 197,378 | (24) | 197,354 | (143,721) | 53,633 |
| Consolidated net loss | (2,475,695) | (175,437) | (2,651,132) | 6,157 | (2,644,975) |
| Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interests | 234,415 | 21,287 | 255,702 | (681) | 255,021 |
| Net loss attributable to Kite Realty Group Trust | \$ (2,241,280) | \$ (154,150) | \$ (2,395,430) | \$ 5,476 | \$ (2,389,954) |
| Total assets at September 30, 2010 | \$ 1,134,447,193 | \$ 17,258,358 | \$ 1,151,705,551 | \$ (18,486,392) | \$ 1,133,219,159 |

Edgar Filing: KITE REALTY GROUP TRUST - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

| Three Months Ended September 30, 2009 | Real Estate Operations | Development, Construction and Advisory Services | Subtotal | Intersegment Eliminations | Total |
|---|---------------------------|--|------------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| Revenues | \$ 23,362,307 | \$ 7,663,759 | \$ 31,026,066 | \$ (5,317,469) | \$ 25,708,597 |
| Operating expenses, cost of construction and services, general, administrative and other | 7,647,896 | 8,140,075 | 15,787,971 | (5,130,026) | 10,657,945 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 7,682,049 | 43,778 | 7,725,827 | — | 7,725,827 |
| Operating income (loss) | 8,032,362 | (520,094) | 7,512,268 | (187,443) | 7,324,825 |
| Interest expense | (6,861,587) | (72,027) | (6,933,614) | 117,827 | (6,815,787) |
| Income tax benefit of taxable REIT subsidiary | — | 80,714 | 80,714 | — | 80,714 |
| Income from unconsolidated entities | 54,047 | — | 54,047 | 19,477 | 73,524 |
| Non-cash gain from consolidation of subsidiary | 1,634,876 | — | 1,634,876 | — | 1,634,876 |
| Other income | 178,618 | 915 | 179,533 | (137,304) | 42,229 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | 3,038,316 | (510,492) | 2,527,824 | (187,443) | 2,340,381 |
| Operating loss from discontinued operations | (231,261) | — | (231,261) | — | (231,261) |
| Non-cash loss on impairment of real estate asset | (5,384,747) | — | (5,384,747) | — | (5,384,747) |
| Loss from discontinued operations | (5,616,008) | — | (5,616,008) | — | (5,616,008) |
| Consolidated net loss | (2,577,692) | (510,492) | (3,088,184) | (187,443) | (3,275,627) |
| Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests | (211,066) | 75,574 | (135,492) | 27,749 | (107,743) |
| Net loss attributable to Kite Realty Group Trust | \$ (2,788,758) | \$ (434,918) | \$ (3,223,676) | \$ (159,694) | \$ (3,383,370) |
| Total assets at September 30, 2009 | \$ 1,146,428,106 | \$ 30,826,625 | \$ 1,177,254,731 | \$ (26,901,952) | \$ 1,150,352,779 |

| Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 | Real Estate Operations | Development, Construction and Advisory Services | Subtotal | Intersegment Eliminations | Total |
|---|---------------------------|--|---------------|------------------------------|---------------|
| Revenues | \$ 70,924,295 | \$ 10,222,316 | \$ 81,146,611 | \$ (5,634,005) | \$ 75,512,606 |
| | 26,562,405 | 10,036,741 | 36,599,146 | (5,663,322) | 30,935,824 |

Edgar Filing: KITE REALTY GROUP TRUST - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|--|------------------|---------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Operating expenses, cost of construction and services, general, administrative and other | | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 31,305,267 | 136,116 | 31,441,383 | — | 31,441,383 |
| Operating income | 13,056,623 | 49,459 | 13,106,082 | 29,317 | 13,135,399 |
| Interest expense | (21,632,021) | (130,538) | (21,762,559) | 449,191 | (21,313,368) |
| Income tax expense of taxable REIT subsidiary | — | (234,054) | (234,054) | — | (234,054) |
| Loss from unconsolidated entities | (100,442) | — | (100,442) | — | (100,442) |
| Other income | 627,167 | 8,217 | 635,384 | (449,191) | 186,193 |
| Consolidated net loss | (8,048,673) | (306,916) | (8,355,589) | 29,317 | (8,326,272) |
| Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interests | 797,857 | 46,490 | 844,347 | (3,264) | 841,083 |
| Net loss attributable to Kite Realty Group Trust | \$ (7,250,816) | \$ (260,426) | \$ (7,511,242) | \$ 26,053 | \$ (7,485,189) |
| Total assets at September 30, 2010 | \$ 1,134,447,193 | \$ 17,258,358 | \$ 1,151,705,551 | \$ (18,486,392) | \$ 1,133,219,159 |

Table of Contents

| Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009 | Real Estate Operations | Development, Construction and Advisory Services | Subtotal | Intersegment Eliminations | Total |
|---|---------------------------|--|------------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| Revenues | \$ 72,003,441 | \$ 35,640,745 | \$ 107,644,186 | \$ (21,636,920) | \$ 86,007,266 |
| Operating expenses, cost of construction and services, general, administrative and other | 24,443,286 | 36,544,331 | 60,987,617 | (21,426,883) | 39,560,734 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 23,731,483 | 133,819 | 23,865,302 | — | 23,865,302 |
| Operating income (loss) | 23,828,672 | (1,037,405) | 22,791,267 | (210,037) | 22,581,230 |
| Interest expense | (20,835,719) | (90,022) | (20,925,741) | 341,822 | (20,583,919) |
| Income tax benefit of taxable REIT subsidiary | — | 29,529 | 29,529 | — | 29,529 |
| Income from unconsolidated entities | 206,564 | — | 206,564 | 19,477 | 226,041 |
| Non-cash gain from consolidation of subsidiary | 1,634,876 | — | 1,634,876 | — | 1,634,876 |
| Other income | 486,724 | 1,310 | 488,034 | (361,299) | 126,735 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | 5,321,117 | (1,096,588) | 4,224,529 | (210,037) | 4,014,492 |
| Operating loss from discontinued operations | (714,007) | — | (714,007) | — | (714,007) |
| Non-cash loss on impairment of real estate asset | (5,384,747) | — | (5,384,747) | — | (5,384,747) |
| Loss from discontinued operations | (6,098,754) | — | (6,098,754) | — | (6,098,754) |
| Consolidated net loss | (777,637) | (1,096,588) | (1,874,225) | (210,037) | (2,084,262) |
| Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests | (526,322) | 155,716 | (370,606) | 29,825 | (340,781) |
| Net loss attributable to Kite Realty Group Trust | \$ (1,303,959) | \$ (940,872) | \$ (2,244,831) | \$ (180,212) | \$ (2,425,043) |
| Total assets at September 30, 2009 | \$ 1,146,428,106 | \$ 30,826,625 | \$ 1,177,254,731 | \$ (26,901,952) | \$ 1,150,352,779 |

Note 10. Commitments and Contingencies

Eddy Street Commons at Notre Dame

Eddy Street Commons at the University of Notre Dame, located adjacent to the university in South Bend, Indiana, is the Company's most significant in-process development project. This multi-phase project includes retail, office,

hotels, a parking garage, apartments, and residential units. The Company wholly owns the retail and office components while other components are or are expected to be owned by third parties or through joint ventures. The initial phase of the project partially opened in late 2009 and consists of the retail, office and apartment and residential units. The ground beneath the initial phase of the development is leased from the University of Notre Dame over a 75 year term at a fixed rate for the first two years and based on a percentage of certain revenues thereafter. A limited service hotel, which is owned by an unconsolidated joint venture in which the Company holds a 50% interest, opened in June 2010.

The City of South Bend has contributed \$35 million to the development, funded by tax increment financing (TIF) bonds issued by the City and a cash commitment from the City, both of which were used for the construction of the parking garage and infrastructure improvements to this project. The majority of the bonds are expected to be funded by real estate tax payments made by the Company and subject to reimbursement from the tenants of the property; however, the Company has no obligation to repay or guarantee the bonds. If there are delays in the development, the Company is obligated to pay certain fees. However, the Company has an agreement with the City of South Bend to limit its exposure to a maximum of \$1 million as to such fees. In addition, the Company will not be in default concerning other obligations under the agreement with the City of South Bend as long as it commences and diligently pursues the completion of its obligations under that agreement.

Although the Company does not expect to own either the residential or the apartment complex components of the project, the Company has jointly guaranteed the apartment developer's construction loan, which at September 30, 2010, had an outstanding balance of \$30.3 million. The Company also has a contractual obligation in the form of a completion guarantee to the University of Notre Dame and a similar agreement in favor of the City of South Bend to complete all phases of the \$200 million project (the Company's assumed portion of which is approximately \$64 million), with the exception of certain of the residential units, consistent with commitments the Company typically makes in connection with other bank-funded development projects. To the extent the hotel joint venture partner, the apartment developer/owner or the residential developer/owner fail to complete those aspects of the project, the Company will be required to complete the construction, at which time the Company would expect to have the right to seek title to the assets and assume any construction borrowings related to the assets. The Company will have certain remedies against the developers if they were to fail to complete the construction. If the Company fails to fulfill its

Table of Contents

contractual obligations in connection with the project, but is timely commencing and pursuing a cure, it will not be in default to either the University of Notre Dame and the City of South Bend.

Joint Venture Indebtedness

Joint venture debt is the liability of the joint venture and is typically secured by the assets of the joint venture under circumstances where the lender has limited recourse to the Company. As of September 30, 2010, the Company's share of unconsolidated joint venture indebtedness was \$17.0 million, \$13.5 million of which was related to the Parkside Town Commons development. The remaining \$3.5 million represents the Company's share of the \$7.0 million drawn on the Eddy Street Commons limited service hotel construction loan.

As of September 30, 2010, the Operating Partnership had guaranteed its \$13.5 million share of the unconsolidated joint venture debt related to the Parkside Town Commons development in the event the joint venture partnership defaults under the terms of the underlying arrangement. Mortgages which are guaranteed by the Operating Partnership are secured by the property of the joint venture and the joint venture could sell the property if required to satisfy the outstanding obligation.

Other Commitments and Contingencies

The Company is party to various actions representing routine litigation and administrative proceedings arising out of the ordinary course of business. None of these actions are expected to have a material adverse effect on our condensed consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows taken as a whole.

As of September 30, 2010, the Company had outstanding letters of credit totaling \$5.2 million. At that date, there were no amounts advanced against these instruments.

Note 11. Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 167, "Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R)," which is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2009 and introduces a more qualitative approach to evaluating VIEs for consolidation. This provision was primarily codified into Topic 810 – "Consolidation" in the ASC and requires a company to perform an analysis to determine whether its variable interest gives it a controlling financial interest in a VIE. This analysis identifies the primary beneficiary of a VIE as the entity that has (i) the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance, and (ii) the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits that could potentially be significant to the VIE. In determining whether it has the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly affect the VIE's performance, the provision requires a company to assess whether it has an implicit financial responsibility to ensure that a VIE operates as designed. It also requires continuous reassessment of primary beneficiary status rather than periodic, event-driven assessments as previously required, and incorporates expanded disclosure requirements. The adoption of this provision on January 1, 2010 had no impact on the Company's determination of the primary beneficiary of its VIEs. Thus, the adoption did not impact the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements.

Note 12. Subsequent Events

In October 2010, the Company placed a \$2.1 million letter of credit on one of its operating properties. No amount was advanced against this instrument.

Edgar Filing: KITE REALTY GROUP TRUST - Form 10-Q

In October 2010, in accordance with terms of the Credit Agreement, the Company paid \$300,000 to exercise its option to extend the maturity date of the unsecured facility from February 20, 2011 to February 20, 2012.

Table of Contents

Cautionary Note About Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, together with other statements and information publicly disseminated by Kite Realty Group Trust (the “Company”), contains certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Such statements are based on assumptions and expectations that may not be realized and are inherently subject to risks, uncertainties and other factors, many of which cannot be predicted with accuracy and some of which cannot be anticipated. Future events and actual results, performance, transactions or achievements, financial or otherwise, may differ materially from the results, performance, transactions or achievements, financial or otherwise, expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. Risks, uncertainties and other factors that might cause such differences, some of which could be material, include but are not limited to:

- national and local economic, business, real estate and other market conditions, particularly in light of the current economic challenges;
 - financing risks, including the availability of and costs associated with sources of liquidity;
 - the Company’s ability to refinance, or extend the maturity dates of, its indebtedness;
 - the level and volatility of interest rates;
- the financial stability of tenants, including their ability to pay rent and the risk of tenant bankruptcies;
 - the competitive environment in which the Company operates;
 - acquisition, disposition, development and joint venture risks;
 - property ownership and management risks;
- the Company’s ability to maintain its status as a real estate investment trust (“REIT”) for federal income tax purposes;
 - potential environmental and other liabilities;
 - impairment in the value of real estate property the Company owns;
- risks related to the geographical concentration of our properties in Indiana, Florida and Texas;
 - other factors affecting the real estate industry generally; and
- other uncertainties and factors identified in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and, from time to time, in other reports we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”) or in other documents that we publicly disseminate, including, in particular, the section titled “Risk Factors” in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009, and in our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q.

The Company undertakes no obligation to publicly update or revise these forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion should be read in connection with the accompanying historical financial statements and related notes thereto. In this discussion, unless the context suggests otherwise, references to "our Company," "we," "us" and "our" mean Kite Realty Group Trust and its subsidiaries.

Overview

Our Business and Properties

Kite Realty Group Trust, through its majority-owned subsidiary, Kite Realty Group, L.P., is engaged in the ownership, operation, management, leasing, acquisition, construction, redevelopment, and development of neighborhood and community shopping centers and certain commercial real estate properties in selected markets in the United States. We derive revenues primarily from rents and reimbursement payments received from tenants under existing leases at each of our properties. We also derive revenues from providing management, leasing, real estate development, construction, and real estate advisory services through our taxable REIT subsidiary. Our operating results therefore depend materially on the ability of our tenants to make required rental payments, our ability to provide such services to third parties, conditions in the U.S. retail sector, and overall real estate market conditions.

As of September 30, 2010, we owned interests in a portfolio of 60 properties including 51 retail operating properties totaling 7.9 million square feet of gross leasable area (including non-owned anchor space), five retail properties under redevelopment totaling 0.5 million square feet of gross leasable area and four operating commercial properties totaling 0.5 million square feet of net rentable area. Also, as of September 30, 2010, we had an interest in two in-process development properties which, upon completion, are anticipated to have 0.6 million square feet of gross leasable area (including non-owned anchor space).

In addition to our in-process developments and redevelopments, we have future developments which include land parcels that are undergoing pre-development activity and are in various stages of preparation for construction to commence, including pre-leasing activity and negotiations for third party financing. As of September 30, 2010, these future developments consisted of six projects that are expected to contain 2.8 million square feet of total gross leasable area upon completion.

Finally, as of September 30, 2010, we also owned interests in other land parcels comprising approximately 93 acres that may be used for future expansion of existing properties, development of new retail or commercial properties or sold to third parties. These land parcels are classified as "Land held for development" in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet.

Current Business Environment

Global economic and financial uncertainty persisted into the third quarter of 2010, and a relatively low level of consumer spending continued to adversely impact the businesses of our retail tenants and, in turn, our business. These conditions may continue to impact our business in, among others, the following ways: higher than normal tenant bankruptcies; curtailment of our tenants' operations; delay or postponement by current or potential tenants from entering into long-term leases with us; decreased demand for retail space; difficulty in collecting rent; our need to make rent adjustments and concessions; our outlay of additional capital to prepare a tenant to open for business; and termination of leases with us by our tenants.

We believe the difficult economic conditions experienced by both our tenants and by us during the third quarter of 2010 may continue through the year and possibly beyond. While it is not clear how long these conditions will last, we believe that meaningful job growth (and a consequential increase in consumer spending) may not develop until the

later stages of a broad economic recovery, although certain of our markets may begin to experience job growth sooner than the nation as a whole. Despite these difficult economic conditions, during the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we experienced an increase in interest by national and local businesses in leasing space in our shopping centers. For the first nine months of 2010, we executed a total of approximately 910,000 square feet of new and renewal leases compared to approximately 500,000 square feet in the same period of the prior year. We believe there will continue to be additional leasing opportunities during the remainder of 2010, particularly as tenants seek to lease new or renew existing space in connection with lease expirations, expansions, and other considerations.

As noted above, the current economic downturn has continued into the third quarter and it is uncertain when or if economic conditions will improve to levels of several years ago. Therefore, we continually monitor events and changes in circumstances that could indicate the carrying value of our real estate assets may not be recoverable, and we perform a review of our operating and development properties for impairment on a quarterly basis. While the ongoing challenging market conditions could require

Table of Contents

us to recognize an impairment charge with respect to one or more of our properties in the future, there were no properties impaired as of September 30, 2010.

Ongoing Actions Taken to Address Challenging Business Environment

During the third quarter of 2010, we continued to undertake several previously announced initiatives to strengthen our balance sheet and to successfully execute our business plan in light of the challenging economic conditions in the U.S., including:

Address Near-Term Maturities. All of our 2010 term maturities have been refinanced or extended beyond December 31, 2010. We continue to seek to refinance or extend our indebtedness maturing in 2011 and beyond. As of September 30, 2010, we had a total of \$272.6 million of debt, including our share of unconsolidated debt of \$13.5 million, with scheduled maturity dates in 2011. A significant portion of our consolidated 2011 debt maturities as of September 30, 2010 consisted of our unsecured revolving credit facility (the “unsecured facility”) and unsecured term loan (the “Term Loan”), which had outstanding balances of approximately \$105 million and \$55 million, respectively, as of that date. Our Term Loan matures in July 2011 and our unsecured facility was scheduled to mature in February 2011. In October 2010, we exercised an option to extend the maturity date of our unsecured facility to February 2012, which reduced our total 2011 maturities to \$167.8 million.

With regard to our \$55 million Term Loan, we have had ongoing discussions with lenders as part of the implementation of a longer-term strategy to pay off all or a portion of the Term Loan and reduce our reliance on debt with short-term maturities. We may also seek to access the capital markets, including common or preferred shares available on our effective shelf registration statement, to raise proceeds to repay all or a portion of our Term Loan, or utilize the availability under our unsecured facility and a portion of our cash balances to repay a portion of this debt.

The remaining \$112.8 million of our 2011 debt maturities consists of property-level debt, of which \$45 million have automatic maturity extensions of one year, subject to certain customary conditions. We intend to extend the maturity dates on all of the debt subject to automatic extensions, and we currently believe that all of the conditions necessary for such extensions will be met. With respect to the remaining \$67.8 million, we are pursuing other financing alternatives to enable us to repay, refinance or extend the maturity dates of these loans.

We cannot, however, provide assurance that we will successfully address all of our 2011 debt maturities on favorable terms or at all. Failure to comply with our obligations under our unsecured facility, Term Loan and various other loan agreements (including our payment obligations) could cause an event of default under such debt, which, among other things, could result in the loss of title to assets securing such loans, the acceleration of principal and interest payments or the termination of the debt facilities, or exposure to the risk of foreclosure. In addition, certain of our variable rate loans and construction loans contain cross-default provisions which provide that a violation by the Company of any financial covenant set forth in our unsecured facility agreement will constitute an event of default under the loans, which could allow the lending institutions to accelerate the amounts due under the loans. See “Item 1.A Risk Factors – Risks Related to Our Operations” in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for more information related to the risks associated with our indebtedness.

Reduce Development and Construction Activity. We have reduced our construction and development activity, and no new development projects were commenced by us in 2009 or thus far in 2010. For a discussion of our ongoing and planned development and redevelopment projects, see “Liquidity and Capital Resources – Short and Long-Term Liquidity Requirements.”

Reduce Overhead. We continue to seek to achieve overhead and other cost savings to help preserve capital and improve liquidity.

Access the Capital Markets. We will continue to monitor the capital markets and may consider raising additional capital through the issuance of our common shares, preferred shares or other securities.

Monitor Our Cash Distribution Policy. In May 2009, our Board of Trustees reduced our quarterly cash distribution to \$0.06 per common share. The reduced distribution of \$0.06 per share has been maintained in each subsequent quarter including the quarter ended September 30, 2010. The lowering of our distributions has allowed us to conserve cash to fund working capital and for other general corporate purposes. Each quarter, we discuss with our Board our liquidity requirements and other relevant factors before the Board determines whether and in what amount to declare a cash distribution.

Continued Focus on Leasing. We continued to implement several initiatives to improve the execution of our leasing strategy. During the third quarter of 2010, we executed new and renewal leases totaling approximately 349,000 square feet.

Table of Contents

Results of Operations

At September 30, 2010 and 2009, we owned interests in 60 properties consisting of 51 retail operating properties, five retail properties under redevelopment, four operating commercial properties. As of this date, we also owned interests in two retail properties under development. Of the 62 total properties held at September 30, 2010, the limited service hotel component of the development at Eddy Street Commons in South Bend, Indiana was owned through an unconsolidated joint venture and accounted for under the equity method.

The comparability of results of operations is affected by our development, redevelopment, and operating property acquisition and disposition activities in 2009 and 2010. Therefore, we believe it is most useful to review the comparisons of our 2009 and 2010 results of operations in conjunction with the discussion of our significant development, redevelopment, and operating property acquisition and disposition activities during those periods, which such discussion is set forth directly below.

Development Activities

The following in-process development properties were partially operational at various times from January 1, 2009 through September 30, 2010:

| Property Name | MSA | Economic Occupancy Date ¹ | Owned GLA |
|------------------------------|--------------------|---|-----------|
| Eddy Street Commons, Phase I | South Bend, IN | September 2009 | 165,000 |
| South Elgin Commons | Chicago, IL | June 2009 | 45,000 |
| Cobblestone Plaza | Ft. Lauderdale, FL | March 2009 | 157,957 |

¹ Represents the date in which we started receiving rental payments under tenant leases at the property or phases of the property or the tenant took possession of the property, whichever occurred first.

Redevelopment Activities

The following properties were in redevelopment status at various times during the period from January 1, 2009 through September 30, 2010:

| Property Name | MSA | Transition Date ¹ | Owned GLA |
|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|
| Coral Springs Plaza | Ft. Lauderdale, Florida | March 2009 | 45,906 |
| Courthouse Shadows | Naples, Florida | September 2008 | 134,867 |
| Four Corner Square | Maple Valley, Washington | September 2008 | 29,177 |
| Bolton Plaza | Jacksonville, Florida | June 2008 | 172,938 |
| Shops at Rivers Edge | Indianapolis, Indiana | June 2008 | 110,875 |

¹ Transition date represents the date the property was transferred from our operating portfolio to our redevelopment projects.

Other Activities

Edgar Filing: KITE REALTY GROUP TRUST - Form 10-Q

In the third quarter of 2009, we paid off a third party loan on The Centre, an operating property and previously unconsolidated entity, and contributed \$2.1 million of capital to the entity. In accordance with the provisions of Topic 810 – “Consolidation” of the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Accounting Standards Codification, the financial statements of The Centre were consolidated as of September 30, 2009, and its assets and liabilities were recorded at fair value.

In the fourth quarter of 2009, we transferred our Galleria Plaza operating property in Dallas, Texas to the ground lessor. We had recognized a non-cash impairment charge of \$5.4 million to write off the net book value of the property in the third quarter of 2009. Galleria Plaza’s operating results have been reclassified and are reflected as discontinued operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009.

Edgar Filing: KITE REALTY GROUP TRUST - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

Comparison of Operating Results for the Three Months Ended September 30, 2010 to the Three Months Ended September 30, 2009

The following table reflects our consolidated statements of operations for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 (unaudited):

| | 2010 | 2009 | Net change 2010 to 2009 |
|--|------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|
| Revenue: | | | |
| Rental income (including tenant reimbursements) | \$ 22,538,256 | \$ 21,997,331 | \$ 540,925 |
| Other property related revenue | 1,346,672 | 1,027,057 | 319,615 |
| Construction and service fee revenue | 1,270,928 | 2,684,209 | (1,413,281) |
| Total Revenue | 25,155,856 | 25,708,597 | (552,741) |
| Expenses: | | | |
| Property operating expense | 4,496,055 | 4,210,950 | 285,105 |
| Real estate taxes | 3,158,006 | 2,677,703 | 480,303 |
| Cost of construction and services | 1,147,383 | 2,381,885 | (1,234,502) |
| General, administrative, and other | 1,260,314 | 1,387,407 | (127,093) |
| Depreciation and amortization | 10,731,138 | 7,725,827 | 3,005,311 |
| Total Expenses | 20,792,896 | 18,383,772 | 2,409,124 |
| Operating income | 4,362,960 | 7,324,825 | (2,961,865) |
| Interest expense | (6,978,767) | (6,815,787) | (162,980) |
| Income tax (expense) benefit of taxable REIT subsidiary | (80,954) | 80,714 | (161,668) |
| (Loss) income from unconsolidated entities | (1,847) | 73,524 | (75,371) |
| Non-cash gain from consolidation of subsidiary | — | 1,634,876 | (1,634,876) |
| Other income | 53,633 | 42,229 | 11,404 |
| (Loss) income from continuing operations | (2,644,975) | 2,340,381 | (4,985,356) |
| Discontinued operations | | | |
| Operating loss from discontinued operations | — | (231,261) | 231,261 |
| Non-cash loss on impairment of real estate asset | — | (5,384,747) | 5,384,747 |
| Loss from discontinued operations | — | (5,616,008) | 5,616,008 |
| Consolidated net loss | (2,644,975) | (3,275,627) | 630,652 |
| Net loss (income) attributable to noncontrolling interests | 255,021 | (107,743) | 362,764 |
| Net loss attributable to Kite Realty Group Trust | \$ (2,389,954) | \$ (3,383,370) | \$ 993,416 |

Rental income (including tenant reimbursements) increased \$0.5 million, or 2.5%, due to the following:

| | Net change 2010 to 2009 |
|--|----------------------------|
| Development properties that became operational or were partially operational in 2009 and/or 2010 | \$ 592,733 |
| Consolidation of The Centre | 369,253 |
| Properties under redevelopment during 2009 and/or 2010 | (194,812) |
| Properties fully operational during 2009 and 2010 and other | (226,249) |
| Total | \$ 540,925 |

Excluding the changes due to transitioned development properties, the consolidation of The Centre, and the properties under redevelopment, the net \$0.2 million decrease in rental income relates primarily to the decrease in tenant reimbursements. Tenant reimbursements declined as a result of a reduction in recoverable property operating expenses.

Table of Contents

Other property related revenue primarily consists of parking revenues, overage rent, lease settlement income and gains related to land sales. This revenue increased \$0.3 million, or 31%, primarily as a result of a \$0.2 million increase in gains related to land and outlot sales and a \$0.1 million increase in lease termination income and parking revenue.

Construction revenue and service fees decreased \$1.4 million, or 53%, primarily as a result of a decline in third party construction contracts and construction management fees due to the economic downturn and our strategic decision to reduce third party construction activity, as discussed above.

Property operating expenses increased \$0.3 million, or 7%, due to the following:

| | Net change 2010 to 2009 |
|--|----------------------------|
| Development properties that became operational or were partially operational in 2009 and/or 2010 | \$ 230,433 |
| Consolidation of The Centre | 95,068 |
| Properties under redevelopment during 2009 and/or 2010 | (20,041) |
| Properties fully operational during 2009 and 2010 and other | (20,355) |
| Total | \$ 285,105 |

Real estate taxes increased \$0.5 million, or 18%, due to the following:

| | Net change 2010 to 2009 |
|--|----------------------------|
| Development properties that became operational or were partially operational in 2009 and/or 2010 | \$ 222,967 |
| Consolidation of The Centre | 43,353 |
| Properties under redevelopment during 2009 and/or 2010 | 20,371 |
| Properties fully operational during 2009 and 2010 and other | 193,612 |
| Total | \$ 480,303 |

Excluding the changes due to transitioned development properties, the consolidation of The Centre, and the properties under redevelopment, the net \$0.2 million increase in real estate taxes was primarily due to the timing of the reassessments of our operating properties and the effects of successful appeals of these assessments. The majority of increases and decreases in our real estate tax expense from increased assessments and subsequent appeals is recoverable from (or reimbursable to) tenants and, therefore, reflected in tenant reimbursement revenue. However, a portion of the increase in real estate taxes in the third quarter of 2010 was unrecoverable due to cap limits and lower occupancy at certain properties.

Cost of construction and services decreased \$1.2 million, or 52%, primarily as a result of a decline in third party construction contracts and construction management fees due to the economic downturn and our strategic decision to reduce third party construction activity, as discussed above.

General, administrative and other expenses decreased \$0.1 million, or 9%, due to declines in personnel-related expenses and various costs of operating as a public company, consistent with our strategy to reduce overhead as discussed above.

Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$3.0 million, or 39%, due to the following:

Table of Contents

| | Net change 2010 to 2009 |
|--|----------------------------|
| Development properties that became operational or were partially operational in 2009 and/or 2010 | \$ 309,820 |
| Consolidation of The Centre | 199,293 |
| Properties under redevelopment during 2009 and/or 2010 | 2,332,880 |
| Properties fully operational during 2009 and 2010 and other | 163,318 |
| Total | \$ 3,005,311 |

Of the \$3.0 million total increase in depreciation and amortization expense, \$2.4 million was due to additional depreciation on the Coral Springs Plaza and Shops at Rivers Edge redevelopment properties. Redevelopment plans for these properties were finalized during the second quarter of 2010, resulting in a reduction to the useful lives of certain assets that are scheduled to be demolished. Excluding the changes due to transitioned development properties, the consolidation of The Centre, and the properties under redevelopment, the net \$0.2 million increase in depreciation and amortization expense was primarily due to the higher amounts of accelerated depreciation and amortization of vacated tenant costs related to tenants terminated at our operating properties in the third quarter of 2010 as compared to the same period of the prior year.

Interest expense increased \$0.2 million, or 2%. This increase is primarily due to new borrowings and debt refinanced at higher interest rates since the third quarter of 2009, partially offset by net debt repayments during the same period.

Income tax (expense) benefit changed from a benefit of \$81 thousand in 2009 to an expense of \$81 thousand in 2010. The 2009 benefit resulted from low construction volume in our taxable REIT subsidiary, and the 2010 expense is due to income to our taxable REIT subsidiary related to the sale of residential assets at the Eddy Street Commons development in 2010.

(Loss) income from unconsolidated entities changed from income of \$74 thousand in 2009 to a loss of \$2 thousand in 2010. The \$74 thousand of income in 2009 relates to The Centre operating property, which was consolidated in September 2009. The loss of \$2 thousand in 2010 relates to the limited service hotel at our Eddy Street Commons development property, which opened in June 2010. Our other equity method joint venture is under development and is not yet generating operating results.

The \$1.6 million non-cash gain from consolidation of subsidiary in 2009 was recognized upon the consolidation of The Centre joint venture as of September 30, 2009. Our share of the gain was \$1.0 million.

The \$5.6 million loss from discontinued operations in 2009 relates to the impairment and subsequent transfer of our Galleria Plaza property to the ground lessor.

Net loss (income) attributable to noncontrolling interests changed from income of \$0.1 million in 2009 to a loss of \$0.3 million in 2010. Net loss (income) attributable to noncontrolling interests generally reflects the percentage of the Operating Partnership owned by the limited partners.

Edgar Filing: KITE REALTY GROUP TRUST - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

Comparison of Operating Results for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 to the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009

The following table reflects our consolidated statements of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 (unaudited):

| | 2010 | 2009 | Net change 2010 to 2009 |
|--|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Revenue: | | | |
| Rental income (including tenant reimbursements) | \$ 67,115,960 | \$ 67,024,468 | \$ 91,492 |
| Other property related revenue | 3,295,520 | 4,387,131 | (1,091,611) |
| Construction and service fee revenue | 5,101,126 | 14,595,667 | (9,494,541) |
| Total Revenue | 75,512,606 | 86,007,266 | (10,494,660) |
| Expenses: | | | |
| Property operating expense | 12,804,258 | 13,367,022 | (562,764) |
| Real estate taxes | 9,697,406 | 8,958,326 | 739,080 |
| Cost of construction and services | 4,543,084 | 12,958,935 | (8,415,851) |
| General, administrative, and other | 3,891,076 | 4,276,451 | (385,375) |
| Depreciation and amortization | 31,441,383 | 23,865,302 | 7,576,081 |
| Total Expenses | 62,377,207 | 63,426,036 | (1,048,829) |
| Operating income | 13,135,399 | 22,581,230 | (9,445,831) |
| Interest expense | (21,313,368) | (20,583,919) | (729,449) |
| Income tax (expense) benefit of taxable REIT subsidiary | (234,054) | 29,529 | (263,583) |
| (Loss) income from unconsolidated entities | (100,442) | 226,041 | (326,483) |
| Non-cash gain from consolidation of subsidiary | — | 1,634,876 | (1,634,876) |
| Other income | 186,193 | 126,735 | 59,458 |
| (Loss) income from continuing operations | (8,326,272) | 4,014,492 | (12,340,764) |
| Discontinued operations | | | |
| Operating loss from discontinued operations | — | (714,007) | 714,007 |
| Non-cash loss on impairment of real estate asset | — | (5,384,747) | 5,384,747 |
| Loss from discontinued operations | — | (6,098,754) | 6,098,754 |
| Consolidated net loss | (8,326,272) | (2,084,262) | (6,242,010) |
| Net loss (income) attributable to noncontrolling interests | 841,083 | (340,781) | 1,181,864 |
| Net loss attributable to Kite Realty Group Trust | \$ (7,485,189) | \$ (2,425,043) | \$ (5,060,146) |

Rental income (including tenant reimbursements) increased \$0.1 million due to the following:

| | Net change 2010 to 2009 |
|--|-------------------------|
| Development properties that became operational or were partially operational in 2009 and/or 2010 | \$ 2,414,253 |
| Consolidation of The Centre | 949,477 |
| Properties under redevelopment during 2009 and/or 2010 | (562,425) |
| Properties fully operational during 2009 and 2010 and other | (2,709,813) |
| Total | \$ 91,492 |

Edgar Filing: KITE REALTY GROUP TRUST - Form 10-Q

Excluding the changes due to transitioned development properties, the consolidation of The Centre, and the properties under redevelopment, the net \$2.7 million decrease in rental income was primarily due to the following:

- \$1.1 million decrease in tenant reimbursements due to a reduction in recoverable property operating expenses;
- \$0.5 million decrease at two of our properties due to the bankruptcy of Circuit City in 2009;

Table of Contents

- \$0.5 million due to higher write offs of straight-line rent receivable in 2010 related to terminated tenants;
 - \$0.4 million from the 2009 sale of Eagle Creek II asset; and
 - \$0.2 million related to the net decrease in tenancy at these properties between periods.

Other property related revenue primarily consists of parking revenues, overage rent, lease settlement income and gains related to land sales. This revenue decreased \$1.1 million, or 25%, primarily as a result of a \$1.1 million decrease in gains related to land and outlot sales and the 2009 \$0.4 million reversal of a liability for which the Company is no longer obligated, offset by a \$0.4 million increase in lease termination income, parking revenue, late fees, and overage rent.

Construction revenue and service fees decreased \$9.5 million, or 65%, primarily as a result of a decline in third party construction contracts and construction management fees due to the economic downturn and our strategic decision to reduce third party construction activity, as discussed above.

Property operating expenses decreased \$0.6 million, or 4%, due to the following:

| | Net change 2010 to 2009 |
|--|----------------------------|
| Development properties that became operational or were partially operational in 2009 and/or 2010 | \$ 428,398 |
| Consolidation of The Centre | 196,626 |
| Properties under redevelopment during 2009 and/or 2010 | (163,439) |
| Properties fully operational during 2009 and 2010 and other | (1,024,349) |
| Total | \$ (562,764) |

Excluding the changes due to transitioned development properties, the consolidation of The Centre, and the properties under redevelopment, the net \$1.0 million decrease in property operating expenses was primarily due to the following:

- \$0.4 million net decrease in bad debt expense at a number of our operating properties; and
- \$0.7 million decrease (due to cost containment efforts) in landscaping, parking lot, repairs, maintenance and insurance expenses, a portion of which is refundable to tenants and reflected as a reduction in tenant reimbursement revenue; offset by a \$0.1 million increase in various other operating expenses.

Real estate taxes increased \$0.7 million, or 8%, due to the following:

| | Net change 2010 to 2009 |
|--|----------------------------|
| Development properties that became operational or were partially operational in 2009 and/or 2010 | \$ 1,021,648 |
| Consolidation of The Centre | 113,854 |
| Properties under redevelopment during 2009 and/or 2010 | (130,423) |
| Properties fully operational during 2009 and 2010 and other | (265,999) |
| Total | \$ 739,080 |

Excluding the changes due to transitioned development properties, the consolidation of The Centre, and the properties under redevelopment, the net \$0.3 million decrease in real estate taxes was primarily due to the timing of the

reassessments of our operating properties and the effects of successful appeals of these assessments. Specifically, \$0.6 million of the decrease related to an amount recorded in 2009 at one of our commercial office properties, which was partially offset by increases at other properties. The majority of increases and decreases in our real estate tax expense from increased assessments and subsequent appeals is recoverable from (or reimbursable to) tenants and, therefore, reflected in tenant reimbursement revenue.

Cost of construction and services decreased \$8.4 million, or 65%, primarily as a result of a decline in third party construction contracts and construction management fees due to the economic downturn and our strategic decision to reduce third party construction activity, as discussed above.

Table of Contents

General, administrative and other expenses decreased \$0.4 million, or 9%, due to declines in personnel-related expenses and various costs of operating as a public company, consistent with our strategy to reduce overhead as discussed above.

Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$7.6 million, or 32%, due to the following:

| | Net change 2010 to 2009 |
|--|----------------------------|
| Development properties that became operational or were partially operational in 2009 and/or 2010 | \$ 808,074 |
| Consolidation of The Centre | 609,666 |
| Properties under redevelopment during 2009 and/or 2010 | 5,813,520 |
| Properties fully operational during 2009 and 2010 and other | 344,821 |
| Total | \$ 7,576,081 |

Of the \$7.6 million total increase in depreciation and amortization expense, \$5.8 million was due to additional depreciation on the Coral Springs Plaza and Shops at Rivers Edge redevelopment properties. Redevelopment plans for these properties were finalized during the second quarter of 2010, resulting in a reduction to the useful lives of certain assets that are scheduled to be demolished. Excluding the changes due to transitioned development properties, the consolidation of The Centre, and the properties under redevelopment, the net \$0.3 million increase in depreciation and amortization expense was primarily due to the higher amounts of accelerated depreciation and amortization of vacated tenant costs related to tenants terminated at our operating properties in 2010 as compared to the prior year.

Interest expense increased \$0.7 million, or 4%. This increase is primarily due to the cessation of interest capitalization as we delayed our plans at two of our development properties in 2010, and to new borrowings and debt refinanced at higher interest rates since 2009. The increase was partially offset by debt repayments during the same period.

Income tax (expense) benefit changed from a benefit of \$30 thousand in 2009 to an expense of \$234 thousand in 2010. The 2009 benefit resulted from low construction volume in our taxable REIT subsidiary, and the 2010 expense is due to income to our taxable REIT subsidiary related to the sale of residential assets at the Eddy Street Commons development in 2010.

(Loss) income from unconsolidated entities changed from income of \$226 thousand in 2009 to a loss of \$100 thousand in 2010. The \$226 thousand of income in 2009 relates to The Centre operating property, which was consolidated in September 2009. The loss of \$100 thousand in 2010 includes pre-operating expenses related to the limited service hotel at our Eddy Street Commons development property, which opened in June 2010. Our other equity method joint venture is under development and is not yet generating operating results.

The \$1.6 million non-cash gain from consolidation of subsidiary in 2009 was recognized upon the consolidation of The Centre joint venture as of September 30, 2009. Our share of the gain was \$1.0 million.

The \$6.1 million loss from discontinued operations in 2009 relates to the impairment and subsequent transfer of our Galleria Plaza property to the ground lessor.

Net loss (income) attributable to noncontrolling interests changed from income of \$0.3 million in 2009 to a loss of \$0.8 million in 2010. Net loss (income) attributable to noncontrolling interests generally reflects the percentage of the Operating Partnership owned by the limited partners. Due to the May 2009 common share offering, the limited partners' weighted average diluted ownership percentage declined from 14.2% for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 to 11.1% for the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

Table of Contents

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Overview

Our primary finance and capital strategy is to maintain a strong balance sheet with sufficient flexibility to fund our operating and investment activities in a cost-effective manner. We consider a number of factors when evaluating our level of indebtedness and when making decisions regarding borrowings, including the purchase price of properties to be developed or acquired with debt financing, the estimated market value of our properties and our Company as a whole upon consummation of the financing, and the ability of particular properties to generate cash flow to cover expected debt service.

Our Principal Capital Resources

For a discussion of cash generated from operations, see “Cash Flows,” on page 31. In addition to cash generated from operations, we discuss below our other principal capital resources.

We have a \$200 million unsecured facility with a group of lenders and Key Bank National Association, as agent. As of September 30, 2010, our outstanding indebtedness under the unsecured facility was approximately \$105 million, bearing interest at a current rate of LIBOR plus 135 basis points. Factoring in our hedge agreements, at September 30, 2010, our weighted average interest rate on our unsecured revolving credit facility was 5.02%. The amount that we may borrow under the unsecured facility is based on the value of assets in our unencumbered property pool and, as of September 30, 2010, the amount available to us for future draws under the unsecured facility was approximately \$43 million. Our unsecured facility was scheduled to mature in February 2011, but in October 2010, we exercised a one-year extension option. The unsecured facility now matures in February 2012.

For more information regarding the terms and conditions of the unsecured facility, including interest rates, applicable financial and other covenants and our ability to make distributions, see the discussion in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009 under the caption “Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Liquidity and Capital Resources – Our Principal Capital Resources.” We were in compliance with all applicable financial covenants under the unsecured facility as of September 30, 2010.

In the future, we may raise additional capital by disposing of properties and land parcels that no longer represent a core component of our growth strategy and/or by pursuing relationships with joint venture capital partners. We will also continue to monitor the capital markets and may consider raising additional capital through the issuance of our common shares, preferred shares or other securities. We cannot, however, guarantee that we will be able to access the capital markets, on favorable terms, if at all. We may also obtain further funding through additional construction financing.

Our Principal Liquidity Needs

We derive the majority of our revenue from tenants who lease space from us at our properties. Therefore, our ability to generate cash from operations is dependent on the rents that we are able to charge and collect from our tenants. While we believe that the nature of the properties in which we typically invest—primarily neighborhood and community shopping centers—provides a relatively stable revenue flow in uncertain economic times, the current general economic downturn is adversely affecting the ability of some of our tenants to meet their lease obligations.

Short-Term Liquidity Needs

Near-Term Debt Maturities, including the Term Loan. As of September 30, 2010, we had a total of \$272.6 million of debt, including our share of unconsolidated debt of \$13.5 million, with scheduled maturity dates in 2011. In October 2010, we exercised an option in our revolving line of credit agreement to extend the maturity date one year to February 2012. This action reduced our total 2011 maturities to \$167.8 million. Of this amount, \$55 million represents the balance outstanding on our term loan which matures in July 2011. We have had ongoing discussions with lenders as part of the implementation of a longer-term strategy to pay off all or a portion of the Term Loan and reduce our reliance on debt with short-term maturities. We may also seek to access the capital markets, including common or preferred shares available on our effective shelf registration statement, to raise proceeds to repay all or a portion of our Term Loan, or utilize the availability under our unsecured facility and a portion of our cash balances to repay a portion of this debt.

Table of Contents

The remaining \$112.8 million of our 2011 debt maturities consists of property-level debt, of which \$45 million have automatic maturity extensions of one year, subject to certain customary conditions. We intend to extend the maturity dates on all of the debt subject to automatic extensions, and we currently believe that all of the conditions necessary for such extensions will be met. With respect to the remaining \$67.8 million, we are pursuing other financing alternatives to enable us to repay, refinance or extend the maturity dates of these loans.

We cannot, however, provide assurance that we will successfully address all of our 2011 debt maturities on favorable terms or at all. Failure to comply with our obligations under our unsecured facility, Term Loan and various other loan agreements (including our payment obligations) could cause an event of default under such debt, which, among other things, could result in the loss of title to assets securing such loans, the acceleration of principal and interest payments or the termination of the debt facilities, or exposure to the risk of foreclosure. In addition, certain of our variable rate loans and construction loans contain cross-default provisions which provide that a violation by the Company of any financial covenant set forth in our unsecured facility agreement will constitute an event of default under the loans, which could allow the lending institutions to accelerate the amounts due under the loans. See “Item 1.A Risk Factors – Risks Related to Our Operations” in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for more information related to the risks associated with our indebtedness.

Following the extension of the maturity date of our unsecured revolving credit facility to February 2012, our total indebtedness, including our share of unconsolidated debt of \$13.5 million, due to mature during 2011 is comprised of the following:

| | Amounts due during the three months ended: | | | | |
|--------------------------|--|------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------|
| | March 31, 2011 | June 30, 2011 | September 30, 2011 | December 31, 2011 | Total |
| Annual Maturities | \$ 778,874 | \$ 679,198 | \$ 732,765 | \$ 954,809 | \$ 3,145,646 |
| Mortgage Debt - Fixed | | | | | |
| Rate 1 | 18,215,433 | — | 1,439,946 | — | 19,655,379 |
| Mortgage Debt - Variable | | | | | |
| Rate 2 | 3,452,415 | 3,733,116 | — | 40,417,866 | 47,603,397 |
| Construction Loans 3 | 13,549,200 | 4,725,000 | — | 24,151,339 | 42,425,539 |
| Corporate Debt 4 | — | — | 55,000,000 | — | 55,000,000 |
| Total | \$ 35,995,922 | \$ 9,137,314 | \$ 57,172,711 | \$ 65,524,014 | \$ 167,829,961 |

-
- 1 None of the \$19.7 million of fixed rate mortgage debt maturing in 2011 has automatic extensions. However, we are pursuing other financing alternatives to enable us to repay, refinance or extend the maturity dates of these loans.

 - 2 Of the \$47.6 million of variable rate mortgage debt maturing in 2011, \$20.8 million related to Gateway Shopping Center has an automatic extension of one year, subject to certain customary conditions. We intend to extend the maturity date of this \$20.8 million, and we currently believe that all of the conditions necessary for such extension will be met. With respect to the remaining \$26.8 million, we are pursuing other financing alternatives to enable us to repay, refinance or extend the maturity dates of these loans.

 - 3 Of the \$42.4 million of construction loans maturing in 2011, \$24.2 million related to Eddy Street Commons has two automatic one-year extensions, subject to certain customary conditions. We intend to extend the maturity date

of this \$24.2 million, and we currently believe that all of the conditions necessary for such extension will be met. With respect to the remaining \$18.2 million, we are pursuing other financing alternatives to enable us to repay, refinance or extend the maturity dates of these loans.

4 See page 28 for a discussion of our plans regarding our \$55 million Term Loan.

See also “Debt Maturities,” below.

Other Short-Term Liquidity Needs

The nature of our business, coupled with the requirements for qualifying for REIT status (which includes the stipulation that we distribute to shareholders at least 90% of our annual REIT taxable income) and to avoid paying tax on our income, necessitate that we distribute a substantial majority of our taxable income on an annual basis, which will cause us to have substantial liquidity needs over both the short term and the long term. Our short-term liquidity needs consist primarily of funds necessary to pay operating expenses associated with our operating properties, interest and scheduled principal payments on our debt, expected

29

Table of Contents

dividend payments (including distributions to persons who hold units in our Operating Partnership), and capital expenditures (including tenant improvements and costs related to our redevelopment activities). In September 2010, our Board declared a quarterly cash distribution of \$0.06 per common share for the quarter ending September 30, 2010.

When we lease space to new tenants, or renew leases for existing tenants, we incur expenditures for tenant improvements and external leasing commissions. This amount and our recurring capital expenditures, varies from year to year. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, we incurred \$0.8 million and \$2.1 million, respectively, of costs for tenant improvements, external leasing commissions and recurring capital expenditures on operating properties. Excluding developments and redevelopments, we currently anticipate incurring approximately \$8 million in additional tenant improvements, lease commissions, and recurring capital expenditures within the next twelve months.

Long-Term Liquidity Needs

Our long-term liquidity needs consist primarily of funds necessary to pay for the development of new properties, redevelopment of existing properties, non-recurring capital expenditures, potential acquisitions of properties, and payment of indebtedness at maturity.

Unsecured Facility. Our unsecured facility had a balance of \$104.8 million as of September 30, 2010, and was scheduled to mature in February 2011. In October 2010, we exercised the one-year extension option on our unsecured facility, and it now matures in February 2012.

Redevelopment Properties. As of September 30, 2010, five of our properties (Bolton Plaza, Shops at Rivers Edge, Courthouse Shadows, Four Corner Square and Coral Springs Plaza) were undergoing redevelopment activities. We currently anticipate our investment in these redevelopment projects will be a total of approximately \$29 million, although this estimate may change as the scope of each project is refined. We currently believe we have sufficient financing in place to fund these redevelopments through borrowings under our unsecured facility, if necessary and to the extent there is borrowing capacity available, or project-specific financing. For more information regarding our Coral Springs Plaza and Shops at Rivers Edge redevelopments, see Note 8 to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included herein.

In-Process Development Properties. As of September 30, 2010, we had two in-process development projects (Eddy Street Commons and Cobblestone Plaza). The total estimated cost, including our share and our joint venture partners' share, for these projects is \$87 million, of which approximately \$81 million had been incurred as of September 30, 2010. Our share of the total estimated cost of these projects is \$61 million, of which we have incurred approximately \$57 million as of September 30, 2010. We believe we currently have sufficient financing in place to fund these projects and expect to do so primarily through existing construction loans. We may also make draws on our unsecured facility, if necessary and to the extent there is borrowing capacity available, to fund these projects.

Our most significant in-process development project is Eddy Street Commons at the University of Notre Dame in South Bend, Indiana. For a description of this project, see our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009 under the caption, under the caption "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Liquidity and Capital Resources – Contractual Obligations" and Note 10 to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included herein.

Future Development Projects. In addition to our in-process developments, we have future development projects which include land parcels that are in various stages of preparation for construction to commence, including pre-leasing activity and negotiations for third party financing. As of September 30, 2010, these future developments consisted of

six projects that are expected to contain 2.8 million square feet of total leasable area. We currently anticipate the total estimated cost of these six projects will be approximately \$305 million, of which our share is currently expected to be approximately \$187 million. We are generally not contractually obligated to complete these or any other future development projects, as they consist of land parcels on which we have not yet commenced construction and our policy is to not commence vertical construction until pre-established leasing thresholds are achieved and the requisite third-party financing is in place.

Selective Acquisitions, Developments and Joint Ventures. We may selectively pursue the acquisition and development of other properties, which would require additional capital.

We have entered into an agreement (the “Venture”) with Prudential Real Estate Investors (“PREI”) to pursue joint venture opportunities for the development and selected acquisition of community shopping centers in the United States. The agreement

30

Table of Contents

allows for the Venture to develop or acquire up to \$1.25 billion of well-positioned community shopping centers in strategic markets in the United States. We have agreed to present to PREI opportunities to develop or acquire community shopping centers, each with estimated project costs in excess of \$50 million. We have the option to present to PREI additional opportunities with estimated project costs under \$50 million. The agreement allows for equity capital contributions of up to \$500 million to be made to the Venture for qualifying projects. We expect contributions would be made on a project-by-project basis with PREI contributing 80% and us contributing 20% of the equity required. Our first project with PREI is Parkside Town Commons, which is currently held as a future development asset. As of September 30, 2010, we owned a 40% interest in this joint venture which, under the terms of this joint venture, will be reduced to 20% upon project specific construction financing.

Debt Maturities

Except with regard to the effect of our exercise in October 2010 of the one-year extension option under our unsecured facility, the table below presents scheduled principal repayments (including annual maturities) on mortgage and other indebtedness (including our share of unconsolidated debt) as of September 30, 2010. The unsecured facility, which as of September 30, 2010 had an outstanding balance of \$104.8 million and was scheduled to mature in February 2011, is reflected in the table with its February 2012 maturity effective upon the exercise of the extension option in October 2010.

| | |
|----------------------|----------------|
| 2010 | \$ 810,471 |
| 20111 | 167,829,961 |
| 2012 | 174,051,792 |
| 2013 | 91,368,620 |
| 20142 | 38,711,972 |
| Thereafter | 212,606,785 |
| | 685,379,601 |
| Unamortized Premiums | 654,626 |
| Total | \$ 686,034,227 |

1 Includes our \$13.5 million share of the Parkside Town Commons construction loan.

2 Includes our \$3.5 million share of the Eddy Street Commons Limited Service Hotel construction loan.

Cash Flows

As of September 30, 2010, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$12.7 million on hand. We may be subject to concentrations of credit risk with regard to our cash and cash equivalents. We place our cash and temporary cash investments with high-credit-quality financial institutions. From time to time, such investments may temporarily be in excess of FDIC and SIPC insurance limits; however we attempt to limit our exposure at any one time. We also maintain certain compensating balances in several financial institutions in support of borrowings from those institutions. Such compensating balances were not material to the condensed consolidated balance sheets.

Comparison of the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 to the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009

Cash provided by operating activities was \$24.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, an increase of \$10 million over the same period of 2009. The increase was primarily due to the timing of cash payments and receipts as reflected by changes in deferred costs and other assets, and in accounts payable, accrued expenses, deferred revenue, and other liabilities.

Cash used in investing activities was \$29.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, a decrease of \$13.2 million compared to the same period of 2009. The decrease was primarily attributable to \$11.3 million lower contributions to unconsolidated entities in 2010 as compared to 2009. The 2009 contributions were mainly in connection with debt activity.

Cash used in financing activities was \$2.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, compared to cash provided by financing activities of \$50.4 million in the same period of 2009. The decrease in cash provided by financing activities is largely due to \$87.5 million net proceeds from the common share offering in 2009, partially offset by an increase in net loan proceeds of \$28.2 million. Additionally, cash distributions were \$6.6 million lower in 2010 reflecting a reduction in the dividend rate, offset by a higher number of shares outstanding as a result of our May 2009 common share offering.

Table of Contents

Funds From Operations

Funds From Operations (“FFO”), is a widely used performance measure for real estate companies and is provided here as a supplemental measure of operating performance. We calculate FFO in accordance with the best practices described in the April 2002 National Policy Bulletin of the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (NAREIT), which we refer to as the White Paper. The White Paper defines FFO as net income (computed in accordance with GAAP), excluding gains (or losses) from sales of depreciated property, plus depreciation and amortization, and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. FFO is, therefore, a non-GAAP financial measure.

Given the nature of our business as a real estate owner and operator, we believe that FFO is helpful to investors in measuring our operational performance because it excludes various items included in net income that do not relate to or are not indicative of our operating performance, such as gains (or losses) from sales of depreciated property and depreciation and amortization, which can make periodic and peer analyses of operating performance more difficult. FFO should not be considered as an alternative to net income (determined in accordance with GAAP) as an indicator of our financial performance, is not an alternative to cash flow from operating activities (determined in accordance with GAAP) as a measure of our liquidity, and is not indicative of funds available to satisfy our cash needs, including our ability to make distributions. Our computation of FFO may not be comparable to FFO reported by other REITs that do not define the term in accordance with the current NAREIT definition or that interpret the current NAREIT definitions differently than we do.

In the table below, we also present the supplemental measure FFO of the Operating Partnership excluding the non-cash loss on the impairment of a real estate asset, a non-GAAP financial measure. We believe this measure provides useful information to investors regarding our financial condition and results of operations because the measure provides investors with useful comparative information about the recurring operating performance of our core real estate portfolio presented on the same basis as prior periods. We also believe the presentation of this measure provides an investor with the ability to meaningfully assess the operating performance of our portfolio (e.g., an assessment of the amount of revenue and operating expenses a property is experiencing) in a clear and concise manner. Further, we believe that this measure allows investors to make a meaningful comparison of our operations compared with our peer real estate investment trusts.

The following table reconciles our consolidated net loss to FFO for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 (unaudited):

Table of Contents

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Consolidated net loss ¹ | \$ (2,644,975) | \$ (3,275,627) | \$ (8,326,272) | \$ (2,084,262) |
| Less non-cash gain from consolidation of subsidiary, net of noncontrolling interests | — | (980,926) | — | (980,926) |
| Less net income attributable to noncontrolling interests in properties | (42,182) | (695,655) | (96,708) | (742,130) |
| Add depreciation and amortization of consolidated entities, net of noncontrolling interests | 10,359,890 | 7,724,160 | 30,687,142 | 23,693,084 |
| Add depreciation and amortization of unconsolidated entities | 124,077 | 52,797 | 165,436 | 157,623 |
| Funds From Operations of the Operating Partnership ² | 7,796,810 | 2,824,749 | 22,429,598 | 20,043,389 |
| Less redeemable noncontrolling interests in Funds From Operations | (850,813) | (319,197) | (2,489,685) | (3,173,320) |
| Funds From Operations allocable to the Company ² | \$ 6,945,997 | \$ 2,505,552 | \$ 19,939,913 | \$ 16,870,069 |
| Basic FFO per share of the Operating Partnership | \$ 0.11 | \$ 0.04 | \$ 0.32 | \$ 0.35 |
| Diluted FFO per share of the Operating Partnership | \$ 0.11 | \$ 0.04 | \$ 0.31 | \$ 0.35 |
| Basic FFO per share of the Operating Partnership (excluding non-cash loss on impairment of real estate asset) | \$ 0.11 | \$ 0.12 | \$ 0.32 | \$ 0.45 |
| Diluted FFO per share of the Operating Partnership (excluding non-cash loss on impairment of real estate asset) | \$ 0.11 | \$ 0.12 | \$ 0.31 | \$ 0.45 |
| Basic weighted average Common Shares outstanding | 63,288,181 | 62,980,447 | 63,206,901 | 48,489,799 |
| Diluted weighted average Common Shares outstanding | 63,522,229 | 63,090,887 | 63,439,031 | 48,575,947 |
| Basic weighted average Common Shares and Units outstanding | 71,190,157 | 71,028,373 | 71,154,942 | 56,540,744 |
| Diluted weighted average Common Shares and Units outstanding | 71,424,206 | 71,138,814 | 71,387,071 | 56,626,892 |

-
- 1 Includes a non-cash impairment loss on a real estate asset of \$5,384,747 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009.
- 2 “Funds From Operations of the Operating Partnership” measures 100% of the operating performance of the Operating Partnership’s real estate properties and construction and service subsidiaries in which the Company owns an interest. “Funds From Operations allocable to the Company” reflects a reduction for the redeemable noncontrolling weighted average diluted interest in the Operating Partnership.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not currently have any off-balance sheet arrangements that have, or are reasonably likely to have, a material current or future effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources. We do, however, have certain obligations to some of the projects in our in-process and future development properties, including our obligations in connection with our Eddy Street Commons development, as discussed in Note 10 to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included herein, as well as our joint venture with PREI with respect to our Parkside Town Commons development, as discussed above. As of September 30, 2010, we owned a 40% interest in this joint venture which, under the terms of this joint venture, will be reduced to 20% upon project specific construction financing.

As of September 30, 2010, our share of unconsolidated joint venture indebtedness was \$17.0 million. Unconsolidated joint venture debt is the liability of the joint venture and is typically secured by the assets of the joint venture. As of September 30, 2010, the Operating Partnership had guaranteed its \$13.5 million share of the unconsolidated joint venture debt related to the Parkside Town Commons development in the event the joint venture partnership defaults under the terms of the underlying

Table of Contents

arrangement. Mortgages which are guaranteed by the Operating Partnership are secured by the property of the joint venture and the joint venture could sell the property in order to satisfy the outstanding obligation.

Contractual Obligations

Except with respect to our debt maturities as previously disclosed herein, there have been no significant changes to our contractual obligations disclosed in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. See "Debt Maturities" on page 31.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Market Risk Related to Fixed and Variable Rate Debt

We had \$669 million of outstanding consolidated indebtedness as of September 30, 2010. As of this date, we were party to consolidated interest rate hedge agreements totaling \$219.4 million, with interest rates ranging from 2.98% to 6.56% and maturities over various terms from 2011 through 2017. Including the effects of these hedge agreements, our fixed and variable rate debt would have been 77% and 23%, respectively, of our total consolidated indebtedness at September 30, 2010. Including our \$17.0 million share of unconsolidated variable debt and the effect of related hedge agreements, our fixed and variable rate debt is 75% and 25%, respectively, of the total of consolidated and our share of unconsolidated indebtedness at September 30, 2010.

Based on the amount of our fixed rate debt at September 30, 2010, a 100 basis point increase in market interest rates would result in a decrease in its fair value of \$11.5 million. A 100 basis point decrease in market interest rates would result in an increase in the fair value of our fixed rate debt of \$12.2 million. A 100 basis point change in interest rates on our consolidated variable rate debt as of September 30, 2010 would change our annual cash flow by \$1.5 million.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

An evaluation was performed under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of its disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that these disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There has been no change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) identified in connection with the evaluation required by Rule 13a-15(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) as of September 30, 2010 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

Part II. Other Information

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The Company is party to various actions representing routine litigation and administrative proceedings arising out of the ordinary course of business. None of these actions are expected to have a material adverse effect on our condensed consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows taken as a whole.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Not Applicable

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Not Applicable

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Not Applicable

Item 4. Removed and Reserved

Item 5. Other Information

Not Applicable

Item 6. Exhibits

| Exhibit No. | Description | Location |
|-------------|---|----------------|
| 10.1 | Credit Agreement, dated as of February 20, 2007, by and among Kite Realty Group, L.P., the Company, KeyBank National Association, as Administrative Agent, Wachovia Bank, National Association as Syndication Agent, LaSalle Bank National Association and Bank of America, N.A. as Co-Documentation Agents and the other lenders party thereto | Filed herewith |
| 10.2 | Term Loan Agreement, dated July 15, 2008, by and among Kite Realty Group, L.P., Kite Realty Group Trust, KeyBank National Association, as Administrative Agent and Lender, KeyBanc Capital Markets, as Lead Arranger, and the other lenders party thereto | Filed herewith |
| 31.1 | Certification of principal executive officer required by Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) under the Exchange Act, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | Filed herewith |
| 31.2 | Certification of principal financial officer required by Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) under the Exchange Act, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | Filed herewith |

Edgar Filing: KITE REALTY GROUP TRUST - Form 10-Q

32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Filed
pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 herewith
of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

35

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

KITE REALTY GROUP TRUST

November 9, 2010
(Date)

By: /s/ John A. Kite
John A. Kite
Chairman and Chief Executive
Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

November 9, 2010
(Date)

By: /s/ Daniel R. Sink
Daniel R. Sink
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer and
Principal Accounting Officer)

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

| Exhibit No. | Description | Location |
|-------------|---|----------------|
| 10.1 | Credit Agreement, dated as of February 20, 2007, by and among Kite Realty Group, L.P., the Company, KeyBank National Association, as Administrative Agent, Wachovia Bank, National Association as Syndication Agent, LaSalle Bank National Association and Bank of America, N.A. as Co-Documentation Agents and the other lenders party thereto | Filed herewith |
| 10.2 | Term Loan Agreement, dated July 15, 2008, by and among Kite Realty Group, L.P., Kite Realty Group Trust, KeyBank National Association, as Administrative Agent and Lender, KeyBanc Capital Markets, as Lead Arranger, and the other lenders party thereto | Filed herewith |
| 31.1 | Certification of principal executive officer required by Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) under the Exchange Act, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | Filed herewith |
| 31.2 | Certification of principal financial officer required by Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) under the Exchange Act, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | Filed herewith |
| 32.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | Filed herewith |