EATON VANCE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND II Form N-CSR November 27, 2017

#### **UNITED STATES**

#### SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

#### Form N-CSR

#### CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED

#### MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

**Investment Company Act File Number: 811-21217** 

Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund II

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Charter)

Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

Maureen A. Gemma

### Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110

(Name and Address of Agent for Services)

(617) 482-8260

(Registrant s Telephone Number)

September 30

**Date of Fiscal Year End** 

**September 30, 2017** 

**Date of Reporting Period** 

### **Item 1. Reports to Stockholders**

# Municipal Bond Funds

# Annual Report

September 30, 2017

Municipal II (EIV) California II (EIA) Massachusetts (MAB) Michigan (MIW)

New Jersey (EMJ) New York II (NYH) Ohio (EIO) Pennsylvania (EIP)

Commodity Futures Trading Commission Registration. Effective December 31, 2012, the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) adopted certain regulatory changes that subject registered investment companies and advisers to regulation by the CFTC if a fund invests more than a prescribed level of its assets in certain CFTC-regulated instruments (including futures, certain options and swap agreements) or markets itself as providing investment exposure to such instruments. Each Fund has claimed an exclusion from the definition of the term—commodity pool operator—under the Commodity Exchange Act. Accordingly, neither the Funds nor the adviser with respect to the operation of the Funds is subject to CFTC regulation. Because of its management of other strategies, each Fund—s adviser is registered with the CFTC as a commodity pool operator and a commodity trading advisor.

Fund shares are not insured by the FDIC and are not deposits or other obligations of, or guaranteed by, any depository institution. Shares are subject to investment risks, including possible loss of principal invested.

### Annual Report September 30, 2017

## Eaton Vance

# Municipal Bond Funds

#### **Table of Contents**

Management s Discussion of Fund Performance	2
Performance and Fund Profile	
Municipal Bond Fund II	4
California Municipal Bond Fund II	5
Massachusetts Municipal Bond Fund	6
Michigan Municipal Bond Fund	7
New Jersey Municipal Bond Fund	8
New York Municipal Bond Fund II Ohio Municipal Bond Fund	9
Pennsylvania Municipal Bond Fund	11
Endnotes and Additional Disclosures	12
Financial Statements	13
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	74
Federal Tax Information	75
Annual Meeting of Shareholders	76
Dividend Reinvestment Plan	77
Board of Trustees Contract Approval	79
Management and Organization	83
Important Notices	86

### **Municipal Bond Funds**

September 30, 2017

Management s Discussion of Fund Performance

#### **Economic and Market Conditions**

The fiscal year that began on October 1, 2016 played out as a tale of two markets. For the first two months of the period, interest rates rose and municipal bond prices declined. But from December 2016 until nearly the end of the period on September 30, 2017, longer-term rates generally fell and municipals gained back some, but not all, of their previous losses.

As the period opened, municipal bonds had just come off of a rally fueled by mixed U.S. economic reports, ongoing Federal Reserve Board (the Fed) caution, and the United Kingdom s June 2016 vote to leave the European Union. In early fall 2016, the rally had ended when remarks by the European Central Bank, the Bank of Japan and the Fed seemed to indicate that rates might begin to rise sooner than markets had anticipated. As a result, municipal rates crept upward in October of 2016.

In November 2016, Donald Trump superise win in the U.S. presidential election precipitated one of the largest municipal market declines in at least two decades. Rates rose, the yield curve steepened and bond prices fell as markets anticipated that decreasing regulation and lower tax rates under a Trump administration could lead to higher economic growth and inflation.

In December 2016, however, interest rates began to reverse direction, despite a Fed rate hike that month and two subsequent hikes in 2017 that put upward pressure on short-term rates. Mixed U.S. economic data, along with loss of confidence that the Trump administration could accomplish health care or tax reform, put downward pressure on long-term rates that would increase as the period wore on. As a result, municipal bonds rallied modestly in December 2016 and continued to stabilize during January and February 2017. From March through July, long-term rates drifted downward and the yield curve flattened. In August and early September, increasing geopolitical tension between the U.S. and North Korea led to a flight to quality that drove investors toward the perceived safety of U.S. Treasurys. As a result, rates declined further as Treasury prices rallied, and the municipal market rallied along with Treasurys. But in the final weeks of the period, the rally ended as rates rose in response to Republican legislators release of their outline for tax reform, which was viewed as a potential driver of higher U.S. economic growth and inflation.

For the 12-month period, municipal market returns were relatively flat. The Bloomberg Barclays Municipal Bond Index,<sup>2</sup> a broad measure of the asset class, returned 0.87%, as total return generated from coupon payments was largely canceled out by price declines early in the fiscal year.

For the one-year period as a whole, rates rose throughout the yield curve for municipal AAA-rated<sup>7</sup> issues. The greatest increases occurred at the long end of the curve, causing the curve to steepen for the one year period and longer-term bonds to underperform shorter-term issues. Across the curve, municipal bonds with maturities of 10 years and less outperformed comparable U.S. Treasurys, while 30-year municipals performed in line with 30-year Treasurys.

#### Fund Performance

For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2017, Municipal Bond Fund II, California Municipal Bond Fund II, Massachusetts Municipal Bond Fund, New Jersey Municipal Bond Fund, New York Municipal Bond Fund II, Ohio Municipal Bond Fund and Pennsylvania Municipal Bond Fund shares at net asset value (NAV) underperformed the 0.59% return of the Funds benchmark, the Bloomberg Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index (the Index). During the same 12-month period, Michigan Municipal Bond Fund shares at NAV outperformed the Index.

The Funds overall strategy is to invest primarily in higher-quality bonds (rated A or higher).

In managing the Funds, management employs leverage through Residual Interest Bond (RIB) financing, Auction Preferred Shares (APS) and Institutional MuniFund Term Preferred (iMTP) Shares<sup>6</sup> to seek to enhance the Funds tax-exempt income. The use of leverage has the effect of achieving additional exposure to the municipal market, and thus magnifying a Funds exposure to its underlying investments in both up and down market environments. During this period of relatively flat performance by municipal bonds, the additional income generated by the use of leverage was a modest contributor to performance versus the Index which does not employ leverage for all eight Funds.

Management hedges to various degrees against the greater potential risk of volatility caused by the use of leverage and investing in bonds at the long end of the yield curve, by using Treasury futures and/or interest-rate swaps. As a risk management tactic within the Funds overall strategy, interest rate hedging is intended to moderate performance on both the upside and the downside of the market. So in a period when municipal and Treasury bonds generally declined in price, the hedging strategy mitigated a portion of that decline and was thus a contributor to relative performance versus the unhedged Index for all Funds except the Michigan and Ohio Funds, which did not employ a hedging strategy during the period.

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and include management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than or equal to one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to eatonvance.com.

### **Municipal Bond Funds**

September 30, 2017

Management s Discussion of Fund Performance continued

#### Fund-specific Results

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund II shares at NAV returned 0.25% during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2017, underperforming the 0.59% return of the Index. The main detractors from performance relative to the Index were security selection in long-maturity bonds, with 22 years or more remaining to maturity, and security selection in AAA-rated bonds. Significant contributors to relative results versus the Index included the Fund s hedging strategy, as noted above; bonds in the Fund s portfolio that were prerefunded, or escrowed, during the period; and security selection in the electric utility sector.

Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund II shares at NAV returned 0.04% during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2017, underperforming the 0.59% return of the Index. Detractors from results versus the Index included security selection in the water and sewer sector; security selection in the industrial development revenue (IDR) sector, which was the best-performing sector in the Index during the period; and an underweight, relative to the Index, in BBB-rated bonds, which were the best-performing ratings category in the Index during the period. In contrast, performance versus the Index was helped by the Fund s hedging strategy; security selection in zero-coupon bonds, which were, however, the worst-performing coupon structure in the Index during the period; and security selection in AAA-rated bonds.

Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Bond Fund shares at NAV returned -1.68% during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2017, underperforming the 0.59% return of the Index. An overweight in prerefunded bonds, security selection in AAA-rated bonds, and an overweight in zero-coupon bonds detracted from performance versus the Index. In contrast, key contributors to performance relative to the Index included the Fund s hedging strategy, security selection in the electric utility sector and an overweight in the health care sector.

Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Bond Fund shares at NAV returned 0.91% during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2017, outperforming the 0.59% return of the Index. Contributors to results versus the Index included security selection in local general obligation (GO) bonds, security selection in AAA-rated bonds and an overweight and security selection in insured Puerto Rico bonds. Detractors from Fund performance versus the Index included security selection in the leasing sector and an underweight in BBB-rated bonds.

Eaton Vance New Jersey Municipal Bond Fund shares at NAV returned -0.44% during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2017, underperforming the 0.59% return of the Index. Detractors from results relative to the Index included an overweight in

zero-coupon bonds, security selection in the transportation sector and security selection in bonds with 22 years or more remaining to maturity. Contributors to performance versus the Index included the Fund s hedging strategy, holdings that were prerefunded during the period and an overweight in the health care sector.

Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund II shares at NAV returned -0.15% during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2017, underperforming the 0.59% return of the Index. Fund performance versus the Index was hurt by security selection in the health care sector, security selection in bonds with 22 years or more remaining to maturity and security selection in AAA-rated bonds. Contributors to results versus the Index included the Fund shedging strategy, security selection in insured Puerto Rico bonds and an overweight in the housing sector.

Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Bond Fund shares at NAV returned -1.04% during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2017, underperforming the 0.59% return of the Index. Key detractors from results versus the Index included an overweight and security selection in zero-coupon bonds, security selection in GO bonds and security selection in the electric utility sector. In contrast, an overweight in insured Puerto bonds, security

selection in the education sector, and holdings that were prerefunded during the period all contributed to performance relative to the Index.

Eaton Vance Pennsylvania Municipal Bond Fund shares at NAV returned 0.44% during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2017, underperforming the 0.59% return of the Index. Security selection in local GO bonds detracted from performance versus the Index, as did security selection in BBB-rated bonds and an overweight in zero-coupon bonds. In contrast, the Fund s hedging strategy, an overweight in insured Puerto Rico bonds, and security selection in the water and sewer sector contributed to performance relative to the Index during the period.

Each Fund s insured Puerto Rico holdings were insured by various municipal bond insurers. It should be noted that most uninsured bonds issued by the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico and its various conduit issuers were no longer included in the Index. As Puerto Rico continued to deal with an ongoing fiscal crisis, bonds issued by its various legal entities were impacted by a number of factors throughout the period, including monetary default. As the period ended, Puerto Rico continued to negotiate with creditors and address its current debt structure under the Puerto Rico Oversight, Management and Economic Stability Act (PROMESA) passed by the U.S. Congress.

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and include management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than or equal to one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to eatonvance.com.

### Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2017

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Portfolio Manager Cynthia J. Clemson

% Average Annual Total Returns	<b>Inception Date</b>	One Year	Five Years	Ten Years
Fund at NAV	11/29/2002	0.25%	6.10%	5.34%
Fund at Market Price		2.55	3.67	5.16
Bloomberg Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		0.59%	4.12%	5.16%

% Premium/Discount to NAV <sup>4</sup>	
	7.52%

Distributions <sup>5</sup>	
Total Distributions per share for the period	\$ 0.591
Distribution Rate at NAV	4.24%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV	7.49%
Distribution Rate at Market Price	4.59%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price	8.11%

% Total Leverage <sup>6</sup>	
Auction Preferred Shares (APS)	2.14%
Institutional MuniFund Term Preferred (iMTP) Shares	18.02
Residual Interest Bond (RIB) Financing	18.58

Fund Profile

Credit Quality (% of total investments)<sup>7,8</sup>

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and include management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than or equal to one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted

return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to eatonvance.com.

### California Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2017

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

APS iMTP Shares

RIB Financing

Fund Profile

Portfolio Manager Craig R. Brandon, CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Ten Years
Fund at NAV	11/29/2002	0.04%	4.83%	4.81%
Fund at Market Price		3.09	3.07	4.65
Bloomberg Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		0.59%	4.12%	5.16%

% Premium/Discount to NAV <sup>4</sup>	
	6.58%
Distributions <sup>5</sup>	
Total Distributions per share for the period	\$ 0.530
Distribution Rate at NAV	4.11%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV	8.38%
Distribution Rate at Market Price	4.39%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price	8.95%
% Total Leverage <sup>6</sup>	

Credit Quality (% of total investments)<sup>7,8</sup>

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and include management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so

1.08%

29.82

8.72

that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than or equal to one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to eatonvance.com.

### Massachusetts Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2017

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Portfolio Manager Craig R. Brandon, CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns	<b>Inception Date</b>	One Year	Five Years	Ten Years
Fund at NAV	11/29/2002	1.68%	4.10%	5.55%
Fund at Market Price		6.40	1.29	4.69
Bloomberg Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		0.59%	4.12%	5.16%
•				

% Premium/Discount to NAV <sup>4</sup>	
	9.55%
Distributions <sup>5</sup>	
Total Distributions per share for the period	\$ 0.556
Distribution Rate at NAV	3.43%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV	6.39%
Distribution Rate at Market Price	3.79%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price	7.06%

% Total Leverage <sup>o</sup>	
APS	1.53%
iMTP Shares	31.64
RIB Financing	1.22
Fund Profile	

Credit Quality (% of total investments)<sup>7,8</sup>

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and include management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than or equal to one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted

return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to eatonvance.com.

### Michigan Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2017

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Portfolio Manager Cynthia J. Clemson

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Ten Years
Fund at NAV	11/29/2002	0.91%	5.88%	6.04%
Fund at Market Price		4.21	2.38	5.73
Bloomberg Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		0.59%	4 12%	5.16%

% Premium/Discount to NAV <sup>4</sup>	10.03%
	10.03 /
Distributions <sup>5</sup>	
Total Distributions per share for the period	\$ 0.598
Distribution Rate at NAV	3.71%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV	6.85%
Distribution Rate at Market Price	4.13%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price	7.62%

% Total Leverage<sup>6</sup>

APS 7.70% iMTP Shares 28.96

Fund Profile

Credit Quality (% of total investments)<sup>7</sup>

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and include management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than or equal to one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to eatonvance.com.

### New Jersey Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2017

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Portfolio Manager Adam A. Weigold, CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns	<b>Inception Date</b>	One Year	Five Years	Ten Years
Fund at NAV	11/29/2002	0.44%	5.20%	5.38%
Fund at Market Price		7.13	2.36	4.88
Bloomberg Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		0.59%	4.12%	5.16%
% Premium/Discount to NAV <sup>4</sup>				
				10.14%
Distributions <sup>5</sup>				
Total Distributions per share for the period				\$ 0.627
Distribution Rate at NAV				4.07%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV				7.90%
Distribution Rate at Market Price				4.53%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price				8.79%
% Total Leverage <sup>6</sup>				
APS				3.67%
iMTP Shares				28.62
RIB Financing				6.16
Fund Profile				

Credit Quality (% of total investments)<sup>7,8</sup>

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and include management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than or equal to one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted

return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to eatonvance.com.

### New York Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2017

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Portfolio Manager Craig R. Brandon, CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns	<b>Inception Date</b>	One Year	Five Years	Ten Years
Fund at NAV	11/29/2002	0.15%	4.26%	4.57%
Fund at Market Price		8.01	2.16	4.13
Bloomberg Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		0.59%	4.12%	5.16%

% Premium/Discount to NAV <sup>4</sup>	
	9.21%
Distributions <sup>5</sup>	
Total Distributions per share for the period	\$ 0.562
Distribution Rate at NAV	4.01%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV	7.77%
Distribution Rate at Market Price	4.42%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price	8.56%
% Total Leverage <sup>6</sup>	
APS	3.11%
iMTP Shares	20.41
RIB Financing	16.86

Credit Quality (% of total investments)<sup>7,8</sup>

Fund Profile

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and include management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than or equal to one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted

return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to eatonvance.com.

### Ohio Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2017

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Portfolio Manager Cynthia J. Clemson

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Ten Years
Fund at NAV	11/29/2002	1.04%	5.57%	4.96%
Fund at Market Price		9.65	2.03	5.10
Bloomberg Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		0.59%	4 12%	5.16%

% Premium/Discount to NAV <sup>4</sup>	
	7.16%

Distributions <sup>5</sup>	
Total Distributions per share for the period	\$ 0.586
Distribution Rate at NAV	4.03%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV	7.49%
Distribution Rate at Market Price	4.34%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price	8.07%

% Total Leverage <sup>6</sup>	
APS	4.03%
iMTP Shares	26.44
RIB Financing	5.95

Fund Profile

Credit Quality (% of total investments)<sup>7,8</sup>

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and include management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than or equal to one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted

return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to eatonvance.com.

### Pennsylvania Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2017

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Portfolio Manager Adam A. Weigold, CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Ten Years
Fund at NAV	11/29/2002	0.44%	5.62%	5.91%
Fund at Market Price		6.35	1.72	5.65
Bloomberg Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		0.59%	4 12%	5.16%

# % Premium/Discount to NAV<sup>4</sup> 9.60%

Distributions <sup>5</sup>	
Total Distributions per share for the period	\$ 0.635
Distribution Rate at NAV	4.08%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV	7.44%
Distribution Rate at Market Price	4.51%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price	8.22%

% Total Leverage <sup>6</sup>	
APS	1.89%
iMTP Shares	30.32
RIB Financing	5.03

Fund Profile

Credit Quality (% of total investments)<sup>7,8</sup>

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and include management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than or equal to one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted

return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to eatonvance.com.

### **Municipal Bond Funds**

September 30, 2017

Endnotes and Additional Disclosures

- The views expressed in this report are those of the portfolio manager(s) and are current only through the date stated at the top of this page. These views are subject to change at any time based upon market or other conditions, and Eaton Vance and the Fund(s) disclaim any responsibility to update such views. These views may not be relied upon as investment advice and, because investment decisions are based on many factors, may not be relied upon as an indication of trading intent on behalf of any Eaton Vance fund. This commentary may contain statements that are not historical facts, referred to as forward looking statements. The Fund s actual future results may differ significantly from those stated in any forward looking statement, depending on factors such as changes in securities or financial markets or general economic conditions, the volume of sales and purchases of Fund shares, the continuation of investment advisory, administrative and service contracts, and other risks discussed from time to time in the Fund s filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.
- <sup>2</sup> Bloomberg Barclays Municipal Bond Index is an unmanaged index of municipal bonds traded in the U.S. Bloomberg Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index is an unmanaged index of municipal bonds traded in the U.S. with maturities of 22 years or more. Unless otherwise stated, index returns do not reflect the effect of any applicable sales charges, commissions, expenses, taxes or leverage, as applicable. It is not possible to invest directly in an index.
- <sup>3</sup> Performance results reflect the effects of leverage. Performance since inception for an index, if presented, is the performance since the Fund s or oldest share class inception, as applicable. Included in the average annual total return at NAV for the five and ten year periods is the impact of the tender and repurchase of a portion of the Fund s APS at 95.5% of the Fund s APS per share liquidation preference. Had this transaction not occurred, the total return at NAV would be lower for the Fund.
- <sup>4</sup> The shares of the Fund often trade at a discount or premium from their net asset value. The discount or premium of the Fund may vary over time and may be higher or lower than what is quoted in this report. For up-to-date premium/discount information, please refer to http://eatonvance.com/closedend.
- <sup>5</sup> The Distribution Rate is based on the Fund s last regular distribution per share in the period (annualized) divided by the Fund s NAV or market price at the end of the period. The Fund s distributions may be comprised of amounts characterized for federal income tax purposes as tax-exempt income, qualified and non-qualified ordinary dividends, capital gains and nondividend distributions, also known as return of capital. The Fund will determine the federal income tax character of distributions paid to a shareholder after the end of the calendar year. This is reported on the IRS form 1099-DIV and provided to the shareholder shortly after each year-end. For information about the tax character of distributions made in prior calendar years, please refer to Performance-Tax Character of Distributions on the Fund s webpage available at eatonvance.com. The Fund s distributions are determined by the investment adviser based on its current assessment of the Fund s long-term return potential.
  - Fund distributions may be affected by numerous factors including changes in Fund performance, the cost of financing for Funds that employ leverage, portfolio holdings, realized and projected returns, and other factors. As portfolio and market conditions change, the rate of distributions paid by the Fund could change. Taxable-equivalent performance is based on the highest combined federal and state income tax rates, where applicable. Lower tax rates would result in lower tax-equivalent performance. Actual tax rates will vary depending on your income, exemptions and deductions. Rates do not include local taxes.
- <sup>6</sup> Fund employs RIB financing and/or APS and iMTP Shares leverage. The leverage created by RIB investments, APS and iMTP Shares provides an opportunity for increased income but, at the same time, creates special risks (including the likelihood of greater price volatility). The cost of leverage rises and falls with changes in short-term interest rates. See Floating Rate Notes Issued in Conjunction with Securities Held in the notes to the financial statements for more information about RIB financing. RIB leverage represents the amount of Floating Rate Notes outstanding at period end as a percentage of Fund net assets applicable to common shares plus APS, iMTP Shares and Floating Rate Notes. APS leverage represents the liquidation value of the Fund s iMTP Shares outstanding at period end as a percentage of Fund net assets applicable to common shares plus APS, iMTP Shares and Floating Rate Notes. The Fund may be required to maintain prescribed asset coverage for its leverage and may be required to reduce its leverage at an inopportune time.

- Ratings are based on Moody s, S&P or Fitch, as applicable. If securities are rated differently by the ratings agencies, the higher rating is applied. Ratings, which are subject to change, apply to the creditworthiness of the issuers of the underlying securities and not to the Fund or its shares. Credit ratings measure the quality of a bond based on the issuer s creditworthiness, with ratings ranging from AAA, being the highest, to D, being the lowest based on S&P s measures. Ratings of BBB or higher by S&P or Fitch (Baa or higher by Moody s) are considered to be investment-grade quality. Credit ratings are based largely on the ratings agency s analysis at the time of rating. The rating assigned to any particular security is not necessarily a reflection of the issuer s current financial condition and does not necessarily reflect its assessment of the volatility of a security s market value or of the liquidity of an investment in the security. Holdings designated as Not Rated are not rated by the national ratings agencies stated above.
- 8 The chart includes the municipal bonds held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds, consistent with the Portfolio of Investments.

Fund profile subject to change due to active management.

#### Important Notice to Shareholders

Effective September 30, 2017, the Funds benchmark was changed to the Bloomberg Barclays Municipal Bond Index.

# Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2017

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 161.5%

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Education 5.7%  Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Fairfield University), 5.00%, 7/1/46  Houston Higher Education Finance Corp., TX, (St. John s School), 5.25%, 9/1/33  Houston Higher Education Finance Corp., TX, (William Marsh Rice University), 5.00%, 5/15/35  Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Northeastern University), 5.25%, 3/1/37  New York Dormitory Authority, (Rockefeller University), 5.00%, 7/1/40 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	1,500 565 1,745 1,650 1,500	\$ 1,682,760 625,483 1,908,053 1,933,668 1,597,485 \$ 7,747,449
Electric Utilities 4.9% Energy Northwest, WA, (Columbia Generating Station), 5.00%, 7/1/40 Pima County Industrial Development Authority, AZ, (Tucson Electric Power Co.), 5.25%, 10/1/40 Public Power Generation Agency, NE, (Whelan Energy Center Unit 2), 5.00%, 1/1/28 Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City Board of Public Utilities, KS, 5.00%, 9/1/36 Utility Debt Securitization Authority, NY, 5.00%, 12/15/35	\$	330 1,300 3,000 685 500	\$ 378,985 1,394,419 3,512,100 761,679 584,960 \$ 6,632,143
Escrowed / Prerefunded 19.4%  Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Wesleyan University), Prerefunded to 7/1/20, 5.00%, 7/1/39 <sup>(1)</sup> Hawaii, Prerefunded to 12/1/21, 5.00%, 12/1/29  Hawaii, Prerefunded to 12/1/21, 5.00%, 12/1/29  Hawaii, Prerefunded to 12/1/21, 5.00%, 12/1/30  Hawaii, Prerefunded to 12/1/21, 5.00%, 12/1/30  Maryland Health and Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (Charlestown Community, Inc.), Prerefunded to 1/1/21, 6.125%, 1/1/30  Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvard University), Prerefunded to 11/15/18, 5.50%, 11/15/36  Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvard University), Prerefunded to 11/15/18, 5.50%, 11/15/36  Mississippi, Prerefunded to 10/1/21, 5.00%, 10/1/36 <sup>(1)</sup> North Carolina Capital Facilities Finance Agency, (Duke University), Prerefunded to 4/1/19, 5.00%, 10/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup> North Carolina, Capital Improvement Limited Obligation Bonds, Prerefunded to 5/1/21, 5.00%, 5/1/30	\$	2,200 1,545 955 620 380 235 2,045 665 1,725 500 5,000 Principal	\$ 2,433,486 1,780,921 1,100,828 714,674 438,026 271,839 2,150,236 699,221 1,979,869 529,930 5,678,650
Security	(000)	Amount s omitted)	Value

Escrowed / Prerefunded (continued) Oregon, Prerefunded to 8/2/21, 5.00%, 8/1/36 Oregon State Department of Administrative Services, Lottery Revenue, Prerefunded to 4/1/21, 5.25%, 4/1/30 South Carolina Public Service Authority, Prerefunded to 1/1/19, 5.50%, 1/1/38 South Carolina Public Service Authority, Prerefunded to 1/1/19, 5.50%, 1/1/38 Tennessee School Bond Authority, Prerefunded to 5/1/18, 5.50%, 5/1/38 Triborough Bridge and Tunnel Authority, NY, Prerefunded to 5/15/18, 5.00%, 11/15/37 University of Virginia, Prerefunded to 6/1/18, 5.00%, 6/1/40	\$ 570 1,120 110 1,310 1,000 2,500 1,500	1 1 2 1	651,607 1,277,752 116,302 1,385,050 1,027,170 2,564,925 1,541,445
General Obligations 17.0% California, 5.00%, 12/1/30 California, 5.00%, 10/1/33 Chicago Park District, IL, (Harbor Facilities), 5.25%, 1/1/37 <sup>(1)</sup> Clark County, NV, 5.00%, 7/1/33 Delaware Valley Regional Finance Authority, PA, 5.75%, 7/1/32 Kane, Cook and DuPage Counties School District No. 46, IL, 5.00%, 1/1/33 New York, 5.00%, 2/15/34 <sup>(1)</sup> New York, NY, 5.00%, 8/1/31 Oregon, 5.00%, 8/1/36 Washington, 5.00%, 2/1/35 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 610 2,150 1,680 500 2,500 2,000 2,750 2,000 430 5,250	1 3 2 3 2 6	723,301 2,546,525 1,805,026 571,245 3,190,525 2,282,840 3,090,752 2,315,560 486,476 6,118,560
Hospital 6.1% Hawaii Department of Budget and Finance, (Hawaii Pacific Health), 5.50%, 7/1/38 New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Robert Wood Johnson University Hospital), 5.25%, 7/1/35 Ohio Higher Educational Facility Commission, (Cleveland Clinic Health System), 5.00%, 1/1/32 Vermont Educational and Health Buildings Financing Agency, (University of Vermont Medical Center), 5.00%, 12/1/33 Wisconsin Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Ascension Senior Credit Group), 4.50%, 11/15/39	\$ 360 615 1,550 2,500 2,395	2	409,270 702,631 1,758,398 2,846,450 2,616,705 3,333,454
Industrial Development Revenue 0.5%  Maricopa County Pollution Control Corp., AZ, (El Paso Electric Co.), 4.50%, 8/1/42	\$ 595	\$ <b>\$</b>	614,819 <b>614,819</b>

13

See Notes to Financial Statements.

# Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2017

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted	) Value
Insured Electric Utilities 4.7% Chelan County Public Utility District No. 1, WA, (Columbia River), (NPFG), 0.00%, 6/1/23 Louisiana Energy and Power Authority, (AGM), 5.25%, 6/1/38	\$	6,335 735	\$ 5,592,538 842,082
			\$ 6,434,620
Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded 20.5%  American Municipal Power-Ohio, Inc., OH, (Prairie State Energy Campus), (AGC), Prerefunded to 2/15/19, 5.75%, 2/15/39  Arizona Health Facilities Authority, (Banner Health), (BHAC), Prerefunded to 1/1/18, 5.375%, 1/1/32  Bossier City, LA, Utilities Revenue, (BHAC), Prerefunded to 10/1/18, 5.25%, 10/1/26	\$	1,000 1,750 670	\$ 1,065,390 1,770,230 698,797
Bossier City, LA, Utilities Revenue, (BHAC), Prerefunded to 10/1/18, 5.25%, 10/1/27 Bossier City, LA, Utilities Revenue, (BHAC), Prerefunded to 10/1/18, 5.50%, 10/1/38 California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Sutter Health), (AGM), Prerefunded to 8/15/18, 5.05%, 8/15/38(1) Chicago, IL, Wastewater Transmission Revenue, (BHAC), Prerefunded to 1/1/18, 5.50%, 1/1/38 Colorado Health Facilities Authority, (Catholic Health), (AGM), Prerefunded to 4/29/18, 5.10%, 10/1/41(1)		420 660 1,500 1,635 2,200	438,052 690,017 1,555,635 1,654,162 2,253,966
District of Columbia Water and Sewer Authority, (AGC), Prerefunded to 10/1/18, 5.00%, 10/1/34 <sup>(1)</sup> New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (School Facilities Construction), (AGC), Prerefunded to 12/15/18, 5.50%, 12/15/34  New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (School Facilities Construction), (AGC), Prerefunded to 12/15/18, 5.50%, 12/15/34  North Carolina Turnpike Authority, (Triangle Expressway System), (AGC), Prerefunded to 1/1/19, 5.50%, 1/1/29  North Carolina Turnpike Authority, (Triangle Expressway System), (AGC), Prerefunded to 1/1/19, 5.75%, 1/1/39  Palm Beach County Solid Waste Authority, FL, (BHAC), Prerefunded to 10/1/19, 5.00%, 10/1/24		1,250 305 565 255 290 530	1,301,312 321,931 596,787 269,277 307,139 572,156
Palm Beach County Solid Waste Authority, FL, (BHAC), Prerefunded to 10/1/19, 5.00%, 10/1/24 Palm Beach County Solid Waste Authority, FL, (BHAC), Prerefunded to 10/1/19, 5.00%, 10/1/26 Palm Springs Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2008), (AGC), Prerefunded to 8/1/19, 5.00%, 8/1/33 San Diego County Water Authority, CA, Certificates of Participation, (AGM), Prerefunded to 5/1/18, 5.00%, 5/1/38(1)	•	210 425 2,750 3,250	226,745 458,889 2,954,352 3,330,210
Security		Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded (continued) South Carolina Public Service Authority, (BHAC), Prerefunded to 1/1/19,		ŕ	
5.50%, 1/1/38 South Carolina Public Service Authority, (BHAC), Prerefunded to 1/1/19,	\$	130	,
5.50%, 1/1/38 University of South Alabama, (BHAC), Prerefunded to 8/1/18, 5.00%, 8/1/38 Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, (MultiCare Health System), (AGC), Prerefunded to 8/15/19, 6.00%, 8/15/39		1,465 3,900 1,545	1,548,930 4,032,834 1,686,136

Insured General Obligations 0.7%

\$ 27,870,395

Cincinnati City School District, OH, (AGM), (FGIC), 5.25%, 12/1/30	\$ 750	\$ 959,257
		\$ 959,257
Insured Hospital 5.4% Illinois Finance Authority, (Children's Memorial Hospital), (AGC), 5.25%, 8/15/47 <sup>(1)</sup> New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Virtua Health), (AGC), 5.50%, 7/1/38 Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, (Providence Health Care), (AGM), 5.25%, 10/1/33	\$ 2,500 2,245 2,300	2,576,900 2,401,993 2,391,126 <b>7,370,019</b>
Insured Industrial Development Revenue 1.1% Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, (Aqua Pennsylvania, Inc.), (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/39	\$ 1,340	1,431,013 1,431,013
Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 1.0% Essex County Improvement Authority, NJ, (NPFG), 5.50%, 10/1/30	\$ 1,000	1,312,770 1,312,770
Insured Other Revenue 1.7% Harris County-Houston Sports Authority, TX, (AGM), (NPFG), 0.00%, 11/15/34 New York City Industrial Development Agency, NY, (Yankee Stadium), (AGC), 7.00%, 3/1/49	\$ 2,540 1,000	1,249,350 1,083,150 <b>2,332,500</b>
Insured Special Tax Revenue 5.7% Miami-Dade County, FL, Professional Sports Franchise Facilities, (AGC), 7.00%, (0.00% until 10/1/19), 10/1/39	\$ 3,000	\$ 3,618,690

14

See Notes to Financial Statements.

# Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2017

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)	ı	Value
Insured Special Tax Revenue (continued) Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, (AGM), 5.25%, 7/15/30 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45	\$ 2,540 4,440		3,150,515 933,998
Insured Student Loan 0.7% Maine Educational Loan Authority, (AGC), 5.625%, 12/1/27	\$ 905	\$ \$	7,703,203 958,857 958,857
Insured Transportation 19.1% Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 5.00%, 1/1/29 Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 5.125%, 1/1/30 Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 5.125%, 1/1/31 Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 5.25%, 1/1/32 Clark County, NV, (Las Vegas-McCarran International Airport), (AGM), 5.25%, 7/1/39 E-470 Public Highway Authority, CO, (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/22 Manchester, NH, (Manchester-Boston Regional Airport), (AGM), 5.125%, 1/1/30 Maryland Transportation Authority, (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/41(1) Metropolitan Washington Airports Authority, D.C., (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/24 Metropolitan Washington Airports Authority, D.C., (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/24 Metropolitan Trust Fund Authority, (AGC), 5.50%, 12/15/38 North Texas Tollway Authority, (BHAC), 5.75%, 1/1/48 North Texas Tollway Authority, (BHAC), Prerefunded to 1/1/18, 5.75%, 1/1/48	\$ 260 400 180 100 1,585 7,800 1,305 10,000 1,000 535 1,040 210 1,540		298,022 453,820 203,602 113,514 1,717,776 7,071,012 1,343,837 10,299,000 1,075,750 574,419 1,082,702 212,497 1,558,757 26,004,708
Insured Water and Sewer 4.6% Houston, TX, Combined Utility System Revenue, (AGM), (BHAC), 5.00%, 11/15/33 Houston, TX, Combined Utility System Revenue, (AGM), (BHAC), Prerefunded to 11/15/17, 5.00%, 11/15/33 Massachusetts Water Resources Authority, (AGM), 5.25%, 8/1/35 Michigan Finance Authority, (Detroit Water and Sewerage Department), (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/32	\$ 105 330 1,000 230	\$	105,520 331,716 1,322,150 261,241

Michigan Finance Authority, (Detroit Water and Sewerage Department), (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/33		195 Principal Amount		220,461
Security	(000	s omitted)		Value
Insured Water and Sewer (continued) Michigan Finance Authority, (Detroit Water and Sewerage Department), (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/35 Michigan Finance Authority, (Detroit Water and Sewerage Department), (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/37 New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority, NY, (BHAC), 5.75%, 6/15/40 Pearland, TX, Waterworks and Sewer Systems, (NPFG), 3.50%, 9/1/31	\$	240 195 2,205 1,220	\$ <b>\$</b>	269,925 218,174 2,279,441 1,220,512 <b>6,229,140</b>
Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 6.4%  New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Hospital Asset Transformation Program), 5.75%, 10/1/31  North Carolina, Limited Obligation Bonds, 5.00%, 5/1/26 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	2,235 5,250		2,388,008 6,297,848 <b>8,685,856</b>
Other Revenue 0.4% Oregon State Department of Administrative Services, Lottery Revenue, 5.25%, 4/1/30 Texas Municipal Gas Acquisition and Supply Corp. III, Gas Supply Revenue, 5.00%, 12/15/30	\$	180 260	\$	204,124 290,217 <b>494,341</b>
Special Tax Revenue 12.1%  Central Puget Sound Regional Transit Authority, WA, Sales and Use Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 11/1/30 <sup>(1)</sup> Dallas Area Rapid Transit, TX, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 12/1/35  Dallas Area Rapid Transit, TX, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 12/1/36  Homewood City Board of Education, AL, 5.00%, 4/1/32  Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.25%, 7/1/33  Metropolitan Transportation Authority, NY, Dedicated Tax Revenue, Green Bonds, 5.25%, 11/15/33  New York City Transitional Finance Authority, NY, Future Tax Revenue, 5.50%, 11/1/35 <sup>(1)</sup> New York City Transitional Finance Authority, NY, Future Tax Revenue, Prerefunded to 11/1/20, 5.50%, 11/1/35 <sup>(1)</sup> New York Dormitory Authority, Personal Income Tax Revenue, 4.00%, 2/15/35  New York Dormitory Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 3/15/34  New York Dormitory Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 3/15/35	\$	1,850 405 215 1,880 750 1,195 2,145 1,655 2,000 465 1,710	\$	2,237,205 476,616 252,242 2,117,313 968,288 1,480,151 2,411,066 1,877,177 2,160,680 532,420 1,947,536

15

See Notes to Financial Statements.

\$ 16,460,694

# Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2017

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)	)	Value
Transportation 18.6% Austin, TX, Airport System Revenue, 5.00%, 11/15/41	\$	2,110	\$	2,430,150
Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), 5.00%, 1/1/33		1,750		2,027,760
Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), 5.00%, 1/1/34		1,000		1,144,210
Dallas and Fort Worth, TX, (Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport),		1,000		1,144,210
5.25%, 11/1/30  Dellos and Fort Worth, TV. (Dellos/Fort Worth International Airmort)		640		759,405
Dallas and Fort Worth, TX, (Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport), 5.25%, 11/1/31		990		1,173,566
Delaware River Port Authority of Pennsylvania and New Jersey,				1 0 1 6 100
5.00%, 1/1/35 Los Angeles Department of Airports, CA, (Los Angeles International Airport), 5.25%, 5/15/28		1,715 465		1,846,403 513,825
Metropolitan Transportation Authority, NY, 5.25%, 11/15/32		620		736,014
Metropolitan Transportation Authority, NY, 5.25%, 11/15/38		865		988,107
Miami-Dade County, FL, (Miami International Airport), 5.00%, 10/1/41 Miami-Dade County, FL, Aviation Revenue, 5.00%, 10/1/37		2,095 1,385		2,262,307 1,603,359
New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, (Transportation Program), 5.00%, 6/15/38		130		138,840
New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, (Transportation System), 5.25%, 12/15/23		1,000		1,139,120
New Jersey Turnpike Authority, 5.00%, 1/1/34 New York Liberty Development Corp., (4 World Trade Center), 5.00%, 11/15/31		800 1,070		950,424 1,210,619
Orlando-Orange County Expressway Authority, FL, 5.00%, 7/1/35		90		98,317
Orlando-Orange County Expressway Authority, FL, 5.00%, 7/1/40		230 330		250,344
Orlando-Orange County Expressway Authority, FL, Prerefunded to 7/1/20, 5.00%, 7/1/35 Orlando-Orange County Expressway Authority, FL, Prerefunded to 7/1/20, 5.00%, 7/1/40		145		364,643 160,222
Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, 5.00%, 12/1/34 <sup>(1)</sup>		2,040		2,366,645
South Carolina Transportation Infrastructure Bank, Prerefunded to 10/1/19, 5.25%, 10/1/40  Taxes Transportation Commission (Control Taxes Turnilla System), 5,00%, 8/15/27		1,000		1,083,450
Texas Transportation Commission, (Central Texas Turnpike System), 5.00%, 8/15/37 Texas Transportation Commission, (Central Texas Turnpike System), 5.00%, 8/15/42		1,500 265		1,669,365 293,196
			\$	25,210,291
Water and Sewer 5.2%	٨	1.555	ф	1.700.000
Detroit, MI, Sewage Disposal System, 5.00%, 7/1/32 Detroit, MI, Sewage Disposal System, 5.25%, 7/1/39 Detroit, MI, Weter Symply, Systems	\$	1,555 380	\$	1,709,909 419,740
Detroit, MI, Water Supply System, 5.25%, 7/1/41	]	300 <b>Principal</b>		325,125
Security	(000	Amount s omitted)		Value
Water and Sewer (continued) New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority, NY, (Water and Sewer System), 5.00%, 6/15/36	\$	4,000	\$	4,670,640

\$ 7,125,414

Total Tax-Exempt Investments 161.5% (identified cost \$202,627,348)

**27**,348) **\$219,383,684** 

Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative Unpaid Dividends (3.5)%

\$ (4,750,932)

Institutional MuniFund Term Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value (net of unamortized deferred offering costs) (29.3)%

\$ (39,798,080)

Other Assets, Less Liabilities (28.7)%

\$ (38,994,598)

Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%

\$ 135,840,074

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets applicable to common shares.

At September 30, 2017, the concentration of the Fund s investments in the various states and territories, determined as a percentage of total investments, is as follows:

New York Others, representing less than 10% individually 15.5%

84.5%

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at September 30, 2017, 40.4% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution or financial guaranty assurance agency ranged from 0.4% to 15.0% of total investments.

16

(1) Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1G). **Abbreviations:** 

AGC Assured Guaranty Corp.

AGM Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.
BHAC Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.
FGIC Financial Guaranty Insurance Company
NPFG National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

# California Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2017

#### Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 164.4%

		Principal Amount	
Security	(000	s omitted)	Value
Education 8.5%  California Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvey Mudd College), 5.25%, 12/1/31  California Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvey Mudd College), 5.25%, 12/1/36  California Educational Facilities Authority, (Loyola Marymount University), 5.00%, 10/1/22  California Educational Facilities Authority, (Loyola Marymount University), 5.00%, 10/1/30  California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of San Francisco), 6.125%, 10/1/36  California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of the Pacific), 5.00%, 11/1/30  California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.00%, 10/1/31  California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.00%, 10/1/35  California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/27  California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/27  California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/27  California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/28  University of California, Prerefunded to 5/15/19, 5.25%, 5/15/39  University of California, Prerefunded to 5/15/19, 5.25%, 5/15/39  University of California, Prerefunded to 5/15/19, 5.25%, 5/15/39	\$	395 160 70 380 115 330 210 145 405 425 450 165 550	\$ 456,731 182,768 79,892 406,277 137,110 370,979 238,690 164,265 465,657 487,934 515,880 176,571 588,572 \$ 4,271,326
Electric Utilities 5.7%  Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, Electric System Revenue, 5.25%, 7/1/32  Sacramento Municipal Utility District, 5.00%, 8/15/30  Sacramento Municipal Utility District, 5.00%, 8/15/31  Southern California Public Power Authority, (Tieton Hydropower), 5.00%, 7/1/30  Vernon, Electric System Revenue, 5.125%, 8/1/21	\$	745 420 125 1,000 335	\$ 769,369 486,058 144,152 1,099,530 358,182 \$ 2,857,291

	. •		-	
Escrowed / Prerefunded 16.1%				
California Educational Facilities Authority, (Claremont McKenna College), Prerefunded to 1/1/19, 5.00%, 1/1/39	\$	1,370		1,440,665
California Educational Facilities Authority, (Santa Clara University), Prerefunded to 2/1/20, 5.00%, 2/1/29 California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of Southern California), Prerefunded to 10/1/18, 5.25%, 10/1/39		890 1,200		972,734 1,252,944
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Cedars-Sinai Medical Center), Prerefunded to 8/15/19, 5.00%, 8/15/39		1,400		1,505,882
3.00 %, G(13/3)		Principal		1,505,662
		Amount		
Security	(000	s omitted)		Value
Escrowed / Prerefunded (continued)				
San Diego Community College District, (Election of 2002), Prerefunded to 8/1/21, 5.00%, 8/1/32	\$	720	\$	826,279
San Diego Community College District, (Election of 2006), Prerefunded to 8/1/21, 5.00%, 8/1/31		455		522,163
San Mateo Union High School District, (Election of 2006), Prerefunded to 9/1/23, 5.00%, 9/1/27		350		420,997
San Mateo Union High School District, (Election of 2006), Prerefunded to 9/1/23, 5.00%, 9/1/28		590		709,681
University of California, Prerefunded to 5/15/19, 5.25%, 5/15/39		285		304,987
Vernon, Electric System Revenue, Prerefunded to 8/1/19, 5.125%, 8/1/21		140		148,308
			\$	8,104,640
General Obligations 44.3%				
Alta Loma School District, (Election of 2016), 5.00%, 8/1/42	\$	1,750	\$	2,046,222
California, 5.00%, 10/1/31		465		556,438
California, 5.50%, 11/1/35		1,300 1,000		1,469,689
Castro Valley Unified School District, (Election of 2016), 5.00%, 8/1/41 Jurupa Unified School District, (Election of 2014), 5.00%, 8/1/37		1,000		1,174,590 1,358,633
Lodi Unified School District, (Election of 2014), 5.00%, 8/1/34		1,365		1,621,647
Montebello Unified School District, (Election of 2016), 5.00%, 8/1/41		1,000		1,154,230
Mountain View Whisman School District, (Election of 2012), 4.00%, 9/1/42(1)		2,000		2,110,560
Napa Valley Unified School District,				
5.00%, 8/1/41		1,445		1,697,283
Palo Alto, (Election of 2008), 5.00%, 8/1/40		1,850		2,034,778
San Bernardino Community College District, 4.00%, 8/1/30 San Fenericas Pay Area Parid Transit District (Floring of 2016). Green Bonds, 5.00%, 8/1/47		1,510 1,100		1,638,501 1,304,743
San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District, (Election of 2016), Green Bonds, 5.00%, 8/1/47 San Jose-Evergreen Community College District, (Election of 2010), 5.00%, 8/1/35		410		468,113
San Mateo Union High School District, (Election of 2006), 5.00%, 9/1/27		335		398,342
San Mateo Union High School District, (Election of 2006), 5.00%, 9/1/28		580		690,867
Torrance Unified School District, (Election of 2008), 5.00%, 8/1/35		1,125		1,311,435
Ventura County Community College District, 5.00%, 8/1/29		1,000		1,186,040
			\$ 2	22,222,111
Hospital 9.5%				
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Cedars-Sinai Medical Center), 5.00%, 8/15/34 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (City of Hope), 5.00%, 11/15/32	\$	775 335	\$	913,508 380,590

17

See Notes to Financial Statements.

# California Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2017

Portfolio of Investments continued

		Principal Amount	
Security	(000	s omitted)	Value
Hospital (continued) California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (City of Hope), 5.00%, 11/15/35 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Memorial Health Services), 5.00%, 10/1/27 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (St. Joseph Health System), 5.00%, 7/1/33 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (St. Joseph Health System), 5.00%, 7/1/37 California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Cottage Health System), 5.25%, 11/1/30	\$	475 750 600 280 1,000	\$ 538,902 857,722 683,718 316,176 1,095,230 \$ 4,785,846
Insured Electric Utilities 3.5% Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/34 Sacramento Municipal Utility District, (AGM), 5.00%, 8/15/27	\$	1,035 610	\$ 1,102,906 631,643 \$ 1,734,549
Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded 17.7%  California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Sutter Health), (AGM), Prerefunded to 8/15/18, 5.05%, 8/15/38 <sup>(1)</sup> Clovis Unified School District, (NPFG), Escrowed to Maturity, 0.00%, 8/1/20  Orange County Water District, Certificates of Participation, (NPFG), Prerefunded to 8/15/32, 5.00%, 8/15/34  Palm Springs Unified School District, (Election of 2008), (AGC), Prerefunded to 8/1/19, 5.00%, 8/1/33  Sacramento Municipal Utility District, (AGM), Prerefunded to 8/15/18, 5.00%, 8/15/27  San Diego County Water Authority, Certificates of Participation, (AGM), Prerefunded to 5/1/18, 5.00%, 5/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	1,750 3,130 395 1,250 390 1,750	\$ 1,814,908 3,011,123 507,381 1,342,887 404,368 1,793,190 \$ 8,873,857
Insured General Obligations 8.8% Coalinga-Huron Joint Unified School District, (Election of 2016), (BAM), 5.00%, 8/1/41 Union Elementary School District, (Election of 1999), (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/22	\$	1,265 3,200	\$ 1,479,127 2,942,240 \$ <b>4,421,367</b>
Insured Special Tax Revenue 4.2% Hesperia Public Financing Authority, (Redevelopment and Housing Projects), (XLCA), 5.00%, 9/1/37 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45	\$	1,535 2,675	\$ 1,536,934 562,713

\$ 2,099,647

		Principal Amount	
Security	(000	s omitted)	Value
Insured Transportation 5.1% San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency, (NPFG), 0.00%, 1/15/27	\$	3,520	\$ 2,570,022 \$ 2,570,022
Insured Water and Sewer 0.9% Riverside, Water System Revenue, (AGM), 5.00%, 10/1/38	\$	445	\$ 462,800 <b>\$ 462,800</b>
Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 1.1% California Public Works Board, 5.00%, 11/1/38	\$	480	\$ 552,058 \$ <b>552,058</b>
Special Tax Revenue 13.6%  Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 7/1/31  San Diego County Regional Transportation Commission, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 4/1/41  San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 7/1/28  Successor Agency to La Quinta Redevelopment Agency, 5.00%, 9/1/29  Successor Agency to San Diego Redevelopment Agency, 5.00%, 9/1/31	\$	1,490 1,750 1,300 500 1,000	\$ 1,533,180 2,054,063 1,434,225 586,970 1,201,460 \$ 6,809,898
Transportation 11.3%  Bay Area Toll Authority, Toll Bridge Revenue, (San Francisco Bay Area), Prerefunded to 4/1/19, 5.25%, 4/1/29 Long Beach, Harbor Revenue, 5.00%, 5/15/27  Los Angeles Department of Airports, (Los Angeles International Airport), 5.00%, 5/15/35(1)  Los Angeles Harbor Department, 5.00%, 8/1/25  San Francisco City and County Airport Commission, (San Francisco International Airport), 5.00%, 5/1/35  San Jose, Airport Revenue, 5.00%, 3/1/20	\$	1,190 540 1,060 1,250 635 500	\$ 1,267,422 593,957 1,161,357 1,424,925 689,648 546,985 \$ 5,684,294
Water and Sewer 14.1% East Bay Municipal Utility District, Green Bonds, 5.00%, 6/1/42 Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, 5.00%, 1/1/39 Rancho California Water District Financing Authority, 5.00%, 8/1/46 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	1,100 1,050 2,000	\$ 1,308,901 1,101,712 2,340,640

See Notes to Financial Statements.

18

### California Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2017

Portfolio of Investments continued

		Principal Amount	
Security	(000	s omitted)	Value
Water and Sewer (continued) San Diego Public Facilities Financing Authority, Sewer Revenue, 5.00%, 5/15/38	\$	2,000	\$ 2,352,940
			\$ 7,104,193
Total Tax-Exempt Investments 164.4% (identified cost \$77,225,827)			\$ 82,553,899
Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative Unpaid Dividends (1.8)%			\$ (900,110)
Institutional MuniFund Term Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value (net of unamortized deferred offering costs) (49.2)%			\$ (24,700,807)
Other Assets, Less Liabilities (13.4)%			\$ (6,734,638)
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%			\$ 50,218,344

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets applicable to common shares.

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by California municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at September 30, 2017, 24.4% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution or financial guaranty assurance agency ranged from 1.6% to 13.0% of total investments.

(1) Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1G). **Abbreviations:** 

AGC Assured Guaranty Corp.

AGM Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.

BAM Build America Mutual Assurance Co.
NPFG National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

XLCA XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

19

See Notes to Financial Statements.

# Massachusetts Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2017

#### Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 147.9%

		Principal	
		Amount	
Security	(000	s omitted)	Value
Bond Bank 5.9%  Massachusetts Water Pollution Abatement Trust, 5.25%, 8/1/33  Massachusetts Water Pollution Abatement Trust, 5.25%, 8/1/34	\$	585 640	\$ 752,527 825,299
			\$ 1,577,826
Education 10.9%  Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Milton Academy), 5.00%, 9/1/35  Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Northeastern University), 5.00%, 10/1/35  University of Massachusetts Building Authority, 5.00%, 11/1/39	\$	750 870 1,000	\$ 821,902 953,903 1,139,590 \$ <b>2,915,395</b>
			. , ,
Escrowed / Prerefunded 12.2% Boston, Prerefunded to 4/1/21, 4.00%, 4/1/24 Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority, Prerefunded to 7/1/18, 5.25%, 7/1/34 Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority, Prerefunded to 7/1/18, 5.25%, 7/1/34 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Isabella Stewart Gardner Museum), Prerefunded to 5/1/19,	\$	200 25 70	\$ 219,242 25,830 72,325
5.00%, 5/1/25 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Isabella Stewart Gardner Museum), Prerefunded to 5/1/19, 5.00%, 5/1/29		320 490	340,211 520,949
Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Massachusetts Institute of Technology), Prerefunded to 7/1/18, 5.50%, 7/1/36  Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Tufts University), Prerefunded to 8/15/18, 5.375%, 8/15/38		1,000 1,025	1,035,480 1,065,395
			\$ 3,279,432
General Obligations 9.4%  Denuero 5.25% 71.176	¢	E/E	¢ 640.500
Danvers, 5.25%, 7/1/36 Plymouth, 5.00%, 5/1/26 Plymouth, 5.00%, 5/1/31 Plymouth, 5.00%, 5/1/32 Wayland, 5.00%, 2/1/33 Wayland, 5.00%, 2/1/36	\$	565 250 225 205 340 510	\$ 642,529 281,455 252,556 228,962 378,036 565,998

Winchester, 5.00%, 4/15/36 160 178,856

\$ 2,528,392

	Amount	
(000	) s omitted)	Value
\$	120	\$ 136,314
	525	613,641
	750	843,322
	1,000	1,105,080
	775	808,054

500

750

1,000

\$

Principal

A mount

#### \$ 5,876,049

530,695

791,543

1,047,400

Insured Education 12.3%			
Massachusetts College Building Authority, (XLCA), 5.50%, 5/1/39	\$	700	\$ 954,660
Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Boston University), (XLCA), 6.00%, 5/15/59		1,105	1,403,416
Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (College of the Holy Cross), (AMBAC), 5.25%, 9/1/32 <sup>(1)</sup>			956,993

#### \$ 3,315,069

Insured	Electric Utilities	4.4%			
Puerto Ri	co Electric Power	Authority,	(NPFG).	5.25%.	7/1/23

Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (CareGroup), 5.00%, 7/1/33 Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Children s Hospital), 5.00%, 10/1/31

Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (South Shore Hospital), 5.00%, 7/1/41

Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Lahey Health System Obligated Group), 5.00%, 8/15/40

Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Dana-Farber Cancer Institute), 5.00%, 12/1/37

Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Partners HealthCare System), 5.00%, 7/1/34

Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Partners HealthCare System), 5.00%, 7/1/39

Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Southcoast Health System), 5.00%, 7/1/29

### \$ 1,175,734

1,095 \$ 1,175,734

# Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded 13.7% Massachusetts Callaga Building Authority (NPEG) Escrowed to Maturity, 0.00%, 5/1/26

Security

Hospital 21.9%

Massachusetts College Building Authority, (NPFG), Escrowed to Maturity, 0.00%, 5/1/26	\$ 2,900	\$ 2,378,203
Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Cape Cod Healthcare), (AGC), Prerefunded to 11/15/19,		
5.00%, 11/15/25	220	238,346
Revere, (AGC), Prerefunded to 4/1/19, 5.00%, 4/1/39	1,000	1,060,240

#### \$ 3,676,789

#### Insured General Obligations 9.3%

Massachusetts, (AMBAC), 5.50%, 8/1/30 \$ 1,900 \$ 2,509,121

\$ 2,509,121