

NOMURA HOLDINGS INC
Form 6-K
November 27, 2015
Table of Contents

FORM 6-K
U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Report of Foreign Private Issuer

**Pursuant to Rule 13a-16 or 15d-16 of
the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**

Commission File Number: 1-15270

For the month of November 2015

NOMURA HOLDINGS, INC.

(Translation of registrant's name into English)

9-1, Nihonbashi 1-chome

Chuo-ku, Tokyo 103-8645

Japan

(Address of principal executive offices)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant files or will file annual reports under cover Form 20-F or Form 40-F.

Form 20-F X Form 40-F

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is submitting the Form 6-K in paper as permitted by Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(1):

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is submitting the Form 6-K in paper as permitted by Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(7):

Table of Contents

Information furnished on this form:

EXHIBITS

Exhibit Number

1. (English Translation) Quarterly Securities Report Pursuant to the Financial Instruments and Exchange Act for the Six Months Ended September 30, 2015
2. (English Translation) Confirmation Letter
3. Capitalization and Indebtedness as of September 30, 2015 and Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges and Computation Thereof for the Six Months Ended September 30, 2015

The registrant hereby incorporates Exhibits 1, 2 and 3 to this report on Form 6-K by reference (i) in the prospectus that is part of the Registration Statement on Form F-3 (Registration No. 333-191250) of the registrant and Nomura America Finance, LLC, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) on September 19, 2013 and (ii) in the prospectus that is part of the Registration Statement on Form F-3 (Registration No. 333-186755) of the registrant, filed with the SEC on February 20, 2013.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

NOMURA HOLDINGS, INC.

Date: November 27, 2015

By: /s/ Hajime Ikeda
Hajime Ikeda
Senior Managing Director

Table of Contents

Exhibit 1

Quarterly Securities Report Pursuant to the Financial Instruments and Exchange Act for the Six Months Ended September 30, 2015

Items included in the Quarterly Securities Report

	Page
<u>Part I Corporate Information</u>	1
<u>Item 1. Information on Company and Its Subsidiaries and Affiliates</u>	1
<u>1. Selected Financial Data</u>	1
<u>2. Business Overview</u>	1
<u>Item 2. Operating and Financial Review</u>	2
<u>1. Risk Factors</u>	2
<u>2. Significant Contracts</u>	2
<u>3. Operating, Financial and Cash Flows Analysis</u>	2
<u>Item 3. Company Information</u>	19
<u>1. Share Capital Information</u>	19
2. Directors and Executive Officers	
<u>Item 4. Financial Information</u>	22
<u>Preparation Method of Consolidated Financial Statements and Quarterly Review Certificate</u>	22
<u>1. Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	23
<u>(1) Consolidated Balance Sheets (UNAUDITED)</u>	23
<u>(2) Consolidated Statements of Income (UNAUDITED)</u>	26
<u>(3) Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (UNAUDITED)</u>	28
<u>(4) Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity (UNAUDITED)</u>	29
<u>(5) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (UNAUDITED)</u>	30
<u>Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (UNAUDITED)</u>	31
<u>2. Other</u>	118
 Part II Information on Guarantor of the Company	
<u>Quarterly Review Report of Independent Auditors</u>	119

Note: Translations for the underlined items are attached to this form as below.

Table of Contents**Part I Corporate Information****Item 1. Information on Company and Its Subsidiaries and Affiliates**

1. Selected Financial Data

		Six months ended September 30, 2014	Six months ended September 30, 2015	Three months ended September 30, 2014	Three months ended September 30, 2015	Year ended March 31, 2015
Total revenue	(Mil yen)	912,974	926,355	450,820	417,907	1,930,588
Net revenue	(Mil yen)	744,671	760,636	373,833	336,604	1,604,176
Income before income taxes	(Mil yen)	125,679	125,889	74,005	19,877	346,759
Net income attributable to Nomura Holdings, Inc. (NHI) shareholders	(Mil yen)	72,732	115,301	52,872	46,559	224,785
Comprehensive income attributable to NHI shareholders	(Mil yen)	122,629	92,236	111,856	4,070	347,888
Total equity	(Mil yen)	2,615,218	2,794,936			2,744,946
Total assets	(Mil yen)	43,802,094	43,960,331			41,783,236
Net income attributable to NHI shareholders per share - basic	(Yen)	19.87	32.06	14.53	12.95	61.66
Net income attributable to NHI shareholders per share - diluted	(Yen)	19.34	31.26	14.15	12.63	60.03
Total NHI shareholders' equity as a percentage of total assets	(%)	5.8	6.3			6.5
Cash flows from operating activities	(Mil yen)	31,694	795,142			(77,028)
Cash flows from investing activities	(Mil yen)	23,577	(13,558)			12,337
Cash flows from financing activities	(Mil yen)	(134,590)	67,266			(178,206)
Cash and cash equivalents at end of the period	(Mil yen)	1,439,786	2,160,335			1,315,408

1 The selected financial data of Nomura Holdings, Inc. (the Company) and other entities in which it has a controlling financial interest (collectively referred to as Nomura, we, our, or us) are stated in accordance with the accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP).

2 Taxable transactions do not include consumption taxes and local consumption taxes.

3 As the consolidated financial statements have been prepared, selected financial data on the Company are not disclosed.

2. Business Overview

There were no significant changes to the businesses of the Company and its 1,083 consolidated subsidiaries for the six months ended September 30, 2015.

There were 16 affiliated companies which were accounted for by the equity method as of September 30, 2015.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Operating and Financial Review**

1. Risk Factors

There is no significant change in our Risk Factors for the six months ended September 30, 2015 and until the submission date of this report.

2. Significant Contracts

Not applicable.

3. Operating, Financial and Cash Flows Analysis

(1) Operating Results

Nomura reported net revenue of ¥760.6 billion, non-interest expenses of ¥634.7 billion, income before income taxes of ¥125.9 billion, and net income attributable to NHI shareholders of ¥115.3 billion for the six months ended September 30, 2015.

The breakdown of net revenue and non-interest expenses on the consolidated statements of income are as follows:

	Millions of yen			
	Six months ended September 30		2015	
	2014		2015	
Commissions	¥	206,471	¥	241,844
Brokerage commissions		116,696		162,030
Commissions for distribution of investment trust		67,992		56,323
Other		21,783		23,491
Fees from investment banking		40,442		69,364
Underwriting and distribution		24,828		48,366
M&A / financial advisory fees		10,266		15,202
Other		5,348		5,796
Asset management and portfolio service fees		95,781		118,117
Asset management fees		86,555		108,268
Other		9,226		9,849
Net gain on trading		287,573		187,299
Gain (loss) on private equity investments		202		1,756
Net interest		45,389		59,470
Gain (loss) on investments in equity securities		9,234		(1,696)
Other		59,579		84,482
Net revenue	¥	744,671	¥	760,636

	Millions of yen			
	Six months ended September 30		2015	
	2014		2015	
Compensation and benefits	¥	309,590	¥	305,619
Commissions and floor brokerage		61,189		66,864
Information processing and communications		90,857		96,153
Occupancy and related depreciation		36,777		37,902
Business development expenses		16,998		16,784
Other		103,581		111,425
Non-interest expenses	¥	618,992	¥	634,747

Table of Contents

Business Segment Information

Results by business segment are noted below.

Reconciliations of *Net revenue* and *Income (loss) before income taxes* on segment results of operations and the consolidated statements of income are set forth in Item 4. Financial Information, 1. Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 15. *Segment and geographic information.*

Net revenue

	Millions of yen	
	Six months ended September 30 2014	Six months ended September 30 2015
Retail	¥ 224,803	¥ 246,347
Asset Management	45,029	49,771
Wholesale	379,456	398,095
Other (Incl. elimination)	89,294	68,324
Total	¥ 738,582	¥ 762,537

Non-interest expenses

	Millions of yen	
	Six months ended September 30 2014	Six months ended September 30 2015
Retail	¥ 154,332	¥ 158,703
Asset Management	28,946	29,613
Wholesale	351,508	369,795
Other (Incl. elimination)	84,206	76,636
Total	¥ 618,992	¥ 634,747

Income (loss) before income taxes

	Millions of yen	
	Six months ended September 30 2014	Six months ended September 30 2015
Retail	¥ 70,471	¥ 87,644
Asset Management	16,083	20,158
Wholesale	27,948	28,300
Other (Incl. elimination)	5,088	(8,312)
Total	¥ 119,590	¥ 127,790

Table of Contents

Retail

Net revenue was ¥246.3 billion primarily due to an increase of recurring revenue from investment trusts and discretionary investments and contributions from capital market transactions. Non-interest expenses were ¥158.7 billion and income before income taxes was ¥87.6 billion. Retail client assets were ¥103.0 trillion as of September 30, 2015, a ¥6.5 trillion decrease from March 31, 2015.

Asset Management

Net revenue was ¥49.8 billion. Non-interest expenses were ¥29.6 billion and income before income taxes was ¥20.2 billion. Assets under management were ¥40.0 trillion as of September 30, 2015, a ¥0.7 trillion increase from March 31, 2015, primarily due to inflows into our investment trusts and investment advisory businesses.

Wholesale

Net revenue was ¥398.1 billion. Non-interest expenses were ¥369.8 billion and income before income taxes was ¥28.3 billion.

The breakdown of net revenue for Wholesale is as follows:

	Millions of yen	
	Six months ended September 30 2014	2015
Fixed Income ⁽¹⁾	¥ 204,577	¥ 167,306
Equities ⁽¹⁾	130,053	167,556
Investment Banking (Net)	45,237	62,509
Investment Banking (Other)	(411)	724
Investment Banking	44,826	63,233
Net revenue	¥ 379,456	¥ 398,095
Investment Banking (Gross)	¥ 83,887	¥ 112,755

(1) Fixed Income and Equities financials for the six months ended September 2014 have been realigned following the reorganization in April 2015.

Fixed Income net revenue was ¥167.3 billion as a result of a drop in liquidity amid challenging market conditions in the international regions. Equities net revenue was ¥167.6 billion driven by rallies in the equity markets. Investment Banking net revenue was ¥63.2 billion, primarily due to contributions from capital market transactions in Japan and an increase in transactions in the international regions.

Other Operating Results

Other operating results include net gain (loss) related to economic hedging transactions, realized gain (loss) on investments in equity securities held for operating purposes, equity in earnings of affiliates, corporate items, and other financial adjustments. Other operating results for the six months ended September 30, 2015 include gains from changes in the fair value of the financial liabilities, for which the fair value option was elected, attributable to the change in Nomura's creditworthiness of ¥22.2 billion, the negative impact of its own creditworthiness on derivative liabilities which resulted in losses of ¥6.6 billion and losses from changes in counterparty credit spread of ¥8.1 billion. Net revenue was ¥68.3 billion, non-interest expenses were ¥76.6 billion and loss before income taxes was ¥8.3 billion for the six months ended September 30, 2015.

Geographic Information

Edgar Filing: NOMURA HOLDINGS INC - Form 6-K

Please refer to Item 4. Financial Information, 1. Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 15. *Segment and geographic information* for net revenue and income (loss) before income taxes by geographic allocation.

Cash Flow Information

Please refer to (6) Liquidity and Capital Resources.

Table of Contents

(2) Assets and Liabilities Associated with Investment and Financial Services Business

1) Exposure to Certain Financial Instruments and Counterparties

Market conditions impact numerous products to which we have certain exposures. We also have exposures to Special Purpose Entities (SPEs) and others in the normal course of business.

Leveraged Finance

We provide loans to clients in connection with leveraged buy-outs and leveraged buy-ins. As this type of financing is usually initially provided through a commitment, we have both funded and unfunded exposures on these transactions.

The following table sets forth our exposure to leveraged finance by geographic location of the target company as of September 30, 2015.

	Millions of yen September 30, 2015		
	Funded	Unfunded	Total
Europe	¥ 4,945	¥ 45,892	¥ 50,837
Americas	22,197	266,232	288,429
Total	¥ 27,142	¥ 312,124	¥ 339,266

Special Purpose Entities (SPEs)

Our involvement with these entities includes structuring, underwriting, as well as, subject to prevailing market conditions, distributing and selling debt instruments and beneficial interests issued by these entities. In the normal course of securitization and equity derivative activities business, we also act as a transferor of financial assets to, and underwriter, distributor and seller of repackaged financial instruments issued by these entities. We retain, purchase and sell variable interests in SPEs in connection with our market-making, investing and structuring activities. Our other types of involvement with SPEs include guarantee agreements and derivative contracts.

For further discussion on Nomura's involvement with variable interest entities (VIEs), see Note 6. *Securitized and Variable Interest Entities* included in our consolidated financial statements.

2) Fair Value of Financial Instruments

A significant amount of our financial instruments are carried at fair value, with changes in fair value recognized through the consolidated statements of income or the consolidated statements of comprehensive income on a recurring basis. Use of fair value is either specifically required under U.S. GAAP or we make an election to use fair value for certain eligible items under the fair value option.

Other financial assets and financial liabilities are carried at fair value on a nonrecurring basis, where the primary measurement basis is not fair value. Fair value is only used in specific circumstances after initial recognition, such as to measure impairment.

In accordance with Accounting Standard Codification (ASC) 820 *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures* , all financial instruments measured at fair value have been categorized into a three-level hierarchy based on the transparency of inputs used to establish fair value.

Level 3 financial assets excluding derivatives as a proportion of total financial assets excluding derivatives, carried at fair value on a recurring basis was 2% as of September 30, 2015 as listed below:

Billions of yen, except percentage
September 30, 2015

Edgar Filing: NOMURA HOLDINGS INC - Form 6-K

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Counterparty and Cash Collateral Netting	Total	The proportion of Level 3
Financial assets measured at fair value (Excluding derivative assets)	¥ 10,306	¥ 8,891	¥ 360	¥	¥ 19,557	2%
Derivative assets	42	33,967	198	(32,748)	1,459	
Derivative liabilities	23	33,770	220	(32,747)	1,266	

Please refer to Item 4. Financial Information, 1. Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 2. *Fair value measurements* for further information.

Table of Contents

(3) Trading Activities

Assets and liabilities for trading purposes

Please refer to Item 4. Financial Information, 1. Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 2. *Fair value measurements* and Note 3. *Derivative instruments and hedging activities* regarding the balances of assets and liabilities for trading purposes.

Risk management of trading activity

We adopt Value at Risk (VaR) for measurement of market risk arising from trading activity.

1) Assumptions on VaR

Confidence Level: 99%

Holding period: One day

Consideration of price movement among the products

2) Records of VaR

	Billions of yen	
	March 31, 2015	September 30, 2015
Equity	¥ 1.0	¥ 1.5
Interest rate	4.2	5.2
Foreign exchange	1.1	1.4
Subtotal	6.2	8.2
Diversification benefit	(1.6)	(2.8)
VaR	¥ 4.6	¥ 5.4

	Billions of yen		
	Six months ended September 30, 2015		
	Maximum ⁽¹⁾	Minimum ⁽¹⁾	Average ⁽¹⁾
VaR	¥ 9.1	¥ 3.5	¥ 5.2

(1) Represents the maximum, average and minimum VaR based on all daily calculations over the six-month period.

Table of Contents

(4) Deferred Tax Assets Information

Details of deferred tax assets and liabilities

The following table presents details of deferred tax assets and liabilities reported within *Other assets*, *Other* and *Other liabilities*, respectively, in the consolidated balance sheets as of September 30, 2015.

	Millions of yen
	September 30, 2015
Deferred tax assets	
Depreciation, amortization and valuation of fixed assets	¥ 15,932
Investments in subsidiaries and affiliates	100,463
Valuation of financial instruments	62,918
Accrued pension and severance costs	10,417
Other accrued expenses and provisions	110,512
Operating losses	480,335
Other	3,823
Gross deferred tax assets	784,400
Less Valuation allowance	(592,518)
Total deferred tax assets	191,882
Deferred tax liabilities	
Investments in subsidiaries and affiliates	129,274
Valuation of financial instruments	51,519
Undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries	657
Valuation of fixed assets	21,309
Other	9,162
Total deferred tax liabilities	211,921
Net deferred tax assets (liabilities)	¥ (20,039)

Calculation method of deferred tax assets

In accordance with U.S. GAAP, we recognize deferred tax assets to the extent we believe that it is more likely than not that a benefit will be realized. A valuation allowance is provided for tax benefits available to us, which are not deemed more likely than not to be realized.

Table of Contents

(5) Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

1) Risk Management

Nomura Group defines risks as (i) the potential erosion of Nomura's capital base due to unexpected losses arising from risks to which its business operations are exposed, such as market risk, credit risk, operational risk and model risk, (ii) liquidity risk, the potential lack of access to funds or higher cost of funding than normal levels due to a deterioration in Nomura's creditworthiness or deterioration in market conditions, and (iii) business risk, the potential failure of revenues to cover costs due to a deterioration in the earnings environment or a deterioration in the efficiency or effectiveness of its business operations.

A fundamental principle established by Nomura is that all employees shall regard themselves as principals of risk management and appropriately manage these risks. Nomura seeks to promote a culture of proactive risk management throughout all levels of the organization and to limit risks to the confines of its risk appetite. The risk management framework that Nomura uses to manage these risks consists of its risk appetite, risk management governance and oversight, the management of financial resources, the management of all risk classes, and processes to measure and control risks.

2) Global Risk Management Structure

The Board of Directors has established the Structure for Ensuring Appropriate Business of Nomura Holdings, Inc. as the Company's basic principle and set up a framework for the management of risk of loss based on this. In addition, they are continuously making efforts to improve, strengthen and build up our risk management capabilities under this framework. Besides this, the Group Integrated Risk Management Committee, upon delegation from the Executive Management Board, has established the Risk Management Policy, describing Nomura's overall risk management framework including the fundamental risk management principles followed by Nomura.

Market Risk Management

Market risk is the risk of loss arising from fluctuations in the value of financial assets and liabilities (including off-balance sheet items) due to fluctuations in market factors (interest rates, foreign exchange rates, prices of securities and others). Effective management of market risk requires the ability to analyze a complex and evolving portfolio in a constantly changing global market environment, identify problematic trends and ensure that appropriate action is taken in a timely manner.

Nomura uses a variety of statistical risk measurement tools to assess and monitor market risk on an ongoing basis, including, but not limited to, VaR, Stressed VaR (SVaR) and Incremental Risk Charge (IRC). In addition, Nomura uses sensitivity analysis and stress testing to measure and analyze its market risk. Sensitivities are measures used to show the potential changes to a portfolio due to standard moves in market risk factors. They are specific to each asset class and cannot usually be aggregated across risk factors. Stress testing enables the analysis of portfolio risks or tail risks, including non-linear behaviors and can be aggregated across risk factors at any level of the group hierarchy, from firmwide level to business division, units or desk levels. Market risk is monitored against a set of approved limits, with daily reports and other management information provided to the business units and senior management.

Credit Risk Management

Credit risk is the risk of loss arising from an obligor or counterparty's default, insolvency or administrative proceeding which results in the obligor's failure to meet its contractual obligations in accordance with agreed terms. This includes both on and off-balance sheet exposures. It is also the risk of loss arising through a credit valuation adjustment (CVA) associated with deterioration in the creditworthiness of a counterparty.

Table of Contents

The measurement, monitoring and management of credit risk at Nomura is governed by a set of global policies and procedures. Credit Risk Management (CRM), a global function within the Risk Management Division, is responsible for the implementation and maintenance of these policies and procedures.

Credit risk exposure is managed by CRM together with various global and regional risk committees. CRM operates as a credit risk control function within the Risk Management Division, reporting to the Chief Risk Officer. The process for managing credit risk at Nomura includes:

Evaluation of likelihood that a counterparty defaults on its payments and obligations;

Assignment of internal credit ratings to all active counterparties;

Approval of extensions of credit and establishment of credit limits;

Measurement, monitoring and management of the firm's current and potential future credit exposures;

Setting credit terms in legal documentation including margin terms;

Use of appropriate credit risk mitigants including netting, collateral and hedging.

For regulatory capital calculation purposes, Nomura has been applying the Foundation Internal Rating Based Approach in calculating credit risk weighted asset since the end of March 2011. The Standardized Approach is applied to certain business units or asset types, which are considered immaterial to the calculation of credit risk weighted assets.

The exposure calculation model used for counterparty credit risk management has also been used for the Internal Model Method based exposure calculation for regulatory capital reporting purposes since the end of December 2012.

Operational Risk Management

Operational risk is the risk of loss resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes, people, and systems or from external events. It excludes strategic risk (the risk of loss as a result of poor strategic business decisions), but includes the risk of breach of legal and regulatory requirements, and the risk of damage to Nomura's reputation if caused by an operational risk.

Nomura adopts the industry standard "Three Lines of Defence" for the management of operational risk, comprising the following elements:

- 1) 1st Line of Defence: The business which owns and manages its risks
- 2) 2nd Line of Defence: The Operational Risk Management function, which defines and co-ordinates Nomura's operational risk strategy and framework and provides challenge to the 1st Line of Defence
- 3) 3rd Line of Defence: Internal and External Audit, who provide independent assurance

An Operational Risk Management Framework has been established in order to allow Nomura to identify, assess, manage, monitor and report on operational risk. Operational risk appetite is defined through a mixture of qualitative appetite statements and quantitative measures utilizing key components of the Operational Risk Management Framework.

Edgar Filing: NOMURA HOLDINGS INC - Form 6-K

Nomura uses The Standardized Approach for calculating regulatory capital for operational risk. This involves using a three-year average of gross income allocated to business lines, which is multiplied by a fixed percentage determined by the Financial Services Agency of Japan (FSA), to establish the amount of required operational risk capital.

Table of Contents

Model Risk Management

Nomura uses risk models for regulatory and economic capital calculations and valuation models for pricing and sensitivity calculations of positions. Model risk is the risk arising from model errors or incorrect or inappropriate model application, which can lead to financial loss, poor business and strategic decision-making, restatement of external and internal reports, regulatory penalties and damage to Nomura's reputation. Errors can occur at any point from model assumptions through to implementation. In addition, the quality of model outputs depends on the quality of model parameters and any input data. Even a fundamentally sound model producing accurate outputs consistent with the design objective of the model may exhibit high model risk if it is misapplied or misused. To address these risks, the firm establishes its Model Risk Appetite. The quantitative Risk Appetite measure is based on the potential loss arising from Model Risk.

Nomura has documented policies in place, which define the process and validation procedures required in order to implement new or amend existing valuation and risk models. Before these models are put into official use, the Model Validation Group (MVG) is responsible for validating their integrity and comprehensiveness independently from those who design and build them. All such models are also subject to an annual re-approval process by MVG to ensure they remain suitable. For changes with an impact above certain materiality thresholds, model approval is required.

(6) Liquidity and Capital Resources

Funding and Liquidity Management

Overview

We define liquidity risk as the risk of losses arising from difficulty in securing necessary funding or from a significantly higher cost of funding than normal levels due to deterioration of the Nomura Group's creditworthiness or deterioration in market conditions. This risk could arise from Nomura-specific or market-wide events such as inability to access the secured or unsecured debt markets, a deterioration in our credit ratings, a failure to manage unplanned changes in funding requirements, a failure to liquidate assets quickly and with minimal loss in value, or changes in regulatory capital restrictions which may prevent the free flow of funds between different group entities. Our global liquidity risk management policy is based on liquidity risk appetite formulated by the Executive Management Board (EMB). Nomura's liquidity risk management, under market-wide stress and in addition, under Nomura-specific stress, seeks to ensure enough continuous liquidity to meet all funding requirements and unsecured debt obligations across one year and one month periods, respectively, without raising funds through unsecured funding or through the liquidation of assets. We are required to meet regulatory notice on the liquidity coverage ratio issued by the FSA.

We have in place a number of liquidity risk management frameworks that enable us to achieve our primary liquidity objective. These frameworks include (1) Centralized Control of Residual Cash and Maintenance of Liquidity Portfolio; (2) Utilization of Unencumbered Assets as Part of Our Liquidity Portfolio; (3) Appropriate Funding and Diversification of Funding Sources and Maturities Commensurate with the Composition of Assets; (4) Management of Credit Lines to Nomura Group Entities; (5) Implementation of Liquidity Stress Tests; and (6) Contingency Funding Plan.

Our EMB has the authority to make decisions concerning group liquidity management. The Chief Financial Officer (CFO) has the operational authority and responsibility over our liquidity management based on decisions made by the EMB.

Table of Contents

1. Centralized Control of Residual Cash and Maintenance of Liquidity Portfolio

We centrally control residual cash held at Nomura Group entities for effective liquidity utilization purposes. As for the usage of funds, the CFO decides the maximum amount of available funds, provided without posting any collateral, for allocation within Nomura and the EMB allocates the funds to each business division. Global Treasury monitors usage by businesses and reports to the EMB.

In order to enable us to transfer funds smoothly between group entities, we limit the issuance of securities by regulated broker-dealers or banking entities within the Nomura Group and seek to raise unsecured funding primarily through the Company or through unregulated subsidiaries. The primary benefits of this strategy include cost minimization, wider investor name recognition and greater flexibility in providing funding to various subsidiaries across the Nomura Group.

To meet any potential liquidity requirement, we maintain a liquidity portfolio in the form of cash and highly liquid, unencumbered securities that may be sold or pledged to provide liquidity. As of September 30, 2015, our liquidity portfolio was ¥6,185.1 billion which generated a liquidity surplus taking into account stress scenarios.

2. Utilization of Unencumbered Assets as Part of Our Liquidity Portfolio.

In addition to our liquidity portfolio, we had unencumbered assets comprising mainly of unpledged trading assets that can be used as an additional source of secured funding. Global Treasury monitors other unencumbered assets and can, under a liquidity stress event when the contingency funding plan has been invoked, monetize and utilize the cash generated as a result. The aggregate value of our liquidity portfolios and other unencumbered assets was sufficient against our total unsecured debt maturing within one year.

3. Appropriate Funding and Diversification of Funding Sources and Maturities Commensurate with the Composition of Assets

We seek to maintain a surplus of long-term debt and equity above the cash capital requirements of our assets.

We also seek to achieve diversification of our funding by market, instrument type, investors, currency, and staggered maturities in order to reduce unsecured refinancing risk.

We diversify funding by issuing various types of debt instruments these include both structured loans and notes. Structured notes are debt obligations with returns linked to interest rates, equities, indices, currencies or commodities. We issue structured notes in order to increase the diversity of our debt instruments. We typically hedge the returns we are obliged to pay with derivatives and/or the underlying assets to obtain funding equivalent to our unsecured long-term debt.

Table of Contents*3.1 Short-Term Unsecured Debt*

Our short-term unsecured debt consists of short-term bank borrowings (including long-term bank borrowings maturing within one year), other loans, commercial paper, deposits at banking entities, certificates of deposit and debt securities maturing within one year. Deposits at banking entities and certificates of deposit comprise customer deposits and certificates of deposit of our banking subsidiaries. Short-term unsecured debt includes the current portion of long-term unsecured debt.

The following table presents an analysis of our short-term unsecured debt by type of financial liability as of March 31, 2015 and September 30, 2015.

	Billions of yen	
	March 31, 2015	September 30, 2015
Short-term bank borrowings	¥ 267.3	¥ 227.6
Other loans	23.9	60.0
Commercial paper	252.9	137.6
Deposit at banking entities	813.6	978.1
Certificates of deposit	260.9	239.5
Bonds and notes maturing within one year	938.4	743.4
Total short-term unsecured debt	¥ 2,557.0	¥ 2,386.2

3.2 Long-Term Unsecured Debt

We meet our long-term capital requirements and also achieve both cost-effective funding and an appropriate maturity profile by routinely funding through long-term debt and diversifying across various maturities and currencies.

Our long-term unsecured debt includes senior and subordinated debt issued through U.S. registered shelf offerings and our U.S. registered medium-term note programs, our Euro medium-term note programs, registered shelf offerings in Japan and various other debt programs.

As a globally competitive financial services group in Japan, we have access to multiple global markets and major funding centers. The Company, NSC, Nomura Europe Finance N.V., Nomura Bank International plc, and Nomura International Funding Pte. Ltd. are the main group entities that borrow externally, issue debt instruments and engage in other funding activities. By raising funds to match the currencies and liquidities of our assets or by using foreign exchange swaps as necessary, we pursue optimization of our funding structures.

We use a wide range of products and currencies to ensure that our funding is efficient and well diversified across markets and investor types. Our unsecured senior debt is mostly issued without financial covenants, such as covenants related to adverse changes in our credit ratings, cash flows, results of operations or financial ratios, which could trigger an increase in our cost of financing or accelerate repayment of the debt.

Table of Contents

The following table presents an analysis of our long-term unsecured debt by type of financial liability as of March 31, 2015 and September 30, 2015.

	Billions of yen	
	March 31, 2015	September 30, 2015
Long-term deposit at banking entities	¥ 145.9	¥ 153.7
Long-term bank borrowings	2,623.0	2,639.1
Other loans	196.4	183.4
Bonds and notes ⁽¹⁾	3,544.1	3,711.3
Total long-term unsecured debt	¥ 6,509.4	¥ 6,687.5

- (1) Excludes long-term debt securities issued by consolidated special purpose entities and similar entities that meet the definition of variable interest entities under ASC 810 *Consolidation* and secured financing transactions recognized within *Long-term borrowings* as a result of transfers of financial assets that are accounted for as financings rather than sales in accordance with ASC 860 *Transfer and Servicing* .

3.3 Maturity Profile

We also seek to maintain an average maturity for plain vanilla instruments greater than or equal to three years. A significant amount of our medium-term notes are structured and linked to interest rates, equities, indices, currencies or commodities. These maturities are evaluated based on internal models and monitored by Global Treasury. Maturities for plain vanilla debt securities and borrowings are evaluated based on contractual maturities. Where there is a possibility that these may be called prior to their scheduled maturity date, maturities are based on our internal stress option adjusted model. This model values the embedded optionality under stress market conditions in order to determine when the debt securities or borrowing is likely to be called.

3.4 Secured Borrowings

We typically fund our trading activities on a secured basis through secured borrowings, repurchase agreements and Japanese Gensaki Repo transactions. We believe these funding activities in the secured markets are more cost-efficient and less credit-rating sensitive than financing in the unsecured market. Also, repurchase agreements tend to be short-term, often overnight. We lower the liquidity risks arising from secured funding by transacting with a diverse group of global counterparties, delivering various types of securities collateral, and actively seeking long-term agreements. For more detail of secured borrowings and repurchase agreements, see Note 4 *Collateralized transactions* in our consolidated financial statements included within this annual report.

4 Management of Credit Lines to Nomura Group Entities

We maintain and expand credit lines to Nomura Group entities from other financial institutions to secure stable funding. We ensure that the maturity dates of borrowing agreements are distributed evenly throughout the year in order to prevent excessive maturities in any given period.

Table of Contents

5 Implementation of Liquidity Stress Tests

We maintain our liquidity portfolio and monitor the sufficiency of our liquidity based on an internal model which simulates changes in cash outflow under specified stress scenarios to comply with our above mentioned liquidity management policy.

We assess the liquidity requirements of the Nomura Group under various stress scenarios with differing levels of severity over multiple time horizons. We evaluate these requirements under Nomura-specific and broad market-wide events, including potential credit rating downgrades at the Company and subsidiary levels that may impact us by loss of access to unsecured capital markets, additional collateral posting requirements, limited or no access to secured funding markets and other events. We call this risk analysis our Maximum Cumulative Outflow (MCO) framework.

The MCO framework is designed to incorporate the primary liquidity risks for Nomura and models the relevant cash flows in the following two primary scenarios:

Stressed scenario To maintain adequate liquidity during a severe market-wide liquidity event without raising funds through unsecured financing or through the liquidation of assets for a year; and

Acute stress scenario To maintain adequate liquidity during a severe market-wide liquidity event coupled with credit concerns regarding Nomura's liquidity position, without raising funds through unsecured funding or through the liquidation of assets for one month.

We assume that Nomura will not be able to liquidate assets or adjust its business model during the time horizons used in each of these scenarios. The MCO framework therefore defines the amount of liquidity required to be held in order to meet our expected liquidity needs in a stress event to a level we believe appropriate based on our liquidity risk appetite.

As of September 30, 2015, our liquidity portfolio exceeded net cash outflows under the stress scenarios described above.

We constantly evaluate and modify our liquidity risk assumptions based on regulatory and market changes. The model we use in order to simulate the impact of stress scenarios includes the following assumptions:

No liquidation of assets;

No ability to issue additional unsecured funding;

Upcoming maturities of unsecured debt (maturities less than one year);

Potential buybacks of our outstanding debt;

Loss of secured funding lines particularly for less liquid assets, over and above our cash capital estimates;

Fluctuation of funding needs under normal business circumstances;

Cash and collateral outflows in a stress event;

Widening of haircuts on outstanding repo funding;

Additional collateralization requirements of clearing banks and depositories;

Drawdown on loan commitments;

Loss of liquidity from market losses;

Assuming a two-notch downgrade of our credit ratings, the aggregate fair value of assets that we would be required to post as additional collateral in connection with our derivative contracts; and

Legal and regulatory requirements that can restrict the flow of funds between entities in the Nomura Group.

Table of Contents

6 Contingency Funding Plan

We have developed a detailed contingency funding plan to integrate liquidity risk control into our comprehensive risk management strategy and to enhance the quantitative aspects of our liquidity risk control procedures. As a part of our Contingency Funding Plan (CFP), we have developed an approach for analyzing and quantifying the impact of any liquidity crisis. This allows us to estimate the likely impact of both Nomura-specific and market-wide events; and specifies the immediate action to be taken to mitigate any risk. The CFP lists details of key internal and external parties to be contacted and the processes by which information is to be disseminated. This has been developed at a legal entity level in order to capture specific cash requirements at the local level it assumes that our parent company does not have access to cash that may be trapped at a subsidiary level due to regulatory, legal or tax constraints. We periodically test the effectiveness of our funding plans for different Nomura-specific and market-wide events. We also have access to central banks including, but not exclusively, the BOJ, which provide financing against various types of securities. These operations are accessed in the normal course of business and are an important tool in mitigating contingent risk from market disruptions.

Liquidity Regulatory Framework

In 2008, the Basel Committee published Principles for Sound Liquidity Risk Management and Supervision (Sound Principles). To complement these principles, the Committee has further strengthened its liquidity framework by developing two minimum standards for funding liquidity. These standards have been developed to achieve two separate but complementary objectives.

The first objective is to promote short-term resilience of a financial institution s liquidity risk profile by ensuring that it has sufficient high-quality liquid assets to survive a significant stress scenario lasting for one month. The Committee developed the Liquidity Coverage Ratio (LCR) to achieve this objective.

The second objective is to promote resilience over a longer time horizon by creating additional incentives for financial institutions to fund their activities with more stable sources of funding on an ongoing basis. The Net Stable Funding Ratio (NSFR) has a time horizon of one year and has been developed to provide a sustainable maturity structure of assets and liabilities.

These two standards are comprised mainly of specific parameters which are internationally harmonized with prescribed values. Certain parameters, however, contain elements of national discretion to reflect jurisdiction-specific conditions.

In Japan, the regulatory notice on the LCR, based on the international agreement issued by the Basel Committee with necessary national revisions, was published by Financial Services Agency (on October 31, 2014). The notices have been implemented since the end of March 2015 with phased-in minimum standards. Average of Nomura s month-end LCRs for the three months ended September 30, 2015 was 166.5%, and Nomura was compliant with requirements of the above notices. As for the NSFR, the international agreement was issued by the Basel Committee in October 2014, and the ratio is planned to be implemented as minimum standards in Japan in 2018.

Cash Flows

Cash and cash equivalents balance as of September 30, 2014 and as of September 30, 2015 were ¥1,439.8 billion and ¥2,160.3 billion, respectively. Cash flows from operating activities for the six months ended September 30, 2014 and September 30, 2015 were inflows of ¥31.7 billion and ¥795.1 billion, respectively, due primarily to an increase in *Securities purchased under agreements to resell, net of securities sold under agreements to repurchase*. Cash flows from investing activities for the six months ended September 30, 2014 were inflows of ¥23.6 billion due primarily to a decrease in *Non-trading debt securities, net* and for the comparable period in 2015 were outflows of ¥13.6 billion due primarily to an increase in *Loan receivable at banks, net*. Cash flows from financing activities for the six months ended September 30, 2014 were outflows of ¥134.6 billion due primarily to a decrease in *Long-term borrowings* and for the comparable period in 2015 were inflows of ¥67.3 billion due primarily to an increase in *Long-term borrowings*.

Table of Contents

Balance Sheet and Financial Leverage

Total assets as of September 30, 2015, were ¥43,960.3 billion, an increase of ¥2,177.1 billion compared with ¥41,783.2 billion as of March 31, 2015, reflecting increases such as in *Securities purchased under agreements to resell*. Total liabilities as of September 30, 2015, were ¥41,165.4 billion, an increase of ¥2,127.1 billion compared with ¥39,383.0 billion as of March 31, 2015, reflecting increases such as in *Securities sold under agreements to repurchase*. NHI shareholders' equity as of September 30, 2015, was ¥2,761.7 billion, an increase of ¥53.9 billion compared with ¥2,707.8 billion as of March 31, 2015, reflecting increases such as in *Retained earnings*.

We seek to maintain sufficient capital at all times to withstand losses due to extreme market movements. The EMB is responsible for implementing and enforcing capital policies. This includes the determination of our balance sheet size and required capital levels. We continuously review our equity capital base to ensure that it can support the economic risk inherent in our business. There are also regulatory requirements for minimum capital of entities that operate in regulated securities or banking businesses.

As leverage ratios are commonly used by other financial institutions similar to us, we voluntarily provide a Leverage ratio and Adjusted leverage ratio primarily for benchmarking purposes so that users of our annual report can compare our leverage against other financial institutions. Adjusted leverage ratio is a non-GAAP financial measure that Nomura considers to be a useful supplemental measure of leverage. There are currently no regulatory or statutory reporting requirements which require us to disclose leverage ratios.

The following table sets forth NHI shareholders' equity, total assets, adjusted assets and leverage ratios:

	Billions of yen, except ratios	
	March 31, 2015	September 30, 2015
NHI shareholders' equity	¥ 2,707.8	¥ 2,761.7
Total assets	41,783.2	43,960.3
Adjusted assets ⁽¹⁾	25,063.7	26,823.0
Leverage ratio ⁽²⁾	15.4x	15.9x
Adjusted leverage ratio ⁽³⁾	9.3x	9.7x

(1) Represents total assets less *Securities purchased under agreements to resell* and *Securities borrowed*. Adjusted assets is a non-GAAP financial measure and is calculated as follows:

	Billions of yen	
	March 31, 2015	September 30, 2015
Total assets	¥ 41,783.2	¥ 43,960.3
Less:		
Securities purchased under agreements to resell	8,481.5	9,503.3
Securities borrowed	8,238.0	7,634.0
Adjusted assets	¥ 25,063.7	¥ 26,823.0

(2) Equals total assets divided by NHI shareholders' equity.

(3) Equals adjusted assets divided by NHI shareholders' equity.

Total assets increased by 5.2% reflecting primarily increases in *Securities purchased under agreements to resell*. NHI shareholders' equity increased by 2.0% reflecting primarily increases in *Retained earnings*. Our leverage ratio rose from 15.4 times as of March 31, 2015 to 15.9 times as of September 30, 2015.

Adjusted assets increased due primarily to the increase in *Trading assets*. As a result, our adjusted leverage ratio rose from 9.3 times as of March 31, 2015 to 9.7 times as of September 30, 2015.

Table of Contents

Consolidated Regulatory Capital Requirements

The FSA established the Guideline for Financial Conglomerates Supervision (Financial Conglomerates Guideline) in June 2005 and set out the rules on consolidated regulatory capital. We started monitoring our consolidated capital adequacy ratio in accordance with the Financial Conglomerates Guideline from April 2005.

The Company has been assigned as a Final Designated Parent Company who must calculate a consolidated capital adequacy ratio according to the Capital Adequacy Notice on Final Designated Parent Company in April 2011. Since then, we have been calculating our consolidated capital adequacy ratio according to the Capital Adequacy Notice on Final Designated Parent Company, which was in line with Basel II. Note that the Capital Adequacy Notice on Final Designated Parent Company has been revised to be in line with Basel 2.5 and Basel III, and we have calculated our consolidated capital adequacy ratio according to each revision, from the end of December 2011 and March 2013 respectively. Basel 2.5 includes significant change in calculation method of market risk and Basel III includes redefinition of capital items for the purpose of requiring higher quality of capital and expansion of the scope of credit risk-weighted assets calculation.

In accordance with Article 2 of the Capital Adequacy Notice on Final Designated Parent Company, our consolidated capital adequacy ratio is currently calculated based on the amounts of common equity Tier 1 capital, Tier 1 capital (sum of common equity Tier 1 capital and additional Tier 1 capital), total capital (sum of Tier 1 capital and Tier 2 capital), credit risk-weighted assets, market risk and operational risk. As of September 30, 2015, our common equity Tier 1 capital ratio (common equity Tier 1 capital divided by risk-weighted assets) was 13.2%, Tier 1 capital ratio (Tier 1 capital divided by risk-weighted assets) was 13.2% and consolidated capital adequacy ratio (total capital divided by risk-weighted assets) was 15.0% and we were in compliance with the requirement for each ratio set out in the Capital Adequacy Notice on Final Designated Parent Company (required level as of September 30, 2015 was 4.5% for common equity Tier 1 capital ratio, 6.0% for Tier 1 capital ratio and 8.0% for consolidated capital adequacy ratio).

The following table presents the Company's consolidated capital adequacy ratios as of September 30, 2015.

	Billions of yen, except ratios	
	September 30, 2015	
Common equity Tier 1 capital	¥	2,501.4
Tier 1 capital		2,501.4
Total capital		2,849.7
Risk-Weighted Assets		
Credit risk-weighted assets		8,505.5
Market risk equivalent assets		7,558.4
Operational risk equivalent assets		2,851.6
Total risk-weighted assets	¥	18,915.5
Consolidated Capital Adequacy Ratios		
Common equity Tier 1 capital ratio		13.2%
Tier 1 capital ratio		13.2%
Consolidated capital adequacy ratio		15.0%

Table of Contents

Consolidated Leverage Ratio Requirements

In March 2015, the FSA issued guidance on the calculation methodology and disclosure requirements for a consolidated regulatory leverage ratio by financial institutions through revisions to Specification of items which a final designated parent company should disclose on documents to show the status of its sound management (2010 FSA Regulatory Notice No. 132; Notice on Pillar 3 Disclosure) and publishing Consolidated Leverage Ratio prescribed by Commissioner of Financial Services Agency in accordance with Article 3, Paragraph 1 of Pillar 3 Notice (2015 FSA Regulatory Notice No. 11; Notice on Consolidated Leverage Ratio). As a result of this guidance, Nomura will now disclose a consolidated leverage ratio measure from March 31, 2015 which is calculated using the methodology prescribed by this guidance. Management will also receive and review this consolidated leverage ratio on a regular basis. As of September 30, 2015, our consolidated leverage ratio was 3.96%.

(7) Current Challenges

There is no significant change to our current challenges nor new challenges for the six months ended September 30, 2015 and until the submission date of this report.

Table of Contents**Item 3. Company Information****1. Share Capital Information**

(1) Total Number of Shares

A. Number of Authorized Share Capital

Type	Authorized Share Capital (shares)
Common stock	6,000,000,000
Class 1 preferred stock	200,000,000
Class 2 preferred stock	200,000,000
Class 3 preferred stock	200,000,000
Class 4 preferred stock	200,000,000
Total	6,000,000,000

The Authorized Share Capital is stated by the type of stock and the Total is the number of authorized share capital as referred in the Articles of Incorporation.

B. Issued Shares

Type	Number of Issued Shares as of September 30, 2015	Number of Issued Shares as of November 16, 2015	Trading Markets	Details
Common stock	3,822,562,601	3,822,562,601	Tokyo Stock Exchange ⁽²⁾ Nagoya Stock Exchange ⁽²⁾ Singapore Stock Exchange New York Stock Exchange	1 unit is 100 shares
Total	3,822,562,601	3,822,562,601		

(1) Shares that may have increased from exercise of stock options between November 1, 2015 and the submission date (November 16, 2015) are not included in the number of issued shares as of the submission date.

(2) Listed on the First Section of each stock exchange.

(2) Stock Options

None

(3) Exercise of Moving Strike Bonds with Subscription Warrant

None

(4) Rights Plan

Edgar Filing: NOMURA HOLDINGS INC - Form 6-K

None

(5) Changes in Issued Shares, Shareholders' Equity, etc.

Date	Increase/Decrease of Issued Shares	Total Issued Shares	Increase/Decrease of Shareholders' Equity Common stock		Millions of yen Increase/Decrease of Additional capital reserve		Additional capital reserve
			Shareholders Equity Common stock	Shareholders Equity Common stock			
September 30, 2015		3,822,562,601		594,493			559,676

Table of Contents

(6) Major Shareholders

Name	Address	As of September 30, 2015	
		Shares Held (thousand shares)	Percentage of Issued Shares (%)
State Street Bank and Trust Company 505223	Boston, Massachusetts, U.S.A.	208,440	5.45
Japan Trustee Services Bank, Ltd. (Trust Account)	1-8-11, Harumi, Chuo-ku, Tokyo, Japan	150,358	3.93
The Master Trust Bank of Japan Ltd. (Trust Account)	2-11-3, Hamamatsu-cho, Minato-ku, Tokyo, Japan	146,841	3.84
The Bank of New York Mellon SA/NV 10	Brussels, Belgium	55,012	1.43
State Street Bank West Client-Treaty 505234	North Quincy, Massachusetts, U.S.A.	52,809	1.38
The Bank of New York Mellon as Depository Bank for DR Holders	New York, New York, U.S.A.	51,520	1.34
Japan Trustee Services Bank, Ltd. (Trust Account 9)	1-8-11, Harumi, Chuo-ku, Tokyo, Japan	47,726	1.24
Japan Trustee Services Bank, Ltd. (Trust Account 7)	1-8-11, Harumi, Chuo-ku, Tokyo, Japan	41,475	1.08
Japan Trustee Services Bank, Ltd. (Trust Account 1)	1-8-11, Harumi, Chuo-ku, Tokyo, Japan	41,278	1.07
Japan Trustee Services Bank, Ltd. (Trust Account 5)	1-8-11, Harumi, Chuo-ku, Tokyo, Japan	41,239	1.07
Total		836,698	21.83

(1) The Company has 224,254 thousand shares of treasury stock as of September 30, 2015 which are not included in the above table.

(2) BlackRock Japan Co., Ltd. (BRJ) submitted Reports of Possession of Large Volume on May 11, 2015. BRJ reported that, as of April 30, 2015 they owned number of shares of the Company as stated below. However, the Company has not confirmed the status of these shareholding as of September 30, 2015 and therefore has not included in the above list of Major Shareholders.

Name	Address	As of April 30, 2015	
		Shares Held (thousand shares)	Percentage of Issued Shares (%)
BlackRock Japan Co., Ltd.	1-8-3, Marunouchi, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan	54,992	1.44
BlackRock Advisers, LLC	Wilmington, Delaware, U.S.A.	6,792	0.18
BlackRock Investment Management LLC	Princeton, New Jersey, U.S.A.	3,954	0.10
BlackRock Life Ltd.	London, U.K.	9,675	0.25
BlackRock Asset Management Ireland Ltd.	Dublin, Ireland	17,856	0.47
BlackRock Fund Advisors	San Francisco, California, U.S.A.	43,481	1.14
BlackRock Institutional Trust Company, N.A.	San Francisco, California, U.S.A.	53,187	1.39
BlackRock Investment Management (UK) Ltd.	London, U.K.	5,727	0.15
Total		195,664	5.12

(3) Harris Associates, L.P. (HA) submitted Reports of Possession of Large Volume on September 3, 2015. HA reported that, as of August 31, 2015 they owned number of shares of the Company as stated below. However, the Company has not confirmed the status of these shareholding as of September 30, 2015 and therefore has not included in the above list of Major Shareholders.

Name	Address	As of August 31, 2015	
		Shares Held (thousand shares)	Percentage of Issued Shares (%)
Harris Associates, L.P.	Chicago, Illinois, U.S.A.	195,108	5.10

Table of Contents

(7) Voting Rights

A. Outstanding Shares

	As of September 30, 2015		Description
	Number of Shares	Number of Votes	
Stock without voting right			
Stock with limited voting right (Treasury stocks, etc.)			
Stock with limited voting right (Others)			
Stock with full voting right (Treasury stocks, etc.)	(Treasury Stocks)		
	Common stock 224,254,000		
	(Crossholding Stocks)		
	Common stock 2,105,000		
Stock with full voting right (Others)	Common stock 3,594,524,800	35,945,248	
Shares less than 1 unit	Common stock 1,678,801		Shares less than 1 unit
			(100 shares)
Total Shares Issued	3,822,562,601		
Voting Rights of Total Shareholders		35,945,248	

2,000 shares held by Japan Securities Depository Center, Inc. are included in Stock with full voting right (Others). 82 shares of treasury stocks are included in Shares less than 1 unit.

B. Treasury Stocks

Name	Address	As of September 30, 2015			Percentage of Issued Shares (%)
		Directly held shares	Indirectly held shares	Total	
(Treasury Stocks)					
Nomura Holdings, Inc.	1-9-1, Nihonbashi, Chuo-ku, Tokyo, Japan	224,254,000		224,254,000	5.87
(Crossholding Stocks)					
Nomura Research Institute, Ltd.	1-6-5, Marunouchi, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan	1,000,000		1,000,000	0.03
Nomura Real Estate Development Co., Ltd.	1-26-2, Nishi Shinjuku, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo, Japan	1,000,000		1,000,000	0.03
Takagi Securities Co., Ltd.	1-3-1-400, Umeda, Kita-ku, Osaka-shi, Osaka, Japan	100,000		100,000	0.00
Nomura Japan Corporation.	2-1-3 Nihonbashi Horidomecho, Chuo-ku, Tokyo, Japan	5,000		5,000	0.00
Total		226,359,000		226,359,000	5.92

Table of Contents

Item 4. Financial Information

1 Preparation Method of Consolidated Financial Statements

- (1) The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles, procedures, and presentations which are required in order to issue American Depositary Shares, i.e., U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, pursuant to Article 95 of Regulations Concerning the Terminology, Forms and Preparation Methods of Quarterly Consolidated Financial Statements (Cabinet Office Ordinance No. 64, 2007).

- (2) The consolidated financial statements have been prepared by making necessary adjustments to the financial statements of each consolidated company which were prepared in accordance with the accounting principles generally accepted in each country. Such adjustments have been made to comply with the principles noted in (1) above.

2 Quarterly Review Certificate

Under Article 193-2 Section 1 of the Financial Instruments and Exchange Act, Ernst & Young ShinNihon LLC performed a quarterly review of the consolidated financial statements for the six and three months ended September 30, 2015.

<Note>

Although Ernst & Young ShinNihon LLC reported that they applied limited procedures in accordance with professional standards in Japan on the interim consolidated financial statements, prepared in Japanese for the six and three months ended September 30, 2015, they have not performed any such limited procedures nor have they performed an audit on the English translated version of the consolidated financial statements for the above-mentioned periods which are included in this report on Form 6-K.

Table of Contents**1. Consolidated Financial Statements****(1) Consolidated Balance Sheets (UNAUDITED)**

	Notes	Millions of yen March 31, 2015	September 30, 2015
ASSETS			
Cash and cash deposits:			
Cash and cash equivalents		¥ 1,315,408	¥ 2,160,335
Time deposits		328,151	197,246
Deposits with stock exchanges and other segregated cash		453,037	490,151
Total cash and cash deposits		2,096,596	2,847,732
Loans and receivables:			
Loans receivable (including ¥317,218 million and ¥278,943 million measured at fair value by applying the fair value option as of March 31, 2015 and September 30, 2015, respectively)	*2, 7	1,461,075	1,596,773
Receivables from customers (including ¥1,803 million and ¥1,595 million measured at fair value by applying the fair value option as of March 31, 2015 and September 30, 2015, respectively)	*2	187,026	215,571
Receivables from other than customers		1,303,576	1,490,635
Allowance for doubtful accounts	*7	(3,253)	(3,383)
Total loans and receivables		2,948,424	3,299,596
Collateralized agreements:			
Securities purchased under agreements to resell (including ¥1,529,451 million and ¥1,354,529 million measured at fair value by applying the fair value option as of March 31, 2015 and September 30, 2015, respectively)	*2	8,481,474	9,503,325
Securities borrowed		8,238,046	7,634,034
Total collateralized agreements		16,719,520	17,137,359
Trading assets and private equity investments:			
Trading assets (including securities pledged as collateral of ¥8,114,490 million and ¥8,215,463 million as of March 31, 2015 and September 30, 2015, respectively; including ¥8,133 million and ¥7,389 million measured at fair value by applying the fair value option as of March 31, 2015 and September 30, 2015, respectively)	*2, 3	17,260,121	17,871,879
Private equity investments (including ¥6,539 million and ¥6,071 million measured at fair value by applying the fair value option as of March 31, 2015 and September 30, 2015, respectively)	*2	48,727	47,732
Total trading assets and private equity investments		17,308,848	17,919,611
Other assets:			
Office buildings, land, equipment and facilities (net of accumulated depreciation and amortization of ¥383,992 million as of March 31, 2015 and ¥399,934 million as of September 30, 2015)		401,069	388,620
Non-trading debt securities	*2, 5	948,180	889,258
Investments in equity securities	*2	159,755	157,104
Investments in and advances to affiliated companies	*7	378,278	402,982
Other (including ¥90,984 million and ¥95,334 million measured at fair value by applying the fair value option as of March 31, 2015 and September 30, 2015, respectively)	*2, 5, 9	822,566	918,069

Edgar Filing: NOMURA HOLDINGS INC - Form 6-K

Total other assets	2,709,848	2,756,033
Total assets	¥ 41,783,236	¥ 43,960,331

Table of Contents**(1) Consolidated Balance Sheets (Continued) (UNAUDITED)**

	Notes	Millions of yen	
		March 31, 2015	September 30, 2015
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY			
Short-term borrowings (including ¥189,018 million and ¥254,249 million measured at fair value by applying the fair value option as of March 31, 2015 and September 30, 2015, respectively)	*2	¥ 662,256	¥ 561,078
Payables and deposits:			
Payables to customers		723,839	778,668
Payables to other than customers		1,454,361	1,547,563
Deposits received at banks		1,220,400	1,371,379
Total payables and deposits		3,398,600	3,697,610
Collateralized financing:			
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase (including ¥982,567 million and ¥592,943 million measured at fair value by applying the fair value option as of March 31, 2015 and September 30, 2015, respectively)	*2	12,217,144	14,763,066
Securities loaned		2,494,036	2,616,849
Other secured borrowings		668,623	642,694
Total collateralized financing		15,379,803	18,022,609
Trading liabilities	*2, 3	10,044,236	9,452,366
Other liabilities (including ¥15,786 million and ¥16,771 million measured at fair value by applying the fair value option as of March 31, 2015 and September 30, 2015, respectively)	*2, 9	1,217,099	1,138,020
Long-term borrowings (including ¥2,578,489 million and ¥2,723,301 million measured at fair value by applying the fair value option as of March 31, 2015 and September 30, 2015, respectively)	*2	8,336,296	8,293,712
Total liabilities		39,038,290	41,165,395
Commitments and contingencies	*14		
Equity:			
Nomura Holdings, Inc. (NHI) shareholders' equity:			
Common stock			
No par value share			
Authorized 6,000,000,000 shares as of March 31, 2015 and September 30, 2015			
Issued 3,822,562,601 shares as of March 31, 2015 and September 30, 2015			
Outstanding 3,598,865,213 shares as of March 31, 2015 and 3,597,179,205 shares as of September 30, 2015		594,493	594,493
Additional paid-in capital		683,407	690,149
Retained earnings		1,437,940	1,512,565
Accumulated other comprehensive income	*13	143,739	120,674
Total NHI shareholders' equity before treasury stock		2,859,579	2,917,881
Common stock held in treasury, at cost 223,697,388 shares as of March 31, 2015 and 225,383,396 shares as of September 30, 2015		(151,805)	(156,221)
Total NHI shareholders' equity		2,707,774	2,761,660

Edgar Filing: NOMURA HOLDINGS INC - Form 6-K

Noncontrolling interests	37,172	33,276
Total equity	2,744,946	2,794,936
Total liabilities and equity	¥ 41,783,236	¥ 43,960,331

The following table presents the classification of consolidated variable interest entities (VIEs) assets and liabilities included in the consolidated balance sheets above. The assets of a consolidated VIE may only be used to settle obligations of that VIE. Creditors do not have any recourse to Nomura beyond the assets held in the VIEs. See Note 6 *Securizations and Variable Interest Entities* for further information.

Table of Contents**(1) Consolidated Balance Sheets (Continued) (UNAUDITED)**

	Billions of yen	
	March 31, 2015	September 30, 2015
Cash and cash deposits	¥ 9	¥ 11
Trading assets and private equity investments	1,008	978
Other assets	40	30
Total assets	¥ 1,057	¥ 1,019
Trading liabilities	¥ 12	¥ 3
Other liabilities	3	5
Borrowings	750	738
Total liabilities	¥ 765	¥ 746

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**(2) Consolidated Statements of Income (UNAUDITED)**

	Notes	Millions of yen	
		Six months ended September 30 2014	2015
Revenue:			
Commissions		¥ 206,471	¥ 241,844
Fees from investment banking		40,442	69,364
Asset management and portfolio service fees		95,781	118,117
Net gain on trading	*2, 3	287,573	187,299
Gain on private equity investments		202	1,756
Interest and dividends		213,692	225,189
Gain (loss) on investments in equity securities		9,234	(1,696)
Other		59,579	84,482
Total revenue		912,974	926,355
Interest expense		168,303	165,719
Net revenue		744,671	760,636
Non-interest expenses:			
Compensation and benefits		309,590	305,619
Commissions and floor brokerage		61,189	66,864
Information processing and communications		90,857	96,153
Occupancy and related depreciation		36,777	37,902
Business development expenses		16,998	16,784
Other		103,581	111,425
Total non-interest expenses		618,992	634,747
Income before income taxes		125,679	125,889
Income tax expense	*12	51,291	7,991
Net income		¥ 74,388	¥ 117,898
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests		1,656	2,597
Net income attributable to NHI shareholders		¥ 72,732	¥ 115,301

	Notes	Yen	
		Six months ended September 30 2014	2015
Per share of common stock:			
Basic			
Net income attributable to NHI shareholders per share		¥ 19.87	¥ 32.06
Diluted			
Net income attributable to NHI shareholders per share		¥ 19.34	¥ 31.26

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

	Notes	Millions of yen	
		Three months ended September 30 2014	2015
Revenue:			
Commissions		¥ 110,838	¥ 111,501
Fees from investment banking		20,620	44,867
Asset management and portfolio service fees		49,689	58,177
Net gain on trading	*2, 3	129,011	62,551
Gain on private equity investments		489	602
Interest and dividends		108,775	111,540
Gain (loss) on investments in equity securities		2,884	(10,882)
Other		28,514	39,551
Total revenue		450,820	417,907
Interest expense		76,987	81,303
Net revenue		373,833	336,604
Non-interest expenses:			
Compensation and benefits		140,823	149,723
Commissions and floor brokerage		33,599	32,621
Information processing and communications		45,961	48,219
Occupancy and related depreciation		18,224	19,173
Business development expenses		9,071	8,454
Other		52,150	58,537
Total non-interest expenses		299,828	316,727
Income before income taxes		74,005	19,877
Income tax expense (benefit)	*12	20,894	(28,377)
Net income		¥ 53,111	¥ 48,254
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests		239	1,695
Net income attributable to NHI shareholders		¥ 52,872	¥ 46,559

	Notes	Yen	
		Three months ended September 30 2014	2015
Per share of common stock:			
Basic			
Net income attributable to NHI shareholders per share	*10	¥ 14.53	¥ 12.95
Diluted			
Net income attributable to NHI shareholders per share		¥ 14.15	¥ 12.63

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**(3) Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (UNAUDITED)**

	Millions of yen	
	Six months ended September 30	
	2014	2015
Net income	¥ 74,388	¥ 117,898
Other comprehensive income (loss):		
Cumulative translation adjustments:		
Cumulative translation adjustments	46,433	(2,621)
Deferred income taxes	(148)	(17,529)
Total	46,285	(20,150)
Defined benefit pension plans:		
Pension liability adjustment	374	(543)
Deferred income taxes	(211)	342
Total	163	(201)
Non-trading securities:		
Net unrealized gain (loss) on non-trading securities	9,712	(4,417)
Deferred income taxes	(2,539)	1,166
Total	7,173	(3,251)
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	53,621	(23,602)
Comprehensive income	¥ 128,009	¥ 94,296
Less: Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests	5,380	2,060
Comprehensive income attributable to NHI shareholders	¥ 122,629	¥ 92,236

	Millions of yen	
	Three months ended September 30	
	2014	2015
Net income	¥ 53,111	¥ 48,254
Other comprehensive income (loss):		
Cumulative translation adjustments:		
Cumulative translation adjustments	57,806	(23,170)
Deferred income taxes	273	(17,289)
Total	58,079	(40,459)
Defined benefit pension plans:		
Pension liability adjustment	14	(21)
Deferred income taxes	(69)	12
Total	(55)	(9)
Non-trading securities:		
Net unrealized gain (loss) on non-trading securities	6,312	(4,382)
Deferred income taxes	(2,017)	1,246
Total	4,295	(3,136)
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	62,319	(43,604)

Edgar Filing: NOMURA HOLDINGS INC - Form 6-K

Comprehensive income	¥	115,430	¥	4,650
Less: Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests		3,574		580
Comprehensive income attributable to NHI shareholders	¥	111,856	¥	4,070

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**(4) Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity (UNAUDITED)**

	Millions of yen	
	Six months ended 2014	September 30 2015
Common stock		
Balance at beginning of year	¥ 594,493	¥ 594,493
Balance at end of period	594,493	594,493
Additional paid-in capital		
Balance at beginning of year	683,638	683,407
Gain (loss) on sales of treasury stock	(2,417)	
Issuance and exercise of common stock options	1,891	1,570
Changes in an affiliated company's interests in its subsidiary		5,172
Balance at end of period	683,112	690,149
Retained earnings		
Balance at beginning of year	1,287,003	1,437,940
Net income attributable to NHI shareholders	72,732	115,301
Cash dividends ⁽¹⁾	(21,841)	(35,972)
Gain (loss) on sales of treasury stock	(2,658)	(4,704)
Balance at end of period	1,335,236	1,512,565
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)		
Cumulative translation adjustments		
Balance at beginning of year	27,704	133,371
Net change during the period	44,378	(20,646)
Balance at end of period	72,082	112,725
Defined benefit pension plans		
Balance at beginning of year	(18,809)	(15,404)
Pension liability adjustment	163	(201)
Balance at end of period	(18,646)	(15,605)
Non-trading securities		
Balance at beginning of year	11,741	25,772
Net unrealized gain (loss) on non-trading securities	5,356	(2,218)
Balance at end of period	17,097	23,554
Balance at end of period	70,533	120,674
Common stock held in treasury		
Balance at beginning of year	(72,090)	(151,805)
Repurchases of common stock	(65,199)	(19,992)
Sales of common stock	4	0
Common stock issued to employees	14,999	15,576

Edgar Filing: NOMURA HOLDINGS INC - Form 6-K

Balance at end of period	(122,286)	(156,221)
Total NHI shareholders' equity		
Balance at end of period	2,561,088	2,761,660
Noncontrolling interests		
Balance at beginning of year	39,533	37,172
Cash dividends	(19)	(2,937)
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	1,656	2,597
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	3,724	(537)
Purchase / sale of subsidiary shares, net	5,072	
Other net change in noncontrolling interests	4,164	(3,019)
Balance at end of period	54,130	33,276
Total equity		
Balance at end of period	¥ 2,615,218	¥ 2,794,936

(1) Dividends per share	Six months ended September 30, 2014	¥ 6.00	Three months ended September 30, 2014	¥ 6.00
	Six months ended September 30, 2015	¥ 10.00	Three months ended September 30, 2015	¥ 10.00

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**(5) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (UNAUDITED)**

	Millions of yen			
	Six months ended September 30		2015	
	2014		2015	
Cash flows from operating activities:				
Net income	¥	74,388	¥	117,898
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:				
Depreciation and amortization		37,566		41,287
(Gain) loss on investments in equity securities		(9,234)		1,696
Deferred income taxes		19,918		(50,573)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:				
Time deposits		143,036		136,292
Deposits with stock exchanges and other segregated cash		(49,499)		(38,260)
Trading assets and private equity investments		(775,733)		(674,198)
Trading liabilities		(395,689)		(593,005)
Securities purchased under agreements to resell, net of securities sold under agreements to repurchase		907,217		1,561,694
Securities borrowed, net of securities loaned		(34,750)		733,739
Other secured borrowings		(75,485)		(25,929)
Loans and receivables, net of allowance for doubtful accounts		9,322		(397,788)
Payables		150,424		169,038
Bonus accrual		(53,295)		(71,422)
Accrued income taxes, net		16,987		(28,353)
Other, net		66,521		(86,974)
Net cash provided by operating activities		31,694		795,142
Cash flows from investing activities:				
Payments for purchases of office buildings, land, equipment and facilities		(72,612)		(197,737)
Proceeds from sales of office buildings, land, equipment and facilities		41,768		160,863
Payments for purchases of investments in equity securities		(102)		(129)
Proceeds from sales of investments in equity securities		4,735		315
Increase in loans receivable at banks, net		(12,464)		(35,191)
Decrease in non-trading debt securities, net		68,663		57,115
Other, net		(6,411)		1,206
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities		23,577		(13,558)
Cash flows from financing activities:				
Increase in long-term borrowings		1,211,301		1,162,850
Decrease in long-term borrowings		(1,188,488)		(1,064,080)
Decrease in short-term borrowings, net		(5,168)		(101,108)
Increase (decrease) in deposits received at banks, net		(53,645)		135,955
Proceeds from sales of common stock held in treasury		78		441
Payments for repurchases of common stock held in treasury		(65,199)		(19,992)
Payments for cash dividends		(33,469)		(46,800)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities		(134,590)		67,266
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents		29,313		(3,923)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		(50,006)		844,927
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year		1,489,792		1,315,408

Edgar Filing: NOMURA HOLDINGS INC - Form 6-K

Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	¥	1,439,786	¥	2,160,335
--	---	-----------	---	-----------

Supplemental information:

Cash paid during the period for

Interest	¥	170,522	¥	174,045
----------	---	---------	---	---------

Income tax payments, net	¥	14,386	¥	86,916
--------------------------	---	--------	---	--------

Non cash activities

Business acquisitions:

During the six months ended September 30, 2014, as a result of business acquisitions, the total amount of increased assets, excluding *Cash and cash equivalents* and total amount of increased liabilities, were ¥34,271 million and ¥18,817 million, respectively.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (UNAUDITED)****1. Basis of accounting:**

In December 2001, Nomura Holdings, Inc. (the Company) filed a registration statement, in accordance with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) in order to list its American Depositary Shares (ADS) on the New York Stock Exchange. Since then, the Company has had an obligation to file an annual report on Form 20-F with the SEC in accordance with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Therefore, the Company and other entities in which it has a controlling financial interest (collectively Nomura) prepares consolidated financial statements in accordance with the accounting principles, procedures and presentations which are required in order to issue ADS, i.e., U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (U.S. GAAP), pursuant to Article 95 of Regulations Concerning the Terminology, Forms and Preparation Methods of Quarterly Consolidated Financial Statements (Cabinet Office Ordinance No. 64, 2007).

The following paragraphs describe the major differences between U.S. GAAP applied by Nomura and accounting principles generally accepted in Japan (Japanese GAAP) for the six and three months ended September 30, 2015. Where the effect of these major differences are significant to *Income before income taxes*, Nomura discloses as (higher) or (lower) below the amount by which *Income before income taxes* based on U.S. GAAP was higher or lower than Japanese GAAP, respectively.

Scope of consolidation

Under U.S. GAAP, the scope of consolidation is mainly determined by the ownership of a majority of the voting interests in an entity or by identifying the primary beneficiary of variable interest entities. Under Japanese GAAP, the scope of consolidation is determined by a financial controlling model, which takes into account the ownership level of voting interests in an entity and other factors.

In addition, U.S. GAAP provides a definition of investment companies for which specialized accounting guidance applies, and entities that are subject to this guide carry all of their investments at fair value, with changes in fair value recognized through earnings. Under Japanese GAAP, under situations such as where a venture capital fund holds other companies' shares for trading and investment promotion purposes, such companies are not considered as subsidiaries even if such shareholding otherwise meets the control criteria.

Unrealized gains and losses on investments in equity securities

Under U.S. GAAP applicable to broker-dealers, minority investments in equity securities are measured at fair value with changes in fair value recognized in earnings. Under Japanese GAAP, these investments are also measured at fair value, but unrealized gains and losses, net of applicable income taxes, are reported in other comprehensive income. *Income before income taxes* prepared under U.S. GAAP, therefore, was ¥6,089 million (higher) and ¥1,901 million (lower) for the six months ended September 30, 2014 and 2015, respectively and ¥2,592 million (higher) and ¥10,899 million (lower) for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2015, respectively.

Unrealized gains and losses on non-trading debt and equity securities

Under U.S. GAAP applicable to broker-dealers, non-trading securities are measured at fair value with changes in fair value recognized in earnings. Under Japanese GAAP, these securities are also measured at fair value, but unrealized gains and losses, net of applicable income taxes, are reported in other comprehensive income. *Income before income taxes* prepared under U.S. GAAP, therefore, was ¥896 million (lower) and ¥1,509 million (lower) for the six months ended September 30, 2014 and 2015, respectively, and ¥1,257 million (lower) and ¥63 million (lower) for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2015, respectively for non-trading debt securities. *Income before income taxes* prepared under U.S. GAAP was ¥402 million (higher) and ¥45 million (lower) for the six months ended September 30, 2014 and 2015, respectively, and ¥160 million (lower) and ¥261 million (lower) for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2015, respectively for non-trading equity securities.

Table of Contents**Retirement and severance benefits**

Under U.S. GAAP, gains or losses resulting from either experience that is different from an actuarial assumption or a change in assumption is amortized over the average remaining service period of employees when a net gain or loss at the beginning of the year exceeds the Corridor which is defined as 10% of the larger of projected benefit obligation or the fair value of plan assets. Under Japanese GAAP, these gains or losses are amortized over a certain period regardless of the Corridor.

Amortization of goodwill and equity method goodwill

Under U.S. GAAP, goodwill is not amortized and is tested for impairment periodically. Under Japanese GAAP, goodwill is amortized over a certain period of less than 20 years using the straight-line method. Therefore, under U.S. GAAP, *Income before income taxes* was ¥5,629 million (higher) and ¥3,568 million (higher) for the six months ended September 30, 2014 and 2015, respectively, and ¥3,950 million (higher) and ¥1,787 million (higher) for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2015, respectively.

Changes in the fair value of derivative contracts

Under U.S. GAAP, all derivative contracts, including derivative contracts that have been designated as hedges of specific assets or specific liabilities, are carried at fair value, with changes in fair value recognized either in earnings or other comprehensive income. Under Japanese GAAP, derivative contracts that have been entered into for hedging purposes are carried at fair value with changes in fair value, net of applicable income taxes, recognized in other comprehensive income.

Fair value for financial assets and financial liabilities

Under U.S. GAAP, the fair value option may be elected for eligible financial assets and liabilities which would otherwise be carried on a basis other than fair value (the fair value option). Where the fair value option is elected, the financial asset or liability is carried at fair value with changes in fair value are recognized in earnings. Under Japanese GAAP, the fair value option is not permitted. Therefore, under U.S. GAAP, *Income before income taxes* was ¥9,954 million (lower) and ¥3,756 million (lower) for the six months ended September 30, 2014 and 2015, respectively and ¥5,555 million (lower) and ¥3,731 million (lower) for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2015, respectively. In addition, non-marketable equity securities which are valued at fair value in the consolidated financial statements shall be valued at cost except in case of impairment loss recognition under Japanese GAAP.

Offsetting of amounts related to certain contracts

Under U.S. GAAP, an entity that is party to a master netting arrangement is permitted to offset fair value amounts recognized for the right to reclaim cash collateral (a receivable) or the obligation to return cash collateral (a payable) against fair value amounts recognized for derivative instruments that have been offset under the same master netting arrangement. Under Japanese GAAP, offsetting of such amounts is not permitted.

Stock issuance costs

Under U.S. GAAP, stock issuance costs are deducted from capital. Under Japanese GAAP, stock issuance costs are either immediately expensed or capitalized as a deferred asset and amortized over periods of up to three years using the straight-line method.

Table of Contents

Accounting for change in controlling interest in consolidated subsidiary's shares

Under U.S. GAAP, when a parent's ownership interest decreases as a result of sales of a subsidiary's common shares by the parent and such subsidiary becomes an equity method investee, the parent's remaining investment in the former subsidiary is measured at fair value as of the date of loss of a controlling interest and a related valuation gain or loss is recognized in earnings. Under Japanese GAAP, the remaining investment on the parent's consolidated balance sheet is computed as the sum of the carrying amount of investment in the equity method investee recorded in the parent's stand-alone balance sheet as adjusted for the share of net income or losses and other adjustments from initial acquisition through to the date of loss of a controlling interest multiplied by the ratio of the remaining shareholding percentage against the holding percentage prior to loss of control.

New accounting pronouncements recently adopted

No new accounting pronouncements relevant to Nomura were adopted during the three months ended September 30, 2015.

The following new accounting pronouncements relevant to Nomura were adopted during the three months ended June 30, 2015:

Repurchase agreements and similar transactions

In June 2014, the FASB issued amendments to ASC 860 *Transfers and Servicing* (ASC 860) through issuance of Accounting Standards Updates (ASU) 2014-11 *Repurchase-to-Maturity Transactions, Repurchase Financings, and Disclosures* (ASU 2014-11). These amendments change the accounting for repurchase-to-maturity transactions which are repurchase agreements where the maturity of the financial assets transferred as collateral matches the maturity of the repurchase agreement. Under ASU 2014-11, all repurchase-to-maturity transactions are now accounted for as secured borrowing transactions in the same way as most other repurchase agreements rather than as a sale of the transferred financial assets and a separate forward commitment to repurchase the financial assets. The amendments also change the accounting for repurchase financing arrangements which are transactions involving the transfer of financial assets to a counterparty executed contemporaneously with a reverse repurchase agreement with the same counterparty. Under ASU 2014-11, all repurchase financings are now accounted for separately, which result in secured lending accounting for the reverse repurchase agreement.

ASU 2014-11 also amends ASC 860 by introducing new disclosure requirements regarding the remaining contractual maturity of repurchase agreements and securities lending transactions accounted for as secured borrowings and nature of underlying financial assets transferred, as well as new disclosure requirements regarding certain other transactions which involve the transfer of financial assets accounted for as sales and where Nomura, as transferor, retains substantially all of the exposure to the economic return on the transferred financial assets throughout the term of the transaction through an agreement entered into in contemplation of the original transfer.

The amendments to the accounting treatment of repurchase-to-maturity transactions and repurchase financing arrangements are effective for interim or annual periods beginning after December 15, 2014 with early adoption prohibited. As of adoption date, the accounting for all outstanding repurchase-to-maturity transactions and repurchase financing arrangements is adjusted by means of a cumulative-effect adjustment to the balance sheet and retained earnings.

Nomura adopted these accounting amendments from January 1, 2015 and these amendments have not had a material impact on these consolidated financial statements.

The new disclosure requirements regarding transfers of financial assets which are accounted for as sales and where the transferor retains substantially all of the exposure of the transferred financial assets are effective for interim or annual periods beginning after December 15, 2014.

Table of Contents

Nomura adopted these disclosure requirements from January 1, 2015. Because these amendments only enhance disclosures around the nature of these transactions rather than change the accounting treatment, they have not had a material impact on these consolidated financial statements.

The new disclosure requirements regarding the remaining contractual maturity of repurchase agreements and securities lending transactions accounted for as secured borrowings and nature of underlying financial assets transferred, are effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2014 and interim periods beginning after March 15, 2015.

Nomura adopted these disclosure requirements from April 1, 2015 and initially has made these disclosures in these interim consolidated financial statements for the quarter ended June 30, 2015. Because these amendments only enhance disclosures around the nature of these transactions rather than change the accounting for repurchase agreements and securities lending transactions, they have not had a material impact on these consolidated financial statements.

See Note 3 *Derivative instruments and hedging activities* and Note 4 *Collateralized transactions* where these new disclosures have been provided.

Foreclosed mortgage loans

In January 2014, the FASB issued amendments to ASC 310-40 *Receivables Troubled Debt Restructurings by Creditors* (ASC 310-40) through issuance of ASU 2014-04 *Reclassification of Residential Real Estate Collateralized Consumer Mortgage Loans upon Foreclosure* (ASU 2014-04). ASU 2014-04 expands ASC 310-40 to provide guidance on when an in substance repossession or foreclosure occurs, when a creditor is considered to have received physical possession of a residential real estate property collateralizing a consumer mortgage loan and introduces new disclosure requirements regarding foreclosed residential real estate property held by the creditor and consumer mortgage loans currently in foreclosure proceedings.

ASU 2014-04 is effective for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2014 with early adoption permitted.

Nomura adopted ASU 2014-04 from April 1, 2015 and these amendments have not had a material impact on these consolidated financial statements.

Foreclosed government-guaranteed mortgage loans

In August 2014, the FASB issued amendments to ASC 310-40 through issuance of ASU 2014-14 *Classification of Certain Government-Guaranteed Mortgage Loans upon Foreclosure* (ASU 2014-14). ASU 2014-14 expands ASC 310-40 to provide guidance on when a creditor should recognize a separate receivable instead of real estate upon foreclosure of a government-guaranteed mortgage loan.

ASU 2014-14 is effective for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2014 with early adoption permitted and may be adopted using either a modified retrospective approach or prospectively.

Nomura adopted ASU 2014-14 from April 1, 2015 and these amendments have not had a material impact on these consolidated financial statements.

Reporting discontinued operations

In April 2014, the FASB issued amendments to ASC 205 *Presentation of Financial Statements* and ASC 360 *Property, Plant and Equipment* (ASC 360) through issuance of ASU 2014-08 *Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity* (ASU 2014-08). ASU 2014-08 changes the criteria for discontinued operations reporting with the intention of less disposals qualifying and also introduces new presentation and disclosure requirements.

ASU 2014-08 is effective prospectively for all disposals or expected disposals classified as held for sale that occur within annual periods beginning on or after December 15, 2014 and interim periods within those years. Early adoption is permitted, but only for disposals or expected disposals classified as held for sale that have not been reported in financial statements previously issued or available for issue.

Nomura adopted ASU 2014-08 from April 1, 2015 and these amendments have not had a material impact on these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Future accounting developments

The following new accounting pronouncements relevant to Nomura will be adopted in future periods:

Revenue recognition

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASC 606 *Revenue from Contracts with Customers* (ASC 606) as well as amendments to other pronouncements, including ASC 350 *Intangibles Goodwill and Other* , ASC 360, and ASC 605-35 *Revenue Recognition Construction-Type and Production-Type Contracts* through issuance of ASU 2014-09 *Revenue from Contracts with Customers* (ASU 2014-09). ASU 2014-09 replaces existing revenue recognition guidance in ASC 605 *Revenue Recognition* and certain other industry-specific revenue recognition guidance, and specifies the accounting for certain costs to obtain or fulfill a contract with a customer and provides recognition and measurement guidance in relation to sales of non-financial assets. The core principle of ASU 2014-09 is to account for the transfer of goods or services to customers at an amount that reflects the consideration to which an entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. It provides guidance on how to achieve this core principle, including how to identify contracts with customers and separate performance obligations in the contract, how to determine and allocate the transaction price to such performance obligations and how to recognize revenue when a performance obligation has been satisfied.

In August 2015, the FASB issued further amendments to ASC 606 through issuance of ASU 2015-14 *Deferral of the Effective Date* (ASU 2015-14). ASU 2015-14 defers the effective date of the guidance in ASU 2014-09 for all entities by one year. As a result of the deferral, ASU 2014-09 is now effective for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2017. Early adoption is permitted only for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2016.

Nomura currently plans to adopt ASU 2014-09 from April 1, 2018 and is currently evaluating the potential impact these amendments may have on these consolidated financial statements.

Stock compensation

In June 2014, the FASB issued amendments to ASC 718 *Compensation Stock Compensation* (ASC 718) through issuance of ASU 2014-12 *Accounting for Share-Based Payments When the Terms of an Award Provide That a Performance Target Could Be Achieved after the Requisite Service Period* (ASU 2014-12). ASU 2014-12 requires a performance target that affects vesting and that could be achieved after the requisite service period be accounted for as a performance condition based on the existing guidance in ASC 718 rather than as a nonvesting condition that affects the grant-date fair value of the award.

ASU 2014-12 is effective for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2015 with early adoption permitted. ASU 2014-12 may be applied either by prospectively or retrospectively.

Nomura currently plans to adopt ASU 2014-12 from April 1, 2016 and does not expect these amendments to have a material impact on these consolidated financial statements.

Collateralized financing entities

In August 2014, the FASB issued amendments to ASC 810 *Consolidation* (ASC 810) through issuance of ASU 2014-13 *Measuring the Financial Assets and the Financial Liabilities of a Consolidated Collateralized Financing Entity* (ASU 2014-13) which provides an alternative to ASC 820 *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures* (ASC 820) for measuring the fair value of financial assets and the financial liabilities of a consolidated variable interest entity which meet the definition of a collateralized financing entity.

When the measurement alternative of ASU 2014-13 is elected, both the financial assets and financial liabilities of a consolidated collateralized financing entity are measured using whichever fair value measurement is more observable, in order to eliminate differences that may arise when the fair value of financial assets and financial liabilities is determined separately.

Table of Contents

ASU 2014-13 is effective for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2015 with early adoption permitted at the beginning of an annual period.

Nomura will adopt ASU 2014-13 from April 1, 2016 and is currently evaluating the potential impact these amendments may have on these consolidated financial statements.

Consolidation

In February 2015, the FASB issued amendments to ASC 810 through issuance of ASU 2015-02 *Amendments to the Consolidation Analysis* (ASU 2015-02) which aims to simplify the existing complex guidance within ASC 810 for determining whether certain legal entities such as limited partnerships and similar entities should be consolidated. In particular, ASU 2015-02:

Rescinds the indefinite deferral of FASB Statement No. 167 *Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R)* introduced by ASU 2010-10 *Amendments for Certain Investment Funds* applied to certain investment companies, money market funds, qualifying real estate funds and similar entities;

Provides an exception from consolidation for certain registered money market funds and similar entities;

Modifies the evaluation of whether limited partnerships and similar legal entities are variable interest entities or voting interest entities under ASC 810;

Modifies how fee arrangements and related party relationships should be considered in determining whether a variable interest entity should be consolidated; and

Introduces new disclosure requirements regarding financial support arrangements with certain registered money market funds and similar entities to which the exception from consolidation has been applied.

ASU 2015-02 is effective for annual periods, and for interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2015 with early adoption permitted, including adoption in an interim period.

Nomura currently plans to adopt ASU 2015-02 from April 1, 2016 and is currently evaluating the potential impact these amendments may have on these consolidated financial statements.

Presentation of debt issuance costs

In April 2015, the FASB issued amendments to ASC 835-30 *Interest Imputation of Interest* (ASC 835-30) through issuance of ASU 2015-03 *Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs* (ASU 2015-03). ASU 2015-03 requires that debt issuance costs related to a recognized debt liability, which are currently presented as a separate asset under ASC 835-30, be presented as a direct deduction from the carrying amount of that debt liability, consistent with debt discounts.

In August 2015, the FASB issued further amendments to ASC 835-30 through issuance of ASU 2015-15 *Presentation and Subsequent Measurement of Debt Issuance Costs Associated with Line-of-Credit Arrangements* (ASU 2015-15). ASU 2015-15 clarifies the SEC staff's position on presentation and measurement of debt issuance costs associated with line-of-credit arrangements, which is to permit an entity to defer and present these costs as assets and subsequently amortize them ratably over the term of the line-of-credit arrangement.

ASU 2015-03 and ASU 2015-15 are effective for annual periods, and for interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2015 with early adoption permitted.

Edgar Filing: NOMURA HOLDINGS INC - Form 6-K

Nomura currently plans to adopt ASU 2015-03 and ASU 2015-15 from April 1, 2016 and does not expect these amendments to have a material impact on these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Customers' accounting for fees paid in a cloud computing arrangement

In April 2015, the FASB issued amendments to ASC 350-40 *Intangibles—Goodwill and Other—Internal-Use Software* through issuance of ASU 2015-05 *Customer's Accounting for Fees Paid in a Cloud Computing Arrangement* (ASU 2015-05). ASU 2015-05 provides guidance on determining whether cloud computing arrangements, namely where software, platforms, infrastructure or similar hosting arrangements are provided by a third party, contain a software license that should be accounted for in the same way as the acquisition of other software licenses.

ASU 2015-05 is effective for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2015 with early adoption permitted.

Nomura currently plans to adopt ASU 2015-05 from April 1, 2016 and does not expect these amendments to have a material impact on these consolidated financial statements.

Disclosures for investments in certain entities that calculate net asset value per share (or its equivalent)

In May 2015, the FASB issued amendments to ASC 820 through issuance of ASU 2015-07 *Disclosures for Investments in Certain Entities That Calculate Net Asset Value per Share (or Its Equivalent)* (ASU 2015-07). ASU 2015-07 removes the requirement to report the fair value of investments for which fair value is estimated using net asset value as a practical expedient within the fair value hierarchy and also revises certain other disclosure requirements for these types of investment.

ASU 2015-07 is effective for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2015 with early adoption permitted.

Nomura currently plans to adopt ASU 2015-07 from April 1, 2016. Because these amendments only remove certain disclosure requirements around investments which are measured at fair value using net asset value as a practical expedient, rather than change when such practical expedient can be used, Nomura does not expect these amendments to have a material impact on these consolidated financial statements.

Simplification of the accounting for measurement-period adjustments

In September 2015, the FASB issued amendments to ASC 805 *Business Combinations* through issuance of ASU 2015-16 *Simplifying the Accounting for Measurement-Period Adjustments* (ASU 2015-16). ASU 2015-16 eliminates the requirement to retrospectively account for the adjustments made to provisional amounts recognized in a business combination.

ASU 2015-16 is effective for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2015 with early adoption permitted.

Nomura currently plans to adopt ASU 2015-16 from April 1, 2016. Because these amendments will only affect future business combinations which occur on or after the adoption date, Nomura does not expect these amendments to have a material impact on these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

2. Fair value measurements:

The fair value of financial instruments

A significant amount of Nomura's financial instruments are carried at fair value. Financial assets carried at fair value on a recurring basis are reported in the consolidated balance sheets within *Trading assets and private equity investments*, *Loans and receivables*, *Collateralized agreements* and *Other assets*. Financial liabilities carried at fair value on a recurring basis are reported within *Trading liabilities*, *Short-term borrowings*, *Payables and deposits*, *Collateralized financing*, *Long-term borrowings* and *Other liabilities*.

Other financial assets and financial liabilities are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis, where the primary measurement basis is not fair value but where fair value is used in specific circumstances after initial recognition, such as to measure impairment.

In all cases, fair value is determined in accordance with ASC 820 which defines fair value as the amount that would be exchanged to sell a financial asset or transfer a financial liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. It assumes that the transaction occurs in Nomura's principal market, or in the absence of the principal market, the most advantageous market for the relevant financial assets or financial liabilities.

Fair value is usually determined on an individual financial instrument basis consistent with the unit of account of the financial instrument. However, certain financial instruments managed on a portfolio basis are valued as a portfolio, namely based on the price that would be received to sell a net long position (i.e. a net financial asset) or transfer a net short position (i.e. a net financial liability) consistent with how market participants would price the net risk exposure at the measurement date.

Financial assets carried at fair value also include investments in certain funds where, as a practical expedient, fair value is determined on the basis of net asset value per share (NAV per share) if the NAV per share is calculated in accordance with certain industry standard principles.

Increases and decreases in the fair value of assets and liabilities will significantly impact Nomura's position, performance, liquidity and capital resources. As explained below, valuation techniques applied contain inherent uncertainties and Nomura is unable to predict the accurate impact of future developments in the market. Where appropriate, Nomura uses economic hedging strategies to mitigate its risk, although these hedges are also subject to unpredictable movements in the market.

Valuation methodology for financial instruments carried at fair value on a recurring basis

The fair value of financial instruments is based on quoted market prices including market indices, broker or dealer quotations or an estimation by management of the expected exit price under current market conditions. Various financial instruments, including cash instruments and over-the-counter (OTC) contracts, have bid and offer prices that are observable in the market. These are measured at the point within the bid-offer range which best represents Nomura's estimate of fair value. Where quoted market prices or broker or dealer quotations are not available, prices for similar instruments or valuation pricing models are considered in the determination of fair value.

Where quoted prices are available in active markets, no valuation adjustments are taken to modify the fair value of assets or liabilities marked using such prices. Other instruments may be measured using valuation techniques, such as valuation pricing models incorporating observable parameters, unobservable parameters or a combination of both. Valuation pricing models use parameters which would be considered by market participants in valuing similar financial instruments.

Valuation pricing models and their underlying assumptions impact the amount and timing of unrealized and realized gains and losses recognized, and the use of different valuation pricing models or underlying assumptions could produce different financial results. Valuation uncertainty results from a variety of factors, including the valuation technique or model selected, the quantitative assumptions used within the valuation model, the inputs into the model, as well as other factors. Valuation adjustments are used to reflect the assessment of this uncertainty. Common valuation adjustments include model reserves, credit adjustments, close-out adjustments, and other appropriate instrument-specific adjustments, such as those to reflect transfer or sale restrictions.

The level of adjustments is largely judgmental and is based on an assessment of the factors that management believe other market participants would use in determining the fair value of similar financial instruments. The type of adjustments taken, the methodology for the calculation of these adjustments, and the inputs for these calculations are reassessed periodically to reflect current market practice and the availability of new information.

Table of Contents

For example, the fair value of certain financial instruments includes adjustments for credit risk; both with regards to counterparty credit risk on positions held and Nomura's own creditworthiness on positions issued. Credit risk on financial assets is significantly mitigated by credit enhancements such as collateral and netting arrangements. Any net credit exposure is measured using available and applicable inputs for the relevant counterparty. The same approach is used to measure the credit exposure on Nomura's financial liabilities as is used to measure counterparty credit risk on Nomura's financial assets.

Such valuation pricing models are calibrated to the market on a regular basis and inputs used are adjusted for current market conditions and risks. The Global Model Validation Group (MVG) within Nomura's Risk Management Department reviews pricing models and assesses model appropriateness and consistency independently of the front office. The model reviews consider a number of factors about a model's suitability for valuation and sensitivity of a particular product. Valuation models are calibrated to the market on a periodic basis by comparison to observable market pricing, comparison with alternative models and analysis of risk profiles.

As explained above, any changes in fixed income, equity, foreign exchange and commodity markets can impact Nomura's estimates of fair value in the future, potentially affecting trading gains and losses. Where financial contracts have longer maturity dates, Nomura's estimates of fair value may involve greater subjectivity due to the lack of transparent market data.

Fair value hierarchy

All financial instruments measured at fair value, including those carried at fair value using the fair value option, have been categorized into a three-level hierarchy (fair value hierarchy) based on the transparency of valuation inputs used by Nomura to estimate fair value. A financial instrument is classified in the fair value hierarchy based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement of the financial instrument. The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are defined as follows, with Level 1 representing the most transparent inputs and Level 3 representing the least transparent inputs:

Level 1:

Unadjusted quoted prices for identical financial instruments in active markets accessible by Nomura at the measurement date.

Level 2:

Quoted prices in inactive markets or prices containing other inputs which are observable, either directly or indirectly. Valuation techniques using observable inputs reflect assumptions used by market participants in pricing financial instruments and are based on data obtained from independent market sources at the measurement date.

Level 3:

Unobservable inputs that are significant to the fair value measurement of the financial instrument. Valuation techniques using unobservable inputs reflect management's assumptions about the estimates used by other market participants in valuing similar financial instruments. These valuation techniques are developed based on the best available information at the measurement date.

The availability of inputs observable in the market varies by product and can be affected by a variety of factors. Significant factors include, but are not restricted to the prevalence of similar products in the market, especially for customized products, how established the product is in the market, for example, whether it is a new product or is relatively mature, and the reliability of information provided in the market which would depend, for example, on the frequency and volume of current data. A period of significant change in the market may reduce the availability of observable data. Under such circumstances, financial instruments may be reclassified into a lower level in the fair value hierarchy.

Significant judgments used in determining the classification of financial instruments include the nature of the market in which the product would be traded, the underlying risks, the type and liquidity of market data inputs and the nature of observed transactions for similar instruments.

Where valuation models include the use of parameters which are less observable or unobservable in the market, significant management judgment is used in establishing fair value. The valuations for Level 3 financial instruments, therefore, involve a greater degree of judgment than those valuations for Level 1 or Level 2 financial instruments.

Certain criteria management use to determine whether a market is active or inactive include the number of transactions, the frequency that pricing is updated by other market participants, the variability of price quotes among market participants, and the amount of publicly available

information.

Table of Contents

The following tables present the amounts of Nomura's financial instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of March 31, 2015 and September 30, 2015 within the fair value hierarchy.

	Billions of yen March 31, 2015				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Counterparty and Cash Collateral Netting ⁽¹⁾	Balance as of March 31, 2015
Assets:					
Trading assets and private equity investments ⁽²⁾					
Equities ⁽³⁾	¥ 1,707	¥ 710	¥ 39	¥	¥ 2,456
Private equity investments ⁽³⁾		0	49		49
Japanese government securities	2,233				2,233
Japanese agency and municipal securities		277			277
Foreign government, agency and municipal securities	3,965	1,391	3		5,359
Bank and corporate debt securities and loans for trading purposes		1,786	167		1,953
Commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS)		113	2		115
Residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS)		2,496	1		2,497
Real estate-backed securities			13		13
Collateralized debt obligations (CDOs) and other		184	15		199
Investment trust funds and other	448	120	4		572
Total trading assets and private equity investments	8,353	7,077	293		15,723
Derivative assets ⁽⁵⁾					
Equity contracts	7	1,668	72		1,747
Interest rate contracts	16	31,559	90		31,665
Credit contracts	5	1,066	40		1,111
Foreign exchange contracts		7,544	33		7,577
Commodity contracts	0	0			0
Netting				(40,514)	(40,514)
Total derivative assets	28	41,837	235	(40,514)	1,586
Subtotal	¥ 8,381	¥ 48,914	¥ 528	¥ (40,514)	¥ 17,309
Loans and receivables ⁽⁶⁾					
Collateralized agreements ⁽⁷⁾		304	15		319
Other assets		1,530			1,530
Non-trading debt securities	342	606	0		948
Other ⁽³⁾	342	128	57		527
Total	¥ 9,065	¥ 51,482	¥ 600	¥ (40,514)	¥ 20,633
Liabilities:					
Trading liabilities					
Equities	¥ 1,027	¥ 62	¥ 3	¥	¥ 1,092
Japanese government securities	3,117				3,117
Foreign government, agency and municipal securities	3,155	904			4,059
Bank and corporate debt securities		379	0		379
Residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS)		1			1
Collateralized debt obligations (CDOs) and other		3			3

Edgar Filing: NOMURA HOLDINGS INC - Form 6-K

Investment trust funds and other	84	0				84
Total trading liabilities	7,383	1,349	3			8,735
Derivative liabilities ⁽⁵⁾						
Equity contracts	18	1,887	78			1,983
Interest rate contracts	8	31,555	112			31,675
Credit contracts	2	1,080	36			1,118
Foreign exchange contracts		6,954	38			6,992
Commodity contracts	1	0	0			1
Netting				(40,460)		(40,460)
Total derivative liabilities	29	41,476	264	(40,460)		1,309
Subtotal	¥ 7,412	¥ 42,825	¥ 267	¥ (40,460)	¥	10,044
Short-term borrowings ⁽⁸⁾		188	1			189
Payables and deposits ⁽⁹⁾		0	0			0
Collateralized financing ⁽⁷⁾		983				983
Long-term borrowings ⁽⁸⁾⁽¹⁰⁾⁽¹¹⁾	80	1,996	525			2,601
Other liabilities ⁽¹²⁾	96	108				204
Total	¥ 7,588	¥ 46,100	¥ 793	¥ (40,460)	¥	14,021

Table of Contents

	Billions of yen September 30, 2015				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Counterparty and Cash Collateral Netting ⁽¹⁾	Balance as of September 30, 2015
Assets:					
Trading assets and private equity investments ⁽²⁾					
Equities ⁽³⁾	¥ 1,898	¥ 674	¥ 38	¥	¥ 2,610
Private equity investments ⁽³⁾			48		48
Japanese government securities	2,516				2,516
Japanese agency and municipal securities		380			380
Foreign government, agency and municipal securities	5,047	1,180	2		6,229
Bank and corporate debt securities and loans for trading purposes		1,156	127		1,283
Commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS)		108	10		118
Residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS)		2,723	1		2,724
Real estate-backed securities		1	37		38
Collateralized debt obligations (CDO) and other ⁽⁴⁾		179	12		191
Investment trust funds and other	194	129	1		324
Total trading assets and private equity investments	9,655	6,530	276		16,461
Derivative assets⁽⁵⁾					
Equity contracts	23	1,462	39		1,524
Interest rate contracts	15	24,576	84		24,675
Credit contracts	3	833	29		865
Foreign exchange contracts	0	7,096	46		7,142
Commodity contracts	1	0	0		1
Netting				(32,748)	(32,748)
Total derivative assets	42	33,967	198	(32,748)	1,459
Subtotal	¥ 9,697	¥ 40,497	¥ 474	¥ (32,748)	¥ 17,920
Loans and receivables⁽⁶⁾					
Collateralized agreements ⁽⁷⁾		255	26		281
Other assets		1,355			1,355
Non-trading debt securities	341	548	0		889
Other ⁽³⁾	310	203	58		571
Total	¥ 10,348	¥ 42,858	¥ 558	¥ (32,748)	¥ 21,016
Liabilities:					
Trading liabilities					
Equities	¥ 970	¥ 40	¥ 1	¥	¥ 1,011
Japanese government securities	2,493				2,493
Japanese agency and municipal securities		1			1
Foreign government, agency and municipal securities	3,325	834			4,159
Bank and corporate debt securities		414	1		415
Residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS)		4			4
Collateralized debt obligations (CDO) and other ⁽⁴⁾		1			1
Investment trust funds and other	101	1			102

Edgar Filing: NOMURA HOLDINGS INC - Form 6-K

Total trading liabilities	6,889	1,295	2		8,186
Derivative liabilities⁽⁵⁾					
Equity contracts	13	1,701	39		1,753
Interest rate contracts	8	24,328	108		24,444
Credit contracts	2	982	29		1,013
Foreign exchange contracts	0	6,759	44		6,803
Commodity contracts	0	0			0
Netting				(32,747)	(32,747)
Total derivative liabilities	23	33,770	220	(32,747)	1,266
Subtotal	¥ 6,912	¥ 35,065	¥ 222	¥ (32,747)	¥ 9,452
Short-term borrowings⁽⁸⁾					
		252	2		254
Payables and deposits⁽⁹⁾					
		0	(1)		(1)
Collateralized financing⁽⁷⁾					
		593			593
Long-term borrowings⁽⁸⁾⁽¹⁰⁾⁽¹¹⁾					
	130	2,173	416		2,719
Other liabilities⁽¹²⁾					
	7	179			186
Total	¥ 7,049	¥ 38,262	¥ 639	¥ (32,747)	¥ 13,203

Table of Contents

- (1) Represents the amount offset under counterparty netting of derivative assets and liabilities as well as cash collateral netting against net derivatives.
- (2) Includes investments in certain funds measured at fair value on the basis of NAV per share as a practical expedient.
- (3) Includes equity investments that would have been accounted for under the equity method had Nomura not chosen to elect the fair value option.
- (4) Includes collateralized loan obligations (CLOs) and asset-backed securities (ABS) such as those secured on credit card loans, auto loans and student loans.
- (5) Each derivative classification includes derivatives referencing multiple risk components. For example, interest rate contracts include complex derivatives referencing interest rate risk as well as foreign exchange risk or other factors such as prepayment rates. Credit contracts include credit default swaps as well as derivatives referencing corporate and government debt securities.
- (6) Includes loans for which the fair value option is elected.
- (7) Includes collateralized agreements or collateralized financing for which the fair value option is elected.
- (8) Includes structured notes for which the fair value option is elected.
- (9) Includes embedded derivatives bifurcated from deposits received at banks. If unrealized gains are greater than unrealized losses, deposits are reduced by the excess amount.
- (10) Includes embedded derivatives bifurcated from issued structured notes. If unrealized gains are greater than unrealized losses, borrowings are reduced by the excess amount.
- (11) Includes liabilities recognized from secured financing transactions that are accounted for as financings rather than sales. Nomura elected the fair value option for these liabilities.
- (12) Includes loan commitments for which the fair value option is elected.

Valuation techniques by major class of financial instrument

The valuation techniques used by Nomura to estimate fair value for major classes of financial instruments, together with the significant inputs which determine classification in the fair value hierarchy, are as follows.

Equities and equity securities reported within *Other assets* Equities and equity securities reported within *Other assets* include direct holdings of both listed and unlisted equity securities, and fund investments. The fair value of listed equity securities is determined using quoted prices for identical securities from active markets where available. These valuations should be in line with market practice and therefore can be based on bid prices or mid-market prices. Nomura determines whether the market is active depending on the sufficiency and frequency of trading activity. Where these securities are classified in Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy, no valuation adjustments are made to fair value. Listed equity securities traded in inactive markets are also generally valued using the exchange price and are classified in Level 2. Whilst rare in practice, Nomura may apply a discount or liquidity adjustment to the exchange price of a listed equity security traded in an inactive market if the exchange price is not considered to be an appropriate representation of fair value. These adjustments are determined by individual security and are not determined or influenced by the size of holding. The amount of such adjustments made to listed equity securities traded in inactive markets was ¥nil as of March 31, 2015 and September 30, 2015, respectively. The fair value of unlisted equity securities is determined using the same methodology as private equity investments described below and are usually classified in Level 3 because significant valuation inputs such as liquidity discounts and credit spreads are unobservable. As a practical expedient, fund investments which do not have a readily determinable fair value are generally valued using NAV per share where available. Publicly traded mutual funds which are valued using a daily NAV per share are classified in Level 1. Fund investments where Nomura has the ability to redeem its investment with the investee at NAV per share as of the balance sheet date or within the near term are classified in Level 2. Fund investments where Nomura does not have the ability to redeem in the near term or does not know when it can redeem are classified in Level 3. The Direct Capitalization Method (DCM) is used as a valuation technique for certain equity investments in real estate funds, with net operating income used as a measure of financial performance which is then applied to a capitalization rate dependent on the characteristics of the underlying real estate. Equity investments which are valued using DCM valuation techniques are generally classified in Level 3 since observable market capitalization rates are usually not available for identical or sufficiently similar real estate to that held within the real estate funds being valued.

Table of Contents

Private equity investments The determination of fair value of unlisted private equity investments requires significant management judgment because the investments, by their nature, have little or no price transparency. Private equity investments are initially carried at cost as an approximation of fair value. Adjustments to carrying value are made if there is third-party evidence of a change in value. Adjustments are also made, in the absence of third-party transactions, if it is determined that the expected exit price of the investment is different from carrying value. In reaching that determination, Nomura primarily uses either a discounted cash flow (DCF) or market multiple valuation technique. A DCF valuation technique incorporates estimated future cash flows to be generated from the underlying investee, as adjusted for an appropriate growth rate discounted at a weighted average cost of capital (WACC). Market multiple valuation techniques include comparables such as Enterprise Value/earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (EV/EBITDA) ratios, Price/Earnings (PE) ratios, Price/Book ratios, Price/Embedded Value ratios and other multiples based on relationships between numbers reported in the financial statements of the investee and the price of comparable companies. A liquidity discount may also be applied to either a DCF or market multiple valuation to reflect the specific characteristics of the investee. Where possible these valuations are compared with the operating cash flows and financial performance of the investee or properties relative to budgets or projections, price/earnings data for similar quoted companies, trends within sectors and/or regions and any specific rights or terms associated with the investment, such as conversion features and liquidation preferences. Private equity investments are generally classified in Level 3 since the valuation inputs such as those mentioned above are usually unobservable.

Government, agency and municipal securities The fair value of Japanese and other G7 government securities is primarily determined using quoted market prices, executable broker or dealer quotations, or alternative pricing sources. These securities are traded in active markets and therefore are classified within Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. Non-G7 government securities, agency securities and municipal securities are valued using similar pricing sources but are generally classified in Level 2 as they are traded in inactive markets. Certain non-G7 securities may be classified in Level 1 because they are traded in active markets. Certain securities may be classified in Level 3 because they are traded infrequently and there is not sufficient information from comparable securities to classify them in Level 2. These are valued using DCF valuation techniques which include significant unobservable inputs such as credit spreads of the issuer.

Bank and corporate debt securities The fair value of bank and corporate debt securities is primarily determined using DCF valuation techniques but also using broker or dealer quotations and recent market transactions of identical or similar debt securities, if available. Consideration is given to the nature of the broker and dealer quotations, namely whether these are indicative or executable, the number of available quotations and how these quotations compare to any available recent market activity or alternative pricing sources. The significant valuation inputs used for DCF valuations are yield curves, asset swap spreads, recovery rates and credit spreads of the issuer. Bank and corporate debt securities are generally classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy because these valuation inputs are usually observable or market-corroborated. Certain bank and corporate debt securities will be classified in Level 3 because they are traded infrequently and there is insufficient information from comparable securities to classify them in Level 2, or credit spreads or recovery rates of the issuer used in DCF valuations are unobservable.

Commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS) and Residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS) The fair value of CMBS and RMBS is primarily determined using DCF valuation techniques but also using broker or dealer quotations and recent market transactions of identical or similar securities, if available. Consideration is given to the nature of the broker and dealer quotations, namely whether these are indicative or executable, the number of available quotations and how these quotations compare to any available recent market activity or alternative pricing sources. The significant valuation inputs include yields, prepayment rates, default probabilities and loss severities. CMBS and RMBS securities are generally classified in Level 2 because these valuation inputs are observable or market-corroborated. Certain CMBS and RMBS positions will be classified in Level 3 because they are traded infrequently and there is insufficient information from comparable securities to classify them in Level 2, or one or more of the significant valuation inputs used in DCF valuations are unobservable.

Real estate-backed securities The fair value of real estate-backed securities is determined using broker or dealer quotations, recent market transactions or by reference to a comparable market index. Consideration is given to the nature of the broker and dealer quotations, namely whether these are indicative or executable, the number of available quotations and how these quotations compare to any available recent market activity or alternative pricing sources. Where all significant inputs are observable, the securities will be classified in Level 2. For certain securities, no direct pricing sources or comparable securities or indices may be available. These securities are valued using DCF or DCM valuation techniques and are classified in Level 3 as the valuation includes significant unobservable valuation inputs such as yields or loss severities.

Table of Contents

Collateralized debt obligations (CDOs) and other The fair value of CDOs is primarily determined using DCF valuation techniques but also using broker or dealer quotations and recent market transactions of identical or similar securities, if available. Consideration is given to the nature of the broker and dealer quotations, namely whether these are indicative or executable, the number of available quotations and how these quotations compare to any available recent market activity or alternative pricing sources. The significant valuation inputs used include market spread data for each credit rating, yields, prepayment rates, default probabilities and loss severities. CDOs are generally classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy because these valuation inputs are observable or market-corroborated. CDOs will be classified in Level 3 where one or more of the significant valuation inputs used in the DCF valuations are unobservable.

Investment trust funds and other The fair value of investment trust funds is primarily determined using NAV per share. Publicly traded funds which are valued using a daily NAV per share are classified in Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. For funds that are not publicly traded but Nomura has the ability to redeem its investment with the investee at NAV per share on the balance sheet date or within the near term, the investments are classified in Level 2. Investments where Nomura does not have the ability to redeem in the near term or does not know when it can redeem are classified in Level 3. The fair value of certain other investments reported within *Investment trust funds and other* is determined using DCF valuation techniques. These investments are classified in Level 3 as the valuation includes significant unobservable valuation inputs such as credit spreads of issuer and correlation.

Derivatives Equity contracts Nomura enters into both exchange-traded and OTC equity derivative transactions such as index and equity options, equity basket options and index and equity swaps. Where these derivatives are traded in active markets and the exchange price is representative of fair value, the fair value of exchange-traded equity derivatives is determined using an unadjusted exchange price and classified in Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. The fair value of exchange-traded equity derivatives which are traded in inactive markets or where the exchange price is not representative of fair value is determined using a model price and are classified in Level 2. The fair value of OTC equity derivatives is determined through option models such as Black-Scholes and Monte Carlo simulation. The significant valuation inputs used include equity prices, dividend yields, volatilities and correlations. Valuation adjustments are also made to model valuations in order to reflect counterparty credit risk on derivative assets and Nomura's own creditworthiness on derivative liabilities. OTC equity derivatives are generally classified in Level 2 because all significant valuation inputs and adjustments are observable or market-corroborated. Certain less liquid vanilla or more complex equity derivatives are classified in Level 3 where dividend yield, volatility or correlation valuation inputs are significant and unobservable.

Derivatives Interest rate contracts Nomura enters into both exchange-traded and OTC interest rate derivative transactions such as interest rate swaps, currency swaps, interest rate options, forward rate agreements, swaptions, caps and floors. Where these derivatives are traded in active markets and the exchange price is representative of fair value, the fair value of exchange-traded interest rate derivatives is determined using an unadjusted exchange price and classified in Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. The fair value of exchange-traded interest rate derivatives which are traded in inactive markets or where the exchange price is not representative of fair value is determined using a model price and are classified in Level 2. The fair value of OTC interest rate derivatives is determined through DCF valuation techniques as well as option models such as Black-Scholes and Monte Carlo simulation. The significant valuation inputs used include interest rates, forward foreign exchange (FX) rates, volatilities and correlations. Valuation adjustments are also made to model valuations in order to reflect counterparty credit risk on derivative assets and Nomura's own creditworthiness on derivative liabilities. OTC interest rate derivatives are generally classified in Level 2 because all significant valuation inputs and adjustments are observable or market-corroborated. Certain less liquid vanilla or more complex OTC interest rate derivatives are classified in Level 3 where interest rate, volatility or correlation valuation inputs are significant and unobservable.

Table of Contents

Derivatives Credit contracts Nomura enters into OTC credit derivative transactions such as credit default swaps and credit options on single names, indices or baskets of assets. The fair value of OTC credit derivatives is determined through DCF valuation techniques as well as option models such as Black-Scholes and Monte Carlo simulation. The significant valuation inputs used include interest rates, credit spreads, recovery rates, default probabilities, volatilities and correlations. Valuation adjustments are also made to model valuations in order to reflect counterparty credit risk on derivative assets and Nomura's own creditworthiness on derivative liabilities. OTC credit derivatives are generally classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy because all significant valuation inputs and adjustments are observable or market-corroborated. Certain less liquid vanilla or more complex OTC credit derivatives are classified in Level 3 where credit spread, recovery rate, volatility or correlation valuation inputs are significant and unobservable.

Derivatives Foreign exchange contracts Nomura enters into both exchange-traded and OTC foreign exchange derivative transactions such as foreign exchange forwards and currency options. The fair value of exchange-traded foreign exchange derivatives which are traded in inactive markets or where the exchange price is not representative of fair value is determined using a model price and are classified in Level 2. The fair value of OTC foreign exchange derivatives is determined through DCF valuation techniques as well as option models such as Black-Scholes and Monte Carlo simulation. The significant valuation inputs used include interest rates, forward FX rates, spot FX rates and volatilities. Valuation adjustments are also made to model valuations in order to reflect counterparty credit risk on derivative assets and Nomura's own creditworthiness on derivative liabilities. OTC foreign exchange derivatives are generally classified in Level 2 because all significant valuation inputs and adjustments are observable or market-corroborated. Certain foreign exchange derivatives are classified in Level 3 where volatility valuation inputs are significant and unobservable.

Derivatives Commodity contracts Nomura enters into OTC commodity derivative transactions such as commodity swaps, commodity forwards and commodity options. The fair value of OTC commodity derivatives is determined through DCF valuation techniques as well as option models such as Black-Scholes and Monte Carlo simulation. The significant valuation inputs used include commodity prices, interest rates, volatilities and correlations. Valuation adjustments are also made to model valuations in order to reflect counterparty credit risk on derivative assets and Nomura's own creditworthiness on derivative liabilities. OTC commodity derivatives are generally classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy because these valuation inputs and adjustments are observable or market-corroborated.

Loans The fair value of loans carried at fair value either as trading assets or through election of the fair value option is primarily determined using DCF valuation techniques as quoted prices are typically not available. The significant valuation inputs used are similar to those used in the valuation of corporate debt securities described above. Loans are generally classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy because all significant valuation inputs are observable. Certain loans, however, are classified in Level 3 because they are traded infrequently and there is not sufficient information from comparable securities to classify them in Level 2 or credit spreads of the issuer used in DCF valuations are significant and unobservable.

Collateralized agreements and Collateralized financing The primary types of collateralized agreement and financing transactions carried at fair value are reverse repurchase and repurchase agreements elected for the fair value option. The fair value of these financial instruments is primarily determined using DCF valuation techniques. The significant valuation inputs used include interest rates and collateral funding spreads such as general collateral or special rates. Reverse repurchase and repurchase agreements are generally classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy because these valuation inputs are usually observable.

Non-trading debt securities These are debt securities held by certain non-trading subsidiaries in the group and are valued and classified in the fair value hierarchy using the same valuation techniques used for other debt securities classified as *Government, agency and municipal securities and Bank and corporate debt securities* described above.

Short-term and long-term borrowings (Structured notes) Structured notes are debt securities issued by Nomura or by consolidated variable interest entities (VIEs) which contain embedded features that alter the return to the investor from simply receiving a fixed or floating rate of interest to a return that depends upon some other variables, such as an equity or equity index, commodity price, foreign exchange rate, credit rating of a third party or a more complex interest rate (i.e., an embedded derivative).

Table of Contents

The fair value of structured notes is determined using a quoted price in an active market for the identical liability if available, and where not available, using a mixture of valuation techniques that use the quoted price of the identical liability when traded as an asset, quoted prices for similar liabilities, similar liabilities when traded as assets, or an internal model which combines DCF valuation techniques and option pricing models, depending on the nature of the embedded features within the structured note. Where an internal model is used, Nomura estimates the fair value of both the underlying debt instrument and the embedded derivative components. The significant valuation inputs used to estimate the fair value of the debt instrument component include yield curves and prepayment rates. The significant valuation inputs used to estimate the fair value of the embedded derivative component are the same as those used for the relevant type of freestanding OTC derivative discussed above. A valuation adjustment is also made to the entire structured note in order to reflect Nomura's own creditworthiness. As of March 31, 2015 and September 30, 2015, the fair value of structured notes includes a debit adjustment of ¥0 billion and ¥22 billion, respectively, to reflect Nomura's own creditworthiness. The valuation methodology used to determine this adjustment was refined during the quarter ended June 30, 2015 by incorporating certain additional term features in Nomura's credit spreads, which are a key valuation input used to determine the amount of the adjustment. This adjustment is determined based on recent observable secondary market transactions and executable broker quotes involving Nomura debt instruments and is therefore typically treated as a Level 2 valuation input. Structured notes are generally classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy as all significant valuation inputs and adjustments are observable. Where any unobservable inputs are significant, such as volatilities and correlations used to estimate the fair value of the embedded derivative component, structured notes are classified in Level 3.

Long-term borrowings (Secured financing transactions) Secured financing transactions are liabilities recognized when a transfer of a financial asset does not meet the criteria for sales accounting under ASC 860 and therefore the transaction is accounted for as a secured borrowing. These liabilities are valued using the same valuation techniques that are applied to the transferred financial assets which remain on the consolidated balance sheets and are therefore classified in the same level in the fair value hierarchy as the transferred financial assets. These liabilities do not provide general recourse to Nomura and therefore no adjustment is made to reflect Nomura's own creditworthiness.

Valuation processes

In order to ensure the appropriateness of any fair value measurement of a financial instrument used within these consolidated financial statements, including those classified in Level 3 within the fair value hierarchy, Nomura operates a governance framework which mandates determination or validation of a fair value measurement by control and support functions independent of the trading businesses assuming the risk of the financial instrument. Such functions within Nomura with direct responsibility for either defining, implementing or maintaining valuation policies and procedures are as follows:

The Product Control Valuations Group (PCVG) within Nomura's Finance Department has primary responsibility for determining and implementing valuation policies and procedures in connection with determination of fair value measurements. In particular, this group will ensure that valuation policies are documented for each type of financial instrument in accordance with U.S. GAAP. While it is the responsibility of market makers and investment professionals in our trading businesses to price our financial instruments, the PCVG are responsible for independently verifying or validating these prices. In the event of a difference in opinion or where the estimate of fair value requires judgment, the valuation used within these consolidated financial statements is made by senior managers independent of the trading businesses. This group reports to the Global Head of Product Control and ultimately to the Chief Financial Officer (CFO);

The Accounting Policy Group within Nomura's Finance Department defines the group's accounting policies and procedures in accordance with U.S. GAAP, including those associated with determination of fair value under ASC 820 and other relevant U.S. GAAP pronouncements. This group reports to the Global Head of Accounting Policy and ultimately to the CFO; and

Table of Contents

The MVG within Nomura's Risk Management Department validates the appropriateness and consistency of pricing models used to determine fair value measurements independently of those who design and build the models. This group reports to the Chief Risk Officer.

The fundamental components of this governance framework over valuation processes within Nomura particularly as it relates to Level 3 financial instruments are the procedures in place for independent price verification, pricing model validation and revenue substantiation.

Independent price verification processes

The key objective of the independent price verification processes within Nomura is to verify the appropriateness of fair value measurements applied to all financial instruments within Nomura. In applying these control processes, observable inputs are used whenever possible and when unobservable inputs are necessary, the processes seek to ensure the valuation technique and inputs are appropriate, reasonable and consistently applied.

The independent price verification processes aim to verify the fair value of all positions to external levels on a regular basis. The process will involve obtaining data such as trades, marks and prices from internal and external sources and examining the impact of marking the internal positions at the external prices. Margin disputes within the collateral process will also be investigated to determine if there is any impact on valuations.

Where third-party pricing information sourced from brokers, dealers and consensus pricing services is used as part of the price verification process, consideration is given as to whether that information reflects actual recent market transactions or prices at which transactions involving identical or similar financial instruments are currently executable. If such transactions or prices are not available, the financial instrument will generally be classified in Level 3.

Where there is a lack of observable market information around the inputs used in a fair value measurement, then the PCVG and the MVG will assess the inputs used for reasonableness considering available information including comparable products, surfaces, curves and past trades. Additional valuation adjustments may be taken for the uncertainty in the inputs used, such as correlation and where appropriate trading desks may be asked to execute trades to evidence market levels.

Model review and validation

For more complex financial instruments pricing models are used to determine fair value measurements. The MVG performs an independent model approval process which incorporates a review of the model assumptions across a diverse set of parameters. Considerations include:

Scope of the model (different financial instruments may require different but consistent pricing approaches);

Mathematical and financial assumptions;

Full or partial independent benchmarking along with boundary and stability tests, numerical convergence, calibration quality and stability;

Model integration within Nomura's trading and risk systems;

Calculation of risk numbers and risk reporting; and

Hedging strategies/practical use of the model.

New models are reviewed and approved by the MVG. The frequency of subsequent MVG reviews (Model Re-approvals) is at least annually.

Revenue substantiation

Nomura's Product Control function also ensures adherence to Nomura's valuation policies through daily and periodic analytical review of net revenues. This process involves substantiating revenue amounts through explanations and attribution of revenue sources based on the underlying factors such as interest rates, credit spreads, volatilities, foreign exchange rates etc. In combination with the independent price verification processes, this daily, weekly, monthly and quarterly review substantiates the revenues made while helping to identify and resolve potential booking, pricing or risk quantification issues.

Table of Contents**Level 3 financial instruments**

As described above, the valuation of Level 3 financial assets and liabilities is dependent on certain significant inputs which cannot be observed in the market. Common characteristics of an inactive market include a low number of transactions of the financial instrument, stale or non-current price quotes, price quotes that vary substantially either over time or among market makers, non-executable broker quotes or little publicly released information.

If corroborative evidence is not available to value Level 3 financial instruments, fair value may be established using other equivalent products in the market. The level of correlation between the specific Level 3 financial instrument and the available benchmark instrument is considered as an unobservable parameter. Other techniques for determining an appropriate value for unobservable parameters may consider information such as consensus pricing data among certain market participants, historical trends, extrapolation from observable market data and other information Nomura would expect market participants to use in valuing similar instruments.

Use of reasonably possible alternative input assumptions to value Level 3 financial instruments will significantly influence fair value determination. Ultimately, the uncertainties described above about input assumptions imply that the fair value of Level 3 financial instruments is a judgmental estimate. The specific valuation for each instrument is based on management's judgment of prevailing market conditions, in accordance with Nomura's established valuation policies and procedures.

Quantitative information regarding significant unobservable inputs and assumptions

The following tables present information about the significant unobservable inputs and assumptions used by Nomura for financial instruments classified in Level 3 as of March 31, 2015 and September 30, 2015. These financial instruments will also typically include observable valuation inputs (i.e. Level 1 or Level 2 valuation inputs) which are not included in the table and are also often hedged using financial instruments which are classified in Level 1 or Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

Financial Instrument	Fair value in billions of yen	Valuation technique(s)	March 31, 2015 Significant unobservable inputs	Range of valuation inputs ⁽¹⁾	Weighted Average ⁽²⁾
Assets:					
Trading assets and private equity investments					
Equities	¥ 39	DCF	Liquidity discounts	4.6 40.0%	21.6%
Private equity investments	49	Market multiples	EV/EBITDA ratios Price/Embedded values Liquidity discounts	10.0 x 0.4 x	10.0 x 0.4 x
				30.0 33.0%	32.3%
Foreign government, agency and municipal securities	3	DCF	Credit spreads	0.3 6.1%	1.1%
Bank and corporate debt securities and loans for trading purposes	167	DCF	Credit spreads Recovery rates	0.0 33.4% 0.0 42.6%	10.4% 24.9%
Commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS)	2	DCF	Yields	18.1 50.6%	15.3%
Residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS)	1	DCF	Yields Prepayment rates	0.1 10.6% 2.7 12.8%	2.2% 7.5%
Real estate-backed securities	13	DCF	Yields	17.0 26.0% 0.0 46.8%	24.3%

Edgar Filing: NOMURA HOLDINGS INC - Form 6-K

				Loss severities		18.6%
Collateralized debt obligations (CDOs) and other	15	DCF	Yields	4.7	23.4%	12.6%
			Prepayment rates	0.0	20.0%	
			Default probabilities	1.0	10.0%	19.0%
						2.2%
				Loss severities		30.0 100.0% 32.7%

Table of Contents

Financial Instrument	Fair value in billions of yen	Valuation technique(s)	March 31, 2015 Significant unobservable inputs	Range of valuation inputs⁽¹⁾		Weighted Average⁽²⁾
Derivatives, net:						
Equity contracts	¥ (6)	Option models	Dividend yield	0.0	8.4%	
			Volatilities	9.2	100.2%	
			Correlations	(0.75)	0.98	
Interest rate contracts	(22)	DCF/ Option models	Interest rates	0.8	3.3%	
			Volatilities	13.7	300.0%	
			Correlations	(0.30)	0.99	
Credit contracts	4	DCF/ Option models	Credit spreads	0.0	19.9%	
			Recovery rates	0.0	90.0%	
			Volatilities	1.0	70.0%	
			Correlations	0.37	0.95	
Foreign exchange contracts	(5)	Option models	Volatilities	0.6	16.1%	
Loans and receivables	15	DCF	Credit spreads	0.0	12.2%	0.7%
Other assets						
Other ⁽³⁾	57	DCF	WACC	5.7%		5.7%
			Growth rates	1.0%		1.0%
			Credit spreads	0.6	2.4%	1.3%
			Liquidity discounts	30.0%		30.0%
		Market multiples	EV/EBITDA ratios	2.9	13.5 x	7.6 x
			PE ratios	11.5	83.9 x	29.3 x
			Price/Book ratios	0.0	5.0 x	1.1x
			Liquidity discounts	20.0	30.0%	29.2 %
Liabilities:						
Short-term borrowings	¥ 1	DCF/ Option models	Volatilities	15.4	47.5%	
			Correlations	(0.75)	0.91	
Long-term borrowings	525	DCF/ Option models	Volatilities	13.7	47.5%	
			Correlations	(0.75)	0.99	

Table of Contents

Financial Instrument	Fair value in billions of yen	Valuation technique(s)	September 30, 2015 Significant		Range of valuation inputs ⁽¹⁾	Weighted Average ⁽²⁾
			unobservable inputs			
Assets:						
Trading assets and private equity investments						
Equities	¥ 38	DCF	Liquidity discounts	4.4	40.0%	21.9%
Private equity investments	48	Market multiples	EV/EBITDA ratios	9.3	13.5x	10.5 x
			Price/Embedded value ratios			
			Liquidity discounts	0.3x		0.3 x
				0.0	33.0%	29.9%
Foreign government, agency and municipal securities	2	DCF	Credit spreads	0.6	6.2%	1.4%
Bank and corporate debt securities and loans for trading purposes	127	DCF	Credit spreads	0.0	9.6%	6.1%
			Recovery rates	0.0	80.0%	47.8%
Commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS)	10	DCF	Yields	5.1	78.4%	12.3%
Residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS)	1	DCF	Yields	0.1	13.2%	2.2%
				2.7	12.0%	
			Prepayment rates	39.4	80.0%	8.6%
			Loss severities			41.7%
Real estate-backed securities	37	DCF	Yields	12.4	27.4%	17.5%
				0.0	51.1%	
			Loss severities			21.5%
Collateralized debt obligations (CDO) and other	12	DCF	Yields	7.3	27.6%	13.0%
			Prepayment rates	3.0	20.0%	19.6%
			Default probabilities	2.0	4.0%	2.1%
			Loss severities	30.0	100.0%	32.4%
Derivatives, net:						
Equity contracts						
	0	Option models	Dividend yield	0.0	11.6%	
			Volatilities	8.9	142.0%	
			Correlations	(0.75)	0.98	
Interest rate contracts						
	(24)	DCF/	Interest rates	0.5	3.7%	
		Option models	Volatilities	13.0	300.0%	

Edgar Filing: NOMURA HOLDINGS INC - Form 6-K

			Correlations	(0.38)	0.99	
Credit contracts	0	DCF/	Credit spreads	0.0	19.3%	
		Option models	Recovery rates	0.0	90.0%	
			Volatilities	30.0	61.9%	
			Correlations	0.35	0.92	
Foreign exchange contracts	2	Option models	Volatilities	2.2	29.7%	
Loans and receivables	26	DCF	Credit spreads	0.0	36.9%	3.6%
Other assets						
Other ⁽³⁾	58	DCF	WACC			5.3%
			Growth rates			1.0%
			Credit spreads			0.7%
			Liquidity discounts	0.6	0.7%	30.0%
				30.0%		
		Market multiples	EV/EBITDA ratios			7.5 x
			PE ratios			20.8 x
			Price/Book ratios	4.0	13.0 x	
				3.7	37.8 x	1.2 x
				0.0	5.6 x	
			Liquidity discounts	25.0	30.0%	29.9%
Liabilities:						
Short-term borrowings	¥ 2	DCF/	Volatilities			
		Option models	Correlations	18.7	41.5%	
				(0.75)	0.93	
Long-term borrowings	416	DCF/	Volatilities			
		Option models	Correlations	13.0	41.5%	
				(0.75)	0.99	

- (1) Range information is provided in percentages, coefficients and multiples and represents the highest and lowest level significant unobservable valuation input used to value that type of financial instrument. A wide dispersion in the range does not necessarily reflect increased uncertainty or subjectivity in the valuation input and is typically just a consequence of the different characteristics of the financial instruments themselves.
- (2) Weighted average information for non-derivative instruments is calculated by weighting each valuation input by the fair value of the financial instrument.
- (3) Valuation technique(s) and unobservable inputs in respect of equity securities reported within Other assets in the consolidated balance sheets.

Table of Contents**Qualitative discussion of the ranges of significant unobservable inputs**

The following comments present qualitative discussion about the significant unobservable inputs used by Nomura for financial instruments classified in Level 3.

Derivatives Equity contracts The significant unobservable inputs are dividend yield, volatilities and correlations. The range of dividend yields varies as some companies do not pay any dividends, for example due to a lack of profits or as a policy during a growth period, and hence have a zero dividend yield while others may pay a high dividend for example to return money to investors. The range of volatilities is wide as the volatilities of shorter-dated equity derivatives or those based on single equity securities can be higher than those of longer-dated instruments or those based on indices. Correlations represent the relationships between one input and another (pairs) and can either be positive or negative amounts. The range of correlations moves from positive to negative because the movement of some pairs is very closely related and in the same direction causing highly positive correlations while others generally move in opposite directions causing highly negative correlations with pairs that have differing relationships throughout the range.

Derivatives Interest rate contracts The significant unobservable inputs are interest rates, volatilities and correlations. The range of interest rates is due to interest rates in different countries/currencies being at different levels with some countries having extremely low levels and others being at levels that while still relatively low are less so. The range of volatilities is wide as volatilities can be higher when interest rates are at extremely low levels, and also because volatilities of shorter-dated interest rate derivatives are typically higher than those of longer-dated instruments. The range of correlations moves from positive to negative because the movement of some pairs is very closely related and in the same direction causing highly positive correlations while others generally move in opposite directions causing highly negative correlations with pairs that have differing relationships through the range. Other than for volatilities where the majority of the inputs are away from the higher end of the range, the other significant unobservable inputs are spread across the relevant ranges.

Derivatives Credit contracts The significant unobservable inputs are credit spreads, recovery rates, volatilities and correlations. The range of credit spreads reflects the different risk of default present within the portfolio. At the low end of the range, underlying reference names have a very limited risk of default whereas at and the high end of the range, underlying reference names have a much greater risk of default. The range of recovery rates varies primarily due to the seniority of the underlying exposure with senior exposures having a higher recovery than subordinated exposures. The range of volatilities is wide as the volatilities of shorter-dated credit contracts are typically higher than those of longer-dated instruments. The correlation range is positive since credit spread moves are generally in the same direction. Highly positive correlations are those for which the movement is very closely related and in the same direction, with correlation falling as the relationship becomes less strong. Other than for volatilities where the majority of inputs are away from the higher end of the range, the other significant unobservable inputs are spread across the relevant ranges.

Derivatives Foreign exchange contracts The only significant unobservable inputs are volatilities. The range of volatilities is relatively narrow with the lower end of the range arising from currencies that trade in narrow ranges versus the U.S. Dollar. All significant unobservable volatilities are spread across the ranges.

Short-term borrowings and Long-term borrowings The significant unobservable inputs are volatilities and correlations. The range of volatilities is wide as the volatilities of shorter-dated instruments are typically higher than those in longer-dated instruments. The range of correlations moves from positive to negative because the movement of some pairs is very closely related and in the same direction causing highly positive correlations while others generally move in opposite directions causing highly negative correlations with pairs that have differing relationships through the range. Other than for volatilities where the majority of inputs are away from the higher end of the range, the other significant unobservable inputs are spread across the relevant ranges.

Table of Contents

Sensitivity of fair value to changes in unobservable inputs

For each class of financial instrument described in the above tables, changes in each of the significant unobservable inputs and assumptions used by Nomura will impact upon the determination of a fair value measurement for the financial instrument. The sensitivity of these Level 3 fair value measurements to changes in unobservable inputs and interrelationships between those inputs is described below:

Equities, Private equity investments and equity securities reported within Other assets When using DCF valuation techniques to determine fair value, a significant increase (decrease) in WACC, credit spreads or liquidity discount in isolation would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement. Conversely, a significant increase (decrease) in growth rate would result in a corresponding significantly higher (lower) fair value measurement. There is little interrelationship between these measures. When using market multiples to determine fair value, a significant increase (decrease) in the relevant multiples such as PE ratios, EV/EBITDA ratios, Price/Book ratios and Price/Embedded Value ratios in isolation would result in a higher (lower) fair value measurement. Conversely, a significant increase (decrease) in the liquidity discount applied to the holding in isolation would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement. Generally changes in assumptions around multiples result in a corresponding similar directional change in a fair value measurement, assuming earnings levels remain constant. When using DCM, a significant increase (decrease) in the capitalization rate would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement.

Foreign government, agency and municipal securities, Bank and corporate debt securities and loans for trading purposes, Loans and receivables and Non-trading debt securities Significant increases (decreases) in the credit spreads used in a DCF valuation techniques would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement, while significant increases (decreases) in recovery rates would result in a significantly higher (lower) fair value measurement.

Commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS), Residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS), Real estate-backed securities and Collateralized debt obligations (CDOs) and other Significant increases (decreases) in yields, prepayment rates, default probabilities and loss severities used in a DCF valuation techniques in isolation would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement. Generally, a change in default probabilities is accompanied by a directionally similar change in loss severities and a directionally opposite change in prepayment rates.

Investment trust funds and other Significant increases (decreases) in credit spreads used in a DCF valuation techniques would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement, while significant increases (decreases) in correlation would result in a significantly higher (lower) fair value measurement.

Derivatives Where Nomura is long the underlying risk of a derivative, significant increases (decreases) in the underlying of the derivative, such as interest rates or credit spreads in isolation or significant decreases (increases) in dividend yields would result in a significantly higher (lower) fair value measurement. Where Nomura is short the underlying risk of a derivative, the impact of these changes would have a converse effect on the fair value measurements reported by Nomura. Where Nomura is long optionality, recovery rates or correlation, significant increases (decreases) in volatilities, recovery rates or correlation will generally result in a significantly higher (lower) fair value measurement. Where Nomura is short optionality, recovery rates or correlation, the impact of these changes would have a converse effect on the fair value measurements.

Short-term borrowings and Long-term borrowings Where Nomura is long optionality or correlation, significant increases (decreases) in volatilities or correlation used in DCF valuation techniques and option models will generally result in a significantly higher (lower) fair value measurement. Where Nomura is short optionality or correlation, the impact of these changes would have a converse effect on the fair value measurements.

Movements in Level 3 financial instruments

The following tables present gains and losses as well as increases and decreases of financial instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis which Nomura classified in Level 3 for the six and three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2015. Financial instruments classified in

Edgar Filing: NOMURA HOLDINGS INC - Form 6-K

Level 3 are often hedged with instruments within Level 1 or Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. The gains or losses presented below do not reflect the offsetting gains or losses for these hedging instruments. Level 3 financial instruments are also measured using both observable and unobservable inputs. Fair value changes presented below, therefore, reflect realized and unrealized gains and losses resulting from movements in both observable and unobservable parameters.

For the six months ended September 30, 2015, gains and losses related to Level 3 assets did not have a material impact on Nomura's liquidity and capital resources management.

Table of Contents

	Billions of yen											
	Six months ended September 30, 2014											
	Beginning balance as of six months ended September 30, 2014	Total gains (losses) recognized in other comprehensive income ⁽¹⁾	Total gains (losses) recognized in other comprehensive income ⁽¹⁾	Purchases / issues ⁽²⁾	Sales / redemptions ⁽³⁾	Settlements	Foreign exchange movements	Transfers into Level 3 ⁽³⁾	Transfers out of Level 3 ⁽³⁾	Balance as of six months ended September 30, 2014		
Assets:												
Trading assets and private equity investments												
Equities	¥ 68	¥ 1	¥	¥ 14	¥ (36)	¥	¥ 1	¥ 2	¥ (8)	¥	42	
Private equity investments	42	(1)		4	(1)		1				45	
Japanese agency and municipal securities		(0)		0	(0)						0	
Foreign government, agency and municipal securities	26	7		122	(122)			5	(27)		11	
Bank and corporate debt securities and loans for trading purposes	116	2		78	(61)		5	11	(36)		115	
Commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS)	3	(0)		6	(8)			2	(0)		3	
Residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS)	3	(0)		0	(3)			2	(1)		1	
Real estate-backed securities	0	(0)		2	(0)		0		(2)		0	
Collateralized debt obligations (CDO) and other	13	(3)		34	(22)		2	9	(4)		29	
Investment trust funds and other	30	1		1	(11)		(0)		(3)		18	
Total trading assets and private equity investments	301	7		261	(264)		9	31	(81)		264	
Derivatives, net ⁽⁴⁾												
Equity contracts	11	(6)				(12)	0	(2)	1		(8)	
Interest rate contracts	(39)	(20)				8	0	(1)	0		(52)	
Credit contracts	5	(5)				5	0	(0)	(1)		4	
Foreign exchange contracts	5	(1)				(2)	0	(0)	0		2	
Commodity contracts	0	(0)				(0)	0				(0)	
Total derivatives, net	(18)	(32)				(1)	0	(3)	0		(54)	
Subtotal	¥ 283	¥ (25)	¥	¥ 261	¥ (264)	¥ (1)	¥ 9	¥ 28	¥ (81)	¥	210	
Loans and receivables	26	(1)			(0)		2				27	
Other assets												
Non-trading debt securities	3	0	(0)		(3)		0				0	
Other	56	(0)	(1)	2	(1)		0				56	
Total	¥ 368	¥ (26)	¥ (1)	¥ 263	¥ (268)	¥ (1)	¥ 11	¥ 28	¥ (81)	¥	293	
Liabilities:												
Trading liabilities												
Equities	¥ 1	¥ 0	¥	¥ 1	¥ (0)	¥	¥ (0)	¥ 0	¥ (1)	¥	1	
Bank and corporate debt securities	0	(0)		0			0				0	
Collateralized debt obligations (CDO) and other		(0)		1	(0)		0				1	
Total trading liabilities	¥ 1	¥ 0	¥	¥ 2	¥ (0)	¥	¥ (0)	¥ 0	¥ (1)	¥	2	
Short-term borrowings	3	(1)		1	(1)			0	(2)		2	
Payables and deposits	0	(0)		(0)	(0)				(0)		0	
Long-term borrowings	394	(53)		205	(237)		4	32	(12)		439	
Total	¥ 398	¥ (54)	¥	¥ 208	¥ (238)	¥	¥ 4	¥ 32	¥ (15)	¥	443	

Table of Contents

 Billions of yen
 Six months ended September 30, 2015

	Beginning balance as of six months ended September 30, 2015	Total gains (losses) recognized in September 30, 2015	Total gains (losses) recognized in September 30, 2015	in other comprehensive income ⁽¹⁾	Purchases / issues ⁽²⁾	Sales / redemptions ⁽³⁾	Settlements ⁽⁴⁾	Foreign exchange movements	Transfers into Level 3 ⁽³⁾	Transfers out of Level 3 ⁽³⁾	Balance as of six months ended September 30, 2015
Assets:											
Trading assets and private equity investments											
Equities	¥ 39	¥ 1	¥	¥ 4	¥ (7)	¥	¥	¥ 0	¥ 2	¥ (1)	¥ 38
Private equity investments	49	1		2	(5)			1			48
Foreign government, agency and municipal securities	3	0		19	(20)			0	0	0	2
Bank and corporate debt securities and loans for trading purposes	167	(1)		84	(125)			(1)	17	(14)	127
Commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS)	2	2		8	(2)			0			10
Residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS)	1	0		1	(1)			0			1
Real estate-backed securities	13	0		17	(6)			0	13		37
Collateralized debt obligations (CDO) and other	15	(3)		3	(5)			0	9	(7)	12
Investment trust funds and other	4	0		0	0			0	0	(3)	1
Total trading assets and private equity investments	293	0		138	(171)			0	41	(25)	276
Derivatives, net⁽⁴⁾											
Equity contracts	(6)	9		0	0	(2)	0	0	0	(1)	0
Interest rate contracts	(22)	(20)		0	(2)	25	0	(7)	2		(24)
Credit contracts	4	(2)				(4)	0	(4)	6		0
Foreign exchange contracts	(5)	(10)				19	0	1	(3)		2
Commodity contracts	0	0				0	0	0			0
Total derivatives, net	(29)	(23)		0	(2)	38	0	(10)	4		(22)
Subtotal	¥ 264	¥ (23)		¥ 138	¥ (173)	¥ 38	¥ 0	¥ 31	¥ (21)		¥ 254
Loans and receivables	15	0		4	(1)			0	8		26
Other assets											
Non-trading debt securities	0	0						0			0
Other	57	4	0	1	(4)			0			58
Total	¥ 336	¥ (19)	¥ 0	¥ 143	¥ (178)	¥ 38	¥ 0	¥ 39	¥ (21)		¥ 338
Liabilities:											
Trading liabilities											
Equities	¥ 3	¥ (1)	¥	¥ 1	¥ (2)	¥	¥ 0	¥ 0	¥ 0	¥ (2)	¥ 1
Bank and corporate debt securities	0	0		0	0			0	1	0	1
Total trading liabilities	¥ 3	¥ (1)	¥	¥ 1	¥ (2)	¥	¥ 0	¥ 1	¥ (2)	¥	2
Short-term borrowings	1	0		1	0					0	2
Payables and deposits	0	0		(1)	0						(1)
Long-term borrowings	525	32		180	(259)			(1)	38	(35)	416
Total	¥ 529	¥ 31	¥	¥ 181	¥ (261)	¥	¥ (1)	¥ 39	¥ (37)	¥	419

Table of Contents

	Billions of yen												
	Three months ended September 30, 2014												
	Beginning balance as of three months ended September 30, 2014	Total gains (losses) recognized in September 2014	Total gains (losses) recognized in comprehensive income	Purchases / issues ⁽²⁾	Sales / redemptions ⁽⁵⁾	Settlements	Foreign exchange movements	Transfers into Level 3 ⁽³⁾	Transfers out of Level 3 ⁽³⁾	Balance as of three months ended September 30, 2014			
Assets:													
Trading assets and private equity investments													
Equities	¥ 40	¥ 0	¥	¥ 9	¥ (7)	¥	¥ 2	¥ 1	¥ (3)	¥ 42			
Private equity investments	44	(0)		1	(1)		1			45			
Japanese agency and municipal securities		(0)		0	(0)					0			
Foreign government, agency and municipal securities	32	1		26	(26)			0	(22)	11			
Bank and corporate debt securities and loans for trading purposes	118	(1)		31	(18)		6	3	(24)	115			
Commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS)	5	(0)		3	(5)					3			
Residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS)	3	(0)		0	(2)					1			
Real estate-backed securities	2	(0)			(0)		0		(2)	0			
Collateralized debt obligations (CDO) and other	23	(2)		13	(12)		2	8	(3)	29			
Investment trust funds and other	28	1		0	(11)		0		(0)	18			
Total trading assets and private equity investments	295	(1)		83	(82)		11	12	(54)	264			
Derivatives, net⁽⁴⁾													
Equity contracts	4	(2)					(9)	0	(1)	(0)	(8)		
Interest rate contracts	(40)	(7)					(5)	0	(1)	1	(52)		
Credit contracts	5	(4)					3	0	1	(1)	4		
Foreign exchange contracts	7	(3)					(2)	0		(0)	2		
Commodity contracts	0	(0)					(0)	0		(0)	(0)		
Total derivatives, net	(24)	(16)					(13)	0	(1)	(0)	(54)		
Subtotal	¥ 271	¥ (17)	¥	¥ 83	¥ (82)	¥ (13)	¥ 11	¥ 11	¥ (54)	¥ 210			
Loans and receivables	26	(1)			(0)		2			27			
Other assets													
Non-trading debt securities	0	0			(0)		0			0			
Other	58	(0)	(1)	0	(1)		0			56			
Total	¥ 355	¥ (18)	¥ (1)	¥ 83	¥ (83)	¥ (13)	¥ 13	¥ 11	¥ (54)	¥ 293			
Liabilities:													
Trading liabilities													
Equities	¥ 0	¥ 0	¥	¥ 1	¥ 0	¥	¥ (0)	¥ (0)	¥ (0)	¥ 1			
Bank and corporate debt securities	0	(0)		0			0			0			
Collateralized debt obligations (CDO) and other		(0)		1	(0)		0			1			
Total trading liabilities	¥ 0	¥ (0)	¥	¥ 2	¥ (0)	¥	¥ 0	¥ (0)	¥ (0)	¥ 2			
Short-term borrowings	2	(0)		0	(0)			0	(0)	2			
Payables and deposits	0	(0)		(0)	(0)				(0)	0			
Long-term borrowings	465	(47)		109	(190)		4	10	(6)	439			
Total	¥ 467	¥ (47)	¥	¥ 111	¥ (190)	¥	¥ 4	¥ 10	¥ (6)	¥ 443			

Table of Contents

Billions of yen													
Three months ended September 30, 2015													
	Beginning balance as of three months ended September 30, 2015	Total gains (losses) recognized in comprehensive income ⁽¹⁾	Purchases / issues ⁽²⁾	Sales / redemptions ⁽⁸⁾	Settlements ⁽⁶⁾	Foreign exchange movements	Transfers into Level 3 ⁽³⁾	Transfers out of Level 3 ⁽³⁾	Balance as of three months ended September 30, 2015				
Assets:													
Trading assets and private equity investments													
Equities	¥ 38	¥ 0	¥ 5	¥ (4)	¥ (1)	¥ 1	¥ (1)	¥ 38					
Private equity investments	51	2	1	(4)	(2)					48			
Foreign government, agency and municipal securities	4	0	5	(7)	0	0					2		
Bank and corporate debt securities and loans for trading purposes	164	(3)	23	(56)	(4)	9	(6)	127					
Commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS)	12	0	1	(3)	0					10			
Residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS)	1	0	0	0	0					1			
Real estate-backed securities	12	0	15	(3)	0	13					37		
Collateralized debt obligations (CDO) and other	20	(2)	2	(3)	0	0	(5)	12					
Investment trust funds and other	1	0	0	0	0	0					1		
Total trading assets and private equity investments	303	(3)	52	(80)	(7)	23	(12)	276					
Derivatives, net⁽⁴⁾													
Equity contracts	(4)	4	0	0	0	0	0	0					
Interest rate contracts	(18)	(26)	0	(2)	17	0	3	2	(24)				
Credit contracts	11	(2)	0	0	(5)	(1)	(3)	0	0				
Foreign exchange contracts	1	(13)	0	0	15	0	(1)	2					
Commodity contracts	0	0	0	0	0	0					0		
Total derivatives, net	(10)	(37)	0	(2)	27	(1)	0	1	(22)				
Subtotal	¥ 293	¥ (40)	¥ 52	¥ (82)	¥ 27	¥ (8)	¥ 23	¥ (11)	¥ 254				
Loans and receivables	15	0	3	0	0	8					26		
Other assets													
Non-trading debt securities	0	0	0	0	0					0			
Other	58	1	0	1	(2)	0					58		
Total	¥ 366	¥ (39)	¥ 0	¥ 56	¥ (84)	¥ 27	¥ (8)	¥ 31	¥ (11)	¥ 338			
Liabilities:													
Trading liabilities													
Equities	¥ 2	¥ 0	¥ 0	¥ (1)	¥ 0	¥ 0	¥ 0	¥ 0	¥ 1				
Bank and corporate debt securities	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1				
Total trading liabilities	¥ 3	¥ 0	¥ 0	¥ (1)	¥ 0	¥ 0	¥ 0	¥ 0	¥ 2				
Short-term borrowings	2	0	0	0	0					2			
Payables and deposits	0	0	(1)	0	0					(1)			
Long-term borrowings	480	29	60	(120)	(3)	33	(5)	416					
Total	¥ 485	¥ 29	¥ 59	¥ (121)	¥ (3)	¥ 33	¥ (5)	¥ 419					

(1) Includes gains and losses reported primarily within *Net gain on trading*, *Gain on private equity investments*, and also within *Gain on investments in equity securities*, *Revenue Other* and *Non-interest expenses Other*, *Interest and dividends* and *Interest expense* in the

consolidated statements of income.

(2) Amounts reported in *Purchases / issues* include increases in trading liabilities while *Sales / redemptions* include decreases in trading liabilities.

(3) If financial instruments move from Level 3 to another Level or move from another Level to Level 3, the amount reported in *Transfers into Level 3* and *Transfers out of Level 3* are the fair value as of the beginning of the quarter during which the movement occurs. Therefore if financial instruments move from another Level to Level 3, all gains/ (losses) during the quarter are included in the table and if financial instruments move from Level 3 to another Level, all gains/ (losses) during the quarter are excluded from the table.

(4) Each derivative classification includes derivatives referencing multiple risk components. For example, interest rate contracts include complex derivatives referencing interest rate risk as well as foreign exchange risk or other factors such as prepayments rates. Credit contracts include credit default swaps as well as derivatives referencing corporate and government debt securities.

Table of Contents**Unrealized gains and losses recognized for Level 3 financial instruments**

The following tables present the amounts of unrealized gains (losses) for the six and three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2015, relating to those financial instruments which Nomura classified in Level 3 within the fair value hierarchy and that were still held by Nomura at the relevant consolidated balance sheet date.

	Billions of yen	
	Six months ended September 30 2014	September 30 2015
	Unrealized gains / (losses) ⁽¹⁾	
Assets:		
Trading assets and private equity investments		
Equities	¥ (3)	¥ 1
Private equity investments	(1)	1
Japanese agency and municipal securities	(0)	
Foreign government, agency and municipal securities	1	0
Bank and corporate debt securities and loans for trading purposes	0	(5)
Commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS)	0	0
Residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS)	0	0
Real estate-backed securities	0	0
Collateralized debt obligations (CDO) and other	(3)	(2)
Investment trust funds and other	2	0
Total trading assets and private equity investments	(4)	(5)
Derivatives, net⁽²⁾		
Equity contracts	14	0
Interest rate contracts	(15)	(13)
Credit contracts	(5)	0
Foreign exchange contracts	(3)	(9)
Commodity contracts	(0)	
Total derivatives, net	(9)	(22)
Subtotal	¥ (13)	¥ (27)
Loans and receivables	(0)	(1)
Other assets		
Non-trading debt securities	0	0
Other	(0)	3
Total	¥ (13)	¥ (25)
Liabilities:		
Trading liabilities		
Equities	¥ 0	¥ 0
Bank and corporate debt securities	(0)	0
Total trading liabilities	¥ 0	¥ 0
Short-term borrowings	0	0
Payables and deposits	(0)	0
Long-term borrowings	(39)	39

Total	¥ (39)	¥ 39
-------	--------	------

Table of Contents

	Billions of yen	
	Three months ended September 30 2014	2015
	Unrealized gains / (losses) ⁽¹⁾	
Assets:		
Trading assets and private equity investments		
Equities	¥ 0	¥ 1
Private equity investments	(0)	2
Japanese agency and municipal securities	(0)	
Foreign government, agency and municipal securities	0	0
Bank and corporate debt securities and loans for trading purposes	0	(2)
Commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS)	(0)	0
Residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS)	0	0
Real estate-backed securities		0
Collateralized debt obligations (CDO) and other	(2)	(2)
Investment trust funds and other	1	0
Total trading assets and private equity investments	(1)	(1)
Derivatives, net⁽²⁾		
Equity contracts	(1)	2
Interest rate contracts	(37)	(18)
Credit contracts	(4)	(2)
Foreign exchange contracts	(4)	(13)
Commodity contracts	(0)	
Total derivatives, net	(46)	(31)
Subtotal	¥ (47)	¥ (32)
Loans and receivables	(0)	0
Other assets		
Non-trading debt securities	0	0
Other	(0)	1
Total	¥ (47)	¥ (31)
Liabilities:		
Trading liabilities		
Equities	¥ 0	¥ 0
Bank and corporate debt securities	(0)	0
Total trading liabilities	¥ 0	¥ 0
Short-term borrowings	(0)	0
Payables and deposits	(0)	0
Long-term borrowings	(43)	30
Total	¥ (43)	¥ 30

(1) Includes gains and losses reported within *Net gain on trading*, *Gain on private equity investments*, and also within *Gain on investments in equity securities*, *Revenue Other* and *Non-interest expenses Other*, *Interest and dividends* and *Interest expense* in the consolidated statements of income.

(2)

Edgar Filing: NOMURA HOLDINGS INC - Form 6-K

Each derivative classification includes derivatives referencing multiple risk components. For example, interest rate contracts include complex derivatives referencing interest rate risk as well as foreign exchange risk or other factors such as prepayment rates. Credit contracts include credit default swaps as well as derivatives referencing corporate and government debt securities.

Table of Contents

Transfers between levels of the fair value hierarchy

Nomura assumes that all transfers of financial instruments from one level to another level within the fair value hierarchy occur at the beginning of the relevant quarter in which the transfer takes place. Amounts reported below therefore represent the fair value of the financial instruments at the beginning of the relevant quarter when the transfer was made.

Transfers between Level 1 and Level 2

For the six months ended September 30, 2014, a total of ¥207 billion of financial assets (excluding derivative assets) were transferred from Level 1 to Level 2. This comprised primarily ¥191 billion of equities reported within *Trading assets and private equity investments Equities* which were transferred because the observable markets in which these instruments are traded became inactive. This also comprised primarily ¥6 billion of *Foreign government, agency and municipal securities* and ¥6 billion of *Investment trust funds and other* which were transferred because the observable markets in which these instruments are traded became inactive. During the same period, a total of ¥36 billion of financial liabilities (excluding derivative liabilities) were transferred from Level 1 to Level 2. This also comprised primarily ¥34 billion of short sales of equities reported within *Trading liabilities* which were transferred because the observable markets in which these instruments were traded became inactive.

For the six months ended September 30, 2015, a total of ¥20 billion of financial assets (excluding derivative assets) were transferred from Level 1 to Level 2. This comprised primarily ¥15 billion of equities reported within *Trading assets and private equity investments Equities* which were transferred because the observable markets in which these instruments are traded became inactive. During the same period, the total amount of financial liabilities (excluding derivative liabilities) which were transferred from Level 1 to Level 2 was not significant.

For the three months ended September 30, 2014, a total of ¥42 billion of financial assets (excluding derivative assets) were transferred from Level 1 to Level 2. This comprised primarily ¥38 billion of equities reported within *Trading assets and private equity investments Equities* which were transferred because the observable markets in which these instruments are traded became inactive. During the same period, a total of ¥21 billion of financial liabilities (excluding derivative liabilities) were transferred from Level 1 to Level 2. This also comprised primarily ¥21 billion of short sales of equities reported within *Trading liabilities* which were transferred because the observable markets in which these instruments were traded became inactive.

For the three months ended September 30, 2015, the total amount of financial assets (excluding derivative assets) and financial liabilities (excluding derivative liabilities) which were transferred from Level 1 to Level 2 was not significant.

Table of Contents

For the six months ended September 30, 2014, a total of ¥39 billion of financial assets (excluding derivative assets) were transferred from Level 2 to Level 1. This comprised primarily ¥35 billion of equities reported within *Trading assets and private equity investments Equities* which were transferred because the observable markets in which these instruments are traded became active. During the same period, a total of ¥18 billion of financial liabilities (excluding derivative liabilities) were transferred from Level 2 to Level 1. This also comprised primarily ¥18 billion of short sales of equities reported within *Trading liabilities* which were transferred because the observable markets in which these instruments were traded became active.

For the six months ended September 30, 2015, a total of ¥48 billion of financial assets (excluding derivative assets) were transferred from Level 2 to Level 1. This comprised primarily ¥28 billion of equities reported within *Trading assets and private equity investments Equities* and ¥14 billion of *Investment trust funds and other* which were transferred because the observable markets in which these instruments are traded became active. During the same period, the total amount of financial liabilities (excluding derivative liabilities) which were transferred from Level 2 to Level 1 was not significant.

For the three months ended September 30, 2014, a total of ¥16 billion of financial assets (excluding derivative assets) were transferred from Level 2 to Level 1. This comprised primarily ¥14 billion of equities reported within *Trading assets and private equity investments Equities* which were transferred because the observable markets in which these instruments are traded became active. During the same period, a total of ¥6 billion of financial liabilities (excluding derivative liabilities) were transferred from Level 2 to Level 1. This also comprised primarily ¥6 billion of short sales of equities reported within *Trading liabilities* which were transferred because the observable markets in which these instruments were traded became active.

For the three months ended September 30, 2015, a total of ¥24 billion of financial assets (excluding derivative assets) were transferred from Level 2 to Level 1. This comprised primarily ¥17 billion of equities reported within *Trading assets and private equity investments Equities* which were transferred because the observable markets in which these instruments are traded became active. During the same period, the total amount of financial liabilities (excluding derivative liabilities) which were transferred from Level 2 to Level 1 was not significant.

Transfers out of Level 3

For the six months ended September 30, 2014, a total of ¥81 billion of financial assets (excluding derivative assets) were transferred out of Level 3. This comprised primarily ¥8 billion of *Equities* which were transferred because certain liquidity discounts valuation inputs became observable, ¥27 billion of *Foreign government, agency and municipal securities* which were transferred because certain credit spread became observable. This also comprised ¥36 billion of *Bank and corporate debt securities and loans for trading purposes*, principally debt securities, which were transferred because certain credit spread and recovery rate valuation inputs became observable. During the same period, a total of ¥15 billion of financial liabilities (excluding derivative liabilities) were transferred out of Level 3. This comprised primarily ¥12 billion of *Long term borrowings*, principally structured notes, which were transferred because certain yields, prepayment rates, default probabilities, loss severities, volatility and correlation valuation inputs became observable.

Table of Contents

For the six months ended September 30, 2014, the total amount of net derivative liabilities which were transferred out of Level 3 was not significant.

For the six months ended September 30, 2015, a total of ¥25 billion of financial assets (excluding derivative assets) were transferred out of Level 3. This comprised ¥14 billion of *Bank and corporate debt securities and loans for trading purposes*, principally debt securities, which were transferred because certain credit spread and recovery rate valuation inputs became observable. During the same period, a total of ¥37 billion of financial liabilities (excluding derivative liabilities) were transferred out of Level 3. This comprised primarily ¥35 billion of *Long term borrowings*, principally structured notes, which were transferred because certain volatility and correlation valuation inputs became observable.

For the six months ended September 30, 2015, the total amount of net derivative liabilities which were transferred out of Level 3 was not significant.

For the three months ended September 30, 2014, a total of ¥54 billion of financial assets (excluding derivative assets) were transferred out of Level 3. This comprised primarily ¥22 billion of *Foreign government, agency and municipal securities* which were transferred because certain credit spread became observable. This also comprised ¥24 billion of *Bank and corporate debt securities and loans for trading purposes*, principally debt securities, which were transferred because certain credit spread and recovery rate valuation inputs became observable. During the same period, a total of ¥6 billion of financial liabilities (excluding derivative liabilities) were transferred out of Level 3. This comprised primarily ¥6 billion of *Long term borrowings*, principally structured notes, which were transferred because certain yields, prepayment rates, default probabilities, loss severities, volatility and correlation valuation inputs became observable.

For the three months ended September 30, 2014, the total amount of net derivative assets which were transferred out of Level 3 was not significant.

For the three months ended September 30, 2015, a total of ¥12 billion of financial assets (excluding derivative assets) were transferred out of Level 3. During the same period, the total amount of financial liabilities (excluding derivative liabilities) which were transferred out of Level 3 was not significant.

For the three months ended September 30, 2015, the total amount of net derivative liabilities which were transferred out of Level 3 was not significant.

Table of Contents*Transfers into Level 3*

For the six months ended September 30, 2014, a total of ¥31 billion of financial assets (excluding derivative assets) were transferred into Level 3. This comprised primarily ¥5 billion of *Foreign government, agency and municipal securities* which were transferred because certain credit spread became unobservable and ¥11 billion of *Bank and corporate debt securities and loans for trading purposes* which were transferred because certain credit spread and recovery rate valuation inputs became unobservable. This also comprised primarily ¥9 billion of *Collateralized debt obligations (CDO) and other* which were transferred because certain yields, prepayment rates, default probabilities and loss severities became unobservable. The amount of gains and losses on these transfers reported in *Foreign government, agency and municipal securities, Bank and corporate debt securities and loans for trading purposes and Collateralized debt obligations (CDO) and other* which were recognized in the quarter when the transfer in to Level 3 occurred were not significant. During the same period, a total of ¥32 billion of financial liabilities (excluding derivative liabilities) were transferred into Level 3. This comprised primarily ¥32 billion of *Long term borrowings*, principally structured notes, which were transferred because certain yields, prepayment rates, default probabilities, loss severities, volatility and correlation valuation inputs became unobservable. The amount of gains and losses on these transfers reported in *Long term borrowings* which were recognized in the quarter when the transfer into Level 3 occurred was not significant.

For the six months ended September 30, 2014, a total of ¥3 billion of net derivative liabilities were also transferred into Level 3. The amount of gains and losses which were recognized in the quarter when the transfer into Level 3 occurred was not significant.

For the six months ended September 30, 2015, a total of ¥49 billion of financial assets (excluding derivative assets) were transferred into Level 3. This comprised primarily ¥17 billion of *Bank and corporate debt securities and loans for trading purposes* which were transferred because certain credit spread and recovery rate valuation inputs became unobservable. This also comprised primarily ¥13 billion of *Real estate-backed securities* which were transferred because certain yields and loss severities became unobservable. The amount of gains and losses on these transfers reported in *Bank and corporate debt securities and loans for trading purposes and Real estate-backed securities* which were recognized in the quarter when the transfer in to Level 3 occurred were not significant. During the same period, a total of ¥39 billion of financial liabilities (excluding derivative liabilities) were transferred into Level 3. This comprised primarily ¥38 billion of *Long term borrowings*, principally structured notes, which were transferred because certain volatility and correlation valuation inputs became unobservable. The amount of gains and losses on these transfers reported in *Long term borrowings* which were recognized in the quarter when the transfer into Level 3 occurred was not significant.

For the six months ended September 30, 2015, a total of ¥10 billion of net derivative liabilities were also transferred into Level 3. The amount of gains and losses which were recognized in the quarter when the transfer into Level 3 occurred was not significant.

For the three months ended September 30, 2014, a total of ¥12 billion of financial assets (excluding derivative assets) were transferred into Level 3. This comprised primarily ¥8 billion of *Collateralized debt obligations (CDO) and other* which were transferred because certain yields, prepayment rates, default probabilities and loss severities became unobservable. The amount of gains and losses on these transfers reported in *Collateralized debt obligations (CDO) and other* which were recognized in the quarter when the transfer in to Level 3 occurred were not significant. During the same period, a total of ¥10 billion of financial liabilities (excluding derivative liabilities) were transferred into Level 3. This comprised primarily ¥10 billion of *Long term borrowings*, principally structured notes, which were transferred because certain yields, prepayment rates, default probabilities, loss severities, volatility and correlation valuation inputs became unobservable. The amount of gains and losses on these transfers reported in *Long term borrowings* which were recognized in the quarter when the transfer into Level 3 occurred was not significant.

Table of Contents

For the three months ended September 30, 2014, a total amount of net derivative liabilities which were transferred into Level 3 was not significant. The amount of gains and losses which were recognized in the quarter when the transfer into Level 3 occurred was not significant.

For the three months ended September 30, 2015, a total of ¥31 billion of financial assets (excluding derivative assets) were transferred into Level 3. This comprised primarily ¥13 billion of *Real estate-backed securities* which were transferred because certain yields and loss severities became unobservable. The amount of gains and losses on these transfers reported in *Real estate-backed securities* which were recognized in the quarter when the transfer in to Level 3 occurred were not significant. During the same period, a total of ¥33 billion of financial liabilities (excluding derivative liabilities) were transferred into Level 3. This comprised primarily ¥33 billion of *Long term borrowings*, principally structured notes, which were transferred because certain volatility and correlation valuation inputs became unobservable. The amount of gains and losses on these transfers reported in *Long term borrowings* which were recognized in the quarter when the transfer into Level 3 occurred was not significant.

For the three months ended September 30, 2015, a total amount of net derivative liabilities which were transferred into Level 3 was not significant. The amount of gains and losses which were recognized in the quarter when the transfer into Level 3 occurred was not significant.

Investments in investment funds that calculate NAV per share

In the normal course of business, Nomura invests in non-consolidated funds which meet the definition of investment companies or are similar in nature and which do not have readily determinable fair values. For certain of these investments, Nomura uses NAV per share as the basis for valuation as a practical expedient. Some of these investments are redeemable at different amounts from NAV per share.

The following tables present information on these investments where NAV per share is calculated or disclosed as of March 31, 2015 and September 30, 2015. Investments are presented by major category relevant to the nature of Nomura's business and risks.

	Fair value	Unfunded commitments ⁽¹⁾	Billions of yen March 31, 2015 Redemption frequency (if currently eligible) ⁽²⁾	Redemption notice period ⁽³⁾
Hedge funds	¥ 98	¥ 0	Monthly	Same day-90 days
Venture capital funds	3	1		
Private equity funds	47	20		
Real estate funds	1			
Total	¥ 149	¥ 21		

	Fair value	Unfunded commitments ⁽¹⁾	Billions of yen September 30, 2015 Redemption frequency (if currently eligible) ⁽²⁾	Redemption notice period ⁽³⁾
Hedge funds	¥ 83	¥ 0	Monthly	Same day-90 days
Venture capital funds	2	1		
Private equity funds	48	20		
Real estate funds	1			
Total	¥ 134	¥ 21		

- (1) The contractual amount of any unfunded commitments Nomura is required to make to the entities in which the investment is held.
- (2) The range in frequency with which Nomura can redeem investments.
- (3) The range in notice period required to be provided before redemption is possible.

Table of Contents

Hedge funds:

These investments include funds of funds that invest in multiple asset classes. The fair values of these investments are determined using NAV per share. Although most of these funds can be redeemed within six months, certain funds cannot be redeemed within six months due to contractual, liquidity or gating issues. The redemption period cannot be estimated for certain suspended or liquidating funds. Some of these investments contain restrictions against transfers of the investments to third parties.

Venture capital funds:

These investments include primarily start-up funds. The fair values of these investments are determined using NAV per share. Most of these funds cannot be redeemed within six months. The redemption period cannot be estimated for certain suspended or liquidating funds. These investments contain restrictions against transfers of the investments to third parties.

Private equity funds:

These investments are made mainly in various sectors in Europe, United States and Japan. The fair values of these investments are determined using NAV per share. Redemption is restricted for most of these investments. Some of these investments contain restrictions against transfers of the investments to third parties.

Real estate funds:

These are investments in commercial and other types of real estate. The fair values of these investments are determined using NAV per share. Redemption is restricted for most of these investments. These investments contain restrictions against transfers of the investments to third parties.

Fair value option for financial assets and financial liabilities

Nomura carries certain eligible financial assets and liabilities at fair value through the election of the fair value option permitted by ASC 815 *Derivatives and Hedging* (ASC 815) and ASC 825 *Financial Instruments* . When Nomura elects the fair value option for an eligible item, changes in that item's fair value are recognized through earnings. Election of the fair value option is generally irrevocable unless an event occurs that gives rise to a new basis of accounting for that instrument.

The financial assets and financial liabilities primarily elected for the fair value option by Nomura, and the reasons for the election, are as follows:

Equity method investments reported within *Trading assets and private equity investments* and *Other assets* held for capital appreciation or current income purposes which Nomura generally has an intention to exit rather than hold indefinitely. Nomura elects the fair value option to more appropriately represent the purpose of these investments in these consolidated financial statements.

Loans reported within *Loans and receivables* which are risk managed on a fair value basis and loan commitments related to loans receivable for which the fair value option will be elected upon funding. Nomura elects the fair value option to mitigate volatility through earnings caused by the difference in measurement basis that otherwise would arise between loans and the derivatives used to risk manage those instruments.

Reverse repurchase and repurchase agreements reported within *Collateralized agreements* and *Collateralized financing* which are risk managed on a fair value basis. Nomura elects the fair value option to mitigate volatility through earnings caused by the difference in measurement basis that otherwise would arise between the reverse repurchase and repurchase agreements and the derivatives used to risk manage those instruments.

Edgar Filing: NOMURA HOLDINGS INC - Form 6-K

All structured notes issued on or after April 1, 2008 reported within *Short-term borrowings* and *Long-term borrowings*. Nomura elects the fair value option for those structured notes primarily to mitigate the volatility through earnings caused by differences in the measurement basis for structured notes and the derivatives Nomura uses to risk manage those positions. Nomura also elects the fair value option for certain notes issued by consolidated VIEs for the same purpose and for certain structured notes issued prior to April 1, 2008.

Financial liabilities reported within *Long-term borrowings* recognized in transactions which are accounted for as secured financing transactions under ASC 860. Nomura elects the fair value option for these financial liabilities to mitigate volatility through earnings that otherwise would arise had this election not been made. Even though Nomura usually has little or no continuing economic exposure to the transferred financial assets, they remain on the consolidated balance sheets and continue to be carried at fair value, with changes in fair value recognized through earnings.

Interest and dividends arising from financial instruments for which the fair value option has been elected are recognized within *Interest and dividends*, *Interest expense* or *Net gain on trading*.

Table of Contents

The following table presents gains (losses) due to changes in fair value for financial instruments measured at fair value using the fair value option for the six and three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2015.

	Billions of yen Six months ended September 30	
	2014	2015
	Gains / (Losses) ⁽¹⁾	
Assets:		
Trading assets and private equity investments ⁽²⁾		
Trading assets	¥ (0)	¥ 0
Private equity investments	0	0
Loans and receivables	(5)	1
Collateralized agreements ⁽³⁾	6	4
Other assets ⁽²⁾	(6)	(2)
Total	¥ (5)	¥ 3
Liabilities:		
Short-term borrowings ⁽⁴⁾	¥ 5	¥ 42
Collateralized financing ⁽³⁾	(0)	6
Long-term borrowings ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	(40)	110
Other liabilities ⁽⁶⁾	0	0
Total	¥ (35)	¥ 158

	Billions of yen Three months ended September 30	
	2014	2015
	Gains / (Losses) ⁽¹⁾	
Assets:		
Trading assets and private equity investments ⁽²⁾		
Trading assets	¥ 0	¥ (1)
Private equity investments	0	0
Loans and receivables	(3)	4
Collateralized agreements ⁽³⁾	4	3
Other assets ⁽²⁾	(3)	(4)
Total	¥ (2)	¥ 2
Liabilities:		
Short-term borrowings ⁽⁴⁾	¥ 4	¥ 49
Collateralized financing ⁽³⁾	0	14
Long-term borrowings ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	26	32
Other liabilities ⁽⁶⁾	(0)	0
Total	¥ 30	¥ 95

(1)

Edgar Filing: NOMURA HOLDINGS INC - Form 6-K

Includes gains and losses reported primarily within *Net gain on trading*, *Gain on private equity investments* and *Revenue Other* in the consolidated statements of income.

- (2) Includes equity investments that would have been accounted for under the equity method had Nomura not chosen to elect the fair value option.
- (3) Includes reverse repurchase and repurchase agreements.
- (4) Includes structured notes and other financial liabilities.
- (5) Includes secured financing transactions arising from transfers of financial assets which did not meet the criteria for sales accounting.
- (6) Includes unfunded written loan commitments.

Table of Contents

Nomura currently carries its investment in the common stock of Ashikaga Holdings Co., Ltd. (Ashikaga Holdings) at fair value through election of the fair value option. Nomura held 36.9% as of September 30, 2015. This investment was reported within *Other assets* Other as of September 30, 2015 in the consolidated balance sheets.

On November 2, 2015, Ashikaga Holdings agreed to merge with Joyo Bank, Ltd. through a share exchange which is scheduled to be effective on October 1, 2016. Nomura's investment in the common stock of Ashikaga Holdings will continuously be carried at fair value after the share exchange.

Nomura calculates the impact of changes in its own creditworthiness on certain financial liabilities for which the fair value option is elected by DCF valuation techniques at a rate which incorporates observable changes in its credit spread.

Losses from changes in the fair value of the financial liabilities for which the fair value option was elected, attributable to the change in its creditworthiness were ¥2 billion for the six months ended September 30, 2014, mainly due to the changes of Nomura's credit spread. Gains from changes in the fair value of the financial liabilities for which the fair value option was elected, attributable to the change in its creditworthiness were ¥22 billion for the six months ended September 30, 2015, mainly because of the widening of Nomura's credit spread.

Gains from changes in the fair value of the financial liabilities for which the fair value option was elected, attributable to the change in its creditworthiness were ¥7 billion for the three months ended September 30, 2014, mainly due to the widening of Nomura's credit spread. Gains from changes in the fair value of the financial liabilities for which the fair value option was elected, attributable to the change in its creditworthiness were ¥9 billion for the three months ended September 30, 2015, mainly because of the widening of Nomura's credit spread.

There was no significant impact on financial assets for which the fair value option was elected attributable to instrument-specific credit risk.

As of March 31, 2015, the fair value of the aggregate unpaid principal balance (which is contractually principally protected) of loans and receivables for which the fair value option was elected was ¥1 billion more than the principal balance of such loans and receivables. The fair value of the aggregate unpaid principal balance (which is contractually principally protected) of long-term borrowings for which the fair value option was elected was ¥1 billion more than the principal balance of such long-term borrowings. There were no loans and receivables for which the fair value option was elected that were 90 days or more past due.

As of September 30, 2015, the fair value of the aggregate unpaid principal balance (which is contractually principally protected) of loans and receivables for which the fair value option was elected was ¥1 billion more than the principal balance of such loans and receivables. The fair value of the aggregate unpaid principal balance (which is contractually principally protected) of long-term borrowings for which the fair value option was elected was ¥26 billion less than the principal balance of such long-term borrowings. There were no loans and receivables for which the fair value option was elected that were 90 days or more past due.

Table of Contents**Concentrations of credit risk**

Concentrations of credit risk may arise from trading, securities financing transactions and underwriting activities, and may be impacted by changes in political or economic factors. Nomura has credit risk concentrations on bonds issued by the Japanese Government, U.S. Government, Governments within the European Union (EU), their states and municipalities, and their agencies. These concentrations generally arise from taking trading positions and are reported within *Trading assets* in the consolidated balance sheets. Government, agency and municipal securities, including *Securities pledged as collateral*, represented 19% of total assets as of March 31, 2015 and 21% as of September 30, 2015.

The following tables present geographic allocations of Nomura's trading assets related to government, agency and municipal securities. See Note 3 *Derivative instruments and hedging activities* for further information regarding the concentration of credit risk for derivatives.

	Billions of yen				Total ⁽¹⁾
	Japan	U.S.	EU	Other	
Government, agency and municipal securities	¥ 2,510	¥ 1,815	¥ 3,098	¥ 446	¥ 7,869

	Billions of yen				Total ⁽¹⁾
	Japan	U.S.	EU	Other	
Government, agency and municipal securities	¥ 2,896	¥ 2,992	¥ 2,848	¥ 389	¥ 9,125

- (1) Other than above, there were ¥635 billion and ¥594 billion of government, agency and municipal securities in *Other assets Non-trading debt securities* as of March 31, 2015 and September 30, 2015, respectively. The vast majority of these securities are Japanese government, agency and municipal securities.

Estimated fair value of financial instruments not carried at fair value

Certain financial instruments are not carried at fair value on a recurring basis in the consolidated balance sheets since they are neither held for trading purposes nor are elected for the fair value option. These are typically carried at contractual amounts due or amortized cost.

The carrying value of the majority of the financial instruments detailed below will approximate fair value since they are short-term in nature and contain minimal credit risk. These financial instruments include financial assets reported within *Cash and cash equivalents, Time deposits, Deposits with stock exchanges and other segregated cash, Receivables from customers, Receivables from other than customers, Securities purchased under agreements to resell* and *Securities borrowed* and financial liabilities reported within *Short-term borrowings, Payables to customers, Payables to other than customers, Deposits received at banks, Securities sold under agreements to repurchase, Securities loaned* and *Other secured borrowings* in the consolidated balance sheets. These would be generally classified in either Level 1 or Level 2 within the fair value hierarchy.

The estimated fair values of other financial instruments which are longer-term in nature or may contain more than minimal credit risk may be different to their carrying value. Financial assets of this type primarily include certain loans which are reported within *Loans receivable* while financial liabilities primarily include long-term borrowings which are reported within *Long-term borrowings*. The estimated fair value of loans receivable which are not elected for the fair value option is estimated in the same way as other loans carried at fair value on a recurring basis. Where quoted market prices are available, such market prices are utilized to estimate fair value. The fair value of long-term borrowings which are not elected for the fair value option is estimated in the same way as other borrowings carried at fair value on a recurring basis using quoted market prices where available or by DCF valuation techniques. All of these financial assets and financial liabilities would be generally classified in Level 2 or Level 3 within the fair value hierarchy using the same methodology as is applied to these instruments when they are elected for the fair value option.

Table of Contents

The following tables present carrying values, fair values and classification within the fair value hierarchy for certain classes of financial instrument of which a portion of the ending balance was carried at fair value as of March 31, 2015 and September 30, 2015.

	Carrying value	Billions of yen March 31, 2015 ⁽¹⁾			
		Fair value	Fair value by level		
			Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	¥ 1,315	¥ 1,315	¥ 1,315	¥	¥
Time deposits	328	328		328	
Deposits with stock exchanges and other segregated cash	453	453		453	
Loans receivable ⁽²⁾	1,460	1,460		1,141	319
Securities purchased under agreements to resell	8,481	8,481		8,479	2
Securities borrowed	8,238	8,238		8,238	
Total	¥ 20,275	¥ 20,275	¥ 1,315	¥ 18,639	¥ 321
Liabilities:					
Short-term borrowings	¥ 662	¥ 662	¥	¥ 661	¥ 1
Deposits received at banks	1,220	1,220		1,220	0
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	12,217	12,217		12,214	3
Securities loaned	2,494	2,494		2,494	
Long-term borrowings	8,336	8,365	80	7,760	525
Total	¥ 24,929	¥ 24,958	¥ 80	¥ 24,349	¥ 529

	Carrying value	Billions of yen September 30, 2015 ⁽¹⁾			
		Fair value	Fair value by level		
			Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	¥ 2,160	¥ 2,160	¥ 2,160	¥	¥
Time deposits	197	197		197	
Deposits with stock exchanges and other segregated cash	490	490		490	
Loans receivable ⁽²⁾	1,596	1,596		1,197	399
Securities purchased under agreements to resell	9,503	9,503		9,503	
Securities borrowed	7,634	7,634		7,634	
Total Assets	¥ 21,580	¥ 21,580	¥ 2,160	¥ 19,021	¥ 399
Liabilities:					
Short-term borrowings	¥ 561	¥ 561	¥	¥ 559	¥ 2
Deposits received at banks	1,371	1,371		1,371	0
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	14,763	14,763		14,763	
Securities loaned	2,617	2,617		2,617	
Long-term borrowings	8,294	8,228	130	7,682	416
Total Liabilities	¥ 27,606	¥ 27,540	¥ 130	¥ 26,992	¥ 418

Edgar Filing: NOMURA HOLDINGS INC - Form 6-K

- (1) Includes financial instruments which are carried at fair value on a recurring basis.
- (2) Carrying values are shown after deducting relevant allowances for credit losses.

For the estimated fair value of liabilities relating to investment contracts underwritten by Nomura's insurance subsidiary, see Note 9 *Other assets Other/Other liabilities* in our consolidated financial statements included in this annual report.

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis

In addition to financial instruments carried at fair value on a recurring basis, Nomura also measures other financial and non-financial assets and liabilities at fair value on a nonrecurring basis, where the primary measurement basis is not fair value. Fair value is only used in specific circumstances after initial recognition such as to measure impairment.

There were no significant amounts of assets and liabilities which were measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis as of March 31, 2015 and September 30, 2015.

Table of Contents

3. Derivative instruments and hedging activities:

Nomura uses a variety of derivative financial instruments, including futures, forwards, options and swaps, for both trading and non-trading purposes.

Derivatives used for trading purposes

In the normal course of business, Nomura enters into transactions involving derivative financial instruments to meet client needs, for trading purposes, and to reduce its own exposure to loss due to adverse fluctuations in interest rates, currency exchange rates and market prices of securities. These financial instruments include contractual agreements such as commitments to swap interest payment streams, exchange currencies or purchase or sell securities and other financial instruments on specific terms at specific future dates.

Nomura maintains active trading positions in a variety of derivative financial instruments. Most of Nomura's trading activities are client oriented. Nomura utilizes a variety of derivative financial instruments as a means of bridging clients' specific financial needs and investors' demands in the securities markets. Nomura also actively trades securities and various derivatives to assist its clients in adjusting their risk profiles as markets change. In performing these activities, Nomura carries an inventory of capital markets instruments and maintains its access to market liquidity by quoting bid and offer prices to and trading with other market makers. These activities are essential to provide clients with securities and other capital market products at competitive prices.

Futures and forward contracts are commitments to either purchase or sell securities, foreign currency or other capital market instruments at a specific future date for a specified price and may be settled in cash or through delivery. Foreign exchange contracts include spot and forward contracts and involve the exchange of two currencies at a rate agreed by the contracting parties. Risks arise from the possible inability of counterparties to meet the terms of their contracts and from movements in market prices. Futures contracts are executed through regulated exchanges which clear and guarantee performance of counterparties. Accordingly, credit risk associated with futures contracts is considered minimal. In contrast, forward contracts are generally negotiated between two counterparties and, therefore, are subject to the performance of the related counterparties.

Options are contracts that grant the purchaser, for a premium payment, the right to either purchase or sell a financial instrument at a specified price within a specified period of time or on a specified date from or to the writer of the option. The writer of options receives premiums and bears the risk of unfavorable changes in the market price of the financial instruments underlying the options.

Swaps are contractual agreements in which two counterparties agree to exchange certain cash flows, at specified future dates, based on an agreed contract. Certain agreements may result in combined interest rate and foreign currency exposures. Entering into swap agreements may involve the risk of credit losses in the event of counterparty default.

To the extent these derivative financial instruments are economically hedging financial instruments or securities positions of Nomura, the overall risk of loss may be fully or partly mitigated by the hedged position.

Nomura seeks to minimize its exposure to market risk arising from its use of these derivative financial instruments through various control policies and procedures, including position limits, monitoring procedures and hedging strategies whereby Nomura enters into offsetting or other positions in a variety of financial instruments.

Table of Contents*Derivatives used for non-trading purposes*

Nomura's principal objectives in using derivatives for non-trading purposes are to manage interest rate risk, to modify the interest rate characteristics of certain financial liabilities, to manage foreign exchange risk of certain foreign currency denominated debt securities, to manage net investment exposure to fluctuations in foreign exchange rates arising from certain foreign operations and to mitigate equity price risk arising from certain stock-based compensation awards given to employees.

Credit risk associated with derivatives utilized for non-trading purposes is controlled and managed in the same way as credit risk associated with derivatives utilized for trading purposes.

Nomura designates certain derivative financial instruments as fair value hedges of interest rate risk arising from specific financial liabilities and foreign currency risk arising from specific foreign currency denominated debt securities. These derivatives are effective in reducing the risk associated with the exposure being hedged and are highly correlated with changes in the fair value and foreign currency rates of the underlying hedged items, both at inception and throughout the life of the hedge contract. Changes in fair value of the hedging derivatives are reported together with those of the hedged assets and liabilities through the consolidated statements of income within *Interest expense or Revenue - Other*.

Derivative financial instruments designated as hedges of the net investment in foreign operations relate to specific subsidiaries with non-Japanese yen functional currencies. When determining the effectiveness of net investment hedges, the effective portion of the change in fair value of the hedging derivative is determined by changes in spot exchange rates and is reported through NHI shareholders' equity within *Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)*. Changes in fair value of the hedging derivatives attributable to changes in the difference between the forward rate and spot rate are excluded from the measure of hedge effectiveness and are reported in the consolidated statements of income within *Revenue - Other*.

Concentrations of credit risk for derivatives

The following tables present Nomura's significant concentration of exposures to credit risk in OTC derivatives with financial institutions including transactions cleared through central counterparties. The gross fair value of derivative assets represents the maximum amount of loss due to credit risk that Nomura would incur if the counterparties of Nomura failed to perform in accordance with the terms of the instruments and any collateral or other security Nomura held in relation to those instruments proved to be of no value.

	Billions of yen March 31, 2015			
	Gross fair value of derivative assets	Impact of master netting agreements	Impact of collateral	Net exposure to credit risk
Financial institutions	¥ 33,930	¥ (31,773)	¥ (1,713)	¥ 444

	Billions of yen September 30, 2015			
	Gross fair value of derivative assets	Impact of master netting agreements	Impact of collateral	Net exposure to credit risk
Financial institutions	¥ 31,660	¥ (29,607)	¥ (1,516)	¥ 537

Table of Contents**Derivative activities**

The following tables quantify the volume of Nomura's derivative activity through a disclosure of notional amounts, in comparison with the fair value of those derivatives. All amounts are disclosed on a gross basis, prior to counterparty netting of derivative assets and liabilities and cash collateral netting against net derivatives.

	Billions of yen March 31, 2015			
	Derivative assets		Derivative liabilities	
	Notional	Fair value	Notional ⁽¹⁾	Fair value ⁽¹⁾
Derivatives used for trading and non-trading purposes ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾ :				
Equity contracts	¥ 20,681	¥ 1,747	¥ 20,431	¥ 1,983
Interest rate contracts	1,367,970	31,611	1,343,616	31,691
Credit contracts	30,055	1,111	29,689	1,118
Foreign exchange contracts	136,683	7,576	126,750	6,990
Commodity contracts	13	0	39	1
Total	¥ 1,555,402	¥ 42,045	¥ 1,520,525	¥ 41,783
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:				
Interest rate contracts	¥ 1,741	¥ 54	¥ 199	¥ 0
Foreign exchange contracts	177	1	161	2
Total	¥ 1,918	¥ 55	¥ 360	¥ 2
Total derivatives	¥ 1,557,320	¥ 42,100	¥ 1,520,885	¥ 41,785

	Billions of yen September 30, 2015			
	Derivative assets		Derivative liabilities	
	Notional	Fair value	Notional ⁽¹⁾	Fair value ⁽¹⁾
Derivatives used for trading and non-trading purposes ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾ :				
Equity contracts	¥ 21,162	¥ 1,524	¥ 26,071	¥ 1,753
Interest rate contracts	1,220,627	24,620	1,263,443	24,440
Credit contracts	27,958	865	27,079	1,013
Foreign exchange contracts	186,392	7,138	185,357	6,801
Commodity contracts	3,637	1	6,837	0
Total	¥ 1,459,776	¥ 34,148	¥ 1,508,787	¥ 34,007
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:				
Interest rate contracts	¥ 1,802	¥ 55	¥ 144	¥ 0
Foreign exchange contracts	160	4	235	2
Total	¥ 1,962	¥ 59	¥ 379	¥ 2
Total derivatives	¥ 1,461,738	¥ 34,207	¥ 1,509,166	¥ 34,009

(1) Includes the amount of embedded derivatives bifurcated in accordance with ASC 815.

(2) Each derivative classification includes derivatives referencing multiple risk components. For example, interest rate contracts include complex derivatives referencing interest rate risk as well as foreign exchange risk or other factors such as prepayment rates.

Edgar Filing: NOMURA HOLDINGS INC - Form 6-K

Credit contracts include credit default swaps as well as derivatives referencing corporate and government securities.

- (3) As of March 31, 2015 and September 30, 2015, the amounts reported include derivatives used for non- trading purposes which are not designated as fair value or net investment hedges. These amounts have not been separately presented since such amounts were not significant.

Changes in fair value are recognized either through earnings or other comprehensive income depending on the purpose for which the derivatives are used.

Table of Contents*Offsetting of derivatives*

Counterparty credit risk associated with derivative financial instruments is controlled by Nomura through credit approvals, limits and monitoring procedures. To reduce the risk of loss, Nomura requires collateral, principally cash collateral and government securities, for certain derivative transactions. In certain cases, Nomura may agree for such collateral to be posted to a third-party custodian under a control agreement that enables Nomura to take control of such collateral in the event of counterparty default. From an economic standpoint, Nomura evaluates default risk exposure net of related collateral. Furthermore, OTC derivative transactions are typically documented under industry standard master netting agreements which reduce Nomura's credit exposure to counterparties as they permit the close-out and offset of transactions and collateral amounts in the event of default of the counterparty. For certain OTC centrally-cleared and exchange-traded derivatives, the clearing or membership agreements entered into by Nomura provide similar rights to Nomura in the event of default of the relevant central clearing party or exchange. In order to support the enforceability of the close-out and offsetting rights within these agreements, Nomura generally seeks to obtain an external legal opinion.

For certain types of counterparties and in certain jurisdictions, Nomura may enter into derivative transactions which are not documented under a master netting agreement. Similarly, even when derivatives are documented under such agreements, Nomura may not have yet sought evidence, or may not be able to obtain evidence to determine with sufficient certainty that close-out and offsetting rights are legally enforceable. This may be the case where relevant local laws specifically prohibit such close-out and offsetting rights, or where local laws are complex, ambiguous or silent on the enforceability of such rights. This may include derivative transactions executed with certain foreign governments, agencies, municipalities, central clearing counterparties, exchanges and pension funds.

Nomura considers the enforceability of a master netting agreement in determining how credit risk arising from transactions with a specific counterparty is hedged, how counterparty credit exposures are calculated and applied to credit limits and the extent and nature of collateral requirements from the counterparty.

Derivative assets and liabilities with the same counterparty documented under a master netting agreement are offset in the consolidated balance sheets where the specific criteria defined by ASC 210-20 *Balance Sheet Offsetting* (ASC 210-20) and ASC 815 are met. These criteria include requirements around the legal enforceability of such close-out and offset rights under the master netting agreement. In addition, fair value amounts recognized for the right to reclaim cash collateral (a receivable) and the obligation to return cash collateral (a payable) are also offset against net derivative liabilities and net derivative assets, respectively where certain additional criteria are met.

The following table presents information about offsetting of derivatives and related collateral amounts in the consolidated balance sheets by type of derivative contract, together with the extent to which master netting agreements entered into with counterparties, central clearing counterparties or exchanges permit additional offsetting of derivatives and collateral in the event of counterparty default. Derivative transactions which are not documented under a master netting agreement or are documented under a master netting agreement for which Nomura does not have sufficient evidence of enforceability are not offset in the following table.

Table of Contents

	Billions of yen March 31, 2015		Billions of yen September 30, 2015	
	Derivative assets	Derivative liabilities ⁽¹⁾	Derivative assets	Derivative liabilities ⁽¹⁾
Equity contracts				
OTC settled bilaterally	¥ 1,191	¥ 1,349	¥ 989	¥ 1,189
OTC centrally-cleared				
Exchange-traded	556	634	535	564
Interest rate contracts				
OTC settled bilaterally	12,421	12,580	10,474	10,375
OTC centrally-cleared	19,226	19,102	14,186	14,057
Exchange-traded	18	9	15	8
Credit contracts				
OTC settled bilaterally	1,003	1,023	755	912
OTC centrally-cleared	103	93	107	99
Exchange-traded	5	2	3	2
Foreign exchange contracts				
OTC settled bilaterally	7,562	6,977	7,121	6,785
OTC centrally-cleared	10	10	21	18
Exchange-traded	5	5	0	0
Commodity contracts				
OTC settled bilaterally	0	0	1	0
OTC centrally-cleared				
Exchange-traded	0	1	0	0
Total gross derivative balances ⁽²⁾	¥ 42,100	¥ 41,785	¥ 34,207	¥ 34,009
Less: Amounts offset in the consolidated balance sheets ⁽³⁾	(40,514)	(40,460)	(32,748)	(32,747)
Total net amounts reported on the face of the consolidated balance sheets ⁽⁴⁾	¥ 1,586	¥ 1,325	¥ 1,459	¥ 1,262
Less: Additional amounts not offset in the consolidated balance sheets ⁽⁵⁾				
Financial instruments and non-cash collateral	(252)	(53)	(274)	(35)
Cash collateral		(4)		(2)
Net amount	¥ 1,334	¥ 1,268	¥ 1,185	¥ 1,225

(1) Includes the amount of embedded derivatives bifurcated in accordance with ASC 815.

(2) Includes all gross derivative asset and liability balances irrespective of whether they are transacted under a master netting agreement or whether Nomura has obtained sufficient evidence of enforceability of the master netting agreement. As of March 31, 2015, the gross balance of derivative assets and derivative liabilities which are not documented under master netting agreements or are documented under master netting agreements for which Nomura has not yet obtained sufficient evidence of enforceability was ¥298 billion and ¥447 billion, respectively. As of September 30, 2015, the gross balance of such derivative assets and derivative liabilities was ¥303 billion and ¥501 billion, respectively.

(3) Represents amounts offset through counterparty netting of derivative assets and liabilities as well as cash collateral netting against net derivatives under master netting and similar agreements for which Nomura has obtained sufficient evidence of enforceability in accordance with ASC 815. As of March 31, 2015, Nomura offset a total of ¥1,830 billion of cash collateral receivables against net derivative liabilities and ¥1,884 billion of cash collateral payables against net derivative assets. As of September 30, 2015, Nomura offset a total of ¥1,701 billion of cash collateral receivables against net derivative liabilities and ¥1,702 billion of cash collateral payables against net derivative assets.

(4) Net derivative assets and net derivative liabilities are generally reported within *Trading assets and private equity investments*, *Trading assets* and *Trading liabilities*, respectively in the consolidated balance sheet. Bifurcated embedded derivatives are reported within *Short-term borrowings* or *Long-term borrowings* depending on the maturity of the underlying host contract.

Table of Contents

- (5) Represents amounts which are not permitted to be offset on the face of the consolidated balance sheets in accordance with ASC 210-20 and ASC 815 but which provide Nomura with a legally enforceable right of offset in the event of counterparty default. Amounts relating to derivative and collateral agreements where Nomura has not yet obtained sufficient evidence of enforceability of such offsetting rights are excluded. As of March 31, 2015, a total of ¥223 billion of cash collateral receivables and ¥757 billion of cash collateral payables, including amounts reported in the table, have not been offset against net derivatives. As of September 30, 2015, a total of ¥367 billion of cash collateral receivables and ¥630 billion of cash collateral payables, including amounts reported in the table, have not been offset against net derivatives.

Derivatives used for trading purposes

Derivative financial instruments used for trading purposes, including bifurcated embedded derivatives, are carried at fair value with changes in fair value recognized through the consolidated statements of income within *Revenue Net gain on trading*.

The following tables present amounts included in the consolidated statements of income related to derivatives used for trading and non-trading purposes by type of underlying derivative contract.

	Billions of yen	
	Six months ended 2014	September 30 2015
Derivatives used for trading and non-trading purposes ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ :		
Equity contracts	¥ (160)	¥ 25
Interest rate contracts	(58)	(79)
Credit contracts	(9)	(1)
Foreign exchange contracts	(57)	(12)
Commodity contracts	0	(19)
Total	¥ (284)	¥ (86)

	Billions of yen	
	Three months ended 2014	September 30 2015
Derivatives used for trading and non-trading purposes ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ :		
Equity contracts	¥ (129)	¥ 80
Interest rate contracts	14	(125)
Credit contracts	10	(15)
Foreign exchange contracts	(66)	(22)
Commodity contracts	0	(29)
Total	¥ (171)	¥ (111)

- (1) Each derivative classification includes derivatives referencing multiple risk components. For example, interest rate contracts include complex derivatives referencing interest rate risk as well as foreign exchange risk or other factors such as prepayment rates. Credit contracts include credit default swaps as well as derivatives referencing corporate and government securities.
- (2) Includes net gains (losses) on derivatives used for non-trading purposes which are not designated as fair value or net investment hedges. For the six and three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2015, these amounts have not been separately presented as net gains (losses) for these non-trading derivatives were not significant.

Table of Contents*Fair value hedges*

Nomura issues Japanese Yen and foreign currency denominated debt with both fixed and floating interest rates. Nomura generally enters into swap agreements to convert fixed rate interest payments on its debt obligations to a floating rate and applies fair value hedge accounting to these instruments.

Also, Nomura's insurance subsidiary holds foreign currency denominated non-trading debt securities. The insurance subsidiary generally enters into swap agreements to convert foreign currency denominated principal amounts of these debt securities into its functional currency and applies fair value hedge accounting to these instruments.

Derivative financial instruments designated as fair value hedges are carried at fair value. Changes in fair value of the hedging derivatives are recognized together with those of the hedged liabilities and hedged debt securities in the consolidated statements of income within *Interest expense* and *Revenue - Other*, respectively.

The following table presents amounts included in the consolidated statements of income related to derivatives designated as fair value hedges by type of underlying derivative contract and the nature of the hedged item.

	Billions of yen			
	Six months ended September 30 2014		September 30 2015	
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:				
Interest rate contracts	¥	13	¥	10
Foreign exchange contracts				2
Total	¥	13	¥	12
Hedged items:				
Long-term borrowings	¥	(13)	¥	(10)
Non-trading debt securities				(2)
Total	¥	(13)	¥	(12)

	Billions of yen			
	Three months ended September 30 2014		September 30 2015	
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:				
Interest rate contracts	¥	3	¥	11
Foreign exchange contracts				3
Total	¥	3	¥	14
Hedged items:				
Long-term borrowings	¥	(3)	¥	(11)
Non-trading debt securities				(3)
Total	¥	(3)	¥	(14)

Table of Contents*Net investment hedges*

Nomura designates foreign currency forwards and foreign currency denominated long-term debt as hedges of certain subsidiaries with significant foreign exchange risks and applies hedge accounting to these instruments. Accordingly, the effective hedging portion of the foreign exchange gains (losses) arising from the derivative contracts and non-derivative financial products designated as hedges is recognized through the consolidated statements of comprehensive income within *Other comprehensive income (loss) Change in cumulative translation adjustments, net of tax*. This is offset by the foreign exchange adjustments arising from consolidation of the relevant foreign subsidiaries.

The following tables present gains (losses) from derivatives and non-derivatives designated as net investment hedges included in the consolidated statements of comprehensive income.

	Billions of yen			
	Six months ended September 30 2014		September 30 2015	
Hedging instruments:				
Foreign exchange contracts	¥	1	¥	5
Total	¥	1	¥	5

	Billions of yen			
	Three months ended September 30 2014		September 30 2015	
Hedging instruments:				
Foreign exchange contracts	¥	6	¥	11
Total	¥	6	¥	11

- (1) The portion of the gains (losses) representing the amount of hedge ineffectiveness and the amount excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness are recognized within *Revenue Other* in the consolidated statements of income. The amount of gains (losses) was not significant during the six months ended September 30, 2014 and 2015. The amount of gains (losses) was not significant during the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2015.

Derivatives containing credit risk related contingent features

Nomura enters into certain OTC derivatives and other agreements containing credit-risk-related contingent features. These features would require Nomura to post additional collateral or settle the instrument upon occurrence of a credit event, the most common of which would be a downgrade in the Company's long-term credit rating.

The aggregate fair value of all derivative instruments with credit risk related contingent features that were in a liability position as of March 31, 2015, was ¥874 billion with related collateral pledged of ¥708 billion. In the event of a one-notch downgrade to Nomura's long-term credit rating in effect as of March 31, 2015, the aggregate fair value of assets that would have been required to be posted as additional collateral or that would have been needed to settle the instruments immediately was ¥19 billion.

The aggregate fair value of all derivative instruments with credit risk related contingent features that were in a liability position as of September 30, 2015, was ¥863 billion with related collateral pledged of ¥757 billion. In the event of a one-notch downgrade to Nomura's long-term credit rating in effect as of September 30, 2015, the aggregate fair value of assets that would have been required to be posted as additional collateral or that would have been needed to settle the instruments immediately was ¥13 billion.

Table of Contents

Credit derivatives

Credit derivatives are derivative instruments in which one or more of their underlyings are related to the credit risk of a specified entity (or group of entities) or an index based on the credit risk of a group of entities that expose the seller of credit protection to potential loss from credit risk related events specified in the contract.

Written credit derivatives are instruments or embedded features where Nomura assumes third party credit risk, either as guarantor in a guarantee-type contract, or as the party that provides credit protection in an option-type contract, credit default swap, or any other credit derivative contract.

Nomura enters into credit derivatives as part of its normal trading activities as both purchaser and seller of protection for credit risk mitigation, proprietary trading positions and for client transactions.

The most significant type of credit derivatives used by Nomura are single-name credit default swaps where settlement of the derivative is based on the credit risk of a single third party. Nomura also writes credit derivatives linked to the performance of credit default indices and issues other credit risk related portfolio products.

Nomura would have to perform under a credit derivative contract if a credit event as defined in the respective contract occurs. Typical credit events include bankruptcy, failure to pay and restructuring of obligations of the reference asset.

Credit derivative contracts written by Nomura are either cash or physically settled. In cash-settled instruments, once payment is made upon an event of a default, the contract usually terminates with no further payments due. Nomura generally has no right to assume the reference assets of the counterparty in exchange for payment, nor does Nomura usually have any direct recourse to the actual issuers of the reference assets to recover the amount paid. In physically settled contracts, upon a default event, Nomura takes delivery of the reference asset in return for payment of the full notional amount of the contract.

Nomura actively monitors and manages its credit derivative exposures. Where protection is sold, risks may be mitigated by purchasing credit protection from other third parties either on identical underlying reference assets or on underlying reference assets with the same issuer which would be expected to behave in a correlated fashion. The most common form of recourse provision to enable Nomura to recover from third parties any amounts paid under a written credit derivative is therefore not through the derivative itself but rather through the separate purchase of credit derivatives with identical or correlated underlyings.

Nomura quantifies the value of these purchased contracts in the following tables in the column titled Purchased Credit Protection. These amounts represent purchased credit protection with identical underlyings to the written credit derivative contracts which act as a hedge against Nomura's exposure. To the extent Nomura is required to pay out under the written credit derivative, a similar amount would generally become due to Nomura under the purchased hedge.

Credit derivatives have a stated notional amount which represents the maximum payment Nomura may be required to make under the contract. However, this is generally not a true representation of the amount Nomura will actually pay as in addition to purchased credit protection, other risk mitigating factors reduce the likelihood and amount of any payment, including:

The probability of default: Nomura values credit derivatives taking into account the probability that the underlying reference asset will default and that Nomura will be required to make payments under the contract. Based on historical experience and Nomura's assessment of the market, Nomura believes that the probability that all reference assets on which Nomura provides protection will default in a single period is remote. The disclosed notional amount, therefore, significantly overstates Nomura's realistic exposure on these contracts.

The recovery value on the underlying asset: In the case of a default, Nomura's liability on a contract is limited to the difference between the notional amount and the recovery value of the underlying reference asset. While the recovery value on a defaulted asset may be minimal, this does reduce amounts paid on these contracts.

Nomura holds assets as collateral in relation to written credit derivatives. However, these amounts do not enable Nomura to recover any amounts paid under the credit derivative but rather mitigate the risk of economic loss arising from a counterparty defaulting against amounts due to Nomura under the contract. Collateral requirements are determined on a counterparty level rather than individual contract, and also generally cover all types of derivative contracts rather than just credit derivatives.

Table of Contents

The following tables present information about Nomura's written credit derivatives and purchased credit protection with identical underlyings as of March 31, 2015 and September 30, 2015.

	Carrying value (Asset) / Liability ⁽¹⁾ Total		Billions of yen March 31, 2015 Maximum potential payout/Notional Years to maturity				Notional Purchased credit protection
			Less				
			than 1 year	1 to 3 years	3 to 5 years	More than 5 years	
Single-name credit default swaps	¥ (21)	¥ 18,808	¥ 4,146	¥ 7,396	¥ 5,657	¥ 1,609	¥ 16,519
Credit default indices	(22)	6,044	919	1,926	2,462	737	5,240
Other credit risk related portfolio products	(8)	673	324	217	117	15	293
Credit risk related options and swaptions	0	300			255	45	255
Total	¥ (51)	¥ 25,825	¥ 5,389	¥ 9,539	¥ 8,491	¥ 2,406	¥ 22,307

	Carrying value (Asset) / Liability ⁽¹⁾ Total		Billions of yen September 30, 2015 Maximum potential payout/Notional Years to maturity				Notional Purchased credit protection
			Less				
			than 1 year	1 to 3 years	3 to 5 years	More than 5 years	
Single-name credit default swaps	¥ 209	¥ 17,746	¥ 4,019	¥ 6,254	¥ 5,679	¥ 1,794	¥ 14,539
Credit default indices	82	6,224	1,019	1,681	2,671	853	4,677
Other credit risk related portfolio products	8	527	182	304	24	17	208
Credit risk related options and swaptions	2	95			95		59
Total	¥ 301	¥ 24,592	¥ 5,220	¥ 8,239	¥ 8,469	¥ 2,664	¥ 19,483

(1) Carrying value amounts are shown on a gross basis prior to cash collateral or counterparty netting. Asset balances represent positive fair value amounts caused by tightening of credit spreads of underlyings since inception of the credit derivative contracts.

Table of Contents

The following tables present information about Nomura's written credit derivatives by external credit rating of the underlying asset. Ratings are based on Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC (S&P), or if not rated by S&P, based on Moody's Investors Service, Inc. If ratings from either of these agencies are not available, the ratings are based on Fitch Ratings Ltd. or Japan Credit Rating Agency, Ltd. For credit default indices, the rating is determined by taking the weighted average of the external credit ratings given for each of the underlying reference entities comprising the portfolio or index.

	Billions of yen March 31, 2015						Total
	Maximum potential payout/Notional						
	AAA	AA	A	BBB	BB	Other ⁽¹⁾	
Single-name credit default swaps	¥ 1,768	¥ 1,418	¥ 4,766	¥ 6,722	¥ 2,526	¥ 1,608	¥ 18,808
Credit default indices	85	14	3,936	1,306	376	327	6,044
Other credit risk related portfolio products	38		1	4	1	629	673
Credit risk related options and swaptions			277			23	300
Total	¥ 1,891	¥ 1,432	¥ 8,980	¥ 8,032	¥ 2,903	¥ 2,587	¥ 25,825

	Billions of yen September 30, 2015						Total
	Maximum potential payout/Notional						
	AAA	AA	A	BBB	BB	Other ⁽¹⁾	
Single-name credit default swaps	¥ 1,472	¥ 1,391	¥ 4,774	¥ 6,205	¥ 2,561	¥ 1,343	¥ 17,746
Credit default indices	77	16	4,221	1,227	370	313	6,224
Other credit risk related portfolio products	38		1	4	1	483	527
Credit risk related options and swaptions			20		75		95
Total	¥ 1,587	¥ 1,407	¥ 9,016	¥ 7,436	¥ 3,007	¥ 2,139	¥ 24,592

(1) Other includes credit derivatives where the credit rating of the underlying reference asset is below investment grade or where a rating is unavailable.

Derivatives entered into in contemplation of sales of financial assets

Nomura enters into transactions which involve both the transfer of financial assets to a third party counterparty and a separate agreement with the same counterparty entered into in contemplation of the initial transfer through which Nomura retains substantially all of the exposure to the economic return on the transferred financial assets throughout the term of the transaction. These transactions primarily include sales of securities with bilateral OTC total return swaps or other derivative agreements which are in-substance total return swaps. These transactions are accounted for as sales of the securities with the derivative accounted for separately if the criteria for derecognition of the securities under ASC 860 are met. Where the derecognition criteria are not met, the transfer and separate derivative are accounted for as a single collateralized financing transaction which is reported within *Long-term borrowings* / *Trading balances of secured borrowings* in the consolidated balance sheets.

As of September 30, 2015 there were no outstanding sales with total return swap or in-substance total return swap transactions accounted for as sales rather than collateralized financing transactions.

Table of Contents

4. Collateralized transactions:

Nomura enters into collateralized transactions, including reverse repurchase agreements, repurchase agreements, securities borrowing transactions, securities lending transactions, other secured borrowings and similar transactions mainly to meet clients' needs, finance trading inventory positions and obtain securities for settlements.

Reverse repurchase agreements, repurchase agreements, securities borrowing transactions and securities lending transactions are typically documented under industry standard master netting agreements which reduce Nomura's credit exposure to counterparties as they permit the close-out and offset of transactions and collateral amounts in the event of default of the counterparty. For certain centrally-cleared reverse repurchase and repurchase agreements, the clearing or membership agreements entered into by Nomura provide similar rights to Nomura in the event of default of the relevant central clearing counterparty. In order to support the enforceability of the close-out and offsetting rights within these agreements, Nomura generally seeks to obtain an external legal opinion.

For certain types of counterparty and in certain jurisdictions, Nomura may enter into reverse repurchase agreements, repurchase agreements, securities borrowing and securities lending transactions which are not documented under a master netting agreement. Similarly, even when these transactions are documented under such agreements, Nomura may not have yet sought evidence, or may not be able to obtain evidence to determine with sufficient certainty that the close-out and offsetting rights are legally enforceable. This may be the case where relevant local laws specifically prohibit such close-out and offsetting rights, or where local laws are complex, ambiguous or silent on the enforceability of such rights. This may include reverse repurchase agreements, repurchase agreements, securities borrowing and securities lending transactions executed with certain foreign governments, agencies, municipalities, central clearing counterparties, agent banks and pension funds.

Nomura considers the enforceability of a master netting agreement in determining how credit risk arising from transactions with a specific counterparty is hedged, how counterparty credit exposures are calculated and applied to credit limits and the extent and nature of collateral requirements from the counterparty.

In all of these transactions, Nomura either receives or provides collateral, including Japanese and non-Japanese government, agency, mortgage-backed, bank and corporate debt securities and equities. In most cases, Nomura is permitted to use the securities received to enter into repurchase agreements, enter into securities lending transactions or to cover short positions with counterparties. In repurchase and reverse repurchase agreements, the value of collateral typically exceeds the amount of cash transferred. Collateral is generally in the form of securities. Securities borrowing transactions generally require Nomura to provide the counterparty with collateral in the form of cash or other securities. For securities lending transactions, Nomura generally receives collateral in the form of cash or other securities. Nomura monitors the market value of the securities either received from or provided to the counterparty. Additional cash or securities are exchanged as necessary, to ensure that such transactions are adequately collateralized throughout the life of the transactions.

Offsetting of certain collateralized transactions

Reverse repurchase agreements and repurchase agreements, securities borrowing and lending transactions with the same counterparty documented under a master netting agreement are offset in the consolidated balance sheets where the specific criteria defined by ASC 210-20 are met. These criteria include requirements around the maturity of the transactions, the underlying systems on which the collateral is settled, associated banking arrangements and the legal enforceability of close-out and offsetting rights under the master netting agreement.

Table of Contents

The following tables present information about offsetting of these transactions in the consolidated balance sheets, together with the extent to which master netting agreements entered into with counterparties and central clearing parties permit additional offsetting in the event of counterparty default. Transactions which are not documented under a master netting agreement or are documented under a master netting agreement for which Nomura does not have sufficient evidence of enforceability are not offset in the following tables.

	Billions of yen March 31, 2015			
	Assets		Liabilities	
	Reverse repurchase agreements	Securities borrowing transactions	Repurchase agreements	Securities lending transactions
Total gross balance ⁽¹⁾	¥ 25,532	¥ 8,460	¥ 29,268	¥ 2,924
Less: Amounts offset in the consolidated balance sheets ⁽²⁾	(17,051)	(242)	(17,051)	(242)
Total net amounts of reported on the face of the consolidated balance sheets ⁽³⁾	¥ 8,481	¥ 8,218	¥ 12,217	¥ 2,682
Less: Additional amounts not offset in the consolidated balance sheets ⁽⁴⁾				
Financial instruments and non-cash collateral	(6,295)	(6,531)	(10,058)	(2,371)
Cash collateral	(1)			
Net amount	¥ 2,185	¥ 1,687	¥ 2,159	¥ 311

	Billions of yen September 30, 2015			
	Assets		Liabilities	
	Reverse repurchase agreements	Securities borrowing transactions	Repurchase agreements	Securities lending transactions
Total gross balance ⁽¹⁾	¥ 28,300	¥ 7,628	¥ 33,560	¥ 2,849
Less: Amounts offset in the consolidated balance sheets ⁽²⁾	(18,797)	0	(18,797)	0
Total net amounts of reported on the face of the consolidated balance sheets ⁽³⁾	¥ 9,503	¥ 7,628	¥ 14,763	¥ 2,849
Less: Additional amounts not offset in the consolidated balance sheets ⁽⁴⁾				
Financial instruments and non-cash collateral	(7,668)	(6,128)	(12,278)	(2,286)
Cash collateral	0		0	
Net amount	¥ 1,835	¥ 1,500	¥ 2,485	¥ 563

- (1) Includes all recognized balances irrespective of whether they are transacted under a master netting agreement or whether Nomura has obtained sufficient evidence of enforceability of the master netting agreement. Amounts include transactions carried at fair value through election of the fair value option. As of March 31, 2015, the gross balance of reverse repurchase agreements and repurchase agreements which were not transacted under master netting agreements or are documented under master netting agreements for which Nomura has not yet obtained sufficient evidence of enforceability was ¥1,979 billion and ¥2,091 billion, respectively. As of March 31, 2015, the gross balance of securities borrowing transactions and securities lending transactions which were not transacted under master netting agreements or are documented under master netting agreements for which Nomura has not yet obtained sufficient evidence of enforceability was ¥1,507 billion and ¥52 billion, respectively. As of September 30, 2015, the gross balance of reverse repurchase agreements and repurchase agreements which were not transacted under master netting agreements or are documented under master netting agreements for which Nomura has not yet obtained sufficient evidence of enforceability was ¥831 billion and ¥1,710 billion, respectively. As of September 30, 2015, the gross balance of securities borrowing transactions and securities lending transactions which were not transacted under master

Edgar Filing: NOMURA HOLDINGS INC - Form 6-K

netting agreements or are documented under master netting agreements for which Nomura has not yet obtained sufficient evidence of enforceability was ¥1,392 billion and ¥151 billion, respectively.

Table of Contents

- (2) Represents amounts offset through counterparty netting under master netting and similar agreements for which Nomura has obtained sufficient evidence of enforceability in accordance with ASC 210-20. Amounts offset include transactions carried at fair value through election of the fair value option.
- (3) Reverse repurchase agreements and securities borrowing transactions are reported within *Collateralized agreements Securities purchased under agreements to resell* and *Collateralized agreements Securities borrowed* in the consolidated balance sheets, respectively. Repurchase agreements and securities lending transactions are reported within *Collateralized financing Securities sold under agreements to repurchase* and *Collateralized financing Securities loaned* in the consolidated balance sheets, respectively. Amounts reported under securities lending transactions also include transactions where Nomura lends securities and receives securities that can be sold or pledged as collateral. Nomura recognizes the securities received at fair value and a liability for the same amount, representing the obligation to return those securities. The liability is reported within *Other liabilities* in the consolidated balance sheets.
- (4) Represents amounts which are not permitted to be offset on the face of the balance sheet in accordance with ASC 210-20 but which provide Nomura with the right of offset in the event of counterparty default. Amounts relating to agreements where Nomura has not yet obtained sufficient evidence of enforceability of such offsetting rights are excluded.

Maturity analysis of repurchase agreements and securities lending transactions

The following table presents an analysis of the total carrying value of liabilities recognized in the consolidated balance sheets for repurchase agreements and securities lending transactions by remaining contractual maturity of the agreement as of September 30, 2015. Amounts reported are shown prior to counterparty netting in accordance with ASC 210-20.

	Billions of yen September 30, 2015						Total
	Overnight and open ⁽¹⁾	Up to 30 days	30 days	90 days	1 year	Greater than 1 year	
Repurchase agreements	¥ 13,145	¥ 16,599	¥ 2,320	¥ 1,130	¥ 366	¥ 33,560	
Securities lending transactions	1,851	712	195	67	24	2,849	
Total gross recognized liabilities⁽²⁾	¥ 14,996	¥ 17,311	¥ 2,515	¥ 1,197	¥ 390	¥ 36,409	

- (1) Open transactions do not have an explicit contractual maturity date and are terminable on demand by Nomura or the counterparty.
- (2) Repurchase agreements and securities lending transactions are reported within *Collateralized financing Securities sold under agreements to repurchase* and *Collateralized financing Securities loaned* in the consolidated balance sheets, respectively. Amounts reported for securities lending transactions also include transactions where Nomura lends securities and receives securities that can be sold or pledged as collateral. Nomura recognizes the securities received at fair value and a liability for the same amount, representing the obligation to return those securities. The liability is reported within *Other liabilities* in the consolidated balance sheets. The total gross recognized liabilities reported for repurchase agreements and securities lending transactions are consistent with the total gross balances reported in the offsetting disclosures above.

Table of Contents**Securities transferred in repurchase agreements and securities lending transactions**

The following table presents an analysis of the total carrying value of liabilities recognized in the consolidated balance sheets for repurchase agreements and securities lending transactions by class of securities transferred by Nomura to counterparties as of September 30, 2015. Amounts reported are shown prior to counterparty netting in accordance with ASC 210-20.

	Billions of yen September 30, 2015		
	Repurchase agreements	Securities lending transactions	Total
Equities and convertible securities	¥ 222	¥ 2,823	¥ 3,045
Japanese government, agency and municipal securities	445	0	445
Foreign government, agency and municipal securities	26,044	22	26,066
Bank and corporate debt securities	3,008	3	3,011
Commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS)	27		27
Residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS ¹)	3,691		3,691
Collateralized debt obligations (CDOs) and other	123		123
Investment trust funds and other		1	1
Total gross recognized liabilities⁽²⁾	¥ 33,560	¥ 2,849	¥ 36,409

(1) Includes ¥3,605 billion of US government sponsored agency mortgage pass-through securities and collateralized mortgage obligations

(2) Repurchase agreements and securities lending transactions are reported within *Collateralized financing Securities sold under agreements to repurchase* and *Collateralized financing Securities loaned* in the consolidated balance sheets, respectively. Amounts reported for securities lending transactions also include transactions where Nomura lends securities and receives securities that can be sold or pledged as collateral. Nomura recognizes the securities received at fair value and a liability for the same amount, representing the obligation to return those securities. The liability is reported within *Other liabilities* in the consolidated balance sheets. The total gross recognized liabilities reported for repurchase agreements and securities lending transactions are consistent with the total gross balances reported in the offsetting disclosures above.

Collateral received by Nomura

The following table presents the fair value of securities received as collateral, securities borrowed with collateral and securities borrowed without collateral, which Nomura is permitted to sell or repledge, and the portion that has been sold or repledged as of March 31, 2015 and September 30, 2015.

	Billions of yen	
	March 31, 2015	September 30, 2015
The fair value of securities received as collateral, securities borrowed as collateral and securities borrowed without collateral where Nomura is permitted by contract or custom to sell or repledge the securities	¥ 45,397	¥ 45,079
The portion of the above that has been sold (reported within <i>Trading liabilities</i> in the consolidated balance sheets) or repledged	39,165	39,320

Table of Contents**Collateral pledged by Nomura**

Nomura pledges firm-owned securities to collateralize repurchase transactions, other secured financings and derivative transactions. Pledged securities that can be sold or repledged by the transferee, including Gensaki Repo transactions, are reported in parentheses as *Securities pledged as collateral* within *Trading assets* in the consolidated balance sheets.

The following table presents the carrying amounts of financial assets recognized in the consolidated balance sheets which have been pledged as collateral, primarily to stock exchanges and clearing organizations, without allowing the secured party the right to sell or repledge them by type of asset as of March 31, 2015 and September 30, 2015.

	Millions of yen	
	March 31, 2015	September 30, 2015
Trading assets:		
Equities and convertible securities	¥ 95,331	¥ 81,962
Government and government agency securities	1,122,308	1,845,385
Bank and corporate debt securities	139,062	76,927
Commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS)	32,894	35,880
Residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS)	1,391,414	1,716,815
Collateralized debt obligations (CDO) and other	104,877	111,439
Investment trust funds and other	45,619	11,186
	¥ 2,931,505	¥ 3,879,594
Deposits with stock exchanges and other segregated cash	¥	¥
Non-trading debt securities	¥ 47,959	¥ 21,701
Investments in and advances to affiliated companies	¥ 32,034	¥

(1) Includes CLOs and ABS such as those secured on credit card loans, auto loans and student loans.

The following table presents the carrying amount of financial and non-financial assets recognized in the consolidated balance sheets, other than those disclosed above, which are subject to lien as of March 31, 2015 and September 30, 2015.

	Millions of yen	
	March 31, 2015	September 30, 2015
Loans and receivables	¥ 1,220	¥ 34
Trading assets	1,833,959	1,897,189
Office buildings, land, equipment and facilities	5,362	5,397
Non-trading debt securities	264,685	197,434
Other	34	34
	¥ 2,105,260	¥ 2,100,088

Assets in the above table were primarily pledged for secured borrowings, including other secured borrowings, collateralized borrowings of consolidated VIEs, trading balances of secured borrowings, and derivative transactions.

Table of Contents**5. Non-trading securities:**

The following tables present information regarding the cost and/or amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses and fair value of non-trading securities held by Nomura's insurance subsidiary as of March 31, 2015 and September 30, 2015.

	Millions of yen March 31, 2015				Fair value
	Cost and/or amortized cost	Gross unrealized gains	Gross unrealized losses		
Government, agency and municipal securities ⁽¹⁾	¥ 106,785	¥ 5,123	¥ 36		¥ 111,872
Other debt securities ⁽²⁾	161,631	22,717	95		184,253
Equity securities ⁽³⁾	40,315	22,751	230		62,836
Total	¥ 308,731	¥ 50,591	¥ 361		¥ 358,961

	Millions of yen September 30, 2015				Fair value
	Cost and/or amortized cost	Gross unrealized gains	Gross unrealized losses		
Government, agency and municipal securities ⁽¹⁾	¥ 94,303	¥ 4,800	¥ 63		¥ 99,040
Other debt securities ⁽²⁾	157,488	19,046	248		176,286
Equity securities ⁽³⁾	41,009	20,811	64		61,756
Total	¥ 292,800	¥ 44,657	¥ 375		¥ 337,082

(1) Primarily Japanese government, agency and municipal securities.

(2) Primarily corporate debt securities.

(3) Primarily Japanese equities.

For the six months ended September 30, 2014, non-trading securities of ¥42,320 million were disposed of resulting in ¥463 million of realized gains and ¥13 million of realized losses. Total proceeds received from these disposals were ¥42,770 million. For the six months ended September 30, 2015, non-trading securities of ¥33,884 million were disposed of resulting in ¥3,490 million of realized gains and ¥138 million of realized losses. Total proceeds received from these disposals were ¥37,236 million.

For the three months ended September 30, 2014, non-trading securities of ¥27,652 million were disposed of resulting in ¥364 million of realized gains and ¥11 million of realized losses. Total proceeds received from these disposals were ¥28,005 million. For the three months ended September 30, 2015, non-trading securities of ¥24,603 million were disposed of resulting in ¥3,005 million of realized gains and ¥20 million of realized losses. Total proceeds received from these disposals were ¥27,588 million.

Related gains and losses were computed using the moving-average method. For the six months ended September 30, 2015, there were no transfers of non-trading securities to trading assets.

The following table presents the fair value of residual contractual maturity of non-trading debt securities as of September 30, 2015. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities as certain securities contain features that allow redemption of the securities prior to their contractual maturity.

Millions of yen
September 30, 2015

Edgar Filing: NOMURA HOLDINGS INC - Form 6-K

	Total	Less than 1 year	Years to maturity		More than 10 years
			1 to 5 years	5 to 10 years	
Non-trading debt securities	¥ 275,326	¥ 22,293	¥ 146,662	¥ 77,315	¥ 29,056

Table of Contents

The following tables present the fair value and gross unrealized losses of non-trading securities aggregated by the length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position as of March 31, 2015 and September 30, 2015.

	Millions of yen March 31, 2015					
	Less than 12 months		More than 12 months		Total	
	Fair value	Gross unrealized losses	Fair value	Gross unrealized losses	Fair value	Gross unrealized losses
Government, agency and municipal securities	¥ 17,536	¥ 5	¥ 13,127	¥ 31	¥ 30,663	¥ 36
Other debt securities	12,814	95			12,814	95
Equity securities	2,064	230			2,064	230
Total	¥ 32,414	¥ 330	¥ 13,127	¥ 31	¥ 45,541	¥ 361

	Millions of yen September 30, 2015					
	Less than 12 months		More than 12 months		Total	
	Fair value	Gross unrealized losses	Fair value	Gross unrealized losses	Fair value	Gross unrealized losses
Government, agency and municipal securities	¥ 20,636	¥ 12	¥ 15,511	¥ 51	¥ 36,147	¥ 63
Other debt securities	20,702	248			20,702	248
Equity securities	872	64			872	64
Total	¥ 42,210	¥ 324	¥ 15,511	¥ 51	¥ 57,721	¥ 375

As of March 31, 2015, the total number of non-trading securities in unrealized loss positions was approximately 26. As of September 30, 2015, the total number of non-trading securities in unrealized loss positions was approximately 40.

Where the fair value of non-trading securities held by the insurance subsidiary has declined below amortized cost, these are assessed to determine whether the decline in fair value is other-than-temporary in nature. Nomura considers quantitative and qualitative factors including the length of time and extent to which fair value has been less than amortized cost, the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer and Nomura's intent and ability to hold the securities for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value. If an other-than-temporary impairment loss exists, for equity securities, the security is written down to fair value, with the entire difference between fair value and amortized cost recognized within *Revenue Other* in the consolidated statements of income. For debt securities, an other-than-temporary impairment loss is also recognized within *Revenue Other* in the consolidated statements of income if Nomura intends to sell the debt security or it is more-likely-than-not that Nomura will be required to sell the debt security before recovery of amortized cost. If Nomura does not expect to sell or be required to sell the debt security, only the credit loss component of an other-than-temporary impairment loss is recognized through earnings and any non-credit loss component recognized within *Other comprehensive income (loss)*.

For the six and three months ended September 30, 2014, other-than-temporary impairment losses recognized for the certain non-trading equity securities were ¥15 million and ¥12 million. There were no credit loss component of other-than-temporary impairment losses recognized for the certain non-trading debt securities. Other-than-temporary impairment losses related to the non-credit loss component recognized for the certain non-trading debt securities within *Other comprehensive income (loss)* were ¥0 million and ¥(2) million.

For the six and three months ended September 30, 2015, other-than-temporary impairment losses recognized for the certain non-trading equity securities were ¥433 million respectively. There were no credit loss component of other-than-temporary impairment losses recognized for the certain non-trading debt securities. Other-than-temporary impairment losses and movement of fair value after the impairment related to the non-credit loss component recognized for the certain non-trading debt securities within *Other comprehensive income (loss)* were ¥20 million and ¥(27) million.

Other gross unrealized losses of non-trading securities were considered temporary.

Table of Contents**6. Securitizations and Variable Interest Entities:****Securitizations**

Nomura utilizes special purpose entities (SPEs) to securitize commercial and residential mortgage loans, government agency and corporate securities and other types of financial assets. Those SPEs are incorporated as stock companies, Tokumei kumiai (silent partnerships), Cayman special purpose companies (SPCs) or trust accounts. Nomura's involvement with SPEs includes structuring SPEs, underwriting, distributing and selling debt instruments and beneficial interests issued by SPEs to investors. Nomura accounts for the transfer of financial assets in accordance with ASC 860. This statement requires that Nomura accounts for the transfer of financial assets as a sale when Nomura relinquishes control over the assets. ASC 860 deems control to be relinquished when the following conditions are met: (a) the assets have been isolated from the transferor (even in bankruptcy or other receivership), (b) the transferee has the right to pledge or exchange the assets received, or if the transferee is an entity whose sole purpose is to engage in securitization or asset-backed financing activities, the holders of its beneficial interests have the right to pledge or exchange the beneficial interests, and (c) the transferor has not maintained effective control over the transferred assets. Nomura may retain an interest in the financial assets, including residual interests in the SPEs. Any such interests are accounted for at fair value and reported within *Trading assets* in Nomura's consolidated balance sheets, with the change in fair value reported within *Revenue Net gain on trading*. Fair value for retained interests in securitized financial assets is determined by using observable prices; or in cases where observable prices are not available for certain retained interests, Nomura estimates fair value based on the present value of expected future cash flows using its best estimates of the key assumptions, including forecasted credit losses, prepayment rates, forward yield curves and discount rates commensurate with the risks involved. Nomura may also enter into derivative transactions in relation to the assets transferred to an SPE.

As noted above, Nomura may have continuing involvement with SPEs to which Nomura transferred assets. For the six and three months ended September 30, 2014, Nomura received cash proceeds from SPEs in new securitizations of ¥163 billion and ¥71 billion, respectively, and there was no associated gain (loss) on sale. For the six and three months ended September 30, 2015, Nomura received cash proceeds from SPEs in new securitizations of ¥157 billion and ¥65 billion, respectively, and the associated gain (loss) on sale was not significant. For the six and three months ended September 30, 2014, Nomura received debt securities issued by these SPEs with an initial fair value of ¥479 billion and ¥263 billion, respectively, and cash inflows from third parties on the sale of those debt securities of ¥291 billion and ¥164 billion, respectively. For the six and three months ended September 30, 2015, Nomura received debt securities issued by these SPEs with an initial fair value of ¥929 billion and ¥462 billion, respectively, and cash inflows from third parties on the sale of those debt securities of ¥642 billion and ¥363 billion, respectively. The cumulative balance of financial assets transferred to SPEs with which Nomura has continuing involvement was ¥5,656 billion and ¥5,891 billion as of March 31, 2015 and September 30, 2015, respectively. Nomura's retained interests were ¥233 billion and ¥275 billion, as of March 31, 2015 and September 30, 2015, respectively. For the six months and three months ended September 30, 2014, Nomura received cash flows of ¥11 billion and ¥5 billion, respectively, from the SPEs on the retained interests held in the SPEs. For the six and three months ended September 30, 2015, Nomura received cash flows of ¥27 billion and ¥15 billion, respectively, from the SPEs on the retained interests held in the SPEs.

Nomura had outstanding collateral service agreements and written credit default swap agreements in the amount of ¥2 billion and ¥2 billion as of March 31, 2015 and September 30, 2015, respectively. Nomura does not provide financial support to SPEs beyond its contractual obligations.

Table of Contents

The following tables present the fair value of retained interests which Nomura has continuing involvement in SPEs and their classification in the fair value hierarchy, categorized by the type of transferred assets.

	Billions of yen March 31, 2015					
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total	Investment grade	Other
Government, agency and municipal securities	¥	¥ 231	¥	¥ 231	¥ 231	¥
Bank and corporate debt securities			0	0		0
CMBS and RMBS		2	0	2	0	2
Total	¥	¥ 233	¥ 0	¥ 233	¥ 231	¥ 2

	Billions of yen September 30, 2015					
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total	Investment grade	Other
Government, agency and municipal securities	¥	¥ 273	¥	¥ 273	¥ 273	¥
Bank and corporate debt securities			0	0		0
CMBS and RMBS		2	0	2	0	2
Total	¥	¥ 275	¥ 0	¥ 275	¥ 273	¥ 2

The following table presents the key economic assumptions used to determine the fair value of the retained interests and the sensitivity of this fair value to immediate adverse changes of 10% and 20% in those assumptions.

	Billions of yen, except percentages Material retained interests held ⁽¹⁾	
	March 31, 2015	September 30, 2015
Fair value of retained interests ⁽¹⁾	¥ 208	¥ 250
Weighted-average life (Years)	5.4	6.4
Constant prepayment rate	6.1%	6.9%
Impact of 10% adverse change	(2.3)	(2.8)
Impact of 20% adverse change	(4.3)	(4.7)
Discount rate	2.4%	2.5%
Impact of 10% adverse change	(0.9)	(0.9)
Impact of 20% adverse change	(1.8)	(2.2)

(1) The sensitivity analysis covers the material retained interests held of ¥208 billion out of ¥233 billion as of March 31, 2015 and ¥250 billion out of ¥275 billion as of September 30, 2015.

Nomura considers the amount or the probability of anticipated credit loss from the retained interests which Nomura continuously holds would be minimal.

Changes in fair value based on 10% or 20% adverse changes generally cannot be extrapolated since the relationship of the change in assumption to the change in fair value may not be linear. The impact of a change in a particular assumption is calculated holding all other assumptions constant. For this reason, concurrent changes in assumptions may magnify or counteract the sensitivities disclosed above. The sensitivity analyses are hypothetical and do not reflect Nomura's risk management practices that may be undertaken under those stress scenarios.

Table of Contents

The following table presents the type and carrying value of financial assets included within *Trading assets* which have been transferred to SPEs but which do not meet the criteria for derecognition under ASC 860. These transfers are accounted for as secured financing transactions and generally reported within *Long-term borrowings*. The assets are pledged as collateral of the associated liabilities and cannot be removed unilaterally by Nomura and the liabilities are non-recourse to Nomura.

	Billions of yen	
	March 31, 2015	September 30, 2015
Assets		
Trading assets		
Equities	¥ 83	¥ 41
Debt securities	26	26
CMBS and RMBS	22	22
Total	¥ 131	¥ 89
Liabilities		
Long-term borrowings	¥ 129	¥ 153

Variable Interest Entities

In the normal course of business, Nomura acts as a transferor of financial assets to VIEs, and underwriter, distributor, and seller of repackaged financial instruments issued by VIEs in connection with its securitization and equity derivative activities. Nomura retains, purchases and sells variable interests in VIEs in connection with its market-making, investing and structuring activities.

If Nomura has an interest in a VIE that provides Nomura with control over the most significant activities of the VIE and the right to receive benefits or the obligation to absorb losses that could be significant to the VIE, Nomura is the primary beneficiary of the VIE and must consolidate the entity, provided that Nomura does not meet separate tests confirming that it is acting as a fiduciary for other interest holders. Nomura's consolidated VIEs include those that were created to market structured securities to investors by repackaging corporate convertible securities, mortgages and mortgage-backed securities. Certain VIEs used in connection with Nomura's aircraft leasing business as well as other purposes are consolidated. Nomura also consolidates certain investment funds, which are VIEs, and for which Nomura is the primary beneficiary.

The power to make the most significant decisions may take a number of different forms in different types of VIEs. For transactions such as securitizations, investment funds, and CDOs, Nomura considers collateral management and servicing to represent the power to make the most significant decisions. Accordingly, Nomura does not consolidate such types of VIEs for which it does not act as collateral manager or servicer unless Nomura has the right to replace the collateral manager or servicer or to require liquidation of the entity.

For many transactions, such as where VIEs are used for re-securitizations of residential mortgage-backed securities, there are no significant economic decisions made on an ongoing basis and no single investor has the unilateral ability to liquidate the VIE. In these cases, Nomura focuses its analysis on decisions made prior to the initial closing of the transaction, and considers factors such as the nature of the underlying assets held by the VIE, the involvement of third party investors in the design of the VIE, the size of initial third party investment and the amount and level of any subordination of beneficial interests issued by the VIE which will be held by Nomura and third party investors. Nomura has sponsored numerous re-securitization transactions and in many cases has determined that it is not the primary beneficiary on the basis that control over the most significant decisions relating to these entities are shared with third party investors. In some cases, however, Nomura has consolidated such VIEs, for example, where it was determined that third party investors were not involved in the design of the VIEs, including where the size of third party investment was not significant at inception of the transaction.

Table of Contents

The following table presents the classification of consolidated VIEs' assets and liabilities in these consolidated financial statements. The assets of a consolidated VIE may only be used to settle obligations of that VIE. Creditors do not have any recourse to Nomura beyond the assets held in the VIEs.

	Billions of yen	
	March 31, 2015	September 30, 2015
Consolidated VIE assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	¥ 9	¥ 11
Trading assets		
Equities	461	458
Debt securities	473	476
CMBS and RMBS	71	42
Derivatives	2	1
Private equity investments	1	1
Securities purchased under agreements to resell	1	0
Office buildings, land, equipment and facilities	15	14
Other	24	16
Total	¥ 1,057	¥ 1,019
Consolidated VIE liabilities		
Trading liabilities		
Debt securities	¥ 1	¥ 0
Derivatives	11	3
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	1	
Long-term borrowings	750	738
Other	2	5
Total	¥ 765	¥ 746

Nomura continuously reassesses its initial evaluation of whether it is the primary beneficiary of a VIE based on current facts and circumstances as long as it has any continuing involvement with the VIE. This determination is based upon an analysis of the design of the VIE, including the VIE's structure and activities, the power to make significant economic decisions held by Nomura and by other parties, and the variable interests owned by Nomura and other parties.

Nomura also holds variable interests in VIEs where Nomura is not the primary beneficiary. Nomura's variable interests in such VIEs include senior and subordinated debt, residual interests, and equity interests associated with commercial and residential mortgage-backed and other asset-backed securitizations and structured financings, equity interests in VIEs which were formed primarily to acquire high yield leveraged loans and other lower investment grade debt obligations, residual interests in operating leases for aircraft held by VIEs, and loans and investments in VIEs that acquire operating businesses.

Table of Contents

The following tables present the carrying amount of variable interests of unconsolidated VIEs and maximum exposure to loss associated with these variable interests. Maximum exposure to loss does not reflect Nomura's estimate of the actual losses that could result from adverse changes, nor does it reflect the economic hedges Nomura enters into to reduce its exposure. The risks associated with VIEs in which Nomura is involved are limited to the amount recorded in the consolidated balance sheets, the amount of commitments and financial guarantees and the notional amount of the derivative instruments. Nomura believes the notional amount of derivative instruments generally exceeds the amount of actual risk.

	Billions of yen March 31, 2015		
	Carrying amount of variable interests		Maximum exposure to loss to unconsolidated VIEs
	Assets	Liabilities	
Trading assets and liabilities			
Equities	¥ 123	¥	¥ 123
Debt securities	237		237
CMBS and RMBS	2,521		2,521
Investment trust funds and other	387		387
Derivatives	0		2
Private equity investments	24		24
Loans	314		314
Other	4		4
Commitments to extend credit and other guarantees			40
Total	¥ 3,610	¥	¥ 3,652

	Billions of yen September 30, 2015		
	Carrying amount of variable interests		Maximum exposure to loss to unconsolidated VIEs
	Assets	Liabilities	
Trading assets and liabilities			
Equities	¥ 129	¥	¥ 129
Debt securities	215		215
CMBS and RMBS	2,750		2,750
Investment trust funds and other	290		290
Derivatives	0		2
Private equity investments	25		25
Loans	335		335
Other	4		4
Commitments to extend credit and other guarantees			69
Total	¥ 3,748	¥	¥ 3,819

Table of Contents**7. Financing receivables:**

In the normal course of business, Nomura extends financing to clients primarily in the form of loans and collateralized agreements such as reverse repurchase agreements and securities borrowing transactions. These financing receivables are recognized as assets on Nomura's consolidated balance sheets and provide a contractual right to receive money either on demand or on future fixed or determinable dates.

Collateralized agreements

Collateralized agreements consist of reverse repurchase agreements disclosed as *Securities purchased under agreements to resell* and securities borrowing transactions disclosed as *Securities borrowed* in the consolidated balance sheets, including those executed under Gensaki Repo agreements. Reverse repurchase agreements and securities borrowing transactions principally involve the buying of government and government agency securities from customers under agreements that also require Nomura to resell these securities to those customers, or borrowing these securities with cash collateral. Nomura monitors the value of the underlying securities on a daily basis to the related receivables, including accrued interest, and requests or returns additional collateral when appropriate. Reverse repurchase agreements are generally recognized in the consolidated balance sheets at the amount for which the securities were originally acquired with applicable accrued interest. Securities borrowing transactions are generally recognized in the consolidated balance sheets at the amount of cash collateral advanced. No allowance for credit losses is generally recognized against these transactions due to the strict collateralization requirements.

Loans receivable

The key types of loans receivable recognized by Nomura are loans at banks, short-term secured margin loans, inter-bank money market loans and corporate loans.

Loans at banks include both retail and commercial secured and unsecured loans extended by licensed banking entities within Nomura such as The Nomura Trust & Banking Co., Ltd. and Nomura Bank International plc. For both retail and commercial loans secured by real estate or securities, Nomura is exposed to the risk of a decline in the value of the underlying collateral. Loans at banks also include unsecured commercial loans provided to investment banking clients for relationship purposes. Nomura is exposed to risk of default of the counterparty, although these counterparties usually have high credit ratings. Where loans are secured by guarantees, Nomura is also exposed to the risk of default by the guarantor.

Short-term secured margin loans are loans provided to clients in connection with securities brokerage business. These loans provide funding for clients in order to purchase securities. Nomura requests initial margin in the form of acceptable collateral securities or deposits against these loans and holds the purchased securities as collateral through the life of the loans. If the value of the securities declines by more than specified amounts, Nomura can make additional margin calls in order to maintain a specified ratio of loan-to-value (LTV) ratio. For these reasons, the risk to Nomura of providing these loans is limited.

Inter-bank money market loans are loans to financial institutions in the inter-bank money market, where overnight and intra-day financings are traded through money market dealers. The risk to Nomura of making these loans is not significant as only qualified financial institutions can participate in these markets and these loans are usually overnight or short-term in nature.

Corporate loans are primarily commercial loans provided to corporate clients extended by non-licensed banking entities within Nomura. Corporate loans include loans secured by real estate or securities, as well as unsecured commercial loans provided to investment banking clients for relationship purposes. The risk to Nomura of making these loans is similar to those risks arising from commercial loans reported in loans at banks.

Table of Contents

In addition to the loans above, Nomura has advances to affiliated companies which are loans provided to related parties of Nomura. As these loans are generally not secured, Nomura is exposed to the risk of default of the counterparty.

The following tables present a summary of loans receivable reported within *Loans receivable or Investments in and advances to affiliated companies* in the consolidated balance sheets by portfolio segment.

	Millions of yen March 31, 2015		
	Carried at amortized cost	Carried at fair value ⁽¹⁾	Total
Loans receivable			
Loans at banks	¥ 324,503	¥	¥ 324,503
Short-term secured margin loans	425,245		425,245
Inter-bank money market loans	16,995		16,995
Corporate loans	377,114	317,218	694,332
Total loans receivable	¥ 1,143,857	¥ 317,218	¥ 1,461,075
Advances to affiliated companies	2,104		2,104
Total	¥ 1,145,961	¥ 317,218	¥ 1,463,179

	Millions of yen September 30, 2015		
	Carried at amortized cost	Carried at fair value ⁽¹⁾	Total
Loans receivable			
Loans at banks	¥ 359,669	¥	¥ 359,669
Short-term secured margin loans	497,459		497,459
Inter-bank money market loans	13,709		13,709
Corporate loans	446,993	278,943	725,936
Total loans receivable	¥ 1,317,830	¥ 278,943	¥ 1,596,773
Advances to affiliated companies	761		761
Total	¥ 1,318,591	¥ 278,943	¥ 1,597,534

(1) Includes loans receivable and loan commitments carried at fair value through election of the fair value option. The amounts of significant purchases of corporate loans during the six months ended September 30, 2014, were ¥62,309 million. There were no significant purchases of loans receivable during the three months ended September 30, 2014. The amounts of significant sales of corporate loans during the six and three months ended September 30, 2014, were ¥8,636 million. During the same period, there were no significant reclassifications of loans receivable to trading assets. The amount of significant purchases of corporate loans during the six months ended September 30, 2015, was ¥49,140 million. The amount of purchases of corporate loans during the three months ended September 30, 2015, was ¥27,997 million. There were no significant sales of loans receivable during the six and three months ended September 30, 2015. During the same period, there were no significant reclassifications of loans receivable to trading assets.

Table of Contents

Allowance for credit losses

Management establishes an allowance for credit losses against loans carried at amortized cost which reflects management's best estimate of probable losses incurred. The allowance for credit losses against loans, which is reported in the consolidated balance sheets within *Allowance for doubtful accounts*, comprises two components:

A specific component for loans which have been individually evaluated for impairment; and

A general component for loans which, while not individually evaluated for impairment, have been collectively evaluated for impairment based on historical loss experience.

The specific component of the allowance reflects probable losses incurred within loans which have been individually evaluated for impairment. A loan is defined as being impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement will not be collected. Factors considered by management in determining impairment include an assessment of the ability of borrowers to pay by considering various factors such as the nature of the loan, prior credit loss experience, current economic conditions, the current financial situation of the borrower and the fair value of any underlying collateral. Loans that experience insignificant payment delays or insignificant payment shortfalls are not classified as impaired. Impairment is measured on a loan by loan basis by adjusting the carrying value of the loan to either the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, the loan's observable market price, or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent.

The general component of the allowance is for loans not individually evaluated for impairment and includes judgment about collectability based on available information at the balance sheet date and the uncertainties inherent in those underlying assumptions. The allowance is based on historical loss experience adjusted for qualitative factors such as current economic conditions.

While management has based its estimate of the allowance for credit losses against loans on the best information available, future adjustments to the allowance may be necessary as a result of changes in the economic environment or variances between actual results and original assumptions.

Loans are charged-off when Nomura determines that the loans are uncollectible. This determination is based on factors such as the occurrence of significant changes in the borrower's financial position such that the borrower can no longer pay the obligation or that the proceeds from collateral will not be sufficient to pay the loans.

Table of Contents

The following tables present changes in the allowance for credit losses for the six and three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2015.

Millions of yen
Six months ended September 30, 2014
Allowance for credit losses against loans

	Loans at banks	Short-term secured margin loans	Inter-bank money market loans	Corporate loans	Advances to affiliated companies	Subtotal	Allowance for credit losses against receivables other than loans	Total allowance for doubtful accounts
Opening balance	¥ 678	¥ 87	¥	¥ 82	¥ 1	¥ 848	¥ 2,161	¥ 3,009
Provision for credit losses	(139)	(35)		(13)	(0)	(187)	34	(153)
Charge-offs							(121)	(121)
Other ⁽¹⁾				0		0	19	19
Ending balance	¥ 539	¥ 52	¥	¥ 69	¥ 1	¥ 661	¥ 2,093	¥ 2,754

Millions of yen
Six months ended September 30, 2015
Allowance for credit losses against loans

	Loans at banks	Short-term secured margin loans	Inter-bank money market loans	Corporate loans	Advances to affiliated companies	Subtotal	Allowance for credit losses against receivables other than loans	Total allowance for doubtful accounts
Opening balance	¥ 739	¥ 142	¥	¥ 79	¥ 1	¥ 961	¥ 2,292	¥ 3,253
Provision for credit losses	96	4		(71)	(1)	28	127	155
Charge-offs								
Other ⁽¹⁾		1		0		1	(26)	(25)
Ending balance	¥ 835	¥ 147	¥	¥ 8	¥ 0	¥ 990	¥ 2,393	¥ 3,383

Millions of yen
Three months ended September 30, 2014
Allowance for credit losses against loans

	Loans at banks	Short-term secured margin loans	Inter-bank money market loans	Corporate loans	Advances to affiliated companies	Subtotal	Allowance for credit losses against receivables other than loans	Total allowance for doubtful accounts
Opening balance	¥ 678	¥ 58	¥	¥ 69	¥ 1	¥ 806	¥ 2,050	¥ 2,856
Provision for credit losses	(139)	(6)		0	(0)	(145)	29	(116)
Charge-offs							(8)	(8)
Other ⁽¹⁾				0		0	22	22
Ending balance	¥ 539	¥ 52	¥	¥ 69	¥ 1	¥ 661	¥ 2,093	¥ 2,754

Millions of yen
Three months ended September 30, 2015
Allowance for credit losses against loans

	Loans at banks	Short-term secured margin loans	Inter-bank money market loans	Corporate loans	Advances to affiliated companies	Subtotal	Allowance for credit losses against receivables other than loans	Total allowance for doubtful accounts
Opening balance	¥ 739	¥ 155	¥	¥ 8	¥ 1	¥ 903	¥ 2,306	¥ 3,209
Provision for credit losses	96	(8)			(1)	87	123	210
Charge-offs								
Other ⁽¹⁾		0		0		0	(36)	(36)
Ending balance	¥ 835	¥ 147	¥	¥ 8	¥ 0	¥ 990	¥ 2,393	¥ 3,383

(1) Includes the effect of foreign exchange movements.

Table of Contents

The following tables present the allowance for credit losses against loans and loans by impairment methodology and type of loans as of March 31, 2015 and September 30, 2015.

	Millions of yen March 31, 2015					
	Loans at banks	Short-term secured margin loans	Inter-bank money market loans	Corporate loans	Advances to affiliated companies	Total
Allowance by impairment methodology						
Evaluated individually	¥ 3	¥ 84	¥	¥ 7	¥	¥ 94
Evaluated collectively	736	58		72	1	867
Total allowance for credit losses	¥ 739	¥ 142	¥	¥ 79	¥ 1	¥ 961
Loans by impairment methodology						
Evaluated individually	¥ 4,929	¥ 172,259	¥ 16,995	¥ 369,113	¥ 174	¥ 563,470
Evaluated collectively	319,574	252,986		8,001	1,930	582,491
Total loans	¥ 324,503	¥ 425,245	¥ 16,995	¥ 377,114	¥ 2,104	¥ 1,145,961

	Millions of yen September 30, 2015					
	Loans at banks	Short-term secured margin loans	Inter-bank money market loans	Corporate loans	Advances to affiliated companies	Total
Allowance by impairment methodology						
Evaluated individually	¥ 0	¥ 42	¥	¥ 7	¥	¥ 49
Evaluated collectively	835	105		1	0	941
Total allowance for credit losses	¥ 835	¥ 147	¥	¥ 8	¥ 0	¥ 990
Loans by impairment methodology						
Evaluated individually	¥ 6,498	¥ 159,347	¥ 13,709	¥ 437,793	¥	¥ 617,347
Evaluated collectively	353,171	338,112		9,200	761	701,244
Total loans	¥ 359,669	¥ 497,459	¥ 13,709	¥ 446,993	¥ 761	¥ 1,318,591

Nonaccrual and past due loans

Loans which are individually evaluated as impaired are assessed for nonaccrual status in accordance with Nomura's policy. When it is determined to suspend interest accrual as a result of an assessment, any accrued but unpaid interest is reversed. Loans are generally only returned to an accrual status if the loan is brought contractually current, i.e. all overdue principal and interest amounts are paid. In limited circumstances, a loan which has not been brought contractually current will also be returned to an accrual status if all principal and interest amounts contractually due are reasonably assured of repayment within a reasonable period of time or there has been a sustained period of repayment performance by the borrower.

As of March 31, 2015, the amount of loans which were on a nonaccrual status was not significant. The amount of loans which were 90 days past due was not significant.

As of September 30, 2015, the amount of loans which were on a nonaccrual status was not significant. The amount of loans which were 90 days past due was not significant.

Once a loan is impaired and placed on a nonaccrual status, interest income is subsequently recognized using the cash basis method.

Table of Contents

Loan impairment and troubled debt restructurings

In the ordinary course of business, Nomura may choose to recognize impairment and also restructure a loan classified as held for investment either because of financial difficulties of the borrower, or simply as a result of market conditions or relationship reasons. A troubled debt restructuring (TDR) occurs when Nomura (as lender) for economic or legal reasons related to the borrower's financial difficulties grants a concession to the borrower that Nomura would not otherwise consider.

Any loan being restructured under a TDR will generally already be identified as impaired with an applicable allowance for credit losses recognized. If not (for example if the loan is collectively assessed for impairment with other loans), the restructuring of the loan under a TDR will immediately result in the loan as being classified as impaired. An impairment loss for a loan restructuring under a TDR which only involves modification of the loan's terms (rather than receipt of assets in full or partial settlement) is calculated in the same way as any other impaired loan. Assets received in full or partial satisfaction of a loan in a TDR are recognized at fair value.

As of March 31, 2015 and September 30, 2015, the amount of loans which were classified as impaired but against which no allowance for credit losses had been recognized was not significant. For impaired loans with a related allowance, the amount of recorded investment, the total unpaid principal balance and the related allowance was not significant.

The amount of TDRs which occurred during the six and three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2015, was not significant.

Credit quality indicators

Nomura is exposed to credit risks deriving from a decline in the value of loans or a default caused by deterioration of creditworthiness or bankruptcy of the obligor. Nomura's risk management framework for such credit risks is based on a risk assessment through an internal rating process, in depth pre-financing credit analysis of each individual loan and continuous post-financing monitoring of obligor's creditworthiness.

Table of Contents

The following tables present an analysis of each class of loans not carried at fair value using Nomura's internal ratings or equivalent credit quality indicators applied by subsidiaries as of March 31, 2015 and September 30, 2015.

	Millions of yen March 31, 2015				Total
	AAA-BBB	BB-CCC	CC-D	Others ⁽¹⁾	
Secured loans at banks	¥ 100,927	¥ 38,373	¥	¥ 39,186	¥ 178,486
Unsecured loans at banks	141,395	4,620	2		146,017
Short-term secured margin loans				425,245	425,245
Secured inter-bank money market loans	7,249				7,249
Unsecured inter-bank money market loans	9,746				9,746
Secured corporate loans	249,046	117,255	1,141	2,298	369,740
Unsecured corporate loans	3,619			3,755	7,374
Advances to affiliated companies	1,929	175			2,104
Total	¥ 513,911	¥ 160,423	¥ 1,143	¥ 470,484	¥ 1,145,961

	Millions of yen September 30, 2015				Total
	AAA-BBB	BB-CCC	CC-D	Others ⁽¹⁾	
Secured loans at banks	¥ 121,873	¥ 62,229	¥	¥ 42,042	¥ 226,144
Unsecured loans at banks	132,930	594	1		133,525
Short-term secured margin loans				497,459	497,459
Secured inter-bank money market loans	4,324				4,324
Unsecured inter-bank money market loans	9,385				9,385
Secured corporate loans	246,148	189,551		2,314	438,013
Unsecured corporate loans	3,461			5,519	8,980
Advances to affiliated companies	761				761
Total	¥ 518,882	¥ 252,374	¥ 1	¥ 547,334	¥ 1,318,591

(1) Relate to collateralized exposures where a specified ratio of LTV is maintained.

Nomura reviews internal ratings at least once a year by using available credit information of obligors including financial statements and other information. Internal ratings are also reviewed more frequently for high-risk obligors or problematic exposures and any significant credit event of obligors will trigger an immediate credit review process.

Table of Contents**8. Leases:****Nomura as lessor**

Nomura leases real estate and aircraft in Japan and overseas. These leases are classified as operating leases and the related assets are stated at cost, net of accumulated depreciation, except for land, which is stated at cost in the consolidated balance sheets and reported within *Other assets Office buildings, land, equipment and facilities*.

The following table presents the types of assets which Nomura leases under operating leases:

	Millions of yen					
	Cost	March 31, 2015		September 30, 2015		
		Accumulated depreciation	Net carrying amount	Cost	Accumulated depreciation	Net carrying amount
Real estate ⁽¹⁾	¥ 3,448	¥ (1,443)	¥ 2,005	¥ 3,105	¥ (1,460)	¥ 1,645
Aircraft	11,432	(503)	10,929	15,103	(1,255)	13,848
Total	¥ 14,880	¥ (1,946)	¥ 12,934	¥ 18,208	¥ (2,715)	¥ 15,493

(1) The amounts of cost, accumulated depreciation and net carrying amount are including those for the portion utilized by Nomura. Nomura recognized rental income of ¥558 million and ¥167 million for the six and three months ended September 30, 2014, respectively, and ¥788 million and ¥752 million for the six and three months ended September 30, 2015, respectively. These are included in the consolidated statements of income within *Revenue Other*.

The future minimum lease payments to be received on noncancellable operating leases as of September 30, 2015 were ¥10,134 million and they are scheduled as below:

	Millions of yen						
	Total	Years of receipt					
		Less than 1 year	1 to 2 years	2 to 3 years	3 to 4 years	4 to 5 years	More than 5 years
Minimum lease payments to be received	¥ 10,134	¥ 1,088	¥ 1,075	¥ 1,070	¥ 1,070	¥ 1,070	¥ 4,761

Nomura as lessee

Nomura leases its office spaces, certain employees' residential facilities and other facilities in Japan and overseas primarily under cancellable operating lease agreements which are customarily renewed upon expiration. Nomura also leases certain equipment and facilities under noncancellable operating lease agreements. Rental expenses, net of sublease rental income, for the six and three months ended September 30, 2014 were ¥23,434 million and ¥11,603 million, respectively, and for the six and three months ended September 30, 2015 were ¥24,479 million and ¥11,997 million, respectively.

The following table presents future minimum lease payments under noncancellable operating leases with remaining terms exceeding one year as of September 30, 2015:

	Millions of yen September 30, 2015
Total minimum lease payments	¥ 164,903

Less: Sublease rental income	(7,180)
Net minimum lease payments	¥ 157,723

Table of Contents

The future minimum lease payments above are scheduled as below as of September 30, 2015:

	Millions of yen						
	Total	Years of payment					
		Less than 1 year	1 to 2 years	2 to 3 years	3 to 4 years	4 to 5 years	More than 5 years
Minimum lease payments	¥ 164,903	¥ 17,739	¥ 18,823	¥ 16,964	¥ 15,435	¥ 11,352	¥ 84,590

Nomura leases certain equipment and facilities in Japan and overseas under capital lease agreements. If the lease is classified as a capital lease, Nomura recognizes the real estate at the lower of its fair value or present value of minimum lease payments, which is reported within *Other assets Office buildings, land, equipment and facilities* in the consolidated balance sheets. The amount of capital lease assets as of March 31, 2015 and September 30, 2015 were ¥34,428 million and ¥34,966 million, respectively, and accumulated depreciations on such capital lease assets as of March 31, 2015 and September 30, 2015 were ¥6,171 million and ¥6,842 million, respectively.

The following table presents future minimum lease payments under capital leases as of September 30, 2015:

	Millions of yen September 30, 2015
Total minimum lease payments	¥ 65,827
Less: Amount representing interest	(34,596)
Present value of net minimum lease payments	¥ 31,231

The future minimum lease payments above are scheduled as below as of September 30, 2015:

	Millions of yen						
	Total	Years of payment					
		Less than 1 year	1 to 2 years	2 to 3 years	3 to 4 years	4 to 5 years	More than 5 years
Minimum lease payments	¥ 65,827	¥ 3,474	¥ 4,538	¥ 4,341	¥ 4,373	¥ 4,743	¥ 44,358

Certain leases contain renewal options or escalation clauses providing for increased rental payments based upon maintenance, utilities and tax increases.

Table of Contents**9. Other assets Other / Other liabilities:**

The following table sets forth *Other assets Other* and *Other liabilities* in the consolidated balance sheets by type.

	Millions of yen	
	March 31, 2015	September 30, 2015
Other assets Other:		
Securities received as collateral	¥ 187,753	¥ 231,842
Goodwill and other intangible assets	123,486	120,187
Deferred tax assets	19,718	21,941
Investments in equity securities for other than operating purposes	162,644	159,146
Prepaid expenses	10,741	48,378
Other	318,224	336,575
Total	¥ 822,566	¥ 918,069
Other liabilities:		
Obligation to return securities received as collateral	¥ 187,753	¥ 231,842
Accrued income taxes	48,632	38,186
Other accrued expenses and provisions	446,920	375,403
Other ⁽¹⁾	533,794	492,589
Total	¥ 1,217,099	¥ 1,138,020

- (1) Includes liabilities relating to investment contracts underwritten by Nomura's insurance subsidiary. As of March 31, 2015 and September 30, 2015, carrying values were ¥258,310 million and ¥247,503 million, respectively, and estimated fair values were ¥261,039 million and ¥250,232 million, respectively. Fair value was estimated using DCF valuation techniques and using valuation inputs which would be generally classified in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

Table of Contents**10. Earnings per share:**

A reconciliation of the amounts and the numbers used in the calculation of net income attributable to NHI shareholders per share (basic and diluted) is as follows:

	Millions of yen except per share data presented in yen	
	Six months ended 2014	September 30 2015
Basic		
Net income attributable to NHI shareholders	¥ 72,732	¥ 115,301
Weighted average number of shares outstanding	3,660,114,608	3,596,599,957
Net income attributable to NHI shareholders per share	¥ 19.87	¥ 32.06
Diluted		
Net income attributable to NHI shareholders	¥ 72,714	¥ 115,259
Weighted average number of shares outstanding	3,759,340,816	3,687,614,198
Net income attributable to NHI shareholders per share	¥ 19.34	¥ 31.26

	Millions of yen except per share data presented in yen	
	Three months ended 2014	September 30 2015
Basic		
Net income attributable to NHI shareholders	¥ 52,872	¥ 46,559
Weighted average number of shares outstanding	3,638,479,123	3,595,833,271
Net income attributable to NHI shareholders per share	¥ 14.53	¥ 12.95
Diluted		
Net income attributable to NHI shareholders	¥ 52,861	¥ 46,538
Weighted average number of shares outstanding	3,736,423,754	3,685,748,891
Net income attributable to NHI shareholders per share	¥ 14.15	¥ 12.63

Net income attributable to NHI shareholders is adjusted to reflect the decline in Nomura's equity share of earnings of subsidiaries and affiliates for the six and three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2015, arising from options to purchase common shares issued by subsidiaries and affiliates.

The weighted average number of shares used in the calculation of diluted earning per share (EPS) reflects the increase in potential issuance of common shares arising from stock-based compensation plans issued by the Company, which would have minimal impact on EPS for the six and three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2015.

Antidilutive stock options to purchase 8,941,100 common shares were not included in the computation of diluted EPS for the six and three months ended September 30, 2014, respectively. Antidilutive stock options to purchase 9,430,300 common shares were not included in the computation of diluted EPS for the six and three months ended September 30, 2015, respectively.

Table of Contents**11. Employee benefit plans:**

Nomura provides various pension plans and other post-employment benefits which cover certain employees worldwide. In addition, Nomura provides health care benefits to certain active and retired employees through its Nomura Securities Health Insurance Society.

Net periodic benefit cost

The net periodic benefit cost of the defined benefit plans of Japanese entities includes the following components.

	Millions of yen	
	Six months ended September 30	
	2014	2015
Service cost	¥ 3,882	¥ 4,120
Interest cost	1,545	1,046
Expected return on plan assets	(2,866)	(3,032)
Amortization of net actuarial losses	1,063	739
Amortization of prior service cost	(573)	(574)
Net periodic benefit cost	¥ 3,051	¥ 2,299

	Millions of yen	
	Three months ended September 30	
	2014	2015
Service cost	¥ 1,945	¥ 2,089
Interest cost	772	523
Expected return on plan assets	(1,433)	(1,516)
Amortization of net actuarial losses	589	364
Amortization of prior service cost	(285)	(287)
Net periodic benefit cost	¥ 1,588	¥ 1,173

Nomura also recognized net periodic benefit cost of plans other than Japanese entities plans, which are not significant.

Table of Contents

12. Income taxes:

Our effective statutory tax rates were 36% for the six and three months ended September 30, 2014 and 33% for the six and three months ended September 30, 2015, respectively. Due to the revisions of domestic tax laws during the fourth quarter ended March 31, 2014 and March 31, 2015, our effective statutory tax rates are 36% for the fiscal years beginning between April 1, 2014 and March 31, 2015, 33% for the fiscal years beginning between April 1, 2015 and March 31, 2016, and 32% thereafter.

For the six months ended September 30, 2014, the difference between the effective statutory tax rate of 36% and the effective tax rate of 40.8% was mainly due to non-deductible expenses, an increase in valuation allowance of foreign subsidiaries, whereas non-taxable revenue reduced the effective tax rate.

For the three months ended September 30, 2014, the difference between the effective statutory tax rate of 36% and the effective tax rate of 28.3% was mainly due to non-taxable revenue, different tax rates in effective statutory tax rates applicable to income (loss) of foreign subsidiaries, whereas non-deductible expenses increased the effective tax rate.

For the six months ended September 30, 2015, the difference between the effective statutory tax rate of 33% and the effective tax rate of 6.3% was mainly due to tax benefit recognized on the devaluation of investment in subsidiaries and affiliates, whereas an increase in valuation allowance of foreign subsidiaries.

For the three months ended September 30, 2015, the difference between the effective statutory tax rate of 33% and the effective tax rate of (142.8)% was mainly due to tax benefit recognized on the devaluation of investment in subsidiaries and affiliates, whereas an increase in valuation allowance of foreign subsidiaries.

Table of Contents**13. Other comprehensive income (loss):**

The following tables present changes in *Accumulated other comprehensive income* for the six months period ended September 30, 2014 and 2015.

	Millions of yen				
	Six months ended September 30, 2014				
	Balance at beginning of year	Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications	Reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	Net change during the period	Balance at end of period
Cumulative translation adjustments	¥ 27,704	¥ 44,427	¥ (49)	¥ 44,378	¥ 72,082
Pension liability adjustment	(18,809)	(157)	320	163	(18,646)
Net unrealized gain on non-trading securities	11,741	5,569	(213)	5,356	17,097
Total	¥ 20,636	¥ 49,839	¥ 58	¥ 49,897	¥ 70,533

	Millions of yen				
	Six months ended September 30, 2015				
	Balance at beginning of year	Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications	Reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	Net change during the period	Balance at end of period
Cumulative translation adjustments	¥ 133,371	¥ (20,388)	¥ (258)	¥ (20,646)	¥ 112,725
Pension liability adjustment	(15,404)	(288)	87	(201)	(15,605)
Net unrealized gain on non-trading securities	25,772	(994)	(1,224)	(2,218)	23,554
Total	¥ 143,739	¥ (21,670)	¥ (1,395)	¥ (23,065)	¥ 120,674

The following tables present significant reclassifications out of *Accumulated other comprehensive income* for the six months period ended September 30, 2014 and 2015.

	Millions of yen			Affected line items in consolidated statements of income
	Six months ended			
	September 30, 2014	September 30, 2015		
	Reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	Reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)		
Net unrealized gain on non-trading securities:				
	¥ 435	¥	2,919	Gain on investments in equity securities
	(149)		(1,308)	Income tax expense
	286		1,611	Net income
	(73)		(387)	Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests

¥ 213

¥

1,224

Net income attributable to
NHI shareholders

See Note 5 *Non-trading securities* for further information.

Table of Contents

The following tables present changes in *Accumulated other comprehensive income* for the three months period ended September 30, 2014 and 2015.

	Millions of yen				
	Three months ended September 30, 2014				
	Balance at beginning of period	Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications	Reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	Net change during the period	Balance at end of period
Cumulative translation adjustments	¥ 16,250	¥ 55,763	¥ 69	¥ 55,832	¥ 72,082
Pension liability adjustment	(18,591)	(251)	196	(55)	(18,646)
Net unrealized gain on non-trading securities	13,890	3,375	(168)	3,207	17,097
Total	¥ 11,549	¥ 58,887	¥ 97	¥ 58,984	¥ 70,533

	Millions of yen				
	Three months ended September 30, 2015				
	Balance at beginning of period	Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications	Reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	Net change during the period	Balance at end of period
Cumulative translation adjustments	¥ 153,083	¥ (40,207)	¥ (151)	¥ (40,358)	¥ 112,725
Pension liability adjustment	(15,596)	(53)	44	(9)	(15,605)
Net unrealized gain on non-trading securities	25,676	(1,089)	(1,033)	(2,122)	23,554
Total	¥ 163,163	¥ (41,349)	¥ (1,140)	¥ (42,489)	¥ 120,674

The following tables present significant reclassifications out of *Accumulated other comprehensive income* for the three months period ended September 30, 2014 and 2015.

	Millions of yen			Affected line items in consolidated statements of income
	Three months ended			
	September 30, 2014	September 30, 2015		
	Reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	Reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)		
Net unrealized gain on non-trading securities:				
	¥ 341	¥	2,552	Gain on investments in equity securities
	(116)		(1,195)	Income tax expense
	225		1,357	Net income
	(57)		(324)	Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests
	¥ 168	¥	1,033	Net income attributable to NHI shareholders

See Note 5 *Non-trading securities* for further information.

Table of Contents**14. Commitments, contingencies and guarantees:****Commitments***Credit and investment commitments*

In connection with its banking and financing activities, Nomura provides commitments to extend credit which generally have fixed expiration dates. In connection with its investment banking activities, Nomura enters into agreements with clients under which Nomura commits to underwrite notes that may be issued by clients. The outstanding commitments under these agreements are included in below commitments to extend credit.

Nomura has commitments to invest in various partnerships and other entities, primarily in connection with its merchant banking activities, and also has commitments to provide financing for investments related to these partnerships. The outstanding commitments under these agreements are included in below commitments to invest in partnerships.

The following table presents a summary of the key types of outstanding commitments provided by Nomura.

	Millions of yen	
	March 31, 2015	September 30, 2015
Commitments to extend credit	¥ 421,526	¥ 648,058
Commitments to invest in partnerships	20,710	22,296

As of September 30, 2015, these commitments had the following maturities:

	Millions of yen				
	Years to Maturity				
	Total contractual amount	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	3 to 5 years	More than 5 years
Commitments to extend credit	¥ 648,058	¥ 109,390	¥ 118,673	¥ 173,502	¥ 246,493
Commitments to invest in partnerships	22,296	748	247		21,301

The contractual amounts of these commitments to extend credit represent the amounts at risk but only if the contracts are fully drawn upon, should the counterparties default, and assuming the value of any existing collateral becomes worthless. The total contractual amount of these commitments may not represent future cash requirements since the commitments may expire without being drawn upon. The credit risk associated with these commitments varies depending on the clients' creditworthiness and the value of collateral held. Nomura evaluates each client's creditworthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary by Nomura upon extension of credit, is based on credit evaluation of the counterparty.

Table of Contents

Contingencies

Investigations, lawsuits and other legal proceedings

In the normal course of business as a global financial services entity, Nomura is involved in investigations, lawsuits and other legal proceedings and, as a result, may suffer loss from any fines, penalties or damages awarded against Nomura, any settlements Nomura chooses to make to resolve a matter, and legal and other advisory costs incurred to support and formulate a defense.

The ability to predict the outcome of these actions and proceedings is inherently difficult, particularly where claimants are seeking substantial or indeterminate damages, where investigations and legal proceedings are at an early stage, where the matters present novel legal theories or involve a large number of parties, or which take place in foreign jurisdictions with complex or unclear laws.

The Company regularly evaluates each legal proceeding and claim on a case-by-case basis in consultation with external legal counsel to assess whether an estimate of possible loss or range of loss can be made, if recognition of a liability is not appropriate. In accordance with ASC 450 *Contingencies* (ASC 450), the Company recognizes a liability for this risk of loss arising on each individual matter when a loss is probable and the amount of such loss or range of loss can be reasonably estimated. The amount recognized as a liability is reviewed at least quarterly and is revised when further information becomes available. If these criteria are not met for an individual matter, such as if an estimated loss is only reasonably possible rather than probable, no liability is recognized. However, where a material loss is reasonably possible, the Company will disclose details of the legal proceeding or claim below. Under ASC 450 an event is defined as reasonably possible if the chance of the loss to the Company is more than remote but less than probable.

The most significant actions and proceedings against Nomura are summarized below. The Company believes that, based on current information available as of the date of these consolidated financial statements, the ultimate resolution of these actions and proceedings will not be material to the Company's financial condition. However, an adverse outcome in certain of these matters could have a material adverse effect on the consolidated statements of income or cash flows in a particular quarter or annual period.

For certain of the significant actions and proceedings described below, the Company is currently able to estimate the amount of reasonably possible loss, or range of reasonably possible losses, in excess of amounts recognized as a liability (if any) against such cases. These estimates are based on current information available as of the date of these consolidated financial statement and include, but are not limited to, the specific amount of damages or claims against Nomura in each case. As of November 16, 2015, for those cases where an estimate of the range of reasonably possible losses can be made, the Company estimates that the total aggregate reasonably possible maximum loss in excess of amounts recognized as a liability (if any) against these cases is approximately ¥48 billion.

For certain other significant actions and proceedings, the Company is unable to provide an estimate of the reasonably possible loss or range of reasonably possible losses because, among other reasons, (i) the proceedings are at such an early stage there is not enough information available to assess whether the stated grounds for the claim are viable; (ii) damages have not been identified by the claimant; (iii) damages are unsupported and/or exaggerated; (iv) there is uncertainty as to the outcome of pending appeals or motions; (v) there are significant legal issues to be resolved that may be dispositive, such as the applicability of statutes of limitations; and/or (vi) there are novel or unsettled legal theories underlying the claims.

Table of Contents

In January 2008, Nomura International plc (NIP) was served with a tax notice issued by the tax authorities in Pescara, Italy alleging breaches by NIP of the U.K.-Italy Double Taxation Treaty of 1998 (Tax Notice). The alleged breaches relate to payments to NIP of tax credits on dividends on Italian shares. The Tax Notice not only denies certain payments to which NIP claims to be entitled but also seeks reimbursement of approximately EUR 33.8 million, plus interest, already refunded. NIP continues vigorously to challenge the Pescara Tax Court s decisions in favor of the local tax authorities.

In October 2010 and June 2012, two actions were brought against NIP, seeking recovery of payments allegedly made to NIP by Fairfield Sentry Ltd. and Fairfield Sigma Ltd. (collectively, Fairfield Funds), which are now in liquidation and were feeder funds to Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC (in liquidation pursuant to the Securities Investor Protection Act in the U.S. since December 2008) (BLMIS). The first suit was brought by the liquidators of the Fairfield Funds. It was filed on October 5, 2010 in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, but was subsequently removed to the U.S. Bankruptcy Court, where it is presently pending. The second suit was brought by the Trustee for the liquidation of BLMIS (Madoff Trustee). NIP was added as a defendant in June 2012 when the Madoff Trustee filed an amended complaint in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court. Both actions seek to recover approximately \$35 million.

In March 2011, PT Bank Mutiara Tbk. (Bank Mutiara) commenced proceedings in the Commercial Court of the Canton of Zurich (Zurich Commercial Court) against a special purpose entity (SPE) established at the request of NIP. The proceedings were to challenge the SPE s rights over approximately \$156 million in an account held in Switzerland. The SPE, which is consolidated by NIP, had a security interest over the money pursuant to a loan facility with Telltop Holdings Limited (Telltop), a third party company. Telltop is currently in liquidation. The SPE did not believe that Bank Mutiara had any enforceable security interest over the funds and sought release of the monies. NIP was notified on October 2, 2014 that the Zurich Commercial Court had found that the SPE alone is entitled to the funds. Bank Mutiara appealed this decision. On July 9, 2015 the Federal Supreme Court of Switzerland upheld the decision of the Zurich Commercial Court. Bank Mutiara has no further right of appeal so the funds have been released to the SPE.

In April 2011, the Federal Home Loan Bank of Boston (FHLB-Boston) commenced proceedings in the Superior Court of Massachusetts against numerous issuers, sponsors and underwriters of residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS), and their controlling persons, including Nomura Asset Acceptance Corporation (NAAC), Nomura Credit & Capital, Inc. (NCCI), Nomura Securities International, Inc. (NSI) and Nomura Holding America Inc. (NHA). The action alleges that FHLB-Boston purchased RMBS issued by NAAC for which the offering materials contained untrue statements or omitted material facts concerning the underwriting standards used by the original lenders and the characteristics of the loans underlying the securities. FHLB-Boston seeks rescission of its purchases or compensatory damages pursuant to state law. FHLB-Boston alleges that it purchased certificates in four offerings issued by NAAC in the original principal amount of approximately \$406 million. The case is currently in the discovery phase.

Table of Contents

In July 2011, the National Credit Union Administration Board (NCUA) commenced proceedings in the United States District Court for the Central District of California as liquidating agent of Western Corporate Federal Credit Union (WesCorp) against various issuers, sponsors and underwriters of RMBS purchased by WesCorp. The complaint alleges that WesCorp purchased RMBS issued by NAAC and Nomura Home Equity Loan Inc. (NHEL), among others, for which the offering materials contained untrue statements or omitted material facts concerning the underwriting standards used by the original lenders. The complaint alleges that WesCorp purchased certificates in two offerings in the original principal amount of approximately \$83 million and seeks rescission of its purchases or compensatory damages. The Court has dismissed NCUA 's claims against NHEL and NCUA has appealed to the Ninth Circuit and the appeal is pending. NCUA 's claim against NAAC is proceeding and is currently in the expert discovery phase.

In September 2011, the Federal Housing Finance Agency (FHFA), as conservator for the government sponsored enterprises, Federal National Mortgage Association and Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (GSEs), commenced proceedings in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York against numerous issuers, sponsors and underwriters of RMBS, and their controlling persons, including NAAC, NHEL, NCCI, NSI and NHA, (the Company 's U.S. subsidiaries). The action alleged that the GSEs purchased RMBS issued by NAAC and NHEL for which the offering materials contained untrue statements or omitted material facts concerning the underwriting standards used by the original lenders and the characteristics of the loans underlying the securities. FHFA alleged that the GSEs purchased certificates in seven offerings in the original principal amount of approximately \$2,046 million and sought rescission of its purchases. The case was tried before the Court beginning March 16, 2015 and closing arguments were completed on April 9, 2015. On May 15, 2015, the Court issued a judgment and ordered the defendants to pay \$806 million to GSEs upon GSEs ' delivery of the certificates at issue to the defendants. The Company 's U.S. subsidiaries have appealed the decision to the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit. Subject to the outcome of the appeal, the defendants agreed to a consent judgment for costs and attorneys ' fees recoverable under the blue sky statutes at issue in the maximum amount of \$33 million.

In October 2011, the NCUA commenced proceedings in the United States District Court for the District of Kansas as liquidating agent of U.S. Central Federal Credit Union (U.S. Central) against various issuers, sponsors and underwriters of RMBS purchased by U.S. Central, including NHEL. The complaint alleges that U.S. Central purchased RMBS issued by NHEL, among others, for which the offering materials contained untrue statements or omitted material facts concerning the underwriting standards used by the original lenders. The complaint alleges that U.S. Central purchased a certificate in one offering in the original principal amount of approximately \$50 million and seeks rescission of its purchase or compensatory damages. The Court denied, in part, motions to dismiss filed by the defendants, and the Tenth Circuit Court of Appeals affirmed the trial court 's holding; the Supreme Court vacated that decision and remanded the matter to the Tenth Circuit Court of Appeals for reconsideration in light of recent Supreme Court authority. Upon remand, the Tenth Circuit reinstated its decision, and the parties are involved in the expert discovery process.

In November 2011, NIP was served with a claim filed by the Madoff Trustee appointed for the liquidation of BLMIS in the United States Bankruptcy Court Southern District of New York. This is a clawback action similar to claims filed by the Madoff Trustee against numerous other institutions. The Madoff Trustee alleges that NIP received redemptions from the BLMIS feeder fund, Harley International (Cayman) Limited in the six years prior to December 11, 2008 (the date proceedings were commenced against BLMIS) and that these are avoidable and recoverable under the U.S. Bankruptcy Code and New York law. The amount that the Madoff Trustee is currently seeking to recover from NIP is approximately \$21 million.

Table of Contents

In August 2012, The Prudential Insurance Company of America and certain of its affiliates filed several complaints in the Superior Court of New Jersey against various issuers, sponsors and underwriters of RMBS, including an action against NHEL, NCCI and NSI. The complaint alleged that the plaintiffs purchased over \$183 million in RMBS from five different offerings, the offering materials for which contained fraudulent misrepresentations regarding the underwriting practices and quality of the loans underlying the securities. On August 11, 2015, the parties entered into a confidential settlement and the action has been dismissed with prejudice.

In March 2013, Banca Monte dei Paschi di Siena SpA (MPS) issued a claim in the Italian Courts against (1) two former directors of MPS and (2) NIP. MPS alleged that the former directors improperly caused MPS to enter into certain structured financial transactions with NIP in 2009 (Transactions) and that NIP acted fraudulently and was jointly liable for the unlawful conduct of MPS 's former directors. MPS claimed damages of not less than EUR 1.142 billion. In July 2013 a claim was also issued against the same former directors of MPS, and NIP, by the shareholder group Fondazione Monte dei Paschi di Siena (FMPS). The grounds of the FMPS claim are similar to those on which the MPS claim was founded. The level of damages sought by FMPS is not less than EUR 315.2 million. NIP filed and served Defences to both the MPS and the FMPS claims.

In April 2013, an investigation was commenced by the Public Prosecutor 's office in Siena, Italy, into various allegations against MPS and certain of its former directors, including in relation to the Transactions. The investigation was subsequently transferred to the Public Prosecutor of Milan. On April 3, 2015, the Public Prosecutor 's office in Milan issued a notice concluding its preliminary investigation. The Public Prosecutor is seeking to indict MPS, three individuals from MPS 's former management, NIP and two NIP individuals for the offences of false accounting and market manipulation in relation to MPS 's accounts for 2009. The preliminary hearing at which the court will consider whether or not to grant the indictment started on October 12, 2015.

Additionally, NIP commenced a claim against MPS in the English Courts in March 2013. The claim was for declaratory relief confirming that the Transactions remained valid and contractually binding. MPS filed and served its Defence and Counterclaim to these proceedings in March 2014. MPS alleged in its Counterclaim that NIP was liable to make restitution of a net amount of approximately EUR 1.5 billion, and sought declarations regarding the illegality and invalidity of the Transactions. NIP filed and served its Reply and Defence to Counterclaim in June 2014.

On September 23, 2015, NIP entered into a settlement agreement with MPS to terminate the Transactions. NIP believes that the Transactions were conducted legally and appropriately, and does not accept the allegations made against it or admit any wrongdoing. Taking into account the views of relevant European financial authorities and the advice provided by external experts, NIP considered it to be in its best interests to reach a settlement in relation to this matter. As part of the agreement, the Transactions were unwound at a discount of EUR 440 million in favour of MPS and the civil proceedings between MPS and NIP in Italy and England, respectively, will no longer be pursued. The financial impact of the settlement on the Company 's consolidated results for the fiscal year ending March 31, 2016 is a loss of approximately ¥35.0 billion and has been included in *Net gain on trading* in the consolidated statement of income for the six months ended September 30, 2015. The civil proceedings by FMPS and criminal proceedings in Milan remain pending. NIP will continue to vigorously defend its position in the ongoing proceedings.

Table of Contents

Nomura Securities Co., Ltd. (NSC) is the leading securities firm in Japan with approximately 5.37 million client accounts. Accordingly, with a significant number of client transactions, NSC is from time to time party to various Japanese civil litigation and other dispute resolution proceedings with clients relating to investment losses. These include an action commenced against NSC in April 2012 by a corporate client seeking ¥5,102 million in damages for losses on the pre-maturity cash out of 16 series of currency-linked structured notes purchased from NSC between 2003 and 2008, an action commenced in April 2013 by a corporate client seeking ¥10,247 million in damages for losses on currency derivative transactions and the pre-maturity cash out or redemption of 11 series of equity-linked structured notes purchased from NSC between 2005 and 2011, and an action commenced in October 2014 by a corporate client seeking ¥2,143 million in damages for losses on currency derivative transactions conducted between 2006 and 2012. Although the allegations of the clients involved in such actions include the allegation that NSC's explanation was insufficient at the time the contracts were entered into, NSC believes these allegations are without merit.

The Company supports the position of its subsidiaries in each of these claims.

Other mortgage-related contingencies in the U.S.

Certain of the Company's subsidiaries in the U.S. securitized residential mortgage loans in the form of RMBS. These subsidiaries did not generally originate mortgage loans, but purchased mortgage loans from third-party loan originators (originators). In connection with such purchases, these subsidiaries received loan level representations from the originators. In connection with the securitizations, the relevant subsidiaries provided loan level representations and warranties of the type generally described below, which mirror the representations the subsidiaries received from the originators.

The loan level representations made in connection with the securitization of mortgage loans were generally detailed representations applicable to each loan and addressed characteristics of the borrowers and properties. The representations included, but were not limited to, information concerning the borrower's credit status, the loan-to-value ratio, the owner occupancy status of the property, the lien position, the fact that the loan was originated in accordance with the originator's guidelines, and the fact that the loan was originated in compliance with applicable laws. Certain of the RMBS issued by the subsidiaries were structured with credit protection provided to specified classes of certificates by monoline insurers.

The relevant subsidiaries have received claims demanding the repurchase of certain loans from trustees of various securitization trusts, made at the instance of one or more investors, or from certificate insurers. The total original principal amount of loans for which repurchase claims were received by the relevant subsidiaries within six-years of each securitization is \$3,203 million. The relevant subsidiaries summarily rejected any demand for repurchase received after the expiration of the statute of limitations applicable to breach of representation claims. For those claims received within six years, the relevant subsidiaries reviewed each claim received, and rejected those claims believed to be without merit or agreed to repurchase certain loans for those claims that the relevant subsidiaries determined to have merit. In several instances, following the rejection of repurchase demands, investors instituted actions through the trustee alleging breach of contract. The breach of contract claims that were brought within the six-year statute of limitations for breach of contract actions have survived motions to dismiss and are at early stages. These claims involve substantial legal, as well as factual, uncertainty and the Company cannot provide an estimate of reasonably possible loss at this time, in excess of the existing reserve.

Table of Contents

Guarantees

ASC 460 *Guarantees* specifies the disclosures to be made in regards to obligations under certain issued guarantees and requires a liability to be recognized for the fair value of a guarantee obligation at inception.

In the normal course of business, Nomura enters into various guarantee arrangements with counterparties in the form of standby letters of credit and other guarantees, which generally have a fixed expiration date.

In addition, Nomura enters into certain derivative contracts that meet the accounting definition of a guarantee, namely derivative contracts that contingently require a guarantor to make payment to a guaranteed party based on changes in an underlying that relate to an asset, liability or equity security held by a guaranteed party. Since Nomura does not track whether its clients enter into these derivative contracts for speculative or hedging purposes, Nomura has disclosed below information about derivative contracts that could meet the accounting definition of guarantees.

For information about the maximum potential amount of future payments that Nomura could be required to make under certain derivatives, the notional amount of contracts has been disclosed. However, the maximum potential payout for certain derivative contracts, such as written interest rate caps and written currency options, cannot be estimated, as increases in interest or foreign exchange rates in the future could be theoretically unlimited.

Nomura records all derivative contracts at fair value on its consolidated balance sheets. Nomura believes the notional amounts generally overstate its risk exposure. Since the derivative contracts are accounted for at fair value, carrying value is considered the best indication of payment and performance risk for individual contracts.

The following table presents information on Nomura's derivative contracts that could meet the accounting definition of a guarantee and standby letters of credit and other guarantees.

	Millions of yen			
	March 31, 2015		September 30, 2015	
	Carrying value	Maximum Potential Payout/Notional Total	Carrying value	Maximum Potential Payout/Notional Total
Derivative contracts ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	¥ 7,961,476	¥ 253,243,082	¥ 6,087,709	¥ 251,410,334
Standby letters of credit and other guarantees ⁽³⁾	291	9,494	274	9,227

(1) Credit derivatives are disclosed in Note 3. *Derivative instruments and hedging activities* and are excluded from derivative contracts.

(2) Derivative contracts primarily consist of equity, interest rate and foreign exchange contracts.

(3) Collaterals held in connection with standby letters of credit and other guarantees as of March 31, 2015 was ¥7,041 million and as of September 30, 2015 was ¥7,030 million.

The following table presents maturity information on Nomura's derivative contracts that could meet the accounting definition of a guarantee and standby letters of credit and other guarantees as of September 30, 2015.

	Millions of yen					
	Carrying value		Maximum Potential Payout/Notional Years to Maturity			
	value	Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	3 to 5 years	More than 5 years
Derivative contracts	¥ 6,087,709	¥ 251,410,334	¥ 106,931,084	¥ 59,569,827	¥ 24,410,070	¥ 60,499,353
Standby letters of credit and other guarantees	274	9,227	12	7		9,208

Table of Contents**15. Segment and geographic information:****Operating segments**

Nomura's operating management and management reporting are prepared based on the Retail, the Asset Management and the Wholesale segments. Nomura structures its business segments based upon the nature of its main products and services, its client base and its management structure.

The accounting policies for segment information follow U.S. GAAP, except for the impact of unrealized gains/losses on investments in equity securities held for operating purposes, which under U.S. GAAP are included in *Income (loss) before income taxes*, but excluded from segment information.

Revenues and expenses directly associated with each business segment are included in the operating results of each respective segment. Revenues and expenses that are not directly attributable to a particular segment are allocated to each respective business segment or included in *Other*, based upon Nomura's allocation methodologies as used by management to assess each segment's performance.

Business segments' results are shown in the following tables. *Net interest revenue* is disclosed because management views interest revenue net of interest expense for its operating decisions. Business segments' information on total assets is not disclosed because management does not utilize such information for its operating decisions and therefore, it is not reported to management.

	Millions of yen					Total
	Retail	Asset Management	Wholesale	Other (Incl. elimination)		
Six months ended September 30, 2014						
Non-interest revenue	¥ 222,691	¥ 43,219	¥ 299,636	¥ 127,647		¥ 693,193
Net interest revenue	2,112	1,810	79,820	(38,353)		45,389
Net revenue	224,803	45,029	379,456	89,294		738,582
Non-interest expenses	154,332	28,946	351,508	84,206		618,992
Income (loss) before income taxes	¥ 70,471	¥ 16,083	¥ 27,948	¥ 5,088		¥ 119,590
Six months ended September 30, 2015						
Non-interest revenue	¥ 243,509	¥ 47,272	¥ 322,744	¥ 89,542		¥ 703,067
Net interest revenue	2,838	2,499	75,351	(21,218)		59,470
Net revenue	246,347	49,771	398,095	68,324		762,537
Non-interest expenses	158,703	29,613	369,795	76,636		634,747
Income (loss) before income taxes	¥ 87,644	¥ 20,158	¥ 28,300	¥ (8,312)		¥ 127,790

Table of Contents

	Millions of yen					Total
	Retail	Asset Management	Wholesale	Other (Incl. elimination)		
Three months ended September 30, 2014						
Non-interest revenue	¥ 116,948	¥ 21,441	¥ 119,322	¥ 81,742	¥ 339,453	
Net interest revenue	990	250	71,248	(40,700)	31,788	
Net revenue	117,938	21,691	190,570	41,042	371,241	
Non-interest expenses	79,075	13,882	168,363	38,508	299,828	
Income (loss) before income taxes	¥ 38,863	¥ 7,809	¥ 22,207	¥ 2,534	¥ 71,413	
Three months ended September 30, 2015						
Non-interest revenue	¥ 114,459	¥ 22,637	¥ 148,038	¥ 32,132	¥ 317,266	
Net interest revenue	1,199	217	44,873	(16,052)	30,237	
Net revenue	115,658	22,854	192,911	16,080	347,503	
Non-interest expenses	78,913	14,442	184,282	39,090	316,727	
Income (loss) before income taxes	¥ 36,745	¥ 8,412	¥ 8,629	¥ (23,010)	¥ 30,776	

Transactions between operating segments are recorded within segment results on commercial terms and conditions and are eliminated in *Other*.

The following tables present the major components of *Income (loss) before income taxes* in *Other*.

	Millions of yen	
	Six months ended September 30 2014	2015
Net gain (loss) related to economic hedging transactions	¥ 9,088	¥ (1,501)
Realized gain on investments in equity securities held for operating purposes	3,145	205
Equity in earnings of affiliates	11,462	22,885
Corporate items	(11,482)	(43,925)
Other ⁽¹⁾	(7,125)	14,024
Total	¥ 5,088	¥ (8,312)

	Millions of yen	
	Three months ended September 30 2014	2015
Net gain related to economic hedging transactions	¥ 2,169	¥ 1,052
Realized gain on investments in equity securities held for operating purposes	292	17
Equity in earnings of affiliates	7,963	9,054
Corporate items	(8,389)	(39,985)
Other ⁽¹⁾	499	6,852
Total	¥ 2,534	¥ (23,010)

(1) Includes the impact of Nomura's own creditworthiness.

Table of Contents

The tables below present reconciliations of the combined business segments' results included in the preceding table to Nomura's reported *Net revenue*, *Non-interest expenses* and *Income before income taxes* in the consolidated statements of income.

	Millions of yen	
	Six months ended September 30 2014	2015
Net revenue	¥ 738,582	¥ 762,537
Unrealized gain (loss) on investments in equity securities held for operating purposes	6,089	(1,901)
Consolidated net revenue	¥ 744,671	¥ 760,636
Non-interest expenses	¥ 618,992	¥ 634,747
Unrealized gain on investments in equity securities held for operating purposes		
Consolidated non-interest expenses	¥ 618,992	¥ 634,747
Income before income taxes	¥ 119,590	¥ 127,790
Unrealized gain (loss) on investments in equity securities held for operating purposes	6,089	(1,901)
Consolidated income before income taxes	¥ 125,679	¥ 125,889

	Millions of yen	
	Three months ended September 30 2014	2015
Net revenue	¥ 371,241	¥ 347,503
Unrealized gain (loss) on investments in equity securities held for operating purposes	2,592	(10,899)
Consolidated net revenue	¥ 373,833	¥ 336,604
Non-interest expenses	¥ 299,828	¥ 316,727
Unrealized gain on investments in equity securities held for operating purposes		
Consolidated non-interest expenses	¥ 299,828	¥ 316,727
Income before income taxes	¥ 71,413	¥ 30,776
Unrealized gain (loss) on investments in equity securities held for operating purposes	2,592	(10,899)
Consolidated income before income taxes	¥ 74,005	¥ 19,877

Table of Contents**Geographic information**

Nomura's identifiable assets, revenues and expenses are generally allocated based on the country of domicile of the legal entity providing the service. However, because of the integration of the global capital markets and the corresponding global nature of Nomura's activities and services, it is not always possible to make a precise separation by location. As a result, various assumptions, which are consistent among years, have been made in presenting the following geographic data.

The table below presents a geographic allocation of net revenue and income (loss) before income taxes from operations by geographic areas, and long-lived assets associated with Nomura's operations. Net revenue in Americas and Europe substantially represents Nomura's operations in the United States and the United Kingdom, respectively. Net revenue and long-lived assets have been allocated based on transactions with external customers while income (loss) before income taxes have been allocated based on the inclusion of intersegment transactions.

	Millions of yen	
	Six months ended September 30 2014	2015
Net revenue ⁽¹⁾ :		
Americas	¥ 117,394	¥ 111,875
Europe	97,145	66,873
Asia and Oceania	40,118	49,598
Subtotal	254,657	228,346
Japan	490,014	532,290
Consolidated	¥ 744,671	¥ 760,636
Income (loss) before income taxes:		
Americas	¥ (697)	¥ (22,148)
Europe	(20,853)	(44,898)
Asia and Oceania	8,157	23,998
Subtotal	(13,393)	(43,048)
Japan	139,072	168,937
Consolidated	¥ 125,679	¥ 125,889

	Millions of yen	
	Three months ended September 30 2014	2015
Net revenue ⁽¹⁾ :		
Americas	¥ 48,095	¥ 49,410
Europe	66,524	18,881
Asia and Oceania	21,527	21,873
Subtotal	136,146	90,164
Japan	237,687	246,440
Consolidated	¥ 373,833	¥ 336,604
Income (loss) before income taxes:		
Americas	¥ (6,757)	¥ (19,791)
Europe	2,027	(35,180)
Asia and Oceania	8,476	9,210

Edgar Filing: NOMURA HOLDINGS INC - Form 6-K

Subtotal		3,746		(45,761)
Japan		70,259		65,638
Consolidated	¥	74,005	¥	19,877

(1) There is no revenue derived from transactions with a single major external customer.

	Millions of yen	
	March 31, 2015	September 30, 2015
Long-lived assets:		
Americas	¥ 146,758	¥ 142,967
Europe	88,928	86,279
Asia and Oceania	14,891	13,461
Subtotal	250,577	242,707
Japan	274,202	266,950
Consolidated	¥ 524,779	¥ 509,657

Table of Contents

2. Other

On October 28, 2015, the Board of Directors resolved to pay the dividend based on the record date of September 30, 2015 to shareholders registered as of September 30, 2015.

a. Total dividend based on the record date of September 30, 2015	¥ 35,983 million
b. Dividend based on the record date of September 30, 2015 per share	¥ 10.0

Table of Contents

[Translation]

Quarterly Review Report of Independent Auditor

November 16, 2015

The Board of Directors

Nomura Holdings, Inc.

Ernst & Young ShinNihon LLC

Tadayuki Matsushige
Certified Public Accountant
Designated and Engagement Partner

Noboru Miura
Certified Public Accountant
Designated and Engagement Partner

Yuichiro Sakurai
Certified Public Accountant
Designated and Engagement Partner

Toyohiro Fukata
Certified Public Accountant
Designated and Engagement Partner

We have performed a quarterly review of the quarterly consolidated financial statements of Nomura Holdings, Inc. (the Company) included in Financial Information section for the three-month and six-month periods ended September 30, 2015 within the fiscal period from April 1, 2015 to March 31, 2016, which comprise the quarterly consolidated balance sheet, the quarterly consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, changes in equity and cash flows, and the related notes, pursuant to the requirement of the rule specified in Article 193-2, Section 1 of the Financial Instruments and Exchange Act.

Management's Responsibility for the Quarterly Consolidated Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the quarterly consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (see Note 1 to the quarterly consolidated financial statements) pursuant to Article 95 of Regulations Concerning the Terminology, Forms and Preparation Methods of Quarterly Consolidated Financial Statements, and for designing and operating such internal control as management determines is necessary to enable the preparation and fair presentation of the quarterly consolidated financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to independently express a conclusion on the quarterly consolidated financial statements based on our quarterly review. We conducted our quarterly review in accordance with quarterly review standards generally accepted in Japan.

A quarterly review of the quarterly consolidated financial statements consists of making inquiries, primarily of management and persons responsible for financial and accounting matters, applying analytical and other quarterly review procedures. A quarterly review is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in Japan.

We believe that we have obtained the evidence to provide a basis for our conclusion.

Auditor's Conclusion

Edgar Filing: NOMURA HOLDINGS INC - Form 6-K

Based on our quarterly review, nothing has come to our attention that causes us to believe that the quarterly consolidated financial statements referred to above do not present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Nomura Holdings, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries as of September 30, 2015, and the consolidated results of their operations for the three-month and six-month periods then ended and cash flows for the six-month period then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (see Note 1 to the quarterly consolidated financial statements).

Table of Contents

Conflicts of Interest

We have no interest in the Company which should be disclosed under the provisions of the Certified Public Accountants Act.

- *1. Above is an electronic version of the original Quarterly Review Report of Independent Auditor and the Company maintains the original report.
- *2. The quarterly consolidated financial statements referred to above do not include the data themselves provided via XBRL.
(Note)

This is an English translation of the Japanese language Quarterly Review Report of Independent Auditor issued by Ernst & Young ShinNihon LLC in connection with the limited procedures applied on the quarterly consolidated financial statements of Nomura Holdings, Inc., prepared in Japanese, for the three-month and six-month periods ended September 30, 2015 within the fiscal period from April 1, 2015 to March 31, 2016. Ernst & Young ShinNihon LLC have not applied any such procedures nor have they performed an audit on the English language version of the quarterly consolidated financial statements for the above-mentioned period which are included in this report on Form 6-K.

Table of Contents

Exhibit 2

Confirmation Letter

1 [Appropriateness of Quarterly Securities Report]

Koji Nagai, Group Chief Executive Officer, and Shigesuke Kashiwagi, Chief Financial Officer, have confirmed that the quarterly securities report of Nomura Holdings, Inc. for the three months ended September 30, 2015 is appropriate under the Financial Instruments and Exchange Act.

2 [Special Comments]

There is no special comment to be stated.

Table of Contents**Exhibit 3****Capitalization and Indebtedness**

The following table sets forth, on a U.S. GAAP basis, the consolidated capitalization and indebtedness of Nomura Holdings, Inc. (NHI) as of September 30, 2015. There has been no material change in NHI s capitalization and indebtedness since September 30, 2015.

	Millions of yen September 30, 2015
Short-term borrowings	¥ 561,078
Long-term borrowings	8,293,712
NHI shareholders equity:	
Common stock	
Authorized 6,000,000,000 shares as of September 30, 2015	
Issued 3,822,562,601 shares as of September 30, 2015	
Outstanding 3,597,179,205 shares as of September 30, 2015	594,493
Additional paid-in capital	690,149
Retained earnings	1,512,565
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	120,674
Total NHI shareholders equity before treasury stock	2,917,881
Common stock held in treasury, at cost 225,383,396 shares as of September 30, 2015	(156,221)
Total NHI shareholders equity	2,761,660
Noncontrolling interests	33,276
Total equity	2,794,936
Total capitalization and indebtedness	¥ 11,649,726

NHI enters into various guarantee arrangements in the form of standby letters of credit and other guarantees with third parties. The amount of potential future payments under these guarantee contracts outstanding was ¥9,227 million as of September 30, 2015.

Table of Contents**Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges and Computation Thereof**

The following table sets forth the ratio of earnings to fixed charges of NHI for the six months ended September 30, 2015, in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

	Millions of yen	
	For the six months ended	
	September 30, 2015	
Earnings:		
Pre-tax income from continuing operations before adjustment for income or loss from equity investees	¥	102,421
Add: Fixed charges		165,719
Distributed income of equity investees		5,624
Earnings as defined	¥	273,764
Fixed charges	¥	165,719
Ratio of earnings to fixed charges ⁽¹⁾		1.7

- (1) For the purpose of calculating the ratio of earnings to fixed charges, earnings consist of pre-tax income before adjustment for income or loss from equity investees, plus (i) fixed charges and (ii) distributed income of equity investees. Fixed charges consist of interest expense. Fixed charges exclude premium and discount amortization as well as interest expense, which are included in Net gain (loss) on trading. Fixed charges also exclude interest within rent expense, which is insignificant.