EATON VANCE MUNICIPAL BOND FUND Form N-CSR November 24, 2015

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED

MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act File Number: 811-21142

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Charter)

Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

Maureen A. Gemma

Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110

(Name and Address of Agent for Services)

(617) 482-8260

(Registrant s Telephone Number)

September 30

Date of Fiscal Year End

September 30, 2015

Date of Reporting Period

Item 1. Reports to Stockholders

Municipal Bond Funds

Annual Report

September 30, 2015

Municipal (EIM)

California (EVM)

New York (ENX)

Commodity Futures Trading Commission Registration. Effective December 31, 2012, the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) adopted certain regulatory changes that subject registered investment companies and advisers to regulation by the CFTC if a fund invests more than a prescribed level of its assets in certain CFTC-regulated instruments (including futures, certain options and swap agreements) or markets itself as providing investment exposure to such instruments. Each Fund has claimed an exclusion from the definition of the term—commodity pool operator—under the Commodity Exchange Act. Accordingly, neither the Funds nor the adviser with respect to the operation of the Funds is subject to CFTC regulation. Because of its management of other strategies, each Fund—s adviser is registered with the CFTC as a commodity pool operator and a commodity trading advisor.

Fund shares are not insured by the FDIC and are not deposits or other obligations of, or guaranteed by, any depository institution. Shares are subject to investment risks, including possible loss of principal invested.

Annual Report September 30, 2015

Eaton Vance

Municipal Bond Funds

Table of Contents

Management s Discussion of Fund Performance	2
Performance and Fund Profile	
Municipal Bond Fund California Municipal Bond Fund New York Municipal Bond Fund	3 4 5
Endnotes and Additional Disclosures	6
Financial Statements	7
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	34
Federal Tax Information	35
Annual Meeting of Shareholders	36
Dividend Reinvestment Plan	37
Board of Trustees Contract Approval	39
Management and Organization	42
Important Notices	44

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2015

Management s Discussion of Fund Performance

Economic and Market Conditions

As the fiscal year began on October 1, 2014, municipal bonds were well into a rally that continued for the first four months of the period ended September 30, 2015. U.S. 10-year Treasury and municipal rates hit their low for the period around the end of January 2015. After that, municipal returns turned negative as a flood of new issuance, along with modest outflows from municipal mutual funds, put downward pressure on bond prices. For the period as a whole, however, municipal bonds delivered positive performance as gains during the rally in the opening months of the period outweighed losses during the selloff in the period s final eight months.

Going into the period, investors anticipated rising interest rates, driven by what was expected to be the Federal Reserve Board s (the Fed s) first rate hike since 2006. But while the U.S. economy continued to experience moderate growth and low inflation, fixed-income investors became increasingly concerned about declining growth in the eurozone, Japan and China. The result was strong worldwide demand for U.S. Treasurys that pushed Treasury rates down in the early months of the period, with municipal rates following.

Beginning in February 2015, however, rates in the short end of the yield curve began to creep upward, as investors believed the Fed was getting closer to a rate hike. In the following months, as European interest rates rose from historic lows, yields on longer maturity Treasurys climbed as well.

In August 2015, China surprised the markets by devaluing its currency. Oil prices continued their fall, causing investors to question whether the Fed would raise rates in September as many had expected. After a number of U.S. economic numbers came in weaker than expected, the Fed decided not to take action at its September meeting. Against this backdrop, many asset classes experienced dramatic volatility in August and September, although the municipal market remained relatively calm.

For the one-year period as a whole, the municipal yield curve flattened. For AAA-rated⁷ issues, interest rates rose modestly in the one- to six-year part of the curve, while rates declined slightly in the seven- to 30-year part of the curve.

Fund Performance

For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2015, Municipal Bond Fund and New York Municipal Bond Fund shares at net asset value (NAV) outperformed the 4.44% return of the Funds benchmark, the Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index (the Index³, while California Municipal Bond Fund shares at NAV performed in line with the Index.

The Funds overall strategy is to invest primarily in higher quality bonds (rated A or higher). In managing the Funds, management employs leverage through Residual Interest Bond (RIB) financing⁶ to seek to enhance the Funds tax-

exempt income. The use of leverage has the effect of achieving additional exposure to the municipal market, and thus magnifying a fund s exposure to its underlying investments in both up and down market environments. During this period of falling rates and rising prices in the medium- and long-maturity areas of the municipal yield curve, the use of leverage contributed to performance versus the Index which does not employ leverage for all three Funds.

Management hedges to various degrees against the greater potential risk of volatility caused by the use of leverage and investing in bonds at the long end of the yield curve, by using Treasury futures and/or interest-rate swaps. As a risk management tactic within the Funds overall strategy, interest rate hedging is intended to moderate performance on both the upside and the downside of the market. During this period of positive

performance by municipal bonds, the Funds Treasury futures hedge mitigated some of the upside and thus detracted modestly from the Funds performance relative to the unhedged Index.

Fund-specific Results

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund shares at NAV returned 5.69%, outperforming the 4.44% return of the Index. The main contributors to performance versus the Index included leverage, as mentioned earlier, an overweight and security selection in zero coupon bonds, and an overweight and security selection in the special tax sector. The chief detractors from performance relative to the Index were the Fund s hedging strategy, an underweight in BBB-rated bonds, and an underweight in the hospital sector, which was the best-performing sector in the Index during the period.

Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund shares at NAV returned 4.46%, performing in line with the 4.44% return of the Index. Leverage contributed to Fund performance versus the Index during the period, as did an overweight in zero coupon bonds. In contrast, detractors from performance relative to the Index included the Fund shedging strategy, an underweight and security selection in the hospital sector, an underweight in BBB-rated bonds, and an overweight in pre-refunded bonds.

Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund shares at NAV returned 5.07%, outperforming the 4.44% return of the Index. Primary contributors to performance versus the Index included leverage, an overweight and security selection in the special tax sector, and security selection in AAA-rated bonds. Key detractors from performance versus the Index included the Fund shedging strategy, an underweight in the hospital sector, and an underweight in BBB-rated bonds.

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to eatonvance.com.

Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2015

Performance^{2,3}

Portfolio Manager Cynthia J. Clemson

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Ten Years
Fund at NAV	08/30/2002	5.69%	7.93%	5.89%
Fund at Market Price		6.14	4.45	4.99
Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		4.44%	5.55%	5.02%

% Premium/Discount to NAV4

9.81%

Distributions ⁵	
Total Distributions per share for the period	\$ 0.766
Distribution Rate at NAV	5.52%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV	9.75%
Distribution Rate at Market Price	6.12%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price	10.81%

% Total Leverage6

Residual Interest Bond (RIB	3) Financing	38.75%

Fund Profile

Credit Quality (% of total investments)^{7,8}

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund

performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to eatonvance.com.

California Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2015

Performance^{2,3}

Portfolio Manager Craig R. Brandon, CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Ten Years
Fund at NAV	08/30/2002	4.46%	6.57%	4.94%
Fund at Market Price		8.55	3.57	4.57
Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		4 44%	5.55%	5.02%

% Premium/Discount to NAV4

8.57%

Distributions ⁵	
Total Distributions per share for the period	\$ 0.684
Distribution Rate at NAV	5.38%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV	10.96%
Distribution Rate at Market Price	5.88%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price	11.98%

% Total Leverage⁶

RIB Financing	39.17%
KID Filialicing	39.17%

Fund Profile

Credit Quality (% of total investments)^{7,8}

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to eatonvance.com.

4

New York Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2015

Performance^{2,3}

Portfolio Manager Craig R. Brandon, CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Ten Years
Fund at NAV	08/30/2002	5.07%	6.39%	5.23%
Fund at Market Price		8.14	3.67	4.95
Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		4 44%	5.55%	5.02%

% Premium/Discount to NAV4

9.61%

Distributions ⁵	
Total Distributions per share for the period	\$ 0.718
Distribution Rate at NAV	5.15%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV	9.98%
Distribution Rate at Market Price	5.70%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price	11.04%

% Total Leverage⁶

RIB Financing	38.2	9%

Fund Profile

Credit Quality (% of total investments)^{7,8}

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to eatonvance.com.

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2015

Endnotes and Additional Disclosures

- The views expressed in this report are those of the portfolio manager(s) and are current only through the date stated at the top of this page. These views are subject to change at any time based upon market or other conditions, and Eaton Vance and the Fund(s) disclaim any responsibility to update such views. These views may not be relied upon as investment advice and, because investment decisions are based on many factors, may not be relied upon as an indication of trading intent on behalf of any Eaton Vance fund. This commentary may contain statements that are not historical facts, referred to as forward looking statements. The Fund s actual future results may differ significantly from those stated in any forward looking statement, depending on factors such as changes in securities or financial markets or general economic conditions, the volume of sales and purchases of Fund shares, the continuation of investment advisory, administrative and service contracts, and other risks discussed from time to time in the Fund s filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.
- ² Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index is an unmanaged index of municipal bonds traded in the U.S. with maturities of 22 years or more. Unless otherwise stated, index returns do not reflect the effect of any applicable sales charges, commissions, expenses, taxes or leverage, as applicable. It is not possible to invest directly in an index.
- ³ Performance results reflect the effects of leverage. Performance since inception for an index, if presented, is the performance since the Fund s or oldest share class inception, as applicable.
- ⁴ The shares of the Fund often trade at a discount or premium from their net asset value. The discount or premium of the Fund may vary over time and may be higher or lower than what is quoted in this report. For up-to-date premium/discount information, please refer to http://eatonvance.com/closedend.
- ⁵ The Distribution Rate is based on the Fund s last regular distribution per share in the period (annualized) divided by the Fund s NAV or market price at the end of the period. The Fund s distributions may be comprised of amounts characterized for federal income tax purposes as tax-exempt income, qualified and non-qualified ordinary dividends, capital gains and nondividend distributions, also known as return of capital. The Fund will determine the federal income tax character of distributions paid to a shareholder after the end of the calendar year. This is reported on the IRS form 1099-DIV and provided to the shareholder shortly after each year-end. For information about the tax character of distributions made in prior calendar years, please refer to Performance-Tax Character of Distributions on the Fund s webpage available at eatonvance.com.
 - The Funds distributions are determined by the investment adviser based on its current assessment of the Funds long-term return potential. As portfolio and market conditions change, the rate of distributions paid by the Fund could change. Taxable-equivalent performance is based on the highest combined federal and state income tax rates, where applicable. Lower tax rates would result in lower tax-equivalent performance. Actual tax rates will vary depending on your income, exemptions and deductions. Rates do not include local taxes.
- ⁶ Fund employs RIB financing. The leverage created by RIB investments provides an opportunity for increased income but, at the same time, creates special risks (including the likelihood of greater price volatility). The cost of leverage rises and falls with changes in short-term interest rates. See Floating Rate Notes Issued in Conjunction with Securities Held in the notes to the financial statements for more information about RIB financing. RIB leverage represents the amount of Floating Rate Notes outstanding at period end as a percentage of Fund net assets plus Floating Rate Notes.
- ⁷ Ratings are based on Moody s, S&P or Fitch, as applicable. If securities are rated differently by the RATINGS agencies, the higher rating is applied. Ratings, which are subject to change, apply to the creditworthiness of the issuers of the underlying securities and not to the Fund or its shares. Credit ratings measure the quality of a bond based on the issuer s creditworthiness, with ratings ranging from AAA, being the highest, to D, being the lowest based on S&P s measures. Ratings of BBB or higher by S&P or Fitch (Baa or higher by Moody s) are considered to be investment- grade quality. Credit ratings are based largely on the ratings agency s analysis at the time of rating. The rating assigned to any particular security is not necessarily a

reflection of the issuer s current financial condition and does not necessarily reflect its assessment of the volatility of a security s market value or of the liquidity of an investment in the security. Holdings designated as Not Rated are not rated by the national ratings agencies stated above.

⁸ The chart includes the municipal bonds held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds, consistent with the Portfolio of Investments.

Fund profile subject to change due to active management.

Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2015

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 161.8%

		Amount	
Security	(000	s omitted)	Value
Education 15.2% California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of Southern California), 5.25%, 10/1/38 ⁽¹⁾ Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Wesleyan University), 5.00%, 7/1/39 ⁽¹⁾ Houston Higher Education Finance Corp., TX, (St. John s School), 5.25%, 9/1/33 Houston Higher Education Finance Corp., TX, (William Marsh Rice University), 5.00%, 5/15/35 ⁽¹⁾ Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Boston College), 5.50%, 6/1/27 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Boston College), 5.50%, 6/1/30 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvard University), 5.00%, 10/1/38 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvard University), 5.50%, 11/15/36 New York Dormitory Authority, (Rockefeller University), 5.00%, 7/1/40 ⁽¹⁾ North Carolina Capital Facilities Finance Agency, (Duke University), 5.00%, 10/1/38 ⁽¹⁾ University of California, 5.25%, 5/15/39 University of California, Prerefunded to 5/15/19, 5.25%, 5/15/39 University of Colorado, (University Enterprise Revenue), 5.25%, 6/1/36 ⁽¹⁾	\$	9,750 14,700 3,985 15,000 5,810 8,325 2,000 8,790 15,300 13,500 3,730 720 10,000	\$ 10,859,940 16,671,858 4,402,429 17,213,850 7,526,971 10,710,945 2,157,500 10,017,436 17,121,924 15,035,625 4,196,772 830,297 11,298,100
University of Massachusetts Building Authority, 5.00%, 11/1/39 ⁽¹⁾		14,175	15,876,283
			\$ 143,919,930
Electric Utilities 4.2% Energy Northwest, WA, (Columbia Generating Station), 5.00%, 7/1/40 JEA St. Johns River Power Park System, FL, 4.00%, 10/1/32 ⁽¹⁾ Pima County, AZ, Industrial Development Authority, (Tucson Electric Power Co.), 5.25%, 10/1/40 South Carolina Public Service Authority, 5.50%, 1/1/38 Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, KS, Board of Public Utilities, 5.00%, 9/1/36 Utility Debt Securitization Authority, NY, 5.00%, 12/15/35	\$	2,320 10,000 10,000 6,545 3,425 4,500	\$ 2,634,592 10,155,900 10,991,700 7,313,187 3,751,094 5,214,195 40,060,668
Escrowed / Prerefunded 0.7% South Carolina Public Service Authority, Prerefunded to 1/1/19, 5.50%, 1/1/38 Security	\$	565 Principal	\$ 649,004 Value

Amount

Principal

(000 s omitted)

Escrowed / Prerefunded (continued) Tarrant County Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corp., TX, (Scott & White Healthcare), Prerefunded to 8/15/20, 5.25%, 8/15/40 Tennessee School Bond Authority, Prerefunded to 5/1/18, 5.50%, 5/1/38	\$ 450 5,000	\$ \$	530,379 5,603,700 6,783,083
General Obligations 14.5% California, 5.00%, 12/1/30 California, 5.00%, 10/1/33	\$ 7,390 18,815	\$	8,687,536 21,780,620
Chicago Park District, IL, (Harbor Facilities), 5.25%, 1/1/37 ⁽¹⁾ Clark County, NV, 5.00%, 7/1/33 Delaware Valley, PA, Regional Finance Authority, 5.75%, 7/1/32	8,320 4,500 3,000		8,803,725 5,095,035 3,673,590
Klein, TX, Independent School District, (PSF Guaranteed), 5.00%, 2/1/36 ⁽¹⁾ Mississippi, 5.00%, 10/1/30 ⁽¹⁾ Mississippi, 5.00%, 10/1/36 ⁽¹⁾	2,000 10,000 12,075		2,281,620 11,481,600 13,719,856
New York, NY, 5.00%, 10/1/32 Oregon, 5.00%, 8/1/35 ⁽¹⁾ Oregon, 5.00%, 8/1/36	10,000 6,750 2,000		11,397,000 7,776,473 2,301,660
Port of Houston Authority of Harris County, TX, 5.00%, 10/1/35 Washington, 4.00%, 7/1/28 ⁽¹⁾ Washington, 5.00%, 2/1/35	7,500 10,000 15		8,700,675 10,868,100 17,162
Washington, 5.00%, 2/1/35 ⁽¹⁾	18,250		20,880,190
		\$	137,464,842
Hospital 8.6%			
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), 5.25%, 3/1/27 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), 5.25%, 3/1/28 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Cedars-Sinai Medical Center), 5.00%, 8/15/39 Hawaii Department of Budget and Finance, (Hawaii Pacific Health), 5.50%, 7/1/38	\$ 1,000 1,770 11,570 2,790	\$	1,130,170 1,986,931 12,821,295 3,207,719
Highlands County, FL, Health Facilities Authority, (Adventist Health System), 5.25%, 11/15/36 Knox County, TN, Health, Educational and Housing Facilities Board, (Covenant Health), 0.00%, 1/1/38 Knox County, TN, Health, Educational and Housing Facilities Board, (Covenant Health), 0.00%, 1/1/41	7,190 5,040 10,000		7,559,206 1,654,531 2,797,300
Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (Henry Ford Health System), 5.25%, 11/15/46	5,355		5,532,090

7

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2015

Portfolio of Investments continued

		Principal Amount	
Security	(000	s omitted)	Value
Hospital (continued) New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Robert Wood Johnson University Hospital), 5.25%, 7/1/35 Ohio Higher Educational Facility Commission, (Cleveland Clinic Health System), 5.00%, 1/1/32 Tarrant County Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corp., TX, (Scott & White Healthcare), 5.25%, 8/15/40 West Virginia Hospital Finance Authority, (West Virginia United Health System Obligated Group), 5.375%, 6/1/38 Wisconsin Health & Educational Facilities Authority, (Ascension Health Alliance Senior Credit Group),	\$	4,385 10,950 5,655 7,605	\$ 4,963,864 12,329,262 6,323,082 8,515,775
5.00%, 11/15/41(1)		11,500	12,669,550 \$ 81,490,775
			¥ 01,130,110
Industrial Development Revenue 0.5% Maricopa County Pollution Control Corp., AZ, (El Paso Electric Co.), 4.50%, 8/1/42	\$	4,245	\$ 4,350,234
			\$ 4,350,234
Insured Education 3.0% Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (College of the Holy Cross), (AMBAC), 5.25%, 9/1/32 Miami-Dade County, FL, Educational Facilities Authority, (University of Miami), (AMBAC), (BHAC), Prerefunded to 4/1/17,	\$	15,900	\$ 20,212,716
5.00%, 4/1/31		7,865	8,277,677 \$ 28,490,393
			\$ 20,490,393
Insured Electric Utilities 3.2% Louisiana Energy and Power Authority, (AGM), 5.25%, 6/1/38 Mississippi Development Bank, (Municipal Energy), (XLCA), 5.00%, 3/1/41 Paducah, KY, Electric Plant Board, (AGC), 5.25%, 10/1/35 South Carolina Public Service Authority, (BHAC), 5.50%, 1/1/38	\$	4,905 13,895 2,735 7,215	\$ 5,580,271 13,982,677 3,001,690 8,061,825
			\$ 30,626,463
Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded 4.9% American Municipal Power-Ohio, Inc., OH, (Prairie State Energy Campus), (AGC), Prerefunded to 2/15/19, 5.75%, 2/15/39 Bossier City, LA, Utilities Revenue, (BHAC), Prerefunded to 10/1/18, 5.25%, 10/1/26 Bossier City, LA, Utilities Revenue, (BHAC), Prerefunded to 10/1/18, 5.25%, 10/1/27 Bossier City, LA, Utilities Revenue, (BHAC), Prerefunded to 10/1/18, 5.50%, 10/1/38	\$	5,000 3,185 1,985 3,170	\$ 5,799,350 3,599,082 2,243,070 3,605,558

Principal

Amount

Security	(000	s omitted)	Value
Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded (continued)			
Highlands County, FL, Health Facilities Authority, (Adventist Health System), (NPFG), Prerefunded to			
11/16/15, 5.00%, 11/15/35	\$	3,795	\$ 3,818,681
Kane, Cook and DuPage Counties, IL, School District No. 46, (AMBAC), Escrowed to Maturity, 0.00%, 1/1/22		13,145	11,784,492
New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (School Facilities Construction), (AGC), Prerefunded to			
12/15/18, 5.50%, 12/15/34		1,875	2,149,294
South Carolina Public Service Authority, (BHAC), Prerefunded to			
1/1/19, 5.50%, 1/1/38		625	717,925
Texas Transportation Commission, (Central Texas Turnpike System), (AMBAC), Escrowed to Maturity, 0.00%, 8/15/20		5,570	5,206,446
Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, (MultiCare Health System), (AGC), Prerefunded to 8/15/19, 6.00%, 8/15/39		5,795	6,863,250
			\$ 45,787,148
Insured General Obligations 9.0%			
Chicago Park District, IL, (Limited Tax Park), (BAM), 5.00%, 1/1/39	\$		\$ 36,759
Chicago Park District, IL, (Limited Tax Park), (BAM), 5.00%, 1/1/39(1)		13,600	14,283,536
Cincinnati, OH, City School District, (AGM), (FGIC), 5.25%, 12/1/30		3,750	4,772,063
Clark County, NV, (AMBAC), 2.50%, 11/1/36		11,845	9,715,387
Frisco, TX, Independent School District, (AGM), (PSF Guaranteed), 2.75%, 8/15/39 Kane, Cook and DuPage Counties, IL, School District No. 46, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 1/1/22		9,530 16,605	8,923,987 13,788,294
King County, WA, Public Hospital District No. 1, (AGC), 5.00%, 12/1/37 ⁽¹⁾		7,000	7,557,480
Palm Springs, CA, Unified School District, (AGC), 5.00%, 8/1/32		8,955	10,016,526
Port Arthur, TX, Independent School District, (AGC), 4.75%, 2/15/38 ⁽¹⁾		10,950	11,740,918
Yuma and La Paz Counties, AZ, Community College District, (Arizona Western College), (NPFG), 3.75%, 7/1/31		4,275	4,295,050
			\$ 85,130,000
Insured Hospital 12.4%			
Arizona Health Facilities Authority, (Banner Health), (BHAC), 5.375%, 1/1/32	\$	8,250	\$ 8,803,575
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Sutter Health), (AGM), 5.05%, 8/15/38 ⁽¹⁾		11,000	11,716,430
Colorado Health Facilities Authority, (Catholic Health), (AGM), 5.10%, 10/1/41 ⁽¹⁾		11,500	12,139,055

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2015

Portfolio of Investments continued

		Principal		
		Amount		
Security	(000	s omitted)		Value
Insured Hospital (continued) Illinois Finance Authority, (Children's Memorial Hospital), (AGC), 5.25%, 8/15/4f) Indiana Health and Educational Facility Finance Authority, (Sisters of St. Francis Health Services), (AGM), 5.25%, 5/15/41(1) Iowa Finance Authority, Health Facilities, (Iowa Health System), (AGC), 5.625%, 8/15/37 Maricopa County, AZ, Industrial Development Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), (BHAC), 5.25%, 7/1/32 Maryland Health and Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (LifeBridge Health), (AGC), 4.75%, 7/1/47(1) New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Meridian Health System), Series V, (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/38 New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Weridian Health System), Series V, (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/38(1) New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Virtua Health), (AGC), 5.50%, 7/1/38 Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, (Providence Health Care), Series C, (AGM), 5.25%, 10/1/33(1) Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, (Providence Health Care), Series D, (AGM), 5.25%, 10/1/33(1)	\$	15,000 2,500 2,625 1,675 19,150 40 3,000 13,115 8,700 12,605	\$	16,098,900 2,653,650 2,961,735 1,780,073 19,639,282 42,390 3,179,280 14,500,600 9,586,527 13,902,673
			\$	117,004,170
Insured Industrial Development Revenue 1.1% Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, (Aqua Pennsylvania, Inc.), (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/39(1)	\$,	\$ \$	10,079,730 10,079,730
Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 4.4% New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (School Facilities Construction), (AGC), 5.50%, 12/15/34 San Diego County, CA, Water Authority, Certificates of Participation, (AGM), 5.00%, 5/1/38(1) Tri-Creek Middle School Building Corp., IN, (AGM), 5.25%, 1/15/34(1)	\$	24,000 13,000	\$ \$	1,139,390 26,178,480 14,052,870 41,370,740
Insured Other Revenue 1.6% Harris County-Houston Sports Authority, TX, (AGM), (NPFG), 0.00%, 11/15/34 New York, NY, Industrial Development Agency, (Yankee Stadium), (AGC), 7.00%, 3/1/49	\$	6,750	\$ \$	7,078,253 7,939,282 15,017,535
Security		Principal		Value
		Amount		

	(000 s omitted)		
Insured Solid Waste 0.5% Palm Beach County, FL, Solid Waste Authority, (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/24 Palm Beach County, FL, Solid Waste Authority, (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/26	\$	2,760 1,575	\$ 3,148,001 1,783,325
			\$ 4,931,326
Insured Special Tax Revenue 6.5%			
Alabama Public School and College Authority, (AGM), 2.50%, 12/1/27	\$	15,975	\$ 15,536,966
Houston, TX, Hotel Occupancy Tax, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 9/1/24		18,035	13,178,535
Miami-Dade County, FL, Professional Sports Franchise Facilities, (AGC), 7.00%, (0.00% until 10/1/19), 10/1/39		15,000	15,999,600
Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45		28,945	4,476,344
Utah Transportation Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, (AGM), Prerefunded to 6/15/18, 4.75%, 6/15/32 ⁽¹⁾		10,800	11,950,413
			\$ 61,141,858
Insured Student Loan 0.7%			
Maine Educational Loan Authority, (AGC), 5.625%, 12/1/27	\$	5,595	\$ 6,161,438
			\$ 6,161,438
			Ψ 0,101,100
Insured Transportation 19.3%			
Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 4.75%, 1/1/34)	\$	21,640	\$ 22,943,808
Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 5.00%, 1/1/28		2,500	2,837,575
Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 5.00%, 1/1/29 Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 5.125%, 1/1/30		1,000 1,800	1,125,980 2,031,930
Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 5.125%, 1/1/31		1,570	1,767,946
Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 5.25%, 1/1/32		1,015	1,147,275
Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 5.25%, 1/1/33		1,150	1,299,074
Clark County, NV, (Las Vegas-McCarran International Airport), (AGM), 5.25%, 7/1/39		8,080	9,057,599
E-470 Public Highway Authority, CO, (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/21		10,200	8,939,076
E-470 Public Highway Authority, CO, (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/39 Harris County, TX, Toll Road, Senior Lien, (BHAC), (NPFG), 5.00%, 8/15/33 ⁽¹⁾		25,000 7,800	8,214,500 8,325,876
Manchester, NH, (Manchester-Boston Regional Airport), (AGM), 5.125%, 1/1/30		6,710	7,123,806
Maryland Transportation Authority, (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/35 ⁽¹⁾		20,995	22,906,588

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2015

Portfolio of Investments continued

		Principal		
		Amount		
Security	(000	s omitted)		Value
Insured Transportation (continued) Maryland Transportation Authority, (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/36 ⁽¹⁾ Metropolitan Washington, D.C., Airports Authority, (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/29 New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, (AGC), 5.50%, 12/15/38 North Carolina Turnpike Authority, (Triangle Expressway System), (AGC), 5.50%, 1/1/29 North Carolina Turnpike Authority, (Triangle Expressway System), (AGC), 5.75%, 1/1/39 North Texas Tollway Authority, (BHAC), 5.75%, 1/1/48 ⁽¹⁾ Port Palm Beach District, FL, (XLCA), 0.00%, 9/1/24 Port Palm Beach District, FL, (XLCA), 0.00%, 9/1/25 Port Palm Beach District, FL, (XLCA), 0.00%, 9/1/26 San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency, CA, (Toll Road Bonds), (NPFG), 0.00%, 1/15/25 Texas Transportation Commission, (Central Texas Turnpike System), (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/15/20	\$	14,000 S 1,785 11,700 1,015 1,160 20,000 1,605 1,950 1,000 26,215 10,275	2	5,274,700 2,012,373 2,781,197 1,124,610 1,288,934 1,866,000 1,021,839 1,174,173 569,070 3,020,453 9,383,952
		3	5 182	2,238,334
Insured Water and Sewer 14.7% Chicago, IL, Wastewater Transmission Revenue, (BHAC), 5.50%, 1/1/38 Chicago, IL, Wastewater Transmission Revenue, (NPFG), 0.00%, 1/1/23 DeKalb County, GA, Water and Sewer, (AGM), 5.25%, 10/1/32 ⁽¹⁾ Detroit, MI, Water Supply System, (NPFG), 5.00%, 7/1/34 District of Columbia Water and Sewer Authority, (AGC), 5.00%, 10/1/34 ⁽¹⁾ Houston, TX, Utility System, (AGM), (BHAC), 5.00%, 11/15/33(1) Massachusetts Water Resources Authority, (AGM), 5.25%, 8/1/32 Massachusetts Water Resources Authority, (AGM), 5.25%, 8/1/38 Massachusetts Water Resources Authority, (AMBAC), (BHAC), 4.00%, 8/1/40 Michigan Finance Authority, (Detroit Water and Sewerage Department), (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/32 Michigan Finance Authority, (Detroit Water and Sewerage Department), (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/35 Michigan Finance Authority, (Detroit Water and Sewerage Department), (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/35 Michigan Finance Authority, (Detroit Water and Sewerage Department), (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/37 New York, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority, (BHAC), 5.75%, 6/15/40 ⁽¹⁾	\$	2,060 S 13,670 10,000 2,505 8,500 27,570 5,540 1,070 9,095 2,615 2,240 2,730 2,240 9,500 Principal Amount	10 12 29 29	2,208,547 ,216,958 2,001,800 2,513,166 9,271,375 9,695,645 7,121,836 1,382,558 ,143,749 2,912,561 2,494,889 2,993,145 2,443,661 0,591,835
Security	(000)	s omitted)		Value
Insured Water and Sewer (continued) San Luis Obispo County, CA, (Nacimiento Water Project), (NPFG), 4.50%, 9/1/40 Seattle, WA, Drain and Wastewater Revenue, (AGM), 5.00%, 6/1/38 ⁽¹⁾	\$	3,535 27,670		3,708,745 0,092,507

\$ 138,792,977

Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 3.5% Hudson Yards Infrastructure Corp., NY, 5.75%, 2/15/47 North Carolina, Limited Obligation Bonds, 5.00%, 5/1/26 North Carolina, Limited Obligation Bonds, 5.00%, 5/1/26(1) North Carolina, Limited Obligation Bonds, 5.00%, 5/1/30(1)	\$ 1,980 10 16,000 10,000	\$ 2,259,240 12,107 19,371,680 11,583,800
		\$ 33,226,827
Other Revenue 2.6% New York, NY, Transitional Finance Authority, Building Aid Revenue, 5.00%, 7/15/36 ⁽¹⁾ Oregon Department of Administrative Services, Lottery Revenue, 5.25%, 4/1/30 Texas Municipal Gas Acquisition and Supply Corp. III, Gas Supply Revenue, 5.00%, 12/15/30	\$ 10,750 9,200 1,700	\$ 12,203,938 10,615,880 1,855,465
		\$ 24,675,283
Senior Living / Life Care 0.1%		
Maryland Health and Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (Charlestown Community, Inc.), 6.125%, 1/1/30	\$ 1,175	\$ 1,309,514
		\$ 1,309,514
Special Tax Revenue 11.6%		
Central Puget Sound Regional Transit Authority, WA, Sales and Use Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 11/1/30 Central Puget Sound Regional Transit Authority, WA, Sales and Use Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 11/1/30(1) Connecticut, Special Tax Obligation, (Transportation Infrastructure), 5.00%, 1/1/31(1) Dallas Area Rapid Transit, TX, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 12/1/35 Dallas Area Rapid Transit, TX, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 12/1/36 New York Convention Center Development Corp., Hotel Occupancy Tax, 5.00%, 11/15/45(1) New York Dormitory Authority, Personal Income Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 6/15/31 New York Dormitory Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 3/15/34 New York Dormitory Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 3/15/35	\$ 20 12,575 20,000 2,895 1,535 13,000 10,000 3,285 12,040	\$ 24,018 15,101,192 22,826,800 3,348,502 1,770,131 14,520,610 11,607,100 3,769,570 13,789,894

10

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2015

Portfolio of Investments continued

	Principal Amount	
Security	(000 s omitted)	Value
Special Tax Revenue (continued) New York, NY, Transitional Finance Authority, Future Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 2/1/37 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 20,000	\$ 22,581,000
		\$ 109,338,817
Transportation 11.1% Dallas and Fort Worth, TX, (Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport), 5.25%, 11/1/30 Dallas and Fort Worth, TX, (Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport), 5.25%, 11/1/31 Delaware River Port Authority of Pennsylvania and New Jersey, 5.00%, 1/1/35 Los Angeles, CA, Department of Airports, (Los Angeles International Airport), 5.25%, 5/15/28 Metropolitan Transportation Authority, NY, 5.25%, 11/15/32 Metropolitan Transportation Authority, NY, 5.25%, 11/15/38 Metropolitan Transportation Authority, NY, 5.25%, 11/15/40 Miami-Dade County, FL, (Miami International Airport), 5.00%, 10/1/41 Miami-Dade County, FL, Aviation Revenue, 5.00%, 10/1/37 New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, (Transportation System), 5.00%, 12/15/24 Orlando-Orange County Expressway Authority, FL, 5.00%, 7/1/35 Orlando-Orange County Expressway Authority, FL, 5.00%, 7/1/40 Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, 6.00%, (0.00% until 12/1/15), 12/1/34 Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, 5.00%, 12/1/34(1) Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, 5.00%, 7/15/39 Triborough Bridge and Tunnel Authority, NY, 5.00%, 11/15/33	\$ 3,205 4,950 8,275 3,285 4,380 4,640 4,735 10,825 4,615 10,000 2,915 2,590 5,000 14,360 5,000 5,000	\$ 3,739,306 5,759,721 9,214,047 3,835,106 5,116,628 5,369,501 5,316,837 11,651,705 5,168,339 10,631,800 3,269,464 2,900,696 5,488,750 16,595,421 5,683,950 5,436,850 \$ 105,178,121
Water and Sewer 7.9% California Department of Water Resources, (Central Valley Project), 5.25%, 12/1/35 ⁽¹⁾ Charleston, SC, Waterworks and Sewer Revenue, 5.00%, 1/1/35 Chicago, IL, Water Revenue, 5.00%, 11/1/42 Detroit, MI, Sewage Disposal System, 5.00%, 7/1/32 Detroit, MI, Sewage Disposal System, 5.25%, 7/1/39 Detroit, MI, Water Supply System, 5.25%, 7/1/41 Honolulu, HI, City and County Wastewater System, 5.25%, 7/1/36 ⁽¹⁾ King County, WA, Sewer Revenue, 5.00%, 1/1/34 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 10,000 2,735 5,000 1,070 1,965 2,910 9,750 10,000 Principal	\$ 11,785,100 3,090,659 5,159,250 1,140,534 2,121,041 3,095,105 11,032,807 11,324,700
Security	(000 s omitted)	Value

Water and Sewer (continued)

Marco Island, FL, Utility System, 5.00%, 10/1/34	\$ 1,445	\$ 1,636,217
Marco Island, FL, Utility System, 5.00%, 10/1/40	6,325	7,168,439
New York, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority, 5.00%, 6/15/31	10,000	11,504,800
Portland, OR, Water System, 5.00%, 5/1/36	5,385	6,023,123
Portland, OR, Water System, 5.00%, 5/1/36	5,385	6,023,123

\$ 75,081,775

Total Tax-Exempt Investments 161.8%

(identified cost \$1,397,084,545) \$ 1,529,651,981

Other Assets, Less Liabilities (61.8)% \$ (584,172,680)

Net Assets 100.0% \$ 945,479,301

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets.

AGC Assured Guaranty Corp.

AGM Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.

AMBAC AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

BAM Build America Mutual Assurance Co.

BHAC Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.

FGIC Financial Guaranty Insurance Company

NPFG National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

PSF Permanent School Fund XLCA XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

At September 30, 2015, the concentration of the Fund s investments in the various states and territories, determined as a percentage of total investments, is as follows:

New York	12.3%
Texas	11.2%
Others, representing less than 10% individually	76.5%

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at September 30, 2015, 50.1% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution or financial guaranty assurance agency ranged from 0.3% to 21.1% of total investments.

11

See Notes to Financial Statements.

⁽¹⁾ Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).

California Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2015

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 161.2%

Principal

Amount

Security (000 s omitted) Value

Education 15.4%

California Educational Facilities Authority, (California Institute of Technology), 5.00%, 11/1/39⁽¹⁾ California Educational Facilities Authority, (Claremont McKenna College), 5.00%, 1/1/27 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvey Mudd College), 5.25%, 12/1/31