IMMUNOMEDICS INC Form 10-K August 19, 2015

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Ma	rk one)
X	ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE AC OF 1934
	For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015.
	or
	TD A NOITION DEDOUT DUDGIJANT TO CECTION 12 OD 15(4) OF THE CECUDITIES EVOLIANCI
	TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
	For the transition period from to
	Commission file number: 0-12104

IMMUNOMEDICS, INC.

 $(Exact\ name\ of\ registrant\ as\ specified\ in\ its\ charter)$

Delaware (State of incorporation)

61-1009366 (I.R.S. Employer

Identification No.)

300 The American Road, Morris Plains, New Jersey (Address of principal executive offices)

07950 (Zip Code)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (973) 605-8200

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class Common Stock, \$0.01 par value

Name of each exchange on which registered par value

NASDAQ Stock Market LLC
Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes "No x

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes "No x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirement for the past 90 days. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§299.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large Accelerated Filer " Accelerated Filer x

Non-Accelerated Filer "Smaller Reporting Company Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes "No x

The aggregate market value of the registrant s common stock held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the common stock was last sold as of December 31, 2014 was \$447,000,000. The number of shares of the registrant s common stock outstanding as of August 18, 2015 was 94,625,907.

Documents Incorporated by Reference:

Certain information required in Part III of this Annual Report on Form 10-K will be set forth in, and incorporated from the registrant s Proxy Statement for the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which will be filed by the registrant with the Securities and Exchange Commission not later than 120 days after the end of the registrant s fiscal year ended June 30, 2015.

In this Form 10-K, we use the words Immunomedics, Inc., to refer to Immunomedics, Inc., a Delaware corporation, and we use the words Company, Immunomedics, Inc., we, us and our to refer to Immunomedics, Inc. and its subsidiaries.

PART I

Item 1. Business Overview

Immunomedics is a clinical-stage biopharmaceutical company developing monoclonal antibody-based products for the targeted treatment of cancer, autoimmune disorders and other serious diseases. Our advanced proprietary technologies allow us to create humanized antibodies that can be used either alone in unlabeled or naked form, or conjugated with radioactive isotopes, chemotherapeutics, cytokines or toxins. Using these technologies, we have built a pipeline of nine clinical-stage product candidates.

Our most advanced product candidate, to which we retain worldwide rights for all indications, is ⁹⁰Y-clivatuzumab tetraxetan. The radiolabeled antibody is in a Phase 3 registration trial in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer. We expect patient enrollment to be completed in calendar year 2016. Our portfolio of investigational products also includes antibody-drug conjugates (ADCs) that are designed to deliver a specific payload of a chemotherapeutic directly to the tumor while reducing overall toxic effects that are usually found with conventional administration of these chemotherapy agents. Our most advanced ADCs are sacituzumab govitecan (IMMU-132) and labetuzumab govitecan (IMMU-130), which are in Phase 2 trials for a number of solid tumors and metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC), respectively. We recently presented updated data for both programs at the 2015 Annual Meeting of the American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO). These two ADCs facilitate targeted delivery of SN-38, the active metabolite of irinotecan, an effective, yet toxic chemotherapeutic, directly to tumor cells. While sacituzumab govitecan and labetuzumab govitecan are circulating in the blood stream, our novel and proprietary ADC linking system keeps SN-38 conjugated to the antibody and in an inactive form, thereby reducing toxicity to normal tissues. The clinical safety and efficacy results obtained with sacituzumab govitecan and labetuzumab govitecan suggest that this half-life is long enough for the ADCs to reach their targets on the surface of tumor cells, without causing significant harm to the rest of the body. More importantly, the pH-sensitive nature of the linker allows the continuous release of SN-38 from the tumor-bound ADCs, regardless of whether the ADC is internalized or remains on the surface of the tumor cell and without the requirement of an enzyme, leading to a locally enhanced concentration of SN-38 within or near the tumor. We believe this selective delivery enhances SN-38 s bioavailability at the tumor, which may

We have licensed epratuzumab to UCB, S.A., (UCB) for the treatment of all autoimmune disease indications worldwide. On July 28, 2015, UCB announced that the two Phase 3 EMBODY clinical trials for epratuzumab in systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) did not meet the primary clinical efficacy endpoints in either dose in both studies. Treatment response in patients who received epratuzumab in addition to standard therapy was not statistically significant when compared to those who received placebo in addition to standard therapy. UCB is in the process of analyzing the full set of data from both studies. A high level review of the safety data did not identify any new safety concerns. In oncology, we have a research collaboration with Bayer to study epratuzumab as a thorium-227 labeled antibody. We have other ongoing collaborations in oncology with independent study groups. The IntreALL Inter-European study group is conducting a large, randomized, Phase 3 trial combining epratuzumab with chemotherapy in children with relapsed acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) at clinical sites in Australia, Europe, and Israel.

We also have a number of other product candidates that target solid tumors and hematologic malignancies, as well as other diseases, in various stages of clinical and pre-clinical development. These include bispecific antibodies targeting cancers as T-cell redirecting immunotherapies, as well as bispecific antibodies for next-generation cancer and autoimmune disease therapies, created using our patented DOCK-AND-LOCK® (DN®) protein conjugation technology. The following discussion is a brief summary of our principal research and development programs as of July 31, 2015.

Broad Pipeline of Late-Stage Antibody-Based Therapies

* On July 28, 2015 UCB announced that the two Phase 3 trials for epratuzumab in SLE did not meet the primary clinical efficacy endpoints in either dose in both studies.

Upcoming Milestones

Our foremost clinical goals for fiscal year 2016 are the following:

- 1. Continue activities related to Phase 3 regulatory filings and large-scale manufacture to support a registration trial with sacituzumab govitecan in patients with metastatic triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC);
- 2. Complete Phase 2 expansion trial with sacituzumab govitecan in patients with certain solid tumor types;
- 3. Continue follow-up of patients enrolled into the Phase 2 clinical trial with labetuzumab govitecan in patients with mCRC;
- 4. Continue patient enrollment into the Phase 3 PANCRIT-1 trial with ⁹⁰Y-clivatuzumab tetraxetan in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer;
- 5. Continue enrolling patients into the Phase 1 study with IMMU-114, a humanized anti-HLA-DR antibody, as a monotherapy for non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL) and chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL);
- 6. Continue enrolling patients into the Phase 1b study with subcutaneously-administered milatuzumab in SLE (funded by the United States Department of Defense).

Our Clinical Programs

We believe that each of our antibodies has therapeutic potential either when administered as a naked antibody or when conjugated with therapeutic radioisotopes (radiolabeled), chemotherapeutics, cytokines or other toxins to create unique and potentially more effective treatment options. The attachment of various

compounds to antibodies is intended to allow the delivery of these therapeutic agents to tumor sites with better specificity than conventional radiation therapy or chemotherapy approaches. This treatment method is designed to reduce the total exposure of the patient to the therapeutic agents, which ideally minimizes debilitating side effects. We are currently focusing our efforts on unlabeled, or naked antibodies and antibodies conjugated with drugs, cytokines, or toxins, and on the use of radioisotopes, such as such as yttrium-90 (90 Y).

Yttrium-90-Labeled Clivatuzumab Tetraxetan

⁹⁰Y-clivatuzumab tetraxetan is our therapeutic product candidate for patients with pancreatic cancer. Clivatuzumab tetraxetan is the conjugation of hPAM4, an antibody that targets a mucin antigen found on pancreatic cancer cells, with a linker that can be easily radiolabeled with ⁹⁰Y and other radioisotopes. This product candidate utilizes radioimmunotherapy, which combines the targeting power of monoclonal antibodies with the cell-damaging ability of localized radiation. When infused into a patient, these radiation-carrying antibodies circulate in the body until they locate and bind to the surface of specific cancer cells and then deliver their cytotoxic radiation directly to the cells. Due to its selectivity, we believe that radioimmunotherapy may have fewer side effects than chemotherapy or conventional radiation therapy.

We have begun patient enrollment into our Phase 3 registration study (PANcreatic Cancer RadioImmunotherapy Trial-1: PANCRIT-1) in patients with metastatic pancreatic cancer who have received at least two prior therapies, one of which must have been a gemcitabine-containing regimen. The study is evaluating the safety and overall survival of clivatuzumab tetraxetan labeled with ⁹⁰Y plus low-dose gemcitabine and best supportive care compared to placebo plus low-dose gemcitabine and best supportive care. This study is being conducted in the United States and Western Europe. We expect patient enrollment to be completed in calendar year 2016.

Antibody-Drug Conjugates (ADCs)

The targeted delivery of drug by an antibody is an exciting approach in cancer treatment that has gained significant interest over the past few years. We believe our ADC programs differ from those of other companies, because we do not use supertoxic drugs, such as calicheamicin. Instead, we specifically look for moderately-toxic drugs, such as SN-38. We believe the use of a less-toxic drug, conjugated to the appropriate tumor-targeting antibody, will permit greater delivery of the drug over repeated cycles of therapy, thereby improving the therapeutic index, which is the ratio of efficacy to toxicity.

We have two product candidates from our proprietary ADC program that are in clinical development, focusing on the treatment of patients with metastatic solid tumors. The first ADC program, sacituzumab govitecan, is an anti-TROP-2-SN-38 ADC currently being evaluated in patients with a variety of solid tumors. Labetuzumab govitecan is an anti-CEACAM5-SN-38 ADC currently in development for the treatment of mCRC.

Sacituzumab govitecan or IMMU-132

Sacituzumab govitecan is an ADC that contains SN-38, the active metabolite of irinotecan, approved by many Health Authorities, including the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA), as a chemotherapeutic for patients with cancer. SN-38 cannot be given directly to patients because of its toxicity and poor solubility. Sacituzumab govitecan was created at Immunomedics by conjugating SN-38 site-specifically and at a high ratio of drug to hRS7, our anti-TROP-2 antibody. TROP-2 is a cell-surface receptor that while over-expressed by many human tumors, including cancers of the breast, colon and lung, has limited expression in normal human tissues. hRS7 internalizes into cancer cells following binding to TROP-2, making it a suitable candidate for the delivery of cytotoxic drugs.

Sacituzumab govitecan has received Fast Track designation from FDA for the treatment of patients with TNBC who have failed prior therapies for metastatic disease and for patients with small-cell lung cancer (SCLC), or non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC). Fast Track designation is designed to expedite the

development and review of applications for products intended for the treatment of a serious or life-threatening disease or condition. This ADC has also been designated an orphan drug by FDA for the treatment of patients with SCLC or pancreatic cancer in the U.S. and by the European Medicines Agency (EMA) for the treatment of patients with pancreatic cancer in the European Union.

At the 2015 Annual Meeting of ASCO, updated Phase 2 results with sacituzumab govitecan in patients with metastatic solid cancers were presented. Sacituzumab govitecan was administered to patients once a week for 2 weeks in 21-day cycles. Responses were assessed by computed tomography (CT), in accordance with the rules set by the Response Evaluation Criteria In Solid Tumors (RECIST 1.1).

In TNBC, among the 49 patients evaluated for a response, 31%, or 15 patients, showed a reduction in tumor size of 30% or more. They included 2 patients with complete response. Adding the 22 patients with responses between less than 30% tumor shrinkage and less than 20% tumor increase, the disease control rate was 76%. The ADC also produced significant duration of response in these responding patients. Measured as the time it takes from the beginning of sacituzumab govitecan treatments to when the cancer progresses, the interim median progression-free survival (PFS) for the 48 patients who received the optimal doses of 8 or 10 mg/kg was 6.0 months. Importantly, 63% of patients (22 of 35) had a time-to-progression longer than their last therapy, notwithstanding disease progression has not yet happened in 56% of patients at the time of analysis. The median prior therapies for this group of patients was 4 (range, 1-11).

Sacituzumab govitecan also produced promising anti-tumor activity in patients with metastatic lung cancer. In NSCLC, treatment with sacituzumab govitecan produced tumor shrinkage of 30% or more in 6 of 19 patients, or 32%. The disease control rate was 74%. An interim analysis of the length of time patients were living without their cancer progressing showed a median of 5.4 months, with 44% of patients still enjoying this survival benefit. For SCLC, despite the aggressive nature of the disease, 30% of 20 patients with advanced SCLC responded to sacituzumab govitecan treatments with 30% or more tumor reduction. The disease control rate in this group of lung cancer patients was 55%. With 70% of patients having had their disease progressed, the interim median PFS was 2.4 months. For the 25 patients who responded to sacituzumab govitecan, all 11 SCLC patients and 12 of 14 NSCLC patients, or 86%, had a time to progression that was longer than their last therapy. These patients had previously failed a median of 2.5 (range, 1-7) and 3 (range, 1-8) cancer treatments, respectively.

Also reported at ASCO were results in patients with heavily-pretreated, metastatic gastrointestinal cancers. Even in this late-stage setting, the overall disease control rate for all 60 assessable patients was 57%, including 2 esophageal cancer patients and 1 colorectal cancer patient with a partial response. Best responses from assessable patients who received 8 or 10 mg/kg doses of sacituzumab govitecan are summarized below:

	Colorectal	Esophageal	Gastric	Pancreatic*
Number of Patients Enrolled (Assessed)	29 (26)	16 (16)	5 (3)	15 (15)
Partial Response	1 (4%)	2 (13%)	0	0
Stable Disease	14 (54%)	7 (44%)	3 (100%)	7 (47%)

^{*} Included 3 patients who received doses of 12 mg/kg.

While patient follow-up is continuing, interim analysis of survival data revealed a favorable outcome in both PFS and overall survival (OS).

	Colorectal	Esophageal*	Pancreatic
Number of Patients	29	17	15
Median No. Prior Treatments (Range)	4 (1-8)	3 (1-6)	2 (1-5)
Interim Median PFS in months (Maturity)	3.9 (100%)	4.1 (65%)	1.9 (100%)
Median OS in months (Maturity)	18.0 (38%)	10.8 (29%)	4.2 (87%)

^{*} Included 1 patient who received starting dose of 18 mg/kg and 15 doses at 13.5 mg/kg. This patient had stable disease for 6.9 months.

Sacituzumab govitecan has a tolerable safety profile in these patients with diverse, advanced, heavily-pretreated solid cancers. Grades 3 and 4 adverse events at the doses of 8 and 10 mg/kg include dose-limiting neutropenia (24%), followed by diarrhea (4%), and febrile neutropenia (4%).

Certain patents relating to the protein sequence of the hRS7 antibody used in sacituzumab govitecan have a 2017 expiration in the U.S. and 2023 overseas. Other patents relating to use of hRS7 for cancer therapy, including the SN-38 conjugated form of hRS7 used in sacituzumab govitecan, extend to 2033.

Labetuzumab Govitecan or IMMU-130

Our second investigational solid-tumor ADC involves our anti-CEACAN5 antibody, labetuzumab, conjugated to SN-38. The agent is currently being studied in patients with mCRC who had received at least one prior irinotecan-containing regimen and had an elevated blood titer of carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA). Several dosing schedules were evaluated in three Phase 1 studies. Labetuzumab govitecan showed therapeutic activity in all three trials, but a more frequent dosing schedule, with administrations of the ADC once or twice-weekly for two weeks followed by a week off, appeared to be more active in patients with mCRC than when administered every other week.

In the expanded Phase 2 study, patients were being treated in 3-week cycles, receiving labetuzumab govitecan either once or twice weekly for the first two weeks followed by one week of rest. Interim results were reported at the 2015 ASCO Annual Meeting. For the 33 patients who received the ADC once a week at the 8 or 10 mg/kg dose levels, the interim median PFS was 4.4 months, with 22% of these patients still benefiting from their cancer not progressing. In terms of treatment response, in 32 patients with at least one evaluation following treatments with labetuzumab govitecan at the 8 or 10 mg/kg level, 1 patient had a partial response and 24 patients reported stable disease as their best response, to give a combined disease control rate of 78%. Treatment response was evaluated based on RECIST 1.1 criteria using CT as the imaging tool for tumor size measurements.

In addition to the 8 and 10 mg/kg once-weekly dose, other dosing levels and schedule evaluated included 4, 6, 9, and 12 mg/kg, each at a frequency of twice a week. Despite repeated dosing, no antibody against labetuzumab or its SN-38 conjugate was detected in blood samples from 74 patients over a 16-month period. Labetuzumab govitecan was well tolerated by patients. At the optimal once-a-week doses of 8 and 10 mg/kg, grades 3 and 4 adverse events with occurrence of 5% or more included neutropenia (5% for both dose levels), and mild diarrhea (5% in the 8 mg/kg group only).

Certain patents relating to labetuzumab used in labetuzumab govitecan expire in 2014-2016. Other patents relating to use of labetuzumab for cancer therapy, including the SN-38 conjugated form of labetuzumab used in labetuzumab govitecan, extend to 2033.

Epratuzumab

Epratuzumab is a humanized antibody which targets CD22, an antigen found on the surface of B lymphocytes, a type of white blood cell critical to proper immune system function. Elevated expression of CD22 and other B-cell receptor-associated (BCR) proteins on B lymphocytes has been associated with SLE, chronic autoimmune diseases and also with certain cancers. Current therapies for SLE seek to minimize CD22 expression by destroying B cells, compromising the immune system. Epratuzumab, on the other hand, transfers these BCR-proteins to helper cells called effector cells in order to reduce B-cell destruction and epratuzumab is impact on the immune system. We believe epratuzumab is the only antibody in development targeting the reduction of these proteins without severely depleting B-cells through a process known as trogocytosis.

As noted above, we have licensed epratuzumab to UCB for the treatment of all autoimmune disease indications worldwide. On July 28, 2015, UCB announced that the two Phase 3 EMBODY clinical trials for epratuzumab in SLE did not meet the primary clinical efficacy endpoints in either dose in both studies. Treatment

response in patients who received epratuzumab in addition to standard therapy was not statistically significant when compared to those who received placebo in addition to standard therapy. UCB is in the process of analyzing the full set of data from both studies. A high level review of the safety data did not identify any new safety concerns.

We have retained the rights to epratuzumab in oncology. We have a research collaboration with Bayer to study epratuzumab as a thorium-227 labeled antibody. We also have some other collaborations ongoing in oncology with independent study groups. The IntreALL Inter-European study group is conducting a large, randomized, Phase 3 trial combining epratuzumab with chemotherapy in children with relapsed ALL at clinical sites in Australia, Europe, and Israel. This Phase 3 study, which is partially funded by the European Commission, assesses the efficacy and safety of this combination therapy using event-free survival as the surrogate for survival, the primary endpoint. For adult patients with ALL, there is one ongoing clinical trial. The CheprALL study, sponsored by the French GRAALL study group, is a multicenter Phase 2 trial of epratuzumab combined with chemotherapy also in adult patients with relapsed ALL.

Although certain patents to the epratuzumab protein sequence expired in 2014 in the U.S. or will expire in 2015 overseas, other issued patents to therapeutic use of epratuzumab extend to 2018-2023 for cancer and 2020 for autoimmune disease. The method of preparing concentrated epratuzumab for subcutaneous administration is covered by another patent family with an expiration in 2032.

Early-Stage Programs

We have additional potential products for the treatment of cancer and autoimmune diseases including veltuzumab, our anti-CD20 antibody, and milatuzumab, our anti-CD74 antibody. Veltuzumab is being evaluated in a Phase 2 study in combination with 90 Y-epratuzumab tetraxetan in patients with aggressive NHL, funded by a grant from the Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR) program of the National Cancer Institute (NCI). Milatuzumab has received a Department of Defense grant for a clinical study in patients with lupus. Other programs include IMMU-114, a humanized anti-HLA-DR antibody being investigated as a monotherapy for NHL and CLL.

We also collaborate with the University Hospital in Nantes, France, which is conducting a multicenter study testing our bispecific pretargeting technology, co-developed with our majority-owned subsidiary, IBC Pharmaceuticals, Inc., as a potentially improved method of detecting and locating sites of metastatic breast cancer. Interim results were presented at the 2015 ASCO meeting. The bispecific antibody targets CEACAM5 expressed on breast cancer cells, and the peptide delivered in a second step carries the positron-emitting radionuclide, gallium-68. This project is funded by a grant to the French study group.

Veltuzumab

Veltuzumab is a humanized monoclonal antibody targeting CD20 receptors on B lymphocytes currently under development for the treatment of NHL and autoimmune diseases. In autoimmune diseases, we are studying the subcutaneous formulation of veltuzumab in patients with immune thrombocytopenia (ITP), in a Phase 1/2 trial. This trial, designed to evaluate different dosing schedules, has completed patient accrual and patients are being followed for up to 5 years. On July 28, 2015 the Office of Orphan Products Development of FDA granted orphan status for the use of veltuzumab for the treatment of ITP.

In oncology, a NCI-funded Phase 1/2 study in patients with aggressive NHL in combination with ⁹⁰Y-epratuzumab tetraxetan has completed patient enrollment, with patient follow-up continuing.

We are currently evaluating various options for further clinical development of veltuzumab in ITP and other autoimmune disease indications, including pemphigus, as well as in oncology, including licensing arrangements and collaborations with outside study groups.

Milatuzumab

Milatuzumab is a humanized monoclonal antibody targeting tumors that express the CD74 antigen, which is present on a variety of hematological tumors and even on some solid cancers, with restricted expression by normal tissues. It has received orphan drug designation from the FDA for the treatment of patients with multiple myeloma or CLL. Milatuzumab is the first anti-CD74 antibody that has entered into human testing and we have completed initial Phase 1 studies in patients with relapsed multiple myeloma, NHL or CLL.

The anti-CD74 antibody is also being studied subcutaneously in a Phase 1b study in patients with active SLE. The SLE study is supported by a three-year research grant from the Department of Defense with a potential funding of \$1.6 million.

Our interest in pursuing milatuzumab in immune diseases is driven by the observations that implicated CD74 in antigen presentation particularly by dendritic and other immune cells and as a survival factor for rapidly proliferating malignant cells. Recent findings have determined that CD74 is a receptor for the pro-inflammatory chemokine, macrophage migration-inhibitory factor, and that binding of the factor to CD74 initiates a signaling cascade resulting in proliferation and survival of normal and malignant B cells, such as in CLL. Migration-inhibitory factor is widely expressed by immune cells, particularly macrophages, and is known to play a role in autoimmune disease. Thus, we believe that milatuzumab, by blocking the function of CD74, could be useful in the management of immune diseases either alone or in combination with other agents including other B-cell antibodies, such as epratuzumab and veltuzumab.

Yttrium-90-Labeled Epratuzumab Tetraxetan

⁹⁰Y-epratuzumab tetraxetan is our radiolabeled anti-CD22 investigational product for patients with NHL or ALL. As noted above, the radiolabeled antibody is currently being studied in a combination with veltuzumab in a Phase 2 clinical trial for the therapy of patients with aggressive NHL, supported by an NCI-SBIR grant. In ALL, a team from the University of Nantes, Nantes, France, is sponsoring a Phase 1/2 study to evaluate the safety and efficacy of ⁹⁰Y-epratuzumab tetraxetan in patients with relapsed or refractory ALL.

IMMU-114

IMMU-114 is a novel humanized antibody directed against an immune response target, HLA-DR, under development for the treatment of patients with B-cell cancers. HLA-DR is a receptor located on the cell surface of leukocytes, whose role is to present foreign objects to the immune system for the purpose of eliciting an immune response. Increased presence of HLA-DR in hematologic cancers has made it a prime target for antibody therapy.

Although other anti-HLA-DR antibodies have been developed, IMMU-114 is distinguished by having a different immunoglobulin class, IgG4, which does not function by the usual effector-cell activities of antibodies, such as complement-dependent cytotoxicity (CDC) and antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC). As a result, IMMU-114 does not rely on an intact immune system in the patient to kill tumor cells. Furthermore, because ADCC and CDC are believed to play a major role in causing the side effects of antibody therapy, we expect IMMU-114 to be less toxic to patients.

By targeting HLA-DR, a receptor that is different from the antigen targeted by rituximab or other antibodies in development for NHL and other B-cell malignancies, IMMU-114 may represent a new tool in the arsenal to combat these cancers. The anti-HLA-DR antibody is being evaluated as a subcutaneously administered monotherapy for patients with NHL or CLL in a Phase 1 study.

The Phase 1 study enrolling patients with relapsed NHL and CLL continues to progress, with 10 patients having been enrolled to-date. In half of 8 patients assessable for response, objective responses have been observed in patients with CLL and NHL who relapsed to prior therapies, all including rituximab. In order to extend the

durability of these responses, the therapy schedule is being extended. We plan to present interim results at a medical conference toward the end of the calendar year.

Diagnostic Imaging Products

We have continued to transition our focus away from the development and commercialization of new diagnostic imaging products in order to accelerate the development of our therapeutic product candidates, although we continue to manufacture and commercialize LeukoScan® (sulesomab) in territories where regulatory approvals have previously been granted. LeukoScan® is indicated for diagnostic imaging to determine the location and extent of infection/inflammation in bone in patients with suspected osteomyelitis, including patients with diabetic foot ulcers.

Our Research Programs

In our drive to improve targeted therapies of diseases, we have assembled significant expertise in antibody engineering, particularly proprietary CDR-grafting methods, antibody production and formulation, immunochemistry, molecular biology, antibody conjugation, peptide chemistry, synthetic organic chemistry, and protein engineering.

Beginning with our unique grafting technique to produce humanized antibodies, our antibody humanization platform has produced a diverse portfolio of therapeutic agents that are in multiple stages of clinical trials for the therapy of cancer and autoimmune diseases, as detailed above. These humanized antibodies are well tolerated and also have a low incidence of immunogenicity.

With the successful humanized antibody platform as a foundation, we have built a robust ADC program using our own proprietary ADC linker technology. Linking a drug directly to a targeting agent such as an antibody is but one way of drug delivery. Together with our majority-owned subsidiary, IBC Pharmaceuticals, Inc., (IBC), we have also pioneered a novel delivery method called pretargeting, in which the therapeutic agent and the antibody are administered to the patient in two separate steps. This delivery method has been shown in preclinical studies to produce very high tumor/normal tissue ratios of uptake. More importantly, with pretargeting, we believe we can apply both imaging and therapy in the same patient, first to qualify the patient for our targeted therapy, and then to monitor the patient s response and progress. We believe strongly that pretargeting has the potential to bring us closer to personalized medicine.

Pretargeting requires the use of bispecific antibodies that recognize two targets. These antibodies are produced by our new protein engineering platform technology called DOCK-AND-LOCK® (DN°_{L}), that combines conjugation chemistry and genetic engineering. Finally, we have invented a novel and facile method of labeling peptides with fluorine-18 (^{18}F) for use in the imaging of diseases using position-emission tomography (PET), and are working toward developing a single-vial kit that can be validated for commercial use. This method also permits the use of other PET radionuclides, such as gallium-68 (Ga-68).

ADC Linker Technology

We have developed a novel ADC platform using our proprietary linker, CL2A, which was designed with targeted delivery of SN-38 in mind. SN-38 is about 3 orders of magnitude more potent than irinotecan, its parent drug, but it cannot be administered systemically to patients because of its poor solubility and toxicity. The linker, CL2A, allows us to produce SN-38 conjugates that are soluble in water with excellent yields and preserves antibody binding and drug activity.

CL2A contains an antibody coupling group on one end and a chemical group on the other for binding with a drug. We have also added a short polyethylene glycol to improve the solubility of CL2A. Furthermore, because SN-38 can be converted from its active lactone form to the inactive carboxylate form, CL2A was designed to attach close to the lactone ring to prevent it from opening up, thereby maintaining the activity of SN-38. Another

key feature of our ADC platform is that the linkage between CL2A and SN-38 is sensitive to both acidic and alkaline conditions and will allow the detachment of SN-38 at a rate of about 50% per day *in vivo*.

What differentiates our ADC platform from other companies is the high drug-to-antibody ratio of about seven to eight molecules of drug per antibody. That is to say, when our ADCs bind to their targets on cancer cells, they are delivering up to eight molecules of SN-38 per antibody molecule into the blood or at the vicinity of the tumor, which may explain why our ADCs can deliver more than 120-times the amount of SN-38 to the tumor when studied in an animal model, as compared to when irinotecan, the parent compound, is given. We can deliver this drug concentration because our drug is not supertoxic, thus permitting us to give higher antibody doses, in repeated therapy cycles, that we believe provide a better therapeutic index.

Pretargeting

Our majority-owned subsidiary, IBC has been working on the development of novel cancer therapeutics, including radioimmunotherapeutics, using patented pretargeting technologies with proprietary, bispecific antibodies. They include tumor-targeting antibodies with multiple binding-arms and new carrier peptides that allow attachment of different kinds of therapeutic and diagnostic isotopes.

One of the new bispecific antibodies is TF2. It targets CEA (specifically CEACAM5) expressed in many human cancers, including colorectal cancer. Unlike conventional antibodies which can only attach to the receptor, TF2 has been modified to contain an additional binding site that recognizes a radioisotope-carrying peptide. This allows the separate administration of TF2 before the delivery of radioisotope, a concept known as pretargeting.

TF2 is currently being studied in four investigator-sponsored clinical trials in France for pretargeted radioimmunotherapy of patients with metastatic CEA-expressing SCLC and for pretargeted immunoPET imaging of patients with breast cancer and medullary thyroid cancer.

The ultimate goal of IBC is to offer cancer patients a more personalized treatment by combining improved molecular imaging with targeted therapy. Demonstrated tumor localization in imaging studies may predict a more appropriate group of patients that would respond to the subsequent therapy.

Immuno-Oncology

Harnessing the patient s own immune system to control metastatic disease has become a recent and exciting approach in cancer therapy, particularly inhibitors of programmed cell death, such as PD-1. The approval of two such agents, as well as an antibody that inhibits T lymphocyte-associated antigen 4 (CTLA4), has spurred interest in their combination with other therapies in order to achieve a synergism, whereby superior effects are achieved. We have begun to develop our own PD-1 antibody to evaluate its use in combination with our other anticancer agents in preclinical studies, such as the ADCs described above.

Another immunotherapy of current interest is utilizing chimeric antigen receptors (CARs) to direct T cells or natural killer cells. The engineering of chimeric antigen receptors on the surface of such cells combines the potent functions of the effector cells with the tumor-targeting properties of the antibodies. To-date, clinical results using CAR-redirected immunotherapy have appeared to be more successful in liquid (hematological) tumors than in solid cancers. Using our own genetic engineering technology, our scientists have begun work on a more universal approach to direct effector cells to a variety of cancer types by a next-generation targeting model. Preclinical studies are in progress while patents to protect the intellectual property are being prosecuted.

Finally, as described below under DOCK-AND-LOCK® platform technology, we are developing an investigational T-cell redirected bispecific antibody that takes advantage of our TROP-2 antibody targeting, and has shown biological activity in our preclinical animal studies. We have now begun work to develop the constructs needed for translation into candidates for human clinical trials.

DOCK-AND-LOCK® Platform Technology

Together with IBC, we have developed a platform technology, called the DOCK-AND-LOCK® method, which has the potential for making a considerable number of bioactive molecules of increasing complexity. DNL® utilizes the natural interaction between two human proteins, cyclic AMP-dependent protein kinase (PKA) and A-kinase anchoring proteins (AKAPs). The region that is involved in such interaction for PKA is called the dimerization and docking domain, (DDD), which always is produced in pairs. Its binding partner in AKAPs is the anchoring domain (AD). When mixed together, DDD and AD will bind with each other spontaneously to form a binary complex, a process termed docking. Once docked, certain amino acid residues incorporated into DDD and AD will react with each other to lock them into a stably-tethered structure. The outcome of the DNL® method is the exclusive generation of a stable complex, in a quantitative manner that retains the full biological activities of its individual components.

DNL® combines conjugation chemistry and genetic engineering to enable the creation of novel human therapeutics, and the potential construction of improved recombinant products over those currently on the market. Diverse drugs, chemical polymers, proteins, peptides, and nucleic acids are among suitable components that can be linked to either DDD or AD. Since the invention of DNL®, we have created multivalent, mono- or multi-specific antibodies; DNL-PEGylated cytokines; and cytokine-antibody conjugates.

An immunocytokine, named 20-2b, comprising veltuzumab and four copies of interferon-alpha (IFNa) was developed using DNI20-2b potently kills NHL cells in vitro and has exhibited in-vivo activity in human NHL xenograft animal models. This novel immunocytokine is being developed as a biologic therapeutic agent for NHL with funding of a Phase 2 SBIR grant from the NCI.

DNL® is also being used particularly to make bispecific antibodies targeting cancers as a T-cell redirecting immunotherapy. This is one of several new methods of cancer immunotherapy being studied both clinically and preclinically by many other commercial and academic groups. In contrast to hematological tumors, little progress has been made in this approach to treat the more challenging solid cancers, including pancreatic and gastric cancers, two malignancies with very high rates of mortality.

In this regard, we are developing a novel investigational T-cell redirecting bispecific antibody, (E1)-3s, created using DNL® for the potential treatment of pancreatic and gastric cancers. These and various other solid cancers express high-levels of TROP-2, a target recognized by the bispecific (E1)-3s, which also binds to the CD3 antigen on T cells. (E1)-3s effectively induced a potent and specific T-cell-mediated killing of human pancreatic and gastric cancer cell lines. Furthermore, in animal models of human pancreatic or gastric cancer, treatment with (E1)-3s significantly inhibited tumor growth, which resulted in improved survival compared with the control groups. Adding IFNa enhanced the tumor-growth-inhibition activity of (E1)-3s.

As with all candidate therapeutic molecules developed by us, the safety and potential efficacy cannot be predicted until sufficient trials in humans have been conducted.

Peptides and Fluorine-18 (18F) Labeling

Since the pretargeting methods jointly developed with IBC, as mentioned above, are producing very high tumor/normal tissue ratios, we have been working on developing a facile method for the radiolabeling of peptides with ¹⁸F via a conjugate with aluminum or other metals.

In the new labeling method, ¹⁸F was first allowed to react with aluminum in solution, which occurred instantaneously and in a quantitative manner to form an aluminum ¹⁸F complex. The complex was then bound or chelated to a chemical group attached to a peptide. By manipulating the chemical structure of the group that the aluminum-¹⁸F complex attaches to in the peptide, we were able to improve the yield of the reaction to 87%. The entire process is rapid, requiring only 15-20 minutes. This is the first method of binding ¹⁸F to peptides via an aluminum conjugate.

The method has since been successfully applied to a bispecific antibody pretargeting study in animals injected with human colon cancer cells. Moreover, ¹⁸F-labeled peptides were shown to be stable enough to produce exceptional PET images of receptor-expressing tumors in animals by labeling of specific peptides binding such receptors. Scientists at the National Institutes of Health and outside third parties have also successfully applied the new ¹⁸F labeling method for the PET imaging of tumor angiogenesis in mice, angiogenesis imaging in a myocardial infarction/reperfusion animal model, hypoxia imaging, and the imaging of growth factor receptors in animal models of gastrointestinal and ovarian cancers.

PET is one of the most prominent imaging tools in diagnostic medicine. ¹⁸F is a positron-emitting radioisotope usually given to patients as ¹⁸F fluoro-2-deoxyglucose (¹⁸F-FDG), a sugar analog. Increased glucose metabolism, which leads to higher uptake of ¹⁸F-FDG, is the premise of ¹⁸F-FDG PET imaging. ¹⁸F-FDG is the most widely used radiopharmaceutical in PET to determine abnormal glucose metabolism. In the U.S., ¹⁸F-FDG has been approved for use in detecting certain tumors, coronary artery disease, and epilepsy. However, ¹⁸F-FDG uptake is also enhanced during inflammatory processes and in rapidly-proliferating normal cells (such as bone marrow), which may lead to false-positive results and lower specificity.

Our goal is to improve the labeling process to the point where we will be capable of radiolabeling these peptides at clinical-scale using single-vial kits, then license the platform technology to companies on a product-by-product basis. To that end, we have improved the labeling method such that commercial ¹⁸F in saline solution can be used and the labeling of temperature-sensitive and insensitive peptides or proteins, including antibodies, were achieved. In order to further simplify the procedure and make the process more consistent and for broader use, we have formulated and published a lyophilized kit that could be validated and manufactured under Good Manufacturing Practice conditions.

The kit, which contains aluminum, a radioprotectant, a non-volatile buffer, and a bulking agent, was able to ¹⁸F-label a peptide with approximately 70% yield under non-optimized condition using a semi-automated machine. With a fully automated microfluidics machine, the reaction time was reduced to 1.5 minutes. More importantly, ¹⁸F-labeled peptide was produced in amounts that are in the range of a single-patient dose. We are also pursuing the commercial development of radiopharmacy manufacturing to prepare multi-dose ¹⁸F-labeled peptides and proteins based on the new labeling method through a corporate partnership.

In related work, similar synthetic methods have also been used to prepare peptides that can be radiolabeled with technetium-99m, gallium-68, indium-111, lutetium-177, and yttrium-90, which are being applied to the bispecific pretargeting technology that is being developed through IBC.

Research and Development Expense

We have historically invested heavily in our research and development programs, spending approximately \$41.7 million for these programs during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015, \$33.7 million for these programs during the fiscal year ended 2014 and \$28.4 million for these programs during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013. The expense increases during the 2015 and 2014 fiscal years resulted primarily from higher spending for clinical trials, particularly for the pancreatic cancer and the ADC clinical trials.

Patents and Proprietary Rights

Our Patents

We have accumulated a sizeable portfolio of patents and patent applications in the course of our research, which we believe constitutes a very valuable business asset. The major patents relate primarily to our therapeutic product candidates as well as our technologies and other discoveries for which no product candidate has yet been identified. As of August 15, 2015, our portfolio included approximately 267 active U.S. patents. In addition, as of such date, the portfolio included more than 400 foreign patents, with a number of U.S. and foreign patent applications pending.

The chart below highlights our material patents and product groups as of June 30, 2015, the major jurisdictions, and relevant expiration periods. Additional patents have been filed to extend the patent life on some of these products, but there can be no assurance that these will be issued as filed.

Program & Product Group	Targeted Antigen/Description	Patent Expiration	Major Jurisdictions
Epratuzumab	CD22	2015 2023	U.S., Europe, Japan
Veltuzumab	CD20	2023 2029	U.S., Europe, Japan
PAM4 ⁹⁰ Y Clivatuzumab Tetraxetan	Mucin	2023 2024	U.S., Europe, Japan
Milatuzumab	CD74	2023 2024	U.S., Europe, Japan
Antibody-Drug Conjugates	TROP-2 and CEA/CEACAM5	2033	U.S., Europe, Japan
Subcutaneous Formulation	All Antibodies	2032	U.S., Europe, Japan
DNL® Program TF2	CEACAM5	2026	U.S., Europe, Japan
¹⁸ F Labeling Technology Our Licenses	¹⁸ F labeling of proteins and peptides	2027 2028	U.S., Europe, Japan

We have obtained licenses from various parties for rights to use, develop and commercialize proprietary technologies and compounds. Currently, we have the following licenses:

Medical Research Council (MRC) We entered into a license agreement with MRC in May 1994, whereby we have obtained a license for certain patent rights with respect to the genetic engineering on monoclonal antibodies. Our agreement does not require any milestone payments, nor have we made any payments to MRC to date. Our agreement with MRC, which expires at the expiration of the last of the licensed patents in 2020, provides for future royalty payments to be made based on a percentage of product sales.

Center for Molecular Medicine and Immunology (CMMI) We entered into a license agreement with CMMI in December 2004, whereby we have licensed certain rights with respect to patents and patent applications owned by CMMI. Dr. Goldenberg, our Chairman of our Board of Directors, Chief Scientific Officer, and Chief Patent Officer, is the founder, President and member of the Board of Trustees of CMMI. No license or milestone payments are required under this agreement. Under the license agreement, which expires at the expiration of the last of the licensed patents in 2023, CMMI will receive future royalty payments in the low single digits based on a percentage of sales of products that are derived from the CMMI patents. Under the license agreement, we are able to decide which patent related expenses we will support. For the fiscal years ended June 30, 2015, 2014 and 2013, we have made payments for CMMI legal expenses regarding patent-related matters of \$33 thousand, \$26 thousand and \$60 thousand, respectively; however any inventions made independently of us by CMMI are the property of CMMI. Please see the section entitled Other Collaborations for a description of the current status of the relationship.

Our Trademarks

The mark IMMUNOMEDICS is registered in the U.S. and 19 foreign countries and a European Community Trademark has been granted. Our logo is also registered in the U.S. and in two foreign countries. The mark IMMUSTRIP is registered in the U.S. and Canada. The mark LEUKOSCAN is registered in the U.S. and nine foreign countries, and a European Community Trademark has been granted. In addition, we have applied for registration in the U.S. for several other trademarks for use on products now in development or testing, and for corresponding foreign and/or European Community Trademarks for certain of those marks. The

marks EPRATUCYN, VELTUCYN, CLIVATUCYN and MILATUCYN have been registered in the U.S. International Trademark Registration and Canadian applications which claim priority to the respective U.S. applications have been filed for EPRATUCYN and VELTUCYN. The International Registrations request registration in China, Japan and the European Union. The marks DOCK-AND-LOCK, DNL, and PANCRIT have been registered in the U.S.

Our Trade Secrets

We also rely upon unpatented trade secrets, and there is no assurance that others will not independently develop substantially equivalent proprietary information and techniques or otherwise gain access to our trade secrets or disclose such technology, or that such rights can be meaningfully protected. We require our employees, consultants, outside scientific collaborators, sponsored researchers and other advisers to execute confidentiality agreements upon the commencement of employment or consulting relationships with us. These agreements provide that all confidential information developed or made known to the individual during the course of the individual s relationship with us is to be kept confidential and not disclosed to third parties except in specific circumstances. In the case of our employees, the agreement provides that all inventions conceived by such employees shall be our exclusive property. There can be no assurance, however, that these agreements will provide meaningful protection or adequate remedies for our trade secrets in the event of unauthorized use or disclosure of such information.

Third Party Rights

Our success also depends in part on our ability to gain access to third party patent and proprietary rights and to operate our business without infringing on third party patent rights. We may be required to obtain licenses to patents or other proprietary rights from third parties to develop, manufacture and commercialize our product candidates. Licenses required under third-party patents or proprietary rights may not be available on terms acceptable to us, if at all. If we do not obtain the required licenses, we could encounter delays in product development while we attempt to redesign products or methods or we could be unable to develop, manufacture or sell products requiring these licenses at all.

Corporate Collaborations

In January 2013, we entered into a collaboration agreement with Algeta ASA, (subsequently acquired by The Bayer Group Bayer), for the development of epratuzumab conjugated with Algeta s proprietary thorium-227 alpha-pharmaceutical payload. Under the terms of this agreement, we have manufactured and supplied clinical-grade epratuzumab to Bayer, which has rights to evaluate the potential of a conjugated thorium-227 epratuzumab for the treatment of cancer. Bayer will fund all nonclinical and clinical development costs up to the end of Phase 1 clinical testing. Upon successful completion of Phase 1 clinical testing, the parties shall negotiate terms for a license agreement at Bayer s request. We have agreed with Bayer to certain parameters to be included in the license agreement.

We have exclusively licensed our product candidate, epratuzumab, to UCB for the treatment of all autoimmune disease indications worldwide. Under the terms of the Development, Collaboration and License Agreement with UCB, (the UCB Agreement), UCB is solely responsible for the development, manufacturing and commercialization of epratuzumab for the treatment of all autoimmune disease indications and for the clinical trials in SLE. Initially, we were responsible for supplying epratuzumab for the completion of clinical trials relating to SLE, the Sjögren s Phase 2 Clinical Trial and the SLE Open Label Study as defined in the UCB Agreement. In August 2009, UCB relieved us of our remaining obligation to supply UCB with any further supplies.

In December 2011, we entered into an Amendment Agreement with UCB (the UCB Amendment Agreement), providing UCB the right to sublicense epratuzumab to a third party for North America and certain other territories, subject to our consent of the sublicensee and sublicensing agreement. Under the terms of the

UCB Amendment Agreement, we received a cash payment of \$30 million and issued to UCB a 5-year warrant to purchase one million (1,000,000) shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$8.00 per share. Further, UCB has returned to us its buy-in option in the field of oncology. Collectively, pursuant to the UCB Agreement and the UCB Amendment Agreement, we are entitled to receive (i) up to \$130.0 million in cash payments and \$20.0 million in equity investments in regulatory milestone payments and (ii) up to \$260.0 million related to the achievement of specified product sales milestones. We are also entitled to product royalties ranging from mid-teen to mid-twenty percentage of aggregate annual net sales under the UCB Agreement during the product royalty term. No development milestone, commercialization milestone or royalty payments were achieved through the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. On July 28, 2015, UCB announced that the two Phase 3 EMBODY clinical trials for epratuzumab in SLE did not meet the primary clinical efficacy endpoints in either dose in both studies. Treatment response in patients who received epratuzumab in addition to standard therapy was not statistically significant when compared to those who received placebo in addition to standard therapy. UCB is in the process of analyzing the full set of data from both studies. A high level review of the safety data did not identify any new safety concerns. Our agreement with UCB is still in effect. At this time, it is unclear if any milestones will be achieved.

Other Collaborations

In previous years we conducted research on a number of our programs in collaboration with CMMI and its clinical unit, the Garden State Cancer Center. CMMI performed contracted pilot and pre-clinical trials in scientific areas of importance to us and also conducted basic research and pre-clinical evaluations in a number of areas of potential interest to us. Dr. David M. Goldenberg, our Chairman of the Board, Chief Scientific Officer, and Chief Patent Officer, is the President and a Member of the Board of Trustees of CMMI. CMMI is currently in the process of dissolving.

We also collaborate with numerous other academic and research centers. Our academic collaborators have included such institutions as the Erasme University Hospital, Brussels, Belgium; University of Nijmegen, The Netherlands; Institut National de la Sante et de la Recherche Medicale, Nantes, France; University Medical Center Göttingen, Germany; Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden; New York Presbyterian Hospital Weill Cornell Medical College; University of Ohio Cancer Center; M.D. Anderson Cancer Center; and Roswell Park Cancer Institute. We believe such academic research collaboration may identify new and improved products and techniques for diagnosing and treating various cancers, autoimmune and infectious diseases.

Government Regulation

Regulatory Compliance

Our research and development activities, including testing in laboratory animals and in humans, our manufacture of antibodies, as well as the design, manufacturing, safety, efficacy, handling, labeling, storage, record-keeping, advertising, promotion and marketing of the product candidates that we are developing and our marketed products, are all subject to stringent regulation, primarily by the FDA in the U.S. under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, or FFDCA, and its implementing regulations, and the Public Health Service Act, or PHSA, and its implementing regulations, and by comparable authorities under similar laws and regulations in other countries. If for any reason we do not comply with applicable requirements, such noncompliance can result in various adverse consequences, including one or more delays in approval of, or even the refusal to approve, product licenses or other applications, the suspension or termination of clinical investigations, the revocation of approvals previously granted, as well as fines, criminal prosecution, recall or seizure of products, injunctions against shipping products and total or partial suspension of production and/or refusal to allow us to enter into governmental supply contracts.

Product Approval

In the United States, our product candidates are regulated as biologic pharmaceuticals, or biologics. The process required by the FDA before biologic product candidates may be marketed in the United States generally involves the following:

completion of preclinical laboratory tests and animal studies performed in accordance with the FDA s current Good Laboratory Practices, or GLP, regulations;

submission to the FDA of an Investigational New Drug Application, or IND, which must become effective before human clinical trials may begin and must be updated annually;

approval by an independent Institutional Review Board, or IRB, the ethics committee at each clinical site before the trial is initiated.

performance of adequate and well-controlled clinical trials to establish the safety, purity and potency of the proposed biologic, and the safety and efficacy of the proposed drug for each indication;

preparation of and submission to the FDA of a Biologics License Application, or BLA, for a new biologic, after completion of all pivotal clinical trials;

satisfactory completion of an FDA Advisory Committee review, if applicable;

a determination by the FDA within 60 days of its receipt of a BLA to file the application for review;

satisfactory completion of an FDA pre-approval inspection of the manufacturing facilities to assess compliance with current Good Manufacturing Practice, or cGMP, regulations; and

FDA review and approval of a BLA for a new biologic, prior to any commercial marketing or sale of the product in the United States. Preclinical tests assess the potential safety and efficacy of a product candidate in animal models. Clinical trials involve the administration of the investigational product to human subjects under the supervision of qualified investigators in accordance with current Good Clinical Practices, or cGCPs, which include the requirement that all research subjects provide their informed consent for their participation in any clinical trial. A protocol for each clinical trial and any subsequent protocol amendments must be submitted to the FDA as part of the IND. Additionally, approval must also be obtained from each clinical trial site s IRB before the trials may be initiated, and the IRB must monitor the study until completed. There are also requirements governing the reporting of ongoing clinical trials and clinical trial results to public registries.

The clinical investigation of a pharmaceutical, including a biologic, is generally divided into three phases. Although the phases are usually conducted sequentially, they may overlap or be combined.

Phase 1 studies are designed to evaluate the safety, dosage tolerance, metabolism and pharmacologic actions of the investigational product in humans, the side effects associated with increasing doses, and if possible, to gain early evidence on effectiveness.

Phase 2 includes controlled clinical trials conducted to preliminarily or further evaluate the effectiveness of the investigational product for a particular indication(s) in patients with the disease or condition under study, to determine dosage tolerance and optimal dosage, and to

identify possible adverse side effects and safety risks associated with the product.

Phase 3 clinical trials are generally controlled clinical trials conducted in an expanded patient population generally at geographically dispersed clinical trial sites, and are intended to further evaluate dosage, clinical effectiveness and safety, to establish the overall benefit-risk relationship of the investigational product, and to provide an adequate basis for product approval.

The FDA may place clinical trials on hold at any point in this process if, among other reasons, it concludes that clinical subjects are being exposed to an unacceptable health risk. Trials may also be terminated by IRBs, which must review and approve all research involving human subjects. Side effects or adverse events that are reported during clinical trials can delay, impede or prevent marketing authorization.

The results of the preclinical and clinical testing, along with information regarding the manufacturing of the product and proposed product labeling, are evaluated and, if determined appropriate, submitted to the FDA through a BLA. The application includes all relevant data available from pertinent preclinical and clinical trials, including negative or ambiguous results as well as positive findings, together with detailed information relating to the product schemistry, manufacturing, controls and proposed labeling, among other things. Once the BLA submission has been accepted for filing, the FDA standard goal is to review applications within ten months of the filing date or, if the application relates to an unmet medical need in a serious or life-threatening indication, six months from the filing date. The review process is often significantly extended by FDA requests for additional information or clarification. FDA offers certain programs, such as Fast Track designation, designed to expedite the development and review of applications for products intended for the treatment of a serious or life-threatening disease or condition. If Fast Track designation is obtained, the FDA may initiate review of sections of a BLA before the application is complete, and the product may be eligible for accelerate approval. However, receipt of Fast Track designation for a product candidate does not ensure that a product will be developed or approved on an expedited basis, and such designation may be rescinded if the product candidate is found to no longer meet the qualifying criteria.

The FDA reviews the BLA to determine, among other things, whether the proposed product is safe, pure and potent, which includes determining whether it is effective for its intended use, and whether the product is being manufactured in accordance with cGMP, to assure and preserve the product s identity, strength, quality, potency and purity. The FDA may refer the application to an advisory committee for review, evaluation and recommendation as to whether the application should be approved. The FDA is not bound by the recommendation of an advisory committee, but it typically follows such recommendations.

After the FDA evaluates the BLA and conducts inspections of manufacturing facilities, it may issue an approval letter or a Complete Response Letter. An approval letter authorizes commercial marketing of the biologic with specific prescribing information for specific indications. A Complete Response Letter indicates that the review cycle of the application is complete and the application is not ready for approval. A Complete Response Letter may require additional clinical data and/or an additional pivotal Phase 3 clinical trial(s), and/or other significant, expensive and time-consuming requirements related to clinical trials, preclinical studies or manufacturing. Even if such additional information is submitted, the FDA may ultimately decide that the BLA does not satisfy the criteria for approval. The FDA could approve the BLA with a Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategy, or REMS, plan to mitigate risks, which could include medication guides, physician communication plans, or elements to assure safe use, such as restricted distribution methods, patient registries and other risk minimization tools. The FDA also may condition approval on, among other things, changes to proposed labeling, development of adequate controls and specifications, or a commitment to conduct one or more post-market studies or clinical trials. Such post-market testing may include Phase 4 clinical trials and surveillance to further assess and monitor the product s safety and effectiveness after commercialization.

The Biologics Price Competition and Innovation Act of 2009, or BPCIA, created an abbreviated pathway for the approval of biosimilar and interchangeable biologic products. The abbreviated pathway establishes legal authority for FDA to review and approve biosimilar biologics, including the possible designation of a biosimilar as interchangeable based on its similarity to an existing brand product. Under the BPCIA, an application for a biosimilar product cannot be approved by the FDA until 12 years after the original branded product was approved under a BLA. In March 2015, the FDA approved Novartis s Zarxio as a biosimilar product to Amgen s Neupogen. The approval, the first biosimilar product approved for distribution in the United States, could usher in lower prices for biologic products from increased competition.

Post-Approval Requirements

Any products manufactured or distributed by us or on our behalf pursuant to FDA approvals are subject to continuing regulation by the FDA and certain state agencies, including requirements for record-keeping, reporting of adverse experiences with the biologic, submitting biological product deviation reports to notify the

FDA of unanticipated changes in distributed products, establishment registration, compliance with cGMP standards (including investigation and correction of any deviations from cGMP), and certain state chain of distribution pedigree requirements. Additionally, any significant change in the approved product or in how it is manufactured, including changes in formulation or the site of manufacture, generally require prior FDA approval. The packaging and labeling of all products developed by us are also subject to FDA approval and ongoing regulation. Noncompliance with any regulatory requirements can result in, among other things, issuance of warning letters, civil and criminal penalties, seizures, and injunctive action. Accordingly, manufacturers must continue to expend time, money and effort in the area of production and quality control to maintain compliance with cGMP and other aspects of regulatory compliance.

Orphan Drug Act

To date, we have successfully obtained Orphan Drug designation by the FDA under the Orphan Drug Act of 1983 for epratuzumab for NHL, yttrium-90-labeled clivatuzumab tetraxetan for pancreatic cancer, sacituzumab govitecan for SCLC and pancreatic cancer, labetuzumab for ovarian, pancreatic and SCLCs, milatuzumab for multiple myeloma and CLL, and veltuzumab for ITP and pemphigus. Under the Orphan Drug Act, the FDA may grant orphan drug designation to drugs intended to treat a rare disease or condition, which is generally defined as a disease or condition that affects fewer than 200,000 individuals in the United States. Orphan drug designation must be requested before submitting a BLA. In the United States, orphan drug designation entitles a party to financial incentives such as opportunities for grant funding towards clinical trial costs, tax advantages, and user-fee waivers. Orphan drug designation does not convey any advantage in, or shorten the duration of, the regulatory review and approval process. The first BLA applicant to receive FDA approval for a particular active ingredient to treat a particular disease with FDA orphan drug designation is entitled to a seven-year exclusive marketing period in the United States for that product, for that indication. During the seven-year exclusivity period, the FDA may not approve any other applications to market the same drug for the same orphan indication, except in limited circumstances, such as a showing of clinical superiority to the product with orphan exclusivity or where the manufacturer of the approved product cannot assure sufficient quantities. As a result, there can be no assurance that our competitors will not receive approval of drugs or biologics that have a different active ingredient for treatment of the diseases for which our products and product candidates are targeted.

Foreign Regulation

In addition to regulations in the United States, we are subject to a variety of foreign regulations governing clinical trials and commercial sales and distribution of our product candidates being developed, and products being marketed outside of the United States. We must obtain approval by the comparable regulatory authorities of foreign countries before we can commence clinical trials or marketing of our products in those countries. The approval process varies from country to country, and the time may be longer or shorter than that required by the FDA for BLA licensure. The requirements governing the conduct of clinical trials, product licensing, pricing and reimbursement vary greatly from country to country. As in the United States, we are subject to post-approval regulatory requirements, such as those regarding product manufacturing, marketing, or distribution.

Other Regulatory Considerations

We are also subject to regulation under the Occupational Safety and Health Act, the Toxic Substances Control Act, the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, The Clean Air Act, New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and other current and potential future federal, state, or local regulations. Our research and development activities involve the controlled use of hazardous materials, chemicals, biological materials and various radioactive compounds. We believe that our procedures comply with the standards prescribed by state and federal regulations; however, the risk of injury or accidental contamination cannot be completely eliminated.

We may also be subject to healthcare regulation and enforcement by the federal government and the states and foreign governments where we may market our products and product candidates, if approved. These laws include, without limitation, state and federal anti-kickback, fraud and abuse, false claims, privacy, and security and physician sunshine laws and regulations.

The federal Anti-Kickback Statute prohibits, among other things, any person from knowingly and willfully offering, soliciting, receiving or providing remuneration, directly or indirectly, to induce either the referral of an individual, for an item or service or the purchasing or ordering of a good or service, for which payment may be made under federal healthcare programs, such as the Medicare and Medicaid programs. The Anti-Kickback Statute is subject to evolving interpretations. In the past, the government has enforced the Anti-Kickback Statute to reach large settlements with healthcare companies, based on sham consulting and other financial arrangements with physicians. A person or entity does not need to have actual knowledge of the statute or specific intent to violate it in order to have committed a violation. In addition, the government may assert that a claim, including items or services resulting from a violation of the federal Anti-Kickback Statute, constitutes a false or fraudulent claim for purposes of the federal False Claims Act. The majority of states also have anti-kickback laws, which establish similar prohibitions and, in some cases, may apply to items or services reimbursed by any third-party payor, including commercial insurers.

Additionally, the civil False Claims Act prohibits knowingly presenting or causing the presentation of a false, fictitious or fraudulent claim for payment to the U.S. government. Actions under the False Claims Act may be brought by the Attorney General or as a qui tam action by a private individual in the name of the government. Violations of the False Claims Act can result in very significant monetary penalties and treble damages. The federal government is using the False Claims Act, and the accompanying threat of significant liability, in its investigation and prosecution of pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies throughout the U.S., for example, in connection with the promotion of products for unapproved uses and other sales and marketing practices. The government has obtained multi-million and multi-billion dollar settlements under the False Claims Act in addition to individual criminal convictions under applicable criminal statutes. Given the significant size of actual and potential settlements, it is expected that the government will continue to devote substantial resources to investigating compliance of healthcare providers and manufacturers with applicable fraud and abuse laws.

The federal Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, or HIPAA, also created new federal criminal statutes that prohibit, among other actions, knowingly and willfully executing, or attempting to execute, a scheme to defraud any healthcare benefit program, including private third-party payors, knowingly and willfully embezzling or stealing from a healthcare benefit program, willfully obstructing a criminal investigation of a healthcare offense, and knowingly and willfully falsifying, concealing or covering up a material fact or making any materially false, fictitious or fraudulent statement in connection with the delivery of or payment for healthcare benefits, items or services. Similar to the federal Anti-Kickback Statute, a person or entity does not need to have actual knowledge of the statute or specific intent to violate it in order to have committed a violation.

There has also been a recent trend of increased federal and state regulation of payments made to physicians and other healthcare providers. The ACA, among other things, imposes new reporting requirements on drug manufacturers for payments made by them to physicians and teaching hospitals, as well as ownership and investment interests held by physicians and their immediate family members. Failure to submit required information may result in civil monetary penalties of up to an aggregate of \$150,000 per year (or up to an aggregate of \$1 million per year for knowing failures), for all payments, transfers of value or ownership or investment interests that are not timely, accurately and completely reported in an annual submission. Drug manufacturers were required to begin collecting data on August 1, 2013 and submit reports to the government by March 31, 2014 and June 30, 2014, and the 90th day of each subsequent calendar year. Certain states also mandate implementation of compliance programs, impose restrictions on drug manufacturer marketing practices and/or require the tracking and reporting of gifts, compensation and other remuneration to physicians.

We may also be subject to data privacy and security regulation by both the federal government and the states in which we conduct our business. HIPAA, as amended by the Health Information Technology and Clinical Health Act, or HITECH, and their respective implementing regulations, including the final omnibus rule published on January 25, 2013, imposes specified requirements relating to the privacy, security and transmission of individually identifiable health information. Among other things, HITECH makes HIPAA s privacy and security standards directly applicable to business associates, defined as independent contractors or agents of

covered entities that create, receive, maintain or transmit protected health information in connection with providing a service for or on behalf of a covered entity. HITECH also increased the civil and criminal penalties that may be imposed against covered entities, business associates and possibly other persons, and gave state attorneys general new authority to file civil actions for damages or injunctions in federal courts to enforce the federal HIPAA laws and seek attorney s fees and costs associated with pursuing federal civil actions. In addition, state laws govern the privacy and security of health information in certain circumstances, many of which differ from each other in significant ways, thus complicating compliance efforts.

We are subject to the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, which prohibits corporations and individuals from engaging in certain activities to obtain or retain business or to influence a person working in an official capacity. Under this act, it is illegal to pay, offer to pay, or authorize the payment of anything of value to any foreign government official, government staff member, political party or political candidate in an attempt to obtain or retain business or to otherwise influence a person working in an official capacity. Our present and future business has been and will continue to be subject to various other laws and regulations.

Pricing Controls

The levels of revenues and profitability of biopharmaceutical companies may be affected by the continuing efforts of government and third party payers to contain or reduce the costs of health care through various means. For example, in certain foreign markets, pricing reimbursement or profitability of therapeutic and other pharmaceutical products is subject to governmental control. In the U. S., there have been, and we expect that there will continue to be, a number of federal and state proposals to implement similar governmental pricing control. While we cannot predict whether any such legislative or regulatory proposals will be adopted, the adoption of such proposals could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and profitability.

Third Party Coverage and Reimbursement

In addition, in the U.S. and elsewhere, sales of therapeutic and other pharmaceutical products are dependent in part on the availability of reimbursement to the consumer from third party payers such as government and private insurance plans. Third party payers are increasingly challenging the prices charged for medical products and services. We cannot assure you that any of our products will be considered cost effective and that reimbursement to the consumer will be available or will be sufficient to allow us to sell our products on a competitive and profitable basis.

Competition

Competition in the biopharmaceutical industry is intense and based significantly on scientific and technological factors such as the availability of patent and other protection for technology and products, the ability to commercialize technological developments and the ability to obtain governmental approval for testing, manufacturing and marketing. We compete with specialized biopharmaceutical firms in the U.S., Europe and elsewhere, as well as a growing number of large pharmaceutical companies. A number of companies, including Biogen Idec, Roche, GlaxoSmithKline, Seattle Genetics, ImmunoGen Merck Serono, Genmab, Celgene, Amgen, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Pfizer, AstraZeneca and Eli Lilly, are engaged in the development of therapeutic autoimmune and oncology products. Many of these companies have significantly greater financial, technical and marketing resources than we do. Many major pharmaceutical companies have developed or acquired internal biotechnology capabilities or made commercial arrangements with other biopharmaceutical companies. These companies, as well as academic institutions, governmental agencies and private research organizations, also compete with us in recruiting and retaining highly qualified scientific, technical and professional personnel and consultants. Our ability to compete successfully with other companies in the biopharmaceutical field will also depend to a considerable degree on the continuing availability of capital to us.

Marketing, Sales and Distribution

At present, we have only limited marketing and sales capabilities as we focus our efforts on developing our therapeutic product candidates. We will continue to manufacture and market LeukoScan® with our sales force and provide technical support directly to customers. We also have agreements with third parties to market LeukoScan® that provide customer support and distribution of the products.

Our European operations are headquartered in Darmstadt, Germany. We have a distribution agreement with Logosys Logistik GmbH, whereby Logosys packages and distributes LeukoScan® in the EU.

Manufacturing

We operate a bioreactor facility at our Morris Plains, New Jersey, location. This facility is used for the production of all of our therapeutic product candidates for clinical trials, and potentially for commercial quantities as well.

We manufacture LeukoScan® for commercial sale at our facility in Morris Plains, New Jersey. The Committee on Proprietary Medicinal Products of the European Commission approved the manufacturing facility and product manufacturing processes for LeukoScan® in May 1998. We also perform antibody processing and purification of all our therapeutic product candidates at this facility. We scaled-up our antibody purification and fragmentation manufacturing processes for our diagnostic imaging agent to permit us to produce commercial levels of product. We have an agreement with BAG GmbH, Lich, Germany for the final formulation, fill and lyophilization of Leukoscan®.

Manufacturing Regulatory Considerations

In addition to regulating and auditing human clinical trials, FDA regulates and inspects equipment, facilities and processes used in the manufacturing of such products prior to providing approval to market a product. If after receiving clearance from FDA, a material change is made in manufacturing equipment, location, or process, additional regulatory review may be required. We must also adhere to current Good Manufacturing Practice and product-specific regulations enforced by FDA through its facilities inspection program. FDA also conducts regular, periodic visits to re-inspect equipment, facilities, and processes following the initial approval. If, as a result of these inspections, FDA determines that our equipment, facilities or processes do not comply with applicable FDA regulations and conditions of product approval, FDA may seek civil, criminal or administrative sanctions and/or remedies against us, including the suspension of our manufacturing operations.

LeukoScan® is derived from the fluids produced in mice. Regulatory authorities, particularly in Europe, have expressed concerns about the use of these fluids for the production of monoclonal antibodies. These regulatory authorities may determine that our quality control procedures for these products are inadequate. In the event we have to discontinue the use of mouse fluids, we may not have the resources at the time to acquire the necessary manufacturing equipment and expertise that we will need to make the changes in our development programs.

Employees

As of August 15, 2015, we employed 123 persons on a full-time basis, of whom 19 were in research and development departments, 19 of whom were engaged in clinical research and regulatory affairs, 62 of whom were engaged in operations and manufacturing and quality control, and 23 of whom were engaged in finance, administration, sales and marketing. Of these employees, 54 hold M.D., Ph.D. or other advanced degrees. We believe that while we have been successful to date in attracting skilled and experienced scientific personnel, competition for such personnel continues to be intense and there can be no assurance that we will continue to be able to attract and retain the professionals we will need to grow our business. Our employees are not covered by a collective bargaining agreement and we believe that our relationship with our employees is excellent.

Corporate Information

We were incorporated in Delaware in 1982. Our principal offices are located at 300 The American Road, Morris Plains, New Jersey 07950. Our telephone number is (973) 605-8200. In addition to our majority-owned subsidiary, IBC, we also have two foreign subsidiaries, Immunomedics B.V. in The Netherlands and Immunomedics GmbH in Darmstadt, Germany, to assist us in managing sales and marketing efforts and coordinating clinical trials in Europe. Our web address is www.immunomedics.com. We have not incorporated by reference into this Annual Report on Form 10-K the information on our website and you should not consider it to be a part of this document.

Our reports that have been filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), are available on our website free of charge, including our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, Forms 3, 4 and 5 filed on behalf of directors and executive officers and any amendments to such reports filed pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act. Copies of this Annual Report on Form 10-K may also be obtained without charge electronically or by paper by contacting Investor Relations, Immunomedics, Inc., 300 The American Road, Morris Plains, New Jersey 07950 or by calling (973) 605-8200.

In addition, we make available on our website (i) the charters for the committees of the Board of Directors, including the Audit Committee, Compensation Committee and Governance and Nominating Committee, and (ii) the Company s Code of Business Conduct (the Code of Conduct) governing its directors, officers and employees. Within the time period required by the SEC, we will post on our website any modifications to the Code of Conduct, as required by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, (Sarbanes-Oxley Act).

The public may also read and copy the materials we file with the SEC at its Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC also maintains a web site at http://www.sec.gov that contains reports, proxy and information statements and other information regarding companies that file electronically with the SEC.

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

Factors That May Affect Our Business and Results of Operations

Our business is subject to certain risks and uncertainties, each of which could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, cash flows and results of operations.

Risks Relating to Our Business, Operations and Product Development

We have a long history of operating losses and it is likely that our operating expenses will continue to exceed our revenues for the foreseeable future.

We have incurred significant operating losses since our formation in 1982. As of June 30, 2015, we had an accumulated deficit of approximately \$309.5 million. We continue to spend our cash resources to fund our research and development programs and, subject to adequate funding, we expect these expenses to increase for the foreseeable future. Our only significant sources of revenue in recent years have been derived from our existing licensing agreement with UCB and the collaboration agreement with Bayer (Algeta). The timing of when we are able to record licensing fee revenue from such agreements has varied historically and may result in quarterly or annual profits or losses that are not necessarily reflective of our business operations or related cash flows. There can be no assurance that we will be profitable in future quarters or other periods. Additionally, the only product sales we have earned to date have come from the limited sales of our diagnostic imaging product for which our patent protection has recently expired (which may leave us vulnerable to increased competition, for example, from generic manufacturers). In addition, we have made the strategic decision to de-emphasize sales of

our diagnostic product and focus on our therapeutic pipeline. We have never had product sales of any therapeutic product. Although we may have net income from time to time based on the timing and amount of proceeds received under collaborative agreements, we expect to experience significant operating losses as we invest further in our research and development activities while simultaneously attempting to develop and commercialize our other therapeutic product candidates. If we are unable to develop commercially viable therapeutic products or to license them to third parties, it is likely that we will never achieve significant revenues or become profitable, either of which would jeopardize our ability to continue as a going concern.

We have significant future capital needs and may be unable to raise capital when needed, which could force us to delay or reduce our clinical development efforts.

We believe we have adequate cash at our current expected spending level to fund our clinical development programs through the next twelve months. However, we will require additional financial resources after we utilize our current liquid assets in order to continue our clinical development programs as is currently forecasted beyond fiscal year 2016. We are actively pursuing various financing alternatives as market conditions permit through licensing and collaborative agreements or additional potential equity or debt financings, if necessary. We continue to evaluate various programs to raise additional capital and to seek additional revenues from the licensing of our proprietary technologies. At the present time, we are unable to determine whether any of these future activities will be successful and, if so, the terms and timing of any definitive agreements.

Our most advanced therapeutic product candidates are still only in the clinical development stage, and will require us to raise capital in the future in order to fund further expensive and time-consuming studies before they can even be submitted for final regulatory approval. A failure of a clinical trial could severely harm our business and results of operations.

Clinical trials involve the administration of a product candidate to patients who are already extremely ill, making patient enrollment often difficult and expensive. Moreover, even in ideal circumstances where the patients can be enrolled and then followed for the several months or more required to complete the study, the trials can be suspended, terminated, delayed or otherwise fail for any number of reasons, including:

later-stage clinical trials may raise safety or efficacy concerns not readily apparent in earlier trials;

unforeseen difficulties in manufacturing the product candidate in compliance with all regulatory requirements and in the quantities needed to complete the trial which may become cost-prohibitive;

we or our collaboration partner(s) may experience delays in obtaining, or be unable to obtain, agreement for the conduct of our clinical trials from FDA, institutional review boards (IRB s), or other reviewing entities at clinical sites selected for participation in our clinical trials;

while underway, the continuation of clinical trials may be delayed, suspended or terminated due to modifications to the clinical trial s protocols based on interim results obtained or changes required or conditions imposed by the FDA, an IRB, a data and safety monitoring board, or (DSMB), or any other regulatory authority;

our third-party contractors may fail to meet their contractual obligations to us in a timely manner;

FDA or other regulatory authorities may impose a clinical hold, for example based an inspection of the clinical trial operations or trial sites;

we or our collaboration partner(s) may suspend or cease trials in our or their sole discretion;

during the long trial process alternative therapies may become available which make further development of the product candidate impracticable; and

if we are unable to obtain the additional capital we need to fund all of the clinical trials we foresee, we may be forced to cancel or otherwise curtail such trials and other studies.

On July 28, 2015, UCB announced that the two Phase 3 EMBODY clinical trials for epratuzumab in systemic lupus erythematosus did not meet the primary clinical efficacy endpoints in either dose in both studies. This announcement or substantial delay in successfully completing clinical trials for our other product candidates, sacituzumab govitecan and yttrium-90-labeled clivatuzumab tetraxetan, could severely harm our business and results of operations.

Moreover, principal investigators for our clinical trials may serve as scientific advisors or consultants to us from time to time and receive compensation in connection with such services. Under certain circumstances, the Company may be required to report some of these relationships to FDA. FDA may conclude that a financial relationship between the company and a principal investigator has created a conflict of interest or otherwise affected interpretation of the study. FDA may therefore question the integrity of the data generated at the applicable clinical trial site and the utility of the clinical trial itself may be jeopardized. This could result in a delay in approval, or rejection, of our marketing applications by FDA and may ultimately lead to the denial of regulatory approval of one or more of our product candidates.

Our clinical trials may not adequately show that our drugs are safe or effective, or a failure to achieve the planned endpoints could result in termination of product development.

Progression of our drug products through the clinical development process is dependent upon our trials indicating our drugs have adequate safety and efficacy in the patients being treated by achieving pre-determined safety and efficacy endpoints according to the trial protocols. Failure to achieve either of these endpoints could result in delays in our trials, require the performance of additional unplanned trials or termination of any further development of the product for the intended indication. For example, with epratuzumab in SLE, the results did not meet the primary clinical efficacy endpoints in either dose in both studies. Treatment response in patients who received epratuzumab in addition to standard therapy was not statistically significant when compared to those who received placebo in addition to standard therapy.

These factors could result in delays in the development of our product candidates and could result in significant unexpected costs or the termination of programs.

Should the clinical development process be successfully completed, our ability to derive revenues from the sale of therapeutics will depend upon our first obtaining FDA as well as foreign regulatory approvals, all of which are subject to a number of unique risks and uncertainties.

Even if we are able to demonstrate the safety and efficacy of our product candidates in clinical trials, if we fail to gain timely approval to commercialize our product candidates from FDA and other foreign regulatory authorities, we will be unable to generate the revenues we will need to build our business. These approvals may not be granted on a timely basis, if at all, and even if and when they are granted, they may not cover all the indications for which we seek approval. For example, while we may develop a product candidate with the intention of addressing a large, unmet medical need, FDA may only approve the use of the drug for indications affecting a relatively small number of patients, thus greatly reducing the market size and our potential revenues. The approvals may also contain significant limitations in the form of warnings, precautions or contraindications with respect to conditions of use, which could further narrow the size of the market. In certain countries, even if the health regulatory authorities approve a drug, it cannot be marketed until pricing for the drug is also approved. Finally, even after approval can be obtained, we may be required to recall or withdraw a product as a result of newly discovered safety or efficacy concerns, either of which would have a materially adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

In order to fund future operations, we will need to raise significant amounts of additional capital. Because it can be difficult for a small-cap company like ours to raise equity capital on acceptable terms, we cannot assure you that we will be able to obtain the necessary capital when we need it, or on acceptable terms, if at all.

Even if our technologies and product candidates are superior, if we lack the capital needed to bring our future products to market, we will never be successful. We have obtained the capital necessary to fund our research and development programs to date primarily from the following sources:

Upfront payments, milestone payments, and payments for limited amounts of our antibodies received from licensing partners;

Proceeds from the public and private sale of our equity or debt securities; and

Limited product sales of LeukoScan[®], licenses, grants and interest income from our investments.

Our budgeted cash requirements in fiscal year 2016 are expected to be in the range of \$52.0 to \$54.0 million, including interest costs of \$4.8 million for the outstanding Convertible Senior Notes issued in February 2015. Based on our cash flow projections, we believe we have sufficient funds to continue our operations and research and development programs for at least the next twelve months. Future financial demands include ongoing operating expenses, Phase 3 and Phase 2 clinical trials, and further development of various clinical trial programs in fiscal 2017 and beyond. We will continue to require additional funding in order to fund our operations and programs. As of June 30, 2015, we have approximately \$100.0 million of cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities.

We continue to pursue business development and licensing arrangements as a potential source of financing. These activities include potential payments from partners, such as The Bayer Group (Bayer), as well as any new parties who may be interested in clinical programs as well as any licenses to our intellectual property estate. State and Federal Grants, along with potential debt and equity financing may also be other sources of financing.

Over the long term, we expect research and development activities to continue to expand and we do not believe we will have adequate cash to continue to complete development of product candidates in line with our pipeline included in our long term corporate strategy. Our capital requirements are dependent on numerous factors, including:

The rate at which we progress our research programs and the number of product candidates we have in pre-clinical and clinical development at any one time;

The cost of conducting clinical trials involving patients in the U.S., Europe and possibly elsewhere;

Our need to establish the manufacturing capabilities necessary to produce the quantities of our product candidates we project we will need;

The time and costs involved in obtaining FDA and foreign regulatory approvals;

The cost of first obtaining, and then defending, our patent claims and other intellectual property rights;

The ability and willingness of the holders of our 4.75% Convertible Senior Notes due 2020 to convert their Notes to Immunomedics common stock; and

Our ability to enter into licensing and other collaborative agreements to help offset some of these costs.

There may be additional cash requirements for many reasons, including, but not limited to, changes in our research and development plans, the need for unexpected capital expenditures or costs associated with any acquisitions of other businesses, assets or technologies that we may choose to undertake. If we deplete our existing capital resources, we will be required to either obtain additional capital quickly, or else significantly reduce our operating expenses and capital expenditures, either of which could have a material adverse effect on us.

Until we can generate significant cash from our operations, we expect to continue to fund our operations with our available financial resources. These financial resources may not be adequate to sustain our operations and we will be required to finance future cash needs through strategic collaboration agreements, the sale of additional equity or debt securities. However, we cannot be certain that additional financing will be available when needed or that, if available, financing will be obtained on terms favorable to us or our stockholders. The capital markets have experienced volatility in recent years, which has resulted in uncertainties with respect to availability of capital and hence the timing to meet an entity s liquidity needs. Having insufficient funds may require us to delay, scale-back or eliminate some or all of our programs or renegotiate less favorable terms than we would otherwise choose. Failure to obtain adequate financing also may adversely affect our ability to operate as a going concern. Additionally, if we raise funds by issuing equity securities, dilution to existing stockholders would result; and if we raise funds by incurring additional debt financing, the terms of the debt may involve future cash payment obligations and/or conversion to equity as well as restrictions that may limit our ability to operate our business.

If we, or our collaboration partners, cannot successfully and efficiently manufacture the compounds that make up our products and product candidates, our ability, and the ability of our collaboration partners, to sell products and conduct clinical trials will be impaired.

Our ability to conduct our pre-clinical and clinical research and development programs depends, in large part, upon our ability to manufacture our proprietary compounds in accordance with FDA and other regulatory requirements. We have limited historical experience in manufacturing these compounds in significant quantities, and we may not be able to do so in the quantities required to commercialize these products. Any interruption in manufacturing at this site, whether by natural acts or otherwise, could significantly and adversely affect our operations, and delay our research and development programs.

We and our collaboration partners also depend on third parties to provide certain raw materials, manufacturing and processing services. All manufacturers of pharmaceutical products must comply with current Good Manufacturing Practice regulations (cGMPs), required by FDA and other regulatory agencies. Such regulations address, among other matters, controls in manufacturing processes, quality control and quality assurance requirements and the maintenance of proper records and documentation. FDA and other regulatory agencies routinely inspect manufacturing facilities. FDA generally will issue a notice on Form 483 if it finds issues with respect to its inspections. If our manufacturing facility or those facilities of our partners and our respective contract manufacturers or processors do not comply with applicable cGMPs and other regulatory requirements, we may be subject to product liability claims, we may be unable to meet clinical demand for our products, and we could suffer delays in the progress of clinical trials for products under development.

We are dependent upon UCB for the final development and commercialization of epratuzumab for the treatment of all autoimmune disease indications worldwide, and they may not be successful.

We have licensed the exclusive worldwide rights for the treatment of all autoimmune disease indications to one of our most advanced therapeutic compounds, epratuzumab to UCB. As a result, UCB is solely responsible, and we are depending upon them, for completing the clinical development of this compound, obtaining all necessary regulatory approvals, and then commercializing and manufacturing the compounds for sale. On July 28, 2015, UCB announced that the two Phase 3 EMBODY clinical trials for epratuzumab in SLE did not meet the primary clinical efficacy endpoints in either dose in both studies. As a result, their ability to commercialize this product candidate in the future, as well as our ability to commercialize other product candidates we have in development which are closely related to them, could be severely jeopardized. In such event, it is likely we could never receive any additional milestone payments or royalties that we are eligible to receive under our agreement with UCB, and our ability to fund the development and testing of our other product candidates could be adversely affected.

We may not successfully establish and maintain collaborative and licensing arrangements, which could adversely affect our ability to develop and commercialize our product candidates. Our future collaboration partners may not adequately perform their responsibilities under our agreement, which could adversely affect our development and commercialization program.

A key element of our business strategy is to develop, market and commercialize our product candidates through collaborations with more established pharmaceutical companies. We may not be able to maintain or expand these licenses and collaborations or establish additional licensing and collaboration arrangements necessary to develop and commercialize our product candidates. Even if we are able to maintain or establish licensing or collaboration arrangements, these arrangements may not be on favorable terms and may contain provisions that will restrict our ability to develop, test and market our product candidates. Any failure to maintain or establish licensing or collaboration arrangements on favorable terms could adversely affect our business prospects, financial condition or ability to develop and commercialize our product candidates.

We expect to rely at least in part on third party collaborators to perform a number of activities relating to the development and commercialization of our product candidates, including the manufacturing of product materials, the design and conduct of clinical trials for our product candidates, and potentially the obtaining of regulatory approvals and marketing and distribution of any successfully developed products. Our collaborative partners may also have or acquire rights to control aspects of our product development and clinical programs. As a result, we may not be able to conduct these programs in the manner or on the time schedule we currently contemplate. In addition, if any of these collaborative partners withdraw support for our programs or product candidates or otherwise impair their development, our business could be negatively affected. To the extent we undertake any of these activities internally, our expenses may increase.

In addition, our success depends on the performance of our collaborators of their responsibilities under these arrangements. Some potential collaborators may not perform their obligations in a timely fashion or in a manner satisfactory to us. Because such agreements may be exclusive, we may not be able to enter into a collaboration agreement with any other company covering the same product field during the applicable collaborative period. In addition, our collaborators—competitors may not wish to do business with us at all due to our relationship with our collaborators. If we are unable to enter into additional product discovery and development collaborations, our ability to sustain or expand our business will be significantly diminished.

Our future success will depend upon our ability to first obtain and then adequately protect our patent and other intellectual property rights, as well as avoiding the infringement of the rights of others.

Our future success will be highly dependent upon our ability to first obtain and then defend the patent and other intellectual property rights necessary for the commercialization of our product candidates. We have filed numerous patent applications on the technologies and processes that we use in the U.S. and certain foreign countries. Although we have obtained a number of issued U.S. patents to date, the patent applications owned or licensed by us may not result in additional patents being issued. Moreover, these patents may not afford us the protection we need against competitors with similar technologies or products. A number of jurisdictions where we have sought, or may in future choose to seek, intellectual property protection, have intellectual property laws and patent offices which are still developing. Accordingly, we may have difficulty obtaining intellectual property protection in these markets, and any intellectual property protections which we do obtain may be less protective than in the U.S., which could have an adverse effect on our operations and financial prospects.

The successful development of therapeutic products frequently requires the application of multiple technologies that may be subject to the patent or other intellectual property rights of third parties. Although we believe it is likely we will need to license technologies and processes from third parties in the ordinary course of our business, we are not currently aware of any material conflict involving our technologies and processes with any valid patents or other intellectual property rights owned or licensed by others. In the event that a third party was to claim such a conflict existed, they could sue us for damages as well as seek to prevent us from commercializing our product candidates. It is possible that a third party could successfully claim that our

products infringe on their intellectual property rights. Uncertainties resulting from the litigation and continuation of patent litigation or other proceedings could have a material adverse effect on our ability to compete in the marketplace. Any patent litigation or other proceeding, even if resolved in our favor, would require significant financial resources and management time.

Some of our competitors may be able to sustain these costs more effectively than we can because of their substantially greater financial and managerial resources. If a patent litigation or other proceeding is resolved unfavorably to us, we may be enjoined from manufacturing or selling our products without a license from the other party, in addition to being held liable for significant damages. We may not be able to obtain any such license on commercially acceptable terms, if at all.

In addition to our reliance on patents, we attempt to protect our proprietary technologies and processes by relying on trade secret laws, nondisclosure and confidentiality agreements and licensing arrangements with our employees and other persons who have access to our proprietary information. These agreements and arrangements may not provide meaningful protection for our proprietary technologies and processes in the event of unauthorized use or disclosure of such information. In addition, our competitors may independently develop substantially equivalent technologies and processes or otherwise gain access to our trade secrets or technology, either of which could materially and adversely affect our competitive position.

Expiry of our intellectual property rights could lead to increased competition

Even where we are able to obtain and then defend patent and other intellectual property rights necessary for research, development and commercialization of our product candidates, such intellectual property rights will be for a limited term. Where patents which we own or license expire, the technology the subject of the patent may be utilized by third parties in research and development or competing products (for example, generic versions of a patented product may be manufactured by third parties once the patent expires). While we endeavor to maintain robust intellectual property protection, as our existing issued patents expire it may materially and adversely affect our competitive position.

We face substantial competition in the biotechnology industry and may not be able to compete successfully against one or more of our competitors.

The biotechnology industry is highly competitive, particularly in the area of diagnostic and therapeutic oncology and autoimmune disease products. In recent years, there have been extensive technological innovations achieved in short periods of time, and it is possible that future technological changes and discoveries by others could result in our products and product candidates quickly becoming uncompetitive or obsolete. A number of companies, including Biogen Idec, Roche, GlaxoSmithKline, Seattle Genetics, ImmunoGen, Merck Serono, Genmab, Celgene, Amgen, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Pfizer, AstraZeneca and Eli Lilly, are engaged in the development of therapeutic autoimmune and oncology products. Many of these companies have significantly greater financial, technical and marketing resources than we do. In addition, many of these companies have more established positions in the pharmaceutical industry and are therefore better equipped to develop, commercialize and market oncology and autoimmune disease products. Even some smaller competitors may obtain a significant competitive advantage over us if they are able to discover or otherwise acquire patentable inventions, form collaborative arrangements or merge with larger pharmaceutical companies. Further, even if we are able to successfully develop and commercialize products, other manufacturers operating in emerging markets may also have a competitive advantage over us with respect to competing products due to their ability to manufacture with a lower cost base.

We expect to face increasing competition from universities and other non-profit research organizations. These institutions carry out a significant amount of research and development in the field of antibody-based technologies and they are increasingly aware of the commercial value of their findings. As a result, they are demanding greater patent and other proprietary rights, as well as licensing and future royalty revenues. It is

possible that such competition could come from universities with which we have, or have previously had, collaborative research and development relationships, notwithstanding our efforts to protect our intellectual property in the course of such relationships.

We may be liable for contamination or other harm caused by hazardous materials that we use in the operations of our business.

In addition to laws and regulations enforced by FDA, we are also subject to regulation under various other foreign, federal, state and local laws and regulations. Our manufacturing and research and development programs involve the controlled use of viruses, hazardous materials, chemicals and various radioactive compounds. The risk of accidental contamination or injury from these materials can never be completely eliminated, and if an accident occurs we could be held liable for any damages that result, which could exceed our available resources.

The nature of our business exposes us to significant liability claims, and our insurance coverage may not be adequate to cover any future claims.

The use of our compounds in clinical trials and any future sale exposes us to liability claims that could be substantial. These claims might be made directly by healthcare providers, medical personnel, patients, consumers, pharmaceutical companies, and others selling or distributing our compounds. While we currently have product liability insurance that we consider adequate for our current needs, we may not be able to continue to obtain comparable insurance in the future at an acceptable cost, if at all. If for any reason we cannot maintain our existing or comparable liability insurance, our ability to clinically test and market products could be significantly impaired. Moreover, the amount and scope of our insurance coverage, as well as the indemnification arrangements with third parties upon which we rely, may be inadequate to protect us in the event of a successful product liability claim. Any successful claim in excess of our insurance coverage could materially and adversely affect our financial condition and operating results.

The loss of any of our key employees could adversely affect our operations.

We are heavily dependent upon the talents of Dr. David M. Goldenberg, our Chairman of the Board, Chief Scientific Officer, and Chief Patent Officer, and Ms. Cynthia L. Sullivan, our President and Chief Executive Officer, as well as certain other key personnel. If Dr. Goldenberg, Ms. Sullivan or any of our other key personnel were to unexpectedly leave our Company, our business and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected. In addition, as our business grows we will need to continue to attract additional management and scientific personnel. Competition for qualified personnel in the biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries is intense and we may not be successful in our recruitment efforts. If we are unable to attract, motivate and retain qualified professionals, our operations could be materially and adversely affected.

Certain potential for conflicts of interest, both real and perceived, exist which could result in expensive and time-consuming litigation.

Certain members of our senior management and Board of Directors have relationships and agreements, both with us as well as among themselves and their respective affiliates, which create the potential for both real, as well as perceived, conflicts of interest. These include Dr. David M. Goldenberg, our Chairman, Chief Scientific Officer, and Chief Patent Officer, Ms. Cynthia L. Sullivan, our President and Chief Executive Officer (who is also the wife of Dr. Goldenberg), and certain companies with which we do business, including the Center for Molecular Medicine and Immunology and the Garden State Cancer Center (which operates as the clinical arm of CMMI to facilitate the translation of CMMI s research efforts in the treatment of patients), collectively defined as CMMI. For example, Dr. Goldenberg is the President and a Trustee of CMMI, a not-for-profit cancer research center that we used to conduct certain research activities. CMMI is currently in the process of dissolving. Dr. Goldenberg is also a minority stockholder, director and officer of our majority-owned subsidiary, IBC Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Dr. Goldenberg is the primary inventor of new intellectual property for Immunomedics and

IBC and is largely responsible for allocating ownership between the two companies. Dr. Goldenberg also has primary responsibility for monitoring the market for incidences of potential infringement of the Company's intellectual property by third parties.

As a result of these and other relationships, the potential for both real and perceived conflicts of interest exists and disputes could arise over the allocation of funds, research projects and ownership of intellectual property rights. In addition, in the event that we become involved in stockholder litigation regarding these potential conflicts, we might be required to devote significant resources and management time defending the company from these claims, which could adversely affect our results of operations.

Given that autoimmune and cancer therapeutics such as the ones we are developing can cost upwards of \$30,000 per treatment (or more), even if our product candidates become available for sale it is likely that federal and state governments, insurance companies and other payers of health care costs will try to first limit the use of these drugs to certain patients, and may be reluctant to provide a level of reimbursement that permits us to earn a significant profit on our investment, if any.

Our ability to successfully commercialize therapeutic products will depend, in significant part, on the extent to which hospitals and physicians can obtain appropriate reimbursement levels for the cost of our products and related treatment. Third-party payers are increasingly challenging the prices charged for diagnostic and therapeutic products and related services. In addition, legislative proposals to reform health care or reduce government insurance programs may result in lower prices or the actual inability of prospective customers to purchase our products. Furthermore, even if reimbursement is available, it may not be available at price levels sufficient for us to realize a positive return on our investment.

A portion of our funding has come from federal government grants and research contracts. Due to reductions in funding, we may not be able to rely on these grants or contracts as a continuing source of funds.

During the last few years, we have generated revenues from awards made to us by the National Institutes of Health and the Department of Defense to partially fund some of our programs. We cannot rely on grants or additional contracts as a continuing source of funds. Funds available under these grants and contracts must be applied by us toward the research and development programs specified by the government rather than for all our programs generally. The government is obligation to make payments under these grants and contracts is subject to appropriation by the U.S. Congress for funding in each year. It is possible that Congress or the government agencies that administer these government research programs will continue to scale back these programs or terminate them due to their own budgetary constraints, as they have recently been doing. Additionally, these grants and research contracts are subject to adjustment based upon the results of periodic audits performed on behalf of the granting authority. Consequently, the government may not award grants or research contracts to us in the future, and any amounts that we derive from existing awards may be less than those received to date. In those circumstances, we would need to provide funding on our own, obtain other funding, or scale back or terminate the affected program. In particular, we cannot assure you that any currently-contemplated or future efforts to obtain funding for our product candidate programs through government grants or contracts will be successful, or that any such arrangements which we do conclude will supply us with sufficient funds to complete our development programs without providing additional funding on our own or obtaining other funding. Where funding is obtained from government agencies or research bodies, our intellectual property rights in the research or technology funded by the grant are typically subject to certain licenses to such agencies or bodies, which could have an impact on our utilization of such intellectual property in fut

We face a number of risks relating to the maintenance of our information systems and our use of information relating to clinical trials.

In managing our operations, we rely on computer systems and electronic communications, including systems relating to record keeping, financial information, sourcing, and back-up and the internet (Information Systems). Our Information Systems include the electronic storage of financial, operational, research, patient and

other data. Our Information Systems may be subject to interruption or damage from a variety of causes, including power outages, computer and communications failures, system capacity constraints, catastrophic events (such as fires, tornadoes and other natural disasters), cyber risks, computer viruses and security breaches. If our Information Systems cease to function properly, are damaged or are subject to unauthorized access, we may suffer interruptions in our operations, be required to make significant investments to fix or replace systems and/or be subject to fines, penalties, lawsuits, or government action. The realization of any of these risks could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Our clinical trials information and patient data (which may include personally identifiable information) is part of our Information Systems and is therefore subject to all of the risks set forth above, notwithstanding our efforts to code and protect such information.

Risks Related to Government Regulation of our Industry

Legislative or regulatory reform of the healthcare system may affect our ability to sell our products profitably.

In both the U.S. and certain foreign jurisdictions, there have been a number of legislative and regulatory proposals to change the healthcare system in ways that could impact our ability to sell our future products and profitability. On March 23, 2010, President Obama signed into law the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act (collectively, PPACA), which includes a number of health care reform provisions and requires most U.S. citizens to have health insurance. The new law, among other things, imposes a significant annual fee on companies that manufacture or import branded prescription drug products, addresses a new methodology by which rebates owed by manufacturers under the Medicaid Drug Rebate Program are calculated for drugs that are inhaled, infused, instilled, implanted or injected, increases the minimum Medicaid rebates owed by manufacturers under the Medicaid Drug Rebate Program and extends the rebate program to individuals enrolled in Medicaid managed care organizations, and establishes a new Medicare Part D coverage gap discount program, in which manufacturers must agree to offer 50% point-of-sale discounts off negotiated prices of applicable brand drugs to eligible beneficiaries during their coverage gap period, as a condition for the manufacturer s outpatient drugs to be covered under Medicare Part D. Substantial new provisions affecting compliance also have been added, which may require modification of business practices with health care practitioners.

The reforms imposed by the law will significantly impact the pharmaceutical industry; however, the full effects of PPACA cannot be known until these provisions are implemented and the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services and other federal and state agencies issue applicable regulations or guidance. Moreover, in the coming years, additional changes could be made to governmental healthcare programs that could significantly impact the success of our future products, and we could be adversely affected by current and future health care reforms.

Our industry and we are subject to intense regulation from the U.S. Government and such other governments and quasi-official regulatory bodies where our products are and product candidates may be sold.

Both before and after regulatory approval to market a particular product candidate, including our biologic product candidates, the manufacturing, labeling, packaging, adverse event reporting, storage, advertising, promotion, distribution and record keeping related to the product are subject to extensive, ongoing regulatory requirements, including, without limitation, submissions of safety and other post-marketing information and reports, registration, as well as continued compliance with current good manufacturing practice, or cGMP, requirements and good clinical practice requirements for any clinical trials that we conduct post-approval. As a result, we are subject to a number of governmental and other regulatory risks, which include:

Clinical development is a long, expensive and uncertain process; delay and failure can occur at any stage of our clinical trials;

Our clinical trials are dependent on patient enrollment and regulatory approvals; we do not know whether our planned trials will begin on time, or at all, or will be completed on schedule, or at all;

FDA or other regulatory authorities may not approve a clinical trial protocol or may place a clinical trial on hold;

We rely on third parties, such as consultants, contract research organizations, medical institutions, and clinical investigators, to conduct clinical trials for our drug candidates and if we or any of our third-party contractors fail to comply with applicable regulatory requirements, such as current good clinical practice, or cGCP, requirements, the clinical data generated in our clinical trials may be deemed unreliable and FDA, EMA or comparable foreign regulatory authorities may require us to perform additional clinical trials;

If the clinical development process is completed successfully, our ability to derive revenues from the sale of therapeutics will depend on our first obtaining FDA or other comparable foreign regulatory approvals, each of which are subject to unique risks and uncertainties;

There is no assurance that we will receive FDA or corollary foreign approval for any of our product candidates for any indication; we are subject to government regulation for the commercialization of our product candidates;

We have not received regulatory approval in the U.S. or any foreign jurisdiction for the commercial sale of any of our biologic product candidates;

Even if one or more of our product candidates does obtain approval, regulatory authorities may approve such product candidate for fewer or more limited indications than we request, may not approve the price we intend to charge for our products, may grant approval contingent on the performance of costly post-marketing clinical trials, or may approve with a label that does not include the labeling claims necessary or desirable for the successful commercialization of that product candidate;

Undesirable side effects caused by our product candidates could cause us or regulatory authorities to interrupt, delay or halt clinical trials and could result in a more restrictive label or the delay or denial of regulatory approval by FDA or other comparable foreign authorities;

Later discovery of previously unknown problems with a product, including adverse events of unanticipated severity or frequency, or with our third-party manufacturers or manufacturing processes, or failure to comply with the regulatory requirements of FDA and other applicable U.S. and foreign regulatory authorities could subject us to administrative or judicially imposed sanctions;

Although several of our product candidates have received orphan drug designation in the U.S. and the E.U. for particular indications, we may not receive orphan drug exclusivity for any or all of those product candidates or indications upon approval, and even if we do obtain orphan drug exclusivity, that exclusivity may not effectively protect the product from competition;

Even if one or more of our product candidates is approved in the U.S., it may not obtain the 12 years of exclusivity from biosimilars for which innovator biologics are eligible, and even if it does obtain such exclusivity, that exclusivity may not effectively protect the product from competition;

FDA s policies may change and additional government regulations may be enacted that could prevent, limit or delay regulatory approval of our drug candidates, and if we are slow or unable to adapt to changes in existing requirements or the adoption of new requirements or policies, or if we are not able to maintain regulatory compliance, we may lose any marketing approval that we may have obtained; and

We may be liable for contamination or other harm caused by hazardous materials used in the operations of our business. In addition, our operations are also subject to various federal and state fraud and abuse, physician payment transparency and privacy and security laws, including, without limitation:

The federal Anti-Kickback Statute, which prohibits, among other things, soliciting, receiving, offering or providing remuneration intended to induce the purchase or recommendation of an item or service reimbursable under a federal healthcare program, such as the Medicare or Medicaid programs. This statute has been applied to pharmaceutical manufacturer marketing practices, educational programs, pricing

policies and relationships with healthcare providers. A person or entity does not need to have actual knowledge of this statute or specific intent to violate it to have committed a violation;

Federal civil and criminal false claims laws and civil monetary penalty laws, including civil whistleblower or qui tam actions that prohibit, among other things, knowingly presenting, or causing to be presented, claims for payment or approval to the federal government that are false or fraudulent, knowingly making a false statement material to an obligation to pay or transmit money or property to the federal government or knowingly concealing or knowingly and improperly avoiding or decreasing an obligation to pay or transmit money or property to the federal government. The government may assert that a claim including items or services resulting from a violation of the federal Anti-Kickback Statute constitutes a false or fraudulent claim for purposes of the false claims statutes;

The federal Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, or HIPAA, and its implementing regulations, which created federal criminal laws that prohibit, among other things, executing a scheme to defraud any healthcare benefit program or making false statements relating to healthcare matters;

HIPAA, as amended by the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act, also imposes certain regulatory and contractual requirements regarding the privacy, security and transmission of individually identifiable health information;

Federal sunshine requirements imposed by PPACA on drug manufacturers regarding any transfer of value made or distributed to physicians and teaching hospitals, and any ownership and investment interests held by such physicians and their immediate family members. Failure to submit the required information may result in civil monetary penalties of up an aggregate of \$150,000 per year (and up to an aggregate of \$1 million per year for knowing failures), for all payments, transfers of value or ownership or investment interests not reported in an annual submission, and may result in liability under other federal laws or regulations; and

State and foreign law equivalents of each of the above federal laws, such as anti-kickback and false claims laws that may apply to items or services reimbursed by any third-party payor, including commercial insurers; state laws that require drug manufacturers to comply with the industry s voluntary compliance guidelines and the relevant compliance guidance promulgated by the federal government or otherwise restrict payments that may be made to healthcare providers; state laws that require drug manufacturers to report information related to payments and other transfers of value to physicians and other healthcare providers or marketing expenditures; and state laws governing the privacy and security of certain health information, many of which differ from each other in significant ways and often are not preempted by HIPAA.

Because of the breadth of these laws and the narrowness of the statutory exceptions and safe harbors available under such laws, it is possible that some of our business activities, including certain sales and marketing practices and financial arrangements with physicians, could be subject to challenge under one or more of such laws. Any action against us, even if we successfully defend against it, could result in the commencement of civil and/or criminal proceedings, exclusion from governmental health care programs, substantial fines, penalties, and/or administrative remedies, any of which could have an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Risks Related to Our Securities

Conversion of the 4.75% Convertible Senior Notes will dilute the ownership interest of existing stockholders and could adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

The conversion of some or all of the 4.75% Convertible Senior Notes (Notes) will dilute the ownership interests of existing stockholders. Any sales in the public market of the common stock issuable upon such conversion and exercise could adversely affect prevailing market prices of our common stock. In addition, the existence of the 4.75% Convertible Senior Notes may encourage short selling by market participants.

Our indebtedness and debt service obligations may adversely affect our cash flow.

As of June 30, 2015, our total consolidated indebtedness was \$110.3 million, including our obligations under our 4.75% Convertible Senior Notes. We intend to fulfill our current debt service obligations, including repayment of the principal from our existing cash and investments, as well as the proceeds from potential licensing agreements and any additional financing from equity or debt transactions. However, our ability to make scheduled payments of the principal of, to pay interest on or to refinance our indebtedness, including the Notes, depends on our future performance, which is subject to economic, financial, competitive and other factors beyond our control. Our business may not generate cash flow from operations in the future sufficient to service our debt and make necessary capital expenditures. If we are unable to generate such cash flow to meet these obligations, we may be required to adopt one or more alternatives, such as selling assets, restructuring debt or obtaining additional equity capital on terms that may be onerous or highly dilutive, or delaying or curtailing research and development programs. Our ability to refinance our indebtedness will depend on the capital markets and our financial condition at such time. We may not be able to engage in any of these activities or engage in these activities on desirable terms, which could result in a default on our debt obligations.

Our common stock may be delisted from the NASDAQ Global Market, or NASDAQ.

If the bid price of our common stock falls below \$1.00 for an extended period, or we are unable to continue to meet NASDAQ s listing maintenance standards for any other reason, our common stock could be delisted from NASDAQ.

If our stock is delisted from NASDAQ, we will make every possible effort to have it listed on the Over the Counter Bulletin Board (the OTC Bulletin Board). If our common stock was to be traded on the OTC Bulletin Board, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and related SEC rules would impose additional sales practice requirements on broker-dealers that sell our securities. These rules may adversely affect the ability of stockholders to sell our common stock and otherwise negatively affect the liquidity, trading market and price of our common stock.

If our common stock would not be able to be traded on the OTC Bulletin Board, we would make every effort to have it available for trading on the National Quotation Bureau s Pink Sheets, or the Pink Sheets. The Pink Sheets market consists of security firms who act as market makers in the stocks, usually, of very small companies. The bid and asked prices are not quoted electronically, but are quoted daily in hard copy which is delivered to firms that subscribe. Stocks that trade in the Pink Sheets are usually not as liquid as those that trade in electronic markets and, often time, the difference between the bid and the asked prices are substantial. As a result, if our common stock were traded on the Pink Sheets, there would likely be a further negative affect on the liquidity, trading market and price of our common stock even compared to what we might suffer if we were traded on the OTC Bulletin Board.

As a result of the above, we cannot assure you that our common stock will be listed on a national securities exchange, a national quotation service, the OTC Bulletin Board or the Pink Sheets; or if it is to be listed, whether or not there would be an interruption in the trading of our common stock. We believe that the listing of our stock on a recognized national trading market, such as NASDAQ, is an important part of our business and strategy. Such a listing helps our stockholders by providing a readily available trading market with current quotations. Without that, stockholders may have a difficult time getting a quote for the sale or purchase of our stock, the sale or purchase of our stock would likely be made more difficult and the trading volume and liquidity of our stock would likely decline. The absence of such a listing may adversely affect the acceptance of our common stock as currency or the value accorded it by other parties. In that regard, listing on a recognized national trading market will also affect our ability to benefit from the use of its operations and expansion plans, including for use in licensing agreements, joint ventures, the development of strategic relationships and acquisitions, which are critical to our business and strategy and none of which is currently the subject of any agreement, arrangement or understanding, with respect to any future financing or strategic relationship it may undertake. The delisting from NASDAQ would result in negative publicity and would negatively impact our ability to raise capital in the future.

If we were delisted from NASDAQ, we may become subject to the trading complications experienced by Penny Stocks in the over-the-counter market.

Delisting from NASDAQ may depress the price of our common stock such that we may become a penny stock. The SEC generally defines a penny stock as an equity security that has a market price of less than \$5.00 per share or an exercise price of less than \$5.00 per share, subject to specific exemptions. We continue to be listed on NASDAQ. Penny Stock rules require, among other things, that any broker engaging in a purchase or sale of our securities provide its customers with: (i) a risk disclosure document; (ii) disclosure of market quotations, if any; (iii) disclosure of the compensation of the broker and its salespersons in the transaction; and (iv) monthly account statements showing the market values of our securities held in the customers—accounts.

A broker would be required to provide the bid and offer quotations and compensation information before effecting the transaction. This information must be contained on the customers—confirmation. Generally, brokers are less willing to effect transactions in penny stocks due to these additional delivery requirements. These requirements may make it more difficult for stockholders to purchase or sell our common stock. Because the broker, not us, prepares this information, we would not be able to assure that such information is accurate, complete or current.

We may add lease lines to finance capital expenditures and may obtain additional long-term debt and lines of credit. If we issue other debt securities in the future, our debt service obligations will increase further.

Our indebtedness could have significant additional negative consequences, including, but not limited to:

requiring the dedication of a substantial portion of our existing cash and marketable securities balances and, if available, future cash flow from operations to service our indebtedness, thereby reducing the amount of our expected cash flow available for other purposes, including capital expenditures;

increasing our vulnerability to general adverse economic and industry conditions;

limiting our ability to obtain additional financing;

limiting our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industry in which we compete; and

placing us at a possible competitive disadvantage to less leveraged competitors and competitors that have better access to capital resources. We may not have the ability to raise funds necessary to purchase the Convertible Senior Notes upon a fundamental change and our future debt may contain limitations on our ability to repurchase the 4.75% Convertible Senior Notes.

Following a fundamental change as described in the indenture, holders of Notes will have the right to require the Company to purchase their Notes for cash. A fundamental change may also constitute an event of default or require prepayment under, and result in the acceleration of the maturity of, our other than existing indebtedness. We cannot assure you that we will have sufficient financial resources, or will be able to arrange financing, to pay the fundamental change purchase price in cash with respect to any Notes surrendered by holders for purchase upon a fundamental change. In addition, restrictions in the agreements governing our then-outstanding indebtedness, if any, may not allow us to purchase the Notes upon a fundamental change. Our failure to purchase the Notes upon a fundamental change when required would result in an event of default with respect to the Notes which could, in turn, constitute a default under the terms of our other indebtedness, if any. If the repayment of the related indebtedness were to be accelerated after any applicable notice or grace periods, we may not have sufficient funds to repay the indebtedness and purchase the Notes, which could have a material and adverse impact on our financial condition and results of operations.

Shares eligible for future sale may adversely affect our ability to sell equity securities.

Sales of our common stock (including the issuance of shares upon conversion of convertible debt) in the public market could materially and adversely affect the market price of shares. We have outstanding \$100 million principal amount of 4.75% Convertible Senior Notes that convert to common stock at prices equivalent to \$5.11 (subject to adjustment for certain dilutive events). Our obligation to convert the 4.75% Notes upon demand by the holders may depress the price of our common stock and also make it more difficult for us to sell equity securities or equity-related securities in the future at a time and price that we deem appropriate.

As of June 30, 2015 we had 94,511,853 shares of common stock outstanding, plus (1) \$100 million of principal amount of 4.75% Notes convertible into up to approximately 19,583,360 shares of common stock at the conversion rate of \$5.11 subject to adjustment as described in the indenture, (2) 4,525,340 options to purchase shares of common stock with a weighted-average exercise price of \$3.48 per share, (3) 706,881 restricted stock units to purchase shares of common stock as they are vested, (4) 12,119,108 options to purchase shares of common stock under the Plan and (5) warrants to purchase 1,000,000 shares of common stock with an exercise price of \$8.00. Effective July 1, 2015 with the Amended and Restated Employment Agreement with Dr. Goldenberg, an additional 1,500,000 of restricted stock units were issued to Dr. Goldenberg. All of the remaining 21,053,458 shares of common stock are freely tradable without restriction.

Our outstanding Convertible Senior Notes, options and warrants may adversely affect our ability to consummate future equity-based financings due to the dilution potential to future investors.

Due to the number of shares of common stock we are obligated to issue pursuant to outstanding Convertible Senior Notes, options and warrants, potential investors may not purchase our future equity offerings at market price because of the potential dilution such investors may suffer as a result of the exercise of the outstanding Convertible Senior Notes, options and warrants.

Our outstanding 4.75% Convertible Senior Notes may adversely affect our ability to consummate future equity-based financings due to the restrictive covenants contained in the Indenture pursuant to which the 4.75% Notes were issued.

Holders of our 4.75% Notes have certain rights that may inhibit our ability to raise additional capital. Those rights include (a) full-ratchet anti-dilution protection in the event we sell securities at a price lower than the applicable conversion or exercise price of the 4.75% Notes and (b) the right to *pro rata* participation in any future financing.

The market price of our common stock has fluctuated widely in the past, and is likely to continue to fluctuate widely based on a number of factors, many of which are beyond our control.

The market price of our common stock has been, and is likely to continue to be, highly volatile. Furthermore, the stock market and the market for stocks of relatively small biopharmaceutical companies like ours have from time to time experienced, and likely will again experience, significant price and volume fluctuations that are unrelated to actual operating performance.

From time to time, stock market analysts publish research reports or otherwise comment upon our business and future prospects. Due to a number of factors, we may fail to meet the expectations of securities analysts or investors and our stock price would likely decline as a result. These factors include:

Announcements by us, our current collaboration partners, any future alliance partners or our competitors of pre-clinical studies and clinical trial results, regulatory developments, technological innovations or new therapeutic products, product sales, new products or product candidates and product development timelines;

The formation or termination of corporate alliances;

Developments in patent or other proprietary rights by us or our respective competitors, including litigation;

Developments or disputes concerning our patent or other proprietary rights, and the issuance of patents in our field of business to others;

Government regulatory action;

Period-to-period fluctuations in the results of our operations; and

Developments and market conditions for emerging growth companies and biopharmaceutical companies, in general.

In addition, Internet chat rooms have provided forums where investors make predictions about our business and prospects, oftentimes without any real basis in fact, that readers may trade on.

In the past, following periods of volatility in the market prices of the securities of companies in our industry, securities class action litigation has often been instituted against those companies. Please see Item 3 (Legal Proceedings) for a description of such litigation. If we face such litigation in the future, it would result in substantial costs and a diversion of management s attention and resources, which could negatively impact our business.

Our principal stockholder can significantly influence all matters requiring the approval by our stockholders.

As of June 30, 2015, Dr. David M. Goldenberg, our Chairman of the Board, Chief Scientific Officer and Chief Patent Officer, together with certain members of his family, including Ms. Cynthia L. Sullivan, our President and Chief Executive Officer, who is Dr. Goldenberg s wife, and other affiliates, controlled the right to vote approximately 8% of our outstanding common stock and 7% of our fully diluted common stock. As a result of this voting power, Dr. Goldenberg has the ability to significantly influence the outcome of substantially all matters that may be put to a vote of our stockholders, including the election of our directors.

There are limitations on the liability of our directors, and we may have to indemnify our officers and directors in certain instances.

Our certificate of incorporation limits, to the maximum extent permitted under Delaware law, the personal liability of our directors for monetary damages for breach of their fiduciary duties as directors. Our bylaws provide that we will indemnify our officers and directors and may indemnify our employees and other agents to the fullest extent permitted by law. These provisions may be in some respects broader than the specific indemnification provisions under Delaware law. The indemnification provisions may require us, among other things, to indemnify such officers and directors against certain liabilities that may arise by reason of their status or service as directors or officers (other than liabilities arising from willful misconduct of a culpable nature), to advance their expenses incurred as a result of any proceeding against them as to which they could be indemnified and to obtain directors—and officers—insurance. Section 145 of the Delaware General Corporation Law provides that a corporation may indemnify a director, officer, employee or agent made or threatened to be made a party to an action by reason of the fact that he or she was a director, officer, employee or agent of the corporation or was serving at the request of the corporation, against expenses actually and reasonably incurred in connection with such action if he or she acted in good faith and in a manner he or she reasonably believed to be in, or not opposed to, the best interests of the corporation, and, with respect to any criminal action or proceeding, had no reasonable cause to believe his or her conduct was unlawful. Delaware law does not permit a corporation to eliminate a director—s duty of care and the provisions of our certificate of incorporation have no effect on the availability of equitable remedies, such as injunction or rescission, for a director—s breach of the duty of care.

We believe that our limitation of officer and director liability assists us to attract and retain qualified employees and directors. However, in the event an officer, a director or the board of directors commits an act that may legally be indemnified under Delaware law, we will be responsible to pay for such officer(s) or director(s) legal defense and potentially any damages resulting there from. Furthermore, the limitation on director liability

may reduce the likelihood of derivative litigation against directors and may discourage or deter stockholders from instituting litigation against directors for breach of their fiduciary duties, even though such an action, if successful, might benefit our stockholders and us. Given the difficult environment and potential for incurring liabilities currently facing directors of publicly-held corporations, we believe that director indemnification is in our and our stockholders best interests because it enhances our ability to attract and retain highly qualified directors and reduce a possible deterrent to entrepreneurial decision-making.

Nevertheless, limitations of director liability may be viewed as limiting the rights of stockholders, and the broad scope of the indemnification provisions contained in our certificate of incorporation and bylaws could result in increased expenses. Our board of directors believes, however, that these provisions will provide a better balancing of the legal obligations of, and protections for, directors and will contribute positively to the quality and stability of our corporate governance. Our board of directors has concluded that the benefit to stockholders of improved corporate governance outweighs any possible adverse effects on stockholders of reducing the exposure of directors to liability and broadened indemnification rights.

We are exposed to potential risks from legislation requiring companies to evaluate controls under Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act requires that we maintain effective internal controls over financial reporting and disclosure controls and procedures. Among other things, we must perform system and process evaluation and testing of our internal controls over financial reporting to allow management to report on, and our independent registered public accounting firm to attest to, our internal controls over financial reporting, as required by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act (Section 404). Compliance with Section 404 requires substantial accounting expense and significant management efforts. Our testing, or the subsequent review by our independent registered public accounting firm, may reveal deficiencies in our internal controls that would require us to remediate in a timely manner so as to be able to comply with the requirements of Section 404 each year. If we are not able to comply with the requirements of Section 404 in a timely manner each year, we could be subject to sanctions or investigations by the SEC, the NASDAQ GMS or other regulatory authorities that would require additional financial and management resources and could adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

We do not intend to pay dividends on our common stock. Until such time as we pay cash dividends our stockholders, must rely on increases in our stock price for appreciation.

We have never declared or paid dividends on our common stock. We intend to retain future earnings to develop and commercialize our product candidates and therefore we do not intend to pay cash dividends in the foreseeable future. Until such time as we determine to pay cash dividends on our common stock, our stockholders must rely on increases in the market price of our common stock for appreciation.

Item 1B. *Unresolved Staff Comments* None.

Item 2. Properties

Our headquarters is located at 300 The American Road, Morris Plains, New Jersey 07950, where we lease approximately 85,000 square feet of commercial office space, pursuant to a lease which is scheduled to expire in October 2031. The current base annual rate is \$0.8 million, which is a fixed rate through October 2016 and increases thereafter every five years. Our manufacturing, regulatory, medical, research and development laboratories, and our finance, marketing and executive offices are currently located in this facility. We operate a 7,500 square-foot manufacturing facility within our Morris Plains headquarters, which consists of four independent antibody manufacturing suites, several support areas, and a quality control laboratory. See Item 1 Business, Manufacturing. In addition, our European subsidiary, Immunomedics GmbH, leases executive office space in Darmstadt, Germany.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings Shareholder complaints:

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014, two separate shareholder derivative complaints were filed against the Company. First, on March 24, 2014, a complaint styled *Kops v. Goldenberg, et al.*, was filed in the Superior Court of New Jersey, Chancery Division, General Equity Part, Morris County. Second, on April 18, 2014, a complaint styled *Breitman v. Sullivan, et al.*, was filed in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey. The complaints alleged, among other things, that the Company and certain directors and officers breached their fiduciary duties for disseminating false and misleading information relating to the termination of the Nycomed Agreement. In particular, the complaints alleged that defendants failed to make timely disclosure concerning a dispute concerning a delay in the development of veltuzumab. On October 9, 2013, the Company announced that the Nycomed Agreement was terminated. The complaints alleged that the breaches in fiduciary duties by the directors and officers caused damages to the Company and stockholders, including a decline in value of the Company s common stock, increased investigatory and litigation costs, and exposure to civil liability as a result of a pending securities fraud class action suit. Plaintiffs brought the derivative actions to recover damages against the directors and officers for the benefit of the Company, and to require the Company to reform and improve its corporate governance and internal procedures. On February 13, 2015, the *Kops* matter was dismissed without prejudice pursuant to a stipulation entered into by the parties. The *Breitman* matter is presently stayed pending the outcome of a motion to dismiss in a related putative class action lawsuit, described below. The defendants believe that the allegations in the derivative complaints are without merit and intend to defend the lawsuits vigorously; however, there can be no assurance regarding the ultimate outcome of these lawsuits.

A putative class action lawsuit, styled Nasyrova v. Immunomedics, Inc., was filed on February 27, 2014, in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey. The lawsuit alleged that the Company and certain of its current and former officers and directors failed to disclose and/or made material misstatements in the Company s public filings relating to the termination of the Nycomed Agreement. In particular, the complaint alleged that defendants failed to make timely disclosure concerning a dispute concerning a delay in the development of veltuzumab. On October 9, 2013, the Company announced that the Nycomed Agreement was terminated. The complaint alleged violations of Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. On June 24, 2014 the District Court entered an order appointing John Neff as lead plaintiff and The Rosen Law Firm, P.A. as lead counsel. Lead plaintiff and lead counsel thereafter filed an Amended Class Action Complaint on August 8, 2014. The defendants believed that the allegations in the class action complaint were without merit and intended to defend the lawsuit vigorously. Defendants moved to dismiss the complaint on September 22, 2014. On January 29, 2015 the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey granted the Company s motion to dismiss the complaint in its entirety. The Court s opinion concluded that Immunomedics had no duty to disclose issues raised with Takeda-Nycomed prior to the termination of the Nycomed Agreement. The Court granted Plaintiffs thirty days from the date of the opinion in order to file an amended complaint. On February 27, 2015 a second amended complaint was filed by the plaintiff alleging failure to disclose information related to the status of the agreement. The Company filed a motion to dismiss the second amended complaint on April 13, 2015. On July 15, 2015, the Court granted the motion to dismiss the second amended complaint with prejudice, concluding that the Company had no duty to make the disclosure of information concerning the agreement at an earlier time and that there was no misstatement or omission sufficient to support the claim. The Court ordered this action closed.

Patent litigation:

On June 26, 2015, Immunomedics filed a complaint in the United State District Court for the District of New Jersey, against The Board of Directors of Roger Williams Medical Center (RWMC), Richard P. Junghans, M.D., Ph.D., Steven C. Katz, M.D., and the Office of the Board of Advisors of Tufts University School of Medicine. The complaint alleges that RWMC and Dr. Junghans breached a Material Transfer Agreement (MTA) through which it provided to them a monoclonal antibody known as MN-14 and related materials. Those parties are alleged to have breached the MTA and to have been negligent by, among other

things, using the materials beyond the agreed Research Project, sharing confidential information, failing to notify Immunomedics of intended publications prior to publishing, and refusing to return the materials upon request. Immunomedics also asserts against all defendants claims of intentional interference with perspective economic gain and contracts, misappropriation, conversion, bailment, and several copyright violations.

Other matters:

Immunomedics is also a party to various claims and litigation arising in the normal course of business, which includes some or all of certain of its patents. While it is not possible to determine the outcome of these matters, the Company believes that the resolution of all such matters will not have a material adverse effect on its consolidated financial position or liquidity, but could possibly be material to its consolidated results of operations in any one accounting period.

Item 4. *Mine Safety Disclosures* Not applicable

PART II

Item 5. Market For Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities Market Price and Dividend Information

Our common stock is quoted on the NASDAQ Global Market under the symbol IMMU. The following table sets forth, for the last two fiscal years, the high and low sales prices for our common stock, as reported by the NASDAQ Global Market:

Fiscal Quarter Ended	High	Low
September 30, 2013	\$ 6.91	\$ 4.85
December 31, 2013	7.35	3.28
March 31, 2014	6.17	4.18
June 30, 2014	4.59	3.04
September 30, 2014	\$ 3.99	\$ 3.08
December 31, 2014	4.98	3.15
March 31, 2015	5.48	3.64
June 30, 2015	5.05	3.56

As of August 18, 2015, the closing sales price of our common stock on the NASDAQ Global Market was \$1.91. As of August 18, 2015, there were approximately 406 stockholders of record of our common stock and, according to our estimates, approximately 15,168 beneficial owners of our common stock. We have not paid dividends on our common stock since inception and do not plan to pay cash dividends in the foreseeable future.

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

The following table provides information with respect to our compensation plans under which equity compensation is authorized as of June 30, 2015.

Plan Category	Number of securities to be issued upon vesting of restricted shares and exercise of outstanding options and rights	exerc	ed-average cise price of ling options I rights	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders ⁽¹⁾ Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	5,232,221	\$	3.01	12,119,108
Total	5,232,221	\$	3.01	12,119,108

(1) Refers to the Company s 2014 Long-Term Incentive Plan.

STOCK PERFORMANCE GRAPH

This graph is not soliciting material, and is not deemed filed with the SEC and not to be incorporated by reference in any filing by our Company under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Exchange Act, whether made before or after the date hereof and irrespective of any general incorporation language in any such filing. The total return values data is prepared by the NASDAQ OMX Global Index Group. Total Return Indexes are posted on NASDAQ Online on a monthly basis.

The following graph compares the yearly change in cumulative total stockholder return on the Company s common stock for the prior five fiscal years with the total cumulative return of the NASDAQ Composite Index and the NASDAQ Pharmaceutical Index. The returns are indexed to a value of \$100 at June 30, 2010.

	6/30/10	6/30/11	6/30/12	6/30/13	6/30/14	6/30/15
Immunomedics	100	132	115	176	118	131
NASDAQ Composite	100	131	137	166	208	222
NASDAQ Pharmaceutical	100	124	144	177	227	267

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following table sets forth our consolidated financial data as of and for each of the five fiscal years ended June 30, 2015. The selected consolidated financial data as of and for each of the five fiscal years ended June 30, 2015, has been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements. The audited consolidated financial statements as of June 30, 2015 and 2014 and for the years ended June 30, 2015, 2014 and 2013 are included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The information below should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements (and notes thereon) and Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

	2015	Fiscal year ended Jun 2014 2013 (In thousands, except per sha	2012	2011
Statements of Comprehensive (Loss) Income				
Revenues	\$ 5,653	\$ 9,042 \$ 4,962	\$ 32,734	\$ 14,709
Costs and expenses	51,873	44,622 35,754	31,291	32,900
Arbitration settlement, net		16,739		
Insurance proceeds received		2,638		279
Qualifying Therapeutic Discovery Project Program				2,889
Gain on sales and redemptions of auction rate securities				455
Interest and other income, net	246	56 10	19	240
Interest expense ⁽¹⁾	(2,091)			
Foreign currency transaction (loss) gain, net	(1)	1 (37)	13	26
(Loss) income before income tax expense	(48,066)	(35,523) (11,442)	1,475	(14,302)
Income tax expense	(58)	(8) (44)	(210)	(110)
Net (loss) income	(48,124)	(35,531) (11,486)	1,265	(14,412)
Less net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest	(122)	(105) (105)	(114)	(174)
Net (loss) income attributable to Immunomedics	\$ (48,002)	\$ (35,426) \$ (11,381)	\$ 1,379	\$ (14,238)
(Loss) earnings per common share attributable to Immunomedics, Inc:				
Basic	\$ (0.51)	\$ (0.42) \$ (0.15)	\$ 0.02	\$ (0.19)
Diluted	\$ (0.51)	\$ (0.42) \$ (0.15)	\$ 0.02	\$ (0.19)
Weighted average shares outstanding used to calculate (loss) earnings per common share:				
Basic	93,315	84,632 78,040	75,481	75,313
Diluted	93,315	84,632 78,040	76,174	75,313
	2015	As of June 30, 2014 2013 (In thousands)	2012	2011
Balance Sheets		h 44 000	A.22.020	
Cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities	\$ 99,618	\$ 41,833 \$ 41,326		\$ 27,098
Total assets	105,780	47,486 47,927	38,635	34,325
Convertible senior notes, net of debt issuance costs	96,625			
Stockholders (deficit) equit [©]	\$ (4,525)	\$ 38,859 \$ 39,795	\$ 34,169	\$ 29,504

⁽¹⁾ Interest expense represents the Convertible Senior Notes interest expense (\$1.8 million) and amortized debt issuance costs (\$0.3 million).

⁽²⁾ We have never paid cash dividends on our common stock. Stockholders (deficit) equity represents Immunomedics, Inc. stockholders equity and the non-controlling interest in subsidiary.

Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The SEC encourages companies to disclose forward-looking information so that investors can better understand a company s future prospects and make informed investment decisions. This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains such forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements may be made directly in this Annual Report, and they may also be made a part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K by reference to other documents filed with the SEC, which is known as incorporation by reference.

Words such as may, anticipate, estimate, expects, projects, intends, plans, believes and words and terms of similar substance used in connection with any discussion of future operating or financial performance, are intended to identify forward-looking statements. All forward-looking statements are management s present expectations of future events and are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those described in the forward-looking statements. These risks and uncertainties include, among other things: our inability to further identify, develop and achieve commercial success for new products and technologies; the possibility of delays in the research and development necessary to select drug development candidates and delays in clinical trials: the risk that clinical trials may not result in marketable products: the risk that we may be unable to obtain additional capital through strategic collaborations, licensing, issuance of convertible debt securities or equity financing in order to continue our research and development programs as well as secure regulatory approval of and market our drug candidates; our dependence upon pharmaceutical and biotechnology collaborations; the levels and timing of payments under our collaborative agreements; uncertainties about our ability to obtain new corporate collaborations and acquire new technologies on satisfactory terms, if at all; the development of competing products; our ability to protect our proprietary technologies; patent-infringement claims; and risks of new, changing and competitive technologies and regulations in the United States and internationally. Please also see the discussion of risks and uncertainties under Item 1A. Risk Factors Factors That May Affect Our Business and Results of Operations in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

In light of these assumptions, risks and uncertainties, the results and events discussed in the forward-looking statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K or in any document incorporated by reference might not occur. Stockholders are cautioned not to place undue reliance on the forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K or the date of the document incorporated by reference in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, as applicable. We are not under any obligation, and we expressly disclaim any obligation, to update or alter any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise except as may be required by applicable law. All subsequent forward-looking statements attributable to the Company or to any person acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements contained or referred to in this section.

Overview

We are a biopharmaceutical company primarily focused on the development of monoclonal, antibody-based products for the targeted treatment of cancer, autoimmune and other serious diseases. We have developed a number of advanced proprietary technologies that allow us to create humanized antibodies that can be used either alone in unlabeled, or naked, form, or conjugated with radioactive isotopes, chemotherapeutics, cytokines or toxins, in each case to create highly targeted agents. Using these technologies, we have built a broad pipeline of therapeutic product candidates that utilize several different mechanisms of action. In oncology, we have launched a Phase 3 pivotal trial for clivatuzumab tetraxetan labeled with a radioisotope in pancreatic cancer patients. Other solid tumor therapeutics in Phase 2 clinical development includes 2 antibody-drug conjugates, sacituzumab govitecan (IMMU-132) and labetuzumab govitecan (IMMU-130). We also have a majority ownership in IBC Pharmaceuticals, Inc., which is developing a novel DOCK-AND-LOCK® (DNL®) method with us for making fusion proteins and multifunctional antibodies. DNL® is being used particularly to make bispecific antibodies targeting cancers and infectious diseases as a T-cell redirecting immunotherapy, as well as bispecific antibodies for next-generation cancer and autoimmune disease therapies.

We have also been one of the first companies to test antibody combinations as a possibly improved method of cancer therapy, and, as a result, have also embarked on the development of bispecific (bifunctional) monoclonal antibodies targeting two distinct antigens on the same cancer cells. We believe that our portfolio of intellectual property, which includes approximately 267 active patents in the U.S. and more than 400 other issued patents worldwide, protects our product candidates and technologies.

We have continued to transition our focus away from the development and commercialization of diagnostic imaging products in order to accelerate the development of our therapeutic product candidates, although we manufacture and commercialize our LeukoScan® product in territories where regulatory approvals have previously been granted. LeukoScan® is indicated for diagnostic imaging for determining the location and extent of infection/inflammation in bone in patients with suspected osteomyelitis, including patients with diabetic foot ulcers.

From inception in 1982 through June 30, 2015, we had an accumulated deficit of approximately \$309.5 million. In the absence of increased revenues from the sale of current or future products and licensing activities (the amount, timing, nature or source of which cannot be predicted), our losses will continue as we conduct our research and development activities. These activities are budgeted to expand over time and will require further resources if we are to be successful. As a result, our operating losses are likely to be substantial over the next several years.

The development and commercialization of successful therapeutic products is subject to numerous risks and uncertainties including, without limitation, the following:

the type of therapeutic compound under investigation and nature of the disease in connection with which the compound is being studied;

our ability, as well as the ability of our partners, to conduct and complete clinical trials on a timely basis;

the time required for us to comply with all applicable federal, state and foreign legal requirements, including, without limitation, our receipt of the necessary approvals of the FDA;

the financial resources available to us during any particular period; and

many other factors associated with the commercial development of therapeutic products outside of our control. (See Risk Factors under Item 1A in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for other factors.)

Critical Accounting Policies and Accounting Estimates

A critical accounting policy is one that is both important to the portrayal of our financial condition and results of operation and requires management s most difficult, subjective or complex judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain.

For a description of our significant accounting policies, see Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements Note 2 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies. Of these policies, the following are considered critical to an understanding of the Company s Consolidated Financial Statements as they require the application of the most difficult, subjective and complex judgments; (i) Marketable securities, (ii) Revenue recognition, (iii) Research and development costs, and (iv) Reimbursement of research and development costs and (v) Stock-based compensation.

The Company s critical accounting estimates and assumptions impacting the consolidated financial statements relate to stock compensation expenses. See Note 2 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies for the basis of related assumptions.

Results of Operations

Fiscal Year 2015 compared to Fiscal Year 2014

Revenues

Revenues for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015 were \$5.7 million as compared to \$9.0 million for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014, representing a decrease of \$3.3 million or 37%. The decrease was primarily due to \$4.6 million of license fee and other revenue during fiscal 2014 resulting from revenue earned upon fulfilling our obligations in the Bayer Collaboration Agreement, as amended, partially offset by the agreement s \$1.0 million clinical milestone payment in fiscal year 2015. Product sales of LeukoScan in Europe for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 were \$2.6 million and \$3.1 million, respectively, representing a decrease of \$0.5 million, or 16%, due to unfavorable fluctuations in the currency rates in Europe and sales volume decline of LeukoScan in Europe principally due to timing. Research and development revenues for the year ended June 30, 2015 were \$1.8 million as compared to \$1.3 million for the same period in 2014, representing an increase of \$0.5 million, or 38%, due primarily to an increase in the number of grant programs and level of activity during the current year.

Costs and Expenses

Total costs and expenses for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015 were \$51.9 million as compared to \$44.6 million in the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014, representing an increase of \$7.3 million, or 16%. Research and development expenses for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015 increased by \$8.0 million, or 24%, to \$41.7 million from \$33.7 million in fiscal year ended June 30, 2014. The increase in research and development expenses resulted primarily from the continuing efforts of the clivatuzumab tetraxetan Phase 3 clinical trial for the treatment of patients with pancreatic cancer and increased clinical trial expenses and manufacturing costs for the antibody-drug conjugates clinical trials. This growth of research and development spending is expected to continue with the increased costs associated with the Phase 3 clinical trial as well as the expanding antibody-drug conjugates clinical trials.

Cost of goods sold was \$0.3 million in both fiscal years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014. Gross profit margins were 90% and 89% for fiscal years 2015 and 2014, respectively. Cost of license fee and other revenues of \$1.2 million resulted from the recognition of manufacturing costs related to the Bayer Collaboration Agreement which was completed during fiscal 2014.

Sales and marketing expenses decreased from \$1.1 million for the 2014 fiscal year to \$0.8 million for the 2015 fiscal year. The decrease of \$0.3 million, or 27%, was primarily due to the European regulatory fees for the sale of LeukoScan® product in Europe in the prior year. There were no such charges for the current fiscal year. General and administrative expenses for fiscal year 2015 increased by \$0.8 million, or 10%, from \$8.3 million in fiscal year 2014 to \$9.1 million in fiscal year 2015. This increase is primarily attributable to approximately \$0.9 million of increased legal and professional fees, (principally increased legal fees regarding the arbitration proceedings with Takeda-Nycomed, a former licensing partner which agreement was terminated during the 2014 fiscal year).

Interest Expense

Interest expense for the year ended June 30, 2015 was \$2.1 million, which related to the issuance in February 2015 of \$100.0 million of 4.75% Convertible Senior Notes, due in February 2020 and included amortization of debt issuance costs of \$0.3 million. There was no interest expense for the year ended June 30, 2014.

Income Tax Expense

Income tax expense was \$58 thousand and \$8 thousand for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Income tax expense in 2015 was higher than in 2014 due to increased profitability in foreign operations in fiscal year 2015. There was no federal income tax expense for both periods for domestic operations due to losses in both fiscal years.

Net Loss Attributable to Immunomedics, Inc. Stockholders

Net loss attributable to Immunomedics, Inc., common stockholders for fiscal year 2015 is \$48.0 million, or \$0.51 per share, as compared to net loss of \$35.4 million, or \$0.42 per share in fiscal year 2014, representing an increase in net loss of \$12.6 million. The increase in net loss attributable to Immunomedics, Inc. resulted primarily due to increased research and development costs related to clinical trials, increased legal and professional fees, interest expense and the decrease in other revenues received in the prior period that related to the Collaboration Agreement.

Fiscal Year 2014 compared to Fiscal Year 2013

Revenues

Revenues for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014 were \$9.0 million as compared to \$5.0 million for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013, representing an increase of \$4.0 million or 80%. The increase was primarily due to \$4.6 million of license fee and other revenue during fiscal 2014 resulting from revenue earned upon fulfilling our obligations in the Bayer Collaboration Agreement, as amended. Product sales of LeukoScan® in Europe for the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 were \$3.1 million and \$3.0 million, respectively, representing an increase of \$0.1 million, or 3%. Research and development revenues for the year ended June 30, 2014 were \$1.3 million as compared to \$1.8 million for the same period in 2013, representing a decrease of \$0.5 million, or 28%, due to lower levels of grant program activity during the 2014 fiscal year.

Costs and Expenses

Total costs and expenses for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014 were \$44.6 million as compared to \$35.8 million in the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013, representing an increase of \$8.8 million, or 25%. Research and development expenses for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014 increased by \$5.3 million, or 19%, to \$33.7 million from \$28.4 million in fiscal year ended June 30, 2013. The increase in research and development expenses resulted primarily from increased manufacturing costs for material used for the antibody-drug conjugates—clinical trial related expenses and the initiation of the clivatuzumab tetraxetan Phase 3 clinical trial, for the treatment of patients with pancreatic cancer.

Cost of goods sold was \$0.3 million in the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014 and \$0.4 in the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013. Gross profit margins were 89% and 87% for fiscal years 2014 and 2013, respectively. Cost of license fee and other revenues of \$1.2 million resulted from the recognition of manufacturing costs related to the Algeta service agreement which was completed during fiscal 2014.

Sales and marketing expenses increased from \$0.8 million for the 2013 fiscal year to \$1.1 million for the 2014 fiscal year. This increase of 38% was primarily due to the increased European regulatory fees required for the sale of LeukoScan® product in Europe in the 2014 fiscal year. General and administrative expenses for fiscal year 2014 increased by \$2.1 million, or 34%, from \$6.2 million in fiscal year 2013 to \$8.3 million in fiscal year 2014. This increase was primarily attributable to approximately \$2.0 million of increased legal and professional fees, (principally increased legal fees regarding the arbitration proceedings with Takeda-Nycomed).

Arbitration Settlement, net

On April 15, 2009, we initiated an arbitration proceeding before FINRA against our former investment advisor/broker-dealer, Banc of America Investment Services, Inc., and Banc of America Securities, LLC, relating to our prior investment in certain securities. On March 27, 2013 we reached a settlement in such matter. Pursuant to the settlement, we received a gross settlement amount of \$18.0 million, the proceeding was dismissed with prejudice, and together with the broker-dealer, the parties released each other from all claims and liabilities arising out of the arbitration. We received the net amount of approximately \$16.7 million after payment of expenses and legal fees.

Insurance Proceeds

Insurance proceeds totaling \$2.6 million were received during the year ended June 30, 2013, as a result of insurance claims for an equipment failure during the 2011 fiscal year. A cash payment for a business interruption insurance claim of \$2.5 million was received in October 2012, which had resulted from the equipment failure that had limited the production of materials necessary for certain research and product development. There was no such claim for the 2014 fiscal year. In addition, proceeds of \$0.1 million were also received in September 2012 for a property claim regarding the same equipment failure.

Income Tax Expense

Income tax expense was \$8 thousand and \$44 thousand for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Income tax expense in 2014 was lower than in 2013 due to reduced profitability in foreign operations in fiscal year 2014. There was no federal income tax expense for both periods for domestic operations due to losses in both fiscal years.

Net Loss Attributable to Immunomedics, Inc., Stockholders

Net loss attributable to Immunomedics, Inc. common stockholders for fiscal year 2014 was \$35.4 million, or \$0.42 per share, as compared to net loss of \$11.4 million, or \$0.15 per share in fiscal year 2013, representing an increase in net loss of \$24.0 million. The increase in net loss attributable to Immunomedics, Inc. for the same period in 2013 resulted primarily from the \$16.7 million arbitration settlement, \$2.6 million in insurance proceeds received during the previous year which were not repeated in the current fiscal year, and \$5.3 million of increased research and development spending in fiscal year 2014.

Research and Development Expenses

Research and development expenses for our product candidates in development were \$41.7 million for fiscal year ended 2015, \$33.7 million for fiscal year ended June 30, 2014 and \$28.4 million for fiscal year ended June 30, 2013. Research and development expenses increased \$8.0 million in fiscal year 2015, or 24%, as compared to 2014. Research and development expenses increased by \$5.3 million in fiscal year 2014, or 19%, as compared to fiscal year 2013.

We do not track expenses on the basis of each individual compound under investigation or through clinical trials and therefore we do not provide a breakdown of such historical information in that format. We evaluate projects under development from an operational perspective, including such factors as results of individual compounds from laboratory/animal testing, patient results and enrollment statistics in clinical trials. It is important to note that multiple product candidates are often tested simultaneously. It is not possible to calculate each antibody s supply costs. There are many different development processes and test methods that examine multiple product candidates at the same time. We have, historically, tracked our costs in the categories discussed below, specifically research costs and product development costs and by the types of costs outlined below.

Our research costs consist of outside costs associated with animal studies and costs associated with research and testing of our product candidates prior to reaching the clinical stage. Such research costs primarily include personnel costs, facilities, including depreciation, lab supplies, funding of outside contracted research and license fees. Our product development costs consist of costs from preclinical development (including manufacturing), conducting and administering clinical trials and patent expenses.

The following table sets forth a breakdown of our research and development expenses by those associated with research and those associated with product development for the periods indicated.

	Y	Years Ended June 30,						
	2015	2014	2013					
		(in thousands)						
Research Costs	\$ 5,959	\$ 6,734	\$ 5,962					
Product Development Costs	35,777	26,946	22,419					
Total	\$ 41,736	\$ 33,680	\$ 28,381					

Research Costs

Research costs decreased by \$0.8 million, or 12%, for the year ended June 30, 2015 compared to June 30, 2014. Research costs increased by \$0.8 million, or 13%, for the year ended June 30, 2014 compared to 2013. The changes in research costs primarily relate to the following:

The primary reason for the decrease in fiscal year 2015 was the reduction of outside research and testing services utilized, from \$0.9 million in fiscal 2014 to \$0.4 million in fiscal 2015, a decrease of \$0.5 million, or 56%. The use of outside research and testing services in fiscal 2014 was \$0.9 million representing an increase of \$0.6 million, or 200%, from \$0.3 million in fiscal 2013. This increase was primarily due to outside services required for certain federal grant research projects.

Indirect administrative and support services that are allocated to research based on research spending levels for fiscal 2015 were \$0.7 million as compared to \$1.1 million in 2014. This decrease was a result of greater emphasis on spending in the product development area as compared to the research area and therefore a lower level of indirect spending to be absorbed into the research category. Indirect administrative and support services that are allocated to research based on research spending levels for fiscal 2014 were \$1.1 million as compared to \$0.9 million in 2013, primarily as a result of increased employee-related costs.

Product Development Costs

Product development costs for the year ended June 30, 2015 in total increased by \$8.9 million, or 33%, to \$35.8 million as compared to 2014. Product development costs for the year ended June 30, 2014 in total increased by \$4.5 million, or 20%, to \$26.9 million as compared to 2013. The changes in product development costs primarily relate to the following:

Clinical trial expenses in fiscal year 2015 were \$12.6 million as compared to \$5.8 million in fiscal year 2014, and increase of \$6.8 million was primarily due to continuing efforts of the clivatuzumab tetraxetan Phase 3 clinical trial for the treatment of patients with pancreatic cancer. Clinical trial expenses in fiscal year 2014 were \$5.8 million as compared to \$3.3 million in fiscal year 2013, an increase of \$2.5 million largely driven by the initiation of the clivatuzumab tetraxetan Phase 3 clinical trial in fiscal 2014, as well as additional antibody-drug conjugates clinical trials during the 2014 fiscal year.

Personnel costs in fiscal 2015 were \$7.6 million, an increase of \$0.3 million, or 4%, as compared to 2014, primarily due to salary and benefit increases. Personnel costs in fiscal 2014 were \$7.3 million, an increase of \$0.5 million, or 7%, as compared to 2013, primarily due to salary and benefit increases.

Lab supplies and chemical reagent costs were \$3.3 million for fiscal 2015, an increase of \$0.7 million, or 27%, from 2014. This increase was primarily a result of increased manufacturing costs for material used for the antibody-drug conjugates—clinical trial related expenses and the clivatuzumab tetraxetan Phase 3 clinical trial. Lab supplies and chemical reagent costs were \$2.6 million in fiscal 2014, a decrease of \$0.2 million, or 7%, from 2013.

Expenses for outside testing were \$2.4 million in fiscal 2015, an increase of \$0.6 million, or 33%, from fiscal 2014. This increase was the result of increased material testing for process validation and offsite lyophilization relating to product development for manufacturing of material used for the antibody-drug conjugates. Expenses for outside testing were \$1.8 million in fiscal 2014, a decrease of \$0.3 million or 14%, from fiscal 2013. The decrease was due to higher levels of material testing for process validation and offsite lyophilization relating to product development for manufacturing and grant program requirements during the 2013 fiscal year.

Indirect administrative and support services that are allocated to development based on spending levels increased by \$0.2 million, or 5%, to \$4.2 million in fiscal year 2015, due primarily to a greater emphasis on spending in the product development area as compared to the research area. For fiscal 2014 indirect administrative and support services increased by \$0.6 million, or 18%, to \$4.0 million in fiscal year 2014 over fiscal year 2013. The increases in both years was driven primarily by increased clinical trial related expenses for the clivatuzumab tetraxetan Phase III clinical trial for the treatment of patients with pancreatic cancer, and additional activities for antibody-drug conjugates clinical trials.

Completion of clinical trials may take several years or more. The length of time varies according to the type, complexity and the disease indication of the product candidate. We estimate that clinical trials of the type we generally conduct are typically completed over the following periods:

	Estimated Completion Period
Clinical Phase	(Years)
I	0-1
II	1-2
III	1-4

The duration and cost of clinical trials through each of the clinical phases may vary significantly over the life of a particular project as a result of, among other things, the following factors:

the length of time required to recruit qualified patients for clinical trials;

the duration of patient follow-up in light of trial results;

the number of clinical sites required for trials; and

the number of patients that ultimately participate.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

As of June 30, 2015 we have \$99.6 million of cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities. In February, 2015 we issued \$100 million of 4.75% Convertible Senior Notes due 2020 (the Convertible Notes) in the aggregate. After deducting the initial purchasers fees and offering expenses, we received net proceeds of \$96.3 million.

Since its inception in 1982, Immunomedics principal sources of funds have been the private and public sale of debt and equity securities and revenues from licensing agreements, which could provide up-front and milestone payments, as well as funding of development costs and other licensing possibilities.

Our budgeted cash requirements in fiscal year 2016 includes expenses related to the clivatuzumab tetraxetan Phase 3 clinical trial for the treatment of patients with pancreatic cancer, as well as for expenses for the ongoing Phase 2 expansion ADC clinical trials (sacituzumab govitecan and labetuzumab govitecan). The budgeted cash requirements in fiscal year 2016 are expected to be in the range of \$52.0 to \$54.0 million, including interest costs of \$4.8 million for the outstanding Convertible Senior Notes as issued in February 2015. Based on our cash flow projections, we believe we have sufficient funds to continue our operations and research and development programs for at least the next twelve months.

Future financial demands include ongoing operating expenses, Phase 3 clinical trials, the Phase 2 clinical trials and further development of various clinical trial programs in fiscal 2016 and 2017. We will continue to require additional funding in order to fund our operations and programs.

We continue to pursue business development and licensing arrangements as a potential source of financing. These activities include any new parties who may be interested in our clinical programs as well as any licenses to our intellectual property estate, including our current partner, Bayer, State and Federal Grants, along with potential debt and equity financing may also be other sources of financing.

Until we can generate significant cash from our operations, we expect to continue to fund our operations with our currently available financial resources. These financial resources may not be adequate to sustain our operations, and we will be required to finance future cash needs through strategic licensing or collaboration agreements, the sale of additional equity and/or debt securities. However, we cannot be certain that additional financing will be available when needed or that, if available, financing will be obtained on terms favorable to us or our stockholders. The capital markets have experienced volatility in recent years, which has resulted in uncertainty with respect to availability of capital and hence the timing to meet an entity s liquidity needs. Having insufficient funds may require us to delay, scale-back or eliminate some or all of our programs or renegotiate less favorable terms than we would otherwise choose. Failure to obtain adequate financing also may adversely affect our ability to operate as a going concern. Additionally, if we raise funds by issuing equity securities, dilution to existing stockholders would result; and if we raise funds by incurring additional debt financing, the terms of the debt may involve future cash payment obligations and/or conversion to equity as well as restrictions that may limit our ability to operate our business.

Actual results could differ materially from our expectations as a result of a number of risks and uncertainties, including the risks described in Item 1A Risk Factors, Factors That May Affect Our Business and Results of Operations, and elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Our working capital and working capital requirements are affected by numerous factors and such factors may have a negative impact on our liquidity. Principal among these are the success of product commercialization and marketing products, the technological advantages and pricing of our products, the impact of the regulatory requirements applicable to us, and access to capital markets that can provide us with the resources, when necessary, to fund our strategic priorities.

Discussion of Cash Flows

Cash flows from operating activities. Net cash used in operating activities for the year ended June 30, 2015 was \$39.0 million, compared to cash used in operations of \$30.7 million for the year ended June 30, 2014 representing an increase of \$8.3 million. The increase in the current fiscal year s cash flow used in operations principally is due to higher net loss of \$12.6 million offset by an increase in accounts payable.

Net cash used in operating activities for the year ended June 30, 2014 was \$30.7 million, compared to cash used in operations of \$5.9 million for the year ended June 30, 2013. The increase in the 2014 fiscal year s cash flow used in operations was primarily due to the receipt of \$16.7 million of proceeds from the arbitration settlement and \$2.6 million in insurance proceeds received during the 2013 fiscal year.

Cash flows from investing activities. Net cash used in investing activities was \$52.7 million in fiscal 2015, as compared to \$35.1 million net cash used in investing activities for fiscal 2014. The increase in cash used in investing activities of \$17.6 million for the 2015 fiscal year is primarily due to \$42.2 million of increased purchases of marketable securities in the current year, partially offset by higher maturities or sales of approximately \$25.5 million of these securities.

Net cash used in investing activities of \$35.1 million in fiscal 2014, as compared to \$0.5 million net cash used in investing activities for the 2013 fiscal year. The increase in cash used in investing activities for the 2014 fiscal year is primarily due to \$44.1 million of purchases of marketable securities in the during fiscal 2014, partially offset by maturities or sales of approximately \$9.0 million of these securities.

Cash flows from financing activities. Net cash provided by financing activities for the year ended June 30, 2015 was \$98.7 million, resulting primarily from the \$96.3 million of net cash proceeds received from the issuance in February 2015 of \$100.0 million of 4.75% Convertible Senior Notes, partially offset by the payment of \$3.7 million of debt issuance costs related to the Notes. Net cash provided by financing activities for the year ended June 30, 2014 was \$31.3 million, resulting primarily from approximately \$29.8 million of net cash proceeds received from the sale of 9,546,474 shares of common stock at \$3.35 per share in the current fiscal year. Net cash provided by financing activities for the year ended June 30, 2013 was \$14.8 million, resulting primarily from approximately \$14.8 million of cash proceeds received from the sale of 7,000,000 shares of common stock at \$2.30 per share in the 2013 fiscal year.

At June 30, 2015, we had working capital of \$91.4 million, representing an increase of \$53.1 million from the \$38.3 million in working capital that we had at June 30, 2014. The increase was primarily the result from the proceeds received from the sale in February 2015 of \$100.0 million of 4.75% Convertible Senior Notes, (partially offset by the payment of \$3.7 million of debt issuance costs), offset in part by our operating loss incurred in the normal course of business less \$4.9 million increase in accounts payable and accrued expenses. At June 30, 2014, we had working capital of \$38.3 million, representing a decrease of \$0.2 million from the \$38.5 million in working capital that we had at June 30, 2013. The proceeds received from the sale of 9,546,474 shares of common stock during fiscal year 2014 were offset by the loss on operations for the year.

Total cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities as of June 30, 2015 was \$99.6 million, an increase of \$57.8 million as of June 30, 2014. The increase was primarily the result from the proceeds received from issuance in February 2015 of \$100.0 million of 4.75% Convertible Senior Notes partially offset by the payment of \$3.7 million of debt issuance costs during the current fiscal year.

Total cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities as of June 30, 2014 was \$41.8 million, an increase of \$0.5 million as of June 30, 2013. The net cash proceeds received from the sale of 9,546,474 shares of common stock during the 2014 fiscal year was used to fund the net cash used in operating activities.

Contractual Commitments

Our major contractual obligations relate to an operating lease for our facility and employment contracts in effect for David M. Goldenberg, our Chairman of the Board, Chief Scientific Officer and Chief Patent Officer, and Cynthia L. Sullivan, the President and Chief Executive Officer. We have quantified the significant commitments in the following table for the fiscal years ended June 30:

	Payments Due by Period							
		(in thousands)						
Contractual Obligation	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Thereafter	Total	
Operating Lease ⁽¹⁾	\$ 838	\$ 929	\$ 974	\$ 974	\$ 974	\$ 11,736	\$ 16,425	
Employment Contracts ⁽²⁾	1,396	641					2,037	
Convertible Senior Notes ⁽³⁾	4,750	4,750	4,750	4,750	104,750		123,750	
TOTAL	\$ 6,984	\$ 6,320	\$ 5,724	\$ 5,724	\$ 105,724	\$ 11,736	\$ 142,212	

- (1) The operating lease for our Morris Plains, New Jersey facility expires in October 2031 and is at a base annual rental rate of \$0.8 million, which has a fixed rate through October 2016 with increases thereafter every five years.
- (2) Such amount include amounts due under an employment contract the Company entered into with David M. Goldenberg that was originally set to expire in 2016. The Company entered into this five-year employment contract effective July 1, 2011. This contract also included provisions contemplating (a) a minimum royalty agreement, (b) payment to Dr. Goldenberg of a specified percentage of the consideration the Company receives from licensing agreements, (c) sales of intellectual properties and (d) disposition of undeveloped assets, as disclosed in the employment agreement. Effective July 1, 2015 the Company entered into the Amended and Restated Employment Agreement with Dr. David M. Goldenberg, (the Goldenberg

Agreement). This agreement provides for a guaranteed salary of \$0.6 million and \$0.15 million for guaranteed royalties for Dr. Goldenberg for the fiscal years 2016 through 2020 (see Note 15). The Company entered a three-year employment contract with Cynthia L. Sullivan effective July 1, 2014. This agreement, which includes an annual base salary of \$0.6 million and an annual bonus target of 50% with potential payouts from 0% to 150% of the target amount are not included as commitments as of June 30, 2015. The amounts included above are only the minimum payments and do not include possible adjustments to existing salaries, additional incentive compensation or potential bonus payments as set forth in the employment contract.

(3) The \$100,000,000 Convertible Senior Notes will mature on February 15, 2020, unless earlier purchased or converted, and will bear interest at 4.75% semiannually on February 15 and August 15 each year, beginning on August 15, 2015.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In April 2015, the FASB issued Accounting Standard Update (ASU) 2015-03, *Interest Imputation of Interest: Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs* . Under the new ASU, debt issuance costs will be reported in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the related debt liability rather than as a separate asset. Amortization of the costs is reported as interest expense. The amendments in this update are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015, and interim periods within those fiscal years. Early application is permitted for financial statements that have not been previously issued. The Company has adopted this standard in the current fiscal year and have presented the debt issuance costs related to the Convertible Notes as a direct deduction from the related face value in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet.

In August 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-15, *Presentation of Financial Statements Going Concern: Disclosure of Uncertainties about an Entity s Ability to Continue as a Going Concern*. This guidance clarifies that an entity s management should evaluate whether there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about the entity s ability to continue as a going concern within one year after the date that the financial statements are issued. The amendments in this update are effective for annual reporting periods ending after December 15, 2016, and annual and interim periods thereafter, and early application is permitted. The Company is assessing ASU 2014-15 s impact and will adopt it when effective.

On May 28, 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers*, which requires an entity to recognize the amount of revenue to which it expects to be entitled for the transfer of promised goods or services to customers. The ASU will replace most existing revenue recognition guidance in U.S. GAAP when it becomes effective. The new standard is effective for the Company on January 1, 2018. The standard permits the use of either the retrospective or cumulative effect transition method. The Company is assessing ASU 2014-09 s impact and will adopt it when effective.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

The following discussion about our exposure to market risk of financial instruments contains forward-looking statements under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Actual results may differ materially from those described due to a number of factors, including uncertainties associated with general economic conditions and conditions impacting our industry.

We have not entered into and do not expect to enter into, financial instruments for trading or hedging purposes. We do not currently anticipate entering into interest rate swaps and/or similar instruments. One of our primary market risk exposure with regard to financial instruments is to changes in interest rates, which would impact interest income earned on such instruments. A one percent change (100 basis points) in interest rates on our investments would have impacted interest income by a nominal amount for the year ended June 30, 2015.

We also may be exposed to fluctuations in foreign currencies with regard to certain agreements with service providers relating to certain clinical trials that are in process. Depending on the strengthening or weakening of the U.S. dollar, realized and unrealized currency fluctuations could be significant.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders

Immunomedics, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Immunomedics, Inc. and subsidiaries as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the related consolidated statements of comprehensive loss, changes in stockholders (deficit) equity and cash flows for the years then ended. In connection with our audits of the consolidated financial statements, we also have audited financial statement schedule II. These consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Immunomedics, Inc. and subsidiaries as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the years then ended, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Immunomedics Inc. s internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2015, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), and our report dated August 19, 2015 expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Short Hills, New Jersey

August 19, 2015

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of

Immunomedics, Inc.

We have audited the consolidated statement of comprehensive loss, changes in stockholders—equity and cash flows of Immunomedics, Inc. and subsidiaries for the year ended June 30, 2013. Our audit also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15(a). These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company—s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated results of Immunomedics, Inc. s operations and its cash flows for the year ended June 30, 2013, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related consolidated financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Iselin, New Jersey

August 22, 2013,

except for the effects on the consolidated financial statements

described in Note 14 and the disclosure in Note 10 with respect to UCB

as filed in Immunomedics, Inc. s Form 10-K/A on March 18, 2014,

as to which the date is March 18, 2014

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

		2015	June 30)	2014
ASSETS		2013			2014
Current Assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	13,452,7	75	\$	6,961,494
Marketable securities	Ψ	86,165,5		Ψ	34,871,120
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$54,177 and \$88,609 at June 30, 2015		00,100,0	~ _		0.,071,120
and 2014, respectively		345,6	27		674,617
Inventory		584,4			778,989
Other receivables		857,0			303,102
Prepaid expenses		1,136,1			1,614,897
Other current assets		945,6			180,678
other earrent about		, 10,0	, 0		100,070
Total current assets		103,487,2	02		45,384,897
Property and equipment, net		2,241,8			1,895,475
Value of life insurance policies		20,5			176,110
Other long-term assets		30,0			30,000
Office long-term assets		30,0	UU		30,000
	\$	105,779,6	06	\$	47,486,482
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS (DEFICIT) EQUITY					
Current Liabilities:					
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$	11,808,2	23	\$	6,886,682
Deferred revenues	-	271,6		-	240,158
		,-	-		,
Total current liabilities		12,079,8	90		7,126,840
Convertible senior notes net of unamortized debt issuance costs of \$3,375,423 at June 30, 2015		96,624,5			7,120,040
Other liabilities		1,599,7			1,500,244
Commitments and Contingencies (Note 12)		1,000,1	00		1,500,211
Stockholders (deficit) equity:					
Preferred stock, \$.01 par value; authorized 10,000,000 shares; no shares issued and outstanding at					
June 30, 2015 and 2014					
Common stock, \$.01 par value; authorized 155,000,000 shares at June 30, 2015 (135,000,000 at					
June 30, 2014); issued 94,546,578 shares and outstanding 94,511,853 shares at June 30, 2015; issued					
93,113,480 shares and outstanding 93,078,755 shares at June 30, 2014		945,4	65		931,134
Capital contributed in excess of par		305,229,3	54	3	300,080,804
Treasury stock, at cost: 34,725 shares at June 30, 2015 and 2014		(458,3			(458,370)
Accumulated deficit	(309,468,0		(2	261,465,638)
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income		(161,0		Ì	261,837
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		(-)	,		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Total Immunomedics, Inc. stockholders (deficit) equity		(3,912,6	47)		39,349,767
Noncontrolling interest in subsidiary		(611,9	74)		(490,369)
Troncondoming interest in substantif		(011,)	. 4)		(170,307)
Total stockholders (deficit) equity		(4,524,6	21)		38,859,398
	\$	105,779,6	06	\$	47,486,482

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE LOSS

		2015	Years ended June 2014	30,	2013
Revenues:					
License fee and other revenues	\$	1,250,000	\$ 4,623,333	9	-,
Product sales		2,648,657	3,140,604		2,991,129
Research and development		1,754,434	1,277,668		1,844,201
Total revenues		5,653,091	9,041,605		4,961,997
Costs and Expenses:					
Costs of goods sold		264,915	338,572		392,722
Costs of license fee and other revenues			1,189,170		
Research and development		41,735,888	33,680,158		28,381,184
Sales and marketing		768,871	1,132,921		826,375
General and administrative		9,102,926	8,281,025		6,154,214
Total costs and expenses		51,872,600	44,621,846		35,754,495
Operating loss	(46,219,509)	(35,580,241))	(30,792,498)
Arbitration settlement, net					16,739,282
Insurance proceeds received					2,637,879
Interest and other income, net		245,705	55,916		10,557
Interest expense		(2,090,750)			
Foreign currency transaction (loss) gain, net		(1,188)	938		(37,434)
Loss before income tax expense	(48,065,742)	(35,523,387))	(11,442,214)
Income tax expense		(58,229)	(7,791))	(44,070)
Net loss	(48,123,971)	(35,531,178))	(11,486,284)
Less net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest	·	(121,605)	(105,352)		(104,761)
Net loss attributable to Immunomedics, Inc.	\$ (48,002,366)	\$ (35,425,826))	8 (11,381,523)
Loss earnings per common share attributable to					
Immunomedics, Inc. (basic and diluted):	\$	(0.51)	\$ (0.42)) \$	(0.15)
Weighted average shares used to calculate loss per common share Other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax:		93,314,872	84,631,567		78,040,005
Foreign currency translation adjustments		(434,617)	100.094		81,669
Unrealized gain (loss) on securities available for sale		11,688	(87))	01,007
Carbanized game (1998) on securities a variable 191 state		11,000			
Other comprehensive (loss) income		(422,929)	100,007		81,669
Net comprehensive loss	(48,546,900)	(35,431,171))	(11,404,615)
Net comprehensive loss attributable to noncontrolling interest		(121,605)	(105,352))	(104,761)
Net comprehensive loss attributable to Immunomedics, Inc.	\$ (48,425,295)	\$ (35,325,819)) \$	8 (11,299,854)

${\bf CONSOLIDATED\ STATEMENTS\ OF\ CHANGES\ IN\ STOCKHOLDERS\quad (DEFICIT)\ EQUITY}$

	Common	Stock	Immunomedics, Inc. Stockholders				cumulated Other			
	Shares	Amount	Capital Contributed in Excess of Par	Treasury Stock	Accumulated Deficit		nprehensive (Loss) Income	Noi	ncontrolling Interest	Total
Balance, at June 30, 2012	75,597,066	\$ 755,970	\$ 248,737,450	\$ (458,370)	\$ (214,658,289)	\$	80,161	\$	(287,560)	\$ 34,169,362
Issuance of common stock,										
net	7,000,000	70,000	14,715,408							14,785,408
Exercise of stock options,										
net	88,594	886	265,170							266,056
Stock based compensation	155,463	1,555	2,016,939							2,018,494
Other comprehensive										
income							81,669			81,669
Share purchases of majority-										
owned subsidiary			(46,559)						7,304	(39,255)
Net loss					(11,381,523)				(104,761)	(11,486,284)
Balance, at June 30, 2013	82,841,123	\$ 828,411	\$ 265,688,408	\$ (458,370)	\$ (226,039,812)	\$	161,830	\$	(385,017)	\$ 39,795,450
Issuance of common stock,										
net	9,546,474	95,465	29,713,983							29,809,448
Exercise of stock options,										
net	535,730	5,357	1,793,996							1,799,353
Stock based compensation	190,153	1,901	2,884,417							2,886,318
Other comprehensive										
income							100,007			100,007
Net loss					(35,425,826)				(105,352)	(35,531,178)
Balance, at June 30, 2014	93,113,480	\$ 931,134	\$ 300,080,804	\$ (458,370)	\$ (261,465,638)	\$	261,837	\$	(490,369)	\$ 38,859,398
Exercise of stock options,	20,110,100	Ψ >01,10 1	Ψ 200,000,001	Ψ (120,270)	ψ (201,100,000)	Ψ	201,007	Ψ	(150,505)	ψ 20,023,230
net	1,202,575	12,026	2,947,904							2,959,930
Stock based compensation	230,523	2,305	2,200,646							2,202,951
Other comprehensive loss		_,- ,-	_,,,-				(422,929)			(422,929)
Net loss					(48,002,366)		· ,)		(121,605)	(48,123,971)
					(-) //				, ,/	\ - <i>y</i> - <i>y</i> \ - <i>y</i>
Balance, at June 30, 2015	94,546,578	\$ 945,465	\$ 305,229,354	\$ (458,370)	\$ (309,468,004)	\$	(161.092)	\$	(611,974)	\$ (4,524,621)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	2015	Years ended June 30, 2014	2013
Cash flows from operating activities:	Φ (40 400 0 = 4)	Φ (25 521 150)	Φ (11 40 C 20 A)
Net loss	\$ (48,123,971)	\$ (35,531,178)	\$ (11,486,284)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		7.0	4.024.025
Depreciation and amortization	578,066	569,442	1,036,035
Amortization of deferred revenue	(5,712)		(176,667)
Amortization of bond premiums	544,208	228,211	
Amortization of debt issuance costs	281,791	00 716	00.716
Amortization of deferred rent	99,516	99,516	99,516
Gain on sale of marketable securities	(11,015)		
(Decrease) increase in allowance for doubtful accounts	(34,432)		(5,544)
Non-cash expense related to stock compensation	2,788,677	3,218,050	2,265,460
Non-cash decrease in value of life insurance policy	155,544	18,722	3,456
Gain on insurance claim for equipment failure			(137,879)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable	271,820	(62,652)	66,755
Inventory	328,126	204,386	(617,954)
Other receivables	(553,966)	(130,634)	(238,755)
Prepaid expenses	478,794	(1,182,237)	149,941
Other current assets	(776,975)	1,450,494	(598,646)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	4,946,099	2,935,816	966,663
Deferred revenues	37,221	134,196	2,774,345
Net cash used in operating activities	(38,996,209)	(30,690,388)	(5,899,558)
Cash flows from investing activities: Purchases of marketable securities	(86,307,071)	(44,116,046)	
Proceeds from sales/maturities of marketable securities	34,491,153	9,024,145	
		, ,	(505 446)
Purchases of property and equipment	(924,429)		(595,446)
Proceeds from partial liquidation of life insurance policy Proceeds from insurance claim for equipment failure		400,000	137,879
Net cash used in investing activities	(52,740,347)	(35,069,907)	(457,567)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Proceeds from issuance of convertible senior notes	100,000,000		
Payment of debt issuance costs	(3,657,215)		
Issuance of common stock, net of fees		29,809,448	14,785,408
Exercise of stock options, net	2,959,930	1,799,353	266,056
Tax withholding payments for stock compensation	(585,725)	(331,732)	(246,966)
Share purchases of majority-owned subsidiary			(39,255)
Net cash provided by financing activities	98,716,990	31,277,069	14,765,243
Effect of changes in exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents	(489,153)	118,720	79,786
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	6,491,281	(34,364,506)	8,487,904
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	6,961,494	41,326,000	32,838,096
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$ 13,452,775	\$ 6,961,494	\$ 41,326,000

Supplemental information for the statement of cash flows: Cash paid for income taxes 75,598 136,973 \$ 135,023

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Business Overview

Immunomedics, Inc., a Delaware corporation (Immunomedics or the Company) is a clinical-stage biopharmaceutical company developing monoclonal antibody-based products for the targeted treatment of cancer, autoimmune and other serious diseases. The Company has continued to transition its focus away from the development and commercialization of diagnostic imaging products in order to accelerate the development of its therapeutic product candidates, although the Company manufactures and commercializes its one product, LeukoScan®, in territories where regulatory approvals have previously been granted in Europe, Canada and in certain other markets outside the U.S. LeukoScan® is indicated for diagnostic imaging for determining the location and extent of infection/inflammation in bone in patients with suspected osteomyelitis, including patients with diabetic foot ulcers.

The Company has two foreign subsidiaries, Immunomedics B.V. in the Netherlands and Immunomedics GmbH in Darmstadt, Germany, to assist the Company in managing sales efforts and coordinating clinical trials in Europe. In addition, included in the accompanying financial statements is the majority-owned U.S. subsidiary, IBC Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (IBC), which has been working since 1999 on the development of novel cancer radiotherapeutics using patented pretargeting technologies with proprietary, bispecific antibodies.

Immunomedics is subject to significant risks and uncertainties, including, without limitation, the risk that the Company may be unable to further identify, develop and achieve commercial success for new products and technologies; the possibility of delays in the research and development necessary to select drug development candidates and delays in clinical trials; the risk that clinical trials may not result in marketable products; the risk that the Company may be unable to successfully finance and secure regulatory approval of and market its drug candidates; its dependence upon pharmaceutical and biotechnology collaborations; the levels and timing of payments under its collaborative agreements; uncertainties about the Company s ability to obtain new corporate collaborations and acquire new technologies on satisfactory terms, if at all; the development of competing products; its ability to protect its proprietary technologies; patent-infringement claims; and risks of new, changing and competitive technologies and regulations in the United States and internationally.

As of June 30, 2015 the Company has \$99.6 million of cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities. In February, 2015 the Company issued \$100 million of 4.75% Convertible Senior Notes due 2020 (the Convertible Notes) in the aggregate. After deducting the initial purchasers fees and offering expenses, the Company received net proceeds of \$96.3 million, (see Note 4 to the consolidated financial statements).

Since its inception in 1982, Immunomedics principal sources of funds have been the private and public sale of debt and equity securities and revenues from licensing agreements, which could provide up-front and milestone payments, as well as funding of development costs and other licensing possibilities.

The Company s budgeted cash requirements in fiscal year 2016 includes expenses related to the clivatuzumab tetraxetan Phase 3 clinical trial for the treatment of patients with pancreatic cancer, as well as for expenses for the ongoing Phase 2 expansion ADC clinical trials (sacituzumab govitecan and labetuzumab govitecan). Based on the Company s cash flow projections, it believes it has sufficient funds to continue its operations and research and development programs for at least the next twelve months.

Future financial demands include ongoing operating expenses, Phase 3 and Phase 2 clinical trials, and further development of various clinical trial programs in fiscal 2017 and beyond. Until the Company can generate significant cash from its operations, the Company expects to continue to fund its operations with its available financial resources. These financial resources may not be adequate to sustain its operations and the Company will be required to finance future cash needs through strategic collaboration agreements, or the sale of additional equity or debt securities. However, the Company cannot be certain that additional financing will be available

when needed or that, if available, financing will be obtained on terms favorable to the Company or its stockholders. The capital markets have experienced volatility in recent years, which has resulted in uncertainties with respect to availability of capital and hence the timing to meet an entity s liquidity needs. Having insufficient funds may require the Company to delay, scale-back or eliminate some or all of its programs or renegotiate less favorable terms than it would otherwise choose. Failure to obtain adequate financing also may adversely affect its ability to operate as a going concern.

The Company continues to pursue business development and licensing arrangements as a potential source of financing. These activities include any new parties who may be interested in the Company s clinical programs as well as any licenses to the Company s intellectual property estate, including the Company s current partner, The Bayer Group (Bayer), State and Federal Grants, along with potential debt and equity financing may also be other sources of financing.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies Principles of Consolidation and Presentation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Immunomedics and its majority-owned subsidiaries. Noncontrolling interests in consolidated subsidiaries in the Consolidated Balance Sheets represent minority stockholders proportionate share of the (deficit) equity in such subsidiaries. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reported period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The Company s significant estimates and assumptions relate to revenue recognition, allowance for doubtful accounts, valuation of inventory and property and equipment, useful lives of property and equipment, accrued liabilities, stock compensation expenses, income tax uncertainties and other contingencies.

Foreign Currencies

For subsidiaries outside of the United States that operate in a local currency environment, income and expense items are translated to United States dollars at the monthly average rates of exchange prevailing during the year, assets and liabilities are translated at year-end exchange rates and equity accounts are translated at historical exchange rates. Translation adjustments are accumulated in a separate component of stockholders equity in the Consolidated Balance Sheets and the Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders (Deficit) Equity and are included in the determination of comprehensive (loss) income in the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss. Transaction gains and losses are included in the determination of net loss in the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss. As of June 30, 2015 and 2014, the cumulative unrealized foreign currency translation (loss) gain included in accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income was approximately (\$0.2) million as compared to \$0.3 million, respectively.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all liquid investments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents and all investments with maturities of greater than three months from date of purchase are classified as marketable securities available-for-sale.

Marketable securities

Marketable securities, all of which are available-for-sale, consist of corporate debt securities, U.S. bonds, U.S. sponsored agencies and municipal bonds. Marketable securities are carried at fair value, with unrealized

gains and losses, net of related income taxes, reported as accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income, except for losses from impairments which are determined to be other-than-temporary. Realized gains and losses, and declines in value judged to be other-than-temporary on available-for-sale securities are included in the determination of net loss and are included in interest and other income (net), at which time the average cost basis of these securities are adjusted to fair value. Fair values are based on quoted market prices at the reporting date. Interest and dividends on available-for-sale securities are included interest and other income (net).

Accounts Receivable

Credit is extended to customers based upon an evaluation of the customer s financial condition. Accounts receivable are recorded at net realizable value. The Company utilizes a specific identification accounts receivable reserve methodology based on a review of outstanding balances and previous activities to determine the allowance for doubtful accounts. The Company charges off uncollectible receivables at the time the Company determines the receivable is no longer collectible. The Company does not require collateral or other security to support financial instruments subject to credit risk.

Concentration of Credit Risk

Cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities are financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to concentration of credit risk. Immunomedics periodically invests its cash in corporate debt securities, U.S. bonds, U.S. sponsored agencies and municipal bonds with strong credit ratings. Immunomedics has established guidelines relative to diversification and maturities that are designed to help ensure safety and liquidity. These guidelines are periodically reviewed to take advantage of trends in yields and interest rates.

Inventory

Inventory, which consists of the finished product of LeukoScan®, is stated at the lower of cost (on a first-in, first-out basis) or market, and includes materials, labor and manufacturing overhead.

Property and Equipment and Impairment of Assets

Property and equipment are stated at cost and are depreciated on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives (5-10 years) of the respective assets. Leasehold improvements are capitalized and amortized over the lesser of the remaining life of the lease or the estimated useful life of the asset. Immunomedics reviews long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in business circumstances occur that indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be recoverable. Immunomedics assesses the recoverability of long-lived assets held and to be used based on undiscounted cash flows, and measures the impairment, if any, using discounted cash flows. To date the Company has not taken any impairment charges on property and equipment.

Life Insurance Policies

The Company has life insurance policies on Dr. David M. Goldenberg, the Company s Chairman of the Board of Directors, Chief Scientific Officer, and Chief Patent Officer, which are for the benefit of the Company. When the Company is the beneficiary of the policy, and there are no other contractual arrangements between the Company and Dr. Goldenberg, the Company recognizes the amount that could be realized under the insurance arrangement as an asset in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Revenue Recognition

The Company has accounted for revenue arrangements that include multiple deliverables as a separate unit of accounting if both of the following criteria are met: a) the delivered item has value to the customer on a standalone basis, and b) if the right of return exists, delivery of the undelivered items is considered probable and substantially in the control of the vendor. If these criteria are not met, the revenue elements must be considered a

single unit of accounting for purposes of revenue recognition. The Company allocates revenue consideration, excluding contingent consideration, based on the relative selling prices of the separate units of accounting contained within an arrangement containing multiple deliverables. Relative selling prices are determined using vendor specific objective evidence, if it exists; otherwise third-party evidence or the Company s best estimate of selling price is used for each deliverable.

Payments received under contracts to fund certain research activities are recognized as revenue in the period in which the research activities are performed. Payments received in advance that are related to future performance are deferred and recognized as revenue when the research projects are performed. Upfront nonrefundable fees associated with license and development agreements where the Company has continuing involvement in the agreement are recorded as deferred revenue and recognized over the estimated service period. The Company estimates the period of continuing involvement based on the best evidential matter available at each reporting period. If the estimated service period is subsequently modified, the period over which the upfront fee is recognized is modified accordingly on a prospective basis.

In order to determine the revenue recognition for contingent milestones, the Company evaluates the contingent milestones using the criteria as provided by the Financial Accounting Standards Boards (FASB) guidance on the milestone method of revenue recognition, as explained in ASU 2010-17, *Milestone Method of Revenue Recognition*, at the inception of a collaboration agreement. The criteria requires that (i) the Company determines if the milestone is commensurate with either its performance to achieve the milestone or the enhancement of value resulting from the Company s activities to achieve the milestone, (ii) the milestone be related to past performance, and (iii) the milestone be reasonable relative to all deliverable and payment terms of the collaboration arrangement. If these criteria are met then the contingent milestones can be considered as substantive milestones and will be recognized as revenue in the period that the milestone is achieved. Royalties are recognized as earned in accordance with the terms of various research and collaboration agreements.

Revenue from the sale of diagnostic products is recorded when there is persuasive evidence that an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred, the price is fixed and determinable or collectability is reasonably assured. Allowances, if any, are established for uncollectible amounts, estimated product returns and discounts. Since allowances are recorded based on management sestimates, actual amounts may be different in the future

Research and Development Costs

Research and development costs are expensed as incurred. Costs incurred for clinical trials for patients and investigators are expensed as services are performed in accordance with the agreements in place with the institutions.

Reimbursement of Research and Development Costs

Reimbursement toward research and development costs under collaboration agreements are included as a reduction of research and development expenses. The Company records these reimbursements as a reduction of research and development expenses as the Company spartner in the collaboration agreement has the financial risks and responsibility for conducting these research and development activities.

Manufacturing Costs

Manufacturing costs incurred in relation to the development of materials produced in order to fulfill contractual obligations are deferred and are recorded in other current assets until the product is delivered in accordance with the terms of the agreement.

Income Taxes

The Company uses the asset and liability method to account for income taxes, including the recognition of deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities for the anticipated future tax consequences attributable to

differences between financial statements amounts and their respective tax bases. The Company reviews its deferred tax assets for recovery. A valuation allowance is established when the Company believes that it is more likely than not that its deferred tax assets will not be realized. Changes in valuation allowances from period to period are included in the Company stax provision in the period of change.

The Company does not have an accrual for uncertain tax positions as of June 30, 2015 or 2014. The U.S. Federal statute of limitation remains open for the fiscal years 2010 onward. The Company s tax returns filed in foreign jurisdictions remain open for the fiscal years 2011 onward. State income tax returns are generally subject to examination for a period of 3-5 years after filing of the respective return. The Company conducts business and files tax returns in New Jersey.

Net Loss Per Share Allocable to Common Stockholders

Basic net loss per share is calculated using the weighted average number of shares of common stock and vested restricted shares outstanding. Diluted net income per share is based upon the weighted average number of shares of common stock and dilutive potential shares of common stock outstanding. During fiscal years 2015, 2014 and 2013, no potential shares of common stock were included in the calculation since their affect would be anti-dilutive due to the operating losses. The common stock equivalents excluded from the earnings per share calculation are 25,815,581, 7,096,981 and 7,215,449 for the fiscal years ended June 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company utilizes stock-based compensation in the form of stock options, stock appreciation rights, stock awards, stock unit awards, performance shares, cash-based performance units and other stock-based awards, each of which may be granted separately or in tandem with other awards.

The grant-date fair value of stock awards is based upon the underlying price of the stock on the date of grant. The grant-date fair value of stock option awards must be determined using an option pricing model. Option pricing models require the use of estimates and assumptions as to (a) the expected term of the option, (b) the expected volatility of the price of the underlying stock and (c) the risk-free interest rate for the expected term of the option. The Company uses the Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing formula for determining the grant-date fair value of such awards.

The expected term of the option is based upon the contractual term and expected employee exercise and expected post-vesting employment termination behavior. The expected volatility of the price of the underlying stock is based upon the historical volatility of the Company s stock computed over a period of time equal to the expected term of the option. The risk free interest rate is based upon the implied yields currently available from the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of the grant. Pre-vesting forfeiture rates are estimated based upon past voluntary termination behavior and past option forfeitures.

The fair value of each option granted during the years ended June 30, 2015, 2014 and 2013 is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions in the following table:

		Years ended June 30,	
	2015	2014	2013
Expected dividend yield	0%	0%	0%
Expected option term (years)	5.07	3.85	5.35
Expected stock price volatility	57%	65%	69%
Risk-free interest rate	1.37% - 1.72%	0.03% - 1.79%	0.98% - 1.84%

The Company uses historical data to estimate forfeitures. The expected term of options granted represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding. Expected stock price volatility was calculated based on the Company s daily stock trading history. The weighted average of the expected option term increased to 5.07 years for year ended June 30, 2015 over the previous year as a result of the issuance of short-term options in fiscal year 2014 to the former chief financial officer. Aside from these stock options to the former chief financial officer the expected option term for other stock options granted during the year ended June 30, 2014 was 5.1 years. The risk-free rate for periods within the expected term of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant. The lower risk-free interest rate for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014 resulted from the short-term rate for the stock options granted to the former chief financial officer in that year.

Financial Instruments

The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, other current assets and current liabilities approximate fair value due to the short-term maturity of these instruments. The Company considers all highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents.

Reclassification

Certain prior period balances have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In April 2015, the FASB issued Accounting Standard Update (ASU) 2015-03, *Interest Imputation of Interest: Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs*. Under the new ASU, debt issuance costs will be reported in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the related debt liability rather than as a separate asset. Amortization of the costs is reported as interest expense. The amendments in this update are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015, and interim periods within those fiscal years. Early application is permitted for financial statements that have not been previously issued. The Company has adopted this standard in the current fiscal year and has presented the debt issuance costs related to the Convertible Notes as a direct deduction from the related face value in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet.

In August 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-15, Presentation of Financial Statements Going Concern: Disclosure of Uncertainties about an Entity s Ability to Continue as a Going Concern. This guidance clarifies that an entity s management should evaluate whether there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about the entity s ability to continue as a going concern within one year after the date that the financial statements are issued. The amendments in this update are effective for annual reporting periods ending after December 15, 2016, and annual and interim periods thereafter, and early application is permitted. The Company is assessing ASU 2014-15 s impact and will adopt it when effective.

On May 28, 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers*, which requires an entity to recognize the amount of revenue to which it expects to be entitled for the transfer of promised goods or services to customers. The ASU will replace most existing revenue recognition guidance in U.S. GAAP when it becomes effective. The new standard is effective for the Company on January 1, 2018. The standard permits the use of either the retrospective or cumulative effect transition method. The Company is assessing ASU 2014-09 s impact and will adopt it when effective.

3. Marketable Securities

Immunomedics considers all of its current investments to be available-for-sale. Marketable securities at June 30, 2015 and 2014 consist of the following (in thousands):

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gain	Gross Unrealized (Loss)	Fair Value
June 30, 2015				
U.S. Treasury Bonds	\$ 13,375	\$ 14	\$	\$ 13,389
U.S. Government Sponsored Agencies	46,694	34	(9)	46,719
Corporate Debt Securities	26,085	2	(29)	26,058
	\$ 86,154	\$ 50	\$ (38)	\$ 86,166

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gain	Gross Unrealized (Loss)	Fair Value
June 30, 2014				
U.S. Treasury Bonds	\$ 8,537	\$ 1	\$ (1)	\$ 8,537
U.S. Government Sponsored Agencies	7,458		(1)	7,457
Corporate Debt Securities	18,876	12	(11)	18,877
	\$ 34,871	\$ 13	\$ (13)	\$ 34,871

Maturities of debt securities classified as available-for-sale were as follows at June 30, 2015 (in thousands):

	Fair Value	Carrying Amount
Due within one year	\$ 46,516	\$ 46,646
Due after one year through five years	39,650	39,831
	\$ 86,166	\$ 86,477

4. Convertible Senior Notes

In February 2015, the Company issued \$100.0 million of Convertible Notes (net proceeds of \$96.3 million after deducting the initial purchasers fees and offering expenses) in a private offering exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act), in reliance upon Rule 144A under the Securities Act. The Convertible Notes will mature on February 15, 2020, unless earlier purchased or converted. The debt issuance costs of approximately \$3.7 million, primarily consisting of underwriting, legal and other professional fees, will be amortized over the term of the Convertible Notes. The Convertible Notes are senior unsecured obligations of the Company. Interest at 4.75% will be payable semiannually on February 15 and August 15 of each year, beginning on August 15, 2015. The effective interest rate on the Convertible Note was 5.48% for the period from the date of issuance through June 30, 2015.

The Convertible Notes are convertible at the option of holders into approximately 19.6 million shares of Immunomedics common stock at any time prior to the close of business on the day immediately preceding the maturity date. The conversion rate will initially be 195.8336 shares of common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of Convertible Notes (equivalent to an initial conversion price of approximately \$5.11 per share of Immunomedics common stock).

If the Company undergoes a fundamental change (as defined in the indenture governing the Convertible Notes), holders may require Immunomedics to purchase for cash all or part of the Convertible Notes at a purchase price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the Convertible Notes to be purchased, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, but excluding, the fundamental change purchase date, subject to

certain exceptions. In

addition, if certain make-whole fundamental changes (as defined in the indenture governing the Convertible Notes) occur, Immunomedics will, in certain circumstances, increase the conversion rate for any Convertible Note converted in connection with such make-whole fundamental change.

The indenture does not limit the amount of debt which may be issued by the Company under the indenture or otherwise, does not contain any financial covenants or restrict the Company from paying dividends or issuing or repurchasing its other securities. The indenture contains customary terms and covenants and events of default.

If an event of default with respect to the Convertible Notes occurs, holders may, upon satisfaction of certain conditions, accelerate the principal amount of the Convertible Notes plus premium, if any, and accrued and unpaid interest, if any. In addition, the principal amount of the Convertible Notes plus premium, if any, and accrued and unpaid interest, if any, will automatically become due and payable in the case of certain types of bankruptcy or insolvency events of default involving the Company.

Total interest expense for the Convertible Notes was \$2.1 million for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015, including amortization of debt issuance costs of \$0.3 million.

5. Estimated Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company s financial instruments consist of cash and cash equivalents, marketable securities, accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued expenses, and Convertible Notes. The carrying amount of accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued expenses are generally considered to be representative of their respective fair values because of the short-term nature of those instruments as of June 30, 2015 and 2014.

The Company has categorized its other financial instruments, based on the priority of the inputs to the valuation technique, into a three-level fair value hierarchy as set forth below. If the inputs used to measure the financial instruments fall within different levels of the hierarchy, the categorization is based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement of the instrument.

Financial instruments recorded on the consolidated balance sheets as of June 30, 2015 and 2014 are categorized based on the inputs to the valuation techniques as follows (in thousands):

Level 1 Values are based on unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in an active market which the company has the ability to access at the measurement date (examples include active exchange-traded equity securities and most U.S. Government and agency securities).

Level 2 Values are based on quoted market prices in markets where trading occurs infrequently or whose values are based on quoted prices of instruments with similar attributes in active markets.

Level 3 Values are based on prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both unobservable and significant to the overall fair value measurement. These inputs reflect management s own assumptions about the assumptions a market participant would use in pricing the asset.

Cash equivalents and marketable securities:

	(\$ in thousands)			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
June 30, 2015				
Money Market Funds	\$ 10,138	\$	\$	\$ 10,138
Marketable Securities:				
U.S. Treasury Bonds	13,389			13,389
U.S. Government Sponsored Agencies	46,719			46,719
Corporate Debt Securities	26,058			26,058

Total	\$ 96,304	\$ \$	\$ 96,304

		(\$ in thousands)				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total		
June 30, 2014						
Money Market Funds	\$ 367	\$	\$	\$ 367		
Marketable Securities:						
U.S. Treasury Bonds	8,537			8,537		
U.S. Government Sponsored Agencies	7,457			7,457		
Corporate Debt Securities	18,877			18,877		
Total	\$ 35,238	\$	\$	\$ 35,238		

The money market funds noted above are included in cash and cash equivalents.

Convertible Senior Notes

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values (Level 2) of debt instruments are as follows (in thousands):

	As of June	2 30, 2015	As of June 30, 2014		
		Estimated			
	Carrying	Fair	Carrying	Estimated	
	Amount	Value	Amount	Fair Value	
Convertible Senior Notes	\$ 96,625	\$ 103,800	\$	\$	

The fair value of the Convertible Senior Notes, which differs from their carrying values, is influenced by interest rates, the Company s stock price and stock price volatility and is determined by prices for the Convertible Senior Notes observed in market trading which are Level 2 inputs.

6. Property and Equipment

Property and equipment consisted of the following at June 30 (in thousands):

	2015	2014
Machinery and equipment	\$ 8,410	\$ 7,917
Leasehold improvements	18,192	18,125
Furniture and fixtures	939	933
Computer equipment	2,591	2,233
	30,132	29,208
Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(27,890)	(27,313)
	\$ 2,242	\$ 1,895

Depreciation and amortization expense for the years ended June 30, 2015, 2014 and 2013 was \$0.6 million, \$0.6 million and \$1.0 million, respectively.

7. Accounts Payable and Accrued Expenses

Accounts payable and accrued expenses consisted of the following at June 30 (in thousands):

	2015	2014
Clinical trial accruals	\$ 5,238	\$ 1,981
Trade accounts payable	3,284	3,009
Accrued interest expense	1,821	
Executive bonus	600	688
Legal expenses	101	690
Miscellaneous other current liabilities	764	519
	\$ 11,808	\$ 6,887

8. Stockholders (Deficit) Equity Preferred Stock

The Certificate of Incorporation of the Company authorizes 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock, \$.01 par value per share. The preferred stock may be issued from time to time in one or more series, with such distinctive serial designations, rights and preferences as shall be determined by the Board of Directors. For each of the fiscal years ended June 30, 2015, 2014 and 2013 the Company has had no preferred stock outstanding.

Common Stock

At the Annual Stockholder Meeting on December 3, 2014, the Company s stockholders approved the amendment and restatement of the Company s Certificate of Incorporation to increase the maximum number of shares of the Company s stock authorized up to 165,000,000 shares of stock consisting of 155,000,000 shares of common stock and 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock. Previously the Company s Certificate of Incorporation authorized up to 145,000,000 shares of stock consisting of 135,000,000 shares of common stock and 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock.

On October 1, 2014, the Company s registration statement on Form S-3, as filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, (the SEC) on September 16, 2014, was deemed effective, using a shelf registration process. Under this shelf registration statement, the Company may issue, in one or more offerings, any combination of common stock, preferred stock senior or subordinated debt securities, warrants, or units, up to a total dollar amount of \$130.0 million.

In May 2014, the Company sold 9,546,474 shares of its common stock, composed of 9,000,000 shares of common stock initially offered and an additional 546,474 shares of common stock sold pursuant to the exercise of the underwriters—over-allotment option. The public offering price of \$3.35 per share of common stock resulted in net proceeds to the Company of approximately \$29.8 million. The shares of common stock were sold pursuant to an effective shelf registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

In February 2013, the Company sold 7,000,000 shares of its common stock, composed of 6,086,956 shares of common stock initially offered and an additional 913,044 shares of common stock sold pursuant to the full exercise of the underwriters—over-allotment option. The public offering price of \$2.30 per share of common stock resulted in net proceeds to the Company of approximately \$14.8 million. The shares of common stock were sold pursuant to an effective shelf registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Stock Incentive Plans

At the Annual Stockholder Meeting on December 3, 2014, the Company $\,$ s stockholders approved the Immunomedics, Inc. 2014 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the $\,$ Plan $\,$). The Plan replaced the Company $\,$ s 2006 Stock Incentive Plan (the $\,$ 2006 Plan $\,$), which terminated on December 3, 2014. The Plan was established to promote

the interests of the Company, by providing eligible persons with the opportunity to acquire a proprietary interest in the Company as an incentive to remain with the organization and to align the employee s interest with our stockholders. The approval authorized issuance of 9,000,000 shares plus the number of unallocated share available for issuance as of the effective date under the 2006 Plan that were not subject to outstanding awards.

As under the 2006 Plan, option awards under the Plan are generally granted with an exercise price equal to the market price of the Plan, the Company's common stock at the date of grant; those option awards generally vest based on four years of continuous service and have seven year contractual terms. Option awards that are granted to non-employee Board members under the annual option grant program are granted with an exercise price equal to the market price of the Company's common stock at the date of grant, are vested immediately and have seven year contractual terms. Certain options provide for accelerated vesting if there is a change in control (as defined in the Plan). At June 30, 2015, there were 17,351,329 shares of common stock reserved for possible future issuance under the Plan, both currently outstanding (5,232,221 shares) and which were available to be issued for future grants (12,119,108 shares).

The Plan is divided into three separate equity incentive programs. These incentive programs consist of:

Discretionary Grant Program under which eligible persons may be granted options to purchase shares of common stock or stock appreciation rights tied to the value of the common stock;

Stock Issuance Program under which eligible persons may be issued shares of common stock pursuant to restricted stock awards, restricted stock shares, performance shares or other stock-based awards which vest upon completion of a designated service period or the attainment of pre-established performance milestones, or such shares of common stock may be a fully-vested bonus for services rendered; and

Automatic Grant Program under which eligible non-employee Board members will automatically receive grants at designated intervals over their period of continued Board service.

Each of the Company s outside Directors who had been a Director prior to July 1st of each year is granted, at the annual shareholder meeting of each year, options to purchase shares of the Company s common stock at fair market value on the grant date. The number of options to be issued is at the discretion of the Company s Board of Directors. For fiscal years 2015, 2014 and 2013, stock options were granted to these outside directors to purchase an aggregate of 89,204 shares, 66,348 shares and 128,000 shares, respectively. The values of the granted options were \$180 thousand, \$180 thousand and \$225 thousand for fiscal years ended 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Stock options granted to outside directors are vested when granted. When an outside Director is elected to the Board of Directors, they are awarded options for 22,500 shares of the Company s common stock. The Company recorded \$180 thousand, \$246 thousand and \$230 thousand for stock-based compensation expense for these non-employee Board members stock options for the years ended June 30, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Non-employee Board members who continue to serve shall receive on the date of the annual stockholders meeting an annual grant of non-qualified stock options and restricted stock units, equal in value to \$45 thousand. For fiscal years 2015, 2014 and 2013, restricted stock units were granted to these outside directors in an aggregate of 42,656 units, 38,216 units and 74,750 units, respectively. The value of the units granted were \$180 thousand, \$180 thousand and \$225 thousand for fiscal years 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Restricted stock units granted to outside directors become vested within one year of grant date. The Company recorded \$180 thousand, \$204 thousand and \$154 thousand for stock-based compensation expense for these non-employee Board members restricted stock units for the years ended June 30, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Information concerning options for the years ended June 30, 2015, 2014 and 2013 is summarized as follows:

	= "	umber of Shares	2012	Weighte		_		
	2015	2014	2013	2015		2014		2013
Options outstanding, beginning of year	5,308,617	5,726,874	5,799,100	\$ 3.41	\$	3.30	\$	3.72
Options granted	955,361	1,216,729	759,900	\$ 3.82	\$	4.79	\$	3.59
Options exercised	(1,217,575)	(535,730)	(88,594)	\$ 2.46	\$	3.36	\$	3.00
Options expired or forfeited	(521,063)	(1,099,256)	(743,532)	\$ 4.50	\$	4.35	\$	6.96
Options outstanding, end of year	4,525,340	5,308,617	5,726,874	\$ 3.48	\$	3.41	\$	3.30
Options exercisable, end of year	3,115,798	4,121,942	4.572.716	\$ 3.27	\$	3.18	Φ	3.21
Options exercisable, end of year	3,113,790	4,121,942	4,372,710	\$ 3.41	φ	5.10	φ	3.21

The weighted average fair value at the date of grant for options granted during the years ended June 30, 2015, 2014 and 2013 were \$1.91, \$1.91 and \$2.12 per share, respectively.

The aggregate intrinsic value of the outstanding and exercisable stock options as of June 30, 2015 and 2014 is \$3.3 million and \$3.0 million, respectively. The aggregate intrinsic value is the sum of the amounts by which the quoted market price of the Company's common stock exceeded the exercise price of the options at June 30, 2015, for those options for which the quoted market price was in excess of the exercise price. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the 2015, 2014 and 2013 fiscal years was \$1.8 million, \$0.8 million and \$0.1 million, respectively. Included in research and development and general and administrative expense categories the Company has recorded \$1.4 million, \$1.5 million and \$1.5 million for stock-based compensation expense related to these stock options during the 2015, 2014 and 2013 fiscal years, respectively.

The following table summarizes information concerning options outstanding under the Plan at June 30, 2015:

		Weighted	Weighted		Weighted
Range of	Number	average	average	Number	average
	outstanding	exercise	remaining	exercisable	exercise
exercise price	at June 30, 2015	price	term (yrs.)	at June 30, 2015	price
\$1.59 - 3.00	1,179,063	\$ 2.51	1.00	1,176,938	\$ 2.52
3.01 - 5.00	3,005,121	3.65	4.43	1,776,953	3.59
5.01 - 7.00	341,156	5.30	5.14	161,907	5.28
	4,525,340	\$ 3.48	3.59	3,115,798	3.27

At the Compensation Committee meeting held on August 16, 2013, the Company awarded an additional 136,452 restricted stock units to certain executive officers of the Company at the closing market price on that date (\$5.13 per share). At the Compensation Committee meeting held on August 14, 2014, the Company awarded an additional 226,657 restricted stock units to certain executive officers of the Company at the closing market price on that date (\$3.32 per share). As of June 30, 2015 there was \$1.2 million of total unrecognized compensation costs related to non-vested share-based compensation arrangements granted under the Plan for these executive officers, excluding performance stock units. That cost is being recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.39 years. The Company recorded \$0.8 million, \$0.7 million and \$0.6 million for stock-based compensation expense for these executive officers for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

On August 16, 2013, the Company also awarded certain executive officers Performance Units of up to 389,864 of restricted stock units which are subject to attainment of certain performance milestones as well as certain continued service requirements. All or a portion of the Performance Units shall vest based upon the level of achievement of the milestones set forth in each agreement, which is expected to be achieved within five years

of the grant date. The Performance Units that vest based upon attainment of the Performance milestone will be exercised based on a percentage basis on the attainment of anniversary dates. As of June 30, 2015, 116,959 of these Performance Units have vested and 272,905 are available if all performances are achieved within five years of grant date. The Company recorded \$0.4 million and \$1.1 million for the stock-based compensation for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. As of June 30, 2015, total unrecognized compensation cost related to these non-vested Performance Units granted aggregates \$0.5 million which is being recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.5 years. The unrecognized compensation cost is subject to modification on a quarterly basis based on review of performance probability and requisite achievement periods.

A summary of the Company s non-vested restricted stock units at June 30, 2015, and changes during the year ended June 30, 2015 is presented below:

		Weighted-Average per Share of Market Value on	
Non-Vested Restricted Stock	Number of Awards	Gra	nt Date
Non-vested at July 1, 2014	788,364	\$	4.64
Restricted Units Granted	277,313		3.51
Vested/Exercised	(358,796)		4.43
Non-vested at June 30, 2015	706,881	\$	4.30

The non-vested restricted stock units included above had a weighted-average remaining contractual life of approximately 5.4 years at June 30, 2015.

As of June 30, 2015, the Company has 2,116,423 non-vested options, restricted stock shares and performance units outstanding. As of June 30, 2015, 2014 and 2013 there was \$4.5 million, \$5.0 million and \$3.6 million, respectively, of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested share-based compensation arrangements granted under the Plan. That cost is being recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.63 years. The weighted average remaining contractual terms of the exercisable shares is 2.79 years and 2.73 years as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

The following table summarizes the stock-based compensation expense by the consolidated statements of comprehensive loss line items for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2015, 2014 and 2013 (in thousands):

	Fisca	Fiscal Year Ended June 30,		
	2015	2014	2013	
Research and development	\$ 1,673	\$ 1,931	\$ 1,359	
General and administrative	1,116	1,287	906	
Total expense	\$ 2,789	\$ 3,218	\$ 2,265	

Accumulated Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income

The components of accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income were as follows:

	Currency Translation Adjustments	ation (Losses) on Available-		lated Other rehensive ne (Loss)
Balance, June 30, 2012	\$ 80,161	\$	\$	80,161
Change for the year	81,669			81,669
Balance, June 30, 2013	161,830			161,830
Other comprehensive income before reclassifications	100,094	7,430		107,524
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive	100,074	7,430		107,524
income ^(a)		(7,517)		(7,517)
Net current-period other comprehensive income (loss)	100,094	(87)		100,007
Balance, June 30, 2014	261,924	(87)		261,837
		(01)		
Other comprehensive income before reclassifications	(434,617)	22,703		(411,914)
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income ^(a)		(11,015)		(11,015)
Net current-period other comprehensive (loss) income	(434,617)	11,688		(422,929)
Balance, June 30, 2015	\$ (172,693)	\$ 11,601	\$	(161,092)

⁽a) For the fiscal years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, \$11,015 and \$7,517 were reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income to interest and other income, respectively.

All components of accumulated other comprehensive income are net of tax, except currency translation adjustments, which exclude income taxes related to indefinite investments in foreign subsidiaries.

9. Income Taxes

The expense for income taxes is as follows (in thousands):

	Yea	Year Ended June 30,		
	2015	2014	2013	
Federal				
Current	\$	\$	\$ (38)	
Deferred				
Total Federal			(38)	
State				
Current	2	1	2	
Deferred				
Total State	2	1	2	
Foreign				
Current	56	7	80	
Deferred				
Total Foreign	56	7	80	
Total Expense	\$ 58	\$ 8	\$ 44	

A reconciliation of the statutory tax rates and the effective tax rates for each of the years ended June 30 is as follows:

	2015	2014	2013
Statutory rate	(34.0%)	(34.0%)	(34.0%)
Foreign income tax	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Change in valuation allowance	34.7%	27.5%	12.1%
NOL expiration	0%	0.0%	24.3%
R&D tax credit expiration	0%	0.0%	(3.4%)
Other	(0.7%)	6.4%	1.3%
Effective rate	0.1%	0.0%	0.4%

The tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to significant portions of the Company s deferred tax assets as of June 30, 2015 and 2014 are presented below (in thousands):

	2015	2014
Deferred tax assets:		
NOL carry forwards	\$ 84,697	\$ 66,699
Research and development credits	13,604	12,264
Property and equipment	3,883	4,055
Other	4,528	5,479
Total	106,712	88,497
Valuation allowance	(106,712)	(88,497)

Net deferred taxes \$

A valuation allowance is provided when it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The valuation allowances for fiscal years 2015 and 2014 have been applied to offset the deferred tax assets in recognition of the uncertainty that such tax benefits will be realized as the Company continues to incur losses. The differences between book income and tax income primarily relate to the temporary differences from depreciation and stock compensation expenses.

At June 30, 2015, the Company has available net operating loss carry forwards for federal income tax reporting purposes of approximately \$231.0 million and for state income tax reporting purposes of approximately \$103.4 million, which expire at various dates between fiscal 2016 and 2035. Pursuant to Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, the annual utilization of a company s net operating loss and research credit carry forwards may be limited if the Company experiences a change in ownership as defined in Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code. The Company s net operating loss carry forwards available to offset future federal taxable income arising before such ownership changes may be limited. Similarly, the Company may be restricted in using its research credit carry forwards arising before such ownership changes to offset future federal income tax expense.

At June 30, 2015, the Company did not have any material unrecognized tax benefits and the Company does not anticipate that its unrecognized tax benefits will significantly change in the next twelve months. The Company will recognize potential interest and penalties related to income tax positions as a component of the provision for income taxes on the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss in any future periods in which the Company must record a liability. The Company is subject to examination for U.S. Federal and Foreign tax purposes for 2011 and forward and for New Jersey 2012 and forward. The Company conducts business and files tax returns in New Jersey. The majority-owned subsidiary of the Company (IBC Pharmaceuticals, Inc.) is currently under examination by the Internal Revenue Service for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013.

10. Related Party Transactions

Certain of the Company s affiliates, including members of its senior management and Board of Directors, as well as their respective family members and other affiliates, have relationships and agreements among themselves as well as with the Company and its affiliates, that create the potential for both real, as well as perceived, conflicts of interest. These include Dr. David M. Goldenberg, the Company s Chairman, Chief Scientific Officer and Chief Patent Officer, Ms. Cynthia L. Sullivan, the President and Chief Executive Officer, who is the wife of Dr. David M. Goldenberg, and certain companies with which the Company does business, including the Center for Molecular Medicine and Immunology (CMMI), which is currently in the process of dissolving, and IBC Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Dr. David M. Goldenberg

Dr. David M. Goldenberg was the original founder of Immunomedics in 1982 and continues to play a critical role in its business. He currently serves as Chairman of the Board of Directors, Chief Scientific Officer, and Chief Patent Officer, and is married to the Company s President and Chief Executive Officer, Cynthia L. Sullivan. Dr. Goldenberg is a party to a number of agreements with the Company involving not only his services, but intellectual property owned by him.

License Agreement

Pursuant to a License Agreement between Immunomedics and Dr. Goldenberg, certain patent applications owned by Dr. Goldenberg were licensed to Immunomedics at the time of Immunomedics formation in exchange for a royalty in the amount of 0.5% of the first \$20.0 million of annual net sales of all products covered by any of such patents and 0.25% of annual net sales of such products in excess of \$20.0 million. In November 1993, the ownership rights of Immunomedics were extended as part of, and superseded by, Dr. Goldenberg s employment agreement, with Immunomedics agreeing to diligently pursue all ideas, discoveries, developments and products, into the entire medical field, which, at any time during his past or continuing employment by Immunomedics (but not when performing services for CMMI see below), Dr. Goldenberg has made or conceived or hereafter makes or conceives, or the making or conception of which he has materially contributed to or hereafter contributes to, all as defined in the Employment Agreement. As stated earlier, CMMI is currently in the process of dissolving.

Employment Agreements

On July 1, 2011, the Company entered into the Third Amended and Restated Employment Agreement with Dr. Goldenberg for his service to the Company as the Chief Scientific Officer (the Goldenberg Agreement). The Goldenberg Agreement covered aspects of his compensation as well his duties and responsibilities at Immunomedics. Under the Goldenberg Agreement, Dr. Goldenberg s annual base salary was at a minimum of \$0.5 million, which was reviewed annually for appropriate increases by the Board of Directors or the Compensation Committee. Dr. Goldenberg is also eligible to participate in any Company incentive compensation plan in place for its senior level executives and was eligible to receive an annual discretionary bonus based upon certain performance standards to be determined by the Compensation Committee. Dr. Goldenberg s annual bonus target was 50% of his annual base salary, subject to achievement of performance goals, with a potential payout from 0% to 150% of the target amount. The Goldenberg Agreement was terminated effective July 1, 2015. On July 14, 2015, the Company entered into the Amended and Restated Employment Agreement with Dr. Goldenberg (the Amended and Restated Goldenberg Agreement), which became effective July 1, 2015.

Dr. Goldenberg is also eligible to receive certain additional incentive compensation during the agreement term. For any fiscal year in which the Company records an annual net loss, Dr. Goldenberg shall receive a sum equal to 0.75% of the consideration the Company receives from any licensing agreement, sale of intellectual property or similar transaction with any third party, with certain exceptions as defined in the Goldenberg Agreement. For any fiscal year in which the Company records net income, Dr. Goldenberg shall receive a sum equal to 1.50% of the Company s Annual Net Revenue as defined in the Goldenberg Agreement for each such fiscal year, and thereafter throughout the non-competition period, as described in the Agreement.

Dr. Goldenberg is also eligible to receive royalty payments on royalties received by the Company. For each fiscal year the Company shall pay Dr. Goldenberg a sum equal to a percentage of the annual royalties the Company receives on each of the products for which Dr. Goldenberg is an Inventor, and all products using, related to or derived from products for which Dr. Goldenberg is an Inventor. The percentage of royalties that the Company will pay to Dr. Goldenberg on each patented product will be determined based on the percentage of royalties that the Company must pay to external third parties.

Dr. Goldenberg is also eligible to receive minimum payments of \$150 thousand during each of the fiscal years, payable in equal quarterly payments, as an advance against the amounts due as additional incentive compensation, royalty payments and dispositions of undeveloped assets. In the event the Company completes a disposition of the Company s undeveloped assets for which Dr. Goldenberg was an Inventor, the Company will pay Dr. Goldenberg a sum equal to at least twenty percent or more of the consideration the Company receives from each disposition. The Company s obligation to compensate Dr. Goldenberg upon dispositions of undeveloped assets applies to all dispositions completed within the contract term or within three years thereafter. For the 2015, 2014 and 2013 fiscal years, Dr. Goldenberg received the minimum payment under the employment agreement. Dr. Goldenberg also is compensated by IBC Pharmaceuticals as discussed in greater detail below.

Cynthia L. Sullivan

Effective July 1, 2014, the Company entered into the Fifth Amended and Restated Employment Agreement with Cynthia L. Sullivan pertaining to Ms. Sullivan's service to the Company as the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer (the Amended Sullivan Agreement), which terminates on June 30, 2017. Ms. Sullivan's current annual base salary under the Amended Sullivan Agreement is \$0.6 million, which is reviewed annually for appropriate increases by the Board or the Compensation Committee. Ms. Sullivan's annual bonus target was 50% of her base salary, subject to achievement of performance goals, with a potential payout from 0% to 150% of the target amount. Ms. Sullivan is also eligible to receive equity compensation awards under the Company's 2014 Long-Term Incentive Plan, or any such successor equity compensation plan as may be in place from time to time.

Relationships with The Center for Molecular Medicine and Immunology (CMMI)

The Company s product development has involved, to varying degrees, CMMI, for the performance of certain basic research and patient evaluations, the results of which are made available to the Company pursuant to a collaborative research and license agreement. Dr. Goldenberg was the founder, President and a member of the Board of Trustees of CMMI.

The Company has reimbursed CMMI for expenses incurred on behalf of the Company, including amounts incurred pursuant to research contracts, in the amount of approximately \$32 thousand during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013. There were no such payments for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014. In fiscal years ended June 30, 2015, 2014 and 2013, the Company incurred \$33 thousand, \$26 thousand and \$60 thousand, respectively, of legal expenses for patent related matters for patents licensed to Immunomedics from CMMI. However, any inventions made independently of the Company at CMMI are the property of CMMI. CMMI is currently in the process of dissolving.

IBC Pharmaceuticals

IBC Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (IBC) is a majority-owned subsidiary of Immunomedics, Inc.

As of June 30, 2015, the shares of IBC Pharmaceuticals, Inc. were held as follows:

Stockholder	Holdings	Percentage of Total
Immunomedics, Inc.	5,615,124 shares of Series A Preferred Stock	73.46%
Third Party Investors	628,282 shares of Series B Preferred Stock	8.22%
David M. Goldenberg Millennium Trust	1,399,926 shares of Series C Preferred Stock	18.32%

100.00%

In the event of a liquidation, dissolution or winding up of IBC, the Series A, B and C Preferred Stockholders would be entitled to \$0.6902, \$5.17 and \$0.325 per share (subject to adjustment), respectively. The Series A and B stockholders would be paid ratably until fully satisfied. The Series C stockholders would be paid only after the Series A and B stockholders have been fully repaid. These liquidation payments would be made only to the extent the assets of IBC are sufficient to make such payments.

In each of the fiscal years 2015, 2014 and 2013, Dr. Goldenberg received \$84 thousand, \$79 thousand and \$78 thousand, respectively, in compensation for his services to IBC. At June 30, 2015, Dr. Goldenberg was a director of IBC, while Cynthia L. Sullivan, Peter P. Pfreundschuh, Vice President of Finance and CFO of Immunomedics, Inc., and Chau Cheng, Senior Director, Investor Relations and Corporate Secretary of Immunomedics, Inc., have served as the President, Treasurer and Secretary, respectively, of IBC.

11. License and Collaboration Agreements The Bayer Group (formerly Algeta ASA)

In January 2013 the Company entered into a collaboration agreement, referred to herein as the Collaboration Agreement, with Algeta ASA (subsequently acquired by The Bayer Group Bayer), for the development of epratuzumab to be conjugated with Algeta's proprietary thorium-227 alpha-pharmaceutical payload. Under the terms of the Collaboration Agreement, the Company has manufactured and supplied clinical-grade antibody to Bayer, which has rights to evaluate the potential of a conjugated thorium-227 epratuzumab for the treatment of patients with cancer. Bayer will fund all non-clinical and clinical development costs up to the end of Phase 1 clinical testing. Upon successful completion of Phase 1 testing, the parties shall negotiate terms for a license agreement at Bayer's request. The Company and Bayer have agreed to certain parameters in the Collaboration Agreement. Under the terms of the Collaboration Agreement, as amended, Immunomedics received an upfront

cash payment and other payments which have been recognized upon the Company fulfilling its obligations under the Collaboration Agreement. For the year ended June 30, 2015, the Company recognized \$1.0 million in license and other revenue for the completion of the clinical development milestone as described in the Collaboration Agreement, which required the shipment of sufficient quantities of clinical grade material to Bayer to complete their Phase 1 clinical trial. In addition, in January 2015, the Company recorded revenue of \$0.3 million representing an anniversary payment under the agreement. Two similar payments are due over the next two years. For the year ended June 30, 2014, the Company recognized \$4.6 million of revenue under this arrangement, which was included in license fee and other revenues, while the related costs of \$1.2 million is included in cost of license fee and other revenue.

UCB, S.A.

On May 9, 2006, the Company entered into an agreement with UCB, S.A., referred to herein as UCB, providing UCB an exclusive worldwide license to develop, manufacture, market and sell epratuzumab for the treatment of all non-cancer indications, referred to herein as the UCB Agreement. Under the terms of the UCB Agreement, the Company received from UCB a non-refundable cash payment totaling \$38.0 million. On December 27, 2011, the Company entered into the Amendment Agreement with UCB, referred to herein as the Amendment Agreement. The Amendment Agreement provided UCB the right to sublicense epratuzumab, subject to obtaining the Company s prior consent, to a third party for the United States and certain other territories. As of June 30, 2015, UCB has not executed a sublicense agreement with a third-party.

The Company also issued to UCB on December 27, 2011 a 5-year warrant to purchase one million shares of the Company s common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, at an exercise price of \$8.00 per share. In exchange for the right to sublicense its rights in epratuzumab to a third party and the warrant issuance, the Company received a non-refundable cash payment of \$30.0 million in January 2012. Further, under the terms of the Amendment Agreement, UCB surrendered its buy-in right with respect to epratuzumab in the field of oncology, which had been granted under the UCB Agreement.

Collectively, pursuant to the UCB Agreement and the Amendment Agreement, the Company is entitled to receive (i) up to \$130.0 million in cash payments and \$20.0 million in equity investments in regulatory milestone payments and (ii) up to \$260.0 million related to the achievement of specified product sales milestones. The Company is also entitled to product royalties ranging from mid-teen to mid-twenty percentage of aggregate annual net sales under the UCB Agreement during the product royalty term. No development milestone, commercialization milestone or royalty payments were achieved through June 30, 2015. There can be no assurance that the development or commercialization milestones or royalty payment thresholds under the UCB Agreement and Amendment Agreement will be met and therefore there can be no assurance that the Company will receive such future payments.

On July 28, 2015, UCB announced that the two Phase 3 EMBODY clinical trials for epratuzumab in SLE did not meet the primary clinical efficacy endpoints in either dose in both studies. UCB is in the process of analyzing the full set of data from both studies. The Agreement with UCB is still in effect. At this time it is unclear if any milestones will be achieved.

The Agreement commenced on May 9, 2006 and shall terminate in accordance with the terms thereof or by mutual written consent, unless UCB decides to cease all development and commercialization of epratuzumab pursuant to the Agreement. Either the Company or UCB has the right to terminate the Agreement by notice in writing to the other party upon or after any material breach of the Agreement by the other party, if the other party has not cured the breach within 60 days after written notice to cure has been given, with certain exceptions. Upon termination of the Agreement, among other things, all rights and licenses granted by the Company to UCB shall terminate, all rights of UCB under the Immunomedics Patent Rights (as defined in the Agreement) and Immunomedics Know-How (as defined in the Agreement) shall revert to the Company, and UCB shall cease all use of the Immunomedics Patent Rights and Immunomedics Know-How. Further, all regulatory filings and Approvals (as defined in the Agreement) and any other documents relating to or necessary to further develop and

commercialize the Licensed Compound (as defined in the Agreement) and Licensed Products (as defined in the Agreement), including, without limitation, all sublicenses granted by UCB, and all of UCB s right, title and interest therein and thereto, shall be assigned to the Company at the Company s option. No additional amounts shall be payable on events occurring after the effective date of termination.

12. Commitments and Contingencies *Employment Contracts*

Effective July 1, 2014, the Company entered into the Fifth Amended and Restated Employment Agreement with Cynthia L. Sullivan pertaining to Ms. Sullivan s service to the Company as the Company s President and Chief Executive Officer (see Note 10). Ms. Sullivan s annual base salary under this new agreement is \$0.6 million, which shall be reviewed annually for appropriate increases by the Board of Directors or the Compensation Committee.

Effective July 1, 2015 the Company entered into the Amended and Restated Goldenberg Agreement with Dr. David M. Goldenberg pertaining to Dr. Goldenberg s service to the Company as its Chief Scientific Officer and Chief Patent Officer. This agreement provides for a guaranteed salary of \$0.6 million and \$0.15 million for guaranteed royalties for Dr. Goldenberg for the fiscal years 2016 through 2020 (see Note 15).

Operating Lease

Immunomedics is obligated under an operating lease for facilities used for research and development, manufacturing and office space, expiring in October 2031 at a base annual rate of \$0.8 million, which is fixed through October 2016 and increases thereafter every five years. Rental expense related to this lease was approximately \$0.8 million for fiscal years 2015, 2014 and 2013.

The minimum lease commitments for the non-cancelable term of the facility lease described above are as follows for fiscal years (in thousands):

2016	\$	838
2017	\$	929
2018	\$	974
2019	\$	974
2020	\$	974
Thereafter	\$ 11	1.736

Legal Matters

Shareholder complaints:

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014, two separate shareholder derivative complaints were filed against the Company. First, on March 24, 2014, a complaint styled *Kops v. Goldenberg, et al.*, was filed in the Superior Court of New Jersey, Chancery Division, General Equity Part, Morris County. Second, on April 18, 2014, a complaint styled *Breitman v. Sullivan, et al.*, was filed in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey. The complaints alleged, among other things, that the Company and certain directors and officers breached their fiduciary duties for disseminating false and misleading information relating to the termination of the Nycomed Agreement. In particular, the complaints alleged that defendants failed to make timely disclosure concerning a dispute concerning a delay in the development of veltuzumab. On October 9, 2013, the Company announced that the Nycomed Agreement was terminated. The complaints alleged that the breaches in fiduciary duties by the directors and officers caused damages to the Company and stockholders, including a decline in value of the Company s common stock, increased investigatory and litigation costs, and exposure to civil liability as a result of a pending securities fraud class action suit. Plaintiffs brought the derivative actions to recover

damages against the directors and officers for the benefit of the Company, and to require the Company to reform and improve its corporate governance and internal procedures. On February 13, 2015, the *Kops* matter was dismissed without prejudice pursuant to a stipulation entered into by the parties. The *Breitman* matter is presently stayed pending the outcome of a motion to dismiss in a related putative class action lawsuit, described below. The defendants believe that the allegations in the derivative complaints are without merit and intend to defend the lawsuits vigorously; however, there can be no assurance regarding the ultimate outcome of these lawsuits.

A putative class action lawsuit, styled *Nasyrova v. Immunomedics, Inc.*, was filed on February 27, 2014, in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey. The lawsuit alleged that the Company and certain of its current and former officers and directors failed to disclose and/or made material misstatements in the Company s public filings relating to the termination of the Nycomed Agreement. In particular, the complaint alleged that defendants failed to make timely disclosure concerning a dispute concerning a delay in the development of veltuzumab. On October 9, 2013, the Company announced that the Nycomed Agreement was terminated. The complaint alleged violations of Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. On July 15, 2015, the Court granted the motion to dismiss the second amended complaint with prejudice, concluding the Court s opinion concluded that the Company had no duty to make the disclosure of information concerning the agreement at an earlier time and that there was no misstatement or omission sufficient to support the claim. The Court ordered this action closed.

Other matters:

Immunomedics is also a party to various claims and litigation arising in the normal course of business, which includes some or all of certain of its patents. While it is not possible to determine the outcome of these matters, the Company believes that the resolution of all such matters will not have a material adverse effect on its consolidated financial position or liquidity, but could possibly be material to its consolidated results of operations in any one accounting period.

Patent litigation:

On June 26, 2015, Immunomedics filed a complaint in the United State District Court for the District of New Jersey, against The Board of Directors of Roger Williams Medical Center (RWMC), Richard P. Junghans, M.D., Ph.D., Steven C. Katz, M.D., and the Office of the Board of Advisors of Tufts University School of Medicine. The complaint alleges that RWMC and Dr. Junghans breached a Material Transfer Agreement (MTA) through which it provided to them a monoclonal antibody known as MN-14 and related materials. Those parties are alleged to have breached the MTA and to have been negligent by, among other things, using the materials beyond the agreed Research Project, sharing confidential information, failing to notify Immunomedics of intended publications prior to publishing, and refusing to return the materials upon request. Immunomedics also asserts against all defendants claims of intentional interference with perspective economic gain and contracts, misappropriation, conversion, bailment, and several copyright violations.

Arbitration Settlement:

On April 15, 2009, the Company initiated an arbitration proceeding before the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA) against its former investment advisor/broker-dealer, Banc of America Investment Services, Inc., and Banc of America Securities, LLC, relating to its prior investment in certain securities. On March 27, 2013, the Company reached a settlement in such matter. Pursuant to the settlement, the Company received a gross settlement amount of \$18.0 million, dismissed the proceeding with prejudice, and together with the broker-dealer, released each other from all claims and liabilities arising out of the arbitration. The Company received the net amount of approximately \$16.7 million after payment of expenses and legal fees.

13. Geographic Segments

Immunomedics manages its operations as one line of business of researching, developing, manufacturing and marketing biopharmaceutical products, particularly antibody-based products for the targeted treatment of cancer, autoimmune and other serious diseases, and it currently reports as a single industry segment.

The following table presents financial information based on the geographic location of the facilities of Immunomedics as of and for the years ended (in thousands):

	June 30, 2015		
	United States	Europe	Total
Total assets	\$ 104,168	\$ 1,612	\$ 105,780
Property and equipment, net	2,234	8	2,242
Revenues	3,054	2,599	5,653
(Loss) income before income tax expense	(48,192)	126	(48,066)

		June 30, 2014		
	United States	Europe	Total	
Total assets	\$ 44,926	\$ 2,560	\$ 47,486	
Property and equipment, net	1,895		1,895	
Revenues	5,947	3,095	9,042	
Loss before income tax expense	(35,452)	(71)	(35,523)	

	June 30, 2013		
	United States	Europe	Total
Total assets	\$ 45,552	\$ 2,375	\$ 47,927
Property and equipment, net	2,087		2,087
Revenues	2,011	2,951	4,962
(Loss) income before income tax expense	(11,630)	188	(11,442)

14. Defined Contribution Plans

U.S. employees are eligible to participate in the Company s 401(k) plan, while employees in international locations are eligible to participate in other defined contribution plans. Aggregate Company contributions to its benefit plans totaled approximately \$99 thousand, \$96 thousand and \$98 thousand for the years ended June 30, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

15. Subsequent Events

Effective July 1, 2015, the Company entered into the Amended and Restated Employment Agreement with Dr. Goldenberg pertaining to Dr. Goldenberg s service to the Company as the Company s Chairman of the Board, Chief Scientific Officer and Chief Patent Officer.

The Amended and Restated Goldenberg Agreement will continue, unless earlier terminated by the parties, until July 1, 2020. Dr. Goldenberg s current annual base salary under the Amended and Restated Goldenberg Agreement is \$0.6 million, which shall be reviewed annually for appropriate increases by the Board or the Compensation Committee. Dr. Goldenberg is also eligible to participate in the Company s incentive compensation plan in place for its senior level executives. Dr. Goldenberg s annual bonus target is 50% of his base salary, subject to achievement of performance goals established by the Compensation Committee, with a potential payout from 0 to 150% of the target amount. Dr. Goldenberg will also be eligible to receive equity compensation awards under the Company s 2014 Long-Term Incentive Plan, or any such successor equity compensation plan as may be in place from time to time, at the discretion of the Compensation Committee. In lieu of any annual performance equity or equity-based grants throughout the term of the Amended and Restated

Goldenberg Agreement, Dr. Goldenberg received a grant of 1,500,000 Performance Units (as such term is defined in the 2014 Long Term Incentive Plan), which shall vest, if at all, after the three (3) year period commencing on the grant date of July 14,2015, provided the applicable performance milestones are met and conditioned upon Dr. Goldenberg s continued employment through the vesting period, subject to the terms and conditions of the Restricted Stock Units Notice and the Restricted Stock Units Agreement and such other terms and conditions as set forth in the grant agreement (the Performance Unit Grant).

On July 28, 2015, UCB announced that the two Phase 3 EMBODY clinical trials for epratuzumab in SLE did not meet the primary clinical efficacy endpoints in either dose in both studies. Treatment response in patients who received epratuzumab in addition to standard therapy was not statistically significant when compared to those who received placebo in addition to standard therapy. UCB is in the process of analyzing the full set of data from both studies. A high level review of the safety data did not identify any new safety concerns.

16. Quarterly Results of Operations (Unaudited)

The following table present summarized unaudited quarterly financial data:

		Three M	Ionths Ended	
	June 30, 2015	March 31, 2015	December 31, 2014	September 30, 2014
	(In thousands, excep	ot for per share amou	ints)
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss Data:				
Revenues	\$ 2,395	\$ 1,183	\$ 1,003	\$ 1,072
Net loss attributable to Immunomedics, Inc.	(12,401)	(11,756)	(11,435)	(12,410)
Loss per common share attributable to Immunomedics Inc. basic and				
diluted	\$ (0.13)	\$ (0.13)	\$ (0.12)	\$ (0.13)
Weighted average shares used to calculate loss per common share				
basic and diluted	93,657	93,352	93,157	93,098

	Three Months Ended			
	June 30, 2014	March 31, 2014	December 31, 2013	September 30, 2013
	(1	In thousands, excep	t for per share amou	ints)
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss Data:				
Revenues	\$ 1,188	\$ 1,154	\$ 1,202	\$ 5,498
Net loss attributable to Immunomedics, Inc.	(11,846)	(9,506)	(8,873)	(5,201)
Loss per common share attributable to Immunomedics Inc. basic and				
diluted	\$ (0.13)	\$ (0.11)	\$ (0.12)	\$ (0.06)
Weighted average shares used to calculate loss per common share				
basic and diluted	89,084	83,340	83,175	82,947

Immunomedics, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Reserves

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2015, 2014 and 2013

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

V 1.1	Balance at Beginning of	Changes to	Credits to	Other	Balance at End of
Year ended:	Year	Reserve	Expense	Charges	Year
June 30, 2013	\$ (54,809)	\$ 5,544	\$	\$	\$ (49,265)
June 30, 2014	\$ (49,265)	\$ (39,344)	\$	\$	\$ (88,609)
June 30, 2015	\$ (88,609)	\$ 34,432	\$	\$	\$ (54,177)

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure
None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures:

Disclosure Controls and Procedures: We maintain controls and procedures designed to ensure that we are able to collect the information we are required to disclose in the reports we file with the SEC, and to record, process, summarize and disclose this information within the time periods specified in the rules promulgated by the SEC. Our Chief Executive and Chief Financial Officers are responsible for establishing and maintaining these disclosure controls and procedures and as required by the rules of the SEC, to evaluate their effectiveness. Based on their evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer believe that these procedures are functioning effectively to provide reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed by us in reports filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is (i) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms and (ii) accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding disclosures.

Management s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting: Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Our internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Our internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that: (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of Immunomedics; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and our directors; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Our management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2015. In making this assessment, management used the criteria in the *Internal Control-Integrated Framework (2013)* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on its assessment and those criteria, our management has concluded we maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2015.

Our independent registered public accounting firm has issued an attestation report on the effectiveness of Immunomedics internal control over financial reporting.

Changes in internal controls over financial reporting: There were no significant changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act), identified in connection with the evaluation of such internal control that occurred during our last fiscal quarter, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders

Immunomedics, Inc.:

We have audited Immunomedics, Inc. s internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2015, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Immunomedics Inc. s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Immunomedics, Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2015, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Immunomedics, Inc. and subsidiaries as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the related consolidated statements of comprehensive loss, changes in stockholders (deficit) equity and cash flows for the years then ended, and our report dated August 19, 2015 expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Short Hills, New Jersey

August 19, 2015

Item 9B. *Other Information* None.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers, and Corporate Governance

Information required by this item is incorporated in this Annual Report on Form 10-K by reference from the sections entitled Nominees for Directors, Executive Officers, Director Experience, Qualifications, Attributes and Skills, Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance, Business Ethics and Compliance, and Committees of the Board, contained in our definitive proxy statement for our 2015 annual meeting of stockholders scheduled to be held on December 2, 2015, which we intend to file within 120 days of the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The text of our Code of Business Conduct, which applies to our directors and employees (including our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions) is posted in the Corporate Governance section of our website, www.immunomedics.com. A copy of the Code of Business Conduct can be obtained free of charge on our website. We intend to disclose on our website any amendments to, or waivers from, our Code of Business Conduct that are required to be disclosed pursuant to the rules of the SEC and NASDAQ.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

Information required to be disclosed by this Item is incorporated in this Annual Report on Form 10-K by reference from the sections entitled Compensation Discussion and Analysis, Compensation Committee Report, Summary Compensation Table, Grants of Plan Based Awards in Fiscal Year 2015, Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End 2015 Table, Fiscal Year 2015 Option Exercises and Stock Vested Table, Employment Contracts, Termination of Employment and Change in Control Agreements contained in our definitive proxy statement for our 2015 annual meeting of stockholders scheduled to be held on December 2, 2015, which we intend to file within 120 days of the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

Information required to be disclosed by this Item is incorporated in this Annual Report on Form 10-K by reference from the sections entitled Equity Compensation Plans, Ownership of Our Common Stock, Compensation for Executive Officers and Director Compensation, contained in our definitive proxy statement for our 2015 annual meeting of stockholders scheduled to be held on December 2, 2015, which we intend to file within 120 days of the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence

The information required to be disclosed by this Item is incorporated in this Annual Report on Form 10-K by reference from the section(s) entitled Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, Our Corporate Governance, Compensation for Executive Officers, Director Compensation, Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation, and Compensation Committee Report contained in our definitive proxy statement for our 2015 annual meeting of stockholders scheduled to be held on December 2, 2015, which we intend to file within 120 days of the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report on From 10-K.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services.

This information required to be disclosed by this Item is incorporated in this Annual Report on Form 10-K by reference from the section entitled Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm—contained in our definitive proxy statement for our 2015 annual meeting of stockholders scheduled to be held on December 2, 2015, which we intend to file within 120 days of the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules

(a) Documents filed as part of this Report:

1. Consolidated Financial Statements:

Consolidated Balance Sheets June 30, 2015 and 2014

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss for the years ended June 30, 2015, 2014 and 2013

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders (Deficit) Equity for the years ended June 30, 2015, 2014 and 2013

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended June 30, 2015, 2014 and 2013

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm KPMG LLP

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
Ernst & Young LLP

2. Financial Statement Schedule:

Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Reserves

3. List of Exhibits

Exhibit No.	Description
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 3.1 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the Commission on December 4, 2014.
3.2	Second Amended and Restated By-Laws of the Company, incorporated by reference from the Exhibits to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the Commission on August 27, 2007.
4.1	Indenture, dated as of February 11, 2015, by and between the Company and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 4.1 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the Commission on February 12, 2015.
4.2	Form of 4.75% Convertible Senior Note due 2020 incorporated by reference from Exhibit 4.1 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the Commission on February 12, 2015.
10.1	Amended and Restated License Agreement among the Company, David M. Goldenberg and the Center for Molecular Medicine and Immunology, Inc., dated December 11, 1990, incorporated by reference from the Exhibits to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-2 effective July 24, 1991 (Commission File No. 33-41053).
10.2	Amendment, dated March 13, 1995, to the Amended and Restated License Agreement among the Company, David M. Goldenberg and the Center for Molecular Medicine and Immunology, Inc., dated December 11, 1990, incorporated by reference from the Exhibits to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1995.
10.3	License Agreement, dated as of January 21, 1997, between the Company and the Center for Molecular Medicine and Immunology, Inc., incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.25 to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended December 31, 1996.
10.4	License Agreement, dated March 5, 1999, between the Company and IBC Pharmaceuticals, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.2 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the Commission on March 24, 1999.
10.5	Development, Collaboration and License Agreement between the Company and UCB, S.A. dated May 9, 2006, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.25 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006.

Exhibit No.	Description
10.6	Amendment Agreement by and between the Company and UCB Pharma, S.A., dated December 27, 2011, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A for the fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2011.
10.7	Form of Warrant issued by the Company to UCB Pharma, S.A., dated December 27, 2011, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.2 to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2011.
10.8	Development and License Agreement, dated December 17, 2000, between the Company and Amgen, Inc., as amended on April 1, 2001, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10 to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A for the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2001.
10.9	Contract for Services effective as of January 1, 2002 between the Company and Logosys Logistik GmbH, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.2 to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2001.
10.10	License and Collaboration Agreement between the Company and Nycomed GmbH, dated July 11, 2008, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.45 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008.
10.11	Lease Agreement with Baker Properties Limited Partnership, dated January 16, 1992, incorporated by reference from the Exhibits to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-2 effective January 30, 1992.
10.12	First Addendum, dated May 5, 1993, of the Lease Agreement with Baker Properties Limited Partnership, dated January 16, 1992, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.31 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007.
10.13	Second Addendum, dated March 29, 1995, of the Lease Agreement with Baker Properties Limited Partnership, dated January 16, 1992, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.32 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007.
10.14	Letter Amendment, dated October 5, 1998, of the Lease Agreement with Baker Properties Limited Partnership, dated January 16, 1992, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.33 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007.
10.15	Fourth Amendment Expansion/Extension Agreement dated August 15, 2001, of the Lease Agreement with Baker Properties Limited Partnership, dated January 16, 1992, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.34 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007.
10.16	Fifth Amendment Expansion Agreement dated June 18, 2009 of the Lease with WU/LH 300 American L.L.C. a successor-in-interest to Baker Properties Limited Partnership, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.36 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009.
10.17	Sixth Amendment Extension Agreement dated February 11, 2011 of the Lease with WU/LH 300 American L.L.C. a successor-in-interest to Baker Properties Limited Partnership, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2011.
10.18#	Immunomedics, Inc. 2002 Stock Option Plan, as amended, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.3 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2002.
10.19#	Immunomedics, Inc. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 99.1 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-8 (Commission File Number 333-143420), as filed with the Commission on May 31, 2007.
10.20#	Amendment 2007-1 to the Immunomedics, Inc. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 99.2 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-8 (Commission File Number 333-143420), as filed with the Commission on May 31, 2007.
10.21#	Form of Stock Option Agreement under the Immunomedics, Inc. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.24 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007.

Exhibit No.	Description
10.22#	Form of Change of Control Addendum to the Stock Option Agreement under the Immunomedics, Inc. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.25 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fisca year ended June 30, 2007.
10.23#	Form of Notice of Grant of Stock Option under the Immunomedics, Inc. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.26 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007.
10.24#	Form of RSU Issuance Agreement under the Immunomedics, Inc. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.27 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007.
10.25#	Form of Change of Control Addendum to RSU Agreement under the Immunomedics, Inc. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.28 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007.
10.26#	Form of Initial Director RSU Issuance Agreement under the Immunomedics, Inc. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.29 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007.
10.27#	Form of Annual Director RSU Issuance Agreement under the Immunomedics, Inc. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.30 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007.
10.28#	Form of Restricted Stock Unit Issuance Agreement under the Immunomedics, Inc. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s current report on Form 8-K, as filed with the Commission on August 22, 2013.
10.29#	Form of Performance-Based Restricted Stock Unit Issuance Agreement under the Immunomedics, Inc. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.2 to the Company s current report on Form 8-K, as filed with the Commission on August 22, 2013.
10.30#	Immunomedics, Inc. 2014 Long-Term Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 99.1 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-8 (Commission File Number 333-201470), as filed with the Commission on January 13, 2015.
10.31#	Forms of Incentive Stock Option Notice and Incentive Stock Option Agreement under the Immunomedics, Inc. 2014 Long-Term Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 99.2 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-8 (Commission File Number 333-201470), as filed with the Commission on January 13, 2015.
10.32#	Forms of Nonqualified Stock Option Notice and Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement under the Immunomedics, Inc. 2014 Long-Term Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 99.3 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-8 as filed with the Commission on January 13, 2015.
10.33#	Forms of Restricted Stock Units Notice and Restricted Stock Units Agreement (for Officers/Employees) under the Immunomedics, Inc. 2014 Long-Term Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 99.4 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-8 as filed with the Commission on January 13, 2015.
10.34#	Forms of Restricted Stock Units Notice and Restricted Stock Units Agreement (for Directors) under the Immunomedics, Inc. 2014 Long-Term Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 99.5 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-8 as filed with the Commission on January 13, 2015.
10.35#	Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, entered into on July 14, 2015 and effective as of July 1, 2015, between the Company and Dr. David M. Goldenberg, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the Commission on July 16, 2015.
10.36#	Restricted Stock Units Notice, entered into on July 14, 2015, between the Company and Dr. David M. Goldenberg., incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.2 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the Commission on July 16, 2015.

Exhibit No.	Description
10.37#	Fifth Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated July 1, 2011, between the Company and Cynthia L. Sullivan, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the Commission on June 25, 2014.
10.38#	Employment Letter, dated August 15, 2013, by and between the Company and Peter Pfreundschuh, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.4 to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2013.
10.39#	Change in Control and Severance Agreement, dated March 4, 2012, between the Company and Peter P. Pfreundschuh, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the Commission on March 7, 2014.
21.1*	Subsidiaries of the Company.
23.1*	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm KPMG LLP.
23.2*	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Ernst & Young LLP.
31.1*	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302(a) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2*	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302(a) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1*	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2*	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.INS	The following financial information from the Annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015, formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language) and furnished electronically herewith: (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets; (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss; (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders (Deficit) Equity; (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows; and (v) the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.
101.INS**	XBRL Instance Document.
101.SCH**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema.
101.CAL**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase.
101.DEF**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase.
101.LAB**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase.
101.PRE**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase.

^{*} Filed herewith.

(Exhibits available upon request)

^{**} Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the Interactive Data Files on Exhibit 101 hereto are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections.

[#] Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement required to be filed as an exhibit to this Form 10-K pursuant to Item 15(a)(3) of Form 10-K.

Confidential treatment has been granted for certain portions of this exhibit.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

IMMUNOMEDICS, INC.

Date: August 19, 2015 By: /s/ CYNTHIA L. SULLIVAN

Cynthia L. Sullivan

President and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature /s/ DAVID M. GOLDENBERG	Title Chairman of the Board,	Date August 19, 2015
David M. Goldenberg	Chief Scientific Officer	5
	and Chief Patent Officer	
/s/ CYNTHIA L. SULLIVAN	President, Chief Executive	August 19, 2015
Cynthia L. Sullivan	Officer and Director	
	(Principal Executive Officer)	
/s/ MARY PAETZOLD	Director	August 19, 2015
Mary Paetzold		
/s/ BRIAN A. MARKISON	Director	August 19, 2015
Brian A. Markison		
/s/ DON C. STARK	Director	August 19, 2015
Don C. Stark		
s/ RICHARD L. SHERMAN	Director	August 19, 2015
Richard L. Sherman		
/s/ PETER P. PFREUNDSCHUH	Vice President Finance and	August 19, 2015
Peter P. Pfreundschuh	Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	

EXHIBIT LIST

(excludes documents incorporated by reference)

Exhibit No.	Description
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 3.1 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the Commission on December 4, 2014.
3.2	Second Amended and Restated By-Laws of the Company, incorporated by reference from the Exhibits to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the Commission on August 27, 2007.
4.1	Indenture, dated as of February 11, 2015, by and between the Company and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 4.1 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the Commission on February 12, 2015.
4.2	Form of 4.75% Convertible Senior Note due 2020 incorporated by reference from Exhibit 4.1 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the Commission on February 12, 2015.
10.1	Amended and Restated License Agreement among the Company, David M. Goldenberg and the Center for Molecular Medicine and Immunology, Inc., dated December 11, 1990, incorporated by reference from the Exhibits to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-2 effective July 24, 1991 (Commission File No. 33-41053).
10.2	Amendment, dated March 13, 1995, to the Amended and Restated License Agreement among the Company, David M. Goldenberg and the Center for Molecular Medicine and Immunology, Inc., dated December 11, 1990, incorporated by reference from the Exhibits to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1995.
10.3	License Agreement, dated as of January 21, 1997, between the Company and the Center for Molecular Medicine and Immunology, Inc., incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.25 to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended December 31, 1996.
10.4	License Agreement, dated March 5, 1999, between the Company and IBC Pharmaceuticals, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.2 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the Commission on March 24, 1999.
10.5	Development, Collaboration and License Agreement between the Company and UCB, S.A. dated May 9, 2006, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.25 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006.
10.6	Amendment Agreement by and between the Company and UCB Pharma, S.A., dated December 27, 2011, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A for the fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2011.
10.7	Form of Warrant issued by the Company to UCB Pharma, S.A., dated December 27, 2011, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.2 to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2011.
10.8	Development and License Agreement, dated December 17, 2000, between the Company and Amgen, Inc., as amended on April 1, 2001, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10 to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A for the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2001.
10.9	Contract for Services effective as of January 1, 2002 between the Company and Logosys Logistik GmbH, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.2 to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2001.
10.10	License and Collaboration Agreement between the Company and Nycomed GmbH, dated July 11, 2008, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.45 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008.
10.11	Lease Agreement with Baker Properties Limited Partnership, dated January 16, 1992, incorporated by reference from the Exhibits to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-2 effective January 30, 1992.
10.12	First Addendum, dated May 5, 1993, of the Lease Agreement with Baker Properties Limited Partnership, dated January 16, 1992, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.31 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007.

Exhibit No.	Description
10.13	Second Addendum, dated March 29, 1995, of the Lease Agreement with Baker Properties Limited Partnership, dated January 16, 1992, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.32 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007.
10.14	Letter Amendment, dated October 5, 1998, of the Lease Agreement with Baker Properties Limited Partnership, dated January 16, 1992, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.33 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007.
10.15	Fourth Amendment Expansion/Extension Agreement dated August 15, 2001, of the Lease Agreement with Baker Properties Limited Partnership, dated January 16, 1992, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.34 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007.
10.16	Fifth Amendment Expansion Agreement dated June 18, 2009 of the Lease with WU/LH 300 American L.L.C. a successor-in-interest to Baker Properties Limited Partnership, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.36 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009.
10.17	Sixth Amendment Extension Agreement dated February 11, 2011 of the Lease with WU/LH 300 American L.L.C. a successor-in-interest to Baker Properties Limited Partnership, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2011.
10.18#	Immunomedics, Inc. 2002 Stock Option Plan, as amended, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.3 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2002.
10.19#	Immunomedics, Inc. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 99.1 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-8 (Commission File Number 333-143420), as filed with the Commission on May 31, 2007.
10.20#	Amendment 2007-1 to the Immunomedics, Inc. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 99.2 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-8 (Commission File Number 333-143420), as filed with the Commission on May 31, 2007.
10.21#	Form of Stock Option Agreement under the Immunomedics, Inc. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.24 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007.
10.22#	Form of Change of Control Addendum to the Stock Option Agreement under the Immunomedics, Inc. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.25 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007.
10.23#	Form of Notice of Grant of Stock Option under the Immunomedics, Inc. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.26 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007.
10.24#	Form of RSU Issuance Agreement under the Immunomedics, Inc. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.27 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007.
10.25#	Form of Change of Control Addendum to RSU Agreement under the Immunomedics, Inc. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.28 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007.
10.26#	Form of Initial Director RSU Issuance Agreement under the Immunomedics, Inc. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.29 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007.
10.27#	Form of Annual Director RSU Issuance Agreement under the Immunomedics, Inc. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.30 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007.
10.28#	Form of Restricted Stock Unit Issuance Agreement under the Immunomedics, Inc. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s current report on Form 8-K, as filed with the Commission on August 22, 2013.

Exhibit No.	Description
10.29#	Form of Performance-Based Restricted Stock Unit Issuance Agreement under the Immunomedics, Inc. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.2 to the Company s current report on Form 8-K, as filed with the Commission on August 22, 2013.
10.30#	Immunomedics, Inc. 2014 Long-Term Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 99.1 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-8 (Commission File Number 333-201470), as filed with the Commission on January 13, 2015.
10.31#	Forms of Incentive Stock Option Notice and Incentive Stock Option Agreement under the Immunomedics, Inc. 2014 Long-Term Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 99.2 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-8 (Commission File Number 333-201470), as filed with the Commission on January 13, 2015.
10.32#	Forms of Nonqualified Stock Option Notice and Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement under the Immunomedics, Inc. 2014 Long-Term Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 99.3 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-8 as filed with the Commission on January 13, 2015.
10.33#	Forms of Restricted Stock Units Notice and Restricted Stock Units Agreement (for Officers/Employees) under the Immunomedics, Inc. 2014 Long-Term Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 99.4 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-8 as filed with the Commission on January 13, 2015.
10.34#	Forms of Restricted Stock Units Notice and Restricted Stock Units Agreement (for Directors) under the Immunomedics, Inc. 2014 Long-Term Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 99.5 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-8 as filed with the Commission on January 13, 2015.
10.35#	Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, entered into on July 14, 2015 and effective as of July 1, 2015, between the Company and Dr. David M. Goldenberg, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the Commission on July 16, 2015.
10.36#	Restricted Stock Units Notice, entered into on July 14, 2015, between the Company and Dr. David M. Goldenberg., incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.2 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the Commission on July 16, 2015.
10.37#	Fifth Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated July 1, 2011, between the Company and Cynthia L. Sullivan, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the Commission on June 25, 2014.
10.38#	Employment Letter, dated August 15, 2013, by and between the Company and Peter Pfreundschuh, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.4 to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2013.
10.39#	Change in Control and Severance Agreement, dated March 4, 2012, between the Company and Peter P. Pfreundschuh, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the Commission on March 7, 2014.
21.1*	Subsidiaries of the Company.
23.1*	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm KPMG LLP.
23.2*	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Ernst & Young LLP.
31.1*	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302(a) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2*	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302(a) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1*	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2*	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Exhibit No.	Description
101.INS	The following financial information from the Annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015, formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language) and furnished electronically herewith: (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets; (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss; (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders (Deficit) Equity; (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows; and (v) the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.
101.INS**	XBRL Instance Document.
101.SCH**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema.
101.CAL**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase.
101.DEF**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase.
101.LAB**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase.
101.PRE**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase.

^{*} Filed herewith.

Confidential treatment has been granted for certain portions of this exhibit.

(Exhibits available upon request)

^{**} Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the Interactive Data Files on Exhibit 101 hereto are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections.

[#] Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement required to be filed as an exhibit to this Form 10-K pursuant to Item 15(a)(3) of Form 10-K.