

THERMO FISHER SCIENTIFIC INC.

Form DEF 14A

April 07, 2015

[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the

Securities Exchange Act of 1934

(Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant ☒ x

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant ☐ "

Check the appropriate box:

☐ Preliminary Proxy Statement

☐ **Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only** (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

☒ Definitive Proxy Statement

☐ Definitive Additional Materials

☐ Soliciting Material under Rule 14a-12

THERMO FISHER SCIENTIFIC INC

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

Edgar Filing: THERMO FISHER SCIENTIFIC INC. - Form DEF 14A

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

x No fee required.

.. Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(4) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

.. Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

.. Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

Table of Contents

81 Wyman Street

Waltham, MA 02451

April 7, 2015

Dear Stockholder:

You are cordially invited to attend the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., which will be held on Wednesday, May 20, 2015, at 1:00 p.m. (Eastern time) at the Hilton New York, 1335 Avenue of the Americas, New York, New York.

The notice of meeting and proxy statement accompanying this letter describe the specific business to be acted upon at the meeting. The Company's 2014 Annual Report to Stockholders also accompanies this letter.

It is important that your shares of the Company's common stock be represented and voted at the meeting regardless of the number of shares you may hold. Whether or not you plan to attend the meeting in person, you can ensure your shares of the Company's common stock are voted at the meeting by submitting your instructions by telephone, the Internet, or in writing by returning the Company's proxy card (if one has been provided to you). Please review the instructions in the enclosed proxy statement and proxy card regarding each of these voting options.

We are pleased this year to again take advantage of the Securities and Exchange Commission rule allowing companies to furnish proxy materials to their stockholders over the Internet. We believe that this e-proxy process expedites stockholders' receipt of proxy materials, while lowering the costs and reducing the environmental impact of our annual meeting. Stockholders receiving e-proxy materials have been sent a notice containing instructions on how to access the proxy statement and annual report over the Internet and how to vote.

Thank you for your continued support of the Company.

Yours very truly,

MARC N. CASPER

President and Chief Executive Officer

Table of Contents

81 Wyman Street
Waltham, MA 02451

NOTICE OF 2015 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

To be held on May 20, 2015

**Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the
Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be Held on May 20, 2015.**

The Proxy Statement and 2014 Annual Report are available at www.proxyvote.com.

April 7, 2015

To the Holders of the Common Stock of
THERMO FISHER SCIENTIFIC INC.

Notice is hereby given that the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Thermo Fisher Scientific (Thermo Fisher or the Company) will be held on Wednesday, May 20, 2015, at 1:00 p.m. (Eastern time) at the Hilton New York, 1335 Avenue of the Americas, New York, New York.

The purpose of the meeting is to consider and take action upon the following matters:

1. Election of eleven directors for a one-year term expiring in 2016.
2. Approval of an advisory vote on executive compensation.
3. Ratification of the Audit Committee's selection of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the Company's independent auditors for 2015.
4. Such other business as may properly be brought before the meeting and any adjournment thereof.

Stockholders of record at the close of business on March 27, 2015, are the only stockholders entitled to notice of and to vote at the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

This notice, the proxy statement and the proxy card enclosed herewith are sent to you by order of the Board of Directors of the Company.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Edgar Filing: THERMO FISHER SCIENTIFIC INC. - Form DEF 14A

SETH H. HOOGASIAN

Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary

IMPORTANT

Whether or not you intend to attend the meeting in person, please ensure that your shares of the Company's common stock are present and voted at the meeting by submitting your instructions by telephone, the Internet, or in writing by completing, signing, dating and returning the enclosed proxy card to our tabulation agent in the enclosed, self-addressed envelope, which requires no postage if mailed in the United States.

Directions to the Annual Meeting are available by calling Investor Relations at (781) 622-1111.

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

<u>PROXY STATEMENT FOR ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS</u>	1
<u>Purpose of Annual Meeting</u>	1
<u>Voting Securities and Record Date</u>	1
<u>Quorum</u>	1
<u>Manner of Voting</u>	1
<u>Voting of Proxies</u>	2
<u>Vote Required for Approval</u>	2
<u>PROPOSAL 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS</u>	3
<u>Nominees and Incumbent Directors</u>	3
<u>CORPORATE GOVERNANCE PRINCIPLES AND BOARD MATTERS</u>	7
<u>General</u>	7
<u>Director Nomination Process</u>	8
<u>Director Independence</u>	9
<u>Board of Directors Meetings and Committees</u>	10
<u>Our Board's Role in Risk Oversight</u>	11
<u>Executive Sessions</u>	11
<u>Communications from Stockholders and Other Interested Parties</u>	11
<u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	12
<u>Compensation Discussion and Analysis</u>	12
<u>Compensation Committee Report</u>	25
<u>Summary Compensation Table</u>	26
<u>Grants of Plan-Based Awards for 2014</u>	28
<u>Outstanding Equity Awards at 2014 Fiscal Year-End</u>	30
<u>Option Exercises and Stock Vested During 2014</u>	33
<u>Pension Benefits</u>	33
<u>Nonqualified Deferred Compensation for 2014</u>	34
<u>Agreements with Named Executive Officers; Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control</u>	37
<u>DIRECTOR COMPENSATION</u>	45
<u>Cash Compensation</u>	45
<u>Deferred Compensation Plan for Directors</u>	45
<u>Fisher Retirement Plan for Non-Employee Directors</u>	45
<u>Stock-Based Compensation</u>	45
<u>Matching Charitable Donation Program</u>	46
<u>Summary Director Compensation Table</u>	46
<u>Stock Ownership Policy for Directors</u>	47
<u>SECURITY OWNERSHIP</u>	48
<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management</u>	48
<u>Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance</u>	50
<u>TRANSACTIONS WITH RELATED PERSONS, PROMOTERS AND CERTAIN CONTROL PERSONS</u>	50
<u>Review, Approval or Ratification of Transactions with Related Persons</u>	50
<u>Transactions with Related Persons</u>	51
<u>EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION</u>	52
<u>Fisher Scientific International Inc. 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan</u>	53
<u>REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE</u>	54
<u>INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM</u>	55
<u>Independent Auditor Fees</u>	55
<u>Audit Committee's Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures</u>	55
<u>PROPOSAL 2: ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	56
<u>PROPOSAL 3: RATIFICATION OF SELECTION OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS</u>	57
<u>OTHER ACTION</u>	57
<u>STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS</u>	57
<u>SOLICITATION STATEMENT</u>	58
<u>HOUSEHOLDING OF ANNUAL MEETING MATERIALS</u>	58

Table of Contents

81 Wyman Street

Waltham, MA 02451

PROXY STATEMENT FOR ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

May 20, 2015

This proxy statement is furnished in connection with the solicitation of proxies by Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc. ("Thermo Fisher" or the Company) on behalf of the Board of Directors of the Company (the Board) for use at the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on Wednesday, May 20, 2015, at 1:00 p.m. (Eastern time) at the Hilton New York, 1335 Avenue of the Americas, New York, New York, and any adjournments thereof. The mailing address of the principal executive office of the Company is 81 Wyman Street, Waltham, Massachusetts 02451. This proxy statement and enclosed proxy card are being first furnished to stockholders of the Company on or about April 7, 2015.

Purpose of Annual Meeting

At the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, stockholders entitled to vote at the meeting will consider and act upon the matters outlined in the notice of meeting accompanying this proxy statement, including the election of eleven directors for a one-year term expiring in 2016, an advisory vote on executive compensation, and the ratification of the selection of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the Company's independent auditors for 2015.

Voting Securities and Record Date

Only stockholders of record at the close of business on March 27, 2015, the record date for the meeting, are entitled to vote at the meeting or any adjournments thereof. At the close of business on March 27, 2015, the outstanding voting securities of the Company consisted of 398,056,940 shares of the Company's common stock, par value \$1.00 per share ("Common Stock"). Each share of Common Stock outstanding at the close of business on the record date is entitled to one vote on each matter that is voted.

Quorum

The presence at the meeting, in person or by proxy, of a majority of the outstanding shares of Common Stock entitled to vote at the meeting will constitute a quorum for the transaction of business at the meeting. Votes of stockholders of record present at the meeting in person or by proxy, abstentions, and broker non-votes (as defined below) are counted as present or represented at the meeting for the purpose of determining whether a quorum exists. A broker non-vote occurs when a broker or representative does not vote on a particular matter because it either does not have discretionary voting authority on that matter or it does not exercise its discretionary voting authority on that matter.

Manner of Voting

Stockholders of Record

Shares entitled to be voted at the meeting can only be voted if the stockholder of record of such shares is present at the meeting, returns a signed proxy card, or authorizes proxies to vote his or her shares by telephone or over the Internet. Shares represented by valid proxy will be voted in accordance with your instructions. If you choose to vote your shares by telephone or over the Internet, which you may do until 11:59 p.m. Eastern time on Tuesday, May 19, 2015, you should follow the instructions provided on the proxy card. In voting by telephone or over the Internet, you will be allowed to confirm that your instructions have been properly recorded.

A stockholder of record who votes his or her shares by telephone or Internet, or who returns a proxy card, may revoke the proxy at any time before the stockholder's shares are voted at the meeting by entering new votes by telephone or over the Internet by 11:59 p.m. Eastern time on May 19, 2015, by written notice to the Secretary of the Company received prior to the meeting, by executing and returning a later dated proxy card prior to the meeting, or by voting by ballot at the meeting.

Table of Contents

Page 2

Participants in the Thermo Fisher Scientific 401(k) Retirement Plan

If you hold your shares through the Thermo Fisher Scientific 401(k) Retirement Plan (the "401(k) Plan"), your proxy represents the number of shares in your 401(k) Plan account as of the record date. For those shares in your 401(k) Plan account, your proxy will serve as voting instructions for the trustee of the 401(k) Plan. You may submit your voting instructions by returning a signed and dated proxy card to the Company's tabulation agent in the enclosed, self-addressed envelope for its receipt by 11:59 p.m. Eastern time on Friday, May 15, 2015, or by telephone or over the Internet by 11:59 p.m. Eastern time on Sunday, May 17, 2015, in accordance with the instructions provided on the proxy card.

You may revoke your instructions by executing and returning a later dated proxy card to the Company's tabulation agent for its receipt by 11:59 p.m. Eastern time on May 15, 2015, or by entering new instructions by telephone or over the Internet by 11:59 p.m. Eastern time on May 17, 2015.

Beneficial Stockholders

If you hold your shares through a broker, bank or other representative ("broker or representative"), you can only vote your shares in the manner prescribed by the broker or representative. Detailed instructions from your broker or representative will generally be included with your proxy material. These instructions may also include information on whether your shares can be voted by telephone or over the Internet or the manner in which you may revoke your votes. If you choose to vote your shares by telephone or over the Internet, you should follow the instructions provided by the broker or representative.

Voting of Proxies

Shares represented by proxy will be voted in accordance with your specific choices. If you sign and return your proxy card or vote by telephone or over the Internet without indicating specific choices, your shares will be voted FOR the nominees for director, FOR the Company's executive compensation, and FOR the ratification of the selection of independent auditors for 2015. Should any other matter be properly presented at the meeting, the persons named in the proxy card will vote on such matter in accordance with their judgment.

If you sign and return your proxy card marked "abstain" with respect to any of the proposals scheduled to be voted on at the meeting, or choose the same option when voting by telephone or over the Internet, your shares will not be voted affirmatively or negatively on those proposals and will not be counted as votes cast with regard to those proposals.

If you hold your shares as a beneficial owner rather than a stockholder of record, your broker or representative will vote the shares that it holds for you in accordance with your instructions (if timely received) or, in the absence of such instructions, your broker or representative may vote on proposals for which it has discretionary voting authority. The only proposal on which your broker or representative has discretionary voting authority is the proposal to ratify the selection of independent auditors for 2015. If you do not instruct your broker or representative regarding how you would like your shares to be voted with respect to the other proposals scheduled to be voted on at the meeting, your broker or representative will not be able to vote on your behalf with respect to those proposals.

If you hold your shares through the 401(k) Plan, the trustee will vote the shares in your 401(k) Plan account in accordance with your instructions (if timely received) or, in the absence of such instructions, the Company will vote your shares FOR the nominees for director, FOR the Company's executive compensation, and FOR the ratification of the selection of independent auditors for 2015.

Vote Required for Approval

Election of Directors

Under the Company's bylaws, in an uncontested election, a nominee for director will be required to obtain a majority of the votes cast in person or by proxy at the annual meeting in order to be elected, such that the number of votes cast for a director must exceed the number of votes cast against that director. Abstentions and broker non-votes will not have an effect on the determination of whether a nominee for director has been elected.

Table of Contents

Page 3

Other Matters

Under the Company's bylaws, the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares present or represented and entitled to vote at the annual meeting and voting affirmatively or negatively on the matter will be required for: approval of the advisory vote on executive compensation (Proposal 2); and approval of the ratification of the selection of the independent registered public accounting firm (Proposal 3). Shares which abstain from voting on these proposals and broker non-votes will not be counted as votes in favor of, or with respect to, such proposals and will also not be counted as votes cast. Accordingly, abstentions and broker non-votes will have no effect on the outcome of these proposals.

- PROPOSAL 1 -

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

The number of directors constituting the full Board is fixed at eleven. The terms for Marc N. Casper, Nelson J. Chai, C. Martin Harris, Tyler Jacks, Judy C. Lewent, Thomas J. Lynch, Jim P. Manzi, William G. Parrett, Lars R. Sørensen, Scott M. Sperling, and Elaine S. Ullian expire at the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board has recommended to the Board, and the Board has nominated, Mses. Lewent and Ullian, Drs. Harris and Jacks, and Messrs. Casper, Chai, Lynch, Manzi, Parrett, Sørensen, and Sperling for a one-year term expiring at the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Proxies may not be voted for a greater number of persons than the eleven nominees named. In all cases, directors hold office until their successors have been elected and qualified, or until their earlier resignation, death or removal.

Nominees and Incumbent Directors

Set forth below are the names of the persons nominated as directors, their ages, their offices in the Company, if any, their principal occupations or employment for the past five years, the length of their tenure as directors and the names of other public companies in which they currently hold directorships or have held directorships during the past five years. We have also presented information below regarding each director's specific experience, qualifications, attributes and skills that led our Board to the conclusion that he or she should serve as a director. Information regarding their beneficial ownership of Common Stock is reported under the heading **SECURITY OWNERSHIP**.

Marc N. Casper

Mr. Casper, age 47, has been a director of the Company since October 2009. He joined the Company in November 2001 and has been its President and Chief Executive Officer since October 2009. He served as the Company's Chief Operating Officer from May 2008 to October 2009 and was Executive Vice President from November 2006 to October 2009. Prior to being named Executive Vice President, he was Senior Vice President from December 2003 to November 2006. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Casper served as president, chief executive officer and a director of Kendro Laboratory Products. Within the last five years, Mr. Casper was a director of Zimmer Holdings, Inc. and The Advisory Board Company. We believe that Mr. Casper is well suited to serve on our Board due to his position as Chief Executive Officer of the Company as well as his 18 years in the life sciences/healthcare equipment industry.

Table of Contents

Page 4

Nelson J. Chai

Mr. Chai, age 49, has been a director of the Company since December 2010. He is President of CIT Group Inc., a bank holding company that provides lending, advisory and leasing services to small and middle market businesses. He joined CIT Group in June 2010 as Executive Vice President, Chief Administrative Officer and head of strategy, and was appointed to his current position in August 2011. Previously, he was President, Asia-Pacific for Bank of America Corporation beginning in December 2008, and Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Merrill Lynch & Co., a financial services firm, from December 2007 to December 2008. Prior to that Mr. Chai was Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of NYSE Euronext, a stock exchange group, from March 2006 to December 2007, and Chief Financial Officer of Archipelago Holdings, L.L.C., an electronic stock exchange, from June 2000 to March 2006. We believe that Mr. Chai is well suited to serve on our Board due to his many years of experience in finance and accounting.

C. Martin Harris

Dr. Harris, age 58, has been a director of the Company since March 2012. Since 2009, Dr. Harris has been the Chief Strategy Officer of The Cleveland Clinic Foundation, a multi-specialty academic medical center, and since 1996, he has been the Chief Information Officer and Chairman of the Information Technology Division of and a Staff Physician for The Cleveland Clinic Hospital and The Cleveland Clinic Foundation Department of General Internal Medicine. Additionally, since 2000, he has been Executive Director of e-Cleveland Clinic, a series of e-health clinical programs offered over the Internet. Dr. Harris is also a director of HealthStream Inc. and Invacare Corporation. We believe that Dr. Harris is well suited to serve on our Board due to his experience in the healthcare industry as a physician and leader of healthcare organizations and also his expertise in the use of information technology in the healthcare industry.

Tyler Jacks

Dr. Jacks, age 54, has been a director of the Company since May 2009. He is the David H. Koch Professor of Biology at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) and director of the David H. Koch Institute for Integrative Cancer Research. He joined the MIT faculty in 1992 and was director of its Center for Cancer Research from 2001 to 2008. Since 2002, Dr. Jacks has been an investigator with the Howard Hughes Medical Institute. Dr. Jacks is also a director of Amgen Inc. We believe that Dr. Jacks is well suited to serve on our Board due to his experience as a cancer researcher and member of multiple scientific advisory boards in biotechnology companies, pharmaceutical companies and academic institutions.

Judy C. Lewent

Ms. Lewent, age 66, has been a director of the Company since May 2008. She was Chief Financial Officer of Merck & Co., Inc., a global pharmaceutical company, from 1990 until her retirement in 2007. She was also Executive Vice President of Merck from February 2001 through her retirement and had additional responsibilities as President, Human Health Asia from January 2003 until July 2005, when she assumed strategic planning responsibilities for Merck. Ms. Lewent is also a director of Motorola Solutions, Inc. and GlaxoSmithKline plc, and within the last five years was a director of Dell, Inc. and Motorola, Inc. We believe that Ms. Lewent is well suited to serve on our Board due to her many years of global experience in finance

and the pharmaceutical industry.

Table of Contents

Page 5

Thomas J. Lynch

Mr. Lynch, age 60, has been a director of the Company since May 2009. He is Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of TE Connectivity Ltd. (formerly Tyco Electronics Ltd.), a global provider of engineered electronic components, network solutions, undersea telecommunication systems and specialty products. He joined Tyco International in 2004 as President of Tyco Engineered Products and Services and was appointed Chief Executive Officer in January 2006, when Tyco Electronics was formed and later became an independent, separately traded entity. Mr. Lynch is also a director of TE Connectivity Ltd. We believe that Mr. Lynch is well suited to serve on our Board due to his experience as Chief Executive Officer of a comparably-sized global company.

Jim P. Manzi

Mr. Manzi, age 63, has been a director of the Company since May 2000 and Chairman of the Board since May 2007. He was also Chairman of the Board from January 2004 to November 2006. He has been the Chairman of Stonegate Capital, a firm he formed to manage private equity investment activities in technology startup ventures, primarily related to the Internet, since 1995. From 1984 until 1995, he served as the Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Lotus Development Corporation, a software manufacturer that was acquired by IBM Corporation in 1995. We believe that Mr. Manzi is well suited to serve on our Board due to his senior management experience leading Lotus and overall business acumen.

William G. Parrett

Mr. Parrett, age 69, has been a director of the Company since June 2008. Until his retirement in November 2007, he served as Chief Executive Officer of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu, a global accounting firm. Mr. Parrett joined Deloitte in 1967, and served in a series of roles of increasing responsibility. Mr. Parrett serves as a director of the Blackstone Group LP, Eastman Kodak Company and UBS AG, and is chairman of their Audit Committees. He is also a director of iGate Corporation. We believe that Mr. Parrett is well suited to serve on our Board due to his experience as Chief Executive Officer of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu, which demonstrates his leadership capability and extensive knowledge of complex financial and operational issues.

Lars R. Sørensen

Mr. Sørensen, age 60, has been a director of the Company since July 2011. He has been President and Chief Executive Officer of Novo Nordisk A/S, a global healthcare company with a leading position in diabetes care, since November 2000. He has held various senior management roles at Novo Nordisk since joining the company in 1982. Mr. Sørensen also currently serves as a member of the supervisory board of Bertelsmann AG, a worldwide media company based in Germany. Within the last five years, he was a director of ZymoGenetics Inc., Dong Energy A/S and Danmarks Nationalbank. We believe that Mr. Sørensen is well suited to serve on our Board due to his experience as Chief Executive Officer of a global healthcare company.

Table of Contents

Page 6

Scott M. Sperling

Mr. Sperling, age 57, has been a director of the Company since November 2006. Prior to the merger of Thermo Electron Corporation and Fisher Scientific International Inc., he was a director of Fisher Scientific from January 1998 to November 2006. He has been employed by Thomas H. Lee Partners, L.P., a leveraged buyout firm, and its predecessor, Thomas H. Lee Company, since 1994. Mr. Sperling currently serves as Co-President of Thomas H. Lee Partners, L.P. Mr. Sperling is also a director of iHeartMedia, Inc. and The Madison Square Garden Company, and within the last five years was a director of Warner Music Group Corp. We believe that Mr. Sperling is well suited to serve on our Board due to his experience in acquisitions and finance.

Elaine S. Ullian

Ms. Ullian, age 67, has been a director of the Company since July 2001. She was the President and Chief Executive Officer of Boston Medical Center, a 550-bed academic medical center affiliated with Boston University, from July 1996 to her retirement in January 2010. Ms. Ullian is also a director of Vertex Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Hologic Inc. We believe that Ms. Ullian is well suited to serve on our Board due to her experience as Chief Executive Officer of Boston Medical Center, a healthcare provider similar to many of the Company's customers.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR the nominees for director. Proxies solicited by the Board of Directors will be voted FOR the nominees unless stockholders specify to the contrary on their proxy.

Table of Contents

Page 7

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE PRINCIPLES AND BOARD MATTERS

General

The Board has adopted governance principles and guidelines of the Company (*Corporate Governance Guidelines*) to assist the Board in exercising its duties and to best serve the interests of the Company and its stockholders. In addition, the Company has adopted a code of business conduct and ethics (*Code of Business Conduct and Ethics*) that encompasses the requirements of the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) for a code of ethics applicable to principal executive officers, principal financial officers, principal accounting officers or controllers, or persons performing similar functions. The *Code of Business Conduct and Ethics* applies to all of the Company's officers, directors and employees. The Company intends to satisfy SEC and New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) disclosure requirements regarding amendments to, or waivers of, the *Code of Business Conduct and Ethics* by posting such information on the Company's website. We may also use our website to make certain disclosures required by the rules of the NYSE, including the following:

the identity of the presiding director at meetings of non-management or independent directors;

the method for interested parties to communicate directly with the presiding director or with non-management or independent directors as a group;

the identity of any member of the issuer's audit committee who also serves on the audit committees of more than three public companies and a determination by the Board that such simultaneous service will not impair the ability of such member to effectively serve on the Company's audit committee; and

contributions by the Company to a tax exempt organization in which any non-management or independent director serves as an executive officer if, within the preceding three years, contributions in any single fiscal year exceeded the greater of \$1 million or 2% of such tax exempt organization's consolidated gross revenues.

We have long believed that good corporate governance is important to ensure that the Company is managed for the long-term benefit of our stockholders. We periodically review our corporate governance policies and practices and compare them to those suggested by various authorities in corporate governance and the practices of other public companies. As a result, we have adopted policies and procedures that we believe are in the best interests of the Company and our stockholders. In particular, we have adopted the following policies and procedures:

Declassified Board of Directors. Our bylaws provide that all of our directors will stand for election to one-year terms.

Majority Voting for Election of Directors. Our bylaws provide for a majority voting standard in uncontested director elections, so a nominee is elected to the Board if the votes for that director exceed the votes against (with abstentions and broker non-votes not counted as for or against the election). If a nominee does not receive more for votes than against votes, the director must offer his or her resignation, which the Board would then determine whether to accept and publicly disclose that determination.

No Hedging or Pledging Policy. We prohibit all hedging and pledging transactions involving Company securities by our directors and officers.

Separation of Chief Executive Officer and Chairman Roles. We separate the roles of Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board in recognition of the differences between the two roles. The CEO is responsible for setting the strategic direction for the Company and the day-to-day leadership and performance of the Company, while the Chairman of the Board provides guidance to the CEO and sets the agenda for Board meetings and presides over meetings of the Board.

Table of Contents

Page 8

You can access the current charters for our Audit Committee, Compensation Committee and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, our Corporate Governance Guidelines and our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics at www.thermofisher.com or by writing to:

Investor Relations Department

Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.

81 Wyman Street

Waltham, MA 02451

Phone: 781-622-1111

Email: investorrelations@thermofisher.com

Director Nomination Process

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee considers recommendations for director nominees suggested by its members, other directors, management and other interested parties. It will consider stockholder recommendations for director nominees that are sent to the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee to the attention of the Company's Secretary at the principal executive office of the Company. In addition, the bylaws of the Company set forth the process for stockholders to nominate directors for election at an annual meeting of stockholders.

The process for evaluating prospective nominees for director, including candidates recommended by stockholders, includes meetings from time to time to evaluate biographical information and background material relating to prospective nominees, interviews of selected candidates by members of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and other members of the Board, and application of the Company's general criteria for director nominees set forth in the Company's *Corporate Governance Guidelines*. These criteria include the prospective nominee's integrity, business acumen, age, experience, commitment, and diligence. Our Corporate Governance Guidelines specify that the value of diversity on the Board should be considered by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee in the director identification and nomination process. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee does not assign specific weights to particular criteria and no particular criterion is necessarily applicable to all prospective nominees. The Committee believes that the backgrounds and qualifications of the directors considered as a group should provide a significant breadth of experience, knowledge and abilities to assist the Board in fulfilling its responsibilities. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee also considers such other relevant factors as it deems appropriate, including the current composition of the Board, the balance of management and independent directors, and, with respect to members of the Audit Committee, financial expertise.

After completing its evaluation, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee makes a recommendation to the full Board as to the persons who should be nominated by the Board, and the Board determines the nominees after considering the recommendation and report of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee has historically engaged Egon Zehnder International, a search firm, to facilitate the identification, screening and evaluation of qualified, independent candidates for director to serve on the Board. Dr. Harris and Messrs. Sørensen, Chai and Lynch, who were elected to the Board in 2012, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively, were recommended to the Board by Egon Zehnder.

Table of Contents

Page 9

Director Independence

The Company's *Corporate Governance Guidelines* require a majority of our Board to be independent within the meaning of the NYSE listing requirements including, in the judgment of the Board, the requirement that such directors have no material relationship with the Company (either directly or as a partner, shareholder or officer of an organization that has a relationship with the Company). The Board has adopted the following standards to assist it in determining whether a director has a material relationship with the Company, which can be found in the Company's *Corporate Governance Guidelines*, on the Company's website at www.thermofisher.com. Under these standards, a director will not be considered to have a material relationship with the Company if he or she is not:

A director who is (or was within the last three years) an employee, or whose immediate family member is (or was within the last three years) an executive officer, of the Company;

A director who is a current employee or greater than 10% equity owner, or whose immediate family member is a current executive officer or greater than 10% equity owner, of a company that has made payments to, or received payments from, the Company for property or services in an amount which, in any of the last three fiscal years, exceeds the greater of \$1 million, or 2% of such other company's consolidated gross revenues;

A director who has received, or whose immediate family member has received, during any twelve-month period within the last three years, more than \$120,000 in direct compensation from the Company, other than director and committee fees and pension or other forms of deferred compensation for prior service (provided such compensation is not contingent in any way on continued service);

(A) A director who is, or whose immediate family member is, a current partner of a firm that is the Company's internal or external auditor; (B) a director who is a current employee of a firm that is the Company's internal or external auditor; (C) a director whose immediate family member is a current employee of a firm that is the Company's internal or external auditor and personally works on the Company's audit; or (D) a director who was, or whose immediate family member was, within the last three years (but is no longer) a partner or employee of a firm that is the Company's internal or external auditor and personally worked on the Company's audit within that time;

A director who is (or was within the last three years), or whose immediate family member is (or was within the last three years), an executive officer of another company where any of the Company's current executive officers at the same time serve or served on the other company's compensation committee;

A director who is (or was within the last three years) an executive officer or greater than 10% equity owner of another company that is indebted to the Company, or to which the Company is indebted, in an amount that exceeds one percent (1%) of the total consolidated assets of the other company; and

A director who is a current executive officer of a tax exempt organization that, within the last three years, received discretionary contributions from the Company in an amount that, in any single fiscal year, exceeded the greater of \$1 million or 2% of such tax exempt organization's consolidated gross revenues. (Any automatic matching by the Company of employee charitable contributions will not be included in the amount of the Company's contributions for this purpose.)

Ownership of a significant amount of the Company's stock, by itself, does not constitute a material relationship. For relationships or amounts not covered by these standards, the determination of whether a material relationship exists shall be made by the other members of the Board who are independent (as defined above).

The Board has determined that each of Ms. Lewent and Ullian, Messrs. Chai, Lynch, Manzi, Parrett, Sørensen and Sperling, and Drs. Harris and Jacks is independent in accordance with the Company's *Corporate Governance Guidelines* and Section 303A.02 of the listing standards of the NYSE. Each of Ms. Lewent and Ullian, Messrs. Chai, Lynch, Manzi, Parrett, Sørensen and Sperling, and Dr. Harris has no relationship with the Company, other than any relationship that is categorically not material under the guidelines shown above and other than compensation for services as a director as disclosed in this proxy statement under **DIRECTOR COMPENSATION**.

Table of Contents

Page 10

In determining the independence of the Company's directors, the Board considered that in 2014 the Company sold products, in the ordinary course of business, to: (i) the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), where Dr. Jacks is a professor and the director of the David H. Koch Institute for Integrative Cancer Research, and the Howard Hughes Medical Institute (HHMI), where Dr. Jacks is an employee and investigator; (ii) TE Connectivity, where Mr. Lynch is Chairman and CEO; (iii) Novo Nordisk, where Mr. Sørensen is CEO; and (iv) The Cleveland Clinic, where Dr. Harris is an officer.

With respect to MIT, HHMI, TE Connectivity, Novo Nordisk and The Cleveland Clinic, the amount of the sales to each entity in 2014 were less than 1% of the 2014 revenues of such other entity and less than 0.2% of Thermo Fisher's 2014 revenues.

Board of Directors Meetings and Committees

The Board met six times during 2014. During 2014, each of our directors attended at least 75% of the total number of meetings of the Board and the committees of which such director was a member. The Board has a standing Audit Committee, Compensation Committee and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, as well as Strategy and Finance, and Science and Technology Committees. The Company encourages, but does not require, the members of its Board to attend the annual meeting of stockholders. Last year, 10 of our directors attended the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee is responsible for assisting the Board in its oversight of the integrity of the Company's financial statements, the Company's compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, the independent auditor's qualifications and independence, and the performance of the Company's internal audit function and independent auditors. Certain responsibilities of our Audit Committee and its activities during fiscal 2014 are described with more specificity in the Report of the Audit Committee in this proxy statement under the heading REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE.

The current members of our Audit Committee are Messrs. Parrett (Chairman), Chai, and Sørensen. The Board has determined that each of the members of the Audit Committee is independent within the meaning of SEC rules and regulations, the listing standards of the NYSE, and the Company's *Corporate Governance Guidelines*, and that each is financially literate as is required by the listing standards of the NYSE. The Board has also determined that each of Messrs. Parrett and Chai qualifies as an audit committee financial expert within the meaning of SEC rules and regulations, and that they each have accounting and related financial management expertise as is required by the listing standards of the NYSE. The Board has determined that Mr. Parrett's membership on four audit committees does not impair his ability to effectively serve on the Company's Audit Committee. The Audit Committee met 12 times during 2014.

Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee is responsible for reviewing and approving compensation matters with respect to the Company's chief executive officer and its other officers, reviewing and recommending to the Board management succession plans, and administering equity-based plans. Certain responsibilities of our Compensation Committee and its activities during 2014 are described in this proxy statement under the heading Compensation Discussion and Analysis. The Compensation Committee also periodically reviews our director compensation, and makes recommendations on this topic to the Board as it deems appropriate, as described under the heading DIRECTOR COMPENSATION.

The current members of our Compensation Committee are Messrs. Sperling (Chairman) and Lynch and Ms. Ullian. The Board has determined that each of the members of the Compensation Committee is independent within the meaning of the listing standards of the NYSE and the Company's *Corporate Governance Guidelines*. The Compensation Committee met seven times during 2014.

Role of Consultant

The Compensation Committee has sole authority to retain and terminate a compensation consultant to assist in the evaluation of CEO or senior executive compensation. Since October 2007, the Committee has retained

Table of Contents

Page 11

Pearl Meyer & Partners (Pearl Meyer) as its independent compensation consultant. Pearl Meyer does not provide any other services to the Company and the Compensation Committee has determined, based on its assessment of the relevant factors set forth in the applicable SEC rules, that Pearl Meyer s work for the Compensation Committee does not raise any conflict of interest.

The consultant compiles information regarding the components and mix (short-term/long-term; fixed/variable; cash/equity) of the executive compensation programs of the Company and its peer group (see page 17 of this proxy statement for further detail regarding the peer group), analyzes the relative performance of the Company and the peer group with respect to the financial metrics used in the programs, and provides advice to the Compensation Committee regarding the Company s programs. The consultant also provides information regarding emerging trends and best practices in executive compensation.

The consultant retained by the Compensation Committee reports to the Compensation Committee Chair and has direct access to Committee members. The consultant periodically meets with members of the Committee either in person or by telephone.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is responsible for identifying persons qualified to serve as members of the Board, recommending to the Board persons to be nominated by the Board for election as directors at the annual meeting of stockholders and persons to be elected by the Board to fill any vacancies, and recommending to the Board the directors to be appointed to each of its committees. In addition, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is responsible for developing and recommending to the Board a set of corporate governance guidelines applicable to the Company (as well as reviewing and reassessing the adequacy of such guidelines as it deems appropriate from time to time) and overseeing the annual self-evaluation of the Board.

The current members of our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee are Messrs. Lynch (Chairman), Chai and Sperling, and Dr. Harris. The Board has determined that each of the members of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is independent within the meaning of the listing standards of the NYSE and the Company s *Corporate Governance Guidelines*. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee met five times during 2014.

Our Board s Role in Risk Oversight

Our Board oversees our risk management processes directly and through its committees. Our management is responsible for risk management on a day-to-day basis. The role of our Board and its committees is to oversee the risk management activities of management. Risk assessment reports are periodically provided by management to the Board. The Audit Committee assists the board in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities with respect to risk management in the areas of financial reporting, internal controls and compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, and, in accordance with NYSE requirements, discusses policies with respect to risk assessment and risk management, including guidelines and policies to govern the process by which the Company s exposure to risk is handled. The Compensation Committee assists the Board in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities with respect to the management of risks arising from our compensation policies and programs. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee assists the Board in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities with respect to the management of risks associated with board organization, membership and structure, succession planning for our directors, and corporate governance.

Executive Sessions

In accordance with the listing standards of the NYSE and the Company s *Corporate Governance Guidelines*, independent directors meet at least twice a year in an executive session without management and at such other times as may be requested by any independent director. Jim P. Manzi, as the Chairman of the Board, presides at the meetings of the Company s independent directors held in executive session without management.

Communications from Stockholders and Other Interested Parties

The Board has established a process for stockholders and other interested parties to send communications to the Board or any individual director or groups of directors, including the Chairman of the Board and the

Table of Contents

Page 12

independent directors. Stockholders and other interested parties who desire to send communications to the Board or any individual director or groups of directors should write to the Board or such individual director or group of directors care of the Company's Corporate Secretary, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., 81 Wyman Street, Waltham, Massachusetts 02451. The Corporate Secretary will relay all such communications to the Board, or individual director or group of directors, as the case may be.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

The Compensation Committee oversees our compensation program for executive officers. In this role, the Compensation Committee reviews and approves annually all compensation decisions relating to our named executive officers. Our named executive officers for the year ended December 31, 2014 are Marc N. Casper, President and Chief Executive Officer, Peter M. Wilver, Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, Alan J. Malus, Executive Vice President, Mark P. Stevenson, Executive Vice President and Thomas W. Loewald, Senior Vice President.

Executive Summary of Key Elements of Officer Compensation for 2014

Pay for Performance

Our executive compensation program ties a substantial portion of each executive's overall compensation to the achievement of key strategic, financial and operational goals and uses a portfolio of equity awards to help align the interests of our executives with those of our stockholders. Key financial metrics include organic revenue growth, adjusted operating income margin, and adjusted earnings per share. Each of these metrics directly drove payouts to our named executive officers in incentive programs used in 2014.

Consistent with this approach, the compensation of our named executive officers for 2014 featured:

cash payouts under our annual cash incentive bonus program at 145% of target, reflective of the strong operating performance of the Company, in particular organic revenue growth and superior performance on activities related to the acquisition of Life Technologies Corporation (Life Technologies), and

equity grants for our named executive officers that consisted of a mixture of stock options, performance-based restricted stock units and time-based restricted stock units.

These equity grants in 2014 complemented a portfolio of previously granted equity awards, including performance-based restricted stock units granted in 2009 to Mr. Casper which incorporate multi-year performance periods, based on the Company's total shareholder return as compared to the S&P 500 Industrials Index and in 2012 and 2013 to all named executive officers which incorporated the Company's performance on organic revenue growth and adjusted EPS growth metrics. Our executive compensation program also incorporates a number of other key features that are designed to align the interests of our named executive officers with that of our stockholders, including:

a compensation package more heavily weighted toward long-term equity-based incentive compensation than salary and annual cash incentives in order to emphasize the focus on the Company's long-term performance,

Edgar Filing: THERMO FISHER SCIENTIFIC INC. - Form DEF 14A

stock ownership guidelines, in order to encourage officers to focus on the Company's long-term performance and discourage unreasonable risk-taking,

annual incentive awards that are subject to recoupment (or "clawback") in the event of an accounting restatement due to material noncompliance of the Company with any financial reporting requirements under the U.S. federal securities laws that is required to be prepared at any time during the three-year period following payment of the award,

Table of Contents

Page 13

a policy not to include tax gross-ups in compensation arrangements,

double-trigger provisions in all of our executives' change in control agreements, and

limited perquisites, none of which are subject to a tax gross-up.

A comparison of the major elements of pay in 2014 for our named executive officers in the aggregate relative to the mix of pay in the market study prepared by Pearl Meyer & Partners ("Pearl Meyer" and the "Pearl Meyer Study") in late 2013, as more fully described below, follows:

At our 2014 Annual Meeting, our stockholders overwhelmingly approved our say-on-pay vote, with a 99% favorable advisory vote. The Committee believes that the support received from our stockholders at the 2014 Annual Meeting served to validate the overall philosophy and design of the Company's executive compensation program. In making compensation decisions after the 2014 Annual Meeting, the Committee has remained consistent with this overall philosophy and design.

Objectives and Philosophy of Our Executive Compensation Program

The primary objectives of our executive compensation program are to:

attract and retain the best possible executive talent,

promote the achievement of key strategic and financial performance measures by linking annual cash incentives to the achievement of corporate performance goals,

motivate the Company's officers to create long-term value for the Company's stockholders and achieve other business objectives of the Company, and

require stock ownership by the Company's officers in order to align their financial interests with the long-term interests of the Company's stockholders.

To achieve these objectives, the Compensation Committee evaluates our officers' compensation program with the goal of setting compensation at levels the Committee believes are competitive with those of other peer companies that compete with us for executive talent. In addition, our executive compensation program ties a substantial portion of each executive's overall cash compensation to key strategic, financial and operational goals such as organic revenue growth, adjusted operating margin expansion, and new product introductions. While the selection of long-term incentive vehicles varies year to year, we provide a portion of our executive compensation in the form of stock options, performance-based restricted stock unit grants, and/or time-based restricted stock unit grants. The Committee believes frequent reviews of the compensation levels of our named executive officers help us retain our executives and ensures their interests remain aligned with those of our stockholders by allowing them to participate in both the shorter term success of the Company as reflected in organic revenue growth and growth in adjusted earnings per share from one year to the next, as well as the longer term success of the Company as reflected in stock price

appreciation. Our compensation package is highly performance-based, with the largest portion consistently denominated in equity.

Table of Contents

Page 14

Strategic Pay Positioning

Overall positioning of pay for named executive officers as a group is targeted to be within 10% of the sum of the median for the CEO and the 60th percentile for the other named executive officers for total compensation (total direct compensation, change in pension value and nonqualified deferred compensation earnings, and all other compensation) and within 10% of the sum of the median for the CEO and the 65th percentile for the other named executive officers for total direct compensation.

Generally, the goal is to achieve this through positioning of each major element of pay independently. Base salaries, for example, as the only fixed component of pay, are targeted to fall within 10% of median competitive levels, in the aggregate. Annual incentives are targeted to provide the opportunity for a 65th percentile payment, in the aggregate, for the achievement of preset internal goals, as well as an opportunity for top quartile actual payouts for strong performance, and actual payouts below median levels for performance below the preset goals.

The objective of our long term incentive program is to develop strong executive retention through opportunities tied to appreciation of the Company's stock price over time. Superior returns to stockholders will result in significant opportunities to increase the value of executives overall equity value, while returns that fall short will significantly diminish that overall value. As such, opportunities are targeted to approximate the 75th percentile, in the aggregate.

Individual decisions may result in positioning outside of these specified ranges, particularly where the measured market reflects little differentiation between the 25th, median and 75th percentiles. Individual components may also be highly differentiated based on key requirements of a specific role, success in past roles within or outside the Company or, within our pay for performance culture, demonstrated success in an executive officer's current role. Position tenure also plays an important role in the positioning of individual pay levels.

Consistent with the aforementioned pay-for-performance influence, the Compensation Committee reviews each component of pay individually and collectively, to ensure that the compensation programs work in a unified manner to motivate and retain key executive talent.

The Compensation Committee uses market surveys and analyses prepared by outside consulting firms to stay informed of developments in the design of compensation packages generally and to benchmark our officer compensation program against those of companies with whom we compete for executive talent to ensure our compensation program is in line with current marketplace standards.

The Compensation Committee initially targets compensation for our executive officers as a group, in the aggregate, and then considers the allocation among each officer individually. The principal reference for external comparison is to proxy-named executive officers of industrial and healthcare companies comparable to the Company in terms of annual revenues and market capitalization.

Table of Contents

Page 15

The chart below compares the components of our compensation package to the targeted strategic pay positioning as described above, for each component of pay as computed by Pearl Meyer.

For 2014, aggregate base salaries for the named executive officers as a group were 108% of median competitive levels, as measured by Pearl Meyer. The aggregate target bonus opportunity was 106% of the 65th percentile competitive opportunity. Aggregate long-term incentives were 87% of the 75th percentile competitive level. Combining these components provided an aggregate target total direct compensation opportunity of 108% of the combined competitive positions (i.e., within 10% of the sum of the median for the CEO and the 65th percentile for the other named executive officers). Combining the target total direct compensation with retirement income and perquisites provided aggregate total compensation of 99% of the targeted level as a group (i.e., within 10% of the sum of the median for the CEO and the 60th percentile for the other named executive officers).

Typically, during the first calendar quarter of each year, the chief executive officer makes a recommendation to the Compensation Committee with respect to annual salary increases and bonuses, and annual equity awards, if any, for executive officers other than himself, which is then reviewed by the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee annually reviews the individual performance evaluations for the executive officers, and, usually in late February or early March, determines their compensation changes and awards after receiving input from other independent directors of the Board. As part of this process, the Compensation Committee also reviews, with respect to each named executive officer, the current value of prior equity grants, the balances in deferred compensation accounts, and the amount of compensation the executive officer would receive if he left the Company under a variety of circumstances.

Table of Contents

Page 16

Components of Our Executive Compensation Program

The primary elements of our executive compensation program are:

Element	Form	Primary Purpose	Performance Criteria
Base salary	Cash	Provide competitive, fixed compensation to attract and retain the best possible executive talent	Achievement of Company and individual goals
Annual cash incentive bonuses	Cash	Align executive compensation with our corporate strategies and business objectives; promote the achievement of key strategic and financial performance measures by linking annual cash incentives to the achievement of corporate performance goals	Organic revenue growth, adjusted operating income as a percentage of revenue, adjusted earnings per share, and non-financial measures (see page 18)
Long-term incentive awards	Stock options	Align executive compensation with our corporate strategies and business objectives; motivate the Company's officers to create long-term value for the Company's stockholders and achieve other business objectives of the Company; encourage stock ownership by the Company's officers in order to align their financial interests with the long-term interests of the Company's stockholders	N/A, but appreciation in common stock price yields greater value
	Performance-based restricted stock unit awards Time-based restricted stock unit awards		Organic revenue growth and adjusted earnings per share growth (see page 22) N/A
Retirement plans	Eligibility to participate in, and receive Company contributions to, our 401(k) plan (available to all U.S. employees) and, for most executives, a supplemental deferred compensation plan	Provide competitive retirement benefits to attract and retain skilled management	N/A
Perquisites	Eligibility to receive supplemental long-term disability and life insurance, and access to emergency medical service	Provide a competitive compensation package	N/A
Severance and Change in Control Benefits	Eligibility to receive cash and other severance benefits in connection with termination under certain scenarios (see page 24)	Provide competitive benefits to attract and retain the best possible executive talent and facilitate the executive's evaluating potential business combinations	N/A

Table of Contents

Page 17

Each year, the Compensation Committee, after reviewing information provided by compensation consultants, determines what it believes in its business judgment to be the appropriate mix of each of the various compensation components.

The Committee believes that the Company's executive compensation program supports the executive compensation objectives described above without encouraging management to take unreasonable risk with respect to Thermo Fisher's business. The Committee believes that the program's use of long-term, equity-based compensation, including the use of options and restricted stock unit awards, and our stock ownership guidelines all encourage officers to take a long-term view of Thermo Fisher's performance and discourage unreasonable risk-taking. The Committee has reviewed the Company's key compensation policies and practices and concluded that any risks arising from our policies and programs are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

Compensation Consultant

In late 2013, the Committee directly engaged Pearl Meyer to assist the Committee in its review and evaluation of the compensation for the executive officers. Pearl Meyer provides no services to the Company other than to the Compensation Committee, and is therefore entirely independent of the management of the Company. In making decisions on 2014 salary changes, the setting of 2014 target annual cash incentive bonuses as a percentage of salary, and equity award decisions in February 2014, the Committee considered the Pearl Meyer Study, which included data from a peer group of publicly-traded companies, and survey data reflecting industry- and size-appropriate comparators.

Peer Group

The Committee reviewed the companies included in the peer group in connection with the Company's acquisition of Life Technologies (the Life Technologies Acquisition), and made adjustments to the group to reflect the revenue, market capitalization and enterprise value of the combined company. Several companies in the prior peer group were significantly smaller than the combined company, and they were removed for the Pearl Meyer Study.

The companies that were removed from the prior peer group were:

Agilent
Becton, Dickinson and Company
Boston Scientific Corporation

Life Technologies Corporation
Quest Diagnostics Incorporated

The companies that were added to the prior peer group were:

3M Company
AbbVie Inc.
Abbott Laboratories

Bristol-Myers Squibb Company
Gilead Sciences Inc.
Honeywell International Inc.

Pearl Meyer used the peer group set forth below in connection with analyzing 2014 executive compensation:

3M Company
AbbVie Inc.
Abbott Laboratories
Amgen Inc.
Baxter International Inc.
Bristol-Myers Squibb Company
Covidien plc
Danaher Corporation

Honeywell International Inc.
Illinois Tool Works Inc.
Ingersoll-Rand Plc
L 3 Communications Holdings, Inc.
Medtronic, Inc.
Monsanto Company
Parker-Hannifin Corporation
PPG Industries, Inc.

Edgar Filing: THERMO FISHER SCIENTIFIC INC. - Form DEF 14A

Eaton Corporation
EMC Corporation
Emerson Electric Co.
Gilead Sciences Inc.

Stryker Corporation
Texas Instruments Incorporated
Textron Inc.

Table of Contents

Page 18

Base Salary

Base salary is used to recognize the experience, skills, knowledge and responsibilities required of all our employees, including our executive officers. Generally, we believe that executive officer base salaries should be, in the aggregate, near (e.g., within 10%) the median of the range of salaries for executives in similar positions at comparable companies determined in a manner consistent with the Pearl Meyer Study, but with variations as dictated by individual circumstances. Base salaries are generally reviewed annually by our Compensation Committee in February and changes are effective in late March/early April of that year. In making base salary decisions, the Committee takes into account a variety of factors, including the level of the individual's responsibility, the length of time the individual has been in that position, the ability to replace the individual, demonstrated success in role, and the current base salary of the individual. In late February 2014, the Compensation Committee considered the market data contained in the Pearl Meyer Study and increased the salaries of our executive officers for 2014 (effective late March 2014) in accordance with our standard annual compensation review. The 2014 base salaries for the named executive officers were set consistent with our philosophy of keeping salaries within 10% of these measured market medians, in the aggregate. Increases were as follows: 12.7% for Mr. Casper, 2.3% for Mr. Wilver, 2.1% for Mr. Malus, and 7.0% for Mr. Loewald. Increases vary principally to reflect tenure in current position and competitive pay levels, to recognize strong individual performance and to assist the Company to retain these executives. With respect to Messrs. Casper and Loewald, the adjustments were made to address their competitive positioning below the 25th percentile in the Pearl Meyer Study. Base salaries were increased as reflected in the table below.

Name	Prior Base Salary	Base Salary as of March 31, 2014
Marc N. Casper	\$ 1,140,000	\$ 1,285,000
Peter M. Wilver	\$ 660,000	\$ 675,000
Alan J. Malus	\$ 702,125	\$ 717,000
Mark P. Stevenson		\$ 800,000*
Thomas W. Loewald	\$ 537,500	\$ 575,000

* Mr. Stevenson's base salary became effective February 3, 2014, upon the closing of the Life Technologies Acquisition, in connection with his initial employment offer with the Company.

Annual Cash Incentive Award

Annual cash incentive awards for the Company's executive officers for 2014 were granted under the Company's 2013 Annual Incentive Award Plan (the "162(m) Plan"), which was approved by the stockholders of the Company at its 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The 162(m) Plan was adopted to preserve the tax deductibility of the annual bonus that may be earned by executive officers of the Company. The actual amounts paid are subject to the application by the Compensation Committee of negative discretion under the 162(m) Plan, as described below.

Under the 162(m) Plan, in the first quarter of each calendar year the Compensation Committee selects a performance goal for the year. For 2014, the Committee selected the financial measure of earnings before interest, taxes and amortization, excluding the impact of restructuring and other costs/income, amortization of acquisition-related intangible assets and certain other unusual or nonrecurring items (adjusted operating income). The Committee selected this financial measure, as opposed to an income measure computed under generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), because this measure is consistent with how management measures and forecasts the Company's performance, especially when comparing such results to previous periods or forecasts. The maximum award payable in any year under the 162(m) Plan to an executive officer is \$5,000,000. Each executive officer was awarded a percentage of adjusted operating income for the year, subject to the right of the Committee to lower, but not raise, the actual bonuses paid. In February 2015, the Compensation Committee elected to lower the 2014 bonuses payable under the 162(m) Plan to the amounts computed in accordance with the process described below for the Company's annual incentive program for the year based on the Compensation Committee's determinations as to the level of achievement of the supplemental performance measures under the Company's annual incentive program for 2014.

Table of Contents

Page 19

Typically, in the first quarter of each calendar year, the Compensation Committee also establishes a target incentive cash award amount under the Company's annual incentive program for each officer of the Company, including executive officers. This amount, which is a percentage of base salary, is determined by the Compensation Committee based on a variety of factors, including the level of the individual's responsibility, the length of time the individual has been in that position, the ability to replace the individual, demonstrated success in role, and the current target incentive cash award of the individual. The amount actually awarded to an officer, which can range from 0 to 200% of target, varies primarily based on performance of the Company as a whole with respect to financial and non-financial measures, but is subject to adjustment based on the Committee's subjective evaluation of an officer's contributions to those results. The Committee generally sets the goals such that the target payout (100% of target bonus) represents attractive financial performance within our industry and can be reasonably expected to be achieved; and payouts above 150% of this target require outstanding performance.

For 2014, the annual incentive program established by the Compensation Committee was based on 70% financial performance and 30% non-financial performance. The financial measures established by the Compensation Committee were (i) growth in organic revenue (reported revenue adjusted for the impact of acquisitions and divestitures and for foreign currency changes) (40%), (ii) adjusted operating income as a percentage of revenue (15%), and (iii) adjusted earnings per share (15%). The Committee selected these financial measures, as opposed to financial measures computed under generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), because these measures are consistent with how management measures and forecasts the Company's performance, especially when comparing such results to previous periods or forecasts. For the three financial measures, the Company's actual performance was measured relative to the Company's internal operating goals for 2014. The weighting of the financial measures and performance targets for 2014 were:

	Organic Revenue Growth (40%)*		Adjusted Operating Income as a Percentage of Revenue (15%)	Adjusted Earnings Per Share (15%)
Threshold (0% payout on each measure)	Total Company	1.25%	Varies with revenue ¹	\$6.61
Incremental Performance Adjustment ¹	LSS	0.75%		
	-25% for each 0.50% organic revenue growth below baseline		Assumes 35% adjusted operating income pull through ² on reported revenue above or below baseline for revenue results of up to +1.27% above baseline revenue and 30% pull through ² on revenue over +1.27% above baseline	-25% for each \$0.05 below baseline
	+25% for each 0.50% organic revenue growth above baseline up to 150% of target and for each 0.25% organic revenue growth above 150% of target			+25% for each \$0.05 above baseline
Baseline (100% payout factor)	Total Company	3.25%	21.77% of revenue (at the baseline target revenue growth of 28.33%)	\$6.81
	LSS	2.75%		
Maximum (200% payout factor)	Total Company	4.75%	Varies with revenue ³	\$7.01
	LSS	4.25%		
Actual Results	Total Company	4.29%	21.88% of revenue	\$6.96
	LSS	3.61%		
Payout Factor	151%		108%	178%

* Consists of 30% total Company organic revenue growth and 10% Life Sciences Solutions Segment (LSS) organic revenue growth, reflecting the February 2014 closing of the Life Technologies Acquisition and the related formation of a new operating segment.

Table of Contents

Page 20

¹ For each financial measure there is linear interpolation of payouts between thresholds.

² Because the payout factors linked the variation in revenue growth to margin expansion, the threshold and maximum (whether expressed as dollars or as a percentage) varied directly with actual revenue achievement; no single threshold or maximum performance level can be attributed to adjusted operating income as a percentage of revenue. The adjusted operating income as a percentage of revenue payout factor cannot go below zero or above 200%.

³ The payout factors recognized incremental costs required to achieve accelerated organic revenue growth, and reflected the greater difficulty in achieving margin expansion on lower revenue; as such, the pull through (incremental operating margin as a percentage of revenue) varied at different levels of revenue achievement.

The calculated payout on the financial goals was 148%. The remaining 30% of the annual cash incentive award was based on company-wide, non-financial measures relating to the achievement of customer allegiance goals, increased new product introduction, the maximization of our opportunity in China, the continuation of building a diverse workforce and employer of choice initiatives, and the achievement of merger and acquisition-related goals. The results for the non-financial goals were as follows:

Non-Financial Measure	Achievement
Customer Allegiance	We improved our customer allegiance score over our 2013 score (measured by a formula relating to how many of our customers would recommend us to another potential customer); we enhanced our e-business capabilities and refined our e-business strategy; and we completed our revenue synergy planning and positioned the Company well to achieve its 2015 revenue synergy goals with respect to the Life Technologies Acquisition
New Product Introduction	We launched numerous new products, but the percentage of 2014 product revenue from products commercialized in the last two years was down slightly from our 2013 performance
Maximization of Opportunity in China	We had organic growth in China that was significantly below expectations, primarily as a result of government spending delays; we leveraged our China commercial, manufacturing and research and development investments resulting in increased bookings
Building a Diverse Workforce/ Employer of Choice	We trained 2,250 employees on diversity and inclusion; implemented children of employees scholarship program, expanded our employee resource groups and our community action councils remained active; we completed an employee involvement survey and are developing actions in response to the results; improved leadership diversity
Mergers and Acquisitions	We significantly exceeded our 2014 synergy goal with respect to the Life Technologies Acquisition; closed one small acquisition and signed an agreement for another of larger scale (closed in early 2015)

The Committee judged these goals in the context of the overall goal to deliver on the Company's commitments to all stakeholders and advance the Company's position as the world leader in serving science. Taking all of these factors into account and in recognition of the excellent progress on the Life Technologies integration and significant overachievement of synergy goals, the Committee concluded that actual achievement against the non-financial measures was at a payout of 140%.

Table of Contents

Page 21

The process described above resulted in a preliminary overall achievement calculation of 145% of target bonus for executives, including the named executive officers, in the aggregate. The Committee elected this year to grant each named executive officer the same percentage as the overall achievement. Accordingly, Messrs. Casper, Wilver, Malus, Stevenson and Loewald were awarded bonuses at 145% of target, reflecting the significant joint effort required to integrate Life Technologies into the Company.

In setting target bonuses for 2014, the Committee considered the Pearl Meyer Study and concluded it was appropriate to increase the target bonuses for the named executive officers as follows:

	2013 Target Bonus as a	2014 Target Bonus as a
Name	Percentage of Salary	Percentage of Salary
Marc N. Casper	170%	180%
Peter M. Wilver	85%	90%
Alan J. Malus	95%	100%
Mark P. Stevenson		105%*
Thomas W. Loewald	80%	85%

* Mr. Stevenson's target bonus was approved in connection with the closing of the Life Technologies Acquisition, and his initial employment offer with the Company.

Following these adjustments, the 2014 target bonus awards for our named executive officers ranged from 20% below to 38% above the targeted 65th percentile opportunity, but approximated 106% of the targeted 65th percentile opportunity in the aggregate, as defined in the Pearl Meyer Study.

The target bonus awards and actual bonus awards for 2014 for the named executive officers were as follows:

	Target Bonus as a		
Name	Percentage of Salary	Target Bonus Award	Actual Bonus Award
Marc N. Casper	180%	\$2,313,000	\$3,353,850
Peter M. Wilver	90%	\$ 607,500	\$ 880,875
Alan J. Malus	100%	\$ 717,000	\$1,039,650
Mark P. Stevenson	105%	\$ 840,000	\$1,218,000
Thomas W. Loewald	85%	\$ 488,750	\$ 708,688

In February 2015, Mr. Stevenson, the former chief operating officer of Life Technologies and the President of the Company's Life Sciences Solutions Group, was also awarded an additional bonus of \$756,000 in recognition of his extraordinary efforts with respect to the integration of Life Technologies. Additionally, in February 2014, at the time of the closing of the Company's acquisition of Life Technologies, the Company paid Mr. Stevenson a \$3.1 million retention bonus (the "Retention Bonus").

Stock Option and Restricted Stock Unit Awards

Our equity award program is the primary vehicle for offering long-term incentives to our executives. We believe that equity grants provide our executives with a strong link to our long-term performance, create an ownership culture and help to align the interests of our executives with that of our stockholders. In addition, the vesting feature of our equity grants should further our goal of executive retention because this feature provides an incentive to our executives to remain in our employ during the vesting period. In determining the size of equity grants to our executives, our Compensation Committee considers the peer group information contained in the Pearl Meyer Study, Company and business unit performance, the individual performance of the executives, and prevailing market trends. The Committee also considers the recommendations of the chief executive officer with respect to awards to our executives other than the chief executive officer, and input from other independent directors of the Board with respect to awards to our chief executive officer. The Committee then decides how much of these values should be

delivered by each of the long-term incentive vehicles utilized by the Company, such as stock options, performance-based restricted stock units or time-based restricted stock units.

Table of Contents

Page 22

We typically make an initial equity award to newly hired executives and to newly promoted executives to reflect their new responsibilities, and annual equity grants in late February as part of our overall compensation program. Our equity awards have typically taken the form of stock options and restricted stock unit grants. Because time-based restricted stock units have a built-in value at the time the grants are made, we generally grant significantly fewer restricted stock units than the number of stock options we would grant for a similar purpose. All equity grants to our officers are approved by the Compensation Committee. Equity grants for newly hired or promoted non-officer employees are determined and approved by the Employee Equity Committee, which currently consists of Mr. Casper, and cannot exceed 25,000 shares per employee without Compensation Committee approval. The timing of the Compensation Committee meeting in late February or early March is such that the meeting occurs after we have publicly released earnings for the just-completed year. While our cash incentive program is designed to reward executives for meeting near-term (generally annual) financial and operational goals, our equity program is designed to focus on long-term performance and alignment of executive officer compensation with the long-term interests of our stockholders.

Typically, the stock options we grant to our named executive officers vest in equal annual installments over the first four years of a seven-year option term, performance-based restricted stock units vest in equal annual installments over three years (assuming the performance standard has been met), and time-based restricted stock unit awards vest in four tranches over three and one-half years at the end of six, 18, 30 and 42 months. Vesting normally ceases upon termination of employment, except for acceleration upon qualifying retirements, death, disability, and in the case of certain terminations for Mr. Casper (see Agreements with Named Executive Officers; Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control on page 37). Stock option exercise rights normally cease for officers other than Mr. Casper shortly after termination, except in the cases of death, disability and qualifying retirement. Prior to the exercise of an option, the holder has no rights as a stockholder with respect to the shares subject to such option, including voting rights and the right to receive dividends or dividend equivalents. Prior to the distribution of shares after vesting of restricted stock units (which represent a right in the future to receive shares), the holder has no right to transfer or vote the underlying shares. Generally, holders of restricted stock units have the right to accrue dividends (in the form of dividend equivalents) but do not receive them unless and until vesting and delivery of the underlying shares occur.

Our practice is to set the exercise price of stock options to equal the closing price of our Common Stock on the New York Stock Exchange on the date the grant is approved by the Compensation Committee or the Employee Equity Committee.

2014 Annual Grant

On February 26, 2014, in connection with the normal compensation cycle, the Committee granted stock options and time-based restricted stock units to the named executive officers and performance-based restricted stock units to Messrs. Casper, Wilver, Malus and Loewald. The value of equity and types of equity vehicles to be granted to Mr. Stevenson was approved in connection with the closing of the Life Technologies Acquisition, and his initial employment offer with the Company. In setting grant levels for 2014, the Committee considered the Pearl Meyer Study. The Committee adjusted these amounts towards the 75th percentile to reflect its interest in focusing on long-term performance and the development of strong executive retention through opportunities tied to appreciation of the Company's stock price over time, as well as its judgment on matters of internal fairness. In determining the mix of long-term incentives for 2014, the Committee considered the retentive and incentive value of the current and prior grants to Messrs. Casper, Wilver, Malus and Loewald, and the overall weighting toward equity-based incentive compensation relative to salary and annual cash incentives, which places greater emphasis on the Company's long-term performance. The adjusted amounts were then converted to numbers of stock options and restricted stock units. Approximately 30% of the calculated award value was delivered through stock options, 35% through performance-based restricted stock units (measured at the target level), and 35% through time-based restricted stock units. The Committee adopted these allocations consistent with the strategy of providing executives with a balanced portfolio of equity vehicles.

Table of Contents

Page 23

Equity grants made in 2013 and 2014 are reflected in the table below.

Name	Stock Options		Time-Based Restricted Stock Units		Performance-Based Restricted Stock Units (at target)	
	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014
Marc N. Casper	161,200	131,500	50,400	33,300	50,400	33,300
Peter M. Wilver	34,600	27,000	15,400	6,800	6,200	6,800
Alan J. Malus	46,100	35,700	20,600	9,100	8,200	9,100
Mark P. Stevenson		100,000		21,750		
Thomas W. Loewald	30,700	27,000	13,700	6,800	5,500	6,800

The 2014 stock options (a) vest in equal annual installments over the four-year period commencing on the first anniversary of the date of grant (i.e., the first 1/4 of the stock option grant would vest on the first anniversary of the date of grant) so long as the executive officer is employed by the Company on each such date (subject to certain exceptions), (b) have an exercise price equal to the closing price of the Company's Common Stock on the New York Stock Exchange on the date of grant, and (c) have a term of 7 years from such date.

The 2014 time-based restricted stock units vest as follows: 15%, 25%, 30% and 30% vesting on the dates 6, 18, 30 and 42 months from the date of grant, respectively, so long as the executive officer is employed by the Company on each such date (subject to certain exceptions). In connection with the award of performance-based restricted stock units, the Compensation Committee adopted as performance goals growth in the measures organic revenue growth and adjusted earnings per share for 2014. For each of the performance goals, the Company's actual performance is measured relative to the Company's internal operating plan for 2014. The vesting of the performance-based restricted stock units is as follows: 1/3 on the date the Compensation Committee certifies that the performance goals related to the Company's organic revenue growth and adjusted earnings per share have been achieved (the Performance Certification Date), 1/3 on the one-year anniversary of the Performance Certification Date, and 1/3 on the two-year anniversary of the Performance Certification Date (subject to certain exceptions). Organic revenue growth for 2014 of 4.29% and adjusted earnings per share for 2014 of \$6.96 led to an actual payout of 138% of the target number of units for each executive. One-third of the total number of units earned vested in February 2015, and the same number of restricted units will vest on both the first anniversary and the second anniversary of this vesting date so long as the executive officer is employed by the Company on each such date (subject to certain exceptions). Dividends paid by the Company accrue in the form of dividend equivalents on unvested restricted stock units (in the case of performance-based units, only after the performance conditions are met), and will be paid out if and when the underlying shares vest and are delivered.

Stock Ownership Policy

The Compensation Committee has established a stock ownership policy that the chief executive officer hold shares of Common Stock equal in value to at least four times his or her annual base salary and that each other executive officer hold shares of Common Stock equal in value to at least two times his or her annual base salary. For purposes of this policy, time-based restricted stock units are counted towards the target. All of our named executive officers are currently in compliance with this policy.

Benefits and Other Compensation

We maintain broad-based benefits that are provided to all employees, including health and dental insurance, life and disability insurance and a 401(k) plan. Executives are eligible to participate in all of our employee benefit plans, in each case on the same basis as other employees. The 401(k) plan is a tax-qualified retirement savings plan pursuant to which all U.S.-based employees, including officers, are able to contribute a percentage of their annual salary up to the limit prescribed by the Internal Revenue Service (the IRS) to the 401(k) plan on a before-tax basis. The Company matches contributions made by employees to the 401(k) plan, dollar for dollar, up to the first 6% of compensation deferred by the employee to the plan. Employees were capped at contributing

Table of Contents

Page 24

6% of \$260,000 for 2014 in accordance with the IRS annual compensation limit. All contributions to the 401(k) plan as well as any matching contributions are fully-vested upon contribution, except that matching contributions to new employees joining the Company after January 1, 2014 vest after two years of employment.

The named executive officers, in addition to certain other U.S.-based eligible executives, are also entitled to participate in the Company's Deferred Compensation Plan. Pursuant to the Deferred Compensation Plan, an eligible employee can defer receipt of his or her annual base salary and/or bonus until he or she ceases to serve as an employee of the Company or until a future date prior to his or her termination of employment with the Company. The Deferred Compensation Plan is discussed in further detail under the heading "Nonqualified Deferred Compensation For 2014" on page 34. Amounts deferred under this plan can be invested in an array of mutual funds and other investment vehicles administered by a third party investment manager. The Company matches 100% of the first 6% of pay that is deferred into the Deferred Compensation Plan over the IRS annual compensation limit for 401(k) purposes.

The Company provides officers with perquisites and other personal benefits that the Company and the Compensation Committee believe are reasonable and consistent with its overall compensation program to better enable the Company to attract and retain superior employees for key positions. Each named executive officer has access to supplemental long-term disability and life insurance, and emergency medical service through Massachusetts General Hospital's global hospital network. Additionally, the Company provides a \$3 million term life insurance policy to Mr. Casper. Attributed costs of the personal benefits described above for the named executive officers for 2014 are described in the "Summary Compensation Table" on page 26. None of these perquisites are subject to a tax gross-up.

Severance and Change in Control Benefits

Pursuant to our equity plans and agreements we have entered into with our executives, in the event of the termination of their employment under certain circumstances, they are entitled to specified benefits. We have provided more detailed information about these benefits, along with estimates of their value under various circumstances, under the caption "Agreements with Named Executive Officers; Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control" on page 37. We believe providing these benefits helps us compete for executive talent and that our severance and change in control benefits are generally in line with severance packages offered to comparable executives at other companies.

We have executive change in control retention agreements with our executives that provide cash and other severance benefits if there is a change in control of the Company and their employment is terminated by the Company without "cause" or by the individual for "good reason," in each case within 18 months thereafter. We also have an executive severance policy that provides severance benefits to our executives (other than Messrs. Casper and Stevenson) in the event their employment is terminated by the Company without "cause" in the absence of a change in control. Mr. Casper's severance arrangements are provided in a separate agreement between him and the Company. In addition, in certain circumstances Mr. Stevenson is entitled to receive severance benefits under his change in control agreement with Life Technologies. The change in control retention agreements and executive severance arrangements are described in greater detail under the caption "Agreements with Named Executive Officers; Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control" on page 37. None of the Company's change in control retention agreements with named executive officers provide for a tax-gross up; however, Mr. Stevenson is entitled to a tax-gross up pursuant to the Life Technologies change in control agreement to which he is a party until the expiration of that agreement in February 2016.

Tax and Accounting Considerations

Deductibility of Executive Compensation

The Compensation Committee considers the potential effect of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 as amended (the "Code"), in designing its compensation program, but reserves the right to use its independent judgment to approve nondeductible compensation, while taking into account the financial effects such action may have on the Company. Section 162(m) limits the tax deduction available to public companies for

Table of Contents

Page 25

annual compensation that is paid to the Company's chief executive officer and three other most highly paid executive officers (other than the chief financial officer) in excess of \$1,000,000, unless the compensation qualifies as performance-based or is otherwise exempt from Section 162(m). Stock options, performance-based restricted stock unit awards and annual incentive cash bonuses for the executive officers are intended to qualify for the deduction.

Accounting Considerations

Accounting considerations also play an important role in the design of our executive compensation programs and policies. ASC 718 requires us to expense the cost of stock-based compensation awards. We consider the relative impact in terms of accounting cost in addition to other factors such as stockholder dilution, retentive impact, and motivational impact when selecting long-term equity incentive instruments.

Compensation Committee Report

The Compensation Committee of the Company has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis required by Item 402(b) of Regulation S-K with management and, based on such review and discussions, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement.

THE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

Scott M. Sperling (Chairman)

Thomas J. Lynch

Elaine S. Ullian

Table of Contents

Page 26

Summary Compensation Table

The following table summarizes compensation for services to the Company earned during the last three fiscal years by the Company's chief executive officer, chief financial officer, and the three other most highly compensated executive officers of the Company during 2014. The executive officers listed below are collectively referred to in this proxy statement as the named executive officers.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)(1)	Stock Awards (\$)(2)	Option Awards (\$)(3)	Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)(4)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation All Other		Total (\$)
						Earnings (\$)(5)	Compensation (\$)(6)	
Marc N. Casper	2014	\$1,254,808	\$8,277,048	\$4,021,270	\$3,353,850		\$539,981	\$17,446,957
	2013	\$1,115,479	\$7,382,592	\$3,220,776	\$3,876,000		\$574,033	\$16,168,880
<i>President and Chief Executive Officer</i> Peter M. Wilver	2012	\$1,030,038	\$10,442,400		\$1,892,800		\$457,087	\$13,822,325
	2014	\$673,962	\$1,690,208	\$825,660	\$880,875		\$122,428	\$4,193,133
	2013	\$653,747	\$1,581,984	\$691,308	\$1,122,000		\$148,577	\$4,197,616
<i>Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer</i> Alan J. Malus	2012	\$632,134	\$2,298,588		\$700,000		\$121,316	\$3,752,038
	2014	\$716,153	\$2,261,896	\$1,091,706	\$1,039,650	\$2,439	\$143,802	\$5,255,646
	2013	\$697,926	\$2,109,312	\$921,078	\$1,000,000	\$2,065	\$156,197	\$4,886,578
<i>Executive Vice President</i> Mark P. Stevenson(7)	2012	\$685,000	\$3,131,575		\$813,437	\$2,731	\$140,772	\$4,773,515
	2014	\$723,077	\$2,703,090	\$3,058,000	\$5,074,000(8)	\$236,735(9)	\$167,865	\$11,962,767
<i>Executive Vice President</i> Thomas W. Loewald	2014	\$568,125	\$1,690,208	\$825,660	\$708,688		\$99,276	\$3,891,957
	2013	\$514,075	\$1,406,208	\$613,386	\$588,000		\$96,432	\$3,218,101
<i>Senior Vice President</i>	2012	\$450,000	\$1,565,788		\$421,875		\$80,945	\$2,518,608

(1) Reflects salary earned for the year, though a portion of such salary may have been paid early in the subsequent year.

(2) These amounts represent the aggregate grant date fair value of restricted stock unit awards made during 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively, calculated in accordance with the Company's financial reporting practices. For information on the valuation assumptions with respect to these awards, refer to note 5 of the Thermo Fisher financial statements in the Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014, as filed with the SEC. For performance-based restricted stock unit awards made to Messrs. Casper, Wilver, Malus and Loewald in February 2014, these amounts reflect the grant date fair value of such awards at the time of grant based upon the probable outcome (earning 100% of target) at the time of grant. The value of the performance-based restricted stock unit awards at the grant date assuming that the highest level of performance conditions was achieved was \$6,207,786, \$1,267,656, \$1,696,422, and \$1,267,656 for Messrs. Casper, Wilver, Malus and Loewald, respectively. The amounts reflected in this column do not represent the actual amounts paid to or realized by the named executive officer for these awards during fiscal years 2014, 2013 or 2012.

Edgar Filing: THERMO FISHER SCIENTIFIC INC. - Form DEF 14A

(3) These amounts represent the aggregate grant date fair value of stock option awards made during 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively, calculated in accordance with the Company's financial reporting practices. For information on the valuation assumptions with respect to these awards, refer to note 5 of the Thermo Fisher financial statements in the Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014, as filed with the SEC. These amounts do not represent the actual amounts paid to or realized by the named executive officer for these awards during fiscal years 2014, 2013 or 2012.

(4) Reflects compensation earned for the year but paid early in the subsequent year.

(5) For Mr. Malus, the amount presented in this column represents the actuarial increase in the present value of his benefits under the Thermo Fisher Retirement Plan (the Retirement Plan) during the year. As the Retirement Plan was a pension plan maintained by Fisher Scientific International Inc. (Fisher) prior to the 2006

Table of Contents

Page 27

merger of Thermo Electron Corporation and Fisher (the "Fisher Merger"), and was frozen prior to the merger, only Mr. Malus (a former employee of Fisher) participates in the Retirement Plan. For Mr. Stevenson, the amount presented in this column represents the actuarial increase in the present value of his benefits under the Applera Corporation Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (the "SERP") during the year. As the SERP was a plan maintained by Life Technologies prior to the Life Technologies Acquisition, and was frozen prior to the acquisition, only Mr. Stevenson (a former employee of Life Technologies) participates in the SERP.

(6) Under SEC rules and regulations, if the total value of all perquisites and personal benefits is \$10,000 or more for any named executive officer, then each perquisite or personal benefit, regardless of its amount, must be identified by type. If perquisites and personal benefits are required to be reported for a named executive officer, then each perquisite or personal benefit that exceeds the greater of \$25,000 or 10% of the total amount of perquisites and personal benefits for that officer must be quantified and disclosed in a footnote. The amounts presented in this column include (a) matching contributions made on behalf of the named executive officers by the Company pursuant to the Company's 401(k) Plan, (b) premiums paid by the Company with respect to long-term disability insurance for the benefit of the named executive officers, (c) with respect to Mr. Casper, premiums paid by the Company for a term life insurance policy for the benefit of Mr. Casper, (d) premiums paid by the Company with respect to supplemental group term life insurance, (e) access to emergency medical service through Massachusetts General Hospital's global hospital network, (f) matching contributions made on behalf of the named executive officers by the Company pursuant to the Company's Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan, and (g) dividends accrued in the form of dividend equivalents on restricted stock units. For 2014, the dollar value of the principal components of these benefits was (1) \$15,600 for each of Messrs. Casper, Wilver, Malus and Loewald, and \$6,462 for Mr. Stevenson, respectively, for matching 401(k) contributions, (2) \$2,513, \$3,378, \$3,784, \$3,371 and \$4,261 for Messrs. Casper, Wilver, Malus, Stevenson and Loewald, respectively, for long-term disability insurance premiums, (3) \$11,875 for a term life insurance policy for Mr. Casper, (4) \$260,389, \$77,511, \$89,559, \$145,302 and \$60,613 for Messrs. Casper, Wilver, Malus, Stevenson and Loewald, respectively, for matching deferred compensation plan contributions, and (5) \$249,485, \$25,820, \$34,200, \$12,071 and \$18,240, for Messrs. Casper, Wilver, Malus, Stevenson and Loewald, respectively, for dividends accrued in the form of dividend equivalents on restricted stock units.

(7) Mr. Stevenson became an officer of the Company on February 3, 2014, the date of the closing of the Life Technologies Acquisition.

(8) Includes the \$3.1 million retention bonus paid to Mr. Stevenson in February 2014 upon the closing of the Life Technologies Acquisition and a \$756,000 bonus awarded to him in February 2015 for his extraordinary efforts with respect to the integration of Life Technologies.

(9) Reflects the change in pension value during 2014 from the February 3, 2014 closing date of the Life Technologies Acquisition through December 31, 2014.

Table of Contents

Page 28

Grants of Plan-Based Awards For 2014*

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards			All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares	All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities	Exercise or Base Price of Option	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (\$)(2)
		Threshold	Target	Maximum	Threshold	Target	Maximum	of Stock or Units	Underlying Options	Awards (\$/Sh)	Awards (\$)(2)
Marc N. Casper	2/26/2014	0	\$2,313,000	\$4,626,000	0(3)	33,300(3)	49,950(3)	33,300(4)	131,500(5)	\$ 124.28	\$4,138,524
	2/26/2014										\$4,138,524
	2/26/2014										\$4,021,270
	2/26/2014										
Peter M. Wilver	2/26/2014	0	\$607,500	\$1,215,000	0(3)	6,800(3)	10,200(3)	6,800(4)	27,000(5)	\$ 124.28	\$845,104
	2/26/2014										\$845,104
	2/26/2014										\$825,660
	2/26/2014										
Alan J. Malus	2/26/2014	0	\$717,000	\$1,434,000	0(3)	9,100(3)	13,650(3)	9,100(4)	35,700(5)	\$ 124.28	\$1,130,948
	2/26/2014										\$1,130,948
	2/26/2014										\$1,091,706
	2/26/2014										
Mark P. Stevenson	2/26/2014	0	\$840,000	\$1,680,000				21,750(4)	100,000(5)	\$ 124.28	\$2,703,090
	2/26/2014										\$3,058,000
	2/26/2014										
	2/26/2014										
Thomas W. Loewald	2/26/2014	0	\$488,750	\$977,500	0(3)	6,800(3)	10,200(3)	6,800(4)	27,000(5)	\$ 124.28	\$845,104
	2/26/2014										\$845,104
	2/26/2014										\$825,660
	2/26/2014										

* All equity awards made during 2014 were granted under the Company's 2008 Stock Incentive Plan.

(1) Target awards are based on a percentage of the named executive officer's salary.

(2) These amounts represent the aggregate grant date fair value of stock option and restricted stock unit awards made during 2014, calculated in accordance with the Company's financial reporting practices. For information on the valuation assumptions with respect to these awards, refer to note 5 of the Thermo Fisher financial statements in the Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014, as filed with the SEC. The amounts reflected in this column do not represent the actual amounts paid to or realized by the named executive officer for these awards during fiscal year 2014.

(3) Represents the threshold, target and maximum number of achievable shares pursuant to a performance-based restricted stock unit award. In connection with the awards of performance-based restricted stock units, the Compensation Committee adopted as performance goals growth in organic revenue and adjusted earnings per share. If 2014 organic revenue growth was between 1.75% and 4.50% (or higher), and/or 2014

Edgar Filing: THERMO FISHER SCIENTIFIC INC. - Form DEF 14A

adjusted earnings per share was between \$6.66 and \$6.96 (or higher), then the executives would be entitled to a number of units ranging from 0 to 150% of the target number of units granted. Organic revenue growth for 2014 of 4.29% and adjusted earnings per share for 2014 of \$6.96 led to an actual payout of 138% of the target number of units for each executive. One-third of the total number of units earned vested in February 2015, and the same number of restricted units will vest on both the first anniversary and the second anniversary of this vesting date so long as the executive officer is employed by the Company on each such date (subject to certain exceptions). Dividends on the Common Stock for which the record date is after the performance conditions are met accrue in the form of dividend equivalents on unvested restricted stock units, and will be paid out if and when the underlying shares vest and are delivered.

Table of Contents

Page 29

(4) Represents a time-based restricted stock unit award which vests over a three-and-a half-year period commencing on the date of grant (15%, 25%, 30% and 30% vesting at 6, 18, 30 and 42 months, respectively, from the date of grant) so long as the executive officer is employed by the Company on each such date (subject to certain exceptions). Dividends on the Common Stock for which the record date is after the grant date accrue in the form of dividend equivalents on unvested restricted stock units, and will be paid out if and when the underlying shares vest and are delivered.

(5) Options vest in equal annual installments over the four-year period commencing on the first anniversary of the date of grant (i.e., the first 1/4 of the stock option grant would vest on the first anniversary of the date of grant) so long as the executive officer is employed by the Company on each such date (subject to certain exceptions).

Table of Contents

Page 30

Outstanding Equity Awards at 2014 Fiscal Year-End

Name	Option Awards				Stock Awards		Equity Incentive Plan Awards:		
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)(1)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$) @ \$125.29*	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (#)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (\$) @ \$125.29*
Marc N. Casper	265,500	85,020(2)		\$46.56	11/21/2019				
	100,000			\$46.56	11/21/2019				
	75,441	33,356(3)		\$54.97	2/23/2018				
	35,263	115,450(4)		\$73.24	2/26/2020				
		131,500(5)		\$124.28	2/26/2021				
						32,500(6)	\$4,071,925		
						38,494(7)	\$4,822,913		
						14,445(8)	\$1,809,814		
						28,947(9)	\$3,626,770		
						37,968(10)	\$4,757,011		
						28,305(11)	\$3,546,333		
								100,000(12)	\$12,529,000(13)
								49,950(14)	\$6,258,236(15)
Peter M. Wilver	50,700			\$49.49	3/5/2017				
	13,575	4,525(16)		\$49.49	3/5/2017				
	51,150	17,050(3)		\$54.97	2/23/2018				
	8,650	25,950(4)		\$73.24	2/26/2020				
		27,000(5)		\$124.28	2/26/2021				
						10,717(17)	\$1,342,733		
						4,000(18)	\$501,160		
						9,240(19)	\$1,157,680		
						4,671(10)	\$585,230		
						5,780(11)	\$724,176		
								10,200(14)	\$1,277,958(15)
Alan J. Malus	50,000			\$58.40	7/10/2015				
	80,700			\$36.10	2/26/2016				

Edgar Filing: THERMO FISHER SCIENTIFIC INC. - Form DEF 14A

53,300		\$49.49	3/5/2017				
21,750	7,250(16)	\$49.49	3/5/2017				
60,262	20,088(3)	\$54.97	2/23/2018				
11,525	34,575(4)	\$73.24	2/26/2020				
	35,700(5)	\$124.28	2/26/2021				
				14,600(17)	\$1,829,234		
				5,450(18)	\$682,831		
				12,360(19)	\$1,548,584		
				6,178(10)	\$774,042		
				7,735(11)	\$969,118		
						13,650(14)	\$1,710,209(15)
Mark Stevenson**	100,000(5)	\$124.28	2/26/2021				
				18,488(11)	\$2,316,362		

Table of Contents

Page 31

Name	Option Awards				Stock Awards		Equity Incentive Plan Awards:	
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Option Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)(1)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (\$)
Thomas W. Loewald	15,037	7,013(3)	3,625(16)	\$49.49	3/5/2017			
	7,675	23,025(4)	27,000(5)	\$73.24	2/23/2018			
				\$124.28	2/26/2020			
						7,284(17)	\$912,612	
						2,750(18)	\$344,548	
						8,220(19)	\$1,029,884	
						4,144(10)	\$519,202	
						5,780(11)	\$724,176	
								10,200(14) \$1,277,958(15)

* Reflects the closing price of the Company's Common Stock on the New York Stock Exchange on December 31, 2014.

** In addition to the outstanding equity reflected in the chart above, Mr. Stevenson held 62,370 Life Technologies restricted stock units (RSUs) that were converted into the right to receive \$76.1312 per share in cash from the Company in accordance with their original vesting schedule, pursuant to the Agreement and Plan of Merger by and among Life Technologies, the Company and Polpis Merger Sub Co., dated April 14, 2013 (the Acquisition Agreement). The restricted cash will vest in equal installments in April 2015, 2016 and 2017, so long as Mr. Stevenson is employed by the Company on each such date (subject to certain exceptions).

(1) Unexercisable stock options and unvested shares and units of restricted stock vest as described in the footnotes below and under certain circumstances described under the heading Agreements with Named Executive Officers; Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control. Unexercisable stock options and unvested units of restricted stock also vest upon certain other events such as death, disability, or qualifying retirement.

(2) Represents the balance of a stock option granted on November 21, 2009, which vests on November 21, 2015, so long as Mr. Casper is employed by the Company on such date (subject to certain exceptions).

(3) Represents the balance of a stock option granted on February 23, 2011, which vests on February 23, 2015, so long as the executive officer is employed by the Company on such date (subject to certain exceptions).

Edgar Filing: THERMO FISHER SCIENTIFIC INC. - Form DEF 14A

- (4) Represents the balance of a stock option granted on February 26, 2013, which vests in equal installments on February 26, 2015, February 26, 2016 and February 26, 2017, so long as the executive officer is employed by the Company on each such date (subject to certain exceptions).
- (5) Option vests in equal annual installments on February 26, 2015, February 26, 2016, February 26, 2017 and February 26, 2018, so long as the executive officer is employed by the Company on each such date (subject to certain exceptions).
- (6) Represents the balance of a time-based restricted stock unit award made on November 21, 2009, as to which 32,500 shares vest on February 15, 2015, so long as Mr. Casper is employed by the Company on such date (subject to certain exceptions).
- (7) Represents the balance of a time-based restricted stock unit award made on March 2, 2012, which will vest on March 2, 2015, so long as Mr. Casper is employed by the Company on such date (subject to certain exceptions).

Table of Contents

Page 32

(8) Represents the balance of a performance-based restricted stock unit award made on March 2, 2012, which will vest on February 25, 2015, so long as Mr. Casper is employed by the Company on such date (subject to certain exceptions).

(9) Represents the balance of a time-based restricted stock unit award made on February 26, 2013. Of the remaining shares, 14,364 will vest on August 26, 2015 and 14,583 will vest on August 26, 2016, so long as Mr. Casper is employed by the Company on each such date (subject to certain exceptions).

(10) Represents the balance of a performance-based restricted stock unit award made on February 26, 2013, which vests in equal installments on February 26, 2015 and February 26, 2016, so long as the executive officer is employed by the Company on each such date (subject to certain exceptions).

(11) Represents the balance of a time-based restricted stock unit award made on February 26, 2014, which vests 29.4% on August 26, 2015, and 35.3% on each of August 26, 2016 and August 26, 2017, so long as the executive officer is employed by the Company on each such date (subject to certain exceptions).

(12) Represents the maximum number of achievable shares that may be earned pursuant to a performance-based restricted stock unit award made on November 21, 2009. The number of performance-based restricted stock units to be earned is based on the Company's total shareholder return for an applicable measurement period, relative to the performance of the S&P 500 Industrials Index for the same period, assuming continued employment (subject to certain exceptions). From 0 to 100,000 performance-based restricted stock units will vest after the measurement period October 15, 2009 through February 15, 2015, assuming continued employment (subject to certain exceptions). In accordance with SEC rules, the maximum number of shares that may be earned is shown above because assuming the relevant measurement period ended on December 31, 2014, more than the target number of shares for this measurement period would have been earned; based on the actual measurement period end date of February 15, 2015, 100,000 shares were earned.

(13) Represents the maximum payout of a performance-based restricted stock unit award made on November 21, 2009 at \$125.29, the Company's closing stock price on December 31, 2014.

(14) Represents the maximum number of achievable shares that may be earned pursuant to a performance-based restricted stock unit award made on February 26, 2014. In connection with the awards of performance-based restricted stock units, the Compensation Committee adopted as performance goals a range of growth in organic revenue and adjusted earnings per share. If 2014 organic revenue growth was between 1.75% and 4.50% (or higher), and/or 2014 adjusted earnings per share was between \$6.66 and \$6.96 (or higher), then the executive would be entitled to a number of units ranging from 0 to 150% of the target number of units granted. Organic revenue growth for 2014 of 4.29% and adjusted earnings per share for 2014 of \$6.96 led to an actual payout of 138% of the target number of units for the executive. One-third of the total number of units earned vested in February 2015, and the same number of restricted units will vest on both the first anniversary and the second anniversary of this vesting date so long as the executive is employed by the Company on each such date (subject to certain exceptions).

(15) Represents the maximum payout of a performance-based restricted stock unit award made on February 26, 2014 at \$125.29, the Company's closing stock price on December 31, 2014.

(16) Represents the balance of a stock option granted on March 5, 2010 which vests on March 5, 2015, so long as the executive officer is employed by the Company on such date (subject to certain exceptions).

(17) Represents the balance of a time-based restricted stock unit award made on March 2, 2012, which vests on March 2, 2015, so long as the executive officer is employed by the Company on such date (subject to certain exceptions).

(18) Represents the balance of a performance-based restricted stock unit award made on March 2, 2012, which vests on February 25, 2015, so long as the executive officer is employed by the Company on such date (subject to certain exceptions).

(19) Represents the balance of a time-based restricted stock unit award made on February 26, 2013, which vests 50% on each of August 26, 2015 and August 26, 2016, so long as the executive officer is employed by the Company on each such date (subject to certain exceptions).

Table of Contents

Page 33

Option Exercises and Stock Vested During 2014

The following table reports information regarding stock option exercises and the vesting of stock awards during fiscal year 2014 by the Company's named executive officers. No stock appreciation rights were exercised or were outstanding during fiscal year 2014.

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise	Value Realized On Exercise \$(1)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting \$(2)	Value Realized On Vesting \$(3)
Marc N. Casper	187,500	\$10,894,921	209,635	\$25,989,351
Peter M. Wilver	69,900	\$5,476,665	28,390	\$3,504,716
Alan J. Malus			37,320	\$4,607,672
Mark P. Stevenson(4)			3,262	\$393,756
Thomas W. Loewald	37,125	\$2,439,121	19,183	\$2,365,898

(1) The amounts shown in this column represent the difference between the option exercise price and the market price on the date of exercise.

(2) The amounts shown in this column include shares that vested in 2014 but pursuant to the terms of grant will not be delivered until 2015.

(3) The amounts shown in this column represent the number of shares vesting multiplied by the market price on the date of vesting. For Messrs. Casper, Wilver and Malus, actual value realized on the shares described in note 2 above will be determined in 2015, when the shares are delivered.

(4) In addition to the amounts reflected in the table, Mr. Stevenson received \$17,811,159 in 2014 upon the exercise of 312,944 stock options and the vesting of 80,808 RSUs granted to him by Life Technologies, which the Company paid in accordance with the Acquisition Agreement. For more information about this transaction, see Transactions with Related Persons, Promoters and Certain Control Persons Transactions with Related Persons below.

Pension Benefits

Prior to the Fisher Merger, Fisher maintained the Fisher Retirement Plan (which was renamed after the merger to the Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc. Retirement Plan, or the Retirement Plan), a broad-based, U.S. tax-qualified cash balance pension plan. Each month prior to January 1, 2006, Fisher credited each participating employee with an amount equal to 3.5% of monthly compensation, which included base salary plus certain annual bonuses and other types of compensation.

The Retirement Plan credits participants monthly with interest on their cash balances. The interest credit is equal to the balance of the participant's account as of the close of the prior calendar month multiplied by the applicable interest rate. The interest rate is set equal to a discount rate. The discount rate reflects the rate the Company would have to pay to purchase high-quality investments that would provide cash sufficient to settle its current pension obligations. The discount rate is determined based on a range of factors, including the rates of return on high-quality, fixed-income corporate bonds and the related expected duration of the obligations or, in certain instances, the Company has used a hypothetical portfolio of high-quality instruments with maturities that mirror the benefit obligation in order to accurately estimate the relevant discount rate. For 2014, the interest rate was 4.00%.

The Retirement Plan was amended, effective December 31, 2005, to discontinue future benefit accruals (other than crediting interest to outstanding account balances) as of such date. Accordingly, effective January 1, 2006, Fisher no longer credited each participating employee with an amount equal to 3.5% of the employee's monthly compensation. However, participants continue to earn interest on their previously

Edgar Filing: THERMO FISHER SCIENTIFIC INC. - Form DEF 14A

accrued benefit (account balance). Generally, all participants who were employed as of January 1, 2006 became fully vested in their accrued benefits under the Retirement Plan as of such date. Vested participants can generally elect to receive their benefits under the Retirement Plan after separation from service in either a lump sum or an annuity.

Table of Contents

Page 34

Prior to the Life Technologies Acquisition, Life Technologies maintained the Applera Corporation Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (the SERP), a U.S. non-tax-qualified pension plan for select executives. The SERP provides a monthly pension payable at age 65 equal to 50% of final five-year average monthly pay, prorated for less than 15 years of service. Benefit accruals under the SERP were frozen as of January 1, 2010.

The table below shows the present value of accumulated benefits payable to each of the named executive officers under the Retirement Plan and/or the SERP. As the Retirement Plan was a pension plan maintained by Fisher prior to the Fisher Merger, and was frozen prior to the merger, only Mr. Malus (a former employee of Fisher) participates in the Retirement Plan. As the SERP was a plan maintained by Life Technologies prior to the Life Technologies Acquisition, and was frozen prior to the acquisition, only Mr. Stevenson (a former employee of Life Technologies) participates in the SERP.

Name	Plan Name	Number of Years	Present Value of	Payments During
		Credited Service	Accumulated Benefit	Last Fiscal Year
		(#)	(\$)	(\$)
Marc N. Casper				
Peter M. Wilver				
Alan J. Malus	Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc. Retirement Plan	16	\$75,000(1)	
Mark P. Stevenson	Applera Corporation Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan	5	\$2,474,920(2)	
Thomas W. Loewald				

Thomas W. Loewald

(1) Represents the actuarial present value of accumulated benefit as of December 31, 2014 under the Retirement Plan, based on assumptions of a 4.00% discount rate, a cash balance interest crediting rate of 4.00%, and a retirement age of 65.

(2) Represents the actuarial present value of accumulated benefit as of December 31, 2014 under the SERP, based on assumptions of a 4.00% discount rate and a retirement age of 65.

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation For 2014

Name	Executive	Registrant	Aggregate	Aggregate	
	Contributions	Contributions	Earnings in	Withdrawals/	Aggregate Balance at
	in Last FY (\$)(1)	in Last FY (\$)(2)	Last FY (\$)	Distributions (\$)	Last FYE (\$)
Marc N. Casper	\$ 291,718	\$291,718	\$ 193,072	\$118,502	\$2,758,585(3)
Peter M. Wilver	\$ 91,978	\$ 91,978	\$ 53,693		\$1,048,952(4)
Alan J. Malus	\$ 210,000	\$ 87,180	\$ 195,645		\$2,343,807(5)
Mark P. Stevenson	\$ 581,539	\$ 26,862	\$ 16,358		\$ 624,758(6)
Thomas W. Loewald	\$ 18,092	\$ 18,092	\$ 14,977		\$ 276,105(7)

(1) Represents deferral of a portion of 2014 salary and/or bonus earned for 2013 performance (but paid in 2014).

Edgar Filing: THERMO FISHER SCIENTIFIC INC. - Form DEF 14A

- (2) Represents a matching Company contribution in the deferred compensation plan with respect to 2014 salary and/or bonus earned for 2013 (but paid in 2014).
- (3) Of this amount, \$46,754, \$51,254 and \$59,158 were withheld from Mr. Casper's 2012, 2013 and 2014 salary, respectively, for deferral, and \$113,568 and \$232,560 were withheld from his bonus earned for 2012 and

Table of Contents

Page 35

2013 performance, respectively, for deferral, which amounts are also included in the Salary column for 2012, 2013 and 2014, respectively, and the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column for 2012 and 2013, respectively, for Mr. Casper in the Summary Compensation Table on page 26.

(4) Of this amount, \$22,917, \$23,829 and \$24,658 were withheld from Mr. Wilver's 2012, 2013 and 2014 salary, respectively, for deferral and \$42,000 and \$67,320 were withheld from his bonus earned for 2012 and 2013 performance, respectively, for deferral, which amounts are also included in the Salary column for 2012, 2013 and 2014, respectively, and the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column for 2012 and 2013, respectively, for Mr. Wilver in the Summary Compensation Table on page 26.

(5) Of this amount, \$50,000, \$100,000 and \$150,000 were withheld from Mr. Malus' 2012, 2013 and 2014 salary, respectively, for deferral, and \$48,806 and \$60,000 were withheld from Mr. Malus' bonus earned for 2012 and 2013 performance, respectively, for deferral, which amounts are also included in the Salary column for 2012, 2013 and 2014, respectively, and the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column for 2012 and 2013, respectively, for Mr. Malus in the Summary Compensation Table on page 26.

(6) Of this amount, \$581,539 was withheld from Mr. Stevenson's 2014 salary for deferral, which amount is also included in the Salary column for 2014 for Mr. Stevenson in the Summary Compensation Table on page 26.

(7) Of this amount, \$5,670, \$14,815 and \$18,092 were withheld from Mr. Loewald's 2012, 2013 and 2014 salary, respectively, for deferral, and \$25,313 was withheld from Mr. Loewald's bonus earned for 2012 performance for deferral, which amounts are also included in the Salary column for 2012, 2013 and 2014, respectively, and the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column for 2012, respectively, for Mr. Loewald in the Summary Compensation Table on page 26.

The Company maintains a deferred compensation plan for its executive officers and certain other highly compensated employees. Under the plan in effect for amounts deferred on or after January 1, 2005 through December 31, 2008 (the 2005 Deferred Compensation Plan), a participant had the right to defer receipt of his or her annual base salary (up to 90%) and/or annual incentive bonus (up to 100%) until he or she ceased to serve as an employee of the Company or until a future date while the participant continued to be an employee of the Company. The Company credited (or debited) a participant's account with the amount that would have been earned (or lost) had the deferred amounts been invested in certain funds selected by the participant. The participant did not have any actual ownership in these funds. Any gains (or losses) on amounts deferred are not taxable until deferred amounts are paid to the participant. All amounts in the participant's deferred account represent unsecured obligations of the Company. The 2005 Deferred Compensation Plan is intended to comply with Section 409A of the Code as enacted under The American Jobs Creation Act of 2004. The 2005 Deferred Compensation Plan remains in existence and applies to amounts deferred between January 1, 2005 and December 31, 2008. The Deferred Compensation Plan that the Company adopted in 2001 (the Original Deferred Compensation Plan) remains in existence and applies to amounts deferred on or before December 31, 2004. The Company has frozen the terms of the Original Deferred Compensation Plan in existence as of December 31, 2004 for account balances resulting from amounts deferred through such date.

The Original Deferred Compensation Plan provides for the payout of either all or a portion of the participant's account beginning (1) at a specified date in the future if the participant so elects (in the case of a short-term payout), (2) in the case of the participant's death or disability, or (3) upon the participant's retirement or termination from employment with the Company. In the case of the participant's death or disability, or upon the participant's termination, payment is made in a lump sum distribution. Upon retirement, the participant may elect to receive his or her distribution in a lump sum or in annual installment payments over the course of five, ten or fifteen years. Additionally, with respect to account balances existing at December 31, 2004, the executive may receive a full or partial payout from the plan for an unforeseeable financial emergency (as defined in the plan), or may withdraw all of his or her account at any time less a withdrawal penalty equal to 10% of such amount (haircut provision). The distribution provisions of the 2005 Deferred Compensation Plan are substantially similar to the provisions of the Original Deferred Compensation Plan except that the 2005 Deferred Compensation Plan does not permit haircut distributions and the time and form of payment after retirement must be elected at the time the participant makes his or her initial deferral election.

Table of Contents

Page 36

In September 2008, the Compensation Committee approved the Amended and Restated 2005 Deferred Compensation Plan, effective January 1, 2009 (the "Amended and Restated Deferred Compensation Plan"). Pursuant to the Amended and Restated Deferred Compensation Plan, an eligible employee can generally defer receipt of his or her annual base salary (up to 50%) and/or bonus (up to 50%) until he or she ceases to serve as an employee of the Company or until a future date while the participant continues to be an employee of the Company. The Amended and Restated Deferred Compensation Plan is substantially similar to the original 2005 Deferred Compensation Plan, except the Amended and Restated Deferred Compensation Plan includes a Company match of 100% of the first 6% of pay that is deferred into the Plan over the IRS annual compensation limit for 401(k) purposes.

During the year ended December 31, 2014, participants in the Original Deferred Compensation Plan and the Amended and Restated Deferred Compensation Plan were given the opportunity to invest amounts deferred under the plans in an array of mutual funds and vehicles, administered by The Newport Group, which are similar to the investment options available in the Company's 401(k) Plan. Additionally, participants may invest in a fixed interest account, which provides interest at a rate that is reset annually, at 120% of the applicable federal long-term rate compounded annually. We do not provide any above-market earnings or preferential earnings as defined in applicable SEC rules and regulations. The Original Deferred Compensation Plan and the Amended and Restated Deferred Compensation Plan allow the executive to reallocate his or her balance and future deferrals among the investment choices up to four times in any plan year. The table below shows the funds available to participants and their annual rate of return for the year ended December 31, 2014.

Name of Fund	Rate of Return (assuming reinvestment of dividends)
T. Rowe Price Retirement Income Fund	3.95%
T. Rowe Price Retirement 2005 Fund	4.64%
T. Rowe Price Retirement 2010 Fund	5.16%
T. Rowe Price Retirement 2015 Fund	5.58%
T. Rowe Price Retirement 2020 Fund	5.92%
T. Rowe Price Retirement 2025 Fund	6.18%
T. Rowe Price Retirement 2030 Fund	6.39%
T. Rowe Price Retirement 2035 Fund	6.41%
T. Rowe Price Retirement 2040 Fund	6.62%
T. Rowe Price Retirement 2045 Fund	6.55%
T. Rowe Price Retirement 2050 Fund	6.62%
T. Rowe Price Retirement 2055 Fund	6.63%
Pimco Total Return Institutional	4.69%
Dodge & Cox Stock Fund	10.40%
SSgA S&P 500 Index C	13.62%
T. Rowe Price Growth Stock Trust	9.17%
Vanguard Mid Cap Index Institutional	13.78%
Jennison Institutional US Small Cap Equity	9.16%
Dodge & Cox International Stock	0.08%
Fixed Rate Fund	4.19%

Table of Contents

Page 37

Agreements with Named Executive Officers; Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control

Employment, Retention and Severance Agreements

Executive Change in Control Retention Agreements

Thermo Fisher has entered into executive change in control retention agreements with certain of its named executive officers and other key employees that provide cash and other severance benefits if there is a change in control of the Company and their employment is terminated by the Company without cause or by the individual for good reason, as those terms are defined therein, in each case within 18 months thereafter. For purposes of these agreements, a change in control exists upon (i) the acquisition by any person of 50% or more of the outstanding Common Stock or voting securities of Thermo Fisher; (ii) the failure of the Board to include a majority of directors who are continuing directors, which term is defined to include directors who were members of the Board on the date of the agreement or who subsequent to the date of the agreement were nominated or elected by a majority of directors who were continuing directors at the time of such nomination or election; (iii) the consummation of a merger, consolidation, reorganization, recapitalization or statutory share exchange involving Thermo Fisher or the sale or other disposition of all or substantially all of the assets of Thermo Fisher unless immediately after such transaction: (a) all holders of Common Stock immediately prior to such transaction own more than 50% of the outstanding voting securities of the resulting or acquiring corporation in substantially the same proportions as their ownership immediately prior to such transaction and (b) no person after the transaction owns 50% or more of the outstanding voting securities of the resulting or acquiring corporation; or (iv) approval by stockholders of a complete liquidation or dissolution of Thermo Fisher.

The executive change in control retention agreements with Messrs. Wilver, Malus and Loewald provide that, upon a qualifying termination, the executive would be entitled to (A) a lump sum payment equal to (1) two multiplied by (2) the sum of (x) the higher of the executive's annual base salary as in effect immediately prior to the measurement date or the termination date, as those terms are defined therein, and (y) the higher of the executive's target bonus as in effect immediately prior to the measurement date or the termination date, and (B) a pro rata bonus for the year of termination, based on the higher of the executive's target bonus as in effect immediately prior to the measurement date or the termination date. In addition, the executive would be provided continuing medical, dental and life insurance benefits for a period of two years, after such termination. The Company would also provide outplacement services through an outside firm to the executive up to an aggregate of \$20,000.

Mr. Casper's executive change in control agreement provides that, upon a qualifying termination, he would be entitled to (A) a lump sum payment equal to (1) two multiplied by (2) the sum of (x) the higher of Mr. Casper's annual base salary as in effect immediately prior to the change in control date or the date of termination, as those terms are defined therein, and (y) the higher of Mr. Casper's target bonus as in effect immediately prior to the change in control date or the date of termination, and (B) a pro rata bonus for the year of termination, based on the higher of Mr. Casper's target bonus as in effect immediately prior to the change in control date or the date of termination. In addition, Mr. Casper would be provided continuing medical, dental and life insurance benefits for a period of two years, after such termination. The Company would also provide outplacement services through an outside firm to Mr. Casper up to an aggregate of \$20,000.

As an executive officer at Life Technologies, Mr. Stevenson was party to a change in control agreement with Life Technologies (the Life change in control agreement), that was triggered in the Life Technologies Acquisition and continues to apply for two years after the acquisition (the change in control period). Pursuant to the Life change in control agreement, if Mr. Stevenson is involuntarily terminated (not for cause) or he voluntarily terminates for good reason (as those terms are defined in the Life change in control agreement) prior to February 3, 2016, he would be entitled to (A) a cash lump-sum payment in an amount equal to (1) two times his existing base salary plus (2) two times the higher of the average bonus paid in the past three years or his target bonus; (B) group health insurance continuation coverage for the remainder of the Life change in control agreement (which ceases should he accept employment that allows him to participate in group health insurance coverage before the change in control period ends); (C) up to \$25,000 for outplacement assistance; (D) acceleration of vesting of all outstanding equity-based incentive compensation awards that he received while employed by Life Technologies; and (E) a tax gross-up if an Internal Revenue Code Section 280G excise tax

Table of Contents

Page 38

penalty is imposed for excess parachute payments. Amounts paid to Mr. Stevenson under the Life change in control agreement would be reduced by the \$3.1 million retention bonus (the Retention Bonus) received by Mr. Stevenson from the Company in February 2014.

In the event of a change in control of the Company prior to February 3, 2016, if Mr. Stevenson is terminated without cause or voluntarily terminates for good reason within the specified timeframes, he would be entitled to the greater of (1) benefits under the Life change in control agreement, reduced by the Retention Bonus; or (2) benefits under the Thermo Fisher executive change in control agreement, as described above for Messrs. Wilver, Malus and Loewald. In the event Mr. Stevenson is terminated without cause in the absence of a change in control of the Company prior to February 3, 2016, he would be entitled to the greater of (1) benefits under the Life change in control agreement, reduced by the Retention Bonus; or (2) benefits under Thermo Fisher's Executive Severance Policy (described below), reduced by the Retention Bonus.

None of the change in control agreements between Thermo Fisher and the named executive officers provide for a tax gross-up. After the expiration of the change in control period on February 3, 2016, Mr. Stevenson would be entitled to the same change in control benefits as Messrs. Wilver, Malus and Loewald, which do not include a tax gross-up.

Executive Severance Policy

The Company maintains an executive severance policy for executive officers and certain other key employees that provides that, in the event an executive officer's employment is terminated by the Company without cause (as such term is defined therein), he would be entitled to a lump sum severance payment equal to the sum of (A) 1.5 times his annual base salary then in effect for an executive officer (and 1.0 times his annual base salary then in effect for other executives), and (B) 1.5 times his target bonus for the year in which the date of termination occurs, for an executive officer (and 1.0 times his target bonus for other executives), except that if the executive receives benefits under the executive change in control retention agreement described above, he would not be entitled to also receive benefits under the executive severance policy. In addition, the executive would be entitled to a pro rata bonus for that year, based on his target bonus (which would not be paid until March of the following year, when the other executive officer bonuses would be paid, and only if the performance goals established under the annual incentive award plan applicable to the other executive officers were met), and for 18 months after the date of termination, he would be provided medical, dental and life insurance benefits at least equal to those he would have received had his employment not been terminated, or if more favorable, to those in effect generally during such period with respect to peer executives of the Company. Finally, the executive would be entitled to up to \$20,000 of outplacement services until the earlier of 12 months following his termination or the date he secures full-time employment. Messrs. Wilver, Malus and Loewald are eligible to receive benefits under the Company's executive severance policy. In order to receive the benefits described above, the executive must have entered into a noncompetition agreement with the Company.

Executive Severance Agreement for Marc Casper

Mr. Casper's executive severance agreement provides that, in the event his employment is terminated by the Company without cause or by him for good reason (as such terms are defined therein), he would be entitled to a lump sum severance payment equal to the sum of (A) two (2) times his annual base salary then in effect, and (B) two (2) times his target bonus for the year in which the date of termination occurs, except that if Mr. Casper receives benefits under his executive change in control retention agreement described above, he would not be entitled to also receive benefits under his executive severance agreement. In addition, Mr. Casper would be entitled to a pro rata bonus for that year (which would not be paid until March of the following year, when the other executive officer bonuses would be paid, and only if the performance goals established under the annual incentive award plan applicable to the other executive officers were met), and for two years after the date of termination, he would be provided medical, dental and life insurance benefits at least equal to those he would have received had his employment not been terminated, or if more favorable, to those in effect generally during such period with respect to peer executives of the Company. Finally, Mr. Casper would be entitled to up to \$20,000 of outplacement services until the earlier of 12 months following his termination or the date he secures full-time employment.

Table of Contents

Page 39

Treatment of Equity

Upon death, disability, or a qualifying retirement of Messrs. Wilver, Malus, Stevenson and Loewald, certain outstanding stock options and certain restricted stock unit awards will vest. In the event that any of these individuals is terminated by the Company without cause or by the individual for good reason, as those terms are defined in the executive change in control agreements (in the case of Messrs. Wilver, Malus or Loewald) or the Life change in control agreement (in the case of Mr. Stevenson), within the relevant period of time (per the respective agreement) following a qualifying change in control, each outstanding stock option and time-based restricted stock unit award granted to an executive officer will vest.

In the case of Mr. Casper, in the event he is (i) terminated without cause or he leaves voluntarily for good reason, as those terms are defined in his severance agreement, or (ii) terminated without cause or he leaves voluntarily for good reason within 18 months of a qualifying change in control, as those terms are defined in his executive change in control agreement, certain portions of his unvested equity grants will vest.

Noncompetition Agreements

The Company has entered into noncompetition agreements with certain of its named executive officers and key employees. The terms of the noncompetition agreement provide that during the term of the employee's employment with the Company, and for a period of eighteen (18) months in the case of Messrs. Wilver, Malus and Loewald, and twenty-four (24) months in the case of Mr. Casper, thereafter, the employee will not compete with the Company. The agreement also contains provisions that restrict the employee's ability during the term of the employee's employment with the Company and for a period of eighteen (18) months after termination (or twenty-four (24) months in the case of Mr. Casper), to solicit or hire employees of the Company or to solicit customers of the Company. Severance benefits payable to Mr. Stevenson under the Life change in control agreement are conditioned upon his entering into the standard Life Technologies noncompetition agreement, which has a duration of twelve (12) months. Prior to the expiration of the Life change in control agreement on February 3, 2016, the Company and Mr. Stevenson intend to enter into a mutually agreeable noncompetition agreement so that he can participate in the Thermo Fisher Executive Severance Policy described above.

Tables

The tables below reflect the amount of compensation payable to each of the named executive officers of the Company in the event of termination of such executive's employment or a change in control of the Company. The amount of compensation payable to each named executive officer upon voluntary resignation, involuntary termination for cause, involuntary termination without cause, or voluntarily for good reason (in the case of Mr. Casper only), involuntary termination without cause or voluntarily for good reason following a change in control, upon a change in control without termination, and in the event of disability or death of the executive is shown below. The amounts shown assume that such termination was effective as of December 31, 2014, and thus include amounts earned through such time and are estimates of the amounts which would be paid out to the executives upon such event. The actual amounts to be paid out can only be determined at the time of such event. In all termination scenarios, the named executive officer retains vested amounts in the Company's deferred compensation plan and pension plan. These amounts are described under Pension Benefits, and in the Aggregate Balance at Last FYE column of the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation table on pages 33 and 34, respectively.

Table of Contents

Page 40

Marc N. Casper

The following table shows the potential payments upon termination or a change in control of the Company for Marc Casper, the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer.

	Voluntary Resignation Without Good Reason 12/31/14	Involuntary For Cause 12/31/14	Involuntary Without Cause or by Executive for Good Reason 12/31/14	Involuntary Without Cause or by Executive for Good Reason (with CIC) 12/31/14	CIC Without Termination 12/31/14	Disability 12/31/14	Death 12/31/14
INCREMENTAL BENEFITS DUE TO TERMINATION EVENT OR CHANGE IN CONTROL							
Cash Severance							
Base Salary	\$0	\$0	\$2,570,000	\$2,570,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
Bonus	\$0	\$0	\$4,626,000	\$4,626,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
Pro-rata Bonus	\$2,313,000(1)	\$0	\$3,876,000(2)	\$2,313,000(3)	\$0	\$3,876,000(2)	\$3,876,000(2)
Total Cash Severance	\$2,313,000	\$0	\$11,072,000	\$9,509,000	\$0	\$3,876,000	\$3,876,000
Benefits & Perquisites							
Health and Welfare Benefits(4)	\$0	\$0	\$42,539	\$42,539	\$0	\$0	\$0
Outplacement	\$0	\$0	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$0	N/A	N/A
Total Benefits & Perquisites	\$0	\$0	\$62,539	\$62,539	\$0	\$0	\$0
Long-Term Incentives							
Gain on Accelerated Stock Options(5)	\$0	\$0	\$11,038,923	\$15,181,206	\$0	\$15,181,206	\$15,181,206
Value of Accelerated Time Based Restricted Stock Units(5)	\$0	\$0	\$17,845,055	\$28,392,343	\$0	\$14,196,171	\$14,196,171
Value of Accelerated Performance Restricted Stock Units(5)	\$0	\$0	\$12,529,000	\$12,529,000	\$0	\$6,264,500	\$6,264,500
Total Value of Accelerated Equity Grants	\$0	\$0	\$41,412,978	\$56,102,549	\$0	\$35,641,877	\$35,641,877
Total Value: Incremental Benefits	\$2,313,000	\$0	\$52,547,517	\$65,674,088	\$0	\$39,517,877	\$39,517,877

(1) Represents an assumed target bonus award for 2014.

(2) Represents bonus paid in 2014 for 2013 performance.

(3) Represents target bonus for 2014.

(4) Includes for the two-year period (a) premiums of \$17,709 with respect to medical and dental insurance, and (b) premiums of \$24,830 paid by the Company for life insurance.

(5) Based on the closing price of the Company's Common Stock on the New York Stock Exchange on December 31, 2014 of \$125.29.

Table of Contents

Page 41

Peter M. Wilver

The following table shows the potential payments upon termination or a change in control of the Company for Peter Wilver, the Company's Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer.

	Voluntary			Involuntary			
	Resignation			Without			
	Without	Involuntary	Involuntary	Cause or by	CIC		
	Good	For	Without	Executive	Without		
	Reason	Cause	Cause	for Good	Termination	Disability	Death
	Reason	Cause	Cause	(with CIC)	Termination	Disability	Death
	12/31/14	12/31/14	12/31/14	12/31/14	12/31/14	12/31/14	12/31/14
INCREMENTAL BENEFITS DUE TO TERMINATION EVENT OR CHANGE IN CONTROL							
Cash Severance							
Base Salary	\$0	\$0	\$1,012,500	\$1,350,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
Bonus	\$0	\$0	\$911,250	\$1,215,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
Pro-rata Bonus	\$607,500(1)	\$0	\$607,500(2)	\$607,500(2)	\$0	\$607,500(1)	\$607,500(1)
Total Cash Severance	\$607,500	\$0	\$2,531,250	\$3,172,500	\$0	\$607,500	\$607,500
Benefits & Perquisites							
Health and Welfare Benefits	\$0	\$0	\$21,911(3)	\$29,452(4)	\$0	\$0	\$0
Outplacement	\$0	\$0	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$0	N/A	N/A
Total Benefits & Perquisites	\$0	\$0	\$41,911	\$49,452	\$0	\$0	\$0
Long-Term Incentives							
Gain on Accelerated Stock Options(5)	\$1,541,951	\$0	\$0	\$2,919,919	\$0	\$2,919,919	\$2,919,919
Value of Accelerated Time Based Restricted Stock Units(5)	\$1,843,893	\$0	\$0	\$5,486,700	\$0	\$5,486,700	\$5,486,700
Value of Accelerated Performance Restricted Stock Units	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Value of Accelerated Equity Grants	\$3,385,844	\$0	\$0	\$8,406,619	\$0	\$8,406,619	\$8,406,619
Total Value: Incremental Benefits	\$3,993,344	\$0	\$2,573,161	\$11,628,571	\$0	\$9,014,119	\$9,014,119

(1) Represents an assumed target bonus award for 2014.

(2) Represents target bonus for 2014.

(3) Includes for the 1.5 year period (a) premiums of \$21,871 with respect to medical and dental insurance, and (b) premiums of \$41 paid by the Company for life insurance.

(4) Includes for the two-year period (a) premiums of \$29,398 with respect to medical and dental insurance, and (b) premiums of \$54 paid by the Company for life insurance.

(5) Based on the closing price of the Company's Common Stock on the New York Stock Exchange on December 31, 2014 of \$125.29.

Table of Contents

Page 42

Alan J. Malus

The following table shows the potential payments upon termination or a change in control of the Company for Alan Malus, the Company's Executive Vice President.

	Voluntary						
	Resignation			Involuntary			
	Without	Involuntary For Cause	Involuntary	Without Cause or by Executive for Good Reason	CIC Without		
	Good		Without	(with CIC)	Termination	Disability	Death
	Reason		Cause				
	12/31/14		12/31/14				
INCREMENTAL BENEFITS DUE TO TERMINATION EVENT OR CHANGE IN CONTROL							
Cash Severance							
Base Salary	\$0	\$0	\$1,075,500	\$1,434,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
Bonus	\$0	\$0	\$1,075,500	\$1,434,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
Pro-rata Bonus	\$717,000(1)	\$0	\$717,000(2)	\$717,000(2)	\$0	\$717,000(1)	\$717,000(1)
<i>Total Cash Severance</i>	<i>\$717,000</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>\$2,868,000</i>	<i>\$3,585,000</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>\$717,000</i>	<i>\$717,000</i>
Benefits & Perquisites							
Health and Welfare Benefits	\$0	\$0	\$19,403(3)	\$26,063(4)	\$0	\$0	\$0
Outplacement	\$0	\$0	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$0	N/A	N/A
<i>Total Benefits & Perquisites</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>\$39,403</i>	<i>\$46,063</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>\$0</i>
Long-Term Incentives							
Gain on Accelerated Stock Options(5)	\$1,962,138	\$0	\$0	\$3,797,824	\$0	\$3,797,824	\$3,797,824
Value of Accelerated Time Based Restricted Stock Units(5)	\$2,512,065	\$0	\$0	\$7,377,200	\$0	\$7,377,200	\$7,377,200
Value of Accelerated Performance Restricted Stock Units	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<i>Total Value of Accelerated Equity Grants</i>	<i>\$4,474,203</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>\$11,175,024</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>\$11,175,024</i>	<i>\$11,175,024</i>
Total Value: Incremental Benefits	\$5,191,203	\$0	\$2,907,403	\$14,806,087	\$0	\$11,892,024	\$11,892,024

(1) Represents an assumed target bonus award for 2014.

(2) Represents target bonus for 2014.

(3) Includes for the 1.5 year period (a) premiums of \$17,783 with respect to medical and dental insurance, and (b) premiums of \$1,620 paid by the Company for life insurance.

(4) Includes for the two-year period (a) premiums of \$23,903 with respect to medical and dental insurance, and (b) premiums of \$2,160 paid by the Company for life insurance.

(5) Based on the closing price of the Company's Common Stock on the New York Stock Exchange on December 31, 2014 of \$125.29.

Table of Contents

Page 43

Mark P. Stevenson

The following table shows the potential payments upon termination or a change in control of the Company for Mark Stevenson, the Company's Executive Vice President.

	Voluntary Resignation Without Good Reason 12/31/14	Involuntary For Cause 12/31/14	Involuntary Without Cause or by Executive for Good Reason 12/31/14	Involuntary Without Cause or by Executive for Good Reason (with CIC) 12/31/14	CIC Without Termination 12/31/14	Disability 12/31/14	Death 12/31/14
INCREMENTAL BENEFITS DUE TO TERMINATION EVENT OR CHANGE IN CONTROL							
Cash Severance							
Base Salary	\$0	\$0	\$1,600,000	\$1,600,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
Bonus	\$0	\$0	\$2,023,373	\$1,680,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
Pro-rata Bonus	\$840,000(1)	\$0	\$840,000(2)	\$840,000(2)	\$0	\$840,000(1)	\$840,000(1)
Total Cash Severance	\$840,000	\$0	\$4,463,373	\$4,120,000	\$0	\$840,000	\$840,000
Benefits & Perquisites							
Health and Welfare Benefits	\$0	\$0	\$42,643(3)	\$35,019(4)	\$0	\$0	\$0
Outplacement	\$0	\$0	\$25,000	\$20,000	\$0	N/A	N/A
Total Benefits & Perquisites	\$0	\$0	\$67,643	\$55,019	\$0	\$0	\$0
280G Tax Gross-Up	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Long-Term Incentives							
Gain on Accelerated Stock Options(5)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$101,000	\$0	\$101,000	\$101,000
Value of Accelerated Time Based Restricted Stock Units(5)	\$0	\$0	\$4,748,303	\$7,064,664	\$0	\$7,064,664	\$7,064,664
Value of Accelerated Performance Restricted Stock Units	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Value of Accelerated Equity Grants	\$0	\$0	\$4,748,303	\$7,165,664	\$0	\$7,165,664	\$7,165,664
Retention Bonus Reduction			(\$3,100,000)				
Total Value: Incremental Benefits	\$840,000	\$0	\$6,179,319	\$11,340,683	\$0	\$8,005,664	\$8,005,664

(1) Represents an assumed target bonus award for 2014.

(2) Represents target bonus for 2014.

(3) Represents 13 months of COBRA medical and dental premiums grossed up by 229.56% to cover income taxes.

(4) Represents two years of premiums for medical and dental insurance.

(5) Based on the closing price of the Company's Common Stock on the New York Stock Exchange on December 31, 2014 of \$125.29.

Table of Contents

Page 44

Thomas W. Loewald

The following table shows the potential payments upon termination or a change in control of the Company for Thomas Loewald, the Company's Senior Vice President.

	Voluntary Resignation Without Good Reason 12/31/14	Involuntary For Cause 12/31/14	Involuntary Without Cause 12/31/14	Involuntary Without Cause or by Executive for Good Reason (with CIC) 12/31/14	CIC Without Termination 12/31/14	Disability 12/31/14	Death 12/31/14
INCREMENTAL BENEFITS DUE TO TERMINATION EVENT OR CHANGE IN CONTROL							
Cash Severance							
Base Salary	\$0	\$0	\$862,500	\$1,150,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
Bonus	\$0	\$0	\$733,125	\$977,500	\$0	\$0	\$0
Pro-rata Bonus	\$488,750(1)	\$0	\$488,750(2)	\$488,750(2)	\$0	\$488,750(1)	\$488,750(1)
Total Cash Severance	\$488,750	\$0	\$2,084,375	\$2,616,250	\$0	\$488,750	\$488,750
Benefits & Perquisites							
Health and Welfare Benefits	\$0	\$0	\$23,895(3)	\$32,105(4)	\$0	\$0	\$0
Outplacement	\$0	\$0	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$0	N/A	N/A
Total Benefits & Perquisites	\$0	\$0	\$43,895	\$52,105	\$0	\$0	\$0
Long-Term Incentives							
Gain on Accelerated Stock Options(5)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,993,650	\$0	\$1,993,650	\$1,993,650
Value of Accelerated Time Based Restricted Stock Units(5)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,706,143	\$0	\$4,706,143	\$4,706,143
Value of Accelerated Performance Restricted Stock Units	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Value of Accelerated Equity Grants	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$6,699,793	\$0	\$6,699,793	\$6,699,793
Total Value: Incremental Benefits	\$488,750	\$0	\$2,128,270	\$9,368,148	\$0	\$7,188,543	\$7,188,543

(1) Represents an assumed target bonus award for 2014.

(2) Represents target bonus for 2014.

(3) Includes for the 1.5 year period (a) premiums of \$22,566 with respect to medical and dental insurance, and (b) premiums of \$1,329 paid by the Company for life insurance.

(4) Includes for the two-year period (a) premiums of \$30,333 with respect to medical and dental insurance, and (b) premiums of \$1,772 paid by the Company for life insurance.

(5) Based on the closing price of the Company's Common Stock on the New York Stock Exchange on December 31, 2014 of \$125.29.

Table of Contents

Page 45

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

The Compensation Committee periodically reviews director compensation and makes recommendations to the Board for changes when deemed appropriate. The Board then acts on any such recommendation by the Compensation Committee.

Cash Compensation

Each non-management director (except Mr. Manzi) receives an annual retainer of \$100,000. Mr. Casper, as an employee of the Company, receives no additional compensation from the Company for service as a director or committee member. The chairpersons of each of the Audit, Compensation, and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committees, as well as the chairpersons of the Strategy and Finance Committee of the Board (the Strategy Committee), which Committee consists of Ms. Lewent (Chair), Messrs. Casper and Parrett and Dr. Jacks, and the Science and Technology Committee of the Board, which Committee consists of Dr. Jacks (Chair) and Mr. Casper, receive additional compensation for their services in those positions. The chairman of the Audit Committee receives an additional annual retainer of \$25,000, and the chairpersons of the Compensation, Nominating and Corporate Governance, Strategy and Finance, and Science and Technology Committees receive an additional annual retainer of \$15,000.

Mr. Manzi serves as Chairman of the Board. As Chairman of the Board, Mr. Manzi receives an annual retainer of \$270,000. Payment of the annual retainers during 2014 was made quarterly. Directors are reimbursed for out-of-pocket expenses incurred in attending Board and committee meetings.

Deferred Compensation Plan for Directors

The Company maintains a deferred compensation plan for its non-management directors (the Directors Deferred Compensation Plan). Under the Directors Deferred Compensation Plan, a participant may elect to defer receipt of his or her annual retainer. Amounts deferred under the Directors Deferred Compensation Plan are valued at the end of each quarter as units of Common Stock and, when payable under the plan, may only be paid in shares of Common Stock. Additional credits are made to a participant's account for cash and stock dividends that he or she would have received had the participant been the owner of such Common Stock on the record dates for payment of such dividends. The Common Stock and cash credited to a participant's account are paid to the participant within 60 days after the end of the fiscal year in which the participant ceases to serve as a director unless the participant makes a timely election to defer the distribution in accordance with the requirements of Section 409A of the Code. The participant does not have any actual ownership of the Common Stock until the Common Stock is distributed to the participant. As of December 31, 2014, a total of 283,789 shares of Common Stock were available for issuance under the Directors Deferred Compensation Plan, of which deferred units equal to 20,499 shares of Common Stock were accumulated.

Fisher Retirement Plan for Non-Employee Directors

Fisher maintained a Retirement Plan for non-employee directors, pursuant to which a director who retires from the board of directors with at least five years of service is eligible to receive an annual retirement benefit for the remainder of the director's lifetime and his or her spouse's lifetime. The annual retirement benefit for a director who retires with five years of service is equal to 50% of the director's fee in effect at the date of the director's retirement from the Fisher board. For directors with more than five years of service, the annual benefit is increased by 10% of the director's fee in effect at the date of the director's retirement for each additional year of service, up to 100% of such fee for 10 or more years of service as a director. The Fisher Merger resulted in a termination of service from the Fisher board for Mr. Sperling, which started the payout of benefits under the Retirement Plan. Mr. Sperling's annual benefit is equal to 80% of his then director's fee. Mr. Sperling receives \$48,000 per year under this plan.

Stock-Based Compensation

Annual equity grants to non-management directors are made upon the recommendation of the Compensation Committee. In May 2014 each non-management director on the Board at that time received a grant of 1,311 time-based restricted stock units of the Company, which vest on the earlier of the anniversary of the grant date or the Company's next annual meeting of stockholders.

Table of Contents

Page 46

Matching Charitable Donation Program

The Company has a matching charitable donation program for independent directors, pursuant to which the Company matches donations made by a director to a charity selected by the director, up to \$10,000 per director per year.

Summary Director Compensation Table

The following table sets forth a summary of the compensation of the Company's non-employee directors for 2014:

Name	Change in Pension Value and Fees Nonqualified					
	Earned or		Deferred		All Other	
	Paid in	Stock	Option	Compensation	Compensation	
	Cash(\$)	Awards\$(1)	Awards(\$)	Earnings	\$(2)	Total (\$)
Nelson J. Chai	\$100,000	\$150,070			\$10,850(3)	\$260,920
C. Martin Harris	\$100,000	\$150,070			\$850	\$250,920
Tyler Jacks	\$115,000	\$150,070			\$10,850(3)	\$275,920
Judy C. Lewent	\$115,000	\$150,070			\$11,294(4)	\$276,364
Thomas J. Lynch	\$115,000	\$150,070			\$10,850(3)	\$275,920
Jim P. Manzi	\$270,000	\$150,070			\$10,850(3)	\$430,920
William G. Parrett	\$125,000	\$150,070			\$10,850(3)	\$285,920
Lars R. Sørensen	\$100,000	\$150,070			\$850	\$250,920
Scott M. Sperling(5)	\$115,000(6)	\$150,070			\$17,744(7)	\$282,814
Elaine S. Ullian	\$100,000	\$150,070			\$15,311(8)	\$265,381

(1) These amounts represent the aggregate grant date fair value of stock awards granted to directors in 2014, calculated in accordance with the Company's financial reporting practices. For information on the valuation assumptions with respect to these awards, refer to note 5 of the Thermo Fisher financial statements in the Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014, as filed with the SEC. These amounts do not represent the actual amounts paid to or realized by the directors for these awards during fiscal year 2014. In May 2014, each non-management director on the Board at that time received a grant of 1,311 restricted stock units, having a grant date fair value of \$150,070, all of which is included in the stock awards column.

The following table shows, for each of our non-employee directors, information concerning stock option awards granted during their respective service periods in fiscal 2014 and the corresponding grant date fair value of those awards, as well as the aggregate number of stock option awards outstanding as of December 31, 2014:

Name	Number of Stock Options Granted in 2014	Grant Date Fair Value of	Aggregate Stock Option
------	--	--------------------------	------------------------

Edgar Filing: THERMO FISHER SCIENTIFIC INC. - Form DEF 14A

	Stock Options Granted	Awards Outstanding as
	in 2014	of 12/31/14
Nelson J. Chai		
C. Martin Harris		
Tyler Jacks		15,000
Judy C. Lewent		
Thomas J. Lynch		15,000
Jim P. Manzi		
William G. Parrett		
Lars R. Sørensen		
Scott M. Sperling		40,000
Elaine S. Ullian		

Table of Contents

Page 47

- (2) These amounts include \$850 of dividends accrued in the form of dividend equivalents on restricted stock units held by each non-employee director.
- (3) Includes matching Company contributions of \$10,000 under the Matching Charitable Donation Program for Directors.
- (4) Includes \$10,000 for matching Company contributions under the Matching Charitable Donation Program for Directors and \$444 of Company dividends accrued in the form of dividend equivalents in 2014 on deferred stock units held in the Directors Deferred Compensation Plan.
- (5) Does not include amounts paid to Mr. Sperling under the Fisher Retirement Plan for Non-Employee Directors because such amounts relate solely to Mr. Sperling's service as a director of Fisher prior to the Fisher Merger.
- (6) Represents compensation deferred and issued as 981 deferred stock units pursuant to the Directors Deferred Compensation Plan.
- (7) Includes \$10,000 for matching Company contributions under the Matching Charitable Donation Program for Directors and \$6,894 of Company dividends accrued in the form of dividend equivalents in 2014 on deferred stock units held in the Directors Deferred Compensation Plan.
- (8) Includes \$10,000 for matching Company contributions under the Matching Charitable Donation Program for Directors and \$4,461 of Company dividends accrued in the form of dividend equivalents in 2014 on deferred stock units held in the Directors Deferred Compensation Plan.

Stock Ownership Policy for Directors

The Compensation Committee has established a stock ownership policy that directors of the Company hold shares of Common Stock equal in value to five times the annual cash retainer for directors. Directors have until February 2018 to achieve this ownership level. For the purpose of this policy, a director's election to receive shares of Common Stock in lieu of director retainers will be counted towards this target, as will time-based restricted stock units. All of our directors are either currently in compliance or intend to be in compliance with this policy within the applicable time limit. Executive officers of the Company are required to comply with a separate stock holding policy established by the Compensation Committee, which is described under the sub-heading "Stock Ownership Policy" under the heading "Compensation Discussion and Analysis."

Table of Contents

Page 48

SECURITY OWNERSHIP**Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management**

The following table sets forth, as of February 10, 2015, the beneficial ownership of Common Stock by (a) each director and nominee for director, (b) each of the Company's executive officers named in the summary compensation table set forth under the heading EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION (the named executive officers), (c) all directors and executive officers as a group, and (d) persons known to the Company to be the beneficial owner of more than five percent of the Company's Common Stock.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner(1)	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percent of Shares Beneficially Owned
BlackRock Inc.	23,271,455(2)	5.9%
Massachusetts Financial Services Company	32,788,645(3)	8.3%
T. Rowe Price Associates, Inc.	23,327,070(4)	5.9%
Vanguard Group Inc.	21,488,356(5)	5.4%
Marc N. Casper	911,359(6)	*
Nelson J. Chai	6,139	*
C. Martin Harris	2,121	*
Tyler Jacks	22,073(7)	*
Judy C. Lewent	12,928(8)	*
Thomas W. Loewald	71,691(9)	*
Thomas J. Lynch	23,648(10)	*
Alan J. Malus	389,214(11)	*
Jim P. Manzi	39,472	*
William G. Parrett	11,188 &	