

CTS CORP
Form 10-Q
July 29, 2014
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Quarterly Period Ended June 29, 2014

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Transition Period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 1-4639

CTS CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Indiana
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

35-0225010
(IRS Employer
Identification Number)

905 West Boulevard North, Elkhart, IN
(Address of principal executive offices)

46514
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: 574-523-3800

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of July 23, 2014:
33,605,189

Table of Contents

CTS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| <u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u> | |
| Item 1. <u>Financial Statements</u> | |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings (Loss) - Unaudited</u> | 3 |
| - For the Three and Six Months Ended June 29, 2014 and June 30, 2013 | |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Earnings (Loss) - Unaudited</u> | 4 |
| - For the Three and Six Months Ended June 29, 2014 and June 30, 2013 | |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets</u> | 5 |
| - As of June 29, 2014 and December 31, 2013 | |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows - Unaudited</u> | 6 |
| - For the Six Months Ended June 29, 2014 and June 30, 2013 | |
| <u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements - Unaudited</u> | 7 |
| Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 20 |
| Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> | 29 |
| Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 29 |
| <u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u> | |
| Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 29 |
| Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u> | 30 |
| Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 30 |
| Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u> | 30 |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | 31 |

Table of Contents**PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements****CTS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EARNINGS (LOSS) - UNAUDITED***(In thousands, except per share amounts)*

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| | June 29, 2014 | June 30, 2013 | June 29, 2014 | June 30, 2013 |
| Net sales | \$ 102,980 | \$ 105,381 | \$ 203,686 | \$ 203,443 |
| Costs and expenses: | | | | |
| Cost of goods sold | 69,157 | 72,981 | 139,248 | 144,257 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 15,813 | 17,157 | 29,454 | 34,833 |
| Research and development expenses | 5,332 | 5,771 | 10,958 | 12,023 |
| Restructuring and impairment charges | 2,733 | 7,029 | 3,236 | 7,206 |
| Operating earnings | 9,945 | 2,443 | 20,790 | 5,124 |
| Other (expense)/income: | | | | |
| Interest expense | (582) | (1,058) | (1,195) | (1,964) |
| Interest income | 688 | 446 | 1,252 | 859 |
| Other | (409) | 398 | (2,180) | (109) |
| Total other expense | (303) | (214) | (2,123) | (1,214) |
| Earnings from continuing operations before taxes | 9,642 | 2,229 | 18,667 | 3,910 |
| Income tax expense | 3,281 | 12,482 | 7,226 | 11,176 |
| Earnings (loss) from continuing operations | 6,361 | (10,253) | 11,441 | (7,266) |
| Discontinued operations | | | | |
| Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax | | (1,082) | | (501) |
| Net earnings (loss) | \$ 6,361 | \$ (11,335) | \$ 11,441 | \$ (7,767) |
| Net earnings (loss) per share | | | | |
| Basic | | | | |
| Continuing operations | 0.19 | (0.31) | 0.34 | (0.22) |
| Discontinued operations | | (0.03) | | (0.01) |
| Basic net earnings per share | \$ 0.19 | \$ (0.34) | \$ 0.34 | \$ (0.23) |

Edgar Filing: CTS CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|------------------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| Diluted | | | | |
| Continuing operations | 0.19 | (0.31) | 0.33 | (0.22) |
| Discontinued operations | | (0.03) | | (0.01) |
| Diluted net earnings per share | \$ 0.19 | \$ (0.34) | \$ 0.33 | \$ (0.23) |
| Cash dividends declared per share | \$ 0.040 | \$ 0.035 | \$ 0.080 | \$ 0.070 |
| Average common shares outstanding: | | | | |
| Basic | 33,741 | 33,589 | 33,725 | 33,556 |
| Diluted | 34,208 | 33,589 | 34,244 | 33,556 |

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**CTS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE EARNINGS (LOSS) -
UNAUDITED***(In thousands of dollars)*

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| | June 29, 2014 | June 30, 2013 | June 29, 2014 | June 30, 2013 |
| Net earnings/(loss) | \$ 6,361 | \$ (11,335) | \$ 11,441 | \$ (7,767) |
| Other comprehensive earnings/(loss): | | | | |
| Cumulative translation adjustment, 2014 net of tax benefit of \$228 and \$299; 2013 net of tax benefit of \$71 and net of tax \$463 | 617 | 231 | 821 | (1,510) |
| Defined benefit and post-retirement benefit plans: | | | | |
| Amortization of prior service cost included in net periodic pension costs, 2014 net of tax of \$0 and \$0; 2013 net of tax of \$59 and \$118 | | 91 | | 182 |
| Amortization of loss included in net periodic pension costs, 2014 net of tax of \$507 and \$1,043; 2013 net of tax of \$774 and \$1,548 | 889 | 1,245 | 1,786 | 2,490 |
| Settlements included in net earnings, 2014 net of tax of \$66 and \$66; 2013 net of tax of \$0 and \$0 | 106 | | 106 | |
| Foreign exchange impact, 2014 net of tax benefit of \$37 and \$37; 2013 net of tax benefit of \$2 and net of tax of \$72 | (108) | (5) | (91) | 202 |
| Reclassification adjustments included in net earnings defined benefit and post-retirement benefit plans | 887 | 1,331 | 1,801 | 2,874 |
| Unrealized (loss) gain on interest swaps treated as cash flow hedges: | | | | |
| Unrealized holding (loss) gain arising during period, 2014 net of tax benefit of \$136 and \$157; 2013 net of tax of \$298 and \$312 | (222) | 467 | (255) | 489 |
| Reclassification adjustments for losses included in net earnings, 2014 net of tax of \$47 and \$92; 2013 net of tax of \$31 and \$61 | 75 | 47 | 148 | 94 |
| Net change in unrealized holding (loss) gain on interest rate swaps | (147) | 514 | (107) | 583 |

Edgar Filing: CTS CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|-------------------------------|----------|------------|-----------|------------|
| Other comprehensive earnings | 1,357 | 2,076 | 2,515 | 1,947 |
| Comprehensive earnings (loss) | \$ 7,718 | \$ (9,259) | \$ 13,956 | \$ (5,820) |

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

CTS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In thousands of dollars except share amounts)

| | (Unaudited) June 29, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
|--------------------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current Assets | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 127,230 | \$ 124,368 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 61,080 | 62,667 |
| Inventories, net | 28,434 | 32,226 |
| Other current assets | 18,828 | 17,008 |
| Total current assets | 235,572 | 236,269 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 74,430 | 74,869 |
| Other Assets | | |
| Prepaid pension asset | 62,992 | 56,396 |
| Goodwill | 32,047 | 32,047 |
| Indefinite-lived intangible asset | 690 | 690 |
| Other intangible assets, net | 38,013 | 40,092 |
| Deferred income taxes | 34,744 | 38,620 |
| Other | 1,143 | 1,282 |
| Total other assets | 169,629 | 169,127 |
| Total Assets | \$ 479,631 | \$ 480,265 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY | | |
| Current Liabilities | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 43,724 | \$ 47,052 |
| Accrued payroll and benefits | 15,881 | 20,822 |
| Other accrued liabilities | 25,693 | 27,246 |
| Total current liabilities | 85,298 | 95,120 |
| Long-term debt | 76,200 | 75,000 |
| Other long-term obligations | 12,888 | 13,416 |
| Shareholders Equity | | |
| Preferred stock | | |
| Common stock | 299,748 | 297,164 |
| Additional contributed capital | 38,037 | 39,631 |
| Retained earnings | 367,740 | 358,997 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (79,382) | (81,897) |

| | | |
|---------------------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Treasury stock | 626,143 (320,898) | 613,895 (317,166) |
| Total shareholders' equity | 305,245 | 296,729 |
| Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity | \$ 479,631 | \$ 480,265 |

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

CTS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS - UNAUDITED

(In thousands of dollars)

| | Six Months Ended | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| | June 29, 2014 | June 30, 2013 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Net earnings (loss) | \$ 11,441 | \$ (7,767) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 8,401 | 11,356 |
| Prepaid pension asset | (4,208) | (739) |
| Gain on sale of fixed assets | (1,855) | (142) |
| Equity-based compensation | 1,179 | 2,481 |
| Restructuring charges | 3,158 | 4,749 |
| Restructuring impairment charges | 78 | 3,053 |
| Amortization of retirement benefit adjustments | 2,906 | 4,336 |
| Changes in assets and liabilities, net of acquisition | | |
| Accounts receivable | 1,346 | (5,304) |
| Inventories | 3,632 | (3,782) |
| Other current assets | (1,807) | (416) |
| Accounts payable | (3,145) | 3,186 |
| Accrued liabilities | (10,864) | (5,075) |
| Income tax payable | (357) | (1,348) |
| Other | 151 | 5,629 |
| Total adjustments | (1,385) | 17,984 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 10,056 | 10,217 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Proceeds from sale of fixed assets | 1,910 | 189 |
| Capital expenditures | (5,991) | (8,359) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (4,081) | (8,170) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Payments of long-term debt | (482,400) | (2,593,900) |
| Proceeds from borrowings of long-term debt | 483,600 | 2,569,900 |
| Payments of short-term notes payable | (778) | (1,039) |
| Proceeds from borrowings of short-term notes payable | 778 | 1,039 |
| Purchase of treasury stock | (3,732) | (1,493) |
| Dividends paid | (2,693) | (2,345) |
| Exercise of stock options | 1,328 | 2,058 |
| Other | 222 | 30 |

| | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|-----------|
| Net cash used in financing activities | (3,675) | (25,750) |
| Effect of exchange rate on cash and cash equivalents | 562 | 176 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 2,862 | (23,527) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | 124,368 | 109,571 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 127,230 | \$ 86,044 |
| Supplemental cash flow information: | | |
| Cash paid for Interest | \$ 834 | \$ 1,690 |
| Cash paid for Income taxes net | \$ 4,737 | \$ 2,984 |
| See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements. | | |

Table of Contents**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - UNAUDITED****June 29, 2014****NOTE A Basis of Presentation**

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared by CTS Corporation (CTS or the Company), without audit, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles have been omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations. The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements, notes thereto, and other information included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements reflect, in the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring items) necessary for a fair statement, in all material respects, of the financial position and results of operations for the periods presented. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reported period. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates. The results of operations for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results for the entire year.

On October 2, 2013, CTS sold its electronics manufacturing solutions (EMS) business to Benchmark Electronics, Inc. (Benchmark) for approximately \$75 million in cash. Due to the sale, the 2013 amounts in the Statement of Operations related to EMS have been reported separately as discontinued operations. Refer to Note O, Discontinued Operations.

CTS now has one single business segment. Prior to October 2, 2013, the date of the close of the sale of the EMS segment, CTS had two reportable segments: 1) Components and Sensors and 2) EMS. The prior year's segment reporting has been updated to conform to the current period's presentation of one single business segment.

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications including those for discontinued operations have been made for the prior periods presented in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements to conform to the current period's presentation.

NOTE B Accounts Receivable

The components of accounts receivable are as follows:

| <i>(\$ in thousands)</i> | June 29, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
|------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| Accounts receivable, gross | \$ 61,212 | \$ 62,806 |
| Less: Accounts receivable reserves | (132) | (139) |
| Accounts receivable, net | \$ 61,080 | \$ 62,667 |

NOTE C Inventories

Inventories consist of the following:

| <i>(\$ in thousands)</i> | June 29, 2014 | As of December 31, 2013 |
|--------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|
| Finished goods | \$ 7,658 | \$ 9,814 |
| Work-in-process | 7,193 | 7,850 |
| Raw materials | 13,583 | 14,562 |
| Inventories, net | \$ 28,434 | \$ 32,226 |

Table of Contents**NOTE D Retirement Plans**

Net pension (income) expense for our domestic and foreign plans was as follows:

| (\$ in thousands) | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|
| | June 29, 2014 | June 30, 2013 | June 29, 2014 | June 30, 2013 |
| Net pension (income) expense | \$ (454) | \$ 545 | \$ (1,080) | \$ 1,091 |

Net pension expense breakdown for our domestic and foreign plans include the following components:

| Three months: (\$ in thousands) | Domestic Pension Plans | | Foreign Pension Plans | |
|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| | Three months ended | | Three months ended | |
| | June 29, 2014 | June 30, 2013 | June 29, 2014 | June 30, 2013 |
| Service cost | \$ 48 | \$ 648 | \$ 21 | \$ 28 |
| Interest cost | 3,053 | 2,711 | 155 | 133 |
| Expected return on plan assets ⁽¹⁾ | (5,208) | (5,042) | (170) | (101) |
| Amortization of prior service cost | | 149 | | |
| Amortization of loss | 1,416 | 1,921 | 59 | 98 |
| Additional cost due to retirement | | 172 | | |
| (Income) expense, net | \$ (519) | \$ 387 | \$ 65 | \$ 158 |

| Six months: (\$ in thousands) | Domestic Pension Plans | | Foreign Pension Plans | |
|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| | Six months ended | | Six months ended | |
| | June 29, 2014 | June 30, 2013 | June 29, 2014 | June 30, 2013 |
| Service cost | \$ 96 | \$ 1,297 | \$ 42 | \$ 56 |
| Interest cost | 6,111 | 5,423 | 305 | 265 |
| Expected return on plan assets ⁽¹⁾ | (10,416) | (10,085) | (337) | (201) |
| Amortization of prior service cost | | 298 | | |
| Amortization of loss | 2,829 | 3,842 | 118 | 196 |
| Additional cost due to retirement | | 172 | | |
| (Income) expense, net | \$ (1,208) | \$ 775 | \$ 128 | \$ 316 |

(1) Expected return on plan assets is net of expected investment expenses and certain administrative expenses.

Net postretirement expense for our postretirement plan includes the following components:

| (\$ in thousands) | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|-------------------|--------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|
| | June 29, 2014 | June 30, 2013 | June 29, 2014 | June 30, 2013 |

| Other postretirement benefit plan | | | | |
|------------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|--------|
| Service cost | \$ 1 | \$ 2 | \$ 2 | \$ 4 |
| Interest cost | 58 | 56 | 115 | 111 |
| Amortization of gain | (40) | | (79) | |
| Postretirement expense | \$ 19 | \$ 58 | \$ 38 | \$ 115 |

NOTE E Other Intangible Assets

Intangible assets consist of the following as of:

| <i>(\$ in thousands)</i> | June 29, 2014 | | Net Amount |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|
| | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | |
| Amortized intangible assets: | | | |
| Customer lists/relationships | \$ 51,804 | \$ (22,941) | \$ 28,863 |
| Patents | 10,319 | (10,319) | 0 |
| Other intangibles | 12,270 | (3,120) | 9,150 |
| Other intangible assets, net | \$ 74,393 | \$ (36,380) | \$ 38,013 |

Amortization expense for the six months ended June 29, 2014

\$ 2,079

Table of Contents

| <i>(\$ in thousands)</i> | December 31, 2013 | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|
| | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Net Amount |
| Amortized intangible assets: | | | |
| Customer lists/relationships | \$ 51,804 | \$ (21,490) | \$ 30,314 |
| Patents | 10,319 | (10,319) | 0 |
| Other intangibles | 12,270 | (2,492) | 9,778 |
| Other intangible assets, net | \$ 74,393 | \$ (34,301) | \$ 40,092 |
| Amortization expense for the six months ended June 30, 2013 | | \$ 2,572 | |

Amortization expense remaining for other intangible assets is as follows:

| <i>(\$ in thousands)</i> | Amortization expense |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 2014 | \$ 2,112 |
| 2015 | 3,947 |
| 2016 | 3,647 |
| 2017 | 3,569 |
| 2018 | 3,484 |
| Thereafter | 21,254 |
| Total amortization expense | \$ 38,013 |

NOTE F Costs Associated with Exit and Restructuring Activities

During December of 2012, CTS realigned its operations to suit the business needs of the Company. These realignment actions resulted in the elimination of approximately 190 positions. These actions were completed as of March 31, 2013.

Approximately \$200,000 of the remaining restructuring and restructuring-related charges were incurred in the first quarter of 2013. Restructuring and impairment charges are reported on a separate line on the Consolidated Statements of Earnings (loss). Restructuring-related charges are reported as a component of Cost of Goods Sold on the Consolidated Statements of Earnings (loss).

The following table displays the restructuring reserve activity related to the December 2012 Plan:

| <i>(\$ in thousands)</i> | December 2012 Plan |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Restructuring liability at January 1, 2013 | \$ 1,600 |
| Restructuring and restructuring-related charges, excluding asset impairments and write-offs | 800 |

| | |
|-----------|---------|
| Cost paid | (2,400) |
|-----------|---------|

| | |
|----------------------------------------------|----|
| Restructuring liability at December 31, 2013 | \$ |
|----------------------------------------------|----|

During June of 2013, CTS announced plans to further restructure its operations to align its operations to the business needs of the Company. These restructuring actions will result in the elimination of approximately 350 positions. These actions are expected to be completed in 2014. The following table displays the planned restructuring and restructuring-related charges associated with the realignment, as well as a summary of the actual costs incurred through June 29, 2014:

| <i>(\$ in thousands)</i> | June 2013 Plan | Planned Costs | Actual costs incurred through June 29, 2014 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|
| Workforce reduction | | \$ 8,300 | \$ 7,799 |
| Asset impairment charge | | 3,000 | 3,849 |
| Other charges, including pension termination costs | | 5,500 | 525 |
| Restructuring and impairment charges | | \$ 16,800 | \$ 12,172 |
| Inventory write-down | | \$ 800 | \$ 1,143 |
| Equipment relocation | | 900 | 852 |
| Other charges | | 100 | 491 |
| Restructuring-related charges, included in cost of goods sold | | \$ 1,800 | \$ 2,486 |
| Total restructuring and restructuring-related charges | | \$ 18,600 | \$ 14,658 |

Table of Contents

Approximately \$1,074,000 of restructuring and restructuring related charges were incurred in the first quarter of 2014, while \$667,000 of charges were incurred in the second quarter of 2014. Of the restructuring and restructuring-related charges incurred for the June 2013 plan, \$667,000 was included in continuing operations for the three month period ended June 29, 2014, and \$1,741,000 was included in continuing operations for the six month period ended June 29, 2014. Restructuring and impairment charges from continuing operations are reported on a separate line on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Restructuring-related charges from continuing operations that are reported as a component of Cost of goods sold on the Consolidated Statements of Operations are \$338,000 for the three month period ended June 29, 2014 and \$910,000 for the six month period ended June 29, 2014.

The restructuring activities discussed above will simplify CTS' global footprint by consolidating manufacturing facilities into existing locations. This plan includes the consolidation of operations from the U.K. manufacturing facility into the Czech Republic facility, the Carol Stream, Illinois manufacturing facility into the Juarez, Mexico facility and to discontinue manufacturing at its Singapore facility.

During April of 2014, CTS announced plans to further restructure its operations to align its operations to the business needs of the Company by closing its Canadian manufacturing operation. These restructuring actions will result in the elimination of approximately 120 positions. These actions are expected to be completed in 2015. The following table displays the planned restructuring and restructuring-related charges associated with the realignment, as well as a summary of the actual costs incurred through June 29, 2014:

| <i>(\$ in thousands)</i> | April 2014 Plan | Planned Costs | Actual costs incurred through June 29, 2014 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|
| Workforce reduction | | \$ 4,100 | \$ 2,405 |
| Asset impairment charge | | 0 | 0 |
| Other charges, including pension termination costs | | 500 | 0 |
| Restructuring and impairment charges | | \$ 4,600 | \$ 2,405 |
| Inventory write-down | | \$ 250 | \$ 0 |
| Equipment relocation | | 500 | 0 |
| Other charges | | 350 | 0 |
| Restructuring-related charges, included in cost of goods sold | | \$ 1,100 | \$ 0 |
| Total restructuring and restructuring-related charges | | \$ 5,700 | \$ 2,405 |

All of restructuring and restructuring related charges were incurred in the second quarter of 2014. Of the restructuring and restructuring-related charges incurred for the April 2014 Plan, all charges were included in continuing operations.

The following table displays the restructuring reserve activity for the period ended June 29, 2014:

| <i>(\$ in thousands)</i> | June 2013 Plan and April 2014 Plan |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| Restructuring liability at January 1, 2014 | \$ 3,100 |
| Restructuring and restructuring-related charges, excluding asset impairments and write-offs | 4,067 |
| Cost paid | (3,831) |
| Restructuring liability at June 29, 2014 | \$ 3,336 |

The restructuring activities discussed above will consolidate its Canadian operation into other existing CTS facilities as part of CTS' overall plan to simplify its business model and rationalize its global footprint.

NOTE G Contingencies

Certain processes in the manufacture of CTS' current and past products create hazardous waste by-products as currently defined by federal and state laws and regulations. CTS has been notified by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, state environmental agencies and, in some cases, generator groups, that it is or may be a potentially responsible party regarding hazardous waste remediation at several non-CTS sites, including Superfund sites in Asheville, NC and San Francisco, CA. In addition to these non-CTS sites, CTS has an ongoing practice of providing reserves for probable remediation activities at certain of its manufacturing locations and for claims and proceedings against CTS with respect to other environmental matters. In the opinion of management, based upon presently available information relating to all such matters, either adequate provision for probable costs has been made, or the ultimate costs resulting will not materially affect the consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows of CTS.

Table of Contents

CTS manufactures accelerator pedals for a number of automobile manufacturers, including subsidiaries of Toyota Motor Corporation (Toyota). In January 2010, Toyota initiated a recall of a substantial number of vehicles in North America containing pedals manufactured by CTS. The recall expanded to include vehicles in Europe and Asia. The pedal recall and associated events have led to the Company being named as a co-defendant with Toyota in certain litigation. In February 2010, CTS entered into an agreement with Toyota whereby Toyota agreed that it will indemnify, defend, and hold the Company harmless from, and the parties will cooperate in the defense of, third-party civil claims and actions that are filed or asserted in the United States or Canada and that arise from or relate to alleged incidents of unintended acceleration of Toyota and Lexus vehicles. The limited exceptions to indemnification restrict CTS' share of any liability to amounts collectable from its insurers. CTS cannot assure that Toyota will not seek to recover a portion of its recall-related costs from CTS, or that the insurance CTS carries will be sufficient to cover such costs.

Certain other claims are pending against CTS with respect to matters arising out of the ordinary conduct of the Company's business. These claims, in the opinion of management, based upon past experience and presently available information, either adequate provision for anticipated costs has been reserved or the ultimate anticipated costs are not expected to materially affect CTS' consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

NOTE H Debt

Long-term debt was comprised of the following:

| <i>(\$ in thousands)</i> | June 29, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| Revolving credit facility due in 2017 | \$ 76,200 | \$ 75,000 |
| Weighted average interest rate | 1.5% | 1.9% |
| Amount available | \$ 121,239 | \$ 122,400 |
| Total credit facility | \$ 200,000 | \$ 200,000 |
| Standby letters of credit | \$ 2,561 | \$ 2,600 |
| Commitment fee percentage per annum | 0.25 | 0.30 |

The revolving credit facility requires, among other things, that CTS comply with a maximum total leverage ratio and a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio. Failure of CTS to comply with these covenants could reduce the borrowing availability under the revolving credit facility. CTS was in compliance with all debt covenants at June 29, 2014.

CTS uses interest rate swaps to convert the line of credit's variable rate of interest into a fixed rate. In the second quarter of 2012, CTS entered into four separate interest rate swap agreements to fix interest rates on \$50 million of long-term debt for the periods January 2013 to January 2017. In the third quarter of 2012, CTS entered into four separate interest rate swap agreements to fix interest rates on \$25 million of long-term debt for the periods January 2013 to January 2017. The difference to be paid or received under the terms of the swap agreements will be recognized as an adjustment to interest expense for the related line of credit when settled.

These swaps are treated as cash flow hedges and consequently, the changes in fair value were recorded in Other Comprehensive Income. Interest rate swaps activity recorded in Other Comprehensive Income includes the following:

| <i>(\$ in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| | June 29, 2014 | June 30, 2013 | June 29, 2014 | June 30, 2013 |

Edgar Filing: CTS CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------|----------|--------|----------|--------|
| Unrealized (loss) gain | \$ (360) | \$ 765 | \$ (414) | \$ 801 |
| Realized loss reclassified to interest expense | \$ 122 | \$ 78 | \$ 240 | \$ 155 |

Interest rate swaps included on the balance sheets are comprised of the following:

| <i>(\$ in thousands)</i> | June 29, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| Accrued liabilities | \$ 547 | \$ 392 |
| Other long-term obligations | \$ 623 | \$ 604 |

Table of Contents**NOTE I Shareholders Equity**

Share count and par value data related to shareholders equity are as follows:

| | June 29, 2014 | As of December 31, 2013 |
|------------------------|---------------|----------------------------|
| Preferred Stock | | |
| Par value per share | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.00 |
| Shares authorized | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 |
| Shares outstanding | 0 | 0 |
| Common Stock | | |
| Par value per share | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.00 |
| Shares authorized | 75,000,000 | 75,000,000 |
| Shares issued | 56,079,295 | 55,808,008 |
| Shares outstanding | 33,618,881 | 33,558,864 |
| Treasury stock | | |
| Shares held | 22,460,414 | 22,249,144 |

During the six month period ended June 29, 2014, CTS purchased 211,270 shares of common stock for \$3,732,000 under a board-authorized share repurchase plan. For the six month period ended June 30, 2013, CTS purchased 150,205 shares of common stock for \$1,493,000.

A roll forward of common shares outstanding is as follows:

| | Six Months Ended | |
|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| | June 29, 2014 | June 30, 2013 |
| Balance at the beginning of the year | 33,558,864 | 33,433,128 |
| Repurchases | (211,270) | (150,205) |
| Stock option issuances | 101,350 | 210,449 |
| Restricted share issuances | 169,937 | 198,879 |
| Restricted share forfeitures | (0) | (0) |
| Shares withheld for tax obligations | (0) | (0) |
| Balance at the end of the period | 33,618,881 | 33,692,251 |

Note J Other Comprehensive Income

The following table displays the changes in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) (AOCI) by components for the six months ended June 29, 2014 (all amounts are stated net of tax):

| <i>(\$ in thousands)</i> | Cumulative translation adjustment | Defined benefit pension items | Unrealized gains and losses on cash | Total (loss) income |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|

| | | | flow hedges | |
|-------------------------------------------------------|----------|-------------|------------------------|-------------|
| AOCI balance at January 1, 2014 | \$ 1,804 | \$ (83,105) | \$ (596) | \$ (81,897) |
| Other comprehensive earnings before reclassifications | 821 | | (255) | 566 |
| Amounts reclassified from AOCI | | 1,801 | 148 | 1,949 |
| Net current period other comprehensive income | \$ 821 | \$ 1,801 | \$ (107) | \$ 2,515 |
| AOCI balance at June 29, 2014 | \$ 2,625 | \$ (81,304) | \$ (703) | \$ (79,382) |

Table of Contents

The following table displays the reclassifications out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the six months ended June 29, 2014:

| <i>(\$ in thousands)</i> Details about Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income Components | Amount Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income^(a) | | Affected Line Item in the Statement Where Net Income is Presented |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Losses on cash flow hedges: | | | |
| Interest rate swap contracts | \$ 240 | | Interest expense |
| | 92 | | Tax expense |
| | \$ 148 | | Net of tax |
| Amortization of defined benefit and post-retirement benefit plans: | | | |
| Prior service costs | \$ (b) | | |
| Loss included in net periodic pension costs | 2,829 | | (b) |
| Settlements | 172 | | SG&A |
| Foreign Exchange Impact | (128) | | Other expense |
| | 2,873 | | Total before tax |
| | \$ 1,072 | | Tax expense |
| | 1,801 | | Net of tax |
| Total reclassification for the period | \$ 1,949 | | Net of tax |

^(a) Amounts in parenthesis indicate credit.

^(b) These accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) components are included in the computation of net periodic pension cost. The actuarial loss that was reclassified to Cost of goods sold \$1,011, Selling, general and administrative expenses \$1,383 and Research and development expenses \$435 are reflected on CTS Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings.

NOTE K Earnings Per Share

Basic per share amounts are calculated using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding as the denominator and net earnings as the numerator. Diluted per share amounts are calculated by adding all potentially dilutive shares to the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the numerator. Anti-dilutive shares are excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share.

Earnings per share were computed as follows (in thousands, except per share amounts):

Edgar Filing: CTS CORP - Form 10-Q

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|-------------------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|
| | June 29, 2014 | June 30, 2013 | June 29, 2014 | June 30, 2013 |
| Weighted average shares: | | | | |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | 33,741 | 33,589 | 33,725 | 33,556 |
| Effect of dilutive securities outstanding | 467 | | 519 | |
| Diluted weighted average shares outstanding (1) | 34,208 | 33,589 | 34,244 | 33,556 |
| Earnings (loss): | | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | \$ 6,361 | \$ (10,253) | \$ 11,441 | \$ (7,266) |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations | 0 | (1,082) | 0 | (501) |
| Net income | \$ 6,361 | \$ (11,335) | \$ 11,441 | \$ (7,767) |
| Basic per share amounts: | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 0.19 | \$ (0.31) | \$ 0.34 | \$ (0.22) |
| Income from discontinued operations | 0.00 | (0.03) | 0.00 | (0.01) |
| Net income per share basic | \$ 0.19 | \$ (0.34) | \$ 0.34 | \$ (0.23) |
| Diluted per share amounts: | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 0.19 | \$ (0.31) | \$ 0.33 | \$ (0.22) |
| Income from discontinued operations | 0.00 | (0.03) | 0.00 | (0.01) |
| Net income per share diluted | \$ 0.19 | \$ (0.34) | \$ 0.33 | \$ (0.23) |

(1) Basic shares are used for dilutive calculation in 2013 due to the net loss

Table of Contents

The following table shows the potentially dilutive securities which have been excluded from the dilutive earnings per share calculation because they are either anti-dilutive, or the exercise price exceeds the average market price.

| | Three Months Ended | Six Months Ended |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| | June 29, 2014 | June 30, 2013 |
| | June 29, 2014 | June 30, 2013 |
| Potentially dilutive shares | 1,384,000 | 1,384,000 |

NOTE L Equity-Based Compensation

At June 29, 2014, CTS had five equity-based compensation plans: the 2001 Stock Option Plan (2001 Plan), the Nonemployee Directors' Stock Retirement Plan (Directors' Plan), the 2004 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan (2004 Plan), the 2009 Omnibus Equity and Performance Incentive Plan (2009 Plan), and the 2014 Performance & Incentive Plan (2014 Plan). Future grants can only be made under the 2014 Plan.

The 2009 Plan, and previously the 2001 Plan and 2004 Plan, provides for grants of incentive stock options or nonqualified stock options to officers, key employees, and nonemployee members of CTS' Board of Directors. In addition, the 2009 Plan and the 2004 Plan allow for grants of stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units (RSUs), performance shares, performance units, and other stock awards.

The following table summarizes the compensation expense included in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings for the three and six months ended June 29, 2014 and June 30, 2013, respectively, relating to equity-based compensation plans:

| <i>(\$ in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| | June 29, 2014 | June 30, 2013 | June 29, 2014 | June 30, 2013 |
| Stock options | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Service-Based RSUs | 210 | 693 | 683 | 1,517 |
| Performance-Based RSUs | 99 | 279 | 273 | 573 |
| Market-Based RSUs | 91 | 191 | 223 | 391 |
| Total | \$ 400 | \$ 1,163 | \$ 1,179 | \$ 2,481 |

The following table summarizes the unrecognized compensation expense related to non-vested RSUs by type and the weighted average period in which the expense is to be recognized:

| <i>(\$ in thousands)</i> | Unrecognized | Weighted average |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
| | compensation expense | |
| | at June 29, | period |
| | 2014 | |
| Stock options | \$ | 0.0 years |
| Service-Based RSUs | 1,771 | 1.2 years |
| Performance-Based RSUs | 1,210 | 1.5 years |

Edgar Filing: CTS CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|-------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| Market-Based RSUs | 892 | 1.5 years |
| Total | \$ 3,873 | |

CTS recognizes expense on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period for each separately vesting portion of the award as if the award was, in substance, multiple awards.

The following table summarizes the status of these plans as of June 29, 2014:

| | 2014 Plan | 2009 Plan | 2004 Plan | 2001 Plan |
|------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Awards originally available | 1,500,000 | 3,400,000 | 6,500,000 | 2,000,000 |
| Stock options outstanding | | | 5,200 | |
| Restricted stock units outstanding | | 434,082 | 101,223 | |
| Options exercisable | | | 5,200 | |
| Awards available for grant | 1,500,000 | 1,589,756 | 106,423 | |

Table of Contents**Stock Options**

Stock options are exercisable in cumulative annual installments over a maximum 10-year period, commencing at least one year from the date of grant. Stock options are generally granted with an exercise price equal to the market price of the Company's stock on the date of grant. The stock options generally vest over four years and have a 10-year contractual life. The awards generally contain provisions to either accelerate vesting or allow vesting to continue on schedule upon retirement if certain service and age requirements are met. The awards also provide for accelerated vesting if there is a change in control event.

A summary of the status of stock options as of June 29, 2014 and the six-month period then ended is presented below:

| | June 29, 2014 | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------------|
| | Options | Weighted-Average Exercise Price |
| Outstanding at beginning of year | 123,000 | \$ 12.78 |
| Exercised | (115,100) | \$ 12.84 |
| Expired | (2,700) | \$ 11.04 |
| Forfeited | | \$ |
| Outstanding at end of period | 5,200 | \$ 12.35 |
| Exercisable at end of period | 5,200 | \$ 12.35 |

| | Six Months Ended June 29, 2014 | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|
| Intrinsic values of share options exercised | \$ | 831,000 |
| Weighted average remaining contractual life | | 1.4 years |
| Aggregate intrinsic values of options outstanding and options exercisable | \$ | 32,000 |

There are no unvested stock options at June 29, 2014.

Service-Based Restricted Stock Units

Service-based RSUs entitle the holder to receive one share of common stock for each unit when the unit vests. RSUs are issued to officers, key employees and non-employee directors as compensation. Generally, the RSUs vest over a three-year period. A summary of the status of RSUs is presented below:

| | Six months ended June 29, 2014 | |
|--|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| | RSUs | Weighted-average |

| | | Grant-Date Fair Value |
|-------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|
| Outstanding at beginning of year | 630,288 | \$ 10.36 |
| Granted | 83,615 | \$ 19.13 |
| Converted | (123,279) | \$ 10.79 |
| Forfeited | (55,319) | \$ 11.95 |
| Outstanding at end of period | 535,305 | \$ 11.47 |
| Weighted-average remaining contractual life | 11.2 years | |

Performance-Based Restricted Stock Units

CTS grants performance-based restricted stock unit awards for certain executives. Vesting may occur in the range from zero percent to 200% of the target amount. Vesting is subject to certification of the fiscal results of the year prior to the target year by CTS independent auditors. Vesting is dependent upon CTS achievement of either sales growth targets or cash flow targets as noted in the table below.

Performance-Based RSUs include the following components:

| Grant Date | Target Units | Vesting Year | Vesting Dependency | Units Awarded |
|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|
| February 8, 2012 | 45,850 | 2014 | Salesgrowth | |
| February 8, 2012 | 39,300 | 2014 | Cashflow | 69,600 |
| February 11, 2013 | 77,700 | 2016 | Salesgrowth | |
| February 11, 2013 | 66,600 | 2016 | Cash flow | |
| February 14, 2014 | 25,085 | 2017 | Sales growth | |
| February 14, 2014 | 21,500 | 2017 | Cash flow | |

Table of Contents**Market-Based Restricted Stock Units**

CTS grants market-based restricted stock unit awards for certain executives and key employees. Vesting may occur in the range from zero percent to 200% of the target amount. Vesting is subject to certification of the fiscal results of the year prior to the target year by CTS independent auditors. The vesting rate will be determined using a matrix based on a percentile ranking of CTS total stockholder return with peer group total shareholder return over a three-year period. Vesting is tied exclusively to CTS total stockholder return relative to peer group companies total stockholder return rates.

Market-Based RSUs include the following components:

| Grant Date | Target Units | Vesting Year | Number of Peer Group Companies | Units Awarded |
|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|
| February 8, 2012 | 45,850 | 2014 | 28 | 63,800 |
| February 11, 2013 | 77,700 | 2016 | 20 | |
| February 11, 2013 | 32,500 | 2016 | 20 | |
| February 14, 2014 | 25,085 | 2017 | 15 | |

NOTE M Fair Value Measurement

The table below summarizes the non-financial assets that were measured and recorded at fair value on a non-recurring basis as of June 29, 2014 and the loss recorded during the six months ended June 29, 2014 on those assets:

(\$ in thousands)

| Description | Carrying Value at June 29, 2014 | Quoted Prices in | | | Loss for Six Months Ended June 29, 2014 |
|--------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| | | Active Markets for Identical (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | |
| Long-lived assets | | | | | 79 |

During the second quarter of 2013, CTS initiated the June 2013 restructuring plan which impacted certain locations. This was considered a triggering event and the company performed an impairment analysis for the impacted intangibles and long-lived assets. The resulting intangible impairment loss related to customer based intangibles. The fair value of these assets were measured and recorded using an income approach. Projected future cash flows related to these assets were used under this approach to determine their fair values. CTS recorded an impairment charge of approximately \$3,053,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2013. The impairment charge was recorded under Restructuring and Impairment Charge on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings.

The table below summarizes the financial liability that was measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 29, 2014 and the loss recorded during the six months ended June 29, 2014:

| | | | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Loss for Six- Months Ended June 29, 2014 |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|
| <i>(\$ in thousands)</i> | Carrying Value at June 29, 2014 | | | | | |
| Interest rate swap cash flow hedge | \$ 1,170 | \$ | \$ 1,170 | \$ | \$ | \$ 240 |

Table of Contents

The table below summarizes the financial liability that was measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2013 and the loss recorded during the year ended December 31, 2013:

(\$ in thousands)

| Description | Carrying Value at December 31, 2013 | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical (Level 1) | | | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Loss for Year Ended December 31, 2013 |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|--------|--------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | |
| Interest rate swap cash flow hedge | \$ 996 | \$ | \$ | \$ 996 | \$ | \$ 322 | |

The fair value of CTS interest rate swaps were measured using a market approach which uses current industry information. There is a readily determinable market and these swaps are classified within level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

The table below provides a reconciliation of the recurring financial liability related to interest rate swaps:

| | Interest Rate Swaps |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------|
| (\$ in thousands) | |
| Balance at January 1, 2013 | \$ (1,607) |
| Total gains/(losses) for the period: | |
| Included in earnings | 322 |
| Included in other comprehensive income | 289 |
| Balance at January 1, 2014 | \$ (996) |
| Total gains/(losses) for the period: | |
| Included in earnings | 240 |
| Included in other comprehensive income | (414) |
| Balance at June 29, 2014 | \$ (1,170) |

CTS long-term debt consists of a revolving debt facility. There is a readily determinable market for CTS revolving credit debt and it is classified within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy as the market is not deemed to be active. The fair value of long-term debt was measured using a market approach which uses current industry information and approximates carrying value.

Note N Income Taxes

CTS has identified, evaluated, and measured the amount of income tax benefits to be recognized for all of our income tax positions. CTS earns a significant amount of its operating income outside of the U.S., which is considered to be permanently reinvested in foreign jurisdictions.

As of June 29, 2014, the amount of cash and cash equivalents held by foreign subsidiaries was \$126,358,000. If these funds are needed for our operations in the U.S., we would be required to accrue U.S. taxes to repatriate these funds. However, our intent is to permanently reinvest these funds outside the U.S. and our current plans do not require us to repatriate them to fund our U.S. operations, which we believe have sufficient liquidity. Any repatriation may not result in significant cash income tax payments as the taxable event would likely be offset by the utilization of the then available net operating losses and tax credits. CTS does not provide for U.S. income taxes on undistributed earnings of its foreign subsidiaries that are intended to be permanently reinvested.

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| | June 29, 2014 | June 30, 2013 | June 29, 2014 | June 30, 2013 |
| Effective tax rate | 34.0% | 560.0% | 38.7% | 285.8% |

The 2014 effective tax rate reflects higher profits, primarily from a change in the mix of earnings by jurisdiction, and the effect of tax adjustments decreased the rate by 2.6% in the second quarter of 2014. Tax adjustments recorded in the first six months of 2014 increased the rate by 2.7%. Tax expense for the second quarter and first six months in 2013 includes a discrete period tax expense of \$10,800,000 related to cash repatriation.

CTS' continuing practice is to recognize interest and/or penalties related to income tax matters as part of income tax expense. For the six months ended June 29, 2014 and June 30, 2013, CTS did not accrue any interest or penalties into income tax expense.

Table of Contents

The repatriation to the U.S. of approximately \$30,000,000 during the second quarter of 2013 resulted from a reduction in the amount of earnings required to remain permanently reinvested in Singapore that was made possible by the June 2013 restructuring plan. No deferred income taxes had been previously recorded for unremitted earnings from Singapore due to previous conclusions that the earnings were permanently reinvested.

NOTE O Discontinued Operations

On October 2, 2013, the company completed the sale of its Electronics Manufacturing Services (EMS) Business to Benchmark Electronics, Inc. (Benchmark) for approximately \$75,000,000 in cash. Included were five manufacturing facilities located in Moorpark, CA, Londonderry, NH, Bangkok, Thailand, Matamoros, Mexico and San Jose, CA and approximately 1,000 employees.

The Condensed Statement of Operations of the EMS discontinued operations is as follows:

| <i>(\$ in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 | Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 |
|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Net sales | \$ 46,180 | \$ 97,630 |
| Cost of goods sold | 43,093 | 90,148 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 3,591 | 7,322 |
| Restructuring and impairment charge | 214 | 596 |
| Operating loss | (718) | (436) |
| Other (expense) income, net | (728) | 67 |
| Loss before income taxes | (1,446) | (369) |
| Income tax (benefit) expense | (364) | 132 |
| Net loss from discontinued operations | \$ (1,082) | \$ (501) |

Due to the sale of the EMS business on October 2, 2013, CTS recorded amortization expense related to customer lists/relationships for discontinued operations of \$200,000 and \$500,000 during the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2013, respectively.

Note P Recent Accounting Pronouncements

ASU 2014-12, *Compensation – Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Accounting for Share-Based Payments When the Terms of an Award Provide That a Performance Target Could Be Achieved after the Requisite Service Period*

In June 2014, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2014-12, *Compensation – Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Accounting for Share-Based Payments When the Terms of an Award Provide That a Performance Target Could Be Achieved after the Requisite Service Period* . The amended guidance requires that a performance target that affects vesting and that could be achieved after the requisite service period should be treated as a performance condition.

Current U.S. GAAP does not contain explicit guidance on whether to treat a performance target that could be achieved after the requisite service period as a performance condition that affects vesting or as a nonvesting condition that affects the grant-date fair value of an award. The amendments in this update provide explicit guidance for those awards.

The amendments are effective for annual periods and interim periods within those annual periods beginning after December 15, 2015. Earlier adoption is permitted. Entities may apply the amendments either prospectively to all awards granted or modified after the effective date, or retrospectively to all awards with performance targets that are outstanding as of the beginning of the earliest annual period presented in the financial statements and to all new or modified awards thereafter. These provisions will not have a material impact on our financial statements.

ASU 2014-09, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606)*

Table of Contents

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers*. The guidance in this ASU affects any entity that either enters into contracts with customers to transfer goods or services or enters into contracts for the transfer of nonfinancial assets unless those contracts are within the scope of other standards (for example, insurance contracts or lease contracts). The new revenue recognition guidance more closely aligns US GAAP with IFRS. The core principle of the guidance is that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services.

To achieve that core principle, an entity should apply the following steps:

Step 1: Identify the contract(s) with a customer.

Step 2: Identify the performance obligations in the contract.

Step 3: Determine the transaction price.

Step 4: Allocate the transaction price to the performance obligations in the contract.

Step 5: Recognize revenue when (or as) the entity satisfies a performance obligation.

The guidance is effective for annual periods beginning on or after December 15, 2016 and interim periods within that reporting period. Early adoption is not permitted. These provisions of this guidance are still being evaluated. The impact on CTS' financial statements has not yet been determined.

ASU 2014-08, Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity

In April 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-08, *Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity*. The ASU is aimed at reducing the frequency of disposals reported as discontinued operations by focusing on strategic shifts that have or will have a major effect on an entity's operations and financial results. In another change from current US GAAP, the guidance permits companies to have continuing cash flows and significant continuing involvement with the disposed component. The new definition of a discontinued operation more closely aligns US GAAP with IFRS.

The ASU requires the reclassification of assets and liabilities of a discontinued operation in the statement of financial position for all prior periods presented. The standard expands the disclosures for discontinued operations and requires new disclosures related to individually material disposals that do not meet the definition of a discontinued operation, an entity's continuing involvement with a discontinued operation following the disposal date and retained equity method investments in a discontinued operation.

The guidance is effective for annual periods beginning on or after December 15, 2014 and interim periods within that year. The ASU is applied prospectively. Early adoption is permitted but only for disposals (or classifications as held for sale) that have not been reported in financial statements previously issued or available for issue. These provisions will not have a material impact on our financial statements.

ASU 2014-06, Technical Corrections and Improvements Related to Glossary Terms

In March 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-06, *Technical Corrections and Improvements Related to Glossary Terms*. The new guidance is designed to clarify the Master Glossary of the Codification, consolidate multiple instances of the

same into a single definition and make minor improvements to the Master Glossary. The FASB said the amendments are not expected to result in substantial changes to the application of existing guidance. These provisions are effective upon issuance. These provisions will not have a material impact on our financial statements.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A)
Overview**

CTS Corporation (CTS , we , our , us) is a global manufacturer of electronic components and sensors used primarily the automotive, communications, defense and aerospace, medical, industrial and computer markets.

Results of Operations: Second Quarter 2014 and Second Quarter 2013

The following table highlights changes in significant components of the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings (loss) for the quarters ended June 29, 2014 and June 30, 2013:

(Amounts in thousands, except percentages and per share amounts):

| | Three Months Ended | | Percent Change | Percent of Net Sales - 2014 | Percent of Net Sales - 2013 |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | June 29, 2014 | June 30, 2013 | | | |
| Net sales | \$ 102,980 | \$ 105,381 | (2.3) | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Cost of goods sold (1) | 69,157 | 72,981 | (5.2) | 67.2 | 69.3 |
| Gross margin | 33,823 | 32,400 | 4.4 | 32.8 | 30.7 |
| Operating expenses | 23,878 | 29,957 | (20.3) | 23.2 | 28.4 |
| Operating earnings | 9,945 | 2,443 | 307.1 | 9.7 | 2.3 |
| Other expense | (303) | (214) | 42.1 | (0.3) | (0.2) |
| Earnings from continuing operations before income taxes | 9,642 | 2,229 | 332.5 | 9.4 | 2.1 |
| Income tax expense | 3,281 | 12,482 | (73.7) | 3.2 | (11.8) |
| Earnings (loss) from continuing operations | 6,361 | (10,253) | N/M | 6.2 | (9.7) |
| Loss from discontinued operations, net of taxes | 0 | (1,082) | N/M | 0.0 | (1.0) |
| Net earnings (loss) | \$ 6,361 | \$ (11,335) | N/M | 6.2 | (10.7) |
| Diluted earnings per share: | | | | | |
| Diluted earnings (loss) per share from continuing operations | \$ 0.19 | \$ (0.31) | | | |
| Diluted loss per share from discontinued operations | 0.00 | (0.03) | | | |
| Diluted net earnings (loss) per share | \$ 0.19 | \$ (0.34) | | | |

(1) Cost of goods sold includes restructuring related costs of \$338 in 2014 and \$637 in 2013.

N/M = not meaningful

Sales of \$102,980,000 in the second quarter of 2014 decreased \$2,401,000 or 2.3% from the second quarter of 2013. The decrease was driven by a \$4,628,000 decline in sales related to lower shipments of electronic components, mainly frequency and HDD products. Sales to automotive markets increased \$2,227,000 related primarily to pedal modules and actuators.

Gross margin as a percent of sales was 32.8% in the second quarter of 2014 compared to 30.7% in the second quarter of 2013. The increase in gross margin resulted from productivity improvements, mix and favorable foreign exchange impact on operating results.

Operating expenses are summarized in the following table (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended | |
|----------------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|
| | June 29, 2014 | June 30, 2013 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | \$ 15,813 | \$ 17,157 |
| Research and development expenses | 5,332 | 5,771 |
| Restructuring and impairment charges | 2,733 | 7,029 |
| Total operating expenses | \$ 23,878 | \$ 29,957 |

Table of Contents

Selling, general and administrative expenses were 15.4% of sales in the second quarter of 2014 versus 16.3% of sales in the comparable quarter of 2013. The decrease is attributable to cost containment efforts in 2014, costs for CTS CEO transition in 2013 and pension income in 2014 compared to pension expense in 2013.

Research and development expenses were 5.2% of sales in the second quarter of 2014 compared to 5.5% of sales in the comparable quarter of 2013. The decrease was driven by timing of R&D projects and cost reductions related to restructuring actions. Research and development expenses are primarily focused on expanded applications of existing products and new product development as well as current product and process enhancements.

Restructuring and impairment charges declined in the second quarter of 2014 compared to the comparable period of 2013. The second quarter 2014 charges totaled \$2,733,000 and consist primarily of accruals for severance costs related to the consolidation of CTS Canadian operation in Streetsville, Ontario into other CTS facilities. The second quarter 2013 charges totaled \$7,029,000 and consist primarily of severance accruals, asset impairments and inventory write-downs related to the consolidation of CTS U.K. manufacturing facility into the Czech Republic facility, discontinued manufacturing at our Singapore facility and corporate office restructuring.

Operating earnings were \$9,945,000 in the second quarter of 2014 compared to \$2,443,000 in the comparable quarter of 2013 as a result of the items discussed above.

Other income and expense items are summarized in the following table (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|
| | June 29, 2014 | June 30, 2013 |
| Interest expense | \$ (582) | \$ (1,058) |
| Interest income | 688 | 446 |
| Other (expense) income, net | (409) | 398 |
| Total other expense | \$ (303) | \$ (214) |

Interest expense decreased in the second quarter of 2014 versus 2013 as a result of lower borrowings enabled by the proceeds from the EMS divestiture in the fourth quarter of 2013. Interest income increased primarily due to higher cash balances. Other expense in the second quarter of 2014 is primarily due to the unfavorable foreign exchange impact related to the depreciation of the Chinese Renminbi.

| | Three Months Ended | |
|--------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|
| | June 29, 2014 | June 30, 2013 |
| Effective tax rate | 34.0% | 560.0% |

The effective income tax rate for the second quarter of 2014 was 34.0%. The 2014 effective rate reflects higher profits, primarily from a change in the mix of earnings by jurisdiction, and the effect of tax adjustments in the quarter which decreased the rate by 2.6%. The 2013 effective tax rate reflects \$10,800,000 of tax expense related to a \$30,000,000 cash repatriation from Singapore to the U.S. as a result of the Singapore restructuring and tax expense of \$1,000,000 for the write-off of deferred tax assets in the U.K. related to the June 2013 Restructuring Plan.

Edgar Filing: CTS CORP - Form 10-Q

Net earnings from continuing operations were \$6,361,000 or \$0.19 per diluted share in the second quarter of 2014 compared to a net loss from continuing operations of \$10,253,000 or \$0.31 per diluted share in the comparable quarter of 2013.

The loss from discontinued operations in the second quarter of 2013 represents the loss from the CTS EMS business which was divested in the fourth quarter of 2013.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations: Six months ended June 29, 2014 versus six months ended June 30, 2013**

The following table highlights changes in significant components of the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings (loss) for the six month periods ended June 29, 2014 and June 30, 2013:

(Amounts in thousands, except percentages and per share amounts):

| | Six Months Ended | | Percent Change | Percent of Net Sales - 2014 | Percent of Net Sales - 2013 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|---------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | June 29, 2014 | June 30, 2013 | | | |
| Net sales | \$ 203,686 | \$ 203,443 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Cost of goods sold (1) | 139,248 | 144,257 | (3.5) | 68.4 | 70.9 |
| Gross margin | 64,438 | 59,186 | 8.9 | 31.6 | 29.1 |
| Operating expenses | 43,648 | 54,062 | (19.3) | 21.4 | 26.6 |
| Operating earnings | 20,790 | 5,124 | 305.7 | 10.2 | 2.5 |
| Other expense | (2,123) | (1,214) | 74.9 | (1.0) | (0.6) |
| Earnings from continuing operations before income taxes | 18,667 | 3,910 | 377.4 | 9.2 | 1.9 |
| Income tax expense | 7,226 | 11,176 | (35.3) | 3.5 | 5.5 |
| Earnings (loss) from continuing operations | 11,441 | (7,266) | N/M | 5.6 | (3.6) |
| Loss from discontinued operations, net of taxes | 0 | (501) | N/M | 0.0 | (0.2) |
| Net earnings (loss) | \$ 11,441 | \$ (7,767) | N/M | 5.6 | (3.8) |
| Diluted earnings per share: | | | | | |
| Diluted earnings (loss) per share from continuing operations | \$ 0.33 | \$ (0.22) | | | |
| Diluted loss per share from discontinued operations | 0.00 | (0.01) | | | |
| Diluted net earnings (loss) per share | \$ 0.33 | \$ (0.23) | | | |

(1) Cost of goods sold includes restructuring related costs of \$910 in 2014 and \$688 in 2013.

N/M = not meaningful

Edgar Filing: CTS CORP - Form 10-Q

Sales of \$203,686,000 in the first six months of 2014 increased \$243,000 or 0.1% from the comparable period of 2013. Sales to automotive markets increased \$6,300,000 related primarily to pedal modules and actuators. Other sales were \$6,057,000 lower driven by lower shipments of electronic components, mainly frequency and HDD products.

Gross margin as a percent of sales was 31.6% in the first six months of 2014 versus 29.1% in the comparable period of 2013. The increase in gross margin resulted from productivity improvements, mix and favorable foreign exchange impact on operating results.

Operating expenses are summarized in the following table (in thousands):

| | Six Months Ended | |
|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| | June 29, 2014 | June 30, 2013 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | \$ 29,454 | \$ 34,833 |
| Research and development expenses | 10,958 | 12,023 |
| Restructuring and impairment charges | 3,236 | 7,206 |
| Total operating expenses | \$ 43,648 | \$ 54,062 |

Selling, general and administrative expenses were 14.5% of sales in the first six months of 2014 versus 17.1% of sales in the comparable period of 2013. The decrease is attributable to cost containment efforts in 2014, costs for CTS CEO transition in 2013, a gain on sale of fixed assets in 2014 as part of CTS footprint rationalization plan and pension income in 2014 compared to pension expense in 2013.

Research and development expenses were 5.4% of sales in the first six months of 2014 compared to 5.9% of sales in the comparable period of 2013. The decrease was driven by higher non-recurring engineering funding from customers, timing of R&D projects and cost reductions related to restructuring actions. Research and development expenses are primarily focused on expanded applications of existing products and new product development as well as current product and process enhancements.

Table of Contents

Restructuring and impairment charges declined in the first six months of 2014 compared to the comparable period of 2013. Charges for the first six months of 2014 totaled \$3,236,000 and consist primarily of severance costs related to the consolidation of CTS Canadian operation in Streetsville, Ontario into other CTS facilities as well as severance and other restructuring costs related to the June 2013 Restructuring Plan. Charges for the first six months of 2013 totaled \$7,206,000 and consist primarily of severance, asset impairments and inventory write-downs related to the June 2013 Restructuring Plan which consolidated our U.K. manufacturing facility into the Czech Republic facility, discontinued manufacturing at our Singapore facility and restructured the corporate office.

Operating earnings were \$20,790,000 in the first six months of 2014 compared to \$5,124,000 in the comparable period of 2013 as a result of the items discussed above.

Other income and expense items are summarized in the following table (in thousands):

| | Six Months Ended | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| | June 29, 2014 | June 30, 2013 |
| Interest expense | \$ (1,195) | \$ (1,964) |
| Interest income | 1,252 | 859 |
| Other expense, net | (2,180) | (109) |
| Total other expense | \$ (2,123) | \$ (1,214) |

Interest expense decreased in the first six months of 2014 versus 2013 as a result of lower borrowings enabled by the proceeds from the EMS divestiture in the fourth quarter of 2013. Interest income increased primarily due to higher cash balances. Other expense in the first half of 2014 is primarily due to the unfavorable foreign exchange impact related to the depreciation of the Chinese Renminbi.

| | Six Months Ended | |
|--------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| | June 29, 2014 | June 30, 2013 |
| Effective tax rate | 38.7% | 285.8% |

The effective income tax rate for the first six months of 2014 was 38.7% compared with 285.8% for the first six months of 2013. The 2014 effective rate reflects higher profits, primarily from a change in the mix of earnings by jurisdiction, and the effect of tax adjustments for the first six months of 2014 which increased the rate by 2.7%. The 2013 effective tax rate reflects \$10,800,000 of tax expense related to a \$30,000,000 cash repatriation from Singapore to the U.S. as a result of the Singapore restructuring and tax expense of \$1,000,000 for the write-off of deferred tax assets in the U.K. related to the June 2013 Restructuring Plan. A \$1,632,000 discrete tax benefit is also included in 2013 associated with the retroactive application of the U.S. research tax credit signed into law during January 2013 and granting of the China high technology incentive tax credit in the first quarter of 2013.

Net earnings from continuing operations were \$11,441,000 or \$0.33 per diluted share in the first six months of 2014 compared to a net loss from continuing operations of \$7,266,000 or \$0.22 per diluted share in the comparable period of 2013.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Edgar Filing: CTS CORP - Form 10-Q

Cash and cash equivalents were \$127,230,000 at June 29, 2014 and \$124,368,000 at December 31, 2013. The increase in cash and cash equivalents was driven by cash generated from operations which exceeded the cash used for investing and financing activities. Total debt on June 29, 2014 was \$76,200,000 versus \$75,000,000 as of December 31, 2013. Total debt as a percentage of total capitalization was 20.0% at the end of the second quarter of 2014 compared to 20.2% at December 31, 2013. Total debt as a percentage of total capitalization is defined as the sum of notes payable and long-term debt as a percentage of total debt and shareholders' equity.

Working capital increased by \$9,125,000 from December 31, 2013 to June 29, 2014 primarily due to a \$6,494,000 decrease in accrued liabilities, a \$3,328,000 decrease in accounts payable, a \$2,862,000 increase in cash and cash equivalents and a \$1,820,000 increase in other current assets which were partially offset by a \$3,792,000 decrease in inventory and a \$1,587,000 decrease in accounts receivable.

Table of Contents*Cash Flows from Operating Activities*

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$10,056,000 during the first six months of 2014. Components of net cash provided by operating activities included net earnings of \$11,441,000, depreciation and amortization expense of \$8,401,000 and net changes of other non-cash items such as the prepaid pension asset, gain on sale of fixed assets, equity-based compensation, restructuring and amortization of retirement benefits totaling \$1,258,000 which were offset by net changes in current assets and current liabilities of (\$11,044,000). The net changes in assets and liabilities were primarily due to a decrease in accrued liabilities, driven primarily by the bonus payments, equity-based compensation vesting, restructuring payments and timing of payroll-related accruals.

Cash Flows from Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities for the first six months of 2014 was \$4,081,000 which consisted of \$5,991,000 of capital expenditures which were partially offset by \$1,910,000 in proceeds from the sale of fixed assets.

Cash Flows from Financing Activities

Net cash used in financing activities for the first six months of 2014 was \$3,675,000, consisting primarily of \$3,732,000 for the purchase of treasury shares and \$2,693,000 of dividend payments which were partially offset by \$1,328,000 for the exercise of stock options and a \$1,200,000 increase in net borrowings.

Capital Resources

CTS has an unsecured revolving credit facility which has an extended term through January 10, 2017.

Long-term debt was comprised of the following:

| <i>(\$ in thousands)</i> | June 29, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| Revolving credit facility due in 2017 | \$ 76,200 | \$ 75,000 |
| Weighted average interest rate | 1.5% | 1.9% |
| Amount available | \$ 121,239 | \$ 122,400 |
| Total credit facility | \$ 200,000 | \$ 200,000 |
| Standby letters of credit | \$ 2,561 | \$ 2,600 |
| Commitment fee percentage per annum | 0.25 | 0.30 |

The revolving credit facility requires, among other things, that CTS comply with a maximum total leverage ratio and a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio. Failure of CTS to comply with these covenants could reduce the borrowing availability under the revolving credit facility. CTS was in compliance with all debt covenants at June 29, 2014.

CTS uses interest rate swaps to convert the line of credit's variable rate of interest into a fixed rate. In the second quarter of 2012, CTS entered into four separate interest rate swap agreements to fix interest rates on \$50,000,000 of long-term debt for the periods January 2013 to January 2017. In the third quarter of 2012, CTS entered into four separate interest rate swap agreements to fix interest rates on \$25,000,000 of long-term debt for the periods January 2013 to January 2017. The difference to be paid or received under the terms of the swap agreements will be recognized as an adjustment to interest expense for the related line of credit when settled.

During the first half of 2014, we repurchased 211,270 shares of CTS common stock at a total cost of \$3,732,065 or an average price of \$17.66 per share.

As of June 29, 2014, the amount of cash and cash equivalents held by foreign subsidiaries was \$126,357,634. If these funds are needed for our operations in the U.S., we would be required to accrue U.S. taxes to repatriate these funds. However, our intent is to permanently reinvest these funds outside the U.S. and our current plans do not require us to repatriate them to fund our U.S. operations, which we believe have sufficient liquidity. Any repatriation may not result in significant cash income tax payments as the taxable event would likely be offset by the utilization of the then available net operating losses and tax credits.

Table of Contents

We have historically funded our capital and operating needs primarily through cash flows from operating activities, supported by available credit under our credit agreements. We believe that cash flows from operating activities and available borrowings under our current credit agreements will be adequate to fund our working capital, capital expenditures and debt service requirements for at least the next twelve months. However, we may choose to pursue additional equity and debt financing to provide additional liquidity or to fund acquisitions.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Management prepared the consolidated financial statements of CTS under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These principles require the use of estimates, judgments and assumptions. We believe that the estimates, judgments and assumptions we used are reasonable, based upon the information available.

Our estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts in our financial statements. The following accounting policies comprise those that we believe are the most critical in understanding and evaluating CTS reported financial results.

Revenue Recognition

Product revenue is recognized once four criteria are met: (1) we have persuasive evidence that an arrangement exists; (2) delivery has occurred and title has passed to the customer, which generally happens at the point of shipment provided that no significant obligations remain; (3) the price is fixed and determinable; and (4) collectability is reasonably assured.

Accounts Receivable

We have standardized credit granting and review policies and procedures for all customer accounts, including:

Credit reviews of all new customer accounts,

Ongoing credit evaluations of current customers,

Credit limits and payment terms based on available credit information,

Adjustments to credit limits based upon payment history and the customer's current credit worthiness,

An active collection effort by regional credit functions, reporting directly to the corporate financial officers, and

Limited credit insurance on the majority of our international receivables.

We reserve for estimated credit losses based upon historical experience and specific customer collection issues. Over the last two and a half years, accounts receivable reserves varied from 0.2% to 1.4% of total accounts receivable. We

believe our reserve level is appropriate considering the quality of the portfolio. While credit losses have historically been within expectations and the provisions established, we cannot guarantee that our credit loss experience will continue to be consistent with historical experience.

Inventories

We value our inventories at the lower of the actual cost to purchase or manufacture using the first-in, first-out (FIFO) method, or the current estimated market value. We review inventory quantities on hand and record a provision for excess and obsolete inventory based on forecasts of product demand and production requirements.

Over the last two and a half years, our reserves for excess and obsolete inventories have ranged from 8.1% to 15.6% of gross inventory. We believe our reserve level is appropriate considering the quantities and quality of the inventories.

Retirement Plans

Actuarial assumptions are used in determining pension income and expense and our pension benefit obligation. We utilize actuaries from consulting companies in each country to develop our discount rates that match high-quality bonds currently available and expected to be available during the period to maturity of the pension benefit in order to provide the necessary future cash flows to pay the accumulated benefits when due. After considering the recommendations of our actuaries, we have assumed a discount rate, expected rate of return on plan assets and a rate of compensation increase in determining our annual pension income and expense and the projected benefit obligation. During the fourth quarter of each year, we review our actuarial assumptions in light of current economic factors to determine if the assumptions need to be adjusted. Changes in the actuarial assumptions could have a material effect on our results of operations.

Table of Contents

Valuation of Goodwill

Goodwill of a reporting unit is tested for impairment between annual tests if an event occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying amount. Examples of such events or circumstances include:

Significant adverse change in legal factors or in the business climate,

Adverse action or assessment by a regulator,

Unanticipated competition,

Loss of key personnel,

More-likely-than-not expectation that a reporting unit or a significant portion of a reporting unit will be sold or otherwise disposed of,

Testing for recoverability of a significant asset group within a reporting unit,

Allocation of a portion of goodwill to a business to be disposed of.

If CTS believes that one or more of the above indicators of impairment have occurred, we perform an impairment test. The performance of the test involves a two-step process. The first step of the impairment test involves comparing the fair values of the applicable reporting units with their aggregate carrying values, including goodwill. We generally determine the fair value of our reporting units using two valuation methods: Income Approach – Discounted Cash Flow Method and Market Approach – Guideline Public Company Method. The approach defined below is based upon our last impairment test conducted as of December 31, 2013.

Under the Income Approach – Discounted Cash Flow Method , the key assumptions consider sales, cost of sales and operating expenses projected through the year 2018. These assumptions were determined by management utilizing our internal operating plan and assuming growth rates for revenues and operating expenses, and margin assumptions. The fourth key assumption under this approach is the discount rate which is determined by looking at current risk-free rates of capital, current market interest rates and the evaluation of risk premium relevant to the business segment. If our assumptions relative to growth rates were to change or were incorrect, our fair value calculation may change which could result in impairment.

Under the Market Approach – Guideline Company Method , we identified eight publicly traded companies, including CTS, which we believe have significant relevant similarities. For these eight companies, we calculated the mean ratio of invested capital to revenues and invested capital to EBITDA. Similar to the Income approach discussed above, sales, cost of sales, operating expenses and their respective growth rates were the key assumptions utilized. The market prices of CTS and other guideline company shares are key assumptions. If these market prices increase, the

estimated market value would increase. If the market prices decrease, the estimated market value would decrease.

The results of these two methods are weighted based upon management's determination with more weight attached to the Income approach because it considers anticipated future financial performance. The Market approaches are based upon historical and current economic conditions which might not reflect the long term prospects or opportunities for CTS' business being evaluated.

If the carrying amount of a reporting unit exceeds the reporting unit's fair value, we perform the second step of the goodwill impairment test to determine the amount of impairment loss. The second step of the goodwill impairment test involves comparing the implied fair value of the affected reporting unit's goodwill with the carrying value of that goodwill.

There have not been any significant changes to our impairment testing methodology other than updating the assumptions to reflect the current market environment. As discussed above, key assumptions used in the first step of the goodwill impairment test were determined by management utilizing the internal operating plan. The key assumptions utilized include forecasted growth rates for revenues and operating expenses as well as a discount rate which is determined by looking at current risk-free rates of capital, current market interest rates and the evaluation of a risk premium relevant to the business segment. CTS will monitor future results and will perform a test if indicators trigger an impairment review.

Table of Contents

We test the impairment of goodwill whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. Based upon our latest assessment, we determined that our goodwill was not impaired as of the end of December 2013.

Net intangible assets, long-lived assets and goodwill amounted to \$70,750,000 as of June 29, 2014.

Valuation of Long-Lived and Other Intangible Assets

We evaluate the impairment of identifiable intangibles and other long-lived assets whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. Factors considered that may trigger an impairment review consist of:

Significant underperformance relative to expected historical or projected future operating results,

Significant changes in the manner of use of the acquired assets or the strategy for the overall business,

Significant negative industry or economic trends,

Significant decline in CTS stock price for a sustained period, and

Significant decline in market capitalization relative to net book value.

If CTS believes that one or more of the above indicators of impairment have occurred and the undiscounted cash flow test has failed in the case of amortizable assets, we measure impairment based on projected discounted cash flows using a discount rate that incorporates the risk inherent in the cash flows.

Income Taxes

CTS has identified, evaluated, and measured the amount of income tax benefits to be recognized for all of our income tax positions. Included in deferred tax assets are amounts related to federal, state and foreign net operating losses. CTS intends to utilize these net operating loss carryforwards to offset future income taxes.

CTS practice is to recognize interest and penalties related to income tax matters as part of income tax expense.

CTS earns a significant amount of its operating income outside of the U.S., which is deemed to be permanently reinvested in foreign jurisdictions. CTS does not intend to repatriate funds, however, should CTS require more capital in the U.S. than is generated by our operations locally, CTS could elect to repatriate funds held in foreign jurisdictions or raise capital in the U.S. through debt or equity issuances. Repatriation would result in higher effective tax rates. Borrowing in the U.S. would result in increased interest expense.

Significant Customer

Our net sales to significant customers as a percentage of total net sales were as follows:

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|------------|---------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| | June 29, 2014 | June 30, 2013 | June 29, 2014 | June 30, 2013 |
| Customer A | 10.5% | 7.6% | 10.2% | 7.7% |

No other customer accounted for 10% or more of total net sales during these periods.

Table of Contents

Forward-Looking Statements

This document contains statements that are, or may be deemed to be, forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, any financial or other guidance, statements that reflect our current expectations concerning future results and events, and any other statements that are not based solely on historical fact. Forward-looking statements are based on management's expectations, certain assumptions and currently available information. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date hereof and are based on various assumptions as to future events, the occurrence of which necessarily are subject to uncertainties. These forward-looking statements are made subject to certain risks, uncertainties and other factors, which could cause our actual results, performance or achievements to differ materially from those presented in the forward-looking statements. Examples of factors that may affect future operating results and financial condition include, but are not limited to: changes in the economy generally and in respect to the business in which CTS operates; unanticipated issues in integrating acquisitions; the results of actions to reposition our business; rapid technological change; general market conditions in the automotive, communications, and computer industries, as well as conditions in the industrial, defense and aerospace, and medical markets; reliance on key customers; unanticipated natural disasters or other events; the ability to protect our intellectual property; pricing pressures and demand for our products; and risks associated with our international operations, including trade and tariff barriers, exchange rates and political and geopolitical risks. Many of these and other risks and uncertainties are discussed in further detail in Item 1A. of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013. We undertake no obligation to publicly update our forward-looking statements to reflect new information or events or circumstances that arise after the date hereof, including market or industry changes.

Table of Contents

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

There have been no other material changes in our market risk since December 31, 2013.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Pursuant to Rule 13a-15(e) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, management, under the direction of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated our disclosure controls and procedures. Based on such evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of June 29, 2014.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting for the quarter ended June 29, 2014 that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

We manufacture accelerator pedals for a number of automobile manufacturers, including subsidiaries of Toyota. In January 2010, Toyota initiated a recall of a substantial number of vehicles in North America containing pedals manufactured by CTS. The recall expanded to include vehicles in Europe and Asia. The pedal recall and associated events have led to us being named as a co-defendant with Toyota in certain litigation.

In February 2010, we entered into an agreement with Toyota whereby Toyota agreed that it will indemnify, defend, and hold us harmless from, and the parties will cooperate in the defense of, certain third-party civil claims and actions that are filed or asserted in the United States or Canada and that arise from or relate to alleged incidents of unintended acceleration of Toyota and Lexus vehicles. If it is determined that CTS acted negligently in selecting materials or processes where we had sole control over the selection process, in failing to meet Toyota's specifications, or in making unapproved changes in component design or materials, and such negligence caused or contributed to a claim, we will be responsible for any judgment that may be rendered against us individually, or any portion of a judgment that may be allocated to us, but limited only to the extent of insurance collected from our insurers. Toyota would remain responsible to defend CTS in these actions and would remain responsible for any balance of the remaining liability over amounts recovered by insurance. The agreement also does not cover costs or liabilities in connection with government investigations, government hearings, or government recalls. CTS cannot assure that Toyota will not seek to recover a portion of its recall-related costs from CTS, or that the insurance CTS carries will be sufficient to cover such costs.

Presently, we have been served process and named as co-defendant with Toyota in approximately forty-two open lawsuits; we have been dismissed as a defendant from an additional thirty-one lawsuits. The claims generally fall into two categories, those that allege sudden unintended acceleration of Toyota vehicles led to injury or death, and those that allege economic harm to owners of Toyota vehicles related to vehicle defects. Some suits combine elements of both. Claims include demands for compensatory and special damages. To date, the only actions filed where we are aware we have been named as a co-defendant are civil actions filed in the United States or Canada. All currently open lawsuits are subject to the indemnification agreement described above. Some of these lawsuits arise out of incidents involving models for which we do not manufacture the pedal, such as all Lexus models, the Toyota Prius, and the

Toyota Tacoma, or for which we manufacture only a portion of the pedals, such as the Toyota Camry. Many lawsuits have been consolidated in federal multidistrict litigation in the United States District Court, Southern District of California, though some remain in various other courts.

Certain other claims are pending against us with respect to matters arising out of the ordinary conduct of our business. For all other claims, in the opinion of management, based upon presently available information, either adequate provision for anticipated costs have been accrued or the ultimate anticipated costs will not materially affect our consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

Table of Contents**Item 1A. Risk Factors**

There have been no significant changes to our risk factors since December 31, 2013.

Item 2. Unregistered sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

The following table summarizes the repurchases of CTS common stock made by the Company during the three-month period ending June 29, 2014:

| | | (a) Total Number of Shares Purchased | (b) Average Price Paid per Share | (c) Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Plans or Programs | (d) Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ |
|----------------|----------------|--------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| March 31, 2014 | April 27, 2014 | | \$ 0.00 | | 1,021,517 |
| April 28, 2014 | May 25, 2014 | 75,000 | \$ 17.45 | 75,000 | 946,517 |
| May 26, 2014 | June 29, 2014 | 108,672 | \$ 17.74 | 108,672 | 837,845 |
| Total | | 183,672 | | 183,672 | |

⁽¹⁾ In August 2012, CTS Board of Directors authorized a program to repurchase up to one million shares of its common stock in the open market. The authorization has no expiration.

⁽²⁾ In June 2013, CTS Board of Directors authorized another program to repurchase up to one million shares of its common stock in the open market. The authorization has no expiration.

Item 6. Exhibits

| | |
|---------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| (31)(a) | Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| (31)(b) | Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| (32)(a) | Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| (32)(b) | Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document |
| 101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document |

| | |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document |

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

CTS Corporation

/s/ Robert J. Patton
Robert J. Patton

Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary

Dated: July 29, 2014

CTS Corporation

/s/ Ashish Agrawal
Ashish Agrawal

Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Dated: July 29, 2014