

UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC.

Form 10-K

March 03, 2014

[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 001-33251

UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware **65-0231984**
(State or other jurisdiction of **(I.R.S. Employer**
incorporation or organization) **Identification No.)**
1110 West Commercial Blvd., Suite 100, Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33309
(Address of principal executive offices)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (954) 958-1200

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class	Name of each exchange on which registered
Common Stock, \$.01 Par Value	New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None.

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company.

Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. - Form 10-K

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer Smaller Reporting Company
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the
Act). Yes No

State the aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the common equity was sold as of June 30, 2013: \$203,361,651.

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of Common Stock of Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc. as of February 18, 2014: 34,636,054

Table of Contents

UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
<u>PART I</u>	
Item 1. <u>Business</u>	2
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	8
Item 1B. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	14
Item 2. <u>Properties</u>	14
Item 3. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	14
Item 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	14
<u>PART II</u>	
Item 5. <u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Released Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	14
Item 6. <u>Selected Financial Data</u>	17
Item 7. <u>Management Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	18
Item 7A. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	41
Item 8. <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	43
Item 9. <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	82
Item 9A. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	82
Item 9B. <u>Other Information</u>	82
<u>PART III</u>	
Item 10. <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	82
Item 11. <u>Executive Compensation</u>	83
Item 12. <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	83
Item 13. <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	83
Item 14. <u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	83
<u>PART IV</u>	
Item 15. <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	83
<u>Signatures</u>	87
Exhibit 21: List of Subsidiaries	

- Exhibit 23.1: CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM
- Exhibit 31.1: CERTIFICATION
- Exhibit 31.2: CERTIFICATION
- Exhibit 32: CERTIFICATION

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Information called for in PART III of this Form 10-K is incorporated by reference to the registrant's definitive Proxy Statement to be filed within 120 days of the close of the registrant's fiscal year in connection with the registrant's annual meeting of shareholders.

Table of Contents

NOTE ABOUT FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report contains, in addition to historical information, forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. The forward-looking statements anticipate results based on our estimates, assumptions and plans that are subject to uncertainty. Forward-looking statements may appear throughout this report, including without limitation, the following sections: Business, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, and Risk Factors. These forward-looking statements may be identified by their use of words like plans, seeks, expects, will, should, anticipates, estimates, intends, believes, likely, targets and other words and phrases that have similar meanings. These statements may address, among other things, our strategy for growth, catastrophe exposure management, product development, investment results, regulatory approvals, market position, expenses, financial results, litigation and reserves. We believe that these statements are based on reasonable estimates, assumptions and plans. However, if the estimates, assumptions or plans underlying the forward-looking statements prove inaccurate or if other risks or uncertainties arise, actual results could differ materially from those communicated in these forward-looking statements. A detailed discussion of risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results and events to differ materially from such forward-looking statements is included in the section titled Risk Factors (Part I, Item 1A of this report). We undertake no obligation to update or revise publicly any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise.

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

THE COMPANY

Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc. (UIH) is a Delaware corporation originally incorporated as Universal Heights, Inc. in November 1990. The name was changed to Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc. in January 2001. UIH and its wholly-owned subsidiaries (we or the Company) have evolved into a vertically integrated insurance holding company. Our insurance products are offered to our customers through Universal Property & Casualty Insurance Company (UPCIC) and American Platinum Property and Casualty Insurance Company (APPCIC), collectively referred to as the Insurance Entities. Substantially all aspects of insurance underwriting, distribution and claims processing are performed by our subsidiaries. Our principal executive offices are located at 1110 West Commercial Boulevard, Suite 100, Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33309, and our telephone number is (954) 958-1200.

In 1997, we organized a subsidiary, UPCIC, as part of our strategy to take advantage of what management believed to be profitable business and growth opportunities in Florida's residential property and casualty insurance marketplace. UPCIC was formed to participate in the transfer of homeowners' insurance policies from the Florida Residential Property and Casualty Joint Underwriting Association (JUA). UPCIC's application to become a Florida licensed property and casualty insurance company was filed with the Florida Office of Insurance Regulation (OIR) on May 14, 1997 and approved on October 29, 1997. UPCIC's proposal to begin operations through the acquisition of homeowners insurance policies issued by the JUA was approved by the JUA on May 21, 1997, subject to certain minimum capitalization and other requirements.

In September 2006, we initiated the process of acquiring all of the outstanding common stock of Atlas Florida Financial Corporation, which owned all of the outstanding common stock of Sterling Premium Finance Company, Inc. (Sterling), from certain of our executive officers for \$50,000, which approximated Sterling's book value. We received approval of the acquisition from the OIR. Sterling has been renamed Atlas Premium Finance Company and

commenced offering premium finance services in November 2007.

Blue Atlantic Reinsurance Corporation (BARC) was incorporated in Florida on November 9, 2007 as a wholly owned subsidiary of UIH to be a reinsurance intermediary broker. BARC became licensed by the Florida Department of Financial Services as a reinsurance intermediary broker on January 4, 2008.

We filed an application with the OIR on June 23, 2008 to open a second property and casualty insurance subsidiary, Infinity Property and Casualty Insurance Company (Infinity), in Florida. Infinity was renamed APPCIC. On October 1, 2008, we signed a consent order agreeing to the terms and conditions for the issuance of a certificate of authority to APPCIC. The final approval and issuance of the certificate of authority was granted by the OIR on December 2, 2008.

INSURANCE BUSINESS

The Florida Insurance Code currently requires that residential property insurers holding a certificate of authority before July 1, 2011, such as our Insurance Entities, maintain capitalization, referred to as minimum capitalization, equivalent to the greater of ten percent of the insurer's total liabilities or \$5 million. The dollar amount for the minimum capitalization is scheduled to increase to \$10 million on July 1, 2016 and then to \$15 million on July 1, 2021. Both Insurance Entities' statutory capital and surplus exceeded the minimum capitalization requirements as of December 31, 2013. The Insurance Entities are also required to adhere to prescribed premium-to-capital surplus ratios which were also met as of December 31, 2013.

Table of Contents

Our primary product is homeowners insurance offered through the Insurance Entities. Our criteria for accepting insurance risk includes, but are not limited to, common industry underwriting standards such as, defined coverage limitations on buildings and contents and risk condition. Also, to manage exposure and risk, we utilize standard industry catastrophe modeling techniques for hurricane and windstorm exposure.

We may consider underwriting other types of policies in the future, subject to approval by the appropriate regulatory authorities. See Government Regulation and Initiatives, Competition and Product Pricing for a discussion of the material regulatory and market factors that may affect the Insurance Entities ability to obtain additional policies.

UPCIC is licensed to transact insurance business in Florida, North Carolina, South Carolina, Hawaii, Georgia, Maryland and Massachusetts. The North Carolina Department of Insurance currently restricts UPCIC to writing no more than \$20.0 million of direct premiums per year. APPCIC is licensed to transact insurance business only in Florida.

The Insurance Entities average annual premium for policies in force as of December 31, 2013 was approximately \$1,460.

The geographical distribution of the Insurance Entities policies-in-force and total insured values were as follows for the period presented (dollars in thousands):

State	As of December 31, 2013			
	Count	%	Total Insured Value	%
Florida	499,949	93.3%	\$ 110,785,839	90.7%
Other states	36,039	6.7%	11,305,295	9.3%
Grand Total	535,988	100.0%	\$ 122,091,134	100.0%

County	As of December 31, 2013			
	Count	%	Total Insured Value	%
South Florida				
Palm Beach	57,042	11.4%	\$ 13,227,814	11.9%
Broward	55,928	11.2%	14,798,951	13.4%
Miami	34,704	6.9%	7,232,761	6.5%
South Florida exposure	147,674	29.5%	35,259,526	31.8%
Other significant* Florida counties				
Pinellas	37,634	7.5%	6,142,949	5.5%
Lee	30,662	6.1%	5,466,158	5.2%
Collier	23,531	4.7%	4,191,849	4.1%
Brevard	20,222	4.1%	4,076,853	3.8%
Hillsborough	20,138	4.1%	4,962,225	4.6%
Polk	19,902	4.0%	5,958,535	4.4%
Escambia	19,780	4.0%	5,356,606	5.0%
Orange	16,987	3.4%	3,884,923	2.5%

Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. - Form 10-K

Sarasota	16,567	3.3%	2,629,658	3.3%
Manatee	12,668	2.5%	2,232,199	2.2%
Duval	12,661	2.5%	2,793,458	2.1%
Total other significant* counties	230,752	46.2%	47,695,413	42.7%
Summary for all of Florida	Count	%	Total Insured Value	%
South Florida exposure	147,674	29.5%	35,259,526	31.8%
Total other significant* counties	230,752	46.2%	47,695,413	42.7%
Other Florida counties	121,523	24.3%	27,830,900	25.5%
Total Florida	499,949	100.0%	\$ 110,785,839	100.0%

* Significant counties defined as policy count or insured value greater than 2.50% of total policy count or total insured value for policies-in-force as of December 31, 2013.

Table of Contents

The policies in force as of December 31, 2013 including and excluding wind coverage is as follows (dollars in thousands):

Type of coverage	As of December 31, 2013			
	Count	%	Total Insured Value	%
Policies with wind coverage	525,130	98.0%	\$ 120,123,058	98.4%
Policies without wind coverage	10,858	2.0%	1,968,076	1.6%
Grand Total	535,988	100.0%	\$ 122,091,134	100.0%

INSURANCE OPERATIONS

The Insurance Entities generate revenues primarily from the collection of premiums. Universal Risk Advisors, Inc. (URA), our managing general agent, generates revenue through policy fee income and other administrative fees from the marketing of the Insurance Entities' insurance products through our distribution network of independent agents. URA performs underwriting, rating, policy issuance, reinsurance negotiations, and certain administration functions for the Insurance Entities. We have also formed Universal Adjusting Corporation, which adjusts claims for the Insurance Entities, and Universal Inspection Corporation, which performs property inspections for homeowners' insurance policies underwritten by the Insurance Entities.

Atlas Premium Finance Company offers premium finance services to policyholders of the Insurance Entities. BARC performs reinsurance negotiations on behalf of URA for the Insurance Entities. Universal Logistics Corporation assists with operational duties associated with our day-to-day business.

APPCIC is authorized to write homeowners multi-peril coverage on homes valued in excess of \$1.0 million, which are limits and coverages currently not targeted through its affiliate, UPCIC. APPCIC began writing insurance policies in Florida in November 2011.

We also generate income by investing available funds in excess of those retained for claims-paying obligations and insurance operations. See the Investments section below.

Coastal Homeowners Insurance Specialists, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of UIH, was incorporated in Florida on July 2, 2001 to solicit voluntary business. This entity represents our agency operations and seeks to generate income from commissions and other charges.

Management of Exposure to Catastrophic Losses

The Insurance Entities are exposed to potentially numerous insured losses arising out of single or multiple occurrences, such as natural catastrophes. The Insurance Entities' exposure to catastrophic losses arises principally out of hurricanes and windstorms. Through the use of standard industry modeling techniques that are susceptible to change, the Insurance Entities manage their exposure to such losses on an ongoing basis from an underwriting perspective. The catastrophe models we utilize analyze credible scientific evidence, regarding the potential impact of global climate change which the Company considers to determine the potential impact of laws and regulations intended to combat climate change and the effect of such change, laws and regulations on our ability to manage exposure under the Insurance Entities' policies.

The Insurance Entities protect themselves against the risk of catastrophic loss by obtaining annual reinsurance coverage as of the beginning of hurricane season on June 1 of each year.

The Insurance Entities rely on reinsurers to limit the amount of risk retained under their policies and to increase their ability to write additional risks. Our intention is to limit the Insurance Entities' exposure and therefore protect their capital, even in the event of catastrophic occurrences, through reinsurance agreements. The Insurance Entities obtain a significant portion of their reinsurance coverage from the Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund (FHCF).

Our reinsurance program consists of excess of loss, quota share and catastrophe reinsurance for multiple hurricanes. Our catastrophe reinsurance program is subject to the terms and limitations of the reinsurance contracts and currently covers certain levels of the Insurance Entities' projected exposure through three catastrophe events. However, we may not buy enough reinsurance to cover multiple storms going forward or be able to timely or cost-effectively obtain reinsurance. The Insurance Entities are responsible for losses related to catastrophic events with incurred losses in excess of coverage provided by our reinsurance program and for losses that otherwise are not covered by the reinsurance program.

UPCIC has in recent years purchased reinsurance coverage up to and above the 100-year Probable Maximum Loss (PML). PML is a general concept applied in the insurance industry for defining high loss scenarios that should be considered when underwriting insurance risk. Catastrophe models such as AIR CLASIC/2 and RMS Risk Link, produce loss estimates that are quantified in terms of dollars and probabilities. The Insurance Entities' PML amounts are modeled using both the AIR CLASIC/2 and RMS Risk Link

Table of Contents

versions in effect at the date of the calculation. Probability of exceedance or the probability that the actual loss level will exceed a particular threshold is a standard catastrophe model output. For example, the 100-year PML represents a 1.00% Annual Probability of Exceedance. It is estimated that the 100-year PML is likely to be equaled or exceeded in one year out of 100 on average, or 1 percent of the time. It is the 99th percentile of the annual loss distribution. However, as the Insurance Entities write policies throughout the year, the 100-year PML will change. It is possible that the reinsurance in place may not always surpass the 100-year PML at every point in time in one specific model. In addition, modeling results are merely estimates and are subject to various assumptions. Please see Item 1A. Risk Factors As a property and casualty insurer, we may face significant losses from catastrophes and severe weather events.

Although we use what we believe to be widely recognized and, commercially available models to estimate hurricane loss exposure, discrepancies between the assumptions and scenarios utilized in the models and the characteristics of future hurricane events could result in losses that are not covered by the Insurance Entities reinsurance program. See Item 1A. Risk Factors As a property and casualty insurer, we may face significant losses from catastrophes and severe weather events.

Management evaluates the financial condition of its reinsurers and monitors concentrations of credit risk arising from similar geographic regions, activities or economic characteristics of the reinsurers to minimize its exposure to significant losses from reinsurer insolvencies. However, see Item 1A. Risk Factors Reinsurance subjects us to the credit risk of our reinsurers and may not be adequate to protect us against losses arising from ceded risks, which could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition. While ceding premiums to reinsurers reduces the Insurance Entities risk of exposure in the event of catastrophic losses, it also reduces their potential for greater profits in the event that such catastrophic events do not occur. We believe that the extent of our Insurance Entities reinsurance is typical of companies similar in size and geographic exposure in the homeowners insurance industry.

Liability for Unpaid Losses and LAE

The liability for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses (LAE) periodically established by the Insurance Entities, also known as reserves, are estimates of amounts needed to pay reported and unreported claims and related loss adjustment expenses. The estimates necessarily will be based on certain assumptions related to the ultimate cost to settle such claims. There is an inherent degree of uncertainty involved in the establishment of a liability for unpaid losses and LAE and there may be substantial differences between actual losses and the Insurance Entities liability estimates. The inherent degree of uncertainty involved in the establishment of a liability for unpaid losses and LAE can be more pronounced during periods of rapid growth in written premiums such as those experienced by UPCIC in previous years. We rely on industry data, as well as the expertise and experience of independent actuaries in an effort to establish accurate estimates and an adequate liability. Furthermore, factors such as storms and weather conditions, climate changes and patterns, inflation, claim settlement patterns, legislative activity and litigation trends may have an impact on the Insurance Entities future loss experience.

The Insurance Entities are directly liable for loss and LAE payments under the terms of the insurance policies that they write. In many cases, several years may elapse between the occurrence of an insured loss and the Insurance Entities payment of that loss. As required by insurance regulations and accounting rules, the Insurance Entities reflect their liability for the ultimate payment of all incurred losses and LAE by establishing a liability for those unpaid losses and LAE for both reported and unreported claims, which represent estimates of future amounts needed to pay claims and related expenses.

When a claim involving a probable loss is reported, the Insurance Entities establish a liability for the estimated amount of their ultimate loss and LAE payments. The estimate of the amount of the ultimate loss is based upon such factors as the type of loss, jurisdiction of the occurrence, knowledge of the circumstances surrounding the claim, severity of injury or damage, potential for ultimate exposure, estimate of liability on the part of the insured, past experience with similar claims and the applicable policy provisions. All newly reported claims received are set up with an initial average liability. That claim is then evaluated and the liability is adjusted upward or downward according to the facts and damages of that particular claim. In addition, management provides for a liability on an aggregate basis to provide for losses incurred but not reported (IBNR). We utilize independent actuaries to help establish liabilities for unpaid losses and LAE. We do not discount the liability for unpaid losses and LAE for financial statement purposes.

The estimates of the liability for unpaid losses and LAE are subject to the effect of trends in claims severity and frequency and are continually reviewed. As part of this process, we review historical data and consider various factors, including known and anticipated legal developments, changes in social attitudes, inflation and economic conditions. As experience develops and other data become available, these estimates are revised, as required, resulting in increases or decreases to the existing liability for unpaid losses and LAE. Adjustments are reflected in results of operations in the period in which they are made and the liabilities may deviate substantially from prior estimates.

Table of Contents

Liability claims historically tend to have longer time lapses between the occurrence of the event, the reporting of the claim to the Insurance Entities and the final settlement than do property claims. Liability claims often involve third parties filing suit and the ensuing litigation. By comparison, property damage claims tend to be reported in a relatively shorter period of time with the vast majority of these claims resulting in an adjustment without litigation.

Based upon consultations with our independent actuarial consultants and their statement of opinion on losses and LAE, we believe that the liability for unpaid losses and LAE is currently adequate to cover all claims and related expenses which may arise from incidents reported and IBNR. However, if our liability for unpaid losses and LAE proves to be inadequate, we will be required to increase the liability with a corresponding reduction in net income in the period in which the deficiency is identified. Future losses in excess of established liabilities for unpaid losses and LAE could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. See Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources .

Investments

We generate income by investing funds in excess of those retained for claims-paying obligations and insurance operations. We conduct these investment activities through each of the Insurance Entities and UIH. We have retained investment advisers to advise us and manage the investment portfolio. Our investment committee reports overall investment results to our Board of Directors, at least on a quarterly basis. Our investment advisers may assist in such reports to the Board of Directors.

In recent years we have actively traded investment securities and other investments to supplement income derived from our insurance operations. Our investment trading strategy was:

primarily to maximize after-tax investment income; and

to obtain favorable risk-adjusted real after-tax investment returns to achieve long-term growth of the Insurance Entities' statutory surplus and consolidated stockholders' equity.

During 2013, our investment committee authorized management to engage Deutsche Bank, a leading global investment adviser specializing in the insurance industry, to manage our investment portfolio. Working with the investment adviser, we transitioned the composition of our portfolio to a more traditional insurance company investment portfolio, which we expect will provide a more stable stream of investment income and reduce the effects of market volatility. Our overall investment objective is to maximize total rate of return while maintaining liquidity and minimizing risk. Our investment strategy includes maintaining investments to support unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses for our insurance subsidiaries in accordance with guidelines established by insurance regulators.

As of December 31, 2013, the fair value of our consolidated investment portfolio was \$474.3 million, comprised of the following (in thousands):

Type of Investment	As of December 31, 2013
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 117,275

Restricted cash and cash equivalents	2,600
Fixed maturities	289,418
Equity securities	65,022
Total	\$ 474,315

The investment activities of the Insurance Entities are subject to regulation and supervision by the OIR. See Government Regulation and Initiatives below. The Insurance Entities may only make investments that are consistent with regulatory guidelines, and our investment policies for the Insurance Entities correspondingly limit the amount of investment in, among other things, non-investment grade fixed maturity securities (including high-yield bonds) and total investments in preferred stock and common stock. While we seek to appropriately limit the size and scope of investments in the UIH portfolio, UIH is not similarly restricted by Florida law. Therefore, the investments made by UIH may significantly differ from those made by the Insurance Entities. We do not purchase securities on margin. As of December 31, 2013, approximately 97% of our portfolio is held by our Insurance Entities and 3% is held by UIH.

See Note 3 INVESTMENTS in the accompanying notes to our consolidated financial statements in Part II, Item 8 below.

Table of Contents

Government Regulation and Initiatives

Florida insurance companies, such as the Insurance Entities, are subject to regulation and supervision by the OIR. The OIR has broad regulatory, supervisory and administrative authority. Such authority includes but is not limited to: the granting and revocation of licenses to transact business; the enforcement of standards of solvency that must be met and maintained; the nature of, and limitations on, investments; the review and approval of policy forms and rates; the review of reinsurance contracts; the periodic examination of the affairs of insurance companies; and the review of the form and content of required financial statements. A separate agency, the Florida Department of Financial Services, is responsible for the licensure and regulation of agents. Such regulation and supervision are primarily for the benefit and protection of policyholders and not for the benefit of investors.

Florida created the Citizens Property Insurance Corporation (Citizens) to provide insurance to Florida homeowners in high-risk areas and to others without private insurance options. As of December 31, 2013, there were 1,021,694 Citizens policies in force compared to 1,314,811 as of December 31, 2012. In May 2007, Florida passed legislation that froze property insurance rates for Citizens customers at December 2006 levels through December 31, 2008. This same legislation permitted insurance customers to opt into Citizens when the price of a privately-offered insurance policy is 15% more than the Citizens rate, compared to the previous opt-in threshold of 25%. Although Citizens rates have risen since 2008, legislative initiatives, together with any future initiatives that seek to further relax eligibility requirements or reduce premium rates for Citizens customers, could adversely affect our ability and the ability of the Insurance Entities to conduct profitable business. State and federal legislation relating to insurance is affected by a number of political and economic factors that are beyond our control. The Florida Legislature and the National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC) from time to time consider proposals that may affect, among other things, regulatory assessments and reserve requirements.

In addition, the Insurance Entities are required to offer wind mitigation discounts in accordance with a program mandated by the Florida Legislature and implemented by the OIR. The level of wind mitigation discounts mandated by the Florida Legislature to be effective June 1, 2007 for new business and August 1, 2007 for renewal business have had a significant negative effect on the Insurance Entities premium. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for a discussion of the impact of wind mitigation discounts on our results of operations.

The Florida Insurance Guaranty Association (FIGA) is a nonprofit corporation created by the Florida Legislature. FIGA services pending claims of Florida policyholders against member insurance companies which become insolvent and are ordered liquidated. The operation is directed towards early recognition and payment of those covered claims to avoid hardship or financial difficulties to the insureds or claimants involved. Payments of claims are funded with the proceeds of the failed insurers liquidated assets. When these proceeds are inadequate to cover outstanding claims, assessments are made among members which are composed of all Florida licensed direct writers of property or casualty insurance. In 2012, the OIR approved a mandatory assessment by FIGA that was applicable to all member insurers of FIGA's All Other Account, which includes UPCIC and APPCIC. The assessment was 0.9 percent of each of the Insurance Entities net direct written premiums within Florida for the calendar year 2011 and totaled \$6.3 million. The assessment had a negative effect on the consolidated operating results of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2012. The majority of the mandatory assessment on UPCIC of \$6.3 million was recovered in 2013 through a surcharge on UPCIC's policies within Florida, pursuant to a filing made with the OIR in November 2012. The mandatory assessment on APPCIC was a nominal amount that the Company has elected not to recover. Since the prior assessment levied by FIGA in 2009 on its All Other Account, FIGA reports there have been 13 foreign and domestic insurance company insolvencies affecting its claims-paying accounts.

UPCIC has become and will become subject to other states' laws and regulations as it has obtained and continues to seek authority to transact business in other states.

UPCIC received an order from the OIR dated May 30, 2013 related to the OIR's Target Market Conduct Final Examination Report of UPCIC for the period January 2009 through May 2013 (OIR Order). The OIR Order alleged certain violations and findings and sought to impose certain requirements and an administrative fine of \$1.3 million upon UPCIC. On October 4, 2013, UPCIC and the OIR signed a Consent Order settling the OIR Order. The Consent Order clarified language contained in the OIR Order, imposed certain requirements on UPCIC and required UPCIC to pay the administrative fine of \$1.3 million, which it paid on October 18, 2013.

Product Pricing

The rates charged by the Insurance Entities generally are subject to regulatory review and approval before they may be implemented. The Insurance Entities periodically submit their rate revisions to regulators as required by law or deemed by us to be necessary or appropriate for the Insurance Entities' business. We prepare these filings for the Insurance Entities based on objective data relating to their respective business and on judgment exercised by management and retained professionals.

Table of Contents

The premiums charged by the Insurance Entities to policyholders are affected by legislative enactments and administrative rules, including a state-mandated program requiring residential property insurance companies like ours to provide premium discounts when policyholders verify that insured properties have certain construction features or other windstorm loss reduction features. The level of required premium discounts may exceed the expected reduction in losses associated with the construction features for which the discounts are provided. Although the Insurance Entities may submit rate filings to address any premium deficiencies, those rate filings are subject to regulatory oversight and may not be approved.

On February 7, 2013, we announced that UPCIC received approval from the OIR for premium rate increases for its homeowners and dwelling fire programs within Florida. The premium rate increases will average approximately 14.1% statewide for its homeowners program and 14.5% for its dwelling fire program. The effective dates for the homeowners program rate increase were January 18, 2013, for new business and March 9, 2013, for renewal business. The effective dates for the dwelling fire program rate increase were January 14, 2013, for new business and March 3, 2013, for renewal business. In late 2013, the Company secured approval for an overall rate decrease for homeowners in the amount of 2.4%, effective in early 2014. In addition, an increase in the average dwelling fire rates of 8.1% also became effective in early 2014.

Competition

The insurance industry is highly competitive and many companies currently write homeowners property and casualty insurance. Additionally, we must compete with companies that have greater capital resources and longer operating histories and newly formed and less capitalized companies that might have more aggressive underwriting or pricing strategies. Increased competition from other private insurance companies as well as Citizens could adversely affect our ability to conduct profitable business. In addition, our Financial Stability Rating[®] is an important factor in establishing our competitive position and may affect our sales. Although our pricing is inevitably influenced to some degree by that of our competitors, we believe that it is generally not in our best interest to compete solely on price, choosing instead to compete on the basis of underwriting criteria, our distribution network and high quality service to our agents and insureds.

Employees

As of February 6, 2014, we had 300 full-time employees. None of our employees are represented by a labor union.

Available Information

Our internet address is <http://www.universalinsuranceholdings.com>. Our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to such reports are available, free of charge, through our website as soon as reasonably practicable after their filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). The SEC maintains an internet site that contains reports, proxy and information statements and other information regarding our filings at www.sec.gov.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

We are subject to a variety of risks, the most significant of which are described below. Our business, results of operations and financial condition could be materially and adversely affected by any of these risks or additional risks.

Risks Relating to the Property-Casualty Business

As a property and casualty insurer, we may face significant losses from catastrophes and severe weather events

Because of the exposure of our property and casualty business to catastrophic events, our operating results and financial condition may vary significantly from one period to the next. Catastrophes can be caused by various natural and man-made disasters, including wildfires, tornadoes, hurricanes, tropical storms and certain types of terrorism. We may incur catastrophe losses in excess of: those experienced in prior years; those estimated by a catastrophe model we use; the average expected level used in pricing; and our current reinsurance coverage limits.

In addition, we are subject to claims arising from weather events such as rain, hail and high winds. The incidence and severity of weather conditions are largely unpredictable. There is generally an increase in the frequency and severity of property claims when severe weather conditions occur. The nature and level of catastrophes in any period cannot be predicted and could be material to our operations. In addition, impacts of catastrophes and our catastrophe management strategy may adversely affect premium growth.

Although we use widely recognized and commercially available models to estimate hurricane loss exposure, other models exist that might produce higher or lower loss estimates. The loss estimates developed by the catastrophe model are dependent upon assumptions or scenarios incorporated into the model by a third-party developer and by us (or our representatives). However if these assumptions or scenarios do not reflect the characteristics of future catastrophic events that affect Florida or the resulting economic conditions, such may result in exposure for losses not covered by our reinsurance program.

Table of Contents

Despite our catastrophe management programs, we retain significant exposure to catastrophic events. Our liquidity could be constrained by a catastrophe, or multiple catastrophes, which result in extraordinary losses and have a negative impact on our business.

Unanticipated increases in the severity or frequency of claims may adversely affect our profitability and financial condition

Changes in the severity or frequency of claims may affect our profitability. Changes in homeowners' claim severity are driven by inflation in the construction industry, in building materials and in home furnishings and by other economic and environmental factors, including increased demand for services and supplies in areas affected by catastrophes. However, changes in the level of the severity of claims are not limited to the effects of inflation and demand surge in these various sectors of the economy. Increases in claim severity can arise from unexpected events that are inherently difficult to predict. Although we pursue various loss management initiatives in order to mitigate future increases in claim severity, there can be no assurances that these initiatives will successfully identify or reduce the effect of future increases in claim severity.

We may experience declines in claim frequency from time to time. The short-term level of claim frequency we experience may vary from period to period and may not be sustainable over the longer term. A significant long-term increase in claim frequency could have an adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition.

Actual claims incurred may exceed current reserves established for claims and may adversely affect our operating results and financial condition

Recorded claim reserves in the property-casualty business are based on our best estimates of losses, both reported and incurred but not reported (IBNR), after considering known facts and interpretations of circumstances. Internal factors are considered including our experience with similar cases, actual claims paid, historical trends involving claim payment patterns, pending levels of unpaid claims and contractual terms. External factors are also considered which include but are not limited to law changes, court decisions, changes to regulatory requirements and economic conditions. Because reserves are estimates of the unpaid portion of losses that have occurred, including IBNR losses, the establishment of appropriate reserves, including reserves for catastrophes, is an inherently uncertain and complex process. The ultimate cost of losses may vary materially from recorded reserves and such variance may adversely affect our operating results and financial condition.

Predicting claim expense relating to environmental liabilities is inherently uncertain and may have a material adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition

The process of estimating environmental liabilities is complicated by complex legal issues concerning, among other things, the interpretation of various insurance policy provisions and whether those losses are, or were ever intended to be covered; and whether losses could be recoverable through reinsurance. Litigation is often a complex, lengthy process that involves substantial uncertainty for insurers. Actuarial techniques and databases used in estimating environmental net loss reserves may prove to be inadequate indicators of the extent of probable loss. Ultimate net losses from environmental liabilities could materially exceed established loss reserves and expected recoveries and have a material adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition.

The failure of the risk mitigation strategies we utilize could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations

We utilize a number of strategies to mitigate our risk exposure, such as:

engaging in rigorous underwriting;

carefully evaluating terms and conditions of our policies; and

ceding risk to reinsurers.

However, there are inherent limitations in all of these tactics and no assurance can be given that an event or series of events will not result in loss levels in excess of our probable maximum loss models, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations. It is also possible that losses could manifest themselves in ways that we do not anticipate and that our risk mitigation strategies are not designed to address. Such a manifestation of losses could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

These risks may be heightened during difficult economic conditions such as those recently experienced in Florida and elsewhere.

Reinsurance may be unavailable at current levels and prices, which may limit our ability to write new business

Our reinsurance program was designed, utilizing our risk management methodology, to address our exposure to catastrophes. Market conditions beyond our control determine the availability and cost of the reinsurance we purchase. No assurances can be made that reinsurance will remain continuously available to us to the same extent and on the same terms and rates as are currently available. For

Table of Contents

example, our ability to afford reinsurance to reduce our catastrophe risk may be dependent upon our ability to adjust premium rates for our cost, and there are no assurances that the terms and rates for our current reinsurance program will continue to be available next year. If we were unable to maintain our current level of reinsurance or purchase new reinsurance protection in amounts that we consider sufficient and at prices that we consider acceptable, we would have to either accept an increase in our exposure risk, reduce our insurance writings, or develop or seek other alternatives.

Regulation limiting rate increases and requiring us to participate in loss sharing may decrease our profitability

From time to time, political dispositions affect the insurance market, including efforts to effectively maintain rates that may not allow us to reach targeted levels of profitability. Despite efforts to remove politics from insurance regulation, facts and history demonstrate that public policymakers, when faced with untoward events and adverse public sentiment, can act in ways that impede a satisfactory correlation between rates and risk. Such acts may affect our ability to obtain approval for rate changes that may be required to attain rate adequacy along with targeted levels of profitability and returns on equity. Our ability to afford reinsurance required to reduce our catastrophe risk may be dependent upon the ability to adjust rates for our cost.

Additionally, we are required to participate in guaranty funds for insolvent insurance companies. The guaranty funds periodically assess losses against all insurance companies doing business in the state. Our operating results and financial condition could be adversely affected by any of these factors.

The potential benefits of implementing our profitability model may not be fully realized

We believe that our profitability model has allowed us to be more competitive and operate more profitably. However, because many of our competitors have adopted underwriting criteria and sophisticated models similar to those we use and because other competitors may follow suit, our competitive advantage could decline or be lost. Competitive pressures could also force us to modify our profitability model. Furthermore, we cannot be assured that the profitability model will accurately reflect the level of losses that we will ultimately incur from the business generated.

Our financial condition and operating results and the financial condition and operating results of our Insurance Entities may be adversely affected by the cyclical nature of the property and casualty business

The property and casualty market is cyclical and has experienced periods characterized by relatively high levels of price competition, less restrictive underwriting standards and relatively low premium rates, followed by periods of relatively lower levels of competition, more selective underwriting standards and relatively high premium rates. A downturn in the profitability cycle of the property and casualty business could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Renewed weakness in the Florida real estate market could adversely affect our loss results

As of December 31, 2013, approximately 93% of our policies-in-force and 91% of total insured values were derived from customers located in Florida. In recent years, Florida experienced a significant economic downturn and among the highest real estate value diminution in the country. While the real estate market in Florida appears to be on the rebound, renewed weakness in that market could result in fewer home sales, which may adversely affect the number of policies we are able to sell and/or the rates we are able to charge to customers. Additionally, higher incidents of foreclosed or vacant homes may result in increased claims activity under residential insurance policies, which could negatively affect our operating results.

Changing climate conditions may adversely affect our financial condition, profitability or cash flows

Property and casualty insurers are subject to claims arising from catastrophes. Catastrophic losses have had a significant impact on our historical results. Catastrophes can be caused by various events, including hurricanes, tsunamis, windstorms, earthquakes, hailstorms, explosions, flooding, severe winter weather and fires and may include man-made events, such as terrorist attacks. The incidence, frequency and severity of catastrophes are inherently unpredictable.

Longer-term weather trends may be changing and new types of catastrophe losses may be developing due to climate change, a phenomenon that has been associated with extreme weather events linked to rising temperatures, including effects on global weather patterns, greenhouse gases, sea, land and air temperature, sea levels, rain and snow. The science regarding climate change is still emerging and developing. However, to the extent the frequency or severity of weather events is exacerbated due to climate change, we may experience increases in catastrophe losses in both coastal and non-coastal areas.

Table of Contents

Risks Relating to Investments

We have periodically experienced, and may experience further reductions in returns or losses on our investments especially during periods of heightened volatility, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial condition

Our investment strategy may subject our investment portfolio to significant volatility. The returns on our investment portfolio may be reduced or we may incur losses as a result of changes in general economic conditions, interest rates, real estate markets, fixed income markets, metals markets, energy markets, agriculture markets, equity markets, alternative investment markets, credit markets, exchange rates, global capital market conditions and numerous other factors that are beyond our control.

The worldwide financial markets experience high levels of volatility during certain periods, which could have an increasingly adverse impact on the U.S. and foreign economies. The financial market volatility and any resulting negative economic impact could continue and be prolonged, which could adversely affect our current investment portfolio, make it difficult to determine the value of certain assets in our portfolio and/or make it difficult for us to purchase suitable investments that meet our risk and return criteria. These factors could cause us to realize less than expected returns on invested assets, sell investments for a loss or write off or write down investments, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial condition.

We are subject to market risk which may adversely impact investment income

Our primary market risk exposures are changes in equity and commodity prices and interest rates. A decline in market interest rates could have an adverse effect on our investment income as we invest cash in new investments that may yield less than our portfolio's average rate of return. A decline could also lead us to purchase longer-term or riskier assets in order to obtain adequate investment yields resulting in a duration gap when compared to the duration of liabilities. An increase in market interest rates could have an adverse effect on the value of our investment portfolio by decreasing the fair values of the fixed maturity securities that comprise a large portion of our investment portfolio. A decline in the quality of our investment portfolio as a result of adverse economic conditions or otherwise could cause additional realized losses on securities.

Concentration of our investment portfolio in any particular segment of the economy may have adverse effects on our operating results and financial condition

The concentration of our investment portfolio in any particular industry, collateral types, group of related industries or geographic sector could have an adverse effect on our investment portfolio and consequently on our results of operations and financial condition. Events or developments that have a negative impact on any particular industry, group of related industries or geographic region may have a greater adverse effect on the investment portfolio to the extent that the portfolio are concentrated rather than diversified.

Our overall financial performance is dependent in part on the returns on our investment portfolio, which may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations or cause such results to be volatile

The performance of our investment portfolio is independent of the revenue and income generated from our insurance operations, and there is no direct correlation between the financial results of these two activities. Thus, to the extent that our investment portfolio does not perform well due to the factors discussed above or otherwise, our results of operations may be materially adversely affected even if our insurance operations perform favorably. Further, because

the returns on our investment portfolio may be volatile, our overall results of operations may likewise be volatile from period to period even if we do not experience significant financial variances in our insurance operations.

Risks Relating to the Insurance Industry

Our future results are dependent in part on our ability to successfully operate in an insurance industry that is highly competitive

The insurance industry is highly competitive. Many of our competitors have well-established national reputations and market similar products. Because of the competitive nature of the insurance industry, including competition for producers such as independent agents, there can be no assurance that we will continue to effectively compete with our industry rivals, or that competitive pressures will not have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results or financial condition. Our ability to successfully operate may also be impaired if we are not effective in filling critical leadership positions, in developing the talent and skills of our human resources, in assimilating new executive talent into our organization, or in deploying human resource talent consistent with our business goals.

Table of Contents

Difficult conditions in the economy generally could adversely affect our business and operating results

The United States economy has experienced widespread job losses, higher unemployment, lower consumer spending, declines in home prices and substantial increases in delinquencies on consumer debt, including defaults on home mortgages. Moreover, past disruptions in the financial markets, particularly the reduced availability of credit and tightened lending requirements, have affected the ability of borrowers to refinance loans at more affordable rates. We cannot predict the likelihood of a future recession, but as with most businesses, we believe a longer or more severe recession than the one recently experienced could have an adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

A general economic slowdown could adversely affect us in the form of consumer behavior and pressure on our investment portfolio. Consumer behavior could include decreased demand for insurance. Beginning mostly in 2008 and 2009, weakness in the housing market and a highly competitive environment contributed to reduced growth in policies in force. Our investment portfolio could be adversely affected as a result of deteriorating financial and business conditions.

There can be no assurance that actions of the U.S. federal government, Federal Reserve and other governmental and regulatory bodies intended to stabilize the financial markets and stimulating the economy will achieve the intended effect

In response to the financial crises affecting the banking system, the financial markets and the broader economy, the U.S. government, the Federal Reserve and other governmental and regulatory bodies have taken or are considering taking action to address such conditions including, among other things, purchasing mortgage-backed and other securities from financial institutions, investing directly in banks, thrifts and financial institution holding companies and increasing federal spending to stimulate the economy. There can be no assurance as to what impact such actions will have on the financial markets or on economic conditions. Such continued volatility and economic deterioration could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We are subject to extensive regulation and potential further restrictive regulation may increase our operating costs and limit our growth

As insurance companies, we are subject to extensive laws and regulations. These laws and regulations are complex and subject to change. Moreover, they are administered and enforced by a number of different governmental authorities, including state insurance regulators, state securities administrators, the SEC, the U.S. Department of Justice, and state attorneys general, each of which exercises a degree of interpretive latitude. Consequently, we are subject to the risk that compliance with any particular regulator's or enforcement authority's interpretation of a legal issue may not result in compliance with another's interpretation of the same issue, particularly when compliance is judged in hindsight. In addition, there is risk that any particular regulator's or enforcement authority's interpretation of a legal issue may change over time to our detriment, or that changes in the overall legal environment may, even absent any particular regulator's or enforcement authority's interpretation of a legal issue changing, cause us to change our views regarding the actions we need to take from a legal risk management perspective, thus necessitating changes to our practices that may, in some cases, limit our ability to grow and improve the profitability of our business. Furthermore, in some cases, these laws and regulations are designed to protect or benefit the interests of a specific constituency rather than a range of constituencies. For example, state insurance laws and regulations are generally intended to protect or benefit purchasers or users of insurance products, not holders of securities issued by us. In many respects, these laws and regulations limit our ability to grow and improve the profitability of our business.

In recent years, the state insurance regulatory framework has come under public scrutiny and members of Congress have discussed proposals to provide for federal chartering of insurance companies. We can make no assurances

regarding the potential impact of state or federal measures that may change the nature or scope of insurance regulation.

Our insurance subsidiaries are subject to examination and actions by state insurance departments

The Insurance Entities are subject to extensive regulation in the states in which they do business. State insurance regulatory agencies conduct periodic examinations of the Insurance Entities on a wide variety of matters, including policy forms, premium rates, licensing, trade and claims practices, investment standards and practices, statutory capital and surplus requirements, reserve and loss ratio requirements and transactions among affiliates. Further, the Insurance Entities are required to file annual and other reports with state insurance regulatory agencies relating to financial condition, holding company issues and other matters. If an insurance company fails to obtain required licenses or approvals, or if the Insurance Entities fail to comply with other regulatory requirements, the regulatory agencies can suspend or delay their operations or licenses, require corrective action and impose operating limitations, penalties or other remedies available under applicable laws and regulations.

Reinsurance subjects us to the credit risk of our reinsurers and may not be adequate to protect us against losses arising from ceded risks, which could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition

Reinsurance does not legally discharge us from our primary liability for the full amount of the risk we insure, although it does make the reinsurer liable to us in the event of a claim. As such, we are subject to credit risk with respect to our reinsurers. The collectability of reinsurance recoverables is subject to uncertainty arising from a number of factors, including changes in market conditions, whether insured losses meet the qualifying conditions of the reinsurance contract and whether reinsurers, or their affiliates, have the financial capacity and willingness to make payments under the terms of a reinsurance treaty or contract. Our inability to collect a material recovery from a reinsurer could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition.

Table of Contents

The continued threat of terrorism and ongoing military actions may adversely affect the level of claim losses we incur and the value of our investment portfolio

The continued threat of terrorism, both within the United States and abroad, and ongoing military and other actions and heightened security measures in response to these types of threats, may cause significant volatility and losses from declines in the equity markets and from interest rate changes in the United States, Europe and elsewhere, and result in loss of life, property damage, disruptions to commerce and reduced economic activity. Some of the assets in our investment portfolio may be adversely affected by reduced economic activity caused by the continued threat of terrorism. Additionally, in the event that terrorist acts occur, we could be adversely affected, depending on the nature of the event.

A downgrade in our Financial Stability Rating® may have an adverse effect on our competitive position, the marketability of our product offerings, and our liquidity, operating results and financial condition

Financial Stability Ratings® are important factors in establishing the competitive position of insurance companies and generally have an effect on an insurance company's business. On an ongoing basis, rating agencies review the financial performance and condition of insurers and could downgrade or change the outlook on an insurer's ratings due to, for example, a change in an insurer's statutory capital; a change in a rating agency's determination of the amount of risk-adjusted capital required to maintain a particular rating; a change in the perceived adequacy of an insurer's reinsurance program; an increase in the perceived risk of an insurer's investment portfolio; a reduced confidence in management or a host of other considerations that may or may not be within an insurer's control. Demotech, Inc., has assigned a Financial Stability Rating® of A for UPCIC and APPCIC. Because these ratings are subject to continuous review, the retention of these ratings cannot be assured. A downgrade in or withdrawal of these ratings, or a decision by Demotech to require the Insurance Entities' parent company to make a capital infusion into the Insurance Entities to maintain their ratings, may adversely affect our liquidity, operating results and financial condition.

Adverse capital and credit market conditions may significantly affect our ability to meet liquidity needs or our ability to obtain credit on acceptable terms

The capital and credit markets have been experiencing extreme volatility and disruption. In some cases, the markets have exerted downward pressure on the availability of liquidity and credit capacity. In the event that we need access to additional capital to pay our operating expenses, make payments on our indebtedness, pay for capital expenditures or fund acquisitions, our ability to obtain such capital may be limited and the cost of any such capital may be significant. Our access to additional financing will depend on a variety of factors such as market conditions, the general availability of credit, the overall availability of credit to our industry, and credit capacity, as well as lenders' perception of our long- or short-term financial prospects. Similarly, our access to funds may be impaired if regulatory authorities or rating agencies take negative actions against us. If a combination of these factors were to occur, our internal sources of liquidity may prove to be insufficient, and in such case, we may not be able to successfully obtain financing on favorable terms.

Loss of key executives could affect our operations

On February 22, 2013, our former Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer Bradley I. Meier resigned from his positions with the Company to pursue opportunities outside the residential homeowners' insurance industry. Our future operations will depend in large part on the efforts of our new Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, Sean P. Downes, and on the efforts of our new Chief Operating Officer, Jon W. Springer, both of whom have served in executive roles at the Company or its affiliates for many years. The loss of the services provided by Mr. Meier, along with any future loss of the services provided by Mr. Downes or Mr. Springer, could have a material adverse

effect on the Company and on our financial condition and results of operations.

Data security breaches or denial of service on our website could have an adverse impact on our business and reputation

Unauthorized access to and unintentional dissemination of our confidential customer, employee or Company data or other breaches of data security in our facilities, networks or databases, or those of our agents or third-party vendors, could result in loss or theft of assets or sensitive information, data corruption or operational disruption that may expose the Company to liability and/or regulatory action and may have an adverse impact on the Company's customers, employees, reputation and business. In addition, any compromise of the security of our data or prolonged denial of service on our websites could harm the Company's business and reputation. We have designed, implemented and routinely test procedures for protection of confidential information and sensitive corporate data, including rapid response procedures to help contain or prevent data loss if a breach were to occur. We have also implemented multiple technical security protections and contractual obligations regarding security breaches for our agents and third-party vendors. Even with these efforts, there can be no assurance that security breaches or service disruptions will be prevented.

Table of Contents

Risks Relating to Debt Obligations

Our revolving line of credit and term loan have restrictive terms and our failure to comply with any of these terms could have an adverse effect on our business and prospects.

We have entered into a revolving line of credit and term loan, each of which contains a number of affirmative and negative covenants so long as any amounts are outstanding thereunder. The negative covenants in these instruments limit our ability and the ability of our subsidiaries to, among other things:

incur additional indebtedness;

merge, consolidate or dispose of our assets or the capital stock or assets of any subsidiary;

pay dividends, make distributions or redeem capital stock;

enter into certain transactions with our affiliates;

make material changes or modifications to our organizational structure; and

grant liens on our assets or the assets of our subsidiaries.

Our revolving line of credit also includes certain affirmative covenants, including financial covenants requiring us to maintain minimum unencumbered liquid assets of \$5 million, minimum shareholders' equity of \$120 million and a maximum leverage percentage of 30%, in each case, as such terms are defined and calculated under the revolving line of credit. A breach of any of these covenants would result in a default under our revolving line of credit, which could have a material adverse effect on our business and financial condition.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

On July 31, 2004, we purchased a building located in Fort Lauderdale, Florida that is now our headquarters. We occupy 100% of this building.

During the first half of 2012 we completed construction of a building containing approximately 11,000 square feet located in Fort Lauderdale, Florida, near our existing headquarters. We occupy 100% of the building and use it as additional office space.

There are no mortgages or lease arrangements for these buildings, and both buildings are adequately covered by insurance.

On July 12, 2013, UPCIC entered into a lease agreement for an office building containing 29,018 square feet adjacent to our headquarters in Fort Lauderdale, Florida. We expect to use this property for additional office and storage space. UPCIC took possession of the office building and began making monthly rental payments in October 2013. Also on July 12, 2013, UPCIC entered into a purchase agreement to acquire this property. The closing for the sale of the property is subject to certain closing conditions and will take place no later than February 5, 2015. UPCIC will receive a credit toward the purchase price of the property for a portion of the rent it pays under the lease agreement.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are subject to litigation in the normal course of our business. As of December 31, 2013, we were not a party to any non-routine litigation which is expected by management to have a material effect on our results of operations, financial condition or liquidity.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not Applicable

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Our common stock, par value \$0.01 per share (Common Stock), is quoted and traded on the New York Stock Exchange LLC (NYSE) under the symbol UVE. Our common shares were quoted and traded on the NYSE MKT LLC (NYSE MKT) from April 30, 2007 through December 2, 2013.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth prices of the Common Stock, as reported by the NYSE and NYSE MKT:

For year ended December 31, 2013	High	Low	Dividends Declared
First Quarter	\$ 4.85	\$ 4.32	\$ 0.08
Second Quarter	\$ 7.92	\$ 4.88	\$ 0.08
Third Quarter	\$ 8.55	\$ 7.05	\$ 0.10
Fourth Quarter	\$ 14.63	\$ 6.58	\$ 0.23

For year ended December 31, 2012	High	Low	Dividends Declared
First Quarter	\$ 4.02	\$ 3.90	\$ 0.10
Second Quarter	\$ 3.81	\$ 3.70	\$ 0.08
Third Quarter	\$ 3.53	\$ 3.43	\$ 0.08
Fourth Quarter	\$ 4.16	\$ 4.04	\$ 0.20

As of February 18, 2014, there were approximately 47 shareholders of record of our Common Stock.

As of December 31, 2013, there were 2 and 1 shareholders of our Series A and Series M Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock (Preferred Stock), respectively. There were no conversions of Series A Preferred Stock during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012. In both 2013 and 2012, we declared and paid aggregate dividends of \$20 thousand to holders of record of the Company s Series A Preferred Stock.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, shareholders converted 77,740 shares of Series M Preferred Stock into 388,700 shares of Common Stock. There were no conversions of Series M Preferred Stock in 2012. We declared and paid aggregate dividends to holders of record of the Company s Series M Preferred Stock of \$9 thousand and \$267 thousand for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Applicable provisions of the Delaware General Corporation Law may affect our ability to declare and pay dividends on our Common Stock. In particular, pursuant to the Delaware General Corporation Law, a company may pay dividends out of its surplus, as defined, or out of its net profits, for the fiscal year in which the dividend is declared and/or the preceding year. Surplus is defined in the Delaware General Corporation Law to be the excess of net assets of the company over capital. Capital is defined to be the aggregate par value of shares issued. Moreover, our ability to pay dividends, if and when declared by our Board of Directors, may be restricted by regulatory limits on the amount of dividends, which the Insurance Entities are permitted to pay UIH. Section 628.371 of the Florida Statutes sets forth limitations, based on net income and statutory capital, on the amount of dividends that the Insurance Entities may pay to UIH without approval from the OIR. In addition, under the Company s revolving loan agreement and related revolving note (DB Loan) with Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, and the Company s unsecured term loan agreement and related term note (Term Loan) with RenaissanceRe Ventures Ltd., so long as any amounts or obligations are outstanding thereunder, UIH will be restricted from paying dividends to its shareholders if an event of default (or an event, the giving of notice of which or with the lapse of time or both, would become an event of default) is continuing at the time of and immediately after paying such dividend.

Table of Contents**Stock Performance Graph**

The following graph compares the cumulative total stockholder return of UIH's Common Stock from December 31, 2008 through December 31, 2013 with the cumulative total return of the SNL Insurance P&C and the NYSE MKT Composite Index.

<i>Index</i>	<i>Period Ending</i>					
	12/31/08	12/31/09	12/31/10	12/31/11	12/31/12	12/31/13
Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc.	100.00	271.41	240.43	190.73	261.59	918.51
SNL Insurance P&C	100.00	108.11	128.91	130.33	153.85	203.82
NYSE MKT Composite Index	100.00	135.58	170.28	180.99	192.95	205.80

SNL Insurance P&C includes all publicly traded insurance underwriters in the property and casualty sector in the United States and was prepared by SNL Financial, Charlottesville, Virginia. The graph assumes the investment of \$100 in UIH's Common Stock and in each of the two indices on December 31, 2008 with all dividends being reinvested on the ex-dividend date. The closing price of UIH's Common Stock on December 31, 2013 (the last trading day of the year) was \$14.48 per share. The stock price performance on the graph is not necessarily indicative of future price performance.

The stock prices used to calculate total shareholder return for UIH are based upon the prices of our common shares quoted and traded on NYSE and NYSE MKT.

Table of Contents**Future Dividend Policy**

Future cash dividend payments are subject to business conditions, our financial position, and requirements for working capital and other corporate purposes.

Stock Repurchases

The following table provides information related to repurchases of our Common Stock during the quarter ended December 31, 2013:

	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased As Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number of Shares That May Yet be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
10/1/13 - 10/31/13				
11/1/13 - 11/30/13(1)	591,333	7.24		
12/1/13 - 12/31/13				
Total	591,333	\$ 7.24		

- (1) Includes 300,000 shares repurchased from Bradley I. Meier, the Company's Former Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, in a privately negotiated transaction. Also includes 291,333 shares repurchased from Norman M. Meier, the Company's former Director and Secretary. See Item 8 Note 8 (Stockholders' Equity) for additional information regarding the repurchases.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following selected consolidated financial data should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto and Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations appearing elsewhere in the Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

The following tables provide selected financial information as of and for the periods presented (in thousands, except per share data):

	Years Ended December 31,				
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
Income statement data:					
Direct premiums written	\$ 783,894	\$ 780,128	\$ 721,462	\$ 666,309	\$ 562,672
Ceded premiums written	(522,116)	(517,604)	(512,979)	(466,694)	(428,384)
Net premiums written	261,778	262,524	208,483	199,615	134,288
Change in net unearned premium	5,877	(31,404)	(9,498)	(29,172)	7,366
Premiums earned, net	\$ 267,655	\$ 231,120	\$ 198,985	\$ 170,443	\$ 141,654
Total revenue	\$ 301,159	\$ 269,939	\$ 225,861	\$ 239,923	\$ 210,642
Total expenses	200,603	217,380	192,143	177,645	164,479
Income before income taxes	100,556	52,559	33,718	62,278	46,163
Income taxes, net	41,579	22,247	13,609	25,294	17,376
Net income and comprehensive income	\$ 58,977	\$ 30,312	\$ 20,109	\$ 36,984	\$ 28,787
Earnings per share data:					
Basic earnings per common share	\$ 1.64	\$ 0.76	\$ 0.51	\$ 0.95	\$ 0.76
Diluted earnings per common share	1.56	0.75	0.50	0.91	0.71
Dividends declared per common share	\$ 0.49	\$ 0.46	\$ 0.32	\$ 0.32	\$ 0.54

	As of December 31,				
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
Balance sheet data:					
Total assets (1)	\$ 920,090	\$ 925,735	\$ 894,026	\$ 803,837	\$ 710,679
Total liabilities (1)	744,481	762,221	744,021	664,047	597,405
Unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses	159,222	193,241	187,215	158,929	127,198
Unearned premiums	383,488	388,071	359,842	328,334	278,371
Long-term debt	37,240	20,221	21,691	23,162	24,632
Total stockholders' equity	\$ 175,609	\$ 163,514	\$ 150,005	\$ 139,790	\$ 113,274

- (1) Total assets and total liabilities for years 2009 and 2010 have been adjusted for a reclassification of reinsurance receivable. This adjustment had no impact on earnings or stockholders' equity. The adjustments were \$37.6 million and \$32.4 million for 2010 and 2009, respectively.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A) is intended to help the reader understand the results of operations and financial condition of UIH. MD&A is provided as

a supplement to, and should be read in conjunction with, our financial statements and accompanying notes in Part II, Item 8 below.

OVERVIEW

UIH is a vertically integrated insurance holding company performing all aspects of insurance underwriting, distribution and claims. Through our wholly-owned subsidiaries, including the Insurance Entities, we are principally engaged in the property and casualty insurance business offered primarily through a network of independent agents. Our criteria for accepting insurance risk includes, but is not limited to, common industry underwriting standards such as, defined coverage limitations on buildings and contents, and risk condition. Also, to manage exposure and risk, we utilize standard industry modeling techniques for hurricane and windstorm exposure. Our primary product is homeowners insurance, which we currently offer in seven states including Florida, which represented 93% of the 536 thousand policies-in-force as of December 31, 2013, and 96% of the 567 thousand policies-in-force as of December 31, 2012. Approximately 98% of our policies in force as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 included wind coverage.

Table of Contents

The following table provides the percentage of concentrations with respect to the Insurance Entities nationwide policies-in-force as of the periods presented:

	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Percentage of Policies-In-Force:		
In Florida	93%	96%
With wind coverage	98%	98%
With wind coverage in South Florida (1)	27%	28%

(1) South Florida is comprised of Miami-Dade, Broward and Palm Beach counties.

We generate revenues primarily from the collection of premiums and the investment of those premiums. Other significant sources of revenue include commissions collected from reinsurers and policy fees.

Investment Portfolio

We seek to generate income through the investment activities conducted by each of the Insurance Entities and UIH. Our investment strategy is intended to support our overall business strategy and supplement income derived from our insurance underwriting activities. Thus, our operating results are dependent in part upon the results of our investment portfolio.

For the year ended December 31, 2013, we recorded \$8.2 million of net losses on our trading portfolio, compared to \$2.5 million and \$14.5 million of net losses for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The net losses of \$8.2 million recorded during 2013, were generated upon the liquidation of the trading portfolio in the first quarter of that year. As discussed under Item 1. Business Investments, during 2013, our investment committee authorized management to engage Deutsche Bank, a leading global third-party investment adviser specializing in the insurance industry, to manage our investment portfolio. Working with the investment adviser, we transitioned the composition of our portfolio to a more traditional insurance company investment portfolio which we expect will provide a more stable stream of investment income and reduce the effects of market volatility. We currently hold these investments in a portfolio available for sale with changes in fair value reflected in stockholders' equity with the exception of any other than temporary impairments which are reflected in earnings.

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

On January 2, 2014, we repurchased an additional 675,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock from Bradley I. Meier, the Company's former Chief Executive Officer, at approximately \$11.11 per share, in a privately negotiated transaction. The repurchase price represents a discount from the then-current market price of UIH's common stock. The Company has a right of first refusal to purchase shares of the Company's Common Stock offered for sale by Mr. Meier through December 31, 2014.

On January 27, 2014, we announced that UPCIC has submitted applications to the respective regulatory entities in Indiana, Minnesota and Delaware in order to expand in those states.

On January 30, 2014, we declared a dividend of \$0.10 per share on our outstanding common stock to be paid on March 3, 2014, to shareholders of record on February 19, 2014.

On February 24, 2014, the S&P Dow Jones Indices announced that the Company will join S&P SmallCap 600 Index after the close of trading on February 28, 2014.

Impact of new accounting pronouncement

We prospectively adopted new accounting guidance in the first quarter of 2012 related to accounting for costs associated with acquiring or renewing insurance contracts. Under the new guidance, net deferred policy acquisition costs were reduced from \$13.0 million to \$11.4 million, a difference of 13% at December 31, 2011. The resulting \$1.6 million difference was charged directly to earnings during the three months ended March 31, 2012. This charge represents an acceleration of deferred charges in the period of adoption, which would have ultimately been recognized within a twelve-month period.

Table of Contents

2013-2014 Reinsurance Program

Effective June 1, 2013, we entered into multiple reinsurance agreements comprising our 2013-2014 reinsurance program.

REINSURANCE GENERALLY

In the normal course of business, we limit the maximum net loss that can arise from large risks, risks in concentrated areas of exposure and from catastrophes, such as hurricanes or other similar loss occurrences, by purchasing certain reinsurance from other insurers or reinsurers to mitigate these potential losses. Our intention is to limit our exposure and the exposure of the Insurance Entities, thereby protecting stockholders' equity and the Insurance Entities' capital and surplus, even in the event of catastrophic occurrences, through reinsurance agreements. Without these reinsurance agreements, the Insurance Entities would be more substantially exposed to catastrophic losses with a greater likelihood that those losses could exceed their statutory capital and surplus. Any such catastrophic event, or multiple catastrophes, could have a material adverse effect on the Insurance Entities' solvency and our results of operations, financial condition and liquidity.

Below is a description of our 2013-2014 reinsurance program. Although the terms of the individual contracts vary, we believe that the overall terms of the 2013-2014 reinsurance program are more favorable than the 2012-2013 reinsurance program.

The Insurance Entities are responsible for insured losses related to catastrophic events in excess of coverage provided by their reinsurance programs. The Insurance Entities also remain responsible for insured losses notwithstanding the failure of any reinsurer to make payments otherwise due to the Insurance Entities. The Insurance Entities' inability to satisfy valid insurance claims resulting from catastrophic events could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and liquidity.

UPCIC REINSURANCE PROGRAM

Effective June 1, 2013, UPCIC entered into two quota share reinsurance contracts, both of which provide coverage through May 31, 2014 and one of which extends and provides coverage through May 31, 2015. Under the quota share contracts, through May 31, 2014, UPCIC cedes 45% of its gross written premiums, losses and loss adjustment expenses for policies with coverage for wind risk with a ceding commission equal to 26.7% of ceded gross written premiums. In addition, the quota share contract has a limitation for any one occurrence not to exceed \$125 million from losses arising out of events that are assigned a catastrophe serial number by the Property Claims Services (PCS) office (of which UPCIC's net liability on the first \$125 million of losses in a first, second and third event scenario is \$27.5 million for events affecting Florida; \$16.5 million in a first and second event scenario for events affecting Georgia, Maryland, Massachusetts, North Carolina and South Carolina; and \$5.5 million in a first and second event scenario for events affecting Hawaii), and an aggregate limitation from losses arising out of events that are assigned a catastrophe serial number by the PCS office not to exceed \$280 million. The contracts limit the amount of premium which can be deducted for inuring reinsurance.

Effective June 1, 2013 through May 31, 2014, under various excess catastrophe contracts, UPCIC obtained catastrophe coverage of 45% of \$698.5 million in excess of the quota share occurrence cap of \$125 million, covering certain loss occurrences including hurricanes. The catastrophe coverage has a second full limit available with additional premium calculated pro rata as to amount and 100% as to time, as applicable. Effective June 1, 2013 through May 31, 2014, under various excess catastrophe contracts, UPCIC also obtained catastrophe coverage of 55% of \$773.5 million in excess of \$50 million, covering certain loss occurrences including hurricanes. Of this capacity,

7.6% has two free reinstatements, 29.3% has one free reinstatement, and 63.1% has a second full limit available with additional premium calculated pro rata as to amount and 100% as to time, as applicable. For capacity with reinstatement premium, UPCIC purchased reinstatement premium protection which reimburses UPCIC for its cost to reinstate the catastrophe coverage up to the top of the estimated FHCF. The total cost of UPCIC's private catastrophe reinsurance program, effective June 1, 2013 through May 31, 2014, is \$104.889 million to UPCIC and \$60.781 million to the quota share reinsurers. In addition, UPCIC purchased reinstatement premium protection as described above, the cost of which is \$11.511 million.

Effective June 1, 2013 through May 31, 2014, UPCIC purchased subsequent catastrophe event excess of loss reinsurance to cover certain levels of loss through three catastrophe events including hurricanes. Specifically, UPCIC obtained catastrophe coverage in two separate contracts for a third event. The first contract covers 45% of \$95 million in excess of \$30 million in excess of \$190 million otherwise recoverable. The total cost of the first third event catastrophe excess of loss reinsurance contract is \$5.567 million, of which UPCIC's cost is \$0, and the quota share reinsurer's cost is the entire amount. The second contract covers 15% of \$25 million in excess of \$100 million in excess of \$50 million otherwise recoverable. The total cost of the second third event catastrophe excess of loss reinsurance contract is \$187.5 thousand, of which UPCIC is responsible for the entire amount.

Effective June 1, 2013 through May 31, 2014, UPCIC entered into a multiple line excess per risk contract with various reinsurers. Under the multiple line excess per risk contract, UPCIC obtained coverage of \$1.4 million in excess of \$600 thousand ultimate net loss for each risk and each property loss, and \$1 million in excess of \$300 thousand for each casualty loss. The contract has a limitation for any one occurrence not to exceed \$1.4 million and a \$7 million aggregate limit that applies to the term of the contract.

Table of Contents

Effective June 1, 2013 through May 31, 2014, UPCIC entered into a property per risk excess contract covering its policies that do not provide wind coverage. Under the property per risk excess contract, UPCIC obtained coverage of \$350 thousand in excess of \$250 thousand for each property loss. The contract has a limitation for any one occurrence not to exceed \$1.050 million and a \$1.750 million aggregate limit that applies to the term of the contract. The total cost of UPCIC's multiple line excess and property per risk reinsurance program, effective June 1, 2013 through May 31, 2014, is \$4.450 million, of which UPCIC's cost is \$2.673 million, and the quota share reinsurers' cost is the remaining \$1.778 million.

Effective June 1, 2013 through June 1, 2014, under an excess catastrophe contract specifically covering risks located in Georgia, Maryland, Massachusetts, North Carolina and South Carolina, UPCIC obtained catastrophe coverage consisting of three layers of 55% of \$20 million in excess of \$30 million, 55% of \$25 million in excess of \$50 million and 55% of \$50 million in excess of \$75 million covering certain loss occurrences including hurricanes. All three layers of coverage have a second full limit available to UPCIC with additional premium calculated pro rata as to amount and 100% as to time, as applicable. The cost of UPCIC's excess catastrophe contracts specifically covering risks in Georgia, Maryland, Massachusetts, North Carolina and South Carolina is \$3.412 million.

Effective June 1, 2013 through June 1, 2014, under an excess catastrophe contract specifically covering risks located in Hawaii, UPCIC obtained catastrophe coverage of 55% of \$20 million in excess of \$10 million covering certain loss occurrences including hurricanes. The layer of coverage has a second full limit available to UPCIC with additional premium calculated pro rata as to amount and 100% as to time, as applicable. The cost of UPCIC's excess catastrophe contract specifically covering risks in Hawaii is \$330 thousand.

UPCIC also obtained coverage from the FHCF. The approximate coverage is estimated to be 90% of \$1.158 billion in excess of \$423.1 million. The estimated premium that UPCIC plans to cede to the FHCF for the 2013 hurricane season is \$77.993 million of which UPCIC's cost is 55%, or \$42.896 million, and the quota share reinsurers' cost is the remaining 45%.

The largest private participants in UPCIC's reinsurance program include leading reinsurance companies such as Odyssey Re, Everest Re, Renaissance Re and Lloyd's of London syndicates.

With the implementation of the Company's 2013-2014 reinsurance program at June 1, 2013, the Company retains a maximum pre-tax net liability of \$27.5 million for the first catastrophic event up to \$1.868 billion of losses relating to the UPCIC Florida program, a maximum pre-tax net liability of \$16.5 million for the first catastrophic event up to \$125 million of losses relating to the UPCIC Georgia, Maryland, Massachusetts, North Carolina and South Carolina program, and a maximum pre-tax net liability of \$5.5 million for the first catastrophic event up to \$30 million of losses relating to the UPCIC Hawaii program.

APPCIC REINSURANCE PROGRAM

Effective June 1, 2013 through May 31, 2014, under three layers in an excess catastrophe contract, APPCIC obtained catastrophe coverage of \$20.250 million in excess of \$2.5 million covering certain loss occurrences including hurricanes. The coverage of \$20.250 million in excess of \$2.5 million has a second full limit available to APPCIC; additional premium is calculated pro rata as to amount and 100% as to time, as applicable. The total cost of APPCIC's private catastrophe reinsurance program effective June 1, 2013 through May 31, 2014 is \$2.763 million.

Effective June 1, 2013 through May 31, 2014, APPCIC purchased reinstatement premium protection which reimburses APPCIC for its cost to reinstate the entire \$20.250 million of catastrophe coverage in one contract. The cost of APPCIC's purchased reinstatement premium protection is \$388 thousand.

APPCIC also obtained coverage from the FHCF. The approximate coverage is estimated to be 90% of \$39.084 million in excess of \$14.276 million. The estimated premium that APPCIC plans to cede to the FHCF for the 2013 hurricane season is \$2.632 million.

Effective June 1, 2013 through May 31, 2014, APPCIC entered into a multiple line excess per risk contract with various reinsurers. Under the current multiple line excess per risk contract, APPCIC has coverage of \$8.7 million in excess of \$300 thousand ultimate net loss for each risk and each property loss, and \$1 million in excess of \$300 thousand for each casualty loss. A \$21.5 million aggregate limit applies to the term of the contract for property related losses and a \$2 million aggregate limit applies to the term of the contract for casualty related losses.

The total cost of the APPCIC multiple line excess reinsurance program effective June 1, 2013 through May 31, 2014 is \$3.3 million.

Table of Contents

The largest private participants in APPCIC's reinsurance program include leading reinsurance companies such as ACE Tempest Re, Everest Re, Hiscox, Odyssey Re, Hannover Ruck, Amlin Bermuda and Lloyd's of London syndicates.

With the implementation of the Company's 2013-2014 reinsurance program at June 1, 2013, the Company retains a maximum pre-tax net liability of \$2.5 million for the first catastrophic event up to \$59.36 million of losses relating to the APPCIC program.

UIH PROGRAM

Separately from the Insurance Entities' reinsurance programs, UIH protected its own assets against diminution in value due to catastrophe events by purchasing \$75 million in coverage through a catastrophe risk-linked transaction contract, effective June 1, 2013 through December 31, 2013. The contract provides for recovery by UIH in the event of exhaustion of UPCIC's catastrophe coverage for the state of Florida. The total cost to UIH of the risk-linked transaction contract is \$6.0 million. UIH also purchased additional coverage equivalent to \$100 million in the form of insurance proceeds and forgiveness of debt through a catastrophe risk-linked transaction contract, effective June 1, 2013 through May 31, 2016. This contract also provides for recovery by UIH in the event of exhaustion of UPCIC's catastrophe coverage for the state of Florida. The total cost to UIH of this risk-linked transaction contract is \$9.0 million per year for each of the three years.

Segregated Account T25

UIH owned and maintained a segregated account, Segregated Account T25 - Universal Insurance Holdings of White Rock Insurance (SAC) Ltd. (T25), established in accordance with Bermuda law. As part of our overall reinsurance program, T25 at times entered into underlying excess catastrophe contracts with the Insurance Entities for the purpose of assuming certain risk for specified loss occurrences, including hurricanes. The agreements between T25 and the Insurance Entities were a cost-effective alternative to reinsurance during certain years that the Insurance Entities would otherwise purchase from third-party reinsurers. While we retained the risk that otherwise would have been transferred to third-party reinsurers, these agreements provided benefits to the Insurance Entities in no-loss years that could not have been replicated in the open reinsurance market. These benefits include the return to the Insurance Entities of a substantial portion of the earned reinsurance premiums under the contract. All the related intercompany transactions with respect to these agreements were eliminated in consolidation.

The T25 agreement effective June 1, 2012 through May 31, 2013 was terminated effective December 31, 2012, pursuant to the terms of the agreement. In connection with the termination of the agreement, the affiliates agreed to release funds held in trust due to the beneficiary (i.e., UPCIC) and the balance to the grantor (i.e., UIH) in December 2012.

We continually evaluate strategies to more effectively manage our exposure to catastrophe losses, including the maintenance of catastrophic reinsurance coverage. Effective January 1, 2013, the T25 contract was subsequently replaced, at identical limits and retentions as the prior agreement, with unaffiliated third-party reinsurers as an open market purchase. Effective January 1, 2013 through May 31, 2013, under an excess catastrophe contract, UPCIC obtained catastrophe coverage of 45% of \$75 million in excess of \$75 million and 55% of \$105 million in excess of \$45 million covering certain loss occurrences including hurricanes. The total cost of this reinsurance coverage is \$2.7 million. For further discussion of risks associated with our reinsurance programs, see Item 1A. RISK FACTORS. Reinsurance may be unavailable at current levels and prices, which may limit our ability to write new business.

Wind Mitigation Discounts

The insurance premiums charged by the Insurance Entities are subject to various statutory and regulatory requirements. Among these, the Insurance Entities must offer wind mitigation discounts in accordance with a program mandated by the Florida Legislature and implemented by the OIR. The level of wind mitigation discounts mandated by the Florida Legislature to be effective June 1, 2007 for new business and August 1, 2007 for renewal business have had a significant negative effect on the Insurance Entities' premium.

Table of Contents

The following table reflects the effect of wind mitigation credits received by UPCIC's policy holders, respectively (in thousands):

Date	Reduction of in-force premium (only policies including wind coverage)			Percentage reduction of in-force premium
	Percentage of UPCIC's policy holders receiving credits	Total credits	In-force premium	
6/1/2007	1.9%	\$ 6,285	\$ 487,866	1.3%
12/31/2007	11.8%	\$ 31,952	\$ 500,136	6.0%
3/31/2008	16.9%	\$ 52,398	\$ 501,523	9.5%
6/30/2008	21.3%	\$ 74,186	\$ 508,412	12.7%
9/30/2008	27.3%	\$ 97,802	\$ 515,560	16.0%
12/31/2008	31.1%	\$ 123,525	\$ 514,011	19.4%
3/31/2009	36.3%	\$ 158,230	\$ 530,030	23.0%
6/30/2009	40.4%	\$ 188,053	\$ 544,646	25.7%
9/30/2009	43.0%	\$ 210,292	\$ 554,379	27.5%
12/31/2009	45.2%	\$ 219,974	\$ 556,557	28.3%
3/31/2010	47.8%	\$ 235,718	\$ 569,870	29.3%
6/30/2010	50.9%	\$ 281,386	\$ 620,277	31.2%
9/30/2010	52.4%	\$ 291,306	\$ 634,285	31.5%
12/31/2010	54.2%	\$ 309,858	\$ 648,408	32.3%
3/31/2011	55.8%	\$ 325,511	\$ 660,303	33.0%
6/30/2011	56.4%	\$ 322,640	\$ 673,951	32.4%
9/30/2011	57.1%	\$ 324,313	\$ 691,031	31.9%
12/31/2011	57.7%	\$ 324,679	\$ 702,905	31.6%
3/31/2012	57.9%	\$ 321,016	\$ 716,117	31.0%
6/30/2012	58.0%	\$ 319,639	\$ 722,917	30.7%
9/30/2012	58.2%	\$ 329,871	\$ 740,265	30.8%
12/31/2012	58.6%	\$ 334,028	\$ 744,435	31.0%
3/31/2013	58.6%	\$ 340,778	\$ 751,546	31.2%
6/30/2013	58.8%	\$ 352,244	\$ 747,603	32.0%
9/30/2013	59.4%	\$ 362,737	\$ 741,067	32.9%
12/31/2013	60.0%	\$ 371,792	\$ 729,675	33.8%

The following table reflects the effect of wind mitigation credits received by APPCIC's policy holders, respectively (in thousands):

Date	Reduction of in-force premium (only policies including wind coverage)			Percentage reduction of in-force premium
	Percentage of	Total credits	In-force premium	

	APPCIC s policy holders receiving credits			premium
12/31/2011	96.0%	\$ 636	\$ 554	53.4%
3/31/2012	89.4%	\$ 2,270	\$ 2,047	52.6%
6/30/2012	90.5%	\$ 6,167	\$ 5,139	54.5%
9/30/2012	94.0%	\$ 12,419	\$ 8,827	58.5%
12/31/2012	97.0%	\$ 16,059	\$ 9,874	61.9%
3/31/2013	97.3%	\$ 20,156	\$ 12,091	62.5%
6/30/2013	97.9%	\$ 22,471	\$ 13,245	62.9%
9/30/2013	98.1%	\$ 20,526	\$ 11,946	63.2%
12/31/2013	97.6%	\$ 19,839	\$ 11,484	63.3%

Table of Contents

Insurers like the Insurance Entities fully experience the impact of rate or discount changes more than 12 months after they are implemented because their policies renew throughout the year. Although insurers may seek to rectify any problems through subsequent rate increase filings with the OIR, there is no assurance that the OIR and the insurers will agree on the amount of rate change that is needed. In addition, any adjustments to the insurers' rates similarly take more than 12 months to be fully integrated into the insurers' business.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

Use of Estimates. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Our primary areas of estimate are described below.

Recognition of Premium Revenues. Property and liability premiums are recognized as revenue on a pro rata basis over the policy term. The portion of premiums that will be earned in the future are deferred and reported as unearned premiums. Management believes that its revenue recognition policies conform to Staff Accounting Bulletin 101, *Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements*.

Liability for Unpaid Losses and LAE. A liability is established to provide for the estimated costs of paying losses and LAE under insurance policies the Insurance Entities have issued. Underwriting results are significantly influenced by an estimate of a liability for unpaid losses and LAE. The liability is an estimate of amounts necessary to settle all outstanding claims, including claims that have been incurred but not reported (IBNR), as of the financial statement date.

Characteristics of Reserves. Reserves are established based on estimates of the ultimate cost to settle claims, less losses that have been paid. Claims are typically reported promptly with relatively little reporting lag between the date of occurrence and the date the loss is reported. UPCIC's claim settlement data suggests that homeowners' property losses have an average settlement time of less than one year, while homeowners' liability losses generally take longer.

Reserves are the difference between the estimated ultimate cost of losses and LAE incurred and the amount of paid losses as of the reporting date. Reserves are estimated for both reported and unreported claims, and include estimates of all expenses associated with processing and settling all incurred claims. We update reserve estimates periodically as new information becomes available or as events emerge that may affect the resolution of unsettled claims. Changes in prior year reserve estimates (reserve re-estimates), which may be material, are determined by comparing updated estimates of ultimate losses to prior estimates, and the differences are recorded as losses and LAE in the Consolidated Statements of Income in the period such changes are determined. Estimating the ultimate cost of losses and LAE is an inherently uncertain and complex process involving a high degree of judgment and is subject to the evaluation of numerous variables.

The Actuarial Methods used to Develop Reserve Estimates. Reserves for losses and LAE are determined in four primary segments. These segments are the estimation of reserves for Florida non-catastrophe losses, hurricane losses, sinkhole losses, and non-Florida non-catastrophe losses. Evaluations are performed for loss and LAE separately, and on a net and direct basis for each segment. The analyses for non-catastrophe losses are further separated into data groupings of like exposure. These groups are property damage on homeowner policy forms HO-3 and HO-8 combined, property damage on homeowner policy forms HO-4 and HO-6 combined, dwelling fire property damage, all homeowner liability exposure, and other liability (the optional liability coverage offered to dwelling fire policyholders).

Reserve estimates for both losses and LAE are derived using several different actuarial estimation methods that are variations on one primary actuarial technique. That actuarial technique is known as a chain ladder estimation process in which historical payment and reserving patterns are applied to actual paid and reported amounts (paid losses or LAE plus individual case reserves established by claim adjusters) for an accident period to create an estimate of how losses are likely to develop over time. This technique forms the basis of the seven actuarial methods described below. An accident period refers to classifying claims based on the date in which the claims occurred, regardless of the date it was reported to the Insurance Entities. This analysis is used to prepare estimates of required reserves for payments to be made in the future. Transactions are organized into half-year accident periods for purposes of the reserve estimates. The key data elements used to determine our reserve estimates include claim counts, loss and LAE payments, case reserves, and the related development factors applicable to this data.

The first method for estimating unpaid amounts for each segment is the reported development method. This method is based upon the assumption that the relative change in a given accident period's reported loss estimates from one evaluation point to the next is similar to the relative change in prior periods' reported loss estimates at similar evaluation points. In utilizing this method, actual 6-month historical loss activity is evaluated. Successive periods can be arranged to form a triangle of data. Report-to-report (RTR) development factors are calculated to measure the change in cumulative reported losses from one evaluation point to the next. These historical RTR factors form the basis for selecting the RTR factors used in projecting the current valuation of losses to an ultimate basis. In addition, a tail factor is selected to account for loss development beyond the observed experience. The tail factor is based on trends shown in the data and consideration of industry loss development benchmarks. This method's implicit assumption is that the relative adequacy of case reserves has been consistent over time, and that there have been no material changes in the rate at which claims have been reported or settled.

Table of Contents

The second method is the paid development method. This method is similar to the reported development method; however, case reserves are excluded from the analysis. While this method has the disadvantage of not recognizing the information provided by current case reserves, it has the advantage of avoiding potential distortions in the data due to changes in case reserving methodology. This method's implicit assumption is that the rate of payment of claims has been relatively consistent over time.

The third method is the paid development method, but performed using only the payment history of closed claims. This method is similar to the paid development method; however, payments that are made during the claims settlement process are not considered. While this method has the disadvantage of not recognizing the information provided by current case reserves or partial payments, it has the advantage of avoiding potential distortions in the data due to changes in settlement practices during the life of an active claim. This method's implicit assumption is that the rate of claims closures has been relatively consistent over time.

The fourth, fifth and sixth methods are Bornhuetter-Ferguson (B-F) methods relating to reported, paid and closed development methods discussed above. B-F methods are essentially a blend of two other methods. The first method is an expected loss method, whereby the IBNR estimate equals the difference between predetermined estimates of expected losses and actual reported losses. The second method in each case is the development method (each described above), whereby actual losses are multiplied by an expected development factor. The B-F methods combine these two methods by setting ultimate losses equal to actual reported (or paid) losses plus expected unreported (or unpaid) losses. As an experience year matures and expected unreported (or unpaid) losses become smaller, the initial expected loss assumption becomes gradually less important. This has the advantage of stability, but it does not respond to actual results as they emerge.

Two parameters are needed in each application of the B-F method: the initial expected loss ratio and the expected reporting or payment pattern. The initial expected loss ratio for each accident period other than the current year is set equal to the estimated ultimate loss ratio from the prior analysis. Initial expected loss ratios for the current year's accident periods are determined based on trends in historical ratios, rate changes, and underlying loss trends. The expected reporting pattern is based on the reported, paid, or closed claim loss development method described above. This method is often used for long-tail lines and in situations where the reported loss experience is relatively immature or lacks sufficient credibility for the application of other methods.

A seventh method, called the Reported Counts and Averages method, is utilized for the estimate of Loss Adjustment Expenses for each segment, and also for the estimation of loss and LAE reserves for sinkhole losses. In this method, an estimate of unpaid losses or expenses is determined by separately projecting ultimate reported claim counts and ultimate reported claim severities (cost per reported claim) for each accident period. Typically, loss development methods are used to project ultimate claim counts and claim severities based on historical data using the same methodology described in the reported development method above. Estimated ultimate losses are then calculated as the product of the two items. This method is intended to avoid data distortions that may exist with the other methods for the most recent years as a result of changes in case reserve levels, settlement rates and claims handling fees. In addition, it may provide insight into the drivers of loss experience. This method is only utilized for sinkhole losses due to unique settlement patterns that have emerged since the passage of legislation that codified claim settlement practices with respect to sinkhole related claims and subsequent policy form changes implemented by the Company. Claims for sinkholes are expected to be reported and settled at different rates and ultimate values than historically observed, requiring a departure from traditional development methodologies.

In selecting RTR development factors used in each method above, due consideration is given to how the RTR development factors change from one year to the next over the course of several consecutive years of recent history. In addition to paid and reported loss development triangles, various diagnostic triangles, such as triangles showing

historical patterns in the ratio of paid to reported losses and closed to reported claim counts are typically prepared as a means of determining the stability of various determinants of loss development, such as consistency in claims settlement and case reserving.

The implicit assumption of these techniques is that the selected RTR factors combine to form loss development patterns that are predictive of future loss development. The effects of inflation are implicitly considered in the reserving process, the implicit assumption being that the selected development factors includes an adequate provision. Occasionally, unusual aberrations in loss patterns are caused by external and internal factors such as changes in claim reporting, settlement patterns, unusually large losses, an unusually large amount of catastrophe losses, process changes, legal or regulatory changes, and other influences. In these instances, analyses of alternate development factor selections are performed to evaluate the effect of these factors, and actuarial judgment is applied to make appropriate development factor assumptions needed to develop a best estimate of ultimate losses. Claims reported in 2013, for example, have or will benefit from several initiatives designed to expedite claim closure rates and reduce settlement costs introduced in our claims department during the last 12 months. These changes influenced development pattern selections applied to 2013 accident year claims in the reserving estimates for each of the seven methods described above.

Table of Contents

Estimates of unpaid losses for hurricane experience are not developed using company specific development patterns, due to the relatively infrequent nature of storms and the high severity typically associated with them. Both the reported development method and the paid development method were used to estimate ultimate losses. However, the development patterns were based on industry data determined by our consulting actuary. There is an inherent assumption that relying on industry development patterns as opposed to company specific patterns produces more credible results for projecting hurricane losses.

The seven methods described above all produce an estimate of ultimate losses and LAE. Based on the results of these methods, a single estimate (commonly referred to as an actuarial point/central estimate) of the ultimate loss or LAE is selected accordingly for each accident-year claim grouping. Estimated IBNR reserves are determined by subtracting the reported loss from the selected ultimate loss, or the paid LAE from the ultimate LAE. The estimated loss IBNR reserves are added to case reserves to determine the total estimated unpaid losses. No case reserves are carried for LAE, therefore the estimated LAE IBNR reserves equal the total estimated unpaid LAE. For each segment, the reserving methods are carried out on both a net and direct basis in order to estimate liabilities accordingly. When selecting a single actuarial point/central estimate on a net basis, careful consideration is given for the reinsurance arrangements that were in place during each accident year exposure period and segment being reviewed.

How Reserve Estimates are Established and Updated. Reserve estimates are developed for both open claims and unreported claims. The actuarial methods described above are used to derive claim settlement patterns by determining development factors to be applied to specific data elements. Development factors are calculated for data elements such as claim counts reported and settled, paid losses and paid losses combined with case reserves. Historical development patterns for these data elements are used as the assumptions to calculate reserve estimates.

Often, different estimates are prepared for each detailed component, incorporating alternative analyses of changing claim settlement patterns and other influences on losses, from which a best estimate is selected for each component, occasionally incorporating additional analyses and actuarial judgment as described above. These estimates are not based on a single set of assumptions. Based on a review of these estimates, the best estimate of required reserves is recorded for each accident year and the required reserves are summed to create the reserve balance carried on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Reserves are re-estimated periodically by combining historical payment and reserving patterns with current actual results. When actual development of claims reported, paid losses or case reserve changes are different than the historical development pattern used in a prior period reserve estimate, and as actuarial studies validate new trends based on indications of updated development factor calculations, new ultimate loss and LAE predictions are determined. This process incorporates the historic and latest trends, and other underlying changes in the data elements used to calculate reserve estimates. The difference between indicated reserves based on new reserve estimates and the previously recorded estimate of reserves is the amount of reserve re-estimates. The resulting increase or decrease in the reserve re-estimates is recorded and included in Losses and loss adjustment expenses in the Consolidated Statements of Income. Total reserve re-estimates in 2013, 2012 and 2011, expressed as a percent of the net losses and LAE liability balance as of the beginning of each year, were (2.6%), 6.8%, and 14.4%, respectively. There are inherent uncertainties associated with this estimation process, especially for a company with limited development history. However, with the passing of each year, the Company's own historical trends have become more reliable for use in predicting future results.

Factors Affecting Reserve Estimates. Reserve estimates are developed based on the processes and historical development trends as previously described. These estimates are considered in conjunction with known facts and interpretations of circumstances and factors including our experience with similar cases, actual claims paid, differing payment patterns and pending levels of unpaid claims, loss management programs, product mix and contractual terms,

changes in law and regulation, judicial decisions, and economic conditions. When these types of changes are experienced, actuarial judgment is applied in the determination and selection of development factors in order to better reflect new trends or expectations. For example, if a law change is expected to have a significant impact on the development of claim severity, actuarial judgment is applied to determine appropriate development factors that will most accurately reflect the expected impact on that specific estimate. This example appropriately describes the reserving methodology selection for use in estimating sinkhole liabilities after the passing of legislation, as noted above. Another example would be when a change in economic conditions is expected to affect the cost of repairs to property; actuarial judgment is applied to determine appropriate development factors to use in the reserve estimate that will most accurately reflect the expected impacts on severity development.

As claims are reported, for certain liability claims of sufficient size and complexity, the field adjusting staff establishes case reserve estimates of ultimate cost, based on their assessment of facts and circumstances related to each individual claim. For other claims which occur in large volumes and settle in a relatively short time frame, it is not practical or efficient to set case reserves for each claim, and an initial case reserve of \$2,500 is set for these claims. In the normal course of business, we may also supplement our claims processes by utilizing third party adjusters, appraisers, engineers, inspectors, other professionals and information sources to assess and settle catastrophe and non-catastrophe related claims.

Table of Contents

Changes in homeowners current year claim severity are generally influenced by inflation in the cost of building materials, the cost of construction and property repair services, the cost of replacing home furnishings and other contents, the types of claims that qualify for coverage, deductibles and other economic and environmental factors. We employ various loss management programs to mitigate the effect of these factors.

Key assumptions that materially affect the estimate of the reserve for loss and LAE relate to the effects of emerging claim and coverage issues. As industry practices and legal, judicial, social and other environmental conditions change, unexpected and unintended issues related to claim and coverage may emerge. These issues may adversely affect our business by either extending coverage beyond our underwriting intent or by increasing the number or size of claims. Key assumptions that are premised on future emergence that are inconsistent with historical loss reserve development patterns include but are not limited to:

adverse changes in loss cost trends, including inflationary pressures in home repair costs;

judicial expansion of policy coverage and the impact of new theories of liability; and

plaintiffs targeting property and casualty insurers, in purported class action litigation related to claims-handling and other practices.

As loss experience for the current year develops for each type of loss, it is monitored relative to initial assumptions until it is judged to have sufficient statistical credibility. From that point in time and forward, reserves are re-estimated using statistical actuarial processes to reflect the impact loss trends have on development factors incorporated into the actuarial estimation processes. Statistical credibility is usually achieved by the end of the first calendar year; however, when trends for the current accident year exceed initial assumptions sooner, they are usually given credibility, and reserves are adjusted accordingly.

Causes of Reserve Estimate Uncertainty. Since reserves are estimates of the unpaid portions of claims and claims expenses that have occurred, the establishment of appropriate reserves, including reserves for catastrophes, requires regular reevaluation and refinement of estimates to determine ultimate loss and LAE estimates.

At each reporting date, the highest degree of uncertainty in reserve estimates arises from claims remaining to be settled for the current accident year and the most recent preceding accident year, and claims that have occurred but have not been reported. The estimate for the current accident year contains the greatest degree of uncertainty because it contains the greatest proportion of losses that have not been reported or settled but must be estimated as of the current reporting date. During the first year after the end of an accident year, a large portion of the total losses for that accident year are settled. When accident year losses paid through the end of the first year following the initial accident year are incorporated into updated actuarial estimates, the trends inherent in the settlement of claims emerge more clearly. Consequently, this is the point in time at which the largest re-estimates of losses for an accident year can occur. After the second year, the losses paid for the accident year typically relate to claims that are more difficult to settle, such as those involving litigation.

Reserves for Catastrophe Losses. Loss and LAE reserves also include reserves for catastrophe losses. Catastrophe losses are an inherent risk of the property-casualty insurance industry that have contributed, and will continue to contribute, to potentially material year-to-year fluctuations in results of operations and financial position. A catastrophe is an event that produces significant pre-tax losses before reinsurance and involves multiple first party

policyholders, or an event that produces a number of claims in excess of a preset, per-event threshold of average claims in a specific area, occurring within a certain amount of time following the event. Catastrophes are caused by various natural events including high winds, tornadoes, wildfires, tropical storms and hurricanes. The nature and level of catastrophes in any period cannot be predicted.

The estimation of claims and claims expense reserves for catastrophes also comprises estimates of losses from reported and unreported claims, primarily for damage to property. In general, estimates for catastrophe reserves are based on claim adjuster inspections and the application of historical loss development factors as described previously. However, depending on the nature of the catastrophe, as noted above, the estimation process can be further complicated. For example, for hurricanes, complications could include the inability of insureds to be able to promptly report losses, limitations placed on claims adjusting staff affecting their ability to inspect losses, determining whether losses are covered by our homeowners policy (generally for damage caused by wind or wind driven rain), or specifically excluded coverage caused by flood, estimating additional living expenses, and assessing the impact of demand surge and exposure to mold damage. The effects of numerous other considerations, include the timing of a catastrophe in relation to other events, such as at or near the end of a financial reporting period, which can affect the availability of information needed to estimate reserves for that reporting period. In these situations, practices are adapted to accommodate these circumstances in order to determine a best estimate of losses from a catastrophe.

Key Actuarial Assumptions That Affect the Loss and LAE Estimate. The aggregation of estimates for reported losses and IBNR forms the reserve liability recorded in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Table of Contents

To develop a statistical indication of potential reserve variability within reasonably likely possible outcomes, actuarial techniques are applied to the data elements for paid losses and reported losses separately for homeowners losses excluding catastrophe losses and catastrophe losses to estimate the potential variability of our reserves, within a reasonable probability of outcomes.

At any given point in time, the recorded loss reserve represents the Company's best estimate of the ultimate settlement and administration cost of insured claims incurred and unpaid. Since the process of estimating loss reserves requires significant judgment due to a number of variables, such as fluctuations in inflation, judicial decisions, legislative changes and changes in claims handling procedures, ultimate liability may exceed or be less than these estimates. Reserves for losses and LAE are revised as additional information becomes available, and adjustments, if any, are reflected in earnings in the periods in which they are determined.

In selecting the RTR development factors described above in the section titled *The Actuarial Methods Used to Develop Reserve Estimates*, due consideration is given to how the RTR development factors change from one year to the next over the course of several consecutive years of recent history. In addition to the loss development triangles cited above, various diagnostic triangles, such as triangles showing historical patterns in the ratio of paid to reported losses and paid to reported claim counts, are typically prepared as a means of determining the stability of various determinants of loss development, such as consistency in claims settlement and case reserving.

On an annual basis, the Company's appointed independent actuary provides a Statement of Actuarial Opinion (SAO) that certifies the carried reserves make a reasonable provision for all of the Insurance Entities' unpaid loss and LAE obligations under the terms of contracts and agreements with our policyholders. The SAO is reviewed and projected ultimate losses and LAE amounts per the SAO are compared to the Company's own projection of ultimate losses and LAE to ensure that loss and LAE reserves recorded at each annual balance sheet date are based upon an analysis of all internal and external factors related to known and unknown claims against the Insurance Entities. Recorded reserves are compared to the indicated range provided in the report accompanying the SAO. At December 31, 2013, the recorded amount for net loss and LAE falls within the range determined by the appointed independent actuaries and approximates their best estimate.

Potential Reserve Estimate Variability. The methods employed by actuaries include a range of estimated unpaid losses reflecting a level of uncertainty. Projections of loss and LAE liabilities are subject to potentially large errors of estimation since the ultimate disposition of claims incurred prior to the financial statement date, whether reported or not, is subject to the outcome of events that have not yet occurred. Examples of these events include jury decisions, court interpretations, legislative changes, public attitudes, and social/economic conditions such as inflation. Any estimate of future costs is subject to the inherent limitation on one's ability to predict the aggregate course of future events. It should therefore be expected that the actual emergence of losses and LAE will vary, perhaps materially, from any estimate.

In selecting the range of reasonable estimates, the range of indications produced by the various methods is inspected, the relative strengths and weaknesses of each method are considered, and from those inputs a range of estimates can be selected. For reasons cited above, this range of estimated ultimate losses is typically smaller for older, more mature accident periods and greater for more recent, less mature accident periods. The greatest level of uncertainty is associated with the most recent accident years, and particularly years in during which catastrophe events occurred.

The inherent uncertainty associated with the Insurance Entities' loss and LAE liability is magnified due to their concentration of property business in catastrophe-exposed coastal states, primarily Florida. The 2004 and 2005 hurricanes created great uncertainty in determining ultimate losses for these natural catastrophes due to issues related to applicability of deductibles, availability and cost of repair services and materials, and other factors. UPCIC

experienced unanticipated unfavorable loss development on catastrophe losses from claims related to 2004 and 2005 being reopened and new claims being opened due to public adjusters encouraging policyholders to file new claims, and from homeowners' association assessments related to condominium policies. Due to the inherent uncertainty, the parameters of the loss estimation methodologies are updated on an annual basis as new information emerges.

Adequacy of Reserve Estimates. We believe our net loss and LAE reserves are appropriately established based on available methodology, facts, technology, laws and regulations. We calculate and record a single best reserve estimate, in conformance with generally accepted actuarial standards, for reported losses and IBNR losses and as a result we believe no other estimate is better than our recorded amount.

We have created a proprietary claims analysis tool (P2P) to analyze and calculate reserves to supplement analysis performed by our independent actuaries. P2P is a custom built application that aggregates, analyzes and forecasts reserves based on historical data that spans more than a decade. It identifies historical claims data using same like kind and quality variables that exist in present claims and sets forth appropriate, more accurate reserves on current claims. P2P is utilized by management in reviewing the topography of existing and incoming claims. P2P is analyzed at each quarter's end and adjustments to reserves are made at an aggregate level when appropriate.

Table of Contents

Due to the uncertainties involved, the scenarios described and quantified above are reasonably likely, but the ultimate cost of losses may vary materially from recorded amounts, which are based on our best estimates. The liability for unpaid losses and LAE at December 31, 2013 is \$159.2 million.

Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs/Deferred Ceding Commissions. We incur costs in connection with the production of new and renewal insurance policies that are referred to as policy acquisition costs. Commissions and state premium taxes are costs of acquiring insurance policies that vary with, and are directly related to, the successful production of new and renewal business. These costs are deferred and amortized over the period during which the premiums are earned on the underlying policies. We collect ceding commissions from certain reinsurers in connection with our quota share reinsurance contracts. We estimate the amount of ceding commissions to be deferred on a basis consistent with the deferral of acquisition costs incurred with the production of the original policies issued and the terms of the applicable reinsurance contracts. The deferred ceding commissions are offset against the deferred policy acquisition costs with the net result presented as deferred policy acquisition costs, net on our consolidated balance sheets. As of December 31, 2013, deferred policy acquisition costs were \$54.1 million and deferred ceding commissions were \$38.2 million. Deferred policy acquisition costs were reduced by deferred ceding commissions and shown net on the Consolidated Balance Sheet in the amount of \$15.9 million.

Provision for Premium Deficiency. Our policy is to evaluate and recognize losses on insurance contracts when estimated future claims and maintenance costs under a group of existing policy contracts will exceed anticipated future premiums and investment income. The determination of the provision for premium deficiency requires estimation of the costs of losses, catastrophic reinsurance and policy maintenance to be incurred and investment income to be earned over the remaining policy period. Management has determined that a provision for premium deficiency was not warranted as of December 31, 2013.

Reinsurance. In the normal course of business, we seek to reduce the risk of loss that may arise from catastrophes or other events that cause unfavorable underwriting results by reinsuring certain levels of risk in various areas of exposure with other insurance enterprises or reinsurers. While ceding premiums to reinsurers reduces our risk of exposure in the event of catastrophic losses, it also reduces our potential for greater profits in the event that such catastrophic events do not occur. We believe that the extent of our reinsurance is typical of a company of our size in the homeowners insurance industry. Amounts recoverable from reinsurers are estimated in a manner consistent with the provisions of the reinsurance agreement and consistent with the establishment of our liability. The Insurance Entities reinsurance policies do not relieve them from their obligations to policyholders. Failure of reinsurers to honor their obligations could result in losses; consequently, allowances are established for amounts deemed uncollectible. No such allowance was deemed necessary as of December 31, 2013.

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

We had no off-balance sheet arrangements during 2013.

RELATED PARTIES

Downes and Associates, a multi-line insurance adjustment corporation based in Deerfield Beach, Florida performed certain claims adjusting work for the Insurance Entities. Downes and Associates is owned by Dennis Downes, who is the father of Sean P. Downes, our President and Chief Executive Officer. During 2013, 2012 and 2011, we paid claims adjusting fees of \$477 thousand, \$623 thousand, \$753 thousand, respectively, to Downes and Associates. Our agreement with Downes and Associates was terminated effective November 30, 2013 and on December 1, 2013 Dennis Downes became an employee of the Company.

Scott P. Callahan, a director of the Company, provides the Company with consulting services and advice with respect to the Company's reinsurance and related matters through SPC Global RE Advisors LLC, an entity affiliated with Mr. Callahan. The Company entered into the consulting agreement with SPC Global RE Advisors LLC effective June 6, 2013. During 2013, we paid consulting fees of \$68 thousand to SPC Global RE Advisors LLC.

Table of Contents**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS****YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013 COMPARED TO YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012**

Net income increased by \$28.7 million, or 94.6%, for the year ended December 31, 2013 reflecting an improvement in underwriting results. The improvement in underwriting results was moderately offset by a greater amount of trading portfolio losses generated as the Company liquidated its trading portfolio during the first quarter of 2013. Diluted earnings per share increased by \$0.81 for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to 2012, \$0.17 of which was attributable to a reduction in shares of Common Stock outstanding as a result of UIH's repurchase of shares from Bradley Meier and Norman Meier. See Item 8 Note 8 (Stockholders' Equity) and Note 13 (Earnings Per Share).

The following table summarizes the changes in each line item of our Statement of Income for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to the year ended December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	Year Ended		Change	
	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012	\$	%
PREMIUMS EARNED AND OTHER REVENUES				
Direct premiums written	\$ 783,894	\$ 780,128	\$ 3,766	0.5%
Ceded premiums written	(522,116)	(517,604)	(4,512)	0.9%
Net premiums written	261,778	262,524	(746)	-0.3%
Change in net unearned premium	5,877	(31,404)	37,281	NM
Premiums earned, net	267,655	231,120	36,535	15.8%
Net investment income (expense)	1,928	441	1,487	337.2%
Net realized gains (losses) on investments	(14,740)	(11,943)	(2,797)	23.4%
Net change in unrealized gains (losses) on investments	7,850	9,443	(1,593)	-16.9%
Net foreign currency gains (losses) on investments		22	(22)	-100.0%
Commission revenue	18,615	20,383	(1,768)	-8.7%
Policy fees	13,661	14,475	(814)	-5.6%
Other revenue	6,190	5,998	192	3.2%
Total premiums earned and other revenues	301,159	269,939	31,220	11.6%
OPERATING COSTS AND EXPENSES				
Losses and loss adjustment expenses	108,615	126,187	(17,572)	-13.9%
General and administrative expenses	91,988	91,193	795	0.9%
Total operating costs and expenses	200,603	217,380	(16,777)	-7.7%
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES				
Income taxes, current	34,216	18,434	15,782	85.6%
Income taxes, deferred	7,363	3,813	3,550	93.1%

Income taxes, net	41,579	22,247	19,332	86.9%
NET INCOME	\$ 58,977	\$ 30,312	\$ 28,665	94.6%
Change in net unrealized gains (losses) on investments available for sale, net of tax	(376)			100.0%
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME	\$ 58,601	\$ 30,312	\$ 28,665	94.6%

NM - Not meaningful.

The following discussion provides comparative information for significant changes to the components of net income and comprehensive income for 2013 compared to 2012.

Net earned premiums were \$267.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$231.1 million for 2012. The increase in net earned premiums of \$36.5 million, or 15.8% compared to the prior year, was driven primarily by an increase in direct earned premiums. The increase in direct earned premiums is due primarily to rate increases over the past 24 months. These rate increases, along with strategic initiatives we have undertaken to manage our exposure such as the decision not to renew certain policies we believe had inadequate premiums relative to projected risks and expenses, have resulted in a reduction in the number of policies in force in Florida. The benefit from the rate increases continued to be partially offset by wind mitigation credits within the state of

Table of Contents

Florida. Ceded earned premiums remained relatively unchanged for each of the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 reflecting a reduction in the quota-share cession rate from 50% for the 2011-2012 reinsurance program to 45% currently in effect beginning with the 2012-2013 reinsurance program, offset by the cost of reinsurance in 2013 that replaced coverage under the T25 arrangement utilized by the Company during 2012. See the discussion above on Segregated Account T25.

Net investment income for the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$1.9 million, compared to \$441 thousand for the same period in the prior year. The increase in net investment income of \$1.5 million reflects an increase in the amount of interest earning and dividend paying securities held in the investment portfolio partially offset by an increase in investment expenses associated with asset management fees charged by our investment advisers to manage certain segments of the available for sale portfolio. When the portfolio was classified as trading, all fees were paid in the form of commission on investment trades and reflected in net realized gains and losses on investments and changes in unrealized gains and losses on investments.

Net realized losses on investments of \$14.7 million were recorded during the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$11.9 million of net realized losses recorded in 2012. The net realized losses of \$14.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 includes realized losses of \$16.0 million recorded upon the liquidation of our trading portfolio during the first quarter of 2013 and realized gains of \$1.3 million generated from the sale of securities available for sale. The realized losses for both the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 reflect a reduction in value of securities that were sold from our trading portfolio. We used proceeds from the liquidation of our trading portfolio, plus cash on hand, to fund a portfolio of investments available for sale.

Net changes in unrealized gains on investments of \$7.9 million were recorded during the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to \$9.4 million recorded during 2012. The change in unrealized gains for both periods resulted primarily from the reversal of unrealized losses on investments held at December 31, 2012 and 2011 that were subsequently sold.

Commission revenue for the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$18.6 million, compared to \$20.4 million for 2012. The decrease in commission revenue of \$1.8 million, or 8.7%, was the result of a decrease in the cost of certain reinsurance contracts upon which brokerage commissions are earned as well as overall changes in the structure of the reinsurance programs in effect during the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to 2012.

Policy fees were \$13.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$14.5 million for 2012. The decrease of \$0.8 million, or 5.6%, reflects a reduction in the number of policies written and renewed, which we believe is primarily due to the rate increases that have taken effect, as well as the aforementioned strategic initiatives, which we believe have caused some attrition.

The net losses and LAE ratios, or net losses and LAE as a percentage of net earned premiums, were 40.6% and 54.6% during years ended December 31, 2013, and 2012, respectively, and were comprised of the following components (in thousands):

	Year ended December 31, 2013		
	Direct	Ceded	Net
Loss and loss adjustment expenses	\$ 216,852	108,237	\$ 108,615
Premiums earned	\$ 788,477	520,822	\$ 267,655
Loss & LAE ratios	27.5%	20.8%	40.6%

	Year ended December 31, 2012		
	Direct	Ceded	Net
Loss and loss adjustment expenses	\$ 249,064	\$ 122,877	\$ 126,187
Premiums earned	\$ 751,899	\$ 520,779	\$ 231,120
Loss & LAE ratios	33.1%	23.6%	54.6%

The reduction in the net losses and LAE ratio reflects an increase in net premiums earned and a decrease in losses and LAE. The increase in net earned premium is attributable to an increase in direct earned premium as described above. The decrease in losses and LAE expenses is primarily attributable to a reduction in losses and LAE for the current accident year combined with favorable development during 2013 related to prior accident years, compared to unfavorable development recorded during 2012.

General and administrative expenses were \$92.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$91.2 million for 2012. The increase in general and administrative expenses of \$0.8 million, or 0.9% was due to several offsetting factors including an increase of \$3.5 million in performance bonuses, an increase of \$3.9 million in amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs, an increase in stock-based compensation of \$2.6 million, an increase in insurance department fines of \$1.3 million and an increase of

Table of Contents

\$1.1 million in other general and administrative expenses. These increases were offset by a reduction in general and administrative expenses of \$11.6 related to mandatory assessments by the FIGA. FIGA assessments are initially charged to insurance companies and ultimately recovered from policyholders. The charges of \$6.3 million recorded during the year ended December 31, 2012 reflect an assessment received in the fourth quarter of 2012 followed by recoveries of \$5.4 million recorded during the year ended December 31, 2013.

Income tax expense was \$41.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$22.2 million for the same period in 2012. The increase of \$19.3 million was due to an increase in taxable income. Our effective tax rate decreased slightly to 41.3% for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to 42.3% for the same period during 2012.

Comprehensive income includes net income and other comprehensive income (loss). The other comprehensive loss of \$376 thousand for the year ended December 31, 2013, reflects after-tax changes in fair value of securities held in our investment portfolio of securities available for sale net of reclassifications out of cumulative other comprehensive income (loss) for securities sold during the period. See Item 8 Note 14 (Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)). There was no other comprehensive income (loss) for the year ended December 31, 2012.

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012 COMPARED TO YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011

Net income increased by \$10.2 million, or 50.7%, primarily attributable to an increase in net premiums earned and improved performance in the investment portfolio, partially offset by an increase in general and administrative expenses. The increase in net premiums earned in 2012 outpaced the increase in total operating costs and expenses. The rate increases which have taken effect over the past 24 months are providing positive results that somewhat offset the negative effect of wind mitigation credits.

The following table summarizes the changes in each line item of our Statement of Income for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the year ended December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

	Year Ended		Change	
	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011	\$	%
PREMIUMS EARNED AND OTHER REVENUES				
Direct premiums written	\$ 780,128	\$ 721,462	\$ 58,666	8.1%
Ceded premiums written	(517,604)	(512,979)	(4,625)	0.9%
Net premiums written	262,524	208,483	54,041	25.9%
Increase in net unearned premium	(31,404)	(9,498)	(21,906)	230.6%
Premiums earned, net	231,120	198,985	32,135	16.1%
Net investment income	441	788	(347)	-44.0%
Net realized gains (losses) on investments	(11,943)	2,350	(14,293)	NM
Net change in unrealized gains (losses) on investments	9,443	(18,410)	27,853	NM
Net foreign currency gains (losses) on investments	22	1,532	(1,510)	-98.6%
Commission revenue	20,383	19,507	876	4.5%
Policy fees	14,475	15,298	(823)	-5.4%
Other revenue	5,998	5,811	187	3.2%

Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. - Form 10-K

Total premiums earned and other revenues	269,939	225,861	44,078	19.5%
OPERATING COSTS AND EXPENSES				
Losses and loss adjustment expenses	126,187	124,309	1,878	1.5%
General and administrative expenses	91,193	67,834	23,359	34.4%
Total operating costs and expenses	217,380	192,143	25,237	13.1%
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES				
Income taxes, current	18,434	23,152	(4,718)	-20.4%
Income taxes, deferred	3,813	(9,543)	13,356	-140.0%
Income taxes, net	22,247	13,609	8,638	63.5%
NET INCOME AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME	\$ 30,312	\$ 20,109	\$ 10,203	50.7%

NM - Not meaningful.

The following discussion provides comparative information for significant changes to the components of net income and comprehensive income for 2012 compared to 2011.

Table of Contents

The increase in net earned premiums of \$32.1 million, or 16.1% compared to the prior year, reflects an increase in direct earned premiums of \$61.9 million partially offset by an increase in ceded earned premiums of \$29.8 million. The increase in direct earned premiums is due primarily to rate increases over the past 24 months. These rate increases, along with strategic initiatives we have undertaken to manage our exposure such as the decision not to renew certain policies we believe have inadequate premiums relative to projected risks and expenses, have resulted in a moderate reduction in the number of policies in force even as the amount of direct written premiums have increased. The benefit from the rate increases continued to be partially offset by wind mitigation credits within the state of Florida. The increase in ceded earned premiums of \$29.8 million is attributable to an increase in quota-share ceded premiums in proportion to the growth in direct written premiums, a \$5.4 million increase related to the settlement of a dispute with our predecessor quota-share reinsurer and \$4.4 million in 2012 relating to an underlying property catastrophe excess of loss reinsurance contract with an unaffiliated third-party reinsurer that did not exist during 2011. The after-tax effect of the settlement with our predecessor quota share reinsurer was \$0.08 per diluted share. These increases were partially offset by a reduction in the quota-share cession rate from 50% for the 2011-2012 reinsurance program to 45% for the 2012-2013 reinsurance program.

The reduction in net investment income reflects a reduction in the amount of interest earning securities held in the investment portfolio and non-recurring charges for investment accounting services as we converted to a new investment accounting service provider.

Net realized losses on investments of \$11.9 million recorded during the year ended December 31, 2012 reflect loss in value of investments sold during the period. The majority of realized losses recorded during the year ended December 31, 2012 were in the metals and mining sector.

We hold debt and equity securities, derivatives and other investments in our trading portfolio. All unrealized gains and losses on investments in our trading portfolio are reflected in earnings. Unrealized gains and losses reflect the change in value during the period for investments held in our trading portfolio, including the reversal of unrealized gains and losses recorded when investments are sold. We recorded net unrealized gains of \$9.4 million during the year ended December 31, 2012, the majority of which represent the reversal of unrealized losses for investments sold during 2012.

Commission revenue is comprised principally of brokerage commission we earn from reinsurers. The increase in commission revenue of \$876 thousand is due to an increase in ceded earned premium for the reinsurance contract periods that were in effect during the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to the same period in 2011.

Policy fees are comprised primarily of the managing general agent's policy fee income from insurance policies. The decrease of \$823 thousand reflects a reduction in the number of policies written and renewed primarily due to the rate increases that have taken effect, which has caused some attrition.

The increase in net losses and loss adjustment expenses of \$1.9 million reflects an increase in the amount of charges incurred for the current accident year of \$6.6 million, offset by a reduction in the amount of prior year development (also known as reserve re-estimates) recorded in the current year of \$4.7 million. The increase in current year charges was heavily influenced by losses and loss adjustment expenses related to Tropical Storm/Hurricane Isaac and Tropical Storm Debby.

The net loss and LAE ratios, or net losses and LAE as a percentage of net earned premiums, were 54.6% and 62.5% during years ended December 31, 2012, and 2011, respectively, and were comprised of the following components (in thousands):

	Year ended December 31, 2012		
	Direct	Ceded	Net
Loss and loss adjustment expenses	\$ 249,064	\$ 122,877	\$ 126,187
Premiums earned	\$ 751,899	\$ 520,779	\$ 231,120
Loss & LAE ratios	33.1%	23.6%	54.6%

	Year ended December 31, 2011		
	Direct	Ceded	Net
Loss and loss adjustment expenses	\$ 245,335	\$ 121,026	\$ 124,309
Premiums earned	\$ 689,955	\$ 490,970	\$ 198,985
Loss & LAE ratios	35.6%	24.7%	62.5%

The reduction in the net loss and LAE ratio is mostly the result of a decrease in the relative and absolute amounts of prior years' development recorded in the current year. The ratio also decreased as a result of an increase in net premiums earned relating to an increase in direct premiums earned as well as proportionately less ceded premiums earned due to the lower cession rate under the 2012-2013 quota share reinsurance contract compared to the cession rate under the 2011-2012 quota share contract.

Table of Contents

The increase in general and administrative expenses of \$23.4 million was due to several factors including \$10.8 million of charges related to net deferred policy acquisition costs. The reduction in the amount of ceding commissions received from the quota share reinsurer under the 2012-2013 reinsurance program, effectively increased the amount of net deferred policy acquisition costs and related amortization. In addition, we are charging certain costs directly to earnings that were previously capitalized under the superseded FASB guidance which governed how we accounted for deferred policy acquisition costs until January 1, 2012. Charges of \$6.3 million were recorded in the latter part of 2012 as a result of a mandatory assessment by the Florida Insurance Guaranty Association (FIGA). FIGA assessments are ultimately passed down to policyholders. We will recover these charges over a twelve-month period beginning February 1, 2013. There were also increases in salaries, bonuses, stock-based compensation and insurance, of \$1.6 million, \$1.6 million, \$1.0 million and \$2.5 million, respectively offset partially by a decrease in insurance department fees of \$1.0 million.

Income tax expense increased in tandem with the increase in taxable income. Our effective tax rate increased to 42.3% for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to 40.4% for the same period during 2011 primarily as a result of a change in the mix of true-ups recorded upon the completion of prior years' tax returns and estimated penalties and interest for current year underpayment of estimated taxes. There was also an increase in the amount of non-deductible compensation which increased the effective tax rate.

ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION CHANGES

We believe that cash flows generated from operations will be sufficient to meet our working capital requirements for at least the next twelve months. Our policy is to invest amounts considered to be in excess of current working capital requirements.

The following table summarizes, by type, the carrying values of investments as of the periods presented (in thousands):

Type of Investment	As of December 31,	
	2013	2012
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 117,275	\$ 347,392
Restricted cash and cash equivalents	2,600	33,009
Fixed maturities	289,418	4,009
Equity securities	65,022	85,041
Non-hedging derivative asset (liability), net		(21)
Other investments		317
Total	\$ 474,315	\$ 469,747

During the first quarter of 2013, we liquidated our trading portfolio and reinvested proceeds and excess cash in a portfolio of securities available for sale.

Reinsurance recoverable represents ceded losses and LAE. The increase of \$18.7 million reflects the timing of settlement payments with reinsurers.

Reinsurance receivable, net, represents inuring premiums receivable, net of ceded premiums payable with our quota share reinsurer. The decrease of \$24.1 million during 2013 was due to the timing of settlements of offsetting balances

with our quota-share reinsurers.

Premiums receivable represent amounts due from policyholders. The decrease of \$3.7 million during 2013, to \$46.5 million, reflects a greater volume of collections in December of 2013 compared to December of 2012.

See Item 8 Note 5 (Insurance Operations), for a roll-forward in the balance of our deferred policy acquisition costs.

Our income taxes recoverable as of December 31, 2013 were \$8.2 million compared to \$2.6 million as of December 31, 2012. The majority of the increase reflects a recoverable recorded for realized losses generated upon the liquidation of our trading portfolio during the first quarter of 2013, which will be carried back and applied to realized gains generated in previous tax years for federal income taxes.

See Item 8 Note 12 (Income Taxes) for a schedule of deferred income taxes as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, which shows the components of deferred tax assets and liabilities as of both balance sheet dates.

See below for a discussion of the changes in the balance of our unpaid losses and LAE.

Advance premium represents premium payments made by policyholders ahead of the effective date of the policies. The increase of \$7.9 million to \$22.9 million reflects an increase in renewals taking place subsequent to year end for 2013 compared to 2012 combined with rate increases that went into effect during 2013.

Table of Contents

Income taxes payable represents amounts payable for state income taxes which increased by \$1.8 million to \$2.5 million. This reflects an increase in taxable income for state income tax purposes.

Long-term debt consists of a surplus note, a term loan and any amounts drawn upon an unsecured line of credit. The increase of \$17.0 million to \$37.2 million as of December 31, 2013 was associated with the Company entering into a \$20 million unsecured term loan agreement and related term note. See Item 8 Note 7 (Long-Term Debt). There were no amounts drawn under the unsecured line of credit as of December 31, 2013.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Liquidity

Liquidity is a measure of a company's ability to generate sufficient cash flows to meet its short and long-term obligations. Funds generated from operations have generally been sufficient to meet liquidity requirements and we expect that in the future funds from operations will continue to meet such requirements.

The balance of cash and cash equivalents as of December 31, 2013 was \$117.3 million. Most of this amount is available to pay claims in the event of a catastrophic event pending reimbursement amounts recoverable under reinsurance agreements.

The balance of restricted cash and cash equivalents as of December 31, 2013 was \$2.6 million. Restricted cash as of December 31, 2013 represents cash equivalents on deposit with regulatory agencies in the various states in which our Insurance Entities do business.

As discussed in Item 8 Note 7 (Long-Term Debt), UIH entered into a revolving loan agreement and related revolving note (DB Loan) with Deutsche Bank in March 2013. The DB Loan makes available to UIH an unsecured line of credit in an aggregate amount not to exceed \$10 million. Draws under the DB Loan have a maturity date of March 27, 2015 and carry an interest rate of LIBOR plus a margin of 5.50% or Deutsche Bank's prime rate plus a margin of 3.50%. The interest rate is at the election of UIH. The DB Loan contains certain covenants and restrictions applicable while amounts or obligations are outstanding thereunder, including limitations with respect to our indebtedness, liens, distributions, mergers or dispositions of assets, organizational structure, transactions with affiliates and business activities. We have not drawn any amounts under the unsecured line of credit.

In May 2013, UIH also entered into a \$20 million unsecured term loan agreement and related term note (Term Loan) with RenaissanceRe Ventures Ltd. (RenRe Ventures) also discussed in Item 8 Note 7 (Long-Term Debt). The Term Loan bears interest at the rate of 50 basis points per annum and matures on the earlier of May 23, 2016 or the date that all principal under the Term Loan is pre-paid or deemed paid in full. The Term Loan is amortized over the three-year term and UIH may prepay the loan without penalty. The Term loan contains certain covenants and restrictions applicable while amounts or obligations are outstanding thereunder, including limitations with respect to our indebtedness, liens, distributions, mergers or dispositions of assets, organizational structure, transactions with affiliates and business activities. The Company used the net proceeds of the Term Loan to repurchase 4,666,000 shares of common stock owned by Mr. Bradley Meier.

UIH's liquidity requirements primarily include the payment of dividends to shareholders, federal and state income taxes and interest and principal on debt obligations. The declaration and payment of future dividends to shareholders are at the discretion of our Board of Directors and will depend upon many factors, including our operating results, financial condition, capital requirements and any regulatory constraints.

The maximum amount of dividends which can be paid by Florida insurance companies without prior approval of the Commissioner of the OIR is subject to restrictions relating to statutory surplus. The maximum dividend that may be paid by the Insurance Entities to UIH without prior approval is limited to the lesser of statutory net income from operations of the preceding calendar year or statutory unassigned surplus as of the preceding year end. During the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Insurance Entities did not pay dividends to UIH.

Our insurance operations provide liquidity in that premiums are generally received months or even years before losses are paid under the policies sold by the Insurance Entities. Historically, cash receipts from operations, consisting of insurance premiums, ceded and brokerage commissions, policy fees and investment income, have provided more than sufficient funds to pay loss claims and operating expenses. We maintain substantial investments in highly liquid, marketable securities. Liquidity can also be generated by funds received upon the sale of marketable securities in our investment portfolio.

The Insurance Entities are responsible for losses related to catastrophic events with incurred losses in excess of coverage provided by our reinsurance programs and for losses that otherwise are not covered by the reinsurance programs, which could have a material adverse effect on the Insurance Entities and our business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity. See ***Item 7. Management's Discussion of Financial Condition and Results of Operations 2013-2014 Reinsurance Program*** for a discussion of our reinsurance programs.

Table of Contents**Capital Resources**

Capital resources provide protection for policyholders, furnish the financial strength to support the business of underwriting insurance risks and facilitate continued business growth. At December 31, 2013, we had total capital of \$212.8 million comprised of shareholders' equity of \$175.6 million and total debt of \$37.2 million. Our debt to total capital ratio and debt to equity ratio were 17.5% and 21.2%, respectively at December 31, 2013. At December 31, 2012, we had total capital of \$183.7 million comprised of shareholders' equity of \$163.5 million and total debt of \$20.2 million. Our debt to total capital ratio and debt to equity ratio were 11.0% and 12.4%, respectively at December 31, 2012.

The Insurance Entities are required annually to comply with the NAIC Risk-Based Capital (RBC) requirements. RBC requirements prescribe a method of measuring the amount of capital appropriate for an insurance company to support its overall business operations in light of its size and risk profile. NAIC's RBC requirements are used by regulators to determine appropriate regulatory actions relating to insurers who show signs of weak or deteriorating condition. As of December 31, 2013, based on calculations using the appropriate NAIC RBC formula, the Insurance Entities reported total adjusted capital was in excess of the requirements. Failure by the Insurance Entities to maintain the required level of statutory capital and surplus could result in the suspension of their authority to write new or renewal business, other regulatory actions, or ultimately, in the revocation of their certificate of authority by the OIR.

On November 9, 2006, UPCIC entered into a \$25.0 million surplus note with the Florida State Board of Administration (SBA) under Florida's Insurance Capital Build-Up Incentive Program (ICBUI). The surplus note has a twenty-year term and accrues interest, adjusted quarterly based on the 10-year Constant Maturity Treasury Index. For the first three years of the term of the surplus note, UPCIC was required to pay interest only.

In May 2008, the Florida Legislature passed a law providing participants in the ICBUI an opportunity to amend the terms of their surplus notes based on law changes. The new law contains methods for calculating compliance with the writing ratio requirements that are more favorable to UPCIC than prior law and the prior terms of the surplus note. On November 6, 2008, UPCIC and the SBA executed an addendum to the surplus note that reflects these law changes. The terms of the addendum were effective July 1, 2008. In addition to other less significant changes, the addendum modifies the definitions of Minimum Required Surplus, Minimum Writing Ratio, Surplus, and Gross Written Premium, as defined in the original surplus note.

Prior to the execution of the addendum, UPCIC was in compliance with each of the loan's covenants as implemented by rules promulgated by the SBA. UPCIC currently remains in compliance with each of the loan's covenants as implemented by rules promulgated by the SBA. An event of default will occur under the surplus note, as amended, if UPCIC: (i) defaults in the payment of the surplus note; (ii) fails to submit quarterly filings to the OIR; (iii) fails to maintain at least \$50 million of surplus during the term of the surplus note, except for certain situations; (iv) misuses proceeds of the surplus note; (v) makes any misrepresentations in the application for the program; (vi) pays any dividend when principal or interest payments are past due under the surplus note; or (vii) fails to maintain a level of surplus and reinsurance sufficient to cover in excess of UPCIC's 1-in-100 year probable maximum loss as determined by a hurricane loss model accepted by the Florida Commission on Hurricane Loss Projection Methodology as certified by the OIR annually. Further, UPCIC will be subject to increases in interest rates if it drops below a net written premium to surplus of 1:1 below a gross written premium to surplus ratio of 3:1 for three consecutive quarters beginning January 1, 2010. As of December 31, 2013, UPCIC's net written premium to surplus ratio and gross written premium to surplus ratio were in excess of the required minimums and, therefore, UPCIC is not subject to increases in interest rates.

On December 14, 2012, we filed a shelf registration statement on Form S-3 with the SEC, registering (i) for issuance up to \$100 million of Common Stock, preferred stock, debt securities, warrants, rights or depository shares, or units consisting of any combination thereof and (ii) for resale up to 7 million shares of Common Stock owned by our former Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, Bradley I. Meier. The shelf registration statement is intended to give UIH additional flexibility to finance future business opportunities and support our insurance subsidiaries by accessing the capital markets in an efficient and cost effective manner. However, we have no present intention of offering any securities pursuant to this registration statement. We will not receive any proceeds from the sale of shares of Common Stock by Mr. Meier.

Table of Contents**Liability for Unpaid Losses and LAE**

We are required to periodically estimate and reflect on our balance sheet the amount needed to pay losses and related loss adjustment expenses on reported and unreported claims. See Item 1, Business Liability for Unpaid Losses and LAE for a description of this process. The following table sets forth a reconciliation of beginning and ending liability for unpaid losses and LAE as shown in our consolidated financial statements for the periods indicated (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2013	2012
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 193,241	\$ 187,215
Less reinsurance recoverable	(81,415)	(88,002)
Net balance at beginning of year	111,826	99,213
Incurred related to:		
Current year	111,560	119,458
Prior years	(2,945)	6,729
Total incurred	108,615	126,187
Paid related to:		
Current year	62,529	54,141
Prior years	67,274	59,433
Total paid	129,803	113,574
Net balance at end of year	90,638	111,826
Plus reinsurance recoverable	68,584	81,415
Balance at end of year	\$ 159,222	\$ 193,241

Based upon consultations with our independent actuarial consultants and their statement of opinion on losses and LAE, we believe that the liability for unpaid losses and LAE is currently adequate to cover all claims and related expenses which may arise from incidents reported and IBNR. Favorable development for 2013 was primarily the result of loss settlements lower than anticipated on 2004 and 2005 catastrophe claims which were recognized during the calendar year, and favorable development of accident year 2011 and 2012 non-catastrophe loss estimates which were recognized at year end 2013 after a detailed actuarial analysis. Favorable reserve development in 2013 was somewhat offset by increases in loss adjustment expense estimates on non-catastrophe claims for 2010 through 2012 accident years that were recognized at year end.

The following table provides total unpaid loss and LAE, net of related reinsurance recoverables (in thousands):

	Years Ended December 31,	
	2013	2012
Unpaid Loss and LAE, net	\$ 28,230	\$ 33,661
IBNR loss and LAE, net	62,408	78,165

Total unpaid loss and LAE, net	\$ 90,638	\$ 111,826
Reinsurance recoverable on unpaid loss and LAE	\$ 24,421	\$ 29,013
Reinsurance recoverable on IBNR loss and LAE	44,163	52,402
Total reinsurance recoverable on unpaid loss and LAE	\$ 68,584	\$ 81,415

The table below illustrates the change over time of the direct reserves established for unpaid losses and LAE for the Insurance Entities (Liability for Unpaid Losses and LAE re-estimates) at the end of the last ten calendar years through December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

The first section shows the liability for unpaid losses and LAE as originally reported at the end of the stated year.

The second section, reading down, shows the cumulative amounts paid as of the end of successive years with respect to that reserve liability.

The third section, reading down, shows retroactive re-estimates of the original recorded reserve as of the end of each successive year which is the result of our expanded awareness of additional facts and circumstances that pertain to the unsettled claims.

Table of Contents

The last section compares the latest re-estimated reserve to the reserve originally established, and indicates whether the original reserve was adequate to cover the estimated costs of unsettled claims.

The Liability for Unpaid Losses and LAE re-estimates tables are cumulative and, therefore, ending balances should not be added since the amount at the end of each calendar year includes activity for both the current and prior years. Unfavorable reserve re-estimates are shown in parentheses.

	Years Ended December 31,										
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003
Balance											
to meet											
liability	159,222	193,241	187,215	158,929	127,195	87,933	68,766	49,454	66,855	57,561	7,136
Cumulative											
paid as of:											
one year											
after		127,664	125,735	107,091	88,363	70,058	52,638	42,533	79,226	66,020	3,660
two years											
after			175,256	149,494	122,459	91,255	71,171	54,774	103,201	80,684	4,742
three											
years later				176,730	142,049	106,011	78,284	64,732	111,610	92,262	5,198
four years											
after					154,448	114,609	86,197	69,212	118,312	94,705	5,994
five years											
after						120,312	89,460	73,878	122,377	97,367	6,259
six years											
after							91,879	76,172	126,831	98,828	6,431
seven											
years later								77,303	128,809	101,029	6,458
eight years											
after									129,299	101,907	6,473
nine years											
after										101,483	6,478
ten years											
after											6,478
Balance											
to meet											
liability	159,222	193,241	187,215	158,929	127,195	87,933	68,766	49,454	66,855	57,561	7,136
one year											
after		198,771	206,655	181,207	143,037	107,670	80,081	68,107	118,686	74,207	6,375
two years											
after			221,097	194,786	157,604	115,541	87,261	69,647	125,067	95,373	5,871
three											
years later				204,998	166,189	122,050	90,881	73,650	124,039	101,312	6,398
four years											
after					170,224	126,905	94,275	77,846	125,210	100,945	6,933
five years											
after						127,690	95,545	78,891	129,916	101,571	7,170

ix years										
ter					94,732	79,214	130,865	101,419	7,320	
even										
ears later						78,378	130,894	102,434	7,267	
ight years										
ter							129,891	102,723	7,280	
ine years										
ter								102,310	6,627	
en years										
ter										6,752
ross cumulative										
undancy										
efficiency)	(5,530)	(33,882)	(46,069)	(43,029)	(39,757)	(25,966)	(28,924)	(63,036)	(44,749)	384

Table of Contents

The following Liability for Unpaid Losses and LAE re-estimates table illustrates the change over time of the reserves, net of reinsurance with separate disclosure of the related re-estimated reinsurance recoverable, established for unpaid losses and LAE for the Insurance Entities at the end of the last eleven calendar years through December 31, 2013 (in thousands):

	Years Ended December 31,									
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
for Unpaid										
AE	159,222	193,241	187,215	158,929	127,195	87,933	68,766	49,454	66,855	57,561
	68,584	81,415	88,002	79,115	62,899	43,375	37,557	32,314	60,785	56,110
for Unpaid										
AE	90,638	111,826	99,213	79,814	64,296	44,558	31,209	17,140	6,070	1,451
paid as of:										
		67,222	59,228	54,056	43,859	34,168	23,698	20,026	12,813	1,117
			83,538	71,079	60,917	44,011	31,737	23,354	23,725	11,331
				84,237	66,619	51,090	34,457	26,945	25,388	21,569
					72,404	51,690	38,160	28,573	27,909	22,944
						54,104	36,837	30,659	29,365	25,269
							37,694	28,866	31,356	26,543
								29,126	29,445	28,502
									29,421	28,752
										28,646
for Unpaid										
AE	90,638	111,826	99,213	79,814	64,296	44,558	31,209	17,140	6,070	1,451
		108,826	105,942	91,280	70,482	53,233	37,576	29,196	25,261	4,191
			109,514	95,265	78,102	55,027	39,958	30,528	30,932	22,727
				98,765	79,641	58,488	39,468	31,319	31,165	28,226
					80,519	58,548	41,376	31,355	31,476	28,579
						58,025	40,414	32,232	31,657	28,656
							39,250	30,891	32,473	28,648
								29,775	31,082	29,449
									29,842	29,501
										28,963
ive redundancy										
		3,000	(10,301)	(18,951)	(16,223)	(13,467)	(8,041)	(12,635)	(23,772)	(27,512)
		2.7%	-10.4%	-23.7%	-25.2%	-30.2%	-25.8%	-73.7%	-391.6%	-1896.1%
imated										
st		198,771	221,097	204,998	170,224	127,690	94,732	78,378	129,891	102,310
Recovery-Latest		89,945	111,583	106,233	89,705	69,665	55,482	48,603	100,049	73,347
ated Liability-Latest		108,826	109,514	98,765	80,519	58,025	39,250	29,775	29,842	28,963
itive redundancy		(5,530)	(33,882)	(46,069)	(43,029)	(39,757)	(25,966)	(28,924)	(63,036)	(44,749)

The cumulative redundancy or deficiency represents the aggregate change in the estimates over all prior years. A deficiency indicates that the latest estimate of the liability for losses and LAE is higher than the liability that was originally estimated and a redundancy indicates that such estimate is lower. It should be emphasized that the table presents a run-off of balance sheet liability for the periods indicated rather than accident or policy loss development for those periods. Therefore, each amount in the table includes the cumulative effects of changes in liability for all prior periods. Conditions and trends that have affected liabilities in the past may not necessarily occur in the future.

Underwriting results of insurance companies are frequently measured by their combined ratios, which is the sum of the loss and expense ratios described in the following paragraph. However, investment income, federal income taxes and other non-underwriting income or expense are not reflected in the combined ratio. The profitability of property and casualty insurance companies depends on income from underwriting, investment and service operations. Underwriting results are considered profitable when the combined ratio is under 100% and unprofitable when the combined ratio is over 100%.

Table of Contents

The following table provides the statutory loss ratios, expense ratios and combined ratios for the periods indicated for the Insurance Entities:

	Years Ended December 31,	
	2013	2012
Loss Ratio (1)		
UPCIC	46%	65%
APPCIC	46%	23%
Expense Ratio (1)		
UPCIC	39%	39%
APPCIC	159%	32%
Combined Ratio (1)		
UPCIC	85%	104%
APPCIC	205%	55%

- (1) The ratios are net of reinsurance, including catastrophe reinsurance premiums which comprise a significant cost, and inclusive of LAE. The expense ratios include management fees and commission paid to an affiliate of the Insurance Entities in the amount of \$66.3 million and \$63.8 million for UPCIC for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively and \$1.1 million and \$473 thousand for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively for APPCIC. The fees and commission paid to the affiliate are eliminated in consolidation. In the case of APPCIC, the expense ratio has increased as a result of a decrease in net written premium. The policies-in-force were less than 1% of the Company's total policies-in-force at that time.

In order to reduce losses and thereby reduce the loss ratio and the combined ratio, we have taken several steps. These steps include closely monitoring rate levels for new and renewal business, restructuring the homeowners' insurance coverage offered, reducing the cost of catastrophic reinsurance coverage, and working to reduce general and administrative expenses.

Ratings

The Insurance Entities' financial strength is rated by a rating agency to measure the Insurance Entities' ability to meet its financial obligations to its policyholders. The agency maintains a letter scale Financial Stability Rating® system ranging from A (A double prime) to L (licensed by state regulatory authorities).

On November 26, 2013, Demotech, Inc. affirmed the Financial Stability Rating® of A for the Insurance Entities. A Financial Stability Rating® of A is the third highest of six possible rating levels. According to Demotech, Inc., the affirmation represents a company's continued positive surplus related to policyholders, liquidity of invested assets, an acceptable level of financial leverage, reasonable loss and loss adjustment expense reserves, and realistic pricing. The ratings of the Insurance Entities are subject to at least annual review by Demotech, Inc., and may be revised upward or downward or revoked at the sole discretion of Demotech, Inc. Ratings are an important factor in establishing our competitive position in the insurance markets. There can be no assurance that our ratings will continue for any given period of time or that they will not be changed. A downgrade in our financial strength ratings could adversely affect the competitive position of our insurance operations, including a possible reduction in demand for our products in certain markets. In addition, the rating agency may at any time require a capital infusion into the Insurance Entities by its parent company in order to maintain its rating, which may adversely affect our liquidity, operating results and

financial condition. See Item 1A-A downgrade in our Financial Stability Rating® may have an adverse effect on our competitive position, the marketability of our product offerings, and our liquidity, operating results and financial condition.

Table of Contents**Contractual Obligations**

The following table represents our contractual obligations for which cash flows are fixed or determinable (in thousands):

	Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4-5 years	Over 5 years
Unpaid losses and LAE, direct	\$ 159,222	\$ 80,725	\$ 51,269	\$ 18,311	\$ 8,917
Long-term debt	42,173	7,567	17,910	3,650	13,046
Operating leases	1,002	882	120		
Employment Agreements (1)	19,688	12,721	6,967		
Total contractual obligations	\$ 222,085	\$ 101,895	\$ 76,266	\$ 21,961	\$ 21,963

- (1) These amounts represent minimum salaries, which may be subject to annual percentage increases, non-equity incentive compensation based on pre-tax or net income levels, and fringe benefits based on the remaining term of employment agreements we have with our executives. These amounts do not reflect equity awards of approximately 1.5 million shares of restricted common stock to be granted to executives in 2014 and 2015 under their employment agreements.

Table above does not reflect awards to be granted to executives in 2014 and 2015 under their employment agreements which are approximately 1.5 million restricted shares.

IMPACT OF INFLATION AND CHANGING PRICES

The consolidated financial statements and related data presented herein have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles which require the measurement of financial position and operating results in terms of historical dollars without considering changes in the relative purchasing power of money over time due to inflation. Our primary assets are monetary in nature. As a result, interest rates have a more significant impact on our performance than the effects of the general levels of inflation. Interest rates do not necessarily move in the same direction or with the same magnitude as the cost of paying losses and LAE.

Insurance premiums are established before we know the amount of loss and LAE and the extent to which inflation may affect such expenses. Consequently, we attempt to anticipate the future impact of inflation when establishing rate levels. While we attempt to charge adequate rates, we may be limited in raising its premium levels for competitive and regulatory reasons. Inflation also affects the market value of our investment portfolio and the investment rate of return. Any future economic changes which result in prolonged and increasing levels of inflation could cause increases in the dollar amount of incurred loss and LAE and thereby materially adversely affect future liability requirements.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Market risk is the potential for economic losses due to adverse changes in fair value of financial instruments. We carry all of our investments at market value in our statement of financial condition. Our investment portfolio as of December 31, 2013, is comprised of fixed maturities and equity securities exposing us to changes in interest rates and equity prices. See Item 8 Note 3 (Investments) for a schedule of investment holdings as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012. To a lesser extent, we also have exposure on our adjustable rate debt obligations which are in the form of a surplus note, and on any amounts we draw under our unsecured line of credit with Deutsche Bank. The surplus note accrues interest at an adjustable rate based on the 10-year Constant Maturity Treasury rate. Draws under our unsecured line of credit with Deutsche Bank accrue interest at a rate based on LIBOR or Deutsche Bank's prime rate plus an applicable margin.

Our investments have been, and may in the future be, subject to significant volatility. We have taken steps which we expect will reduce the effects of market volatility by liquidating the investments held in our trading portfolio. We now maintain an investment portfolio of securities available for sale which we expect will provide a stable stream of investment income and reduce the effects of market volatility. Our investment objectives with respect to fixed maturities are to maximize after-tax investment income without exposing the surplus of our Insurance Entities to excessive volatility. Our investment objectives with respect to equity securities are to enhance our long term surplus levels through capital appreciation and earn a competitive rate of total return against appropriate market benchmarks.

Table of Contents**Interest Rate Risk**

Interest rate risk includes the sensitivity of a fixed-rate instrument to changes in interest rates. When interest rates rise, the fair value of our fixed-rate investment securities declines.

The following table provides information about our fixed maturity investments, which are sensitive to changes in interest rates. The following table provides cash flows of principal amounts and related weighted average interest rates by expected maturity dates for investments held as of the periods presented (in thousands):

	As of December 31, 2013								Fair Value Total	
	Amortized Cost							Other (1)		Total
	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Thereafter	Total			
Fixed maturities	\$ 3,827	\$ 47,366	\$ 62,287	\$ 27,668	\$ 54,201	\$ 4,588	\$ 91,502	\$ 291,439	\$ 289,418	
Average interest rate	7.43%	1.16%	1.29%	3.88%	1.79%	1.97%	1.73%	1.84%	1.83%	

- (1) Comprised of mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities which have multiple maturity dates and are presented separately for the purposes of this table.

	As of December 31, 2012						Fair Value Total	
	Amortized Cost							Total
	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Thereafter		
Fixed maturities		\$ 35	\$ 143			\$ 3,014	\$ 3,192	\$ 4,009
Average interest rate		0.25%	1.25%			1.85%	1.81%	1.82%

The tables represent average contract rates which differ from the book yield of the fixed maturities. The fixed maturity investments in our available for sale portfolio are comprised of United States government and agency securities, corporate bonds and mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities. United States government and agency securities are rated Aaa by Moody's Investors Service, Inc., and AA+ by Standard and Poor's Rating Services. The corporate bonds and mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities are investment-grade and have various ratings. In order for positions to be deemed investment-grade, they must carry a rating of Baa3 or higher by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. and BBB or higher by Standard and Poor's Rating Services.

Equity and Commodity Price Risk

Equity and commodity price risk is the potential for loss in fair value of investments in common stock, exchange traded funds (ETF), and mutual funds from adverse changes in the prices of those instruments.

The following table provides information about the investments in our trading portfolio subject to price risk as of the periods presented (in thousands).

	As of December 31, 2013		As of December 31, 2012	
	Fair Value	Percent	Fair Value	Percent
Common stock	\$ 4,754	7.3%	\$ 45,214	53.0%
Exchange-traded and mutual funds	60,268	92.7%	39,827	46.7%
Non-hedging derivative asset (liability), net			(21)	0.0%
Other investments (1)			317	0.4%
Total equities securities	\$ 65,022	100.0%	\$ 85,337	100.0%

(1) Other investments represent physical metals that we held in our trading portfolio.

A hypothetical decrease of 20% in the market prices of each of the equity and commodity securities held at December 31, 2013 and 2012 would have resulted in a decrease of \$13.0 million and \$17.1 million, respectively, in the fair value of the equity securities portfolio.

Table of Contents

Item 8. Financial Statements and supplementary data

	PAGE
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	44
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2013 and 2012</u>	46
<u>Consolidated Statements of Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011</u>	47
<u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011</u>	48
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011</u>	49
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	50

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To The Board of Directors and Stockholders of

Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Fort Lauderdale, Florida

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of **Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries** (the Company) as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, stockholders' equity and cash flows for the years then ended. We also have audited the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in the 1992 *Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO)*. The Company's management is responsible for these consolidated financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements and an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of

changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of **Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries** as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the years then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on the criteria established in the 1992 *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the *Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO)*.

/s/ Plante & Moran, PLLC

Chicago, Illinois

March 3, 2014

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Board of Directors

Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc.

Fort Lauderdale, Florida

We have audited the accompanying consolidated statements of income, stockholders' equity and cash flows of **Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries** (the Company) for the year ended December 31, 2011. The Company's management is responsible for these consolidated financial statements. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. Our audit of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the results of operations and cash flows of **Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries** for the year ended December 31, 2011, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ Blackman Kallick, LLP

Chicago, Illinois

March 23, 2012

Table of Contents**UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****(in thousands, except per share data)**

	December 31,	
	2013	2012
ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 117,275	\$ 347,392
Restricted cash and cash equivalents	2,600	33,009
Fixed maturities (trading), at fair value		4,009
Equity securities (trading), at fair value		85,041
Fixed maturities (available for sale), at fair value	289,418	
Equity securities (available for sale), at fair value	65,022	
Prepaid reinsurance premiums	241,214	239,921
Reinsurance recoverable	107,847	89,191
Reinsurance receivable, net	203	24,334
Premiums receivable, net	46,461	50,125
Receivable from securities sold		1,096
Other receivables	2,587	2,017
Property and equipment, net	9,289	8,968
Deferred policy acquisition costs, net	15,899	17,282
Income taxes recoverable	8,152	2,594
Deferred income tax asset, net	12,051	19,178
Other assets	2,072	1,578
Total assets	\$ 920,090	\$ 925,735

LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

LIABILITIES:		
Unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses	\$ 159,222	\$ 193,241
Unearned premiums	383,488	388,071
Advance premium	22,959	15,022
Accounts payable	3,441	4,368
Book overdraft	14,947	25,994
Payable for securities purchased		1,275
Reinsurance payable, net	86,232	85,259
Income taxes payable	2,566	699
Other liabilities and accrued expenses	34,386	28,071
Long-term debt	37,240	20,221
Total liabilities	744,481	762,221

Commitments and Contingencies (Note 15)

STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY:

Cumulative convertible preferred stock, \$.01 par value		1
Authorized shares 1,000		
Issued shares 30 and 108		
Outstanding shares 30 and 108		
Minimum liquidation preference \$6.98 and \$2.66 per share		
Common stock, \$.01 par value	436	419
Authorized shares 55,000		
Issued shares 43,641 and 41,889		
Outstanding shares 35,366 and 40,871		
Treasury shares, at cost 8,275 and 1,018	(35,467)	(3,101)
Additional paid-in capital	42,282	38,684
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes	(376)	
Retained earnings	168,734	127,511
Total stockholders equity	175,609	163,514
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 920,090	\$ 925,735

The accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents**UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME****(in thousands, except per share data)**

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
PREMIUMS EARNED AND OTHER REVENUES			
Direct premiums written	\$ 783,894	\$ 780,128	\$ 721,462
Ceded premiums written	(522,116)	(517,604)	(512,979)
Net premiums written	261,778	262,524	208,483
Change in net unearned premium	5,877	(31,404)	(9,498)
Premiums earned, net	267,655	231,120	198,985
Net investment income (expense)	1,928	441	788
Net realized gains (losses) on investments	(14,740)	(11,943)	2,350
Net change in unrealized gains (losses) on investments	7,850	9,443	(18,410)
Net foreign currency gains (losses) on investments		22	1,532
Commission revenue	18,615	20,383	19,507
Policy fees	13,661	14,475	15,298
Other revenue	6,190	5,998	5,811
Total premiums earned and other revenues	301,159	269,939	225,861
OPERATING COSTS AND EXPENSES			
Losses and loss adjustment expenses	108,615	126,187	124,309
General and administrative expenses	91,988	91,193	67,834
Total operating costs and expenses	200,603	217,380	192,143
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES			
Income taxes, current	34,216	18,434	23,152
Income taxes, deferred	7,363	3,813	(9,543)
Income taxes, net	41,579	22,247	13,609
NET INCOME	\$ 58,977	\$ 30,312	\$ 20,109
Basic earnings per common share	\$ 1.64	\$ 0.76	\$ 0.51
Weighted average common shares outstanding Basic	35,866	39,614	39,184

Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. - Form 10-K

Fully diluted earnings per common share	\$	1.56	\$	0.75	\$	0.50
Weighted average common shares outstanding Diluted		37,776		40,616		40,442
Cash dividend declared per common share	\$	0.49	\$	0.46	\$	0.32

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Net income	\$ 58,977	\$ 30,312	\$ 20,109
Other comprehensive income (loss)	(376)		
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 58,601	\$ 30,312	\$ 20,109

The accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents

UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

(in thousands)

	Common Shares Issued	Preferred Shares Issued	Common Stock Amount	Preferred Stock Amount	Additional Paid-In Capital	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Treasury Stock	Total Stockholders Equity
Balance, December 31, 2010	40,407	108	\$ 404	\$ 1	\$ 33,675	\$ 108,819	\$	\$ (3,109)	\$ 139,790
Stock option exercises	160		2		(169)			(263)	(430)
Restricted stock awards	600		6		(6)				
Other	3								
Retirement of treasury shares	(70)		(1)		(8)			271	262
Stock-based compensation					2,849				2,849
Net income						20,109			20,109
Excess tax benefit (shortfall), net (1)					195				195
Declaration of dividends						(12,770)			(12,770)
Balance, December 31, 2011	41,100	108	411	1	36,536	116,158		(3,101)	150,005
Stock option exercises	285		3		667			(583)	87
Restricted stock awards	650		7		(7)				
Retirement of treasury shares	(146)		(2)		(581)			583	
Stock-based compensation					3,829				3,829
Net income						30,312			30,312
Other							(3)		(3)
Excess tax benefit (shortfall), net (1)					(1,760)				(1,760)
Declaration of dividends						(18,956)			(18,956)

Balance, December 31, 2012	41,889	108	419	1	38,684	127,511	(3,101)	163,514	
Stock option exercises	2,330		23		9,446		(11,609)	(2,140)	
Restricted stock awards	1,000		10		(10)		(1,021)	(1,021)	
Conversion of preferred stock	389	(78)	4	(1)	(3)				
Purchases of treasury stock							(32,366)	(32,366)	
Retirement of treasury shares	(1,967)		(20)		(12,610)		12,630		
Stock-based compensation					6,416			6,416	
Net income						58,977		58,977	
Change in net unrealized gains (losses) (2)							(376)	(376)	
Excess tax benefit (shortfall), net (1)					359			359	
Declaration of dividends						(17,754)		(17,754)	
Balance, December 31, 2013	43,641	30	\$ 436	\$	\$ 42,282	\$ 168,734	\$ (376)	\$ (35,467)	\$ 175,609

(1) Excess tax benefits are related to stock-based compensation.

(2) Represents change in fair value of AFS investments for the period presented, net of tax benefit of \$236 thousand.

The accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents**UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****(in thousands)**

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net Income	\$ 58,977	\$ 30,312	\$ 20,109
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Bad debt expense	428	319	653
Depreciation	1,012	840	639
Amortization of stock-based compensation	6,417	3,830	2,848
Amortization of original issue discount on debt	601		
Accretion of deferred credit	(601)		
Book overdraft (decrease) increase	(11,047)	509	2,455
Net realized (gains) losses on investments	14,740	11,943	(2,350)
Net change in unrealized (gains) losses on investments	(7,850)	(9,443)	18,410
Net foreign currency (gains) losses on investments		(23)	(1,532)
Amortization of premium/accretion of discount, net	1,582	(4)	203
Deferred income taxes	7,363	3,813	(9,543)
Excess tax (benefits) shortfall from stock-based compensation	(359)	1,760	(195)
Other	10	6	(17)
Net change in assets and liabilities relating to operating activities:			
Restricted cash and cash equivalents	30,409	45,304	(64,372)
Prepaid reinsurance premiums	(1,293)	3,174	(22,009)
Reinsurance recoverables	(18,656)	(3,485)	(6,154)
Reinsurance receivables, net	24,131	30,871	(17,598)
Premiums receivable, net	3,256	(4,589)	(2,855)
Accrued investment income	(720)	325	
Other receivables	131	360	129
Income taxes recoverable	(5,558)	(2,594)	
Deferred policy acquisition costs, net	1,383	(4,286)	(3,550)
Purchase of trading securities	(26,009)	(343,962)	(801,704)
Proceeds from sales of trading securities	102,661	360,000	921,625
Other assets	(459)	331	(726)
Unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses	(34,019)	6,026	28,286
Unearned premiums	(4,583)	28,229	31,508
Accounts payable	(927)	54	547
Reinsurance payable, net	973	(2,237)	11,944
Income taxes payable	2,226	(13,801)	4,653
Other liabilities and accrued expenses	4,803	3,292	1,630
Advance premium	7,937	(4,368)	(450)

Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. - Form 10-K

Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	156,959	142,506	112,584
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	8	28	64
Purchase of property and equipment	(1,350)	(2,726)	(2,395)
Purchases of equity securities, available for sale	(77,859)		
Purchases of fixed maturities, available for sale	(305,330)		
Proceeds from sales of equity securities, available for sale	15,542		
Proceeds from sales of fixed maturities, available for sale			
Maturities of fixed maturity securities, available for sale	16,306		
Net cash used in investing activities	(352,683)	(2,698)	(2,331)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Preferred stock dividend	(29)	(287)	(20)
Common stock dividend	(17,725)	(18,669)	(12,750)
Issuance of common stock		207	5
Purchase of treasury stock	(32,366)		
Payments related to tax withholding for share-based compensation	(3,161)	(121)	(172)
Excess tax benefits (shortfall) from stock-based compensation	359	(1,760)	195
Repayment of debt	(1,471)	(1,471)	(1,471)
Proceeds from borrowings	20,000		
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(34,393)	(22,101)	(14,213)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(230,117)	117,707	96,040
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	347,392	229,685	133,645
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 117,275	\$ 347,392	\$ 229,685
Supplemental cash and non-cash flow disclosures:			
Interest	\$ 1,166	\$ 414	\$ 856
Income taxes paid	\$ 36,634	\$ 28,151	\$ 19,081
Non-cash transfer of investments from trading to available for sale portfolio	\$ 4,004	\$	\$

The accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents

UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1 NATURE OF OPERATIONS AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Nature of Operations, Basis of Presentation and Consolidation

Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc. (UIH) is a Delaware corporation originally incorporated as Universal Heights, Inc. in November 1990. UIH, with its wholly-owned subsidiaries (the Company), is a vertically integrated insurance holding company performing all aspects of insurance underwriting, distribution and claims. Through its wholly-owned subsidiaries, including Universal Property & Casualty Insurance Company (UPCIC) and American Platinum Property and Casualty Insurance Company (APPCIC), collectively referred to as the Insurance Entities, the Company is principally engaged in the property and casualty insurance business offered primarily through a network of independent agents. Risk from catastrophic losses is managed through the use of reinsurance agreements. The Company s primary product is homeowners insurance currently offered in seven states as of December 31, 2013, including Florida, which comprises the vast majority of the Company s in-force policies. See NOTE 5, INSURANCE OPERATIONS, for more information regarding the Company s insurance operations.

The Company generates revenues primarily from the collection of premiums and the investment of available funds in excess of those retained for claims-paying obligations and insurance operations. Other significant sources of revenue include commissions collected from reinsurers and policy fees.

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP). The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of UIH and its wholly owned subsidiaries. All material intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

To conform to current period presentation, certain amounts in the prior periods consolidated financial statements and notes have been reclassified. Such reclassifications were of an immaterial amount and had no effect on net income or stockholders equity.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. The Company s primary areas of estimate are the recognition of premium revenues, liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses, provision for premium deficiency and reinsurance. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

NOTE 2 SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The significant accounting policies followed by the Company are summarized as follows:

Cash and Cash Equivalents. The Company includes in cash equivalents all short-term, highly liquid investments that are readily convertible to known amounts of cash and have an original maturity of three months or less. These amounts are carried at cost, which approximates fair value. The Company excludes any net negative cash balances

from Cash and Cash Equivalents that the Company or any of its subsidiaries may have with any single institution. These amounts are reclassified to liabilities and presented as bank overdraft in the Company's consolidated balance sheets.

Restricted Cash and Cash Equivalents. The Company classifies amounts of cash and cash equivalents that are restricted in terms of their use and withdrawal separately on the face the Consolidated Balance Sheets. See below in this Note 2 and Note 5 INSURANCE OPERATIONS for a discussion of the nature of the restrictions.

Investment Securities, Trading. Investment securities held in the Company's trading portfolio consisted of both debt and equity securities. Investment securities held in trading were recorded at fair value on the consolidated balance sheet, with unrealized gains and losses reported in current period earnings. All investment securities held by the Company as of December 31, 2012 were held in the trading portfolio. The Company liquidated its trading portfolio of equity securities and transferred the fixed maturities that were outstanding at December 31, 2012 into its portfolio of securities available for sale effective March 1, 2013.

Gains and losses realized on the disposition of investment securities held in trading were determined on the FIFO basis and credited or charged to income. Premium and discount on investment securities held in trading were amortized and accreted using the interest method and charged or credited to investment income.

Table of Contents

Investment Securities, Available for Sale. Investment securities available for sale consist of both debt and equity securities. Investment securities available for sale are recorded at fair value on the consolidated balance sheet. Unrealized gains and losses on securities available for sale are excluded from earnings and reported as a component of other comprehensive income, net of related deferred taxes until reclassified to earnings upon the consummation of sales transaction with an unrelated third party or when the decline in fair value is deemed other than temporary.

The assessment of whether the impairment of a security's fair value is other than temporary is performed using a portfolio review as well as a case-by-case review considering a wide range of factors. There are a number of assumptions and estimates inherent in evaluating impairments and determining if they are other than temporary, including: 1) the Company's ability and intent to hold the investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for an anticipated recovery in value; 2) the expected recoverability of principal and interest; 3) the extent and length of time to which the fair value has been less than amortized cost for fixed maturity securities or cost for equity securities referred to as severity and duration; 4) the financial condition, near-term and long-term prospects of the issue or issuer, including relevant industry conditions and trends, and implications of rating agency actions and offering prices referred to as credit quality; and 5) the specific reasons that a security is in a significant unrealized loss position, including market conditions which could affect liquidity. Additionally, once assumptions and estimates are made, any number of changes in facts and circumstances could cause the Company to subsequently determine that an impairment is other than temporary, including: 1) general economic conditions that are worse than previously forecasted or that have a greater adverse effect on a particular issuer or industry sector than originally estimated; 2) changes in the facts and circumstances related to a particular issue or issuer's ability to meet all of its contractual obligations; and 3) changes in facts and circumstances obtained that causes a change in our ability or intent to hold a security to maturity or until it recovers in value. Management's intent and ability to hold securities is a determination that is made at each respective balance sheet date giving consideration to factors known to management for each individual issuer of securities such as credit quality and other publicly available information.

Gains and losses realized on the disposition of investment securities available for sale are determined on the first-in-first-out basis (FIFO) and credited or charged to income. Premium and discount on investment securities are amortized and accreted using the interest method and charged or credited to investment income.

Derivatives. Derivatives were held in the Company's trading portfolio and were reported at fair value with changes in their value reported as unrealized gains or losses until exercised, sold or upon expiration at which time the gain or loss was recognized as a realized gain or loss. The premium received for a written call option was recorded as a liability until the option was either exercised or expired. If the option was exercised by the holder, the Company recognizes the premium received by adjusting the amount of the realized gain or loss on the underlying security by the amount of the option premium received. If the option expired or otherwise terminated, the premium received was recognized as a component of realized gains or losses. All derivatives held in the Company's trading portfolio were liquidated during the first quarter of 2013.

Premiums Receivable. Generally, premiums are collected prior to providing risk coverage, minimizing the Company's exposure to credit risk. The Company performs a policy level evaluation to determine the extent the premiums receivable balance exceeds the unearned premiums balance. The Company then ages this exposure to establish an allowance for doubtful accounts based on prior experience. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company had recorded allowances for doubtful accounts in the amounts of \$446 thousand and \$530 thousand, respectively.

Property and Equipment. Property and equipment is recorded at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is provided on the straight-line basis over the estimated useful life of the assets. Estimated useful life of all property and equipment ranges from three to twenty-seven-and-one-half years. Expenditures for improvements are capitalized and depreciated over the remaining useful life of the asset. Routine repairs and maintenance are expensed as incurred.

Website development costs are capitalized and amortized over their estimated useful life. The Company reviews its property and equipment annually and whenever changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable.

Leases. The Company has operating leases that are subject to annual increases and amortizes the scheduled annual rental increases over the term of the leases.

Recognition of Premium Revenues. The Company recognizes revenue when realized or realizable and earned. Property and liability premiums are recognized as revenue on a pro rata basis over the policy term. The portion of premiums that will be earned in the future is deferred and reported as unearned premiums. The Company believes that its revenue recognition policies conform to U.S. GAAP. In the event policyholders cancel their policies, unearned premiums represent amounts that the Insurance Entities would refund policyholders. Accordingly, the Company determines unearned premiums by calculating the pro rata amount that would be due to the policyholders at a given point in time based upon the premiums owed over the life of each policy.

Recognition of Commission Revenue and Policy Fees. Commission revenue generated from agency operations and the Managing General Agent (MGA) s policy fee on all new and renewal insurance policies are recognized as income upon policy inception. Brokerage commission revenue earned on ceded reinsurance is recognized over the term of the reinsurance agreements.

Table of Contents

Recognition of Policyholder Payment Plan Fee Revenue. The Company offers its policyholders the option of paying their policy premiums in full at inception or in two or four installment payments. The Company charges fees to its policyholders that elect to pay their premium in installments and records such fees as revenue when the policyholder makes the installment payment election and the Company bills the fees to the policyholder. These fees are included in Other Revenue in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Income.

Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs. Certain costs incurred in connection with the successful acquisition and renewal of insurance business are deferred and amortized over the terms of the policies to which they are related. A portion of reinsurance ceding commissions received are deferred and amortized over the effective period of the related insurance policies. Deferred policy acquisition costs and deferred ceding commissions are netted for balance sheet presentation purposes.

Insurance Liabilities. Unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses (LAE) are provided for as claims are incurred. The provision for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses includes: (1) the accumulation of individual case estimates for claims and claim adjustment expenses reported prior to the close of the accounting period; (2) estimates for unreported claims based on industry data; and (3) estimates of expenses for investigating and adjusting claims based on the experience of the Company and the industry.

Inherent in the estimates of ultimate claims are expected trends in claim severity, frequency and other factors that may vary as claims are settled. The amount of uncertainty in the estimates for casualty coverage is significantly affected by such factors as the amount of claims experience relative to the development period, knowledge of the actual facts and circumstances and the amount of insurance risk retained. In addition, the Company's policyholders are currently concentrated in South Florida, which is periodically subject to adverse weather conditions, such as hurricanes and tropical storms. The methods for making such estimates and for establishing the resulting liability are periodically reviewed, and any adjustments are reflected in current earnings.

Provision for Premium Deficiency. It is the Company's policy to evaluate and recognize losses on insurance contracts when estimated future claims and maintenance costs under a group of existing contracts will exceed anticipated future premiums. No accruals for premium deficiency were considered necessary as of December 31, 2013 and 2012.

Reinsurance. In the normal course of business, the Company seeks to reduce the risk of loss that may arise from catastrophes or other events that cause unfavorable underwriting results by reinsuring (ceding) certain levels of risk in various areas of exposure with other insurance enterprises or reinsurers. The Company remains responsible for insured losses in the event of the failure of any reinsurer to make payments otherwise due to the Company. Amounts recoverable from reinsurers are estimated in a manner consistent with the provisions of the reinsurance agreement and consistent with the establishment of the liability of the Company. Allowances are established for amounts deemed uncollectible if any.

Income Taxes. Income tax provisions are based on the asset and liability method. Deferred federal and state income taxes have been provided for temporary differences between the tax basis of assets and liabilities and their reported amounts in the consolidated financial statements, net of valuation allowance if any. The Company reviews its deferred tax assets for recoverability.

Income (Loss) Per Share of Common Stock. Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing the Company's net income (loss), less preferred stock dividends, by the weighted-average number of shares of Common Stock outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share is computed by dividing the Company's net income (loss) by the weighted average number of shares of Common Stock outstanding during the period and the impact of all dilutive potential common shares, primarily preferred stock, unvested shares, options and warrants. The dilutive impact of

stock options, unvested shares and warrants is determined by applying the treasury stock method and the dilutive impact of the preferred stock is determined by applying the if converted method.

Fair Value Measurements. The Company's policy is to record transfers of assets and liabilities, if any, between Level 1 and Level 2 at their fair values as of the end of each reporting period, consistent with the date of the determination of fair value.

Concentrations of Credit Risk. The Company is exposed to concentrations of credit risk, consisting principally of cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash and cash equivalents, debt securities, premiums receivable, prepaid reinsurance premiums, reinsurance receivable and reinsurance recoverable.

The Company maintains depository relationships with SunTrust Bank, Wells Fargo Bank N.A., Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc., and State Street Bank and Trust Company and invests excess cash with custodial institutions that invest primarily in money market accounts consisting of or collateralized by short-term U.S. Treasury securities and other U.S. government guaranteed securities. These accounts are held primarily by SunTrust Bank, Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc., and State Street Bank and Trust Company. The Company regularly evaluates the financial strength of the institutions with which it maintains depository relationships.

Table of Contents

The following table presents current ratings for each of these financial institutions from various rating agencies:

Institution	Current Ratings	
	Standard and Poor's Rating	Moody's Investors
Sun Trust Bank	BBB+	A3
Wells Fargo Bank N.A.	AA-	Aa3
Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc.	A	A2
State Street Bank and Trust Company	AA-	Aa3

The following table presents the amount of cash and cash equivalents as of the periods presented (in thousands):

Institution	Cash and cash equivalents							
	As of December 31, 2013				As of December 31, 2012			
	Cash	Money Market Funds	Total	% by institution	Cash	Money Market Funds	Total	% by institution
U. S. Bank IT&C	\$	\$	\$		\$	\$ 40,463	\$ 40,463	11.6%
SunTrust Bank	931	3,550	4,481	3.8%	773	1,055	1,828	0.5%
SunTrust Bank Escrow Services		56,129	56,129	47.9%		300,843	300,843	86.6%
Wells Fargo Bank N.A.	3,631		3,631	3.1%	1,991	3	1,994	0.6%
Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc.		33,041	33,041	28.2%				
State Street Bank and Trust Company		19,993	19,993	17.0%				
All Other Banking Institutions					1,796	468	2,264	0.7%
Total	\$ 4,562	\$ 112,713	\$ 117,275	100.0%	\$ 4,560	\$ 342,832	\$ 347,392	100.0%

Restricted cash and cash equivalents are maintained in money market accounts consisting of U.S. Treasury and government agency securities.

The following table presents the amount of restricted cash and cash equivalents as of the periods presented (in thousands):

Institution	Restricted cash and cash equivalents			
	As of December 31, 2013		As of December 31, 2012	
	State Deposits	% by institution	State Deposits	% by institution
U. S. Bank IT&C	\$ 800	30.8%	\$ 800	2.4%
Florida Department of Financial Services	1,800	69.2%	32,209	97.6%

Total	\$ 2,600	100.0%	\$ 33,009	100.0%
-------	----------	--------	-----------	--------

Concentrations of credit risk with respect to premiums receivable are limited due to the large number of individuals comprising the Company's customer base. However, the majority of the Company's revenues are currently derived from products and services offered to customers in Florida, which could be adversely affected by economic downturns, an increase in competition or other environmental changes.

In order to reduce credit risk for amounts due from reinsurers, the Insurance Entities seek to do business with financially sound reinsurance companies and regularly evaluate the financial strength of all reinsurers used.

Table of Contents

The following table presents current ratings from rating agencies and the unsecured amounts due from the Company's reinsurers whose aggregate balance exceeded 3% of the Company's stockholders' equity as of the periods presented (in thousands):

Reinsurer	Current Ratings			As of	
	AM Best Company	Standard and Poor's Rating	Moody's Investors	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Everest Reinsurance Company	A+	A+	A1	\$ 87,789	\$ 44,392
Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund	n/a	n/a	n/a	33,593	31,970
Odyssey Reinsurance Company	A	A-	A3	142,190	192,096
Total (1)				\$ 263,572	\$ 268,458

(1) Amounts represent prepaid reinsurance premiums, reinsurance receivables, and net recoverables for paid and unpaid losses, including incurred but not reported reserves, loss adjustment expenses, and offsetting reinsurance payables. n/a No rating available

Share-based Compensation. The Company accounts for share-based compensation based on the estimated grant-date fair value. The Company recognizes these compensation costs in general and administrative expenses and generally amortizes them on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of the award, which is the vesting term. Individual tranches of performance-based awards are amortized separately since the vesting of each tranche is subject to independent annual measures. The fair value of stock option awards are estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the grant-date assumptions discussed in Note 9 SHARE BASED COMPENSATION. The fair value of the restricted share grants are determined based on the market price on the date of grant.

Statutory Accounting. UPCIC and APPCIC prepare statutory financial statements in conformity with accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the Florida Office of Insurance Regulation (OIR). The OIR requires that insurance companies domiciled in Florida prepare their statutory financial statements in accordance with the NAIC Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual, as modified by the Office of Insurance Regulation of Florida. Accordingly, the admitted assets, liabilities and capital and surplus of UPCIC and APPCIC as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 and the results of operations and cash flows, for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, have been determined in accordance with statutory accounting principles, but adjusted to U.S. GAAP for purposes of these financial statements. The statutory accounting principles are designed primarily to demonstrate the ability to meet obligations to policyholders and claimants and, consequently, differ in some respects from U.S. GAAP.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In July 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued accounting guidance on the presentation of an unrecognized tax benefit when a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss or a tax credit carryforward exists. Under this guidance, an unrecognized tax benefit, or a portion of an unrecognized tax benefit, should generally be presented in the financial statements as a reduction to a deferred tax asset for a net operating loss carryforward. This guidance is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2013, but earlier adoption is permitted. We plan to adopt the standard prospectively on the required effective date of January 1, 2014 and are assessing the effect of adopting the standard on our Consolidated Balance Sheets, Statements of Income and Statements of Cash Flows.

In June 2011, the FASB updated its guidance to the Comprehensive Income Topic 220 of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) and in February 2013, the FASB further amended such topic. This February 2013 guidance requires disclosure about amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by component. In addition, an entity is required to present, either on the face of the statement of operations or in the notes, significant amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by the respective line items of net income, but only if the amount reclassified is required to be reclassified to net income in its entirety in the same reporting period. For amounts that are not required to be reclassified in their entirety to net income, an entity is required to cross-reference to other disclosures that provide additional detail about those amounts. This guidance is to be applied prospectively to interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2012. The Company adopted this guidance effective January 1, 2013. The adoption of this guidance results in additional disclosures but did not impact the Company's results of operations, cash flows or financial position. The updated guidance provided by the FASB in June 2011 increases the prominence of items reported in other comprehensive income by eliminating the option of presenting components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders equity. The guidance requires that total comprehensive income (including both the net income components and other comprehensive income components) be reported in either a single continuous statement of comprehensive income (the approach currently used in the Company's financial statements), or two separate but consecutive statements. This guidance is to be applied retrospectively to fiscal years (and interim periods within those years) beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company adopted this guidance effective January 1, 2012. The adoption did not have an impact on the presentation of the Company's financial statements and notes herein, as the Company has presented amounts of other comprehensive income consistent with this updated guidance.

Table of Contents

In May 2011, the FASB updated its guidance related to the Fair Value Measurement, Topic 820 of the ASC, to achieve common fair value measurement and disclosure requirements with International Financial Reporting Standards. The amendments change the wording used to describe many of the requirements under U.S. GAAP, to clarify the intent of application of existing fair value measurement and disclosure requirements, and to change particular principles or requirements for measuring and disclosing fair value measurements. The amendments are to be applied prospectively to interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company adopted this guidance effective January 1, 2012. The adoption of this guidance resulted in additional disclosure but did not impact the Company's results of operations, cash flows or financial position.

In September 2010, the FASB issued guidance related to accounting for costs associated with acquiring or renewing insurance contracts. This guidance defines allowable deferred policy acquisition costs as costs incurred by insurance entities for the successful acquisition of new and renewal contracts. Such costs result directly from and are essential to the contract transaction(s) and would not have been incurred by the insurance entity had the contract(s) not occurred. This guidance is effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2011, with early adoption permitted. The Company adopted this guidance prospectively effective January 1, 2012. Under the new guidance, the Company's net deferred policy acquisition costs were reduced from \$13.0 million to \$11.4 million, a difference of 13% at December 31, 2011. The resulting \$1.6 million difference was charged directly to earnings during the three months ended March 31, 2012. This charge represents a charge-off of capitalized costs existing at December 31, 2011, which would have been amortized to earnings within a twelve-month period under the old guidance.

Table of Contents

NOTE 3 INVESTMENTS

The Company liquidated its trading portfolio of equity securities and transferred the fixed maturities that were outstanding at December 31, 2012 into its portfolio of securities available for sale effective March 1, 2013. The net unrealized gain (loss) associated with the fixed maturities trading portfolio was recognized in earnings up to the date of transfer.

The following table presents the Company's investment holdings by type of instrument as of the periods presented (in thousands):

	As of December 31, 2013			As of December 31, 2012		
	Cost or	Fair	Carrying	Cost or	Fair	Carrying
	Amortized			Cost (2)		
	Cost	Value	Value	Cost (2)	Value	Value
Cash and cash equivalents (1)	\$ 117,275	\$ 117,275	\$ 117,275	\$ 347,392	\$ 347,392	\$ 347,392
Restricted cash and cash equivalents	2,600	2,600	2,600	33,009	33,009	33,009
Trading portfolio:						
Fixed maturities:						
U.S. government obligations and agencies				3,192	4,009	4,009
Equity securities (2):						
Common stock:						
Metals and mining				31,113	26,130	26,130
Energy				12,053	10,868	10,868
Other				8,416	8,215	8,215
Exchange-traded and mutual funds:						
Metals and mining				22,687	21,989	21,989
Agriculture				10,705	10,265	10,265
Energy				4,992	5,068	5,068
Indices				2,827	2,506	2,506
Non-hedging derivative asset (liability), net (3)				69	(21)	(21)
Other investments (4)				517	317	317
Total trading portfolio investments				96,571	89,346	89,346
Available for sale portfolio:						
Fixed maturities:						
U.S. government obligations and agencies	105,229	104,215	104,215			
Corporate bonds	94,708	94,203	94,203			
Mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities	91,502	91,000	91,000			
Equity securities:						
Common stock	8,500	9,295	9,295			
Mutual funds	55,113	55,727	55,727			

Total available for sale investments	355,052	354,440	354,440			
Total investments	\$ 474,927	\$ 474,315	\$ 474,315	\$ 476,972	\$ 469,747	\$ 469,747

- (1) Cash and cash equivalents include money market accounts consisting of or collateralized by short-term U.S. Treasury securities and other U.S. government guaranteed securities.
- (2) The cost for equity securities as of December 31, 2012 has been restated from the amounts reported on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. The amounts previously reported represented the cost determined under a statutory basis of accounting. The restatement does not affect any amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements including the carrying amount of equity securities reported in the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2012 and unrealized gains and losses reported in the consolidated statement of income for the year ended December 31, 2012.
- (3) Derivatives are included in Other assets and Other liabilities and accrued expenses in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.
- (4) Other investments represent physical metals held by the Company and are included in Other assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The Company has made an assessment of its invested assets for fair value measurement as further described in Note 16 FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS.

Table of Contents

The following table presents the components of net investment income, comprised primarily of interest and dividends, for the periods presented (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Cash and cash equivalents (1)	\$ 148	\$ 705	\$ 568
Fixed maturities	1,420	66	494
Equity securities	1,982	566	421
Total investment income	3,550	1,337	1,483
Less investment expenses	(1,622)	(896)	(695)
Net investment (expense) income	\$ 1,928	\$ 441	\$ 788

(1) Includes interest earned on restricted cash and cash equivalents.

Trading Portfolio

The following table provides the effect of trading activities on the Company's results of operations for the periods presented by type of instrument and by line item in the Consolidated Statements of Income (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Realized gains (losses) on investments:			
Fixed maturities	\$	\$	\$ (3,206)
Equity securities	(15,969)	(12,286)	5,816
Derivatives (non-hedging instruments) (1)	(68)	343	(260)
Total realized gains (losses) on trading portfolio	(16,037)	(11,943)	2,350
Change in unrealized gains (losses) on investments:			
Fixed maturities	13	195	8,472
Equity securities	7,758	9,158	(26,762)
Derivatives (non-hedging instruments) (1)	89	145	25
Other	14	(55)	(145)
Total change in unrealized gains (losses) on trading portfolio	7,874	9,443	(18,410)
Net gains (losses) recognized on trading portfolio	\$ (8,163)	\$ (2,500)	\$ (16,060)

(1)

This table provides the alternative quantitative disclosures permitted for derivatives that are not used as hedging instruments and are included in the trading portfolio.

Securities Available for Sale

The following table provides the cost or amortized cost and fair value of securities available for sale as of the period presented (in thousands):

	December 31, 2013			
	Cost or Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
Fixed Maturities:				
US government and agency obligations	\$ 105,229	\$ 19	\$ (1,033)	\$ 104,215
Corporate bonds	94,708	265	(770)	94,203
Mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities	91,502	75	(577)	91,000
Equity Securities:				
Common stock	8,500	916	(121)	9,295
Mutual funds	55,113	2,266	(1,652)	55,727
Total	\$ 355,052	\$ 3,541	\$ (4,153)	\$ 354,440

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the fair value and gross unrealized losses on securities available for sale, aggregated by major investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position as of December 31, 2013 (dollars in thousands):

	Less than 12 months			12 months or longer	
	Number of issues	Fair value	Unrealized losses	Number of issues	Unrealized losses
Fixed maturities:					
US government and agency obligations	6	\$ 71,042	\$ (1,033)	\$	\$
Corporate bonds	55	65,926	(770)		
Mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities	16	67,110	(577)		
Equity securities:					
Common stock	13	3,517	(121)		
Mutual funds	5	19,646	(1,652)		
Total	95	\$ 227,241	\$ (4,153)	\$	\$

At December 31, 2013, we held fixed maturity and equity securities that were in an unrealized loss position as presented in the table above. Since the Company liquidated its trading portfolio and transferred the remaining fixed maturities into its portfolio of securities available for sale effective March 1, 2013, there were no positions held in our portfolio of securities available for sale for longer than 12 months. For fixed maturity securities with significant declines in value, we perform fundamental credit analysis on a security-by-security basis, which includes consideration of credit quality and credit ratings, review of relevant industry analyst reports and other available market data. For fixed maturity and equity securities, the Company considers whether it has the intent and ability to hold the securities for a period of time sufficient to recover its cost basis. Where the Company lacks the intent and ability to hold to recovery, or believes the recovery period is extended, the security's decline in fair value is considered other than temporary and is recorded in earnings. Based upon the relative severity and duration of the unrealized losses combined with management's intent and ability to hold the securities until recovery, management had no reason to believe the unrealized losses for securities available for sale at December 31, 2013 were other than temporary.

The following table presents the amortized cost and fair value of fixed maturities available for sale by contractual maturity as of December 31, 2013 (in thousands):

	Fixed Maturities Securities Available for Sale	
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
Due in one year or less	\$ 3,827	\$ 3,825
Due after one year through five years	191,522	190,260
Due after five years through ten years	4,588	4,333
Due after ten years		
Mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities	91,502	91,000
Total	\$ 291,439	\$ 289,418

The following table provides certain information related to securities available for sale during the periods presented (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31, 2013
Sales proceeds (fair value)	\$ 15,542
Gross realized gains	\$ 1,301
Gross realized losses	\$ (4)
Other than temporary losses	\$

Table of Contents

NOTE 4 REINSURANCE

The Company seeks to reduce its risk of loss by reinsuring certain levels of risk in various areas of exposure with other insurance enterprises or reinsurers, generally, as of the beginning of the hurricane season on June 1 of each year. The Company's reinsurance program consists of excess of loss, quota share and catastrophe reinsurance, subject to the terms and conditions of the applicable agreements. The Company is responsible for insured losses related to catastrophes and other events in excess of coverage provided by its reinsurance program. The Company also remains responsible for the settlement of insured losses in the event of the failure of any of its reinsurers to make payments otherwise due to the Company. See Note 1, SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES *Concentrations of Credit Risk*, for amounts due from our largest reinsurers as of December 31, 2013.

The estimated insured value of the Company's in-force policyholder coverage for windstorm exposures as of December 31, 2013, was approximately \$120.1 billion.

The Company reduced the percentage of premiums ceded by UPCIC to its quota share reinsurers to 45% beginning with the reinsurance program effective June 1, 2012, from 50% under the prior year quota share contract effective June 1, 2011 through May 31, 2012. The Company's two quota share reinsurance contracts were effective June 1, 2013. One quota share reinsurance contract provides coverage to UPCIC through May 31, 2014 and the other provides coverage to UPCIC through May 31, 2015. By ceding 5% less premium to its quota share reinsurers, the Company intends to increase its profitability. The reduction of cession rate also decreases the amount of losses and LAE that may be ceded by UPCIC and effectively increases the amount of risk retained by UPCIC and the Company. The reduction of cession rate also reduces the amount of ceding commissions earned from the Company's quota share reinsurer during the contract term and decreases the amount of deferred ceding commission, as of December 31, 2013, that is a component of net deferred policy acquisition costs.

Amounts recoverable from reinsurers are estimated in a manner consistent with the reinsurance contracts. Reinsurance premiums, losses and LAE are accounted for on a basis consistent with those used in accounting for the original policies issued and the terms of the reinsurance contracts. Deferred ceding commissions are netted against policy acquisition costs and amortized over the effective period of the related insurance policies.

Table of Contents

The Company's reinsurance arrangements had the following effect on certain items in the Consolidated Statements of Income for the periods presented (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31, 2013		
	Premiums Written	Premiums Earned	Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses
Direct	\$ 783,894	\$ 788,477	\$ 216,852
Ceded	(522,116)	(520,822)	(108,237)
Net	\$ 261,778	\$ 267,655	\$ 108,615

	Year Ended December 31, 2012		
	Premiums Written	Premiums Earned	Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses
Direct	\$ 780,128	\$ 751,899	\$ 249,064
Ceded	(517,604)	(520,779)	(122,877)
Net	\$ 262,524	\$ 231,120	\$ 126,187

	Year Ended December 31, 2011		
	Premiums Written	Premiums Earned	Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses
Direct	\$ 721,462	\$ 689,955	\$ 245,335
Ceded	(512,979)	(490,970)	(121,026)
Net	\$ 208,483	\$ 198,985	\$ 124,309

The following prepaid reinsurance premiums and reinsurance recoverable and receivable are reflected in the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of the periods presented (in thousands):

	As of December 31,	
	2013	2012
Prepaid reinsurance premiums	\$ 241,214	\$ 239,921
Reinsurance recoverable on unpaid losses and LAE	\$ 68,584	\$ 81,415
Reinsurance recoverable on paid losses	39,263	7,776
Reinsurance receivable, net	203	24,334

Reinsurance recoverable and receivable	\$ 108,050	\$ 113,525
--	------------	------------

Table of Contents**Segregated Account T25**

UIH owned and maintained a segregated account, Segregated Account T25 Universal Insurance Holdings of White Rock Insurance (SAC) Ltd. (T25), established in accordance with Bermuda law. As part of the Company's overall reinsurance program, T25 at times entered into underlying excess catastrophe contracts with the Insurance Entities for the purpose of assuming certain risk for specified loss occurrences, including hurricanes. The agreements between T25 and the Insurance Entities were a cost-effective alternative to reinsurance that the Insurance Entities would otherwise purchase from third-party reinsurers. While the Company retained risk that otherwise would be transferred to third party reinsurers, the use of the Segregated Account T25 provided benefits to the Insurance Entities in no-loss years that could not be replicated in the open reinsurance market. These benefits included the return to the Insurance Entities of a substantial portion of the earned reinsurance premiums under the contract. All the related intercompany transactions with respect to these agreements are eliminated in consolidation with the exception of amounts held in trust or on deposit with the OIR which is presented as restricted cash and cash equivalents.

The T25 agreement effective June 1, 2012 through May 31, 2013 was terminated effective December 31, 2012, pursuant to the terms of the agreement. In connection with the termination of the agreement, the affiliates agreed to release funds held in trust due to the beneficiary (i.e., UPCIC) and the balance to the grantor (i.e., UIH) in December 2012.

Effective January 1, 2013, the T25 contract was subsequently replaced at identical limits and retentions as the prior agreement with unaffiliated third-party reinsurers as an open market purchase. Effective January 1, 2013 through May 31, 2013, under an excess catastrophe contract, UPCIC obtained catastrophe coverage of 45% of \$75 million in excess of \$75 million and 55% of \$105 million in excess of \$45 million covering certain loss occurrences including hurricanes. The total cost of this reinsurance coverage is \$2.7 million.

NOTE 5 INSURANCE OPERATIONS

The Company's primary product is homeowners insurance currently offered by APPCIC in one state (Florida) and by UPCIC in seven states, including Florida.

The following table provides the percentage of concentrations with respect to the Insurance Entities' nationwide policies-in-force as of the periods presented:

	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Percentage of Policies-In-Force:		
In Florida	93%	96%
With wind coverage	98%	98%
With wind coverage in South Florida (1)	27%	28%

(1) South Florida is comprised of Miami-Dade, Broward and Palm Beach counties.

Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs, net

The Company defers certain costs in connection with written policies, called Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs (DPAC), net of corresponding amounts of ceded reinsurance commissions, called Deferred Reinsurance Ceding Commissions (DRCC).

Table of Contents

The following table presents the beginning and ending balances and the changes in DPAC, net of DRCC, for the periods presented (in thousands):

	For the years ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
DPAC, beginning of year (1)	\$ 54,431	\$ 50,200	\$ 50,127
Capitalized Costs	109,981	107,180	114,358
Amortization of DPAC	(110,313)	(102,949)	(107,003)
DPAC, end of year	\$ 54,099	\$ 54,431	\$ 57,482
DRCC, beginning of year (1)	\$ 37,149	\$ 38,845	\$ 40,682
Ceding Commissions Written	89,679	85,063	89,330
Earned Ceding Commissions	(88,628)	(86,759)	(85,526)
DRCC, end of year	\$ 38,200	\$ 37,149	\$ 44,486
DPAC (DRCC), net, beginning of year (1)	\$ 17,282	\$ 11,355	\$ 9,445
Capitalized Costs, net	20,302	22,117	25,028
Amortization of DPAC (DRCC), net	(21,685)	(16,190)	(21,477)
DPAC (DRCC), net, end of year	\$ 15,899	\$ 17,282	\$ 12,996

(1) The beginning balances for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 have been adjusted in connection with the adoption of the FASB's updated guidance related to deferred acquisition costs as discussed below. As discussed in Note 2 SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES, the Company prospectively adopted new accounting guidance effective January 1, 2012 related to accounting for costs associated with acquiring or renewing insurance contracts. This guidance resulted in a 13% reduction of our net deferred policy acquisition costs as of December 31, 2011, and a corresponding pre-tax charge of \$1.6 million against earnings during the first quarter of 2012. This charge represents a charge-off of capitalized costs existing at December 31, 2011, which would have been amortized to earnings within a twelve-month period under the old guidance. In the period of adoption (three months ended March 31, 2012), approximately \$9 million of net costs would have been deferred under the old guidance compared to the \$5.6 million under the new guidance. Future expenses will be accelerated with the adoption of this guidance, as the amounts being deferred have decreased, partially offset by less amortization. The effect of this change in periods subsequent to March 31, 2012, on income and per share amounts is not determinable as the historical methodology will have been discontinued after adoption.

Liability for Unpaid Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses

The Insurance Entities establish liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses on reported and unreported claims of insured losses. These liability estimates are based on known facts and interpretation of factors such as claim payment patterns, loss payments, pending levels of unpaid claims, product mix and industry experience. The establishment of appropriate liabilities, including liabilities for catastrophes, is an inherently uncertain process. Management regularly updates its estimates as new facts become known and further events occur which may impact

the resolution of unsettled claims.

The level of catastrophe loss experienced in any year cannot be predicted and could be material to results of operations and financial position. The Company's policyholders are concentrated in South Florida, which is periodically subject to adverse weather conditions, such as hurricanes and tropical storms. During the twelve-month periods ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, the Company did not experience any significant effects from catastrophic events. Management continuously evaluates alternative business strategies to more effectively manage the Company's exposure to catastrophe losses, including the maintenance of catastrophic reinsurance coverage as discussed in Note 4.

Management believes that the liabilities for claims and claims expense as of December 31, 2013 are appropriately established in the aggregate and adequate to cover the ultimate cost of reported and unreported claims arising from losses which had occurred by that date. However, if losses exceeded direct loss reserve estimates there could be a material adverse effect on the Company's financial statements. Also, if there are regulatory initiatives, legislative enactments or case law precedents which change the basis for policy coverage, in any of these events, there could be an effect on direct loss reserve estimates having a material adverse effect on the Company's financial statements.

Table of Contents

Set forth in the following table is the change in liability for unpaid losses and LAE for the periods presented (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2013	2012
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 193,241	\$ 187,215
Less reinsurance recoverable	(81,415)	(88,002)
Net balance at beginning of period	111,826	99,213
Incurred (recovered) related to:		
Current year	111,560	119,458
Prior years	(2,945)	6,729
Total incurred	108,615	126,187
Paid related to:		
Current year	62,529	54,141
Prior years	67,274	59,433
Total paid	129,803	113,574
Net balance at end of period	90,638	111,826
Plus reinsurance recoverable	68,584	81,415
Balance at end of year	\$ 159,222	\$ 193,241

The liability for unpaid losses and LAE includes a decrease of \$2.9 million and an increase of \$6.7 million in 2013 and 2012, respectively, in response to reserve development on prior accident years. The favorable development recorded during the year ended December 31, 2013 was primarily the result of lower than anticipated loss settlements on 2004 and 2005 catastrophe claims which were recognized during the calendar year, and favorable development of accident year 2011 and 2012 non-catastrophe loss estimates which were recognized at year end 2013 after a detailed actuarial analysis. Favorable reserve development in 2013 was somewhat offset by increases in loss adjustment expense estimates on non-catastrophe claims for 2010 through 2012 accident years that were recognized at year end. The reserve development for 2012 was primarily the result of actual loss development on prior accident year non-catastrophe homeowners losses and higher than expected adjusting and other expenses.

Regulatory Requirements and Restrictions

The Insurance Entities are subject to regulations and standards of the OIR. These standards require the Insurance Entities to maintain specified levels of statutory capital and restrict the timing and amount of dividends and other distributions that may be paid to the parent company. Except in the case of extraordinary dividends, these standards generally permit dividends to be paid from statutory unassigned surplus of the regulated subsidiary and are limited based on the regulated subsidiary's level of statutory net income and statutory capital and surplus. The maximum dividend that may be paid by UPCIC and APPCIC to their immediate parent company, Universal Insurance Holding Company of Florida (UIHCF), without prior approval is limited to the lesser of statutory net income from operations

of the preceding calendar year or 10.0% of statutory unassigned surplus as of the preceding year end. These dividends are referred to as ordinary dividends and generally can be paid without prior regulatory approval. If the dividend, together with other dividends paid within the preceding twelve months, exceeds a specified statutory limit or is paid from sources other than earned surplus, the entire dividend is generally considered an extraordinary dividend and must receive prior regulatory approval.

Based on the 2012 statutory net income and statutory capital and surplus levels, UPCIC and APPCIC did not have the capacity to pay ordinary dividends during 2013. No dividends were paid from UPCIC or APPCIC to UIHCF during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011. Dividends paid to the shareholders of UIH are paid from the equity of UIH not from the surplus of the Insurance Entities.

Table of Contents

The Florida Insurance Code requires companies to maintain capitalization equivalent to the greater of ten percent of the insurer's total liabilities or \$5.0 million. The following table presents the amount of statutory capital and surplus, and an amount representing ten percent of total liabilities for both UPCIC and APPCIC as of the periods presented (in thousands):

	As of December 31,	
	2013	2012
Ten percent of total liabilities		
UPCIC	\$ 39,179	\$ 39,260
APPCIC	\$ 625	\$ 694
Statutory capital and surplus		
UPCIC	\$ 161,803	\$ 134,034
APPCIC	\$ 13,708	\$ 14,330

At such dates in the table above, both UPCIC and APPCIC met the Florida capitalization requirement. UPCIC and APPCIC are also required to adhere to prescribed premium-to-capital surplus ratios and have met those requirements at such dates.

Through UIHCF, the Insurance Entities' parent company, UIH recorded capital contributions for the periods presented (in thousands):

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Capital Contributions	\$	\$ 28,550	\$ 49,000

UPCIC and APPCIC are required annually to comply with the NAIC RBC requirements. RBC requirements prescribe a method of measuring the amount of capital appropriate for an insurance company to support its overall business operations in light of its size and risk profile. NAIC's RBC requirements are used by regulators to determine appropriate regulatory actions relating to insurers who show signs of weak or deteriorating condition. As of December 31, 2013, based on calculations using the appropriate NAIC RBC formula, UPCIC's and APPCIC's reported total adjusted capital was in excess of the requirements.

The Company is required by various state laws and regulations to maintain certain assets in depository accounts. The following table represents assets held by insurance regulators as of the periods presented (in thousands):

	As of December 31, 2013	As of December 31, 2012
Restricted cash and cash equivalents	\$ 2,600	\$ 33,009
Investments	\$ 3,707	\$ 4,009

In November 2012, the Florida Insurance Guaranty Association (FIGA) Board of Directors determined the need for an emergency assessment upon its member companies. The assessment was 0.9% of each respective member's Florida net direct premiums for calendar year 2011. The Insurance Entities' participation in this assessment totaled \$6.3 million based on 2011 net direct premiums generated in Florida of approximately \$704.8 million. Pursuant to Florida statutes,

insurers are permitted to recoup the assessment by adding a surcharge to policies in an amount not to exceed the amount paid by the insurer to FIGA. As a result, the Insurance Entities recorded this assessment as an expense during the year ended December 31, 2012 and began to recoup the assessment on February 1, 2013. The Company has recouped the majority of this assessment as of December 31, 2013.

UPCIC received an order from the OIR dated May 30, 2013 related to the OIR's Target Market Conduct Final Examination Report of UPCIC for the period January 2009 through May 2013 (OIR Order). The OIR Order alleged certain violations and findings and sought to impose certain requirements and an administrative fine of \$1.3 million upon UPCIC. On October 4, 2013, UPCIC and the OIR signed a Consent Order settling the OIR Order. The Consent Order clarified language contained in the OIR Order, imposed certain requirements on UPCIC and required UPCIC to pay the administrative fine of \$1.3 million, which it paid on October 18, 2013.

Table of Contents**NOTE 6 PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT**

Property and equipment consisted of the following for the periods presented (in thousands):

	As of December 31,	
	2013	2012
Land	\$ 1,287	\$ 1,287
Building	6,508	6,508
Leasehold improvements	17	
Computers	619	568
Furniture	1,112	1,043
Automobiles and other vehicles	3,067	2,183
Software	2,055	1,772
Total cost	14,665	13,361
Less: accumulated depreciation	(5,376)	(4,393)
Property and equipment, net	\$ 9,289	\$ 8,968

Depreciation and amortization was \$1 million, \$840 thousand and \$639 thousand for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The following table provides realized gains (losses) on the disposal of property and equipment for the periods presented (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Realized gain (loss) on disposal	\$ (10)	\$ (6)	\$ 17

NOTE 7 LONG-TERM DEBT

Long-term debt consists of a surplus note with a carrying amount of \$18.8 million and \$20.2 million as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, a term loan with a carrying amount of \$18.5 million as of December 31, 2013 and none at December 31, 2012, and any amounts drawn upon an unsecured line of credit.

Surplus Note

On November 9, 2006, UPCIC entered into a \$25.0 million surplus note with the SBA under Florida's Insurance Capital Build-Up Incentive Program (ICBUI). Under ICBUI, which was implemented by the Florida Legislature to encourage insurance companies to write additional residential insurance coverage in Florida, the SBA matched UPCIC's funds of \$25.0 million that were earmarked for participation in the program. The surplus note brings the current statutory capital and surplus of UPCIC to approximately \$161.8 million as of December 31, 2013.

The surplus note calls for serial maturities and is scheduled to be fully repaid on December 31, 2026 and accrues interest at a rate equivalent to the 10-year U.S. Treasury Bond rate, adjusted quarterly based on the 10-year Constant

Maturity Treasury rate. For the first three years of the term of the surplus note, UPCIC was required to pay interest only. The effective interest rate paid on the surplus note was 2.21%, 1.96% and 3.77% for years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Any payment of principal or interest by UPCIC on the surplus note must be approved by the Commissioner of the OIR. Quarterly principal payments of \$368 thousand are due through 2026. Aggregate principal payments of \$1.5 million were made during each of the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.

In May 2008, the Florida Legislature passed a law providing participants in the Program an opportunity to amend the terms of their surplus notes based on law changes. The new law contains methods for calculating compliance with the writing ratio requirements that are more favorable to UPCIC than prior law and the prior terms of the existing surplus note. On November 6, 2008, UPCIC and the SBA executed an addendum to the surplus note that reflects these law changes. The terms of the addendum were effective July 1, 2008. In addition to other less significant changes, the addendum modifies the definitions of Minimum Required Surplus, Minimum Writing Ratio, Surplus, and Gross Written Premium, respectively, as defined in the original surplus note.

Table of Contents

UPCIC has been and currently remains in compliance with each of the loan's covenants as implemented by rules promulgated by the SBA. An event of default will occur under the surplus note, as amended, if UPCIC: (i) defaults in the payment of the surplus note; (ii) fails to submit quarterly filings to the OIR; (iii) fails to maintain at least \$50 million of surplus during the term of the surplus note, except for certain situations; (iv) misuses proceeds of the surplus note; (v) makes any misrepresentations in the application for the program; (vi) pays any dividend when principal or interest payments are past due under the surplus note; or (vii) fails to maintain a level of surplus and reinsurance sufficient to cover in excess of UPCIC's 1-in-100 year probable maximum loss as determined by a hurricane loss model accepted by the Florida Commission on Hurricane Loss Projection Methodology as certified by the OIR annually.

The original surplus note provided for increases in interest rates for failure to meet the Minimum Writing Ratio. Under the terms of the surplus note agreement, at December 31, 2007, the interest rate on the note was increased by 450 basis points. As of June 30, 2008, the additional interest rate on the note was decreased from 450 basis points to 25 basis points. Under the terms of the surplus note, as amended, the net written premium to surplus requirement and gross written premium to surplus requirement have been modified. Further, UPCIC will be subject to increases in interest rates if it drops below a net written premium to surplus of 1:1 below a gross written premium to surplus ratio of 3:1 for three consecutive quarters beginning January 1, 2010. As of December 31, 2013, UPCIC's net written premium to surplus ratio and gross written premium to surplus ratio were in excess of the required minimums and, therefore, UPCIC is not subject to increases in interest rates.

Term Loan

On May 23, 2013, UIH entered into a \$20 million unsecured term loan agreement and related term note (Term Loan) with RenaissanceRe Ventures Ltd. (RenRe Ventures). See Note 11 RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS, for a discussion of a series of agreements entered into with RenRe Ventures and its affiliate Renaissance Reinsurance Ltd. (RenRe).

The Term Loan bears interest at the rate of 0.50% per annum and matures on the earlier of May 23, 2016 or the date that all principal under the Term Loan is pre-paid or deemed paid in full. The Term Loan is amortized over the three-year term and UIH may prepay the loan without penalty. Principal is payable annually on the anniversary of the closing date in three annual installments and interest is payable in arrears on the same dates as the principal payments. The Term Loan contains financial covenants and as of December 31, 2013, UIH was in compliance with such covenants.

The stated interest rate of the Term Loan of 0.50% is below the Company's borrowing rate resulting in imputed interest and an original issue discount computed by calculating the present value of the future principal and interest payments utilizing the Company's borrowing rate. Concurrent with the establishment of the original issue discount, the Company recorded a deferred credit, a component of other liabilities and accrued expenses, for an equal amount against premium payments the Company will make in connection with a catastrophe risk-linked transaction contract entered into with RenRe on the same date and with the same maturity date as the Term Loan. The original issue discount will be amortized to interest expense over the life of the Term Loan and the deferred credit will be amortized as a reduction in insurance expense, a component of general and administrative expenses, over the life of a covered loss index swap with RenRe.

The following table provides the principal amount and unamortized discount of the Term Loan for the period presented (in thousands):

	As of December 31, 2013	
Principal amount	\$	20,000
Less: unamortized discount		(1,510)
Term Loan, net of unamortized discount	\$	18,490

Through the interest rate payment of 0.50% per annum and the amortization of the discount, the effective interest rate on the Term Loan is 5.99%. Amortization of the discount is included in interest expense, a component of general and administrative expenses, in the Consolidated Statements of Income and was \$601 thousand for the twelve months ended December 31, 2013.

Should UIH default on either the DB Loan (defined below) or the Term Loan, it will be prohibited from paying dividends to its shareholders.

Unsecured Line of Credit

On March 29, 2013, UIH entered into a revolving loan agreement and related revolving note with Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas (Deutsche Bank), amended as of May 23, 2013 (DB Loan). The DB Loan makes available to UIH an unsecured line of credit in an aggregate amount not to exceed \$10.0 million. Draws under the DB Loan have a maturity date of March 27, 2015 and carry an interest rate of LIBOR plus a margin of 5.50% or Deutsche Bank s prime rate plus a margin of 3.50%. The interest rate is at the election of UIH. The DB Loan contains financial covenants. As of December 31, 2013, UIH was in compliance with all such covenants. UIH had not drawn any amounts under the unsecured line of credit as of December 31, 2013.

Table of Contents**Maturities**

The following table provides an estimate of principal payments to be made for the amounts due on the surplus note and the Term Loan as of December 31, 2013 (in thousands):

2014	\$ 7,471
2015	8,471
2016	8,471
2017	1,471
2018	1,471
Thereafter	11,395
Total	\$ 38,750 (1)

(1) Differs from amount presented in the Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2013 due to unamortized discount as presented in the table above.

Interest Expense

Interest expense was \$1.2 million, \$414 thousand, and \$856 thousand for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

NOTE 8 STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY**Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock**

As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company had shares outstanding of Series A Preferred Stock and Series M Preferred Stock. Each share of Series A Preferred Stock and Series M Preferred Stock is convertible by the Company into shares of Common Stock.

The following table provides certain information for each series of convertible preferred stock as of the periods presented (in thousands, except conversion factor):

	As of December 31, 2013			As of December 31, 2012		
	Series A	Series M	Total	Series A	Series M	Total
Shares issued and outstanding	20	10	30	20	88	108
Conversion factor	2.50	5.00	NM	2.50	5.00	NM
Common shares resulting if converted	50	50	100	50	438	488

NM - Not meaningful.

The Series A Preferred Stock pays a cumulative dividend of \$0.25 per share per quarter. During 2013 and 2012, respectively, the Company declared and paid aggregate dividends of \$20 thousand to holders of record of the Company's Series A Preferred Stock.

There were no conversions of Series A Preferred Stock during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012.

The Series M Preferred Stock pays a cumulative dividend of \$0.20 per share per year. The Company declared and paid aggregate dividends to holders of record of the Company's Series M Preferred Stock of \$9 thousand and \$267 thousand for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, shareholders converted 77,740 shares of Series M Preferred Stock into 388,700 shares of Common Stock. There were no conversions of Series M Preferred Stock in 2012.

Table of Contents**Common Stock**

The following table summarizes the activity relating to shares of the Company's Common Stock during the periods presented (in thousands):

	Issued Shares	Treasury Shares	Outstanding Shares
Balance, as of December 31, 2010	40,407	(1,019)	39,388
Options exercised	160		160
Shares cancelled	(70)	70	
Restricted stock grant	600		600
Shares acquired through cashless exercise (1)		(70)	(70)
Other adjustments	3	1	4
Balance, as of December 31, 2011	41,100	(1,018)	40,082
Options exercised	285		285
Shares cancelled	(146)	146	
Restricted stock grant	650		650
Shares acquired through cashless exercise (1)		(146)	(146)
Balance, as of December 31, 2012	41,889	(1,018)	40,871
Conversion of preferred stock	389		389
Shares repurchased		(7,257)	(7,257)
Options exercised	2,330		2,330
Shares cancelled	(1,967)	1,967	
Restricted stock grant	1,000		1,000
Shares acquired through cashless exercise (1)		(1,967)	(1,967)
Balance, as of December 31, 2013	43,641	(8,275)	35,366

(1) All shares acquired represent shares tendered to cover the strike price for options and tax withholdings on the intrinsic value of options exercised or restricted stock vested. These shares have been cancelled by the Company. On April 1, 2013, UIH entered into a repurchase agreement with Bradley I. Meier, the Company's former Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer and a principal stockholder of UIH, to repurchase an aggregate of four million shares of UIH's common stock owned by Mr. Meier. The initial repurchase of two million of Mr. Meier's shares occurred on April 1, 2013, and the subsequent repurchase of two million shares occurred on May 23, 2013, each at a price of \$4.02 per share, representing a discount from the then-current market price of UIH's common stock. The repurchase of shares from Mr. Meier provides us with an opportunity to buy back shares at a discount to current stock price, while facilitating the orderly sale of shares by a large shareholder.

On May 23, 2013, UIH entered into a second repurchase agreement with Bradley I. Meier to repurchase an additional 2.666 million shares of UIH's common stock owned by Mr. Meier. The repurchase of 2.666 million of Mr. Meier's shares occurred on May 23, 2013 for a repurchase price of \$4.50 per share, representing a discount from the then-current market price of UIH's common stock.

On August 1, 2013, UIH entered into a third repurchase agreement with Bradley I. Meier to repurchase an additional 350 thousand shares of UIH's common stock owned by Mr. Meier. The repurchase of 350 thousand of Mr. Meier's shares occurred on August 1, 2013 for a repurchase price of \$7.02 per share, representing a discount from the then-current market price of UIH's common stock.

On August 14, 2013, UIH entered into a repurchase agreement with Norman M. Meier to repurchase 241,933 shares of UIH's common stock owned by Mr. Meier. The repurchase of 241,933 of Mr. Meier's shares occurred on August 14, 2013 for a repurchase price of \$7.57 per share, representing a discount from the then-current market price of UIH's common stock.

Table of Contents*Dividends Declared*

The Company declared dividends on its outstanding shares of common stock to its shareholders of record as follows for the periods presented (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	For the year ended December 31,					
	2013		2012		2011	
	Per Share Amount	Aggregate Amount	Per Share Amount	Aggregate Amount	Per Share Amount	Aggregate Amount
First Quarter	\$ 0.08	\$ 3,259	\$ 0.10	\$ 4,012	\$ 0.10	\$ 3,939
Second Quarter	\$ 0.08	\$ 2,821	\$ 0.08	\$ 3,214	\$	\$
Third Quarter	\$ 0.10	\$ 3,511	\$ 0.08	\$ 3,270	\$ 0.08	\$ 3,199
Fourth Quarter	\$ 0.23	\$ 8,134	\$ 0.20	\$ 8,174	\$ 0.14	\$ 5,611

Applicable provisions of the Delaware General Corporation Law may affect the ability of the Company to declare and pay dividends on its Common Stock. In particular, pursuant to the Delaware General Corporation Law, a company may pay dividends out of its surplus, as defined, or out of its net profits, for the fiscal year in which the dividend is declared and/or the preceding year. Surplus is defined in the Delaware General Corporation Law to be the excess of net assets of the company over capital. Capital is defined to be the aggregate par value of shares issued. Moreover, the ability of the Company to pay dividends, if and when declared by its Board of Directors, may be restricted by regulatory limits on the amount of dividends, which the Insurance Entities are permitted to pay the Company.

Restrictions limiting the payment of dividends by UIH

UIH pays dividends to shareholders, which are funded by earnings on investments and distributions from the earnings of its consolidated subsidiaries. Generally, other than as disclosed in Note 7 LONG-TERM DEBT, there are no restrictions for UIH limiting the payment of dividends. However, UIH's ability to pay dividends to shareholders may be affected by restrictions on the ability of the Insurance Entities to pay dividends to UIH through UIHCF. See Note 5, INSURANCE OPERATIONS, for a discussion of these restrictions. As of December 31, 2013, 100 percent of the Insurance Entities' net assets were restricted. There are no such restrictions for UIH's non-insurance consolidated subsidiaries. Notwithstanding the restriction on the net assets of the Insurance Entities, UIH received distributions from the earnings of its non-insurance consolidated subsidiaries of \$26.9 million, \$40.2 million and \$89.3 million during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively and made capital contributions to the Insurance Entities of \$28.6 million and \$49.0 million, during the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. UIH did not make any capital contributions to the Insurance Entities during the year ended December 31, 2013. The Company prepares and files a consolidated federal tax return for UIH and its consolidated subsidiaries with all U.S. GAAP tax related entries recorded on the books of UIH. Since the U.S. GAAP tax related entries are not recorded at the subsidiary level, the Company does not have the ability to produce the amount of net assets for each of its subsidiaries in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

NOTE 9 SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION*Equity Compensation Plan*

On October 13, 2009, the Company's Board of Directors approved, and recommended that the Company's stockholders approve, the 2009 Omnibus Incentive Plan (Incentive Plan). On November 16, 2009, the Company's stockholders approved the Incentive Plan by written consent.

An aggregate of 1.8 million shares of Common Stock was initially reserved for issuance and available for awards under the Incentive Plan. Awards under the Incentive Plan may include incentive stock options, nonqualified stock options, stock appreciation rights, non-vested shares of Common Stock (Restricted Stock), restricted stock units, performance share or unit awards, other share-based awards and cash-based incentive awards. Awards under the Incentive Plan may be granted to employees, directors, consultants or other persons providing services to the Company or its affiliates. The Incentive Plan also provides for awards that are intended to qualify as performance-based compensation in order to preserve the deductibility of such compensation by the Company under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code.

At the 2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders held on May 11, 2011, shareholders voted to approve the recommendation of the Company's Board of Directors to amend the Incentive Plan. The Incentive Plan allows for amendments which are intended for the plan to remain a flexible and effective source of incentive compensation in terms of the number of shares of stock available for awards, in terms of its design, as well as whether it generally conforms with the best practices in today's business environment. Significant aspects of the amendment include: an increase of 2.4 million shares in the shares reserved for grant, an adjustment to the annual maximum awards limits, a prohibition against re-pricing of options and stock appreciation rights without shareholder approval, and an addition of specific elements to the performance goals.

Table of Contents

At the 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareholders held on June 8, 2012, shareholders voted to approve the recommendation of the Company's Board of Directors to amend the Incentive Plan. Significant aspects of this amendment include: an increase of 3 million in the shares reserved for grant, an extension of the term, an expansion of the list of performance goals, a provision for recovery compensation in connection with financial restatements, and certain modifications in order to provide internal consistency.

At the 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders held on June 6, 2013, shareholders voted to approve the recommendation of the Company's Board of Directors to amend the Incentive Plan to add 3 million shares to the shares reserved for grant.

As of December 31, 2013, 1,947 thousand shares remained reserved for issuance and were available for new awards under the Incentive Plan.

The following table provides certain information related to stock options and restricted stock during the year ended December 31, 2013 (in thousands, except per share data):

	Year Ended December 31, 2013					Restricted Stock	
	Number of Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price per Share (1)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value	Weighted Average Remaining Term	Number of Shares (2)	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value per Share (1)	
Outstanding as of December 31, 2012	5,330	\$ 4.29			1,152	\$ 4.37	
Granted	2,015	6.54			1,000	5.43	
Forfeited	(40)	7.33			n/a	n/a	
Exercised	(2,330)	4.06			n/a	n/a	
Vested	n/a	n/a			(552)	4.64	
Expired	(850)	3.90			n/a	n/a	
Outstanding as of December 31, 2013	4,125	\$ 5.56	\$ 36,786	3.86	1,600	\$ 4.94	
Exercisable as of December 31, 2013	1,900	\$ 4.83	\$ 18,330	2.43			

(1) Unless otherwise specified, such as in the case of the exercise of stock options, the per share prices were determined using the closing price of the Company's Common Stock as quoted on the exchanges on which the Company was listed. Shares issued upon exercise of options represent original issuances in private transactions pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended or issuances under the Company's 2009 Omnibus Incentive Plan.

(2) All shares outstanding as of December 31, 2013 are expected to vest.

n/a - Not applicable

Table of Contents

The following table provides certain information in connection with the Company's share-based compensation arrangements for the periods presented (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Compensation expense:			
Stock options	\$ 930	\$ 1,188	\$ 1,450
Restricted stock	5,487	2,642	1,398
Total	\$ 6,417	\$ 3,830	\$ 2,848
Deferred tax benefits:			
Stock options	\$ 359	\$ 458	\$ 559
Restricted stock	433	468	315
Total	\$ 792	\$ 926	\$ 874
Realized tax benefits:			
Stock options	\$ 2,365	\$ 168	\$ 195
Restricted stock	374	291	
Total	\$ 2,739	\$ 459	\$ 195
Excess tax benefits(shortfall):			
Stock options	\$ 418	\$ (1,618)	\$ 195
Restricted stock	(59)	(142)	
Total	\$ 359	\$ (1,760)	\$ 195
Weighted average fair value per option or share:			
Stock option grants	\$ 0.79	\$ 0.87	\$ 1.67
Restricted stock grants	\$ 5.43	\$ 3.37	\$ 5.61
Intrinsic value of options exercised	\$ 6,131	\$ 437	\$ 507
Fair value of restricted stock vested	\$ 2,548	\$ 1,164	\$ 540
Cash received for strike price and tax withholdings	\$	\$ 652	\$ 204
Shares acquired through cashless exercise (1)	1,966	147	70
Value of shares acquired through cashless exercise (1)	\$ 12,630	\$ 583	\$ 263

(1) All shares acquired represent shares tendered to cover the strike price for options and tax withholdings on the intrinsic value of options exercised or restricted stock vested. These shares have been cancelled by the Company. The following table provides the amount of unrecognized compensation expense as of the most recent balance sheet date and the weighted average period over which those expenses will be recorded for both stock options and restricted stock (dollars in thousands):

	As of December 31, 2013	
	Stock Options	Restricted Stock
Unrecognized expense	\$ 1,449	\$ 2,844
Weighted average remaining years	2.87	0.82

Stock Options

Non-qualified stock option awards (stock options) granted by the Company generally expire between 5 to 10 years from the grant date and generally vest over a 2 to 3 year service period commencing on the grant date.

The Company used the modified Black-Scholes model to estimate the fair value of employee stock options on the date of grant utilizing the assumptions noted below. The risk-free rate is based on the U.S. Treasury bill yield curve in effect at the time of grant for the expected term of the option. The expected term of options granted represents the period of time that the options are expected to be outstanding. Expected volatilities are based on historical volatilities of our Common Stock. The dividend yield was based on expected dividends at the time of grant.

Table of Contents

The following table provides the assumptions utilized in the Black-Scholes model for stock options granted during the periods presented:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Weighted-average risk-free interest rate	0.27%	0.62%	1.34%
Expected term of option in years	3.21	4.25	3.92
Weighted-average volatility	34.1%	48.4%	58.5%
Dividend yield	9.1%	11.4%	8.9%
Weighted average grant date fair value per share	\$ 0.79	\$ 0.87	\$ 1.67

On June 23, 2011, the Company granted the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) a stock option to purchase 300 thousand shares of common stock, the Chief Operating Officer (COO) a stock option to purchase 300 thousand shares of common stock and the Chief Financial Officer (CFO) a stock option to purchase 75 thousand shares of common stock. All three option grants have an exercise price of \$4.70 per share, expire on June 23, 2018 and vest over three years as follows: (i) one third on the six (6) month anniversary of the date of grant, (ii) one third on the one (1) year anniversary of the date of grant, and (iii) one third on the two (2) year anniversary of the date of grant.

On September 4, 2012, the Company granted stock options for an aggregate 500 thousand shares of Common Stock to the Company's COO in consideration for services rendered pursuant to terms of an employment agreement and to provide the COO with a continued incentive to share in the success of the Company. The options have an exercise price of \$3.51, expire on September 4, 2019 and vest over two years as follows: options for 250 thousand shares on the one year anniversary of the grant date and options for 250 thousand shares on the two year anniversary of the grant date.

On March 12, 2013, the Company granted the COO a stock option to purchase 100 thousand shares of common stock. The option has an exercise price of \$4.51 per share, expires on March 12, 2018 and vests over three years as follows: one third on the one (1) year anniversary of the date of grant, one third on the two (2) year anniversary of the date of grant and one third on the three (3) year anniversary of the date of grant.

On July 8, 2013, the Company granted the COO an additional stock option to purchase 300 thousand shares of common stock. The option has an exercise price of \$7.33 per share, expires on July 8, 2018 and vests over three years as follows: one third on the one (1) year anniversary of the date of grant, one third on the two (2) year anniversary of the date of grant and one third on the three (3) year anniversary of the date of grant.

On November 12, 2013, the Company granted the Chief Administrative Officer (CAO) a stock option to purchase 200 thousand shares of common stock. The Company also granted the CEO a stock option to purchase 300 thousand shares of common stock. Both option grants have an exercise price of \$8.01 per share, expire on November 12, 2020 and vest over three years as follows: one third on the one (1) year anniversary of the date of grant, one third on the two (2) year anniversary of the date of grant and one third on the three (3) year anniversary of the date of grant.

Restricted Stock Grants

Restricted stock grants are awarded to certain employees in consideration for services rendered pursuant to terms of employment agreements and or to provide to those employees with a continued incentive to share in the success of the Company. Non-vested shares (restricted stock) generally vest over a three year service period commencing on the grant date. The prices discussed below reflect the market price of UIH's common stock on the grant date.

The Company issued 600 thousand shares of performance-based restricted Common Stock at a price of \$5.61 per share to its COO. Shares of 200 thousand vest on each of the first, second and third anniversary of the grant date which was March 28, 2011, subject to shareholder approval and annual performance criteria. This grant was not effective until shareholder approval which took place May 11, 2011.

Effective August 23, 2012, the Company issued 650 thousand shares of restricted Common Stock at a price of \$3.37 per share to its COO. The stock vests cumulatively over a two-year period as follows: 38.5 percent, 77 percent and 100 percent, respectively, on January 1, 2013, January 1, 2014 and December 31, 2014.

Table of Contents

Effective April 1, 2013, the Company issued 500 thousand shares of restricted Common Stock to its CEO, 250 thousand shares of restricted Common Stock to its COO and 100 thousand shares of restricted Common Stock to its CAO. All three restricted Common Stock grants were issued at a price of \$4.88 per share and vest on April 7, 2014.

Effective August 8, 2013, the Company issued 150 thousand shares of restricted Common Stock at a price of \$8.55 per share to its CFO. The stock vests cumulatively over a two-year period as follows: 50 percent and 50 percent, respectively, on October 1, 2014 and October 1, 2015.

NOTE 10 EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLAN

Effective January 1, 2009, the Company adopted a qualified retirement plan covering substantially all employees. It is designed to help the employees meet their financial needs during their retirement years. Eligibility for participation in the plan is generally based on employee's date of hire or on completion of a specified period of service. Employer contributions to this plan are made in cash.

The plan titled the Universal Property & Casualty 401(K) Profit Sharing Plan and Trust (401(k) Plan) is a defined contribution plan that allows employees to defer compensation through contributions to the 401(k) Plan.

The contributions are invested on the employees' behalf, and the benefits paid to employees are based on contributions and any earnings or loss. The 401(k) Plan includes a Company contribution of 100 percent of each eligible participant's contribution that does not exceed five percent of their compensation during the 401(k) Plan year. The Company may make additional profit-sharing contributions. However, no additional profit-sharing contribution was made during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.

The Company accrued for aggregate contributions of approximately \$709 thousand, \$598 thousand and \$542 thousand to the 401(k) Plan during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

NOTE 11 RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Downes and Associates, a multi-line insurance adjustment corporation based in Deerfield Beach, Florida performed certain claims adjusting work for UPCIC. Downes and Associates is owned by Dennis Downes, who is the father of Sean P. Downes, Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company. All amounts paid to Downes and Associates were no greater than amounts that would need to be paid to third parties on an arm's-length basis for similar services. The Company's agreement with Downes and Associates was terminated effective November 30, 2013 and on December 1, 2013 Dennis Downes became an employee of the Company.

Scott P. Callahan, a director of the Company, provides the Company with consulting services and advice with respect to the Company's reinsurance and related matters through SPC Global RE Advisors LLC, an entity affiliated with Mr. Callahan. The Company entered into the consulting agreement with SPC Global RE Advisors LLC effective June 6, 2013.

The following table provides payments made by the Company to Downes and SPC Global RE Advisors LLC for the periods presented (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Downes and Associates	\$ 477	\$ 623	\$ 753

SPC Global RE Advisors LLC

\$ 68 \$ \$

There were no amounts due to Downes and Associates and SPC Global RE Advisors LLC as of December 31, 2013 and 2012. Payments due to Downes and Associates and SPC Global RE Advisors LLC were generally made in the month the services were provided.

See NOTE 8 STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY, for details on the repurchase agreements between UIH and each of Messrs. Bradley Meier and Norman Meier.

RenRe currently is, and has been, a participant in the Company's reinsurance programs. On May 23, 2013, the Company entered into a series of contracts with RenRe and its affiliate, RenRe Ventures. As discussed in Note 7 LONG-TERM DEBT, UIH entered into an unsecured Term Loan with RenRe Ventures. The Term Loan is part of a series of agreements entered into by the Company, RenRe and RenRe Ventures pursuant to which, among other things, the Company has purchased a catastrophe risk-linked transaction contract from RenRe and entered into an agreement whereby RenRe will reserve reinsurance capacity for the Company's reinsurance programs and receive a right of first refusal in respect of a portion thereof. As part of the series of agreements with RenRe and RenRe Ventures,

Table of Contents

on May 23, 2013, UIH, RenRe Ventures and Mr. Bradley Meier agreed to assign to RenRe Ventures a portion of UIH's right of first refusal to repurchase shares of its common stock owned by Mr. Meier under the first repurchase agreement entered into on April 1, 2013. RenRe Ventures will have a right of first refusal to repurchase one-third of the shares offered by Mr. Meier to any third party, up to the lesser of 2 million shares or 4.99% of UIH's outstanding common stock, through December 31, 2014.

NOTE 12 INCOME TAXES

The following table reconciles the statutory federal income tax rate to the Company's effective tax rate for the periods presented:

	For the years ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Statutory federal income tax rate	35.0%	35.0%	35.0%
Increases (decreases) resulting from:			
Disallowed meals & entertainment	0.4%	0.6%	0.6%
Disallowed compensation	2.3%	3.2%	2.3%
Fines and penalties	0.4%		
True-up of prior year tax returns	(0.6%)		
State income tax, net of federal tax benefit (1)	3.6%	3.6%	3.6%
Other, net (2)	0.2%	(0.1%)	(1.1%)
Effective tax rate	41.3%	42.3%	40.4%

(1) Included in income tax is Florida income tax at a statutory rate of 5.5%.

(2) For 2011, other represents true-ups recorded upon completion of prior years tax returns, partially offset by estimates of penalties and interest for current year underpayment of estimated taxes.

Table of Contents

Deferred income taxes represent the temporary differences between the GAAP and tax basis of the Company's assets and liabilities. The tax effects of temporary differences are as follows as of the periods presented (in thousands):

	As of December 31,	
	2013	2012
Deferred income tax assets:		
Unearned premiums	\$ 10,976	\$ 11,430
Advanced premiums	1,742	1,132
Unpaid losses and LAE	2,598	3,449
Regulatory assessments	345	2,447
Share-based compensation	1,459	3,048
Accrued wages	255	778
Allowance for uncollectible receivables	172	205
Additional tax basis of securities	45	573
Change in unrealized losses on investments		2,782
Capital loss carryforwards	1,149	
Total deferred income tax assets	18,741	25,844
Deferred income tax liabilities:		
Deferred policy acquisition costs, net	(6,133)	(6,666)
Prepaid expenses	(548)	
Other comprehensive income	(9)	
Total deferred income tax liabilities	(6,690)	(6,666)
Net deferred income tax asset	\$ 12,051	\$ 19,178

A valuation allowance is deemed unnecessary as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, because management believes it is probable that the Company will generate taxable income sufficient to realize the tax benefits associated with the net deferred income tax asset shown above in the near future.

Liabilities for unrecognized tax benefits, if any, are recorded in accordance with issued FASB guidance on Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes. The Company recognizes accruals for interest and penalties, if any, related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense.

The Company filed a consolidated federal income tax return for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010 and intends to file the same for the year ended December 31, 2013. The tax allocation agreements between the Company and the Insurance Entities provide that they will incur income taxes based on a computation of taxes as if they were stand-alone taxpayers. The computations are made utilizing the financial statements of the Insurance Entities prepared on a statutory basis of accounting and prior to consolidating entries which include the conversion of certain balances and transactions of the statutory financial statements to a U.S. GAAP basis.

Tax years that remain open for purposes of examination of its income tax liability due to taxing authorities, include the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

NOTE 13 EARNINGS PER SHARE

Basic earnings per share (EPS) is based on the weighted average number of shares outstanding for the period, excluding any dilutive common share equivalents. Diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities to issue Common Stock were exercised.

Table of Contents

The following table reconciles the numerator (i.e., income) and denominator (i.e., shares) of the basic and diluted earnings per share computations for net income for the periods presented (in thousands, except per share data):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Numerator for EPS:			
Net income	\$ 58,977	\$ 30,312	\$ 20,109
Less: Preferred stock dividends	(29)	(287)	(20)
Income available to common stockholders	\$ 58,948	\$ 30,025	\$ 20,089
Denominator for EPS:			
Weighted average common shares outstanding	35,866	39,614	39,184
Plus: Assumed conversion of stock-based compensation (1)	1,531	513	770
Assumed conversion of preferred stock	379	489	488
Weighted average diluted common shares outstanding	37,776	40,616	40,442
Basic earnings per common share	\$ 1.64	\$ 0.76	\$ 0.51
Diluted earnings per common share	\$ 1.56	\$ 0.75	\$ 0.50
Weighted average number of antidilutive shares	518	818	2,553

(1) Represents the dilutive effect of unvested restricted stock and unexercised stock options.

The Company purchased 7.257 million shares of UIH's common stock during the twelve months ended December 31, 2013, which decreased weighted average common shares outstanding and weighted average diluted common shares outstanding for these periods. The effect was to increase diluted earnings per common share by \$0.17 for the twelve month period ended December 31, 2013. There were no repurchases of UIH's common stock during 2012 and 2011. See Note 8 (Stockholders' Equity) for details on the repurchases of UIH's common stock.

NOTE 14 OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

The following table provides the components of other comprehensive income (loss) on a pretax and after-tax basis for the period presented (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31, 2013		
	Pre-tax	Tax	After-tax
Net unrealized gains (losses) on investments available for sale arising during the year	\$ 685	\$ 264	\$ 421
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(1,297)	(500)	(797)

Net current period other comprehensive income (loss)	(\$ 612)	(\$ 236)	(\$ 376)
--	----------	----------	----------

There were no amounts of other comprehensive income for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 and there were no amounts of accumulated other comprehensive income as of December 31, 2012.

Table of Contents

The following table provides the reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income for the period presented (in thousands):

Details about Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income Components	Year Ended December 31, 2013		Affected Line Item in the Statement Where Net Income is Presented
	Amounts Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income		
Unrealized gains (losses) on investments available for sale	\$	1,297	Net realized gains (losses) on investments
		(500)	Tax (expense) or benefit
Total reclassification for the year	\$	797	Net of tax

NOTE 15 COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES**Operating Leases and Other**

On July 12, 2013, UPCIC entered into a lease agreement (Lease Agreement) for an office building containing 29,018 rentable square feet adjacent to its principal office in Fort Lauderdale, Florida (Property). The Company expects to use the Property for additional office and storage space. Pursuant to the Lease Agreement, the monthly rent for the Property is approximately \$51 thousand and is subject to annual increases. The term of the lease is ten years, subject to UPCIC's purchase of the Property as described below which is expected to take place no later than February 2015. The Company took possession of the office building and began making monthly rental payments in October 2013. Based on the terms of the Lease Agreement, the Company is accounting for this arrangement as an operating lease.

Also on July 12, 2013, UPCIC entered into a purchase agreement to acquire the Property (Purchase Agreement). The Purchase Agreement provides that the closing for the sale of the Property will take place no later than February 5, 2015. The closing for the sale of the Property is subject to certain closing conditions. The purchase price for the Property is \$5.99 million, and UPCIC will receive a credit toward the purchase price for a portion of the rent it pays under the Lease Agreement.

The Company has leased certain computer equipment and software under a master equipment lease agreement with Relational Funding, Inc. with an original equipment cost of \$2.7 million. The Company also has several leases on other office space.

The following table provides future minimum rental payments required under the non-cancelable operating leases as of the period presented (in thousands):

As of December 31, 2013	
2014	\$ 882
2015	120

Total	\$ 1,002
-------	----------

Total rental expense was \$423 thousand, \$286 thousand and \$451 thousand in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Litigation

Certain lawsuits have been filed against the Company. These lawsuits involve matters that are routine litigation incidental to the claims aspect of the Company's business for which estimated losses are included in Unpaid Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses in the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements. In the opinion of management, these lawsuits are not material individually or in the aggregate to the Company's financial position or results of operations. Accruals made or assessments of materiality of disclosure related to probable or possible losses do not consider any anticipated insurance proceeds.

Table of Contents

NOTE 16 FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

GAAP defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants as of the measurement date. GAAP describes three approaches to measuring the fair value of assets and liabilities: the market approach, the income approach and the cost approach. Each approach includes multiple valuation techniques. GAAP does not prescribe which valuation technique should be used when measuring fair value, but does establish a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs used in applying the various techniques. Inputs broadly refer to the assumptions that market participants use to make pricing decisions, including assumptions about risk. Level 1 inputs are given the highest priority in the hierarchy while Level 3 inputs are given the lowest priority. Assets and liabilities carried at fair value are classified in one of the following three categories based on the nature of the inputs to the valuation technique used:

Level 1 Observable inputs that reflect unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets as of the reporting date. Active markets are those in which transactions for the asset or liability occur in sufficient frequency and volume to provide pricing information on an ongoing basis.

Level 2 Observable market-based inputs or unobservable inputs that are corroborated by market data.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are not corroborated by market data. These inputs reflect management's best estimate of fair value using its own assumptions about the assumptions a market participant would use in pricing the asset or liability.

Summary of significant valuation techniques for assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis

Level 1

Cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash and cash equivalents: Cash equivalents and restricted cash equivalents comprise actively traded money market funds that have daily quoted net asset values for identical assets that the Company can access. The carrying value of cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash and cash equivalents approximates fair value due to its liquid nature.

Common stock: Comprise actively traded, exchange-listed U.S. and international equity securities. Valuation is based on unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets in active markets that the Company can access.

Exchange-traded and mutual funds: Comprise actively traded funds. Valuation is based on daily quoted net asset values for identical assets in active markets that the Company can access.

Other investments: Currently comprise physical metal positions held by the Company. Valuation is based on unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets in active markets that the Company can access.

Level 2

U.S. government obligations and agencies: Comprise U.S. Treasury Bills or Notes or U.S. Treasury Inflation Protected Securities (TIPS). The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices for identical assets in inactive markets or similar assets in active or inactive markets, contractual cash flows, benchmark yields and credit spreads.

Corporate Bonds: Comprise investment-grade fixed maturity securities. The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices for identical assets in inactive markets or similar assets in active or inactive markets, contractual cash flows, benchmark yields and credit spreads.

Mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities: Comprise securities that are collateralized by mortgage obligations and other assets. The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices for identical assets in inactive markets or similar assets in active or inactive markets, contractual cash flows, benchmark yields, collateral performance, prepayment speeds and credit spreads.

Derivatives: The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices or quoted net asset values for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active or highly active.

As required by GAAP, assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The Company's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement requires judgment, and may affect their placement within the fair value hierarchy levels.

Table of Contents

The following tables set forth by level within the fair value hierarchy the Company's assets that were accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis as of the periods presented (in thousands):

	Fair Value Measurements As of December 31, 2013			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 117,275	\$	\$	\$ 117,275
Restricted cash and cash equivalents	2,600			2,600
Available for sale portfolio:				
Fixed maturities:				
US government obligations and agencies		104,215		104,215
Corporate bonds		94,203		94,203
Mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities		91,000		91,000
Equity securities:				
Common stock	9,295			9,295
Mutual funds	55,727			55,727
Total available for sale portfolio investments	\$ 65,022	\$ 289,418	\$	\$ 354,440
Total assets accounted for at fair value	\$ 184,897	\$ 289,418	\$	\$ 474,315

	Fair Value Measurements As of December 31, 2012			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 347,392	\$	\$	\$ 347,392
Restricted cash and cash equivalents	33,009			33,009
Trading portfolio:				
Fixed maturities:				
US government obligations and agencies		4,009		4,009
Equity securities:				
Common stock:				
Metals and mining	26,130			26,130
Energy	10,868			10,868
Other	8,215			8,215
Exchange traded and mutual funds:				
Metals and mining	21,989			21,989
Agriculture	10,265			10,265
Energy	5,068			5,068
Indices	2,506			2,506
Non-hedging derivative liability, net		(21)		(21)
Other investments	317			317
Total trading portfolio investments	\$ 85,358	\$ 3,988	\$	\$ 89,346
Total assets (liabilities) accounted for at fair value	\$ 465,759	\$ 3,988	\$	\$ 469,747

The Company utilizes third-party independent pricing services that provide a price quote for each fixed maturity, equity security and derivative. Management reviews the methodology used by the pricing services. If management believes that the price used by the pricing service does not reflect an orderly transaction between participants, management will use an alternative valuation methodology. There were no adjustments made by the Company to the prices obtained from the independent pricing source for any fixed maturities, equity securities or derivatives included in the tables above.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the carrying value and estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments that are not carried at fair value (in thousands):

	As of December 31, 2013 (Level 3) Estimated Fair Value	
	Carrying value	
Liabilities (debt):		
Surplus note	\$ 18,750	\$ 15,900
Term loan	\$ 18,490	\$ 18,490

	As of December 31, 2012 (Level 3) Estimated Fair Value	
	Carrying value	
Liabilities (debt):		
Surplus note	\$ 20,221	\$ 18,057

Level 3

Long-term debt: The fair value of the surplus note was determined by management from the expected cash flows discounted using the interest rate quoted by the issuer. The State Board of Administration of Florida (SBA) is the issuer of the surplus note and the quoted interest rate is below prevailing rates quoted by private lending institutions. However, as the Company's use of funds from the surplus note is limited by the terms of the agreement, the Company has determined the interest rate quoted by the SBA to be appropriate for purposes of establishing the fair value of the note.

The fair value of the Term Loan approximates the carrying value given the original issue discount which was calculated based on the present value of future cash flows using the Company's effective borrowing rate for similar instruments.

Table of Contents

NOTE 17 QUARTERLY RESULTS FOR 2013 AND 2012 (UNAUDITED)

The following table provides a summary of quarterly results for the periods presented (in thousands except per share data):

	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
<u>For the year ended December 31, 2013</u>				
Net premiums earned	\$ 65,409	\$ 66,867	\$ 68,927	\$ 66,452
Investment income	12	137	382	1,397
Total revenues	67,455	77,756	78,368	77,580
Total expenses	47,693	48,068	53,255	51,587
Net income	11,959	17,029	14,407	15,582
Basic earnings per share	0.30	0.47	0.43	0.46
Diluted earnings per share	0.29	0.44	0.40	0.44
<u>For the year ended December 31, 2012</u>				
Net premiums earned	\$ 48,640	\$ 55,694	\$ 59,512	\$ 67,274
Investment income	(36)	(16)	215	278
Total revenues	60,247	59,928	74,537	75,227
Total expenses	44,018	46,936	60,563	65,863
Net income	9,873	7,777	8,256	4,406
Basic earnings per share	0.24	0.20	0.21	0.11
Diluted earnings per share	0.24	0.19	0.20	0.11

The improvement in the fourth quarter results for 2013 compared to 2012 is attributable to an increase in revenues of \$2.4 million and a decrease in operating expenses of \$14.3 million. The increase in revenues is comprised mostly of an increase in net investment income of \$1.1 million from dividends received on the Company's equity investments and a non-repeating net unrealized loss of \$2.0 million recorded in the fourth quarter of 2012, for investments held in the trading portfolio which was liquidated in the first quarter of 2013. The decrease in operating expenses is comprised of a reduction in losses and loss adjustment expenses of \$5.7 million and a reduction in general and administrative expenses of \$8.6 million. The reduction in general and administrative expenses reflects the recovery of a portion of FIGA assessments during the fourth quarter of 2013 that were charged in full to earnings in the fourth quarter of 2012. The effective tax rate for the fourth quarter of 2013 was 40.0% compared to 52.9% in the fourth quarter of 2012. The effect of non-deductible expenses in the fourth quarter of 2012 compared to 2013 was more pronounced given the lower amount of income before taxes for 2012 compared to 2013. Diluted earnings per share for the fourth quarter of 2013 includes a benefit of \$0.08 resulting from repurchases of the Company's shares during 2013 from the Company's former CEO.

NOTE 18 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The Company performed an evaluation of subsequent events through the date the financial statements were issued and determined there were no recognized or unrecognized subsequent events that would require an adjustment or additional disclosure in the consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2013 except for the following.

On January 2, 2014, the Company repurchased an additional 675,000 shares of the Company's common stock from Bradley I. Meier, the Company's former Chief Executive Officer, at approximately \$11.11 per share, in a privately

negotiated transaction. As previously described, the Company has a right of first refusal to purchase shares of the Company's Common Stock offered for sale by Mr. Meier through December 31, 2014. See Note 11 RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS. The repurchase price represents a discount of approximately 23 percent from the December 31, 2013 closing price of the Company's common stock. The Company funded the share repurchase using cash on hand.

On January 30, 2014, the Company declared a dividend of \$0.10 per share on its outstanding common stock payable on March 3, 2014, to shareholders of record on February 19, 2014.

On February 24, 2014, the S&P Dow Jones Indices announced that the Company will join S&P SmallCap 600 Index after the close of trading on February 28, 2014.

Table of Contents

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

NONE

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports that the Company files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

As of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of its principal executive officer and principal financial officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-15 under the Exchange Act. Based on that evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2013.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

The management of the Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. The Company's internal control system was designed to provide reasonable assurance to the Company's management and Board of Directors regarding the preparation and fair presentation of published financial statements.

All internal control systems, no matter how well designed, have inherent limitations. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation.

Management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth in 1992 Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on this assessment, management believes that, as of December 31, 2013, the Company's internal control over financial reporting is effective based on those criteria.

Management's assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013 has been audited by Plante & Moran, PLLC, the independent registered public accounting firm who also audited the Company's consolidated financial statements. The auditor's attestation report on management's assessment of the Company's internal control over financial reporting is presented above at Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the fourth quarter of 2013 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

NONE

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Code of Business Conduct and Ethics

The Company has adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics that is applicable to all directors, officers and employees of the Company. The code is publicly available at the Company's headquarters in Fort Lauderdale, Florida and also on the Company's website at www.universalinsuranceholdings.com. A copy of the Company's Code of Business Conduct and Ethics may be obtained free of charge by written request to Frank C. Wilcox, CFO, Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc., 1110 West Commercial Boulevard, Suite 100, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33309.

For information regarding our Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance, reference is made to our definitive proxy statement for our Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after December 31, 2013 and which is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

For information regarding Executive Compensation, reference is made to our definitive proxy statement for our Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after December 31, 2013 and which is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

For information regarding Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters, reference is made to our definitive proxy statement for our Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after December 31, 2013 and which is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

For information regarding Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence, reference is made to our definitive proxy statement for our Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after December 31, 2013 and which is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

For information regarding Principal Accountant Fees and Services, reference is made to our definitive proxy statement for our Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after December 31, 2013 and which is incorporated herein by reference.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(1) Financial Statements

The following consolidated financial statements of the Company and the report of the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm thereon filed with this report:

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm (Plante & Moran, PLLC).

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm (Blackman Kallick, LLP)

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2013 and 2012.

Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

(2) Financial Statement Schedules

The following additional financial statement schedules are furnished herewith pursuant to the requirements of Form 10-K.

	Page
Schedules required to be filed under the provisions of Regulation S-X Article 7:	
<u>Schedule II Condensed Financial Information of Registrant</u>	88
<u>Schedule V Valuation Allowances and Qualifying Accounts</u>	92
<u>Schedule VI Supplementary Information Concerning Consolidated Property-Casualty Insurance Operations</u>	93
<u>Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firms</u>	94
All other schedules are omitted because they are not applicable, or not required, or because the required information is included in the Consolidated Financial Statements or in notes thereto.	

Table of Contents

(3) EXHIBITS

- 3.1 Registrant's Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation, as amended (9)
- 3.2 Registrant's Amended and Restated Bylaws (3)
- 3.3 Certificate of Designation for Series A Convertible Preferred Stock dated October 11, 1994 (2)
- 3.4 Certificate of Designations, Preferences, and Rights of Series M Convertible Preferred Stock dated August 13, 1997 (1)
- 3.5 Certificate of Amendment of Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation dated October 19, 1998 (2)
- 3.6 Certificate of Amendment of Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation dated December 18, 2000 (2)
- 3.7 Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Designations of the Series A Convertible Preferred Stock dated October 29, 2001 (2)
- 3.8 Certificate of Amendment of Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation dated December 7, 2005 (6)
- 3.9 Certificate of Amendment of Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation dated May 18, 2007 (6)
- 4.1 Form of Common Stock Certificate (9)
- 10.1 The Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc. Second Amended and Restated 2009 Omnibus Incentive Plan (10)*
- 10.2 Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement, dated February 4, 2010, by and between the Company and Sean P. Downes (7)*
- 10.3 Director Services Agreement, dated July 12, 2007, by and between the Company and Ozzie A. Schindler (4)*
- 10.4 Director Services Agreement, dated July 12, 2007, by and between the Company and Joel M. Wilentz (4)*
- 10.5 Director Services Agreement, dated July 12, 2007, by and between the Company and Reed J. Slogoff (4)*
- 10.6 Performance-Based Restricted Stock Award, dated March 28, 2011, by and between the Company and Sean P. Downes (8)*
- 10.7 Restricted Stock Award Agreement dated August 27, 2012, by and between the Company and Sean P. Downes (11)*
- 10.8 Non-qualified Stock Option Agreement by and between the Company and Sean P. Downes (11)*
- 10.9 Form of Indemnification Agreement (12)*
- 10.10 Founder and Adviser Agreement, dated February 6, 2013, by and between the Company and Bradley I. Meier (13)*
- 10.11 Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated February 22, 2013, by and between the Company and Sean P. Downes (14)*
- 10.12 Employment Agreement, dated February 22, 2013, by and between the Company and Jon W. Springer (14)*
- 10.13 Employment Agreement, dated February 22, 2013, by and between the Company and Norman M. Meier (14)*
- 10.14 Employment Agreement, dated March 21, 2013, by and between the Company and Stephen J. Donaghy (15)*
- 10.15 Employment Agreement, dated as of August 5, 2013, by and between Frank Wilcox and the Company (22)*
- 10.16 Director Services Agreement, dated June 6, 2013, by and between the Company and Scott P. Callahan (19)*
- 10.17 Director Services Agreement, dated June 6, 2013, by and between the Company and Darryl L. Lewis (19)*
- 10.18 Amendment to Second Amended and Restated 2009 Omnibus Incentive Plan (20)*
- 10.19 Management Agreements by and between Universal Property & Casualty Insurance Company and Universal P&C Management, Inc. dated as of June 2, 1997 (1)
- 10.20

Florida Insurance Capital Build-Up Incentive Program Surplus Note (Surplus Note) between the Company and The State B
of Administration of Florida (SBA) (5)

10.21 Addendum No. 1 to the Surplus Note between the Company and SBA (5)

Table of Contents

10.22	Multiple Line Quota Share Reinsurance Contract between the Company and Everest Reinsurance Company (5)
10.23	Independent Adjusting Firm Agreement between the Company and Downes and Associates (5)
10.24	Revolving Loan Agreement, dated March 29, 2013, by and between the Company and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas (16)
10.25	Revolving Note, dated March 29, 2013, by the Company in favor of Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, in the original principal amount of \$10,000,000 (16)
10.26	Repurchase Agreement, dated April 1, 2013, by and between the Company and Bradley I. Meier (17)
10.27	Term Loan Agreement, dated May 23, 2013, by and between the Company and RenaissanceRe Ventures Ltd. (18)
10.28	Term Note, dated May 23, 2013, by the Company in favor of RenaissanceRe Ventures Ltd., in the original principal amount of \$20,000,000 (18)
10.29	Sharing Agreement, dated May 23, 2013, by and between RenaissanceRe Ventures Ltd. and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas and acknowledged by the Company and the guarantors party thereto (18)
10.30	First Amendment and Consent to Revolving Loan Agreement, dated May 23, 2013, by and between Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas and the Company (18)
10.31	Office Lease, dated July 12, 2013, by and between Commercial Station LLC and Universal Property & Casualty Insurance Company (21)
10.32	Agreement of Purchase and Sale, dated July 12, 2013, by and between Commercial Station LLC and Universal Property & Casualty Insurance Company (21)
10.33	Consulting Agreement, dated as of August 5, 2013, by and between George De Heer and the Company (22)
21	List of Subsidiaries
23.1	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm (Plante & Moran, PLLC)
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32	Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

- * Exhibit Numbers 10.1-10.19 are management contracts or compensatory plans required to be filed as Exhibits to this Form 10-K.
- (1) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended April 30, 1997 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 13, 1997, as amended.
 - (2) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2002 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 9, 2003.
 - (3) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 11, 2007.
 - (4) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 10, 2007.
 - (5) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 10, 2009.

Table of Contents

- (6) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-163564) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 8, 2009.
- (7) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 10, 2010.
- (8) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, file with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 12, 2011.
- (9) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 filed with the SEC on March 26, 2012.
- (10) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, file with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 14, 2012.
- (11) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, file with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 29, 2012.
- (12) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, file with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 15, 2012.
- (13) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 7, 2013.
- (14) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 22, 2013.
- (15) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 21, 2013.
- (16) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 4, 2013.
- (17) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 1, 2013.
- (18) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 24, 2013.
- (19) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 6, 2013.
- (20) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-189122) deemed effective on June 6, 2013.
- (21) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 18, 2013.
- (22) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 5, 2013.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

In accordance with Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the Registrant has duly caused this report on Form 10-K to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, hereunto duly authorized.

UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC.

Dated: March 3, 2014

By: /s/ Sean P. Downes
Sean P. Downes, President and Chief Executive Officer

By: /s/ Frank C. Wilcox
Frank C. Wilcox, Chief Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer

In accordance with the Exchange Act, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

/s/ Sean P. Downes	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director	March 3, 2014
Sean P. Downes		
/s/ Jon W. Springer	Executive Vice President, Chief Operating Officer and Director	March 3, 2014
Jon W. Springer		
/s/ Frank C. Wilcox	Chief Financial Officer	March 3, 2014
Frank C. Wilcox		
/s/ Scott P. Callahan	Director	March 3, 2014
Scott P. Callahan		
/s/ Darryl L. Lewis	Director	March 3, 2014
Darryl L. Lewis		
/s/ Michael A. Pietrangelo	Director	March 3, 2014
Michael A. Pietrangelo		
/s/ Ozzie A. Schindler	Director	March 3, 2014
Ozzie A. Schindler		
/s/ Reed J. Slogoff	Director	March 3, 2014
Reed J. Slogoff		

/s/ Joel M. Wilentz

Director

March 3, 2014

Joel M. Wilentz

87

Table of Contents**SCHEDULE II CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF REGISTRANT**

Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc. had no long term obligations, guarantees or material contingencies as of December 31, 2013 and 2012. The following summarizes the major categories of the parent company's financial statements (in thousands, except per share data):

CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS

	As of December 31,	
	2013	2012
ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 4,878	\$ 17,733
Investments in subsidiaries and undistributed earnings	174,068	121,496
Equity securities (available for sale), at fair value	10,474	
Equity securities (trading), at fair value		13,944
Fixed maturities (available for sale), at fair value	750	
Receivable from securities		175
Other receivables	244	8
Income taxes recoverable	8,152	2,594
Deferred income taxes	12,052	19,178
Other assets	346	329
Total assets	\$ 210,964	\$ 175,457
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
LIABILITIES:		
Accounts payable	\$ 146	\$ 339
Payable for securities purchased		53
Income taxes payable	2,566	699
Long-term debt	18,490	
Other accrued expenses	14,153	10,852
Total liabilities	35,355	11,943
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY:		
Cumulative convertible preferred stock, \$.01 par value		1
Authorized shares 1,000		
Issued shares 30 and 108		
Outstanding shares 30 and 108		
Minimum liquidation preference \$6.98 and \$2.66 per share		
Common stock, \$.01 par value	436	419
Authorized shares 55,000		
Issued shares 43,641 and 41,889		
Outstanding shares 35,366 and 40,871		
Treasury shares, at cost 8,275 and 1,018	(35,467)	(3,101)

Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. - Form 10-K

Additional paid-in capital	42,282	38,684
Accumulated other comprehensive income, net of taxes	(376)	
Retained earnings	168,734	127,511
Total stockholders' equity	175,609	163,514
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 210,964	\$ 175,457

See accompanying notes to condensed financial statements

Table of Contents**CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF INCOME**

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
PREMIUMS EARNED AND OTHER REVENUES			
Assumed premiums written	\$	\$ 10,686	\$ 20,703
Premiums earned		10,686	20,703
Net investment income	207	25	54
Net realized gains (losses) on investments	(2,947)	(1,880)	(1,186)
Net change in unrealized gains (losses) on investments	1,625	1,162	(3,009)
Management fee	134	142	142
Total premiums earned and other revenues	(981)	10,135	16,704
OPERATING COSTS AND EXPENSES			
General and administrative expenses	32,476	25,051	20,830
Total operating cost and expenses	32,476	25,051	20,830
LOSS BEFORE INCOME TAXES AND EQUITY IN NET EARNINGS OF SUBSIDIARIES			
Benefit from income taxes	(33,457)	(14,916)	(4,126)
	(13,834)	(6,312)	(1,667)
LOSS BEFORE EQUITY IN NET EARNINGS OF SUBSIDIARIES			
Equity in net income of subsidiaries	78,600	38,916	22,568
CONSOLIDATED NET INCOME	\$ 58,977	\$ 30,312	\$ 20,109

See accompanying notes to condensed financial statements

Table of Contents**CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Cash flows from operating activities			
Net Income	\$ 58,977	\$ 30,312	\$ 20,109
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Equity in net income of subsidiaries	(78,600)	(38,916)	(22,568)
Amortization of stock-based compensation	6,417	3,830	2,848
Net realized (gains) losses on investments	2,947	1,880	1,186
Net change in unrealized (gains) losses on investments	(1,625)	(1,162)	3,009
Deferred income taxes	7,363	3,813	(9,543)
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation	(359)	1,760	(195)
Other			5
Net changes in assets and liabilities relating to operating activities:			
Restricted cash and cash equivalents		30,220	(18,880)
Purchases of equity securities, trading	(3,972)	(58,836)	(77,691)
Proceeds from sale of equity securities, trading	16,712	60,379	66,526
Income taxes recoverable	(5,558)	(2,594)	
Income taxes payable	2,226	(13,801)	4,653
Other operating assets and liabilities	1,347	2,911	1,405
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	5,875	19,796	(29,136)
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Capital contributions to subsidiaries	(1,600)	(28,555)	(49,001)
Distribution of income from subsidiaries	26,898	40,222	89,322
Purchases of equity securities, available for sale	(10,357)		
Purchase of fixed maturities, available for sale	(750)		
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	14,191	11,667	40,321
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Proceeds from borrowings	20,000		
Preferred stock dividend	(29)	(287)	(20)
Common stock dividend	(17,725)	(18,669)	(12,750)
Issuance of common stock		207	5
Purchase of treasury stock	(32,365)		
Payments related to tax withholding for share-based compensation	(3,161)	(121)	(172)
Excess tax benefits (shortfall) from stock-based compensation	359	(1,760)	195
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(32,921)	(20,630)	(12,742)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(12,855)	10,833	(1,557)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	17,733	6,900	8,457

Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 4,878	\$ 17,733	\$ 6,900
--	----------	-----------	----------

See accompanying notes to condensed financial statements

Table of Contents

NOTE 1 GENERAL

The financial statements of the Registrant should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements in Item 8.

Nature of Operations and Basis of Presentation

Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc. (the Company), is a Delaware corporation originally incorporated as Universal Heights, Inc. in November 1990. The Company is an insurance holding company whose wholly-owned subsidiaries perform all aspects of insurance underwriting, distribution and claims. Through its wholly-owned subsidiaries, including Universal Property & Casualty Insurance Company and American Platinum Property and Casualty Insurance Company, collectively referred to as the (Insurance Entities), the Company is principally engaged in the property and casualty insurance business offered primarily through a network of independent agents. Risk from catastrophic losses is managed through the use of reinsurance agreements.

The Company generates revenues from premiums earned on reinsurance contracts entered into with the Insurance Entities and earnings on investments. The Company also receives distributions of earnings from its insurance and non-insurance subsidiaries.

Certain amounts in the prior periods condensed financial statements have been reclassified in order to conform to current period presentation. Such reclassifications were of immaterial amounts and had no effect on net income or stockholders equity.

Table of Contents**SCHEDULE V VALUATION ALLOWANCES AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS**

The following table summarizes activity in the Company's allowance for doubtful accounts for the periods presented (in thousands):

Description	Beginning Balance	Charges to Earnings	Additions Charges to Other Accounts	Deductions	Ending Balance
Year Ended December 31, 2013:					
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 530	428		512	\$ 446
Year Ended December 31, 2012:					
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 715	319		504	\$ 530
Year Ended December 31, 2011:					
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 111	650		46	\$ 715

Table of Contents

**SCHEDULE VI SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION CONCERNING CONSOLIDATED PROPERTY
AND CASUALTY INSURANCE OPERATIONS**

The following table provides certain information related to the Company's property and casualty operations as of, and for the periods presented (in thousands):

	As of December 31, Reserves for Unpaid Losses and LAE	Incurred Loss and LAE current year	Incurred Loss and LAE prior years	For the Year Ended December 31, Paid Losses and LAE	Net Investment Income
2013	\$ 159,222	\$ 111,560	(\$ 2,945)	\$ 129,803	\$ 1,928
2012	\$ 193,241	\$ 119,458	\$ 6,729	\$ 113,574	\$ 441
2011	\$ 187,215	\$ 112,838	\$ 11,471	\$ 104,910	\$ 788

	As of December 31, Deferred Policy Acquisition Cost (DAC)	For the Year Ended December 31, Amortization of DAC	Net Premiums Written	Net Premiums Earned	As of December 31, Unearned Premiums
2013	\$ 15,899	(\$ 21,685)	\$ 261,778	\$ 267,655	\$ 383,488
2012	\$ 17,282	(\$ 16,190)	\$ 262,524	\$ 231,120	\$ 388,071
2011	\$ 12,996	(\$ 21,477)	\$ 208,483	\$ 198,985	\$ 359,842

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To The Board of Directors and Stockholders of

Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Fort Lauderdale, Florida

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of **Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries** (the Company) as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of income, stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the years in the two-year period ended December 31, 2013, and the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in the 1992 *Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO)*; such consolidated financial statements and report are included elsewhere in this Form 10-K and are incorporated herein by reference. Our audits also included the consolidated financial statement schedules of the Company listed in the accompanying index at Item 15. These consolidated financial statement schedules are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion based on our audits. In our opinion, such consolidated financial statement schedules, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

/s/ Plante & Moran, PLLC

Chicago, Illinois

March 3, 2014

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Board of Directors

Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc.

Fort Lauderdale, Florida

We have audited the accompanying consolidated statements of income, stockholders' equity and cash flows of **Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries** (the Company) for year ended December 31, 2011; such consolidated financial statements and report are included elsewhere in this Form 10-K and are incorporated herein by reference. Our audit also included the consolidated financial statement schedules of the Company listed in the accompanying index at Item 15. These consolidated financial statement schedules are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion based on our audit. In our opinion, such consolidated financial statement schedules, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

/s/ Blackman Kallick, LLP

Chicago, Illinois

March 23, 2012