

CECO ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

Form 10-Q

November 08, 2012

[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark one)

Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2012

or

Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File No. 0-7099

CECO ENVIRONMENTAL CORP.

4625 Red Bank Road, Cincinnati, Ohio 45227

Telephone (513) 458-2600

IRS Employer Identification No. 13-2566064

State of Incorporation: Delaware

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the exchange act. (check one)

Large Accelerated Filer

Accelerated Filer

Non-Accelerated Filer

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practical date: 14,711,582 shares of common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, as of November 5, 2012.

Table of Contents

CECO Environmental Corp. and Subsidiaries

QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q

For the quarter ended September 30, 2012

Table of Contents

| | | |
|----------------|---|----|
| <u>Part I</u> | <u>Financial Information</u> | 2 |
| Item 1. | <u>Financial Statements</u> | 2 |
| | <u>Consolidated balance sheets as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011</u> | 2 |
| | <u>Consolidated statements of income for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011</u> | 3 |
| | <u>Consolidated statements of comprehensive income for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011</u> | 4 |
| | <u>Consolidated statements of cash flows for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011</u> | 5 |
| | <u>Notes to consolidated financial statements</u> | 6 |
| Item 2. | <u>Management discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations</u> | 19 |
| Item 4. | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 27 |
| <u>Part II</u> | <u>Other Information</u> | 28 |
| Item 1. | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 28 |
| Item 6. | <u>Exhibits</u> | 28 |
| | <u>Signature</u> | 29 |

Table of Contents**CECO ENVIRONMENTAL CORP.****Part I Financial Information****ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****(unaudited)**

| (dollars in thousands, except per share data) | SEPTEMBER 30, 2012 | DECEMBER 31, 2011 |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 24,455 | \$ 12,724 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 21,281 | 23,109 |
| Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts | 7,057 | 10,643 |
| Inventories, net | 4,292 | 4,344 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 2,399 | 2,650 |
| Total current assets | 59,484 | 53,470 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 5,128 | 5,651 |
| Goodwill | 14,777 | 14,661 |
| Intangible assets- finite life, net | 274 | 526 |
| Intangible assets-indefinite life | 3,230 | 3,218 |
| Deferred income tax asset, net | 848 | 848 |
| Deferred charges and other assets | 714 | 971 |
| | \$ 84,455 | \$ 79,345 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | \$ 12,101 | \$ 13,569 |
| Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts | 8,501 | 9,647 |
| Income taxes payable | 1,531 | 393 |
| Total current liabilities | 22,133 | 23,609 |
| Other liabilities | 3,197 | 3,146 |
| Convertible subordinated notes (including related parties notes of \$3,950 in 2012 and 2011) | 8,760 | 9,600 |
| Total liabilities | 34,090 | 36,355 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | |
| Shareholders' equity: | | |
| Preferred stock, \$.01 par value; 10,000 shares authorized, none issued | | |
| Common stock, \$.01 par value; 100,000,000 shares authorized, 14,849,502 and 14,654,262 shares issued in 2012 and 2011, respectively | 148 | 146 |
| Capital in excess of par value | 45,393 | 44,249 |
| Accumulated earnings | 7,410 | 1,301 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (2,230) | (2,350) |
| | 50,721 | 43,346 |

Edgar Filing: CECO ENVIRONMENTAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|
| Less treasury stock, at cost, 137,920 shares in 2012 and 2011 | (356) | (356) |
| Total shareholders' equity | 50,365 | 42,990 |
| | \$ 84,455 | \$ 79,345 |

The notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements are an integral part of the above statements.

Table of ContentsCECO ENVIRONMENTAL CORP.**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME****(unaudited)**

| (dollars in thousands, except per share data) | THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, | | NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30 | |
|--|-------------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------------|------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Net sales | \$ 33,102 | \$ 32,947 | \$ 100,720 | \$ 101,440 |
| Cost of sales | 22,574 | 23,249 | 69,461 | 74,571 |
| Gross profit | 10,528 | 9,698 | 31,259 | 26,869 |
| Selling and administrative | 6,197 | 6,270 | 18,724 | 17,958 |
| Amortization | 80 | 118 | 252 | 341 |
| Income from operations | 4,251 | 3,310 | 12,283 | 8,570 |
| Other (expenses) income, net | (69) | 103 | (133) | 436 |
| Interest expense (including related party interest of \$60 and \$59, and \$178 and \$177, respectively) | (291) | (296) | (828) | (870) |
| Income before income taxes | 3,891 | 3,117 | 11,322 | 8,136 |
| Income tax expense | 623 | 798 | 3,524 | 2,606 |
| Net income | \$ 3,268 | \$ 2,319 | \$ 7,798 | \$ 5,530 |
| Per share data: | | | | |
| Basic net income | \$ 0.22 | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.53 | \$ 0.39 |
| Diluted net income | \$ 0.19 | \$ 0.14 | \$ 0.47 | \$ 0.34 |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding: | | | | |
| Basic | 14,630,264 | 14,371,752 | 14,591,325 | 14,340,119 |
| Diluted | 17,258,552 | 17,157,305 | 17,199,337 | 17,130,767 |

The notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements are an integral part of the above statements.

Table of Contents**CECO ENVIRONMENTAL CORP.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME****(unaudited)**

| (dollars in thousands) | THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, | | NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30 | |
|--|-------------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Net income | \$ 3,268 | \$ 2,319 | \$ 7,798 | \$ 5,530 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax: | | | | |
| Foreign currency | 170 | (263) | 84 | (131) |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | 170 | (263) | 84 | (131) |
| Comprehensive income | \$ 3,438 | \$ 2,056 | \$ 7,882 | \$ 5,399 |

The notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements are an integral part of the above statements.

Table of ContentsCECO ENVIRONMENTAL CORP.**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****(unaudited)**

| (dollars in thousands) | NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, | |
|---|------------------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net income | \$ 7,798 | \$ 5,530 |
| Adjustment to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 910 | 1,086 |
| Non cash interest expense included in net income | 69 | 68 |
| Share based compensation expense | 493 | 538 |
| Bad debt expense | 141 | 212 |
| Inventory reserve expense | 147 | 196 |
| Gain on sale of fixed assets | (11) | |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Accounts receivable | 1,657 | 5,942 |
| Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts | 3,586 | (1,923) |
| Inventories | (95) | (820) |
| Prepaid expense and other current assets | 281 | (96) |
| Deferred charges and other assets | 188 | (138) |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | (1,392) | (5,041) |
| Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts | (1,146) | 684 |
| Income taxes payable | 1,138 | (1,171) |
| Other liabilities | 42 | (188) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 13,806 | 4,879 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Acquisitions of property and equipment | (234) | (654) |
| Net proceeds from sale of plant and equipment | 37 | 636 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (197) | (18) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 266 | 111 |
| Cash paid for repurchase of common shares | (456) | (483) |
| Dividends paid to common shareholders | (1,688) | (363) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (1,878) | (735) |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 11,731 | 4,126 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 12,724 | 5,792 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 24,455 | \$ 9,918 |
| Supplemental Schedule of Non-Cash Activities: | | |
| Conversion of subordinated debt to common stock | \$ 840 | \$ 700 |

Cash paid during the period for:

Edgar Filing: CECO ENVIRONMENTAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--------------|----------|----------|
| Interest | \$ 828 | \$ 872 |
| Income taxes | \$ 1,619 | \$ 4,294 |

The notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements are an integral part of the above statements.

Table of Contents

CECO ENVIRONMENTAL CORP.

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(unaudited)

1. Basis of reporting for consolidated financial statements

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of CECO Environmental Corp. and its subsidiaries (the Company, we, us, or our) have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC). Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in annual financial statements have been condensed or omitted pursuant to those rules and regulations. In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited, condensed consolidated financial statements of the Company contain all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) necessary to present fairly the financial position as of September 30, 2012 and the results of operations and cash flows for the three month and nine month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011. The results of operations for the three month and nine month periods ended September 30, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year. The balance sheet as of December 31, 2011 has been derived from the audited consolidated financial statements included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 filed with the SEC.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

These financial statements and accompanying notes should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements and the notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 filed with the SEC.

2. New Accounting Pronouncements Adopted

ASC 220 In June 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2011-05, Presentation of Comprehensive Income which requires an entity to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. In both choices, an entity is required to present each component of net income along with total net income, each component of other comprehensive income along with a total for other comprehensive income, and a total amount for comprehensive income. This ASU eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity. The amendments in this ASU do not change the items that must be reported in other comprehensive income or when an item of other comprehensive income must be reclassified to net income. The ASU became effective for the Company during the quarter ended March 31, 2012. Although the adoption of this ASU impacts the way the Company reports comprehensive income, the adoption of this guidance did not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-12, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards ASU No. 2011-05. In order to defer only those changes in ASU 2011-05 that relate to the presentation of reclassification adjustments, the paragraphs in this ASU supersede certain pending paragraphs in ASU 2011-05. Entities should continue to report reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income consistent with the presentation requirements in effect before ASU 2011-05. All other requirements in ASU 2011-05 are not affected by this ASU.

ASC 820 In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-04, Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs which generally represents clarifications of Topic 820, Fair Value Measurements, but also includes certain instances where a particular principle or requirement for measuring fair value or disclosing information about fair value measurements has changed. This ASU results in common principles and requirements for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements in accordance with U.S. GAAP and the International Financial Reporting Standards. The ASU became effective for the Company during the quarter ended March 31, 2012 and must be applied prospectively. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

Table of ContentsCECO ENVIRONMENTAL CORP.NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS(unaudited)

ASC 350 In July 2012, the FASB issued ASU 2012-02, Intangibles—Goodwill and Other—Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment. ASU 2012-02 amends prior indefinite-lived intangible asset impairment testing guidance and gives the Company the option to first assess qualitative factors in determining whether it is more likely than not (a likelihood of more than 50%) that an indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired. If, based on such qualitative assessment, the Company determines that it is more likely than not that an indefinite-lived intangible asset is not impaired, then calculating the fair value of such asset is not necessary. ASU 2012-02 is effective for annual and interim impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2012. However, early adoption is permitted if a public entity's financial statements have not yet been issued. The adoption of ASU 2012-02 is not expected to have an impact on the Company's consolidated results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

3. Costs and Estimated Earnings on Uncompleted Contracts

Revenues from contracts, representing the majority of our revenues, are recognized on the percentage of completion method, measured by the percentage of contract costs incurred to date compared to estimated total contract costs for each contract. This method is used because management considers contract costs to be the best available measure of progress on these contracts. Our remaining revenues are recognized when risk and title passes to the customer, which is generally upon shipment of product.

Our contracts have various lengths to completion ranging from a few days to several months. We anticipate that a majority of our current contracts will be completed by year end.

| (dollars in thousands) | September 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Costs incurred on uncompleted contracts | \$ 52,358 | \$ 57,345 |
| Estimated earnings | 16,408 | 17,512 |
| | 68,766 | 74,857 |
| Less billings to date | (70,210) | (73,861) |
| | \$ (1,444) | \$ 996 |
| Included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets under the following captions: | | |
| Costs and estimated earnings in excess on uncompleted contracts | \$ 7,057 | \$ 10,643 |
| Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts | (8,501) | (9,647) |
| | \$ (1,444) | \$ 996 |

4. Inventories

(dollars in thousands)

Edgar Filing: CECO ENVIRONMENTAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | September 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Raw materials and subassemblies | \$ 2,855 | \$ 3,017 |
| Finished goods | 1,207 | 1,147 |
| Parts for resale | 702 | 580 |
| Obsolescence allowance | (472) | (400) |
| | \$ 4,292 | \$ 4,344 |

Amounts (debited) credited to the allowance for obsolete inventory and charged to cost of sales amounted to \$65,000 and \$47,000 for the three month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and \$147,000 and \$196,000 for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Table of Contents**CECO ENVIRONMENTAL CORP.****NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(unaudited)****5. Goodwill and Intangible Assets**

| (dollars in thousands) Goodwill / Tradename | Nine months ended September 30, 2012 | | Year ended December 31, 2011 | |
|--|---|-----------|---------------------------------|-----------|
| | Goodwill | Tradename | Goodwill | Tradename |
| Beginning balance | \$ 14,661 | \$ 3,218 | \$ 14,713 | \$ 3,225 |
| Acquisition earn-out settlement | 21 | | | |
| Foreign currency adjustments | 95 | 12 | (52) | (7) |
| | \$ 14,777 | \$ 3,230 | \$ 14,661 | \$ 3,218 |

| Intangible assets | finite life | As of September 30, 2012 | | As of December 31, 2011 | |
|-------------------|-------------|--------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| | | Cost | Accum. Amort. | Cost | Accum. Amort. |
| Patents | | \$ 1,414 | \$ 1,258 | \$ 1,414 | \$ 1,193 |
| Customer lists | | 1,653 | 1,535 | 1,653 | 1,348 |
| | | \$ 3,067 | \$ 2,793 | \$ 3,067 | \$ 2,541 |

Amortization expense of finite life intangible assets for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$80,000 and \$118,000, respectively, and for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$252,000 and \$341,000, respectively. Amortization over the next five years for finite life intangibles will be \$64,000 for the remainder of 2012, \$130,000 in 2013, \$69,000 in 2014, \$6,000 in 2015, and \$5,000 in 2016.

6. Debt

We entered into our current bank facility on December 29, 2005 with Fifth Third Bank (the Bank Facility). The Bank Facility was amended on various dates and fees paid for these amendments were deferred and are being amortized over the remaining term of the Bank Facility.

Terms of the Bank Facility, as amended, include a revolving line of credit for up to \$20.0 million (with a \$10.0 million letters of credit sublimit); a termination date of April 1, 2013 and financial covenants that require compliance at each quarter-end through March 31, 2013. The maximum capital expenditures financial covenant is \$2.5 million per year. The minimum Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio is 1.25:1.0. The maximum funded debt to EBITDA covenant is 3.0 to 1. Our Bank Facility also contains cross-default provisions with respect to our subordinated debt. Also, if we fail to pay (after grace periods) any other debt or lease that, individually or in the aggregate involves indebtedness in excess of \$100,000, and such default gives any creditor or lessor the right to accelerate the maturity of any such indebtedness or lease payments, then absent a waiver from the lender, it would result in a default under our Bank Facility and the acceleration of the maturity of outstanding debt under our Bank Facility. As of September 30, 2012, we were in compliance with all related financial and other restrictive covenants, and expect continued compliance. In the future, if we cannot comply with the terms of the Bank Facility covenants it will be necessary for us to obtain a waiver or renegotiate our loan covenants, and there can be no assurance that such negotiations would be successful. In the event that we are not successful in obtaining a waiver or an amendment, we would be declared in default, which could cause all amounts owed to be immediately due and payable. We had no outstanding borrowings under the line of credit as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. Borrowings are subject to a borrowing base limitation, including reducing the borrowing base by the amount of outstanding letters of credit, and at September 30, 2012, \$10.8 million could be borrowed at an interest rate of LIBOR plus 3.5%. As of September 30, 2012, the Company has \$2.0 million in outstanding trade letters of credit. Our property and equipment, accounts receivable, investments and inventory serve as collateral for our bank debt.

Edgar Filing: CECO ENVIRONMENTAL CORP - Form 10-Q

On November 26, 2009, the Company issued \$10.8 million principal amount of subordinated convertible promissory notes to a group of investors (the Investor Notes) which includes related parties: Icarus Investment Corp., which is controlled by Phillip DeZwirek, our Chairman, and Jason DeZwirek, a director and Secretary (\$2,200,000), JMP Fam Holdings, Inc., which is controlled by Jonathan Pollack, a Company Director (\$150,000), Jason DeZwirek (\$800,000), and Harvey Sandler Revocable Trust (\$800,000), which trust owns over 10% of our outstanding common stock. Interest accrues under the Investor Notes at the annual rate of 6% and is payable as of the end of each calendar quarter. Interest paid on the Investor Notes for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2012 was \$136,000 and \$417,000, respectively, and \$159,000 and \$475,000, respectively, for the corresponding time periods in 2011 We used the proceeds

Table of ContentsCECO ENVIRONMENTAL CORP.NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS(unaudited)

of the Investor Notes to repay all of our previously existing subordinated debt in the amount of approximately \$4.5 million, which debt was accruing interest at rates between 11-12%. The balance of the proceeds was available to be used for general working capital. Fees of \$320,000 were paid for the issuance of this debt and are being amortized over the term of the Investor Notes.

The Investor Notes are due on November 26, 2014 and are not repayable prior to maturity except upon a change of control, or upon the consent of the holder. The outstanding principal amount of the Investor Notes or any portion thereof, but not the interest, is convertible at the holder's option, at any time at a conversion price of \$4.00 per share, such price being greater than the Company's share price at the date of issuance of the Investor Notes. Following three years from the issuance date of the Investor Notes, if the closing price of the common stock of the Company is greater than \$8.00 for five consecutive days, the Company can cause conversion of the Investor Notes. The outstanding balance of the Investor Notes at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 was \$8.8 million and \$9.6 million, respectively. In the first quarter and second quarter of 2012, \$200,000 and \$240,000 principal of the Investor Notes, respectively, were converted to 50,000 and 60,000 shares of our common stock, respectively. In the third quarter of 2012, \$400,000 principal of the Investor Notes was converted to 100,000 shares of our common stock.

7. Earnings and Dividends per Share

The computational components of basic and diluted earnings per share for the three month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 and for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 are below (shares and dollars in thousands, except per share amounts).

| | For the three month period ended September 30, 2012 | | |
|--|--|-------------------------|---------------------|
| | Numerator (Income) | Denominator (Shares) | Per Share Amount |
| Basic net income and earnings per share | \$ 3,268 | 14,630 | \$ 0.22 |
| Effect of dilutive securities and notes: | | | |
| Common stock equivalents arising from stock options and employee stock purchase plan | | 371 | |
| Subordinated convertible promissory notes | 82 | 2,257 | (0.03) |
| Diluted earnings and earnings per share | \$ 3,350 | 17,258 | \$ 0.19 |

| | For the three month period ended September 30, 2011 | | |
|--|--|-------------------------|---------------------|
| | Numerator (Income) | Denominator (Shares) | Per Share Amount |
| Basic net income and earnings per share | \$ 2,319 | 14,372 | \$ 0.16 |
| Effect of dilutive securities and notes: | | | |
| Common stock equivalents arising from stock options and employee stock purchase plan | | 163 | |
| Subordinated convertible promissory notes | 95 | 2,622 | (0.02) |
| Diluted earnings and earnings per share | \$ 2,414 | 17,157 | \$ 0.14 |

Edgar Filing: CECO ENVIRONMENTAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | For the nine month period ended September 30, 2012 | | |
|---|---|-------------------------|---------------------|
| | Numerator (Income) | Denominator (Shares) | Per Share Amount |
| Basic net income and earnings per share | \$ 7,798 | 14,591 | \$ 0.53 |
| Effect of dilutive securities and notes: | | | |
| Common stock equivalents arising from stock options and employee stock purchase plan | | 290 | |
| Subordinated convertible promissory notes | 250 | 2,318 | (0.06) |
| Diluted earnings and earnings per share | \$ 8,048 | 17,199 | \$ 0.47 |

Table of ContentsCECO ENVIRONMENTAL CORP.NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS(unaudited)

| | For the nine month period ended September 30, 2011 | | |
|--|---|-------------------------|---------------------|
| | Numerator (Income) | Denominator (Shares) | Per Share Amount |
| Basic net income and earnings per share | \$ 5,530 | 14,340 | \$ 0.39 |
| Effect of dilutive securities and notes: | | | |
| Common stock equivalents arising from stock options and employee stock purchase plan | | 144 | |
| Subordinated convertible promissory notes | 285 | 2,647 | (0.05) |
| Diluted earnings and earnings per share | \$ 5,815 | 17,131 | \$ 0.34 |

We consider outstanding options and warrants in computing diluted net income per share only when they are dilutive. For each of the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2012, 322,500 outstanding options and warrants were excluded from the computation of diluted weighted average common shares outstanding as their effect would have been anti-dilutive. For the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2011, 427,500 outstanding options and warrants were excluded from the computation of diluted weighted average common shares outstanding as their effect would have been anti-dilutive.

Holders of restricted stock awards participate in nonforfeitable dividend rights on a one-for-one basis with holders of common stock. Holders of these awards are not obligated to share in losses of the Company. Therefore, these share awards are included in the computation of basic earnings per share during periods of net income using the two-class method, but are excluded from such computation in periods of net loss. When the Company declares a dividend on its common stock, the related dividend on shares of unvested restricted stock that are not expected to vest would be recorded as additional compensation expense and therefore excluded from the two-class method computations; however, there were no non-vested restricted share awards outstanding for the three or nine months ended September 30, 2012 or 2011. Undistributed earnings included in the two-class method computations are allocated equally to each share of common stock outstanding, including all shares of unvested restricted common shares.

Once a restricted stock award vests, it is included in the computation of weighted average shares outstanding for purposes of basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share.

Pursuant to the if-converted method, diluted earnings per share for the third quarter of 2012 includes a \$82,000 after tax add back of interest expense to earnings and 2.3 million additional shares, and \$95,000 and 2.6 million additional shares for the third quarter of 2011, related to the assumed conversion of the convertible Investor Notes described in Note 6. For the nine month period ended September 30, 2012 diluted earnings per share includes a \$250,000 after tax addback of interest expense, and 2.3 million additional shares, and \$285,000 and 2.6 million additional shares for the comparable 2011 period, related to the assumed conversion of the convertible Investor Notes.

Dividends

Prior to fiscal year 2011, we had not paid any cash dividends on our common stock. In August 2011, our Board of Directors approved a quarterly cash dividend program, subject to the Board's continuing determination that the dividend policy and the declaration of dividends are in the best interest of our shareholders. The declaration and payment of dividends is also subject to continued consent by our lender, as our credit agreement contains provisions that prevent us from paying any dividends to our shareholders without such consent. Future dividends and the dividend policy may be changed or cancelled at the Company's discretion at any time. In fiscal year 2012, our Board has declared the following cash dividends on our common stock:

Edgar Filing: CECO ENVIRONMENTAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| Dividend | Record | Payment | Payments |
|-----------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| Per share | Date | Date | (dollars in thousands) |
| \$0.035 | March 20, 2012 | March 30, 2012 | \$ 512 |
| \$0.035 | June 15, 2012 | June 29, 2012 | \$ 512 |
| \$0.045 | September 14, 2012 | September 28, 2012 | \$ 664 |

Table of ContentsCECO ENVIRONMENTAL CORP.NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS(unaudited)

Effective August 13, 2012, the Company implemented a Dividend Reinvestment Plan (the Plan), under which the Company may issue up to 750,000 shares of common stock. The Plan provides a way for interested shareholders to increase their holdings in our common stock. Participation in the Plan is strictly voluntary and is open only to existing shareholders.

8. Share-Based Compensation

The Company accounts for share-based compensation in accordance with ASC Topic 718, Compensation—Stock Compensation which requires the Company to recognize compensation expense for share-based awards, measured at the fair value of the awards at the grant date. The Company recognized expense of approximately \$165,000 and \$151,000 during the quarters ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and \$493,000 and \$538,000 for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

9. Pension and Employee Benefit Plans

We sponsor a non-contributory defined benefit pension plan for certain union employees. The plan is funded in accordance with the funding requirements of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974.

We also sponsor a postretirement health care plan for office employees retired before January 1, 1990. The plan allows retirees who have attained the age of 65 to elect the type of coverage desired.

Retirement and health care plan expense is based on valuations performed by plan actuaries as of the beginning of each fiscal year. The components of the expense consisted of the following:

| (dollars in thousands) | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------|------------------------------------|--------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Pension plan: | | | | |
| Service cost | \$ 15 | \$ 10 | \$ 45 | \$ 30 |
| Interest cost | 82 | 92 | 246 | 276 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (94) | (97) | (282) | (291) |
| Amortization of net actuarial loss | 83 | 63 | 249 | 189 |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ 86 | \$ 68 | \$ 258 | \$ 204 |
| Health care plan: | | | | |
| Interest cost | \$ 1 | \$ | \$ 3 | \$ |
| Amortization of gain | (2) | (1) | (6) | (3) |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ (1) | \$ (1) | \$ (3) | \$ (3) |

For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, we made contributions to our defined benefit plans totaling \$292,000. During the remainder of 2012 we do not anticipate making any additional pension plan contributions due to the application of the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21). The application of MAP-21 decreased the required contributions for 2012.

10. Income Taxes

Federal and state income tax expense was \$623,000 during the third quarter of 2012 compared to \$798,000 during the same quarter of 2011. Federal and state income tax expense was \$3.5 million for the first nine months of 2012 compared to \$2.6 million in 2011. The effective tax rate was 16.0% and 31.1% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, and 25.6% and 32.0% for the comparable 2011 periods. Included in the income tax provision calculation for the three months ended September 30, 2012 is a \$708,000 tax benefit, net of related uncertain tax position reserves, for research and development income tax credits earned during 2011. This credit was not contemplated in the 2011 tax provision because it was not identified or quantified until 2012. The Company is in the process of calculating this credit related to the

Table of Contents**CECO ENVIRONMENTAL CORP.****NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(unaudited)**

additional open years of 2009 and 2010, which is expected to be completed in the fourth quarter of 2012 and may result in additional tax benefit to be recorded in the fourth quarter of 2012. Along with the tax benefit of research and development income tax credits, our effective tax rate is additionally affected by certain permanent differences including non-deductible incentive stock based compensation, reversals of certain income tax reserves/deferrals, and tax holidays in foreign jurisdictions.

The Company accounts for uncertain tax positions pursuant to ASC Topic 740, Income Taxes. As of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the liability for uncertain tax positions totaled approximately \$128,000 and \$52,000, respectively. The Company recognizes interest accrued related to uncertain tax positions in interest expense and penalties in income tax expense.

The Company files income tax returns in various federal, state and local jurisdictions. The Company is no longer subject to federal, state and local income tax examinations by tax authorities for years before 2008.

11. Product Warranties

The Company's warranty reserve is to cover the products sold and is principally at our Effox subsidiary. The warranty accrual is based on historical claims information. The warranty reserve is reviewed and adjusted as necessary on a quarterly basis. Warranty accrual is not significant at the Company's other operations due to the nature of the work which includes installation and testing. Accrued warranty expense is included in Accounts payable and accrued expenses on our condensed consolidated balance sheets, and the change in accrued warranty is summarized in the following table:

| (dollars in thousands) | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|------------------------|--------------------|--------|-------------------|--------|
| | September 30, | | September 30, | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Beginning balance | \$ 585 | \$ 487 | \$ 431 | \$ 455 |
| Provision | 52 | 28 | 263 | 239 |
| Payments | (25) | (213) | (82) | (392) |
| Ending balance | \$ 612 | \$ 302 | \$ 612 | \$ 302 |

12. Financial Instruments

Our financial instruments consist primarily of investments in cash and cash equivalents, receivables and certain other assets, and accounts payable, which approximate fair value at September 30, 2012, due to their short term nature. The carrying amounts of our debt obligations approximate fair value based on future payments discounted at current interest rates for similar fair market determinations or interest rates which fluctuate with the market. As these inputs are not considered to be observable (i.e. supported by little or no market activity), the Company has designated these obligations as Level 3.

13. Commitments and Contingencies Legal Matters

On January 13, 2011, the SEC initiated a non-public formal investigation of the Company. We have been cooperating with and intend to continue to cooperate with the SEC. The Company has been informed by its Chairman of the Board that he has received multiple subpoenas in

Edgar Filing: CECO ENVIRONMENTAL CORP - Form 10-Q

connection with such inquiry. Another director has received two subpoenas as well. In accordance with the terms of the Company's bylaws and the General Corporation Law of Delaware, the Company is advancing expenses incurred by its Chairman and other director in this matter. Because the matter is ongoing, we cannot predict the outcome of this formal inquiry at this time, and, as a result, no conclusion can be reached as to what impact, if any, this inquiry may have on us, our operations, or financial condition.

Other income for the second quarter of 2011 includes a payment to the Company of \$359,000 related to short swing trading profits that were returned to the Company by a director and an affiliated shareholder.

The Company was involved in an arbitration proceeding initiated in April 2011 by William L. Heumann, Gerald J. Plappert, and Paul S. Brannick, related to the 2008 acquisition of Fisher-Klosterman Inc., in which

Table of Contents

CECO ENVIRONMENTAL CORP.

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(unaudited)

the plaintiffs were claiming amounts owed pursuant to an earn-out provision in the purchase agreement. The arbitrator ruled on February 15, 2012 favorably to the Company resulting in a payment of the Company's stock worth \$20,500 and half of the plaintiffs' legal and arbitrator costs of \$106,000.

On October 12, 2012 the Company received a letter from the Sheet Metal Workers' Local Union No. 80 (the Union) alleging that the Company had completely withdrawn from the Union's Pension Trust Fund and may be liable for an amount up to \$5.3 million. The Company is disputing this allegation entirely. The Company has requested information regarding the basis of the withdrawal determination and the calculation of the liability amount, and based on initial investigation believes the claim is meritless. The Company has obtained legal counsel and plans to vigorously defend itself.

The Company is also a party to routine contract and employment-related litigation matters and routine audits of state and local tax returns arising in the ordinary course of its business.

The final outcome and impact of open matters, and related claims and investigations that may be brought in the future, are subject to many variables, and cannot be predicted. We establish accruals only for those matters where we determine that a loss is probable and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated. As a result, we have accrued as necessary for any liability with respect to open matters. The Company expenses legal costs as they are incurred. While it is currently not possible to reasonably estimate the aggregate amount of costs that we may incur in connection with these matters, such costs could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, liquidity, or results of operations.

We are not aware of pending claims or assessments, other than as described above, which may have a material adverse impact on our liquidity, financial position, or results of operations.

14. Stock Purchase

During the first quarter of 2011, the Company purchased 73,741 shares of CECO stock held by a retiring executive. The shares were purchased at the then current market price of \$5.84 for a total transaction value of \$430,647 and the shares were immediately retired.

On August 10, 2011, the Board of Directors of the Company approved a share buyback program authorizing the purchase of up to 0.5 million shares of CECO common stock over an eighteen month period. No shares were repurchased in the first quarter of 2012 and during the three month period ended June 30, 2012, the Company repurchased and immediately retired 62,519 shares of common stock for \$456,000. No shares were repurchased during the three month period ended September 30, 2012, which leaves the maximum number of shares that may yet be purchased at 345,691.

15. Business Segment Information

The Company's operations are organized and reviewed by management along its product lines and presented in three reportable segments. The results of the segments are reviewed through to the Income from operations line on the Statements of Income. The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those in the consolidated financial statements.

Engineered Equipment Technology and Parts Group (EET&P Group)

Our EET&P Group, located in the United States, Canada, Brazil, China, and India, is comprised of CECO Filters, Busch International, CECO Abatement, Effox, Fisher-Klosterman, Flextor and A.V.C. We enable our customers to meet Best Available Control Technology requirements and compliance targets for fumes, volatile organic compounds, process, and industrial odors. Our services eliminate toxic emission fumes and

Edgar Filing: CECO ENVIRONMENTAL CORP - Form 10-Q

volatile organic compounds from large-scale industrial processes. We have a presence in the chemical processing, ethanol, paint booth emissions, wastewater treatment, and wood products industries.

Table of ContentsCECO ENVIRONMENTAL CORP.NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS(unaudited)**Contracting/Services Group (C/S Group)**

Our C/S Group is comprised of the contracting/services operations of our Kirk & Blum divisions. We provide custom metal fabrication services at our Kirk & Blum Columbia, Tennessee and Louisville, Kentucky locations. These facilities are used to fabricate parts, subassemblies, and customized products for air pollution and non-air pollution applications from sheet, plate, and structurals.

Component Parts Group (CP Group)

We market component parts for industrial air systems to contractors, distributors and dealers throughout the United States.

Summary of Business by Segment

| (dollars in thousands) | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | September 30, | | September 30, | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Net Sales | | | | |
| Engineered Equipment Technology and Parts Group | | | | |
| United States | \$ 18,775 | \$ 18,073 | \$ 57,202 | \$ 60,179 |
| Canada | 1,865 | 1,490 | 5,820 | 5,852 |
| China | 2,166 | 2,806 | 5,223 | 6,475 |
| Brazil | | 864 | 121 | 1,851 |
| India | 173 | 104 | 173 | 229 |
| Subtotal (a) | 22,979 | 23,337 | 68,539 | 74,586 |
| Contracting / Services Group (a) | 6,166 | 7,284 | 20,577 | 22,554 |
| Component Parts Group (a) | 5,524 | 5,282 | 16,804 | 14,585 |
| Corporate and other (a) (b) | | 253 | 19 | 880 |
| Eliminations | (1,567) | (3,209) | (5,219) | (11,165) |
| Net sales | \$ 33,102 | \$ 32,947 | \$ 100,720 | \$ 101,440 |

| (dollars in thousands) | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| | September 30, | | September 30, | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Income (loss) from Operations | | | | |
| Engineered Equipment Technology and Parts Group (a) | \$ 3,946 | \$ 3,294 | \$ 11,110 | \$ 8,303 |
| Contracting / Services Group (a) | 836 | 903 | 2,520 | 2,281 |
| Component Parts Group (a) | 966 | 820 | 3,378 | 2,674 |
| Corporate and other (a) (b) | (1,455) | (1,613) | (4,667) | (4,555) |
| Eliminations | (42) | (94) | (58) | (133) |
| Income from operations | \$ 4,251 | \$ 3,310 | \$ 12,283 | \$ 8,570 |

Edgar Filing: CECO ENVIRONMENTAL CORP - Form 10-Q

- (a) The amounts presented at the reportable business segment level include both external and intersegment net sales and operating income(loss), as applicable. See following tables in this Note 15 under Intra-segment and Inter-segment Revenues.
- (b) Includes corporate compensation, professional services, information technology, and other general and administrative corporate expenses. Also included are the operations of our Engineering Group, which are not significant to the overall operations of the Company and were sold in November 2011.

Table of ContentsCECO ENVIRONMENTAL CORP.NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS(unaudited)

| (dollars in thousands) | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|-------------------------------------|------------|------------------------------------|------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Property and Equipment Additions | | | | |
| Engineered Equipment Technology and Parts Group | \$ 57 | \$ 78 | \$ 109 | \$ 144 |
| Contracting / Services Group | 14 | 63 | 44 | 72 |
| Component Parts Group | 21 | 236 | 59 | 268 |
| Corporate and other (c) | 10 | 21 | 22 | 170 |
| Property and equipment additions | \$ 102 | \$ 398 | \$ 234 | \$ 654 |

(c) Includes Corporate and Engineering Group property and equipment additions. The Engineering Group was sold in November 2011.

| (dollars in thousands) | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|-------------------------------------|------------|------------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Depreciation and Amortization | | | | |
| Engineered Equipment Technology and Parts Group | \$ 146 | \$ 174 | 445 | \$ 618 |
| Contracting / Services Group | 53 | 59 | 163 | 173 |
| Component Parts Group | 48 | 49 | 144 | 132 |
| Corporate and other (d) | 53 | 58 | 158 | 163 |
| Depreciation and Amortization | \$ 300 | \$ 340 | 910 | \$ 1,086 |

(d) Includes Corporate and Engineering Group depreciation and amortization. The Engineering Group was sold in November 2011.

| (dollars in thousands) | September 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Identifiable Assets | | |
| Engineered Equipment Technology and Parts Group | \$ 48,498 | \$ 51,480 |
| Contracting / Services Group | 26,837 | 18,588 |
| Component Parts Group | 6,078 | 5,577 |
| Corporate and other (e) | 8,568 | 9,705 |
| Eliminations (f) | (5,526) | (6,005) |
| Identifiable Assets (g) | \$ 84,455 | \$ 79,345 |

Edgar Filing: CECO ENVIRONMENTAL CORP - Form 10-Q

- (e) Includes Corporate and Engineering Group identifiable assets. The Engineering Group was sold in November 2011. Corporate assets primarily consists of cash, income tax related assets, and intercompany note receivable.
- (f) Includes eliminations for intercompany trade accounts receivable, intercompany job revenue, and intercompany note receivable.
- (g) The Company has revised its business segment reporting primarily to exclude nontrade accounts receivable in order to better reflect the manner in which such assets are reviewed by management.

Table of ContentsCECO ENVIRONMENTAL CORP.NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS(unaudited)

| | | |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|
| (dollars in thousands) | September 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
| Goodwill | | |
| Engineered Equipment Technology and Parts Group | \$ 14,777 | \$ 14,661 |

Intra-segment and Inter-segment Revenues

The Company has multiple divisions that sell to each other within segments (intra-segment sales) and between segments (inter-segment sales) as indicated in the following tables:

| | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------|----------------|-------------------|
| | Three months ended September 30, 2012 | | | | | | Net sales to |
| (dollars in thousands) | Total sales | Less intra-segment sales | Less inter-segment sales | | | Corp. (a) | outside customers |
| | | | EET&P | C/S | CP | | |
| Net Sales | | | | | | | |
| Engineered Equipment Technology and Parts Group | | | | | | | |
| United States | \$ 18,775 | \$ (334) | \$ | \$ (16) | \$ | \$ | \$ 18,425 |
| Canada | 1,865 | (10) | | | | | 1,855 |
| China | 2,166 | (379) | | | | | 1,787 |
| Brazil | | | | | | | |
| India | 173 | | | | | | 173 |
| Subtotal | 22,979 | (723) | | (16) | | | 22,240 |
| Contracting / Services Group | 6,166 | (20) | (502) | | | | 5,644 |
| Component Parts Group | 5,524 | (89) | (3) | (194) | | | 5,238 |
| Corporate and other (a) | | | | | | (20) | (20) |
| Net sales | \$ 34,669 | \$ (832) | \$ (505) | \$ (210) | \$ | \$ (20) | \$ 33,102 |

(a) Includes adjustment for revenue on intercompany jobs.

Table of Contents**CECO ENVIRONMENTAL CORP.****NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(unaudited)**

| (dollars in thousands) | Three months ended September 30, 2011 | | | | | | Net sales to outside customers |
|--|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------------------|
| | Total sales | Less intra-segment sales | Less inter-segment sales | | | | |
| | | | EET&P | C/S | CP | Corp. (a) | |
| Net Sales | | | | | | | |
| Engineered Equipment Technology and Parts Group | | | | | | | |
| United States | \$ 18,073 | \$ (669) | \$ | \$ (279) | \$ | \$ | \$ 17,125 |
| Canada | 1,490 | | | | | | 1,490 |
| China | 2,806 | (1,272) | | | | | 1,534 |
| Brazil | 864 | | | | | | 864 |
| India | 104 | | | | | | 104 |
| Subtotal | 23,337 | (1,941) | | (279) | | | 21,117 |
| Contracting / Services Group | 7,284 | (13) | (536) | | (42) | (8) | 6,685 |
| Component Parts Group | 5,282 | (71) | (46) | (207) | | | 4,958 |
| Corporate and other (a) | 253 | | | (3) | | (63) | 187 |
| Net sales | \$ 36,156 | \$ (2,025) | \$ (582) | \$ (489) | \$ (42) | \$ (71) | \$ 32,947 |

(a) Includes the operations of our Engineering Group, which is not significant to the overall operations of the Company, and adjustment for revenue on intercompany jobs. The Engineering Group was sold in November 2011.

| (dollars in thousands) | Nine months ended September 30, 2012 | | | | | | Net sales to outside customers |
|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-----------|--------------|--------------------------------|
| | Total Sales | Less intra-segment sales | Less inter-segment sales | | | | |
| | | | EET&P | C/S | CP | Corp. (a) | |
| Net Sales | | | | | | | |
| Engineered Equipment Technology and Parts Group | | | | | | | |
| United States | \$ 57,202 | \$ (687) | \$ | \$ (626) | \$ | \$ | \$ 55,889 |
| Canada | 5,820 | 63 | | | | | 5,883 |
| China | 5,223 | (1,934) | | | | | 3,289 |
| Brazil | 121 | | | | | | 121 |
| India | 173 | | | | | | 173 |
| Subtotal | 68,539 | (2,558) | | (626) | | | 65,355 |
| Contracting / Services Group | 20,577 | (68) | (1,037) | | | | 19,472 |
| Component Parts Group | 16,804 | (236) | (21) | (693) | | | 15,854 |
| Corporate and other (a) | 19 | | | (10) | | 30 | 39 |
| Net sales | \$ 105,939 | \$ (2,862) | \$ (1,058) | \$ (1,329) | \$ | \$ 30 | \$ 100,720 |

- (a) Includes adjustment for revenue on intercompany jobs.

Table of ContentsCECO ENVIRONMENTAL CORP.NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS(unaudited)

| (dollars in thousands) | Nine months ended September 30, 2011 | | | | | | Net sales to outside Customers |
|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|---|
| | Total sales | Less intra- segment Sales | EET&P | C/S | CP | Corp. (a) | |
| Net Sales | | | | | | | |
| Engineered Equipment Technology and Parts Group | | | | | | | |
| United States | \$ 60,179 | \$ (3,495) | \$ | \$ (2,754) | \$ | \$ | \$ 53,930 |
| Canada | 5,852 | | | | | | 5,852 |
| China | 6,475 | (1,798) | | | | | 4,677 |
| Brazil | 1,851 | | | | | | 1,851 |
| India | 229 | | | | | | 229 |
| Subtotal | 74,586 | (5,293) | | (2,754) | | | 66,539 |
| Contracting / Services Group | 22,554 | (82) | (1,761) | | (42) | (53) | 20,616 |
| Component Parts Group | 14,585 | (336) | (123) | (639) | | | 13,487 |
| Corporate and other (a) | 880 | | | (42) | | (40) | 798 |
| Net sales | \$ 112,605 | \$ (5,711) | \$ (1,884) | \$ (3,435) | \$ (42) | \$ (93) | \$ 101,440 |

(a) Includes the operations of our Engineering Group, which is not significant to the overall operations of the Company, and adjustment for revenue on intercompany jobs. The Engineering Group was sold in November 2011.

Table of Contents

CECO ENVIRONMENTAL CORP.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION

AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

ITEM 2. Management discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations

The Company's consolidated statements of income for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 reflect the consolidated operations of the Company and its subsidiaries.

We are one of the leading global providers of air-pollution control technology products and services. These products, technology, and services are marketed under the Kirk & Blum, CECO Filters, Busch International, CECO Abatement Systems, KB Duct, Effox, Fisher-Klosterman, Buell, Flextor, and A.V.C. trade names. Our revenues are generated by our services of engineering and designing as well as building equipment, and installing systems that capture, clean and destroy airborne contaminants from industrial facilities and equipment that controls emissions from such facilities. We have a diversified base of more than 3,500 active customers among a myriad of industries including aerospace, brick, cement, steel, ceramics, metal working, ethanol, printing, paper, food, foundry, power, refining, mining, metal plating, woodworking, chemicals, tobacco, glass, automotive, and pharmaceuticals. Therefore, our business is not concentrated in a single industry or customer. Demand for our products and services is created by increasingly strict EPA mandated industry Maximum Achievable Control Technology standards and OSHA established Threshold Limit Values, as well as existing pollution control and energy legislation domestically as well as globally.

We believe that as economic conditions continue to improve, there will be an increase in the level of pollution control capital expenditures driven by an elevated focus on environmental issues such as global warming and energy-saving alternatives as well as a U.S. Government supported effort to reduce our dependence on foreign oil through the use of bio-fuels like ethanol and electrical energy generated by our abundant domestic supply of coal. We also feel that similar opportunities will continue to develop outside the United States. Much of our business is driven by various regulatory standards and guidelines governing air quality in and outside factories. Our Chinese operation is experiencing significant expansion due to the tightening of air pollution standards by China's Ministry of Environmental Protection.

We continue to focus on increasing revenues and profitability globally while continuing to strengthen and expand our presence domestically. Our operating strategy has historically involved horizontally expanding our scope of technology, products, and services through selective acquisitions and the formation of new business units that are then vertically integrated into our growing group of turnkey system providers. By employing this strategy, we have expanded our business and increased our revenues by adding CECO Abatement Systems, KB Duct, CECO Environmental India, Effox, Fisher-Klosterman, Buell, Flextor and A.V.C. Our continuing focus will be on global growth, market coverage, and specifically expansion of our China and India operations. Operational excellence, margin expansion, after-market growth, and safety leadership are also critical to our growth strategy.

Operations Overview

We operate under a hub and spoke business model in which executive management, finance, administrative and marketing staff serves as the hub while the sales channels serve as spokes. We use this model throughout our operations. This has provided us with certain efficiencies over a more decentralized model. The Company's division presidents and general managers are responsible for successfully running their operations, that is, sales, gross margins, manufacturing, pricing, safety, employee development, and customer service excellence. The managers work closely with the CEO on global growth strategies, operational excellence, and employee development. The headquarters (hub) focuses on enabling the core back-office key functions for scale and efficiency, that is, accounting, payroll, human resources/benefits, IT, safety support, audit controls, and administration. We have organizational focus from headquarters through-out our divisional businesses with minimal duplicative work streams. We are structured for growth and will do smart future bolt-on acquisitions with a full integration strategy.

Our three operating segments are: the Engineered Equipment Technology and Parts Group (EET&P Group), which produces various types of air pollution control equipment, the Contracting / Services Group (C/S Group), which produces air pollution control and industrial ventilation systems and the Component Parts Group (CP Group), which manufactures products used by us and other air pollution control companies and contractors. It is through combining the efforts of some or all of these groups that we are able to offer complete turnkey systems to our customers and leverage the operational efficiencies between our family of companies. Due to the relative size of our former Engineering Group, our reportable segment disclosures in our financial statements include this group's results with our corporate and other disclosures. In November 2011, we sold kbd/Technic, which comprised our small Engineering Group. kbd/Technic provided industrial ventilation engineering and source emission testing services.

Table of Contents**CECO ENVIRONMENTAL CORP.****MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION****AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

Our contracts are obtained either through competitive bidding or as a result of negotiations with our customers. Contract terms offered by us are generally dependent on the complexity and risk of the project as well as the resources that will be required to complete the project. For example, a contract that can be performed primarily by subcontractors and that does not require us to use our fabrication and assembly facilities can be quoted at a lower gross margin than a more typical contract that will require additional factory overhead and administrative expenses. Our focus is on increasing our operating margins as well as our gross margin percentage which translates into higher net income. Our sales typically peak in the fourth quarter due to a tendency of customers to want to fully utilize annual capital budgets and due to the fact that many industrial facilities shut down for the holiday season and that creates demand for maintenance and renovation work that can be done at no other time.

Results of Operations***Consolidated Results***

Our consolidated statements of income for the three month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 are as follows:

| (dollars in millions) | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | September 30, 2012 | September 30, 2011 | September 30, 2012 | September 30, 2011 |
| Net sales | \$ 33.1 | \$ 32.9 | \$ 100.7 | \$ 101.4 |
| Cost of sales | 22.6 | 23.2 | 69.5 | 74.6 |
| Gross profit | \$ 10.5 | \$ 9.7 | \$ 31.2 | \$ 26.9 |
| <i>Percent of sales</i> | <i>31.7%</i> | <i>29.5%</i> | <i>31.0%</i> | <i>26.5%</i> |
| Selling and administrative expenses | \$ 6.2 | \$ 6.3 | \$ 18.7 | \$ 18.0 |
| <i>Percent of sales</i> | <i>18.7%</i> | <i>19.1%</i> | <i>18.6%</i> | <i>17.8%</i> |
| Operating income | \$ 4.3 | \$ 3.3 | \$ 12.3 | \$ 8.6 |
| <i>Percent of sales</i> | <i>12.8%</i> | <i>10.0%</i> | <i>12.2%</i> | <i>8.5%</i> |

Consolidated sales for the third quarter increased \$0.2 million to \$33.1 million from \$32.9 million in 2011. The increase in sales was primarily due to a \$1.1 million sales increase in the EET&P Group offset by a \$1.0 million decrease in the C/S Group.

Consolidated sales for the first nine months of 2012 were \$100.7 million compared to \$101.4 million in 2011, a decrease of \$0.7 million. The decrease in sales was primarily due to decreases of \$1.2 million and \$1.1 million in the EET&P Group and the C/S Group, respectively, offset by an increase of \$2.4 million in the CP Group. The sales decrease of 1.8% in our EET&P Group is primarily due to project delays requested by customers, while the decrease of 5.5% in the C/S Group is primarily due to the continued targeting of higher margin projects. The increased demand for our products and services created by certain industrial sectors, such as small independent contractors that purchase component parts created the 17.6% increase in our CP Group sales.

Gross profit increased by \$0.8 million, or 8.2%, to \$10.5 million in the third quarter of 2012 compared with \$9.7 million in the same period of 2011. Gross profit as a percentage of sales was 31.7% in 2012 compared to 29.5% in 2011. The increase in gross profit was primarily due to a shift to higher margin work in both the EET&P Group and the C/S Group.

For the first nine months of 2012, gross profit increased by \$4.3 million, or 16.0% to \$31.2 million compared with \$26.9 million in the same period of 2011. Gross profit as a percentage of sales was 31.0% in 2012 compared to 26.5% in 2011. The net increase in gross profit was primarily due to a shift to higher margin work in both the EET&P Group and the C/S Group.

Edgar Filing: CECO ENVIRONMENTAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Orders booked were \$41.8 million during the third quarter of 2012 and \$113.4 million for the first nine months of 2012, as compared to \$35.6 million during the third quarter of 2011 and \$102.4 million in the first nine months of 2011. We continue to experience an active level of customer inquiry and quoting activities and have realized increased bookings at our Effox and Flextor divisions.

Table of Contents

CECO ENVIRONMENTAL CORP.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION

AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Selling and administrative expenses were \$6.2 million during the third quarter of 2012 compared to \$6.3 million during the third quarter of 2011. During the first nine months of 2012, selling and administrative expenses were \$18.7 million compared to \$18.0 million in the first nine months of 2011. The increase for the nine month period in 2012 was primarily due to increases in certain accruals based on increased levels of profitability in 2012.

Amortization expense decreased by \$38,000 to \$80,000 during the third quarter of 2012 from \$118,000 in the same period of 2011, and decreased by \$89,000 to \$252,000 in the first nine months of 2012 from \$341,000 in the same period of 2011. The decrease in amortization expense was the result of certain finite life intangibles related to earlier acquisitions becoming fully amortized.

Operating income was \$4.3 million in the third quarter of 2012, an increase of \$1.0 million compared to \$3.3 million during the same quarter of 2011. Operating income as a percent of sales in the third quarter of 2012 was 12.8% compared to 10.0% for the same period in 2011.

Operating income for the first nine months of 2012 increased by \$3.7 million to \$12.3 million from \$8.6 million during the same period of 2011. Operating income as a percent of sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 was 12.2% compared to 8.5% for the same period in 2011.

Interest expense was \$291,000 and \$296,000 for the third quarter of 2012 and 2011, respectively, and \$828,000 and \$870,000 for the first nine months of 2012 and 2011, respectively. The decrease for the three month and nine months ended September 30, 2012 is due to the conversion of \$1.3 million of our subordinated debt into equity during the twelve months from October 1, 2011 to September 30, 2012.

Federal and state income tax expense was \$623,000 during the third quarter of 2012 compared to \$798,000 during the same quarter of 2011. Federal and state income tax expense was \$3.5 million for the first nine months of 2012 compared to \$2.6 million in 2011. The effective tax rate was 16.0% and 31.1% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, and 25.6% and 32.0% for the comparable 2011 periods. Included in the income tax provision calculation for the three months ended September 30, 2012 is a \$708,000 tax benefit, net of related uncertain tax position reserves, for research and development income tax credits earned during 2011. This credit was not contemplated in the 2011 tax provision because it was not identified or quantified until 2012. The Company is in the process of calculating this credit related to the additional open years of 2009 and 2010, which is expected to be completed in the fourth quarter of 2012 and may result in additional tax benefit to be recorded in the fourth quarter of 2012. Along with the tax benefit of research and development income tax credits, our effective tax rate is additionally affected by certain permanent differences including non-deductible incentive stock based compensation, reversals of certain income tax reserves/deferrals, and tax holidays in foreign jurisdictions.

The Company accounts for uncertain tax positions pursuant to ASC Topic 740, Income Taxes. As of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the liability for uncertain tax positions totaled approximately \$128,000 and \$52,000, respectively. The Company recognizes interest accrued related to uncertain tax positions in interest expense and penalties in income tax expense.

The Company files income tax returns in various federal, state and local jurisdictions. The Company is no longer subject to federal, state and local income tax examinations by tax authorities for years before 2008.

Table of ContentsCECO ENVIRONMENTAL CORP.MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITIONAND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**Business Segments**

The Company's operations are organized and reviewed by management along its product lines and presented in three reportable segments. The results of the segments are reviewed through to the "Income from operations" line on the Statements of Income. The amounts presented in the Net Sales table below and in the following comments regarding our net sales at the reportable business segment level exclude both intra-segment and inter-segment net sales. The Income (loss) from Operations table and corresponding comments regarding operating income at the reportable segment level include both intra-segment and inter-segment operating income.

| (dollars in thousands) | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|-----------|-------------------|------------|
| | September 30, | | September 30, | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Net Sales (less intra-, inter-segment sales) | | | | |
| Engineered Equipment Technology and Parts Group | | | | |
| United States | \$ 18,425 | \$ 17,125 | \$ 55,889 | \$ 53,930 |
| Canada | 1,855 | 1,490 | 5,883 | 5,852 |
| China | 1,787 | 1,534 | 3,289 | 4,677 |
| Brazil | | 864 | 121 | 1,851 |
| India | 173 | 104 | 173 | 229 |
| Subtotal | 22,240 | 21,117 | 65,355 | 66,539 |
| Contracting / Services Group | 5,644 | 6,685 | 19,472 | 20,616 |
| Component Parts Group | 5,238 | 4,958 | 15,854 | 13,487 |
| Corporate and other | (20) | 187 | 39 | 798 |
| Net sales | \$ 33,102 | \$ 32,947 | \$ 100,720 | \$ 101,440 |

| (dollars in thousands) | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|----------|-------------------|----------|
| | September 30, | | September 30, | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Income (loss) from Operations | | | | |
| Engineered Equipment Technology and Parts Group | \$ 3,946 | \$ 3,294 | \$ 11,110 | \$ 8,303 |
| Contracting / Services Group | 836 | 903 | 2,520 | 2,281 |
| Component Parts Group | 966 | 820 | 3,378 | 2,674 |
| Corporate and other (a) | (1,455) | (1,613) | (4,667) | (4,555) |
| Eliminations | (42) | (94) | (58) | (133) |
| Income from operations | \$ 4,251 | \$ 3,310 | \$ 12,283 | \$ 8,570 |

- (a) Includes corporate compensation, professional services, information technology, and other general and administrative corporate expenses. Also included are the operations of our Engineering Group, which is not significant to the overall operations of the Company and were sold in November 2011.

Engineered Equipment Technology and Parts Group

Edgar Filing: CECO ENVIRONMENTAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Our EET&P Group net sales for the third quarter of 2012 increased \$1.1 million to \$22.2 million from \$21.1 million in the same period in 2011. Net sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 were \$65.4 million compared to \$66.5 million in 2011. The increase in the third quarter of 2012 is primarily due to an increase of \$2.2 million of net sales at our Effox and Flextor divisions, offset by a decrease of \$1.5 million in revenues in our Fisher-Klosterman division during the quarter. The decrease for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 was primarily due to decreased revenues of \$4.2 million at Fisher-Klosterman and \$1.5 million at CECO Abatement, offset by increases of \$3.1 million at our Effox and Flextor divisions and \$3.0 million at our Busch division. The decrease in revenues is due to certain large projects not being replaced at our Fisher-Klosterman division, and a decrease of ethanol industry orders.

Table of Contents

CECO ENVIRONMENTAL CORP.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION

AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Operating income from our EET&P Group increased 19.8% to \$3.9 million in the third quarter of 2012 from \$3.3 million in the same period of 2011. The increase was due to an increase of \$1.2 million of combined operating income at our Effox and Flextor divisions, offset by operating income decreases at the other EET&P Group divisions. The increase at Effox and Flextor was due to increased margins on projects. Revenue volume decreases negatively impacted the results of the other EET&P Group divisions.

Operating income during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 increased by \$2.8 million to \$11.1 million, compared to \$8.3 million for the same period in 2011. The increase for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 was primarily due to the increase of combined operating income of \$4.0 million at our Effox and Flextor divisions, offset by a decrease of \$1.1 million at Fisher-Klosterman.

Contracting / Services Group

Our C/S Group net sales for the third quarter of 2012 were \$5.6 million compared to \$6.7 million in the same period in 2011. Net sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 were \$19.5 million compared to \$20.6 million in 2011. The C/S Group is continuing to target higher margin design, build, end user customer segments, which resulted in the net sales decrease for the three and nine months periods.

Operating income for C/S Group was \$0.8 million in the third quarter of 2012 compared to \$0.9 million in the third quarter of 2011. Operating income for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 increased \$0.2 million to \$2.5 million from \$2.3 million in 2011. This increase is primarily due to a strategic shift in customer segments and reduced operating costs in 2012 from facility consolidations and streamlining efforts, effective project management, and improved pricing strategies.

Component Parts Group

Our CP Group net sales for the third quarter of 2012 increased \$0.2 million to \$5.2 million from \$5.0 million in the same period in 2011. Net sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 increased \$2.4 million to \$15.9 million from \$13.5 million in 2011. This increase is primarily due to increased demand for our component parts and clamp together duct products, which is the result of many smaller contractors buying these products instead of making them in-house.

Operating income for CP Group increased \$0.2 million to \$1.0 million from \$0.8 million during the third quarter of 2012 from 2011, and increased \$0.7 million to \$3.4 million for the nine month period ended September 30, 2012 from \$2.7 million in 2011. These increases are primarily due to increased revenues as described above and better strategic pricing strategies.

Backlog

Our backlog consists of the amount of revenue we expect from complete performance of uncompleted, signed, firm fixed price contracts that have not been completed for products and services we expect to substantially deliver within the next 12 months. Our backlog as of September 30, 2012, was \$67.6 million compared to \$54.9 million as of December 31, 2011. There can be no assurances that backlog will be replicated, increased or translated into higher revenues in the future. The success of our business depends on a multitude of factors related to our backlog and the orders secured during the subsequent periods. Certain contracts are highly dependent on the work of contractors and other subcontractors participating in a project, over which we have no or limited control, and their performance on such project could have an adverse effect on the profitability of our contracts. Delays resulting from these contractors and subcontractors, changes in the scope of the project, weather, and labor availability also can have an effect on a contract's profitability.

New Accounting Pronouncements

For information regarding recent accounting pronouncements, see Note 2 to the unaudited consolidated financial statements within Item 1 of this report.

Table of Contents

CECO ENVIRONMENTAL CORP.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION

AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Financial Condition, Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our principal sources of liquidity are cash flow from operations and available borrowings under our revolving credit facility. Our principal uses of cash are operating costs, payment of interest on our Investor Notes, working capital and other general corporate requirements.

At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, cash and cash equivalents totaled \$24.5 million and \$12.7 million, respectively. Cash balances may fluctuate from time to time due to collected funds not being immediately swept against the credit line balance; however, we had no outstanding borrowings under this line as of September 30, 2012.

Terms of our Bank Facility, as amended, include a revolving line of credit for up to \$20.0 million (with a \$10.0 million letters of credit sublimit) a termination date of April 1, 2014 and financial covenants that require compliance at each quarter-end through March 31, 2013. The maximum capital expenditures financial covenant is \$2.5 million per year. The minimum Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio is 1.25:1.0. The maximum funded debt to EBITDA covenant is 3.0 to 1. Our Bank Facility also contains cross-default provisions with respect to our subordinated debt. Also, if we fail to pay (after grace periods) any other debt or lease that, individually or in the aggregate involves indebtedness in excess of \$100,000, and such default gives any creditor or lessor the right to accelerate the maturity of any such indebtedness or lease payments, then absent a waiver from the lender, it would result in a default under our Bank Facility and the acceleration of the maturity of outstanding debt under our Bank Facility. As of September 30, 2012, we were in compliance with all related financial and other restrictive covenants, and expect continued compliance. In the future, if we cannot comply with the terms of the Bank Facility covenants it will be necessary for us to obtain a waiver or renegotiate our loan covenants, and there can be no assurance that such negotiations would be successful. In the event that we are not successful in obtaining a waiver or an amendment, we would be declared in default, which could cause all amounts owed to be immediately due and payable. We have no outstanding borrowings under the line of credit as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. Borrowings are subject to a borrowing base limitation, including reducing the borrowing base by the amount of outstanding letters of credit, and at September 30, 2012, \$10.8 million could be borrowed at an interest rate of LIBOR plus 3.5%. As of September 30, 2012, the Company has \$2.0 million in outstanding trade letters of credit. Our property and equipment, accounts receivable, investments and inventory serve as collateral for our bank debt.

On November 26, 2009, the Company issued \$10.8 million principal amount of subordinated convertible promissory notes to a group of investors (the Investor Notes) which includes related parties: Icarus Investment Corp., which is controlled by Phillip DeZwirek, our Chairman and former Chief Executive Officer, and Jason DeZwirek, a director and Secretary (\$2.2 million), JMP Fam Holdings, Inc., which is controlled by Jonathan Pollack, a Company Director (\$150,000), Jason DeZwirek (\$800,000), and Harvey Sandler Revocable Trust (\$800,000), which trust owns over 10% of our outstanding common stock. Interest accrues under the Investor Notes at the annual rate of 6% and is payable as of the end of each calendar quarter. Interest paid on the Investor Notes for the three and nine month periods was \$136,000 and \$417,000, respectively, and \$159,000 and \$475,000, respectively, for the same periods in 2011. We used the proceeds of the Investor Notes to repay all of our previously existing subordinated debt in the amount of approximately \$4.5 million, which debt was accruing interest at rates between 11-12%. The balance of the proceeds was available to be used for general working capital. Fees of \$320,000 were paid for the issuance of this debt and are being amortized over the term of the Investor Notes.

The Investor Notes are due on November 26, 2014 and are not repayable prior to maturity except upon a change of control, or upon the consent of the holder. The outstanding principal amount of the Investor Notes or any portion thereof, but not the interest, is convertible at the holder's option, at any time at a conversion price of \$4.00 per share, such price being greater than the Company's share price at the date of issuance of the Investor Notes. Following three years from the issuance date of the Investor Notes, if the closing price of the common stock of the Company is greater than \$8.00 for five consecutive days, the Company can cause conversion of the Investor Notes. The outstanding balance of the Investor Notes at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 was \$8.8 million and \$9.6 million, respectively. In the first quarter and second quarter of 2012, \$200,000 and \$240,000 principal of the Investor Notes, respectively, were converted to 50,000 and 60,000 shares of our common stock, respectively. In the third quarter of 2012, \$400,000 principal of the Investor Notes was converted to 100,000 shares of our common stock.

Table of Contents**CECO ENVIRONMENTAL CORP.****MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION****AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS****Overview of Cash Flows and Liquidity**

| (dollars in thousands) | For the nine months ended September 30, | |
|---|---|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$ 13,806 | \$ 4,879 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (197) | (18) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (1,878) | (735) |
| Net increase in cash | \$ 11,731 | \$ 4,126 |

For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, \$13.8 million of cash was provided by operating activities compared to \$4.9 million for the same period in 2011. The \$8.9 million increase in cash flows from operating activities was due to an increase in net income, excluding non-cash items, of \$1.9 million and a net \$6.9 million decrease in non-cash working capital requirements. The net decrease in working capital requirements was largely due to a \$3.7 million increase in cash provided by the change in uncompleted contract balances due to an increase in billings as compared to costs incurred to date, \$3.6 million increase in cash provided by the change in accounts payable and accrued expenses balances, and a \$2.3 million increase in cash provided by the change in accrued income taxes balances due to the inclusion of the research and development income tax credits discussed earlier in footnote 10. These working capital changes were offset by a \$4.3 million use of cash by the change in accounts receivable balances.

Our net investment in working capital (excluding cash and cash equivalents) at September 30, 2012 was \$12.9 million as compared to \$17.1 million at December 31, 2011. We believe that our working capital needs will remain constant unless we experience a significant change in sales and operating income.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, net cash used in investing activities of \$234,000 was for capital expenditures for plant and equipment offset by \$37,000 from proceeds from sales of plant and equipment. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, capital expenditures of \$654,000 for property and equipment was offset principally by cash proceeds of \$636,000 from the sale of our Indianapolis facility.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, financing activities used net cash of \$1.9 million, which consisted of \$2.1 million used for the payment of common stock dividends and repurchase of shares offset by \$266,000 of cash provided by the exercise of stock options and issuance of shares under our Dividend Reinvestment Plan. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, financing activities used cash of \$735,000 which consisted primarily of cash used to repurchase common stock of \$483,000 and dividends paid to our common shareholders totaling \$363,000, offset by \$111,000 provided by stock option exercises.

When we undertake large jobs, our working capital objective is to make these projects self-funding. We try to achieve this by obtaining initial down payments, progress billing contracts, when possible, utilizing extended payment terms from material suppliers, and paying sub-contractors after payment from our customers, which is an industry practice. Our investment in net working capital is funded by cash flow from operations and by our revolving line of credit. Inventory remains relatively constant from quarter to quarter. Accordingly, changes in inventory do not constitute a significant part of our investment in working capital.

Given our cash flow projections and unused credit facility that is available until April 1, 2013, our liquidity is strong and is expected to meet our ongoing cash and subordinated debt service requirements.

Forward-Looking Statements

This Form 10-Q includes forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. All statements other than statements of historical fact, including statements regarding industry prospects or future results of operations or financial position made in this Form 10-Q are forward-looking. We use words such as believe, expect, anticipate, intends, estimate, forecast, project, should and similar expressions to identify forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are based on management's current expectations and assumptions that are subject to risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond our control, which may cause actual results, performance or trends to differ materially from those expressed in the forward-looking statements. Potential risks, among others, that could cause actual results to differ materially are discussed under Item 1A Risk Factors of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 and include, but are not limited to:

Table of Contents

CECO ENVIRONMENTAL CORP.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION

AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

our dependence on fixed price contracts and the risks associated therewith, including actual costs exceeding our estimates and our method of accounting for contract revenue; our history of losses and possibility of further losses; fluctuations in operating results from period to period due to seasonality of our business; the effect of growth on our infrastructure, resources, and existing sales; our ability to expand our operations in both new and existing markets; the potential for contract delay or cancellation; the potential for fluctuations in prices for manufactured components and raw materials; our ability to raise capital and the availability of capital resources; our ability to fully utilize and retain executives; the impact of federal, state or local government regulations; labor shortages or increases in labor costs; economic and political conditions generally; and the effect of competition in the air pollution control and industrial ventilation industry.

We caution investors that other factors might, in the future, prove to be important in affecting our results of operations. New factors emerge from time to time and it is not possible for management to predict all such factors, nor can it assess the impact of each such factor on the business or the extent to which any factor, or a combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements. Investors are further cautioned not to place undue reliance on such forward-looking statements as they speak only to our views as of the date the statement is made. Except as required by law, we undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether because of new information, future events or otherwise.

Table of Contents

CECO ENVIRONMENTAL CORP.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES
Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Interim Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-15 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report. Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Interim Chief Financial Officer have concluded that these controls and procedures are effective as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report on Form 10-Q.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended September 30, 2012 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

CECO ENVIRONMENTAL CORP.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings

Information with respect to legal proceedings can be found in Note 13 Commitments and Contingencies Legal Matters to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements contained in Part I, Item 1 of this report, and is incorporated by reference herein.

ITEM 6. Exhibits

| | |
|---------|---|
| 31.1 | Rule 13(a)/15d- 14(a) Certification by Chief Executive Officer |
| 31.2 | Rule 13(a)/15d- 14(a) Certification by Interim Chief Financial Officer |
| 32.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer (18 U.S. Section 1350) |
| 32.2 | Certification of Interim Chief Financial Officer (18 U.S. Section 1350) |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document |
| 101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document |

Table of Contents

CECO ENVIRONMENTAL CORP.

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

CECO Environmental Corp.

By: /s/ Benton L. Cook
Benton L. Cook

Interim Chief Financial Officer

Date: November 8, 2012