

FMC TECHNOLOGIES INC
Form DEF 14A
March 31, 2010
Table of Contents

NOTICE & PROXY STATEMENT

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

**Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the
Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)**

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material Pursuant to Section 240.14a-11(c) or Section
240.14a-12

**CONFIDENTIAL, FOR USE OF THE COMMISSION
ONLY (AS PERMITTED BY RULE 14A-6(E)(2))**

FMC TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(4) and 0-11.

Edgar Filing: FMC TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form DEF 14A

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (Set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

.. Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

.. Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form of Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

Table of Contents

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

Friday, May 7, 2010

11:00 a.m.

The Woodlands Waterway Marriott Hotel & Convention Center

1601 Lake Robbins Drive

The Woodlands, Texas 77380

March 31, 2010

Dear Stockholder:

It is my pleasure to invite you to attend the 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of FMC Technologies, Inc., which will be held at the time and place noted above. At the meeting, we will ask our stockholders to:

- Re-elect three directors, Dr. Thorleif Enger, Claire S. Farley and Joseph H. Netherland, each for a term of three years (page 4);
- Ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP as independent registered public accounting firm for the Company for 2010 (page 53);
- Consider and vote on one stockholder proposal (page 54); and
- Vote on any other business properly brought before the meeting.

Please refer to the accompanying Proxy Statement for additional information about the matters to be considered at the meeting. You may vote at the meeting if you were a stockholder of record on March 12, 2010.

MANAGEMENT RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR THE RE-ELECTION OF EACH OF THE NOMINEES FOR DIRECTOR, FOR THE RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF KPMG LLP AS INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR THE COMPANY FOR 2010 AND AGAINST THE SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL.

Because of a change in the New York Stock Exchange rules, we note that, unlike at previous annual meetings, your broker will NOT be able to vote your shares with respect to the election of directors if you have not provided specific directions. As such, we strongly encourage you to submit your proxy card and exercise your right to vote as a stockholder. Your vote is important. To be sure that your vote counts, and to assure a quorum, please submit your vote promptly whether or not you plan to attend the meeting. You can revoke a proxy prior to its exercise at the meeting by following the instructions in the accompanying Proxy Statement.

Our stockholders have a choice of voting on the Internet, by telephone or by mailing a traditional proxy card. If you are a stockholder of record and you plan to attend the meeting, please mark the appropriate box on your proxy card or use the alternative Internet or telephone voting options in accordance with the voting instructions you have received. If you vote by telephone or on the Internet, you do not need to return your proxy card. If your shares are held by a bank, broker or other intermediary and you plan to attend, please send written notification to our Investor Relations Department, 1803 Gears Road, Houston, Texas 77067, and enclose evidence of your ownership (such as a letter from the bank, broker or intermediary confirming your ownership or a bank or brokerage firm account statement). The names of all those indicating they plan to attend the Annual Meeting of Stockholders will be placed on an admission list held at the registration desk at the entrance to the meeting. Stockholders may help us reduce printing and mailing costs by opting to receive future proxy materials by e-mail. Information about how to do this is included in your Proxy Card accompanying this Proxy Statement.

Edgar Filing: FMC TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form DEF 14A

By order of the Board of Directors,

Jeffrey W. Carr

Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary

IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING THE AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS FOR THE SHAREHOLDER MEETING TO BE HELD ON MAY 7, 2010.

The Company's Proxy Statement for the 2010 Annual Meeting of Shareholders and the Annual Report to Shareholders for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009 are available at www.proxyvote.com.

Table of Contents**Table of Contents**

	Page No.
<u>About the Annual Meeting</u>	1
<u>Election of Directors (Proposal 1)</u>	4
<u>Election of Directors</u>	4
<u>Nominees for Director</u>	4
<u>Our Board of Directors</u>	5
<u>Information about our Nominees</u>	5
<u>Information about our Directors Continuing in Office</u>	7
<u>Information about the Board of Directors</u>	11
<u>Corporate Governance</u>	11
<u>Meetings</u>	12
<u>Committees of the Board of Directors</u>	12
<u>Audit Committee</u>	13
<u>Compensation Committee</u>	13
<u>Nominating and Governance Committee</u>	15
<u>Director Independence</u>	16
<u>Leadership Structure of the Board and Executive Sessions of Independent Directors</u>	17
<u>Director Compensation</u>	17
<u>Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation in Compensation Decisions</u>	19
<u>Transactions with Related Persons</u>	20
<u>Security Ownership of FMC Technologies Management and Holders of More than Five Percent of Outstanding Shares of Common Stock</u>	21
<u>Executive Compensation</u>	23
<u>Compensation Discussion and Analysis</u>	23
<u>Compensation Committee Report</u>	37
<u>Relationship with Independent Compensation Consultant</u>	37
<u>Summary Compensation Table</u>	38
<u>Grants of Plan Based Awards Table</u>	39
<u>Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End Table</u>	40
<u>Option Exercises and Stock Vested Table</u>	42
<u>Pension Benefits Table</u>	42
<u>Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Table</u>	45
<u>Potential Payments Upon Termination</u>	46
<u>Potential Payments Upon Change in Control</u>	48
<u>Proposal to Ratify the Appointment of KPMG LLP (Proposal 2)</u>	53
<u>Stockholder Proposal: Majority Voting (Proposal 3)</u>	54
<u>Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance</u>	55
<u>Audit Committee Report</u>	56
<u>Code of Ethics</u>	56
<u>Proposals for the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders</u>	56
<u>Stockholders Sharing an Address</u>	57
<u>Expenses Relating to this Proxy Solicitation</u>	57

Table of Contents

About the Annual Meeting

Who is soliciting my vote?

The Board of Directors of FMC Technologies, Inc. (FMC Technologies or the Company, we, us or our) is soliciting proxies for use at our 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and any adjournments of that meeting. We first mailed this Proxy Statement, the accompanying form of proxy and our 2009 Annual Report on March 31, 2010. It was also made available at the Annual Reports section of our website under *Investors* at our website, www.fmctechnologies.com, on that date.

What am I voting on?

You are voting on:

The re-election of three directors: Dr. Thorleif Enger, Claire S. Farley and Joseph H. Netherland (*see page 4*);

The ratification of the appointment of KPMG LLP as FMC Technologies independent registered public accounting firm for 2010 (*see page 53*);

The consideration of one shareholder proposal (*see page 54*); and

Any other business properly brought before the meeting and any adjournment thereof.

How does the Board recommend that I vote my shares?

The Board recommends that you vote **FOR** the Board's proposal to elect the three nominated directors, **FOR** the Board's proposal to ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP as FMC Technologies independent registered public accounting firm for 2010 and **AGAINST** the shareholder proposal. Unless you give other instructions on your signed, dated and returned proxy card, the persons named as proxy holders on the proxy card will vote in favor of the election of the nominees for director, in favor of the ratification of KPMG LLP as FMC Technologies independent registered public accounting firm for 2010 and against the shareholder proposal. If any other matters are properly presented, the persons named as proxies will vote or refrain from voting on any matter in accordance with their best judgment.

Who can vote?

You can vote at the Annual Meeting if you were a holder of FMC Technologies Common Stock as of the close of business on March 12, 2010. Each share of Common Stock is entitled to one vote. As of March 12, 2010, we had 121,860,401 shares of Common Stock outstanding and entitled to vote. The shares you may vote include those held directly in your name as a stockholder of record, shares you hold through our benefit plans and shares held for you as a beneficial owner through a broker, bank or other nominee.

Many of our stockholders hold their shares through a stockbroker, bank or other nominee rather than directly in their name. If your shares are registered directly in your name with our transfer agent, Wells Fargo, you are considered the stockholder of record with respect to those shares, and we are sending these proxy materials directly to you. As the stockholder of record, you have the right to grant your voting proxy to the persons appointed by us or to vote in person at the Annual Meeting. If your shares are held in a stock brokerage account or by a bank or other nominee, you are considered the beneficial owner of shares held in street name, and these proxy materials are being forwarded to you by your broker or nominee who is considered the stockholder of record with respect to those shares. As the beneficial owner, you have the right to direct your broker or nominee on how to vote your shares, and you are also invited to attend the Annual Meeting. However, since you are not a stockholder of record,

Table of Contents

you may not vote these shares in person at the Annual Meeting unless you bring with you a proxy, executed in your favor, from the stockholder of record. Your broker or nominee is obligated to provide you with a voting instruction card for you to use.

How do I vote?

You may vote your shares in one of the following ways:

You can attend the Annual Meeting and cast your vote in person if you are a stockholder of record on the record date or you have a proxy from the record holder designating you as the proxy.

You can vote by signing, dating and returning the enclosed proxy card by mail. If you do, the individuals named on the card will vote your shares in the way you indicate.

You can vote by telephone or through the Internet in accordance with the instructions printed on the proxy card or other instructions that you receive from us or your bank, broker or other nominee.

You can provide voting instructions to the bank, broker or other nominee that is the holder of record of shares of Common Stock that you beneficially own, if you hold your shares in street name (such as through a bank or broker), by the method communicated to you by such bank, broker or other nominee.

Telephone and Internet voting for stockholders of record will be available 24 hours a day, seven days a week, and will close at 11:59 p.m. Eastern Daylight Time on May 6, 2010. If you vote by telephone or through the Internet, you do not have to return your proxy card.

Who counts the votes?

Our Board of Directors has designated individuals to serve as inspectors of election for the Annual Meeting. The inspectors will determine the number of shares outstanding and the number of shares represented at the Annual Meeting. They will also determine the validity of proxies and ballots, count all of the votes and determine the results of the actions taken at the Annual Meeting.

How many votes must be present to hold the meeting?

Your shares are counted as present at the Annual Meeting if you attend the meeting and vote in person or if you properly return a proxy by Internet, telephone or mail. In order for us to hold our meeting, holders of a majority of our outstanding shares of Common Stock as of March 12, 2010, must be present in person or by proxy at the meeting. This is referred to as a quorum. Abstentions and broker non-votes will be counted for purposes of establishing a quorum at the meeting.

What is a broker non-vote?

If a broker does not have discretion to vote shares held in street name on a particular proposal and does not receive instructions from the beneficial owner on how to vote the shares, the broker may return the proxy card without voting on that proposal. This is known as a *broker non-vote*.

If you do not furnish voting instructions, one of two things can happen, depending upon whether a proposal is routine. Under the rules that govern brokers that have record ownership of shares beneficially owned by their clients, brokers have discretion to cast votes on routine matters, such as the ratification of the appointment of independent auditors, without voting instructions from their clients. Brokers are not permitted, however, to cast votes on non-routine matters without such voting instructions.

Table of Contents

The election of directors and the shareholder proposal are not considered routine matters, so if you are a beneficial owner, your bank, broker or other holder of record is not permitted to vote your shares on the election of directors or the shareholder proposal if the broker does not receive voting instructions from you. The ratification of auditors is considered a routine matter, so if you are a beneficial owner, your bank, broker or other holder of record is permitted to vote your shares on the ratification of auditors even if the broker does not receive voting instructions from you.

How many votes are needed to approve the proposals?

You may vote **FOR** a proposed director nominee or vote to **WITHHOLD** your vote. A plurality of the votes of those shares present in person or represented by proxy at the meeting is required for the election of directors. This means the three persons receiving the highest number of votes will be elected. If you choose to **WITHHOLD** your vote for any particular director nominee, your shares will not be counted in the vote for that nominee and you will have no effect on the outcome of the vote.

Our proposal to ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP as independent auditors, as well as the shareholder proposal, require the affirmative **FOR** vote of a majority of those shares present in person or represented by proxy at the meeting and entitled to vote on the proposal.

Could other matters be decided at the Annual Meeting?

At the date this Proxy Statement went to press, we did not know of any matters to be raised at the Annual Meeting other than those referred to in this Proxy Statement. No matters were brought to the attention of our Corporate Secretary in accordance with the required procedures listed in our Company Bylaws and explained in our 2009 Proxy Statement under the section **Proposals for the 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders**.

Can I access the notice of Annual Meeting, Proxy Statement and 2009 Annual Report on the Internet?

The Notice of Annual Meeting, Proxy Statement and 2009 Annual Report may be viewed and downloaded from the Annual Reports section of our website under *Investors*. Instead of receiving future copies of our Proxy Statement and Annual Report by mail, most stockholders can elect to receive an e-mail that will provide electronic links to the materials. Opting to receive your proxy materials online will save the cost of producing and mailing documents to your home or business, and also will give you an electronic link to the proxy voting site. Your proxy card provides instructions on making an election to receive future proxy statements and annual reports electronically.

Can I revoke a proxy after I submit it?

You may revoke your proxy at any time before it is exercised. You can revoke a proxy by:

sending a written notice revoking your proxy to our Corporate Secretary at our principal executive offices at 1803 Gears Road, Houston, Texas 77067 prior to the cut-off for voting;

delivering a properly executed, later-dated proxy prior to the cut-off for voting;

voting again by telephone or through the Internet in accordance with the instructions provided to you for voting your shares; or

attending the Annual Meeting and voting in person.

Table of Contents

Who can attend the meeting?

The Annual Meeting is open to all holders of FMC Technologies Common Stock. Each holder is permitted to bring one guest who will need to provide valid picture identification. Security measures will be in effect in order to ensure the safety of attendees.

Do I need a ticket to attend the Annual Meeting?

Yes, you will need an admission ticket or proof of ownership of FMC Technologies Common Stock to enter the meeting. If your shares are registered in your name, you will find an admission ticket attached to the proxy card sent to you. If your shares are in the name of your broker or bank or you received your materials electronically, you will need to bring evidence of your stock ownership, such as your most recent brokerage statement. All stockholders will be required to present valid picture identification. **IF YOU DO NOT HAVE VALID PICTURE IDENTIFICATION AND EITHER AN ADMISSION TICKET OR PROOF THAT YOU OWN FMC TECHNOLOGIES STOCK, YOU MAY NOT BE ADMITTED INTO THE MEETING.**

Election of Directors (Proposal 1)

Election of Directors

We have three classes of directors, each class being as nearly equal in size as possible. The term for each class is three years. Class terms expire on a rolling basis, so that one class of directors is elected each year. The term for the nominees for director at the 2010 Annual Meeting will expire at the 2013 Annual Meeting.

Nominees for Director

The nominees for director this year are Dr. Thorleif Enger, Claire S. Farley and Joseph H. Netherland. Information about the nominees and continuing directors is contained in the section of this Proxy Statement entitled *Information about our Nominees* and information about the Board of Directors as a whole is contained in the sections of this Proxy Statement entitled *Information about the Board of Directors Continuing in Office* and *Information about the Board of Directors*.

The Board of Directors expects that all of the nominees will be able and willing to serve as directors. If any nominee is not available:

the proxies may be voted for another person nominated by the current Board of Directors to fill the vacancy;

the Board of Directors may decide to leave the vacancy temporarily unfilled; or

the size of the Board of Directors may be reduced.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE FOR THE RE-ELECTION OF DR. THORLEIF ENGER, CLAIRE S. FARLEY AND JOSEPH H. NETHERLAND.

Table of Contents

Our Board of Directors

Information about our Nominees

Class III Term Expiring in 2010

Dr. Thorleif Enger

Principal Occupation: Retired President and Chief Executive Officer of Yara International, a producer and marketer of mineral fertilizers, industrial gases and chemicals

Age: 66

Director Since: 2009 (Independent)

Professional Experience: Dr. Enger served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Yara International from 2004 until his retirement in 2008. He previously served as Executive Vice President of Hydro Agri from 1999 to 2004. Dr. Enger served as Executive Vice President of Oil and Gas for Norsk Hydro from 1996 to 1999, and President of Hydro's Exploration and Production Division from 1987 to 1996.

Board of Director Memberships: In addition to serving on the Board of FMC Technologies, Inc., Dr. Enger also serves on the Board of Directors of four additional public companies: Marine Harvest ASA, Acergy, Hitec-Vision ASA and E.ON Ruhrgas AG. He also serves as Chairman of the Board of Spring Energy, and serves on the Board of Directors of a private company, Agrinos.

Contribution to the FMC Technologies Board: Dr. Enger brings to our Board his (1) independence, (2) experience as a CEO and senior officer of major organizations with international operations in the oil and gas industry, (3) prior and current experience as a board member of public companies with international operations, (4) thorough understanding of different cultural, political and regulatory requirements through his international experience in countries where FMC Technologies has a significant presence, and (5) contribution to the Board in a way that enhances perspective through diversity in geographic origin and experience.

Claire S. Farley

Principal Occupation: Advisory Director of Jefferies Randall & Dewey.

Age: 51

Director Since: 2009 (Independent)

Professional Experience: Ms. Farley is Advisory Director at Jefferies Randall & Dewey, a global oil and gas industry advisor. She served as Co-President of Jefferies Randall & Dewey from February 2005 to July 2008 when Randall and Dewey became the Oil and Gas Investment Banking Group of Jefferies & Company. Prior to that, Ms. Farley served as Chief Executive Officer. She has extensive oil and gas exploration expertise, holding several positions within Texaco from 1981 to 1999, including President of Worldwide Exploration and New Ventures, President of North American Production, and Chief Executive Officer of HydroTexaco. Ms. Farley also served as Chief Executive Officer of Intelligent Diagnostics and of Trade Ranger.

Board of Director Memberships: In addition to serving on the Board of FMC Technologies, Inc., Ms. Farley also serves on the Board of Directors of the public company EnCana Corporation and is a former board member of the following public companies: Boise Cascade, Inc. (now OfficeMax) and Newfield Exploration Company.

Table of Contents

Contribution to the FMC Technologies Board: Ms. Farley brings to our Board her (1) independence, (2) experience as a CEO of several major organizations, (3) extensive oil and gas exploration experience, (4) prior and current experience as a board member of public companies with international operations, (5) senior executive experience in financial accounting, financial reporting and corporate finance, and a familiarity with internal financial controls, and (6) contribution to the Board in a way that enhances perspective through diversity of experience.

Joseph H. Netherland

Principal Occupation: Retired Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of FMC Technologies, Inc.

Age: 63

Director Since: 2001

Professional Experience: Mr. Netherland served as Chairman of the Board of FMC Technologies from December 2001 until his retirement in October 2008. Mr. Netherland also served as Chief Executive Officer of FMC Technologies from 2001 to March 2007, when he stepped down as an executive officer of the Company. Mr. Netherland was President of FMC Technologies from 2001 to February 2006. Previously, Mr. Netherland served as a director of FMC Corporation from 1998 to 2001 and as Executive Vice President of FMC Corporation from 1998 until his appointment as its President in 2000. Mr. Netherland was the General Manager of FMC Corporation's Energy and Transportation Group from 1992 to 2001. Mr. Netherland became General Manager of FMC Corporation's former Petroleum Equipment Group and General Manager of its former Specialized Machinery Group in 1985 and 1989, respectively. Mr. Netherland continues to serve as a non-independent member of FMC Technologies Board of Directors.

Board of Director Memberships: In addition to serving on the Board of FMC Technologies, Inc., Mr. Netherland also serves on the Boards of Directors of the following public companies: Newfield Exploration Company and Tidewater, Inc. and on the boards of the following non-public companies: the Petroleum Equipment Suppliers Association, and is an honorary director of the American Petroleum Institute.

Contribution to the FMC Technologies Board: Mr. Netherland brings to our Board his (1) experience as the CEO of FMC Technologies and resulting extensive knowledge of FMC Technologies strategy, markets, competitors, financials, operational issues and regulatory concerns, (2) thorough understanding of industry regulations and public policy related to workplace health, safety, environment and social responsibility and a demonstrated commitment to FMC Technologies health, safety, environment and social responsibility, (3) demonstrated ability to continually challenge the organization to sharpen its vision while achieving significant organic growth, (4) thorough understanding of different cultural, political and regulatory requirements through his international experience in countries where FMC Technologies has a significant presence, (5) extensive oil and gas experience, and (6) prior and current experience as a board member of major U.S. organizations with international operations.

Table of Contents

Information about our Directors Continuing in Office

Class I Term Expiring in 2011

C. Maury Devine

Principal Occupation: Retired President and Managing Director, ExxonMobil Norway Inc., an oil and gas exploration company

Age: 59

Director Since: 2005 (Independent)

Professional Experience: Ms. Devine served as President and Managing Director of ExxonMobil Corporation's Norwegian affiliate, ExxonMobil Norway, Inc., from 1996 to 2000. Prior to the merger of ExxonMobil, she served as Corporate Secretary of Mobil Corporation from 1994 to 1996. From 1990 to 1994, Ms. Devine managed Mobil's international government relations. From 2000 to 2003, Ms. Devine was a Fellow at Harvard University's Belfer Center for Science and International Affairs. Prior to joining Mobil, Ms. Devine served 15 years in the United States government in positions at the White House, the American Embassy in Paris, France, and the U.S. Department of Justice.

Board of Director Memberships: In addition to serving on the Board of FMC Technologies, Inc., Ms. Devine serves on the Board of Directors of John Bean Technologies Corporation and on the Boards of the following non-public companies: Det Norske Veritas (DNV), and the Woodstock Center at Georgetown University. Ms. Devine is a former member of the Board of Independence Air. She is also a member of the Council on Foreign Relations.

Contribution to the FMC Technologies Board: Ms. Devine brings to our Board her (1) independence, (2) financial expertise, (3) extensive corporate governance experience as a Corporate Secretary of a major U.S. public company, the Vice Chairman of a major public Norwegian company and prior and current experience as a member of the Boards of both public and private companies, (4) international and U.S. governmental experience in various positions at the White House, the American Embassy in Paris, France, and the U.S. Department of Justice and as the manager of a major public U.S. corporation's international government relations, (5) academic experience as a Fellow at Harvard University's Belfer Center, and (6) contribution to the Board in a way that enhances perspective through diversity of experience.

Thomas M. Hamilton

Principal Occupation: Co-Owner of Medora Investments and Retired Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of EEX Corporation, an oil and gas exploration company

Age: 66

Director Since: 2001 (Independent)

Professional Experience: Mr. Hamilton has been Co-Owner of Medora Investments, a private investment firm, since April 2003. Mr. Hamilton served as the Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of EEX Corporation from January 1997 until his retirement in November 2002. From 1992 to 1997, Mr. Hamilton served as Executive Vice President of Pennzoil Company and as President of Pennzoil Exploration and Production Company. Mr. Hamilton was a director of BP Exploration, where he served as Chief Executive Officer of the Frontier and International Operating Company of BP Exploration from 1989 to 1991 and as the General Manager for East Asia/Australia/Latin America from 1988 to 1989. From 1985 to 1988, he held the position of Senior Vice President of Exploration at Standard Oil Company, prior to its merger with BP.

Table of Contents

Board of Director Memberships: In addition to serving on the Board of FMC Technologies, Inc., Mr. Hamilton also serves on the Boards of Directors of the following public companies: Hercules Offshore, Inc., Methanex Corporation, and HCC Insurance Holdings, Inc. and is a trustee of Capital University.

Contribution to the FMC Technologies Board: Mr. Hamilton brings to our Board his (1) independence, (2) financial expertise, (3) experience as a CEO and senior officer of major organizations with international operations in the oil and gas industry, (4) prior and current experience as a board member of public companies with international operations, and (5) thorough understanding of different cultural, political and regulatory requirements through his international experience.

Richard A. Pattarozzi

Principal Occupation: Retired Vice President of Shell Oil Company

Age: 66

Director Since: 2002 (Independent)

Professional Experience: Mr. Pattarozzi served as Vice President of Shell Oil Company from March 1999 until his retirement in January 2000. He previously served as President and Chief Executive Officer for both Shell Deepwater Development, Inc. and Shell Deepwater Production, Inc. from 1995 until 1999. In April 1991, he was appointed General Manager of Shell's Deepwater Production Division and in October 1991, General Manager of Shell's Deepwater Exploration and Production Division.

Board of Director Memberships: In addition to serving on the Board of FMC Technologies, Inc., Mr. Pattarozzi serves as non-executive Chairman of the Board of Stone Energy, a public corporation. He also serves on the Boards of the following public companies: Global Industries, Ltd. and Tidewater, Inc., and is a former member of the following public Boards: Superior Energy Services and Transocean Inc.

Contribution to the FMC Technologies Board: Mr. Pattarozzi brings to our Board his (1) independence, (2) experience as a CEO and senior officer of major organizations with international operations in the oil and gas industry, (3) prior and current experience as a board member of public companies with international operations, and (4) thorough understanding of different cultural, political and regulatory requirements through his extensive oil and gas experience.

Table of Contents

Class II Term Expiring in 2012

Peter D. Kinnear

Principal Occupation: Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, FMC Technologies, Inc.

Age: 63

Director Since: 2006

Professional Experience: Mr. Kinnear was appointed Chairman of the Board of FMC Technologies in October 2008. Mr. Kinnear served as President since February 2006 and Chief Executive Officer since March 2007. Mr. Kinnear served as President and Chief Operating Officer of FMC Technologies from March 2006 to March 2007. Mr. Kinnear served as Executive Vice President of the Energy Systems business segment from March 2004 to February 2006. Prior to Mr. Kinnear's appointment as Executive Vice President in 2004, Mr. Kinnear served as Vice President of Energy Systems of FMC Corporation from 2000 until he became a Vice President of FMC Technologies. Mr. Kinnear served in a variety of marketing and operating roles within FMC Corporation since 1971, prior to serving as Vice President of Energy Systems.

Board of Director Memberships: In addition to serving on the Board of FMC Technologies, Inc., Mr. Kinnear also serves on the Boards of Directors of the following public companies: Tronox Incorporated and Stone Energy Corporation and on the Boards of Directors of the following non-public entities: the Petroleum Equipment Suppliers Association, the National Association of Manufacturers and the American Petroleum Institute. Mr. Kinnear also serves on the Board of Trustees of Spindletop International.

Contribution to the FMC Technologies Board: Mr. Kinnear brings to our Board his (1) experience as the CEO and President of FMC Technologies and resulting extensive knowledge of FMC Technologies' strategy, markets, competitors, financials, operational issues and regulatory concerns, (2) thorough understanding of industry regulations and public policy related to workplace health, safety, environment and social responsibility and a demonstrated commitment to FMC Technologies' health, safety, environment and social responsibility, (3) demonstrated ability to continually challenge the organization to sharpen its vision while achieving significant organic growth, (4) thorough understanding of different cultural, political and regulatory requirements through his international experience in countries where FMC Technologies has a significant presence, (5) extensive oil and gas experience, and (6) current experience as a board member of major U.S. organizations with international operations.

Mike R. Bowlin

Principal Occupation: Retired Chairman of the Board of Atlantic Richfield Company

Age: 67

Director Since: 2001 (Independent)

Professional Experience: Mr. Bowlin served as Chairman of Atlantic Richfield Company (ARCO) from 1995 until his retirement in April 2000 and as its Chief Executive Officer from July 1994 until his retirement. From 1992 until his election to Chief Executive Officer of ARCO in 1994, Mr. Bowlin served as Executive Vice President and then as President and Chief Operating Officer of ARCO. Mr. Bowlin served as Senior Vice President and President of ARCO International Oil and Gas Company from 1986-1992. Mr. Bowlin joined ARCO in 1969 and became President of ARCO Coal Company in 1985.

Board of Director Memberships: In addition to serving on the Board of FMC Technologies, Inc., Mr. Bowlin serves on the Board of Directors of Edwards Lifesciences Corporation, and is a director of

Table of Contents

the University of North Texas Foundation, Inc., a non-public company. Mr. Bowlin is a former Chairman of the Board of the American Petroleum Institute.

Contribution to the FMC Technologies Board: Mr. Bowlin brings to our Board his (1) independence, (2) experience as a CEO and senior officer of a major U.S. organization with international operations in the oil and gas industry, (3) experience as a board member of another public company with international operations, and (4) thorough understanding of different cultural, political and regulatory requirements through his international experience.

Philip J. Burguieres

Principal Occupation: Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of EMC Holdings, LLC, an investment management company specializing in the energy industry

Age: 66

Director Since: 2007 (Independent)

Professional Experience: Mr. Burguieres has been Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of EMC Holdings, LLC since 2000. Mr. Burguieres is Vice Chairman of the Houston Texans, Chairman of The J. M. Burguieres Co., Ltd., and is Chairman Emeritus of Weatherford International. Mr. Burguieres served as Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Weatherford International from 1991 to 1997. From 1981 to 1989, Mr. Burguieres served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Cameron Iron Works, Inc.

Board of Director Memberships: In addition to serving on the Board of FMC Technologies, Inc., Mr. Burguieres currently serves as a director of Newfield Exploration Company, a public corporation. He also serves on the following non-public Boards of Directors: EMC Holdings, LLC, the Houston Texans and The J.M. Burguieres Co., Ltd.

Contribution to the FMC Technologies Board: Mr. Burguieres brings to our Board his (1) independence, (2) experience as a CEO and senior officer of two major U.S. organizations with international operations in the oil and gas industry, and (3) experience as a board member of another public company with international operations in the oil and gas industry.

Edward J. Mooney

Principal Occupation: Retired Délégué Général North America,

Suez Lyonnaise des Eaux, a global provider of energy, water,

waste and communications services

Age: 68

Director Since: 2001 (Independent)

Professional Experience: Mr. Mooney served as Délégué Général North America, Suez Lyonnaise des Eaux from March 2000 until his retirement in March 2001. From 1994 to 2000, Mr. Mooney was Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Nalco Chemical Company.

Board of Director Memberships: In addition to serving on the Board of FMC Technologies, Inc., Mr. Mooney serves on the Boards of Directors of the following public companies: FMC Corporation, The Northern Trust Corporation, Cabot Microelectronics Corporation, PolyOne Corporation. He also serves on the Board of Directors of Commonwealth Edison Company, a non-public, wholly-owned subsidiary of Exelon Corporation.

Contribution to the FMC Technologies Board: Mr. Mooney brings to our Board his (1) independence, (2) financial expertise, (3) experience as a CEO of a major U.S. organization with international operations in the oil and gas industry, (4) experience as a board member of several

other

Table of Contents

public companies with international operations in a variety of industries, (5) thorough understanding of different cultural, political and regulatory requirements through his international experience, and (6) contribution to the Board in a way that enhances perspective through diversity of experience.

James M. Ringler

Principal Occupation: Retired Vice Chairman of Illinois Tool Works Inc., an international manufacturer of highly engineered components and industrial systems

Age: 64

Director Since: 2001 (Independent)

Professional Experience: Mr. Ringler currently serves as Chairman of the Board of Teradata Corporation. Mr. Ringler served as Vice Chairman of Illinois Tool Works Inc. until his retirement in 2004. Prior to joining Illinois Tool Works, he was Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Premark International, Inc. from October 1996 until Premark merged with Illinois Tool Works in November 1999. Mr. Ringler joined Premark in 1990 and served as Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer until 1996. From 1986 to 1990, he was President of White Consolidated Industries Major Appliance Group, and from 1982 to 1986, he was President and Chief Operating Officer of The Tappan Company. Prior to joining The Tappan Company in 1976, Mr. Ringler was a consulting manager with Arthur Andersen & Co.

Board of Director Memberships: In addition to serving on the Board of FMC Technologies, Inc., and as Chairman of the Board of Teradata Corporation, Mr. Ringler also is a member of the Boards of Directors of the following public companies: The Dow Chemical Company, Corn Products International, Inc., Autoliv Inc., and John Bean Technologies Corporation.

Contribution to the FMC Technologies Board: Mr. Ringler brings to our Board his (1) independence, (2) financial expertise, (3) experience as a CEO and senior executive of several major U.S. organizations with international operations in a variety of industries, (4) experience as a board member of several other public companies with international operations in a variety of industries, (5) thorough understanding of different cultural, political and regulatory requirements through his international experience, and (6) contribution to the Board in a way that enhances perspective through diversity of experience.

Information about the Board of Directors

Corporate Governance

Our Board of Directors believes that the purpose of corporate governance is to ensure that we maximize stockholder value in a manner that is consistent with both the legal requirements applicable to us and a business model that requires our employees to conduct business with the highest standards of integrity. The Board has adopted and adheres to corporate governance principles that the Board and senior management believe promote this purpose, are sound and represent best practices. The Board reviews these governance practices, the corporate laws of the State of Delaware under which we were incorporated, the rules and listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange and the regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission, as well as best practices recognized by governance authorities to benchmark the standards under which it operates.

The Board provides accountability, objectivity, perspective, judgment and in some cases, specific industry or technical knowledge or experience. In carrying out its responsibilities to the shareholders, the fundamental role of the Board of Directors is to ensure (1) continuity of leadership; (2) that a sound strategy for the success of the enterprise is in place, understood and being pursued; and (3) that financial and management resources are available and control systems are in place to carry out that

Table of Contents

strategy. The Board also provides risk oversight and has delegated some of its oversight duties to the Audit Committee as discussed in the Audit Committee section below. The corporate governance principles adopted by the Board of Directors may be viewed at the Corporate Governance section of our website under *About Us* at www.fmctechnologies.com and are also available in print to any stockholder upon request. A request should be directed to our principal executive offices at 1803 Gears Road, Houston, Texas 77067, Attention: Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary.

Meetings

During 2009, the Board of Directors held five regular meetings and one special meeting. All incumbent directors attended at least 75 percent of all meetings of the Board and all meetings of Board committees on which they served. The Board of Directors has scheduled a meeting in the morning prior to the 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, and the Board encourages its members to attend the Annual Meeting of Stockholders. All members of the Board of Directors except Mr. Ringler attended the Annual Meeting of Stockholders in May 2009.

Committees of the Board of Directors

During 2009, the Board of Directors had three standing committees: an Audit Committee, a Compensation Committee, and a Nominating and Governance Committee.

Each of these committees operates pursuant to a written charter setting out the functions and responsibilities of the committee, each of which may be reviewed at the Corporate Governance section of our website under *About Us* at www.fmctechnologies.com and is also available in print to stockholders upon request submitted to our principal executive offices at 1803 Gears Road, Houston, Texas 77067, Attention: Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary.

The table below provides 2009 meeting and membership information for each of the committees of the Board of Directors:

2009 Meetings and			
Membership	Audit	Compensation	Nominating and Governance
Number of Meetings	8 ⁽¹⁾	3 ⁽²⁾	2 ⁽³⁾
Mike R. Bowlin		X ⁽⁴⁾	X
Philip J. Burguières		X	X
C. Maury Devine	X		X
Dr. Thorleif Enger		X	X
Claire Farley	X		
Thomas M. Hamilton	X	X	
Edward J. Mooney	X ⁽⁴⁾		
Richard A. Pattarozzi		X	X ⁽⁴⁾
James M. Ringler	X	X	

(1) Includes one training session for Audit Committee members that concentrated on the core components of an effective enterprise risk management oversight program.

(2) One meeting included a training session for Compensation Committee members that provided information regarding key emerging issues in executive compensation.

(3) One meeting included a training session for Nominating and Governance members that provided information regarding new regulations and other key corporate governance trends.

(4) Indicates committee chair.

Table of Contents

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee charter gives the Audit Committee the authority and responsibility for the engagement, compensation and oversight of our independent public accountants and the review and approval in advance of the scope of audit and non-audit assignments and the related fees of the independent public accountants. The Audit Committee charter also gives this committee authority to fulfill its obligations under Securities and Exchange Commission and New York Stock Exchange requirements, which include:

responsibilities associated with our external and internal audit staffing and planning;

accounting and financial reporting issues associated with our financial statements and filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission;

financial and accounting organization and internal controls;

auditor independence and approval of non-audit services; and

whistle-blower procedures for reporting questionable accounting and audit practices.

Audit Committee members meet privately in separate sessions with representatives of our senior management, our independent public accountants and our Director of Internal Audit after selected Audit Committee meetings (four such sessions were held in 2009). In general, our Board oversees the Company's risk management. The Audit Committee also plays a key role in discussing with management our major risk exposures, the potential financial impact of these risks, and the steps we take to ensure that appropriate processes are in place to identify, manage and control financial and business risks. In cases where a practice or procedure is identified or an operational incident occurs that could heighten the possibility of a negative impact on our operations or financial results, our management reports to the Board the steps to be taken to ensure that the risk is appropriately managed.

The Board of Directors has determined that all of the members of the Audit Committee (C. Maury Devine, Claire S. Farley, Thomas M. Hamilton, Edward J. Mooney and James M. Ringler) meet the New York Stock Exchange standard of having accounting or related financial management expertise and meet the Securities and Exchange Commission criteria for an audit committee financial expert.

Compensation Committee

The principal duties of the Compensation Committee under its charter are:

approving the compensation for the Chief Executive Officer;

reviewing and approving compensation policies and practices for other executive officers, including all elements of their annual compensation;

reviewing and approving major changes in employee benefit plans;

reviewing short-term and long-term incentive plans and equity grants;

reviewing and approving all employee agreements;

approving the compensation for the directors;

ensuring that a succession plan for the Chief Executive Officer is in place; and

reviewing the Compensation Discussion and Analysis to be included in our annual report or proxy statement and, if appropriate, recommending to the Board of Directors its inclusion in our annual report or proxy statement.

Table of Contents

The Compensation Committee annually reviews all elements of executive pay, peer group practices and performance both internally and relative to peers as part of its process to help ensure that our total compensation program is consistent with our compensation philosophies. In determining compensation levels for executive officers, the Compensation Committee reviews compensation survey data supplied by Hewitt Associates, an independent consultant retained by the Compensation Committee.

Hewitt Associates is a nationally recognized executive compensation consulting firm which has served as a compensation consultant for us for the past seven years. Beginning in 2006, Hewitt Associates was retained directly by the Compensation Committee. For 2009, the Compensation Committee's engagement agreement with Hewitt Associates provides for a scope of work that includes ensuring that the Compensation Committee's compensation recommendations are consistent with our business strategy, pay philosophy, prevailing market practices and relevant regulatory mandates and assisting the Committee's efforts to make compensation decisions that are representative of the interests of our stockholders.

A group of peer companies is recommended by our management and approved by the Compensation Committee to use as part of the external benchmarking process. The list is reviewed on an annual basis by the Compensation Committee to ensure continuing relevancy. In approving the companies to be included in the peer group, the Compensation Committee reviews the size and financial performance of the proposed companies to determine whether the group is appropriate. Hewitt Associates collects, analyzes and reports back to the Compensation Committee on the amounts and components of compensation paid by the peer group. Since the companies surveyed by Hewitt Associates are of varying revenue size and market capitalization, its survey utilizes regression analysis to develop size-adjusted values to provide relevant comparisons for each component of compensation.

Based on the survey market data provided by Hewitt Associates, the Compensation Committee reviews the appropriateness of management's recommendations for each executive's base pay, annual non-equity incentive bonus, and annual equity award. The Committee allocates total annual compensation to our executive officers among the various elements of short-term cash (base pay and non-equity incentive bonus) and long-term (equity awards) compensation, including the allocation between performance-based and time-based equity to approximate the market allocation identified in Hewitt's survey results. While this is a significant input into the process the Committee uses to determine executive compensation, it is not the only metric. The Committee considers other factors such as the individual's performance and tenure in positions.

In its annual review of the appropriateness of director compensation, the Compensation Committee reviews director compensation survey data provided by Hewitt Associates. The companies included within the survey are selected by FMC Technologies' management and approved by the Compensation Committee for peer group comparison.

The scope of authority delegated to the Compensation Committee by the Board of Directors is to decide whether or not to accept, reject or modify our management's proposals for annual compensation awards to our executive officers. The Compensation Committee also has the authority to recommend the amount of compensation to be paid to our non-management directors. Peter D. Kinnear, our Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer since October 2008, is the only executive officer whose compensation is disclosed in the Summary Compensation Table in this Proxy Statement (named executive officers) who also participated this year in the compensation decisions for other named executive officers. Mr. Kinnear did not have a role in setting his own base pay, annual non-equity incentive bonus amount or the size of his annual equity compensation award. Our Vice President of Administration, working with Hewitt Associates, provided recommendations for each executive's base pay, annual non-equity incentive bonus and annual equity award for the Compensation Committee's review. Our Chief Financial Officer and our Corporate Controller also provided the Compensation Committee with information related to the Company's financial

Table of Contents

performance against our objectives and our peer companies' financial performance. This information was then used by the Compensation Committee as factors in setting annual targets and ratings associated with incentive compensation awards and selecting appropriate structures for performance-based restricted stock.

Nominating and Governance Committee

The principal duties of the Nominating and Governance Committee under its charter are:

identifying and recommending to the Board of Directors qualified nominees for election to the Board of Directors;

making recommendations to the Board of Directors concerning the structure and membership of other Board committees and making annual recommendations for a member to serve as the lead director;

making recommendations to the Board of Directors from time to time regarding matters of corporate governance;

adopting and maintaining emergency procedures for management succession in the event of the unexpected disability of the Chief Executive Officer or other senior executive officers; and

annually assessing the performance of the Board of Directors and its committees and reporting its assessment to the Board of Directors.

Stockholders may submit recommendations for future candidates for election to the Board of Directors for consideration by the Nominating and Governance Committee by writing to Jeffrey W. Carr, our Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary, 1803 Gears Road, Houston, Texas 77067. A letter making a director candidate recommendation must include the candidate's name, biographical information and a summary of the candidate's qualifications. In addition, the letter should be accompanied by a signed statement from the nominee indicating that the nominee is willing to serve as a member of the Board. To make a recommendation for the 2011 Annual Meeting, please refer to the timing requirements specified in the section of this Proxy Statement entitled "Proposals for the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders."

All submissions from stockholders meeting these requirements will be reviewed by the Nominating and Governance Committee. In connection with its role in recommending candidates for the Board, the Nominating and Governance Committee advises the Board with respect to the combination of skills, experience, perspective and background that its members believe are required for the effective functioning of the Board considering our current business strategies and regulatory, geographic and market environment. The Committee has not established specific, minimum qualifications for director nominees. Our corporate governance principles provide that directors should be selected based on integrity, successful business experience, stature in their own fields of endeavor and the diversity of perspectives they bring to the Board. Our corporate governance principles also require that a majority of our non-management directors should be active or retired senior executives, preferably Chief Executive or Chief Operating Officers of publicly-held companies. In addition, the corporate governance principles provide that our non-management directors should also be chosen based on recognized experience in our lines of business and leadership in areas of government service, academia, finance and international trade. Nominees to be evaluated by the Nominating and Governance Committee for future vacancies on the Board will be selected by the Committee from candidates recommended by multiple sources, including business and personal contacts of the members of the Nominating and Governance Committee, recommendations by our senior management and candidates identified by independent search firms, stockholders and other sources, all of whom will be evaluated based on the same criteria. All of the current nominees for the Board are standing members of the Board that are proposed by the entire Board for re-election.

Table of Contents

Director Independence

The Nominating and Governance Committee conducted a review of the independence of the members of the Board of Directors and its committees and reported its findings to the full Board at its February 26, 2010 meeting. Ten of our 11 directors (including all three of the nominees presently standing for re-election) are non-management directors. The Nominating and Governance Committee reviewed all of the commercial transactions, relationships and arrangements between us and our subsidiaries, affiliates and executive officers with companies with whom the ten non-management directors are affiliated or employed. The transactions, relationships and arrangements reviewed by the Committee consisted of the following:

Philip J. Burguieres is a member of the Board of Directors of Newfield Exploration Company. Newfield is a customer of FMC Technologies.

C. Maury Devine is a member of the Board of Directors of Det Norske Veritas (DNV). FMC Technologies is a client of DNV. Also, Ms. Devine is a member of the Board of Directors of John Bean Technologies Corporation (JBT). FMC Technologies and JBT are parties to a separation and distribution agreement and a tax sharing agreement that relate to the spin-off of our FoodTech and Airport Systems businesses (now JBT) that occurred in July 2008.

Dr. Thorleif Enger is a member of the Board of Directors of E.ON Ruhrgas AG. E.ON Ruhrgas AG is a customer of FMC Technologies.

Claire S. Farley is a member of the Board of Directors of EnCana Corporation. EnCana Corporation is a customer of FMC Technologies.

Thomas M. Hamilton serves as a trustee of Capital University. We matched a charitable contribution made to Capital University by Mr. Hamilton under our matching charitable contribution program available to all of our employees and directors.

Edward J. Mooney is a member of the Board of Directors of FMC Corporation, our former parent company. Mr. Mooney also serves on that Board's audit committee and is the chairman of its compensation committee. FMC Technologies and FMC Corporation are parties to a separation and distribution agreement and a joint litigation defense agreement that relate to the separation of the companies' businesses that occurred in 2001. Mr. Mooney is also a member of the Board of Directors of The Northern Trust Corporation and serves as a member of that Board's compensation committee and is Chairman of its audit committee. Northern Trust Corporation serves as trustee for the Company's Pension Plan, provides administrative services related to the plan, is a member of the syndicate of lenders providing financing to us and is a shareholder of the Company.

Richard A. Pattarozzi is secretary and trustee of the National D-Day Museum Foundation. We matched a charitable contribution made to this foundation by Mr. Pattarozzi under our matching charitable contribution program available to all of our employees and directors.

James M. Ringler serves as a member the Board of Directors of JBT. FMC Technologies and JBT are parties to a separation and distribution agreement and a tax sharing agreement that relate to the spin-off of our FMC FoodTech and Airport Systems businesses (now JBT) that occurred in July 2008.

Although the Board has not adopted categorical standards of materiality, none of these relationships was deemed to be material or as impacting the independence of our non-management directors. Each of our directors completes an annual questionnaire requiring disclosure of any relationships (including industrial, banking, consulting, legal, accounting, charitable or familial relationships) which could impair the independence of such director.

Table of Contents

Based on the report and recommendation of the Nominating and Governance Committee, the Board has determined that each of directors Mike R. Bowlin, Philip J. Burguières, C. Maury Devine, Dr. Thorleif Enger, Claire S. Farley, Thomas M. Hamilton, Edward J. Mooney, Richard A. Pattarozzi and James M. Ringler, satisfies the independence criteria set forth in the corporate governance listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange. Although Joseph H. Netherland is currently a non-management director, as the former Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President of FMC Technologies, he may not be considered independent under the New York Stock Exchange corporate governance listing standards until three years after his retirement as an executive officer of the Company. In addition, all of the members of the Audit Committee satisfy the enhanced independence criteria required for members of audit committees under regulations adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission and the New York Stock Exchange corporate governance listing standards.

Leadership Structure of the Board and Executive Sessions of Independent Directors

The Company has determined that a leadership structure consisting of a combined principal executive officer/board chairman, together with a strong lead director who chairs the non-management sessions of the Board is the most appropriate structure for the Company. The Board of Directors has chosen to combine the principal executive officer and board chairman positions, and Mr. Kinnear currently holds both positions. The Chairman is responsible for setting the agenda for the Board meetings and for presiding at such meetings. Additionally, the Board of Directors annually appoints one independent member to act as its lead director. The lead director is authorized to call meetings of the non-management Directors, and he is responsible for the following:

setting the agenda for executive sessions of non-management directors after regularly scheduled Board of Director meetings and for presiding at such sessions;

acting as principal liaison between the non-management Directors and the Chairman;

advising the Chairman as to the quality, quantity and timeliness of the flow of information from management necessary for the non-management directors to effectively and responsibly perform their duties;

providing feedback from executive sessions of the non-management directors to the Chairman and other senior management; and

advising the Chairman on potential agenda items for Board meetings.

Mr. Bowlin, the Chair of the Compensation Committee, has been selected by the Board of Directors to continue to serve as the lead director for these executive sessions during 2010.

Stockholders and other interested parties may communicate directly with the Board of Directors, the lead director or the non-management directors as a group by submitting either written correspondence c/o Lead Director, FMC Technologies, Inc., 1803 Gears Road, Houston, Texas 77067, or an email to our lead director at lead.director@fmcti.com. The lead director will review any such communication with the parties to whom the communication is addressed at the next regularly scheduled Board meeting unless, in his or her judgment, earlier communication is warranted.

Director Compensation

Our compensation plan for non-management members of our Board of Directors is included in the FMC Technologies, Inc. Incentive Compensation and Stock Plan (the "Incentive Compensation and Stock Plan"). The Incentive Compensation and Stock Plan grants the Board of Directors the authority to modify the terms of the Board of Directors' compensation plan pursuant to a recommendation from our Compensation Committee.

Table of Contents

For 2009, each non-management director received an annual retainer of \$60,000. Each director received at least \$25,000 of this annual retainer in the form of restricted stock units and was able to elect to receive the remainder in cash, payable in quarterly installments. Each director also had the option of deferring the cash portion of the annual retainer and receiving it in the form of restricted stock units. These restricted stock units had a fair market value equal to the deferred amount of the annual retainer on the date of the grant and vest on the date of the 2010 Annual Meeting. The restricted stock units are payable in Common Stock upon separation from service (as defined under the Incentive Compensation and Stock Plan) on the Board of Directors. The amount of this annual retainer is allocated among fees earned or paid in cash (Fees Earned column) and the Stock Awards column in the table below based upon the election made by each director. Beginning in 2010, the annual retainer will be paid in cash.

Our non-management directors also receive an annual non-retainer equity grant of restricted stock units. For 2009, we awarded each of our non-management directors restricted stock units on May 1, 2009, with a value of \$170,000, which is included in the amount contained in the Stock Awards column of the table below. These awards will not vest until May 7, 2010, the date of the 2010 Annual Meeting.

We have ownership requirements for our non-management directors that are based on a multiple of five times the amount of each director's annual retainer. Our non-management directors are also required to hold the restricted stock units they elect to receive from the annual retainer and the annual non-retainer equity grants they are awarded until after they complete their service on our Board. These restricted stock units granted to a director are settled in Common Stock upon completion of the director's service on our Board. These restricted stock units are also forfeited if a director ceases service on the Board of Directors prior to the vesting date of the restricted stock units, except in the event of death or disability. Unvested restricted stock units will be settled and are payable in Common Stock upon the death or disability of a director or in the event of a change in control of FMC Technologies. Awards granted to non-management directors, including the portion of the annual retainer paid in the form of restricted stock units, will vest on the date of the Company's annual stockholders' meeting next following the grant date unless otherwise determined by the Board.

The Fees Earned column in the table below also includes cash remuneration in the amount of \$2,000 for each Board of Directors meeting attended and \$2,000 for each Board of Directors committee meeting attended. Our lead director received an additional fee of \$10,000, the chair of the Nominating and Governance Committee received an additional fee of \$10,000, the chair of the Compensation Committee received an additional fee of \$15,000 and the chair of the Audit Committee received an additional fee of \$15,000 during 2009, which are also included in the Fees Earned column. Each non-management director also receives reimbursement for reasonable incidental expenses incurred in connection with the attendance of meetings of the Board and Board committees. The meeting fees for attendance at Board of Director meetings have been eliminated beginning in 2010.

Table of Contents

The following table shows all compensation awarded or earned by the non-management members of our Board of Directors from all sources for services rendered in all of their capacities to us during 2009. Mr. Kinnear, our only employee who served on the Board of Directors during 2009, did not receive additional compensation for his services as a director.

Director Compensation Table

Name ⁽¹⁾	Fees	Stock	All Other Compensation (\$) ⁽⁴⁾	Total (\$)
	Earned (\$) ⁽²⁾	Awards (\$) ⁽³⁾		
Mike R. Bowlin	\$51,000	\$229,983	\$0	\$280,983
Philip J. Burguières	44,000	209,974	0	253,974
C. Maury Devine	57,000	204,980	2,000	263,980
Dr. Thorleif Enger	14,000	266,619	0	280,619
Claire S. Farley	26,000	266,619	0	292,619
Thomas M. Hamilton	36,000	229,983	10,000	275,983
Edward J. Mooney	78,000	194,958	0	272,958
Joseph H. Netherland	53,350	203,289	0	256,639
Richard A. Pattarozzi	69,000	194,958	9,150	273,108
James M. Ringler	36,000	229,983	12,500	278,483

- (1) Peter D. Kinnear, our Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer during 2009, is not included in the table as he was an employee of the Company during 2009 and did not receive compensation for his service as a director. The compensation paid to Mr. Kinnear is shown in the Summary Compensation Table.
- (2) Includes the amount of any cash portion of the director's annual retainer each director elected to receive, fees paid for attendance at Board of Director and committee meetings and additional fees paid to the chairman of each board committee and the lead director.
- (3) Restricted stock unit grants were made on May 1, 2009, valued at \$34.92 per share, the closing price of our Common Stock on May 1, 2009, reflecting an aggregate grant date fair value for all of our non-management directors of \$2,231,346. Additional restricted stock unit grants also were made (i) on January 1, 2009 to Mr. Netherland (329 units, pro-rated as of the date his status changed to that of a non-management director), valued at \$25.32 per share, the adjusted closing price of our Common Stock on January 2, 2009, and (ii) on February 27, 2009 to Dr. Enger (1,383 units, pro-rated as of the date he became a director) and Ms. Farley (1,383 units, pro-rated as of the date she became a director), valued at \$26.49 per share, the closing price of our Common Stock on February 27, 2009. The number of the aggregate outstanding restricted stock units held by each of our non-management directors on December 31, 2009, was: Mr. Bowlin 63,028.906; Mr. Burguières 14,276.944; Ms. Devine 23,381.390; Dr. Enger 7,969; Ms. Farley 7,969; Mr. Hamilton 65,501.350; Mr. Mooney 54,890.778; Mr. Netherland 8,638.217; Mr. Pattarozzi 44,908.338; and Mr. Ringler 63,028.906. Dividends will not be paid on any of the restricted stock units unless we pay dividends on our Common Stock.
- (4) Includes charitable contributions made in the name of directors by us pursuant to the matching charitable contribution program available to all employees and directors. Pursuant to this program, we match 100 percent of the charitable contributions of our employees and directors up to \$10,000 in any year, although we exercise discretion to approve matching contributions in excess of that amount from time to time. The numbers shown reflect the amounts that were expensed during the 2009 plan year. A portion of the amounts shown reflect matching contributions attributable to director contributions made in the prior fiscal year.

Directors who are not our employees do not participate in our employee benefit plans other than our matching program for charitable contributions.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation in Compensation Decisions

In 2009, the members of the Compensation Committee of the Board were Messrs. Bowlin, Burguières, Enger, Hamilton and Ringler, none of whom has ever been an officer or employee of FMC Technologies or any of its subsidiaries. None of the executive officers of FMC Technologies has ever served on the board of directors or on the compensation committee of any other entity that has had any executive officer serving as a member of FMC Technologies Board of Directors.

Table of Contents

Transactions with Related Persons

During 2009, we were not a participant in any transaction or series of related transactions in which any related person had or will have a material interest and in which the amount involved exceeded \$120,000. A related person is any person who was in any of the following categories during 2009:

- (a) any director or executive officer of FMC Technologies;
- (b) any nominee for director;
- (c) any immediate family member of a director or executive officer of FMC Technologies or any nominee for director, with immediate family member including any child, stepchild, parent, stepparent, spouse, sibling, mother-in-law, father-in-law, son-in-law, daughter-in-law, brother-in-law, sister-in-law and any person (other than a tenant or an employee) sharing the household of a director or executive officer or a nominee for director;
- (d) a security holder listed in the Other Security Ownership table below; or
- (e) any immediate family member of such a security holder.

Our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics provides that each employee and director of ours is expected to avoid engaging in activities that conflict with, or have the appearance of conflicting with, the best interests of us and our stockholders. These requirements also extend to immediate family members of employees and directors, any trust in which any employee or a director has a beneficial interest and over which such employee or director can exercise or influence decision making, and any person with whom one of our employees or directors has a substantial business relationship. For purposes of this policy, an immediate family member includes an employee's or director's spouse, parents, children, siblings, parents-in-law, children-in-law, siblings-in-law and anyone who shares an FMC Technologies employee's or director's home.

Our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics requires disclosure of personal activities or interests of any one of our employees or directors, or of any immediate family member, trust or other person with which such person may have a substantial business relationship (each, an interested person), that could negatively influence, or which could have the appearance of negatively influencing, the judgment of such employee or director, or the decisions or action of such employee or director. Such activities must be disclosed to an employee's manager, supervisor, local human resources director, the lawyer responsible for their business unit, the General Counsel, a member of the Board of Directors or reported to our ethics hotline. Reports regarding a related person made to an ethics reporting resource other than a member of the Board of Directors will be reported to the Board of Directors, or a Committee of the Board of Directors, which will have the responsibility for determining if there is a conflict of interest and, if so, how to resolve it without compromising the best interests of us and our stockholders.

In certain limited cases, activities giving rise to a potential related person conflict of interest may be permitted if the Board of Directors or a Committee of the Board determines, in its reasonable judgment, that such potential conflict of interest is not likely to be harmful to the best interests of us and our stockholders. No such activities were approved by the Board of Directors or a Committee of the Board during 2009.

Our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics also prohibits any employee or director from taking for themselves personally (including for the benefit of family members or friends) business opportunities that are discovered through the use of our property, information or position without the consent of the Board of Directors or a Committee of the Board. No employee or director may use corporate property, information or position for improper personal gain, and may not compete with us, directly or indirectly.

Table of Contents

Our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics may be reviewed on the Corporate Governance section of our website under About Us at www.fmctechnologies.com. A waiver may only be made by the Board of Directors, or a committee appointed by the Board, and will be promptly disclosed to the extent required by law, including the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the New York Stock Exchange.

In addition to the foregoing ethics policy, the Nominating and Governance Committee periodically reviews all commercial business relationships that exist between us and companies with which our directors are affiliated in order to determine if non-management members of the Board are independent under the rules of the New York Stock Exchange.

Security Ownership of FMC Technologies Management and Holders of More Than Five Percent of Outstanding Shares of Common Stock

The following table shows, as of February 26, 2010, the number of shares of Common Stock beneficially owned by each of our directors, each of our named executive officers whose compensation is reported in the Summary Compensation Table below, and all directors and executive officers as a group. No director or executive officer named in the Summary Compensation Table beneficially owns more than one percent of the Common Stock, as designated in the Percent of Class column, in the table below.

Name	Beneficial Ownership on February 26, 2010	
	Common Stock of FMC Technologies	Percent of Class ⁽¹⁾
Mike R. Bowlin ⁽²⁾	84,943	0.07%
Philip J. Burguieres ⁽²⁾	30,264	0.02%
C. Maury Devine ⁽²⁾	17,511	0.01%
Dr. Thorleif Enger ⁽²⁾	1,383	0.00%
Claire S. Farley ⁽²⁾	1,383	0.00%
John T. Grempe ⁽³⁾	127,763	0.10%
Tore Halvorsen ⁽³⁾	150,073	0.12%
Thomas M. Hamilton ⁽²⁾⁽⁴⁾	70,915	0.06%
Peter D. Kinnear ⁽³⁾	240,824	0.20%
Edward J. Mooney ⁽²⁾	49,308	0.04%
Joseph H. Netherland ⁽²⁾	175,935	0.14%
Richard A. Pattarozzi ⁽²⁾	39,325	0.03%
Robert L. Potter ⁽³⁾	100,606	0.08%
James M. Ringler ⁽²⁾	66,443	0.05%
William H. Schumann, III ⁽³⁾	114,899	0.09%
All directors and executive officers as a group (18 persons) ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	1,435,313	1.18%

- (1) Percentages are calculated on the basis of the number of outstanding shares (exclusive of treasury shares) plus shares deemed outstanding pursuant to Rule 13d-3(d)(1) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as of February 26, 2010.
- (2) Includes shares owned by the individual and shares subject to options granted and restricted stock units credited to individual accounts of non-management directors under the Incentive Compensation and Stock Plan (see Information about the Board of Directors-Director Compensation). As of February 26, 2010, the number of shares subject to options granted and restricted stock units credited to non-management directors under the Incentive Compensation and Stock Plan were as follows: Mr. Bowlin 56,443; Mr. Burguieres 8,264; Ms. Devine 17,511; Dr. Enger 1,383; Ms. Farley 1,383; Mr. Hamilton 58,915; Mr. Mooney 49,308, Mr. Netherland 3,055; Mr. Pattarozzi 39,325; and Mr. Ringler 56,443. These directors have no power to vote or dispose of shares

Table of Contents

underlying the restricted stock units until they are distributed upon the cessation of their service on the Board of Directors. Until such distribution, these directors have an unsecured claim against us for such units.

- (3) Includes: (i) shares owned by the individual; (ii) shares held by the FMC Technologies, Inc. Savings and Investment Plan (the "Qualified Savings Plan") for the account of the individual and the FMC Technologies, Inc. Non-Qualified Savings and Investment Plan (the "Non-Qualified Savings Plan") for the benefit of the individual; and (iii) shares subject to options that are exercisable within 60 days of February 26, 2010 and restricted stock shares that will vest within 60 days of February 26, 2010. The shares included in item (iii), in the aggregate, amount to 59,810 shares for Mr. Kinnear; 0 shares for Mr. Schumann; 45,162 shares for Mr. Gremp; 52,102 shares for Mr. Halvorsen, 26,114 shares for Mr. Potter and 496,498 shares for all directors and executive officers as a group.
- (4) Includes 12,000 shares held by the Tom and Carolyn Hamilton Family Foundation of which Mr. Hamilton is a director and an officer and shares voting and investment power with Mrs. Hamilton.

The table below lists the persons known by us to beneficially own, pursuant to Rule 13d-1 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, more than five percent of FMC Technologies Common Stock as of February 26, 2010:

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percent of Class ⁽¹⁾
T. Rowe Price Associates, Inc. 100 E. Pratt Baltimore, Maryland 21202	12,505,653 shares ⁽²⁾	10.3%
Capital World Investors 333 South Hope Street Los Angeles, California 90071	12,115,000 shares ⁽³⁾	9.9%
BlackRock, Inc. 40 East 52 nd Street New York, New York 10022	9,738,190 shares ⁽⁴⁾	8.0%
Sands Capital Management, LLC 1101 Wilson Blvd. Suite 2300 Arlington, VA 22209	8,631,843 shares ⁽⁵⁾	6.9%
The Vanguard Group 100 Vanguard Blvd. Malvern, Pennsylvania 19355	6,387,740 shares ⁽⁶⁾	5.24%

(1) The calculation of percentage of ownership of each listed beneficial owner is based on 121,921,945 shares of FMC Technologies, Inc. Common Stock, which represents the number of shares outstanding on February 26, 2010.

(2) Based on a Schedule 13G filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 12, 2010. The Schedule 13G reports that T. Rowe Price Associates, Inc. has sole voting power with respect to 3,519,273 shares and sole dispositive power with respect to 12,505,653 shares.

(3)

Edgar Filing: FMC TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form DEF 14A

Based on a Schedule 13G filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 11, 2010. The Schedule 13G reports that Capital World Investors has sole voting power with respect to 1,615,000 shares and sole dispositive power with respect to 12,115,000 shares. Capital World Investors, a division of Capital Research and Management Company (CRMC) is deemed to be the beneficial owner of FMC Technologies, Inc. securities as a result of CRMC acting as investment advisor to various investment companies registered under Section 8 of the Investment Company Act of 1940. The shares reported on the Schedule 13G are owned by accounts under the discretionary investment management of Capital World Investors.

- (4) Based on a Schedule 13G filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 29, 2010. The Schedule 13G reports that BlackRock, Inc. has sole voting power and sole dispositive power with respect to 9,738,190 shares.
- (5) Based on a Schedule 13G filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 12, 2009. The Schedule 13G reports that securities reported on the 13G are beneficially owned by clients of Sands Capital Management , LLC and Sands Capital has sole voting power with respect of 5,886,429 shares and sole dispositive power with respect to 8,631,843 shares.
- (6) Based on a Schedule 13G filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 1, 2010. The Schedule 13G reports that The Vanguard Group has sole voting power with respect to 196,174 shares, sole dispositive power with respect to 6,211,966 shares, and shared power to dispose of 175,774 shares. Vanguard Fiduciary Trust Company (VFTC), a wholly-owned subsidiary of The Vanguard Group, Inc., is the beneficial owner of 175,774 shares as a result of its serving as investment manager of collective trust accounts. VFTC directs the voting of these shares.

Table of Contents

Executive Compensation

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

General Principles

FMC Technologies, Inc. has a peer-based executive compensation program that includes variable performance elements. Our executive management compensation program is designed (a) to motivate our officers and other key employees to achieve short-term and long-term corporate goals that should enhance stockholder value, and (b) to attract and retain exceptionally talented individuals who deliver superior operational performance in our businesses and create an environment that fosters the technological innovation we believe is necessary for continued growth of our revenue, earnings and shareholder returns.

Our compensation philosophy links an executive's total earnings opportunity with our short-term and long-term goals and objectives through the achievement of financial targets established and measured objectively by the Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors, and to a lesser extent, the executive's performance against specific individual objectives.

Our ability to develop and retain successful executive managers for long periods of employment has provided us with stability and prolific industry experience. Low turnover at the executive management level provides continuity and minimizes the disruption that management change can cause. We believe this continuity has contributed to our successful growth and helped us avoid costs associated with recruiting experienced management talent outside of our company.

The core principles underlying our executive compensation philosophy are as follows:

Executive compensation is structured to be competitive and to provide appropriate retention incentives in order to attract, motivate and retain qualified managerial talent over the long term. The potential compensation for executives is targeted at median levels paid at comparable peer companies who would likely compete for our executive talent;

Executives are compensated for their contributions to the Company's results. A significant portion of our executive non-equity compensation is performance-based and considered short-term since it is based on annual performance. A portion of an executive's compensation is directly linked to his achievement of specific corporate and individual results that we believe create both short-term and long-term shareholder value; and

Executive compensation provides motivation to attain long-term objectives and to increase shareholder value. Equity compensation represents a significant portion of executive total compensation. We believe at-risk equity compensation such as time-based and performance-based restricted stock grants, together with stock ownership and retention guidelines, align executive and shareholder interests and provide proper motivation for enhancing both short-term and long-term shareholder value.

Long-Tenured Management Team and Internal Development

Our named executive officers are individuals who have devoted most of their professional careers to FMC Technologies and our predecessor. With tenure averaging 30 years, our executive management team has a long-term personal and financial interest in our success. We are strong advocates of promoting from within and developing our own talent. Internal talent development and promotion from within our company is a central element of our philosophy.

Table of Contents

We believe that a critical element of our business success is our ability to develop and retain executive management talent. Our executive officers do not have employment contracts and serve at the discretion of the Board of Directors.

Establishing Competitive Pay Levels

The Compensation Committee annually reviews all elements of compensation for our officers, including base pay, non-equity incentive compensation and long-term equity incentives. These elements are reviewed relative to the practices of our peer group and our own financial performance to ensure our total compensation program design is consistent with our compensation philosophies and that overall compensation is within appropriate parameters.

The Compensation Committee approves every compensation action for executive officers, including grants of equity awards. In determining compensation levels for our executive officers, the Compensation Committee reviews compensation survey data supplied by Hewitt Associates, the independent consultant retained by the Compensation Committee. The companies in the Hewitt survey are selected by our management and approved by the Compensation Committee for peer group comparison. The list of peer group companies is reviewed on an annual basis by the Compensation Committee to ensure continuing relevancy.

The Compensation Committee also reviews the specific corporate goals and objectives relevant to the compensation of the Chief Executive Officer, and evaluates the Chief Executive Officer's performance in light of those goals and objectives. Based on the Compensation Committee's annual review and evaluation for 2009, the Committee determined and approved the Chief Executive Officer's total compensation level, including base pay, non-equity incentive compensation, salary range and long-term incentive awards.

For 2009, our peer group includes the 28 industrial companies listed below. Eleven of the 28 companies in our peer group are oilfield service and equipment companies that would likely compete with us for executive talent. This subset of oilfield services and equipment companies also are likely to compete with us for customers, suppliers, and ultimately, investors. For these reasons, we believe that the financial performance of this group is most relevant as a comparison point for measuring our own financial performance. The broader group of 28 companies is used to benchmark other senior management positions.

In considering companies to be included in the peer group, the Compensation Committee reviewed revenues and market capitalization of each company, as well as available data, to determine whether it would be appropriate to include the company in the peer group. The 11 oilfield service and equipment companies are marked with a blue asterisk (*).

Baker Hughes Incorporated*
 BJ Services Company*
 BorgWarner Inc.
 Cameron International Corporation*
 Chicago Bridge & Iron Company
 Cooper Industries, Ltd.
 Cummins, Inc.
 Dover Corporation
 Eaton Corporation
 Federal-Mogul Corporation
 Flowserve Corp.
 Foster Wheeler Ltd.
 Global Industries, Ltd.*
 Halliburton Company*

Ingersoll-Rand Co. Ltd.
 Lennox International Inc.
 McDermott International, Inc.
 National Oilwell Varco, Inc.*
 Noble Corporation*
 Oceaneering International, Inc.*
 Smith International, Inc.*
 Stanley Works
 Tidewater, Inc.*
 Timkin Co.
 URS Corporation
 Weatherford International Ltd.*
 The Williams Companies, Inc.
 Worthington Industries

Table of Contents

Although the companies included in the survey may vary in revenue size and market capitalization, the survey utilizes regression analysis to develop size-adjusted values for each element of compensation. Additionally, for equity-based compensation, Hewitt Associates uses Black-Scholes based options models to value stock options and other economic pricing models for other equity-based compensation. Based on the survey market data provided by Hewitt Associates, the Compensation Committee reviewed the appropriateness of each executive officer's base pay, annual non-equity incentive bonus, and annual equity award. The Compensation Committee allocates total annual compensation to our executives among the various elements of short-term cash (base pay and annual non-equity incentive compensation) and long-term (equity awards) compensation to approximate the market allocation identified in the survey results.

For 2009, Hewitt Associates' compensation survey indicated that 22 percent of target annual compensation was base pay, 17 percent was annual non-equity incentive compensation, and 61 percent was allocated to long-term incentives among the surveyed companies. This compares to the target compensation mix as shown in the chart below. The actual compensation of our named executive officers in 2009 was 22 percent base pay, 26 percent annual non-equity incentive compensation and 52 percent long-term equity compensation which is allocated between time-based and performance-based restricted shares. Our actual allocation of total compensation differed slightly from that of the target due to strong financial performance in 2009, resulting in a higher non-equity incentive component.

When making compensation comparisons between our named executive officers and the market data, we use the 11 oilfield service and equipment companies as the appropriate comparator group. For each of our named executive officers, the Compensation Committee compared each element of the executive's compensation, as well as the executive's total compensation, to his counterpart at the 11 oilfield service and equipment companies that make up the peer group. The Compensation Committee also evaluated the ratio of our Chief Executive Officer's compensation to the compensation of each of our other named executive officers in comparison to these ratios in the peer group.

The Compensation Committee uses tally sheets for our named executive officers in order to bring together, in one place, all of the elements of actual as well as potential future compensation. The tally sheets provide our Compensation Committee with the information necessary to evaluate the total compensation of a named executive officer. The tally sheets present the dollar amount of each component of the named executive officer's compensation, including cash compensation, accumulated deferred compensation balances, outstanding equity awards, retirement benefits, perquisites and any other compensation. With regard to performance scenarios, the tally sheets demonstrate the amounts of compensation that would be payable under minimum, target and maximum payouts under our cash and equity incentive compensation plans.

Table of Contents

Allocation of Pay Between Short and Long-Term Compensation

Our compensation programs are intended to provide incentives to our executive officers to achieve short-term and long-term operating goals as well as strategic objectives. Certain elements of our compensation programs are weighted toward long-term incentives in the form of equity compensation with a three year vesting requirement and a variable performance-based component. Although these equity compensation incentives deliver a competitive economic value on the date of grant, their ultimate value to an executive will depend upon the market value of the equity after the end of the vesting and retention period. That value will be largely dependent upon our long-term performance as well as general market dynamics.

Short-Term Compensation

The annual cash pay elements that our executive officers receive include a base pay and an opportunity to earn annual non-equity incentive compensation. We target our executive base pay and annual non-equity incentive compensation opportunities at the median level of our comparison group of peer companies on a size-adjusted basis.

Cash Compensation Base Pay

Base pay compensates our employees for services provided during the year and is the fixed element of an executive's annual compensation. The Company's goal is to target base salaries for our named executive officers at approximately the size-adjusted 50th percentile of the 11 peer group companies. Variations may result from the Committee's and management's consideration of such factors as job performance, time in position, prior experience and business conditions. We use the annual survey to review median base pay values for comparable employees to set the base pay midpoint for each salary grade within our compensation program. Each salary grade range sets minimum pay at 75 percent of the midpoint and maximum pay at 125 percent of the midpoint. The range is delineated into performance sections such that an individual's base pay should be at the point in the range corresponding to his or her current level of performance.

There are four levels of performance in our pay for performance system: Needs Improvement, Good, Outstanding and Exceptional. Using these criteria, an executive who generally performed well in carrying out the key responsibilities of their position for the year would be given a performance rating of Good for the year. If the executive were to exceed all expectations, the executive would receive a performance ratio of Outstanding. To receive an Exceptional rating is unusual. This rating would be utilized in rare circumstances where performance and other criteria would dictate that an unusual level of incentive compensation was justifiable. A Needs Improvement rating would indicate that an executive failed to meet expectations for the year.

A performance rating for each executive officer is established based on an assessment of the executive's performance of his primary responsibilities. In addition to establishing a performance rating, the Committee may also evaluate market movement of salaries in our peer group. A base pay change, or merit increase, for an executive officer, other than the Chief Executive Officer, is both recommended by the Chief Executive Officer and approved by the Compensation Committee. Merit increase guidelines are established annually for all employees by the Compensation Committee, based on annual market surveys. In 2009, our merit increase pool was four (4%) percent. The Compensation Committee approves the individual increases of all officers of the Company. In 2009, the average merit increase for all officers did not exceed four (4%) percent.

Cash Compensation Annual Non-Equity Incentive Compensation

Our annual non-equity incentive compensation plan is a variable cash-based incentive plan designed to focus management on performance factors important to the continued success of their business units and in our overall performance in a particular year. In 2009, our annual non-equity incentive

Table of Contents

target bonus percentages for our named executive officers ranged from 60 percent to 100 percent of base pay, the highest percentage being assigned to our Chief Executive Officer. The annual non-equity incentive compensation is weighted primarily toward business performance (70 percent), which we refer to as the Business Performance Incentive or BPI component, and secondarily to individual performance (30 percent), which we refer to as the Annual Performance Incentive, or API component.

The BPI Component:

The Compensation Committee annually establishes BPI targets and reviews the performance measures to be used for our annual non-equity incentive program annually at its February meeting. In 2009, the three equally weighted measures used by the Compensation Committee were based upon the combined results of the Company and included the following components:

Net Contribution: measured for performance purposes as net income plus after tax interest expense minus a 10.5 percent charge for capital, thus encouraging our executives to grow the business while efficiently using capital; and

EBIT Growth: measured as annual growth in earnings before interest and taxes; and

EBIT Percentage of Sales: measured as EBIT generated from each dollar of sales.

All of these measures, which are reviewed and approved by our Compensation Committee on an annual basis, are adjusted for the cumulative effect of changes in accounting principles, significant acquisitions and divestitures and foreign exchange movements versus the assumptions of those movements at the time the targets were set.

A range of results for these measures are established along a 0.0 to 3.0 multiple continuum. For instance, the Compensation Committee established one value for a targeted EBIT Growth at 1.0. If our actual EBIT Growth results are less than the target, the BPI multiple for that measure will fall between 0.0 and 1.0. If the Company's EBIT Growth exceeds the target, the BPI multiple would fall between 1.0 and 3.0. The resulting BPI multiple of the three equally-weighted measures is then multiplied by the individual executive officer's non-equity incentive compensation percentage to determine non-equity incentive compensation payout to that executive.

For 2009, in order to achieve the 3.0 performance in each measure, the EBIT Growth must increase 25 percent above the target, EBIT Percentage of sales must increase 15 percent above target and Net Contribution must be improved by 38 percent over target. All of our named executive officers were given a corporate BPI target which was based on the consolidated results of the Company on the three measures.

Our actual 2009 performance exceeded all three of the targets:

Performance Measure	Weighting	% Above (Below) Target	Rating
Net Contribution	1/3	15.7%	1.83
EBIT Growth	1/3	1.7%	1.27
EBIT Percentage of Sales	1/3	4.8%	1.65

Average BPI - 1.58

Our performance resulted in an achievement of a 1.58 multiple of target based on the scale established at the beginning of the year and the Company's performance relative to the targets established.

The API Component:

A review of the named executive officers' objective performance is conducted to determine the API component of the non-equity incentive compensation. Each executive officer's performance against objectives, established in the beginning of the year, is evaluated annually by the Compensation

Table of Contents

Committee with input from the Chief Executive Officer. The API of the Chief Executive Officer is set by the Compensation Committee without the Chief Executive Officer being present in the Compensation Committee session. These performance objectives vary depending on the roles and responsibilities of the particular executive and may, in part, be subjective. These individual objectives may include, as examples, goals for acquisitions or divestitures, safety performance, achieving recruiting targets, building management depth, technology innovations and improving market positions through profitable growth and new product introductions.

The API rating is based on the achievement by a named executive officer of both quantifiable performance objectives as well as other, more subjective objectives. If a named executive officer failed to achieve all of his objectives, the API multiple would likely be 0.00. If the executive met some, but not all of the objectives, the API multiple would fall between the range of 0.00 to 2.0 depending upon the number of objectives accomplished, their relative importance and difficulty and the factors that may have prevented achievement of certain objectives. An executive achieving all objectives could potentially receive an API of 2.0, although this would be unusual due to our efforts to establish stretch objectives that may be extremely difficult to achieve. For 2009, our named executive officers received API ratings ranging from 1.20 to 1.25 for the year, with an average rating of 1.23. For 2008, the same group of named executive officers received API ratings ranging from 1.20 to 1.40 for the year, with an average rating of 1.34.

Assuming an executive officer has a base pay of \$400,000, a 65 percent target bonus, a BPI rating of 1.58 and an API rating of 1.25, the executive's annual non-equity incentive compensation payment would be calculated in the following manner:

BPI: \$400,000 x .70 (BPI weighting) x .65 (target bonus) x 1.58 (corporate BPI achievement)	\$ 287,560
API: \$400,000 x .30 (API weighting) x .65 (target bonus) x 1.25 (individual API rating)	97,500

Total Non-Equity Incentive Compensation \$ 385,060

The following describes certain 2009 API objectives of our named executive officers which were evaluated to determine, in part, their performance for purposes of calculating their API measure.

Certain API objectives that we consider commercially sensitive and believe will provide proprietary data to our competitors and could cause us competitive harm have been omitted.

Named Executive Officers

Peter D. Kinnear Chairman, President and CEO

Mr. Kinnear's objectives for 2009 were substantially met in all areas. Earnings per share increased 6 percent despite the weakened market and exceeded peer group performance. Continuing to deliver against the growth strategy, Mr. Kinnear led the Company in making two acquisitions to strengthen growth potential with Multiphase Meters AS in Norway, and Direct Drive Systems, Inc. in the U.S., whose products have subsea application and support Subsea Processing growth. A focused initiative on cost control and quality improvements were key objectives which contributed to EBIT margin improvements of 2.5 points in the Energy Production segment, the largest and fastest growing segment. Mr. Kinnear initiated the decision to close the Defined Benefit Pension Plan to unvested and new employees reducing risk and volatility and implemented a Defined Contribution benefit.

William H. Schumann III Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer

Mr. Schumann's objectives for 2009 were focused on Corporate Development, Enterprise Risk Management and Treasury for 2009. Two acquisitions were completed with the purchase of Direct Drive Systems, Inc. and Multiphase Meters AS which required Mr. Schumann's expertise and time

Table of Contents

allocation. He has developed an expanded Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) approach to mitigate and monitor corporate wide risks. In the area of Treasury, Mr. Schumann has enhanced the understanding of exposure to foreign exchange and improved foreign currency risk management.

John Grempe Executive Vice President

Mr. Grempe's 2009 objectives were largely focused on operations execution excellence and growth of key businesses. Operational execution included safety performance, improving manufacturing delivery, cost reduction through worldwide sourcing plans, operating profit improvements and well executed project deliveries. Mr. Grempe took appropriate measures to control cost across key businesses impacted by economic downturn. Quality improvement was a central component of his 2009 objectives and achieved through the launch of a companywide global initiative. The strategic planning process resulted in a proactive search for acquisition targets that were ultimately acquired in 2009.

Tore Halvorsen Senior Vice President

Mr. Halvorsen's 2009 objectives were primarily focused on overall subsea technology advancement, product quality and customer satisfaction. Several achievements were made by Mr. Halvorsen in 2009 including the advancement of technology for key subsea growth strategy, the win of the sixth Subsea Processing project, and the successful implementation of key contracts supporting subsea growth. Execution and profitability of key contracts was also key to Mr. Halvorsen's objectives in 2009 which he achieved at or above target in most regions.

Robert L. Potter Senior Vice President

Mr. Potter's 2009 objectives were focused on the successful leadership of the Surface Wellhead and Energy Processing businesses through anticipated 2009 volatility, including effective control of spending and capital budgets related resources, while pursuing growth opportunities that would provide both short and long-term benefits to the technology base. Mr. Potter's objectives also included a focus on delivery performance and quality, while aggressively pursuing product cost reduction initiatives. Balance sheet management during cyclical volatility while delivering financial performance was also included and achieved in Mr. Potter's 2009 objectives.

Perquisites

We provide limited perquisites to our executive officers in order to facilitate the performance of their managerial and external marketing roles and to ensure a competitive total compensation package. The perquisites we provide to our executives include financial counseling, tax preparation, personal use of company vehicles, dining club memberships and country club memberships, executive physicals and other minor expenses associated with their business responsibilities. Our executives' use of company vehicles and dining and country club membership benefits is primarily for business-related travel and entertainment. We require our executives to report personal use of company vehicles and club memberships. We also pay certain travel expenses such as air fare and meals for spouses of our executive officers when they accompany their spouses and when such expenses are considered to be related to the business travel purpose. If a named executive officer's perquisites exceed \$10,000 in cost to us, the total amount of the perquisites provided by us to that named executive officer is included in the "All Other Compensation" column of the Summary Compensation Table in this Proxy Statement and the nature of those perquisites are described in a footnote to the table. With the exception of Mr. Schumann's commuting expenses to Houston, including his Houston apartment, we do not gross up for the taxes due on the value of perquisites deemed to be personal.

Table of Contents

Long-Term Compensation

Equity Compensation Long-Term Incentive Plan

The long-term component of our executive compensation consists of equity awards, which provide our executive officers the opportunity to benefit if our stock price appreciates over the long-term. We use the Hewitt survey data noted previously to establish a value for the equity compensation granted to each of our executive officers. To determine the appropriate amount of equity-based compensation awards for our executive officers, we determine the size-adjusted median value of comparable equity compensation awards issued by the peer group of companies surveyed by Hewitt Associates to each named executive officers' peers with the surveyed companies along with other evaluation of the executive.

We currently use restricted stock unit awards. We have not issued stock options to our executive officers since 2004. The stock options issued in connection with our initial public offering were issued at the public offering price. At the time of our separation from our former parent, FMC Corporation, existing FMC Corporation stock options held by employees of FMC Corporation who became employees of FMC Technologies were converted to FMC Technologies stock options, and the number of shares exercisable under each such converted option and exercise price were adjusted to provide the holder with an equivalent market value before and after the conversion.

For 2009, the grant size of restricted stock for each of our named executive officers is set by dividing the size-adjusted median value for that officer by the face value of our stock on the date of grant to determine shares that equate to the economic value delivered to each named executive officer. A discount to reflect the risk of performance was used only for the two-thirds portion of the performance-based awards.

Although our incentive compensation program permits the Compensation Committee to approve equity compensation awards to our executive officers in a variety of forms, only stock options and restricted stock unit grants with both time-based and performance-based elements have been awarded since our initial public offering in 2001. We believe the combination of time-based and performance-based incentives provides performance incentives consistent with our shareholders' interests and serves as an effective retention vehicle for our named executive officers.

Our equity awards have vesting periods of three years. The grant date of the annual equity awards is the date of the annual Compensation Committee meeting in February. As long as an executive remains employed through the age of 62, any unvested equity awards remain outstanding after retirement and vest on the originally scheduled vesting date. Executives will forfeit unvested awards if they retire prior to age 62. Awards vest immediately in the event of death, disability (as defined in our Incentive Compensation and Stock Plan) or a qualifying change in control.

By providing our executive officers with compensation in the form of equity awards, we ensure that a significant portion of total compensation remains at risk and is tied to the creation of stockholder value.

Since the end of 2001, our share price compounded annual growth rate has averaged 28 percent. The market value of vested and unvested restricted stock awards shown in the Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End Table herein and the realized value of exercised options and restricted stock unit awards shown in the Option Exercises and Stock Vested Table demonstrate both the potential and realized value of equity awards we have issued to our named executive officers and the alignment of our executive officers' personal financial interests with those of our shareholders.

Time-Based Restricted Stock Awards

We issue grants of time-based restricted stock units to our executive officers and other key employees under our Incentive Compensation and Stock Plan. All restricted stock awards granted to our executive

Table of Contents

officers require an additional three years of service by the executive before the restricted stock vests and the executive receives ownership and voting rights. We utilize vesting periods as a retention incentive.

Performance-Based Restricted Stock Awards

In 2009, based on the comparison to our peer group allocation of performance-based compensation, the Compensation Committee determined that two-thirds of the economic value of the restricted stock awarded to our officers and five other senior managers be based on meeting certain performance criteria. Our performance-based equity compensation links the award of restricted stock to the achievement of performance targets relative to the performance of our peers. In 2009, the peer group used to determine our achievements, relative to our peers, for performance-based restricted stock awards is the same as our compensation comparator group of 11 oilfield service and equipment companies for named executive officers. In 2009, we compared our performance with the following companies:

Baker Hughes Incorporated (BHI)

BJ Services Company (BJS)

Cameron International Corporation (CAM)

Global Industries, Ltd. (GLBL)

Halliburton Company (HAL)

National Oilwell Varco, Inc. (NOV)

Noble Corporation(NE)

Oceaneering International, Inc. (OII)

Smith International, Inc. (SII)

Tidewater, Inc. (TDW)

Weatherford International Ltd. (WFT)

The linking of our executive s performance-based awards to the Company s achievement of performance targets relative to the performance of the above listed oilfield service and equipment companies puts a meaningful portion of our named executive s targeted equity award at risk. The percentage of the total performance-based restricted stock award an executive officer will ultimately receive will be determined at the end of the one-year measurement period and will be dependent upon our performance relative to this peer group of companies with respect to the following three measures for that period:

EBITDA Growth is measured as annual growth in earnings before interest and taxes, excluding depreciation and amortization;

Return on Investment (ROI) is both a measure of profitability, equal to annual net income divided by equity plus long-term debt, as well as how effectively the firm uses its capital to generate profit;

Total Shareholder Return (TSR) is measured as the total returns that an investor receives based on the volume-weighted average price and the reinvestment of dividends issued.

Edgar Filing: FMC TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form DEF 14A

The performance results of these three measures for the 11 peer group companies are publicly available and provide us with externally available reference against which our performance is measured.

The amount of the performance-based restricted stock unit award earned by our executive officers can vary between 0 and 200 percent of the performance-based award amount granted. This is determined by our full-year performance of the three defined measures relative to the performance of our peer group companies.

Table of Contents

Each of the three performance measures are weighted equally in the compilation of the award. EBITDA Growth and ROI are measures for performance-based awards and TSR is a measure for market-based performance awards. A determination of below average, average or above-average performance is made based on actual full-year results. The following table illustrates the percentage of the target award which can be earned for each of the three measures for 2009 based on our performance relative to that of our peers.

Performance Measure	Weighting	Below Average (0% of Target Grant)	Average (100% of Target Grant)	Above Average (200% of Target Grant)
EBITDA Growth	1/3	0%	33%	67%
Return on Investment (ROI)	1/3	0%	33%	67%
Total Shareholder Return (TSR)	1/3	0%	33%	67%

For below-average performance against any of the three performance measures, an executive officer receives 0 percent of the one-third portion of the grant tied to such performance measures; for average performance, 100 percent of one-third of the grant; and for above-average performance, an executive would receive 200 percent of one-third of the grant. The one exception to this is our Compensation Committee's decision to have a zero payout for TSR if this measure is not positive regardless of the Company's performance relative to our peers. This action supports one of the underlying compensation philosophies of our named executive officers to incent achievement of long-term objectives that increase shareholder value.

We define our performance for each of the three performance measures as follows:

Above Average - Our performance exceeds the performance of the midpoint between the third and fourth ranked peer companies;

Average - Our performance is between the midpoint of the third and fourth ranked peer companies and the midpoint between the eighth and ninth ranked peer companies;

Below Average - Our performance is below the midpoint between the eighth and ninth ranked peer companies.

In 2009, we ranked above average for all three measures. As a result of our strong financial performance relative to our peer group for 2009, our named executive officers will receive 200 percent of the performance-based portion of the restricted stock grants awarded on February 26, 2009 and these awards will vest on January 2, 2012. The final performance-based restricted stock unit award each named executive officer received for 2009 are included in columns (g) and (h) of the Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End Table below.

The vesting period for performance-based restricted stock awards is the same as the vesting period for time-based restricted stock awards, which is three years from the grant date, meaning that although the performance period considered is one year, the awards do not vest for three years from the date of grant. None of our executive officers have the ability to adjust the performance measures approved by the Compensation Committee or to waive any conditions established for the performance-based awards once established by the Compensation Committee.

These three performance measures, EBITDA Growth, Return on Investment (ROI) and Total Shareholder Return (TSR), against which this compensation element is measured, meet the requirements of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code and thus allow for the Company to treat these restricted stock unit grants as qualified performance-based compensation allowing requisite deductibility for federal income tax purposes beginning in 2009.

Table of Contents**Clawback Provision**

Our Incentive Compensation and Stock Plan gives our Compensation Committee the discretion to claw back and cancel previously earned performance-based compensation. In the event our financial statements are restated as a result of errors, omissions or fraud, our Compensation Committee may, in good faith and to the extent an award exceeds what would otherwise have been awarded based on the restated financial results, (a) cancel any outstanding award granted, in whole or in part, whether or not vested or deferred, to officers of the Company who are identified as being subject to Section 16 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 (Section 16 Officers), and/or (b) if such restatement occurs after the exercise or payment of an award, require the Section 16 Officers to repay to the Company any gain realized or payment received upon the exercise or payment of the award valued as of the date of exercise or payment.

Stock Ownership and Retention Requirements

The Compensation Committee established executive officer stock ownership guidelines in order to ensure a continuing alignment of executive and shareholder interests. Under these guidelines, an executive officer is required to retain ownership of shares in an amount equal in value to a multiple of the individual's midpoint of salary grade and target annual non-equity incentive bonus. Qualifying awards include directly-held stock, restricted stock awards not subject to performance, shares held in the Qualified Savings Plan, shares held in the Non-Qualified Savings Plan, and 50 percent of the shares underlying options.

Upon becoming a Section 16 officer, an executive has five years to satisfy a new or increased retention multiple, pro-rated 20 percent each year. The multiple for each of the named executive officers is provided in the following table. Each named executive officer satisfies the ownership guidelines applicable to him.

Named Executive Officer	Multiple of Base Pay and Target Non-Equity Incentive Bonus
Peter D. Kinnear	5.0
William H. Schumann, III	4.5
John T. Grep	4.5
Tore Halvorsen	4.0
Robert L. Potter	4.0

In 2006, our Compensation Committee adopted additional stock retention guidelines requiring our officers to retain, for a period of at least one year after vesting date, at least one-half of the net after-tax shares for restricted stock and stock option awards vesting after January 1, 2007. The purpose of this additional policy is to impose a holding period during which our executives must retain ownership of a significant portion of vested equity compensation awards. We believe that the combination of the stock ownership guidelines and the stock retention rules focus our executives on our long-term value by aligning their interests with the long-term interest of other stockholders.

Compliance with these guidelines is evaluated in February of each year. As of March 1, 2010, all officers met their ownership requirements.

Insider Trading and Speculation in Company Stock

The Company has established policies which prohibit our officers, directors and certain employees from purchasing or selling FMC Technologies securities while in possession of material, nonpublic information or otherwise using such information in any manner that would violate applicable laws and regulations.

Table of Contents

To align the economic risk of ownership of management and shareholders, our ownership guidelines also prohibit all officers and their immediate family from directly or indirectly speculating in the Company stock, including derivative transactions, short selling, the sale or purchase of options in Company stock and borrowing against Company stock.

Deductibility of Executive Compensation under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code

Section 162(m) of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code, as amended (the "IRC"), generally disallows a tax deduction to public corporations for non-performance-based compensation in excess of \$1 million paid for any fiscal year to certain named executive officers. The Compensation Committee requires certain components of executive compensation to be performance-based. Considering that as of 2008, two-thirds of the executive restricted stock unit awards are based on achievement of performance goals, and 70 percent of the annual non-equity incentive is based on the achievement of performance goals, the Compensation Committee concluded that it was important to qualify the compensation as performance-based under Section 162(m).

Accordingly, at our 2008 annual shareholder meeting, the Compensation Committee sought and obtained shareholder approval with respect to the material terms of the performance goals related to certain awards under the Plan, thus allowing the Company to treat the restricted stock awards as qualified performance-based compensation and thus allowing the requisite deductibility for federal income tax purposes starting in the year 2009.

Defined Benefit Pension Plans

U.S. Defined Benefit Plan

A longer term element of compensation for our executive officers is our retirement programs. Our U.S.-based executive officers participate in the pension plan (the "U.S. Pension Plan") that provides income replacement retirement benefits. We believe our pension plan design is an effective retention vehicle and that it has been a significant factor in the long tenure of our executive management team and, consequently, the depth of our management team's experience with our businesses. The same benefit calculation formula is used for our executive officers as is used for all of our U.S.-non-bargaining unit production employees. The U.S. Pension Plan is designed to provide retirement income to all of our current employees who meet the minimum service requirement of five years or who retire on or after age 65. The pension payment is based on final average pay, which is calculated for the period that includes the employee's highest 60 consecutive months of pay in the final 120 months of service, and includes base pay and annual non-equity incentive bonus in the calculation. Eligible earnings under the provisions of the U.S. Pension Plan do not include the value of the equity grants (stock options or restricted stock unit awards), matching contributions to our U.S. Qualified Savings Plan, and/or deferrals or matching contributions to our U.S. Non-Qualified Savings Plan or perquisites.

The U.S. Pension Plan is qualified under the United States Employment Retirement Income Security Act ("ERISA"). The U.S. Internal Revenue Service limits the amount of compensation on which retirement benefits can be earned and the amounts payable by a tax qualified defined benefit pension plan.

We also have a U.S. non-qualified defined benefit pension plan (the "U.S. Non-Qualified Pension Plan") to provide the benefits based on earnings above the IRS limits as well as benefits based upon deferrals made to the U.S. Non-Qualified Savings Plan which are not permitted under the U.S. Pension Plan. The U.S. Non-Qualified Pension Plan uses the same pension benefit formula as the tax qualified U.S. Pension Plan. The benefits under this plan are our general obligations and are not protected by ERISA.

Effective January 1, 2010, the U.S. Pension Plan and the U.S. Non-Qualified Pension Plan are closed to new entrants and frozen for employees with less than five years of service.

Table of Contents

Norwegian Pension Program

Mr. Halvorsen is our only named executive officer who is not U.S.-based and he is covered under the Norwegian Pension Program (the Norwegian Program). The Norwegian Program is designed to provide employees based in Norway with targeted total pension payment of 65 percent of their final salary based on 30 years of service. For service less than 30 years, the payment is reduced proportionately. This benefit is offset by any state or government provided social security benefits. The salary included in the benefit calculation is limited to 12 times the National Insurance Base Amount, which was \$11,660 (NOK 72,881) effective May 1, 2009, through the end of 2009. The amount in U.S. dollars is based on an average currency exchange rate at month-end over the full year.

In addition, a supplementary pension scheme was established in Norway, effective January 1, 2007, to provide benefits for salary in excess of 12 times the National Insurance Base Amount which is not covered under the Norwegian Program. This supplemental plan provides a pension payment of 49 percent of final salary exceeding 12 times the National Insurance Base Amount for 30 years of service beginning on or after January 1, 2007. For service less than 30 years, the pension payment is reduced proportionately.

All of the members of our executive management team have significant accrued pension benefits under the pension plans as a result of their long tenure. We believe that these pension benefits are an important reason for the long-term retention of our management team. Our executive officers as well as all other employees have been credited under our Pension Plan for their years of service with FMC Corporation, our former parent company, prior to our spin-off as a separate company in 2001.

Savings Plans

U.S. Savings Plan

All of our U.S.-based employees, including our executives, are eligible to participate in our tax-qualified savings and investment plan (the U.S. Qualified Savings Plan). This plan provides an opportunity for employees to save for retirement on both a pre-tax and after-tax basis. Employees exceeding the IRS compensation limit for highly compensated employees can contribute between 2 percent and 20 percent of base pay and eligible incentives through pre-tax and after-tax contributions up to the maximum amount prescribed by law and our limits, and employees not considered highly compensated under IRS regulations can contribute up to 75 percent of base pay and eligible incentives. For non-bargaining unit employees, we match 100 percent up to the first 5 percent of each employee s contributions. Participants are vested on a five year graded vesting schedule for employer matching contributions. For annual compensation that exceeds the maximum compensation limit required by the U.S. Internal Revenue Code for the Company s U.S. Qualified Savings Plan, the Company contributes 5% of such excess to that employee s non-qualified savings plan account discussed below.

Our U.S.-based executives are eligible to participate in a pre-tax non-qualified defined contribution plan (the U.S. Non-Qualified Savings Plan), which provides our executives and other eligible employees with the opportunity to participate in a tax advantaged savings plan comparable to the U.S. Qualified Savings Plan. The investment options offered to participants in the Non-Qualified Savings Plan are similar to those offered in our U.S. Qualified Savings Plan. Participants may elect to defer up to 100 percent of their base pay or annual non-equity incentive bonus into the U.S. Non-Qualified Savings Plan. We match 100 percent up to the first five percent of the employee s contributions to the U.S. Non-Qualified Savings Plan. Participants are vested on a five year graded vesting schedule for employer matching contributions. All vested funds must be distributed upon an employee s termination or retirement from the Company.

Table of Contents

International Savings Plan

Mr. Halvorsen is eligible to participate in a non-qualified defined contribution plan administered in the United Kingdom (the International Savings Plan). Participation in this plan is generally restricted to key employees with a salary grade of 20 or above and who are not subject to U.S. taxes, and not citizens of the U.S., Canada or the Cayman Islands. Exceptions to the salary grade for eligibility can be made by the Company. Participants can contribute up to 75 percent of base pay and eligible incentives. We match up to the first five percent of each employee's contributions. Both the contributions to the International Savings Plan and the distributions from the International Savings Plan are made in U.S. dollars. Participants are vested on a five-year graded vesting schedule for employer contributions. All vested funds must be distributed upon an employee's termination or retirement from the Company.

Change in Control Agreements

It is our policy to offer a change in control benefit to our executive officers to ensure that they have an incentive to continue to work in our best interests during the period of time when a change of control transaction is taking place and in order to ensure we have the ability to maintain continuity of management. It is also our policy to provide this benefit to provide our executives with the assurance they will not be adversely affected by a change in control transaction without fair compensation, provided their termination is not required for cause. Finally, we believe a change in control agreement is necessary to remain competitive in the market for skilled and experienced executive talent. See Potential Payments upon Change in Control for a further description of the terms and potential amounts payable under these agreements.

The benefits payable under the change in control agreements are comparable to benefits executives in similar positions at peer companies are eligible for under their change in control agreements. The competitive nature of these benefits is annually reviewed and analyzed by the Compensation Committee with the assistance of the Committee's compensation consultant, Hewitt Associates.

All changes in control agreements entered into with our executive officers contain what is commonly referred to as double triggers. Under these agreements, the severance benefits are only payable if, in addition to the qualifying change in control, the executive officer's position is terminated, his responsibilities are materially changed, his salary and/or benefits are materially reduced and/or his location is significantly changed.

General Executive Severance Benefits

Under our executive severance plan, executive officers who lose their job through no fault of their own are entitled to receive 15 months of severance pay (limited to base pay and the executive's target annual non-equity incentive bonus), their pro-rated target annual non-equity incentive bonus through the date of termination, the continuation of medical and dental benefits for the same severance period at the employee premium rate, outplacement services, and tax preparation and financial planning assistance for the last calendar year of employment. See

Potential Payments upon Termination herein for a further description of the terms and potential amounts payable under the executive severance plan. The availability of these severance benefits is conditioned on the executive's compliance with non-disclosure, non-compete and non-solicitation covenants.

In order to preserve the deductibility of performance-based incentive payments under Section 162(m) of the IRC, the Compensation Committee amended its previous grant of authority to management to specify that in the event of a termination without cause, termination for good reason or voluntary retirement, any performance-based incentive payments are subject to the Company's actual attainment

Table of Contents

of performance goals. The terms of our executive severance plan are based on the results of a survey of publicly traded companies conducted by Hewitt Associates. Change in control agreements and severance benefits are exclusive of one another, and in no circumstances would any named executive officer receive benefits under both a change in control agreement and the executive severance plan.

Compensation Committee Report

The Compensation Committee Report that follows shall not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing made by us under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, notwithstanding any general statement contained in any such filing incorporating this Proxy Statement by reference, except to the extent we incorporate this Report by specific reference.

The Compensation Committee establishes and oversees the design and functioning of FMC Technologies' executive compensation program. We have reviewed and discussed the foregoing Compensation Discussion and Analysis with the management of the Company. Based on this review and discussion, we recommend to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Proxy Statement for the 2010 Annual Meeting.

The Compensation Committee

Mike R. Bowlin, Chairman
Philip J. Burguières
Dr. Thorleif Enger
Thomas M. Hamilton
Richard A. Pattarozzi
James M. Ringle

Relationship with Independent Compensation Consultant

In addition to the executive compensation consulting services provided to the Compensation Committee, Hewitt Associates also provided to the Company other non-executive compensation consulting services. For 2009, the Company paid the following fees to Hewitt for those services:

Fees paid for executive compensation consulting services: \$170,000

Fees paid for other non-executive compensation consulting services: \$723,000

The decision to engage Hewitt Associates to perform non-executive compensation consulting services was made by management and agreed to by the Board. On February 1, 2010, Hewitt Associates spun-off its executive compensation consulting business in North America. In connection with this spin-off, a select number of Hewitt principals and consultants left Hewitt to form Meridian Compensation Partners LLC, which operates as an independent executive compensation consulting firm.

For 2010, the Committee has retained Meridian Compensation Partners LLC as its principal compensation consultant. Meridian provides solely executive compensation advisory services to the Compensation Committee and provides no other services to the Compensation Committee or the Company.

Table of Contents**Summary Compensation Table for the Year Ended December 31, 2009**

The following table summarizes the compensation earned by each of our named executive officers of FMC Technologies from all sources for services rendered in all of their capacities to us during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009.

Name and Principal Position in 2009	Year	Salary (\$)	Stock Awards (\$) ⁽¹⁾	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	Change in Pension Value and Non-	Qualified Deferred Compensation Earnings	All other Compensation (\$) ⁽⁴⁾	Total (\$) ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾
					(\$) ⁽²⁾	(\$) ⁽³⁾		
Peter D. Kinnear Chairman, President And Chief Executive Officer	2009	\$900,000	\$4,071,818	\$1,332,900	\$431,366	\$150,346	\$6,886,430	
	2008	891,667	4,111,616	1,560,417	4,207,665	156,554	10,927,919	
	2007	781,430	4,090,073	1,218,570	2,052,980	119,358	8,262,411	
William H. Schumann, III Executive Vice President Chief Financial Officer And Treasurer	2009	\$589,384	\$1,378,153	\$523,726	(\$72,130)	\$186,252	\$2,605,385	
	2008	569,185	1,157,856	597,645	1,123,930	112,771	3,561,387	
	2007	549,135	1,121,153	487,961	330,895	92,166	2,581,310	
John T. Grep Executive Vice President	2009	\$498,488	\$1,051,361	\$516,783	\$860,235	\$45,446	\$2,972,313	
	2008	472,500	922,475	568,890	1,061,381	86,437	3,111,683	
	2007	450,000	1,022,996	478,965	533,268	84,260	2,569,489	
Tore Halvorsen Senior Vice President ⁽³⁾	2009	\$451,100	\$966,800	\$429,853	\$126,644	\$53,478	\$2,027,875	
	2008	480,769	814,572	536,058	15,262	29,949	1,876,610	
	2007	441,770	901,894	428,959	54,008	37,874	1,864,505	
Robert L. Potter Senior Vice President	2009	\$436,810	\$827,925	\$420,495	\$265,032	\$41,815	\$1,992,077	
	2008	418,000	733,643	466,070	1,039,460	75,959	2,733,132	

(1) Amounts disclosed in the Stock Awards column represent the sum of the aggregate grant date fair value of time-based restricted stock units, performance-based restricted stock units subject to performance conditions and subject to market conditions. Determination of fair value was made in accordance with the SEC proxy disclosure rules and Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 718. With respect to time-based restricted stock units and performance-based restricted stock units subject to performance conditions, the aggregate grant date fair value of such awards was based on the Company's share price on the grant date of the awards. For performance-based restricted stock units subject to performance conditions, the grant date fair value was based on the assumption that target performance is probable to occur, determined as of the date of grant. With respect to performance-based restricted stock units subject to market conditions, the aggregate grant date fair value of such award was determined utilizing a Monte Carlo simulation as disclosed in our Form 10-K filed on March 1, 2010.

The maximum award value of performance-based restricted stock units subject to both performance conditions and market-based conditions is shown in the table below. The methodology used in this table is the same as in the Summary Compensation Table for the performance-based restricted stock units. The performance-based restricted stock units achieved the maximum award value in each of the listed years based upon our stated performance measures.

Name	Year	Maximum Award Value
Peter D. Kinnear	2009	\$ 5,543,661
	2008	5,385,137
	2007	3,978,581
William H. Schumann, III	2009	\$ 1,876,299
	2008	1,523,533
	2007	1,090,591

Edgar Filing: FMC TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form DEF 14A

John T. Grep	2009	\$	1,431,372
	2008		1,213,861
	2007		995,110
Tore Halvorsen	2009	\$	1,316,243
	2008		1,071,854
	2007		877,309
Robert L. Potter	2009	\$	1,127,183
	2008		965,375
	2007		784,308

Table of Contents

- (2) The amounts in the Change in Pension Value and Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Earnings column reflect the actuarial increase in the present value of the named executive officer's benefits at the first retirement date with unreduced benefits (age 62 for U.S. Pension programs and age 67 for the Norwegian pension program) under all of our pension plans. These amounts are determined using interest rates and mortality rate assumptions consistent with those used in our audited consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009, included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 1, 2010. All non-qualified deferred compensation earnings are market-based investments and therefore are not included in this column.
- (3) The amounts reported as salary, non-equity incentive compensation and all other compensation for Mr. Halvorsen were paid in Norwegian Kroner. These amounts were converted to U.S. Dollars in the Summary Compensation Table. The conversion rate was derived from an average of the Norwegian Kroner to U.S. Dollar exchange rates on the last day of each month during 2009. The monthly salary amount paid to Mr. Halvorsen for each month in 2009 was equal for each such month.
- (4) The amounts reflected in the All Other Compensation column for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009 for Mr. Kinnear include Company paid life insurance premium of \$3,749, contributions to the Qualified Savings Plan and Non-Qualified Savings Plan of \$14,500, Personal Use of Club Membership of \$106,351, and payments for Financial Planning and Personal Tax Assistance, Personal Use of Automobiles and an Executive Physical.

The amounts reflected in the All Other Compensation column for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009 for Mr. Schumann include Company paid life insurance premium of \$1,817, contributions to the Qualified Savings Plan and Non-Qualified Savings Plan of \$13,724, Apartment Residence in Houston and Commuting Expenses of \$92,033, income tax gross up on the Apartment and Commuting Expenses of \$57,436 and payments for Financial Planning and Personal Tax Assistance, Personal Use of Automobiles and an Executive Physical.

The amounts reflected in the All Other Compensation column for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009 for Mr. Grempp include company paid life insurance premium of \$1,641, contributions to the Qualified Savings Plan and Non-Qualified Savings Plan of \$12,250, and payments for Personal Use of Club Membership, Financial Planning and Personal Tax Assistance and Personal Use of Automobiles.

The amounts reflected in the All Other Compensation column for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009 for Mr. Halvorsen include contributions to the International Savings Plan of \$31,494, and payments for Personal Use of Automobiles.

The amounts reflected in the All Other Compensation column for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009 for Mr. Potter include Company paid life insurance premium of \$1,385, contributions to the Qualified Savings Plan and Non-Qualified Savings Plan of \$12,468, and payments for Personal Use of Club Membership, Financial Planning and Personal Tax Assistance and Personal Use of Automobiles.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table

Shown below is information with respect to plan-based awards made in 2009 to each named executive officer.

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Possible Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards			Estimated Possible Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards			All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares or Units (#)	All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options (#)	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards (\$/Sh)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (\$) ⁽¹⁾
		Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)	Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Maximum (#)				
Peter D. Kinnear	2009	0	900,000	2,430,000					0	0	
	2/26/2009						48,634				1,299,987
	2/26/2009				0	68,985	137,970				1,843,969
William H. Schumann, III	2009	0	353,630	954,801					0	0	
	2/26/2009							16,461			440,003
	2/26/2009				0	23,349	46,698				624,119
John T. Grempp	2009	0	348,942	942,143					0	0	
	2/26/2009							12,558			335,675
	2/26/2009				0	17,812	35,624				476,115
Tore Halvorsen	2009	0	293,215	791,681					0	0	
	2/26/2009					8,906	17,812				239,571
	2/26/2009				0	16,379	32,758	11,548			308,678
											437,811

Edgar Filing: FMC TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form DEF 14A

	2/26/2009				8,190	16,380			220,311
Robert L. Potter	2009	0	283,927	766,603				0	0
	2/26/2009						9,889		264,333
	2/26/2009				0	14,027	28,054		374,942
	2/26/2009					7,013	14,026		188,650

Table of Contents

- (1) The three amounts disclosed for each NEO in the Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards column represent (a) the grant date fair value of time-based restricted stock units, (b) performance-based restricted stock units, subject to performance conditions, and (c) performance-based restricted stock units, subject to market conditions, in that order. Determination of fair value was made in accordance with the SEC proxy disclosure rules and FASB Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 718. With respect to time-based restricted stock units and performance-based restricted stock units, subject to performance conditions, the grant date fair value of such awards was based on the Company's share price on the grant date of the awards. For performance-based restricted stock units subject to performance conditions, the grant date fair value was based on the assumption that target performance is probable to occur, determined as of the date of grant. With respect to performance-based restricted stock subject to market conditions, the grant date fair value of such award was determined utilizing a Monte Carlo simulation as disclosed in our Form 10-K filed on March 1, 2010.

We did not make any grants of stock options or stock appreciation rights in 2009 under the Incentive Compensation and Stock Plan for services rendered during 2009 to any of the named executive officers. For a description of the material terms of the restricted stock awards, including the vesting schedules and a description of the performance targets and potential award amounts for those restricted shares subject to performance-based conditions, see the descriptions set forth in Compensation Discussion and Analysis. We do not currently pay dividends on restricted stock awards or shares obtainable upon exercise of outstanding options. Dividends would be payable on equity compensation awards that we issued only if and when dividends are declared and paid on our Common Stock.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End Table

Name	Option Awards			Stock Awards ⁽²⁾			
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Exercisable (#)	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock that have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock that have Not Vested (\$) ⁽¹⁾	Equity Incentive Plan Awards; Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights that have Not Vested (#)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards; Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights that have Not Vested (\$)
Peter D. Kinnear	59,810	11.9753	2/19/2014	594,728	34,399,105	0	0
William H. Schumann, III	0	0		180,567	10,444,011	0	0
John T. Grempp	19,048	9.207	2/20/2013	147,364	8,523,567	0	0
	26,114	11.9753	2/19/2014				
Tore Halvorsen	31,042	9.207	2/20/2013	132,466	7,661,885	0	0
	21,060	11.9753	2/19/2014				
Robert L. Potter	26,114	11.9753	2/19/2014	116,320	6,728,006	0	0

- (1) The market value of shares that have not vested is calculated using the closing price of \$57.84 of our Common Stock on December 31, 2009.

Table of Contents

- (2) The outstanding restricted stock awards presented above include awards in the amounts and with the vesting dates in the table below. Note that the individual grant awards have been rounded to the full shares and do not reflect any partial shares. (TB time based; PB performance based; PB-m performance, market based)

Executive Officer	Date	Restricted Stock Grant Amount	Restricted Stock Vesting Date
Peter D. Kinnear	2/20/2007 TB	67,571	1/2/2010
	2/20/2007 PB	90,095	1/2/2010
	2/20/2007 PB-m	45,047	1/2/2010
	2/25/2008 TB	27,252	1/3/2011
	2/25/2008 PB	72,782	1/3/2011
	2/25/2008 PB-m	36,391	1/3/2011
	2/26/2009 TB	48,634	1/2/2012
	2/26/2009 PB	137,970	1/2/2012
	2/26/2009 PB-m	68,986	1/2/2012
William H. Schumann, III	2/20/2007 TB	18,522	1/2/2010
	2/20/2007 PB	24,696	1/2/2010
	2/20/2007 PB-m	12,348	1/2/2010
	2/25/2008 TB	7,606	1/3/2011
	2/25/2008 PB	20,591	1/3/2011
	2/25/2008 PB-m	10,296	1/3/2011
	2/26/2009 TB	16,461	1/2/2012
	2/26/2009 PB	46,698	1/2/2012
	2/26/2009 PB-m	23,348	1/2/2012
John T. Grep	2/20/2007 TB	16,900	1/2/2010
	2/20/2007 PB	22,534	1/2/2010
	2/20/2007 PB-m	11,267	1/2/2010
	2/25/2008 TB	6,060	1/3/2011
	2/25/2008 PB	16,406	1/3/2011
	2/25/2008 PB-m	8,203	1/3/2011
	2/26/2009 TB	12,558	1/2/2012
	2/26/2009 PB	35,624	1/2/2012
	2/26/2009 PB-m	17,812	1/2/2012
Tore Halvorsen	2/20/2007 TB	14,899	1/2/2010
	2/20/2007 PB	19,866	1/2/2010
	2/20/2007 PB-m	9,933	1/2/2010
	2/25/2008 TB	5,351	1/3/2011
	2/25/2008 PB	14,487	1/3/2011
	2/25/2008 PB-m	7,243	1/3/2011
	2/26/2009 TB	11,548	1/2/2012
	2/26/2009 PB	32,758	1/2/2012
	2/26/2009 PB-m	16,380	1/2/2012
Robert L. Potter	2/20/2007 TB	13,320	1/2/2010
	2/20/2007 PB	17,760	1/2/2010
	2/20/2007 PB-m	8,880	1/2/2010
	2/25/2008 TB	4,819	1/3/2011
	2/25/2008 PB	13,047	1/3/2011
	2/25/2008 PB-m	6,524	1/3/2011
	2/26/2009 TB	9,889	1/2/2012
	2/26/2009 PB	28,054	1/2/2012
	2/26/2009 PB-m	14,026	1/2/2012

Table of Contents**Option Exercises and Stock Vested Table**

Shown below is information for each of the named executive officers with respect to options to purchase Common Stock exercised in 2009 and restricted stock awards vested in 2009.

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards ⁽²⁾	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise	Value Realized on Exercise	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting	Value Realized on Vesting
	(#)	(\$)	(#)	(\$)
Peter D. Kinnear	80,449	\$ 3,380,387	45,306	\$ 1,658,214
William H. Schumann, III	97,929	4,357,504	37,825	1,360,133
John T. Grempe	-	-	27,004	938,661
Tore Halvorsen	-	-	36,868	933,489
Robert L. Potter	26,325	1,265,446	23,462	816,709

Pension Benefits Table

The table below shows the present value of accumulated benefits payable to each of the named executive officers, including the number of years of service credited to each such named executive officer, under each of our pension plans determined using interest rate and mortality rate assumptions consistent with those used in our financial statements. Credited years of service for each of the named executive officers under the pension plans include years of service with our predecessor. The U.S. Pension Plan and the Norwegian Pension Program values are the present value of accrued benefits at the first retirement date for unreduced benefits. The U.S. Non-Qualified Pension Plan value is the present value at December 31, 2009 of the lump sum payable at the first retirement date for unreduced benefits.

Name	Plan Name	Number of Years Credited Service as of 12/31/2009	Present Value of Accumulated Benefit as of 12/31/2009	Payments During Last Fiscal Year
Peter D. Kinnear	U.S. Pension Plan	38.6	\$1,354,045	\$ 0
	U.S. Non-Qualified Pension Plan		10,980,996	0
William H. Schumann, III	U.S. Pension Plan	28.5	\$854,339	0
	U.S. Non-Qualified Pension Plan		3,936,499	0
John T. Grempe	U.S. Pension Plan	34.4	\$979,767	0
	U.S. Non-Qualified Pension Plan		3,675,205	0
Tore Halvorsen	Norwegian Pension Program	29.2	\$237,113	0
	Norwegian Supplementary Program		138,232	0
Robert L. Potter	U.S. Pension Plan	36.6	\$1,086,027	0
			3,204,784	0

U.S. Non-Qualified Pension
Plan

Table of Contents

Assumptions:

Present value of accumulated benefit as of 12/31/2000 reflects (for all but T. Halvorsen):

- sum of present value of U.S. Pension Plan benefit accrued through 12/31/2009 plus present value of U.S. Non-Qualified Pension benefit accrued through 12/31/ 2009;
- present value of qualified plan benefit calculated as amount payable at first unreduced age using 12/31/2009 FASB ASC Topic 715 disclosure assumptions (5.9%, RP2000CH project to 2010) and reflecting discounting of present value back to 12/31/2009 using FASB ASC Topic 715 interest only (5.9%);
- present value of non-qualified plan benefit calculated as amount payable at first unreduced age using 12/31/2009 FASB ASC Topic 715 assumptions (5.50%, 94GAR project to 2002 for lump sums and 5.9% for 5-year certain annuity) and reflecting discounting of present value back to 12/31/2009 using FASB ASC Topic 715 interest only (5.9%); and
- unreduced benefits are first available at age 62 under the FMC Technologies, Inc. plans.

Present value of benefits as of 12/31/2009 reflects (for Tore Halvorsen):

- present value of main plan and additional plan DB benefits accrued through 12/31/2009;
- present value of benefits calculated as amount payable at first unreduced age using 12/31/2009 FAS87 disclosure assumptions (5.25% discount rate, K2005 mortality, 1.30% pension increase rate); and
- unreduced benefits are first available at age 67 under the FMC Technologies, Inc. plan.

U.S. Pension Plan

Benefit Formula

Our U.S. Pension Plan is a defined benefit plan that provides eligible employees having five or more years of service a pension benefit for retirement. Years of credited service and final average yearly earnings are used to calculate the pension benefit. The final average yearly earnings are based on the highest 60 consecutive months out of the final 120 months of compensation. The normal annual retirement benefit is the product of (a) and (b) below:

- (a) the sum of:
 - (i) 1 percent of the participant's final average yearly earnings up to the Social Security Covered Compensation Base (defined as the average of the maximum Social Security taxable wages bases for the 35-year period ending in the year in which Social Security retirement age is reached) plus 1 1/2 percent of the participant's final average yearly earnings in excess of the Social Security covered compensation base multiplied by the participant's expected years of credited service at age 65 up to 35 years of credited service; and
 - (ii) 1 1/2 percent of the participant's final average yearly earnings multiplied by the participant's expected years of credited service at age 65 in excess of 35 years of credited service; and
- (b) the ratio of actual years of credited service to expected years of credited service at age 65.

Eligible Earnings

Edgar Filing: FMC TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form DEF 14A

Eligible earnings under the U.S. Pension Plan for the named executive officers (excluding Mr. Halvorsen) include the base pay and annual non-equity incentive bonus paid by us to the executives for each plan year. Equity compensation, such as restricted stock and stock option awards, and deferrals to the U.S. Non-Qualified Savings Plan, are not included. The Internal Revenue Service limits the annual amount of earnings that may be taken into account for the U.S. Pension Plan to remain qualified under the Internal Revenue Code. Since all of the named executive officers' eligible earnings exceed that limitation, the eligible earnings for each of the named executive officers (except Mr. Halvorsen) under the U.S. Pension Plan is the same amount, \$245,000 for 2009.

Table of Contents

The Pension Plan limits annual pension benefits to IRS requirements for tax-qualified retirement plans. This amount was \$195,000 in 2009.

Early Retirement

The U.S. Pension Plan's early retirement date is the participant's 55th birthday in the case of a participant who became a participant in our predecessor's pension plan before January 1, 1984. Participants hired on or after January 1, 1984, are eligible for early retirement on or after age 55 with ten years of service. All named executive officers were participants in the predecessor's pension plan before January 1, 1984. All of the named executive officers in the U.S. Pension Plan are age 55 or older and are eligible to receive early retirement benefits under the U.S. Pension Plan.

A participant in the U.S. Pension Plan who retires on or after their early retirement date is entitled to receive the early retirement benefit, which is equal to the normal retirement benefit reduced by 1/3 of 1 percent for each month by which the commencement of the participant's early retirement benefit precedes the participant's 62nd birthday. A participant in the U.S. Pension Plan whose employment terminates prior to their early retirement date is entitled to receive an early retirement benefit payable after the attainment of age 55, which is equal to the normal retirement benefit reduced by 1/2 of 1 percent for each month by which the commencement of the participant's early retirement benefit precedes the participant's 65th birthday.

Payment of Pension Benefit

The normal retirement benefit is an individual life annuity for single retirees and 50 percent joint and survivor annuity for married retirees. The U.S. Pension Plan also provides for a variety of other methods for receiving pension benefits such as 75 percent and 100 percent joint and survivor annuities, level income and lump sum for benefits with lump sum values of \$1,000 or less. The levels of annuities are actuarially determined based on the age of the participant and the age of the participant's spouse for joint and survivor annuities. The actuarial reduction for a participant and spouse who are both age 62 is 7.9 percent from the normal retirement benefit for the 50 percent joint and survivor annuity, 11.4 percent from the normal retirement benefit for the 75 percent joint and survivor annuity and 14.7 percent from the normal retirement benefit for the 100 percent joint and survivor annuity. The level income annuity pays increased benefits to the retiree until Social Security benefits begin at age 62 and reduces the benefit after age 62 so that the total of the retirement benefit and Social Security benefits is approximately equal before and after age 62.

U.S. Non-Qualified Pension Plan

We have also established a U.S. Non-Qualified Pension Plan that provides employees with a mirror pension benefit under a non-qualified retirement plan for benefits limited under the U.S. Pension Plan for (1) limitations due to the IRS maximum annual pension benefit limit, (2) earnings that exceed the Internal Revenue Service limitations on earnings eligible for the tax-qualified Pension Plan, and (3) deferred compensation not included in the pensionable earnings definition in the U.S. Pension Plan. The normal form of payment for the Non-Qualified Pension Plan is a lump sum distribution. In addition, a participant may elect to receive his benefit in monthly installments payable over five years. The actuarial equivalence assumption for interest rates is based on the lesser of the 30-year Treasury Rate in effect for October of the year prior to termination and 6 percent. Distributions will be made upon separation from service. Distributions for key employees as defined by the Internal Revenue Code will be paid no sooner than six months after separation from service. All of the named executive officers are key employees. The distribution election may not be changed within 12 months of termination or retirement.

Table of Contents*Norwegian Pension Program*

The Norwegian Pension Program is designed to provide Norwegian employees with a targeted total pension payment of 65 percent of final salary based on 30 years of service. Service is reduced proportionately when less than 30 years. This pension payment is offset by any state or government provided social security benefits. The salary included in the benefit calculation is limited to 12 times the National Insurance Base Amount, which is \$11,712 (NOK 72,881), effective May 1, 2009 through the end of 2009. The amount in U.S. dollars is based on an average currency exchange rate at month end over the full year. Normal retirement age for Norwegian employees is age 67. Pension payments are payable at normal retirement, disability or pre-retirement death in the form of an individual life annuity.

Norwegian Supplementary Program

In addition, our pension plan in Norway, effective January 1, 2008, provides benefits above the salary limit. This supplemental plan provides a pension payment of 49 percent of final salary in excess of 12 times the Base Amount based on 30 years of service. Years of credited service for a Norwegian employee under the supplemental plan is calculated from the later of date of commencement of employment and January 1, 2007. For service less than 30 years, the pension payment is reduced proportionately. Normal retirement age for Norway employees is age 67. Benefits are payable at normal retirement, disability or pre-retirement death in the form of individual life annuities.

Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Table

Pursuant to our U.S. Non-Qualified Savings Plan, certain of our employees, including our named executive officers (excluding Mr. Halvorsen), may defer up to 100 percent of base pay and annual non-equity incentive bonuses after exceeding IRS limits on contributions to the U.S. Qualified Savings Plan. Mr. Halvorsen is eligible to participate in the International Savings Plan and may defer up to 75 percent of base pay and annual non-equity incentive compensation. For the U.S. Non-Qualified Savings Plan, deferral elections are made by eligible employees in November or December of each year for amounts earned (or granted with regard to incentive compensation awards) in the following year. The investment options are publicly available mutual funds and our Common Stock. Our matching contribution will be made in the same investment allocations that the participant selects for his contributions to the plan. In addition, the named executive officers who participate in the U.S. Non-Qualified Savings Plan may elect to defer all or any portion of their base pay and annual non-equity incentive bonus payments for the current year under the U.S. Non-Qualified Savings Plan, and the deferred amounts will be deemed as being invested in any funds available under the U.S. Non-Qualified Savings Plan. Participants in the International Savings Plan can change their deferral elections throughout the year.

Name	Executive Contributions in Last		Fiscal Year	Registrant Contributions in Last Fiscal Year	Aggregate Earnings in Last Fiscal Year	Aggregate Withdrawals/Distributions	Aggregate Balance at Last Fiscal Year End			
	Year	(\$) ⁽¹⁾						(\$) ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	(\$)	(\$)
Peter D. Kinnear	\$	45,000	\$	2,250	\$	957,062	\$	0	\$	2,237,318
William H. Schumann, III		29,469		1,474		330,368		0		1,222,638
John T. Grempp		-		-		187,869		0		676,145
Tore Halvorsen		31,494		31,494		368,030		0		692,824
Robert L. Potter		4,368		218		161,059		0		848,395

- (1) All of the executive officers' contributions reported in the Executive Contributions in Last Fiscal Year column are included in salary and non-equity incentive plan compensation reported for the executive officers in the Summary Compensation Table in this Proxy Statement.
- (2) All of the contributions made by us for the executive officers reported in the Registrant Contributions in Last Fiscal Year column are included in All Other Compensation for the executive officers in the Summary Compensation Table in this Proxy Statement.

Table of Contents

(3) The total amount excludes a contribution made on January 15, 2010 attributable to the 2009 plan year.

(4) The Portion of the Aggregate Balance at Last Fiscal year End reported in the Summary Compensation Table appearing in our proxy statement for fiscal years prior to the year ending December 31, 2009 for the following named executive officers were: Mr. Kinnear, \$639,579; Mr. Schumann, \$581,942; Mr. Grep, \$212,686, Mr. Halvorsen, \$56,904 and Mr. Potter, \$57,640.

Potential Payments Upon Termination

The compensation benefits payable to each of the named executive officers in the event of a voluntary termination are the same as those available to all other salaried employees in those situations. Our named executive officers receive additional compensation benefits either in the event of their death or disability, retirement or involuntary not-for-cause termination discussed in this section, or, alternatively, in the event of a change in control, discussed in the following section Potential Payments Upon Change in Control . Termination payments and change in control payments are mutually exclusive and our named executive officers are not entitled to receive both forms of payment.

Payments in the Event of Death, Disability or Retirement

In the event of the death or disability of a named executive officer during active employment with us, all outstanding equity awards vest on the first business day following death or disability. This same death or disability benefit exists for any of our employees who hold an unvested equity award at the time of their death or disability. In the event of a named executive officer's retirement after reaching the age of 62, all outstanding equity awards are retained and vest in accordance with their pre-retirement normal vesting schedule. All outstanding equity awards are forfeited in the event of retirement prior to reaching the age of 62. Since none of the named executive officers except Mr. Kinnear are age 62 as of December 31, 2009, the equity awards of all named executive officers except Mr. Kinnear would be forfeited in the event of retirement.

The following table shows the value to each of the named executive officers if death or disability, and in the case of Mr. Kinnear, retirement had occurred on December 31, 2009.

Executive Benefits and Payments in the Event of Death or Disability on December 31, 2009

Name	Long-Term Incentive Compensation (\$)			Total
	Performance-Based Restricted	Stock Options/SARs	Restricted Stock Unvested and Accelerated ⁽¹⁾	
Peter D. Kinnear	\$ 20,116,344	\$ 0	\$ 8,297,594	\$ 28,413,938
William H. Schumann, III	5,954,867	0	2,463,414	8,418,281
John T. Grep	4,923,798	0	2,054,400	6,978,198
Tore Halvorsen	4,401,543	0	1,839,271	6,240,814
Robert L. Potter	3,889,853	0	1,621,199	5,511,052

(1) A portion of the total value of the restricted stock shown above, resulting from accelerated vesting upon death or disability on December 31, 2009, would have vested without accelerating on January 4, 2010, the awards' normal vesting date, pursuant to the terms of those awards which were granted on February 20, 2007. The portion of the value is:

Mr. Kinnear	11,724,922
Mr. Schumann	3,213,984
Mr. Grep	2,932,601
Mr. Halvorsen	2,585,439
Mr. Potter	2,311,364

Table of Contents**Payments Made in an Involuntary Termination**

Named executive officers will receive payments pursuant to our executive severance plan described in Compensation Discussion and Analysis Severance Benefit above in the event their employment is terminated by us for reasons other than cause or a change in control. This plan provides certain enhanced benefits in addition to those provided under our general severance plan for all non-union employees. These include:

a severance payment equal to 15 months of base pay and target annual non-equity incentive bonus;

pro rata payment of annual non-equity incentive plan compensation, subject to the actual attainment of performance goals, as approved, for purposes of Section 162(m) of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code, by the Compensation Committee;

continuing medical and dental benefits for the executive, their spouse and dependents for the severance period of 15 months at employee premiums;

outplacement assistance;

financial planning and tax preparation assistance for last calendar year of employment; and

In the event of an involuntary termination, the treatment of an executive officer's outstanding equity awards is at the discretion of our Chief Executive Officer and the Compensation Committee and is subject to the attainment of performance goals, if any, approved by the Compensation Committee for executive's compensation subject to Section 162(m) of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code.

Benefits under the executive severance plan are contingent upon continuing compliance by the terminated executive with non-disclosure, non-compete and non-solicitation covenants.

The amounts shown in the table below are calculated using the assumption that an involuntary not-for-cause termination was effective as of December 31, 2009, and as a result are based on amounts earned through such time and are only estimates of amounts which would be paid out to the named executive officers in the event of such a termination. The actual amounts that would be paid out if such a termination were to occur can only be determined at the time of such executive officer's actual termination.

Executive Benefits and Payments for Involuntary Termination Occurring on December 31, 2009

	Peter D. Kinnear	William H. Schumann, III	John T. Grep	Tore Halvorsen	Robert L. Potter
Compensation (\$)					
Severance Payment	\$2,250,000	\$1,188,818	\$1,059,287	\$930,394	\$900,021
Pro-Rated Target Annual Non-Equity Incentive Compensation ⁽¹⁾	1,265,400	497,204	490,612	412,260	399,201
Benefits and Perquisites (\$)					
Medical and Dental Benefit ⁽²⁾	7,931	11,322	11,322	0	7,931
Financial Planning and Tax Preparation Assistance	12,316	19,223	14,143	12,200	12,230
Outplacement Services	135,000	89,161	74,773	67,665	65,522
Total (\$):	\$3,670,647	\$1,805,728	\$1,650,137	\$1,422,519	\$1,385,805

Edgar Filing: FMC TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form DEF 14A

- (1) Assumes a BPI rating of 1.58, as determined by the Board of Directors at its February 2010 meeting, and an API rating of 1.0.
- (2) Assumes no change in coverage by such named executive officer for medical and dental benefits.

Table of Contents

In the event of an involuntary termination, the treatment of an executive officer's outstanding equity awards is at the discretion of our Chief Executive Officer and the Compensation Committee. An executive officer may be permitted to retain all or a portion of these awards subject to their existing vesting schedule. For the valuation of these awards at December 31, 2009, see the Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End Table in this Proxy Statement.

Potential Payments Upon Change in Control

Payments in the Event of a Change in Control

We have entered into change in control agreements with each of our named executive officers. Pursuant to these agreements, in the event of a qualifying change in control and a qualifying adverse change in employment circumstances, our named executive officers will be entitled to the following benefits:

three times their annual base pay and three times the greater of the executive's annual target annual non-equity incentive bonus or the average of the actual annual non-equity incentive bonuses paid to the executive in the prior two years;

a pro rata payment equal to the amount of the executive's annual target non-equity incentive bonus for the year the executive is terminated;

accrued but unpaid base pay and unused and accrued vacation pay;

elimination of ownership and retention guidelines and accelerated vesting of any unvested stock options and stock appreciation rights;

restricted stock awards subject to performance conditions are considered earned and fully payable at the target amount (or 100 percent) of the original grant;

elimination of all restrictions on transferability and ownership and retention guidelines and accelerated vesting of all restricted stock awards;

three years additional age and service credit for purposes of benefit determination in the Non-Qualified Pension Plan;

medical, dental, life, accidental death and dismemberment insurance and long-term disability insurance coverage for eighteen (18) months for the executive and the executive's spouse and dependents, provided the executive continues to pay employee premiums for such insurance coverage then in effect and the Company will make available for purchase by the executive continued health care, life and accidental death and dismemberment, and disability insurance coverage at the same coverage level as in effect as of the date of the change in control;

reimbursement for the costs of all outplacement services obtained by the executive within two years of the termination date (limited to 15 percent of the executive's base pay on termination); and

Edgar Filing: FMC TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form DEF 14A

reimbursement for legal fees and other litigation costs incurred in good faith by an executive officer as a result of our refusal to provide severance benefits under the change in control agreement, contesting the enforceability or validity of those agreements or as a result of conflicts in the interpretation of its requirements.

The severance payment is required to be paid in a single lump sum payment no later than 30 days after the date of termination.

Table of Contents

Our named executive officers have been informed that effective March 2011, their agreements will no longer provide for the reimbursement of any excise tax imposed on benefits received under the change in control agreement.

If a named executive officer's employment is terminated due to a disability subsequent to a change in control, the executive will receive base pay through the effective date of termination and any disability benefits payable to the executive under our short and long-term disability programs, but will not be entitled to the severance benefits under the change in control agreement. The named executive officer's disability benefits will be the same as are available to all other employees under our disability benefit plans.

If a named executive officer's employment is terminated due to death subsequent to a change in control, the benefits paid to the executive's estate will be determined under our retirement, survivor's benefits, insurance and other programs, but the executive officer's estate will not be entitled to severance benefits under the change in control agreement.

Executive officers are not obligated to seek other employment in mitigation of amounts payable under the change in control agreements, and their subsequent re-employment will not impact our obligation to make the severance payments provided for under the change in control agreements.

Executive officers receiving severance benefits under the change in control agreements are not entitled to receive additional severance benefits under our general executive severance plan described above under "Payments Made in an Involuntary Termination" and in "Compensation Discussion and Analysis - Severance Benefit."

Under our change in control agreements, our named executive officers would be entitled to payments and other benefits upon the occurrence of any of the following "change in control" events, provided a "qualifying termination" occurs:

A change in ownership of the Company occurs on the date that any one person, or more than one person acting as a group (as described below), acquires ownership of stock of the Company that, together with stock held by such person or group, constitutes more than 50 percent of the total fair market value or total voting power of the stock of the Company. However, if any one person or more than one person acting as a group, is considered to own more than 50 percent of the total fair market value or total voting power of the stock of the Company, the acquisition of additional stock by the same person or persons is not considered to cause a change in ownership of the Company (or to cause a change in effective control of the Company). An increase in the percentage of stock owned by any one person, or persons acting as a group, as a result of a transaction in which the Company acquires its stock in exchange for property will be treated as an acquisition of stock. This applies only when there is a transfer of stock of the Company (or issuance of stock of the Company) and stock in the Company remains outstanding after the transaction.

Persons are not considered to be "persons acting as a group" solely because they (i) purchase or own stock of the same corporation at the same time, or as a result of the same public offering, or (ii) purchase assets of the same corporation at the same time. However, persons are considered to be acting as a group if they are owners of a corporation that enters into a merger, consolidation, purchase or acquisition of stock or assets, or similar business transaction with the Company. If a person, including an entity, owns stock in both corporations that enter into a merger, consolidation, purchase or acquisition of stock or assets, or similar transaction, such shareholder is considered to be acting as a group with other shareholders in a corporation only with respect to the ownership in that corporation prior to the transaction giving rise to the change and not with respect to the ownership interest in the other corporation.

Table of Contents

A change in effective control of the Company occurs on the date that either (i) any one person, or more than one person acting as a group, acquires (or has acquired during the 12-month period ending on the date of the most recent acquisition by such person or persons) ownership of stock of the Company possessing 30 percent or more of the total voting power of the stock of the Company; or (ii) a majority of members of the Board is replaced during any 12-month period by directors whose appointment or election is not endorsed by a majority of the members of the Board prior to the date of the appointment or election.

A change in effective control will have occurred only if the named executive officer is employed by the Company upon the date of the change in effective control or the Company is liable for the payment of the benefits hereunder and no other corporation is a majority shareholder of the Company. Further, in the absence of an event described in (i) or (ii) of the preceding paragraph, a change in effective control of the Company will not have occurred.

If any one person, or more than one person acting as a group, is considered to effectively control the Company, the acquisition of additional control of the Company by the same person or persons is not considered to cause a change in effective control of the Company (or to cause a change in ownership of the Company).

A change in ownership of a substantial portion of the assets of the Company occurs on the date that any one person, or more than one person acting as a group, acquires (or has acquired during the 12-month period ending on the date of the most recent acquisition by such person or persons) assets from the Company that have a total gross fair market value equal to or more than 40 percent of the total gross fair market value of all of the assets of the Company immediately prior to such acquisition or acquisitions. For this purpose, gross fair market value means the value of the assets of the Company, or the value of the assets being disposed of, determined without regard to any liabilities associated with such assets.

A qualifying termination includes (a) an involuntary termination of the named executive officer's employment by us for reasons other than cause, disability or death within 24 months of the change in control, (b) a voluntary termination by the named executive officer for good reason within 24 months of the change in control or (c) a breach or any successor breaches any of the provisions of the change in control agreement.

Under the change in control agreements, a named executive officer will be considered terminated for cause for:

willful and continued failure to substantially perform the executive officer's employment duties in any material respect (other than any such failure resulting from physical or mental incapacity or occurring after an executive officer has provided notification to us of a voluntary termination for a good reason) after proper written notification has been provided to the executive officer and the executive officer fails to resume substantial performance of the named executive officer's duties on a continuous basis within 30 days of receipt of such notice;

willfully engaging in conduct which is demonstrably and materially injurious to the Company or an affiliate; or

conviction for, or pleading guilty or not contesting, a felony charge under federal or state law.

Table of Contents

A named executive officer's voluntary termination will be considered to be for "good reason" for purposes of the change in control agreements if, without the executive's express written consent, any one or more of the following events occurs:

assignment to duties materially inconsistent with the executive officer's authorities, duties, responsibilities, and status (including, without limitation, offices, titles and reporting requirements) as our employee, or a reduction or alteration in the nature or status of the executive's authorities, duties, or responsibilities from the greatest of (i) those in effect on the effective date of the change in control agreement; (ii) those in effect during the fiscal year immediately preceding the year of the change in control; and (iii) those in effect immediately preceding the change in control;

requiring the executive officer to be based at a location which is at least 50 miles further from the executive's then current primary residence than is such residence from the office where the executive is located at the time of the change in control, except for required travel on our business to an extent substantially consistent with the executive officer's business obligations as of the effective date of such executive's change in control agreement or as the same may have been subsequently changed prior to a change in control;

a material reduction of the executive officer's base pay as in effect on the effective date of the change in control agreement or as the same may have been subsequently increased;

a material reduction in the executive officer's level of participation in any of our short-term and/or long-term incentive compensation plans, employee benefit or retirement plans, policies, practices, or arrangements in which the executive officer participates from the greatest of the levels in place: (i) on the effective date of the change in control agreement; (ii) during the fiscal year immediately preceding the fiscal year of the change in control; and (iii) on the date immediately preceding the date of the change in control;

our failure to obtain a satisfactory agreement from any successor to assume our obligations under the change in control agreement;
or

any termination of the executive officer's employment that is not effected pursuant to a written notice of termination satisfying the requirements for such a notice under the change in control agreement.

The existence of "good reason" for a voluntary termination is not affected by an executive officer's temporary incapacity due to physical or mental illness not constituting a disability. The executive officer's continued employment does not constitute a waiver of the executive's rights with respect to any circumstance constituting "good reason."

The amounts shown in the table below are calculated using the assumption that a change in control and qualifying termination was effective under the change in control agreements as of December 31, 2009, and as a result are based on amounts earned through such time and are only estimates of the amounts which would be paid out to the named executive officers in the event of such a termination. The actual amounts that would be paid out if such a termination were to occur can only be determined at the time of such executive officer's actual termination. Following a change in control, if a named executive officer is terminated either: (a) by us for "cause", or (b) by the executive officer (other than for retirement, "good reason" or other circumstances that constitute a "qualifying termination"), the benefit under the executive's change in control agreement will not apply, and we will pay the executive an amount equal to the executive's accrued and unpaid base pay, accrued and unused vacation and any other amounts the executive is entitled to receive under pension and other benefit plans.

Table of Contents**Executive Benefits and Payments for Change in Control Termination Occurring on December 31, 2009**

	Peter D. Kinnear	William H. Schumann, III	John T. Grep	Tore Halvorsen	Robert L. Potter
Compensation					
Base pay Multiple	\$2,700,000	\$1,783,227	\$1,495,464	\$1,353,300	\$1,310,430
Annual Non-Equity Incentive Compensation	4,168,481	1,628,409	1,567,283	1,326,539	1,281,705
Pro-Rated Annual Target Incentive Compensation	900,000	353,630	348,942	293,215	283,927
Long-Term Incentive Compensation					
Performance-Based Restricted Stock	20,116,344	5,954,867	4,923,798	4,401,543	3,889,853
Stock Options/SARs					
Unvested and Accelerated ⁽¹⁾	0	0	0	0	0
Restricted Stock ⁽¹⁾					
Unvested and Accelerated	8,297,594	2,463,414	2,054,400	1,839,271	1,621,199
Benefits and Perquisites					
Service Credit for the Non-Qualified Pension Plan ⁽²⁾	970,434	1,151,363	1,014,831	126,270	943,274
Medical, Dental, Life Insurance and Disability Benefits ⁽³⁾	15,142	16,313	16,048	0	11,596
Outplacement Services	135,000	89,161	74,773	67,665	65,522
280G Tax Gross-up	4,977,793	0	0	0	0
Total	\$42,280,788	\$13,440,384	\$11,495,539	\$9,407,803	\$9,407,506

- (1) A portion of the total value of the restricted stock shown above resulting from accelerated vesting upon a change in control taking place on December 31, 2009 would have vested without accelerating on January 4, 2010, the awards' normal vesting date, pursuant to the terms of those awards which were granted on February 20, 2007. That portion of the value is: Mr. Kinnear, \$11,724,922; Mr. Schumann, \$3,213,984; Mr. Grep, \$2,932,601; Mr. Halvorsen, \$2,585,439; and Mr. Potter, \$2,311,364.
- (2) The amount representing the value of additional years of age and service credit for the U.S. Non-Qualified Pension Plan is based on the assumptions of a lump sum payment calculated as the present value of benefits immediately payable on December 31, 2009, reduced by the U.S. Non-Qualified Pension Plan's early retirement factor using the named executive officer's age at December 31, 2009, plus the three years of additional credited service granted under the change in control agreement.
- (3) Assumes no change in current premium cost paid for such named executive officer's medical, dental, life insurance and disability benefits.

Table of Contents

Proposal to Ratify the Appointment of KPMG LLP

(Item 2 on the Proxy Card)

What am I voting on?

You are voting on a proposal to ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal year 2010. The Audit Committee has appointed KPMG LLP to serve as independent registered public accounting firm.

What services does the independent registered public accounting firm provide?

Audit services of KPMG LLP for fiscal year 2009 included an audit of our consolidated financial statements, an audit of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting, and services related to periodic filings made with the SEC. Additionally, KPMG LLP provided certain other services as described in the response to the next question. In connection with the audit of the 2009 financial statements, we entered into an engagement agreement with KPMG that sets forth the terms by which KPMG will perform audit services for us. That agreement is subject to alternative dispute resolution procedures.

How much was the independent registered public accounting firm paid for 2009 and 2008?

KPMG's fees for professional services totaled \$4.353 million for 2009 and \$6.945 million for 2008. KPMG's fees for professional services included the following:

Audit Services fees for audit services, which relate to the annual integrated audit of our consolidated financial statements, foreign statutory audits and reviews of interim financial statements in our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q were \$4.009 million for 2009 and \$6.791 million for 2008. The Audit Fees for 2008 and 2009 also include a carve-out audit for our spin-off of FMC FoodTech and Airport Systems.

Audit-Related Services fees for audit-related services, which primarily consisted of consultation on financial reporting standards, were \$36 thousand for 2009 and \$6 thousand for 2008.

Tax Services fees for tax services, consisting of tax compliance services and tax planning and consultation with respect to various corporate tax matters, were \$298 thousand for 2009 and \$141 thousand for 2008.

Other Services Fees for other services, including fees for services of expatriates and miscellaneous services, were negligible in 2009 and 2008.

The Audit Committee considered the effect of KPMG LLP's non-audit services in assessing the independence of such accountants and concluded that the provision of such services by KPMG LLP was compatible with the maintenance of that firm's independence in the conduct of its auditing functions.

The Audit Committee reviews all relationships between KPMG LLP and us, including the provision of non-audit services, which may relate to the auditor's independence. The Audit Committee's approval is required prior to retaining KPMG LLP for any permitted non-audit services and for the fees payable for such services. The fees for all of the services summarized above not constituting Audit Fees were pre-approved by the Audit Committee in 2008 and 2009.

Will a representative of KPMG LLP be present at the meeting?

Yes, we have been advised that one or more representatives of KPMG LLP will be present at the meeting. The representatives will have an opportunity to make a statement if they desire and will be available to respond to appropriate questions from the stockholders.

Table of Contents

What vote is required to approve this proposal?

Approval of this proposal requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares present in person or represented by proxy at the meeting and entitled to vote on the proposal. If the appointment of KPMG LLP is not ratified, the Audit Committee will reconsider the appointment.

What does the Board recommend?

**THE AUDIT COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE FOR THE
RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF KPMG LLP AS THE COMPANY'S
INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR THE YEAR 2010.**

Stockholder Proposal: Majority Voting (Item 3 on the Proxy Card)

What am I voting on?

You are voting on a proposal submitted on behalf of the United Brotherhood of Carpenters Pension Fund (UBC), 101 Constitution Ave., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20001. The text of the resolution and the supporting statement of the UBC is printed below verbatim from its submission.

What is the Proposal?

The shareholders of FMC Technologies, Inc. (Company) hereby request that the Board of Directors initiate the appropriate process to amend the Company's governance documents (certificate of incorporation or bylaws) to provide that director nominees shall be elected by the affirmative vote of the majority of votes cast at an annual meeting of shareholders, with a plurality vote standard retained for contested director elections, that is, when the number of director nominees exceeds the number of board seats.

Supporting Statement:

In order to provide shareholders a meaningful role in director elections, the Company's director election vote standard should be changed to a majority vote standard. A majority vote standard would require that a nominee receive a majority of the votes cast in order to be elected. The standard is particularly well-suited for the vast majority of director elections in which only board nominated candidates are on the ballot. We believe that a majority vote standard in board elections would establish a challenging vote standard for board nominees and improve the performance of individual directors and entire boards. The Company presently uses a plurality vote standard in all director elections. Under the plurality standard, a board nominee can be elected with as little as a single affirmative vote, even if a substantial majority of the votes cast are withheld from the nominee.

In response to strong shareholder support for a majority vote standard, a strong majority of the nation's leading companies, including Intel, General Electric, Motorola, Hewlett Packard, Morgan Stanley, Home Depot, Gannett, Marathon Oil, and Pfizer, have adopted a majority vote standard in company bylaws or articles of incorporation. Additionally, these companies have adopted director resignation policies in their bylaws or corporate governance policies to address post-election issues related to the status of director nominees that fail to win election. Other companies have responded only partially to the call for change by simply adopting post election director resignation policies that set procedures for addressing the status of director nominees that receive more withhold votes than for votes. At the time of this proposal submission, the Company and its Board had not taken either action.

We believe that a post election director resignation policy without a majority vote standard in company governance documents is an inadequate reform. The critical first step in establishing a meaningful

Table of Contents

majority vote policy is the adoption of a majority vote standard. With a majority vote standard in place, the board can then take action to develop a post election procedure to address the status of directors that fail to win election. A majority vote standard combined with a post election director resignation policy would establish a meaningful right for shareholders to elect directors, and reserve for the board an important post election role in determining the continued status of an unelected director. We urge the Board to take this important step of establishing a majority vote standard in the Company's governance documents.

What vote is required to approve this proposal?

Approval of this proposal requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares present in person or represented by proxy at the meeting and entitled to vote on the proposal.

What does the Board recommend?

THE BOARD RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE AGAINST THIS PROPOSAL FOR THE FOLLOWING REASONS:

The Corporate Governance Committee and the Board believe the change suggested by this proposal would not be in the best interest of the shareholders.

FMC Technologies believes that adherence to sound corporate governance policies and practices is important in ensuring that FMC Technologies is governed and managed with the highest standards of responsibility, ethics and integrity and in the best interests of its stockholders. FMC Technologies currently elects its directors by a plurality standard, meaning that the nominees who receive the most affirmative votes are elected to the board. This method of voting, which is permissible under Delaware corporate law, has served FMC Technologies well for many years. In fact, in no instance can it be found that plurality voting prevented FMC Technologies stockholders from either electing the directors they wanted to elect or otherwise expressing their dissatisfaction with any particular director or the board as a whole.

No director elected in FMC Technologies' nine year history as a public company has received less than 82 percent favorable votes. Consequently, this proposal would have had no effect whatsoever on any FMC Technologies board election to date. Even without this proposal, FMC Technologies stockholders have been highly successful in electing responsible, objective directors who consistently protect the best interests of the stockholders.

The Board of Directors maintains a Nominating and Governance Committee that is comprised entirely of independent directors. As described under Nominating and Governance Committee, the Nominating and Governance Committee maintains and applies a robust set of criteria in selecting candidates for election to the board and considers candidates recommended by stockholders in the same manner as other candidates. Consequently, adoption of the United Brotherhood of Carpenters and Joiners of America's proposal is not necessary in order to compel or encourage the board to consider stockholder interests and desires.

For these reasons, the Board of Directors strongly urges FMC Technologies stockholders to vote AGAINST the UBC's proposal to require majority voting for the election of directors.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

We have undertaken responsibility for preparing and filing the stock ownership forms required under Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 on behalf of our officers and directors. Based on a review of forms filed and information provided by officers and directors to us, we believe that all Section 16(a) reporting requirements were fully met during 2009.

Table of Contents

Audit Committee Report

The Audit Committee Report that follows shall not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing made by us under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, notwithstanding any general statement contained in any such filing incorporating this Proxy Statement by reference, except to the extent we incorporate this Report by specific reference.

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors has:

Reviewed and discussed with management and KPMG LLP, FMC Technologies' independent public accountant, the audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2009, and KPMG's evaluation of the Company's internal control over financial reporting;

Discussed with KPMG LLP the matters that are required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, as amended (AICPA, *Professional Standards*, Vol. 1, AU Section 380), as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) in Rule 3200T; and

Received the written disclosures and the letter from KPMG LLP required by PCAOB Ethics and Independence Rule 3526, Communication with Audit Committees Concerning Independence, and discussed with KPMG its independence from the Company.

In reliance upon the review and discussions referred to above, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the audited financial statements be included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

The preceding report has been furnished by the following members of the Audit Committee:

Edward J. Mooney (Chair)

C. Maury Devine

Claire S. Farley

Thomas M. Hamilton

James M. Ringler

Code of Ethics

Our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, which is applicable to all of our employees, officers and directors, may be found in the Corporate Governance section of our website under *About Us* at www.fmctechnologies.com and is also available without charge in print to our stockholders upon request. A request should be directed to our principal executive offices at 1803 Gears Road, Houston, Texas 77067, Attention: Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary. We have established a hotline for employees to report violations of the ethics policy or complaints regarding accounting and auditing practices on an anonymous basis. Reports of possible violations of financial or accounting policies made to the hotline are directed to our Director of Internal Audit and the chair of the Audit Committee.

Proposals for the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders

Stockholders may make proposals to be considered at the 2011 Annual Meeting. To be included in the proxy statement and form of proxy for the 2011 Annual Meeting, stockholder proposals must be received not later than December 2, 2010, at our principal executive offices, 1803 Gears Road, Houston, Texas 77067, Attention: Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary.

Table of Contents

To properly bring other business before the Annual Meeting, a stockholder must deliver written notice thereof, setting forth the information specified in our By-laws, to the Corporate Secretary at our principal executive offices not later than the 90th day, nor earlier than the 120th day, prior to the first anniversary of the preceding year's Annual Meeting; provided, however, that such other business must otherwise be a proper matter for stockholder action. In the event that the date of the Annual Meeting is more than 30 days before or more than 60 days after such anniversary date, however, a stockholder must deliver notice not earlier than the 120th day prior to such Annual Meeting and not later than the latter of (a) the 90th day prior to such Annual Meeting and (b) the 10th day following the day on which we first make public announcement of the date of such meeting. To properly bring business before the 2011 Annual Meeting, we must receive notice at our principal executive offices no later than February 7, 2011. A copy of the full text of the By-Law provisions discussed above may be obtained by writing to the Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary, FMC Technologies, Inc., 1803 Gears Road, Houston, Texas 77067.

Stockholders Sharing an Address

In accordance with notices sent to *beneficial owners* of our Common Stock sharing a single address, we are sending only one FMC Technologies Annual Report and Proxy Statement to that address unless we receive contrary instructions from any beneficial owner at that address. This house-holding practice reduces our printing and postage costs. However, if a beneficial owner at such an address wishes to receive separate Annual Reports or Proxy Statements this year or in the future, he or she may contact the bank, broker or other nominee that is the holder of record of that beneficial owner's shares of Common Stock. If you and other *stockholders of record* with whom you share an address and last name are receiving multiple copies, you may also request house-holding by contacting Broadridge, by mail at House-holding Department, 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, New York 11717, or by telephone at (800) 542-1061.

Expenses Relating to this Proxy Solicitation

We will pay all expenses relating to this proxy solicitation. In addition to this solicitation by mail, our officers, directors, and employees may solicit proxies by telephone or personal call without extra compensation for that activity. In accordance with the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the New York Stock Exchange, we will also reimburse banks, brokers and other custodians, nominees and fiduciaries for reasonable out-of-pocket expenses in forwarding proxy material to beneficial owners of Common Stock and obtaining the proxies of those owners. We have retained Morrow & Co., LLC, 470 West Ave., Stamford, CT 06902 to assist in the solicitation of proxies. We will pay the cost of such assistance, which is estimated to be \$7,000, plus reimbursement for out-of-pocket fees and expenses.

Jeffrey W. Carr
Vice President, General

Counsel and Secretary

Table of Contents

FMC Technologies, Inc.

FMC Technologies, Inc.

1803 Gears Road

Houston, Texas 77067

Notice of

Annual Meeting of Stockholders

May 7, 2010

and Proxy Statement

FMC Technologies, Inc.

Table of Contents

FMC TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

1803 GEARS ROAD

HOUSTON, TX 77067

VOTE BY INTERNET - www.proxyvote.com

Use the Internet to transmit your voting instructions and for electronic delivery of information up until 11:59 P.M. Eastern Time the day before the cut-off date or meeting date. Have your proxy card in hand when you access the web site and follow the instructions to obtain your records and to create an electronic voting instruction form.

ELECTRONIC DELIVERY OF FUTURE PROXY MATERIALS

If you would like to reduce the costs incurred by our company in mailing proxy materials, you can consent to receiving all future proxy statements, proxy cards and annual reports electronically via e-mail or the Internet. To sign up for electronic delivery, please follow the instructions above to vote using the Internet and, when prompted, indicate that you agree to receive or access proxy materials electronically in future years.

VOTE BY PHONE - 1-800-690-6903

Use any touch-tone telephone to transmit your voting instructions up until 11:59 P.M. Eastern Time the day before the cut-off date or meeting date. Have your proxy card in hand when you call and then follow the instructions.

VOTE BY MAIL

Mark, sign and date your proxy card and return it in the postage-paid envelope we have provided or return it to Vote Processing, c/o Broadridge, 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, NY 11717.

TO VOTE, MARK BLOCKS BELOW IN BLUE OR BLACK INK AS FOLLOWS:

M21003-P89727 KEEP THIS PORTION FOR YOUR RECORDS
THIS PROXY CARD IS VALID ONLY WHEN SIGNED AND DATED. DETACH AND RETURN THIS PORTION ONLY

FMC TECHNOLOGIES, INC.	For All	Withhold All	For All Except	To withhold authority to vote for any individual nominee(s), mark For All Except and write the number(s) of the nominee(s) on the line below.
-------------------------------	----------------	---------------------	-----------------------	--

The Board of Directors recommends vote **FOR** each of the nominees for Director.

1. Vote On Directors
----------------------	----	----	----

Edgar Filing: FMC TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form DEF 14A

Election of three Directors to serve in Class III for a term expiring in 2013 as set forth in the Proxy Statement

Nominees:

01) Thorleif Enger

02) Claire S. Farley

03) Joseph H. Netherland

The Board of Directors recommends a vote **FOR** the Ratification of the Appointment of KPMG LLP

For Against Abstain

2. Vote on the Proposal to Ratify the Appointment of KPMG LLP

..

The Board of Directors recommends a vote **AGAINST** Majority Voting

3. Vote on Majority Voting

..

Please mark, sign, date, and return the proxy card promptly using the enclosed envelope.

For address changes and/or comments, please check this box and write them on the back where indicated.

..

Please indicate if you plan to attend this meeting.

.. ..
Yes No

Please sign exactly as your name appear at right.

Signature [PLEASE SIGN WITHIN BOX]

Date

Signature (Joint Owners)

Date

Table of Contents

FMC TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

MAY 7, 2010, 11:00 A.M.

ADMISSION TICKET

You must present this admission ticket in order to gain admittance to the meeting. This ticket admits only the share owner(s) listed on the reverse side and is not transferable. If these shares are held in the name of a broker, trust, bank or other nominee, you should bring a proxy or letter from the broker, trustee, bank or nominee confirming your beneficial ownership of the shares.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting:

The Notice and Proxy Statement and Annual Report are available at www.proxyvote.com.

M21004-P89727

Proxy

FMC TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

THIS PROXY IS SOLICITED ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS.

The undersigned hereby appoints Peter D. Kinnear, William H. Schumann, III and Jeffrey W. Carr, and each of them, proxies for the undersigned, with full power of substitution, to vote in the manner indicated on the reverse side, and with discretionary authority as to any other matters that may properly come before the meeting, all shares of common stock of FMC Technologies, Inc. which the undersigned is entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders of FMC Technologies, Inc. to be held on May 7, 2010, at The Woodlands Waterway Marriott Hotel and Convention Center, 1601 Lake Robbins Drive, The Woodlands, Texas 77380, at 11:00 a.m. and any adjournment or postponement thereof. The matters to be voted upon are set forth in the Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and Proxy Statement.

THE UNDERSIGNED HEREBY REVOKES ANY PROXY HERETOFORE GIVEN AND ACKNOWLEDGES RECEIPT OF THE NOTICE AND PROXY STATEMENT FOR THE ANNUAL MEETING.

If no direction is made, this proxy will be voted FOR Proposal 1, FOR Proposal 2 and AGAINST Proposal 3.

You are instructed to vote in the manner indicated on the reverse side, and with discretionary authority as to any other matters that may come before the meeting, all shares of common stock represented by participant's interest in the FMC Technologies, Inc. Stock Fund of the FMC Technologies, Inc. Savings and Investment Plan.

Unless otherwise instructed prior to May 4, 2010, the Trustee WILL VOTE these shares in the same manner and proportion as the number of shares for which the Trustee received instruction.

BANCO POPULAR DE PUERTO RICO, *Trustee*

Edgar Filing: FMC TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form DEF 14A

You are instructed to vote in the manner indicated on the reverse side, and with discretionary authority as to any other matters that may properly come before the meeting, all shares of common stock represented by participant's interest in the FMC Technologies, Inc. Stock Fund of the FMC Puerto Rico Savings and Investment Plan.

Unless otherwise instructed prior to May 4, 2010, the Trustee WILL VOTE these shares FOR Proposal 1, FOR Proposal 2 and AGAINST Proposal 3

NOT VALID UNLESS DATED AND SIGNED ON REVERSE SIDE

This proxy, when properly executed, will be voted in the manner directed herein by the undersigned stockholder. **If no direction is made, this proxy will be voted FOR Proposal 1, FOR Proposal 2 and AGAINST Proposal 3.**

Address Changes/Comments:

(If you noted any Address Changes/Comments above, please mark corresponding box on the reverse side.)

Continued and to be signed on reverse side.