FEDERAL REALTY INVESTMENT TRUST Form 10-K March 01, 2007 **Table of Contents**

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

b Annual report pursuant to the Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006

Or

"Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 For the transition period from ______ to _____

Commission file number: 1-07533

FEDERAL REALTY INVESTMENT TRUST

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Declaration of Trust)

(State of Organization)

52-0782497 (IRS Employer Identification No.)

> 20852 (Zip Code)

Name Of Each Exchange On Which Registered

New York Stock Exchange

1626 East Jefferson Street, Rockville, Maryland (Address of Principal Executive Offices)

(301) 998-8100

(Registrant s Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class

Common Shares of Beneficial Interest, \$.01 par value per share, with associated Common Share Purchase Rights Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. b Yes "No

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. "Yes b No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. b Yes "No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. b

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one): Large Accelerated Filer b Accelerated Filer "Non-Accelerated Filer "

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). "Yes b No

The aggregate market value of the Registrant s common shares held by non-affiliates of the Registrant, based upon the closing sales price of the Registrant s common shares on June 30, 2006 was \$3.7 billion.

The number of Registrant s common shares outstanding on February 23, 2007 was 55,428,896.

FEDERAL REALTY INVESTMENT TRUST

ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K

FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the Registrant s Proxy Statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission for Registrant s 2007 annual meeting of shareholders to be held in May 2007 will be incorporated by reference into Part III hereof.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>PART I</u>		
Item 1.	Business	3
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	7
Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	17
Item 2.	Properties	17
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	26
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Shareholders	26
<u>PART II</u>		
Item 5.	Market for Our Common Equity and Related Shareholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	27
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	29
Item 7.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	31
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	57
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	58
Item 9.	Changes In and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	58
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	58
Item 9B.	Other Information	60
<u>PART III</u>		
Item 10.	Trustees, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	61
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	61
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Shareholder Matters	61
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Trustee Independence	61
Item 14.	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	61
PART IV		
Item 15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	62
SIGNATUR	ES	63

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

References to we, us, our or the Trust refer to Federal Realty Investment Trust and our business and operations conducted through our directly or indirectly owned subsidiaries.

General

We are an equity real estate investment trust (REIT) specializing in the ownership, management, development and redevelopment of high quality retail and mixed-use properties. As of December 31, 2006, we owned, had a majority interest in, or controlled 111 community and neighborhood shopping centers and mixed-use properties comprising approximately 18.8 million square feet, located primarily in densely populated and affluent communities with relatively high barriers to entry throughout the Northeast and Mid-Atlantic United States, as well as in California. In total, these 111 commercial properties were 96.5% leased at December 31, 2006. A joint venture in which we own a 30% interest owned six neighborhood shopping centers totaling approximately 0.7 million square feet as of December 31, 2006. In total, the joint venture properties in which we own an interest were 98.7% leased at December 31, 2006. We have paid quarterly dividends to our shareholders continuously since our founding in 1962 and have increased our dividends per common share for 39 consecutive years. Revenue, property operating income, total assets and other financial information of each reportable segment are described in Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and in the financial statements contained in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data of this Form 10-K.

We were founded in 1962 as a real estate investment trust under the laws of the District of Columbia and reformed as a real estate investment trust in the state of Maryland in 1999. Our principal executive offices are located at 1626 East Jefferson Street, Rockville, Maryland 20852 and our telephone number is (301) 998-8100. Our Web site address is *www.federalrealty.com*. The information contained on our Web site is not a part of this report.

Business Objectives and Strategies

Our primary business objective is to own, manage, acquire and redevelop a portfolio of high quality retail properties, with the dominant property type being grocery anchored community and neighborhood shopping centers, that will:

generate higher internal growth than our peers;

protect investor capital;

provide increasing cash flow for distributions to shareholders; and

provide potential for capital appreciation.

Our traditional focus has been and remains on grocery anchored community and neighborhood shopping centers. Late in 1994, recognizing a trend of increased consumer acceptance of retailer expansion to main streets, we expanded our investment strategy to include street retail and mixed-use properties. The mixed-use properties are typically centered around a retail component but also include office, residential and/or hotel components.

Operating Strategies

Our core operating strategy is to actively manage our properties to maximize rents and maintain high occupancy levels by attracting and retaining a strong and diverse base of tenants and replacing weaker, underperforming tenants with stronger ones. Our properties are generally located in some of the most densely populated and affluent areas of the country. In addition, because of the in-fill nature of our locations, our properties generally face less competition per capita than properties owned by our peers. These strong demographics help our tenants generate higher sales, which has enabled us to maintain high occupancy rates, charge higher rental rates, and maintain steady rent growth, all of which increase the value of our portfolio. Our operating strategies also include:

increasing rental rates through the renewal of expiring leases or the leasing of space to new tenants at higher rental rates while limiting vacancy and down-time;

maintaining a diversified tenant base, thereby limiting exposure to any one tenant s financial difficulties;

monitoring the merchandising mix of our tenant base to achieve a balance of strong national and regional tenants with local specialty tenants;

minimizing overhead and operating costs;

monitoring the physical appearance of our properties and the construction quality, condition and design of the buildings and other improvements located on our properties to maximize our ability to attract customers and thereby generate higher rents and occupancy rates;

developing local and regional market expertise in order to capitalize on market and retailing trends;

leveraging the contacts and experience of our management team to build and maintain long-term relationships with tenants, investors and financing sources; and

providing exceptional customer service. Investing Strategies

Our investment strategy is to deploy capital at risk-adjusted rates of return that exceed our weighted average cost of capital in projects that have potential for future income growth.

Our investments primarily fall into one of the following four categories:

renovating, expanding, reconfiguring and/or retenanting our existing properties to take advantage of under-utilized land or existing square footage to increase revenue;

acquiring community and neighborhood shopping centers, located in densely populated or affluent areas where barriers to entry for further development are high, and that have possibilities for enhancing operating performance through renovation, expansion,

reconfiguration and/or retenanting;

renovating or expanding tenant spaces for tenants capable of producing higher sales, and therefore, paying higher rents, including expanding space available to an existing tenant that is performing well but is operating out of an old or otherwise inefficient store format; and

acquiring, in partnership with longer term investors who contribute a substantial portion of the equity needed to acquire those properties, stabilized community and neighborhood shopping centers, located in densely populated or affluent areas where barriers to entry for further development are high.

Investment Criteria

When we evaluate potential redevelopment, retenanting, expansion and acquisition opportunities, we consider such factors as:

the expected returns in relation to our cost of capital as well as the anticipated risk we will face in achieving the expected returns;

Table of Contents

the anticipated growth rate of operating income generated by the property;

the tenant mix at the property, tenant sales performance and the creditworthiness of those tenants;

the geographic area in which the property is located, including the population density and household incomes, as well as the population and income trends in that geographic area;

competitive conditions in the vicinity of the property, including competition for tenants and the ability to create competing properties through redevelopment, new construction or renovation;

access to and visibility of the property from existing roadways and the potential for new, widened or realigned, roadways within the property s trade area, which may affect access and commuting and shopping patterns;

the level and success of our existing investments in the market area;

the current market value of the land, buildings and other improvements and the potential for increasing those market values; and

the physical condition of the land, buildings and other improvements, including the structural and environmental condition. *Financing Strategies*

Our financing strategy is designed to enable us to maintain a strong balance sheet while retaining sufficient flexibility to fund our operating and investing activities in the most cost-efficient way possible. Our financing strategy includes:

maintaining a prudent level of overall leverage and an appropriate pool of unencumbered properties that is sufficient to support our unsecured borrowings;

managing our exposure to variable-rate debt;

taking advantage of market opportunities to refinance existing debt, reduce interest costs and manage our debt maturity schedule so that a significant portion of our debt does not mature in any one year;

selling properties that have limited growth potential or are not a strategic fit within our overall portfolio and redeploying the proceeds to redevelop, renovate, retenant and/or expand our existing properties, acquire new properties or reduce debt; and

utilizing the most advantageous long-term source of capital available to us to finance redevelopment and acquisition opportunities, which may include:

the sale of our equity or debt securities through public offerings or private placements,

the incurrence of indebtedness through secured or unsecured borrowings,

the issuance of operating units in a new or existing downREIT partnership that is controlled and consolidated by us (generally operating units in a downREIT partnership are issued in exchange for a tax deferred contribution of property; these units receive the same distributions as our common shares and the holders of these units have the right to exchange their units for cash or the same number of our common shares, at our option), or

the use of joint venture arrangements.

Employees

At December 31, 2006, we had 221 full-time employees and 114 part-time employees. None of our employees is represented by a collective bargaining unit. We believe that our relationship with our employees is good.

Tax Status

We elected to be taxed as a real estate investment trust for federal income tax purposes beginning with our taxable year ended December 31, 1962. As a REIT, we are generally not subject to federal income tax on REIT taxable income that we distribute to our shareholders. Under the internal revenue Code of 1986, as amended, which we refer to as the Code, REITs are subject to numerous organizational and operational requirements, including the requirement to generally distribute at least 90% of REIT taxable income each year. We will be subject to federal income tax on our taxable income (including any applicable alternative minimum tax) at regular corporate rates if we fail to qualify as a REIT for tax purposes in any taxable year, or to the extent we distribute less than 100% of REIT taxable income. We will also not be permitted to qualify for treatment as a REIT for federal income tax purposes for four years following the year during which qualification is lost. Even if we qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, we may be subject to certain state and local income and franchise taxes and to federal income and excise taxes on our undistributed REIT taxable income.

We have elected to treat certain of our subsidiaries as taxable REIT subsidiaries, each of which we refer to as a TRS. In general, a TRS may engage in any real estate business and certain non-real estate businesses, subject to certain limitations under the Code. A TRS is subject to federal and state income taxes. The sales of condominiums at Santana Row, which occurred between August 2005 and August 2006, were conducted through a TRS. As a result of these condominium sales, our TRS incurred approximately \$2.4 million and \$3.5 million of income taxes in 2006 and 2005, respectively. Other than the condominium sales, our TRS activities have been limited and they did not incur any significant income taxes.

Governmental Regulations Affecting Our Properties

We and our properties are subject to a variety of federal, state and local environmental, health, safety and similar laws, including:

the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended, which we refer to as CERCLA;

the Resource Conservation & Recovery Act;

the Federal Clean Water Act;

the Federal Clean Air Act;

the Toxic Substances Control Act;

the Occupational Safety & Health Act; and

the Americans with Disabilities Act.

The application of these laws to a specific property that we own depends on a variety of property-specific circumstances, including the current and former uses of the property, the building materials used at the property and the physical layout of the property. Under certain environmental laws, principally CERCLA, we, as the owner or operator of properties currently or previously owned, may be required to investigate and clean up certain hazardous or toxic substances, asbestos-containing materials, or petroleum product releases at the property. We may also be held liable to a governmental entity or third parties for property damage and for investigation and clean up costs incurred in connection with the contamination, whether or not we knew of, or were responsible for, the contamination. In addition, some environmental laws create a lien on the contaminated site in favor of the government for damages and costs it incurs in connection with the contamination. As the owner or operator of real estate, we also may be liable under common law to third parties for damages and injuries resulting from environmental contamination emanating from the real estate. Such costs or liabilities could exceed the value of the affected real estate. The presence of contamination or the failure to remediate contamination may adversely affect our ability to sell or lease real estate or to borrow using the real estate as collateral.

Neither existing environmental, health, safety and similar laws nor the costs of our compliance with these laws has had a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations, and management does not believe they will in the future. In addition, we have not incurred, and do not expect to incur, any material costs or liabilities due to environmental contamination at properties we currently own or have owned in the past.

However, we cannot predict the impact of new or changed laws or regulations on properties we currently own or may acquire in the future. We have no current plans for substantial capital expenditures with respect to compliance with environmental, health, safety and similar laws and we carry environmental insurance which covers a number of environmental risks for most of our properties.

Competition

Numerous commercial developers and real estate companies compete with us with respect to the leasing and the acquisition of properties. Some of these competitors may possess greater capital resources than we do, although we do not believe that any single competitor or group of competitors in any of the primary markets where our properties are located are dominant in that market. This competition may:

reduce the number of properties available for acquisition;

increase the cost of properties available for acquisition;

interfere with our ability to attract and retain tenants, leading to increased vacancy rates and/or reduced rents, and

adversely affect our ability to minimize expenses of operation.

Retailers at our properties also face increasing competition from outlet stores, discount shopping clubs, and other forms of marketing of goods and services, such as direct mail, electronic commerce and telemarketing. This competition could contribute to lease defaults and insolvency of tenants.

Available Information

Copies of our Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 are available free of charge through the Investor Information section of our website at *www.federalrealty.com* as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file the material with, or furnish the material to, the Securities and Exchange Commission, or the SEC.

Our Corporate Governance Guidelines, Code of Business Conduct, Code of Ethics applicable to our Chief Executive Officer and senior financial officers, Whistleblower Policy, organizational documents and the charters of our audit committee, compensation committee and nominating and corporate governance committee are all available in the Corporate Governance section of the Investor Information section of our website.

Amendments to the Code of Ethics or Code of Business Conduct or waivers that apply to any of our executive officers or our senior financial officers will be disclosed in that section of our website as well.

You may obtain a printed copy of any of the foregoing materials from us by writing to us at Investor Relations, Federal Realty Investment Trust, 1626 East Jefferson Street, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Also, documents that we incorporate by reference into this Annual Report on Form 10-K, including documents that we subsequently file with the Securities and Exchange Commission, which

we refer to as the SEC, will contain forward-looking statements. When we refer to forward-looking statements or information, sometimes we use words such as may, will, could, should, plans, intends, expects, believes, estimates, anticipates and continues. In particular factors describe forward-looking information. The risk factors describe risks that may affect these statements but are not all-inclusive, particularly with respect to possible future events. Many things can happen that can cause actual results to be different from those we describe. These factors include, but are not limited to the following:

Revenue from our properties may be reduced or limited if the retail operations of our tenants are not successful.

Revenue from our properties depends primarily on the ability of our tenants to pay the full amount of rent and other charges due under their leases on a timely basis. Some of our leases provide for the payment, in addition to base rent, of additional rent above the base amount according to a specified percentage of the gross sales generated by the tenants and for reimbursement of real estate taxes and expenses of operating the property. General economic downturns and other conditions affecting the retail industry may affect the success of our tenants retail operations and therefore the amount of rent and expense reimbursements we receive from our tenants. Any reduction in our tenants ability to pay base rent, percentage rent or other charges, including the filing by any of our tenants for bankruptcy protection, may adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Our net income depends on the success and continued presence of our anchor tenants.

Our net income could be adversely affected in the event of a downturn in the business, or the bankruptcy or insolvency, of any anchor store or anchor tenant. Anchor tenants generally occupy large amounts of square footage, pay a significant portion of the total rents at a property and contribute to the success of other tenants by drawing significant numbers of customers to a property. The closing of one or more anchor stores at a property could adversely affect that property and result in lease terminations by, or reductions in rent from, other tenants whose leases may permit termination or rent reduction in those circumstances or whose own operations may suffer as a result. This could reduce our net income.

We may experience difficulty or delay in renewing leases or re-leasing space.

We derive most of our revenue directly or indirectly from rent received from our tenants. We are subject to the risks that, upon expiration or termination of leases, whether by their terms, as a result of a tenant bankruptcy or otherwise, leases for space in our properties may not be renewed, space may not be re-leased, or the terms of renewal or re-lease, including the cost of required renovations or concessions to tenants, may be less favorable than current lease terms. As a result, our results of operations and our net income could be reduced.

The amount of debt we have and the restrictions imposed by that debt could adversely affect our business and financial condition.

We have a substantial amount of debt. As of December 31, 2006, we had approximately \$1.7 billion of debt outstanding. Of that outstanding debt, approximately \$285 million was secured by 15 of our properties and approximately \$149 million represented capital lease obligations on 12 of our properties. In addition, we own a 30% interest in a joint venture that had \$77.4 million of debt secured by six properties as of December 31, 2006. In total, approximately \$1.6 billion of our debt as of December 31, 2006, which includes all of our property secured debt (including the property secured debt of the joint venture) and our capital lease obligations, is fixed rate debt. Our organizational documents do not limit the level or amount of debt that we may incur. We do not have a policy limiting the ratio of our debt to total capitalization or assets. The amount of our debt outstanding from time to time could have important consequences to our shareholders. For example, it could:

require us to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to payments on our debt, thereby reducing funds available for operations, property acquisitions, redevelopments and other appropriate business opportunities that may arise in the future;

limit our ability to make distributions on our outstanding common shares and preferred shares;

make it difficult to satisfy our debt service requirements;

require us to dedicate increased amounts of our cash flow from operations to payments on our variable rate, unhedged debt if interest rates rise;

limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the factors that affect the profitability of our business;

limit our ability to obtain any additional debt or equity financing we may need in the future for working capital, debt refinancing, capital expenditures, acquisitions, redevelopment or other general corporate purposes or to obtain such financing on favorable terms; and

limit our flexibility in conducting our business, which may place us at a disadvantage compared to competitors with less debt or debt with less restrictive terms.

Our ability to make scheduled payments of the principal of, to pay interest on, or to refinance our indebtedness will depend primarily on our future performance, which to a certain extent is subject to economic, financial, competitive and other factors beyond our control. There can be no assurance that our business will continue to generate sufficient cash flow from operations in the future to service our debt or meet our other cash needs. If we are unable to generate this cash flow from our business, we may be required to refinance all or a portion of our existing debt, sell assets or obtain additional financing to meet our debt obligations and other cash needs, including the payment of dividends required to maintain our status as a real estate investment trust. We cannot assure you that any such refinancing, sale of assets or additional financing would be possible on terms that we would find acceptable.

We are obligated to comply with financial and other covenants in our debt that could restrict our operating activities, and the failure to comply with such covenants could result in defaults that accelerate payment under our debt.

Our credit facility and term loans include financial covenants that may limit our operating activities in the future. We are also required to comply with additional covenants that include, among other things, provisions:

relating to the maintenance of property securing a mortgage;

restricting our ability to pledge assets or create liens;

restricting our ability to incur additional debt;

restricting our ability to amend or modify existing leases at properties securing a mortgage;

restricting our ability to enter into transactions with affiliates; and

restricting our ability to consolidate, merge or sell all or substantially all of our assets.

As of December 31, 2006, we were in compliance with all of our financial covenants. If we were to breach any of our debt covenants, including the covenants listed above, and did not cure the breach within any applicable cure period, our lenders could require us to repay the debt immediately, and, if the debt is secured, could immediately begin proceedings to take possession of the property securing the loan. Many of our debt arrangements, including our public notes and our credit facility, are cross-defaulted, which means that the lenders under those debt arrangements can put us in default and require immediate repayment of their debt if we breach and fail to cure a covenant under certain of our other debt obligations. As a result, any default under our debt covenants could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, our results of operations, our ability to meet our obligations and the market value of our shares.

Our development activities have inherent risks.

The ground-up development of improvements on real property, as opposed to the renovation and redevelopment of existing improvements, presents substantial risks. We generally do not intend to undertake on our own construction of any new large-scale mixed-use, ground-up development projects; however, we do intend to complete the development and construction of remaining phases of projects we already have started, such as Bethesda Row in Bethesda, Maryland, Santana Row in San Jose, California, and Assembly Square in Somerville, Massachusetts. We may undertake development of these and other projects if it is justifiable on a risk-adjusted return basis. If additional phases of any of our existing projects or if any new projects are not successful, it may adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

In addition to the risks associated with real estate investment in general as described elsewhere, the risks associated with our remaining development activities include:

significant time lag between commencement and completion subjects us to greater risks due to fluctuation in the general economy;

failure or inability to obtain construction or permanent financing on favorable terms;

expenditure of money and time on projects that may never be completed;

inability to achieve projected rental rates or anticipated pace of lease-up;

higher than estimated construction costs, including labor and material costs; and

possible delay in completion of a project because of a number of factors, including weather, labor disruptions, construction delays or delays in receipt of zoning or other regulatory approvals, or acts of God (such as fires, earthquakes or floods). **Redevelopments and acquisitions may fail to perform as expected.**

Our investment strategy is focused on the redevelopment and acquisition of community and neighborhood shopping centers that are anchored by grocery stores, drugstores or high volume, value-oriented retailers that provide consumer necessities. The redevelopment and acquisition of properties entails risks that include the following, any of which could adversely affect our results of operations and our ability to meet our obligations:

our estimate of the costs to improve, reposition or redevelop a property may prove to be too low, or the time we estimate to complete the improvement, repositioning or redevelopment may be too short. As a result, the property may fail to achieve the returns we have projected, either temporarily or for a longer time;

we may not be able to identify suitable properties to acquire or may be unable to complete the acquisition of the properties we identify;

we may not be able to integrate an acquisition into our existing operations successfully;

properties we redevelop or acquire may, within the time frames we project, fail to achieve the occupancy or rental rates we project at the time we make the decision to invest, which may result in the properties failure to achieve the returns we projected;

our pre-acquisition evaluation of the physical condition of each new investment may not detect certain defects or identify necessary repairs until after the property is acquired, which could significantly increase our total acquisition costs; and

our investigation of a property or building prior to our acquisition, and any representations we may receive from the seller of such building or property, may fail to reveal various liabilities, which could reduce the cash flow from the property or increase our acquisition cost.

Our ability to grow will be limited if we cannot obtain additional capital.

Our growth strategy is focused on the redevelopment of properties we already own and the acquisition of additional properties. We believe that it will be difficult to fund our expected growth with cash from operating activities because, in addition to other requirements, we are generally required to distribute to our shareholders at least 90% of our REIT taxable income each year to continue to qualify as a real estate investment trust, or REIT, for federal income tax purposes. As a result, we must rely primarily upon the availability of debt or equity capital, which may or may not be available on favorable terms or at all. The debt could include mortgage loans from third parties or the sale of debt securities. Equity capital could include our common shares or preferred shares. We cannot guarantee that additional financing, refinancing or other capital will be available in the amounts we desire or on favorable terms. Our access to debt or equity capital depends on a number of factors, including the market s perception of our growth potential, our ability to pay dividends, and our current and potential future earnings. Depending on the outcome of these factors, we could experience delay or difficulty in implementing our growth strategy on satisfactory terms, or be unable to implement this strategy.

Rising interest rates could adversely affect our cash flow and the market price of our outstanding debt and preferred shares.

Of our approximately \$1.7 billion of debt outstanding as of December 31, 2006, approximately \$107 million bears interest at variable rates and was unhedged. We may borrow additional funds at variable interest rates in the future. Increases in interest rates would increase the interest expense on our variable rate debt and reduce our cash flow, which could adversely affect our ability to service our debt and meet our other obligations and also could reduce the amount we are able to distribute to our shareholders. Although we have in the past and may in the future enter into hedging arrangements or other transactions as to a portion of our variable rate debt to limit our exposure to rising interest rates, the amounts we are required to pay under the variable rate debt to which the hedging or similar arrangements relate may increase in the event of non-performance by the counterparties to any of our hedging arrangements. In addition, an increase in market interest rates may lead purchasers of our debt securities and preferred shares to demand a higher annual yield, which could adversely affect the market price of our outstanding debt securities and preferred shares and the cost of issuing additional debt securities or preferred shares.

Our performance and value are subject to general risks associated with the real estate industry.

Our economic performance and the value of our real estate assets, and, consequently, the value of our investments, are subject to the risk that if our properties do not generate revenues sufficient to meet our operating expenses, including debt service and capital expenditures, our cash flow and ability to pay distributions to our shareholders will be adversely affected. As a real estate company, we are susceptible to the following real estate industry risks:

economic downturns in the areas where our properties are located;

adverse changes in local real estate market conditions, such as an oversupply or reduction in demand;

changes in tenant preferences that reduce the attractiveness of our properties to tenants;

zoning or regulatory restrictions;

decreases in market rental rates;

weather conditions that may increase or decrease energy costs and other weather-related expenses;

costs associated with the need to periodically repair, renovate and re-lease space; and

increases in the cost of adequate maintenance, insurance and other operating costs, including real estate taxes, associated with one or more properties, which may occur even when circumstances such as market factors and competition cause a reduction in revenues from one or more properties, although real estate taxes typically do not increase upon a reduction in such revenues.

Many real estate costs are fixed, even if income from our properties decreases.

Our financial results depend primarily on leasing space in our properties to tenants on terms favorable to us. Costs associated with real estate investment, such as real estate taxes, insurance and maintenance costs, generally are not reduced even when a property is not fully occupied, rental rates decrease, or other circumstances cause a reduction in income from the property. As a result, cash flow from the operations of our properties may be reduced if a tenant does not pay its rent or we are unable to rent our properties on favorable terms. Under those circumstances, we might not be able to enforce our rights as landlord without delays and may incur substantial legal costs. Additionally, new properties that we may acquire or develop may not produce any significant revenue immediately, and the cash flow from existing operations may be insufficient to pay the operating expenses and debt service associated with such new properties until they are fully leased.

Competition may limit our ability to purchase new properties and generate sufficient income from tenants.

Numerous commercial developers and real estate companies compete with us in seeking tenants for our existing properties and properties for acquisition. This competition may:

reduce properties available for acquisition;

increase the cost of properties available for acquisition;

reduce rents payable to us;

interfere with our ability to attract and retain tenants;

lead to increased vacancy rates at our properties; and

adversely affect our ability to minimize expenses of operation.

Retailers at our properties also face increasing competition from outlet stores, discount shopping clubs, and other forms of marketing of goods, such as direct mail, internet marketing and telemarketing. This competition could contribute to lease defaults and insolvency of tenants. If we are unable to continue to attract appropriate retail tenants to our properties, or to purchase new properties in our geographic markets, it could materially affect our ability to generate net income, service our debt and make distributions to our shareholders.

We may be unable to sell properties when appropriate because real estate investments are illiquid.

Real estate investments generally cannot be sold quickly. In addition, there are some limitations under federal income tax laws applicable to real estate and to REITs in particular that may limit our ability to sell our assets. We may not be able to alter our portfolio promptly in response to changes in economic or other conditions. Our inability to respond quickly to adverse changes in the performance of our investments could have an adverse effect on our ability to meet our obligations and make distributions to our shareholders.

Our insurance coverage on our properties may be inadequate.

We currently carry comprehensive insurance on all of our properties, including insurance for liability, fire, flood, rental loss and acts of terrorism. We also currently carry earthquake insurance on all of our properties in California and environmental insurance on most of our properties. All of these policies contain coverage limitations. We believe these coverages are of the types and amounts customarily obtained for or by an owner of similar types of real property assets located in the areas where our properties are located. We intend to obtain similar insurance coverage on subsequently acquired properties.

The availability of insurance coverage may decrease and the prices for insurance may increase as a consequence of significant losses incurred by the insurance industry. As a result, we may be unable to renew or duplicate our current insurance coverage in adequate amounts or at reasonable prices. In addition, insurance

companies may no longer offer coverage against certain types of losses, such as losses due to terrorist acts and toxic mold, or, if offered, the expense of obtaining these types of insurance may not be justified. We therefore may cease to have insurance coverage against certain types of losses and/or there may be decreases in the limits of insurance available. If an uninsured loss or a loss in excess of our insured limits occurs, we could lose all or a portion of the capital we have invested in a property, as well as the anticipated future revenue from the property, but still remain obligated for any mortgage debt or other financial obligations related to the property. We cannot guarantee that material losses in excess of insurance proceeds will not occur in the future. If any of our properties were to experience a catastrophic loss, it could disrupt seriously our operations, delay revenue and result in large expenses to repair or rebuild the property. Also, due to inflation, changes in codes and ordinances, environmental considerations and other factors, it may not be feasible to use insurance proceeds to replace a building after it has been damaged or destroyed. Events such as these could adversely affect our results of operations and our ability to meet our obligations, including distributions to our shareholders.

We may have limited flexibility in dealing with our jointly owned investments.

Our organizational documents do not limit the amount of funds that we may invest in properties and assets jointly with other persons or entities and as of February 23, 2007, excluding our joint venture with Clarion Lion Properties Fund, we hold eight shopping centers and five urban retail and mixed-use properties jointly with other persons. We may make additional joint investments in the future. Our existing and future joint investments may subject us to special risks, including the possibility that our partners or co-investors might become bankrupt, that those partners or co-investors might have economic or other business interests or goals which are unlike or incompatible with our business interests or goals, and that those partners or co-investors might be in a position to take action contrary to our suggestions or instructions, or in opposition to our policies or objectives. Although we hold the managing general partnership or membership interest in all of our existing co-investments as of February 23, 2007, we must obtain the consent of the co-investor or meet defined criteria to sell or to finance five of these properties. Joint ownership gives a third party the opportunity to influence the return we can achieve on some of our investments and may adversely affect our ability to make distributions to our shareholders. We may also be liable for the actions of our co-investors.

In addition, on July 1, 2004, we entered into a new joint venture with affiliates of Clarion Lion Properties Fund for purposes of acquiring properties. Although we are the managing general partner of that entity, we have only a 30% ownership interest in that entity. Our partner s consent is required to take certain actions with respect to the properties acquired by the venture, and as a result, we may not be able to take actions that we believe are necessary or desirable to protect or increase the value of the property or the property s income stream. Pursuant to the terms of our partnership, we must obtain our partner s consent to do the following:

enter into new anchor tenant leases, modify existing anchor tenant leases or enforce remedies against anchor tenants;

make certain repairs, renovations or other changes or improvements to properties; and

sell or finance the property with secured debt.

The terms of our partnership require that certain acquisition opportunities be presented first to the joint venture, which limits our ability to acquire properties for our own account which could, in turn, limit our ability to grow. Our investment in this joint venture is also subject to the risks described above for jointly owned investments. As of December 31, 2006, this joint venture owned six properties.

Environmental laws and regulations could reduce the value or profitability of our properties.

All real property and the operations conducted on real property are subject to federal, state and local laws, ordinances and regulations relating to hazardous materials, environmental protection and human health and safety. Under various federal, state and local laws, ordinances and regulations, we and our tenants may be

required to investigate and clean up certain hazardous or toxic substances released on or in properties we own or operate, and also may be required to pay other costs relating to hazardous or toxic substances. This liability may be imposed without regard to whether we or our tenants knew about the release of these types of substances or were responsible for their release. The presence of contamination or the failure properly to remediate contamination at any of our properties may adversely affect our ability to sell or lease those properties or to borrow funds by using those properties as collateral. The costs or liabilities could exceed the value of the affected real estate. We are not aware of any environmental condition with respect to any of our properties that management believes would have a material adverse effect on our business, assets or results of operations taken as a whole. The uses of any of our properties prior to our acquisition of the property and the building materials used at the property are among the property-specific factors that will affect how the environmental laws are applied to our properties. If we are subject to any material environmental liabilities, the liabilities could adversely affect our results of operations and our ability to meet our obligations.

We cannot predict what other environmental legislation or regulations will be enacted in the future, how existing or future laws or regulations will be administered or interpreted or what environmental conditions may be found to exist on the properties in the future. Compliance with existing and new laws and regulations may require us or our tenants to spend funds to remedy environmental problems. Our tenants, like many of their competitors, have incurred, and will continue to incur, capital and operating expenditures and other costs associated with complying with these laws and regulations, which will adversely affect their potential profitability.

Generally, our tenants must comply with environmental laws and meet remediation requirements. Our leases typically impose obligations on our tenants to indemnify us from any compliance costs we may incur as a result of the environmental conditions on the property caused by the tenant. If a lease does not require compliance or if a tenant fails to or cannot comply, we could be forced to pay these costs. If not addressed, environmental conditions could impair our ability to sell or re-lease the affected properties in the future or result in lower sales prices or rent payments.

The Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 could require us to take remedial steps with respect to existing or newly acquired properties.

Our existing properties, as well as properties we may acquire, as commercial facilities, are required to comply with Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990. Investigation of a property may reveal non-compliance with this Act. The requirements of this Act, or of other federal, state or local laws, also may change in the future and restrict further renovations of our properties with respect to access for disabled persons. Future compliance with this Act may require expensive changes to the properties.

The revenues generated by our tenants could be negatively affected by various federal, state and local laws to which they are subject.

We and our tenants are subject to a wide range of federal, state and local laws and regulations, such as local licensing requirements, consumer protection laws and state and local fire, life-safety and similar requirements that affect the use of the properties. The leases typically require that each tenant comply with all regulations. Failure to comply could result in fines by governmental authorities, awards of damages to private litigants, or restrictions on the ability to conduct business on such properties. Non-compliance of this sort could reduce our revenues from a tenant, could require us to pay penalties or fines relating to any non-compliance, and could adversely affect our ability to sell or lease a property.

Failure to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes would cause us to be taxed as a corporation, which would substantially reduce funds available for payment of distributions.

We believe that we are organized and qualified as a REIT for federal income tax purposes and currently intend to operate in a manner that will allow us to continue to qualify as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code). However, we cannot assure you that we will remain qualified as such in the future.

Qualification as a REIT involves the application of highly technical and complex Code provisions and applicable income tax regulations that have been issued under the Code. Certain facts and circumstances not entirely within our control may affect our ability to qualify as a REIT. For example, in order to qualify as a REIT, at least 95% of our gross income in any year must be derived from qualifying rents and other income. Satisfying this requirement could be difficult, for example, if defaults by tenants were to reduce the amount of income from qualifying rents. As a REIT, we must generally make annual distributions to shareholders of at least 90% of our REIT taxable income. In addition, new legislation, new regulations, new administrative interpretations or new court decisions may significantly change the tax laws with respect to qualification as a REIT or the federal income tax consequences of such qualification.

If we fail to qualify as a REIT:

we would not be allowed a deduction for distributions to shareholders in computing taxable income;

we would be subject to federal income tax at regular corporate rates;

we could be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax;

unless we are entitled to relief under specific statutory provisions, we could not elect to be taxed as a REIT for four taxable years following the year during which we were disqualified;

we could be required to pay significant income taxes, which would substantially reduce the funds available for investment or for distribution to our shareholders for each year in which we failed or were not permitted to qualify; and

we would no longer be required by law to make any distributions to our shareholders. We may be required to incur additional debt to qualify as a REIT.

As a REIT, we must make generally annual distributions to shareholders of at least 90% of our REIT taxable income. We are subject to income tax on amounts of undistributed REIT taxable income and net capital gain. In addition, we would be subject to a 4% excise tax if we fail to distribute sufficient income to meet a minimum distribution test based on our ordinary income, capital gain and aggregate undistributed income from prior years. We intend to make distributions to shareholders to comply with the Code s distribution provisions and to avoid federal income and excise tax. We may need to borrow funds to meet our distribution requirements because:

our income may not be matched by our related expenses at the time the income is considered received for purposes of determining taxable income; and

non-deductible capital expenditures, creation of reserves, or debt service requirements may reduce available cash but not taxable income.

In these circumstances, we might have to borrow funds on unfavorable terms and we may have to borrow funds even if our management believes the market conditions make borrowing financially unattractive.

To maintain our status as a REIT, we limit the amount of shares any one shareholder can own.

The Code imposes certain limitations on the ownership of the stock of a REIT. For example, not more than 50% in value of our outstanding shares of capital stock may be owned, actually or constructively, by five or fewer individuals (as defined in the Code). To protect our REIT status, our declaration of trust prohibits any one shareholder from owning (actually or constructively) more than 9.8% in value of the outstanding common shares or of any class or series of outstanding preferred shares. The constructive ownership rules are complex. Shares of our capital stock owned, actually or constructively, by a group of related individuals and/or entities may be treated as constructively owned by one of those individuals or entities. As a result, the acquisition of less than 9.8% in value of the outstanding common shares and/or a class or series of preferred shares (or the acquisition of an interest in an entity that owns common shares or preferred shares) by an individual or entity could cause that individual or entity (or another) to own constructively more than 9.8% in value of the outstanding stock. If that happened, either the transfer or ownership would be void or the shares would be transferred to a charitable trust and then sold to someone who can own those shares without violating the 9.8% ownership limit.

The Board of Trustees may waive these restrictions on a case-by-case basis. In addition, the Board of Trustees and two-thirds of our shareholders eligible to vote at a shareholder meeting may remove these restrictions if they determine it is no longer in our best interests to attempt to qualify, or to continue to qualify, as a REIT. The 9.8% ownership restrictions may delay, defer or prevent a transaction or a change of our control that might involve a premium price for the common shares or otherwise be in the shareholders best interest.

We cannot assure you we will continue to pay dividends at historical rates.

Our ability to continue to pay dividends on our common shares at historical rates or to increase our common share dividend rate, and our ability to pay preferred share dividends and service our debt securities, will depend on a number of factors, including, among others, the following:

our financial condition and results of future operations;

the performance of lease terms by tenants;

the terms of our loan covenants; and

our ability to acquire, finance, develop or redevelop and lease additional properties at attractive rates.

If we do not maintain or increase the dividend rate on our common shares, it could have an adverse effect on the market price of our common shares and other securities. Any preferred shares we may offer in the future may have a fixed dividend rate that would not increase with any increases in the dividend rate of our common shares. Conversely, payment of dividends on our common shares may be subject to payment in full of the dividends on any preferred shares and payment of interest on any debt securities we may offer.

Certain tax and anti-takeover provisions of our declaration of trust and bylaws may inhibit a change of our control.

Certain provisions contained in our declaration of trust and bylaws and the Maryland General Corporation Law, as applicable to Maryland REITs, may discourage a third party from making a tender offer or acquisition proposal to us. If this were to happen, it could delay, deter or prevent a change in control or the removal of existing management. These provisions also may delay or prevent the shareholders from receiving a premium for their common shares over then-prevailing market prices. These provisions include:

the REIT ownership limit described above;

authorization of the issuance of our preferred shares with powers, preferences or rights to be determined by the Board of Trustees;

a staggered, fixed-size Board of Trustees consisting of three classes of trustees;

special meetings of our shareholders may be called only by the chairman of the board, the chief executive officer, the president, by one-third of the trustees or by shareholders possessing no less than 25% of all the votes entitled to be cast at the meeting;

the Board of Trustees, without a shareholder vote, can classify or reclassify unissued shares of beneficial interest, including the reclassification of common shares into preferred shares and vice-versa;

a two-thirds shareholder vote is required to approve some amendments to the declaration of trust;

advance-notice requirements for proposals to be presented at shareholder meetings; and

a shareholder rights plan that provides, among other things, that when specified events occur, our shareholders will be entitled to purchase from us a number of common shares equal in value to two times the purchase price, which initially will be equal to \$65 per share, subject to certain adjustments.

In addition, if we elect to be governed by it in the future, the Maryland control share acquisition law could delay or prevent a change in control. Under Maryland law, unless a REIT elects not to be subject to this law, control shares acquired in a control share acquisition have no voting rights except to the extent approved by shareholders by a vote of two-thirds of the votes entitled to be cast on the matter, excluding shares owned by the acquirer and by officers or trustees who are employees of the REIT. Control shares are voting shares that would entitle the acquirer to exercise voting power in electing trustees within specified ranges of voting power. A control share acquisition means the acquisition of control shares, with some exceptions.

Our bylaws state that the Maryland control share acquisition law will not apply to any acquisition by any person of our common shares. This bylaw provision may be repealed, in whole or in part, at any time, whether before or after an acquisition of control shares, by a vote of a majority of the shareholders entitled to vote, and, upon such repeal, may, to the extent provided by any successor bylaw, apply to any prior or subsequent control share acquisition.

We may amend or revise our business policies without your approval.

Our Board of Trustees may amend or revise our operating policies without shareholder approval. Our investment, financing and borrowing policies and policies with respect to all other activities, such as growth, debt, capitalization and operations, are determined by the Board of Trustees. The Board of Trustees may amend or revise these policies at any time and from time to time at its discretion. A change in these policies could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations, and the market price of our securities.

The current business plan adopted by our Board of Trustees focuses on our investment in neighborhood and community shopping centers, principally through redevelopments and acquisitions. If this business plan is not successful, it could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Given these uncertainties, readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on any forward-looking statements that we make, including those in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Except as may be required by law, we make no promise to update any of the forward-looking statements as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. You should carefully review the above risks and the risk factors.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

General

As of December 31, 2006, we owned or had a majority ownership interest in 111 community and neighborhood shopping centers and retail mixed-used properties comprising approximately 18.8 million square

feet, located primarily in densely populated and affluent communities throughout the Northeast and Mid-Atlantic United States, as well as California. In addition, we own one apartment complex in Maryland. No single property accounted for over 10% of our 2006 total revenue or net income. We believe that our properties are adequately covered by commercial general liability, fire, flood, earthquake, terrorism and business interruption insurance provided by reputable companies, with commercially reasonable exclusions, deductibles and limits.

We operate our business on an asset management model, where small, focused teams are responsible for a portfolio of assets. We have divided our portfolio of properties into two operating regions: the East and West. Each region is operated under the direction of one or more asset managers, with dedicated leasing, property management and financial staff, and operates largely autonomously with respect to day-to-day operating decisions.

Tenant Diversification

As of December 31, 2006, we had approximately 2,300 leases, ranging from sole proprietors to major national retailers. No one tenant or affiliated group of tenants accounted for more than 2.5% of our annualized base rent as of December 31, 2006. As a result of our tenant diversification, we believe our exposure to any recent and future bankruptcy filing in the retail sector has not been and will not be significant.

Geographic Diversification

Our 112 properties (including our one apartment complex) are located in 14 states and the District of Columbia. The following table shows, by region and state within the region, the number of properties, the gross leasable area of commercial space and the percentage of total portfolio gross leasable area of commercial space in each state as of December 31, 2006.

Region and State	Number of Properties	Gross Leasable Area (In square feet)	Percentage of Gross Leasable Area
East region			
Virginia	17	3,348,000	17.8%
Maryland	12	3,033,000	16.1%
New Jersey	10	2,679,000	14.3%
Pennsylvania(1)	11	2,397,000	12.8%
Massachusetts	7	1,577,000	8.4%
New York	7	1,148,000	6.1%
Illinois	4	757,000	4.0%
Connecticut	3	317,000	1.7%
New Hampshire	2	294,000	1.6%
Michigan	1	217,000	1.2%
District of Columbia	2	168,000	0.9%
North Carolina	1	159,000	0.8%
Maine	1	101,000	0.5%
Total East region	78	16,195,000	86.2%
West region			
California	25	2,434,000	12.9%
Texas	9	171,000	0.9%
Total West region	34	2,605,000	13.8%
Total all regions(2)	112	18,800,000	100.0%

⁽¹⁾ We also own two participating mortgages totaling approximately \$28.3 million secured by multiple buildings in Manayunk, Pennsylvania.

(2) These 112 properties are operated as 86 real estate projects.

Leases, Lease Terms and Lease Expirations

Our leases are classified as operating leases and typically are structured to require the monthly payment of minimum rents in advance, subject to periodic increases during the term of the lease, percentage rents based on the level of sales achieved by tenants, and reimbursement of a majority of on-site operating expenses and real estate taxes. These features in our leases reduce our exposure to higher costs caused by inflation and allow us to participate in improved tenant sales.

Commercial property leases generally range from 3 to 10 years; however, certain leases, primarily with anchor tenants, may be longer. Many of our leases contain tenant options that enable the tenant to extend the term of the lease at expiration at pre-established rental rates that often include fixed rent increases, consumer price index adjustments or other market rate adjustments from the prior base rent. Leases on residential units are generally for a period of one year or less and, in 2006, represented approximately 2.8% of total revenues.

The following table sets forth the schedule of lease expirations for our commercial leases in place as of December 31, 2006 for each of the 10 years beginning with 2007 and after 2016 in the aggregate, in both cases, assuming that none of the tenants exercise future renewal options. Annualized base rents reflect in-place contractual rents as of December 31, 2006.

Year of Lease Expiration	Leased Square Footage Expiring	Percentage of Leased Square Footage Expiring	Annualized Base Rent Represented by Expiring Leases	Percentage of Annualized Base Rent Represented by Expiring Leases
2007	1,219,000	7%	23,325,000	6.9%
2008	1,910,000	11%	30,702,000	9.1%
2009	2,270,000	13%	40,989,000	12.1%
2010	1,586,000	9%	32,106,000	9.5%
2011	1,761,000	10%	42,903,000	12.7%
2012	1,734,000	10%	29,966,000	8.8%
2013	995,000	5%	19,772,000	5.8%
2014	1,112,000	6%	24,893,000	7.3%
2015	840,000	5%	16,360,000	4.8%
2016	922,000	5%	19,617,000	5.8%
Thereafter	3,518,000	19%	58,220,000	17.2%
Total	17,867,000	100%	\$ 338,853,000	100.0%



Retail and Residential Properties

The following table sets forth information concerning all properties in which we owned an equity interest, had a leasehold interest, or controlled and are consolidated as of December 31, 2006. Except as otherwise noted, we are the sole owner of our retail properties. Principal tenants are the largest tenants in the property based on square feet leased or are tenants important to a property s success due to their ability to attract retail customers.

			Square Feet(1)		
EAST REGION	Year Completed	Year Acquired	/Apartment Units	Percentage Leased(2)	Principal Tenant(s)
7770 Richmond Highway	1974	2006	61,000	100%	Gold s Gym
Alexandria, VA 22036 Allwood Clifton, NJ 07013(3)	1958	1988	50,000	100%	Stop & Shop
Andorra Philadelphia, PA 19128	1953	1988	267,000	100%	Acme Markets Kohl s
					Staples L.A. Fitness
Assembly Square/Sturtevant Somerville, MA 02145	2005	2005	554,000	100%	Bed, Bath & Beyond Christmas Tree Shops Kmart
					Staples TJ Maxx A.C. Moore
	1055	1000	200.000	1000	Sports Authority
Bala Cynwyd Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004	1955	1993	280,000	100%	Acme Markets
2 an eyanya, 111 1200 i					Lord & Taylor
Barracks Road Charlottesville, VA 22905	1958	1985	488,000	100%	L.A. Fitness Bed, Bath & Beyond Harris Teeter
					Kroger
					Barnes & Noble Old Navy
Bath Shopping Center Bath, ME 04530	1978	2006	101,000	96%	Shaw s Supermarket CVS
Bethesda Row Bethesda, MD 20814(7)	1945-1991 2001	1993-2006	477,000	94%	Barnes & Noble Giant Food
					Landmark Theater Washington Sports Club
Blue Star	1959	1988	410,000	99%	Shop Rite
Watchung, NJ 07060(3)					Kohl s
					Michaels
					Toys R Us Marshalls
Brick Plaza Brick Township, NJ 08723(6)	1958	1989	409,000	100%	A&P Supermarket Barnes & Noble Loews Theatres Sports Authority
Bristol Bristol, CT 06010	1959	1995	275,000	97%	Stop & Shop TJ Maxx
Brunswick North Brunswick, NJ 08902(3)	1957	1988	303,000	99%	A&P Supermarket A.J.Wright L.A. Fitness

Chelsea, MA 02150 Home Depot

Retail and Residential Properties continued

		Square Feet(1)			
EAST REGION	Year Completed	Year Acquired	/Apartment Units	Percentage Leased(2)	Principal Tenant(s)
Congressional Plaza Rockville, MD 20852(4)	1965	1965	338,000	91%	Buy Buy Baby Whole Foods Container Store
Congressional Plaza Residential Rockville, MD 20852(4)	2003	1965	146 units	96%	
Courthouse Center Rockville, MD 20852(5)	1970	1997	38,000	97%	
Clifton Clifton, NJ 07013(3)	1959	1988	80,000	100%	Drug Fair Dollar Express
Crossroads Highland Park, IL 60035	1959	1993	173,000	95%	Comp USA Golfsmith Guitar Center
Dedham Dedham, MA 02026	1959	1993	241,000	94%	Pier 1 Imports Star Market
Eastgate Chapel Hill, NC 27514	1963	1986	159,000	90%	Earth Fare Stein Mart
Ellisburg Circle Cherry Hill, NJ 08034	1959	1992	267,000	89%	Genuardi s Stein Mart
Falls Plaza Falls Church, VA 22046	1962	1967	73,000	100%	Giant Food
Falls Plaza East Falls Church, VA 22046	1960	1972	71,000	100%	CVS Staples
Feasterville Feasterville, PA 19047	1958	1980	111,000	100%	Genuardi s OfficeMax
Federal Plaza Rockville, MD 20852	1970	1989	247,000	99%	Comp USA Ross Dress For Less TJ Maxx
Finley Square Downers Grove, IL 60515	1974	1995	315,000	97%	Bed, Bath & Beyond Sports Authority
Flourtown Flourtown, PA 19031	1957	1980	181,000	96%	Genuardi s
Forest Hills Forest Hills, NY	1937-1987	1997	85,000	100%	Midway Theatre Duane Reade Gap
Friendship Center Washington, D.C 20015	1998	2001	119,000	100%	Maggiano s Borders Books Linens n Things
Fresh Meadows Queens, NY 11365	1949	1997	403,000	95%	Cineplex Odeon Filene s Basement Kohl s
					Associated Food Stores
Gaithersburg Square Gaithersburg, MD 20878	1966	1993	198,000	99%	Bed, Bath & Beyond Borders Books and Music Ross Dress For Less
Garden Market Western Springs, IL 60558	1958	1994	140,000	96%	Dominick s Walgreens
Governor Plaza Glen Burnie, MD 21961	1963	1985	269,000	100%	Office Depot Comp USA Bally s Total Fitness

Bally s Total Fitness

Aldi

Retail and Residential Properties continued

			Square Feet(1)		
EAST REGION	Year Completed	Year Acquired	/Apartment Units	Percentage Leased(2)	Principal Tenant(s)
Gratiot Plaza	1964	1973	217,000	100%	Bed, Bath & Beyond
Roseville, MI 48066					Best Buy
					Farmer Jacks DSW
Greenwich Avenue Greenwich Avenue, CT	1995	1994-1996	42,000	100%	Saks Fifth Avenue
Hamilton Hamilton, NJ 08690(3)	1961	1988	190,000	93%	Shop Rite
					Stevens Furniture A.C. Moore
Hauppauge Hauppauge, NY 11788	1963	1998	133,000	98%	Shop Rite A.C. Moore
Huntington	1962	1988	279,000	100%	Barnes & Noble
Huntington, NY 11746(3)					Bed, Bath & Beyond
					Buy Buy Baby Toys R Us
Idylwood Plaza Falls Church, VA 22030	1991	1994	73,000	85%	Whole Foods
Key Road Keene, NH 03431	1968	2006	76,000	100%	Petco
Lancaster Lancaster, PA 17601(3)	1958	1980	107,000	100%	Giant Food Michaels
Langhorne Square Levittown, PA 19056	1966	1985	216,000	98%	Marshalls Redner s Market
Laurel Centre	1956	1986	386,000	98%	Giant Food
Laurel, MD 20707					Marshalls Toys R Us
Lawrence Park	1972	1980	353,000	100%	Acme Markets
Broomall, PA 19008					TJ Maxx
					CHI
					CVS
Leesburg Plaza	1967	1998	236,000	99%	Home Goods
Leesburg, VA 20176(5)	1,01	1770	200,000	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Giant Food
					Pier 1 Imports Office Depot
					Champion Billiards Petsmart
Linden Square Wellesley, MA 02481	1960	2006	100,000	95%	Roche Brothers Supermarket Fitness Club for Women
					Wellesley Volkswagen, Buick
Loehmann s Plaza Fairfax, VA 22042	1971	1983	250,000	98%	Bally s Total Fitness Loehmann s
Melville Mall	1974	2006	248,000	100%	Dress Shop Waldbaum s
Huntington, NY 11747(12)					Marshalls
Mercer Mall	1975	2003	501,000	94%	Kohl s Raymour & Flanigan
Lawrenceville, NJ 08648(3)			,		Kaymour & Flählgäh

Table of Contents

Bed, Bath & Beyond DSW TJ Maxx Shop Rite

Retail and Residential Properties continued

			Square Feet(1)		
EAST REGION	Year Completed	Year Acquired	/Apartment Units	Percentage Leased(2)	Principal Tenant(s)
Mid-Pike Plaza	1963	1982	309,000	100%	Bally s Total Fitness
Rockville, MD 20852(3)					Linens n Things
					Toys R Us
					A.C. Moore Filene s Basement
Mount Vernon Plaza	1972	2003	284,000	94%	Shoppers Food
Alexandria, VA 22306(5)(6)					Warehouse Bed, Bath & Beyond Michaels
Northeast Philadelphia, PA 19114	1959	1983	287,000	85%	Burlington Coat Factory Marshalls
North Dartmouth North Dartmouth, MA 02747	2004	2006	183,000	100%	Stop & Shop Lowe s Home Center
North Lake Commons Lake Zurich, IL 60047	1989	1994	129,000	96%	Dominick s
Old Keene Mill Springfield, VA 22152	1968	1976	92,000	100%	Whole Foods
Pan Am Fairfax, VA 22031	1979	1993	227,000	100%	Michaels
Faillax, VA 22031					Micro Center Safeway
Pentagon Row	2001-2002	1999	296,000	98%	Harris Teeter
Arlington, VA 22202(6)					Bed, Bath & Beyond
					Cost Plus
					World Market
					Bally s Total Fitness DSW
Perring Plaza	1963	1985	402,000	98%	Burlington Coat Factory
Baltimore, MD 21134					Home Depot
					Shoppers Food
					Warehouse Jo-Ann Stores
Pike 7 Plaza Vienna, VA 22180(5)	1968	1997	164,000	90%	Staples TJ Maxx
Queen Anne Plaza Norwell, MA 02061	1967	1994	149,000	100%	TJ Maxx Victory Supermarket
Quince Orchard	1975	1993	253,000	100%	Circuit City
Gaithersburg, MD 20877(6)					Magruders Staples
Riverside	1966	2006	218,000	100%	Shaw s Supermarket
Keene, NH 03431					Brooks Pharmacy Walmart
Rockville Town Square Rockville, MD 20852(13)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Rollingwood Apartments	1960	1971	282 units	95%	
Silver Spring, MD 20910					

9 three-story buildings					
Rutgers Franklin, N.J. 08873(3)	1973	1988	267,000	91%	Stop & Shop Kmart
Sam s Park & Shop	1930	1995	49,000	100%	Petco
Washington, DC 20008					
Saugus Plaza	1976	1996	171,000	100%	Kmart Stop & Shop
Saugus, MA 01906					

Retail and Residential Properties continued

			Square Feet(1)		
EAST REGION	Year Completed	Year Acquired	/Apartment Units	Percentage Leased(2)	Principal Tenant(s)
Shirlington	1940	1995	214,000	98%	Carlyle Grand Café Cineplex Odeon
Arlington, VA 22206 South Valley Shopping Center Alexandria, VA 22306(5)	1966	2003	221,000	99%	Home Depot TJ Maxx
Tower Shopping Center	1960	1998	112,000	97%	Virginia Fine Wine Talbots
Springfield, VA 22150 Town Center of New Britain	1969	2006	125,000	87%	Giant Food Rite Aid
New Britain, PA 18901 Troy Parsippany-Troy, NJ 07054	1966	1980	202,000	99%	Comp USA Pathmark Toys R Us
Tysons Station	1954	1978	50,000	100%	A. C. Moore Trader Joes
Falls Church, VA 22043 Wildwood	1958	1969	85,000	100%	CVS Balducci s
Bethesda, MD 20814 Willow Grove	1953	1984	215,000	100%	Barnes & Noble Marshalls
Willow Grove, PA 19090 The Shops at Willow Lawn	1957	1983	467,000	89%	Toys R Us Kroger Old Navy
Richmond, VA 23230 Wynnewood	1948	1996	255,000	98%	Staples Bed, Bath & Beyond Borders Books
Wynnewood, PA 19096					Genuardi s Old Navy
Total East Region Retail Total East Region Residential			16,195,000 428 units	97 <i>%</i> 95 <i>%</i>	
WEST REGION Colorado Blvd	1922	1996-1998	69,000	100%	Pottery Barn Banana Republic
Pasadena, CA Crow Canyon Commons	1980	2005	225,000	96%	Albertson s Loehmann s
San Ramon, CA(3) Escondido Promenade	1987	1996	222,000	98%	Rite Aid Toys R Us TJ Maxx
Escondido, CA 92029(8) Fifth Avenue	1888-1995	1996-1997	51,000	82%	Cost Plus Urban Outfitters
San Diego, CA(11)					

Hermosa Avenue	1922	1997	23,000	78%	
Hermosa Beach, CA(10)					
Hollywood Blvd	1921-1991	1999	149,000	72%	L.A. Fitness
Hollywood, CA(10)					
Houston Street	1890-1935	1998-1999	171,000	72%	Hotel Valencia
San Antonio, TX					
King s Court	1960	1998	79,000	100%	Lunardi s Supermarket Longs Drug Store
Los Gatos, CA 95032(5)(6)					
Old Town Center	1962	1997	94,000	97%	Borders Books and
					Music
Los Gatos, CA 95030					Gap Kids
					Banana Republic

Retail and Residential Properties continued

			Square Feet(1)		
WEST REGION	Year Completed	Year Acquired	/Apartment Units	Percentage Leased(2)	Principal Tenant(s)
150 Post Street	1965	1997	103,000	92%	Brooks Brothers
San Francisco, CA 94108					
Santana Row Retail	2002	1997	563,000	97%	Crate & Barrel Borders Books
San Jose, CA 95128					Container Store
					Best Buy CineArts Theatre
Santana Row Residential	2003-2006	1997	295 units	95%	
San Jose, CA 95128					
Third Street Promenade	1888-1995	1996-2000	211,000	97%	Abercrombie &
Santa Monica, CA(9)					Fitch
Sana Monica, CA(5)					J. Crew
					Old Navy Banana Republic
Westgate Shopping Center	1960-1966	2004	645,000	99%	Safeway
San Jose, CA					Target Burlington Coat
					Factory
					Barnes & Noble Ross
T-4-1 W4 D D-4-1			2 (05 000	04.07	
Total West Region Retail Total West Region Residential			2,605,000 295 units	94% 95%	
Total All Regions Retail			18,800,000	97%	
Total All Regions Residential			723 units	95%	

⁽¹⁾ Represents the physical square footage of the commercial portion of the property, which may differ from the gross leasable square footage used to express percentage leased. Some of our properties include office space which is included in this square footage but is not material in total.

- (7) This property contains nine buildings; six are subject to a leasehold interest, one is subject to a ground lease and two are owned 100% by us.
- (8) We own the controlling interest in this center.
- (9) We own 100% of eight buildings and a 90% general partnership interest in one building.
- (10) We own a 90% general partnership interest in these buildings.
- (11) We own 100% of three buildings and a 90% general partnership interest in one building
- (12) On October 16, 2006, the Trust acquired control of Melville Mall through a 20 year master lease and secondary financing to the owner. The master lease includes a purchase option in 2021 for \$5.0 million plus the assumption of the owner s \$25.8 million first mortgage. Because the Trust controls this property and retains substantially all of the economic benefit and risk associated with it, we consolidate this property and its operations.
- (13) We acquired approximately 152,000 square feet of gross leasable area, and we intend to acquire an additional 32,000 square feet of gross leasable area. No square footage has been placed in service. The Grand Opening is scheduled for May 2007.

⁽²⁾ Retail percentage leased is expressed as a percentage of rentable commercial square feet occupied or subject to a lease under which rent is currently payable and includes square feet covered by leases for stores not yet opened. Residential percentage leased is expressed as a percentage of units occupied or subject to a lease.

⁽³⁾ We have a leasehold interest in this property.

⁽⁴⁾ We own a 64.1% membership interest in this property.

⁽⁵⁾ We own this property in a downREIT structure.

⁽⁶⁾ All or a portion of this property is subject to a long-term ground lease.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

In May 2003, First National Mortgage Company filed a complaint against us in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. The complaint alleged that a one page document entitled Final Proposal, which included language that it was subject to approval of formal documentation, constituted a ground lease of a parcel of property located adjacent to our Santana Row property and gave First National Mortgage Company the option to require that we acquire the property at a price determined in accordance with a formula included in the Final Proposal. The plaintiff is seeking an unspecified amount of monetary damages. A trial as to liability only was held and on June 27, 2006, a jury rendered a verdict against us. A case management conference has been scheduled for March 23, 2007, at which time we expect a schedule to be set for additional discovery and a trial date on the issue of damages. The complaint did not specify the amount of damages claimed and we cannot make a reasonable estimate of potential damages until experts are retained and additional discovery is completed on the damages issue.

We are also involved in a litigation matter relating to a shopping center in New Jersey where a former tenant has alleged that we, through our management agent, engaged in fraud by failing to disclose a condemnation action at the property that was pending when the lease was signed. A trial as to liability only began on February 26, 2007 and if we are found liable, a trial will be held to determine the amount of damages. Based on the evidence and information available to us, we believe there is a reasonable possibility that a verdict may be rendered against us. If a verdict is rendered against us, we will seek indemnification from the third party management company that negotiated the lease on our behalf. We cannot assess with any certainty at this time the potential damages for which we would be liable if a verdict is rendered against us, or the potential amounts we would recover against the third party management company; however, if a verdict is rendered against us, there may be a material adverse impact on our net income in the period during which our indemnification claim is pending.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SHAREHOLDERS

No matters were submitted to a vote of our shareholders during the fourth quarter of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006.

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR OUR COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Our common shares trade on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol FRT. Listed below are the high and low closing prices of our common shares as reported on the New York Stock Exchange and the dividends declared for each of the periods indicated.

	Price Po	er Share	Dividends Declared
	High	Low	Per Share
2006			
Fourth quarter	\$ 87.15	\$73.47	\$ 0.575
Third quarter	\$ 76.42	\$ 69.37	\$ 0.575
Second quarter	\$ 72.43	\$ 64.72	\$ 0.555
First quarter	\$ 75.38	\$61.63	\$ 0.755 (1)
2005			
Fourth quarter	\$ 65.55	\$ 56.62	\$ 0.755 (1)
Third quarter	\$ 65.73	\$ 58.19	\$ 0.555
Second quarter	\$ 60.82	\$47.91	\$ 0.555
First quarter	\$ 51.98	\$46.50	\$ 0.505

(1) Includes regular dividend of \$0.555 and special dividend of \$0.20 resulting from the sales of condominiums at Santana Row. On February 23, 2007, there were 4,449 holders of record of our common shares.

Our ongoing operations generally will not be subject to federal income taxes as long as we maintain our REIT status and distribute to shareholders at least 100% of our REIT taxable income. Under the Code, REITs are subject to numerous organizational and operational requirements, including the requirement to generally distribute at least 90% of REIT taxable income. State income taxes are not material to our operations or cash flows.

Future distributions will be at the discretion of our Board of Trustees and will depend on our actual net income available for common shareholders, financial condition, capital requirements, the annual distribution requirements under the REIT provisions of the Code and such other factors as the Board of Trustees deems relevant. We have paid quarterly dividends to our shareholders continuously since our founding in 1962 and have increased our annual dividend rate for 39 consecutive years.

Our total annual dividends paid per common share for 2006 and 2005 were \$2.440 per share and \$2.320 per share, respectively. The annual dividend amounts are different from dividends as calculated for federal income tax purposes. Distributions to the extent of our current and accumulated earnings and profits for federal income tax purposes generally will be taxable to a shareholder as ordinary dividend income. Distributions in excess of current and accumulated earnings and profits will be treated as a nontaxable reduction of the shareholder s basis in such shareholder s shares, to the extent thereof, and thereafter as taxable capital gain. Distributions that are treated as a reduction of the shareholder s basis in its shares will have the effect of increasing the amount of gain, or reducing the amount of loss, recognized upon the sale of the shareholder s shareholder s shares. No assurances can be given regarding what portion, if any, of distributions in 2007 or subsequent years will constitute a return of capital for federal income tax purposes. During a year in which a REIT earns a net long-term capital gain, the REIT can elect under Code Sec. 857(b)(3) to designate a portion of dividends paid to shareholders as capital gain dividends. If this election is made, then the capital gain dividends are taxable to the shareholder as long-term capital gains. For 2005, a portion of our distributions was designated as capital gain dividend.

The following table reflects the income tax status of distributions per share paid to common shareholders:

		Ended ber 31,
	2006	2005
Ordinary dividend	\$ 1.813	\$ 1.601
Ordinary dividend eligible for 15% tax rate	0.066	0.093
Return of capital	0.561	
Capital gain		0.626
	\$ 2.440	\$ 2.320

Distributions on our 8.5% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares were paid at the rate of \$2.125 per share per annum, prior to distributions on our common shares. On November 27, 2006, the Trust redeemed all 5,400,000 outstanding shares of our 8.5% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares at their redemption price of \$25.00 per share, plus accrued and unpaid dividends through the redemption date of \$0.159 per share. We do not believe that the preferential rights available to the holders of our preferred shares or the financial covenants contained in our debt agreements had or will have an adverse effect on our ability to pay dividends in the normal course of business to our common shareholders or to distribute amounts necessary to maintain our qualification as a REIT.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Shares

All equity securities sold by us during 2006 that were not registered have been previously reported in a Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers

No equity securities were purchased by us during 2006. However, 4,919 common shares were placed into treasury as a result of restricted shares forfeited by former employees.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following table includes certain financial information on a consolidated historical basis. You should read this section in conjunction with Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data. Our selected operating data, other data and balance sheet data for the years ended 2002 through 2005 has been reclassified to conform to the presentation for the year ended 2006.

		For the Ye	ear Ended Decen	nber 31,	
	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
		(In thousands, ex	cept per share d	ata and ratios)	
Operating Data:			* * * * * * *	***	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Rental income	\$ 438,201	\$ 393,548	\$ 368,299	\$ 334,865	\$ 297,286
Property operating income(1)	\$ 317,994		\$ 256,321	\$ 229,810	\$ 203,361
Income from continuing operations	\$ 95,076		\$ 64,041	\$ 67,500	\$ 38,648
Income before gain on sale of real estate	\$ 94,756		\$ 70,104	\$ 74,444	\$ 45,833
Gain on sale of real estate	\$ 23,956		\$ 14,052	\$ 20,053	\$ 19,101
Loss on abandoned developments held for sale	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ (9,647)
Net income	\$ 118,712		\$ 84,156	\$ 94,497	\$ 55,287
Net income available for common shareholders	\$ 103,514		\$ 72,681	\$ 75,990	\$ 35,862
Net cash provided by operating activities(2)	\$ 184,401		\$ 174,148	\$ 136,393	\$ 131,372
Net cash used in investing activities(2)	\$ (317,429) \$ (152,730)	\$ (157,611)	\$ (98,166)	\$ (185,983)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing					
activities(2)	\$ 135,884	\$ (44,047)	\$ (21,030)	\$ (26,382)	\$ 60,171
Dividends declared on common shares	\$ 133,066	\$ 124,928	\$ 101,969	\$ 93,889	\$ 82,273
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding:					
Basic	53,469	52,533	51,008	47,379	41,624
Diluted	53,962	53,050	51,547	48,619	42,882
Earnings per common share, basic:					
Income from continuing operations	\$ 1.50	\$ 1.39	\$ 1.03	\$ 1.03	\$ 0.46
Discontinued operations	0.44	0.57	0.39	0.57	0.40
Total	\$ 1.94	\$ 1.96	\$ 1.42	\$ 1.60	\$ 0.86
	φ 1.9.	φ 1i)0	ф т.т =	φ 1100	\$ 0.00
Earnings per common share, diluted:					
Income from continuing operations	\$ 1.48	\$ 1.37	\$ 1.02	\$ 1.03	\$ 0.46
Discontinued operations	0.44	0.57	0.39	0.56	0.39
Total	\$ 1.92	\$ 1.94	\$ 1.41	\$ 1.59	\$ 0.85
1041	φ 1.72	φ 1.94	φ 11	φ 1.57	φ 0.05
Dividends declared per common share	\$ 2.46	\$ 2.37	\$ 1.99	\$ 1.95	\$ 1.93
•					
Other Data:	¢ 177 112	¢ 162 544	¢ 140 671	¢ 121 057	¢ 00.050
Funds from operations available to common shareholders(3)(4)	\$ 177,113		\$ 148,671 \$ 258,142	\$ 131,257	\$ 80,856
EBITDA(5)	\$ 316,783		\$ 258,143	\$ 243,956	\$ 183,488
Adjusted EBITDA(5)	\$ 292,827	\$ 261,717	\$ 244,091	\$ 223,903	\$ 174,034
Ratio of EBITDA to combined fixed charges and preferred share			~ ~		
dividends(5)(6)	2.6x	2.7x	2.5x	2.2x	1.7x
Ratio of Adjusted EBITDA to combined fixed charges and					
preferred share dividends(5)(6)	2.4x	2.4x	2.4x	2.1x	1.6x

	As of December 31,					
	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	
	(In thousands, except per share data)					
Balance Sheet Data:						
Real estate at cost	\$ 3,204,258	\$ 2,829,321	\$ 2,666,276	\$ 2,470,149	\$ 2,306,826	
Total assets	\$ 2,688,606	\$ 2,350,852	\$ 2,266,896	\$ 2,141,185	\$ 1,996,662	
Mortgage, construction loans and capital lease obligations	\$ 460,398	\$ 419,713	\$ 410,885	\$ 414,357	\$ 383,812	
Notes payable	\$ 109,024	\$ 316,755	\$ 325,051	\$ 361,323	\$ 207,711	
Senior notes and debentures	\$ 1,127,508	\$ 653,675	\$ 568,121	\$ 532,750	\$ 532,284	
Convertible subordinated debentures	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 75,000	
Redeemable preferred shares	\$	\$ 135,000	\$ 135,000	\$ 135,000	\$ 235,000	
Shareholders equity	\$ 784,078	\$ 774,847	\$ 790,534	\$ 691,374	\$ 644,287	
Number of common shares outstanding	55,321	52,891	52,137	49,201	43,535	

(1) Property operating income consists of rental income, other property income and mortgage interest income, less rental expenses and real estate taxes. This measure is used internally to evaluate the performance of our regional operations, and we consider it to be a significant measure.

(2) Determined in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement No. 95, Statement of Cash Flows.

(3) Funds from Operations (FFO) is a supplemental non-GAAP financial measure of real estate companies operating performance. The National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (NAREIT) defines FFO as follows: net income, computed in accordance with the U.S. GAAP, plus depreciation and amortization of real estate assets and excluding extraordinary items and gains on the sale of real estate. We compute FFO in accordance with the NAREIT definition, and we have historically reported our FFO available for common shareholders in addition to our net income.

We consider FFO available for common shareholders a meaningful, additional measure of operating performance primarily because it excludes the assumption that the value of the real estate assets diminishes predictably over time, as implied by the historical cost convention of GAAP and the recording of depreciation. We use FFO primarily as one of several means of assessing our operating performance in comparison with other REITs. Comparison of our presentation of FFO to similarly titled measures for other REITs may not necessarily be meaningful due to possible differences in the application of the NAREIT definition used by such REITs. Additional information regarding our calculation of FFO is contained in Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The reconciliation of net income to funds from operations available for common shareholders is as follows:

	2006	2005	2004 (In thousands)	2003	2002
Net income	\$118,712	\$114,612	\$ 84,156	\$ 94,497	\$ 55,287
Gain on sale of real estate	(23,956)	(30,748)	(14,052)	(20,053)	(19,101)
Depreciation and amortization of real estate assets	88,649	82,752	81,649	68,202	58,605
Amortization of initial direct costs of leases	7,390	6,972	7,151	5,801	4,750
Depreciation of joint venture real estate assets	768	630	187		
Funds from operations	191,563	174,218	159,091	148,447	99,541
Dividends on preferred stock	(10,423)	(11,475)	(11,475)	(15,084)	(19,425)
Income attributable to operating partnership units	748	801	1,055	1,317	740
Preferred stock redemption costs	(4,775)			(3,423)	
Funds from operations available for common shareholders	\$ 177,113	\$ 163,544	\$ 148,671	\$ 131,257	\$ 80,856

- (4) Includes \$3.1 million and \$8.0 million of insurance recoveries in 2004 and 2003, respectively, attributable to rental income lost at Santana Row as a result of the August 2002 fire. Insurance recoveries received in 2005 were insignificant. Excluding these items, funds from operations available for common shareholders in 2004 and 2003 would have been \$145.6 million and \$123.3 million, respectively.
- (5) The SEC has stated that EBITDA is a non-GAAP measure as calculated in the table below. Adjusted EBITDA is a non-GAAP measure that means net income or loss plus net interest expense, income taxes, depreciation and amortization, gain or loss on sale of real estate and impairments of real estate if any. Adjusted EBITDA is presented because we believe that it provides useful information to investors regarding our ability to service debt and because it approximates a key covenant in material notes. Adjusted EBITDA should not be considered an alternative measure of operating results or cash flow from operations as determined in accordance with GAAP. Adjusted EBITDA as presented may not be comparable to other similarly titled measures used by other REITs.

The reconciliation of Adjusted EBITDA to net income for the periods presented is as follows:

	2006	2005	2004 (In thousands)	2003	2002
Net income	\$ 118,712	\$114,612	\$ 84,156	\$ 94,497	\$ 55,287
Depreciation and amortization	97,879	91,503	90,438	75,503	64,529
Interest expense	102,808	88,566	85,058	75,232	65,058
Other interest income	(2,616)	(2,216)	(1,509)	(1,276)	(1,386)
EBITDA	316,783	292,465	258,143	243,956	183,488
Gain on sale of real estate	(23,956)	(30,748)	(14,052)	(20,053)	(19,101)
Loss on abandoned developments held for sale					9,647
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 292,827	\$ 261,717	\$ 244,091	\$ 223,903	\$ 174,034

(6) Fixed charges consist of interest on borrowed funds (including capitalized interest), amortization of debt discount and expense and the portion of rent expense representing an interest factor. Preferred share dividends consist of dividends paid on preferred shares and preferred stock redemption costs. Our Series A preferred shares were redeemed in full in June 2003 and our Series B preferred shares were redeemed in full in November 2006.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto appearing in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data of this report.

Overview

We are an equity real estate investment trust specializing in the ownership, management, development and redevelopment of high quality retail and mixed-use properties. As of December 31, 2006, we owned or had a majority interest in 111 community and neighborhood shopping centers and mixed-use properties comprising approximately 18.8 million square feet. Our properties are located primarily in densely populated and affluent communities in strategic metropolitan markets in the Mid-Atlantic and Northeast regions of the United States, as well as in California. In total, these 111 commercial properties were 96.5% leased at December 31, 2006. A joint venture in which we own a 30% interest owned four neighborhood shopping centers totaling approximately 0.7 million square feet as of December 31, 2006. In total, the joint venture properties in which we own an interest were 98.7% leased at December 31, 2006. We have paid quarterly dividends to our shareholders continuously since our founding in 1962 and have increased our dividends per common share for 39 consecutive years.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, which we refer to as GAAP, requires management to make estimates and assumptions that in certain circumstances affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities, and revenues and expenses. These estimates are prepared using management s best judgment, after considering past and current events and economic conditions. In addition, information relied upon by management in preparing such estimates includes internally generated financial and operating information, external market information, when available, and when necessary, information obtained from consultations with third party experts. Actual results could differ from these estimates. A discussion of possible risks which may affect these estimates is included in Item 1A. Risk Factors of this report. Management considers an accounting estimate to be critical if changes in the estimate or accrual results could have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations or financial condition.

The most significant accounting policies, which involve the use of estimates and assumptions as to future uncertainties and, therefore, may result in actual amounts that differ from estimates, are as follows:

Revenue Recognition and Accounts Receivable

Leases with tenants are classified as operating leases. Substantially all such leases contain fixed escalations which occur at specified times during the term of the lease. Base rents are recognized on a straight-line basis from when the tenant controls the space through the term of the related lease, net of valuation adjustments, based on management s assessment of credit, collection and other business risk. We make estimates of the collectibility of our accounts receivable related to base rents, straight-line rents, expense reimbursements and other revenue or income taking into account our expertise in the retail sector, tenant credit information both internally and externally available, payment history, industry trends, tenant credit-worthiness and the length of remaining lease terms over which certain of these amounts will be collected. In some cases, primarily relating to straight-line rents, the collection of these amounts extends beyond one year. Our experience relative to unbilled straight-line rents is that a certain portion of the amounts otherwise recognizable as revenue is never billed to or collected from tenants due to early lease terminations, lease modifications, bankruptcies and other factors. Accordingly, the extended collection period for straight-line rents along with our evaluation of tenant credit risk may result in the nonrecognition of a portion of straight-line rental income until the collection of such income is reasonably assured. These estimates have a direct impact on our net income. Historically, we have recognized bad debt expense between 0.5% and 1.0% of rental income and it was 0.2% in 2006. An increase in our bad debt expense would decrease our net income. For example, if we had experienced an increase in bad debt of 0.5% of rental income in 2006, our net income would have been reduced by approximately \$2.2 million.

Real Estate

The nature of our business as an owner, redeveloper and operator of retail shopping centers and mixed-use properties means that we invest significant amounts of capital. Depreciation and maintenance costs relating to our properties constitute substantial costs for us as well as the industry as a whole. We capitalize real estate investments and depreciate them in accordance with GAAP and consistent with industry standards based on our best estimates of the assets physical and economic useful lives. The cost of our real estate investments, less salvage value, if any, is charged to depreciation expense over the estimated life of the asset using straight-line rates for financial statement purposes. We periodically review the estimated lives of our assets and implement changes, as necessary, to these estimates and, therefore, to our depreciation rates. These reviews take into account the historical retirement and replacement of our assets, the repairs required to maintain the condition of our assets, the cost of redevelopments that may extend the useful lives of our assets and general economic useful life of 50 to 60 years, but since many of our assets are not newly developed buildings, estimating the useful lives of assets that are long-lived as well as their salvage value requires significant management judgment. The longer the economic useful life, the lower the depreciation charged to that asset in a fiscal period will be,

which in turn will increase our net income. Similarly, having a shorter economic useful life would increase the depreciation for a fiscal period and decrease our net income.

Land, buildings and real estate under development are recorded at cost. We compute depreciation using the straight-line method with useful lives ranging generally from 35 years to a maximum of 50 years on buildings and improvements. Maintenance and repair costs are charged to operations as incurred. Tenant work and other major improvements, which improve or extend the life of the asset, are capitalized and depreciated over the life of the lease or the estimated useful life of the improvements, whichever is shorter. Minor improvements, furniture and equipment are capitalized and depreciated over useful lives ranging from three to 15 years. Certain external and internal costs directly related to the development, redevelopment and leasing of real estate, including applicable salaries and the related direct costs, are capitalized. The capitalized costs associated with developments and redevelopments are depreciated over the life of the improvement. Capitalized costs associated with leases are depreciated or amortized over the base term of the lease. Unamortized leasing costs are charged to operating expense if the applicable tenant vacates before the expiration of its lease. Undepreciated tenant work is charged to operations if the applicable tenant vacates and the tenant work is replaced.

When applicable, as lessee, we classify our leases of land and building as operating or capital leases in accordance with the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (SFAS) No. 13, Accounting for Leases. We are required to use judgment and make estimates in determining the lease term, the estimated economic life of the property and the interest rate to be used in applying the provisions of SFAS No. 13. These estimates determine whether or not the lease meets the qualification of a capital lease and is recorded as an asset.

We are required to make subjective assessments as to the useful lives of our real estate for purposes of determining the amount of depreciation to reflect on an annual basis. These assessments have a direct impact on net income. Certain events could occur that would materially affect our estimates and assumptions related to depreciation. Unforeseen competition or changes in customer shopping habits could substantially alter our assumptions regarding our ability to realize the return on investment in the property and therefore reduce the economic life of the asset and affect the amount of depreciation expense to charge against both the current and future revenues. We periodically review the lives of assets and any decrease in asset lives could have the effect of increasing depreciation expense while any analysis indicating that lives are longer than we have assumed could have the effect of decreasing depreciation expense. In order to determine the impact on depreciation expense of a different average life of our real estate assets taken as a whole, we used 25 years, which is the approximate average life of all assets being depreciated at the end of 2006. If the estimated useful lives of all real estate assets being depreciated were increased by one year, the consolidated annual depreciation expense would have decreased by approximately \$3.7 million.

Interest costs on developments and major redevelopments are capitalized as part of developments and redevelopments not yet placed in service. Capitalization of interest commences when development activities and expenditures begin and end upon completion, which is when the asset is ready for its intended use. Generally, rental property is considered substantially complete and ready for its intended use upon completion of tenant improvements, but no later than one year from completion of major construction activity. We make judgments as to the time period over which to capitalize such costs and these assumptions have a direct impact on net income because capitalized costs are not subtracted in calculating net income. If the time period for capitalizing interest is extended, more interest is capitalized, thereby decreasing interest expense and increasing net income during that period.

Real Estate Acquisitions

Upon acquisition of operating real estate properties, we estimate the fair value of acquired tangible assets (consisting of land, building and improvements), identified intangible assets and liabilities (consisting of above- and below-market leases, in-place leases and tenant relationships), and assumed debt in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141, Business Combinations. Based on these estimates, we

allocate the purchase price to the applicable assets and liabilities. We utilize methods similar to those used by independent appraisers in estimating the fair value of acquired assets and liabilities. We evaluate the useful life of each amortizable intangible asset each reporting period and account for any changes in such estimated useful life over the revised remaining useful life.

Long-Lived Assets

There are estimates and assumptions made by management in preparing the consolidated financial statements for which the actual results will be determined over long periods of time. This includes the recoverability of long-lived assets, including our properties that have been acquired or developed. Management must evaluate properties for possible impairment of value and, for those properties where impairment may be indicated, make estimates of future cash flows including revenues, operating expenses, required maintenance and development expenditures, market conditions, demand for space by tenants and rental rates over very long periods. Because our properties typically have a very long life, the assumptions used to estimate the future recoverability of book value requires significant management judgment.

SFAS No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets, requires that one accounting model be used for long-lived assets to be disposed of by sale, whether previously held and used or newly-acquired, and broadens the presentation of discontinued operations to include components of an entity comprising operations and cash flows that can be distinguished operationally and for financial reporting purposes from the rest of the entity. As a result, the sale of a property, or the classification of a property as held for sale, requires us to report the results of operations and cash flows of that property as discontinued operations.

We are required to make estimates of undiscounted cash flows in determining whether there is an impairment of an asset. Actual results could be significantly different from the estimates. These estimates have a direct impact on net income, because recording an impairment charge results in a negative adjustment to net income.

Contingencies

We are sometimes involved in lawsuits and environmental matters arising in the ordinary course of business. Management makes assumptions and estimates concerning the likelihood and amount of any potential loss relating to these matters.

Any difference between our estimate of a potential loss and the actual outcome would result in a reduction to net income if the actual loss is greater than our estimate. In addition, we reserve for estimated losses, if any, associated with warranties given to a buyer at the time an asset is sold or other potential liabilities relating to that sale, taking any insurance policies into account. These warranties may extend up to ten years and the calculation of potential liability requires significant judgment. Any increases to our estimated warranty losses would usually result in a decrease in net income.

Self-Insurance

We are self-insured for general liability costs up to predetermined retained amounts per claim, and we believe that we maintain adequate accruals to cover our retained liability. We currently do not maintain third party stop-loss insurance policies to cover liability costs in excess of predetermined retained amounts. Our accrual for self-insurance liability is determined by management and is based on claims filed and an estimate of claims incurred but not yet reported. Management considers a number of factors, including third-party actuary valuations and future increases in costs of claims, when making these determinations. If our liability costs exceed these accruals, it will reduce our net income.

Cumulative Effect of Change in Accounting Principle

Prior to January 1, 2006, we accounted for stock based compensation under the recognition and measurement provisions of Accounting Principle Board Opinion (APB) No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and related interpretations, as permitted by Financial Accounting Standard (SFAS) No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation. Under APB No. 25, no stock based compensation costs were recognized in the Statement of Operations for stock options as our options granted had an exercise price equal to the market value of our common shares on the date of grant. Effective January 1, 2006, we adopted the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123(R), Share-Based Payment, using the modified-prospective-transition method. Under this transition method, compensation cost recognized beginning January 1, 2006 includes: (a) compensation costs for all share-based payments granted prior to, but not vested as of January 1, 2006, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS No. 123, and (b) compensation cost for all share-based payments granted subsequent to January 1, 2006, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R). Prior to January 1, 2006, we used the Black-Scholes model to value stock options and we intend to continue to use this model to value stock options issued subsequent to January 1, 2006.

On January 1, 2006, we recorded the cumulative effect of adopting SFAS No. 123(R). This cumulative effect resulted in decreasing accrued liabilities by \$3.3 million and increasing shareholder equity by \$3.3 million. These balance sheet changes related to deferred compensation on unvested shares. Under SFAS No. 123(R), deferred compensation is no longer recorded at the time unvested shares are issued. Share-based compensation expense is now recorded over the requisite service period with an offsetting credit to equity (generally additional paid-in capital).

New Accounting Pronouncements

In July 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FASB Interpretation No. 48 (FIN 48), Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. FIN 48 was issued to reduce the diversity in practice associated with certain aspects of recognition, disclosure and measurement related to accounting for uncertain income tax positions. We are required to adopt FIN 48 effective January 1, 2007. We do not believe the adoption of FIN 48 will have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In September 2006, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108 (SAB 108), Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements. SAB 108 was issued to provide consistency between how registrants quantify financial statements misstatements. Historically, there have been two widely-used methods for quantifying the effects of financial statement misstatements. These methods are commonly referred to as the roll-over method and the iron curtain method. The roll-over method quantifies the amount by which the current income statement is misstated. The iron curtain method quantifies the error as the cumulative amount by which the current year balance sheet is misstated.

SAB 108 establishes an approach that requires quantification of financial statement misstatements based on the effects of the misstatement on each the income statement, balance sheet and the related disclosures. This approach is commonly referred to as the dual approach. We adopted SAB 108 during the fourth quarter of 2006 in connection with the preparation of our annual financial statements for the year ending December 31, 2006. The adoption of SAB 108 did not impact our financial positions, results of operations or cash flows.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157 Fair Value Measurements. SFAS No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 applies to accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements, except for share-based payments under SFAS No. 123R. We are required to adopt SFAS No. 157 effective January 1, 2008. We do not believe the adoption of SFAS No. 157 will have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Property Acquisitions and Dispositions

A summary of our significant acquisitions in 2006 and 2005 is as follows:

			Gross	Purchase	
Date	Property	City, State	Leasable Area (In square feet)	Price (In millions)	
Year ended Decem					
January 20	4900 Hampden Lane	Bethesda, MD	35,000	\$	12.0
January 27	7770 Richmond Hwy	Alexandria, VA	60,000	\$	9.9
June 29	Town Center of New Britain	New Britain, PA	126,000	\$	12.8
August 24	Key Road Plaza	Keene, NH	76,000	\$	14.5
August 24	Riverside Plaza	Keene, NH	218,000	\$	24.0
August 24	Bath Shopping Center	Bath, ME	101,000	\$	22.8(1)
August 24	Linden Square	Wellesley, MA	261,000	\$	99.6
August 24	North Dartmouth	North Dartmouth, MA	183,000	\$	27.5
August 25	Chelsea Commons	Chelsea, MA	180,000	\$	20.1(2)
Various after					
September 13	Rockville Town Square	Rockville, MD	152,000	\$	5.9(4)
October 16	Melville Mall	Huntington, NY	248,000	\$	60.0(3)
		Total	1,640,000	\$	309.1
Year ended Decem					
March 1	Assembly Sq./Sturtevant St.	Somerville, MA	551,000	\$	66.4
December 29	Crow Canyon Commons	San Ramon, CA	228,000	\$	47.5(5)
		Total	779.000	\$	113.9
			,	Ŧ	

⁽¹⁾ Purchase price includes the assumption of debt with a fair value of approximately \$11.1 million.

- (4) We intend to acquire an additional 32,000 square feet of gross leasable area. No square footage has been placed in service. The Grand Opening is scheduled for May 2007.
- (5) Purchase price includes \$22.3 million for an assumed mortgage.

Generally, our acquisitions are initially financed by available cash and borrowings under our revolving credit facility which may be repaid later with funds raised through the issuance of new equity or new long-term debt. On occasion we assume mortgages in the connection with certain acquisitions as noted in the acquisitions table above.

The Linden Square acquisition is currently undergoing redevelopment. After the initial phases of the redevelopment are completed the project will include approximately 222,000 square feet of retail, 17,000 square feet of office, seven affordable residential units, and a car dealership. The initial phases of redevelopment are expected to be complete in 2008.

The Assembly Square/Sturtevant Street acquisition includes an approximately 332,000 square foot enclosed mall in the City of Somerville, Massachusetts, for which the redevelopment into a power center was completed in 2006, and an adjacent ten-acre 220,000 square foot retail/industrial complex. As of December 31, 2006, we have invested a total of \$112 million in the property.

The acquisition of Assembly Square also included zoning entitlements to add four mixed-use buildings on 3.5 acres, which could include approximately 41,000 square feet of retail space, 51,000 square feet of office space

⁽²⁾ Purchase price includes the assumption of debt with a fair value of approximately \$8.0 million.

⁽³⁾ The Trust controls and consolidates Melville Mall at its approximate fair value of \$60.0 million. We gained control of Melville Mall through a 20-year master lease and \$34.1 million secondary financing to the owner. The master lease includes a purchase option in 2021 for \$5.0 million plus the assumption of the owner s \$25.8 million first mortgage.

and 239 residential units. The acquisition also included an option to purchase adjacent land parcels, all of which are zoned for dense, mixed-use development. We expect to structure any future development of Assembly Square in a manner designed to mitigate our risk which may include selling entitlements or co-developing with other real estate companies.

On October 16, 2006, we acquired the leasehold interest in Melville Mall, an approximately 248,000 square feet shopping center located in Huntington, New York, under a 20-year master lease. Additionally, we loaned the owner of Melville Mall \$34.1 million secured by a second mortgage on the property. We have an option to purchase the shopping center on or after October 16, 2021 for a price of \$5.0 million plus the assumption of the first mortgage and repayment of our second mortgage. As a result of these transactions, we control this property and retain substantially all of the economic benefits and risks associated with it. Accordingly, upon acquiring the leasehold interest, we consolidated this property and its operations.

The following table provides a summary of significant acquisitions made by our unconsolidated real estate partnership in 2006 and 2005:

			Gross		
Date	Prope	rty City, State	Leasable Area (In square feet)	Purchase Price (In millions)	
June 5, 2006	Greenlawn Plaza(1)	Huntington, NY	102,000	\$	20.4
June 8, 2006	Barcroft Plaza	Falls Church, VA	90,000	\$	25.1
		Total	192,000	\$	45.5

(1) This property was acquired from the Trust.

A summary of our significant dispositions in 2006 and 2005 is as follows:

					Sales		
Sales Date Year ended Decemb	Property	Location	Year Acquired or Built	Gross Leasable Area (In square feet)	Price (In mil	Gain llions)	
	Santana Row Condominiums						
January-August	(89 units)(1)	San Jose, CA	2002	N/A	\$ 64.1	\$ 16.5(2)	
June 5	Greenlawn Plaza	Huntington, NY	2000	102,000	\$ 20.4	\$ 7.4(3)	
		Total		102,000	\$ 84.5	\$23.9	
Year ended December 31, 2005					• • • •		
February 15	420 & 501 South Mill	Tempe, AZ	1998	40,000	\$ 13.7	\$ 4.0	
June 2	Cone & Andary Buildings	Winter Park, FL	1996	28,000	\$ 11.1	\$ 3.5	
July 12	Shaw s Plaza	Carver, MA	2004	75,000	\$ 4.0		
Various after August 26	Santana Row Condominiums (130 units)	San Jose, CA	2002	N/A	\$ 89.2	\$ 23.5(4)	
		Total		143,000	\$118.0	\$ 31.0	

⁽¹⁾ As of August 25, 2006, we had sold all of the 219 condominium units we currently intend to sell at Santana Row.

⁽²⁾ Gain of \$16.5 million is net of \$2.4 million in taxes.

- (3) This property was contributed to our real estate partnership in which we own a 30% interest. Accordingly, we recognized a partial gain of \$7.4 million on this sale related to the 70% equity interest contributed.
- (4) Gain of \$23.5 million is net of \$3.4 million in taxes.

The proceeds from our dispositions were used to pay down our revolving credit facility and for general corporate purposes.

2006 Significant Debt, Equity and Other Transactions

On March 10, 2006, we repaid our 6.99% medium term notes with a principal amount of \$40.5 million. These notes were repaid with funds borrowed on our revolving credit facility.

On July 17, 2006 we issued \$120.0 million of fixed rate notes, which mature on July 15, 2012 and bear interest at 6.0%, and \$130.0 million of fixed rate notes, which mature on January 15, 2017 and bear interest at 6.2%. Our net proceeds from these note offerings after issuance discounts and underwriting fees were \$247.9 million. These proceeds, along with \$2.4 million borrowed on our revolving credit facility, were used to repay all the principal of our \$150.0 million five-year term loan due October 2008 and \$100.0 million three-year term loan due October 2006 and \$0.3 million of related accrued interest on July 17, 2006.

In order to hedge our exposure to interest rate fluctuations on the \$150.0 million five-year term loan due October 2008, we entered into an interest rate swap in January 2004, which fixed the LIBOR portion of the interest rate on this term loan at 2.401% through October 8, 2006. The full notional amount of this swap qualified as a cash flow hedge until we repaid this term loan on July 17, 2006. On July 17, 2006, we did not redesignate this swap and the related \$1.2 million included in accumulated other comprehensive income was recognized into earnings.

On July 28, 2006, we replaced our existing revolving credit facility with a new \$300.0 million unsecured revolving credit facility. The new revolving credit facility matures on July 27, 2010, subject to a one-year extension at our option, and initially bears interest at LIBOR plus 42.5 basis points. The spread over LIBOR is subject to adjustment based on our credit rating.

On August 4, 2006, we amended the \$17.7 million second mortgage note receivable which is secured by a hotel in San Jose, California. The amended note decreased the interest rate from 14% to 9% per annum, requires monthly payments of principal and interest based on a 15-year amortization schedule and matures on August 20, 2016.

In connection with the acquisitions of Bath Shopping Center and Chelsea Commons on August 24, 2006 and August 25, 2006, we assumed two mortgage notes, one in connection with each property, with fair values of approximately \$11.1 million and \$8.0 million, respectively. The Bath Shopping Center and Chelsea Commons mortgages mature on July 1, 2028 and January 15, 2031, respectively, and bear interest at 7.13% and 5.36%, respectively. Both notes require monthly payments of principal and interest.

On August 24, 2006, we entered into a \$150 million unsecured credit agreement (the Bridge Loan) bearing interest at LIBOR plus 42.5 basis points and maturing on December 29, 2006. The Bridge Loan was used to provide interim financing for the acquisition of properties and was fully repaid on September 19, 2006, using the proceeds from the issuance of common stock.

On September 19, 2006, we issued 2.0 million common shares at \$74.51 per share (after deducting underwriting discounts and fees) netting approximately \$149.2 million in cash proceeds before other expenses of the offering. The proceeds were used on an interim basis to repay debt from the acquisition of three properties in New England and for general corporate purposes. Ultimately, the proceeds were used to redeem the Series B preferred shares on November 27, 2006.

On September 28, 2006, we reopened the 6.0% and 6.2% fixed rate notes that were initially issued on July 17, 2006. We issued an additional \$55.0 million of fixed rate notes, which mature on July 15, 2012 and bear interest at 6.0%, and an additional \$70.0 million of fixed rate notes, which mature on January 15, 2017, and bear

interest at 6.2%. The additional note issuances are fully fungible, rank equally with and form a single issue and series with the initial notes issued on July 17, 2006. Our net proceeds from the September 2006 note offerings after issuance premiums, underwriting fees and accrued interest were \$130.1 million. These proceeds were used to reduce the borrowings under our unsecured credit facility and for general corporate purposes.

On October 16, 2006, we acquired the leasehold interest in Melville Mall. The fee interest in the property was encumbered by an existing mortgage note with a fair value of approximately \$25.2 million. This mortgage note bears interest at 5.25% per annum, requires monthly payments of interest and principal based on a 25-year amortization schedule and matures on September 1, 2014. We are required to make the payment on this mortgage as part of our rent payment under our lease. Because we control this property and retain substantially all of the economic benefits and risks associated with it, we consolidate this property, its operations and this mortgage note.

On November 27, 2006, we redeemed all 5,400,000 outstanding shares of our 8.5% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares, no par value. The Series B Preferred Shares were redeemed at their redemption price of \$25.00 per share, plus accrued and unpaid dividends through the redemption date of approximately \$0.16 per share, for an aggregate redemption price of approximately \$25.16 per share or \$135.9 million in total. Dividends on the Series B Preferred Shares ceased to accrue on November 27, 2006. The redemption also resulted in a deemed dividend of \$4.8 million for the difference between the redemption amount and carrying cost.

On December 1, 2006, we issued \$135.0 million of fixed rate notes, which mature on December 1, 2013 and bear interest at 5.4%. Our net proceeds from these note offerings after underwriting fees were \$134.2 million. The proceeds were used for general corporate purposes, including repaying amounts outstanding under our revolving credit facility.

Outlook

General

We anticipate our 2007 income from continuing operations to grow in comparison to our 2006 income from continuing operations. We expect this income growth primarily to be generated by a combination of the following:

increased earnings in our same center portfolio and from properties under redevelopment; and

increased earnings as we expand our portfolio through property acquisitions.

On November 6, 2006, we announced a regular quarterly cash dividend of \$0.575 per share on our common shares, resulting in an indicated annual rate of \$2.30 per share. The regular common dividend was payable on January 16, 2007, to common shareholders of record as of January 2, 2007.

We continue to see a positive impact on our income as a result of the redevelopment of our shopping centers and higher rental rates on existing spaces as leases on these spaces expire. We anticipate investments in redevelopment projects of approximately \$109 million and \$97 million to stabilize in 2007 and 2008, respectively. As redevelopment properties are completed, spaces that were out of service begin generating revenue; in addition, spaces that were not out of service and that have expiring leases may generate higher revenue because we generally receive higher rent on new leases. For example, many of the leases with rents commencing in 2006 were signed in 2005 or earlier, and leases signed in 2004, 2005 and 2006 on spaces for which there was a previous tenant have on average been renewed at double digit base rent increases. On spaces where the tenant leases are expiring in 2007, our analysis of current market rents as compared to rents on the existing leases leads us to expect that, on average, the base rents in new leases will have double-digit weighted average increases over the base rents currently in place.

At December 31, 2006 the leasable square feet in our shopping centers was 95.4% occupied and 96.5% leased. The leased rate is higher than the occupied rate due to spaces that are being redeveloped or improved or that are awaiting permits and, therefore, are not yet ready to be occupied. Our occupancy percentage and leased percentage increased from 93.9% and 96.3%, respectively at December 31, 2005, to 95.6% and 97.3%, respectively, at September 30, 2006. However, our occupancy percentage and leased percentage decreased as of December 31, 2006 due to 93,000 square feet vacated as a result of the Chapter 7 liquidation of Tower Records and Storehouse Furniture. Our occupancy and leased rates are subject to variability over time due to factors including acquisitions, the timing of the start and stabilization of our redevelopment projects, lease expirations and tenant bankruptcies.

Santana Row

Santana Row, located in San Jose, California, includes approximately 563,000 square feet of retail space, 295 residential rental units, and a ground lease to a 213-room hotel. The 295 residential units include 259 residential units delivered in 2005 and 2006 plus 36 pre-existing residential units. The 295 residential rental units do not include 219 units that have been sold as condominiums. Our total investment in Santana Row, excluding future phases, is approximately \$431 million (which includes the 563,000 square feet of retail space, the 295 residential rental units, the related common areas and infrastructure and \$13 million invested in restaurant ventures) net of insurance proceeds received related to the 2002 fire and proceeds from the sale of the 219 residential units.

We are developing a master plan for the remaining parcels at Santana Row which comprise approximately 13.4 acres of land. Our remaining entitlements consist of approximately 135,000 square feet of retail space, 897 residential units and either a 191-room hotel or an additional 190 residential units. We are evaluating the feasibility of utilizing these entitlements in future development at Santana Row but there is no guaranty that we will ultimately pursue or complete any part of the development of the remaining parcels.

Acquisitions

We anticipate further growth in earnings from acquisitions of neighborhood and community shopping centers in our primary markets in the East and West regions, as well as a reduction in earnings from selective dispositions.

Any growth in earnings from acquisitions is contingent, however, on our ability to find properties that meet our qualitative standards at prices that meet our financial hurdles. Changes in interest rates also may affect our success in achieving growth through acquisitions by affecting both the price that must be paid to acquire a property, as well as our ability to economically finance the property acquisitions.

Results of Operations

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006 COMPARED TO YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2005

				Change			
	2006	2005	(Dollars)	% Change			
	((Dollar amounts in thousands)					
Rental income	\$ 438,201	\$ 393,548	\$ 44,653	11.3%			
Other property income	7,726	9,551	(1,825)	-19.1%			
Mortgage interest income	5,095	5,370	(275)	-5.1%			
Total property revenues	451,022	408,469	42,553	10.4%			
Rental expenses	88,130	84,736	3,394	4.0%			
Real estate taxes	44,898	39,372	5,526	14.0%			
Total property expenses	133,028	124,108	8,920	7.2%			
Property operating income	317,994	284,361	33,633	11.8%			
Other interest income	2,545	2,215	330	14.9%			
Income from real estate partnership	656	493	163	33.1%			
Interest expense	(102,808)	(88,566)	(14,242)	16.1%			
General and administrative expense	(21,340)	(19,909)	(1,431)	7.2%			
Depreciation and amortization	(97,618)	(88,927)	(8,691)	9.8%			
Total other, net	(218,565)	(194,694)	(23,871)	12.3%			
Income from continuing operations before minority interests	99,429	89,667	9,762	10.9%			
Minority interests	(4,353)	(5,234)	881	-16.8%			
Loss from discontinued operations	(320)	(569)	249	-43.8%			
Gain on sale of real estate	23,956	30,748	(6,792)	-22.1%			
Net income	\$ 118,712	\$ 114,612	\$ 4,100	3.6%			

Same Center

Throughout this section, we have provided certain information on a same-center basis. Information provided on a same-center basis includes the results of properties that we owned and operated for the entirety of both periods being compared except for properties for which significant development, redevelopment or expansion occurred during either of the periods being compared and properties classified as discontinued operations. Santana Row is considered under development in 2006, 2005 and 2004 and as such is excluded from same-center results.

Property Revenues

Total property revenue increased \$42.6 million, or 10.4%, to \$451.0 million in 2006 compared to \$408.5 million in 2005. The percentage leased at our shopping centers increased to 96.5% at December 31, 2006 compared to 96.3% at December 31, 2005 as the square feet for new leases signed at existing properties exceeded the square footage vacated in 2006, including the 93,000 square feet vacated in the fourth quarter of 2006 as a result of the Chapter 7 liquidation of Tower Records and Storehouse Furniture. Changes in the components of property revenue are discussed below.

Rental Income

Rental income consists primarily of minimum rent, cost recoveries from tenants and percentage rent. Rental income increased \$44.7 million, or 11.3%, to \$438.2 million in 2006 compared to \$393.5 million in 2005, due primarily to the following:

Table of Contents

an increase of \$20.2 million attributable to properties acquired in 2006 and 2005,

an increase of \$11.8 million at same-center properties due to increased rental rates on new leases and increased occupancy,

an increase of \$7.1 million at redevelopment properties due to increased occupancy and increased rental rates on new leases, and

an increase of \$6.5 million at Santana Row due primarily to leasing newly constructed residential rental units, increased rental rates on new retail leases, and increased occupancy.

Other Property Income

Other property income decreased \$1.8 million, or 19.1%, to \$7.7 million in 2006 compared to \$9.6 million in 2005. Included in other property income are items which, although recurring, tend to fluctuate more than rental income from period to period, such as lease termination fees. In 2006, the decrease is primarily due to a \$1.9 million decrease in lease termination fees.

Mortgage Interest Income

Mortgage interest income decreased \$0.3 million, or 5.1%, to \$5.1 million in 2006 compared to \$5.4 million in 2005. The decrease is primarily due to the following:

a decrease of \$0.4 million resulting from an amendment to our mortgage note receivable secured by a hotel in San Jose, California, executed on August 4, 2006, which decreased the interest rate from 14% to 9% per annum;

a decrease of \$0.3 million related to the pay-off of a \$5.9 million mortgage note receivable in August 23, 2005; partially offset by

an increase of \$0.3 million related to higher participating interest. **Property Expenses**

Total property operating expenses increased \$8.9 million, or 7.2%, to \$133.0 million in 2006 compared to \$124.1 million in 2005. Changes in the components of property expenses are discussed below.

Rental Expenses

Rental expenses increased \$3.4 million, or 4.0%, to \$88.1 million in 2006 compared to \$84.7 million in 2005. This increase is due primarily to the following:

an increase of \$3.2 million, attributable to properties acquired in 2006 and 2005,

an increase of \$2.5 million at Santana Row due primarily to higher repair and maintenance expenses and common area costs associated with the newly constructed residential rental units placed into service,

an increase of \$1.7 million in repairs and maintenance, excluding snow removal, at same-center and redevelopment properties, and

an increase of 0.9 million in utilities at same-center and redevelopment properties, partially offset by

a decrease of \$3.0 million due to lower snow removal costs at same-center and redevelopment properties, and

a decrease of \$2.0 million due to lower bad debt expense at same-center and redevelopment properties. As a result of the changes in rental income, rental expenses and other property income described above, rental expenses as a percentage of rental income plus other property income decreased to 19.8% in 2006 from 21.0% in 2005.

Real Estate Taxes

Real estate tax expense increased \$5.5 million, or 14.0%, to \$44.9 million in 2006 compared to \$39.4 million in 2005. This increase is due to the following:

an increase of \$2.7 million, attributable to properties acquired in 2006 and 2005;

an increase of \$2.1 million at Santana Row due primarily to higher assessments and a change in estimated real estate taxes recorded in June 2005. This change in estimate resulted from receiving final real estate tax assessments that decreased our real estate taxes for retail real estate and increased our real estate taxes for residential units at Santana Row by \$1.1 million in 2005. The related residential units impacted by this change in estimate were sold as condominiums and therefore, the increase in residential real estate taxes is included in discontinued operations as discussed below; and

an increase of \$0.6 million, due to higher assessments at same-center properties. **Property Operating Income**

Property operating income increased \$33.6 million, or 11.8%, to \$318.0 million in 2006 compared to \$284.4 million in 2005. This increase is due primarily to the following:

earnings attributable to properties acquired in 2005 and 2006,

growth in same-center earnings, and

growth in earnings at redevelopment properties and Santana Row.

Other

Interest Expense

Interest expense increased \$14.2 million, or 16.1%, to \$102.8 million in 2006 compared to \$88.6 million in 2005. This increase is primarily due to the following:

an increase of \$8.5 million due to higher borrowings to finance our acquisitions,

an increase of \$3.0 million due to higher interest rates on certain borrowings,

an increase of \$1.6 million due to a decrease in capitalized interest, and

an increase of \$1.0 million due to an increase in participation on capital leases.

Gross interest costs were \$106.9 million and \$94.2 million in 2006 and 2005, respectively. Capitalized interest amounted to \$4.1 million and \$5.7 million in 2006 and 2005, respectively. Capitalized interest decreased due primarily to placing the newly constructed residential rental units at Santana Row and retail development at Assembly Square into service partially offset by capitalized interest related to construction at Linden Square which was acquired in 2006.

General and Administrative Expense

General and administrative expense increased \$1.4 million, or 7.2%, to \$21.3 million in 2006 compared to \$19.9 million in 2005. This is primarily due to an increase in compensation (including increased grant expense under SFAS No. 123(R)) being partially offset by an increase in compensation capitalized as a result of increased leasing and redevelopment activities.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$8.7 million, or 9.8%, to \$97.6 million in 2006 from \$88.9 million in 2005. This increase is due primarily to depreciation on acquired properties, improvements at same-center properties and placing into service the newly constructed residential rental units at Santana Row, and retail development at Assembly Square.

Minority Interests

Income to minority partners decreased \$0.9 million, or 16.8% to \$4.4 million in 2006 from \$5.2 million in 2005. This decrease is due primarily to a decrease in earnings at a property under redevelopment which is held in a non-wholly owned partnership, and a decrease in operating units held by partners in certain of our downREIT partnerships.

Loss from Discontinued Operations

Loss from discontinued operations represents the operating loss of properties that have been disposed, and is required to be reported separately from results of ongoing operations. The reported operating loss of \$0.3 million and \$0.6 million in 2006 and 2005, respectively, represent the operating loss for the period during which we owned properties sold in 2006 and 2005, respectively. The loss for 2005 includes an unfavorable change in estimated real estate taxes recorded in June 2005 for the residential units sold as condominiums at Santana Row. This change in estimate resulted from receiving final real estate tax assessments for the condominiums sold at Santana Row that were greater than our estimated accrual.

Gain on Sale of Real Estate

The gain on sale of real estate decreased \$6.8 million to \$24.0 million in 2006 compared to \$30.7 million in 2005. All of the properties sold in 2006 (Greenlawn Plaza and condominiums at Santana Row) and in 2005 (properties in Tempe, Arizona; Winter Park, Florida; Shaw s Plaza in Carver, Massachusetts and condominiums at Santana Row) resulted in gains.

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2005 COMPARED TO YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2004

			Cha	ange		
	2005	2004	(Dollars)	% Change		
	(Dollar amounts in thousands)					
Rental income	\$ 393,548	\$ 368,299	\$ 25,249	6.9%		
Other property income	9,551	10,398	(847)	-8.1%		
Mortgage interest income	5,370	4,915	455	9.3%		
Total property revenues	408,469	383,612	24,857	6.5%		
Rental expenses	84,736	89,940	(5,204)	-5.8%		
Real estate taxes	39,372	37,351	2,021	5.4%		
Total property expenses	124,108	127,291	(3,183)	-2.5%		
	,		(-,,			
Property operating income	284,361	256,321	28,040	10.9%		
Other interest income	2,215	1,504	711	47.3%		
Income from real estate partnership	493	205	288	140.5%		
Interest expense	(88,566)	(85,058)	(3,508)	4.1%		
General and administrative expense	(19,909)	(18,164)	(1,745)	9.6%		
Depreciation and amortization	(88,927)	(86,597)	(2,330)	2.7%		
		(100,110)	(6.50.4)	2.5%		
Total other, net	(194,694)	(188,110)	(6,584)	3.5%		
Income from continuing operations before minority interests	89,667	68,211	21,456	31.5%		
Minority interests	(5,234)	(4,170)	(1,064)	25.5%		
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	(569)	6,063	(6,632)	-109.4%		
Gain on sale of real estate	30,748	14,052	16,696	118.8%		
Net income	\$ 114,612	\$ 84,156	\$ 30,456	36.2%		

Property Revenues

Total property revenues increased \$24.9 million, or 6.5%, to \$408.5 million in 2005 compared to \$383.6 million in 2004. The percentage leased at our commercial properties increased to 96.3% at December 31, 2005 compared to 95.1% at December 31, 2004 due primarily to new leases signed at existing properties. Changes in the components of property revenue are discussed below.

Rental income

Rental income consists primarily of minimum rent, cost recoveries from tenants, and percentage rent. Rental income increased \$25.2 million, or 6.9%, to \$393.5 million in 2005 compared to \$368.3 million in 2004. This increase is due primarily to the following:

on a same center basis, an increase of \$10.3 million due mainly to increased rental rates on new leases and increased occupancy,

an increase of \$8.9 million at redevelopment properties and Santana Row due primarily to increased occupancy, and

an increase of \$7.7 million attributable to the properties acquired in 2005 and 2004, primarily Westgate Mall and Assembly Square/Sturtevant Street,

partially offset by

a decrease of approximately \$3.1 million related to income recognized in 2004 attributable to proceeds from the Santana Row fire insurance settlement.

Other Property Income

Other property income decreased \$0.8 million, or 8.1%, to \$9.6 million in 2005 compared to \$10.4 million in 2004. Included in other property income are items which, although recurring, tend to fluctuate more than rental income from period to period, such as lease termination fees and temporary tenant income. This decrease in other property income in 2004 is primarily the result of lower lease termination fees and lower parking revenue.

Mortgage Interest Income

Interest on mortgage notes receivable increased \$0.5 million, or 9.3%, to \$5.4 million in 2005 compared to \$4.9 million in 2004. This increase is due primarily to an increase in the weighted average effective interest rate on notes outstanding.

Property Expenses

Total property operating expenses decreased \$3.2 million, or 2.5%, to \$124.1 million in 2005 compared to \$127.3 million in 2004. Changes in the components of property expenses are discussed below.

Rental Expenses

Rental expenses decreased \$5.2 million, or 5.8%, to \$84.7 million in 2005 compared to \$89.9 million in 2004. This decrease is primarily due to the following:

a decrease of \$4.5 million due to lower bad debt expense and insurance premiums, and

a decrease of \$2.0 million due to lower write-offs of fixed assets and deferred lease costs related primarily to early lease terminations, partially offset by

an increase of \$1.7 million in maintenance expense at same center properties due primarily to higher snow removal costs in the East region, and

an increase of \$1.2 million in expenses attributable to the additional properties acquired during 2005 and 2004. As a result of these changes in rental expenses, rental income and other property income, rental expense as a percentage of rental income plus other property income decreased from 23.7% in 2004 to 21.0% in 2005.

Real Estate Taxes

Real estate tax expense increased \$2.0 million, or 5.4%, to \$39.4 million in 2005 compared to \$37.4 million in 2004. This increase in 2005 is due largely to increased taxes of \$1.5 million attributable to acquired properties and higher tax assessments for our properties.

Property Operating Income

Property operating income increased \$28.0 million, or 10.9%, to \$284.4 million in 2005 compared to \$256.3 million in 2004. Income recognized from fire insurance proceeds attributable to rental income lost at Santana Row due to the August 2002 fire amounted to approximately \$3.1 million in 2004 and was insignificant in 2005. Excluding these proceeds, property operating income increased \$31.1 million.

This increase is due primarily to the following:

growth in same center earnings,

growth in Santana Row earnings due to higher rental income and lower operating expenses,

growth at redevelopment properties where occupancy has increased, and

earnings attributable to our 2005 and 2004 acquisitions.

Other

Other Interest Income

Other interest income increased \$0.7 million to \$2.2 million in 2005 compared to \$1.5 million in 2004. This increase is due primarily to non-recurring additional interest income from the early pay-off of a \$7.5 million note receivable in June 2005.

Interest Expense

Interest expense increased \$3.5 million, or 4.1%, to \$88.6 million in 2005 compared to \$85.1 million in 2004. This increase is primarily due to higher outstanding balances on our revolving credit facilities, which we used to finance acquisitions on an interim basis, and higher interest rates on our variable-rate debt. Gross interest costs in 2005 were \$94.2 million compared to \$90.2 million in 2004. Capitalized interest amounted to \$5.7 million and \$5.1 million in 2005 and 2004, respectively.

General and Administrative Expense

General and administrative expenses increased by \$1.7 million, or 9.6%, to \$19.9 million in 2005 compared to \$18.2 million in 2004. This increase resulted primarily from increased compensation.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$2.3 million, or 2.7%, to \$88.9 million in 2005 compared to \$86.6 million in 2004. This increase is primarily due to depreciation and amortization on properties acquired.

Minority Interests

Income to minority partners increased \$1.1 million to \$5.2 million in 2005 compared to \$4.2 million in 2004. This is the result of increased earnings at majority-owned real estate partnerships partially offset by a decrease in the interest held by the minority partners.

Table of Contents

(Loss) Income from Discontinued Operations

(Loss) income from discontinued operations represents the operating loss or income of properties that have been disposed, which is required to be reported separately from results of ongoing operations. The reported loss of \$0.6 million and income of \$6.1 million in 2005 and 2004, respectively, represents the operating (loss) income for the period during which we owned the properties sold in 2005 and 2004.

Gain on Sale of Real Estate

The gain on sale of real estate increased \$16.7 million to \$30.7 million in 2005 compared to \$14.1 million in 2004. Each of the properties sold in 2005 (properties in Tempe, Arizona; Winter Park, Florida; Shaw s Plaza in Carver, Massachusetts and condominiums at Santana Row) and 2004 (land parcels at Village at Shirlington, Magruders Center, Plaza del Mercado and properties in West Hartford and Avon, Connecticut) resulted in a gain.

Segment Results

We operate our business on an asset management model, where property management teams are responsible for a portfolio of assets. We manage our portfolio as two operating regions: East and West. Property management teams consist of regional directors, leasing agents, development staff and financial personnel, each of whom has responsibility for a distinct portfolio.

The following selected key segment data is presented for 2006, 2005 and 2004. The results of properties classified as discontinued operations have been excluded from rental income, total revenue, and property operating income from the following table.

	2006	(Dolla	2005 rs in thousands	5)	2004
East					
Rental income	\$ 340,968	\$	310,063	\$	293,291
Total revenue	\$ 348,894	\$	317,044	\$	300,012
Property operating income(1)	\$ 252,425	\$	226,086	\$	207,940
Property operating income as a percent of total revenue	72.4%		71.3%		69.3%
Total assets	\$ 1,725,790	\$	1,368,925	\$	1,264,135
Gross leasable square feet	16,195		14,941		14,482
West					
Rental income	\$ 97,233	\$	83,485	\$	75,008
Total revenue	\$ 102,128	\$	91,425	\$	83,600
Property operating income(1)	\$ 65,569	\$	58,275	\$	48,381
Property operating income as a percent of total revenue	64.2%		63.7%		57.9%
Total assets	\$ 876,400	\$	908,621	\$	911,136
Gross leasable square feet	2,605		2,610		2,408

(1) Property operating income consists of rental income, other property income and mortgage interest income, less rental expenses and real estate taxes. This measure is used internally to evaluate the performance of our regional operations, and we consider it to be a significant measure.

East

The East region extends roughly from New England south through metropolitan Washington, D.C. and further south through Virginia and North Carolina. This region also includes several properties in Illinois and Michigan. As of December 31, 2006, the East region consisted of 78 properties (including our one apartment complex) and was 96.9% leased.

Total revenue in our East region increased \$31.9 million, or 10.0%, in 2006 and \$17.0 million, or 5.7%, in 2005. These increases are primarily attributable to acquisitions and increased rental rates and occupancy. Growth in total revenue attributable to acquisitions was \$15.0 million in 2006 and \$3.1 million in 2005. The percentage leased was 97%, 97% and 96% at December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively. The ratio of property operating income to total revenue was 72.4%, 71.3% and 69.3% in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The improvement in this ratio has primarily resulted from increased rental rates, increased occupancy and lower bad debt expense.

West

The West region extends from Texas to the West Coast. As of December 31, 2006, the West region consisted of 34 properties, including Santana Row, and was 93.8% leased.

Total revenue in our West region increased \$10.7 million, or 11.7%, in 2006 and \$7.8 million, or 9.4%, in 2005. These increases are primarily attributable to growth in total revenue at Santana Row as additional phases were brought into service, and acquisitions. These increases in rental revenue were partially offset by decreases in fire insurance proceeds of \$3.1 million and \$5.0 million in 2005 and 2004, respectively. The insurance proceeds were included in rental income as they relate largely to lost rents on delayed openings of the residential and retail units and rental concessions to tenants due to the August 2002 fire at Santana Row. Growth in total revenue attributable to acquisitions was \$5.3 million and \$4.5 million in 2006 and 2005, respectively. The percentage leased was 94%, 94% and 93% at December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively. The improvement in this ratio of property operating income to total revenue was 64.2%, 63.7% and 57.9% in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The improvement in this ratio in 2005 is due primarily to increased occupancy at Santana Row and decreased operating expenses resulting from leasing, marketing and other start-up activities related to Santana Row.

The overall return on investment in our West region is significantly less than the overall return on investment in our East region. This is due primarily to the following factors:

the generally lower bases in our East properties which were generally acquired before the West properties,

current occupancy rates at Houston Street in San Antonio, Texas are below our portfolio average, and

the phasing into service of Santana Row.

We expect that returns on investment in our West region will continue to rise over time, but that they will not necessarily rise to the same level of overall returns that are generated in our East region because of the lower historical basis in our East Coast properties.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Due to the nature of our business and strategy, we generally generate significant amounts of cash from operations. The cash generated from operations is primarily paid to our shareholders in the form of dividends. As a REIT, we must generally make annual distributions to shareholders of at least 90% of our REIT taxable income.

Our short-term liquidity requirements consist primarily of obligations under our capital and operating leases, normal recurring operating expenses, regular debt service requirements (including debt service relating to additional or replacement debt, as well as scheduled debt maturities), recurring expenditures, non-recurring expenditures (such as tenant improvements and redevelopments) and dividends to common and preferred shareholders, if any. Overall capital requirements in 2007 will depend upon acquisition opportunities, the level of improvements and redevelopments on existing properties and the timing and cost of development of future phases of existing properties.

Our long-term capital requirements consist primarily of maturities under our long-term debt, development and redevelopment costs and potential acquisitions. We expect to fund these through a combination of sources which we believe will be available to us, including additional and replacement unsecured and secured borrowings, issuance of additional equity, joint venture relationships relating to existing properties or new acquisitions, and property dispositions.

The cash needed to execute our strategy and invest in new properties, as well as to pay our debt at maturity, must come from one or more of the following sources:

cash provided by operations that is not distributed to shareholders,

proceeds from the issuance of new debt or equity securities, or

proceeds of property dispositions.

It is management s intention that we continually have access to the capital resources necessary to expand and develop our business. As a result, we intend to operate with and maintain a conservative capital structure that will allow us to maintain strong debt service coverage and fixed-charge coverage ratios as part of our commitment to investment-grade debt ratings. We may, from time to time, seek to obtain funds by the following means:

additional equity offerings,

unsecured debt financing and/or mortgage financings, and

other debt and equity alternatives, including formation of joint ventures, in a manner consistent with our intention to operate with a conservative debt structure.

The following factors could affect our ability to meet our liquidity requirements:

we may be unable to obtain debt or equity financing on favorable terms, or at all, as a result of our financial condition or market conditions at the time we seek additional financing;

restrictions in our debt instruments or preferred stock equity may prohibit us from incurring debt or issuing equity at all, or on acceptable terms under then-prevailing market conditions; and

we may be unable to service additional or replacement debt due to increases in interest rates or a decline in our operating performance. Cash and cash equivalents were \$11.5 million and \$8.6 million at December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. Cash and cash equivalents are not a good indicator of our liquidity. We have a \$300.0 million unsecured revolving credit facility that matures July 28, 2010, subject to a one-year extension at our option. We intend to utilize our revolving credit facility to finance the initial acquisition of properties and meet other short-term working capital requirements.

Summary of Cash Flows for 2006 and 2005

Year Ended December 31, 2006 2005 (In thousands) \$ 184,401 \$ 174,941

Cash provided by operating activities

Edgar Filing: FEDERAL REALTY INVESTMENT TRUST - Form 10-K

Cash used in investing activities	(317,429)	(152,730)
Cash provided by (used in) financing activities	135,884	(44,047)
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	2,856	(21,836)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	8,639	30,475
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$ 11,495	\$ 8,639

Net cash provided by operating activities increased by \$9.5 million to \$184.4 million during the year ended December 31, 2006 from \$174.9 million during the year ended December 31, 2005. The increase was primarily attributable to:

\$12.0 million higher net income before gain on sale of real estate, depreciation and amortization, and minority interest;

partially offset by

\$2.5 million increased use of cash for working capital due mainly to higher prepaid and other asset balances. Net cash used in investing activities increased approximately \$164.7 million to \$317.4 million during the year ended December 31, 2006 from \$152.7 million during the year ended December 31, 2005. The increase was primarily attributable to:

\$170.1 million increase in acquisitions of real estate;

\$30.8 million decrease in proceeds from the sale of real estate;

\$9.5 million decreased cash from net payoff of mortgage and other note receivables; and

\$4.9 million capital contribution to a real estate partnership; partially offset by

\$50.0 million decrease in capital expenditures primarily due to a decrease in development and redevelopment activities. Net cash provided by financing activities increased approximately \$179.9 million to \$135.9 million provided during the year ended December 31, 2006 from \$44.0 million used during the year ended December 31, 2005. The increase was primarily attributable to:

\$385.9 million increase in net proceeds from the issuance of senior debentures;

\$150.0 million in net proceeds from the issuance of note payable;

\$147.0 million in net proceeds from the issuance of common shares in a public offering; and

\$43.0 million decrease in net payments on our revolving credit facility; partially offset by

\$401.0 million increase in repayment of mortgages, capital leases and notes payable;

\$135.0 million redemption of Series B preferred shares; and

\$9.3 million increase in dividends paid to common and preferred shareholders. *Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements*

Table of Contents

Edgar Filing: FEDERAL REALTY INVESTMENT TRUST - Form 10-K

Other than the restaurants and joint venture funding commitments described in the next paragraph and items disclosed in the Contractual Commitments Table below, we have no off-balance sheet arrangements as of December 31, 2006 that are reasonably likely to have a current or future material effect on our financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources.

We are restaurant joint venture partners in eight restaurants at Santana Row. Our investment balance in the restaurant joint ventures was approximately \$8.6 million and \$6.8 million at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Our equity in earnings from the restaurant joint ventures was \$1.5 million, \$1.3 million and \$1.1 million in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

In July 2004, we entered into a joint venture arrangement by forming a limited partnership with affiliates of Clarion Lion Properties Fund (Clarion), a discretionary fund created and advised by ING Clarion Partners. We own 30% of the equity in the partnership, and Clarion owns 70%. The Partnership plans to acquire up to \$350 million of stabilized, supermarket-anchored, shopping centers in the Trust s East and West regions. Federal Realty and Clarion have committed to contribute to the Partnership up to \$37 million and \$86 million,

respectively, of equity capital to acquire properties. No assurances can be made that we will identify properties that meet the acquisition requirements of the Partnership. We are the manager of the Partnership and its properties, earning fees for acquisitions, management, leasing, and financing. We also have the opportunity to receive performance-based earnings through our Partnership interest. In 2004, the Partnership acquired four shopping centers in the East for \$75.8 million, and in 2006, the Partnership acquired an additional two shopping centers in the East for \$45.5 million. We account for our interest in the partnership using the equity method. In total, at December 31, 2006, the Partnership had \$77.4 million of mortgage notes outstanding.

Contractual Commitments

The following table provides a summary of our fixed, noncancelable obligations as of December 31, 2006:

	Commitments Due by Period Less Than				
	Total	1 Year	1-3 Years (In thousands)	4-5 Years	After 5 Years
Current and long-term debt	\$ 1,545,097	\$ 154,997	\$ 200,417	\$ 222,839	\$ 966,844
Capital lease obligations, principal only	149,361	1,315	3,245	3,869	140,932
Operating leases	280,789	4,598	9,113	9,111	257,967
Real estate commitments	129,019	69,019			60,000
Development and redevelopment					
obligations	98,252	88,739	9,513		
Total contractual cash obligations	\$ 2,202,518	\$ 318,668	\$ 222,288	\$ 235,819	\$ 1,425,743

In addition to the amounts set forth in the table above, the following potential commitments exist:

(a) Under the terms of the Congressional Plaza partnership agreement, from and after January 1, 1986, an unaffiliated third party has the right to require us and the two other minority partners to purchase between one-half to all of its 29.47% interest in Congressional Plaza at the interest s then-current fair market value. Based on management s current estimate of fair market value as of December 31, 2006, our estimated maximum liability upon exercise of the put option would range from approximately \$40 million to \$45 million.

(b) Under the terms of two other partnerships which own properties in southern California with a cost of approximately \$38 million, if certain leasing and revenue levels are obtained for the properties owned by the partnerships, the other partners may require us to purchase their partnership interests at a formula price based upon property operating income. The purchase price for one of the partnerships will be paid in cash and the purchase price for the other partnership will be paid using our common shares or, subject to certain conditions, cash. In those partnerships, if the other partners do not redeem their interests, we may choose to purchase the limited partnership interests upon the same terms.

(c) Street Retail San Antonio LP, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Trust, entered into a Development Agreement (the Agreement) in 2000 with the City of San Antonio, Texas (the City) related to the redevelopment of land and buildings that we own along Houston Street. Under the Agreement, we are required to issue an annual letter of credit, commencing on October 1, 2002 and ending on September 30, 2014, that covers our designated portion of the debt service should the incremental tax revenue generated in the Zone not cover the debt service. We posted a letter of credit with the City on September 25, 2002 for \$0.8 million, and the letter of credit remains outstanding. As of December 31, 2006, we have funded approximately \$1.3 million related to this obligation. In anticipation of further shortfalls of incremental tax revenues to the City, we have accrued approximately \$0.3 million as of December 31, 2006 to cover additional payments we may be obligated to make as part of the project costs.

(d) Under the terms of various other partnership agreements for entities, the partners have the right to exchange their operating units for cash or the same number of our common shares, at our option. As of December 31, 2006, a total of 377,210 operating units are outstanding.

(e) In addition to our contractual obligations, we have other short-term liquidity requirements consisting primarily of normal recurring operating expenses, regular debt service requirements (including debt service relating to additional and replacement debt), recurring corporate expenditures including compensation agreements, non-recurring corporate expenditures (such as tenant improvements and redevelopments) and dividends to common and preferred shareholders. In addition, future rental commitments are not reflected as commitments until the underlying leased space has been delivered for use. Overall capital requirements will depend upon acquisition opportunities, the level of improvements and redevelopments on existing properties and the timing and cost of future phases of Santana Row and Assembly Square.

(f) We are the guarantor for the non-recourse carve outs under mortgage notes totaling \$36.7 million that are secured by three properties owned by subsidiaries of our unconsolidated joint venture with affiliates of Clarion Lion Properties Fund, a discretionary fund created and advised by ING Clarion Partners. We are not guaranteeing the debt itself. The joint venture indemnifies us for any loss we incur under these guarantees.

⁵²

Debt Financing Arrangements

The following is a summary of our total debt outstanding as of December 31, 2006:

		Principal Balance	Interest Rate	
	Original Debt	as of	as of	
Description of Debt	Issued (Dollar:	December 31, 2006 s in thousands)	December 31, 2006	Maturity Date
Mortgage loans(1)	(Donar)	s in thousands)		
Secured fixed rate				
Leesburg Plaza	\$ 9,900	\$ 9,760	6.510%	October 1, 2008
164 E. Houston Street	345	98	7.500%	October 6, 2008
Mercer Mall	Acquired	4,512	8.375%	April 1, 2009
Federal Plaza	36,500	34,192	6.750%	June 1, 2011
Tysons Station	7,000	6,366	7.400%	September 1, 2011
Crow Canyon	Acquired	21,945	5.400%	August 11, 2013
Melville Mall(2)	Acquired	25,702	5.250%	September 1, 2014
Barracks Road	44,300	42,614	7.950%	November 1, 2015
Hauppauge	16,700	16,065	7.950%	November 1, 2015
Lawrence Park	31,400	30,205	7.950%	November 1, 2015
Wildwood	27,600	26,550	7.950%	November 1, 2015
Wynnewood	32,000	30,782	7.950%	November 1, 2015
Brick Plaza	33,000	31,631	7.415%	November 1, 2015
Mount Vernon(3)	13,250	12,268	5.660%	April 15, 2028
Bath	Acquired	9,999	7.130%	July 1, 2028
Chelsea	Acquired	8,384	5.360%	January 15, 2031
Subtotal		311,073		
Net unamortized mortgage discount		(36)		
Total mortgage loans		311,037		
Notes payable				
Unsecured fixed rate				
Perring Plaza renovation	3,087	1,624	10.000%	January 31, 2013
Unsecured variable rate				, ,
Revolving credit facilities(4)	300,000	98,000	LIBOR + 0.425%	July 27, 2010
Escondido (Municipal bonds)(5)	9,400	9,400	3.760%	October 1, 2016
Total notes payable		109,024		
Senior notes and debentures				
Unsecured fixed rate				
6.125% notes(6)	150,000	150,000	6.325%	November 15, 2007
8.75% notes	175,000	175,000	8.750%	December 1, 2009
4.50% notes	75,000	75,000	4.500%	February 15, 2011
6.00% notes	175,000	175,000	6.000%	July 15, 2012
5.40% notes	135,000	135,000	5.400%	December 1, 2013
5.65% notes	125,000	125,000	5.650%	June 1, 2016
6.20% notes	200,000	200,000	6.200%	January 15, 2017
7.48% debentures(7)	50,000	50,000	7.480%	August 15, 2026
6.82% medium term notes(8)	\$ 40,000	40,000	6.820%	August 1, 2027
Subtotal		1,125,000		
Unamortized net premium		2,508		
•				

Edgar Filing: FEDERAL REALTY INVESTMENT TRUST - Form 10-K

Total senior notes and debentures	1,127,508		
Capital lease obligations Various	149,361	Various	Various through 2077
Total debt and capital lease obligations	\$ 1,696,930		

⁽¹⁾ Mortgage loans do not include our 30% share (\$23.2 million) of the \$77.4 million debt of the partnership with Clarion Lion Properties Fund.

⁽²⁾ The Trust acquired control of Melville Mall through a 20-year master lease and secondary financing. Because the Trust controls this property and retains substantially all of the economic benefit and risk associated with it, this property is consolidated and the mortgage loan is reflected on the balance sheet, though it is not a legal obligation of the Trust.

⁽³⁾ The interest rate is fixed at 5.66% for the first ten years and then will be reset to a market rate in 2013. The lender has the option to call the loan on April 15, 2013 or any time thereafter.

⁵³

- (4) The revolving credit facility offers a one-year extension option. The maximum amount drawn under the facility during 2006 was \$297.0 million. The weighted average effective interest rate on borrowings under our revolving credit facility, before amortization of debt fees, was 5.6% for the year ended December 31, 2006.
- (5) The bonds require monthly interest only payments through maturity. The bonds bear interest at a variable rate determined weekly, which would enable the bonds to be remarketed at 100% of their principal amount. The property is not encumbered by a lien.
- (6) We purchased an interest rate lock to hedge a planned note offering. A hedge loss of \$1.5 million associated with this hedge is being amortized into the note offering, thereby increasing the effective interest rate on these notes to 6.325%.
- (7) Beginning on August 15, 2008, the debentures are redeemable by the holders thereof at the original purchase price of \$1,000 per debenture.

(8) Beginning on August 1, 2007, the notes are redeemable by the holders thereof at the original purchase price of \$1,000 per note.

Our credit facility and other debt agreements include financial and other covenants that may limit our operating activities in the future. As of December 31, 2006, we were in compliance with all of the financial and other covenants. If we were to breach any of our debt covenants and did not cure the breach within any applicable cure period, our lenders could require us to repay the debt immediately and, if the debt is secured, could immediately begin proceedings to take possession of the property securing the loan. Many of our debt arrangements, including our public notes and our credit facility, are cross-defaulted, which means that the lenders under those debt arrangements can put us in default and require immediate repayment of their debt if we breach and fail to cure a covenant under certain of our other debt obligations. As a result, any default under our debt covenants could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, our results of operations, our ability to meet our obligations and the market value of our shares.

Below are the aggregate principal payments required as of December 31, 2006 under our debt financing arrangements by year. Scheduled principal installments and amounts due at maturity are included.

		Capital			
	Secured	Secured Leases Uns		Total	
		(In thousands)			
2007	\$ 4,793	\$ 1,315	\$ 150,204	\$ 156,312	
2008	14,968	1,523	226	16,717	
2009	9,973	1,722	175,250	186,945	
2010	6,016	1,860	98,275(1)	106,151(1)	
2011	43,244	2,009	75,304	120,557(3)	
2012 and thereafter(2)	232,079	140,932	734,765	1,107,776(3)	
	\$ 311.073	\$ 149.361	\$ 1.234.024	\$ 1.694.458	

Our organizational documents do not limit the level or amount of debt that we may incur.

- (2) Includes the Mount Vernon projected mortgage loan balance of \$10.0 million as of April 15, 2013 that may be required to be paid on or after April 15, 2013. Amount also includes \$90 million of unsecured debt that may be called by the holders beginning August 1, 2007 as to \$40 million thereof and beginning August 15, 2008 as to \$50 million thereof.
- (3) Total debt maturities differs from the total reported on the consolidated balance sheet due to unamortized discounts and premiums as of December 31, 2006.

Interest Rate Hedging

We enter into interest rate swaps and treasury rate locks that qualify as cash flow hedges under SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. We generally enter into interest rate swaps to manage our exposure to variable interest rate risk and treasury locks to manage the risk of interest rates rising prior to the issuance of debt. We do not purchase derivatives for speculation. Our cash flow hedges are recorded at fair value. The effective portion of changes in fair value of our cash flow hedges is recorded in other comprehensive income and reclassified to earnings when the hedged item affects earnings. The ineffective portion of changes in fair value of our cash flow hedges is recognized in earnings in the period affected. We

⁽¹⁾ Includes \$98 million outstanding under our revolving credit facility.

assess effectiveness of our cash flow hedges both at inception and on an ongoing basis. Hedge ineffectiveness did not have a significant impact on earnings in 2006, 2005 and 2004, and we do not anticipate it will have a significant effect in the future.

In January 2004, we entered into an interest rate swap to fix the LIBOR portion of our \$150 million term loan issued in October of 2003. This swap fixed the LIBOR portion at 2.401% through October 2006. The full notional amount of this swap qualified as a cash flow hedge until we repaid this loan on July 17, 2006.

In August 2002, in anticipation of a \$150 million senior unsecured note offering, we entered into a treasury lock that fixed the five year treasury rate at 3.472% through August 19, 2002. On August 16, 2002, we priced the senior unsecured notes with a scheduled closing date of August 21, 2002 and closed on the associated rate lock. Five-year treasury rates declined between the pricing period and the settlement of the rate lock and therefore, we paid \$1.5 million to settle the rate lock. As a result of the August 19, 2002 fire at Santana Row, we did not proceed with the note offering at that time. However, we consummated a \$150 million, 6.125% Senior Unsecured Note offering on November 2002, and thus, the hedge loss is being amortized into interest expense over the life of these notes.

We also purchased an interest rate swap that terminated in March 2006, with a notional amount of \$40.5 million upon issuance of our 6.99% Medium Term Notes, which reduced the effective interest rate from 6.99% to 6.894%.

REIT Qualification

We intend to maintain our qualification as a REIT under Section 856(c) of the Code. As a REIT, we generally will not be subject to corporate federal income taxes on income we distribute to generally our shareholders as long as we satisfy certain technical requirements of the Code, including the requirement to distribute 90% of our REIT taxable income to our shareholders.

Funds From Operations

Funds from operations (FFO) is a supplemental non-GAAP financial measure of real estate companies operating performance. The National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (NAREIT) defines FFO as follows: net income, computed in accordance with the U.S. GAAP, plus depreciation and amortization of real estate assets and excluding extraordinary items and gains on the sale of real estate. We compute FFO in accordance with the NAREIT definition, and we have historically reported our FFO available for common shareholders in addition to our net income and net cash provided by operating activities. It should be noted that FFO:

does not represent cash flows from operating activities in accordance with GAAP (which, unlike FFO, generally reflects all cash effects of transactions and other events in the determination of net income);

should not be considered an alternative to net income as an indication of our performance; and

is not necessarily indicative of cash flow as a measure of liquidity or ability to fund cash needs, including the payment of dividends. We consider FFO available for common shareholders a meaningful, additional measure of operating performance primarily because it excludes the assumption that the value of the real estate assets diminishes predictably over time, as implied by the historical cost convention of GAAP and the recording of depreciation. We use FFO primarily as one of several means of assessing our operating performance in comparison with other REITs. Comparison of our presentation of FFO to similarly titled measures for other REITs may not necessarily be meaningful due to possible differences in the application of the NAREIT definition used by such REITs.

An increase or decrease in FFO available for common shareholders does not necessarily result in an increase or decrease in aggregate distributions because our Board of Trustees is not required to increase distributions on a

quarterly basis unless necessary for us to maintain REIT status. However, we must generally distribute 90% of our REIT taxable income to remain qualified as a REIT. Therefore, a significant increase in FFO will generally require an increase in distributions to shareholders although not necessarily on a proportionate basis.

The reconciliation of net income to funds from operations available for common shareholders is as follows:

	For the Year Ended December 31,			
	2006	2005	2004	
	(In thousa	nds, except per s	hare data)	
Net income	\$118,712	\$114,612	\$ 84,156	
Gain on sale of real estate	(23,956)	(30,748)	(14,052)	
Depreciation and amortization of real estate assets	88,649	82,752	81,649	
Amortization of initial direct costs of leases	7,390	6,972	7,151	
Depreciation of joint venture real estate assets	768	630	187	
Funds from operations	191,563	174,218	159,091	
Dividends on preferred stock	(10,423)	(11,475)	(11,475)	
Income attributable to operating partnership units	748	801	1,055	
Preferred stock redemption costs	(4,775)			
Funds from operations available for common shareholders	\$ 177,113	\$ 163,544	\$ 148,671	
ĩ	. ,		. ,	
Weighted average number of common shares, diluted	54,351	53,469	52,257	
Funds from operations available for common shareholders, per diluted share	\$ 3.26	\$ 3.06	\$ 2.85	

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Our use of financial instruments, such as debt instruments, subjects us to market risk which may affect our future earnings and cash flows, as well as the fair value of our assets. Market risk generally refers to the risk of loss from changes in interest rates and market prices. We manage our market risk by attempting to match anticipated inflow of cash from our operating, investing and financing activities with anticipated outflow of cash to fund debt payments, dividends to common and preferred shareholders, investments, capital expenditures and other cash requirements.

We also enter into certain types of derivative financial instruments to further reduce interest rate risk. We use interest rate protection and swap agreements, for example, to convert some of our variable rate debt to a fixed-rate basis or to hedge anticipated financing transactions. We use derivatives for hedging purposes rather than speculation and do not enter into financial instruments for trading purposes. We were exposed to credit loss in the event of non-performance by the counter party to our interest rate swap used to fix the LIBOR portion of our \$150 million term loan. The counterparty of this swap had a long-term debt rating of A by Standard and Poor s Rating Service and A1 by Moody s Investor Service. As of December 31, 2006, we were not party to any open derivative financial instruments.

Interest Rate Risk

The following discusses the effect of hypothetical changes in market rates of interest on interest expense for our variable rate debt and on the fair value of our total outstanding debt, including our fixed-rate debt. Interest risk amounts were determined by considering the impact of hypothetical interest rates on our debt. This analysis does not purport to take into account all of the factors that may affect our debt, such as the effect that a changing interest rate environment could have on the overall level of economic activity or the action that our management might take to reduce our exposure to the change. This analysis assumes no change in our financial structure.

Fixed Interest Rate Debt

The majority of our outstanding debt obligations (maturing at various times through 2028 or through 2077 including capital lease obligations) have fixed interest rates which limit the risk of fluctuating interest rates. Interest rate fluctuations may affect the fair value of our fixed rate debt instruments, however. At December 31, 2006 we had \$1.4 billion of fixed-rate debt outstanding. If interest rates on our fixed-rate debt instruments at December 31, 2006 had been 1.0% higher, the fair value of those debt instruments on that date would have decreased by approximately \$73.2 million. If interest rates on our fixed-rate debt instruments at December 31, 2006 had been 1.0% lower, the fair value of those debt instruments on that date would have increased by approximately \$83.4 million.

Variable Interest Rate Debt

We believe that our primary interest rate risk is due to fluctuations in interest rates on our variable rate debt. At December 31, 2006, we had \$107.4 million of variable rate debt outstanding. Based upon this amount of variable rate debt, if interest rates increased by 1.0% our annual interest expense would increase by approximately \$1.1 million, and our net income and cash flows for the year would decrease by approximately \$1.1 million, and our net income and cash flows for the year would decrease by approximately \$1.1 million, and our net income and cash flows for the year would decrease by approximately \$1.1 million, and our net income and cash flows for the year would increase by approximately \$1.1 million.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Our consolidated financial statements and supplementary data are included as a separate section of this Annual Report on Form 10-K commencing on page F-1 and are incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE Not applicable.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES Quarterly Assessment

We carried out an assessment as of December 31, 2006 of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures and our internal control over financial reporting. This assessment was done under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer. Rules adopted by the SEC require that we present the conclusions of our principal executive officer and our principal financial officer about the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures and the conclusions of our management about the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of the end of the period covered by this annual report.

Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer Certifications

Included as Exhibits 31.1 and 31.2 to this Annual Report on Form 10-K are forms of Certification of our principal executive officer and our principal financial officer. The forms of Certification are required in accordance with Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. This section of this Annual Report on Form 10-K that you currently are reading is the information concerning the assessment referred to in the Section 302 certifications and this information should be read in conjunction with the Section 302 certifications for a more complete understanding of the topics presented.

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act reports, such as this report on Form 10-K, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our President and Chief Executive Officer and Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. These controls and procedures are based closely on the definition of disclosure controls and procedures in Rule 13a-15(e) promulgated under the Exchange Act. Rules adopted by the SEC require that we present the conclusions of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer about the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this annual report.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Establishing and maintaining internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, our President and Chief Executive Officer and Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, and effected by our employees, including management and our Board of Trustees, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. This process includes policies and procedures that:

pertain to the maintenance of records that accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of our assets in reasonable detail;

provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that our receipts and expenditures are made only in accordance with the authorization procedures we have established; and

provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of any of our assets in circumstances that could have a material adverse effect on our financial statements. Limitations on the Effectiveness of Controls

Management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, do not expect that our disclosure controls and procedures or internal control over financial reporting will prevent all errors and fraud. In designing and evaluating our control system, management recognized that any control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance of achieving the desired control objectives. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, that may affect our operation have been or will be detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management s override of the control. The design of any system of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions that cannot be anticipated at the present time, or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

Scope of the Evaluations

The evaluation by our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer of our disclosure controls and procedures and our internal control over financial reporting included a review of procedures and our internal audit, as well as discussions with our Disclosure Committee, independent public accountants and others in our organization, as appropriate. In conducting this evaluation, our management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in *Internal Control Integrated Framework*. In the course of the evaluation, we sought to identify data errors, control problems or acts of fraud and to confirm that appropriate corrective action, including process improvements, were being undertaken. The evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures and our internal control over financial reporting is done on a quarterly basis, so that the conclusions concerning the effectiveness of such controls can be reported in our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and Annual Reports on Form 10-K.

Our internal control over financial reporting is also assessed on an ongoing basis by personnel in our Accounting department and by our independent auditors in connection with their audit and review activities. The overall goals of these various evaluation activities are to monitor our disclosure controls and procedures and our internal control over financial reporting and to make modifications as necessary. Our intent in this regard is that the disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting will be maintained and updated (including with improvements and corrections) as conditions warrant. Among other matters, we sought in our evaluation to determine whether there were any significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting, or whether we had identified any acts of fraud involving personnel who have a significant role in our internal control over financial reporting. This information is important both for the evaluation generally and because the Section 302 certifications require that our Chief Executive Officer and our

Chief Financial Officer disclose that information to the Audit Committee of our Board of Trustees and our independent auditors and also require us to report on related matters in this section of the Annual Report on Form 10-K. In the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board s Auditing Standard No. 2, a significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or a combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the ability to initiate, authorize, record, process or report external financial data reliably in accordance with GAAP such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the annual or interim financial statements that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected. A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is defined in Auditing Standard No. 2 as a significant deficiency, or a combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected. We also sought to deal with other control matters in the evaluation, and in any case in which a problem was identified, we considered what revision, improvement and/or correction was necessary to be made in accordance with our on-going procedures.

Periodic Evaluation and Conclusion of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that such controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this report.

Periodic Evaluation and Conclusion of Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our internal control over financial reporting as of the end of our most recent fiscal year. Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that such internal control over financial reporting was effective as of the end of our most recent fiscal year.

Statement of Our Management

Our management has issued a report on its assessment of the Trust s internal control over financial reporting, which appears on page F-1 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Statement of Our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Grant Thornton LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm that audited the financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, has issued an attestation report on management s assessment of the Trust s internal control over financial reporting, which appears on page F-3 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting during our fourth fiscal quarter of 2006 that materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

Not applicable.

PART III

Certain information required in Part III is omitted from this Report but is incorporated herein by reference from our Proxy Statement for the 2007 Annual Meeting of Shareholders (the Proxy Statement).

ITEM 10. TRUSTEES, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

a.) The tables and narrative in the Proxy Statement identifying our Trustees and Board committees under the caption Election of Trustees and Corporate Governance and the section of the Proxy Statement entitled Executive Officers are incorporated herein by reference.

b.) The information included under the section of the Proxy Statement entitled Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance is incorporated herein by reference.

c.) We have adopted a Code of Ethics, which is applicable to our Chief Executive Officer and senior financial officers. The Code of Ethics is available in the Corporate Governance section of the Investor Information section of our website at *www.federalrealty.com*.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The sections of the Proxy Statement entitled Summary Compensation Table, Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation, Compensation Committee Report, Trustee Compensation and Compensation Discussion and Analysis are incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS

The sections of the Proxy Statement entitled Share Ownership and Equity Compensation Plan Information are incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND TRUSTEE INDEPENDENCE

The sections of the Proxy Statement entitled Certain Relationship and Related Transactions and Independence of Trustees are incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The sections of the Proxy Statement entitled Ratification of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm and Relationship with Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm are incorporated herein by reference.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES (a)(1) Financial Statements

Our consolidated financial statements and notes thereto, together with Management s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm are included as a separate section of this Annual Report on Form 10-K commencing on page F-1.

(2) Financial Statement Schedules

Our financial statement schedules are included in a separate section of this Annual Report on Form 10-K commencing on page F-32.

(3) Exhibits

A list of exhibits to this Annual Report on Form 10-K is set forth on the Exhibit Index immediately preceding such exhibits and is incorporated herein by reference.

(b) See Exhibit Index

(c) Not Applicable

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the Registrant has duly caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized this 27 day of February, 2007.

Federal Realty Investment Trust

By: /s/ DONALD C. WOOD Donald C. Wood

Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, this Report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacity and on the dates indicated. Each person whose signature appears below hereby constitutes and appoints each of Donald C. Wood and Dawn M. Becker as his or her attorney-in-fact and agent, with full power of substitution and resubstitution for him or her in any and all capacities, to sign any or all amendments to this Report and to file same, with exhibits thereto and other documents in connection therewith, granting unto such attorney-in-fact and agent full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing requisite and necessary in connection with such matters and hereby ratifying and confirming all that such attorney-in-fact and agent or his or her substitutes may do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ DONALD C. WOOD	Chief Executive Officer, Trustee (Principal Executive Officer)	February 27, 2007
Donald C. Wood		
/s/ LARRY E. FINGER	Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer (principal financial and accounting	February 27, 2007
Larry E. Finger	officer)	
/s/ JOSEPH S. VASSALLUZZO	Non-Executive Chairman	February 27, 2007
Joseph S. Vassalluzzo		
/s/ JON E. BORTZ	Trustee	February 27, 2007
Jon Bortz		
/s/ DAVID W. FAEDER	Trustee	February 27, 2007
David W. Faeder		
/s/ KRISTIN GAMBLE	Trustee	February 27, 2007
Kristin Gamble		
/s/ WALTER F. LOEB	Trustee	February 27, 2007
Walter F. Loeb		
/s/ GAIL P. STEINEL	Trustee	February 27, 2007
Coil P. Steinel		

Item 8 and Item 15(a)(1) and (2)

Index to Consolidated Financial Statements and Schedules

Consolidated Financial Statements	Page No.
Management Assessment Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting	F-2
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-3
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-4
Consolidated Balance Sheets	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Operations	F-6
Consolidated Statements of Common Shareholders Equity	F-7
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	F-8
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-9-F-32
Financial Statement Schedules	
Schedule III Summary of Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation	F-33-F-39
Schedule IV Mortgage Loans on Real Estate	F-40-F-41
All other schedules have been omitted either because the information is not applicable, not material, or is	s disclosed in our consolidated financial
statements and related notes.	

F-1

Management Assessment Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

The management of Federal Realty is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Establishing and maintaining internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, our President and Chief Executive Officer and Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, and effected by our employees, including management and our Board of Trustees, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. This process includes policies and procedures that:

pertain to the maintenance of records that accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of our assets in reasonable detail;

provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that our receipts and expenditures are made only in accordance with the authorization procedures we have established; and

provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of any of our assets in circumstances that could have a material adverse effect on our financial statements.

Management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, do not expect that our internal control over financial reporting will prevent all errors and fraud. In designing and evaluating our control system, management recognized that any control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance of achieving the desired control objectives. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, that may affect our operation have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management s override of the control. The design of any system of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions.

Management conducted an assessment of the effectiveness of the Trust s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006. In making this assessment, it used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in *Internal Control Integrated Framework*. Based on this assessment, management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting is effective based on those criteria, as of the end of our most recent fiscal year.

Our independent registered public accounting firm has issued an attestation report on management s assessment of our internal control over financial reporting. This report appears on page F-3.

F-2

Report Of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Trustees and shareholders of Federal Realty Investment Trust

We have audited management s assessment, included in the accompanying Management Assessment Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting, that Federal Realty Investment Trust (a Maryland real estate investment trust) maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Trust s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on management s assessment and an opinion on the effectiveness of the company s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management s assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, management s assessment that the Trust maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Also in our opinion, the Trust maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Federal Realty Investment Trust (a Maryland real estate investment trust) and subsidiaries (the Trust) as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the related consolidated statements of operations, common shareholders equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006, and our report dated February 26, 2007 expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements.

/s/ GRANT THORNTON LLP

McLean, Virginia

February 26, 2007

Report Of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Trustees and shareholders of Federal Realty Investment Trust

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Federal Realty Investment Trust (a Maryland real estate investment trust) and subsidiaries (the Trust) as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the related consolidated statements of operations, common shareholders equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Trust s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Trust as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole. The Schedules III and IV are presented for the purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic consolidated financial statements. These schedules have been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic consolidated financial statements and, in our opinion, are fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole.

As discussed in Note 1 to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, the Company adopted SFAS No. 123R, *Share-Based Payment*, effective January 1, 2006.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of the Trust s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) and our report dated February 26, 2007 expressed an unqualified opinion.

/s/ GRANT THORNTON LLP

McLean, Virginia

February 26, 2007

F-4

Federal Realty Investment Trust

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	Decem 2006 (In thou	2005
ASSETS		
Real estate, at cost		
Operating	\$ 3,104,484	\$ 2,731,694
Construction-in-progress	99,774	50,593
Discontinued operations		47,034
	3,204,258	2,829,321
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	(740,507)	(663,750)
	(,)	(****,***)
Net real estate	2,463,751	2,165,571
Cash and cash equivalents	11,495	8,639
Accounts and notes receivable	47,493	38,161
Mortgage notes receivable	40,756	40,531
Investment in real estate partnership	10,322	9,375
Prepaid expenses and other assets	106,172	83,168
Debt issuance costs, net of accumulated amortization of \$7,474 and \$7,592, respectively	8,617	5,407
······································	- ,	- ,
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 2,688,606	\$ 2,350,852
	φ 2,000,000	φ 2,330,032
LIADILITIES AND SUADELIOI DEDS FOLITY		
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY Liabilities		
Mortgages payable	\$ 311,037	\$ 270,898
Obligations under capital leases	149,361	\$ 270,898 148,815
Notes payable	109,024	316,755
Senior notes and debentures	1,127,508	653,675
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	97,727	88,089
Dividends payable	31,809	31,268
Security deposits payable	10,126	8,807
Other liabilities and deferred credits	45,745	38,505
	,	,
Total liabilities	1,882,337	1,556,812
Minority interests	22,191	19,193
Commitments and contingencies (Note 9)	22,171	17,175
Shareholders equity		
Preferred stock, authorized 15,000,000 shares, \$.01 par:		
8.5% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares, (stated at liquidation preference \$25 per share), 0		
and 5,400,000 shares, respectively		135.000
Common shares, \$.01 par, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 56,805,816 and 54,371,057 issued, respectively	568	544
Additional paid in capital	1,281,217	1,114,732
Accumulated dividends in excess of net income	(467,369)	(437,817)
Treasury shares at cost, 1,485,279 and 1,480,360 respectively	(28,807)	(28,794)
Deferred compensation on restricted shares		(9,704)
Notes receivable from issuance of common shares	(1,531)	(1,792)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	())	2,678
1		,
Total shareholders equity	784,078	774,847
Total shareholders equity	707,070	77,077

TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

\$ 2,688,606 \$ 2,350,852

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated statements.

F-5

Federal Realty Investment Trust

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	Year 2006	Year Ended December 2006 2005		
	(In thousa	nds, except per s	hare data)	
REVENUE	* 100 001	* * * * * * * * *		
Rental income	\$ 438,201	\$ 393,548	\$ 368,299	
Other property income	7,726	9,551	10,398	
Mortgage interest income	5,095	5,370	4,915	
	451,022	408,469	383,612	
EXPENSES	88.130	94 726	89,940	
Rental Real estate taxes	44,898	84,736 39,372	37,351	
General and administrative	21,340	19,909	18,164	
Depreciation and amortization	97,618	88,927	86,597	
	97,018	88,927	80,397	
	251,986	232,944	232,052	
OPERATING INCOME	199,036	175,525	151,560	
Other interest income	2,545	2,215	1,504	
Interest expense	(102,808)	(88,566)	(85,058)	
Income from real estate partnership	656	493	205	
Minority interests	(4,353)	(5,234)	(4,170)	
INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS	95,076	84,433	64,041	
DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS				
(Loss) income from discontinued operations	(320)	(569)	6,063	
Gain on sale of real estate, net of taxes	23,956	30,748	14,052	
Results from discontinued operations	23,636	30,179	20,115	
NET INCOME	118,712	114,612	84,156	
Dividends on preferred stock	(10,423)	(11,475)	(11,475)	
Preferred stock redemption costs	(4,775)			
NET INCOME AVAILABLE FOR COMMON SHAREHOLDERS	\$ 103,514	\$ 103,137	\$ 72,681	
EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE, BASIC				
Income from continuing operations available for common shareholders	\$ 1.50	\$ 1.39	\$ 1.03	
(Loss) income from discontinued operations	(0.01)	(0.01)	0.12	
Gain on sale of real estate	0.45	0.58	0.27	
	\$ 1.94	\$ 1.96	\$ 1.42	
Weighted average number of common shares, basic	53,469	52,533	51,008	
EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE, DILUTED				
Income from continuing operations available for common shareholders	\$ 1.48	\$ 1.37	\$ 1.02	
(Loss) income from discontinued operations	(0.01)	(0.01)	φ 1.02 0.12	
(2000) meome nom discontinued operations	(0.01)	(0.01)	0.12	

Gain on sale of real estate	0.45		0.58	0.27
	\$ 1.92	\$	1.94	\$ 1.41
Weighted average number of common shares, diluted	53,962	:	53,050	51,547

Edgar Filing: FEDERAL REALTY INVESTMENT TRUST - Form 10-K

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated statements.

F-6

Federal Realty Investment Trust

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMMON SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

		2006		Year Ended December 31, 2005				2004	
	Shares	Amount	Additional Paid-in Capital	Shares (In thousa	Amount inds, except s	Additional Paid-in Capital share data)	Shares	Amount	Additional Paid-in Capital
Common shares									
Balance, beginning of year	54,371,057	\$ 544	\$ 1,114,732	53,616,827	\$ 536	\$ 1,108,213	50,670,851	\$ 507	\$ 980,227
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle			(6,416)						
Exercise of stock options	266,579	2	8,843	409,920	4	10,947	348,888	3	8,586
Shares issued under dividend									
reinvestment plan	44,077		3,101	62,579	1	3,424	82,391	1	3,439
Grants of common shares	, í		,	78,591	1	4,061	84,617	1	3,632
Share-based compensation expense (SFAS No. 123 (R)	84,217	1	6,490	,		.,	.,		-,
Reclassification for preferred stock									
redemption			4,775						
Issuance of shares in public offering Conversion and redemption of OP	2,002,670	20	149,077				2,186,749	22	99,011
units	37,216	1	615	203,140	2	(12,806)	203,130	2	8,686
Shares issued to purchase partnership interests							40,201		1,862
Deferred stock compensation									
associated with variable accounting (APB No. 25)						893			2,770
Balance, end of year	56,805,816	\$ 568	\$ 1,281,217	54,371,057	\$ 544	\$ 1,114,732	53,616,827	\$ 536	\$ 1,108,213
Accumulated dividends in excess of net income									
Balance, beginning of year		\$ (437,817)	1		\$ (416,026)			\$ (386,738)	
Net income		118,712			114,612			84,156	
Dividends declared to common									
shareholders		(133,066)	1		(124,928)			(101,969)	
Preferred share dividends		(10,423)			(11,475)			(11,475)	
Preferred share redemption costs		(4,775)			(11,170)			(11,170)	
referred share redemption costs		(4,775)							
Balance, end of year		\$ (467,369)	1		\$ (437,817)			\$ (416,026)	
Treasury shares									
Balance, beginning of year	(1.480.360)	\$ (28,794)	1	(1.480.202)	\$ (28,786)		(1.470.275)	\$ (28,445)	
Unvested shares forfeited	(4,919)	(13)		(1,100,202)	(8)		(9,927)	(341)	
Onvested shares forfeited	(4,717)	(15)		(150)	(0)		(),)21)	(541)	
Balance, end of year	(1,485,279)	\$ (28,807)	1	(1,480,360)	\$ (28,794)		(1,480,202)	\$ (28,786)	
Deferred compensation on unvested shares									
Balance, beginning of year		\$ (9,704)	1		\$ (8,641)			\$ (5,474)	
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle		9,704			φ (0,011)			¢ (3,171)	
Shares issued, net of forfeitures		9,704			(3,494)			(3,099)	
Vesting of shares					2,431			(68)	
Balance, end of year		\$			\$ (9,704)				