

ULTRALIFE CORP
Form 10-Q
November 08, 2012

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2012

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF
1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 000-20852

ULTRALIFE CORPORATION
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction
of incorporation or organization)

16-1387013
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

2000 Technology Parkway, Newark, New York 14513
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(315) 332-7100
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes..X... No.....

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes..X... No.....

Edgar Filing: ULTRALIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of “large accelerated filer,” “accelerated filer” and “smaller reporting company” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company.....

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes....
No..X...

At October 25, 2012, there were 17,430,219 shares of common stock \$0.10 par value outstanding, net of 1,372,757 treasury shares.

ULTRALIFE CORPORATION
INDEX

	Page
PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Financial Statements</u>
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets - September 30, 2012 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2011</u> 3
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) (Unaudited) - Three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and October 2, 2011</u> 4
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) - nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and October 2, 2011</u> 5
	<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u> 6
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> 26
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> 37
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u> 37
PART II OTHER INFORMATION	
<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u> 38
<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>Exhibits</u> 38
<u>Signatures</u>	39
<u>Index to Exhibits</u>	40

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

ULTRALIFE CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)

ASSETS	(Unaudited) September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 4,959	\$ 5,320
Restricted cash	412	166
Trade accounts receivable (less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$331 at September 30, 2012 and \$683 at December 31, 2011)	20,254	19,903
Inventories	33,092	34,967
Due from insurance company	721	1,730
Deferred tax asset - current	155	161
Income taxes receivable	117	220
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	1,645	1,766
Total current assets	61,355	64,233
Property, plant and equipment, net	12,375	12,588
Other assets:		
Goodwill	16,337	18,356
Intangible assets, net	5,164	5,533
Security deposits and other long-term assets	98	105
	21,599	23,994
Total Assets	\$ 95,329	\$ 100,815
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Current portion of debt	\$ -	\$ -
Accounts payable	11,930	13,766
Income taxes payable	2	11
Deferred tax liability - current	73	187
Other current liabilities	7,654	9,194
Total current liabilities	19,659	23,158
Long-term liabilities:		
Deferred tax liability - long-term	4,156	4,170
Other long-term liabilities	275	261
Total long-term liabilities	4,431	4,431

Commitments and contingencies (Note 10)

Shareholders' equity:

Ultralife equity:

Preferred stock, par value \$0.10 per share, authorized 1,000,000 shares; none issued and outstanding	-	-
Common stock, par value \$0.10 per share, authorized 40,000,000 shares; issued - 18,802,976 at September 30, 2012 and 18,716,921 at December 31, 2011	1,883	1,874
Capital in excess of par value	173,416	172,309
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(1,066)	(985)
Accumulated deficit	(95,271)	(92,280)
	78,962	80,918
Less --Treasury stock, at cost -- 1,372,757 shares at September 30, 2012 and 1,372,757 shares at December 31, 2011 outstanding	7,658	7,658
Total Ultralife equity	71,304	73,260
Noncontrolling interest	(65)	(34)
Total shareholders' equity	71,239	73,226
Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity	\$ 95,329	\$ 100,815

The accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.

ULTRALIFE CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)
(In Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)
(unaudited)

	Three-Month Periods Ended		Nine-Month Periods Ended	
	September 30 2012	October 2, 2011	September 30 2012	October 2, 2011
Revenues	\$ 26,181	\$ 35,204	\$ 72,388	\$ 106,231
Cost of products sold	17,962	25,844	53,109	80,897
Gross profit	8,219	9,360	19,279	25,334
Operating expenses:				
Research and development (including \$65, \$77, \$195 and \$234, respectively, of amortization of intangible assets)	1,596	2,294	5,706	6,913
Selling, general, and administrative (including \$57, \$78, \$177 and \$235 respectively, of amortization of intangible assets)	4,869	5,521	16,041	17,775
Total operating expenses	6,465	7,815	21,747	24,688
Operating income (loss)	1,754	1,545	(2,468)	646
Other income (expense):				
Interest income	1	2	4	4
Interest expense	(97)	(126)	(316)	(444)
Miscellaneous	(15)	49	17	339
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	1,643	1,470	(2,763)	545
Income tax provision-current	120	67	387	134
Income tax provision - deferred	55	55	50	164
Total income taxes provision	175	122	437	298
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	1,468	1,348	(3,200)	247
Discontinued operations:				
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	200	4	178	(4,174)
Net income (loss)	1,668	1,352	(3,022)	(3,927)
Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest	11	11	31	39
Net income (loss) attributable to Ultralife	\$ 1,679	\$ 1,363	\$ (2,991)	\$ (3,888)

Edgar Filing: ULTRALIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

Other comprehensive income (loss):				
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(204)	(19)	(81)	268
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to Ultralife	\$ 1,475	\$ 1,344	\$ (3,072)	\$ (3,620)
Net income (loss) attributable to Ultralife common shareholders - basic				
Continuing operations	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.08	\$ (0.18)	\$ 0.02
Discontinued operations	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.01	\$ (0.24)
Total	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.08	\$ (0.17)	\$ (0.22)
Net income (loss) attributable to Ultralife common shareholders - diluted				
Continuing operations	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.08	\$ (0.18)	\$ 0.02
Discontinued operations	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.01	\$ (0.24)
Total	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.08	\$ (0.17)	\$ (0.22)
Weighted average shares outstanding - basic				
Weighted average shares outstanding - basic	17,418	17,313	17,390	17,295
Weighted average shares outstanding - diluted				
Weighted average shares outstanding - diluted	17,418	17,341	17,390	17,295

The accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.

ULTRALIFE CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(Dollars in Thousands)
(unaudited)

	Nine-Month Periods Ended	
	September 30 2012	October 2, 2011
OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net (loss)	\$ (3,022)	\$ (3,927)
(Income) loss from discontinued operations, net of tax	(178)	4,174
Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) from continuing operations to net cash provided from operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization of financing fees	2,566	2,720
Amortization of intangible assets	372	469
Loss on long-lived asset disposal and write-offs	13	105
Foreign exchange gain	(3)	(326)
Impairment of goodwill and long-lived assets	-	-
Non-cash stock-based compensation	1,001	882
Changes in deferred income taxes	74	199
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	3,265	8,090
Inventories	1,766	1,397
Income taxes receivable	104	-
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(39)	92
Insurance receivable relating to fires	1,014	(1,724)
Income taxes payable	(9)	(24)
Accounts payable and other liabilities	(5,020)	(5,395)
Net cash provided from (used in) operating activities from continuing operations	1,904	6,732
Net cash provided from operating activities from discontinued operations	(2,133)	86
Net cash provided from (used in) operating activities	(229)	6,818
INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Purchase of property and equipment	(2,011)	(1,878)
Proceeds from asset disposal	-	7
Change in restricted cash	(250)	468
Payments for acquired companies, net of cash acquired	-	(50)
Net cash used in investing activities from continuing operations	(2,261)	(1,453)
Net cash provided from investing activities from discontinued operations	2,133	102
Net cash used in investing activities	(128)	(1,351)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Net change in revolving credit facility	-	(6,060)
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	115	57
Principal payments on debt and capital lease obligations	-	(6)
Net cash provided from (used in) financing activities from continuing operations	115	(6,009)
Net cash used in financing activities from discontinued operations	-	(128)

Edgar Filing: ULTRALIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

Net cash provided from (used in) financing activities	115	(6,137)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	(119)	522
Change in cash and cash equivalents	(361)	(148)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	5,320	4,641
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 4,959	\$ 4,493
SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION		
Cash paid for income taxes	\$ 291	\$ 158
Cash paid for interest	\$ 184	\$ 353

The accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.

ULTRALIFE CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Dollar Amounts in Thousands – Except Share and Per Share Amounts)
(unaudited)

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements of Ultralife Corporation and our subsidiaries have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with the instructions to Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals and adjustments) considered necessary for a fair presentation of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements have been included. Results for interim periods should not be considered indicative of results to be expected for a full year. Reference should be made to the Consolidated Financial Statements contained in our Form 10-K for the twelve-month period ended December 31, 2011.

The year-end Condensed Consolidated balance sheet data was derived from audited financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Certain items previously reported in specific financial statement captions have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation.

Our monthly closing schedule is a 4/4/5 weekly-based cycle for each fiscal quarter, as opposed to a calendar month-based cycle for each fiscal quarter. While the actual dates for the quarter-ends will change slightly each year, we believe that there are not any material differences when making quarterly comparisons.

2. DISPOSITIONS AND EXIT ACTIVITIES

RedBlack Communications, Inc.

On February 16, 2012, we announced our intention to divest our RedBlack Communications, Inc. (“RedBlack”) business in 2012. RedBlack, a wholly owned subsidiary of ours based in Hollywood, Maryland, designs, integrates and fields mobile, modular and fixed site communication and electronic systems. As a result of management’s ongoing review of our business portfolio, management had determined that RedBlack offered limited opportunities to achieve the operating thresholds of our new business model.

On September 28, 2012, we entered into and closed a Stock Purchase Agreement (the “Agreement”) to sell 100% of our capital stock in RedBlack to BCF Solutions, Inc. In exchange for the sale of RedBlack, we received \$2,533 as a purchase price, comprised of cash at closing in the amount of \$2,133, funds held in escrow for up to one year in the amount of \$250, as well as \$150 to be available for RedBlack employee retention programs. In addition, there will be a customary post-closing working capital adjustment to the purchase price which is expected to be completed within ninety days.

The Agreement contains customary representations and warranties that will survive for a period of two or three years. The Agreement also contains customary indemnification for breaches of the representations and warranties identified in the Agreement.

Pursuant to the Agreement, we are prohibited from engaging or participating with any current customer of RedBlack in any business, directly or indirectly, that competes with the business conducted by RedBlack for two years. We are

also prohibited from hiring, soliciting, or recruiting any current employee, independent contractor, or consultant of BCF Solutions, Inc. or RedBlack for two years.

Commencing with the first quarter of 2012, the results of the RedBlack operations and related divestiture costs have been reported as a discontinued operation.

6

As a result, the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) herein exclude the RedBlack operations from the results of continuing operations. The following amounts have been reported as discontinued operations for the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and October 2, 2011:

	Three-Month Periods Ended		Nine-Month Periods Ended	
	September 30, 2012	October 2, 2011	September 30, 2012	October 2, 2011
Net sales	\$ 1,267	\$ 802	\$ 3,404	\$ 1,786
Income (Loss) from discontinued operations	(59)	16	(3)	(415)
Provision (Benefit) for income taxes	(196)	12	(174)	36
Income (Loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	137	4	171	(451)

Energy Services Business

On March 8, 2011, our senior management, as authorized by our Board of Directors, decided to exit our Energy Services business, which included standby power and systems design, installation and maintenance activities. As a result of management's review of our business segments and products, and taking into account the lack of growth and profitability potential of the Energy Services segment as well as its sizeable operating losses, we determined it was appropriate to refocus our operations on profitable growth opportunities presented in our other segments, Battery & Energy Products and Communications Systems. In the fourth quarter of 2010, we recorded a non-cash impairment charge of \$13,793 to write-off the goodwill and intangible assets and certain fixed assets associated with the standby power portion of our Energy Services business.

The actions taken to exit our Energy Services segment resulted in the elimination of approximately 40 jobs and the closing of five facilities, primarily in California, Florida and Texas, over several months. As of the end of the second quarter of 2011, all exit activities with respect to our Energy Services segment were completed. As a result, the presentation of results herein excludes the Energy Services segment from the results of continuing operations. The following amounts have been reported as discontinued operations for the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and October 2, 2011:

	Three-Month Periods Ended		Nine-Month Periods Ended	
	September 30, 2012	October 2, 2011	September 30, 2012	October 2, 2011
Net sales	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,895
Gain/(loss) from discontinued operations	63	-	8	(3,796)
Provision for income taxes	-	-	-	-
Gain/(loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	63	-	8	(3,796)

Included in the Loss from discontinued operations described above, we recorded the following exit charges:

	Three-Month Periods Ended		Nine-Month Periods Ended	
	September 30, 2012	October 2, 2011	September 30, 2012	October 2, 2011
Inventory and fixed asset write-downs	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 941
Employee related, including termination benefits	-	-	-	703
Lease termination costs	-	-	-	250
Other costs	-	-	-	1,030
Total Exit Costs	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,924
Cash Component	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,984

3. INVENTORIES

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market with cost determined under the first-in, first-out (FIFO) method. The composition of inventories was:

	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Raw materials	\$ 15,977	\$ 20,097
Work in process	5,095	4,770
Finished goods	12,020	10,100
	\$ 33,092	\$ 34,967

4. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

Major classes of property, plant and equipment consisted of the following:

	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Land	\$ 123	\$ 123
Buildings and leasehold improvements	7,274	7,000
Machinery and equipment	46,044	44,770
Furniture and fixtures	1,904	1,894
Computer hardware and software	4,180	3,815
Construction in progress	889	641
	60,414	58,243
Less: Accumulated depreciation	48,039	45,655
	\$ 12,375	\$ 12,588

Depreciation expense for property, plant and equipment was \$800 and \$2,460 for the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012, respectively, and \$875 and \$2,673 for the three- and nine-month periods ended October 2, 2011, respectively.

5. GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS

In accordance with the Financial Accounting Standards Board's ("FASB") guidance for goodwill and other intangible assets, we do not amortize goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite lives, but instead measure these assets for impairment at least annually, or when events indicate that impairment exists. We amortize intangible assets that have definite lives so that the economic benefits of the intangible assets are being utilized over their weighted-average estimated useful life.

The impairment analysis of goodwill and intangible assets consists first of a review of various qualitative factors of the identified reporting units to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit exceeds its carrying amount, including goodwill. This review includes, but is not limited to, an evaluation of the macroeconomic, industry or market, and cost factors relevant to the reporting unit as well as financial performance and entity or reporting unit events that may affect the value of the reporting unit. If this review leads to the determination that it is more likely than not that the fair value of the reporting unit is greater than its carrying amount, further impairment testing is not required. However, if this review cannot support a conclusion that it is more likely than not that the fair value of the reporting unit is greater than its carrying amount, or at our discretion, quantitative impairment steps are performed.

The quantitative impairment test for goodwill consists of a comparison of the fair value of the reporting unit with the carrying amount of the reporting unit to which it is assigned. If the fair value of a reporting unit exceeds its carrying amount, goodwill of the reporting unit is considered not impaired. If the carrying amount of a reporting unit exceeds its fair value, a second step of the goodwill impairment test shall be performed to measure the amount of impairment loss, if any. The impairment test for intangible assets with indefinite lives consists of a comparison of the fair value of the intangible assets with their carrying amounts. If the carrying value of the intangible assets exceeds the fair value, an impairment loss shall be recognized in an amount equal to that excess. We determine the fair value of the reporting unit for goodwill impairment testing based on a discounted cash flow model. We determine the fair value of our intangibles assets with indefinite lives (trademarks) through a royalty relief income valuation approach.

We have determined that during the third quarter of 2012 a triggering event, as defined within FASB ASC Topic 350, occurred as a result of the decrease in our market valuation in relation to our shareholder's equity. As such, we accelerated the review of our goodwill and intangible assets from October 1, 2012 to September 30, 2012. Based upon the results of that review, we have determined that no impairment was necessary for either goodwill or the indefinite-lived intangible assets.

a. Goodwill

The following table summarizes the goodwill activity by segment for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and October 2, 2011:

	Battery & Energy Products	Communications Systems	Discontinued Operations	Total
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$ 4,758	\$ 11,493	\$ 2,025	\$18,276
Effect of foreign currency translations	73	-	-	73
Balance at October 2, 2011	4,831	11,493	2,025	18,349
Effect of foreign currency translations	7	-	-	7
Balance at December 31, 2011	4,838	11,493	2,025	18,356
Sale of RedBlack Communications			(2,025)	(2,025)
Effect of foreign currency translations	6	-	-	6
Balance at September 30, 2012	\$ 4,844	\$ 11,493	\$ -	\$16,337

b. Intangible Assets

The composition of intangible assets was:

	Gross Assets	September 30, 2012 Accumulated Amortization	Net
Trademarks	\$3,564	\$ -	\$3,564
Patents and technology	4,493	3,635	858
Customer relationships	3,995	3,308	687
Distributor relationships	379	324	55
Non-compete agreements	216	216	-
Total intangible assets	\$12,647	\$ 7,483	\$5,164

	Gross Assets	December 31, 2011	
		Accumulated Amortization	Net
Trademarks	\$3,563	\$ -	\$3,563
Patents and technology	4,492	3,440	1,052
Customer relationships	3,993	3,143	850
Distributor relationships	378	310	68
Non-compete agreements	396	396	-
Total intangible assets	\$12,822	\$ 7,289	\$5,533

Amortization expense for intangible assets was \$122 and \$372 for the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012, respectively, and \$155 and \$469 for the three- and nine-month periods ended October 2, 2011, respectively.

The change in the cost value of total intangible assets from December 31, 2011 to September 30, 2012 is a result of the effect of foreign currency translations and the disposition of fully amortized intangible assets in conjunction with our sale of RedBlack Communications.

6. DEBT

On February 17, 2010, we entered into a senior secured asset based revolving credit facility (“Credit Facility”) of up to \$35,000 with RBS Business Capital, a division of RBS Asset Finance, Inc. (“RBS”). The proceeds from the Credit Facility can be used for general working capital purposes, general corporate purposes, and letter of credit foreign exchange support. The Credit Facility has a maturity date of February 17, 2013 (“Maturity Date”). The Credit Facility is secured by substantially all of our assets. At closing, we paid RBS a facility fee of \$263.

On February 18, 2010, we drew down \$9,870 from the Credit Facility to repay all outstanding amounts due under our previous credit facility with JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A. and Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company. Our available borrowing under the Credit Facility fluctuates from time to time based upon amounts of eligible accounts receivable and eligible inventory. Available borrowings under the Credit Facility equals the lesser of (1) \$35,000 or (2) 85% of eligible accounts receivable plus the lesser of (a) up to 70% of the book value of our eligible inventory or (b) 85% of the appraised net orderly liquidation value of our eligible inventory. The borrowing base under the Credit Facility is further reduced by (1) the face amount of any letters of credit outstanding, (2) any liabilities under hedging contracts with RBS and (3) the value of any reserves as deemed appropriate by RBS. We are required to have at least \$3,000 available under the Credit Facility at all times.

On January 19, 2011, we entered into a First Amendment to the Credit Agreement (“First Amendment”) with RBS. The First Amendment amended the Credit Facility as follows:

(i) Included foreign (non-U.S.) accounts subject to credit insurance payable to RBS under the definition of eligible accounts receivable under the Credit Facility (for the determination of available borrowings - formerly, such accounts were not eligible without arranging letter of credit facilities satisfactory to RBS).

(ii) Decreased the interest rate that will accrue on outstanding indebtedness, as set forth in the following table:

Excess Availability	LIBOR Rate Plus	
Greater than \$10,000	3.00	%
Greater than \$6,000 but less than or equal to \$10,000	3.25	%
Greater than \$3,000 but less than or equal to \$6,000	3.50	%

On September 28, 2012, we entered into a Second Amendment to the Credit Facility (“Second Amendment”) with RBS. The Second Amendment amended the Credit Facility to consent to the sale of the stock of RedBlack and to release any and all liens on RedBlack.

Interest currently accrues on outstanding indebtedness under the Credit Facility at LIBOR plus 3.00%. We have the ability, in certain circumstances, to fix the interest rate for up to 90 days from the date of borrowing.

In addition to paying interest on the outstanding principal under the Credit Facility, we are required to pay an unused line fee of 0.50% on the unused portion of the \$35,000 Credit Facility. We must also pay customary letter of credit fees equal to the LIBOR rate and the applicable margin and any other customary fees or expenses of the issuing bank. Interest that accrues under the Credit Facility is to be paid monthly with all outstanding principal, interest and applicable fees due on the Maturity Date.

We are required to maintain a fixed charge coverage ratio of 1.20 to 1.00 or greater at all times as of and after March 28, 2010. As of September 30, 2012, our fixed charge coverage ratio was 1.95 to 1.00. Accordingly, we were in compliance with the financial covenants of the Credit Facility. All borrowings under the Credit Facility are subject to the satisfaction of customary conditions, including the absence of an event of default and accuracy of our representations and warranties. The Credit Facility also includes customary representations and warranties, affirmative covenants and events of default. If an event of default occurs, RBS would be entitled to take various actions, including accelerating the amount due under the Credit Facility, and all actions permitted to be taken by a secured creditor.

As of September 30, 2012, we had no amounts outstanding under the Credit Facility. At September 30, 2012, the interest rate on the asset based revolver component of the Credit Facility was 3.23%. As of September 30, 2012, the revolver arrangement had approximately \$14,574 of additional borrowing capacity, including outstanding letters of credit. At September 30, 2012, we had \$413 of outstanding letters of credit under the Credit Facility.

7. SHAREHOLDERS’ EQUITY

a. Common Stock

In February 2012, we issued 16,271 shares of common stock to our non-employee directors, valued at \$76.

In May 2012, we issued 17,473 shares of common stock to our non-employee directors, valued at \$77.

In August 2012, we issued 24,311 shares of common stock to our non-employee directors, valued at \$77.

b. Treasury Stock

At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, we had 1,372,757 shares of treasury stock outstanding, valued at \$7,658.

c. Stock Options

We have various stock-based employee compensation plans, for which we follow the provisions of the Financial Accounting Standards Board's ("FASB") guidance on share-based payments, which requires that compensation cost relating to share-based payment transactions be recognized in the financial statements. The cost is measured at the grant date, based on the fair value of the award, and is recognized as an expense over the employee's requisite service period (generally the vesting period of the equity award).

Our shareholders have approved various equity-based plans that permit the grant of stock options, restricted stock and other equity-based awards. In addition, our shareholders have approved certain grants of stock options outside of these plans.

In June 2004, shareholders adopted the 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan ("LTIP") pursuant to which we were authorized to issue up to 750,000 shares of common stock and grant stock options, restricted stock awards, stock appreciation rights and other stock-based awards. Through shareholder approved amendments to the LTIP in 2006, 2008 and 2011, the total number of authorized shares under the LTIP increased to 2,900,000.

Stock options granted under the LTIP are either Incentive Stock Options ("ISOs") or Non-Qualified Stock Options ("NQSOs"). Key employees are eligible to receive ISOs and NQSOs; however, directors and consultants are eligible to receive only NQSOs. Most ISOs vest over a three- or five-year period and expire on the sixth or seventh anniversary of the grant date. All NQSOs issued to non-employee directors vest immediately and expire on either the sixth or seventh anniversary of the grant date. Some NQSOs issued to non-employees vest immediately and expire within three years; others have the same vesting characteristics as options issued to employees. As of September 30, 2012, there were 2,244,722 stock options outstanding under the LTIP.

On December 19, 2005, we granted our former President and Chief Executive Officer, John D. Kavazanjian, an option to purchase 48,000 shares of common stock at \$12.96 per share outside of any of our equity-based compensation plans, subject to shareholder approval. Shareholder approval was obtained on June 8, 2006. The stock option is fully vested and expires on June 8, 2013.

On March 7, 2008, in connection with his becoming employed by us, we granted our Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, Philip A. Fain, an option to purchase 50,000 shares of common stock at \$12.74 per share outside of any of our equity-based compensation plans. The stock option is fully vested and expires on March 7, 2015.

On December 30, 2010, pursuant to the terms of his employment agreement, we granted our President and Chief Executive Officer, Michael D. Popielec, options to purchase shares of common stock under the LTIP as follows: (i) 50,000 shares at \$6.42, vesting in annual increments of 12,500 shares over a four-year period commencing December 30, 2011; (ii) 250,000 shares at \$6.42, vesting in annual increments of 62,500 shares over a four-year period which commenced on December 30, 2011; (iii) 200,000 shares at \$10.00, with vesting to begin on the date the stock reaches a closing price of \$10.00 per share for 15 trading days within a 30-day trading period, with such vesting in annual increments of 50,000 shares over the four anniversary dates of that date; and (iv) 200,000 shares at \$15.00, with vesting to begin on the date the stock reaches a closing price of \$15.00 per share for 15 trading days within a 30-day trading period, with such vesting in annual increments of 50,000 shares over the four anniversary dates of that

date. All such options in items (i) and (ii) shall expire on December 30, 2017. All such options in items (iii) and (iv) shall expire as of the later of December 30, 2017 and five years after the initial vesting commences, but in no event later than December 30, 2020. The options set forth in items (ii), (iii) and (iv) were subject to shareholder approval of an amendment to the LTIP, which approval was obtained on June 7, 2011.

On January 3, 2011, pursuant to the terms of his employment agreement, we granted our President and Chief Executive Officer, Michael D. Popielec, an option to purchase 50,000 shares of common stock at \$6.58 under the LTIP. The option vests in annual increments of 12,500 shares over a four-year period which commenced on December 30, 2011. The option expires on December 30, 2017.

In conjunction with FASB's guidance for share-based payments, we recorded compensation cost related to stock options of \$255 and \$771 for the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012, respectively, and \$282 and \$683 for the three- and nine-month periods ended October 2, 2011, respectively. As of September 30, 2012, there was \$1,317 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to outstanding stock options, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.14 years.

We use the Black-Scholes option-pricing model to estimate the fair value of non-market performance stock-based awards. The following weighted average assumptions were used to value non-market performance stock options granted during the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and October 2, 2011.

	Nine-Month Periods Ended			
	September 30, 2012		October 2, 2011	
Risk-free interest rate	0.56	%	1.22	%
Volatility factor	63.53	%	60.63	%
Dividends	0.00	%	0.00	%
Weighted average expected life (years)	3.91		3.82	

We use a Monte Carlo simulation option-pricing model to estimate the fair value of market performance stock-based awards. The following weighted average assumptions were used to value market performance stock options granted during the nine-month period ended October 2, 2011. There were no market performance stock options granted during the nine-months ended September 30, 2012.

	Nine-Month Period Ended October 2, 2011	
Risk-free interest rate	2.74	%
Volatility factor	63.79	%
Dividends	0.00	%
Weighted average expected life (years)	5.51	

We calculate expected volatility for stock options by taking an average of historical volatility over the past five years and a computation of implied volatility. The computation of expected term was determined based on historical experience of similar awards, giving consideration to the contractual terms of the stock-based awards and vesting schedules. The interest rate for periods within the contractual life of the award is based on the U.S. Treasury yield in effect at the time of grant.

Stock option activity for the first nine months of 2012 is summarized as:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price Per Share	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Shares under option at January 1, 2012	2,356,228	\$ 8.34		
Options granted	303,150	3.63		
Options exercised	(28,000)	4.09		
Options forfeited	(75,389)	4.82		
Options expired	(213,267)	10.90		
Shares under option at September 30, 2012	2,342,722	\$ 7.66	4.83 years	\$ -
Vested and expected to vest as of September 30, 2012	2,232,729	\$ 7.80	4.79 years	\$ -
Options exercisable at September 30, 2012	848,930	\$ 9.01	2.63 years	\$ -

The total intrinsic value of stock options (which is the amount by which the stock price exceeded the exercise price of the options on the date of exercise) exercised during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012 was \$29.

FASB's guidance for share-based payments requires cash flows from excess tax benefits to be classified as a part of cash flows from financing activities. Excess tax benefits are realized tax benefits from tax deductions for exercised stock options in excess of the deferred tax asset attributable to stock compensation costs for such stock options. We did not record any excess tax benefits in the first nine months of 2012 and 2011. Cash received from stock option exercises under our stock-based compensation plans for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and October 2, 2011 was \$115 and \$57, respectively.

d. Restricted Stock Awards

No restricted stock was awarded during the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and October 2, 2011.

The activity of restricted stock awards for the nine months of 2012 is summarized as follows:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Unvested at December 31, 2011	1,218	\$ 11.33
Granted	-	-
Vested	(1,218)	11.33
Forfeited	-	-
Unvested at September 30, 2012	-	\$ -

We recorded compensation cost related to restricted stock awards of \$-0- and \$1 for the three- and nine-month periods ended September, 2012, respectively, and \$(8) and \$(31) for the three- and nine-month periods ended October 2, 2011, respectively. As of September 30, 2012, we had \$-0- of total unrecognized compensation cost related to restricted stock awards. The total fair value of these grants that vested during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012 was \$5.

8. INCOME TAXES

The asset and liability method, prescribed by FASB's guidance on the accounting for income taxes, is used in accounting for income taxes. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on differences between financial reporting and tax basis of assets and liabilities and are measured using the enacted tax rates and laws that are expected to be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse.

For the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012, we recorded a benefit of \$21 and a provision of \$264, respectively, in income tax expense. For the three- and nine-month periods ended October 2, 2011, we recorded \$132 and \$332, respectively, in income tax expense. The expense is primarily due to (a) the recognition of deferred tax liabilities generated from goodwill and certain intangible assets that cannot be predicted to reverse for book purposes during our loss carryforward periods, and (b) the income reported for our China operations during the periods.

Our effective consolidated tax rate for the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and October 2, 2011 was:

	Three-Month Periods Ended		Nine-Month Periods Ended	
	September 30, 2012	October 2, 2011	September 30, 2012	October 2, 2011
Income (Loss) from continuing operations before Incomes Taxes (a)	\$ 1,643	\$ 1,470	\$ (2,763)	\$ 545
Total Income Tax Provision (b)	\$ 175	\$ 122	\$ 437	\$ 298
Effective Tax Rate (b/a)	10.7 %	8.3 %	15.8 %	54.7 %

The overall effective rate is the result of the combination of income and losses in each of our tax jurisdictions, which is particularly influenced by the fact that we have not recognized a deferred tax asset pertaining to cumulative historical losses for our U.S. operations and our U.K. subsidiary, as management does not believe, at this time, it is more likely than not that we will realize the benefit of these losses. We have substantial net operating loss carryforwards which offset taxable income in the United States. However, we remain subject to the alternative minimum tax in the United States. The alternative minimum tax limits the amount of net operating loss available to offset taxable income to 90% of the current year income. The alternative minimum tax did not have an impact on income taxes determined for the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and October 2, 2011. The payment of the alternative minimum tax normally results in the establishment of a deferred tax asset; however, we have established a valuation allowance for our net U.S. deferred tax asset. Therefore, the expected payment of the alternative minimum tax does not result in a net deferred tax asset.

As of December 31, 2011, we had foreign and domestic net operating loss carryforwards totaling approximately \$57,977 available to reduce future taxable income. Foreign loss carryforwards of approximately \$11,479 can be

carried forward indefinitely. The domestic net operating loss carryforwards of \$46,498 expire from 2019 through 2031. The domestic net operating loss carryforwards include approximately \$2,949 for which a benefit will be recorded in capital in excess of par value when realized.

We have adopted FASB's guidance for the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes. As a result of the implementation of this guidance, there was no cumulative effect adjustment for unrecognized tax benefits, which would have been accounted for as an adjustment to retained earnings.

Our unrecognized tax benefits related to uncertain tax positions at September 30, 2012 relate to Federal and various state jurisdictions. The following table summarizes the activity related to our unrecognized tax benefits:

	Nine-Month Periods Ended	
	September 30, 2012	October 2, 2011
Balance at beginning of the period	\$ 6,779	\$ -
Increases related to current year tax positions	-	-
Increases related to prior year tax positions	-	-
Decreases related to prior year tax positions	-	-
Expiration of statute of limitations for assessment of taxes	-	-
Settlements	-	-
Balance at end of the period	\$ 6,779	\$ -

The total unrecognized tax benefit balance at September 30, 2012 is comprised of tax benefits that, if recognized, would result in a deferred tax asset and a corresponding increase in our valuation allowance. As a result, because the benefit would be offset by an increase in the valuation allowance, there would be no effect on the effective tax rate.

We are not required to accrue interest and penalties as the unrecognized tax benefits have been recorded as a decrease in our net operating loss carryforward. Interest and penalties would begin to accrue in the period in which the net operating loss carryforwards related to the uncertain tax positions are utilized. We do not expect our unrecognized tax benefits to change significantly over the next twelve months.

As a result of our operations, we file income tax returns in various jurisdictions including U.S. federal, U.S. state and foreign jurisdictions. We are routinely subject to examination by taxing authorities in these various jurisdictions. Our U.S. tax matters for the years 1999 through 2011 remain subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") due to our net operating loss carryforwards. Our U.S. tax matters for the years 1999 through 2011 remain subject to examination by various state and local tax jurisdictions due to our net operating loss carryforwards. Our tax matters for the years 2006 through 2011 remain subject to examination by the respective foreign tax jurisdiction authorities. The IRS has completed the examination of our 2009 U.S. federal income tax return, with no resulting material effect to our financial position or results of operations.

We have determined that a change in ownership, as defined under Internal Revenue Code Section 382, occurred during 2005 and 2006. As such, the domestic net operating loss carryforwards will be subject to an annual limitation estimated to be in the range of approximately \$12,000 to \$14,500. The unused portion of the annual limitation can be carried forward to subsequent periods. We believe such limitation will not impact our ability to realize the deferred tax asset. The use of our U.K. net operating loss carryforwards may be limited due to the change in our U.K. operation during 2008 from a manufacturing and assembly center to primarily a distribution and service center.

9. EARNINGS PER SHARE

On January 1, 2009, we adopted the provisions of FASB's guidance for determining whether instruments granted in share-based payment transactions are participating securities. The guidance requires that all outstanding unvested share-based payment awards that contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents (such as restricted stock awards granted by us) be considered participating securities. Because restricted stock awards are participating securities, we are required to apply the two-class method of computing basic and diluted earnings per share (the "Two-Class Method").

Basic earnings per share ("EPS") is determined using the Two-Class Method and is computed by dividing earnings attributable to Ultralife common shareholders by the weighted-average shares outstanding during the period. The Two-Class Method is an earnings allocation formula that determines earnings per share for each class of common stock and participating security according to dividends declared and participation rights in undistributed earnings. Diluted EPS includes the dilutive effect of securities, if any, and reflects the more dilutive EPS amount calculated using the treasury stock method or the Two-Class Method. For the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and October 2, 2011, both the Two-Class Method and the treasury stock method calculations for diluted EPS yielded the same result.

The computation of basic and diluted earnings per share is summarized as follows:

	Three-Month Period Ended		Nine-Month Period Ended	
	September 30, 2012	October 2, 2011	September 30, 2012	October 2, 2011
Net Income (Loss) from continuing operations attributable to Ultralife	\$ 1,479	\$ 1,359	\$ (3,169)	\$ 286
Net Income (Loss) from continuing operations attributable to participating securities (unvested restricted stock awards) (-0-, 1,000, -0- and -0- shares, respectively)	-	-	-	-
Net Income (Loss) from continuing operations attributable to Ultralife common shareholders (a)	1,479	1,359	(3,169)	286
Effect of Dilutive Securities	-	-	-	-
Net Income (Loss) from continuing operations attributable to Ultralife common shareholders - Adjusted (b)	\$ 1,479	\$ 1,359	\$ (3,169)	\$ 286
Net Income (Loss) from discontinued operations attributable to Ultralife common shareholders (c)	\$ 200	\$ 4	\$ 178	\$ (4,174)
Effect of Dilutive Securities	-	-	-	-
Net Income (Loss) from discontinued operations attributable to Ultralife common shareholders - Adjusted (d)	\$ 200	\$ 4	\$ 178	\$ (4,174)

Average Common Shares Outstanding – Basic (e)	17,418,000	17,313,000	17,390,000	17,295,000
Effect of Dilutive Securities:				
Stock Options / Warrants	-	28,000	-	-
Average Common Shares Outstanding – Diluted (f)	17,418,000	17,341,000	17,390,000	17,295,000
EPS – Basic (a/e) - continuing operations	\$0.09	\$0.08	\$(0.18) \$0.02
EPS – Basic (c/e) - discontinued operations	\$0.01	\$0.00	\$0.01	\$(0.24)
EPS – Diluted (b/f) - continuing operations	\$0.09	\$0.08	\$(0.18) \$0.02
EPS – Diluted (d/f) - discontinued operations	\$0.01	\$0.00	\$0.01	\$(0.24)

There were 2,342,722 and 2,060,802 outstanding stock options, warrants and restricted stock awards for the three-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and October 2, 2011, respectively, that were not included in EPS as the effect would be anti-dilutive. The dilutive effect of -0- and 259,552 outstanding stock options, warrants and restricted stock awards were included in the dilution computation for the three-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and October 2, 2011, respectively.

There were 2,342,722 and 2,320,354 outstanding stock options, warrants and restricted stock awards for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and October 2, 2011, respectively, that were not included in EPS as the effect would be anti-dilutive.

10. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

a. Purchase Commitments

As of September 30, 2012, we have made commitments to purchase approximately \$477 of production machinery and equipment.

b. Product Warranties

We estimate future costs associated with expected product failure rates, material usage and service costs in the development of our warranty obligations. Warranty reserves are based on historical experience of warranty claims and generally will be estimated as a percentage of sales over the warranty period. In the event the actual results of these items differ from the estimates, an adjustment to the warranty obligation would be recorded. Changes in our product warranty liability during the first nine months of 2012 were as follows:

Balance at December 31, 2011	\$839
Accruals for warranties issued	394
Settlements made	(320)
Balance at September 30, 2012	\$913

c. Contingencies and Legal Matters

We are subject to legal proceedings and claims that arise in the normal course of business. We believe that the final disposition of such matters will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Arista Power Litigation

On September 23, 2011, we initiated an action against Arista Power, Inc. (“Arista”) and our former senior sales and engineering employee, David Modeen, in the State of New York Supreme Court, County of Wayne (Index No. 73379). In our initial Complaint, we allege that Arista recruited all but one of the members of its executive team from us, subsequently changed its business to compete directly with us by using our confidential information, and during the summer of 2011, recruited Modeen to become an Arista employee. We allege that, as a result of actions by Arista and Modeen: (i) Modeen has breached the terms of his Employee Confidentiality, Non-Disclosure, Non-Compete, Non-Disparagement and Assignment Agreement with us; (ii) Modeen has breached certain agreements, duties and obligations he owed us, including to protect and refrain from disclosing our trade secrets and confidential and proprietary information; (iii) Arista’s employment of Modeen will inevitably lead to the disclosure and use of our trade secrets by Arista, in violation of Modeen’s duties and obligations to us; (iv) Arista unlawfully induced Modeen to breach his agreements with and duties and obligations to us; and (v) Arista’s recruitment and employment of Modeen has breached a subcontract between Arista and us. We seek damages as determined at trial and preliminary and permanent injunctive relief. The defendants answered the allegations set forth in the Complaint, without asserting any counterclaims.

On December 5, 2011, Arista served us with a Complaint it filed on November 29, 2011 in the State of New York Supreme Court, County of Monroe (Index No. 11-13896) against us, our officers, several of our directors, and an employee. In its Complaint, Arista alleges that we and our named defendants have violated the terms of a Confidentiality Agreement with Arista and have unfairly competed against Arista by unlawfully appropriating Arista’s trade secrets and that as a result of such activity, Arista has incurred damages in excess of \$60,000. Arista seeks damages, an accounting, and preliminary and permanent injunctive relief.

On December 21, 2011, we and our officers, directors and employee named in Arista’s Complaint filed a motion to dismiss Arista’s Complaint against our officers, directors and employee as Arista’s Complaint fails to state any cause of action against any of them and to dismiss the claim of fraud against our officers, directors and employee. Subsequently, Arista filed an Amended Complaint alleging essentially the same causes of action but adding additional factual allegations against us and our officers, directors and employee. In addition, Arista filed a motion to disqualify our outside legal counsel representing us and our officers, directors and employee in both Arista’s Complaint and our Complaint against Arista. In response, we and our officers, directors and employee filed a new motion to dismiss Arista’s Complaint against us in its entirety and seeking dismissal of the fraud claim against us. Arista’s motion to disqualify our outside legal counsel was denied on February 10, 2012. On March 9, 2012, the Court issued its decision on our motion to dismiss, granting the motion to the extent of dismissing some claims against us, but denying the motion to dismiss the individuals from the lawsuit at this preliminary stage. On April 19, 2012, an Answer was filed on behalf of us, our officers, directors and employee.

On February 16, 2012, we filed an Amended Complaint in the action in Supreme Court, Wayne County, adding claims in that action against Modeen and Arista for misappropriation of our trade secrets and unfair competition, based on Arista’s strategy to hire Modeen and other former Ultralife employees, and thereby obtain improper access to information that is confidential and proprietary to us for Arista’s own benefit. We seek damages and injunctive relief limiting Arista’s employment of Modeen, and precluding Arista from using or disclosing information and trade secrets it acquired from us. Arista and Modeen answered the Amended Complaint on March 19, 2012 and discovery has commenced and is ongoing in both cases.

We initiated the September 23, 2011 Complaint against Arista to protect our customers, employees and shareholders from the unauthorized use and theft of our investments in intellectual property, trade secrets and confidential information by Arista and its employees. Protecting our collective intellectual property and know-how, developed at great cost to us to form our competitive position in the marketplace and create value for our shareholders, is a fundamental responsibility of all our employees.

We believe the action Arista filed on November 29, 2011 is retaliatory and without merit. Our development of the foundation for the new product concept for which Arista claims we allegedly used its trade secrets commenced in 2008, long prior to the departure of those individuals who now constitute the executive team of Arista. Furthermore, we believe the purported damage of \$60,000 being claimed by Arista is based solely on the reduction in its market capitalization between November 2009 and the filing date of the Complaint. This market value loss is totally unrelated to any actions attributable to us, and claims for recovery of this or any other amount are legally and factually baseless.

Accordingly, we are vigorously pursuing our complaint against Arista and defending what we believe to be a meritless action on the part of Arista.

9-Volt Battery Litigation

In July 2010, we were served with a summons and complaint filed in Japan by one of our 9-volt battery customers. The complaint alleged damages associated with claims of breach of warranty in an amount of approximately \$1,100. We disputed the customer's allegations against us and vigorously defended the lawsuit. A trial was held on May 25, 2012 in Japan before a panel of three judges, after which the parties agreed to settle the matter for approximately \$125, which has been reflected in our cost of products sold for the second quarter of 2012. The terms of the settlement agreement include no legal liability on our part and the plaintiff abandoning all other claims against us.

d. Post-Audits of Government Contracts

We had certain "exigent", non-bid contracts with the U.S. government, which were subject to audit and final price adjustment, which resulted in decreased margins compared with the original terms of the contracts. As of September 30, 2012, there were no outstanding exigent contracts with the U.S. government. As part of its due diligence, the U.S. government has conducted post-audits of the completed exigent contracts to ensure that information used in supporting the pricing of exigent contracts did not differ materially from actual results. In September 2005, the Defense Contracting Audit Agency ("DCAA") presented its findings related to the audits of three of the exigent contracts, suggesting a potential pricing adjustment of approximately \$1,400 related to reductions in the cost of materials that occurred prior to the final negotiation of these contracts. In addition, in June 2007, we received a request from the Office of Inspector General of the Department of Defense ("DoD IG") seeking certain information and documents relating to our business with the Department of Defense. We cooperated with the DCAA audit and DoD IG inquiry by making our personnel available to government auditors and investigators and furnishing the requested information and documents. The DCAA Audit and DoD IG inquiry were consolidated and the US Attorney's Office represented the government in connection with these matters. Under applicable federal law, we may have been subject up to treble damages and penalties associated with the potential pricing adjustment. In light of the uncertainty, we decided to enter into discussions with the U.S. Attorney's Office in April 2011 to negotiate a settlement that would be in the best interests of our customers, employees and shareholders. On April 21, 2011, we were advised by the government that there was a \$2,730 settlement-in-principle to resolve all claims related to the contracts, subject to final approval by the Department of Justice. As a result, we recorded a \$2,730 charge as a reduction in revenues for the first quarter of 2011. On June 1, 2011, we entered into a Settlement Agreement with the United States of America, acting through the United States Department of Justice and on behalf of the Department of Defense which provides that we shall pay the U.S. \$2,700 plus accrued interest thereon at the rate of 2.625% per annum from May 6, 2011, with principal payments of \$1,000, \$567, \$567 and \$566 being due on June 8, 2011, December 1, 2011, June 1, 2012 and December 1, 2012, respectively. Each principal payment will be accompanied by a payment of accrued interest. As of September 30, 2012, we have made the first three required payments.

e. Government Grants/Loans

In conjunction with the City of West Point, Mississippi, we applied for a Community Development Block Grant (“CDBG”) from the State of Mississippi for infrastructure improvements to our leased facility that is owned by the City of West Point, Mississippi. The CDBG was awarded and as of September 30, 2012, approximately \$480 has been distributed under the grant. Under an agreement with the City of West Point, we agreed to employ at least 30 full-time employees at the facility, of which 51% of the jobs had to be filled or made available to low or moderate income families, within three years of completion of the CDBG improvement activities. In addition, we agreed to invest at least \$1,000 in equipment and working capital into the facility within the first three years of operation of the facility. While we have yet to receive formal notice from the applicable government agency confirming the closure of the grant, we believe that our commitments were satisfied as of March 2011 and, therefore, have not recorded an accrual with respect to any potential liability for the grant amounts received under the CDBG.

In conjunction with Clay County, Mississippi, we applied for a Mississippi Rural Impact Fund Grant (“RIFG”) from the State of Mississippi for infrastructure improvements to our leased facility that is owned by the City of West Point, Mississippi. The RIFG was awarded and as of September 30, 2012, approximately \$150 has been distributed under the grant. Under an agreement with Clay County, we agreed to employ at least 30 full-time employees at the facility, of which 51% of the jobs had to be filled or made available to low or moderate income families, within two years of completion of the RIFG improvement activities. In September 2010, we received an extension for this commitment to March 31, 2011. In addition, we agreed to invest at least \$1,000 in equipment and working capital into the facility within the first three years of operation of the facility. While we have yet to receive formal notice from the applicable government agency confirming the closure of the grant, we believe that our commitments were satisfied as of March 2011 and, therefore, have not recorded an accrual with respect to any potential liability for the grant amounts received under the RIFG.

11. BUSINESS SEGMENT INFORMATION

On March 8, 2011, our senior management, as authorized by our Board of Directors, decided to exit our Energy Services business, which previously was a stand alone business segment. See Note 2 in these Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

On February 15, 2012, our senior management, as authorized by our Board of Directors, decided to divest our RedBlack Communications business, which previously was reported in the Communications Systems segment. See Note 2 in these Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

We report our results in two operating segments: Battery & Energy Products and Communications Systems. The Battery & Energy Products segment includes: lithium 9-volt, cylindrical and various other non-rechargeable batteries, in addition to rechargeable batteries, uninterruptable power supplies, charging systems and accessories, such as cables. The Communications Systems segment includes: power supplies, cable and connector assemblies, RF amplifiers, amplified speakers, equipment mounts, case equipment, integrated communication system kits and communications and electronics systems design. We believe that reporting performance at the gross profit level is the best indicator of segment performance. As such, we report segment performance at the gross profit level and operating expenses as Corporate charges.

Edgar Filing: ULTRALIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

The components of segment performance were as follows:

Three-Month Period Ended September 30, 2012

	Battery & Energy Products	Communications Systems	Discontinued Operations	Corporate	Total
Revenues	\$ 16,633	\$ 9,548	\$ -	\$ -	\$26,181
Segment contribution	4,770	3,449	-	(6,465)	1,754
Interest expense, net				(96)	(96)
Miscellaneous				(15)	(15)
Income taxes-current				(120)	(120)
Income taxes-deferred				(55)	(55)
Income from discontinued operations			200		200
Noncontrolling interest				11	11
Net income attributable to Ultralife					\$1,679
Total assets	\$ 54,031	\$ 32,290	\$ 11	\$ 8,997	\$95,329

Three-Month Period Ended October 2, 2011

	Battery & Energy Products	Communications Systems	Discontinued Operations	Corporate	Total
Revenues	\$ 28,834	\$ 6,370	\$ -	\$ -	\$35,204
Segment contribution	7,929	1,431	-	(7,815)	1,545
Interest expense, net				(124)	(124)
Miscellaneous				49	49
Income taxes-current				(67)	(67)
Income taxes-deferred				(55)	(55)
Income from discontinued operations			4		4
Noncontrolling interest				11	11
Net income attributable to Ultralife					\$1,363
Total assets	\$ 54,661	\$ 34,001	\$ 2,885	\$ 9,800	\$101,347

Nine-Month Period Ended September 30, 2012

	Battery & Energy Products	Communications Systems	Discontinued Operations	Corporate	Total
Revenues	\$ 52,238	\$ 20,150	\$ -	\$ -	\$72,388
Segment contribution	12,476	6,803	-	(21,747)	(2,468)
Interest expense, net				(312)	(312)
Miscellaneous				17	17
Income taxes-current				(387)	(387)
Income taxes-deferred				(50)	(50)
Income from discontinued operations			178		178
Noncontrolling interest				31	31
Net loss attributable to Ultralife					\$(2,991)
Total assets	\$ 54,031	\$ 32,290	\$ 11	\$ 8,997	\$95,329

Nine-Month Period Ended October 2, 2011

	Battery & Energy Products	Communications Systems	Discontinued Operations	Corporate	Total
Revenues	\$ 84,321	\$ 21,910	\$ -	\$-	\$106,231
Segment contribution	18,223	7,111	-	(24,688)	646
Interest expense, net				(440)	(440)
Miscellaneous				339	339
Income taxes-current				(134)	(134)
Income taxes-deferred				(164)	(164)
Loss from discontinued operations			(4,174)		(4,174)
Noncontrolling interest				39	39
Net loss attributable to Ultralife					\$(3,888)
Total assets	\$ 54,661	\$ 34,001	\$ 2,885	\$ 9,800	\$101,347

12. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

FASB's guidance for the disclosure regarding fair value of financial instruments requires disclosure of an estimate of the fair value of certain financial instruments. The fair value of financial instruments pursuant to FASB's guidance for the disclosure regarding fair value of financial instruments approximated their carrying values at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. The fair value of cash, trade accounts receivable, trade accounts payable, accrued liabilities, and our revolving credit facility approximates carrying value due to the short-term nature of these instruments.

13. FIRE AT MANUFACTURING FACILITY

In June 2011, we experienced a fire that damaged certain inventory and machinery and equipment at our facility in China. The fire occurred after business hours and was fully extinguished quickly with no injuries, and the plant was back in full operation shortly thereafter with no significant disruption in supply or service to customers. We maintain adequate insurance coverage for this operation.

The total amount of the loss pertaining to assets and the related expenses was approximately \$1,584. The majority of our insurance claim is related to the recovery of damaged inventory. In June 2012, we received approximately \$1,017 as a partial payment on our insurance claim, which resulted in no gain or loss being recognized. As of September 30, 2012, we reflect a receivable from the insurance company relating to this claim of \$432, which is net of our deductible of approximately \$132, and represents additional proceeds to be received. The deductible charge was expensed in the second quarter of 2011 and reflected as a component of cost of products sold in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

14. RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS AND DEVELOPMENTS

In June 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2011-05, "Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income". ASU No. 2011-05 requires entities to present the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements of net income and other comprehensive income. ASU No. 2011-05 eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in shareholders' equity, which is our current presentation. Further, in December 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-12 "Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05." This update defers the effective date of ASU No. 2011-05's requirement to present on the face of the financial statements reclassification adjustments for each component of accumulated other comprehensive income in both net income and other

comprehensive income so that the FASB can reconsider those requirements during calendar 2012. These standards are effective retrospectively for annual and interim reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2011, with early adoption permitted. The partial adoption of ASU No. 2011-05, as of January 1, 2012, only impacted the presentation of our consolidated financial statements and did not have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations and financial condition. The adoption of the deferred portions of ASU No. 2011-05 is not expected to have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations or financial condition.

In July 2012, the FASB issued ASU No. 2012-02, “Intangibles – Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment”. ASU 2012-02 was issued to reduce the cost and complexity of performing an impairment test for indefinite-lived intangible assets by simplifying how an entity tests those assets for impairment and to improve consistency in impairment testing guidance among long-lived asset categories. The amendments permit an entity first to assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that an indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired as a basis for determining whether it is necessary to perform the quantitative impairment test. The amendments are effective for annual and interim impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2012. Early adoption is permitted. We plan to adopt this standard during our fourth quarter impairment review process. We do not expect adoption of this standard to have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations and financial condition

Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 provides a "safe harbor" for forward-looking statements. This report contains certain forward-looking statements and information that are based on the beliefs of management as well as assumptions made by and information currently available to management. The statements contained in this report relating to matters that are not historical facts are forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties, including, but not limited to, future demand for our products and services, addressing the process of U.S. defense procurement, reduced U.S. defense spending, the successful commercialization of our products, our reliance on certain key customers, the impairment of our intangible assets, general domestic and global economic conditions, including the uncertainty with government budget approvals, the unique risks associated with our Chinese operations, government and environmental regulations, finalization of non-bid government contracts, competition and customer strategies, technological innovations in the non-rechargeable and rechargeable battery industries, changes in our business strategy or development plans, capital deployment, business disruptions, including those caused by fires, raw material supplies, and other risks and uncertainties, certain of which are beyond our control. Should one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or should underlying assumptions prove incorrect, actual results may differ materially from those forward-looking statements described herein. When used in this report, the words "anticipate", "believe", "estimate" or "expect" or words of similar import are intended to identify forward-looking statements. For further discussion of certain of the matters described above and other risks and uncertainties, see Item 1A, "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Undue reliance should not be placed on our forward-looking statements. Except as required by law, we disclaim any obligation to update any factors or to publicly announce the results of any revisions to any of the forward-looking statements contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q to reflect new information, future events or other developments.

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this Form 10-Q and our Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto contained in our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

The financial information in this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations is presented in thousands of dollars, except for share and per share amounts. All figures presented below represent results from continuing operations, unless otherwise specified.

General

We offer products and services ranging from portable power solutions to communications and electronics systems. Through our engineering and collaborative approach to problem solving, we serve government, defense and commercial customers across the globe. We design, manufacture, install and maintain power and communications systems including: rechargeable and non-rechargeable batteries, communications and electronics systems and accessories, and custom engineered systems and solutions. We sell our products worldwide through a variety of trade channels, including original equipment manufacturers ("OEMs"), industrial and retail distributors, national retailers and directly to U.S. and international defense departments.

We report our results in two operating segments: Battery & Energy Products and Communications Systems. The Battery & Energy Products segment includes: lithium 9-volt, cylindrical and various other non-rechargeable batteries, in addition to rechargeable batteries, uninterruptable power supplies, charging systems and accessories, such as cables. The Communications Systems segment includes: power supplies, cable and connector assemblies, RF amplifiers, amplified speakers, equipment mounts, case equipment, integrated communication system kits and communications and electronics systems design. We believe that reporting performance at the gross profit level is the

best indicator of segment performance. As such we report segment performance at the gross profit level and operating expenses as Corporate charges.

We continually evaluate ways to grow, including opportunities to expand through mergers, acquisitions and joint ventures, which can broaden the scope of our products and services, expand operating and market opportunities and provide the ability to enter new lines of business synergistic with our portfolio of offerings.

On March 8, 2011, our senior management, as authorized by our Board of Directors, decided to exit our Energy Services business. As a result of management's review of our business segments and products, and taking into account the lack of growth and profitability potential of the Energy Services segment as well as its sizeable operating losses, we determined it was appropriate to refocus our operations on profitable growth opportunities presented in our other segments, Battery & Energy Products and Communications Systems. In the fourth quarter of 2010, we recorded a non-cash impairment charge of \$13,793 to write-off the goodwill and intangible assets and certain fixed assets associated with the standby power portion of our Energy Services business. The actions taken to exit our Energy Services business resulted in the elimination of approximately 40 jobs and the closing of five facilities, primarily in California, Florida and Texas. We completed all exit activities with respect to our Energy Services segment by the end of the second quarter of 2011, and have reclassified our Energy Services segment as a discontinued operation.

In connection with the exit activities described above, we recorded total restructuring charges of approximately \$2,924. The restructuring charges include approximately \$703 of employee-related costs, including termination benefits, approximately \$250 of lease termination costs, approximately \$941 of inventory and fixed asset write-downs and approximately \$1,030 of other associated costs. The cash component of the aggregate total restructuring charges was approximately \$1,984. Subsequent to the completion of our exit activities, adjustments have been made to estimates of certain reserves and accruals that existed at that time. These adjustments amount to \$39 and were due to the difference in our actual experience compared to our expectations as of the completion of our exit activities.

In 2011, we implemented a series of Lean initiatives throughout our entire organization. Lean is a disciplined management philosophy which is 100% focused on using resources more effectively and the elimination of non-value added functions to any process. The expected result is a reduction in costs and increased efficiency.

On February 16, 2012, we announced our intention to divest our RedBlack Communications, Inc. ("RedBlack") business in 2012. RedBlack, a wholly owned subsidiary of ours based in Hollywood, Maryland, designs, integrates and fields mobile, modular and fixed site communication and electronic systems. As a result of management's ongoing review of our business portfolio, management had determined that RedBlack offered limited opportunities to achieve the operating thresholds of our new business model.

On September 28, 2012, we entered into and closed a Stock Purchase Agreement to sell 100% of our capital stock in RedBlack to BCF Solutions, Inc (the "Agreement"). In exchange for the sale of RedBlack, we received \$2,533 as a purchase price, comprised of cash at closing in the amount of \$2,133, funds held in escrow for up to one year in the amount of \$250, as well as \$150 to be available for RedBlack employee retention programs. In addition, there will be a customary post-closing working capital adjustment to the purchase price which is expected to be completed within ninety days.

The Agreement contains customary representations and warranties that will survive for a period of two or three years. The Agreement also contains customary indemnification for breaches of the representations and warranties identified in the Agreement.

Pursuant to the Agreement, we are prohibited from engaging or participating with any current customer of RedBlack in any business, directly or indirectly, that competes with the business conducted by RedBlack for two years. We are also prohibited from hiring, soliciting, or recruiting any current employee, independent contractor, or consultant of BCF Solutions, Inc. or RedBlack for two years.

Commencing with the first quarter of 2012, the results of the RedBlack operations and related divestiture costs will be reported as a discontinued operation. Certain items included within income from discontinued operations are based upon management's best estimates as of the date of sale and may change should our estimates be different from our actual experience.

Overview

Consolidated revenues for the three-month period ended September 30, 2012 decreased by \$9,023, or 25.6%, from the three-month period ended October 2, 2011. The decrease was primarily attributable to continued slowdown in the government and defense order rate for rechargeable and non-rechargeable batteries, partially offset by an order for our M-1 battery products to service an allied country's department of defense and an increase in Communications Systems sales, reflecting shipments of SATCOM systems and the fulfillment of amplifier orders that were delayed from the second quarter.

Gross profit for the third quarter of 2012 was \$8,219, or 31.4% of revenues, compared to \$9,360, or 26.6% of revenues, for the same quarter a year ago. The year-over-year comparison was impacted by the \$1,100 non-cash charge recorded in the third quarter of 2011 to write-off discontinued legacy amplifiers, which equaled approximately 300 basis points of gross margin. The increase in the gross margin percentage was attributable to improved 9-volt margins resulting from the transition of production to our China operations and increases in average selling price of the newly designed 9-volt product, scrap and productivity improvements in our manufacturing operations, as well as favorable sales mix in both our business segments.

Operating expenses decreased to \$6,465 during the three-month period ended September 30, 2012, a decrease of \$1,350, or 17.3%, from the \$7,815 during the three-month period ended October 2, 2011, primarily due to headcount reductions completed in the first half of 2012 in addition to discretionary spending cuts and lower sales commissions. Operating expenses as a percentage of revenue increased from 22.2% during the quarter ended October 2, 2011 to 24.7% during the quarter ended September, 2012 primarily because of lower sales volumes.

Operating income was \$1,754, a 13.6% increase over the \$1,545 for the same period in 2011, reflecting the benefits of favorable sales mix, productivity gains and operating expense reductions. Operating margin was 6.7%, compared to 4.4% for the year-earlier period, an increase of 230 basis points.

Net income from continuing operations was \$1,468, or \$0.09 per share, compared to net income of \$1,348, or \$0.08 per share, for the third quarter of 2011. Net income from discontinued operations was \$200 or \$0.01 per share, versus \$4 or \$0.00 per share for the same quarter last year.

Adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations, defined as net income (loss) attributable to Ultralife before net interest expense, provision (benefit) for income taxes, depreciation and amortization, plus/minus expenses/income that we do not consider reflective of our ongoing continuing operations, amounted to \$3,052 in the third quarter of 2012 compared to \$3,000 for the third quarter of 2011. This increase in Adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations was primarily attributable to our operating results. See the section "Adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations" beginning on page 32 for a reconciliation of Adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations to net income (loss) attributable to Ultralife.

There was no outstanding balance on our credit facility as of September 30, 2012. By comparison, as of October 2, 2011 and as of December 31, 2011, the outstanding revolver balance under our credit facility was \$2,481 and \$0-, respectively. The decrease from the third quarter of 2011 is primarily attributable to cash generated from operations and diligent working capital management.

Outlook

Management reaffirms its outlook for 2012. Management expects high-single to low-double digit year-over-year revenue growth for its Communication Systems segment and China operations. Given the continued uncertainty in government and defense orders for the Battery & Energy Products segment, the company's largest segment, management expects year-over-year total sales to decline by between 20% and 30%. Based on the third quarter

results and the actions taken in the first half of 2012 to reduce spending and align capacity, management expects a return to operating profitability for the second half of 2012 with operating margin in the low- to mid- single digits. The magnitude of the first half operating loss is expected to result in a total year operating loss.

Results of Operations

Three-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and October 2, 2011

Revenues. Consolidated revenues for the three-month period ended September 30, 2012 amounted to \$26,181, a decrease of \$9,023, or 25.6%, from the \$35,204 reported in the same quarter in 2011.

Battery & Energy Products sales decreased \$12,201, or 42.3%, from \$28,834 during the third quarter last year to \$16,633 during the third quarter this year. This decrease was primarily attributable to the continued slowdown in the US government and defense order rate for rechargeable and non-rechargeable batteries and charger systems. Partially offsetting this decline was an order for our M-1 battery products to service an allied country's department of defense.

Communications Systems revenues increased \$3,178, or 49.9%, from \$6,370 during the third quarter last year to \$9,548 during the third quarter this year. This increase was attributable to shipments of SATCOM units to a large prime customer contract which services the US Department of Defense and continued solid global demand for our 20 watt amplifier products.

Cost of Products Sold. Cost of products sold totaled \$17,962 for the quarter ended September 30, 2012, a decrease of \$7,882, or 30.5%, from the \$25,844 reported for the same three-month period a year ago. Consolidated cost of products sold as a percentage of total revenue decreased from 73.4% for the three-month period ended October 2, 2011 to 68.6% for the three-month period ended September 30, 2012. Correspondingly, consolidated gross margin was 31.4% for the three-month period ended September 30, 2012, compared with 26.6% for the three-month period ended October 2, 2011. The year-over-year comparison was impacted by the \$1,100 non-cash charge recorded in the third quarter of 2011 to write-off discontinued legacy amplifiers, which equaled approximately 300 basis points of gross margin. The increase in the gross margin percentage was attributable to improved 9-volt margins resulting from the transition of production to our China operations and increases in average selling price of the newly designed 9-volt, scrap and productivity improvements in our manufacturing operations, as well as favorable sales mix in both our business segments.

In our Battery & Energy Products segment, the cost of products sold decreased \$9,042, from \$20,905 during the three-month period ended October 2, 2011 to \$11,863 during the three-month period ended September 30, 2012. Battery & Energy Products' gross profit for the third quarter of 2012 was \$4,770, or 28.7% of revenues, a decrease of \$3,159 from gross profit of \$7,929, or 27.5% of revenues, for the third quarter of 2011. Battery & Energy Products' gross margin as a percentage of revenues increased by 120 basis points for the three-month period ended September 30, 2012, primarily attributable to scrap reductions and productivity improvements, as well as improved 9-volt margins and favorable sales mix.

In our Communications Systems segment, the cost of products sold increased \$1,160 from \$4,939 during the three-month period ended October 2, 2011 to \$6,099 during the three-month period ended September 30, 2012. Communications Systems' gross profit for the third quarter of 2012 was \$3,449, or 36.1% of revenues, an increase of \$2,018 from gross profit of \$1,431, or 22.5% of revenues, for the third quarter of 2011, which was impacted by the \$1,100 inventory charge to write-off discontinued legacy amplifiers. Excluding this adjustment, the gross margin for the third quarter of 2011 would have been 38.4%. Gross margin as a percent of revenues, excluding the 2011 inventory charge, decreased 230 basis points because of the higher proportion of amplifier sales in 2011.

Operating Expenses. Total operating expenses for the three-month period ended September 30, 2012 totaled \$6,465, a decrease of \$1,350 from \$7,815 for the three-month period ended October 2, 2011, resulting from the reductions in force completed in the first half of 2012, general and administrative discretionary spending cuts, lower sales commissions and more focused R&D and new product spending.

Overall, operating expenses as a percentage of revenues increased to 24.7% during the third quarter of 2012 from 22.2% reported in the third quarter of 2011 because of lower sales volumes. Amortization expense associated with intangible assets related to our acquisitions was \$122 for the third quarter of 2012 (\$57 in selling, general and administrative expenses and \$65 in research and development costs), compared with \$155 for the third quarter of 2011 (\$78 in selling, general, and administrative expenses and \$77 in research and development costs). Research and development costs were \$1,596 in the third quarter of 2012, a decrease of \$698, or 30.4%, from the \$2,294 reported in the third quarter of 2011, as we focused our spending on the development of new products with the highest estimated return on investment. Selling, general, and administrative expenses decreased \$652, or 11.8%, to \$4,869 during the third quarter of 2012 as compared to \$5,521 in the third quarter of 2011, reflecting lower sales commission and the impact of actions taken in the first half of 2012 to reduce general and administrative expenses.

Other Income (Expense). Other income (expense) totaled \$(111) for the third quarter of 2012, compared to \$(75) for the third quarter of 2011. Interest expense, net of interest income, decreased \$28, to \$96 for the third quarter of 2012 from \$124 for the comparable period in 2011, as a result of lower average borrowings under our revolving credit facilities. Miscellaneous income/expense amounted to expense of \$15 for the third quarter of 2012 compared with income of \$49 for the third quarter of 2011. The expense in the third quarter of 2012 and income in the third quarter of 2011 were primarily due to transactions impacted by changes in foreign currencies relative to the U.S. dollar.

Income Taxes. We reflected a tax provision of \$175 for the third quarter of 2012 compared with \$122 during the third quarter of 2011. The expense is primarily due to (a) the recognition of deferred tax liabilities generated from goodwill and certain intangible assets that cannot be predicted to reverse for book purposes during our loss carryforward periods, and (b) the income reported for our China operations during the periods. The effective consolidated tax rate for the three-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and October 2, 2011 was:

	Three-Month Periods Ended	
	September 30, 2012	October 2, 2011
Income (Loss) before Incomes Taxes (a)	\$ 1,643	\$ 1,470
Total Income Tax Provision (b)	\$ 175	\$ 122
Effective Tax Rate (b/a)	10.7 %	8.3 %

See Note 8 in the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information regarding our income taxes.

We have determined that a change in ownership, as defined under Internal Revenue Code Section 382, occurred in 2005 and 2006. As such, the domestic net operating loss (“NOL”) carryforward will be subject to an annual limitation estimated to be in the range of approximately \$12,000 to \$14,500. The unused portion of the annual limitation can be carried forward to subsequent periods. Our ability to utilize NOL carryforwards due to successive ownership changes is currently limited to a minimum of approximately \$12,000 annually, plus the carryover from unused portions of the annual limitations. We believe such limitation will not impact our ability to realize the deferred tax asset.

In addition, certain of our NOL carryforwards are subject to U.S. alternative minimum tax such that carryforwards can offset only 90% of alternative minimum taxable income. This limitation did not have an impact on income taxes determined for the second quarters of 2012 and 2011. The use of our U.K. NOL carryforwards may be limited due to the change in the U.K. operation during 2008 from a manufacturing and assembly center to primarily a distribution and service center.

Discontinued Operations. Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax, totaled \$200 for the third quarter of 2012, compared to \$4 for the third quarter of 2011. The income in the third quarter of 2012 reflects the operating results, sale, and related divestiture costs and tax benefits for RedBlack. For more information, see Note 2 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Ultralife. Net income attributable to Ultralife and income attributable to Ultralife common shareholders per diluted share was \$1,679 and \$0.10, respectively, for the three months ended September 30, 2012, compared to a net income attributable to Ultralife and income attributable to Ultralife common shareholders per diluted share of \$1,363 and \$0.08, respectively, for the third quarter of 2011. Average common shares outstanding used to compute diluted earnings per share increased from 17,341,000 in the third quarter of 2011 to 17,418,000 in the third quarter of 2012, mainly due to stock option exercises and shares of common stock issued to our non-employee directors.

Nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and October 2, 2011

Revenues. Consolidated revenues for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012 amounted to \$72,388, a decrease of \$33,843, or 31.9%, from the \$106,231 reported in the same period in 2011.

Battery & Energy Products sales decreased \$32,083, or 38.0%, from \$84,321 during the first nine months last year to \$52,238 during the first nine months this year. Revenues for Battery & Energy Products decreased due to the absence of shipments of 5390 batteries to the DLA, the completion of large non-recurring telematics orders in 2011 and a slower government and defense order rate for rechargeable and non-rechargeable batteries and charger systems.

Communications Systems revenues decreased \$1,760, or 8.0%, from \$21,910 during the first nine months last year to \$20,150 during the first nine months this year. The year-over-year comparison was impacted by larger shipments of SATCOM units in 2011 over 2012, partially offset by our broader focus on large, global modernization opportunities, which resulted in higher amplifier sales in 2012.

Cost of Products Sold. Cost of products sold totaled \$53,109 for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012, a decrease of \$27,788, or 34.3%, from the \$80,897 reported for the same nine-month period a year ago. Consolidated cost of products sold as a percentage of total revenue decreased from 76.2% for the nine-month period ended October 2, 2011 to 73.4% for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012. Correspondingly, consolidated gross margin was 26.6% for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012, compared with 23.8% for the nine-month period ended October 2, 2011, primarily attributable to higher margins in our Batteries and Energy Products segment, last year's \$2,730 DCAA settlement charge and \$1,100 charge to write-off components associated with a discontinued amplifier line, partially offset by lower sales volumes and sales mix in our Communications Systems segment.

In our Battery & Energy Products segment, the cost of products sold decreased \$26,336, from \$66,098 during the nine-month period ended October, 2011 to \$39,762 during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012. Battery & Energy Products' gross profit for the first nine months of 2012 was \$12,476, or 23.9% of revenues, a decrease of \$5,747 from gross profit of \$18,223, or 21.6% of revenues, for the first nine months of 2011. Battery & Energy Products' gross margin increased by 230 basis points for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012, primarily as a result of last year's DCAA settlement charge.

In our Communications Systems segment, the cost of products sold decreased \$1,452 from \$14,799 during the nine-month period ended October 2, 2011 to \$13,347 during the first nine months of 2012. Communications Systems' gross profit for the first nine months of 2012 was \$6,803, or 33.8% of revenues, a decrease of \$308 from gross profit of \$7,111, or 32.5% of revenues. The year-over-year comparison was impacted by the \$1,100 non-cash charge recorded in the third quarter of 2011 to write-off discontinued legacy amplifiers. Excluding this adjustment, the gross margin for the first nine months period of 2011 would have been 37.5%. The decrease in gross margin was

attributable to sales mix, which included a much higher concentration of radio accessory products and the sale of some legacy products in the current period, as well as, reduced volume pricing for certain large projects in the first quarter of 2012.

Operating Expenses. Total operating expenses for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012 totaled \$21,747, a decrease of \$2,941 or 11.9% from \$24,688 for the nine-month period ended October 3, 2011, resulting from continued actions to reduce general and administrative expenses and focused spending in the development of new products.

Overall, operating expenses as a percentage of revenues increased to 30.0% during the first nine months of 2012 from 23.2% reported in the first nine months of 2011 because of lower sales volumes. Amortization expense associated with intangible assets related to our acquisitions was \$372 for the first nine months of 2012 (\$177 in selling, general and administrative expenses and \$195 in research and development costs), compared with \$469 for the first nine months of 2011 (\$235 in selling, general, and administrative expenses and \$234 in research and development costs). Research and development costs were \$5,706 in the first nine months of 2012, a decrease of \$1,207, or 17.5%, from the \$6,913 reported in the first nine months of 2011, as we focused our spending on the development of new products with the highest estimated return on investment. Selling, general, and administrative expenses decreased \$1,734, or 9.8%, to \$16,041 during the first nine months of 2012 as compared to \$17,775 in first nine months of 2011, reflecting on-going actions to reduce general and administrative expenses.

Other Income (Expense). Other income (expense) totaled \$(295) for the first nine months of 2012, compared to \$(101) for the first nine months of 2011. Interest expense, net of interest income, decreased \$128, to \$312 for the first nine months of 2012 from \$440 for the comparable period in 2011, as a result of lower average borrowings under our revolving credit facilities. Miscellaneous income/expense amounted to income of \$17 for the first nine months of 2012 compared with income of \$339 for the first nine months of 2011. The income in the first nine months of 2012 and 2011 was primarily due to transactions impacted by changes in foreign currencies relative to the U.S. dollar.

Income Taxes. We reflected a tax provision of \$437 for the first nine months of 2012 compared with \$298 during the first nine months of 2011. The expense is primarily due to (a) the recognition of deferred tax liabilities generated from goodwill and certain intangible assets that cannot be predicted to reverse for book purposes during our loss carryforward periods, and (b) the income reported for our China operations during the periods. The effective consolidated tax rate for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and October 2, 2011 was:

	Nine-Month Periods Ended	
	September 30, 2012	October 2, 2011
Income (Loss) before Incomes Taxes (a)	\$ (2,763)	\$ 545
Total Income Tax Provision (b)	\$ 437	\$ 298
Effective Tax Rate (b/a)	15.8 %	54.7 %

See Note 8 in the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information regarding our income taxes.

We have determined that a change in ownership, as defined under Internal Revenue Code Section 382, occurred in 2005 and 2006. As such, the domestic NOL carryforward will be subject to an annual limitation estimated to be in the range of approximately \$12,000 to \$14,500. The unused portion of the annual limitation can be carried forward to subsequent periods. Our ability to utilize NOL carryforwards due to successive ownership changes is currently limited to a minimum of approximately \$12,000 annually, plus the carryover from unused portions of the annual limitations. We believe such limitation will not impact our ability to realize the deferred tax asset.

In addition, certain of our NOL carryforwards are subject to U.S. alternative minimum tax such that carryforwards can offset only 90% of alternative minimum taxable income. This limitation did not have an impact on income taxes determined for the first nine months of 2012 and 2011. The use of our U.K. NOL carryforwards may be limited due to the change in the U.K. operation during 2008 from a manufacturing and assembly center to primarily a distribution and service center.

Discontinued Operations. Income from discontinued operations, net of tax, totaled \$178 for the first nine months of 2012, compared to a loss of \$4,174 for the first nine months of 2011. The first nine months of 2012 include operating results and costs related to the divestiture of our RedBlack Communication business which was completed on September 28, 2012. The loss from discontinued operations for the first nine months of 2011 reflects the inclusion of costs associated with our exit from the Energy Services business completed in the second quarter of 2011. For more information, see Note 2 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Ultralife. Net loss attributable to Ultralife and loss attributable to Ultralife common shareholders per diluted share was \$2,991 and \$0.17, respectively, for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared to a net loss attributable to Ultralife and loss attributable to Ultralife common shareholders per diluted share of \$3,888 and \$0.22, respectively, for the first nine months of 2011. Average common shares outstanding used to compute diluted earnings per share increased from 17,295,000 in the first nine months of 2011 to 17,390,000 in the first nine months of 2012, mainly due to stock option exercises and shares of common stock issued to our non-employee directors.

Adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations

In evaluating our business, we consider and use Adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations, a non-GAAP financial measure, as a supplemental measure of our operating performance. We define Adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations as net income (loss) attributable to Ultralife before net interest expense, provision (benefit) for income taxes, depreciation and amortization, plus/minus expenses/income that we do not consider reflective of our ongoing continuing operations. We use Adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations as a supplemental measure to review and assess our operating performance and to enhance comparability between periods. We also believe the use of Adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations facilitates investors' use of operating performance comparisons from period to period and company to company by backing out potential differences caused by variations in such items as capital structures (affecting relative interest expense and stock-based compensation expense), the book amortization of intangible assets (affecting relative amortization expense), the age and book value of facilities and equipment (affecting relative depreciation expense) and other significant non-operating expenses or income. We also present Adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations because we believe it is frequently used by securities analysts, investors and other interested parties as a measure of financial performance. We reconcile Adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations to net income (loss) attributable to Ultralife, the most comparable financial measure under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("U.S. GAAP").

We use Adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations in our decision-making processes relating to the operation of our business together with U.S. GAAP financial measures such as income (loss) from operations. We believe that Adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations permits a comparative assessment of our operating performance, relative to our performance based on our U.S. GAAP results, while isolating the effects of depreciation and amortization, which may vary from period to period without any correlation to underlying operating performance, and of non-cash stock-based compensation, which is a non-cash expense that varies widely among companies. We believe that by limiting Adjusted EBITDA to continuing operations, we assist investors in gaining a better understanding of our business on a going forward basis. We provide information relating to our Adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations so that securities analysts, investors and other interested parties have the same data that we employ in assessing our overall operations. We believe that trends in our Adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations are a valuable indicator of our operating performance on a consolidated basis and of our ability to produce operating cash

flows to fund working capital needs, to service debt obligations and to fund capital expenditures.

The term Adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations is not defined under U.S. GAAP, and is not a measure of operating income, operating performance or liquidity presented in accordance with U.S. GAAP. Our Adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations has limitations as an analytical tool, and when assessing our operating performance, Adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations should not be considered in isolation, or as a substitute for net income (loss) attributable to Ultralife or other consolidated statement of operations data prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. Some of these limitations include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations does not reflect (1) our cash expenditures or future requirements for capital expenditures or contractual commitments; (2) changes in, or cash requirements for, our working capital needs; (3) the interest expense, or the cash requirements necessary to service interest or principal payments, on our debt; (4) income taxes or the cash requirements for any tax payments; and (5) all of the costs associated with operating our business;
- although depreciation and amortization are non-cash charges, the assets being depreciated and amortized often will have to be replaced in the future, and Adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations does not reflect any cash requirements for such replacements;
- while stock-based compensation is a component of cost of products sold and operating expenses, the impact on our consolidated financial statements compared to other companies can vary significantly due to such factors as assumed life of the stock-based awards and assumed volatility of our common stock;
- although discontinued operations does not reflect our current business operations, discontinued operations includes the costs we incurred by exiting our Energy Services business and divesting our RedBlack Communications business; and
- other companies may calculate Adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations differently than we do, limiting its usefulness as a comparative measure.

We compensate for these limitations by relying primarily on our U.S. GAAP results and using Adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations only supplementally. Adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations is calculated as follows for the periods presented:

	Three-Month Periods Ended		Nine-Month Periods Ended	
	September 30, 2012	October 2, 2011	September 30, 2012	October 2, 2011
Net income (loss) attributable to Ultralife	\$ 1,679	\$ 1,363	\$ (2,991)	\$ (3,888)
Add: interest expense, net	96	124	312	440
Add: income tax provision	175	122	437	298
Add: depreciation and amortization of financing fees	849	890	2,566	2,720
Add: amortization of intangible assets	122	155	372	469
Add: stock-based compensation expense	331	350	1,001	882
Add (less): loss (gain) from discontinued operations	(200)	(4)	(178)	4,174
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 3,052	\$ 3,000	\$ 1,519	\$ 5,095

Liquidity and Capital Resources

As of September 30, 2012, cash and cash equivalents totaled \$4,959, a decrease of \$361 from December 31, 2011. During the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012, we used \$229 of cash from operating activities as compared to the generation of \$6,818 for the nine-month period ended October 2, 2011. The use of cash from operating activities in 2012 resulted mainly from our net loss of \$3,200, net of approximately \$1,081 of cash generated from working capital due mainly to decreases in the balance of accounts receivable, accounts payable, and inventories. The significant change year over year is a result of the significant change from Accounts Receivable and the changes in cash attributable to the operating activities of our discontinued operations.

We used \$128 in cash for investing activities during the first nine months of 2012 compared with \$1,351 in cash used for investing activities in the same period in 2011. In the first nine months of 2012, we spent \$2,011 to purchase plant, property and equipment. In the first nine months of 2011, we spent \$1,878 to purchase plant, property and equipment and \$50 was used in connection with the contingent purchase price payout related to RPS Power Systems, Inc. Further, investing activities provided \$2,133 from our discontinued operations due to our sale of RedBlack compared to \$102 provided in the prior year.

During the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012, we generated \$115 in funds from financing activities compared to the use of \$6,137 in funds in the same period of 2011. The financing activities in the first nine months of 2012 included an inflow of \$115 from stock option exercises. The financing activities in the first nine months of 2011 included a \$6,060 outflow from repayments on the revolver portion of our Credit Facilities (as defined below), and an outflow of \$6 for principal payments on debt and capital lease obligations, partially offset by an inflow of \$57 from stock option exercises.

Inventory turnover for the first six months of 2012 was an annualized rate of approximately 2.3 turns per year, a decrease from the 3.0 turns for the full year of 2011. The decrease in this metric is mainly due to the overall decrease in sales volume in 2012.

As of September 30, 2012, we had made commitments to purchase approximately \$477 of production machinery and equipment, which we expect to fund through operating cash flows or draws upon the revolver portion of our Credit Facilities (as defined below).

Debt Commitments

On February 17, 2010, we entered into a senior secured asset based revolving credit facility (“Credit Facility”) of up to \$35,000 with RBS Business Capital, a division of RBS Asset Finance, Inc. (“RBS”). The proceeds from the Credit Facility can be used for general working capital purposes, general corporate purposes, and letter of credit foreign exchange support. The Credit Facility has a maturity date of February 17, 2013 (“Maturity Date”). The Credit Facility is secured by substantially all of our assets. At closing, we paid RBS a facility fee of \$263.

On February 18, 2010, we drew down \$9,870 from the Credit Facility to repay all outstanding amounts due under our previous credit facility with JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A. and Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company. Our available borrowing under the Credit Facility fluctuates from time to time based upon amounts of eligible accounts receivable and eligible inventory. Available borrowings under the Credit Facility equals the lesser of (1) \$35,000 or (2) 85% of eligible accounts receivable plus the lesser of (a) up to 70% of the book value of our eligible inventory or (b) 85% of the appraised net orderly liquidation value of our eligible inventory. The borrowing base under the Credit Facility is further reduced by (1) the face amount of any letters of credit outstanding, (2) any liabilities under hedging contracts with RBS and (3) the value of any reserves as deemed appropriate by RBS. We are required to have at least \$3,000 available under the Credit Facility at all times.

On January 19, 2011, we entered into a First Amendment to the Credit Agreement (“First Amendment”) with RBS. The First Amendment amended the Credit Facility as follows:

- (i) Included foreign (non-U.S.) accounts subject to credit insurance payable to RBS under the definition of eligible accounts receivable under the Credit Facility (for the determination of available borrowings - formerly, such accounts were not eligible without arranging letter of credit facilities satisfactory to RBS).
- (ii) Decreased the interest rate that will accrue on outstanding indebtedness, as set forth in the following table:

Excess Availability	LIBOR Rate Plus	
Greater than \$10,000	3.00	%
Greater than \$6,000 but less than or equal to \$10,000	3.25	%
Greater than \$3,000 but less than or equal to \$6,000	3.50	%

On September 28, 2012, we entered into a Second Amendment to the Credit Facility (“Second Amendment”) with RBS. The Second Amendment amended the Credit Facility to consent to the sale of the stock of RedBlack and to release any and all liens on RedBlack.

Interest currently accrues on outstanding indebtedness under the Credit Facility at LIBOR plus 3.00%. We have the ability, in certain circumstances, to fix the interest rate for up to 90 days from the date of borrowing.

In addition to paying interest on the outstanding principal under the Credit Facility, we are required to pay an unused line fee of 0.50% on the unused portion of the \$35,000 Credit Facility. We must also pay customary letter of credit fees equal to the LIBOR rate and the applicable margin and any other customary fees or expenses of the issuing bank. Interest that accrues under the Credit Facility is to be paid monthly with all outstanding principal, interest and applicable fees due on the Maturity Date.

We are required to maintain a fixed charge coverage ratio of 1.20 to 1.00 or greater at all times as of and after March 28, 2010. As of September 30, 2012, our fixed charge coverage ratio was 1.95 to 1.00. Accordingly, we were in compliance with the financial covenants of the Credit Facility. All borrowings under the Credit Facility are subject to the satisfaction of customary conditions, including the absence of an event of default and accuracy of our representations and warranties. The Credit Facility also includes customary representations and warranties, affirmative covenants and events of default. If an event of default occurs, RBS would be entitled to take various actions, including accelerating the amount due under the Credit Facility, and all actions permitted to be taken by a secured creditor.

As of September 30, 2012, we had no amounts outstanding under the Credit Facility. At September 30, 2012, the interest rate on the asset based revolver component of the Credit Facility was 3.23%. As of September 30, 2012, the revolver arrangement had approximately \$14,574 of additional borrowing capacity, including outstanding letters of credit. At September 30, 2012, we had \$413 of outstanding letters of credit under the Credit Facility.

Other Matters

We periodically explore various sources of liquidity to ensure financing flexibility, including leasing alternatives, issuing new or refinancing existing debt, and raising equity through private or public offerings. Although we stay abreast of such financing alternatives, we believe we have the ability during the next 12 months to finance our operations primarily through internally generated funds or through the use of additional financing that currently is available to us pursuant to our Credit Facility, which matures on February 17, 2013. In the event that we are unable to finance our operations with internally generated funds or through the use of additional financing from our Credit Facility, we may need to seek additional credit or access the capital markets for additional funds. We can provide no assurance that we would be successful in this regard.

With respect to our battery products, we typically offer warranties against any defects due to product malfunction or workmanship for a period up to one year from the date of purchase. With respect to our communications accessory products, we typically offer a three-year warranty. We provide for a reserve for these potential warranty expenses, which is based on an analysis of historical warranty issues. There is no assurance that future warranty claims will be consistent with past history, and in the event we experience a significant increase in warranty claims, there is no assurance that our reserves would be sufficient. This could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Critical Accounting Policies

Management exercises judgment in making important decisions pertaining to choosing and applying accounting policies and methodologies in many areas. Not only are these decisions necessary to comply with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, but they also reflect management's view of the most appropriate manner in which to record and report our overall financial performance. All accounting policies are important, and all policies described in Note 1 ("Summary of Operations and Significant Accounting Policies") to our Consolidated Financial Statements in our 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K should be reviewed for a greater understanding of how our financial performance is recorded and reported.

During the first nine months of 2012, there were no significant changes in the manner in which our significant accounting policies were applied or in which related assumptions and estimates were developed.

Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, there were no material changes to our quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk as presented in Item 7A of Part II of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation Of Disclosure Controls And Procedures

Our president and chief executive officer (principal executive officer) and our chief financial officer and treasurer (principal financial officer) have evaluated our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e)) as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report. Based on this evaluation, our president and chief executive officer and chief financial officer and treasurer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of such date.

Changes In Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f)) that occurred during the fiscal quarter covered by this quarterly report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

None

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit Index	Description of Document	Incorporated By Reference from:
2.1	Stock Purchase Agreement by and between BCF Solutions, Inc. and Ultralife Corporation.	Filed herewith
10.37	Second Amendment to Credit Facility, dated as of September 28, 2012, by and among Ultralife Corporation, RedBlack Communications, Inc., Ultralife Energy Services Corporation, and RBS Asset Finance, Inc.	Filed herewith
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a) / 15d-14(a) CEO Certifications	Filed herewith
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a) / 15d-14(a) CFO Certifications	Filed herewith
32	Section 1350 Certifications	Filed herewith
*101.INS	XBRL Instance Document	
*101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document	
*101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Calculation Linkbase Document	
*101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Label Linkbase Document	
*101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Presentation Linkbase Document	
*101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Definition Document	

*Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the information in this exhibit is deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Section 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, is deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and is otherwise not subject to liability under these sections.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

ULTRALIFE CORPORATION
(Registrant)

Date: November 8, 2012
Michael D. Popielec
President and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

By: /s/ Michael D. Popielec

Date: November 8, 2012
Philip A. Fain
Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer
(Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)

By: /s/ Philip A. Fain

Index to Exhibits

- 2.1 Stock Purchase Agreement by and between BCF Solutions, Inc. and Ultralife Corporation.
- 10.37 Second Amendment to Credit Facility, dated as of September 28, 2012, by and among Ultralife Corporation, RedBlack Communications, Inc., Ultralife Energy Services Corporation, and RBS Asset Finance, Inc.
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Calculation Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Presentation Linkbase Document
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Definition Document