

TRULITE INC
Form POS AM
April 09, 2007

As filed with the Securities Exchange Commission on April 9, 2007

Registration No. 333-134849

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

Post-Effective Amendment No. 1
to
FORM SB-2/A

REGISTRATION STATEMENT UNDER
THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

TRULITE, INC.

(Exact name of small business issuer in its charter)

Delaware
(State or jurisdiction
of incorporation)

2810
(Primary standard industrial
classification
code number)

20-1372858
(IRS Employee I.D. Number)

**5 HOUSTON CENTER
1401 MCKINNEY STREET, SUITE 900
HOUSTON, TX 77010-4035
(713) 888-0660**

(Address and telephone number of principal executive offices)

**5 HOUSTON CENTER
1401 MCKINNEY STREET, SUITE 900
HOUSTON, TX 77010-4035
(713) 888-0660**

(Address and telephone number of principal place of business)

**James S. Ryan, III, Esq.
Jackson Walker L.L.P.
901 Main Street, Suite 6000
Dallas, Texas 75202
(214) 953-5801**

(Name, address and telephone number of agent for service)

APPROXIMATE DATE OF PROPOSED SALE TO THE PUBLIC: From time to time after the effective date of this Registration Statement

If any of the securities being registered on this form are to be offered on a delayed or continuous basis pursuant to Rule 415 under the Securities Act of 1933, other than securities offered only in connection with dividend or interest reinvestment plans, check the following box.

If this Form is filed to register additional securities for an offering pursuant to Rule 462(b) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(c) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(d) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

If delivery of the prospectus is expected to be made pursuant to Rule 434, check the following box.

The registrant hereby amends this registration statement on such date or dates as may be necessary to delay its effective date until the registrant shall file a further amendment which specifically states that this registration statement shall thereafter become effective in accordance with Section 8(a) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or until the registration statement shall become effective on such date as the Securities and Exchange Commission, acting pursuant to Section 8(a), may determine.

The information in this prospectus is not complete and may be changed. Neither we nor the selling stockholders may sell these securities until the registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission is effective. This prospectus is not an offer to sell these securities and it is not soliciting an offer to buy these securities in any state where the offer or sale is not permitted.

Subject to Completion dated April 9, 2007

PROSPECTUS

Trulite, Inc.

2,788,047 Shares of Common Stock

By means of this prospectus, certain stockholders are offering to sell up to 2,788,047 shares of common stock of Trulite, Inc. that they own or that they may at a later date acquire upon the exercise of warrants.

We will not receive any proceeds from the sale of the common stock by the stockholders whose shares are being registered. We will pay the expenses of this offering. There is no underwriter, and proceeds to the selling stockholders are expected to be \$6,970,117 if all shares of our common stock offered hereunder are sold.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of these securities or passed upon the accuracy or adequacy of this prospectus. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

These securities are speculative and involve a high degree of risk. For a description of certain important factors that should be considered by prospective investors, see "Risk Factors" beginning on page 4 of this prospectus.

Our common stock is quoted on the OTC Electronic Bulletin Board of the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. under the symbol "TRUL.OB." On March 26, 2007, the last reported sale price of our common stock on the OTC Electronic Bulletin Board was \$1.30 per share.

The date of this prospectus is _____, 2007

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|------|
| Prospectus Summary | 1 |
| The Offering | 3 |
| Summary Financial Data | 3 |
| Risk Factors | 4 |
| Forward-Looking Statements | 10 |
| Use of Proceeds | 11 |
| Determination of Offering Price | 11 |
| Dilution | 11 |
| Selling Stockholders | 12 |
| Plan of Distribution | 14 |
| Legal Proceedings | 15 |
| Management | 16 |
| Principal Stockholders and Holdings of Management | 19 |
| Description of Securities | 22 |
| Interest of Named Experts and Counsel | 24 |
| Experts | 24 |
| Indemnification | 24 |
| Business | 25 |
| Where You Can Find More Information | 31 |
| Management's Discussion and Analysis and Plan of Operation | 32 |
| Description of Property | 37 |
| Certain Relationships and Related Transactions | 38 |
| Market For Common Stock | 40 |
| Dividend Policy | 41 |
| Executive Compensation | 42 |

Explanatory Note

This Post-Effective Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement on Form SB-2, File No. 333-134849, is filed for the purpose of including the registrant's financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006 contained in the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 2, 2007 and to update this registration statement for certain disclosures contained in the Form 10-KSB.

PROSPECTUS SUMMARY

This summary highlights information contained elsewhere in this prospectus and does not contain all of the information you should consider before investing in our common stock. While we have highlighted what we believe are the key aspects of our business and this offering, you should read the entire prospectus carefully, especially the risks of investing in our common stock discussed under "Risk Factors" beginning on page 4.

Trulite, Inc.

We are an emerging technology company engaged in the development and production of portable and small stationary products that produce hydrogen for the generation of electricity for commercial and consumer markets. Our strategy is to leverage our unique hydrogen source and control technology to develop fuel cell products to address end-user applications in three identified markets: Industrial Remote Monitoring; Back-up Power Generation; and Recreational Off-Site uses.

We were incorporated in Delaware on July 15, 2004. Later that month, we purchased all the membership interests of, and merged with, Trulite Technology, LC, or Trulite Technology. Trulite Technology had been formed in May 2002. In October 2003, Trulite Technology was introduced to William Jackson Berger of Contango Capital Partners, LP, or CCP. CCP provided the first round of private funding to us in July 2004 and effected the merger between us and Trulite Technology.

In January 2004, Trulite Technology received an initial order for two prototype chemical hydride cartridges, which were delivered in March 2004. In July 2004, Trulite Technology delivered four larger chemical hydride cartridges to the Naval Research Laboratory.

In September 2005, we introduced our Kitty Hawk system. This product consists of three technologies:

- one that generates hydrogen gas from dry chemical hydride compounds (the HydroCell, a proprietary hydrogen storage product that is an environmental-friendly alternative to battery power developed from our prototype chemical hydride cartridges that we also plan to market as a separate product and with respect to which we have filed four patent applications);
- one that transforms the hydrogen gas into electricity (the fuel cell stack); and
- one that controls the flow of hydrogen for the actual generation of electricity (the control technology, which is a technology with respect to which we have also filed one patent).

In November 2005, we received our first orders for twenty-five Kitty Hawk systems. The units were manufactured in our Utah product development facility and were delivered to a targeted audience in March and April 2006. Also in November 2005, we received a \$25,000 contract from Protonex Technology Corporation, or Protonex, to develop three high energy density prototype HydroCells.

The original Kitty Hawk system was capable of producing 15 net watts of power. We have developed an enhanced version of the Kitty Hawk, the KH-3X. We are field testing the unit and plan on selling a small number of the units to obtain customer feedback to enhance the next version. The KH-3X has twice the power output of the Kitty Hawk 3 product.

We are currently developing the next version of the Kitty Hawk product series, the Kitty Hawk 4. The Kitty Hawk 4 system is expected to be a more robust and powerful system capable of generating over three times the power output of the current KH-3X unit, resulting in approximately 130 watt integrated power system able to generate electricity for

up to 4 hours at full output. The Kitty Hawk 4 is anticipated to be available for field testing by select commercial customers by early third quarter of 2007. Field testing is anticipated to take six to eight months. Upon completion of field testing, we anticipate units will be available for sale and delivery into select commercial and consumer markets. The expected research and development costs of the Kitty Hawk 4 units are expected to be approximately \$2.1 million during 2007, including capital expenditures of \$0.2 million. Funding for product development and manufacturing are expected to come from (i) bridge loans provided by Standard Renewable Energy Group, LLC, or SRE, which owns NewPoint Energy Solutions, LP, which beneficially owns 45.2% of common stock, and Contango Venture Capital Corporation, which beneficially owns 16.98% of our common stock and (ii) investments in equity and debt made by external investors.

We generated \$16,667 and \$8,333 in revenues from the sale of Hydrocells and Kitty Hawk units in 2005 and 2006, respectively.

Our Offices

Our principal executive offices are located at 5 Houston Center, 1401 McKinney Street, Suite 900, Houston, Texas 77010-4035. Our telephone number is (713) 888-0660.

THE OFFERING

By means of this prospectus, certain stockholders of Trulite are offering to sell up to 2,788,047 shares of common stock that they own, or that they may acquire upon exercise of warrants. In this prospectus, we refer to each of these persons as a selling stockholder or the selling stockholders. As of March 31, 2007, we had 11,785,491 shares of common stock issued and outstanding. The number of outstanding shares does not give effect to shares that may be issued pursuant to the exercise and/or conversion of currently outstanding options or warrants.

We will not receive any proceeds from the sale of the shares by means of this prospectus.

The purchase of the securities offered by this prospectus involves a high degree of risk. Risk factors include the lack of revenues, a history of loss, and the need for additional capital. See the "Risk Factors" section of this prospectus for additional risk factors.

SUMMARY FINANCIAL DATA

The following table provides our summary historical financial and operating information. Our summary historical financial information for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2006 is derived from our audited financial statements appearing elsewhere in this prospectus. The financial data presented below should be read in conjunction with the more detailed financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this prospectus, along with the section entitled "Management's Discussion and Analysis and Plan of Operations."

Income Statement Data:

| | Year Ended December 31, 2005 | Year Ended December 31, 2006 |
|------------------------|---|---|
| Sales | \$ 16,667 | \$ 8,333 |
| Gross profit | 4,451 | 2,421 |
| Operating expenses | (830,658) | (3,421,783) |
| Other income (expense) | 255 | (23,932) |
| Net loss | \$ (825,952) | \$ (3,443,294) |

Balance Sheet Data:

| | As of December 31, 2005 | As of December 31, 2006 |
|--------------------------------|--|--|
| Current assets | \$ 304,109 | \$ 309,172 |
| Total assets | 337,147 | 359,251 |
| Current liabilities | 44,821 | 1,551,907 |
| Total liabilities | 44,821 | 1,551,907 |
| Working capital (deficit) | 259,288 | (1,242,735) |
| Stockholders' equity (deficit) | 292,326 | (1,192,656) |

RISK FACTORS

There are numerous and varied risks, known and unknown, that may prevent us from achieving our goals, including those described below. The risks described below are not the only ones we will face. Additional risks not presently known to us or that we currently deem immaterial may also impair our financial performance and business operations. If any of these risks actually occurs, our business, financial condition, or results of operations may be materially adversely affected. In such case, the trading price of our common stock could decline, and you may lose all or part of your investment. Before making any investment decision, you should also review and consider the other information set forth in this prospectus and the exhibits thereto.

An investment in our company is highly speculative in nature and involves an extremely high degree of risk. If any of the events, contingencies, circumstances, or conditions described in this risk factors section actually occurs, our business, financial condition, or results of operations could be seriously harmed.

Our business is difficult to evaluate because we are a development stage company.

Our company is a development stage company that was formed in July 2004, to further the research and development of fuel source and fuel cell systems. To date, we have manufactured and marketed only twenty-five Kitty Hawk integrated power systems to select customers and manufactured about 50 KH-3X units. The Kitty Hawk products were delivered to a select customer in February and March 2006. Accordingly, there is only a limited basis upon which to evaluate our business and prospects. An investor in our company should consider the challenges, expenses, and difficulties we will face as a development stage company seeking to develop and manufacture a new product in a relatively new market.

Our independent registered public accounting firm has expressed substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern.

We received an audit report for the year ended December 31, 2006, from our independent registered accounting firm containing an explanatory paragraph expressing substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. We have no significant operating history as of December 31, 2006, and since inception, we have not had significant revenues. Management raised additional equity and debt financing to fund operations and to provide additional working capital. However, there is no assurance that such financing will be in amounts sufficient to meet our needs. These conditions raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern.

We cannot guarantee that we will not again be required to restate our consolidated financial statements.

Since our inception, we have restated our consolidated financial statements on more than one occasion to correct errors in our financial statements. For example, our Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB/A filed with the SEC on December 22, 2006, restated our financial statements at and for the period ended June 30, 2006, to make a correction to the valuation of our common stock for the purposes of accounting for stock-based compensation. We believe that we have developed processes and procedures designed to provide reasonable assurance that our financial statements, including the financial statements contained in the Annual Report on Form 10-KSB, accurately reflect our financial position and results of operations. However, we cannot guarantee that in the future we will not again be required to restate our financial statements.

We expect to have a need for additional capital as we continue to execute our business plan.

To achieve and maintain competitiveness and continue our growth, we expect to raise substantial funds. Our forecast for the period for which our financial resources will be adequate to support our operations involves risks and uncertainties and actual results could be better or worse as a result of a number of factors. We anticipate the need to

raise additional capital to develop, promote, and distribute our products. Such additional funding may be raised through public or private, equity or debt financings. Additional funding may not be available under favorable terms, if at all. If adequate funds are not available, we may be required to curtail operations significantly or to obtain funds on terms not as favorable as we would hope. We hope to raise an additional \$2.0 million to \$5.0 million in funding during 2007. These funds will be required for recruiting and hiring additional technical staff, for purchasing materials for the manufacture of KH-3X and Kitty Hawk 4 units, for labor costs associated with manufacturing, for marketing expenses and for product development and enhancements to the Kitty Hawk product line.

Our competitors and technological changes could force us to drastically alter our business plan.

The quest for alternate energy sources is being undertaken by numerous governments, corporations, universities and other institutions and individuals throughout the world. Many of these participants have far greater experience and resources than we do and have been engaged in these activities for a longer period of time. In the event that commercially ready applications for alternative energy sources similar in nature to ours are introduced into the marketplace, we may be forced to alter our business plan. This can be expected to be costly and cause substantial delays in, or prevent us entirely from, realizing our objectives.

We must demonstrate value and reliability in order to gain consumer acceptance.

The cost of our fuel cell system is more than that of existing and competing energy providers. If we are unable to reduce our manufacturing and materials costs to produce products that are more affordable and reliable than those of our competitors, consumers may be unlikely to purchase our products. The price of our fuel cell system depends, in large part, on material and manufacturing costs. We cannot guarantee that we will be able to lower these costs without affecting the reliability and performance of our product.

We have limited experience manufacturing or selling fuel cells and fuel cell systems.

We have limited experience in producing, marketing, and selling any products or services on a commercial basis. To date, we have focused primarily on research and development and have only limited experience manufacturing fuel cells or fuel source systems on a large-volume, commercial basis. We believe that in order to make our products profitable we would have to produce our products through a high-volume automated process. We do not know whether or when we would be able to develop efficient, automated, low-cost manufacturing capabilities. Even if we are successful in developing such capabilities, we cannot ensure that we will do so in time to meet our product commercialization schedule or to satisfy the requirements of our customers and stockholders.

We expect that some of our fuel source products will only be commercially viable as a component of other companies' products, and these companies may choose not to include our fuel source system in their products.

Certain of our fuel source products must be integrated into products manufactured by original equipment manufacturers, or OEMs. We cannot guarantee that OEMs will manufacture these products. If they manufacture such products, no assurances can be given as to whether they will choose to incorporate our products or that such integration will be on financial and other business terms acceptable or profitable to us. In addition, any integration, design, marketing, manufacturing or other problems encountered by an OEM could adversely affect the market for our product, and we would have no ability to control the response to such problems.

We will need to rely on third parties for the proper execution of our business strategy.

Strategic relationships are critical to us for research, product development, and volume manufacturing. We will seek out strategic relationships for product design and development. As we enter into higher volume production, we will seek out strategic relationships for manufacturing, distribution and logistics.

We expect such outsourcing to happen in phases. First, we will work with raw material and individual component manufacturing. We will control all the development, manufacturing, and quality internally for the initial small-volume ramp-up sufficient to meet a five fuel cell and 35 HydroCell per month rate. Our near term goal is for the manufacturing facilities to ramp up to meet a potential demand of 20 fuel cells and 200 HydroCells per month. At such time as demand reaches a run rate of 80 Kitty Hawk units per month and 800 HydroCells per month, we anticipate that manufacturing will transition from manual to automated processes. Thereafter, outsourcing relationships are expected to be established for a few simple non-proprietary sub-components we expect full

outsourcing to begin once volume demand approaches 250 fuel cells per month and 1,500 HydroCells per month. This level of production will trigger the beginning of the shift to a final assembly and test facility at our own manufacturing site located in Texas.

We do not believe we should have difficulty obtaining contractors for any of this work or to supplement or replace existing contractors if any of those relationships were to be insufficient or terminate, or if the sales volume were such that we needed additional contractors to support the increases in sales volume. No assurance can be given that a suitable contractor can be found or that once found, it will consistently meet our demands with regard to timing or quality. It is possible, however, that difficulties in supplementing or replacing current contractors could develop in the future because of factors that we cannot predict at this time, creating a potential material adverse effect on us. The availability of raw materials may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. Because we use only the highest quality components, any restriction on the availability or use of such raw materials, whether as the result of a reduction in supply, natural disaster, or environmental restrictions, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

We may be unable to raise additional capital to pursue our commercialization strategy.

Our product development and commercialization schedule may be delayed if we are unable to properly fund our business and execute our business plan. We do not know whether we will be able to secure additional funding or funding on terms that are acceptable to us.

If additional capital is raised through the issuance of stock, stockholders' ownership interest may be diluted.

One of the factors that generally affects the market price of publicly traded equity securities is the number of shares outstanding in relationship to assets, net worth, earnings or anticipated earnings. If a public market develops for our shares, or if we determine to register for sale to the public those shares of common stock granted in any business combination or issued in any capital-raising activity, a material amount of dilution can be expected to cause the market price of our common stock to decline. Furthermore, the public perception of future dilution can have the same effect even if the actual dilution does not occur.

In order for us to obtain additional capital, we may find it necessary to issue securities conveying rights senior to those of the holders of our common stock. Those rights may include voting rights, liquidation preferences and conversion rights. To the extent we convey senior rights; the value of our common stock can be expected to decline.

If we incur indebtedness, we may become too highly leveraged and would be in risk of default.

There is no contractual or regulatory limit to the amount of debt we can take on, although we intend to follow a conservative debt policy. If our policy were to change or be eliminated due to unforeseen circumstances, we could become more highly leveraged, which could adversely affect our ability to meet our obligations, and we would then be in risk of default, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, business prospects and long term future viability.

A large-scale consumer market for our products may never develop or take longer to develop than we anticipate.

A large-scale consumer market for our products may never develop or may develop more slowly than we anticipate. Fuel cell technology is an emerging market, and we are unsure whether there will ever be popular demand for such products. The development of a large-scale market may be affected by many factors, some of which are beyond our control, including:

1. the competitive cost of fuel cell systems
2. the emergence of newer and more competitive technology

3. the future cost of raw materials
4. regulatory requirements
5. consumer perceptions regarding the safety of our product

6

6. consumer reluctance to try new products and technologies

If a large-scale consumer market fails to develop or develops more slowly than we anticipate, we may be unable to recover losses incurred in the development of our products.

Changes in environmental policies could hurt the market for our products and deter potential investors.

Although many governments have made the development of alternative energy sources, fuel cells in particular, a priority, we cannot assure you that these governments will not change their environmental policies or that any change would not negatively affect our business. Research for alternative energy is influenced by governmental regulations and policies concerning energy research or conservation. Depending on the nature of the governmental regulations, it could be easier and more cost efficient, or more difficult and costly, to raise funds and conduct research or to manufacture, market or sell our products in a given country. Governmental regulations may also impose more stringent requirements for the transport of the hydrogen fuel source, thereby increasing the costs of distribution.

Changes in governmental regulation could hurt the market for our products and negatively affect our ability to attract potential consumers.

The energy industry is influenced by state and federal regulations and policies. Any change in the present policies could affect additional investment in alternative forms of energy and decrease demand for our products. Fuel cell technology may be subject to future governmental regulation, which could affect the market for our product. As our products are introduced to the market, we may be subject to additional laws and regulations. We do not know the extent to which this will affect our ability to distribute our products. In addition, any future regulation may increase our production costs and the cost of our final product.

We currently face and will continue to face significant competition.

Our products, the HydroCell hydrogen generation system and the Kitty Hawk integrated power system, are expected to face significant competition. Many companies with substantially greater resources are developing similar hydride hydrogen generation technologies and are enhancing their fuel cell technologies. We cannot be sure that customers will use our products in lieu of competitor's product offerings in the target markets we have identified. Further, the development of new technology may affect the popularity and profitability of our products or render our products obsolete.

We depend on our intellectual property, and our failure to protect that technology could adversely affect our future success.

We rely in part on our five patent applications to protect our intellectual property. Additionally, we make every effort to protect our knowledge of our processes and procedures. Failure to protect our existing intellectual property could cause the loss of our exclusivity or the right to use the technology we developed. If we do not adequately protect our intellectual property rights, we may have to pay others for the right to use their technology.

We could face litigation regarding the legitimacy of our patents and we cannot ensure that we will be successful in such suits. These suits may result in the invalidation of our patent rights or the licensing of these rights to others.

We protect our proprietary intellectual property, including intellectual property that may not be patented, through the use of confidentiality agreements. We cannot assure you that these agreements will not be breached or that we will have an adequate remedy in the event that they are breached.

We may be unable to attract or retain key personnel, which would adversely affect our operations.

Our team consists of several scientists and we also employ engineers and researchers to help develop our products. Our future success depends on our ability to attract and retain a highly skilled workforce, consisting of scientists, engineers, researchers and marketing professionals. We cannot assure you that we will be able to attract and retain such personnel. Our inability to do so could negatively impact our success.

7

On March 24, 2006, Dr. Kevin Shurtleff, our founder, resigned as a member of our Board of Directors and as an officer to pursue other interests and opportunities in areas not related to hydrogen fuel source and fuel cell technology. Dr. Shurtleff agreed to continue to work for us on a part-time basis for twenty hours per week to assist us in developing our control program technology and to transfer his knowledge of its hydrogen source technology.

We believe we have taken due care and diligence to capture all intellectual property developed by Dr. Shurtleff during his tenure with us and have taken other measures to ensure that our progress in the area of hydrogen fuel source development will not be impeded if Dr. Shurtleff leaves its employ.

We believe the measures taken to ensure the capture of all intellectual property and the competencies of the current staff will not impact the continuation of product development of the hydrogen fuel source or compromise our ability to continue product development in the hydrogen fuel source area in the future. However, there can be no assurances that we will not be impacted by Dr. Shurtleff's resignation as director and officer or his possible future departure from our company.

Our Board of Directors may issue, without stockholder approval, preferred stock with dividend, liquidation, conversion, voting or other rights which could adversely affect the voting power or other rights of the holders of our common stock.

Our Certificate of Incorporation authorizes the issuance of up to 1,500,000 shares of preferred stock with designations, rights and preferences determined from time to time by our Board of Directors. Accordingly, our Board of Directors may issue, without stockholder approval, preferred stock with dividend, liquidation, conversion, voting or other rights which could adversely affect the voting power or other rights of the holders of our common stock. As of March 30, 2007, there were no shares of preferred stock outstanding. If shares of preferred stock are issued, such shares could affect the rights of holders of our Common stock.

Penny Stock Regulations may impose certain restrictions on marketability of our securities.

The trading of our common stock is subject to rules pertaining to "penny stocks." The SEC has adopted regulations which generally define a "penny stock" to be any equity security that has a market price (as defined) of less than \$5.00 per share or an exercise price of less than \$5.00 per share, subject to certain exceptions. As a result, our common stock is subject to rules that impose additional sales practice requirements on broker-dealers who sell such securities to persons other than established clients and "accredited investors." For transactions covered by these rules, the broker-dealer must make a special suitability determination for the purchase of such securities and have received the purchaser's written consent to the transaction prior to the purchase. Additionally, for any transaction involving a penny stock, unless exempt, the rules require the delivery, prior to the transaction, of a risk disclosure document mandated by the SEC relating to the penny stock market. The broker-dealer must also disclose the commission payable to both the broker-dealer and the registered representative, current quotations for the securities and, if the broker-dealer is the sole market maker, the broker-dealer must disclose this fact and the broker-dealer's presumed control over the market. Finally, monthly statements must be sent disclosing recent price information for the penny stock held in the account and information on the limited market in penny stocks. Consequently, the "penny stock" rules may restrict the ability of broker-dealers to sell shares of common stock and may affect the ability of investors to sell such shares of our common stock in the secondary market and the price at which such investors can sell any of such shares.

Investors should be aware that, according to the SEC, the market for penny stocks has suffered in recent years from patterns of fraud and abuse. Such patterns include:

1. control of the market for the security by one or a few broker-dealers that are often related to the promoter or issuer

2. manipulation of prices through prearranged matching of purchases and sales and false and misleading press releases
3. “boiler room” practices involving high pressure sales tactics and unrealistic price projections by inexperienced sales persons

4. excessive and undisclosed bid-ask differentials and markups by selling broker-dealers

5. the wholesale dumping of the same securities by promoters and broker-dealers after prices have been manipulated to a desired level, along with the inevitable collapse of those prices with consequent investor losses

Our management is aware of the abuses that have occurred historically in the penny stock market.

9

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Statements contained in this prospectus include “forward-looking statements,” which involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties, and other factors that could cause actual financial or operating results, performances, or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements not to occur or be under realized. These forward-looking statements generally are based on our best estimates of future results, performances, or achievements, current conditions and assumptions. Forward-looking statements may be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as “may,” “can,” “could,” “project,” “expect,” “believe,” “plan,” “predict,” “estimate,” “anticipate,” “intend,” “continue,” “should,” “aim,” “opportunity,” or similar terms, variations of those terms or the negative of those terms, or other variations of those terms or comparable words or expressions. These risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to:

- our ability to develop and manufacture commercially viable products
- the continued expansion of our business
- general economic conditions in both foreign and domestic markets
- lack of growth in our industry
- our ability to comply with government regulations
- a failure to manage our business effectively and profitably
- our ability to sell both new and existing products at profitable, yet competitive, prices

You should carefully consider these risks, uncertainties, and other information, disclosures, and discussions that contain cautionary statements identifying important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those provided in the forward-looking statements. Trulite undertakes no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise. The securities being offered are highly speculative and prospective investors should consider, among other things, the following factors related to our business, operations, and financial position.

USE OF PROCEEDS

Proceeds from this offering of common stock will inure directly to the selling stockholders. We will not receive any proceeds from the sale of the common stock by the stockholders whose shares are being registered.

DETERMINATION OF OFFERING PRICE

The \$2.50 per share offering price of the common stock was arbitrarily chosen using the last sales price of our common stock from our most recent private offering of common stock. There is no relationship between this price and our assets, earnings, book value or any other objective criteria of value.

Our common stock was cleared for quotation on the OTC Electronic Bulletin Board of the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. under the symbol "TRUL.OB" on March 14, 2007. On March 26, 2007, the last reported sale price of our common stock on the OTC Electronic Bulletin Board was \$1.30 per share. The actual price of stock will be determined by prevailing market prices at the time of sale or by private transactions negotiated by the selling stockholders. The offering price would thus be determined by market factors and the independent decisions of the selling stockholders.

DILUTION

The common stock to be sold by the selling stockholders is common stock that is currently issued and outstanding or that will be issued and outstanding upon the exercise of outstanding warrants. Accordingly, there will be no dilution to existing stockholders resulting from sales of the shares of common stock offered hereby.

SELLING STOCKHOLDERS

This prospectus relates to the sale of shares of our common stock by certain stockholders of Trulite. The shares were issued for services rendered and in private offerings for cash. We will not receive any proceeds from the sale of the shares by the selling stockholders. We are paying the costs of registering the shares offered hereby.

The following table sets forth the name of each selling stockholder, the number of shares of our common stock and shares issuable upon the exercise of warrants owned by each selling stockholder immediately prior to the registration, the number of shares registered and the number of shares and percentage of our common stock to be owned by each selling stockholder assuming all shares covered by this registration statement are sold. However, because each selling stockholder may offer all or a portion of the shares covered by this prospectus at any time and from time to time hereafter, the exact number of shares that a selling stockholder may hold at any time hereafter cannot be determined at this time. The last two columns of this table assume that all shares covered by this prospectus will be sold by a selling stockholder and that no additional shares of our common stock are held as of the date hereof other than as reflected below or subsequently bought or sold by a selling stockholder.

| Name | Shares Owned | Shares Issuable Upon the Exercise of Warrants | Shares covered by this Registration Statement | Share Ownership After Offering - Number of Shares ^{(1) (2)} | Share Ownership After Offering - % of Class ^{(1) (2)} |
|--|---------------|---|---|--|--|
| Blackman, Sherry | 50,000(3) | 50,000(3) | 100,000 | - | * |
| Boru Enterprises, Inc. (4) | 190,000(5) | 250,000(5) | 450,000 | - | * |
| Forrester Financial, LLC (6) | 200,000(3) | 200,000(3) | 400,000 | - | * |
| Gagne, Kevin | 10,000(3) | 10,000(3) | 20,000 | - | * |
| Jelco, LLC (7) | 50,000(5) | 150,000(5) | 200,000 | - | * |
| Kaiser, Amy | 10,000(3) | 10,000(3) | 20,000 | - | * |
| Keyser, Kelly | 10,000(3) | 10,000(3) | 20,000 | - | * |
| Ligums, John | 50,000(3) | 50,000(3) | 100,000 | - | * |
| Lovitz, Lawrence | 25,000(3) | 25,000(3) | 50,000 | - | * |
| Nielson, Andrew | 1,120,745(8) | - | 182,682 | 938,063 | 8.0% |
| Ragsdale, Jonathan | 7,500(3) | 7,500(3) | 15,000 | - | * |
| Salvatore, Caroline | 10,000(3) | 10,000(3) | 20,000 | - | * |
| Shurtleff, Kevin | 2,035,460(8) | - | 101,773 | 1,933,687 | 16.4% |
| Sutter, Irene | 10,000(3) | 10,000(3) | 20,000 | - | * |
| Weinstein, Frances | 25,000(3) | 25,000(3) | 50,000 | - | * |
| Contango Capital Partners, LP (9) | 0(3) | 592,500(3) | 592,500 | - | * |
| Abrahams, Raid | 4,816(10) | - | 241 | 4,575 | * |
| Bendikson, Aaron | 4,816(10) | - | 241 | 4,575 | * |
| Bor, Michael | 9,631(10) | - | 482 | 9,149 | * |
| Burkart, Frazer | 4,816(10) | - | 241 | 4,575 | * |
| Contango Capital Partnership Management LLC (11) | 792(10) | - | 40 | 752 | * |
| Contango Venture Capital Corporation (12) | 2,001,014(10) | - | 100,051 | 1,900,963 | 16.1% |
| Delph, Walter | 4,816(10) | - | 241 | 4,575 | * |
| Fleiss, Eric | 9,631(10) | - | 482 | 9,149 | * |
| Flores, William | 500(10) | - | 25 | 475 | * |

Edgar Filing: TRULITE INC - Form POS AM

| | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Guido, Greg | 2,408(10) | - | 120 | 2,288 | * |
| Harsley, Martyn | 24,078(10) | - | 1,204 | 22,874 | * |
| Henry, Robert | 240,779(10) | - | 12,039 | 228,740 | 1.9% |
| Herndon, Rogers | 77,049(10) | - | 3,852 | 73,197 | * |
| Huge, Don | 48,156(10) | - | 2,408 | 45,748 | * |
| Janke, David | 4,816(10) | - | 241 | 4,575 | * |
| Mobius Risk Group LLC | 76,350(10) | - | 3,818 | 72,532 | * |
| Smith, Paul | 33,709(10) | - | 1,685 | 32,024 | * |
| Smith, Peter | 37,171(10) | - | 1,859 | 35,312 | * |
| Stapleton, Walker | 4,816(10) | - | 241 | 4,575 | * |
| NewPoint Energy Solutions, LP (13) | 5,331,622(13) | - | 266,581 | 5,065,041 | 43.0% |
| Cathedral Prep Seminary (14) | 10,000(15) | - | 10,000 | - | * |
| Larizza, Lou | 10,000(15) | - | 10,000 | - | * |
| Rosarran, Inc. | 10,000(15) | - | 10,000 | - | * |
| St. Theresa Catholic Church | 10,000(15) | - | 10,000 | - | * |
| Wehner, William | 10,000(15) | - | 10,000 | - | * |
| Total Shares | 11,775,491 | 1,400,000 | 2,788,047 | 10,397,444 | 88.22% |

*

Less than one percent.

- (1) Includes shares of common stock issuable on exercise of options and warrants.
- (2) Calculated based on the number of shares of common stock held by the stockholder (excluding shares issuable on exercise of options and warrants) divided by the total number of outstanding shares of common stock (excluding shares issuable on exercise of outstanding options and warrants).
- (3) On April 13, 2006, pursuant to Rule 504 of Regulation D promulgated under the Securities Act, we issued 1,000,000 shares of common stock and 1,000,000 warrants to purchase common stock, at an exercise price of \$1.50 per share, to 12 accredited investors for an aggregate purchase price of \$1,000,000.
- (4) The sole member of the Board of Directors of Boru Enterprises, Inc., or Boru, is John Moran. Mr. Moran exercises voting and investment power on behalf of Boru.
- (5) These securities were issued as compensation for services rendered to us.
- (6) The sole member of the Board of Directors of Forrester Financial, LLC, or Forrester Financial, is Ted Karkus. Ted Karkus exercises voting and investment power on behalf of Forrester Financial.
- (7) Jelco, LLC, or Jelco, is managed by John Ligums, who exercises voting and investment power on behalf of Jelco.
- (8) These securities were issued in connection with an acquisition. Mr. Nielson has granted to Eric Ladd an option to purchase up to 473,968 of these shares of common stock.
- (9) The general partner of Contango Capital Partners, LP, or CCP, is Contango Capital Partnership Management LLC, or CCPM,. John Berger, Kenneth R. Peak, Todd Sullivan, Gerald Sullivan, Eric Melvin, and John D. White are the managers of CCPM and collectively exercise voting and investment power on behalf of CCP.
- (10) CCP distributed these shares of common stock to its limited partners for no consideration.
- (11) CCPM collectively is managed by John Berger, Kenneth R. Peak, Todd Sullivan, Gerald Sullivan, Eric Melvin, and John D. White, who together exercise voting and investment power on behalf of CCPM.
- (12) Contango Venture Capital Corporation is owned by Contango Oil & Gas Company. Kenneth R. Peak, Lesia Brehmer, Sergio Castro and Marc Duncan are the executive officers of Contango Oil & Gas Company. The Board of Directors of Contango Oil & Gas Company consists of Kenneth R. Peak, Jay D. Brehmer, Darrell W. Williams, Charles M. Reimer and Steven L. Schoonover.
- (13) Standard Renewable Energy Services, GP LLC is the general partner of NewPoint Energy Solutions, LP. John Berger is the sole member and manager of Standard Renewable Energy Services GP, LLC and in that capacity has voting and dispositive power over these shares.
- (14) Cathedral Prep Seminary is a not-for-profit organization managed by John Moran. John Moran exercises voting and investment power on behalf of Cathedral Prep Seminary.
- (15) Boru distributed these shares of common stock, which were received as compensation for services to us, for no consideration.

PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION

The selling stockholders and any of their pledgees, assignees, and successors-in-interest may, from time to time, sell any or all of their shares of our common stock on any stock exchange, market, or trading facility on which the shares are then traded or in private transactions. These sales may be at fixed or negotiated prices. The selling stockholders may use any one or more of the following methods when selling shares:

- ordinary brokerage transactions and transactions in which the broker-dealer solicits purchasers;
- block trades in which the broker-dealer will attempt to sell the shares as agent but may position and resell a portion of the block as principal to facilitate the transaction;
- purchases by a broker-dealer as principal and resale by the broker-dealer for its account;
- an exchange distribution in accordance with the rules of the applicable exchange;
- privately negotiated transactions;
- short sales;
- broker-dealers may agree with the selling stockholders to sell a specified number of such shares at a stipulated price per share;
- a combination of any such methods of sale; or
- any other method permitted pursuant to applicable law.

The selling stockholders may also sell shares under Rule 144 under the Securities Act of 1933, if available, rather than under this prospectus. Broker-dealers engaged by the selling stockholders may arrange for other brokers-dealers to participate in sales. Broker-dealers may receive commissions or discounts from the selling stockholders (or, if any broker-dealer acts as agent for the purchaser of shares, from the purchaser) in amounts to be negotiated. The selling stockholders do not expect these commissions and discounts to exceed what is customary in the types of transactions involved.

The selling stockholders may from time to time pledge or grant a security interest in some or all of the shares of our common stock owned by them and, if they default in the performance of their secured obligations, the pledgees or secured parties may offer and sell the shares of common stock from time to time under this prospectus, or under an amendment to this prospectus under Rule 424(b)(3) or other applicable provision of the Securities Act amending the list of selling stockholders to include the pledgee, transferee or other successors in interest as selling stockholders under this prospectus.

The selling stockholders may also transfer the shares of common stock in other circumstances, in which case the transferees, pledgees or other successors in interest will be the selling beneficial owners for purposes of this prospectus.

The selling stockholders and any broker-dealers or agents involved in selling the shares may be deemed to be “underwriters” within the meaning of the Securities Act in connection with such sales. In such event, any commissions received by such broker-dealers or agents and any profit on the resale of the shares purchased by them may be deemed to be underwriting commissions or discounts under the Securities Act. The selling stockholders have informed us they

do not have any agreement or understanding, directly or indirectly, with any person to distribute the common stock.

We have advised the selling stockholders that in the event of a “distribution” of the shares owned by the selling stockholders, such selling stockholders, any “affiliated purchasers,” and any broker-dealer or other person who participates in such distribution may be subject to Rule 102 under the Exchange Act until their participation in that distribution is completed. Rule 102 makes it unlawful for any person who is participating in a distribution to bid for or purchase stock of the same class as is the subject of the distribution. A “distribution” is defined in Rule 102 as an offering of securities “that is distinguished from ordinary trading transactions by the magnitude of the offering and the presence of special selling efforts and selling methods.” We have also advised the selling stockholders that Rule 101 under the Exchange Act prohibits any “stabilizing bid” or “stabilizing purchase” for the purpose of pegging, fixing, or stabilizing the price of the common stock in connection with this offering.

LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are not party to any legal proceedings nor is it aware of any investigation, claim or demand made on us that may reasonably be expected to result in any legal proceedings.

15

MANAGEMENT

Directors and **Executive Officers**

Set forth below are our present directors and executive officers.

| Name | Age | Position |
|------------------------|-----|--|
| Jonathan Godshall | 58 | President, Chief Executive Officer, and Director |
| John Berger | 33 | Chairman of the Board of Directors |
| Richard Hoesterey | 64 | Director |
| General Randolph House | 61 | Director |
| Eric Melvin | 43 | Director |
| John Sifonis | 65 | Director |
| John White | 58 | Director |
| Kenneth Pearson | 52 | Chief Operating Officer |
| Wade Stubblefield | 40 | Chief Financial Officer |

Jonathan Godshall, President, Chief Executive Officer and Director.

Jonathan Godshall joined our company in June 2006 as a management consultant. On August 11, 2006 Mr. Godshall became the President and CEO of our company. Effective October 31, 2006, Mr. Godshall was elected a director of our company. From 1973 through 1986, Mr. Godshall held various positions with Anderson Clayton & Co., a diversified food, agribusiness, and consumer products company. This included responsibility for businesses up to \$350 million in revenue as vice president and general manager of Anderson Clayton foods (Edible Oils). Next, as President and CEO of Igloo Corporation, Mr. Godshall led a successful LBO of the company in 1987, and continued as CEO there for 15 years until 2001 when he participated in the sale of Igloo. During Mr. Godshall's tenure there, Igloo's revenues tripled. Mr. Godshall served as the President and Chief Executive Officer of Home Fragrance Holdings, a private equity owned candle manufacturer from 2002 to 2004, and has also held various consulting and Board assignments during that time. Mr. Godshall holds a B.A. in International Studies from the University of North Carolina (Morehead Scholar, Phi Beta Kappa) and an M.B.A. from Harvard Business School.

William Jackson Berger, Chairman of the Board of Directors.

William Jackson Berger has more than nine years of experience in the energy industry and has served as Chairman of the Board of Directors of Trulite since July 22, 2004. Mr. Berger is Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of SREG and Chief Executive Officer of Standard Renewable Energy, LP. Mr. Berger also serves as Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Newpoint Energy Solutions, L.P. and Chairman of the Board of Directors of Galveston Bay Biodiesel, LP. During 1996-2001, Mr. Berger worked as a trader at Enron Corp., an energy trading entity. From January 2002 through December 2003, Mr. Berger was employed by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, advising on trading activities in the natural gas and power markets. In addition, he assisted the FERC with regard to how a commercial trading operation is set up with information services and models to predict power loads of utilities. He also helped analyze regulatory issues with distributed generation and interconnection into the power grid. Mr. Berger was able to show the FERC how to analyze the impact of credit quality of market participants on liquidity in the power and natural gas markets. He also served as an advisor to the drafters of the Standard Market Design regulatory document, which is currently being considered by the United States Congress. Mr. Berger graduated cum laude from Texas A&M University with a B.S. in civil engineering in 1996. In 2003, Mr. Berger graduated from Harvard Business School with an MBA.

John Sifonis, Director.

John Sifonis joined our company as its President and Chief Executive Officer and as a director in October 2004. Mr. Sifonis resigned as President and Chief Executive Officer of our company August 11, 2006, but remains a director of our company. From July 1998 to October 2004 Mr. Sifonis was the Managing Director of the Internet Business Solutions Group at Cisco Systems, Inc. From December 1991 to July 1998, Mr. Sifonis was the Chief Executive Officer of SAI International, LLC. From January 1976 to August 1989 Mr. Sifonis was a Senior Partner in the Management Consulting Group of Ernst & Young. While at Ernst & Young, Mr. Sifonis also served as the National Director of the Strategic Management Consulting Group. He received a Bachelor of Science Degree in Management Science from Case Institute of Technology in 1963 and has completed additional post graduate studies at Case Institute in Operations Research.

Richard K. Hoesterey, Director.

Richard (Dick) Hoesterey has served as a director of Trulite since May 2006 and is an experienced executive with over thirty-five years in general management and manufacturing operations management in a variety of industries including electronics, industrial goods, and power regulation. His management experience includes roles as officer and board member of private and public companies. Mr. Hoesterey joined Components Corporation of America (“CCA”) in 1997, and has served as CCA’s President and Chief Executive Officer since 2000. CCA operates as a holding company and currently has three wholly-owned subsidiary companies, which function as self-contained, stand-alone companies. These businesses are focused on design, manufacture and sale of electrical control technology components and subsystems for industrial, commercial, military, and government markets. Prior to becoming the CEO of Components Corporation, Mr. Hoesterey was a Senior Partner with Thomas Group, Inc. from 1990 to 1997. In this capacity, he was a Program Results Manager and Change Agent for several clients. From 1986 to 1990, Mr. Hoesterey was an Executive Vice President for EPI Technologies. In the capacity of Executive Vice President, he directed the growth and development of the Component Processing Division. He also directed the corporate level functions of Human Resources, Facilities and Sales. From 1984 to 1986, Mr. Hoesterey was a Director, Material Services with Compaq Telecommunications Corporation, a start-up company in the computer telephone industry. He was responsible for Purchasing, Production Planning & Control, and Material Services. From 1978 to 1986, Mr. Hoesterey was employed by Harris Corporation in a number of management positions including Director/Plant Manager, Equipment Refurbishment; Director, Manufacturing Systems Implementation; and, Director, Materials. From 1969 to 1976, Mr. Hoesterey worked for the Xerox Corporation in a number of management positions in the areas of operations, logistics, new product introductions, business improvement programs, and several MRP implementations. From 1966 to 1969, Mr. Hoesterey was a 1st Lieutenant in the U.S. Army. Mr. Hoesterey received a BBA in Industrial Management from Clarkson University in 1965 and has completed additional post graduate studies in business at Rochester Institute of Technology. He also has an APICS Certification in Production and Inventory management.

General Randolph House, Director.

General House, a Director of our company, is a retired U.S. Army Lieutenant General. Prior to his retirement in 2003, General House served the Army for thirty-three years. Notably, General House was Deputy Commandant, US Army Command and General Staff College at Fort Leavenworth, Kansas. In 1996, General House was assigned to the Pentagon as Senior Military Assistant to the Secretary of Defense, Dr. William Perry. In 1997, General House was assigned as the Assistant Chief of Staff for Installation Management, Department of the Army. Later that year, he assumed command of the Eighth United States Army and Chief of Staff, United Nations Command/Combined Forces Command/United States Forces in Seoul, Korea. In 1998, General House received his second three star assignment as the Deputy Commander-in-Chief and Chief of Staff, United States Pacific Command. General House earned a Bachelor’s Degree in 1968 from Texas A&M University. He also received a Master’s Degree from Clemson University.

Eric Melvin, Director.

Eric Melvin, a director of Trulite, is the founder, President, and Chief Executive Officer of Mobius Risk Group, a provider of energy risk management outsourcing and advisory services. Prior to forming Mobius Risk Group, from 2000 to 2001, Mr. Melvin worked as the VP, New Business Ventures at Enron Energy Services, a subsidiary of Enron Corp. Mr. Melvin received his BGS from the University of Michigan, Ann Arbor in 1985. He also earned a JD from the University of Detroit, School of Law in 1990.

John White, Director.

John White was named a director of our company effective October 31, 2006. Since July 1, 2006, Mr. White has served as General Counsel and Senior Vice President of Government/Investor Relations of Standard Renewable

Edgar Filing: TRULITE INC - Form POS AM

Energy Group, LLC. From March 1, 2003 to June 30, 2006, Mr. White was a partner in the Houston, Texas office of Jones, Walker, Waechter, Poitevent, Carrere & Denegre LLP and from January 1, 2004 until June 30, 2006 was Managing Partner of that office. Mr. White was a partner in the law firm of Winstead Sechrest and Minick PC from February 1, 2002 until February 28, 2003. Mr. White is Chairman of the Texas A&M University System Board of Regents.

17

Kenneth Pearson, Chief Operating Officer.

Kenneth Pearson became the Chief Operating Officer and Vice President of Product Development of our company effective January 1, 2007. From November 2005 until January 2007, Mr. Pearson was an independent consultant. From 2001 until 2005, he was the Chief Operating Officer of Jadoo Power Systems Inc., where he launched the company and its products. Jadoo's primary product lines are portable fuel cell power, metal hydride storage and refilling products. During his tenure, he created and managed Jadoo's infrastructure, product development team and strategy, intellectual property strategy, supply chain relationships and a state of the art fuel cell development and manufacturing facility. Over the past 28 years Mr. Pearson has developed a track record in the management of technology companies in a broad range of industries from fuel cells, medical devices, electronics and aerospace. Mr. Pearson holds a BSME degree and has over four additional years of formal management training. He also is certified in operations by the Association for Operations Management. Mr. Pearson held a position on Jadoo Power Systems Board of Directors for three years and was elected to the National Hydrogen Associations Board of Directors in 2004.

Wade Stubblefield, Chief Financial Officer.

Wade Stubblefield has served our company as Chief Financial Officer since December 14, 2006, and since October 2006 has served as Chief Financial Officer of Standard Renewable Energy Group, LLC. From April 2004 to October 2006, Mr. Stubblefield served as Vice President and Corporate Controller of Group 1 Automotive, Inc., a Fortune 500 automotive retailer. At the time, Group 1 Automotive's operations encompassed 95 auto dealerships concentrated in 14 geographic locations. From December 2001 to April 2004, Mr. Stubblefield served as Managing Director of Enron's Wholesale and Retail Estate, where he was responsible for financial and accounting matters during post-bankruptcy operations. This organization consisted of 35 subsidiaries with 100 divisions and a net asset value of approximately \$6.0 billion. From August 1999 to December 2001, Mr. Stubblefield served as Vice President of Financial Operations for Enron Energy Services, a division of Enron Corp. with total annual sales of commodity and services approaching \$6.0 billion, total assets of approximately \$4.5 billion, and approximately 7,000 employees.

The term of office of each director expires at our annual meeting of stockholders or until his successor is duly elected and qualified. Officers are appointed to serve until the meeting of the Board of Directors following the next annual meeting of stockholders and until their successors have been elected and qualified. Directors are not compensated for serving as such. Officers serve at the discretion of the Board of Directors.

No officer or director has, during the past five years, been involved in (a) any bankruptcy petition filed by or against any business of which such person was a general partner or executive officer either at the time of the bankruptcy or within two years prior to that time, (b) any conviction in a criminal proceeding or being subject to a pending criminal proceeding (excluding traffic violations and other minor offenses), (c) any order, judgment, or decree, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated, of any court of competent jurisdiction, permanently or temporarily enjoining, barring, suspending or otherwise limiting his involvement in any type of business, securities or banking activities or (d) a finding by a court of competent jurisdiction (in a civil action), the SEC, or the Commodity Futures Trading Commission to have violated a federal or state securities or commodities law.

Our Board of Directors has established an Audit Committee. Currently, there are no members of the Audit Committee.

In April, 2006, our Board of Directors formed a Compensation Committee. The committee has three members: Mr. John Berger, General Randolph House, and Mr. Richard Hoesterey. Mr. Hoesterey is the Chairman of the Compensation Committee.

PRINCIPAL STOCKHOLDERS AND HOLDINGS OF MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the beneficial ownership of our common stock as of March 31, 2007 by (1) each director, (2) each current executive officer, (3) each person known or believed by us to own beneficially five percent or more of our common stock, and (4) all directors and executive officers as a group.

| Name and Address | Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership⁽¹⁾ | Percentage of Class⁽¹⁾ |
|---|--|--|
| NewPoint Energy Solutions, LP (a) 5 Houston Center 1401 McKinney Street, Suite 900 Houston, Texas 77010-4035 | 5,331,622 | 45.2% |
| Kevin Shurtleff (b) 573 East 950 North Orem, UT 84097 | 2,734,763(c) | 21.9% |
| Andrew Nielson 340 South 800 West Orem, UT 84058 | 1,120,745(d) | 9.5% |
| Eric Ladd 4987 West Woodbend Road West Jordan, UT 84084 | 648,794(e) | 5.22% |
| John Berger (f) 5 Houston Center 1401 McKinney Street, Suite 900 Houston, Texas 77010-4035 | 6,101,192(g) | 48.6% |
| Contango Capital Partners, L.P. (h) 5 Houston Center 1401 McKinney Street, Suite 900 Houston, Texas 77010-4035 | 768,778(i) | 6.1% |
| John Sifonis (j) P.O. Box 201887 Arlington, TX 76006-1887 | 123,206(k) | 1.0% |
| General Randolph House (l) 905 Carmel Place College Station, TX 77845 | 7,425(m) | * |
| Eric Melvin (n) Three Riverway Suite 1700 | 769,570(o) | 6.1% |

Houston, TX 77056

John White (p)

5 Houston Center

1401 McKinney Street, Suite 900

Houston, Texas 77010-4035

769,570(q)

6.1%

Contango Venture Capital Corporation (r)

3700 Buffalo Speedway, Suite 960

Houston, TX 77098

2,001,014(s)

16.98%

19

| | | |
|--|--------------|--------|
| Richard Hoesterey (t) 7852 La Cosa Drive Dallas, TX 75248 | 0 | * |
| Jonathan H. Godshall (u) 5 Houston Center 1401 McKinney Street, Suite 900 Houston, Texas 77010-4035 | 0 | * |
| Wade Stubblefield (v) 5 Houston Center 1401 McKinney Street, Suite 900 Houston, Texas 77010-4035 | 0 | * |
| Kenneth Pearson (w) 5 Houston Center 1401 McKinney Street, Suite 900 Houston, Texas 77010-4035 | 15,000(x) | * |
| All Directors and Executive Officers as a Group (9 individuals) | 6,242,821(y) | 49.17% |

* Less than one percent.

(1) Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with SEC rules. In computing percentage ownership of each person, shares of common stock subject to options or warrants held by that person that are exercisable as of the date hereof, or exercisable within 60 days of the date hereof, are deemed to be beneficially owned. These shares, however, are not deemed outstanding for the purpose of computing the percentage ownership of each other person. We have calculated the percentage of issued and outstanding shares of common stock held by each individual and group based on 11,785,491 shares of common stock issued and outstanding as of March 31, 2006. Unless otherwise indicated, all amounts exclude shares issuable upon the exercise of outstanding options and warrant that are not exercisable as of the date hereof or exercisable within 60 days of the date hereof.

- (a) Standard Renewable Energy Services, GP LLC is the General Partner of NewPoint Energy Solutions, LP. John Berger is the sole member and manager of Standard Renewable Energy Services, GP LLC and in that capacity has voting and dispositive power over these shares.
- (b) Dr. Shurtleff resigned from his position as member of our Board of Directors and Vice President of Technology on March 24, 2006. Dr. Shurtleff continues to work us as an employee.
- (c) Represents 2,035,460 shares of our common stock and currently exercisable options to purchase up to 699,303 shares of our common stock at a price of \$.88 per share.
- (d) Effective March 2, 2005, Mr. Nielson gave an option to Eric Ladd to purchase up to 473,968 shares of his common stock for an aggregate purchase price of \$48,000, exercisable at any time until March 2, 2014.
- (e) Represents currently exercisable options to purchase 174,826 shares of our common stock from us and a currently exercisable option to purchase up to 473,968 shares of our common stock from Andrew Nielson for an aggregate purchase price of \$48,000. This option to purchase Mr. Nielson's common stock expires March 2, 2014.

- (f) Mr. Berger is the Chairman of the Board of Directors of our company and the managing partner of CCP.
- (g) Includes warrants to purchase 592,500 shares of our common stock owned by CCP , options to purchase 176,278 shares of our common stock owned by CCP, and 792 shares owned by CCPM. Although he does not have sole voting or dispositive power over the warrants and options owned by CCP, as a manager of the general partner of CCP he may be deemed to be the beneficial owner thereof. Includes 5,331,622 shares owned by NewPoint Energy Solutions, LP. Mr. Berger is the sole member and manager of Standard Renewable Energy Services, GP LLC, the general partner of Newpoint Energy Solutions, LP, and in that capacity has voting and dispositive power over these shares.
- (h) The general partner of Contango Capital Partners, LP, or CCP, is Contango Capital Partnership Management LLC, or CCPM,. John Berger, Kenneth R. Peak, Todd Sullivan, Gerald Sullivan, Eric Melvin, and John D. White are the managers of CCPM and collectively exercise voting and investment power on behalf of CCP.

- (i) Represents currently exercisable options to purchase up to 176,278 shares of our common stock at a price of \$.88 per share and warrants to purchase 592,500 shares of our common stock at a strike price of \$1.50 per share.
- (j) Mr. Sifonis a director of our company. Mr. Sifonis resigned as President and CEO effective August 11, 2006.
- (k) Represents options to purchase up to 119,506 shares of our common stock at a price of \$.88 per share and 3,700 shares of our common stock at a price of \$1.00 per share that are currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of the date hereof.
- (l) General House is a director of our company.
- (m) Represents options to purchase up to 7,475 shares of our common stock at a price of \$.88 per share that are currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of the date hereof.
- (n) Mr. Melvin is a director of our company.
- (o) Consists of warrants to purchase 592,500 shares of our common stock owned by CCP, options to purchase 176,278 shares of our common stock owned by CCP, and 792 shares owned by CCPM. Although Mr. Melvin does not have sole voting or dispositive power over the shares owned by CCP, as a manager of the general partner of CCP he may be deemed a beneficial owner thereof.
- (p) Mr. White is a director of our company.
- (q) Consists of warrants to purchase 592,500 shares of our common stock owned by CCP and options to purchase 176,278 shares of our common stock owned by CCP, and 792 shares owned by CCPM. Although Mr. White does not have sole voting or dispositive power over the shares owned by CCP, as a manager of the general partner of CCP he may be deemed a beneficial owner thereof.
- (r) Contango Venture Capital Corporation is owned by Contango Oil & Gas Company. Kenneth R. Peak, Lesia Bautina, Sergio Castro and Marc Duncan are the executive officers of Contango Oil & Gas Company. The Board of Directors of Contango Oil & Gas Company consists of Kenneth R. Peak, Jay D. Brehmer, Darrell W. Williams, Charles M. Reimer and Steven L. Schoonover.
- (s) Represents 2,001,014 shares of our common stock owned by Contango Venture Capital Corporation.
- (t) Mr. Hoesterey was appointed to our Board of Directors on May 5, 2006.
- (u) Mr. Godshall was appointed President and Chief Operating Officer on August 7, 2006 and became a director effective October 31, 2006.
- (v) Mr. Stubblefield is the Chief Financial Officer of our company.
- (w) Mr. Pearson is the Chief Operating Officer of our company.
- (x) Represents currently exercisable options to purchase 15,000 shares of our common stock.
- (y) Consists of 5,336,114 shares of our common stock and an aggregate of 906,707 shares of our common stock issuable upon exercise of options and warrants.

DESCRIPTION OF SECURITIES

Common and Preferred Stock

We are authorized by our Certificate of Incorporation to issue an aggregate of 21,500,000 shares of capital stock, comprising 20,000,000 shares of common stock, and 1,500,000 shares of preferred stock. As of March 31, 2007, 11,785,491 shares of capital stock, consisting of 11,785,491 shares of common stock and no shares of preferred stock, were issued and outstanding excluding shares that may be issued upon the exercise of currently outstanding warrants and options.

Common Stock

All shares of our common stock are of the same class and have equal rights and attributes. The holders of our common stock are entitled to one vote per share on all matters submitted to a vote of our stockholders. All stockholders are entitled to receive ratably in dividends, if any, as may be declared from time to time by our Board of Directors out of funds legally available. In the event of liquidation, the holders of our common stock are entitled to share ratably in all assets remaining after payment of all liabilities. Our stockholders do not have cumulative or preemptive rights.

On April 10, 2005, our Board of Directors authorized a five-for-one split on all common stock issued prior to that date.

Preferred Stock

We are authorized by our Certificate of Incorporation to designate and issue up to 1,500,000 shares of preferred stock. As of March 31, 2007, we had no shares of preferred stock outstanding.

The description of certain matters relating to our securities is a summary and is qualified in its entirety by the provisions of our certificate of incorporation and bylaws, copies of which have been filed as exhibits to the registration statement.

Stock Options, Warrants, and Other Rights

We adopted an option plan to provide for the issuance of up to 3,110,805 shares of common stock which may be granted in the form of options to our employees, directors, consultants, and advisors. During 2005, we granted options to purchase 466,692 shares of common stock with an exercise price of \$0.88, whereas the fair value of a share of common stock on the dates of grant was \$0.18. All of these options vest over four years and have a seven-year contractual life. During 2006, we granted options to purchase 2,339,465 shares of common stock under the Plan. With respect to 5,000, 1,175,339, and 1,159,126 of these shares, the exercise price is \$0.88, \$0.88, and \$1.00 per common share, respectively, whereas the fair value of a share of common stock on the date of grant was \$0.18, \$0.88, and \$0.95, respectively. 1,065,407 of these options were vested upon grant during 2006, whereas the remaining 1,274,058 granted during 2006 vest over four years and have varying contractual lives ranging from four to seven years. The number of options, option price, vesting and exercise schedules, and the duration of all options are determined by the Board of Directors at the time of grant.

On April 13, 2006, pursuant to Rule 504 of Regulation D promulgated under the Securities Act, we issued 1,000,000 shares of common stock, along with warrants to acquire an additional 1,000,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$1.50 per share, to various investors for \$1.00 per share of common stock issued in a private placement.

In March 2006, we entered into a consulting agreement with Boru Enterprises, Inc. This consulting agreement was amended and restated in August 2006. The agreement contemplates that Boru will (i) assist identifying an NASD member to make a Rule 15c2-11 filing; (ii) coordinate with us and our counsel in filing with the SEC, this Registration Statement; (iii) after the registration statement on Form SB-2 is declared effective, introduce us to NASD member firms that may have an interest in helping raise capital in the public markets; (iv) identify potential investors for us so that we or NASD member firms engaged by us may discuss a potential investment directly with the potential investors; and (v) provide other general consulting advice regarding the process for going public. The term of the agreement has not been determined, though both we and Boru anticipate such services to be provided by Boru for at least two years. In exchange for these services, we (i) issued to Boru 250,000 shares of common stock, which are included in this prospectus and (ii) issued to Boru warrants having a five-year term to purchase 250,000 shares of common stock at a strike price of \$3.00 per share. Of the 250,000 shares of common stock and the warrants issued as compensation, Boru retained 200,000 shares of common stock and warrants to purchase 250,000 shares of common stock. The remaining 50,000 shares of common stock were issued by Boru to five different charities, in 10,000 share increments.

On April 25, 2006, we entered into a consulting agreement with Jelco, Inc. for investment banking services. Pursuant to the agreement, Jelco agreed to (i) assist us in identifying an NASD member to make a Rule 15c2-11 filing; (ii) assist us in raising additional capital; (iii) facilitate our registration of securities; and (iv) provide us with other consulting services. The agreement terminates on April 25, 2008. In exchange for these services, we issued to Jelco 50,000 shares of common stock, which are included in this registration statement and warrants having a five-year term to purchase 150,000 shares of common stock at a strike price of \$3.00 per share. John Ligums controls Jelco. Separately, Mr. Ligums' son, Jeb Ligums, purchased 50,000 shares of common stock in April 2006 in connection with our private placement, and Mr. Ligums' daughter, Jenny Ligums, owns options to purchase 5,000 shares of common stock.

On April 4, 2007, we entered into a consulting agreement with Fenway Advisory Group for the following services: (i) identification of potential investors; (ii) general consulting advice regarding financing strategies; and (iii) general consulting advice regarding business. The agreement terminates on May 31, 2007. In exchange for these services, we issued to Fenway 150,000 shares of common stock and warrants having a five year term to purchase 100,000 shares of common stock at a strike price of \$3.00 per share.

Comparative Share Data:

Shares offered by this prospectus by the selling stockholders: 2,788,047

As of March 31, 2007, we had 11,785,491 outstanding shares of common stock, excluding shares which may be issued upon the exercise of currently outstanding warrants and options.

The issuance of additional shares and the eligibility of issued shares for resale will dilute our common stock and may lower its price. Investors in this offering will suffer immediate dilution, since the price paid for the securities offered will likely be more than the net tangible book value of our common stock. Net tangible book value is calculated by dividing our total assets, less intangible assets and liabilities, by the number of outstanding shares of common stock.

Other Shares That May Be Issued:

The following table lists additional shares of common stock which may be issued as the result of the exercise of outstanding warrants and options:

| | |
|--|--------------|
| Shares issuable upon exercise of warrants, options and other convertible securities | 3,847,061(1) |
|--|--------------|

(1) See "-Stock Options, Warrants and Other Rights" set forth above for a description of the terms and conditions pursuant to which other shares may be issued.

Transfer Agent

Continental Stock Transfer & Trust Company is the transfer agent for our common stock.

INTEREST OF NAMED EXPERTS AND COUNSEL

No expert or counsel named in this prospectus as having prepared or certified any part of this prospectus or having given an opinion upon the validity of the securities being registered or upon other legal matters in connection with the registration or offering of the common stock was employed on a contingency basis or had, or is to receive, in connection with the offering, a substantial interest, directly or indirectly, in the registrant or any of its parents or subsidiaries. Nor was any such person connected with the registrant or any of its parents or subsidiaries as a promoter, managing or principal underwriter, voting trustee, director, officer, or employee.

EXPERTS

Our audited financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, and for the period from inception (July 15, 2004) through December 31, 2006, included in this prospectus, and the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part, have been included herein in reliance on the report of UHY LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, given on the authority of such firm as an expert in accounting and auditing.

INDEMNIFICATION

As permitted by Delaware law, our certificate of incorporation limits the personal liability of directors to the fullest extent permitted by the provisions of the Delaware General Corporation Law. Complete disclosure of relevant sections of our certificate of incorporation and by-laws is provided in Part II of the registration statement of which this prospectus forms a part. This information can also be examined as described in “Where You Can Find More Information.”

BUSINESS

Overview of Our Company, Products and Target Markets

We are an emerging technology company engaged in the development and production of portable and small stationary products that produce hydrogen for the generation of electricity for commercial and consumer markets. Our strategy is to leverage our unique hydrogen source and control technology to develop fuel cell products to address end-user applications in three identified markets: Industrial Remote Monitoring; Back-up Power Generation; and Recreational Off-Site uses.

We were incorporated in Delaware on July 15, 2004. Later that month, we purchased all the membership interests of, and merged with, Trulite Technology, LC, or Trulite Technology. Trulite Technology had been formed in May 2002. In October 2003, Trulite Technology was introduced to William Jackson Berger of Contango Capital Partners, LP, or CCP. CCP provided the first round of private funding to us in July 2004 and effected the merger between us and Trulite Technology.

In January 2004, Trulite Technology received an initial order for two prototype chemical hydride cartridges, which were delivered in March 2004. In July 2004, Trulite Technology delivered four larger chemical hydride cartridges to the Naval Research Laboratory.

In September 2005, we introduced our Kitty Hawk system. This product consists of three technologies:

- one that generates hydrogen gas from dry chemical hydride compounds (the HydroCell, a proprietary hydrogen storage product that is an environmental-friendly alternative to battery power developed from our prototype chemical hydride cartridges that we also plan to market as a separate product and with respect to which we have filed four patent applications);
- one that transforms the hydrogen gas into electricity (the fuel cell stack); and
- one that controls the flow of hydrogen for the actual generation of electricity (the control technology, which is a technology with respect to which we have also filed one patent).

In November 2005, we received our first orders for twenty-five Kitty Hawk systems. The units were manufactured in our Utah product development facility and were delivered to a targeted audience in March and April 2006. Also in November 2005, we received a \$25,000 contract from Protonex Technology Corporation, or Protonex, to develop three high energy density prototype HydroCells.

The original Kitty Hawk system was capable of producing 15 net watts of power. We have developed an enhanced version of the Kitty Hawk, the KH-3X. We are field testing the unit and plan on selling a small number of the units to obtain customer feedback to enhance the next version. The KH-3X has twice the power output of the Kitty Hawk 3 product.

We are currently developing the next version of the Kitty Hawk product series, the Kitty Hawk 4. The Kitty Hawk 4 system is expected to be a more robust and powerful system capable of generating over three times the power output of the current KH-3X unit, resulting in approximately 130 watt integrated power system able to generate electricity for up to 4 hours at full output. The Kitty Hawk 4 is anticipated to be available for field testing by select commercial customers by early third quarter of 2007. Field testing is anticipated to take six to eight months. Upon completion of field testing, we anticipate units will be available for sale and delivery into select commercial and consumer markets. The expected research and development costs of the Kitty Hawk 4 units are expected to be approximately \$2.1 million

during 2007, including capital expenditures of \$0.2 million. Funding for product development and manufacturing are expected to come from (i) bridge loans provided by Standard Renewable Energy Group, LLC, or SRE, which owns NewPoint Energy Solutions, LP, which beneficially owns 45.2% of common stock, and Contango Venture Capital Corporation, which beneficially owns 16.98% of our common stock and (ii) investments in equity and debt made by external investors.

We generated \$16,667 and \$8,333 in revenues from the sale of Hydrocells and Kitty Hawk units in 2005 and 2006, respectively.

Overview of the Renewable Fuel Industry

There are a number of factors that we believe are creating significant changes in the landscape of the renewable fuel industry, which in turn present significant opportunities for hydrogen generation and fuel cell technologies:

1. Users of conventional hydrocarbon energy sources (oil and natural gas) face increasing problems with maintaining supply in the face of growing global demand;
2. Power reliability (that is, the electric power provided to commercial and consumer markets through the electrical grid) is becoming an increasing problem in the United States and other countries due to aging infrastructure, necessitating alternative off-grid power sources;
3. The increasing proliferation of electronic devices (for example, cell phones, portable digital movie and music players and personal computers) and their power demands are becoming more challenging for conventional battery technology to keep pace;
4. Increasing global environmental and regulatory issues are making the use of hydrocarbons ever more difficult; and
5. Increasing geopolitical issues are causing global security concerns related to the availability and price of oil and natural gas.

Due to these pressures, we believe the energy industry will change dramatically before the end of this decade. We believe that both portable and stationary hydrogen fuel cell products can provide practical, cost efficient solutions to certain of the problems presented by the above issues.

Fuel cell and alternative fuel source technology is still being developed and refined. In many applications applied research and technology development remains a vitally important part of the industry. Reliability, cost and safe deployment of this technology will be keys to initial successes.

A fuel cell is a non-mechanical device (it is a very thin membrane similar to a computer chip) that converts hydrogen gas (the fuel source) and oxygen into electricity and water. The water is a non-toxic by-product resulting from the process of generating electricity and is eliminated during the electricity conversion process. Each fuel cell (that is, each "chip") produces a given amount of power when the hydrogen and oxygen are combined (the power output is measured in watts). When several fuel cells are combined or "stacked," they create a fuel cell stack.

Products utilizing fuel cell technology include fuel cell buses, numerous military applications, auxiliary power units, remote power and other transportation applications. Broad commercialization of fuel cell usage depends on developing a cost effective product. Products will be commercialized at price points that make sense to both commercial and consumer markets. Stationary and portable applications currently lead the way, as fuel cells replace or recharge batteries in light industrial and transportation applications.

Portable applications such as in the premium battery markets, where fuel cells improve run time and can be cost-competitive, appear to be leading the early efforts of commercialization. This initial focus should also help demonstrate product performance, reliability and durability. It should also reduce production costs, establish codes and standards for fuel cell technology, build a skilled labor force, develop the nation's hydrogen infrastructure and create public awareness and acceptance.

There will be winners and losers in the commercialization process as the technology develops, but we believe it is too early to tell which technologies will ultimately dominate in certain applications. However, the future direction of the industry appears clear in some major application areas, such as Proton Exchange Membrane, or PEM, fuel cell

technology, the technology used in our Kitty Hawk products. A portable fuel cell industry survey by Fuel Cell Today in December 2006 indicated that over 75% of the companies surveyed are focusing their efforts on PEM fuel cells or the closely related Direct Methanol Fuel Cell technologies. PEM fuel cells continue to be of most interest to fuel cell developers. The survey also suggests that government actions to address fuel costs, supply risks and the environment could positively and dramatically impact fuel cell industry prospects in the next two to three years.

General trends indicate that, in the next three to five years, delays in product launch might occur due to either fuel cell performance issues or non technical issues such as lack of codification of codes and standards. These delays may result in slow adoption of fuel cell products in both the commercial and consumer markets.

Our Products

We have two products: the HydroCell and the Kitty Hawk power system.

Our HydroCell is a technology that utilizes a cartridge filled with a chemical hydride (sodium borohydride) that, when injected with water, produces hydrogen on demand for portable and stationary power devices. Each cartridge can generate about 500 milliliters/minute of hydrogen. Each cartridge is compact and lightweight, weighing only about 175 grams. Power-to-weight ratio (the ability to generate the same or more energy by cutting the weight of the generating device) is one of many important factors in gaining market acceptance for alternative power sources. The HydroCell technology enables fuel cells to run at least two to three times longer than existing fuel cell and battery technology, while weighing significantly less than these technologies. The key to the HydroCell's efficient design is that it uses moist air exiting a PEM fuel cell to produce hydrogen for the PEM fuel cell stack. Water recycling not only enables the HydroCell to produce several liters of hydrogen from a lightweight package, but also means that the HydroCell produces hydrogen only when the fuel cell stack is operating. The proprietary control technology used inside the HydroCell and the cartridges make possible the safe production of hydrogen. The internal cartridge components allow the energy-dense chemical hydride to react with the injected water in a controlled manner while providing for complete reactivity of the material.

The HydroCell fuel source is a metallic cylinder approximately two inches in diameter and six inches in height holding the chemical hydride and can be marketed as a separate product. When water is injected into the cylinder, it creates a chemical reaction that generates hydrogen gas. The hydrogen gas is transformed into electricity by the fuel cell, which then powers the product in which it is installed. The container is sealed to prevent moisture from entering the cylinder and to ensure the chemical hydride does not escape the cylinder. The cylinder is robust and will not break if dropped, resulting in a reliable and safe product that is relatively easy to manufacture.

We believe the significance of the HydroCell is the proprietary, chemical hydride mixture and chemical reaction process wherein the generation of hydrogen does not occur until water is added to the chemical hydride. Given that the hydride is inert until water is added, a HydroCell cartridge can be kept in storage for three years or more without losing its energy density. We believe the HydroCell has the highest energy density of any known portable hydrogen source currently available in the market. This is a significant difference from offerings of our competitors, as we believe there are no "dry hydride" technologies currently available to the consumer market. Our dry hydride technology for generating hydrogen makes it possible to build HydroCell cartridges capable of generating hydrogen for over 100 continuous hours by increasing the size of the cartridge and adding more chemical hydride.

We believe the HydroCell's design offers the following advantages:

1. *Safety* - Hydrogen is produced only as it is needed, making it a safer product;
2. *Reliability* - The HydroCell has few moving parts, making it a reliable fuel source;
3. *Reusability and Cost* - The HydroCell cartridges are inexpensive compared with the costs associated with generating an equal amount of energy from conventional energy sources over the life span of one HydroCell cartridge since the cartridge can be refilled, thereby reducing the total cost of ownership to the consumer;
4. *Disposability* - The HydroCell cartridges are disposable. The by-product is an inert, solid, chemical oxide with minimal health hazard that can be discarded in landfills; and

5. *Shelf Life* - The HydroCell can lie dormant for up to thirty-six months without losing its energy density.

Our second product is the Kitty Hawk power system, consisting of the HydroCell, the fuel cell stack and our patented control technology that controls the flow of hydrogen for the actual generation of electricity. The Kitty Hawk units are rectangular in shape, weigh about ten pounds and are easily portable.

The control technology is an integrated, programmable electronic circuit (that is, the circuit can be programmed to perform specific tasks) that is used to control the flow of hydrogen and oxygen to the fuel cell stack. The purpose of the control technology is to ensure the proper amount of hydrogen is generated to power the device that is attached to the Kitty Hawk product. If too much or too little hydrogen is generated, the efficiency of the Kitty Hawk is significantly reduced resulting in the unit's inability to adequately power the attached devices.

We manufacture the fuel cell stack, develop product enhancements and engage in new product development on the fuel cell stack. We first introduced the Kitty Hawk power system in September 2005. The Kitty Hawk products can generate hydrogen for up to three hours at full power. The initial product was capable of generating 15 net watts of power and the second generation, the KH-3X, is capable of generating 30 net watts of usable power. Although the product generates approximately 40 to 50 watts of power, the system requires approximately 15 to 20 watts of power internally to run the unit. The result is 25 to 30 watts of usable power (depending on the product), which is more than sufficient to run a radio while concurrently charging a cell phone.

The KH-3X has a number of enhancements; improved physical design; noise reduction; faster start-up cycle (several seconds versus two to three minutes); fuel level gauge to indicate the level of energy remaining in the cartridge; an attached carrying handle; a status display screen indicating the power output of the unit; interior technical modifications to eliminate hose pinching and increased power output to 30 watts of power. Each of these enhancements required several steps including designing and building the enhancement; testing the enhancement to ensure it performs as specified; incorporating and testing the enhancement in the KH-3X unit and testing the KH-3X unit in a customer environment. The designing, building and testing of these enhancements began in March 2006 and the design build and phases were completed by the third quarter of 2006. The testing of the enhancements is on-going.

We are currently developing the Kitty Hawk 4. The product will be designed to have a power output over three times great than the KH-3X. Product enhancements to the Kitty Hawk 4 will include reducing the overhead required to run the Kitty Hawk 4 power system, increased ruggedness and enhanced ergonomics and physical design.

The design of the Kitty Hawk 4 system commenced in September 2006 and development is anticipated to be completed by the third quarter of 2007. Field testing is anticipated to take six to eight months. Upon completion of field testing, we anticipate units will be available for sale and delivery into select commercial and consumer markets.

Marketing Focus

We are focusing our initial efforts on three distinct markets:

Industrial Remote Monitoring: The primary target market for remote monitoring is the pipeline and wellhead applications for remote sensing and monitoring of operating conditions in oil and gas fields. Characteristically, these fields tend to be in remote locations with harsh operating environments, making access difficult. The conventional power sources used to operate these facilities are solar panels and batteries. Solar panels turn sunlight into electricity that powers the batteries which, in turn, operate the sensing and monitoring devices. However, there are a number of challenges with solar energy: if the weather is cloudy for three days or more, electricity can't be generated to power the batteries, making consistent and reliable monitoring of such facilities difficult, if not impossible. Solar panels are also subject to a variety of abuses, from vandalism to roaming animals knocking down the panels, rendering them inoperative. The repair and maintenance of these facilities is time consuming and costly, especially in remote

environments. The impact of the lack of monitoring data may result in significant loss of revenue and potentially may create an operational hazard. We seek to penetrate this market for the following reasons:

28

6. Major oil producers have indicated an interest in replacing the common lead acid battery/solar panel combination due to high staffing requirements and operating costs required to maintain conventional batteries and a lack of reliability, especially in adverse weather conditions;
7. As the price of crude oil remains high, formerly abandoned or plugged wells are coming on-line, thereby substantially increasing the size of the total available market; and
8. Our management team and Board of Directors have knowledge of this segment, as well as numerous industry relationships.

We believe the HydroCell-powered Kitty Hawk is less expensive than comparable sources of energy on the market capable of producing 25 watts of power for several hours. We believe the power output of the Kitty Hawk system is capable of supporting typical user applications in this market. We are currently testing a HydroCell capable of powering a Kitty Hawk system for over 100 continuous hours. Product enhancements are planned to develop a HydroCell that is capable of generating 10,000 watt hours of power which is equivalent to running a Kitty Hawk unit for seven hundred and twenty continuous hours.

Back-up Power: With increasing outages in electrical supply to homes and businesses due to weather and peak demand requirements on the electrical industry complex, consumers are interested in a back-up power supply that can power critical components (refrigerators, freezers, alarm systems, electronic equipment, fans, etc.) for an extended period of time. Consumers want portable power so that they can decide what devices should be powered under which circumstances. Our hydrogen fuel cell products can provide a good solution since they can be used indoors and are portable. The HydroCells are small and can be stored for an extended period of time before use. Our products can power devices that need either AC or DC power and can also recharge batteries and battery powered devices, such as cell phones, laptop computers and power tools. Our fuel cell is more convenient, quieter and environmentally friendly than a typical gasoline generator.

Recreational Off-Site Usage: This target market is focused on high-end recreational camping, including a very specific niche target of environmentally conscious campers. We seek to enter this market for the following reasons:

9. We believe that interest in the environment will continue to rise and that a segment of the environmental consumer market is willing to pay a premium for environmentally friendly technology;
10. We hope that entering this market will broaden the visibility of our products (specifically, the Kitty Hawk power system) to the broader consumer market, which is the first step to entering the retail market space;
11. This market will provide us with a good test for product performance (e.g., reliability, ease of use, new applications) as well as “new learnings” which will enable us to enhance and adapt its product offerings based on consumer feedback; and
12. Our existing product has attributes ideally suited to this market segment: compact, portable, significantly lighter than batteries, environmentally friendly (water is the only by-product), high reliability, low maintenance, ease of use and long shelf life.

Continuous Technology and Product Innovation

We are committed to continuous technology and product innovation as a means of achieving and maintaining sustainable competitive advantages. Our research and product development group in Utah is narrowly focused on new technology innovation. The group’s responsibility is to create a portfolio of emerging technologies specific to the hydrogen generation and fuel cell space. The senior management team reviews the portfolio and those projects which

have the highest likelihood of commercialization will be selected for the research agenda. Quarterly milestones, as well as performance and test metrics, are established to determine the viability of commercialization of the technology. If the test criteria are met, the technology is then further developed and optimized for manufacturing. Our goal is to continuously enhance our existing product lines and leverage our market and product knowledge into new products.

Once the product is tested and optimized, it is turned over to the manufacturing team for production. The manufacturing team is responsible for continuous innovation of the product's performance, as well as design for manufacture. In November 2005, we established a manual production line sufficient to meet production requirement of five fuel cell units and 35 HydroCells per month. Our near term goal is for the manufacturing facilities to ramp up to meet a potential demand of 20 fuel cells and 200 HydroCells per month. At such time as demand reaches a run rate of 80 Kitty Hawk units per month and 800 HydroCells per month, we anticipate that manufacturing will transition from manual to automated processes. Thereafter, outsourcing relationships are expected to be established for a few simple, non-proprietary sub-components. Full outsourcing likely will begin once volume demand approaches 250 fuel cells per month and 1,500 HydroCells per month. This outsourcing event will trigger the beginning of the shift to a final assembly and test facility at our own manufacturing site located in Texas.

Utilize Strategic Relationships

Strategic relationships are critical to us for research, product development and volume manufacturing. As used in this context, these relationships are agreements with companies to perform specific activities on our behalf for which we do not have or may not want to develop the competencies to accomplish. In return, we will offer activities or provide competencies that are not available to the counterparties. It is expected that these relationships will be dissolvable at any time and may be formed for the objective of entering a market or developing a technology. We expect to seek out relationships with companies for product design and product development. As we enter into higher volume production, we intend to seek out strategic relationships for manufacturing, distribution and logistics.

We currently do not intend to actively pursue markets other than as set forth herein. However, if opportunities arise through strategic relationships with companies specializing in non-competitive markets, we expect to carefully evaluate such opportunities before making a final determination.

Strong Corporate Culture

We believe a strong corporate culture is the foundation for a successful, enduring enterprise. There are two principles which have been imbedded in our culture since its inception:

13. *Integrity above reproach*: All members of our team and strategic partners are committed to conducting business in an ethical manner with our customers, suppliers, partners, employees, and the communities in which we operate. There is zero tolerance for behavior at any level that does not adhere to this principle.
14. *Frugality*: We and our strategic partners are committed to the prudent allocation of resources. In every aspect of normal business activities, resource allocations are carefully weighed before making a decision. Alternatives are thoroughly discussed to determine if there is a better, more efficient option. We intend to make investments in technology and people in order to retain and enhance our competitive position and create fair returns for our stockholders.

Intellectual Property

We have filed five patent applications for the HydroCell and control system. We make every effort to protect our knowledge of our processes and procedures.

Competition

We believe that the HydroCell technology is unique and offers significant advantages over hydrogen generation technology offered by our competitors. The HydroCell is a lightweight, compact fuel cell system that, to our knowledge, when combined with water recycling, produces more hydrogen for its size and weight than any other

hydrogen source currently available on the market.

30

Although there are a number of competitors that provide fuel cell technologies, most of these competitors do not offer a single-vendor, integrated solution consisting of the hydrogen source, the control technology, and the fuel cell. We believe our HydroCell and the integrated power system products have created a business model that gives us a competitive advantage. We believe our business model affords us the opportunity to sell the integrated power system products in line with the price point of competing fuel cell products.

Employees

We currently have 14 full-time employees, all of whom are involved in research and development. Our President and CEO and Chief Financial Officer work part time on a basis allocated by SREG, which owns NewPoint Energy Solutions, LP, or NewPoint,, the owner of approximately 45% of our common stock. We also hire temporary employees from time to time as needed.

WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION

We have filed this registration statement on Form SB-2/A. Statements contained herein as to the contents of any contract, agreement or other document referred to are not necessarily complete. In each instance, we refer you to the copy of the contracts, agreements and other documents filed as exhibits to this registration statement.

You may inspect, without charge, all or any portion of the registration statement or any reports, statements or other information we file with the SEC at the SEC's public reference room at Room 1580, Judiciary Plaza, 100 F Street N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549 and at the regional offices of the SEC located at 233 Broadway, New York, New York 10007 and Citicorp Center, 500 West Madison Street, Suite 1400, Chicago, Illinois 60661. Copies of these documents may also be obtained from the SEC's Public Reference Room at 450 Fifth Street, NW, Room 1024, Washington, D.C. 20549 upon payment of the prescribed fees. You may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330.

In addition, registration statements and other filings with the SEC are publicly available through its Electronic Data Gathering, Analysis and Retrieval, or EDGAR, system, located at www.sec.gov. The registration statement, including all exhibits and schedules and amendments, has been filed with the SEC through the EDGAR system.

We are currently subject to the reporting requirements of the Exchange Act and, in accordance with these requirements, we have and will continue to file reports, proxy statements and other information with the SEC. We furnish our stockholders with annual reports containing audited financial statements and other periodic reports as we deem appropriate or as may be required by law.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS AND PLAN OF OPERATION

The following discussion of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our audited financial statements for the twelve months ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, with their explanatory notes included as part of this Form 10-KSB.

Overview and Plan of Operation

Trulite is engaged in the development and production of portable and stationary products that produce hydrogen for the generation of electricity for commercial and consumer markets. Our strategy is to leverage the Company's unique hydrogen source and control technology to develop fuel cell products to address end-user applications in three identified markets: Industrial Remote Monitoring; Back-up Power Generation; and Recreational Off-Site uses.

The Company is a development stage company and, as such, has not had any meaningful revenues and has accumulated a deficit since its inception on July 15, 2004. From July 15, 2004 through December 31, 2004, the Company had \$1,750 in sales. For the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2006, the Company had revenue of \$16,667 and \$8,333, respectively. We estimate that we will begin to have commercially viable products resulting from the ongoing research and development and product development by the fourth quarter of 2007. Research and development expenditures will be made to further enhance the performance of the hydrogen fuel sources, to develop the electronics that control the process to generate electricity, to improve the performance of the fuel cells and other components, to increase the electrical output of the products and to test the performance and reliability of the products. Since our inception, we have spent \$2.267 million in research and development and anticipate that we will spend at least \$2.0 million in 2007, prior to having the first products commercially available. We will have ongoing research and development expenditures for the foreseeable future as products are developed for new applications and markets. The timing, amount and success of the research and development and manufacturing estimates are dependent on a number of factors that are difficult to project, including but not limited to the availability of qualified people, the success of the technologies under development, the cost to implement technologies, the cost of the product, the requirements of the marketplace, regulatory requirements, the availability of funds, and other factors.

We do not currently have sufficient capital to fully execute our business plan and we anticipate the need to raise additional capital to develop, promote, and distribute our product. Historically, our activities have been funded through a combination of common and preferred stock issuances and loans from existing investors. Our current financial plans require us to secure between \$2.0 million and \$5.0 million in 2007. Additional funding may be raised through public or private, equity or debt financings. Additional funding may not be available under favorable terms, if at all. If adequate funds are not available, we may be required to curtail operations significantly or to obtain funds on terms not as favorable as we would hope.

Edgar Filing: TRULITE INC - Form POS AM

Selected operating data for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005:

| | Year Ended December 31, | |
|--|--------------------------------|---------------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Sales | \$ 8,333 | \$ 16,667 |
| Cost of sales | 5,912 | 12,216 |
| GROSS PROFIT | 2,421 | 4,451 |
| Operating expenses: | | |
| Research and development | 1,142,472 | 410,958 |
| Depreciation | 14,848 | 6,823 |
| General and administrative | 2,264,463 | 412,877 |
| TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES | 3,421,783 | 830,658 |
| LOSS FROM OPERATIONS | (3,419,362) | (826,207) |
| Other income (expense): | | |
| Interest expense | (29,726) | (663) |
| Interest income | 5,794 | 5,329 |
| Other | - | (4,411) |
| TOTAL OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE) | (23,932) | 255 |
| LOSS BEFORE INCOME TAXES | (3,443,294) | (825,952) |
| Income taxes | - | - |
| NET LOSS | (3,443,294) | (825,952) |
| Preferred stock dividends | (39,275) | (84,074) |
| Deemed dividend on conversion of preferred stock to common stock | (1,586,150) | - |
| NET LOSS ATTRIBUTABLE TO COMMON STOCKHOLDERS | \$ (5,068,719) | \$ (910,026) |

Revenues

For the year ended December 31, 2006, revenues totaled \$8,333 versus \$16,667 for the year ended December 31, 2005.

Gross profit

For the twelve months ended December 31, 2006, gross profit was \$2,421 compared to \$4,451 for 2005.

Operating expenses

Operating expenses were \$3,421,783 for the year ended December 31, 2006. This compares to operating expenses of \$830,658 for 2005. Research and development expenses increased to \$1,142,472 for 2006, compared to \$410,958 for 2005. The Company increased its research and development expenditures during 2006 and produced its first demonstration units. Depreciation expense increased \$8,025 during 2006. General and administrative costs increased to \$2,264,463 in 2006, as compared to \$412,877 in 2005, primarily due to higher personnel related costs as well as increased legal and accounting fees resulting from the level of effort required to register the Company's securities.

Prior to January 1, 2006, we accounted for our stock option plan using the intrinsic value method of accounting provided under APB Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," and related interpretations. This was permitted by SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," under which no compensation expense was recognized for stock options. Stock-based compensation expense using the fair value method under SFAS 123 was included as a pro forma disclosure in the financial statement footnotes and such disclosure continues to be provided herein for periods prior to 2006.

Effective January 1, 2006, we adopted the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123(R), "Share-Based Payment," using the modified-prospective transition method. Under this transition method, compensation cost recognized in 2006 includes: (a) compensation cost for all stock-based payments granted through December 31, 2005, for which the requisite service period had not been completed as of December 31, 2005, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS No. 123 and (b) compensation cost for all stock-based payments granted subsequent to December 31, 2005, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS 123(R). As permitted under the transition rules for SFAS 123(R), results for prior periods have not been restated.

As a result of adopting SFAS No. 123(R), we recognized \$511,157 of stock-based compensation expense related to stock options during 2006. Basic and diluted earnings per share were both \$0.06 lower for the year ended December 31, 2006, than if we had continued to account for stock-based compensation under APB No. 25.

Other Income (Expense)

For the year ended December 31, 2006, other income (expense) was a loss of \$23,932, as compared to income of \$255 for 2005, primarily as a result of higher interest expense on outstanding borrowings.

Preferred dividends and deemed dividend on conversion of preferred stock to common stock

In May 2006, all of our 8% Cumulative Convertible Series A Preferred Stock was converted into common stock.

The 8% Cumulative Convertible Series A Preferred Stock ("Series A Preferred Stock") had a liquidation value of \$1.00 per share plus dividends whether or not earned or declared from the issuance date thereof at the annual rate of eight percent (8%) (the "Preferred Dividends") of \$1.00 per share (the "Original Issue Price"), payable at our option in cash or in shares of Series A Preferred Stock. In addition, the Preferred Stock had preferential treatment in liquidation to all common stock and any other stock of the Company ranking junior to the Series A Preferred Stock. Accretion of cumulative dividends outstanding on these shares was \$39,275 and \$84,074 for the twelve months ended December 31 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Each share of Series A Preferred Stock was convertible at any time into common shares by dividing the original issue price by a conversion price as defined. The Series A Preferred Stock was redeemable at the option of the majority holders in cash at \$1.00 per share plus all accrued and unpaid Preferred Dividends on the fifth anniversary of the date of initial issuance or other events relating to change in 25% or more of the outstanding voting stock of the Company or a merger or consolidation as defined. Each holder of Series A Preferred Stock was entitled to the number of votes equal to the number of whole shares of Common Stock into which the shares of Series A Preferred Stock was convertible.

On May 2, 2006, 1,454,725 shares of Series A Preferred Stock were converted into 6,562,630 shares of common stock. In addition, the cumulative accreted dividends of \$129,973 were converted into 291,361 shares of common stock. Upon the conversion of the Series A Preferred Stock, we recorded a non-cash charge of \$1,424,762 to reflect the deemed dividend on conversion in accordance with EITF Topic D-42, "The Effect on the Calculation of Earnings per Share for the Redemption or Induced Conversion of Preferred Stock." In addition, we recorded a non-cash charge

of \$161,388 to reflect the deemed dividend on conversion of accreted dividends. The total of the two “deemed dividends” was \$1,586,150. The amount of charge is equal to the difference in the value at the time of exchange of the shares of common stock exchanged for the preferred stock minus the value of the shares that the holders of the preferred stock otherwise would have had the right to receive upon conversion of the preferred stock. The charge did not affect our reported revenue, operating income, net loss, assets, liabilities or stockholders’ (deficit) equity.

Historical Sources of Cash

During the period from July 15, 2004 (inception) through December 31, 2004, the Company financed its operations principally through the sale of an aggregate of \$300,000 of Series A Preferred Stock. The Company, for the year ended December 31, 2005, financed its operations through the sale of an aggregate of \$950,000 of Series A Preferred Stock, along with sale of three Kitty Hawk units. The Company conducted a private placement in April 2006, raising \$1,000,000 through the sale of 1,000,000 shares of Common Stock and warrants. In addition, during 2006, the Company borrowed \$1,250,000 pursuant to promissory notes with related parties. Subsequent to December 31, 2006, the Company borrowed an additional \$600,000 with these same parties.

Cash position and sources and uses of cash

Our cash position at December 31, 2006, was \$275,957 as compared to \$235,982 at December 31, 2005.

Our operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2006, used cash in the amount of \$2,178,136, as compared to \$810,732 used in 2005. Cash used in operating activities for 2006 and 2005, reflected a net loss of \$3,443,294 and \$825,952, respectively, both partially offset by adding back the non-cash charges associated with depreciation, common stock and warrants issued for consulting and management services and stock-based compensation.

The Company used \$31,889 and \$29,751 in investing activities for the purchase of property and equipment for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

The Company had cash inflows from financing activities of \$1,000,000 during 2006 from the issuance of common stock and warrants and \$950,000 during 2005 from issuances of preferred stock. During 2006, the Company also had financing cash inflows of \$1,250,000 from the issuance of the above noted promissory notes.

Capital Resources Going Forward

Our intended plan of operations for 2007 is to manufacture, sell and distribute limited quantities of our product and to continue to develop our products. In the past, the Company primarily used funds derived from the private placement of its securities to fund its operations.

Cash on hand as of December 31, 2006, and cash generated by operations in conjunction with our working capital, will not be sufficient to continue our business for the next twelve months. We continually review our overall capital and funding needs, taking into account current business needs, as well as the Company's future goals and requirements. Based on our business strategy, we believe we will need to increase our available capital through the incurrence of debt and the sale of additional securities.

On February 6, 2007, we incurred indebtedness of \$360,000 pursuant to the terms of a promissory note with Standard Renewable Energy Group, LLC ("SREG"). SREG owns NewPoint Energy Solutions, LP ("NewPoint"), the owner of approximately 45% of the Company's common stock. The note bears interest at a rate of 11.25% until August 6, 2007, at which time the rate will become the prime rate plus 3%. The note matures on October 31, 2007 and we may prepay the note at any time without penalty.

On February 6, 2007, we incurred indebtedness of \$240,000 pursuant to the terms of a promissory note with Contango Venture Capital Corporation, LLC which beneficially owns approximately 17.0% of the Company's common stock. The note bears interest at a rate of 11.25% until August 6, 2007, at which time the rate will become the prime rate plus 3%. The note matures on October 31, 2007 and we may prepay the note at any time without penalty.

Should our costs and expenses prove to be greater than we currently anticipate, or should we change our current business plan in a manner that will increase or accelerate our anticipated costs and expenses, the depletion of our working capital would be accelerated. To the extent it becomes necessary to raise additional cash in the future as our cash on hand and working capital resources are depleted, we intend to raise additional capital through the sale of additional equity securities, public or private sale of debt or equity securities, debt financing or short term loans, or a combination of these options. We currently do not have a binding commitment for, or readily available sources of, additional financing. We cannot give any assurance that we will be able to secure the additional cash or working capital that we may require to continue our operations under such circumstances or that it will be on terms that would not hinder our ability to execute our business strategy.

Our anticipated costs are estimates based upon our current business plan. Our actual costs could vary materially from these estimates. Further, we could change our current business plans, which may also result in a change in our anticipated costs.

Going Concern

We received an audit report for the year ended December 31, 2006, from our independent registered accounting firm containing an explanatory paragraph expressing substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. We have had no significant operating history as of December 31, 2006, and since inception, we have not had significant revenues. We have raised additional equity and debt financing to fund operations and to provide additional working capital. However, the amount raised to date is not sufficient to meet our needs over the next twelve months and there are no assurances that we will be able to raise sufficient funds to continue our operations. These conditions raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern.

Contractual Obligations

The following is a summary of our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2006:

| Contractual Obligations | Total | < 1Year | 1-3 Years | 3-5 years | Thereafter |
|-------------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| Notes Payable | \$ 1,250,000 | \$ 1,250,000 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| Total | \$ 1,250,000 | \$ 1,250,000 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

There are no guarantees, commitments, lease and debt agreements or other agreements that would trigger adverse changes in our credit rating, earnings, or cash flows, including requirements to perform under stand by agreements.

Critical Accounting Policies

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates and impairment of long lived assets. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying value of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates, including those for the above described items are reasonable.

Our accounting policies are more fully described in Note 2 - "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies" in our December 31, 2006, audited financial statements. As disclosed in Note 2 the preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions about future events that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Future events and their effects cannot be determined with absolute certainty. Therefore, the determination of estimates requires the exercise of judgment. Actual results will inevitably differ from those estimates, and such differences may be material to the financial statements.

At this stage of our development, we believe that of our significant accounting policies, the following may involve a higher degree of judgment, estimation or complexity than other accounting policies.

Impairment of Long Lived Assets

We review the recoverability of our long-lived assets, such as property and equipment, when events or changes in circumstances occur that indicate the carrying value of the asset or asset group may not be recoverable. The assessment of possible impairment is based on our ability to recover the carrying value of the asset or asset group from the expected future pre-tax cash flows (undiscounted) of the related operations. If these cash flows are less than the carrying value of such asset, an impairment loss is recognized for the difference between estimated fair value and carrying value.

Income Taxes

Since inception, the Company has incurred net operating losses and, accordingly, no provision for current income taxes has been recorded in these financial statements. In addition, no benefit for income taxes has been recorded in respect of the net deferred tax assets as management believes it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets will not be fully realizable. Accordingly, the Company has provided for a full valuation allowance against its net deferred tax assets at December 31, 2006, and December 31, 2005.

Revenue Recognition

Although at this stage in our development we have had no significant revenues, we consider revenue recognition a critical accounting policy as it affects timing of earnings recognition. We recognize revenues on delivery and to date our operations have not involved any uncertainty of accounting treatment, subjective judgment or estimates over revenue recognition.

DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY

We lease space in Bluffdale, Utah. The facility serves as our research, product development and manufacturing center. The facility encompasses approximately 5,500 square feet rented by us at a monthly rate for the first five months of 2006 of \$2,700 and \$2,739 for the last seven months of 2006, for a total lease expense in 2006 of \$32,673. The lease originally expired on May 31, 2006, and has been extended until August 31, 2007.

On August 1, 2006, we subleased office space from SREG at a monthly rent of \$1,905 beginning August 1, 2006, with a term of one year. Therefore, rental expense for 2006 was \$9,525. Future rental commitments as of December 31, 2006, totaled approximately \$13,335.

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

The initial investor in our company was Trulite Energy Partners, L.P., which invested \$100,000 and received 100,000 shares of Preferred Stock on July 28, 2004. Trulite Energy Partners, L.P. merged with and into CCP. John Berger, the Chairman of the Board of Directors of Trulite, is the Chairman of the Board of Directors of CCP and the managing partner of Contango Capital Partnership Management LLC, or CCPM, an entity which is the general partner of CCP. We had a management agreement with CCPM, which was transferred to CCP. In exchange for managing our day-to-day operations, CCP received 68,770 shares of our common stock (343,850 shares of our common stock, post stock split) on July 28, 2004, and six months later received an additional 65,070 shares of our common stock (325,350 shares of our common stock, post stock split). The management agreement with CCP ended on January 28, 2005, and no further payments are due or owing from Trulite.

Trulite's revenue has totaled \$1,750, \$16,667 and \$8,333 for 2004, 2005 and 2006, respectively. All of the revenue was obtained from Protonex. CCP owns approximately 6.4% of Protonex's stock and John Berger, Chairman of Trulite and a partner with CCP, was a member of the Board of Directors of Protonex until May 2006. The revenues derived from Protonex were from military contracts obtained by Protonex and Trulite was chosen through a competitive bidding process as the sub-contractor on the projects.

On March 31, 2006, we entered into lock-up agreements, or Lock-Up Agreements, with each of CCP (which is binding on transferees of CCP), Dr. Kevin Shurtleff, John Sifonis, and Eric Ladd (for purposes of this paragraph only, the "Stockholders"). Pursuant to the Lock-Up Agreements, the Stockholders shall not, without our prior written consent or the managing underwriter, if any, during the period commencing on the date of the final prospectus relating to a public offering of our equity securities and ending on the date specified by us or the managing underwriter, if any, enter into certain transactions with respect to our equity securities.

In March 2006, Trulite entered into a consulting agreement with Boru, which was amended and restated in August 2006. The agreement contemplates that Boru will (i) assist in us identifying an NASD member to make a Rule 15c2-11 filing; (ii) coordinate with us and its counsel in filing with the SEC, this Registration Statement on Form SB-2; (iii) after the Registration Statement on Form SB-2 is declared effective, introduce us to NASD member firms that may have an interest in helping us raise capital in the public markets; (iv) identify potential investors to us so that we or NASD member firms engaged by us may discuss a potential investment directly with the potential investors; and (v) provide other general consulting advice regarding the process for going public. The term of the agreement has not been determined, though both Boru and we anticipate such services to be provided by Boru for at least two years. In exchange for these services, we issued to Boru or Boru designees 250,000 shares of our common stock, which are included in this prospectus, and warrants having a five-year term to purchase 250,000 shares of our common stock at a price of \$3.00 per share. John Moran, the President of Boru, owns stock in Empire Financial Group, Inc., of which we have approved the future engagement for its market maker.

John Moran is the President of Boru. Mr. Moran owns stock in Empire Financial Group, Inc., which we have approved for future engagement as its market maker. In 1994, Mr. Moran pled guilty to charges of securities fraud and mail fraud. As a result, since December 1994, Mr. Moran has been subject to a permanent bar from associating with a broker or dealer. We have evaluated the services performed by Boru under its consulting agreement with us and applicable securities laws, and does not believe that those activities will cause Boru or Mr. Moran to fall within the Securities Exchange Act definitions of "broker" or "dealer." However, there can be no assurance that the SEC or other regulatory authorities will agree with our position or that any such regulatory authority will not take action against us, Boru or Mr. Moran to cause Boru to cease and desist from providing services under the consulting agreement.

On April 25, 2006, Trulite entered into a consulting agreement with Jelco for investment banking services. Pursuant to the agreement, Jelco agreed to (i) assist us in identifying an NASD member to make a Rule 15c2-11 filing; (ii) assist us in raising additional capital; (iii) facilitate our registration of its securities; and (iv) provide us with other consulting

services. The agreement terminates on April 25, 2008. In exchange for these services, we issued to Jelco 50,000 shares of our common stock, which are included in this prospectus and warrants having a five-year term to purchase 50,000 shares of our common stock at a strike price of \$3 per share. John Ligums controls Jelco. Separately, Mr. Ligums' son purchased 50,000 shares of our common stock in April 2006 in connection with our private placement, and Mr. Ligums' daughter, Jenny Ligums, owns options to purchase 5,000 shares of our common stock.

On May 5, 2006, our Board of Directors approved the future engagement of Empire Financial Group, Inc., or Empire, as its market maker. Empire shall assist us in its filing of its Rule 15c2-11, which is expected occur in the near future. No written agreement has yet been entered into. John Ligums, who entered into the consulting agreement with Trulite on behalf of Jelco, owns stock in Empire. Further, John Moran, the President of Boru, which Trulite has also engaged as a consultant, also owns stock in Empire.

On August 9, 2006, we incurred indebtedness pursuant to the terms of a \$125,000 promissory note payable to Contango Venture Capital Corporation, LLC, the owner of approximately 17% of our common stock. The note bears interest at a rate of 11.25% per annum until February 8, 2007, at which time the rate will become the prime rate plus 3%. The note matures on May 1, 2007 and may be prepaid without penalty.

On August 9, 2006, we incurred indebtedness pursuant to the terms of a \$125,000 promissory note payable to Standard Renewable Energy, LP, a subsidiary of SREG. John Berger, the Chairman of our Board of Directors, is the Chief Executive Officer of SREG. SREG owns NewPoint Energy Solutions, LP, which is the owner of approximately 45% of our common stock. The note bears interest at a rate of 11.25% per annum until February 8, 2007, at which time the rate will become the prime rate plus 3%. The note matures on May 1, 2007 and may be prepaid without penalty.

On September 21, 2006, we incurred indebtedness of \$250,000 pursuant to the terms of a promissory note payable to SREG. The note bears interest at a rate of 11.25% until May 21, 2007 at which time the rate will become prime plus 3%. The note matures June 18, 2007 and may be prepaid at any time without penalty.

On October 26, 2006, we incurred indebtedness of \$250,000 pursuant to the terms of a promissory note with SREG. The note bears interest at a rate of 11.25% until April 24, 2007, at which time the rate will become the prime rate plus 3%. The note matures on July 22, 2007 and may be prepaid at any time without penalty.

On November 22, 2006, we incurred indebtedness of \$400,000 pursuant to the terms of a promissory note with Contango Venture Capital Corporation, LLC. The note bears interest at a rate of 11.25% until April 24, 2007, at which time the rate will become the prime rate plus 3%. The note matures on July 22, 2007 and may be prepaid at any time without penalty.

On November 28, 2006, we incurred indebtedness of \$100,000 pursuant to the terms of a promissory note with SREG. The note bears interest at a rate of 11.25% until April 24, 2007, at which time the rate will become the prime rate plus 3%. The note matures on July 22, 2007 and may be prepaid by us at any time without penalty.

On February 6, 2007, we executed two promissory notes, pursuant to which we are obligated to repay a total of \$600,000, together with accrued interest: \$360,000 to SREG, and \$240,000 to CVCC. The notes carry an interest rate of 11.25% through August 5, 2007, after which date they will carry an interest rate equal to the prime rate plus 3%. Both notes mature on October 31, 2007 and may be prepaid by us at any time without penalty.

On April 5, 2007, we entered into agreements with the holders of our outstanding promissory notes aggregating \$1,850,000 in principal amount with respect to the exchange of such promissory notes for shares of our common stock. Under each of these agreement we and the holder of the note agreed that on the third business day following the last of the first ten trading days on which our common stock has been traded on the Over the Counter Bulletin Board, all principal and accrued but unpaid interest on the note(s) would be canceled, and in consideration of cancellation we would issue to the holder of the note(s) in a private transaction a number of shares of our common stock determined by multiplying 2 times the quotient of (x) the aggregate principal balance of and accrued but unpaid interest on the note(s) as of the close of business on the day before such issuance divided by (y) the average closing sale price for our common stock as quoted on the Over the Counter Bulletin Board for the first ten trading days. At March 31, 2007 accrued but unpaid interest on the notes aggregated \$45,469. We relied on the exemption from registration afforded by

Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933 in entering into these agreements.

MARKET FOR COMMON STOCK

As of March 31, 2007, there were 56 record holders of 11,785,491 shares of our common stock and no record holders of preferred stock. Additionally, as of March 31, 2007, we have issued and outstanding options to purchase 2,446,961 shares of our common stock and warrants to purchase 1,400,000 shares of our common stock. Our common stock was cleared for quotation on the OTC Electronic Bulletin Board of the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. under the symbol "TRUL.OB" on March 14, 2007. On March 26, 2007, the last reported sale price of our common stock on the OTC Electronic Bulletin Board was \$1.30 per share.

All 11,785,491 shares of our common stock currently issued and outstanding are deemed restricted stock for purposes of Rule 144 under the Securities Act and, accordingly, may not be sold absent their registration under the Securities Act or pursuant to Rule 144 following their being held for the applicable holding periods set forth in Rule 144. In general, under Rule 144 as currently in effect, a person or group of persons whose shares are aggregated, who has beneficially owned restricted shares for at least one year, including the holding period of any prior owner except an affiliate of ours, would be entitled to sell, within any three-month period, a number of shares that does not exceed the greater of:

- 1% of the number of then outstanding shares of our common stock, or
- the average weekly trading volume of our common stock during the four calendar weeks preceding the sale;

provided, that public information about us as required by Rule 144 is available and the seller complies with manner of sale provisions and notice requirements.

We are registering 2,788,047 shares of our common stock to be offered and sold by the selling stockholders pursuant to this prospectus.

The SEC has adopted a rule that established the definition of a "penny stock," for purposes relevant to us, as any equity security that has a market price of less than \$5.00 per share or with any exercise price of less than \$5.00 per share, subject to certain exceptions. For any transaction involving a penny stock, unless exempt, the rules require: (i) that a broker or dealer approve a person's account for transactions in penny stocks; and (ii) the broker or dealer receive from the investor a written agreement to the transaction, setting forth the identity and quantity of the penny stock to be purchased. In order to approve a person's account for transactions in penny stocks, the broker or dealer must (i) obtain financial information and investment experience and objectives of the person; and (ii) make a reasonable determination that the transactions in penny stocks are suitable for that person and that person has sufficient knowledge and experience in financial matters to be capable of evaluating the risks of transaction in penny stocks. The broker or dealer must also deliver, prior to any transaction in a penny stock, a disclosure schedule in a form specified by the SEC relating to the penny stock market, which, in highlight form, (i) sets forth the basis on which the broker or dealer made the suitability determination; and (ii) states that the broker or dealer received a signed, written agreement from the investor prior to the transaction. Disclosure also has to be made about the risks of investing in penny stocks in both public offerings and in secondary trading, about commissions payable to both the broker-dealer and the registered representative, current quotations for the securities and the rights and remedies available to an investor in cases of fraud in penny stock transactions. Finally, monthly statements have to be sent disclosing recent price information for the penny stock held in the account and information on the limited market in penny stocks.

Management intends to seek a long-term business plan that will allow our securities to be traded without the aforesaid the "penny stock" limitations. However, we cannot predict whether our securities will be exempt from the SEC's penny stock regulations for listing on Nasdaq or some other national exchange, or be able to maintain the maintenance criteria necessary to insure continued listing. If our securities fail to qualify for the exemption or fail to qualify or meet

the relevant maintenance criteria after qualification in the future, this may result in the discontinuance of the inclusion of our securities on a national exchange. However, trading, if any, in our securities may then continue in the non-Nasdaq over-the-counter market. As a result, a stockholder may find it more difficult to dispose of, or to obtain accurate quotations as to the market value of, our securities.

Holders of common stock are entitled to receive dividends as may be declared by our Board of Directors and, in the event of liquidation, to share pro rata in any distribution of assets after payment of liabilities. The Board of Directors is not obligated to declare a dividend. We have not paid any dividends on our common stock and do not have any current plans to do so. See “Certain Relationships and Related Transactions” above for a discussion of agreements between us and Boru and us and John Ligums.

DIVIDEND POLICY

We have not paid any dividends on our common stock.

On April 13, 2006, our Board of Directors approved the payment of dividends equal to an aggregate of \$113,138, to be paid in the form of common stock to all of the holders of the Series A Preferred Stock. This dividend had accrued from July 22, 2004 to March 31, 2006. On May 5, 2006, our Board of Directors approved an additional dividend, which had accrued from April 1, 2006 to May 2, 2006, but had not been paid, to be paid in the form of common stock to all the holders of the Series A Preferred Stock. Accordingly, 291,361 shares of common stock were issued: 283,118 shares to CCP; 2,576 shares to Dr. Kevin Shurtleff; and 5,667 shares to Andrew Nielson. The holders of the Series A Preferred Stock have since converted their preferred shares to shares of common stock and no more dividends shall be declared and paid on those shares of Series A Preferred Stock.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The following table sets forth the cash compensation paid by us to our President and all other executive officers for services rendered during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004.

| Name and Position | Year | Salary | Bonus | Other Compensation |
|---|-------------|---------------------------|--------------|---|
| Jonathan H. Godshall, President | 2006 | \$ 120,000 ⁽¹⁾ | — | Options to purchase 676,626 shares of Common Stock at \$1.00 per share (3). |
| Kevin Shurtleff, Employee | 2006 | \$ 65,000 | — | Options to purchase 699,303 shares of Common Stock at \$.88 per share (4). |
| | 2005 | \$ 42,500 | — | (4). |
| | 2004 | \$ 42,500 | — | — |
| John Sifonis, Former President and CEO | 2006 | \$ 120,000 ⁽²⁾ | — | Options to purchase 20,000 shares of Common Stock at \$1.00 per share (5). |
| | 2005 | \$ 42,000 | — | — |
| | 2004 | \$ 12,000 | — | Options to purchase 291,478 shares of Common Stock at \$.88 per share (5). |
| James A. Longaker, Former Chief Financial Officer and Secretary | 2006 | \$ 65,000 | — | Option to purchase 35,000 shares of Common Stock at \$.88 per share (5). |
| | 2005 | \$ 65,000 | — | Option to purchase 20,000 shares of Common Stock at \$.88 per share (5). |
| | 2004 | — | — | (5). |

(1) Mr. Godshall's employment as our President and CEO commenced in August 2006. His 2006 annual base salary was \$120,000 (of which he received \$44,615 during 2006) increasing to \$200,000 on the earlier of November 30, 2006 and the completion of a financing round.

(2) Mr. Sifonis' employment as President and CEO terminated in August 2006 and we ceased making salary payments to Mr. Sifonis at that time. Actual salary paid to Mr. Sifonis in 2006 was \$54,000.

(3) All listed options vest over a four year period beginning in 2007 with 25% vesting each year.

(4) All listed options vested in April 2006.

(5) All listed options were to vest over a four-year period in 18.5%, 22.5%, 26.5%, and 32.5% increments. Vesting ceased upon termination of employment.

Employment Agreements

We are currently a party to employment agreements with Jonathan Godshall, our President; Eric Ladd; Dr. Kevin Shurtleff; Christopher Brydon; and John Patton. Additionally, portions of the employment agreement between John Sifonis and us survive Mr. Sifonis' resignation as our President and Chief Executive Officer.

John Sifonis entered into an employment agreement with us as of October 20, 2004, or the Sifonis Agreement. The Sifonis Agreement contains customary confidentiality and non-disclosure provisions that survive the termination of Mr. Sifonis' employment with us, as well as a worldwide non-compete provision with respect to any business that competes in whole or in part with our services, products or activities of relating to our hydrogen fuel technology that survives the termination of Mr. Sifonis' employment with us for a period of two years.

In August 2006, we entered into an employment agreement with Jonathan Godshall, pursuant to which Mr. Godshall is employed as our President and Chief Executive Officer for a one-year term. Under the employment agreement, Mr. Godshall received an annual base salary of \$120,000, that was scheduled to increase to \$200,000 per year upon the earlier of (i) November 30, 2006 and (ii) the completion of a financing round. Effective January 1, 2007, Mr. Godshall accepted a decrease in his annual salary to \$100,000. The employment agreement provides that if Mr. Godshall is terminated without cause or he terminates for good reason (as such terms are defined in the employment agreement), then he will be entitled to receive his base salary for six months following such termination and his unexercised stock options will continue to vest for twelve months following such termination. In addition, if we do not renew the employment agreement at the end of the one-year term, Mr. Godshall will be entitled to receive his base salary for four months.

On August 7, 2006, the Board granted Mr. Godshall a stock option to acquire 676,626 shares of our common stock, at an exercise price of \$1.00 per share and which vests 25% on each of June 15, 2007, June 15, 2008, June 15, 2009, and June 15, 2010. The stock option expires on August 7, 2013. In addition, Mr. Godshall's employment agreement provides that the Board will grant him additional stock options to acquire a number of shares equal to 5% of any new stock issued and any new stock options granted after August 7, 2006, such grant to occur on the earlier of (i) December 31, 2006 or (ii) the completion of a financing round. As of December 31, 2006, neither of these events had occurred, and therefore no additional options will be granted under this arrangement. The exercise price of Mr. Godshall's stock options are based on the fair market value on the date of grant and have vesting terms consistent with other stock options we grant. All of such stock options will automatically vest upon a change in control, merger, or buyout of our company.

Eric Ladd entered into an amended employment agreement with us as of March 26, 2006, or the Ladd Agreement. Mr. Ladd's position with us is a Control and Systems Engineer. The Ladd Agreement continues until January 31, 2007, whereupon the employment of Mr. Ladd will become a month-to-month, at will employment, but otherwise still subject to the Ladd Agreement. Mr. Ladd agrees to work full time in service to us and receives an annual salary of \$80,000. In addition, Mr. Ladd received an \$11,000 sign-on bonus and a one-time bonus of \$5,000 on December 7, 2006. The Ladd Agreement contains customary confidentiality and non-disclosure provisions, as well as a two-year, worldwide non-compete provision with respect to any business that competes in whole or in part with our services, products, or activities relating to its hydrogen fuel technology.

Dr. Kevin Shurtleff entered into a second amended employment agreement with us as of March 27, 2006, or the Shurtleff Agreement, which expired January 1, 2007. Dr. Shurtleff has become a month-to-month, at will employee, but otherwise remains subject to the Shurtleff Agreement. Dr. Shurtleff agrees to spend 20 hours a week in service to us and receives an annual salary of \$65,000. The Shurtleff Agreement contains customary confidentiality and non-disclosure provisions, as well as a one-year, worldwide non-compete provision with respect to any business that competes in whole or in part with our services, products or activities relating to its hydrogen fuel technology.

Christopher Brydon entered into an employment agreement, or the Brydon Agreement, on April 5, 2006. Mr. Brydon is employed as a Senior Design Engineer and Team Leader. The Brydon Agreement continues until April 30, 2007, whereupon the employment of Mr. Brydon will become a month-to-month, at will employment, but otherwise still subject to the Brydon Agreement. Mr. Brydon is a full time employee and receives an annual salary of \$76,000. The Brydon Agreement contains customary confidentiality and non-disclosure provisions, as well as a one-year, worldwide non-compete provision with respect to any business that competes in whole or in part with our services, products or activities relating to its hydrogen fuel technology.

John Patton entered into an employment agreement, or the Patton Agreement, on April 5, 2006. Mr. Patton is employed as a Senior Design Engineer and Team Leader. The Patton Agreement continues until April 30, 2007, whereupon the employment of Mr. Patton will become a month-to-month, at will employment, but otherwise still subject to the Patton Agreement. Mr. Patton is a full time employee and receives an annual salary of \$58,000.

Ken Pearson entered into an employment agreement, or the Pearson Employment Agreement, on January 1, 2007. Mr. Pearson is employed as our Chief Operating Officer. The initial term of employment ends May 31, 2007 and if we elect not to renew the Pearson Employment Agreement at the end of this initial term, we are obligated to pay Mr. Pearson his salary for an additional 120 days as severance. The Pearson Employment Agreement provides for an annual base salary of \$155,000. The Pearson Employment Agreement includes confidentiality and non-competition provisions.

Effective June 1, 2006, we entered into a consulting agreement with Ken Pearson, or the Pearson Consulting Agreement, pursuant to which Mr. Pearson performed certain services. Mr. Pearson's roles and responsibilities included: product development, regulatory and government regulations, strategic product and technology alliances and

acquisitions, advanced supply chain agreements and alliances, research and development, intellectual property management and strategy formulation, and operational responsibilities. In exchange for his services, we paid Mr. Pearson compensation equal to a prorated fee of \$115,000 per year (\$9,583 per month). Additionally, we paid Mr. Pearson a \$15,000 signing bonus. In December 2006, the Board of Directors also awarded Mr. Pearson a \$15,000 performance bonus based on agreed upon performance goals. Pursuant to the Pearson Consulting Agreement, Mr. Pearson received an option on August 7, 2006 to purchase 15,000 shares of our common stock, at an option price of \$1.00 per share, which option is fully vested. Mr. Pearson also received an option to purchase 300,000 shares of our common stock at an option share price of \$1.00 effective August 7, 2006. The grant of the options is subject to the terms and conditions set forth in our Amended and Restated Stock Option Plan. In December 2006, the Board of Directors also awarded Mr. Pearson options to purchase an additional 40,000 shares of our common stock. The term of this agreement was for seven months beginning June 1, 2006 and ending on December 31, 2006. This agreement contained customary confidentiality and non-disclosure provisions to be in effect during and following the termination of the agreement, as well as a one-year non-compete provision with respect to any business that competes in whole or in part with our services, products, or activities relating to its hydrogen fuel technology.

Securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2006.

| Plan Category | Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options and rights (a) | Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options and rights (b) | Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) (c) |
|---|--|--|--|
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders (1)(2) | 2,344,764 | \$ 0.94 | 765,841 |
| Total | 2,344,764 | \$ 0.94 | 765,841 |

(1) Our Board of Directors adopted the Trulite, Inc. Stock Option Plan on April 11, 2005. The plan is to be administered by our Board of Directors and consists of up to 3,110,805 shares of common stock in a stock option pool which may be granted in the form of options to our employees, directors, consultants and advisors. The number of options, option price, vesting and exercise schedules and the duration of all options shall all be determined by our Board of Directors at the time of grant; provided, however, that the option price of any options granted under the Plan shall be not less than fair market value at the time of grant. Incentive stock options expire no later than seven years after the date of grant.

(2) Does not include warrants to purchase an aggregate of 1,400,000 shares of common stock held by purchasers of the Offering, Boru and Jelco.

TRULITE, INC.

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| Audited Financial Statements | |
| Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | F-1 |
| Balance Sheets at December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005 | F-2 |
| Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 | F-3 |
| Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the period from December 31, 2005 through December 31, 2006 | F-4 |
| Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005 | F-5 |
| Notes to Financial Statements | F-6 |

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors of
Trulite, Inc.
Houston, Texas

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of Trulite, Inc., (a development stage company) (the “Company”) as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the related statements of operations, stockholders’ equity (deficit), and cash flows for the years then ended, and for the period from inception (July 15, 2004) through December 31, 2006. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Trulite, Inc. as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended, and for the period from inception (July 15, 2004) through December 31, 2006, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As shown in the financial statements, the Company has incurred significant losses and negative cash flows from operations since inception. Those conditions raise substantial doubt about the Company’s ability to continue as a going concern. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ UHY LLP

March 30, 2007, except for Note 8 and Note 12 as to which the date is April 5, 2007
Houston, Texas

F-1

Trulite, Inc. (a Development Stage Company)
Balance Sheets

| | December 31, 2006 | December 31, 2005 |
|---|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 275,957 | \$ 235,982 |
| Due from affiliate | - | 23,773 |
| Accounts receivable (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$0 as of December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005) | - | 16,667 |
| Patent application fees | 19,843 | 19,843 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 13,372 | 7,844 |
| Total current assets | 309,172 | 304,109 |
| Property and equipment, net | 50,079 | 33,038 |
| Total assets | \$ 359,251 | \$ 337,147 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' (DEFICIT) EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | \$ 301,907 | \$ 44,821 |
| Notes payable | 1,250,000 | - |
| Total current liabilities | 1,551,907 | 44,821 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | |
| Stockholders' (deficit) equity: | | |
| 8% Cumulative Convertible, Series A Preferred Stock; \$0.0001 par value, 1,500,000 shares authorized, 0 and 1,454,725 shares issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. Liquidation value of \$1.00 per share plus preferred dividend per share of \$0.0623 and an aggregate liquidation value of \$1,545,354 as of December 31, 2005 | - | 90,843 |
| Common Stock; \$0.0001 par value, 20,000,000 shares authorized, 11,785,491 and 3,631,500 shares issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively | 1,178 | 363 |
| Additional paid-in-capital | 9,537,426 | 1,905,094 |
| Deficit accumulated during the development stage | (10,731,260) | (1,703,974) |
| Total stockholders' (deficit) equity | (1,192,656) | 292,326 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' (deficit) equity | \$ 359,251 | \$ 337,147 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements

Trulite, Inc. (a Development Stage Company)
Statements of Operations

| | Year Ended December 31, | | Period From Inception (July 15, 2004) Through December 31, 2006 |
|--|-------------------------|---------------------|---|
| | 2006 | 2005 | |
| Sales | \$ 8,333 | \$ 16,667 | \$ 26,750 |
| Cost of sales | 5,912 | 12,216 | 18,778 |
| GROSS PROFIT | 2,421 | 4,451 | 7,972 |
| Operating expenses: | | | |
| Research and development | 1,142,472 | 410,958 | 2,266,539 |
| Depreciation | 14,848 | 6,823 | 22,811 |
| General and administrative | 2,264,463 | 412,877 | 2,842,213 |
| TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES | 3,421,783 | 830,658 | 5,131,563 |
| LOSS FROM OPERATIONS | (3,419,362) | (826,207) | (5,123,591) |
| Other income (expense): | | | |
| Interest expense | (29,726) | (663) | (30,389) |
| Interest income | 5,794 | 5,329 | 11,123 |
| Other | - | (4,411) | (4,411) |
| TOTAL OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE) | (23,932) | 255 | (23,677) |
| LOSS BEFORE INCOME TAXES | (3,443,294) | (825,952) | (5,147,268) |
| Income taxes | - | - | - |
| NET LOSS | (3,443,294) | (825,952) | \$ (5,147,268) |
| Preferred stock dividends | (39,275) | (84,074) | |
| Deemed dividend on conversion of preferred stock to common stock | (1,586,150) | - | |
| NET LOSS ATTRIBUTABLE TO COMMON STOCKHOLDERS | \$ (5,068,719) | \$ (910,026) | |
| NET LOSS PER COMMON SHARE | | | |
| Basic and diluted | \$ (0.37) | \$ (0.23) | |
| Preferred and deemed dividends | (0.18) | (0.02) | |
| Attributable to common stockholders | \$ (0.55) | \$ (0.25) | |
| WEIGHTED AVERAGE COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING: | | | |
| Basic | 9,139,510 | 3,607,433 | |
| Diluted | 9,139,510 | 3,607,433 | |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements

Trulite, Inc. (a Development Stage Company)
Statements of Stockholders' (Deficit) Equity
For the Periods From Inception (July 15, 2004) Through December 31, 2006

| | 8% Cumulative Convertible Series A Preferred Stock | | Common Stock | | Additional Paid-in Capital | Accumulated Deficit | Total |
|--|--|--------|--------------|--------|----------------------------------|------------------------|-----------|
| | Shares | Amount | Shares | Amount | | | |
| <i>Cash issuances:</i> | | | | | | | |
| July 28, 2004; issuance of preferred stock at \$1.00 per share | 100,000 | \$ 10 | - | \$ - | 99,990 | \$ - | 100,000 |
| November 5, 2004; issuance of preferred stock at \$1.00 per share | 190,000 | 19 | - | - | 189,981 | - | 190,000 |
| November 12, 2004; issuance of preferred stock at \$1.00 per share | 10,000 | 1 | - | - | 9,999 | - | 10,000 |
| <i>Non-cash issuances:</i> | | | | | | | |
| July 22, 2004; preferred stock issued in the acquisition of Trulite Technology, LC based on fair value of stock issued of \$1.00 per share | 20,000 | 2 | - | - | 19,998 | - | 20,000 |
| July 22, 2004; common stock issued in the acquisition of Trulite Technology, LC based on fair value of stock issued of \$0.20 per share (post April 2005 split) | - | - | 2,962,300 | 296 | 592,164 | - | 592,460 |
| July 28, 2004; common stock issued for management services based on fair value of stock issued of \$0.20 per share (post April 2005 split) | - | - | 343,850 | 34 | 68,736 | - | 68,770 |
| Accretion of dividends | - | 6,624 | - | - | (6,624) | - | - |
| <i>Net loss</i> | - | - | - | - | - | (878,022) | (878,022) |
| Balance, December 31, 2004 | 320,000 | 6,656 | 3,306,150 | 330 | 974,244 | (878,022) | 103,208 |

Cash issuances:

| | | | | | | | |
|---|---------|----|---|---|---------|---|---------|
| February 1, 2005; issuance of preferred stock, at \$1.00 per share | 200,000 | 20 | - | - | 199,980 | - | 200,000 |
|---|---------|----|---|---|---------|---|---------|

| | | | | | | | |
|---|---------|----|---|---|---------|---|---------|
| June 1, 2005; issuance of preferred stock at \$0.80 per share | 934,725 | 93 | - | - | 749,907 | - | 750,000 |
|---|---------|----|---|---|---------|---|---------|

Non-cash issuances:

| | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---------|----|--------|---|--------|
| January 28, 2005; common stock issued for management services based on fair value of stock issued of \$0.20 per share (post April 2005 split) | - | - | 325,350 | 33 | 65,037 | - | 65,070 |
|--|---|---|---------|----|--------|---|--------|

| | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|---|--------|---|---|----------|---|---|
| Accretion of dividends | - | 84,074 | - | - | (84,074) | - | - |
|---------------------------|---|--------|---|---|----------|---|---|

| | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|---|---|---|---|---|-----------|-----------|
| <i>Net loss</i> | - | - | - | - | - | (825,952) | (825,952) |
|-----------------|---|---|---|---|---|-----------|-----------|

| | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-----------|--------|-----------|-----|-----------|-------------|---------|
| Balance, December 31, 2005 | 1,454,725 | 90,843 | 3,631,500 | 363 | 1,905,094 | (1,703,974) | 292,326 |
|-------------------------------|-----------|--------|-----------|-----|-----------|-------------|---------|

Cash issuances:

| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|-----------|-----|---------|---|-----------|
| April 13, 2006; issuance of common stock and warrants | - | - | 1,000,000 | 100 | 999,900 | - | 1,000,000 |
|---|---|---|-----------|-----|---------|---|-----------|

Non-cash issuances:

| | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---------|----|---------|---|---------|
| April 26, 2006; common stock issued for consulting services based on fair value of stock issued of \$0.95 per share | - | - | 300,000 | 30 | 284,970 | - | 285,000 |
|--|---|---|---------|----|---------|---|---------|

| | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|---------|---|---------|
| April 26, 2006; warrants to purchase common stock issued for consulting services based on fair value of warrants issued | - | - | - | - | 162,155 | - | 162,155 |
|--|---|---|---|---|---------|---|---------|

| | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|---|--------|---|---|----------|---|---|
| Accretion of dividends | - | 39,275 | - | - | (39,275) | - | - |
|---------------------------|---|--------|---|---|----------|---|---|

| | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---------|---|---|-----------|---|---|
| May 2, 2006; accretion of preferred stock for deemed dividend on conversion of accrued dividends to common stock | - | 161,388 | - | - | (161,388) | - | - |
|--|---|---------|---|---|-----------|---|---|

| | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| May 2, 2006; accretion of preferred stock for deemed | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Edgar Filing: TRULITE INC - Form POS AM

| | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------|-------------|------------|----------|--------------|-----------------|----------------|
| dividend on conversion to common stock | - | 1,424,762 | - | - | (978,493) | (446,269) | - |
| May 2, 2006; conversion of preferred stock to common stock | (1,454,725) | (1,716,268) | 6,853,991 | 685 | 6,853,306 | (5,137,723) | - |
| Stock-based compensation | - | - | - | - | 511,157 | - | 511,157 |
| <i>Net loss</i> | - | - | - | - | - | (3,443,294) | (3,443,294) |
| Deficit, December 31, 2006 | - | \$ - | 11,785,491 | \$ 1,178 | \$ 9,537,426 | \$ (10,731,260) | \$ (1,192,656) |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements

F-4

Trulite, Inc. (a Development Stage Company)
Statements of Cash Flows

| | Year Ended Ended December 31, | | Period From Inception (July 15, 2004) Through December 31, 2006 |
|---|----------------------------------|--------------|---|
| | 2006 | 2005 | |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Net loss | \$ (3,443,294) | \$ (825,952) | \$ (5,147,268) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation | 14,848 | 6,823 | 22,811 |
| Common stock issued for consulting services | 285,000 | - | 285,000 |
| Common stock issued for management fees | - | 65,070 | 133,840 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 511,157 | - | 511,157 |
| Warrants issued for consulting services | 162,155 | - | 162,155 |
| Write-off of research and development expenses | - | - | 606,798 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | | |
| Due from affiliate | 23,773 | (23,773) | - |
| Accounts receivable | 16,667 | (13,967) | - |
| Patent application fees | - | (13,378) | (19,843) |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | (5,528) | (928) | (6,907) |
| Grants receivable | - | - | 850 |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 257,086 | (4,627) | 293,798 |
| Net cash used in operating activities | (2,178,136) | (810,732) | (3,157,609) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Purchase of property and equipment | (31,889) | (29,751) | (66,434) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (31,889) | (29,751) | (66,434) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Issuance of common stock | 1,000,000 | - | 1,000,000 |
| Issuance of notes payable | 1,250,000 | - | 1,250,000 |
| Issuance of preferred stock | - | 950,000 | 1,250,000 |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 2,250,000 | 950,000 | 3,500,000 |
| NET INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | | | |
| | 39,975 | 109,517 | 275,957 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of period | 235,982 | 126,465 | - |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of period | \$ 275,957 | \$ 235,982 | \$ 275,957 |

NON-CASH INVESTING AND FINANCING
ACTIVITIES:

| | | | | | | |
|--|----|---------|----|--------|----|---------|
| Common stock issued for consulting services | \$ | 285,000 | \$ | - | \$ | 285,000 |
| Common stock issued for management fees | \$ | - | \$ | 65,070 | \$ | 133,840 |
| Warrants issued for consulting services | \$ | 162,155 | \$ | - | \$ | 162,155 |
| Common stock options issued for compensation | \$ | 511,157 | \$ | - | \$ | 511,157 |
| Preferred stock issued for acquisition | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 20,000 |
| Common stock issued for acquisition | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 592,460 |
| Cash paid for interest | \$ | 28,897 | \$ | - | \$ | 28,897 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements

F-5

Note 1 - Nature of Operations

Trulite, Inc. (the “Company”) was incorporated on July 15, 2004, in the State of Delaware. The Company is a development stage entity and is primarily engaged in the development of compact, lightweight hydrogen fuel cell systems.

For the year ended December 31, 2006, and since inception (July 15, 2004), the Company has not had significant revenues. The Company has no significant operating history as of December 31, 2006. The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming the Company will continue as a going concern. From inception (July 15, 2004) through December 31, 2006, management has raised additional equity and debt financing to fund operations and to provide additional working capital. However, there is no assurance that future such financing will be in amounts sufficient to meet the Company’s needs.

The accompanying financial statements do not include any adjustments to reflect the possible future effects on the recoverability and classification of assets or the amounts and classifications of liabilities that may result from the possible inability of the Company to continue as a going concern.

Note 2 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Cash and Cash Equivalents. Cash and cash equivalents include short-term investments with original maturities of three months or less.

Accounts Receivable and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts. Accounts receivable are reported at outstanding principal less allowance for doubtful accounts. Earnings are charged with a provision for doubtful accounts based on a current review of the collectibility of the accounts. Accounts deemed uncollectible are applied against the allowance for doubtful accounts.

Concentrations of Credit Risk. The Company maintains cash balances at a financial institution which at times exceeds federally insured amounts. The Company has not experienced any material losses in such accounts.

Revenue Recognition. Revenue from sales is recognized on delivery.

Property and Equipment. Property and equipment is carried at cost. The Company depreciates property and equipment using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the related assets ranging from 3 to 7 years. Maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred and expenditures for major improvements are capitalized. Gains and losses from retirement or replacement of property and equipment are included in operations.

Depreciation expense was \$14,848 and \$6,823 for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Research and Development Costs. Expenditures for research activities relating to product development and improvement are charged to expense as incurred.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets. The Company reviews the recoverability of its long-lived assets, such as property and equipment, when events or changes in circumstances occur that indicate the carrying value of the asset or asset group may not be recoverable. The assessment of possible impairment is based on the Company’s ability to recover the carrying value of the asset or asset group from the expected future pre-tax cash flows (undiscounted) of the related operations. If these cash flows are less than the carrying value of such asset, an impairment loss is recognized for the difference between estimated fair value and carrying value.

Income Taxes. The liability method is used in accounting for income taxes. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on differences between financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities and are measured using the enacted tax rates and laws that will be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse. The realizability of deferred tax assets are evaluated annually and a valuation allowance is provided if it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets will not give rise to future benefits in the Company's tax returns.

Use of Estimates. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

F-6

Stock-Based Compensation. Prior to January 1, 2006, the Company accounted for its stock option plan using the intrinsic value method of accounting provided under APB Opinion No. 25, “Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees,” and related interpretations. This was permitted by SFAS No. 123, “Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation,” under which no compensation expense was recognized for stock options. Stock-based compensation expense using the fair value method under SFAS 123 was included as a pro forma disclosure in the financial statement footnotes and such disclosure continues to be provided herein for periods prior to 2006.

Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123(R), “Share-Based Payment,” using the modified-prospective transition method. Under this transition method, compensation cost recognized in 2006 includes: (a) compensation cost for all stock-based payments granted through December 31, 2005, for which the requisite service period had not been completed as of December 31, 2005, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS No. 123 and (b) compensation cost for all stock-based payments granted subsequent to December 31, 2005, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS 123(R). As permitted under the transition rules for SFAS 123(R), results for prior periods have not been restated.

New Accounting Pronouncements:

In May 2005, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (“SFAS”) No. 154, “Accounting Changes and Error Corrections” which replaces Accounting Principles Board Opinion (“APB”) No. 20, “Accounting Changes,” and SFAS No. 3, “Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements—An Amendment of APB Opinion No. 28.” SFAS No. 154 provides guidance on the accounting for and reporting of accounting changes and error corrections. SFAS No. 154 is effective for accounting changes and correction of errors made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. The adoption of SFAS No. 154 did not have an effect on the Company’s financial statements.

In February 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 155, “Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments.” SFAS No. 155 provides entities with relief from having to separately determine the fair value of an embedded derivative that would otherwise have to be bifurcated from its host contract in accordance with SFAS No. 133, “Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities.” SFAS No. 155 allows an entity to make an irrevocable election to measure such a hybrid financial instrument at fair value in its entirety, with changes in fair value recognized in earnings. SFAS No. 155 is effective for all financial instruments acquired, issued or subject to a remeasurement event occurring after the beginning of an entity’s first fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006. The Company believes that the adoption of SFAS No. 155 will not have a material impact on its financial statements.

In March 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 156, “Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets an Amendment to FASB Statement No. 140.” Once effective, SFAS No. 156 will require entities to recognize a servicing asset or liability each time they undertake an obligation to service a financial asset by entering into a servicing contract in certain situations. This statement also requires all separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities to be initially measured at fair value and permits a choice of either the amortization or fair value measurement method for subsequent measurements. The effective date of this statement is for annual periods beginning after September 15, 2006, with earlier adoption permitted as the beginning of an entity’s fiscal year provided the entity has not issued any financial statements for that year. The Company does not believe that this pronouncement will have a material impact on its financial statements.

In June 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48, “Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes—an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109.” This Interpretation prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return, and provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and transition. This Interpretation is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company is

currently assessing the impact of the interpretation on its future results of operations and financial position.

F-7

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements." SFAS No. 157 defines fair value and applies to other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 and interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting SFAS No. 157 on its financial statements.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 158, "Employers' Accounting For Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans - an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106 and 132(R)." The standard requires companies to recognize the funded status (plan obligations less the fair value of plan assets) of pension and other postretirement benefit plans on their balance sheets, effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2006. The statement will also require fiscal year-end measurements of plan assets and benefit obligations, effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2008. SFAS No. 158 will have no effect on the Company's financial statements, as the Company does not maintain defined benefit pension or other postretirement plans.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities," which permits the choice to measure certain financial assets and liabilities at their fair value at specified election dates. The new standard is effective for the Company on January 1, 2008, unless early adoption is elected. We do not expect the new standard to have any material impact on our financial position and results of operation.

In September 2006, the SEC issued Staff Accounting Bulletin ("SAB") No. 108, to address diversity in practice in quantifying financial statement misstatements and the potential for the build up of improper amounts on the balance sheet. SAB No. 108 identifies the approach that registrants should take when evaluating the effects of unadjusted misstatements on each financial statement, the circumstances under which corrections of misstatements should result in a revision to financial statements, and disclosures related to the correction of misstatements. SAB No. 108 is effective for any report for an interim period of the first fiscal year ending after November 16, 2006. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting SAB No. 108 on its financial statements.

Note 3 - Stock-Based Compensation

The Company has granted options to purchase common stock to employees, consultants and outside directors under the Trulite, Inc. Stock Option Plan, as amended and restated (the "Plan"). A total of 3,110,805 shares are reserved for issuance, and as of December 31, 2006, 765,841 shares remained available for grant, under the Plan. Prior to January 1, 2006, the Company accounted for grants of options using the intrinsic value method under the recognition and measurement principles of Accounting Principles Board Opinion ("APB") No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees and related interpretations, and applied SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, as amended by SFAS No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation - Transition and Disclosure, for disclosure purposes only. Under APB No. 25, stock-based compensation cost related to stock options was not recognized in net income (loss) since the options granted under those plans had exercise prices greater than or equal to the market value of the underlying stock on the date of grant.

Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted SFAS No. 123R (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment, which revises SFAS No. 123 and supersedes APB No. 25. SFAS No. 123R requires that all share-based payments to employees be recognized in the financial statements based on their fair values at the date of grant. The calculated fair value is recognized as expense over the requisite service period, net of estimated forfeitures, using the straight-line method under SFAS No. 123R. The statement was adopted using the modified prospective method of application which requires compensation expense to be recognized in the financial statements for all unvested stock options beginning in the quarter of adoption. No adjustments to prior periods have been made as a result of adopting SFAS No. 123R. Under this transition method, compensation expense for share-based awards granted prior to January 1, 2006, but not yet vested as of January 1, 2006, and not previously amortized through the pro forma disclosures required by SFAS No. 123, will be recognized in the Company's financial statements over their remaining service

period. The cost was based on the grant-date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS No. 123. As required by SFAS No. 123R, compensation expense recognized in future periods for share-based compensation granted prior to adoption of the standard will be adjusted for the effects of estimated forfeitures.

For the year ended December 31, 2006, the total stock-based compensation expense recognized was \$511,157. Basic and diluted loss per common share was therefore \$0.06 lower for the year ended December 31, 2006, than if the Company had continued to account for the stock-based compensation under APB 25.

F-8

The total unrecognized compensation cost at December 31, 2006, relating to non-vested share-based compensation arrangements granted under the Plan, was \$581,603. That cost is expected to be recognized over four years, with a weighted average period of 3.5 years.

During 2006, the Company granted options to purchase 2,339,465 shares of common stock under the Plan. With respect to 1,175,339 of these shares, the exercise price is \$0.88 per common share, which is equivalent to the fair value of a share of common stock on the date of grant. With respect to 5,000 of these shares, the exercise price is \$0.88 per common share, whereas the fair value of a share of common stock on the date of grant was \$0.18. With respect to options to purchase the additional 1,159,126 shares of common stock, the exercise price is \$1.00 per common share. 1,065,407 of these options were vested upon grant, whereas the remaining 1,274,058 vest over four years and have varying contractual lives ranging from four to seven years. For the year ended December 31, 2005, the Company granted 466,692 options to purchase shares of common stock with an exercise price of \$0.88, whereas the fair value of a share of common stock on the date of grant was \$0.18. All of these options vest over four years and have a seven-year contractual life. The weighted average assumptions for the periods indicated are noted in the following table:

| | For the Year Ended December 31, | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------|---------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Risk free rate | 4.85% | 4.14% |
| Expected life (in years) | 3.5 | 4.8 |
| Expected volatility | 71% | 83% |
| Expected dividends | \$ - | \$ - |
| Fair value | \$ 0.47 | \$ 0.06 |

The Company estimates the fair value of stock options under SFAS No. 123R at the date of grant using a Black-Scholes-Merton valuation model, which is consistent with the valuation technique previously utilized to value options for the footnote disclosures required under SFAS No. 123. The risk-free rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant. The expected term (estimated period of time outstanding) of options granted in 2006 is based on the “simplified” method of estimating expected term for “plain vanilla” options allowed by SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107, and varies based on the vesting period and contractual term of the option. Expected volatility for options granted in 2006 is based on an evaluation of similar companies’ trading activity. The Company has not issued any cash dividends on its common stock.

The following summary presents information regarding outstanding options as of December 31, 2006, and the changes during the twelve months then ended:

| | Shares Under Options | Weighted Average Exercise Price Per Share | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
|---|----------------------|---|---|---------------------------|
| Outstanding at January 1, 2006 | 466,692 | \$ 0.88 | | |
| Granted | 2,339,465 | 0.94 | | |
| Exercised | (100) | 0.88 | | |
| Forfeited | (461,293) | 0.89 | | |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2006 | 2,344,764 | 0.94 | 5.0 years | \$ 84,402 |
| Vested or expected to vest at December 31, 2006 | 2,216,918 | 0.94 | | 83,314 |
| Exercisable at December 31, 2006 | 1,080,268 | \$ 0.88 | 3.3 years | \$ 74,569 |

Edgar Filing: TRULITE INC - Form POS AM

The following table provides the pro forma net loss attributable to common stock and net loss per common share had the Company applied the fair value method of SFAS No. 123 for the year ended December 31, 2005. The pro forma effects presented are not necessarily indicative of the pro forma effects in future years:

F-9

| | Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2005 |
|--|--|
| Net loss attributable to common stockholders, as reported | \$ (910,026) |
| Add: Stock-based employee compensation expense included in reported net loss | - |
| Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method | 2,414 |
| Pro Forma net loss attributable to common stock | \$ (912,440) |
| Loss per share | |
| Basic - as reported | \$ (0.25) |
| Basic - pro forma | \$ (0.25) |
| Loss per share | |
| Diluted - as reported | \$ (0.25) |
| Diluted - pro forma | \$ (0.25) |

Note 4 - Property and Equipment

| | At December 31, | |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|-------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Office equipment | \$ 59,249 | \$ 27,360 |
| Manufacturing equipment | 9,491 | 9,491 |
| Test equipment | 4,150 | 4,150 |
| Total fixed assets | 72,890 | 41,001 |
| Accumulated depreciation | (22,811) | (7,963) |
| Property and equipment, net | \$ 50,079 | \$ 33,038 |

Note 5 - Accounts Payable and Accrued liabilities

| | At December 31, | |
|------------------|------------------------|-------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Accounts payable | \$ 197,267 | \$ 24,531 |
| Accrued expenses | 104,640 | 20,290 |
| | \$ 301,907 | \$ 44,821 |

As of December 31, 2006, \$62,363 of the \$197,267 accounts payable is to Standard Renewable Energy Group, LLC ("SREG"). SREG owns NewPoint Energy Solutions, LP ("NewPoint"), the owner of approximately 45.2% of the Company's common stock.

Note 6 - Income taxes

The Company did not incur any income tax expense due to operating losses and the related increase in the valuation allowance. The Company has established a valuation allowance for the full amount of the deferred tax assets as management does not currently believe that it is more likely than not that these assets will be recovered in the foreseeable future.

The reconciliation of income taxes at the statutory rate of 35% applied to the loss before taxes for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, are as follows:

| | Twelve Months Ended December 31, | |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Computed income tax benefit | \$ (1,205,153) | \$ (289,083) |
| Increase in valuation allowance | 1,205,153 | 289,083 |
| Tax expense | \$ - | \$ - |

The tax effects of the temporary differences that give rise to deferred tax assets and liabilities at December 31, 2006 are as follows:

| | At December 31, | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Deferred tax assets (liabilities): | | |
| Loss carryforwards | \$ 1,342,459 | \$ 377,575 |
| Stock-based expense | 235,659 | - |
| Other temporary differences | 10,953 | 6,343 |
| | 1,589,071 | 383,918 |
| Less valuation allowance | (1,589,071) | (383,918) |
| Net deferred tax asset | \$ - | \$ - |

At December 31, 2006, the Company had net operating loss carryforwards for federal income tax purposes of \$3,835,596 that are available to offset future taxable income. To the extent not utilized, the net operating loss carryforwards will expire in 2026.

Note 7 - Series A Preferred Stock

In May 2006, all of the Company's 8% Cumulative Convertible Series A Preferred Stock was converted into the Company's common stock.

The 8% Cumulative Convertible Series A Preferred Stock ("Series A Preferred Stock") had a liquidation value of \$1.00 per share plus dividends whether or not earned or declared from the issuance date thereof at the annual rate of eight percent (8%) (the "Preferred Dividends") of \$1.00 per share (the "Original Issue Price"), payable at the option of the Company in cash or in shares of Series A Preferred Stock. In addition, the Preferred Stock had preferential treatment in liquidation to all Common Stock and any other stock of the Company ranking junior to the Series A Preferred Stock. Accretion of cumulative dividends outstanding on these shares was \$39,275 and \$84,074 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Each share of Series A Preferred Stock was convertible at any time into common shares of the Company by dividing the original issue price by a conversion price as defined. The Series A Preferred Stock was redeemable at the option of the majority holders in cash at \$1.00 per share plus all accrued and unpaid Preferred Dividends on the fifth anniversary of the date of initial issuance or other events relating to change in 25% or more of the outstanding voting stock of the Company or a merger or consolidation as defined. Each holder of Series A Preferred Stock was entitled to the number of votes equal to the number of whole shares of Common Stock into which the shares of Series A Preferred Stock was convertible.

On May 2, 2006, 1,454,725 shares of Series A Preferred Stock were converted into 6,562,630 shares of common stock. In addition, the cumulative accreted dividends of \$129,973 were converted into 291,361 shares of common stock. Upon the conversion of the Series A Preferred Stock, the Company recorded a non-cash charge of \$1,424,762 to reflect the deemed dividend on conversion in accordance with EITF Topic D-42, "The Effect on the Calculation of Earnings per Share for the Redemption or Induced Conversion of Preferred Stock." In addition, the Company recorded a non-cash charge of \$161,388 to reflect the deemed dividend on conversion of accreted dividends. The total of the two "deemed dividends" was \$1,586,150. The amount of charge is equal to the difference in the value at the time of exchange of the shares of common stock exchanged for the preferred stock minus the value of the shares that the holders of the preferred stock otherwise would have had the right to receive upon conversion of the preferred stock. The charge did not affect the Company's reported revenue, operating income, net loss, assets, liabilities or stockholders' (deficit) equity.

Note 8 - Notes Payable and Other Related Party Transactions

On August 1, 2006, the Company subleased office space from Standard Renewable Energy Group, LLC, ("SREG"). SREG owns NewPoint Energy Solutions, LP ("NewPoint"), the owner of approximately 45.2% of the Company's common stock. The monthly rent is \$1,905 beginning August 1, 2006 (rental expense for the year was \$9,525), with future rental commitment for a lease expiring in July 2007 of approximately \$13,335.

During the twelve months ended December 31, 2006, SREG billed the Company \$71,121 for management and administrative services.

On August 9, 2006, the Company incurred indebtedness of \$250,000 pursuant to the terms of two \$125,000 promissory notes. Under the terms of the first promissory note, the Company borrowed \$125,000 from Contango Venture Capital Corporation, LLC ("CVCC") which beneficially owns approximately 17.0% of the Company's common stock. Under the terms of the second promissory note, the Company borrowed \$125,000 from Standard Renewable Energy LP, also a wholly owned subsidiary of SREG. Both notes bear interest at a rate of 11.25% until February 8, 2007, at which time the rate will become the prime rate plus 3%. Both notes mature on May 1, 2007, and may be prepaid by the Company at any time without penalty.

On September 21, 2006, the Company incurred indebtedness of \$250,000 pursuant to the terms of a promissory note to SREG. The note bears interest at a rate of 11.25% until May 21, 2007, at which time the rate will become the prime rate plus 3%. The note matures on June 18, 2007, and may be prepaid by the Company at any time without penalty.

On October 26, 2006, the Company incurred indebtedness of \$250,000 pursuant to the terms of a promissory note with SREG. The note bears interest at a rate of 11.25% until April 24, 2007, at which time the rate will become the prime rate plus 3%. The note matures on July 22, 2007, and may be prepaid by the Company at any time without penalty.

On November 22, 2006, the Company incurred indebtedness of \$400,000 pursuant to the terms of a promissory note with CVCC. The note bears interest at a rate of 11.25% until April 24, 2007, at which time the rate will become the

prime rate plus 3%. The note matures on July 22, 2007, and may be prepaid by the Company at any time without penalty.

On November 28, 2006, the Company incurred indebtedness of \$100,000 pursuant to the terms of a promissory note with SREG. The note bears interest at a rate of 11.25% until April 24, 2007, at which time the rate will become the prime rate plus 3%. The note matures on July 22, 2007, and may be prepaid by the Company at any time without penalty.

On April 5, 2007, the Company entered into agreements with SREG, Standard Renewable Energy, LP, and CVCC to convert all of the \$1,250,000 of promissory note borrowings outstanding at 12/31/2006, in addition to subsequent borrowings of \$600,000 made in February 2007, plus accrued interest, into shares of the Company's common stock. See Note 12.

F-12

Note 9 - Stockholders' Equity

Common Stock Split

In April 2005, the Company's Board of Directors authorized a five-for-one split of the Company's common stock. In conjunction with the stock split, the Company amended its certificate of incorporation to increase its authorized common stock to 20,000,000 shares and retained the par value of \$0.0001 per share. Accordingly, all references to the number of common shares authorized and common shares issued and outstanding in the accompanying financial statements have been adjusted to reflect the effects of the common stock split on a retroactive basis.

Warrants:

The Company raised additional equity of \$1,000,000 during April 2006 through the issuance of common stock for cash consideration of \$1.00 per share. These issuances of common stock also included one year warrants to purchase an additional 1,000,000 shares of common stock of the Company at an exercise price of \$1.50 per common share that expires on April 13, 2007. The value of the warrants is included as additional paid in capital.

During 2006, the Company also entered into two consulting agreements for investment banking services, under which the Company was required to issue 300,000 shares of restricted common stock and 400,000 five-year warrants to purchase the Company's common stock at \$3.00 per share. One of the agreements terminates on April 25, 2008, and the second terminates on June 30, 2008. The fair value of the warrants, utilizing the Black-Scholes method and assuming a risk-free interest rate of 4.97%, expected volatility of 77%, expected life of 5 years and no dividend yield, resulted in a fair value of \$162,155, which was recorded as a component of general and administrative expense in the second quarter of 2006.

Note 10 - Commitments

Leases

Rent expense during the twelve months ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, was \$42,198 and \$10,925, respectively. Rent expense is included in general and administrative expenses in the accompanying statements of operations.

As of December 31, 2006, total future rental commitments under all operating leases (all of which expire in 2007) total \$35,913.

Other

The Company had employment agreements with certain employees that expire through 2007, under which the committed obligations totaled \$222,500 at December 31, 2006.

Note 11 - Net Loss Per Share

| | Twelve Months Ended December 31, | |
|---|---|--------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Numerator: | | |
| Net loss | \$ (3,443,294) | \$ (825,952) |
| Increases to Net Loss: | | |
| Preferred stock dividends | (39,275) | (84,074) |
| Deemed dividend on conversion of preferred stock to common stock | (1,586,150) | - |
| Net loss attributable to common stockholders | \$ (5,068,719) | \$ (910,026) |
| Denominator | | |
| Basic earnings per share - weighted average common shares outstanding | 9,139,510 | 3,607,433 |
| Weighted-average dilutive effect of stock-based awards and common stock issuable upon conversion of preferred stock, net of assumed repurchase of treasury stock | - | - |
| Fully-diluted earnings per share - weighted average common shares outstanding | 9,139,510 | 3,607,433 |
| Net loss per common share | | |
| Basic and diluted | \$ (0.38) | \$ (0.23) |
| Preferred and deemed dividends | (0.18) | (0.02) |
| Attributable to common stockholders | \$ (0.55) | \$ (0.25) |

Basic and diluted net loss per share for the years ended December 31, 2006, and 2005, is the same since the effect of all common stock equivalents are antidilutive to the Company's net loss in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 128, Earnings per Share.

The following weighted average securities are not included in the computation of diluted loss per share as their effect would have been anti-dilutive:

| | Twelve Months Ended December 31, | |
|---|---|-------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Common stock options | 1,610,461 | 208,679 |
| Common stock warrants | 986,301 | - |
| 8% cumulative convertible series A preferred stock | 486,237 | 1,407,935 |

Note 12 - Subsequent Events

On February 6, 2007, the Company incurred indebtedness of \$360,000 pursuant to the terms of a promissory note with SREG. The note bears interest at a rate of 11.25% until August 6, 2007, at which time the rate will become the prime rate plus 3%. The note matures on October 31, 2007, and may be prepaid by the Company at any time without penalty.

On February 6, 2007, the Company incurred indebtedness of \$240,000 pursuant to the terms of a promissory note with CVCC. The note bears interest at a rate of 11.25% until August 6, 2007, at which time the rate will become the prime rate plus 3%. The note matures on October 31, 2007, and may be prepaid by the Company at any time without penalty.

On February 22, 2007, the Company's Board of Directors agreed to extend the term of the warrants, until April 13, 2008, that were issued during April 2006 in connection with the issuance of common stock for cash consideration of \$1.00 per share. See Note 9. These warrants entitled the holders to purchase an additional 1,000,000 shares of common stock of the Company at an exercise price of \$1.50 per common share that expires on April 13, 2007.

On April 4, 2007, the Company entered into a consulting agreement with the Fenway Advisory Group ("Fenway") for the following services: (i) identification of potential investors; (ii) general consulting advice regarding financing strategies; and (iii) general consulting advice regarding the Company's business. The agreement terminates on May 31, 2007. In exchange for these services, we issued to Fenway 150,000 shares of common stock and warrants having a five year term to purchase 100,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$3.00 per share.

On April 5, 2007, the Company entered into agreements with SREG, Standard Renewable Energy, LP, and CVCC regarding the exchange of all of the Company's outstanding promissory notes aggregating \$1,850,000 in principal amount for shares of the Company's common stock. Under each of these agreements, we and the holder of the note agreed that on the third business day following the last of the first ten trading days on which the Company's common stock has been traded on the Over the Counter Bulletin Board, all principal and accrued but unpaid interest on the notes would be canceled, and in consideration of cancellation the Company would issue to the holder of the notes in a private transaction a number of shares of the Company's common stock determined by multiplying 2 times the quotient of (x) the aggregate principal balance of and accrued but unpaid interest on the notes as of the close of business on the day before such issuance divided by (y) the average closing sale price for the Company's common stock as quoted on the Over the Counter Bulletin Board for the first ten trading days. At March 31, 2007, accrued but unpaid interest on the notes aggregated \$45,469.

PART II

Information Not Required in Prospectus

Item 24. Indemnification of Officers and Directors.

The following certificate of incorporation and statute provisions are the only charter and statute provisions, by-laws, contracts or other arrangements known to the registrant that insure or indemnify a controlling person, director or officer of the registrant in any manner against liability which he or she may incur in his or her capacity as such.

Section 145 of the Delaware General Corporation Law provides that a corporation may indemnify directors and officers as well as other employees and individuals against expenses including attorneys' fees, judgments, fines and amounts paid in settlement in connection with various actions, suits or proceedings, whether civil, criminal, administrative or investigative other than an action by or in the right of the corporation, a derivative action, if they acted in good faith and in a manner they reasonably believed to be in or not opposed to the best interests of the corporation, and, with respect to any criminal action or proceeding, if they had no reasonable cause to believe their conduct was unlawful. A similar standard is applicable in the case of derivative actions, except that indemnification only extends to expenses including attorneys' fees incurred in connection with the defense or settlement of such actions and the statute requires court approval before there can be any indemnification where the person seeking indemnification has been found liable to the corporation. The statute provides that it is not exclusive of other indemnification that may be granted by a corporation's certificate of incorporation, bylaws, agreement, a vote of stockholders or disinterested directors or otherwise.

Our Certificate of Incorporation provides that it will indemnify and hold harmless, to the fullest extent permitted by Section 145 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, as amended from time to time, each person that such section grants us the power to indemnify.

The Delaware General Corporation Law permits a corporation to provide in its certificate of incorporation that a director of the corporation shall not be personally liable to the corporation or its stockholders for monetary damages for breach of fiduciary duty as a director, except for liability for:

- any breach of the director's duty of loyalty to the corporation or its stockholders;
- acts or omissions not in good faith or which involve intentional misconduct or a knowing violation of law;
- payments of unlawful dividends or unlawful stock repurchases or redemptions; or
- any transaction from which the director derived an improper personal benefit.

Our Certificate of Incorporation provides that, to the fullest extent permitted by applicable law, none of our directors will be personally liable to us or our stockholders for monetary damages for breach of fiduciary duty as a director. Any repeal or modification of this provision will be prospective only and will not adversely affect any limitation, right or protection of a director of our company existing at the time of such repeal or modification.

Item 25. Other Expenses of Issuance and Distribution.

The following table sets forth the costs and expenses, other than underwriting discounts and commissions, if any, to be paid by the registrant in connection with the issuance and distribution of the shares of common stock being registered hereby. All amounts are estimates except for the Securities and Exchange Commission registration fee. The selling stockholders will not pay any of the registration expenses.

| Type of Expense | Amount of Anticipated Expense |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| SEC Registration Fee | \$ 745 |
| Legal Fees | 20,000 |
| Audit and Related Accounting Fees | 10,000 |
| Printing Costs | 1,500 |
| Miscellaneous Fees and Expenses | 1,255 |
| Total Expenses | \$ 33,500 |

Item 26. Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities.

In June 2005, pursuant to Rule 504 of Regulation D promulgated under the Securities Act, Trulite sold 1,134,725 shares of its Series A Preferred Stock to one investor, CCP, for an average cash consideration of \$0.84 per share, for an aggregate investment of \$950,000.

The Company raised additional equity of \$1,000,000 during April 2006 through the issuance of common stock for cash consideration of \$1.00 per share. These issuances of common stock to 12 accredited investors also included one year warrants to purchase an additional 1,000,000 shares of common stock of the Company at an exercise price of \$1.50 per common share that expires on April 13, 2007, which was extended to April 13, 2008 (see Note 12 in the attached notes to Financial Statements). The value of the warrants is included as additional paid in capital.

In addition, in April 2006, the Company issued 450,000 shares of our common stock and warrants to purchase 500,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$3.00 per share to Jelco, Boru and Fenway, pursuant to each of their consulting agreements.

The sales and issuances of securities described above were deemed to be exempt from registration under the Securities Act in reliance upon Section 4(2) of the Securities Act or Regulation D promulgated thereunder. All purchasers of our securities represented in writing that they were accredited investors and acquired the securities for their own accounts. A legend was placed on the stock certificates stating that the securities have not been registered under the Securities Act and cannot be sold or otherwise transferred without an effective registration or an exemption therefrom.

Item 27. Exhibits.

| Number | Description |
|--------------------|--|
| 3.1 ⁽¹⁾ | Certificate of Incorporation |
| 3.2 ⁽¹⁾ | Certificate of Amendment to the Certificate of Incorporation |
| 3.3 ⁽¹⁾ | Bylaws |
| 3.4 ⁽¹⁾ | Application of Certificate of Authority (Texas) |

- 3.5 ⁽¹³⁾ Amendment to Bylaws
- 4.1 ⁽¹⁾ Certificate of Designation of the 8% Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock, Series A
- 4.2 ⁽¹⁾ Certificate of Amendment to the Certificate of Designation of the 8% Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock, Series A
- 5.1⁽²⁾ Opinion of Feldman Weinstein
- 5.2⁽³⁾ Opinion of Jackson Walker L.L.P.

II-2

| Number | Description |
|-------------------------|--|
| 10.1 ⁽¹⁾ ** | Employment Agreement of John Sifonis |
| 10.2 ⁽¹⁾ ** | April 2005 Option Agreement of John Sifonis |
| 10.3 ⁽¹⁾ ** | October 2005 Option Agreement of John Sifonis |
| 10.4 ⁽¹⁾ ** | Employment Agreement of Kevin Shurtleff |
| 10.5 ⁽¹⁾ ** | Employment Agreement of Jerry Metz |
| 10.6 ⁽¹⁾ ** | April 2005 Option Agreement of Jerry Metz |
| 10.7 ⁽¹⁾ ** | October 2005 Option Agreement of Jerry Metz |
| 10.8 ⁽¹⁾ ** | Employment Agreement of James A. Longaker |
| 10.9 ⁽¹⁾ ** | July 2005 Option Agreement of James A. Longaker |
| 10.10 ⁽¹⁾ ** | Employment Agreement of Eric Ladd |
| 10.11 ⁽¹⁾ ** | Trulite, Inc. Stock Option Plan |
| 10.12 ⁽¹⁾ | Contribution Agreement |
| 10.13 ⁽¹⁾ | Waiver Agreement |
| 10.14 ⁽¹⁾ | Preferred Stock Purchase Agreement |
| 10.15 ⁽¹⁾ | Addendum to Preferred Stock Purchase Agreement |
| 10.16 ⁽¹⁾ | Investor's Rights Agreement |
| 10.17 ⁽¹⁾ | Right of First Refusal and Co-Sale Agreement |
| 10.18 ⁽⁴⁾ | Option Agreement with Synexus Energy, Inc. |
| 10.19 ⁽⁵⁾ | Stockholder Lock-Up Agreement with Contango Capital Partners, LP |
| 10.20 ⁽⁵⁾ | Consulting Agreement with Boru Enterprises, Inc. |
| 10.21 ⁽⁵⁾ | Memorandum of Understanding with Synexus Energy, Inc. |
| 10.22 ⁽⁵⁾ | Grant Documents from The Defense Threat Reduction Agency and the United States Air Force |
| 10.23 ⁽⁶⁾ | Consulting Agreement with Jelco, Inc. |
| 10.24 ⁽⁶⁾ | Consulting Agreement with Ascend Renewable Technologies, LLC |

Edgar Filing: TRULITE INC - Form POS AM

- 10.25 ⁽⁶⁾ ** Employment Agreement of Christopher Brydon
- 10.26 ⁽⁶⁾ ** Employment Agreement of Eric Ladd
- 10.27 ⁽⁶⁾ ** Employment Agreement of John Patton
- 10.28 ⁽⁶⁾ ** Employment Agreement of Kevin Shurtleff
- 10.29 ⁽⁶⁾ Stockholder Lock-Up Agreement with James Longaker
- 10.30 ⁽⁶⁾ Stockholder Lock-Up Agreement with John Sifonis
- 10.31 ⁽⁶⁾ Stockholder Lock-Up Agreement with Kevin Shurtleff
- 10.32 ⁽⁶⁾ Stockholder Lock-Up Agreement with Eric Ladd
- 10.33 ⁽⁶⁾ ** Amended Stock Option Plan
- 10.34 ⁽⁶⁾ ** Stock Option Agreement with John Berger
- 10.35 ⁽⁶⁾ ** Stock Option Agreement with Christopher Brydon
- 10.36 ⁽⁶⁾ ** Stock Option Agreement with William Flores
- 10.37 ⁽⁶⁾ ** Stock Option Agreement with Richard Hoesterey
- 10.38 ⁽⁶⁾ ** Stock Option Agreement with Evan Hughes
- 10.39 ⁽⁶⁾ ** Stock Option Agreement with Eric Ladd
- 10.40 ⁽⁶⁾ ** Stock Option Agreement with Jenny Ligums
- 10.41 ⁽⁶⁾ ** Stock Option Agreement with James Longaker
- 10.42 ⁽⁶⁾ ** Stock Option Agreement with Eric Melvin
- 10.43 ⁽⁶⁾ ** Stock Option Agreement with John Patton
- 10.44 ⁽⁶⁾ ** Stock Option Agreement with Kevin Shurtleff
- 10.45 ⁽⁷⁾ Consulting Agreement with Ken Pearson
- 10.46 ⁽⁷⁾ Consulting Agreement with Jonathan Godshall
- 10.47 ⁽⁸⁾ Form of Warrant Agreement for the April 13, 2006 private offering
- 10.48 ⁽⁸⁾ Form of Warrant Agreement for the Boru and Jelco issuances
- 10.49 ⁽⁸⁾ Resignation Letter of Thomas Samson
- 10.50 ⁽⁹⁾ Revised Consulting Agreement with Boru Enterprises, Inc.

- 10.51 ⁽¹⁰⁾ Employment Agreement dated August 7, 2006 with Jonathan Godshall.
**
- 10.52 ⁽¹⁰⁾ Promissory Note dated August 9, 2006 made by Trulite in favor of Contango Venture Capital Corporation, LLC
- 10.53 ⁽¹⁰⁾ Promissory Note dated August 9, 2006 made by Trulite in favor of Standard Renewable Energy Group, L.P.
- 10.54 ⁽¹⁴⁾ Stock Option Agreement with Contango Capital Partners, LP

II-3

Edgar Filing: TRULITE INC - Form POS AM

| Number | Description |
|-----------------------------|--|
| 10.55 ⁽¹⁴⁾ ** | Stock Option Agreement with John Berger (May 2006) |
| 10.56 ⁽¹¹⁾ | Promissory Note dated September 21, 2006 made by Trulite in favor of Standard Renewable Energy Group, LLC |
| 10.57 ⁽³⁾ ** | Employment Agreement dated January 1, 2007 with Kenneth Pearson. |
| 10.58 ⁽¹²⁾ | Promissory Note, dated October 26, 2006, made by Trulite, Inc., in favor of Standard Renewable Energy Group, LLC |
| 10.59 ⁽¹²⁾ | Promissory Note, dated November 28, 2006, made by Trulite, Inc., in favor of Standard Renewable Energy Group, LLC |
| 10.60 ⁽¹²⁾ | Promissory Note, dated November 22, 2006, made by Trulite, Inc., in favor of Contango Venture Capital Corporation |
| 10.61 ⁽¹⁵⁾ | Promissory Note dated February 6, 2007, made by Trulite in favor of Standard Renewable Energy Group, LLC |
| 10.62 ⁽¹⁵⁾ | Promissory Note dated February 6, 2007, made by Trulite in favor of Contango Venture Capital Corporation |
| 10.63 ⁽¹⁶⁾ | Consulting Agreement, dated April 4, 2007, by and between Trulite and Fenway Advisory Group. |
| 10.64 ⁽¹⁶⁾ | Form of Warrant Agreement issued to Fenway Advisory Group |
| 10.65 ⁽¹⁶⁾ | Subscription Agreement, dated April 5, 2006, by and between Trulite, Inc. and Standard Renewable Energy Group, LP |
| 10.66 ⁽¹⁶⁾ | Subscription Agreement, dated April 5, 2006, by and between Trulite, Inc. and Standard Renewable Energy Group, LLC |
| 10.67 ⁽¹⁶⁾ | Subscription Agreement, dated April 5, 2006, by and between Trulite, Inc. and Contango Venture Capital Corporation |
| 10.68 ⁽¹⁶⁾ | Form of Amendment to Warrant |
| 23.1 ⁽¹⁶⁾ | Consent of Independent Registered Accounting Firm |
| 24 ⁽²⁾ | Power of Attorney |

** Management contract or compensatory plan.

(1) Previously filed as an exhibit to Form 10-SB, filed December 23, 2005

(2) Previously filed as an exhibit to Form SB-2, filed June 6, 2006

- (3) Previously filed as an exhibit to Form SB-2/A, filed January 30, 2007
- (4) Previously filed as an exhibit to Form 10-SB/A, filed February 23, 2006
- (5) Previously filed as an exhibit to Form 10-SB/A, filed April 21, 2006
- (6) Previously filed as an exhibit to Form 10-SB/A, filed June 8, 2006
- (7) Previously filed as an exhibit to Form 10-SB/A, filed July 7, 2006
- (8) Previously filed as an exhibit to Form 10-SB/A, filed July 28, 2006
- (9) Previously filed as an exhibit to Form 10-SB/A, filed October 6, 2006
- (10) Previously filed as an exhibit to the Company's Form 8-K dated August 7, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference
- (11) Previously filed as an exhibit to the Company's Form 8-K dated September 19, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference
- (12) Previously filed as an exhibit to the Company's Form 8-K dated October 26, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference
- (13) Previously filed as an exhibit to the Company's Form 8-K dated October 31, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference
- (14) Previously filed as an exhibit to Form 10-SB/A, filed December 22, 2006
- (15) Previously filed as an exhibit to the Company's Form 8-K dated January 1, 2007 and incorporated herein by reference
- (16) Filed herewith.

Item 28. Undertakings.

The registrant hereby undertakes:

- 1) To file, during any period in which it offers or sells securities, a post-effective amendment to this registration statement to:
 - a. include any prospectus required by Section 10(a)(3) of the Securities Act of 1933;
 - b. reflect in the prospectus any facts or events which, individually or together, represent a fundamental change in the information in the registration statement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, any increase or decrease in volume of securities offered (if the total dollar value of securities offered would not exceed that which was registered) and any deviation from the low or high end of the estimated maximum offering range may be reflected in the form of prospectus filed with the SEC pursuant to Rule 424(b) if, in the aggregate, the changes in volume and price represent no more than 20 percent change in the maximum offering price set forth in the "Calculation of Registration Fee" table in the effective registration statement; and
 - c. include any additional or changed material information on the plan of distribution.
- 2) For determining liability under the Securities Act, to treat each post-effective amendment, including those that contain a form of prospectus, as a new registration statement for the securities offered, and the offering of the securities at that time to be the initial bona fide offering of those securities.
- 3) To file a post-effective amendment to remove from registration any of the securities that remain unsold at the end of the offering.
- 4) Insofar as indemnification for liabilities arising under the Securities Act may be permitted to directors, officers and controlling persons of the small business issuer pursuant to the foregoing provisions, or otherwise, the small business issuer has been advised that in the opinion of the Securities and Exchange Commission such indemnification is against public policy as expressed in the Act and is, therefore, unenforceable.

In the event that a claim for indemnification against such liabilities, other than the payment by the small business issuer of expenses incurred or paid by a director, officer or controlling person of the small business issuer in the successful defense of any action, suit or proceeding, is asserted by such director, officer or controlling person in connection with the securities being registered, the small business issuer will, unless in the opinion of its counsel the matter has been settled by controlling precedent, submit to a court of appropriate jurisdiction the question whether such indemnification by it is against public policy as expressed in the Act and will be governed by the final adjudication of such issue.

5) That, for the purpose of determining liability under the Securities Act to any purchaser:

(i) If the registrant is relying on Rule 430B:

(A) Each prospectus filed by the registrant pursuant to Rule 424(b)(3) shall be deemed to be part of the registration statement as of the date the filed prospectus was deemed part of and included in the registration statement; and

(B) Each prospectus required to be filed pursuant to Rule 424(b)(2), (b)(5), or (b)(7) as part of a registration statement in reliance on Rule 430B relating to an offering made pursuant to Rule 415(a)(1)(i), (vii), or (x) for the purpose of providing the information required by section 10(a) of the Securities Act shall be deemed to be part of and included in the registration statement as of the earlier of the date such form of prospectus is first used after effectiveness or the

date of the first contract of sale of securities in the offering described in the prospectus. As provided in Rule 430B, for liability purposes of the issuer and any person that is at that date an underwriter, such date shall be deemed to be a new effective date of the registration statement relating to the securities in the registration statement to which that prospectus relates, and the offering of such securities at that time shall be deemed to be the initial bona fide offering thereof. Provided, however, that no statement made in a registration statement or prospectus that is part of the registration statement or made in a document incorporated or deemed incorporated by reference into the registration statement or prospectus that is part of the registration statement will, as to a purchaser with a time of contract of sale prior to such effective date, supersede or modify any statement that was made in the registration statement or prospectus that was part of the registration statement or made in any such document immediately prior to such effective date; or

II-5

(ii) If the registrant is subject to Rule 430C, each prospectus filed pursuant to Rule 424(b) as part of a registration statement relating to an offering, other than registration statements relying on Rule 430B or other than prospectuses filed in reliance on Rule 430A, shall be deemed to be part of and included in the registration statement as of the date it is first used after effectiveness. Provided, however, that no statement made in a registration statement or prospectus that is part of the registration statement or made in a document incorporated or deemed incorporated by reference into the registration statement or prospectus that is part of the registration statement will, as to a purchaser with a time of contract of sale prior to such first use, supersede or modify any statement that was made in the registration statement or prospectus that was part of the registration statement or made in any such document immediately prior to such date of first use.

II-6

SIGNATURES

In accordance with the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, the Registrant certifies that it has reasonable grounds to believe that it meets all of the requirements of filing on Form SB-2 and authorized the registration statement to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, in the City of Houston, State of Texas, on April 9, 2007.

TRULITE, INC.

By: /s/ Jonathan Godshall

Jonathan Godshall, Director, President
and Chief Executive Officer

In accordance with the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, this Registration Statement has been signed by the following persons in the capacities and the dates indicated.

| Name | Title | Date |
|--|--|---------------|
| /s/ Jonathan Godshall <hr/> Jonathan Godshall | Director, President and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer) | April 9, 2007 |
| /s/ G. Wade Stubblefield <hr/> G. Wade Stubblefield | Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer) | April 9, 2007 |
| * <hr/> John Berger | Chairman of the Board of Directors | April 9, 2007 |
| * <hr/> Richard Hoesterey | Director | April 9, 2007 |
| /s/ John Sifonis <hr/> John Sifonis | Director | April 9, 2007 |
| * <hr/> General Randolph House | Director | April 9, 2007 |
| * <hr/> Eric Melvin | Director | April 9, 2007 |

*

John White

*By /s/ John Sifonis

John Sifonis
Attorney-in-Fact
