

ENERGY PARTNERS LTD
Form DEFA14A
April 15, 2011

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
SCHEDULE 14A

(RULE 14a-101)

INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

**Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the
Securities Exchange Act of 1934**

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material Pursuant to Rule 14a-11(c) or Rule 14a-12

ENERGY PARTNERS, LTD.

Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(4) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

Edgar Filing: ENERGY PARTNERS LTD - Form DEFA14A

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (Set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

.. Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

.. Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the form or schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount previously paid:

(2) Form, schedule or registration statement no.:

(3) Filing party:

(4) Date filed:

Energy Partners, Ltd.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Stockholder Meeting

to Be Held on Thursday, May 26, 2011

The Proxy Statement, Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010

and other proxy materials are available at: <http://www.proxyvoting.com/epl>

This communication presents only an overview of the more complete proxy materials that are available to you on the Internet.

We encourage you to access and review all of the important information contained in the proxy materials before voting.

If you want to receive a paper or e-mail copy of these documents, you must request one. There is no charge to you for requesting a copy. Please make your request for a copy as instructed below on or before May 12, 2011 to facilitate timely delivery.

TO REQUEST PAPER COPIES OF PROXY MATERIALS:

(please reference your 11-digit control number when requesting materials)

By opting out to receive printed materials, your preference for future proxy mailings will be kept on our file.

Telephone: **1-888-313-0164**
(outside of the U.S. and Canada call 201-680-6688).

Email: **shrrelations@bnymellon.com**
(you must reference your 11-digit control number in your email)

Internet: **<http://www.proxyvoting.com/epl>**

TO VOTE YOUR SHARES SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON REVERSE SIDE

This is not a proxy card. You cannot use this notice to vote your shares.

Dear Energy Partners, Ltd. Stockholder:

The 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Energy Partners, Ltd. (the Company) will be held at the Company's corporate offices at 201 St. Charles Avenue, Suite 3400, New Orleans, Louisiana 70170, on Thursday, May 26, 2011, at 9:00 a.m. Central Daylight Time.

Proposals to be considered at the Meeting:

Edgar Filing: ENERGY PARTNERS LTD - Form DEFA14A

- (1) Election of six (6) nominees to the Company's Board of Directors.

Charles O. Buckner

Scott A. Griffiths

Gary C. Hanna

Marc McCarthy

Steven J. Pully

William F. Wallace

- (2) Approval of an amendment to the Company's 2009 Long Term Incentive Plan to increase the number of shares of common stock available for awards under the plan to 2,474,000.
- (3) Ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the Company's independent registered public accountants for the year ending December 31, 2011.
- (4) Approval, by a non-binding advisory vote, of the Company's executive compensation.
- (5) Determination, by a non-binding advisory vote, of the frequency of future non-binding advisory votes to approve the Company's executive compensation.
- (6) Adjournment or postponement of the Meeting, as necessary.
- (7) Transaction of such other business as may properly come before the Meeting.

Management recommends a vote FOR Items 1, 2, 3, 4 and 6.

Management recommends a vote for 1 YEAR with respect to Item 5.

Management makes no recommendation with respect to Item 7.

The Board of Directors has fixed the close of business on April 7, 2011 as the record date (the Record Date) for the determination of stockholders entitled to receive notice of and to vote at the Meeting or any adjournment(s) or postponement(s) thereof.

CONTROL NUMBER

è

**YOU MUST REFERENCE YOUR 11-DIGIT CONTROL NUMBER WHEN
YOU REQUEST A PAPER COPY OF THE PROXY MATERIALS OR TO
VOTE YOUR PROXY ELECTRONICALLY OR BY TELEPHONE.**

è

97283

Stockholders of record as of the Record Date are encouraged and cordially invited to attend the Meeting. Directions to attend the Meeting where you may vote in person can be found on our website, www.eplweb.com.

Meeting Location:

Energy Partners, Ltd. Corporate Offices

201 St. Charles Avenue, Suite 3400

New Orleans, LA 70170

The following materials are available for you to review online:

the Company's 2011 Proxy Statement (including all attachments thereto);
the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, as amended (which is not deemed to be part of the official proxy soliciting materials); and
any amendments to the foregoing materials that are required to be furnished to stockholders.

To request a paper copy of the Proxy Materials:

(you must reference your 11-digit control number located on the reverse side of this form)

Telephone: **1-888-313-0164** (outside of the U.S. and Canada call 201-680-6688)
Email: **shrrelations@bnymellon.com** *(you must reference your 11-digit control number in your email)*
Internet: **<http://www.proxyvoting.com/epl>**

The Proxy Materials for Energy Partners, Ltd. are available to review at:

<http://www.proxyvoting.com/epl>

Have this notice available when you request a PAPER copy of the Proxy Materials,

when you want to view your proxy materials online

OR WHEN YOU WANT TO VOTE YOUR PROXY ELECTRONICALLY OR BY TELEPHONE.

HOW TO VOTE

We encourage you to review the proxy materials online before voting.

You will need to reference the 11-digit control number located on the reverse side and you should have this notice in hand when you vote.

BY INTERNET

Use the Internet to vote your shares. On the landing page of the above website in the box labeled To Vote Your Shares by Internet click on **Vote Now** to access the electronic proxy card and vote your shares.

97283

= "top" style="padding: 0in 0in 0in 0in; width: 41.0%; ">

Total interest expense

3,955

4,901

7,928

10,064

Net interest income

17,611

16,547

34,926

32,341

Provision for loan losses

2,600

2,384

	3,232
	7,198
	6,493
Service charges on deposit accounts	
	2,034
	2,187
	3,958
	4,185
Bankcard transaction revenue	
	960
	863
	1,837
	1,614
Gains on sales of mortgage loans held for sale	

441

445

823

830

Brokerage commissions and fees

530

503

1,043

959

Bank owned life insurance income

255

248

504

491

10

Other

271

445

794

1,327

Total non-interest income

8,152

7,923

16,157

15,899

Non-interest expenses:

Salaries and employee benefits

8,648

8,319

17,048

16,408

Net occupancy expense

1,357

1,296

2,587

2,572

Data processing expense

1,346

1,322

	2,483
	2,459
Furniture and equipment expense	
	337
	321
	692
	635
FDIC insurance expense	
	339
	531
	960
	1,002
Other	
	2,698

	2,592
	5,782
	5,063
Total non-interest expenses	
	14,725
	14,381
	29,552
	28,139
Income before income taxes	
	8,438
	7,705
	16,131
	15,022
Income tax expense	

	2,441
	2,149
	4,643
	4,485
Net income	
	5,997
	5,556
	11,488
	10,537
Net income per share:	

Edgar Filing: ENERGY PARTNERS LTD - Form DEFA14A

Basic

\$

0.43

\$

0.41

\$

0.83

\$

0.77

Diluted

\$

0.43

\$

0.40

\$

0.83

\$

0.77

Average common shares:

Basic	13,789
	13,690
	13,768
	13,668
Diluted	13,879
	13,790
	13,857

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**S.Y. BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

For the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010

(In thousands)

	2011	2010
Operating activities:		
Net income	\$ 11,488	\$ 10,537
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Provision for loan losses	5,400	5,079
Depreciation, amortization and accretion, net	1,917	1,653
Deferred income tax benefit	(635)	(1,184)
Gain on sales of mortgage loans held for sale	(823)	(830)
Origination of mortgage loans held for sale	(42,953)	(54,494)
Proceeds from sale of mortgage loans held for sale	51,724	61,810
Bank owned life insurance income	(504)	(491)
Decrease (increase) in value of private investment fund	102	(368)
Loss on the disposal of equipment	382	2
Loss (gain) on the sale of other real estate	32	(51)
Stock compensation expense	564	455
Excess tax benefits from share-based compensation arrangements	(77)	(48)
Decrease (increase) in accrued interest receivable and other assets	951	(7,804)
Increase (decrease) in accrued interest payable and other liabilities	(8,864)	11,257
Net cash provided by operating activities	18,704	25,523
Investing activities:		
Purchases of securities available for sale	(132,819)	(91,798)
Proceeds from sale of securities available for sale		
Proceeds from maturities of securities available for sale	121,840	110,259
Proceeds from maturities of securities held to maturity	20	10
Net increase in loans	(41,503)	(63,540)
Purchases of premises and equipment	(4,750)	(1,353)
Proceeds from disposal of premises and equipment	7	3
Proceeds from sale of foreclosed assets	5,293	887
Net cash used in investing activities	(51,912)	(45,532)
Financing activities:		
Net increase in deposits	38,903	55,647
Net increase in securities sold under agreements to repurchase and federal funds purchased	2,273	1,357
Net decrease in other short-term borrowings	(755)	(326)
Proceeds from Federal Home Loan Bank advances		10,000
Repayments of Federal Home Loan Bank advances	(5)	(5)
Repayments of subordinated debentures		(30)
Issuance of common stock for options and dividend reinvestment plan	381	442
Excess tax benefits from share-based compensation arrangements	77	48
Common stock repurchases	(167)	(79)
Cash dividends paid	(4,956)	(4,649)
Net cash provided by financing activities	35,751	62,405
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	2,543	42,396
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	41,655	32,424
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 44,198	\$ 74,820
Supplemental cash flow information:		

Edgar Filing: ENERGY PARTNERS LTD - Form DEFA14A

Income tax payments	\$	985	\$	4,080
Cash paid for interest		8,053		10,036
Supplemental non-cash activity:				
Transfers from loans to other real estate owned	\$	7,599	\$	1,364

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**S.Y. BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**

Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity

For the six months ended June 30, 2011

(In thousands, except per share data)

	Common stock		Additional paid-in capital	Retained earnings	Accumulated other comprehensive income	Total
	Number of shares	Amount				
Balance December 31, 2010	13,737	\$ 6,679	\$ 12,206	\$ 147,837	\$ 3,139	\$ 169,861
Net income				11,488		11,488
Change in accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax					1,577	1,577
Stock compensation expense			564			564
Stock issued for stock options exercised and dividend reinvestment plan	46	154	710			864
Stock issued for non-vested restricted stock	42	140	866	(1,006)		
Cash dividends, \$0.36 per share				(4,956)		(4,956)
Shares repurchased or cancelled	(26)	(88)	(536)	51		(573)
Balance June 30, 2011	13,799	\$ 6,885	\$ 13,810	\$ 153,414	\$ 4,716	\$ 178,825

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**S.Y. BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010

(In thousands)

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Net income	\$ 5,997	\$ 5,556	\$ 11,488	\$ 10,537
Other comprehensive income, net of tax:				
Unrealized gains on securities available for sale:				
Unrealized gains arising during the period (net of tax of \$775, \$598, \$849 and \$908, respectively)	1,440	1,110	1,577	1,687
Other comprehensive income	1,440	1,110	1,577	1,687
Comprehensive income	\$ 7,437	\$ 6,666	\$ 13,065	\$ 12,224

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

S.Y. BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

(1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and do not include all information and footnotes required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (US GAAP) for complete financial statements. The consolidated financial statements of S.Y. Bancorp, Inc. (Bancorp) and its subsidiary reflect all adjustments (consisting only of adjustments of a normal recurring nature) which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair presentation of financial condition and results of operations for the interim periods.

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of S.Y. Bancorp, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Stock Yards Bank & Trust Company (Bank). S.Y. Bancorp Capital Trust II is a Delaware statutory trust that is a wholly-owned unconsolidated finance subsidiary of S.Y. Bancorp, Inc. Significant intercompany transactions and accounts have been eliminated in consolidation.

A description of other significant accounting policies is presented in the notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended December 31, 2010 included in S.Y. Bancorp, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K. Certain reclassifications have been made in the prior year financial statements to conform to current year classifications.

Interim results for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011 are not necessarily indicative of the results for the entire year.

Critical Accounting Policies

Management has identified the accounting policy related to the allowance for loan losses as critical to the understanding of Bancorp's results of operations and discussed this conclusion with the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors. Since the application of this policy requires significant management assumptions and estimates, it could result in materially different amounts to be reported if conditions or underlying circumstances were to change. Assumptions include many factors such as changes in borrowers' financial condition which can change quickly or historical loss ratios related to certain loan portfolios which may or may not be indicative of future losses. To the extent that management's assumptions prove incorrect, the results from operations could be materially affected by a higher or lower provision for loan losses. The accounting policy related to the allowance for loan losses is applicable to the commercial banking segment of Bancorp.

Additionally, management has identified the accounting policy related to accounting for income taxes as critical to the understanding of Bancorp's results of operations and discussed this conclusion with the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors. The objectives of accounting for income taxes are to recognize the amount of taxes payable or refundable for the current year and deferred tax liabilities and assets for the future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in an entity's financial statements or tax returns. Judgment is required in assessing the future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in Bancorp's financial statements or tax returns. Fluctuations in the actual outcome of these future tax consequences, including the effects of periodic IRS and state agency examinations, could materially impact

Bancorp's financial position and its results from operations.

Table of Contents**(2) Securities**

The amortized cost, unrealized gains and losses, and fair value of securities available for sale follow:

June 30, 2011			Unrealized		
Securities available for sale	Amortized		Gains	Losses	Fair Value
(in thousands)	Cost				
U.S. Treasury and other U.S. government obligations	\$ 23,000	\$	\$	\$	\$ 23,000
Government sponsored enterprise obligations	100,808		2,948		103,756
Mortgage-backed securities	58,936		2,801	35	61,702
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	66,624		1,953	25	68,552
Trust preferred securities of other financial institutions	1,250		20		1,270
Total securities available for sale	\$ 250,618	\$	7,722	\$ 60	\$ 258,280

December 31, 2010			Unrealized		
Securities available for sale	Amortized		Gains	Losses	Fair Value
(in thousands)	Cost				
Government sponsored enterprise obligations	\$ 111,802	\$	2,737	\$	\$ 114,539
Mortgage-backed securities	58,616		2,348	216	60,748
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	68,429		777	417	68,789
Trust preferred securities of other financial institutions	1,250		6		1,256
Total securities available for sale	\$ 240,097	\$	5,868	\$ 633	\$ 245,332

The investment portfolio includes a significant level of obligations of states and political subdivisions. The issuers of the bonds are generally school districts or essential-service public works projects. The bonds are concentrated in Kentucky, Indiana and Ohio. Each of these securities has a rating of A or better by a recognized bond rating agency.

Table of Contents

At December 31, 2010, Bancorp had mortgage-backed securities classified as held to maturity. These securities, with an amortized cost of \$20,000, had a fair value of \$22,000. There are no securities held to maturity as of June 30, 2011.

In addition to the available for sale portfolio, investment securities held by Bancorp include certain securities which are not readily marketable and are carried at cost. This category includes holdings of Federal Home Loan Bank of Cincinnati (FHLB) stock which are required as part of the condition for borrowing availability from the FHLB and are classified as restricted securities. See Note 5 for information relating to FHLB borrowings. Other securities consist of a Community Reinvestment Act (CRA) investment which matures in 2014, and is fully collateralized with a government agency security of similar duration.

A summary of securities as of June 30, 2011 based on contractual maturity is presented below. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because some issuers have the right to call or prepay obligations.

(In thousands)	Securities Available for Sale	
	Cost	Fair Value
Due within one year	\$ 67,308	\$ 67,354
Due within one year through five years	87,624	90,217
Due within five years through ten years	38,034	40,153
Due after ten years	57,652	60,556
	\$ 250,618	\$ 258,280

Table of Contents

Securities with unrealized losses not recognized in income at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 are as follows:

(In thousands)	Less than 12 months		12 months or more		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
June 30, 2011						
Mortgage-backed securities	\$ 4,881	\$ 35	\$	\$	\$ 4,881	\$ 35
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	2,186	25			2,186	25
Total temporarily impaired securities	\$ 7,067	\$ 60	\$	\$	\$ 7,067	\$ 60
December 31, 2010						
Mortgage-backed securities	\$ 9,620	\$ 216	\$	\$	\$ 9,620	\$ 216
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	31,444	417			31,444	417
Total temporarily impaired securities	\$ 41,064	\$ 633	\$	\$	\$ 41,064	\$ 633

Unrealized losses on Bancorp's investment securities portfolio have not been recognized in income because the securities are of high credit quality, and the decline in fair values is largely due to changes in the prevailing interest rate environment since the purchase date. The fair value is expected to recover as the securities reach their maturity date and/or the interest rate environment returns to conditions similar to when the securities were purchased. These investments consist of 5 and 49 separate investment positions as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, that are not considered other-than-temporarily impaired. Based on this information, Bancorp has not recorded other-than-temporary losses on any securities held at June 30, 2011.

Management evaluates the impairment of securities on a quarterly basis, considering various factors including issuer financial condition, agency rating, payment prospects, impairment duration and general industry condition. As of June 30, 2011, Bancorp had no securities which had been impaired for 12 months or longer. As of June 30, 2011, Bancorp had one trust preferred security with a credit rating below investment grade Caa1 by Moody's Investor Service. This security had an amortized cost of \$1,000,000, a carrying value of \$1,014,000, and an unrealized gain of \$14,000. Because management does not intend to sell the investments, and it is not likely that Bancorp will be required to sell the investments before recovery of their amortized cost bases, which may be maturity, management is of the opinion that none of the securities are other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2011.

(3) Stock-Based Compensation

The fair value of all new and modified awards granted, net of estimated forfeitures, is recognized as compensation expense over the respective service period. Forfeiture estimates are based on historical experience.

Bancorp currently has one stock-based compensation plan. Initially, in the 2005 Stock Incentive Plan, there were 735,000 shares of common stock reserved for issuance of stock based awards. In 2010, shareholders approved a proposal to amend the 2005 Stock Incentive Plan to reserve an additional 700,000

Table of Contents

shares of common stock for issuance under the plan. As of June 30, 2011, there were 668,820 shares available for future awards. Bancorp's 1995 Stock Incentive Plan expired in 2005; however, options granted under this plan expire as late as 2015.

Options and stock appreciation rights (SARs) granted generally have been subject to a vesting schedule of 20% per year. Prior to 2009, equity grants to certain executive officers vested six months after grant date. Restricted shares generally vest over three to five years. All awards under both plans have been granted at an exercise price equal to the market value of common stock at the time of grant; options and SARs expire ten years after the grant date.

In April 2011, the Board of Directors of Bancorp approved an amendment to the 2005 Stock Incentive Plan to authorize restricted stock units (RSUs) as a type of award that the Company may grant pursuant to the Plan. The RSU awards entitle those officers to issuance of one share of common stock for each vested RSU shortly after expiration of a three-year performance period, provided certain goals are achieved. Executives do not have voting rights and do not receive dividends or other distributions paid on stock related to RSUs, until that stock is actually issued.

Bancorp has recognized stock-based compensation expense, within salaries and employee benefits in the consolidated statements of income, as follows:

	For three months ended		For six months ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Stock-based compensation expense before income taxes	\$ 316,000	\$ 247,000	\$ 564,000	\$ 455,000
Deferred tax benefit	110,000	86,000	197,000	159,000
Reduction of net income	\$ 206,000	\$ 161,000	\$ 367,000	\$ 296,000

Bancorp expects to record an additional \$662,000 of stock-based compensation expense in 2011 for equity grants outstanding as of June 30, 2011. As of June 30, 2011, Bancorp has \$3,503,000 of unrecognized stock-based compensation expense that will be recorded as compensation expense over the next five years as awards vest. Bancorp received cash of \$369,000 and \$429,000 from the exercise of options during the first six months of 2011 and 2010, respectively.

The fair value of Bancorp's stock options and SARs is estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model, a leading formula for calculating the value of stock options. This model requires the input of subjective assumptions, changes to which can materially affect the fair value estimate. The fair value of restricted shares is determined by Bancorp's closing stock price on the date of grant. The fair value of restricted stock units is determined by Bancorp's closing stock price on the date of the award, less the present value of dividends expected to be paid during the performance period.

Edgar Filing: ENERGY PARTNERS LTD - Form DEFA14A

Table of Contents

The following assumptions were used in SAR/option valuations at the grant date in each year:

	2011	2010
Dividend yield	2.48%	2.18%
Expected volatility	22.64	23.87
Risk free interest rate	2.90	3.57
Forfeitures	6.07	5.96
Expected life of options and SARs (in years)	7.5	7.6

The dividend yield and expected volatility are based on historical information corresponding to the expected life of options and SARs granted. The expected volatility is the volatility of the underlying shares for the expected term on a monthly basis. The risk free interest rate is the implied yield currently available on U. S. Treasury issues with a remaining term equal to the expected life of the options.

All outstanding options have a 10-year contractual term from the date of grant. The expected life of options is based on actual experience of past like-term awards. Bancorp evaluated historical exercise and post-vesting termination behavior when determining the expected life of options and SARs.

A summary of stock option and SARs activity and related information for the six months ended June 30, 2011 follows. The number of options and SARs and aggregate intrinsic value are stated in thousands.

	Options and SARs	Exercise Price	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Aggregate Intrinsic Value	Weighted Average Fair Value	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life
At December 31, 2010						
Vested and exercisable	710	\$ 16.00-26.83	\$ 22.03	\$ 2,007	\$ 4.91	4.15
Unvested	273	21.03-26.83	22.85	552	5.36	7.72
Total outstanding	983	16.00-26.83	22.26	2,559	5.03	5.14
Granted	67	23.76-24.87	23.78		5.04	
Exercised	(46)	16.00-22.81	16.93	323	3.47	
Forfeited	(9)	18.05-26.83	21.54	18	4.85	
At June 30, 2011						
Vested and exercisable	755	16.00-26.83	22.55	1,090	5.07	4.10
Unvested	240	21.03-26.83	22.82	211	5.22	8.20
Total outstanding	995	16.00-26.83	22.61	\$ 1,301	5.11	5.09
Vested during year	95	21.03-26.83	23.59	\$ 59	5.48	

Intrinsic value for stock options is defined as the amount by which the current market price of the underlying stock exceeds the exercise price.

Table of Contents

In the first quarter of 2011, Bancorp granted 66,579 SARs at the weighted average current market price of \$23.78 and a Black-Scholes fair value of \$5.04. There were no SARs granted in the second quarter. In the first six months of 2011, Bancorp granted 41,991 shares of restricted common stock at the weighted average current market price of \$23.96. Also in the second quarter of 2011, Bancorp awarded RSUs with a fair value of \$21.99 to executive officers of the Bank, the three-year performance period for which began January 1, 2011. Bancorp believes, based on most recent analysis, the most likely vesting of these RSUs will be 20,228 shares of common stock.

(4) Loans

The composition of loans by primary loan classification follows:

(In thousands)	June 30, 2011		December 31, 2010	
Commercial and industrial	\$	365,008	\$	343,956
Real estate mortgage:				
Commercial investment		382,753		362,904
Owner occupied commercial		313,531		316,291
1-4 family residential		159,320		157,983
Home equity - first lien		38,376		39,449
Home equity - junior lien		83,880		91,813
Subtotal: Real estate mortgage		977,860		968,440
Construction and development		158,412		159,482
Consumer		37,670		36,547
Total loans	\$	1,538,950	\$	1,508,425

An analysis of the changes in the allowance for loan losses for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 follows (in thousands):

	2011		2010	
Beginning balance January 1,	\$	25,543	\$	20,000
Provision for loan losses		5,400		5,079
Loans charged off		(3,715)		(2,560)
Recoveries		336		414
Ending balance June 30,	\$	27,564	\$	22,933

Table of Contents

The following table presents the balance in the allowance for loan losses and the recorded investment in loans by portfolio segment and based on impairment method as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

June 30, 2011 (in thousands)	Type of Loan						Total
	Commercial and industrial	Construction and development	Real estate mortgage	Consumer	Unallocated		
Allowance for credit losses							
Beginning balance							
December 31, 2010	\$ 2,796	\$ 3,630	\$ 12,272	\$ 623	\$ 6,222	\$	25,543
Charge-offs	(816)	(1,226)	(1,366)	(307)			(3,715)
Recoveries	20		116	200			336
Provision	3,390	989	2,613	60	(1,652)		5,400
Ending balance							
June 30, 2011	\$ 5,390	\$ 3,393	\$ 13,635	\$ 576	\$ 4,570	\$	27,564
Balance:							
individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 500	\$	\$ 824	\$	\$	\$	1,324
Balance:							
collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 4,890	\$ 3,393	\$ 12,811	\$ 576	\$ 4,570	\$	26,240
Balance: loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality							
\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	
Loans							
Balance	\$ 365,008	\$ 158,412	\$ 977,860	\$ 37,670	\$	\$	1,538,950
Balance:							
individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 2,209	\$ 700	\$ 12,815	\$ 96	\$	\$	15,820
Balance:							
collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 362,799	\$ 157,712	\$ 965,045	\$ 37,574	\$	\$	1,523,130
Balance: loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality							
\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	

Table of Contents

December 31, 2010 (in thousands)	Type of Loan					Total
	Commercial and industrial	Construction and development	Real estate mortgage	Consumer	Unallocated	
Allowance for credit losses						
Beginning balance						
December 31, 2009	\$ 4,091	\$ 1,518	\$ 6,513	\$ 947	\$ 6,931	\$ 20,000
Charge-offs	(1,418)	(2,211)	(2,450)	(687)		(6,766)
Recoveries	115	26	163	536		840
Provision	8	2,947	8,046	(173)	641	11,469
Ending balance						
December 31, 2010	\$ 2,796	\$ 2,280	\$ 12,272	\$ 623	\$ 7,572	\$ 25,543
Balance: individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 90	\$	\$ 1,724	\$	\$	\$ 1,814
Balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 2,706	\$ 2,280	\$ 10,548	\$ 623	\$ 7,572	\$ 23,729
Balance: loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Loans						
Balance	\$ 343,956	\$ 159,482	\$ 968,440	\$ 36,547	\$	\$ 1,508,425
Balance: individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 520	\$ 700	\$ 15,955	\$ 95	\$	\$ 17,270
Balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 343,436	\$ 158,782	\$ 952,485	\$ 36,452	\$	\$ 1,491,155
Balance: loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$

Information regarding impaired loans follows (in thousands):

	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Principal balance of impaired loans	\$ 15,820	\$ 17,270
Impaired loans with a valuation allowance	3,832	7,335
Amount of valuation allowance	1,324	1,814
Impaired loans with no valuation allowance	11,988	9,935
Average balance of impaired loans for the period	15,572	13,212

Edgar Filing: ENERGY PARTNERS LTD - Form DEFA14A

Management uses the following classes of loans when assessing and monitoring the risk and performance of the loan portfolio:

- Commercial and industrial
- Real estate mortgage
- Construction and development
- Consumer

Table of Contents

The following table presents loans individually evaluated for impairment as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

June 30, 2011 (in thousands)	Recorded investment	Unpaid principal balance	Related allowance
Loans with no related allowance recorded			
Commercial and industrial	\$ 794	\$ 1,918	
Real estate mortgage	10,398	11,653	
Construction and development	700	700	
Consumer	96	139	
Loans with an allowance recorded			
Commercial and industrial	\$ 1,415	\$ 2,000	\$ 500
Real estate mortgage	2,417	2,417	824
Construction and development			
Consumer			
Total			
Commercial and industrial	\$ 2,209	\$ 3,918	\$ 500
Real estate mortgage	\$ 12,815	\$ 14,070	\$ 824
Construction and development	\$ 700	\$ 700	\$
Consumer	\$ 96	\$ 139	\$

Table of Contents

December 31, 2010 (in thousands)	Recorded investment	Unpaid principal balance	Related allowance
Loans with no related allowance recorded			
Commercial and industrial	\$ 420	\$ 1,982	
Real estate mortgage	8,720	9,455	
Construction and development	700	700	
Consumer	95	140	
Subtotal	9,935	12,277	
Loans with an allowance recorded			
Commercial and industrial	\$ 100	\$ 292	\$ 90
Real estate mortgage	7,235	7,235	1,724
Construction and development			
Consumer			
Subtotal	7,335	7,527	1,814
Total			
Commercial and industrial	\$ 520	\$ 2,274	\$ 90
Real estate mortgage	\$ 15,955	\$ 16,690	\$ 1,724
Construction and development	\$ 700	\$ 700	\$
Consumer	\$ 95	\$ 140	\$
Subtotal	17,270	19,804	1,814

Unpaid principal balance does not reflect partial charge-offs which may have occurred over the life of the loans.

Impaired loans include non-accrual loans and loans accounted for as troubled debt restructuring. Non-performing loans include the balance of impaired loans plus any loans over 90 days past due and still accruing interest.

Edgar Filing: ENERGY PARTNERS LTD - Form DEFA14A

Table of Contents

The following table presents the recorded investment in non-accrual loans as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

(In thousands)	June 30 2011	December 31 2010
Commercial and industrial	\$ 2,286	\$ 2,328
Construction and development	3,288	4,589
Real estate mortgage	9,899	7,194
Consumer	97	277
Total	\$ 15,570	\$ 14,388

Included in non-performing loans are loans accounted for as troubled debt restructuring totaling \$250,000 at June 30, 2011 and \$2,882,000 at December 31, 2010, which continue to accrue interest.

The following table presents the aging of the recorded investment in past due loans as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

June 30, 2011 (in thousands)	30-59 days past due	60-89 days past due	Greater than 90 days past due	Total past due	Current	Total loans	Recorded investment > 90 days and accruing
Commercial and industrial	\$ 1,006	\$ 92	\$ 2,209	\$ 3,307	\$ 361,701	\$ 365,008	\$
Real estate mortgage	4,815	5,650	14,228	24,693	953,167	977,860	1,663
Construction and development	699	2,318	700	3,717	154,695	158,412	
Consumer	222	7	96	325	37,345	37,670	
Total	\$ 6,742	\$ 8,067	\$ 17,233	\$ 32,042	\$ 1,506,908	\$ 1,538,950	\$ 1,663

December 31, 2010 (in thousands)	30-59 days past due	60-89 days past due	Greater than 90 days past due	Total past due	Current	Total loans	Recorded investment > 90 days and accruing
Commercial and industrial	\$ 1,681	\$ 194	\$ 547	\$ 2,422	\$ 341,534	\$ 343,956	\$ 27
Real estate mortgage	5,943	4,821	15,039	25,803	942,637	968,440	1,966
Construction and development	256		700	956	158,526	159,482	
Consumer	69	4	146	219	36,328	36,547	51
Total	\$ 7,949	\$ 5,019	\$ 16,432	\$ 29,400	\$ 1,479,025	\$ 1,508,425	\$ 2,044

Bancorp categorizes loans into credit risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of borrowers to service their debt such as: current financial information, historical payment experience, credit documentation, public information and current economic trends. Pass-rated loans included all risk-rated loans other than those classified as special mention, substandard, and doubtful, which are defined below:

- Special Mention: Loans classified as special mention have a potential weakness that deserves management's close attention. If left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may result in

Table of Contents

deterioration of repayment prospects for the loan or of the Bank's credit position at some future date.

- **Substandard:** Loans classified as substandard are inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of collateral pledged, if any. Loans so classified have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize repayment of the debt. They are characterized by the distinct possibility that the Bank will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected.

- **Doubtful:** Loans classified as doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified as substandard, with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or repayment in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions and values, highly questionable and improbable.

As of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the risk categories of loans were as follows:

Credit risk profile by internally assigned grade

(in thousands)

June 30, 2011	Commercial and industrial	Real estate mortgage	Construction and development	Consumer	Total
Grade					
Pass	\$ 328,320	\$ 899,500	\$ 142,670	\$ 37,632	\$ 1,408,122
Special mention	18,756	34,296	6,258	14	59,324
Substandard	17,932	44,064	9,484	24	71,504
Doubtful					
Total	\$ 365,008	\$ 977,860	\$ 158,412	\$ 37,670	\$ 1,538,950

December 31, 2010	Commercial and industrial	Real estate mortgage	Construction and development	Consumer	Total
Grade					
Pass	\$ 315,053	\$ 891,762	\$ 140,986	\$ 36,172	\$ 1,383,973
Special mention	20,440	30,402	6,222	67	57,131
Substandard	8,463	46,276	12,274	308	67,321
Doubtful					
Total	\$ 343,956	\$ 968,440	\$ 159,482	\$ 36,547	\$ 1,508,425

Table of Contents**Credit risk profile based on payment activity**

(in thousands)

June 30, 2011	Commercial and industrial	Real estate mortgage	Construction and development	Consumer	Total
Performing	\$ 362,799	\$ 963,382	\$ 157,712	\$ 37,574	\$ 1,521,467
Non-performing	2,209	14,478	700	96	17,483
Total	\$ 365,008	\$ 977,860	\$ 158,412	\$ 37,670	\$ 1,538,950

December 31, 2010	Commercial and industrial	Real estate mortgage	Construction and development	Consumer	Total
Performing	\$ 343,409	\$ 950,519	\$ 158,782	\$ 36,401	\$ 1,489,111
Non-performing	547	17,921	700	146	19,314
Total	\$ 343,956	\$ 968,440	\$ 159,482	\$ 36,547	\$ 1,508,425

(5) Federal Home Loan Bank Advances

The Bank had outstanding borrowings of \$60,437,000 at June 30, 2011, via six separate advances. For five advances totaling \$60 million, all of which are non-callable, interest payments are due monthly, with principal due at maturity. The sixth advance totals \$437,000, and principal and interest payments are due monthly based on a 15 year amortization schedule. In the fourth quarter of 2010, Bancorp restructured and extended terms on two advances with FHLB resulting in lower interest cost over the remaining term of these advances. Prepayment penalties totaling \$1,336,000 were incurred. In accordance with US GAAP, prepayment penalties associated with the modification of advances are to be amortized over the life of the new advances as interest expense, resulting in effective interest rates greater than the contractual rate paid to FHLB. The following is a summary of the contractual maturities and average effective rates as of June 30, 2011:

(In thousands)	Advance	Rate
2013	\$ 20,000	1.55%
2014	20,000	2.43%
2015	20,000	3.34%
2024	437	2.40%
	\$ 60,437	2.44%

Advances from the FHLB are collateralized by certain commercial and residential real estate mortgage loans under a blanket mortgage collateral agreement and FHLB stock.

The Bank's agreement with the Federal Home Loan Bank of Cincinnati (FHLB) enables the Bank to borrow up to an additional \$184.5 million as of June 30, 2011 under terms to be established at the time of the advance. The Bank also has a standby letter of credit from the FHLB for \$17.8 million outstanding at

Table of Contents

June 30, 2011. Under Kentucky law, customer cash balances in investment management and trust accounts, may be retained as deposits in the Bank. Kentucky law requires these deposit accounts above the \$250,000 per account protection provided by the FDIC to be backed by some form of collateral. The standby letter of credit from the FHLB collateralizes these accounts beyond the FDIC protection as required by Kentucky law.

(6) Goodwill and Intangible Assets

US GAAP requires that goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite useful lives not be amortized, but instead be tested for impairment at least annually. Annual evaluations have resulted in no charges for impairment. Bancorp currently has goodwill from the acquisition of a bank in southern Indiana in the amount of \$682,000. This goodwill is assigned to the commercial banking segment of Bancorp.

Mortgage servicing rights (MSRs) are amortized in proportion to and over the period of estimated net servicing income, considering appropriate prepayment assumptions. MSRs are evaluated quarterly for impairment by comparing the carrying value to the fair value. The estimated fair values of MSRs at June 30, 2011 and December 31 2010 were \$2,327,000 and \$2,188,000, respectively. The total outstanding principal balances of loans serviced for others were \$272,585,000 and \$254,988,000 at June 30, 2011, and December 31, 2010 respectively. Changes in the net carrying amount of MSRs for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 are shown in the following table.

(in thousands)		2011		2010
Balance at beginning of period	\$	1,785	\$	1,616
Originations		224		257
Amortization		(346)		(236)
Balance at June 30	\$	1,663	\$	1,637

(7) Defined Benefit Retirement Plan

The Bank sponsors an unfunded, non-qualified, defined benefit retirement plan for certain key officers with no plan to add additional participants. Benefits vest based on years of service. The actuarially determined pension costs are expensed and accrued over the service period, and benefits are paid from the Bank's assets. The net periodic benefits costs, which include interest cost and amortization of net losses, totaled \$31,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010. For the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, the net periodic benefit costs totaled \$62,000.

(8) Commitments and Contingent Liabilities

As of June 30, 2011, Bancorp had various commitments outstanding that arose in the normal course of business, including standby letters of credit and commitments to extend credit, which are properly not reflected in the consolidated financial statements. In management's opinion, commitments to extend credit of \$335,625,000 including standby letters of credit of \$10,318,000 represent normal banking transactions, and no significant losses are anticipated to result from these commitments as of June 30, 2011. Commitments to extend credit were \$350,314,000, including letters of credit of \$9,598,000, as of December 31, 2010. Bancorp's maximum exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance

by the other party to these commitments is represented by the contractual amount of these instruments. Bancorp uses the same credit and collateral policies in making commitments and conditional guarantees as for on-

Table of Contents

balance sheet instruments. Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses. Commitments to extend credit are mainly made up of commercial lines of credit, construction and development loans and home equity credit lines. Since some of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. Bancorp evaluates each customer's creditworthiness on a case by case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary by Bancorp upon extension of credit, is based on management's credit evaluation of the customer. Collateral held varies but may include accounts receivable, inventory, equipment, and real estate.

Standby letters of credit and financial guarantees written are conditional commitments issued by Bancorp to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. Those guarantees are primarily issued to support private borrowing arrangements. Standby letters of credit generally have maturities of one to two years.

Bancorp has entered into agreements to guarantee the performance of several customers' contracts with other financial institutions. Bancorp will make payments under these agreements if a customer defaults on its obligations to the other financial institutions. The terms of the agreements range from 1 to 20 months. The maximum potential future payment guaranteed by Bancorp cannot be readily estimated because it is dependent upon the fair value of the contracts at the time of default. If an event of default on all contracts had occurred at June 30, 2011, Bancorp would have been required to make payments of approximately \$2,811,000. No payments have ever been required as a result of default on these contracts. These agreements are normally collateralized generally with real properties, equipment, inventories and receivables by the customer, which limits Bancorp's credit risk associated with the agreements.

(9) Preferred Stock

Bancorp has a class of preferred stock (no par value; 1,000,000 shares authorized), the relative rights, preferences and other terms of which or any series within the class will be determined by the Board of Directors prior to any issuance. Some of this preferred stock would be used in connection with a shareholders' rights plan upon the occurrence of certain triggering events. None of this stock had been issued as of June 30, 2011.

Table of Contents**(10) Net Income Per Share**

The following table reflects, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, net income (the numerator) and average shares outstanding (the denominator) for the basic and diluted net income per share computations:

(In thousands, except per share data)	Three months ended June 30			Six months ended June 30		
	2011	2010	2010	2011	2010	2010
Net income, basic and diluted	\$ 5,997	\$ 5,556	\$ 5,556	\$ 11,488	\$ 10,537	\$ 10,537
Average shares outstanding	13,789	13,690	13,690	13,768	13,668	13,668
Effect of dilutive securities	90	100	100	89	84	84
Average shares outstanding including dilutive securities	13,879	13,790	13,790	13,857	13,752	13,752
Net income per share, basic	\$ 0.43	\$ 0.41	\$ 0.41	\$ 0.83	\$ 0.77	\$ 0.77
Net income per share, diluted	\$ 0.43	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.83	\$ 0.77	\$ 0.77

(11) Segments

The Bank's, and thus Bancorp's, principal activities include commercial banking and investment management and trust. Commercial banking provides a full range of loan and deposit products to individuals, consumers and businesses. Commercial banking also includes the Bank's mortgage banking and securities brokerage activity. Investment management and trust provides wealth management services including investment management, trust and estate administration, retirement plan services and financial planning.

The financial information for each business segment reflects that which is specifically identifiable or allocated based on an internal allocation method. Principally, all of the net assets of Bancorp are involved in the commercial banking segment. Income taxes are allocated to the investment management and trust segment based on the marginal federal tax rate since all activity giving rise to the difference between marginal and effective tax rates occurs in the commercial banking segment. The measurement of the performance of the business segments is based on the management structure of the Bank and is not necessarily comparable with similar information for any other financial institution. The information presented is also not indicative of the segments' operations, if they were independent entities.

Edgar Filing: ENERGY PARTNERS LTD - Form DEFA14A

Table of Contents

Selected financial information by business segment for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 follows:

(In thousands)	Three months ended June 30		Six Months ended June 30	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Net interest income				
Commercial banking	\$ 17,581	\$ 16,520	\$ 34,863	\$ 32,284
Investment management and trust	30	27	63	57
Total	\$ 17,611	\$ 16,547	\$ 34,926	\$ 32,341
Provision for loan losses:				
Commercial banking	\$ 2,600	\$ 2,384	\$ 5,400	\$ 5,079
Investment management and trust				
Total	\$ 2,600	\$ 2,384	\$ 5,400	\$ 5,079
Non-interest income:				
Commercial banking	\$ 4,491	\$ 4,691	\$ 8,959	\$ 9,406
Investment management and trust	3,661	3,232	7,198	6,493
Total	\$ 8,152	\$ 7,923	\$ 16,157	\$ 15,899
Non-interest expense:				
Commercial banking	\$ 12,667	\$ 12,456	\$ 25,698	\$ 24,396
Investment management and trust	2,058	1,925	3,854	3,743
Total	\$ 14,725	\$ 14,381	\$ 29,552	\$ 28,139
Tax expense				
Commercial banking	\$ 1,869	\$ 1,682	\$ 3,450	\$ 3,503
Investment management and trust	572	467	1,193	982
Total	\$ 2,441	\$ 2,149	\$ 4,643	\$ 4,485
Net income:				
Commercial banking	\$ 4,936	\$ 4,689	\$ 9,274	\$ 8,712
Investment management and trust	1,061	867	2,214	1,825
Total	\$ 5,997	\$ 5,556	\$ 11,488	\$ 10,537

(12) Income Taxes

US GAAP provides guidance on financial statement recognition and measurement of tax positions taken, or expected to be taken, in tax returns. As of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 the gross amount of unrecognized tax benefits was \$308,000 and \$230,000, respectively. At June 30, 2011, if recognized, \$284,000 of the tax benefits would increase net income, resulting in a decrease of the effective tax rate. The amount of unrecognized tax benefits may increase or decrease in the future for various reasons including adding amounts for current tax year positions, expiration of open income tax returns due to statutes of limitation, changes in management's judgment about the level of uncertainty, status of examination, litigation and legislative activity and the addition or elimination of uncertain tax positions.

Table of Contents

Bancorp's policy is to report interest and penalties, if any, related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense. As of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the amount accrued for the potential payment of interest and penalties was \$20,000.

(13) Derivative Financial Instruments

Bancorp typically manages its interest rate risk without the use of hedging instruments, and currently does not have derivative financial instruments employed for any reason except for the accommodation of customers. Bancorp enters into free-standing interest rate swaps for the benefit of its commercial customers who desire to hedge their exposure to changing interest rates. Bancorp hedges its interest rate exposure on commercial customer transactions by entering into offsetting swap agreements with approved reputable independent counterparties with substantially matching terms. Because of matching terms of offsetting contracts and the collateral provisions mitigating any non-performance risk, changes in fair value subsequent to initial recognition are expected to have an insignificant effect on earnings. Exchanges of cash flows related to the interest rate swap agreements for the first six months of 2011 were offsetting and therefore had no effect on Bancorp's earnings or cash flows.

At June 30, 2011, Bancorp's interest rate swaps are recognized as other assets and liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets at fair value. Bancorp's derivative instruments have not been designated as hedging instruments. The interest rate swap agreements derive their value from underlying interest rates. These transactions involve both credit and market risk. The notional amounts are amounts on which calculations, payments, and the value of the derivative are based. Notional amounts do not represent direct credit exposures. Direct credit exposure is limited to the net difference between the calculated amounts to be received and paid, if any. Bancorp is exposed to credit-related losses in the event of nonperformance by the counterparties to these agreements. Bancorp controls the credit risk of its financial contracts through credit approvals, limits and monitoring procedures, and does not expect any counterparties to fail their obligations.

At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, Bancorp had outstanding interest rate swap contracts as follows:

(dollar amounts in thousands)	Receiving		Paying	
	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Notional amount	\$ 5,070	\$ 5,270	\$ 5,070	\$ 5,270
Weighted average maturity (years)	7.7	8.1	7.7	8.1
Fair value	\$ (319)	\$ (305)	\$ 319	\$ 305

(14) Fair Value Measurements

Bancorp follows the provisions of the authoritative guidance for fair value measurements. This guidance is definitional and disclosure oriented and addresses how companies should approach measuring fair value when required by US GAAP. The guidance prescribes various disclosures about financial statement categories and amounts which are measured at fair value, if such disclosures are not already specified elsewhere in US GAAP.

Table of Contents

The authoritative guidance defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between participants at the measurement date. The guidance also establishes a hierarchy to group assets and liabilities carried at fair value in three levels based upon the markets in which the assets and liabilities trade and the reliability of assumptions used to determine fair value. These levels are:

- Level 1 Valuation is based upon quoted prices for identical instruments traded in active markets.

- Level 2 Valuation is based upon quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active, and model-based valuation techniques for which all significant assumptions are observable in the market.

- Level 3 Valuation is generated from model-based techniques that use significant assumptions not observable in the market. These unobservable assumptions would reflect internal estimates of assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. Valuation techniques could include pricing models, discounted cash flows and other similar techniques.

Bancorp's policy is to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs in fair value measurements. Where there exists limited or no observable market data, Bancorp uses its own estimates generally considering characteristics of the asset/liability, the current economic and competitive environment and other factors. For this reason, results cannot be determined with precision and may not be realized on an actual sale or immediate settlement of the asset or liability.

Bancorp's investment securities available for sale and derivative instruments are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. Other accounts including mortgage loans held for sale, mortgage servicing rights, impaired loans and other real estate owned may be recorded at fair value on a non-recurring basis, generally in the application of lower of cost or market adjustments or write-downs of specific assets.

The portfolio of investment securities available for sale is comprised of debt securities of U.S. government-sponsored corporations, mortgage-backed securities, obligations of state and political subdivisions, and trust preferred securities of other banks. Trust preferred securities are priced using quoted prices of identical securities in an active market. These measurements are classified as Level 1 in the hierarchy above. All other securities are priced using standard industry models or matrices with various assumptions such as yield curves, volatility, prepayment speeds, default rates, time value, credit rating and market prices for the instruments. These assumptions are generally observable in the market place and can be derived from or supported by observable data. These measurements are classified as Level 2 in the hierarchy above.

Interest rate swaps are valued using primarily Level 2 inputs. Fair value measurements are obtained from an outside pricing service. Prices obtained are generally based on dealer quotes, benchmark forward yield curves, and other relevant observable market data. For purposes of potential valuation adjustments to derivative positions, Bancorp evaluates the credit risk of its counterparties as well as its own credit risk. To date, Bancorp has not realized any losses due to a counterparty's inability to perform and the change in value of derivative assets and liabilities attributable to credit risk was not significant during 2011.

Table of Contents

Below are the carrying values of assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis (in thousands).

(In thousands)	Total	Fair Value at June 30, 2011		
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Assets				
Investment securities available for sale				
U.S. Treasury and other U.S. government obligations	\$ 23,000	\$	\$ 23,000	\$
Government sponsored enterprise obligations	103,756		103,756	
Mortgage-backed securities	61,702		61,702	
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	68,552		68,552	
Trust preferred securities of financial institutions	1,270	1,270		
Total investment securities available for sale	258,280	1,270	257,010	
Interest rate swap	319		319	
Total Assets	\$ 258,599	\$ 1,270	\$ 257,329	\$
Liabilities				
Interest rate swap	\$ 319	\$	\$ 319	\$

Table of Contents

(In thousands)	Total	Fair value at December 31, 2010		
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Assets				
Investment securities available for sale				
Government sponsored enterprise obligations	\$ 114,539	\$	\$ 114,539	\$
Mortgage-backed securities	60,748		60,748	
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	68,789		68,789	
Trust preferred securities of financial institutions	1,256	1,256		
Total investment securities available for sale	245,332	1,256	244,076	
Interest rate swap	305		305	
Total Assets	\$ 245,637	\$ 1,256	\$ 244,381	\$
Liabilities				
Interest rate swap	\$ 305	\$	\$ 305	\$

Mortgage loans held for sale are recorded at the lower of cost or market value. The portfolio is comprised of residential real estate loans and fair value is based on specific prices of underlying contracts for sales to investors. These measurements are classified as Level 2. Because the fair value of the loans held for sale exceeded their carrying value, they are not included in either table below for June 30, 2011 or December 31, 2010.

Mortgage servicing rights (MSRs) are recorded at fair value upon capitalization, are amortized to correspond with estimated servicing income, and are periodically assessed for impairment based on fair value at the reporting date. Fair value is based on a valuation model that calculates the present value of estimated net servicing income. The model incorporates assumptions that market participants would use in estimating future net servicing income. These measurements are classified as Level 3. At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 there was no valuation allowance for the mortgage servicing rights, as the fair value exceeded the cost. Accordingly, the MSRs are not included in either table below for June 30, 2011 or December 31, 2010.

Other real estate owned, which is carried at the lower of cost or fair value, is periodically assessed for impairment based on fair value at the reporting date. Fair value is determined from external appraisals using judgments and estimates of external professionals. Many of these inputs are not observable and, accordingly, these measurements are classified as Level 3. At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the carrying value of other real estate owned was \$7,187,000 and \$5,445,000, respectively. Other real estate owned is not included in either table below, as the fair value of the properties exceeded their carrying value at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

For impaired loans in the table below, the fair value is calculated as the carrying value of only loans with a specific valuation allowance, less the specific allowance. As of June 30, 2011, total impaired loans with a valuation allowance were \$3.8 million, and the specific allowance totaled \$1.3 million, resulting in a fair value of \$2.5 million. The losses represent the change in the specific allowances for the period indicated. See Note 4 for more information on impaired loans and allowances.

Below are the carrying values of assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis (in thousands).

(in thousands)	Total	Fair value at June 30, 2011			Losses for 6 month period ended June 30, 2011
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Impaired loans	\$ 2,508	\$	\$	\$ 2,508	\$ (469)

(in thousands)	Total	Fair value at December 31, 2010			Losses for 6 month period ended June 30, 2010
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Impaired loans	\$ 5,521	\$	\$	\$ 5,521	\$ (755)

(15) Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The estimated fair values of financial instruments are as follows:

(In thousands)	June 30, 2011		December 31, 2010	
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
Financial assets				
Cash and short-term investments	\$ 44,198	\$ 44,198	\$ 41,655	\$ 41,655
Mortgage loans held for sale	4,439	4,477	12,387	12,626
Securities	258,280	258,280	245,352	245,354
Federal Home Loan Bank stock and other securities	5,949	5,949	5,772	5,772
Loans, net	1,511,386	1,531,700	1,482,882	1,507,079
Accrued interest receivable	5,928	5,928	6,288	6,288
Interest rate swap	319	319	305	305
Financial liabilities				
Deposits	\$ 1,532,371	\$ 1,549,766	\$ 1,493,468	\$ 1,512,882
Short-term borrowings	89,027	89,027	87,509	87,509
Long-term borrowings	101,337	100,499	101,342	100,815
Accrued interest payable	179	179	304	304
Interest rate swap	319	319	305	305

Off balance sheet financial instruments

Commitments to extend credit

Standby letters of credit

(155)

(144)

Table of Contents

Management used the following methods and assumptions to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instrument for which it is practicable to estimate the value.

Cash, Short-term investments, Federal Home Loan Bank stock, Accrued interest receivable/payable and Short-term borrowings

For these short-term instruments, the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

Securities

For securities, fair value equals quoted market price, if available. If a quoted market price is not available, fair value is estimated using quoted market prices for similar securities or dealer quotes.

Mortgage loans held for sale

The fair value of mortgage loans held for sale is determined by market quotes for each loan based on loan type, term, rate, size and the borrower's credit score.

Loans, net

US GAAP prescribes the exit price concept for estimating fair value of loans. Because there is not a liquid market (exit price) for trading the predominant types of loans in Bancorp's portfolio, the fair value of loans is estimated by discounting future cash flows using current rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the same remaining maturities (e.g. entrance price).

Interest rate swaps

Fair value measurements are obtained from an outside pricing service. Prices obtained are generally based on dealer quotes, benchmark forward yield curves, and other relevant observable market data.

Deposits

The fair value of demand deposits, savings accounts, and certain money market deposits is the amount payable on demand at the reporting date. The fair value of fixed-rate certificates of deposits is estimated by discounting the future cash flows using the rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities.

Long-term borrowings

The fair value of long-term borrowings is estimated by discounting the future cash flows using estimates of the current market rate for instruments with similar terms and remaining maturities.

Commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit

The fair values of commitments to extend credit are estimated using fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements and the creditworthiness of the customers. The fair values of standby letters of credit are based on fees currently charged for similar agreements or the estimated cost to terminate them or otherwise settle the obligations with the counterparties at the reporting date.

Table of Contents

Limitations

The fair value estimates are made at a specific point in time based on relevant market information and information about the financial instruments. Because no market exists for a significant portion of Bancorp's financial instruments, fair value estimates are based on judgments regarding future expected loss experience, current economic conditions, risk characteristics of various financial instruments, and other factors. These estimates are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment and therefore cannot be determined with precision. Therefore, the calculated fair value estimates in many instances cannot be substantiated by comparison to independent markets and, in many cases, may not be realizable in a current sale of the instrument. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect the estimates.

(16) Regulatory Matters

Bancorp and the Bank are subject to various capital requirements prescribed by banking regulations and administered by federal banking agencies. Under these requirements, Bancorp and the Bank must meet minimum amounts and percentages of Tier I and total capital, as defined, to risk weighted assets and Tier I capital to average assets. Risk weighted assets are determined by applying certain risk weightings prescribed by the regulations to various categories of assets and off-balance sheet commitments. Capital and risk weighted assets may be further subject to qualitative judgments by regulators as to components, risk weighting and other factors. Failure to meet the capital requirements can result in certain mandatory, and possibly discretionary, corrective actions prescribed by the regulations or determined to be necessary by the regulators, which could materially affect the consolidated financial statements. Management believes Bancorp and the Bank met all capital requirements to which they were subject as of June 30, 2011.

Edgar Filing: ENERGY PARTNERS LTD - Form DEFA14A

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth Bancorp's and the Bank's risk based capital amounts and ratios as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

June 30, 2011 (Dollars in thousands)	Actual		Minimum For Adequate		Minimum For Well Capitalized	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
Total risk-based capital (1)						
Consolidated	\$ 234,249	14.12%	\$ 132,719	8.00%	NA	NA
Bank	196,932	11.95%	131,837	8.00%	\$ 164,797	10.00%
Tier I risk-based capital (1)						
Consolidated	\$ 203,426	12.26%	\$ 66,371	4.00%	NA	NA
Bank	166,248	10.09%	65,906	4.00%	\$ 98,859	6.00%
Leverage (2)						
Consolidated	\$ 203,426	10.55%	\$ 57,846	3.00%	NA	NA
Bank	166,248	8.66%	57,592	3.00%	\$ 95,986	5.00%
December 31, 2010						
(Dollars in thousands)	Actual		Minimum For Adequate		Minimum For Well Capitalized	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
Total risk-based capital (1)						
Consolidated	\$ 226,421	13.93%	\$ 130,034	8.00%	NA	NA
Bank	183,562	11.37%	129,155	8.00%	\$ 161,444	10.00%
Tier I risk-based capital (1)						
Consolidated	\$ 196,040	12.06%	\$ 65,022	4.00%	NA	NA
Bank	153,311	9.49%	64,620	4.00%	\$ 96,930	6.00%
Leverage (2)						
Consolidated	\$ 196,040	10.31%	\$ 57,044	3.00%	NA	NA
Bank	153,311	8.12%	56,642	3.00%	\$ 94,403	5.00%

(1) Ratio is computed in relation to risk-weighted assets.

(2) Ratio is computed in relation to average assets.

NA Not applicable. Regulatory framework does not define well capitalized for holding companies.

The variance between the consolidated and the Bank's capital ratios is largely due to the Bancorp's 2008 issuance of \$30 million of trust preferred securities and a special dividend of \$25 million from the Bank to Bancorp in December 2009 as part of a strategy to minimize state bank taxes.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

This item discusses the results of operations for S.Y. Bancorp, Inc. (Bancorp or Company), and its subsidiary, Stock Yards Bank & Trust Company (Bank) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and compares these periods with the same periods of the previous year. Unless otherwise indicated, all references in this discussion to the Bank include Bancorp. In addition, the discussion describes the significant changes in the financial condition of Bancorp and the Bank that have occurred during the first six months of 2011 compared to the year ended December 31, 2010. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes presented in Part 1, Item 1 of this report.

This report contains forward-looking statements under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act that involve risks and uncertainties. Although Bancorp believes the assumptions underlying the forward-looking statements contained herein are reasonable, any of these assumptions could be inaccurate. Factors that could cause actual results to differ from results discussed in forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to the following: economic conditions both generally and more specifically in the markets in which Bancorp and the Bank operate; competition for Bancorp's customers from other providers of financial services; government legislation and regulation which change from time to time and over which Bancorp has no control; changes in interest rates; material unforeseen changes in liquidity, results of operations, or financial condition of Bancorp's customers; and other risks detailed in Bancorp's filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, all of which are difficult to predict and many of which are beyond the control of Bancorp.

Overview of 2011 through June 30

Bancorp completed the first six months of 2011 with net income of \$11.49 million or 9% more than the comparable period of 2010. The increase is primarily due to an improvement in net interest income, partially offset by increasing non-interest expenses. Diluted earnings per share for the first six months of 2011 were \$0.83 compared to the first six months of 2010 at \$0.77.

As is the case with most banks, the primary source of Bancorp's revenue is net interest income and fees from various financial services provided to customers. Net interest income is the difference between interest income earned on loans, investment securities and other interest earning assets less interest expense on deposit accounts and other interest bearing liabilities. Loan volume and the interest rates earned on those loans are critical to overall profitability. Similarly deposit volume is crucial to funding loans and rates paid on deposits directly impact profitability. Business volumes are influenced by overall economic factors including market interest rates, business spending, consumer confidence and competitive conditions within the market place.

Year-over-year total loans increased \$62 million or 4%, and this was the driving force for growth in interest income. Increased loan volume more than offset the effect of the declining interest rates on loans and investment securities over the past year. Interest expense declined due to lower funding costs on deposits and borrowings. Rates paid on liabilities decreased more than rates declined on assets, contributing to an increased net interest spread and margin compared to the first six months of 2010.

Distinguishing Bancorp from other similarly sized community banks is the magnitude of its investment management and trust revenue, making total non-interest income a continuing key contributor to earnings. Income from investment management and trust services, which constitutes an average of 40% of non-interest income, increased 11% for the six month period primarily due to higher asset values. Investment management

revenue is earned as a percentage of the market value of the assets under management and therefore is tied directly to the broader market's overall performance.

Table of Contents

Bancorp experienced increases in bankcard transaction income and brokerage income, partially offset by decreases in gains on service charges on deposit accounts and other non-interest income. Non-interest income as a percentage of total revenues was 32% in the first half of 2011, compared to 33% in the first half of 2010.

Higher non-interest expense in 2011 was reflected in almost all categories resulting largely from costs of market expansion including personnel.

Also affecting 2011 results, Bancorp's provision for loan losses was \$5.4 million in the first six months compared to \$5.1 million in the first half of 2010. Management's action to provide for loan losses in 2011 reflected ongoing concern that the current economic downturn and prospects for a slow recovery will continue to take a toll on the Company's loan portfolio and underlying collateral values, extending its impact to lending relationships that have to date not been identified. The provision for the first half of 2011 results from a consistent allowance methodology that is driven by risk ratings. Bancorp's allowance for loan losses was 1.79% of total loans at June 30, 2011, compared with 1.69% of total loans at December 31, 2010, and 1.55% at June 30, 2010.

Tangible common equity (TCE), a non-GAAP measure, is a measure of a company's capital which is useful in evaluating the quality and adequacy of capital. The ratio of tangible common equity to total tangible assets was 9.17% as of June 30, 2011, compared to 8.89% at December 31, 2010. See the Non-GAAP Financial Measures section for details on reconciliation to US GAAP measures.

The following sections provide more details on subjects presented in this overview.

a) Results Of Operations

Net income of \$5,997,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2011 increased \$441,000, or 7.9%, from \$5,556,000 for the comparable 2010 period. Basic net income per share was \$0.43 for the second quarter of 2011, compared to \$0.41 for the second quarter of 2010, a 4.9% increase. Net income per share on a diluted basis was \$0.43 for the second quarter of 2011, compared to \$0.40 for the second quarter of 2010, a 7.5% increase. Annualized return on average assets and annualized return on average stockholders' equity were 1.24% and 13.62%, respectively, for the second quarter of 2011, compared to 1.23% and 13.93%, respectively, for the same period in 2010.

Net income of \$11,488,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2011 increased \$951,000, or 9.0%, from \$10,537,000 for the comparable 2010 period. Basic net income per share was \$0.83 for the first six months of 2011, compared to \$0.77 for the same period of 2010, a 7.8% increase. Net income per share on a diluted basis was also \$0.83 for the first six months of 2011 compared to \$0.77 for the same period of 2010. Annualized return on average assets and annualized return on average stockholders' equity were 1.21% and 13.26%, respectively, for the first six months of 2011, compared to 1.18% and 13.35%, respectively, for the same period in 2010.

Net Interest Income

Edgar Filing: ENERGY PARTNERS LTD - Form DEFA14A

The following tables present the average balance sheets for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 along with the related calculation of tax-equivalent net interest income, net interest margin and net interest spread for the related periods. See the notes following the tables for further explanation.

Table of Contents**Average Balances and Interest Rates Taxable Equivalent Basis**

(Dollars in thousands)	Three months ended June 30					
	Average Balances	2011 Interest	Average Rate	Average Balances	2010 Interest	Average Rate
Earning assets:						
Federal funds sold	\$ 68,120	\$ 49	0.29%	\$ 32,260	\$ 19	0.24%
Mortgage loans held for sale	2,759	34	4.94%	3,966	53	5.36%
Securities:						
Taxable	157,203	1,203	3.07%	167,618	1,322	3.16%
Tax-exempt	50,894	498	3.92%	33,388	408	4.90%
FHLB stock and other securities	5,928	57	3.86%	5,772	54	3.75%
Loans, net of unearned income	1,529,039	20,119	5.28%	1,460,147	19,918	5.47%
Total earning assets	1,813,943	21,960	4.86%	1,703,151	21,774	5.13%
Less allowance for loan losses	27,860			22,592		
	1,786,083			1,680,559		
Non-earning assets:						
Cash and due from banks	25,908			28,819		
Premises and equipment	34,467			28,117		
Accrued interest receivable and other assets	85,859			75,807		
Total assets	\$ 1,932,317			\$ 1,813,302		
Interest bearing liabilities:						
Deposits:						
Interest bearing demand deposits:						
deposits	\$ 285,901	\$ 158	0.22%	\$ 249,326	\$ 117	0.19%
Savings deposits	71,165	31	0.17%	66,165	41	0.25%
Money market deposits	483,038	696	0.58%	430,963	805	0.75%
Time deposits	420,167	1,769	1.69%	469,642	2,431	2.08%
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase						
Fed funds purchased and other short term borrowings	58,044	64	0.44%	51,028	81	0.64%
FHLB advances	23,081	10	0.17%	18,498	8	0.17%
Long-term debt	60,438	364	2.42%	65,064	556	3.43%
	40,900	863	8.46%	40,900	862	8.45%
Total interest bearing liabilities	1,442,734	3,955	1.10%	1,391,586	4,901	1.41%
Non-interest bearing liabilities:						
Non-interest bearing demand deposits						
	267,239			225,769		
Accrued interest payable and other liabilities						
	45,765			35,964		
Total liabilities	1,755,738			1,653,319		
Stockholders equity	176,579			159,983		
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 1,932,317			\$ 1,813,302		
Net interest income		\$ 18,005			\$ 16,873	
Net interest spread			3.76%			3.72%

Net interest margin

3.98%

3.97%

35

Table of Contents

(Dollars in thousands)	Six months ended June 30					
	Average Balances	2011 Interest	Average Rate	Average Balances	2010 Interest	Average Rate
Earning assets:						
Federal funds sold	\$ 65,422	\$ 95	0.29%	\$ 43,233	\$ 44	0.21%
Mortgage loans held for sale	3,980	97	4.91%	4,388	119	5.47%
Securities:						
Taxable	159,058	2,378	3.01%	167,065	2,671	3.22%
Tax-exempt	51,007	994	3.93%	29,881	763	5.15%
FHLB stock and other securities	5,850	114	3.93%	5,661	109	3.88%
Loans, net of unearned income	1,518,366	39,964	5.31%	1,449,203	39,302	5.47%
Total earning assets	1,803,683	43,642	4.88%	1,699,431	43,008	5.10%
Less allowance for loan losses	27,017			21,727		
	1,776,666			1,677,704		
Non-earning assets:						
Cash and due from banks	25,843			27,354		
Premises and equipment	33,629			28,028		
Accrued interest receivable and other assets	85,514			71,910		
Total assets	\$ 1,921,652			\$ 1,804,996		
Interest bearing liabilities:						
Deposits:						
Interest bearing demand deposits						
	\$ 281,293	\$ 308	0.22%	\$ 243,616	\$ 230	0.19%
Savings deposits	69,806	64	0.18%	64,159	83	0.26%
Money market deposits	481,024	1,414	0.59%	416,125	1,508	0.73%
Time deposits	420,884	3,539	1.70%	489,895	5,255	2.16%
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase						
	55,912	131	0.47%	53,225	168	0.64%
Fed funds purchased and other short term borrowings						
	24,662	23	0.19%	18,727	17	0.18%
FHLB advances	60,439	725	2.42%	62,770	1,081	3.47%
Long-term debt	40,900	1,724	8.50%	40,902	1,722	8.49%
Total interest bearing liabilities	1,434,920	7,928	1.11%	1,389,419	10,064	1.46%
Non-interest bearing liabilities:						
Non-interest bearing demand deposits						
	265,380			220,181		
Accrued interest payable and other liabilities						
	46,589			36,274		
Total liabilities	1,746,889			1,645,874		
Stockholders equity	174,763			159,122		
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 1,921,652			\$ 1,804,996		
Net interest income		\$ 35,714			\$ 32,944	
Net interest spread			3.77%			3.64%
Net interest margin			3.99%			3.91%

Table of Contents

Notes to the average balance and interest rate tables:

- Net interest income, the most significant component of the Bank's earnings is total interest income less total interest expense. The level of net interest income is determined by the mix and volume of interest earning assets, interest bearing deposits and borrowed funds, and changes in interest rates.
- Net interest spread is the difference between the taxable equivalent rate earned on interest earning assets less the rate expensed on interest bearing liabilities.
- Net interest margin represents net interest income on a taxable equivalent basis as a percentage of average interest earning assets. Net interest margin is affected by both the interest rate spread and the level of non-interest bearing sources of funds, primarily consisting of demand deposits and stockholders' equity.
- Interest income on a fully tax equivalent basis includes the additional amount of interest income that would have been earned if investments in certain tax-exempt interest earning assets had been made in assets subject to federal taxes yielding the same after-tax income. Interest income on municipal securities and loans have been calculated on a fully tax equivalent basis using a federal income tax rate of 35%. The approximate tax equivalent adjustments to interest income were \$394,000 and \$326,000, respectively, for the three month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 and \$788,000 and \$603,000, respectively, for the six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

Fully taxable equivalent net interest income of \$18.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011 increased \$1.1 million, or 6.7%, from \$16.9 million when compared to the same period last year. Net interest spread and net interest margin were 3.76% and 3.98%, respectively, for the second quarter of 2011 and 3.72% and 3.97%, respectively, for the second quarter of 2010.

Fully taxable equivalent net interest income of \$35.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 increased \$2.8 million, or 8.4%, from \$32.9 million when compared to the same period last year. Net interest spread and net interest margin were 3.77% and 3.99%, respectively, for the first six months of 2011 and 3.64% and 3.91%, respectively, for the first six months of 2010.

Approximately 40% of the Bank's loans are variable rate and most of these loans are indexed to the Bank's prime rate and may reprice as the prime rate changes. However, approximately \$451 million, or 72% of variable rate loans, have reached their contractual floor of 4% or higher. Approximately \$107 million or 17% of variable rate loans have no contractual floor; however, the Bank intends to establish floors whenever possible upon renewal of the loans. The remaining \$66 million of variable rate loans, or 11% of variable rate loans, have contractual floors below 4%. Most of the Bank's fixed rate loans are priced at inception in relation to the five year Treasury bond and the persistence of low short term rates has held those rates low.

Average earning assets increased \$104.3 million or 6.1%, to \$1.804 billion for the first six months of 2011 compared to 2010, reflecting growth in the loan portfolio and investment securities. Average interest bearing liabilities increased \$45.5 million, or 3.3%, to \$1.435 billion for the first

six months of 2011 compared to 2010 primarily due to increases in interest bearing demand and money market deposits, partially offset by decreases in certificates of deposits.

Table of Contents**Asset/Liability Management and Interest Rate Risk**

Managing interest rate risk is fundamental for the financial services industry. The primary objective of interest rate risk management is to neutralize effects of interest rate changes on net income. Bank management evaluates interest rate sensitivity while attempting to optimize net interest income within the constraints of prudent capital adequacy, liquidity needs, market opportunities and customer requirements.

Interest Rate Simulation Sensitivity Analysis

Bancorp uses an earnings simulation model to estimate and evaluate the impact of changing interest rates on earnings. The simulation model is designed to reflect the dynamics of interest earning assets, interest bearing liabilities and off-balance sheet financial instruments. By estimating the effects of interest rate increases and decreases, the model can reveal approximate interest rate risk exposure. The simulation model is used by management to gauge approximate results given a specific change in interest rates at a given point in time. The model is therefore a tool to indicate earnings trends in given interest rate scenarios and does not indicate actual expected results. The June 30, 2011 simulation analysis, which shows very little interest rate sensitivity, indicates that an increase in interest rates of 100 to 200 basis points would have a slightly negative effect on net interest income, and a decrease of 100 basis points in interest rates would have a positive impact. These estimates are summarized below.

	Net interest income change
Increase 200bp	(0.57)%
Increase 100bp	(1.83)
Decrease 100bp	1.31
Decrease 200bp	(2.70)

Loans indexed to the prime rate, with floors of 4% or higher, comprise approximately 30% of total loans. Since the prime rate is currently 3.25%, rates would have to increase more than 75 bp before the rates on such loans will rise. This effect, captured in our simulation analysis above, negatively impacts the effect of rising rates. Analysis of rates increasing 300 bp or higher indicates a positive effect on net interest income.

The scenario of rates decreasing 200 bp is not reasonably possible given current low rates for short-term instruments and most deposits.

Undesignated derivative instruments described in Note 13 are recognized on the consolidated balance sheet at fair value, with changes in fair value, due to changes in prevailing interest rates, recorded in other noninterest income. Because of matching terms of offsetting contracts, in addition to collateral provisions which mitigate the impact of non-performance risk, changes in fair value subsequent to initial recognition have a minimal effect on earnings, and are therefore not included in the simulation analysis results above.

Provision for Loan Losses

The provision for loan losses was \$5.4 million for the first six months of 2011 and \$5.1 million for the same period in 2010. The provision for loan losses is calculated after considering credit quality factors, and ultimately relies on an overall internal analysis of the risk in the loan portfolio. The provision reflects an allowance methodology that is driven by risk ratings. Bancorp intends to continue its historically conservative stance toward credit quality, remaining cautious in assessing the potential risk in the loan portfolio. Accordingly, the Company expects the allowance for loan losses to remain at a high level compared with historic amounts, even though charge-offs may continue to be moderate, until there are

Table of Contents

clearer signs of a sustained economic recovery and, thus, a reduction in overall credit risk. Management utilizes loan grading procedures which result in specific allowance allocations for the estimated inherent risk of loss. For all loans graded, but not individually reviewed, a general allowance allocation is computed using factors typically developed over time based on actual loss experience. The specific and general allocations plus consideration of qualitative factors represent management's best estimate of probable losses contained in the loan portfolio at the evaluation date. Although the allowance for loan losses is comprised of specific and general allocations the entire allowance is available to absorb any credit losses. Based on this detailed analysis of credit risk, management considers the allowance for loan losses adequate to cover probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio at June 30, 2011.

An analysis of the changes in the allowance for loan losses and selected ratios for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	Three months ended June 30		Six months ended June 30	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Balance at the beginning of the period	\$ 26,956	\$ 21,811	\$ 25,543	\$ 20,000
Provision for loan losses	2,600	2,384	5,400	5,079
Loan charge-offs, net of recoveries	(1,992)	(1,262)	(3,379)	(2,146)
Balance at the end of the period	\$ 27,564	\$ 22,933	\$ 27,564	\$ 22,933
Average loans, net of unearned income	\$ 1,529,039	\$ 1,460,147	\$ 1,518,366	\$ 1,449,203
Provision for loan losses to average loans (1)	0.17%	0.16%	0.36%	0.35%
Net loan charge-offs to average loans (1)	0.13%	0.09%	0.22%	0.15%
Allowance for loan losses to average loans	1.80%	1.57%	1.82%	1.58%
Allowance for loan losses to period-end loans	1.79%	1.55%	1.79%	1.55%

(1) Amounts not annualized

Loans are charged off when deemed uncollectible and typically after underlying collateral has been liquidated; however, collection efforts continue and future recoveries may occur. Management charges loans down to net realizable value if liquidation is inevitable but may take time. An analysis of net charge-offs by loan category for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 follows:

(in thousands)

Net loan charge-offs (recoveries)	Three Months Ended June 30		Six Months Ended June 30	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Commercial and industrial	\$ 102	\$ 658	\$ 796	\$ 786
Construction and development	627	(1)	1,227	298
Real estate mortgage - commercial investment	620	195	620	448

Edgar Filing: ENERGY PARTNERS LTD - Form DEFA14A

Real estate mortgage - owner occupied							
commercial	124	95	124	235			
Real estate mortgage - 1-4 family residential	282	115	306	244			
Home equity	183	89	199	89			
Consumer	54	111	107	46			
Total net loan charge-offs	\$ 1,992	\$ 1,262	\$ 3,379	\$ 2,146			

Table of Contents**Non-interest Income and Expenses**

The following table sets forth the major components of non-interest income and expenses for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

(In thousands)	Three months ended June 30		Six months ended June 30	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Non-interest income:				
Investment management and trust services	\$ 3,661	\$ 3,232	\$ 7,198	\$ 6,493
Service charges on deposit accounts	2,034	2,187	3,958	4,185
Bankcard transaction revenue	960	863	1,837	1,614
Gains on sales of mortgage loans held for sale	441	445	823	830
Brokerage commissions and fees	530	503	1,043	959
Bank owned life insurance income	255	248	504	491
Other	271	445	794	1,327
Total non-interest income	\$ 8,152	\$ 7,923	\$ 16,157	\$ 15,899
Non-interest expenses:				
Salaries and employee benefits	\$ 8,648	\$ 8,319	\$ 17,048	\$ 16,408
Net occupancy expense	1,357	1,296	2,587	2,572
Data processing expense	1,346	1,322	2,483	2,459
Furniture and equipment expense	337	321	692	635
FDIC insurance expense	339	531	960	1,002
Other	2,698	2,592	5,782	5,063
Total non-interest expenses	\$ 14,725	\$ 14,381	\$ 29,552	\$ 28,139

Total non-interest income increased \$229,000, or 2.9%, for the second quarter of 2011 and increased \$258,000, or 1.6% for the first six months of 2011, compared to the same periods in 2010.

Investment management and trust services income increased \$429,000, or 13.3% in the second quarter of 2011 and \$705,000, or 10.9% for the first six months of 2011, as compared to the same periods in 2010, primarily due to the effect of an increased market value of assets under management, growth in assets from net new accounts and a modest increase in executor fees. Most fees earned for managing accounts are based on a percentage of market value on a monthly basis. Trust assets under management at June 30, 2011 were \$1.81 billion, compared to \$1.50 billion at June 30, 2010.

Service charges on deposit accounts decreased \$153,000, or 7.0%, in the second quarter of 2011, and \$227,000, or 5.4%, for the first six months of 2011, as compared to the same periods in 2010. Service charge income is driven by transaction volume in deposit accounts, which can fluctuate throughout the year. Recent legislation required that our customers opt in to access their overdraft protection beginning in the third quarter of 2010. While it has been difficult to evaluate the impact of this change, management believes this requirement has resulted in some decline in service charge income in 2011.

Edgar Filing: ENERGY PARTNERS LTD - Form DEFA14A

Bankcard transaction revenue increased \$97,000, or 11.2%, in the second quarter of 2011, and increased \$223,000, or 13.8%, for the first six months of 2011, as compared to the same periods in 2010 and primarily represents income the Bank derives from customers' use of debit cards.
Results in 2011

Table of Contents

compared favorably to 2010 as bankcard transaction volume continues to increase. Most of this revenue is interchange income based on rates set by service providers in a competitive market. Beginning in October 2011, this rate will be set by the Federal Reserve Board for banks with over \$10 billion in assets. While this threshold indicates we will not be directly affected due to our size, this change could indirectly affect Bancorp. While there are many uncertainties about its effect or ultimately when these changes may take place, the Dodd-Frank legislation may negatively affect this source of income.

The Bank's mortgage banking division originates residential mortgage loans to be sold in the secondary market. Interest rates on the loans sold are locked with the borrower and investor prior to closing the loans, thus Bancorp bears no interest rate risk related to these loans. The division offers conventional, VA and FHA financing, for purchases and refinances, as well as programs for low-income and first time home buyers. The mortgage banking division also offers home equity conversion mortgages or reverse mortgages insured by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). These HUD loans give homeowners 62 years of age or older a vehicle for converting equity in their homes to cash. Gains on sales of mortgage loans were virtually unchanged in the quarter and six month periods ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same periods in 2010. Interest rates on mortgage loans directly impact the volume of business transacted by the mortgage banking division. Prevailing mortgage interest rates remained at attractive levels through the second quarter of 2011; however, being equal to or just slightly lower than rates from 2010, they did not generate the same refinance activity mainly due to market saturation.

Brokerage commissions and fees increased \$27,000, or 5.4%, in the second quarter of 2011, and increased \$84,000 or 8.8% for the first six months of 2011, as compared to the same period in 2010. These increases corresponded to higher overall brokerage volume. Bancorp deploys its brokers primarily through its branch network, while larger managed accounts are serviced in the investment management and trust department.

Bank Owned Life Insurance (BOLI) income totaled \$255,000 and \$248,000 for the second quarter of 2011 and 2010, respectively, and totaled \$504,000 and \$491,000 for the first six months of 2011 and 2010, respectively. BOLI represents the cash surrender value for life insurance policies on certain key employees who have provided consent for the Bank to be the beneficiary of a portion of such policies. The related increase in cash surrender value and any proceeds received under the policies, none of which have occurred to date, are recorded as non-interest income. This income helps offset the cost of employee benefits.

Other non-interest income decreased \$174,000, or 39.1%, in the second quarter of 2011 as compared to the same period in 2010, primarily due to a decrease of \$158,000 in income from the domestic private investment fund and a variety of other factors, none of which were individually significant. Other non-interest income decreased \$533,000, or 40.2%, in the first six months of 2011 as compared to the same period in 2010, primarily due to a decrease of \$471,000 in income from the domestic private investment fund and a variety of other factors, none of which were individually significant. Bancorp's investment in a domestic private investment fund is comprised of bank and other financial industry securities, and is recorded using the equity method of accounting.

Total non-interest expenses increased \$344,000, or 2.4%, for the second quarter of 2011 as compared to the same period in 2010 and \$1,413,000, or 5.0%, for the first six months of 2011 as compared to the same period in 2010. Bancorp's second quarter efficiency ratio was 56.29% compared with 57.66% in the first quarter and 58.00% in the second quarter last year.

Salaries and employee benefits increased \$329,000, or 4.0%, for the second quarter of 2011, and \$640,000, or 3.9% for the first six months of 2011, as compared to the same periods of 2010, due to increases in

Table of Contents

salaries and pension expense. Increased staffing levels, mainly related to the expansion in Cincinnati, included senior staff with higher per capita salaries and contributed to the overall increase. At June 30, 2011, the Bank had 466 full time equivalent (FTE) employees compared to 474 at June 30, 2010. However, Bancorp has several open positions, and expects the number of FTE employees to increase in the near future.

Net occupancy expense increased \$61,000, or 4.7%, in the second quarter of 2011, and \$15,000, or 0.6% in the first six months of 2011, as compared to the same periods of 2010. Data processing expense increased \$24,000, or 1.8% in the second quarter of 2011, and \$24,000, or 1.0% for the first six months of 2011, compared to the same periods of 2010. Furniture and equipment expense increased \$16,000 or 5.0% for the second quarter of 2011, and \$57,000, or 9.0% for the first six months of 2011, as compared to the same periods in 2010. These fluctuations relate to a variety of factors, none of which were individually significant.

FDIC insurance expense declined \$192,000, or 36.2%, for the second quarter of 2011, and \$42,000 or 4.2% for the first six months of 2011, as compared to the same periods in 2010. The decreases are due to a change in the base on which the assessment is calculated combined with lower assessment rates in 2011.

Other non-interest expenses increased \$106,000 or 4.1% in the second quarter of 2011, and \$719,000 or 14.2% in the first six months of 2011, as compared to the same periods in 2010. The increase for the six months period was due largely to \$400,000 write-down on a previously foreclosed property, and \$312,000 write-down of fixed assets related to a building renovation. This category also includes legal and professional fees, MSR amortization, advertising, printing, mail and telecommunications, none of which had variances which were individually significant.

Income Taxes

In the second quarter of 2011, Bancorp recorded income tax expense of \$2,441,000, compared to \$2,149,000 for the same period in 2010. The effective rate for the three month period was 28.9% in 2011 and 27.9% in 2010. Bancorp recorded income tax expense of \$4,643,000 for the first six months of 2011, compared to \$4,485,000 for the same period in 2010. The effective rate for the six month period was 28.8% in 2011 and 29.9% in 2010. The decrease in the 2011 effective tax rate was primarily due to an increased proportion of tax-exempt interest to pre-tax net income and an increasing amount of tax credits.

Commitments

Bancorp utilizes a variety of financial instruments in the normal course of business to meet the financial needs of its customers. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. A discussion of Bancorp's commitments is included in Note 8.

Other commitments discussed in Bancorp's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, have not materially changed since that report was filed, relative to qualitative and quantitative disclosures of fixed and determinable contractual obligations.

b) *Financial Condition*

Balance Sheet

Total assets increased \$40.4 million, or 2.1%, from \$1.903 billion on December 31, 2010 to \$1.943 billion on June 30, 2011. The most significant contributor of the increase in assets was loans, which increased \$30.5 million in the first six months while investment securities increased \$12.9 million. Federal funds sold decreased \$4.0 million as a result of purchasing short-term securities, and mortgage loans held for sale decreased \$7.9 million from the end of 2010 as origination volume declined.

Table of Contents

Total liabilities increased \$31.5 million, or 1.8%, from \$1.733 billion on December 31, 2010 to \$1.765 billion on June 30, 2011. The most significant component of the increase was in deposits of \$38.9 million or 2.6% in support of loan growth. Securities sold under agreement to repurchase increased \$4.8 million or 8.0%, while federal funds purchased decreased \$2.5 million or 9.9%. Other liabilities decreased \$8.8 million or 17.4%. In December 2010, Bancorp purchased \$4.9 million of securities for which payment was due in January 2011, resulting in a payable recorded in other liabilities. Secured borrowings decreased \$4.4 million as noted in the Elements of the Loan Portfolio section below.

Elements of Loan Portfolio

The following table sets forth the major classifications of the loan portfolio.

(In thousands)

Loans by Type	June 30, 2011		December 31, 2010	
Commercial and industrial	\$	365,008	\$	343,956
Construction and development		158,412		159,482
Real estate mortgage:				
Commercial investment		382,753		362,904
Owner occupied commercial		313,531		316,291
1-4 family residential		159,320		157,983
Home equity - first lien		38,376		39,449
Home equity - junior lien		83,880		91,813
Subtotal: Real estate mortgage		977,860		968,440
Consumer		37,670		36,547
Total loans	\$	1,538,950	\$	1,508,425

Bancorp enters into loan participation agreements with downstream correspondent banks in the ordinary course of business to diversify credit risk. For certain participation loans, as the lead lender, Bancorp has retained effective control of the loans, typically by restricting the participating institutions from pledging or selling their share of the loan without permission from Bancorp. US GAAP requires these loans to be recorded as secured borrowings. These loans are included in the loan totals above, and a corresponding liability is recorded in other liabilities. At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the total loans of this nature were \$30.4 million and \$34.8 million, respectively. A corresponding decrease of \$4.4 million is reflected in other liabilities.

Table of Contents**Non-performing Loans and Assets**

Information summarizing non-performing assets, including non-accrual loans follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Non-accrual loans	\$ 15,570	\$ 14,388
Troubled debt restructuring	250	2,882
Loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing	1,663	2,044
Non-performing loans	17,483	19,314
Foreclosed real estate	7,187	5,445
Non-performing assets	\$ 24,670	\$ 24,759
Non-performing loans as a percentage of total loans	1.14%	1.28%
Non-performing assets as a percentage of total assets	1.27%	1.30%
Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of non-performing loans	158%	132%

Non-performing loans, which include non-accrual loans of \$15.6 million, loans past due over 90 days and still accruing of \$1.7 million, and loans accounted for as troubled debt restructuring of \$250 thousand, totaled \$17.5 million at June 30, 2011. Non-performing loans were \$19.3 million at December 31, 2010 including \$14.4 million of non-accrual loans, \$2.0 million of loans past due over 90 days and still accruing and loans accounted for as troubled debt restructuring (TDR) of \$2.9 million. The decrease in non-performing loans reflected charge-offs and migration from loans to foreclosed assets. Management expects some migration of loans from non-accrual status to TDR in the coming months. All loans past due over 90 days and still accruing are well-collateralized and are in the process of collection. Non-performing loans represent 1.14% of total loans at June 30, 2011 compared to 1.28% at December 31, 2010. As noted in the Provision for Loan Losses section of this report, non-performing loans are analyzed in management's evaluation of the allowance and provision for loan losses.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the major classifications of non-accrual loans:

(in thousands)

Non-accrual loans by type	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Commercial and industrial	\$ 2,286	\$ 2,328
Construction and development	3,288	4,589
Real estate mortgage - commercial investment	3,935	3,214
Real estate mortgage - owner occupied commercial	2,165	1,426
Real estate mortgage - 1-4 family residential	3,293	1,984
Home equity	506	570
Consumer	97	277
Total loans	\$ 15,570	\$ 14,388

The increase in non-accrual loans reflects the effect of continuing economic pressures on our customers. However, thus far, the increase in these non-accrual loans has been confined to a relatively small number of borrowers within the portfolio. Bancorp has six borrowers, all in our primary market, who account for \$9.0 million or 58% of total non-accrual loans. Each of these loans is secured by real estate, and management estimates minimal loss exposure after consideration of collateral.

Effects of Declines in Real Estate Collateral Values

Bancorp's principal market, Louisville, has had home values decline less than most markets nationwide according to the Federal Housing Finance Agency. However, further declines in collateral values, including commercial properties, may impact Bancorp's ability to collect on certain real estate loans when borrowers are dependent on the values of the real estate as a source of cash flow. As borrowers experience difficulty, Bancorp evaluates their cash flow as well as the collateral value to determine prospects for collection. On an individual basis, loans are evaluated for changes in risk ratings, thereby affecting the provision and allowance for loan losses. Home equity loans are typically underwritten with consideration of the borrower's overall financial strength as a primary payment source, with some reliance on the value of the collateral. Bancorp typically requires appraisals on real estate at application and assesses the transaction and market conditions to determine if updates are needed at renewal. Additionally, Bancorp evaluates the collateral condition and value upon classification as an impaired loan and upon foreclosure. Due to the above factors, the effects of declines in real estate collateral value have been considered in the allowance for loan losses.

c) *Liquidity*

The role of liquidity is to ensure that funds are available to meet depositors' withdrawals and borrowers' demands to fund credit commitments. This is accomplished by balancing changes in demand for funds with changes in the supply of those funds. Liquidity is provided by short-term liquid assets that can be converted to cash, investment securities available for sale, various lines of credit available to Bancorp, and the ability to attract funds from external sources, principally deposits. Management has maintained a significantly higher liquidity position in 2010 and 2011, which management considers prudent given the current operating environment. When economic conditions improve and rates rise, Management expects higher utilization of lines of credit and potential cash outflow as customers seek alternatives to high cash

Table of Contents

balances. This would result in greater demand for liquidity. Management believes it has the ability to increase deposits at any time by offering rates slightly higher than the market rate.

Bancorp's most liquid assets are comprised of available for sale marketable investment securities and federal funds sold. Federal funds sold totaled \$20.0 million at June 30, 2011. These investments normally have overnight maturities and are used for general daily liquidity purposes. The fair value of the available for sale investment portfolio was \$258.3 million at March 31, 2011, and included an unrealized net gain of \$7.7 million. The portfolio includes maturities of approximately \$67.3 million over the next twelve months, which, combined with federal funds sold, offer substantial resources to meet either new loan demand or reductions in Bancorp's deposit funding base. Bancorp pledges portions of its investment securities portfolio to secure public fund deposits and securities sold under agreements to repurchase. At June 30, 2011, total investment securities pledged for these purposes comprised 35% of the available for sale investment portfolio, leaving \$167.5 million of unpledged securities.

Bancorp has a large base of core customer deposits, defined as demand, savings, and money market deposit accounts. At June 30, 2011, such deposits totaled \$1.108 billion and represented 72% of Bancorp's total deposits. Because these core deposits are less volatile and are often tied to other products of Bancorp through long lasting relationships they do not put heavy pressure on liquidity. As of June 30, 2011, Bancorp had only \$5.3 million or 0.3% of total deposits, in brokered deposits, which are entirely comprised of Certificate of Deposit Account Registry Service (CDARs) deposits, a program which allows Bancorp to accept customer deposits in excess of FDIC limits through reciprocal agreements with other network participating banks in order to offer FDIC insurance up to as much as \$50 million in deposits.

With regard to credit available to Bancorp, the Bank is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Cincinnati (FHLB). As a member, the Bank has access to credit products of the FHLB. As of June 30, 2011, the Bank's additional borrowing capacity with the FHLB was approximately \$184.5 million. Additionally, the Bank had federal funds purchased lines with correspondent banks totaling \$111.6 million.

Bancorp's liquidity depends primarily on the dividends paid to it as the sole shareholder of the Bank. Bancorp had sufficient cash on hand from its 2008 trust preferred securities offering that it was not necessary for the Bank to fund the first and second quarter cash dividends or the quarterly interest payments on its trust preferred securities. At June 30, 2011, the Bank may pay up to \$29.5 million in dividends to Bancorp without regulatory approval subject to the ongoing capital requirements of the Bank. Prior to the declaration of dividends, management considers the effect such payments will have on total stockholders' equity and capital ratios.

d) Capital Resources

At June 30, 2011, stockholders' equity totaled \$178.8 million, an increase of \$9.0 million since December 31, 2010. See the Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for further detail of the change in equity since the end of 2010. Accumulated other comprehensive income which, for Bancorp, consists of net unrealized gains and losses on securities available for sale and a minimum pension liability adjustment, net of taxes, totaled \$4.7 million at June 30, 2011 and \$3.1 million at December 31, 2010. The change since year end is a reflection of maturities within the portfolio and the effect of change in interest rates on the valuation of the Bank's portfolio of securities available for sale. The unrealized pension liability is adjusted annually by reference to updated actuarial data.

Edgar Filing: ENERGY PARTNERS LTD - Form DEFA14A

Bank holding companies and their subsidiary banks are required by regulators to meet risk based capital standards. These standards, or ratios, measure the relationship of capital to a combination of balance sheet and off-balance sheet risks. The values of both balance sheet and off-balance sheet items are adjusted to reflect credit risks. To be categorized as well capitalized, the Bank must maintain a total risk-based capital

Table of Contents

ratio of at least 10%; a Tier 1 ratio of at least 6%; and a leverage ratio of at least 5%. At least until the general economy demonstrates sustained growth, the Company intends to remain cautious with its capital management strategies. The following table sets forth Bancorp's and the Bank's risk based capital ratios as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Total risk-based capital (1)		
Consolidated	14.12%	13.93%
Bank	11.95%	11.37%
Tier I risk-based capital (1)		
Consolidated	12.26%	12.06%
Bank	10.09%	9.49%
Leverage (2)		
Consolidated	10.55%	10.31%
Bank	8.66%	8.12%

(1) Ratio is computed in relation to risk-weighted assets.

(2) Ratio is computed in relation to average assets.

Importantly, the strengthening of Bancorp's capital position has occurred concurrently with growth in assets, not as a result of shrinkage of the balance sheet. Bancorp intends to maintain capital ratios at these historically high levels at least until such time as the economy demonstrates sustained improvement.

The variance between the consolidated and the Bank's capital ratios is largely due to the Bancorp's 2008 issuance of \$30 million of trust preferred securities and a special dividend of \$25 million from the Bank to Bancorp in December 2009 as part of a strategy to minimize state bank taxes.

The ratio of tangible common equity to total tangible assets, both non-GAAP measures, stood at 9.17% as of June 30, 2011, versus 8.89% at December 31, 2010. Bancorp provides this ratio, in addition to those defined by banking regulators, because of its widespread use by investors as a means to evaluate the quality and adequacy of capital. See Non-GAAP Financial Measures section below for a reconciliation of the calculation of this measure to amounts reported under US GAAP.

Table of Contents*e) Non-GAAP Financial Measures*

In addition to capital ratios defined by banking regulators, Bancorp considers various ratios when evaluating capital adequacy, including tangible common equity to tangible assets, and tangible common equity per share, all of which are non-GAAP measures. Bancorp believes these ratios are important because of their widespread use by investors as means to evaluate capital adequacy, as they reflect the level of capital available to withstand unexpected market conditions. Because US GAAP does not include capital ratio measures, there are no US GAAP financial measures comparable to these ratios. The following table reconciles Bancorp's calculation of the measures to amounts reported under US GAAP.

(in thousands, except per share data)	June 30, 2011		December 31, 2010	
Total equity (a)	\$	178,825	\$	169,861
Less goodwill		(682)		(682)
Tangible common equity (c)	\$	178,143	\$	169,179
Total assets (b)	\$	1,943,384		1,902,945
Less goodwill		(682)		(682)
Total tangible assets (d)	\$	1,942,702	\$	1,902,263
Total shareholders' equity to total assets (a/b)		9.20%		8.93%
Tangible common equity ratio (c/d)		9.17%		8.89%
Number of outstanding shares (e)		13,799		13,737
Book value per share (a/e)	\$	12.96	\$	12.37
Tangible common equity per share (c/e)		12.91		12.32

f) Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In April 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-02, *A Creditor's Determination of Whether a Restructuring Is a Troubled Debt Restructuring* as a result of stakeholders questioning whether additional guidance or clarification was needed to assist creditors with determining whether a modification is a Troubled Debt Restructuring (TDR). The final standard does not change the long-standing guidance that a restructuring of a debt constitutes a TDR if the creditor for economic or legal reasons related to the debtor's financial difficulties grants a concession to the debtor that it would not otherwise consider. In other words, the creditor must conclude that both the restructuring constitutes a concession, and the debtor is experiencing financial difficulties. For the purposes of those two tests, the final ASU provides clarifications regarding the debtor's access to funds at current market rates, assessing the debtor's financial difficulties, and payment delays. The amendments in this update are effective for the first interim or annual period beginning on or after June 15, 2011, and should be applied retrospectively to the beginning of the annual period of adoption. The adoption of ASU 2011-02 may result in additional loans being identified as TDR.

Table of ContentsItem 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Information required by this item is included in Item 2, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Bancorp maintains disclosure controls and procedures designed to ensure that it is able to collect the information it is required to disclose in reports it files with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), and to record, process, summarize and report this information within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the SEC. Based on their evaluation of Bancorp's disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the quarterly period covered by this report, the Chief Executive and Chief Financial Officers believe that these controls and procedures are effective to ensure that Bancorp is able to collect, process and disclose the information it is required to disclose in reports it files with the SEC within the required time periods.

Based on the evaluation of Bancorp's disclosure controls and procedures by the Chief Executive and Chief Financial Officers, there were no significant changes during the quarter ended June 30, 2011 in Bancorp's internal control over financial reporting that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, Bancorp's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

The following table shows information relating to the repurchase of shares of common stock by Bancorp during the three months ended June 30, 2011.

	Total number of Shares Purchased (1)	Average price Paid Per Share	Total number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plan (2)	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plan
April 1 - April 30		\$		
May 1 - May 31	4,177		23.94	
June 1 - June 30	13,168		23.21	
Total	17,345	\$	23.39	

(1) Second quarter 2011 activity represents shares surrendered by officers, the fair value of which equaled the exercise price of stock options. This activity has no impact on the number of shares that may be purchased under a Board-approved plan.

(2) The Board of Directors of S.Y. Bancorp Inc. first approved a share buyback plan in 1999, subsequently expanded the plan to allow for the repurchase of additional shares. The stock repurchase program expired in November 2008 and has not been renewed.

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits

The following exhibits are filed or furnished as a part of this report:

Exhibit number	Description of exhibit
31.1	Certifications pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act by David P. Heintzman
31.2	Certifications pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act by Nancy B. Davis
32	Certifications pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350
101	The following financial statements from the S.Y. Bancorp, Inc. Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2011, filed on August 5, 2011, formatted in eXtensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL): <ol style="list-style-type: none">(1) Consolidated Balance Sheets(2) Consolidated Statements of Income(3) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows(4) Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders Equity(5) Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income(6) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

S.Y. BANCORP, INC.

Date: August 5, 2011

By: /s/ David P. Heintzman
David P. Heintzman, Chairman and
Chief Executive Officer

Date: August 5, 2011

By: /s/ Nancy B. Davis
Nancy B. Davis, Executive Vice President,
Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer