

AECOM TECHNOLOGY CORP
Form 10-Q
August 09, 2007

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

- QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2007

OR

- TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from _____ to _____

AECOM TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

61-1088522

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number)

555 South Flower Street, Suite 3700

Los Angeles, California 90071

(Address of principal executive office and zip code)

Edgar Filing: AECOM TECHNOLOGY CORP - Form 10-Q

(213) 593-8000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter periods that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

As of August 1, 2007, 98,335,369 shares of the registrant's common stock were outstanding.

**AECOM TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION
INDEX**

PART

I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

- Item 1. Financial Statements
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2006 and June 30, 2007 (unaudited)
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the Three and Nine Months Ended June 30, 2006
and 2007 (unaudited)
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Three and Nine Months Ended
June 30, 2006 and 2007 (unaudited)
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine Months Ended June 30, 2006 and 2007
(unaudited)
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)
- Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
- Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

- Item 1. Legal Proceedings
Item 1A. Risk Factors
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds
Item 6. Exhibits

SIGNATURES

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

AECOM Technology Corporation
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets
(in thousands, except share data)

| | September 30, 2006 | June 30, 2007 (Unaudited) |
|---|-----------------------|---------------------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| CURRENT ASSETS: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 118,477 | \$ 303,601 |
| Cash in consolidated joint ventures | 9,393 | 39,472 |
| Total cash and cash equivalents | 127,870 | 343,073 |
| Accounts receivable net | 913,178 | 1,051,414 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 52,827 | 80,016 |
| TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS | 1,093,875 | 1,474,503 |
| PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT: | | |
| Equipment, furniture and fixtures | 85,201 | 122,439 |
| Leasehold improvements | 31,539 | 40,928 |
| Total | 116,740 | 163,367 |
| Accumulated depreciation and amortization | (26,417) | (49,268) |
| PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT NET | 90,323 | 114,099 |
| DEFERRED INCOME TAXES NET | 98,449 | 103,524 |
| INVESTMENTS IN UNCONSOLIDATED SUBSIDIARIES | 19,943 | 22,493 |
| GOODWILL | 466,508 | 548,243 |
| INTANGIBLE AND OTHER ASSETS NET | 18,168 | 33,916 |
| OTHER NON-CURRENT ASSETS | 38,508 | 140,411 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ 1,825,774 | \$ 2,437,189 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS (DEFICIT) / EQUITY | | |
| CURRENT LIABILITIES: | | |
| Short-term debt | \$ 2,716 | \$ 2,316 |
| Accounts payable | 265,192 | 235,867 |
| Accrued expenses and other current liabilities | 365,548 | 430,723 |
| Billings in excess of costs on uncompleted contracts | 143,283 | 171,114 |
| Income taxes payable | 35,646 | 36,593 |
| Deferred tax liability net | 12,824 | 11,784 |
| Share purchase liability | 55,394 | |
| Current portion of long-term debt | 11,949 | 4,543 |
| TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES | 892,552 | 892,940 |
| OTHER LONG-TERM LIABILITIES | 112,970 | 185,903 |
| LONG-TERM DEBT | 122,790 | 56,623 |
| COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES | | |
| MINORITY INTEREST | 18,701 | 17,818 |
| REDEEMABLE COMMON AND PREFERRED STOCK AND STOCK UNITS | 771,207 | |
| NOTES RECEIVABLE FROM STOCKHOLDERS | (36,552) |) |
| REDEEMABLE PREFERRED STOCK, Class F 47,000 and 0 authorized, issued and outstanding as of September 30, 2006 and June 30, 2007, respectively, \$2,500 liquidation preference value | 117,500 | |
| REDEEMABLE PREFERRED STOCK, Class G 47,000 and 0 authorized, issued and outstanding as of September 30, 2006 and June 30, 2007, respectively, \$2,500 liquidation preference value | 117,500 | |
| STOCKHOLDERS (DEFICIT) / EQUITY: | | |
| Convertible preferred stock authorized, 7,799,780 shares; issued and outstanding 56,203 shares and 46,741 shares as of September 30, 2006 and June 30, 2007, respectively, \$100 liquidation preference value | | 4,674 |

Edgar Filing: AECOM TECHNOLOGY CORP - Form 10-Q

Preferred stock, Class C authorized, 200 shares; issued and outstanding, 56.297 and 71.368 as of September 30,

2006 and June 30, 2007, respectively, no par value, \$1.00 liquidation preference value

Preferred stock, Class E authorized, 20 shares; issued and outstanding, 5.427 and 5.736 as of September 30, 2006

and June 30, 2007, respectively, no par value, \$1.00 liquidation preference value

Common stock authorized, 150,000,000 shares of \$0.01 par value; issued and outstanding, 34,183,074 and

98,204,106 shares as of September 30, 2006 and June 30, 2007, respectively

| | | |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|
| | 982 | |
| Additional paid-in capital | (254,225 |) 1,253,321 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (36,669 |) (20,373) |
| Retained earnings | | 45,301 |
| TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS (DEFICIT) / EQUITY | (290,894 |) 1,283,905 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS (DEFICIT) / EQUITY | \$ 1,825,774 | \$ 2,437,189 |

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

AECOM Technology Corporation
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income
(unaudited in thousands, except per share data)

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| | June 30, | June 30, | June 30, | June 30, |
| | 2006 | 2007 | 2006 | 2007 |
| Revenue | \$ 911,486 | \$ 1,100,656 | \$ 2,517,213 | \$ 3,122,914 |
| Cost of revenue | 678,581 | 788,565 | 1,855,246 | 2,278,531 |
| Gross profit | 232,905 | 312,091 | 661,967 | 844,383 |
| Equity in earnings of joint ventures | 1,554 | 3,992 | 4,117 | 7,628 |
| General and administrative expenses | 209,340 | 270,401 | 591,161 | 738,376 |
| Income from operations | 25,119 | 45,682 | 74,923 | 113,635 |
| Minority interest in share of earnings | 3,022 | 3,824 | 8,503 | 9,058 |
| Gain on the sale of equity investment | | | | 11,286 |
| Interest expense net | 2,528 | 6,312 | 10,318 | 9,615 |
| Income before income tax expense | 19,569 | 35,546 | 56,102 | 106,248 |
| Income tax expense | 6,262 | 11,360 | 17,953 | 35,343 |
| Net income | \$ 13,307 | \$ 24,186 | \$ 38,149 | \$ 70,905 |
| Net income allocation: | | | | |
| Preferred stock dividend | \$ 78 | \$ 68 | \$ 2,125 | \$ 184 |
| Net income available for common stockholders | 13,229 | 24,118 | 36,024 | 70,721 |
| Net income | \$ 13,307 | \$ 24,186 | \$ 38,149 | \$ 70,905 |
| Earnings per share: | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.24 | \$ 0.30 | \$ 0.66 | \$ 1.09 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.18 | \$ 0.26 | \$ 0.55 | \$ 0.85 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding: | | | | |
| Basic | 55,762 | 80,915 | 54,242 | 64,948 |
| Diluted | 73,882 | 92,037 | 69,804 | 83,013 |

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income
(unaudited in thousands)

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| | June 30, | June 30, | June 30, | June 30, |
| | 2006 | 2007 | 2006 | 2007 |
| Net income | \$ 13,307 | \$ 24,186 | \$ 38,149 | \$ 70,905 |
| Other comprehensive income: | | | | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | (372) | 9,122 | 414 | 16,296 |
| Comprehensive income | \$ 12,935 | \$ 33,308 | \$ 38,563 | \$ 87,201 |

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

AECOM Technology Corporation
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(unaudited in thousands)

| | Nine Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------------|-------------------|
| | June 30, | |
| | 2006 | 2007 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Net income | \$ 38,149 | \$ 70,905 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 28,536 | 30,980 |
| Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures | (4,117) | (7,628) |
| Distribution of earnings from unconsolidated joint ventures | 6,904 | 6,284 |
| Stock match and other non-cash stock compensation | 10,076 | 19,648 |
| Write-off of deferred financing costs and make-whole premium | 2,100 | 3,166 |
| Interest income on notes from stockholders | (1,576) | (754) |
| Foreign currency translation | (1,391) | 5,110 |
| Gain on sale of equity investment | | (11,286) |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisitions: | | |
| Accounts receivable | (121,651) | (78,880) |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 2,441 | (46,285) |
| Accounts payable | 47,973 | (31,140) |
| Accrued expenses and other current liabilities | 21,841 | 44,476 |
| Billings in excess of costs on uncompleted contracts | 20,153 | 22,126 |
| Income taxes payable | 5,471 | (213) |
| Other long-term obligations | (716) | (6,170) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 54,193 | 20,339 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Payments for business acquisitions, net of cash acquired | (34,089) | (137,460) |
| Proceeds from the sale of equity investment | | 14,683 |
| Net investments in unconsolidated joint ventures | 2,310 | (237) |
| Payments for capital expenditures | (21,542) | (32,941) |
| Proceeds on sale of property and equipment | 20,959 | |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (32,362) | (155,955) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Proceeds from borrowings under credit agreements | 253,284 | 55,726 |
| Repayments of borrowings under long-term obligations | (297,989) | (133,611) |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock and preferred stock | 41,013 | 54,561 |
| Proceeds from issuance of stock upon exercise of stock options | 5,050 | 4,102 |
| Net proceeds from the issuance of Class F and Class G preferred stock | 232,120 | |
| Net proceeds from the issuance of common stock in initial public offering | | 469,378 |
| Funding of stock purchase plan rabbi trust | | (75,413) |
| Repurchase of Class D preferred stock | (116,486) | |
| Repayment of notes receivable from stockholders | 1,306 | 22,663 |
| Payments to repurchase common stock and common stock units | (49,905) | (48,581) |
| Payments of dividends on convertible preferred stock | (1,900) | |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 66,493 | 348,825 |
| EFFECT OF EXCHANGE RATE CHANGES ON CASH | | |
| | (26) | 1,994 |
| NET INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | 88,298 | 215,203 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD | 54,302 | 127,870 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF PERIOD | \$ 142,600 | \$ 343,073 |

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

AECOM Technology Corporation
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(unaudited)

1. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements of AECOM Technology Corporation, or the Company, are unaudited, and, in the opinion of management, include all adjustments necessary for a fair statement of the financial position and the results of operations for the periods presented. All inter-company balances and transactions are eliminated in consolidation.

The condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's Form 10 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 3, 2007 for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006.

The results of operations for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2007 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2007.

All share and per share amounts reflect, on a retroactive basis, the 2-for-1 stock split effected in the form of a 100% stock dividend wherein one additional share of stock was issued effective May 4, 2007 for each share outstanding as of the record date of May 4, 2007.

2. Initial Public Offering

In May 2007, the Company completed the initial public offering of 40,422,500 shares of common stock, which included the exercise of the underwriters' over-allotment option to purchase 5,272,500 shares, at \$20.00 per share, before underwriting discounts and commissions. Of the total shares sold in the offering, 15,261,203 were sold by stockholders of the Company. Proceeds to AECOM, net of underwriting discounts, commissions, and other offering related costs were approximately \$469,400,000, of which \$75,400,000 was used to fund elections by employees to diversify their holdings in the Company's stock purchase plan. Proceeds to the selling stockholders, net of underwriting discounts and commissions, were approximately \$286,500,000. The Company's common stock commenced trading on the New York Stock Exchange under the ticker symbol "ACM" on May 10, 2007.

Additionally, effective with the closing of the initial public offering, all of the Company's redeemable common and preferred stock and stock units were converted into common stock and stock units. The Company funded a rabbi trust for the deferred compensation obligations of the diversified stock purchase plan balances, and has recorded the assets within other non-current assets and the related liability within other long-term liabilities. Prior to the initial public offering, redeemable common and preferred stock and stock units were classified outside permanent equity because redemption was not solely within the control of the Company.

3. Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents. Total cash and cash equivalents includes cash in consolidated joint ventures.

4. Accounts Receivable Net

Net accounts receivable consisted of the following as of September 30, 2006 and June 30, 2007:

| | September 30, 2006 | June 30, 2007 |
|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | (in thousands) | |
| Billed | \$ 543,606 | \$ 609,467 |
| Unbilled | 372,034 | 445,818 |
| Contract retentions | 38,921 | 45,839 |
| Total accounts receivable - gross | 954,561 | 1,101,124 |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | (41,383) | (49,710) |
| Total accounts receivable - net | \$ 913,178 | \$ 1,051,414 |
| Billings in excess of costs on uncompleted contracts | \$ 143,283 | \$ 171,114 |

Billed accounts receivable represent amounts billed to clients that have yet to be collected. Unbilled accounts receivable represent revenue recognized but not yet billed pursuant to contract terms or billed after the period end. Substantially all unbilled receivables as of September 30, 2006 and June 30, 2007 are expected to be billed and collected within twelve months of such date. Contract retentions represent amounts invoiced to clients where payments have been withheld pending the completion of certain milestones, other contractual conditions or upon the completion of the project. These retention agreements vary from project to project and could be outstanding several months or years.

Allowances for doubtful accounts have been determined through specific identification of amounts considered to be uncollectible and potential write-offs, plus a non-specific allowance for other amounts for which some potential loss has been determined to be probable based on current and past experience.

Other than the U.S. government, no single client accounted for more than 10% of the Company's accounts receivable as of September 30, 2006 or June 30, 2007.

5. Goodwill and Acquired Intangible Assets

The changes in the carrying value of goodwill by reporting unit for the nine months ended June 30, 2007 were as follows:

| | September 30, 2006 (in thousands) | Post Acquisition Adjustments | Acquired | June 30, 2007 |
|---------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|-----------|------------------|
| Professional Technical Services | \$ 457,575 | \$ 464 | \$ 81,771 | \$ 539,810 |
| Management Support Services | 8,933 | (500) | | 8,433 |
| Total | \$ 466,508 | \$ (36) | \$ 81,771 | \$ 548,243 |

The gross amounts and accumulated amortization of the Company's acquired identifiable intangible assets with finite useful lives as of September 30, 2006 and June 30, 2007 included in intangible and other assets net in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets, were as follows:

| | September 30, 2006 Gross Amount (in thousands) | Accumulated Amortization | June 30, 2007 Gross Amount | Accumulated Amortization |
|------------------------|---|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Backlog | \$ 16,687 | \$ 15,254 | \$ 28,776 | \$ 21,098 |
| Customer Relationships | 18,179 | 2,180 | 28,773 | 3,928 |
| Trade-Names | 899 | 163 | 1,773 | 380 |
| Total | \$ 35,765 | \$ 17,597 | \$ 59,322 | \$ 25,406 |

At the time of acquisition, the Company estimates the amount of the identifiable intangible assets acquired based upon historical valuations and the facts and circumstances available at the time. The Company concludes the value of the identifiable intangible assets during the purchase allocation period, which does not extend beyond 12 months from the date of acquisition. However, based upon the date of acquisition, the purchase allocation period may cross into subsequent fiscal periods.

The following table presents estimated amortization expense for the remainder of fiscal 2007 and for the succeeding years:

| Fiscal Year | (in thousands) |
|-------------|----------------|
| 2007 | \$ 4,094 |
| 2008 | 7,354 |
| 2009 | 3,232 |
| 2010 | 3,222 |
| 2011 | 3,079 |
| Thereafter | 12,935 |
| Total | \$ 33,916 |

6. Disclosures About Pension Benefit Obligations

The Company's pension cost for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2006 and 2007 includes the following components:

| U.S. Plans | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Nine Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------|----------|
| | 2006 | 2007 | 2006 | 2007 |
| | (in thousands) | | | |
| Service costs | \$ 765 | \$ 651 | \$ 2,295 | \$ 1,953 |
| Interest cost on projected benefit obligation | 1,678 | 1,876 | 5,034 | 5,629 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (1,621) | (1,719) | (4,863) | (5,157) |
| Amortization of prior service costs | (290) | (289) | (870) | (867) |
| Amortization of net loss | 1,433 | 982 | 4,299 | 2,946 |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ 1,965 | \$ 1,501 | \$ 5,895 | \$ 4,504 |

| Non-U.S. Plans | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Nine Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------|----------|
| | 2006 | 2007 | 2006 | 2007 |
| | (in thousands) | | | |
| Service costs | \$ 1,316 | \$ 1,170 | \$ 3,948 | \$ 3,633 |
| Interest cost on projected benefit obligation | 3,812 | 4,499 | 11,436 | 13,160 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (3,427) | (4,267) | (10,281) | (12,275) |
| Amortization of prior service costs | (220) | (101) | (660) | (624) |
| Amortization of net gain | 1,459 | 979 | 4,377 | 2,897 |
| Curtailment gain recognized | | | | (2,646) |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ 2,940 | \$ 2,280 | \$ 8,820 | \$ 4,145 |

The total amounts of employer contributions paid for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2007 were \$2.5 and \$2.6 million, respectively, for U.S. plans and \$20.4 and \$28.1 million, respectively for non-U.S. plans. The expected remaining scheduled annual employer contributions for fiscal year September 30, 2007 are \$0.6 million for U.S. plans and \$4.0 million for non-U.S. plans.

7. Reportable Segments

The Company's operations are organized by two reportable segments: Professional Technical Services and Management Support Services. This segmentation corresponds to how the Company manages its business as well as the underlying characteristics of its markets.

Management internally analyzes the results of the Company's segments and operations using the non-GAAP measure of revenue, net of other direct costs which is a measure of work performed by the Company obtained by subtracting subcontractor fees and related costs from revenue.

Edgar Filing: AECOM TECHNOLOGY CORP - Form 10-Q

The following tables set forth summarized financial information concerning the Company's reportable segments:

| Reportable Segments: | Professional Technical Services (in thousands) | Management Support Services | Total |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|--------------|
| Three Months Ended June 30, 2006: | | | |
| Revenue | \$ 720,347 | \$ 190,695 | \$ 911,042 |
| Revenue, net of other direct costs | 465,143 | 26,417 | 491,560 |
| Gross profit | 225,293 | 10,323 | 235,616 |
| Gross profit as a % of revenue | 31.3 | % 5.4 | % 25.9 |
| Gross profit as a % of revenue, net of other direct costs | 48.4 | % 39.1 | % 47.9 |
| Equity in earnings of joint ventures | 560 | 994 | 1,554 |
| General and administrative expenses | 191,251 | 4,865 | 196,116 |
| Segment income from operations | 34,602 | 6,452 | 41,054 |
| Three Months Ended June 30, 2007: | | | |
| Revenue | \$ 903,386 | \$ 196,307 | \$ 1,099,693 |
| Revenue, net of other direct costs | 611,800 | 31,140 | 642,940 |
| Gross profit | 302,434 | 11,738 | 314,172 |
| Gross profit as a % of revenue | 33.5 | % 6.0 | % 28.6 |
| Gross profit as a % of revenue, net of other direct costs | 49.4 | % 37.7 | % 48.9 |
| Equity in earnings of joint ventures | 830 | 2,928 | 3,758 |
| General and administrative expenses | 252,598 | 5,394 | 257,992 |
| Segment income from operations | 50,666 | 9,272 | 59,938 |
| Segment assets | 1,775,242 | 177,561 | 1,952,803 |
| Nine Months Ended June 30, 2006: | | | |
| Revenue | \$ 2,039,968 | \$ 476,342 | \$ 2,516,310 |
| Revenue, net of other direct costs | 1,320,166 | 72,674 | 1,392,840 |
| Gross profit | 637,185 | 27,684 | 664,869 |
| Gross profit as a % of revenue | 31.2 | % 5.8 | % 26.4 |
| Gross profit as a % of revenue, net of other direct costs | 48.3 | % 38.1 | % 47.7 |
| Equity in earnings of joint ventures | 1,965 | 2,151 | 4,116 |
| General and administrative expenses | 550,796 | 13,175 | 563,971 |
| Segment income from operations | 88,354 | 16,660 | 105,014 |
| Nine Months Ended June 30, 2007: | | | |
| Revenue | \$ 2,497,950 | \$ 621,478 | \$ 3,119,428 |
| Revenue, net of other direct costs | 1,653,559 | 79,850 | 1,733,409 |
| Gross profit | 815,663 | 32,697 | 848,360 |
| Gross profit as a % of revenue | 32.7 | % 5.3 | % 27.2 |
| Gross profit as a % of revenue, net of other direct costs | 49.3 | % 40.9 | % 48.9 |
| Equity in earnings of joint ventures | 1,845 | 6,668 | 8,513 |
| General and administrative expenses | 688,541 | 17,200 | 705,741 |
| Segment income from operations | 128,967 | 22,165 | 151,132 |
| Segment assets | 1,775,242 | 177,561 | 1,952,803 |

| Reconciliations: | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|--|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| | June 30, 2006 (in thousands) | June 30, 2007 | June 30, 2006 | June 30, 2007 |
| Revenue: | | | | |
| Revenue from reportable segments | \$ 911,042 | \$ 1,099,693 | \$ 2,516,310 | \$ 3,119,428 |
| Other revenue | 444 | 963 | 903 | 3,486 |
| Total consolidated revenue | \$ 911,486 | \$ 1,100,656 | \$ 2,517,213 | \$ 3,122,914 |
| Gross profit: | | | | |
| Gross profit from reportable segments | \$ 235,616 | \$ 314,172 | \$ 664,869 | \$ 848,360 |
| Other | (2,711) | (2,081) | (2,902) | (3,977) |
| Total consolidated gross profit | \$ 232,905 | \$ 312,091 | \$ 661,967 | \$ 844,383 |
| Equity in earnings of joint ventures: | | | | |
| Equity in earnings of joint ventures from reportable segments | \$ 1,554 | \$ 3,758 | \$ 4,116 | \$ 8,513 |
| Other equity in earnings of joint ventures | | 234 | 1 | (885) |
| Total consolidated equity in earnings of joint ventures | \$ 1,554 | \$ 3,992 | \$ 4,117 | \$ 7,628 |
| General and administrative expenses: | | | | |
| General and administrative expenses of reportable segments | \$ 196,116 | \$ 257,992 | \$ 563,971 | \$ 705,741 |
| Unallocated corporate general and administrative expense | 13,224 | 12,409 | 27,190 | 32,635 |
| Total general and administrative expense | \$ 209,340 | \$ 270,401 | \$ 591,161 | \$ 738,376 |
| Income from operations: | | | | |
| Segment income from operations | \$ 41,054 | \$ 59,938 | \$ 105,014 | \$ 151,132 |
| Loss from operations not allocated to reportable segments | (15,935) | (14,256) | (30,091) | (37,497) |
| Total consolidated income from operations | \$ 25,119 | \$ 45,682 | \$ 74,923 | \$ 113,635 |
| Segment assets: | | | | |
| Total assets of reportable segments | | | | \$ 1,952,803 |
| Other assets not allocated to reportable segments and eliminations | | | | 484,386 |
| Total assets | | | | \$ 2,437,189 |

8. Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (SFAS) No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities – Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115* (SFAS 159). SFAS No. 159 permits entities to choose to measure eligible items at fair value at specified election dates and report unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected in earnings at each subsequent reporting date. SFAS No. 159 will be effective for the Company's fiscal year ending September 30, 2009. The Company is currently evaluating the potential impact of the provisions of SFAS 159 on its results of operations and financial position.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 158, *Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans* (SFAS 158). SFAS 158 requires employers to fully recognize the obligations associated with defined benefit pension plans in their financial statements. The Company will be required to initially recognize such obligations and apply the disclosure requirements as of the end of current fiscal year ending September 30, 2007. Additionally, the Company will be required to measure such obligations as of the end of its fiscal year, rather than up to three months earlier as had been previously permitted, effective in its fiscal year ending September 30, 2009. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the provisions of SFAS 158 on its results of operations and financial position.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* (SFAS 157). SFAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP), and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The provisions of SFAS 157 will be effective for the Company's fiscal year ending September 30, 2009. The Company is currently evaluating the potential impact of the provisions of SFAS 157 on its results of operations and financial position.

In June 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes - an Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109* (FIN 48). FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an entity's financial statements. FIN 48 prescribes that a company should use a more-likely-than-not recognition threshold for such income taxes based on the technical merits of the tax position taken. Additionally, FIN 48 provides guidance on recognition or de-recognition of interest and penalties, changes in judgment in interim periods, and disclosures of uncertain tax positions. FIN 48 becomes effective for the Company in the period beginning October 1, 2007. The Company is in the process of determining the effect of the adoption of FIN 48 on its results of operations and financial position.

9. Stock-Based Compensation

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment* (SFAS 123R) that requires the Company to expense the value of employee stock options and similar awards. Under SFAS 123R, share-based payment (SBP) awards result in a cost that will be measured at fair value on the awards' grant date, based on the estimated number of awards that are expected to vest.

SFAS 123R became effective for the Company on October 1, 2006. Upon adoption of SFAS 123R, the Company implemented the prospective transition method. Under this method, prior periods were not restated to reflect the impact of SFAS 123R. SFAS 123R requires that the Company recognize as compensation expense the fair value of all stock-based awards, including stock options, granted to employees and directors in exchange for services over the requisite service period, which is typically the vesting period. SFAS 123R also requires that cash flows resulting from tax benefits realized from stock option exercises or stock vesting events in excess of tax benefits recognized from stock-based compensation expenses be classified as cash flows from financing activities instead of cash flows from operating activities for awards subject to SFAS 123R.

Prior to October 1, 2006, the Company accounted for employee stock-based compensation using the intrinsic value method of Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*, and related interpretations. Under the intrinsic value method, no compensation expense was reflected in the statement of income for stock options granted to employees, as all stock options had an exercise price equal to the fair value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant.

Under the prospective transition method, the Company continues to account for options granted prior to October 1, 2006 under the provisions of APB Opinion No. 25 to the extent vested. Since stock options had an exercise price equal to the fair value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant, no compensation expense will be recognized for options granted prior to October 1, 2006 unless modifications are made to those options. Prior to the adoption of SFAS 123R, the fair value of stock options used to disclose pro forma net income and earnings per share disclosures was the estimated value using the minimum value method as allowed for non-public companies. The adoption of SFAS 123R did not have a material effect on the Company's results of operations, financial position, or cash flows.

The fair value of the Company's stock option awards is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The expected term of awards granted represents the period of time the awards are expected to be outstanding. As the Company's common stock was not publicly-traded when options were granted, expected volatility was based on a historical volatility, for a period consistent with the expected option term, of publicly-traded peer companies. The risk-free interest rate is based on the yield curve of a zero-coupon U.S. Treasury bond with a maturity equal to the expected term of the option on the grant date. The Company uses historical data as a basis to estimate forfeitures.

The fair value of options granted during the three and nine months ended June 30, 2007 was determined using the following weighted average assumptions:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, 2007 | | Nine Months Ended June 30, 2007 | |
|-------------------------|---|---|--|---|
| Dividend yield | | | | |
| Expected volatility | 25 | % | 25 | % |
| Risk-free interest rate | 4.6 | % | 4.6 | % |
| Term (in years) | 7 | | 7 | |

Edgar Filing: AECOM TECHNOLOGY CORP - Form 10-Q

Under SFAS 123R, the Company's net income for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2007 was \$0.2 million and \$0.5 million, respectively, lower than under the Company's previous accounting method, as a result of recognizing as expense the fair value of stock options.

Stock option activity for the nine months ended June 30, 2007 was as follows:

| | Shares of stock under options | Weighted average exercise price | Aggregate intrinsic value (in thousands) |
|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Outstanding at September 30, 2006 | 8,928,640 | \$ 8.42 | \$ 75,213 |
| Options granted | 675,565 | 14.79 | 9,994 |
| Options forfeited or expired | (27,466) | 13.68 | (376) |
| Options exercised | (1,367,303) | 7.81 | (10,683) |
| Outstanding at June 30, 2007 | 8,209,436 | 9.03 | \$ 74,148 |
| Vested and expected to vest in the future as of June 30, 2007 | 8,196,405 | \$ 9.02 | \$ 73,953 |

The weighted average grant-date fair value of stock options granted during the nine months ended June 30, 2007 was \$5.76.

10. Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share, or EPS, excludes dilution and is computed by dividing net income available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted EPS is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding and dilutive potential common shares for the period. The Company includes as potential common shares the weighted average dilutive effects of outstanding stock options using the treasury stock method.

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted EPS:

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| | June 30, 2006 | June 30, 2007 | June 30, 2006 | June 30, 2007 |
| (in thousands, except per share data) | | | | |
| Numerator for basic earnings per share: | | | | |
| Net income | \$ 13,307 | \$ 24,186 | \$ 38,149 | \$ 70,905 |
| Preferred stock dividends | 78 | 68 | 2,125 | 184 |
| Net income available for common stockholders | \$ 13,229 | \$ 24,118 | \$ 36,024 | \$ 70,721 |
| Denominator for basic earnings per share | | | | |
| Potential common shares: | | | | |
| Preferred stock, Class D | | | 4,221 | |
| Preferred stock, Class F and G | 15,562 | 7,829 | 8,591 | 15,108 |
| Stock options | 2,126 | 2,988 | 2,159 | 2,562 |
| Preferred stock, other | 432 | 305 | 434 | 395 |
| Stock warrants | | | 157 | |
| Denominator for diluted earnings per share | 73,882 | 92,037 | 69,804 | 83,013 |
| Earnings per share: | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.24 | \$ 0.30 | \$ 0.66 | \$ 1.09 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.18 | \$ 0.26 | \$ 0.55 | \$ 0.85 |

For the three and nine months ended June 30, 2006 and 2007, no stock options were excluded from the calculation or were considered anti-dilutive.

11. Stock Plans

Prior to our initial public offering of common stock, shares held by previous employees were repurchased by the Company. During the nine months ended June 30, 2007, the Company's Global Stock Program, or GSP, sold to the Company 1.5 million shares for \$21.5 million as compared to 1.6 million for \$20.0 million during the nine months ended June 30, 2006. During the nine months ended June 30, 2007, the Company's Stock Purchase Plan, or SPP, sold to the Company 0.7 million shares for \$10.1 million as compared to 0.6 million shares for \$8.3 million during the nine months ended June 30, 2006. During the nine months ended June 30, 2007, direct shareholders sold to the Company 1.1 million shares for \$16.2 million as compared to 1.3 million shares for \$17.6 million during the nine months ended June 30, 2006.

12. Commitments and Contingencies

The Company is subject to certain claims and lawsuits typically filed against the engineering and consulting profession, alleging primarily professional errors or omissions. The Company carries professional liability insurance against such claims, subject to certain deductibles and policy limits. From time to time the Company establishes reserves for litigation that is considered a probable loss. In the opinion of management, the ultimate resolution of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on the financial position of the Company.

At June 30, 2007, the Company was contingently liable in the amount of approximately \$49.1 million under standby letters of credit issued primarily in connection with general and professional liability insurance programs and for payment and performance guarantees relating to domestic and overseas contracts. In addition, in some instances the Company guarantees that a project, when complete, will achieve specified performance standards. If the project subsequently fails to meet guaranteed performance standards, the Company may either incur significant additional costs or be held responsible for the costs incurred by the client to achieve the required performance standards.

Under joint venture arrangements, if a partner is financially unable to complete its share of the contract, the other partner(s) will generally be required to complete those activities. The Company generally only enters into joint venture arrangements with partners who are reputable, financially sound and who carry appropriate levels of surety bonds for the project in order to adequately assure completion of their assignments. The Company is a partner in certain joint ventures where the joint venture has contracted with subconsultants for certain specialized professional services. The joint venture, or the Company to the extent that the joint venture partner(s) are unable to fulfill their responsibilities, is liable to the third-party customer for performance of the sub-consultant and would be liable to the sub-consultant if the third-party customer fails to make payments due the joint venture for sub-consultant services.

Item 2. Management's Discussion And Analysis Of Financial Condition And Results Of Operations

Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report contains certain forward-looking statements, including the plans and objectives of management for our business, operations and economic performance. These forward-looking statements generally can be identified by the context of the statement or the use of forward-looking terminology, such as believes, estimates, anticipates, intends, expects, plans, is confident that or words of similar nature with reference to us or our management. Similarly, statements that describe our future operating performance, financial results, financial position, plans, objectives, strategies or goals are forward-looking statements. Although management believes that the assumptions underlying the forward-looking statements are reasonable, these assumptions and the forward-looking statements are subject to various factors, risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond our control, including, but not limited to, our dependence on long-term government contracts, which are subject to uncertainties concerning the government's budgetary approval process, the possibility that our government contracts may be terminated by the government, our ability to successfully manage our joint ventures, the risk of employee misconduct or our failure to comply with laws and regulations, our ability to successfully execute our mergers and acquisitions strategy, including the integration of new companies into our business, our ability to attract and retain key technical and management personnel, our ability to complete our backlog of uncompleted projects as currently projected, our liquidity and capital resources and changes in regulations or legislation that could affect us. Accordingly, actual results could differ materially from those contemplated by any forward-looking statement. In addition to the other risks and uncertainties mentioned in connection with certain forward-looking statements throughout this Quarterly Report, please review Part II, Item 1A Risk Factors in this Quarterly Report for a discussion of the factors, risks and uncertainties that could affect our future results.

Overview

We are a leading global provider of professional technical and management support services for commercial and government clients around the world. We provide our services in a broad range of end markets and strategic geographic markets through a global network of operating offices and more than 31,000 employees and staff employed in the field on a project-by-project basis.

Our business focuses primarily on providing fee-based professional technical and support services and, as such, we are labor and not capital intensive. We derive income from our ability to generate revenue and collect cash from our clients through the billing of our employees' time and our ability to manage our costs. We operate our business through two segments: Professional Technical Services (PTS) and Management Support Services (MSS).

Our PTS segment delivers planning, consulting, architecture and engineering design, and program and construction management services to institutional, commercial and government clients worldwide in major end markets such as transportation, facilities and environmental markets. PTS revenue is primarily derived from fees from services that we provide, as opposed to pass-through fees from subcontractors and other direct costs. As a percentage of PTS revenue, our other direct costs, including subcontractor and consultant costs, typically range from 30% to 38%. Our gross margin as a percentage of PTS revenue typically ranges from 30% to 35%, depending on the nature and scope of the underlying projects.

Our MSS segment provides facilities management and maintenance, training, logistics, consulting, technical assistance and systems integration services, primarily for agencies of the U.S. government. MSS revenue typically includes a significant amount of pass-through fees from subcontractor and other direct costs. As a percentage of MSS revenue, other direct costs, including subcontractor, consultants and material costs typically range from 85% to 87%. Our gross margin as a percentage of MSS revenue typically ranges from 3% to 5%, depending on the level of other direct costs required, which can vary significantly from period to period.

In summary, our revenue is dependent on our ability to attract qualified and productive employees, identify business opportunities, allocate our labor resources to profitable markets, secure new contracts, renew existing client agreements and provide outstanding services. Moreover, as a professional services company, the quality of the work generated by our employees is integral to our generation of revenue and profits.

Our costs are driven primarily by the compensation we pay to our employees, including fringe benefits, the cost of hiring subcontractors and other project-related expenses, and sales and general and administrative overhead costs.

Components of Income and Expense

Our management analyzes the results of our operations using two financial measures that are not in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States (GAAP): revenue, net of other direct costs and cost of revenue, net of other direct costs.

The following table presents, for the periods indicated, a presentation of the non-GAAP financial measures reconciled to the closest GAAP measures:

| | Year Ended September 30, | | | | | Nine Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-------------------|----------|
| | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | June 30, | 2007 |
| | (in millions) | | | | | | |
| Other Financial Data: | | | | | | | |
| Revenue | \$ 1,747 | \$ 1,915 | \$ 2,012 | \$ 2,395 | \$ 3,421 | \$ 2,517 | \$ 3,123 |
| Other direct costs | 671 | 725 | 776 | 933 | 1,521 | 1,123 | 1,387 |
| Revenue, net of other direct costs | 1,076 | 1,190 | 1,236 | 1,462 | 1,900 | 1,394 | 1,736 |
| Cost of revenue, net of other direct costs | 598 | 656 | 667 | 785 | 994 | 732 | 892 |
| Gross profit | 478 | 534 | 569 | 677 | 906 | 662 | 844 |
| Equity in earnings of joint ventures | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 8 |
| Amortization expense of acquired intangible assets | | | | 3 | 15 | 11 | 8 |
| Other general and administrative expenses | 430 | 467 | 485 | 578 | 795 | 580 | 730 |
| General and administrative expenses | 430 | 467 | 485 | 581 | 810 | 591 | 738 |
| Income from operations | \$ 49 | \$ 69 | \$ 87 | \$ 98 | \$ 103 | \$ 75 | \$ 114 |
| Reconciliation of Cost of Revenue: | | | | | | | |
| Other direct costs | \$ 671 | \$ 725 | \$ 776 | \$ 933 | \$ 1,521 | \$ 1,123 | \$ 1,387 |
| Cost of revenue, net of other direct costs | 598 | 656 | 667 | 785 | 994 | 732 | 892 |
| Cost of revenue | \$ 1,269 | \$ 1,381 | \$ 1,443 | \$ 1,718 | \$ 2,515 | \$ 1,855 | \$ 2,279 |

Results of Operations

Consolidated Results

Edgar Filing: AECOM TECHNOLOGY CORP - Form 10-Q

| | Three Months Ended | | | | Nine Months Ended | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|-------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------|-------|---|
| | June 30 2006 (in thousands) | June 30 2007 | Change \$ | % | June 30 2006 | June 30 2007 | Change \$ | % | |
| Revenue | \$ 911,486 | \$ 1,100,656 | \$ 189,170 | 20.8 | % \$ 2,517,213 | \$ 3,122,914 | \$ 605,701 | 24.1 | % |
| Other direct costs | 419,482 | 456,965 | 37,483 | 8.9 | 1,123,470 | 1,386,608 | 263,138 | 23.4 | |
| Revenue, net of other direct costs | 492,004 | 643,691 | 151,687 | 30.8 | 1,393,743 | 1,736,306 | 342,563 | 24.6 | |
| Cost of revenue, net of other direct costs | 259,099 | 331,600 | 72,501 | 28.0 | 731,776 | 891,923 | 160,147 | 21.9 | |
| Gross profit | 232,905 | 312,091 | 79,186 | 34.0 | 661,967 | 844,383 | 182,416 | 27.6 | |
| Equity in earnings of joint ventures | 1,554 | 3,992 | 2,438 | 156.9 | 4,117 | 7,628 | 3,511 | 85.3 | |
| General and administrative expenses | 209,340 | 270,401 | 61,061 | 29.2 | 591,161 | 738,376 | 147,215 | 24.9 | |
| Income from operations | 25,119 | 45,682 | 20,563 | 81.9 | 74,923 | 113,635 | 38,712 | 51.7 | |
| Minority interest in share of earnings | 3,022 | 3,824 | 802 | 26.5 | 8,503 | 9,058 | 555 | 6.5 | |
| Gain on sale of equity investment | | | | 0.0 | | 11,286 | 11,286 | | |
| Interest expense, net | 2,528 | 6,312 | 3,784 | 149.7 | 10,318 | 9,615 | (703) | (6.8) |) |
| Income before income tax expense | 19,569 | 35,546 | 15,977 | 81.6 | 56,102 | 106,248 | 50,146 | 89.4 | |
| Income tax expense | 6,262 | 11,360 | 5,098 | 81.4 | 17,953 | 35,343 | 17,390 | 96.9 | |
| Net income | \$ 13,307 | \$ 24,186 | \$ 10,879 | 81.8 | % \$ 38,149 | \$ 70,905 | \$ 32,756 | 85.9 | % |

Edgar Filing: AECOM TECHNOLOGY CORP - Form 10-Q

The following table presents the percentage relationship of certain items to revenue, net of other direct costs:

| | Three Months Ended | | | | Nine Months Ended | | | |
|--|--------------------|---|------------------|---|-------------------|---|------------------|---|
| | June 30, 2006 | | June 30, 2007 | | June 30, 2006 | | June 30, 2007 | |
| Revenue, net of other direct costs | 100.0 | % | 100.0 | % | 100.0 | % | 100.0 | % |
| Cost of revenue, net of other direct costs | 52.7 | % | 51.5 | % | 52.5 | % | 51.4 | % |
| Gross profit | 47.3 | % | 48.5 | % | 47.5 | % | 48.6 | % |
| Equity in earnings of joint ventures | 0.3 | % | 0.6 | % | 0.3 | % | 0.4 | % |
| General and administrative expense | 42.5 | % | 42.0 | % | 42.4 | % | 42.5 | % |
| Income from operations | 5.1 | % | 7.1 | % | 5.4 | % | 6.5 | % |
| Minority interest in share of earnings | 0.6 | % | 0.6 | % | 0.6 | % | 0.5 | % |
| Gain on sale of equity investment | | | | | | | 0.7 | % |
| Interest expense - net | 0.5 | % | 1.0 | % | 0.7 | % | 0.6 | % |
| Income before income tax expense | 4.0 | % | 5.5 | % | 4.1 | % | 6.1 | % |
| Income tax expense | 1.3 | % | 1.8 | % | 1.3 | % | 2.0 | % |
| Net income | 2.7 | % | 3.7 | % | 2.8 | % | 4.1 | % |

Revenue

Our revenue for the three months ended June 30, 2007 increased \$189.2 million, or 20.8%, to \$1.1 billion as compared to \$911.5 million for the corresponding period last year. Of this increase, \$91.7 million, or 48.5%, was provided by companies acquired in the past twelve months. Excluding the revenue provided by acquired companies, revenue increased \$97.5 million, or 10.7%. This increase was primarily attributable to increased spending for infrastructure development in Australia, Canada, and the United Arab Emirates as a result of continued economic growth in these regions, higher volume of work performed for clients in the building and transportation sectors of our operations in the United Kingdom, and an increase in design/build services performed in the United States. Increased demand in these markets was partially offset by a decline in revenue from contracts we hold with the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) as a result of a decrease in hurricane recovery activities in the Gulf Coast region.

Our revenue for the nine months ended June 30, 2007 increased \$605.7 million, or 24.1%, to \$3.1 billion as compared to \$2.5 billion for the corresponding period last year. Of this increase, \$202.3 million, or 33.4%, was provided by companies acquired in the past twelve months. Excluding the revenue provided by acquired companies, revenue increased \$403.4 million, or 16.0%. In addition to the growth factors mentioned above, this increase was also attributable to a higher volume of task orders received associated with U.S. government activity in Kuwait, partially offset by the reduction in emergency / disaster events that trigger task orders under our FEMA emergency response program in the first two fiscal quarters.

Revenue, Net of Other Direct Costs

Our revenue, net of other direct costs for the three months ended June 30, 2007 increased \$151.7 million, or 30.8%, to \$643.7 million as compared to \$492.0 million in the corresponding period last year. Of this increase, \$73.5 million, or 48.5%, was provided by companies acquired in the past twelve months. Excluding the revenue, net of other direct costs provided by acquired companies, revenue, net of other direct costs increased \$78.2 million, or 15.9%. This increase was primarily attributable to the revenue growth factors noted above.

Our revenue, net of other direct costs for the nine months ended June 30, 2007, increased \$342.6 million, or 24.6%, to \$1.7 billion as compared to \$1.4 billion in the corresponding period last year. Of this increase, \$160.3 million, or 46.8%, was provided by companies acquired in the past twelve months. Excluding the revenue, net of other direct costs provided by acquired companies, revenue, net of other direct costs increased \$182.3 million, or 13.1%. This increase was primarily attributable to the revenue growth factors mentioned above.

Cost of Revenue, Net of Other Direct Costs

For the three months ended June 30, 2007, our cost of revenue, net of other direct costs increased \$72.5 million, or 28.0%, to \$331.6 million as compared to \$259.1 million in the corresponding period last year. Of this increase, \$33.4 million, or 46.0%, was incurred by acquired companies. Excluding cost of revenue, net of other direct costs associated with acquired companies, cost of revenue, net of other direct costs increased \$39.1 million, or 15.1%. Included in cost of revenue, net of other direct costs is stock match expense of \$3.6 million and \$2.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Most of our cost of revenue, net of other direct costs is attributable to employee related costs.

For the three months ended June 30, 2007, cost of revenue, net of other direct costs, as a percentage of revenue, net of other direct costs, was 51.5% as compared to 52.7% in the corresponding period last year.

For the nine months ended June 30, 2007, our cost of revenue, net of other direct costs increased \$160.1 million, or 21.9%, to \$891.9 million as compared to \$731.8 million in the corresponding period last year. Of this increase, \$74.7 million, or 46.6% was incurred by companies acquired in the past twelve months. Excluding cost of revenue, net of other direct costs associated with acquired companies, cost of revenue, net of other direct costs increased \$85.5 million, or 11.7%. Included in cost of revenue, net of other direct costs is stock match expense of \$8.9 million and \$7.5 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2007 and June 30, 2006, respectively. For the nine months ended June 30, 2007, cost of revenue, net of other direct costs, as a percentage of revenue, net of other direct costs, was 51.4% as compared to 52.5% in the corresponding period last year.

Gross Profit

Our gross profit for the three months ended June 30, 2007 increased \$79.2 million, or 34.0%, to \$312.1 million as compared to \$232.9 million in the corresponding period last year. Of this increase, gross profit provided by companies acquired in the past 12 months was \$40.2 million, or 50.7%. Excluding gross profit provided by acquired companies, gross profit increased \$39.0 million, or 16.8%. The increase was primarily attributable to improved project performance. For the three months ended June 30, 2007, gross profit, as a percentage of revenue, net of other direct costs, was 48.5% as compared to 47.3% in the corresponding period last year.

Our gross profit for the nine months ended June 30, 2007, increased \$182.4 million, or 27.6%, to \$844.4 million as compared to \$662.0 million in the corresponding period last year. Of this increase, gross profit provided by companies acquired in the past 12 months was \$85.6 million, or 46.9%. Excluding gross profit provided by acquired companies, gross profit increased \$96.8 million, or 14.6%. This increase was primarily attributable to the factors mentioned above. For the nine months ended June 30, 2007, gross profit, as a percentage of revenue, net of other direct costs, was 48.6% as compared to 47.5% in the corresponding period last year.

Equity in Earnings of Joint Ventures

Our equity in earnings of joint ventures for the three months ended June 30, 2007 increased \$2.4 million, or 157%, to \$4.0 million as compared to \$1.6 million in the corresponding period last year. The increase was primarily attributable to our participation in a joint venture at the Department of Energy's Nevada Test Site that commenced in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2006.

Our equity in earnings of joint ventures for the nine months ended June 30, 2007 increased \$3.5 million, or 85.3%, to \$7.6 million as compared to \$4.1 million in the corresponding period last year. The increase was primarily attributable to the factor mentioned above.

General and Administrative Expenses

Our general and administrative expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2007 increased \$61.1 million, or 29.2%, to \$270.4 million as compared to \$209.3 million in the corresponding period last year. Of this increase, \$34.4 million, or 56.4%, was incurred by acquired companies. Excluding general and administrative expenses associated with acquired companies, general and administrative expenses increased \$26.6 million, or 12.7%. The increase was primarily attributable to growth in revenue noted above, increased headcount associated with acquired companies, continued investments throughout the organization to support strategic initiatives and expenses incurred related to our becoming a public reporting company, including compliance efforts related to the requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. For the three months ended June 30, 2007, general and administrative expenses, as a percentage of revenue, net of other direct costs was 42.0% as compared to 42.5% in the corresponding period last year. Included in general and administrative expenses is amortization expense of acquired intangible assets of \$1.6 million and \$3.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Included in general and administrative expenses is stock match expense of \$1.2 million and \$1.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Our general and administrative expenses for the nine months ended June 30, 2007 increased \$147.2 million, or 24.9%, to \$738.4 million as compared to \$591.2 million in the corresponding period last year. Of this increase, \$77.1 million, or 52.4%, was incurred by acquired companies. Excluding general and administrative expenses associated with acquired companies, general and administrative expenses increased \$70.1 million, or 11.9%. The increase was primarily attributable to the factors mentioned above. For the nine months ended June 30, 2007, general and administrative expenses, as a percentage of revenue, net of other direct costs was 42.5% as compared to 42.4% in the corresponding period last year. Included in general and

Edgar Filing: AECOM TECHNOLOGY CORP - Form 10-Q

administrative expenses is amortization expense of acquired intangible assets of \$7.9 million and \$10.7 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Also included in general and administrative expenses is stock match expense of \$3.0 million and \$2.6 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Gain on Sale of Equity Investment

During the nine months ended June 30, 2007, we sold our minority interest in an equity investment in the U.K. for 7.5 million GBP, or approximately \$14.7 million. Related to this sale, we recorded a gain on the sale of \$11.3 million.

Interest Expense Net

Our net interest expense for the three months ended June 30, 2007 increased \$3.8 million, or 150%, to \$6.3 million as compared to \$2.5 million in the corresponding period last year. This increase was primarily attributable to make-whole premiums incurred upon the early repayment of \$60.5 million in fixed rate senior notes with proceeds from our initial public offering completed in May 2007.

Our net interest expense for the nine months ended June 30, 2007 decreased \$0.7 million, or 6.8%, to \$9.6 million as compared to \$10.3 million in the corresponding period last year. This decrease was primarily attributable to lower borrowings in the nine months ending June 30, 2007 as compared to the corresponding period in the prior year, partially offset by the make-whole premiums mentioned above.

Income Tax Expense

The effective tax rate for the three and nine months ending June 30, 2007 was 32.0% and 33.3% as compared to 32.0% and 32.0% for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2006.

Net Income

The factors described above resulted in our net income of \$24.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007 an increase of 81.8% from \$13.3 million in the corresponding period last year, and net income of \$70.9 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2007 an increase of 85.9% from \$38.1 million in the corresponding period last year.

Results of Operations by Reportable Segment:

Professional Technical Services

| | Three Months Ended | | | | Nine Months Ended | | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|------------------|--------------|------|-------------------|------------------|--------------|------|
| | June 30, 2006 (\$ in thousands) | June 30, 2007 | Change \$ | % | June 30, 2006 | June 30, 2007 | Change \$ | % |
| Revenue | \$ 720,347 | \$ 903,386 | \$ 183,039 | 25.4 | \$ 2,039,968 | \$ 2,497,950 | \$ 457,982 | 22.5 |
| Other direct costs | 255,204 | 291,586 | 36,382 | 14.3 | 719,802 | 844,391 | 124,589 | 17.3 |
| Revenue, net of other direct costs | 465,143 | 611,800 | 146,657 | 31.5 | 1,320,166 | 1,653,559 | 333,393 | 25.3 |
| Cost of revenue, net of other direct costs | 239,850 | 309,366 | 69,516 | 29.0 | 682,981 | 837,896 | 154,915 | 22.7 |
| Gross profit | \$ 225,293 | \$ 302,434 | \$ 77,141 | 34.2 | \$ 637,185 | \$ 815,663 | \$ 178,478 | 28.0 |

Edgar Filing: AECOM TECHNOLOGY CORP - Form 10-Q

The following table presents the percentage relationship of certain items to revenue, net of other direct costs:

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| | June 30, 2006 | June 30, 2007 | June 30, 2006 | June 30, 2007 |
| Revenue, net of other direct costs | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Cost of revenue, net of other direct costs | 51.6 | 50.6 | 51.7 | 50.7 |
| Gross profit | 48.4 | 49.4 | 48.3 | 49.3 |

18

Revenue

PTS revenue for the three months ended June 30, 2007 increased \$183.1 million, or 25.4%, to \$903.4 million as compared to \$720.3 million in the corresponding period last year. Of this increase, \$91.7 million, or 50.1%, was provided by companies acquired in the past twelve months. Excluding revenue provided by acquired companies, PTS revenue increased \$91.3 million, or 12.7%. This increase was primarily attributable to increased government and private sector spending for infrastructure development in Australia, Canada, and the United Arab Emirates as a result of continued economic growth, an increase in our building and transportation business in the U.K., and higher volumes of design/build services in the United States. These increases were partially offset by a decline in task orders received from FEMA as result of decreased hurricane recovery activities in the Gulf Coast region.

PTS revenue for the nine months ended June 30, 2007 increased \$458.0 million, or 22.5%, to \$2.5 billion as compared to \$2.0 billion in the corresponding period last year. Of this increase, \$202.3 million, or 44.2%, was provided by companies acquired in the past twelve months. Excluding revenue provided by acquired companies, PTS revenue increased \$255.7 million, or 12.5%. This increase was primarily attributable to the factors mentioned above.

Revenue, Net of Other Direct Costs

PTS revenue, net of other direct costs for the three months ended June 30, 2007 increased \$146.7 million, or 31.5%, to \$611.8 million as compared to \$465.1 million in the corresponding period last year. Of this increase, \$73.5 million, or 50.1%, was provided by companies acquired in the past twelve months. Excluding revenue, net of other direct costs provided by acquired companies, PTS revenue, net of other direct costs increased \$73.1 million, or 15.7%. This increase was primarily attributable to the factors mentioned above.

PTS revenue, net of other direct costs for the nine months ended June 30, 2007 increased \$333.4 million, or 25.3%, to \$1.7 billion as compared to \$1.3 billion in the corresponding period last year. Of this increase, \$160.3 million, or 48.1%, was provided by companies acquired in the past twelve months. Excluding revenue, net of other direct costs provided by acquired companies, PTS revenue, net of other direct costs increased \$173.1 million, or 13.1%. This increase was primarily attributable to the factors mentioned above.

Cost of Revenue, Net of Other Direct Costs

For the three months ended June 30, 2007, PTS cost of revenue, net of other direct costs increased \$69.5 million, or 29.0%, to \$309.4 million as compared to \$239.9 million in the corresponding period last year. Of this increase, \$33.4 million, or 48.0%, was incurred by companies acquired in the past twelve months. Excluding cost of revenue, net of other direct costs associated with acquired companies, cost of revenue, net of other direct costs increased by \$36.2 million, or 15.1%. For the three months ended June 30, 2007, cost of revenue, net of other direct costs, as a percentage of revenue, net of other direct costs, was 50.6% as compared to 51.6% in the corresponding period last year.

For the nine months ended June 30, 2007, PTS cost of revenue, net of other direct costs increased \$154.9 million, or 22.7%, to \$837.9 million as compared to \$683.0 million in the corresponding period last year. Of this increase, \$74.7 million, or 48.2%, was incurred by companies acquired in the past twelve months. Excluding cost of revenue, net of other direct costs associated with acquired companies, cost of revenue, net of other direct costs increased by \$80.2 million, or 11.7%. For the nine months ended June 30, 2007, cost of revenue, net of other direct costs, as a percentage of revenue, net of other direct costs, was 50.7% as compared to 51.7% in the corresponding period last year.

Gross Profit

PTS gross profit for the three months ended June 30, 2007 increased \$77.1 million, or 34.2%, to \$302.4 million as compared to \$225.3 million in the corresponding period last year. Of this increase, \$40.2 million, or 52.1%, was provided by companies acquired in the past 12 months. Excluding gross profit provided by acquired companies, gross profit increased \$37.0 million, or 16.4%. The increase was primarily attributable to improved project performance. For the three months ended June 30, 2007, gross profit, as a percentage of revenue, net of other direct costs, was 49.4% as compared to 48.4% in the corresponding period last year.

PTS gross profit for the nine months ended June 30, 2007 increased \$178.5 million, or 28.0%, to \$815.7 million as compared to \$637.2 million in the corresponding period last year. Of this increase, \$85.6 million, or 48.0% was provided by companies acquired in the past 12 months. Excluding gross profit provided by acquired companies, gross profit increased \$92.9 million, or 14.6%. The increases were primarily attributable to the factor mentioned above. For the nine months ended June 30, 2007, gross profit, as a percentage of revenue, net of other direct costs, was 49.3% as compared to 48.3% in the corresponding period last year.

Equity in Earnings of Joint Ventures

PTS equity in earnings of joint ventures for the three months ended June 30, 2007 increased \$0.2 million, or 48.2%, to \$0.8 million as compared to \$0.6 million in the corresponding period last year.

PTS equity in earnings of joint ventures for the nine months ended June 30, 2007 decreased \$0.2 million, or 6.1%, to \$1.8 million as compared to \$2.0 million for the corresponding period last year.

Management Support Services

| | Three Months Ended | | Change | | Nine Months Ended | | Change | | |
|--|--------------------|------------------|----------|------|-------------------|------------------|------------|------|---|
| | June 30, 2006 | June 30, 2007 | \$ | % | June 30, 2006 | June 30, 2007 | \$ | % | |
| | (\$ in thousands) | | | | | | | | |
| Revenue | \$ 190,695 | \$ 196,307 | \$ 5,612 | 2.9 | % \$ 476,342 | \$ 621,478 | \$ 145,136 | 30.5 | % |
| Other direct costs | 164,278 | 165,167 | 889 | 0.5 | 403,668 | 541,628 | 137,960 | 34.2 | |
| Revenue, net of other direct costs | 26,417 | 31,140 | 4,723 | 17.9 | 72,674 | 79,850 | 7,176 | 9.9 | |
| Cost of revenue, net of other direct costs | 16,094 | 19,402 | 3,308 | 20.6 | 44,990 | 47,153 | 2,163 | 4.8 | |
| Gross profit | \$ 10,323 | \$ 11,738 | \$ 1,415 | 13.7 | % \$ 27,684 | \$ 32,697 | \$ 5,013 | 18.1 | % |

Edgar Filing: AECOM TECHNOLOGY CORP - Form 10-Q

The following table presents the percentage relationship of certain items to revenue, net of other direct costs:

| | Three Months Ended | | June 30, | | June 30, | | Nine Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-------------------|----------|
| | June 30, | June 30, | June 30, | June 30, | June 30, | June 30, | June 30, | June 30, |
| | 2006 | 2007 | 2006 | 2007 | 2006 | 2007 | 2006 | 2007 |
| Revenue, net of other direct costs | 100.0 | % | 100.0 | % | 100.0 | % | 100.0 | % |
| Cost of revenue, net of other direct costs | 60.9 | | 62.3 | | 61.9 | | 59.1 | |
| Gross profit | 39.1 | % | 37.7 | % | 38.1 | % | 40.9 | % |

Revenue

MSS revenue for the three months ended June 30, 2007, increased \$5.6 million, or 2.9%, to \$196.3 million as compared to \$190.7 million in the corresponding period last year.

MSS revenue for the nine months ended June 30, 2007, increased \$145.2 million, or 30.5%, to \$621.5 million as compared to \$476.3 million in the corresponding period last year. This increase was primarily attributable to a higher volume of task orders received related to U.S. government activities in Kuwait.

Revenue, Net of Other Direct Costs

MSS revenue, net of other direct costs for the three months June 30, 2007 increased \$4.7 million, or 17.9%, to \$31.1 million as compared to \$26.4 million in the corresponding period last year. The increase was primarily attributable to an increase in Company personnel associated with task orders received related to U.S. government activities in Kuwait.

MSS revenue, net of other direct costs for the nine months ended June 30, 2007 increased \$7.2 million, or 9.9%, to \$79.9 million as compared to \$72.7 million in the corresponding period last year. The increase was primarily attributable to the factor mentioned above.

Cost of Revenue, Net of Other Direct Costs

MSS cost of revenue, net of other direct costs for the three months ended June 30, 2007, increased \$3.3 million, or 20.6%, to \$19.4 million as compared to \$16.1 million in the corresponding period last year. For the three months ended June 20, 2007, cost of revenue, net of other direct costs, as a percentage of revenue, net of other direct costs, was 62.3% as compared to 60.9% in the corresponding period last year.

MSS cost of revenue, net of other direct costs for the nine months ended June 30, 2007, increased \$2.2 million, or 4.8%, to \$47.2 million as compared to \$45.0 million in the corresponding period last year. For the nine months ended June 30, 2007, cost of revenue, net of other direct costs, as a percentage of revenue, net of other direct costs, was 59.1% as compared to 61.9% in the corresponding period last year.

Gross Profit

MSS gross profit for the three months ended June 30, 2007, increased \$1.4 million, or 13.7%, to \$11.7 million as compared to \$10.3 million in the corresponding period last year. For the three months ended June 30, 2007, gross profit, as a percentage of revenue, net of other direct costs, was 37.7% as compared to 39.1% in the corresponding period last year.

MSS gross profit for the nine months ended June 30, 2007 increased \$5.0 million, or 18.1%, to \$32.7 million as compared to \$27.7 million in the corresponding period last year. For the nine months ended June 30, 2007, gross profit, as a percentage of revenue, net of other direct costs, was 40.9% as compared to 38.1% in the corresponding period last year.

Equity in Earnings of Joint Ventures

MSS equity in earnings of joint ventures for the three months ended June 30, 2007 increased \$1.9 million, or 195%, to \$2.9 million as compared to \$1.0 million in the corresponding period last year, primarily due to our participation in the Nevada Test Site project.

MSS equity in earnings of joint ventures for the nine months ended June 30, 2007 increased \$4.5 million, or 210%, to \$6.7 million as compared to \$2.2 million in the corresponding period last year, again related to our participation in the Nevada Test Site project.

Seasonality

We experience seasonal trends in our business. Our revenue is typically lower in the first quarter of our fiscal year, primarily due to lower utilization rates attributable to holidays recognized around the world. Our revenue is typically higher in the last half of the year. Many U.S. state governments with fiscal years ending on June 30 tend to accelerate spending during their first quarter, when new funding becomes available. In addition, we find that the U.S. Federal government tends to authorize more work during the period preceding the end of its fiscal year, September 30. Further, our construction management revenue typically increases during the high construction season of the summer months. For these reasons, coupled with the number and significance of client contracts commenced and completed during a period, as well as the time of expenses incurred for corporate initiatives, it is not unusual for us to experience seasonal changes or fluctuations in our quarterly operating results.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash Flows

We have historically relied on cash flow from operations, proceeds from sales of stock (both to employees and to institutional investors) and borrowings under debt facilities to satisfy our working capital requirements as well as to fund share repurchases and mergers and acquisitions. In May 2007, we completed the initial public offering of 40,422,500 shares of our common stock, which includes the exercise of the underwriters' over-allotment option to purchase 5,272,500 shares, at \$20.00 per share. Of the total shares sold in the offering, 15,261,203 were sold by stockholders of the Company. Proceeds to AECOM, net of underwriting discounts, commissions, and other offering related costs were approximately \$469,400,000, of which \$75,400,000 was used to fund elections by employees to diversify their holdings in the Company's stock purchase plan.

Our principal source of liquidity is cash flows from operations, and our principal uses of cash will be for operating expenses, capital expenditures, working capital requirements, acquisitions, and repayment of debt. We anticipate that our operating cash flows, existing cash, cash equivalents and borrowing capacity under our revolving credit facility will be sufficient to meet our anticipated cash requirements for at least the next 12 months.

At June 30, 2007, cash and cash equivalents were \$343.1 million, an increase of \$215.2 million, or 168%, from \$127.9 at September 30, 2006. This increase was primarily attributable to the initial public offering of common stock, partially offset by cash consideration paid in mergers and acquisitions.

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$20.3 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2007, a decrease of \$33.9 million from the net provided by operating activities of \$54.2 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2006. The decrease was primarily attributable to increased rate of payments of accounts payable partially offset by increased net income.

Edgar Filing: AECOM TECHNOLOGY CORP - Form 10-Q

Net cash used in investing activities was \$156.0 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2007, an increase of \$123.6 million from the net cash used in investing activities of \$32.4 million in the nine months ended June 30, 2006. For the nine months ended June 30, 2007, net cash used in business combinations was \$137.5 million as compared to \$34.1 million used in business combinations for the comparable period last year, a result of various acquisitions, including Hayes, Seay, Mattern, & Mattern, Inc., STS Consultants, Ltd., Retec Holdings, Inc., and HLA Envirosciences.

21

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$348.8 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2007, an increase of \$282.3 million from cash provided by financing activities of \$66.5 million in the comparable period last year, primarily a result of net proceeds from our initial public offering of common stock of \$469.4 million, partially offset by \$75.4 million used to fund the Company's obligations related to amounts diversified (i.e. moved from investment in AECOM stock to other non-AECOM stock investment options) under the stock purchase plan in connection with the May 2007 initial public offering, and repayment of debt of \$133.6 million.

Working Capital

Working capital, or current assets less current liabilities, increased \$380.3 million, or 189%, to \$581.6 million at June 30, 2007 from \$201.3 million at September 30, 2006 primarily as a result of our initial public offering of common stock and newly acquired companies. Net accounts receivable, which includes billed and unbilled costs and fees, net of billings in excess of costs on uncompleted contracts, increased \$110.4 million, or 14.3%, to \$880.3 million at June 30, 2007 from \$769.9 million at September 30, 2006. For the same period, annualized revenue increased at a notably higher level of \$757 million, or 20.7%, from \$3.6 billion to \$4.4 billion.

Because our revenue depends to a great extent on billable labor hours, most of our charges are invoiced following the end of the month in which the hours were worked, the majority usually within 15 days. Other direct costs are normally billed along with labor hours. However, as opposed to salary costs, which are generally paid on either a bi-weekly or monthly basis, other direct costs are generally not paid until we receive payment (in some cases in the form of advances) from our customers.

Borrowings and Lines of Credit

At September 30, 2006 and June 30, 2007, our long-term debt consisted of the following:

| | September 30, 2006 | June 30, 2007 |
|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | (in thousands) | |
| Amended and Restated Credit Agreement | \$ | \$ |
| Term Credit Agreement | 65,000 | 48,289 |
| Senior Notes | 68,810 | 8,334 |
| Short-term debt | 2,716 | 2,316 |
| Other debt | 929 | 4,543 |
| Total long-term debt | 137,455 | 63,482 |
| Less: Current portion of long-term debt | (14,665) | (6,859) |
| Long-term debt, less current portion | \$ 122,790 | \$ 56,623 |

Amended and Restated Credit Agreement

We have an unsecured senior credit agreement with a syndicate of banks to support our working capital needs, which expires March 31, 2011. The facility consists of a revolving line of credit in the amount of \$300.0 million, which includes a sub-limit for standby letters of credit of \$50.0 million. We may borrow, at our option, at either (a) a base rate (the greater of the Federal Funds rate plus 0.50% or the bank's reference rate) plus a margin which ranges from 0.00% to 0.25%, or (b) an offshore, or LIBOR, rate plus a margin which ranges from 0.75% to 1.75%, depending on our leverage ratio. In addition to these borrowing rates, there is a commitment fee which ranges from 0.175% to 0.375% on any unused commitment. Borrowings under the credit facility are limited by certain affirmative and negative financial covenants, which include maximum leverage restrictions, minimum fixed charge coverage and minimum net worth maintenance. At September 30, 2006 and June 30, 2007, there were \$0.0 million in borrowings under the credit facility. At September 30, 2006 and June 30, 2007, outstanding standby letters of credit totaled \$23.1 million and \$24.3 million, respectively. At June 30, 2007, we had \$275.7 million available for borrowing under the credit facility as compared to \$276.9 million at September 30, 2006.

Term Credit Agreement

On September 22, 2006, certain of our wholly-owned subsidiaries closed an unsecured term credit agreement with a syndicate of banks to facilitate dividend repatriations to the United States under favorable tax terms. The term credit agreement provides for a \$65.0 million, five-year term loan among four subsidiary borrowers and one subsidiary guarantor. In order to obtain more favorable pricing and other terms, we also provided a parent company guarantee. The terms and conditions of this agreement are substantially similar to those contained in our senior unsecured credit facility. Principal payments began in the quarter ended June 30, 2007. At June 30, 2007, borrowings under this term credit

agreement totaled \$48.3 million.

22

Senior Notes

June 2008 Notes: On June 9, 1998, we issued \$60.0 million of 6.93% senior notes due June 9, 2008. The June 2008 Notes are unsecured and have an average life of seven years. The annual principal payments of \$8.6 million began June 9, 2002. These notes were repaid in full in the quarter ended June 30, 2007.

October 2008 Notes: On September 9, 2002, we issued \$25.0 million of 6.23% senior notes due October 15, 2008. The October 2008 Notes are unsecured and have an average life of five years. The annual principal payments of \$8.3 million were scheduled to begin October 15, 2006; however, we elected to pre-pay the first principal payment in September 2006. All but \$8.3 million of the remaining principal balances of these notes were repaid in the quarter ended June 30, 2007.

April 2012 Notes: On April 14, 2000, we issued \$35.0 million of 8.38% senior notes due April 14, 2012. The April 2012 Notes were unsecured and have an average life of 10 years. These notes were repaid in full in the quarter ended June 30, 2007.

The senior notes require interest to be paid either quarterly or semi-annually in arrears. The senior notes are also limited by certain affirmative and negative financial covenants, which include maximum leverage restrictions, minimum fixed charge coverage, minimum interest charge coverage and minimum net worth maintenance.

Bank Overdrafts and Other Debt

At June 30, 2007, we had five non-U.S. credit facilities used to cover periodic overdrafts and to issue letters of credit in the aggregate amount of \$84 million.

Further, at June 30, 2007, we had outstanding promissory notes of \$0.7 million to former shareholders of Oscar Faber, predecessor to Faber Maunsell. These promissory notes have maturities ranging through April 2010.

Preferred Stock

In February 2006, we closed a \$235.0 million private placement of our Class F and Class G convertible preferred stock. In connection with the private placement, we redeemed all outstanding shares of our Class D convertible preferred stock and repurchased associated warrants to purchase common stock. Approximately \$114.7 million of the \$232.1 million in net proceeds was used to repay indebtedness under our senior credit facility and approximately \$116.5 million was used to redeem the Class D preferred and associated warrants. The Class F and Class G convertible preferred stock automatically converted to common stock upon the closing of our initial public offering of our common stock in May 2007.

Commitments and Contingencies

Other than normal property and equipment additions and replacements, expenditures to further the implementation of our enterprise resource planning system, commitments under our incentive compensation programs, repurchases of shares of our common stock, and acquisitions from time to time, we currently do not have any significant capital expenditures or outlays planned, except as described below. However, if we acquire any additional businesses in the future or embark on other capital-intensive initiatives, additional working capital may be required.

As of June 30, 2007, there was approximately \$49.1 million outstanding under standby letters of credit issued primarily in connection with general and professional liability insurance programs and for contract performance guarantees. In addition, in some instances we guarantee that a project, when complete, will achieve specified performance standards. If the project subsequently fails to meet guaranteed performance standards, we may either incur significant additional costs or be held responsible for the costs incurred by the client to achieve the required performance standards.

At September 30, 2006, our defined benefit pension plans with benefit obligations in excess of plan assets had an aggregate deficit (where the projected benefit obligation exceeded the fair value of plan assets) of \$117.2 million. At that same time, the excess of projected benefit

obligations over fair value of plan assets was \$84.8 million. In the future, such pension under-funding may increase or decrease depending on changes in the levels of interest rates, pension plan performance and other factors.

23

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115 (SFAS 159)*. SFAS No. 159 permits entities to choose to measure eligible items at fair value at specified election dates and report unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected in earnings at each subsequent reporting date. SFAS No. 159 will be effective for fiscal year ending September 30, 2009. We are currently evaluating the potential impact of the provisions of SFAS 159 on our results of operations and financial position.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 158, *Employers Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans (SFAS 158)*. SFAS 158 requires employers to fully recognize the obligations associated with defined benefit pension plans in their financial statements. We will be required to recognize such obligations as of September 30, 2007. Additionally, we will be required to measure such obligations as of the end of our current fiscal year, rather than up to three months earlier as had been previously permitted, effective in our fiscal year ending September 30, 2009. We are currently evaluating the potential impact of the provisions of SFAS 158 on our results of operations and financial position.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements (SFAS 157)*. SFAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with GAAP, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The provisions of SFAS 157 will be effective for us in our fiscal year beginning October 1, 2008. We are currently evaluating the potential impact of the provisions of SFAS 157.

In June 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes an Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 (FIN 48)*. FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an entity's financial statements. FIN 48 prescribes that a company should use a more-likely-than-not recognition threshold based on the technical merits of the tax position taken. Additionally, FIN 48 provides guidance on recognition or de-recognition of interest and penalties, changes in judgment in interim periods, and disclosures of uncertain tax positions. FIN 48 will be effective for us in the period beginning October 1, 2007. We are currently in the process of determining the effect of the adoption of FIN 48 on our results of operations and financial position.

Foreign Exchange Rate

We are exposed to foreign currency exchange rate risk resulting from our operations outside of the United States. We do not comprehensively hedge our exposure to currency rate changes; however, we limit exposure to foreign currency fluctuations in most of our contracts through provisions that require client payments to be in currencies corresponding to the currency in which costs are incurred. As a result, we typically do not need to hedge foreign currency cash flows for contract work performed. The functional currency of all significant foreign operations is the local currency.

Interest Rates

Our senior revolving credit facility and certain other debt obligations are subject to variable rate interest which could be adversely affected by an increase in interest rates. As of September 30, 2006 and June 30, 2007, we had \$65.0 and \$48.3 million, respectively, outstanding in borrowings under our credit facility and our term credit agreement. Interest on amounts borrowed under the credit facility is subject to adjustment based on certain levels of financial performance. For borrowings at offshore rates, the applicable margin added can range from 0.75% to 1.75%. For fiscal 2006, our weighted average borrowings on our senior credit facility were \$132.8 million. If short-term floating interest rates were to increase or decrease by 1%, our annual interest expense could have increased or decreased by \$1.3 million. For the nine months ended June 30, 2007, our weighted average borrowings under our senior credit facility and term credit agreement were \$85.3 million. If short-term floating interest rates were to increase or decrease by 1%, our annual interest expense could increase or decrease by \$0.8 million. We invest our cash in money market securities or other high quality, short-term securities that are subject to minimal credit and market risk.

We have selectively managed our floating interest rate exposure through the use of derivative instruments. In October 2005, we entered into two floating-to-fixed interest rate hedge contracts. From the inception through our voluntary early termination, the interest rate hedges were effective. Upon our termination of these contracts in our fourth quarter of fiscal 2006, we received a net cash settlement of approximately \$1.1 million.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Financial Market Risks

We are exposed to market risk, primarily related to foreign currency exchange rates and interest rate exposure of our debt obligations that bear interest based on floating rates. We actively monitor these exposures. To reduce our exposure to market risk, we have entered into derivative financial instruments such as forward contracts or interest rate hedge contracts. Our objective is to reduce, where we deem appropriate to do so, fluctuations in earnings and cash flows associated with changes in foreign exchange rates and interest rates. It is our policy and practice to use derivative financial instruments only to the extent necessary to manage our exposures. We do not use derivative financial instruments for trading purposes. We currently have no material derivative instruments outstanding.

25

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

As a government contractor, we are subject to various laws and regulations that are more restrictive than those applicable to non-government contractors. Intense government scrutiny of contractors' compliance with those laws and regulations through audits and investigations is inherent in government contracting, and, from time to time, we receive inquiries, subpoenas, and similar demands related to our ongoing business with government entities. Violations can result in civil or criminal liability as well as suspension or debarment from eligibility for awards of new government contracts or option renewals.

We are involved in various investigations, claims and lawsuits in the normal conduct of our business, none of which, in the opinion of our management, based upon current information and discussions with counsel, is expected to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, cash flows or our ability to conduct business. From time to time we establish reserves for litigation when we consider it probable that a loss will occur.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Investing in our common stock involves a high degree of risk. You should carefully consider the risks described below and the other information in this Report, including our financial statements and the related notes, before making a decision to buy our common stock. If any of the following risks actually occurs, our business could be harmed.

Risks Relating to Our Business and Industry

We depend on long-term government contracts, some of which are only funded on an annual basis. If appropriations for funding are not made in subsequent years of a multiple-year contract, we may not be able to realize all of our anticipated revenue and profits from that project.

A substantial majority of our revenue is derived from contracts with agencies and departments of national, state and local governments. During fiscal 2004, 2005 and 2006, approximately 76%, 75% and 63%, respectively, of our revenue was derived from contracts with government entities.

Most government contracts are subject to the government's budgetary approval process. Legislatures typically appropriate funds for a given program on a year-by-year basis, even though contract performance may take more than one year. As a result, at the beginning of a program, the related contract is only partially funded, and additional funding is normally committed only as appropriations are made in each subsequent fiscal year. These appropriations, and the timing of payment of appropriated amounts, may be influenced by, among other things, the state of the economy, competing priorities for appropriation, changes in administration or control of legislatures and the timing and amount of tax receipts and the overall level of government expenditures. If appropriations are not made in subsequent years on our government contracts, then we will not realize all of our potential revenue and profit from that contract.

For instance, a significant portion of historical funding for state and local transportation projects has come from the U.S. federal government through its SAFETEA-LU infrastructure funding program and predecessor programs. This \$286 billion program covers federal fiscal years 2004-2009. Approximately 79% of the SAFETEA-LU funding is for highway programs, 18.5% is for transit programs and 2.5% is for other programs such as motor carrier safety, national highway traffic safety and research. A key uncertainty in the outlook for federal transportation funding in the U.S. is the future viability of the Highway Trust Fund. The Highway Account within the Highway Trust Fund could have a negative balance as soon as 2009, based on the Department of Treasury projections of receipts and Department of Transportation projections of outlays. This raises concerns about whether funding for federal highway programs authorized by SAFETEA-LU will be met in future years.

Governmental agencies may modify, curtail or terminate our contracts at any time prior to their completion and, if we do not replace them, we may suffer a decline in revenue.

Most government contracts maybe modified, curtailed or terminated by the government either at its convenience or upon the default of the contractor. If the government terminates a contract at its convenience, then we typically are able to recover only costs incurred or committed, settlement expenses and profit on work completed prior to termination, which could prevent us from recognizing all of our potential revenue and profits from that contract. If the government terminates the contract due to our default, we could be liable for excess costs incurred by the government in obtaining services from another source.

A delay in the completion of the budget process of government agencies could delay procurement of our services and have an adverse effect on our future revenue.

In years when the U.S. government does not complete its budget process before the end of its fiscal year on September 30, government operations are typically funded pursuant to a continuing resolution that authorizes agencies of the U.S. government to continue to operate, but does not authorize new spending initiatives. When the U.S. government operates under a continuing resolution, government agencies may delay the procurement of services, which could reduce our future revenue. Delays in the budgetary processes of states or other jurisdictions may similarly have adverse effects on our future revenue.

Our contracts with governmental agencies are subject to audit, which could result in adjustments to reimbursable contract costs or, if we are charged with wrongdoing, possible temporary or permanent suspension from participating in government programs.

Our books and records are subject to audit by the various governmental agencies we serve and their representatives. These audits can result in adjustments to the amount of contract costs we believe are reimbursable by the agencies and the amount of our overhead costs allocated to the agencies. In addition, if one of our subsidiaries is charged with wrongdoing as a result of an audit, that subsidiary, and possibly our company as a whole, could be temporarily suspended or could be prohibited from bidding on and receiving future government contracts for a period of time. Furthermore, as a government contractor, we are subject to an increased risk of investigations, criminal prosecution, civil fraud, whistleblower lawsuits and other legal actions and liabilities to which purely private sector companies are not, the results of which could harm our business.

Our business and operating results could be adversely affected by losses under fixed-price contracts.

Fixed-price contracts require us to either perform all work under the contract for a specified lump-sum or to perform an estimated number of units of work at an agreed price per unit, with the total payment determined by the actual number of units performed. In fiscal 2006, approximately one-third of our revenue was recognized under fixed-price contracts. Fixed-price contracts expose us to a number of risks not inherent in cost-plus and time and material contracts, including underestimation of costs, ambiguities in specifications, unforeseen costs or difficulties, problems with new technologies, delays beyond our control, failures of subcontractors to perform and economic or other changes that may occur during the contract period. Losses under fixed-price contracts could be substantial and harm our results of operations.

We conduct a portion of our operations through joint venture entities, over which we may have limited control.

Approximately 24% of our fiscal 2006 revenue was derived from our operations through joint ventures or similar partner arrangements, where control may be shared with unaffiliated third parties. As with most joint venture arrangements, differences in views among the joint venture participants may result in delayed decisions or disputes. We also cannot control the actions of our joint venture partners, and we typically have joint and several liability with our joint venture partners under the applicable contracts for joint venture projects. These factors could potentially harm the business and operations of a joint venture and, in turn, our business and operations.

Operating through joint ventures in which we are minority holders results in us having limited control over many decisions made with respect to projects and internal controls relating to projects. Approximately 7% of our fiscal 2006 revenue was derived from our unconsolidated joint ventures where we generally do not have control of the joint venture. These joint ventures may not be subject to the same requirements regarding internal controls and internal control over financial reporting that we follow. As a result, internal control problems may arise with respect to the joint ventures, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Misconduct by our employees or consultants or our failure to comply with laws or regulations applicable to our business could cause us to lose customers or lose our ability to contract with government agencies.

As a government contractor, misconduct, fraud or other improper activities by our employees or consultants failure to comply with laws or regulations could have a significant negative impact on our business and reputation. Such misconduct could include the failure to comply with federal procurement regulations, regulations regarding the protection of classified information, legislation regarding the pricing of labor and other costs in government contracts, regulations on lobbying or similar activities, and other applicable laws or regulations. Our failure to comply with applicable laws or regulations, misconduct by any of our employees or consultants or our failure to make timely and accurate certifications to government agencies regarding misconduct or potential misconduct could subject us to fines and penalties, loss of security clearance, cancellation of contracts and suspension or debarment from contracting with government agencies, any of which may adversely affect our business.

Our defined benefit plans have significant deficits that could grow in the future and cause us to incur additional costs.

We have defined benefit pension plans for employees in the United States, United Kingdom and Australia. At September 30, 2006, our defined benefit pension plans had an aggregate deficit (the excess of projected benefit obligations over the fair value of plan assets) of \$117.2 million. At September 30, 2006, the excess of the accumulated benefit obligation over the fair value of plan assets was \$84.8 million. In the future, our pension deficits may increase or decrease depending on changes in the levels of interest rates, pension plan performance and other factors. If we are forced or elect to make up all or a portion of the deficit for unfunded benefit plans, our profits could be materially and adversely affected.

Our operations worldwide expose us to legal, political and economic risks in different countries as well as currency exchange rate fluctuations that could harm our business and financial results.

During fiscal 2006, revenue attributable to our services provided outside of the United States was approximately 44% of our total revenue. Approximately 27% of our total fiscal 2006 revenue was contracted in non-U.S. dollar denominations. We expect the percentage of revenue attributable to our non-U.S. operations to increase further as a result of our strategic focus in areas such as Eastern Europe, China and the Middle East. There are risks inherent in doing business internationally, including:

- imposition of governmental controls and changes in laws, regulations or policies;
- political and economic instability;
- changes in U.S. and other national government trade policies affecting the markets for our services;
- changes in regulatory practices, tariffs and taxes;
- potential non-compliance with a wide variety of laws and regulations, including the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practice Act and similar non-U.S. laws and regulations; and
- currency exchange rate fluctuations, devaluations and other conversion restrictions.

Any of these factors could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

We work in international locations where there are high security risks, which could result in harm to our employees and contractors or material costs to us.

Some of our services are performed in high-risk locations, such as Iraq and Afghanistan, where the country or location is suffering from political, social or economic problems, or war or civil unrest. In those locations where we have employees or operations, we may incur material costs to maintain the safety of our personnel. Despite these precautions, the safety of our personnel in these locations may continue to be at risk, and we may suffer the loss of key employees and contractors, which could harm our business.

Failure to successfully execute our merger and acquisition strategy may inhibit our growth.

We have grown in part as a result of our mergers and acquisitions over the last several years, and we expect continued growth in the form of additional acquisitions and expansion into new markets. We cannot assure you that suitable acquisitions or investment opportunities will continue to be identified or that any of these transactions can be consummated on favorable terms or at all. Any future mergers and acquisitions will involve various inherent risks, such as:

- our ability to accurately assess the value, strengths, weaknesses, liabilities and potential profitability of acquisition candidates;
- the potential loss of key personnel of an acquired business;

- increased burdens on our staff and on our administrative, internal control and operating systems, which may hinder our legal and regulatory compliance activities;
- post-acquisition integration challenges; and
- post-acquisition deterioration in an acquired business that could result in goodwill impairment charges.

Furthermore, during the mergers and acquisitions process and thereafter, our management may need to assume significant transaction-related responsibilities, which may cause them to divert their attention from our existing operations. If our management is unable to successfully integrate acquired companies or implement our growth strategy, our operating results

could be harmed. Moreover, we cannot assure you that we will continue to successfully expand or that growth or expansion will result in profitability.

Our ability to grow and to compete in our industry will be harmed if we do not retain the continued services of our key technical and management personnel and identify, hire and retain additional qualified personnel.

There is strong competition for qualified technical and management personnel in the sectors in which we compete. We may not be able to continue to attract and retain qualified technical and management personnel, such as engineers, architects and project managers, who are necessary for the development of our business or to replace qualified personnel. Our planned growth may place increased demands on our resources and will likely require the addition of technical and management personnel and the development of additional expertise by existing personnel. Also, some of our personnel hold security clearances required to obtain government projects; if we were to lose some or all of these personnel, they would be difficult to replace. Loss of the services of, or failure to recruit, key technical and management personnel could limit our ability to complete existing projects successfully and to compete for new projects.

Additionally, in the past, we have promoted our employee ownership culture as a competitive advantage in recruiting and retaining employees. Although we intend to retain the essential elements of an employee ownership culture and do not intend to change our core values and operating philosophy, if our employees or recruits perceive that becoming a publicly-traded company has negatively impacted our company culture, our ability to recruit and retain employees may be adversely impacted.

Our revenue and growth prospects may be harmed if we or our employees are unable to obtain the security clearances or other qualifications we and they need to perform services for our customers.

A number of government programs require contractors to have security clearances. Depending on the level of required clearance, security clearances can be difficult and time-consuming to obtain. If we or our employees are unable to obtain or retain necessary security clearances, we may not be able to win new business, and our existing customers could terminate their contracts with us or decide not to renew them. To the extent we cannot obtain or maintain the required security clearances for our employees working on a particular contract, we may not derive the revenue anticipated from the contract.

Our industry is highly competitive and we may be unable to compete effectively, which could result in reduced revenue, profitability and market share.

We are engaged in a highly competitive business. The extent of competition varies with the types of services provided and the locations of the projects. Generally, we compete on the bases of technical and management capability, personnel qualifications and availability, geographic presence, experience and price. Increased competition may result in our inability to win bids for future projects and loss of revenue, profitability and market share.

Our services expose us to significant risks of liability and our insurance policies may not provide adequate coverage.

Our services involve significant risks of professional and other liabilities that may substantially exceed the fees that we derive from our services. In addition, we sometimes contractually assume liability under indemnification agreements. We cannot predict the magnitude of potential liabilities from the operation of our business.

Our professional liability policies cover only claims made during the term of the policy. Additionally, our insurance policies may not protect us against potential liability due to various exclusions in the policies and self-insured retention amounts. Partially or completely uninsured claims, if successful and of significant magnitude, could have a material adverse affect on our business.

Our backlog of uncompleted projects under contract is subject to unexpected adjustments and cancellations and thus, may not accurately reflect future revenue and profits.

At June 30, 2007, our backlog of uncompleted projects under contract was approximately \$3.2 billion and our selected-not-booked backlog was approximately \$3.0 billion for a total of \$6.2 billion. Our backlog includes revenue we expect to record in the future from signed contracts, and in the case of a public client, where the project has been funded. Our selected-not-booked backlog includes revenue we expect to record in the future where we have been awarded the work, but the contractual agreement has not yet been completed. We cannot guarantee that future revenue will be realized from either category of backlog or, if realized, will result in profits. Many projects may remain in our backlog for an extended period of time because of the size or long-term nature of the contract. In addition, from time to time projects are delayed, scaled back or cancelled. These types of backlog reductions adversely affect the revenue and profits that we ultimately receive from contracts reflected in our backlog.

We have submitted claims to clients for work we performed beyond the scope of some of our contracts. If these clients do not approve these claims, our results of operations could be adversely impacted.

We typically have pending claims submitted under some of our contracts for payment of work performed beyond the initial contractual requirements for which we have already recorded revenue. In general, we cannot guarantee that such claims will be approved in whole, in part or at all. If these claims are not approved, our revenue may be reduced in future periods.

In conducting our business, we depend on other contractors and subcontractors. If these parties fail to satisfy their obligations to us or other parties, or if we are unable to maintain these relationships, our revenue, profitability and growth prospects could be adversely affected.

We depend on contractors and subcontractors in conducting our business. There is a risk that we may have disputes with our subcontractors arising from, among other things, the quality and timeliness of work performed by the subcontractor, customer concerns about the subcontractor, or our failure to extend existing task orders or issue new task orders under a subcontract. In addition, if any of our subcontractors fail to deliver on a timely basis the agreed-upon supplies and/or perform the agreed-upon services, our ability to fulfill our obligations as a prime contractor may be jeopardized.

We also rely on relationships with other contractors when we act as their subcontractor or joint venture partner. Our future revenue and growth prospects could be adversely affected if other contractors eliminate or reduce their subcontracts or joint venture relationships with us, or if a government agency terminates or reduces these other contractors' programs, does not award them new contracts or refuses to pay under a contract.

Our quarterly operating results may fluctuate significantly, which could have a negative effect on the price of our common stock.

Our quarterly revenue, expenses and operating results may fluctuate significantly because of a number of factors, including:

- the spending cycle of our public sector clients;
- employee hiring and utilization rates;
- the number and significance of client engagements commenced and completed during a quarter;
- the ability of clients to terminate engagements without penalties;
- the ability of our project managers to accurately estimate the percentage of the project completed;
- delays incurred as a result of weather conditions;
- delays incurred in connection with an engagement;
- the size and scope of engagements;
- the timing of expenses incurred for corporate initiatives;
- the impairment of goodwill or other intangible assets; and
- general economic and political conditions.

Variations in any of these factors could cause significant fluctuations in our operating results from quarter to quarter and could cause the price of our common stock to fluctuate and decline.

Systems and information technology interruption could adversely impact our ability to operate.

Edgar Filing: AECOM TECHNOLOGY CORP - Form 10-Q

We rely heavily on computer, information and communications technology and related systems in order to properly operate. From time to time, we experience occasional system interruptions and delays. If we are unable to continually add software and hardware, effectively upgrade our systems and network infrastructure and take other steps to improve the efficiency of and protect our systems, systems operation could be interrupted or delayed. In addition, our computer and communications systems and operations could be damaged or interrupted by natural disasters, telecommunications failures, acts of war or terrorism, computer viruses, physical or electronic security breaches and similar events or disruptions. Any of

30

these or other events could cause system interruption, delays and loss of critical data, could delay or prevent operations, and could adversely affect our operating results.

Our charter documents contain provisions that may delay, defer or prevent a change of control.

Provisions of our certificate of incorporation and bylaws could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire control of us, even if the change in control would be beneficial to stockholders. These provisions include the following:

- division of our Board of Directors into three classes, with each class serving a staggered three-year term;
- removal of directors for cause only;
- ability of the Board of Directors to authorize the issuance of preferred stock in series without stockholder approval;
- two-thirds stockholder vote requirement to approve specified business combinations, which include a sale of substantially all of our assets;
- vesting of exclusive authority in the Board of Directors to determine the size of the board (subject to limited exceptions) and to fill vacancies;
- advance notice requirements for stockholder proposals and nominations for election to the Board of Directors; and
- prohibitions on our stockholders from acting by written consent and limitations on calling special meetings.

We do not expect to pay any cash dividends for the foreseeable future.

We do not anticipate paying any cash dividends to our stockholders for the foreseeable future. Our credit facilities also restrict our ability to pay dividends. Accordingly, you may have to sell some or all of your common stock in order to generate cash flow from your investment. You may not receive a gain on your investment when you sell our common stock and may lose some or all of the amount of your investment. Any determination to pay dividends in the future will be made at the discretion of our board of directors and will depend on our results of operations, financial conditions, contractual restrictions, restrictions imposed by applicable law and other factors our board of directors deems relevant.

We will incur increased costs as a result of being a publicly-traded company.

We recently completed the initial public offering of our common stock in May of 2007 and such shares are now traded on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE). As a company with publicly-traded securities, we will incur significant legal, accounting and other expenses not incurred as a private company. In addition, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, as well as rules promulgated by the SEC and the NYSE, requires us to adopt corporate governance practices applicable to U.S. public companies. These rules and regulations will increase our legal and financial compliance costs.

If we do not timely satisfy the requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the trading price of our common stock could be adversely affected.

Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 requires us to document and test the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting in accordance with an established internal control framework and to report on our conclusion as to the effectiveness of our internal controls. It also requires our independent registered public accounting firm to test our internal controls over financial reporting and report on the effectiveness of such controls as of September 30, 2008. Any delays or difficulty in satisfying these requirements could cause some investors to lose confidence in, or otherwise be unable to rely on, the accuracy of our reported financial information, which could adversely affect the trading price of our common stock.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Edgar Filing: AECOM TECHNOLOGY CORP - Form 10-Q

During the three month period ended June 30, 2007, we issued the following securities that were not registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act):

- i. we issued 148 shares of our Class C preferred stock to U.S. Trust for the benefit of our employee stockholders under our Stock Purchase Plan.
- ii. we issued 420,787 shares of our common stock to the shareholders of a privately-held company in connection with our acquisition of the company.

31

We issued the securities identified in paragraph (i) above to our directors, officers, employees and consultants under written compensatory benefit plans in reliance upon Rule 701 under the Securities Act and/or Section 4(2) of the Securities Act as transactions by an issuer not involving any public offering. The securities identified in paragraph (ii) above were issued in reliance upon Regulation S under the Securities Act in offers and sales made outside of the United States.

Item 6. Exhibits

The following documents are filed as Exhibits to the Report:

Exhibit

| Numbers | Description |
|----------------|--|
| 31.1 | Certification of the Company's Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 31.2 | Certification of the Company's Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 32 | Certification of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |

32

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 12 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, in the City of Los Angeles, California, on August 9, 2007.

AECOM TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION

By:

/s/ MICHAEL S. BURKE

Michael S. Burke

*Executive Vice President, Chief Financial
Officer and Chief Corporate Officer*