AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES INC Form 11-K June 16, 2006

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 11-K

(MARK ONE)

X ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934. FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2005.

OR

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.

FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM COMMISSION FILE NUMBER: 001-15405

TO

A. FULL TITLE OF THE PLAN AND THE ADDRESS OF THE PLAN, IF DIFFERENT FROM THAT OF THE ISSUER NAMED BELOW:

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. 401(K) PLAN

B. NAME OF ISSUER OF THE SECURITIES HELD PURSUANT TO THE PLAN AND THE ADDRESS OF ITS PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICE:

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. 395 PAGE MILL ROAD PALO ALTO, CALIFORNIA 94306

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. 401(k) PLAN

Financial Statements and Supplemental Schedule December 31, 2005 and 2004

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	Page 3
Teport of Independent Registered Fuence Freedunding Firm	J
Financial Statements:	
Statements of Net Assets Available for Benefits	4
Statements of Changes in Net Assets Available for Benefits	5
Notes to Financial Statements	6
Supplemental Schedule as of December 31, 2005	11
Schedule H, Line 4i Schedule of Assets (Held at End of Year)	

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Participants and Plan Administrator of the Agilent Technologies, Inc. 401(k) Plan

We have audited the financial statements of the Agilent Technologies, Inc. 401(k) Plan (the Plan) as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, and for the years then ended, as listed in the accompanying table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Plan s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. We were not engaged to perform an audit of the Plan s internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Plan s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by the Plan s management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the net assets available for benefits of the Plan as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, and the changes in net assets available for benefits for the years then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Our audits were performed for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statements taken as a whole. The supplemental schedule, as listed in the accompanying table of contents, is presented for the purpose of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements but is supplementary information required by the Department of Labor s Rules and Regulations for Reporting and Disclosure under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974. This supplemental schedule is the responsibility of the Plan s management. The supplemental schedule has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audits of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

MOHLER, NIXON & WILLIAMS Accountancy Corporation

Campbell, California June 9, 2006

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. 401(k) PLAN

STATEMENTS OF NET ASSETS AVAILABLE FOR BENEFITS (In thousands)

	December 31 2005	2004	
Assets:			
Investments, at fair value	\$ 1,571,874	\$ 1,585,055	
Investments, at contract value	197,074		
Participant loans	13,041	15,540	
Assets held for investment purposes	1,781,989	1,600,595	
Cash in transit for Stable Value Fund		250,359	
Employer s contribution receivable	4,300	4,460	
Accrued income receivable	3	247	
Receivable from broker for securities sold	260	8,099	
Total assets	1,786,552	1,863,760	
Liabilities:			
Accrued fees payable	87		
Payable to broker for securities purchased	31 3,284		
Reverse repurchase agreement		3,967	
Total liabilities	118	7,251	
Net assets available for benefits	\$ 1,786,434 \$ 1,3		

See notes to financial statements.

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. 401(k) PLAN

STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN NET ASSETS AVAILABLE FOR BENEFITS (in thousands)

	Years ended December 31,		
	2005	2004	
Additions to net assets attributed to:			
Investment income:			
Dividends and interest	\$ 72,430	\$ 30,640	
Net realized and unrealized appreciation in fair value of investments	115,886	65,036	
	188,316	95,676	
Contributions:			
Participants	102,705	90,471	
Employer s	36,559	36,095	
	139,264	126,566	
Total additions	327,580	222,242	
Deductions from net assets attributed to withdrawals and distributions	278,514	179,955	
Net increase prior to transfer	49,066	42,287	
Transfer of assets to Avago 401(k) Plan)	(119,141)		
Net increase (decrease) in net assets	(70,075)	42,287	
Net assets available for benefits:			
Beginning of year	1,856,509 1,814,222		
End of year	\$ 1,786,434	\$ 1,856,509	

See notes to financial statements.

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. 401(k) PLAN

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2005 AND 2004

NOTE 1 - THE PLAN AND ITS SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

General - The following description of the Agilent Technologies, Inc. 401(k) Plan (the Plan), provides only general information. Participants should refer to the Plan document for a more complete description of the Plan s provisions.

The Plan provides benefits to eligible employees, as defined in the Plan document. The Plan administrator believes that the Plan is currently designed and operated in compliance with the applicable requirements of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code) and the provisions of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA), as amended. The Company intends that the Plan be qualified pursuant to Sections 401(a) and 401(k) of the Code.

Administration - The Board of Directors of the Company has appointed a Benefits Committee (the Committee) with certain authority to manage the policy, design and administration of the Plan. The Company has contracted with Fidelity Management Trust Company (Fidelity) to act as the trustee and an affiliate of Fidelity to process and maintain the records of participant data. Substantially all expenses incurred for administering the Plan are paid by the Company.

Estimates - The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires the Plan s management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, and changes therein, and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Basis of accounting - The financial statements of the Plan are prepared on the accrual method of accounting in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Sales and purchases are recorded on the trade date. Benefits are recorded when paid. Dividends are recorded on the ex-dividend date.

Investments - Investments of the Plan are held by Fidelity, as trustee, and invested based solely upon instructions received from participants.

The Plan s investments in Company common stock, Hewlett-Packard Company common stock, (an investment option that was eliminated effective December 31, 2004 and was completely liquidated on January 7, 2005), and mutual funds are valued at fair value as of the last day of the Plan year, as measured by quoted market prices. Bank collective funds are valued at fair value as of the last day of the Plan year, as reported to the Plan by Fidelity. Participant loans are valued at cost, which approximates fair value.

On December 31, 2004, investments in the Fidelity Institutional Money Market Fund and the Fidelity Intermediate Bond Fund were sold. These trades were settled on January 3, 2005 and invested in assets which comprise a new Stable Value Fund created for the Plan and managed for the Plan by Deutsche Asset Management.

The Plan s Stable Value Fund is comprised primarily of investments in bank collective funds and synthetic investment contracts. Since the Stable Value Fund is benefit responsive, the investments included in the Stable Value Fund are stated at contract value. The contract value approximates the fair value of these contracts. Contract value represents contributions made plus interest accrued at the contract rate, less withdrawals. Synthetic investment contracts consist of various contracts with banks or other institutions which provide for fully benefit-responsive withdrawals by Plan participants in the Stable Value Fund at contract value. There are no limitations on liquidity guarantees, and no valuation reserves are being recorded to adjust contract amounts.

Also on December 31, 2004, investments in Morgan Stanley Mid Cap Growth Fund and Janus Aspen Worldwide

Growth Fund were mapped to the BNY Hamilton Small Cap Growth Fund and the Templeton Foreign Fund A, respectively.

Income taxes - The Plan has been amended since receiving its latest favorable determination letter dated January 2, 2003. The Company believes that the Plan is operated in accordance with, and qualifies under, the applicable requirements of the Code and related state statutes, and that the trust, which forms a part of the Plan, is exempt from federal income and state franchise taxes.

Risks and uncertainties - The Plan provides for various investment options in any combination of investment securities offered by the Plan. Investment securities are exposed to various risks, such as interest rate, market fluctuations and credit risks. Due to the risk associated with certain investment securities, it is at least reasonably possible that changes in market values, interest rates or other factors in the near term would materially affect participants—account balances and the amounts reported in the statements of net assets available for benefits and the statements of changes in net assets available for benefits.

NOTE 2 - RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Certain Plan investments are managed by an affiliate of Fidelity, the trustee of the Plan. Any purchases and sales of these funds are performed in the open market at fair value. Such transactions, while considered party-in-interest transactions under ERISA regulations, are permitted under the provisions of the Plan and are specifically exempt from the prohibition of party-in-interest transactions under ERISA.

As allowed by the Plan, participants may elect to invest a portion of their accounts in the Agilent Technologies Stock Fund (the Fund), which is primarily invested in shares of Agilent Technologies, Inc. common stock. Investments in the Fund are at the direction of the Plan participants. The shares of Agilent Technologies, Inc. common stock are traded in the open market.

NOTE 3 - PARTICIPATION AND BENEFITS

Eligibility - Employees who are eligible to participate in the Plan include those employees of the Company and its designated domestic subsidiaries who are on the U.S. dollar payroll and who are employed as regular full-time or regular part-time employees of the Company. There is no waiting period for eligibility.

Participant contributions - Upon initially becoming an eligible employee, a participant is deemed to have elected a 3% deferral effective on the first day of commencement of participation, unless that employee makes a change to that election in the manner prescribed by the Plan. Participating employees can elect to have the Company contribute up to 50% of their eligible pre-tax compensation, not to exceed the amount allowable under the Plan document and current income tax regulations. Participants who elect to have the Company contribute a portion of their compensation to the Plan agree to accept an equivalent reduction in taxable compensation. Contributions withheld are invested in accordance with the participant s direction. The Plan also allows eligible participants to make a catch-up contribution up to the maximum allowed under current income tax regulations.

Participants are also allowed to make rollover contributions of eligible distributions received from other tax-qualified employer-sponsored retirement plans. Such contributions are deposited in the appropriate investment funds in accordance with the participant s direction and the Plan s provisions.

Employer contributions - The Company makes matching contributions as required by the Plan document. In 2005 and 2004, the Company matched 100% of the employee s salary deferral for the first 3% of employee s eligible pre-tax compensation, and 50% of the employee s salary deferral for the next 2% of employee s eligible pre-tax compensation. The Company matching contribution is deposited into the individual employee s Plan account after the end of each of the Company s fiscal quarters, which are January 31, April 30, July 31 and October 31. To receive the Company match, the participant must be an employee of the Company at these dates, consistent with the terms of the Plan, except for certain retirees and deceased employees who either retired or died during the fiscal quarter.

Both employee deferrals and Company contributions in 2005 and 2004 have been made in cash for all funds; however, Company contributions invested in the Fund may be made in either cash or common stock of the Company. No Company contributions have been made in the form of common stock of the Company in 2005 and 2004.

Vesting - Participants are 100% vested in their salary deferrals and rollover contributions, and Company matching contributions transferred to their accounts at the end of each corresponding fiscal quarter, subject to the terms of the Plan.

Participant accounts - Each participant s account is credited with the participant s salary deferrals, Plan earnings or losses and an allocation of the Company s matching contribution. Allocation of the Company s matching contribution is based on participant salary deferrals, as defined in the Plan.

Participants can transfer their invested funds among the available investment options and/or change the investment of their future contributions as often as desired. These transfers and changes must be made in whole percent increments. Prior to January 1, 2005, initial contributions for new hires were automatically invested in the Fidelity Institutional Money Market Fund, the fund designated as the Plan default fund, until the participant made a change to that investment election. Effective January 1, 2005, the retirement age-appropriate Fidelity Freedom Fund was selected as the Plan s default fund because each fund is a blend of stocks, bonds and short-term investments designed to provide an age-appropriate asset allocation for an investor based on his or her targeted retirement date.

Payment of benefits - Upon termination of employment, the participants or beneficiaries may elect to leave their account balance in the Plan, or receive their total benefits in a lump sum amount equal to the value of the participant s interest in their account in the form of rollovers or payments in cash and stock. The Plan allows for automatic lump sum distribution of participant account balances. To comply with the Department of Labor s regulations on mandatory cash-out distributions, Agilent Technologies, Inc. amended the Plan effective March 28, 2005, to lower the dollar threshold for automatic lump sum distributions from \$5,000 to \$1,000.

Loans to participants - The Plan allows participants to borrow not less than \$1,000, and up to the lesser of \$50,000 or 50% of their account balance. The loans are secured by the participant s balance. Such loans bear interest at a rate fixed at the time of the loan at the prime rate plus one-half percent and must be repaid to the Plan between one year and four years. Generally, loans are repaid semi-monthly via automatic payroll deduction. The Plan allows terminated participants to electronically continue to repay their loan after termination of employment. The specific terms and conditions of such loans are established by the Committee. Outstanding loans at December 31, 2005 carry interest rates ranging from 4.5% to 10%.

NOTE 4 - PLAN TRANSFER

In December 2005, Plan assets of approximately \$119,141,000 were transferred from the Plan to the Avago Technologies, Inc. 401(k) Plan via a trust-to-trust asset transfer as part of the Company s sale of the Semiconductor Products Group.

NOTE 5 - INVESTMENTS

The number of shares of Agilent Technologies, Inc. common stock in the Fund was 5,305,902 and 8,156,011 as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The fair value of the Agilent common stock included in the Fund was approximately \$176,633,000 and \$196,540,000 at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The Fund assigns units of participation to those participants with account balances in the Fund. The total number of units in the Fund at December 31, 2005 and 2004 was 7,220,709 and 11,063,873 respectively, and the net unit value was \$24.69 and \$17.92 respectively, at these dates. The Fund is comprised primarily of Agilent Technologies, Inc. common stock purchased on the open market. The Fund also includes a minor investment in the Fidelity Institutional Money Market Fund.

Effective July 1, 2005, the Plan was amended to implement the following Fund restrictions:

- Limit investment elections for participant and Company matching contributions to the Fund to no more than 25% of the total contribution
- Prohibit investment elections of contributions in the Fund if the participant s balance in the Fund would be greater than or equal to 25% of the participant s total balance in the Plan.
- Prohibit exchanges into the Fund if, after the exchange, the participant s balance in the Fund would be greater than or equal to 25% of the participant s total 401(k) balance.

The number of shares of Hewlett-Packard Company common stock in the Hewlett-Packard Stock Fund (the H-P Fund) was 1,991,987 as of December 31, 2004. The fair value of the Hewlett-Packard Company common stock included in the H-P Fund was approximately \$41,766,000 at December 31, 2004. The H-P Fund assigned units of participation to those participants with account balances in the H-P Fund. The total number of units in the H-P Fund at December 31, 2004 was 1,224,200 and the net unit value was \$34.12.

The H-P Fund was eliminated effective at the close of the market on December 31, 2004. Any assets remaining in this fund as of the liquidation date were transferred to the new default fund, the retirement age-appropriate Fidelity Freedom Fund, based on the participant s targeted retirement date.

Effective January 1, 2005, the Plan offered a Stable Value Fund, which invests in bank collective funds and synthetic investment contracts, to provide participants with a stable, fixed-rate of return and protection of principal from market changes.

The average yield of the various investment contracts in the Stable Value Fund for 2005 was approximately 4.02%. The crediting interest rates at December 31, 2005 ranged from 4.07% to 4.42% with a weighted average crediting interest rate of 4.28%. The interest rate paid by the issuer or contract rate may be fixed over the life of the contract or adjusted periodically, but cannot fall below 0%. The fair value of the underlying assets related to the synthetic investment contracts was \$192,249,000 at December 31, 2005, resulting in positive wrapper values totaling \$4,825,000 at December 31, 2005.

The following table is a summary of the fair or contract values of investments and investment funds that represent 5% or more of the Plan s net assets at December 31 (in thousands):

	2005	2004
Pyramid Intermediate Fixed Income Fund	\$ 116,821	
Fidelity Contrafund	250,438	221,374
Fidelity Growth and Income Fund	88,603	112,295
Fidelity Magellan Fund	232,824	305,104
Fidelity Low-Priced Stock Fund	153,606	172,528
Spartan U.S. Equity Index Fund	132,782	132,045
Templeton Foreign Fund A	102,680	106,027
Agilent Technologies, Inc. common stock	176,633	196,540

The Plan s investments (including gains and losses on investments bought and sold, as well as held during the year) appreciated (depreciated) in value as follows for the years ended December 31 (in thousands):

	2005	2004
Common stock	\$ 61,651	\$ (52,256)
Mutual funds	54,235	117,292
TOTAL	\$ 115,886	\$ 2003 390,713 8/ */
Directors Currently Serving for the Term Ending in 2018 (Class I):		
Michael M.Y. Chang	78	1990 236,639 9/ */
Jane Jelenko	67	2012 5,837 10/ */
Anthony M. Tang	62	1990 1,099,854 ¹¹ / 1.40%
Peter Wu **/	67	2003 895,969 ¹² / 1.14%
Other Named Executive Officers:		
Heng W. Chen	63	105,817 13/ */
Pin Tai	62	2,733 14/ */
Irwin Wong	67	47,205 15/ */

Donald S. Chow 65 6.36% 17/ 5,034,197 16/ All nominees, directors, and executive officers as a group (17 persons) Percentage of shares beneficially owned does not exceed one percent. Thomas C.T. Chiu is a brother-in-law of Peter Wu. A Named Executive Officer as well as a director. For each person in this table, percentage ownership is calculated by dividing the number of shares of our common stock beneficially owned by such person by the sum of 78,836,728 shares of our common stock outstanding as of April 1, 2016, and the number of shares of our common stock issuable under options exercisable within 60 days of April 1, 2016. Includes 61,291 shares held by the Kelly and Barbara Chan Living Trust, 9,800 shares held by Mr. Chan s spouse, 30,096 shares held by Chansons Properties, 200,000 shares held as Trustee of the WHFC Grandchildren s Sprinkling Trust, and 3,500 shares issuable under options exercisable within 60 days of April 1, 2016. Includes 445,577 shares held by the Dunson Cheng and Cynthia Cheng Trust, 182,452 shares held by the Dunson Cheng and Cynthia Cheng Nonmarital Shares Trust, 102,904 shares held by the ESOPT which have been allocated to Mr. Cheng s account, and 254,970 shares issuable under options exercisable within 60 days of April 1, 2016. Includes 209,746 shares held by the Chiu Family Trust, 69,732 shares held by Dr. Chiu s Pension Plan, and 3,500 shares issuable under options exercisable within 60 days of April 1, 2016. Includes 46,440 shares held by the Poon Family Trust and 3,500 shares issuable under options exercisable within 60 days of April 1, 2016.

Table of Contents

- Includes 10,000 shares held by Nelson Chung Defined Benefit Plan, 10,000 shares held by Nelson Chung Pension Plan, 3,003 shares held jointly by Mr. Chung and his spouse, and 3,500 shares issuable under options exercisable within 60 days of April 1, 2016.
- Includes 228,015 shares held by Mr. Lee as trustee of the Lee Trust and 3,500 shares issuable under options exercisable within 60 days of April 1, 2016.
- 8/ Includes 371,664 shares held by the Liu Family Inter Vivo Trust and 3,500 shares issuable under options exercisable within 60 days of April 1, 2016.
- Includes 11,084 shares held jointly by Mr. Chang and his spouse, 208,812 shares held by the Michael and Judy Chang Family Trust, and 3,500 shares issuable under options exercisable within 60 days of April 1, 2016.
- ¹⁰/ Includes 4,055 shares held by the Jelenko-Norris Intervivos Trust.
- Includes 624,674 shares held by Mr. Tang s spouse and 92,840 shares held by the ESOPT which have been allocated to Mr. Tang s account.
- ^{12/} Includes 852,799 shares held by the PACJU, LLC and 28,412 shares held by Wu Family Trust.
- ^{13/} Includes 44,000 shares issuable under options exercisable within 60 days of April 1, 2016.
- Includes 831 shares held by the ESOPT which have been allocated to Mr. Tai s account.
- Includes 5,096 shares held jointly by Mr. Wong and his spouse and 17,506 shares held by the ESOPT which have been allocated to Mr. Wong s account.
- In addition to the ownership disclosed for the persons identified in the table above, the beneficial ownership of one additional executive officer is included in the total of the table. Executive officers are those individuals designated as such for purposes of Section 16 of the Exchange Act. The total number of shares beneficially owned by all of our nominees, directors, and executive officers as a group includes 323,470 shares issuable under options exercisable within 60 days of April 1, 2016, and approximately 214,081 shares held by the ESOPT that have been allocated to the directors and Named Executive Officers.
- The ownership percentage is determined by dividing the number of shares beneficially owned by all our nominees, directors, and executive officers as a group by 79,160,198, which is the sum of 78,836,728 shares of common stock outstanding as of April 1, 2016, and 323,470 shares of common stock issuable under options exercisable within 60 days of April 1, 2016.

Nominees, Continuing Directors, and Executive Officers

Set forth below is information concerning each nominee for election as a Class II director, each of the Class III and I directors whose terms have not yet expired, and each other executive officer. Each of the current directors is also a director of Cathay Bank, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Bancorp. The biographical information set forth below includes the person sprincipal occupation, business experience over the last five years, positions held, and the experience, qualifications, attributes, or skills that led the Nomination and Governance Committee and the Board to determine that the person should serve as a director. In addition, they each have satisfied other criteria considered by the Nomination and Governance Committee and the Board in evaluating potential nominees and directors, including intelligence, personal character, integrity, and commitment to the community and Bancorp.

Nominees (Class II)

Kelly L. Chan is an owner of Phoenix Bakery Inc., a family-owned retail bakery that began in Los Angeles Chinatown and that has been serving the Los Angeles area for over 75 years. He retired as Vice President of Phoenix Bakery Inc. in 2012. Mr. Chan is a Certified Public Accountant with over 30 years of experience, and received a Master of Business Administration degree. He served in the U.S. Navy from 1970 to 1973 and in the U.S. Naval Reserve until his retirement in 2000 with the rank of Captain. Mr. Chan has been a Director of Cathay Bank since 1981 and of Bancorp since it was formed as a holding company in 1990.

Mr. Chan offers the Board substantial management experience with privately held businesses, which constitute a significant portion of the customers of Cathay Bank. As a Certified Public Accountant, Mr. Chan adds additional expertise in accounting matters, and serves as chairman of the Audit Committee.

8

Dunson K. Cheng, Ph.D., has been the Chairman of the Board, President, and Chief Executive Officer of Bancorp and Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Cathay Bank since 1994. He was the President of Cathay Bank from 1985 to March 2015. Mr. Cheng has over 30 years of banking experience. He also serves on the boards of DiCon Fiberoptics, Inc. (a supplier of optical components, integrated modules, and test equipment for the fiber optics industry), Tsinghua Education Foundation (N.A.) Inc., and Ascencia (formerly known as PATH Achieve Glendale). He formerly served on the board of directors of the California Bankers Association. Mr. Cheng received a Ph.D. in Physics. He has been a Director of Cathay Bank since 1982 and of Bancorp since it was formed as a holding company in 1990.

Mr. Cheng provides to the Board his extensive banking experience, his broad knowledge of the business and operations of Bancorp and Cathay Bank, and his strong management and leadership skills. His tenure as an officer and a director for over 30 years affords the Board valuable insight regarding all aspects of the business and operations of Bancorp and Cathay Bank.

Thomas C.T. Chiu, M.D., a medical doctor, had served as President and Chief Executive Officer of an independent physicians association, chairman of its governing board, and chair of various committees at a healthcare facility in Monterey Park, California. He had been a director of General Bank and its publicly-held bank holding company, GBC Bancorp, for 10 years until they merged with Cathay Bank and Bancorp in 2003. Dr. Chiu has been a Director of Bancorp and Cathay Bank since 2003.

Dr. Chiu is a well-respected medical doctor in Southern California. He has been extensively involved with a multi-language/multi-cultural healthcare facility in Monterey Park, California, a community that has a large Chinese-American population. He is an active participant in the Chinese-American community, which is served by Cathay Bank. He also provides institutional knowledge of the history and operations of General Bank and GBC Bancorp.

Joseph C.H. Poon is President of Edward Properties, LLC, a real estate development company that specializes in residential, industrial, and commercial projects, and he has over 30 years of experience in real estate development. He received a Master of

Business Administration degree and a Master of Science degree in Civil Engineering. Mr. Poon has been a Director of Cathay Bank since 1981 and of Bancorp since it was formed as a holding company in 1990. He served as the Lead Independent Director of Bancorp from July 2010 to May 2011.

Mr. Poon provides the Board with considerable managerial experience, as well as an extensive background in commercial, industrial, and residential real estate construction and development. He also contributes his academic background in business and engineering.

Continuing Directors (Class III)

Nelson Chung is President of Pacific Communities Builder, Inc., which has built more than 4,000 home sites and developed more than 150 communities in Southern California. He received a Master of Urban Design degree and is a licensed architect, general contractor, and real estate broker in California. Mr. Chung has been a Director of Bancorp and Cathay Bank since 2005.

Mr. Chung contributes managerial experience and an extensive knowledge of residential real estate development in Southern California, with which he has been involved for over 30 years. His academic background in urban design and his experience as an architect, general contractor, and real estate broker provide the Board with a unique perspective of the real estate market.

Felix S. Fernandez has served as a leader at Wells Fargo in various capacities for over 15 years. In 2011, he retired as a Corporate Executive Vice President and Regional President of Community Banking for Wells Fargo in the Northern California region, responsible for up to 150 branches, \$15 billion in deposits and \$1.5 billion in loans, and 2,700 employees. Prior to working at Wells Fargo, Mr. Fernandez served as Executive Vice President of International Business Banking at State National Bank in El Paso, Texas, where he was responsible for the Mexico business market, and also served in various positions at Valley National Bank of Arizona (later a part of Chase Bank). Mr. Fernandez has been active in the community and business organizations throughout his career, including affiliations with the United Way, Boys and Girls Club of America, Boy Scouts of America, Bankers Association for Finance

Table of Contents

and Trade, and the Greater Sacramento Chamber of Commerce. He also served on the board of Sacramento State University Enterprise, Inc., Dignity Health Sacramento Service Region Board, Crocker Art Museum, and the California Bankers Association. He currently serves on the board of Pan American Bank. He received a Master of Business Administration degree, with an emphasis in Finance. Mr. Fernandez has been a Director of Bancorp and Cathay Bank since 2013.

Mr. Fernandez brings with him valuable skills and diverse experience, along with a leadership record in the banking industry, all of which enhance our Board s capacity to guide our future growth and development.

Patrick S.D. Lee is the founder and former President of T.C. Construction Corporation, a company involved in the construction and development of commercial and residential real estate in the greater Los Angeles area. Mr. Lee is active in the Los Angeles Chinese-American community and currently serves as a director of the Chinatown Service Center and as an advisor on the Chinese Chamber of Commerce. He has been a Director of Cathay Bank Foundation since 2004. He received a Bachelor of Science degree in Civil Engineering, and has been licensed as a structural engineer, civil engineer, and general contractor. Mr. Lee has been a Director of Cathay Bank since 1983 and of Bancorp since it was formed as a holding company in 1990. He has been serving as the Lead Independent Director of Bancorp since May 2011.

Mr. Lee provides the Board with considerable managerial experience, as well as extensive experience in commercial and residential real estate construction and development in the Los Angeles area. His active involvement in civic organizations within the Chinese-American community served by Cathay Bank, as well as his tenure as a director, provides valuable insight regarding its business and operations.

Ting Y. Liu, Ph.D., was a co-founder and a director of General Bank and its publicly-held bank holding company, GBC Bancorp, until they merged with Cathay Bank and Bancorp in 2003. Mr. Liu was an aerospace research scientist for over 12 years, has been a real estate developer of motels and hotels, and co-founded Western Underwriter, an insurance

company, in 1985. He also co-founded the Southern California Hotel/Motel Association in the early 1980s and was active in the Holiday Inn Franchisee Association where he served as the regional committee member for many terms. Mr. Liu received a Ph.D. in Aerospace Science. He has been a Director of Bancorp and Cathay Bank since 2003.

Mr. Liu s extensive experience in commercial real estate development provides a valuable perspective on the real estate market, and his background in the insurance business provides knowledge of the insurance market. His previous service as a director of GBC Bancorp and General Bank provides additional commercial banking and financial institution experience.

Continuing Directors (Class I)

Michael M.Y. Chang is a retired attorney, having practiced law in Los Angeles for 30 years until retiring in 2000. He was the Secretary of Bancorp and Cathay Bank from 2001 to August 2010. Mr. Chang was one of the founders of the Southern California Chinese Lawyers Association. He formerly served as a director of Chinatown Service Center, a community-based Chinese-American health and human services organization in Southern California. He received a Juris Doctor degree and a Bachelor of Science degree in Accounting. Mr. Chang has been a Director of Cathay Bank since 1983 and of Bancorp since it was formed as a holding company in 1990.

Mr. Chang has been a well-respected attorney in Los Angeles for over 30 years, with the emphasis of his practice being in areas of business law, real estate, corporations, and taxation. The Board benefits from his legal experience and analysis of issues. His participation in the Chinese-American community in Southern California provides knowledge of the local economy, as well as business opportunities for Cathay Bank.

Jane Jelenko was a partner at KPMG LLP, a global audit, tax, and advisory services firm, where she became the first female consulting partner in 1983, and served over 25 years (from 1977 to 2003) in various capacities including the National Industry Director for its Banking and Finance group, a member of the firm s Board of Directors, and the leader for the firm s Banking and Investment

10

Services Consulting group. She has also served on the Countrywide Bank board (Audit and Operations Committees), the Los Angeles Area Chamber of Commerce Executive Committee, and the Organization of Women Executives board. She currently serves on the boards of two SunAmerica Mutual Funds families, and on non-profit boards, including the United States Holocaust Memorial Museum, the Center Dance Arts of the Los Angeles Music Center, Dizzy Feet Foundation, Body Traffic, The Gabriella Foundation, and the Constitutional Rights Foundation (emeritus). She received a Master of Business Administration degree in Finance. Also, she has been awarded certification by the UCLA Anderson Graduate School of Management s Director Training and Certification Program. Ms. Jelenko has been a Director of Bancorp and Cathay Bank since January 2012.

Ms. Jelenko brings a fresh perspective as a recently elected member of the Board, along with her extensive managerial and finance experience and community service.

Anthony M. Tang has been Vice Chairman of the Board of Bancorp and Cathay Bank since August 21, 2014 and has over 30 years of banking experience. He was an Executive Vice President of Bancorp from 1994 to September 2013, Senior Executive Vice President of Cathay Bank from 1998 to 2013, Chief Lending Officer of Cathay Bank from 1985 to September 2013, and Executive Vice Chairman of the Board of Bancorp and Cathay Bank from October 2013 to August 20, 2014. Mr. Tang was formerly the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of Bancorp from 1990 to 2003. He received a Master of Business Administration degree. Mr. Tang has been a Director of Cathay Bank since 1986 and of Bancorp since it was formed as a holding company in 1990.

Through his service to Cathay Bank in various capacities for over 25 years, Mr. Tang brings to the Board an in-depth knowledge and understanding of its history and business, as well as extensive knowledge of its operations from a financial and accounting standpoint.

Peter Wu, Ph.D., has been Vice Chairman of the Board of Bancorp and Cathay Bank since August 21, 2014, and a Director, Chairman of the Board, President, and Chief Executive Officer of Cathay Bank Foundation since 2005. He was Chief

Operating Officer of Bancorp and Cathay Bank from 2003 to June 2014, and Executive Vice Chairman of the Board of Bancorp and Cathay Bank from 2003 to August 20, 2014. He was the Chairman of the Board of GBC Venture Capital, Inc. from 1997 to 2014 and President and Chief Executive Officer of GBC Venture Capital, Inc. from 2003 to 2014. Prior to joining Bancorp, Mr. Wu was a co-founder, Chairman of the Board, President, and Chief Executive Officer of General Bank and its publicly-held bank holding company, GBC Bancorp, until they merged with Cathay Bank and Bancorp in 2003. Mr. Wu received a Ph.D. in Mathematics. He has been a Director of Bancorp and Cathay Bank since

Mr. Wu provides extensive commercial banking and managerial experience to Bancorp and Cathay Bank gained from his executive management positions with GBC Bancorp and General Bank, of which he was a co-founder, and then Bancorp and Cathay Bank. He also provides institutional knowledge of the history and operations of General Bank and GBC Bancorp.

Other Executive Officers

Heng W. Chen has been Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, and Treasurer of Bancorp and Executive Vice President of Cathay Bank since 2003, and Chief Financial Officer of Cathay Bank since 2004. He was Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Cathay Real Estate Investment Trust from 2003 to 2013 and has been a Director, Vice President, and Chief Financial Officer of GBC Venture Capital, Inc. since 2003. Prior to joining Bancorp, Mr. Chen had over 25 years of experience in the areas of finance, accounting, and banking at City National Bank and its publicly-held bank holding company, City National Corporation, and at Price Waterhouse. Mr. Chen was formerly a Certified Public Accountant and received a Master of Business Administration degree.

Pin Tai has been President and a Director of Cathay Bank since April 1, 2015. Mr. Tai joined Cathay Bank in 1999 as General Manager of New York Regions with a goal to establish our footing in the East Coast region. In 2006, Mr. Tai became Executive Vice President and the General Manager of Eastern Regions, and then became the Deputy Chief Lending Officer in 2010 and the Chief Lending

Officer in 2013. He has also been a Director of Cathay Bank Foundation since 2004. Mr. Tai has over 32 years of banking experience. Prior to joining Cathay Bank, Mr. Tai worked at Bank of China in its New York office to help establish its commercial lending operations in the United States.

Irwin Wong has been Senior Executive Vice President of Cathay Bank since 2014 and Chief Operating Officer of Cathay Bank since April 1, 2015. Mr. Wong joined Cathay Bank in 1988 as Vice President of Branch Administration, advanced to Senior Vice President of Branch Administration in 1989, served as Executive Vice President of Branch Administration from 1998 to 2011 and as Executive Vice President and Chief Risk Officer from 2011 to 2013, and as Chief Retail Administration and Regulatory Affairs Officer from January 2014 to March 2015. He has also been a Director of Cathay Bank Foundation since 2002, and Chief Financial Officer/Treasurer of Cathay Bank Foundation from 2004 to 2011. Mr. Wong has over 35 years of banking experience. Mr. Wong is active in community organizations and serves as a director of Junior Achievement of Southern California, the California Council on Economic Education, and the Los Angeles Nashi Hongwanji Buddhist Temple.

Donald S. Chow has been an Executive Vice President and Chief Credit Officer of Cathay Bank since January 2014. Mr. Chow joined Cathay Bank in August 2013 as a Consultant of the Office of the President. Prior to joining Cathay Bank, Mr. Chow was Executive Vice President and Senior Supervisor at East West Bank from 2009 to 2013 and President of Desert Community Bank, a Division of East West Bank, from 2007 to 2009. Mr. Chow has over 25 years of banking experience in the areas of credit and commercial lending.

Kim R. Bingham has been an Executive Vice President of Cathay Bank since 2004 and Chief Risk Officer of Cathay Bank since January 2014. Mr. Bingham joined Cathay Bank in 2004 as Chief Credit Officer and served in that capacity until December 2013. Prior to joining Cathay Bank, Mr. Bingham managed Private Banking for Mellon Bank in the Western United States and prior to this position, Mr. Bingham served in a series of increasingly responsible staff and management positions in lending and credit for City National Bank. Mr. Bingham has more than 30 years of banking experience.

12

BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

We are committed to maintaining the highest standards of business conduct and corporate governance. Our Board has adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines, which, together with our certificate of incorporation, bylaws, and Board committee charters, form the framework for the governance of Bancorp. The Corporate Governance Guidelines and committee charters are available at www.cathaygeneralbancorp.com.

Meetings

The Board generally holds regular monthly meetings. Special meetings are called when necessary. During 2015, the Board held 12 meetings. In 2015, each director attended more than 75% of the aggregate total number of meetings of the Board held during the period for which he or she has been a director, and the total number of meetings held by all committees of the Board on which he or she served during the periods that he or she served. It is our policy to invite and strongly encourage all members of the Board to attend Bancorp s annual meeting of stockholders. All of our directors, except Felix S. Fernandez and Jane Jelenko, attended the 2015 annual meeting.

Board Leadership

Dunson K. Cheng has served as both Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Bancorp since 1994. The Chairman of the Board sets the agendas, presides at Board meetings, and generally takes the lead role in the boardroom. In the absence of the Chairman of the Board, a Vice Chairman presides at Board meetings. Any director may suggest the inclusion of items on the agenda and raise at any Board meeting subjects that are not specifically on the agenda for that meeting. The Board believes that this structure provides clarity of leadership and that Mr. Cheng is uniquely qualified through his experience and expertise to continue leading Bancorp in this dual capacity.

Currently, Patrick S.D. Lee serves as the Lead Independent Director. The Lead Independent Director is elected by the majority of independent directors on an annual basis at the first executive

session after the annual stockholders meeting, and is charged with the following responsibilities:

Presiding at meetings of the independent directors in executive session;

Facilitating communications between other members of the Board and the Chairman of the Board and/or the Chief Executive Officer; and

Consulting with the Chairman of the Board and/or the Chief Executive Officer on matters relating to corporate governance and Board performance.

The Board accomplishes much of its governance and oversight role through its Audit, Compensation, Nomination and Governance, and Risk Committees that, with the exception of the Risk Committee, are made up entirely of independent directors, and the chairs of these committees take the lead in matters coming within their purview. In addition, the independent directors meet at least quarterly in executive session. Finally,

the Chairman of the Board serves at the pleasure of the Board, and the independent members of the Board (constituting a majority of the directors) can call special meetings if the need arises.

The Board therefore believes that adequate controls exist to mitigate any risks associated with one individual serving as both Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer and that, given its size and the nature of its business, Bancorp and its stockholders are currently most advantaged by leaving these roles combined.

Director Independence

Our Corporate Governance Guidelines provide that the Board shall be comprised of a majority of directors who, in the opinion of the Board, qualify as independent directors pursuant to the listing standards of The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC (Nasdaq). An independent director for purposes of the Guidelines means a person other than: (i) an executive officer or employee of Bancorp or its subsidiaries, or (ii) any other individual having a relationship which, in the opinion of the Board, would interfere with the exercise of independent judgment in carrying out the responsibilities of a

13

director. The Board considered relationships, transactions, and/or arrangements with each of its directors, including those disclosed below under Transactions with Related Persons, Promoters and Certain Control Persons, and determined that the following eight of its current 12 members are independent as defined in the Nasdaq Stock Market Rules: Kelly L. Chan, Michael M.Y. Chang, Nelson Chung, Felix S. Fernandez, Jane Jelenko, Patrick S.D. Lee, Ting Y. Liu, and Joseph C.H. Poon.

In addition, the Board has determined that:

All directors who serve on the Audit, Compensation, and Nomination and Governance Committees are independent under applicable Nasdaq listing standards and Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) rules, and

All members of the Audit and Compensation Committees meet the additional independence requirement that they not directly or indirectly receive any compensation from us other than their compensation as directors.

The independent directors meet in executive sessions without the presence of any members of Bancorp's management on a regularly scheduled basis, but not less than four times a year, in February, May, August, and November. In 2015, the independent directors met 12 times in executive session.

Risk Management Oversight

The Board is responsible for the oversight of risk management, but it looks to Bancorp s and its subsidiary Cathay Bank s management to develop and implement policies, processes, and procedures to appropriately identify, manage, and control risk exposure. The Board s function is, among other things, to review these policies, processes, and procedures and determine whether they are aligned and integrated with the Board s corporate strategy and risk tolerance, functioning appropriately, and adequately fostering a culture of risk-adjusted decision making within the organization.

In its oversight role, the Board relies to a large extent on its committee structure. Each of the committees considers the management of risk within the particular area of its responsibility. For example, the Compensation Committee has responsibility for monitoring the performance, and regularly reviewing

the design and function, of our incentive compensation plans and arrangements and seeks to ensure that they do not encourage executive officers to take unnecessary and excessive risks that threaten our value and do not encourage the manipulation of reported earnings to enhance the compensation of any employee. Separately, the Audit Committee oversees activities performed by the audit and loan review functions of Bancorp. The Board has delegated the general responsibility for overall risk management oversight to the Risk Committee. The Risk Committee meets periodically with the Chief Risk Officer. The Chief Internal Auditor of Cathay Bank reports on audit matters directly to Cathay Bank s Audit Committee, which also evaluates the performance of the Chief Internal Auditor.

Risk management oversight is also provided through an internal committee of Cathay Bank, which is chaired by Cathay Bank s Executive Vice President and Chief Risk Officer. This group meets at least quarterly and is responsible for evaluating relevant risk information, implementing appropriate strategies to address risks, and reporting the results to executive management, the Risk and Compliance Committee of the Cathay Bank Board of Directors, the Risk Committee, and the Board.

The Board receives regular reports from its committees, including the Risk Committee, regarding their deliberations and actions, as well as a quarterly report from the Chief Risk Officer of Cathay Bank, and regularly discusses and evaluates the risks we are facing and the effectiveness of actions being taken to monitor and control exposure from such risks. In addition, the independent directors meet at least annually in executive session with Cathay Bank s Chief Risk Officer, Cathay Bank s Chief Internal Auditor, and representatives of Bancorp s independent registered public accounting firm.

Board Committee Structure

The directors of Bancorp are also the directors of Cathay Bank and members of certain of its committees. The Board has five standing committees: the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee, the Investment Committee, the Nomination and Governance Committee, and the Risk Committee. Each of these committees has adopted a written charter which is available on our website at www.cathaygeneralbancorp.com. The following table identifies the current committee membership and the number of meetings held in 2015:

Name	Audit	Compensation	Investment	Nomination and Governance	Risk
Kelly L. Chan	Chair	X	mvestment	X	Kiji
Michael M.Y. Chang	Chun	A		X	X
Dunson K. Cheng			Chair		X
Thomas C.T. Chiu			X		
Nelson Chung					Chair
Felix S. Fernandez					X
Jane Jelenko	X			x *	X
Patrick S.D. Lee		X			
Ting Y. Liu	X	Chair		X	X
Joseph C.H. Poon		X	X	Chair	
Anthony M. Tang					
Peter Wu			X		X
Number of Committee Meetings Held in 2015	17	12	4	7	11

^{*/} Member since June 2015.

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee oversees Bancorp's financial reporting on behalf of the Board. It appoints and evaluates Bancorp's independent auditors, and reviews with the independent auditors the proposed scope of, fees for, and results of the annual audit. It reviews the system of internal accounting controls and the scope and results of internal audits with the independent auditors, the internal auditors, and Bancorp management. It considers the audit and non-audit services provided by the independent auditors, the proposed fees to be charged for each type of service, and the effect of non-audit services on the independence of the independent auditors.

As provided by its charter, the Audit Committee shall be comprised of three or more directors, and its members must meet the Nasdaq listing standards, the regulations of the SEC, and the requirements of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

All members of the Audit Committee are independent as defined in the Nasdaq listing

standards. The Board conducted a review regarding whether any members of the Audit Committee meet the criteria to be considered an audit committee financial expert and determined that Mr. Chan, its Chairman, and Ms. Jelenko each qualifies as an audit committee financial expert, as defined in Item 407(d)(5) of the SEC s Regulation S-K.

The Audit Committee does not have a policy for pre-approving services to be provided by Bancorp s independent auditors. All services to be provided to Bancorp by its independent auditors are subject to review and approval by the Audit Committee in advance of the performance of the services, provided that the Audit Committee will not approve any non-audit services proscribed by Section 10A(g) of the Exchange Act in

the absence of an applicable exemption. The Audit Committee may delegate to a designated member or members of the Audit Committee the authority to approve such services so long as any such approval is reported to the full Audit Committee at its next scheduled meeting. The Audit Committee has not delegated such authority.

Compensation Committee

The purpose of the Compensation Committee is to exercise oversight with respect to the compensation philosophy, policies, practices, and implementation for our executive officers and directors, the administration of our equity-based compensation plans, and the administration of our incentive and other plans for our executive officers. In addition to its risk management responsibilities as described above, the Compensation Committee has responsibility for: (a) establishing our compensation policies and practices with regard to our Chief Executive Officer and the other executive officers; (b) reviewing and approving, at least annually, goals and objectives with respect to the performance of our Chief Executive Officer and the other executive officers; (c) evaluating, at least annually, the performance of our Chief Executive Officer and the other executive officers in light of the corporate goals and objectives and the performance evaluations; and (d) administering our equity-based compensation plans, including making awards and determining the terms and conditions of awards.

As provided by its charter, the Compensation Committee is comprised of at least two members of the Board. Each member of the Compensation Committee is required to be and is an independent director and otherwise qualifies as a member of the Compensation Committee under the Nasdaq listing standards; qualifies as a non-employee director under Rule 16b-3(b)(3)(i) promulgated by the SEC under the Exchange Act; and qualifies as an outside director under the rules promulgated by the Internal Revenue Service under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code.

Investment Committee

The Investment Committee oversees Bancorp s investment and funds management policies at the holding company level. This committee exists alongside the Investment Committee at Bancorp s subsidiary, Cathay Bank.

Nomination and Governance Committee

All members of the Nomination and Governance Committee are independent as defined in the Nasdaq listing standards. This committee identifies

and evaluates candidates qualified to serve as members of the Board and makes recommendations to the Board regarding such candidates. In addition, the committee has the following responsibilities with respect to corporate governance: (a) developing and recommending to the Board a set of corporate governance guidelines, reviewing and reassessing as appropriate the adequacy of any corporate governance guidelines adopted by the Board and recommending any proposed changes to the Board; (b) considering any other corporate governance issues that arise, developing appropriate recommendations for the Board, and addressing matters of corporate governance not otherwise delegated to other committees of the Board; (c) serving in an advisory capacity to the Board on matters of organizational and governance structure; (d) overseeing the implementation of the Board a annual reviews of director independence; (e) developing and recommending to the Board a process to evaluate performance of the Board and its committees, and implementing and overseeing any process adopted; (f) reviewing and reassessing, taking into account the assessments of the relevant committees, the adequacy of the various committee charters and recommending any proposed changes to the Board; and (g) assisting the Board in reviewing our senior management development and succession planning. Nominees for this 2016 annual meeting of stockholders were recommended by this committee and unanimously approved by all of Bancorp s independent directors.

The policy of the Nomination and Governance Committee is to consider candidates properly recommended by our stockholders. In evaluating any such candidates, the Nomination and Governance Committee will consider the criteria described below. Any such recommendations should include the nominee s name and qualifications for membership on our Board and should be directed to Lisa L. Kim, Secretary, Cathay General Bancorp, 777 North Broadway, Los Angeles, California 90012. In addition, our bylaws permit stockholders to nominate directors for election at stockholder meetings. To nominate a director, stockholders must give timely notice to our Secretary in accordance with our bylaws, which require that the notice be received by our Secretary within the time periods described under Stockholder Proposals for 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders below. The Board and the Nomination and Governance Committee consider potential

16

nominees based on such criteria as depth and breadth of relevant experience, intelligence, personal character, integrity, commitment to the community and to Bancorp, knowledge of the business of banking, compatibility with the current Board culture, and prominence all in the context of the perceived needs of the Board at the point in time of the consideration. Nominees must also be acceptable to banking regulators. Bancorp seeks to ensure that at least a majority of the directors are independent under the Nasdaq listing standards and that members of Bancorp s Audit Committee meet Nasdaq, SEC, and Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation requirements and that at least one of them qualifies as an audit committee financial expert under the rules of the SEC. When an independent director retires, resigns or declines to stand for reelection, the Nomination and Governance Committee will seek to identify and recommend to the Board candidates for election by the stockholders or by the Board to fill the vacancy who are independent under all applicable standards.

Cathay Bank was founded in 1962 in Los Angeles, California, and is today America's oldest bank founded by Chinese-Americans. Since that time, it has expanded into metropolitan areas of the U.S. that have substantial Chinese-American populations, as well as established a branch in Hong Kong and a representative office in Shanghai and in Taipei. To better serve its customers, many of Cathay Bank's employees speak both English and one or more Chinese dialects or Vietnamese. As Cathay Bank has grown and expanded, the Board and the Nomination and Governance Committee have been considering greater diversity for the Board, in terms of race, gender, national origin, geography, skills, experience, and/or expertise. While there is no specific policy in place with respect to diversity, a conscious effort has been made, and will continue to be made, to add to the Board otherwise qualified individuals who are representative of diverse backgrounds and experiences.

The process for identifying and evaluating candidates is commenced by the Board upon its determination of a need to nominate a director or fill a new position or vacancy on the Board. At the request of the Board, the Nomination and Governance Committee then seeks to identify potential candidates who meet the specific criteria given by the Board at the time of the request based on input from members of the Board

and, if the Board deems appropriate, a third-party search firm.

The process begins with the Nomination and Governance Committee conducting inquiries into the backgrounds and qualifications of such candidates. If the Nomination and Governance Committee determines that a candidate is qualified to serve as a director and that he or she should be recommended to the Board, the Board will then review the recommendation and the accompanying information. If the Board is interested in a proposed candidate, it will designate a member to contact the candidate to discuss the proposed nomination, and determine if the candidate is interested in the nomination and if there is any reason why the Board should not proceed with the nomination. Depending on the outcome, the next step is for the candidate to meet with all members of the Board.

Following these meetings, and using the input from such interviews and the information obtained by the Nomination and Governance Committee, the Nomination and Governance Committee will evaluate whether the candidate meets the requisite qualifications and criteria and should be recommended to the Board. Candidates recommended by the Nomination and Governance Committee are then presented to the Board for selection as nominees for election by the stockholders or by the Board to fill a vacancy. The Nomination and Governance Committee expects that a similar process will be used to evaluate nominees recommended by stockholders.

Risk Committee

The purpose of the Risk Committee is to oversee the risk management practices of our operations. This committee exists alongside the Risk and Compliance Committee at Cathay Bank.

The Risk Committee is, among other things, responsible for documenting, reviewing, and approving, on an oversight basis, our enterprise-wide risk management practices, and overseeing the operation of, on an enterprise wide-basis, an appropriate risk management framework commensurate with our capital structure, risk profile, complexity, activities, size, and other appropriate risk-related factors.

17

As provided by its charter, the Risk Committee shall be composed of at least three Board members and shall be chaired by an independent director. The independent director chair (a) shall not be an officer or employee and shall not have been an officer or employee during the previous three years, (b) shall not be a member of the immediate family, as defined in Regulation Y, of a person who is, or has been within the last three years, an executive officer, as defined in Regulation O, and (c) shall be an independent director under Item 407 of the SEC s Regulation S-K.

Stock Ownership of Directors

Our Corporate Governance Guidelines provide that directors should hold shares of our common stock with a value equal to two times the amount of the annual cash retainer paid to directors as of March 15, 2012, or the date the director is elected to the Board, whichever is later. They further provide that directors should achieve such holdings within five years of joining the Board or, in the case of directors serving at the time the Guidelines were first adopted, within five years of March 15, 2012.

Compensation of Directors

The directors of Bancorp are also the directors of Cathay Bank s board of directors (Bank Board) and members of certain of its committees. For 2015, with the exception of Anthony M. Tang and Peter Wu as discussed below, each director who was not also a full-time officer of Bancorp or Cathay Bank was paid an annual retainer of \$50,000 payable on a monthly basis in cash and a fee of \$750 for each committee meeting or executive session of independent directors attended. Board and Bank Board committee meetings that are held on the same day count only as one meeting, except for the Audit Committee and the Bank Board s Audit Committee. In addition, the following monthly retainers were paid: \$1,000 to the Lead Independent Director of Bancorp, \$1,000 to the chair of the Audit Committee, \$1,250 to the chair of the Bank Board s Credit Committee, \$750 to the chair of the Bank Board s Audit Committee for each meeting with the Chief Internal Auditor and the Chief Risk Officer of the Bank for administrative purposes, and \$500 to the chairs of all Board or Bank Board committees other than the chairs of the Bank Board s Audit Committee

and Investment Committee, who only received retainers for their service as the respective chairs of the Board s Audit Committee and Investment Committee. Bancorp and Cathay Bank reimburse directors for out-of-pocket expenses incurred in attending meetings of the boards and committees and in traveling on company business. The Compensation Committee advises the Board on director compensation.

On March 19, 2015, the Board received a presentation by the Director of Human Resources of Cathay Bank, with materials that included a peer analysis and historical data on board and chairperson compensation and a recommendation based on the data. After reviewing and discussing the materials and recommendation, the Board determined to award each of the non-employee directors and Anthony M. Tang and Peter Wu, who are employee directors, a number of shares of common stock with a target value of \$45,000 based on the closing price that day.

Our Corporate Governance Guidelines provide that the Board may make exceptions to the policy that a director who is also an officer or employee of Bancorp shall not receive additional compensation for such services as a director.

In addition to the award of shares in March 2015, Mr. Anthony M. Tang has been paid \$15,000 per month for his service as Vice Chairman of the Board of Bancorp and of Cathay Bank, a monthly retainer as chair of the Bank Board s Credit Committee, an annual retainer as a director, and committee meeting attendance fees.

In addition to the award of shares in March 2015, Mr. Peter Wu has been paid \$16,667 per month for his service as Vice Chairman of the Board of Bancorp and of Cathay Bank, an annual retainer as a director, and committee meeting attendance fees.

18

Director Compensation

The following table sets forth for 2015 a summary of the compensation paid to all directors who were not also a Named Executive Officer:

				(Change in pension		
			Option	Non-equity	value and		
	Fees earned or	Stock	awards	incentive plamo	nqualified deferred		
	paid in cash	awards	1/	compensation		compensation 2/	Total
Name	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	earnings (\$)	(\$)	(\$)
Kelly L. Chan	112,250	44,984					157,234
Michael M.Y. Chang	110,750	44,984					155,734
Thomas C.T. Chiu	104,750	44,984					149,734
Nelson Chung	74,000	44,984					118,984
Felix S. Fernandez	104,750	44,984					149,734
Jane Jelenko	93,500	44,984					138,484
Patrick S.D. Lee	119,250	44,984					164,234
Ting Y. Liu	108,500	44,984					153,484
Joseph C.H. Poon	89,000	44,984					133,984
Anthony M. Tang	289,942 3/	44,984				7,477	342,403
Peter Wu	306,269 4/	44,984				8,308	359,561

No stock options were granted in 2015. The aggregate number of options outstanding as of the close of December 31, 2015, for each named director is as follows: Mr. Chan 7,000, Mr. Chang 7,000, Dr. Chiu 7,000, Mr. Chung 7,000, Mr. Lee 7,000, Mr. Liu 7,000, Mr. Poon 7,000, Mr. Tang 42,690, and Mr. Wu 72,170.

19

The amount in this column consist of employer contributions under the 401(k) Profit Sharing Plan. Perquisites and other personal benefits, or property, are excluded if the aggregate amount of such compensation was less than \$10,000. Group life insurance, health insurance, and long-term disability insurance premiums are also excluded because such premiums are pursuant to a plan that does not favor executive officers or directors and is generally available to all salaried employees.

^{3/} This amount consists of \$180,692 for Mr. Tang s services as Vice Chairman of the Board of Bancorp and Cathay Bank, a retainer fee of \$50,000 as a director of Bancorp and Cathay Bank, a retainer fee of \$15,000 as chair of the Bank Board Credit Committee, and committee meeting attendance fees of \$44,250.

^{4/} This amount consists of \$200,769 for Mr. Wu s services as Vice Chairman of the Board of Bancorp and Cathay Bank, a retainer fee of \$50,000 as a director of Bancorp and Cathay Bank, and committee meeting attendance fees of \$55,500.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis (CD&A) is intended to provide information relevant to an understanding of our executive compensation program, philosophy and objectives, our process for making compensation decisions, and our executive compensation components. We also address the factors most relevant to an understanding of our compensation policies and decisions regarding the 2015 compensation for each of the executive officers identified in the Summary Compensation Table under Remuneration of Executive Officers below (the Named Executive Officers).

Objectives of Our Executive Compensation Program

It is our policy to build stockholder value by attracting, motivating, and retaining capable executive management and other key personnel for the purpose of achieving our business goals. We seek to implement this policy, in part, through our executive compensation program.

We believe that an effective executive compensation program is one in which executive officers receive compensation that is competitive with the practices of other financial institutions in our market area, but which at the same time ties compensation to our financial and operating performance and does not encourage the taking of unnecessary and excessive risk or encourage the manipulation of reported earnings. In addition, we believe that individual compensation should be based on the experience, performance, and responsibility level of the executive officers and their contributions towards achievement of our business goals.

Further, we believe that an effective executive compensation program is one that is designed to align the interests of our executive officers with those of our stockholders through both cash and equity-based incentive compensation that rewards performance as measured against the achievement of our annual, long-term, and strategic goals.

Accordingly, our executive compensation program consists of cash and non-cash components, all of

which are intended to work together to help fulfill the objectives of our compensation policy, which are to:

attract, motivate, and retain capable executive management and other key personnel;

optimize the individual performance of our executive officers and our financial and operating performance;

align the interests of our executive officers with those of our stockholders and link specific performance to the overall quality and sustainability of our performance and profitability;

ensure that we are not unnecessarily exposed to risks or to the manipulation of our reported earnings;

more closely reflect programs that can be utilized on an ongoing basis; and

provide incentives that appropriately balance risk and reward, are commensurate with prudent risk-taking, and are compatible with effective controls and risk-management.

We seek to combine these components, which are described below, in such a way as to best achieve these objectives.

2015 Performance

The following are highlights of our financial performance for 2015:

Net income increased 16.9% to \$161.1 million from \$137.8 million in 2014.

Diluted earnings per share increased 15.1% to \$1.98 from \$1.72 in 2014.

Total loans, excluding loans held-for-sale, increased by \$1.2 billion, or 14.0%, to \$10.2 billion at December 31, 2015, compared with \$8.9 billion at December 31, 2014. The 2015 figure includes total gross loans of \$419.7 million from the acquisition of Asia Bank on July 31, 2015.

Total deposits increased by \$1.73 billion, or 19.7%, to \$10.5 billion at December 31, 2015, from \$8.8 billion at December 31, 2014. The 2015 figure includes total deposits of \$420.6 million from the acquisition of Asia Bank on July 31, 2015.

20

Total nonperforming assets decreased by \$24.8 million, or 24.4%, to \$76.8 million, from \$101.6 million in 2014. Among the other notable events in 2015 were the following:

Completion of the acquisition of Asia Bancshares, Inc., and its subsidiary, Asia Bank, on July 31, 2015, which gave us three additional branches in New York and one branch in Maryland.

Increases in our common stock dividend from \$.10 per share in the fourth quarter of 2014, to \$.14 per share in the second quarter of 2015, and to \$.18 per share in the fourth quarter of 2015.

Components of Our 2015

Executive Compensation Program

The Compensation Committee believes that the design of our executive compensation program provides a proper balance between the key components, which are:

competitive base salaries,
hort-term cash bonuses, and
ong-term equity incentives. In addition, we provide our executive officers with:
etirement benefits under a 401(k) plan and an employee stock ownership plan for employees who met their eligibility requirements prior to anuary 2003,
ife, health, dental, disability, and medical reimbursement plans, and

perquisites and other personal benefits.

Each of these components serves as a means to achieve one or more of the objectives of our executive compensation program. The Compensation Committee does not follow rigid formulas for allocating compensation among these various components. Instead, it utilizes its judgment taking into account our safety and soundness, as well as consideration of our business objectives, fiduciary and corporate responsibilities (including internal equity considerations and affordability), competitive pay practices and trends, and regulatory requirements.

We describe below each of these components and how determinations are made in general by the Compensation Committee under our compensation program for our executive officers. The specific amounts paid or awarded to our Named Executive Officers for 2015 and the rationale are set forth below under Compensation Decisions for Named Executive Officers.

Base Salaries

We provide our executive officers with a base salary to compensate them for services rendered during the year and to attract, motivate, and retain them. The Compensation Committee does not apply any fixed formula for setting base salaries for our executive officers. Instead, it considers a wide range of factors. In particular, the Compensation Committee will consider our overall financial and operating performance and

profitability, and its evaluation of each executive officer s individual performance and contribution toward this overall performance and profitability. Our overall performance and profitability is determined, without any quantified targets or particular weighing, with reference to financial factors such as net income, earnings per share, return on average assets, return on average stockholders equity, efficiency ratio, and percentage increase or decrease in total assets, loans, and deposits.

The evaluation of each executive officer s individual performance involves consideration of such factors as the significance of the executive officer s services, level of responsibility, any changes in those responsibilities, and the achievement of individual performance goals or completion of any strategic initiatives and special projects or assignments that may have been set from year to year, without any particular weight being assigned to these factors. As part of this evaluation, the Compensation Committee may consider the executive officer s individual skills, experience, length of service, and compensation levels in past years, not only in relation to the individual s performance in those years compared with the current year, but also in relation to competitive employment opportunities for that individual. Consideration is also given to changes in the cost of living.

The Compensation Committee also takes into consideration the base compensation of executive

21

Table of Contents

officers in equivalent positions at banks and bank holding companies considered to be similar to Cathay Bank and Bancorp. We believe it is helpful to consider comparative market information about compensation paid to executive officers of other companies in our business and geographic marketplace that seek similarly skilled and talented executives. We want to be able to retain our executive officers and, accordingly, we take into consideration publicly available information about compensation paid to executive officers at other financial institutions in making our decisions about compensation. However, we do not establish compensation levels based on benchmarking and we do not attempt to maintain a certain target percentile within any peer group to determine compensation. We view information on pay practices at other institutions as relevant to a general understanding of the market and for assessing the competitiveness and reasonableness of our executive compensation program.

Salary levels are typically considered in March as part of our employee performance review process. Salary levels may also be reviewed and adjusted for an executive officer upon a promotion or change in job responsibility or for special retention purposes. The Compensation Committee does not set any target range or apply any formulas or any particular minimum or maximum percentages. Instead, it considers the base salary increases on a case-by-case and year-by-year basis applying the factors set forth above. However, the Compensation Committee takes into consideration the compensation history of the executive officers and will observe past ranges for reference and guidance without being bound or limited by them.

Cash Bonuses

The Compensation Committee adopted, effective January 1, 2014, an Executive Officer Annual Cash Bonus Program (the Program), pursuant to which our executive officers may be entitled to cash bonus awards that constitute cash awards under our 2005 Incentive Plan. The purpose of the Program is to attract, motivate and retain capable executive management and other key personnel by providing incentives that are commensurate with prudent risk taking, that do not pose a threat to safety and soundness, and that seek to link compensation to our overall strategic goals. To determine a participant s

bonus award, the Compensation Committee may establish for a program year company-wide financial criteria, including the achievement of quantifiable financial metrics (*e.g.*, diluted earnings per share, return on average assets, loan growth, deposit growth, and efficiency ratio) and metric and/or nonmetric individual or department-wide performance goals. Following completion of a program year, the Compensation Committee determines the extent to which the financial criteria and performance goals for each participant have been achieved or exceeded and the amount of the bonus award to be paid. The Program sets forth factors the Compensation Committee should take into account in determining financial criteria and performance goals and the circumstances in which the results and bonus awards may be adjusted, taking into account safety and soundness and risk-management. Any bonus awards are subject to a three-year clawback provision, whereby under specified circumstances some or all the amounts paid may be recovered or the value recouped. If necessary to comply with the deductibility requirements of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, the Compensation Committee will determine a participant s performance-based bonus award by reference to the pre-established, objective performance criteria and goals, the material terms of which have been approved by our stockholders under our 2005 Incentive Plan.

Equity Incentives

Our 2005 Incentive Plan permits us to grant stock options, stock awards (including shares, stock appreciation rights, stock units and other similar awards), and cash awards.

Equity awards under the 2005 Incentive Plan compensate eligible participants for their contributions to our business and encourage them to exert maximum efforts for our success by providing them with an opportunity to benefit from increases in the value of our common stock, thereby aligning the interests of the participants with those of our stockholders. In this manner, the awards serve as an incentive and reward for the achievement of our long-term business goals and a means to attract, motivate, and retain key personnel.

The Compensation Committee has authority to determine the number and type of equity awards for

22

executive officers and other employees. Awards are generally based on a qualitative analysis of the individual s performance and our overall performance and profitability, taking into account the factors discussed above under Base Salaries and Cash Bonuses. Without benchmarking, and for general reference purposes only, the Compensation Committee also will consider the size of awards made in the past to each individual and also generally refer to the size of awards made at other banks and bank holding companies of comparable size and complexity.

Consideration is also given to the estimated dilutive effect of such awards on our stockholders.

For the past several years, the equity incentive awards made by the Compensation Committee have been in the form of restricted stock units. For the executive officers, including the Named Executive Officers, the vesting of these restricted stock units has been based on performance (*i.e.*, diluted earnings per share and total stockholder return) over a three-year period. The restricted stock units awarded to other executive officers are time-based and vest at the end of three years.

Awards generally have been made on meeting dates that are specified in advance of the actual meeting. Awards are also made on occasion during the year to newly hired or newly promoted officers or for special retention purposes. Such awards for new hires, promotions, and retention become effective on the date of approval of the award by the Compensation Committee. All awards are made at or above the fair market value of our common stock as quoted on the Nasdaq Global Select Market.

Retirement and Other Benefits

Salaried employees of Cathay Bank who have completed three months of service and have attained the age of 21 are eligible to participate in the 401(k) Profit Sharing Plan, which provides them with an opportunity to save for retirement in a tax-efficient manner. Participants can contribute up to 75% of their eligible compensation for the year, but not to exceed the limit set by the Internal Revenue Code. Cathay Bank matches 100% on the first 4.0% of eligible compensation contributed per pay period by the participant, after one year of service. The vesting schedule for the matching contribution is 0% for less than two years of service, 25% after two years of

service and from then on, at an increment of 25% each year until 100% is vested after five years of service.

Under our Cathay Bank Employee Stock Ownership Plan (the ESOP), we can make annual contributions to a trust in the form of either cash or our common stock for the benefit of eligible employees. Each participant is benefits under the ESOP consist of cash (or cash equivalents) and shares of our common stock allocated to the participant. As of April 1, 2016, the ESOP held 957,052 shares, or 1.21%, of our common stock. We have not made contributions to the trust since 2004, and do not expect to make any contributions in the future.

We also provide group life, health, dental, disability, and medical reimbursement plans that do not discriminate in scope, terms, or operation in favor of our executive officers and that are available generally to all salaried employees.

Our executive officers are eligible to participate in all of these plans on the same terms as other employees.

Perquisites and Other Personal Benefits

We provide our executive officers with perquisites and other personal benefits that the Board and the Compensation Committee believe are reasonable and consistent with our overall compensation program to better enable us to attract and retain employees for key positions. The Compensation Committee periodically reviews the levels of perquisites and other personal benefits provided to the executive officers. Currently, these perquisites consist primarily of automobile expenses and club memberships. For 2015, the aggregate amount of perquisites and other personal benefits provided to our Named Executive Officers was less than \$10,000 each, except for our Chief Executive Officer, Dunson K. Cheng.

Establishing Our Executive Compensation

Role of Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee, which is comprised of independent directors, exercises oversight with respect to the compensation philosophy, policies, practices, and implementation for our executive officers and directors. For information relating to the

23

Table of Contents

composition and responsibilities of the Compensation Committee, see Compensation Committee under Board of Directors and Corporate Governance above.

The Chief Executive Officer and the Compensation Committee review the performance of each executive officer (other than the Chief Executive Officer). The conclusions reached and recommendations made based on these reviews, which include salary adjustments, cash bonuses and equity awards, are then taken into account by the Compensation Committee as it makes decisions about compensation of the executive officers. With respect to the Chief Executive Officer, the Compensation Committee reviews and approves the corporate goals and objectives relevant to the Chief Executive Officer s compensation, evaluates the Chief Executive Officer s performance against those objectives, and approves the Chief Executive Officer s compensation based on that evaluation. The Chief Executive Officer does not participate in any deliberations or voting regarding his own compensation.

The Compensation Committee has the authority to retain or obtain the advice of compensation consultants, legal counsel, or such other advisors to the Committee as it, in its sole discretion, deems necessary or advisable to assist it in carrying out its responsibilities. The Compensation Committee is responsible for the appointment, compensation, and oversight of the work of any such compensation consultant or other advisor. Before selecting an advisor or receiving advice, other than from our in-house counsel, the Compensation Committee makes inquiry and assesses the responses to determine whether there are any potential conflicts of interest. In making its determinations with respect to compensation, the Compensation Committee also has access to and seeks input from senior management, the Lead Independent Director, and other directors, as well as receiving administrative support and advice from the General Counsel, the Director of Human Resources of Cathay Bank, our senior risk officers, and representatives of other departments of Cathay Bank.

Compensation Consultant

In June 2012, the Compensation Committee retained Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. (FWC) as its compensation consultant. FWC reports directly to the

Compensation Committee. Management has not retained its own compensation consultant. The Compensation Committee has conducted an inquiry and assessment with respect to FWC, and determined that it is independent of management, provides no other services to us or to management, has in place policies and procedures designed to prevent conflicts of interest, and has no conflicts of interest in acting as a compensation consultant to the Compensation Committee.

As part of its engagement, FWC informs the Compensation Committee on practices and trends in executive compensation in the banking sector and current guidelines on executive compensation of proxy advisory firms, and provides compensation data with respect to comparable financial institutions. Specifically, FWC has assisted the Compensation Committee in (a) designing a compensation plan for certain of our executive officers that can meet the requirements of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, (b) structuring our equity compensation program, (c) assessing whether our incentive compensation program will be commensurate with prudent risk-taking and links specific performance to the overall quality and sustainability of our performance and profitability, and (d) reviewing the CD&A in our proxy statements.

FWC was consulted by the Compensation Committee as to how annual and long-term incentives might be structured and, in particular, with respect to the design of the Executive Officer Annual Cash Bonus Program and of the performance-based restricted stock units that were awarded by the Compensation Committee. In awarding the performance-based restricted stock units, the Compensation Committee also consulted with FWC as to the tax and accounting treatment of the units compared to other forms of equity awards, the vesting provisions in the case of events such as death, disability, retirement, and change in control, and the range and scope of clawbacks. The amounts of the cash bonus and restricted stock unit awards were ultimately determined by the Compensation Committee.

Peer Group

As part of its engagement, FWC has advised the Compensation Committee in its selection of a group

of peer companies (Peer Group) for purposes of assessing the competitiveness of executive compensation and performance. In September 2014, the Compensation Committee selected a group of 15 publicly-traded regional commercial banks or holding companies that were broadly similar in size, as measured by total assets, net income, number of employees and market capitalization. In September 2015, FWC reviewed the Peer Group and reported that the Company was in the 25th percentile in total assets and below the median in market capitalization. The Compensation Committee accepted FWC s recommendation that the Peer Group be rebalanced so that the Company moves closer to the median in size. To achieve this rebalancing, the Compensation Committee removed SVB Financial Group, which became too large in total assets, and Sterling Financial Corporation, which had been acquired, and added Boston Private Financial Holdings, Inc., First Financial Bancorp, Flushing Financial Corporation, Pinnacle Financial Partners, Inc., and Sterling Bancorp, which filled out the lower end of the range. As of December 31, 2015, total assets for the new Peer Group ranged from \$5.7 billion to \$35.6 billion, and market capitalization ranged from \$0.6 billion to \$6.0 billion. By comparison, our total assets were \$13.3 billion and our market capitalization was \$2.5 billion, which placed us closer to the median of the new Peer Group. The new Peer Group consists of the following 18 companies (the companies added in September 2015 are in boldface):

Bank of Hawaii Corporation
BBCN Bancorp, Inc.
Boston Private Financial Holdings, Inc.
City National Corporation *
CVB Financial Corp.
East West Bancorp, Inc.
First Financial Bancorp
Flushing Financial Corporation
MB Financial, Inc.
National Penn Bancshares, Inc.
PacWest Bancorp
Pinnacle Financial Partners, Inc.
PrivateBancorp, Inc.

Prosperity Bancshares, Inc. Sterling Bancorp	
Umpqua Holdings Corporation	
Valley National Bancorp	
Western Alliance Bancorporation	

During 2015, the Compensation Committee held 12 meetings to discuss, review, and/or deliberate about our compensation program and the appropriate levels of compensation for the executive officers. As discussed in this CD&A and elsewhere in this proxy statement, the Compensation Committee, consistent with its charter and the objectives of our compensation program, reviewed and considered relevant information available to it in making its compensation decisions.

While our policies and decisions with respect to our Named Executive Officers are not materially different than for our other executive officers, the Compensation Committee is not precluded from taking into account exceptional circumstances when making its decisions so long as those policies and decisions are believed to be in our best interests and those of our stockholders. In the case of our Chief Executive Officer, the greater relative size and range of his total compensation reflect his length of service, his critical role as the key person responsible for our expansion and growth, and his leadership in guiding us through the recession and financial crisis of recent years back to profitability.

25

^{*} City National Corporation was acquired by the Royal Bank of Canada on November 2, 2015. Its total assets were computed as of September 30, 2015 and its market capitalization as of October 28, 2015, both of which were at the top of the range.

Compensation Decisions for Named Executive Officers

Base Salaries. On March 27, 2015, the Compensation Committee adjusted the annual base salaries for each of our Named Executive Officers, effective April 1, 2015. The annual base salaries for the Named Executive Officers before adjustment and after adjustment are as follows:

	Annual Base Salary	Annual Base Salary After
	Before Adjustment	Adjustment
Name	(\$)	(\$)
Dunson K. Cheng	1,000,000	1,000,000
Heng W. Chen	384,000	425,000
Pin Tai *	341,000	450,000
Irwin Wong *	303,000	350,000
Donald S. Chow	285,000	320,000

* Mr. Tai and Mr. Wong were appointed as President and Chief Operating Officer, respectively, of Cathay Bank, effective April 1, 2015. At its meetings in March 2015, the Compensation Committee discussed both merit increases in the base salaries and cash bonuses for the executive officers. In making its determination of merit increases, the Compensation Committee was presented with an analysis that included company-wide financial criteria, individual or department-wide goals for each executive officer, historical data of base salaries and cash bonuses, a survey of competitive peers, and proposed performance-based 2015 annual incentive awards. Mr. Cheng discussed with the Compensation Committee management s recommendations for merit increases in base salary and cash bonuses. After excusing Mr. Cheng, the Compensation Committee reviewed the analysis, and discussed the corporate performance index, target earnings per share, the overall percentage for individual and department goals, and personal performance for each executive officer.

On March 17, 2016, the Compensation Committee approved increases, effective April 1, 2016, in the base salaries of all the Named Executive Officers, with the exception of Mr. Cheng, whose base salary remains at \$1,000,000. Mr. Tai s base salary was increased to \$700,000, reflecting his new responsibilities as President of Cathay Bank.

Cash Bonuses. On March 17, 2016, the Compensation Committee awarded cash bonuses to the Named Executive Officers for 2015. The amounts awarded to the Named Executive Officers are as follows:

	Amount of
Name	Cash Bonus (\$)
Dunson K. Cheng	1,493,000
Heng W. Chen	322,000
Pin Tai	310,000
Irwin Wong	280,000
Donald S. Chow	230,000

In recognition of his achievement in overseeing the successful acquisition in July 2015 of Asia Bancshares, Inc., and its subsidiary, Asia Bank, the Compensation Committee at its meeting on August 13, 2015, awarded Mr. Cheng an extraordinary cash bonus of \$100,000.

On March 27, 2015, the Compensation Committee determined the company-wide financial criteria and individual and department-wide goals for the award of cash bonuses to the Named Executive Officers for 2015 under the Executive Officer Annual Cash Bonus Program. While company-wide financial criteria were established for all the Named Executive Officers, only the financial criteria for Mr. Cheng specified maximum bonus amounts related to his base salary that depend on various levels of achievement. With respect to the company-wide financial criteria for the other Named Executive Officers, no cash bonus targets or percentages of base compensation were established.

On March 17, 2016, the Compensation Committee reviewed a schedule showing for each Named Executive Officer the base salaries for 2015, the cash bonus for 2014, and the long-term incentive dollar values for restricted stock units awarded in December 2015; a weighing of company-wide financial criteria, corporate goals and individual goals; target bonuses compared with peers; and Mr. Cheng s recommendations for awards. This schedule was discussed with Mr. Cheng, following which he left the meeting and the Compensation Committee discussed the achievement of the financial criteria and other goals by each of the Named Executive Officers.

Table of Contents

The basis on which the amounts of the cash bonus awards were determined was as follows for each of the Named Executive Officers:

Dunson K. Cheng. The Compensation Committee set our net income (excluding any acquisition related charges) as the specific financial criteria for the award of a cash bonus to Mr. Cheng for the measurement period of 2015. The terms of Mr. Cheng s net income goal provided for a range of potential maximum bonuses, starting with his eligibility to receive a cash bonus of up to 62% of his base salary for net income of \$106.35 million to \$113.96 million (no bonus is payable if net income was below \$106.35 million) scaling up to 200% of his base salary if net income was over \$170.16 million, with the Compensation Committee having the right in its discretion to award a lesser amount than the amounts designated.

Based on the Executive Officer Annual Bonus Program, a net income of between \$161.05 million to \$165.60 million would have entitled Mr. Cheng to be eligible for a cash bonus of up to 159% of his base salary, or \$1,590,000. Our net income for 2015 was \$161.1 million. The Compensation Committee evaluated Mr. Cheng s overall performance, taking into consideration the financial goal achieved by the Company and other nonfinancial performance and determined that it was appropriate to award Mr. Cheng a cash bonus of \$1,493,000.

Heng W. Chen. Of the cash bonus criteria for Mr. Chen, 70% was based on company-wide financial performance and the remaining 30% was based on nonquantitative goals. The sole financial goal was achieving diluted earnings per share of \$1.90. The nonquantitative goals related largely to his individual performance and his responsibilities as Chief Financial Officer. These included actions related to reducing the effective tax rate, maintaining an active investor relations program, completing the acquisition of Asia Bancshares, Inc., as well as supervising the capital plan, the treasury function, and the budgeting and forecasting process, complying with applicable financial and regulatory reporting requirements, managing capital, and managing functional areas of his responsibility.

In determining the cash bonus award for Mr. Chen, we reported diluted earnings per share for 2015 of \$1.98, or 4.2% over the \$1.90 target, and the nonquantitative individual actions were taken and progressed satisfactorily.

Pin Tai. Of the cash bonus criteria for Mr. Tai, 60% was based on company-wide financial performance and the remaining 40% was based on nonquantitative goals. Of the financial criteria, 50% was tied to diluted earnings per share of \$1.90 and 50% was tied to loan growth of 10%. The nonquantitative goals related largely to his individual performance and his responsibilities as President of Cathay Bank, effective April 1, 2015, and his prior responsibilities as Chief Lending Officer, of Cathay Bank. These included actions related to supporting loan teams to meet their goals, collaborating to improve the efficiency and service quality of the loan process, collaborating to improve the efficiency of credit training for loan officers and underwriters, making joint calls to strengthen customer relationships and build new relationships, supporting growth in China-related businesses, coordinating a revision of three-year strategic business plan; overseeing Risk Management, Finance and Accounting and Legal functions; and overseeing the completion of the Asia Bank merger and system conversion.

In determining the cash bonus award for Mr. Tai, we reported diluted earnings per share for 2015 of \$1.98, or 4.2% over the \$1.90 target, and loan growth of 9.3%, or 14% including loans added from Asia Bank. Most of the nonquantitative actions are ongoing and satisfactory progress was made in 2015.

Irwin Wong. Of the cash bonus criteria for Mr. Wong, 50% was based on company-wide financial performance and the remaining 50% was based on both quantifiable and nonquantifiable individual and department goals. The sole company-wide financial goal was achieving diluted earnings per share of \$1.90. The other goals related largely to his individual performance and his responsibilities as Chief Operating Officer, effective April 1, 2015, and his prior responsibilities as Chief Retail Administration and Regulatory Affairs Officer, of Cathay Bank. These included meeting or exceeding deposit goals, mortgage loan goals, and loan and wealth management referrals, as well as increasing products/services per household, increasing product adoption, increasing noninterest income, and improving customer experience. Finally, he was to satisfactorily manage his functional areas of responsibility.

In determining the cash bonus award for Mr. Wong, we reported diluted earnings per share for 2015 of

Table of Contents

\$1.98, or 4.2% over the \$1.90 target. All of the quantifiable criteria were met or exceeded and the functional areas of responsibility were managed satisfactorily.

Donald S. Chow. Of the cash bonus criteria for Mr. Chow, 50% was based on company-wide financial performance and the remaining 50% was based on both quantifiable and nonquantifiable individual and department goals. The sole financial goal was achieving diluted earnings per share of \$1.90. The individual and department goals related largely to his individual performance and his responsibilities as Chief Credit Officer of Cathay Bank. The qualitative goals included those relating to the quarterly average of delinquencies, the ratio of nonperforming assets and other real estate owned to total loans, and the operating expenses being within budget. His individual goals also included managing his functional areas of responsibility, as well as heading initiatives relating to loan policies and procedures, product development, timeliness and responsiveness of credit underwriting, productivity of the appraisal operating system, and development and implementation of a credit training program.

In determining the cash bonus award for Mr. Chow, we reported diluted earnings per share for 2015 of \$1.98, or 4.2% over the \$1.90 target. All of the quantifiable criteria were met or exceeded, the new initiatives were undertaken, and the functional areas of responsibility were managed satisfactorily.

Equity Incentives. In December of each year beginning in 2013, the Compensation Committee has awarded performance-based restricted stock units to the Named Executive Officers under our 2005 Incentive Plan.

FWC was consulted in 2013 by the Compensation Committee with respect to the structure of the program for performance-based restricted stock units, which included consideration of the types and amounts of long-term incentives at companies in our Peer Group. The Compensation Committee also considered the methodologies for calculating the amounts of the awards. It was determined that executives would be granted a target number of restricted stock units for each award type that would be based on an approved dollar value, which would then be converted to an amount of restricted stock

units, based on accounting values, which in the case of the total stockholder return-based restricted stock units, used the Monte-Carlo valuation model. The tax and accounting treatment of performance share units compared to other forms of equity awards, the vesting provisions in the event of death, disability or retirement or a change in control, and the range and scope of clawbacks were also considered by the Compensation Committee in approving the program.

If target performance is achieved, each restricted stock unit generally represents the right to receive one share of our common stock at the end of the performance period, subject to adjustment. Performance above the target generally results in payment of additional shares and performance below the target generally results in payment of fewer or no shares. The performance period for the restricted stock unit awards is three years.

The metrics for the awards are diluted earnings per share (EPS) and total stockholder return (TSR) consisting of stock price growth plus dividends, relative to the companies in the KBW Regional Bank Index over the performance period. The Compensation Committee chose these two metrics because it concluded that successful performance against these metrics would align well with increases in long-term stockholder value.

The number of target restricted stock units will be increased to the extent that dividends are paid on our common stock, as if reinvested on the ex-dividend date in additional shares.

If a change in control occurs before the end of the performance period, a number of the target restricted stock units based on EPS or TSR may be earned depending on the timing of change in control and whether the restricted stock units are assumed by a public company. All the restricted stock units earned will be fully vested, and distribution of shares will commence generally within 90 days following the end of the performance period, provided the Named Executive Officer remains continuously employed through the performance period. Special provisions will apply if a Named Executive Officer dies, incurs a total and permanent disability or terminates employment on account of retirement.

Provision is made for cancellation of restricted stock unit awards or repayment under certain circumstances. In the event a restatement of our

financial results occurs, up to 50% of the aggregate awards for that individual can be forfeited or cancelled, whether or not such units are vested. If a distribution of shares has already occurred, provision is made for the surrender of up to 50% of the total shares received or, if shares have been sold, repayment of the proceeds, but in no event more than 50% of the aggregate fair market value of all shares received by the employee pursuant to the award agreements.

The performance-based restricted stock units awarded by the Compensation Committee on December 17, 2015 to the Named Executive Officers are as follows:

	Number of Restricted Stock Units Based on EPS	Number of Restricted Stock Units Based on TSR
Name	(#)	(#)
Dunson K. Cheng	18,862	20,111
Heng W. Chen	7,396	7,885
Pin Tai	7,918	8,443
Irwin Wong	9,233	9,844
Donald S. Chow	5,226	5,572

In considering the award of performance-based restricted stock units, the Compensation Committee reviewed materials prepared by FWC, including an analysis of the base salaries, target bonus opportunities, long-term incentives, and total compensation of our Named Executive Officers, compared with proxy statement data for our Peer Group and survey data covering the banking industry. It then set long-term incentive dollar values for each Named Executive Officer, which were divided equally between the awards based on EPS and TSR. The total dollar values were approximately \$1,191,000 for Mr. Cheng, \$467,000 for Mr. Chen, \$500,000 for Mr. Tai, \$583,000 for Mr. Wong, and \$330,000 for Mr. Chow.

The Compensation Committee discussed an analysis of EPS for the three-year performance period and used a projected cumulative EPS for that period to establish a target EPS. The target EPS, in the opinion of the Compensation Committee, reflects reasonable earnings growth over the performance period and will not involve excessive risk to achieve. If the actual cumulative EPS for the three-year period equals the target, 100% of the restricted stock units

will be earned and will be scaled up to 150% of the units if the actual cumulative EPS is up to 15% or more than the target. If the actual cumulative EPS is less than 100%, but not more than 15% below the target, the number of units earned will be scaled down to 50%. If the actual cumulative EPS is more than 15% below the target, none of the restricted stock units will be earned.

For the awards based on TSR, the number of earned restricted stock units will be determined by comparing our TSR from the award date to the end of the three-year performance period, with the TSR of each of the companies in the KBW Regional Bank Index over the performance period. If our TSR over the performance period is below the 30th percentile when ranked against each of the peer companies, no restricted stock units will be earned. If the ranking is equal to the 30th percentile, 50% of the target restricted stock units will be earned. To the extent that our TSR is ranked above the 30th percentile, the number of earned target restricted stock units will be scaled up to 150% of the target restricted stock units for performance at or above the 70th percentile, so that 100% of the target restricted stock units will be earned for performance at the 50th percentile.

Risk Assessment

In June 2010, the federal regulatory agencies, including the Federal Reserve Board, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, jointly issued the Guidance on Sound Incentive Compensation Policies, which is based on the following three principles that are to be incorporated in incentive compensation practices:

incentive compensation arrangements should balance risk and financial results in a manner that does not encourage employees to expose their organizations to imprudent risks;

a banking organization $\,$ s risk-management processes and internal controls should reinforce and support the development and maintenance of balanced incentive compensation arrangements; and

banking organizations should have strong and effective corporate governance to help ensure sound compensation practices.

29

Table of Contents

Our Board in February 2014 adopted an Incentive Compensation Policy to assist the Compensation Committee in complying with the Guidance, and adopted procedures by management to implement the Policy.

One of the responsibilities of the Compensation Committee, as set forth in its charter, is to monitor the performance, and regularly review the design and function, of incentive compensation plans and arrangements to ensure that they do not encourage officers to take unnecessary and excessive risks that threaten our value and do not encourage the manipulation of reported earnings to enhance the compensation of any employee.

Our Compensation Committee has been meeting on a semi-annual basis with our senior risk officers, namely the Chief Risk Officer and Director of Human Resources of the Bank and our General Counsel, to review our compensation plans and evaluate the risks, both long-term and short-term, that we face. We conduct a regular review of the business units to identify and examine risks that may be posed to us and our safety and soundness. The Compensation Committee reviews our incentive compensation arrangements to ensure that they do not encourage our executive officers to take any unnecessary or excessive risks that threaten our value, to identify features that could pose risks to us and limit those features to ensure that we are not unnecessarily exposed to risks, and to eliminate any features that would encourage the manipulation of our reported earnings to enhance the compensation of any employee.

Among the matters considered are the balance between base and incentive compensation, between cash and equity compensation, and between service-based and performance-based compensation; whether performance goals are realistic and the maximum payout opportunities are reasonable; and whether awards are subject to a clawback. More specifically, in consultation with the senior risk officers, the Compensation Committee determines whether the amounts of the base salaries, the short-term cash bonuses and the long-term incentive awards, both performance-based and time-based, for our executive officers appropriately balance risk and reward and do not encourage taking unnecessary and excessive risks or encourage the manipulation of reported earnings.

Additional Information Relating to Executive Compensation

Ownership Guidelines

We do not require that each Named Executive Officer maintain a minimum ownership interest in our stock.

Compensation Recovery Policy

A compensation recovery policy (or clawback) generally provides that bonuses or other incentive compensation awards are subject to forfeiture and recovery if such payments or awards were made based on materially inaccurate financial statements.

The performance-based restricted stock units that were awarded to executive officers in 2015 are subject to a clawback provision, and our Executive Officer Annual Cash Bonus Program provides for a clawback of cash bonuses as well. The 2005 Incentive Plan also has a clawback provision. We believe the principles of a clawback in the event of materially inaccurate financial statements are consistent with our compensation philosophy, which ties compensation to our financial and operating performance and the overall increase in stockholder value, and which does not encourage the taking of unnecessary and excessive risks that could threaten our value or encourage the manipulation of reported earnings to enhance the compensation of any employee.

Change of Control Agreements

The Board desires to promote stability and continuity of senior management and to help align their interests with those of our stockholders in the event of a change in control or potential change in control of Bancorp. Accordingly, we entered into Change of Control Employment Agreements (the Control Agreements) with our executive officers and each Executive Vice President of Cathay Bank. We believe that these agreements help to ensure that our key officers will remain fully engaged during a change in control or potential change in control. The Control Agreements provide for enhanced severance benefits in the event of a voluntary termination of employment for good reason or involuntary termination other than for cause following a change in control. Based on a review of

Table of Contents

46

Table of Contents

information generally available to the public and the advice of outside legal counsel, the Board determined that these arrangements were competitive and reasonable. The Control Agreements do not influence our decisions surrounding the Named Executive Officer s cash and equity compensation. For a more detailed discussion of the severance benefits, the events that would trigger payment of severance benefits, and the Control Agreements in general, see Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control below.

Response to 2015 Vote on Executive Compensation

Our Board has been annually submitting to our stockholders a proposal to approve, on an advisory (nonbinding) basis, our executive compensation. At the 2015 annual meeting of stockholders, 98.6% of the votes cast were in favor of approving this proposal. Our stockholders also approved at that meeting by 98.8% of the votes cast, an amendment and restatement of our 2005 Incentive Plan, which would otherwise have expired in May 2015. The Compensation Committee was aware of and considered the results of the advisory vote on executive compensation and the approval of the amended and restated 2005 Incentive Plan, and has construed these favorable votes of stockholders as supporting its executive compensation decisions and policies.

Pledging and Hedging Policy

Our Board has adopted a policy that prohibits, unless advance approval has been obtained from the Board, all directors and executive officers (including the Named Executive Officers) from holding our securities in a margin account or otherwise pledging or hypothecating our securities as collateral for a loan, entering into hedging or monetization transactions or similar arrangements with respect to our securities, or engaging in certain other speculative trading in our securities. No such requests have been made and consequently no such approvals have been granted.

Deductibility of Executive Compensation

As part of its responsibilities, the Compensation Committee reviews and considers the deductibility of executive compensation under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, which provides that we may

not deduct compensation of more than \$1,000,000 that is paid to certain employees. This limitation does not apply, however, to performance-based compensation that is payable due to the attainment of one or more pre-established performance goals, the material terms of which have been approved by the stockholders in advance of payment, and that meet certain other technical requirements under the Internal Revenue Code.

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation

We do not have a deferred compensation program, and we have no current plans to implement such a program. However, we do have two deferred compensation arrangements with Dunson K. Cheng, our President and Chief Executive Officer. For details regarding these deferral arrangements, see Nonqualified Deferred Compensation below.

Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation

On January 1, 2006, we adopted FASB Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718, *Compensation Stock Compensation* (FASB ASC Topic 718) on a modified prospective basis. FASB ASC Topic 718 requires an entity to recognize compensation expense based on an estimate of the number of awards expected to actually vest, exclusive of awards expected to be forfeited.

With the adoption of FASB ASC Topic 718, the accounting treatment for all forms of stock options changed, thereby prompting us to review the relative merits of nonstatutory stock options and, more recently, restricted stock and restricted stock units. A desirable feature of restricted stock and restricted stock units is that they permit us to issue fewer shares, thereby reducing potential stockholder dilution. We believe that restricted stock and restricted stock units provide an equally motivating form of incentive compensation as stock options, and we will weigh the costs of restricted stock, restricted stock units, and nonstatutory stock option grants with their potential benefits as compensation tools. Stock options only have value to the extent that our share price on the date of exercise exceeds the exercise price on the grant date and are an effective motivational tool when the stock price rises over the term of the award. Restricted stock and restricted stock units serve to reward and retain executive officers through shares valued at the current price on

31

Table of Contents

the date the restriction lapses, which awards may be subject to both service- and performance-based conditions.

We believe that being able to award restricted stock and restricted stock units, separately or in combination, should serve our objectives of incentivizing our executive officers to focus on delivering long-term value to our stockholders.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

No person who was a member of the Compensation Committee during 2015 had any relationships requiring disclosure.

Compensation Committee Report

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed with management the foregoing Compensation Discussion and Analysis (CD&A) and, based on such review and discussion, has recommended to the Board that the CD&A be included in this proxy statement and incorporated by reference into Bancorp s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015.

Compensation Committee

Ting Y. Liu (Chairman)

Kelly L. Chan

Patrick S.D. Lee

Joseph C.H. Poon

32

Remuneration of Executive Officers

The following tables set forth information regarding the compensation for services in all capacities paid or accrued for the periods indicated to our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, and three most highly compensated executive officers other than our principal executive officer and principal financial officer (the Named Executive Officers).

Summary Compensation Table

The table below sets forth information for the Named Executive Officers regarding compensation for the last three completed fiscal years:

Name and Principal Position Dunson K. Cheng	Year 2015	Salary 1/ (\$) 1,003,846	Bonus (\$) 100,000	Stock awards 2/ (\$) 1,190,960	Option awards 3/ (\$)	Non-equity incentive plan compensation (\$) 1,493,000	earnings (\$) 22,510 ^{5/}	,	Total (\$) 3,832,225
Chairman of the Board, President, and Chief Executive Officer of Bancorp and Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Cathay Bank	2014 2013	1,003,846 1,848,846	300,000	1,199,966 1,999,975		1,080,000	19,079 15,980	17,561 17,235	3,320,452 4,182,036
Heng W. Chen Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of	2015 2014 2013	416,542 376,861 520,828	66,000	466,967 417,969 771,960		322,000 291,000		10,600 10,047 6,375	1,216,109 1,095,877 1,365,163
Bancorp and Cathay Bank Pin Tai President and Director of Cathay Bank Irwin Wong	2015 2014 2013	424,900 334,681 456,039	56,000	499,968 371,991 681,059 582,967		310,000 241,000 280,000		10,600 6,375 28,835	1,245,468 954,047 1,221,933 1,213,344
Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of Cathay Bank	2014 2013	297,273 395,886	54,000	319,973 520,951		219,000		8,304 6,375	844,550 977,212
Donald S. Chow Executive Vice President and Chief Credit Officer of Cathay Bank	2015 2014	312,615 286,096		329,972 300,977		230,000 206,000			872,587 793,073

Includes stock received by the Named Executive Officers in lieu of salary in 2013 and amounts deferred by Named Executive Officers under the 401(k) Profit Sharing Plan.

The amounts shown, if any, are not cash compensation received by the Named Executive Officer and may not correspond to the actual value that could be realized by the Named Executive Officer. Instead, the amount represents the fair value of restricted stock units computed for the corresponding fiscal year, in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, valued based on the closing price of the our common stock on the date of the grant. Each restricted stock unit represents the contingent right to receive one share of common stock upon vesting. The number of restricted stock units that are earned can be from 0% to 150% of the target award, depending upon the achievement of certain performance criteria.

33

- ^{3/} No stock options were granted to the Named Executive Officers for the last three completed fiscal years.
- ^{4/} The amounts in this column consist of employer contributions under the 401(k) Profit Sharing Plan. Perquisites and other personal benefits, or property, are excluded if the aggregate amount was less than \$10,000. Group life insurance, health insurance, and long-term disability insurance premiums are also excluded because such premiums are pursuant to a plan that does not favor executive officers or directors and is generally available to all salaried employees.
- ⁵/ This amount consists of interest paid on deferred compensation that is considered above-market under the regulations of the SEC. For a discussion of the deferral arrangements, see Nonqualified Deferred Compensation below.
- ⁶/ This amount consists of \$10,600 in employer contributions under the 401(k) Profit Sharing Plan, \$3,010 for automobile expense, and \$8,299 in club memberships.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards

The table below sets forth information regarding grants of plan-based awards to our Named Executive Officers in 2015.

		Grar	its of Plan-Ba	sed Awards					
		Grants of Plan-Based Awards Estimated possible payouts under non-equity incentive plan awards 1/		1	ted future inder equ incentive lan award	All other stock awards: Number of shares of stock or	Grant date fair value of stock		
		Threshold	Target	Maximum	Threshold	Target	Maximum	units	awards 3/
Name	Grant Date	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(#)	(#)	(#)	(#)	(\$)
Dunson K. Cheng	03/17/2016	620,000	1,350,000	2,000,000					
	12/17/2015				10,055	20,111	30,166		595,487
	12/17/2015				9,431	18,862	28,293		595,473
Heng W. Chen	03/17/2016		322,070						
	12/17/2015				3,942	7,885	11,827		233,475
	12/17/2015				3,698	7,396	11,094		233,492
Pin Tai	03/17/2016		318,036						
	12/17/2015				4,221	8,443	12,664		249,997
	12/17/2015				3,959	7,918	11,877		249,971
Irwin Wong	03/17/2016		252,970		•		•		•
	12/17/2015				4,922	9,844	14,766		291,481
	12/17/2015				4,616	9,233	13,849		291,486
Donald S. Chow	03/17/2016		231,298						
	12/17/2015				2,786	5,572	8,358		164,987
	12/17/2015				2,613	5,226	7,839		164,985

The amounts in the Threshold, Target, and Maximum columns for Mr. Cheng represent the possible cash bonus amounts related to his base salary that he could be awarded under the Executive Officer Annual Cash Bonus Program depending upon our net income for 2015.

Pursuant to SEC rules, the amount in the Target column for each of the other Named Executive Officers is a representative amount that might be awarded to him for 2015 under the Executive Officer Annual Cash Bonus Program based on the percentage that his cash bonus for 2014 bears to his base salary effective April 1, 2014 as applied to his base salary effective April 1, 2015. For further information, see *Compensation Decisions for Named Executive Officers* Cash Bonuses above. The actual amounts paid to Mr. Cheng and to the other Named Executive Officers for 2015 are shown in the Non-equity incentive plan compensation column of the Summary Compensation Table above.

2/

Consists of performance-based restricted stock units. For further discussion, see *Compensation Decisions for Named Executive Officers* Equity Incentives above. Each stock unit represents the contingent right to receive one share of common stock upon vesting. The number of restricted stock units that are earned depends upon the achievement of certain performance criteria on the vesting date, subject to continued employment, with an exception for earlier death, disability or retirement or a change in control.

^{3/} Grant date fair value is based on the closing price of our common stock on the date of the grant. The estimated value of the performance-based restricted stock units at the grant date is based on the projected performance at the grant date showing a payout of 100% of the target number of performance-based restricted stock units.

34

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End

The table below sets forth information regarding outstanding equity awards as of December 31, 2015, made to our Named Executive Officers. Stock awards consist of restricted stock units, each of which represents a contingent right to receive one share of our common stock.

		Option av	vards				Stock awards	
Name	Number of securities underlying unexercised options exercisable (#)	Equity incentive plan awards Number number of of securities securities underlying underlying unexercised unexercised unexercised unexercised unexercised unexercised unexercised unexercised (#) (#)	es e	Option expiration date	or units of stock	Market value of shares or units of stock that have not	Equity incentive plan awards: number of unearned shares,	Equity incentive plan awards: market or payout value or unearned shares, units or other rights that have not vested (\$) */
Dunson K. Cheng	154,940 154,970 100,000		36.24 23.37 23.37	1/25/2016 2/21/2018 2/21/2018			39,292 ¹ / 38,095 ¹ / 24,610 ² / 23,464 ² / 20,111 ³ / 18,862 ³ /	1,231,018 ¹ / 1,193,516 ¹ / 771,031 ² / 735,127 ² / 630,078 ³ / 590,946 ³ /
Heng W. Chen	45,000 44,000		36.24 23.37	1/25/2016 2/21/2018			14,734 ¹ / 14,285 ¹ / 861 ⁴ / 8,572 ² / 8,173 ² / 7,885 ³ / 7,396 ³ /	461,616 ¹ / 447,549 ¹ / 26,975 ⁴ / 268,561 ² / 256,060 ² / 247,037 ³ / 231,717 ³ /
Pin Tai	13,750		36.90	1/19/2016			10,805 ¹ / 10,476 ¹ / 1,095 ⁴ / 7,629 ² / 7,274 ² / 8,443 ³ / 7,918 ³ /	338,521 ½ 328,213 ½ 34,306 ½ 239,017 ½ 227,894 ½ 264,519 ¾ 248,071 ¾
Irwin Wong	31,000		36.24	1/25/2016			9,823 ¹ / 9,523 ¹ / 821 ⁴ / 6,562 ² / 6,257 ² / 9,844 ³ / 9,233 ³ /	307,755 1/ 298,356 1/ 25,722 4/ 205,587 2/ 196,032 2/ 308,413 3/ 289,270 3/
Donald S. Chow							9,823 1/ 9,523 1/ 6,173 ^{2/} 5,885 ^{2/} 5,572 ^{3/} 5,226 ^{3/}	307,755 1/ 298,356 1/ 193,400 2/ 184,377 2/ 174,571 3/ 163,731 3/

- */ The value equals the closing price of our common stock on the last business day of our most recently completed fiscal year, multiplied by the number of shares underlying the award.
- Each restricted stock unit represents the contingent right to receive one share of common stock upon vesting. The number of restricted stock units that are earned can be from 0% of the target award up to 150% of the target award, depending upon the achievement of certain performance criteria. These restricted stock units are scheduled to vest in a single installment on December 31, 2016, subject to continued employment, but may vest to some extent earlier in the event of death, disability, retirement after December 31, 2015, or a change in control, with the number of units earned being based on the achievement of certain performance criteria.
- ^{2/2} Each restricted stock unit represents the contingent right to receive one share of common stock upon vesting. The number of restricted stock units that are earned can be from 0% of the target award up to 150% of the target award, depending upon the achievement of certain performance criteria. These restricted stock units are scheduled to vest in a

35

single installment on December 31, 2017, subject to continued employment, but may vest to some extent earlier in the event of death, disability, retirement after December 31, 2016, or a change in control, with the number of units earned being based on the achievement of certain performance criteria.

- Each restricted stock unit represents the contingent right to receive one share of common stock upon vesting. The number of restricted stock units that are earned can be from 0% of the target award up to 150% of the target award, depending upon the achievement of certain performance criteria. These restricted stock units are scheduled to vest in a single installment on December 31, 2018, subject to continued employment, but may vest to some extent earlier in the event of death, disability, retirement after December 31, 2017, or a change in control, with the number of units earned being based on the achievement of certain performance criteria.
- ^{4/} These restricted stock units are scheduled to vest in a single installment on March 13, 2016, or earlier in the event of death or disability.

 Option Exercises and Stock Vested

The table below sets forth information regarding stock options exercised and vesting of stock awards for the Named Executive Officers during 2015.

	Optio	n awards	Stock awards			
Name	Number of shares acquired on exercise (#)	acquired on Value realized on		Number of shares acquired on vesting (#)		e realized on ting (\$) ^{2/}
Dunson K. Cheng				_		
Heng W. Chen						
Pin Tai	25,000	\$	265,750	9,408	\$	268,874
Irwin Wong	20,000	\$	152,600			
Donald S. Chow						

^{1/} The value realized equals the difference between the option exercise price and the closing price of our common stock on the date of exercise, multiplied by the number of shares for which the option was exercised.

Pension Benefits

Our Named Executive Officers did not receive any benefits during 2015 under any defined contribution plan other than the 401(k) Profit Sharing Plan. We do not have any defined benefit plans.

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation

We have two deferred compensation arrangements with Dunson K. Cheng, our President and Chief Executive Officer.

In an agreement, effective November 23, 2004, Mr. Cheng agreed to defer any cash bonus amounts in excess of \$225,000 for the year ended December 31, 2004, until January 1 of the first year following such time as Mr. Cheng separates from us (the Cheng Deferred Compensation Agreement). This Cheng Deferred Compensation Agreement was amended and restated on November 8, 2007, to

^{2/} The value realized equals the closing price of our common stock on the vesting date, multiplied by the number of shares that vested.

comply with Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code (the Code) and provides that, if Mr. Cheng is subject to Section 409A of the Code, payment of the deferred amount will be delayed to the later of: (i) January 1 of the first year following his separation from service; or (ii) the first day of the seventh month following his separation from service. Pursuant to this agreement, an amount equal to \$610,000 was deferred in 2004. The deferred amount accrues interest at the rate of 7% per annum computed based on the actual number of days during each period divided by the actual number of days for the full year. The deferred amount will be increased each quarter by the amount of interest computed for the preceding quarter. On November 23, 2014, the interest rate was reset to 5.06% based on 275 basis points above the interest rate on a 10-year Treasury note on that date.

36

Table of Contents

On March 13, 2014, the Compensation Committee awarded Dunson K. Cheng a cash bonus in the amount of \$300,000 for the quarter ended December 31, 2013, and provided as part of the award that payment of the bonus would be deferred until the later of: (i) January 1 of the first year following Mr. Cheng s separation from service; or (ii) the first day of the seventh month following Mr. Cheng s separation from service. The Committee s award further provided that the deferred amount accrues interest at the rate of 5.02% per

annum compounded quarterly, will be increased each quarter by the amount of interest computed for that quarter, and, beginning on the fifth anniversary of the award, the interest rate will equal 350 basis points above the then prevailing interest rate on a five-year Treasury note.

The table below sets forth information regarding non-qualified deferred compensation arrangements for our Named Executive Officers during 2015.

Name	Executive Contributions in Last FY (\$)	Registrant Contributions in Last FY (\$)	Aggregate Earnings in Last FY (\$)	Aggregate Withdrawals / Distributions (\$)	Aggregate Balance at Last FYE (\$)
Dunson K. Cheng			79,069 1/		1,614,628 2/
Heng W. Chen					
Pin Tai					
Irwin Wong					
Donald S. Chow					

Includes \$22,510 reported in the Summary Compensation Table above as interest that is considered above-market under the regulations of the SEC.

POTENTIAL PAYMENTS UPON TERMINATION OR CHANGE IN CONTROL

We have not entered into any written employment agreements with any of the Named Executive Officers, with the exception of the Control Agreements with each of the Named Executive Officers which in effect become employment agreements upon the occurrence of a change in control as defined therein.

The tables below under Cash Compensation and Benefits in the Event of a Change in Control reflect the amount of compensation payable to each of the Named Executive Officers in the event of termination of the Named Executive Officer s employment after a change in control. The amount of compensation payable to each Named Executive Officer upon voluntary and involuntary termination and in the event of death or disability of the Named Executive Officer is shown. The amounts shown assume that such termination was effective as of December 31, 2015, and thus include amounts earned through such

time, and are estimates of the amounts which would be paid out to the Named Executive Officers upon their termination. The actual amounts to be paid out, if any, can only be determined at the time of the Named Executive Officer s separation from Bancorp and Cathay Bank.

²/ Includes \$740,211 reported in the Summary Compensation Table for previous years.

In addition, a separate table below under Equity Compensation in the Event of a Change in Control reflects the value of any equity awards granted to each Named Executive Officer under the 2005 Incentive Plan that may be accelerated upon a change in control of Bancorp even if there was no termination of the Named Executive Officer s employment. The administrator of the 2005 Incentive Plan has the discretion to have Bancorp assume, substitute, or adjust each outstanding award under such plan, accelerate the vesting of any options, or terminate any restrictions on stock awards or cash awards upon a change in control.

Payments Made Upon Termination Other Than After a Change in Control

A Named Executive Officer who ceases to be an employee of Bancorp other than after a change in control, whether voluntary or involuntary and with or without cause, including in the event of retirement, disability, or death, will be entitled to receive the following, which are generally available to all salaried employees:

base salary through the date of termination;

accrued vacation pay as of the date of termination;

vested benefits as of the date of termination;

if termination resulted from disability: long-term disability benefits of two-thirds annual base salary up to \$15,000 per month and vesting of long-term restricted stock units; and

if termination resulted from death: three times annual base salary, up to \$600,000, subject to reduction beginning at age 65, and vesting of long-term restricted stock units.

In addition, the performance-based restricted stock units awarded to Named Executive Officers provide that in the event of their death, disability or retirement prior to the maturity date of the restricted stock units, they shall continue to be entitled to receive the restricted stock units to the extent earned, but the amount otherwise payable shall be prorated to reflect the period from the date of the award through the maturity date during which they were employed.

Mr. Cheng would also be entitled to receive payment of the cash bonuses, and interest thereon, deferred under the deferred compensation arrangements described under Executive Compensation Nonqualified Deferred Compensation above.

Change of Control Employment Agreements

Bancorp entered into the Control Agreements with each of the Named Executive Officers which in effect become employment agreements upon the occurrence of a change in control as defined therein. The Control Agreements for each of the Named Executive Officers have been filed as exhibits to Bancorp s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 and its Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter period ended September 30, 2014.

The following is only a summary of the significant terms of the Control Agreements. This summary is qualified in its entirety by reference to the Control Agreements. For a discussion of the purposes of the Control Agreements and their relationship to our compensation policy, see *Change of Control Agreements* under Executive Compensation Discussion and Analysis Additional Information Relating to Executive Compensation above.

Pursuant to the Control Agreements, Bancorp or Cathay Bank (as applicable) has agreed to continue the employment of each Named Executive Officer for a period of three years from the occurrence of a change in control (the effective date). During this employment period, each Named Executive Officer will be entitled to the following compensation and benefits:

An annual base salary at least equal to 12 times the highest monthly base salary paid or payable (including deferred salary) during the 12-months preceding the effective date;

An annual cash bonus at least equal to the highest annual bonus earned for the last three full fiscal years prior to the effective date (with partial years being annualized for the purpose of determining the amount of the bonus);

Participation in all incentive, saving, and retirement plans and programs applicable generally to other peer executives on terms no less favorable than those in effect during the 120-day period immediately prior to the effective date;

Participation in welfare benefit plans and programs on terms no less favorable than those in effect during the 120-day period immediately prior to the effective date;

Reimbursement for all reasonable expenses in accordance with procedures in effect during the 120-day period immediately prior to the effective date;

Fringe benefits (including, without limitation, tax and financial planning services, payment of club dues, and, if applicable, use of an automobile and payment of related expenses) in accordance with the most favorable plans in effect during the 120-day period immediately prior to the effective date;

Office, secretarial and support staff; and

38

Paid vacation in accordance with the most favorable plans in effect during the 120-day period immediately prior to the effective date.

Payments Made Upon Death or Disability After a Change in Control

The Control Agreements provide that, in the event of the death or disability of a Named Executive Officer after a change in control, Bancorp or Cathay Bank (as applicable) has agreed to pay the Named Executive Officer (or the Named Executive Officer sestate or beneficiaries in the event of death): (i) base salary through the date of termination; (ii) a pro-rata bonus until the date of termination of the higher of (A) the highest annual bonus earned for the last three full fiscal years prior to the change in control and (B) the annual bonus paid or payable for the most recently completed fiscal year following the change in control, including any bonus or portion thereof that has been earned but deferred (the greater of clauses (A) and (B), the Highest Annual Bonus); (iii) any accrued vacation pay (items (i), (ii), and (iii), collectively, the Accrued Obligations); and (iv) amounts that are vested benefits or that the Named Executive Officer is otherwise entitled to receive under any plan, policy, practice or program of, or any other contract or agreement with, Bancorp or Cathay Bank at or subsequent to the date of termination (Other Benefits).

Payments Made Upon Involuntary Termination Other Than For Cause or Voluntary Termination For Good Reason After a Change in Control

The Control Agreements provide that, if a Named Executive Officer s employment is terminated following a change in control (other than termination by Bancorp or Cathay Bank for cause or by reason of death or disability or by the Named Executive Officer for other than good reason) or if the Named Executive Officer terminates employment in certain circumstances defined in the Control Agreements which constitute good reason, in addition to the Accrued Obligations and Other Benefits as defined in the preceding section, the Named Executive Officer will be paid the aggregate of the following in a lump sum in cash within 30 days after the date of termination:

an amount equal to a multiple (two or three, depending on the applicable Control Agreement) of the Named Executive Officer s annual base salary and of the Highest Annual Bonus; and

an amount equal to the sum of Bancorp s or Cathay Bank s (as applicable) matching or other employer contributions under Bancorp s or Cathay Bank s qualified defined contribution plans and any excess or supplemental defined contribution plans in which the Named Executive Officer participates that the Named Executive Officer would receive if the Named Executive Officer s employment continued (for two or three years after the date of termination, depending on the applicable Control Agreement).

Also (for a period of two or three years, depending on the applicable Control Agreement), the Named Executive Officer would be entitled to receive welfare benefits (including medical, prescription, dental, disability, employee life, group life, accidental death, and travel accident insurance) at least equal to, and at the same after-tax cost to the Named Executive Officer, as those that would have been provided in accordance with the plans, programs, practices, and policies then in effect. In addition, the Named Executive Officer would be entitled to receive outplacement services, provided that the cost of such outplacement services will not exceed \$50,000.

Payments Made Upon Involuntary Termination For Cause or Voluntary Termination For Other Than Good Reason After a Change in Control

The Control Agreements provide that, if a Named Executive Officer s employment is terminated for cause following a change in control or if the Named Executive Officer terminates his employment for other than good reason, Bancorp or Cathay Bank has agreed to pay the Named Executive Officer: (i) base salary through the date of termination; (ii) any accrued vacation pay; and (iii) Other Benefits.

Certain Additional Payments

The Control Agreements, except that for Donald S. Chow, provide that each Named Executive Officer is eligible for tax gross-up payments in reimbursement for change in control excise taxes imposed on the severance payments and benefits, unless the value of the payments and benefits does not exceed 110% of

the maximum amount payable without triggering the excise taxes, in which case the payments and benefits will be reduced to the maximum amount.

Cash Compensation and Benefits in the Event of a Change in Control

The tables below show the potential cash payments and benefits for the Named Executive Officers if, hypothetically solely for the purposes of this proxy

statement, there had been a change in control effective December 31, 2015, and the Named Executive Officer had been terminated as of the same day. These tables include the dollar amount of salary stock awarded as part of the base salary of each Named Executive Officer, but exclude accrued and unpaid salary and vacation as well as Other Benefits because all employees are generally entitled to these payments and benefits upon termination of employment.

	Volunta	ry Termination	Involunt		
	For Other Than Good	For Good		Other Than	Death or
Dunson K. Cheng	Reason	Reason	For Cause	For Cause	Disability
Compensation					
Base Salary and Bonus 1/	\$0	\$ 7,479,000	\$0	\$ 7,479,000	\$ 0
Accrued Obligations ^{2/}	0	1,493,000	0	1,493,000	1,493,000
401(k) Matching	0	31,800	0	31,800	0
Benefits 3/					
Group Life Insurance	0	1,287	0	1,287	0
Health Insurance	0	6,955	0	6,955	0
Long-Term Disability Insurance	0	2,122	0	2,122	0
Other					
Outplacement Services (max.)	0	50,000	0	50,000	0
Excise Tax plus Gross Up	0	3,263,222	0	3,263,222	0
TOTAL:	\$ 0	\$ 12,327,386	\$ 0	\$ 12,327,386	\$ 1,493,000

	Voluntai	Voluntary Termination		ary Termination	
	For Other				
	Than Good	For Good		Other Than	Death or
Heng W. Chen	Reason	Reason	For Cause	For Cause	Disability
Compensation					
Base Salary and Bonus 4/	\$0	\$ 1,494,000	\$0	\$ 1,494,000	\$ 0
Accrued Obligations ^{2/}	0	322,000	0	322,000	322,000
401(k) Matching	0	21,200	0	21,200	0
Benefits ^{3/}					
Group Life Insurance	0	2,146	0	2,146	0
Health Insurance	0	23,105	0	23,105	0
Long-Term Disability Insurance	0	1,415	0	1,415	0
Other					
Outplacement Services (max.)	0	50,000	0	50,000	0
Excise Tax plus Gross Up	0	691,010	0	691,010	0
TOTAL:	\$0	\$ 2,604,876	\$ 0	\$ 2,604,876	\$ 322,000

40

	Voluntary Termination			Involuntary Termination			
Pin Tai	Than	Other Good ason	For Good Reason	For (Cause	Other Than For Cause	Death or Disability
Compensation							
Base Salary and Bonus 5/	\$	0	\$ 1,520,000	\$	0	\$ 1,520,000	\$ 0
Accrued Obligations ^{2/}		0	310,000		0	310,000	310,000
401(k) Matching		0	21,200		0	21,200	0
Benefits ^{3/}							
Group Life Insurance		0	2,146		0	2,146	0
Health Insurance		0	18,647		0	18,647	0
Long-Term Disability Insurance		0	1,415		0	1,415	0
Other							
Outplacement Services (max.)		0	50,000		0	50,000	0
Excise Tax plus Gross Up		0	694,007		0	694,007	0
TOTAL:	\$	0	\$ 2,617,415	\$	0	\$ 2,617,415	\$ 310,000

	Voluntary Termination			Involuntary Termination				
	For Other					<u>.</u>	_	
T		Good	For Good	E 4	٦	Other Than	Deat	
Irwin Wong	Kea	son	Reason	For (Cause	For Cause	Disal	omty
Compensation								
Base Salary and Bonus ^{6/}	\$	0	\$ 1,260,000	\$	0	\$ 1,260,000	\$	0
Accrued Obligations ^{2/}		0	280,000		0	280,000	280	,000
401(k) Matching		0	21,200		0	21,200		0
Benefits 3/								
Group Life Insurance		0	1,395		0	1,395		0
Health Insurance		0	10,486		0	10,486		0
Long-Term Disability Insurance		0	1,415		0	1,415		0
Other								
Outplacement Services (max.)		0	50,000		0	50,000		0
Excise Tax plus Gross Up		0	605,705		0	605,705		0
TOTAL:	\$	0	\$ 2,230,201	\$	0	\$ 2,230,201	\$ 280	0,000

		y Termination	Involuntai		
Donald S. Chow	For Other Than Good Reason	For Good Reason	For Cause	Other Than For Cause	Death or Disability
Compensation					
Base Salary and Bonus ^{7/}	\$ 0	\$ 1,100,000	\$ 0	\$ 1,100,000	\$ 0
Accrued Obligations ^{2/}	0	230,000	0	230,000	230,000
401(k) Matching	0	0	0	0	0
Benefits 3/					
Group Life Insurance	0	2,146	0	2,146	0
Health Insurance	0	10,486	0	10,486	0
Long-Term Disability Insurance	0	1,415	0	1,415	0
Other					
Outplacement Services (max.)	0	50,000	0	50,000	0
Excise Tax plus Gross Up	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
TOTAL:	\$ 0	\$ 1,394,047	\$ 0	\$ 1,394,047	\$ 230,000

This amount is equal to the product of (i) three and (ii) the sum of (x) the Named Executive Officer s annual base salary (\$1,000,000), and (y) the Highest Annual Bonus (\$1,493,000).

41

- Accrued Obligations include (i) base salary through the date of termination, (ii) a pro-rata portion of the Highest Annual Bonus based on the number of days elapsed in the year of termination, and (iii) any accrued vacation pay. These Accrued Obligations are earned through the date of termination under the terms of the employment agreement that takes effect upon a change in control. They serve as compensation to the Named Executive Officers for services rendered during employment and not as severance or post-employment compensation. For the purposes of this table, only the pro-rata bonus as defined in the Control Agreements is included because all employees are generally entitled to accrued and unpaid salary and vacation upon termination. Further, it is probable that, had the hypothetical change in control and termination taken place on December 31, 2015, the pro-rata bonus would have been paid in lieu of, and not in addition to, the actual bonus, if any, paid to the Named Executive Officer for 2015 as would be reported in the Summary Compensation Table above.
- ^{3/} Amounts shown are based on the annual cost to Bancorp as of December 31, 2015, multiplied by three in the case of Mr. Cheng, and by two in the case of Mr. Chen, Mr. Tai, Mr. Wong, and Mr. Chow.
- This amount is equal to the product of (i) two and (ii) the sum of (x) the Named Executive Officer s annual base salary (\$425,000), and (y) the Highest Annual Bonus (\$322,000).
- ^{5/} This amount is equal to the product of (i) two and (ii) the sum of (x) the Named Executive Officer s annual base salary (\$450,000), and (y) the Highest Annual Bonus (\$310,000).
- ⁶ This amount is equal to the product of (i) two and (ii) the sum of (x) the Named Executive Officer s annual base salary (\$350,000), and (y) the Highest Annual Bonus (\$280,000).
- This amount is equal to the product of (i) two and (ii) the sum of (x) the Named Executive Officer s annual base salary (\$320,000), and (y) the Highest Annual Bonus (\$230,000).

Equity Compensation in the

Event of a Change in Control

Assuming that, hypothetically, solely for purposes of this proxy statement, a change in control occurred effective December 31, 2015, and the vesting of all options was accelerated and all restrictions on stock awards were terminated, the following table sets forth

the estimated value for equity awards to the Named Executive Officers that would not otherwise have vested or been terminated but for the change in control:

N	Stock Options Accelerated Vesting		Restricted Stock		T. 4.1
Name	Accelerate	a vesting	Accele	rated Vesting 1/	Total
Dunson K. Cheng	\$	0	\$	5,151,717	\$ 5,151,717
Heng W. Chen		0		1,939,515	1,939,515
Pin Tai		0		1,680,541	1,680,541
Irwin Wong		0		1,631,134	1,631,134
Donald S. Chow		0		1,322,189	1,322,189

Onsists of performance-based restricted stock units and long-term restricted stock units, the value of which are based on the closing price of our common stock on December 31, 2015, which was \$31.33 per share.

PROPOSAL TWO

ADVISORY (NON-BINDING) VOTE TO APPROVE OUR EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Section 14A of the Exchange Act enables our stockholders to vote to approve, on a non-binding basis, the compensation of our Named Executive Officers as disclosed in this proxy statement in accordance with the SEC s rules. Accordingly, we are presenting the following advisory proposal for stockholder consideration:

RESOLVED, that the compensation paid to our Named Executive Officers, as disclosed pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the compensation tables, and any related material disclosed in this proxy statement, is hereby APPROVED.

This vote is not intended to address any specific item of compensation, but rather the overall compensation of our Named Executive Officers and the policies and practices described in this proxy statement. Your vote is advisory and shall not be binding upon the Board, and may not be construed as overruling a decision by the Board or the Compensation Committee, creating

or implying any additional fiduciary duty by the Board or the Compensation Committee, or restricting or limiting the ability of stockholders to make proposals for inclusion in proxy materials related to executive compensation. However, the Board and Compensation Committee will consider the voting results of this non-binding proposal when reviewing compensation policies and practices.

The CD&A and the tables and other disclosures under Executive Compensation describe our compensation philosophy and compensation actions taken with respect to 2015 compensation of our Named Executive Officers. We believe that our current executive compensation program directly links executive compensation to performance and aligns the interests of our executive officers with those of our stockholders.

YOUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE <u>FOR</u> THE ADVISORY (NON-BINDING) PROPOSAL TO APPROVE OUR EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION.

43

PROPOSAL THREE

RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT

REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

We are asking stockholders to ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP (KPMG) as our independent registered public accounting firm for our 2016 fiscal year. Although ratification is not legally required, we are submitting the appointment of KPMG to our stockholders for ratification in the interest of good corporate governance. In the event that this appointment is not ratified, the Audit Committee of the Board will reconsider the appointment.

The Audit Committee appoints the independent registered public accounting firm annually. Before appointing KPMG as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal year 2016, the Audit Committee considered the firm squalifications and performance during fiscal years 2014 and 2015. In addition, the Audit Committee reviewed and approved audit and all permissible non-audit services performed by KPMG in fiscal 2014 and 2015, as well as the fees paid to KPMG for such services. In its

review of non-audit service fees and its appointment of KPMG as our independent registered public accounting firm, the Audit Committee considered whether the provision of such services was compatible with maintaining KPMG s independence.

Representatives of KPMG LLP are expected to attend the meeting and will have an opportunity to make a statement if they wish to do so. They may also respond to appropriate questions from stockholders or their representatives.

YOUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE \underline{FOR} RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF KPMG AS OUR INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR THE 2016 FISCAL VFAR

PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

KPMG audited our financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015. The following table presents fees billed or to be billed for professional audit services rendered by KPMG for the audits of our annual financial statements for 2015 and 2014 and for other services rendered by KPMG.

	2015	2014
Audit Fees	\$ 1,546,476 1/	\$ 1,180,000 1/
Audit-Related Fees	148,956 ^{2/}	130,557 2/
Tax Fees	6,743 ^{3/}	6,426 3/
All Other Fees	0	0
Total Fees	\$ 1,702,175	\$ 1,316,983

Audit fees consist of the aggregate fees of KPMG in connection with: (i) the audit of the annual consolidated financial statements, and (ii) the required review of the financial information included in our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q.

Audit-related fees consist of professional services provided by KPMG Hong Kong in connection with the review of banking returns, review of internal controls, and other agreed upon procedures for the Hong Kong branch.

³/ Tax fees include tax compliance services provided by KPMG Hong Kong for the Hong Kong branch.

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

The purpose of the Audit Committee is to oversee the accounting and financial reporting processes of Bancorp, the effectiveness of its system of internal controls, and the qualifications, independence, and performance of its internal auditors and independent registered public accountants.

In fulfilling these responsibilities, the Audit Committee, among other things:

Evaluated the performance of KPMG as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal 2014 and 2015 and, on that basis, appointed KPMG as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal 2015 and 2016;

Reviewed and approved the audit and permissible non-audit services performed by KPMG in fiscal 2014 and 2015, as well as the fees paid for such services;

Met and discussed with management and KPMG our quarterly and annual financial results and our periodic reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on Forms 10-Q and 10-K;

Met and discussed with management and KPMG the annual financial statements and the report of KPMG thereon, and any significant issues encountered in the course of the audit work; and

Met and discussed with management and KPMG the results of management s assessment of the effectiveness or our internal control over financial reporting and KPMG s report on our internal control over financial reporting.

As part of this process, some of these meetings with management, KPMG and internal audit were in executive session, without the presence of the others, for the purpose of discussing the audit and their related observations and recommendations.

As part of its function, the Audit Committee:

Reviewed and discussed with management Bancorp s audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2015;

Discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm the matters required to be discussed by Auditing Standards No. 16, as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board; and

Received the written disclosures and the letter from the independent registered public accounting firm required by the applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the independent registered public accounting firm s communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence, and discussed with such the independent registered public accounting firm the independent registered public accounting firm s independence.

Based on the review and discussions referred to above, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the audited consolidated financial statements be included in Bancorp s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015, for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Audit Committee

Kelly L. Chan (Chairman)

Jane Jelenko

Ting Y. Liu

45

INCORPORATION OF CERTAIN INFORMATION

The information contained in this proxy statement under the captions Audit Committee Report, Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation, and Compensation Committee Report shall not be deemed to be incorporated by reference by any general statement that purports to incorporate this proxy statement by reference, or any part thereof, into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Exchange Act), or the Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), except to the extent that Bancorp expressly incorporates such information in such filing by reference. The information contained in this proxy

statement under the captions Compensation Committee Report and Audit Committee Report shall not be deemed to be soliciting material or otherwise be deemed to be filed under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act, except to the extent that Bancorp requests that such information be treated as soliciting material or expressly incorporates such information in any such filing by reference. Neither the website of Bancorp at www.cathaygeneralbancorp.com nor the website of Cathay Bank at www.cathaybank.com is a part of or is incorporated into this proxy statement.

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires that our executive officers and directors and persons who own more than 10% of our common stock timely file initial reports of ownership of common stock and other equity securities, and reports of changes in such ownership, with the SEC. We have instituted

procedures to receive and review these insider reports. After a review of insider reports and written representations by directors and certain officers that we will maintain for two years, we believe that all required reports were timely filed during 2015.

TRANSACTIONS WITH RELATED PERSONS, PROMOTERS AND CERTAIN CONTROL PERSONS

Policies and Procedures Regarding Related Party Transactions

It is the policy of the Board that all related party transactions are subject to review and approval or ratification by Bancorp's Audit Committee, except for those matters that the Board has delegated to other committees, that require approval of a majority of the independent directors or that are reserved for the full Board or for the Board of Directors of Cathay Bank by statute, charter, regulations, Nasdaq listing standards, bylaws, or otherwise. Extensions of credit by Cathay Bank to executive officers, directors, and principal stockholders of Bancorp and their related interests are subject to review and approval by the Board of Directors of Cathay Bank pursuant to section 22(h) of the Federal Reserve Act (12 U.S.C. 375b), as implemented by the Federal Reserve Board's Regulation O (12 CFR part 215).

A related party transaction includes any transaction in which Bancorp or any of its subsidiaries is a participant and in which any of the following persons has or will have a direct or indirect interest: (a) a person who is or was (since the beginning of the last fiscal year for which Bancorp has filed a Form 10-K and proxy statement, even if they do not presently serve in that role) an executive officer, director, or nominee for election as a director; (b) a greater than 5% beneficial owner of Bancorp s common stock; or (c) an immediate family member of any of the foregoing. Immediate family member includes a person s spouse, parents, stepparents, children, stepchildren, siblings, mothers- and fathers-in-law, sons- and daughters-in-law, brothers- and sisters-in-law, and anyone residing in such person s home (other than a tenant or employee).

In addition, the Audit Committee is responsible for reviewing and investigating any matters pertaining to the integrity of management, including conflicts of interest and adherence to Bancorp s Code of Ethics. Under Bancorp s Code of Ethics, directors, officers, and all personnel are expected to avoid and to promptly disclose any relationship, influence, or activity that would cause or even appear to cause a conflict of interest.

All directors must abstain from any discussion or decision affecting their personal, business, or professional interests.

In determining whether to approve or ratify a related party transaction, the Audit Committee generally considers applicable laws and regulations and all relevant facts and circumstances and will take into account, among other factors it deems appropriate, whether the related party transaction is on terms not more favorable than terms generally available to an unaffiliated third-party under the same or similar circumstances and the extent of the related party s interest in the transaction.

These policies and procedures regarding related party transactions are reflected in the Audit Committee charter, our Code of Ethics, the Cathay Bank Regulation O Policy, and the Cathay Bank Code of Personal and Business Conduct, and have been approved by the Board.

Banking Transactions

Certain directors and officers of Bancorp or Cathay Bank, members of their families, and companies with which they are associated, have been customers of, and have had banking transactions with, Cathay Bank in the ordinary course of Cathay Bank s business. Cathay Bank expects to continue such banking transactions in the future. All loans and commitments to lend in such transactions were made in compliance with applicable laws and on substantially the same terms, including interest rates and collateral, as those prevailing at Cathay Bank at the time for comparable loans with persons not related to Cathay Bank and, in the opinion of the management of Cathay Bank, did not involve more than a normal risk of collectability or present any other unfavorable features. Except as indicated above, there are no existing or proposed material

transactions between us and any of our executive officers, directors, or beneficial owners of 5% or more of our common stock, or the immediate family or associates of any of the foregoing persons. We have no knowledge of any material proceedings to which any of our directors, officers or affiliates, any owner of record or beneficially of more than 5% of our common stock, or any associate of any such director, officer, affiliate or security holder is a party adverse to us or any of our subsidiaries or has a material interest adverse to us or any of our subsidiaries.

Indemnity Agreements

Bancorp s bylaws provide for the indemnification by Bancorp of its agents, including its directors and officers, to the maximum extent permitted under Delaware law. Bancorp also has indemnity agreements with its directors and certain of its officers. These indemnity agreements permit Bancorp to indemnify an officer or director to the maximum extent permitted under Delaware law and prohibit Bancorp from terminating its indemnification

obligations as to acts of any officer or director that occur before the termination. Bancorp believes the indemnity agreements assist it in attracting and retaining qualified individuals to serve as directors and officers of Bancorp. Bancorp s certificate of incorporation also provides for certain limitations on the liability of directors, as permitted by Delaware law. The indemnification and limitations on liability permitted by the certificate of incorporation, bylaws, and the indemnity agreements are subject to the limitations set forth by Delaware law.

CODE OF ETHICS

We have adopted a Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers that applies to our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions, and which is available at www.cathaygeneralbancorp.com. Stockholders may obtain a free copy by written request directed to Cathay General Bancorp, 9650 Flair Drive, El Monte, CA 91731, Attention: Investor Relations.

If we make any substantive amendments to our Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers or grant any waiver, including any implicit waiver, from a provision of the Code to our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions, we will disclose the nature of such amendment or waiver in a report on Form 8-K.

COMMUNICATIONS WITH BOARD OF DIRECTORS

The Board has established a process for stockholder communications. Stockholders may send communications to the Board or any individual director by mail addressed to: Board of Directors, Cathay General Bancorp, 9650 Flair Drive, El Monte, California 91731. Communications

addressed to the Board will be reviewed by the Assistant Secretary of Bancorp and directed

to the Secretary, the Chairman of the Board, or the Lead Independent Director, as appropriate, for further review and distribution to certain or all members of the Board. Communications addressed to individual directors will be forwarded directly to them.

AVAILABILITY OF ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K

On the written request of any stockholder of record as of April 1, 2016, we will furnish, without charge, a copy of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015, including financial statements, schedules, and lists of exhibits, and any

particular exhibit specifically requested. Requests should be addressed to Monica Chen, Assistant Secretary, Cathay General Bancorp, 9650 Flair Drive, El Monte, California 91731, telephone number, (626) 279-3286.

48

STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR 2017 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

Under Bancorp s bylaws, nominations for election to the Board and proposals for other business to be transacted by Bancorp stockholders at an annual meeting of stockholders may be made by a stockholder (as distinct from Bancorp) only if the stockholder is entitled to vote at the meeting and has given Bancorp s Secretary timely written notice that complies with the notice requirements of the bylaws. In addition, business other than a nomination for election to the Board must be a proper matter for action under Delaware law and Bancorp s certificate of incorporation and bylaws. Among other requirements, the written notice must be delivered to or received by Bancorp s Secretary at Bancorp s principal executive office located at 777 North Broadway, Los Angeles, California 90012, by no earlier than February 14, 2017, or later than March 16, 2017, based on the expected date of the scheduled annual meeting being May 15, 2017. However, if less than 70 days notice or prior public disclosure of the date of the scheduled annual meeting is given or made, the notice, to be timely, must be so delivered or received by the close of business on the 10th day following the earlier of the day on which notice of the date of the scheduled annual meeting was mailed or the day on which such public disclosure was made.

Separate and apart from the required notice described in the preceding paragraph, rules promulgated by the SEC under the Exchange Act entitle a stockholder in certain instances to require Bancorp to include that stockholder s proposal (but not that stockholder s nominees for director) in the proxy materials distributed by Bancorp for its next annual meeting of stockholders. Any stockholder of Bancorp who wishes to present a proposal for inclusion in Bancorp s 2017 proxy solicitation materials must: (i) set forth the proposal in writing; (ii) file it with Bancorp s Secretary on or before December 15, 2016, or if the date for the 2017 annual meeting is before April 16, 2017, or after June 15, 2017, then such stockholder must file it with Bancorp s Secretary at a reasonable time before the printing and mailing of the proxy statement for the 2017 annual meeting of stockholders; and (iii) meet the other requirements for inclusion contained in the SEC s stockholder proposal rules.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Lisa L. Kim

Secretary

Los Angeles, California

April 14, 2016

49

777 NORTH BROADWAY

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012