WASTE CONNECTIONS INC/DE Form 10-K March 12, 2004

FORM 10-K SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

[X] ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003

OR

[_] TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to ____

Commission File No. 0-28652

WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC. (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware 94-3283464 (State or other jurisdiction (I.R.S. Employer Identification) of incorporation or organization)

35 Iron Point Circle
Suite 200
Folsom, California95630(Address of principal executive offices)(Zip Code)

(916) 608-8200 (Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: Common Stock, par value \$.01 per share (Title of Class)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes [X] No [_]

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. []

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act).

Yes [X] No [_]

Aggregate market value of voting stock held by non-affiliates of registrant as of June 30, 2003: \$973,781,357

Number of shares of Common Stock outstanding as of February 29, 2004: 28,925,102

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the registrant's definitive Proxy Statement for the 2004 Annual Meeting of Stockholders are incorporated by reference into Part III hereof.

WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC. ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ITEM NO.		PAGE
PART I		
1.	BUSINESS	1
2.	PROPERTIES	20
3.	LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	20
4.	SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY	
	HOLDERS	21
PART II		
5.	MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND	
	RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS	24
6.	SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA	25
7.	MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL	
	CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	27
7A.	QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURE	
	ABOUT MARKET RISK	39
8.	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA	40
9.	CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON	
	ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE	40
9A.	CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	41
PART III		
10.	DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT	77
11, 12, 13, 14.		77
PART IV		
15.	EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K	77
SIGNATURES		78
SCHEDULE II - VALUA	TION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS	79
EXHIBIT INDEX		80

PART I

Forward Looking Statements

Certain information contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, including, without limitation, information appearing under Item 1, "Business,"

and Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," includes statements that are forward-looking in nature. These statements can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as "believes", "expects", "may", "will", "should" or "anticipates" or the negative thereof or comparable terminology, or by discussions of strategy. Our business and operations are subject to a variety of risks and uncertainties and, consequently, actual results may materially differ from those projected by any forward-looking statements in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Factors that could cause actual results to differ from those projected include, but are not limited to: (1) competition or unfavorable economic or industry conditions could lead to a decrease in demand for our services and/or to a decline in prices we realize for our services, (2) we depend in part on acquisitions for growth; we may be required to pay higher prices for acquisitions, and we may experience difficulty in integrating and deriving synergies from acquisitions, or finding acquisition targets suitable to our growth strategy, (3) we may not always have access to the additional capital that we require to execute our growth strategy or our cost of capital may increase, (4) governmental regulations may require increased capital expenditures or otherwise affect our business, (5) businesses that we acquire could have undiscovered liabilities, (6) large, long-term collection contracts on which we depend may not be replaced when they expire or are terminated, (7) we are highly dependent on the services of our senior management, who would be difficult or impossible to replace, and (8) we have a substantial amount of goodwill; if indicators of impairment arise, a write-down of our goodwill may be required, which could materially impair our net worth. These risks and uncertainties, as well as others, are discussed in greater detail in our other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission. We make no commitment to revise or update any forward-looking statement to reflect events or circumstances after the date any such statement is made.

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

General

Waste Connections, Inc., a Delaware corporation organized in 1997, is an integrated solid waste services company that provides solid waste collection, transfer, disposal and recycling services in mostly secondary markets in the Western and Southern U.S. As of December 31, 2003, we served more than one million commercial, residential and industrial customers from operations in 23 states: Alabama, Arizona, California, Colorado, Georgia, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Minnesota, Mississippi, Montana, Nebraska, New Mexico, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming. As of that date, we owned or operated a network of 101 collection operations, 33 transfer stations, 34 municipal solid waste landfills, one construction and demolition landfill and 26 recycling operations. We also owned one municipal solid waste landfill site that is permitted for operation, but not constructed as of December 31, 2003.

Our growth strategy focuses on expanding into secondary markets located primarily in the Western and Southern U.S. that have strong demographic growth trends and where competitive barriers to entry can be developed. We target markets where we can either (1) provide waste collection services under franchises, exclusive contracts or other arrangements, or (2) garner a leading market position and provide vertically integrated collection and disposal services. We generally seek to avoid operating in highly competitive, larger urban markets. We are a leading provider of solid waste services in most of our markets, and more than 50% of our revenues are derived from market areas where we have franchise or exclusive rights to provide our services.

We have focused on secondary markets mostly in the Western and Southern U.S. because we believe that in those areas: (1) there is a greater opportunity to enter into exclusive arrangements; (2) there is less competition from larger solid waste services companies; (3) strong economic and population growth rates

are projected; and (4) there remain a number of independent solid waste services companies suitable for acquisition.

We have developed a two-pronged business strategy tailored to the competitive and regulatory factors that affect our markets:

- Control the Waste Stream. In markets where waste collection services are provided under exclusive arrangements, or where waste disposal is municipally funded or available at multiple municipal sources, we believe that controlling the waste stream by providing collection services is often more important to our growth and profitability than owning or operating

1

landfills. In addition, contracts in some western U.S. markets dictate the disposal facility to be used. The large size of many western states increases the cost of interstate and long haul disposal, heightening the effects of regulations that direct waste disposal, which may make it more difficult for a landfill to obtain the disposal volume necessary to operate profitably. In markets with these characteristics, we believe that landfill ownership or vertical integration is not as critical to our success.

Provide Vertically Integrated Services. In markets where we believe that owning landfills is a strategic element to a collection operation because of competitive and regulatory factors, we generally focus on providing integrated services, from collection through disposal of solid waste in landfills that we own or operate. In December 2003, approximately 69% of waste we collected in our markets was disposed of at landfills we owned or operated.

Our senior management team has extensive experience in acquiring, integrating and operating solid waste services businesses, and we intend to continue to pursue an acquisition-based growth strategy. As of December 31, 2003, we had acquired 167 businesses since our inception in September 1997. We anticipate that a substantial part of our future growth will come from acquiring additional solid waste collection, transfer and disposal businesses and, therefore, we expect additional acquisitions could continue to affect period-to-period comparisons of our operating results.

Unless otherwise noted, all descriptions of our business in this Annual Report on Form 10-K are as of December 31, 2003.

Industry Background

We estimate that the U.S. solid waste services industry generated revenues of approximately \$40 billion in 2003. The solid waste services industry has undergone significant consolidation and integration since 1990. We believe that the following factors have primarily caused this consolidation and integration:

- Increased Regulations. Industry regulations implemented in the early 1990s caused operating and capital costs to rise. Many smaller industry participants have found these costs difficult to bear and have closed their operations or sold them to larger operators. In addition, Subtitle D regulations require more stringent engineering of solid waste landfills and mandate liner systems, leachate collection, treatment and monitoring systems and gas collection and monitoring systems. These ongoing costs are combined with increased financial reserve requirements for solid waste landfill operators relating to closure and post-closure monitoring. As a result, the number of solid waste landfills is declining while the average size is increasing.
- Increased Integration of Collection and Disposal Operations. In certain

markets, competitive pressures are forcing operators to become more efficient by establishing an integrated network of solid waste collection operations and transfer stations, through which they secure solid waste streams for disposal. Operators have adopted a variety of disposal strategies, including owning landfills, establishing strategic relationships to secure access to landfills and capture significant waste stream volumes to gain leverage in negotiating lower landfill fees, and securing long-term, most-favored-pricing contracts with high capacity landfills.

- Pursuit of Economies of Scale. Larger operators achieve economies of scale by vertically integrating their operations or by spreading their facility, asset and management infrastructure over larger volumes. Larger solid waste collection and disposal companies have become more cost-effective and competitive by controlling a larger waste stream and by gaining access to significant financial resources to make acquisitions.

In the Western U.S., we believe these factors did not accelerate consolidation as much as in other regions because waste collection services in these markets are provided largely under three types of contractual arrangements which limit the impact of factors that have driven consolidation elsewhere in the United States. These arrangements include certificates or permits, franchise agreements and municipal contracts. Certificates of public convenience and necessity or permits, such as governmental certificates awarded to solid waste collection service providers in unincorporated areas and electing municipalities in Washington state by the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission (the "WUTC"), typically grant the holder the exclusive and perpetual right to provide specific residential, commercial and/or industrial waste services in a defined territory at specified rates. See "G certificates" on page 8. Franchise agreements typically provide an exclusive service period of five to ten years or longer and specify the service territory, a broad range of services to be provided, and rates for the services. They also often give the service provider a right of first refusal to extend the term of the agreement. Municipal contracts typically provide a shorter service period and a more limited scope of services than franchise agreements and generally require competitive bidding at the end of the contract term. Unless customers within the areas covered by

2

certain governmental certificates, franchise agreements and municipal contracts elect not to receive any waste collection services, they are required to pay collection fees to the company providing these services in their area. These exclusive rights and contractual arrangements create barriers to entry that can be overcome mostly by the acquisition of the company with such exclusive rights or contractual arrangements.

The solid waste services industry remains very regional in nature with acquisition opportunities available in selected markets. Due to the prevalence of exclusive arrangements and the reduced pace of consolidation, we believe the Western markets contain the largest and most attractive number of acquisition opportunities. We expect the consolidation trend in the solid waste industry to continue, but at a slowing pace. Some of the remaining independent landfill and collection operators lack the capital resources, management skills and technical expertise necessary to comply with stringent environmental and other governmental regulations and to compete with larger, more efficient, integrated operators. In addition, many of the remaining independent operators may wish to sell their businesses to achieve liquidity in their personal finances or as part of their estate planning.

GROWTH STRATEGY

- Internal Growth. To generate continued internal revenue growth, we focus on

increasing market penetration in our current and adjacent markets, soliciting new commercial, industrial, and residential customers in markets where such customers may elect whether or not to receive waste collection services, marketing upgraded or additional services (such as compaction or automated collection) to existing customers and, where appropriate, raising prices. Where possible, we intend to leverage our franchise-based platforms to expand our customer base beyond our exclusive market territories. As customers are added in existing markets, our revenue per routed truck increases, which generally increases our collection efficiencies and profitability. In markets in which we have exclusive contracts, franchises and certificates, we expect internal volume growth generally to track population and business growth.

- Exclusive Arrangements. We derive a significant portion of our revenues from arrangements, including franchise agreements, municipal contracts and governmental certificates, under which we are the exclusive service provider in a specified market. We intend to devote significant resources to securing additional franchise agreements and municipal contracts through competitive bidding and additional governmental certificates by acquiring other companies. In bidding for franchises and municipal contracts and evaluating acquisition candidates holding governmental certificates, our management team draws on its experience in the waste industry and its knowledge of local service areas in existing and target markets. Our district managers maintain relationships with local governmental officials within their service areas, and sales representatives may be assigned to cover specific municipalities. These personnel focus on maintaining, renewing and renegotiating existing franchise agreements and municipal contracts and on securing additional agreements and contracts while maintaining acceptable financial returns.
- Expansion Through Acquisitions. We intend to expand the scope of our operations by continuing to acquire solid waste operations in new markets and in existing or adjacent markets that are combined with or "tucked in" to our existing operations. We focus our acquisition efforts on markets that we believe provide significant growth opportunities for a well-capitalized market entrant and where we can create economic and operational barriers to entry by new competitors. We believe that our experienced management, decentralized operating strategy, financial strength, size and public company status make us an attractive buyer to certain solid waste collection and disposal acquisition candidates. We have developed an acquisition discipline based on a set of financial, market and management criteria to evaluate opportunities. Once an acquisition is closed, we seek to integrate it and to minimize disruption to the ongoing operations of both Waste Connections and the acquired business.

In new markets, we often use an initial acquisition as an operating base and seek to strengthen the acquired operation's presence in that market by providing additional services, adding new customers and making "tuck-in" acquisitions. We next seek to broaden our regional presence by adding additional operations in markets adjacent to the new location. We believe that many suitable "tuck-in" acquisition opportunities exist within our current and targeted market areas that provide us with opportunities to increase our market share and route density.

OPERATING STRATEGY

 Decentralized Operations. We manage our operations on a decentralized basis. This places decision-making authority close to the customer, enabling us to identify customers' needs quickly and to address those needs in a cost-effective manner. We believe that decentralization provides a low-overhead, highly efficient operational structure that allows us to expand into geographically contiguous markets and operate in relatively small communities that larger competitors may not find attractive. We believe that this structure gives us a strategic competitive advantage, given the relatively rural nature of much of the Western and Southern U.S., and makes us an attractive buyer to many potential acquisition candidates.

We currently deliver our services from approximately 118 operating locations grouped into the following four regions: Pacific Northwest, Western, Central and Eastern. We organized our business into these four regions on the basis of their respective geographic characteristics, interstate waste flow, revenue base, employee base, regulatory structure and acquisition opportunities. Each region has a regional vice president and a regional controller, reporting directly to the corporate management. They are responsible for operations and accounting in their respective region and supervise a regional staff.

Each operating location has a district manager with autonomous service and decision-making authority for their operations and who is responsible for maintaining service quality, promoting safety, implementing marketing programs, and overseeing day-to-day operations, including contract administration. District managers also help identify acquisition candidates and are responsible for integrating acquired businesses into our operations and obtaining the permits and other governmental approvals required for us to operate them.

Operating Enhancements. We develop company-wide operating standards, which are tailored for each of our markets based on industry standards and local conditions. Upon closing an acquisition, we implement cost controls and employee training and safety procedures, and establish a sales and marketing plan for each market. We use a wide area information system network, implement financial controls, and consolidate certain accounting, personnel and customer service functions. While regional and district management operate with a high degree of autonomy, our senior officers monitor regional and district operations and require adherence to our accounting, purchasing, marketing and internal control policies, particularly with respect to financial matters. Our executive officers regularly review the performance of district managers and operations. We believe that by establishing operating standards, closely monitoring performance and streamlining certain administrative functions, we can improve the profitability of existing and newly acquired operations.

If we can internalize the waste stream of acquired operations, we can further increase operating efficiencies and improve capital utilization. Where not restricted by exclusive agreements, contracts, permits or certificates, we also solicit new commercial, industrial and residential customers in areas within and surrounding the markets served by acquired collection operations, to further improve economies of scale and increase collection volumes.

SERVICES

Commercial, Industrial and Residential Collection Services

We serve more than one million commercial, industrial and residential customers from operations in 23 states. Our services are generally provided under one of the following arrangements: (1) governmental certificates; (2) exclusive franchise agreements; (3) exclusive municipal contracts; (4) commercial and industrial service agreements; (5) residential subscriptions; and (6) residential contracts.

Governmental certificates, exclusive franchise agreements and exclusive municipal contracts grant us rights to provide services within specified areas at established rates. We currently have in excess of 650 such exclusive arrangements, which vary in both size and duration. Governmental certificates are unique to the State of Washington and are generally perpetual in duration. Generally, franchise agreements with government entities tend to be larger and of longer duration than municipal contracts. We continue to provide service under some municipal contracts that have expired, while new agreements are being negotiated. We do not expect that the loss of any current contracts in negotiation for renewal or contracts likely to terminate in 2004 would have a material adverse affect on our revenues or cash flows. No individual contract or customer accounted for more than 5% of our total revenues for the year ended December 31, 2003.

We provide commercial and industrial services, other than those we perform under exclusive arrangements, under service agreements generally ranging from one to three years. We determine fees under these agreements by such factors as collection frequency, level of service, route density, the type, volume and weight of the waste collected, type of equipment and containers furnished, the

4

distance to the disposal or processing facility, the cost of disposal or processing and prices charged in our markets for similar services. Collection of larger volumes associated with commercial and industrial waste streams generally help improve our operating efficiencies, and consolidation of these volumes allows us to negotiate more favorable disposal prices. Our commercial and industrial customers use portable containers for storage, enabling us to service many customers with fewer collection vehicles. Commercial and industrial collection vehicles normally require one operator. We provide one to ten cubic yard containers to commercial customers, 10 to 50 cubic yard containers to industrial customers, and 30 to 96 gallon carts to residential customers. For an additional fee, we install on the premises of large volume customers stationary compactors that compact waste prior to collection.

We provide residential waste services, other than those we perform under exclusive arrangements, under contracts with homeowners' associations, apartment owners or mobile home park operators, or on a subscription basis with individual households. We set base residential fees on a contract basis primarily based on route density, the frequency and level of service, the distance to the disposal or processing facility, weight and type of waste collected, type of equipment and containers furnished, the cost of disposal or processing and prices charged by competitors in that market for similar services. Collection fees are paid either by the municipalities from tax revenues or directly by the residents receiving the services.

Landfills

Currently, solid waste landfills in the United States must be designed, permitted, operated, closed and maintained after closure in compliance with federal, state and local regulations pursuant to Subtitle D of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976, as amended ("RCRA"). Operating a solid waste landfill involves excavating, constructing liners and final caps, continually spreading and compacting waste, covering waste with earth or other inert material at least once a day to maintain sanitary conditions, using the airspace effectively and preparing the site so it can ultimately be used for other purposes.

We seek to identify solid waste landfill acquisition candidates to achieve vertical integration in markets where the economic and regulatory environment makes landfill acquisitions attractive. In some markets, acquiring landfills provides opportunities to vertically integrate our collection, transfer and

disposal operations while improving operating margins. When we have vertical integration, we eliminate third party disposal costs and generally are able to realize higher margins and stronger operating cash flows. The fees charged at disposal facilities, which are known as "tipping fees," are based on market factors and take into account the type and weight or volume of solid waste deposited and the type and size of the vehicles used to transport waste. We evaluate landfill acquisition candidates by determining, among other factors, whether access to the landfill is economically feasible from our existing market areas either directly or through transfer stations, the amount and disposal cost of waste we currently dispose of at a facility owned by a third party that could be diverted to the landfill, the expected life of the landfill, the potential for expanding the landfill.

Our municipal solid waste landfill facilities consisted of the following at December 31, 2003:

Owned and operated	landfills	20
Operated landfills	under limited-term operating agreements	9
Operated landfills	under life-of-site operating agreements	5
	-	
		34
	=	

We also own one municipal solid waste landfill site that is permitted for operation, but not constructed as of December 31, 2003. Currently, we own landfills in California, Colorado, Illinois, Kansas, Minnesota, Nebraska, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Oregon, Tennessee and Washington. In addition, we operate, but do not own, landfills in California, Colorado, Georgia, Mississippi, Nebraska and New Mexico. With the exception of one landfill located in Tennessee that only accepts construction and demolition waste, all landfills that we own or operate are municipal solid waste landfills. In January 2004, we also acquired a company operating a construction and demolition landfill in Kentucky. For landfill operating agreements, the owner of the property, generally a municipality, usually owns the permit and we operate the landfill for a contracted term, which may be the life of the landfill. Under our operating agreements for which the contracted term is not the life of the landfill, the property owner is generally responsible for closure and post-closure obligations. We are operating at reduced disposal volumes at one of our operated landfills under a limited-term operating agreement, for which we had no closure or post-closure obligations. The limited term operating agreement for another of our landfills is set to expire in July 2004 from which we generate approximately \$0.7 million of annualized revenues. The loss of these two limited-term

5

operating agreements is not expected to have a material financial impact. We are responsible for all closure and post-closure liabilities at four of our five operated landfills for which we have life-of-site operating agreements.

Based on remaining permitted capacity as of December 31, 2003, and projected annual disposal volumes, the average remaining landfill life for our owned and operated landfills and landfills operated, but not owned, under life-of-site operating agreements, is estimated to be approximately 49 years. Many of our existing landfills have the potential for expanded disposal capacity beyond the amount currently permitted. We monitor the available permitted in-place disposal capacity of our landfills on an ongoing basis and evaluate whether to seek to expand this capacity. In making this evaluation, we consider various factors, including the volume of waste projected to be disposed of at the landfill, the size of the unpermitted acreage included in the landfill, the likelihood that we will be able to obtain the necessary approvals and permits required for the expansion, and the costs that would be involved in developing

the additional capacity. We also regularly consider whether it is advisable, in light of changing market conditions and/or regulatory requirements, to seek to expand or change the permitted waste streams or to seek other permit modifications. We are currently seeking to expand permitted capacity at 11 of our landfills for which we consider expansions to be probable. Although we cannot be certain that all future expansions will be permitted as designed, the average remaining landfill life for our owned and operated landfills and landfills operated, but not owned, under life-of-site operating agreements is estimated to be approximately 63 years when considering remaining permitted capacity, probable expansion capacity and projected annual disposal volume. The operating contracts for which the contracted term is not the life of the landfill have expiration dates from 2004 to 2013. The following table reflects estimated landfills and landfills operated, but not owned, under life-of-site operating agreements (in thousands):

		2002		
	Permitted	Probable Expansion	Total	Permitted
Balance, beginning of year Acquisitions and new life-of-site	271,139	21,890	293,029	290,942
operating agreements	16,195		16,195	9,561
New expansions pursued		34,901	34,901	
Permits granted	6,133	(6,133)		550
Airspace consumed	(5,454)		(5,454)	(5,894)
Changes in engineering estimates	2,929	(3,116)	(187)	(6,367)
Balance, end of year	290,942	47,542	338,484	288,792

The estimated remaining operating lives for our owned and operated landfills and landfills operated, but not owned, under life-of-site operating agreements, based on remaining permitted and probable expansion capacity and projected annual disposal volume, in years, as of December 31, 2002, was as follows:

	0 to 10	11 to 20	21 to 40	41 to 50	51 +	Т
Owned and operated						
landfills	2	2	4	1	11	
Operated landfills under						
life-of-site operating						
agreements				1	2	
	2	2	4	2	13	
						===

6

The estimated remaining operating lives for our owned and operated landfills and landfills operated, but not owned, under life-of-site operating agreements, based on remaining permitted and probable expansion capacity and projected annual disposal volume, in years, as of December 31, 2003, was as follows:

	0 to 10	11 to 20	21 to 40	41 to 50	51 +	T
Owned and operated landfills Operated landfills under life-of-site operating	2	2	3	4	9	
agreements			2	1	2	
	2	2	5	5	11	

The disposal tonnage that we received in 2002 and 2003 at all of our municipal solid waste landfills is shown below (tons in thousands):

	2002		200	3
	Number of Sites	Total Tons	Number of Sites	Total Tons
Owned and operated				
landfills	20	5,057	20	5,335
Operated landfills under limited-term operating agreements	7	610	9	896
Operated landfills under				
life-of-site operating agreements	3	397	5	559
	30	6,064	34	6,790

Transfer Station Services

We have an active program to acquire, develop, own and operate transfer stations in markets proximate to our collection operations. Transfer stations extend our direct-haul reach and link disparate collection operations with disposal facilities that we own, operate or have under contract. We owned or operated 33 transfer stations at December 31, 2003. Currently, we own transfer stations in Colorado, Georgia, Kansas, Montana, Nebraska, Oklahoma, Oregon, Tennessee and Washington. In addition, we operate, but do not own, transfer stations in California, Kentucky, Nebraska, and Washington. Transfer stations receive, compact, and load solid waste onto larger vehicles to be transported to landfills. We believe that transfer stations benefit us by:

- concentrating the waste stream from a wider area, which increases the volume of disposal at our landfill facilities and gives us greater leverage in negotiating for more favorable disposal rates at other landfills;
- improving utilization of collection personnel and equipment; and
- building relationships with municipalities and private operators that deliver waste, which can lead to additional growth opportunities.

Recycling Services

We offer municipal, commercial, industrial and residential customers

recycling services for a variety of recyclable materials, including cardboard, office paper, plastic containers, glass bottles and ferrous and aluminum metals. We own or operate 26 recycling processing operations and sell other collected recyclable materials to third parties for processing before resale. We often

share the profits from our resale of recycled materials with other parties to our recycling contracts. For example, certain of our municipal recycling contracts in Washington, negotiated before we acquired those businesses, specify certain benchmark resale prices for recycled commodities. To the extent the prices we actually receive for the processed recycled commodities collected under those contracts exceed the prices specified in the contracts, we share the excess with the municipality, after recovering any previous shortfalls resulting from actual market prices falling below the prices specified in the contracts. To reduce our exposure to commodity price volatility and risk with respect to recycled materials, we have adopted a pricing strategy of charging collection and processing fees for recycling volume collected from third parties. We believe that recycling will continue to be an important component of local and state solid waste management plans due to the public's increasing environmental awareness and expanding regulations that mandate or encourage recycling.

G CERTIFICATES

A substantial portion of our Washington collection business is performed under governmental certificates (referred to as "G certificates") awarded by the WUTC. G certificates apply only to unincorporated areas of Washington and municipalities that have elected to have their solid waste collection overseen by the WUTC. G certificates generally grant the holder the exclusive and perpetual right to provide certain solid waste collection and transportation services in a specified territory. The WUTC has repeatedly determined that, in enacting the statute authorizing G certificates, the Washington legislature intended to favor grants of exclusive, rather than overlapping, service rights for conventional solid waste services. Accordingly, most G certificates currently grant exclusive solid waste collection and transportation rights for conventional solid waste services in specified territories.

SALES AND MARKETING

In many of our existing markets, we provide waste collection, transfer and disposal services to municipalities and governmental authorities under exclusive arrangements, and, therefore, do not contract directly with individual customers. In addition, because we have grown primarily through acquisitions, we have generally assumed existing franchise agreements, municipal contracts and G certificates from the acquired companies, rather than obtaining new contracts. For these reasons, our sales and marketing efforts to date have been narrowly focused. We have added sales and marketing personnel as necessary to extend or renew existing contracts, solicit new contracts or customers in markets where we are not the exclusive provider of solid waste services, expand our presence into areas adjacent to or contiguous with our existing markets, and market additional services to existing customers.

COMPETITION

The solid waste services industry is highly competitive and requires substantial labor and capital resources. The industry presently includes three large national waste companies: Allied Waste Industries, Inc., Republic Services, Inc., and Waste Management, Inc. Casella Waste Systems, Inc., and Waste Industries USA, Inc. are two other public companies with a regional focus and annual revenues in excess of \$200 million. Certain of the markets in which we compete or will likely compete are served by one or more large, national solid waste companies, as well as by numerous privately held regional and local

solid waste companies of varying sizes and resources, some of which have accumulated substantial goodwill in their markets. We also compete with operators of alternative disposal facilities, including incinerators, and with counties, municipalities, and solid waste districts that maintain their own waste collection and disposal operations. Public sector operators may have financial advantages over us, because of their access to user fees and similar charges, tax revenues and tax-exempt financing.

We compete for collection, transfer and disposal volume based primarily on the price and quality of our services. From time to time, competitors may reduce the price of their services in an effort to expand their market shares or service areas or to win competitively bid municipal contracts. These practices may cause us to reduce the price of our services or, if we elect not to do so, to lose business. We provide a substantial portion of our residential, commercial and industrial collection services under exclusive franchise and municipal contracts and certificates, some of which are subject to periodic competitive bidding. We provide the balance of our services under subscription agreements with individual households and one to three year service contracts with commercial and industrial customers.

The solid waste collection and disposal industry has undergone significant consolidation, and we encounter competition in our efforts to acquire landfills, transfer and collection operations. Intense competition exists not only for collection, transfer and disposal volume, but also for remaining acquisition candidates. We generally compete for acquisition candidates with publicly owned

8

regional and large national waste management companies. Competition in the disposal industry is also affected by the increasing national emphasis on recycling and other waste reduction programs, which may reduce the volume of waste deposited in landfills. Accordingly, it may become uneconomical for us to make further acquisitions or we may be unable to locate or acquire suitable acquisition candidates at price levels and on terms and conditions that we consider appropriate, particularly in markets we do not already serve.

REGULATION

Introduction

Our landfill operations and non-landfill operations, including waste transportation, transfer stations, vehicle maintenance shops and fueling facilities, are all subject to extensive and evolving federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations, the enforcement of which has become increasingly stringent. The environmental regulations that affect us are administered by the EPA and other federal, state and local environmental, zoning, health and safety agencies. The WUTC regulates the portion of our collection business in Washington performed under G certificates, which generally grant us perpetual and exclusive collection rights in certain areas. We are currently in substantial compliance with applicable federal, state and local environmental laws, permits, orders and regulations. We do not currently anticipate any material costs necessary to bring our operations into environmental compliance (although there can be no assurance in this regard). We attempt to anticipate future regulatory requirements and to plan in advance as necessary to comply with them.

The principal federal, state and local statutes and regulations that apply to our operations are described below. All of the federal statutes described below contain provisions that authorize, under certain circumstances, lawsuits by private citizens to enforce the provisions of the statutes. In addition to penalties, some of those statutes authorize an award of attorneys' fees to parties that successfully bring such an action. Enforcement actions under these

statutes may include both civil and criminal penalties, as well as injunctive relief in some instances.

The Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976 ("RCRA")

RCRA regulates the generation, treatment, storage, handling, transportation and disposal of solid waste and requires states to develop programs to ensure the safe disposal of solid waste. RCRA divides solid waste into two groups, hazardous and nonhazardous. Wastes are generally classified as hazardous if they either (i) are specifically included on a list of hazardous wastes, or (ii) exhibit certain characteristics defined as hazardous. Household wastes are specifically designated as nonhazardous. Wastes classified as hazardous under RCRA are subject to much stricter regulation than wastes classified as nonhazardous, and businesses that deal with hazardous waste are subject to regulatory obligations in addition to those imposed on handlers of nonhazardous waste. From the date of inception through December 31, 2003, we did not, to our knowledge, transport hazardous wastes under circumstances that would subject us to hazardous waste regulations under RCRA. Some of our ancillary operations (e.g., vehicle maintenance operations) may generate hazardous wastes. We manage these wastes in substantial compliance with applicable laws.

In October 1991, the Environmental Protection Agency adopted the Subtitle D Regulations governing solid waste landfills. The Subtitle D Regulations, which generally became effective in October 1993, include location restrictions, facility design standards, operating criteria, closure and post-closure requirements, financial assurance requirements, groundwater monitoring requirements, groundwater remediation standards and corrective action requirements. In addition, the Subtitle D Regulations require that new landfill sites meet more stringent liner design criteria (typically, composite soil and synthetic liners or two or more synthetic liners) intended to keep leachate out of groundwater and have extensive collection systems to carry away leachate for treatment prior to disposal. Groundwater monitoring wells must also be installed at virtually all landfills to monitor groundwater quality and, indirectly, the effectiveness of the leachate collection system. The Subtitle D Regulations also require, where certain regulatory thresholds are exceeded, that facility owners or operators control emissions of methane gas generated at landfills in a manner intended to protect human health and the environment. Each state is required to revise its landfill regulations to meet these requirements or such requirements will be automatically imposed by the EPA on landfill owners and operators in that state. Each state is also required to adopt and implement a permit program or other appropriate system to ensure that landfills in the state comply with the Subtitle D Regulations. Various states in which we operate or in which we may operate in the future have adopted regulations or programs as stringent as, or more stringent than, the Subtitle D Regulations.

9

RCRA also regulates underground storage of petroleum and other regulated materials. RCRA requires registration, compliance with technical standards for tanks, release detection and reporting, and corrective action, among other things. Certain of our facilities and operations are subject to these requirements.

The Federal Water Pollution Control Act of 1972 (the "Clean Water Act")

The Clean Water Act regulates the discharge of pollutants from a variety of sources, including solid waste disposal sites and transfer stations, into waters of the United States. If run-off from our owned or operated transfer stations or run-off or collected leachate from our owned or operated landfills is discharged into streams, rivers or other surface waters, the Clean Water Act would require us to apply for and obtain a discharge permit, conduct sampling and monitoring and, under certain circumstances, reduce the quantity of pollutants in such

discharge. Also, virtually all landfills are required to comply with the EPA's storm water regulations issued in November 1990, which are designed to prevent contaminated landfill storm water runoff from flowing into surface waters. We believe that our facilities comply in all material respects with the Clean Water Act requirements. Various states in which we operate or in which we may operate in the future have been delegated authority to implement the Clean Water Act permitting requirements, and some of these states have adopted regulations that are more stringent than the federal requirements. For example, states often require permits for discharges to ground water as well as surface water.

The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 ("CERCLA")

CERCLA established a regulatory and remedial program intended to provide for the investigation and cleanup of facilities where or from which a release of any hazardous substance into the environment has occurred or is threatened. CERCLA's primary mechanism for remedying such problems is to impose strict joint and several liability for cleanup of facilities on current owners and operators of the site, former owners and operators of the site at the time of the disposal of the hazardous substances, any person who arranges for the transportation, disposal or treatment of the hazardous substances, and the transporters who select the disposal and treatment facilities. CERCLA also imposes liability for the cost of evaluating and remedying any damage to natural resources. The costs of CERCLA investigation and cleanup can be very substantial. Liability under CERCLA does not depend on the existence or disposal of "hazardous waste" as defined by RCRA; it can also be based on the existence of even very small amounts of the more than 700 "hazardous substances" listed by the EPA, many of which can be found in household waste. In addition, the definition of "hazardous substances" in CERCLA incorporates substances designated as hazardous or toxic under the federal Clean Water Act, Clear Air Act and Toxic Substances Control Act. If we were found to be a responsible party for a CERCLA cleanup, the enforcing agency could hold us, or any other generator, transporter or the owner or operator of the contaminated facility, responsible for all investigative and remedial costs, even if others were also liable. CERCLA also authorizes the imposition of a lien in favor of the United States on all real property subject to, or affected by, a remedial action for all costs for which a party is liable. CERCLA gives a responsible party the right to bring a contribution action against other responsible parties for their allocable shares of investigative and remedial costs. Our ability to obtain reimbursement from others for their allocable shares of such costs would be limited by our ability to find other responsible parties and prove the extent of their responsibility and by the financial resources of such other parties. Various state laws also impose liability for investigation, cleanup and other damages associated with hazardous substance releases.

The Clean Air Act

The Clean Air Act generally, through state implementation of federal requirements, regulates emissions of air pollutants from certain landfills based on factors such as the date of the landfill construction and tons per year of emissions of regulated pollutants. Larger landfills and landfills located in areas where the ambient air does not meet certain requirements of the Clean Air Act may be subject to even more extensive air pollution controls and emission limitations. In addition, the EPA has issued standards regulating the disposal of asbestos-containing materials. Air permits may be required to construct gas collection and flaring systems, and operating permits may be required, depending on the potential air emissions. State air regulatory programs may implement the federal requirements but may impose additional restrictions. For example, some state air programs uniquely regulate odor and the emission of toxic air pollutants.

The Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (the "OSH Act")

The OSH Act is administered by the Occupational Safety and Health Administration ("OSHA"), and in many states by state agencies whose programs have been approved by OSHA. The OSH Act establishes employer responsibilities

10

for worker health and safety, including the obligation to maintain a workplace free of recognized hazards likely to cause death or serious injury, to comply with adopted worker protection standards, to maintain certain records, to provide workers with required disclosures and to implement certain health and safety training programs. Various OSHA standards may apply to our operations, including standards concerning notices of hazards, safety in excavation and demolition work, the handling of asbestos and asbestos-containing materials, and worker training and emergency response programs.

Flow Control/Interstate Waste Restrictions

Certain permits and approvals, as well as certain state and local regulations, may limit a landfill or transfer station to accepting waste that originates from specified geographic areas, restrict the importation of out-of-state waste or wastes originating outside the local jurisdictions or otherwise discriminate against non-local waste. These restrictions, generally known as flow control restrictions, are controversial, and some courts have held that some flow control schemes violate constitutional limits on state or local regulation of interstate commerce. From time to time, federal legislation is proposed that would allow some local flow control restrictions. Although no such federal legislation has been enacted to date, if such federal legislation should be enacted in the future, states in which we own or operate landfills could limit or prohibit the importation of out-of-state waste or direct that wastes be handled at specified facilities. Such state actions could adversely affect our landfills. These restrictions could also result in higher disposal costs for our collection operations. If we were unable to pass such higher costs through to our customers, our business, financial condition and operating results could be adversely affected.

Certain state and local jurisdictions may also seek to enforce flow control restrictions through local legislation or contractually. In certain cases, we may elect not to challenge such restrictions. These restrictions could reduce the volume of waste going to landfills in certain areas, which may prevent us from operating our landfills at their full capacity and/or reduce the prices that we can charge for landfill disposal services. These restrictions may also result in higher disposal costs for our collection operations. If we were unable to pass such higher costs through to our customers, our business, financial condition and operating results could be adversely affected.

State and Local Regulation

Each state in which we now operate or may operate in the future has laws and regulations governing the generation, storage, treatment, handling, transportation and disposal of solid waste, occupational safety and health, water and air pollution and, in most cases, the siting, design, operation, maintenance, closure and post-closure maintenance of landfills and transfer stations. State and local permits and approval for these operations may be required and may be subject to periodic renewal, modification or revocation by the issuing agencies. In addition, many states have adopted statutes comparable to, and in some cases more stringent than, CERCLA. These statutes impose requirements for investigation and cleanup of contaminated sites and liability for costs and damages associated with such sites, and some provide for the imposition of liens on property owned by responsible parties. Furthermore, many municipalities also have ordinances, local laws and regulations affecting our operations. These include zoning and health measures that limit solid waste

management activities to specified sites or activities, flow control provisions that direct or restrict the delivery of solid wastes to specific facilities, laws that grant the right to establish franchises for collection services and then put such franchises out for bid, and bans or other restrictions on the movement of solid wastes into a municipality.

Permits or other land use approvals with respect to a landfill, as well as state or local laws and regulations, may specify the quantity of waste that may be accepted at the landfill during a given time period, and/or specify the types of waste that may be accepted at the landfill. Once an operating permit for a landfill is obtained, it must generally be renewed periodically.

There has been an increasing trend at the state and local level to mandate and encourage waste reduction at the source and waste recycling, and to prohibit or restrict the disposal in landfills of certain types of solid wastes, such as yard wastes, leaves and tires. The enactment of regulations reducing the volume and types of wastes available for transport to and disposal in landfills could prevent us from operating our facilities at their full capacity.

Some state and local authorities enforce certain federal laws in addition to state and local laws and regulations. For example, in some states, RCRA, the OSH Act, parts of the Clean Air Act and parts of the Clean Water Act are enforced by local or state authorities instead of by the EPA, and in some states those laws are enforced jointly by state or local and federal authorities.

11

Public Utility Regulation

In many states, public authorities regulate the rates that landfill operators may charge. The adoption of rate regulation or the reduction of current rates in states in which we own or operate landfills could adversely affect our business, financial condition and operating results.

Solid waste collection services in all unincorporated areas of Washington and in electing municipalities in Washington are provided under G certificates awarded by the WUTC. The WUTC also sets rates for regulated solid waste collection services in Washington.

RISK MANAGEMENT, INSURANCE AND FINANCIAL SURETY BONDS

Risk Management

We maintain environmental and other risk management programs appropriate for our business. Our environmental risk management program includes evaluating existing facilities and potential acquisitions for environmental law compliance. We do not presently expect environmental compliance costs to increase materially above current levels, but we cannot predict whether future acquisitions will cause such costs to increase. We also maintain a worker safety program that encourages safe practices in the workplace. Operating practices at our operations emphasize minimizing the possibility of environmental contamination and litigation. Our facilities comply in all material respects with applicable federal and state regulations.

Insurance

Beginning August 1, 2002, we significantly changed our insurance programs for automobile liability, property, general liability, workers' compensation and employer's liability. Prior to this date, each of these areas was third-party insured with a per incident deductible of up to \$5,000. Under our current insurance program, we carry per incident deductibles of \$2 million for automobile liability claims, \$1.5 million for workers' compensation and

employer's liability claims, and \$1 million for general liability claims.

During a 12 month period, our automobile liability policy will pay up to \$3 million in the aggregate per incident, after we pay the \$2 million deductible. Additionally, we have an umbrella policy with a third party insurance company for automobile liability, general liability and employer's liability that will pay, during a 12 month period, up to an aggregate of \$25 million of claims in excess of the \$5 million limit for automobile claims and in excess of the \$1 million limit for general liability and \$1.5 million limit for employer's liability claims. Since workers' compensation is a statutory coverage limited only by the various state jurisdictions, the umbrella coverage is not applicable. Also, our umbrella policy does not cover property claims, as the insurance limits for these claims are in accordance with the replacement values of the insured property.

In November 2002, we purchased environmental protection insurance under a three-year policy with limits of \$10 million per occurrence, with a \$20 million aggregate limit. This insurance covers all owned or operated landfills and transfer stations and all owned materials recycling operations. Under our policy, insurance is guaranteed for acquired and newly constructed facilities, but each addition to the policy is underwritten on a site-specific basis and the premium is set according to the conditions found at the site. Our policy provides insurance for new pollution conditions that originate after the commencement of our coverage. Pollution conditions existing prior to the commencement of our coverage, if found, could be excluded from coverage.

Financial Surety Bonds

We use financial surety bonds for a variety of corporate guarantees. The two largest uses of financial surety bonds are for municipal contract performance guarantees and landfill closure and post-closure financial assurance required under certain environmental regulations. Environmental regulations require demonstrated financial assurance to meet closure and post-closure requirements for landfills. In addition to surety bonds, these requirements may also be met through alternative financial assurance instruments, including insurance, letters of credit and restricted cash deposits.

In August 2003, we paid \$5.3 million to acquire a 9.9% interest in a company that, among other activities, issues financial surety bonds to secure landfill closure and post-closure obligations for companies operating in the solid waste sector.

12

EMPLOYEES

At December 31, 2003, we employed 3,541 full-time employees, including 409 employees classified as professionals or managers, 2,671 employees involved in collection, transfer, disposal and recycling operations, and 461 sales, clerical, data processing or other administrative employees.

Approximately 308 of our drivers, mechanics, equipment operators and sorters in various locations are employed under collective bargaining agreements primarily with the Teamsters Union. These employees are subject to labor agreements that are subject to renegotiation periodically.

We do not expect any significant disruption in our business in 2004 as a result of labor negotiations or employee strikes. We are not aware of any organizational efforts among our employees and we believe that our relations with our employees are good. Approximately 30 of our gate clerks and operators at one of our majority-owned subsidiaries in Pierce County, Washington are represented by the Teamsters and the Operating Engineers Unions. On August 31,

2003, the labor agreement with these employees expired, and the employees have continued to work under the terms of the expired labor agreement. We are currently negotiating a new labor agreement with these employees and have no reason to believe that we will not be successful in reaching a mutually acceptable agreement.

AVAILABLE INFORMATION

Our internet website address is http://wasteconnections.com. We make our reports on Forms 10-K, 10-Q and 8-K available on our website free of charge after we file them with the SEC.

```
13
```

RISK FACTORS

Outlined below are some of the risks that we face and that could affect our business and financial statements for 2004 and beyond. However, they are not the only risks that we face. There may be additional risks that we do not presently know of or that we currently believe are immaterial that could also impair our business.

RISKS RELATED TO OUR BUSINESS

Difficulties in making acquisitions, acquiring exclusive contracts and generating internal growth may cause our growth to be slower than expected.

Our growth strategy includes expanding through acquisitions, acquiring additional exclusive arrangements and generating internal growth. Most of our growth has been through acquisitions. From inception through December 31, 2003, we acquired 167 solid waste services related businesses. Although we have identified numerous acquisition candidates that we believe are suitable, we may not be able to acquire them at prices or on terms and conditions favorable to us. Our ability to grow also depends on several other factors, including:

- the availability of capital to support our growth;
- our ability to compete with existing and emerging companies;
- our ability to maintain profit margins in the face of competitive pressures;
- our ability to continue to recruit, train and retain qualified employees; and
- continued strong demand for our services.

Difficulties in any of these areas could hinder our growth.

Our growth and future financial performance depend significantly on our ability to integrate acquired businesses into our organization and operations.

Part of our strategy is to achieve economies of scale and operating efficiencies by growing through acquisitions. We may not achieve these goals unless we effectively combine the operations of acquired businesses with our existing operations. Our senior management team may not be able to integrate our completed and future acquisitions. Any difficulties we encounter in the integration process could interfere with our operations and reduce our operating margins.

Our acquisitions may not be successful, resulting in changes in strategy, operating losses or a loss on sale of the business acquired.

Even if we are able to make acquisitions on advantageous terms and are able to integrate them successfully into our operations and organization, some may not fulfill our strategy in a given market due to factors that we cannot control, such as market position or customer base. As a result, operating margins could be less than we originally anticipated when we made those acquisitions. We then may change our strategy with respect to that market or those businesses and decide to sell the operations at a loss, or keep those operations and recognize an impairment of goodwill and/or intangible assets.

We compete for acquisition candidates with other purchasers, some of which have greater financial resources than we do. These competitors may be able to offer more favorable acquisition terms, thus limiting our ability to grow through acquisition.

Other companies have adopted or will probably adopt our strategy of acquiring and consolidating regional and local businesses. We expect that increased consolidation in the solid waste services industry will increase competitive pressures. Increased competition for acquisition candidates may make fewer acquisition opportunities available to us, and may cause us to make acquisitions on less attractive terms, such as higher purchase prices. Acquisition costs may increase to levels beyond our financial capability or to levels that would adversely affect our operating results and financial condition.

14

Timing of acquisitions may cause fluctuations in our quarterly results, which may cause our stock price to decline.

We are not always able to control the timing of our acquisitions. Obtaining third-party consents and regulatory approvals, completing due diligence on the acquired businesses, and finalizing transaction terms and documents are not entirely within our control and may take longer than we anticipate, causing certain transactions to be delayed. Our inability to complete acquisitions in the time frames that we expect may cause our operating results to be less favorable than expected, which could cause our stock price to decline.

To maintain and manage our growth, we will need to expand our management information systems capabilities and our operational and financial systems and controls. We will also need to attract, train, motivate, retain and manage additional senior managers, technical professionals and other employees. Failure to do any of these things would restrict our ability to maintain and improve our profitability while continuing to grow.

We may be unable to compete effectively with governmental service providers and larger and better capitalized companies, which may result in reduced revenues and lower profits.

Our industry is highly competitive and requires substantial labor and capital resources. Some of the markets in which we compete or will likely compete are served by one or more large, national solid waste companies, as well as by regional and local solid waste companies of varying sizes and resources, some of which have accumulated substantial goodwill in their markets.

We also compete with counties, municipalities and solid waste districts that maintain their own waste collection and disposal operations. These operators may have financial advantages over us because of their access to user fees and similar charges, tax revenues and tax-exempt financing. Some of our competitors may also be better capitalized than we are, have greater name recognition than we do or be able to provide or be willing to bid their services at a lower price than we may be willing to offer.

We may lose contracts through competitive bidding, early termination or governmental action, which would cause our revenues to decline.

We derive a substantial portion of our revenue from services provided under exclusive municipal contracts, franchise agreements and governmental certificates. Many of these will be subject to competitive bidding at some time in the future. For example, we have approximately 47 municipal contracts, representing annual revenues of approximately \$4.2 million, that could expire in the next 12 months and have no renewal provisions. We also intend to bid on additional municipal contracts and franchise agreements. We may not be the successful bidder. In addition, some of our customers may terminate their contracts with us before the end of the contract term. Municipalities may annex unincorporated areas within counties where we provide collection services; as a result, our customers in annexed areas may be required to obtain services from competitors that have been franchised by the annexing municipalities to provide those services. Municipalities in which services are currently provided on a competitive basis may elect to franchise collection services. Unless we are awarded franchises by these municipalities, we will lose customers. Municipalities may decide to provide services to their residents themselves on an optional or mandatory basis, causing us to lose customers. Municipalities in Washington may by law annex unincorporated territory, which would likely remove such territory from the area covered by governmental certificates issued to us by the Washington Utility and Transportation Commission. Annexation would reduce the areas covered by our governmental certificates and subject more of our Washington operations to competitive bidding in the future. Moreover, legislative action could amend or repeal the laws governing WUTC regulation, which could harm our competitive position by subjecting more areas to competitive bidding. If we are not able to replace revenues from contracts lost through competitive bidding or early termination or from the renegotiation of existing contracts with other revenues within a reasonable time period, our revenues will decline.

We depend significantly on the services of the members of our senior management team, and the departure of any of those persons could cause our operating results to suffer.

Our success depends significantly on the continued individual and collective contributions of our senior and district management team. Key members of our management have entered into employment agreements, but we may not be able to enforce these agreements. The loss of the services of any member of our

15

senior or district management or the inability to hire and retain experienced management personnel could harm our operating results.

We manage our operations on a decentralized basis. Local managers have the authority to make many decisions concerning their operations without obtaining prior approval from executive officers, subject to compliance with general company-wide policies. Poor decisions by local managers could result in loss of customers or increases in costs, in either case adversely affecting operating results.

From time to time, labor unions attempt to organize our employees, and these efforts will likely continue in the future. Some groups of our employees are represented by unions, and we have negotiated collective bargaining agreements with some of these groups. Additional groups of employees may seek union representation in the future, and negotiating collective bargaining agreements with these groups could divert management attention and result in increased operating expenses and lower net income. If we are unable to negotiate acceptable collective bargaining agreements, we might have to wait through "cooling off" periods, which are often followed by union-initiated work stoppages, including strikes. Depending on the type and duration of any labor disruptions, our operating expenses could increase significantly, which could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

The geographic concentration of our business makes our results vulnerable to factors affecting the regions in which we operate, and seasonal fluctuations may cause our business and financial results to vary among quarters, which could create volatility in our stock price.

Our business and financial results would be harmed by downturns in the general economy of the regions in which we operate and other factors affecting the regions, such as state regulations affecting the solid waste services industry and severe weather conditions. Based on historic trends experienced by the businesses we have acquired, we expect our operating results to vary seasonally, with revenues typically lowest in the first quarter, higher in the

second and third quarters, and lower in the fourth quarter than in the second and third quarters. We expect the fluctuation in our revenues between our highest and lowest quarters to be in the range of approximately 10% to 12%. This seasonality reflects the lower volume of solid waste generated during the late fall, winter and early spring months because of decreased construction and demolition activities during the winter months. In addition, some of our operating costs may be higher in the winter months. Adverse winter weather conditions slow waste collection activities, resulting in higher labor and operational costs. Greater precipitation in the winter increases the weight of collected waste, resulting in higher disposal costs, which are calculated on a per ton basis. Because of these factors, we expect operating income to be generally lower in the winter months, and our stock price may be negatively affected by these variations.

Unusually adverse weather conditions may interfere with our operations, harming our operating results.

Our collection and landfill operations could be adversely affected, beyond the normal seasonal variations described above, by unusually long periods of inclement weather, which could interfere with collection and landfill operations, reduce the volume of waste generated by our customers and delay the development of landfill capacity. Periods of particularly harsh weather may force us to temporarily suspend some of our operations.

Increases in the costs of labor, disposal, fuel or energy could reduce operating margins.

Our continued success will depend on our ability to attract and retain qualified personnel. We compete with other businesses in our markets for qualified employees. From time to time, the labor supply is tight in some of our markets. A shortage of qualified employees would require us to enhance our wage and benefits packages to compete more effectively for employees or to hire more expensive temporary employees. Labor is one of our largest costs, and even relatively small increases in labor costs per employee could materially affect our cost structure. If we fail to attract and retain qualified employees, to control our labor costs, or to recover any increased labor costs through increased prices we charge for our services or otherwise offset such increases with cost savings in other areas, our operating margins could suffer. If we incur increased disposal costs in areas where we do not dispose of solid waste at landfills that we own or operate or if we incur increased disposal costs at

16

landfills that we do own or operate and if, in either case, we are unable to pass these costs on to our customers, our operating results would suffer. Although fuel and energy costs account for a relatively small portion of our total operating expenses, the price of fuel and energy is volatile, and shortages sometimes occur. Significant increases in the cost of fuel or energy, or shortages of fuel or energy, could interrupt or curtail our operations and lower our operating margins.

Decreased availability of surety bonds could require us to obtain other means of financial assurance, which could result in additional capital outlays and increased expense and cause a reduction in our operating margins.

We use financial surety bonds for a variety of corporate guarantees. The two largest uses of financial surety bonds are for municipal contract performance guarantees and landfill closure and post-closure financial assurance required under certain environmental regulations. Environmental regulations require demonstrated financial assurance to meet closure and post-closure requirements for landfills. In addition to surety bonds, these requirements may also be met through alternative financial assurance instruments, including insurance, letters of credit and restricted cash deposits.

If our current bond underwriters are unwilling to issue additional bonds, renew existing bonds when such bonds expire, or increase their total bond commitment, or if we are unable to obtain surety bonds through new underwriters as such needs arise, we would need to arrange other means of financial assurance, such as a cash trust or a letter of credit, to secure contract performance or meet closure and post-closure requirements. Such alternate financial assurance may not be readily available, and may result in additional expense or capital outlays.

Increases in insurance costs and in the amount that we self-insure for various risks could reduce our operating margins and reported earnings.

We maintain insurance programs for employee group health, automobile liability, property, general liability, workers' compensation, employer's liability, environmental protection and directors and officers' liability. To control rising insurance costs, beginning August 2002, we became effectively self-insured by increasing our per incident deductibles. We carry umbrella policies for certain types of claims to provide excess coverage over the underlying policies and per incident deductibles. The increased amounts that we self-insure could cause significant volatility in our operating margins and reported earnings based on the occurrence and claim costs of incidents, accidents and injuries. Our insurance accruals are based on claims filed and estimates of claims incurred but not reported and are developed by our management with assistance from our third-party actuary and our third-party claims administrator. To the extent these estimates are inaccurate, we may recognize substantial additional expenses in future periods that would reduce operating margins and reported earnings. Significant increases in premiums on insurance that we retain also could reduce our margins.

Each business that we acquire or have acquired may have liabilities that we fail or are unable to discover, including liabilities that arise from prior owners' failure to comply with environmental laws, which may harm our financial condition.

As a successor owner, we may be legally responsible for liabilities that arise from businesses that we acquire. Even if we obtain legally enforceable representations, warranties and indemnities from the sellers of such businesses, they may not cover the liabilities fully. Some environmental liabilities, even if we do not expressly assume them, may be imposed on us under various legal theories. Our insurance program does not cover liabilities associated with some environmental issues that may exist prior to attachment of coverage. A successful uninsured claim against us could harm our financial condition.

Our growth may be limited by the inability to obtain new landfills and expand

existing ones.

We currently own and/or operate a number of landfills. Our ability to meet our growth objectives may depend in part on our ability to acquire, lease and expand landfills and develop new landfill sites. We may not be able to obtain new landfill sites or expand the permitted capacity of our landfills when necessary. Obtaining new landfill sites is important to our expansion into new non-exclusive markets; if we do not believe that we can obtain a landfill site in a non-exclusive market, we may choose not to enter that market. Expanding existing landfill sites is important in those markets where the remaining lives of our landfills are relatively short. We may choose to forego acquisitions and internal growth in these markets because increased volumes would further shorten the lives of these landfills. Either of these circumstances could result in slower growth.

17

In some areas in which we operate, suitable land for new sites or expansion of existing landfill sites may be unavailable, which could increase our disposal costs and reduce our operating margins.

Operating permits for landfills in states where we operate must generally be renewed every five to ten years. It has become increasingly difficult and expensive to obtain required permits and approvals to build, operate and expand solid waste management facilities, including landfills and transfer stations. The process often takes several years, requires numerous hearings and compliance with zoning, environmental and other requirements, and is frequently resisted by citizen, public interest and other groups. We may not be able to obtain or maintain the permits we require to expand, and such permits may contain burdensome terms and conditions. Even when granted, final permits to expand are often not approved until the remaining permitted disposal capacity of a landfill is very low. Local laws and ordinances also may affect our ability to obtain permits to expand landfills. If we were to exhaust our permitted capacity at a landfill, our ability to expand internally would be limited, and we could be required to cap and close that landfill and be forced to dispose of collected waste at more distant landfills or at landfills operated by our competitors. The resulting increased costs would reduce our operating margins.

Our accruals for our landfill closure and post-closure costs may be inadequate, and our earnings would be lower if we are required to pay additional amounts.

We will generally be required to pay closure and post-closure costs for landfills and disposal facilities that we own or operate under a life-of-site operating agreement. Closure and post-closure costs are generally paid for a term of 30 years after final closure of a landfill, and accrued during the operating life of the landfill based on engineering estimates of future requirements associated with the final landfill design, final landfill capping and closure and post-closure process. Our obligations to pay closure or post-closure costs may exceed the amount we accrued and reserved and other amounts available from funds or reserves established to pay such costs. Paying additional amounts would lower our earnings and could cause our stock price to decline.

In accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United

States, we capitalize some expenditures and advances relating to acquisitions, pending acquisitions and landfill development projects. We expense indirect acquisition costs such as executive salaries, general corporate overhead, public affairs and other corporate services as we incur those costs. We charge against earnings any unamortized capitalized expenditures and advances (net of any amount that we estimate we will recover, through sale or otherwise) that relate to any operation that is permanently shut down or determined to be impaired, any pending acquisition that is not consummated and any landfill development project that we do not expect to complete. Any such charges against earnings could lower our stock price.

Recent accounting pronouncements may require a write-down of our goodwill, which could materially impair our net worth.

As a result of our acquisition strategy, we have a material amount of goodwill recorded on our financial statements. Under SFAS No. 142, effective January 1, 2002, we no longer amortize our existing goodwill. We are required to test goodwill for impairment using the two-step process prescribed in SFAS No. 142. The first step is a screen for potential impairment, while the second step measures the amount of the impairment, if any. We perform the first of the required impairment tests of goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets annually on October 1. To date, no events or changes in circumstances have occurred that indicated the potential existence of goodwill or indefinite-lived intangible asset impairment and it has not been necessary to write down any of our goodwill or indefinite-lived intangible assets. If, as a result of performing impairment tests, we are required to write down any of our goodwill or indefinite-lived intangible assets, our operating results would be negatively impacted and our net worth would be reduced. Our credit agreement contains a covenant requiring us to maintain a minimum net worth. A reduction in net worth, therefore, if substantial, could limit the amount that we can borrow under our credit agreement and any failure to comply with the agreement could result in an event of default under the credit agreement.

If we fail to comply with covenants and conditions in our credit facility, we may be unable to make acquisitions and may be required to repay our debt early, which could harm our financial results.

Our credit facility requires us to obtain the consent of the lending banks before acquiring any other business for more than \$100 million in cash and assumed debt. If we are not able to obtain our banks' consent to acquisitions of

18

this size, we may not be able to complete them, which could inhibit our growth. Our credit facility also contains financial covenants based on our current and projected financial condition after completing an acquisition. If we are not able to satisfy these financial covenants on a pro forma basis upon completing an acquisition, we would not be able to complete the acquisition without a waiver from our lending banks. Whether or not a waiver is needed, if the results of our future operations differ materially from what we expect, we may no longer be able to comply with the covenants in the credit facility. Our failure to comply with these covenants may result in a default under the credit facility, which would allow our lending banks to accelerate the date for repayment of debt incurred under the credit facility and could harm our business and financial results.

Provisions in our charter and bylaws may deter changes in control that could

benefit our stockholders.

Provisions in our Certificate of Incorporation and By-Laws, and in the Delaware General Corporation Law, may deter tender offers and hostile takeovers and delay or prevent changes in control or management of Waste Connections, including transactions in which stockholders might be paid more than current market prices for their shares. These provisions may also limit our stockholders' ability to approve transactions that they believe are in their best interests.

We face uncertainties relating to pending litigation.

We and some of our subsidiaries are currently involved in civil litigation relating to the conduct of our business. The timing and final resolution of these matters are uncertain. Additionally, the possible outcomes or resolutions of these matters could include judgments against us or settlements, either of which could require substantial payments by us, adversely affecting our operating results.

RISKS RELATED TO OUR INDUSTRY

Environmental laws and regulations have been enforced more and more stringently in recent years because of greater public interest in protecting the environment. These laws and regulations impose substantial costs on us and affect our business in many ways, including as described below. In addition, federal, state and local governments may change the rights they grant to, and the restrictions they impose on, solid waste services companies, and those changes could restrict our operations and growth.

We may be unable to obtain and maintain licenses or permits and zoning,

environmental and/or other land use approvals that we need to own and operate

our landfills.

These licenses or permits and approvals are difficult and time-consuming to obtain and renew, and elected officials and citizens' groups frequently oppose them. Failure to obtain and maintain the permits and approvals we need to own or operate landfills (including increasing their capacity) could force us to dispose of collected waste at more distant landfills or at landfills owned by our competitors, thus increasing our disposal costs and reducing our operating margins.

Extensive regulations that govern the design, operation and closure of landfills may restrict our landfill operations or increase our costs of operating landfills.

Regulations that govern landfill operations include the regulations that establish minimum federal requirements adopted by the EPA in October 1991 under Subtitle D of the RCRA. If we fail to comply with these regulations, we could be

required to undertake investigatory or remedial activities, curtail operations or close landfills temporarily or permanently. Future changes to these regulations may require us to modify, supplement or replace equipment or facilities at substantial costs. If regulatory agencies fail to enforce these regulations vigorously or consistently, our competitors whose facilities do not comply with the Subtitle D regulations or their state counterparts may obtain an advantage over us. Our financial obligations arising from any failure to comply with these regulations could harm our business and earnings.

19

We may be subject in the normal course of business to judicial and administrative proceedings involving federal, state or local agencies or citizens' groups, which could interrupt our operations, require expensive remediation and create negative publicity.

Governmental agencies may impose fines or penalties on us. They may also attempt to revoke or deny renewal of our operating permits, franchises or licenses for violations or alleged violations of environmental laws or regulations, or require us to remediate potential environmental problems relating to waste that we or our predecessors collected, transported, disposed of or stored. Individuals or community groups might also bring actions against us in connection with our operations. Any adverse outcome in these proceedings could harm our operations and financial results and create adverse publicity, which could damage our competitive position and stock price.

Liabilities for environmental damage may adversely affect our business and earnings.

We are liable for any environmental damage that our solid waste facilities cause, including damage to neighboring landowners or residents, particularly as a result of the contamination of soil, groundwater or surface water, and especially drinking water. We may be liable for damage resulting from conditions existing before we acquired these facilities. We may also be liable for any on-site environmental contamination caused by pollutants or hazardous substances whose transportation, treatment or disposal we or our predecessors arranged. We have limited insurance coverage to compensate us for damages associated with environmental conditions. If we were to incur liability for environmental damage, environmental cleanups, corrective action or damage not covered by insurance or in excess of the amount of our coverage, our financial condition could be materially and adversely affected.

We provide recycling services to some of our customers. The sale prices of and demand for recyclable materials, particularly paper products, are frequently volatile and when they decline our revenues and operating results may decline.

The U.S. Supreme Court has held that states may not regulate the flow of solid waste in interstate commerce if the effect would be to discriminate between interstate and intrastate commerce. If legislation is enacted that overturns or modifies this decision, and if one or more of the states in which we dispose of interstate waste takes action that would prohibit or increase the costs of our continued disposal of interstate waste, our operating results could be adversely affected.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

As of December 31, 2003, we owned 101 collection operations, 26 transfer stations, 20 municipal solid waste landfills, one construction and demolition landfill and 26 recycling operations and operated, but did not own, an additional seven transfer stations and 14 municipal solid waste landfills. We also own one municipal solid waste landfill site which was permitted for operation, but not constructed as of December 31, 2003. We lease various offices and facilities, including our corporate offices in Folsom, California. We own various equipment, including waste collection and transportation vehicles, related support vehicles, carts, containers, and heavy equipment used in landfill operations. We believe that our existing facilities and equipment are generally adequate for our current operations. However, we expect to make additional investments in property and equipment for expansion and replacement of assets and in connection with future acquisitions.

Our corporate headquarters is located in Folsom, California, where we lease approximately 31,000 square feet of space.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We own undeveloped property in Harper County, Kansas, where we are seeking permits to construct and operate a municipal solid waste landfill. In 2002, we received a special use permit from Harper County for zoning the landfill and in 2003 we received a draft permit from the Kansas Department of Health and Environment to construct and operate the landfill. In July 2003, the District Court of Harper County invalidated the previously issued zoning permit. We have appealed the District Court's decision to invalidate the zoning permit. The Kansas Department of Health and Environment has notified us that it will not issue a final permit to construct and operate the landfill until the zoning matter is resolved. At December 31, 2003, we had \$3.9 million of capitalized

20

expenditures related to this landfill development project. Based on the advice of counsel, we believe that we will prevail in this matter and do not believe that an impairment of the capitalized expenditures exists. If we do not prevail on appeal, however, we will be required to expense in a future period the \$3.9 million of capitalized expenditures, less the recoverable value of the undeveloped property and other amounts recovered, which would likely have a material adverse effect on our reported income for that period.

We are primarily self-insured for automobile liability, general liability and workers' compensation claims. We are a party to various claims and suits pending for alleged damages to persons and property and alleged liabilities occurring during the normal operations of our solid waste management business. On October 31, 2003, our subsidiary, Waste Connections of Nebraska, Inc. was named as a defendant in the case of KAREN COLLERAN, CONSERVATOR OF THE ESTATE OF ROBERT ROONEY V. WASTE CONNECTIONS OF NEBRASKA, INC. The plaintiff seeks recovery for damages allegedly suffered by Father Robert Rooney when the bicycle he was riding collided with one of our garbage trucks. The complaint alleges that Father Rooney suffered serious bodily injury, including traumatic brain injury. The plaintiff seeks recovery of past medical expenses of approximately \$430,000 and an unspecified amount for future medical expenses and home

healthcare, past pain and suffering, future pain and suffering, lost income, loss of earning capacity, and permanent injury and disability. Our primary defense is that the plaintiff is not entitled to any damages under Nebraska law, where the accident occurred, because the negligence of Father Rooney was equal to or greater than any negligence on the part of our driver, and we intend to defend this case vigorously on these and other grounds. This case is in the preliminary stages of discovery, and we have not accrued any potential loss as of December 31, 2003; however, an adverse outcome in this case coupled with a significant award to the plaintiff could have a material adverse effect on our reported income in the period incurred.

Additionally, we are a party to various legal proceedings resulting from the ordinary course of business and the extensive governmental regulation of the solid waste industry. Our management does not believe that these proceedings, either individually or in the aggregate, are likely to have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, operating results or cash flows.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

There were no matters submitted to a vote of security holders during the fourth quarter of 2003.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The following table sets forth certain information concerning our executive officers as of March 1, 2004:

NAME	AGE	POSITIONS
Ronald J. Mittelstaedt (1)	40	President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman
Steven F. Bouck	47	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Darrell W. Chambliss	39	Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer
Robert D. Evans	57	Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary
Kenneth O. Rose	55	Senior Vice President - Administration
David G. Eddie	34	Vice President - Corporate Controller
Michael R. Foos	38	Vice President - Chief Information Officer
David M. Hall	46	Vice President - Business Development
Eric O. Hansen	39	Vice President - Information Technology
Jerri L. Hunt	52	Vice President – Human Resources
Worthing F. Jackman	39	Vice President - Finance and Investor Relations
James M. Little	42	Vice President - Engineering

(1) Member of the Executive Committee of the Board of Directors.

Ronald J. Mittelstaedt has been President, Chief Executive Officer and a director since Waste Connections was formed, and was elected Chairman in January 1998. Mr. Mittelstaedt has more than 15 years of experience in the solid waste industry. He served as a consultant to United Waste Systems, Inc., with the title of Executive Vice President, from January 1997 to August 1997, where he was responsible for corporate development for all states west of Colorado. As Regional Vice President of USA Waste Services, Inc. (including Sanifill, Inc., which was acquired by USA Waste Services, Inc.) from November 1993 to January 1997, he was responsible for all operations in 16 states and Canada. Mr. Mittelstaedt held various positions at Browning-Ferris Industries, Inc. ("BFI") from August 1988 to November 1993, most recently as Division Vice President in northern California, overseeing the San Jose market. Previously he was the

District Manager responsible for BFI's operations in Sacramento and the

surrounding areas. He holds a B.S. degree in Finance from the University of California at Santa Barbara.

Steven F. Bouck has been Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer since February 1998. Mr. Bouck held various positions with First Analysis Corporation from 1986 to 1998, including most recently as Managing Director coordinating corporate finance. In that capacity, he provided merger and acquisition advisory services to companies in the environmental industry. Mr. Bouck was also responsible for investing venture capital funds focused on the environmental industry that were managed by First Analysis. In connection with those investments, he served on the boards of directors of several companies. Mr. Bouck holds B.S. and M.S. degrees in mechanical engineering from Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute and an M.B.A. in Finance from the Wharton School. He has been a Chartered Financial Analyst since 1990.

Darrell W. Chambliss has been Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer since October 2003. From October 1, 1997 to that date, he served as Executive Vice President - Operations. Mr. Chambliss held various management positions at USA Waste Services, Inc. (including Sanifill, Inc. and United Waste, Inc., both of which were acquired by USA Waste Services, Inc.) from April 1995 to September 1997, including most recently Division Manager in Corning, California, where he was responsible for the operations of 19 operating companies as well as supervising and integrating acquisitions. From July 1989 to April 1995, he held various management positions with BFI, including serving as Assistant District Manager in San Jose, California, where he was responsible for a significant hauling operation, and serving as District Manager in Tucson, Arizona for more than three years. Mr. Chambliss holds a B.S. degree in Business Administration from the University of Arkansas.

Robert D. Evans has been Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary of Waste Connections since June 2002. From 1978 until he joined the company, Mr. Evans was a partner in the San Francisco law firm of Shartsis, Friese & Ginsburg LLP, where he was also a member of the Management Committee. Mr. Evans' practice included representing companies in mergers and acquisitions and corporate finance transactions. Prior to joining Waste Connections, Mr. Evans had been the Company's primary outside counsel since its formation. Mr. Evans holds a B.A. degree in Economics and a J.D. degree from the University of California at Berkeley.

Kenneth O. Rose has been Senior Vice President - Administration since May 2002. He also served as a consultant to Waste Connections in March and April 2002. From May 2000 to March 2002, he provided consulting services to WorldOil.Com, Inc. and Gulf Publishing Company. As Vice President Administration for Coach USA, Inc., from October 1996 to April 2000, Mr. Rose was responsible for all corporate administrative activities in the United States, Canada and Mexico. Mr. Rose has over seven years experience in the solid waste industry obtained primarily with USA Waste Services, Inc. (including Sanifill, Inc., which was acquired by USA Waste Services, Inc.) where he held the position of Corporate Director - Administration from December 1990 to September 1996. From August 1989 to November 1990, Mr. Rose provided consulting and personnel services to BSI, Inc., a solid waste services company in Houston, Texas acquired by Sanifill, Inc. Prior to joining the waste industry, Mr. Rose held various administrative positions in the oil and offshore drilling industries from 1971 to 1989 with Standard Oil Company-Indiana, Gulf Oil Corporation and Chevron Corporation. Mr. Rose holds a B.S. degree in Accounting from the University of Wyoming.

David G. Eddie has been Vice President -Corporate Controller since March 2004. From April 2003 to February 2004, Mr. Eddie served as Waste Connections' Vice President - Public Reporting and Compliance. From May 2001 to March 2003, Mr. Eddie served as Waste Connections' Director of Finance. Mr. Eddie served as Corporate Controller for International Fibercom, Inc. from April 2000 to May

2001. From September 1999 to April 2000, Mr. Eddie served as Waste Connections' Manager of Financial Reporting. From September 1994 to September 1999, Mr. Eddie held various positions, including Audit Manager, for PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP. Mr. Eddie is a Certified Public Accountant and holds a B.S. degree in Accounting from California State University, Sacramento.

Michael R. Foos has been Vice President - Chief Information Officer since April 2003. From October 1999 to March 2003, Mr. Foos served as Vice President -Finance and Chief Accounting Officer of Waste Connections. From October 1997 to September 1999, Mr. Foos served as Vice President and Corporate Controller of Waste Connections. Mr. Foos served as Division Controller of USA Waste Services, Inc. (including Sanifill, Inc., which was acquired by USA Waste Services, Inc.) from October 1996 to September 1997, where he was responsible for financial compilation and reporting and acquisition due diligence for a seven-state region. Mr. Foos served as Assistant Regional Controller at USA Waste Services, Inc. from August 1995 to September 1996, where he was responsible for internal financial reporting for operations in six states and Canada. Mr. Foos also

22

served as District Controller for Waste Management, Inc. from February 1990 to July 1995, and was a member of the audit staff of Deloitte & Touche from 1987 to 1990. Mr. Foos holds a B.S. degree in Accounting from Ferris State University.

David M. Hall has been Vice President - Business Development since August 1, 1998. Mr. Hall has more than 17 years of experience in the solid waste industry with extensive operating and marketing experience in the Western U.S. From October, 1995 to July 1998, Mr. Hall was the Divisional Vice President of USA Waste Services, Inc., Rocky Mountain Division (including Sanifill, Inc. which was acquired by USA Waste Services, Inc.). In that position, he oversaw all operations and business development in six Rocky Mountain states. Prior to his employment with Sanifill, Mr. Hall held various management positions with BFI from October 1986 to October 1995, including Vice President of Sales for the Western United States. Mr. Hall was employed from 1979 to 1986 in a variety of sales and marketing management positions in the high technology sector. Mr. Hall received a B.S. degree in Management and Marketing from Southwest Missouri State University.

Eric O. Hansen has been Vice President - Information Technology since January 2001. From April 1998 to December 2000, Mr. Hansen served as Waste Connections' Director of Management Information Systems. Mr. Hansen served as Information Systems Manager with Fibres International from October 1997 to April 1998. Mr. Hansen held various positions including NT Administrator for the Multnomah Athletic Club in Portland, Oregon from August 1989 to October 1997. Mr. Hansen holds a B.S degree from Portland State University.

Jerri L. Hunt has been Vice President - Human Resources since December 1999. Ms. Hunt also served as Vice President - Human Resources and Risk Management from December 1999 to May 2002. From 1994 to 1999, Ms. Hunt held various positions with First Union National Bank (including the Money Store, which was acquired by First Union National Bank), most recently Vice President of Human Resources in which she managed all aspects of human resources for over 5,000 employees located throughout the United States. From 1989 to 1994, Ms. Hunt served as Manager of Human Resources and Risk Management for BFI, where she was responsible for all aspects of human resources and safety and environmental compliance matters. Ms. Hunt also served as a Human Resources Supervisor for United Parcel Service from 1976 to 1989. She holds a B.S. degree from California State University, Sacramento and a master's degree in Human Resources from Golden Gate University.

Worthing F. Jackman has been Vice President - Finance and Investor Relations since April 2003. Mr. Jackman held various investment banking

positions with Alex. Brown & Sons, now Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc., from 1991 through 2003, including most recently as a Managing Director within the Global Industrial & Environmental Services Group. In that capacity, he provided capital markets and strategic advisory services to companies in a variety of sectors, including solid waste services. Mr. Jackman holds a B.S. in Finance from Syracuse University and an M.B.A. from the Harvard Business School.

James M. Little has been Vice President - Engineering since September 1999. Mr. Little held various management positions with Waste Management, Inc. (formerly USA Waste Services, Inc., which was acquired by Waste Management, Inc. and Chambers Development Co. Inc., which was acquired by USA Waste Services, Inc.) from April 1990 to September 1999, including Regional Environmental Manager and Regional Landfill Manager, and most recently Division Manager in Ohio, where he was responsible for the operations of ten operating companies in the Northern Ohio area. Mr. Little is a certified professional geologist and holds a B.S. degree in Geology from Slippery Rock University.

23

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Our common stock trades on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "WCN". The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high and low prices per share of our common stock, as reported on The Nasdaq Stock Market(R) - National Market for the periods indicated through October 23, 2002, and as reported on the New York Stock Exchange beginning October 24, 2002.

		HIGH		LOW
2002				
First Quarter	\$	34.26	\$	23.49
Second Quarter		37.68		30.60
Third Quarter		36.24		25.60
Fourth Quarter		39.56		29.73
2003 First Quarter Second Quarter Third Quarter Fourth Quarter	Ş	39.98 37.20 36.90 38.08	Ş	30.75 31.78 31.57 31.90
2004				
First Quarter (through March 1, 2004)	\$	40.75	\$	36.41

On March 1, 2004, there were 79 record holders of Waste Connections' common stock.

We have never paid cash dividends on our common stock and do not currently anticipate paying any cash dividends on our common stock. We intend to retain all earnings to fund the operation and expansion of our business. In addition, our existing credit facility limits the amount of cash dividends we can pay.

The following is a summary of all of our equity compensation plans, including plans that were assumed through acquisitions and individual arrangements that provide for the issuance of equity securities as compensation, as of December 31, 2003. See Note 10 to the consolidated financial statements for additional discussion.

Plan Category	<pre>(a) Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights</pre>	(b) Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Number o remaining future i equity com (excludi reflected
Equity compensation plans approved security holders	l by 2,222,089	\$27.20	1
Equity compensation plans not appr by security holders	roved 1,568,972	\$28.95	1
Total	3,791,061	\$27.92	- 3 =

24

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

This table sets forth selected financial data of Waste Connections, in thousands, except share and per share amounts, for the periods indicated. This data should be read in conjunction with and is qualified by reference to "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" included in Item 7 in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and our audited consolidated financial statements, including the notes thereto and the independent auditors' report thereon and the other financial information included in Item 8 in this Form 10-K. The selected data in this section are not intended to replace the consolidated financial statements included in Itis Report.

	YEARS ENDED					
		1999 (a)		2000 (b)		2001
STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS DATA:						
Revenues	\$	184,225	\$	304,355	\$	377
Operating expenses:						
Cost of operations		112,838		174,724		211
Selling, general and administrative		16,019		25,579		32
Depreciation and amortization		14,769		27,195		36
Loss on disposal of operations				833		4
Acquisition-related expenses		9,003		150		
Income from operations		31,596		75,874		93
Interest expense		(11,379)		(28,491)		(29
Other income (expense), net		(66)		116		(6
Income before income tax provision and minority interests		20,151		47,499		57
Minority interests						(7
Income before income tax provision		20,151		47,499		50

Income tax provision		(10,924)		(19,310)		(19
Income before effect of accounting change		9,227		28,189		30
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, net of tax expense of \$166						
Net income	\$ ====	9,227	\$ ====	28,189	\$ ====	30

Basic earnings per common share: Income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle