

SPRINT Corp
Form 10-K
May 17, 2016
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2016
or
 TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF
 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission File number 1-04721

SPRINT CORPORATION
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware 46-1170005
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

6200 Sprint Parkway, Overland Park, Kansas 66251
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (855) 848-3280

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

| Title of each class | Name of each exchange on which registered |
|--------------------------------|---|
| Common stock, \$0.01 par value | New York Stock Exchange |

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or

Edgar Filing: SPRINT Corp - Form 10-K

information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendments to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.) Yes No

Aggregate market value of voting and non-voting common stock equity held by non-affiliates of Sprint Corporation at September 30, 2015 was \$2,342,009,176

COMMON STOCK OUTSTANDING AT MAY 13, 2016: 3,974,592,358 shares

Table of Contents

SPRINT CORPORATION
TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page Reference |
|--|-------------------|
| Item PART I | |
| 1. <u>Business</u> | <u>1</u> |
| 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u> | <u>13</u> |
| 1B. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | <u>21</u> |
| 2. <u>Properties</u> | <u>21</u> |
| 3. <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | <u>21</u> |
| 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | <u>22</u> |
| PART II | |
| 5. <u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | <u>23</u> |
| 6. <u>Selected Financial Data</u> | <u>25</u> |
| 7. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | <u>26</u> |
| 7A. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> | <u>64</u> |
| 8. <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | <u>64</u> |
| 9. <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | <u>65</u> |
| 9A. <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | <u>65</u> |
| 9B. <u>Other Information</u> | <u>66</u> |
| PART III | |
| 10. <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u> | <u>67</u> |
| 11. <u>Executive Compensation</u> | <u>67</u> |
| 12. <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | <u>67</u> |
| 13. <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u> | <u>68</u> |
| 14. <u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u> | <u>68</u> |
| PART IV | |
| 15. <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u> | <u>69</u> |

Table of Contents

SPRINT CORPORATION
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K
PART I

Item 1. Business
FORMATION

Sprint Corporation, incorporated in 2012 under the laws of Delaware, is a holding company, with operations conducted by its subsidiaries. Our common stock trades on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) under the symbol "S."

On July 9, 2013, Sprint Nextel Corporation, a Kansas corporation organized in 1938 (Sprint Nextel), completed the acquisition of the remaining equity interests in Clearwire Corporation and its consolidated subsidiary Clearwire Communications LLC (together "Clearwire") that it did not previously own (Clearwire Acquisition) in an all cash transaction for approximately \$3.5 billion, net of cash acquired of \$198 million, which provided us with control of 2.5 gigahertz (GHz) spectrum and tower resources.

On July 10, 2013, SoftBank Corp., which subsequently changed its name to SoftBank Group Corp., and certain of its wholly-owned subsidiaries (together, "SoftBank") completed the merger (SoftBank Merger) with Sprint Nextel as contemplated by the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of October 15, 2012 (as amended, the Merger Agreement) and the Bond Purchase Agreement, dated as of October 15, 2012 (as amended, the Bond Agreement). As a result of the SoftBank Merger, Starburst II, Inc. (Starburst II) became the parent company of Sprint Nextel. Immediately thereafter, Starburst II changed its name to Sprint Corporation and Sprint Nextel changed its name to Sprint Communications, Inc. (Sprint Communications). As a result of the completion of the SoftBank Merger in which SoftBank acquired an approximate 78% interest in Sprint Corporation, and subsequent open market stock purchases, SoftBank owned approximately 83% of the outstanding common stock of Sprint Corporation as of March 31, 2016.

Successor and Predecessor Periods and Reporting Obligations

In connection with the close of the SoftBank Merger (as described above), Sprint Corporation became the successor registrant to Sprint Nextel under Rule 12g-3 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act) and is the entity subject to the reporting requirements of the Exchange Act for filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) subsequent to the close of the SoftBank Merger. The financial information herein distinguishes between the predecessor period (Predecessor) relating to Sprint Communications for periods prior to the SoftBank Merger and the successor period (Successor) relating to Sprint Corporation, formerly known as Starburst II, for periods subsequent to the incorporation of Starburst II on October 5, 2012. In addition, in order to align with SoftBank's reporting schedule, we changed our fiscal year end from December 31 to March 31, effective March 31, 2014. References herein to any fiscal year refer to the twelve-month period ending March 31 unless otherwise specifically noted.

OVERVIEW

Sprint Corporation and its subsidiaries is a communications company offering a comprehensive range of wireless and wireline communications products and services that are designed to meet the needs of consumers, businesses, government subscribers and resellers. Unless the context otherwise requires, references to "Sprint," "we," "us," "our" and the "Company" mean Sprint Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries for all periods presented, inclusive of Successor and Predecessor periods, and references to "Sprint Communications" are to Sprint Communications, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries. We are one of the largest wireless communications companies in the United States (U.S.), as well as a provider of wireline services. Our services are provided through our ownership of extensive wireless networks, an all-digital global wireline network and a Tier 1 Internet backbone.

We offer wireless and wireline services to subscribers in all 50 states, Puerto Rico, and the U.S. Virgin Islands under the Sprint corporate brand, which includes our retail brands of Sprint®, Boost Mobile®, Virgin Mobile®, and Assurance Wireless® on our wireless networks utilizing various technologies including third generation (3G) code division multiple access (CDMA), fourth generation (4G) services utilizing Long Term Evolution (LTE). We also

offered Worldwide Interoperability for Microwave Access (WiMAX) technologies until that network was shut-down on March 31, 2016. We utilize these networks to offer our wireless and wireline subscribers differentiated products and services whether through the use of a single network or a combination of these networks.

1

Table of Contents

Our Business Segments

We operate two reportable segments: Wireless and Wireline. For additional information regarding our segments, see "Part II, Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and also refer to the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Wireless

We offer wireless services on a postpaid and prepaid payment basis to retail subscribers and also on a wholesale basis, which includes the sale of wireless services that utilize the Sprint network but are sold under the wholesaler's brand.

Postpaid

In our postpaid portfolio, we offer several price plans for both consumer and business subscribers. Many of our price plans include unlimited talk, text and data or allow subscribers to purchase monthly data allowances. We also offer family plans that include multiple lines of service under one account. We offer these plans with subsidy, installment billing or leasing programs. The subsidy program requires a service contract and allows for a subscriber to either bring their handset or purchase one at a discount for a new line of service. Our installment billing program does not require a service contract and offers service plans at lower monthly rates compared to subsidy plans, but requires the subscriber to pay full or near full price for the handset over monthly installments. Our leasing program also does not require a service contract, provides for service plans at lower monthly rates compared to subsidy plans and allows qualified subscribers to lease a device and make payments for use of the device over the term of the lease. At the end of the lease term, the subscriber can either turn in the device, continue leasing the device or purchase the device. See "Item 1A. Risk Factors—Subscribers who purchase a device on an installment billing basis are no longer required to sign a fixed-term service contract, which could result in higher churn, and higher bad debt expense" and "—Because we lease devices to subscribers, our device leasing program exposes us to new risks, including those related to the actual residual value realized on returned devices, higher churn and increased losses on devices."

Prepaid

Our prepaid portfolio currently includes multiple brands, each designed to appeal to specific subscriber uses and demographics. Sprint prepaid primarily serves subscribers who want plans that are affordable, simple and flexible without a long-term commitment. Boost Mobile primarily serves subscribers with plans that offer unlimited text and talk with step pricing based on their preferred data usage. Virgin Mobile primarily serves subscribers through plans that offer control, flexibility and connectivity through various plan options. Virgin Mobile is also designated as a Lifeline-only Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in certain states and provides service for the Lifeline program under our Assurance Wireless brand. Assurance Wireless provides eligible subscribers, in certain states, who meet income requirements or are receiving government assistance, with a free wireless phone, 350 free local and long-distance voice minutes each month and unlimited free texts under the Lifeline Program. The Lifeline Program requires applicants to meet certain eligibility requirements and existing subscribers must recertify as to those requirements annually.

Wholesale

We have focused our wholesale business on enabling our diverse network of customers to successfully grow their business by providing them with an array of network, product and device solutions. This allows our customers to customize this full suite of value-added solutions to meet the growing demands of their businesses. As part of these growing demands, some of our wholesale mobile virtual network operators (MVNO) are also selling prepaid services under the Lifeline program.

We continue to support the open development of applications, content, and devices on the Sprint platform. In addition, we enable a variety of business and consumer third-party relationships through our portfolio of machine-to-machine solutions, which we offer on a retail postpaid and wholesale basis. Our machine-to-machine solutions portfolio provides a secure, real-time and reliable wireless two-way data connection across a broad range of connected devices.

Services and Products

Data & Voice Services

Wireless data communications services are provided throughout the U.S. and include mobile productivity applications, such as Internet access, messaging and email services; wireless photo and video offerings; location-based capabilities, including asset and fleet management, dispatch services and navigation tools; and mobile entertainment

applications, including the ability to listen to satellite radio, download and listen to music, and play games. Wireless voice communications services provided throughout the U.S. include basic local and long-distance wireless voice services, as well as voicemail, call waiting, three-way calling, caller identification, directory assistance and call forwarding. We also provide voice

2

Table of Contents

and data services in numerous countries outside of the U.S. through roaming arrangements. We offer customized design, development, implementation and support for wireless services provided to large companies and government agencies.

Products

Our services are provided using a broad array of devices and applications and services that run on these devices to meet the growing needs of subscriber mobility. Our device portfolio includes many cutting edge handsets from various original equipment manufacturers as well as hotspots, which allow the connection of multiple WiFi enabled devices to the Sprint platform and embedded tablets and laptop devices. We have historically sold devices at prices below our cost in response to competition to attract new subscribers and as retention inducements for existing subscribers. Subscribers also have the option to purchase eligible devices through our installment billing program, or to lease eligible devices through our leasing program. In addition, we sell accessories, such as carrying cases, hands-free devices and other items to subscribers, and we sell devices and accessories to agents and other third-party distributors for resale.

Wireless Network Technologies

We deliver wireless services to subscribers primarily through our Sprint platform network. Our Sprint platform uses primarily 3G CDMA and 4G LTE wireless technologies. We served customers utilizing WiMAX technology until the network was shutdown on March 31, 2016. Our 3G CDMA wireless technology uses a digital spread-spectrum technique that allows a large number of users to access the band by assigning a code to all voice and data bits, sending a scrambled transmission of the encoded bits over the air and reassembling the voice and data into its original format. Our 4G LTE wireless data communications technology utilizes an all-internet protocol (IP) network to deliver high-speed data communications. We provide nationwide service through a combination of operating our own network in both major and smaller U.S. metropolitan areas and rural connecting routes, affiliations under commercial arrangements with third-party affiliates and roaming on other providers' networks.

Sales, Marketing and Customer Care

We focus the marketing and sales of wireless services on targeted groups of retail subscribers: individual consumers, businesses and government.

We use a variety of sales channels to attract new subscribers of wireless services, including:

- direct sales representatives whose efforts are focused on marketing and selling wireless services primarily to mid-sized to large businesses and government agencies;
- retail outlets, owned and operated by us, that focus on sales to the small business and consumer markets;
- co-branded Sprint-RadioShack retail stores-within-a-store exclusively selling or leasing Sprint devices and the associated postpaid and prepaid service plans;
- indirect sales agents and third-party retailers that primarily consist of local and national non-affiliated dealers and independent contractors that market and sell services to businesses and the consumer market, and are generally paid through commissions; and
- subscriber-convenient channels, including Internet sales and telesales.

We market our postpaid services under the Sprint brand. We market our prepaid services under the Sprint, Boost Mobile, Virgin Mobile, and Assurance Wireless brands as a means to provide value-driven prepaid service plans to particular markets. Our wholesale customers are resellers of our wireless services rather than end-use subscribers and market their products and services using their own brands.

Although we market our services using traditional print, digital and television advertising, we also provide exposure to our brand names and wireless services through various sponsorships. The goal of these marketing initiatives is to increase brand awareness and sales.

Our customer care organization works to improve our subscribers' experience, with the goal of retaining subscribers of our wireless services and growing their long-term relationships with Sprint. Customer service call centers receive and resolve inquiries from subscribers and proactively address subscriber needs.

Competition

We believe that the market for wireless services has been and will continue to be characterized by competition on the basis of price, the types of services and devices offered and quality of service. We compete with a number of wireless

carriers, including three other national wireless companies: AT&T, Verizon Wireless and T-Mobile. Our prepaid services compete with a number of carriers and resellers, which offers competitively-priced calling plans that include unlimited local

3

Table of Contents

calling. AT&T, T-Mobile and Verizon Wireless offer competitive prepaid services and wholesale services to resellers. Competition may intensify as a result of mergers and acquisitions, as new firms enter the market, and as a result of the introduction of other technologies, the availability of additional commercial spectrum bands, such as the 600 megahertz (MHz) band, the AWS-3 band and the AWS-4 band, and the potential introduction of new services using unlicensed spectrum. Wholesale services and products also contribute to increased competition. In some instances, resellers that use our network and offer similar services compete against our offerings. The wireless industry also faces competition from other communications and technology companies seeking to increase their brand recognition and capture customer revenue with respect to the provision of wireless products and services, in addition to non-traditional offerings in mobile data. For example, Microsoft, Google, Apple and others are offering alternative means for making wireless voice calls that, in certain cases, can be used in lieu of the wireless provider's voice service, as well as alternative means of accessing video content.

Most markets in which we operate have high rates of penetration for wireless services, thereby limiting the growth of subscribers of wireless services. As the wireless market has matured, it has become increasingly important to retain existing subscribers in addition to attracting new subscribers, particularly in less saturated growth markets such as those with non-traditional data demands. Wireless carriers also try to appeal to subscribers by offering certain devices at prices lower than their acquisition cost, which we refer to as our traditional subsidy program. We may offer higher cost devices at greater discounts than our competitors, with the expectation that the loss incurred on the cost of the device will be offset by future service revenue. Wireless carriers now also offer plans that allow subscribers to purchase or lease a device at or near full retail price in exchange for lower monthly service fees, early upgrade options, or both. AT&T, Verizon Wireless and T-Mobile also offer programs that include an option to purchase a device using an installment billing program. Our installment billing and device leasing programs do not require a service contract, provide for service plans at lower monthly rates compared to the traditional subsidy program and allow qualified subscribers to either purchase a device by paying monthly installments generally over 24 months or lease a device and make payments for the device over the term of the lease. At the end of the lease term, the subscriber has the option to turn in their device, continue leasing their device, or purchase the device. See "Item 1A. Risk Factors—If we are not able to retain and attract profitable wireless subscribers, our financial performance will be impaired" and "—Because we lease devices to subscribers, our device leasing program exposes us to new risks including those related to the actual residual value realized on returned devices, higher churn and increased losses on devices" and "—Subscribers who purchase a device on an installment billing basis are no longer required to sign a fixed-term service contract, which could result in higher churn, and higher bad debt expense."

Wireline

We provide a broad suite of wireline voice and data communication services to other communications companies and targeted business subscribers. In addition, our Wireline segment provides voice, data and IP communication services to our Wireless segment. We provide long distance services and operate all-digital global long distance and Tier 1 IP networks.

Services and Products

Our services and products include domestic and international data communications using various protocols such as multiprotocol label switching technologies (MPLS), IP, managed network services, Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP), Session Initiated Protocol (SIP) and traditional voice services. Our IP services can also be combined with wireless services. Such services include our Sprint Mobile Integration service, which enables a wireless handset to operate as part of a subscriber's wireline voice network, and our DataLinkSM service, which uses our wireless networks to connect a subscriber location into their primarily wireline wide-area IP/MPLS data network, making it easier for businesses to adapt their network to changing business requirements. In addition to providing services to our business customers, the wireline network is carrying increasing amounts of voice and data traffic for our Wireless segment as a result of growing usage by our wireless subscribers.

We continue to assess the portfolio of services provided by our Wireline business and are focusing our efforts on IP-based data services and de-emphasizing stand-alone voice services and non-IP-based data services. We also continue to provide voice services primarily to business subscribers. Our Wireline segment markets and sells its services primarily through direct sales representatives.

Competition

Our Wireline segment competes with AT&T, Verizon Communications, CenturyLink, Level 3 Communications, Inc., other major local incumbent operating companies and cable operators, as well as a host of smaller competitors in the provision of wireline services. Over the past few years, our voice services have experienced an industry-wide trend of lower revenue from lower prices and increased competition from other wireline and wireless communications companies, as well as cable multiple system operators (MSOs) and Internet service providers.

4

Table of Contents

Some competitors are targeting the high-end data market and are offering deeply discounted rates in exchange for high-volume traffic as they attempt to utilize excess capacity in their networks. In addition, we face increasing competition from other wireless and IP-based service providers. Many carriers, including cable companies, are competing in the residential and small business markets by offering bundled packages of both voice and data services. Competition in wireline services is based on price and pricing plans, the types of services offered, customer service and communications quality, reliability and availability. Our ability to compete successfully will depend on our ability to anticipate and respond to various competitive factors affecting the industry, including new services that may be introduced, changes in consumer preferences, demographic trends, economic conditions and pricing strategies. See "Item 1A. Risk Factors—Competition, industry consolidation, and technological changes in the market for wireless services could negatively affect our operations, resulting in adverse effects on our revenues, cash flows, growth, and profitability."

Legislative and Regulatory Developments

Overview

Communications services are subject to regulation at the federal level by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) and in certain states by public utilities commissions (PUCs). Since the SoftBank Merger, we have been subject to regulatory conditions imposed by the Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States (CFIUS) pursuant to a National Security Agreement (NSA) among SoftBank, Sprint, the Department of Justice, the Department of Homeland Security and the Department of Defense (the latter three collectively, the USG Parties). Other federal agencies, such as the Federal Trade Commission and Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, have also asserted jurisdiction over our business.

The following is a summary of the regulatory environment in which we operate and does not describe all present and proposed federal, state and local legislation and regulations affecting the communications industry. Some legislation and regulations are the subject of judicial proceedings, legislative hearings and administrative proceedings that could change the way our industry operates. We cannot predict the outcome of any of these matters or their potential impact on our business. See "Item 1A. Risk Factors—Government regulation could adversely affect our prospects and results of operations; the federal and state regulatory commissions may adopt new regulations or take other actions that could adversely affect our business prospects, future growth or results of operations."

Regulation and Wireless Operations

The FCC regulates the licensing, construction, operation, acquisition and sale of our wireless operations and wireless spectrum holdings. FCC requirements impose operating and other restrictions on our wireless operations that increase our costs. The FCC does not currently regulate rates for services offered by commercial mobile radio service (CMRS) providers, and states are legally preempted from regulating such rates and entry into any market, although states may regulate other terms and conditions. The Communications Act of 1934 (Communications Act) and FCC rules also require the FCC's prior approval of the assignment or transfer of control of an FCC license, although the FCC's rules permit spectrum lease arrangements for a range of wireless radio service licenses, including our licenses, with FCC oversight. Approval from the Federal Trade Commission and the Department of Justice, as well as state or local regulatory authorities, also may be required if we sell or acquire spectrum interests. The FCC sets rules, regulations and policies to, among other things:

- grant and renew licenses in the 800 MHz, 1.9 GHz and 2.5 GHz bands;
- rule on assignments and transfers of control of FCC licenses, and leases covering our use of FCC licenses held by other persons and organizations;
- govern the interconnection of our networks with other wireless and wireline carriers;
- establish access and universal service funding provisions;
- impose rules related to unauthorized use of and access to subscriber information;
- impose fines and forfeitures for violations of FCC rules;
- regulate the technical standards governing wireless services; and
- impose other obligations that it determines to be in the public interest.

We hold 800 MHz, 1.9 GHz and 2.5 GHz FCC licenses authorizing the use of radio frequency spectrum to deploy our wireless services.

Table of Contents

800 MHz License Conditions

Spectrum in our 800 MHz band originally was licensed in small groups of channels, therefore, we hold thousands of these licenses, which together allow us to provide coverage across much of the continental U.S. Our 800 MHz licenses are subject to requirements that we meet population coverage benchmarks tied to the initial license grant dates. To date, we have met all of the construction requirements applicable to these licenses, except in the case of licenses that are not material to our business. Our 800 MHz licenses have ten-year terms, at the end of which each license is subject to renewal requirements that are similar to those for our 1.9 GHz licenses described below.

1.9 GHz PCS License Conditions

All PCS licenses are granted for ten-year terms. For purposes of issuing PCS licenses, the FCC utilizes major trading areas (MTAs) and basic trading areas (BTAs) with several BTAs making up each MTA. Each license is subject to build-out requirements, which we have met in all of our MTA and BTA markets.

If applicable build-out conditions are met, these licenses may be renewed for additional ten-year terms. Renewal applications are not subject to auctions. If a renewal application is challenged, the FCC grants a preference commonly referred to as a license renewal expectancy to the applicant if the applicant can demonstrate that it has provided "substantial service" during the past license term and has substantially complied with applicable FCC rules and policies and the Communications Act.

2.5 GHz License Conditions

We hold licenses for or lease spectrum located within the 2496 to 2690 MHz band, commonly referred to as the 2.5 GHz band, which is designated for Broadband Radio Services (BRS) and Educational Broadband Service (EBS). Most BRS and EBS licenses are allocated to specific, relatively small geographic service areas. Other BRS licenses provide for one of 493 separate BTAs. Under current FCC rules, the BRS and EBS band in each territory is generally divided into 33 channels consisting of a total of 186 MHz of spectrum, with an additional eight MHz of guard band spectrum, which further protects against interference from other license holders. Under current FCC rules, we can access BRS spectrum either through outright ownership of a BRS license issued by the FCC or through a leasing arrangement with a BRS license holder. The FCC rules generally limit eligibility to hold EBS licenses to accredited educational institutions and certain governmental, religious and nonprofit entities, but permit those license holders to lease up to 95% of their capacity for non-educational purposes. Therefore, we primarily access EBS spectrum through long-term leasing arrangements with EBS license holders. Our EBS spectrum leases typically have an initial term equal to the remaining term of the EBS license, with an option to renew the lease for additional terms, for a total lease term of up to 30 years. In addition, we generally have a right of first refusal for a period of time after our leases expire or otherwise terminate to match another party's offer to lease the same spectrum. Our leases are generally transferable, assuming we obtain required governmental approvals. Achieving optimal broadband network speeds, capacity and coverage using 2.5 GHz spectrum relies in significant part on operationalizing a complex mixture of BRS and EBS spectrum licenses and leases in the desired service areas, which is subject to the EBS licensing limitations described above and the technical limitations of the frequencies in the 2.5 GHz range.

Spectrum Reconfiguration Obligations

In 2004, the FCC adopted a Report and Order that included new rules regarding interference in the 800 MHz band and a comprehensive plan to reconfigure the 800 MHz band (the "Report and Order"). The Report and Order provides for the exchange of a portion of our 800 MHz FCC spectrum licenses, and requires us to fund the cost incurred by public safety systems and other incumbent licensees to reconfigure the 800 MHz spectrum band. Also, in exchange, we received licenses for 10 MHz of nationwide spectrum in the 1.9 GHz band.

The minimum cash obligation is \$2.8 billion under the Report and Order. We are, however, obligated to pay the full amount of the costs relating to the reconfiguration plan, even if those costs exceed \$2.8 billion. As required under the terms of the Report and Order, a letter of credit has been secured to provide assurance that funds will be available to pay the relocation costs of the incumbent users of the 800 MHz spectrum. The letter of credit was initially \$2.5 billion, but has been reduced during the course of the proceeding to \$256 million as of March 31, 2016. Since the inception of the program, we have incurred payments of approximately \$3.5 billion directly attributable to our performance under the Report and Order. When incurred, substantially all costs are accounted for as additions to FCC licenses with the remainder as property, plant and equipment. Although costs incurred through March 31, 2016 have exceeded \$2.8

billion, not all of those costs have been reviewed and accepted as eligible by the transition administrator. Completion of the 800 MHz band reconfiguration was initially required by June 26, 2008 and public safety reconfiguration is nearly complete across the country with the exception of States of Washington, Arizona, California, Texas and New Mexico. The FCC continues to grant the remaining 800 MHz public safety licensees additional time to complete their

6

Table of Contents

band reconfigurations which, in turn, delays our access to our 800 MHz replacement channels in these areas. In the areas where band reconfiguration is complete, Sprint has received its replacement spectrum in the 800 MHz band and Sprint is deploying 3G CDMA and 4G LTE on this spectrum in combination with its spectrum in the 1.9 GHz and 2.5 GHz bands.

911 Services

Pursuant to FCC rules, CMRS providers, including us, are required to provide enhanced 911 (E911) services including, depending upon the capabilities of the requesting public safety answering point (PSAP), the location of the cell site from which the call is being made or the location of the subscriber's handset using latitude and longitude. CMRS providers are also now required to provide text-to-911 services upon request by a capable PSAP. The FCC recently revised the location accuracy standards for the provision of wireless 911 services indoors and these requirements may impose additional obligations.

Cybersecurity

Cybersecurity continues to receive attention at the federal, state and local levels. Congress has passed and continues to consider various forms of cybersecurity legislation to increase the security and resiliency of the nation's digital infrastructure. In addition, over the past few years the President has issued executive orders directing the Department of Homeland Security and other government agencies to take a number of steps to improve the security of the nation's critical infrastructure. Additionally, the Communications Security, Reliability and Interoperability Council approved Cybersecurity Risk Management and Best Practices, a report providing the communication industry guidance in using the National Institute of Standards and Technology Cybersecurity Framework. Implementation of these guidelines or the adoption of further cybersecurity laws or regulation may impose additional costs on Sprint. See "Item 1A. Risk Factors—Our reputation and business may be harmed and we may be subject to legal claims if there is a loss, disclosure, misappropriation of, unauthorized access to, or other security breach of our proprietary or sensitive information."

National Security Agreement

As a precondition to CFIUS approval of the SoftBank Merger, the USG Parties required that SoftBank and Sprint enter into the NSA, under which SoftBank and Sprint have agreed to implement certain measures to protect national security, certain of which may materially and adversely affect our operating results due to the increased cost of compliance with security measures, and limits over our control of certain U.S. facilities, contracts, personnel, vendor selection and operations. If we fail to comply with our obligations under the NSA our ability to operate our business may be adversely affected. See "Item 1A. Risk Factors—Regulatory authorities have imposed measures to protect national security and classified projects as well as other conditions that could have an adverse effect on Sprint."

State and Local Regulation

While the Communications Act generally preempts state and local governments from regulating entry of, or the rates charged by, wireless carriers, certain state PUCs and local governments regulate customer billing, termination of service arrangements, advertising, certification of operation, use of handsets when driving, service quality, sales practices, management of customer call records and protected information and many other areas. Also, some state attorneys general have become more active in bringing lawsuits related to the sales practices and services of wireless carriers. Varying practices among the states may make it more difficult for us to implement national sales and marketing programs. States also may impose their own universal service support requirements on wireless and other communications carriers, similar to the contribution requirements that have been established by the FCC, and some states are requiring wireless carriers to help fund additional programs, including the implementation of E911 and the provision of intrastate relay services for consumers who are hearing impaired. We anticipate that these trends will continue to require us to devote legal and other resources to work with the states to respond to their concerns while attempting to minimize any new regulation and enforcement actions that could increase our costs of doing business.

Regulation and Wireline Operations

Competitive Local Service

The Telecommunications Act of 1996 (Telecom Act), which was the first comprehensive update of the Communications Act, was designed to promote competition, and it eliminated legal and regulatory barriers for entry into local and long distance communications markets. It also required incumbent local exchange carriers (ILECs) to allow resale of specified local services at wholesale rates, negotiate interconnection agreements, provide

nondiscriminatory access to certain unbundled network elements and allow co-location of interconnection equipment by competitors. The rules implementing the Telecom Act continue to be interpreted by the courts, state PUCs and the FCC, and Congress is considering possible changes

7

Table of Contents

to the Telecom Act. Further restrictions on the pro-competitive aspects of the Telecom Act could adversely affect Sprint's operations.

International Regulation

The wireline services we provide outside the U.S. are subject to the regulatory jurisdiction of foreign governments and international bodies. In general, we are required to obtain licenses to provide wireline services and comply with certain government requirements.

Other Regulations

Network Neutrality

On February 26, 2015, the FCC issued an order reclassifying broadband Internet access service as a telecommunications service subject to Title II of the Communications Act and promulgated new net neutrality rules applicable to both mobile and fixed service providers. The rules prohibit: (1) blocking of lawful content, applications, services and non-harmful devices; (2) impairing or degrading Internet traffic on the basis of content, application, or service, or use of a non-harmful device; and (3) prioritization or favoring of some network traffic over other traffic either in exchange for consideration (monetary or otherwise) from a third party, or to benefit an affiliated entity. All of these prohibitions are subject to a "reasonable network management" exception. The rules also include a "transparency" rule that requires us to disclose information about our commercial terms, performance characteristics, and network practices. In addition, the order established a future conduct rule, to be applied on a case by case basis, prohibiting broadband Internet access providers from unreasonably interfering with or disadvantaging end users' ability to use the Internet to access lawful content, applications, service, or devices of their choice, or edge providers' ability to make such content applications, services, or devices available to end users. Depending upon the interpretation and application of these rules, we may incur additional costs or be limited in the services we can provide.

Truth in Billing and Consumer Protection

The FCC's Truth in Billing rules require both wireline and wireless telecommunications carriers, such as us, to provide full and fair disclosure of all charges on their bills, including brief, clear, and non-misleading plain language descriptions of the services provided. The FCC has opened several proceedings to address issues of consumer protection, including the use of early termination fees, "bill shock" (i.e., overage charges for voice, data and text usage) and has proposed new rules to address cramming. The wireless industry has proactively addressed many of these consumer issues by adopting industry best practices, such as the addition of free notifications regarding voice, data, messaging and international roaming usage. If these FCC proceedings or individual state proceedings create changes in the Truth in Billing rules, our billing and customer service costs could increase.

Access Charges

ILECs and competitive local exchange carriers (CLECs) impose access charges for the origination and termination of calls upon wireless and long distance carriers, including our Wireless and Wireline segments. In addition, ILECs and CLECs charge other carriers special access charges for access to dedicated facilities that are paid by both our Wireless and Wireline segments. These fees and charges are a significant cost for our Wireless and Wireline segments and continue to be the subject of interpretation and litigation.

The FCC also has initiated a proceeding to consider whether special access pricing rules need to be changed, and whether the terms and conditions governing the provision of special access are just and reasonable. As a part of that proceeding, the FCC initiated a mandatory data collection effort, which was completed in early 2015. In May of 2016, the FCC released an Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making which would create a new regulatory framework governing the rates, terms and conditions for the provision of TDM and Ethernet services in non-competitive markets. These changes could reduce Sprint's costs of providing service in some areas. The FCC is expected to complete this rule making in 2016.

Universal Service

Communications carriers contribute to and receive support from various Universal Service Funds (USF) established by the FCC and many states. The federal USF program funds services provided in high-cost areas, reduced-rate services to low-income consumers, and discounted communications and Internet services for schools, libraries and rural health care facilities. Similarly, many states have established their own USFs to which we contribute. The FCC has considered changing its USF contribution methodology, which could impact the amount of our assessments.

Table of Contents

The Lifeline program is included within the USFs. Virgin Mobile was designated as a Lifeline-only Eligible Telecom Carrier (ETC) in 42 jurisdictions as of March 31, 2016, and provides service under our Assurance Wireless brand. As a Lifeline provider, Assurance Wireless receives support from the USF. Changes in the Lifeline program, including adoption of minimum service standards and the phase-out of Lifeline support for standalone voice service, and enforcement actions by the FCC and other regulatory/legislative bodies could negatively impact growth in the Assurance Wireless and wholesale subscriber base and/or the profitability of the Assurance Wireless and wholesale business overall. The decline in standalone voice support, which is expected to begin in December 2019 and will decline annually for all existing subscribers through December 2021, may be offset by the expansion of the Lifeline program to include support for broadband service.

Electronic Surveillance Obligations

The Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement Act (CALEA) requires telecommunications carriers, including us, to modify equipment, facilities and services to allow for authorized electronic surveillance based on either industry or FCC standards. Our CALEA obligations have been extended to data and VoIP networks, and we are in compliance with these requirements. Certain laws and regulations require that we assist various government agencies with electronic surveillance of communications and provide records concerning those communications. We do not disclose customer information to the government or assist government agencies in electronic surveillance unless we have been provided a lawful request for such information. If our obligations under these laws and regulations were to change or were to become the focus of any inquiry or investigation, it could require us to incur additional costs and expenses, which could adversely affect our financial condition or results of operation.

Environmental Compliance

Our environmental compliance and remediation obligations relate primarily to the operation of standby power generators, batteries and fuel storage for our telecommunications equipment. These obligations require compliance with storage and related standards, obtaining of permits and occasional remediation. Although we cannot assess with certainty the impact of any future compliance and remediation obligations, we do not believe that any such expenditures will adversely affect our financial condition or results of operations.

Patents, Trademarks and Licenses

We own numerous patents, patent applications, service marks, trademarks and other intellectual property in the U.S. and other countries, including "Sprint[®]," "Boost Mobile[®]," and "Assurance Wireless[®]." Our services often use the intellectual property of others, such as licensed software, and we often license copyrights, patents and trademarks of others, like "Virgin Mobile." In total, these licenses and our copyrights, patents, trademarks and service marks are of material importance to our business. Generally, our trademarks and service marks endure and are enforceable so long as they continue to be used. Our patents and licensed patents have remaining terms of up to 10 years. We occasionally license our intellectual property to others, including licenses to others to use the "Sprint" trademark.

We have received claims in the past, and may in the future receive claims, that we, or third parties from whom we license or purchase goods or services, have infringed on the intellectual property of others. These claims can be time-consuming and costly to defend, and divert management resources. If these claims are successful, we could be forced to pay significant damages or stop selling certain products or services or stop using certain trademarks. We, or third parties from whom we license or purchase goods or services, also could enter into licenses with unfavorable terms, including royalty payments, which could adversely affect our business.

Access to Public Filings and Board Committee Charters

Important information is routinely posted on our website at www.sprint.com. Public access is provided to our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to these reports filed with or furnished to the SEC under the Exchange Act. These documents may be accessed free of charge on our website at the following address: <http://www.sprint.com/investors>. These documents are available as soon as reasonably practicable after filing with the SEC and may also be found at the SEC's website at www.sec.gov. Information contained on or accessible through our website or the SEC's website is not part of this annual report on Form 10-K.

Our Code of Ethics, the Sprint Code of Conduct (Code of Conduct), our Corporate Governance Guidelines and the charters of the following committees of our board of directors: the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee,

the Finance Committee, and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee may be accessed free of charge on our website at the following address: www.sprint.com/governance. Copies of any of these documents can be obtained free of charge by writing to: Sprint Shareholder Relations, 6200 Sprint Parkway, Mailstop KSOPHF0302-3B424, Overland Park, Kansas 66251 or by email at shareholder.relations@sprint.com. If a provision of the Code of Conduct required under the NYSE corporate governance standards is materially modified, or if a waiver of the Code of Conduct is granted to a director or executive officer,

Table of Contents

a notice of such action will be posted on our website at the following address: www.sprint.com/governance. Only the Audit Committee may consider a waiver of the Code of Conduct for an executive officer or director.

Employee Relations

As of March 31, 2016, we had approximately 30,000 employees.

Executive Officers of the Registrant

The following people are serving as our executive officers as of May 17, 2016. These executive officers were elected to serve until their successors have been elected. There is no familial relationship between any of our executive officers and directors.

| Name | Business Experience | Current Position Held Since | Age |
|----------------------|---|-----------------------------|-----|
| Marcelo Claire | President and Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Claire was named President and CEO, effective August 11, 2014, and has served on the Sprint board of directors since January 2014. Prior to this, he was CEO of Brightstar, a company he founded in 1997 and grew from a small Miami-based distributor of mobile devices into a global business with more than \$10 billion in gross revenue for the year ended 2013. Mr. Claire serves as vice chairman of the board of directors of CTIA-The Wireless Association. He also is a member of the board of directors of My Brother's Keeper Alliance. | 2014 | 45 |
| Tarek Robbiati | Chief Financial Officer. Mr. Robbiati was appointed Chief Financial Officer in August 2015. From January 2013 until August 2015, Mr. Robbiati served as Chief Executive Officer and Managing Director of FlexiGroup Limited in Australia, where he oversaw all segments of the company and reported to the board of directors. From December 2009 until December 2012, Mr. Robbiati was Group Managing Director and Regional President of Telstra International Group, where he oversaw operating and financial aspects of the telecommunications company. From December 2009 until December 2012, Mr. Robbiati was Executive Chairman of Hong Kong CSL Limited ("CSL"), and from July 2007 until May 2010, Mr. Robbiati served as the Chief Executive Officer of CSL, during which time he spearheaded and implemented transformation strategies and strengthened CSL's position as a Market Leader in Hong Kong. | 2015 | 50 |
| Guenther Ottendorfer | Chief Operating Officer, Technology. Mr. Ottendorfer was appointed Chief Operating Officer, Technology in August 2015. He is responsible for overseeing Sprint's network, technology and IT organizations, including related strategy, network operations and performance, as well as partnerships with network, technology and IT vendors. From September 2013 until April 2015, he served as Group CTO at Telekom Austria Group, where he was responsible for driving major wireless expansion, convergence and network function virtualization projects across the countries of the group. From January 2011 until July 2013 Mr. Ottendorfer served as Managing Director - Networks at Optus Singtel, Australia's second largest telecommunications provider with over 11 million customers in cable, fixed, mobile and satellite networks, where he was responsible for the day-to-day running of all Optus networks. | 2015 | 47 |
| Robert Hackl | Chief Experience Officer and President of National Sales. Dr. Hackl was appointed Chief Experience Officer and President of National Sales in March 2016. Dr. Hackl is responsible for Customer Care, Omni-Channel Operations, and the management and measurement of the Sprint Promoter Score and is also responsible for direct and indirect sales, as well as telesales. From August 2013 until March 2016, he served as Director of Customer Operations at Vodafone GmbH, where he established service and customer experience leadership while reducing costs yearly. From October 2010 to May 2013, he served as Senior Vice President of Channel Management at TMobile USA, where he established its | 2016 | 46 |

channel management function and oversaw customer channel initiatives. From 1996 to 2010, he worked as a consultant for McKinsey & Company.

Table of Contents

| Name | Business Experience | Current Position Held Since | Age |
|----------------|--|-----------------------------|-----|
| John Saw Ph.D. | Chief Technology Officer. Dr. Saw was appointed as Chief Technology Officer in August 2015. Previously he was Chief Network Officer and Senior Vice President, Technology Architecture. Dr. Saw is responsible for network engineering, deployment and operations. Prior to this, he was Senior Vice President, Technology Architecture. Before Sprint's acquisition of Clearwire, Dr. Saw was Chief Technology Officer of Clearwire Corp. He joined Clearwire as its second employee in 2003 and was instrumental in scaling the company's technical expertise and organization. In 2009 and 2010, he led the Clearwire Team that built the first 4G network in North America, covering more than 130 million people. | 2015 | 54 |
| Roger Sole | Chief Marketing Officer. Mr. Sole was appointed Chief Marketing Officer in January 2016. From May 2015 until December 2015, Mr. Sole served as Senior Vice President of Marketing, Innovation, and Hispanic Market. From August 2011 until May 2015, Mr. Sole served as the Chief Marketing Officer of TIM Brasil. Under his leadership, TIM Brasil, Telecom Italia's mobile carrier in Brazil, emerged as that country's fastest growing mobile operator by introducing new offers and services and providing innovative ways for customers to get new smartphones. Mr. Sole helped grow TIM Brasil to become the top seller of smartphones in Brazil with a 40% market share and nearly 75 million customers. | 2016 | 42 |
| Dow Draper | President - Global Wholesale and Prepaid Services. Mr. Draper was appointed President - Global Wholesale and Prepaid Services in September 2013. Mr. Draper manages the sales and marketing for Sprint's prepaid brands, Virgin Mobile USA, Boost Mobile and Assurance Wireless as well as Sprint's overall Wholesale business. Previously, he was Senior Vice President and General Manager of Retail for CLEAR, the retail brand of Clearwire, where he oversaw the brand's sales, marketing, customer care and product development. He served in various executive positions at Clearwire since 2009. Before joining Clearwire, Mr. Draper held various roles at Alltel Wireless, including senior vice president of Voice & Data Solutions and senior vice president of Financial Planning and Analysis. He has also held various roles at Western Wireless and McKinsey & Company. | 2013 | 46 |
| Jaime Jones | President, South Area. Mr. Jones was appointed President, South Area in November 2015 and covers 10 Southern states and Central Texas. Based in Atlanta, Mr. Jones is responsible for sales strategy and execution, network oversight, customer service, marketing communications and general operations. Previously, Mr. Jones was appointed as President, Postpaid and General Business in August 2014. Before being named to this role, Mr. Jones was responsible for the consumer sales strategy, distribution and customer experience for Sprint's Postpaid and Prepaid product brands. Mr. Jones has also served Sprint as senior vice president for the General Business and Public Sector organizations, as well as numerous vice president roles at the area, regional and national levels for Local, Emerging and Mid-Markets and General Business units. Mr. Jones has more than 30 years of experience with technology companies, including management and operations roles for Siemens Communications Inc. (formerly IBM, ROLM Systems Division) and Harris/3M Central Penn Office Products Inc. (formerly 3M Copying Products Division). | 2015 | 55 |
| Jorge Gracia | Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Chief Ethics Officer. Mr. Gracia was appointed to his position in January 2016. He oversees all strategic, transactional, dispute, and preventative legal and government affairs matters, provides advice to the board and senior management on various matters, and has responsibility for ethics training and legal compliance. Mr. Gracia has over 25 years of experience in international corporate law, most recently with Samsung Electronics America, Inc., where he served as Senior Vice President and General Counsel from | 2016 | 50 |

October 2013 until December 2015. Mr. Gracia previously spent 17 years at Alcatel-Lucent, where he held a series of positions, each with increasing responsibility. Mr. Gracia last served as Deputy General Counsel - Global Commercial Law, a role in which he led an international team of approximately 200 professionals supporting all commercial matters, including serving as general counsel for global sales and marketing, the team responsible for worldwide revenue-generating activities.

Table of Contents

| Name | Business Experience | Current Position Held Since | Age |
|--------------------|---|-----------------------------|-----|
| Paul Schieber, Jr. | <p>Controller. Mr. Schieber was appointed as Controller in December 2013. Mr. Schieber previously served in various positions at Sprint since 1991. Most recently, he served as Vice President, Access and Roaming Planning, where he was responsible for managing Sprint's roaming costs as well as its wireless and wireline access costs. Prior to that, Mr. Schieber held various leadership roles in Sprint's Finance organization including heading Sprint's internal audit function as well as serving in various Vice President - Finance roles. He was also a director in Sprint's Tax department and a director on its Mergers and Acquisitions team. Before joining Sprint, Mr. Schieber was a senior manager with the public accounting firm Ernst & Young, where he worked as an auditor and a tax consultant. In addition, he served as corporate controller for a small publicly held company.</p> | 2013 | 58 |

Table of Contents

Item 1A. Risk Factors

In addition to the other information contained in this annual report on Form 10-K, the following risk factors should be considered carefully in evaluating us. Our business, financial condition, liquidity or results of operations could be materially adversely affected by any of these risks.

If we are not able to retain and attract profitable wireless subscribers, our financial performance will be impaired. Our success is based on our ability to retain current subscribers and attract new subscribers. If we are unable to attract and retain profitable wireless subscribers, our financial performance will be impaired, and we could fail to meet our financial obligations. From 2008 through March 31, 2016, we have experienced an aggregate net decrease of approximately 11.8 million subscribers in our total retail postpaid subscriber base (excluding the impact of our acquisitions).

Our ability to retain our existing subscribers, to compete successfully for new subscribers, and reduce our churn rate depends on, among other things:

- our ability to anticipate and respond to various competitive factors, including our successful execution of marketing and sales strategies; the acceptance of our value proposition; service delivery and customer care activities, including new account set up and billing; and execution under credit and collection policies;

- actual or perceived quality and coverage of our network;

- public perception about our brands;

- our ability to anticipate, develop, and deploy new or enhanced technologies, products, and services that are attractive to existing or potential subscribers;

- our ability to continue to access spectrum and acquire additional spectrum capacity; and

- our ability to maintain our current mobile virtual network operator (MVNO) relationships and to enter into new MVNO arrangements.

Our ability to retain subscribers may be negatively affected by industry trends related to subscriber contracts.

Recently, we have seen aggressive customer acquisition efforts by our competitors. For example, most service providers are offering wireless service plans without any long-term commitment. Furthermore, some service providers are reimbursing contract termination fees, including paying off the outstanding balance on devices, incurred by new customers in connection with such customers terminating service with their current wireless service providers. Our competitors' aggressive customer contract terms, such as those described above, could negatively affect our ability to retain subscribers and could lead to an increase in our churn rates if we are not successful in providing an attractive product, price, and service mix.

We expect to continue to incur expenses such as the reimbursement of subscriber termination fees, and other subscriber acquisition and retention expenses, to attract and retain subscribers, but there can be no assurance that our efforts will generate new subscribers or result in a lower churn rate. Subscriber losses and a high churn rate could adversely affect our business, financial condition, and results of operations because they result in lost revenues and cash flow.

Moreover, we and our competitors continue to seek a greater proportion of new subscribers from each other's existing subscriber bases rather than from first-time purchasers. To the extent we cannot compete effectively for new subscribers or if we attract more subscribers that are not creditworthy, our revenues and results of operations could be adversely affected.

The success of our network improvements will depend on the timing, extent, and cost of implementation; access to spectrum; the performance of third-parties and related parties; upgrade requirements; and the availability and reliability of the various technologies required to provide such modernization.

We must continually invest in our wireless network, including expanding our network capacity and coverage through macro sites and small cells, in order to improve our wireless services and remain competitive. The development and deployment of new technologies and services requires us to anticipate the changing demands of our customers and to respond accordingly, which we may not be able to do in a timely or efficient manner.

Improvements in our service depend on many factors, including our ability to predict and adapt to future changes in technologies, changes in consumer demands, changes in pricing and service offerings by our competitors, and continued access to and deployment of adequate spectrum, including any leased spectrum. If we are unable to access

spectrum to increase capacity or to deploy the services subscribers desire on a timely basis or at acceptable costs while maintaining network quality levels, our ability to attract and retain subscribers could be adversely affected, which would negatively impact our operating results.

Table of Contents

If we fail to provide a competitive network, our ability to provide wireless services to our subscribers, to attract and retain subscribers, and to maintain and grow our subscriber revenues could be adversely affected. For example, achieving optimal broadband network speeds, capacity, and coverage using 2.5 GHz spectrum relies in significant part on operationalizing a complex mixture of BRS and EBS spectrum licenses and leases in the desired service areas. The EBS is subject to licensing limitations and the technical limitations of the frequencies in the 2.5 GHz range. See "Item 1. Business-Legislative and Regulatory Developments-Regulation and Wireless Operations-2.5 GHz License Conditions." If we are unable to operationalize this mixture of licenses and leases, our targeted network modernization goals could be affected.

Using new and sophisticated technologies on a very large scale entails risks. For example, deployment of new technologies from time to time has adversely affected, and in the future may adversely affect, the performance of existing services on our network and result in increased churn or failure to attract wireless subscribers. Should implementation of our modernized network, which also includes expanding our network through densification using both macro sites and small cells, be delayed or costs exceed expected amounts, our margins could be adversely affected and such effects could be material. Should the delivery of services expected to be deployed on our modernized network be delayed due to technological constraints or changes, performance of third-party suppliers, regulatory restrictions, including zoning and leasing restrictions, or permit issues, subscriber dissatisfaction, or other reasons, the cost of providing such services could become higher than expected, ultimately increasing our cost to subscribers and resulting in decreases in net subscribers, which would adversely affect our revenues, profitability, and cash flow from operations.

Our high debt levels and restrictive debt covenants could negatively impact our ability to access future financing at attractive rates or at all, which could limit our operating flexibility and ability to repay our outstanding debt as it matures.

As of March 31, 2016, our consolidated principal amount of indebtedness was \$33.4 billion, and we had \$3.0 billion of undrawn borrowing capacity under the revolving bank credit facility. Our high debt levels and debt service requirements are significant in relation to our revenues and cash flow, which may reduce our ability to respond to competition and economic trends in our industry or in the economy generally. Our high debt levels and debt service requirements may also limit our financing options as a result of the restrictions placed on certain of our assets in our recent financing transactions. In addition, certain agreements governing our indebtedness impose operating restrictions on us, subject to exceptions, including our ability to:

- pay dividends;
- create liens on our assets;
- receive dividend or other payments from certain of our subsidiaries;
- enter into transactions with affiliates; and
- engage in certain asset sale or business combination transactions.

Our revolving bank credit facility and other financing facilities also require that we maintain certain financial ratios, including a leverage ratio, which could limit our ability to incur additional debt. Our failure to comply with our debt covenants would trigger defaults under those obligations, which could result in the maturities of those debt obligations being accelerated and could in turn result in cross defaults with other debt obligations. If we are forced to refinance our debt obligations prior to maturity on terms that are less favorable or if we were to experience difficulty in refinancing the debt prior to maturity, our results of operations or financial condition could be materially harmed. In addition, our recent asset-based financings, which we expect to continue to rely on in the future as a source of funds, could subject us to an increased risk of loss of assets secured under those facilities. Limitations on our ability to obtain suitable financing when needed, or at all, or a failure to execute on our cost-reduction initiatives, could result in an inability to continue to expand our business, timely execute network modernization plans, and meet competitive challenges.

Subscribers who purchase a device on an installment billing basis are no longer required to sign a fixed-term service contract, which could result in higher churn, and higher bad debt expense.

Our service plans allow certain subscribers to purchase an eligible device under an installment contract payable over a period of up to 24 months. Subscribers who take advantage of these plans are no longer required to sign a fixed-term

service contract to obtain postpaid service; rather, their service is provided on a month to month contract basis with no early termination fee. These service plans may not meet our subscribers' or potential subscribers' needs, expectations, or demands. In addition, subscribers on these plans can discontinue their service at any time without penalty, other than the obligation of any residual commitment they may have for unpaid service or for amounts due under the installment contract for the device. We could experience a higher churn rate than we expect due to the ability of subscribers to more easily change service providers, which could adversely affect our results of operations. Our operational and financial performance may be

Table of Contents

adversely affected if we are unable to grow our customer base and achieve the customer penetration levels that we anticipate with this business model.

Subscribers who have financed their devices through these plans have the option to pay for their devices in installments over a period of up to 24 months. This program subjects us to increased risks relating to consumer credit issues, which could result in increased costs, including increases to our bad debt expense and write-offs of installment billing receivables. These arrangements may be particularly sensitive to changes in general economic conditions, and any declines in the credit quality of our subscriber base could have a material adverse effect on our financial position and results of operations.

Because we lease devices to subscribers, our device leasing program exposes us to new risks, including those related to the actual residual value realized on returned devices, higher churn and increased losses on devices.

We also lease devices to certain of our subscribers. Our financial condition and results of operations depend, in part, on our ability to appropriately assess the credit risk of our lease subscribers and the ability of our lease subscribers to perform under our device leases. In addition to monthly lease payments, we expect to realize economic benefit from the estimated residual value of a leased device, which is the estimated value of a leased device at the time of the expiration of the lease term. Changes in residual value assumptions made at lease inception would affect the amount of depreciation expense and the net amount of equipment under operating leases. If estimated residual values, in the aggregate, significantly decline due to economic factors, obsolescence, or other circumstances, we may not realize such residual value, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial position and results of operations. We may also suffer negative consequences including increased costs and increased losses on devices as a result of a lease subscriber default, the related termination of a lease, and the attempted repossession of the device, including failure of a lease subscriber to return a leased device at the end of the lease. Sustained failure of subscribers to return leased devices could also negatively impact our ability to obtain financing based on leased devices in the future. In addition, subscribers who lease a device are no longer required to sign a fixed-term service contract, which could result in higher churn, and increased losses on devices.

Adverse economic conditions may negatively impact our business and financial performance, as well as our access to financing on acceptable terms or at all.

Our business and financial performance are sensitive to changes in macro-economic conditions, including changes in interest rates, consumer credit conditions, consumer debt levels, consumer confidence, inflation rates (or concerns about deflation), unemployment rates, energy costs, and other factors. Concerns about these and other factors may contribute to market volatility and economic uncertainty.

Market turbulence and weak economic conditions may materially adversely affect our business and financial performance in a number of ways. Our services are available to a broad customer base, a significant portion of which may be more vulnerable to weak economic conditions. We may have greater difficulty in gaining new subscribers within this segment and existing subscribers may be more likely to terminate service due to an inability to pay.

We will need to reduce costs and raise additional capital in the future to provide us with sufficient capital resources and liquidity to meet our commitments and execute our business strategy. Our ability to raise additional capital will depend on, among other things, conditions in the capital markets at that time, which are outside of our control, and our financial performance. Instability in the global financial markets has resulted in periodic volatility in the credit, equity, and fixed income markets. This volatility could limit our access to the credit markets, leading to higher borrowing costs or, in some cases, the inability to obtain financing on terms that are acceptable to us, or at all.

Weak economic conditions and credit conditions may also adversely impact various third parties on which we rely, some of which have filed for or may be considering bankruptcy, experiencing cash flow or liquidity problems, or are unable to obtain credit such that they may no longer be able to operate. Any of these could adversely impact our ability to distribute, market, or sell our products and services.

Government regulation could adversely affect our prospects and results of operations; federal and state regulatory commissions may adopt new regulations or take other actions that could adversely affect our business prospects, future growth, or results of operations.

The FCC, Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, and other federal, state and local, as well as international, governmental authorities assert jurisdiction over our business and could adopt regulations or take

other actions that would adversely affect our business prospects or results of operations.

15

Table of Contents

The licensing, construction, operation, sale and interconnection arrangements of wireless telecommunications systems are regulated by the FCC and, depending on the jurisdiction, international, state and local regulatory agencies. In particular, the FCC imposes significant regulation on licensees of wireless spectrum with respect to how radio spectrum is used by licensees, the nature of the services that licensees may offer and how the services may be offered, and resolution of issues of interference between spectrum bands. The FCC grants wireless licenses for terms of generally ten years that are subject to renewal and revocation. There is no guarantee that our licenses will be renewed. Failure to comply with the FCC requirements applicable to a given license could result in revocation of that license and, depending on the nature of the non-compliance, other Sprint licenses.

The FCC uses its transactional "spectrum screen" to identify prospective wireless transactions that may require additional competitive scrutiny. If a proposed transaction would exceed the spectrum screen threshold, the FCC undertakes a more detailed analysis of relevant market conditions in the impacted geographic areas to determine whether the transaction would reduce competition without offsetting public benefits. The revised screen now includes substantial portions of the 2.5 GHz band previously excluded from the screen and that are licensed or leased to Sprint in numerous markets. As a result, future Sprint spectrum acquisitions may exceed the spectrum screen trigger for additional FCC review. Such additional review could extend the duration of the regulatory review process and there can be no assurance that such transactions will ultimately be completed in whole or in part.

The FCC and other federal agencies have recently engaged in increased regulatory and enforcement activity as well as investigations of the industry generally. Depending upon their interpretation, newly adopted net neutrality regulations may have unforeseen consequences for our business. Such regulations, enforcement activities, or investigations could make it more difficult and expensive to operate our business, and could increase the costs of our wireless operations. In addition, we may offer products that include highly regulated financial services, which subject us to additional state and federal regulations. The costs to comply with such regulations and failure to remain compliant with such regulations could adversely affect our results of operations.

Degradation in network performance caused by compliance with government regulation, loss of spectrum, or additional rules associated with the use of spectrum in any market could result in an inability to attract new subscribers or higher subscriber churn in that market, which could adversely affect our revenues and results of operations. Furthermore, additional costs or fees imposed by governmental regulation could adversely affect our revenues, future growth, and results of operations.

Competition, industry consolidation, and technological changes in the market for wireless services could negatively affect our operations, resulting in adverse effects on our revenues, cash flows, growth, and profitability.

We compete with a number of other wireless service providers in each of the markets in which we provide wireless services. Competition is expected to continue to increase as additional spectrum is made available for commercial wireless services, and we have experienced and expect to continue to experience an increased customer demand for data usage on our network. Competition in pricing, service, and product offerings may adversely impact subscriber retention and our ability to attract new subscribers. A decline in the average revenue per subscriber coupled with a decline in the number of subscribers would negatively impact our revenues, cash flows, and profitability. In addition, consolidation by our competitors and roaming partners could lead to fewer companies controlling access to network infrastructure, enabling our competitors to control usage and rates, which could negatively affect our revenues and profitability.

Further, some of our competitors now provide content services in addition to voice and broadband services, and consumers are increasingly accessing video content from alternative sources via Internet-based providers and applications, all of which create increased competition in this area.

The wireless communications industry continues to experience significant technological change, including improvements in the capacity, quality, and types of technology. These developments cause uncertainty about future subscriber demand for our wireless services and the prices that we will be able to charge for these services. As services, technology, and devices evolve, we also expect continued pressure on voice, text, and other service revenues. Rapid changes in technology may lead to the development of wireless communications technologies, products, or alternative services that are superior to our technologies, products, or services, or that consumers prefer over ours. In addition, technological advances have caused long distance, local, wireless, video, and Internet services to become

more integrated, which has contributed to increased competition, new competitors, new products, and the expansion of services offered by our competitors in each of these markets. If we are unable to meet future advances in competing technologies on a timely basis, or at an acceptable cost, we may not be able to compete effectively and could lose subscribers to our competitors.

Table of Contents

The trading price of our common stock has been, and may continue to be, volatile and may not reflect our actual operations and performance.

Market and industry factors may adversely impact the market price of our common stock, regardless of our actual operations and performance. Stock price volatility and sustained decreases in our share price could subject our stockholders to losses and may adversely impact our ability to issue equity. The trading price of our common stock has been, and may continue to be, subject to fluctuations in response to various factors, some of which are beyond our control, including, but not limited to:

- market and pricing risks due to concentrated ownership of our stock;
- the ability to raise additional capital through the issuance of additional debt or equity or otherwise, including the cost and availability or perceived availability of additional capital;
- announcements by us or our competitors, or market speculation, of acquisitions, spectrum acquisitions, new products, technologies, significant contracts, commercial relationships, or capital commitments;
- the performance of SoftBank and SoftBank's ordinary shares or speculation about the possibility of future actions SoftBank may take in connection with us;
- disruption to our operations or those of other companies critical to our network operations;
- our ability to develop and market new and enhanced technologies, products and services on a timely and cost-effective basis, including any network improvement efforts;
- recommendations by securities analysts or changes in their estimates concerning us;
- changes in the ratings of our debt by rating agencies;
- litigation;
- changes in governmental actions, regulations, or approvals; and
- perceptions of general market conditions in the technology and communications industries, the U.S. economy, and global market conditions.

We have entered into, or may enter into, agreements with various parties for certain business operations. Any difficulties experienced by us in these arrangements could result in additional expense, loss of subscribers and revenue, interruption of our services, or a delay in the roll-out of new technology.

We have entered into, and may in the future enter into, agreements with various third parties for the day-to-day execution of services, provisioning, maintenance, modernization, and densification of our wireless and wireline networks, including the permitting, building, installation, and ownership of certain portions of our new network densification; leases and subleases for space on communications towers; the development and maintenance of certain systems necessary for the operation of our business; customer service, related support to our wireless subscribers, outsourcing aspects of our wireline network and back office functions; and to provide network equipment, handsets, devices, and other equipment. For example, we depend heavily on local access facilities obtained from incumbent local exchange carriers (ILECs) to serve our data and voice subscribers, and payments to ILECs for these facilities are a significant cost of service for our Wireline segment. We also expect our dependence on key suppliers to continue as more advanced technologies are developed, which may lead to additional significant costs. If our key vendors fail to meet their contractual obligations or experience financial difficulty, or if we fail to adequately diversify our reliance among vendors, we may experience disruptions to our business operations or incur significant costs implementing alternative arrangements.

The products and services utilized by us and our suppliers and service providers may infringe on intellectual property rights owned by others.

Some of our products and services use intellectual property that we own. We also purchase products from suppliers, including device suppliers, and outsource services to service providers, including billing and customer care functions, that incorporate or utilize intellectual property. We and some of our suppliers and service providers have received, and may receive in the future, assertions and claims from third parties that the products or software utilized by us or our suppliers and service providers infringe on the patents or other intellectual property rights of these third parties. These claims could require us or an infringing supplier or service provider to cease certain activities or to cease selling the relevant products and services. These claims can be time-consuming and costly to defend and divert management resources. If these claims are successful, we could be forced to pay significant damages or stop selling certain

products or services or stop using certain trademarks, which could adversely affect our results of operations.

17

Table of Contents

Negative outcomes of legal proceedings may adversely affect our business and financial condition.

We are regularly involved in a number of legal proceedings before various state and federal courts, the FCC, the FTC, the CFPB, and state and local regulatory agencies. These proceedings may be complicated, costly, and disruptive to our business operations. We may incur significant expenses in defending these matters and may be required to pay significant fines, awards, or settlements. In addition, litigation or other proceedings could result in restrictions on our current or future manner of doing business. Any of these potential outcomes, such as judgments, awards, settlements, or orders could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, operating results, or ability to do business.

Our reputation and business may be harmed and we may be subject to legal claims if there is a loss, disclosure, misappropriation of, unauthorized access to, or other security breach of our proprietary or sensitive information. Our information technology and other systems—including those of our third-party service providers—that maintain and transmit our proprietary information and our subscribers' information, including credit card information, location data, or other personal information may be compromised by a malicious third-party penetration of our network security or impacted by advertent or inadvertent actions or inactions by our employees and agents. As a result, our subscribers' information may be lost, disclosed, accessed, used, corrupted, destroyed, or taken without the subscribers' consent. Cyber attacks, such as the use of malware, computer viruses, denial of service attacks, or other means for disruption or unauthorized access, have increased in frequency, scope, and potential harm in recent years. We also purchase equipment and software from third parties that could contain software defects, Trojan horses, malware, or other means by which third parties could access our network or the information stored or transmitted on such network or equipment.

While to date, we have not been subject to cyber attacks or other cyber incidents which, individually or in the aggregate, have been material to our operations or financial condition, the preventive actions we take to reduce the risk of cyber incidents and protect our information technology and networks may be insufficient to repel a cyber attack in the future. In addition, the costs of such preventative actions may be significant, which may adversely affect our results of operations. Any major compromise of our data or network security, failure to prevent or mitigate a loss of our services or network, our proprietary information, or our subscribers' information, and delays in detecting any such compromise or loss, could disrupt our operations, impact our reputation and subscribers' willingness to purchase our service, and subject us to significant additional expenses. Such expenses could include incentives offered to existing subscribers and other business relationships in order to retain their business, increased expenditures on cyber security measures and the use of alternate resources, lost revenues from business interruption, and litigation, which could be material. Furthermore, the potential costs associated with any such cyber attacks could be greater than the insurance coverage we maintain.

In addition to cyber attacks, major equipment failures, natural disasters, including severe weather, terrorist acts or other disruptions that affect our wireline and wireless networks, including transport facilities, communications switches, routers, microwave links, cell sites, or other equipment or third-party owned local and long-distance networks on which we rely, could disrupt our operations, require significant resources to remedy, result in a loss of subscribers or impair our ability to attract new subscribers, which in turn could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

If we are unable to improve our results of operations and as we continue to modernize our networks, we may be required to recognize an impairment of our long-lived assets, goodwill, or other indefinite-lived intangible assets, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial position and results of operations.

As a result of the SoftBank Merger, Sprint recognized goodwill at its acquisition-date estimate of fair value of approximately \$6.6 billion, which has been entirely allocated to the wireless segment. Since goodwill was reflected at its estimate of fair value, there was no excess fair value over book value as of the date of the close of the SoftBank Merger. Additionally, we recorded \$14.6 billion and \$41.7 billion of long-lived assets and indefinite-lived intangible assets, respectively, as of the close of the SoftBank Merger. We evaluate the carrying value of our indefinite-lived assets, including goodwill, at least annually or more frequently whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the asset may be impaired, or in the case of goodwill, that the fair value of the reporting unit is below its carrying amount. During the quarter ended December 31, 2014, we recorded an impairment loss of \$1.9 billion and \$233

million for the Sprint trade name and Wireline long-lived assets, respectively. Continued, sustained declines in the Company's operating results, future forecasted cash flows, growth rates and other assumptions, as well as significant, sustained declines in the Company's stock price and related market capitalization could impact the underlying key assumptions and our estimated fair values, potentially leading to a future material impairment of long-lived assets, goodwill, or other indefinite-lived assets, which could adversely affect our financial position and results of operations. In addition, as we continue to refine our network strategy, management may conclude, in future periods, that certain equipment assets in use will not be utilized as long as originally intended, which

Table of Contents

could result in an acceleration of depreciation expense. Moreover, certain equipment assets may never be deployed or redeployed, in which case cash and/or non-cash charges that could be material to our consolidated financial statements would be recognized.

Any acquisitions, strategic investments, or mergers may subject us to significant risks, any of which may harm our business.

As part of our long term strategy, we regularly evaluate potential acquisitions, strategic investments, and mergers, and we actively engage in discussions with potential counterparties. Over time, we may acquire, make investments in, or merge with companies that complement or expand our business. Some of these potential transactions could be significant relative to the size of our business and operations. Any such acquisitions would involve a number of risks and present financial, managerial and operational challenges, including:

- diversion of management attention from running our existing business;
- possible material weaknesses in internal control over financial reporting;
- increased costs to integrate the networks, spectrum, technology, personnel, subscriber base, and business practices of the company involved in the acquisition, strategic investment, or merger with our business;
- potential exposure to material liabilities not discovered in the due diligence process or as a result of any litigation arising in connection with such transactions;
- significant transaction expenses in connection with any such transaction, whether consummated or not;
- risks related to our ability to obtain any required regulatory approvals necessary to consummate any such transaction;
 - acquisition financing may not be available on reasonable terms or at all and any such financing could
 - significantly increase our outstanding indebtedness or otherwise affect our capital structure or credit ratings;
 - and

any acquired or merged business, technology, service, or product may significantly under-perform relative to our expectations, and we may not achieve the benefits we expect from our transaction, which could, among other things, also result in a write-down of goodwill and other intangible assets associated with such transaction.

Certain of these risks may also apply to the RadioShack transaction. For any or all of these reasons, our pursuit of an acquisition, investment, or merger may cause our actual results to differ materially from those anticipated.

Controlled Company Risks

As long as SoftBank controls us, other holders of our common stock will have limited ability to influence matters requiring stockholder approval and SoftBank's interest may conflict with ours and other stockholders.

As of March 31, 2016, SoftBank beneficially owned approximately 83% of the outstanding common stock of Sprint. As a result, until such time as SoftBank and its controlled affiliates hold shares representing less than a majority of the votes entitled to be cast by the holders of our outstanding common stock at a stockholder meeting, SoftBank generally will have the ability to control the outcome of any matter submitted for the vote of our stockholders, except in certain circumstances set forth in our certificate of incorporation or bylaws.

In addition, pursuant to our bylaws, we are subject to certain requirements and limitations regarding the composition of our board of directors. Many of those requirements and limitations expire on or prior to July 10, 2016. Thereafter, for so long as SoftBank and its controlled affiliates hold shares of our common stock representing at least a majority of the votes entitled to be cast by the holders of our common stock at a stockholder meeting, SoftBank will be able to freely nominate and elect all the members of our board of directors, subject only to a requirement that a certain number of directors qualify as "Independent Directors," as such term is defined in the NYSE listing rules and applicable laws. The directors elected by SoftBank will have the authority to make decisions affecting the capital structure of the Company, including the issuance of additional capital stock or options, the incurrence of additional indebtedness, the implementation of stock repurchase programs, and the declaration of dividends.

The interests of SoftBank may not coincide with the interests of our other stockholders or with holders of our indebtedness. SoftBank's ability, subject to the limitations in our certificate of incorporation and bylaws, to control all matters submitted to our stockholders for approval limits the ability of other stockholders to influence corporate matters and, as a result, we may take actions that our stockholders or holders of our indebtedness do not view as beneficial. As a result, the

Table of Contents

market price of our common stock or terms upon which we issue indebtedness could be adversely affected. In addition, the existence of a controlling stockholder may have the effect of making it more difficult for a third-party to acquire, or discouraging a third-party from seeking to acquire, the Company. A third-party would be required to negotiate any such transaction with SoftBank, and the interests of SoftBank with respect to such transaction may be different from the interests of our other stockholders or with holders of our indebtedness. In addition, the performance of SoftBank and SoftBank's ordinary shares or speculation about the possibility of future actions SoftBank may take in connection with us may adversely affect our share price or the trading price of our debt securities.

Subject to limitations in our certificate of incorporation that limit SoftBank's ability to engage in certain competing businesses in the U.S. or take advantage of certain corporate opportunities, SoftBank is not restricted from competing with us or otherwise taking for itself or its other affiliates certain corporate opportunities that may be attractive to the Company.

SoftBank's ability to eventually control our board of directors may make it difficult for us to recruit independent directors.

For so long as SoftBank and its controlled affiliates hold shares of our common stock representing at least a majority of the votes entitled to be cast by the holders of our common stock at a stockholders' meeting, SoftBank will be able to elect all of the members of our board of directors commencing in July 2016, which is three years following the effective time of the SoftBank Merger. Further, the interests of SoftBank and our other stockholders may diverge. Under these circumstances, persons who might otherwise accept an invitation to join our board of directors may decline.

Any inability to resolve favorably any disputes that may arise between the Company and SoftBank or its affiliates may adversely affect our business.

Disputes may arise between SoftBank or its affiliates and the Company in a number of areas, including:

- business combinations involving the Company;
- sales or dispositions by SoftBank of all or any portion of its ownership interest in us;
- the nature, quality and pricing of services SoftBank or its affiliates may agree to provide to the Company;
- arrangements with third parties that are exclusionary to SoftBank or its affiliates or the Company; and
- business opportunities that may be attractive to both SoftBank or its affiliates and the Company.

We may not be able to resolve any potential conflicts, and even if we do, the resolution may be less favorable than if we were dealing with an unaffiliated party.

We are a "controlled company" within the meaning of the NYSE rules and, as a result, rely on exemptions from certain corporate governance requirements that provide protection to stockholders of companies that are not "controlled companies."

SoftBank owns more than 50% of the total voting power of our common shares and, accordingly, we have elected to be treated as a "controlled company" under the NYSE corporate governance standards. As a controlled company, we are exempt under the NYSE standards from the obligation to comply with certain NYSE corporate governance requirements, including the requirements:

- that a majority of our board of directors consists of independent directors;
- that we have a corporate governance and nominating committee that is composed entirely of independent directors with a written charter addressing the committee's purpose and responsibilities;
- that we have a compensation committee that is composed entirely of independent directors with a written charter addressing the committee's purpose and responsibilities; and
- that an annual performance evaluation of the nominating and governance committee and compensation committee be performed.

As a result of our use of the "controlled company" exemptions, holders of our common stock and debt securities may not have the same protection afforded to stockholders of companies that are subject to all of the NYSE corporate governance requirements.

Table of Contents

Regulatory authorities have imposed measures to protect national security and classified projects as well as other conditions that could have an adverse effect on Sprint.

As a precondition to approval of the SoftBank Merger, certain U.S. government agencies required that SoftBank and Sprint enter into certain agreements, including a National Security Agreement (NSA) under which SoftBank and Sprint have agreed to implement certain measures to protect national security, certain of which may materially and adversely affect our operating results due to increasing the cost of compliance with security measures, and limiting our control over certain U.S. facilities, contracts, personnel, vendor selection, and operations. If we fail to comply with our obligations under the NSA or other agreements, our ability to operate our business may be adversely effected.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

Our corporate headquarters are located in Overland Park, Kansas and consist of approximately 3,853,000 square feet. Our gross property, plant and equipment at March 31, 2016 totaled \$30.0 billion, as follows:

| | |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | March 31, 2016 (in billions) |
| Wireless | \$ 27.0 |
| Wireline | 1.2 |
| Corporate and other | 1.8 |
| Total | \$ 30.0 |

Properties utilized by our Wireless segment generally consist of either leased or owned assets in the following categories: switching equipment, radio frequency equipment, cell site towers and related leasehold improvements, site development costs, network software, leased devices, internal-use software, retail fixtures and retail leasehold improvements.

Properties utilized by our Wireline segment generally consist of either leased or owned assets in the following categories: digital fiber optic cable, transport facilities, transmission-related equipment and network buildings.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

In March 2009, a stockholder brought suit, *Bennett v. Sprint Nextel Corp.*, in the U.S. District Court for the District of Kansas, alleging that Sprint Communications and three of its former officers violated Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 by failing adequately to disclose certain alleged operational difficulties subsequent to the Sprint-Nextel merger, and by purportedly issuing false and misleading statements regarding the write-down of goodwill. The district court granted final approval of a settlement in August 2015, which did not have a material impact to our financial statements. Five stockholder derivative suits related to this 2009 stockholder suit were filed against Sprint Communications and certain of its present and/or former officers and directors. The first, *Murphy v. Forsee*, was filed in state court in Kansas on April 8, 2009, was removed to federal court, and was stayed by the court pending resolution of the motion to dismiss the *Bennett* case; the second, *Randolph v. Forsee*, was filed on July 15, 2010 in state court in Kansas, was removed to federal court, and was remanded back to state court; the third, *Ross-Williams v. Bennett, et al.*, was filed in state court in Kansas on February 1, 2011; the fourth, *Price v. Forsee, et al.*, was filed in state court in Kansas on April 15, 2011; and the fifth, *Hartleib v. Forsee, et. al.*, was filed in federal court in Kansas on July 14, 2011. These cases were essentially stayed while the *Bennett* case was pending, and we have reached an agreement in principle to settle the matters, by agreeing to some governance provisions and by paying plaintiffs' attorneys fees in an immaterial amount. The hearing to approve the settlement has been set for May 26, 2016.

Table of Contents

Sprint Communications, Inc. is also a defendant in a complaint filed by stockholders of Clearwire Corporation, asserting claims for breach of fiduciary duty by Sprint Communications, and related claims and otherwise challenging the Clearwire Acquisition. ACP Master, LTD, et al. v. Sprint Nextel Corp., et al., was filed April 26, 2013 in Chancery Court in Delaware. Our motion to dismiss the suit was denied, discovery is substantially complete and our motion for summary judgment is pending. Plaintiffs in the ACP Master, LTD suit have also filed suit requesting an appraisal of the fair value of their Clearwire stock. Discovery in that case was consolidated with the breach of fiduciary duty case and is substantially complete. Trial is scheduled to begin in October 2016. Sprint Communications intends to defend the ACP Master, LTD cases vigorously. We do not expect the resolution of these matters to have a material adverse effect on our financial position or results of operations.

Various other suits, inquiries, proceedings, and claims, either asserted or unasserted, including purported class actions typical for a large business enterprise and intellectual property matters, are possible or pending against us. If our interpretation of certain laws or regulations, including those related to various federal or state matters such as sales, use or property taxes, or other charges were found to be mistaken, it could result in payments by us. While it is not possible to determine the ultimate disposition of each of these proceedings and whether they will be resolved consistent with our beliefs, we expect that the outcome of such proceedings, individually or in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position or results of operations.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

None.

Table of Contents

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.

Common Share Data

Our common stock is traded under the stock symbol "S" on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE). From January 1, 2012 through July 10, 2013, the stock that traded was the Series 1 common stock of Sprint Communications, Inc., which was formerly known as Sprint Nextel Corporation. On July 10, 2013, the SoftBank Merger closed, and after that date, the stock that trades on the NYSE is the common stock of Sprint Corporation. We currently have no non-voting common stock outstanding. The high and low common stock prices, as reported on the NYSE composite, were as follows:

| | Year Ended | | Year Ended | |
|---------------------------|------------|--------|------------|--------|
| | March 31, | | March 31, | |
| | 2016 | | 2015 | |
| | High | Low | High | Low |
| Common stock market price | | | | |
| First quarter | \$5.39 | \$4.41 | \$9.76 | \$7.38 |
| Second quarter | 5.29 | 3.10 | 8.68 | 5.36 |
| Third quarter | 5.12 | 3.50 | 6.45 | 3.79 |
| Fourth quarter | 4.19 | 2.18 | 5.45 | 4.01 |

Number of Stockholders of Record

As of May 13, 2016, we had approximately 30,000 common stock record holders.

Dividends

We did not declare any dividends on our common stock for all periods presented in the consolidated financial statements. We are currently restricted from paying cash dividends by the terms of our revolving bank credit facility as described under "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Liquidity and Capital Resources."

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

None.

Table of Contents

Performance Graph

The graph below compares the cumulative total shareholder return for the Company's common stock with the S&P® 500 Stock Index and the Dow Jones U.S. Telecommunications Index for the three fiscal years ended December 31, 2013, the three-month transition period ended March 31, 2014 and the fiscal years ended March 31, 2015 and 2016. Because Sprint Corporation common stock did not commence trading until after the SoftBank Merger, the graph below reflects the cumulative total shareholder return on the Series 1 common stock of Sprint Communications, Inc., our predecessor, through July 10, 2013 and, thereafter, reflects the total shareholder return on the common stock of Sprint Corporation. The graph assumes an initial investment of \$100 on December 31, 2010 and, if any, the reinvestment of all dividends.

Value of \$100 Invested on December 31, 2010

| | 12/31/2010 | 12/31/2011 | 12/31/2012 | 12/31/2013 | 3/31/2014 | 3/31/2015 | 3/31/2016 |
|------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Sprint Corporation | \$ 100.00 | \$ 55.32 | \$ 134.04 | \$ 254.14 | \$ 217.26 | \$ 112.06 | \$ 82.27 |
| S&P 500 Index | \$ 100.00 | \$ 102.11 | \$ 118.45 | \$ 156.82 | \$ 159.65 | \$ 179.98 | \$ 183.19 |
| Dow Jones U.S. Telecom Index | \$ 100.00 | \$ 104.24 | \$ 123.50 | \$ 140.95 | \$ 141.46 | \$ 147.23 | \$ 172.44 |

Table of Contents

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The Company's financial statement presentations distinguish between the predecessor period (Predecessor) relating to Sprint Communications (formerly known as Sprint Nextel Corporation) for periods prior to the SoftBank Merger and the successor period (Successor) relating to Sprint Corporation, formerly known as Starburst II, for periods subsequent to the incorporation of Starburst II on October 5, 2012. The Successor financial information represents the activity and accounts of Sprint Corporation, which includes the activity and accounts of Starburst II prior to the close of the SoftBank Merger on July 10, 2013 and Sprint Communications, inclusive of the consolidation of Clearwire Corporation, prospectively following completion of the SoftBank Merger, beginning on July 11, 2013 (Post-merger period). The accounts and operating activity of Starburst II prior to the close of the SoftBank Merger primarily related to merger expenses that were incurred in connection with the SoftBank Merger (recognized in selling, general and administrative expense) and interest related to the \$3.1 billion convertible bond (Bond) Sprint Communications, Inc. issued to Starburst II. The Predecessor financial information represents the historical basis of presentation for Sprint Communications for all periods prior to the SoftBank Merger. See "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" for additional discussions on our trends and combined information. The selected financial data presented below is not comparable for all periods presented primarily as a result of transactions such as the SoftBank Merger and acquisitions of Clearwire and certain assets of United States Cellular Corporation (U.S. Cellular) in 2013. All acquired companies' results of operations subsequent to their acquisition dates are included in our consolidated financial statements. See "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" for additional discussions on our trends and combined information.

| | Successor | | | | Predecessor | | | | | |
|---|---|----------------------|------------------------------|---------|--------------------------|---------|-------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|
| | Year Ended March 31, | Year Ended March 31, | Three Months Ended March 31, | | Years Ended December 31, | | 191 Days Ended July 10, | Three Months Ended March 31, | Years Ended December 31, | |
| | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| | (in millions, except per share amounts) | | | | | | | | | |
| Results of Operations | | | | | | | | | | |
| Service revenue | \$27,174 | \$29,542 | \$7,876 | \$— | \$15,094 | \$— | \$16,895 | \$7,980 | \$32,097 | \$30,768 |
| Equipment revenue | 5,006 | 4,990 | 999 | — | 1,797 | — | 1,707 | 813 | 3,248 | 2,911 |
| Net operating revenues | 32,180 | 34,532 | 8,875 | — | 16,891 | — | 18,602 | 8,793 | 35,345 | 33,679 |
| Depreciation | 5,794 | 3,797 | 868 | — | 2,026 | — | 3,098 | 1,422 | 6,240 | 4,455 |
| Amortization | 1,294 | 1,552 | 429 | — | 908 | — | 147 | 70 | 303 | 403 |
| Operating income (loss) | 310 | (1,895) | 420 | (14) | (970) | (33) | (885) | 29 | (1,820) | 108 |
| Net loss | (1,995) | (3,345) | (151) | (9) | (1,860) | (27) | (1,158) | (643) | (4,326) | (2,890) |
| Loss per Share and Dividends ⁽¹⁾ | | | | | | | | | | |
| Basic and diluted loss per common share | \$(0.50) | \$(0.85) | \$(0.04) | | \$(0.54) | | \$(0.38) | \$(0.21) | \$(1.44) | \$(0.96) |
| Financial Position | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total assets | \$78,975 | \$82,841 | \$84,549 | \$3,122 | \$85,953 | \$3,115 | N/A | \$50,474 | \$51,278 | \$49,200 |
| Property, plant and equipment, | 20,297 | 19,721 | 16,299 | — | 16,164 | — | N/A | 14,025 | 13,607 | 14,009 |

Edgar Filing: SPRINT Corp - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------|---------|--------|--------|---------|-------|---------|--------|---------|---------|
| net Intangible assets, net | 51,117 | 52,455 | 55,919 | — | 56,272 | — | N/A | 22,352 | 22,371 | 22,428 |
| Total debt, capital lease and financing obligations (including equity unit notes) | 33,958 | 33,642 | 32,638 | — | 32,869 | — | N/A | 24,217 | 24,049 | 20,091 |
| Stockholders' equity | 19,783 | 21,710 | 25,312 | 3,122 | 25,584 | 3,110 | N/A | 6,474 | 7,087 | 11,427 |
| Cash Flow Data | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | \$3,897 | \$2,450 | \$522 | \$(2) | \$(61) | \$— | \$2,671 | \$940 | \$2,999 | \$3,691 |
| Capital expenditures - network and other | 4,680 | 5,422 | 1,488 | — | 3,847 | — | 3,140 | 1,381 | 4,261 | 3,130 |
| Capital expenditures - leased devices | 2,292 | 582 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |

(1) We did not declare any dividends on our common shares in any of the periods reported.

Table of Contents

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

OVERVIEW

Business Overview

Sprint is a communications company offering a comprehensive range of wireless and wireline communications products and services that are designed to meet the needs of individual consumers, businesses, government subscribers, and resellers. Unless the context otherwise requires, references to "Sprint," "we," "us," "our" and the "Company" mean Sprint Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries for all periods presented, inclusive of Successor and Predecessor periods, and references to "Sprint Communications" are to Sprint Communications, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries.

Wireless segment earnings represented almost all of our total consolidated segment earnings for the year ended March 31, 2016. Within the Wireless segment, postpaid wireless service revenue represents the most significant contributor to earnings, and is driven by the number of postpaid subscribers to our services, as well as the average revenue per user (ARPU).

Strategies and Key Priorities

Our business strategy is to be responsive to changing customer mobility demands of existing and potential customers, and to expand our business into new areas of customer value and economic opportunity through innovation and differentiation. To help lay the foundation for these future growth opportunities, our strategy revolves around targeted investment, in the following key priority areas:

- Provide a network that delivers the consistent reliability, capacity and speed that customers demand;
- Achieve a more competitive cost position in the industry through simplification;
- Increase subscriber acquisition and retention and reduce churn;
- Create an alternative financial structure to fuel growth and maximize shareholder value;
- Attract and retain the best talent in the industry; and
- Deliver a simplified and improved customer experience.

To achieve these key priorities we are focusing on the following initiatives. To provide a network that delivers the consistent reliability, capacity and speed that customers demand, we expect to continue to optimize our 3G data network and invest in LTE deployment across all of our spectrum bands. We also expect to deploy new technologies that will help strengthen our competitive position, including the expected use of Voice over LTE, more extensive use of Wi-Fi and the use of small cells to further densify our network.

To achieve a more competitive cost position, we have established an Office of Cost Management with responsibility for identifying, operationalizing, and monitoring sustained improvements in operating costs and efficiencies. Also, we have deployed new cost management and planning tools across the entire organization to more effectively monitor expenditures.

We are focused on attracting and retaining subscribers by improving our sales and marketing initiatives. We have expanded our direct retail store presence through our relationship with RadioShack, as well as our Direct to You service that brings the Sprint store experience to our customers. We have demonstrated our value proposition through our new price plans, promotions, and payment programs and have deployed new local marketing and civic engagement initiatives in key markets.

Our alternative financial structure consists of transactions that leverage our assets such as the Handset Sale-leaseback Tranche 1 we entered into in November 2015 and the Network Equipment Sale-Leaseback, Handset Sale-Leaseback Tranche 2 and new unsecured financing facility we recently executed, described in more detail in "Liquidity and Capital Resources." In addition, we continue to identify other funding sources such as the potential monetization of certain spectrum holdings or certain real estate. In addition, with the Office of Cost Management, we have commenced major cost cutting initiatives to reduce operating expenses and improve our operating cash flows.

We seek to build a stronger management team by attracting new outside talent with world class experience and credentials while retaining selected members of the incumbent management team. We recently began operating in a regional model that will put key leadership closer to customers and allow us to better serve them in four geographic areas which are comprised of seventeen regions.

To deliver a simplified and improved customer experience, we are focusing on key subscriber touch points, pursuing process improvements and deploying platforms to simplify and enhance the interactions between us and our

Table of Contents

customers. In addition, we have established a Customer Experience Office to support our focus on net promoter score as our key measure in customer satisfaction.

Network

We will continue to take advantage of our deep spectrum position to deploy our 4G LTE Plus network. LTE Plus is currently available in over 200 markets leveraging carrier aggregation and beamforming for better data performance. Our deep 2.5GHz holdings will allow us to dedicate spectrum to deploy 60MHz wide channels for even faster data speeds. We plan to densify the network through the use of small cell technology, femto cells, in-building solutions and repeaters as well as continuing to use traditional macro sites. This approach builds the foundation for deployment of 5G wireless technology in the future while continuing to enhance the customer experience by adding data capacity, increasing the wireless data speeds available to our customers and improving network coverage for both voice and data services. As we continue to refine our network strategy and evaluate other potential network initiatives, we may incur future material charges associated with lease and access exit costs, loss from asset dispositions or accelerated depreciation, among others.

Shentel Transaction

On August 10, 2015, Shenandoah Telecommunications Company (Shentel) entered into a definitive agreement to acquire one of our wholesale partners, NTELOS Holdings Corp (nTelos). In connection with this definitive agreement, we entered into a series of agreements with Shentel, subject to regulatory approval, to, among other things, acquire certain assets such as spectrum, terminate our existing wholesale arrangement with nTelos, and amend our existing affiliate agreement with Shentel to include, among other things, the subscribers formerly under the wholesale arrangement with nTelos. The agreements will also expand the area in which Shentel provides wireless service to Sprint customers and will provide for more favorable economic terms. In April 2016, we received regulatory approval and the transaction was closed in May 2016. The total consideration for this transaction included approximately \$195 million, on a net present value basis, of notes payable to Shentel. Sprint will satisfy its obligations under the notes payable over an expected term of five to six years. Approximately \$110 million of the total purchase price will be recorded as a loss in the quarter ended June 30, 2016, which related to the termination of our pre-existing wholesale arrangement with nTelos.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

On July 9, 2013, Sprint Nextel Corporation (Sprint Nextel) completed the acquisition of the remaining equity interests in Clearwire Corporation and its consolidated subsidiary Clearwire Communications LLC (together "Clearwire") that it did not previously own (Clearwire Acquisition) in an all cash transaction. On July 10, 2013, SoftBank Corp., which subsequently changed its name to SoftBank Group Corp., and certain of its wholly-owned subsidiaries (together, "SoftBank") completed the merger (SoftBank Merger) with Sprint Nextel contemplated by the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of October 15, 2012 (as amended, the Merger Agreement), and the Bond Purchase Agreement, dated as of October 15, 2012 (as amended, the Bond Agreement). As a result of the SoftBank Merger, Starburst II became the parent company of Sprint Nextel. Immediately thereafter, Starburst II changed its name to Sprint Corporation and Sprint Nextel changed its name to Sprint Communications, Inc.

As a result of these transactions, the assets and liabilities of Sprint Communications and Clearwire were adjusted to estimated fair value on the respective closing dates. The Company's financial statement presentations distinguish between the predecessor period (Predecessor) relating to Sprint Communications for periods prior to the SoftBank Merger and the successor period (Successor) relating to Sprint Corporation, formerly known as Starburst II, for periods subsequent to the incorporation of Starburst II on October 5, 2012. The Successor financial information includes the activity and accounts of Sprint Corporation, which includes the activity and accounts of Starburst II prior to the close of the SoftBank Merger on July 10, 2013 and Sprint Communications, inclusive of the consolidation of Clearwire Corporation, prospectively following completion of the SoftBank Merger, beginning on July 11, 2013 (Post-merger period). The accounts and operating activity of Starburst II prior to the close of the SoftBank Merger primarily related to merger expenses that were incurred in connection with the SoftBank Merger (recognized in selling, general and administrative expense) and interest related to the \$3.1 billion Bond Sprint Communications, Inc. issued to Starburst II. The Predecessor financial information represents the historical basis of presentation for Sprint

Communications for all periods prior to the SoftBank Merger.

As a result of the SoftBank Merger, and in order to present Management's Discussion and Analysis in a way that offers investors a more meaningful period to period comparison, in addition to presenting and discussing our historical results of operations as reported in our consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (U.S. GAAP), we have combined the 2013 Predecessor financial information with the 2013 Successor financial information, on an unaudited combined basis (Combined). The unaudited Combined data consists of Predecessor

Table of Contents

information for the 191-day period ended July 10, 2013 and Successor information for the year ended December 31, 2013. The Combined information for the year ended December 31, 2013 does not comply with U.S. GAAP and is not intended to represent what our consolidated results of operations would have been if the Successor had actually been formed on January 1, 2013 and acquired the Predecessor as of such date, nor have we made any attempt to either include or exclude expenses or income that would have resulted had the SoftBank Merger actually occurred on January 1, 2013.

U.S. GAAP Discussion and Analysis

The following discussion covers results for the Successor years ended March 31, 2016 compared to March 31, 2015, the Successor years ended March 31, 2015 compared to December 31, 2013 and the Successor three-month transition period ended March 31, 2014 compared to the unaudited three-month Predecessor period ended March 31, 2013. The results for the Successor three-month period ended March 31, 2013 were considered insignificant and are not comparable to the Successor year ended December 31, 2013 or three-month transition period ended March 31, 2014 as the Successor entity was established on October 5, 2012 for the sole purpose of completing the SoftBank Merger. Results for the three-month period ended March 31, 2013 primarily reflected merger expenses that were incurred (recognized in selling, general and administrative expense) and interest income related to the \$3.1 billion Bond issued in connection with the SoftBank Merger. We have provided information regarding certain of the elements of the acquisition method of accounting affecting the Successor period ended December 31, 2013 and transition period ended March 31, 2014 results to enable further comparability.

Supplemental Discussion and Analysis

Results for the Successor year ended March 31, 2015 as compared to the unaudited Combined year ended December 31, 2013 are also discussed, to the extent necessary, to provide an analysis of results on comparable periods although the basis of presentation may not be comparable due to the application of the acquisition method of accounting. Additionally, in certain sections we discuss the activity of the Predecessor 191-day period ended July 10, 2013 to the extent it provides useful information for the activity during that period.

Acquisition Method of Accounting Effects to the Successor Periods Ending March 31, 2014 (Transition Period) and December 31, 2013

The allocation of the consideration transferred to assets acquired and liabilities assumed were based on estimated fair values as of the date of the SoftBank Merger, as described further in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements. As a result, the following estimated impacts of purchase price accounting are included in our results of operations for the Successor three-month transition period ended March 31, 2014 and year ended December 31, 2013:

- Reduced postpaid wireless revenue and wireless cost of service of approximately \$29 million and \$59 million each for the Successor three-month transition period ended March 31, 2014 and for the year ended December 31, 2013, respectively, as a result of purchase accounting adjustments to deferred revenue and deferred costs;
- Reduced prepaid wireless revenue of approximately \$96 million for the Successor year ended December 31, 2013 as a result of purchase accounting adjustments to eliminate deferred revenue;
- Increased rent expense of \$29 million and \$55 million for the Successor three-month transition period ended March 31, 2014 and year ended December 31, 2013, respectively, which was included in cost of service, primarily attributable to the write-off of deferred rents associated with our operating leases, offset by the amortization of our net unfavorable leases recorded in purchase accounting;
- Increased cost of products sold of approximately \$31 million for the Successor year ended December 31, 2013 as a result of purchase accounting adjustments to accessory inventory;
- Reduced depreciation expense of approximately \$60 million and \$400 million for the Successor three-month transition period ended March 31, 2014 and year ended December 31, 2013, respectively, as a result of purchase accounting adjustments reflecting a net decrease to property, plant and equipment;
- Incremental amortization expense of approximately \$359 million and \$772 million for the Successor three-month transition period ended March 31, 2014 and year ended December 31, 2013, respectively, which was primarily attributable to the recognition of customer relationships of approximately \$6.9 billion; and
- Decrease in pension expense of approximately \$22 million and \$46 million for the Successor three-month transition period ended March 31, 2014 and year ended December 31, 2013, respectively, which was

Table of Contents

primarily reflected in selling, general and administrative expense, due to the purchase accounting adjustment to unrecognized net periodic pension and other post-retirement benefits.

Predecessor 191-Day Period Ended July 10, 2013

Significant changes in the underlying trends affecting the Company's consolidated results of operations and net loss for the 191 days ended July 10, 2013 were as follows:

We recorded a gain on previously-held Clearwire equity interests of approximately \$2.9 billion for the difference between the estimated fair value of the equity interests owned prior to the acquisition (\$5.00 per share offer price less an estimated control premium of approximately \$0.60) and the carrying value of approximately \$325 million for those previously-held equity interests; and

Increased income tax expense was primarily attributable to taxable temporary differences as a result of the \$2.9 billion gain on the previously-held equity interests in Clearwire, which was principally attributable to the increase in the fair value of Federal Communications Commission (FCC) licenses held by Clearwire and from amortization of FCC licenses. FCC licenses are amortized over 15 years for income tax purposes but, because these licenses have an indefinite life, they are not amortized for financial statement reporting purposes.

Consolidated Results of Operations

The following table provides an overview of the consolidated results of operations.

| | Successor | | Combined | | Successor | Predecessor | | |
|---|---------------|-----------|--------------|-------|-----------|-------------|-----------|---------|
| | Year | Year | Three Months | | Year | Year | 191 | Three |
| | Ended | Ended | Ended | | Ended | Ended | Days | Months |
| | March | March | March | | December | December | Ended | Ended |
| | 31, | 31, | 31, | | 31, | 31, | July 10, | March |
| | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2013 | 2013 | 2013 | 2013 |
| | (in millions) | | | | | | | |
| Wireless segment earnings | \$8,051 | \$5,894 | \$1,837 | \$— | \$4,948 | \$2,178 | \$2,770 | \$1,395 |
| Wireline segment earnings | 92 | 113 | 12 | — | 494 | 222 | 272 | 128 |
| Corporate, other and eliminations | 3 | (7) | (5) | (14) | (33) | (34) | 1 | 1 |
| Consolidated segment earnings (loss) | 8,146 | 6,000 | 1,844 | (14) | 5,409 | 2,366 | 3,043 | 1,524 |
| Depreciation | (5,794) | (3,797) | (868) | — | (5,124) | (2,026) | (3,098) | (1,422) |
| Amortization | (1,294) | (1,552) | (429) | — | (1,055) | (908) | (147) | (70) |
| Impairments | — | (2,133) | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Other, net ⁽¹⁾ | (748) | (413) | (127) | — | (1,085) | (402) | (683) | (3) |
| Operating income (loss) | 310 | (1,895) | 420 | (14) | (1,855) | (970) | (885) | 29 |
| Interest expense | (2,182) | (2,051) | (516) | — | (2,053) | (918) | (1,135) | (432) |
| Equity in losses of unconsolidated investments, net | — | — | — | — | (482) | — | (482) | (202) |
| Gain on previously-held equity interests | — | — | — | — | 2,926 | — | 2,926 | — |
| Other income (expense), net | 18 | 27 | 1 | 6 | 92 | 73 | 19 | — |
| Income tax (expense) benefit | (141) | 574 | (56) | (1) | (1,646) | (45) | (1,601) | (38) |
| Net loss | \$(1,995) | \$(3,345) | \$(151) | \$(9) | \$(3,018) | \$(1,860) | \$(1,158) | \$(643) |

(1) Other, net for the year ended March 31, 2016 excludes \$321 million related to losses on disposal of property, plant and equipment which is included in Wireless segment earnings.

Depreciation Expense

Successor Year Ended March 31, 2016 and Successor Year Ended March 31, 2015

Depreciation expense increased \$2.0 billion, or 53%, in the year ended March 31, 2016 compared to the same period in 2015 primarily due to depreciation on leased devices of \$1.8 billion in the year ended March 31, 2016 as a result of the device leasing program that was introduced in September 2014. Depreciation expense incurred on all leased devices in the year ended March 31, 2015 was \$206 million. Depreciation also increased due to network asset

additions partially offset by a decrease due to assets being retired or fully depreciated.
Successor Year Ended March 31, 2015 and Successor Year Ended December 31, 2013
Depreciation expense increased \$1.8 billion, or 87%, in the year ended March 31, 2015 compared to the year ended December 31, 2013 primarily due to comparing a full twelve-month period to a shortened Post-merger period.

Table of Contents

Successor Three-Month Transition Period Ended March 31, 2014 and Predecessor Three-Month Period Ended March 31, 2013

Depreciation expense decreased \$554 million, or 39%, in the Successor three-month transition period ended March 31, 2014 compared to the same Predecessor period in 2013 primarily due to the absence of accelerated depreciation associated with equipment related to our legacy Nextel and Sprint platforms. This reduction was partially offset by increased depreciation on asset additions primarily associated with improving the quality of our network and assets acquired as a result of the Clearwire Acquisition. The deployment of our network modernization program resulted in incremental charges during earlier stages of implementation including, but not limited to, an increase in depreciation associated with existing assets related to both the Nextel and Sprint platforms, due to changes in our estimates of the remaining useful lives of long-lived assets, and the expected timing and amount of asset retirement obligations, which continued to have an impact on our results of operations through 2013. The incremental effect of accelerated depreciation due to the implementation of our network modernization program was approximately \$360 million during the Predecessor three-month period ended March 31, 2013, of which the majority related to the Nextel platform, compared to no such accelerated depreciation in the three-month transition period ended March 31, 2014. Successor Year Ended March 31, 2015 and Combined Year Ended December 31, 2013

Specific efforts to improve the quality of our network, which began in 2011, as well as the shut down of the Nextel platform on June 30, 2013, resulted in incremental charges during earlier stages of these efforts including, but not limited to, an increase in depreciation associated with existing assets related to both the Nextel and Sprint platforms, due to changes in our estimates of the remaining useful lives of long-lived assets, and the expected timing and amount of asset retirement obligations, which continued to have an impact on our results of operations in 2013. The incremental effect of accelerated depreciation was approximately \$800 million during the Predecessor 191-day period ended July 10, 2013, of which the majority related to the Nextel platform, which was shut down on June 30, 2013, compared to no such accelerated depreciation in the Successor year ended March 31, 2015. In addition to the explanations above and the effect of accelerated depreciation in the Predecessor period, the depreciation expense also decreased by approximately \$160 million for the Successor year ended March 31, 2015 due to asset revaluations as a result of the SoftBank Merger in 2013.

Amortization Expense

Successor Year Ended March 31, 2016 and Successor Year Ended March 31, 2015

Amortization expense decreased \$258 million, or 17%, in the year ended March 31, 2016 compared to the same period in 2015, primarily due to customer relationship intangible assets that are amortized using the sum-of-the-months'-digits method, which results in higher amortization rates in early periods that will decline over time.

Successor Year Ended March 31, 2015 and Successor Year Ended December 31, 2013

Amortization expense increased \$644 million, or 71%, in the year ended March 31, 2015 compared to the year ended December 31, 2013, primarily due to comparing results for a full twelve-month period to a shortened Post-merger period which primarily consisted of amortization of customer relationships of approximately \$6.9 billion that were recognized as a result of the SoftBank Merger. Customer relationship intangible assets are amortized using the sum-of-the-months'-digits method, which results in higher amortization rates in early periods that will decline over time.

Successor Three-Month Transition Period Ended March 31, 2014 and Predecessor Three-Month Period Ended March 31, 2013

Amortization expense increased \$359 million, or 513%, in the Successor three-month transition period ended March 31, 2014 compared to the same Predecessor period in 2013, primarily due to the recognition of definite-lived intangible assets related to customer relationships of approximately \$6.9 billion as a result of the SoftBank Merger. Customer relationship intangible assets are amortized using the sum-of-the-months'-digits method, which results in higher amortization rates in early periods that will decline over time.

Impairments

During the three-month period ended December 31, 2014, we determined that recoverability of the carrying amount of the Sprint trade name should be evaluated for impairment due to changes in circumstances surrounding our Wireless

reporting unit. As a result, we recorded an impairment loss of \$1.9 billion, which is included in "Impairments" in our consolidated statements of operations. During the three-month period ended December 31, 2014, we also tested the recoverability of the Wireline asset group, which consists primarily of property, plant and equipment, due to continued declines in our Wireline segment earnings and our forecast that projected continued losses in future periods. As a result, we

30

Table of Contents

recorded an impairment loss of \$233 million to reduce the carrying value of Wireline's property, plant and equipment to its estimated fair value, which is included in "Impairments" in our consolidated statements of operations.

Other, net

The following table provides additional information regarding items included in "Other, net."

| | Successor | | Three | Combined | Successor | Predecessor | | |
|---|---------------|----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------------|----------|------|
| | Year | Year | Months | Year | Year | 191 | Three | |
| | Ended | Ended | Ended | Ended | Ended | Days | Months | |
| | March | March | March | December | December | Ended | Ended | |
| | 31, | 31, | 31, | 31, | 31, | July | March | |
| | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2013 | 10, | 31, | |
| | 2013 | | | | | | | 2013 |
| | (in millions) | | | | | | | |
| Severance and exit costs | \$ (409) | \$ (304) | \$ (52) | \$ (961) | \$ (309) | \$ (652) | \$ (25) | |
| Litigation | (193) | (91) | — | — | — | — | — | |
| Loss on disposal of property, plant and equipment | (166) | — | (75) | — | — | — | — | |
| Partial pension settlement | — | (59) | — | — | — | — | — | |
| Revision to estimate of a previously recorded reserve | 20 | 41 | — | — | — | — | — | |
| Other | — | — | — | (124) | (93) | (31) | 22 | |
| Total expense | \$ (748) | \$ (413) | \$ (127) | \$ (1,085) | \$ (402) | \$ (683) | \$ (3) | |

Successor Year Ended March 31, 2016

Other, net represented an expense of \$748 million in the year ended March 31, 2016. We recognized litigation expense of \$193 million for ongoing legal matters. In addition, we recognized severance and exit costs which included \$216 million of severance primarily associated with reductions in our work force and \$195 million of lease and access exit costs primarily associated with tower and cell site leases and backhaul access contracts for which we will no longer be receiving any economic benefit, of which \$2 million was recognized as "Cost of services" in the consolidated statements of operations. We also recorded \$166 million of loss on disposal of property, plant and equipment primarily related to cell site construction costs and other network costs that are no longer recoverable as a result of changes in the Company's network plans. In addition, we revised our estimate of a previously recorded reserve, resulting in approximately \$20 million of income.

Successor Year Ended March 31, 2015

Other, net reflected an expense of \$413 million in the year ended March 31, 2015. Severance and exit costs included \$253 million of severance primarily associated with reductions in force and \$13 million of lease exit costs primarily associated with tower and cell sites as well as facility closures. In addition, we recognized \$38 million of costs during the period related to payments that will continue to be made under our backhaul access contracts for which we will no longer be receiving any economic benefit. Litigation of \$91 million represented legal reserves for various pending legal suits and proceedings. Partial pension settlement was the result of the Company's Board of Directors approving a plan amendment to the Sprint Retirement Pension Plan (Plan) to offer certain terminated participants, who had not begun to receive Plan benefits, the opportunity to voluntarily elect to receive their benefits as an immediate lump sum distribution. The lump sum distribution created a settlement event that resulted in a \$59 million charge. In addition, we revised our estimate of a previously recorded reserve, resulting in income of approximately \$41 million.

Successor Three-Month Transition Period Ended March 31, 2014

Other, net reflected an expense of \$127 million in the Successor three-month transition period ended March 31, 2014. Severance and exit costs of \$52 million for the three-month transition period ended March 31, 2014 included \$14 million of severance primarily associated with reductions in force and \$11 million of lease exit costs primarily associated with retail store closures. In addition, we recognized \$31 million of costs during the period related to payments that will continue to be made under our backhaul access contracts for which we will no longer be receiving any economic benefit, of which \$4 million was recognized as "Cost of services." During the three-month transition period ended March 31, 2014, we recorded \$75 million of loss on disposal of property, plant and equipment primarily

related to network equipment assets that were no longer necessary for management's strategic plans.

Successor Year Ended December 31, 2013

Other, net reflected an expense of \$402 million for the Successor year ended December 31, 2013. Severance and exit costs of \$309 million for the Successor year ended December 31, 2013 included \$219 million of severance primarily associated with reductions in force and \$56 million of lease exit costs primarily associated with the decommissioning of the

31

Table of Contents

Nextel platform. In addition, we recognized \$53 million of payments that will continue to be made under our backhaul access contracts for which we will no longer be receiving any economic benefit, and of which \$19 million was recognized as "Cost of services." The \$93 million reflected in "Other" included \$100 million of business combination fees paid to unrelated parties in connection with the transactions with SoftBank and Clearwire and are classified within selling, general and administrative expense in our consolidated statements of operations. This is partially offset by \$7 million of reimbursements related to 2012 hurricane-related charges recorded as a contra expense in cost of services in our consolidated statements of operations.

Predecessor 191-day Period Ended July 10, 2013

Other, net reflected an expense of \$683 million in the Predecessor 191-day period ended July 10, 2013. Exit costs included lease exit costs of \$478 million primarily associated with taking certain Nextel platform sites off-air by June 30, 2013 and \$151 million related to payments that will continue to be made under our backhaul access contracts for which we will no longer be receiving any economic benefit. Of the \$151 million of future payments, \$35 million was recognized as "Cost of services" and \$116 million was recognized in "Severance and exit costs." We also recognized \$58 million of severance related to reductions in force. "Other" included \$53 million of business combination fees paid to unrelated parties as described above, partially offset by a favorable ruling by the Texas Supreme Court in connection with the taxation of E911 services, which resulted in a non-cash benefit of \$22 million.

Predecessor Three-Month Period Ended March 31, 2013

Other, net reflected an expense of \$3 million in the Predecessor three-month period ended March 31, 2013. Severance and exit costs \$17 million of severance primarily associated with selective reductions in force and \$8 million of lease exit costs associated with taking certain Nextel platform sites off-air. A favorable ruling by the Texas Supreme Court in connection with the taxation of E911 services resulted in a non-cash benefit of \$22 million in the quarter ended March 31, 2013.

Interest Expense

Successor Year Ended March 31, 2016 and Successor Year Ended March 31, 2015

Interest expense increased \$131 million, or 6%, in the year ended March 31, 2016 compared to the same period in 2015, primarily due to interest associated with \$1.5 billion aggregate principal amount of notes issued in February 2015. The effective interest rate, which includes capitalized interest, on the weighted average long-term debt balance of \$33.8 billion was 6.5% in the year ended March 31, 2016. See "Liquidity and Capital Resources" for more information on the Company's financing activities.

Successor Year Ended March 31, 2015 and Successor Year Ended December 31, 2013

Interest expense increased \$1.1 billion, or 123%, in the year ended March 31, 2015 compared to the year ended December 31, 2013 primarily due to interest associated with debt of \$9.0 billion issued in September and December 2013 as well as comparing a full calendar year to a shortened Post-merger period. The effective interest rate, which includes capitalized interest, on the weighted average long-term debt balance of \$32.5 billion was 6.5% in the year ended March 31, 2015 compared to 7.7% for the Combined year ended December 31, 2013. The decrease in the effective interest rate is primarily due to interest expense of \$247 million recognized in the Combined year ended December 31, 2013 related to the beneficial conversion feature on the \$3.1 billion Bond. See "Liquidity and Capital Resources" for more information on the Company's financing activities.

Successor Three-Month Transition Period Ended March 31, 2014 and Predecessor Three-Month Period Ended March 31, 2013

Interest expense increased \$84 million, or 19%, in the Successor three-month transition period ended March 31, 2014 compared to the same Predecessor period in 2013, primarily due to interest associated with debt of \$9.0 billion issued in September and December 2013 and the debt assumed as a result of the Clearwire acquisition. This was partially offset by premium amortization which was the result of our debt being revalued in connection with the SoftBank merger. The effective interest rate, which includes capitalized interest, on the weighted average long-term debt balance of \$32.9 billion and \$24.5 billion was 6.4% and 7.3% for the Successor three-month transition period ended March 31, 2014 and the Predecessor three-month period ended March 31, 2013, respectively. See "Liquidity and Capital Resources" for more information on the Company's financing activities.

Table of Contents

Equity in Losses of Unconsolidated Investments, net

As a result of the Clearwire Acquisition on July 9, 2013 and the resulting consolidation of Clearwire results of operations into the accounts of the Company, the Successor period results of operations do not reflect any equity in losses of unconsolidated investments. Equity in losses from Clearwire were \$482 million and \$202 million for the Predecessor 190-day period ended July 9, 2013 and Predecessor unaudited three-month period ended March 31, 2013, respectively. The equity in losses from our investment in Clearwire consisted of our share of Clearwire's net loss and other adjustments, if any, such as non-cash impairment of our investment, gains or losses associated with the dilution of our ownership interest resulting from Clearwire's equity issuances, derivative losses associated with the change in fair value of the embedded derivative included in exchangeable notes between Clearwire and Sprint, and other items recognized by Clearwire Corporation that did not affect our economic interest. Sprint's equity in losses for the Predecessor 190-day period ended July 9, 2013, include a \$65 million derivative loss associated with the change in fair value of the embedded derivative.

Other income (expense), net

The following table provides additional information on items included in "Other income (expense), net."

| | Successor | | Combined | | Successor | Predecessor |
|---|---------------|-------|----------|----------|-----------|-------------|
| | Year | Year | Three | Year | Year | 191 Days |
| | Ended | Ended | Months | Ended | Ended | Ended |
| | March | March | Ended | December | December | July 10, |
| | 31, | 31, | March | 31, | 31, | 31, |
| | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2013 | 2013 |
| | (in millions) | | | | | |
| Interest income | \$ 11 | \$ 12 | \$ 4 | \$ 14 | \$ 69 | \$ 33 |
| Gain (loss) on early retirement of debt | — | — | — | — | 44 | (12) |
| Other, net | 7 | 15 | (3) | (8) | (21) | (2) |
| Total | \$ 18 | \$ 27 | \$ 1 | \$ 6 | \$ 92 | \$ 19 |

Successor Year Ended December 31, 2013

"Other income (expense), net" represented income of \$73 million for the Successor year ended December 31, 2013. Other, net in the Successor year ended December 31, 2013 primarily consisted of \$159 million of income related to the recognition of the remaining unaccreted convertible bond discount. In addition, the Successor year ended December 31, 2013 included a \$175 million loss related to the embedded derivative associated with the Bond. Gain on early retirement of debt in the Successor year ended December 31, 2013 was a result of early retirement of the Clearwire Communications LLC and Clearwire Finance, Inc. 12% secured notes due 2015 and 12% secured notes due 2017.

Income Tax Expense

The Successor period income tax expense for the year ended March 31, 2016 of \$141 million represented a consolidated effective tax rate of approximately (8)%. The Successor period income tax benefit for the year ended March 31, 2015 of \$574 million represented a consolidated effective tax rate of approximately 15%. The Successor period income tax expense for the three-month transition period ended March 31, 2014 and the year ended December 31, 2013 of \$56 million and \$45 million, respectively, represented a consolidated effective tax rate of approximately (59)% and (3)%, respectively. The Predecessor period income tax expense for the three-month period ended March 31, 2013 of \$38 million represented a consolidated effective tax rate of approximately (6)%. The income tax expense for the year ended March 31, 2016 was primarily attributable to tax expense resulting from taxable temporary differences from amortization of FCC licenses, partially offset by tax benefits from the reversal of state income tax valuation allowance on deferred tax assets and changes in state income tax laws enacted during the year. The income tax benefit for the year ended March 31, 2015 was primarily attributable to recognition of a tax benefit on the \$1.9 billion Sprint trade name impairment loss, partially offset by tax expense on taxable temporary differences from the amortization of FCC licenses for income tax purposes. The expense for the 191 days ended July 10, 2013 of approximately \$1.6 billion was primarily attributable to the recognition of tax expense on the \$2.9 billion gain on

previously-held equity interests in Clearwire. The income tax expense for the remaining Successor and Predecessor periods presented was primarily attributable to taxable temporary differences from amortization of FCC licenses and included net increases to the valuation allowance for federal and state deferred tax assets primarily related to net operating loss carryforwards generated during the respective periods of \$82 million and \$708 million, for the Successor three-month transition period ended March 31, 2014 and year ended December 31, 2013, respectively, and \$265 million for the Predecessor three-month period ended March 31, 2013. Additional information related to items impacting the effective tax rates can be found in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Segment Earnings - Wireless

Wireless segment earnings are a function of wireless service revenue, the sale of wireless devices (handsets and tablets), broadband devices, connected devices and accessories, leasing wireless devices, in addition to costs to acquire subscribers and network and interconnection costs to serve those subscribers, as well as other Wireless segment operating expenses. The costs to acquire our subscribers include the cost at which we sell our devices as well as the marketing and sales costs incurred to attract those subscribers. Network costs primarily represent switch and cell site costs, backhaul costs, and interconnection costs, which generally consist of per-minute usage fees and roaming fees paid to other carriers. The remaining costs associated with operating the Wireless segment include the costs to operate our customer care organization and administrative support. Wireless service revenue, costs to acquire subscribers, and variable network and interconnection costs fluctuate with the changes in our subscriber base and their related usage, but some cost elements do not fluctuate in the short term with these changes.

As shown by the table above under "Consolidated Results of Operations," Wireless segment earnings represented almost all of our total consolidated segment earnings for the year ended March 31, 2016. Within the Wireless segment, postpaid wireless services represent the most significant contributors to earnings, and is driven by the number of postpaid subscribers to our services, as well as ARPU. The wireless industry is subject to competition to retain and acquire subscribers of wireless services. Most markets in which we operate have high rates of penetration for wireless services.

Device Financing Programs

In September 2013, we introduced an installment billing program that allows subscribers to purchase a device by paying monthly installments generally over 24 months. In September 2014, we introduced a leasing program, whereby qualified subscribers can lease a device for a contractual period of time.

Under the installment billing program, we recognize a majority of the revenue associated with future expected installment payments at the time of sale of the device. As compared to our traditional subsidized programs, this results in better alignment of the equipment revenue with the cost of the device. The impact to Wireless earnings from the sale of devices under our installment billing program is neutral except for the impact from the time value of money element related to the imputed interest on the installment receivable.

Under the leasing program, qualified subscribers can lease a device for a contractual period of time. At the end of the lease term, the subscriber has the option to turn in their device, continue leasing their device, or purchase the device. As of March 31, 2016, substantially all of our device leases were classified as operating leases. As a result, at lease inception, the devices are reclassified from inventory to property, plant and equipment when leased through Sprint's direct channels. For leases in the indirect channel, we purchase the devices at lease inception from the dealer, which is then capitalized to property, plant and equipment. While a majority of the revenue associated with installment sales is recognized at the time of sale along with the related cost of products, lease revenue is recorded monthly over the term of the lease and the cost of the device is depreciated to its estimated residual value generally over the lease term. During the years ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, we leased devices through our Sprint direct channels totaling approximately \$3.2 billion and \$1.2 billion, respectively. These devices were reclassified from inventory to property, plant and equipment and, as such, the cost of the device was not recorded as cost of products compared to when purchased under the installment billing or traditional subsidized programs, which resulted in a significant positive impact to Wireless segment earnings. Depreciation expense incurred on all leased devices for the years ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 was \$1.8 billion and \$206 million, respectively. If the mix of leased devices continues to increase, we expect this positive impact on the financial results of Wireless segment earnings to continue and depreciation expense to increase. However, this benefit to Wireless segment earnings will be partially offset by the Handset Sale-Leaseback Tranche 1 transaction that was consummated in November 2015 where we sold and subsequently leased back certain devices leased to our customers (see Handset Sale-Leaseback in Liquidity and Capital Resources for further details). As a result, our cost of the devices sold to Mobile Leasing Solutions, LLC (MLS) is no longer recorded as depreciation expense, but rather recognized as rent expense within "Cost of products" during the leaseback periods.

Our device leasing and installment billing programs require a greater use of operating cash flows in the earlier part of the device contracts as our subscribers will generally pay less upfront than traditional subsidized programs. The

Accounts Receivable Facility and the Handset Sale-Leaseback transactions (See Accounts Receivable Facility and Handset Sale-Leaseback in Liquidity and Capital Resources for further details) were designed to mitigate the significant use of cash from purchasing devices from original equipment manufacturers (OEMs) to fulfill our installment billing and leasing programs.

Table of Contents

Wireless Segment Earnings Trends

Sprint is offering lower monthly service fees without a traditional contract as an incentive to attract subscribers to certain of our service plans. These lower rates for service are available whether the subscriber brings their own handset, pays the full or near full retail price of the handset, purchases the handset under our installment billing program, or leases their handset through our leasing program. As the adoption rates of these plans increase throughout our base of subscribers, we expect our postpaid ARPU to continue to decline as a result of lower pricing associated with our new service plans as compared to our traditional subsidized programs, which reflect higher service revenue and lower equipment revenue; however, we also expect higher equipment revenue due to the installment billing and leasing programs to substantially offset these declines. Since inception, the combination of lower priced plans, and our installment billing and leasing programs have been accretive to Wireless segment earnings. We expect that trend to continue with the magnitude of the impact being dependent upon the rate of subscriber adoption.

We began to experience net losses of postpaid handset subscribers in mid-2013. Since the release of our new price plans, results have shown improvement in trends of handset subscribers; however, there can be no assurance that this trend will continue. We have taken initiatives to provide the best value in wireless service while continuing to enhance our network performance, coverage and capacity in order to attract and retain valuable handset subscribers. In addition, we are evaluating our cost model to operationalize a more effective cost structure.

The following table provides an overview of the results of operations of our Wireless segment.

| | Successor | | Three | Combined | Successor | Predecessor | Three |
|---|---------------|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|-------------|----------|
| | Year | Year | Months | Year | Year | 191 Days | Months |
| | Ended | Ended | Ended | Ended | Ended | Ended | Ended |
| | March 31, | March 31, | March | December | December | July 10, | March |
| | 2016 | 2015 | 31, | 31, | 31, | 2013 | 31, |
| | (in millions) | | 2014 | 2013 | 2013 | 2013 | 2013 |
| Wireless Segment Earnings | | | | | | | |
| Postpaid | \$19,463 | \$21,181 | \$5,719 | \$23,442 | \$10,983 | \$12,459 | \$5,916 |
| Prepaid | 4,986 | 4,905 | 1,232 | 4,917 | 2,265 | 2,652 | 1,227 |
| Other ⁽¹⁾ | 178 | 458 | 145 | 359 | 331 | 28 | — |
| Retail service revenue | 24,627 | 26,544 | 7,096 | 28,718 | 13,579 | 15,139 | 7,143 |
| Wholesale, affiliate and other | 744 | 793 | 159 | 545 | 266 | 279 | 133 |
| Total service revenue | 25,371 | 27,337 | 7,255 | 29,263 | 13,845 | 15,418 | 7,276 |
| Cost of services (exclusive of depreciation and amortization) | (8,069) | (7,945) | (2,106) | (9,045) | (4,342) | (4,703) | (2,171) |
| Service gross margin | 17,302 | 19,392 | 5,149 | 20,218 | 9,503 | 10,715 | 5,105 |
| Service gross margin percentage | 68 % | 71 % | 71 % | 69 % | 69 % | 69 % | 70 % |
| Equipment revenue | 5,006 | 4,990 | 999 | 3,504 | 1,797 | 1,707 | 813 |
| Cost of products (exclusive of depreciation and amortization) | (5,795) | (9,309) | (2,038) | (9,475) | (4,603) | (4,872) | (2,293) |
| Selling, general and administrative expense | (8,141) | (9,179) | (2,273) | (9,299) | (4,519) | (4,780) | (2,230) |
| Loss on disposal of property, plant and equipment | (321) | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Wireless segment earnings | \$8,051 | \$5,894 | \$1,837 | \$4,948 | \$2,178 | \$2,770 | \$1,395 |

(1) Represents service revenue primarily related to the acquisition of Clearwire on July 9, 2013.

Service Revenue

Our Wireless segment generates service revenue from the sale of wireless services and the sale of wholesale and other services. Service revenue consists of fixed monthly recurring charges, variable usage charges and miscellaneous fees such as activation fees, directory assistance, roaming, equipment protection, late payment and early termination

charges, and certain regulatory related fees, net of service credits.

The ability of our Wireless segment to generate service revenue is primarily a function of:

• revenue generated from each subscriber, which in turn is a function of the types and amount of services utilized by each subscriber and the rates charged for those services; and

• the number of subscribers that we serve, which in turn is a function of our ability to retain existing subscribers and acquire new subscribers.

Retail comprises those subscribers to whom Sprint directly provides wireless services, whether those services are provided on a postpaid or a prepaid basis. We also categorize our retail subscribers as prime and subprime based upon subscriber credit profiles. We use proprietary scoring systems that measure the credit quality of our subscribers using several

Table of Contents

factors, such as credit bureau information, subscriber credit risk scores and service plan characteristics. Payment history is subsequently monitored to further evaluate subscriber credit profiles. Wholesale and affiliates are those subscribers who are served through MVNO and affiliate relationships and other arrangements. Under the MVNO relationships, wireless services are sold by Sprint to other companies that resell those services to subscribers.

Successor Year Ended March 31, 2016 and Successor Year Ended March 31, 2015

Retail service revenue decreased \$1.9 billion, or 7%, for the Successor year ended March 31, 2016 compared to the year ended March 31, 2015 primarily due to a lower average revenue per subscriber driven by growth in both postpaid subscribers on our new plans and tablet sales. The decrease was partially offset by an increase in average postpaid subscribers mostly due to improved churn.

Wholesale, affiliate and other revenues decreased \$49 million, or 6%, for the Successor year ended March 31, 2016 compared to the year ended March 31, 2015 primarily due to a decline in prepaid resellers and the impact of the shutdown of the Clearwire WiMAX network, partially offset by growth in postpaid resellers and connected devices. Approximately 64% of our total wholesale and affiliate subscribers represent connected devices. These devices generate revenue from usage which varies depending on the solution being utilized.

Successor Year Ended March 31, 2015 and Successor Year Ended December 31, 2013

Retail service revenue increased \$13.0 billion, or 95%, for the Successor year ended March 31, 2015 compared to the year ended December 31, 2013 primarily due to comparing a full twelve-month period to a shortened Post-merger period as well as growth in our prepaid Boost brand that carries a higher average revenue per subscriber. These increases were offset by growth in tablet sales and postpaid subscribers on our new plans that tend to carry a lower average revenue per subscriber as well as a decline in average postpaid and prepaid subscribers, which resulted in an overall decrease in retail service revenue when comparing the Successor year ended March 31, 2015 to the Combined year ended December 31, 2013.

Wholesale, affiliate and other revenues increased \$527 million, or 198%, for the Successor year ended March 31, 2015 compared to the year ended December 31, 2013 primarily due to comparing a full twelve-month period to a shortened Post-merger period. In addition, wholesale, affiliate and other revenues increased as a result of interest revenue associated with installment billing on handsets and an increase in revenues resulting from acquisitions in 2013. Approximately 53% of our total wholesale and affiliate subscribers represent connected devices.

Successor Three-Month Transition Period Ended March 31, 2014 and Predecessor Three-Month Period Ended March 31, 2013

Retail service revenue slightly decreased \$47 million, or 1%, for the Successor three-month transition period ended March 31, 2014 compared to the same Predecessor period in 2013. The decrease was driven by the loss of postpaid and prepaid subscribers due to the shut-down of the Nextel platform on June 30, 2013, partially offset by the postpaid and prepaid revenues resulting from the acquisitions in 2013.

Wholesale, affiliate and other revenues increased \$26 million, or 20%, for the Successor three-month transition period ended March 31, 2014 compared to the same Predecessor period in 2013 primarily due to an increase in revenues resulting from acquisitions in 2013. Approximately 45% of our wholesale and affiliate subscribers represent connected devices.

Average Monthly Service Revenue per Subscriber and Subscriber Trends

The table below summarizes average number of retail subscribers. Additional information about the number of subscribers, net additions (losses) to subscribers, and average rates of monthly postpaid and prepaid subscriber churn for each quarter since the quarter ended March 31, 2013 may be found in the tables on the following pages.

| Successor | | | Combined | Successor | Predecessor | |
|----------------------------|-------|--------|----------|-----------|-------------|--------|
| Year | Year | Three | Year | Year | 191 | Three |
| Ended | Ended | Months | Ended | Ended | Days | Months |
| March | March | Ended | December | December | Ended | Ended |
| 31, | 31, | March | 31, | 31, | July | March |
| 2016 | 2015 | 31, | 2013 | 2013 | 10, | 31, |
| | | 2014 | | | 2013 | 2013 |
| (subscribers in thousands) | | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: SPRINT Corp - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Average postpaid subscribers | 30,561 | 30,068 | 30,639 | 31,124 | 30,957 | 31,296 | 31,566 |
| Average prepaid subscribers | 15,200 | 15,401 | 16,097 | 15,901 | 16,040 | 15,793 | 15,686 |
| Average retail subscribers | 45,761 | 45,469 | 46,736 | 47,025 | 46,997 | 47,089 | 47,252 |

36

Table of Contents

The table below summarizes ARPU. Additional information about ARPU for each quarter since the quarter ended March 31, 2013 may be found in the tables on the following pages.

| | Successor | | | Combined Year Ended December 31, 2013 | Predecessor | | |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|---|--|
| | Year Ended March 31, 2016 | Year Ended March 31, 2015 | Three Months Ended March 31, 2014 | | Year Ended December 31, 2013 | 191 Days Ended July 10, 2013 | Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 |
| ARPU ⁽¹⁾ : | | | | | | | |
| Postpaid | \$53.30 | \$59.32 | \$62.98 | \$63.29 | \$63.46 | \$63.10 | \$62.47 |
| Prepaid | \$27.85 | \$27.81 | \$27.07 | \$26.62 | \$26.64 | \$26.57 | \$26.08 |
| Average retail | \$44.85 | \$48.65 | \$50.61 | \$50.89 | \$50.89 | \$50.85 | \$50.39 |

(1) ARPU is calculated by dividing service revenue by the sum of the monthly average number of subscribers in the applicable service category. Changes in average monthly service revenue reflect subscribers for either the postpaid or prepaid service category who change rate plans, the level of voice and data usage, the amount of service credits which are offered to subscribers, plus the net effect of average monthly revenue generated by new subscribers and deactivating subscribers. Combined ARPU for 2013 aggregates service revenue from the Predecessor 191-day period ended July 10, 2013 and the Successor year ended December 31, 2013 divided by the sum of the monthly average subscribers during the year ended December 31, 2013.

Successor Year Ended March 31, 2016 and Successor Year Ended March 31, 2015

Postpaid ARPU for the Successor year ended March 31, 2016 decreased compared to the year ended March 31, 2015 primarily due to the impact of subscriber migration to many of our new service plans, resulting in lower service fees, combined with ongoing growth in sales of tablets, which carry a lower revenue per subscriber. Prepaid ARPU for the Successor year ended March 31, 2016 increased compared to the year ended March 31, 2015 primarily due to an increase in average prepaid Boost subscribers that carry a higher ARPU compared to other prepaid brands, partially offset by the revenue impact of subscribers choosing lower priced plans in both the Boost and Virgin Mobile brands due to increased competition combined with a decrease in average Virgin Mobile subscribers.

Successor Year Ended March 31, 2015 and Successor Year Ended December 31, 2013

Postpaid ARPU for the Successor year ended March 31, 2015 decreased compared to the year ended December 31, 2013 primarily due to growth in sales of tablets, which carry a lower revenue per subscriber combined with the impact of subscriber migration to many of our new service plans, resulting in lower service fees. Prepaid ARPU for the Successor year ended March 31, 2015 increased compared to the year ended December 31, 2013 primarily due to an increase of higher average Boost subscribers which carry a higher ARPU as compared to other prepaid brands partially offset by decreases in total average subscribers, primarily in the Virgin Mobile and Assurance brands.

Successor Three-Month Transition Period Ended March 31, 2014 and Predecessor Three-Month Period Ended March 31, 2013

Postpaid ARPU for the Successor three-month transition period ended March 31, 2014 increased compared to the same Predecessor period in 2013 primarily due to the shut-down of the Nextel platform on June 30, 2013 and the impact of losing subscribers who carried a lower average revenue per subscriber. This increase was partially offset by a lower revenue per subscriber carried by subscribers acquired in the Clearwire and U.S. Cellular acquisitions and growth in sales of tablets, which also carry a lower revenue per subscriber. Prepaid ARPU for the Successor three-month transition period ended March 31, 2014 increased compared to the same Predecessor period in 2013 primarily due to the impact of a higher revenue per subscriber carried by subscribers acquired in the Clearwire acquisition combined with an increase in ARPU primarily for the Virgin Mobile prepaid brands as subscribers chose higher priced plans.

Table of Contents

The following table shows (a) net additions (losses) of wireless subscribers, (b) our total subscribers, and (c) end of period connected device subscribers as of the end of each quarterly period beginning with the quarter ended March 31, 2013.

| | March 31, 2013 | June 30, 2013 | Sept 30, 2013 | Dec 31, 2013 | March 31, 2014 | June 30, 2014 | Sept 30, 2014 | Dec 31, 2014 | March 31, 2015 | June 30, 2015 | Sept 30, 2015 | Dec 31, 2015 | March 31, 2016 |
|---|----------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|----------------|
| Net additions (losses) (in thousands) ⁽¹⁾ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sprint platform ⁽²⁾ : | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Postpaid | 12 | 194 | (360) | 58 | (231) | (181) | (272) | 30 | 211 | 310 | 378 | 501 | 56 |
| Prepaid | 568 | (486) | 84 | 322 | (364) | (542) | 35 | 410 | 546 | (366) | (188) | (491) | (20) |
| Wholesale and affiliates ⁽³⁾ | (224) | (228) | 181 | 302 | 212 | 503 | 827 | 527 | 492 | 731 | 866 | 481 | 65 |
| Total Sprint platform | 356 | (520) | (95) | 682 | (383) | (220) | 590 | 967 | 1,249 | 675 | 1,056 | 491 | 44 |
| Nextel platform: | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Postpaid | (572) | (1,060) | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Prepaid | (199) | (255) | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Total Nextel platform | (771) | (1,315) | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Transactions ⁽³⁾ : | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Postpaid | — | (179) | (175) | (127) | (102) | (64) | (64) | (49) | (41) | (60) | (70) | (238) | — |
| Prepaid | — | (20) | (56) | (103) | (51) | (77) | (55) | (39) | (18) | (66) | (64) | (231) | — |
| Wholesale | — | — | 13 | 25 | 69 | 27 | 13 | 13 | 22 | (22) | (12) | (241) | — |
| Total Transactions | — | (199) | (218) | (205) | (84) | (114) | (106) | (75) | (37) | (148) | (146) | (710) | — |
| Total retail postpaid ⁽⁵⁾ | (560) | (1,045) | (535) | (69) | (333) | (245) | (336) | (19) | 170 | 250 | 308 | 263 | 56 |
| Total retail prepaid | 369 | (761) | 28 | 219 | (415) | (619) | (20) | 371 | 528 | (432) | (252) | (722) | (20) |
| Total wholesale and affiliate ⁽⁵⁾ | (224) | (228) | 194 | 327 | 281 | 530 | 840 | 540 | 514 | 709 | 854 | 240 | 65 |
| Total Wireless | (415) | (2,034) | (313) | 477 | (467) | (334) | 484 | 892 | 1,212 | 527 | 910 | (219) | 44 |
| End of period subscribers (in thousands) ⁽¹⁾ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sprint platform ⁽²⁾ : | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Postpaid ⁽⁴⁾ | 30,257 | 30,451 | 30,091 | 30,149 | 29,918 | 29,737 | 29,465 | 29,495 | 29,706 | 30,016 | 30,394 | 30,895 | 30,895 |
| Prepaid | 15,701 | 15,215 | 15,299 | 15,621 | 15,257 | 14,715 | 14,750 | 15,160 | 15,706 | 15,340 | 15,152 | 14,661 | 14,661 |
| Wholesale and affiliates ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾ | 7,938 | 7,710 | 7,862 | 8,164 | 8,376 | 8,879 | 9,706 | 10,233 | 10,725 | 11,456 | 12,322 | 12,803 | 13,000 |
| Total Sprint platform | 53,896 | 53,376 | 53,252 | 53,934 | 53,551 | 53,331 | 53,921 | 54,888 | 56,137 | 56,812 | 57,868 | 58,359 | 58,556 |

Edgar Filing: SPRINT Corp - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Nextel platform: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Postpaid | 1,060 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Prepaid | 255 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Total Nextel platform | 1,315 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Transactions ⁽³⁾ : | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Postpaid | — | 173 | 815 | 688 | 586 | 522 | 458 | 409 | 368 | 308 | 238 | — | — | — |
| Prepaid | — | 39 | 704 | 601 | 550 | 473 | 418 | 379 | 361 | 295 | 231 | — | — | — |
| Wholesale | — | — | 106 | 131 | 200 | 227 | 240 | 253 | 275 | 253 | 241 | — | — | — |
| Total Transactions | — | 212 | 1,625 | 1,420 | 1,336 | 1,222 | 1,116 | 1,041 | 1,004 | 856 | 710 | — | — | — |
| Total retail postpaid ⁽⁴⁾ | 31,317 | 30,624 | 30,906 | 30,837 | 30,504 | 30,259 | 29,923 | 29,904 | 30,074 | 30,324 | 30,632 | 30,895 | 30,895 | 30,895 |
| Total retail prepaid | 15,956 | 15,254 | 16,003 | 16,222 | 15,807 | 15,188 | 15,168 | 15,539 | 16,067 | 15,635 | 15,383 | 14,661 | 14,661 | 14,661 |
| Total wholesale and affiliates ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾ | 7,938 | 7,710 | 7,968 | 8,295 | 8,576 | 9,106 | 9,946 | 10,486 | 11,000 | 11,709 | 12,563 | 12,803 | 12,803 | 12,803 |
| Total Wireless | 55,211 | 53,588 | 54,877 | 55,354 | 54,887 | 54,553 | 55,037 | 55,929 | 57,141 | 57,668 | 58,578 | 58,359 | 58,359 | 58,359 |

Table of Contents

| | March 31, 2013 | June 30, 2013 | Sept 30, 2013 | Dec 31, 2013 | March 31, 2014 | June 30, 2014 | Sept 30, 2014 | Dec 31, 2014 | March 31, 2015 | June 30, 2015 | Sept 30, 2015 | Dec 31, 2015 | March 31, 2016 |
|---|-------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| Supplemental data - connected devices End of period subscribers (in thousands) ⁽⁵⁾ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Retail postpaid | 824 | 798 | 834 | 922 | 968 | 988 | 1,039 | 1,180 | 1,320 | 1,439 | 1,576 | 1,676 | 1,771 |
| Wholesale and affiliates | 2,803 | 3,057 | 3,298 | 3,578 | 3,882 | 4,192 | 4,635 | 5,175 | 5,832 | 6,620 | 7,338 | 7,930 | 8,575 |
| Total | 3,627 | 3,855 | 4,132 | 4,500 | 4,850 | 5,180 | 5,674 | 6,355 | 7,152 | 8,059 | 8,914 | 9,606 | 10,346 |

A subscriber is defined as an individual line of service associated with each device activated by a customer.

Subscribers that transfer from their original service category classification to another platform, or another service (1) line within the same platform, are reflected as a net loss to the original service category and a net addition to their new service category. There is no net effect for such subscriber changes to the total wireless net additions (losses) or end of period subscribers.

(2) Sprint platform refers to the Sprint network that supports the wireless service we provide through our multiple brands.

We acquired approximately 352,000 postpaid subscribers and 59,000 prepaid subscribers through the acquisition of assets from U.S. Cellular when the transaction closed on May 17, 2013. We acquired approximately 788,000 postpaid subscribers (excluding 29,000 Sprint wholesale subscribers transferred to Transactions postpaid subscribers that were originally recognized as part of our Clearwire MVNO arrangement), 721,000 prepaid (3) subscribers, and 93,000 wholesale subscribers as a result of the Clearwire Acquisition when the transaction closed on July 9, 2013. Throughout the periods presented, subscribers either migrated to other Sprint offerings or service was canceled. As of March 31, 2016, we have no remaining transaction subscribers primarily due to the shut down of the WiMAX network.

Subscribers through some of our MVNO relationships have inactivity either in voice usage or primarily as a result of the nature of the device, where activity only occurs when data retrieval is initiated by the end-user and may (4) occur infrequently. Although we continue to provide these subscribers access to our network through our MVNO relationships, approximately 1,110,000 subscribers at March 31, 2016 through these MVNO relationships have been inactive for at least six months, with no associated revenue during the six-month period ended March 31, 2016.

(5) End of period connected devices are included in total retail postpaid or wholesale and affiliates end of period subscriber totals for all periods presented.

The following table shows (a) our average rates of monthly postpaid and prepaid subscriber churn and (b) our recapture of Nextel platform subscribers that deactivated but remained as subscribers on the Sprint platform as of the end of each quarterly period beginning with the quarter ended March 31, 2013.

| | March 31, 2013 | June 30, 2013 | Sept 30, 2013 | Dec 31, 2013 | March 31, 2014 | June 30, 2014 | Sept 30, 2014 | Dec 31, 2014 | March 31, 2015 | June 30, 2015 | Sept 30, 2015 | Dec 31, 2015 | March 31, 2016 |
|--|-------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| Monthly subscriber churn rate ⁽¹⁾ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sprint platform: | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Postpaid | 1.84 % | 1.83 % | 1.99 % | 2.07 % | 2.11 % | 2.05 % | 2.18 % | 2.30 % | 1.84 % | 1.56 % | 1.54 % | 1.62 % | 1.72 % |
| Prepaid ⁽²⁾ | 3.05 % | 5.22 % | 3.57 % | 3.01 % | 4.33 % | 4.44 % | 3.76 % | 3.94 % | 3.84 % | 5.08 % | 5.06 % | 5.82 % | 5.65 % |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------|---|-------|---|------|---|------|---|------|---|------|---|------|---|------|---|------|---|------|---|------|---|------|----|------|---|
| Nextel platform: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Postpaid | 7.57 | % | 33.90 | % | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Prepaid | 12.46 | % | 32.13 | % | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Transactions ⁽³⁾ : | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Postpaid | — | | 26.64 | % | 6.38 | % | 5.48 | % | 5.48 | % | 4.15 | % | 4.66 | % | 4.09 | % | 3.87 | % | 6.07 | % | 8.55 | % | NM | NM | | |
| Prepaid | — | | 16.72 | % | 8.84 | % | 8.18 | % | 5.11 | % | 6.28 | % | 5.70 | % | 4.95 | % | 3.77 | % | 7.23 | % | 8.51 | % | NM | NM | | |
| Total retail postpaid | 2.09 | % | 2.63 | % | 2.09 | % | 2.15 | % | 2.18 | % | 2.09 | % | 2.22 | % | 2.33 | % | 1.87 | % | 1.61 | % | 1.61 | % | 1.87 | % | 1.72 | % |
| Total retail prepaid | 3.26 | % | 5.51 | % | 3.78 | % | 3.22 | % | 4.35 | % | 4.50 | % | 3.81 | % | 3.97 | % | 3.84 | % | 5.13 | % | 5.12 | % | 6.29 | % | 5.65 | % |
| Nextel platform subscriber recaptures Rate ⁽⁴⁾ : | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Postpaid | 46 | % | 34 | % | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | |
| Prepaid | 34 | % | 39 | % | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | |
| Subscribers ⁽⁵⁾ : | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Postpaid | 264 | | 364 | | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | |
| Prepaid | 67 | | 101 | | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | |

Churn is calculated by dividing net subscriber deactivations for the quarter by the sum of the average number of subscribers for each month in the quarter. For postpaid accounts comprising multiple subscribers, such as family plans and enterprise accounts, net deactivations are defined as deactivations in excess of subscriber activations in a particular account within 30 days. Postpaid and Prepaid churn consist of both voluntary churn, where the subscriber makes his or her own determination to cease being a subscriber, and involuntary churn, where the subscriber's service is terminated due to a lack of payment or other reasons.

In the quarter ended June 30, 2015, the Company revised its prepaid subscriber reporting to remove one of its rules that matches customers who disconnect and then re-engage within a specified period of time. This enhancement, which we believe represents a more precise churn calculation, had no impact on net additions, but did result in reporting higher deactivations and higher gross additions in the

Table of Contents

quarter. Without this revision, Sprint platform prepaid churn in the quarter would have been 4.33% and relatively flat compared to the same period in 2014. End of period prepaid subscribers and net prepaid subscriber additions for all periods presented were not impacted by the change.

(3) Subscriber churn related to the acquisition of assets from U.S. Cellular and the Clearwire Acquisition.

Represents the recapture rate defined as the Nextel platform postpaid or prepaid subscribers, as applicable, that

(4) switched from the Nextel platform but activated service on the Sprint platform during each period over the total Nextel platform subscriber deactivations in the period for postpaid and prepaid, respectively.

Represents the Nextel platform postpaid and prepaid subscribers, as applicable, that switched from the Nextel

(5) platform during each period but remained with the Company as subscribers on the Sprint platform. Subscribers that deactivated service on the Nextel platform and activated service on the Sprint platform are included in the Sprint platform net additions for the applicable period.

The following table shows our postpaid and prepaid ARPU as of the end of each quarterly period beginning with the quarter ended March 31, 2013.

| | Predecessor | | | Successor | | Combined Successor | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------|---------------|-----------------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|
| | March 31, 2013 | June 30, 2013 | 10 Days Ended July 10, 2013 | Sept 30, 2013 | Sept 30, 2013 | Dec 31, 2013 | March 31, 2014 | June 30, 2014 | Sept 30, 2014 | Dec 31, 2014 | March 31, 2015 | June 30, 2015 | Sept 30, 2015 | Dec 31, 2015 |
| ARPU | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sprint platform: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Postpaid | \$63.67 | \$64.20 | \$64.71 | \$64.24 | \$64.28 | \$64.11 | \$63.52 | \$62.07 | \$60.58 | \$58.90 | \$56.94 | \$55.48 | \$53.99 | \$52.50 |
| Prepaid | \$25.95 | \$26.96 | \$26.99 | \$25.14 | \$25.33 | \$26.78 | \$26.45 | \$27.38 | \$27.19 | \$27.12 | \$27.50 | \$27.81 | \$27.66 | \$27.50 |
| Nextel platform: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Postpaid | \$35.43 | \$36.66 | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— |
| Prepaid | \$31.75 | \$34.48 | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— |
| Transactions ⁽¹⁾ : | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Postpaid | \$— | \$59.87 | \$35.75 | \$37.44 | \$40.00 | \$36.30 | \$37.26 | \$39.16 | \$39.69 | \$39.85 | \$40.28 | \$40.47 | \$40.62 | \$31.75 |
| Prepaid | \$— | \$19.17 | \$12.78 | \$40.62 | \$43.20 | \$40.80 | \$43.80 | \$45.15 | \$45.52 | \$45.80 | \$46.68 | \$46.10 | \$45.82 | \$34.48 |
| Total retail postpaid | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | \$62.47 | \$63.59 | \$64.55 | \$63.48 | \$63.69 | \$63.44 | \$62.98 | \$61.65 | \$60.24 | \$58.63 | \$56.72 | \$55.31 | \$53.87 | \$52.50 |
| Total retail prepaid | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | \$26.08 | \$27.02 | \$26.96 | \$25.86 | \$26.04 | \$27.34 | \$27.07 | \$27.97 | \$27.73 | \$27.61 | \$27.95 | \$28.18 | \$27.97 | \$27.50 |

(1) Subscriber ARPU related to the acquisition of assets from U.S. Cellular and the Clearwire Acquisition.

Combined ARPU for the quarterly period ending September 30, 2013 aggregates service revenue from the

(2) Predecessor 10-day period ended July 10, 2013 and the Successor three-month period ended September 30, 2013 divided by the sum of the monthly average subscribers during the three months ended September 30, 2013.

Table of Contents

Subscriber Results

Sprint Platform Subscribers

Retail Postpaid — During the Successor year ended March 31, 2016, net postpaid subscriber additions were 1,245,000 compared to net losses of 212,000 and 96,000 in the Successor year ended March 31, 2015 and the Combined year ended December 31, 2013, respectively, inclusive of 545,000, 1,334,000, and 564,000 net additions of tablets, respectively, which generally have a significantly lower ARPU as compared to other wireless subscribers. During the Successor three-month transition period ended March 31, 2014, net postpaid subscriber losses were 231,000 compared to net additions of 12,000 in the Predecessor three-month period ended March 31, 2013, inclusive of 516,000 and 16,000 net additions of tablet devices, respectively. The primary driver for the net additions in the Successor year ended March 31, 2016 was our pricing plan promotions launched during the year combined with improvement in churn as subscribers benefit from the improved network quality. The primary driver for the net losses in the Successor year ended March 31, 2015, the Successor three-month transition period ended March 31, 2014 and the Combined year ended December 31, 2013 was an increase in churn, primarily due to increased competition and network-related churn impacted by our network modernization program. Aggressive marketing efforts by other wireless carriers, including price reductions, to incent subscribers to switch carriers also negatively impact churn, which has a negative effect on earnings. Nextel platform and U.S. Cellular recaptures in the Combined year ended December 31, 2013 totaled 734,000.

Retail Prepaid — During the Successor year ended March 31, 2016, we lost 1,309,000 net prepaid subscribers compared to adding 449,000 and 488,000 net prepaid subscribers in the Successor year ended March 31, 2015 and Combined year ended December 31, 2013, respectively. The net losses in the Successor year ended March 31, 2016 were primarily due to subscriber losses in the Virgin Mobile prepaid brand primarily due to increasing competition. Net additions in the Successor year ended March 31, 2015 were primarily due to subscriber growth in our Boost brand as a result of new promotions in our indirect channels, partially offset by subscriber losses in the Virgin Mobile prepaid brands primarily due to continued competition. During the Successor three-month transition period ended March 31, 2014, we lost 364,000 net prepaid subscribers compared to adding 568,000 in the Predecessor three-month period ended March 31, 2013, primarily due to the timing and impact of churn related to the annual recertification of Assurance Wireless subscribers occurring earlier in calendar year 2014 compared to calendar year 2013, combined with a decline in gross subscriber additions across all prepaid brands. Net additions in the Combined year ended December 31, 2013 were primarily due to subscriber growth in our Boost prepaid brand, partially offset by the deactivation of subscribers related to new federal regulations and a one-time recertification of all Assurance Wireless subscribers in 2012.

The federal Lifeline program under which Assurance Wireless operates requires applicants to meet certain eligibility requirements and existing subscribers must recertify as to those requirements annually. Regulations adopted in 2012, which impact all Lifeline carriers, imposed stricter rules on the subscriber eligibility requirements and recertification. These new regulations also required a one-time recertification of the entire June 1, 2012 subscriber base by December 31, 2012. Accounts of subscribers who failed to respond by December 31, 2012 were suspended and made subject to our prepaid churn rules as described below (or 365 days in a limited number of states). However, subscribers could re-apply prior to being deactivated and also had the ability to receive by-the-minute service at their own expense. We deactivated the accounts of approximately 1.2 million subscribers in the quarter ended June 30, 2013 primarily related to the recertification process.

Prepaid subscribers are generally deactivated between 60 and 150 days from the later of the date of initial activation or replenishment; however, prior to account deactivation, targeted retention programs can be offered to qualifying subscribers to maintain ongoing service by providing up to an additional 150 days to make a replenishment. Subscribers targeted through these retention offers are not included in the calculation of churn until their retention offer expires without a replenishment to their account.

Wholesale and Affiliate Subscribers — Wholesale and affiliate subscribers represent customers that are served on our networks through companies that resell our wireless services to their subscribers, customers residing in affiliate territories and connected devices that utilize our network. Of the 13.5 million Sprint Platform subscribers included in wholesale and affiliates, approximately 64% represent connected devices. Wholesale and affiliate subscriber net

additions were 2,733,000 during the Successor year ended March 31, 2016, compared to 2,349,000 and 31,000 during the Successor year ended March 31, 2015 and the Combined year ended December 31, 2013, respectively, inclusive of net additions of connected devices totaling 2,743,000, 1,950,000, and 908,000, respectively. The primary driver for net additions in the Successor years ended March 31, 2016, March 31, 2015 and the Combined year ended December 31, 2013 is primarily attributable to growth in connected devices. Net additions were 212,000 during the Successor three-month transition period ended March 31, 2014 compared to net losses of 224,000 during the Predecessor three-month period ended March 31, 2013, inclusive of net

Table of Contents

additions of connected devices totaling 304,000 and 133,000, respectively. Net additions were primarily attributable to growth in connected device subscribers as compared to net losses in the Predecessor three-month period 2013 from the Lifeline programs offered by our MVNO's selling prepaid services affected by new federal regulations, similar to the impact on our Assurance Wireless brand in Retail Prepaid above.

Transactions Subscribers

As part of the acquisition of assets from U.S. Cellular, which closed in May 2013, we acquired 352,000 postpaid subscribers and 59,000 prepaid subscribers. As part of the Clearwire Acquisition in July 2013, we acquired 788,000 postpaid subscribers (exclusive of Sprint platform wholesale subscribers acquired through our MVNO relationship with Clearwire that were transferred to postpaid subscribers within Transactions), 721,000 prepaid subscribers, and 93,000 wholesale subscribers. As of March 31, 2016, we have no remaining transaction subscribers primarily due to the shutdown of the WiMAX network. For the Successor year ended March 31, 2016, we had net postpaid subscriber losses of 368,000, net prepaid subscriber losses of 361,000 and net wholesale subscriber losses of 275,000. For the Successor year ended March 31, 2015, we had net postpaid subscriber losses of 218,000, net prepaid subscriber losses of 189,000 and net wholesale subscriber additions of 75,000. For the Successor three-month transition period ended March 31, 2014, we had net postpaid subscriber losses of 102,000, net prepaid subscriber losses of 51,000 and net wholesale subscriber additions of 69,000, of which approximately 3,000 postpaid subscribers were recaptured on the Sprint platform. For the remainder of the Combined year ended December 31, 2013, we had net postpaid subscriber losses of 481,000, net prepaid subscriber losses of 179,000 and net wholesale subscriber additions of 38,000, of which approximately 106,000 and 8,000 postpaid and prepaid subscribers, respectively, were recaptured on the Sprint platform.

Cost of Services

Cost of services consists primarily of:

- costs to operate and maintain our networks, including direct switch and cell site costs, such as rent, utilities, maintenance, labor costs associated with network employees, and spectrum frequency leasing costs;
- fixed and variable interconnection costs, the fixed component of which consists of monthly flat-rate fees for facilities leased from local exchange carriers and other providers based on the number of cell sites and switches in service in a particular period and the related equipment installed at each site, and the variable component of which generally consists of per-minute use fees charged by wireline providers for calls terminating on their networks, which fluctuate in relation to the level and duration of those terminating calls;
- long distance costs paid to the Wireline segment;
- costs to service and repair devices;
- regulatory fees;
- roaming fees paid to other carriers; and
- fixed and variable costs relating to payments to third parties for the subscriber use of their proprietary data applications, such as messaging, music and cloud services and connected vehicle fees.

Successor Year Ended March 31, 2016 and Successor Year Ended March 31, 2015

Cost of services increased \$124 million, or 2%, for the Successor year ended March 31, 2016 compared to the Successor year ended March 31, 2015 primarily due to increased service and repair costs as a result of higher costs per unit of new and used devices. These increases were partially offset by decreases in roaming costs primarily due to lower rates.

Successor Year Ended March 31, 2015 and Successor Year Ended December 31, 2013

Cost of services increased \$3.6 billion, or 83%, for the Successor year ended March 31, 2015 compared to the year ended December 31, 2013. The increase was primarily due to comparing results for a full twelve-month period ending March 31, 2015 to the shortened Post-merger period and increases as a result of the Clearwire Acquisition. These increases were offset by decreases in roaming and other network costs such as rent, utilities, backhaul and labor as a result of declining costs associated with improvements in the quality of our network and the shut-down of the Nextel platform in June 2013, which resulted in an overall decrease in cost of services when comparing the Successor year ended March 31, 2015 to the Combined year ended December 31, 2013.

Table of Contents

Successor Three-Month Transition Period Ended March 31, 2014 and Predecessor Three-Month Period Ended March 31, 2013

Cost of services decreased \$65 million, or 3%, for the Successor three-month transition period ended March 31, 2014 compared to the same Predecessor period in 2013, primarily reflecting reduced network costs such as rent, utilities and backhaul costs related to the shut-down of the Nextel platform in June 2013 combined with a decrease in service and repair costs due to a decline in the volume and frequency of repairs and a decrease in roaming fees due to lower volume and rates, partially offset by net increases as a result of the Clearwire Acquisition.

Equipment Revenue and Cost of Products

We recognize equipment revenue and corresponding costs of devices when title and risk of loss passes to the indirect dealer or end-use subscriber, assuming all other revenue recognition criteria are met. Our devices are sold under the subsidy program, the installment billing program, or leased under the leasing program. Under the subsidy program, we offer certain incentives to retain and acquire subscribers such as new devices at discounted prices. The cost of these incentives is recorded as a reduction to equipment revenue upon activation of the device with a service contract. Under the installment billing program, the device is sold at or near full retail price and we recognize most of the future expected installment payments at the time of sale of the device.

Cost of products includes equipment costs (primarily devices and accessories), order fulfillment related expenses, and write-downs of device and accessory inventory related to shrinkage and obsolescence. Additionally, cost of products is reduced by any rebates that are earned from the equipment manufacturers. Cost of products in excess of the net revenue generated from equipment sales is referred to in the industry as equipment net subsidy. As subscribers migrate from acquiring devices through our subsidy program to installment billing or choose to lease under our leasing program, equipment net subsidy continues to decline. We also make incentive payments to certain indirect dealers who purchase devices directly from OEMs or other device distributors. Those payments are recognized as selling, general and administrative expenses when the device is activated with a Sprint service plan because Sprint does not recognize any equipment revenue or cost of products for those transactions. (See Selling, General and Administrative Expense below.)

The net impact to equipment revenue and cost of products from the sale of devices under our installment billing program is relatively neutral except for the impact from the time value of money element related to the imputed interest on the installment receivables. Under the leasing program, lease revenue is recorded over the term of the lease. The cost of the leased device is depreciated to its estimated residual value generally over the lease term. During the years ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, we leased devices through our Sprint direct channels totaling approximately \$3.2 billion and \$1.2 billion, respectively, which were reclassified from inventory to property, plant and equipment and, as such, the cost of the device was not recorded as cost of products compared to when purchased under the installment billing or traditional subsidized programs.

Successor Year Ended March 31, 2016 and Successor Year Ended March 31, 2015

Equipment revenue increased \$16 million, or remained relatively flat, for the Successor year ended March 31, 2016 compared to the Successor year ended March 31, 2015, primarily due to higher revenue from the leasing program of approximately \$1.7 billion and a higher average sales price per postpaid handset sold, which was primarily offset by a decrease in postpaid handsets sold as a result of Brightstar Corp. (Brightstar) purchasing inventory from the OEMs to sell directly to our indirect dealers and more subscribers choosing to lease their device, combined with lower average sales price per prepaid handset sold. Cost of products decreased \$3.5 billion, or 38%, for the Successor year ended March 31, 2016 compared to the Successor year ended March 31, 2015 primarily due to a decrease in postpaid handsets sold as a result of Brightstar purchasing inventory from the OEMs to sell directly to our indirect dealers and subscribers choosing to lease devices instead of purchasing them.

Successor Year Ended March 31, 2015 and Successor Year Ended December 31, 2013

Equipment revenue increased \$3.2 billion, or 178%, and cost of products increased \$4.7 billion, or 102%, for the Successor year ended March 31, 2015 compared to the Successor year ended December 31, 2013, primarily due to comparing results for a full twelve-month period to a shortened Post-merger period. In addition, equipment revenue increased due to higher revenue from the installment billing and leasing programs and a higher average sales price per postpaid handset sold, partially offset by a decrease in postpaid handsets sold as a result of customers choosing to

lease devices instead of purchasing them. Cost of products also increased due to higher average cost per handset sold for postpaid handsets, combined with an increase in prepaid handsets sold. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in postpaid handsets sold as a result of customers choosing to lease devices instead of purchasing them and a lower average cost per handset sold for

43

Table of Contents

prepaid handsets, which resulted in an overall decrease in cost of products when comparing the Successor year ended March 31, 2015 to the Combined year ended December 31, 2013.

Successor Three-Month Transition Period Ended March 31, 2014 and Predecessor Three-Month Period Ended March 31, 2013

Equipment revenue increased \$186 million, or 23%, for the Successor three-month transition period ended March 31, 2014 compared to the same Predecessor period in 2013. The increase in equipment revenue was primarily due to higher average sales prices per postpaid and prepaid device sold combined with the impact of a different revenue recognition model related to our installment billing program for device purchases. The increase was partially offset by fewer postpaid and prepaid handsets sold. Cost of products declined \$255 million, or 11%, for the Successor three-month transition period ended March 31, 2014 compared to the same Predecessor period in 2013, primarily due to fewer postpaid and prepaid handsets sold, slightly offset by higher average cost per device sold for postpaid and prepaid devices.

Selling, General and Administrative Expense

Sales and marketing costs primarily consist of subscriber acquisition costs, including commissions paid to our indirect dealers, third-party distributors and retail sales force for new device activations and upgrades, residual payments to our indirect dealers, commission payments made to OEMs or other device distributors for direct source handsets, payroll and facilities costs associated with our retail sales force, marketing employees, advertising, media programs and sponsorships, including costs related to branding. General and administrative expenses primarily consist of costs for billing, customer care and information technology operations, bad debt expense and administrative support activities, including collections, legal, finance, human resources, corporate communications, strategic planning, and technology and product development.

Successor Year Ended March 31, 2016 and Successor Year Ended March 31, 2015

Sales and marketing expense decreased \$272 million, or 5%, for the Successor year ended March 31, 2016 compared to the Successor year ended March 31, 2015, primarily due to lower media spend and a decrease in payments to OEMs for direct source handsets as a result of lower volume of device sales, partially offset by higher retail labor costs.

General and administrative costs decreased \$766 million, or 20%, for the Successor year ended March 31, 2016 compared to the Successor year ended March 31, 2015, primarily due to a decrease in bad debt expense combined with declines in other general and administrative expenses due to reduced headcount and other cost-savings initiatives. Bad debt expense decreased \$446 million, or 50%, for the Successor year ended March 31, 2016 compared to the Successor year ended March 31, 2015 primarily related to an improved aging as a result of customer credit profile improvement and fewer accounts written off due to improvements in churn, partially offset by a higher average balance of accounts written off.

Successor Year Ended March 31, 2015 and Successor Year Ended December 31, 2013

Sales and marketing expense was \$5.3 billion for the Successor year ended March 31, 2015 representing an increase of \$2.7 billion, or 102%, compared to the Successor year ended December 31, 2013. The increase was primarily due to comparing results for a full twelve-month period ending March 31, 2015 to the shortened Post-merger period ending December 31, 2013, combined with higher advertising costs related to new promotional campaigns. These increases were offset by a reduction in labor-related costs due to our reduction in force and retail store closures in addition to lower commission expense as sales shifted to more cost-effective channels, which resulted in an overall decrease in sales and marketing expense when comparing the Successor year ended March 31, 2015 to the Combined year ended December 31, 2013.

General and administrative costs were \$3.9 billion for the Successor year ended March 31, 2015 representing an increase of \$2.0 billion, or 104%, compared to the Successor year ended December 31, 2013, primarily due to comparing results for a full twelve-month period ending March 31, 2015 to the shortened Post-merger period ending December 31, 2013, combined with an increase in bad debt expense primarily associated with the increase in installment receivables. These increases were offset by a decrease in customer care costs primarily due to lower call volumes and labor-related initiatives, which resulted in an overall decrease in general and administrative costs when comparing the Successor year ended March 31, 2015 to the Combined year ended December 31, 2013.

Successor Three-Month Transition Period Ended March 31, 2014 and Predecessor Three-Month Period Ended March 31, 2013

Sales and marketing expense was \$1.4 billion representing an increase of \$70 million, or 5%, for the Successor three-month transition period ended March 31, 2014 compared to the same Predecessor period in 2013. The increase was primarily due to higher media spend and commission expense, partially offset by a reduction in labor related costs due to our reduction in force and retail store closures.

Table of Contents

General and administrative costs were \$897 million, representing a decrease of \$27 million, or 3%, for the Successor three-month transition period ended March 31, 2014 compared to the same Predecessor period in 2013, primarily reflecting a decrease in customer care costs primarily due to lower call volumes and labor related initiatives, partially offset by an increase in bad debt expense. Bad debt expense was \$155 million for the three-month transition period ended March 31, 2014, representing a \$72 million, or 87%, increase compared to bad debt expense of \$83 million for the same Predecessor period in 2013. The increase in bad debt expense primarily reflects the impact of increased receivables related to our installment billing program.

Loss on Disposal of Property, Plant and Equipment

For the Successor year ended March 31, 2016, loss on disposal of property, plant and equipment is a result of approximately \$65 million in net losses recognized upon the sale of devices to MLS under the Handset Sale-Leaseback Tranche 1 transaction, which represented the difference between the fair value and net book value of the devices sold. In addition, approximately \$256 million in losses resulted from the write-off of leased devices associated with lease cancellations prior to the scheduled customer lease terms where customers did not return the devices to us. If customers continue to not return devices, we may have material losses in future periods. Similar charges are and have been incurred for devices sold under our subsidy program as equipment net subsidy.

Segment Earnings - Wireline

We provide a broad suite of wireline voice and data communications services to other communications companies and targeted business subscribers. In addition, we provide voice, data and IP communication services to our Wireless segment. We provide long distance services and operate all-digital global long distance and Tier 1 IP networks. Our services and products include domestic and international data communications using various protocols such as multiprotocol label switching technologies (MPLS), IP, managed network services, Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP), Session Initiated Protocol (SIP), and traditional voice services. Our IP services can also be combined with wireless services. Such services include our Sprint Mobile Integration service, which enables a wireless handset to operate as part of a subscriber's wireline voice network, and our DataLinkSM service, which uses our wireless networks to connect a subscriber location into their primarily wireline wide-area IP/MPLS data network, making it easy for businesses to adapt their network to changing business requirements. In addition to providing services to our business customers, the wireline network is carrying increasing amounts of voice and data traffic for our Wireless segment as a result of growing usage by our wireless subscribers.

We continue to assess the portfolio of services provided by our Wireline business and are focusing our efforts on IP-based data services and de-emphasizing stand-alone voice services and non-IP-based data services. We also continue to provide voice services primarily to business consumers. Our Wireline segment markets and sells its services primarily through direct sales representatives.

Wireline segment earnings are primarily a function of wireline service revenue, network and interconnection costs, and other Wireline segment operating expenses. Network costs primarily represent special access costs and interconnection costs, which generally consist of domestic and international per-minute usage fees paid to other carriers. The remaining costs associated with operating the Wireline segment include the costs to operate our customer care and billing organizations in addition to administrative support. Wireline service revenue and variable network and interconnection costs fluctuate with the changes in our customer base and their related usage, but some cost elements do not fluctuate in the short term with the changes in our customer usage. Our wireline services provided to our Wireless segment are generally accounted for based on market rates, which we believe approximate fair value. The Company generally re-establishes these rates at the beginning of each fiscal year. Over the past several years, there has been an industry wide trend of lower rates due to increased competition from other wireline and wireless communications companies as well as cable and Internet service providers. Declines in wireline segment earnings related to intercompany pricing rates do not affect our consolidated results of operations as our Wireless segment benefits from an equivalent reduction in cost of service.

Table of Contents

The following table provides an overview of the results of operations of our Wireline segment.

| | Successor | | | Combined | Successor | Predecessor | | |
|---|---------------|---------|--------|----------|-----------|-------------|--------|------|
| | Year | Year | Three | Year | Year | 191 | Three | |
| | Ended | Ended | Months | Ended | Ended | Days | Months | |
| | March | March | Ended | December | December | Ended | Ended | |
| | 31, | 31, | March | 31, | 31, | July | March | |
| | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2013 | 10, | 31, | |
| | | | | | | | | 2013 |
| | | | | | | | | 2013 |
| Wireline Segment Earnings | (in millions) | | | | | | | |
| Voice | \$840 | \$1,174 | \$352 | \$1,490 | \$719 | \$771 | \$352 | |
| Data | 171 | 213 | 62 | 326 | 138 | 188 | 94 | |
| Internet | 1,284 | 1,353 | 345 | 1,660 | 747 | 913 | 434 | |
| Other | 87 | 74 | 11 | 61 | 32 | 29 | 13 | |
| Total net service revenue | 2,382 | 2,814 | 770 | 3,537 | 1,636 | 1,901 | 893 | |
| Cost of services | (1,962) | (2,338) | (668) | (2,637) | (1,235) | (1,402) | (661) | |
| Service gross margin | 420 | 476 | 102 | 900 | 401 | 499 | 232 | |
| Service gross margin percentage | 18 % | 17 % | 13 % | 25 % | 25 % | 26 % | 26 % | |
| Selling, general and administrative expense | (328) | (363) | (90) | (406) | (179) | (227) | (104) | |
| Wireline segment earnings | \$92 | \$113 | \$12 | \$494 | \$222 | \$272 | \$128 | |

Wireline Revenue

Successor Year Ended March 31, 2016 and Successor Year Ended March 31, 2015

Voice Revenues

Voice revenues for the Successor year ended March 31, 2016 decreased \$334 million, or 28%, compared to the Successor year ended March 31, 2015. The decrease was primarily driven by lower volume and overall rate declines, primarily due to decreases in international hubbing volumes, combined with the decline in prices for the sale of services to our Wireless segment. Voice revenues generated from the sale of services to our Wireless segment represented 39% of total voice revenues for the Successor year ended March 31, 2016 compared to 31% in the year ended March 31, 2015.

Data Revenues

Data revenues reflect sales of data services, primarily Private Line and managed network services bundled with non-IP-based data access. Data revenues decreased \$42 million, or 20%, for the Successor year ended March 31, 2016 compared to the Successor year ended March 31, 2015 as a result of customer churn, primarily related to Private Line. Data revenues generated from the provision of services to the Wireless segment represented 40% of total data revenue for each of the Successor year ended March 31, 2016 compared to 41% in the year ended March 31, 2015.

Internet Revenue

IP-based data services revenue reflects sales of Internet services, including MPLS, VoIP, SIP, and managed services bundled with IP-based data access. IP-based data services decreased \$69 million, or 5%, for the Successor year ended March 31, 2016 compared to the Successor year ended March 31, 2015 primarily due to fewer IP customers. In addition, revenue was also impacted by a decline in prices for the sale of services to our Wireless segment. Sale of services to our Wireless segment represented 15% of total Internet revenues for the Successor year ended March 31, 2016 compared to 12% in the year ended March 31, 2015.

Other Revenues

Other revenues, which primarily consist of sales of customer premises equipment, increased \$13 million, or 18%, for the Successor year ended March 31, 2016 compared to the Successor year ended March 31, 2015.

Successor Year Ended March 31, 2015 and Successor Year Ended December 31, 2013

Voice Revenues

Voice revenues for the Successor year ended March 31, 2015 increased \$455 million, or 63%, compared to the Successor year ended December 31, 2013. The increase was primarily due to comparing results for a full twelve-month period to a shortened Post-merger period. Offsetting the increase were decreases driven by lower

volume and overall rate declines, primarily due to the decline in prices for the sale of services to our Wireless segment, combined with decreases in international hubbing volumes, which resulted in an overall decrease in voice revenues when comparing the Successor year ended March 31, 2015 to the Combined year ended December 31, 2013. Voice revenues generated from the sale of services to

Table of Contents

our Wireless segment represented 31% of total voice revenues for the Successor year ended March 31, 2015 compared to 33% in the Successor year ended December 31, 2013.

Data Revenues

Data revenues reflect sales of data services, primarily Private Line and managed network services bundled with non-IP-based data access. Data revenues increased \$75 million, or 54%, for the Successor year ended March 31, 2015 compared to the Successor year ended December 31, 2013 primarily due to comparing results for a full twelve-month period to a shortened Post-merger period. Offsetting the increase was a decrease as a result of customer churn, primarily related to Private Line, which resulted in an overall decrease in data revenues when comparing the Successor year ended March 31, 2015 to the Combined year ended December 31, 2013. Data revenues generated from the provision of services to the Wireless segment represented 41% of total data revenue for each of the Successor year ended March 31, 2015 compared to 50% in the Successor year ended December 31, 2013.

Internet Revenue

IP-based data services revenue reflects sales of Internet services, including MPLS, VoIP, SIP, and managed services bundled with IP-based data access. IP-based data services increased \$606 million, or 81%, for the Successor year ended March 31, 2015 compared to the year ended December 31, 2013 primarily due to comparing results for a full twelve-month period to a shortened Post-merger period. Offsetting the increase was a decrease primarily due to fewer IP customers, and in particular, the final transition to in-sourcing at one of our larger cable multiple system operators (MSO's), which resulted in an overall decrease in Internet revenues when comparing the Successor year ended March 31, 2015 to the Combined year ended December 31, 2013. In addition, revenue was also impacted by a decline in the price of services sold to our Wireless segment and the elimination of backhaul associated with the decommissioning of the Nextel platform as of June 30, 2013. Sale of services to our Wireless segment represented 12% of total Internet revenues for the Successor year ended March 31, 2015 compared to 11% in the year ended December 31, 2013.

Other Revenues

Other revenues, which primarily consist of sales of customer premises equipment, increased \$42 million, or 131%, for the Successor year ended March 31, 2015 compared to the year ended December 31, 2013 primarily due to comparing results for a full twelve-month period to a shortened Post-merger period.

Successor Three-Month Transition Period Ended March 31, 2014 and Predecessor Three-Month Period Ended March 31, 2013

Voice Revenues

Voice revenues remained flat for the Successor three-month transition period ended March 31, 2014 compared to the same Predecessor period in 2013. Overall rate declines were primarily due to the decline in prices for the sale of services to our Wireless segment which were offset by increases in international hubbing volumes in the three-month transition period ended March 31, 2014. Voice revenues generated from the sale of services to our Wireless segment represented 25% of total voice revenues for the Successor three-month transition period ended March 31, 2014 compared to 28% for the Predecessor three-month period ended March 31, 2013.

Data Revenues

Data revenues reflect sales of data services, primarily Private Line and managed network services bundled with non-IP-based data access. Data revenues decreased \$32 million, or 34%, for the Successor three-month transition period ended March 31, 2014 compared to the same Predecessor period in 2013 as a result of customer churn, primarily related to Private Line. Data revenues generated from the provision of services to the Wireless segment represented 42% of total data revenue for the Successor three-month transition period ended March 31, 2014 compared to 49% for the Predecessor three-month period ended March 31, 2013.

Internet Revenue

IP-based data services revenue reflects sales of Internet services, including MPLS, VoIP, SIP, and managed services bundled with IP-based data access. IP-based data services decreased \$89 million, or 21%, for the Successor three-month transition period ended March 31, 2014 compared to the same Predecessor period in 2013, primarily due to fewer IP customers, and in particular, the final transition to in-sourcing of one of our larger cable MSO's. Sale of services to our Wireless segment represented 11% of total Internet revenues in both the Successor three-month transition period ended March 31, 2014 and the Predecessor three-month period ended March 31, 2013.

Table of Contents

Other Revenues

Other revenues, which primarily consist of sales of customer premises equipment, decreased \$2 million, or 15%, in the Successor three-month transition period ended March 31, 2014 compared to the same Predecessor period in 2013.

Costs of Services

Costs of services include access costs paid to local phone companies, other domestic service providers and foreign phone companies to complete calls made by our domestic subscribers, costs to operate and maintain our networks, and costs of equipment.

Successor Year Ended March 31, 2016 and Successor Year Ended March 31, 2015

Costs of services decreased \$376 million, or 16%, for the Successor year ended March 31, 2016 compared to the Successor year ended March 31, 2015 primarily due to lower international voice volume and rates combined with lower access expense as the result of savings initiatives and declining voice and IP rate and volumes. Service gross margin percentage increased from 17% in the Successor year ended March 31, 2015 to 18% in the Successor year ended March 31, 2016.

Successor Year Ended March 31, 2015 and Successor Year Ended December 31, 2013

Costs of services increased \$1.1 billion, or 89%, for the Successor year ended March 31, 2015 compared to the Successor year ended December 31, 2013 primarily due to comparing results for a full twelve-month period to a shortened Post-merger period. Offsetting the increase was a decrease primarily due to lower access expense as a result of savings initiatives and declining volumes, which resulted in an overall decrease in cost of services when comparing the Successor year ended March 31, 2015 to the Combined year ended December 31, 2013. Service gross margin percentage decreased from 25% in the Successor year ended December 31, 2013 to 17% in the Successor year ended March 31, 2015 primarily as a result of a decrease in net service revenue partially offset by a decrease in cost of services.

Successor Three-Month Transition Period Ended March 31, 2014 and Predecessor Three-Month Period Ended March 31, 2013

Costs of services increased \$7 million, or 1%, in the Successor three-month transition period ended March 31, 2014 compared to the same Predecessor period in 2013 primarily due to higher contractual rates impacting facility costs. Service gross margin percentage decreased from 26% in the Predecessor three-month period ended March 31, 2013 to 13% in the Successor three-month transition period ended March 31, 2014 primarily as a result of a decrease in net service revenue combined with a slight increase in cost of services.

Selling, General and Administrative Expense

Successor Year Ended March 31, 2016 and Successor Year Ended March 31, 2015

Selling, general and administrative expense decreased \$35 million, or 10%, in the Successor year ended March 31, 2016 compared to the Successor year ended March 31, 2015 primarily due to a decrease in shared administrative and employee-related costs required to support the Wireline segment as a result of the decline in revenue. Total selling, general and administrative expense as a percentage of net services revenue was 14% in the Successor year ended March 31, 2016 compared to 13% in the Successor year ended March 31, 2015.

Successor Year Ended March 31, 2015 and Successor Year Ended December 31, 2013

Selling, general and administrative expense increased \$184 million, or 103%, in the Successor year ended March 31, 2015 compared to the Successor year ended December 31, 2013 primarily due to comparing results for a full twelve-month period to a shortened Post-merger period, partially offset by a decrease due to a reduction in shared administrative and employee-related costs required to support the Wireline segment as a result of the decline in revenue, which resulted in an overall decrease in selling, general and administrative expense when comparing the Successor year ended March 31, 2015 to the Combined year ended December 31, 2013. Total selling, general and administrative expense as a percentage of net services revenue was 13% in the Successor year ended March 31, 2015 compared to 11% in the Successor year ended December 31, 2013.

Successor Three-Month Transition Period Ended March 31, 2014 and Predecessor Three-Month Period Ended March 31, 2013

Selling, general and administrative expense decreased \$14 million, or 13%, in the Successor three-month transition period ended March 31, 2014 compared to the same Predecessor period in 2013. The decrease was primarily due to a

reduction in shared administrative and employee related costs required to support the Wireline segment as a result of the

48

Table of Contents

decline in revenue. Total selling, general and administrative expense as a percentage of net services revenue was 12% in each of the three-month periods ended March 31, 2014 (Successor) and 2013 (Predecessor).

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Cash Flow

| | Successor | | | Combined | Successor | Predecessor | | |
|---|---------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|--|
| | Year | Year | Three | Year | Year | 191 | Three | |
| | Ended | Ended | Months | Ended | Ended | Days | Months | |
| | March | March | Ended | December | December | Ended | Ended | |
| | 31, | 31, | March | 31, | 31, | July 10, | March | |
| | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2013 | 2013 | 2013 | |
| | (in millions) | | | | | | | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | \$3,897 | \$2,450 | \$522 | \$2,610 | \$(61) | \$2,671 | \$940 | |
| Net cash used in investing activities | \$(5,735) | \$(4,714) | \$(1,756) | \$(24,493) | \$(18,108) | \$(6,385) | \$(1,158) | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | \$469 | \$1,304 | \$(160) | \$24,419 | \$24,528 | \$(109) | \$142 | |

Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities of approximately \$3.9 billion in the Successor year ended March 31, 2016 increased \$1.4 billion from the same period in 2015. This increase was due to lower vendor and labor-related payments of \$2.2 billion which were primarily due to reduced operating costs partially offset by reduced cash received from customers of \$669 million. The reduction in cash received from customers was driven by the \$2.4 billion decrease in net operating revenues primarily due to lower average revenue per subscriber, which was offset by the \$1.5 billion increase in operating cash flows resulting from the net changes in accounts and notes receivables and Deferred Purchase Price (DPP) during the Successor year ended March 31, 2016 compared to the same period in 2015. In addition, we had increased interest payments of \$125 million primarily associated with \$1.5 billion aggregate principal amount of notes issued in February 2015.

The activities under our Receivables Facility impact the cash flows from both accounts and notes receivables and DPP. During the Successor year ended March 31, 2016, the total cash inflows from the DPP were \$2.5 billion. Approximately \$1.2 billion of the cash inflows represented the settlement of the DPP associated with the sale of service receivables on March 31, 2015, which occurred after we temporarily suspended the sale of new receivables in April 2016. In September and October 2016, we began selling both service and installment receivables, respectively. The DPP associated with these initial non-cash transactions amounted to \$2.4 billion. Approximately \$825 million of the cash inflows represented cash advances that we elected to draw against the DPP during the year. Under the Receivables Facility, as cash collections on previously sold receivables exceed the sales of new receivables, we retain these amounts and apply them against the DPP. During the successor year ended March 31, 2016, the DPP was reduced for these cash collections totaling approximately \$400 million.

Net cash provided by operating activities of approximately \$2.5 billion in the Successor year ended March 31, 2015 increased \$2.5 billion from the Successor year ended December 31, 2013. The increase was primarily due to comparing a full twelve-month period to a shortened Post-merger period. The Successor year ended December 31, 2013 included \$180 million of call redemption premiums paid to retire the Clearwire debt and approximately \$225 million of interest payments related to Clearwire debt. Net cash provided by operating activities of approximately \$2.5 billion in the Successor year ended March 31, 2015 decreased \$160 million as compared to net cash provided by operating activities of approximately \$2.6 billion for the year ended December 31, 2013, on a combined basis. The decrease was due to decreased cash received from customers of \$1.1 billion primarily as a result of increases in installment billing receivables offset by declines due to the sales of receivables through our receivables facility (see Accounts Receivables Facility below) as well as declines in net operating revenues and increased interest payments of \$505 million primarily related to the debt issued in September 2013 and December 2013. The decrease was partially

offset by lower vendor and labor-related payments of \$1.4 billion, which were primarily due to (i) decreased backhaul payments related to the shut-down of the Nextel platform in June 2013, (ii) declines in roaming payments due to lower volumes and rates, and (iii) fewer labor-related payments primarily as a result of reductions in force, call center savings due to lower call volumes, and other labor-related initiatives. These lower payments were partially offset by increased cash paid for inventory.

Net cash provided by operating activities of approximately \$522 million in the Successor three-month transition period ended March 31, 2014 decreased \$418 million from the same Predecessor period in 2013. The decrease was due to decreased cash received from customers of \$365 million primarily as a result of increases in installment billing receivables and

Table of Contents

increased interest payments of \$254 million related to the debt issued in September 2013. These decreases were partially offset by decreases in vendor and labor-related payments of \$219 million.

Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities in the Successor year ended March 31, 2016 increased by approximately \$1.0 billion compared to the same period in 2015, primarily due to increased purchases of \$1.7 billion of leased devices from indirect dealers and decreased net proceeds from sales and maturities of short-term investments of \$2.7 billion. These increases were partially offset by \$1.1 billion of proceeds from MLS under the Handset Sale-Leaseback Tranche 1 transaction, \$1.8 billion in decreased purchases of short-term investments and decreased network and other capital expenditures of \$742 million.

Net cash used in investing activities in the Successor year ended March 31, 2015 decreased by approximately \$13.4 billion compared to the Successor year ended December 31, 2013, primarily due to increases of approximately \$1.4 billion in proceeds from sales and maturities of short-term investments and 2013 increases related to the SoftBank Merger of \$14.1 billion, net of cash acquired. These decreases were partially offset by increased capital expenditures of \$2.2 billion, which included \$582 million of leased devices purchased from indirect channels, and increased purchases of short-term investments of \$358 million. In addition, in the Successor year ended March 31, 2015, we received \$95 million in reimbursements of our costs of clearing the H Block spectrum as part of the Report and Order obligations and \$315 million of proceeds from sales of assets and FCC licenses of which \$290 million was related to the sale of certain FCC licenses.

Net cash used in investing activities in the Successor three-month transition period ended March 31, 2014 increased by approximately \$598 million compared to the same Predecessor period in 2013, primarily due to increased purchases of short-term investments of approximately \$100 million, decreased proceeds of approximately \$360 million from sales and maturities of short-term investments, and increases in capital expenditures and expenditures relating to FCC licenses of \$100 million each. In addition, as part of an amended exchangeable notes agreement we had with Clearwire, they elected to draw \$80 million in March 2013. As a result of the Clearwire Acquisition, the exchangeable notes agreement was terminated and no notes remain outstanding.

Financing Activities

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$469 million during the Successor year ended March 31, 2016, which was primarily due to sales of future lease receivables through our receivables facility (see Receivables Facility below) of \$600 million and draws of \$208 million, \$266 million and \$32 million on our Finnvera plc (Finnvera), K-sure and Delcredere | Ducroire (D/D) secured equipment credit facilities, respectively and a \$250 million draw on the Export Development Canada (EDC) credit facility. These draws were partially offset by repayments related to our secured equipment credit facilities of \$315 million, capital lease repayments of \$84 million, and a \$500 million repayment of the EDC credit facility.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$1.3 billion during the Successor year ended March 31, 2015, which was primarily due to the February 24, 2015 issuance of \$1.5 billion aggregate principal amount of 7.625% notes due 2025. In addition, we amended our unsecured Export Development Canada (EDC) agreement to, among other things, add an additional tranche totaling \$300 million due 2019, which was fully drawn as of March 31, 2015. These were partially offset by principal payments on the iPCS, Inc. Second Lien Secured Floating Rate Notes due 2014 of approximately \$181 million and scheduled principal payments on our secured equipment credit facilities of approximately \$282 million.

Net cash used in financing activities was \$160 million during the Successor three-month transition period ended March 31, 2014, which was primarily due to principal payments on our secured equipment credit facility of approximately \$127 million. Net cash provided by financing activities was \$142 million during the Predecessor three-month period ended March 31, 2013, which included net borrowings of approximately \$149 million under our secured equipment credit facility.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$24.5 billion during the Successor year ended December 31, 2013, which included proceeds from the issuance of common stock and warrants of approximately \$18.6 billion related to the SoftBank Merger. In addition, the Company issued \$9.0 billion in debt consisting of a September 11, 2013 issuance of \$2.25 billion aggregate principal amount of 7.250% notes due 2021 and \$4.25 billion aggregate principal

amount of 7.875% notes due 2023, and a December 12, 2013 issuance of \$2.5 billion aggregate principal amount of 7.125% notes due 2024, each guaranteed by Sprint Communications. We also incurred approximately \$147 million of debt issuance costs. These increases, along with net borrowings under our secured equipment credit facility of approximately \$444 million, were offset by the retirement of approximately \$3.3 billion principal amount of Clearwire debt.

50

Table of Contents

Working Capital

We had negative working capital of \$5.1 billion and \$1.2 billion as of March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The decline in working capital is due to decreased cash of \$1.4 billion primarily due to cash paid for total capital expenditures which was partially offset by net cash provided by operating activities, proceeds from MLS under the Handset Sale-Leaseback Tranche 1 transaction and proceeds from the sale of future lease receivables as part of our Receivables Facility described below. In addition, accounts and notes receivable, net decreased \$1.2 billion primarily due to the sale of installment receivables as part of our Receivables Facility described below and device and accessory inventory decreased \$186 million. Also contributing to the decline was an increase of \$3.4 billion in the current portion of long-term debt, financing and capital lease obligations primarily due to \$2.0 billion of Sprint Communications, Inc. 6% Senior notes, \$1.0 billion of Sprint Communications, Inc. 9.125% Senior notes and \$330 million of proceeds from the sale of future lease receivables all coming due within the next twelve months. These decreases were offset by decreases in accounts payable of \$1.4 billion primarily as a result of invoices with extended payment terms with certain network equipment suppliers coming due and timing of purchases and payments associated with device launches. Additionally, accrued expenses and other current liabilities decreased \$919 million primarily due to decreased employee accruals and decreased accrued capital expenditures for unbilled services. The remaining balance was due to changes to other working capital items.

Long-Term Debt, Other Funding Sources and Scheduled Maturities

Accounts Receivables Facility

Transaction overview

Our accounts receivable facility (Receivables Facility), which provides us the opportunity to sell certain wireless service and installment receivables (as defined in the agreements) to unaffiliated third parties (Purchasers), was amended in November 2015 to include future amounts due from customers who lease certain devices from us. The amendment increased the maximum funding limit under the Receivables Facility to \$4.3 billion and extended the expiration to November 2017. The amount available under the Receivables Facility fluctuates over time based on the total amount of eligible receivables generated during the normal course of our business. As of March 31, 2016, the total availability under the facility was approximately \$2.0 billion. However, as a result of sales we have completed to date, the total amount available to be drawn as of March 31, 2016 was \$94 million. The proceeds from the sale of these receivables are comprised of a combination of cash and a DPP. While it's at Sprint's election to decide how much cash it chooses to receive from each sale, the maximum amount of proceeds varies based on a number of factors and currently represents approximately 50% of the total amount of the receivables sold to the Purchasers. The DPP is realized by us upon either the ultimate collection of the underlying receivables sold to the Purchasers or upon Sprint's election to receive additional advances in cash from the Purchasers subject to the total availability under the Receivables Facility.

Wireless service and installment receivables sold are treated as a sale of financial assets and Sprint derecognizes these receivables, as well as the related allowances, and recognizes the net proceeds received in cash provided by operating activities on the consolidated statements of cash flows. The fees associated with these sales are recognized in "Selling, general and administrative" on the consolidated statements of operations. The sale of future lease receivables are treated as financing transactions. Accordingly, the proceeds received are reflected as cash provided by financing activities on the consolidated statements of cash flows and the fees are recognized as "Interest expense" on the consolidated statements of operations.

Transaction Structure

Sprint contributes certain wireless service, installment and future lease receivables as well as the associated leased devices to Sprint's wholly-owned consolidated bankruptcy-remote special purpose entities (SPEs). At Sprint's direction, the SPEs have sold, and will continue to sell, wireless service, future lease and installment receivables to Purchasers or to a bank agent on behalf of the Purchasers. Leased devices will remain with the SPEs, once sales are initiated, and continue to be depreciated over their estimated useful life.

Each SPE is a separate legal entity with its own separate creditors who will be entitled, prior to and upon the liquidation of the SPE, to be satisfied out of the SPE's assets prior to any assets in the SPE becoming available to Sprint. Accordingly, the assets of the SPE are not available to pay creditors of Sprint or any of its affiliates (other than

any other SPE), although collections from these receivables in excess of amounts required to repay the advances, yield and fees of the Purchasers and other creditors of the SPEs may be remitted to Sprint during and after the term of the Receivables Facility.

Sprint has no retained interest in the receivables sold, other than collection and administrative responsibilities and its right to the DPP. Sales of eligible receivables by the SPEs generally occur daily and are settled on a monthly basis.
Sprint

Table of Contents

pays a fee for the drawn and undrawn portions of the Receivables Facility. A subsidiary of Sprint services the receivables in exchange for a monthly servicing fee, and Sprint guarantees the performance of the servicing obligations under the Receivables Facility.

DPP

The DPP related to our wireless service and installment receivables is classified as a trading security within "Prepaid expenses and other current assets" on the consolidated balance sheets and is recorded at its estimated fair value. The fair value of the DPP is estimated using a discounted cash flow model, which relies principally on unobservable inputs such as the nature and credit class of the sold receivables and subscriber payment history, and, for installment receivables sold, the estimated timing of upgrades and upgrade payment amounts for those with upgrade options. Accretible yield on the DPP is recognized as interest revenue within net operating service revenue on the consolidated statements of operations and other changes in the fair value of the DPP are recognized in "Selling, general and administrative" on the consolidated statements of operations. Changes in the fair value of the DPP did not have a material impact on our statements of comprehensive loss for the year ended March 31, 2016. Changes to the unobservable inputs used to determine the fair value did not and are not expected to result in a material change in the fair value of the DPP.

Wireless Service Receivable Sales

On March 31, 2015, we sold approximately \$1.8 billion of wireless service receivables in exchange for \$500 million in cash (reflected within the change in accounts and notes receivable on the consolidated statement of cash flows) and a DPP of \$1.3 billion, with an estimated fair value of \$1.2 billion. In accordance with our rights under the Receivables Facility, in April 2015 Sprint elected to temporarily suspend sales of receivables by the SPEs and remitted payments received to the Purchasers to reduce the funded amount of \$500 million to zero.

In September 2015, we sold wireless service receivables of approximately \$1.9 billion in exchange for \$400 million in cash and \$1.5 billion of DPP, with an estimated fair value of \$1.4 billion. In October 2015 and January 2016, we elected to receive \$300 million and \$125 million, respectively, of cash, which reduced the total amount of the DPP due to Sprint. During the period from our sale in September to March 31, 2016, cash collections on previously sold wireless service receivables exceeded sales of new receivables such that the DPP decreased by approximately \$207 million. As of March 31, 2016, the total amount available under the Receivables Facility associated with wireless service receivables was \$43 million and the total fair value of the associated DPP was \$760 million.

Installment Receivable Sales

In October 2015, we sold installment receivables of approximately \$1.2 billion under the Receivables Facility in exchange for \$100 million in cash and \$1.1 billion of DPP, with an estimated fair value of \$1.0 billion. In November 2015, we elected to receive \$400 million of cash, which reduced the amount of the DPP due to Sprint. During the period from our initial sale in October to March 31, 2016, cash collections on previously sold installment receivables exceeded sales of new receivables such that the DPP decreased by approximately \$227 million. As of March 31, 2016, there is no remaining availability under the Receivables Facility associated with installment receivables and the total fair value of the associated DPP was \$395 million.

Future Lease Receivable Sales

In February and March 2016, we sold approximately \$1.2 billion in total of future lease receivables in exchange for cash proceeds of \$600 million. The difference between the amount sold and the cash received represents additional collateral to the lender. The sale was accounted for as a financing and the \$600 million cash proceeds were, accordingly, reflected as debt in our consolidated balance sheets. As of March 31, 2016, the amount available under the Receivables Facility associated with future lease receivables was \$51 million.

Handset Sale-Leaseback Tranche 1

In November 2015, Sprint entered into agreements (Handset Sale-Leaseback Tranche 1) to sell and lease-back certain leased devices excluded from our Receivables Facility, which allowed us to monetize the devices including the device residual values. Under the agreements with Mobile Leasing Solutions, LLC (MLS), a company formed by a group of equity investors, including SoftBank Group Corp. (SoftBank), Sprint maintains the customer lease, will continue to collect and record lease revenue from the customer and will remit monthly rental payments to MLS, which are recognized as "Cost of products" on the consolidated statements of operations during the respective lease-back

periods.

In December 2015, Sprint contributed \$1.3 billion of certain leased devices and the associated customer leases to wholly-owned consolidated bankruptcy-remote special purpose entities of Sprint (SPE Lessees). The SPE Lessees then sold the devices and transferred certain specified customer lease end rights and obligations, such as the right to receive the

52

Table of Contents

proceeds from customers who elect to purchase the device at the end of the customer lease term, to MLS in exchange for proceeds totaling \$1.1 billion (Cash Purchase Price) and a DPP of \$126 million.

The difference between the fair value and the net book value of the devices sold was recognized as a loss on disposal of property, plant and equipment in the amount of \$65 million and is included in "Other, net" on the consolidated statements of operations. Simultaneously with the sale of the devices, MLS leased back each device to the SPE Lessees pursuant to the Master Lease Agreement (Device Lease) in exchange for monthly rental payments to be made by the SPE Lessees to MLS. The monthly rental payments for the devices leased back by us will approximate the amount of cash received from the associated customer leases during the weighted average 17 month lease-back period (see Future Contractual Obligations below for expected future rent payments). Rent expense related to MLS totaled \$277 million during the year ended March 31, 2016 and is reflected within cash flows from operations.

The SPE Lessees retain all rights to the underlying customer leases, such as the right to receive the rental payments during the device lease-back period, other than the aforementioned certain specified customer lease end rights. Each SPE Lessee is a separate legal entity with its own separate creditors who will be entitled, prior to and upon the liquidation of the SPE Lessee, to be satisfied out of the SPE Lessee's assets prior to any assets in the SPE Lessee becoming available to Sprint. Accordingly, the assets of the SPE Lessee are not available to pay creditors of Sprint or any of its affiliates. Settlement for the DPP occurs at the end of the agreement and can be reduced to the extent that MLS experiences a loss on the device, but only to the extent of the device's DPP balance. The DPP associated with the Handset Sale-Leaseback Tranche 1 is recorded in "Other assets" in the consolidated balance sheets at its estimated net realizable value. Changes to the DPP prior to settlement with MLS are recorded as an adjustment to rent expense in "Cost of products" in the consolidated statements of operations. Brightstar, a subsidiary of SoftBank, provides reverse logistics and remarketing services to MLS with respect to the devices.

Unless a Device Lease is terminated early, the SPE Lessees are obligated to pay the full monthly rental payments under each Device Lease, regardless of whether customers make lease payments on the devices leased to them or whether the customer lease is still in effect. Sprint has guaranteed to MLS, the performance of the agreements and undertakings of the SPE Lessees under the transaction documents.

All devices must be returned to MLS, subject to purchase rights of the customers. Sprint will act as servicer for MLS, to the extent needed, after the end of the device leaseback period. To secure the obligations of the SPE Lessees under the Device Lease, the SPE Lessees provide a security interest to MLS in, among other things, the customer leases. In the event that MLS is able to sell the returned devices at a price greater than the expected device residual value, Sprint has the potential to share some of the excess proceeds.

Network Equipment Sale-Leaseback

In April 2016, certain wholly-owned subsidiaries of Sprint entered into agreements (Network Equipment Sale-Leaseback) to sell and lease-back certain network equipment to unrelated bankruptcy-remote special purpose entities (collectively, "the Network LeaseCo SPEs"). Sprint sold certain network equipment with a book value of approximately \$3.0 billion to the Network LeaseCo SPEs which was used as collateral to raise approximately \$2.2 billion in borrowings from external investors, including SoftBank.

The Network LeaseCo SPEs are variable interest entities for which Sprint has been identified as the primary beneficiary. As a result, Sprint is required to consolidate the Network LeaseCo SPEs and intercompany transactions and balances between Network LeaseCo and the wholly-owned Sprint subsidiaries will be eliminated in consolidation. The network assets involved in the transaction, which consisted primarily of equipment located at cell towers, will remain on Sprint's consolidated financial statements and will continue to be depreciated. Principal and interest payments on the borrowings from the external investors will be paid back in staggered, unequal payments through January 2018.

Handset Sale-Leaseback Tranche 2

In April 2016, Sprint entered into a second transaction with MLS (Handset Sale-Leaseback Tranche 2) to sell and lease-back certain iPhone® devices leased to customers under the iPhone Forever or iPhone for Life programs. In contrast with the first MLS transaction, this sale-leaseback arrangement will be accounted for as a financing transaction. Accordingly, the devices will remain in Property, plant, and equipment, net in the consolidated balance sheets and will continue to be depreciated to their estimated residual values generally over the lease term. The

proceeds received will be reflected as cash provided by financing activities on the consolidated statements of cash flows and payments made to MLS will be reflected as principal repayments and interest expense over the respective terms. Future changes in the fair value of the financing obligation will be recognized in earnings over the course of the arrangement.

Table of Contents

Upon closing of the transaction in May 2016, Sprint sold and leased-back approximately \$1.3 billion in book value of leased devices for proceeds totaling \$1.1 billion (Cash Purchase Price) and a DPP of \$186 million, which will be settled at the end of the arrangement and is subject to certain device losses incurred by MLS.

Credit Facilities

Bank Credit Facility

Our revolving bank credit facility that expires in February 2018 requires a ratio (Leverage Ratio) of total indebtedness to trailing four quarters earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization and other non-recurring items, as defined by the revolving bank credit facility (adjusted EBITDA), not to exceed 6.25 to 1.0 through the quarter ending December 31, 2016 and 6.0 to 1.0 each fiscal quarter ending thereafter through expiration of the facility. The facility allows us to reduce our total indebtedness for purposes of calculating the Leverage Ratio by subtracting from total indebtedness the amount of any cash contributed into a segregated reserve account, provided that, after such cash contribution, our cash remaining on hand for operations exceeds \$2.0 billion. Upon transfer, the cash contribution will remain restricted until and to the extent it is no longer required for the Leverage Ratio to remain in compliance.

Export Development Canada (EDC) agreement

The unsecured EDC agreement provides for covenant terms similar to those of the revolving bank credit facility. However, under the terms of the EDC agreement, repayments of outstanding amounts cannot be re-drawn. In the quarter ended December 2015, we made a scheduled principal repayment of \$500 million, leaving a principal balance of \$300 million, which matures in December 2019. At the time of the repayment, the EDC agreement was also amended to increase the facility by \$250 million through the addition of a new tranche due December 2017, which was fully drawn. Accordingly, as of March 31, 2016, the total principal amount of our borrowings under the EDC facility was \$550 million.

New Unsecured Financing Facility

In April 2016, Sprint Communications entered into an unsecured financing facility for \$2.0 billion. The terms of this facility provide for covenant terms similar to those of the revolving bank credit facility, however, repayments of outstanding amounts cannot be re-drawn. The loan bears interest at a rate equal to LIBOR plus a percentage that varies depending on the time of draw and matures in October 2017. Any amounts borrowed will be required to be repaid if certain debt or equity securities are issued or certain asset sales occur, as set forth more specifically in the agreement. As of May 17, 2016, no amounts had been drawn on this facility.

Secured equipment credit facilities

Eksporkreditnamnden (EKN)

The EKN secured equipment credit facility provides for covenant terms similar to those of the revolving bank credit facility. In 2013, we had fully drawn and began to repay the EKN secured equipment credit facility totaling \$1.0 billion, which was used to finance certain network-related purchases from Ericsson. We made regularly scheduled principal repayments totaling \$254 million during the year ended March 31, 2016. The balance outstanding at March 31, 2016 was \$254 million.

Finnvera plc (Finnvera)

The Finnvera secured equipment credit facility provides for the ability to borrow up to \$800 million to finance network-related purchases from Nokia. The facility, which initially could be drawn upon as many as three consecutive tranches, now has one tranche remaining and available for borrowing through October 2017. Such borrowings are contingent upon the amount and timing of Sprint's network-related purchases. During the year ended March 31, 2016, we had drawn \$208 million on the facility, and we made principal repayments totaling \$56 million, resulting in a total principal amount of \$196 million outstanding at March 31, 2016.

K-sure

The K-Sure secured equipment credit facility provides for the ability to borrow up to \$750 million to finance network-related purchases from Samsung. The facility can be divided in up to three consecutive tranches of varying size with borrowings available until May 2018, contingent upon the amount of network-related purchases made by Sprint. During the year ended March 31, 2016, we had drawn \$266 million on the facility, resulting in a total principal amount of \$323 million outstanding at March 31, 2016.

Table of Contents

Delcredere | DuCroire (D/D)

The D/D secured equipment credit facility provides for the ability to borrow up to \$250 million to finance network equipment-related purchases from Alcatel-Lucent. During the year ended March 31, 2016, we had drawn \$32 million on the facility, resulting in a total principal amount of \$32 million outstanding at March 31, 2016.

Borrowings under the EKN, Finnvera, K-sure and D/D secured equipment credit facilities are each secured by liens on the respective equipment purchased pursuant to each of the facility's credit agreement. In addition, repayments of outstanding amounts borrowed under the secured equipment credit facilities cannot be redrawn. Each of these facilities is fully and unconditionally guaranteed by both Sprint Communications, Inc. and Sprint Corporation. The covenants under each of the four secured equipment credit facilities are similar to one another and to the covenants of our revolving bank credit facility and EDC agreement.

As of March 31, 2016, our Leverage Ratio, as defined by the revolving bank credit facility, EDC Agreement and all other equipment credit facilities was 4.1 to 1.0. Because our Leverage Ratio exceeded 2.5 to 1.0 at period end, we were restricted from paying cash dividends.

The following graph depicts our future fiscal year repayments due for notes and credit facilities as of March 31, 2016:

*This table excludes (i) \$320 million in letters of credit outstanding under our unsecured revolving bank credit facility, which will expire in 2018 and has no outstanding balance, (ii) all capital leases and other financing obligations, and (iii) net premiums and debt financing costs.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

As of March 31, 2016, our liquidity, including cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments, available borrowing capacity under our revolving bank credit facility and availability under our Receivables Facility was \$5.7 billion. Our cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments totaled \$2.6 billion as of March 31, 2016 compared to \$4.2 billion as of March 31, 2015. As of March 31, 2016, we had approximately \$3.0 billion of borrowing capacity available under our revolving bank credit facility. Amounts available under our Receivables facility as of March 31, 2016 totaled \$94 million.

In addition, we had a combined available borrowing capacity of \$645 million under our K-sure and D/D secured equipment credit facilities as of March 31, 2016. As of April 1, 2016 up to \$520 million of the third tranche under our Finnvera secured equipment credit facility became available. However, utilization of these facilities is dependent upon the amount and timing of network-related purchases from the applicable suppliers, as well as the period of time remaining to complete any further borrowings available under each facility.

Table of Contents

We offer device financing plans, including the installment billing program and our leasing program, that allow subscribers to forgo traditional service contracts and pay less upfront for devices in exchange for lower monthly service fees, early upgrade options, or both. While a majority of the revenue associated with installment sales is recognized at the time of sale along with the related cost of products, lease revenue is recorded monthly over the term of the lease and the cost of the device is depreciated to its estimated residual value generally over the lease term, which creates a positive impact to Wireless segment earnings. If the mix of leased devices continues to increase, we expect this positive impact on the financial results of Wireless segment earnings to continue and depreciation expense to increase. However, this benefit to Wireless segment earnings will be partially offset by the Handset Sale-Leaseback Tranche 1 transaction that was consummated in November 2015 where we sold and subsequently leased back certain devices leased to our customers. As a result, our cost of the devices sold to MLS is no longer recorded as depreciation expense, but rather recognized as rent expense within "Cost of products" during the leaseback periods. The installment billing and leasing programs will continue to require a greater use of operating cash flows in the earlier part of the contracts as the subscriber will generally pay less upfront than traditional subsidized programs because they are financing the device. The Receivables Facility and our relationship with MLS were established as mechanisms to mitigate the use of cash from purchasing devices from OEMs to fulfill our installment billing and leasing programs. To meet our liquidity requirements, we look to a variety of sources. In addition to our existing cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments, and cash generated from operating activities, we raise funds as necessary from external sources. We rely on the ability to issue debt and equity securities, the ability to access other forms of financing, including debt financing, proceeds from the sale of certain accounts receivable and future lease receivables under our Receivables Facility, proceeds from future sale-leaseback transactions, such as spectrum, devices, and equipment, and the borrowing capacity available under our credit facilities to support our short- and long-term liquidity requirements. In April 2016, we entered into the Network Equipment Sale-Leaseback to sell and lease-back certain network equipment for total cash proceeds of approximately \$2.2 billion, the Handset Sale-Leaseback Tranche 2 for total cash proceeds of approximately \$1.1 billion, and executed a new unsecured financing facility of \$2.0 billion. We believe our existing available liquidity and cash flows from operations will be sufficient to meet our funding requirements over the next twelve months, including debt service requirements and other significant future contractual obligations.

To maintain an adequate amount of available liquidity and execute our current business plan, which includes, among other things, network deployment and maintenance, subscriber growth, data usage capacity needs and the expected achievement of a cost structure intended to improve profitability and to meet our long-term debt service requirements and other significant future contractual obligations, we will need to continue to raise additional funds from external sources. Possible future financing sources include, among others, additional tranches under the Handset Sale-Leaseback to include additional devices, a transaction to monetize certain spectrum holdings, refinancing our debt, or sale-leasebacks of certain real estate. In addition, we are pursuing extended payment terms with certain vendors. If we are unable to obtain external funding, execute on our cost reduction initiatives, or are not successful in attracting valuable subscribers such as postpaid handset (versus tablet) subscribers, our expectation of longer-term benefits from our operations would be adversely affected, which may lead to defaults under certain of our borrowings. Depending on the amount of any difference in actual results versus what we currently expect, it may make it difficult for us to generate sufficient EBITDA to remain in compliance with our financial covenants or be able to meet our debt service obligations, which could result in acceleration of our indebtedness, or adversely impact our ability to raise additional funding through the sources described above, or both. If such events occur, we may engage with our lenders to obtain appropriate waivers or amendments of our credit facilities or refinance borrowings, or seek funding from other external sources, although there is no assurance we would be successful in any of these actions.

A default under certain of our borrowings could trigger defaults under certain of our other debt obligations, which in turn could result in the maturities being accelerated. Certain indentures and other agreements also require compliance with various covenants, including covenants that limit the Company's ability to sell all or substantially all of its assets, limit the Company and its subsidiaries' ability to incur indebtedness and liens, and require that we maintain certain financial ratios, each as defined by the terms of the indentures, related supplemental indentures and other agreements.

Table of Contents

In determining our expectation of future funding needs in the next twelve months and beyond, we have made several assumptions regarding:

- projected revenues and expenses relating to our operations, including those related to our installment billing and leasing programs, along with the success of initiatives such as our expectations of achieving a more competitive cost structure through cost reduction initiatives and increasing our postpaid handset subscriber base;
- cash needs related to our installment billing and leasing programs;
- availability as of March 31, 2016 of \$94 million in funding under the Receivables Facility, which terminates in November 2017;
- continued availability of a revolving bank credit facility, which expires in February 2018, in the amount of \$3.3 billion, less outstanding letters of credit;
- remaining availability of approximately \$1.2 billion of our secured equipment credit facilities (inclusive of availability that we were eligible to draw upon beginning April 1, 2016) for eligible capital expenditures, and any corresponding principal, interest and fee payments;
- raising additional funds from external sources;
- the expected use of cash and cash equivalents in the near-term;
- anticipated levels and timing of capital expenditures, including assumptions regarding lower unit costs, the capacity additions and upgrading of our networks and the deployment of new technologies in our networks, FCC license acquisitions, and purchases of leased devices from our indirect dealers;
- any additional contributions we may make to our pension plan;
- any scheduled principal payments on debt, secured equipment credit facilities and EDC, including approximately \$13.2 billion coming due over the next five fiscal years;
- estimated residual values of devices related to our device lease program; and
- other future contractual obligations and general corporate expenditures.

Our ability to fund our needs from external sources is ultimately affected by the overall capacity of, and financing terms available in the banking and securities markets, and the availability of other financing alternatives, as well as our performance and our credit ratings. Given our recent financial performance as well as the volatility in these markets, we continue to monitor them closely and to take steps to maintain financial flexibility at a reasonable cost of capital.

The outlooks and credit ratings from Moody's Investor Service, Standard & Poor's Ratings Services, and Fitch Ratings for certain of Sprint Corporation's outstanding obligations were:

| Rating Agency | Rating | Issuer Rating | Unsecured Notes | Guaranteed Notes | Bank Credit Facility | Outlook |
|---------------------|--------|---------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------------|----------|
| Moody's | B3 | | Caa1 | B1 | Ba3 | Negative |
| Standard and Poor's | B | | B | BB- | BB- | Stable |
| Fitch | B+ | | B+ | BB | BB | Stable |

Table of Contents

FUTURE CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

The following table sets forth our current estimates as to the amounts and timing of contractual payments as of March 31, 2016. Future events, including additional issuances of our debt securities and refinancing of those debt securities, could cause actual payments to differ significantly from these amounts. See "Item 1A. Risk Factors."

| Future Contractual Obligations | Total | Fiscal Year 2016 | Fiscal Year 2017 | Fiscal Year 2018 | Fiscal Year 2019 | Fiscal Year 2020 | Fiscal Year 2021 and thereafter |
|--|---------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--|
| | (in millions) | | | | | | |
| Notes, credit facilities and debentures ⁽¹⁾ | \$47,998 | \$6,577 | \$3,769 | \$5,133 | \$3,799 | \$2,547 | \$26,173 |
| Capital leases and financing obligation ⁽²⁾ | 1,210 | 551 | 424 | 86 | 56 | 13 | 80 |
| Operating leases ⁽³⁾ | 14,078 | 2,195 | 2,148 | 2,072 | 1,958 | 1,820 | 3,885 |
| Spectrum leases and service credits ⁽⁴⁾ | 6,707 | 212 | 219 | 221 | 224 | 226 | 5,605 |
| Handset sale-leaseback tranche 1 | 651 | 580 | 71 | — | — | — | — |
| Purchase orders and other commitments ⁽⁵⁾ | 11,224 | 7,192 | 1,428 | 951 | 499 | 354 | 800 |
| Total | \$81,868 | \$17,307 | \$8,059 | \$8,463 | \$6,536 | \$4,960 | \$36,543 |

(1) Includes outstanding principal and estimated interest payments. Interest payments are based on management's expectations for future interest rates in the case of any variable rate debt.

(2) Represents capital lease payments including interest and financing obligation related to the sale and subsequent leaseback of multiple tower sites.

(3) Includes future lease payments related to cell and switch sites, real estate, network equipment and office space.

(4) Includes future spectrum lease payments as well as service credits related to commitments to provide services to certain lessors and reimburse lessors for certain capital equipment and third-party service expenditures, over the term of the lease.

(5) Includes service, spectrum, network equipment, devices, asset retirement obligations and other executory contracts, including our contract with Apple. Excludes blanket purchase orders in the amount of \$24 million. See below for further discussion.

"Purchase orders and other commitments" include minimum purchases we commit to purchase from suppliers over time and/or the unconditional purchase obligations where we guarantee to make a minimum payment to suppliers for goods and services regardless of whether we take delivery. These amounts do not represent our entire anticipated purchases in the future, but generally represent only our estimate of those items for which we are committed. Our estimates are based on assumptions about the variable components of the contracts such as hours contracted, number of subscribers, pricing, and other factors. In addition, we are party to various arrangements that are conditional in nature and create an obligation to make payments only upon the occurrence of certain events, such as the delivery of functioning software or products. Because it is not possible to predict the timing or amounts that may be due under these conditional arrangements, no such amounts have been included in the table above. The table above also excludes approximately \$24 million of blanket purchase order amounts since their agreement terms are not specified. No time frame is set for these purchase orders and they are not legally binding. As a result, they are not firm commitments. Our liability for uncertain tax positions was \$166 million as of March 31, 2016. Due to the inherent uncertainty of the timing of the resolution of the underlying tax positions, it is not practicable to assign this liability to any particular year(s) in the table.

The table above does not include the remaining costs to be paid in connection with the fulfillment of our obligations under the Report and Order. The Report and Order requires us to make a payment to the U.S. Treasury at the conclusion of the band reconfiguration process to the extent that the value of the 1.9 GHz spectrum we received exceeds the total of the value of licenses for spectrum in the 700 MHz and 800 MHz bands that we surrendered under the decision plus the actual costs, or qualifying costs, that we incur to retune incumbents and our own facilities. From the inception of the program through March 31, 2016, we have incurred approximately \$3.5 billion of costs directly attributable to the spectrum reconfiguration program. This amount does not include any of our internal network costs

that we have preliminarily allocated to the reconfiguration program for capacity sites and modifications for which we may request credit under the reconfiguration program. We estimate, based on our experience to date with the reconfiguration program and on information currently available, that our total direct costs attributable to complete the spectrum reconfigurations will range between \$3.6 and \$3.7 billion. Accordingly, we believe that it is unlikely that we will be required to make a payment to the U.S. Treasury.

Table of Contents

OFF-BALANCE SHEET FINANCING

Sprint has an accounts Receivable Facility providing for the sale of eligible wireless service, installment and certain future lease receivables, with a maximum funding limit of \$4.3 billion and an expiration date of November 2017. For the period ended March 31, 2016, we received \$1.3 billion in cash proceeds. In connection with the Receivables Facility, Sprint formed certain wholly-owned consolidated bankruptcy-remote SPEs. At Sprint's direction, the SPEs sell wireless service, installment and future lease receivables to unaffiliated third parties or to a bank agent. Sales of eligible receivables generally occur daily and are settled on a monthly basis. Sprint pays a fee for the drawn and undrawn portions of the Receivables Facility.

In November, 2015, Sprint also entered into a Handset Sale-Leaseback Tranche 1 agreement to sell and lease-back certain leased devices with MLS. In connection with the Handset Sale-Leaseback Tranche 1, Sprint formed certain wholly-owned consolidated bankruptcy-remote SPE Lessees. The SPE Lessees then sold the devices and transferred certain specified customer lease end rights and obligations to MLS in exchange for proceeds totaling \$1.1 billion and a DPP of \$126 million in December 2015. See the detailed Accounts Receivables Facility and Handset Sale-Leaseback Tranche 1 discussions within Liquidity and Capital Resources above.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

Sprint applies those accounting policies that management believes best reflect the underlying business and economic events, consistent with U.S. GAAP. Sprint's more critical accounting policies include allowance for doubtful accounts, estimated economic lives and residual values of property, plant and equipment, valuation and recoverability of long-lived assets, evaluation of goodwill and indefinite-lived assets for impairment and valuation of guarantee liabilities. Inherent in such policies are certain key assumptions and estimates made by management. Management regularly updates its estimates used in the preparation of the financial statements based on its latest assessment of the current and projected business and general economic environment. Sprint's significant accounting policies and estimates are summarized in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Depreciation

Our property, plant and equipment balance represents a significant component of our consolidated assets. We record property, plant and equipment at cost and generally depreciate it on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful life of the assets. We expect that a one-year increase in estimated useful lives of our property, plant and equipment, exclusive of leased devices, would result in a decrease to our fiscal year 2016 depreciation expense of \$800 million and that a one-year decrease would result in an increase of approximately \$1.2 billion in our fiscal year 2016 depreciation expense.

Leased Devices

Our accounting for device leases involves specific determinations under applicable lease accounting standards. These determinations affect the timing of revenue recognition and the timing and classification of the related cost of the device. If a lease is classified as an operating lease, revenue is recognized ratably over the lease term and the leased asset is included in property, plant and equipment and depreciated to its estimated residual value generally over the lease term. If the lease is classified as a sales-type lease, equipment revenue is recognized at the inception of the lease with a corresponding charge to cost of product. If the lease is classified as a direct-financing lease, there is no related revenue or cost of products recorded and the net investment in a leased asset is reported. The critical elements that we consider in determining the classification of our leased devices are the economic life and the fair value of the device, including the estimated residual value. For the purposes of assessing the economic life of a device, we consider both internal and external datasets including, but not limited to, the length of time subscribers use our devices, sales trends post launch, and transactions in the secondary market as there is currently a significant after-market for used telecommunication devices.

At lease inception, the devices are classified as operating leases and are reclassified from inventory to property, plant and equipment when leased through Sprint's direct channels. For those devices leased through indirect channels, we purchase the devices at lease inception from the dealer, which is then capitalized to property, plant and equipment. Residual values associated with devices under operating leases represent the estimated fair value at the end of the lease term. We review residual values regularly and, when appropriate, adjust them based on, among other things,

estimates of expected market conditions at the end of the lease, including the impacts of future product launches. Adjustments to residual values of leased devices are recognized as a revision in depreciation estimates. We estimate that a 10% increase or decrease in the estimated residual values of devices currently under operating leases at March 31, 2016 would not have a material effect on depreciation expense over the next twelve months.

Table of Contents

Valuation and Recoverability of Long-lived Assets

Long-lived assets are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. An impairment loss is recognized if the carrying amount of a long-lived asset or asset group is not recoverable and exceeds its fair value. Long-lived asset groups have been determined based upon certain factors including assessing the lowest level for which identifiable cash flows are largely independent of the cash flows of other groups of assets and liabilities. Impairment analyses, when performed, are based on our current business and technology strategy, views of growth rates for our business, anticipated future economic and regulatory conditions and expected technological availability.

During the year ended March 31, 2016, no impairments were recorded. During the three-month period ended December 31, 2014, we recorded an impairment loss of \$233 million, which is included in "Impairments" in our consolidated statements of operations, to reduce the carrying value of the Wireline asset group, which includes the Wireline long-lived assets, to its estimated fair value as of our testing date of \$918 million. The fair value of the Wireline long-lived assets was estimated using a market approach, which included significant unobservable inputs including liquidation curves, useful life assumptions, and scrap values. As the assumptions are largely unobservable, the estimate of fair value is considered to be unobservable within the fair value hierarchy.

The determination of fair value requires judgment and is sensitive to changes in underlying assumptions. While we believe our judgments and assumptions are reasonable, changes in future periods may impact our assumptions and lead to additional, future impairments.

Evaluation of Goodwill and Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment

As a result of the SoftBank Merger in July 2013, we recognized indefinite-lived assets at their acquisition-date estimates of fair value, including FCC licenses, goodwill, and trade names. All of the indefinite-lived assets, including goodwill, were allocated to our Wireless segment. As of March 31, 2015 and 2016, the carrying values of these assets were \$36.0 billion, \$6.6 billion and \$4.0 billion, respectively.

Sprint evaluates the carrying value of our indefinite-lived assets, including goodwill, at least annually or more frequently whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the asset may be impaired, or in the case of goodwill, that the fair value of the reporting unit is below its carrying amount. During the quarter-ended March 31, 2016, the Company completed its annual impairment testing for Goodwill, the Sprint and Boost trade names, and spectrum licenses. As a result of our testing, we determined that the fair value of each of our indefinite-lived intangible assets exceeded their carrying values.

In performing the goodwill impairment test, we estimated the fair value of the Wireless reporting unit using income-based, market-based and asset-based valuation models. The determination of the fair value of the reporting unit requires significant estimates and assumptions, including significant unobservable inputs. The key inputs included, but were not limited to, discount rates, terminal growth rates, control premiums, market multiple data from selected guideline public companies, management's internal forecasts which include numerous assumptions such as share of industry gross additions, churn, mix of plans, rate changes, operating and capital expenditures and EBITDA margins, among others. Changes in certain assumptions or management's failure to execute on the current plan could have a significant impact to the estimated fair value of the Wireless reporting unit. Under the income-based approach, we note that our fair value cushion is in excess of 10% of the carrying value.

We estimated the fair value of the Sprint and Boost trade names assigned to the Wireless segment using the relief-from-royalty method, which uses several significant assumptions, including management projections of future revenue, a royalty rate, a long-term growth rate and a discount rate. As these assumptions are largely unobservable, the estimate of fair value is considered to be unobservable within the fair value hierarchy. Changes in certain assumptions can have a significant effect on the estimated fair value. For both the Sprint and Boost trade names, we note that a 5% decrease in revenue across the long-term plans would not result in an impairment as of March 31, 2016. During the quarter ended December 31, 2014, we determined that recoverability of the carrying amount of goodwill and the Sprint trade name should be evaluated for impairment and it was determined that the carrying value of the Sprint trade name exceeded its estimated fair value of \$3.3 billion. Accordingly, during the quarter ended December 31, 2014, we recorded an impairment loss of \$1.9 billion, which is included in "Impairments" in our consolidated statements of comprehensive loss.

The determination of fair value requires considerable judgment and is highly sensitive to changes in underlying assumptions and execution of management's plan. Consequently, there can be no assurance that the estimates and assumptions made for the purposes of the goodwill, spectrum and trade name impairment tests will prove to be an accurate

Table of Contents

prediction of the future. Continued, sustained declines in the Company's operating results, future forecasted cash flows, growth rates and other assumptions, as well as significant, sustained declines in the Company's stock price and related market capitalization could impact the underlying key assumptions and our estimated fair values, potentially leading to a future material impairment of goodwill or other indefinite-lived intangible assets.

NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued new authoritative literature, Revenue from Contracts with Customers. The issuance is part of a joint effort by the FASB and the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) to enhance financial reporting by creating common revenue recognition guidance for U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards and, thereby, improving the consistency of requirements, comparability of practices and usefulness of disclosures. The new standard will supersede much of the existing authoritative literature for revenue recognition. In July 2015, the FASB deferred the effective date of this standard. As a result, the standard and related amendments will be effective for the Company for its fiscal year beginning April 1, 2018, including interim periods within that fiscal year. Early application is permitted, but not before the original effective date of April 1, 2017. The FASB has subsequently issued additional guidance on several areas including the implementation of principal versus agent considerations and recognition of breakage for certain prepaid stored-value products requiring breakage of these liabilities to be accounted for consistent with the breakage guidance under this revenue standard. They also clarified how an entity should evaluate the nature of its promise in granting an intellectual property license and when a promised good or service is distinct within the context of a contract and allowed entities to disregard goods or services that are immaterial in the context of a contract. Entities are allowed to transition to the new standard by either retrospective application or recognizing the cumulative effect. The Company is currently evaluating the newly issued guidance, including which transition approach will be applied. We expect this guidance to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In August 2014, the FASB issued authoritative guidance regarding Disclosure of Uncertainties about an Entity's Ability to Continue as a Going Concern, which requires management to assess an entity's ability to continue as a going concern and to provide related footnote disclosures in certain circumstances. The updated guidance requires management to perform interim and annual assessments on whether there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about an entity's ability to continue as a going concern within one year after the date that the financial statements are issued and to provide related disclosures, if required. The standard would have been effective for the Company's fiscal year beginning April 1, 2016, including interim reporting periods within that fiscal year, although early adoption was permitted. The Company has elected to early adopt this guidance effective January 1, 2016. The early adoption of this guidance did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In January 2015, the FASB issued authoritative guidance on Extraordinary and Unusual Items, eliminating the concept of extraordinary items. The issuance is part of the FASB's initiative to reduce complexity in accounting standards. Under the current guidance, an entity is required to separately classify, present and disclose events and transactions that meet the criteria for extraordinary classification. Under the new guidance, reporting entities will no longer be required to consider whether an underlying event or transaction is extraordinary, however, presentation and disclosure guidance for items that are unusual in nature or occur infrequently was retained and expanded to include items that are both unusual in nature and infrequently occurring. The amendments will be effective for the Company's fiscal year beginning April 1, 2016, although early adoption is permitted if applied from the beginning of a fiscal year. The Company does not expect the adoption of this guidance to have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In February 2015, the FASB issued authoritative guidance regarding Consolidation, which provides guidance to management when evaluating whether they should consolidate certain legal entities. The updated guidance modifies evaluation criteria of limited partnerships and similar legal entities, eliminates the presumption that a general partner should consolidate a limited partnership, and affects the consolidation analysis of reporting entities that are involved with variable interest entities, particularly those that have fee arrangements and related party relationships. All legal entities will be subject to reevaluation under the revised consolidation model. The standard will be effective for the

Company's fiscal year beginning April 1, 2016, including interim periods within that fiscal year, although early adoption is permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the newly issued guidance and does not expect this guidance to have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In July 2015, the FASB issued authoritative guidance regarding Inventory, which simplifies the subsequent measurement of certain inventories by replacing today's lower of cost or market test with a lower of cost and net realizable value test. Net realizable value is the estimated selling prices in the ordinary course of business, less reasonably predictable costs of completion, disposal, and transportation. The standard will be effective for the Company's fiscal year beginning April

Table of Contents

1, 2017, including interim periods within that fiscal year. The Company does not expect the adoption of this guidance to have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In September 2015, the FASB issued authoritative guidance amending Business Combinations, which requires that an acquirer recognize adjustments to provisional amounts that are identified during the measurement period in the reporting period in which the adjustment amounts are determined, including the cumulative effect of the change in provisional amount as if the accounting had been completed at the acquisition date. The adjustments related to previous reporting periods since the acquisition date must be disclosed by income statement line item either on the face of the income statement or in the notes. The amendments are to be applied prospectively to adjustments that occur after the effective date. The amendments will be effective for the Company for the fiscal year beginning April 1, 2016, including interim periods within that fiscal year, although early adoption is permitted for financial statements that have not been issued, and will be applied, as necessary, to future business combinations.

In January 2016, the FASB issued authoritative guidance regarding Financial Instruments, which amended guidance on the classification and measurement of financial instruments. Under the new guidance, entities will be required to measure equity investments that are not consolidated or accounted for under the equity method at fair value with any changes in fair value recorded in net income, unless the entity has elected the new practicability exception. For financial liabilities measured using the fair value option, entities will be required to separately present in other comprehensive income the portion of the changes in fair value attributable to instrument-specific credit risk. Additionally, the guidance amends certain disclosure requirements associated with the fair value of financial instruments. The standard will be effective for the Company's fiscal year beginning April 1, 2018, including interim reporting periods within that fiscal year. The Company is currently evaluating the guidance and assessing the impact it will have on our consolidated financial statements.

In February 2016, the FASB issued authoritative guidance regarding Leases. The new standard will supersede much of the existing authoritative literature for leases. This guidance requires lessees, among other things, to recognize right-of-use assets and liabilities on their balance sheet for all leases with lease terms longer than twelve months. The standard will be effective for the Company for its fiscal year beginning April 1, 2019, including interim periods within that fiscal year with early application permitted. Entities are required to use modified retrospective application for leases that exist or are entered into after the beginning of the earliest comparative period in the financial statements with the option to elect certain transition reliefs. The Company is currently evaluating the guidance and we expect it to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements, however we are still assessing the overall impact.

FINANCIAL STRATEGIES

General Risk Management Policies

Our board of directors has adopted a financial risk management policy that authorizes us to enter into derivative transactions, and all transactions comply with the policy. We do not purchase or hold any derivative financial instruments for speculative purposes with the exception of equity rights obtained in connection with commercial agreements or strategic investments, usually in the form of warrants to purchase common shares.

Derivative instruments are primarily used for hedging and risk management purposes. Hedging activities may be done for various purposes, including, but not limited to, mitigating the risks associated with an asset, liability, committed transaction or probable forecasted transaction. We seek to minimize counterparty credit risk through credit approval and review processes, credit support agreements, continual review and monitoring of all counterparties, and thorough legal review of contracts. Exposure to market risk is controlled by regularly monitoring changes in hedge positions under normal and stress conditions to ensure they do not exceed established limits.

OTHER INFORMATION

We routinely post important information on our website at www.sprint.com/investors. Information contained on or accessible through our website is not part of this annual report.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

We include certain estimates, projections and other forward-looking statements in our annual, quarterly and current reports, and in other publicly available material. Statements regarding expectations, including performance assumptions and estimates relating to capital requirements, as well as other statements that are not historical facts, are forward-looking statements.

Table of Contents

These statements reflect management's judgments based on currently available information and involve a number of risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements. With respect to these forward-looking statements, management has made assumptions regarding, among other things, subscriber and network usage, subscriber growth and retention, technologies, products and services, pricing, operating costs, the timing of various events, and the economic and regulatory environment.

Future performance cannot be assured. Actual results may differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements. Some factors that could cause actual results to differ include:

- our ability to obtain additional financing, including monetizing certain of our assets, including a portion of our network or spectrum holdings, or to modify the terms of our existing financing, on terms acceptable to us, or at all;
- our ability to continue to receive the expected benefits of the Handset Sale-Leasebacks, including any additional future tranches;
- our ability to retain and attract subscribers and to manage credit risks associated with our subscribers;
- the ability of our competitors to offer products and services at lower prices due to lower cost structures or otherwise;
- the effective implementation of our plans to improve the quality of our network, including timing, execution, technologies, costs, and performance of our network;
- failure to improve subscriber churn, bad debt expense, accelerated cash use, costs and write-offs, including with respect to changes in expected residual values related to any of our service plans, including installment billing and leasing programs;
- the ability to generate sufficient cash flow to fully implement our plans to improve and enhance the quality of our network and service plans, improve our operating margins, implement our business strategies, and provide competitive new technologies;
- the effects of vigorous competition on a highly penetrated market, including the impact of competition on the prices we are able to charge subscribers for services and devices we provide and on the geographic areas served by our network;
- the impact of installment billing and leasing handsets; the impact of increased purchase commitments; the overall demand for our service plans, including the impact of decisions of new or existing subscribers between our service offerings; and the impact of new, emerging, and competing technologies on our business;
- our ability to provide the desired mix of integrated services to our subscribers;
- our ability to continue to access our spectrum and acquire additional spectrum capacity;
- changes in available technology and the effects of such changes, including product substitutions and deployment costs and performance;
- volatility in the trading price of our common stock, current economic conditions, and our ability to access capital, including debt or equity;
- the impact of various parties not meeting our business requirements, including a significant adverse change in the ability or willingness of such parties to provide service and products, including distribution, or infrastructure equipment for our network;
- the costs and business risks associated with providing new services and entering new geographic markets;
- the effects of any future merger or acquisition involving us, as well as the effect of mergers, acquisitions, and consolidations, and new entrants in the communications industry, and unexpected announcements or developments from others in our industry;
- our ability to comply with restrictions imposed by the U.S. Government as a condition to our merger with SoftBank;
- the effects of any material impairment of our goodwill or other indefinite-lived intangible assets;
- the impacts of new accounting standards or changes to existing standards that the FASB or other regulatory agencies issue, including the Securities and Exchange Commission;
- unexpected results of litigation filed against us or our suppliers or vendors;

Table of Contents

the costs or potential customer impact of compliance with regulatory mandates including, but not limited to, compliance with the FCC's Report and Order to reconfigure the 800 MHz band and government regulation regarding "net neutrality";

equipment failure, natural disasters, terrorist acts or breaches of network or information technology security; one or more of the markets in which we compete being impacted by changes in political, economic, or other factors such as monetary policy, legal and regulatory changes, or other external factors over which we have no control; the impact of being a "controlled company" exempt from many corporate governance requirements of the NYSE; and other risks referenced from time to time in this report and other filings of ours with the SEC.

The words "may," "could," "should," "estimate," "project," "forecast," "intend," "expect," "anticipate," "believe," "target," "plan" and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are found throughout this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, and elsewhere in this report. Readers are cautioned that other factors, although not listed above, could also materially affect our future performance and operating results. The reader should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this report. We are not obligated to publicly release any revisions to forward-looking statements to reflect events after the date of this report, including unforeseen events.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We are primarily exposed to the market risk associated with unfavorable movements in interest rates, foreign currencies, and equity prices. The risk inherent in our market risk sensitive instruments and positions is the potential loss arising from adverse changes in those factors.

Interest Rate Risk

The communications industry is a capital-intensive, technology-driven business. We are subject to interest rate risk primarily associated with our borrowings. Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in interest rates could adversely affect earnings and cash flows. Specific interest rate risk includes: the risk of increasing interest rates on variable rate debt and the risk of increasing interest rates for planned new fixed rate long-term financings or refinancings. Approximately 83% of our debt as of March 31, 2016 was fixed-rate debt. While changes in interest rates impact the fair value of this debt, there is no impact to earnings and cash flows because we intend to hold these obligations to maturity unless market and other conditions are favorable.

We perform interest rate sensitivity analyses on our variable rate debt. These analyses indicate that a one percentage point change in interest rates would have had an annual pre-tax impact of \$26 million on our consolidated statements of operations and cash flows for the Successor year ended March 31, 2016. We also perform a sensitivity analysis on the fair market value of our outstanding debt. A 10% decline in market interest rates is estimated to result in a \$1.2 billion increase in the fair market value of our debt to \$29.9 billion.

Foreign Currency Risk

We may enter into forward contracts and options in foreign currencies to reduce the impact of changes in foreign exchange rates. Our foreign exchange risk management program focuses on reducing transaction exposure to optimize consolidated cash flow. We use foreign currency derivatives to hedge our foreign currency exposure related to settlement of international telecommunications access charges and the operation of our international subsidiaries. The dollar equivalent of our net foreign currency receivables from international settlements was approximately \$3 million and the net foreign currency receivables from international operations was approximately \$2 million as of March 31, 2016. The potential immediate pre-tax loss to us that would result from a hypothetical 10% change in foreign currency exchange rates based on these positions would be less than \$1 million.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

The consolidated financial statements required by this item begin on page F-1 of this annual report on Form 10-K and are incorporated herein by reference. The financial statements of Clearwire up through the date of acquisition, as required under Regulation S-X, are included in Item 15 of this annual report on Form 10-K and incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure
None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Disclosure controls are procedures that are designed with the objective of ensuring that information required to be disclosed in our reports under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act), such as this annual report on Form 10-K, is reported in accordance with the SEC's rules. Disclosure controls are also designed with the objective of ensuring that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

In connection with the preparation of this annual report on Form 10-K, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based on this evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the design and operation of the disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of March 31, 2016 in providing reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure and in providing reasonable assurance that the information is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms.

Internal controls over our financial reporting continue to be updated as necessary to accommodate modifications to our business processes and accounting procedures. There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended March 31, 2016 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting.

Our internal control system was designed to provide reasonable assurance to our management and board of directors regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes.

Our management conducted an assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of March 31, 2016. This assessment was based on the criteria set forth by Internal Control—Integrated Framework, issued in 2013 by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations. Management believes that, as of March 31, 2016, our internal control over financial reporting was effective.

Our independent registered public accounting firm has issued a report on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. This report appears on page F-2.

Table of Contents

Item 9B. Other Information

Disclosure of Iranian Activities under Section 13(r) of the Exchange Act

Section 219 of the Iran Threat Reduction and Syria Human Rights Act of 2012 added Section 13(r) to the Exchange Act. Section 13(r) requires an issuer to disclose in its annual or quarterly reports, as applicable, whether it or any of its affiliates knowingly engaged in certain activities, including, among other matters, transactions or dealings relating to the government of Iran. Disclosure is required even where the activities, transactions or dealings are conducted outside the U.S. by non-U.S. affiliates in compliance with applicable law, and whether or not the activities are sanctionable under U.S. law.

After the SoftBank Merger, SoftBank acquired control of Sprint. During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2016, SoftBank, through one of its non-U.S. subsidiaries, provided roaming services in Iran through Telecommunications Services Company (MTN Irancell), which is or may be a government-controlled entity. During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2016, SoftBank had no gross revenues from such services and no net profit was generated. This subsidiary also provided telecommunications services in the ordinary course of business to accounts affiliated with the Embassy of Iran in Japan. During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2016, SoftBank estimates that gross revenues and net profit generated by such services were both under \$6,000. Sprint was not involved in, and did not receive any revenue from, any of these activities. These activities have been conducted in accordance with applicable laws and regulations, and they are not sanctionable under U.S. or Japanese law. Accordingly, with respect to Telecommunications Services Company (MTN Irancell), the relevant SoftBank subsidiary intends to continue such activities. With respect services provided to accounts affiliated with the Embassy of Iran in Japan, the relevant SoftBank subsidiary is obligated under contract to continue such services.

Table of Contents

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

The information required by this item regarding our directors is incorporated by reference to the information set forth under the captions "Proposal 1. - Election of Directors" "Board Operations—Board Committees" in our proxy statement relating to our 2016 annual meeting of stockholders, which will be filed with the SEC, and with respect to family relationships, to Part I of this annual report under "Executive Officers of the Registrant." The information required by this item regarding our executive officers is incorporated by reference to Part I of this annual report under the caption titled "Executive Officers of the Registrant." The information required by this item regarding compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act by our directors, executive officers and holders of ten percent of a registered class of our equity securities is incorporated by reference to the information set forth under the caption "Security Ownership—Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance" in our proxy statement relating to our 2016 annual meeting of stockholders, which will be filed with the SEC.

We have adopted the Sprint Corporation Code of Conduct, which applies to all of our directors, officers and employees. The Code of Conduct is publicly available on our website at <http://www.sprint.com/governance>. If we make any amendment to our Code of Conduct, other than a technical, administrative or non-substantive amendment, or if we grant any waiver, including any implicit waiver, from a provision of the Code of Conduct that applies to our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, we will disclose the nature of the amendment or waiver on our website at the same location. Also, we may elect to disclose the amendment or waiver in a current report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information required by this item regarding compensation of executive officers and directors is incorporated by reference to the information set forth under the captions "Director Compensation," "Executive Compensation," and "Board Operations—Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation" in our proxy statement relating to our 2016 annual meeting of stockholders, which will be filed with the SEC.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The information required by this item, other than the equity compensation plan information presented below, is incorporated by reference to the information set forth under the captions "Security Ownership—Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners" and "Security Ownership—Security Ownership of Directors and Executive Officers" in our proxy statement relating to our 2016 annual meeting of stockholders, which will be filed with the SEC.

Compensation Plan Information

Currently we sponsor two active equity incentive plans, the 2015 Omnibus Incentive Plan (2015 Plan) and our Employee Stock Purchase Plan (ESPP). We also sponsor the 2007 Omnibus Incentive Plan (2007 Plan) and the 1997 Long-Term Incentive Program (1997 Program). All outstanding options under the Nextel Incentive Equity Plan (Nextel Plan) expired in fiscal year 2015. Under the 2015 Plan, we may grant stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units, performance shares, performance units and other equity-based and cash awards to our employees, outside directors and certain other service providers. Our board of directors, or one or more committees, will determine the terms of each award. No new grants can be made under the 2007 Plan or the 1997 Program.

Table of Contents

The following table provides information about the shares of common stock that may be issued upon exercise of awards as of March 31, 2016.

| Plan Category | Number of Securities To be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights | Weighted Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights | Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column (a) (c)) | |
|---|--|---|---|-----------|
| | (a) | (b) | (3) | (4)(5)(6) |
| Equity compensation plans approved by stockholders of common stock | 77,942,352 | (1)(2)\$4.69 | (3)132,820,958 | (4)(5)(6) |

(1) Includes 1,095,800 shares covered by options and 22,392,399 restricted stock units under the 2015 Plan, 39,553,456 shares covered by options and 14,120,829 restricted stock units under the 2007 Plan, and 93,290 shares covered by options and 25,835 restricted stock units outstanding under the 1997 Program. Also includes purchase rights to acquire 660,743 shares of common stock accrued at March 31, 2016 under the ESPP. Under the ESPP, each eligible employee may purchase common stock at quarterly intervals at a purchase price per share equal to 95% of the market value on the last business day of the offering period.

(2) Included in the total of 77,942,352 shares are 14,120,829 restricted stock units under the 2007 Plan, which will be counted against the 2007 Plan maximum in a 2.5 to 1 ratio.

(3) The weighted average exercise price does not take into account the shares of common stock issuable upon vesting of restricted stock units issued under the 1997 Program, the 2007 Plan or the 2015 Plan. These restricted stock units have no exercise price. The weighted average purchase price also does not take into account the 660,743 shares of common stock issuable as a result of the purchase rights accrued under the ESPP; the purchase price of these shares was \$3.25 for each share.

(4) Of these shares, 59,780,369 shares of common stock were available under the 2015 Plan. Through March 31, 2016, 167,633,354 cumulative shares came from the 2007 Plan, the 1997 Program and predecessor plans, including the Nextel Plan.

(5) Includes 73,040,589 shares of common stock available for issuance under the ESPP after issuance of the 660,743 shares purchased in the quarter ended March 31, 2016 offering. See note 1 above.

(6) No new awards may be granted under the 2007 Plan or the 1997 Program.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the information set forth under the captions "Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions" and "Board Operations—Independence of Directors" in our proxy statement relating to our 2016 annual meeting of stockholders, which will be filed with the SEC.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the information set forth under the caption "Principal Accounting Fees and Services" in our proxy statement relating to our 2016 annual meeting of stockholders, which will be filed with the SEC.

Table of Contents

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

1. The consolidated financial statements of Sprint Corporation filed as part of this annual report are listed in the Index to Consolidated Financial Statements.
2. The consolidated financial statements of Clearwire Corporation through the date of acquisition filed as part of this annual report are listed in the Index to Consolidated Financial Statements.
3. The exhibits filed as part of this annual report are listed in the Exhibit Index

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

SPRINT CORPORATION
(Registrant)

B/s/ MARCELO CLAURE
Marcelo Claure
Chief Executive Officer and President

Date: May 17, 2016

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities indicated on the 17th day of May, 2016.

/s/ MARCELO CLAURE
Marcelo Claure
Chief Executive Officer and President
(Principal Executive Officer)

/s/ TAREK A. ROBBIATI
Tarek A. Robbiati
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

/s/ PAUL W. SCHIEBER, JR.
Paul W. Schieber, Jr.
Vice President and Controller
(Principal Accounting Officer)

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

SPRINT CORPORATION

(Registrant)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities indicated on the 17th day of May, 2016.

/s/ MASAYOSHI SON
Masayoshi Son, Chairman

/s/ MARCELO CLAURE
Marcelo Claure, Director

/s/ RONALD D. FISHER
Ronald D. Fisher, Vice Chairman

/s/ JULIUS GENACHOWSKI
Julius Genachowski, Director

/s/ NIKESH ARORA
Nikesh Arora, Director

/s/ MICHAEL G. MULLEN
Michael G. Mullen, Director

/s/ ROBERT R. BENNETT
Robert R. Bennett, Director

/s/ SARA MARTINEZ TUCKER
Sara Martinez Tucker, Director

/S/ GORDON M. BETHUNE
Gordon M. Bethune, Director

Table of Contents

Exhibit Index

| Exhibit No. | Exhibit Description | Form | Incorporated by Reference | | Filed/Furnished Herewith |
|---|---|---------|---------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | | SEC File No. | Exhibit Filing Date | |
| (2) Plan of Acquisition, Reorganization, Arrangement, Liquidation or Succession | | | | | |
| 2.1** | Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of October 15, 2012, by and among Sprint Nextel Corporation, SoftBank Corp., Starburst I, Inc., Starburst II, Inc. and Starburst III, Inc. | 8-K | 001-04721 | 2.1 | 10/15/2012 |
| 2.2 | First Amendment to Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated November 29, 2012, by and among Sprint Nextel Corporation, SoftBank Corp., Starburst I, Inc., Starburst II, Inc. and Starburst III, Inc. | 10-Q | 001-04721 | 2.5 | 5/6/2013 |
| 2.3 | Second Amendment to Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated April 12, 2013, by and among Sprint Nextel Corporation, SoftBank Corp., Starburst I, Inc., Starburst II, Inc. and Starburst III, Inc. | 10-Q | 001-04721 | 2.6 | 5/6/2013 |
| 2.4** | Third Amendment to Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated June 10, 2013, by and among Sprint Nextel Corporation, SoftBank Corp., Starburst I, Inc., Starburst II, Inc. and Starburst III, Inc. | 8-K | 001-04721 | 2.1 | 6/11/2013 |
| 2.5** | Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of December 17, 2012, by and among Sprint Nextel Corporation, Collie Acquisition Corp. and Clearwire Corporation | 8-K | 001-04721 | 2.1 | 12/18/2012 |
| 2.6** | First Amendment to Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of April 18, 2013, by and among Sprint Nextel Corporation, Collie Acquisition Corp. and Clearwire Corporation (Filed as Annex-2 to Clearwire Corporation's Proxy Statement) | DEFM14A | 001-34196 | | 4/23/2013 |
| 2.7** | Second Amendment to Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of May 21, 2013, by and among Sprint Nextel Corporation, Collie Acquisition Corp. and Clearwire Corporation | 8-K | 001-04721 | 2.1 | 5/22/2013 |

Edgar Filing: SPRINT Corp - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|-------|--|-----|-----------|-----|-----------|
| 2.8** | Third Amendment to Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated June 20, 2013, by and among Sprint Nextel Corporation, Collie Acquisition Corp. and Clearwire Corporation | 8-K | 001-04721 | 2.1 | 6/21/2013 |
|-------|--|-----|-----------|-----|-----------|

(3) Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws

| | | | | | |
|-----|---|-----|-----------|-----|-----------|
| 3.1 | Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation | 8-K | 001-04721 | 3.1 | 7/11/2013 |
| 3.2 | Amended and Restated Bylaws | 8-K | 001-04721 | 3.2 | 8/7/2013 |

(4) Instruments Defining the Rights of Security Holders, including Indentures

| | | | | | |
|-----|--|------|-----------|------|-----------|
| 4.1 | Indenture, dated as of October 1, 1998, by and among Sprint Capital Corporation, Sprint Corporation and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. (as successor to Bank One, N.A.) | 10-Q | 001-04721 | 4(b) | 11/2/1998 |
|-----|--|------|-----------|------|-----------|

| | | | | | |
|-----|--|-----|-----------|------|----------|
| 4.2 | First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of January 15, 1999, by and among Sprint Capital Corporation, Sprint Corporation and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. (as successor to Bank One, N.A.) | 8-K | 001-04721 | 4(b) | 2/3/1999 |
|-----|--|-----|-----------|------|----------|

Edgar Filing: SPRINT Corp - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

| Exhibit No. | Exhibit Description | Form | Incorporated by Reference | | Filed/Furnished Herewith |
|-------------|---|------|---------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | | SEC File No. | Exhibit Filing Date | |
| 4.3 | Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of October 15, 2001, by and among Sprint Capital Corporation, Sprint Corporation and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. (as successor to Bank One, N.A.) | 8-K | 001-04721 | 99 | 10/29/2001 |
| 4.4 | Third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of September 11, 2013, by and among Sprint Corporation, Sprint Capital Corporation, Sprint Communications, Inc. and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. (as successor to Bank One, N.A.) | 8-K | 001-04721 | 4.5 | 9/11/2013 |
| 4.5 | Indenture, dated as of November 20, 2006, by and between Sprint Nextel Corporation and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. | 8-K | 001-04721 | 4.1 | 11/9/2011 |
| 4.6 | First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of November 9, 2011, by and between Sprint Nextel Corporation and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. | 8-K | 001-04721 | 4.2 | 11/9/2011 |
| 4.7 | Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of November 9, 2011, by and among Sprint Nextel Corporation, the Subsidiary Guarantors and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. | 8-K | 001-04721 | 4.3 | 11/9/2011 |
| 4.8 | Third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 1, 2012, by and between Sprint Nextel Corporation and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. | 8-K | 001-04721 | 4.1 | 3/1/2012 |
| 4.9 | Fourth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 1, 2012, by and among Sprint Nextel Corporation, the Subsidiary Guarantors and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. | 8-K | 001-04721 | 4.2 | 3/1/2012 |
| 4.10 | Fifth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August 14, 2012, by and between Sprint Nextel Corporation and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. | 8-K | 001-04721 | 4.1 | 8/14/2012 |
| 4.11 | Sixth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of November 14, 2012, by and between Sprint Nextel Corporation and The Bank of New York | 8-K | 001-04721 | 4.1 | 11/14/2012 |

Mellon Trust Company, N.A.

| | | | | | |
|------|---|------|-----------|-----|------------|
| 4.12 | Seventh Supplemental Indenture, dated as of November 20, 2012, by and between Sprint Nextel Corporation and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. | 8-K | 001-04721 | 4.1 | 11/20/2012 |
| 4.13 | Eighth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of September 11, 2013, by and among Sprint Corporation, Sprint Communications, Inc. and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. | 8-K | 001-04721 | 4.4 | 9/11/2013 |
| 4.14 | Ninth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of June 26, 2014, by and between Bright PCS Holdings, Inc., Bright Personal Communications Services, LLC, Horizon Personal Communications, Inc., iPCS Equipment, Inc., iPCS Wireless, Inc., Pinsight Media+, Inc., OneLouder Apps, Inc., iPCS, Inc., Sprint Communications, Inc. and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. | 10-Q | 001-04721 | 4.1 | 8/8/2014 |

Table of Contents

| Exhibit No. | Exhibit Description | Form | Incorporated by Reference | | Filed/Furnished Herewith |
|-------------|---|------|---------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | | SEC File No. | Exhibit Filing Date | |
| 4.15 | Indenture, dated as of September 11, 2013, by and between Sprint Corporation and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. | 8-K | 001-04721 | 4.1 | 9/11/2013 |
| 4.16 | First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of September 11, 2013, by and among Sprint Corporation, Sprint Communications, Inc. and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. | 8-K | 001-04721 | 4.2 | 9/11/2013 |
| 4.17 | Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of September 11, 2013, by and among Sprint Corporation, Sprint Communications, Inc. and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. | 8-K | 001-04721 | 4.3 | 9/11/2013 |
| 4.18 | Third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of December 12, 2013, by and among Sprint Corporation, Sprint Communications, Inc. and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. | 8-K | 001-04721 | 4.1 | 12/12/2013 |
| 4.19 | Fourth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of February 24, 2015, by and among Sprint Corporation, Sprint Communications, Inc. and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. | 8-K | 001-04721 | 4.1 | 2/24/2015 |

(10) Material Contracts

| | | | | | |
|------|--|------|-----------|------|-----------|
| 10.1 | Credit Agreement, dated as of February 28, 2013, by and among Sprint Nextel Corporation, as Borrower, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent, and the lenders named therein | 8-K | 001-04721 | 10.1 | 3/5/2013 |
| 10.2 | Incremental Amendment No. 1, dated as of April 2, 2013, to the Credit Agreement, dated as of February 28, 2013, among Sprint Nextel Corporation, the Subsidiary Guarantors party thereto, the Lenders thereto and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent | 10-Q | 001-04721 | 10.4 | 5/6/2013 |
| 10.3 | Incremental Amendment No. 2, dated as of February 10, 2014, to the Credit Agreement, | 10-K | 001-04721 | 10.8 | 2/24/2014 |

dated as of February 28, 2013, among Sprint Communications, Inc. (f/k/a Sprint Nextel Corporation), the Subsidiary Guarantors party thereto, the Lenders thereto and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent

10.4 Waiver to Credit Agreement, dated as of September 9, 2013, by and among Sprint Communications, Inc., JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent and Lender, and the lenders party thereto 8-K 001-04721 10.3 9/11/2013

10.5 Amendment, dated as of October 30, 2014, to the Credit Agreement, dated as of February 28, 2013, by and among Sprint Communications, Inc. (f/k/a Sprint Nextel Corporation), the Subsidiary Guarantors party thereto, the Lenders thereto and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent 8-K 001-04721 10.1 11/4/2014

Table of Contents

| Exhibit No. | Exhibit Description | Incorporated by Reference | | | Filed/Furnished Herewith |
|-------------|--|---------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | Form | SEC File No. | Exhibit Filing Date | |
| 10.6 | Amended and Restated Receivables Purchase Agreement, dated as of April 24, 2015, among Sprint Spectrum L.P., individually and as Servicer, the Sellers party thereto, the various Conduit Purchasers, Committed Purchasers, and Purchaser Agents from time to time party thereto, Mizuho Bank Ltd. as Administrative Agent and Collateral Agent and The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ, Ltd., New York Branch, as Administrative Agent | 8-K | 001-04721 | 10.1 4/27/2015 | |
| 10.7 | Second Amended and Restated Receivables Purchase Agreement, dated as of November 19, 2015, by and among Sprint Spectrum L.P., as servicer, certain Sprint special purpose entities, as sellers, certain commercial paper conduits and financial institutions from time to time party thereto, as purchaser agents, The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ, Ltd., New York Branch, as administrative agent, SMBC Nikko Securities America, Inc., as administrative agent, and Mizuho Bank, Ltd., as administrative agent and collateral agent | 8-K | 001-04721 | 10.6 11/20/2015 | |
| 10.8 | Amended and Restated Receivables Sale Agreement, dated as of April 24, 2015, between Sprint Spectrum L.P., as an Originator and as Servicer, the other Originators from time to time party thereto and the Buyers from time to time party thereto | 8-K | 001-04721 | 10.2 4/27/2015 | |
| 10.9 | Second Amended and Restated Receivables Sale and Contribution Agreement, dated as of November 19, 2015, by and among certain Sprint subsidiaries as originators and special purpose entities | 8-K | 001-04721 | 10.7 11/20/2015 | |
| 10.10 | Amended and Restated First Step Transfer Agreement (Tranche 1), dated as of April 28, 2016, among the originators from time to time party thereto, the lessees from time to time party thereto and Sprint Spectrum L.P. | | | | * |
| 10.11 | Amended and Restated Second Step Transfer Agreement (Tranche 1), dated as of April 28, | | | | * |

2016, among the lessees from time to time party thereto and Mobile Leasing Solutions, LLC

| | | |
|-------|--|-----------------------------|
| 10.12 | Amended and Restated Master Lease Agreement (Tranche 1), dated as of April 28, 2016, among Mobile Leasing Solutions, LLC, the lessees from time to time party thereto, Sprint Spectrum L.P. and Mizuho Bank, Ltd., as collateral agent | * |
| 10.13 | Amended and Restated Performance Support Agreement (Tranche 1), dated as of April 28, 2016, by Sprint Corporation in favor of Mobile Leasing Solutions, LLC | * |
| 10.14 | Amended and Restated Guaranty (Tranche 1), dated as of April 28, 2016, by Sprint Corporation in favor of Mobile Leasing Solutions, LLC | * |
| 10.15 | Form of Sale Agreement, dated as of March 31, 2016 (effective as of April 5, 2016), by and between the lessees party thereto and the purchasers party thereto | 8-K 001-04721 10.1 4/6/2016 |

Edgar Filing: SPRINT Corp - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

| Exhibit No. | Exhibit Description | Form | Incorporated by Reference | | Filed/Furnished Herewith |
|-------------|--|------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | | SEC File No. | Exhibit Filing Date | |
| 10.16 | Master Lease Agreement, dated as of March 31, 2016 (effective as of April 5, 2016), among the purchasers party thereto and the lessees party thereto | 8-K | 001-04721 | 10.2 | 4/6/2016 |
| 10.17 | Guaranty, dated as of March 31, 2016 (effective as of April 5, 2016), by Sprint Corporation in favor of the purchasers party thereto | 8-K | 001-04721 | 10.3 | 4/6/2016 |
| 10.18 | First Step Transfer Agreement (Tranche 2), dated as of April 28, 2016, among the originators from time to time party thereto, the lessees from time to time party thereto and Sprint Spectrum L.P. | 8-K | 001-04721 | 10.1 | 4/29/2016 |
| 10.19 | Second Step Transfer Agreement (Tranche 2), dated as of April 28, 2016, among the lessees from time to time party thereto and Mobile Leasing Solutions, LLC, acting for itself and on behalf of Series 2 thereof | 8-K | 001-04721 | 10.2 | 4/29/2016 |
| 10.20 | Master Lease Agreement (Tranche 2), dated as of April 28, 2016, among Mobile Leasing Solutions, LLC, acting for itself and on behalf of Series 2 thereof, the lessees from time to time party thereto, Sprint Spectrum L.P. and Mizuho Bank, Ltd., as Collateral Agent | 8-K | 001-04721 | 10.3 | 4/29/2016 |
| 10.21 | Performance Support Agreement (Tranche 2), dated as of April 28, 2016, by Sprint Corporation in favor of Mobile Leasing Solutions, LLC, acting for itself and on behalf of Series 2 thereof | 8-K | 001-04721 | 10.4 | 4/29/2016 |
| 10.22 | Guaranty (Tranche 2), dated as of April 28, 2016, by Sprint Corporation in favor of Mobile Leasing Solutions, LLC, acting for itself and on behalf of Series 2 thereof | 8-K | 001-04721 | 10.5 | 4/29/2016 |
| 10.23 | Credit Agreement, dated as of April 28, 2016, among Sprint Communications, Inc., as borrower, Sprint Corporation and certain subsidiaries of Sprint Communications, Inc., as guarantors, and Mizuho Bank, Ltd., as administrative agent, arranger and bookrunner | 8-K | 001-04721 | 10.6 | 4/29/2016 |

Edgar Filing: SPRINT Corp - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|-------|---|-----|-----------|------|-----------|
| 10.24 | Warrant Agreement for Sprint Corporation Common Stock, dated as of July 10, 2013, by and between Sprint Corporation and Starburst I, Inc. | 8-K | 001-04721 | 10.6 | 7/11/2013 |
|-------|---|-----|-----------|------|-----------|

(10) Executive Compensation Plans and Arrangements

| | | | | | |
|-------|---|-------|-----------|--|-----------|
| 10.25 | Summary of 2013 Long Term Incentive Plan | 8-K | 001-04721 | | 7/30/2013 |
| 10.26 | Amended Summary of 2013 Long Term Incentive Plan | 8-K/A | 001-04721 | | 9/20/2013 |
| 10.27 | Summary of 2013 Short-Term Incentive Compensation Plan | 8-K | 001-04721 | | 3/5/2013 |
| 10.28 | Amended Summary of 2013 Short-Term Incentive Compensation Plan | 8-K/A | 001-04721 | | 7/30/2013 |
| 10.29 | Summary of 2014 Short-Term Incentive Compensation Plan | 8-K | 001-04721 | | 2/24/2014 |

Edgar Filing: SPRINT Corp - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

| Exhibit No. | Exhibit Description | Form | Incorporated by Reference | | Filing Date | Filed/Furnished Herewith |
|-------------|---|-------|---------------------------|---------|-------------|--------------------------|
| | | | SEC File No. | Exhibit | | |
| 10.30 | Amended Summary of 2014 Short-Term Incentive Compensation Plan | 8-K/A | 001-04721 | | 10/9/2014 | |
| 10.31 | Summary of 2014 Long-Term Incentive Plan | 8-K | 001-04721 | | 10/9/2014 | |
| 10.32 | STI and LTI Plan Information | 10-Q | 001-04721 | 10.4 | 8/7/2015 | |
| 10.33 | Form of Evidence of Award Agreement (awarding restricted stock units) under the 2007 Omnibus Incentive Plan to Robert L. Johnson | 10-Q | 001-04721 | 10.20 | 11/6/2013 | |
| 10.34 | Form of Evidence of Award Agreement (awarding restricted stock units) under the 2007 Omnibus Incentive Plan to Section 16 officers other than Robert L. Johnson | 10-Q | 001-04721 | 10.21 | 11/6/2013 | |
| 10.35 | Form of Evidence of Award Agreement (awarding performance-based restricted stock units) under the 2007 Omnibus Incentive Plan to Robert L. Johnson | 10-Q | 001-04721 | 10.22 | 11/6/2013 | |
| 10.36 | Form of Evidence of Award Agreement (awarding performance-based restricted stock units) under the 2007 Omnibus Incentive Plan to Joseph J. Euteneuer | 10-Q | 001-04721 | 10.24 | 11/6/2013 | |
| 10.37 | Form of Evidence of Award Agreement (awarding performance-based restricted stock units) under the 2007 Omnibus Incentive Plan to Section 16 officers other than Messrs. Robert L. Johnson and Joseph J. Euteneuer | 10-Q | 001-04721 | 10.23 | 11/6/2013 | |
| 10.38 | Form of Award Agreement (awarding performance-based restricted stock units) under the 2014 Long-Term Incentive Plan to Joseph J. Euteneuer | 10-Q | 001-04721 | 10.4 | 8/8/2014 | |
| 10.39 | Form of Award Agreement (awarding performance-based restricted stock units) under the 2014 Long-Term Incentive Plan to Robert L. Johnson | 10-Q | 001-04721 | 10.5 | 8/8/2014 | |
| 10.40 | Form of Award Agreement (awarding performance-based restricted stock units) under | 10-Q | 001-04721 | 10.6 | 8/8/2014 | |

Edgar Filing: SPRINT Corp - Form 10-K

the 2014 Long-Term Incentive Plan to executive officers other than Messrs. Euteneuer and Johnson and Section 16 officers

| | | | | | |
|-------|---|------|-----------|-------|----------|
| 10.41 | Form of Award Agreement (awarding performance-based restricted stock units) under the 2014 Long-Term Incentive Plan to Section 16 officers other than Messrs. Euteneuer and Johnson | 10-Q | 001-04721 | 10.7 | 8/8/2014 |
| 10.42 | Form of Award Agreement (awarding restricted stock units) under the 2014 Long-Term Incentive Plan to Robert L. Johnson | 10-Q | 001-04721 | 10.8 | 8/8/2014 |
| 10.43 | Form of Award Agreement (awarding restricted stock units) under the 2014 Long-Term Incentive Plan to all executive officers other than Robert L. Johnson | 10-Q | 001-04721 | 10.9 | 8/8/2014 |
| 10.44 | Form of Award Agreement (awarding stock options) under the 2014 Long-Term Incentive Plan to Robert L. Johnson | 10-Q | 001-04721 | 10.10 | 8/8/2014 |

Table of Contents

| Exhibit No. | Exhibit Description | Form | Incorporated by Reference | | | Filed/Furnished Herewith |
|-------------|---|----------------|---------------------------|---------|-------------|-----------------------------|
| | | | SEC File No. | Exhibit | Filing Date | |
| 10.45 | Form of Award Agreement (awarding stock options) under the 2014 Long-Term Incentive Plan for executive officers with Sprint employment agreements | 10-Q | 001-04721 | 10.11 | 8/8/2014 | |
| 10.46 | Form of Award Agreement (awarding stock options) under the 2014 Long-Term Incentive Plan to executive officers other than those with Sprint employment agreements and Robert L. Johnson | 10-Q | 001-04721 | 10.12 | 8/8/2014 | |
| 10.47 | Form of Turnaround Incentive Award Agreement (awarding restricted stock units) under the 2015 Omnibus Incentive Plan for certain executive officers in exchange for reduced long-term incentive opportunities | 10-Q | 001-04721 | 10.6 | 11/9/2015 | |
| 10.48 | Form of Turnaround Incentive Award Agreement (awarding restricted stock units) under the 2015 Omnibus Incentive Plan for certain executive officers | 10-Q | 001-04721 | 10.7 | 11/9/2015 | |
| 10.49 | Form of Award Agreement (awarding stock options) under the 2015 Omnibus Incentive Plan to executive officers other than those with Sprint employment agreements and Robert L. Johnson | 10-Q | 001-04721 | 10.8 | 11/9/2015 | |
| 10.50 | Form of Award Agreement (awarding restricted stock units) under the 2015 Omnibus Incentive Plan to executive officers other than Robert L. Johnson | 10-Q | 001-04721 | 10.9 | 11/9/2015 | |
| 10.51 | Form of Award Agreement (awarding performance-based restricted stock units) under the 2015 Omnibus Incentive Plan to executive officers other than Robert L. Johnson | 10-Q | 001-04721 | 10.10 | 11/9/2015 | |
| 10.52 | Form of Stock Option Agreement under the Stock Option Exchange Program (for certain Nextel Communication Inc. employees) | Sch. TO-I | 005-41991 | d(2) | 5/17/2010 | |
| 10.53 | Form of Stock Option Agreement under the Stock Option Exchange Program (for all other | Sch. TO-I/A | 005-41991 | d(3) | 5/21/2010 | |

employees other than those with Nextel employment agreements)

| | | | | | |
|-------|---|------|-----------|------|------------|
| 10.54 | Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, effective as of August 11, 2015, by and between Sprint Corporation and Raul Marcelo Claure | 8-K | 001-04721 | 10.1 | 8/11/2015 |
| 10.55 | Employment Agreement, executed December 20, 2010, effective April 4, 2011, by and between Joseph J. Euteneuer and Sprint Nextel Corporation | 8-K | 001-04721 | 10.1 | 12/21/2010 |
| 10.56 | First Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated November 20, 2012, by and between Sprint Nextel Corporation and Joseph J. Euteneuer | 8-K | 001-04721 | 10.3 | 11/20/2012 |
| 10.57 | Second Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated November 11, 2013, by and between Joseph J. Euteneuer and Sprint Communications, Inc. | 8-K | 001-04721 | 10.1 | 11/12/2013 |
| 10.58 | Third Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated November 14, 2014, between Sprint Communications, Inc. and Joseph J. Euteneuer | 10-Q | 001-04721 | 10.4 | 2/5/2015 |

Edgar Filing: SPRINT Corp - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

| Exhibit No. | Exhibit Description | Form | Incorporated by Reference | | | Filed/Furnished Herewith |
|-------------|--|-------|---------------------------|---------|-------------|-----------------------------|
| | | | SEC File No. | Exhibit | Filing Date | |
| 10.59 | Fourth Amendment to Employment Agreement, effective November 6, 2015, by and between Sprint Nextel Corporation, now known as Sprint Communications, Inc., and Joseph Euteneuer | 8-K | 001-04721 | 10.1 | 11/12/2015 | |
| 10.60 | Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, effective December 31, 2008, by and between Robert L. Johnson and Sprint Nextel Corporation | 10-K | 001-04721 | 10.26.1 | 2/27/2009 | |
| 10.61 | Compensatory Agreement, dated June 11, 2008, by and between Robert L. Johnson and Sprint Nextel Corporation | 10-Q | 001-04721 | 10.3 | 8/6/2008 | |
| 10.62 | Letter, dated May 24, 2010, to Robert L. Johnson regarding the Sprint Nextel Corporation Relocation Program | 10-Q | 001-04721 | 10.1 | 8/5/2010 | |
| 10.63 | Letter Agreement, dated November 12, 2014, between Sprint Corporation and Robert L. Johnson | 10-Q | 001-04721 | 10.5 | 2/5/2015 | |
| 10.64 | Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, effective December 31, 2008, by and between Charles R. Wunsch and Sprint Nextel Corporation | 10-K | 001-04721 | 10.29 | 2/27/2009 | |
| 10.65 | First Amendment to Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, effective November 6, 2012, by and between Sprint Nextel Corporation and Charles R. Wunsch | 10-K | 001-04721 | 10.43.2 | 2/28/2013 | |
| 10.66 | Employment Agreement, effective September 6, 2013 by and between Sprint Corporation and Brandon Dow Draper | 10-Q | 001-04721 | 10.25 | 11/6/2013 | |
| 10.67 | Brandon Dow Draper Sign-On Award of Restricted Stock Units | 10-Q | 001-04721 | 10.26 | 11/6/2013 | |
| 10.68 | First Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated February 21, 2014, by and between Sprint Corporation and Brandon Dow Draper | 10-KT | 001-04721 | 10.78 | 5/23/2014 | |
| 10.69 | | 10-Q | 001-04721 | 10.12 | 2/4/2016 | |

Edgar Filing: SPRINT Corp - Form 10-K

Employment Agreement, dated January 2, 2016,
by and between Sprint Corporation and Jorge
Gracia

| | | | | | | |
|-------|--|------|-----------|-------|-----------|---|
| 10.70 | Employment Agreement, dated April 1, 2016, by and between Sprint Corporation and Robert Hackl | | | | | * |
| 10.71 | Employment Agreement, effective May 20, 2014, by and between Sprint Corporation and John C. Saw | 10-Q | 001-04721 | 10.1 | 8/8/2014 | |
| 10.72 | First Amendment to Employment Agreement, effective October 20, 2014, by and between Sprint Corporation and John C. Saw | 10-Q | 001-04721 | 10.3 | 11/6/2014 | |
| 10.73 | Second Amendment to Employment Agreement, effective July 27, 2015, by and between Sprint Corporation and John C. Saw | 10-Q | 001-04721 | 10.1 | 11/9/2015 | |
| 10.74 | Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, effective December 31, 2008, by and between Sprint Nextel Corporation and Jaime A. Jones | 10-K | 001-04721 | 10.68 | 5/26/2015 | |

Table of Contents

| Exhibit No. | Exhibit Description | Incorporated by Reference | | | Filed/Furnished Herewith |
|-------------|--|---------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | Form | SEC File No. | Exhibit Filing Date | |
| 10.75 | First Amendment to Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, effective December 13, 2012, by and between Sprint Nextel Corporation and Jaime A. Jones | 10-K | 001-04721 | 10.69 | 5/26/2015 |
| 10.76 | Second Amendment to Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, effective December 13, 2012, by and between Sprint Nextel Corporation and Jaime A. Jones | | | | * |
| 10.77 | Employment Agreement, effective August 3, 2015, by and between Sprint Corporation and Guenther Ottendorfer | 10-Q | 001-04721 | 10.2 | 11/9/2015 |
| 10.78 | Employment Agreement, dated August 2, 2015, by and between Sprint Corporation and Tarek Robbiati | 8-K | 001-04721 | 10.1 | 8/3/2015 |
| 10.79 | Amended and Restated Agreement Regarding Special Compensation and Post Employment Restrictive Covenants, dated December 31, 2008, by and between Sprint Nextel Corporation and Paul W. Schieber | 10-K | 001-04721 | 10.80 | 2/24/2014 |
| 10.80 | First Amendment to Amended and Restated Agreement Regarding Special Compensation and Post Employment Restrictive Covenants, dated December 11, 2012, by and between Sprint Nextel Corporation and Paul W. Schieber | 10-K | 001-04721 | 10.81 | 2/24/2014 |
| 10.81 | Employment Agreement, dated September 27, 2012 and effective as of January 2, 2013, by and between Sprint Nextel Corporation and Michael Schwartz | 10-K | 001-04721 | 10.48.1 | 2/28/2013 |
| 10.82 | First Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated December 10, 2012, by and between Sprint Nextel Corporation and Michael Schwartz | 10-K | 001-04721 | 10.48.2 | 2/28/2013 |
| 10.83 | Second Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated November 12, 2014, between Sprint Communications, Inc. and Michael Schwartz | 10-Q | 001-04721 | 10.6 | 2/5/2015 |
| 10.84 | Employment Agreement, dated May 1, 2015, by and between Sprint Corporation and Roger Sole | 10-Q | 001-04721 | 10.11 | 2/4/2016 |

Edgar Filing: SPRINT Corp - Form 10-K

Rafols

| | | | | | | |
|-------|---|------|-----------|-------|-----------|---|
| 10.85 | Employment Agreement, dated May 31, 2015, by and between Sprint Corporation and Kevin Crull | 10-Q | 001-04721 | 10.3 | 8/7/2015 | |
| 10.86 | Sprint Corporation 2007 Omnibus Incentive Plan | 8-K | 001-04721 | 10.2 | 9/20/2013 | |
| 10.87 | Sprint Corporation 2015 Omnibus Incentive Plan | 10-Q | 001-04721 | 10.4 | 11/9/2015 | |
| 10.88 | Sprint Corporation Change in Control Severance Plan | | | | | * |
| 10.89 | Sprint Corporation Deferred Compensation Plan, as amended and restated effective September 26, 2014 | 10-Q | 001-04721 | 10.2 | 11/6/2014 | |
| 10.90 | Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, as amended and restated effective January 1, 2008 | 10-K | 001-04721 | 10.35 | 2/27/2009 | |
| 10.91 | Summary of Director Compensation Programs | 10-Q | 001-04721 | 10.19 | 11/6/2013 | |
| 10.92 | Director's Deferred Fee Plan, as amended and restated effective January 1, 2008 | 10-K | 001-04721 | 10.37 | 2/27/2009 | |

Table of Contents

| Exhibit No. | Exhibit Description | Incorporated by Reference | | | Filed/Furnished Herewith |
|---|---|---------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | Form | SEC File No. | Exhibit Filing Date | |
| 10.93 | Form of Award Agreement (awarding restricted stock units) under the 2007 Omnibus Incentive Plan for non-employee directors | 10-Q | 001-04721 | 10.10 5/9/2007 | |
| 10.94 | Form of Award Agreement (awarding restricted stock units) under the 2015 Omnibus Incentive Plan for non-employee directors | 10-Q | 001-04721 | 10.5 11/9/2015 | |
| 10.95 | Form of Election to Defer Delivery of Shares Subject to RSUs (Outside Directors) | | | | * |
| 10.96 | Form of Indemnification Agreement to be entered into by and between Sprint Corporation and certain of its directors | 8-K | 001-04721 | 10.1 7/11/2013 | |
| 10.97 | Form of Indemnification Agreement to be entered into by and between Sprint Corporation and certain of its officers | 8-K | 001-04721 | 10.2 7/11/2013 | |
| 10.98 | Form of Indemnification Agreement to be entered into by and between Sprint Corporation and certain individuals who serve as both a director and officer of Sprint Corporation | 8-K | 001-04721 | 10.3 7/11/2013 | |
| (12) Statement re Computation of Ratios | | | | | |
| 12 | Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges | | | | * |
| (21) Subsidiaries of the Registrant | | | | | |
| 21 | Subsidiaries of the Registrant | | | | * |
| (23) Consents of Experts and Counsel | | | | | |
| 23.1 | Consent of KPMG LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | | | | * |
| 23.2 | Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | | | | * |
| 23.3 | Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP, Independent Auditors | | | | * |
| 23.4 | | | | | * |

Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP, Independent
Registered Public Accounting Firm

(31) and (32) Officer Certifications

| | | |
|------|---|---|
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Rule 13a-14(a) | * |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Rule 13a-14(a) | * |
| 32.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, As Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002 | * |
| 32.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, As Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002 | * |

Table of Contents

| Exhibit No. | Exhibit Description | Incorporated by Reference | | | Filed/Furnished Herewith |
|--|--|---------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | Form | SEC File No. | Exhibit Filing Date | |
| (101) Formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language) | | | | | |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document | | | | * |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document | | | | * |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document | | | | * |
| 101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document | | | | * |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document | | | | * |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document | | | | * |

* Filed or furnished, as required.

** Schedules and/or exhibits not filed will be furnished to the SEC upon request, pursuant to Item 601(b)(2) of Regulation S-K.

Table of Contents

SPRINT CORPORATION

Index to Consolidated Financial Statements

| | Page Reference |
|--|-------------------|
| <u>Sprint Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | |
| <u>Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firms</u> | F-2 |
| <u>Successor Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2016 and 2015</u> | F-4 |
| <u>Successor Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 (unaudited), and year ended December 31, 2013 and Predecessor Consolidated Statements of Operations for the 191 days ended July 10, 2013, and three months ended March 31, 2013 (unaudited)</u> | F-5 |
| <u>Successor Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss for the years ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 (unaudited), and year ended December 31, 2013 and Predecessor Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss for the 191 days ended July 10, 2013, and three months ended March 31, 2013 (unaudited)</u> | F-6 |
| <u>Successor Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 (unaudited), and year ended December 31, 2013 and Predecessor Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the 191 days ended July 10, 2013, and three months ended March 31, 2013 (unaudited)</u> | F-7 |
| <u>Successor Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, three months ended March 31, 2014, and year ended December 31, 2013 and Predecessor Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the 191 days ended July 10, 2013</u> | F-9 |
| <u>Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | F-10 |
| <u>Clearwire Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | |
| <u>Independent Auditor's Report</u> | F-68 |
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | F-69 |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of July 9, 2013 and December 31, 2012</u> | F-70 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the 190 days ended July 9, 2013 and years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011</u> | F-71 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss for the 190 days ended July 9, 2013 and years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011</u> | F-72 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the 190 days ended July 9, 2013 and years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011</u> | F-73 |

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive Loss for the 190 days ended July 9, 2013 and years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 F-74

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements F-75

F-1

Table of Contents

Index to Consolidated Financial Statements

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of
Sprint Corporation
Overland Park, Kansas

We have audited the accompanying Successor consolidated balance sheets of Sprint Corporation and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of March 31, 2016 and 2015, and the related Successor consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive loss, cash flows and stockholders' equity for the years ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, the three-month period ended March 31, 2014, and the year ended December 31, 2013. We also have audited the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of March 31, 2016, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company's management is responsible for these consolidated financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements and an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the consolidated financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Successor consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Sprint Corporation and subsidiaries as of March 31, 2016 and 2015, and the related results of their operations and their cash flows for the years ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, the three-month period ended March 31, 2014, and the year ended December 31, 2013, in conformity with accounting principles generally

accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of March 31, 2016, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

As discussed in Notes 1 and 3 to the consolidated financial statements, on July 10, 2013, SoftBank Corp. completed a merger with Sprint Communications, Inc. (formerly Sprint Nextel Corporation) by which Sprint Corporation was the acquiring company of Sprint Communications, Inc. and applied the acquisition method of accounting as of the merger date.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP
Kansas City, Missouri
May 17, 2016

F-2

Table of Contents

Index to Consolidated Financial Statements

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Stockholders

Sprint Corporation:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive loss, cash flows and stockholders' equity of Sprint Communications, Inc. (formerly Sprint Nextel Corporation) and subsidiaries (the Predecessor Company) for the 191 day period ended July 10, 2013. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Predecessor Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the results of the Predecessor Company's operations and their cash flows for the 191 day period ended July 10, 2013, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Kansas City, Missouri

October 21, 2013, except for

Note 18 as to which the date is

June 18, 2014

Table of ContentsIndex to Consolidated Financial StatementsSPRINT CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

| | March 31, | |
|---|--|-----------|
| | 2016 | 2015 |
| | (in millions, except share and per share data) | |
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 2,641 | \$ 4,010 |
| Short-term investments | — | 166 |
| Accounts and notes receivable, net | 1,099 | 2,290 |
| Device and accessory inventory | 1,173 | 1,359 |
| Deferred tax assets | — | 62 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 1,920 | 1,890 |
| Total current assets | 6,833 | 9,777 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 20,297 | 19,721 |
| Intangible assets | | |
| Goodwill | 6,575 | 6,575 |
| FCC licenses and other | 40,073 | 39,987 |
| Definite-lived intangible assets, net | 4,469 | 5,893 |
| Other assets | 728 | 888 |
| Total assets | \$ 78,975 | \$ 82,841 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 2,899 | \$ 4,347 |
| Accrued expenses and other current liabilities | 4,374 | 5,293 |
| Current portion of long-term debt, financing and capital lease obligations | 4,690 | 1,300 |
| Total current liabilities | 11,963 | 10,940 |
| Long-term debt, financing and capital lease obligations | 29,268 | 32,342 |
| Deferred tax liabilities | 13,959 | 13,898 |
| Other liabilities | 4,002 | 3,951 |
| Total liabilities | 59,192 | 61,131 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | |
| Stockholders' equity: | | |
| Common stock, voting, par value \$0.01 per share, 9.0 billion authorized, 3.975 billion and 3.967 billion issued at March 31, 2016 and 2015 | 40 | 40 |
| Paid-in capital | 27,563 | 27,468 |
| Treasury shares, at cost | (3 |) (7 |
| Accumulated deficit | (7,378 |) (5,383 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (439 |) (408 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 19,783 | 21,710 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 78,975 | \$ 82,841 |
| See Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements | | |

Table of ContentsIndex to Consolidated Financial StatementsSPRINT CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

| | Successor | | | | | Predecessor | |
|---|---|----------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|
| | Year Ended March 31, | Year Ended March 31, | Three Months Ended March 31, | | Year Ended December 31, | 191 Days Ended July 10, | Three Months Ended March 31, |
| | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 (Unaudited) | 2013 | 2013 | 2013 (Unaudited) |
| | (in millions, except per share amounts) | | | | | | |
| Net operating revenues: | | | | | | | |
| Service | \$27,174 | \$29,542 | \$7,876 | \$ — | \$15,094 | \$16,895 | \$7,980 |
| Equipment | 5,006 | 4,990 | 999 | — | 1,797 | 1,707 | 813 |
| | 32,180 | 34,532 | 8,875 | — | 16,891 | 18,602 | 8,793 |
| Net operating expenses: | | | | | | | |
| Cost of services (exclusive of depreciation and amortization below) | 9,439 | 9,660 | 2,622 | — | 5,174 | 5,673 | 2,640 |
| Cost of products (exclusive of depreciation and amortization below) | 5,795 | 9,309 | 2,038 | — | 4,603 | 4,872 | 2,293 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 8,479 | 9,563 | 2,371 | 14 | 4,841 | 5,067 | 2,336 |
| Impairments | — | 2,133 | — | — | — | — | — |
| Severance and exit costs | 409 | 304 | 52 | — | 309 | 652 | 25 |
| Depreciation | 5,794 | 3,797 | 868 | — | 2,026 | 3,098 | 1,422 |
| Amortization | 1,294 | 1,552 | 429 | — | 908 | 147 | 70 |
| Other, net | 660 | 109 | 75 | — | — | (22) | (22) |
| | 31,870 | 36,427 | 8,455 | 14 | 17,861 | 19,487 | 8,764 |
| Operating income (loss) | 310 | (1,895) | 420 | (14) | (970) | (885) | 29 |
| Other (expense) income: | | | | | | | |
| Interest expense | (2,182) | (2,051) | (516) | — | (918) | (1,135) | (432) |
| Equity in losses of unconsolidated investments, net | — | — | — | — | — | (482) | (202) |
| Gain on previously-held equity interests | — | — | — | — | — | 2,926 | — |
| Other income (expense), net | 18 | 27 | 1 | 6 | 73 | 19 | — |
| | (2,164) | (2,024) | (515) | 6 | (845) | 1,328 | (634) |
| (Loss) income before income taxes | (1,854) | (3,919) | (95) | (8) | (1,815) | 443 | (605) |
| Income tax (expense) benefit | (141) | 574 | (56) | (1) | (45) | (1,601) | (38) |
| Net loss | \$(1,995) | \$(3,345) | \$(151) | \$(9) | \$(1,860) | \$(1,158) | \$(643) |
| Basic and diluted net loss per common share | \$(0.50) | \$(0.85) | \$(0.04) | | \$(0.54) | \$(0.38) | \$(0.21) |
| Basic and diluted weighted average common shares outstanding | 3,969 | 3,953 | 3,949 | | 3,475 | 3,027 | 3,013 |
| See Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements | | | | | | | |

Table of ContentsIndex to Consolidated Financial Statements

SPRINT CORPORATION

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE LOSS

| | Successor | | | | Predecessor | |
|---|---|-----------|--------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------|
| | Year | Year | Three Months | Year | 191 | Three |
| | Ended | Ended | Ended | Ended | Days | Months |
| | March | March | March 31, | December | Ended | Ended |
| | 31, | 31, | March 31, | 31, | July 10, | March 31, |
| | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2013 | 2013 |
| | | | | (Unaudited) | | (Unaudited) |
| | (in millions, except per share amounts) | | | | | |
| Net loss | \$(1,995) | \$(3,345) | \$(151) | \$ (9) | \$(1,860) | \$(1,158) \$ (643) |
| Other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax: | | | | | | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | (11) | (25) | 1 | — | 3 | (8) (2) |
| Unrealized holding (losses) gains on securities: | | | | | | |
| Unrealized holding (losses) gains on securities | (1) | (6) | 1 | — | 6 | (4) 1 |
| Less: Reclassification adjustment for realized gains included in net loss | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Net unrealized holding (losses) gains on securities | (1) | (6) | 1 | — | 6 | (4) 1 |
| Unrecognized net periodic pension and other postretirement benefits: | | | | | | |
| Net actuarial (loss) gain | (38) | (393) | (147) | — | 93 | — |
| Net prior service credits arising during the period | 9 | — | — | — | — | — |
| Less: Amortization of actuarial loss, included in net loss | 10 | — | — | — | — | 35 15 |
| Less: Settlement event charge, included in net loss | — | 59 | — | — | — | — |
| Net unrecognized net periodic pension and other postretirement benefits | (19) | (334) | (147) | — | 93 | 35 15 |
| Other comprehensive (loss) income | (31) | (365) | (145) | — | 102 | 23 14 |
| Comprehensive loss | \$(2,026) | \$(3,710) | | | | |