

PERVASIP CORP
Form 10-K
September 03, 2014

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended: **November 30, 2013**

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 000-04465

PERVASIP CORP.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

New York
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

13-2511270
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

430 North Street

White Plains, NY 10605
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

Edgar Filing: PERVASIP CORP - Form 10-K

(914) 750-9339

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered under Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act: **None**

Securities registered under Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act:

Common Stock, par value \$0.00001 per share

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.
Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by checkmark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definition of “large accelerated filer,” “accelerated filer” and “smaller reporting company” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act:

Large accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer

Accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of registrant’s voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates (as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act) computed by reference to the average bid and asked price of such common equity on May 31, 2013, was \$2,119,910.

As of September 3, 2014, the registrant has one class of common equity, and the number of shares outstanding of such common equity was 1,007,549,997.

Documents Incorporated By Reference: None.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I

| | |
|-------------------------------------|----|
| Item 1. Business. | 2 |
| Item 1A. Risk Factors. | 10 |
| Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments. | 19 |
| Item 2. Properties. | 19 |
| Item 3. Legal Proceedings. | 19 |
| Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures. | 19 |

PART II

| | |
|---|----|
| Item 5. Market For Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities. | 20 |
| Item 6. Selected Financial Data. | 21 |
| Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. | 21 |
| Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk. | 27 |
| Item 8. Financial Statements. | 27 |
| Item 9. Changes In and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure. | 27 |
| Item 9A. Controls and Procedures. | 28 |
| Item 9B. Other Information. | 28 |

PART III

| | |
|--|----|
| Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance. | 29 |
| Item 11. Executive Compensation. | 31 |
| Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters. | 32 |
| Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence. | 33 |
| Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services. | 34 |

PART IV

| | |
|--|----|
| Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statements Schedules. | 36 |
|--|----|

| | |
|------------|----|
| SIGNATURES | 40 |
|------------|----|

Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

Included in this Form 10-K are “forward-looking” statements, as well as historical information. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in these forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot assure you that the expectations reflected in these forward-looking statements will prove to be correct. Our actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in forward-looking statements as a result of certain factors, including matters described in the section titled “Risk Factors.” Forward-looking statements include those that use forward-looking terminology, such as the words “anticipate,” “believe,” “estimate,” “expect,” “intend,” “may,” “project,” “plan,” “will,” “shall,” similar expressions, including when used in the negative. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in these forward-looking statements are reasonable and achievable, these statements involve risks and uncertainties and we cannot assure you that actual results will be consistent with these forward-looking statements. Important factors that could cause our actual results, performance or achievements to differ from these forward-looking statements include the following:

- The availability of additional funds to successfully pursue our business plan;
- The cooperation of our lenders;
- The cooperation of industry service partners that have signed agreements with us;
- Our ability to market our services to current and new customers and generate customer demand for our products and services in the geographical areas in which we operate;
- The impact of changes the Federal Communications Commission or State Public Service Commissions may make to existing telecommunication laws and regulations, including laws dealing with Internet telephony;
- Our ability to comply with provisions of our financing agreements;
- The highly competitive nature of our industry;
- The acceptance of telephone calls over the Internet by mainstream consumers;
- Our ability to retain key personnel;
- Our ability to maintain adequate customer care and manage our churn rate;
- The impact of adverse tax or regulatory rulings or actions affecting our operations, including the imposition of taxes, fees and penalties;
- Our ability to maintain, attract and integrate internal management, technical information and management information systems;
- Our ability to manage rapid growth while maintaining adequate controls and procedures;
- The availability and maintenance of suitable vendor relationships, in a timely manner, at reasonable cost;
- The decrease in telecommunications prices to consumers; and
- General economic conditions.

These forward-looking statements are subject to numerous assumptions, risks and uncertainties that may cause our actual results to be materially different from any future results expressed or implied by us in those statements.

These risk factors should be considered in connection with any subsequent written or oral forward-looking statements that we or persons acting on our behalf may issue. All written and oral forward-looking statements made in connection with this Report that are attributable to us or persons acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by these cautionary statements. Given these uncertainties, we caution investors not to unduly rely on our

forward-looking statements. We do not undertake any obligation to review or confirm analysts' expectations or estimates or to release publicly any revisions to any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this document or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events. Further, the statements about our intentions contained in this document are statements of our intentions as of the date of this document and are based upon, among other things, the existing regulatory environment, industry conditions, market conditions and prices, the economy in general and our assumptions as of such date. We may change our intentions, at any time and without notice, based upon any changes in such factors, in our assumptions or otherwise.

PART I

In this Annual Report on Form 10-K, we will refer to Pervasip Corp., a New York corporation, as “our company,” “we,” “us” and “our.”

Item 1. Business.

Overview

We are a provider of video and voice over Internet Protocol, or VoIP, telephony services. The nature of our technology is cloud-based computing, and therefore our target market is not limited to our physical presence in the United States. In particular, we have transformed our VoIP service to a downloadable digital product, which coupled with our fully-automated back office, allows us to sell our voice, video and messaging services instantly to a large variety of mobile devices around the world. We sell under the brand name of VoX Communications, VoX or VoX Mobile.

The continued growth in both mobile telephone services and video telephone services has resulted in a rapidly growing mobile VoIP market that allows subscribers to make inexpensive calls from their mobile phones or tablets instead of using costly airtime minutes. We offer our mobile VoIP service to Android and Apple devices as a download from the app stores for Android or Apple users. We offer a free trial that allows subscribers to call up to 60 countries for 60 minutes with a temporary phone number.

A paid subscriber is able to download, over an Internet connection, a real telephone number from VoX that allows the subscriber to make or receive a low-cost mobile telephone call to any person on the public switched telephone network (the “PSTN”). We currently offer telephone numbers from 57 countries to be downloaded to a subscriber’s Android phone or tablet, and no other company offers as diverse a choice of telephone numbers as we offer. Since June 2014, we have been selling a private labeled version of our mobile VoIP app so that other companies can sell our product under their own brand name.

Our mobile VoIP app also allows video calling and text messaging to other subscribers. Adding video calling to mobile devices provides corporate managers the ability to see their mobile employees, agents or customers when a telephone call is made, and provides families with the ability to see loved ones who are otherwise inaccessible to visual contact. Our VoIP platform enables a user to access and utilize our voice, video and messaging services and features regardless of how they are connected to the Internet, including connections over the 3G, 4G, WiFi, cable, DSL or satellite broadband networks.

We are able to provide our services at a cost per user that is generally lower than that charged by traditional service providers because we minimize our network costs by using efficient packet-switched technology and interconnecting to a wide variety of termination options, which allows us to benefit from pricing differences between vendors to the same termination points.

Having built a fully-automated and scalable VoIP and video calling platform, which began as a wholesale platform for broadband carriers, our growth initiative is mobile services.

Development of Business

We were incorporated in the State of New York in 1964 under the name Sirco Products Co. Inc. and developed a line of high-quality handbags, totes, luggage and sport bags. In 1998 we began reselling telephone services and in 1999, we divested our handbag and luggage operations, and focused entirely on telephone operations.

In 2000, we began developing telecom software in our wholly owned subsidiary, TelcoSoftware.com Corp. In 2004 we started to build our own IP telephone network, and, in December 2007, we changed our name to Pervasip Corp. The word Pervasip is a contraction of the phrase “Pervasive IP” and our intention is to be known as a pervasive IP company with a ubiquitous global presence.

Our initial IP telephone efforts focused on providing wholesale digital voice services to other carriers. As a wholesaler, we enable broadband service providers to sell a voice product to their existing customers before a retail VoIP company approaches the broadband service provider’s customer with its voice product. This wholesale model contains cost advantages for us, especially with regard to customer acquisition costs, because we do not incur marketing costs to the end-users, who typically are already utilizing broadband services from our wholesale customer.

With the launching of our VoIP product for mobile phone users and our videophone service, we have changed our focus to the retail consumer. We are marketing to individual consumers via social networking websites, video websites, classified ad postings, press release distributions, blogs and article writing. We offer a free calling application to call the PSTN in 60 countries for 60 minutes and we offer a free videophone application. We incur a per-minute charge for any calls made to the PSTN in conjunction with the 60 free minutes of calling that we offer. The free videophone application has no costs of sales attributable to it because the call runs over the Internet, which has no per-minute costs. We plan to encourage people to use the free video-calling application and the free 60 minutes of voice calling so that consumers can experience our high-quality product. We believe that we will be able to contact users of our free products to convert a percentage of them into using our revenue-generating product.

Available Information

We maintain a corporate website with the address <http://www.pervasip.com/> and VoX maintains a corporate website with the address <http://www.voxcorp.net/>. We have not incorporated by reference into this Report on Form 10-K the information on any of our websites and you should not consider any of such information to be a part of this document. Our website addresses are included in this document for reference only.

We make available free of charge through our corporate website our Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and Current Reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to these reports through a link to the EDGAR database as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish such material to, the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"). You can also read and copy any materials we file with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549. You can obtain additional information about the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1.800.SEC.0330. In addition, the SEC maintains a website (www.sec.gov) that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC, including all of our filings.

Industry Background

The technology we employ to deliver our service, known as Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP), enables communications over the Internet through the compression of voice, video and/or other media into data packets that can be efficiently transmitted over data networks and then converted back into the original media at the other end. Data networks, such as the Internet or local area networks, have always utilized packet-switched technology to transmit information between two communicating terminals (for example, a PC downloading a page from a web server, or one computer sending an e-mail message to another computer). IP is the most commonly used protocol for communicating on these packet-switched networks. VoIP allows for the transmission of voice and data over these same packet-switched networks, providing an alternative to traditional telephone networks that use a fixed electrical path to carry voice signals through a series of switches to a destination.

As a result of the potential cost savings and added features of VoIP and video VoIP, consumers, enterprises, traditional telecommunication service providers and cable television providers view VoIP and video VoIP as the future of telecommunications. VoIP has experienced significant growth in recent years due to:

- Demand for lower cost telephone service;
- Improved quality and reliability of VoIP calls due to technological advances, increased network development and greater bandwidth capacity;
- Ability to download a VoIP application to a mobile device and utilize the 3G or 4G networks of the wireless phone carriers to provide consumers with mobile VoIP calling;
- Ability to download a VoIP application to a mobile device and utilize a WiFi network for mobile VoIP or mobile video calling; and
- New product innovations such as video calling that allow VoIP providers to offer services not currently offered by traditional telephone companies.

The traditional telephone networks maintained by many local and long distance telephone companies, known as the PSTN, were designed solely to carry low-fidelity audio signals with a high level of reliability. Although these traditional telephone networks are very reliable for voice communications, we believe these networks are not well-suited to service the explosive growth of digital communication applications for the following reasons:

They are expensive to build because each subscriber's telephone must be individually connected to the central office switch, which is usually several miles away from a typical subscriber's location;
They transmit data at very low rates and resolutions, making them poorly suited for delivering high-fidelity audio, entertainment-quality video or other rich multimedia content;
They use dedicated circuits for each telephone call which allot fixed bandwidth throughout the duration of each call, whether or not voice is actually being transmitted which is an inefficient use of the investment in the network; and
They demonstrate limitations in providing new or differentiated services or functions, such as video communications, that the network was not originally designed to accommodate.

Historically, packet-switched networks were built mainly for carrying non real-time data, although they are now fully capable of transmitting real-time data. The advantages of such networks are their efficiency, flexibility and scalability. Bandwidth is only consumed when needed. Networks can be built in a variety of configurations to suit the number of users, client/server application requirements and desired availability of bandwidth, and many terminals can share the same connection to the network. As a result, significantly more traffic can be transmitted over a packet-switched network, such as a home network or the Internet, than a circuit-switched telephony network. Packet-switching technology allows service providers to converge their traditionally separate voice and data networks and more efficiently utilize their networks by carrying voice, video, facsimile and data traffic over the same network. The improved efficiency of packet switching technology creates network cost savings that can be passed on to the consumer in the form of lower telephone rates.

The growth of the Internet in recent years has proven the scalability of these underlying packet-switched networks. As broadband connectivity, including mobile phone data networks, cable modem and digital subscriber line (or DSL), has become more available and less expensive, it is now possible for service providers like us to offer voice and video services that run over these IP networks to businesses and residential consumers. Providing such services has the potential to both substantially lower the cost of telephone service to these customers and increase the breadth of features available to our subscribers. Services like full-motion, two-way video are now supported by the bandwidth spectrum commonly available to broadband customers, whether business or residential. We believe that televisions using the Android operating system will become increasingly popular and that these televisions will accommodate two-way video so that consumers will be making video calls from their Android televisions, using a video application such as the one we have developed.

Business Strategy

Our objective is to provide reliable, scalable and profitable worldwide cloud-based communications services with unmatched quality by utilizing our Linux-based software technologies to deliver innovative mobile and video telephone offerings at competitive prices. We intend to bring the best possible voice and video products and services, at an affordable price, to businesses and residential consumers and enhance the ways in which these customers connect, communicate and collaborate with each other on a worldwide basis. We want to be known for our high quality of service, robust features and ability to deliver any new product to a wholesale customer or a web store without delay.

Specific strategies to accomplish this objective include:

Capitalize on our technology expertise to support new products and features. Our proprietary VoIP technology gives us the ability to offer leading-edge services and control our product development cycle. Our ability to quickly test new IP devices on our network, including videophones, IPTV, WiFi enabled VoIP phones and mobile VoIP phones, allows us to continuously offer the best and newest products as they become available. We are not dependent upon one or two device manufacturers, which has resulted in considerable cost savings, greater capacity and flexibility per port, and the ability to provide convergent solutions with new features, services and service creation capabilities in a timely manner.

Focus on offering enhanced calling features for mobile calling services. Our mobile video application contains compression technology that allows us to provide real-time video calling with very efficient bandwidth usage to many models of Android phones and tablets. We provide unlimited calling plans with phone numbers from 57 countries to attract international travelers and those who are overseas, but want a virtual presence in a particular country. For iPhone and iPad users, we offer a free calling plan and our Pay and Go Plan, which offers discounted mobile calling services to any phone number on the PSTN.

Continue to utilize back-office automation. We believe that to achieve our objective we need to have full automation of our back-office web and billing systems. We have written our software for maximum automation, flexibility and changeability. We know from experience in provisioning complex telecom orders that back-office automation is a key factor in keeping overhead costs low. Technology continues to work for 24 hours a day and we believe that the fewer people a company has in the back office, the more efficiently it can run, which should drive down the cost per order.

Our approach to VoIP does not require expensive network equipment to provide telephony services. Instead, we rely on our proprietary software and a “server cluster” or “server farm” architecture. Unlike the typical telecom model where one large expensive machine performs almost every task, we have a server farm comprised of a cluster of Dell servers and Cisco routers, where each machine performs a different task and has from one to three backup machines to ensure that services never go down. By not relying on the equipment and related software of telecom equipment vendors, we are able to control our own destiny and scale without the limitations and delays associated with equipment financing, installation and the integration of new machines and source code updates that equipment vendors impose on VoIP carriers. Our philosophy is that VoIP is an application and should be treated the same way that companies such as Google, Inc. process their data. Consequently, data servers and routers are the appropriate vehicle on which to process our VoIP calls.

Our Services

Our VoX services work over virtually any high-speed Internet connection worldwide to allow calls to or from any phone in the world, whether that phone is an IP phone or a PSTN phone. Our VoX service utilizes IP customer premise equipment to enable plug and play installation and a familiar dial tone user interface. Our service also uses web-based technologies to enable Internet fax, account setup, account management, billing and customer support. We have developed proprietary implementation of standards-based technologies underlying our service, which works with third party carriers to terminate VoIP calls on the PSTN network. As part of the VoX service, we currently resell analog telephone adapters, mobile phones and IP phones that can utilize our unique VoIP software so that a user can make high-quality telephone calls on our VoIP network. We continue to enhance and develop new functionality in our software code so that our services can be downloaded to additional devices.

Mobile VoIP – Mobility has become central to our development priorities. Consequently, our IP technology includes a mobile content platform that has the ability to deliver high-quality voice, messaging and video communication, across wireless data networks. We are offering our mobile VoIP product, as a downloadable application, on several web sites, including the Google Play and iTunes application stores. We primarily market it as a second line on a consumer’s mobile device, although we do have customers who use an Internet tablet and our mobile VoIP is their only telephone line. We believe that as consumers adopt mobile VoIP and transition away from the circuit-switched networks now in use, consumers will find that having a second and third phone line on their mobile devices will be helpful to distinguish between business and personal calls. Also, a second or third phone line becomes very useful to people who do not want to give out their permanent mobile phone number to someone they have recently met. A mobile VoIP number from VoX comes with its own voice mail account so the customer with a VoX number can have a professional voice mail message or a personal voice mail greeting, depending on the preferences of the customer.

Our mobile VoIP application provides free calling and messaging between users who have the application, as well as low-cost international calling to any phone in more than 200 countries. In addition, VoX subscribers who use smart phones with a front facing camera are able to make free videos calls to other VoX subscribers.

Our mobile VoIP application has calling plans that offer some of the lowest international calling rates in the world. For example, calls can be made for \$0.07 a minute to a cell phone in Britain, Vietnam, Pakistan or Mexico, and for \$0.12 per minute to Brazil. Most wireless carriers charge significantly more for these routes. The application also offers unlimited calling to more than 60 countries for \$29.95 per month, or a “Pay and Go” plan that charges a user per minute and does not charge a monthly subscription fee and line fee. With our Pay and Go plan, consumers only pay for the calls they make, using international rates that are frequently 80% less than the large U.S. carriers, and domestic rates that are \$0.029 per minute.

VoIP over Fixed Broadband Services – Our home telephone replacement services are offered to residential, small office and home office customers through several service plans with different pricing structures. The service plans include an array of basic and enhanced features, and customers have the opportunity to purchase premium features for an additional fee. We also charge for local and international calling outside of plan limits. Each of our residential, small office and home office calling plans provides a number of basic features including call waiting, caller ID with name, call forwarding, and voicemail. We also offer, in some cases for additional fees, a number of enhanced services that we believe help differentiate our service from our competitors

In order to access our residential, small office, and home office services, a customer need only connect a standard telephone to a broadband Internet connection through a small VoX-enabled device. After connecting the device, our customers can use their telephone to make and receive calls. Many of our adapters include a networking router and generally permit a subscriber to connect up to two VoX lines through a high-speed Internet connection. VoX-enabled devices allow customers to use the Internet connection for their computer and telephones at the same time while ensuring a high quality calling experience. Our plug-and-play VoX-enabled devices permit portability as customers can take their VoX device to different locations where broadband service is available. We generally have not charged new residential customers for the adapters permitting use of our service, but we do charge our wholesale customers.

Our Network

We operate a sophisticated IP network to deliver our VoIP services. We carefully monitor the network as it automatically minimizes the route taken by packets carrying a voice conversation, and self-regulates traffic volumes to directly control the quality of service from the origination to the termination of a call. Calls are connected on our network with minimal post-dial delay and our G.729, SILK and AMR codecs yield virtually no jitter. When compared to other broadband voice carriers or wireline connections, we deliver a high-quality call. Our softswitch utilizes advanced Session Initiation Protocol (“SIP”) infrastructure on a cluster of SIP servers and has the ability to scale at a low cost. We believe the collective thought process of our SIP servers makes us unique, as our servers are capable of “thinking” about what they are doing and will perform self-healing functions when necessary to ensure a call is not dropped. Unlike many of our competitors, we do not rely on software from Microsoft Corporation (“Microsoft”) to power our softswitch. By using our own open-source software platform, we are able to update the network as needed, avoid the delays of waiting for software upgrades from Microsoft and avert the problems associated with having too much reliance on one vendor in order to run our network.

We consider voice to be an application on an IP transport. Our network does not use the mainframe technology approach that Sonus Networks, Inc. or BroadSoft, Inc. promotes. Instead, we have a fully-scalable, redundant, power-backed stable platform with a server farm that contains no specifically-designed telecom equipment. By not relying on the telecom equipment and related software of the larger equipment vendors, we are able to own and control our own proprietary source code and to scale without the limitations and delays associated with equipment financing, installation, integration and source code updates that equipment vendors impose on other broadband voice carriers.

Competition

The communications industry is highly competitive and the market for enhanced Internet and cloud-based communications services is new and rapidly evolving. We believe the primary competitive factors that will determine our success in the Internet and cloud-based communications market are:

Quality of service;
Responsive customer care services;
Ability to provide customers with a telephone number in their local calling area;
Pricing levels and policies;
Quality of data service, provided by wireless telephone companies, over which our applications run;
Ability to provide E911 and 911 service;
Bundled service offerings;
Innovative features;
Ease of use;
Accurate billing;
Brand recognition; and
Quality of analog telephone adapter supported by us and used by our customer.

Future competition could come from a variety of companies in both the Internet and telecommunications industries. This competition includes major companies that have been in operation for many years and have greater resources and larger subscriber bases than we have, as well as companies operating in the growing market of discount telecommunications services, including calling cards and prepaid cards.

We anticipate that competition also will come from several traditional telecommunications companies, including industry leaders, such as Verizon Communications Inc., AT&T Inc., and Deutsche Telekom AG, as well as established broadband services providers, such as Comcast Corporation and Cablevision Inc. These companies provide enhanced Internet and IP communications services in both the United States and internationally. All of these competitors are significantly larger than we are and have:

substantially greater financial, technical and marketing resources;
stronger name recognition and customer loyalty;
well-established relationships with many of our target customers;
larger networks; and
large existing user bases to cross sell new services.

These and other competitors may be able to bundle services and products that are not offered by us together with enhanced Internet and IP communications services, which could place us at a significant competitive disadvantage. Many of our competitors enjoy economies of scale that can result in a lower cost structure for transmission and related costs, which could cause significant pricing pressures within the industry.

Operations

We have a centrally managed platform consisting of data management, monitoring, control and billing systems that support all of our products and services. We have invested substantial resources to develop and implement our real-time call management information system. Key elements of this system include automated customer provisioning, customer access, fraud control, network security, call routing, call monitoring, media processing and normalization, call reliability, and detailed call records. Our platform monitors our process of digitizing and compressing voice and video into packets and transmitting these packets over data networks around the world. We maintain a call switching platform in software that manages call admission, call control, call rating and routes calls to an appropriate destination or customer premise equipment. Unless the recipient is using an Internet telephony device, the packets (representing a voice and/or video call initiated by a VoX subscriber) are sent to a gateway belonging to one of our partner telecommunications carriers, where they are reassembled and the call is transferred to the PSTN and directed to a regular telephone anywhere in the world. Our billing and back office systems manage and enroll customers and bill calls as they originate and terminate on the service.

Network Operations Center – We maintain a network operations center in Orlando Florida and employ a staff of 3 individuals with experience in voice and data operations to provide 24-hour operations support, 7 days per week. We use various tools to monitor and manage all elements of our network and our partners' networks in real-time. We also monitor the network elements of some of our larger business customers. Additionally, our network operations center provides technical support to troubleshoot equipment and network problems. We also rely upon the network operations centers and resources of our telecommunications carrier partners to augment our monitoring and response efforts.

Customer and Technical Support – We maintain call center operations in Orlando, Florida and White Plains, New York and have a staff of 2 employees and contractors that provide customer service and technical support to customers. Customers who access our services directly through our web site receive customer service and technical support through multilingual telephone communication, web-based and "chat" sessions and e-mail support.

Interconnection Agreements – We are a party to telecommunications interconnect and service agreements with VoIP providers and PSTN telecommunications carriers, such as Level 3 Communications, Inc. and Broadvox LLC. Pursuant to these agreements, VoIP calls originating on our network can be terminated on other VoIP networks or the PSTN. Correspondingly, calls originating on other VoIP networks and the PSTN can be terminated on our network. While we believe that relations with these providers and carriers are good, we have no assurance that these partners will be able or willing to supply services to us in the future.

Research and Development

The VoIP market is characterized by rapid technological changes and advancements. Accordingly, we make substantial investments in the design and development of new products and services, as well as the development of enhancements and features to our existing mobile VoIP applications and services. Future development also will focus on the use and interoperability of our products and services with emerging audio and video telephony standards and protocols, quality and performance enhancements to multimedia compression algorithms, support of new customer premise equipment, and wireless and mobile applications. We believe that the development of new products and services and the enhancement of existing products and services are essential to our success.

Major Customer

At November 30, 2013 and 2012, one customer, Global Connect LLC, constituted 57% and 42%, respectively, of our accounts receivable. In fiscal 2013 and 2012, we had one customer that accounted for 36% and 29% of our revenues, respectively. This customer buys phone numbers and minutes from us on a wholesale basis and can have significant changes in revenue month-to-month.

Government Regulation

The Federal Communications Commission (“FCC”) has jurisdiction over all U.S. telecommunications common carriers to the extent they provide interstate or international communications services, including the use of local networks to originate or terminate such services. The FCC also has jurisdiction over certain issues relating to interconnection between providers of local exchange service and the provision of service via fixed wireless spectrum.

The use of the Internet and private IP networks to provide voice communication services is a relatively recent market development. Although the provision of such services is currently permitted by United States law and largely unregulated within the United States, several foreign governments have adopted laws and/or regulations that could restrict or prohibit the provision of voice communication services over the Internet or private IP networks. More aggressive regulation of the Internet in general, and Internet telephony providers and services specifically, may materially and adversely affect our business.

On March 10, 2004, the FCC initiated a broad rulemaking proceeding concerning the provision of voice and other services and applications utilizing IP technology. The FCC’s generic rulemaking proceeding could result in the FCC determining, for instance, that certain types of Internet telephony should be regulated like landline telecommunications services. Thus, Internet telephony would no longer be exempt from more onerous telecommunications-related regulatory obligations, or other economic regulations typically imposed on traditional telecommunications carriers.

In June 2005, the FCC adopted rules requiring providers of broadband voice services to provide 911 emergency access. We believe we are in compliance with this order. In August 2005, the FCC adopted rules that these providers must design their systems to facilitate authorized wiretaps pursuant to the Communications Assistance to Law Enforcement Act. We anticipate that we will continue to develop technologies as required by governmental regulation that support emergency access and enhanced services. We believe that almost all digital voice providers have difficulty in achieving full compliance within the stated deadlines due to the level of complexity and cost of some of the requirements. We find that we are in a position similar to our peers in the industry, where a strict interpretation of

an FCC order could lead to an enforcement action including fines or an order to cease and desist marketing a certain service in a certain area where we do not have full compliance.

In June 2006, the FCC announced that interconnected digital voice providers, like us, would be required to contribute to the Universal Service Fund (“USF”) on an interim basis, beginning October 2006. The FCC permitted interconnected digital voice providers to determine their USF contribution according to one of three different calculation methods. Implementation of the regulatory requirements compelled by the FCC’s action take considerable time and cost, and we cannot guarantee that we have implemented these requirements fully. If we fail to report our revenue and remit USF on that revenue accurately, we may be subject to late fees, penalties or other actions, which could negatively affect our business.

In April 2007, the FCC released its order extending the application of the customer proprietary network information (“CPNI”) rules to interconnected VoIP providers. CPNI includes information such as the telephone numbers called by a consumer; the frequency, duration and timing of such calls; and any services and features purchased by the consumer, such as call waiting, call forwarding and caller ID.

Under the FCC’s existing rules, carriers may not use CPNI without customer approval except in circumstances related to their provision of existing services, and must comply with detailed customer approval processes when using CPNI outside of these circumstances. The new CPNI requirements are aimed at establishing more stringent security measures for access to a customer’s CPNI data in the form of enhanced passwords for on-line access and call-in access to account information as well as customer notification of account or password changes.

On August 6, 2007 and effective November 2007, the FCC adopted an Order concerning the collection of regulatory fees requiring the collection of such fees from interconnected VoIP providers. Interconnected VoIP providers pay regulatory fees based on interstate and international revenues. The Regulatory Fees Order became effective in November 2007.

On May 13, 2009, the FCC adopted an order that reduced to one business day the amount of time that an interconnected VoIP provider such as us have to port a telephone number to another provider. If we, or third parties we rely upon for porting, have difficulty executing the one-day porting requirement, we could be subject to FCC enforcement action.

On February 9, 2011, the FCC released a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on reforming universal service and the intercarrier compensation (“ICC”) system that governs payments between telecommunications carriers primarily for terminating traffic. In particular, the FCC indicated that it has never determined the ICC obligations for VoIP service and sought comment on a number of proposals for how VoIP should be treated in the ICC system. The FCC's adoption of an ICC proposal will impact our costs for telecommunications services. On October 27, 2011, the FCC adopted an order reforming universal service and ICC. The FCC order provides that VoIP originated calls will be subject to interstate access charges for long distance calls and reciprocal compensation for local calls that terminate to the PSTN. It also subjected PSTN originated traffic directed to VoIP subscribers to similar ICC obligations. The termination charges for all traffic, including VoIP originated traffic, will transition over several years to a bill and keep arrangement (i.e., no termination charges). Numerous parties filed appeals of the FCC order in multiple federal circuit courts of appeal. The 10th Circuit Court of Appeals was selected by lottery to decide the appeals. The appeals are pending. We cannot yet predict what, if any, impact the ICC regulations will have on our costs.

On April 30, 2012, the FCC released a Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on reforming federal USF contributions. Currently USF contributions are assessed on the interstate and international revenue of traditional telephone carriers and interconnected VoIP providers like us. The level of USF assessments on these providers has been going up over time because of decreases in the revenue subject to assessment due to substitution of non-assessable services such as non-interconnected VoIP services. If the FCC does reform USF contributions, we are unsure as to whether our contribution burden will increase or decline.

Other action by the FCC has expanded the possibility that our digital voice services may become subject to state regulation, which will likely lead to higher costs and reduce some of the competitive advantage digital voice services hold over traditional telecommunications services.

Some state and local regulatory authorities believe they retain jurisdiction to regulate the provision of, and impose taxes, fees and surcharges on, intrastate Internet and VoIP telephony services, and have attempted to impose such taxes, fees and surcharges, such as a fee for providing E-911 service. Rulings by the state commissions on the regulatory considerations affecting Internet and IP telephony services could affect our operations and revenues, and we cannot predict whether state commissions will be permitted to regulate the services we offer in the future.

In addition, it is possible that we will be required to collect and remit taxes, fees and surcharges in other states and local jurisdictions for virtual data products, based upon a billing address or phone number of a VoIP customer and such authorities may take the position that we should have collected such taxes, fees and surcharges even though we did not. If so, they may seek to collect those past taxes, fees and surcharges from us and impose fines, penalties or

interest charges on us. Our payment of these past taxes, fees and surcharges, as well as penalties and interest charges, could have a material adverse effect on us.

The regulatory treatment of Internet and Internet-based voice services, including IP telephony or VoIP, outside of the United States varies widely from country to country. A number of countries may prohibit Internet and IP telephony, while other countries expressly permit but regulate Internet and IP telephony. Some countries evaluate proposed Internet and IP telephony service on a case-by-case basis to determine whether any regulation is necessary or whether it should be regulated as a voice service or as another telecommunications or data service. Finally, in many countries neither Internet nor IP telephony have been addressed by legislation or regulatory action as of the date of this filing. Although we strive to comply with applicable international IP telephony regulations, we cannot be certain that we are in compliance with all of the relevant regulations at any given point in time.

As we make our services available in foreign countries, and as we facilitate sales by network partners to end-users located in foreign countries, such countries may claim that we are required to qualify to do business in the particular foreign country. Such countries may also claim that we are subject to regulation, including requirements to obtain authorization for the provision of voice telephony or other telecommunications services, or for the operation of telecommunications networks. It is also possible that such countries may claim that we are prohibited in all cases from providing our services or conducting our business in those countries. Failure to qualify as a foreign corporation in certain jurisdictions, or to comply with foreign laws and regulations, may adversely affect our business. In addition, we cannot predict how a regulatory or policy change of a particular country might affect the provision of our services.

Our network partners may also currently be, or in the future may become, subject to requirements to qualify to do business in a particular foreign country, comply with regulations (including requirements to obtain authorizations for the provision of voice telephony or other telecommunications services or for the operation of telecommunications networks) or cease providing services or conducting their business as conducted in that country. We cannot be certain that our network partners either are currently in compliance with any such requirements, will be able to comply with any such requirements, and/or will continue in compliance with any such requirements.

Employees

As of August 28, 2014, we had four employees. We are not subject to any collective bargaining agreement and we believe our relationship with our employees is good. We plan to operate with a small number of employees until our revenues increase further or until we are able to attract additional financing that has money earmarked for sales and marketing expense.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

You should carefully consider the risks below, as well as all of the other information contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and our financial statements and the related notes included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, in evaluating our company and our business. Any of these risks could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations and the trading price of our common stock.

We have a history of losses and we are uncertain as to our future profitability.

We have a history of significant, recurring losses from operations, and we may continue to incur significant losses for the foreseeable future. We reported net operating losses of \$1,256,566 in fiscal 2013 and \$1,547,388 in fiscal 2012. As of November 30, 2013, our accumulated deficit was \$51,737,831. Our revenues may not grow or even continue at their current level. Going forward, we will need to increase our revenues and/or lower our current cost structure to reach profitability. If our revenues do not increase and/or if we are unable to reduce our expenses, we will not be able to reach profitability. We cannot assure you that we will be able to reach profitability on a quarterly or annual basis in the future. These factors raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern.

We need additional capital to continue our operations.

We sustained significant operating losses in the past 9 years as we have built our VoIP and mobile VoIP technology and business. It has used all our cash and forced us to raise capital to pay our bills. As of November 30, 2013, we had negative working capital of approximately \$9.3 million and negative stockholders' equity of approximately \$10.5 million. We believe it is probable that we will continue to experience losses and increased negative working capital and negative stockholders' equity in the near future, and that we will not be able to achieve positive cash flow before we require additional capital.

If we are unable to pay our trade creditors, we may be unable to carry on or business.

During fiscal year 2013 our accounts payable and accrued liabilities increased by \$417,411 to a balance of \$2,712,648 at November 30, 2013. Among the creditors to whom we owe money are enterprises that provide important support for our business operations. If we continue to be unable to pay those creditors on a current basis, they may become unwilling to provide services to us. Given our poor record of paying our creditors, replacement vendors could demand deposits, which we might be unable to pay. Some combination of these events, if they occurred, could cause a termination of our business operations.

A continuing decline in telecommunications prices may cause us to lower our prices to remain competitive, which could prevent our future profitability.

International and domestic telecommunications prices have decreased significantly over the last few years in most of the markets in which we operate, and as a result our margins have decreased materially. We anticipate that prices will continue to be reduced in all of the markets in which we do business or expect to do business. Users who select our services (or our resellers' or service provider customers' services) to take advantage of the current pricing differential between traditional telecommunications prices and our (or our customers') prices may switch to traditional telecommunications carriers as such pricing differentials diminish or disappear, and we will be unable to use such pricing differentials to attract new customers in the future. Such competition or continued price decreases may require us to lower our prices to remain competitive, may result in reduced revenue, a loss or decrease of customers and may prevent our future profitability.

We are substantially dependent upon a few material customers, and any significant decline in our sales to those customers could have a material adverse effect on our business.

In 2013, two customers accounted for approximately 48% of our annual gross revenues, including one customer who provided 36% of our revenue. We anticipate we will have significantly lower revenues from our largest customer in fiscal 2014. Any significant decline in our sales to any of our material customers could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition. In addition, customers that represent a material portion of our revenue have significant leverage in negotiations regarding price and service terms, which they may use to reduce the margins we realize on our sales to those customers.

Intense competition could reduce our market share and decrease our revenue.

The telecommunications industry is highly competitive. We face intense competition from traditional telephone companies, wireless companies, cable companies, competitive local exchange carriers, alternative voice communication providers and independent VoIP providers. In addition, our customers are not subject to long-term contractual commitments to purchase our services and can terminate our service and switch to competitors' offerings on short notice.

Most of our current and potential competitors, particularly incumbent telephone and cable companies, have longer operating histories, significantly greater resources and name recognition, and a larger base of customers than we have. As a result, these competitors may have greater credibility with our existing and potential customers. They also may be able to adopt more aggressive pricing policies and devote greater resources to the development, promotion and sale of their products than we can to ours. Our competitors may also offer bundled service arrangements offering a more complete product despite the technical merits or advantages of our products. Competition could decrease our prices, reduce our sales, lower our gross profits or decrease our market share.

We also compete against established alternative voice communication providers and face competition from other large, well-capitalized Internet companies that have recently launched or plan to launch VoIP-enabled services. In addition, we compete with independent VoIP service providers. Some of these service providers may choose to sacrifice revenue in order to gain market share by offering their services at lower prices or for free. In order to

compete with such service providers, we may have to significantly reduce our prices, which would affect our profitability.

We also are subject to the risk that new technologies may be developed that are able to deliver competing voice services at lower prices, better or more conveniently. Future competition from new technologies could have a material adverse effect on our growth and operating results.

Given the significant price competition in the markets for our products, we are at a significant disadvantage compared to many of our competitors, especially those with substantially greater resources who may be better able to withstand an extended period of downward pricing pressure. The adverse impact of a shortfall in our revenues may be magnified by our inability to adjust spending to compensate for such shortfall. Announcements of new products and technologies by our competitors or us could cause customers to defer purchases of our existing products, which also could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or operating results.

If we fail to adapt to rapid changes in the market for voice, messaging and video services, then our products and services could become obsolete.

The market for our products and services is constantly and rapidly evolving as we and our competitors introduce new and enhanced products and services and react to changes in VoIP, messaging, video technology and customer demands. We may not be able to develop or acquire new products and plans or product and plan enhancements that compete effectively with present or emerging VoIP, messaging and video technologies or differentiate our products and plans based on functionality and performance. In addition, we may not be able to establish or maintain strategic alliances that will permit enhancement opportunities or innovative distribution methods for our products and plans.

VoIP, messaging and video technology is complex, and new products and plans and enhancements to existing products and plans can require long development and testing periods. Any delays in developing and releasing new or enhanced products and plans could cause us to lose revenue opportunities and customers. Any technical flaws in products we release could diminish the innovative impact of the products and have a negative effect on customer adoption and our reputation.

We also are subject to the risk of future disruptive technologies. New products based on new technologies or new industry standards could render our existing products obsolete and unmarketable. If new technologies develop that are able to deliver competing voice and messaging services at lower prices, better or more conveniently, it could have a material adverse effect on us.

We face a risk of failure of computer and communications systems used in our business.

Our business depends on the efficient and uninterrupted operation of our computer and communications systems as well as those that connect to our network. Although we have designed our network to reduce the possibility of disruptions or other outages, our systems and those that connect to our network are subject to damage or interruption from natural disasters, power loss, communications failure, hardware or software malfunction, network failures, physical or electronic break-ins, sabotage, computer viruses, intentional acts of terrorism or vandalism and other events that may be or may not be beyond our control. Any system interruptions that cause our services to be unavailable, including significant or lengthy telephone network failures or difficulties for users in communicating through our network or portal, could damage our reputation and result in a loss of users.

Our computer systems and operations may be vulnerable to security breaches.

We believe that the safety of our network and the secure transmission of confidential information over the Internet are essential to our operations and maintaining user confidence in our services. Although we have developed systems and processes that are designed to protect our network, the consumer information stored on our network, unauthorized use

of our network and other security breaches, our computer infrastructure is potentially vulnerable to physical or electronic computer viruses, abuse of use, break-ins and similar disruptive problems and security breaches that could cause loss (both economic and otherwise), interruptions, delays or loss of services to our users. We rely on licensed encryption and authentication technology to effect secure transmission of confidential information, including credit card numbers. It is possible that advances in computer capabilities or new technologies could result in a compromise or breach of the technology we use to protect user transaction data. A party that is able to circumvent our security systems could misappropriate proprietary information, cause interruptions in our operations or utilize our network without authorization. Security breaches also could damage our reputation and expose us to a risk of loss, litigation and possible liability. While we have experienced isolated instances of unauthorized use of our network, and have responded to such events by taking steps to increase our network security, we cannot guarantee you that our security measures will prevent security breaches.

Operating internationally exposes us to additional and unpredictable risks.

We operate in many international markets, including the Middle East. There are certain risks inherent in doing business on an international basis, including:

- political and economic instability, including the risk of social unrest, war, civil war and armed conflict in the countries in which we operate;
- uncertainty regarding the ability of our resellers to resell our service in compliance with all laws, rules and regulations in such markets and actions by foreign governments or foreign telecommunications companies to limit access to our services;
- fluctuations in exchange rates;
- potentially adverse tax consequences;
- potentially weaker protection of intellectual property rights; and
- uncertain market acceptance and difficulties in marketing efforts due to language and cultural differences.

The success of our Company is dependent on the growth and public acceptance of our services.

Our future success depends on our ability to significantly increase revenues generated from our services. In turn, the success of our voice and video communications services depends, among other things, upon future demand for VoIP telephony systems and services. Because the use of our service requires that the user be a subscriber of an existing broadband Internet service, usually provided through a cable or digital subscriber line, or DSL, connection, slow or limited adoption of broadband Internet service could adversely affect the growth of our subscriber base and revenues.

For certain users, aspects of our service are not the same as traditional telephone service. Our continued growth is dependent on the adoption of our services by mainstream customers, so these differences are important. For example:

Both our E-911 and emergency calling services are different, in significant respects, from the 911 service > associated with traditional wireline and wireless telephone providers and, in certain cases, with other VoIP providers.

In the event of a power loss or Internet access interruption experienced by a customer, our service is > interrupted. Unlike some of our competitors, we have not installed batteries at customer premises to provide emergency power for our customers' equipment if they lose power, although we do have backup power systems for our network equipment and service platform.

> Our customers may experience lower call quality than they are used to from traditional wireline telephone companies, including static, echoes, and delays in transmissions.

> Our customers may experience higher dropped-call rates than they are used to from traditional wireline telephone companies.

Customers who obtain new phone numbers from us do not appear in the phone book and their phone > numbers are not available through directory assistance services offered by traditional telephone companies.

> Our customers cannot accept collect calls.

> Our customers cannot call premium-rate telephone numbers such as 1-900 numbers and 976 numbers.

If customers do not accept the differences between our service and traditional telephone service, they may choose to remain with their current telephone service provider or may choose to return to service provided by traditional telephone companies.

Third parties might infringe upon our technology.

We cannot assure you that the steps we have taken to protect our property rights will prevent misappropriation of our technology. To protect our rights to our intellectual property, we rely on a combination of trade secrets , confidentiality agreements and other contractual arrangements with our employees, affiliates, strategic partners and others. We may be unable to detect inappropriate use of our technology.. Further, much of the code we wrote is open-source code and others may seek to use our code for their own purposes and alter our code to their advantage. Failure to adequately protect our intellectual property could materially harm our brand, devalue our proprietary content and affect our ability to compete effectively. Further, defending any technology rights could result in significant financial expenses and managerial resources.

Third parties may claim that our services infringe upon their intellectual property rights.

In the past, third parties have asserted, and may again assert in the future, claims that we have violated a patent or infringed a copyright, trademark or other proprietary right belonging to them and subject us to expensive and disruptive litigation. In addition, we incorporate licensed third-party technology in some of our products and services. In these license agreements, the licensors have agreed to indemnify us with respect to any claim by a third party that the licensed software infringes any patent or other proprietary right so long as we have not made changes to the licensed software. We cannot assure you that these provisions will be adequate to protect us from infringement claims. Any infringement claims and lawsuits, even if not meritorious, could be expensive and time consuming to defend; divert management's attention and resources; require us to redesign our products, if feasible; require us to pay royalties or enter into licensing agreements in order to obtain the right to use necessary technologies; and/or may materially disrupt the conduct of our business.

Flaws in our technology and systems or our failure to adapt our systems to any new Internet Protocol could cause delays or interruptions of service, which could damage our reputation, cause us to lose customers, and limit our growth.

Although we have designed our service network to reduce the possibility of disruptions or other outages, our service may be disrupted by problems with our technology and systems, such as malfunctions in our VoIP-enabled device or our mobile application that we provide to customers, software or facilities and overloading of our network. As we attract new subscribers, we expect increased call volume that we need to manage to avoid network interruptions. In particular, as we have marketed to different international long distance markets, we have seen international call volumes to targeted countries increase. During the next few years we expect wide-spread industry adoption of a new Internet Protocol, which is a set of standard communications and routing mechanisms. Customers may experience periodic delays of service caused by the industry transition to this new Internet Protocol. Interruptions have caused and may in the future cause us to lose customers and offer substantial customer credits, which could adversely affect our revenue and profitability. Network interruptions have also impaired our ability at times to sign-up new customers and the ability of customers to manage their accounts. If service interruptions or other outages adversely affect the perceived reliability of our telephony service or customer service, we may have difficulty attracting and retaining customers and our brand reputation and growth may suffer.

In addition, we utilize Internet-based or “cloud” computing services in connection with some of our business operations. Any disruption to the internet or to our third-party Web hosting or cloud computing providers, including technological or business-related disruptions, could adversely impact the experience of our customers and have adverse effects on our operations. In addition, fires, floods, earthquakes, power losses, telecommunications failures, and similar "Acts of God" could damage these systems and hardware or cause them to fail completely. We do not maintain entirely redundant systems, thus such an event could result in downtime for our operations and could adversely affect our business.

Our services are subject to regulation, and future legislative, regulatory or judicial actions could adversely affect our business and expose us to liability.

Our business has developed in a relatively lightly regulated environment. However, the United States, United Kingdom, and Canada have applied some traditional telephone company regulations to VoIP and continue to evaluate how VoIP should be regulated. The effects of future regulatory developments are uncertain. At the federal level in the U.S., the FCC has imposed certain telecommunications regulations on VoIP services including:

- > Requirements to provide E911 service;
- > Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement Act (“CALEA”) obligations;
- > Obligation to support Universal Service;
- > Customer Proprietary Network Information (“CPNI”) requirements;
- > Disability access obligations;
- > Local Number Portability requirements;
- > Service discontinuance notification obligations;
- > Rural call completion reporting and rules related to ring signal integrity.

We seek to comply with all applicable regulatory requirements. We could, however, be subject to regulatory enforcement action if a regulator does not believe that we are complying with applicable regulations.

In addition, the regulatory framework for VoIP service is still evolving and it is possible that we could be subject to additional regulatory obligations and/or existing regulatory obligations could be modified or expanded. The effects of future regulatory developments are uncertain. Future legislative, judicial or other regulatory actions could have a negative effect on our business. If we become subject to the rules and regulations applicable to telecommunications providers in individual states, we may incur significant litigation and compliance costs, and we may have to restructure our service offerings, exit certain markets, or raise the price of our services, any of which could cause our services to be less attractive to customers. In addition, future regulatory developments could increase our cost of doing business and limit our growth.

We could be liable for breaches of security on our web site, fraudulent activities of our users, or the failure of third party vendors to deliver credit card transaction processing services.

A fundamental requirement for operating an Internet-based, worldwide voice and video communications service, and electronically billing our customers, is the secure transmission of confidential information and media over public networks. Although we have developed systems and processes that are designed to protect consumer information and prevent fraudulent credit card transactions and other security breaches, failure to mitigate such fraud or breaches may adversely affect our operating results. The law relating to the liability of providers of online payment services is currently unsettled and states may enact their own rules with which we may not comply. We rely on third party providers to process and guarantee payments made by our subscribers, and we may be unable to prevent our customers from fraudulently receiving goods and services. Our liability risk will increase if a larger fraction of our transactions involve fraudulent or disputed credit card transactions. Any costs we incur as a result of fraudulent or disputed transactions could harm our business. In addition, the functionality of our current billing system relies on certain third party vendors delivering services. If these vendors are unable or unwilling to provide services, we will not be able to charge for our services in a timely or scalable fashion, which could significantly decrease our revenue and have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and operating results.

We have experienced losses due to subscriber fraud and theft of service.

Subscribers have, in the past, obtained access to our service without paying for monthly service and international toll calls by unlawfully using our authorization codes or by submitting fraudulent credit card information. To date, such losses from unauthorized credit card transactions and theft of service have not been significant. We have implemented anti-fraud procedures in order to control losses relating to these practices, but these procedures may not be adequate to effectively limit all of our exposure in the future from fraud. If our procedures are not effective, consumer fraud and theft of service could significantly decrease our revenue and have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and operating results.

Vulnerabilities to security breaches, cyber intrusions and other malicious acts could adversely impact our business.

Critical to our provision of service is the storage, processing, and transmission of confidential and sensitive data. We store, process and transmit a wide variety of confidential and sensitive information including credit card, bank account and other financial information, proprietary, trade secret or other data that may be protected by intellectual property laws, customers' and employees' personally identifiable information, as well as other sensitive information. We, along with others in the industry, will be subject to cyber threats and security breaches, either by third parties or employees, given the nature of the information we store, process and transmit. Our continued ability to securely store, process and transmit data is essential to our business.

We are aware of the risks associated with cyber threats and we have implemented a number of measures to protect ourselves from cyber attacks. Specifically, we have redundant servers such that if we suffer equipment or software failures in one set of servers, we have the ability to provide continuity of service. We actively monitor our network for cyber threats and implement protective measures periodically. We conduct vulnerability assessments and penetration testing and engage in remedial action based on such assessments. Depending on the evolving nature of cyber threats and the measures we may have to implement to continue to maintain the security of our networks and data, our profitability may be adversely impacted or we may have to increase the retail price of our services that may make our offerings less competitive with other communications providers.

But, like all other companies in the marketplace, there is no guarantee that we will not be adversely impacted by cyber attacks. If third parties obtain unauthorized access to our secure network, or if our network is penetrated, our service could be disrupted, sensitive information could be lost, stolen or disclosed that could have variety of negative impacts including legal liability in form of class action lawsuits, investigations by federal and state law enforcement agencies, could expose us to fines or penalties, and could harm our business reputation where any of these eventualities could have a material negative impact on our business.

The storage, processing, and use of personal information and related data subjects us to evolving governmental laws and regulation, commercial standards, contractual obligations, and other legal obligations related to consumer and data privacy, which may have a material impact on our costs, use of our products and services, or expose us to increased liability.

Federal, state, local and foreign laws and regulations, commercial obligations and industry standards, each provide for obligations and restrictions with respect to data privacy and security, as well as the collection, storage, retention, protection, use, processing, transmission, sharing, disclosure and protection of personal information and other customer data. The evolving nature of these obligations and restrictions dictates that differing interpretations, inconsistency or conflicts among countries or rules, and general uncertainty impact the application to our business.

These obligations and restrictions may limit our ability to collect, store, process, use, transmit and share data with our customers, and to allow our customers to collect, store, retain, protect, use, process, transmit, share and disclose data with others through our products and services. Compliance with, and other burdens imposed by, such obligations and restrictions could increase the cost of our operations and impact our ability to market our products and services through effective segmentation.

Failure to comply with obligations and restrictions related to applicable data protection laws, regulations, standards, and codes of conduct, as well as our own posted privacy policies and contractual commitments could subject us to lawsuits, fines, criminal penalties, statutory damages, consent decrees, injunctions, adverse publicity, loss of user confidence in our services, and loss of users, which could materially harm our business. Additionally, third-party contractors may have access to customer data. If these or other third-party vendors violate obligations and restrictions related to applicable data protection laws or our policies, such violations may also put our customers' information at risk and could in turn have a material and adverse effect on our business

Natural disasters, war, terrorist attacks or malicious conduct could adversely impact our operations that could degrade or impede our ability to offer services.

As a provider of "cloud-based" services, our services rely on uninterrupted connection to the Internet through data centers and networks. Any interruption or disruption to our network, or the third parties on which we rely, could adversely impact our ability to provide service. Our network could be disrupted by circumstances outside of our control including natural disasters, acts of war, terrorist attacks or other malicious acts including, but not limited to, cyberattacks. Should any of these events occur and interfere with our ability to operate our network even for a limited period of time, we could incur significant expenses, lose substantial amounts of revenue, suffer damage to our reputation, and lose customers. Any of these events could have a material adverse impact on our business.

We rely on third party network service providers to originate and terminate substantially all of our public switched telephone network calls.

We leverage the infrastructure of third party network service providers to provide telephone numbers, PSTN call termination and origination services, and local number portability for our customers rather than deploying our own network. This decision has resulted in lower capital and operating costs for our business in the short term but has reduced our operating flexibility and ability to make timely service changes. If any of these network service providers cease operations or otherwise terminate the services that we depend on, the delay in switching our technology to another network service provider, if available, and qualifying this new service could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or operating results.

While we believe that relations with our current service providers are good, and we have contracts in place, there can be no assurance that these service providers will be able or willing to supply cost-effective services to us in the future or that we will be successful in signing up alternative or additional providers. Although we could replace our current providers, if necessary, our ability to provide service to our subscribers could be impacted during this timeframe, and this could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations. The loss of access to, or requirement to change, the telephone numbers we provide to our customers also could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or operating results.

Due to our reliance on these service providers, when problems occur in a network, it may be difficult to identify the source of the problem. The occurrence of hardware and software errors, whether caused by our service or another vendor's products, may result in the delay or loss of market acceptance of our products and any necessary revisions may force us to incur significant expenses. The occurrence of some of these types of problems may seriously harm our business, financial condition or operating results.

We rely on third parties to provide aspects of our E-911 service, and initiate local number portability for our customers. If these third parties do not provide our customers with reliable, high-quality service, our reputation will be harmed and we may lose customers.

We contract for services required to provide E-911 services including assistance in routing emergency calls, terminating E-911 calls, operating a national call center that is available 24 hours a day, seven days a week to receive certain emergency calls, and maintaining databases for the purpose of deploying and operating E-911 services. Interruptions in service from our vendor could cause failures in our customers' access to E-911 services and expose us to liability and damage our reputation.

We also have agreements with companies that initiate our local number portability, which allow new customers to retain their existing telephone numbers when subscribing to our services. If any of these third parties do not provide reliable, high-quality service, our reputation and our business will be harmed. In addition, industry consolidation among providers of services to us may impact our ability to obtain these services or increase our expense for these services.

We may face difficulties related to entry into joint ventures or the future acquisition or integration of additional businesses, which could harm our growth or operating results.

As we execute on our growth initiatives, we may elect to enter into joint venture arrangements or acquire additional businesses or assets. These activities require substantial management time and resources. We cannot predict or guarantee that we will be able to identify suitable acquisition candidates or consummate any acquisition or joint venture arrangement. In addition, acquisitions of existing businesses involve substantial risks, including the risk that we may not be able to integrate the operations, personnel, services, or technologies, the potential disruption of our ongoing businesses, the diversion of management attention, the maximization of financial and strategic opportunities, the difficulty in developing or maintaining controls and procedures, and the dilution to our existing stockholders from the issuance of additional shares of common stock. As a result of these and other risks, we may not produce anticipated revenue, profitability, or synergies.

Acquisitions may require us to issue equity securities, use our cash resources, incur debt or contingent liabilities, amortize intangibles, or write-off acquisition-related expenses. If we are unable to successfully integrate any acquired businesses or assets we may not receive the intended benefits of such acquisition. In addition, we cannot predict market reactions to any acquisitions we may make or to any failure to announce any future acquisitions.

Further, while we conduct due diligence in connection with acquisition and joint venture opportunities, there may be risks or liabilities that such due diligence efforts fail to discover, are not disclosed to us, or that we inadequately assess. The discovery of material liabilities associated with acquisitions or joint venture opportunities, economic risks faced by joint venture partners, or any failure of joint venture partners to perform their obligations could adversely affect our business, results of operations, and financial condition.

Our ability to provide our telephony service and manage related customer accounts is dependent upon third-party facilities, equipment and systems, the failure of which could cause delays of or interruptions to our service, damage our reputation, cause us to lose customers, limit our growth, and affect our financial condition.

Our success depends on our ability to provide quality and reliable telephony service, which is in part dependent upon the proper functioning of facilities and equipment owned and operated by third parties and is, therefore, beyond our control. Unlike traditional wireline telephone service or wireless service, our telephony service requires our customers to have an operative broadband Internet connection and an electrical power supply, which are provided by the customer's Internet service provider and electric utility company, respectively, and not by us. Alternatively, our wireless customers require a mobile device and a wireless Internet connection. The quality of some broadband Internet

connections may be too poor for customers to use our telephony services properly. In addition, if there is any interruption to a customer's broadband Internet service, battery charge or electrical power supply, that customer will be unable to make or receive calls, including emergency calls, using our telephony service.

Decreasing telecommunications rates and increasing regulatory charges may diminish or eliminate our competitive pricing advantage.

Decreasing telecommunications rates may diminish or eliminate the competitive pricing advantage of our services, while increased regulation and the imposition of additional regulatory funding obligations at the federal, state and local level could require us to either increase the retail price for our services, thus making us less competitive, or absorb such costs, thus decreasing our profit margins. International and domestic telecommunications rates have decreased significantly over the last few years in most of the markets in which we operate, and we anticipate these rates will continue to decline in all of the markets in which we do business or expect to do business. Users who select our services to take advantage of the current pricing differential between traditional telecommunications rates and our rates may switch to traditional telecommunications carriers if such pricing differentials diminish or disappear, however, and we will be unable to use such pricing differentials to attract new customers in the future. Continued rate decreases would require us to lower our rates to remain competitive and would reduce or possibly eliminate any gross profit from our services. In addition, we may lose subscribers for our services.

We are dependent on a small number of individuals, and if we lose key personnel upon whom we are dependent, our business will be adversely affected.

Many of the key responsibilities of our business have been assigned to a small number of individuals. Our future success depends to a considerable degree on the vision, skills, experience, and effort of these individuals.

The development and marketing of our VoIP services will continue to place a significant strain on our limited personnel, management, and other resources. Our future success depends upon the continued services of our executive officers and other key employees who have critical industry experience and relationships that we rely on to implement our business plan. None of our officers or key employees are bound by employment agreements for any specific term. The loss of the services of any of our officers or key employees could delay the development and introduction of, and negatively impact our ability to sell our services and seriously harm our business, financial condition or operating results.

The debt agreements governing our financing contain restrictions that may limit our flexibility in operating our business.

Effective on February 15, 2013, we entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement with our principal creditor, which limits our ability to issue common stock, preferred stock and debt. The agreement contains customary representations and warranties and affirmative covenants that limit our ability and/or the ability of certain of our subsidiaries to engage in specified types of transactions. These covenants and other restrictions may under certain circumstances limit, but not necessarily preclude, our and certain of our subsidiaries' ability to, among other things:

- > consolidate or merge;
- > create liens;
- > incur additional indebtedness;
- > dispose of assets;
- > consummate acquisitions;
- > make investments;
- > or pay dividends
- > and other distributions.

These covenants may prevent us from taking actions that we consider to be in the Company's best interests, but that our principal creditor does not perceive to be in its interest.

If we require additional capital, we may not be able to obtain additional financing on favorable terms or at all.

We need to pursue additional financing to pay expenses, fund growth and pay our debt. We may seek additional funding through public or private equity or debt financing. Any such financings may be upon terms that are potentially dilutive to existing stockholders. We may not be able to obtain such additional financing as needed on acceptable terms, or at all, which may require us to reduce our operating costs and other expenditures, including reductions of personnel and services to our subscribers.

The market price of our common stock has been and will continue to be volatile, due to the outstanding convertible debentures.

Factor Fund currently holds convertible debentures that allow it to convert into shares of our common stock as a form of debt payment at conversion prices that are formula base that approximate (1) the volume weighted average price (“VWAP”) of our stock over the past 30 trading days for one note, and (2) 60% of the VWAP of our stock for a second note. At November 30, 2013, the balance of the convertible debentures outstanding payable to Factor Fund was \$2,182,690. Due to the fact that our debts substantially exceed our assets, the existence of the convertible debentures exerts a downward pressure on our stock price. In particular, when our primary lender converts the debt into shares of our common stock and resells those shares into the market, the result is often a reduction in the market price of our common stock. Until we are able to replace the convertible debentures with debt or equity financing that does not exert a continuing pressure on the market price of our common stock, the market price will continue to be volatile, and investors in our stock will bear a significant risk of reductions in the market value of our common stock.

Our Series D preferred shareholders have voting control of our common stock and have the ability to exercise control over all matters submitted to a stockholder vote.

Two individuals, our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Information Officer, who are the only members of our board of directors, currently own in the aggregate, all of our Series D Preferred Stock. The holders of the Series D Preferred Stock hold 51% of the voting power at any meeting of our shareholders or if shareholder consents are collected. The members of our board of directors, therefore, are able to control the outcome of any matter submitted to the shareholders for approval, which would include the election of directors, recapitalizations, mergers or other significant corporate transactions.

Our common stock is quoted on the OTC Pink Market, which may increase the volatility of our stock and make it harder to sell shares of our stock.

Our common stock is quoted on the OTC Pink, which is maintained by OTC Markets. There is a greater chance of market volatility for securities that trade on the OTC Pink (as opposed to a national exchange or quotation system), as a result of which stockholders may experience wide fluctuations and a depressed price in the market price of our securities. Thus, stockholders may be required to either sell our securities at a market price which is lower than their purchase price or to hold our securities for a longer period of time than they planned. Because our common stock falls under the definition of “penny stock,” trading in our common stock may be limited because broker-dealers are required to provide their customers with disclosure documents prior to allowing them to participate in transactions involving our common stock. These rules impose additional sales practice requirements on broker-dealers that sell low-priced securities to persons other than established customers and institutional accredited investors; and require the delivery of a disclosure schedule explaining the nature and risks of the penny stock market. As a result, the ability or willingness of broker-dealers to sell or make a market in our common stock might decline, and stockholders could find it more difficult to sell their stock.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.

Not applicable.

Item 2. Properties.

The following table sets forth pertinent facts concerning our office leases as of August 28, 2014.

| Location | Use | Approximate Square Feet | Annual Rent |
|--|--------|-------------------------|-------------|
| 430 North Street White Plains, NY 10605 | Office | 650 | \$8,700 |

The lease for our office space in White Plains, NY is approximately \$725 per month and is renewable annually. We maintain two employees at this location; the remainder of our employees work from home offices. We are aware of vacant office space if the need arises to have employees working together in the same office.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

We are currently not involved in any litigation that we believe could have a materially adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations. There is no action, suit, proceeding, inquiry or investigation before or by any court, public board, government agency, self-regulatory organization or body pending or, to the knowledge of the executive officers of our company or any of our subsidiaries, threatened against or affecting our company, our common stock, any of our subsidiaries or of our company's or our company's subsidiaries' officers or directors in their capacities as such, in which an adverse decision could have a material adverse effect.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.

Not applicable.

PART II**Item 5. Market for Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.***(a) Market Information*

Our common stock is currently quoted on the OTC Pink marketplace under the symbol PVSP. Until May 1, 2014, it was listed for quotation on the OTCQB. The high and low closing price quoted on the OTCQB for each quarterly period of our last two fiscal years are listed below:

The following table sets forth the high and low trade information for our common stock for each quarter during the past two fiscal years. The prices reflect inter-dealer quotations, do not include retail mark-ups, markdowns or commissions and do not necessarily reflect actual transactions.

| Quarter ended | High Price | Low Price |
|------------------|---------------|--------------|
| 1st Quarter 2012 | \$0.0551 | \$0.0120 |
| 2nd Quarter 2012 | \$0.0320 | \$0.0186 |
| 3rd Quarter 2012 | \$0.0264 | \$0.0075 |
| 4th Quarter 2012 | \$0.0117 | \$0.0026 |
| 1st Quarter 2013 | \$0.0094 | \$0.0017 |
| 2nd Quarter 2013 | \$0.0075 | \$0.0020 |
| 3rd Quarter 2013 | \$0.0049 | \$0.0019 |
| 4th Quarter 2013 | \$0.0039 | \$0.0019 |

Recent Issuances of Unregistered Securities

None

(b) Holders

As of August 28, 2014, a total of 1,007,549,997 shares of the Company's common stock were outstanding, held by approximately 170 shareholders of record.

Transfer Agent and Registrar

The transfer agent and registrar for our common stock is Worldwide Stock Transfer, LLC with its business address at One University Plaza, Suite 505, Hackensack, NJ 07601.

(c) Dividends

We have not declared or paid any dividends on our common stock and intend to retain any future earnings to fund the development and growth of our business. Therefore, we do not anticipate paying dividends on our common stock for the foreseeable future. Our secured term loans with our primary lender prohibit us from paying dividends to stockholders.

(d) Securities Authorized for Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans

The following table provides information as of November 30, 2013, with respect to shares of our common stock that are issuable under equity compensation plans.

| Plan Category | Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a) | Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (b) | Number of securities remaining available to future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) (c) |
|---|--|--|---|
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders: | | | |
| 1996 Restricted Stock Plan(1) | - | | 40,000 |
| 2007 Equity Incentive Plan (2) | 3,000 | \$ 2.40 | 149,500 |
| 2010 Equity Incentive Plan (2) | - | - | 20,000,000 |
| Subtotal | 3,000 | | 20,189,500 |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders: | | | |
| Employee stock options | 150,000 | 1.50 | - |
| 2004 Equity Incentive Plan (2) | - | - | 19,000 |
| 2009 Equity Incentive Plan (2) | 140,000 | 1.00 | 31,000 |
| 2011 Equity Incentive Plan (2) | 13,500,000 | .02 | 6,500,000 |
| Subtotal | 13,790,000 | | 6,550,000 |
| Total | 13,793,000 | | 26,739,500 |

(1) Our Restricted Stock Plan provides for the issuance of restricted share grants to officers and non-officer employees.

(2) Our 2004, 2007, 2009, 2010 and 2011 Equity Incentive Plans allow for the granting of share options to members of our board of directors, officers, non-officer employees and consultants.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data.

We are a smaller reporting company as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act and are not required to provide information under this item.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

THE FOLLOWING DISCUSSION OF OUR PLAN OF OPERATION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND RELATED NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS INCLUDED ELSEWHERE IN THIS ANNUAL REPORT. THIS DISCUSSION CONTAINS FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS THAT RELATE TO FUTURE EVENTS OR OUR FUTURE FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE. THESE STATEMENTS INVOLVE KNOWN AND UNKNOWN RISKS, UNCERTAINTIES AND OTHER FACTORS THAT MAY CAUSE OUR ACTUAL RESULTS, LEVELS OF ACTIVITY, PERFORMANCE OR ACHIEVEMENTS TO BE MATERIALLY DIFFERENT FROM ANY FUTURE RESULTS, LEVELS OF ACTIVITY, PERFORMANCE OR ACHIEVEMENTS EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED BY THESE FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS.

Overview

We are a provider of voice and video communications services that connect people through broadband devices worldwide. We rely significantly on our network, which is a flexible, scalable Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) based Voice over Internet Protocol, or VoIP, network. We market attractively priced voice and messaging services throughout the world under the brand name of VoX Communications.

We began selling our VoIP services as a landline telephone business. Over the past two years we have leveraged our technology to offer services and applications for mobile devices, such as smart phones and tablets. In 2011 we introduced mobile applications, under the name of VoX Mobile, that allow free calling and messaging between users who have the application, as well as low-cost calling from anywhere in the world to anywhere in the world. Our mobile applications work over 3G, 4G and WiFi and can be downloaded in any country.

In 2011, we began selling video VoIP services that run over a fixed broadband connection, and in February 2012, we added the video calling functionality to our mobile audio calling application. Consumers who use certain Apple or Android phones or tablets with a front facing camera can now make free video calls on the VoX mobile network.

We have managed a significant strategic shift to mobile VoIP while transforming the cost structure of our core business to drive significant improvements in our gross profit percentage from 41% in fiscal 2011 to 54% and 55% in fiscal 2013 and 2012, respectively

We have made significant financial progress by reducing our debt and providing an improved financial foundation for the future. In fiscal 2013 we reduced the total debt that we owe to our lenders by approximately 43%, lowering it from \$7,805,122 to \$4,414,884.

Plan of Operation

We are focused on growth in three primary areas that target existing revenue streams with significant addressable markets.

Services to mobile and other connected devices. We are developing next-generation services to meet the increasing demand for VoIP telephony and messaging services by users of smart phones, tablets and other connected devices. We believe that we can capitalize on favorable trends in the mobile Internet market, including the worldwide

proliferation of low cost 3G and 4G services and low or no-cost WiFi broadband, and the accelerating rate of smart phone and tablet adoption. We offer VoX Mobile, our mobile VoIP application, with free, high quality voice and video calling and messaging between users who have this application, as well as low-cost international calling to more than 200 countries to any other phone. Recent data from the research firm ComScore shows that the Android operating system is currently outselling other operating systems with a 51.7 percent share and that Apple's iOS has a 41.6 percent share. The VoX Mobile app can be downloaded to either of these operating systems, representing more than 93% of the worldwide mobile phones in circulation. In January 2014 the analyst firm Gartner, Inc. estimated that Android will have 1.9 billion installed devices (smart phones, tablets and laptops) in 2014, compared with 682 million Apple devices, giving an addressable market of more than 2.5 billion devices for the VoX Mobile app.

International long distance calling. The markets for international long distance are large and growing and we plan to leverage our VoIP network by offering consumers a low-cost and convenient alternative to the international services offered by telephone and cable companies and international calling cards. Industry data estimates the international long distance calling market to be \$80 billion annually, with up to 15% of such calling originating in the United States, using a mix of home and mobile phones. We are focusing on serving the rapidly growing ethnic segments in the United States and we are offering a mobile VoIP product that no other company currently offers. For a fee of \$9.95 per month, a user can download from the VoX Mobile app on the Google Play store, a phone number from a choice of 57 countries. People in the United States, or in any other country, are able to choose a phone number that is local to their friends and family, in any of 57 countries, so that when their friends and family call them, and they are overseas, the cost of the international call to a mobile phone is recorded as a local call, and charged a local calling rate, which frequently is free.

Although we have a limited marketing budget, to increase the visibility of our international telephone numbers and calling plans, we have shifted a portion of our marketing budget to target attractive segments of the international long distance market.

We are also negotiating with foreign companies to sell our app to their subscribers. Given our ability to instantly provide a foreign phone number on a download to an Android device, we are negotiating with an IPTV company in Mexico and Colombia, to install our Android app on their Android set-top box so that they can offer a triple-play solution to their customer base and an Eastern European VoIP provider that is seeking to offer our app to its subscribers and others in Eastern Europe.

Low-end domestic. While our primary emphasis remains mobile calling, the domestic-only calling segment, with more than 40 million broadband households, is a sizeable opportunity that we target with Android-based home phones. We currently support this segment with an analog telephone adapter device that we sell with our monthly VoIP service plan, similar to many of our competitors, and with a cordless Android home-phone that runs on the household's WiFi connection. We believe this segment represents a large incremental market opportunity for light users who want to keep their home phone number, but no longer want to pay a monthly recurring line fee for the benefit of having a landline or a VoIP line in their home. By using our Pay and Go application, these users can pay for calls as they make them, from an Android-based cordless phone, port their existing phone number to our VoIP platform, and not have to pay the monthly recurring line charges that subscription-based plans require of users.

Revenues

Revenues consist of telephony services revenue and customer equipment revenue.

Telephony services revenue. The majority of our operating revenues are telephony services revenues. We offer several bundled plans, unlimited plans and basic plans for wholesale and retail customers. The wholesale plans do not change much from customer to customer as the plan we offer to a cable operator is typically the same plan we offer to a WiFi carrier, Internet service providers or satellite broadband carrier. Each of our unlimited plans offers unlimited domestic calling, subject to certain restrictions, and each of our basic plans offers a limited number of calling minutes per month. Under our basic plans, we charge on a per-minute basis when the number of calling minutes included in the plan is exceeded for a particular month. For all of our United.States. plans, we charge on a per-minute basis for international calls to destinations other than Canada. These per-minute fees are not included in our monthly subscription fees. Any plan we offer to our wholesale customers is also available to an individual end-user at a higher price that approximates the retail-selling price that most of our wholesale customers charge. We also have products that are on a per-minute usage basis, such as toll-free telephone numbers to businesses and international cell phone termination.

We derive most of our telephony services revenue from usage fees and monthly subscription fees we charge our customers under our service plans. We also offer a fax service over broadband, virtual phone numbers, toll free numbers and other services, for each of which we may charge an additional monthly fee. We automatically charge service fees monthly in advance to the credit cards of all of our retail customers. Our wholesale customers typically do not pay by credit card, but are required to give us a deposit. Depending on the volume of revenue generated by a wholesale customer, we bill them either weekly or monthly.

We charge retail customers a fee for activating service. Further, since we do not charge a retail customer for the cost of an analog telephone adapter (“ATA”), we generally charge a disconnect fee to customers who do not return their ATA to us upon termination of service, if the length of time between activation and termination is less than one year. Disconnect fees are recorded as revenue and are recognized at the time the customer terminates service. These revenues were nominal in fiscal 2013 and 2012.

Customer equipment revenue. Customer equipment revenue consists of revenue from sales of customer equipment to our wholesalers or directly to customers. In addition, customer equipment revenue includes the fees we charge our customers for shipping any equipment to them. In January 2011, we began selling video phones to retail customers, and these phones are considered customer equipment. Unlike the ATA, we charge the customer for the videophone. Frequently, a customer will purchase a videophone from a third-party and use our video VoIP service. In these instances, we have no equipment revenue.

Cost of Revenues

Direct cost of telephony services. Direct cost of telephony services primarily consists of fees we pay to third parties on an ongoing basis in order to provide our services. These fees include:

Access charges we pay to other telephone companies to terminate digital voice calls on the PSTN. When a VoX subscriber calls another VoX subscriber, we do not pay an access charge, as the call routes through our network without touching the PSTN.

The cost of leasing interconnections to route calls over the Internet and transfer calls between the Internet and the PSTN of various long distance carriers.

The cost of leasing from other telephone companies the telephone numbers we provide to our customers. We lease these telephone numbers on a monthly basis.

The cost of co-locating our connection point equipment in third-party facilities owned by other telephone companies.

The cost of providing local number portability, which allows customers to move their existing telephone numbers from another provider to our service. Only regulated telecommunications providers have access to the centralized number databases that facilitate this process. Because VoX is not a regulated telecommunications provider, we must pay other telecommunications providers to process our local number portability requests.

The cost of complying with the new FCC regulations regarding emergency services, which require us to provide enhanced emergency dialing capabilities to transmit 911 calls for all of our customers. This cost may increase in future periods.

Taxes we pay on our purchases of telecommunications services from our suppliers.

Direct cost of customer equipment and shipping. Direct cost of equipment sold primarily consists of costs we incur when a customer first subscribes to our service. These costs include:

The cost of the equipment we provide to customers who subscribe to our service through our direct sales channel, in each case in excess of activation fees.

The cost of shipping and handling for customer equipment, together with the installation manual, we ship to customers.

Results of Operations

Our revenues for fiscal 2013 decreased by \$84,482, or 8.4%, to \$915,340 as compared to \$999,822 reported for fiscal 2012. The decrease in revenues was mainly due to the loss of wholesale business during the year, which we consider

less desirable than our mobile VoIP product. Given the large addressable market for mobile apps and the advantages that our app offers consumers for low-cost calling, and free text messaging, voice and video calls to other app users, our sales representatives and our advertising are only focused on the growth of our mobile app product. We are seeing growth in our non-wholesale business, in which we billed approximately \$186,000 in fiscal 2013, or 20% of our total revenue, as compared to approximately \$161,000, or 16% of our total revenue in fiscal 2012. To accelerate the growth of our mobile app we are negotiating with other companies to resell our app to their existing subscriber base.

Our gross profit for fiscal 2013 decreased by \$59,850 to \$492,545 from a gross profit of \$552,395 reported in fiscal 2012, and our gross profit percentage was 54% in fiscal 2013 as compared to 55% in fiscal 2012. Although we had a reduction of revenue due to the loss of some wholesale business, we are able to achieve approximately the same gross profit percentage for our business, due to our low fixed costs. Unlike traditional telecom carriers, our variable costs, associated with originating and completing a call to the PSTN, constitute more than 90% of our cost of sales.

Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased by \$347,424, or 16.6%, to \$1,746,740 for fiscal 2013 from \$2,094,164 reported in the prior year fiscal period. The decrease is attributable to general overhead reductions, primarily in salaries and consulting fees, as we attempt to limit our expenses whenever feasible.

As a result of the reduction in our selling, general and administrative expenses, our loss from operations for fiscal year 2013 was \$290,817 less than our loss from operations in fiscal 2012. However, significant non-operating income and expenses reversed those results, thus:

Interest expense increased by \$1,023,559 to \$3,219,678 for the year ended November 30, 2013 as compared to \$2,196,119 for the prior fiscal year. Although our borrowings in fiscal 2013 were less than in fiscal 2012, due to the reduction of outstanding debt to our secured debt holders, our interest rate was higher in fiscal 2013, primarily due to debt discounts that equaled or exceeded the face value of new promissory notes.

A debt settlement agreement resulted in a troubled debt restructuring gain in fiscal 2013 of \$2,714,461 as compared to \$6,338,601 in fiscal 2012. These gains were the result of one-time transactions negotiated with a lender.

For the year ended November 30, 2013, we recorded a gain on the settlement of liabilities of \$1,273,195 as compared to a gain of \$214,281 in the prior fiscal period. Each instance of a liability settlement is contingent upon the terms that we can negotiate for a particular transaction.

For the year ended November 30, 2013, we had a noncash gain on derivative liabilities of \$441,533, as compared to a gain of \$20,651 in fiscal 2012. Increase in the gain in 2013 is due to the lower market value of embedded derivatives in our debt instruments, at the end of the fiscal year, in comparison with the market value when the debt originated.

Our net result for the year was net income of \$61,348 recorded in fiscal 2013, compared to net income of \$3,000,510 recorded in fiscal 2012. In both years, however, the net income occurred only because 1) the gain we realized when creditors wrote down our debt exceeded the losses we incurred from the remainder of our business and 2) we recorded an unrealized gain on derivative liabilities..

Liquidity and Capital Resources

At November 30, 2013, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$17,242 and negative working capital of \$9,279,369 as compared to cash and cash equivalents of \$12,366 and negative working capital of \$11,816,532 at November 30, 2012. The reduction in our working capital deficit was attributable to the debt restructuring.

Net cash used in operating activities aggregated \$855,507 and \$869,607 in fiscal 2013 and 2012, respectively. In both years our operations consumed cash, despite our net income recorded for the year, because net income was the result

of non-cash gains realized on restructuring of debt: \$2,714,461 in fiscal 2013 and \$6,338,601 in fiscal 2012. At the same time, however, we offset the cash cost of our operations and interest expense in each year by allowing our accounts payable and accrued expenses to increase: by \$1,136,244 in fiscal 2013 and by \$821,976 in fiscal 2012. Our ability to continue financing our operations by withholding payment from our creditors will depend on the future cooperation of our creditors, and is not assured.

We financed the cash used in operating activities by obtaining net cash provided by financing activities: \$860,383 and \$870,364 in fiscal 2013 and 2012, respectively. The principal sources of cash from financing activities in fiscal 2013 were net proceeds from short term-borrowings of \$884,500 and proceeds from the sale of stock warrants of \$68,000. The principal use of cash consisted of debt repayments of \$92,117. The principal sources of cash from financing activities in fiscal 2012 were net proceeds from short-term borrowings of \$413,500 and proceeds from issuances of common stock of \$581,000. Uses of cash consisted of payments of debt of \$122,126.

In fiscal 2013 and 2012, there were no expenditures for capital assets.

The following table summarizes our contractual obligations as of November 30, 2013, and the effect these obligations are expected to have on our liquidity and cash flows in future periods. These amounts reflect the amount of cash required to retire the debt, which is higher than the carrying amount of the debt on our balance sheet.

| | Payments due by period | | |
|----------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| | Total | Less than 1 year | 1-3 Years |
| Convertible Debt | \$2,425,940 | \$2,412,280 | \$13,660 |
| Non Convertible Debt | 2,108,498 | 2,108,498 | — |
| Other Obligations | 248,592 | 244,266 | 4,326 |
| Total | \$4,783,030 | \$4,765,044 | \$17,986 |

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles, which contemplate continuation of our company as a going concern. However, we have sustained net losses from operations during the last several years, and we have very limited liquidity. Our operating losses have been funded through the issuance of equity securities and borrowings. Management anticipates that we will be dependent, for the near future, on our ability to obtain additional capital to fund our operating expenses and anticipated growth. The report of our independent registered public accounting firm expresses doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. Our operating losses have been funded through the issuance of equity securities and borrowings.

Although we have significantly improved our balance sheet with transactions to settle our debt, we continue to have liabilities in excess of our assets. We are working to settle our remaining liabilities and to raise cash to support our operating loss, and we continually consider a variety of possible sources. In the current economic environment, the procurement of outside funding is extremely difficult and there can be no assurance that such financing will be available, or, if available, that such financing will be at a price that will be acceptable to us. If we are unable to generate sufficient revenues or raise additional capital, our operations will terminate.

New Accounting Standards

There were no recent accounting pronouncements that have had a material effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Use of Estimates

In preparing financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles, management is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. The most significant estimates relate to the allowance for doubtful accounts receivable, income tax valuation allowance, and the derivative liabilities. On a continual basis, management reviews its estimates, utilizing currently available information, changes in facts and circumstances, historical experience and reasonable assumptions. After such reviews, and if deemed appropriate, those estimates are adjusted accordingly. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Revenue Recognition

Revenues from voice, data and other telecommunications-related services are recognized in the period in which subscribers use the related services. Revenues for carrier interconnection and access are recognized in the period in which the service is provided.

Costs of Services

Costs of telephony services consist primarily of direct costs that we pay to third parties in order to provide telephone services. These costs include access and interconnection charges that we pay to other telephone companies to terminate domestic and international phone calls on the public switched telephone network. In addition, these costs include the cost to lease phone numbers, to co-locate in other telephone companies' facilities, to provide enhanced emergency dialing capabilities to transmit 911 calls, and to provide local number portability. These costs also include taxes that the Company pays on telecommunications services from our suppliers or are imposed by government agencies. These costs do not include indirect costs such as depreciation and amortization, payroll, and facilities costs.

Share-based Payments

The Company utilizes the Black-Scholes option pricing model to estimate the fair value of employee stock option awards at the date of grant, which requires the input of highly subjective assumptions, including expected volatility and expected life. Changes in these inputs and assumptions can materially affect the measure of estimated fair value of share-based compensation. These assumptions are subjective and generally require significant analysis and judgment to develop. When estimating fair value, some of the assumptions will be based on, or determined from, external data and other assumptions may be derived from historical experience with stock-based payment arrangements. The appropriate weight to place on historical experience is a matter of judgment, based on relevant facts and circumstances.

The Company estimates volatility by considering the historical stock volatility. The Company has opted to use the simplified method for estimating expected term, which is generally equal to the midpoint between the vesting period and the contractual term.

Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes under the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and operating loss and tax credit carry forwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income and the reversal of deferred tax liabilities during the period in which related temporary differences become deductible. A valuation allowance has been established to eliminate the Company's deferred tax assets as it is more likely than not that any of the deferred tax assets will be realized.

The Company recognizes the tax benefit from an uncertain tax position only if it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained on examination by the taxing authorities, based on the technical merits of the position. The tax benefits recognized in the financial statements from such a position are measured based on the largest benefit that has a greater than fifty percent likelihood of being realized upon settlement with the tax authorities. Changes in recognition or measurement are reflected in the period in which the change in judgment occurs. The Company records interest related to unrecognized tax benefits in interest expense and penalties in income tax expense. The Company has determined that it had no significant uncertain tax positions requiring recognition or disclosure.

We continually evaluate our accounting policies and the estimates we use to prepare our consolidated financial statements. In general, the estimates are based on historical experience, on information from third party professionals

and on various other sources and assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the facts and circumstances at the time such estimates are made. Management considers an accounting estimate to be critical if:

it requires assumptions to be made that were uncertain at the time the estimate was made; and changes in the estimate, or the use of different estimating methods, could have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations or financial condition.

Actual results could differ from those estimates. Significant accounting policies are described in Note 1 to our consolidated financial statements, which are included in this Report. In many cases, the accounting treatment of a particular transaction is specifically dictated by GAAP. There are also areas in which management's judgment in selecting any available alternative would not produce a materially different result.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.

We are a smaller reporting company as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act and are not required to provide information under this item.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

Our Consolidated Financial Statements required by this Item are included herein, commencing on page F-1.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.

Not applicable.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company's management, with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in SEC Rule 13a-15(e)) as of November 30, 2013. That evaluation revealed the three material weaknesses identified below (under *(b) Management's Assessment of Internal Control over Financial Reporting*). Based on that evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of November 30, 2013, such controls and procedures were not effective.

(b) Management's Assessment of Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in the Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f). A system of internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

Under the supervision and with the participation of management, including the PEO, the Company's management has evaluated the effectiveness of its internal control over financial reporting as of November 30, 2013, based on the criteria established in a report entitled "Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission" and the interpretive guidance issued by the Commission in Release No. 34-55929. Based on this evaluation, the Company's management has evaluated and concluded that the Company's internal control over financial reporting was ineffective as of November 30, 2013, and identified the following material weaknesses:

There is a lack of accounting personnel with the requisite knowledge of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles in the US ("GAAP"), telecom taxation requirements and the financial reporting requirements of the SEC;

There are insufficient written policies and procedures to insure the correct application of accounting and financial reporting with respect to the current requirements of GAAP and SEC disclosure requirements; and

There is a lack of segregation of duties, in that we only had one person performing all accounting-related duties.

The Company will continue its assessment on a quarterly basis. We plan to hire personnel and resources to address these material weaknesses. We believe these issues can be solved with hiring in-house accounting support and plan to do so as soon as we have funds available for this purpose.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. The Company's registered public accounting firm was not required to issue an attestation on its internal controls over financial reporting pursuant to the rules of the SEC. The Company will continue to evaluate the effectiveness of internal controls and procedures on an on-going basis.

(c) Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act) during the quarter ended November 30, 2013 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information.

On April 14, 2014 the Company filed Articles of Amendment to its Certificate of Incorporation. The Amendment:

- increased the number of shares of authorized common stock to 8,978,999,990;
- increased the number of shares of undesignated preferred stock to 21,000,010; and
- reduced the par value of the common stock and the preferred stock to \$0.00001.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.

Directors and Executive Officers

The following table and biographical summaries set forth information, including principal occupation and business experience, about our directors and executive officers as of August 20, 2014. There is no familial relationship between or among the nominees, directors or executive officers of the Company.

| Name | Age | Position |
|---------------|-----|--|
| Paul H. Riss | 59 | Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer and Chairman |
| Mark Richards | 54 | Chief Information Officer and Director |

Our Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation provides that a director shall hold office until the annual meeting for the year in which his or her term expires except in the case of elections to fill vacancies or newly created directorships. While each director is typically elected for a one-year term, we did not have an annual stockholders meeting for the election of directors in 2013. The Company's officers serve at the discretion of the Company's board of directors, until their death, or until they resign or have been removed from office.

There are no agreements or understandings for any director or officer to resign at the request of another person and none of the directors or officers is acting on behalf of or will act at the direction of any other person. The activities of each director and officer are material to the operation of the Company. No other person's activities are material to the operation of the Company.

Paul H. Riss, age 59, Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer and Chairman

Paul H. Riss has served as a director since 1995; Chairman of our board of directors since March 2005; our Chief Executive Officer since August 1999 and our Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer since November 1996. He has served on the board of four telecom companies and has more than 25 years of entrepreneurial business and management experience including the engineering of his own leveraged buyout in 1987 and the audit of numerous

public companies at Ernst & Young. He is a CPA in New York State and earned an MBA with distinction from the Stern School of Business at New York University and a magna cum laude BA with distinction from Carleton College. We believe Mr. Riss's qualifications to serve as a director include his tenure as our Chief Executive Officer and as a member of our Board, and his more than 15 years of service to us with extensive experience in financings, contract negotiations, acquisitions, budgeting, external reporting and accounting.

Mark Richards, age 54, Chief Information Officer and Director

Mark Richards has been a director since January 2008 and our Chief Information Officer since October 2004. Previously, Mr. Richards was the Chief Operating Officer of a VoIP carrier, Volo Communications. He also served as acting Chief Executive Officer for Epicus Communications, a competitive local exchange carrier ("CLEC"). Prior to that, he held executive positions with a variety of CLEC and telecom start-ups, including NET-Tel, TCCF, and American Network Exchange. Mr. Richards also served as a consultant to numerous Fortune 500 companies in the U.S. and abroad, including Citibank Card Services, CitiCorp Karten Services, Citibank London, D.G. Durham London, B.P. UK, and Citibank Japan. We believe Mr. Richard's qualifications to serve on the board include his tenure as our Chief Information Officer and his extensive experience in the development and sale of communications technologies and services, including video processing algorithms, videophone technology, Android operating systems, data packet processing and programming know-how.

Board Meetings and Committees; Management Matters

Our amended certificate of incorporation provides that the number of members of our board of directors shall be not less than three and not more than five. Because of the resignation of our three independent directors in 2014, who served on the board without any cash compensation, there are currently two directors on the Board. At each annual meeting of stockholders, directors are elected to hold office for a term of one year and until their respective successors are elected and qualified.

Our board of directors took actions by unanimous consent on 7 occasions during the fiscal year ended November 30, 2013. No formal meetings were held. No fees are paid to directors for attendance at meetings or for agreeing to a unanimous consent or the board of directors.

Compensation Committee

We formerly had a compensation committee of two independent board members. Currently, there is no compensation committee. The compensation committee did not meet during the fiscal year ended November 30, 2013.

Nominating Committee

Our board of directors does not have a nominating committee. Our entire board of directors is responsible for this function. Due to the relatively small size of our company and the resulting efficiency of a board of directors that is also limited in size, our board of directors has determined that it is not necessary or appropriate at this time to establish a separate nominating committee. Our board of directors intends to review periodically whether such a nominating committee should be established. We are looking for an independent director to fill at least one of the vacant seats on our board.

Our board of directors uses a variety of methods for identifying and evaluating nominees for director. It regularly assesses the appropriate size of the board of directors, and whether any vacancies exist or are expected due to retirement or otherwise. If vacancies exist, are anticipated or otherwise arise, our board of directors considers various potential candidates for director. Candidates may come to their attention through current members of our board of directors, shareholders or other persons. These candidates are evaluated at regular or special meetings of our board of directors, and may be considered at any point during the year.

Qualifications for consideration as a director nominee may vary according to the particular areas of expertise that may be desired in order to complement the qualifications that already exist among our board of directors. Among the factors that our directors consider when evaluating proposed nominees are their independence, financial literacy, business experience, character, judgment and strategic vision. Other considerations would be their knowledge of issues affecting our business, their leadership experience and their time available for meetings and consultation on company matters. Our directors seek a diverse group of candidates who possess the background skills and expertise to make a significant contribution to our board of directors, our company and our shareholders.

Audit Committee

We had an audit committee that, during the fiscal year ended November 30, 2013, met on four occasions with representatives of our independent registered public accounting firm. Each audit committee member was an independent director as defined by the rules of the National Association of Securities Dealers. A written charter approved by our board of directors and attached as Annex A to our 2007 proxy statement, which was filed with the SEC on May 15, 2007, governs the audit committee. All of the members of the audit committee resigned from the board of directors in 2014.

In searching for new members of our board of directors, we seek a person that qualifies as an “audit committee financial expert,” as defined under the rules of the Commission adopted pursuant to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act of 1934, requires our directors and executive officers, and persons who own more than ten percent of a registered class of our equity securities (“10% Shareholders”), to file with the Commission initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership of our common stock and other equity securities. Officers, directors and 10% Shareholders are required by Commission regulation to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file.

Based solely on our review of the copies of such reports received by us, we believe that for the fiscal year ended November 30, 2013, all Section 16(a) filing requirements applicable to our officers, directors and 10% shareholders were complied with.

Code of Ethics

We have adopted a code of business conduct and ethics for our directors, officers and employees, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer. In addition, we have adopted a supplemental code of ethics for our financial executives and all employees in our accounting department. The text of our codes are posted on our Internet website at www.pervasip.com.

Item 11. Executive Compensation.**Summary Compensation Table**

The following table sets forth, for the fiscal years indicated, all compensation awarded to, earned by or paid to Mr. Paul H. Riss, our Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, and Mr. Mark Richards, our Chief Information Officer (collectively, the “Named Executives”). We have no other executive officers.

Fiscal 2013 Summary Compensation Table

| Name and Principal Position | Year | Salary (\$)(1) | Bonus (\$) | Stock Awards (\$)(2) | Option Awards (\$)(3) | Non-equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$) | Change in Pension Value and Non-Qualified Deferred Comp Earnings (\$) | All Other Compensation (\$) | Total Compensation (\$) |
|---|------|-------------------|---------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Paul H. Riss, Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer | 2013 | \$ 175,000 | \$ 0 | | | \$ 0 | | \$ 0 | |
| | 2012 | 175,000 | 0 | | 9,190 | 0 | | 0 | 184,190 |
| | 2011 | 165,000 | \$ 0 | 10,000 | \$ 9,190 | 0 | \$ 0 | 0 | \$ 184,190 |
| | | | 0 | | 246 | | 0 | | 175,246 |
| Mark Richards Chief Information Officer | 2013 | 180,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 180,000 |
| | 2012 | 180,000 | 0 | 0 | 61,330 | 0 | | 0 | 241,330 |
| | 2011 | 180,000 | 0 | 0 | 71,520 | 0 | | 0 | 251,520 |

Amounts in this column include unpaid salary to Mr. Riss and Mr. Richards of \$464,776 and \$38,465, respectively, (1) at November 30, 2013. Actual cash payments for salary for 2013, 2012 and 2011 amounted to \$30,000, \$85,500 and \$2,462 for Mr. Riss and \$169,100, \$180,000 and \$142,783 for Mr. Richards.

Amounts in this column reflect the expense recognized for financial reporting purposes for the indicated fiscal (2) year, in accordance with FASB authoritative guidance, with respect to awards of restricted shares of common stock, which may include awards made during earlier years as well as the indicated year.

Amounts in this column reflect the expense recognized for financial reporting purposes for the indicated fiscal (3) year, in accordance with FASB authoritative guidance, with respect to awards of options to purchase common stock, which may include awards made during earlier years as well as the indicated year.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to stock options outstanding as of November 30, 2013, for each of the named executive officers. The Named Executives did not exercise any options in Fiscal 2013.

Option Awards

| Name | Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable | Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable | Equity Incentive Plan Awards (1): Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Unearned Options (#) | Option Exercise Price | Option Expiration Date |
|---------------|---|---|--|-----------------------|------------------------|
| Paul H. Riss | 2,666,667 | 1,333,333 | - | \$ 0.01 | 11/21/2016 |
| Mark Richards | 150,000 | - | - | \$ 1.50 | 10/09/2014 |

Stock Option Grants

There were no stock option grants or exercises in fiscal 2013 for Named Executives.

Board of Directors Compensation

We do not currently compensate directors in cash for service on our board of directors. We maintain a Non-Employee Director Stock Option Plan (the "Director Option Plan"). Under the Director Option Plan, each non-employee director is granted a non-statutory option to purchase 1,000 shares of our common stock on the date on which he or she is elected, re-elected or appointed to our board of directors. Options granted pursuant to the Director Option Plan will vest in full on the one-year anniversary of the grant date, provided the non-employee director is still our director at that time. The exercise price granted under the Director Option Plan is 100% of the fair market value per share of the common stock on the date of the grant as reported on the OTC Marketplace.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.

As of August 28, 2014 there were 1,007,549,997 shares of our common stock issued and outstanding. Each share of common stock entitles the holder thereof to one vote with respect to each item to be voted on by holders of the shares of common stock. We have 51 shares of Series C Preferred stock outstanding, held by our Named Executives, as detailed in the chart below. The Series C Preferred stock controls 51% of the Company's voting power.

The following table sets forth information with respect to the beneficial ownership of shares of our common stock as of August 28, 2014, by:

Each person whom we know beneficially owns more than 5% of any class of equity security;
each of our directors individually;
each of our named executive officers individually; and
all of our current directors and executive officers as a group

Unless otherwise indicated, to our knowledge, all persons listed below have sole voting and investment power with respect to their shares of common stock. Each person listed below disclaims beneficial ownership of their shares, except to the extent of their pecuniary interests therein. Shares of common stock that an individual or group has the right to acquire within 60 days of August 28, 2014, pursuant to the exercise of options or restricted stock are deemed to be outstanding for the purpose of computing the percentage ownership of such person or group, but are not deemed outstanding for the purpose of calculating the percentage owned by any other person listed.

Edgar Filing: PERVASIP CORP - Form 10-K

| Name and Address | Number of Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned | Percent of Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned | Number of Shares of Series D Preferred Stock Beneficially Owned (3) | Percent of Shares of Series D Preferred Stock Beneficially Owned |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| G3 Connect, LLC 321 Newark Street 3rd Floor Hoboken, NJ 07030 | 96,947,250 | 9.62 % | | |
| Paul H. Riss Pervasip Corp. 75 South Broadway, Suite 400 White Plains, New York 10601 | 54,938,533 (1) | 5.45 % | 48 (4) | 94.12 % |
| Mark Richards 75 South Broadway, Suite 400 White Plains, New York 10601 | 27,774,333 (2) | 2.76 % | 3 (5) | 5.88 % |
| All directors and executive officers as a group (two individuals) | 82,712,866 | 8.21 % | 51 | 100 % |

(1) Includes 33,906,000 shares of common stock subject to warrants and options that are presently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days after August 28, 2014.

(2) Includes 21,550,000 shares of common stock subject to options and warrants that are presently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days after August 28, 2014.

(3) Each one share of Series D Preferred has voting rights equal to (x) 0.019607 multiplied by the total issued and outstanding Common Stock eligible to vote at the time of the respective vote (the "Numerator"), divided by (y) 0.49, minus (z) the Numerator. Accordingly, the Series D Preferred Stock controls 51% of the total voting power of the Company's shareholders.

(4)

Mr. Paul Riss owns 48 shares of Series D Preferred Stock, which affords him 48% of the Company's total voting power.

- (5) Mr. Mark Richards owns 3 shares of Series D Preferred Stock, which affords him 3% of the Company's total voting power.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.

Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

We paid fees to a software consulting firm (“Consultant”) of \$191,500 in fiscal 2013 and \$192,000 in fiscal 2012. All such work performed by the Consultant is the property of the Company. Our Chief Information Officer has performed work for the Consultant, including disbursement services, in which funds that were remitted by the Company to a company controlled by the officer were subsequently distributed for the Consultant to appropriate vendors. Or services rendered to the Consultant, our Chief Information Officer was paid \$11,500 in fiscal 2013 and \$12,000 in fiscal 2012.

At November 30, 2013 and 2012, the total amount due to our chief executive officer, including loans, unpaid salary, interest and expenses amounted to \$938,210 and \$752,575, respectively.

At November 30, 2013 and 2012, unpaid salary owed to our chief information officer amounted to \$39,947 and \$23,465, respectively.

At November 30, 2013 and 2012, a subsidiary of the Company owed G3 Connect LLC, \$25,453 and \$5,548 in unpaid commissions, respectively. G3 Connect LLC owns 9.62% of the outstanding common stock of the Company.

During the fiscal 2013, we Company sold to our chief executive officer a warrant to purchase 25,333,333 shares of common stock, par value \$0.001, of the Company and to our chief information officer a warrant to purchase 20,000,000 shares of common stock. The Company received, in the aggregate, cash payments totaling \$68,000. The warrants have a ten-year life and exercise price of \$0.005.

Director Independence

Our common stock is currently quoted on the OTC Pink market and is not listed on the Nasdaq Stock Market or any other national securities exchange. Accordingly, we are not currently subject to the Nasdaq continued listing requirements or the requirements of any other national securities exchange. Nevertheless, in determining whether a director or nominee for director should be considered “independent” the board utilizes the definition of independence set forth in Rule 4200(a)(15) of the Nasdaq Marketplace Rules. Pursuant to that definition, neither of the members of our Board of Directors is independent.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services.

On May 20, 2014, the Company's Board of Directors dismissed Nussbaum Yates Berg Klein & Wolpov, LLP from its position as the principal independent accountant for Pervasip Corp. and engaged GBH CPAs, PC in that position. GBH CPAs, PC then performed an audit of our financial statements for both the year ended November 30, 2013 and the year ended November 30, 2012.

The following table presents fees for professional audit services rendered by GBH CPAs, PC for the audit of our annual financial statements for the years ended November 30, 2013 and 2012, and fees billed for audit services and other services rendered by Nussbaum Yates Berg Klein & Wolpov LLP during the past two fiscal years.

| | |
|-------|----------|
| | Nussbaum |
| | Yates |
| GBH | Berg |
| CPAs, | Klein & |
| PC | Wolpov |
| | LLP |

| | | |
|--------------------|----------|------------|
| Audit fees | \$75,000 | \$ 195,000 |
| Audit related fees | — | — |
| Tax fees | — | — |
| All other fees | — | 1,800 |
| Total | \$75,000 | \$ 196,800 |

Policy on Audit Committee Pre-Approval of Audit and Permissible Non-Audit Services of Independent Auditors

Consistent with SEC policies regarding auditor independence, the Audit Committee has responsibility for appointing, setting compensation and overseeing the work of the independent auditor. In recognition of this responsibility, the Audit Committee has established a policy to pre-approve all audit and permissible non-audit services provided by the independent auditor.

Prior to engagement of the independent auditor for the next year's audit, management will submit an aggregate of services expected to be rendered during that year for each of four categories of services to the Audit Committee for approval.

1. **Audit** services include audit work performed in the preparation of financial statements, as well as work that generally only the independent auditor can reasonably be expected to provide, including comfort letters and reviews of our financials statements included in our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q.

2. **Audit-Related** services are for assurance and related services that are traditionally performed by the independent auditor, including due diligence related to mergers and acquisitions, employee benefit plan audits, and special procedures required to meet certain regulatory requirements.

3. **Tax** services include all services performed by the independent auditor's tax personnel except those services specifically related to the audit of the financial statements, and includes fees in the areas of tax compliance, tax planning, and tax advice.

4. **Other** services are those associated with services not captured in the other categories. We generally do not request such services from the independent auditor.

Prior to engagement, the Audit Committee pre-approves these services by category of service. The Audit Committee may delegate pre-approval authority to one or more of its members. The member to whom such authority is delegated must report, for informational purposes only, any pre-approval decisions to the Audit Committee at its next scheduled meeting.

With the resignation in 2014 of the members of the Audit Committee, the responsibilities of the Audit Committee described above have been assumed by the Board of Directors.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules.

| Exhibit No. | Document |
|-------------|--|
| 3.1 | Certificate of Incorporation, as amended, incorporated by reference to our Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed with the SEC on August 27, 1969 under Registration Number 2-34436. |
| 3.2 | Certificate of Amendment of the Certificate of Incorporation, incorporated by reference to our definitive proxy statement filed with the SEC in connection with our Annual Meeting of Shareholders held in May 1984. |
| 3.3 | Certificate of Amendment to the Certificate of Incorporation, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(b) to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 30, 1988. |
| 3.4 | Certificate of Amendment to the Certificate of Incorporation, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(e) to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 30, 1994, as amended. |
| 3.5 | Certificate of Amendment of the Certificate of Incorporation, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended August 30, 1995. |
| 3.6 | Certificate of Amendment of the Certificate of Incorporation, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(f) to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 30, 1998. |
| 3.7 | Certificate of Amendment of the Certificate of Incorporation, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended August 31, 1998. |
| 3.8 | Certificate of Amendment of the Certificate of Incorporation, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(1) to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 16, 1999. |
| 3.9 | Certificate of Amendment of the Certificate of Incorporation, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(1) to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 28, 2007. |
| 3.10 | Certificate of Amendment of the Certificate of Incorporation, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated January 23, 2012. |
| 3.11 | Certificate of Amendment of the Certificate of Incorporation, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated August 21, 2012. |
| 3.12 | |

Edgar Filing: PERVASIP CORP - Form 10-K

Certificate of Amendment of the Certificate of Incorporation, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated January 18, 2013.

3.13 Certificate of Amendment of the Certificate of Incorporation, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated August 30, 2013.

3.14 Certificate of Amendment of the Certificate of Incorporation, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 13, 2013.

3.15 Certificate of Amendment of the Certificate of Incorporation dated April 14, 2014*

3.16 By-laws, amended and restated as of December 1996, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(e) to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 30, 1996.

36

Edgar Filing: PERVASIP CORP - Form 10-K

| Exhibit No. | Document |
|-------------|---|
| 4.1 | Secured Term Note, dated as of September 28, 2007, issued in favor of Calliope Capital Corporation (as filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the SEC on October 4, 2007). |
| 4.2 | Secured Term Note, dated as of September 28, 2007, issued in favor of Valens Offshore SPV II, Corp. (as filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the SEC on October 4, 2007). |
| 4.3 | Third Amended and Restated Secured Term Note, dated as of September 28, 2007, issued in favor of Laurus Master Fund, Ltd. (as filed as Exhibit 10.6 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the SEC on October 4, 2007). |
| 4.4 | Amended and Restated Secured Term Note, dated as of September 28, 2007, issued in favor of Laurus Master Fund, Ltd. (as filed as Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the SEC on October 4, 2007). |
| 4.5 | Secured Term Note, dated as of May 28, 2008, issued in favor of Valens Offshore SPV II, Corp. (as filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the SEC on May 28, 2008). |
| 4.6 | Amended and Restated Secured Term Note, dated as of May 28, 2008, issued in favor of Valens Offshore SPV I, Corp. (as filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the SEC on May 28, 2008). |
| 4.7 | Amended and Restated Secured Term Note, dated as of May 28, 2008, issued in favor of Valens Offshore SPV II, Corp. (as filed as Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the SEC on May 28, 2008). |
| 4.8 | Fourth Amended and Restated Secured Term Note, dated as of May 28, 2008, issued in favor of Valens Offshore SPV I, Ltd. (as filed as Exhibit 10.6 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the SEC on May 28, 2008). |
| 4.9 | Second Amended and Restated Secured Term Note, dated as of May 28, 2008, issued in favor of Valens Offshore SPV I, Ltd. (as filed as Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the SEC on May 28, 2008). |
| 4.10 | Secured Term Note, dated as of October 15, 2008, issued in favor of Valens Offshore SPV I, Corp. (as filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the SEC on October 15, 2008). |
| 4.11 | Second Amended and Restated Secured Term Note, dated as of December 12, 2008, issued in favor of Valens Offshore SPV I, Corp. (as filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the SEC on December 12, 2008). |
| 4.12 | Secured Term Note, dated February 18, 2009, issued in favor of Valens Offshore SPV I, Corp. (as filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the SEC on February 18, 2009). |

Edgar Filing: PERVASIP CORP - Form 10-K

- 4.13 Secured Term Note, dated February 18, 2009, issued in favor of Valens Offshore SPV I, Corp. (as filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the SEC on February 18, 2009).
- 4.14 \$50,000 Demand Note, dated November 5, 2009, issued in favor of Valens U.S. SPV I, LLC (as filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the SEC on November 12, 2009)
- 4.15 2% Secured Amended & Restated Convertible Debenture, dated May 31, 2006, issued in favor of 112359 Factor Fund, LLC (as filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the SEC on March 6, 2013)
- 4.16 6% Secured Amended & Restated Convertible Debenture, dated November 30, 2005, issued in favor of 112359 Factor Fund, LLC (as filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the SEC on March 6, 2013)
- 4.17 6% Secured Convertible Debenture, dated June 19, 2013, issued in favor of 112359 Factor Fund, LLC (as filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, as filed with the SEC on July 22, 2013)

| Exhibit No. | Document |
|-------------|---|
| 10.2 | Non-Employee Director Stock Option Plan, dated March 30, 2001, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(c) to our Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended November 30, 2003 |
| 10.3 | 2004 Equity Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to Annex A to our Proxy Statement dated April 12, 2005. |
| 10.4 | Subsidiary Guaranty, dated as of February 8, 2005, executed by Line One, Inc., AVI Holding Corp. and TelcoSoftware.com Corp., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 8, 2005. |
| 10.5 | Securities Purchase Agreement, dated as of November 30, 2005, our Company and Laurus Master Fund, Ltd., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 30, 2005. |
| 10.6 | Reaffirmation and Ratification Agreement, dated as of November 30, 2005, executed by our Company, Line One, Inc., AVI Holding Corp. and TelcoSoftware.com Corp. incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 30, 2005. |
| 10.7 | Securities Purchase Agreement, dated as of May 31, 2006, between our Company and Laurus Master Fund, Ltd., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 31, 2006. |
| 10.8 | Reaffirmation and Ratification Agreement, dated as of May 31, 2006, executed by our Company, Line One, Inc., AVI Holding Corp. and TelcoSoftware.com Corp. incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 31, 2006. |
| 10.9 | Stock Purchase Agreement dated as of December 14, 2006 by and among our Company, CYBD Acquisition, Inc. and Cyber Digital, Inc., with respect to the capital stock of New Rochelle Telephone Corp., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 14, 2006. |
| 10.10 | Stock Purchase Agreement dated as of December 14, 2006 by and among our Company, CYBD Acquisition II, Inc. and Cyber Digital, Inc., with respect to the capital stock of Telecarrier Services, Inc., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 14, 2006. |
| 10.11 | 2007 Equity Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to Annex B to our Proxy Statement dated May 15, 2007. |
| 10.12 | Securities Purchase Agreement dated as of September 28, 2007, among our Company, LV Administrative Services, Inc., Calliope Capital Corporation and Valens Offshore SPV II, Corp., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated October 4, 2007. |
| 10.13 | Reaffirmation and Ratification Agreement, dated as of September 28, 2007, executed among our Company, Line One, Inc. AVI Holding Corp. and TelcoSoftware.com Corp., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated October 4, 2007. |
| 10.14 | |

Edgar Filing: PERVASIP CORP - Form 10-K

Subsidiary Guarantee dated as of September 28, 2007 by AVI Holding Corp., Telcosoftware.com Corp. and Line One, Inc., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated October 4, 2007.

Master Security Agreement dated as of September 28, 2007 among our Company, Line One, Inc., AVI Holding Corp., TelcoSoftware.com Corp. and LV Administrative Services Inc., as agent, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated October 4, 2007.

Stock Pledge Agreement dated as of September 28, 2007 among LV Administrative Services Inc., as agent, our Company, Line One, Inc., AVI Holding Corp. and TelcoSoftware.com Corp., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated October 4, 2007.

Edgar Filing: PERVASIP CORP - Form 10-K

| Exhibit No. | Document |
|-------------|---|
| 10.17 | Amendment to September 28, 2007 Securities Purchase Agreement dated May 28, 2008, executed among Pervasip Corp., LV Administrative Services, Inc., as agent, Valens Offshore SPV I, Ltd. and Valens Offshore SPV II, Corp., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 28, 2008. |
| 10.18 | Securities Purchase Agreement dated as of May 28, 2008, among Pervasip Corp., LV Administrative Services, Inc. and the Purchasers listed therein, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 28, 2008. |
| 10.19 | Reaffirmation and Ratification Agreement, dated as of May 28, 2008, executed among Pervasip Corp., Line One, Inc., AVI Holding Corp., TelcoSoftware.com Corp. and Valens Offshore SPVI, Ltd., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 28, 2008, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 28, 2008. |
| 10.20 | Subsidiary Guarantee dated as of May 28, 2008 by AVI Holding Corp., Telcosoftware.com Corp. and Line One, Inc., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 28, 2008. |
| 10.21 | Master Security Agreement dated as of May 28, 2008 among Pervasip Corp., Line One, Inc., AVI Holding Corp., TelcoSoftware.com Corp. and LV Administrative Services Inc., as agent, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 28, 2008. |
| 10.22 | Stock Pledge Agreement dated as of May 28, 2008 among LV Administrative Services Inc., as agent, Pervasip Corp., Line One, Inc., AVI Holding Corp. and TelcoSoftware.com Corp., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 28, 2008. |
| 10.23 | Letter Agreement dated as of October 15, 2008, among Pervasip Corp., LV Administrative Services, Inc. and Valens Offshore SPV I, Ltd., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated October 15, 2008. |
| 10.24 | Letter Agreement dated as of December 12, 2008, among Pervasip Corp., LV Administrative Services, Inc. and Valens Offshore SPV I, Ltd., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 12, 2008. |
| 10.25 | Letter Agreement dated as of February 18, 2009 among Pervasip Corp., LV Administrative Services, Inc. and Valens Offshore SPV I, Ltd., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 18, 2009. |
| 10.26 | 2009 Equity Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to Annex A to our Proxy Statement dated April 9, 2009. |
| 10.27 | Securities Purchase Agreement by and between Pervasip Corp. and 112359 Factor Fund, LLC, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 6, 2013 |
| 10.28 | Securities Purchase Agreement by and between Pervasip Corp. and 112359 Factor Fund, LLC, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q dated July 22, 2013 |

Edgar Filing: PERVASIP CORP - Form 10-K

- 31.1 Certification by the Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a)).*
- 31.2 Certification by the Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a)).*
- 32.1 Certification by the Principal Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.*
- 32.2 Certification by the Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.*

* filed herewith

39

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

PERVASIP CORP.

Date: September 3, 2014 By: /s/ Paul H. Riss
Name: Paul H. Riss
Chief Executive Officer

(Principal Executive Officer)

Title: Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial Officer)

(Principal Accounting Officer)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| Signature | Title | Date |
|------------------------------------|--|-------------------|
| /s/ Paul H. Riss Paul H. Riss | Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer), Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer) (Principal Accounting Officer), Chairman of the Board | September 3, 2014 |
| /s/ Mark Richards Mark Richards | Chief Information Officer and Director | September 3, 2014 |

PERVASIP CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT

REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

AS OF AND FOR THE

YEARS ENDED NOVEMBER 30, 2013 AND 2012

PERVASIP CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

AS OF AND FOR THE YEARS ENDED NOVEMBER 30, 2013 AND 2012

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|------|
| Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | F-1 |
| Consolidated Financial Statements | |
| Balance Sheets | F-2 |
| Statements of Income | F-3 |
| Statements of Comprehensive Income | F-4 |
| Statements of Stockholders' Deficit and Comprehensive Income | F-5 |
| Statements of Cash Flows | F-6 |
| Notes to Financial Statements | F-7 |

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors

Pervasip Corp.

White Plains, New York

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Pervasip Corp. and subsidiaries as of November 30, 2013 and 2012 and the related consolidated statements of income, statements of comprehensive income, stockholders' deficit, and cash flows for each of the years then ended. Pervasip Corp.'s management is responsible for these financial statements. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Pervasip Corp. and subsidiaries as of November 30, 2013 and 2012, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As further discussed in Note 2 to the financial statements, the Company, among other matters, has negative working capital, a stockholders' deficit, has suffered recurring losses from operations and is unable to meet its obligations as they become due, which raises substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going

concern. Management's plans regarding to these matters are also described in Note 2. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

As discussed in Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements, the accompanying consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended November 30, 2012 have been restated.

GBH CPAs, PC

Houston, TX

September 3, 2014

PERVASIP CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES**CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****AS OF NOVEMBER 30, 2013 AND 2012**

| | 2013 | 2012 (Restated) |
|--|--------------|--------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 17,242 | \$ 12,366 |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowance of \$2,018 in 2013 and \$69,982 in 2012 | 67,919 | 75,494 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 26,123 | 20,559 |
| Total current assets | 111,284 | 108,419 |
| Other assets | 90,108 | 69,658 |
| Total assets | \$ 201,392 | \$ 178,077 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | \$ 2,409,194 | \$ 2,045,093 |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities - related party | 303,454 | 250,149 |
| Due to Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation | 1,914,392 | 1,821,464 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | 3,766,468 | 6,944,064 |
| Related party debt | 634,756 | 502,426 |
| Derivative liabilities - current portion | 362,389 | 361,760 |
| Total current liabilities | 9,390,653 | 11,924,956 |
| Long-term debt less current portion, net of discounts of \$1,002,902 and \$93,420, respectively | 13,660 | 358,632 |
| Derivative liabilities - long-term | 1,309,955 | 341,645 |
| Total liabilities | 10,714,268 | 12,625,233 |
| Stockholders' deficit: | | |
| Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value; 21,000,010 shares authorized, 51 shares issued and outstanding | — | — |
| Common stock, \$0.001 par value; 8,978,999,990 shares authorized; 799,549,997 and 303,187,814 shares issued and outstanding in 2013 and 2012, respectively | 799,550 | 303,188 |

Edgar Filing: PERVASIP CORP - Form 10-K

| | | |
|---|--------------|--------------|
| Capital in excess of par value | 40,424,222 | 39,047,624 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 1,183 | 1,211 |
| Accumulated deficit | (51,737,831) | (51,799,179) |
| Total stockholders' deficit | (10,512,876) | (12,447,156) |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' deficit | \$201,392 | \$178,077 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-2

PERVASIP CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME****FOR THE YEARS ENDED NOVEMBER 30, 2013 AND 2012**

| | 2013 | 2012 (Restated) |
|---|---------------|--------------------|
| Revenues | \$915,340 | \$999,822 |
| Cost and expenses: | | |
| Costs of services | 422,795 | 447,427 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 1,746,740 | 2,094,164 |
| Provision for bad debts | 2,371 | 5,619 |
| Total costs and expenses | 2,171,906 | 2,547,210 |
| Loss from operations | (1,256,566) | (1,547,388) |
| Other income (expense): | | |
| Interest expense | (3,219,678) | (2,196,119) |
| Gain on troubled debt restructuring | 2,714,461 | 6,338,601 |
| Gain on settlement of liabilities | 1,273,195 | 214,281 |
| Gain on change in value of derivative liabilities | 441,533 | 20,651 |
| Other, net | 108,403 | 171,484 |
| Total other income | 1,317,914 | 4,548,898 |
| Net income | \$61,348 | \$3,001,510 |
| Basic earnings per share | \$0.00 | \$0.02 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$0.00 | \$0.01 |
| Weighted average number of shares outstanding: | | |
| Basic | 633,006,599 | 166,358,349 |
| Diluted | 1,830,668,266 | 383,704,115 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-3

PERVASIP CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

FOR THE YEARS ENDED NOVEMBER 30, 2013 AND 2012

| | 2013 | 2012 |
|---|----------|-------------|
| Net income | \$61,348 | \$3,001,510 |
| Other Comprehensive income (loss): | | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | (28) | (1,021) |
| Comprehensive income | \$61,320 | \$3,000,489 |

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

F-4

PERVASIP CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT****FOR THE YEARS ENDED NOVEMBER 30, 2013 AND 2012**

| | Common Stock | | Capital in | Accumulated | Accumu- | Total |
|--|--------------|-----------|--------------|----------------|---------|-----------------|
| | Shares | Amount | Excess of | | lated | |
| | | | Par Value | Deficit | Compre- | Stock- holders' |
| | | | | | hensive | Deficit |
| | | | | | Income | |
| | | | | | (Loss) | |
| Balance, December 1, 2011 | 99,489,749 | \$99,490 | \$35,623,697 | \$(54,800,689) | \$2,232 | \$(19,075,270) |
| Net income | | | | 3,001,510 | | 3,001,510 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | | | | | (1,021) | (1,021) |
| Employee stock- based compensation | | | 129,935 | | | 129,935 |
| Issuance of common stock for cash | 34,673,250 | 34,673 | 646,327 | | | 681,000 |
| Common stock issued for services | 6,000,000 | 6,000 | 78,063 | | | 84,063 |
| Issuance of common stock for settlement of liabilities | 163,024,815 | 163,025 | 2,369,960 | | | 2,532,985 |
| Debt discount | | | 469,066 | | | 469,066 |
| Issuance of derivatives | | | (269,424) | | | (269,424) |
| Balance, November 30, 2012 (Restated) | 303,187,814 | 303,188 | 39,047,624 | (51,799,179) | 1,211 | (12,447,156) |
| Net income | | | | 61,348 | | 61,348 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | | | | | (28) | (28) |
| Employee stock- based compensation | | | 26,583 | | | 26,583 |
| Issuance of warrants for cash | — | — | 68,000 | | | 68,000 |
| Issuance of common stock for settlement of liabilities | 496,362,183 | 496,362 | 1,282,015 | | | 1,778,377 |
| Balance, November 30, 2013 | 799,549,997 | \$799,550 | \$40,424,222 | \$(51,737,831) | \$1,183 | \$(10,512,876) |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

PERVASIP CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

FOR THE YEARS ENDED NOVEMBER 30, 2013 AND 2012

| | Year Ended November 30, 2013 | Year Ended November 30, 2012 (Restated) |
|---|--|--|
| Cash flows from operating activities | | |
| Net income | \$61,348 | \$3,001,510 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash used in operating activities: | | |
| Stock-based compensation | 26,583 | 213,998 |
| Change in provision for bad debt | 2,371 | 5,619 |
| Amortization of debt discount | 2,244,006 | 1,780,487 |
| Write-off of payables | — | (171,484) |
| Gain on troubled debt restructuring | (2,714,461) | (6,338,601) |
| Gain on settlement of debt | (1,273,195) | (214,281) |
| Change in fair value of derivative liabilities | (441,533) | (20,641) |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Accounts receivable | 5,204 | 34,189 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 41,767 | (1,655) |
| Due to Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation | 92,928 | 90,737 |
| Accounts payable, accrued liabilities | 1,046,170 | 681,220 |
| Accounts payable, accrued liabilities – related party | 53,305 | 71,295 |
| Net cash used in operating activities | (855,507) | (867,607) |
| Cash flows from financing activities | | |
| Proceeds from borrowings | 817,000 | 376,500 |
| Principal payments of debt | (82,117) | (122,136) |
| Proceeds from borrowings – related party | 67,500 | 35,000 |
| Principal payments on related party debt | (10,000) | — |
| Proceeds from exercise of warrants | 68,000 | — |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock | — | 581,000 |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 860,383 | 870,364 |
| Net increase in cash | 4,876 | 2,757 |

| | | |
|---|-------------|-----------|
| Cash at beginning of the year | 12,366 | 9,609 |
| Cash at end of the year | \$17,242 | \$12,366 |
| Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information: | | |
| Cash paid during the period for: | | |
| Income taxes | \$— | \$— |
| Interest | \$23,572 | \$23,309 |
| Non-cash financing transactions: | | |
| Fair value of derivative liabilities | \$1,765,305 | \$807,700 |
| Debt discount due to beneficial conversion feature | \$9,500 | \$469,066 |
| Conversion of accounts payable and accrued liabilities to notes payable | \$740,212 | \$691,800 |
| Conversion of liabilities into stock | \$— | \$29,800 |
| Derivative liability recorded for warrants | \$— | \$269,424 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

PERVASIP CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

AS OF AND FOR THE YEARS ENDED NOVEMBER 30, 2013 AND 2012

1. Description of Business and Summary of Accounting Principles

Description of Business

Pervasip Corp. (“Pervasip”, or the “Company”) is a provider of low-cost voice and video telephone services, connecting people through cloud-connected devices worldwide. Most of the Company’s revenues are derived from customers in the United States that are broadband service providers or other telephone service providers. The Company provides them with a customized private label Internet protocol (“IP”) telephony service, as well as a back-office and web suite of services. The Company uses Session Initiation Protocol technology to provide all the components needed to support its IP telephony service.

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly owned subsidiaries after elimination of significant intercompany balances and transactions.

Use of Estimates

In preparing financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles, management is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. The most significant estimates relate to the allowance for doubtful accounts receivable, income tax valuation allowance, and the derivative liabilities. On a continual basis, management reviews its estimates, utilizing currently available information, changes in facts and circumstances, historical experience and

reasonable assumptions. After such reviews, and if deemed appropriate, those estimates are adjusted accordingly. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments purchased with original maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents. The Company did not have any cash equivalents during 2013 and 2012.

Collectibility of Accounts Receivable

Trade receivables potentially subject the Company to credit risk. The Company extends credit to certain wholesale customers and generally requires a deposit to minimize its credit risk. Once a wholesale customer is billed for services, the Company actively manages the accounts receivable and may return the deposit if the customer has a track record of making timely payments of invoices for six consecutive months. Individual consumers, including all consumers that use the Company's mobile Voice over Internet Protocol ("VoIP") application, are required to prepay for all mobile telephone services via a credit card or an online payment service, such as Paypal.

In order to record the Company's accounts receivable at their net realizable value, the Company must assess their collectibility. A considerable amount of judgment is required in order to make this assessment, including an analysis of historical bad debts and other adjustments, a review of the aging of the Company's receivables, and the current creditworthiness of the Company's customers. Generally, when a customer account reaches a certain level of delinquency, the Company disconnects the customer's service and provides an allowance for the related amount receivable from the customer. The Company has recorded allowances for receivables that it considers uncollectible, including amounts for the resolution of potential credit and other collection issues, such as disputed invoices and pricing discrepancies. However, depending on how such potential issues are resolved, or if the financial condition of any of the Company's customers was to deteriorate and its ability to make required payments became impaired, increases in these allowances may be required. The Company writes off the accounts receivable balance from a customer and the related allowance established when it believes it has exhausted all reasonable collection efforts.

Revenue Recognition

Revenues from voice, data and other telecommunications-related services are recognized in the period in which subscribers use the related services. Revenues for carrier interconnection and access are recognized in the period in which the service is provided.

Costs of Services

Costs of telephony services consist primarily of direct costs that we pay to third parties in order to provide telephone services. These costs include access and interconnection charges that we pay to other telephone companies to terminate domestic and international phone calls on the public switched telephone network. In addition, these costs include the cost to lease phone numbers, to co-locate in other telephone companies' facilities, to provide enhanced emergency dialing capabilities to transmit 911 calls, and to provide local number portability. These costs also include taxes that the Company pays on telecommunications services from our suppliers or are imposed by government agencies. These costs do not include indirect costs such as depreciation and amortization, payroll, and facilities costs.

Share-based Payments

The Company utilizes the Black-Scholes option pricing model to estimate the fair value of employee stock option awards at the date of grant, which requires the input of highly subjective assumptions, including expected volatility and expected life. Changes in these inputs and assumptions can materially affect the measure of estimated fair value of share-based compensation. These assumptions are subjective and generally require significant analysis and judgment to develop. When estimating fair value, some of the assumptions will be based on, or determined from, external data and other assumptions may be derived from historical experience with stock-based payment arrangements. The appropriate weight to place on historical experience is a matter of judgment, based on relevant facts and circumstances.

The Company estimates volatility by considering the historical stock volatility. The Company has opted to use the simplified method for estimating expected term, which is generally equal to the midpoint between the vesting period and the contractual term.

Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes under the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and operating loss and tax credit carry forwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income and the reversal of deferred tax liabilities during the period in which related temporary differences become deductible. A valuation allowance has been established to eliminate the Company's deferred tax assets as it is more likely than not that any of the deferred tax assets will be realized.

The Company recognizes the tax benefit from an uncertain tax position only if it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained on examination by the taxing authorities, based on the technical merits of the position. The tax benefits recognized in the financial statements from such a position are measured based on the largest benefit that has a greater than fifty percent likelihood of being realized upon settlement with the tax authorities. Changes in recognition or measurement are reflected in the period in which the change in judgment occurs. The Company records interest related to unrecognized tax benefits in interest expense and penalties in income tax expense. The Company has determined that it had no significant uncertain tax positions requiring recognition or disclosure.

Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted-average number of shares outstanding. To the extent that stock options and warrants are anti-dilutive, they are excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share. Diluted earnings per share includes the dilutive effect of stock options and warrants.

Concentrations

As of November 30, 2013 and 2012, the Company had one customer that constituted 57% and 42%, respectively, of its accounts receivable. For the years ended November 30, 2013 and 2012, one customer accounted for 36% and 28% of the Company's revenues, respectively.

The Company is dependent on the availability and functionality of the networks of its two primary suppliers and is vulnerable to a cessation or disruption of service if the Company is not able to pay these vendors timely.

Foreign Currency Translation

Assets and liabilities of the Company's foreign subsidiary are translated at year-end exchange rates, and income and expenses are translated at average exchange rates prevailing during the year with the resulting adjustments accumulated in stockholders' equity and comprehensive income.

2. Going Concern Matters and Realization of Assets

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the ordinary course of business. However, the Company has sustained recurring substantial losses from its continuing operations and as of November 30, 2013 has negative working capital of \$9,279,369 and a stockholders' deficit of \$10,512,876. In addition, the Company is unable to meet its obligations as they become due and sustain its operations. The Company believes that its existing cash resources are not sufficient to fund its continuing operating losses, capital expenditures, lease and debt payments and working capital requirements.

The Company may not be able to raise sufficient additional debt, equity or other cash on acceptable terms, if at all. Failure to generate sufficient revenues, achieve certain other business plan objectives or raise additional funds could have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations, cash flows and financial position, including its ability to continue as a going concern, and may require it to significantly reduce, reorganize, discontinue or shut down its operations.

In view of the matters described above, recoverability of a major portion of the recorded asset amounts shown in the accompanying balance sheet is dependent upon continued operations of the Company which, in turn, is dependent upon the Company's ability to meet its financing requirements on a continuing basis, and to succeed in its future operations. The financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of recorded asset amounts or amounts and classification of liabilities that might be necessary should the Company be unable to continue in its existence. Management's plans include:

1. Seek to raise debt or equity for working capital purposes and to pay off existing debt agreements. With sufficient additional cash available to the Company, it can begin to cover monthly cash losses and allocate funds toward marketing its products to achieve additional sales and consequently cut monthly operating losses.
2. Continue to create new variations of our mobile VoIP app to obtain new subscribers. The Company's mobile VoIP application allows for low-cost calling to any landline or cell phone in the world. The Company recently added the ability for a subscriber to buy telephone numbers from dozens of countries and download the number to his or her smart phone or tablet. This feature allows a person to call our mobile app user, even when they are overseas, for the cost of a local call.
3. Continue to develop new uses and distribution channels for its mobile VoIP service. The Company's mobile VoIP application allows for low-cost calling to any landline or cell phone in the world. In addition to ad words marketing initiatives, the Company is negotiating with cable television companies and a mobile wallet company to resell its mobile app products via a white-labeled solution.

There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to achieve its business plan objectives or be able to achieve or maintain cash-flow-positive operating results. If the Company is unable to generate adequate funds from operations or raise sufficient additional funds, the Company may not be able to repay its existing debt, continue to operate its network, respond to competitive pressures or fund its operations. As a result, the Company may be required to significantly reduce, reorganize, discontinue or shut down its operations. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from this uncertainty.

3. Restatement

During 2014, the Company determined that it should have recorded and valued various tainted derivatives that had not previously been identified as derivatives. As a result, the Company has restated its previously issued consolidated financial statements for the year ended November 30, 2012 and has increased the amount of derivative liabilities payable by \$341,645 at November 30, 2012 and reduced accumulated deficit and capital in excess of par value by \$172,421 and \$169,224, respectively. As a result, for the year ended November 30, 2012, gain on settlement of liabilities decreased by \$100,200, from \$314,481 to \$214,281 and a gain for a mark-to-market adjustment of derivative liabilities decreased by \$72,221, from \$92,872 to \$20,641. The restatement resulted in net income of \$3,001,510, or \$0.02 per basic share and \$0.01 per diluted share, for the year ended November 30, 2012, as compared to net income of \$3,173,931, or \$0.02 per basic share and \$0.01 per diluted share that had previously been reported.

The Company restated the consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended November 30, 2012 as follows:

| | Year End November 30, 2012 | | |
|--|----------------------------|----------------|--------------|
| | As Originally Reported | Adjustments | As Restated |
| Current portion of long-term debt and capital | 7,444,490 | (500,426)(1) | 6,944,064 |
| Accounts payable and other current liabilities | 2,297,242 | (252,149)(1) | 2,045,093 |
| Accounts payable and other current liabilities - related party | — | 250,149 (1) | 250,149 |
| Related party debt | — | 502,426 (1) | 502,426 |
| Derivative liabilities | — | 341,645 (2)(3) | 341,645 |
| Capital in excess of par value | 39,216,866 | (169,224)(2) | 39,047,642 |
| Accumulated deficit | (51,626,758) | (172,421)(2) | (51,799,179) |
| Gain (loss) on settlement of liabilities | 314,481 | (100,200)(3) | 214,281 |
| Gain on value of derivative liabilities | 92,872 | (72,221)(2) | 20,651 |

Adjustments to consolidated financial statements:

- (1) To reclassify amounts due to related party.
- (2) To record derivative liabilities for tainted options and warrants.
- (3) To adjust gain on conversion of derivative liabilities to common stock.

4. Accounts Payable and Accrued Expenses

| | 2013 | 2012 |
|---|-------------|-------------|
| Trade payables | \$847,320 | \$744,028 |
| Amounts owed to related parties | 303,454 | 250,149 |
| Payable from sale of subsidiaries | 796,499 | 796,499 |
| Customer deposits | 132,293 | 134,912 |
| Other, individually less than 5% of current liabilities | 633,082 | 369,654 |
| | \$2,712,648 | \$2,295,242 |

When the Company sold certain subsidiaries in December 2006, the Company agreed to reimburse the purchaser for certain disputed claims on the books of the subsidiaries if the sold subsidiaries were required to pay such claims. At November 30, 2013 and 2012, the Company has recorded a payable of \$796,499 in conjunction with the sale of the subsidiaries. The subsidiary filed for bankruptcy on September 23, 2008, which is still ongoing. If claims are reduced or eliminated by the subsidiaries, and the purchaser provides the Company with appropriate documentation that the Company's liability has been reduced, such reduction will be reflected on the books of the Company.

In fiscal 2012, the Company wrote off accounts payable amounting to \$171,484, which is included in the income statement under the caption of other income, net, to reflect a write-off of unpaid invoices which the Company

determined were no longer payable as a result of the expiration of the statute of limitations. No such write-off was made in fiscal 2013.

F-10

5. Debt

The following table summarizes components of debt as of November 30, 2013 and 2012:

| | 2013 | 2012 |
|--|-------------|-------------|
| Debt due to Laurus | \$2,108,498 | \$6,368,078 |
| Convertible debt due to Factor Fund | 2,182,690 | — |
| Convertible debt due to various lenders | 243,250 | 736,318 |
| Other short-term debt due to various lenders | 248,592 | 291,720 |
| Total debt | 4,783,030 | 7,396,116 |
| Less: current portion of long-term debt | (3,766,468) | (6,944,064) |
| Less: discount on debt | (1,002,902) | (93,420) |
| Total long-term debt, net of discounts | \$ 13,660 | \$ 358,632 |

Debt due to Laurus

As of November 30, 2013 and 2012, the Company owed to a third party lender, LV Administrative Services, Ltd., as agent for Laurus Master Fund, Ltd. and various affiliates (“Laurus”), \$2,108,498 and \$6,368,078, respectively. All of such debt became due by its terms on September 28, 2010. Pursuant to two assignment agreements, in which the Company and Laurus agreed to assign the debt to a third party, the interest rate on the debt was changed to zero percent from January 31, 2012 to April 12, 2013. Beginning on April 12, 2013, the interest rate on the Laurus debt reverted to the rate charged in the original note agreements, which ranges from 5.25% to 20% per annum. The Company has not made payments of principal or interest when due, and is not in compliance with its agreements with Laurus. Laurus has not issued a default notice and has signed an agreement, on two separate occasions, to sell all of its debt at a discount to a third party, however the third parties have not fulfilled all of their terms of the agreements and \$2,108,498 and \$6,368,078 of debt remains due to Laurus at November 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

During the years ended November 30, 2013 and 2012, the Company recorded a troubled debt restructuring gain of \$2,714,461 and \$6,338,601, respectively. The Company recorded this troubled debt restructuring gain as a result of debt forgiveness by Laurus in exchange for repayments of reduced amounts from other lenders.

Convertible debt due to Factor Fund

In March 2013, 112359 Factor Fund, LLC (the “Fund”) was assigned the \$6,368,078 of outstanding debt owed to Laurus, which the Fund could satisfy in full by making certain payments to Laurus. Factor Fund has not met the contractual terms of the assignment agreement. Therefore, at November 30, 2013, the Company is still obligated to

Laurus as noted above.

During February 2013, the Company entered into a securities purchase agreement with the Fund pursuant to which the Company issued to the Fund (i) an amended convertible debenture in the principal amount of \$1,000,000 (“Amended Note 1”) and (ii) a second amended convertible debenture in the principal balance of \$1,000,000 (“Amended Note 2” and together with Amended Note 1, the “Amended Notes”). The Amended Notes were sold to the Fund by the Company in exchange for the Fund’s assumption and payment of the Laurus assignment agreement (which required the Fund to make payments totaling \$350,000, of which \$250,000 was paid, to Laurus), payment to the Company of \$150,000, and the agreement to purchase from another lender and cancel an existing convertible debenture in the amount of approximately \$35,000.

Absent earlier redemption, the Amended Notes mature on December 31, 2014. Interest accrues on the unpaid principal and interest on the notes at a rate per annum equal to 6% for Amended Note 1 and 2% for Amended Note 2.

Principal and interest payments on Amended Note 1 can be made at any time by the Company, with a 30% prepayment premium, or the Fund can elect at any time to convert any portion of Amended Note 1 into shares of common stock of the Company at 100% of the volume weighted average price of the common stock for the 45 trading days immediately prior to the conversion date. During the year ended November 30, 2013, the Fund converted \$592,310 of principal into 279,958,599 shares of common stock of the Company.

The conversion price of Amended Note 1 is based on a variable that is not an input to the fair value of a “fixed-for-fixed” option as defined under FASB ASC Topic No. 815 - 40. The fair value of the note was recognized as a derivative instrument at the issuance date and was measured at fair value at each reporting period. The Company determined that the fair value of the notes was \$1,874,169 at the issuance dates. The value of the debt of \$1,000,000 was recorded as a debt discount and is amortized to interest expense over the term of the Notes. The variance to the fair value of \$874,169 was recognized as an initial loss and recorded to change in fair value of derivative liabilities.

Amended Note 2 converts into shares of common stock of the Company in an amount equal to the lesser of the outstanding balance of Amended Note 2 divided by \$0.01. Any principal or interest amount can be paid in cash.

During the year ended November 30, 2013, the Fund also loaned the Company amounts of \$50,000, \$35,000 and \$12,000 (the "Bridge Notes"). In June 2013, the Fund refinanced the Bridge Notes with additional funding into another note for \$665,000 (the "New Note"). The additional funding under the New Note provided cash to purchase two outstanding convertible debentures for an aggregate price of \$99,360; cash for operations of \$60,000 in June 2013; and \$40,000 in cash each month for the months of July 2013 through December 2013. The Company incurred \$68,640 in finder fees and legal fees in connection with the New Note, and a \$100,000 original issuance discount. The New Note bears interest at 6% per annum and is due December 31, 2014. The Fund can elect at any time to convert any portion of the New Note into shares of common stock of the Company at 60% of the volume weighted average price of the common stock for the 20 trading days immediately prior to the conversion date. The Company received an aggregate of \$260,000 in cash under the New Note in the months of June through November 2013 under the New Note.

The conversion price of the \$665,000 of variable conversion price note is based on a variable that is not an input to the fair value of a "fixed-for-fixed" option as defined under FASB ASC Topic No. 815 - 40. The fair value of the conversion feature was recognized as a derivative instrument at the issuance date and is measured at fair value at each reporting period. The Company determined that the fair value of the conversion feature was \$1,103,940 at the issuance date. Debt discount was recorded up to the \$625,000 face amount of the note and is amortized to interest expense over the term of the note. The fair value of the conversion feature in excess of the principal amount allocated to the notes in the aggregate amount of \$478,940 was expensed immediately as additional interest expense

In conjunction with the New Note, the Company agreed to implement a salary deferral plan to reduce the cash expenditures for personnel, to limit its cash expenditures to certain pre-approved items, and to accrue an additional fee to the Fund of \$150,000, which is included in interest expense and has been added to the principal balance of Amended Note 1. The Fund agreed to limit its sales of the Company's common stock, to not engage in any short transactions involving the Company's common stock, and to not require the Company to increase its authorized shares of common stock for a certain time period, even though the financing documents require the Company to reserve authorized shares for issuance to the Fund, if the Fund desires to convert existing debt into shares of common stock.

The Amended Notes and New Note are secured by a blanket lien on substantially all of the Company's assets pursuant to the terms of security agreements executed by the Company and its subsidiaries in favor of the Fund. In addition, the Company's chief executive officer and chief information officer pledged their combined voting control of the Company pursuant to a stock pledge agreement executed by the two officers in favor of the Fund, to further secure the Company's obligations under the Amended Notes. If an event of default occurs under the security agreement, the stock pledge agreement, the Amended Notes or the New Note, the secured parties have the right to accelerate payments under such promissory notes and, in addition to any other remedies available to them, to foreclose upon the assets securing such promissory notes.

In connection with the financings, the Company has agreed, for as long as 25% of the principal amount of the financings are outstanding, to certain restrictive covenants, including, among others, that the Company will not declare or pay any dividends, issue any preferred stock that is subject to mandatory redemption prior to the one year anniversary of the maturity date as defined in the agreement, redeem any of its preferred stock or other equity interests, dissolve, liquidate or merge with any other party unless, in the case of a merger, the Company is the surviving entity, materially alter or change the scope of the Company's business incur any indebtedness except as defined in the agreement, or assume, guarantee, endorse or otherwise become directly or contingently liable in connection with any other party's obligations. To secure the payment of all obligations to the lender, the Company entered into a Master Security Agreement that assigns and grants to the lender a continuing security interest and first lien on all of the assets of the Company and its subsidiaries.

During the year ended November 30, 2013, the Fund converted \$592,310 of principal into 279,958,599 shares of common stock of the Company and recorded a gain of \$1,292,816 on the conversions.

At November 30, 2013, the Company owed the Fund \$2,182,690.

Convertible Debt due to various lenders

Convertible debt with a fixed conversion rate

During the years ended November 30, 2013 and 2012, the Company received \$0 and \$138,000, respectively, in cash in exchange for convertible notes due between July and October 2013, bearing no interest, with a fixed rate conversion of between \$0.015 and \$0.02 per common share. At November 30, 2013, and 2012, the Company owed the lender \$138,000 in connection with these notes which are in default.

During the years ended November 30, 2013 and 2012, lenders were assigned \$0 and \$400,994, respectively, of debt in the aggregate from other third party lenders which was converted to convertible debt with a conversion rate of \$0.015 - \$0.03 per common share and a 0% interest rate due between February 2012 and February 2013. During the year ended November 30, 2012, \$325,994 of convertible debt was converted into 14,899,595 shares of common stock of the Company. During the year ended November 30, 2013, lenders converted \$475,000 of debt and \$6,427 of interest into 69,736,000 shares of common stock of the Company. At November 30, 2013 and 2012, \$0 and \$475,004 of this debt was outstanding, respectively.

In addition, during fiscal years 2012 and 2013, lenders were assigned \$48,500 and \$31,000 of debt previously owed to the CEO of the Company which was modified to be convertible debt with a conversion rate of \$0.001 to \$0.005 per common share and a 0% to 24% interest rate. During the year ended November 30, 2012, \$36,000 of this debt and \$2,385 of interest was converted into 7,251,639 shares of common stock of the Company. During the year ended November 30, 2013, \$43,500 of debt was converted to 16,000,000 shares of common stock of the Company. At November 30, 2013 and 2012, \$0 and \$12,500 of this debt was outstanding, respectively.

Convertible debt with a variable conversion rate issued for cash

During the year ended November 30, 2012, the Company received a total of \$188,500 in cash from lenders for convertible debt. The convertible debt bears interest at 0% - 8% and was due between November 2012 and August 2013. The lender can elect at any time to convert any portion of the debt into shares of common stock of the Company at a volume weighted average price discount ranging from 37.5% to 42% of the price of the common stock as defined in the agreements.

The conversion price of the \$188,500 of variable conversion price notes is based on a variable that is not an input to the fair value of a "fixed-for-fixed" option as defined under FASB ASC Topic No. 815 - 40. The fair value of the notes was recognized as a derivative instrument at the issuance date and is measured at fair value at each reporting period. The Company determined that the fair value of the conversion feature was \$242,618 at the issuance dates. Debt discount was recorded up to the \$188,500 face amount of the note and is amortized to interest expense over the term of the note. The fair value of the conversion feature in excess of the principal amount allocated to the notes in the aggregate amount of \$54,118 was expensed immediately as additional interest expense.

During the year ended November 30, 2013, the Company received a total of \$135,000 in cash from a lender for convertible debt. The convertible debt bears interest at 8% and was due between November 2013 and August 2014. The lender can elect at any time to convert any portion of the debt into shares of common stock of the Company at a volume weighted average price discount of 42% of the price of the common stock as defined in the agreement.

The conversion price of the \$135,000 of variable conversion price notes is based on a variable that is not an input to the fair value of a “fixed-for-fixed” option as defined under FASB ASC Topic No. 815 - 40. The fair value of the notes was recognized as a derivative instrument at the issuance date and is measured at fair value at each reporting period. The Company determined that the fair value of the note was \$287,860 at the issuance dates. Debt discount was recorded up to the \$135,000 face amount of the note and is amortized to interest expense over the term of the note. The fair value of the conversion feature in excess of the principal amount allocated to the notes in the aggregate amount of \$152,860 was expensed immediately as additional interest expense.

Convertible debt with a variable conversion rate assigned to lenders.

During the year ended November 30, 2012, various lenders were assigned \$619,200 of debt that was previously not convertible and had a 0% interest rate. The lenders entered into settlement agreements with the Company whereby the debt was reclassified as convertible debt with a variable rate conversion feature. The convertible debt bears interest at 0% and was due between November 2012 and October 2013. The lender can elect at any time to convert any portion of the debt into shares of common stock of the Company at a volume weighted average price discount ranging from 37.5% to 55% of the price of the common stock as defined in the agreements.

The conversion price of the \$619,200 of variable conversion price notes is based on a variable that is not an input to the fair value of a “fixed-for-fixed” option as defined under FASB ASC Topic No. 815 - 40. The fair value of the conversion feature was recognized as a derivative instrument at the issuance date and is measured at fair value at each reporting period. The Company determined that the fair value of the note was \$1,003,207 at the issuance dates. Debt discount was recorded up to the \$619,200 face amount of the note and is amortized to interest expense over the term of the note. The fair value of the conversion feature in excess of the principal amount allocated to the notes in the aggregate amount of \$384,007 was expensed immediately as additional interest expense.

During the year ended November 30, 2013, \$107,064 of debt and \$3,123 of interest was converted into 79,995,485 shares of common stock of the Company. During the year ended November 30, 2012, \$510,386 of this debt converted into 76,558,221 shares of common stock of the Company.

At December 31, 2013 and 2012, \$105,250 and \$229,814 of convertible debt with a variable conversion rate was outstanding, respectively.

Other short-term debt due to various lenders

During the years ended November 30, 2013 and 2012, the Company received \$50,000 and \$175,000, respectively from lenders in exchange for notes payable. The note payable issued during the year ended November 30, 2012 was payable to a lender on demand and bore interest at 0%. The notes payable issued during the year ended November 30, 2013 are payable to various lenders with interest rates between 36% and 176% and was due between November 2013 and May 2014. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company owes various lenders \$208,142 and \$32,642, respectively, for notes payable issued for cash at interest rates between 8% and 12.75%, all of which is in default as of November 30, 2013. Certain of this debt is secured by the assets of the Company.

6. Derivative Liabilities

The Company evaluated their convertible note agreements pursuant to ASC 815 and due to there being no minimum or fixed conversion price resulting in an indeterminate number of shares to be issued in the future, the Company determined an embedded derivative existed and ASC 815 applied for their convertible notes. The Company valued the embedded derivatives using the Black-Scholes valuation model.

Convertible debt with a variable conversion feature

In 2013, we estimated the fair value of the derivatives using the Black-Scholes valuation method with assumptions including: (1) term of 0.7 to 3 years; (2) a computed volatility rate of 229 to 283% (3) a discount rate of 0.13 to 0.25% and (4) zero dividends. Upon settlement the valuation of this embedded derivative was recorded as gain/loss on derivative liability.

In 2012, we estimated the fair value of the derivatives using the Black-Scholes valuation method with assumptions including: (1) term of 0 to 1.5 years; (2) a computed volatility rate of 209 to 250% (3) a discount rate of 0.14 to 0.21% and (4) zero dividends. Upon settlement the valuation of this embedded derivative was recorded as gain/loss on derivative liability.

Tainted conventional convertible debt

In 2013, we estimated the fair value of the derivative using the Black-Scholes valuation method with assumptions including: (1) term of 0 to 1.0 years; (2) a computed volatility rate of 232 to 243% (3) a discount rate of 1% and (4) zero dividends. The valuation of this embedded derivative was recorded with an offsetting gain/loss on derivative liability.

In 2012, we estimated the fair value of the derivative using the Black-Scholes valuation method with assumptions including: (1) term of 0.2 to 2.0 years; (2) a computed volatility rate of 243% (3) a discount rate of 1% and (4) zero dividends. The valuation of this embedded derivative was recorded with an offsetting gain/loss on derivative liability.

Tainted stock options and warrants

The Company also evaluated all outstanding warrants and options to determine whether these instruments may be tainted. All warrants outstanding were considered tainted as a result of the tainted equity environment and potential inability of the Company to settle the instruments with shares of the Company's stock as the number of shares issuable cannot be estimated and could exceed that amount of authorized shares available to be issued by the Company. The Company valued the embedded derivatives within the stock options and warrants using the Black-Scholes valuation model.

In 2013, we estimated the fair value of the derivative using the Black-Scholes valuation method with assumptions including: (1) term of 1.0 to 7.5 years; (2) a computed volatility rate of 232% (3) a discount rate of 1% and (4) zero dividends. The valuation of this embedded derivative was recorded with an offsetting gain/loss on derivative liability.

In 2012, we estimated the fair value of the derivative using the Black-Scholes valuation method with assumptions including: (1) term of 0.2 to 8.5 years; (2) a computed volatility rate of 243% (3) a discount rate of 1% and (4) zero dividends. The valuation of this embedded derivative was recorded with an offsetting gain/loss on derivative liability.

Activity for embedded derivative instruments during the year ended November 30, 2013 was as follows:

| | Balance at November 30, 2012 | Initial valuation of derivative liabilities upon issuance of new securities during the period | Change in fair value of derivative liabilities | Exercise of stock options/ warrants | Conversion of debt to equity | Balance at November 30, 2013 |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------|---|---|--|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Variable convertible debt | \$ 361,760 | \$ 3,307,991 | \$(305,050) | \$ - | \$(1,897,519) | \$ 1,467,182 |
| Tainted convertible debt | 264,189 | - | (124,236) | - | - | 139,953 |
| Tainted stock options | 49,483 | - | (19,140) | - | - | 30,343 |
| Tainted warrants | 27,973 | - | 6,893 | - | - | 34,866 |
| | \$ 703,405 | \$ 3,307,991 | \$(441,533) | \$ - | \$(1,897,519) | \$ 1,672,344 |

Activity for embedded derivative instruments during the year ended November 30, 2012 was as follows:

| | Balance at November 30, 2011 | Initial valuation of derivative liabilities upon issuance of new securities during the period | Change in fair value of derivative liabilities | Exercise of stock options/ warrants | Conversion of debt to equity | Balance at November 30, 2012 |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------|---|---|---|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Variable convertible debt | \$274,909 | \$1,248,372 | \$(92,872) | \$ — | \$(1,068,649) | \$361,760 |
| Tainted convertible debt | — | — | 264,189 | — | — | 264,189 |
| Tainted stock options | — | 175,656 | (126,173) | — | — | 49,483 |
| Tainted warrants | — | 93,768 | (65,795) | — | — | 27,973 |
| | \$274,909 | \$1,517,796 | \$(20,651) | \$ — | \$(1,068,649) | \$703,405 |

7. Pension Plans

Defined Benefit Plan

The Company received a letter dated July 27, 2011 from the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation (“PBGC”) stating that the Company’s defined benefit pension plan (the “Plan”) was terminated as of September 30, 2010, and the PBGC was appointed trustee of the Plan. Pursuant to the agreement, the PBGC has a claim to the Company for the total amount of the unfunded benefit liabilities of the Plan of \$1,614,001 plus accrued interest. The PBGC has notified the Company that the liability is due and payable as of the termination date, and interest accrues on the unpaid balance at the applicable rate provided under Section 6621(a) of the Internal Revenue Code. The total amount outstanding to the PBGC at November 30, 2013 and 2012 was \$1,914,392 and \$1,821,464, respectively, including accrued interest, which is recorded as a current liability. The Company made no payments to the Plan in fiscal 2013 and 2012. The Plan covers approximately 40 former employees.

Effective June 30, 1995, the Plan was frozen, ceasing all benefit accruals and resulting in a plan curtailment. As a result of the curtailment, it has been the Company’s policy to recognize the unfunded status of the Plan as of the end of the fiscal year with a corresponding charge or credit to earnings for the change in the unfunded liability. There was no pension expense recorded in fiscal years ended November 30, 2013 and 2012.

8. Stockholders’ Equity

Issuance of common stock to settle debt

During fiscal 2013, \$1,291,878 of outstanding debt and \$13,277 of interest was converted into 496,362,183 shares of the Company’s common stock, and the Company recognized a gain of \$1,273,195 in conjunction with the settlement of these liabilities. During fiscal 2012, a total of \$1,608,276 of outstanding debt and \$36,586 of interest was converted into 162,624,815 shares of the Company’s common stock, and the Company recognized a gain of \$214,281 in conjunction with the settlement of these liabilities.

During the third quarter of fiscal 2012, the Company's chief executive officer converted \$45,217 of outstanding debt into 2,850,000 shares of common stock.

Issuance of common stock to settle accounts payable

During fiscal 2012, the Company issued 400,000 shares of common stock to settle accounts payable in the amount of \$29,800.

Issuance of common stock for services

In fiscal 2012, the Company issued 6,000,000 shares of common stock, valued at \$84,063, for services rendered by independent contractors.

Issuance of common stock for cash

In fiscal 2012, in conjunction with a private placement of securities, the Company issued 34,673,250 shares of common stock at a purchase price of \$681,000. In connection with this private placement, \$100,000 of the proceeds was received by the Company during the year-ended November 30, 2011 and the remaining \$581,000 of proceeds was received by the Company during the year ended November 30, 2012.

Series D Preferred Stock

On August 29, 2013, the Board authorized a Series D of the Company's previously authorized preferred stock and designated a par value per share of \$0.00001 (the "Series D Preferred"). The number of shares of Series D Preferred was set at 51 shares. The Series D shares have dividend rights equal to common stock on a share-for-share basis, but no liquidation rights.

All 51 shares of the Series D Preferred were issued to the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Information Officer (the "Officers") in exchange for the 51 outstanding shares of the Company's Series C Preferred Stock held by the Officers. The terms of the Series D Preferred Stock are substantially identical to the terms of the Series C Preferred Stock, except that the redemption date was been changed. The Company shall redeem all shares of Series D Preferred,

in cash, for \$1.00 per share on the earlier to occur of (1) the first anniversary of the date upon which all obligations of the Company to 112359 Factor Fund, LLC (and/or its assign(s)) have been satisfied in full, or (2) December 31, 2019.

All shares of Series D Preferred rank senior to the Company's (i) common stock, par value \$0.00001, (ii) Series A Convertible Preferred Stock, par value \$.00001 per share, (iii) Series B Convertible Preferred Stock, par value \$.00001 per share, and any other class or series of capital stock of the Company creates.

Each one (1) share of the Series D Preferred has voting rights equal to (x) 0.019607 multiplied by the total issued and outstanding shares of Common Stock eligible to vote at the time of the respective vote (the "Numerator"), divided by (y) 0.49, minus (z) the Numerator. As a result, the holders of the Series D Preferred Stock have voting control of the Company.

With respect to all matters upon which stockholders are entitled to vote or to which stockholders are entitled to give consent, the holders of the outstanding shares of Series D Preferred Stock shall vote together with the holders of Common Stock without regard to class, except as to those matters on which separate class voting is required by applicable law or the Certificate of Incorporation or by-laws.

So long as any shares of Series D Preferred are outstanding, the Company shall not, without first obtaining the unanimous written consent of the holders of Series D Preferred, (i) alter or change the rights, preferences or privileges of the Series D Preferred so as to affect adversely the holders of Series D Preferred or (ii) create Pari Passu Shares or Senior Shares.

Amendments to Increase Authorized Shares

On January 23, 2012, the Company filed an amendment to the Company's articles of incorporation with the State of New York to increase the Company's authorized common stock from one hundred and fifty million (150,000,000) to two hundred fifty million (250,000,000) shares of common stock, and to change the par value of the common stock to \$0.001 per share.

On August 21, 2012, the Company filed an amendment to the Company's articles of incorporation with the State of New York to increase the Company's authorized common stock from two hundred and fifty million (250,000,000) to four hundred million (400,000,000) shares of common stock, par value \$0.001 per share.

On January 18, 2013, the Company filed an amendment to the Company's articles of incorporation with the Secretary of State of the State of New York increasing the Company's authorized common stock from four hundred million (400,000,000) shares of common stock to eight hundred million (800,000,000) shares of common stock.

On December 13, 2013, the Company filed an amendment to the Company's articles of incorporation with the Secretary of State of the State of New York increasing the Company's authorized common stock from eight hundred million (800,000,000) shares to one billion five hundred million (1,500,000,000) shares of common stock.

On April 14, 2014, the Company filed an amendment to the Company's articles of incorporation with the Secretary of State of the State of New York (i) increasing the Company's authorized common stock from one billion five hundred million (1,500,000,000) shares of common stock to eight billion nine hundred seventy-eight million nine hundred ninety-nine thousand nine hundred ninety (8,978,999,990) shares of common stock; (ii) increasing the number of shares of undesignated preferred stock from one million shares (1,000,000) to twenty-one million and ten shares (21,000,010); and (iii) reducing the par value of the common stock and the preferred stock from \$0.001 to \$0.00001.

Stock Options

The Company's 2004 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2004 Plan") provides for the grant of up to 100,000 incentive stock options, non-qualified stock options, tandem stock appreciation rights, and stock appreciation rights of shares of common stock. Under the 2004 Plan, incentive stock options may be granted at no less than the fair market value of the Company's stock on the date of grant, and in the case of an optionee who owns directly or indirectly more than 10% of the outstanding voting stock (an "Affiliate"), 110% of the market price on the date of grant. As of November 30, 2013 and 2012, 19,000 and 11,500 option shares, respectively remain unissued.

The Company issued contingent stock options to a marketing consultant (the "Contingent Grant") that granted an option to purchase 10 million shares of the Company's common stock. Under the Contingent Grant, stock options were granted at an exercise price of \$0.005 cents per share, which was in excess of the fair market value of the Company's stock on the date of grant. The Contingent Grant expires on December 31, 2014. These options are scheduled to vest based upon the marketing consultant exceeding monthly sales targets, as defined in the Contingent Grant. The Company determined that the performance condition was not probable of achievement, and accordingly, no compensation cost was recognized during the year ended November 30, 2013.

The following tables summarize information about the options outstanding at November 30, 2013 and 2012:

Options Outstanding

Options Exercisable

Edgar Filing: PERVASIP CORP - Form 10-K

| Range of Exercise Prices | Number Outstanding | Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years) | Weighted-Average Exercise Price | Number Outstanding | Weighted-Average Exercise Price |
|--|--------------------|---|---------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|
| As of November 30, 2013 \$0.01 - \$2.40 | 23,793,000 | 2.93 | \$ 0.04 | 9,626,333 | \$ 0.06 |
| As of November 30, 2012 \$0.01 - \$2.65 | 14,450,500 | 3.92 | \$ 0.05 | 5,783,833 | \$ 0.11 |

F-17

Edgar Filing: PERVASIP CORP - Form 10-K

| | Number of Shares | Exercise Price Per Share | Average Exercise Price |
|--|------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Options outstanding, December 1, 2011 | 15,155,194 | \$ 0.01 - \$3.30 | \$ 0.17 |
| Granted during year ended November 30, 2012 | — | — | \$ — |
| Exercised/canceled during year ended November 30, 2012 | (704,694) | \$1.60 - \$3.30 | \$.41 |
| Outstanding November 30, 2012 | 14,450,500 | \$ 0.01 - \$2.65 | \$.05 |
| Granted during year ended November 30, 2013 | 10,000,000 | \$0.005 | \$ 0.005 |
| Exercised/canceled during year ended November 30, 2013 | (657,500) | \$0.10 - \$2.65 | \$ 0.22 |
| Options outstanding, November 30, 2013 | 23,793,000 | \$ 0.01 - \$2.40 | \$ 0.04 |
| Options exercisable, November 30, 2013 | 9,626,333 | \$0.01 - \$2.40 | \$ 0.06 |

The aggregate intrinsic value was \$0 for both years ended November 30, 2013 and 2012.

Warrants

The following tables summarize information about warrants outstanding at November 30, 2013 and 2012:

| Range of Exercise Prices | Warrants Outstanding | | | Warrants Exercisable | |
|---|----------------------|---|---------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Number Outstanding | Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years) | Weighted-Average Exercise Price | Number Outstanding | Weighted-Average Exercise Price |
| As of November 30, 2013 \$0.005 - \$1.20 | 63,125,833 | 8.12 | \$ 0.02 | 63,125,833 | \$ 0.02 |
| As of November 30, 2012 \$0.10 - \$2.00 | 7,792,500 | 8.21 | \$ 0.10 | 7,792,500 | \$ 0.10 |

Edgar Filing: PERVASIP CORP - Form 10-K

| | Number of Shares | Exercise Price Per Share | Average Exercise Price |
|--|---------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| Warrants outstanding, December 1, 2011 | 8,009,400 | \$ 0.10 - \$ 0.20 | \$ 0.18 |
| Issued during year ended November 30, 2012 | — | — | \$— |
| Exercised/canceled during year ended November 30, 2012 | (216,900) | \$ 0.10 - \$ 0.20 | \$ 1.80 |
| Outstanding November 30, 2012 | 7,792,500 | \$ 0.10 - \$ 0.20 | \$ 0.10 |
| Issued during year ended November 30, 2013 | 55,333,333 | \$ 0.005 - \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.006 |
| Exercised/canceled during year ended November 30, 2013 | — | — | \$— |
| Warrants outstanding, November 30, 2013 | 63,125,833 | \$ 0.005 - \$1.20 | \$.02 |
| Warrants exercisable, November 30, 2013 | 63,125,833 | \$ 0.005 - \$1.20 | \$.02 |

F-18

The aggregate intrinsic value was \$0 for both years ended November 30, 2013 and 2012.

During June 2013, the Company sold to its chief executive officer a warrant to purchase 25,333,333 shares of common stock, par value \$0.001, of the Company and its chief information officer a warrant to purchase 20,000,000 shares of common stock for total proceeds of \$68,000. The warrants have a ten-year life and exercise price of \$0.005.

Equity Incentive Plans and Restricted Stock Award Plan

On October 24, 1996, the shareholders of the Company adopted the eLEC Communications Corp. 1996 Restricted Stock Award Plan (the "Restricted Stock Award Plan"). An aggregate of 40,000 shares of common stock of the Company have been reserved for issuance in connections with awards granted under the Restricted Stock Award Plan. Such shares may be awarded from either authorized and unissued shares or treasury shares. The maximum number of shares that may be awarded under the Restricted Stock Award Plan to any individual officer or key employee is 10,000. No shares were awarded during fiscal 2013 and 2012.

The Company's 2007 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2007 Plan") provides for the grant of up to 200,000 incentive stock options, non-qualified stock options, tandem stock appreciation rights, and stock appreciation rights of shares of common stock. Under the 2007 Plan, incentive stock options may be granted at no less than the fair market value of the Company's stock on the date of grant, and in the case of an optionee who is an affiliate, 110% of the market price on the date of grant. As of November 30, 2013 and 2012, 149,500 and 109,500 option shares, respectively, remain unissued.

The Company's 2009 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2009 Plan") provides for the grant of up to 500,000 incentive stock options, non-qualified stock options, tandem stock appreciation rights, and stock appreciation rights of shares of common stock. Under the 2009 Plan, incentive stock options may be granted at no less than the fair market value of the Company's stock on the date of grant, and in the case of an optionee who is an affiliate, 110% of the market price on the date of grant. As of November 30, 2013 and 2012, 31,000 and 21,000 option shares, respectively, remain unissued.

The Company's 2010 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2010 Plan"), as amended, provides for the grant of up to 25,000,000 incentive stock options, non-qualified stock options, tandem stock appreciation rights, and stock appreciation rights of shares of common stock. Under the 2010 Plan, incentive stock options may be granted at no less than the fair market value of the Company's stock on the date of grant, and in the case of an optionee who is an affiliate, 110% of the market price on the date of grant. The 2010 Plan was amended in fiscal 2012 from 5,000,000 option shares to 25,000,000 option shares. As of November 30, 2013 and 2012, 20,000,000 option shares, respectively, remain unissued.

The Company's 2011 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2011 Plan") provides for the grant of up to 20,000,000 incentive stock options, non-qualified stock options, tandem stock appreciation rights, and stock appreciation rights of shares of common stock. Under the 2011 Plan, incentive stock options may be granted at no less than the fair market value of the Company's stock on the date of grant, and in the case of an optionee who is an affiliate, 110% of the market price on the date of grant. As of November 30, 2013 and 2012, 6,500,000 and 5,900,000 option shares, respectively, remain unissued.

The Company's Non-employee Director Stock Option Plan provides for the grant of options to purchase 1,000 shares of the Company's common stock to each non-employee director on the first business day following each annual meeting of the shareholders of the Company. Under this Plan, options may be granted at no less than the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date of grant.

9. Income Taxes

The Company has accumulated net operating losses of \$28 million and \$29 million for United States federal tax purposes at November 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, some of which may be limited in their utilization pursuant to Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code, and which may not be available entirely for use in future years. These losses expire in fiscal years beginning in 2020 through 2032.

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. Significant components of the Company's deferred tax assets and liabilities as of November 30, 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

| | 2013 | 2012 |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Deferred tax assets, net: | | |
| Net operating loss carry forwards | \$9,878,155 | \$9,877,558 |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | 680 | 5,100 |
| Accrued pension | 650,893 | 619,298 |
| Interest | 17,113 | 763,133 |
| Other | — | — |
| Total | 10,546,841 | 11,265,089 |
| Valuation allowance | 10,546,841 | 11,265,089 |
| Net deferred assets | \$— | \$— |

The valuation allowance decreased to \$10,420,000 at November 30, 2013 from \$11,350,000 at November 30, 2012.

The following is a reconciliation of the tax provisions for the years ended November 30, 2013 and 2012 with the statutory Federal income tax rates:

| | Percentage of Pre-Tax Income | |
|--|---------------------------------|--------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Statutory federal income tax rate | 34.0 % | 34.0 % |
| Reduction of tax attributes due to discharge of indebtedness | (34.0) | (34.0) |
| Effective tax rate | — | — |

Under Section 108(a) of the Internal Revenue Code, the gain of approximately \$2,600,000 and \$6,650,000 in fiscal 2013 and 2012, respectively, that is attributable to debt forgiveness and settlement of liabilities is not included in taxable income of the Company as the Company is deemed insolvent for tax purposes. The Company's net operating loss carry forwards have been reduced by the amount of non-taxable debt forgiveness in each year.

The Company did not have any material unrecognized tax benefits as of November 30, 2013 and 2012. The Company does not expect the unrecognized tax benefits to significantly increase or decrease within the next twelve months. The Company recorded no interest and penalties relating to unrecognized tax benefits as of and during the years ended November 30, 2013 and 2012. The Company is subject to United States federal income tax, as well as taxes by various state jurisdictions. The Company is currently open to audit under the statute of limitations by the federal and state jurisdictions for the years ending November 30, 2010 through 2013.

10. Fair Value Measurements

The Company uses fair value measurements to record fair value adjustments to certain assets and liabilities and to determine fair value disclosures of financial instruments on a recurring basis.

Fair Value Hierarchy

The Fair Value Measurements Topic of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to measurements involving significant unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are as follows:

Level 1 inputs are quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Company has the ability to access at the measurement date.

Level 2 inputs are inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly.

Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability.

Determination of Fair Value

Under the Fair Value Measurements Topic of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification, the Company bases its fair value on the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. It is the Company's policy to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when developing fair value measurements, in accordance with the fair value hierarchy. Fair value measurements for assets and liabilities where there exists limited or no observable market data and, therefore, are based primarily upon management's own estimates, are often calculated based on current pricing policy, the economic and competitive environment, the characteristics of the asset or liability and other such factors. Therefore, the results cannot be determined with precision and may not be realized in an actual sale or immediate settlement of the asset or liability. Additionally, there may be inherent weaknesses in any calculation technique, and changes in the underlying assumptions used, including discount rates and estimates of future cash flows, that could significantly affect the results of current or future value.

Following is a description of valuation methodologies used for assets and liabilities recorded at fair value and for estimating fair value where it is practicable to do so for financial instruments not recorded at fair value (disclosures required by the Fair Value Measurements Topic of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification).

Cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, and accounts payable

In general, carrying amounts approximate fair value because of the short maturity of these instruments.

Debt

At November 30, 2013 and 2012, long-term debt was carried at its face value plus accrued interest due to the fact that the debt is fully callable by the lender. Based on the financial condition of the Company, it is impracticable for the Company to estimate the fair value of the short and long-term debt.

Liabilities Measured and Recognized at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The following table presents the amounts of liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of November 30, 2013 and 2012.

Derivative Liability

The fair value of the derivatives that are traded in less active over-the-counter markets are generally measured using pricing models with market observable inputs such as interest rates and equity index levels. These measurements are classified as Level 2 within the fair value of hierarchy.

| | Total | (Level 1) | (Level 2) | (Level 3) |
|--------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| <u>November 30, 2013</u> | | | | |
| Derivative liability | \$ 1,672,344 | - | \$ 1,672,344 | - |
| November 30, 2012 | | | | |
| Derivative liabilities | \$ 703,405 | - | \$ 703,405 | - |

The Company has no instruments with significant off balance sheet risk.

11. Commitments and Contingencies***Operating Leases***

The Company leases facilities under an operating lease agreement that can be canceled on its anniversary date with 60-day advance notice.

Rent expense was \$11,709 and \$17,068 in fiscal 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Litigation

The Company is subject to legal proceedings and claims that arise in the ordinary course of its business. In the opinion of management, the amount of ultimate liability, if any, is not likely to have a material effect on the financial condition, results of operations or liquidity of the Company. However, as the outcome of litigation or legal claims is difficult to predict, significant changes in the estimated exposures could occur.

12. Net Earnings Per Common Share

Basic net income per share is computed by dividing net income available to common stockholders (numerator) by the weighted average number of vested, unrestricted common shares outstanding during the period (denominator). Diluted net income per share is computed on the basis of the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding plus the effect of dilutive potential common shares outstanding during the period using the if-converted method. Dilutive potential common shares include shares issuable upon exercise of outstanding stock options, warrants and convertible debt agreements.

| | | |
|---|-------------|-------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Net income – numerator basic | \$61,348 | \$3,001,510 |
| Interest expense attributable to convertible notes, net | 10,255 | 15,682 |
| Net income plus interest expense attributable to convertible notes, net – numerator diluted | \$ | \$3,017,192 |
| | 71,603 | |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding – denominator basic | 633,006,599 | 166,358,349 |

| | | |
|--|---------------|-------------|
| Effect of dilutive securities, stock options and preferred stock | 1,197,661,667 | 217,345,767 |
| Weighted average dilutive common shares outstanding | 1,830,668,266 | 383,704,115 |
| Net income per common share – basic | \$0.00 | \$0.02 |
| Net income per common share – diluted | \$0.00 | \$0.01 |

13. Related Party Transactions

The Company paid fees to a software consulting firm (“Consultant”) of \$191,500 in fiscal 2013 and \$192,000 in fiscal 2012. All such work performed by the Consultant is the property of the Company. An officer of the Company has performed work for the Consultant, including disbursement services, in which funds that were remitted by the Company to a company controlled by the officer were subsequently distributed for the Consultant to appropriate vendors.

At November 30, 2013 and 2012, total unpaid salary owed to the Company’s chief executive officer was \$464,776 and \$318,946, respectively, which has been converted into unsecured short-term loans bearing interest at 12%. Accrued interest on such loans was \$96,531 and \$51,233 as of November 30, 2013 and 2012, which is included in the other current liabilities. At November 30, 2013 and 2012, total unpaid salary owed the Company’s chief information officer was \$18,465 and \$3,465, respectively.

At November 30, 2013 and 2012, the total amount due to our chief executive officer, including loans, unpaid salary, interest and expenses amounted to \$938,210 and \$752,575, respectively.

At November 30, 2013 and 2012, unpaid salary owed to our chief information officer amounted to \$39,947 and \$23,465, respectively.

At November 30, 2013 and 2012, a subsidiary of the Company owed G3 Connect LLC (a shareholder of the Company), \$25,453 and \$5,548 in unpaid commissions, respectively.

During the fiscal 2013, the Company sold to its chief executive officer a warrant to purchase 25,333,333 shares of common stock, par value \$0.001, of the Company and its chief information officer a warrant to purchase 20,000,000 shares of common stock. The Company received, in the aggregate, cash payments totaling \$68,000. The warrants have a ten-year life and exercise price of \$0.005.

14. Subsequent Events

In January 2014, the Company sold an 8% convertible debenture for \$32,500 to an investor. The note matures on October 16, 2014 and is convertible into shares of our common stock at a 42% discount to the current market price, as defined.

In January 2014, the Company sold an 8% convertible debenture for \$120,000 to an investor. The note matures on November 14, 2014 and is convertible into shares of our common stock at a 30% discount to the current market price, as defined.

In fiscal 2013 the Company sold its ownership in a dormant wholly owned subsidiary to an independent party, but did not record the sale until December 2013, when the Company received written permission from its secured lender approving the transaction. The Company recorded a gain of approximately \$640,000 in conjunction with the sale.

In the first quarter of fiscal 2014, the Company issued 208,000,000 shares of common stock, valued at \$288,300 for payment on outstanding promissory notes of \$288,065.

In fiscal 2014, the Company borrowed \$30,000, \$20,000 and \$40,000 from different working capital lenders. The \$30,000 loan has been repaid. The due dates are from four to six months and the interest rate over the term of the borrowing ranges from 34% to 45%.

The Company borrowed \$36,500 from its Chief Executive Office in the second quarter of fiscal 2014. The debt is payable on demand and bears an annual interest rate of 24%.