

TYSON FOODS INC
Form DEF 14A
December 19, 2014
UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a)
of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

Tyson Foods, Inc.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(4) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the

Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

Tyson Foods, Inc.
2200 Don Tyson Parkway
Springdale, Arkansas 72762-6999

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

January 30, 2015

To Tyson Foods, Inc. Shareholders:

Notice is hereby given that the Annual Meeting of Shareholders ("Annual Meeting") of Tyson Foods, Inc., a Delaware corporation ("Company"), will be held at the Holiday Inn Northwest Arkansas Convention Center, 1500 South 48th Street, Springdale, Arkansas, on Friday, January 30, 2015 at 10:00 a.m., Central time, for the following purposes:

1. To elect nine directors named in the accompanying proxy statement to the Company's Board of Directors;
2. To ratify the selection of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm for the Company for the fiscal year ending October 3, 2015;
3. To consider and act upon the shareholder proposals described in the accompanying Proxy Statement, if properly presented at the Annual Meeting; and
4. To consider and act upon such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting or any adjournments or postponements thereof.

Only shareholders of record at the close of business on December 1, 2014, the record date for the Annual Meeting, will be entitled to attend and vote at the Annual Meeting and any adjournments or postponements thereof. If you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, an admission ticket is required and can be obtained by contacting Tyson Foods Investor Relations via email at ir@tyson.com or by telephone at (479) 290-4524. The Annual Meeting will also be webcast live on the Company's Investor Relations website at <http://ir.tyson.com>.

This year we will again take advantage of the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission that allow us to furnish our proxy materials over the Internet. As a result, we are sending a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials to our shareholders rather than a full paper set of the proxy materials. The Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials contains instructions on how to access our proxy materials on the Internet, as well as instructions on how shareholders may obtain a paper copy of our proxy materials. This process substantially reduces the costs associated with printing and distributing our proxy materials. To make it easier for you to vote, Internet and telephone voting are available. The instructions on the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials or, if you received a paper copy of the proxy materials, the proxy card, describe how to use these convenient services.

By Order of the Board of Directors

R. Read Hudson

Secretary

Springdale, Arkansas

December 19, 2014

YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT

WHETHER OR NOT YOU PLAN TO ATTEND THE ANNUAL MEETING, YOU ARE URGED TO VOTE AS SOON AS POSSIBLE BY INTERNET, TELEPHONE OR MAIL SO THAT YOUR SHARES MAY BE VOTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH YOUR WISHES. THE GIVING OF A PROXY DOES NOT AFFECT YOUR RIGHT TO REVOKE IT LATER OR VOTE YOUR SHARES IN PERSON IN THE EVENT YOU SHOULD ATTEND THE ANNUAL MEETING.

IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING THE AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS FOR THE ANNUAL MEETING TO BE HELD ON JANUARY 30, 2015: The Company's Proxy Statement and Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 27, 2014 are also available at <http://ir.tyson.com> or <http://www.proxyvote.com>.

TABLE OF CONTENTS	
PROXY STATEMENT SUMMARY	<u>iii</u>
GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT THIS PROXY STATEMENT AND THE ANNUAL MEETING	<u>1</u>
OUTSTANDING STOCK AND VOTING RIGHTS	<u>4</u>
SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS	<u>5</u>
SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF MANAGEMENT	<u>6</u>
ELECTION OF DIRECTORS	<u>7</u>
Board Recommendation	<u>8</u>
Vote Required	<u>8</u>
INFORMATION REGARDING THE BOARD AND ITS COMMITTEES	<u>10</u>
DIRECTOR COMPENSATION FOR FISCAL YEAR 2014	<u>13</u>
RATIFICATION OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM	<u>14</u>
Audit Fees	<u>14</u>
Audit-Related Fees	<u>14</u>
Tax Fees	<u>14</u>
All Other Fees	<u>14</u>
Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policy	<u>14</u>
Board Recommendation	<u>15</u>
Vote Required	<u>15</u>
SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS	<u>16</u>
SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL NO. 1	<u>16</u>
Board of Directors' Statement In Opposition to Shareholder Proposal No. 1	<u>16</u>
Board Recommendation	<u>18</u>
Vote Required	<u>18</u>
SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL NO. 2	<u>19</u>
Board of Directors' Statement In Opposition to Shareholder Proposal No. 2	<u>19</u>
Board Recommendation	<u>20</u>
Vote Required	<u>20</u>
SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL NO. 3	<u>21</u>
Board of Directors' Statement In Opposition to Shareholder Proposal No. 3	<u>21</u>
Board Recommendation	<u>22</u>
Vote Required	<u>23</u>
COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS	<u>24</u>
Introduction	<u>24</u>

Fiscal Year 2014 Summary	<u>24</u>
Compensation Philosophy and Objectives	<u>24</u>
How We Determine Compensation	<u>24</u>
How NEOs Are Compensated	<u>26</u>
Elements of Compensation	<u>27</u>
Employment Contracts	<u>33</u>

Certain Benefits Upon a Change in Control	<u>34</u>
Tax and Accounting Considerations	<u>34</u>
Stock Ownership Program	<u>35</u>
Risk Considerations in our Overall Compensation Program	<u>35</u>
REPORT OF THE COMPENSATION AND LEADERSHIP DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE	<u>36</u>
EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	<u>37</u>
Summary Compensation Table for Fiscal Years 2014, 2013 and 2012	<u>37</u>
Grants of Plan-Based Awards During Fiscal Year 2014	<u>40</u>
Outstanding Equity Awards at 2014 Fiscal Year-End	<u>41</u>
Option Exercises and Stock Vested During Fiscal Year 2014	<u>43</u>
Pension Benefits	<u>43</u>
Nonqualified Deferred Compensation for Fiscal Year 2014	<u>44</u>
Potential Payments Upon Termination	<u>46</u>
Potential Payments Upon a Change in Control	<u>48</u>
REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE	<u>49</u>
CERTAIN TRANSACTIONS	<u>50</u>
SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE	<u>51</u>
SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS AND DIRECTOR NOMINATIONS	<u>51</u>
SHAREHOLDER COMMUNICATIONS	<u>51</u>
EXPENSES OF SOLICITATION	<u>52</u>
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AVAILABLE	<u>52</u>
HOUSEHOLDING OF PROXY MATERIALS	<u>52</u>
OTHER MATTERS	<u>52</u>

PROXY STATEMENT SUMMARY

This summary highlights information contained elsewhere in this Proxy Statement but does not contain all of the information you should consider before voting your shares. For more complete information regarding the proposals to be voted on at the 2015 Annual Meeting of Shareholders (the “Annual Meeting”) of Tyson Foods, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the “Company”) and our fiscal year 2014 performance, please review the entire Proxy Statement and our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 27, 2014.

INFORMATION ABOUT OUR ANNUAL MEETING

Date and Time: Friday, January 30, 2015 at 10:00 a.m., Central time

Place: Holiday Inn Northwest Arkansas Convention Center
1500 South 48th Street
Springdale, Arkansas

Record Date: December 1, 2014

Only shareholders of record at the close of business on the Record Date will be entitled to attend and vote at the Annual Meeting and any adjournments or postponements thereof. Each share of Attendance/Voting: Class A Common Stock will entitle the holder to one vote for each director nominee and one vote for each other proposal, and each share of Class B Common Stock will entitle the holder to ten votes for each director nominee and ten votes for each other proposal.

Advance Voting: Even if you plan to attend the Annual Meeting in person, please vote right away using one of the following advance voting methods:

- ✶ Visit the website listed on your proxy card/voting instruction form to vote by Internet.
- ☎ Call the telephone number on your proxy card/voting instruction form to vote by telephone.
- ✉ Sign, date and return your proxy card/voting instruction form in the enclosed envelope to vote by mail.

PROPOSALS AND VOTING RECOMMENDATIONS

Voting Items	Board Recommendation	Votes Required for Approval	Page No.
Election of directors	FOR All Nominees	Majority of votes cast	<u>7</u>
Ratification of selection of independent registered public accounting firm	FOR	Majority of votes cast	<u>14</u>
Shareholder Proposal No. 1	AGAINST	Majority of votes cast	<u>16</u>
Shareholder Proposal No. 2	AGAINST	Majority of votes cast	<u>19</u>
Shareholder Proposal No. 3	AGAINST	Majority of votes cast	<u>21</u>

DIRECTOR NOMINEES

The following table contains information about the nine candidates who have been nominated for election to the Board of Directors. Each nominee is currently a director of the Company. Additional biographical information about the nominees can be found in this Proxy Statement starting on page 7.

Name	Age	Director Since	Independent	Committee Assignments				
				Audit	Compensation and Leadership Development	Governance and Nominating	Strategy and Acquisitions	Executive
John Tyson m	61	1984	No					ü
Kathleen M. Bader	64	2011	Yes	ü			ü*	
Gaurdie E. Banister Jr. †	57	2011	Yes		ü		ü	
Jim Keever :	62	1999	Yes	ü		ü	ü	ü
Kevin M. McNamara :	58	2007	Yes	ü*	ü			
Brad T. Sauer	55	2008	Yes		ü*	ü		
Donnie Smith	55	2014	No					
Robert Thurber	67	2009	Yes			ü*	ü	
Barbara A. Tyson	65	1988	No					ü

m Chairman of the Board *Committee Chairperson † Lead Independent Director : Audit Committee Financial Expert

BUSINESS HIGHLIGHTS

The Company's total sales in fiscal year 2014 were \$37.6 billion, a 9% increase from the prior year. Operating income increased for the same period to over \$1.4 billion. Our board of directors increased quarterly dividends on our common stock by 50% beginning in December 2013.

Also in fiscal year 2014, we acquired The Hillshire Brands Company, a leader in branded, convenient foods. The transaction adds such iconic brands as Jimmy Dean®, Ball Park®, State Fair® and Hillshire Farm® to our portfolio and positions the Company as a clear leader in the prepared foods business. We also acquired the assets of Bosco's Pizza Co., a producer of stuffed bread sticks and frozen pizzas for food service and retail customers.

GOVERNANCE HIGHLIGHTS

The Company is committed to good corporate governance, which promotes the long-term interests of shareholders, strengthens the Board of Directors and management accountability, and helps build public trust in the Company. Some of the Company's key governance features include:

- 6 out of 9 director nominees are independent
- Separation of the roles of Chairman, CEO and Lead Independent Director
- Annual board and committee self-evaluations
- Average board meeting attendance in excess of 75%
- Deferred shares for directors and strong ownership requirements for directors and senior officers
- Independent board committees (other than the Executive Committee)
- Robust Code of Conduct
- Board makeup highlighted by strong leadership, diversity and experience
- Regular executive sessions of independent directors

In November 2013, the Board of Directors expanded the responsibilities of the Compensation Committee and renamed it the “Compensation and Leadership Development Committee;” combined the Governance Committee and Nominating Committee into a single committee; formed the “Strategy and Acquisitions Committee,” a new committee focused on the Company’s long-term strategy; rotated certain committee assignments; and updated the Company’s Corporate Governance Principles to reflect these changes. These actions were designed to deepen the Board’s subject matter expertise and strengthen its oversight of management and the Company’s performance in relation to its goals, strategy and competitors. The following table contains certain information about the Board of Directors and its committees during fiscal year 2014.

iv

	Number of Members	Independent Membership	Number of Meetings During Fiscal Year 2014
Board of Directors	9	78%	15 (2 written consents)
Audit Committee	3	100%	5
Compensation and Leadership Development Committee	3	100%	6 (1 written consent)
Governance and Nominating Committee	3	100%	4
Strategy and Acquisitions Committee	4	100%	9
Executive Committee	3	33%	4 written consents

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION SUMMARY

Our executive compensation program is rooted in maintaining a strong link between pay and performance, which we believe results in a better alignment of compensation with corporate goals and shareholder interests. Through our executive compensation program, we emphasize attainment of Company goals, both short- and long-term, and seek to foster a commitment to performance that enhances shareholder value. Our key executive compensation practices include the following:

- High percentage of pay is variable and at risk
- Target pay is at or near the median of our comparison groups
- Substantial stock ownership guidelines and holding requirements
- Balanced mix of short- and long-term incentives
- Performance targets set at challenging levels

We provide a compensation package designed to attract, motivate and retain superior executive talent for the long-term. We believe that total compensation opportunities should reflect each executive officer's role, skills, experience level and individual contributions to the Company and be competitive with the organizations with which we compete for talent. We also believe that as an executive officer's responsibility increases, a significant portion of his or her compensation should be dependent on Company earnings and performance goals. In fiscal year 2014, approximately two-thirds of our named executive officers' target total compensation opportunity was at-risk. Approximately 99% of the votes cast at the 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders on the non-binding advisory vote on our named executive officer compensation were voted in support of our executive compensation program. Consistent with shareholders' approval, the Compensation and Leadership Development Committee continued to apply the same effective principles and philosophy it has used in prior years to determine executive compensation and will continue to consider stockholder concerns and feedback.

Detailed information regarding our compensation programs, practices and philosophy can be found in this proxy statement under the section titled "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" and the compensation tables of this Proxy Statement.

HOW PAY IS TIED TO COMPANY PERFORMANCE

Incentive payments under the Company's cash performance incentive payment plan are based on performance measures established by the Compensation and Leadership Development Committee. For fiscal year 2014, the committee selected Adjusted EBIT, which is operating income before interest and taxes which takes into account unusual or unique items, as the performance measure under the plan. The committee believes Adjusted EBIT is an appropriate measure of Company performance to utilize in making performance-based compensation decisions because senior management uses this same measure, in large part, to evaluate the day-to-day performance of the business. Adjusted EBIT for fiscal year 2014 was \$1.658 billion, which resulted in bonus eligibility for our NEOs at approximately 169% of each of their target bonus amounts.

Performance stock grants under the Company's equity compensation plans are also based on performance measures chosen by the committee. For fiscal year 2014, the committee selected the achievement of a 3-year cumulative Adjusted EBIT, measured from the beginning of fiscal year 2014, and a comparison of the performance of the

Company's Class A Common Stock relative to the stock prices of a compensation peer group over the same 3-year period. Each performance criterion accounts for one-half of the performance stock award.

v

Tyson Foods, Inc.
2200 Don Tyson Parkway
Springdale, Arkansas 72762-6999

PROXY STATEMENT

For
ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

To Be Held

January 30, 2015

GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT THIS PROXY STATEMENT AND THE ANNUAL MEETING

Why am I receiving these proxy materials?

The Company has made these materials available to you in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Board of Directors ("Board") of Tyson Foods, Inc., a Delaware corporation ("Company"), for use at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders ("Annual Meeting"), to be held at the Holiday Inn Northwest Arkansas Convention Center, 1500 South 48th Street, Springdale, Arkansas, on Friday, January 30, 2015 at 10:00 a.m., Central time. These materials were first sent or made available to shareholders on December 19, 2014. You are invited to attend the Annual Meeting and are requested to vote on the matters described in this Proxy Statement.

What is included in the proxy materials?

These materials include:

- ☑ This Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting; and
- ☑ The Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 27, 2014.

If you request printed versions of these materials be sent to you by mail, these materials will also include a proxy card and voting instruction form for the Annual Meeting.

Why did I receive a one-page notice in the mail regarding the Internet availability of the proxy materials instead of a full set of the proxy materials?

Pursuant to rules adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"), the Company has elected to provide access to its proxy materials over the Internet. All shareholders will have the ability to access the proxy materials on the website referred to in the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials or request a printed set of our proxy materials, including a proxy card. Instructions on how to access the proxy materials over the Internet or to request a printed copy may be found in the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials. We encourage you to take advantage of the availability of the proxy materials on the Internet in order to help reduce our costs and the environmental impact of the Annual Meeting.

How can I get electronic access to the proxy materials?

The Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials provides you with instructions regarding how to view the proxy materials for the Annual Meeting on the Internet and how to instruct the Company to send future proxy materials, including the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials, to you electronically by email. The Company's proxy materials are also available on the Company's Investor Relations website at <http://ir.tyson.com>.

If you choose to receive future proxy materials by email, you will receive an email message next year with instructions containing a link to those materials and a link to the proxy voting website. Your election to receive proxy materials electronically will remain in effect until you terminate it.

What items will be voted on at the Annual Meeting?

The following matters will be presented for shareholder consideration and voting at the Annual Meeting:

- To elect the nine director nominees named in this Proxy Statement to the Board;
- To ratify the selection of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm for the Company for the fiscal year ending October 3, 2015;
- To consider and act upon the shareholder proposals described in this Proxy Statement, if properly presented at the Annual Meeting; and
- To consider and act upon such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting or any adjournments or postponements thereof.

What are the Board's voting recommendations?

The Board recommends that you vote your shares:

• **FOR** the election of each of the director nominees named in this Proxy Statement to the Board;

• **FOR** ratification of the selection of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending October 3, 2015; and

• **AGAINST** the shareholder proposals.

What is the difference between a shareholder of record and a beneficial owner of shares held in street name?

Shareholder of Record. If your shares are registered directly in your name with the Company's transfer agent, Computershare, Inc., you are considered the shareholder of record with respect to those shares, and the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials was sent directly to you by the Company. As a shareholder of record, you have the ability to vote your shares via the Internet, telephone, mail or in person. If you request printed copies of the proxy materials by mail, you will receive the proxy card.

Beneficial Owner of Shares Held in Street Name. If your shares are held in an account at a brokerage firm, bank, broker-dealer, or other similar organization, then you are the beneficial owner of shares held in "street name," and the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials was forwarded to you by that organization. The organization holding your account is considered the shareholder of record for purposes of voting at the Annual Meeting. As a beneficial owner, you have the right to direct that organization on how to vote the shares held in your account. If you request printed copies of the proxy materials by mail, you will receive a voting instruction form from the organization holding your shares.

If I am a shareholder of record of the Company's shares, how do I vote using the Company's proxy materials?

There are four ways to vote using the Company's proxy materials:

• **Via the Internet.** You may vote by proxy via the Internet by following the instructions provided in the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials, or, if you request printed copies of the proxy materials be sent to you by mail, by following the instructions provided with the proxy card.

• **By telephone.** If you request printed copies of the proxy materials be sent to you by mail, you may vote by proxy by calling the toll-free number found on the proxy card.

• **By mail.** If you request printed copies of the proxy materials be sent to you by mail, you may vote by proxy by filling out the proxy card and sending it back in the envelope provided.

• **In person.** You may vote in person at the Annual Meeting. If you desire to vote in person at the Annual Meeting, please request a ballot when you arrive.

If I am a beneficial owner of shares held in street name, how do I vote using the Company's proxy materials?

There are four ways to vote using the Company's proxy materials:

• **Via the Internet.** You may vote by proxy via the Internet by visiting <http://www.proxyvote.com> and entering the control number found in the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials, or, if you request printed copies of the proxy materials be sent to you by mail, by following the instructions provided in the voting instruction form you received from the organization holding your shares.

• **By telephone.** If you request printed copies of the proxy materials be sent to you by mail, you may vote by proxy by calling the toll-free number found on the voting instruction form you received from the organization holding your shares.

By mail. If you request printed copies of the proxy materials be sent to you by mail, you may vote by proxy by filling out the voting instruction form you received from the organization that holds your shares and sending it back in the envelope provided.

In person. You may vote in person at the Annual Meeting by first obtaining a legal proxy from the organization that holds your shares. If you obtain such a proxy and desire to vote in person at the Annual Meeting, please request a ballot when you arrive.

Can I change my vote after I have voted?

You may revoke your proxy and change your vote at any time before the final vote at the Annual Meeting. You may vote again on a later date via the Internet or by telephone (only your latest Internet or telephone proxy submitted prior to the Annual Meeting will be counted), by signing and returning a proxy card or voting instruction form with a later date, or by attending the Annual Meeting and voting in person. However, your attendance at the Annual Meeting will not automatically revoke your proxy unless you vote again at the Annual Meeting or specifically request that your prior proxy be revoked by delivering to the Company's corporate secretary at 2200 Don Tyson Parkway, Mail Stop CP004, Springdale, Arkansas 72762-6999 a written notice of revocation prior to the Annual Meeting.

Is my vote confidential?

Proxy instructions, ballots and voting tabulations that identify individual shareholders are handled in a manner that protects your voting privacy. Your vote will not be disclosed either within the Company or to third parties, except:

- as necessary to meet applicable legal requirements;
- to allow for the tabulation and certification of votes; and
- to facilitate a successful proxy solicitation.

Occasionally, shareholders provide written comments on their proxy cards, which may be forwarded to the Company's management and the Board.

Where can I find the voting results of the Annual Meeting?

The preliminary voting results will be announced at the Annual Meeting. The final voting results will be tallied by the inspector of election and published within four business days following conclusion of the Annual Meeting.

How can I attend the Annual Meeting?

Only persons owning shares at the close of business on December 1, 2014, the record date for the Annual Meeting, will be entitled to attend and vote at the Annual Meeting and any adjournments or postponements thereof. If you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, an admission ticket is required and can be obtained by contacting Tyson Foods Investor Relations via email at ir@tyson.com or by telephone at (479) 290-4524. The Annual Meeting will also be webcast live on the Company's Investor Relations website at <http://ir.tyson.com>.

OUTSTANDING STOCK AND VOTING RIGHTS

Generally. As of December 1, 2014, the outstanding shares of the Company's capital stock consisted of 305,193,393 shares of Class A Common Stock, \$0.10 par value ("Class A Common Stock"), and 70,010,805 shares of Class B Common Stock, \$0.10 par value ("Class B Common Stock"). The holders of record of the shares of Class A Common Stock and Class B Common Stock outstanding at the close of business on December 1, 2014, the record date for the Annual Meeting, will vote together as a single class on all matters submitted to shareholders and such other matters as may properly come before the Annual Meeting and any adjournments or postponements thereof. Each share of Class A Common Stock will entitle the holder to one vote on all such matters and each share of Class B Common Stock will entitle the holder to ten votes on all such matters.

Quorum. A majority of votes represented by the holders of the Company's outstanding Class A Common Stock and Class B Common Stock, treated as a single class, must be present in person or represented by proxy to hold the Annual Meeting.

Approval Standards. The Company's by-laws provide that in an uncontested election of directors, each director nominee will be elected by the vote of a majority of the votes cast for his or her election at the meeting. A majority of votes cast means that the number of shares cast "for" a director's election exceeds the number of votes cast "against" that director. In a contested election (an election in which the number of nominees exceeds the number of directors to be elected), the directors will be elected by the vote of a plurality of the votes cast on the election of directors. The election of directors to be held at the Annual Meeting is an uncontested election, thus the majority vote standard will apply.

A majority of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting is required to ratify the selection of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP ("PwC") as the independent registered public accounting firm for the Company for the fiscal year ending October 3, 2015, and to approve the shareholder proposals.

The form of proxy card or voting instruction form provides a method for shareholders to vote for, against or to abstain from voting with respect to (i) each director nominee, (ii) the ratification of the selection of PwC as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, and (iii) the shareholder proposals.

Broker Non-Votes and Abstentions. Under the rules of the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE"), brokers, banks or other similar organizations holding shares in street name for customers who are beneficial owners of such shares are prohibited from voting or giving a proxy to vote such customers' shares on "non-routine" matters in the absence of specific instructions from such customers. This is commonly referred to as a "broker non-vote." Broker non-votes will be counted for quorum purposes but will not be counted as votes cast either for or against a proposal. In other words, broker non-votes are not considered "votes cast." The election of directors and the shareholder proposals are considered "non-routine" matters under applicable NYSE rules and, therefore, if you hold your shares through a bank, broker or other similar organization, the organization may not vote your shares on these matters absent specific instructions from you. As such, there may be broker non-votes with respect to these matters. However, broker non-votes will have no impact on the outcome of these matters because, as stated above, they are not considered "votes cast" for voting purposes. On the other hand, the ratification of the selection of PwC as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm is considered a "routine" matter under the current rules of the NYSE, therefore, the organization that holds your shares may vote on this matter without instructions from you and no broker non-votes will occur with respect to this matter.

As with broker non-votes, abstentions are counted for quorum purposes but will not be counted as votes cast either for or against a proposal. In other words, abstentions are not considered "votes cast." Accordingly, abstentions will have no impact on the outcome on the proposals contained in this Proxy Statement.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS

The table below sets forth certain information as of December 1, 2014 regarding the only persons known by the Company to own, directly or indirectly, more than 5% of either of its two classes of Common Stock:

Title of Class	Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Amount And Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percent of Class
Class B Common Stock	Tyson Limited Partnership 2200 Don Tyson Parkway Springdale, AR 72762-6999	70,000,000(1)	99.98 %
Class A Common Stock	Vanguard Group, Inc. 100 Vanguard Blvd. Malvern, PA 19355	22,481,357(2)	7.37 %
Class A Common Stock	BlackRock, Inc. 40 East 52nd Street New York, NY 10022	20,113,691(3)	6.59 %

70,000,000 shares of Class B Common Stock and 2,000,000 shares of Class A Common Stock are owned of record by the Tyson Limited Partnership, a Delaware limited partnership ("TLP"). The limited partners (and their respective partnership interests in the TLP) are as follows: the Tyson 2009 Family Trust (53.4881%), the Randal W. Tyson Testamentary Trust (45.2549%) and the Donald J. Tyson Revocable Trust (.1257%). The descendants of Don Tyson, including Mr. John Tyson, Chairman of the Board of the Company, are the sole beneficiaries of the Tyson 2009 Family Trust. Ms. Barbara A. Tyson, the widow of Randal W. Tyson and a director of the Company, is the sole income beneficiary of and has limited dispositive power with respect to the Randal W. Tyson Testamentary Trust. Mr. Tyson is one of the contingent beneficiaries of the Randal W. Tyson Testamentary Trust. The descendants of Don Tyson, including Mr. Tyson, are the sole beneficiaries of the Donald J. Tyson Revocable Trust. The general partners of the TLP, who in the aggregate have a 1.1313% partnership interest in the TLP, are Mr. Tyson, Ms. Tyson, Mr. Harry C. Erwin, III and the Tyson Partnership Interest Trust ("TPIT"), whose trustees are Mr. Erwin, Mr. Thomas B. Schueck and Mr. W.H. Taylor. A managing general partner of the TLP has the exclusive right, subject to certain restrictions, to do all things on behalf of the TLP necessary to manage, conduct, control and operate the TLP's business, including the right to vote all shares or other securities held by the TLP, as well as the right to mortgage, pledge or grant security interests in any assets of the TLP. However, the TLP has no

(1) managing general partner at this time. Until a new managing general partner is selected, the management rights of the managing general partner may be exercised by a majority of the percentage interests of the general partners, which no single general partner currently possesses. The percentage of general partnership interests of the TLP are as follows: TPIT (44.44%); Mr. Tyson (33.33%); Ms. Tyson (11.115%); and Mr. Erwin (11.115%). The TPIT terminates on December 31, 2016. Upon termination, the general partnership interests held by the TPIT will transfer to the Donald J. Tyson Revocable Trust of which Mr. Tyson, Mr. Schueck and Mr. Erwin are the trustees. The TLP terminates December 31, 2040. Additionally, the TLP may be dissolved upon the occurrence of certain events, including (i) a written determination by the managing general partner that the projected future revenues of the TLP will be insufficient to enable payment of costs and expenses, or that such future revenues will be such that continued operation of the TLP will not be in the best interest of the partners, (ii) an election to dissolve the TLP by the managing general partner that is approved by the affirmative vote of a majority in percentage interest of all general partners, or (iii) the sale of all or substantially all of the TLP's assets and properties. The withdrawal of the managing general partner or any other general partner (unless such partner is the sole remaining general partner) will not cause the dissolution of the TLP. Upon dissolution of the TLP, each partner, including all limited partners, will receive in cash or otherwise, after payment of creditors, loans from any partner, and return of capital account balances, their respective percentage interests in the TLP assets.

The information provided is based solely on information obtained from a Schedule 13F filed with the SEC on or (2) about November 12, 2014 by Vanguard Group, Inc. The information has been included solely in reliance upon, and without independent investigation of, the disclosures contained in such Schedule 13F.

(3)

Edgar Filing: TYSON FOODS INC - Form DEF 14A

The information provided is based solely on information obtained from Schedule 13Fs filed with the SEC on or about October 29, 2014 by BlackRock, Inc. and certain of its investment operating subsidiaries. The information has been included solely in reliance upon, and without independent investigation of, the disclosures contained in such Schedule 13Fs.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF MANAGEMENT

The table below sets forth information with respect to the beneficial ownership of Class A Common Stock, as of December 1, 2014, by the Company's directors (each of whom, with the exception of Albert C. Zapanta, is a director nominee), named executive officers and by all directors and executive officers as a group (who, individually or collectively, do not directly own any shares of Class B Common Stock):

Name of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature Of Beneficial Ownership(1)(#)	Percent of Class	
John Tyson(2)(3)	3,163,901	1.04	%
Kathleen M. Bader(4)	12,824	*	
Gaurdie E. Banister Jr.(4)	17,274	*	
Jim Kever(4)	21,292	*	
Kevin M. McNamara(4)	13,956	*	
Brad T. Sauer(4)	8,824	*	
Robert Thurber(4)	19,247	*	
Barbara A. Tyson(2)(4)	170,395	*	
Albert C. Zapanta(4)	1,542	*	
Donnie King	507,485	*	
Dennis Leatherby	385,055	*	
James V. Lochner	369,482	*	
Donnie Smith	1,703,008	*	
Noel White	320,524	*	
All Directors and Executive Officers as a Group (22 persons)	7,503,695	2.46	%

* Indicates less than
1%.

(1) The amounts in this column include beneficial ownership of shares with respect to which voting or investment power may be deemed to be directly or indirectly controlled. Accordingly, the shares shown in the table include shares owned directly, shares held in such person's account under the Company's Employee Stock Purchase Plan, shares owned by certain of the individual's family members and shares held by the individual as a trustee or in a fiduciary or other similar capacity, unless otherwise disclaimed and/or described below. The amounts in this column also include shares subject to options exercisable on or within 60 days of December 1, 2014, in the following amounts: Mr. Tyson (1,160,601); Mr. King (403,194); Mr. Leatherby (255,901); Mr. Lochner (236,799); Mr. Smith (1,566,901); Mr. White (255,494) and the other executive officers (421,823).

(2) The amounts in these rows do not include any shares of Class A Common Stock or Class B Common Stock owned by the TLP, of which Mr. Tyson and Ms. Tyson are general partners. The TLP owns 99.98% of the outstanding Class B Common Stock and .66% of the outstanding Class A Common Stock, which results in the TLP controlling 69.83% of the aggregate vote of Class A Common Stock and Class B Common Stock. When combined with the total ownership of directors and executive officers as a group, the aggregate voting percentage increases to 70.58%. The TLP and its ownership of such stock are further described in footnote 1 to the table titled "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners" in this Proxy Statement.

(3) Mr. Tyson's amount includes 1,455,844 shares pledged as security for loans.

The amounts in these rows do not include grants of deferred stock awards of Class A Common Stock made on the date(s) of election to the Board by shareholders (see the section titled "Director Compensation for Fiscal Year (4)2014" in this Proxy Statement) to each of Ms. Bader (6,633); Mr. Banister (6,633); Mr. Kever (56,578); Mr. McNamara (40,451); Mr. Sauer (25,555); Mr. Thurber (25,742); Ms. Tyson (15,554) and Mr. Zapanta (53,216).

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

The number of directors that will serve on the Board following the Annual Meeting is currently set at nine but may be changed from time to time in the manner provided in the Company's by-laws. Directors are elected for a term of one year or until their successors are duly elected and qualified. Our by-laws provide that no person shall be nominated to serve as a director after he or she has passed his or her 72nd birthday (the "Retirement Age By-law"), unless the Board has voted, on an annual basis, to waive or continue to waive the Retirement Age By-law for a nominee.

Set forth below is biographical information for each director nominee chosen by the Board to stand for election at the Annual Meeting. The slate consists of six independent directors and three non-independent directors. Each of the director nominees is currently serving as a director of the Company and, with the exception of Mr. Smith, was elected at the 2014 annual meeting of shareholders. The Board recommends that each director nominee be elected at the Annual Meeting.

John Tyson

John Tyson, 61, is Chairman of the Board. Mr. Tyson has been a member of the Board since 1984, has served as Chairman since 1998, and served as Chief Executive Officer from 2001 until 2006. Mr. Tyson has devoted his professional career to the Company and brings extensive understanding of the Company, its operations and the protein and food processing industries to the Board. Through his leadership experience gained as a Chief Executive Officer of the Company, Mr. Tyson provides the Board with critical insight into the Company's business. In addition, Mr. Tyson, through his association with the TLP, has a substantial personal interest in the Company. The Board believes that Mr. Tyson's leadership experience and knowledge of the Company acquired through his years of service to the Company and his personal stake in its success qualify him to serve on the Board.

Kathleen M. Bader

Kathleen M. Bader, 64, was President and Chief Executive Officer of NatureWorks LLC, which makes fiber and packaging raw materials from renewable sources, having served in that capacity from 2004 to 2006, at which time she retired. Ms. Bader also spent more than 30 years with Dow Chemical, holding various management positions in the company's global and North American operations, including global business president of a \$4.2 billion plastics portfolio. She has served on the board of directors of Textron Inc. since 2004 and was previously a director for Halliburton Company. She also served on the President's Homeland Security Advisory Council and completed an eight year term on the board for Habitat for Humanity International in 2012. Ms. Bader has been a member of the Board since 2011. The Board believes Ms. Bader's extensive leadership experience, including her exposure to commodities and international business, qualifies her to serve on the Board.

Gaurdie E. Banister Jr.

Gaurdie E. Banister Jr., 57, is currently the President and Chief Executive Officer of Aera Energy LLC, a \$5 billion oil and gas producer that is jointly owned by Shell and ExxonMobil, a position he has held since 2007. Prior to joining Aera Energy, Mr. Banister held a number of management positions with Shell where he had responsibility for, among other things, strategic planning and mergers and acquisitions. Mr. Banister has been a member of the Board since 2011. The Board believes his more than 30 years in the oil and gas industry, which included significant involvement in international business, along with his leadership experience as CEO of one of California's largest oil and gas producers, qualify him to serve on the Board.

Jim Keever

Jim Keever, 62, is the founding partner of Voyent Partners, LLC, an investment partnership founded in 2001. Mr. Keever is also a director of 3D Systems Corporation and Luminex Corporation and has served as a director of ACI Worldwide, Inc. and Emdeon Corporation. Mr. Keever has been a member of the Board since 1999. Mr. Keever has extensive knowledge of capital markets and corporate finance and qualifies as an "audit committee financial expert" within the meaning of the regulations of the SEC. His experience as the director of various companies across a diverse range of industries provides him a unique perspective of, and the ability to understand and address, the challenges and issues facing the Company. The Board believes that his professional experience, financial expertise and service on the boards of other public companies qualify him to serve on the Board.

Kevin M. McNamara

Kevin M. McNamara, 58, is the founding principal of McNamara Family Ventures, a family investment office providing venture and growth capital to health care companies. He has served as Executive Chairman of ConseoHealth, a nationwide leader in physician in-home health assessments since October 2014. He also served as an operating partner in Health Evolution Partners, a healthcare focused private equity firm, from April 2013 through October 2014, and in that capacity served on the board of directors of Optimal Radiology Partners. He also serves as the Chairman of Agilum Healthcare Intelligence, a healthcare business intelligence company, having served in that capacity since 2011. He previously served as the Vice Chairman of Leon Medical Centers, a healthcare provider for medicare patients in Miami-Dade County, Florida, from 2010 to 2011, and continues to serve on the Leon Medical Centers board of directors.

7

From 2005 to 2009 he was Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of HealthSpring, Inc., a managed care company. Mr. McNamara is a director of Luminex Corporation. Mr. McNamara has been a member of the Board since 2007. Mr. McNamara's financial expertise and professional experience are critical to the Board, the Audit Committee and the Compensation and Leadership Development Committee. His experience overseeing financial reporting processes, internal accounting and financial controls, as well as managing independent auditor engagements, qualifies him as an "audit committee financial expert" within the meaning of the regulations of the SEC. The Board believes that Mr. McNamara's financial expertise and management experience as both a principal financial officer and director of other public companies qualify him to serve on the Board.

Brad T. Sauer

Brad T. Sauer, 55, currently retired, served as Executive Vice President, 3M Industrial Business Group from 2012 to May 2014. He previously served as Executive Vice President, Health Care Business for 3M Company and served in that capacity from 2004 to 2012. Mr. Sauer has been a member of the Board since 2008. Mr. Sauer's career and management expertise spans many disciplines, including sales and marketing, technology and product innovation, and manufacturing quality and processes, which allows him to bring an extensive, multi-disciplined perspective to the Board. In addition, Mr. Sauer's experience as an executive officer of a Fortune 500 company helps him understand the Company's challenges in a global marketplace. The Board believes that Mr. Sauer's diverse management experience qualifies him to serve on the Board.

Donnie Smith

Donnie Smith, 55, is the President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, having been appointed to that position in November 2009. Mr. Smith has been a member of the Board since December 8, 2014. Prior to his appointment as President and Chief Executive Officer, he served as Senior Group Vice President, Poultry and Prepared Foods since January 2009, prior to which he served as Group Vice President of Consumer Products since 2008, Group Vice President of Logistics and Operations Services since 2007, Group Vice President Information Systems, Purchasing and Distribution since 2006 and Senior Vice President and Chief Information Officer since 2005. Mr. Smith was initially employed by the Company in 1980. Since assuming the office of CEO in 2009, the Company's annual revenues have increased 33% to \$37.6 billion, and average annual operating income has exceeded \$1.3 billion. Mr. Smith is the primary person in management responsible for the overall operation and strategic vision of our business. The Board believes that this leadership and his extensive experience with the Company qualify him to serve on the Board.

Robert Thurber

Robert Thurber, 67, currently retired, served as Vice President of purchasing for Sysco Corporation from 1987 to 2007. Mr. Thurber is also a director of Capstone Bancshares, Inc. Mr. Thurber has been a member of the Board since 2009. Mr. Thurber's experience at a leading marketer and distributor of food products to the foodservice industry is particularly relevant given the Company's position as a leading supplier of high quality protein and other food products to the foodservice industry. The Board benefits greatly from Mr. Thurber's extensive understanding of the foodservice industry, which provides him the insight necessary to address the challenges, opportunities and operations of the Company's complex business operations. The Board believes these attributes qualify him to serve on the Board.

Barbara A. Tyson

Barbara A. Tyson, 65, served as Vice President of the Company until 2002, after which she became a consultant to the Company through 2011. Ms. Tyson has been a member of the Board since 1988. Through her years of experience as both an officer and director of the Company, Ms. Tyson developed an understanding of the Company and its operations, which allows her to assist the Board in its development of the Company's long-term strategy. Ms. Tyson, as the sole income beneficiary of the Randal W. Tyson Testamentary Trust, also has a substantial personal interest in the Company. The Board believes that Ms. Tyson's management experience, understanding of the Company and personal interest in the Company's success qualify her to serve on the Board.

Board Recommendation

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE COMPANY RECOMMENDS THAT SHAREHOLDERS VOTE "FOR" THE SLATE OF DIRECTORS NOMINATED BY THE BOARD.

PROXIES SOLICITED BY THE BOARD WILL BE VOTED “FOR” EACH COMPANY NOMINEE UNLESS SHAREHOLDERS SPECIFY A CONTRARY VOTE.

Vote Required

Approval of a nominee for director requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting, with the holders of shares of Class A Common Stock and Class B Common Stock voting together as a single class.

8

Shareholders are not entitled to cumulate voting with respect to the election of directors. The Board contemplates that all of the director nominees will be able to stand for election, but should any director nominee become unavailable for election, all proxies will be voted for the election of a substitute nominated by the Board (unless the Board chooses to reduce the number of directors on the Board).

9

INFORMATION REGARDING THE BOARD AND ITS COMMITTEES

Family and Other Relationships. Ms. Tyson is the aunt of Mr. Tyson. There are no other family relationships among the director nominees or the Company's executive officers. By reason of its beneficial ownership of the Company's common stock, the TLP is deemed to be a controlling person of the Company. Other than the TLP, none of the companies or organizations listed in the director nominees' biographies above is a parent, subsidiary or affiliate of the Company.

Director Independence. After reviewing all relevant relationships of the directors, the Board has determined that each of Ms. Bader, Mr. Banister, Mr. Kever, Mr. McNamara, Mr. Sauer, Mr. Thurber and Mr. Zapanta qualify as independent directors in accordance with the NYSE corporate governance rules. In making its independence determinations, the Board considered all relevant transactions, relationships or arrangements disclosed in this Proxy Statement under the section titled "Certain Transactions" and the following:

Each of Mr. Tyson, Mr. Kever and Mr. McNamara has an investment in a privately held company for which Mr. Kever is a director. Neither Mr. Tyson nor Mr. McNamara has any business relationship with, and neither Mr. Tyson nor Mr. McNamara serve as a director or officer of, this company. Based on the foregoing facts, the Board has determined that this relationship does not affect Mr. Kever's independence.

Prior to May 2014, Mr. Sauer was Executive Vice President, 3M Industrial Business Group, one of five business groups of 3M Company. During fiscal years 2014, 2013 and 2012, the Company paid 3M Company \$1,311,153, \$1,371,060, and \$1,357,772, respectively, for direct purchases of lab-related supplies and materials, which in each year was less than two percent (2%) of 3M Company's gross revenues. Under the NYSE rules, a director may be considered independent if payments made to an entity with which the director is affiliated are less than the greater of \$1,000,000 or two percent (2%) of the affiliated entity's gross revenues in any of the last three fiscal years. Mr. Sauer did not personally benefit from any of the purchases. Based on the foregoing facts, the Board has determined that Mr. Sauer did not have a direct or indirect material interest in the transactions and this relationship does not affect Mr. Sauer's independence.

Board Meetings. The Board held fifteen meetings and took action by written consent in lieu of a meeting two times during fiscal year 2014. All directors attended at least 97% of the Board and committee meetings they were eligible to attend during fiscal year 2014. The Company expects all directors to attend each annual meeting of shareholders. All directors attended the 2014 annual meeting of shareholders.

Executive Session; Lead Independent Director. Independent directors meet in executive session without management present each time the Board holds its regularly scheduled quarterly meetings, and these sessions are presided over by the Lead Independent Director. Mr. Kever served as the Lead Independent Director for fiscal year 2014, and Mr. Banister is serving as the Lead Independent Director for fiscal year 2015. The independent directors held four executive sessions during fiscal year 2014.

Leadership Structure. The Board's current leadership structure consists of a Chairman of the Board and a Lead Independent Director. Pursuant to the Company's Corporate Governance Principles, the Board is permitted to either separate or combine the positions of Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board as it deems appropriate from time to time. Since 2006, these positions have been held by separate individuals. The Lead Independent Director is annually selected by the Board from among the independent directors. The Board reviews the continued appropriateness and effectiveness of this leadership structure at least annually. At the present time, the Board believes that separation of the positions of Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board, combined with the role of the Lead Independent Director, improves the ability of the Board to exercise its oversight role over management, provides multiple opportunities for discussion and evaluation of management decisions and the direction of the Company, and

ensures a significant role for non-management directors in the oversight and leadership of the Company. The Board understands that maintaining qualified independent and non-management directors on the Board is an integral part of effective corporate governance. Accordingly, it believes the current leadership structure of the Board strikes an appropriate balance between independent directors, management and directors affiliated with the TLP, the Company's controlling shareholder, which allows the Board to effectively represent the best interests of the Company's entire shareholder base.

Risk Oversight. Management has the primary responsibility for identifying and managing the risks facing the Company, subject to the oversight of the Board. The Board's committees assist in discharging its risk oversight role by performing the subject matter responsibilities outlined below in the descriptions of each committee. The Board retains full oversight responsibility for all subject matters not specifically assigned to a committee, including risks presented by competition, regulation, general industry trends and capital structure and allocation. On an annual basis, management conducts an enterprise risk assessment as well as an evaluation and alignment of its risk mitigation activities. Management reviews the results of this periodic assessment with the appropriate committees of the Board.

The Board's administration of its risk oversight function has not specifically affected the Board's leadership structure. In establishing the Board's current leadership structure, risk oversight was one factor among many considered by the Board, and the Board believes that the current leadership structure is conducive to and appropriate for its risk oversight function. As stated above, the Board regularly

reviews its leadership structure and evaluates whether it, and the Board as a whole, is functioning effectively. If in the future the Board believes that a change in its leadership structure is required to, or potentially could, improve the Board's risk oversight function, it may make any change it deems appropriate.

Audit Committee. The Audit Committee's primary function is to assist the Board in fulfilling its responsibilities through regular review and oversight of the Company's financial reporting, audit and accounting processes. See the section titled "Report of the Audit Committee" in this Proxy Statement. The members of the Audit Committee are Mr. McNamara, as Chairman, Ms. Bader and Mr. Kever. Each of these individuals qualifies as an "independent" director under the regulations adopted by the SEC pursuant to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and the NYSE listing standards relating to audit committees. The Board has determined each member of the Audit Committee is knowledgeable and qualified to review financial statements. In addition, the Board has determined that Messrs. Kever and McNamara each qualify as an "audit committee financial expert" within the meaning of the regulations of the SEC. The Audit Committee held five meetings during fiscal year 2014.

Compensation and Leadership Development Committee. The Compensation and Leadership Development Committee's primary functions are to (i) establish the Company's compensation policies, (ii) oversee the administration of the Company's employee benefit plans, and (iii) oversee the development, retention and succession of the Company's executive officers. The members of the Compensation and Leadership Development Committee are Mr. Sauer, as Chairman, Mr. Banister and Mr. McNamara. The Compensation and Leadership Development Committee held six meetings and took action by written consent in lieu of a meeting one time during fiscal year 2014.

Although the Compensation and Leadership Development Committee is currently composed entirely of independent directors, is governed by a charter in accordance with NYSE rules, and intends to conduct annual performance evaluations, the Company has elected to rely on the "controlled company" exemption from certain of the NYSE corporate governance rules applicable to compensation committees, including the requirements that require the Compensation and Leadership Development Committee:

• to determine and approve the compensation of the Chief Executive Officer; and

• to take into consideration any factors relevant to a person's independence from management before selecting such person as a compensation consultant, legal counsel or other adviser to the Compensation and Leadership Development Committee.

While the Company has elected not to implement NYSE corporate governance rules requiring the Compensation and Leadership Development Committee to determine the compensation of the Chief Executive Officer, the Compensation and Leadership Development Committee has approved the employment contracts and total compensation for our Chief Executive Officer since 2003. For more information regarding the duties of the Compensation and Leadership Development Committee, see the subsection titled "How We Determine Compensation—Role of the Compensation and Leadership Development Committee" under the section titled "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" in this Proxy Statement.

Governance and Nominating Committee. The Governance and Nominating Committee's primary functions are to (i) review and recommend to the Board Corporate Governance Principles applicable to the Company; (ii) review and recommend to the Board a Code of Conduct applicable to the Company; (iii) oversee and review related party and other special transactions between the Company and its directors, executive officers or their affiliates; and (iv) identify, evaluate, and recommend individuals qualified to be directors of the Company for either appointment to the Board or to stand for election at a meeting of the shareholders. The members of the Governance and Nominating Committee are Mr. Thurber, as Chairman, Mr. Kever and Mr. Sauer. Mr. Zapanta served on the Governance and Nominating Committee until November 2014. The Governance and Nominating Committee held four meetings during

fiscal year 2014.

While the Company has not established minimum qualifications for director nominations, the Company has established, and the Governance and Nominating Committee charter contains, criteria by which the Governance and Nominating Committee is to evaluate candidates for recommendation to the Board. In evaluating candidates, the Governance and Nominating Committee takes into account the applicable requirements for directors under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder and the listing standards of the NYSE. The Governance and Nominating Committee also can take into consideration the factors and criteria set forth in the Company's Corporate Governance Principles and such other factors or criteria that the Governance and Nominating Committee deems appropriate in evaluating a candidate, including but not limited to the applicable requirements for members of committees of the Board. While the Governance and Nominating Committee does not have a formal policy on diversity with regard to its consideration of nominees, it considers diversity in its selection process and seeks to nominate candidates that have a diverse range of views, backgrounds, leadership and business experiences.

The Governance and Nominating Committee can (but is not required to) consider candidates suggested by management or other members of the Board. In addition, the Governance and Nominating Committee can (but is not required to) consider shareholder recommendations for candidates to the Board. In order to recommend a candidate to the Board, shareholders should submit the recommendation to the Chairman of the Governance and Nominating Committee in the manner described in the section of this Proxy Statement titled "Shareholder Communications." Shareholders who wish to nominate a candidate to the Board must submit such

nominations in accordance with the Company's by-laws as discussed below in the section of this Proxy Statement titled "Shareholder Proposals and Director Nominations."

Strategy and Acquisitions Committee. The Strategy and Acquisitions Committee's primary purpose is to assist the Board in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities relating to long-term strategy for the Company, risks and opportunities relating to such strategy, and strategic decisions regarding investments, acquisitions and divestitures by the Company. Among other things, the Strategy and Acquisitions Committee is required to develop, together with the CEO and his team, and recommend to the Board an annual strategic plan and long-term strategy and to continuously monitor the Company's progress against such plan. The members of the Strategy and Acquisitions Committee are Ms. Bader, as Chairperson, Mr. Banister, Mr. Kever and Mr. Thurber. The Strategy and Acquisitions Committee held nine meetings during fiscal year 2014.

Executive Committee. The Executive Committee's primary function is to act on behalf of the Board during intervals between regularly scheduled meetings of the Board. The Executive Committee may exercise all powers of the Board, except as otherwise provided by law and the Company's by-laws; however, its actions are typically ministerial, such as approving (i) the opening and closing of bank accounts and (ii) amendments to benefit plans for which Compensation and Leadership Development Committee approval is not required. All actions taken by the Executive Committee between meetings of the Board are reviewed for ratification by the Board at the following Board meeting. The members of the Executive Committee are Mr. Tyson, Mr. Kever and Ms. Tyson. The Executive Committee took action by written consent in lieu of a meeting four times during fiscal year 2014.

Corporate Governance Principles; Committee Charters; Code of Conduct. The Board has adopted Corporate Governance Principles, and each of the board committees, other than the Executive Committee, has adopted a written charter. The Board has also adopted a Code of Conduct applicable to all directors, officers and employees. Copies of these corporate governance documents are available on the Company's Investor Relations website at <http://ir.tyson.com> and in print to any shareholder who sends a request to Tyson Foods, Inc., Attention: Secretary, 2200 Don Tyson Parkway, Mail Stop CP004, Springdale, Arkansas 72762-6999.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation. The members of the Compensation and Leadership Development Committee are Mr. Sauer, Mr. Banister and Mr. McNamara. Mr. Thurber served on the committee until November 2013. All members of the Compensation and Leadership Development Committee during fiscal year 2014 were independent directors, and no member was an officer or employee of the Company or a former officer or employee of the Company. No member of the Compensation and Leadership Development Committee serving during fiscal year 2014 was party to a transaction, relationship or arrangement requiring disclosure under Item 404 of Regulation S-K. During fiscal year 2014, none of our executive officers served on the compensation committee (or its equivalent) or board of directors of another entity whose executive officer served on our Compensation and Leadership Development Committee or Board.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION FOR FISCAL YEAR 2014

In fiscal year 2014, the Company's Director Compensation Policy provided the following elements of compensation to non-employee directors:

• An annual retainer of \$80,000 (payable in quarterly installments);

• A grant of a deferred stock award for shares of Class A Common Stock having a value of \$125,000 on the date of election or re-election as a director at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which award does not become payable until 180 days after termination of his or her service as a director. The director may elect, however, to not have the award deferred and instead be distributed on the date of election.

• An additional annual retainer (payable in quarterly installments) for each of the following positions in the amounts shown:

Lead Independent Director	\$25,000
Chairperson of the Audit Committee	\$12,500
Chairperson of the Compensation and Leadership Development Committee	\$12,500
Chairperson of the Governance and Nominating Committee	\$12,500
Chairperson of the Strategy and Acquisitions Committee	\$12,500

Each non-employee director also had the option to defer any portion of his or her cash retainer (which would be credited with interest semi-annually) or to take Class A Common Stock in lieu of the cash retainer.

The table below summarizes the total compensation earned or paid by the Company to directors who were not executive officers during fiscal year 2014.

Name	Fees earned or paid in cash (\$)	Stock awards (\$)(1)(2)	Option awards (\$)	Non-equity incentive plan compensation (\$)	Change in pension value and nonqualified deferred compensation earnings (\$)	All other compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
Kathleen M. Bader	111,875	(3) 125,000	0	0	0	0	236,875
Gaurdie E. Banister Jr.	102,500	(3) 125,000	0	0	0	0	227,500
Jim Kever	130,625	(3) 125,000	0	0	0	0	255,625
Kevin M. McNamara	92,500	125,000	0	0	0	0	217,500
Brad T. Sauer	111,875	(3) 125,000	0	0	0	0	236,875
Robert Thurber	91,875	125,000	0	0	0	0	216,875
Barbara A. Tyson	80,000	125,000	0	0	0	18,701	(4) 223,701
Albert C. Zapanta	82,500	125,000	0	0	0	*	210,438

*Indicates value less than \$10,000

The amounts in this column represent the grant date fair value of these deferred stock awards. The Company has determined the fair value of these awards in accordance with the stock-based compensation accounting rules set forth in Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718. The expense of (1) these awards are recognized ratably over one year from the date of the award. Recipients of these awards are entitled to dividends during the vesting period. These dividends are converted to additional shares and credited to each recipient, who then receives these additional shares upon vesting.

As of the last day of fiscal year 2014, outstanding deferred stock awards for the directors were as follows:

(2) Ms. Bader (6,633); Mr. Banister (6,633); Mr. Kever (56,578); Mr. McNamara (40,451); Mr. Sauer (25,555); Mr. Thurber (25,742); Ms. Tyson (15,554) and Mr. Zapanta (53,216).

(3)

Includes a one-time payment of \$22,500 for services on a special ad hoc committee of the Board which functioned beginning in fiscal year 2013 and ending in fiscal year 2014.

- (4) This amount includes premiums paid by the Company for a health insurance plan and the Executive Medical Reimbursement Plan.

RATIFICATION OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Company's Audit Committee has selected PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP ("PwC") to serve as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending October 3, 2015. Shareholders are asked to ratify this selection at the Annual Meeting. Representatives of PwC will be present at the Annual Meeting and will have the opportunity to make a statement and respond to appropriate questions. Even if the selection is ratified, the Audit Committee, in its sole discretion, may change the appointment at any time during the year if it determines that such a change would be in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders.

Audit Fees

The fees for professional services rendered by PwC for the audit of the Company's annual financial statements for each of the fiscal years ended September 27, 2014 and September 28, 2013, and the reviews of the financial statements included in the Company's quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and for services that are normally provided by the independent registered public accounting firm in connection with statutory or regulatory filings or engagements for each of those fiscal years were \$4,452,282 and \$4,003,531, respectively.

Audit-Related Fees

Aggregate fees billed or expected to be billed by PwC for assurance and related services reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of the Company's financial statements for the fiscal years ended September 27, 2014 and September 28, 2013, and not included in the audit fees listed above were \$572,165 and \$188,400, respectively. These services comprise engagements to perform audits of employee benefit plans and required agreed-upon procedures.

Tax Fees

Aggregate fees billed or expected to be billed by PwC for tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning for each of the fiscal years ended September 27, 2014 and September 28, 2013 were \$400,362 and \$348,074, respectively.

All Other Fees

For each of the fiscal years ended September 27, 2014 and September 28, 2013, PwC billed the Company \$445,424 and \$3,600, respectively, for services rendered, other than those services covered in the sections captioned "Audit Fees," "Audit-Related Fees" and "Tax Fees." In fiscal year 2013, these fees were for an on-line research tool for accounting rules and guidance. In fiscal year 2014, these fees were for (i) an on-line research tool for accounting rules and guidance, (ii) risk management consulting services and (iii) software system consulting services for The Hillshire Brands Company, which the Company acquired on August 28, 2014.

None of the services described above were approved pursuant to the de minimis exception provided in Rule 2-01(c)(7)(i)(C) of Regulation S-X promulgated by the SEC.

Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policy

The Audit Committee has adopted policies and procedures for the pre-approval of all audit and non-audit services to be performed by the Company's independent registered public accounting firm. The Audit Committee charter provides that the Audit Committee must approve in advance all audit services to be performed by the independent registered public accounting firm. The Audit Committee has approved a separate written policy for the approval of engagements for non-audit services to be performed by the independent registered public accounting firm. For non-audit services, any person requesting that such services be performed by the independent registered public accounting firm must prepare a written explanation of the project (including the scope, deliverables and expected benefits), the reason for choosing the independent registered public accounting firm over other service providers, the estimated costs, the estimated timing and duration of the project and other pertinent information. Non-audit services must first be pre-approved by each of the Company's Chief Accounting Officer and Chief Financial Officer before being submitted for pre-approval to the Audit Committee, and then the Audit Committee or a designated member of the Audit Committee must pre-approve the proposed engagement before the engagement can proceed. The requirement for Audit Committee pre-approval of an engagement for non-audit services may be waived only if (i) the aggregate amount of all such non-audit services provided is less than five percent (5%) of the total amount paid by the Company to the independent registered public accounting firm during the fiscal year when the services are provided; (ii) the services were not recognized by the Company at the time of the engagement to be non-audit services; and (iii) the services are promptly brought to the attention of the Audit Committee and approved prior to the completion of the

audit of the fiscal year in which the non-audit services were provided.

14

Board Recommendation

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE COMPANY RECOMMENDS THAT SHAREHOLDERS VOTE "FOR" RATIFICATION OF PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LLP AS THE COMPANY'S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING OCTOBER 3, 2015. PROXIES SOLICITED BY THE BOARD WILL BE VOTED "FOR" RATIFICATION OF PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LLP AS THE COMPANY'S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM UNLESS SHAREHOLDERS SPECIFY A CONTRARY VOTE.

Vote Required

Ratification of PwC as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending October 3, 2015 requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting, with the holders of shares of Class A Common Stock and Class B Common Stock voting together as a single class. Ratification of the selection of PwC by shareholders is not required by law. However, as a matter of policy, such selection is being submitted to the shareholders for ratification at the Annual Meeting. If the shareholders fail to ratify the selection of this firm, the Board will reconsider the matter.

SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS

The Company has received notice of the intention of shareholders to present three separate proposals for voting at the Annual Meeting. The text of the shareholder proposals and supporting statements appear exactly as received by the Company unless otherwise noted. All statements contained in a shareholder proposal and supporting statement are the sole responsibility of the proponents of those shareholder proposals. The Company will provide the names, addresses and shareholdings (to the Company's knowledge) of the proponents of any shareholder proposal upon request made to the Company's corporate secretary by mail at 2200 Don Tyson Parkway, Mail Stop CP004, Springdale, Arkansas 72762-6999, or by calling (479) 290-4524.

SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL NO. 1

Policy to Address Water Impacts of Business Operations and Suppliers 2015

Whereas: Tyson Foods is exposed to environmental, reputational, and financial risk associated with water pollution from animal feed and byproducts in its direct operations, contract facilities, and supply chain. The company produces feed for the production of 41,500,000 livestock per week. This requires fertilizer use and presents risks of nutrient runoff that may contain nitrogen and phosphorus, which can leach into local waterways, potentially endangering the environment, public health and the Company's own water supply. Animal waste from direct operations and over 5,500 contract farmers, which may contain nitrogen, phosphorous, bacteria, and antibiotics residue, may leach into water supplies and runoff into local waterways. The 79 Company processing plants produce wastewater high in toxins that must be properly transported and treated. A recent report by Environment America using data collected in EPA's Toxics Release Inventory, indicates Tyson was the highest polluting company, releasing 18,556,479 pounds of toxic waste, or 9% of nationwide discharges, into national waterways in 2012 from direct operations.

While Tyson has taken steps to reduce the quantity of water used, its reporting does not extend beyond the Company's direct operations to include contract farms or suppliers, and lacks information about water quality.

Increased storm events heighten these concerns. More intense precipitation exacerbates fertilizer and waste runoff, increasing the risk of damaging algal blooms and contamination of public drinking water supplies. Over 500,000 people in Toledo, Ohio were temporarily left without access to freshwater in August 2014, due to an algal bloom caused by over-fertilized fields and livestock pens. A water stewardship policy might mitigate risks like this within Tyson operations.

A 2004 settlement with Tyson and five companies over the leaching of 170 million pounds of phosphorus-and nitrogen-rich chicken litter in the local watershed cost the group \$7.3 million. Recent allegations against our Company demonstrate the risks associated with our operations continue. The Missouri Attorney General is suing over a chemical leak from a feed plant into state waters that led to a massive fish kill. Arkansas rice growers filed suit alleging that 3-Nitro, linked to high arsenic levels, was present in chicken litter.

In a growing trend toward improved sustainability, Wal-Mart, which comprised 13% of the Company's sales for at least the past five years, announced a goal for U.S. farmers in its supply chain to increase efficiency of fertilizer use by 30% by 2020. Our Company would benefit from being prepared to meet new customer standards for increased sustainability within all operations.

Resolved: Shareholders request the Board of Directors adopt and implement a water stewardship policy that outlines leading practices to improve water quality for all company-owned facilities, facilities under contract to Tyson, and suppliers.

Supporting Statement: Proponents believe the policy should elucidate leading practices for nutrient management, using robust and transparent measures to prevent water pollution incidents. We encourage setting specific goals and timelines and including information on the policy and its implementation in the Sustainability Report.

16

Board of Directors' Statement
In Opposition to Shareholder Proposal No. 1

The Board recommends that shareholders vote AGAINST this shareholder proposal. The Board believes that this proposal is not in the best interests of the Company or its shareholders and opposes it for the following reasons.

Tyson recognizes the importance of being a responsible corporate citizen and our Core Values-which define who we are, what we do, and how we do it-are the foundation of corporate sustainability at Tyson. We are committed to making our Company sustainable-economically, environmentally, and socially.

Environmental protection and resource conservation are responsibilities we take seriously and consider essential to conducting business in a sustainable manner. We embrace a process of continual improvement aimed at protecting the environment for future generations. We believe conserving and protecting natural resources (like water) is essential to ensuring the minimization of our environmental footprint, the efficiency of our operations, and the availability of a clean and healthy environment for future generations. We are committed to aggressively, and responsibly, minimizing our environmental footprint and to conserving natural resources through efficient use, careful planning, and continual improvement.

We understand the importance of water to our business, our customers and the communities in which we operate. Our first commitment is to ensure the wholesomeness and safety of our food products. Nothing is more important to our business than maintaining the confidence customers and consumers have in our products, and water is a critical component of our food safety and quality process. Water helps ensure the cleanliness of our facilities and safety of our products. Accordingly, we understand the importance of, and believe we have an inherent responsibility to protect, water quality, particularly in and around our facilities.

The Company has a history of strong water conservation programs for its business operations. In accordance with our Environmental, Health, and Safety (EHS) policy, we strive to operate our business in an environmentally responsible manner while recognizing our environmental footprint and minimizing it where possible. Our EHS policy serves as the foundation of our EHS Management System (EHSMS). All of our facilities in the United States have implemented an EHSMS that fulfill the requirements set forth in International Organization for Standardization (ISO) 14001. We believe the implementation of this system is fundamental to successful, proactive environmental management and will help ensure the sustainable future of our Company, the environment, and our natural resources, including water. Below are examples of other practices and efforts we have implemented, or that are currently underway, to both conserve and protect water:

During fiscal year 2014, we operated 34 full-treatment and 43 pretreatment wastewater facilities in North America. These facilities are operated in accordance with site-specific permit requirements which are established by the local authorities governing these operations. On a monthly basis, these facilities submit water quality data via their Discharge Monitoring Reports to their respective governing authority. Our long-term goal for these facilities is to eliminate Notices of Violations (NOVs) and permit exceedances.

- In fiscal year 2013, we formed a Water Council to ensure we have a comprehensive approach to sustainable water use. The goal of the Council is to understand the current landscape for water management in the world, how it relates to our global operations, and to create both short- and long-term plans for water management across our Company. Since the creation of the Council, we have completed a Stage Two Geographic Water Risk Assessment for all of our U.S. operations and conducted a review of U.S. water usage, infrastructure, conservation practices, and scarcity risks. We are now in the process of establishing metrics that allow the Council and the Company to gauge the progress of its water sustainability efforts.

We depend on independent farm families to supply our plants with chicken, beef, and pork. As of September 28, 2013, we contracted with 3,934 independent poultry producers who operate more than 5,000 farms and bought cattle and hogs from more than 6,100 independent producers. We strive to support independent farm families in their efforts to run their businesses wisely and to be independent and sustainable enterprises. While we do not have responsibility for the day-to-day management of these independent operations, we do require that farm families comply with all local, state, and federal environmental regulations applicable to their operations.

We have been active in the development of life cycle assessments (LCA) of the production chain. For instance, we are involved in the National Pork Board's water, air, land, and carbon footprint assessment. Additionally, we worked with the United Nations' Food and Agriculture Organization Technical Advisory Group in the harmonization of LCA standards for poultry production and with the Global Roundtable for Sustainable Beef, a global, multi-stakeholder initiative developed to advance continuous improvement in the environmental, social, and economic sustainability of the global beef value chain.

In 2010, we implemented a Supplier Code of Conduct that sets forth the principles and high ethical standards that we strive to achieve and expect our supply partners to try to work toward throughout the course of our business relationship. These principles

and ethical standards include, among other things, a dedication to protection of the environment and a commitment to sustainable business practices. In this regard, we expect our supply partners to operate in a manner that strives to manage responsibly the impacts of their operations on the environment.

In light of our existing efforts, accomplishments and commitment to environmental sustainability, including with respect to water conservation and quality, the adoption of another policy is unnecessary, largely duplicative of the Company's current policies and procedures and would place additional costs on the Company that produces no value for our shareholders. The Company understands its responsibility for, and is dedicated to, protecting water quality. The Board believes the Company's present policies and procedures appropriately and adequately address the concerns raised in the proposal. Accordingly, the Board recommends that shareholders vote AGAINST this shareholder proposal.

Board Recommendation

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE COMPANY RECOMMENDS THAT THE SHAREHOLDERS VOTE "AGAINST" THIS SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL.

PROXIES SOLICITED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS WILL BE VOTED

"AGAINST" THIS SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL UNLESS SHAREHOLDERS SPECIFY

A CONTRARY VOTE.

Vote Required

Approval of this shareholder proposal requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting, with the holders of shares of Class A Common Stock and Class B Common Stock voting as a single class.

SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL NO. 2

Proposal No. 2 - Give Each Share An Equal Vote

RESOLVED: Shareholders request that our Board take steps to adopt a recapitalization plan as soon as practicable for all outstanding stock to have one-vote per share. This would include all practicable steps including encouragement and negotiation with family shareholders to request that they relinquish, for the common good of all shareholders, any preexisting rights. This proposal is not intended to unnecessarily limit our Board's judgment in crafting the requested change in accordance with applicable laws and existing contracts.

By allowing certain stock to have more voting power than other stock Tyson takes our public shareholder money but does not let us have an equal voice in our company's management. Without a voice, shareholders cannot hold management accountable. The 2013 version of this proposal topic at Google won the highest votes ever for a Google shareholder proposal - 180 million yes-votes.

Tyson Limited Partnership and the Tyson family controlled 72% of Tyson's voting power. Each Class A share had one-vote while each Class B share had 10-votes.

An added incentive to vote for this proposal is Tyson's clearly improvable corporate governance and performance as summarized in 2014:

Tyson did not have confidential voting. Our board included at least one non-independent director besides our CEO. Shareholders have a say on executive pay vote only once in 3-years. There was no formal clawback policy for executive incentive pay. Our named executive officers were entitled to performance stock even if Tyson outperformed only 4 of its 14 peer group companies.

The Department of Agriculture's Food Safety and Inspection Service announced that Tyson had recalled 75,000 pounds of chicken nuggets for plastic contamination. The Department of Agriculture's Food Safety and Inspection Service said Tyson recalled 33,000 pounds of chicken that may have been contaminated with Salmonella Heidelberg. Tyson was cited by the U.S. Department of Labor's Occupational Safety and Health Administration for 4 workplace safety violations at the Hutchinson plant after a worker's hand was severed by an unguarded conveyor belt.

The Occupational Safety and Health Administration said Tyson had been cited for serious violations of workplace safety standards at its Buffalo plant. OSHA found a cross section of mechanical, electrical and fall hazards, as well as deficiencies in the plant's safety management programs for its refrigeration system.

Tyson was sentenced in District Court in Arkansas to pay the maximum fine for willfully violating worker safety regulations that led to a worker's death at its Texarkana plant.

The Department of Justice and Environmental Protection Agency said that Tyson would pay \$4 million to settle claims that harmful chemicals accidentally released at some facilities caused injuries, damage and a fatality. Tyson and affiliates allegedly released anhydrous ammonia, a poisonous gas, in Kansas, Missouri, Iowa and Nebraska.

Returning to the core topic of this proposal from the context of our clearly improvable corporate performance, please vote to protect shareholder value:

Give Each Share An Equal Vote - Proposal No. 2

Board of Directors' Statement

In Opposition to Shareholder Proposal No. 2

The Board recommends that shareholders vote AGAINST this shareholder proposal. The Board believes that this proposal is not in the best interests of the Company or its shareholders and opposes it for the following reasons.

The Board believes that the capital structure provided for in our Restated Certificate of Corporation is in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders. The dual-class capital structure with two classes of common stock (Class A common stock with one vote per share and Class B common stock with ten votes per share) has been in existence since we reincorporated in Delaware in 1986. Every investor purchasing a share of our Class A common stock is aware of this capital structure, and many are attracted to our stock by the long-term stability that the Tyson Limited Partnership, our controlling shareholder and largest Class B shareholder (TLP), and the Tyson family provide

to Tyson.

We believe our success is owed in large part to the leadership and vision the Tyson family has provided over the last 70 years. The Company was founded in 1935 by John W. Tyson, whose family has continued to be involved, with son Don Tyson leading the company for many years and grandson John H. Tyson serving as the current Chairman of the Board of Directors. Members of the Tyson family are bound to the Company in both an economic sense through their interest in the TLP and also on the basis of heritage, stewardship and loyalty. As a direct result of the dual-class structure, the Tyson family has a special interest in the long-term success of the Company and provides stability in the face of short-term market pressures and outside influences. This structure also ensures that the Company maintains a solid and loyal investor base throughout economic downturns and crises. Through their actions over the past 70 years, the Tyson family

19

has proven that the long-term success of the Company for the benefit of all shareholders has been, and continues to be, the primary purpose of their involvement.

Despite the Class B shareholders' voting control of the Company, shareholders' interests have long been protected within this structure through the Company's adherence to the Company's Corporate Governance Principles and other corporate governance practices and principles that complement the share capital structure and reinforce the Company's strong commitment to both long-term sustainability and shareholder value. Among our robust corporate governance practices are the following:

6 out of 9 director nominees are independent
The separate roles of our Chairman, CEO and Lead Independent Director
Annual board and committee self-evaluations
Average board meeting attendance in excess of 75%
Deferred shares for directors and strong ownership requirements for directors and senior officers

Independent key board committees
Robust Code of Conduct
Board makeup highlighted by strong leadership, diversity and experience
Quarterly executive sessions of independent directors

In addition, recent financial performance evidences that Tyson shareholders are not being harmed by our dual class structure. Specifically, the performance of the Company's stock price over the past five years has been exceptional.

Our sustained financial performance and corporate governance practices indicate that the interests of all shareholders have been protected under the current structure. Furthermore, the Board believes that our current ownership structure has helped insulate our Company from business cycles and related short-term pressures, while allowing the Board and senior management to focus on our long-term success.

In short, we believe the current capital structure is in the best interests of the Company. The support of the Class B shareholders has provided significant stability to the business, and the long history of Tyson family involvement in the Company has been one of its greatest strengths. The Board believes that elimination of the dual-class structure will improve neither the corporate governance nor the long-term financial performance of the Company. For the reasons stated above, the Board recommends a vote AGAINST this proposal because it is not in the best interests of the Company or its shareholders.

Board Recommendation

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE COMPANY RECOMMENDS THAT THE SHAREHOLDERS VOTE "AGAINST" THIS SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL.

PROXIES SOLICITED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS WILL BE VOTED "AGAINST" THIS SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL UNLESS SHAREHOLDERS SPECIFY A CONTRARY VOTE.

Vote Required

Approval of this shareholder proposal requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting, with the holders of shares of Class A Common Stock and Class B Common Stock voting as a single class.

SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL NO. 3

Whereas: As a large North American packaged food company, Tyson uses palm oil in a variety of its branded products. At least 36 of Tyson's products contain palm oil, including brands such as Lady Astor and Bonici and products such as breads and margarines.

Approximately 85% of palm oil is grown in Indonesia and Malaysia where its production is a leading driver of deforestation. Due to high levels of deforestation and conversion of carbon-rich peatlands, Indonesia was ranked the 3rd largest emitter of greenhouse gases globally. The palm oil industry is also notorious for using child and forced labor, according to the U.S. Department of Labor.

Companies that fail to uphold strong environmental and social values throughout their supply chains have faced significant reputational damage and consumer rejection of their products.

Proponents are concerned that Tyson may be exposed to such brand and reputational risks from supply chain impacts on deforestation and human rights. Food service companies including Hormel, ConAgra, J.M. Smucker, Kellogg, Mondelez, and General Mills have made robust commitments to eliminate deforestation and human rights violations from their palm oil supply chain and to regularly report on their progress. This raises the bar for the entire sector, heightening risks to Tyson's brand. Tyson lacks public acknowledgement of the issues often associated with palm oil, disclosure on its palm oil supply chain, and goals for sustainable sourcing.

Therefore, be it resolved that: Shareholders request that the Board prepare an annual public report, initially by May 1, 2015, at reasonable cost and omitting proprietary information, providing metrics and key performance indicators demonstrating the extent to which the company is curtailing the actual impact of its palm oil supply chain on deforestation and human rights.

Proponents believe meaningful indicators would include:

Percentage of palm oil traceable to Tyson's suppliers verified by credible third parties as not engaged in (1) physical expansion into peatlands, High Conservation Value or High Carbon Stock forests, or (2) human rights abuses such as child or forced labor;

Providing a time-bound plan for 100% sourcing consistent with those criteria;

An explicit commitment to strengthen third-party certification programs to prevent development in its supply chain on high carbon stock forests and peatlands.

Percent of Palm Oil RSPO certified (including % Green Palm, Mass-Balance and/or Segregated).

Board of Directors' Statement

In Opposition to Shareholder Proposal No. 3

The Board recommends that shareholders vote AGAINST this shareholder proposal. The Board believes that this proposal is not in the best interests of the Company or its shareholders and opposes it for the following reasons.

Tyson recognizes the importance of being a responsible corporate citizen and our Core Values-which define who we are, what we do, and how we do it-are the foundation of corporate sustainability at Tyson. We are committed to making our Company sustainable-economically, environmentally, and socially.

Environmental protection and resource conservation are responsibilities we take seriously and consider essential to conducting business in a sustainable manner. We embrace a process of continuous improvement aimed at protecting

the environment for future generations. We believe conserving and protecting natural resources is essential to ensuring the minimization of our environmental footprint, the efficiency of our operations, and the availability of a clean and healthy environment for future generations. Accordingly, we are committed to aggressively, and responsibly, minimizing our environmental footprint and to conserving natural resources through efficient use, careful planning, and continual improvement.

Although we purchased less than 19 million pounds of palm oil in fiscal year 2014 (which is less than .02% of worldwide output based on the most recent available data), Tyson is committed to the sustainable and responsible development of agricultural resources, including palm oil. As such, we only purchase palm oil-containing raw materials from suppliers who are members of the Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil, a multi-stakeholder organization working worldwide to ensure that palm oil production is economically viable, environmentally appropriate and socially beneficial.

We seek to do business with supply partners, including palm oil suppliers, who share our commitment to sustainable business practices, including food safety, environmental stewardship, animal well-being, the health and safety of employees, ethical business practices, returning a profit to shareholders, and supporting those in need. In 2010, we implemented a Supplier Code of Conduct that sets forth the principles and high ethical standards that we strive to achieve and expect our supply partners to try to work toward throughout the course of our business relationship. These principles and ethical standards include, among other things:

Respect for the rights and safety of others. Tyson is committed to observing fair labor practices and to treating our employees with dignity and respect. We expect our supply partners to make the same commitments in their business practices by having controls in place that prohibit discrimination, harassment, forced labor, and child labor.

Dedication to Protection of the Environment. Tyson is committed to conducting business in a safe, environmentally responsible manner. We expect our supply partners to operate in a manner that, among other things, strives to manage responsibly the impacts of their operations on the environment.

Comprehensive information about the Company's sustainability efforts is available on our sustainability website, which can be found at <http://www.tyson sustainability.com>. Additionally, our Supplier Code of Conduct can be found on our website at http://www.tysonfoods.com/~media/Corporate/Files/Download/supplier_code_of_conduct.ashx. In sum, a significant amount of information regarding the measures we take to minimize our impact on the environment is presently available.

In light of our existing efforts, accomplishments and reporting on sustainability, the preparation of an additional report as requested by this shareholder proposal is unnecessary and not in our shareholders' best interest. Accordingly, the Board recommends that shareholders vote AGAINST this shareholder proposal.

Board Recommendation

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE COMPANY RECOMMENDS THAT THE SHAREHOLDERS VOTE "AGAINST" THIS SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL.

PROXIES SOLICITED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS WILL BE VOTED

"AGAINST" THIS SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL UNLESS SHAREHOLDERS SPECIFY A CONTRARY VOTE.

Vote Required

Approval of this shareholder proposal requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting, with the holders of shares of Class A Common Stock and Class B Common Stock voting as a single class.

23

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Introduction

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis provides information regarding the compensation paid to our Chairman, Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and certain other executive officers who were the most highly compensated in fiscal year 2014. These individuals, referred to as "named executive officers" or "NEOs," are identified below along with their offices held during fiscal year 2014:

- John Tyson, Chairman of the Board ("Chairman")
- Donnie Smith, President and Chief Executive Officer ("CEO")
- Dennis Leatherby, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer ("CFO")
- Donnie King, President North American Operations & Food Service
- James V. Lochner, former Chief Operating Officer ("COO")
- Noel White, President Poultry

Fiscal Year 2014 Summary

We achieved record sales of \$37.6 billion and operating income in excess of \$1.4 billion in fiscal year 2014. Based on fiscal year 2014 performance, our NEOs earned eligibility for, and were awarded, performance incentive payments under our Executive Incentive Plan (as defined below) approximating 169% of their target performance incentive payments. In November 2013, Messrs. King and White entered into new employment contracts as part of the Company's initiative to separate the poultry and prepared foods businesses and drive growth in those businesses. In connection with this initiative, Mr. King was appointed the President of Prepared Foods, Customer and Consumer Solutions and received a 33% increase in base salary, and Mr. White was appointed the President of Poultry and received a 32% increase in base salary. Following the acquisition of the Hillshire Brands Company on August 28, 2014, Mr. King became responsible for overseeing our poultry, fresh meats and non-branded prepared foods businesses, as well as the Company's food service business. In connection with receiving these additional responsibilities, Mr. King was appointed President North American Operations & Food Service. Effective September 20, 2014, Mr. Lochner retired as COO.

Compensation Philosophy and Objectives

Our executive compensation program is designed to provide a competitive level of compensation necessary to attract, motivate and retain talented and experienced executives and to motivate them to achieve short-term and long-term corporate goals that enhance shareholder value. Consistent with this philosophy, the following are the key objectives of our compensation programs.

Shareholder Alignment. One of the primary objectives of our executive compensation philosophy is to ensure that an appropriate relationship exists among executive pay, the Company's financial performance and the creation of shareholder value. We believe that linking executive compensation to corporate performance results in a better alignment of compensation with corporate goals and shareholder interests.

Attract, Motivate and Retain Key Employees. Our executive compensation program is shaped by the competitive market for management talent in the food industry and at other public and private companies. We believe our executive compensation should be competitive with the organizations with which we compete for talent. As such, it is our goal to provide compensation at levels (both in terms of benefits provided and amounts paid) that attracts, motivates and retains superior executive talent for the long-term.

Link Pay to Performance. We believe that as an executive's responsibility increases, a larger portion of his or her total compensation should be "at-risk" incentive compensation (both short-term and long-term), subject to corporate, segment, individual, stock price and/or earnings performance measures. Our compensation program links pay to performance by making a substantial portion of total executive compensation variable, or "at-risk," through incentive awards based on Company earnings and performance goals. As performance goals are met or exceeded, executives are rewarded commensurately.

How We Determine Compensation

Role of the Compensation and Leadership Development Committee. In general, the Compensation and Leadership Development Committee (the "Compensation Committee") works with management to set compensation philosophy

and objectives and to ensure key executives are compensated in accordance with such philosophy and objectives. More specifically, the Compensation Committee periodically reviews and approves the Company's stated compensation strategy, corporate goals and objectives relevant to management compensation and total compensation policy to ensure they support business objectives, create shareholder value, are consistent with shareholder interests, attract, motivate and retain key executive talent and link compensation to corporate performance. The Compensation

24

Committee also annually reviews the composition of the peer groups used for competitive pay/performance benchmarking. Periodically the Compensation Committee compares total compensation for the Chairman and the CEO and the Company's band level structure to the relevant external benchmarks. A discussion of the peer group and external benchmarks used in establishing compensation is set forth below under the heading "Role of Compensation Consultants/Benchmarking." The Compensation Committee's charter describes additional duties and responsibilities of the Compensation Committee with respect to the administration, oversight and determination of executive compensation. A copy of the Compensation Committee's charter can be found on the Company's Investor Relations website at <http://ir.tyson.com>.

The Compensation Committee works to ensure that its decisions are consistent with tax regulations, relevant law, and NYSE listing requirements and are handled in a manner that is mutually satisfactory to the Compensation Committee and the Company's principal shareholder. Because the Company meets the definition of a "controlled company" under NYSE corporate governance rules, the Compensation Committee is not required to determine the compensation of our CEO in its sole discretion. However, the Compensation Committee has approved the employment contracts and total compensation for our CEO since 2003.

The Compensation Committee is expressly authorized in its charter to retain outside legal, accounting or other advisors or experts at the Company's expense. For fiscal year 2014 compensation decisions, the Compensation Committee did not independently retain any legal, accounting or other advisors or experts.

Say on Pay. Approximately 90% of the votes cast at the 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders on the non-binding advisory vote on our named executive officer compensation were voted in support of our executive compensation program. Consistent with shareholders' approval, the Compensation Committee continued to apply the same effective principles and philosophy it has used in prior years to determine executive compensation and will continue to consider stockholder concerns and feedback in the future.

Band Structure. Except for Messrs. Tyson, Smith and Lochner, our executive officers and key employees are compensated based on the Company's band structure. Our band structure has ten levels, each of which sets forth target amounts for base salary, annual cash performance incentive payments, equity grants, as well as eligibility standards for participation in the Tyson Foods, Inc. Supplemental Executive Retirement and Life Insurance Premium Plan ("SERP"). Actual amounts can be adjusted above or below such targets based on an individual's responsibility and performance or as a means to align an individual's total direct compensation with a targeted percentile of a comparison group as determined on a case-by-case basis by such individual's supervisor. An executive officer's band level designation is made by the CEO subject to ratification by the Compensation Committee. The designation is based on the individual's level of responsibility and ability to affect shareholder value relative to other executive officers and key employees.

Our band structure was initially established in 2004 by our human resources group and senior management based on their collective review of recommendations provided by Hay Group together with market analysis and data of executive compensation trends of public and private companies in general ("General Industry Data"). The General Industry Data, as updated from time to time, is used as the benchmark for the Company's band structure because the Compensation Committee believes it serves as a stable representation of national pay levels. The Compensation Committee and the Company's human resources group periodically review the band structure and updated market analysis (including the compensation practices of the Compensation Peer Group, discussed below) with senior management and suggest modifications as they deem necessary to ensure that our executive officers and key employees are generally compensated in accordance with our compensation philosophies and objectives. For more detailed discussion regarding decisions with respect to each element and amount of compensation provided for in the band structure, see the section below titled "Elements of Compensation."

Interaction Between the Compensation Committee and Management. Band level designations for all executive officers, other than Messrs. Tyson, Smith and Lochner, and key employment contract terms are determined by the CEO in consultation with the Company's human resources group. The Company's human resources group then presents a summary of the key terms of each executive officer's contract, including band level designations, to the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee reviews and discusses the contracts and will meet with the Company's human resources group as it deems necessary to discuss any questions or issues it has regarding these

decisions. Once all questions and issues have been addressed to the satisfaction of the Compensation Committee, the Compensation Committee will ultimately ratify the employment contracts and band level designations.

25

Role of Compensation Consultants/Benchmarking. Since fiscal year 2001, the Company has retained Hay Group to periodically identify, and provide data and market analyses regarding compensation practices of, a certain group of publicly traded companies in the protein and packaged foods industries (which we refer to as the "Compensation Peer Group") and to periodically update the General Industry Data. The following companies made up the Compensation Peer Group during fiscal year 2014:

Archer-Daniels-Midland Company	General Mills, Inc.	Mondelez International, Inc.
Bunge Limited	Hormel Foods Corporation	Pilgrim's Pride Corporation
Campbell Soup Company	Kellogg Company	Sanderson Farms, Inc.
ConAgra Foods, Inc.	Kraft Foods Group, Inc.	The Hillshire Brands Company
Dean Foods Company	McCormick & Company, Inc.	The J. M. Smucker Company

The Hillshire Brands Company was removed from the Compensation Peer Group as of August 28, 2014, following its acquisition by the Company. The Hillshire Brands Company was included in the Compensation Peer Group for relevant compensation decisions prior to the acquisition date.

Hay Group furnishes the data and analyses to our human resources group which are then summarized and presented by our human resources group to the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee uses this summary information in its review of compensation for the NEOs and compensation levels within our band structure to determine whether the compensation levels are consistent with our compensation philosophy and our objective of providing competitive compensation that attracts, motivates and retains executive talent.

The Compensation Committee targeted total direct compensation in fiscal year 2014 for Mr. Smith between the 25th and 50th percentiles of the Compensation Peer Group. The Compensation Committee targeted total direct compensation in fiscal year 2014 for Mr. Leatherby at the 50th percentile, between the 50th and 75th percentiles for Messrs. King and Lochner, and the 75th percentile for Mr. White, all of the General Industry Data. The Compensation Committee does not benchmark Mr. Tyson's target total direct compensation due to insufficient data with respect to similarly situated officers. The Compensation Committee believes it is necessary to target our CEO's compensation based on the smaller Compensation Peer Group, which is made up exclusively of public companies in the food industry, because these are companies against which we compete for the specialized talents and experience possessed by our CEO. On the other hand, because many of the talents possessed by the other NEOs transcend a variety of industries, the Compensation Committee believes it appropriate to use the General Industry Data in setting the other NEOs' (other than Mr. Tyson's) compensation as it represents a cross section of consumer products and other industries, not just food industry companies.

In fiscal year 2014, the data and market analyses described above were the only consulting services provided by Hay Group to the Company. Neither the Compensation Committee nor the Company believes that provision of these services raises any conflict of interest.

How NEOs Are Compensated

It is the Company's practice to enter into employment contracts with its executive officers. Once compensation decisions are made and an employment contract is executed, the executive officer is entitled to receive the compensation provided for in his or her contract until it is terminated or amended. For a more detailed discussion of each NEO's employment contract, see the section titled "Employment Contracts" in this Proxy Statement.

John Tyson. Mr. Tyson entered into an employment contract with the Company on November 25, 2012, which was amended and restated on May 1, 2014. The terms of Mr. Tyson's contract and the amendment and restatement were approved by the Compensation Committee prior to execution and reflect his November 2011 appointment as an executive officer of the Company. Mr. Tyson is entitled to a base salary, which may be adjusted by the Compensation Committee from time to time, and to participate in the Company's annual cash and long-term equity incentive plans, on terms and at levels determined by the Compensation Committee. Decisions regarding whether to increase Mr. Tyson's base salary and his participation in the Company's cash and equity performance incentive payment programs are made annually by the Compensation Committee. For a more detailed analysis regarding these decisions see the section titled "Elements of Compensation" in this Proxy Statement.

Donnie Smith. On November 14, 2012, Mr. Smith entered into an employment contract with the Company, the terms of which were approved by the Compensation Committee prior to execution. The decision to approve this contract and the compensation payable thereunder was based upon:

- an evaluation of historical total compensation made to individuals with similar responsibilities at companies in the Compensation Peer Group;

- an evaluation of the proposed total compensation in comparison to the Company's other executive officers to ensure that compensation was commensurate with level of responsibility; and

26

recommendations from the Company's human resources group and data from Hay Group.

Mr. Smith is entitled to a base salary, which may be adjusted by the Compensation Committee from time to time, and to participate in the Company's annual cash and long-term equity incentive plans, on terms and at levels determined by the Compensation Committee. Decisions regarding whether to increase Mr. Smith's base salary and his participation in the Company's cash and equity performance incentive payment programs are made annually by the Compensation Committee. For a more detailed analysis regarding these decisions see the section titled "Elements of Compensation" in this Proxy Statement.

As discussed above, Mr. Smith's total direct compensation was targeted between the 25th and 50th percentiles of the Compensation Peer Group for fiscal year 2014. Based on available published information, his actual total direct compensation for fiscal year 2014 was at the 75th percentile, primarily due to the performance incentive payment under the Executive Incentive Plan (as defined below).

James V. Lochner. On November 14, 2012, Mr. Lochner entered into an employment contract with the Company, which was amended on November 15, 2013, the terms of which were approved by the Compensation Committee prior to execution. The decision to approve this contract and the compensation payable thereunder was based upon:

• an evaluation of the proposed total compensation in comparison to the Company's other executive officers to ensure that compensation was commensurate with level of responsibility; and

• recommendations from the Company's CEO and human resources group and advice from Hay Group.

Prior to his retirement on September 20, 2014, Mr. Lochner was entitled under his contract to a base salary, which could be adjusted by the Company from time to time, and to participate in the Company's annual cash and long-term equity incentive plans, on terms and at levels determined by the CEO. Decisions regarding whether to increase Mr. Lochner's base salary and his participation in the Company's cash and equity performance incentive payment programs were made annually by the Company or CEO, as applicable. For a more detailed analysis regarding these decisions see the section titled "Elements of Compensation" in this Proxy Statement.

As discussed above, Mr. Lochner's total direct compensation was targeted between the 50th and 75th percentiles of the General Industry Data for fiscal year 2014. Based on available published information, his actual total direct compensation for fiscal year 2014 was above the 75th percentile, primarily due to the bonus he received, the amount of which was determined by estimating on the date of his retirement the amount he would have been eligible to receive as a performance incentive payment under the Executive Incentive Plan.

All Other NEOs. The compensation payable to Messrs. Leatherby, King and White under their respective employment contracts is based on their respective band level designations. During fiscal year 2014, Messrs. King and White were compensated at the highest band level, and Mr. Leatherby was compensated at the second-highest band level.

On November 14, 2012, Messrs. Leatherby, King and White entered into employment contracts with the Company. Messrs. King and White entered into new employment contracts on November 15, 2013. The decision to approve these contracts and the compensation payable thereunder was based upon recommendations by the Company's CEO and human resources group and advice from Hay Group. Under these contracts, Messrs. Leatherby, King and White are entitled to a base salary, which may be adjusted by the Company from time to time, and to participate in the Company's annual cash and long-term equity incentive plans, on terms and at levels determined by the Company's senior management.

With respect to target total direct compensation in fiscal year 2014, Mr. Leatherby was targeted at the 50th percentile, Mr. King was targeted between the 50th and 75th percentiles, and Mr. White was targeted at the 75th percentile, all for similarly situated employees in the General Industry Data. Based on available published information, for fiscal year 2014 Mr. Leatherby's actual total direct compensation was between the 50th and 75th percentiles for similarly situated employees, primarily due to the performance incentive payment under the Executive Incentive Plan. Mr. King's actual total direct compensation was at the 50th percentile, and Mr. White's actual total direct compensation was at the 75th percentile, all for similarly situated employees in the General Industry Data.

Elements of Compensation

The Company's executive compensation program consists of:

• base salary;

• annual performance incentive payments;

equity-based compensation;
financial, retirement and welfare benefit plans; and
certain defined perquisites.

27

Compensation Mix

Because of the ability of executive officers to directly influence the overall performance of the Company, and consistent with our philosophy of linking pay to performance, it is our goal to allocate a significant portion of compensation paid to our executive officers to performance-based, short- and long-term incentive programs. In addition, as an executive officer's responsibility and ability to affect financial results of the Company increases, base salary becomes a smaller component of total compensation and long-term, equity-based compensation becomes a larger component of total compensation, further aligning his or her interests with those of the Company and its shareholders. The following table below illustrates the mix of total compensation for Messrs. Tyson and Smith, individually, and Messrs. Leatherby, King and White, as a group, based on compensation paid in fiscal year 2014. Mr. Lochner's compensation is not included, as he retired from the Company on September 20, 2014.

Compensation Element	2014 Total Compensation Mix for Mr. Tyson	2014 Total Compensation Mix for Mr. Smith	2014 Total Compensation Mix for Messrs. Leatherby, King and White
Base Salary	10.0%	9.0%	11.9%
Performance Incentive Payment	28.1%	25.5%	28.3%
Equity-Based Compensation	36.5%	42.4%	37.9%
Financial, Retirement and Welfare Benefit Plans and Perquisites	25.5%	23.2%	21.9%

Each NEO's employment contract sets an amount for base salary. The Compensation Committee approved such amounts for Messrs. Tyson, Smith and Lochner as part of its process in approving their respective employment contracts. Base salary amounts for all other NEOs are based on each NEO's band level. The Company's band structure sets forth a target amount for base salary at each level. The CEO has discretion to set base salary above or below the target amount as he deems appropriate based on each NEO's level of responsibility when employment contracts for these individuals are entered into or amended.

The employment contract effective for each NEO during fiscal year 2014 (other than Mr. Tyson's) states that base salary is subject to adjustment. The base salary under Mr. Tyson's employment contract can be increased but not decreased. The Compensation Committee has the ability to adjust Messrs. Tyson's or Smith's base salary as it deems appropriate. The CEO has the discretion to adjust base salaries for the other current NEOs as he deems appropriate. In determining whether to adjust an NEO's base salary, the Compensation Committee or the CEO, as applicable, considers (i) changes in an individual's band level, (ii) the individual's past performance, (iii) the individual's potential for advancement within the Company, (iv) changes in level and scope of responsibility for the individual, (v) salaries of persons holding comparably responsible positions at companies represented in the Compensation Peer Group or the General Industry Data, as applicable, and (vi) the targeted percentile of the applicable comparison group for an individual's total direct compensation. The Compensation Committee or the CEO also considers cost of living adjustments in determining annual base salary adjustments. Neither the CEO nor the Compensation Committee assigns a particular weight to any factor. Annual salary merit increases for NEOs that are approved by the CEO are generally consistent with merit increases for other officers and management personnel.

The table below discloses the base salary in effect for each NEO at the end of fiscal years 2013 and 2014 and the percentage increase in their 2014 base salaries from their 2013 base salaries. Mr. Lochner is not included in the table below due to his September 20, 2014 retirement.

Name	End of Fiscal Year 2013 Salary (\$)	End of Fiscal Year 2014 Salary (\$)	Change
------	-------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------

Edgar Filing: TYSON FOODS INC - Form DEF 14A

John Tyson	875,500	901,765	3%
Donnie Smith	1,081,500	1,113,945	3%
Dennis Leatherby	583,495	635,000	9%
Donnie King	618,000	824,000	33%
Noel White	564,440	746,750	32%

28

Mr. King's increase was based on increased responsibilities in connection with his appointment as President of Prepared Foods, Customer and Consumer Solutions in November 2013. Mr. White's increase was based on increased responsibilities in connection with his appointment as President of Poultry in November 2013. Mr. Leatherby's increase was based on a review of chief financial officer salaries at companies included in the General Industry Data and the Compensation Committee's desire to target Mr. Leatherby's total direct compensation at the 50th percentile of similarly situated executives included in the General Industry Data. In addition, each NEO received an annual salary merit increase of approximately 3% during fiscal year 2014.

Annual Performance Incentive Payments

Employment contracts with our NEOs provide them an opportunity to receive cash performance incentive payment awards. In fiscal year 2014, the cash performance incentive payment plan in place for senior executive officers was the Tyson Foods, Inc. Annual Incentive Compensation Plan for Senior Executive Officers ("Executive Incentive Plan"). This plan is designed to align the interests of management towards the achievement of common corporate goals. The Executive Incentive Plan is also designed to maximize the Company's ability to deduct for tax purposes performance-based compensation paid to NEOs. Participants in the Executive Incentive Plan are selected each year by the Compensation Committee based on their potential to receive total compensation that may not otherwise be deductible for tax purposes by the Company. An NEO selected to participate in the Executive Incentive Plan is not eligible to participate in other cash performance incentive payment plans maintained by the Company. For fiscal year 2014, the Compensation Committee designated all NEOs as eligible participants under the Executive Incentive Plan. Cash performance incentive payments under the Executive Incentive Plan are based on one or more performance measures established each year by the Compensation Committee. For fiscal year 2014, the Compensation Committee selected Adjusted EBIT as the performance measure under the plan. "EBIT" is the Company's operating income (which takes into account accruals for performance incentive payments) before interest and taxes, and "Adjusted EBIT" takes into account any unusual or unique items, such as one-time gains or losses. The Compensation Committee believes Adjusted EBIT is an appropriate measure of Company performance to utilize in making performance-based compensation decisions because senior management uses this same measure, in large part, to evaluate the day-to-day performance of the business. For fiscal year 2014, the Compensation Committee set the target Adjusted EBIT level for 100% of target performance incentive payments at \$1.3 billion and a threshold level of Adjusted EBIT for 50% of target performance incentive payments at \$1.04 billion.

An NEO's target performance incentive payment eligibility, expressed as a percentage of base salary, is established each year by the Compensation Committee. Eligibility begins at threshold Adjusted EBIT and increases linearly, up to a maximum of \$10 million per NEO. For fiscal year 2014, Messrs. Tyson and Smith were awarded higher performance incentive payment eligibility by the Compensation Committee given their level of responsibility and ability to affect shareholder value relative to the other NEOs. In determining actual performance incentive payments, the Compensation Committee has the discretion to award amounts below, but not above, the eligibility level pertaining to Adjusted EBIT. Actual Adjusted EBIT for fiscal year 2014 was approximately \$1.658 billion, resulting in the NEOs' eligibility for performance incentive payments of approximately 169% of their respective target eligibilities. At the end of fiscal year 2014, the Compensation Committee reviewed each NEO's eligibility based on this Adjusted EBIT amount and the individual performance of each NEO with our CEO and other members of management and the Board. Based on this review, the Compensation Committee awarded the NEOs the performance incentive payment amounts set forth in the following table.

Name	Salary at 2014 Fiscal Year-End (\$)	Eligibility at Target Adjusted EBIT of \$1.3 billion (100% of target performance incentive payment) (\$)	Eligibility at Target Adjusted EBIT (expressed as percentage of base salary)	Maximum Eligibility at Actual Adjusted EBIT of \$1.658 billion (169% of target performance incentive payment) (\$)	Actual Performance Incentive Payment for Fiscal Year 2014 (\$)

Edgar Filing: TYSON FOODS INC - Form DEF 14A

John Tyson	901,765	1,623,177	180%	2,739,923	2,482,047
Donnie Smith	1,113,945	2,005,101	180%	3,384,610	3,102,559
Dennis Leatherby	635,000	838,200	132%	1,414,882	1,414,882
Donnie King	824,000	1,285,440	156%	2,169,823	1,808,186
Noel White	746,750	1,164,930	156%	1,966,402	1,750,000

Mr. Lochner retired on September 20, 2014 and did not receive a performance incentive payment under the Executive Incentive Plan. At the time of his retirement, he received a cash payment of \$2,456,160, which was an estimate of what he would have received had he been employed by the Company as an executive officer on the last day of fiscal year 2014.

Equity-Based Compensation

We believe equity-based compensation awarded annually is an effective long-term incentive for executives and managers to create value for shareholders as the value of such compensation has a strong correlation to appreciation of the Company's stock price. Messrs. Tyson's and Smith's employment contracts provide for equity-based compensation as determined by the Compensation Committee. Mr. Lochner's employment contract provided for equity-based compensation as determined by the CEO. The remaining NEOs' employment contracts provide for equity-based compensation consistent with that provided to other employees in such NEO's band level. The Company's band structure sets forth the target number of stock options and the target dollar amount of other equity awards for each band level.

The amounts and types of equity-based compensation to be awarded within the band levels are determined by management and/or the Compensation Committee with a view towards aligning the interests of executives and other managers with the interests of the Company's shareholders. In determining these amounts, management and the Compensation Committee consider the relationship of long-term compensation to cash compensation, the goal of providing additional incentives to executives and managers to increase shareholder value and the value of equity-based compensation awarded to NEOs to awards made to executives in similar positions within the applicable peer group, and the targeted percentile of the applicable comparison group for an individual's total direct compensation.

Stock Options. Stock option awards comprised approximately 54% of each NEO's (except Mr. Lochner's) equity-based compensation for fiscal year 2014. The Compensation Committee believes that stock options allow the Company to provide employees with an incentive different from base salary and cash performance incentive payments as options increase in value based on Company share price rather than individual performance. Stock options are typically awarded and approved annually by the Compensation Committee prior to or on a pre-determined grant date. The grant date for fiscal year awards usually occurs four business days after the Company announces fiscal year-end financial results. The exercise price for option awards is the closing price for our Class A Common Stock as reported on the NYSE on the grant date. Option awards expire ten years after the grant date. The Company does not backdate, re-price or grant equity awards retroactively. All stock options vest in equal annual increments beginning on the first anniversary of the date of the award and become fully vested after three years. For the fiscal year 2014 stock option awards, the Compensation Committee set the grant date of November 22, 2013 at its May 2, 2013 meeting and approved the awards at its November 13, 2013 meeting. All stock option awards are issued under the Tyson Foods, Inc. 2000 Stock Incentive Plan (the "Stock Incentive Plan"). For details regarding stock options granted to the NEOs in fiscal year 2014, see the table titled "Grants of Plan-Based Awards During Fiscal Year 2014" in this Proxy Statement.

Restricted Stock with Performance Criteria. Restricted stock with performance criteria ("restricted stock") awards comprised approximately 19% of each NEO's (except Mr. Lochner's) equity-based compensation for fiscal year 2014. The actual number of shares of restricted stock granted during fiscal year 2014 was determined by dividing the designated band level dollar value or the dollar value assigned by the CEO or Compensation Committee, as applicable, for restricted stock by the closing stock price on the grant date. For example, if the designated dollar value for restricted stock was \$180,000 and the closing stock price on the grant date was \$30 per share, the executive received a grant of 6,000 shares of restricted stock.

Restricted stock awards represent the right to receive shares of Class A Common Stock if one or more performance criteria are met within the time period indicated in the grant. Performance criteria are measured three years from the beginning of the fiscal year in which the restricted stock is awarded, and, if the performance criteria are achieved, the award vests. The right to receive Class A Common Stock under a restricted stock award is conditioned upon the executive officer remaining continuously in the employment of the Company from the grant date through the vesting date, subject to certain exceptions involving the death, disability or retirement of the executive officer. All restricted stock awards are issued under the Stock Incentive Plan.

On November 13, 2013, the Compensation Committee determined the performance criterion pertaining to the restricted stock awards granted in fiscal year 2014 would be the Company's achievement of \$100 million Adjusted EBIT for the 2014 through 2016 fiscal years. This criterion was established for the purpose of maximizing the tax

deductibility of the restricted stock under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code. All restricted stock awards are issued under the Stock Incentive Plan. For details regarding restricted stock granted to the NEOs in fiscal year 2014, see the table titled "Grants of Plan-Based Awards During Fiscal Year 2014" in this Proxy Statement.

Performance Stock. Performance stock awards comprised approximately 27% of each NEO's (except Mr. Lochner's) equity-based compensation for fiscal year 2014. Performance stock awards represent the right to receive shares of Class A Common Stock if certain performance criteria are met within the time period indicated in the grant. The actual number of shares of performance stock granted is determined by dividing the designated band level dollar value or the dollar value assigned by the Compensation Committee, as applicable, for performance stock by the closing price of the Company's stock on the grant date. The Compensation Committee approved the fiscal year 2014 performance stock awards at its November 13, 2013 meeting with a grant date of November 22, 2013. Performance criteria are measured three years from the beginning of the fiscal year in which the performance stock is awarded, and, if the performance criteria are achieved, the award vests as set forth below. The right to receive Class A Common Stock under a performance stock award is conditioned upon the executive officer remaining continuously in the employment of the Company from the grant date through the vesting date, subject to certain exceptions involving the death, disability or retirement of the executive officer. All performance stock awards are issued under the Stock Incentive Plan.

On an annual basis, the Company's senior management, Compensation Committee and human resources group meet to discuss the performance criteria options and levels to be considered for the following year's grants. A list of eligible criteria available for consideration was approved by shareholders to ensure tax deductibility for performance-based compensation. Through the course of its review and discussions, the Compensation Committee chooses such options that the Compensation Committee reasonably believes provide the appropriate balance between (i) significant performance measures aimed at increasing shareholder value if achieved, and (ii) performance measures that are reasonably attainable so as to motivate the officers to achieve the performance goals.

The performance criteria adopted by the Compensation Committee for performance stock awards granted in fiscal year 2014 were as follows:

• achievement of a cumulative Adjusted EBIT target over the 2014, 2015 and 2016 fiscal years (the "cumulative EBIT criterion"); and

• a comparison of the stock price of the Company's Class A Common Stock relative to the stock prices of the Compensation Peer Group over the 2014, 2015 and 2016 fiscal years (the "stock price comparison criterion").

Each performance criterion accounts for one-half of the performance stock award and is subject to the achievement of performance goals as set forth in the below tables. With respect to the cumulative EBIT criterion, the Adjusted EBIT measure selected is based on management's projected earnings for the Company over a three-year period. As such, disclosure of the measure could cause competitive harm to the Company. Based on the percentage of the Adjusted EBIT measure achieved, the NEO is entitled to receive upon achievement of the Adjusted EBIT goals the number of shares as set forth in the following table:

Name	Percentage of Cumulative Adjusted EBIT Goal Achieved				Number of Shares Awarded*
	80%	100%	120%	140%	
John Tyson	7,856	15,713	23,570	31,426	
Donnie Smith	12,570	25,141	37,712	50,282	
Dennis Leatherby	3,645	7,291	10,936	14,582	
Donnie King	7,071	14,142	21,213	28,284	
James V. Lochner**	9,428	18,856	28,284	37,712	
Noel White	5,499	10,999	16,499	21,998	

* Amounts rounded down to the nearest share; any partial shares will be paid in cash

** In accordance with the award agreement, Mr. Lochner's award was ratably reduced upon his retirement on September 20, 2014.

With respect to the stock price comparison criterion, the NEO is entitled to receive the number of shares set forth below, based on the number of Compensation Peer Group members' stock prices that the Company's stock price outperforms during the measurement period:

Name	Number of Companies' Stock Prices Outperformed*				Number of Shares Awarded**
	6	9	11	13	
John Tyson	7,856	15,713	23,570	31,426	
Donnie Smith	12,570	25,141	37,712	50,282	
Dennis Leatherby	3,645	7,291	10,936	14,582	
Donnie King	7,071	14,142	21,213	28,284	
James V. Lochner***	9,428	18,856	28,284	37,712	
Noel White	5,499	10,999	16,499	21,998	

* If members of the Compensation Peer Group are removed from the Compensation Peer Group for reasons set forth in the performance stock award, the stock price comparison criterion is reduced by that same number.

** Amounts rounded down to the nearest share; any partial shares will be paid in cash

*** In accordance with the award agreement, Mr. Lochner's award was ratably reduced upon his retirement on September 20, 2014.

For details regarding performance stock awards granted to the NEOs in fiscal year 2014, see the table titled "Grants of Plan-Based Awards During Fiscal Year 2014" in this Proxy Statement.

31

Financial, Retirement and Welfare Benefit Plans

Our NEOs are eligible to participate in the Company's financial, retirement and welfare benefit plans that are generally available to all employees of the Company. The NEOs are also eligible to participate in certain plans, described below, that are only available to contracted officers and managers. We believe these benefits are a basic component in attracting, motivating and retaining executives and are comparable to the benefits offered by the companies in our peer groups according to market data.

Deferred Compensation. The SERP is a nonqualified deferred compensation plan providing life insurance protection during employment and a subsequent retirement benefit to certain officers of the Company, including all NEOs. The retirement benefit is a lifetime annuity. The primary formula for calculating the amount of such benefit uses one percent of the average annual compensation paid to the officer for his or her final five years of service multiplied by his or her years of creditable service (the "normal retirement allowance"). "Creditable service" is the number of years and months that the NEO has been a contracted officer beginning January 1, 2004, subject to certain grandfathering and band level criteria. The SERP also provides for catch-up accruals for certain grandfathered participants (officers prior to 2002 receive an additional one percent of their final 5 year average annual compensation multiplied by their final five years of creditable service). An officer's normal retirement allowance cannot decrease from the highest normal retirement allowance amount calculated during the officer's tenure. In addition, participants in the plan as of July 1, 2014 with at least 20 years of vesting service are generally eligible for a minimum benefit and a tax allowance based on the amount of their executive life insurance premium at the male nonsmoker rate. Participants do not vest in the retirement benefits until attaining age 62, although a participant who attains at least age 55 and whose combination of age and years of vesting service equals or exceeds 70 is considered vested. A participant who vests in his or her retirement benefit prior to age 62 may retire early and receive an actuarially reduced benefit. A participant who terminates employment or becomes ineligible to participate before vesting or a participant who is terminated for cause, even if fully vested, is not entitled to any benefits under the SERP. A participant in the plan as of July 1, 2014 who terminates prior to vesting because of disability is eligible for a fully vested and unreduced minimum benefit. The Compensation Committee has the discretion to grant early retirement benefits under the plan.

If a Company-employed participant in the SERP dies, the participant's beneficiaries receive a death benefit under the life insurance portion of the SERP. As of September 27, 2014, the life insurance portion of the SERP provided a death benefit of \$3,000,000 for Mr. Smith and \$2,000,000 for each of Messrs. Leatherby, King and White. Mr. Tyson no longer participates in the life insurance portion of the SERP because previous amounts accrued by him were monetized and are being paid in connection with his becoming a non-executive officer in fiscal year 2008, and Mr. Tyson is currently receiving the benefits. Additional information about our SERP is included in the narrative text following the table titled "Pension Benefits" in this Proxy Statement.

Retirement Plans. We also provide the following qualified and nonqualified plans to the NEOs:

- Employee Stock Purchase Plan;
- Retirement Savings Plan;
- Executive Savings Plan; and
- Executive Long-Term Disability Plan.

The Employee Stock Purchase Plan is a nonqualified benefit plan available to all NEOs and to most employees (some bargaining units do not participate). The purpose of the plan is to offer employees who participate a way to purchase our Class A Common Stock on terms better than those available to a typical investor. Participants are eligible to participate on the first day of the month following three months of service and can contribute (on an after tax basis) up to 20% of base pay to this plan per pay period. After one year of service the Company will match 25% of the first 10% of base pay contributed. The plan provides for 100% immediate vesting.

The Retirement Savings Plan is a qualified benefit plan (401(k)) available to all NEOs and to most employees (some bargaining units do not participate). The plan allows employees who participate to save money for retirement while deferring income taxes on the amount saved and any earnings on those amounts until the funds are withdrawn.

Participants may elect how their accounts are invested from a number of investment options. Participants are eligible to participate on the first day of the month following three months of service and can contribute from 2% to 60% of base pay to this plan per pay period, subject to IRS annual limits on contributions and compensation. After one year of

service the Company will match 100% of the first 3% of base pay contributed, plus 50% of the next 2% contributed. This plan provides for 100% immediate vesting.

The Executive Savings Plan is a nonqualified deferred compensation plan available to the NEOs and other highly compensated employees of the Company. The plan is available for those who wish to defer additional dollars over and above the IRS limits for qualified plans. After reaching the annual IRS limits in the Retirement Savings Plan, participants can begin deferring up to 60% of base pay into this plan. Participants can also defer up to 100% of the annual performance incentive payment to this plan. All deferrals and payout elections to this plan must be elected by December 31 of the year prior to the deferral year. This plan provides Company matching contributions in the same manner and amount as the Retirement Savings Plan not otherwise matched under the Retirement Savings Plan. Participants may elect how their accounts are invested from the investment options available under the Retirement Savings Plan plus an investment option paying the prime rate as reported in the Wall Street Journal plus two percentage points. This plan provides for 100%

immediate vesting. Additional information on the Executive Savings Plan can be found in the narrative text following the table titled "Nonqualified Deferred Compensation for Fiscal Year 2014" in this Proxy Statement.

Officers and certain managers of the Company who are party to a written employment contract (including the NEOs) participate in the Executive Long-Term Disability Plan. This plan replaces (tax free) up to 60% of "insured earnings" to a maximum benefit of \$25,000 per month. "Insured Earnings" include salary, annual performance incentive payment and a portion of the current estimated value of restricted stock and stock options. The value of the premiums paid by the Company, plus estimated income taxes thereon, are included in the participant's taxable income.

Welfare Plans. Our NEOs and other executives participate in our broad-based employee welfare plans, including medical, dental, vision and other insurance. These plans and benefits are available to all salaried employees. In addition, contracted officers and managers, including our NEOs, have an additional health insurance benefit known as the Executive Medical Reimbursement Plan ("EMRP"). The EMRP reimburses contracted officers (including the NEOs) and certain contracted managers of the Company and their covered dependents up to 100% of medical, prescription drug, dental and vision expenses not covered by Company plans. The benefits eligible to be reimbursed include only those expenses allowable as tax deductions for the Company under tax regulations existing at the time of reimbursement. Benefits through this plan are limited to annual maximums which vary based on position with the Company (\$30,000 for each NEO). Each participant is charged a supplemental premium for this benefit.

Perquisites

Pursuant to the employment contracts with the NEOs, we provide certain perquisites that the Compensation Committee believes are reasonable and consistent with our overall compensation program. The Company pays any taxes owed by the NEOs on certain of these perquisites. The value of these perquisites and the estimated income taxes thereon are imputed as income to the executive. The Compensation Committee believes that these personal benefits provide executives with benefits comparable to those they would receive at other companies within our peer groups and are necessary for us to remain competitive in the marketplace for executive talent. The Compensation Committee reviews the perquisites on a periodic basis to ensure that they are appropriate in light of the Company's total compensation program and market practice. For the last completed fiscal year, Messrs. Tyson, Smith, King, Lochner and White were permitted by their employment contracts to personal use of Company-owned aircraft (subject to certain contractual limits), and all NEOs were eligible for personal use of Company-owned aircraft in the CEO's discretion. In addition, all NEOs were provided access to event tickets. For fiscal year 2014, Mr. Tyson's employment contract also entitled him to receive up to \$50,000 per year in security services (which the Company estimates will cost \$80 per hour) and reimbursement for the annual premium payment on a \$7,500,000 life insurance policy. The attributed costs of the perquisites described above for the NEOs for fiscal year 2014 are included in the "All Other Compensation" column of the "Summary Compensation Table for Fiscal Years 2014, 2013, and 2012" in this Proxy Statement.

Employment Contracts

The Company maintained employment contracts with each NEO during fiscal year 2014. A summary description of these contracts is provided below.

John Tyson. The Company and Mr. Tyson entered into an employment contract on November 25, 2012, which was amended and restated on May 1, 2014. Mr. Tyson's employment contract provides for, among other things, an annual base salary of not less than \$850,000 (which has since increased to \$901,765 in accordance with its terms), participation in the Company's annual performance incentive payment programs on terms and in amounts as determined by the Compensation Committee, eligibility for equity awards under the Company's equity incentive plans on terms and in amounts as determined by the Compensation Committee, continued annual payments of \$175,196 (including a tax allowance) under the SERP, and participation in the Company's benefit plans. Additionally, Mr. Tyson is entitled to certain perquisites, including personal use of Company-owned aircraft for up to 275 hours per year, personal security consistent with past practice (the expense for which the Company estimates to be \$80 per hour) and payment of an annual premium on a \$7,500,000 life insurance policy. The Company has also agreed to reimburse Mr. Tyson and gross-up any tax liability incurred by Mr. Tyson from the receipt of any perquisites. The term of the contract is five years.

Donnie Smith. Mr. Smith's November 14, 2012 employment contract provides for, among other things, an annual base salary of \$1,050,000 (which has since increased to \$1,113,945 in accordance with its terms), participation in the Company's annual performance incentive payment programs on terms and in amounts as determined by the Compensation Committee, eligibility for equity awards under the Company's equity incentive plans on terms and in amounts as determined by the Compensation Committee, and participation in the Company's benefit plans.

Additionally, Mr. Smith is entitled to personal use of Company-owned aircraft for up to 50 hours per year. The Company has also agreed to reimburse Mr. Smith and gross-up any tax liability incurred by Mr. Smith from his personal use of Company-owned aircraft. The term of the contract is three years.

All Other NEOs. The employment contracts with Messrs. Leatherby, King, Lochner and White, which are described below in more detail, provide for base salary, and participation in the Company's performance incentive payment programs, equity plans and employee benefit plans. Messrs. Leatherby's and Lochner's employment contracts were entered into on November 14, 2012, and Messrs. King's and White's employment contracts were entered into on November 15, 2013.

Mr. Leatherby's contract provides for a salary of \$566,500 (which has since increased to \$635,000 in accordance with its terms). He is eligible for awards under the Company's performance incentive payment programs and equity plans consistent with other employees at his band level, subject to the discretion of the Company's senior management. The term of the contract is indefinite.

Mr. King's contract provides for a salary of \$800,000 (which has since increased to \$824,000 in accordance with its terms). He is eligible for awards under the Company's performance incentive payment programs and equity plans consistent with other employees at his band level, subject to the discretion of the Company's senior management. Additionally, Mr. King is entitled to personal use of Company-owned aircraft for up to 25 hours per year. The Company also agreed to reimburse Mr. King and gross-up any tax liability incurred by him through his use of Company-owned aircraft. The term of the contract is indefinite.

Mr. Lochner's contract terminated on September 20, 2014 upon his retirement. His contract provided for a salary of \$1,000,000 (which had since increased to \$1,060,900 in accordance with its terms) He was eligible for awards under the Company's performance incentive payment programs and equity plans on terms and in amounts as determined by the CEO. Additionally, Mr. Lochner was entitled to personal use of Company-owned aircraft for up to 50 hours per year during the term of the contract. The Company also agreed to reimburse Mr. Lochner and gross-up any tax liability incurred by him through his use of Company-owned aircraft.

Mr. White's contract provides for a salary of \$725,000 (which has since increased to \$746,750 in accordance with its terms). He is eligible for awards under the Company's performance incentive payment programs and equity plans consistent with other employees at his band level, subject to the discretion of the Company's senior management. Additionally, Mr. White is entitled to personal use of Company-owned aircraft for up to 25 hours per year. The Company also agreed to reimburse Mr. White and gross-up any tax liability incurred by him through his use of Company-owned aircraft. The term of the contract is indefinite.

Notwithstanding the term of any employment contract, the Company has the right to terminate the contract at any time upon written notice subject to the obligation, if terminated without cause, to continue to pay base salary for a period specified in the contract and subject to provisions relating to the early vesting of equity-based compensation.

Certain Benefits Upon a Change in Control

Employment Contracts. Each employment contract in effect during fiscal year 2014 between the Company and our NEOs provided for certain benefits payable to the NEO following a change in control of the Company. The Compensation Committee believes these benefits are an important part of the total executive compensation program because they protect the Company's interest in the continuity and stability of the executive group. The Compensation Committee also believes that the change in control benefits are necessary to retain and attract highly qualified executives and help to keep them focused on minimizing interruptions in business operations by reducing any concerns they may have of being terminated prematurely and without cause during any ownership transition.

Impact of Change in Control on the SERP. No later than thirty days after a change in control of the Company, a grantor trust created under the SERP will be funded with the present value of the higher of (i) the minimum defined benefit or (ii) all accrued benefits for each participant under the SERP. Participants will vest in a benefit equal to the amount calculated under the general provisions of the SERP as of the effective date of the change in control, but without regard to any age or service requirements, if following the change in control the SERP is terminated in a manner that adversely affects a participant or a participant experiences a termination of employment (other than a voluntary resignation without good reason or an involuntary termination for cause). For this purpose, "good reason" means: (i) a substantial adverse change in position, duties, title or responsibilities; (ii) any material reduction in base salary or annual performance incentive opportunity or benefit plan coverages; (iii) any relocation required by the Company to an office or location more than 25 miles from the current location; or (iv) failure by a successor to assume the plan. Payment of the amount calculated as of the effective date of the change in control would begin following termination of employment, regardless of age, on an actuarially adjusted basis.

Executive Life Insurance Program. Following a change in control of the Company, the Company will continue to pay the annual life insurance premiums (plus a tax gross-up based on the withholding rates for supplemental wages) under the Executive Life Insurance Program for active participants on the date of the change in control up to the earlier of termination of employment or age 62.

Severance and change in control information is more particularly described in the sections titled "Potential Payments Upon Termination" and "Potential Payments Upon a Change in Control" in this Proxy Statement.

Tax and Accounting Considerations

Limits on Deductibility of Compensation. Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code generally prevents public corporations from deducting as a business expense that portion of compensation paid to NEOs that exceeds \$1,000,000 unless it qualifies as "performance-based compensation" under Section 162(m). The goal of the Compensation Committee is to comply with the requirements of Section 162(m), to the extent possible, to avoid losing this deduction. However, the Compensation Committee may elect to provide compensation outside those requirements when necessary to achieve its compensation objectives. For this and other reasons, the Compensation Committee will not necessarily limit executive compensation to the amount deductible under Section 162(m). The Compensation Committee will consider various alternatives to preserve the deductibility of compensation payments and benefits to the

extent reasonably practicable and to the extent consistent with its other compensation objectives. Compensation paid under the Executive Incentive Plan is intended to be deductible under Section 162(m). The following amounts of compensation paid to NEOs during fiscal year 2014 are not expected to qualify for deduction: Mr. Tyson - \$402,975 and Mr. Smith - \$219,118.

Compensation Expense. The Company accounts for equity-based awards by recognizing the compensation expense of the equity award to an employee based on the fair value of the award on the grant date. The Company has determined the fair value of these awards based on the assumptions set forth in Note 14 to our fiscal year 2014 audited financial statements included in our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 27, 2014. Compensation expense of deferred cash awards are based on the amount of the award. The compensation expense for stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, phantom stock, performance stock and deferred cash is ratably recognized over the vesting period.

Stock Ownership Program

The Company's stock ownership and holding requirements require senior officers (which includes the NEOs) and directors to maintain a minimum equity stake in the Company. These requirements were put into place to strengthen the alignment between the interest of the Company's directors and senior officers and the interests of its shareholders. The requirements set forth the minimum amount of shares of Company stock a director and certain officers must own. These ownership requirements are reviewed and modified, if necessary, by the Company at least annually or after a significant increase or decrease in the share price. Each officer subject to the requirements has five years from the effective date of their current employment contract to achieve the applicable level of ownership. Directors have five years from the later of the Company's annual meeting of shareholders held on February 1, 2013 or his or her initial election as director.

For officers, the levels are based on a multiple of the officer's salary. Officers that are promoted into new bands will be assigned the appropriate ownership levels based on the new band and will have five years from the date of their promotion to comply with their new ownership requirements. The CEO's current ownership level is five times annual salary and the remaining NEOs' levels are currently two times annual salary. Directors' ownership levels are four times their annual cash retainer.

Risk Considerations in our Overall Compensation Program

We believe that the Company's compensation program is structured in such a way as to discourage excessive risk-taking. In making this determination, we considered various aspects of our compensation program, including the mix of fixed and performance-based compensation for management and other key employees. The Company's performance-based compensation awards are designed to reward both short- and long-term performance. By linking a portion of total compensation to the Company's long-term performance, we mitigate any short-term risk that could be detrimental to the Company's long-term best interests and the creation of shareholder value. Another aspect we considered is our practice of increasing an individual's equity-based, performance compensation as a percentage of his or her total compensation as his or her responsibility and ability to affect the financial results of the Company increases. Such equity-based performance awards are subject to multi-year vesting periods and derive their value from the Company's total performance, which we believe further encourages decision-making that is in the long-term best interests of the Company and its shareholders. Finally, we considered our stock ownership guidelines for executive officers and directors, who we believe can have the greatest internal influence on the financial performance of the Company. These stock ownership guidelines are designed to strengthen the alignment between the interests of our Board and executive officers and the Company's shareholders. We believe these guidelines discourage any risk-taking that could be detrimental to the long-term interests of the Company, its performance, or our stock price. In conclusion, we believe that the Company's compensation policies and practices for all employees, including executive officers, do not create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

REPORT OF THE COMPENSATION AND LEADERSHIP DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

We, the Compensation and Leadership Development Committee of the Board of Directors of Tyson Foods, Inc., have reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis contained in this Proxy Statement with management. Based on such review and discussion, we have recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Proxy Statement and in Tyson Foods, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 27, 2014.

Compensation and Leadership Development Committee of the Board of Directors

Brad T. Sauer, Chairman

Gaurdie E. Banister Jr.

Kevin M. McNamara

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Summary Compensation Table for Fiscal Years 2014, 2013 and 2012

The table below summarizes the compensation for our NEOs during fiscal years 2014, 2013 and 2012.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary(\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)(1)(2)	Option Awards (\$)(1)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)(3)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$)(4)	All Other Compensation (\$)(5)	Total(\$)
John Tyson, Chairman of the Board	2014	884,087	0	1,485,849	1,739,298	2,482,047	893,839	1,361,354	8,846,474
	2013	804,000	0	1,490,668	1,034,264	3,009,654	0	1,659,766	7,998,352
	2012	500,000	0	0	0	1,348,200	928,699	1,440,535	4,217,434
Donnie Smith, President and Chief Executive Officer	2014	1,092,107	0	2,377,359	2,782,227	3,102,559	2,421,694	397,218	12,173,164
	2013	1,041,231	0	2,385,069	1,654,436	3,717,808	654,848	418,310	9,871,702
	2012	900,000	0	0	2,796,000	2,499,562	1,275,854	373,263	7,844,679
Dennis Leatherby, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2014	600,333	0	689,434	806,835	1,414,882	1,011,169	135,337	4,657,990
	2013	571,729	0	655,894	454,664	1,470,954	242,173	178,498	3,573,912
	2012	554,442	0	77,454	279,600	964,296	560,702	142,023	2,578,517
Donnie King, President North American Operations & Food Service	2014	784,046	0	1,337,264	1,564,935	1,808,186	1,051,093	155,067	6,700,591
	2013	596,538	0	691,670	479,780	1,557,938	176,816	95,792	3,598,534
	2012	534,846	0	0	822,583	1,083,593	534,879	71,677	3,047,578
James V. Lochner, Chief Operating Officer (6)	2014	1,019,700	2,546,160 (7)	3,033,228	2,086,941	0	2,949,138	200,799	11,835,959
	2013	1,000,246	0	1,788,802	1,240,988	3,540,769	1,096,044	472,213	9,139,062
	2012	907,269	0	0	2,271,750	2,405,565	1,691,135	525,701	7,801,420
Noel White, President Poultry	2014	711,114	0	1,040,094	1,217,292	1,750,000	1,207,464	277,764	6,203,728
	2013	553,058	0	691,670	479,780	1,422,917	251,158	161,802	3,560,385
	2012	534,846	0	0	822,583	1,026,086	681,266	154,936	3,219,717

- The amounts included in these columns are the aggregate grant date fair values for stock and option awards granted in the fiscal year shown, computed in accordance with the stock-based compensation accounting rules set forth in Financial Accounting Standards Board's Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718. The assumptions used in the calculation of the amounts shown are included in Note 14 to our audited consolidated financial statements, which are included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 27, 2014. Our NEOs do not realize the value of equity-based awards until the awards vest. The actual value that an NEO will realize from these awards is determined by the Company's future share price and may be higher or lower than the amounts indicated in the table, which represent the full grant date fair value of such awards. The grant date fair values of the
- (1) restricted stock with performance criteria are based on the maximum outcome of those awards as of the grant date, which is the probable payout of such awards based on what we have determined, in accordance with the stock-based compensation accounting rules, to be the probable levels of achievement of the performance goals related to those awards. The resulting number of shares of restricted stock with performance criteria that vest, if any, depends on whether we achieve the specified level of performance with respect to the performance measure tied to these awards. Descriptions of these awards and the performance criteria are provided in the subsection titled "Elements of Compensation—Equity-Based Compensation—Restricted Stock with Performance Criteria" in the section titled "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" in this Proxy Statement.
- The grant date fair values of performance stock awards are reported in the table above at the probable payout, which is less than the maximum possible. The table following shows the grant date fair values of the performance
- (2) stock awards granted to each NEO during fiscal year 2014 at the probable payout and the maximum payout that would result if the highest levels of performance goals are achieved. The grant date fair values for the performance stock awards are computed

in accordance with the rules described in footnote (1). Descriptions of these awards and the performance criteria are provided in the subsection titled "Elements of Compensation—Equity-Based Compensation—Performance Stock" in the section titled "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" in this Proxy Statement.

Name	Grant Date Fair Value of Performance Stock Awards (Probable Payout) (\$)	Grant Date Fair Value of Performance Stock Awards (Maximum Payout) (\$)
John Tyson	860,849	2,000,000
Donnie Smith	1,377,359	3,200,000
Dennis Leatherby	399,434	928,000
Donnie King	774,764	1,800,000
James V. Lochner	1,034,125	2,400,000
Noel White	602,594	1,400,000

Amounts reflected in this column are cash payments made to NEOs pursuant to the Executive Incentive Plan. For a (3) more detailed discussion, see the subsection titled "Elements of Compensation—Annual Performance Incentive Payments" under the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" section of this Proxy Statement.

The amounts reflected in this column include above market earnings for fiscal years 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively, on nonqualified deferred compensation as follows: Mr. Tyson - \$0, \$0 and \$0; Mr. Smith - \$36,633, \$46,318 and \$35,905; Mr. Leatherby - \$13,351, \$16,906 and \$13,174; Mr. King - \$94, \$101 and \$88; Mr. Lochner - \$79,714, \$114,132 and \$98,482; and Mr. White - \$23,513, \$31,042 and \$24,879. The amounts reflected in this (4) column also include the change in pension values for fiscal years 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively, as follows: Mr. Tyson - \$893,839, \$0 and \$928,699; Mr. Smith - \$2,385,061, \$608,530 and \$1,239,949; Mr. Leatherby - \$997,818, \$225,267 and \$547,528; Mr. King - \$1,050,999, \$176,715 and \$534,791; Mr. Lochner - \$2,869,424, \$981,912 and \$1,592,653; and Mr. White - \$1,183,951, \$220,116 and \$656,387. For the assumptions used to determine the change in the pension value, see the table titled "SERP Assumptions" in the section titled "Pension Benefits" in this Proxy Statement.

The amounts reflected in this column represent the sum of all other compensation and perquisites received by the (5) NEOs from the Company in fiscal years 2014, 2013 and 2012, as more fully set forth in the table below:

Name	Year	Reimbursement of Taxes (\$)	Executive Life Insurance Premiums (\$)	Company Contribution under the Employee Stock Purchase Plan (\$)	Company Contribution under the Executive Savings Plan (\$)(a)	Company Contribution under the Retirement Savings Plan (\$)	Perquisites (\$)(b)
John Tyson	2014	161,091	0	0	124,165	10,400	1,065,698 (c)
	2013	210,684	0	0	141,191	10,200	1,297,691
	2012	188,507	0	0	62,390	10,000	1,179,638
Donnie Smith	2014	35,444	46,484	27,303	157,287	10,400	120,300 (d)
	2013	81,131	46,484	26,031	179,603	10,200	74,861
	2012	60,476	54,923	22,500	123,213	10,000	102,151
Dennis Leatherby	2014	0	30,080	15,008	70,050	10,400	*
	2013	33,058	30,080	14,293	71,455	10,200	19,412
	2012	25,978	33,310	13,861	49,006	10,000	*
Donnie King	2014	25,475	48,343	9,801	2,600	10,400	58,448 (e)
	2013	31,939	26,753	7,457	1,627	8,354	19,662
	2012	21,950	27,870	6,686	0	5,000	10,171
	2014	12,762	66,984	22,943	31,109	10,400	56,601 (f)

Edgar Filing: TYSON FOODS INC - Form DEF 14A

James V.
Lochner

	2013	91,214	66,984	22,506	171,124	10,200	110,185	
	2012	106,105	79,116	20,414	119,661	10,000	190,405	
Noel White	2014	40,496	53,656	17,778	87,483	10,400	67,951	(g)
	2013	22,052	27,044	13,826	68,789	10,200	19,891	
	2012	26,310	44,961	13,371	50,750	10,000	*	

*Indicates value less than \$10,000.

38

Included in these amounts are matching contributions to the applicable NEOs pursuant to the Executive Savings Plan subsequent to the end of the fiscal years 2014, 2013 and 2012, though attributable to performance in the immediately prior fiscal year, as follows: Mr. Tyson - \$99,282, \$120,386 and \$53,928; Mr. Smith - \$124,102, \$148,712 and \$99,982; Mr. Leatherby - \$56,595, \$58,838 and \$38,572; Mr. King - \$0, \$0 and \$0; Mr. Lochner - (a) \$0, \$141,631 and \$96,223; and Mr. White - \$70,000, \$56,917 and \$41,043 (a description of the Executive Savings Plan is provided under the heading "Financial, Retirement and Welfare Benefit Plans" in "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" of this Proxy Statement, as well as following the table titled "Nonqualified Deferred Compensation for Fiscal Year 2014" under the heading "Executive Savings Plan").

The amounts in this column include premiums paid by the Company for a long-term disability insurance policy and the EMRP for each NEO. The values expressed for personal use of Company-owned aircraft are based on the aggregate incremental cost to the Company using a method that accounts for fuel, maintenance, landing fees, other associated travel costs and charter fees. Messrs. Tyson's, Smith's, King's, Lochner's and White's personal use of (b) Company-owned aircraft is permitted under their respective employment contracts; moreover, such use must comply with the Company's then existing aircraft policy and not interfere with the Company's use of the aircraft. The values of all perquisites are based on the incremental aggregate cost to the Company and are individually quantified only if they exceed the greater of \$25,000 or 10% of the total amount of perquisites for such NEO.

(c) This amount includes \$859,129 for personal use of Company-owned aircraft and \$175,196 for a SERP payment.

(d) This also includes amounts for personal security and event tickets.

(e) This amount includes \$108,682 for personal use of Company-owned aircraft and an amount for spousal attendance at an event.

(f) This amount includes \$45,872 for personal use of Company-owned aircraft, an amount for event tickets and an amount for spousal attendance at an event.

(g) This amount includes \$41,852 for personal use of the Company-owned aircraft and an amount paid upon the execution of an amendment to his employment contract.

(h) This amount includes \$57,836 for personal use of the Company-owned aircraft and amount for event tickets.

(i) Mr. Lochner retired on September 20, 2014.

(j) At the time of Mr. Lochner's retirement, he received a cash payment of \$2,456,160, which was an estimate of the (7) payment he would have received had he been employed by the Company as an executive officer on the last day of fiscal year 2014.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards During Fiscal Year 2014

The table below provides information on equity and cash-based performance awards granted to each of the Company's NEOs during fiscal year 2014. The equity awards were granted under the Stock Incentive Plan. The cash-based performance awards were granted under the Executive Incentive Plan. More information on plan-based awards is provided in the subsection titled "Elements of Compensation" under the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" section of this Proxy Statement.

Name	Grant Date	Approval Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards(1)			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards(2)			All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options (#)(3)	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards (\$/Sh)(4)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (\$)(5)
			Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)	Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Maximum (#)			
John Tyson	11/22/2013	11/13/2013	676,324	1,352,648	10,000,000						
	11/22/2013	11/13/2013				15,713	31,426	62,853			860,849
	11/22/2013	11/13/2013					19,642				625,000
	11/22/2013	11/13/2013							160,600	31.82	1,739,298
Donnie Smith	11/22/2013	11/13/2013	835,459	1,670,918	10,000,000						
	11/22/2013	11/13/2013				25,141	50,282	100,565			1,377,359
	11/22/2013	11/13/2013					31,427				1,000,000
	11/22/2013	11/13/2013							256,900	31.82	2,782,227
Dennis Leatherby	11/22/2013	11/13/2013	349,250	698,500	10,000,000						
	11/22/2013	11/13/2013				7,291	14,582	29,164			399,434
	11/22/2013	11/13/2013					9,114				290,000
	11/22/2013	11/13/2013							74,500	31.82	806,835
Donnie King	11/22/2013	11/13/2013	535,600	1,071,200	10,000,000						
	11/22/2013	11/13/2013				14,142	28,284	56,568			774,764
	11/22/2013	11/13/2013					17,678				562,500
	11/22/2013	11/13/2013							144,500	31.82	1,564,935
James V. Lochner	11/22/2013	11/13/2013				18,856	37,712	75,424			1,034,125
	11/22/2013	11/13/2013					62,825				1,999,103
	11/22/2013	11/13/2013							192,700	31.82	2,086,941
Noel White	11/22/2013	11/13/2013	485,388	970,775	10,000,000						
	11/22/2013	11/13/2013				10,999	21,998	43,997			602,594
	11/22/2013	11/13/2013					13,749				437,500
	11/22/2013	11/13/2013							112,400	31.82	1,217,292

(1) The amounts in these columns represented the threshold, target and maximum amounts payable for performance in fiscal year 2014 under the Executive Incentive Plan. The amounts paid to each NEO pursuant to this plan for fiscal

year 2014 are set forth in the column titled "Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation" in the "Summary Compensation Table for Fiscal Years 2014, 2013 and 2012" in this Proxy Statement. For more detailed information on the Executive Incentive Plan and potential payments thereunder, see the discussion and tables in the subsection titled "Elements of Compensation—Annual Performance Incentive Payments" in the section titled "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" in this Proxy Statement.

- The amounts in these columns represent (a) the threshold, target and maximum amount of shares of performance stock which would be awarded upon the achievement of specified performance criteria, and (b) the amount of shares of restricted stock with performance criteria which would be awarded upon the achievement of a specified
- (2) performance criterion. For a more detailed discussion, see the subsections titled "Elements of Compensation—Equity-Based Compensation—Performance Stock" and "Elements of Compensation—Equity-Based Compensation—Restricted Stock with Performance Criteria" in the section titled "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" in this Proxy Statement.
 - (3) The amounts in this column represent nonqualified stock options that expire on November 22, 2023, except Mr. Lochner's options, which expire on September 19, 2015, one year following his retirement.
 - (4) Pursuant to the terms of the Stock Incentive Plan, the exercise price for these options is the closing price of our Class A Common Stock on the grant date.
 - (5) For a description of the methodology used to determine the grant date fair value of stock and option awards, see footnote 1 of the "Summary Compensation Table for Fiscal Years 2014, 2013 and 2012 " in this Proxy Statement.

Outstanding Equity Awards at 2014 Fiscal Year-End

The table below provides information on the stock option, restricted stock and performance stock awards held by each of the Company's NEOs as of September 27, 2014.

Name	Grant Date	Option Awards		Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Stock Awards		Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (\$)(1)
		Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable			Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (#)		
John Tyson	11/16/2005	500,000	0	16.35	11/16/2015			
	11/17/2006	500,000	0	15.37	11/17/2016			
	11/26/2012					32,283	(2)	1,218,360
	11/26/2012					25,826	(3)	974,673
	11/26/2012	53,534	107,066 (4)	19.36	11/26/2022			
	11/22/2013	0	160,600 (5)	31.82	11/22/2023			
	11/22/2013					19,803	(6)	747,365
	11/22/2013					15,713	(7)	593,009
Donnie Smith	11/16/2005	10,000	0	16.35	11/16/2015			
	11/17/2006	20,000	0	15.37	11/17/2016			
	11/16/2007	40,000	0	15.06	11/16/2017			
	11/14/2008	40,000	0	4.90	11/14/2018			
	11/30/2009	117,680	0	12.02	11/30/2019			
	2/11/2010	282,320	0	15.96	2/11/2020			
	11/29/2010	400,000	0	16.19	11/29/2020			
	11/28/2011	266,667	133,333 (8)	19.63	11/28/2021			
	11/26/2012					51,652	(2)	1,949,346
	11/26/2012					41,322	(3)	1,559,492
	11/26/2012	85,634	171,266 (4)	19.36	11/26/2022			
	11/22/2013	0	256,900 (5)	31.82	11/22/2023			
	11/22/2013					31,684	(6)	1,195,754
11/22/2013					25,141	(7)	948,821	
Dennis Leatherby	11/16/2005	8,000	0	16.35	11/16/2015			
	11/17/2006	8,000	0	15.37	11/17/2016			
	11/16/2007	8,000	0	15.06	11/16/2017			
	11/14/2008	40,000	0	4.90	11/14/2018			

Edgar Filing: TYSON FOODS INC - Form DEF 14A

	11/30/2009	40,000	0	12.02	11/30/2019			
	11/29/2010	40,000	0	16.19	11/29/2020			
	10/03/2011					12,961	(9)	510,268
	11/28/2011	26,667	13,333	(8)	19.63	11/28/2021		
	11/26/2012					14,204	(2)	536,059
	11/26/2012					11,363	(3)	428,840
	11/26/2012	23,534	47,066	(4)	19.36	11/26/2022		
	11/22/2013	0	74,500	(5)	31.82	11/22/2023		
	11/22/2013					9,188	(6)	346,755
	11/22/2013					7,291	(7)	275,162
Donnie King	11/16/2007	30,000	0	15.06	11/16/2017			
	11/14/2008	40,000	0	4.90	11/14/2018			
	11/30/2009	40,000	0	12.02	11/30/2019			
	11/29/2010	117,680	0	16.19	11/29/2020			
	11/28/2011	78,454	39,226	(8)	19.63	11/28/2021		
	11/26/2012					14,979	(2)	565,307
	11/26/2012					11,983	(3)	452,238
	11/26/2012	24,834	49,666	(4)	19.36	11/26/2022		
	11/22/2013	0	144,500	(5)	31.82	11/22/2023		
	11/22/2013					17,822	(6)	672,602
	11/22/2013					14,142	(7)	533,719
James V. Lochner	11/28/2011	108,333	(10) 0	19.63	09/19/2015			
	11/26/2012	128,466	(10) 0	19.36	09/19/2015			
	11/26/2012					20,322	(3)(11)	766,952
	11/22/2013					6,113	(7)(11)	230,705
	11/22/2013	192,700	(10) 0	31.82	09/19/2015			
Noel White	11/14/2008	8,000	0	4.90	11/14/2018			
	11/29/2010	42,680	0	16.19	11/29/2020			
	11/28/2011	78,454	39,226	(8)	19.63	11/28/2021		

Name	Option Awards					Stock Awards		
	Grant Date	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Rights That Have Not Vested (#)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (\$)(1)	
Noel White	11/26/2012					14,979	(2)	565,307
(continued)	11/26/2012					11,983	(3)	452,238
	11/26/2012	24,834	49,666	(4)	19.36			
	11/22/2013	0	112,400	(5)	31.82			
	11/22/2013					13,862	(6)	523,152
	11/22/2013					10,999	(7)	415,102

The footnotes below are applicable to more than one NEO where noted.

(1) The amounts listed in this column reflect a share price of \$37.74, the closing price of our shares on the NYSE on September 26, 2014, the last trading day of our 2014 fiscal year.

(2) This represents an award of restricted stock with performance criteria that vests on the fourth business day following the issuance of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the 2015 fiscal year subject to the satisfaction of the applicable performance criterion.

(3) This represents an award of performance stock that vests on the fourth business day following the issuance of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the 2015 fiscal year subject to the satisfaction of the applicable performance criteria. Per instructions for this table, the number of shares reported is based on the threshold performance goals.

(4) One-third of these options vested and became exercisable on November 26, 2013. One-half of the remaining options vested and become exercisable on November 26, 2014 and the remaining options are scheduled to vest and become exercisable on November 26, 2015.

(5) One-third of these options vested and became exercisable on November 22, 2014. One-half of the remaining options are scheduled to vest and become exercisable on November 22, 2015 and the remaining options are scheduled to vest and become exercisable on November 22, 2016.

(6) This represents an award of restricted stock with performance criteria that vests on the fourth business day following the issuance of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the 2016 fiscal year subject to the satisfaction of the applicable performance criterion.

(7) This represents an award of performance stock that vests on the fourth business day following the issuance of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the 2016 fiscal year subject to the satisfaction of the applicable performance criteria. Per instructions for this table, the number of shares reported is based on the threshold performance goals.

(8) These options vested and became exercisable on November 28, 2014.

(9)

Based on the satisfaction of applicable performance criteria, these shares vested on September 30, 2014 in the amount reported.

- (10) Pursuant to the terms of this award, these options (a) vested and became exercisable upon Mr. Lochner's turning 62 and (b) expire on the first anniversary of his retirement.
- (11) These grants differ from previously reported amounts, as they were ratably reduced upon Mr. Lochner's retirement.

42

Option Exercises and Stock Vested During Fiscal Year 2014

The table below sets forth the number of shares acquired and the value realized upon exercise of stock options and vesting of stock awards during fiscal year 2014 by each of the NEOs.

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards		
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise(#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting(#)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$)	
John Tyson	500,000	11,300,750	0	0	
Dennis Leatherby	0	0	11,531	(1) 328,644	(2)
James V. Lochner	290,900	6,192,114	63,216	(3) 2,358,601	(4)

(1) Represents previously awarded performance stock that vested on October 1, 2013.

(2) Amount based on our stock price of \$28.50 on October 1, 2013, which was the vesting date.

(3) Represents previously awarded restricted stock that vested for tax purposes on August 11, 2014.

(4) Amount based on our stock price of \$37.31 on August 11, 2014, which was the vesting date for tax purposes, plus an additional amount paid to the recipient for a fractional share.

Pension Benefits

The SERP is a nonqualified deferred compensation plan providing life insurance protection during employment and a subsequent retirement benefit to certain officers of the Company, including all NEOs. The retirement benefit is a lifetime annuity. The primary formula for calculating the amount of such benefit uses one percent of the average annual compensation paid to the officer for his or her final five years of service multiplied by his or her years of creditable service (the "normal retirement allowance"). "Creditable service"

is the number of years and months that the NEO has been a contracted officer beginning January 1, 2004, subject to certain grandfathering

and band level criteria. The SERP also provides for catch-up accruals for certain grandfathered participants (officers prior to 2002 receive an additional one percent of their final 5 year average annual compensation multiplied by their final five years of creditable service). An officer's normal retirement allowance cannot decrease from the highest normal retirement allowance amount calculated during the officer's tenure. In addition, participants in the plan as of July 1, 2014 with at least 20 years of vesting service are generally eligible for a minimum benefit and a tax allowance based on the amount of their executive life insurance premium at the male nonsmoker rate. Participants do not vest in the retirement benefits until attaining age 62, although a participant who attains at least age 55 and whose combination of age and years of vesting service equals or exceeds 70 is considered vested. A participant who vests in his or her retirement benefit prior to age 62 may retire early and receive an actuarially reduced benefit. A participant who terminates employment or becomes ineligible to participate before vesting or a participant who is terminated for cause, even if fully vested, is not entitled to any benefits under the SERP. A participant in the plan as of July 1, 2014 who terminates prior to vesting because of disability is eligible for a fully vested and unreduced minimum benefit. The Compensation Committee has the discretion to grant early retirement benefits under the plan.

The following table shows the years of creditable service for benefit accrual purposes and the present value of the accrued benefits for each of the NEOs under the SERP as of September 27, 2014.

Name	Plan Name	Numbers of Years of Creditable Service(#)(1)	Present Value of Accumulated Benefit\$(2)	Payments During Last Fiscal Year(\$)
John Tyson	Tyson Foods, Inc. SERP	11.50	4,036,345	175,196
Donnie Smith	Tyson Foods, Inc. SERP	15.75	5,786,017	0
Dennis Leatherby	Tyson Foods, Inc. SERP	15.75	2,632,548	0
Donnie King	Tyson Foods, Inc. SERP	15.75	2,565,164	0
James V. Lochner	Tyson Foods, Inc. SERP	15.75	7,853,271	0
Noel White	Tyson Foods, Inc. SERP	15.75	3,078,852	0

The plan considers only creditable service, as more fully described above. The NEOs' actual years of service are as follows: Mr. Tyson - 42 years, Mr. Smith - 33 years, Mr. Leatherby - 24 years, Mr. King - 32 years, Mr. Lochner - 31 years and Mr. White - 31 years.

43

(2) The present value of these benefits is based on the following assumptions:

SERP Assumptions

	As of September 28, 2013	As of September 27, 2014
Discount Rate	5.09%	4.51%
Mortality Table for Annuities	2013 projected, static, combined tables for males and females as published in IRS Notice 2008-85	RP-2014 mortality tables with MP-2014 generational improvement for males and females

The following table shows the estimated annual single life annuity payable from the plan upon retirement at age 62, based on the specific compensation and years of service classifications indicated below.

SERP Estimates

	Years of Service				
Average Cash Compensation	15	20	25	30	35
\$500,000	\$75,000	\$100,000	\$125,000	\$150,000	\$175,000
\$750,000	\$112,500	\$150,000	\$187,500	\$225,000	\$262,500
\$1,000,000	\$150,000	\$200,000	\$250,000	\$300,000	\$350,000
\$1,500,000	\$225,000	\$300,000	\$375,000	\$450,000	\$525,000
\$2,000,000	\$300,000	\$400,000	\$500,000	\$600,000	\$700,000
\$3,000,000	\$450,000	\$600,000	\$750,000	\$900,000	\$1,050,000
\$5,000,000	\$750,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,250,000	\$1,500,000	\$1,750,000

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation for Fiscal Year 2014

The table below provides information on benefits available to the NEOs for fiscal year 2014 under the Executive Savings Plan, the Retirement Income Plan and the IBP Share Grant Program.

Name	Plan(1)	Executive Contributions in Last Fiscal Year (\$)(2)	Company Contributions in Last Fiscal Year (\$)(3)	Aggregate Earnings in Last Fiscal Year (\$)(4)	Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions (\$)	Aggregate Balance at Last Fiscal Year-End (\$)(5)(6)
John Tyson	Executive Savings Plan	156,520	124,165	550,938	0	5,204,965
Donnie Smith	Executive Savings Plan	273,244	157,287	148,555	0	3,439,536
Dennis Leatherby	Executive Savings Plan	106,091	70,050	54,152	0	1,262,959
Donnie King	Executive Savings Plan	0	2,600	520	0	17,815
James V. Lochner	Executive Savings Plan	39,101	31,109	87,798	0	1,856,461
	Retirement Income Plan	0	0	231,617	0	4,655,804
	IBP Share Grant Program	0	0	4,241	0	85,264
Noel White	Executive Savings Plan	221,015	87,483	67,613	0	1,671,511
	Retirement Income Plan	0	0	27,773	0	558,278

As further detailed in the narrative below, all NEOs may participate in the Executive Savings Plan. As previous executives of IBP, inc. ("IBP"), Messrs. Lochner and White also have account balances in the Company's

(1) Retirement Income Plan, a deferred compensation plan previously maintained by IBP as further described below.

In addition, Mr. Lochner has an account balance in the IBP Share Grant Program, a plan previously maintained by IBP which has not had additional contributions since the acquisition of IBP by the Company in 2001.

Amounts in this column are included in the "Salary" and/or "Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation" columns of the "Summary Compensation Table for Fiscal Years 2014, 2013 and 2012" in this Proxy Statement. The amounts

(2) in this column include post-fiscal year 2014 contributions made from the NEOs' non-equity incentive plan compensation attributable to fiscal year 2014 performance as follows: Mr. Tyson (\$99,282); Mr. Smith (\$124,102); Mr. Leatherby (\$56,595); and Mr. White (\$70,000).

The amounts reflected in this column include post-fiscal year 2014 matching contributions to the applicable NEOs (3) pursuant to the Executive Savings Plan attributable to fiscal year 2014 performance as reported in footnote 5 to the "Summary Compensation

44

Table for Fiscal Years 2014, 2013 and 2012" in this Proxy Statement. A description of the Executive Savings Plan is provided in the subsection titled "Financial, Retirement and Welfare Benefit Plans" in the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" section of this Proxy Statement, as well as below under the heading "Executive Savings Plan".

(4) The above-market portion of these earnings is reported in footnote 4 to the "Summary Compensation Table for Fiscal Years 2014, 2013 and 2012" in this Proxy Statement.

(5) The amounts in this column include post-fiscal year 2014 executive contributions and Company matching contributions as described in footnotes (2) and (3) above.

In addition to the amounts described in footnotes (2), (3) and (4) above, the amount shown in this column includes (6) the following amounts reported as compensation for each of the NEOs in the Company's Summary Compensation Tables in the previous years:

Name	Amount (\$)
John Tyson	547,108
Donnie Smith	2,056,017
Dennis Leatherby	652,004
Donnie King	1,849
James V. Lochner	1,702,654
Noel White	797,543

Executive Savings Plan

The Company sponsors the Executive Savings Plan which is available to NEOs and other highly compensated employees of the Company and is intended to provide participants the opportunity to defer up to 60% of their salaries and 100% of cash performance incentive payments in excess of the limits of the Internal Revenue Code imposed on the Retirement Savings Plan (the qualified 401(k) plan). Participants must elect to defer their compensation for a year in the year prior to performing services, and deferral elections are generally irrevocable. The Executive Savings Plan also provides a matching contribution by the Company equal to 100% of the first 3% of base pay contributed, plus 50% of the next 2% contributed which is not otherwise matched under the Company's Retirement Savings Plan. Performance incentive payment deferrals are also matched at the same rates. Participants' accounts under the Executive Savings Plan are adjusted for investment gains or losses. Participants may elect how their accounts are invested from the investment options available under the Retirement Savings Plan plus an investment option paying the prime rate as reported in the Wall Street Journal plus two hundred basis points.

For amounts deferred to the Executive Savings Plan on or after January 1, 2005, and any earnings, gains or losses thereon, the following distribution rules apply. Participants must elect the amount of their deferrals and the time and form of their distributions prior to the year their salaries and performance incentive payments to be deferred are earned. Participants may elect to receive distributions in January following termination of employment, in January of a specified calendar year as elected by the participant, or a combination of the foregoing. Participants may apply for an earlier distribution on account of an extraordinary and unforeseeable event. Participants may elect the form of their distributions in either a lump sum payment or annual installments payable over a period not to exceed 15 years from the later of the date the participant terminates employment or attains age 62. Notwithstanding the foregoing, a participant's account will be distributed in a lump sum if it does not exceed the maximum annual contribution limit under the Retirement Savings Plan following termination of employment. Changes are permitted to these elections only in accordance with limited rules of the plan. Certain key employees may be required to delay a distribution payable at termination of employment for six months as required by law. Notwithstanding a participant's distribution election, if a participant dies prior to distribution of the account, the account will be paid to the participant's designated beneficiary beginning in January of the year following the participant's death in five annual installments or in a lump sum in January of the year following the participant's death if the value of the account does not exceed the maximum annual contribution limit under the Retirement Savings Plan at the time of distribution. If a participant dies after distributions have begun to the participant, the participant's designated beneficiary receives payment in accordance with the participant's distribution election. For account balances prior to January 1, 2005 and earnings, gains and losses thereon, the distribution rules described in the section below titled "Retirement Income Plan" apply.

Any assets reserved for Company payments under the Executive Savings Plan remain subject to the claims of our creditors. Benefits are currently paid from a grantor trust originally established to pay benefits under the Retirement Income Plan. Assets from this grantor trust can be used to pay benefits under the Executive Savings Plan only if there are sufficient assets remaining in the trust after any such payment to satisfy all benefit obligations under the Retirement Income Plan. The Company currently provides funding for this grantor trust on an ongoing basis.

Retirement Income Plan

The Company maintains the Retirement Income Plan, which is a nonqualified deferred compensation plan originally maintained by IBP. The Retirement Income Plan is currently frozen, meaning that no further contributions are permitted to be made. Prior to being frozen, certain employees of IBP could defer their compensation to the Retirement Income Plan and receive matching contributions on

their deferrals in excess of limits imposed on qualified plans under the Internal Revenue Code. Accounts under the Retirement Income Plan continue to realize gain or loss. Participants may elect how their accounts are invested from the investment options available under the Retirement Savings Plan plus an investment option paying the prime rate as reported in the Wall Street Journal plus two percentage points. The Retirement Income Plan will terminate after all distributions from the plan have been made.

A participant is eligible for a distribution from the Retirement Income Plan at termination or, if the participant elects, while in-service or on account of a hardship. In-service distributions requested by June 30 are paid in January of the year following the request. Distributions requested on account of hardship may be requested at any time and distributed when approved by the plan's administrative committee. Distributions are made in the form elected by the participant from a lump sum payment or annual or biannual installments payable over a period not to exceed 15 years from the later of the date the participant terminates employment or attains age 62. Notwithstanding the foregoing, a participant's account will be distributed in a lump sum if it does not exceed \$50,000 at the time of distribution following termination of employment. If a participant dies prior to distribution of the account, the account will be paid to the participant's designated beneficiary in ten annual installments following the later of the year the participant dies or would have attained age 62, in a lump sum if the value of the account does not exceed \$50,000 at the time of distribution or as the beneficiary elects from the distribution options available to the participant. If a participant dies after distributions have begun to the participant, the participant's designated beneficiary receives payment in accordance with the participant's distribution election.

The assets of the Retirement Income Plan, including NEOs' deferrals, are subject to the claims of our creditors and benefits are paid from a trust we established to secure our obligations under the plan.

Supplemental Executive Retirement and Life Insurance Premium Plan

The SERP is a nonqualified deferred compensation plan. Information on this plan is set forth above in the section titled "Pension Benefits" in this Proxy Statement.

Potential Payments Upon Termination

In fiscal year 2014, the Compensation Committee adopted a severance program for officers, including the NEOs other than Messrs. Tyson and Smith. The severance terms for Messrs. Tyson and Smith are reflected in their respective employment contracts. The severance terms for Messrs. Leatherby, King and White are reflected in their respective employment contracts and in the severance program. Mr. Lochner retired on September 21, 2014, and his employment contract terminated; as such, he would not have been entitled to severance payments as of September 27, 2014.

In the event the Company terminates the employment of an NEO prior to the expiration of the NEO's respective employment contract term (other than for "cause" or by reason of their death or permanent disability), the Company will continue paying, in the case of Mr. Tyson, his current base salary through the end of the term of his employment contract, in the case of Mr. Smith, his then current base salary for a period of three years, and, in the case of Messrs. Leatherby, King and White, such NEO's then current base salary for a period of two years. With respect to restricted stock with performance criteria and performance stock awards held by the NEO at the date of termination, the awards will vest on a pro-rata basis determined by taking the total number of days the NEO was employed during the applicable measurement period divided into the total number of days of the same performance measurement period, will vest on termination, but only to the extent the performance criteria are satisfied. If an award vests in the future pursuant to satisfaction of performance criteria, such stock will be awarded to the NEO or his estate. With respect to stock options held by the NEO at the date of termination, such grants will vest 100% at the time of an NEO's termination.

If the NEO's employment terminates for "cause" he is not entitled to any of the foregoing benefits and will receive only his accrued but unpaid compensation as of the date of his termination. The term "cause" means that the NEO engages in misconduct that results in injury to the Company or is convicted of a job-related felony or misdemeanor. The NEOs would have been entitled to the following estimated payments and benefits from the Company if a termination occurred on September 27, 2014 under the following circumstances. In addition, NEOs may be eligible for payment of their accounts under the Company's qualified retirement plan, the Employee Stock Purchase Plan and nonqualified plans. For the benefits under these plans, see the sections titled "Compensation Discussion and Analysis," "Pension Benefits" and "Nonqualified Deferred Compensation for Fiscal Year 2014" of this Proxy

Statement.

46

	Tyson			Smith		
	Termination by Company Without Cause (\$)	Termination by Company for Cause (\$)	Termination Due to Death or Permanent Disability (\$)	Termination by Company Without Cause (\$)	Termination by Company for Cause (\$)	Termination Due to Death or Permanent Disability (\$)
Severance	4,208,237	(1) 0	0	3,341,835	(2) 0	0
Accrued and Unpaid Vacation	0	0	0	85,688	85,688	85,688
Acceleration of vesting of equity-based compensation awards(3)	3,979,987	0	3,979,987	8,781,527	0	8,781,527
Health Insurance(4)	59,814	0	94,958	43,872	0	0
Total	8,248,038	0	4,074,945	12,252,922	85,688	8,867,215
	Leatherby			King		
	Termination by Company Without Cause (\$)	Termination by Company for Cause (\$)	Termination Due to Death or Permanent Disability (\$)	Termination by Company Without Cause (\$)	Termination by Company for Cause (\$)	Termination Due to Death or Permanent Disability (\$)
Severance	1,270,000	(5) 0	0	1,648,000	(5) 0	0
Accrued and Unpaid Vacation	48,846	48,846	48,846	63,385	63,385	63,385
Acceleration of vesting of equity-based compensation awards(3)	2,020,531	0	2,020,531	3,079,756	0	3,079,756
Health Insurance(4)	33,780	0	0	33,780	0	0
Total	3,373,157	48,846	2,069,377	4,824,921	63,385	3,143,141
	White					
	Termination by Company Without Cause (\$)	Termination by Company for Cause (\$)	Termination Due to Death or Permanent Disability (\$)			
Severance	1,493,500	(5) 0	0			
Accrued and Unpaid Vacation	57,442	57,442	57,442			
Acceleration of vesting of equity-based compensation awards(3)	2,839,908	0	2,839,908			
Health Insurance(4)	33,780	0	0			
Total	4,424,630	57,442	2,897,350			

(1) This amount represents the continued payment of the NEO's base salary for four years and eight months, the remaining term of his employment contract.

(2) This amount represents the continued payment of the NEO's base salary for three years.

The amounts in this row represent the value of each NEO's unvested stock options, restricted stock with performance criteria and performance stock that would have vested on account of a termination on September 27, (3) 2014, based on our stock price of \$37.74. No amount is included for performance stock, though if performance stock vests in the future pursuant to satisfaction of performance criteria, a portion of such stock will be awarded to the NEO or his estate.

With the exception of Mr. Tyson, these amounts represent the premiums to continue the NEO's coverage under the EMRP and health insurance for the severance period provided in the NEO's employment contract. Mr. Tyson's (4) contract provides that in the case of his disability, he and his spouse are entitled to coverage under the EMRP and health insurance until each of their deaths, and his eligible dependents are entitled to coverage under the EMRP and health insurance until such time as their eligibility has ceased. In the case of Mr. Tyson's death, his spouse and eligible dependents are entitled to the same coverage. For purposes of

this table, this amount (a) includes an amount for coverage of his children until each reaches the age of 26, (b) excludes any amount for a spouse, as Mr. Tyson was not married as of September 27, 2014, and (c) excludes any amount for Mr. Tyson, as the period of time for coverage cannot be determined. As of September 27, 2014, the annual costs for Mr. Tyson under the EMRP and health insurance totaled \$12,817.

(5) These amounts represent continued payment of the NEO's base salary for two years.

Potential Payments Upon a Change in Control

Each employment contract in place between the Company and the NEOs in fiscal year 2014 contained change in control provisions in favor of the NEOs. Each of these contracts provided for the acceleration of vesting of the equity-based compensation awards held by such NEOs upon the occurrence of a change of control of the Company. Under the contracts, "change in control" was defined as any one of the following: (1) the acquisition by any individual or entity of the Company's voting securities where the acquisition caused the individual or entity to own 25% or more of the combined voting power of the Company's then outstanding voting securities entitled to vote in the election of directors; (2) a merger, consolidation, combination or like transaction involving the Company in which the shareholders of the Company immediately prior to the transaction did not own at least 50% of the voting power of the issued and outstanding capital stock of the Company immediately after the transaction; (3) the sale or transfer by the Company of more than 50% of its assets or by any shareholder or shareholders of the Company of more than 50% of the voting power of the issued and outstanding capital stock of the Company in any one transaction or a series of related transactions occurring within a one year period in which the Company, any corporation controlled by the Company or the shareholders of the Company immediately prior to the transaction did not own at least 50% of the voting power of the issued and outstanding equity securities of the acquirer immediately after the transaction; (4) a majority of the persons who were members of the Board ceased to be directors within any 12-month period; or (5) the dissolution or liquidation of the Company. However, for the purpose of the acceleration of vesting of equity-based compensation awards, a change in control does not include any event as a result of which one or more of the following persons or entities possessed, immediately after such event, over 50% of the combined voting power of the Company or any successor entity: (i) Tyson Limited Partnership, or any successor entity; (ii) individuals related to Don Tyson by blood, marriage or adoption, or the estate of any such individual (including Don Tyson); or (iii) any entity in which one or more individuals or estates described in the preceding clauses (i) and (ii) possessed over 50% of the combined voting power or beneficial interests of such entity. If such a change in control occurred, any stock options, restricted stock or performance stock that had been previously granted to the executive officer will vest (to the extent not already vested) 60 days after the occurrence of the change in control or upon any earlier date after such change of control if the executive officer is terminated other than for "cause," as defined in the NEO's contract.

Each NEO would have been entitled to the following estimated payments and benefits from the Company or its successor if a change in control occurred on September 27, 2014. Mr. Lochner retired on September 20, 2014 and his employment contract terminated; as such, he was not entitled to any payments upon a change in control.

	Tyson	Smith	Leatherby	King	White
Acceleration of vesting of equity-based compensation awards(1)	\$11,155,191	\$20,261,846	\$5,246,471	\$7,660,461	\$6,846,587
Excise Tax & Gross-Up	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total	\$11,155,191	\$20,261,846	\$5,246,471	\$7,660,461	\$6,846,587

The amounts in this row represent the value of the listed NEOs' unvested stock options, restricted stock with (1) performance criteria and performance stock that would vest on account of the change in control, based on a closing stock price of \$37.74 as of the last trading day of fiscal year 2014.

If the Company terminated any NEO following a change in control, the NEO is not entitled to any unique benefit because his termination followed a change in control. Instead, the NEO would receive the termination benefits described above under the section titled "Potential Payments Upon Termination."

REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

The Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed with management the Company's audited financial statements as of and for the fiscal year ended September 27, 2014. The Audit Committee has discussed with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, the independent registered public accounting firm for the Company, the matters required to be discussed by Auditing Standard No. 16, Communications with Audit Committees, as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board. The Audit Committee has received the written disclosures and the letter from

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP required by the applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the independent accountant's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence and has discussed with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP its independence. Based on the review and discussions above, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board that the year-end audited financial statements be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 27, 2014 for filing with the SEC.

The Board has delegated to the Audit Committee the responsibility to, among other things, (i) oversee and monitor the Company's financial reporting, auditing and accounting process, (ii) be directly responsible for the appointment, compensation and oversight of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, (iii) review and oversee the Company's internal audit department, and (iv) provide an open avenue of communication among the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, financial and senior management, the internal auditor and the Board.

The Audit Committee's duties and responsibilities are embodied in a written charter, which is evaluated annually. The Audit Committee's charter was last amended by the Board during July 2014 and is available on the Company's Investor Relations website at <http://ir.tyson.com> or in print to any shareholder who sends a request to Tyson Foods, Inc., Attention: Secretary, 2200 Don Tyson Parkway, Mail Stop CP004, Springdale, Arkansas 72762-6999.

Audit Committee of the Board of Directors

Kevin M. McNamara, Chairman

Kathleen M. Bader

Jim Kever

CERTAIN TRANSACTIONS

The following are the transactions occurring during fiscal year 2014 (i) in which the Company was a participant, (ii) where the annual amount involved exceeded \$120,000, and (iii) in which the Company's NEOs, directors, nominees, principal shareholders and other related parties had a direct or indirect material interest or which the Company has chosen to voluntarily disclose. Other than described in this section, no other transactions of this type are currently proposed.

1. The Company has agreements with an entity for the lease of wastewater treatment plants that service chicken processing facilities owned by the Company in Nashville, Arkansas and Springdale, Arkansas. During fiscal year 2014 interests in the lessor entity were owned by the following persons: the Donald J. Tyson Revocable Trust (of which Mr. Tyson is one of the trustees); Berry Street Waste Water Treatment Plant, LP (of which the TLP owns 90%); Carla Tyson (sister of Mr. Tyson), Cheryl Tyson (sister of Mr. Tyson), and Joslyn J. Caldwell-Tyson (sister of Mr. Tyson). Aggregate lease payments made by the Company during fiscal year 2014 with respect to the Nashville facility were \$750,000 plus \$8,473 for property taxes attributable to the treatment plant. Aggregate lease payments made by the Company during fiscal year 2014 with respect to the Springdale facility were \$450,000 plus an amount for property taxes; however, for property tax purposes the treatment plant is not segregated from the processing facility and, as such, the amount of property tax attributable to the treatment plant is not determinable.

2. On November 14, 2013, the Company purchased a 9.82 acre parcel of land adjacent to the Company's headquarters in Springdale, Arkansas for the sale price of \$550,000 from JHT, LLC, of which the Donald J. Tyson Revocable Trust and the Randal W. Tyson Testamentary Trust are the members and Mr. Tyson is the manager. The land is to be used for expansion of the corporate office complex.

The related party transactions described above have been reviewed by the Governance and Nominating Committee, which has determined that the transactions are fair to the Company. The Governance and Nominating Committee oversees and reviews related party and other special transactions between the Company and its directors, executive officers or their affiliates. This review typically entails the receipt of appraisals or other information from independent third parties which are utilized in the Governance and Nominating Committee's determination of fairness. The Board does not have a separate written policy regarding the review and approval of related party transactions. However, our Governance and Nominating Committee charter requires that the Governance and Nominating Committee review and approve all transactions with related persons as may be required to be disclosed by the rules of the SEC. The Governance and Nominating Committee is responsible for determining whether such transactions are fair to the Company. Directors and executive officers are specifically asked to disclose such transactions annually.

In addition to the SEC requirements regarding related party transactions, the Company has agreed that, in connection with the settlement on April 8, 2008 of certain shareholder litigation styled *In re Tyson Foods, Inc. Consolidated Shareholder Litigation*, it will not engage in any new related party transactions with the TLP, Don Tyson, any spouse, child, grandchild, parent or sibling of Don Tyson, or any NEO unless prior to entry of any such transaction there has been a unanimous finding by the Governance and Nominating Committee that either (a) reasonably equivalent transactions on reasonably equivalent terms are not available from third parties who are not related parties, or (b) special or exigent circumstances exist which would not make it practical or desirable under the circumstances to investigate the availability of third party options. These additional requirements do not apply to (a) related party transactions already existing or in place during part or all of calendar year 2007, or (b) renewals of any transactions between the Company and any such related parties already existing or in place during part or all of calendar year 2007. With respect to transaction number 2 described above, the Governance and Nominating Committee unanimously determined that a reasonably equivalent transaction on reasonably equivalent terms was not available from a third party who was not a related party.

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

The Company's directors and executive officers and the beneficial owners of more than ten percent of the Company's shares are required to file under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 reports of ownership and changes of ownership with the SEC. Based solely on information provided to the Company by individual directors and executive officers and the beneficial owners of more than ten percent of the Company's shares, the Company believes that during fiscal year 2014, all filing requirements applicable to directors and executive officers have been complied with in a timely manner.

SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS AND DIRECTOR NOMINATIONS

It is currently anticipated that the 2016 Annual Meeting of Shareholders ("2016 Annual Meeting") will be held on February 5, 2016. Proposals of shareholders intended to be presented at the 2016 Annual Meeting must be received by the Company's corporate secretary at the Company's executive office on or before August 21, 2015 in order to be eligible for inclusion in the Company's Proxy Statement and form of proxy. To be so included, a proposal must also comply with all applicable provisions of Rule 14a-8 under the Exchange Act.

The Company's by-laws provide that no business may be brought before an annual meeting except as specified in the notice of the meeting or as otherwise properly brought before the meeting by or at the direction of the Company's board of directors or by a shareholder. The Company's by-laws provide that for any business (other than a proposal included in the Company's proxy materials pursuant to Rule 14a-8 under the Exchange Act) to be brought before an annual meeting by a shareholder, the shareholder must (i) be a shareholder of record on the date the shareholder provides notice to the Company of its intention to bring business before the annual meeting and on the record date for the determination of shareholders entitled to notice of and to vote at the annual meeting, (ii) be entitled to vote at the annual meeting, and (iii) give timely notice of the proposed business in proper written form in compliance with the notice procedures and informational requirements set forth in Article II, Section 10 of the Company's by-laws. To be timely, the notice must be received by the secretary of the Company at the principal executive office of the Company not less than 90 days nor more than 120 days prior to the first anniversary of the preceding year's annual meeting; provided, however, that in the event that the annual meeting is convened more than 30 days before or more than 60 days after such anniversary date, or if no annual meeting was held in the preceding year, notice by the stockholder to be timely must be so received no more than 120 days prior to such annual meeting nor less than the later of (i) 90 days prior to such annual meeting and (ii) ten days after the day on which public disclosure of the date of the annual meeting was made. To be timely for purposes of the 2016 Annual Meeting, the notice must be received by the Company's corporate secretary at the Company's executive office on or before November 1, 2015, but in no event earlier than October 2, 2015.

Under the Company's by-laws, nominations for director may be made only by the Company's board of directors (or any duly authorized committee of the board) or by any shareholder that (i) is a shareholder of record on the date the shareholder provides notice to the Company of its intention to nominate a director nominee for election to the board and on the record date for the determination of shareholders entitled to notice of and to vote at the meeting at which directors will be elected, (ii) is entitled to vote at such meeting, and (iii) gives timely notice of such nomination in proper written form in compliance with the notice procedures and informational requirements set forth in Article II, Section 9 of the Company's by-laws. To be timely, the notice must be received by the secretary at the principal executive offices of the Company (i) in the case of an annual meeting, not less than 90 nor more than 120 days prior to the first anniversary of the preceding year's annual meeting; provided, however, that in the event that the annual meeting is convened more than 30 days before or more than 60 days after such anniversary date, or if no annual meeting was held in the preceding year, notice by the shareholder to be timely must be so received no more than 120 days prior to such annual meeting nor less than the later of (x) 90 days prior to such annual meeting and (y) ten days after the day on which public disclosure of the date of the meeting was made; and (ii) in the case of a special meeting called for the purpose of electing directors, no more than ten days after the day on which public disclosure of the date of such special meeting was made. To be timely for purposes of the 2016 Annual Meeting, the notice must be received by the Company's corporate secretary at the Company's executive office on or before November 1, 2015, but in no event earlier than October 2, 2015.

All notices of shareholder business or nominations for director provided for above must be delivered to the secretary of the Company at the principal executive offices of the Company at the address provided below in "Shareholder Communications."

SHAREHOLDER COMMUNICATIONS

Shareholders and other interested parties may direct communications to individual directors, including the Lead Independent Director, a Board committee, the non-management directors as a group or the Board as a whole, by addressing the communication to the named individual, the committee, the non-management directors as a group or the Board as a whole, c/o Tyson Foods, Inc., Attention: Secretary, 2200 Don Tyson Parkway, Mail Stop CP004, Springdale, Arkansas 72762-6999.

EXPENSES OF SOLICITATION

The cost of soliciting proxies will be borne by the Company. Solicitations may be made by executive officers, directors and employees of the Company personally or by mail, telephone or other similar means of communication. Solicitation by such persons will be made on a part-time basis and no special compensation other than reimbursement of actual expenses incurred in connection with such solicitation will be paid.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AVAILABLE

Upon written request of any shareholder or other interested persons, the Company will furnish a copy of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 27, 2014, as filed with the SEC, including the financial statements and data supplementary thereto. The written request should be sent to the corporate secretary at the Company's executive office. The written request must state that as of December 1, 2014, the person making the request was a beneficial owner of capital stock of the Company. In addition, the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 27, 2014, including the financial statements and data supplementary thereto, is available on the Company's Investor Relations website at <http://ir.tyson.com>.

HOUSEHOLDING OF PROXY MATERIALS

The Company has adopted a procedure called "householding," which the SEC has approved. Under this procedure, the Company is permitted to deliver a single copy of the proxy materials to multiple shareholders who share the same address unless the Company has received contrary instructions from one or more of the shareholders. If the Company has not received such contrary instructions, then shareholders receiving a single copy of the Company's proxy materials are deemed to have consented to householding. This procedure reduces the Company's printing and mailing costs. Shareholders who participate in householding will continue to be able to access and receive separate proxy cards or voting instruction forms. Upon written or oral request, the Company will promptly deliver a separate copy of the proxy materials to any shareholder at a shared address to which the Company delivered a single copy of any of these documents. To request additional copies of any of these documents, please submit your request to the Company in writing at the address, or by calling the phone number, provided below.

If you would like to revoke your consent to householding and in the future receive your own set of proxy materials, or if your household is currently receiving multiple copies of the proxy materials and you would like in the future to receive only a single set of proxy materials at your address, you may contact the corporate secretary by mail at 2200 Don Tyson Parkway, Mail Stop CP004, Springdale, Arkansas 72762-6999, or by calling (479) 290-4524, and provide your name, the name of each of your brokerage firms or banks where your shares are held, and your account numbers. Shareholders who hold shares in "street name" may contact their brokerage firm, bank, broker-dealer or other similar organization to request information about householding.

OTHER MATTERS

The material referred to in this Proxy Statement under the caption "Audit Committee Report" shall not be deemed soliciting material or otherwise deemed filed and shall not be deemed to be incorporated by any general statement of incorporation by reference in any filings made under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Exchange Act.

So far as is now known, there is no business other than that described above to be presented to the shareholders for action at the Annual Meeting. Should other business come before the Annual Meeting, votes may be cast pursuant to proxies in respect to any such business in the best judgment of the persons acting under the proxies.

By Order of the Board of Directors

R. Read Hudson
Secretary

December 19, 2014

