

MECHANICAL TECHNOLOGY INC
Form DEF 14A
April 26, 2013

SCHEDULE 14A
INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT
SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities

Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant x
Filed by a Party other than the Registrant o

Check the appropriate box:

- o Preliminary proxy statement
 - o Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
 - x Definitive proxy statement
- o Definitive additional materials
- o Soliciting material pursuant to Rule 14a-11(c) or Rule 14a-12

MECHANICAL TECHNOLOGY, INCORPORATED
(NAME OF REGISTRANT AS SPECIFIED IN ITS CHARTER)

Payment of filing fee (Check the appropriate box):

- x No fee required.
- o Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act rules 14a-6(i)(4) and 0-11.

- | | |
|-----|--|
| (1) | Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies: |
| (2) | Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies: |
| (3) | Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11: |
| (4) | Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction: |
| (5) | Total fee paid: |

o Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Edgar Filing: MECHANICAL TECHNOLOGY INC - Form DEF 14A

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the form or schedule and the date of its filing.

- | | |
|-----|---|
| (1) | Amount Previously Paid: |
| (2) | Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.: |
| (3) | Filing Party: |
| (4) | Date Filed: |

MECHANICAL TECHNOLOGY, INCORPORATED
325 WASHINGTON AVENUE EXTENSION
ALBANY, NEW YORK 12205

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

To the Stockholders of Mechanical Technology, Incorporated:

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the Annual Meeting) of Mechanical Technology, Incorporated, a New York corporation (the Company), will be held on Wednesday, June 12, 2013, at 10:00 a.m., local time, at 4 Pine West Plaza, Albany, New York 12205, for the following purposes:

1. To elect one director to hold office until the Company's 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and until such director's successor is duly elected and qualified.
2. To ratify the appointment of UHY LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal year 2013.
3. To approve an advisory vote on executive officer compensation.
4. To approve an advisory vote on the frequency of votes on executive compensation.
5. To transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting.

The Board of Directors has fixed the close of business on April 15, 2013 as the record date for determining stockholders entitled to notice of, and entitled to vote at, the Annual Meeting and any adjournments or postponements thereof. Only holders of record of the Company's common stock at the close of business on that date will be entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the Annual Meeting and any adjournments or postponements thereof.

The Board of Directors recommends that you vote in favor of the proposal for the election of the nominee as director of the Company, the ratification of UHY LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm, advisory approval on executive officer compensation and a vote for the holding of advisory votes on executive officer compensation every year as described in the accompanying proxy statement, and for any such other matters as may be submitted to you for a vote at the meeting.

In the event that there are insufficient shares to be voted in favor of the foregoing proposals at the time of the Annual Meeting, the Annual Meeting may be adjourned in order to permit further solicitation of proxies.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

/s/ KEVIN G. LYNCH

Kevin G. Lynch

Acting Chief Executive Officer

/s/ FREDERICK W. JONES

Frederick W. Jones

Chief Financial Officer and Secretary

Albany, New York

April 26, 2013

Your vote is important. Whether or not you intend to be present at the meeting, please mark, sign, and date the enclosed proxy and return it in the enclosed envelope to assure that your shares are represented at the meeting. If you attend the meeting, you may vote in person if you wish to do so, even if you have previously submitted your proxy.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Stockholder Meeting to Be Held on June 12, 2013: The proxy statement and annual report to stockholders are available at

<http://www.amstock.com/proxyservices/viewmaterial.asp?CoNumber=15895>

MECHANICAL TECHNOLOGY, INCORPORATED
325 WASHINGTON AVENUE EXTENSION
ALBANY, NEW YORK 12205

PROXY STATEMENT

This proxy statement (the Proxy Statement) is furnished in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Board of Directors (the Board) of Mechanical Technology, Incorporated, a New York corporation (referred to in this proxy as the Company , we , us or MTI), to be voted at the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of the Company (the Annual Meeting) to be held on Wednesday, June 12, 2013 at 10:00 a.m., local time, at 4 Pine West Plaza, Albany, New York 12205.

Record Date and Voting Securities

The Notice of Annual Meeting, Proxy Statement and proxy card (the Proxy Card) are first being mailed to stockholders of the Company on or about April 26, 2013 in connection with the solicitation of proxies for the Annual Meeting. The Board has fixed the close of business on April 15, 2013 as the date of record (the Record Date) for the determination of stockholders entitled to notice of, and entitled to vote at, the Annual Meeting. Only holders of record of our common stock, par value \$0.01 per share (Common Stock), at the close of business on the Record Date will be entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the Annual Meeting. As of the Record Date, there were 5,256,883 shares of Common Stock outstanding and entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting. Each holder of Common Stock outstanding as of the close of business on the Record Date will be entitled to one vote for each share held as of the Record Date with respect to each matter submitted at the Annual Meeting.

Proxies

The Board is soliciting a proxy in the form accompanying this Proxy Statement for use at the Annual Meeting, and will not vote such proxy at any other meeting. Kevin Lynch and Rick Jones, or each acting individually, are the persons named as proxies on the Proxy Card accompanying this Proxy Statement, who have been selected by the Board to serve in such capacity. Mr. Lynch is the Acting Chief Executive Officer of the Company, and Mr. Jones is the Chief Financial Officer and Secretary of the Company.

Voting of Proxies

Because many of our stockholders are unable to attend the Annual Meeting, the Board solicits proxies to give each stockholder an opportunity to vote on all matters scheduled to come before the Annual Meeting, as set forth in this

Proxy Statement. Stockholders are urged to read the material in this Proxy Statement carefully, specify their choice on each matter by marking the appropriate box on the enclosed Proxy Card and then sign, date, and return the card in the enclosed, stamped envelope.

Each proxy that is (a) executed properly; (b) received by us prior to or at the Annual Meeting; and (c) not properly revoked by the stockholder pursuant to the instructions below, will be voted in accordance with the directions specified on the proxy and otherwise, in accordance with the judgment of the persons designated therein as proxies. If no choice is specified and the proxy is properly signed and returned, the shares will be voted by the persons named as proxies in accordance with the recommendations of the Board contained in this Proxy Statement.

Our Board knows of no matters to be presented at the Annual Meeting other than those described in this Proxy Statement. In the event that other business properly comes before the meeting, the persons named as proxies will have discretionary authority to vote the shares represented by the accompanying proxy in accordance with their own judgment.

Revocation of Proxies

Each stockholder giving a proxy has the power to revoke it at any time before the shares represented by that proxy are voted. Revocation of a proxy is effective when our Secretary receives either (i) an instrument revoking the proxy; or (ii) a duly executed proxy bearing a later date. Additionally, a stockholder may change or revoke a previously executed proxy by voting in person at the Annual Meeting.

Subject to the terms and conditions set forth herein, all proxies received by us will be effective, notwithstanding any transfer of the shares to which such proxies relate, unless at or prior to the Annual Meeting we receive a written notice of revocation signed by the person who, as of the Record Date, was the registered holder of such shares. The notice of revocation must indicate the certificate number(s) and number of shares to which such revocation relates and the aggregate number of shares represented by such certificate(s).

Quorum and Method of Tabulation

The presence, in person or by proxy, of thirty-three and one-third percent (33 - 1/3%) of the total number of outstanding shares of Common Stock entitled to vote is necessary to constitute a quorum for the transaction of business at the Annual Meeting. A quorum being present, the affirmative vote of a plurality of the votes is necessary to elect the nominee as a director of MTI, as set forth in Proposal No. 1. The other matters submitted for stockholder approval at the Annual Meeting will be decided by majority vote of the shares present, in person or by proxy, at the Annual Meeting and voting either affirmatively or negatively on such matters.

One or more inspectors of election appointed for the meeting will tabulate the votes cast in person or by proxy at the Annual Meeting, and will determine whether or not a quorum is present. The inspectors of election will treat abstentions as shares that are present and entitled to vote for purposes of determining the presence of a quorum, but as un-voted for purposes of determining the approval of any matter submitted to stockholders for a vote. Therefore, abstentions will be the equivalent of un-voted for purposes of determining the approval of any matter submitted for a stockholder vote. An abstention will have no effect of the outcome of the election of the director or Proposals 2, 3 and 4.

Many of our shares of Common Stock are held in street name, meaning that a depository, broker-dealer or other financial institution holds the shares in its name, but such shares are beneficially owned by another person. Generally, a street name holder must receive direction from the beneficial owner of the shares to vote on issues other than routine stockholder matters, such as the ratification of auditors. If a broker indicates on a proxy that it does not have discretionary authority as to certain shares to vote on a particular matter, those shares will be treated as shares that are present and entitled to vote for purposes of determining quorum, but as un-voted for purposes of determining the approval of such matter submitted to the stockholders for a vote. A broker non-vote will have no effect on the outcome of the election of the director or Proposals 2, 3 and 4.

Householding of Annual Meeting Materials

Some banks, brokers and other nominee record holders may be participating in the practice of householding proxy statements and annual reports. This means that only one copy of our Proxy Statement or Annual Report to Stockholders may have been sent to multiple stockholders who share an address unless we have received instructions to the contrary. We will promptly deliver a separate copy of either document to any stockholder upon written or oral request. Requests may be made by mail to: Mechanical Technology, Incorporated, ATTN: Investor Relations Department, 325 Washington Avenue Extension, Albany, New York 12205; by e-mail: contact@mechtech.com; or by telephone: (518) 218-2550. Any stockholder who would like to receive separate copies of the Proxy Statement and/or Annual Report to Stockholders in the future, or any stockholder who is receiving multiple copies and would like to receive only one copy per household in the future, should contact their bank, broker, or other nominee record holder, or us directly at the address, e-mail address or phone number listed above.

Proxy Solicitation Expense

We do not anticipate engaging a paid proxy solicitor to assist with the solicitation of proxies for the Annual Meeting. In addition to solicitation by mailing of this Proxy Statement, our directors, officers, and employees, without receiving any additional compensation, may solicit proxies personally or by telephone, facsimile or email. We have retained Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc. to request brokerage houses, banks, and other custodians or nominees holding stock in their names for others to forward proxy materials to their customers or principals who are the beneficial owners of shares and will reimburse them for their expenses in doing so. We do not anticipate that the costs and expenses incurred in connection with this proxy solicitation, which will be paid by the Company, will materially exceed those normally expended for a proxy solicitation for routine matters to be voted on at an annual meeting of our stockholders.

PROPOSAL No. 1

ELECTION OF DIRECTOR

We currently have five directors on the Board. At the Annual Meeting, one director is to be elected to hold office until the expiration of his term and until a qualified successor shall be elected, respectively. The directors serve staggered three-year terms.

Listed below is the director nominated for election at the Annual Meeting.

| Name | Position with the Company | Age | Director Since | Term Expiring |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Dr. Walter L. Robb | Director | 85 | 1997 | 2016 |

The Board has nominated Dr. Walter L. Robb to serve a three-year term, expiring in 2016. Dr. Walter L. Robb is completing his final year of a three-year term, expiring on June 14, 2013. William P. Phelan and Kevin G. Lynch are each beginning their second year of their three-year terms, expiring in 2015. Thomas J. Marusak and E. Dennis O Connor are each beginning their third year of their three-year terms, expiring in 2014.

Vote Required for Approval

The affirmative vote of the plurality of the shares of Common Stock represented and entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting is required to elect the nominated director.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE FOR THE ELECTION OF THE NOMINEE LISTED ABOVE AS A DIRECTOR OF THE COMPANY.

3

Information about Our Directors

Set forth below is certain information regarding the directors of the Company, including the nominees for election at the Annual Meeting.

| Name | Age | Director Since |
|---------------------------------|-----|----------------|
| Term Expiring 2016 | | |
| Dr. Walter L. Robb..... | 85 | 1997 |
| Terms Expiring 2014 | | |
| Thomas J. Marusak (1) (2)..... | 62 | 2004 |
| E. Dennis O Connor (1) (2)..... | 73 | 1993 |
| Terms Expiring 2015 | | |
| William P. Phelan (1) (2) | 56 | 2004 |
| Kevin G. Lynch | 60 | 2012 |

(1) Member of the Audit Committee

(2) Member of the Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee

Messrs. Marusak, O Connor, and Phelan are independent directors , as defined by the listing standards of The Nasdaq Stock Market Inc.

Kevin G. Lynch has served as our Acting Chief Executive Officer since September 2012, Chairman of the Board since October 2012, and a director since April 2012. Mr. Lynch served as the Chief Executive Officer of AAF International (American Air Filter Company Inc. AAFCI), a commercial and industrial air filters and equipment company and a subsidiary of Daikin Industries Ltd. in Japan from December 2011 until April 2012, and as the Global Chief Operating Officer from June 2008 to December 2011. Mr. Lynch served as the President of Filtration Group Inc., a privately held air filter manufacturer in Illinois, from March 2005 to March 2008. Mr. Lynch also worked with Lydall Inc., a specialty materials manufacturer, for over 12 years where he progressed to the Group President of the company. Mr. Lynch started his career in the chemical industry with American Cyanamid Inc. (now Cytec, Inc. NYSE:CYT) developing his abilities over 16 years though many of their businesses in various roles and locations. Mr. Lynch holds a M.B.A. in management from Farleigh Dickinson University, and both a B.S. and M.S. in Biology from the Pennsylvania State University. Mr. Lynch currently serves on the Board of Overseers for the University of Louisville. Mr. Lynch s experience, including those mentioned above, brings significant managerial and technical expertise to the board.

Thomas J. Marusak has served as a director since December 2004. Since 1986, Mr. Marusak has served as President of Comfortex Corporation, a manufacturer of window blinds and specialty shades. Mr. Marusak served with New

York's Capital Region Center for Economic Growth as Chairman of the Technology Council from June 2001 to July 2004 and Chairman of the Board of Directors from July 2004 until December 2005. Mr. Marusak served as a director for the New York State Energy and Development Authority from 1999 to 2005. Mr. Marusak also represented the interests of small- and medium-sized manufacturing businesses of New York as a delegate at the White House in 1995. He was previously a member of the Advisory Board of Directors for Key Bank of New York from 1996 through 2004, and served on the Advisory Boards of Dynabil Industries Inc. and Clough Harbour Associates Technology Services Company of Albany from 2000 through 2005. In 2011, Mr. Marusak was elected to the Board of Directors of the Capital District Physician's Health Plan, Inc., in Albany, in which he is also a member of the Finance Committee of the Board. Mr. Marusak received a B.S. in Engineering from Pennsylvania State University, and a M.S. in Engineering from Stanford University. Mr. Marusak brings technical development, manufacturing experience, product development and introduction, financial accounting, and human resources expertise to the board, as well as relevant experience in committee and board service.

E. Dennis O Connor has served as a director since 1993, and is a retired attorney specializing in intellectual property. From 1984 until his retirement in June 2000, Mr. O Connor served as the Director of New Products and Technology for Masco Corporation, a diversified manufacturer of building, home improvement, and other specialty products for the home and family. Mr. O Connor holds a J.D. from George Washington University and a B.S. in Mechanical Engineering from Notre Dame University. Mr. O Connor contributes to the Company's Board significant experience in corporate law, with an emphasis on intellectual property and contract law, having practiced for thirty one years. He has also served on various corporate committees throughout his career relating to accounting and finance, with a background specializing in technical and management assessment.

William P. Phelan has served as a director since December 2004. Mr. Phelan is the co-founder and Chief Executive Officer of Bright Hub, Inc., a software company founded in 2005, which focuses on the development of online commerce for software. In May 1999, Mr. Phelan founded OneMade, Inc., an electronic commerce marketplace technology systems and tools provider. Mr. Phelan served as Chief Executive Officer of OneMade, Inc. from May 1999 to May 2004. OneMade, Inc. was sold to America Online in May 2003. Mr. Phelan serves on the Board of Trustees and is a Finance Committee member and an Investment Committee Chair for Capital District Physician's Health Plan, Inc. Mr. Phelan also serves on the Board of Trustees and Chairman of the Audit Committee of the Paradigm Mutual Fund Family. In addition, Mr. Phelan served as a member of the Board of Directors of Florists Transworld Delivery, the largest floral services organization in the world, from January 1995 through December 1998. He has also held numerous executive positions at Fleet Equity Partners, Cowen & Company, First Albany Corporation, and UHY Advisors Inc., formerly Urbach Kahn & Werlin, PC. Mr. Phelan has a B.A. in Accounting and Finance from Siena College, an M.S. in Taxation from City College of New York, and is a Certified Public Accountant. Mr. Phelan contributes leadership, capital markets experience, strategic insight as well and innovation in technology to the Board. His accounting expertise makes him well qualified to serve as the Chairman of the Audit Committee.

Dr. Walter L. Robb has served as a director since 1997. Dr. Robb has served as President of Vantage Management, Inc., a consulting and investment financing company, since 1993. Prior to that time, Dr. Robb served in various executive positions with General Electric Company. Dr. Robb served as Senior Vice President for Corporate Research and Development with General Electric from 1986 until his retirement in December 1992, directing the General Electric Research and Development Center, one of the world's largest and most diversified industrial laboratories, while also serving on its Corporate Executive Council. Dr. Robb served on the Board of Directors of Plug Power Inc., from 1997 through October 2002, served on the Board of Directors of Celgene Corporation, a publicly held integrated biopharmaceutical company, from 1992 until 2011, and a number of privately held companies. Dr. Robb's experience at GE Medical Systems, where he served as CEO of a division with 10,000 employees, \$1.6 billion in revenue and \$76 million in net income, as well as his tenure on the GE Executive Committee, brings significant managerial and technical expertise to the board.

There are no family relationships among any of our directors or executive officers.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETINGS AND COMMITTEES

The Board held eleven meetings during 2012. All directors attended at least 75% of all of the Board and Committee meetings that they were eligible to attend during 2012. The Board has no formal policy regarding attendance at the Annual Meeting; however, directors are encouraged, but not required, to attend any meetings of our stockholders. All of our current directors attended the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

The Board has established an Audit Committee and a Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee.

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee consists of Mr. Phelan (Chairman), Mr. O Connor and Mr. Marusak. The Board has determined that the current members of the Audit Committee are independent directors as defined under Rule 5605(a)(2) of the Nasdaq Stock Market Inc. Rules (Nasdaq Rules).

5

The Audit Committee met six times during 2012, and each member attended at least 75% of the meetings. The responsibilities of the Audit Committee are set forth in the charter of the Audit Committee, which was adopted by the Board of the Company and is published in the Investor Relations/Corporate Governance section of our website at www.mechtech.com. The Committee, among other matters, is responsible for the annual appointment of the independent registered public accountants as MTI's auditors, and reviews the arrangements for and the results of the auditors' examination of our books and records, auditors' compensation, internal accounting control procedures, and activities. The Audit Committee also reviews our accounting policies, control systems and compliance activities and reviews the charter of the Audit Committee.

Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee

Our Board adopted a Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee charter, which is published in the Investor Relations/Corporate Governance section of our website at www.mechtech.com. The Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee consists of Mr. O Connor (Chairman), Mr. Marusak and Mr. Phelan, who are all independent directors as defined under Rule 5605(a)(2) of the Nasdaq Rules.

The role of the Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee is to assist the Board by 1) identifying, evaluating and recommending the nomination of Board members; 2) setting the compensation for our Chief Executive Officer and other senior executives; 3) establishing bonus and option pool amounts for other employees and performing other compensation oversight; 4) establishing director compensation; 5) selecting and recommending director candidates to the Board; 6) recommending improved governance of the Company to the Board; and 7) assisting the Board with other assigned tasks as needed.

In appraising potential director candidates, the Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee focuses on desired characteristics and qualifications of candidates, and although there are no stated minimum requirements or qualifications, preferred characteristics include business savvy and experience, concern for the best interests of our stockholders, proven success in the application of skills relating to our areas of business activities, adequate availability to participate actively in the Board's affairs, high levels of integrity and sensitivity to current business and corporate governance trends and legal requirements and that candidates meet the director independence standards of The Nasdaq Global Market System, if applicable. The Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee has adopted a formal policy for the consideration of director candidates recommended by stockholders. Individuals recommended by stockholders are evaluated in the same manner as other potential candidates. A stockholder wishing to submit such a recommendation should forward it in writing to our Secretary at 325 Washington Avenue Extension, Albany, New York 12205. The mailing envelope should include a clear notation that the enclosure is a Director Nominee Recommendation. The recommending party should be identified as a stockholder and should provide a brief summary of the recommended candidate's qualifications, taking into account the desired characteristics and qualifications considered for potential Board members mentioned above.

The Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee administer our executive compensation programs. This Committee is responsible for establishing the policies that govern base salaries, as well as short and long-term incentives for senior management. Within prescribed option grant ranges and vesting provisions, the Committee has

delegated to our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer authority to award stock option grants to non-executive personnel upon commencement of employment with us. The Committee considers recommendations made by our Chief Executive Officer and certain other executives when reaching its compensation decisions, including with respect to executive and director compensation. The Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee met seven times during 2012.

Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

In 2012, the Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee consisted of Mr. O Connor, Mr. Marusak and Mr. Phelan, none of whom are or have been employees of MTI. During 2012, no executive officer of the Company served as a director of any other for-profit entity that had an executive officer that served on the Board of the Company. For information concerning the committee members relationship to us, see Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Certain Relationships and Related Transactions.

The Board's Role in Risk Oversight

The Board executes its oversight responsibility for risk management directly and through its Committees, as follows:

- The Audit Committee has primary responsibility for overseeing the integrity of the Company's financial reporting risk by reviewing: (i) the Company's disclosure controls and procedures; (ii) any significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls; (iii) any fraud material or otherwise; (iv) the use of judgments in management's preparation of the financial statements; and (v) through consultation with Company's independent registered public accounting firm on the above items. The Board of Directors is kept abreast of the Committee's risk oversight and other activities via reports of the Committee Chairman to the full Board.
- The Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee oversee risks associated with our compensation policies and practices, with respect to both executive compensation and compensation generally. The Board of Directors is kept abreast of the Committee's risk oversight and other activities via reports of the Committee Chairman to the full Board.
- The Board considers specific risk topics, including risks associated with our strategic plan, our capital structure and our development activities. In addition, the Board receives detailed regular reports from the heads of our principal business and corporate functions - that include discussions of the risks and exposures involved in their respective areas of responsibility. These reports are provided in connection with every regular Board meeting and are discussed, as necessary, at Board meetings. Further, the Board is routinely informed of developments at the Company that could affect our risk profile or other aspects of our business.

Executive Sessions of Directors

Executive sessions, or meetings of outside (non-management) directors without management present, are held periodically throughout the year. At these executive sessions, the outside directors review, among other things, the criteria upon which the performance of the Chief Executive Officer and other senior managers is based, the performance of the Chief Executive Officer against such criteria, and the compensation of the Chief Executive Officer and other senior managers. Meetings are held from time to time with the Chief Executive Officer to discuss relevant subjects.

Board Leadership Structure

The Board recognizes that one of its key responsibilities is to evaluate and determine its optimal leadership structure so as to provide independent oversight of management. The Board understands that there is no single, generally accepted approach to providing Board leadership and that given the dynamic and competitive environment in which we operate, the right Board leadership structure may vary as circumstances warrant.

Kevin Lynch has served as our Chairman of the Board since October 2012 and Acting Chief Executive Officer since September 2012. Based on its most recent review of MTI's Board leadership structure, the Board continues to believe that this leadership structure is optimal for the market environment and the size of the Board. The Board believes that having one leader serving as both the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer provides decisive and effective leadership.

In considering its leadership structure, the Board has taken a number of factors into account. The Board, which consists of a majority of independent directors who are highly qualified and experienced, exercises a strong, independent oversight function. This oversight function is enhanced by the fact that the Board's two committees—the Audit Committee and the Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee, are comprised entirely of independent directors. The Board does not currently have a lead independent director.

Board Membership

To fulfill its responsibility to recruit and recommend to the full Board nominees for election as directors, the Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee reviews the size and composition of the Board to determine the qualifications and areas of expertise needed to further enhance the composition of the Board and works with management in attracting candidates with those qualifications. The goal of the Committee is to achieve a Board that, as a whole, provides effective oversight of the management and business of our Company, through the appropriate diversity of experience, expertise, skills, specialized knowledge and other qualifications and attributes of the individual directors. Important criteria for Board membership include the following:

- Members of the Board should be individuals of high integrity and independence, substantial accomplishments, and have prior or current associations with institutions noted for their excellence.
- Members of the Board should have demonstrated leadership ability, with broad experience, diverse perspectives, and the ability to exercise sound business judgment.
- The background and experience of members of the Board should be in areas important to the operations of the Company such as business, education, finance, government, law, or science.
- The composition of the Board should reflect the benefits of diversity as to gender, ethnic background and experience.

The satisfaction of these criteria is implemented and assessed through ongoing consideration of directors and nominees by the Corporate Governance Committee and the Board. Based upon these activities and its review of the current composition of the Board, the Committee and the Board believe that these criteria have been satisfied.

In addition, in accordance with the Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee Charter, the Committee considers the number of boards of other public companies on which a candidate serves. Moreover, directors are expected to act ethically at all times and adhere to the Company's Code of Business Conduct and Ethics for members of the Board of Directors.

The Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee and the Board believe that the nominee for election at the Annual Meeting brings a strong and unique set of attributes, experiences and skills and provides the Board as a whole with an optimal balance of experience, leadership, competencies, qualifications and skills in areas of importance to our Company. Under Proposal 1 Election of Director above, we provide an overview of the nominee's principal occupation, business experience and other directorships, together with the key attributes, experience and skills viewed as particularly meaningful in providing value to the Board, our Company and our stockholders.

The Audit Committee is currently composed of three directors, each of whom is an independent director as defined under Rule 5605 (a)(2) of the Nasdaq Rules and the applicable rules of the SEC. In addition, the Board has made a determination that Mr. Phelan qualifies as an audit committee financial expert as defined in Item 407(d)(5) of Regulation S-K under the Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act). Mr. Phelan's designation by the Board as an audit committee financial expert is not intended to be a representation that he is an expert for any purpose as a result of such designation, nor is it intended to impose on him any duties, obligations, or liability greater than the duties, obligations or liability imposed on him as a member of the Audit Committee and the Board in the absence of such designation.

In accordance with the Committee's charter, available on our website at www.mechtech.com, our management has the primary responsibility for the financial statements and the financial reporting process, including maintaining an adequate system of internal control over financial reporting. Our independent registered public accounting firm, UHY LLP (UHY), reports directly to the Audit Committee and is responsible for performing an independent audit of our consolidated financial statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). The Audit Committee, among other matters, is responsible for appointing our independent registered public accounting firm, evaluating such independent registered public accounting firm's qualifications, independence and performance, determining the compensation for such independent registered public accounting firm, and pre-approval of all audit and non-audit services. Additionally, the Audit Committee is responsible for oversight of our accounting and financial reporting processes and audits of our financial statements including the work of the independent registered public accounting firm. The Audit Committee reports to the Board with regard to:

- the scope of the annual audit;

- fees to be paid to the independent registered public accounting firm;
- the performance of our independent registered public accounting firm;
- compliance with accounting and financial policies and financial statement presentation; and
- the procedures and policies relative to the adequacy of internal accounting controls.

The Audit Committee reviewed and discussed with our management and UHY MTI's 2012 annual consolidated financial statements, including management's assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. Our management has represented to the Audit Committee that MTI's consolidated financial statements were prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Additionally, the Audit Committee has discussed with UHY any matters required to be discussed under American Institute of Certified Public Accountants Auditing Standard Section 380, *The Auditor's Communication With Those Charged With Governance*, which includes, among other items, matters related to the conduct of the audit of our annual consolidated financial statements. The Audit Committee has also discussed the critical accounting policies used in the preparation of our annual consolidated financial statements, alternative treatments of financial information within generally accepted accounting principles that UHY discussed with management, the ramifications of using such alternative treatments and other written communications between UHY and management.

UHY has provided to the Audit Committee the written disclosures and the letter required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the independent accountant's communications with the audit committee concerning independence, and the Audit Committee discussed with UHY the firm's independence. The Audit Committee has also concluded that UHY's performance of non-audit services is compatible with UHY's independence.

The Audit Committee also discussed with UHY the overall scope and plans for its audit and has met with UHY, with and without management present, to discuss the results of its audit and the overall quality of our financial reporting. The Audit Committee also discussed with UHY whether there were any audit problems or difficulties, and management's response.

Based on the reviews and discussions referred to above, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board, and the Board has approved, that the audited consolidated financial statements be included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. This report is provided by the following independent directors, who constitute the Committee.

Audit Committee:

Mr. William P. Phelan (Chairman)
Mr. E. Dennis O'Connor
Mr. Thomas J. Marusak

March 7, 2013

INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRMS

A representative from UHY is expected to be present at the Annual Meeting and will have the opportunity to make a statement and answer appropriate questions from stockholders.

Change in Auditors

On June 18, 2012, the Audit Committee approved the dismissal of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (PwC) and engaged UHY as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012. The report of PwC for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 contained no adverse opinion, disclaimer of opinion or qualification or modification as to uncertainty, audit scope or accounting principles. During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 and the interim period from January 1, 2012 through June 18, 2012, there were no disagreements between the Company and PwC on any accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure or auditing scope or procedure, which, if not resolved to the satisfaction of PwC would have caused it to make reference to the subject matter of the disagreement in connection with its report. There were no reportable events as defined in paragraph (a)(1)(v) of Item 304 of Regulation S-K within the Company's fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 or the period from January 1, 2012 through June 18, 2012.

9

Accounting Fees

Aggregate fees for professional services rendered by our principal auditors, UHY for the year ended December 31, 2012 and PwC for the year ended 2011 are as follows ⁽¹⁾:

| | Year Ended December 31, 2012 | Year Ended December 31, 2011 |
|-------------------------|---|---|
| Audit Fees..... | \$ 85,900 | \$ 194,500 |
| Audit Related Fees..... | | |
| Tax Fees..... | 18,000 | 60,475 |
| All Other Fees..... | 4,861 | |
| Total..... | \$ 108,761 | \$ 254,975 |

(1) The aggregate amounts included in *Audit Fees and Tax Fees* are classified by the related fiscal periods for the audit of our annual financial statements and review of financial statements and statutory and regulatory filings or engagements. The aggregate fees included in each of the other categories are fees billed or to be billed during those fiscal periods. Additionally, not included in the 2012 amounts above are PwC billings totaling \$38,950 during 2012 for review of interim financial information for the first quarter of 2012, fees for a regulatory filing during 2012 and transition services incurred in 2012.

Audit Fees

The *Audit Fees* billed for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, were for professional services rendered for the audits of our consolidated financial statements included in Form 10-K and review of interim financial information included in Form 10-Q, and for services that are normally provided in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements.

Audit Related Fees

There were no *Audit Related Fees* billed during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011.

Tax Fees

The *Tax Fees* billed during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, were for services related

to tax compliance, including the preparation of tax returns and claims for refunds, including preparation of a Section 382 study; and tax planning and tax advice, including advice related to proposed transactions.

All Other Fees

All Other Fees billed during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 were for services related to information technology consulting and expenses.

The Audit Committee has considered whether the provision of the non-audit services above is compatible with maintaining the auditors' independence, and has concluded that it is.

Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures

Pursuant to Section 202(a) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, the Audit Committee has adopted the following policies and procedures under which frequently utilized audit and non-audit services are pre-approved by the Audit Committee and the authority to authorize the independent registered public accountants to perform such services is delegated to a single committee member or executive officer.

- a) Annual audit, quarterly review and annual tax return services will be pre-approved upon review and acceptance of the tax and audit engagement letters submitted by the independent registered public accountants to the Audit Committee.
- b) Additional audit and non-audit services related to the resolution of accounting issues or the adoption of new accounting standards, audits by tax authorities or reviews of public filings by the SEC must be pre-approved by the Audit Committee and the authority to authorize the independent registered public accounting firm to perform such services is delegated to the Chairman of the Audit Committee for fees up to \$5,000, and for fees above \$5,000 entire Committee approval is required.
- c) Additional audit and non-audit services related to tax savings strategies, tax issues arising during the preparation of tax returns, tax estimates and tax code interpretations must be pre-approved by the Audit Committee and the authority to authorize the independent registered public accounting firm to perform such services is delegated to the Chairman of the Audit Committee for fees up to \$5,000, and for fees above \$5,000 entire Committee approval is required.
- d) Additional audit and non-audit services related to the tax and accounting treatments of proposed business transactions must be pre-approved by the Audit Committee and the authority to authorize the independent registered public accountants to perform such services is delegated to the Chairman of the Audit Committee for fees up to \$5,000, and for fees above \$5,000 entire Committee approval is required.
- e) Quarterly and annually, a detailed analysis of audit and non-audit services will be provided to and reviewed with the Audit Committee.

All of the 2012 services described under the captions **Audit Fees** and **Tax Fees** were approved by the Audit Committee.

PROPOSAL No. 2

RATIFICATION OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Audit Committee has selected UHY LLP (UHY) as MTI's independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal year 2013, and the Board is asking shareholders to ratify that selection. Although current law, rules, and regulations, as well as the charter of the Audit Committee, require the Audit Committee to engage, retain, and supervise MTI's independent registered public accounting firm, the Board considers the selection of the independent registered public accounting firm to be an important matter of stockholder concern and is submitting the selection of UHY for ratification by stockholders as a matter of good corporate practice.

The affirmative vote of holders of a majority of the shares of common stock cast in person or by proxy at the meeting is required to approve the ratification of the selection of UHY as MTI's independent registered public accounting firm for the current fiscal year.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR PROPOSAL 2.

PROPOSAL No. 3

-

ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMPENSATION

Executive compensation is an important matter to us and to our stockholders. As required by Section 14A of the Securities Exchange Act, the Board is seeking advisory (non-binding) stockholder approval on the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in the section of this proxy statement titled Executive Compensation.

Our executive compensation programs are designed to attract, motivate and retain our named executive officers, who are critical to our strategic goals and success. Under our executive compensation program, our named executive officers receive compensation related to the attainment of financial and other performance measures that, the Board believes, promotes the creation of long-term stockholder value and positions the Company for both near-term and long-term growth and success. Please read Executive Compensation for additional details about our executive compensation programs, including information about the fiscal year 2012 compensation of our named executive officers.

The compensation committee bases its executive compensation decisions on our compensation objectives, which include the following:

aligning management's incentives with the interests of our stockholders;

providing competitive compensation to our named executive officers;

rewarding named executive officers for past performance and motivating them to excel in the future; and

rewarding superior performance of both the Company and each individual executive and encouraging actions that promote our near-term and long-term strategic goals.

We believe that our existing compensation programs, which include a mix of fixed and performance based compensation, the terms of long-term incentive awards, as well as the terms of executive employment agreements, are all designed to motivate our named executive officers to achieve improved performance, align compensation with performance measures and stockholder interests and enable us to attract, retain and motivate talented executive officers, while at the same time, create a close relationship between performance and compensation. The Compensation Committee and the Board believe that the design of the program, and hence the compensation awarded to named executive officers under the current program, fulfills this objective.

We are asking our stockholders to indicate their support for our named executive officers' compensation as described in this proxy statement. This proposal, commonly known as a "say-on-pay" proposal, gives our stockholders the opportunity to express their views on our named executive officers' compensation. Accordingly, we are asking our stockholders to approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the named executive officers by approving the following resolution:

RESOLVED, that the compensation paid to the company's named executive officers, as disclosed pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K, including the compensation tables and narrative discussion is hereby APPROVED.

The say-on-pay vote is advisory, and therefore not binding on the Company, the compensation committee or our Board. Our Board and our compensation committee value the opinions of our stockholders and will review and consider the outcome of this advisory vote when making future compensation decisions for our named executive officers and will evaluate whether any actions are necessary.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR PROPOSAL 3.

PROPOSAL No. 4

-

ADVISORY VOTE ON FREQUENCY OF VOTES ON EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMPENSATION

-

Section 14A of the Securities Exchange Act requires us to submit a non-binding, advisory resolution to stockholders at least once every six years to determine whether advisory votes on executive officer compensation should be held every one, two or three years. In satisfaction of this requirement, stockholders are being asked to vote on the following advisory resolution:

RESOLVED, that the stockholders of the Company advise that an advisory vote with respect to executive officer compensation should be presented every one, two or three years as reflected by their votes for each of these alternatives in connection with this resolution.

In voting on this resolution, you should mark your proxy for one, two or three years based on your preference as to the frequency with which an advisory vote on executive officer compensation should be held. If you have no preference, you should abstain.

The optimal frequency of vote necessarily turns on a judgment about the relative benefits and burdens of each of the options. There have been diverging views expressed on this question, and the Board believes there is a reasonable basis for each of the options.

Some have argued for less frequency. They point out that a less frequent vote would allow stockholders to focus on overall design issues rather than details of individual decisions, would align with the goal of compensation programs which are designed to reward performance that promotes long-term stockholder value, and would avoid the burden that annual votes would impose on stockholders required to evaluate the compensation programs of a large number of companies each year.

Others believe that an annual vote is needed to give stockholders the opportunity to react promptly to emerging trends in compensation, provide feedback before those trends become pronounced over time, and give the Board and the Compensation Committee the opportunity to evaluate individual compensation decisions each year in light of the ongoing feedback from stockholders.

The Board believes that the most strongly held views on this question favor an annual advisory vote.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR THE HOLDING OF ADVISORY VOTES ON EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMPENSATION EVERY YEAR FOR PROPOSAL 4.

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

Review, Approval or Ratification of Transactions with Related Persons

In early 2007, we formalized the process by which we review and approve transactions in which we or one or more related persons participate. Although we have always had procedures in place, we strengthened our current procedures by adopting a written policy requiring that all related person transactions be reported to our Chief Financial Officer and approved or ratified by the Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee of the Board of Directors. In completing our review of proposed related person transactions, the Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee considers the aggregate value of the transaction, whether the transaction was undertaken in the ordinary course of business, the nature of the relationships involved, and whether the transaction is on terms comparable to those that could be obtained in arm's length dealings with an unrelated third party.

We believe transactions among related parties are as fair to us as those obtainable from unaffiliated third parties.

The following is a summary of transactions among related parties that occurred during the last two completed fiscal years:

Equity Investments for MTI Micro

MTI Micro entered into numerous debt and equity financings with third parties, primarily, Dr. Walter L. Robb, a member of the Company's and MTI Micro's Boards of Directors, and Counter Point Ventures Fund II, LP (Counter Point). Counter Point is a venture capital fund sponsored and managed by Dr. Robb. After these series of transactions, MTI now holds an aggregate of approximately 47.6% of the outstanding common stock of MTI Micro or 53.3% of the outstanding common stock and warrants issued of MTI Micro, and Dr. Robb and Counter Point hold approximately 5.1% and 45.2%, respectively of the outstanding common stock of MTI Micro or 4.3% and 40.3%, respectively of the outstanding common stock and warrants issued of MTI Micro.

On February 9, 2011, MTI Micro entered into Amendment No. 1 to the Common Stock and Warrant Purchase Agreement (Amendment No. 1) with Counter Point. Pursuant to Amendment No. 1, MTI Micro issued and sold to Counter Point 6,428,574 shares of MTI Micro Common Stock at a purchase price per share of \$0.07, through December 31, 2011, and MTI Micro Warrants to purchase shares of MTI Micro Common Stock equal to 20% of the shares of MTI Micro Common Stock purchased under Amendment No. 1 at an exercise price of \$0.07 per share. The sale and issuance of the MTI Micro Common Stock and MTI Micro Warrants occurred over multiple closings (each, a Closing). Four Closings occurred through September 30, 2011, with MTI Micro raising \$450 thousand from the sale of 6,428,574 shares of MTI Micro Common Stock and MTI Micro Warrants to purchase 1,285,715 shares of MTI Micro Common Stock to Counter Point.

On September 23, 2011, Amendment No. 2 to the Common Stock and Warrant Purchase Agreement (Amendment No. 2) was entered into between MTI Micro and Counter Point. Pursuant to Amendment No. 2, MTI Micro issued and sold to Counter Point 1,200,000 shares of MTI Micro Common Stock at a purchase price per share of \$0.07, through December 31, 2011, and MTI Micro Warrants to purchase shares of MTI Micro Common Stock equal to 20% of the shares of MTI Micro Common Stock purchased under Amendment No. 2 at an exercise price of \$0.07 per share. The sale and issuance of the MTI Micro Common Stock and MTI Micro Warrants occurred over multiple closings (each, a Closing). Four Closings occurred through December 31, 2011, with MTI Micro raising \$84 thousand from the sale of 1,200,000 shares of MTI Micro Common Stock and MTI Micro Warrants to purchase 240,000 shares of MTI Micro Common Stock to Counter Point.

Consulting Services

During 2012, the Company paid \$80 thousand to Loudon Advisors for Kevin Lynch's services as the Acting Chief Executive Officer of the Company. Loudon Advisors is a consulting firm that is owned and managed by Kevin Lynch.

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act, requires our directors, executive officers and holders of more than 10% of our Common Stock to file with the SEC initial reports of ownership of our Common Stock and other equity securities on a Form 3 and report of changes in such ownership on a Form 4 or Form 5. Officers, directors and 10% stockholders are required by SEC regulations to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file. To our knowledge, based solely on a review of Forms 3, 4 and 5 and amendments thereto furnished to us during the most recent fiscal year and written representations by the persons required to file such reports, all filing requirements of Section 16(a) were satisfied with respect to our most recent fiscal year other than one late Form 3 filing for Kevin Lynch that was filed on April 11, 2013.

STOCKHOLDER COMMUNICATIONS WITH THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Stockholders who wish to communicate with the Board, or a particular director, may send a letter to our Secretary at 325 Washington Avenue Extension, Albany, New York 12205. The mailing envelope must contain a clear notation indicating that the enclosed letter is a Stockholder-Board Communication. All such letters must identify the author as a stockholder and clearly state whether the intended recipients are all members of the Board or certain specified individual directors. The Secretary will make copies of all such letters and circulate them to the appropriate director or directors.

CODE OF ETHICS

We have adopted a Code of Ethics for employees, officers and directors. The Code of Ethics, as revised, was filed as Exhibit 14.1 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005. A copy may be obtained at no charge by written request to the attention of our Secretary at 325 Washington Avenue Extension, Albany, New York 12205. A copy of the Code of Ethics is also available on our website at www.mechtech.com.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The executive officers of the registrant (all of whom serve at the pleasure of the Board), their ages, and the position or office held by each, are as follows:

| Executive Officers | Age | Position or Office |
|---------------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|
| Kevin G. Lynch..... | 60 | Acting Chief Executive Officer |
| Frederick W. Jones..... | 45 | Chief Financial Officer and Secretary |

Kevin G. Lynch has served as our Acting Chief Executive Officer since September 2012, Chairman of the Board since October 2012, and a director since April 2012. Mr. Lynch served as the Chief Executive Officer of AAF International (American Air Filter Company Inc. AAFCI), a commercial and industrial air filters and equipment company and a subsidiary of Daikin Industries Ltd. in Japan from December 2011 until April 2012, and as the Global Chief Operating Officer from June 2008 to December 2011. Mr. Lynch served as the President of Filtration Group Inc., a privately held air filter manufacturer in Illinois, from March 2005 to March 2008. Mr. Lynch also worked with Lydall Inc., a specialty materials manufacturer, for over 12 years where he progressed to the Group President of the company. Mr. Lynch served as the President of the Glendale Protective Technologies subsidiary immediately prior to joining Lydall. Mr. Lynch started his career in the chemical industry with American Cyanamid Inc. (now Cytec, Inc. NYSE:CYT) developing his abilities over 16 years through many of their businesses in various roles and locations. Mr. Lynch holds a M.B.A. in management from Farleigh Dickinson University, and both a B.S. and M.S. in Biology from the Pennsylvania State University. Mr. Lynch currently serves on the Board of Overseers for the University of Louisville.

Frederick W. Jones was appointed our Chief Financial Officer in September 2011 and had been serving as the Acting Chief Financial Officer since June 2009. He was promoted to Vice President of Finance and Operations of MTI Instruments, Inc. (MTI Instruments), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, in April 2010, from the Senior Director of Finance and Operations at MTI Instruments, which he had held since May 2007. Since joining the

Company in 1993, Mr. Jones has held a variety of roles at MTI and its subsidiaries, including Staff Accountant, Controller and Director of Finance and Administration. In his current capacity, Mr. Jones supervises the financial reporting, treasury, human resources and risk management for MTI. In addition, Mr. Jones also oversees the production, quality and shipping departments of MTI Instruments. Prior to his employment with MTI, Mr. Jones served as Controller for both Hobbs Management Corporation and Galesi Management Corporation. Mr. Jones received a Bachelors degree in Business Administration and Accounting from Siena College.

Each of the executive officers holds his respective office until the regular annual meeting of the Board following the Annual Meeting of Stockholders and until his successor is elected and qualified or until his earlier resignation or removal.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Philosophy

The primary objectives of our compensation policies are to attract, retain, motivate, develop, and reward our management team for executing our strategic business plan thereby enhancing stockholder value, while recognizing and rewarding individual and company performance. These compensation policies include (i) an overall management compensation program that is competitive with companies of similar size or within our industry; and (ii) long-term incentive compensation in the form of stock-based compensation that will encourage management to continue to focus on stockholder return. Our executive compensation program ties a substantial portion of each executive's overall compensation to key strategic, financial, and operational goals, including establishing and maintaining customer relationships, signing original equipment manufacturer (OEM) agreements; meeting revenue targets and profit and expense targets; introducing new products; progressing products towards manufacturing; and improving operational efficiency.

We believe that potential equity ownership in our company is important to provide executive officers with incentives to build value for our stockholders. We believe that equity awards provide executives with a strong link to our short-term and long-term performance, while creating an ownership culture to maintain the alignment of interests between our executives and our stockholders. When implemented responsibly, we also believe these equity incentives can function as a powerful executive retention tool.

Our Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee, consisting entirely of independent directors, administers our compensation plans and policies, including the establishment of policies that govern base salary as well as short-term and long-term incentives for our executive management team.

Summary of Cash and Other Compensation

The following table sets forth the total compensation received for services rendered in all capacities to our company during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 by our named executive officers, namely Kevin G. Lynch, our Acting Chief Executive Officer, and our other executive officers during fiscal 2012. Our former Chief Executive Officer, Peng K. Lim, was not serving as an executive officer of the Company at December 31, 2012.

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

| Name and Principal Position | Year | Salary | Bonus | Stock Awards (1) | Option Awards (2) | Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation | All Other Compensation (3) | Total |
|-----------------------------|------|--------|-------|---------------------|----------------------|--|-------------------------------|-------|
|-----------------------------|------|--------|-------|---------------------|----------------------|--|-------------------------------|-------|

Edgar Filing: MECHANICAL TECHNOLOGY INC - Form DEF 14A

| | | | | | | Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings | | | |
|--|------|---------|------------|---------|----------|--|----|----------|-----------|
| Kevin G. Lynch..... | 2012 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 6,850 | \$ | \$ | \$96,957 | \$103,807 |
| <i>Acting Chief Executive Officer</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| Frederick W. Jones..... | 2012 | 141,476 | 10,313(4) | | 6,850 | | | 5,659 | 164,298 |
| <i>Chief Financial Officer and Secretary</i> | 2011 | 134,659 | 14,425(5) | 12,800 | | | | 5,387 | 167,271 |
| <u>Former Officer</u> | | | | | | | | | |
| Peng K. Lim(6)..... | 2012 | 257,115 | 72,917(7) | | | | | 38,296 | 368,328 |
| <i>Chief Executive Officer</i> | 2011 | 269,231 | 175,000(8) | 250,000 | | | | 10,462 | 704,693 |

- (1) The amounts shown in this column represent the grant date fair values of fully-vested restricted stock awards awarded each of the past two years. The assumptions we used in calculating these amounts are discussed in Note 13 to the financial statements on the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, filed with the SEC on March 21, 2013.
- (2) The amounts shown in this column represent the grant date fair values of any stock option awards awarded each of the past two years. The assumptions we used in calculating these amounts are discussed in Note 13 to the financial statements on the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, filed with the SEC on March 21, 2013.
- (3) The following is a summary of the major categories included in All Other Compensation:

| | 401(k) Matching | Life Insurance Premiums | Director Cash Compensation | Other Compensation | Total - All Other Compensation |
|--------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Kevin G. Lynch | \$ | \$ | \$16,957 | \$80,000(a) | \$96,957 |
| Frederick W. Jones | 5,659 | | | | 5,659 |
| Peng K. Lim | 7,538 | 758 | | 30,000(b) | 38,296 |

(a) During 2012, the Company paid \$80 thousand to Loudon Advisors for Kevin Lynch's services as the Acting Chief Executive Officer of the Company.

(b) Mr. Lim ended his employment with the Company on September 10, 2012. Subsequently, the Company and Mr. Lim entered into an Agreement and Release (the Agreement) effective March 1, 2013. Pursuant to the Agreement, the Company and Mr. Lim agreed to general releases from liability and customary restrictive covenants, and the Company agreed to pay Mr. Lim's attorneys' fees of \$30 thousand.

- (4) The Company accrued for Mr. Jones, as of December 31, 2012, \$10,313 related to the successful completion of certain performance objectives established for 2012.
- (5) The Company accrued for Mr. Jones, as of December 31, 2011, \$14,425 related to the successful completion of certain performance objectives established for 2011.

- (6) Mr. Lim's employment with the Company ended in September 2012.
- (7) Mr. Lim was eligible for a potential bonus of up to \$175,000 for the period June 1, 2011 – May 31, 2012 based on the achievement of certain performance objectives. During 2012, \$72,917 was earned for the bonus period June 1, 2011 – May 31, 2012.
- (8) Mr. Lim was eligible for a potential bonus of up to \$175,000 for the period June 1, 2011 – May 31, 2012 based on the achievement of certain performance objectives. As of December 31, 2011, \$102,083 of the bonus had been accrued for the period June 1, 2011 through December 31, 2011. For the bonus period June 1, 2010 – May 31, 2011, \$72,917 was accrued.

Base Salary and Cash Incentives of the Acting Chief Executive Officer

Mr. Lynch was appointed the Acting Chief Executive Officer of the Company during September 2012 and receives no base salary or cash incentives. The Company incurs \$20,000 per month in consulting fees payable to Loudon Advisors for Mr. Lynch's services as the Acting Chief Executive Officer of the Company.

Base Salary and Cash Incentives of the Prior Chief Executive Officer

Mr. Lim joined the Company in May 2006 as President and Chief Executive Officer of MTI Micro at an annual salary of \$300,000, and was promoted to our Chief Executive Officer in December 2006, receiving no base salary change. Effective January 1, 2009, Mr. Lim's base annual salary was increased to \$350,000 with a cash incentive compensation bonus targeted at 50% of base salary as described in his employment agreement dated December 31, 2008.

Due to liquidity issues, Mr. Lim took a voluntary reduction of one-third of his salary effective January 1, 2011. The voluntary reduction in salary did not affect the amount of potential bonus or severance benefits that Mr. Lim was otherwise entitled to under the terms of his employment agreement. The portions of his salary allocated to MTI Instruments and MTI were not affected. Mr. Lim returned to full salary effective September 12, 2011.

Mr. Lim was eligible for future bonus arrangements with a targeted annual payout of 50% of base salary payable for the twelve month period of June through May each year. For the June 2011 to May 2012 period, 50% of Mr. Lim's bonus target was based on MTI Instruments achieving certain revenue targets in 2011, and 50% was based on MTI Instruments achieving certain net cash before assessments in 2011. For the bonus period June 1, 2011 – May 31, 2012, \$102,083 was accrued in the period from June 1, 2011 – December 31, 2011 and \$72,917 was accrued in the period from January 1, 2012 – May 31, 2012. This bonus accrual was paid in full during May 2012.

Base Salary and Cash Incentives of Other Named Executive Officers

On September 20, 2011, Frederick W. Jones was appointed the Chief Financial Officer of the Company. In connection with his permanent appointment, the Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee increased Mr. Jones' annual base salary to \$144,250.

Mr. Jones continued to have a bonus target for 2011 of up to 10% of his annual base salary. The actual amount of bonus paid to Mr. Jones was contingent on the achievement of two milestones. 5% of Mr. Jones' annual base salary was based on MTI Instruments achieving certain revenue targets in 2011, and 5% of Mr. Jones' annual base salary was based on MTI Instruments achieving certain net cash before assessments in 2011. We accrued for Mr. Jones, as of December 31, 2011, a \$14,425 bonus under his annual cash incentive compensation plan. This accrual was paid in full during February 2012.

Mr. Jones continued to have a bonus target for 2012 of up to 10% of his annual base salary. The actual amount of bonus paid to Mr. Jones was contingent on the achievement of two milestones. 5% of Mr. Jones' annual base salary was based on the Company achieving break-even pre-tax income for the year ended 2012, and 5% of Mr. Jones' annual base salary was based on achieving \$70,000 or more in existing vendor contract savings as compared to the MTI and MTI Instruments' 2012 budgets. Mr. Jones was also entitled to 10% of any such savings over \$70,000. We accrued for Mr. Jones, as of December 31, 2012, a \$10,313 bonus under his annual cash incentive compensation plan. This accrual was paid in full during March 2013.

The Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee increased Mr. Jones' annual base salary to \$155,000, effective April 1, 2013.

In addition to base salary compensation, we consider short-term cash incentives to be an important tool in motivating and rewarding near-term performance against established short-term goals. We do not utilize a specific formula, but executive management is eligible for cash awards contingent upon achievement of individual, financial, or

company-wide performance criteria. The criteria are established to ensure that a reasonable portion of an executive's total annual compensation is performance based.

We believe that the higher an executive's level of responsibility, the greater the portion of that executive's total earnings potential should be tied to the achievement of critical technological, operational and financial goals. Our former Chief Executive Officer had been eligible for annual cash incentive awards of up to 50% of his base salary, with other named executive officers eligibility between 5% and 10% of base salary. We believe this strategy places the desired proportionate level of risk and reward on performance by the Chief Executive Officer and other named executive officers.

While performance targets are established at levels that are intended to be achievable, we believe that we have structured these incentives so that maximum bonus payouts would require a substantial level of both individual and company performance.

Long-Term Equity Incentive Compensation

Equity awards typically take the form of stock options and restricted stock grants. Authority to make equity awards to executive officers rests with our Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee. In determining the size of awards for new or current executives, we consider the competitive market, strategic plan performance, contribution to future initiatives, benchmarking of comparative equity ownership for executives in comparable positions at similar companies, individual option history, and recommendations of our Chief Executive Officer and Chairman.

We generally base our criteria for performance-based equity awards on one or more of the following long-term measurements:

- procurement and maintenance of original equipment manufacturer alliance/strategic agreements;
- manufacturing readiness;
- financing targets;
- gross revenue and profit goals;
- operating expense improvements; and
- product launches, new product introductions or improvements to existing products or product-intent prototypes.

These performance measurements support various initiatives identified by our Board of Directors as critical to our future success, and are either expressed as absolute in terms of success or failure, or will be measured in more qualitative terms.

The timing of all equity awards for our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer in the past have coincided with either employment anniversary dates, in conjunction with the completion or assignment of objectives, or coincide with our annual meeting dates. We do not time option grants to our executives in coordination with the release of material non-public information, nor do we impose any equity ownership guidelines on our executives.

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the options held and value of each such officer's unexercised options as of December 31, 2012.

OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR END 2012

| Name | Option Awards | | | | | |
|------|---|---|---|----------------------------|------------------------|--|
| | Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) | Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable | Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying | Option Exercise Price (\$) | Option Expiration Date | |
| | | | | | | |

| | | Exercisable | | Unexercised Unearned Options (#) | | |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|---|------|------------|
| | Option Grant Date | | | | | |
| Kevin G. Lynch | 07/02/2012 | | 25,000 (1)(2) | | 0.29 | 07/02/2022 |
| | | | | | | |
| Frederick W. Jones | 09/15/2010 | 8,314 | 1,186 (3) | | 0.59 | 09/15/2020 |
| | 07/02/2012 | | 25,000 (1) | | 0.29 | 07/02/2022 |
| | | | | | | |
| <u>Former Officer</u> | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Peng K. Lim (4) | | | | | | |

- (1) The options vest at the rate of 25% on each of the first four anniversaries of the date of the award, with first vest occurring on July 2, 2013, becoming fully exercisable on July 2, 2016.
- (2) These options were approved by the Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee to Mr. Lynch as compensation for his past service on the Board.
- (3) The options vested 50% immediately on the grant date, with the remaining options vesting at a rate of 4.17% quarterly over three years beginning December 15, 2010, becoming fully exercisable on September 15, 2013.
- (4) Mr. Lim's employment with the Company ended in September 2012, and his outstanding options all expired unexercised in December 2012.

At year end December 31, 2012, there were no unvested stock awards to be reported.

Equity Awards to Officers

Equity Awards of the Acting Chief Executive Officer

During 2012, we awarded Mr. Lynch the following:

- options to purchase 25,000 shares of Common Stock that vest 25% on each of the first four anniversaries of the date of the award beginning July 2, 2013, with a 10-year term.

Equity Awards of the Chief Financial Officer

During 2012, we awarded Mr. Jones the following:

- options to purchase 25,000 shares of Common Stock that vest 25% on each of the first four anniversaries of the date of the award beginning July 2, 2013, with a 10-year term.

MTI Equity Incentive Plans

As of December 31, 2012, we had four equity compensation plans: 1) the 1996 Stock Incentive Plan; 2) the 1999 Employee Stock Incentive Plan; 3) the Amended and Restated 2006 Equity Incentive Plan; and 4) the 2012 Equity Incentive Plan. The Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee administer all equity compensation plans and have the authority to determine the terms and conditions of the awards granted under equity plans.

1996 Stock Incentive Plan and 1999 Employee Stock Incentive Plan

The 1996 Stock Incentive Plan, or 1996 Plan, was approved by our stockholders during December 1996 and expired during October 2006. Under the 1996 Plan, our Board of Directors was authorized to award stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, and other stock-based incentives to our officers, employees and others. As of April 15, 2013, 1,314 options to purchase our Common Stock were outstanding under the 1996 Plan, all of which were exercisable with zero shares reserved for future grants.

The 1999 Employee Stock Incentive Plan, or 1999 Plan, was approved by our stockholders during March 1999 and expired March during 2009. Under the 1999 Plan, our Board of Directors was authorized to award stock options and restricted stock to our officers, employees and others. As of April 15, 2013, 8,523 options to purchase our Common Stock were outstanding under the 1999 Plan, all of which were exercisable with zero shares reserved for future grants.

Options issued under both the 1996 Plan and 1999 Plan terminate between seven and ten years after the date of grant. Stock option grants or restricted stock awards under these plans could be issued to vest immediately, vest over a certain period, vest based upon successful completion of a performance measure specified by our Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee, or a prescribed combination of performance and time vesting (i.e. a time vesting option accelerated by achievement of a performance objective or a performance vesting option that will vest at a certain date in the future).

The 1996 Plan and 1999 Plan provide that in the event of a change of control all unexercised and outstanding options and restricted stock shall become fully vested and exercisable as of the date of the change of control, provided the optionee is employed by us at the date of the change. This is commonly referred to as a single trigger acceleration of option vesting.

2006 Equity Incentive Plan

The 2006 Equity Incentive Plan, or 2006 Plan, was adopted by the Company's Board of Directors on March 16, 2006 and approved by our stockholders on May 18, 2006. The plan was amended and restated by our Board of Directors effective September 16, 2009 and June 30, 2011. The September 16, 2009 Amended and Restated 2006 Equity Incentive Plan increased the initial aggregate number of 250,000 shares of common stock which could be awarded or issued to 600,000, and the June 30, 2011 Amended and Restated 2006 Equity Incentive Plan increased the aggregate number of shares of common stock which could be awarded or issued to 1,200,000. The number of shares which could be awarded under the 2006 Plan and awards outstanding have been adjusted for stock splits and other similar events. In connection with seeking stockholder approval of the 2012 Plan, the Company agreed not to make further awards under the 2006 Plan. As of April 15, 2013, 120,782 options to purchase our Common Stock were outstanding under the 2006 Plan, of which 113,125 were exercisable with zero shares reserved for future grants.

The 2006 Plan provides for the grant of incentive stock options intended to qualify under Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, nonqualified stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units and other stock-based awards.

Our Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee selects the recipients of awards and determines (i) the number of shares of Common Stock covered by options and the dates upon which such options become exercisable, (ii) the exercise price of options (which may not be less than 100% of fair market value of the Common Stock), (iii) the duration of options (which may not exceed seven years), and (iv) the number of shares of Common Stock subject to any stock appreciation rights, restricted stock award, restricted stock unit award or other stock-based awards and the terms and conditions of such awards, including conditions for forfeiture, repurchase, issue price and repurchase price, if any.

Upon a Substantial Corporate Change, as such term is defined in the 2006 Plan, the 2006 Plan and any unexercised or forfeitable awards will terminate unless either (i) an award agreement with a participant provides otherwise or (ii)

provision is made in writing in connection with such transaction for the assumption or continuation of outstanding awards, or the substitution for such awards with awards covering the stock or securities of a successor employer entity, or a parent or subsidiary of such successor. If an award would otherwise terminate under the preceding sentence, we will either provide that optionees or holders of stock appreciation rights or other exercisable awards will have the right, at such time before the completion of the transaction causing such termination as we reasonably designate, to exercise any unexercised portions of the options or stock appreciation rights or other exercisable awards, including portions of such awards not already exercisable, or for any awards including the foregoing, cause us, or agree to allow the successor, to cancel each award after payment to the participant of an amount, if any, in cash, cash equivalents, or successor equity interests substantially equal to the fair market value of the consideration (as valued by the administrator) paid for our shares, under the transaction minus, for options and stock appreciation rights or other exercisable awards, the exercise price for the shares covered by such awards (and, for any awards, where we determine it is appropriate, any required tax withholdings), and with such allocation among cash, cash equivalents, and successor equity interests as we determine or approve.

2012 Equity Incentive Plan

The 2012 Equity Incentive Plan, or 2012 Plan, was adopted by the Company's Board of Directors on April 14, 2012 and approved by stockholders on June 14, 2012. The 2012 Plan provides an initial aggregate number of 600,000 shares of common stock which may be awarded or issued. The number of shares which may be awarded under the 2012 Plan and awards outstanding can be subject to adjustment on account of any recapitalization, reclassification, stock split, reverse stock split and other dilutive changes in Common Stock. Under the 2012 Plan, the Board of Directors is authorized to issue stock options (incentive and nonqualified), stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units and other stock-based awards to employees, officers, directors, consultants and advisors of the Company and its subsidiaries. Incentive stock options may only be granted to employees of the Company and its subsidiaries. As of April 15, 2013, 162,500 options to purchase our Common Stock were outstanding under the 2012 Plan, of which 6,112 were exercisable with 437,500 shares reserved for future equity awards or issuance of options.

Perquisites and Other Benefits

Our executive officers are eligible to participate in similar benefit plans available to all our other employees including medical, dental, vision, group life, disability, accidental death and dismemberment, paid time off, and 401(k) plan benefits. In addition, we paid 100% of Mr. Lim's group term life insurance premiums, representing an additional cost in 2012 of \$758.

We also maintain a standard directors and officers liability insurance policy with coverage similar to the coverage typically provided by other small publicly held technology companies.

Severance, Change in Control and Non-Compete Agreements

One of our executive officers is entitled to receive severance payments equal to a specified number of months of base salary and benefits.

A change in control will accelerate the vesting of outstanding stock options issued under the 1996 and 1999 Stock Incentive Plans; however, options outstanding under the 2006 Plan and 2012 Plan will not automatically accelerate vesting unless provided in an employment agreement.

We believe these severance and change in control arrangements are reasonable and mitigate some of the risk that exists for executives working in small technology companies by maintaining employee engagement and encouraging retention in an environment with substantial challenges and changes. This is especially true considering each executive officer has signed a Non-Competition and Non-Solicitation Agreement limiting future opportunities in the event the executive's employment is terminated for any reason. These agreements specify that the executive will not compete with our businesses for a period of one year following such termination.

Other Named Executive Officers

Our employment agreement with Mr. Jones provides that he is entitled to receive his base salary and benefits for a four month period if he is terminated in connection with a change of control of MTI Instruments.

Potential Payments upon Termination

The following table sets forth a breakdown of termination payments and the net realizable value of stock and stock options if the employment of any of our named executive officers had been terminated as of December 31, 2012. Severance payments are made either on a salary continuation basis paid over the severance period or on a lump sum basis payable upon a fixed date subsequent to termination of employment.

| Name | Severance Term | Salary Bonus |
|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|

| | | | Health & Life Insurance Continuation | Intrinsic Value of Stock Options at Separation | | Total Potential Payments |
|--------------------|---------------------------------------|------------|---|---|--|---|
| Frederick W. Jones | Four (4) months salary & benefits (A) | \$48,083\$ | \$5,215 | \$ | Accrued Vacation \$5,548 | \$58,847 |

(A) Mr. Jones is only entitled to severance if he is terminated in connection with a change of control of MTI Instruments.

Directors Compensation

Directors who are also our employees are not compensated for serving on the Board. Information regarding compensation otherwise received by our directors who are also executive officers is provided under the heading Executive Compensation.

Through the year ended December 31, 2011, our non-employee directors did not receive cash compensation but instead were eligible to receive stock options to purchase shares of our Common Stock and grants of fully-vested restricted stock. During July 2012, the Board of Directors established a cash compensation policy for non-employee directors. The Lead Independent Director, will receive cash compensation of \$20,000 per year, with an additional \$10,000 payable if the Company achieves certain profitability for the year ending December 31, 2012. The remaining non-employee directors shall each receive cash compensation of \$10,000 per year, with an additional \$5,000 payable if the Company achieves certain profitability for the year ending December 31, 2012. The additional performance-based cash compensation was not earned.

Future compensation will be determined by the Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee.

On July 2, 2012, the Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee approved a grant of 12,500 options to purchase shares of the Company's common stock to each non-management director as compensation for their past service on the Board.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION FOR FISCAL YEAR 2012

| <u>Name</u> | Fees Earned or | | All Other Compensation | Total |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| | <u>Paid in Cash</u> | <u>Option Awards</u> | | |
| Thomas J. Marusak (1)..... | \$10,000 | \$3,425 | \$ | \$13,425 |
| E. Dennis O Connor (2)..... | 10,000 | 3,425 | | 13,425 |
| William P. Phelan (3)..... | 10,000 | 3,425 | | 13,425 |
| Dr. Walter Robb (4)..... | 10,000 | 3,425 | | 13,425 |

- (1) As of December 31, 2012, Mr. Marusak had 27,500 options outstanding, of which 13,125 options were exercisable.

- (2) As of December 31, 2012, Mr. O Connor had 27,500 options outstanding, of which 13,125 options were exercisable.
- (3) As of December 31, 2012, Mr. Phelan had 27,500 options outstanding, of which 13,125 options were exercisable.
- (4) As of December 31, 2012, Dr. Robb had 27,500 options outstanding, of which 13,125 options were exercisable.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The following table sets forth information regarding the beneficial ownership of our Common Stock as of April 15, 2013 by each of our directors and named executive officers and all of our executive officers and directors as a group. We are not aware of any other stockholders that beneficially own more than 5% of our Common Stock.

| <u>Name and Address of Beneficial Owner (1)</u> | Shares Beneficially Owned | |
|--|----------------------------------|--------------|
| | Number (2) | Class |
| <u>Executive Officers</u> | | |
| Kevin G. Lynch | 47,431 | * |
| Frederick W. Jones (3)..... | 33,710 | * |
| <u>Former Executive Officer</u> | | |
| Peng K. Lim (4)..... | 419,613 | 7.9% |
| <u>Non-Employee Directors</u> | | |
| Thomas J. Marusak (5)..... | 79,625 | 1.5% |
| E. Dennis O Connor (5)..... | 48,313 | * |
| William P. Phelan (5)..... | 28,750 | * |
| Dr. Walter L. Robb (5)..... | 71,300 | 1.3% |
| All current directors and executive officers as a group (6 persons) (6)..... | 309,129 | 5.8% |

* Less than 1%.

- (1) Unless otherwise indicated, each of the stockholders has sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares of Common Stock beneficially owned by the stockholder. The address of all listed stockholders is c/o Mechanical Technology, Incorporated, 325 Washington Avenue Extension, Albany, New York 12205.
- (2) The number of shares beneficially owned by each stockholder is determined under rules promulgated by the SEC and includes voting or investment power with respect to securities. Under these rules, beneficial ownership includes any shares as to which the individual or entity has sole or shared voting power or investment power and includes any shares as to which the individual or entity has the right to acquire beneficial ownership within 60 days after April 15, 2013, through the exercise of any warrant, stock option or other right. The inclusion in this schedule of such shares, however, does not constitute an admission that the named stockholder is a direct or indirect beneficial owner of such shares. The number of shares of Common Stock outstanding used in calculating the percentage for each listed person includes the shares of Common Stock underlying options held by such person, which are exercisable within 60 days of April 15, 2013, but excludes shares of Common Stock underlying options held by any other person. Percentage of beneficial ownership is based on 5,320,593 shares of Common Stock outstanding as of April 15, 2013.

(3)

Includes 8,710 shares of Common Stock issuable upon exercise of stock options exercisable within 60 days of April 15, 2013.

- (4) Mr. Lim's employment with the Company ended in September 2012, and his outstanding options all expired unexercised in December 2012.
- (5) Includes 13,750 shares of Common Stock issuable upon exercise of stock options exercisable within 60 days of April 15, 2013.
- (6) Includes 63,710 shares of Common Stock issuable upon exercise of stock options exercisable within 60 days of April 15, 2013.

ANNUAL REPORT TO STOCKHOLDERS

Our Annual Report to Stockholders accompanies this Proxy Statement. Our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, as filed with the SEC, will be promptly delivered to any stockholder, without charge, upon written or oral request. Requests may be made by mail to: Mechanical Technology, Incorporated, ATTN: Investor Relations Department, 325 Washington Avenue Extension, Albany, New York 12205; by e-mail: contact@mechtech.com; or by telephone: (518) 218-2550.

STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS

We did not receive any stockholder proposals for inclusion in this Proxy Statement.

In order to be included in proxy material for the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, stockholders' proposed resolutions must be received by us at our offices, 325 Washington Avenue Extension, Albany, New York 12205 on or before December 27, 2013. We suggest that proponents submit their proposals by certified mail, return receipt requested, addressed to our Secretary.

If the Company does not receive notice of a proposal to be presented at the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders on or before March 12, 2014, the proxies designated by the Board will have discretionary authority to vote on any such proposal.

OTHER MATTERS

We do not know of any matters which will be brought before the meeting other than those specifically set forth in the notice thereof. If any other matter properly comes before the meeting, however, it is intended that the shares represented by proxies will be voted with respect thereto in accordance with the best judgment of the persons voting them.

By Order of the Board of Directors,
/s/ FREDERICK W. JONES
Frederick W. Jones
Secretary

Albany, New York
April 26, 2013

Appendix A Proxy Card

ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS OF
MECHANICAL TECHNOLOGY, INCORPORATED

June 12, 2013

NOTICE OF INTERNET AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIAL:

The Notice of Meeting, Proxy Statement, Proxy Card are available at
<http://www.amstock.com/proxyservices/viewmaterial.asp?CoNumber=15895>

Please sign, date and mail your proxy card in the envelope provided
as soon as possible.

2013 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS PROXY

THIS PROXY IS SOLICITED ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

The undersigned hereby revokes any proxy heretofore given to vote such shares, and hereby ratifies and confirms all that said proxies may do by virtue hereof.

The undersigned hereby appoints Mr. Kevin Lynch and Mr. Rick Jones, or either of them, as proxies to vote all the stock of the undersigned with all the powers which the undersigned would possess if personally present at the Annual Meeting of the Stockholders of Mechanical Technology, Incorporated, to be held at 4 Pine West Plaza, Albany, New York 12205 at 10:00 a.m., local time, on Wednesday, June 12, 2013, or any adjournment thereof, as follows:

(Continued and to be signed on the reverse side.)

