Carlyle Group L.P. Form 10-K February 16, 2017

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

ý ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016

OR

..TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM TO

Commission File Number: 001-35538

The Carlyle Group L.P.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware 45-2832612 (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) Identification No.)

1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW

Washington, D.C. 20004-2505

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(202) 729-5626

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class Name of each exchange on which registered

Common units representing limited partner interests The NASDAQ Global Select Market

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes \circ No "

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes "No \circ

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ý No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ý No "

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K ($\S229.405$ of this chapter) is not contained herein and will not be contained, to the best of the Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. \circ

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act

Large accelerated filer ý

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer " (do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company " Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes " No \circ

The aggregate market value of the common units of the Registrant held by non-affiliates as of June 30, 2016 was \$1,317,164,039.

The number of the Registrant's common units representing limited partner interests outstanding as of February 10, 2017 was 85,652,117.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

None

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
PART I.		
ITEM 1.	BUSINESS	<u>3</u>
ITEM 1A	. <u>RISK FACTORS</u>	<u>25</u>
ITEM 1B.	UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS	<u>78</u>
ITEM 2.	<u>PROPERTIES</u>	<u>78</u>
ITEM 3.	LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	<u>79</u>
ITEM 4.	MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES	<u>80</u>
PART II.		
ITEM 5.	MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES	<u>80</u>
ITEM 6.	SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA	<u>83</u>
ITEM 7.	MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	<u>86</u>
ITEM 7A	QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK	<u>175</u>
ITEM 8.	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA	<u>178</u>
ITEM 9.	CHANGES AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE	<u>246</u>
ITEM 9A	CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	<u>246</u>
ITEM 9B.	OTHER INFORMATION	<u>247</u>
PART III.		
ITEM 10.	DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	<u>247</u>
ITEM 11.	EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	<u>253</u>
ITEM 12.	SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS	<u>261</u>
ITEM 13.		<u>263</u>

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR $\underline{\text{INDEPENDENCE}}$

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES	<u>268</u>
PART IV.	
ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES	<u>270</u>
1	

Forward-Looking Statements

This report may contain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. These statements include, but are not limited to, statements related to our expectations regarding the performance of our business, our financial results, our liquidity and capital resources, contingencies, our distribution policy, and other non-historical statements. You can identify these forward-looking statements by the use of words such as "outlook," "believes," "expects," "potential," "continues," "may," "wi "should," "seeks," "approximately," "predicts," "intends," "plans," "estimates," "anticipates" or the negative version of these vother comparable words. Such forward-looking statements are subject to various risks, uncertainties and assumptions. Accordingly, there are or will be important factors that could cause actual outcomes or results to differ materially from those indicated in these statements including, but not limited to, those described under the section entitled "Risk Factors" in this report, as such factors may be updated from time to time in our periodic filings with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"), which are accessible on the SEC's website at www.sec.gov. These factors should not be construed as exhaustive and should be read in conjunction with the other cautionary statements that are included in this report and in our other periodic filings with the SEC. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or review any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future developments or otherwise, except as required by applicable law.

Unless the context suggests otherwise, references in this report to "Carlyle," the "Company," "we," "us" and "our" refer to The Carlyle Group L.P. and its consolidated subsidiaries. When we refer to the "partners of The Carlyle Group L.P.," we are referring specifically to the common unitholders and our general partner and any others who may from time to time be partners of that specific Delaware limited partnership. When we refer to our "senior Carlyle professionals," we are referring to the partner-level personnel of our firm. References in this report to the ownership of the senior Carlyle professionals include the ownership of personal planning vehicles of these individuals.

"Carlyle funds," "our funds" and "our investment funds" refer to the investment funds and vehicles advised by Carlyle.

"Carry funds" generally refers to closed-end investment vehicles, in which commitments are drawn down over a specified investment period, and in which the general partner receives a special residual allocation of income from limited partners, which we refer to as carried interest, in the event that specified investment returns are achieved by the fund. Disclosures referring to carry funds will also include the impact of certain commitments which do not earn carried interest, but are either part of, or associated with our carry funds. The rate of carried interest, as well as the share of carried interest allocated to Carlyle, may vary across the carry fund platform. Carry funds generally include the following investment vehicles across our four business segments:

Corporate Private Equity (all): Buyout & growth funds advised by Carlyle

Real Assets: Real estate, power, infrastructure and energy funds advised by Carlyle, as well as those energy funds advised by NGP Capital Management in which Carlyle is entitled to receive a share of carried interest Global Market Strategies: Distressed credit, corporate mezzanine and energy credit funds, as well as certain closed-end credit funds advised by Carlyle

Investment Solutions: Funds and vehicles advised by AlpInvest Partners B.V. ("AlpInvest") and Metropolitan Real Estate Equity Management, LLC ("Metropolitan), which include primary fund, secondary and co-investment strategies Carry funds specifically exclude those funds advised by NGP Capital Management in which Carlyle is not entitled to receive a share of carried interest (or "NGP management fee funds"), collateralized loan obligation vehicles (CLOs), business development companies, and our hedge fund platform.

For an explanation of the fund acronyms used throughout this Annual Report, refer to "Business-Our Family of Funds." "Fee-earning assets under management" or "Fee-earning AUM" refers to the assets we manage or advise from which we derive recurring fund management fees. Our Fee-earning AUM is generally based on one of the following, once fees

have been activated:

- the amount of limited partner capital commitments, generally for carry funds where the original investment period
- (a) has not expired, for AlpInvest carry funds during the commitment fee period and for Metropolitan carry funds during the weighted-average investment period of the underlying funds;
 - the remaining amount of limited partner invested capital at cost, generally for carry funds and certain
- (b) co-investment vehicles where the original investment period has expired and Metropolitan carry funds after the expiration of the weighted-average investment period of the underlying funds;
 - the amount of aggregate fee-earning collateral balance at par of our collateralized loan obligations ("CLOs"), as
- (c) defined in the fund indentures (typically exclusive of equities and defaulted positions) as of the quarterly cut-off date for each CLO;
- (d) the external investor portion of the net asset value of our hedge fund and fund of hedge funds vehicles (pre redemptions and subscriptions), as well as certain carry funds;
- (e) the gross assets (including assets acquired with leverage), excluding cash and cash equivalents of our business development companies and certain carry funds; and
- (f) the lower of cost or fair value of invested capital, generally for AlpInvest carry funds where the commitment fee period has expired and certain carry funds where the investment period has expired.
- "Assets under management" or "AUM" refers to the assets we manage or advise. Our AUM equals the sum of the following:
- (a) the fair value of the capital invested in carry funds and related co-investment vehicles and NGP management fee funds plus the capital that Carlyle is entitled to call from investors in those funds and vehicles (including Carlyle commitments to those funds and vehicles and those of senior Carlyle professionals and employees) pursuant to the terms of their capital commitments to those funds and vehicles;
- (b) the amount of aggregate collateral balance and principal cash at par or aggregate principal amount of the notes of our CLOs and other structured products (inclusive of all positions);
- (c) the net asset value (pre-redemptions and subscriptions) of our long/short credit, emerging markets, multi-product macroeconomic, fund of hedge funds vehicles, mutual fund and other hedge funds; and
- (d) the gross assets (including assets acquired with leverage) of our business development companies.

We include in our calculation of AUM and Fee-earning AUM certain energy and renewable resources funds that we jointly advise with Riverstone Holdings L.L.C. ("Riverstone") and certain NGP management fee funds and carry funds that are advised by NGP.

For most of our carry funds, total AUM includes the fair value of the capital invested, whereas Fee-earning AUM includes the amount of capital commitments or the remaining amount of invested capital, depending on whether the original investment period for the fund has expired. As such, Fee-earning AUM may be greater than total AUM when the aggregate fair value of the remaining investments is less than the cost of those investments.

Our calculations of AUM and Fee-earning AUM may differ from the calculations of other alternative asset managers. As a result, these measures may not be comparable to similar measures presented by other alternative asset managers. In addition, our calculation of AUM (but not Fee-earning AUM) includes uncalled commitments to, and the fair value of invested capital in, our investment funds from Carlyle and our personnel, regardless of whether such commitments or invested capital are subject to management or performance fees. Our calculations of AUM or Fee-earning AUM are not based on any definition of AUM or Fee-earning AUM that is set forth in the agreements governing the investment funds that we manage or advise.

"Vermillion" refers to our commodities advisor and business advised by Carlyle Commodity Management L.L.C., which was formerly known as Vermillion Asset Management until August 2015.

Changes to Disclosure and Presentation of Key Performance Metrics

Investment funds and vehicles advised by AlpInvest Partners B.V. and Metropolitan Real Estate Equity Management, LLC, which comprise our Investment Solutions segment, are now included in our "carry funds" definition. Accordingly, they are now included in our Invested Capital, Realized Proceeds and Fund Appreciation metrics. We have recast metrics for 2016 and conformed all prior periods, including supplemental key metrics information available on our website. In addition, we have also adjusted the methodology for recognition of Invested Capital to an

investment timing basis, rather than the timing of cash flows to and from our fund investors, to better reflect capital deployed by our funds during a given period. As a result, our 2016 Invested Capital reflects a true-up of approximately \$0.5 billion for capital invested in prior periods that had not been called from investors as of December 31, 2015. Any references to 2016 Invested Capital will include this true-up.

PART I.

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

Overview

We are one of the world's largest and most diversified multi-product global alternative asset management firms. We advise an array of specialized investment funds and other investment vehicles that invest across a range of industries, geographies, asset classes and investment strategies and seek to deliver attractive returns for our fund investors. Since our firm was founded in Washington, D.C. in 1987, we have grown to become a leading global alternative asset manager with nearly \$158 billion in AUM across 281 investment vehicles as of December 31, 2016. We have more than 1,600 employees, including 660 investment professionals in 35 offices across six continents, and we serve more than 1,750 active carry fund investors from 82 countries. Across our Corporate Private Equity ("CPE") and Real Assets segments, as of December 31, 2016, we have investments in more than 270 active portfolio companies that employ more than 630,000 people. In general, we have more investment professionals, offices, investment funds and investments across our platform than many of our peers. We have structured our firm in this manner to provide our fund investors with a more diverse product set tailored to individual investing decisions, and a broader global reach, but such structure increases our costs of doing business.

For the past thirty years, our firm has been guided by several fundamental tenets:

Excellence in Investing. Our primary goal is to invest wisely and create value for our fund investors. We strive to generate superior investment returns by combining deep industry expertise, a global network of local investment teams who can leverage extensive firm-wide resources and a consistent and disciplined investment process.

Commitment to our Fund Investors. Our fund investors come first. This commitment is a core component of our firm culture and informs every aspect of our business. We believe this philosophy is in the long-term best interests of Carlyle and its owners, including our common unitholders.

Expansion of our Platform. We innovate continuously to expand our investment capabilities through the creation or acquisition of new asset-, sector- and regional-focused strategies in order to provide our fund investors a variety of investment options.

Investment in the Firm. We have invested, and intend to continue to invest, significant resources in hiring and retaining a deep talent pool of investment professionals and in creating an efficient global infrastructure to ensure that we are providing our investors with world-class investment expertise and the customized service they require.

Unified Culture. We seek to leverage the local market insights and operational capabilities that we have developed across our global platform through a unified culture we call "One Carlyle." Our culture emphasizes collaboration and sharing of knowledge and expertise across the firm to create value. We believe our collaborative approach enhances our ability to analyze investments, deploy capital and improve the performance of our portfolio companies. There are four primary drivers of our business — fundraising or attracting new capital commitments to our funds; investing; working to create value for our investors or to achieve appreciation of our various investments; and harvesting, selling or otherwise disposing of our carry fund investments.

Operational and strategic highlights for 2016 include:

During 2016, we raised approximately \$14 billion in gross new commitments, or approximately \$8 billion net of redemptions from our open-ended funds (primarily the hedge funds and DGAM) across our platform; made investments through our carry funds of approximately \$18 billion; realized proceeds of approximately \$30 billion; and increased the value of our carry fund portfolio by approximately 12%.

We continued to bolster our senior management team by hiring a new head of Global Credit, a new co-head for our global financial services fund and a new co-head for our international infrastructure fund and promoting the head of the Consumer and Retail group within our U.S. buyout fund platform to be the Co-Head of U.S. buyout. We bolstered our cadre of operating executives and now have access to a team of 30 consultants who assist us in creating value for our investors.

In our Global Market Strategies ("GMS") segment, we made the strategic decision to invest in expanding our global credit business and to focus our product offerings on private investing. Going forward, our GMS segment will focus on credit strategies that we believe are consistent with our institutional expertise and will allow us to scale the segment more quickly. As of December 31, 2016 we had no material amounts of AUM remaining in hedge funds.

We continued to pursue tangential product extensions and to scale our existing businesses. We held a final close for Carlyle Global Partners, our first longer duration private equity fund. In addition, we continued fundraising for our open-ended core-plus real estate fund and launched a new global infrastructure fund.

We further aligned our interests with those of our fund investors as Carlyle, our senior Carlyle professionals,
 advisors, other professionals and advisors increased commitments to our investment funds by over \$0.5 billion during the year for a total cumulative commitment of \$9.4 billion as of December 31, 2016.

Each of our segments continued to leverage the One Carlyle platform to take advantage of economies of scale and offer our fund investors differentiated products. Specifically:

In our CPE segment:

Despite an otherwise difficult environment for investing, CPE invested \$7.9 billion in 2016. We invested in, among others, AA Ireland Limited (a CGFSP II and CCI portfolio company); CUPA Group (a CEP IV portfolio company); Marle International (a CETP III portfolio company); Hunkemoller International B.V. (a CEP IV portfolio company); Inca Rail (a CPF I portfolio company); Ion Investment Group Limited (a CP VI and CGFSP II portfolio company); Learning Pool (a CCI portfolio company); Logoplaste (a CEP IV portfolio company); NEP Group, Inc. (a CGP portfolio company); NetMotion, Inc. (a CEOF II and CETP III portfolio company); Novolex (a CP VI portfolio company); ProKarma Holdings (a CP VI portfolio company); MicroPort Scientific Corporation (a CAP IV portfolio company); Veritas Holdings (a CP VI portfolio company); VXI Global Solutions (a CAP IV portfolio company); WingArc1st Inc. (a CJP III portfolio company) and Zhongmei Healthcare Group (a CAP IV portfolio company).

CPE had record realized proceeds of \$14.8 billion for fund investors in 2016. We sold our stakes in, among others, 7 Days Group (a CAP III portfolio company); Axalta Coating Systems (a CP V portfolio company); B&B Hotels (a CEP III portfolio company); Booz Allen Hamilton (a CP V portfolio company); CommScope (a CP V and CEP III portfolio company); Landmark Aviation (a CPV portfolio company); Vogue International (a CP VI portfolio company); and WorldStrides (a CEOF I portfolio company). We also undertook several successful initial public offerings, including Bank of N.T. Butterfield Ltd. (a CSFSP I portfolio company); Solasto Corporation (a CJP II portfolio company); and PNB Housing Finance Limited (a CAP IV portfolio company).

CPE did not have any of its large funds in the market during 2016 and accordingly did not raise a significant amount of capital, raising \$0.8 billion in 2016 as compared to \$8.0 billion in 2015.

In our Real Assets segment:

We closed our second power fund, continued fundraising for our new open-ended core-plus real estate fund, and launched fundraising for our new global infrastructure opportunities fund and our new China-focused real estate fund. We also launched fundraising for our eighth opportunistic U.S. real estate fund. In total, we closed on approximately \$1.2 billion in commitments to our Real Assets segment.

We invested approximately \$5 billion in our Real Assets segment. Of this amount, we invested approximately \$1.5 billion to acquire or develop real estate properties, primarily in the U.S. across multiple sectors, including multifamily, commercial, senior living and for-sale residential properties. We also invested in oil and gas transactions and power generating facilities in the United States. In total, our natural resources platform invested \$2.9 billion in 2016.

We realized proceeds of approximately \$5.8 billion for our Real Assets carry fund investors. We exited a number of investments, including an office tower in Shanghai, a student housing portfolio in Europe, a commercial office building in China and a shopping mall in China. NGP took two portfolio companies public in 2016, Petrus Resources (NGP X) and Wildhorse Resource Development Corp (NGP X and NGP XI).

In our GMS segment:

We closed our second-generation energy mezzanine fund at \$2.8 billion and continued fundraising for our fourth-generation distressed credit fund. We also launched fundraising for our first middle market credit fund in the private credit platform. We closed four new collateralized loan obligations ("CLOs") in the U.S. and two new CLOs in Europe in 2016, with \$2.8 billion of AUM at December 31, 2016. In total, we raised more than \$6.3 billion for our global credit funds.

Our fourth-generation distressed credit fund, CSP IV, was particularly active this year, investing in health care services, recycling services and offshore contract drilling services portfolio companies.

In our Investment Solutions segment:

We continued fundraising for our sixth secondaries program and launched our seventh AlpInvest co-investment program and our second Metropolitan real estate secondaries fund. In total, we raised approximately \$4.6 billion in gross new commitments, or approximately \$2.8 billion net of redemptions, related to winding down the fund of hedge funds platform, in our Investment Solutions segment.

Distribution activity was robust this year, distributing in excess of \$8.0 billion of capital to our Investment Solutions investors.

We repositioned the segment to focus on private equity and real estate investing, executing the wind down of our fund of hedge funds platform.

Business Segments

We operate our business across four segments: (1) CPE, (2) Real Assets, (3) GMS and (4) Investment Solutions. Information about our segments should be read together with "Part II. Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations."

Although we primarily transact business in the United States and a significant amount of our revenues are generated domestically, we have established investment vehicles whose primary focus is making investments in specified geographical locations. Refer to "Information by Geographic Location" in Note 18 to the consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for more information on consolidated revenues and assets based on the geographical focus of the associated investment vehicle.

Corporate Private Equity

Our CPE segment, established in 1990 with our first U.S. buyout fund, advises our buyout and growth capital funds, which pursue a wide variety of corporate investments of different sizes and growth potentials. Our 30 active CPE funds are each carry funds. They are organized and operated by geography or industry and are advised by separate teams of local professionals who live and work in the markets where they invest. In our CPE segment we also have 52 active external co-investment entities. We believe this diversity of funds and entities allows us to deploy more targeted and specialized investment expertise and strategies and offers our fund investors the ability to tailor their investment choices.

Our CPE teams have two primary areas of focus:

Buyout Funds. Our buyout teams advise a diverse group of 20 active funds that invest in transactions that focus either on a particular geography (e.g., United States, Europe, Asia, Japan, MENA, Sub-Saharan

Africa or South America) or a particular industry, (e.g., financial services). We continually seek to expand and diversify our buyout portfolio into new areas where we see opportunity for future growth. In 2016, we had the final closing on our first longer-duration global buyout fund. We invested \$7.4 billion in new and follow-on investments through our buyout funds. As of December 31, 2016, our buyout funds had, in the aggregate, approximately \$44.5 billion in AUM.

Growth Capital Funds. Our 10 active growth capital funds are advised by four regionally-focused teams in the United States, Europe and Asia, with each team generally focused on middle-market and growth companies consistent with specific regional investment considerations. The investment mandate for our growth capital funds is to seek out companies with the potential for growth, strategic redirection and operational improvements. These funds typically do not invest in early stage or venture-type investments. In 2016, held a final closing for our second U.S. equity opportunities fund at its cap. As of December 31, 2016, our growth capital funds had, in the aggregate, approximately \$6.3 billion in AUM.

From inception through December 31, 2016, our CPE segment has invested approximately \$74 billion in 565 investments. Of that total, we have invested 60% in 274 investments in North and South America, 21% in 134 investments in Europe, the Middle East and Africa and 19% in 157 investments in the Asia-Pacific region. We have fully realized 396 of these investments, meaning that our funds have completely exited, and no longer own an interest in, those investments.

The following table presents certain data about our CPE segment as of December 31, 2016 (dollar amounts in billions; amounts invested include co-investments).

AUM	% of Total	Fee-earning	Active	Active	Available	Investment	Amount Invested	Investments Since Inception
	AUM	AUM	Investments	Funds	Capital	Professionals	Since Inception	Inception
\$51	32%	\$36	169	30	\$17	280	\$74	565
Real A	Assets							

Our Real Assets segment, established in 1997 with our first U.S. real estate fund, advises our 26 active carry funds focused on real estate, infrastructure and energy and natural resources (including power) and also includes the five NGP management fee funds and three carry funds that are advised by NGP Energy Capital Management ("NGP"). This segment pursues investment opportunities across a diverse array of tangible assets, such as office buildings, hotels, retail and residential properties, industrial properties and senior living facilities, as well as oil and gas exploration and production, midstream, refining and marketing, power generation, pipelines, wind farms, refineries, airports, toll roads, transportation, water utility and agriculture, as well as the companies providing services or otherwise related to them.

Our Real Assets teams have two primary areas of focus:

Real Estate. Our ten active real estate funds pursue real estate investment opportunities in Asia, Europe and the United States and generally focus on acquiring single-property assets rather than large-cap companies with real estate portfolios. Our team of 111 real estate investment professionals has made more than 750 investments in 338 cities/metropolitan statistical areas around the world as of December 31, 2016, including office buildings, hotels, retail and residential properties, industrial properties and senior living facilities. As of December 31, 2016, our real estate funds had, in the aggregate, approximately \$12.8 billion in AUM.

Energy and Natural Resources. Our energy and natural resources activities focus on buyouts, growth capital investments and strategic joint ventures in the midstream, upstream, energy and oilfield services sectors, the renewable and alternative sectors and the power and infrastructure industries around the world. Historically, we conducted our energy investing activities jointly with Riverstone, co-advising four funds with more than \$6.1 billion in AUM as of December 31, 2016 (we refer to these energy funds as our "Legacy Energy funds"). Currently, we conduct our North American energy investing through our partnership with NGP Energy Capital Management, an

Irving, Texas-based energy investor. NGP advises eight funds with approximately \$10.1 billion in AUM as of December 31, 2016. Our power team focuses on investment opportunities in the North American power generation sector. As of December 31, 2016, the power team managed more than \$2.1 billion in AUM through two funds. Our international energy investment team focuses on investments in a full range of energy assets outside of North America. As of December 31, 2016, the international energy team managed more than \$2.7 billion in AUM through one fund. In 2016, we commenced fundraising for a global

infrastructure fund focused on infrastructure assets, business and investments in global developed markets. We have also invested previously in North American infrastructure companies and assets.

Our Real Assets carry funds, including Carlyle-advised co-investment vehicles, have, from inception through December 31, 2016, invested on a global basis approximately \$44 billion in 934 investments, including more than 175 portfolio companies. Of that total, we have invested 79% in 771 investments in North and South America, 15% in 116 investments in Europe, the Middle East and Africa and 6% in 47 investments in the Asia-Pacific region. We have fully realized 571 of these investments, meaning that our funds have completely exited, and no longer own an interest in, those investments.

The following table presents certain data about our Real Assets segment as of December 31, 2016 (dollar amounts in billions; amounts invested include co-investments).

A I II	% of Total	Fee-earning	Active	Active	Available	Investment Professionals	Amount Invested	Investments Since Inception(2)
AUI	AUM	AUM	Investments (2)	Funds (3)	Capital	(1)	Since Inception(2)	Inception(2)
	22%	\$27	363	26	\$12	141	\$44	934

- (1) Excludes NGP and Riverstone employees.
- (2) Excludes investment activity of the NGP management fee funds.
- (3) Includes the five NGP management fee funds and three carry funds advised by NGP.

Global Market Strategies

Our GMS segment, established in 1999 with our first high yield fund, advises a group of 57 active funds that pursue investment strategies including leveraged loans and structured credit, energy mezzanine opportunities, middle market lending and distressed debt. Given the challenges experienced in this segment, we conducted a strategic review of the segment and decided to exit the hedge fund business. We have now completed the exit from the hedge funds and are winding down the remaining commodities positions. We are now solely focused on growing our global credit business. In September 2016, we hired a new Head of Global Credit to lead these efforts. During 2017, we plan to pursue new initiatives from our global credit platform. This will enable better oversight, standardization across products, execution and efficiency.

Primary areas of focus for our GMS credit platform include:

Loans and Structured Credit. Our structured credit funds invest primarily in performing senior secured bank loans through structured vehicles and other investment vehicles. In 2016, we closed four new U.S. CLOs and two CLOs in Europe with a total of \$1.9 billion and \$0.9 billion, respectively, of AUM at December 31, 2016. As of December 31, 2016, our loans and structured credit team advised 44 structured credit funds and two carry funds in the United States, Europe and Asia totaling, in the aggregate, more than \$19.2 billion in AUM.

Distressed Credit. Our distressed credit funds generally invest in liquid and illiquid securities and obligations, including secured debt, senior and subordinated unsecured debt, convertible debt obligations, preferred stock and public and private equity of financially distressed companies in defensive and asset-rich industries. In certain investments, our funds may seek to restructure pre-reorganization debt claims into controlling positions in the equity of the reorganized companies. As of December 31, 2016, our distressed credit team advised three funds totaling, in the aggregate, more than \$3.4 billion in AUM.

Private Credit. Our private credit business comprises our business development companies ("BDCs"), which invest primarily in middle market first-lien loans (which include unitranche, "first out" and "last out" loans) and second-lien loans, a CLO consisting of middle market senior, first-lien loans and our corporate mezzanine funds, which invest in the first-lien, second-lien and mezzanine loans of middle-market companies, typically defined as companies with annual EBITDA ranging from \$10 million to \$100 million that lack access to the broadly syndicated loan and bond

markets. As of December 31, 2016, our private credit investment team advised five funds totaling, in the aggregate, more than \$2.0 billion in AUM.

Energy Credit. Our energy credit team invests primarily in privately-negotiated mezzanine debt investments
 in North American energy and power projects and companies. As of December 31, 2016, our energy credit team advised two funds with more than \$4.7 billion in AUM.

The following table presents certain data about our GMS segment as of December 31, 2016 (dollar amounts in billions).

% of Total Foo carning Active 1	iii v estilielit
AUM % of Total Fee-earning Active Factor AUM AUM AUM Funds	Professionals
AUM AUM Funds	(1)
	149

(1) Includes 40 middle-office and back office professionals.

Investment Solutions

Our Investment Solutions segment provides comprehensive investment opportunities and resources for our investors and clients to build private equity and real estate portfolios through fund of funds, secondary purchases of existing portfolios and managed co-investment programs. Investment Solutions executes these activities through AlpInvest, one of the world's largest investors in private equity, and Metropolitan, one of the largest managers of indirect investments in global real estate. In February 2016, we decided to restructure our Investment Solutions segment to focus on private market secondaries, co-investments and primary fund investments and, given the challenging market environment, discontinue our fund of hedge funds and liquid alternative initiatives. We anticipate that during 2017 we will complete our previously announced wind-down of DGAM, a global manager of hedge funds based in Toronto, Canada.

The primary areas of focus for our Investment Solutions teams include:

Private Equity Fund Investments. Our fund of funds vehicles advised by AlpInvest make investment commitments directly to buyout, growth capital, venture and other alternative asset funds advised by other general partners ("portfolio funds"). As of December 31, 2016, AlpInvest advised 58 vehicles totaling, in the aggregate, more than \$25.4 billion in AUM.

Private Equity Co-investments. AlpInvest invests alongside other private equity and mezzanine funds in which it typically has a primary fund investment throughout Europe, North America and Asia. These investments are generally made when an investment opportunity is too large for a particular fund and the sponsor of the fund therefore seeks to raise additional "co-investment" capital from sources such as AlpInvest. As of December 31, 2016, our co-investment programs were conducted through 40 vehicles totaling, in the aggregate, more than \$6.0 billion in AUM.

Private Equity Secondary Investments. Funds managed by AlpInvest acquire limited partnership interests in the secondary market. Private equity investors who desire to sell or restructure their pre-existing investment commitments to a fund may negotiate to sell the fund interests to AlpInvest. In this manner, AlpInvest's secondary investments team provides liquidity and restructuring alternatives for third-party private equity investors. In 2016, we added personnel to the secondary team who focus on finding opportunities in the energy and infrastructure space. As of December 31, 2016, our secondary investments program was conducted through 44 vehicles totaling, in the aggregate, approximately \$10.1 billion in AUM.

Real Estate Funds of Funds and Co-Secondary Investments. The principal strategic focus in our real estate funds is on value add/opportunistic real estate investments through direct commitments to 90 highly-focused, specialist real estate managers across the globe. As of December 31, 2016, we advised 26 real estate vehicles with approximately \$1.7 billion in AUM. We also focus on real estate secondaries and co-investments.

The following table presents certain data about our Investment Solutions segment as of December 31, 2016 (dollar amounts in billions). See "— Structure and Operation of Our Investment Funds — Incentive Arrangements/Fee Structure" in this Item 1 for a discussion of the arrangements with the historical owners and management of AlpInvest regarding the

allocation of carried interest in respect of the historical investments of and the historical and certain future commitments to our AlpInvest carry fund vehicles.

AUM(1)	% of Total	Fee-earning	Fund	Available	Investment	Amount Invested
	AUM	AUM	Vehicles	Capital	Professionals	Since Inception(2)
\$43	27%	\$27	168	\$14	83	\$57

Under our arrangements with the historical owners and management team of AlpInvest, we generally do not retain any carried interest in respect of the historical investments and commitments to our AlpInvest carry fund vehicles that existed as of July 1, 2011 (including any options to increase any such commitments exercised after such date). We are entitled to 15% of the carried interest in respect of commitments from the historical owners of AlpInvest for the period between 2011 and 2020 and 40% of the carried interest in respect of all other commitments (including all future commitments from third parties).

(2) AlpInvest only.

Investment Approach Corporate Private Equity The investment approach of our CPE teams is generally characterized as follows:

Consistent and Disciplined Investment Process. We believe our successful investment track record is the result, in part, of a consistent and disciplined application of our investment process. Investment opportunities for our CPE funds are initially sourced and evaluated by one or more of our deal teams. The due diligence and transaction review process places a special emphasis on, among other considerations, the reputation of a target company's shareholders and management, the company's size and sensitivity of cash flow generation, the business sector and competitive risks, the portfolio fit, exit risks and other key factors specific to a particular investment. In evaluating each deal, we consider what expertise or experience (i.e., the "Carlyle Edge") we can bring to the transaction to enhance value for our investors. Each investment opportunity must secure approval from the investment committee of the applicable investment fund to move forward. The investment committee approval process involves a detailed review of the transaction and investment thesis, business, risk factors and diligence issues, as well as financial models.

Geographic- and Industry-Focused. We have developed a global network of local investment teams with deep local insight into the areas in which they invest and have adopted an industry-focused approach to investing. Our extensive network of global investment professionals has the knowledge, experience and relationships on a local level that allow them to identify and take advantage of opportunities that may be unavailable to firms that do not have our global reach and resources. We also have particular industry expertise in aerospace, defense and government services, consumer and retail, financial services, healthcare, industrial, telecom, media and technology and transportation. As a result, we believe that our in-depth knowledge of specific industries improves our ability to source and create transactions, conduct effective and more informed due diligence, develop strong relationships with management teams and use contacts and relationships within these industries to identify potential buyers as part of a coherent exit strategy.

Variable Deal Sizes and Creative Structures. Our teams are staffed not only to effectively pursue large transactions, but also other transactions of varying sizes. We often invest in smaller companies and this has allowed us to obtain greater diversity across our entire portfolio. Additionally, we may undertake large, strategic minority investments with certain control elements or private investment in public equity (PIPE) transactions in large companies with a clear exit strategy. In certain jurisdictions around the world, we may make investments with little or no debt financing and seek alternative structures to opportunistically pursue transactions. We generally seek to obtain board representation and typically appoint our investment professionals and advisors to represent us on the boards of the companies in which we invest. Where our funds, either alone or as part of a consortium, are not the controlling investor, we typically, subject to applicable regulatory requirements, acquire significant voting and other control rights with a view to securing influence over the conduct of the business.

Driving Value Creation. Our CPE teams seek to make investments in portfolio companies in which our particular strengths and resources may be employed to their best advantage. Typically, as part of a CPE investment, our investment teams will prepare and execute a value creation plan that is developed during a thorough due diligence effort and draws on the deep resources available across our global platform, specifically relying on:

Reach: Our global team and global presence that enables us to support international expansion efforts and global supply chain initiatives.

Expertise: Our investment professionals and our industry specialists, who provide extensive sector-specific knowledge and local market expertise.

Insight: We engage 30 operating executives as independent consultants to work with our investment teams during due diligence, provide board-level governance and support and advise our portfolio company CEOs. These operating executives are former CEOs and other high-level executives of some of the world's most successful corporations and currently sit on the boards of directors of a diverse mix of companies. We use this collective group of operating executives to provide special expertise to support specific value creation initiatives.

Data: The goal of our research function is to extract as much information as possible from our portfolio as possible about the current state of the economy and its likely evolution over the near-to-medium term. Our CPE investment portfolio includes over 160 active portfolio companies as of December 31, 2016, across a diverse range of industries and geographies that each generate multiple data points (e.g., orders, shipments, production volumes, occupancy rates, bookings). By evaluating these data on a systematic basis, we work to identify the data with the highest correlation with macroeconomic data and map observed movements in the portfolio to anticipated variation in the economy, including changes in growth rates across industries and geographies. We believe this robust data gives us an advantage over our peers who do not have as large a global reach.

One Carlyle Portfolio Resources: Our Global Investment Resources team delivers additional value creation resources to help portfolio companies grow revenue and better manage costs. The team works directly with portfolio company management on business development strategy, new customer introductions leveraging the Carlyle network and support for geographic market expansion. We have also developed a leveraged purchasing effort to seek to drive down costs on common indirect spend categories and disseminate best practices on managing functional spend in the areas of employee benefits, corporate real estate and information technology.

Pursuing Best Exit Alternatives. In determining when to exit an investment, our private equity teams consider whether a portfolio company has achieved its objectives, the financial returns and the appropriate timing in industry cycles and company development to strive for the optimal value. The fund's investment committee approves all exit decisions. Real Assets

Our Real Assets business includes investments in real estate assets, infrastructure and energy and natural resources (including power) companies and projects. The investment approach of the teams advising the international energy, power and infrastructure funds is similar to that of our CPE funds.

Generally, the investment approach of our real estate teams is characterized as follows:

Pursue Single Asset Transactions. In general, our U.S. real estate funds have focused on single asset transactions. We follow this approach in the U.S. because we believe that pursuing single assets enables us to better understand the factors that contribute to the fundamental value of each property, mitigate concentration risk, establish appropriate asset-by-asset capital structures and maintain governance over major property-level decisions. In addition, the direct ownership of assets typically enables us to effectively employ an active asset management approach and reduce financing and operating risk, while increasing the visibility of factors that affect the overall returns of the investment. Historically, we have used an opportunistic real estate investment strategy, but in 2015, we expanded our platform to include a core-plus investment strategy. In the U.S., we will continue to focus on single asset transactions in both our opportunistic and core plus investment strategies. Outside the U.S., we continue to opportunistically invest in the Asia and European markets. Currently, we are pursuing a value-add strategy in China and focusing on opportunities such as logistics and data center platforms. In Europe, we pursue investment opportunities across asset classes and geographies both for single assets and portfolios, with a focus on opportunistic or value-add strategies.

Seek out Strong Joint Venture Partners or Managers. Where appropriate, we seek out joint venture partners or managers with significant operational expertise. For each joint venture, we design structures and terms that provide situationally appropriate incentives, often including, for example, the subordination of the joint venture partner's equity and profits interest to that of a fund, giveback provisions and/or profits escrow

accounts in favor of a fund and exclusivity. We also typically structure positions with control or veto rights over major decisions.

Source Deals Directly. Our teams endeavor to establish "market presence" in our target geographies where we have a history of operating in local markets and benefit from extensive long-term relationships with developers, corporate real estate owners, institutional investors and private owners. These relationships have resulted in our ability to source a large number of investments on a direct negotiated basis.

Focus on Sector-Specific Strategies. Our real estate funds focus on specific sectors and markets in areas where we believe the fundamentals are sound and dynamic capital markets allow for identification of assets whose value is not fully recognized. The real estate funds we advise have invested according to strategies established in several main sectors: office, hotel, retail, residential, industrial and senior living.

Actively Manage our Real Estate Investments. Our real estate investments often require active management to uncover and create value. Accordingly, we have put in place experienced local asset management teams. These teams add value through analysis and execution of capital expenditure programs, development projects, lease negotiations, operating cost reduction programs and asset dispositions. The asset management teams work closely with the other real estate professionals to effectively formulate and implement strategic management plans.

Manage the Exit of Investments. We believe that "exit management" is as important as traditional asset management in order to take full advantage of the typically short windows of opportunity created by temporary imbalances in capital market forces that affect real estate. In determining when to exit an investment, our real estate teams consider whether an investment has fulfilled its strategic plan, the depth of the market and generally prevailing industry conditions. Our energy and natural resources activities primarily focus on four areas: international energy, North American energy, power and infrastructure.

International Energy Investing. Our international energy team pursues investment opportunities in oil and gas exploration and production, midstream, oilfield services and refining and marketing in Europe, Africa, Latin America and Asia. Seeking to take advantage of the lack of capital in the international energy market, we pursue transactions where we have a distinctive competitive advantage and can create tangible value for the companies in which we invest, through industry specialization, deployment of human capital and access to our global network. In seeking to build a geographically-diverse international energy portfolio, we focus on cash-generating opportunities, with a particular focus on proven reserves and production, and strategically seek to enhance the efficiency of the portfolio through exploration or infrastructure improvements.

North American Energy Investing. We conduct our current North American energy investing through our partnership with NGP, an Irving, Texas-based energy investment firm that focuses on investments across a range of energy and natural resource assets, including oil and gas resources, oilfield services, pipelines and processing, as well as agricultural investments and properties. NGP seeks to align itself with "owner-managers" who are invested in the enterprise, have a top-tier technical team and who have a proprietary edge that differentiates their business plan. NGP strives to establish a portfolio of platform companies to grow through acquisitions and development and provides financial and strategic support and access to additional capital at the lowest cost. We do not control or manage the NGP management fee funds or the existing carry funds that are advised by NGP. NGP is managed by its senior leadership.

Power Investing. Our power team focuses on investment opportunities in the North American power generation sector. Leveraging the expertise of the investment professionals at Cogentrix Energy L.L.C., one of our portfolio companies, the team seeks investments where it can obtain direct or indirect operational control to facilitate the

implementation of technical enhancements. We seek to capitalize on secular trends and to identify assets where engineering and technical expertise, in addition to a strong management team, can facilitate performance.

Global Infrastructure Investing. Our new global infrastructure team will seek to develop a global portfolio of investments across a variety of sectors and geographies. The fund will pursue investment opportunities primarily domiciled in developed markets with strong commercial systems and rule of law. The team plans to utilize a value-added approach to transaction sourcing, diligence and asset management and will seek to

generate attractive risk-adjusted returns for the fund. The fund will seek to enhance the value of its investments through strategic and operational impact including risk management techniques utilized across Carlyle's global corporate private equity and natural resources investment businesses. The goal of this approach is to increase the profitability of the investments, increase cash flow yield and enhance the attractiveness of the asset for ultimate exit to a trade buyer, core infrastructure buyer or the public markets.

Global Market Strategies

The investment approach of our GMS platform's credit-focused funds is generally characterized as follows:

Source Investment Opportunities. Our GMS credit team sources investment opportunities from both the primary and secondary markets through our global network and strong relationships with the financial community. We typically target portfolio companies that have a demonstrated track record of profitability, market leadership in their respective niche, predictable cash flow, a definable competitive advantage and products or services that are value added to its customer base.

Conduct Fundamental Due Diligence and Perform Capital Structure Analyses. After an opportunity is identified, our GMS investment professionals conduct fundamental due diligence to determine the relative value of the potential investment and capital structure analyses to determine credit worthiness. Our due diligence approach typically incorporates meetings with management, company facility visits, discussions with industry analysts and consultants and an in-depth examination of financial results and projections. In conducting due diligence, our GMS credit team employs an integrated, cross platform approach with industry-dedicated credit research analysts and non-investment grade expertise across the capital structure.

Evaluation of Macroeconomic Factors. Our GMS credit team evaluates technical factors such as supply and demand, the market's expectations surrounding a company and the existence of short- and long-term value creation or destruction catalysts. Inherent in all stages of credit evaluation is a determination of the likelihood of potential catalysts emerging, such as corporate reorganizations, recapitalizations, asset sales, changes in a company's liquidity and mergers and acquisitions.

Risk Minimization. Our GMS credit team seeks to make investments in capital structures to enable companies to both expand and weather downturns and/or below-plan performance. The team works to structure investments with strong financial covenants, frequent reporting requirements and board representation, if possible. Through board representation or observation rights, our GMS team works to provide a consultative, interactive approach to equity sponsors and management partners as part of the overall portfolio management process.

Investment Solutions

Our Investment Solutions team aims to apply a wide array of capabilities to help clients meet their investment objectives. The investment approach of our Investment Solutions platform is generally characterized as follows:

Well-informed, Disciplined Investment Process: We follow a disciplined, highly-selective investment process and seek to achieve diversification by deploying capital across economic cycles, segments and investment styles. Our integrated and collaborative culture across our strategies, reinforced by investment in information technology solutions, provides deep insight into fund manager portfolios and operations to support our rigorous selection process.

Pro-active Sourcing: Our extensive network of private equity and real estate managers across the globe positions us to identify investment opportunities that may be unavailable to other investors. Our investment strategy is defined by a strong belief that the best opportunities are found in areas that are less subject to competitive pressures. As a result, our teams actively seek out proprietary investments that would otherwise be difficult for our investors to access.

Global Scale and Presence: Our scale and on-the-ground presence across three continents - Asia, Europe and North America - give us a distinct and comprehensive perspective on the private equity and real estate markets. Our stable, dedicated, and experienced teams have deep knowledge of their respective markets across the globe. We believe this enhances our visibility across the global investment market and provides detailed local information that enhances our investment evaluation process.

Our Family of Funds

The following chart presents the name (acronym), total capital commitments (in the case of our carry funds, structured credit funds, and the NGP management fee funds), assets under management (in the case of structured products), gross assets (in the case of our business development companies) and vintage year of the active funds in each of our segments, as of December 31, 2016. We present total capital commitments (as opposed to assets under management) for our closed-end investment funds because we believe this metric provides the most useful information regarding the relative size and scale of such funds. In the case of our products which are open-ended and accordingly do not have permanent committed capital, we generally believe the most useful metric regarding relative size and scale is assets under management.

Company	-		Clabal Market Strategies?			Daal Assa		
Corporate Private Equity Buyout Carry Funds			Global Market Strategies ²	Real Assets				
•	•		Structured Credit	Real Estate Carry Funds Carlyle Realty Partners (U.S.)				
•	rtners (U.S.)	2012	Cash CLO's	41601	1000 2016	•	•	
CP VI	\$13.0 bn	2013			1999-2016		\$4.2 bn	
CP V	\$13.7 bn		Europe		2005-2016		\$2.3 bn	2011
CP IV	\$7.9 bn		Structured Credit Carry Fun	nds		CRP V	\$3.0 bn	2006
Global Fin Partners	ancial Service	es	CSC	\$151 mm	2016	CRP IV	\$950 mm	2005
CGFSP II	\$1.0 bn	2013	CASCOF	\$445 mm	2015	CRP III	\$564 mm	
CGFSP I	\$1.1 bn	2008	Private Credit			Carlyle Eu Partners	rope Real	Estate
Carlyle Eu	rope Partners		Business Development Cor	npanies ¹		CEREP III	[€2.2 bn	2007
CEP IV	€3.7 bn	2014	Carlyle GMS Finance, Inc.	\$1.5 bn	2013	CEREP II	€763 mm	2005
CED III	C5 2 h	2007	NIE Investment Com	¢205	2012	Carlyle As	ia Real Es	state
CEP III	€5.3 bn		NF Investment Corp.	\$295 mm	12013	Partners		
CEP II	€1.8 bn	2003	Corporate Mezzanine Carry			CCR	\$60 mm	
Carlyle As			CMP II	\$553 mm	2008	CAREP II \$486 mm 2008		
CAP IV	\$3.9 bn	2013	CMP I	\$436 mm	2004	Core Plus	Real Estat	e (U.S.)
CBPF	RMB 2.0 bn	2010	Energy Credit Carry Funds			CPI	\$498 mm	2016
CAP III	\$2.6 bn	2008	CEMOF II	\$2.8 bn	2015	Natural Re	sources F	unds
CAP II	\$1.8 bn	2006	CEMOF I	\$1.4 bn	2010	Infrastruct	ure Carry	Fund
Carlyle Jar	an Partners		Distressed Credit Carry Fun	nds		CIP I	\$1.1 bn	2006
CJP III	¥119.5 bn	2013	CSP IV	\$2.2 bn	2016	Power Car	ry Funds	
CJP II	¥165.6 bn	2006	CSP III	\$703 mm	2011	CPP II	\$1.5 bn	2014
Carlyle Me	exico Partners	3	CSP II	\$1.4 bn	2007	CPOCP	\$478 mm	2013
Mexico	\$134 mm	2005				Internation Fund	nal Energy	Carry
Carlyle MI	ENA Partners	<u> </u>				CIEP	\$2.5 bn	2013
MENA I			Investment Solutions			NGP Ener		
•	uth American	l	AlpInvest			NGP XI	\$5.3 bn	2014
Buyout Fu			Apmvest			NOI AI	ψ5.5 011	2014
CSABF I	\$776 mm	2009	Fund of Private Equity Fun	ds		NGP X	\$3.6 bn	2012
Carlyle Sub-Saharan Africa Fund			58 vehicles	€40.9 bn	2000-2016	NGP Agri	business C	Carry Fund
CSSAF I	\$698 mm	2012	Secondary Investments			NGP GAP	\$402 mm	2014
Carlyle Per	ru Fund		44 vehicles	€13.9 bn	2000-2016	NGP Mana	agement F	ee Funds
CPF I	\$308 mm	2012	Co-Investments					2004-2008
Carlyle Global Partners			40 vehicles			Legacy En		

CGP	\$3.6 bn	2015	Metropolitan Real Estate			Carlyle/R ₁ Energy	verstone (ilobal
Growth Carry Funds		Real Estate Fund of Funds			Energy IV	\$6.0 bn	2008	
Carlyle U.S Partners	S. Venture/G	rowth	26 vehicles	\$3.2 bn	2003-2016	Energy III	\$3.8 bn	2005
CEOF II	\$2.4 bn	2015				Energy II		
CEOF I	\$1.1 bn	2011				Carlyle/Ri Energy	verstone F	Renewable
CUSGF III	I \$605 mm	2006				Renew II	\$3.4 bn	2008
CVP II	\$602 mm	2001						
Carlyle Eu	rope Technol	logy						
Partners								
CETP III	€657 mm	2014						
CETP II	€522 mm	2008						
Carlyle As	ia Venture/G	rowth						
Partners								
CAGP V	\$197 mm	2016						
CAGP IV	\$1.0 bn	2008						
CAGP III	\$680 mm	2005						
Carlyle Ca	rdinal Ireland	1						
CCI	€292 mm	2014						

Note: All amounts shown represent total capital commitments as of December 31, 2016, unless otherwise noted. Certain of our recent vintage funds are currently in fundraising and total capital commitments are subject to change. In addition, certain carry funds included herein may be disclosed which are not included in fund performance if they have not made an initial capital call.

- (1) Amounts represent gross assets as of December 31, 2016.
- (2) We are winding down our commodities business which had \$7 million of AUM as of December 31, 2016.
- (3) Includes NGP ETP I, NGP M&R, NGP ETP II, NGP VIII and NGP IX.

Organizational Structure

The simplified diagram below depicts our organizational structure. Ownership information in the diagram below is presented as of December 31, 2016. The diagram does not depict all of our subsidiaries, including intermediate holding companies through which certain of the subsidiaries depicted are held. As discussed in greater detail below, The Carlyle Group L.P. holds, through wholly owned subsidiaries, a number of Carlyle Holdings partnership units that is equal to the number of common units that The Carlyle Group L.P. has issued and benefits from the income of Carlyle Holdings to the extent of its equity interests in the Carlyle Holdings partnerships. While the holders of common units of The Carlyle Group L.P. are entitled to all of the economic rights in The Carlyle Group L.P., hold Carlyle Holdings partnership units that entitle them to economic rights in Carlyle Holdings to the extent of their equity interests in the Carlyle Holdings partnerships. Public investors do not directly hold equity interests in the Carlyle Holdings partnerships.

The Carlyle Group L.P. common unitholders have only limited voting rights and have no right to remove our general partner or, except in limited circumstances, elect the directors of our general partner. TCG Carlyle Global Partners L.L.C., an entity wholly owned by our senior Carlyle professionals, holds a special voting unit in The

(1) Carlyle Group L.P. that entitles it, on those few matters that may be submitted for a vote of The Carlyle Group L.P. common unitholders, to participate in the vote on the same basis as the common unitholders and provides it with a number of votes that is equal to the aggregate number of vested and unvested partnership units in Carlyle Holdings held by the limited partners of Carlyle Holdings on the relevant record date.

Certain individuals engaged in our business own interests directly in selected subsidiaries, including, in certain (2) instances, entities that receive management fees from funds that we advise. See "— Structure and Operation of Our Investment Funds — Incentive Arrangements/Fee Structure" in this Item 1 for additional information.

The Carlyle Group L.P. conducts all of its material business activities through Carlyle Holdings. Each of the Carlyle Holdings partnerships was formed to hold our interests in different businesses. Carlyle Holdings I L.P. owns all of our U.S. fee-generating businesses and many of our non-U.S. fee-generating businesses, as well as our carried interests (and other investment interests) that derive income that we believe is not qualifying income for purposes of the U.S. federal income tax

publicly-traded partnership rules and certain of our carried interests (and other investment interests) that do not relate to investments in stock of corporations or in debt, such as equity investments in entities that are pass-through for U.S. federal income tax purposes. Carlyle Holdings II L.P. holds a variety of assets, including our carried interests in many of the investments by our carry funds in entities that are treated as domestic corporations for U.S. federal income tax purposes and in certain non-U.S. entities. Certain of our non-U.S. fee-generating businesses, as well as our non-U.S. carried interests (and other investment interests) that derive income that we believe is not qualifying income for purposes of the U.S. federal income tax publicly-traded partnership rules and certain of our non-U.S. carried interests (and other investment interests) that do not relate to investments in stock of corporations or in debt, such as equity investments in entities that are pass-through for U.S. federal income tax purposes are held by Carlyle Holdings III L.P. The Carlyle Group L.P. has wholly owned subsidiaries that serve as the general partners of the Carlyle Holdings partnerships: Carlyle Holdings I GP Inc. (a Delaware corporation that is a domestic corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes), Carlyle Holdings II GP L.L.C. (a Delaware limited liability company that is a disregarded entity and not an association taxable as a corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes) and Carlyle Holdings III GP L.P. (a Québec société en commandite that is a foreign corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes) serve as the general partners of Carlyle Holdings I L.P., Carlyle Holdings II L.P. and Carlyle Holdings III L.P., respectively. Carlyle Holdings I GP Inc. and Carlyle Holdings III GP L.P. serve as the general partners of Carlyle Holdings I L.P. and Carlyle Holdings III L.P., respectively, through wholly owned subsidiaries that are disregarded for federal income tax purposes. We refer to Carlyle Holdings I GP Inc., Carlyle Holdings II GP L.L.C. and Carlyle Holdings III GP L.P. collectively as the "Carlyle Holdings General Partners."

Holding Partnership Structure

The Carlyle Group L.P. is treated as a partnership and not as a corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes, although our partnership agreement does not restrict our ability to take actions that may result in our being treated as an entity taxable as a corporation for U.S. federal (and applicable state) income tax purposes. An entity that is treated as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes is not a taxable entity and incurs no U.S. federal income tax liability. Instead, each partner is required to take into account its allocable share of items of income, gain, loss and deduction of the partnership in computing its U.S. federal income tax liability, whether or not cash distributions are made. Each holder of our common units is a limited partner of The Carlyle Group L.P., and accordingly, is generally required to pay U.S. federal income taxes with respect to the income and gain of The Carlyle Group L.P. that is allocated to such holder, even if The Carlyle Group L.P. does not make cash distributions. We believe that the Carlyle Holdings partnerships should also be treated as partnerships and not as corporations for U.S. federal income tax purposes. Accordingly, the holders of partnership units in Carlyle Holdings, including The Carlyle Group L.P.'s wholly owned subsidiaries, incur U.S. federal, state and local income taxes on their proportionate share of any net taxable income of Carlyle Holdings.

Each of the Carlyle Holdings partnerships has an identical number of partnership units outstanding, and we use the terms "Carlyle Holdings partnership unit" or "partnership unit in/of Carlyle Holdings" to refer collectively to a partnership unit in each of the Carlyle Holdings partnerships. The Carlyle Group L.P. holds, through wholly owned subsidiaries, a number of Carlyle Holdings partnership units equal to the number of common units that The Carlyle Group L.P. has issued. The Carlyle Holdings partnership units that are held by The Carlyle Group L.P.'s wholly owned subsidiaries are economically identical to the Carlyle Holdings partnership units that are held by the limited partners of the Carlyle Holdings partnerships. Accordingly, the income of Carlyle Holdings benefits The Carlyle Group L.P. to the extent of its equity interest in Carlyle Holdings.

The Carlyle Group L.P. is managed and operated by our general partner, Carlyle Group Management L.L.C., to whom we refer as "our general partner," which is in turn wholly owned by our senior Carlyle professionals. Our general partner does not have any business activities other than managing and operating us. We reimburse our general partner and its affiliates for all costs incurred in managing and operating us, and our partnership agreement provides that our general partner determines the expenses that are allocable to us. Although there are no ceilings on the expenses for which we will reimburse our general partner and its affiliates, the expenses to which they may be entitled to reimbursement from us, such as director fees, historically have not been, and are not expected to be, material.

LP Relations

Our diverse and sophisticated investor base includes more than 1,750 active investors in our carry funds, excluding Investment Solutions, located in 82 countries. Included among our many longstanding fund investors are pension funds, sovereign wealth funds, insurance companies and high net worth individuals in the United States, Asia, Europe, the Middle East and South America.

We strive to maintain a systematic fundraising approach to support growth and serve our investor needs. This approach to fundraising has been critical in raising over \$8 billion, net of redemptions, in 2016. We work for our fund investors and continuously seek to strengthen and expand our relationships with them through frequent investor engagement and by cross-

selling products across our diverse platform. We have a dedicated in-house LP relations group, which includes 26 geographically-focused professionals with extensive investor relations and fundraising experience. In addition, we have 16 product specialists with a focus on specific business segments and 8 professionals focused on high net worth distribution. Our LP relations group is supported by 49 support staff responsible for project management and fulfillment. Our LP relations professionals are in constant dialogue with our fund investors, which enables us to monitor investor preferences and tailor future fund offerings to meet investor demand. We strive to secure a first-mover advantage with key investors, often by establishing a local presence and providing a broad and diverse range of investment opportunities.

As of December 31, 2016, approximately 92% of commitments to our active carry funds (by dollar amount) were from investors who are committed to more than one active carry fund and approximately 63% of commitments to our active carry funds (by dollar amount) were from investors who are committed to more than five active carry funds. We believe the loyalty of our carry fund investor base, as evidenced by our substantial number of multi-fund relationships, enhances our ability to raise new funds and successor funds in existing strategies.

Investor Services

We have a team of over 450 investor services professionals worldwide. The investor services group performs a range of functions to support our investment teams, LP relations group and the corporate infrastructure of Carlyle. Our investor services professionals provide an important control function, ensuring that transactions are structured pursuant to the partnership agreements, assisting in global regulatory compliance requirements and investor reporting to enable investors to easily monitor the performance of their investments. We have devoted substantial resources to creating comprehensive and timely investor reports, which are increasingly important to our investor base. The investor services group also works closely with each fund's lifecycle, from fund formation and investments to portfolio monitoring and fund liquidation. We maintain an internal global legal and compliance team, which includes 23 professionals and a government relations group with a presence around the globe, which includes 16 professionals as of December 31, 2016. We intend to continue to build and invest in our legal, regulatory and compliance functions to enable our investment teams to better serve our investors.

Structure and Operation of Our Investment Funds

We conduct the sponsorship and management of our carry funds and other investment vehicles primarily through limited partnerships, which are organized by us, to accept commitments and/or funds for investment from institutional investors and high net worth individuals. Each investment fund that is a limited partnership, or "partnership" fund, has a general partner that is responsible for the management and operation of the fund's affairs and makes all policy and investment decisions relating to the conduct of the investment fund's business. The limited partners of such funds take no part in the conduct or control of the business of such funds, have no right or authority to act for or bind such funds and have no influence over the voting or disposition of the securities or other assets held by such funds, although such limited partners may vote on certain partnership matters including the removal of the general partner or early liquidation of the partnership by simple majority vote, as discussed below. In the case of certain separately managed accounts advised by us, the investor, rather than us, may control the asset or the investment decisions related thereto or certain investment vehicles or entities that hold or have custody of such assets.

Each investment fund and in the case of our separately managed accounts, the client, engages an investment adviser. Carlyle Investment Management L.L.C. ("CIM") or one of its subsidiaries or affiliates serves as an investment adviser for most of our carry funds and is registered under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, as amended (the "Advisers Act"). Our investment advisers are generally entitled to a management fee from each investment fund for which they serve as investment advisers. For a discussion of the management fees to which our investment advisers are entitled across our various types of investment funds, see "—Incentive Arrangements / Fee Structure" below. Investment funds themselves typically do not register as investment companies under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the "1940 Act" or the "Investment Company Act"), in reliance on Section 3(c)(7) or Section 7(d) thereof or, typically in the case of funds formed prior to 1997, Section 3(c)(1) thereof. Section 3(c)(7) of the 1940 Act exempts from the 1940 Act's registration requirements investment funds privately placed in the United States whose

securities are owned exclusively by persons who, at the time of acquisition of such securities, are "qualified purchasers" as defined under the 1940 Act and purchase their interests in a private placement. Section 3(c)(1) of the 1940 Act exempts from the 1940 Act's registration requirements privately placed investment funds whose securities are beneficially owned by not more than 100 persons and purchase their interests in a private placement. In addition, under certain current interpretations of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"), Section 7(d) of the 1940 Act exempts from registration any non-U.S. investment fund all of whose outstanding securities are beneficially owned either by non-U.S. residents or by U.S. residents that are qualified purchasers and purchase their interests in a private placement.

The governing agreements of the vast majority of our investment funds provide that, subject to certain conditions, a majority in interest (based on capital commitments) of third-party investors in those funds have the right to remove the general partner of the fund for cause and/or to accelerate the liquidation date of the investment fund without cause. In addition, the governing agreements of many of our investment funds generally require investors in those funds to vote to continue the investment period in the event that certain "key persons" in our investment funds do not provide the specified time commitment to the fund or our firm, cease to control the general partner (or similar managing entity) or the investment adviser or cease to hold a specified percentage of the economic interests in the general partner. With limited exceptions, our carry funds, business development companies, and NGP management fee funds are closed-ended funds. In a closed-ended fund structure, once an investor makes an investment, the investor is generally not able to withdraw or redeem its interest, except in very limited circumstances. Furthermore, each limited partnership contains restrictions on an investor's ability to transfer its interest in the fund. In the open-ended funds we advise, investors are usually locked up for a period of time after which they may generally redeem their interests on a quarterly basis.

With respect to our carry funds, investors generally agree to fund their commitment over a period of time. For our private equity funds, the commitment period generally runs until the earlier of (i) the sixth anniversary of the initial closing date or the fifth anniversary of the final closing date of the fund; (ii) the date the general partner cancels such obligation due to changes in applicable laws, business conditions or when at least a significant portion (which may range between 85% and 90%) of the capital commitments to the fund have been invested, committed or reserved for investments; (iii) the date a supermajority in interest (based on capital commitments) of investors vote to terminate the commitment period; or (iv) the failure of certain key persons to devote a specified amount of time to such fund or Carlyle, to control the general partner or the investment adviser or to hold a specified percentage of the economic interests in the general partner, unless upon any of these events the investors vote to continue the investment period. Following the termination of the commitment period, an investor generally will be released from any further obligation with respect to its undrawn capital commitment except to the extent necessary to pay partnership expenses and management fees, fund outstanding borrowings and guarantees, complete investments with respect to transactions committed to prior to the end of the commitment period and make follow-on investments in existing companies. Generally, an investor's obligation to fund follow-on investments extends for a period of three years following the end of the commitment period, provided that there may be limitations on how much such investor is required to fund for such follow-on investments. In those funds where such limitations exist, they generally range from 15-20% of an investor's capital commitment.

Investors in the latest generation of our real estate funds generally commit to fund their investment for a period of four (Asia and Europe) or five (United States) years from the final closing date, provided that the general partner may unilaterally extend such expiration date for one year and may extend it for another year with the consent of a majority of the limited partners or the investment advisory committee for that fund. Investors in the latest generation of our real estate funds are also obligated to continue to make capital contributions with respect to follow-on investments and to repay indebtedness for a period of time after the original expiration date of the commitment period, as well as to fund partnership expenses and management fees during the life of the fund.

The term of each of the CPE, Real Assets and GMS carry funds generally will end 10 years from the initial closing date, or in some cases, from the final closing date, but such termination date may be earlier in certain limited circumstances or later if extended by the general partner (in many instances with the consent of a majority in interest (based on capital commitments) of the investors or the investment advisory committee) for successive one-year periods, typically up to a maximum of two years. Certain of such investment funds may have a longer initial termination date (such funds, "long-dated funds"), such as 15 years from the final closing date.

With respect to our Investment Solutions vehicles and managed accounts, the commitment period generally runs for a period of four years after the initial closing date of the vehicle. Following the termination of the commitment period, an investor in one of our Investment Solutions vehicles or managed accounts generally will only be required to fund additional amounts for partnership expenses, outstanding borrowings and guarantees, transactions in process or

committed to during the commitment period and follow-on investments in existing companies. The term of each of the funds generally will end 8 to 12 years from the initial closing date. In some cases, the termination date may be later if extended by the general partner (in many instances with the consent of a majority in interest (based on capital commitments) of the investors or the investment advisory committee) for successive up to two-year periods, potentially up to a maximum of four years or until such time as is reasonably necessary for the general partner to be able to liquidate the fund's assets.

Incentive Arrangements / Fee Structure

typically are payable quarterly in advance.

Fund Management Fees. The Partnership provides management services to funds in which it holds a general partner interest or has a management agreement. For closed-end carry funds in the CPE, Real Assets and GMS segment, management fees generally range from 1% to 2% of commitments during the investment period based on limited partners' capital commitments to the funds. Following the expiration or termination of the investment period, management fees generally are based on the lower of cost or aggregate fair value of invested capital and the rate charged may also be reduced to between 0.6% and 2.0%. For certain managed accounts and longer-dated carry funds, with expected terms greater than ten years, management fees generally range from 0.2% to 1.0% based on contributions for unrealized investments or the current value of the investment. The investment adviser will receive management fees during a specified period of time, which is generally ten years from the initial closing date, or, in some instances, from the final closing date, but such termination date may be earlier in certain limited circumstances or later if extended for successive one year periods, typically up to a maximum of two years. Depending on the contracted terms of the investment advisory or investment management and related agreements, these fees are generally called semi-annually in advance. For certain longer-dated carry funds, management fees are called quarterly over the life of the funds.

Within the GMS segment, for CLOs and other structured products, management fees generally range from 0.15% to 1.0% based on the total par amount of assets or the aggregate principal amount of the notes in the CLO and are due quarterly or semi-annually based on the terms. Management fees for the CLOs/structured products are governed by indentures and collateral management agreements. The investment advisers will receive management fees for the CLOs until redemption of the securities issued by the CLOs, which is generally five to ten years after issuance. Investment advisers of the business development companies generally receives management fees quarterly in arrears at annual rates that range from 0.25% to 1.0% of gross assets, excluding cash and cash equivalents. The investment adviser of our Investment Solutions carry funds generally receives an annual management fee that ranges from 0.25% to 1.0% of the vehicle's capital commitments during the commitment fee period of the relevant fund or the weighted-average investment period of the underlying funds. Following the expiration of the commitment fee period or weighted-average investment period of such funds, the management fees generally range from 0.25% to 1.0% on the lower of cost or fair value of the capital invested, the net asset value for unrealized investments or the contributions for unrealized investments; however certain managed accounts earn management fees at all times on

Our equity interest in NGP previously entitled us to an allocation of income equal to 47.5%, which increased to 55% in January 2015, of the management fee-related revenues of the NGP entities that serve as advisors to the NGP management fee funds.

contributions for unrealized investments. The management fees we receive from our Investment Solutions carry funds

The general partners or investment advisers to our CPE, Real Assets and GMS carry funds from time to time receive customary transaction fees upon consummation of many of our funds' acquisition transactions, receive monitoring fees from many of their portfolio companies following acquisition and may from time to time receive other fees in connection with their activities. The ongoing monitoring fees that they receive are generally calculated as a percentage of a specified financial metric of a particular portfolio company. The transaction fees which they receive are generally calculated either as a fixed amount or as a percentage (that generally ranges up to 1%, but may exceed 1% in certain circumstances) of the total enterprise value or capitalization of the investment. The management fees charged to limited partner investors in our carry funds are generally reduced by 80% to 100% of such transaction fees and certain other fees that are received by the general partners and their affiliates.

Performance Fees. The general partner of each of our carry funds also receives carried interest from the carry funds. Carried interest entitles the general partner to a special residual allocation of profit on third-party capital. In the case of our carry funds, carried interest is generally calculated on a "realized gain" basis, and each general partner is generally entitled to a carried interest equal to 20% allocation (or 10% to 20% on certain longer-dated carry funds as well as some external co-investment vehicles, or approximately 10% in the case of most of the funds recently raised of our Investment Solutions carry funds, and approximately 2% to 10% in the case of most of our more mature Investment

Solutions carry funds) of the net realized profit (generally taking into account unrealized losses) generated by third-party capital invested in such fund. Net realized profit or loss is not netted between or among funds. Our senior Carlyle professionals and other personnel who work in these operations also own interests in the general partners of our carry funds and we generally allocate 45% of any carried interest that we earn to these individuals in order to better align their interests with our own and with those of the investors in the funds. For most carry funds, the carried interest is subject to an annual preferred return of 7% to 9% (or 4% to 7% for certain longer-dated carry funds) and return of certain fund costs (generally subject to catch-up provisions as set forth in the fund limited partnership agreement) from its CPE, Real Assets and GMS carry funds. If, as a result of diminished performance of later investments in the life of a fund, the fund does not achieve investment returns that (in most cases) exceed the preferred return threshold or (in almost all cases) the general partner receives in excess of 20% (or 10% to 20% on certain longer-dated carry funds as well as some external co-investment vehicles, or approximately 2% to 10% in the case of most of our Investment

Solutions carry funds) of the net profits on third-party capital over the life of the fund, we will be obligated to repay the amount by which the carried interest that was previously distributed to us exceeds amounts to which we are ultimately entitled. This obligation, which is known as a "giveback" obligation, operates with respect to a given carry fund's own net investment performance only and is typically capped at the after-tax amount of carried interest received by the general partner. Each recipient of carried interest distributions is individually responsible for his or her proportionate share of any "giveback" obligation; however, we may guarantee the full amount of such "giveback" obligation in respect of amounts received by Carlyle and certain other amounts. With respect to the portion of any carried interest allocated to the firm, we expect to fund any "giveback" obligation from available cash. Our ability to generate carried interest is an important element of our business and carried interest has historically accounted for a significant portion of our income.

The receipt of carried interest in respect of investments of our carry funds is dictated by the terms of the partnership agreements that govern such funds, which generally allow for carried interest distributions in respect of an investment upon a realization event after satisfaction of obligations relating to the return of capital from all realized investments, any realized losses, allocable fees and expenses and the applicable annual preferred return. Carried interest is ultimately realized and distributed when: (i) an underlying investment is profitably disposed of, (ii) certain costs borne by the limited partner investors have been reimbursed, (iii) the investment fund's cumulative returns are in excess of the preferred return and (iv) we have decided to collect carry rather than return additional capital to limited partner investors. Distributions to eligible senior Carlyle professionals in respect of such carried interest are generally made shortly thereafter. Our decision to realize carry considers such factors as the level of embedded valuation gains, the portion of the fund invested, the portion of the fund returned to limited partner investors and the length of time the fund has been in carry, as well as other qualitative measures. Although Carlyle has seldom been obligated to pay a giveback obligation, such obligation, if any, in respect of previously realized carried interest, is generally determined and due upon the winding up or liquidation of a carry fund pursuant to the terms of the fund's partnership agreement, although in certain cases the giveback is calculated at prior intervals.

In addition to the carried interest from our carry funds, we are also entitled to receive incentive fees or allocations from certain of our GMS funds. Certain of our business development companies also earn incentive fees (i) quarterly based on net investment income for the prior quarter and (ii) 20% annually based on the business development company's capital gains for the year, subject to a high water mark. In these arrangements, incentive fees are recognized when the performance benchmark has been achieved based on the funds' then-current fair value and are included in performance fees in our consolidated statements of operations. These incentive fees are a component of performance fees in our consolidated financial statements and are treated as accrued until paid to us.

With respect to our arrangements with NGP, we have acquired future interests in the general partners of certain future funds advised by NGP that will entitle us to an allocation of income equal to 47.5% of the carried interest received by such fund general partners. In addition, we also exercised our option to purchase interests in the general partner of the NGP X fund, which entitles us to an allocation of income equal to 40% of the carried interest received by NGP X's general partner.

Under our arrangements with the historical owners and management team of AlpInvest, we generally do not retain any carried interest in respect of the historical investments and commitments to our fund of funds vehicles that existed as of July 1, 2011 (including any options to increase any such commitments exercised after such date). We are entitled to 15% of the carried interest in respect of commitments from the historical owners of AlpInvest for the period between 2011 and 2020 and 40% of the carried interest in respect of all other commitments (including all future commitments from third parties).

Under our arrangements with the historical owners and management team of Metropolitan, the management team and employees are allocated all carried interest in respect of the historical investments and commitments to the fund vehicles that have had a final closing on or prior to July 31, 2013, and 45% of the carried interest in respect of all other commitments.

As noted above, in connection with raising new funds or securing additional investments in existing funds, we negotiate terms for such funds and investments with existing and potential investors. The outcome of such

negotiations could result in our agreement to terms that are materially less favorable to us than for prior funds we have advised or funds advised by our competitors. See "Item 1A. Risk Factors — Risks Related to Our Business Operations — Our investors in future funds may negotiate to pay us lower management fees and the economic terms of our future funds may be less favorable to us than those of our existing funds, which could adversely affect our revenues." Capital Invested in and Alongside Our Investment Funds

To further align our interests with those of investors in our investment funds, we have invested our own capital and that of our senior Carlyle professionals in and alongside the investment funds we sponsor and advise. Carlyle generally expects to commit to fund approximately 1% of the capital commitments to our future CPE, Real Assets and GMS carry funds. We also intend to make investments in our Investment Solutions carry funds, our open-end funds and our CLO vehicles. In addition,

certain qualified Carlyle professionals and other qualified individuals (including certain individuals who may not be employees of the firm but who have pre-existing business relationships with Carlyle or industry expertise in the sector in which a particular investment fund may be investing) are permitted, subject to certain restrictions, to invest alongside the investment funds we sponsor and advise. Fees assessed or profit allocations on such investments by such persons may be eliminated or substantially reduced.

Minimum general partner capital commitments to our investment funds are determined separately with respect to each investment fund. We may, from time to time, exercise our right to purchase additional interests in our investment funds that become available in the ordinary course of their operations. See "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations— Liquidity and Capital Resources" for more information regarding our minimum general partner capital commitments to our funds. Our general partner capital commitments are funded with cash and not with carried interest or through a management fee waiver program.

Carlyle and its eligible employees and officers generally have the right to co-invest with most of the CPE and Real Asset and GMS carry funds on a deal-by-deal basis, typically in an amount up to 5% of the investment opportunity (on top of our base commitment).

Corporate Citizenship

We are committed to the principle that building a better business means investing responsibly. In September 2008, Carlyle developed a set of responsible investment guidelines that consider the environmental, social and governance implications of certain investments we make. These guidelines were integral to shaping the corporate social responsibility guidelines later adopted by the members of the American Investment Council (formerly known as the Private Equity Growth Capital Council). We use the principles in these guidelines to inform our investment decision-making process for controlling, corporate investments.

We also have worked to develop internal expertise in sustainability to support deal teams and portfolio companies. We provide sustainability resources from workshops to pre-screened vendors that provide sustainability services to individualized support from our Chief Sustainability Officer. We are a member of Businesses for Social Responsibility, a global nonprofit business network dedicated to sustainability. We also educate portfolio companies in which we have a controlling interest on the guidelines for responsible investment and encourage them to review the guidelines at the board level on an annual basis. We issue an annual Citizenship Report that highlights cases where strengthening environmental and social performance helps to create value by supporting core business imperatives. We most often see sustainability efforts adding value in four areas: customer satisfaction, brand equity, operational efficiency and cost savings and workforce.

We are a member of the British Venture Capital Association and seek to ensure that our U.K.-based portfolio companies are compliant, on a voluntary basis, with the Private Equity Reporting Group Guidelines for Disclosure and Transparency when such companies become subject to these guidelines. Further, we are also a member of the Bundesverband Deutscher Kapitalbeteiligungsgesellschaften (BVK), the German private equity and venture capital trade association. We believe that we are compliant with the BVK Guidelines for Disclosure and Transparency and seek to ensure that our German portfolio companies comply with these guidelines when they are required to do so. AlpInvest is a signatory of the Principles for Responsible Investment and has adopted the UN Global Compact as a corporate social responsibility (CSR) framework to evaluate fund managers and portfolio companies. AlpInvest has fully integrated CSR into its investment process and actively engages with fund managers and other stakeholders in the private equity markets to promote sustainability and improved corporate governance as an investment consideration.

Carlyle is a leader on a new private equity fee reporting initiative released by the Institutional Limited Partners Association (ILPA) in January 2016. We were among the first private equity general partners to endorse and support the new investor reporting guidelines and are working with ILPA to roll out and implement the initiative. Under development for more than a year, the fee reporting template provides a streamlined roadmap for investor reporting. The template has received broad-based investor support from nearly 50 limited partners around the world. Since Carlyle was established, our founders have recognized the value and benefits of maintaining a business model grounded in investment fundamentals, strong governance and transparency. We maintain strong internal corporate

governance processes and fiduciary functions and are subject to regulatory supervision. Carlyle professionals receive regular and targeted training on many issues related to corporate governance and compliance, such as anti-corruption, conflicts of interest, economic sanctions and anti-money laundering. All employees annually certify to their understanding of and compliance with key global Carlyle policies and procedures.

At Carlyle, we believe that diverse teams and experiences bring tremendous value to our firm. We are committed to growing and cultivating an environment that fosters diversity in gender, race, ethnicity, sexual orientation, disability, religion and age, as well as cultural backgrounds and ideas.

In 2013, Carlyle established our Diversity & Inclusion Council, which we believe is the first of its kind in our industry. The mission of the 20 leaders of the Council was and still is twofold - to better enable Carlyle to hire the most talented professionals in the world, as well as fostering an environment of inclusiveness for diversity in all forms that will enhance our collaborative One Carlyle culture.

Global Information Technology and Solutions

Global Information Technology and Solutions, which we refer to as GTS, is essential for Carlyle to conduct investment activities, manage internal administration activities and connect a global enterprise. As part of our GTS strategy and governance processes, we develop and routinely refine our technology architecture to leverage solutions that will best serve the needs of our investors. Our systems, data, network and infrastructure are continuously monitored and administered by formal controls and risk management processes that also help protect the data and privacy of our employees and investors. Our business continuity plan is designed to allow all critical business functions to continue in an orderly manner in the event of an emergency.

Competition

As a global alternative asset manager, we compete with a broad array of regional and global investment firms, as well as global banking institutions and other types of financial institutions, for both investors and investment opportunities. Generally, our competition varies across business lines, geographies, distribution channels and financial markets. We believe that our competition for investors is based primarily on investment performance, business relationships, the quality of services provided to investors, reputation and brand recognition, pricing and the relative attractiveness of the particular opportunity in which a particular fund intends to invest. To stay competitive, we believe it is also important to be able to offer fund investors a customized suite of investment products which enable them to tailor their investments across alternatives in private equity and real estate. We believe that competition for investment opportunities varies across business lines, but is generally based on industry expertise and potential for value-add, pricing, terms and the structure of a proposed investment and certainty of execution.

We generally compete with sponsors of public and private investment funds across all of our segments. Within our CPE segment, we also compete with business development companies, sovereign wealth funds and operating companies acting as strategic acquirers. In our GMS segment, we compete with private credit strategies, business development companies, distressed debt funds, mezzanine funds and other CLO issuers. In our Real Assets segment, we compete with real estate development companies and other infrastructure investment business. In our Investment Solutions segment, we generally compete with other fund of funds managers and/or with advisers that are turning their business models towards discretionary investment advisory services. In the United States, the new government administration may propose changes to financial regulation that could increase competition from banks and non-bank institutions in certain of our business segments.

In addition to these traditional competitors within the global alternative asset management industry, we have increasingly faced competition from local and regional firms, financial institutions, sovereign wealth funds, family offices and agencies and instrumentalities of governments in the various countries in which we invest. This trend has been especially apparent in emerging markets, where local firms tend to have more established relationships with the companies in which we are attempting to invest. In addition, large institutional investors and sovereign wealth funds have begun to develop their own in-house investment capabilities and may compete against us for investment opportunities. Furthermore, in some cases, large institutional investors have reduced allocations to "fund of funds" vehicles and turned instead to private equity advisory firms that assist with direct investments. Greater reliance on advisory firms or in-house investment management may reduce fund of funds' appeal to large institutional investors. As we continue to target high net worth investors, we also face competition from mutual funds and alternative asset management firms that have competing products.

Some of the entities that we compete with as an alternative asset manager are substantially larger and have greater financial, technical, marketing and other resources and more personnel than we do. Several of our competitors also have recently raised or are expected to raise, significant amounts of capital and many of them have investment objectives similar to ours, which may create additional competition for investment opportunities and investor capital. Some of these competitors may also have a lower cost of capital and access to funding sources that are not available to us, which may create competitive disadvantages for us when sourcing investment opportunities. In addition, some of these competitors may have higher risk tolerances, different risk assessments or lower return thresholds, which could allow them to consider a wider range of investments and to bid more aggressively than us for investments. Strategic buyers may also be able to achieve synergistic cost

savings or revenue enhancements with respect to a targeted portfolio company, which we may not be able to achieve through our own portfolio, and this may provide them with a competitive advantage in bidding for such investments.

Employees

We believe that one of the strengths and principal reasons for our success is the quality and dedication of our people. As of December 31, 2016, we employed more than 1,600 individuals, including 660 investment professionals, located in 35 offices across six continents.

Operating Executives

Supplementing Carlyle's investment expertise, we have retained a group of 30 senior business executives who have an average of more than 30 years of experience to help Carlyle invest wisely and create value across a range of industries. These operating executives are former CEOs and other high-level executives of some of the world's most successful corporations and currently sit on the boards of directors of a diverse mix of companies. Operating executives are independent consultants and are not Carlyle employees. Operating executives are engaged by Carlyle primarily to assist with deal sourcing, due diligence and market intelligence. Carlyle typically retains these operating executives and bears the cost of such retainer fees. Operating executives may also be engaged by, and compensated by, our portfolio companies as directors or to otherwise advise portfolio company management.

Regulatory and Compliance Matters

United States

Our businesses, as well as the financial services industry generally, are subject to extensive regulation in the United States and elsewhere. The SEC, Commodity Futures Trading Commission (the "CFTC") and other regulators around the globe have in recent years significantly increased their regulatory activities with respect to alternative asset management firms.

Certain of our subsidiaries are registered as investment advisers with the SEC. Registered investment advisers are subject to the requirements and regulations of the Advisers Act. Such requirements relate to, among other things, fiduciary duties to advisory clients, maintaining an effective compliance program, solicitation agreements, conflicts of interest, recordkeeping and reporting requirements, disclosure requirements, limitations on agency cross and principal transactions between an adviser and advisory clients and general anti-fraud prohibitions. In addition, our registered investment advisers are subject to routine periodic and other examinations by the staff of the SEC. In accordance with our efforts to enhance our compliance program and in response to recommendations received from the SEC in the course of routine examinations, certain additional policies and procedures have been put into place, but no material changes to our registered investment advisers' operations have been made as a result of such examinations. Our registered investment advisers also have not been subject to any regulatory or disciplinary actions by the SEC. Finally, certain of our investment advisers are subject to limited SEC disclosure requirements as "exempt reporting advisers." TCG Securities, L.L.C. ("TCG Securities"), the affiliate entity through which we conduct U.S.-based marketing and fundraising activities and house our anti-money laundering compliance function, is registered as a limited purpose broker/dealer with the SEC, is a member of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority ("FINRA") and is also registered as a broker/dealer in all 50 states, the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands. Additionally, TCG Securities operates under the international broker/dealer exemption in the Canadian provinces of Alberta, British Columbia, Ontario and Quebec. TCG Securities acts as a placement agent, on a best efforts basis, for interests in private funds, as well as interests in special purpose vehicles, specifically debt and equity tranches of and collateralized loan obligations for which TCG Securities' affiliates serve as collateral manager. Additionally, FINRA, a self-regulatory organization that is subject to SEC oversight, maintains regulatory authority over all securities firms doing business with the public in the United States (including our broker/dealer), adopts and enforces rules governing the activities of its member firms and conducts cycle examinations and targeted sweep inquiries on issues of immediate concern, among other roles and responsibilities. Our broker/dealer is subject to routine periodic and other examinations by the staff of FINRA. No material changes to our broker/dealer's operations

have been made as a result of such examination.

Broker/dealers are subject to rules relating to transactions on a particular exchange and/or market, and rules relating to the internal operations of the firms and their dealings with customers including, but not limited to the form or organization of the firm, qualifications of associated persons, officers and directors, net capital and customer protection rules, books and records and financial statements and reporting. In particular, as a result of its registered status, TCG Securities is subject to the SEC's uniform net capital rule, Rule 15c3-1 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), which specifies both the minimum level of net capital a broker/dealer must maintain relative to the scope of its business activities and net capital liquidity parameters. The SEC and FINRA require compliance with key financial responsibility rules,

including maintenance of adequate funds to meet expenses and contractual obligations, as well as early warning rules that compel notice to the regulators via accelerated financial reporting anytime a firm's capital falls below the minimum required level. The uniform net capital rule limits the amount of qualifying subordinated debt that is treated as equity to a specific percentage under the debt-to-equity ratio test, and further limits the withdrawal of equity capital, which is subject to specific notice provisions. Finally, compliance with net capital rules may also limit a firm's ability to expand its operations, particularly to those activities that require the use of capital. To date, TCG Securities has not had any capital adequacy issues and is currently capitalized in excess of the minimum maintenance amount required by regulators.

In 2013, we launched two business development companies which entities are subject to all relevant provisions under the 1940 Act as investment companies. In 2015, we formed a wholly-owned subsidiary of one of the business development companies, which operates as a collateralized loan obligation issuer.

In 2011, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act amended the Commodity Exchange Act to expand the CFTC's regulatory jurisdiction with respect to certain derivative instruments, including swaps. In 2012, the CFTC rescinded an exemption from CFTC registration traditionally relied upon by private fund managers, narrowed an exception related to registered investment companies and amended related rules and guidance. As a result of these changes, managers of certain pooled investment vehicles with exposure in commodity interests now may be required to register with the CFTC as commodity pool operators ("CPOs") and/or commodity trading advisors ("CTAs") and become members of the National Futures Association (the "NFA"). As such, certain of our or our subsidiaries' risk management or other commodities interest-related activities may be subject to CFTC oversight. Consequently, certain CFTC rules expose alternative asset managers, such as us, to increased registration and reporting requirements in connection with transactions in futures, swaps and other derivatives regulated by the CFTC. Consequently, each of CGMSIM and Carlyle Commodity Management, L.L.C. ("CCM") is a NFA member and is registered with the CFTC as a CPO and/or CTA. In addition, certain Carlyle personnel are registered with the CFTC as Principals of certain of these entities. These regulations have required us to reassess certain business practices related to our pooled vehicles, consider registration of additional entities with the CFTC or file for additional exemptions from such registration requirements. In addition, as a result of their commodities interest-related activities, certain of our entities also may be subject to a wide range of other regulatory requirements, such as:

potential compliance with certain commodities interest position limits or position accountability rules; administrative requirements, including recordkeeping, confirmation of transactions and reconciliation of trade data; and

mandatory central clearing and collateral requirements.

In addition, many Carlyle vehicles are subject to the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") Foreign Account Tax Compliance Act ("FATCA") tax regulations intended to address tax compliance issues associated with U.S. taxpayers with foreign accounts. FATCA requires "foreign financial institutions" to report to the IRS information about financial accounts held by U.S. taxpayers and imposes due diligence, withholding, documentation and reporting requirements on such entities. In many instances, however, the precise nature of the FATCA-related requirements to which Carlyle is subject is governed by bilateral Intergovernmental Agreements ("IGAs") between the United States and the countries in which Carlyle does business. Among other things, FATCA could subject investors within certain Carlyle funds to additional tax withholding.

United Kingdom and the European Union

CECP Advisors LLP ("CECP"), one of our subsidiaries in the United Kingdom, is authorized and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority (the "FCA"). CECP operates in accordance with the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 (the "FSMA"), which is the United Kingdom's implementing legislation for the European Markets in Financial Instruments Directive ("MiFID"). CECP has permission to engage in a number of corporate finance activities regulated under the FSMA, including advising on, and arranging deals in relation to certain types of, investments. CECP is only permitted to carry out these activities in relation to eligible counterparties and professional clients. CECP has registered a branch office in Ireland in connection with Carlyle's investment activities in that country. CELF Advisors

LLP ("CELF"), another one of our subsidiaries in the United Kingdom, is also authorized and regulated by the FCA under the FSMA and has permission to engage in a number of activities regulated under the FSMA, including making arrangements with a view to transactions in investments, advising on, managing and arranging deals in relation to certain types of investments, dealing in investments as agent and arranging safeguarding and administration of assets. CELF is only permitted to carry out these activities in relation to eligible counterparties and professional clients. The FSMA and related rules govern most aspects of investment businesses, including sales, research and trading practices, provision of investment advice, corporate finance, use and safekeeping of client funds and securities, record keeping, margin practices and procedures, approval standards for individuals, anti-money laundering, periodic reporting and settlement procedures.

The FSMA says that any firm or individual which carries out a regulated activity in the United Kingdom must be authorized or regulated by the FCA, unless they are exempt. The FCA is responsible monitoring regulated entities' compliance with the FSMA. Violations of these requirements may result in censures, fines, imposition of additional requirements, injunctions, restitution orders, revocation or modification of permissions or registrations, the suspension or expulsion from certain "controlled functions" within the financial services industry of officers or employees performing such functions or other similar consequences.

Similar to the United States, jurisdictions outside the United States in which we operate, in particular Europe, have become subject to extensive further regulation. Governmental regulators and other authorities in Europe have proposed or implemented a number of initiatives and additional rules and regulations that could adversely affect our business. Certain of our subsidiaries are subject to compliance requirements in connection with the Alternative Investment Fund Managers Directive (the "AIFMD"), which generally became effective in countries across the European Economic Area (the "EEA") in 2014. The AIFMD imposes significant regulatory requirements on alternative investment fund managers operating or marketing funds to investors within the EEA, as well as prescribing certain conditions with regard to regulatory standards, cooperation and transparency that must be satisfied for non-EEA fund managers to market or manage alternative investment funds into EEA jurisdictions. Authorization under the AIFMD is currently available only to EEA fund managers. One of Carlyle's subsidiaries, AlpInvest, obtained such authorization in 2015. As such, AlpInvest is now a licensed as an alternative investment fund manager under the AIFMD by the Authority for Financial Markets in the Netherlands (the "AFM"). AlpInvest is also licensed by the AFM to provide investment management services under the Markets in Financial Instruments Directive. Carlyle's other subsidiaries that manage or market alternative investment funds in the EEA currently do so in accordance with the national private placement regimes of the various EEA jurisdictions. Compliance with applicable AIFMD requirements may restrict Carlyle's fund marketing strategy and will place additional compliance obligations in the form of remuneration policies, capital requirements, reporting requirements, leverage oversight and liquidity management. In 2017, we anticipate registering a newly-formed European investment manager to take advantage of the European marketing passport available to it under the AIFMD.

Additionally, certain of our subsidiaries are subject to various aspects of the European Market Infrastructure Regulation ("EMIR"). Among other things, EMIR imposes a set of requirements on European Union derivatives activities, including risk mitigation, risk management, regulatory reporting and margin and clearing requirements. Given the global scale of the derivatives activity of various Carlyle entities, the various regulatory regimes to which Carlyle is subject could result in duplication of administration and increased transaction costs related to such derivatives activities.

As outlined above, certain of our European subsidiaries must comply with the pan-European regime established by MiFID, which regulates the provision of investment services and conduct of investment activities throughout the EEA. MiFID sets out detailed requirements governing the organization and conduct of business of investment firms and regulated markets. It also includes pre- and post-trade transparency requirements for equity markets and extensive transaction reporting requirements. A review of MiFID by the European regulators has led to the creation of a replacement directive and a new draft regulation (together "MiFID II"). Initially scheduled to come into effect in 2017, the European Commission delayed MiFID II's effective date to January 2018. When effective, MiFID II will enhance numerous aspects of MiFID and will impose many new compliance requirements on CECP and CELF, including in relation to transaction reporting, best execution-product governance and disclosure.

Other Jurisdictions

Certain of our subsidiaries are subject to registration and compliance with laws and regulations of non-U.S. governments, their respective agencies and/or various self-regulatory organizations or exchanges relating to, among other things, investment advisory services and the marketing of investment products, and any failure to comply with these regulations could expose us to liability and/or damage our reputation. Certain of our private funds are also required to comply with the trading and disclosure rules and regulations of non-U.S. securities regulators. The Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (the "OECD") has developed Common Reporting Standard ("CRS") rules for the automatic exchange of FATCA-like financial account information amongst OECD

member states. Like FATCA, CRS imposes certain due diligence, documentation and reporting requirements on various Carlyle entities. While CRS does not contain a potential withholding requirement, non-compliance could subject Carlyle to certain reputational harm.

Carlyle Hong Kong Equity Management Limited is licensed by the Hong Kong Securities and Futures Commission to carry on Type 1 (dealing in securities) regulated activity in respect of professional investors.

Carlyle Japan Asset Management YK is registered as an investment adviser with the Japan Financial Services Agency.

Carlyle Mauritius Investment Advisor Limited and Carlyle Mauritius CIS Investment Management Limited are licensed providers of investment management services in the Republic of Mauritius and are subject to applicable Mauritian securities laws and the oversight of the Financial Services Commission. In addition, Carlyle Mauritius Investment Advisor Limited holds a "Foreign Institutional Investor" license from the Securities and Exchange Board of India, which entitles this entity to engage in limited activities in India.

Carlyle Australia Equity Management Pty Limited is licensed by the Australian Securities and Investments Commission as an Australian financial services licensee and is authorized to carry on a financial services business to provide advice on and deal in financial products (managed investment schemes and securities) for wholesale clients. Carlyle MENA Investment Advisors Limited, a company limited by shares in the Dubai Financial Centre, holds a Category 3C license issued by the Dubai Financial Services Authority and is authorized to arrange credit or deal in investments, advise on financial products or credit and manage collective investment funds.

Carlyle Real Estate SGR S.p.A. holds an authorization from the Bank of Italy to carry on fund management and real estate activities.

Carlyle Singapore Investment Advisors Pte Limited holds a capital markets license and an exempt financial adviser status with the Monetary Authority of Singapore to carry on fund management and dealing in securities activities in respect of institutional and accredited investors.

Carlyle South Africa Advisors (Proprietary) Limited, a limited company incorporated in the Republic of South Africa, is licensed as a Category 1 Authorised Financial Services Provider under the Financial Advisory and Intermediary Services Act (No. 37 of 2002) and is thereby regulated by the Financial Services Board in South Africa. Carlyle GMS Asia Limited is licensed by the Hong Kong Securities and Futures Commission to carry on Type 9 (asset management) regulated activity in respect of asset management activities to professional investors. AlpInvest Partners Limited is licensed by the Hong Kong Securities and Futures Commission to carry on Type 1 (dealing in securities) regulated activity in respect of professional investors.

In connection with the wind down of its operations, DGAM has applied to the Ontario Securities Commission to surrender its investment fund manager and portfolio manager licenses, but it will retain its exempt market dealer license to facilitate certain Carlyle fund marketing activities in Canada.

TCG Gestor is licensed by the Securities & Exchange Commission of Brazil as an investment adviser. AlpInvest is registered as a cross-border discretionary investment management company with the Financial Supervisory Service of South Korea.

In addition, we and/or our affiliates and subsidiaries may become subject to additional regulatory demands in the future to the extent we expand our investment advisory business in existing and new jurisdictions. There are also a number of pending or recently enacted legislative and regulatory initiatives in the United States and around the world that could significantly impact our business. See "Item 1A. Risk Factors-Risks Related to our Company- Extensive regulation in the United States and abroad affects our activities, increases the cost of doing business and creates the potential for significant liabilities and penalties," "-Regulatory changes in the United States could adversely affect our business and the possibility of increased regulatory focus could result in additional burdens and expenses on our business" and "-Regulatory initiatives in jurisdictions outside the United States could adversely affect our business." Our businesses have operated for many years within a framework that requires our being able to monitor and comply with a broad range of legal and regulatory developments that affect our activities and we take our obligation to comply with all such laws, regulations and internal policies seriously. Our reputation depends on the integrity and business judgment of our employees and we strive to maintain a culture of compliance throughout the firm. We have developed, and adhere to, compliance policies and procedures such as codes of conduct, compliance systems, education and communication of compliance matters. These policies focus on matters such as insider trading, anti-corruption, document retention, conflicts of interest and other matters. Our legal and compliance team monitors our compliance with all of the legal and regulatory requirements to which we are subject and manages our compliance policies and procedures. Our legal and compliance team also monitors the information barriers that we maintain to restrict the flow of confidential information, including material, nonpublic information, across our business. Our enterprise risk management function analyzes our operations and investment strategies to identify key risks facing the

firm and works closely with the legal and compliance team to address them. The firm

also has an independent and objective internal audit department that employs a risk-based audit approach that focuses on Sarbanes-Oxley compliance, enterprise risk management functions and other areas of perceived risk and aims to give management and the board of directors of our general partner reasonable assurance that our risks are well managed and controls are appropriate and effective.

Website and Availability of SEC Filings

Our website address is www.carlyle.com. We make available free of charge on our website or provide a link on our website to our Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and Current Reports on Form 8-K, and any amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, as soon as reasonably practicable after those reports are electronically filed with, or furnished to, the SEC. To access these filings, go to the "Financial Information" portion of our "Public Investors" page on our website, and then click on "SEC Filings." You may also read and copy any document we file at the SEC's public reference room located at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20549. Call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 for further information on the public reference room. In addition, the reports and other documents we file with the SEC are available at a website maintained by the SEC at www.sec.gov.

We use our website (www.carlyle.com), our corporate Facebook page

(https://www.facebook.com/The-Carlyle-Group-103519702981/) and our corporate Twitter account (@OneCarlyle) as channels of distribution of material company information. For example, financial and other material information regarding our company is routinely posted on and accessible at www.carlyle.com. Accordingly, investors should monitor these channels, in addition to following our press releases, SEC filings and public conference calls and webcasts. In addition, you may automatically receive email alerts and other information about Carlyle when you enroll your email address by visiting the "Email Alert Subscription" section at http://ir.carlyle.com/alerts.cfm. The contents of our website and social media channels are not, however, a part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K and are not incorporated by reference herein.

The Carlyle Group L.P. was formed in Delaware on July 18, 2011. Our principal executive offices are located at 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20004-2505.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Risks Related to Our Company

Adverse economic and market conditions could negatively impact our business in many ways, including by reducing the value or performance of the investments made by our investment funds and reducing the ability of our investment funds to raise capital, any of which could materially reduce our revenue, earnings and cash flow and adversely affect our financial prospects and condition.

Our business is materially affected by conditions in the global financial markets and economic conditions or events throughout the world that are outside of our control, including, but not limited to, changes in interest rates, availability of credit, inflation rates, economic uncertainty, slowdown in global growth, changes in laws (including laws relating to taxation and regulations on the financial industry), disease, trade barriers, commodity prices, currency exchange rates and controls and national and international political circumstances (including wars, terrorist acts or security operations). These factors may affect the level and volatility of securities prices and the liquidity and the value of investments, and we may not be able to or may choose not to manage our exposure to these market conditions and/or other events. In the event of a market downturn, each of our businesses could be affected in different ways. The results of the recent U.S. Presidential election and "Brexit" referendum in the United Kingdom have created a climate of heightened uncertainty and have introduced new and difficult-to-quantify macroeconomic and political risks with potentially far-reaching implications. There has been a corresponding meaningful increase in the uncertainty surrounding interest rates, inflation, foreign exchange rates, trade volumes and fiscal and monetary policy. At the same time, the premiums embedded in corporate asset prices to compensate investors for bearing these risks have generally declined. This has also resulted in further uncertainty as it relates to the likely evolution of the global economy. To the extent that uncertainty in the market prompts sellers to readjust their valuations, attractive investment opportunities may become available. However, any reduction in the availability of credit financing that

could result from market uncertainty could impact our ability to consummate larger transactions.

Changes in and uncertainty surrounding interest rates may have a material effect on our business, in particular as it relates to the cost and availability of financing for significant acquisition and disposition transactions and the resulting effects on our investment and fundraising cycles. In the United States, interest rates have risen by 40 to 70 basis points since the U.S.

presidential election in November 2016. The increase in base interest rates (Treasury and swaps) has not yet materially increased the interest rates on speculative grade debt because credit spreads have tightened. However, if credit markets weaken, it is possible that we and our investment funds may not be able to consummate significant acquisition and disposition transactions on acceptable terms or at all if we or our funds are unable to finance these types of transactions on attractive terms or if the counterparty to the transaction is unable to secure suitable financing. If there is a general slowdown in global merger and acquisition activity due to the lack of availability of suitable financing, this could cause a slowdown in our investment pace, which in turn could have an adverse impact on our ability to generate future performance fees and to fully invest the available capital in our funds and reduce opportunities to exit and realize value from our fund investments. A slowdown in the deployment of our available capital could also adversely affect our ability to raise and the timing of raising successor investment funds. In 2016, we invested approximately \$18 billion through our carry funds and we have committed approximately \$3 billion to new investments that we expect will close in the first half of 2017.

During periods of difficult market conditions or slowdowns (which may occur across one or more industries or geographies), our funds' portfolio companies may experience adverse operating performance, decreased revenues, financial losses, credit rating downgrades, difficulty in obtaining access to financing and increased funding costs. Negative financial results in our funds' portfolio companies may result in less appreciation across the portfolio and lower returns in our funds, which could materially and adversely affect our ability to raise new funds as well as our operating results and cash flow. During such periods of weakness, our funds' portfolio companies may also have difficulty expanding their businesses and operations or meeting their debt service obligations or other expenses as they become due, including expenses payable to us. Furthermore, such negative market conditions could potentially result in a portfolio company entering bankruptcy proceedings, or in the case of certain real estate funds, the abandonment or foreclosure of investments, thereby potentially resulting in a complete loss of the fund's investment in such portfolio company or real assets and a significant negative impact to the fund's performance and consequently our operating results and cash flow, as well as to our reputation. In addition, negative market conditions would also increase the risk of default with respect to investments held by our funds that have significant debt investments, such as our GMS funds.

Finally, during periods of difficult market conditions or slowdowns, our fund investment performance could suffer, resulting in, for example, the payment of less or no performance fees to us or the creation of the obligation to repay performance fees previously received by us. The payment of less or no performance fees could cause our cash flow from operations to significantly decrease, which could materially and adversely affect our liquidity position and the amount of cash we have on hand to conduct our operations and to distribute to our unitholders. The generation of less performance fees could also impact our leverage ratios and compliance with our term loan covenants. Having less cash on hand could in turn require us to rely on other sources of cash (such as the capital markets, which may not be available to us on acceptable terms or at all) to conduct our operations, which include, for example, funding significant general partner and co-investment commitments to our carry funds. Furthermore, during adverse economic and market conditions, we might not be able to renew or refinance all or part of our credit facility or find alternate financing on commercially reasonable terms. As a result, our uses of cash may exceed our sources of cash, thereby potentially affecting our liquidity position.

Changes in the debt financing markets could negatively impact the ability of certain of our funds and their portfolio companies to obtain attractive financing or re-financing and could increase the cost of such financing if it is obtained, which could lead to lower-yielding investments and could potentially decrease our net income.

A significant contraction in the market for debt financing, such as the contraction that occurred in 2008 and 2009, or other adverse change relating to the terms of debt financing, including higher interest rates and equity requirements and more restrictive covenants, could have a material adverse impact on our business and that of our investment funds and their portfolio companies. Regulatory changes that constrain banks' ability to provide debt financing also could have a material adverse impact on our business and that of our investment funds and their portfolio companies. If our funds are unable to obtain committed debt financing for potential acquisitions or are only able to obtain debt financing

at unfavorable interest rates or on unfavorable terms, our funds may have difficulty completing acquisitions that may have otherwise been profitable or if completed, such acquisitions could generate lower than expected profits, both of which could lead to a decrease in our net income. Starting in the third quarter of 2015 and continuing through the first quarter of 2016, we experienced a period of elevated pricing for, and more limited access to, the high yield debt used in many of our buyout transactions. During that period, it was widely reported that the banks that committed to finance certain debt related to a large acquisition by one of our investment funds were unable to syndicate the debt because credit markets weakened and investor demand for financing waned. Due to unfavorable market conditions, our investment funds paid higher interest rates than originally anticipated, though the total amount of debt required for the transaction was reduced.

Our funds' portfolio companies also regularly utilize the corporate debt markets to obtain financing for their operations. Starting in the second quarter of 2016 and continuing into early 2017, credit has generally remained available on acceptable terms. However, it is possible that future tightening in the credit markets could render debt financing difficult to obtain, less attractive or more expensive, which may negatively impact the operating performance of our portfolio companies

who use debt to fund certain of their operations. This may result in a negative impact on the investment returns of our funds. In addition, if market conditions make it difficult or impossible to refinance debt that is maturing in the near term, some of our portfolio companies' operations may be negatively impacted or our portfolio companies may be unable to repay their debt at maturity and may be forced to sell assets, undergo a recapitalization or seek bankruptcy protection.

Our use of leverage and earn-out payments may expose us to substantial risks.

We use indebtedness as a means to finance our business operations, which exposes us to the risks associated with using leverage. We are dependent on financial institutions extending credit to us on reasonable terms to finance our business. There is no guarantee that such institutions will continue to extend credit to us or will renew the existing credit agreements we have with them, or that we will be able to refinance our outstanding notes or other obligations when they mature. In addition, the incurrence of additional debt in the future could result in downgrades of our existing corporate credit ratings, which could limit the availability of future financing and/or increase our cost of borrowing. As borrowings under our credit facility or any other indebtedness mature, we may be required to either refinance them by entering into a new facility, which could result in higher borrowing costs, issuing additional debt or issuing additional equity, which would dilute existing unitholders. We could also repay them by using cash on hand, cash provided by our continuing operations or cash from the sale of our assets, which could reduce distributions to our unitholders. We could have difficulty entering into new facilities or issuing debt or equity securities in the future on attractive terms, or at all.

From time to time we may access the capital markets by issuing debt securities. For example, in January 2013, we issued \$500 million aggregate principal amount of ten-year senior notes at a rate of 3.875%. In March 2013, we issued \$400 million aggregate principal amount of thirty-year senior notes at a rate of 5.625% and in March 2014, we issued an additional \$200 million aggregate principal amount of thirty-year senior notes at a rate of 5.625%. We also have a credit facility that provides for a term loan (of which \$25.0 million was outstanding as of December 31, 2016) and revolving credit borrowings that has a final maturity date of May 5, 2020. The credit facility contains financial and non-financial covenants with which we need to comply to maintain access to this source of liquidity. Non-compliance with any of the financial or non-financial covenants without cure or waiver would constitute an event of default, and an event of default resulting from a breach of certain financial or non-financial covenants could result, at the option of the lenders, in an acceleration of the principal and interest outstanding, and a termination of the revolving credit facility. In addition, to the extent we incur additional debt relative to our current level of earnings or experience a decrease in our level of earnings, our credit rating could be adversely impacted, which would increase our interest expense under our credit facility. In November 2016, Fitch downgraded our Long Term Issuer Default Rating from "A-" to "BBB+" based primarily on its assessment of our leverage ratio (measured as Debt/FEBITDA as formulated by Fitch) as compared to our peers and its belief that our leverage could increase meaningfully in 2017 before, in Fitch's view, potentially improving in 2018 and 2019. In October 2016, Standard & Poor's revised its outlook of the firm to negative from stable.

In addition, as part of the consideration for several of the new businesses we have acquired, we expect to incur future expenses related to these acquisitions including amortization of acquired intangibles, cash- and equity-based earn-out payments and fair value adjustments on contingent consideration issued. For example, we have used earn-out payments in several acquisitions to better align the interests of the managers of the acquired businesses with our interests. We may have earn-out payments due over the next several years in connection with our strategic investment in NGP and acquisition of Metropolitan. See Notes 6 and 9 to our consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for additional information regarding these earn-out payments. If these acquisitions do not perform as anticipated, we may not be required to fund these earn-out payments. However, to the extent the performance of an acquisition is significantly below plan, it may be an indication that any goodwill or acquired intangible assets from the acquisition is impaired. An impairment of intangible assets or goodwill would be recognized as an expense on our income statement. For example, we recognized impairment charges totaling approximately \$220 million during 2015 related to the impairment of certain acquired intangible assets and goodwill.

See "Risks Related to our Business—We may not be successful in expanding into new investment strategies, markets and businesses, which could adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition."

Our revenue, earnings and cash flow are variable, which makes it difficult for us to achieve steady earnings growth on a quarterly basis.

Our revenue, earnings and cash flow are variable. For example, our cash flow fluctuates because we receive carried interest from our carry funds only when investments are realized and achieve a certain preferred return. We may also experience fluctuations in our quarterly and annual results, including our revenue and net income, due to a number of other factors, including changes in the carrying values and performance of our funds' investments that can result in significant volatility in the carried interest that we have accrued (or as to which we have reversed prior accruals) from period to period, as well as changes in the amount of distributions, gains, dividends or interest paid in respect of investments, changes in our operating expenses, the degree to which we encounter competition and general economic and market conditions. The valuations of investments made by our funds could also be impacted by changes, or anticipated changes, in government policy, including policies related to tax

reform, financial services regulation, international trade, immigration, healthcare, labor, infrastructure and energy. For instance, during the 2008 and 2009 economic downturn, we recorded significant reductions in the carrying values of many of the investments of the investment funds we advise. The carrying value of fund investments, particularly the public portion of our carry fund portfolios, may be more variable during times of market volatility. As of December 31, 2016, 17% of our CPE, Real Assets and GMS carry fund portfolio was in public securities, which is a marked decrease from 26% of this portfolio that was held in public securities at December 31, 2015. In addition, transaction fees earned by our carry funds can vary from quarter-to-quarter and year-to-year depending on the nature of the investments in any given period. For example, in 2016, we earned approximately \$31 million in transaction fees from our carry funds, which was an increase of approximately \$21 million as compared to the total transaction fees we earned in 2015 of approximately \$10 million, but was still down significantly from the total transaction fees of approximately \$53 million we earned in 2014. The increase in 2016 from 2015 primarily was due to greater investment activity, primarily in our U.S. buyout funds, in 2016 as compared to 2015.

During periods in which a significant portion of our AUM is attributable to carry funds that are in the fundraising period or are in the investment period that precedes harvesting, as has been the case from time to time, we may receive substantially lower distributions. During 2017, we expect that our fee-earning assets under management will decrease as our existing funds continue to harvest investments because we will not yet have raised successor funds and activated the respective fees with which to replace the harvested assets. Moreover, even if an investment proves to be profitable, it may be several years before any profits can be realized in cash. A downturn in the equity markets also makes it more difficult to exit investments by selling equity securities at a reasonable value. If we were to have a realization event in a particular quarter, that event may have a significant impact on our quarterly results and cash flow for that particular quarter and may not be replicated in subsequent quarters. We cannot predict precisely when, or if, realizations of investments will occur, where a fund will be in its lifecycle when the realizations occur or whether a fund will realize carried interest.

We recognize revenue on investments in our investment funds based on our allocable share of realized and unrealized gains (or losses) reported by such investment funds, and a decline in realized or unrealized gains, or an increase in realized or unrealized losses, would adversely affect our revenue, which could further increase the volatility of our quarterly results and cash flow. Because our carry funds have preferred investor return thresholds that need to be met prior to us receiving any carried interest, declines in, or failures to increase sufficiently the carrying value of, the investment portfolios of a carry fund may delay or eliminate any carried interest distributions paid to us with respect to that fund. This is because the value of the assets in the fund would need to recover to their aggregate cost basis plus the preferred return over time before we would be entitled to receive any carried interest from that fund or vehicle. The timing and receipt of realized carried interest also varies with the life cycle of our carry funds and there is often a difference between the time we start accruing carried interest for financial reporting purposes and the realization and distribution of such carried interest. However, performance fees are ultimately realized when (i) an investment is profitably disposed of, (ii) certain costs borne by the limited partner investors have been reimbursed, (iii) the investment fund's cumulative net returns are in excess of the preferred return and (iv) we have decided to collect carried interest rather than return additional capital to limited partner investors. In deciding to realize carried interest we consider such factors as the level of embedded valuation gains, the portion of the fund invested, the portion of the fund returned to limited partner investors, the length of time the fund has been in carry, and other qualitative measures. When a fund enters into a position to take carried interest, we are generally entitled to a disproportionate "catch-up" level of profit allocation for a period before the amount of profit allocation to which we are entitled returns to a more normalized level. For example, during the period from late 2013 to early 2015, we benefited from "catch-up" realized carried interest on some of our largest funds, but in 2016 we did not benefit from "catch-up" realized carried interest to the extent we had in prior years. In certain circumstances, we may also need to reduce the amount of realized carried interest we receive in order to maintain a sufficient level of reserves and reduce the risk of potential future giveback obligations. Under the terms of the limited partnership agreement for CP V we are entitled to a carried interest equal to 20% of the realized profits of the fund once a preferred return threshold has been met. In 2016, we decided to take carried interest from profitable exits in this fund at a reduced rate to reserve for potential risk in the

portfolio and reduce the potential for giveback. See "— Our investors in future funds may negotiate to pay us lower management fees and the economic terms of our future funds may be less favorable to us than those of our existing funds, which could adversely affect our revenues."

Our fee revenue may also depend on the pace of investment activity in our funds. In many of our carry funds, the base management fee may be reduced when the fund has invested substantially all of its capital commitments or the aggregate fair market value of a fund's investments is below its cost. We may receive a lower management fee from such funds if there has been a decline in value or after the investing period and during the period the fund is harvesting its investments. As a result, the variable pace at which many of our carry funds invest capital and dispose of investments may cause our management fee revenue to vary from one quarter to the next. Additionally, in certain of our funds that derive management fees only on the basis of invested capital, the pace at which we make investments, the length of time we hold such investment and the timing of dispositions will directly impact our revenues.

The investment period of a fund may expire prior to the raising of a successor fund. Where appropriate, we may work with our limited partners to extend the investment period, which gives us the opportunity to invest any capital that remains in the fund. In general, the end of the original investment period (regardless of whether it is extended) will trigger a change in the capital base on which management fees are calculated from committed capital to invested capital. In some cases, a step-down in the applicable rate used to calculate management fees may also occur. For example, prior to raising a successor fund, the South America buyout fund's original investment period ended in the second half of 2015, resulting in a change from committed capital to invested capital for the management fee base, despite a one-year extension to the investment period.

We depend on our founders and other key personnel, and the loss of their services or investor confidence in such personnel could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. We depend on the efforts, skill, reputations and business contacts of our senior Carlyle professionals, including our founders, Messrs. Conway, D'Aniello and Rubenstein, and other key personnel, including members of our executive group, our management committee, the investment committees of our investment funds and senior investment teams, the information and deal flow they and others generate during the normal course of their activities and the synergies among the diverse fields of expertise and knowledge held by our professionals. Our founders and other key personnel are not obligated to remain employed with us in their current capacities or at all. As part of our on-going succession planning, and to enhance our capabilities, we have and will continue to hire and internally develop senior professionals to assume key leadership positions throughout the firm into the future. The efficacy of such future leadership may constitute an adverse risk to our business.

All of the Carlyle Holdings partnership units held by our founders are vested. The majority of the Carlyle Holdings partnership units received by other key personnel at the time of the Partnership's initial public offering are vested, with the unvested portion scheduled to vest in two remaining annual installments on May 2, 2017 and May 2, 2018. Several key personnel have left the firm in the past and others may do so in the future, and we cannot predict the impact that the departure of any key personnel will have on our ability to achieve our objectives. The loss of the services of any of them could have a material adverse effect on our revenues, net income and cash flow and could harm our ability to maintain or grow AUM in existing funds or raise additional funds in the future. The governing agreements of many of our investment funds generally require investors in those funds to vote to continue the investment period in the event that certain "key persons" in our investment funds do not provide the specified time commitment to the fund or our firm ceases to control the general partner. We have historically relied in part on the interests of these professionals in the investment funds' carried interest and incentive fees to discourage them from leaving the firm. However, to the extent our investment funds perform poorly, thereby reducing the potential for carried interest and incentive fees, their interests in carried interest and incentive fees become less valuable to them and may become a less effective retention tool.

Our senior Carlyle professionals and other key personnel possess substantial experience and expertise and have strong business relationships with investors in our funds and other members of the business community. As a result, the loss of these personnel could jeopardize our relationships with investors in our funds and members of the business community and result in the reduction of AUM or fewer investment opportunities. For example, if any of our senior Carlyle professionals were to join or form a competing firm, that action could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. Furthermore, to the extent investors in certain of our open-ended products have the ability to redeem their investment, the loss of a key manager could trigger redemptions and thus adversely impact the business.

Recruiting and retaining professionals may be more difficult in the future, which could adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Our most important asset is our people, and our continued success is highly dependent upon the efforts of our senior Carlyle professionals and other professionals we employ. Our future success and growth depends to a substantial degree on our ability to retain and motivate our senior Carlyle professionals and other key personnel and to strategically recruit, retain and motivate new talented personnel, including new senior Carlyle professionals. The market for qualified investment professionals is extremely competitive and we may not be successful in our efforts to

recruit, retain and motivate these professionals.

There are also certain factors that are not within our control that may affect our efforts to recruit, retain and motivate investment professionals, in particular as it relates to tax considerations regarding carried interest. For example, if the U.S. Congress or state, local or certain foreign governments enacted legislation to treat carried interest as ordinary income rather than as capital gain for tax purposes, this could result in a material increase in the amount of taxes that we and possibly our unitholders would be required to pay, which would in turn affect our ability to recruit, retain and motivate our current and future professionals. See "—Risks Related to U.S. Taxation—Our structure involves complex provisions of U.S. federal income tax law for which no clear precedent or authority may be available. Our structure also is subject to potential legislative, judicial or administrative change and differing interpretations, possibly on a retroactive basis" and "—Risks Related to our Company—In past years, the U.S. Congress has considered legislation that would have: (i) in some cases after a ten-year transition period, precluded us from qualifying as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes or required us to hold carried interest

through taxable subsidiary corporations; and (ii) taxed certain income and gains at increased rates." The U.S. Congress also has considered on multiple occasions legislation that would subject carried interest and gain on the sale of investment services partnership interests to higher rates of U.S. federal income tax than are in effect under current law. The new administration and leaders in Congress have indicated their desire to pursue comprehensive tax reform and the disposition of the treatment of carried interest in such legislation is unclear. If enacted, such legislation could cause our investment professionals to incur a material increase in their tax liability with respect to carried interest. Outside the U.S., in April 2016, the United Kingdom adopted legislation that changed the scope of and tax rate for carried interest, which impacted certain of our investment funds and certain of our London-based investment professionals. These types of developments might make it more difficult for us to incentivize, recruit and retain investment professionals, which may have an adverse effect on our ability to achieve our investment objectives. In addition, the after-tax income and gain related to our business, our distributions to common unitholders and the market price of our common units, all could be reduced.

We have granted and expect to grant equity awards from our Equity Incentive Plan, which has caused dilution. While we evaluate the grant of equity awards from our Equity Incentive Plan to employees on an annual basis, the size of the grants, if any, is made at our discretion and is expected to be generally smaller in number of units than the grants of deferred restricted common units made at the time of our initial public offering on May 2, 2012. As we increase the use of equity awards from our Equity Incentive Plan in the future, expenses associated with equity-based compensation may increase materially. In 2016, we incurred equity compensation expenses of \$160.3 million in connection with grants of deferred restricted common units. We did not issue any deferred restricted phantom units in 2016. The value of our common units may drop in value or be volatile, which may make our equity less attractive to our employees since we may not be able to adequately incentivize them.

As of December 31, 2016, our employees held an aggregate of 16,656,745 unvested deferred restricted common units, which vest over various time periods, generally from one and a half to six years from the date of grant. As of December 31, 2016, our employees also held an aggregate of 2,520 unvested deferred restricted phantom units, which vest over three years from the date of grant. In order to recruit and retain existing and future senior Carlyle professionals and other key personnel, we may need to increase the level of compensation that we pay to them. Accordingly, as we promote or hire new senior Carlyle professionals and other key personnel over time or attempt to retain the services of certain of our key personnel, we may increase the level of compensation we pay to these individuals, which could cause our total employee compensation and benefits expense as a percentage of our total revenue to increase and adversely affect our profitability. The issuance of equity interests in our business in the future to our senior Carlyle professionals and other personnel would also dilute our unitholders.

At the time of our initial public offering and in several subsequent acquisitions, we issued Carlyle Holdings partnership units that are exchangeable on a one-for-one basis for common units. The exchange and sale of these units will increase the number of our common units that are traded in the public market. All of the Carlyle Holdings partnership units held by our founders are fully vested. Of the outstanding Carlyle Holdings partnership units held by our other senior Carlyle professionals, 79% are vested and 21% are unvested as of December 31, 2016. The remaining unvested Carlyle Holdings units generally will vest in two equal installments on May 2, 2017 and May 2, 2018. Subject to the terms of the Exchange Agreement, including the applicable remaining vesting and minimum retained ownership requirements and other restrictions, Carlyle Holdings unitholders will generally be able to exchange their Carlyle Holdings partnership units for common units in the Partnership on a one-to-one basis each quarter starting in the second quarter of 2017. See "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence—Exchange Agreement."

We strive to maintain our One Carlyle culture of collaboration and seek to continue to align our interests (and the interests of our employees) with those of our fund investors. If we do not continue to develop and implement the right processes and tools to maintain our culture, our ability to compete successfully and achieve our business objectives could be impaired, which could negatively impact our business, financial condition and results of operations. Given the priority we afford the interests of our fund investors and our focus on achieving superior investment performance, we may reduce our AUM, restrain its growth, reduce our fees or otherwise alter the terms under which

we do business when we deem it in the best interest of our fund investors—even in circumstances where such actions might be contrary to the near-term interests of unitholders.

In pursuing the interests of our fund investors, we may take actions that could reduce the profits we could otherwise realize in the short term. While we believe that our commitment to our fund investors and our discipline in this regard is in the long-term interest of us and our unitholders, our unitholders should understand this approach may have an adverse impact on our short-term profitability, and there is no guarantee that it will be beneficial in the long term. The means by which we seek to achieve superior investment performance in each of our strategies could include limiting the AUM in our strategies to an amount that we believe can be invested appropriately in accordance with our investment philosophy and current or anticipated economic and market conditions. Additionally, we may voluntarily reduce management fee rates and terms for certain of our funds or strategies when we deem it appropriate, even when doing so may reduce our short-term revenue. For instance, in order to enhance our relationship with certain fund investors, we have reduced management fees or ceased charging management fees

on certain funds in specific instances. Additionally, in certain investment funds, we have agreed to charge management fees based on invested capital or net asset value as opposed to charging management fees based on committed capital. In certain cases, such as our most recent power fund, we have provided "fee holidays" to certain investors during which we do not charge management fees for a fixed period of time (such as the first six months). We may receive requests to reduce management fees on other funds in the future. "—See Risks Related to Our Business—Our investors may negotiate to pay us lower management fees and the economic terms of our future may be less favorable to us than those of our existing funds, which could adversely affect our revenues."

In prioritizing the interests of our fund investors, we may also take other actions that could adversely impact our short-term results of operations when we deem such action appropriate. We have also waived management fees on certain leveraged finance vehicles at various times to improve returns. Furthermore, we typically delay the realization of carried interest to which we are otherwise entitled if we determine (based on a variety of factors, including the stage of the fund's life cycle and the extent of fund profits accrued to date) that there would be an unacceptably high risk of potential future giveback obligations. Any such delay could result in a deferral of realized carried interest to a subsequent period. See "— Risks Related to Our Company — Our revenue, earnings and cash flow are variable, which makes it difficult for us to achieve steady earnings growth on a quarterly basis."

We may not be successful in expanding into new investment strategies, markets and businesses, which could adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Our growth strategy focuses on providing resources to foster the development of new product offerings and business strategies by our investment professionals. Given our diverse platform, these initiatives could create conflicts of interests with existing products, increase our costs and expose us to new market risks and legal and regulatory requirements. For example, in the past we have offered mutual funds and we continue to consider offering investment products that are available to retail investors. These products may have different economic structures than our traditional investment funds and may require a different marketing approach. These activities also may impose additional compliance burdens on us, subject us to enhanced regulatory scrutiny and expose us to greater reputation and litigation risk.

The success of our growth strategy will depend on, among other things:

our ability to correctly identify and create products that appeal to our investors;

• the diversion of management's time and attention from our existing businesses:

management's ability to spend time developing and integrating the new business and the success of the integration effort;

our ability to properly manage conflicts of interests;

our ability to identify and manage risks in new lines of businesses;

our ability to obtain requisite approvals and licenses from the relevant governmental authorities and to comply with applicable laws and regulations without incurring undue costs and delays; and

our ability to successfully negotiate and enter into beneficial arrangements with our counterparties.

In some instances, we may determine that growth in a specific area is best achieved through the acquisition of an existing business or a smaller scale lift out of an investment team to enhance our platform. Our ability to consummate an acquisition will depend on our ability to identify and value potential acquisition opportunities accurately and

successfully compete for these businesses against companies that may have greater financial resources. Even if we are able to identify and successfully negotiate and complete an acquisition, these transactions can be complex and we may encounter unexpected difficulties or incur unexpected costs.

In addition to the concerns noted above, the success of a firm acquisition will be affected by, on among other things:

difficulties and costs associated with the integration of operations and systems;

difficulties integrating the acquired business's internal controls and procedures into our existing control structure;

difficulties and costs associated with the assimilation of employees; and

the risk that a change in ownership will negatively impact the relationship between an acquiree and the investors in its investment vehicles.

Each acquisition transaction presents unique challenges and if a new product, business or venture developed internally or by acquisition is unsuccessful, we may decide to wind down, liquidate and/or discontinue it. Such actions could negatively impact our relationships with fund investors in those businesses, could subject us to litigation or regulatory inquiries and can expose us to additional expenses, including impairment charges and potential liability from investor or other complaints. In early 2016, we commenced the wind down of DGAM and in the second half of 2016, we decided to end our hedge fund partnerships with ESG and Claren Road and entered into agreements to transfer our ownership stakes in those businesses back to their founders. In 2016, we also commenced the wind down of our remaining commodities positions. See Note 11 to our consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for more information regarding DGAM, ESG and Claren Road.

Our organizational documents do not limit our ability to enter into new lines of business, and we intend to, from time to time, expand into new investment strategies, geographic markets and businesses, each of which may result in additional risks and uncertainties in our businesses.

We intend, to the extent that market conditions warrant, to seek to grow our businesses and expand into new investment strategies, geographic markets and businesses. Our organizational documents do not limit us to the asset management business and to the extent that we make strategic investments or acquisitions in new geographic markets or businesses, undertake other related strategic initiatives or enter into a new line of business, we may face numerous risks and uncertainties, including risks associated with the following:

the required investment of capital and other resources;

the possibility that we have insufficient expertise to engage in such activities profitably or without incurring inappropriate amounts of risk;

the diversion of management's attention from our core businesses;

assumption of liabilities in any acquired business;

the disruption of our ongoing business;

the increasing demands on or issues related to the combination or integration of operational and management systems and controls;

compliance with or applicability to our business or our portfolio companies of regulations and laws, including, in particular, local regulations and laws (for example, consumer protection related laws) and customs in the numerous global jurisdictions in which we operate and the impact that noncompliance or even perceived noncompliance could have on us and our portfolio companies;

a potential increase in investor concentration; and

the broadening of our geographic footprint, including the risks associated with conducting operations in certain foreign jurisdictions where we currently have no presence.

Entry into certain lines of business may subject us to new laws and regulations with which we are not familiar or from which we are currently exempt, and may lead to increased liability and litigation and regulatory risk and expense. If a new business generates insufficient revenue or if we are unable to efficiently manage our expanded operations, our results of operations may be adversely affected.

Our strategic initiatives may include joint ventures, which may subject us to additional risks and uncertainties in that we may be dependent upon, and subject to liability, losses or reputational damage relating to, systems, controls and personnel that are not under our control. We currently participate in joint advisory arrangements and may elect to participate in additional joint venture opportunities in the future if we believe that operating in such a structure is in our best interests. There can be no assurances that our current joint advisory arrangements will continue in their current form, or at all, in the future or that we will be able to identify acceptable joint venture partners in the future or that our participation in any additional joint venture opportunities will be successful. For example, in the second half of 2016, we decided to end our hedge fund partnerships with ESG and Claren Road and entered into agreements to transfer our ownership stakes in those businesses back to their founders. In 2016, we also commenced the wind down of our remaining commodities positions. As a result of these transfers, our hedge

fund AUM and Fee-earning AUM both were reduced to immaterial amounts at December 31, 2016 from \$8.3 billion and \$7.8 billion, respectively, at December 31, 2015. It is possible that we could have continuing liability for the actions of such partnerships taken during our ownership period.

In past years, the U.S. Congress has considered legislation that would have: (i) in some cases after a ten-year transition period, precluded us from qualifying as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes or required us to hold carried interest through taxable subsidiary corporations; and (ii) taxed certain income and gains at increased rates. If any similar legislation were to be enacted and apply to us, the after tax income and gain related to our business, as well as our distributions to common unitholders and the market price of our common units, could be reduced.

Over the past several years, members of Congress and the administration of former President Obama have made a number of legislative proposals that would have, in general, treated income and gains now treated as capital gains, including gain on disposition of interests, attributable to an investment services partnership interest ("ISPI") as income subject to a new blended tax rate that is higher than the capital gains rate applicable to such income under current law, except to the extent such ISPI would have been considered under the legislation to be a qualified capital interest. Common unitholders' interest in us, our interest in Carlyle Holdings II L.P. and the interests that Carlyle Holdings II L.P. holds in entities that are entitled to receive carried interest may have been classified as ISPIs for purposes of this legislation. More recently, the new administration and leaders in Congress have indicated their desire to pursue comprehensive tax reform. It is unclear when or whether the U.S. Congress will vote on this legislation, what provisions will be included in any legislation, if enacted, and what the disposition of the treatment of carried interest may be in any such legislation.

Some of the above legislative proposals have provided that, for taxable years beginning ten years after the date of enactment, income derived with respect to an ISPI that is not a qualified capital interest and that is subject to the rules discussed above would not meet the qualifying income requirements under the publicly traded partnership rules. Therefore, if similar legislation is enacted, following such ten-year period, we would be precluded from qualifying as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes or be required to hold all such ISPIs through corporations, possibly U.S. corporations. If we were taxed as a U.S. corporation or required to hold all ISPIs through corporations, our effective tax rate would increase significantly. The federal statutory rate for corporations is currently 35% (although Congress is considering proposals to lower that rate, as discussed below). In addition, we could be subject to increased state and local taxes. Furthermore, common unitholders could be subject to tax on our conversion into a corporation or any restructuring required in order for us to hold our ISPIs through a corporation.

More recently, as further discussed below, both the new administration and the Republican members of the U.S. House of Representatives have indicated that comprehensive tax reform will be a high priority for them. Should comprehensive tax reform be undertaken, it could include a number of proposals that would have an impact on our business, both positive and negative. Comprehensive tax reform would have an impact on the companies and assets in which we invest and on our fund investors and unitholders. It is unclear whether comprehensive tax reform will be enacted or what the contours and key provisions and principles of such legislation would be. We continue to monitor developments regarding this potential legislation to determine the impact it could have on us, our investments, our fund investors and our unitholders.

States and other jurisdictions have also considered legislation to increase taxes with respect to carried interest. For example, New York has considered legislation under which common unitholders, even if they are not residents of New York, could be subject to New York state income tax on income in respect of our common units as a result of certain activities of our affiliates in New York, although it is unclear when or whether similar legislation may be enacted. In addition, states and other jurisdictions have considered legislation to increase taxes involving other aspects of our structure and have considered and enacted legislation which could increase taxes imposed on our income and gain. For example, the District of Columbia has passed legislation that could expand the portion of our income that could be subject to District of Columbia income or franchise tax.

Proposed changes in U.S. and foreign taxation of businesses could adversely affect us.

Congress, the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (the "OECD") and other government agencies in jurisdictions where we and our affiliates invest or do business have maintained a focus on issues related to the taxation of multinational corporations. The OECD, which represents a coalition of member countries, is contemplating changes to numerous long-standing tax principles through its base erosion and profit shifting ("BEPS") project, an area that focuses in part on payments made between affiliates from a jurisdiction with high tax rates to a jurisdiction with lower tax rates. A number of European jurisdictions have enacted taxes on financial transactions, and the European Commission has proposed legislation to harmonize these taxes under the so-called "enhanced cooperation procedure," which provides for adoption of EU-level legislation applicable to some but not all EU Member States. Additionally, as discussed above, the new administration and Congress have announced other proposals for potential tax reform. Several of these proposals for reform, if enacted by the

U.S. or by other countries in which we or our affiliates invest or do business, could adversely affect us. It is unclear what any actual legislation would provide, when it would be proposed or what its prospects for enactment would be. Operational risks, including those associated with our business model, may disrupt our businesses, result in losses or limit our growth.

We rely heavily on our financial, accounting, information and other data processing systems. We face various security threats on a regular basis, including ongoing cyber security threats to and attacks on our information technology infrastructure that are intended to gain access to our proprietary information, destroy data or disable, degrade or sabotage our systems. These security threats could originate from a wide variety of sources, including unknown third parties outside the company.

There has been an increase in the frequency and sophistication of the cyber and security threats we face, with attacks ranging from those common to businesses generally to those that are more advanced and persistent, which may target us because, as an alternative asset management firm, we hold a significant amount of confidential and sensitive information about our investors, our portfolio companies and potential investments. As a result, we may face a heightened risk of a security breach or disruption with respect to this information resulting from an attack by computer hackers, foreign governments or cyber terrorists. If successful, these types of attacks on our network or other systems could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations, due to, among other things, the loss of investor or proprietary data, interruptions or delays in our business and damage to our reputation. Although we are not currently aware that we have been subject to cyber-attacks or other cyber- incidents which, individually or in the aggregate, have materially affected our operations or financial condition, there can be no assurance that the various procedures and controls we utilize to mitigate these threats will be sufficient to prevent disruptions to our systems. If any of these systems do not operate properly or are disabled for any reason or if there is any unauthorized disclosure of data, whether as a result of tampering, a breach of our network security systems, a cyber-incident or attack or otherwise, we could suffer substantial financial loss, increased costs, a disruption of our businesses, liability to our funds and fund investors, regulatory intervention or reputational damage. The costs related to cyber or other security threats or disruptions may not be fully insured or indemnified by other means. Cyber security also has become a top priority for regulators around the world. For example, in 2016, one of the examination priorities identified by the SEC's Office of Compliance Inspections and Examinations' (OCIE) was to review investment firms' cyber security procedures and controls.

Our information systems and technology may not continue to be able to accommodate our growth, and the cost of maintaining such systems may increase from its current level. For example, our existing systems may not be adequate to identify or control the relevant risks in investment strategies employed by new investment funds we may introduce. A failure to accommodate growth, or an increase in costs related to such information systems, could have a material adverse effect on us. In addition, we rely on third-party service providers for certain aspects of our business, including for certain information systems and technology and administration of our business development companies and structured credit funds. Any interruption or deterioration in the performance of these third parties or failures of their information systems and technology could impair the quality of the funds' operations and could affect our reputation and hence adversely affect our businesses.

We depend on our headquarters in Washington, DC, where most of our administrative and operations personnel are located, and our office in Arlington, Virginia, which houses our treasury, tax and finance functions, for the continued operation of our business. However, our global employee base services the needs of our investment funds and investors out of 35 offices around the world. In order to reduce expenses in the face of a difficult economic environment, we may need to close smaller offices, terminate the employment of a significant number of our personnel or cut back or eliminate the use of certain services or service providers, that, in each case, could be important to our business and without which our operating results could be adversely affected.

A disaster or a disruption in the infrastructure that supports our businesses, including a disruption involving electronic communications or other services used by us or third parties with whom we conduct business, or directly affecting our headquarters, could have a material adverse impact on our ability to continue to operate our business without interruption. Our disaster recovery programs may not be sufficient to mitigate the harm that may result from such a

disaster or disruption. We may also need to commit additional management, operational and financial resources to identify new professionals to join our firm and to maintain appropriate operational and financial systems to adequately support expansion. The market for hiring talented professionals, including IT professionals, is competitive and we may not be able to grow at the pace we desire.

In addition, we may not be able to obtain or maintain sufficient insurance on commercially reasonable terms or with adequate coverage levels against potential liabilities we may face in connection with potential claims, which could have a material adverse affect on our business. We may face a risk of loss from a variety of claims, including related to securities, antitrust, contracts, fraud and various other potential claims, whether or not such claims are valid. Insurance and other safeguards might only partially reimburse us for our losses, if at all, and if a claim is successful and exceeds or is not covered by our insurance policies, we may be required to pay a substantial amount in respect of such successful claim. Certain losses of a catastrophic nature, such as wars, earthquakes, typhoons, terrorist attacks or other similar events, may be uninsurable or may only be insurable at rates that are so high that maintaining coverage would cause an adverse impact on our business, our

investment funds and their portfolio companies. In general, losses related to terrorism are becoming harder and more expensive to insure against. Some insurers are excluding terrorism coverage from their all-risk policies. In some cases, insurers are offering significantly limited coverage against terrorist acts for additional premiums, which can greatly increase the total cost of casualty insurance for a property. As a result, we, our investment funds and their portfolio companies may not be insured against terrorism or certain other catastrophic losses.

Failure to maintain the security of our information and technology networks, including personally identifiable and investor information, intellectual property and proprietary business information could have a material adverse effect on us.

We are subject to various risks and costs associated with the collection, handling, storage and transmission of sensitive information, including those related to compliance with U.S. and foreign data collection and privacy laws and other contractual obligations, as well as those associated with the compromise of our systems collecting such information. In the ordinary course of our business, we collect and store sensitive data, including our proprietary business information and intellectual property, and personally identifiable information of our employees and our investors, in our data centers and on our networks. The secure processing, maintenance and transmission of this information are critical to our operations. Although we take various measures and have made, and will continue to make, significant investments to ensure the integrity of our systems and to safeguard against such failures or security breaches, there can be no assurance that these measures and investments will provide protection. A significant actual or potential theft, loss, corruption, exposure, fraudulent use or misuse of investor, employee or other personally identifiable or proprietary business data, whether by third parties or as a result of employee malfeasance or otherwise, non-compliance with our contractual or other legal obligations regarding such data or intellectual property or a violation of our privacy and security policies with respect to such data could result in significant remediation and other costs, fines, litigation or regulatory actions against us by the U.S. federal and state governments, the European Union (the "EU") or other jurisdictions or by various regulatory organizations or exchanges. Such an event could additionally disrupt our operations and the services we provide to investors, damage our reputation, result in a loss of a competitive advantage, impact our ability to provide timely and accurate financial data, and cause a loss of confidence in our services and financial reporting, which could adversely affect our business, revenues, competitive position and investor confidence.

Extensive regulation in the United States and abroad affects our activities, increases the cost of doing business and creates the potential for significant liabilities and penalties.

Our business is subject to extensive regulation, including periodic examinations, by governmental agencies and self-regulatory organizations in the jurisdictions in which we operate around the world. Many of these regulators, including U.S. and foreign government agencies and self-regulatory organizations and state securities commissions in the United States, are empowered to conduct investigations and administrative proceedings that can result in fines, suspensions of personnel or other sanctions, including censure, the issuance of cease-and-desist orders or the suspension or expulsion of a broker-dealer or investment adviser from registration or memberships. Even if an investigation or proceeding does not result in a sanction or the sanction imposed against us or our personnel by a regulator were small in monetary amount, the costs incurred in responding to such matters could be material and the adverse publicity relating to the investigation, proceeding or imposition of these sanctions could harm our reputation and cause us to lose existing fund investors or fail to gain new investors or discourage others from doing business with us. Some of our investment funds invest in businesses that operate in highly regulated industries, including in businesses that are regulated by the U.S. Federal Communications Commission and U.S. federal and state banking authorities. The regulatory regimes to which such businesses are subject may, among other things, condition our funds' ability to invest in those businesses upon the satisfaction of applicable ownership restrictions or qualification requirements. Our failure to obtain or maintain any regulatory approvals necessary for our funds to invest in such industries may disqualify our funds from participating in certain investments or require our funds to divest themselves of certain assets.

In recent years, the SEC and its staff have focused on issues relevant to alternative asset management firms and have formed specialized units devoted to examining such firms and, in certain cases, bringing enforcement actions against the firms, their principals and their employees. A number of such enforcement actions were brought within our industry in 2016. It is unclear whether the SEC and its staff will maintain the same level of enforcement activity under the new administration. Significant enforcement activity related to alternative asset management firms may cause us to reevaluate certain practices and adjust our compliance control function as necessary and appropriate.

Although the key enforcement priorities of the SEC under the new administration are unclear, it is generally expected that the SEC's oversight of alternative asset managers will continue to focus on concerns related to transparency and investor disclosure practices. Although the SEC has cited improvements in disclosures and industry practices in this area, it has also indicated that there is room for improvement in particular areas, including fees and expenses (and the allocation of such fees and expenses) and co-investment practices. To this end, many firms have received inquiries during examinations or directly from the SEC Division of Enforcement regarding various transparency-related topics, including the acceleration of monitoring fees, the allocation of broken-deal expenses, the disclosure of operating partner or operating executive compensation, outside

business activities of firm principals and employees, group purchasing arrangements and general conflicts of interest disclosures.

The SEC's focus in these areas could impact Carlyle in various ways. For example, in November 2015, the SEC requested, on an informal basis, additional information about our historical monitoring fee acceleration practices, a topic of a recent enforcement action within the private equity industry. We continue to cooperate with the SEC's informal request. In addition, our private equity funds frequently engage operating executives who often work (generally, on a part-time basis) with our investment teams during due diligence, provide board-level governance and support and advise portfolio company management. Operating executives generally are third parties, are not considered Carlyle employees and typically are retained by us pursuant to consulting agreements. Generally these consultants also are involved in non-Carlyle related activities, including serving on boards of companies that are not our portfolio companies. In some cases, an operating executive may be retained by a portfolio company directly and in such instances the portfolio company may compensate the operating executive directly (meaning that investors in our private equity funds may indirectly bear the cost of the operating executive's compensation). While we believe we have made appropriate and timely disclosures regarding the engagement and compensation of our operating executives, the SEC staff may disagree.

We regularly are subject to requests for information and informal or formal investigations by the SEC and other regulatory authorities, with which we routinely cooperate and, in the current environment, even historical practices that have been previously examined are being revisited. In 2014, the SEC indicated that investment advisers that receive transaction-based compensation for investment banking or acquisition activities relating to fund portfolio companies may be required to register as broker-dealers. Specifically, the Staff noted that if a firm receives fees from a fund portfolio company in connection with the acquisition, disposition or recapitalization of such portfolio company, such fees could raise broker-dealer concerns under applicable regulations related to broker dealers. In 2016, the SEC charged an SEC-registered investment advisor to a private equity fund and its principal with violating Section 15(a) of the Exchange Act for providing brokerage services and receiving transaction-based compensation in connection with the purchase and sale of portfolio companies while not being registered as a broker-dealer. To the extent we receive such transaction fees and the SEC takes the position that such activities render us a "broker" under the applicable rules and regulations of the Exchange Act, we could be subject to additional regulation. If receipt of transaction fees from a portfolio company is determined to require a broker-dealer license, receipt of such transaction fees in the past or in the future during any time when we did not or do not have a broker-dealer license could subject us to liability for fines, penalties or damages. Even if a regulatory investigation or proceeding does not result in a sanction or the sanction imposed against us or our personnel by a regulator were small in monetary amount, the adverse publicity relating to such matters could harm our reputation. In addition, our ability to accelerate such fees in the future could be affected. We regularly rely on exemptions from various requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"), the Exchange Act, the Investment Company Act, the Commodity Exchange Act, and the U.S. Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended ("ERISA"), in conducting our asset management activities in the United States.

Similarly, in conducting our asset management activities outside the United States, we rely on available exemptions from the regulatory regimes of various foreign jurisdictions. These exemptions from regulation within the United States and abroad are sometimes highly complex and may, in certain circumstances, depend on compliance by third parties whom we do not control. If for any reason these exemptions were to become unavailable to us, we could become subject to regulatory action or third-party claims and our business could be materially and adversely affected. For example, in 2014, the SEC amended Rule 506 of Regulation D under the Securities Act to impose "bad actor" disqualification provisions which ban an issuer from offering or selling securities pursuant to the safe harbor in Rule 506 if the issuer, or any other "covered person", is the subject of a criminal, regulatory or court order or other "disqualifying event" under the rule which has not been waived by the SEC. The definition of "covered person" under the rule includes an issuer's directors, general partners, managing members and executive officers; affiliates who are also

issuing securities in the offering; beneficial owners of 20% or more of the issuer's outstanding equity securities; and promoters and persons compensated for soliciting investors in the offering. Accordingly, our ability to rely on Rule 506 to offer or sell securities would be impaired if we or any "covered person" is the subject of a disqualifying event under the rule and we are unable to obtain a waiver from the SEC. Moreover, the requirements imposed by our regulators are designed primarily to ensure the integrity of the financial markets and to protect investors in our funds and are not designed to protect our unitholders. Consequently, these regulations often serve to limit our activities and impose burdensome compliance requirements. See—"Business—Regulatory and Compliance Matters."

We may become subject to additional regulatory and compliance burdens as we expand our product offerings and investment platform. For example, in 2013, we launched two business development companies that are investment companies under the Investment Company Act and subject to the rules thereunder, which, among other things, regulate the relationship between a registered business development company and its investment adviser and prohibit or severely restrict principal transactions and joint transactions. These additional regulatory requirements may expose us to liabilities and penalties if we fail to comply with the applicable rules and regulations.

In addition, the Iran Threat Reduction and Syria Human Rights Act of 2012 (the "ITRA") expanded the scope of U.S. sanctions against Iran and Section 219 of the ITRA amended the Exchange Act to require companies subject to SEC reporting obligations under Section 13 of the Exchange Act to disclose in their periodic reports specified dealings or transactions involving Iran or other individuals and entities targeted by certain sanctions promulgated by the Office of Foreign Assets Control ("OFAC") engaged in by the reporting company or any of its affiliates, including in our case some of our portfolio companies, during the period covered by the relevant periodic report. In some cases, the ITRA requires companies to disclose transactions even if they were permissible under U.S. law. Although the ITRA also expanded the scope of U.S. sanctions by requiring foreign entities majority owned or controlled by a U.S. person to abide by U.S. sanctions against Iran to the same extent as a U.S. person, this restriction and certain sanctions were eased on January 16, 2016, when OFAC issued General License H and the U.S. Government relaxed or revoked other sanctions pursuant to the Joint Comprehensive Plan of Action (the "JCPOA"), a multilateral agreement regarding Iran's nuclear program. The JCPOA did not alter, however, our ITRA obligation to separately file with the SEC a notice that specified activities have been disclosed in our quarterly and annual reports, and the SEC is required to post this notice of disclosure on its website and send the report to the U.S. President and certain U.S. Congressional committees. Disclosure of ITRA-specified activity, even if such activity is legally permissible and not subject to sanctions under applicable law, and any fines or penalties actually imposed on us or our affiliates as a result of impermissible any Iran-related activities, could harm our reputation and have a negative impact on our business. In the past, we have disclosed pursuant to Section 13 of the Exchange Act, certain permissible dealings and transactions and to date, we have not received notice of any investigation into such activities.

It is unclear what impact the United Kingdom's exit from the European Union will have on the Partnership or the fund portfolio companies.

On June 23, 2016, the United Kingdom (the "UK") held a referendum on whether to remain a member state of the EU, in which voters favored the UK's withdrawal from the EU. At this time, it is difficult to predict precisely how the UK's withdrawal from the EU will be implemented and what the economic, tax, fiscal, legal, regulatory and other implications will be for the private investment funds industry and the broader European and global financial and real estate markets generally and for the Partnership, its investment funds and fund portfolio companies, specifically. Under the process for withdrawing from the EU contemplated in the Treaty on European Union, the UK will remain a member state until a withdrawal agreement is entered into or, if later (and no extension is agreed), two years following notification of the UK's intention to withdraw from the EU. The UK has not formally notified the European Council of its intention to leave and there is no certainty that the UK government will do so; instead the UK government may seek to negotiate a bespoke withdrawal right with the agreement of the remaining EU countries. In either case, it is widely expected that it will take longer than two years before the terms of a withdrawal agreement are negotiated and known. As a consequence, it is likely that the UK will remain a member state subject to EU law with privileges to provide services under the single market directives for at least two more years. However, given the size and importance of the UK's economy, uncertainty or unpredictability about its legal, political and/or economic relationships with Europe is now, and may continue to be for the foreseeable future (including beyond the date of the UK's withdrawal from the EU), a source of instability, significant currency fluctuations and/or other adverse effects on international markets, international trade agreements and/or other existing cross-border cooperation arrangements (whether economic, tax, fiscal, legal, regulatory or otherwise). In addition, the outcome of the UK referendum could have a destabilizing effect in which other member states may consider withdrawing from the EU. The decision for any other member state to withdraw from the EU could exacerbate such uncertainty and instability and may present similar and/or additional potential risks and consequences for the Partnership, its investment funds and fund portfolio companies.

Regulatory changes in the United States could adversely affect our business and the possibility of increased regulatory focus could result in additional burdens and expenses on our business.

As a result of the global financial crisis and highly publicized financial scandals, over the past several years there has been an active debate over the appropriate extent of regulation and oversight of the financial industry, including private investment funds and their managers. The regulatory and legal requirements that currently apply to our

business are subject to change from time to time and may become more restrictive, which may impose additional expenses on us, make compliance with applicable requirements more difficult, require significant attention of our senior management team or otherwise restrict our ability to conduct our business activities in the manner in which they are now conducted.

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the "Dodd-Frank Act") enacted in 2010 imposed significant changes on almost every aspect of the U.S. financial services industry, including aspects of our business. Although the new administration has indicated a desire to repeal, revise or replace the Dodd-Frank Act, it is uncertain when and in what manner such legislation may be repealed or otherwise changed. Among other things, the Dodd-Frank Act currently includes the following provisions, which could have an adverse impact on our ability to conduct our business:

The Dodd-Frank Act imposes a number of restrictions on the relationship and activities of banking organizations with private equity funds and hedge funds and other provisions that will affect the private

equity industry, either directly or indirectly. Among other things, the Volcker Rule generally prohibits any "banking entity" (broadly defined as any insured depository institution, any company that controls such an institution, a non-U.S. bank that is treated as a bank holding company for purposes of U.S. banking law and any affiliate or subsidiary of the foregoing entities) from sponsoring or acquiring or retaining an ownership interest in a fund that is not subject to the provisions of the 1940 Act in reliance upon either Section 3(c)(1) or Section 3(c)(7) of the 1940 Act. The Volcker Rule also requires certain nonbank financial companies that have been designated as systemically important by the Financial Stability Oversight Council (FSOC) and subject to supervision by the Federal Reserve to comply with additional capital requirements and comply with certain other quantitative limits on such activities, although such entities are not expressly prohibited from engaging in proprietary trading or sponsoring or investing in such funds. Furthermore, divestitures by banking entities of impermissible ownership interests in covered funds to comply with the Volcker Rule may lead to lower prices in the secondary market for interests in our funds, which could have adverse implications for our ability to raise funds from investors who may have considered the availability of secondary market liquidity as a factor in determining whether to invest.

The Dodd-Frank Act also imposes a new regulatory structure on the "swaps" market, including requirements for clearing, exchange trading, capital, margin, reporting, and recordkeeping. In connection with the Dodd-Frank Act, the CFTC has finalized many rules applicable to swap market participants, including business conduct standards for swap dealers, reporting and recordkeeping, mandatory clearing for certain swaps, exchange trading rules applicable to swaps, initial and variation margin requirements for uncleared swap transactions and regulatory requirements for cross-border swap activities. For example, the CFTC finalized a rule governing margin requirements for uncleared swaps entered into by swap dealers and major swap participants who are not supervised by a "prudential regulator" ("covered swap entities"). The final rule generally requires covered swap entities, subject to certain thresholds and exemptions for inter-affiliate swaps, to collect and post margin in respect of uncleared swap transactions with other covered swap entities and financial end-users. In particular, the finalized rule requires covered swap entities and financial end-users having "material swaps exposure," defined as such entity and certain affiliates have an average aggregate daily notional amount of uncleared swaps exceeding \$8 billion for June, July and August of the previous calendar year, to collect and post a minimum amount of "initial margin" in respect of each uncleared swap. In addition, the finalized rule requires covered swap entities entering into uncleared swaps with other covered swap entities or financial-end users, regardless of swaps exposure, to post or collect (as appropriate) "variation margin". These margin requirements for uncleared swaps could adversely affect our business, including our ability to enter such swaps or our available liquidity.

The Dodd-Frank Act amends the Exchange Act to direct the Federal Reserve and other federal regulatory agencies to adopt rules requiring sponsors of asset-backed securities to retain at least 5% of the credit risk relating to the assets that underlie such asset-backed securities (the "U.S. Risk Retention Rules"). In October 2014, five federal banking and housing agencies (the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the Comptroller of the Currency, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, the Department of Housing and Urban Development, and the Federal Housing Finance Agency) and the SEC issued the final credit risk retention rules. With respect to the regulation of CLOs, the U.S. Risk Retention Rules require that either (i) the "sponsor" (which, in most cases, will be us) or a "majority-owned affiliate" thereof (in each case as defined therein) will retain an "eligible vertical interest" or an "eligible horizontal residual interest" (in each case as defined therein) or any combination thereof in the CLO in the manner required by the U.S. Risk Retention Rules (provided that in certain circumstances, as described therein, a "sponsor" may offset the amount of "eligible interests" (as defined therein) it is required to own by the eligible interests in the CLO acquired by an "originator" (as defined therein) in such CLO) or (ii) the CLO is an "open market CLO" that buys and holds only certain "CLO-eligible loan tranches" (in each case as defined therein). The U.S. Risk Retention Rules became effective on December 24, 2016 (the "U.S. Risk-Retention Effective Date") (and CLOs issued prior to that date are exempt from the requirements set forth in the U.S. Risk Retention Rules, except in connection with any offer and sale of securities thereunder after the U.S. Risk Retention Effective Date). The U.S. Risk Retention Rules contain

provisions that may have adverse effects on us and/or the holders of the notes or other securities issued by our CLOs. The U.S. Risk Retention Rules permit the financing of a required retention interest to be financed on a full recourse basis and, to the extent that we were to employ leverage for our required retention investments, the U.S. Risk Retention Rules generally prohibit the transfer or hedging of the related risk, which could cause losses to be earlier and larger than they would have been if leverage were not employed.

The Dodd-Frank Act authorizes federal regulatory agencies to review and, in certain cases, prohibit compensation arrangements at financial institutions that give employees incentives to engage in conduct

deemed to encourage inappropriate risk taking by covered financial institutions. On May 16, 2016, the SEC re-proposed a rule, as part of a joint rulemaking effort with U.S. federal banking regulators, that would apply to "covered financial institutions," including registered investment advisers and broker-dealers that have total consolidated assets of at least \$1 billion, and impose substantive and procedural requirements on incentive-based compensation arrangements. The application of this rule, if adopted, to us could limit our ability to recruit and retain investment professionals and senior management executives. However, the proposed rule remains pending and may be subject to significant modifications in 2017.

The Dodd-Frank Act requires public companies to adopt and disclose policies requiring, in the event the company is required to issue an accounting restatement, the giveback of any related incentive compensation from current and former executive officers.

The Dodd-Frank Act amends the Exchange Act to compensate and protect whistleblowers who voluntarily provide original information to the SEC and establishes a fund to be used to pay whistleblowers who will be entitled to receive a payment equal to between 10% and 30% of certain monetary sanctions imposed in a successful government action resulting from the information provided by the whistleblower.

In June 2010, the SEC approved Rule 206(4)-5 under the Advisers Act regarding "pay to play" practices by investment advisers involving campaign contributions and other payments to government clients and elected officials able to exert influence on such clients. The rule prohibits investment advisers from providing advisory services for compensation to a government client for two years, subject to very limited exceptions, after the investment adviser, its senior executives or its personnel involved in soliciting investments from government entities make contributions to certain candidates and officials in a position to influence the hiring of an investment adviser by such government client. Any failure on our part to comply with the rule could expose us to significant penalties, loss of fees, and reputational damage. In August 2016, the SEC approved "pay to play" regulations proposed by FINRA that are largely similar to the SEC's regulations. When effective in August 2017, the FINRA rules will effectively prohibit the receipt of compensation from state or local government agencies for solicitation and distribution activities within two years of a prohibited contribution by a broker-dealer or one of its covered associates. There have also been similar laws, rules and regulations and/or policies adopted by a number of states and municipal pension plans, which prohibit, restrict or require disclosure of payments to (and/or certain contracts with) state officials by individuals and entities seeking to do business with state entities, including investment by public retirement funds.

We may be impacted indirectly by guidance recently directed to regulated banking institutions with regard to leveraged lending practices. In March 2013, the U.S. federal banking agencies issued updated guidance on credit transactions characterized by a high degree of financial leverage. To the extent that such guidance limits the amount or increases the cost of financing we are able to obtain for our transactions, the returns on our investments may suffer.

In early February 2017, the new administration issued an executive order calling for a review of laws and regulations affecting the U.S. financial industry in order to determine their consistency with a set of core principles identified in the executive order. Several bills have been introduced in recent years as avenues to amend the Dodd-Frank Act, including the Financial CHOICE Act (the "CHOICE Act"), which is currently pending in the House of Representatives and the Financial Regulatory Improvement Act of 2015 ("FRIA"), which is currently pending in the Senate. If reintroduced, these bills, while different, could change the process and criteria for designating systemically important financial institutions, modify the Volcker Rule and make reforms to the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, among other proposals. The CHOICE Act would also significantly enhance the SEC's enforcement capabilities and increase the maximum civil penalties and criminal sanctions under federal securities laws, including under the Investment Company Act and the Advisers Act, while the FRIA would change the operations, structure and accountability of the Federal Reserve System.

The Dodd-Frank Act, as well as future related legislation, may have an adverse effect on the fund industry generally and/or us, specifically. It is difficult to determine the full extent of the impact on us of any new laws, regulations or initiatives that may be proposed or whether any of the proposals will become law. Any changes in the regulatory framework applicable to our business, including the changes described above, may impose additional costs on us, require the attention of our senior management or result in limitations on the manner in which we conduct our business. Moreover, there may be an increase in regulatory investigations of the trading and other investment activities of alternative asset management funds, including our funds. Compliance with any new laws or regulations could make compliance more difficult and expensive, affect the manner in which we conduct our business and adversely affect our profitability.

The short-term and long-term impact of the Basel III capital standards is uncertain. In June 2011, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision, an international body comprised of senior representatives of bank supervisory authorities and central banks from 27 countries, including the United States, announced the final framework for a comprehensive set of capital and liquidity standards, commonly referred to as "Basel III," for internationally

active banking organizations and certain other types of financial institutions. These new standards, which will be fully phased in by 2019, will require banks to hold more capital, predominantly in the form of common equity, than under the current capital framework. Implementation of Basel III will require implementing regulations and guidelines by member countries. In July 2013, the U.S. federal banking regulators announced the adoption of final regulations to implement Basel III for U.S. banking organizations, subject to various transition periods. Compliance with the Basel III standards may result in significant costs to banking organizations, which in turn may result in higher borrowing costs for the private sector and reduced access to certain types of credit.

Regulatory initiatives in jurisdictions outside the United States could adversely affect our business. Similar to the environment in the United States, the current environment in jurisdictions outside the United States in which we operate, in particular the EU, has become subject to further regulation. Governmental regulators and other authorities in the EU have proposed or implemented a number of initiatives and additional rules and regulations that could adversely affect our business.

The Capital Requirements Regulation together with the recast Capital Requirements Directive (collectively "CRD IV"), reformed the EU's capital requirements regime for credit institutions and investment firms. CRD IV implements the key Basel III reforms in the EU. These include amendments to the definition of capital and counterparty credit risk and the introduction of a leverage ratio and liquidity requirements. CRD IV was also used to introduce other reforms, such as to introduce stricter control on remuneration of key employees and risk takers within certain credit institutions and investment firms. The regulation became applicable and member states were required to transpose the Directive's requirements from January 1, 2014. The extent of CRD IV's impact on a credit institution or investment firm will depend on a variety of factors, including the firm's size and the nature of its activities. It is possible that other regulators may seek to impose similar controls on remuneration of personnel. Currently, Carlyle is permitted to disapply CRD IV's restrictive remuneration provisions. However, to the extent that European regulators determine that Carlyle must comply with these restrictions or such regulators incorporate similar restrictions into other European directives to which Carlyle is subject, it may be necessary for certain of our subsidiaries to change their compensation structures for key personnel, thereby affecting our ability to recruit and retain these personnel.

The AIFMD was implemented in most jurisdictions in the European Economic Area, (the "EEA"), on July 22, 2014. In general, the AIFMD regulates alternative investment fund managers ("AIFMs") of a broad range of alternative investment funds ("AIFs") domiciled within and (depending on the circumstances) outside the EEA. The AIFMD also regulates and imposes regulatory obligations in respect of the marketing in the EEA by AIFMs (whether established in the EEA or elsewhere) of AIFs (whether established in the EEA or elsewhere). The AIFMD was intended to have a staged implementation through 2018, but certain key milestones related to the implementation have been delayed. As a result of the business activities of certain of our subsidiaries, such subsidiaries currently are subject to various compliance obligations in connection with the AIFMD, including investor and regulatory reporting, portfolio company asset stripping restrictions, deal-related notifications and remuneration reporting. AlpInvest, one of our subsidiaries, obtained authorization in 2015 and is licensed as an AIFM under the AIFMD by the Authority for Financial Markets in the Netherlands. In 2017, we anticipate registering a newly-formed European investment manager to take advantage of the European marketing passport available to it under the AIFMD. To the extent that other of our subsidiaries obtain authorization under the AIFMD, additional compliance obligations also will apply to these and other of our entities, including rules relating to the remuneration of certain personnel, minimum regulatory capital requirements and restrictions on use of leverage. These and other AIFMD obligations may have an adverse effect on us and/or our investment funds by, among other things, increasing the regulatory burden and costs of raising money and doing business in EEA jurisdictions, imposing capital requirements on our business, imposing extensive disclosure obligations on certain investment funds and portfolio companies, and disadvantaging our investment funds as bidders for and potential owners of private companies located in the EEA when compared to non-AIF/AIFM competitors, which may not be subject to the requirements of the AIFMD.

Certain of our European subsidiaries must comply with the pan-European regime established by MiFID which regulates the provision of investment services and conduct of investment activities throughout the European Economic Area. MiFID sets out detailed requirements governing the organization and conduct of business of investment firms and regulated markets. It also includes pre- and post-trade transparency requirements for equity markets and extensive transaction reporting requirements. As MiFID has been substantially amended over the years and further strengthening of certain provisions was deemed necessary and timely after the financial crisis, European regulators concluded the regulation should be revised, which has led to the creation of a replacement directive and regulation (together "MiFID II"). MiFID II was published in 2014 and is now scheduled to become effective in January 2018. When effective, MiFID II will enhance numerous aspects of the existing regulations and is expected to impose many new compliance requirements on our European operations, which will increase our costs of compliance. We may be required to invest significant additional management time and resources to address the new requirements.

In December 2011, China's National Development and Reform Commission (the "NDRC Regulation") issued a new circular regulating the activities of private equity funds established in China. The circular established new rules relating to the establishment, fundraising and investment scope of such funds; risk control mechanisms; basic responsibilities and duties of fund managers; information disclosure systems; and record filing. As a supplement to the NDRC regulations in August 2014, China Securities Regulatory Commission ("CSRC"), the Chinese securities regulator, promulgated the Interim Regulations on the Supervision and Administration of Private Investment Funds (the "CSRC Regulations"). These new regulations adopt a very broad definition of private investment funds, potentially including private equity funds. In recent years, regulations, directives and guidelines from, amongst others, the Administration for Industry and Commerce and the Asset Management Association of China ("AMAC") have continued to regulate private investment funds incorporated in China. For example, during the course of 2016. AMAC issued "Guidelines for Internal Control of Privately-raised Investment Fund Managers" (February, 2016), "Administrative Measures for Information Disclosure of Privately-raised Investment Fund" (February, 2016), "Announcement on Further Regulating Relevant Matters Concerning the Registration of the Managers of the Privately-Raised Funds" (February, 2016), "Measures for the Administration of Private Placement of Private Investment Funds" (April, 2016) and "Private Equity Fund Contract Guidelines No. 1, No. 2 and No. 3" (April, 2016). These regulations may have an adverse effect on us and/or our renminbi (RMB)-denominated investment funds by, among other things, increasing the regulatory burden and costs of raising money for RMB-denominated investment funds, imposing extensive disclosure obligations on RMB-denominated investment funds and their associated portfolio companies, and disadvantaging our investment funds as bidders, imposing significant capital requirements on managers of RMB denominated investment funds, imposing numerous registrations and ongoing filings by private investment fund managers in China with multiple government authorities.

The legislative and regulatory framework for privacy and data protection issues worldwide is rapidly evolving and is likely to remain uncertain for the foreseeable future. The Company collects personally identifiable information (PII) and other data as an integral part of its business processes and activities. This data is subject to a variety of U.S. and international laws and regulations, including oversight by various regulatory or other governmental bodies. Many foreign countries and governmental bodies, including the European Union and other relevant jurisdictions where we conduct business, have laws and regulations concerning the collection and use of PII and other data obtained from their residents or by businesses operating within their jurisdiction that are more restrictive than those in the U.S. Additionally, in May 2016, the European Union adopted the General Data Protection Regulation that will impose more stringent data protection requirements and will provide for greater penalties for noncompliance beginning in May 2018. Any inability, or perceived inability, to adequately address privacy and data protection concerns, or comply with applicable laws, regulations, policies, industry standards, contractual obligations, or other legal obligations, even if unfounded, could result in additional cost and liability and could damage our reputation and adversely affect our business.

Changes in tax laws by foreign jurisdictions could arise as a result of BEPS projects being undertaken by the OECD. In addition to the OECD, the European Commission has also proposed a number of changes within the EU. The OECD, which represents a coalition of member countries, is contemplating changes to numerous tax principles. These contemplated changes, if finalized and adopted by countries, could increase uncertainty faced by us, our business and our investors, change our business model or increase the cost of acquiring businesses. Several changes have already been enacted including deductibility of interest limitations, modifications of financing structures and the increased transparency. The timing or impact of these proposals and adoptions by various member countries is unclear at this point. There are also continual changes to tax laws, regulations and interpretations, which could impact our structures or the returns to investors.

The EU has adopted certain risk retention and due diligence requirements ("EU Risk Retention and Due Diligence Requirements") in respect of various types of EU-regulated investors including our credit institutions, authorized

AIFMs, investment firms, insurance and reinsurance undertakings and (subject to the completion of secondary legislation) Undertakings for Collective Investment in Transferable Securities (UCITS) funds. Among other things, such requirements restrict an investor who is subject to the EU Risk Retention and Due Diligence Requirements, from investing in securitizations, including funds managed by us, unless: (i) the originator, sponsor or original lender in respect of the relevant securitization has explicitly disclosed that it will retain, on an on-going basis, a net economic interest of not less than 5% in respect of certain specified credit risk tranches or securitized exposures; and (ii) that investor is able to demonstrate that it has undertaken certain due diligence in respect of various matters including but not limited to its note position, the underlying assets and (in the case of certain types of investors) the relevant sponsor or originator.

To the extent the EU Risk Retention Rules are to be satisfied through the EU "sponsor" option, it is expected that the holder of the EU retention interest will be authorized by the UK Financial Conduct Authority under the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 ("FSMA") and capitalized (with regulatory capital and other required resources) in a manner necessary or advisable to enable it to satisfy the requirements of FSMA and the EU Markets in Financial Instruments Directive (Directive 2004/39/EC) ("MiFID"). In addition, such "sponsor" holding the EU retention interest would be required to demonstrate that: (a) it is a CRR investment firm, (b) it is authorized to, and does, carry on a business of providing investment management services and activities listed in paragraph (7) of Section A of Annex 1 of Directive 2004/39/EC (placing of financial instruments without a firm commitment basis), and (c) it is a "sponsor" for the purposes of Article 405 of the CRR. For purposes of these

requirements, "CRR" means Regulation No 575/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council (as amended from time to time and as implemented by Member States of the European Union) together with any implemented or delegated regulations, technical standards and guidance related thereto. Whether a collateral manager of a CLO qualifies as an EU "sponsor" under the CRR will depend upon the MiFID authorizations (or permissions) the collateral manager holds from its EU home country supervisor.

Certain of the CLOs currently being managed by one of our affiliates have been designed to comply with the EU Risk Retention Rules via the "sponsor" approach. For these CLOs, CELF Advisors LLP, one of our asset-management affiliates with the required MiFID permissions to act as the "sponsor" of CLOs, has undertaken to hold the EU retention interest for ten CLOs to date. In the future, certain other newly-formed affiliates may serve as "sponsor" and holder of the EU retention interest on CLO investments.

The EU Retention Rules may also be satisfied if the "originator" holds the EU retention interest. Article 4(1)(13) of the CRR defines "Originator" as either (1) an entity that itself or through related entities, directly or indirectly, was involved in the original agreement which created the obligations or potential obligations of the debtor or potential debtor giving rise to the exposure being securitized or (2) an entity that purchases a third party's exposures for its own account and then securitizes them. In the future, certain newly-formed affiliates may serve as "originator" and holder of the EU retention interest on CLO investments.

The European Commission, on September 30, 2015, proposed new regulations which would, among other things, consolidate and restate the different EU Risk Retention and Due Diligence Requirements into a single rule that would apply to the types of investors subject to the existing EU Risk Retention and Due Diligence Requirements and to certain other types of EU institutional investors, and would apply directly to originators, sponsors and original lenders that are established in EU member states. The European Parliament has proposed further changes including increases in the minimum percentage of retained interest depending on the type of interest retained. The proposed regulations also include extensive disclosure and transparency requirements for securitizations, and the European Parliament's proposals include restrictions on the types and jurisdictions of entities that may participate in the securitization market. These proposed rules are subject to further negotiation and agreement between, and later adoption by the European Parliament and Council. At this time it is uncertain to what extent any changes in the existing EU Risk Retention and Due Diligence Requirements will apply to existing transactions and there can be no assurances as to whether and to what extent such transactions will be affected by a change in law or regulation relating to the EU Risk Retention and Due Diligence Requirements. Failure to comply with one or more of the requirements may result in various penalties, including, in the case of those investors subject to regulatory capital requirements, the imposition of a punitive capital charge on the notes issued by our CLOs acquired by the relevant investor. In addition such regulations could have a negative impact on the price and liquidity of certain of our EU CLO notes in the secondary market. In December 2015, the European Banking Authority ("EBA") produced guidelines to set appropriate aggregate limits to shadow banking entities when carrying out banking activities. These guidelines came into effect on January 1, 2017.

shadow banking entities when carrying out banking activities. These guidelines came into effect on January 1, 2017. While most alternative investment funds are excluded from the definition of "shadow banking entity," funds that use leverage on a substantial basis at fund level or have certain third-party lending exposures are within the definition. When dealing with shadow banking entities, the EEA financial institution would be required to implement additional effective processes (including with respect to due diligence) and set internal aggregate and individual limits to such exposures where they exceed 0.25% of the institution's eligible capital. While the guidelines do not themselves introduce a quantitative limit to institutions' exposures to shadow banking entities at the individual or aggregate exposure level, they place the responsibility on the banking sector to demonstrate that risks are managed effectively. Affected institutions will be required to set internal aggregate and individual limits to exposures to individual shadow banking entities which could limit or restrict the availability of credit and/or increase the cost of credit from these institutions for impacted funds.

In November 2016, the European Central Bank ("ECB") issued draft guidance on leveraged transactions. The ECB guidance will apply to significant credit institutions supervised by the ECB in member states of the euro zone. Under the draft guidance, credit institutions are expected to have in place internal policies that include a definition of "leveraged transactions". Loans or credit exposures to a borrower should be regarded as leveraged transactions if: (i) the

borrower's post-financing level of leverage exceeds a total debt to EBITDA ratio of 4.0 times; or (ii) the borrower is owned by one or more "financial sponsors". For these purposes a financial sponsor is an investment firm that undertakes private equity investments in and/or leveraged buyouts of companies. In summary, credit institutions are expected to define their appetite for underwriting and syndicating transactions, which will include defining acceptable leverage levels. Underwriting of transactions having a ratio of total debt to EBITDA exceeding 6.0 times at deal inception is a high level of leverage and should be exceptional. For most industries, the ECB believes a leverage level in excess of 6.0 times total debt to EBITDA should raise concerns. The consultation on the ECB guidance ended on January 27, 2017. If the guidance is adopted substantially in its current form, credit institutions in the euro zone could in the future limit, delay or restrict the availability of credit and/or increase the cost of credit for funds or portfolio companies involved in leveraged transactions. Credit institutions may be reluctant to enter into a leveraged transaction having a ratio of total debt to EBITDA exceeding 6.0 times absent an extraordinary justification.

Our investment businesses are subject to the risk that similar measures might be introduced in other countries in which our funds currently have investments or plan to invest in the future, or that other legislative or regulatory measures that negatively affect their respective portfolio investments might be promulgated in any of the countries in which they invest. The reporting related to such initiatives may divert the attention of our personnel and the management teams of our portfolio companies. Moreover, sensitive business information relating to us or our portfolio companies could be publicly released.

See—"Risks Related to Our Business Operations —Our funds make investments in companies that are based outside of the United States, which may expose us to additional risks not typically associated with investing in companies that are based in the United States" and "Business — Regulatory and Compliance Matters"—for more information. Rapidly changing regulations regarding derivatives and commodity interest transactions could adversely impact various aspects of our business.

The regulation of derivatives and commodity interest transactions in the United States and other countries is a rapidly changing area of law and is subject to ongoing modification by governmental and judicial action. We and our affiliates enter into derivatives and commodity interest transactions for various purposes, including to manage the financial risks related to our business. Accordingly, the impact of this evolving regulatory regime on our business is difficult to predict, but it could be substantial and adverse.

Among other things, the CFTC adopted certain amendments to its existing rules that potentially subject certain of our affiliated entities to registration, reporting and record-keeping obligations in connection with derivatives transactions (including for hedging/risk management purposes). As such, our business may incur increased ongoing costs associated with monitoring compliance with the CFTC registration and exemption obligations across platforms and complying with the various reporting and record-keeping requirements.

In addition, derivatives regulations in the United States and Europe are effectively transforming an over-the-counter market in which parties negotiate directly with each other into a regulated market in which a majority of swap transactions are executed on registered exchanges and cleared through central counterparties. These regulations could significantly increase the cost of entering into derivative contracts (including through requirements to post collateral which could adversely affect our available liquidity), materially alter the terms of derivative contracts, reduce the availability of derivatives to protect against risks that we encounter, reduce our ability to restructure our existing derivative contracts, and increase our exposure to less creditworthy counterparties. We are evaluating final rules promulgated separately by the CFTC and certain U.S. prudential regulators, as well as awaiting certain related rules under the EMIR to determine the impact on our business. If we reduce our use of derivatives as a result of such regulations (and any new regulations), our results of operations may become more volatile and our cash flows may be less predictable, which could adversely affect our ability to satisfy our debt obligations or plan for and fund capital expenditures.

Furthermore, the CFTC has proposed rules relating to position limits on derivatives (including futures, options and swaps) with certain underlying reference assets, as well as supplemental rules relating to the aggregation of derivative positions among commonly owned or controlled entities and exemptions from such aggregation. In December 2016, the CFTC finalized the rules related to the aggregation of positions, but proposed for further comment the position limit rules. It is unclear when the CFTC intends to finalize such rules. In addition to these U.S. requirements, we may be subject to similar position limit requirements in Europe under MiFID II, once it is effective. As proposed, MiFID II includes intra-day aggregation requirements for certain derivative positions. Currently, MiFID II is scheduled to come into effect in January 2018. The finalization of these various rules and our ability to rely on any exemption thereunder may affect the size and types of investments we may make. Moreover, in order to avoid exceeding position limits, it is possible that we and our affiliates may need to significantly alter our business processes related to such trading, including by modifying trading strategies and instructions.

We are subject to substantial litigation risks and may face significant liabilities and damage to our professional reputation as a result of litigation allegations and negative publicity.

In the ordinary course of business, we are subject to the risk of substantial litigation and face significant regulatory oversight. In recent years, the volume of claims and the amount of potential damages claimed in such proceedings against the financial services industry have generally been increasing. The investment decisions we make in our asset management business and the activities of our investment professionals on behalf of portfolio companies of our carry funds may subject them and us to the risk of third-party litigation arising from investor dissatisfaction with the performance of those investment funds, alleged conflicts of interest, the activities of our portfolio companies and a variety of other litigation claims and regulatory inquiries and actions. From time to time we and our portfolio companies have been and may be subject to regulatory actions and shareholder class action suits relating to transactions in which we have agreed to acquire public companies.

To the extent that investors in our investment funds suffer losses resulting from fraud, gross negligence, willful misconduct or other similar misconduct, investors may have remedies against us, our investment funds, our principals or our affiliates. Heightened standards of care or additional fiduciary duties may apply in certain of our managed accounts or other advisory contracts. To the extent we enter into agreements with clients containing such terms or applicable law mandates a heightened standard of care or duties, we could, for example, be liable to certain clients for acts of simple negligence or breach of such duties, which might include the allocation of a client's funds to our affiliated funds. Even in the absence of misconduct, we may be exposed to litigation or other adverse consequences where investments perform poorly and investors in or alongside our funds experience losses. For example, as described in Note 17 to the consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, Urbplan Desenvolvimento Urbano S.A. ("Urbplan") a portfolio investment of certain Carlyle real estate investment funds that we began to consolidate as of September 30, 2013, began facing serious liquidity problems in late 2012 and required additional capital infusions to continue operations. Urbplan has substantially completed construction of its development projects and no new development projects have been approved. At this time, Urbplan's projected revenues and cash flow may be insufficient to timely satisfy all of Urbplan's debt obligations, necessitating a restructuring of its debt obligations or a potential bankruptcy reorganization or insolvency proceeding. Furthermore, while we have not expressly assumed any of Urbplan's obligations or liabilities, in any such proceeding customers or other creditors in certain circumstances might seek to assert claims against us or our portfolio companies under certain consumer protection, labor or other laws. The general partners and investment advisers to our investment funds, including their directors, officers, other employees and affiliates, are generally indemnified with respect to their conduct in connection with the management of the business and affairs of our private equity funds. For example, we have agreed to indemnify directors and officers of Carlyle Capital Corporation Limited in connection with the matters involving that fund discussed under "Part I. Item 3. Legal Proceedings." However, such indemnity generally does not extend to actions determined to have involved fraud, gross negligence, willful misconduct or other similar misconduct.

In addition, the laws and regulations governing the limited liability of such issuers and portfolio companies vary from jurisdiction to jurisdiction, and in certain contexts the laws of certain jurisdictions may provide not only for carve-outs from limited liability protection for the issuer or portfolio company that has incurred the liabilities, but also for recourse to assets of other entities under common control with, or that are part of the same economic group as, such issuer. For example, if one of our portfolio companies is subject to bankruptcy or insolvency proceedings in a jurisdiction and is found to have liabilities under the local consumer protection, labor, tax or bankruptcy laws, the laws of that jurisdiction may permit authorities or creditors to file a lien on, or to otherwise have recourse to, assets held by other portfolio companies (including the Partnership) in that jurisdiction. There can be no assurance that the Partnership will not be adversely affected as a result of the foregoing risks.

If any lawsuits were brought against us and resulted in a finding of substantial legal liability, the lawsuit could materially adversely affect our business, results of operations or financial condition or cause significant reputational harm to us, which could materially impact our business. We depend to a large extent on our business relationships and our reputation for integrity and high-caliber professional services to attract and retain investors and to pursue investment opportunities for our funds. As a result, allegations of improper conduct by private litigants (including investors in or alongside our funds) or regulators, whether the ultimate outcome is favorable or unfavorable to us, as well as negative publicity and press speculation about us, our investment activities or the private equity industry in general, whether or not valid, may harm our reputation, which may be more damaging to our business than to other types of businesses.

In addition, with a workforce composed of many highly paid professionals, we face the risk of litigation relating to claims for compensation, which may, individually or in the aggregate, be significant in amount. The cost of settling any such claims could negatively impact our business, results of operations and financial condition. Employee misconduct could harm us by impairing our ability to attract and retain investors in our funds and subjecting us to significant legal liability and reputational harm. Fraud and other deceptive practices or other misconduct at our portfolio companies could similarly subject us to liability and reputational damage and also harm

performance.

There is a risk that our employees or advisors could engage in misconduct that adversely affects our business. Our ability to attract and retain investors and to pursue investment opportunities for our funds depends heavily upon the reputation of our professionals, especially our senior Carlyle professionals. We are subject to a number of obligations and standards arising from our asset management business and our authority over the assets managed by our asset management business. The violation of these obligations and standards by any of our employees would adversely affect us and our investment funds and fund investors. Our business often requires that we deal with confidential matters of great significance to companies in which our funds may invest. If our employees were to use or disclose confidential information improperly, we could suffer serious harm to our reputation, financial position and current and future business relationships, as well as face potentially significant litigation. It is not always possible to detect or deter employee misconduct, and the extensive precautions we take to detect and prevent this activity may not be effective in all cases. If any of our employees were to engage in misconduct or were to be

accused of such misconduct, whether or not substantiated, our business and our reputation could be adversely affected and a loss of investor confidence could result, which would adversely impact our ability to raise future funds. In recent years, the U.S. Department of Justice (the "DOJ") and the SEC have devoted greater resources to enforcement of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (the "FCPA"). In addition, the United Kingdom, and other jurisdictions, have significantly expanded the reach of their anti-bribery laws. While we have developed and implemented policies and procedures designed to ensure compliance by us and our personnel with the FCPA and the UK anti-bribery laws, such policies and procedures may not be effective in all instances to prevent violations. Any determination that we have violated the FCPA, the UK anti-bribery laws or other applicable anticorruption laws could subject us to, among other things, civil and criminal penalties, material fines, profit disgorgement, injunctions on future conduct, securities litigation and a general loss of investor confidence, any one of which could adversely affect our business prospects, financial position or the market value of our common units.

In addition, we will also be adversely affected if there is misconduct by personnel of portfolio companies in which our funds invest. For example, failures by personnel at our portfolio companies to comply with anti-bribery, trade sanctions or other legal and regulatory requirements could adversely affect our business and reputation. Such misconduct might also undermine any due diligence efforts with respect to such companies and could negatively affect the valuation of a fund's investments.

Certain policies and procedures implemented to mitigate potential conflicts of interest and address certain regulatory requirements may reduce the synergies across our various businesses and inhibit our ability to maintain our collaborative culture.

We consider our "One Carlyle" philosophy and the ability of our professionals to communicate and collaborate across funds, industries and geographies one of our significant competitive strengths. As a result of the expansion of our platform into various lines of business in the alternative asset management industry, our acquisition of new businesses, and the growth of our managed account business, we are subject to a number of actual and potential conflicts of interest and subject to greater regulatory oversight than that to which we would otherwise be subject if we had just one line of business. In addition, as we expand our platform, the allocation of investment opportunities among our investment funds is expected to become more complex. In addressing these conflicts and regulatory requirements across our various businesses, we have and may continue to implement certain policies and procedures (for example, information barriers). As a practical matter, the establishment and maintenance of such information barriers means that collaboration between our investment professionals across various platforms or with respect to certain investments may be limited, reducing potential synergies that we cultivate across these businesses through our "One Carlyle" approach. For example, although we maintain ultimate control over the Investment Solutions segment's constituent firms: AlpInvest and Metropolitan, we have erected an information barrier between the management teams at these firms and the rest of Carlyle. See "-Risks Related to Our Business Operations-Our Investment Solutions business is subject to additional risks." In addition, we may come into possession of material, non-public information with respect to issuers in which we may be considering making an investment. As a consequence, we may be precluded from providing such information or other ideas to our other businesses that could benefit from such information. Risks Related to Our Business Operations

Poor performance of our investment funds would cause a decline in our revenue, income and cash flow, may obligate us to repay carried interest previously paid to us, and could adversely affect our ability to raise capital for future investment funds.

In the event that any of our investment funds were to perform poorly, our revenue, income and cash flow could decline. Investors could also demand lower fees or fee concessions for existing or future funds which would likewise decrease our revenue or require us to record an impairment of intangible assets and/or goodwill in the case of an acquired business. In some of our funds, such as our carry funds, a reduction in the value of the portfolio investments held in such funds could result in a reduction in the carried interest we earn or in our management fees. We also could experience losses on our investment of our own capital into our funds as a result of poor performance by our investment funds. If, as a result of poor performance of later investments in a carry fund's life, the fund does not achieve certain investment returns for the fund over its life, we will be obligated to repay the amount by which carried

interest that was previously distributed to us exceeds the amount to which we are ultimately entitled. These repayment obligations may be related to amounts previously distributed to our senior Carlyle professionals prior to the completion of our initial public offering, with respect to which our unitholders did not receive any benefit. See "—We may need to pay "giveback" obligations if and when they are triggered under the governing agreements with our investors" and Note 11 to our consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Poor performance of our investment funds may also make it more difficult for us to raise new capital. Investors in our funds might decline to invest in future investment funds we raise. Investors and potential investors in our funds continually assess our investment funds' performance, and our ability to raise capital for existing and future investment funds and avoid

excessive redemption levels will depend on our investment funds' continued satisfactory performance. Accordingly, poor fund performance may deter future investment in our funds and thereby decrease the capital invested in our funds and ultimately, our management fee income.

Our asset management business depends in large part on our ability to raise capital from third-party investors. If we are unable to raise capital from third-party investors, we would be unable to collect management fees or deploy their capital into investments and potentially collect carried interest, which would materially reduce our revenue and cash flow and adversely affect our financial condition.

In 2016, we commenced a four-year fundraising cycle (the pace of which we expect will accelerate through 2019) during which we are targeting to raise approximately \$100 billion in new capital commitments. Our ability to raise this capital from third-party investors depends on a number of factors, including certain factors that are outside our control. Certain of these factors such as the performance of the stock market, the pace of distributions from our funds and from the funds of other asset managers or the asset allocation rules or regulations or investment policies to which such third-party investors are subject, could inhibit or restrict the ability of third-party investors to make investments in our investment funds. Third-party investors in private equity, real assets and venture capital funds typically use distributions from prior investments to meet future capital calls. In cases where valuations of existing investments fall and the pace of distributions slows, investors may be unable or unwilling to make new commitments or fund existing commitments to third-party management investment funds such as those advised by us. Although many investors have increased the amount of commitments they are making to alternative investment funds and aggregate fundraising totals are near the highest they have been since 2008, there can be no assurance that this historical or current levels of commitments to our funds will continue. For example, there is a continuing shift away from defined benefit pension plans to defined contributions plans, which could reduce the amount of assets available for us to manage on behalf of certain of our clients. In addition, investors may downsize their investment allocations to alternative managers, including private funds and fund of funds vehicles, to rebalance a disproportionate weighting of their overall investment portfolio among asset classes. Investors may also seek to consolidate their investments with a smaller number of alternative asset managers or prefer to pursue investments directly instead of investing through our funds, each of which could impact the amount of allocations they make to our funds. Moreover, as some existing investors cease or significantly curtail making commitments to alternative investment funds, we may need to identify and attract new investors in order to maintain or increase the size of our investment funds. The lack of clarity around regulations, including BEPS, may also limit our fund investors' ability to claim double tax treaty benefits on their investments, which may limit their investments in our funds. We are currently working to create avenues through which we expect to attract a new base of individual investors. There can be no assurances that we can find or secure commitments from those new investors. Our ability to raise new funds could similarly be hampered if the general appeal of private equity and alternative investments were to decline.

An investment in a private equity fund is more illiquid and the returns on such investment may be more volatile than an investment in securities for which there is a more active and transparent market. Private equity and alternative investments could fall into disfavor as a result of concerns about liquidity and short-term performance. Such concerns could be exhibited, in particular, by public pension funds, which have historically been among the largest investors in alternative assets. Concerns with liquidity could cause such public pension funds to reevaluate the appropriateness of alternative investments.

In addition, the evolving preferences of our fund investors may necessitate that alternatives to the traditional investment fund structure, such as managed accounts, smaller funds and co-investment vehicles, become a larger part of our business going forward. This could increase our cost of raising capital at the scale we have historically achieved. The failure to successfully raise capital commitments to new investment funds may also expose us to credit risk in respect of financing that we may provide such funds. When existing capital commitments to a new investment fund are insufficient to fund in full a new investment fund's participation in a transaction, we may lend money to or borrow money from financial institutions on behalf of such investment funds to bridge this difference and repay this financing with capital from subsequent investors to the fund. Our inability to identify and secure capital commitments

from new investors to these funds may expose us to losses (in the case of money that we lend directly to such funds) or adversely impact our ability to repay such borrowings or otherwise have an adverse impact on our liquidity position. Finally, if we seek to expand into other business lines, we may also be unable to raise a sufficient amount of capital to adequately support such businesses. The failure of our investment funds to raise capital in sufficient amounts could result in a decrease in our AUM as well as management fee and transaction fee revenue, or could result in a decline in the rate of growth of our AUM and management fee and transaction fee revenue, any of which could have a material adverse impact on our revenues and financial condition. Our past experience with growth of AUM provides no assurance with respect to the future.

Our investors may negotiate to pay us lower management fees and the economic terms of our future funds may be less favorable to us than those of our existing funds, which could adversely affect our revenues.

In connection with raising new funds or securing additional investments in existing funds, we negotiate terms for such funds and investments with existing and potential investors. The outcome of such negotiations could result in our agreement to

terms that are materially less favorable to us than the terms of prior funds we have advised or funds advised by our competitors. Such terms could restrict our ability to raise investment funds with investment objectives or strategies that compete with existing funds, reduce fee revenues we earn, reduce the percentage of profits on third-party capital that we share in or add expenses and obligations for us in managing the fund or increase our potential liabilities, all of which could ultimately reduce our profitability. For instance, we have received and expect to continue to receive requests from a variety of investors and groups representing investors to increase the percentage of transaction fees we share with our investors (or to decline to receive any transaction fees from portfolio companies owned by our funds). To the extent we accommodate such requests, it could result in a decrease in the amount of fee revenue we earn. See "—A decline in the pace or size of investments by our carry funds could result in our receiving less revenue from transaction fees. Additionally, a change in terms which increases the amount of fee revenue the fund investors are entitled to could result in a significant decline in revenue generated from transaction fees." Moreover, certain institutional investors have publicly criticized certain fund fee and expense structures, including management fees. We have received and expect to continue to confront requests from a variety of investors and groups representing investors to decrease fees and to modify our carried interest and incentive fee structures, which could result in a reduction in or delay in the timing of receipt of the fees and carried interest and incentive fees we earn. In addition to negotiating the overall fund rate of the management fees offered, certain fund investors have negotiated alternative management fee structures in several of our investment funds. In certain cases, such as our most recent power fund, we have provided "fee holidays" to certain fund investors during which we do not charge management fees for a fixed period of time (such as the first six months) or we have agreed to charge management fees based on invested capital or net asset value as opposed charging management fees on committed capital. Any modification of our existing fee or carry arrangements or the fee or carry structures for new investment funds could adversely affect our results of operations. See "—The alternative asset management business is intensely competitive." In addition, certain institutional investors, including sovereign wealth funds and public pension funds, have

In addition, certain institutional investors, including sovereign wealth funds and public pension funds, have demonstrated an increased preference for alternatives to the traditional investment fund structure, such as managed accounts, smaller funds and co-investment vehicles. There can be no assurance that such alternatives will be as efficient as the traditional investment fund structure, or as to the impact such a trend could have on the cost of our operations or profitability if we were to implement these alternative investment structures. Moreover, certain institutional investors are demonstrating a preference to in-source their own investment professionals and to make direct investments in alternative assets without the assistance of private equity advisers like us. Such institutional investors may become our competitors and could cease to invest in our funds.

Valuation methodologies for certain assets in our funds can involve subjective judgments, and the fair value of assets established pursuant to such methodologies may be incorrect, which could result in the misstatement of fund performance and accrued performance fees.

There are often no readily ascertainable market prices for a substantial majority of illiquid investments of our investment funds. We determine the fair value of the investments of each of our investment funds at least quarterly based on the fair value guidelines set forth by generally accepted accounting principles in the United States. The fair value measurement accounting guidance establishes a hierarchal disclosure framework that ranks the observability of market inputs used in measuring financial instruments at fair value. The observability of inputs is impacted by a number of factors, including the type of financial instrument, the characteristics specific to the financial instrument and the state of the marketplace, including the existence and transparency of transactions between market participants. Financial instruments with readily available quoted prices, or for which fair value can be measured from quoted prices in active markets, will generally have a higher degree of market price observability and a lesser degree of judgment applied in determining fair value.

Investments for which market prices are not observable include, but are not limited to illiquid investments in operating companies, real estate, energy ventures and structured vehicles, and encompass all components of the capital structure, including equity, mezzanine, debt, preferred equity and derivative instruments such as options and warrants. Fair values of such investments are determined by reference to the market approach (i.e., multiplying a key performance metric of the investee company or asset, such as EBITDA, by a relevant valuation multiple observed in the range of

comparable public entities or transactions, adjusted by management as appropriate for differences between the investment and the referenced comparables), the income approach (i.e., discounting projected future cash flows of the investee company or asset and/or capitalizing representative stabilized cash flows of the investee company or asset) and other methodologies such as prices provided by reputable dealers or pricing services, option pricing models and replacement costs.

The determination of fair value using these methodologies takes into consideration a range of factors including but not limited to the price at which the investment was acquired, the nature of the investment, local market conditions, the multiples of comparable securities, current and projected operating performance and financing transactions subsequent to the acquisition of the investment. These valuation methodologies involve a significant degree of management judgment. For example, as to investments that we share with another sponsor, we may apply a different valuation methodology than the other sponsor does and/or derive a different value than the other sponsor has derived on the same investment, which could cause some investors to question our valuations.

Because there is significant uncertainty in the valuation of, or in the stability of the value of, illiquid investments, the fair values of such investments as reflected in an investment fund's net asset value do not necessarily reflect the prices that would be obtained by us on behalf of the investment fund when such investments are realized. Realizations at values significantly lower than the values at which investments have been reflected in prior fund net asset values would result in reduced earnings or losses for the applicable fund, the loss of potential carried interest and incentive fees. Changes in values attributed to investments from quarter to quarter may result in volatility in the net asset values and results of operations that we report from period to period. Also, a situation where asset values turn out to be materially different than values reflected in prior fund net asset values could cause investors to lose confidence in us, which could in turn result in difficulty in raising additional funds.

The historical returns attributable to our funds, including those presented in this report, should not be considered as indicative of the future results of our funds or of our future results or of any returns expected on an investment in our common units.

We have presented in this Form 10-K information relating to the historical performance of our investment funds. The historical and potential future returns of the investment funds that we advise, however, are not directly linked to returns on our common units. Therefore, any continued positive performance of the investment funds that we advise will not necessarily result in positive returns on an investment in our common units. However, poor performance of the investment funds that we advise would cause a decline in our revenue from such investment funds, and could therefore have a negative effect on our performance, our ability to raise future funds and in all likelihood the returns on an investment in our common units.

Moreover, with respect to the historical returns of our investment funds:

we may create new funds in the future that reflect a different asset mix and different investment strategies, as well as a varied geographic and industry exposure as compared to our present funds, and any such new funds could have different returns than our existing or previous funds;

the rates of returns of our carry funds reflect unrealized gains as of the applicable measurement date that may never be realized, which may adversely affect the ultimate value realized from those funds' investments;

unitholders will not benefit from any value that was created in our funds prior to our becoming a public company to the extent such value was previously realized;

in recent years, there has been increased competition for private equity investment opportunities resulting from the increased amount of capital invested in alternative investment funds, high liquidity in debt markets and strong equity markets, and the increased competition for investments may reduce our returns in the future;

the rates of returns of some of our funds in certain years have been positively influenced by a number of investments that experienced rapid and substantial increases in value following the dates on which those investments were made, which may not occur with respect to future investments;

our investment funds' returns in some years have benefited from investment opportunities and general market conditions that may not repeat themselves;

our current or future investment funds might not be able to avail themselves of comparable investment opportunities or market conditions; and the circumstances under which our funds may make future investments may differ significantly from those conditions prevailing in the past (including, for example, particularly favorable borrowing conditions from 2013 through early 2015 for many of our investments that relied heavily on the use of leverage);

newly-established funds may generate lower returns during the period that they take to deploy their capital.

Our recent performance has benefited from today's high multiples and asset prices by selling investments made in past years at what we believe to be attractive prices. In the current market environment, earning such returns on new investments will be much more difficult than in the past and the future internal rate of return for any current or future fund may vary considerably from the historical internal rate of return generated by any particular fund or for our funds as a whole. Future returns will also be affected by the risks described elsewhere in this report, including risks of the industries and businesses in which a particular fund invests. See—"Part II. Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Segment Analysis—Fund Performance Metrics" for additional information.

Dependence on significant leverage in investments by our funds could adversely affect our ability to achieve attractive rates of return on those investments.

Many of our carry funds' investments rely heavily on the use of leverage, and our ability to achieve attractive rates of

return on investments will depend on our ability to access sufficient sources of indebtedness at attractive rates. For example, in many private equity investments, indebtedness may constitute and historically has constituted up to 70% or more of a portfolio company's or real estate asset's total debt and equity capitalization, including debt that may be incurred in connection with the investment, whether incurred at or above the investment-level entity. The absence of available sources of sufficient debt financing for extended periods of time could therefore materially and adversely affect our CPE and Real Assets businesses. In addition, an increase in either the general levels of interest rates or in the risk spread demanded by sources of indebtedness would make it more expensive to finance those investments thereby reducing returns. Increases in interest rates could also make it more difficult to locate and consummate private equity investments because other potential buyers, including operating companies acting as strategic buyers, may be able to bid for an asset at a higher price due to a lower overall cost of capital or their ability to benefit from a higher amount of cost savings following the acquisition of the asset. In addition, a portion of the indebtedness used to finance private equity investments often includes high-yield debt securities issued in the capital markets. Availability of capital from the high-yield debt markets is subject to significant volatility, and there may be times when we might not be able to access those markets at attractive rates, or at all, when completing an investment. Certain investments may also be financed through borrowings on fund-level debt facilities, which may or may not be available for a refinancing at the end of their respective terms. Additionally, to the extent there is a reduction in the availability of financing for extended periods of time, the purchasing power of a prospective buyer may be more limited, adversely impacting the fair value of our funds' investments and thereby reducing the acquisition price. Finally, the interest payments on the indebtedness used to finance our carry funds' investments have historically been deductible expenses for income tax purposes, subject to limitations under applicable tax law and policy. Any change in such tax law or policy to eliminate or substantially limit these income tax deductions, as has been discussed from time to time in various jurisdictions, would reduce the after-tax rates of return on the affected investments, which may have an adverse impact on our business and financial results. On October 5, 2015, the OECD published additional papers under the BEPS initiative. In action 4, the OECD recommends that countries adopt a limitation on excessive deductions under a fixed ratio rule and supplemented by a worldwide group ratio and certain targeted rules as needed. It is anticipated that ratios may range between 10% and 30%. It is still unclear how different countries will implement the various recommendations and it is unclear how this will affect the current deductibility of interest in the various jurisdictions. See "— Our funds make investments in companies that are based outside of the United States, which may expose us to additional risks not typically associated with investing in companies that are based in the United States." Investments in highly leveraged entities are also inherently more sensitive to declines in revenue, increases in expenses and interest rates and adverse economic, market and industry developments. Furthermore, the incurrence of a significant amount of indebtedness by an entity could, among other things:

subject the entity to a number of restrictive covenants, terms and conditions, any violation of which could be viewed by creditors as an event of default and could materially impact our ability to realize value from the investment;

allow even moderate reductions in operating cash flow to render the entity unable to service its indebtedness, leading to a bankruptcy or other reorganization of the entity and a loss of part or all of the equity investment in it;

give rise to an obligation to make mandatory prepayments of debt using excess cash flow, which might limit the entity's ability to respond to changing industry conditions to the extent additional cash is needed for the response, to make unplanned but necessary capital expenditures or to take advantage of growth opportunities;

limit the entity's ability to adjust to changing market conditions, thereby placing it at a competitive disadvantage compared to its competitors that have relatively less debt;

limit the entity's ability to engage in strategic acquisitions that might be necessary to generate attractive returns or further growth; and

limit the entity's ability to obtain additional financing or increase the cost of obtaining such financing, including for capital expenditures, working capital or other general corporate purposes.

As a result, the risk of loss associated with a leveraged entity is generally greater than for companies with comparatively less debt. Similarly, the leveraged nature of the investments of our Real Assets funds increases the risk that a decline in the fair value of the underlying real estate or tangible assets will result in their abandonment or foreclosure.

When our private equity funds' portfolio investments reach the point when debt incurred to finance those investments matures in significant amounts and must be either repaid or refinanced, those investments may materially suffer if they have not generated sufficient cash flow to repay maturing debt and there is insufficient capacity and availability in the financing markets to permit them to refinance maturing debt on satisfactory terms, or at all. If a limited availability of financing for such purposes were to persist for an extended period of time, when significant amounts of the debt incurred to finance our CPE and Real Assets funds' portfolio investments came due, these funds could be materially and adversely affected.

Many of our GMS funds may choose to use leverage as part of their respective investment programs and regularly borrow a substantial amount of their capital. The use of leverage poses a significant degree of risk and enhances the possibility of a significant loss in the value of the investment portfolio. A fund may borrow money from time to time to purchase or carry securities or may enter into derivative transactions (such as total return swaps) with counterparties that have embedded leverage. The interest expense and other costs incurred in connection with such borrowing may not be recovered by appreciation in the securities purchased or carried and will be lost, and the timing and magnitude of such losses may be accelerated or exacerbated, in the event of a decline in the market value of such securities. Gains realized with borrowed funds may cause the fund's net asset value to increase at a faster rate than would be the case without borrowings. However, if investment results fail to cover the cost of borrowings, the fund's net asset value could also decrease faster than if there had been no borrowings. Increases in interest rates could also decrease the value of fixed-rate debt investment that our investment funds make. In addition, to the extent that any changes in tax law make debt financing less attractive to certain categories of borrowers, this could adversely affect the investment opportunities for our credit-focused funds.

Any of the foregoing circumstances could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and cash flow.

A decline in the pace or size of investments by our carry funds could result in our receiving less revenue from transaction fees.

The transaction fees that we earn are driven in part by the pace at which our funds make investments and the size of those investments. Any decline in that pace or the size of such investments could reduce our transaction fees and could make it more difficult for us to raise capital on our anticipated schedule. Many factors could cause such a decline in the pace of investment, including:

the inability of our investment professionals to identify attractive investment opportunities;

competition for such opportunities among other potential acquirers;

decreased availability of capital on attractive terms; and

our failure to consummate identified investment opportunities because of business, regulatory or legal complexities and adverse developments in the U.S. or global economy or financial markets.

In addition, we have confronted and expect to continue to confront requests from a variety of investors and groups representing investors to increase the percentage of transaction fees we share with our fund investors (or to decline to receive transaction fees from portfolio companies held by our funds). To the extent we change our current fee practices, it could result in a decrease in the amount of fee revenue we earn. For example, in our latest U.S. buyout fund, fund investors are generally entitled to receive 80% of any transaction fees we generate. See "—Our investors in future funds may negotiate to pay us lower management fees and the economic terms of our future funds may be less favorable to us than those of our existing funds, which could adversely affect our revenues."

The alternative asset management business is intensely competitive.

The alternative asset management business is intensely competitive, with competition based on a variety of factors, including investment performance, business relationships, quality of service provided to investors, investor liquidity

and willingness to invest, fund terms (including fees), brand recognition, types of products offered and business reputation. Our alternative asset management business, as well as our investment funds, competes with a number of private equity funds, specialized investment funds, hedge funds, corporate buyers, traditional asset managers, real estate development companies, commercial banks, investment banks and other financial institutions (as well as sovereign wealth funds and other institutional investors). A number of factors serve to increase our competitive risks:

a number of our competitors in some of our businesses have greater financial, technical, marketing and other resources and more personnel than we do;

some of our funds may not perform as well as competitors' funds or other available investment products;

several of our competitors have significant amounts of capital, and many of them have similar investment objectives to ours, which may create additional competition for investment opportunities and may reduce the size and duration of pricing inefficiencies that otherwise could be exploited;

some of these competitors (including strategic competitors) may also have a lower cost of capital and access to funding sources that are not available to us, which may create competitive disadvantages for our funds with respect to investment opportunities;

some of our competitors may have higher risk tolerances, different risk assessments or lower return thresholds than us, which could allow them to consider a wider variety of investments and to bid more aggressively than us for investments that we want to make:

some of our competitors may be subject to less regulation and accordingly may have more flexibility to undertake and execute certain businesses or investments than we do and/or bear less compliance expense than us;

some of our competitors may have more flexibility than us in raising certain types of investment funds under the investment management contracts they have negotiated with their investors;

some of our competitors may have better expertise or be regarded by investors as having better expertise in a specific asset class or geographic region than we do;

our competitors that are corporate buyers may be able to achieve synergistic cost savings in respect of an investment, which may provide them with a competitive advantage in bidding for an investment;

there are relatively few barriers to entry impeding the formation of new alternative asset management firms, and the successful efforts of new entrants into our various businesses, including former "star" portfolio managers at large diversified financial institutions as well as such institutions themselves, is expected to continue to result in increased competition;

some investors may prefer to pursue investments directly instead of investing through one of our funds;

• some investors may prefer to invest with an asset manager that is not publicly traded or is smaller with only one or two investment products that it manages; and

other industry participants may, from time to time, seek to recruit our investment professionals and other employees away from us.

We may lose investment opportunities in the future if we do not match investment prices, structures, products or terms offered by our competitors. Alternatively, we may experience decreased rates of return and increased risks of loss if we match investment prices, structures and terms offered by our competitors. Moreover, if we are forced to compete with other alternative asset managers on the basis of price, we may not be able to maintain our current fund fee and carried interest terms. We have historically competed primarily on the performance of our funds, and not on the level of our fees or carried interest relative to those of our competitors. However, there is a risk that fees and carried interest in the alternative asset management industry will decline, without regard to the historical performance of a manager. Fee or carried interest income reductions on existing or future funds, without corresponding decreases in our cost structure, would adversely affect our revenues and profitability. See "—Our investors in future funds may negotiate to pay us lower management fees and the economic terms of our future funds may be less favorable to us than those of our existing funds, which could adversely affect our revenues."

The attractiveness of our investment funds relative to investments in other investment products could decrease depending on economic conditions. In addition, to the extent that any changes in tax law make debt financing less attractive to certain categories of borrowers, this could adversely affect the investment opportunities for our credit-focused funds. This competitive pressure could adversely affect our ability to make successful investments and limit our ability to raise future investment funds, either of which would adversely impact our business, revenue, results of operations and cash flow. See "—Our investors in future funds may negotiate to pay us lower management fees and the economic terms of our future funds may be less favorable to us than those of our existing funds, which could adversely affect our revenues."

The due diligence process that we undertake in connection with investments by our investment funds may not reveal all facts that may be relevant in connection with an investment.

Before making private equity and other investments, we conduct due diligence that we deem reasonable and appropriate based on the known facts and circumstances applicable to each investment. The objective of the due diligence process is to identify attractive investment opportunities based on the known facts and circumstances and initial risk assessment surrounding an investment and, depending on our ownership or control of private equity investments, prepare a framework that may be used from the date of an acquisition to drive operational achievement and value creation. When conducting due diligence, we may be required to evaluate important and complex business, financial, regulatory, tax, accounting, environmental and legal issues. Outside consultants, legal advisors, accountants and investment banks may be involved in the due diligence process in varying degrees depending on the type of investment. Nevertheless, when conducting due diligence and making an assessment regarding an investment, we rely on the resources available to us, including information provided by the target of the investment and, in some circumstances, third-party investigations and analysis. The due diligence process may at times be subjective with respect to newly-organized companies for which only limited information is available. Accordingly, we cannot be certain that the due diligence investigation that we carry out with respect to any investment opportunity will reveal or highlight all relevant facts that may be necessary or helpful in evaluating such investment opportunity. The due diligence process in connection with carve-out transactions may underestimate the complexity and/or level of dependence a business has on its parent company and affiliated entities. Because a carve-out business often does not have financial statements that accurately reflect its true financial performance as a stand-alone business, due diligence assessments of such investments can be particularly difficult. Instances of fraud, accounting irregularities and other improper, illegal or deceptive practices can be difficult to detect, and fraud and other deceptive practices can be widespread in certain jurisdictions. Several of our funds invest in emerging market countries that may not have established laws and regulations that are as stringent as in more developed nations, or where existing laws and regulations may not be consistently enforced. For example, our funds invest throughout jurisdictions that have material perceptions of corruption according to international rating standards (such as "Transparency International's Corruption Perceptions Index") such as China, India, Indonesia, Latin America, MENA and Sub-Saharan Africa. Similarly, our funds invest in companies in the U.S. and other jurisdictions with low perceived corruption but whose business may be conducted in other high-risk jurisdictions.

Due diligence on investment opportunities in these jurisdictions is frequently more complicated because consistent and uniform commercial practices in such locations may not be developed or our access to information may be very limited. Fraud, accounting irregularities and deceptive practices can be especially difficult to detect in such locations. In addition, investment opportunities may arise in companies that have historic and/or unresolved regulatory, tax, fraud or accounting related investigations, audits or inquiries and/or have been subjected to public accusations of improper behavior. However, even heightened and specific due diligence and investigations with respect to such matters may not reveal or highlight all relevant facts that may be necessary or helpful in evaluating such investment opportunity and/or will be able to accurately identify, assess and quantify settlements, enforcement actions and judgments that may arise and which could have a material adverse effect on the portfolio company's business, financial condition and operations, as well potential significant harm to the portfolio company's reputation and prospects. We cannot be certain that our due diligence investigations will result in investments being successful or that the actual financial performance of an investment will not fall short of the financial projections we used when evaluating that investment. Failure to identify risks associated with our investments could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Our funds invest in relatively high-risk, illiquid assets, and we may fail to realize any profits from these activities for a considerable period of time or lose some or all of our principal investments.

Many of our investment funds invest in securities that are not publicly traded. In many cases, our investment funds may be prohibited by contract or by applicable securities laws from selling such securities for a period of time. Our

investment funds will not be able to sell these securities publicly unless their sale is registered under applicable securities laws, or unless an exemption from such registration is available. The ability of many of our investment funds, particularly our private equity funds, to dispose of investments is heavily dependent on the public equity markets. For example, the ability to realize any value from an investment may depend upon the ability to complete an initial public offering of the portfolio company in which such investment is held. Even if the securities are publicly traded, large holdings of securities can often be disposed of only over a substantial length of time, exposing the investment returns to risks of downward movement in market prices during the intended disposition period. Moreover, because the investment strategy of many of our funds, particularly our private equity funds, often entails our having representation on our funds' public portfolio company boards, our funds may be able to effect such sales only during limited trading windows. Additionally, certain provisions of the U.S. federal securities laws (e.g., Exchange Act Section 16) may constrain our investment funds' ability to effect purchases or sales of publicly traded securities. Accordingly, under certain conditions, our investment funds may be forced to either sell securities at lower prices than they had expected to realize or defer, potentially for a considerable period of time, sales that they had planned to make.

We have made and expect to continue to make significant principal investments in our current and future investment funds. Contributing capital to these investment funds is subject to significant risks, and we may lose some or all of the principal amount of our investments.

The investments of our private equity funds are subject to a number of inherent risks.

Our results are highly dependent on our continued ability to generate attractive returns from our investments. Investments made by our private equity funds involve a number of significant risks inherent to private equity investing, including the following:

we advise funds that invest in businesses that operate in a variety of industries that are subject to extensive domestic and foreign regulation, such as the telecommunications industry, the aerospace, defense and government services industry and the healthcare industry (including companies that supply equipment and services to governmental agencies), that may involve greater risk due to rapidly changing market and governmental conditions in those sectors;

significant failures of our portfolio companies to comply with laws and regulations applicable to them could affect the ability of our funds to invest in other companies in certain industries in the future and could harm our reputation;

companies in which private equity investments are made may have limited financial resources and may be unable to meet their obligations, which may be accompanied by a deterioration in the value of their equity securities or any collateral or guarantees provided with respect to their debt;

companies in which private equity investments are made are more likely to depend on the management talents and efforts of a small group of persons and, as a result, the death, disability, resignation or termination of one or more of those persons could have a material adverse impact on their business and prospects and the investment made;

companies in which private equity investments are made may be businesses or divisions acquired from larger operating entities which may require a rebuilding or replacement of financial reporting, information technology, back office and other operations;

companies in which private equity investments are made may from time to time be parties to litigation, may be engaged in rapidly changing businesses with products subject to a substantial risk of obsolescence and may require substantial additional capital to support their operations, finance expansion or maintain their competitive position;

companies in which private equity investments are made generally have less predictable operating results;

instances of fraud, corruption and other deceptive practices committed by senior management of portfolio companies in which our funds invest may undermine our due diligence efforts with respect to such companies and, upon the discovery of such fraud, negatively affect the valuation of a fund's investments as well as contribute to overall market volatility that can negatively impact a fund's investment program;

our funds may make investments that they do not advantageously dispose of prior to the date the applicable fund is dissolved, either by expiration of such fund's term or otherwise, resulting in a lower than expected return on the investments and, potentially, on the fund itself;

our funds generally establish the capital structure of portfolio companies on the basis of the financial projections based primarily on management judgments and assumptions, and general economic conditions and other factors may cause actual performance to fall short of these financial projections, which could cause a substantial decrease in the

value of our equity holdings in the portfolio company and cause our funds' performance to fall short of our expectations;

under ERISA, a "trade or business" within a "controlled group" can be liable for the ERISA Title IV pension obligations (including withdrawal liability for union multiemployer plans) of any other member of the controlled group. This "controlled group" liability represents one of the few situations in which one entity's liability can be imposed upon another simply because the entities are united by common ownership, but in order for such joint and several liability to be imposed, two tests must be satisfied: (1) the entity on which such liability is to be imposed must be a "trade or business" and (2) a "controlled group" relationship must exist among such entity and the pension plan sponsor or the contributing employer. While a number of cases

have held that managing investments is not a "trade or business" for tax purposes, at least one federal Circuit Court case has concluded that an investment fund could be a "trade or business" for ERISA purposes (and, consequently, could be liable for underfunded pension liabilities of an insolvent portfolio company) based upon a number of factors present in that case, including the fund's level of involvement in the management of its portfolio companies and the nature of its management fee arrangements. Ongoing litigation related to the Circuit Court's decision suggests that additional factors may be relevant for purposes of determining whether an investment fund could face "controlled group" liability under ERISA, including the structure of the investment, and the nature of the fund's relationship with other affiliated investors and co-investors in the portfolio company. Moreover, regardless of whether or not an investment fund is determined to be a trade or business for purposes of ERISA, a court might hold that one of the fund's portfolio companies could become jointly and severally liable for another portfolio company's unfunded pension liabilities pursuant to the ERISA "controlled group" rules, depending upon the relevant investment structures and ownership interests as noted above; and

executive officers, directors and employees of an equity sponsor may be named as defendants in litigation involving a company in which a private equity investment is made or is being made.

Our real estate funds are subject to the risks inherent in the ownership and operation of real estate and the construction and development of real estate.

Investments in our real estate funds will be subject to the risks inherent in the ownership and operation of real estate and real estate-related businesses and assets. These risks include the following:

those associated with the burdens of ownership of real property;

general and local economic conditions;

changes in supply of and demand for competing properties in an area (as a result, for instance, of overbuilding);

• fluctuations in the average occupancy and room rates for hotel properties;

the financial resources of tenants;

changes in building, environmental and other laws;

energy and supply shortages;

various uninsured or uninsurable risks;

natural disasters;

changes in government regulations (such as rent control);

changes in real property tax rates;

changes in interest rates;

the reduced availability of mortgage funds which may render the sale or refinancing of properties difficult or impracticable;

negative developments in the economy that depress travel activity;

environmental liabilities;

contingent liabilities on disposition of assets;

unexpected cost overruns in connection with development projects;

terrorist attacks, war and other factors that are beyond our control; and

dependence on local operating partners.

According to publicly released statements, a top legislative priority of the new administration and the new Congress is significant tax reform, including significant changes to the taxation of business entities and the deductibility of corporate interest expense. It is possible that certain aspects of any such reform could negatively impact investments in real estate.

Real estate markets may experience sharp increases in capitalization rates and declines in value as a result of overall economic decline and the limited availability of financing and the value of certain investments in our real estate funds may decline significantly, as was the case in 2008 and 2009 in the United States, Europe and Japan. In addition, if our real estate funds acquire direct or indirect interests in undeveloped land or underdeveloped real property, which may often be non-income producing, they will be subject to the risks normally associated with such assets and development activities, including risks relating to the availability and timely receipt of zoning and other regulatory or environmental approvals, the cost and timely completion of construction (including risks beyond the control of our fund, such as weather or labor conditions or material shortages) and the availability of both construction and permanent financing on favorable terms. Additionally, our funds' properties are often managed by a third party, which makes us dependent upon such third parties and subjects us to risks associated with the actions of such third parties. Any of these factors may cause the value of the investments in our real estate funds to decline, which may have a material impact on our results of operations.

We often pursue investment opportunities that involve business, regulatory, legal or other complexities. As an element of our investment style, we may pursue unusually complex investment opportunities. This can often take the form of substantial business, regulatory, tax, or legal complexity that would deter other asset managers. Our tolerance for complexity presents risks, as such transactions can be more difficult, expensive and time-consuming to finance and execute; it can be more difficult to manage or realize value from the assets acquired in such transactions; and such transactions sometimes entail a higher level of regulatory scrutiny or a greater risk of contingent liabilities. The complexity of these transactions could also make it more difficult to find a suitable buyer. Any of these risks could harm the performance of our funds.

Our investment funds make investments in companies that we do not control.

Investments by many of our investment funds will include debt instruments and equity securities of companies that we do not control. Such instruments and securities may be acquired by our investment funds through trading activities or through purchases of securities from the issuer. In addition, our funds may acquire minority equity interests in large transactions, which may be structured as "consortium transactions" due to the size of the investment and the amount of capital required to be invested. A consortium transaction involves an equity investment in which two or more private equity or other firms serve together or collectively as equity sponsors. We participated in a number of consortium transactions in prior years due to the increased size of many of the transactions in which we were involved. Consortium transactions generally entail a reduced level of control by our firm over the investment because governance rights must be shared with the other consortium sponsors. Accordingly, we may not be able to control decisions relating to a consortium investment, including decisions relating to the management and operation of the company and the timing and nature of any exit. Our funds may also dispose of a portion of their majority equity investments in portfolio companies over time in a manner that results in the funds retaining a minority investment. Those investments may be subject to the risk that the company in which the investment is made may make business, tax, legal, financial or management decisions with which we do not agree or that the majority stakeholders or the management of the company may take risks or otherwise act in a manner that does not serve our interests. If any of the foregoing were to occur, the value of investments by our funds could decrease and our financial condition, results of operations and cash flow could suffer as a result.

Our investment funds may invest in assets denominated in currencies which differ from the currency in which the Fund is denominated.

When our investment funds invest in assets denominated in currencies that differ from the currency that the relevant fund is denominated in, fluctuations in currency rates could impact the performance of the investment funds. For example, one of our EUR-denominated buyout funds made several US Dollar-denominated investments which benefited significantly from the strength of the US Dollar in 2015. We also have a number of investment funds which are denominated in US Dollars but invest primarily or exclusively in assets denominated in foreign currencies and therefore whose performance can be negatively impacted by strengthening of the US Dollar even if the underlying investments perform well in local currency. Examples include our Buyout, Growth Capital, and Real Estate funds in Asia as well as our Buyout funds in South America and Africa.

We may employ hedging techniques to minimize these risks, but we can offer no assurance that such strategies will be effective or tax-efficient. If we engage in hedging transactions, we may be exposed to additional risks associated with such

transactions. See "—Risks Related to Our Business Operations—Risk management activities may adversely affect the return on our and our funds' investments." And "—Regulatory changes in the United States could adversely affect our business and the possibility of increased regulatory focus could result in additional burdens and expenses on our business."

Our funds make investments in companies that are based outside of the United States, which may expose us to additional risks not typically associated with investing in companies that are based in the United States. Many of our investment funds generally invest a significant portion of their assets in the equity, debt, loans or other securities of issuers that are headquartered outside of the United States, such as China, India, Indonesia, Latin America, MENA and Sub-Saharan Africa. A substantial amount of these foreign investments consist of investments made by our carry funds. For example, as of December 31, 2016, approximately 38% of the cumulative capital invested by our Corporate Private Equity, Real Assets and Global Market Strategies carry funds was attributable to foreign investments. Investments in non-U.S. securities involve risks not typically associated with investing in U.S. securities, including:

certain economic and political risks, including potential exchange control regulations and restrictions on our non-U.S. investments and repatriation of profits on investments or of capital invested, the risks of political, economic or social instability, the possibility of expropriation or confiscatory taxation and adverse economic and political developments;

the imposition of non-U.S. taxes on gains from the sale of investments or other distributions by our funds;

the absence of uniform accounting, auditing and financial reporting standards, practices and disclosure requirements and less government supervision and regulation;

changes in laws or clarifications to existing laws that could impact our tax treaty positions, which could adversely impact the returns on our investments;

limitations on the deductibility of interest for income tax purposes in certain jurisdictions;

differences in the legal and regulatory environment or enhanced legal and regulatory compliance;

4 imitations on borrowings to be used to fund acquisitions or dividends;

political hostility to investments by foreign or private equity investors, including increased risk of government expropriation;

less liquid markets;

reliance on a more limited number of commodity inputs, service providers and/or distribution mechanisms;

adverse fluctuations in currency exchange rates and costs associated with conversion of investment principal and income from one currency into another;

higher rates of inflation;

higher transaction costs;

less government supervision of exchanges, brokers and issuers;

less developed bankruptcy, limited liability company, corporate, partnership and other laws (which may have the effect of disregarding or otherwise circumventing the limited liability structures potentially causing the actions or liabilities of one fund or a portfolio company to adversely impact us or an unrelated fund or portfolio company);

difficulty in enforcing contractual obligations;

less stringent requirements relating to fiduciary duties;

fewer investor protections and less publicly available information in respect of companies in non-U.S. markets; and

greater price volatility.

We operate in numerous national and subnational jurisdictions throughout the world and are subject to complex taxation requirements that could result in the imposition of taxes in excess of any amounts that are reserved as a cash or financial statement matter for such purposes. In addition, the portfolio companies of our funds are typically subject to taxation in the jurisdictions in which they operate. It is possible that a taxing authority could take a contrary view of our tax position or there could be changes in law subsequent to the date of an investment in a particular portfolio company will adversely affect returns from that investment, or adversely affect any prospective investments in a particular jurisdiction, for example as a result of new legislation in any such local jurisdiction affecting the deductibility of interest or other expenses related to acquisition financing.

In the event a portfolio company outside the United States experiences financial difficulties, we may consider local laws, corporate organizational structure, potential impacts on other portfolio companies in the region and other factors in developing our business response. Among other actions, we may seek to enhance the management team or make fund capital investments from our investment funds, our senior Carlyle professionals and/or us. To the extent we and/or certain of our senior Carlyle professionals fund additional capital into a company that is experiencing difficulties, we may be required to consolidate the entity into our financial statements under applicable U.S. GAAP. See "—Risks Related to Our Organizational Structure—The Consolidation of Investment Funds, Holding Companies or Operating Businesses of Our Portfolio Companies Could Make it More Difficult to Understand the Operating Performance of the Partnership and Could Create Operational Risks For the Partnership."

Our funds' investments that are denominated in a foreign currency will be subject to the risk that the value of a particular currency will change in relation to one or more other currencies or that there will be changes in the cost of currency conversion and/or exchange control regulations. Among the factors that may affect currency values are trade balances, levels of short-term interest rates, differences in relative values of similar assets in different currencies, long-term opportunities for investment and capital appreciation and political developments. Additionally, the increase in the value of the dollar makes it more difficult for companies outside of the United States that depend on non-dollar revenues to repay or refinance their dollar liabilities and a stronger dollar also reduces the domestic value of the foreign sales and earnings of U.S.-based businesses.

Regulatory action to implement controls on foreign exchange and outbound remittances of currency could also impact the dollar value of investments proceeds, interest and dividends received by our investment funds, gains and losses realized on the sale of investments and the timing and amount of distributions, if any, made to us. For example certain Asian countries, including China have implemented stricter controls on foreign exchange and outbound remittances, and several governmental entities such as, The Peoples Bank of China (PBOC), the State Administration of Foreign Exchange (SAFE), the National Development and Reform Commission (NDRC) and the Ministry of Commerce (MOFCOM) have instituted additional reporting, review and verification steps around control outbound payments on capital account items. Furthermore, in certain cases, our fund management fees are denominated in foreign currencies. With respect to those funds, we are subject to the risk that the value of a particular currency will change in relation to one or more other currencies in which the fund has incurred expenses or has made investments.

We may need to pay "giveback" obligations if and when they are triggered under the governing agreements with our investors.

If, at the end of any of the life of our CPE, Real Assets and GMS carry funds (or earlier with respect to certain of our funds), the carry fund has not achieved investment returns that (in most cases) exceed the preferred return threshold or (in almost all cases) the general partner receives net profits over the life of the fund in excess of its allocable share under the applicable partnership agreement, we will be obligated to repay an amount equal to the extent to which carried interest that was previously distributed to us exceeds the amounts to which we are ultimately entitled. This repayment obligation is known as a "giveback" obligation. As of December 31, 2016, we had accrued a giveback obligation of \$160.8 million, representing the giveback obligation that would need to be paid by the firm if the carry funds were liquidated at their current fair values at that date. The majority of these repayment obligations are related to amounts previously distributed to our senior Carlyle professionals prior to the completion of our initial public offering, with respect to which our common unitholders did not receive any benefit. Approximately \$157 million of

the accrued giveback obligation relates to our interests in the Legacy Energy Funds, over half of which relates to Energy II and Energy III and is expected to be paid in 2017. As of December 31, 2016, approximately \$58.5 million of the total accrued giveback obligation is attributable to Carlyle Holdings, all of which relates to the accrued giveback obligation from the Legacy Energy Funds.

When payment of a giveback obligation is anticipated (or "realized"), the portion of this liability that is expected to be borne by the unitholders (i.e., the amount not expected to be funded by Carlyle professionals) has the effect of reducing our Distributable Earnings, which therefore may result in a lower distribution to unitholders. For example, in the year ended December 31, 2016, two of our Legacy Energy Funds (Energy II and Energy III) realized \$114 million of the accrued giveback obligation, of which approximately \$36 million is attributable to Carlyle Holdings. Accordingly, our Distributable Earnings

from our Real Assets segment were reduced by this amount in 2016. Any remaining giveback obligation required to be funded on behalf of our funds (most of which is attributable to two other Legacy Energy Funds - Energy IV and Renew II) would generally be due upon the liquidation of the remaining assets from the funds.

If, as of December 31, 2016, all of the investments held by our carry funds were deemed worthless, the amount of realized and distributed carried interest subject to potential giveback would have been \$1.1 billion, on an after-tax basis where applicable. Since inception, we have paid \$101.2 million in aggregate giveback obligations, which was funded primarily through collection of employee receivables related to giveback obligations and from Carlyle professionals and other non-controlling interests for their portion of the obligation.

Although a giveback obligation is several to each person who received a distribution, and not a joint obligation, the governing agreements of our funds generally provide that to the extent a recipient does not fund his or her respective share, then we may have to fund such additional amounts beyond the amount of carried interest we retained, although we generally will retain the right to pursue any remedies that we have under such governing agreements against those carried interest recipients who fail to fund their obligations. As of December 31, 2016, approximately \$102.3 million of our \$160.8 million accrued giveback obligation is attributable to various current and former senior Carlyle professionals. We have historically withheld a portion of the cash from carried interest distributions to individual senior Carlyle professionals and other employees as security for their potential giveback obligations. We also set aside cash reserves from carried interest we receive and retain for potential giveback obligations that we may be required to fund in the future. However, we have not set aside additional cash reserves relating to the secondary liability we retain for the giveback obligations attributable to our individual senior Carlyle professionals and other employees if they fail to satisfy these obligations. We may need to use or reserve cash to repay such giveback obligations instead of using the cash for other purposes. See "Part I. Item 1. Business—Structure and Operation of Our Investment Funds—Incentive Arrangements / Fee Structure" and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Contractual Obligations—Contingent Obligations (Giveback)" and Notes 2 and 11 to the consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Our investment funds often make common equity investments that rank junior to preferred equity and debt in a company's capital structure.

In most cases, the companies in which our investment funds invest have, or are permitted to have, outstanding indebtedness or equity securities that rank senior to our fund's investment. By their terms, such instruments may provide that their holders are entitled to receive payments of dividends, interest or principal on or before the dates on which payments are to be made in respect of our investment. Also, in the event of insolvency, liquidation, dissolution, reorganization or bankruptcy of a company in which an investment is made, holders of securities ranking senior to our investment would typically be entitled to receive payment in full before distributions could be made in respect of our investment. After repaying senior security holders, the company may not have any remaining assets to use for repaying amounts owed in respect of our investment. To the extent that any assets remain, holders of claims that rank equally with our investment would be entitled to share on an equal and ratable basis in distributions that are made out of those assets. Also, during periods of financial distress or following an insolvency, the ability of our funds to influence a company's affairs and to take actions to protect their investments may be substantially less than that of the senior creditors.

Third-party investors in substantially all of our carry funds have the right to remove the general partner of the fund for cause, to accelerate the liquidation date of the investment fund without cause by a simple majority vote and to terminate the investment period under certain circumstances and investors in certain of the investment funds we advise may redeem their investments. These events would lead to a decrease in our revenues, which could be substantial.

The governing agreements of almost all of our carry funds provide that, subject to certain conditions, third-party investors in those funds have the right to remove the general partner of the fund for cause or to accelerate the liquidation date of the investment fund without cause by a simple majority vote, resulting in a reduction in management fees we would earn from such investment funds and a significant reduction in the expected amounts of total carried interest and incentive fees from those funds. Carried interest and incentive fees could be significantly

reduced as a result of our inability to maximize the value of investments by an investment fund during the liquidation process or in the event of the triggering of a "giveback" obligation. Finally, the applicable funds would cease to exist after completion of liquidation and winding-up. In addition, the governing agreements of certain of our investment funds provide that in the event certain "key persons" in our investment funds do not meet specified time commitments with regard to managing the fund (for example, certain of the investment professionals serving on the investment committee or advising the fund), then investors in certain funds have the right to vote to terminate the investment period by a simple majority vote in accordance with specified procedures, accelerate the withdrawal of their capital on an investor-by-investor basis, or the fund's investment period will automatically terminate and the vote of a simple majority of investors is required to restart it. In addition to having a significant negative impact on our revenue, earnings and cash flow, the occurrence of such an event with respect to any of our investment funds would likely result in significant reputational damage to us and could negatively impact our future fundraising efforts.

The AlpInvest funds vehicles generally provide for suspension or termination of investment commitments in the event of cause, key person or regulatory events, changes in control of Carlyle or of majority ownership of AlpInvest, and, in some cases, other performance metrics, or in a limited number of cases, the right of a supermajority of the investors to remove the general partner of the fund without cause, but generally have not provided for liquidation without cause. The latest generation of Metropolitan funds generally provide for suspension of the investment period if there is a key person event, the right of a supermajority of investors to remove the general partner with or without cause, and the right of a majority of investors to accelerate the liquidation date of the fund without cause by a simple majority vote. Where AlpInvest and Metropolitan funds include "key person" provisions, they are focused on specific existing AlpInvest or Metropolitan personnel as applicable. While we believe that existing management have appropriate incentives to remain in their respective positions, based on equity ownership, profit participation and other contractual provisions, we are not able to guarantee the ongoing participation of the management team members in respect of the funds vehicles. In addition, AlpInvest funds and certain Metropolitan vehicles have historically had few or even a single investor. In such cases, an individual investor may hold disproportionate authority over decisions reserved for third-party investors. Investors in our managed accounts or "funds of one" vehicles generally have bespoke rights allowing them to, among other things, terminate the investment period or cause a dissolution of the account or vehicle for a variety of reasons. To the extent these accounts or vehicles cease to invest or are dissolved, the fees generated by them may be reduced.

In addition, because our investment funds generally have an adviser that is registered under the Advisers Act, the management agreements of each of our investment funds would be terminated upon an "assignment" to a third-party of these agreements without appropriate investor consent, which assignment may be deemed to occur in the event these advisers were to experience a change of control. We cannot be certain that consents required to assignments of our investment management agreements will be obtained if a change of control occurs. "Assignment" of these agreements without investor consent could cause us to lose the fees we earn from such investment funds.

Third-party investors in our investment funds with commitment-based structures may not satisfy their contractual obligation to fund capital calls when requested by us, which could adversely affect a fund's operations and performance.

Investors in our carry funds make capital commitments to those funds that we are entitled to call from those investors at any time during prescribed periods. We depend on investors fulfilling their commitments when we call capital from them in order for those funds to consummate investments and otherwise pay their obligations (for example, management fees) when due. Any investor that did not fund a capital call would generally be subject to several possible penalties, including having a significant amount of its existing investment forfeited in that fund. However, the impact of the penalty is directly correlated to the amount of capital previously invested by the investor in the fund and if an investor has invested little or no capital, for instance early in the life of the fund, then the forfeiture penalty may not be as meaningful. Investors may also negotiate for lesser or reduced penalties at the outset of the fund, thereby inhibiting our ability to enforce the funding of a capital call. Third-party investors in private equity, real estate assets and venture capital funds typically use distributions from prior investments to meet future capital calls. In cases where valuations of investors' existing investments fall and the pace of distributions slows, investors may be unable to make new commitments to third-party managed investment funds such as those advised by us. If investors were to fail to satisfy a significant amount of capital calls for any particular fund or funds, the operation and performance of those funds could be materially and adversely affected.

In addition, our failure to comply with applicable pay-to-play laws, regulations and/or policies adopted by a number of states and municipal pension funds as well as the New York Attorney General's Public Pension Fund Reform Code of Conduct, may, in certain instances, excuse a public pension fund investor from its obligation to make further capital contributions relating to all or any part of an investment or allow it to withdraw from the fund. If a public pension fund investor were to seek to be excused from funding a significant amount of capital calls for any particular fund or funds, the operation and performance of those funds could be materially and adversely affected.

Our failure to deal appropriately with conflicts of interest in our investment business could damage our reputation and adversely affect our businesses.

As we have expanded and as we continue to expand the number and scope of our businesses, we increasingly confront potential conflicts of interest relating to our funds' investment activities. For example, a decision to acquire material, non-public information about a company while pursuing an investment opportunity for a particular fund may give rise to a potential conflict of interest that results in our having to restrict the ability of other funds to take any action. Certain of our funds, managed accounts or investment vehicles may have overlapping investment objectives, including co-investment funds and funds that have different fee structures, and potential conflicts may arise with respect to our decisions regarding how to allocate investment opportunities among those funds, managed accounts or investors. Different private equity funds may invest in a single portfolio company, for example where the fund that made an initial investment no longer has capital available to invest.

We may also cause different private equity funds to invest in a single portfolio company, for example where the fund that made an initial investment no longer has capital available to invest. We may also cause different funds that we manage to purchase different classes of securities in the same portfolio company. For example, one of our funds could acquire a debt security issued by the same company in which one of our buyout funds owns common equity securities. A direct conflict of interest could arise between the debt holders and the equity holders if such a portfolio company was to develop insolvency concerns, and that conflict would have to be carefully managed by us. It is also possible that in the event the portfolio company goes through a bankruptcy proceeding, the interests of the fund holding the debt securities may be subordinated, recharacterized or otherwise adversely affected by virtue of the involvement and actions of the fund holding the equity in the portfolio company. In such a case, the debt security could be converted into equity and the prospects of repayment greatly diminished. In addition, conflicts of interest may exist in the valuation of our investments and regarding decisions about the allocation of specific investment opportunities among us and our funds and the allocation of fees and costs among us, our funds and their portfolio companies and conflicts could also arise in respect of the ultimate disposition of such investments. To the extent we fail to appropriately deal with any such conflicts, it could negatively impact our reputation and ability to raise additional funds and the willingness of counterparties to do business with us or result in regulatory liability or potential litigation against us.

Risk management activities may adversely affect the return on our and our funds' investments. When managing our exposure to market risks, we may (on our own behalf or on behalf of our funds) from time to time use forward contracts, options, swaps, caps, collars and floors or pursue other strategies or use other forms of derivative instruments to limit our exposure to changes in the relative values of investments that may result from market developments, including changes in prevailing interest rates, currency exchange rates and commodity prices. The scope of risk management activities undertaken by us varies based on the level and volatility of interest rates, prevailing foreign currency exchange rates, the types of investments that are made and other changing market conditions. The use of hedging transactions and other derivative instruments to reduce the effects of a decline in the value of a position does not eliminate the possibility of fluctuations in the value of the position or prevent losses if the value of the position declines. Such transactions may also limit the opportunity for gain if the value of a position increases. Moreover, it may not be possible to limit the exposure to a market development that is so generally anticipated that a hedging or other derivative transaction cannot be entered into at an acceptable price. The success of any hedging or other derivative transaction generally will depend on our ability to correctly predict market changes, the degree of correlation between price movements of a derivative instrument and the position being hedged, the creditworthiness of the counterparty and other factors. As a result, while we may enter into such a transaction in order to reduce our exposure to market risks, the transaction may result in poorer overall firm or investment performance than if it had not been executed. Such transactions may also limit the opportunity for gain if the value of a hedged position increases.

While such hedging arrangements may reduce certain risks, such arrangements themselves may entail certain other risks. These arrangements may require the posting of cash collateral at a time when a fund has insufficient cash or illiquid assets such that the posting of the cash is either impossible or requires the sale of assets at prices that do not reflect their underlying value. Moreover, these hedging arrangements may generate significant transaction costs, including potential tax costs, which may reduce the returns generated by the firm or a fund. See "—Rapidly changing regulations regarding derivatives and commodity interest transactions could adversely impact various aspects of our business."

Certain of our fund investments may be concentrated in particular asset types or geographic regions, which could exacerbate any negative performance of those funds to the extent those concentrated investments perform poorly. The governing agreements of our investment funds contain only limited investment restrictions and only limited requirements as to diversification of fund investments, either by geographic region or asset type. For example, we advise funds that invest predominantly in the United States, Europe, Asia, South America, Ireland, Peru, Japan, or Sub-Saharan Africa; and we advise funds that invest in a single industry sector, such as financial services and power.

During periods of difficult market conditions or slowdowns in these sectors or geographic regions, decreased revenue, difficulty in obtaining access to financing and increased funding costs experienced by our funds may be exacerbated by this concentration of investments, which would result in lower investment returns for our funds. Such concentration may increase the risk that events affecting a specific geographic region or asset type will have an adverse or disparate impact on such investment funds, as compared to funds that invest more broadly. Idiosyncratic factors impacting specific companies or securities can materially affect fund performance depending on the size of the position.

Our energy business is involved in oil and gas exploration and development which involves a high degree of risk. Our energy teams focus on investments in businesses involved in oil and gas exploration and development, which can be a speculative business involving a high degree of risk, including:

the use of new technologies;

reliance on estimates of oil and gas reserves in the evaluation of available geological, geophysical, engineering and economic data for each reservoir:

encountering unexpected formations or pressures, premature declines of reservoirs, blow-outs, equipment failures and other accidents in completing wells and otherwise, cratering, sour gas releases, uncontrollable flows of oil, natural gas or well fluids, adverse weather conditions, pollution, fires, spills and other environmental risks; and

the volatility of oil and natural gas prices.

While the price of oil generally increased in 2016, we continue to see volatility. Prices for oil and natural gas are subject to wide fluctuation in response to relatively minor changes in the supply of and demand for oil and natural gas as well as numerous additional factors such as market uncertainty, speculation, the level of consumer product demand, the refining capacity of oil purchasers, weather conditions, domestic and non-U.S. governmental regulations, the price and availability of alternative fuels, political conditions in the Middle East and Africa, actions of the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries, the non-U.S. supply of oil and natural gas, the price of non-U.S. imports and overall economic conditions. In addition, changes in commodity prices can vary widely from one location to the next depending upon the characteristics of the production and the availability of gathering, transportation, processing and storage facilities used to transport the oil and gas to markets. The increase in oil prices in 2016 had a positive impact on our natural resources and Legacy Energy portfolio which appreciated 24% and 10%, respectively, for the year. In the event oil prices decline, it is possible our portfolio could be adversely impacted.

Certain of our investment funds may invest in securities of companies that are experiencing significant financial or business difficulties, including companies involved in bankruptcy or other reorganization and liquidation proceedings. Such investments may be subject to a greater risk of poor performance or loss.

Certain of our investment funds, especially our distressed and corporate opportunities funds, may invest in business enterprises involved in work-outs, liquidations, reorganizations, bankruptcies and similar transactions and may purchase high risk receivables. An investment in such business enterprises entails the risk that the transaction in which such business enterprise is involved either will be unsuccessful, will take considerable time or will result in a distribution of cash or a new security the value of which will be less than the purchase price to the fund of the security or other financial instrument in respect of which such distribution is received. In addition, if an anticipated transaction does not in fact occur, the fund may be required to sell its investment at a loss. Investments in troubled companies may also be adversely affected by U.S. federal and state laws relating to, among other things, fraudulent conveyances, voidable preferences, lender liability and a bankruptcy court's discretionary power to disallow, subordinate or disenfranchise particular claims. Investments in securities and private claims of troubled companies made in connection with an attempt to influence a restructuring proposal or plan of reorganization in a bankruptcy case may also involve substantial litigation, which has the potential to adversely impact us or unrelated funds or portfolio companies. Because there is substantial uncertainty concerning the outcome of transactions involving financially troubled companies, there is a potential risk of loss by a fund of its entire investment in such company. Our private equity funds' performance, and our performance, may be adversely affected by the financial performance of our portfolio companies and the industries in which our funds invest.

Our performance and the performance of our private equity funds are significantly impacted by the value of the companies in which our funds have invested. Our funds invest in companies in many different industries, each of which is subject to volatility based upon economic and market factors. Since the global financial crisis, we have experienced and subsequent recovery has caused significant fluctuations in the value of securities held by our funds. The concomitant recession and recovery in the real economy subsequent to the global financial crisis also exerted a significant impact on overall performance activity and the demands for many of the goods and services provided by portfolio companies of the funds we advise. Although the U.S. economy has registered seven consecutive years of growth in real GDP, there remain many obstacles to continued growth in the economy such as geopolitical events, increased risk of rising inflation and interest rates interacting with high debt levels and external economic weakness. These factors and other general economic trends are likely to impact the performance of portfolio companies in many

industries and in particular, industries that anticipated that global GDP would quickly return to its pre-crisis trend. In addition, the value of our investments in portfolio companies in the financial services industry is impacted by the overall health and stability of the credit markets. Continued strength in the U.S. Dollar could also increase default risk on U.S. dollar-denominated loans and bonds issued by businesses domiciled in emerging market economies (EMEs), particularly in those economies whose currencies have declined sharply relative to the U.S. dollar. An increase in emerging markets corporate or sovereign defaults could further impair funding conditions or depress asset prices in these economies. The performance of our private equity funds, and our performance, may be adversely affected to the extent our fund portfolio companies experience adverse performance or additional pressure due to these downward trends. With respect to real estate, various factors could have an adverse effect on investment performance, including, but not limited to,

deflation in consumer prices, a low level of consumer confidence in the economy and/or the residential real estate market and rising mortgage interest rates. In response to financial difficulties that are currently being experienced or that may be experienced in the future by certain portfolio companies or real estate investments, we may consider legal, regulatory, tax or other factors in determining the steps we may take to support such companies or investments, which may include enhancing the management team or funding additional capital investments from our investment funds, our senior Carlyle professionals and/or us. The actions we may take to support companies or investments experiencing financial difficulties may not be successful in remedying the financial difficulties and our investment funds, our senior Carlyle professionals or we may not recoup some or all of any capital investments made in support of such companies or investments. To the extent we and/or certain of our senior Carlyle professionals fund additional capital into a portfolio company or real estate investment that is experiencing difficulties, we may be required to consolidate such entity into our financial statements under applicable U.S. GAAP. See "—Risks Related to Our Organizational Structure-The Consolidation of Investment Funds, Holding Companies or Operating Businesses of Our Portfolio Companies Could Make it More Difficult to Understand the Operating Performance of the Partnership and Could Create Operational Risks For the Partnership."

The financial projections of our portfolio companies could prove inaccurate.

Our funds generally establish the capital structure of portfolio companies on the basis of financial projections prepared by the management of such portfolio companies. These projected operating results will normally be based primarily on judgments of the management of the portfolio companies. In all cases, projections are only estimates of future results that are based upon assumptions made at the time that the projections are developed. General economic conditions, which are not predictable, along with other factors may cause actual performance to fall short of the financial projections that were used to establish a given portfolio company's capital structure. Because of the leverage that we typically employ in our investments, this could cause a substantial decrease in the value of our equity holdings in the portfolio company. The inaccuracy of financial projections could thus cause our funds' performance to fall short of our expectations.

Contingent liabilities could harm fund performance.

We may cause our funds to acquire an investment that is subject to contingent liabilities. Such contingent liabilities could be unknown to us at the time of acquisition or, if they are known to us, we may not accurately assess or protect against the risks that they present. Acquired contingent liabilities could thus result in unforeseen losses for our funds. In addition, in connection with the disposition of an investment in a portfolio company, a fund may be required to make representations about the business and financial affairs of such portfolio company typical of those made in connection with the sale of a business. A fund may also be required to indemnify the purchasers of such investment to the extent that any such representations are inaccurate. These arrangements may result in the incurrence of contingent liabilities by a fund, even after the disposition of an investment. Accordingly, the inaccuracy of representations and warranties made by a fund could harm such fund's performance.

We and our investment funds are subject to risks in using prime brokers, custodians, administrators and other agents and third-party service providers.

We and many of our investment funds depend on the services of prime brokers, custodians, administrators and other agents and third-party service providers to carry out certain securities transactions and other business functions.

The counterparty to one or more of our or our funds' contractual arrangements could default on its obligations under the contract. If a counterparty defaults, we and our funds may be unable to take action to cover the exposure and we or one or more of our funds could incur material losses. Among other systems, our data security, data privacy, investor

reporting and business continuity processes could be impacted by a third party's inability or unwillingness to perform pursuant to our arrangements with them. In addition, we could suffer legal and reputational damage from such failure to perform if we are then unable to satisfy our obligations under our contracts with third parties or otherwise and could suffer losses in the event we are unable to comply with certain other agreements.

The terms of our contracts with third parties surrounding securities transactions are often customized and complex, and many of these arrangements occur in markets or relate to products that are not subject to regulatory oversight. In particular, some of our funds utilize prime brokerage arrangements with a relatively limited number of counterparties, which has the effect of concentrating the transaction volume (and related counterparty default risk) of these funds with these counterparties.

The consolidation and elimination of counterparties resulting from the disruption in the financial markets has increased our concentration of counterparty risk and has decreased the number of potential counterparties. Our carry funds generally are not restricted from dealing with any particular counterparty or from concentrating any or all of their transactions with one counterparty. In the event of the insolvency of a party that is holding our assets or those of our funds as collateral, we and our funds may not be able to recover equivalent assets in full as we and our funds will rank among the counterparty's unsecured creditors. In addition, our and our funds' cash held with a prime broker, custodian or counterparty may not be segregated from

the prime broker's, custodian's or counterparty's own cash, and we and our funds therefore may rank as unsecured creditors in relation thereto. The inability to recover our or our investment funds' assets could have a material impact on us or on the performance of our funds. In addition, counterparties have generally reacted to recent market volatility by tightening their underwriting standards and increasing their margin requirements for all categories of financing, which has the result of decreasing the overall amount of leverage available and increasing the costs of borrowing.

Investments in the natural resources industry, including the power industry, involve various operational, construction and regulatory risks.

The development, operation and maintenance of power generation facilities involves various operational risks, which can include mechanical and structural failure, accidents, labor issues or the failure of technology to perform as anticipated. Events outside our control, such as economic developments, changes in fuel prices or the price of other feedstocks, governmental policies, demand for energy and similar events, could materially reduce the revenues generated or increase the expenses of constructing, operating, maintaining or restoring power generation businesses. Such developments could impair a portfolio company's ability to repay its debt or conduct its operations. We may also choose to or be required to decommission a power generation facility or other asset. The decommissioning process could be protracted and result in the incurrence of significant financial and/or regulatory obligations or other uncertainties.

Our natural resource portfolio companies may also face construction risks typical for power generation and related infrastructure businesses, including, without limitation:

labor disputes, work stoppages or shortages of skilled labor;

shortages of fuels or materials;

slower than projected construction progress and the unavailability or late delivery of necessary equipment;

delays caused by or in obtaining the necessary regulatory approvals or permits;

adverse weather conditions and unexpected construction conditions;

accidents or the breakdown or failure of construction equipment or processes;

difficulties in obtaining suitable or sufficient financing; and

force majeure or catastrophic events such as explosions, fires and terrorist activities and other similar events beyond our control.

Such developments could result in substantial unanticipated delays or expenses and, under certain circumstances, and could prevent completion of construction activities once undertaken. Construction costs may exceed estimates for various reasons, including inaccurate engineering and planning, labor and building material costs in excess of expectations and unanticipated problems with project start-up. Such unexpected increases may result in increased debt service costs and funds being insufficient to complete construction. Portfolio investments under development or portfolio investments acquired to be developed may receive little or no cash flow from the date of acquisition through the date of completion of development and may experience operating deficits after the date of completion. In addition, market conditions may change during the course of development that make such development less attractive than at the time it was commenced. Any events of this nature could severely delay or prevent the completion of, or significantly increase the cost of, the construction. In addition, there are risks inherent in the construction work which may give rise to claims or demands against one of our portfolio companies from time to time. Delays in the completion of any power project may result in lost revenues or increased expenses, including higher operation and

maintenance costs related to such portfolio company.

Investments in electric utility industries both in the United States and abroad continue to experience increasing competitive pressures, primarily in wholesale markets, as a result of consumer demands, technological advances, greater availability of natural gas and other factors. Changes in regulation may support not only consolidation among domestic utilities, but also the disaggregation of vertically integrated utilities into separate generation, transmission and distribution businesses. As a result, additional significant competitors could become active in the independent power industry.

The power and energy sectors are the subject of substantial and complex laws, rules and regulation. These regulators include Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (the "FERC"), which has jurisdiction over the transmission and wholesale sale of electricity in interstate commerce and over the transportation, storage and certain sales of natural gas in interstate commerce, including the rates, charges and other terms and conditions for such services, respectively and the North American Electric Reliability Corporation ("NERC"), the purpose of which is to establish and enforce reliability standards applicable to all users,

owners and operators of the bulk power system. These regulators derive their authority from, among other laws, the Federal Power Act, as amended (the "FPA"), The Energy Policy Act of 2005, Natural Gas Act, as amended (the "NGA") and state and, perhaps, local public utility laws. On the state level, some state laws require approval from the state commission before an electric utility operating in the state may divest or transfer electric generation facilities. Most state laws require approval from the state commission before an electric utility company operating in the state may divest or transfer distribution facilities. Failure to comply with applicable laws, rules regulations and standards could result in the prevention of operation of certain facilities or the prevention of the sale of such a facility to a third party, as well as the loss of certain rate authority, refund liability, penalties and other remedies, all of which could result in additional costs to a portfolio company and adversely affect the investment results. In addition, any legislative efforts by the new administration or the new Congress to overturn or modify policies or regulations enacted by the prior administration that placed limitations on coal and gas electric generation, mining and/or exploration could adversely affect our alternative energy investments. Conversely, any governmental policy changes encouraging resource extraction could have the effect of holding down energy prices, which could have a negative impact on certain of our energy investments.

Our Investment Solutions business is subject to additional risks.

Our Investment Solutions business is subject to additional risks, including the following:

The Investment Solutions business is subject to business and other risks and uncertainties generally consistent with our business as a whole, including without limitation legal, tax and regulatory risks, the avoidance or management of conflicts of interest and the ability to attract and retain investment professionals and other personnel, and risks associated with the acquisition of new investment platforms.

Pursuant to our current arrangements with the various businesses, we currently restrict our participation in the investment activities undertaken by our Investment Solutions segment (including with respect to AlpInvest and Metropolitan), which may in turn limit our ability to address risks arising from their investment activities. For example, although we maintain ultimate control over AlpInvest, AlpInvest's management team (who are our employees) continues to exercise independent investment authority without involvement by other Carlyle personnel. For so long as these arrangements are in place, Carlyle representatives will serve on the management board of AlpInvest, but we will observe substantial restrictions on our ability to access investment information or engage in day-to-day participation in the AlpInvest investment business, including a restriction that AlpInvest investment decisions are made and maintained without involvement by other Carlyle personnel and that no specific investment data, other than data on the investment performance of its investment funds and managed accounts, will be shared. Generally, we have a reduced ability to identify or respond to investment and other operational issues that may arise within the Investment Solutions business, relative to other Carlyle investment funds.

Similar to other parts of our business, Investment Solutions is seeking to broaden its investor base by raising funds and advising separate accounts for investors on an account-by-account basis and the number and complexity of such investor mandates and fund structures has increased as a result of continuing fundraising efforts, and the activation of mandates with existing investors.

Conflicts may arise between such Investment Solutions funds or separate managed accounts (e.g., competition for investment opportunities), and in some cases conflicts may arise between a managed account and a Carlyle fund. In addition, such managed accounts may have different or heightened standards of care, and if they invest in other investment funds sponsored by us could result in lower management fees and carried interest to us than Carlyle's typical investment funds.

Our Investment Solutions business is separated from the rest of the firm by an informational wall designed to prevent certain types of information from flowing from the Investment Solutions platform to the rest of the firm. This information barrier could limit the collaboration between our investment professionals with respect to specific investments.

Risks Related to Our Organizational Structure

Our common unitholders do not elect our general partner or, except in limited circumstances, vote on our general partner's directors and have limited ability to influence decisions regarding our business.

Our general partner, Carlyle Group Management L.L.C., which is owned by our senior Carlyle professionals, manages all of our operations and activities. The limited liability company agreement of Carlyle Group Management L.L.C. establishes a board of directors that is responsible for the oversight of our business and operations. Unlike the holders of common stock in a

corporation, our common unitholders have only limited voting rights and have no right to remove our general partner or, except in the limited circumstances described below, elect the directors of our general partner. Our common unitholders have no right to elect the directors of our general partner unless, as determined on January 31 of each year, the total voting power held by holders of the special voting units in The Carlyle Group L.P. (including voting units held by our general partner and its affiliates) in their capacity as such, or otherwise held by then-current or former Carlyle personnel (treating voting units deliverable to such persons pursuant to outstanding equity awards as being held by them), collectively, constitutes less than 10% of the voting power of the outstanding voting units of The Carlyle Group L.P. As of December 31, 2016, the percentage of the voting power of The Carlyle Group L.P. limited partners collectively held by those categories of holders and calculated in this manner was approximately 77%. Unless and until the foregoing voting power condition is satisfied, our general partner's board of directors will be elected in accordance with its limited liability company agreement, which provides that directors may be appointed and removed by members of our general partner holding a majority in interest of the voting power of the members, which voting power is allocated to each member ratably according to his or her aggregate relative ownership of our common units and partnership units. As a result, our common unitholders have limited ability to influence decisions regarding our business.

Our senior Carlyle professionals will be able to determine the outcome of those few matters that may be submitted for a vote of the limited partners.

TCG Carlyle Global Partners L.L.C., an entity wholly owned by our senior Carlyle professionals, holds a special voting unit that provides it with a number of votes on any matter that may be submitted for a vote of our common unitholders (voting together as a single class on all such matters) that is equal to the aggregate number of vested and unvested Carlyle Holdings partnership units held by the limited partners of Carlyle Holdings. As of December 31, 2016, a special voting unit held by TCG Carlyle Global Partners L.L.C. provided it with approximately 74% of the total voting power of The Carlyle Group L.P. limited partners. Accordingly, our senior Carlyle professionals generally will have sufficient voting power to determine the outcome of those few matters that may be submitted for a vote of the limited partners of The Carlyle Group L.P.

Our common unitholders' voting rights are further restricted by the provision in our partnership agreement stating that any common units held by a person that beneficially owns 20% or more of any class of The Carlyle Group L.P. common units then outstanding (other than our general partner and its affiliates, or a direct or subsequently approved transferee of our general partner or its affiliates) cannot be voted on any matter. In addition, our partnership agreement contains provisions limiting the ability of our common unitholders to call meetings or to acquire information about our operations, as well as other provisions limiting the ability of our common unitholders to influence the manner or direction of our management. Our partnership agreement also does not restrict our general partner's ability to take actions that may result in our being treated as an entity taxable as a corporation for U.S. federal (and applicable state) income tax purposes. Furthermore, the common unitholders are not entitled to dissenters' rights of appraisal under our partnership agreement or applicable Delaware law in the event of a merger or consolidation, a sale of substantially all of our assets or any other transaction or event.

As a result of these matters and the provisions referred to under "— Our common unitholders do not elect our general partner or, except in limited circumstances, vote on our general partner's directors and will have limited ability to influence decisions regarding our business," our common unitholders may be deprived of an opportunity to receive a premium for their common units in the future through a sale of The Carlyle Group L.P., and the trading prices of our common units may be adversely affected by the absence or reduction of a takeover premium in the trading price.

We are permitted to repurchase all of the outstanding common units under certain circumstances, and this repurchase may occur at an undesirable time or price.

We have the right to acquire all of our then-outstanding common units at the then-current trading price either if 10% or less of our common units is held by persons other than our general partner and its affiliates or if we are required to register as an investment company under the Investment Company Act. As a result of our general partner's right to purchase outstanding common units, a holder of common units may have his common units purchased at an

undesirable time or price.

We are a limited partnership and as a result qualify for and intend to continue to rely on exceptions from certain corporate governance and other requirements under the rules of the NASDAQ Global Select Market. We are a limited partnership and qualify for exceptions from certain corporate governance and other requirements of the rules of the NASDAQ Global Select Market. Pursuant to these exceptions, limited partnerships may elect not to comply with certain corporate governance requirements of the NASDAQ Global Select Market, including the requirements (1) that a majority of the board of directors of our general partner consist of independent directors, (2) that we have a compensation committee that is composed entirely of independent directors, (3) that the compensation committee be required to consider certain independence factors when engaging compensation consultants, legal counsel and other committee advisors, (4) that we have independent director oversight of director nominations, and (5) that we obtain unitholder approval for (a) certain private

placements of units that equal or exceed 20% of the outstanding common units or voting power, (b) certain acquisitions of stock or assets of another company or (c) a change of control transaction. In addition, we are not required to hold annual meetings of our common unitholders. We intend to continue to avail ourselves of these exceptions. Accordingly, common unitholders generally do not have the same protections afforded to equityholders of entities that are subject to all of the corporate governance requirements of the NASDAQ Global Select Market. Potential conflicts of interest may arise among our general partner, its affiliates and us. Our general partner and its affiliates have limited fiduciary duties to us and our common unitholders, which may permit them to favor their own interests to the detriment of us and our common unitholders.

Conflicts of interest may arise among our general partner and its affiliates, on the one hand, and us and our common unitholders, on the other hand. As a result of these conflicts, our general partner may favor its own interests and the interests of its affiliates over the interests of our common unitholders. These conflicts include, among others, the following:

our general partner determines the amount and timing of our investments and dispositions, indebtedness, issuances of additional partnership interests and amounts of reserves, each of which can affect the amount of cash that is available for distribution to common unitholders;

our general partner is allowed to take into account the interests of parties other than us and the common unitholders in resolving conflicts of interest, which has the effect of limiting its duties (including fiduciary duties) to our common unitholders. For example, our subsidiaries that serve as the general partners of our investment funds have certain duties and obligations to those funds and their investors as a result of which we expect to regularly take actions in a manner consistent with such duties and obligations but that might adversely affect our near term results of operations or cash flow;

because our senior Carlyle professionals hold their Carlyle Holdings partnership units directly or through entities that are not subject to corporate income taxation and The Carlyle Group L.P. holds Carlyle Holdings partnership units through wholly owned subsidiaries, some of which are subject to corporate income taxation, conflicts may arise between our senior Carlyle professionals and The Carlyle Group L.P. relating to the selection, structuring and disposition of investments and other matters. For example, the earlier disposition of assets following an exchange or acquisition transaction by a limited partner of the Carlyle Holdings partnerships generally will accelerate payments under the tax receivable agreement and increase the present value of such payments, and the disposition of assets before an exchange or acquisition transaction will increase the tax liability of a limited partner of the Carlyle Holdings partnerships without giving rise to any rights of a limited partner of the Carlyle Holdings partnerships to receive payments under the tax receivable agreement;

our partnership agreement does not prohibit affiliates of the general partner, including its owners, from engaging in other businesses or activities, including those that might directly compete with us;

our general partner has limited its liability and reduced or eliminated its duties (including fiduciary duties) under the partnership agreement, while also restricting the remedies available to our common unitholders for actions that, without these limitations, might constitute breaches of duty (including fiduciary duty). In addition, we have agreed to indemnify our general partner and its affiliates to the fullest extent permitted by law, except with respect to conduct involving bad faith, fraud or willful misconduct. By purchasing our common units, common unitholders have agreed and consented to the provisions set forth in our partnership agreement, including the provisions regarding conflicts of interest situations that, in the absence of such provisions, might constitute a breach of fiduciary or other duties under applicable state law;

our partnership agreement will not restrict our general partner from causing us to pay it or its affiliates for any services rendered, or from entering into additional contractual arrangements with any of these entities on our behalf, so long as our general partner agrees to the terms of any such additional contractual arrangements in good faith as determined under the partnership agreement;

our general partner determines how much we pay for acquisition targets and the structure of such consideration, including whether to incur debt to fund the transaction, whether to issue units as consideration and the number of units to be issued and the amount and timing of any earn-out payments;

our general partner determines whether to waive certain restrictions relating to such units pursuant to the terms of the Exchange Agreement;

our general partner determines how much debt we incur and that decision may adversely affect our credit ratings;

our general partner determines which costs incurred by it and its affiliates are reimbursable by us;

our general partner controls the enforcement of obligations owed to us by it and its affiliates; and

our general partner decides whether to retain separate counsel, accountants or others to perform services for us. See "Part III. Item 13. Certain Relationships, Related Transactions and Director Independence" and "Part III. Items 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance—Committees of the Board of Directors—Conflicts Committee." Our partnership agreement contains provisions that reduce or eliminate duties (including fiduciary duties) of our general partner and limit remedies available to common unitholders for actions that might otherwise constitute a breach of duty. It will be difficult for a common unitholder to successfully challenge a resolution of a conflict of interest by our general partner or by its conflicts committee.

Our partnership agreement contains provisions that waive or consent to conduct by our general partner and its affiliates that might otherwise raise issues about compliance with fiduciary duties or applicable law. For example, our partnership agreement provides that when our general partner is acting in its individual capacity, as opposed to in its capacity as our general partner, it may act without any fiduciary obligations to us or our common unitholders whatsoever. When our general partner, in its capacity as our general partner, is permitted to or required to make a decision in its "sole discretion" or "discretion" or pursuant to any provision of our partnership agreement not subject to an express standard of "good faith," then our general partner is entitled to consider only such interests and factors as it desires, including its own interests, and has no duty or obligation (fiduciary or otherwise) to give any consideration to any interest of or factors affecting us or any limited partners and will not be subject to any different standards imposed by the partnership agreement, otherwise existing at law, in equity or otherwise.

The modifications of fiduciary duties contained in our partnership agreement are expressly permitted by Delaware law. Hence, we and our common unitholders only have recourse and are able to seek remedies against our general partner if our general partner breaches its obligations pursuant to our partnership agreement. Unless our general partner breaches its obligations pursuant to our partnership agreement, we and our common unitholders do not have any recourse against our general partner even if our general partner were to act in a manner that was inconsistent with traditional fiduciary duties. Furthermore, even if there has been a breach of the obligations set forth in our partnership agreement, our partnership agreement provides that our general partner and its officers and directors are not be liable to us or our common unitholders for errors of judgment or for any acts or omissions unless there has been a final and non-appealable judgment by a court of competent jurisdiction determining that the general partner or its officers and directors acted in bad faith or engaged in fraud or willful misconduct. These modifications are detrimental to the common unitholders because they restrict the remedies available to common unitholders for actions that without those limitations might constitute breaches of duty (including fiduciary duty).

Whenever a potential conflict of interest exists between us, any of our subsidiaries or any of our partners, and our general partner or its affiliates, our general partner may resolve such conflict of interest. Our general partner's resolution of the conflict of interest will conclusively be deemed approved by the partnership and all of our partners, and not to constitute a breach of the partnership agreement or any duty, unless the general partner subjectively believes such determination or action is opposed to the best interests of the partnership. A common unitholder seeking to challenge this resolution of the conflict of interest would bear the burden of proving that the general partner subjectively believed that such resolution was opposed to the best interests of the partnership. This is different from the situation with Delaware corporations, where a conflict resolution by an interested party would be presumed to be unfair and the interested party would have the burden of demonstrating that the resolution was fair.

Also, if our general partner obtains the approval of the conflicts committee of our general partner, any determination or action by the general partner will be conclusively deemed to be made or taken in good faith and not a breach by our general partner of the partnership agreement or any duties it may owe to us or our common unitholders. This is different from the situation with Delaware corporations, where a conflict resolution by a committee consisting solely

of independent directors may, in certain circumstances, merely shift the burden of demonstrating unfairness to the plaintiff. Common unitholders, in purchasing our common units, are deemed as having consented to the provisions set forth in our partnership agreement, including the provisions regarding conflicts of interest situations that, in the absence of such provisions, might constitute a breach of fiduciary or other duties under applicable state law. As a result, common unitholders will, as a practical matter, not be able to successfully challenge an informed decision by the conflicts committee. See "Part III. Item 13. Certain Relationships,

Related Transactions and Director Independence" and "Part III. Items 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance—Committees of the Board of Directors—Conflicts Committee."

The control of our general partner may be transferred to a third party without common unitholder consent. Our general partner may transfer its general partner interest to a third party in a merger or consolidation without the consent of our common unitholders. Furthermore, at any time, the members of our general partner may sell or transfer all or part of their limited liability company interests in our general partner without the approval of the common unitholders, subject to certain restrictions as described elsewhere in this annual report. A new general partner may not be willing or able to form new investment funds and could form funds that have investment objectives and governing terms that differ materially from those of our current investment funds. A new owner could also have a different investment philosophy, employ investment professionals who are less experienced, be unsuccessful in identifying investment opportunities or have a track record that is not as successful as Carlyle's track record. If any of the foregoing were to occur, we could experience difficulty in making new investments, and the value of our existing investments, our business, our results of operations and our financial condition could materially suffer.

We intend to pay periodic distributions to our common unitholders, but our ability to do so may be limited by our cash flow from operations and available liquidity, holding partnership structure, applicable provisions of Delaware law and

The Carlyle Group L.P. is a holding partnership and has no material assets other than the ownership of the partnership units in Carlyle Holdings held through wholly owned subsidiaries. The Carlyle Group L.P. has no independent means of generating revenue. Accordingly, we intend to cause Carlyle Holdings to make distributions to its partners, including The Carlyle Group L.P.'s wholly owned subsidiaries, to fund any distributions The Carlyle Group L.P. may declare on the common units. If Carlyle Holdings makes such distributions, the limited partners of Carlyle Holdings will be entitled to receive equivalent distributions pro rata based on their partnership interests in Carlyle Holdings. Because Carlyle Holdings I GP Inc. must pay taxes and make payments under the tax receivable agreement, the amounts ultimately distributed by The Carlyle Group L.P. to common unitholders are generally expected to be less, on a per unit basis, than the amounts distributed by the Carlyle Holdings partnerships to the limited partners of the Carlyle Holdings partnerships in respect of their Carlyle Holdings partnership units.

contractual restrictions and obligations.

The declaration and payment of any distributions is at the sole discretion of our general partner, which may change our distribution policy at any time. There can be no assurance that any distributions, whether quarterly or otherwise, will or can be paid. Our ability to make cash distributions to our common unitholders depends on a number of factors, including among other things, general economic and business conditions, our strategic plans and prospects, our business and investment opportunities, our financial condition and operating results, working capital requirements and anticipated cash needs, contractual restrictions and obligations, including fulfilling our current and future capital commitments, legal, tax and regulatory restrictions, restrictions and other implications on the payment of distributions by us to our common unitholders or by our subsidiaries to us, payments required pursuant to the tax receivable agreement and such other factors as our general partner may deem relevant.

Under the Delaware Limited Partnership Act, we may not make a distribution to a partner if after the distribution all our liabilities, other than liabilities to partners on account of their partnership interests and liabilities for which the recourse of creditors is limited to specific property of the partnership, would exceed the fair value of our assets. If we were to make such an impermissible distribution, any limited partner who received a distribution and knew at the time of the distribution that the distribution was in violation of the Delaware Limited Partnership Act would be liable to us for the amount of the distribution for three years. In addition, the terms of our credit facility or other financing arrangements may from time to time include covenants or other restrictions that could constrain our ability to make distributions.

We are required to pay the limited partners of the Carlyle Holdings partnerships for most of the benefits relating to any additional tax depreciation or amortization deductions that we may claim as a result of the tax basis step-up we receive in connection with subsequent sales or exchanges of Carlyle Holdings partnership units and related transactions. In certain cases, payments under the tax receivable agreement with the limited partners of the Carlyle Holdings partnerships may be accelerated and/or significantly exceed the actual tax benefits we realize and our ability

to make payments under the tax receivable agreement may be limited by our structure.

Limited partners of the Carlyle Holdings partnerships, may, subject to the terms of the exchange agreement and the Carlyle Holdings partnership agreements, exchange their Carlyle Holdings partnership units for The Carlyle Group L.P. common units on a one-for-one basis. A Carlyle Holdings limited partner must exchange one partnership unit in each of the three Carlyle Holdings partnerships to effect an exchange for a common unit. The exchanges are expected to result in increases in the tax basis of the tangible and intangible assets of Carlyle Holdings. These increases in tax basis may increase (for tax purposes) depreciation and amortization deductions and therefore reduce the amount of tax that Carlyle Holdings I GP Inc. and

any other entity which may in the future pay taxes and become obligated to make payments under the tax receivable agreement as described in the fourth succeeding paragraph below, which we refer to as the "corporate taxpayers," would otherwise be required to pay in the future, although the IRS may challenge all or part of that tax basis increase, and a court could sustain such a challenge.

We have entered into a tax receivable agreement with the limited partners of the Carlyle Holdings partnerships that provides for the payment by the corporate taxpayers to such owners of 85% of the amount of cash savings, if any, in U.S. federal, state and local income tax or foreign or franchise tax that the corporate taxpayers realize as a result of these increases in tax basis and of certain other tax benefits related to entering into the tax receivable agreement, including tax benefits attributable to payments under the tax receivable agreement. This payment obligation is an obligation of the corporate taxpayers and not of Carlyle Holdings. While the actual increase in tax basis, as well as the amount and timing of any payments under this agreement, will vary depending upon a number of factors, we expect that as a result of the size of the transfers and increases in the tax basis of the tangible and intangible assets of Carlyle Holdings, the payments that we may make pursuant to the tax receivable agreement will be substantial. The factors include:

the timing of exchanges — for instance, the increase in any tax deductions will vary depending on the fair value, which may fluctuate over time, of the depreciable or amortizable assets of Carlyle Holdings at the time of each exchange;

the price of our common units at the time of the exchange — the increase in any tax deductions, as well as the tax basis increase in other assets, of Carlyle Holdings, is directly proportional to the price of our common units at the time of the exchange;

the extent to which such exchanges are taxable — if an exchange is not taxable for any reason, increased deductions will not be available;

the amount and timing of our income — the corporate taxpayers will be required to pay 85% of the cash tax savings as and when realized, if any. If the corporate taxpayers do not have taxable income, the corporate taxpayers are not required (absent a change of control or other circumstances requiring an early termination payment) to make payments under the tax receivable agreement for that taxable year because no cash tax savings will have been realized. However, any cash tax savings that do not result in realized benefits in a given tax year will likely generate tax attributes that may be utilized to generate benefits in previous or future tax years. The utilization of such tax attributes will result in payments under the tax receivables agreement; and

tax rate and tax legislation - the impact of changes in tax rates or tax legislation may impact the tax paid, which would modify the amount paid under the agreement.

The payments under the tax receivable agreement are not conditioned upon the tax receivable agreement counterparties' continued ownership of us. In the event that The Carlyle Group L.P. or any of its wholly owned subsidiaries that are not treated as corporations for U.S. federal income tax purposes become taxable as a corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes, these entities will also be obligated to make payments under the tax receivable agreement on the same basis and to the same extent as the corporate taxpayers.

The tax receivable agreement provides that upon certain changes of control, or if, at any time, the corporate taxpayers elect an early termination of the tax receivable agreement, the corporate taxpayers' obligations under the tax receivable agreement (with respect to all Carlyle Holdings partnership units whether or not previously exchanged) would be calculated by reference to the value of all future payments that the limited partners of the Carlyle Holdings partnerships would have been entitled to receive under the tax receivable agreement using certain valuation assumptions, including that the corporate taxpayers' will have sufficient taxable income to fully utilize the deductions arising from the increased tax deductions and tax basis and other benefits related to entering into the tax receivable agreement and, in the case of an early termination election, that any Carlyle Holdings partnership units that have not

been exchanged are deemed exchanged for the market value of the common units at the time of termination. Assuming that the market value of a common unit was equal to \$15.25 per common unit, which was the closing price per common unit on December 30, 2016, and that LIBOR were to be 2.64%, we estimate that the aggregate amount of these termination payments would be approximately \$757 million if the corporate taxpayers were to exercise their termination right.

The foregoing number is merely an estimate and the actual payments could differ materially. In addition, the limited partners of the Carlyle Holdings partnerships will not reimburse us for any payments previously made under the tax receivable agreement if such tax basis increase is successfully challenged by the IRS. The corporate taxpayers' ability to achieve benefits from any tax basis increase, and the payments to be made under this agreement, will depend upon a number of factors,

including the timing and amount of our future income. As a result, even in the absence of a change of control or an election to terminate the tax receivable agreement, payments to the limited partners of the Carlyle Holdings partnerships under the tax receivable agreement could be in excess of the corporate taxpayers' actual cash tax savings. Accordingly, it is possible that the actual cash tax savings realized by the corporate taxpayers may be significantly less than the corresponding tax receivable agreement payments. There may be a material negative effect on our liquidity if the payments under the tax receivable agreement exceed the actual cash tax savings that the corporate taxpayers realize in respect of the tax attributes subject to the tax receivable agreement and/or distributions to the corporate taxpayers by Carlyle Holdings are not sufficient to permit the corporate taxpayers to make payments under the tax receivable agreement after they have paid taxes and other expenses. We may need to incur debt to finance payments under the tax receivable agreement to the extent our cash resources are insufficient to meet our obligations under the tax receivable agreement as a result of timing discrepancies or otherwise.

See "Part III. Item 13. Certain Relationships, Related Transactions and Director Independence—Tax Receivable Agreement."

If The Carlyle Group L.P. were deemed to be an "investment company" under the Investment Company Act, applicable restrictions could make it impractical for us to continue our business as contemplated and could have a material adverse effect on our business.

An entity generally will be deemed to be an "investment company" for purposes of the Investment Company Act if:

it is or holds itself out as being engaged primarily, or proposes to engage primarily, in the business of investing, reinvesting or trading in securities; or

absent an applicable exemption, it owns or proposes to acquire investment securities having a value exceeding 40% of the value of its total assets (exclusive of U.S. government securities and cash items) on an unconsolidated basis. We believe that we are engaged primarily in the business of providing asset management services and not in the business of investing, reinvesting or trading in securities. We hold ourselves out as an asset management firm and do not propose to engage primarily in the business of investing, reinvesting or trading in securities, Accordingly, we do not believe that The Carlyle Group L.P. is an "orthodox" investment company as defined in section 3(a)(1)(A) of the Investment Company Act and described in the first bullet point above. Furthermore, The Carlyle Group L.P. does not have any material assets other than its interests in certain wholly owned subsidiaries, which in turn have no material assets other than general partner interests in the Carlyle Holdings partnerships. These wholly owned subsidiaries are the sole general partners of the Carlyle Holdings partnerships and are vested with all management and control over the Carlyle Holdings partnerships. We do not believe that the equity interests of The Carlyle Group L.P. in its wholly owned subsidiaries or the general partner interests of these wholly owned subsidiaries in the Carlyle Holdings partnerships are investment securities. Moreover, because we believe that the capital interests of the general partners of our funds in their respective funds are neither securities nor investment securities, we believe that less than 40% of The Carlyle Group L.P.'s total assets (exclusive of U.S. government securities and cash items) on an unconsolidated basis are composed of assets that could be considered investment securities. Accordingly, we do not believe that The Carlyle Group L.P. is an inadvertent investment company by virtue of the 40% test in section 3(a)(1)(C) of the Investment Company Act as described in the second bullet point above. In addition, we believe that The Carlyle Group L.P. is not an investment company under section 3(b)(1) of the Investment Company Act because it is primarily engaged in a non-investment company business.

The Investment Company Act and the rules thereunder contain detailed parameters for the organization and operation of investment companies. Among other things, the Investment Company Act and the rules thereunder limit or prohibit transactions with affiliates, impose limitations on the issuance of debt and equity securities, generally prohibit the issuance of options and impose certain governance requirements. We intend to conduct our operations so that The Carlyle Group L.P. will not be deemed to be an investment company under the Investment Company Act. If anything were to happen which would cause The Carlyle Group L.P. to be deemed to be an investment company under the

Investment Company Act, requirements imposed by the Investment Company Act, including limitations on our capital structure, ability to transact business with affiliates (including us) and ability to compensate key employees, could make it impractical for us to continue our business as currently conducted, impair the agreements and arrangements between and among The Carlyle Group L.P., Carlyle Holdings and our senior Carlyle professionals, or any combination thereof, and materially adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition. In addition, we may be required to limit the amount of investments that we make as a principal or otherwise conduct our business in a manner that does not subject us to the registration and other requirements of the Investment Company Act.

Changes in accounting standards issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") or other standard-setting bodies may adversely affect our financial statements.

Our financial statements are prepared in accordance with GAAP as defined in the Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") of the FASB. From time to time, we are required to adopt new or revised accounting standards or guidance that are incorporated into the ASC. It is possible that future accounting standards we are required to adopt could change the current accounting treatment that we apply to our consolidated financial statements and that such changes could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

For instance, in the last three years, the FASB has issued several accounting standard updates that will change (or have already changed) how we account for and report significant areas of our business. On January 1, 2016, we changed the way we evaluate certain legal entities for consolidation. This accounting standard update reduced the number of funds we consolidate and reduced our total assets, total liabilities and total partners' capital. Further, in May 2014, the FASB issued a final accounting standard that changes the way issuers recognize revenue in their financial statements. This new accounting method may affect how we recognize and present revenues in our financial statements. The accounting change related to the recognition of revenue is effective January 1, 2018. Additionally, in February 2016, the FASB issued a final accounting standard that will require us to recognize virtually all of our leases on our consolidated balance sheet. While this accounting change is not effective until January 1, 2019, it is required to be applied retrospectively and we expect that our total assets and total liabilities on our consolidated balance sheet to increase upon adoption of this accounting guidance.

The changes to GAAP will also impose special demands on issuers in the areas of governance, employee training, internal controls and disclosure.

The consolidation of investment funds, holding companies or operating businesses of our portfolio companies could make it more difficult to understand the operating performance of the Partnership and could create operational risks for the Partnership.

Under applicable US GAAP standards, we may be required to consolidate certain of our investment funds, holding companies or operating businesses if we determine that these entities are VIEs and that the Partnership is the primary beneficiary of the VIE. The consolidation of such entities could make it difficult for an investor to differentiate the assets, liabilities, and results of operations of the Partnership apart from the assets, liabilities, and results of operations of the consolidated VIEs. The assets of the consolidated VIEs are not available to meet our liquidity requirements and similarly we generally have not guaranteed or assumed any obligation for repayment of the liabilities of the consolidated VIEs.

As of December 31, 2016 (and subsequent to the January 1, 2016, adoption of an accounting standard that changed the way we evaluate certain legal entities for consolidation as discussed in Note 2 to our consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K), the total assets and liabilities of our consolidated VIEs reflected in our consolidated balance sheets were \$4.9 billion and \$4.8 billion, respectively.

As a public entity, we are subject to the reporting requirements of the Exchange Act, as amended, and requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (the "Sarbanes-Oxley Act"). The Exchange Act requires that we file annual, quarterly and current reports with respect to our business and financial condition, and provide an annual assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act requires that we maintain effective disclosure controls and procedures and internal controls over financial reporting. In order to maintain and improve the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures and internal controls over financial reporting as required by the Exchange Act, significant resources and management oversight are required. We have implemented procedures and processes for the purpose of addressing the standards and requirements applicable to public companies. The VIEs that we consolidate as the primary beneficiary are, subject to certain transition guidelines, included in our annual assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. As a result, we will need to continue to implement and oversee procedures and processes to integrate such operations into our internal control structure. If we are not able to implement or maintain the necessary

procedures and processes, we may be unable to report our financial information on a timely or accurate basis and could be subject adverse consequences, including sanctions by the SEC or violations of applicable Nasdaq listing rules, and could result in a breach of the covenants under the agreements governing our financing arrangements. There could also be a negative reaction in the financial markets due to a loss of investor confidence in us and the reliability of our financial statements.

Risks Related to Our Common Units

The market price of our common units may decline due to the large number of common units eligible for exchange and future sale.

The market price of our common units could decline as a result of sales of a large number of common units in the market in the future or the perception that such sales could occur. These sales, or the possibility that these sales may occur, also might make it more difficult for us to sell common units in the future at a time and at a price that we deem appropriate. Subject to the restrictions described below, we may issue and sell in the future additional common units. Since our initial public offering, we have issued 4,500,000 common units in the market and have granted 42,201,658 deferred restricted common units as of December 31, 2016. The issuance of additional equity securities or securities convertible into equity securities would also result in dilution of our existing unitholders' equity interest. The issuance of the additional common units, the sale of common units upon the exchange of Carlyle Holdings partnership units and the vesting and sale of the deferred restricted common units could cause the market price of our common units to decline.

As of December 31, 2016, limited partners of the Carlyle Holdings partnerships owned an aggregate of 241,847,796 Carlyle Holdings partnership units. Pursuant to the exchange agreement with the limited partners of the Carlyle Holdings partnerships, the limited partners may, subject to any applicable remaining vesting and minimum retained ownership requirements other transfer restrictions applicable to such limited partners as set forth in the partnership agreements of the Carlyle Holdings partnerships, on a quarterly basis (subject to the terms of the exchange agreement), exchange their Carlyle Holdings partnership units for our common units on a one-for-one basis starting in the second quarter, 2017. Of the total units in the Carlyle Holdings partnerships entities affiliated with Mubadala Development Company, an Abu Dhabi-based strategic development and investment company ("Mubadala") held 23,517,939 Carlyle Holdings partnership units as of December 31, 2016. Mubadala is generally entitled to exchange Carlyle Holdings partnerships units for common units at any time (subject to the terms of the exchange agreement). Common units received upon an exchange of Carlyle Holdings partnership units would be eligible for immediate sale. We have entered into registration rights agreements with the limited partners of Carlyle Holdings that generally require us to register these common units under the Securities Act. See "Part III. Item 13. Certain Relationships, Related Transactions and Director Independence—Registration Rights Agreements." Provisions of the partnership agreements of the Carlyle Holdings partnerships and related agreements that contractually restrict the limited partners of the Carlyle Holdings partnerships' ability to transfer the Carlyle Holdings partnership units or The Carlyle Group L.P. common units they hold may lapse over time or be waived, modified or amended at any time. Under our Equity Incentive Plan, we have granted 42,201,658 deferred restricted common units as of December 31, 2016. Additional common units and Carlyle Holdings partnership units will be available for future grant under our Equity Incentive Plan, which plan provides for automatic annual increases in the number of units available for future issuance. We have filed several registration statements and intend to file additional registration statements on Form S-8 under the Securities Act to register common units or securities convertible into or exchangeable for common units issued or available for future grant under our Equity Incentive Plan (including pursuant to automatic annual increases). Any such Form S-8 registration statement will automatically become effective upon filing. Accordingly, common units registered under such registration statement will be available for sale in the open market. Morgan Stanley, our equity plan service provider, may, from time to time, act as a broker, dealer, or agent for, or otherwise facilitate sales in the open market through block transactions or otherwise of our common units on behalf of, plan participants, including in connection with sales of common units to fund tax obligations payable in connection with the vesting of awards under our Equity Incentive Plan.

In addition, our partnership agreement authorizes us to issue an unlimited number of additional partnership securities and options, rights, warrants and appreciation rights relating to partnership securities for the consideration and on the terms and conditions established by our general partner in its sole discretion without the approval of any limited partners. In accordance with the Delaware Limited Partnership Act and the provisions of our partnership agreement, we may also issue additional partnership interests that have certain designations, preferences, rights, powers and duties that are different from, and may be senior to, those applicable to common units. Similarly, the Carlyle Holdings

partnership agreements authorize the wholly owned subsidiaries of The Carlyle Group L.P. which are the general partners of those partnerships to issue an unlimited number of additional partnership securities of the Carlyle Holdings partnerships with certain designations, preferences, rights, powers and duties that are different from, and may be senior to, those applicable to the Carlyle Holdings partnerships units, and which may be exchangeable for our common units.

If securities or industry analysts do not publish research or reports about our business, or if they downgrade their recommendations regarding our common units, our stock price and trading volume could decline. The trading market for our common units is influenced by the research and reports that industry or securities analysts publish about us or our business. If any of the analysts who cover us downgrades our common units or publishes inaccurate or

unfavorable research about our business, our common unit stock price may decline. If analysts cease coverage of us or fail to regularly publish reports on us, we could lose visibility in the financial markets, which in turn could cause our common unit stock price or trading volume to decline and our common units to be less liquid.

The market price of our common units may be volatile, which could cause the value of your investment to decline.

Our common units may trade less frequently than those of certain more mature companies due to the limited number of common units outstanding. Due to such limited trading volume, the price of our common units may display abrupt or erratic movements at times. Additionally, it may be more difficult for investors to buy and sell significant amounts of our common units without an unfavorable impact on prevailing market prices.

Even if a trading market develops, the market price of our common units may be highly volatile and could be subject to wide fluctuations. Securities markets worldwide experience significant price and volume fluctuations. This market volatility, as well as general economic, market or political conditions, could reduce the market price of common units in spite of our operating performance. In addition, our operating results could be below the expectations of public market analysts and investors due to a number of potential factors, including variations in our quarterly operating results or distributions to unitholders, additions or departures of key management personnel, failure to meet analysts' earnings estimates, publication of research reports about our industry, litigation and government investigations, changes or proposed changes in laws or regulations or differing interpretations or enforcement thereof affecting our business, adverse market reaction to any indebtedness we may incur or securities we may issue in the future, changes in market valuations of similar companies or speculation in the press or investment community, announcements by our competitors of significant contracts, acquisitions, dispositions, strategic partnerships, joint ventures or capital commitments, adverse publicity about the industries in which we participate or individual scandals, and in response the market price of our common units could decrease significantly. You may be unable to resell your common units at or above the price you paid for them.

In the past few years, stock markets have experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations. In the past, following periods of volatility in the overall market and the market price of a company's securities, securities class action litigation has often been instituted against public companies. This type of litigation, if instituted against us, could result in substantial costs and a diversion of our management's attention and resources.

Risks Related to U.S. Taxation

Our structure involves complex provisions of U.S. federal income tax law for which no clear precedent or authority may be available. Our structure also is subject to potential legislative, judicial or administrative change and differing interpretations, possibly on a retroactive basis.

The U.S. federal income tax treatment of common unitholders depends in some instances on determinations of fact and interpretations of complex provisions of U.S. federal income tax law for which no clear precedent or authority may be available. You should be aware that the U.S. federal income tax rules are constantly under review by persons involved in the legislative process, the IRS and the U.S. Treasury Department, frequently resulting in revised interpretations of established concepts, statutory changes, revisions to regulations and other modifications and interpretations. The IRS pays close attention to the proper application of tax laws to partnerships. The present U.S. federal income tax treatment of an investment in our common units may be modified by administrative, legislative or judicial interpretation at any time, possibly on a retroactive basis, and any such action may affect investments and commitments previously made. Changes to the U.S. federal income tax laws and interpretations thereof could make it more difficult or impossible to meet the exception that allows us to be treated as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes (referred to as the "Qualifying Income Exception"), affect or cause us to change our investments and commitments, affect the tax considerations of an investment in us, change the character or treatment of portions of our income (including, for instance, the treatment of carried interest as ordinary income rather than capital gain) and adversely affect an investment in our common units. For example, as discussed above under "— Risks Related to Our

Company— In past years, the U.S. Congress has considered legislation that would have: (i) in some cases after a ten-year transition period, precluded us from qualifying as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes or required us to hold carried interest through taxable subsidiary corporations; and (ii) taxed certain income and gains at increased rates. If any similar legislation were to be enacted and apply to us, the after tax income and gain related to our business, as well as our distributions to you and the market price of our common units, could be reduced," the U.S. Congress has considered various legislative proposals to treat all or part of the capital gain and dividend income that is recognized by an investment partnership and allocable to a partner affiliated with the sponsor of the partnership (i.e., a portion of the carried interest) as ordinary income to such partner for U.S. federal income tax purposes, and the new administration has expressed support for legislation ending favorable treatment of carried interest.

Our organizational documents and governing agreements will permit our general partner to modify our limited partnership agreement from time to time, without the consent of the common unitholders, to address certain changes in U.S.

federal income tax regulations, legislation or interpretation. In some circumstances, such revisions could have a material adverse impact on some or all common unitholders. For instance, our general partner could elect at some point to treat us as an association taxable as a corporation for U.S. federal (and applicable state) income tax purposes. If our general partner were to do this, the U.S. federal income tax consequences of owning our common units would be materially different. Moreover, we will apply certain assumptions and conventions in an attempt to comply with applicable rules and to report income, gain, deduction, loss and credit to common unitholders in a manner that reflects such common unitholders' beneficial ownership of partnership items, taking into account variation in ownership interests during each taxable year because of trading activity. As a result, a common unitholder transferring units may be allocated income, gain, loss and deductions realized after the date of transfer. However, those assumptions and conventions may not be in compliance with all aspects of applicable tax requirements. It is possible that the IRS will assert successfully that the conventions and assumptions used by us do not satisfy the technical requirements of the Internal Revenue Code and/or Treasury regulations and could require that items of income, gain, deductions, loss or credit, including interest deductions, be adjusted, reallocated or disallowed in a manner that adversely affects common unitholders.

If we were treated as a corporation for U.S. federal income tax or state tax purposes or otherwise became subject to additional entity level taxation (including as a result of changes to current law), then our distributions to you would be substantially reduced and the value of our common units would be adversely affected.

The value of your investment in us depends in part on our being treated as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes, which requires that 90% or more of our gross income for every taxable year consist of qualifying income, as defined in Section 7704 of the Internal Revenue Code and that our partnership not be registered under the Investment Company Act. Qualifying income generally includes dividends, interest, capital gains from the sale or other disposition of stocks and securities and certain other forms of investment income. We may not meet these requirements or current law may change so as to cause, in either event, us to be treated as a corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes or otherwise subject us to U.S. federal income tax. Moreover, the anticipated after-tax benefit of an investment in our common units depends largely on our being treated as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes. We have not requested, and do not plan to request, a ruling from the IRS on this or any other matter affecting us.

If we were treated as a corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes, we would pay U.S. federal income tax on our taxable income at the applicable tax rates. In addition, we would likely be liable for state and local income and/or franchise tax on all our income. Distributions to you would generally be taxed again as corporate distributions, and no income, gains, losses, deductions or credits would otherwise flow through to you. Because a tax would be imposed upon us as a corporation, our distributions to you would be substantially reduced which would cause a reduction in the value of our common units.

Current law may change, causing us to be treated as a corporation for U.S. federal or state income tax purposes or otherwise subjecting us to additional entity level taxation. See "—Risks Related to Our Company—In past years, the U.S. Congress has considered legislation that would have: (i) in some cases after a ten-year transition period, precluded us from qualifying as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes or required us to hold carried interest through taxable subsidiary corporations; and (ii) taxed certain income and gains at increased rates. If any similar legislation were to be enacted and apply to us, the after tax income and gain related to our business, as well as our distributions to common unitholders and the market price of our common units, could be reduced." For example, several states are evaluating ways to subject partnerships to entity level taxation through the imposition of state income, franchise or other forms of taxation. If any state were to impose a tax upon us as an entity, our distributions to you would be reduced.

Possible U.S. federal income tax reform could adversely affect us.

Both the new administration and the Republican members of the U.S. House of Representatives have publicly stated that one of their top legislative priorities is significant reform of the Internal Revenue Code, including significant changes to taxation of business entities. Proposals by members of Congress have included, among other things,

changes to federal tax rates (including reducing the corporate rate and rates for active business income earned through partnerships), limiting interest deductibility, allowing for the expensing of capital expenditures, use of certain border adjustments, the migration from a "worldwide" system of taxation to a territorial system, extending the carryforward of net operating losses, and eliminating the deductibility of state and local taxes. While the new administration has expressed support for a number of these proposals, he has also set forth ideas for tax reform that differ in key ways. Both the timing and the details of any such tax reform are unclear. The impact of any potential tax reform on us, our portfolio companies and our investors is uncertain and could be adverse.

Our common unitholders may be subject to U.S. federal income tax on their share of our taxable income, regardless of whether they receive any cash distributions from us.

As long as 90% of our gross income for each taxable year constitutes qualifying income as defined in Section 7704 of the Internal Revenue Code and we are not required to register as an investment company under the Investment Company Act on

a continuing basis, and assuming there is no change in law, we will be treated, for U.S. federal income tax purposes, as a partnership and not as an association or a publicly traded partnership taxable as a corporation. Accordingly, our common unitholders will be required to take into account their allocable share of our items of income, gain, loss and deduction. Distributions to our common unitholders generally will be taxable for U.S. federal income tax purposes only to the extent the amount distributed exceeds their tax basis in the common unit. That treatment contrasts with the treatment of a shareholder in a corporation. For example, a shareholder in a corporation who receives a distribution of earnings from the corporation generally will report the distribution as dividend income for U.S. federal income tax purposes. In contrast, a holder of our common units who receives a distribution of earnings from us will not report the distribution as dividend income (and will treat the distribution as taxable only to the extent the amount distributed exceeds the unitholder's tax basis in the common units), but will instead report the holder's allocable share of items of our income for U.S. federal income tax purposes. As a result, you may be subject to U.S. federal, state, local and possibly, in some cases, foreign income taxation on your allocable share of our items of income, gain, loss, deduction and credit (including our allocable share of those items of any entity in which we invest that is treated as a partnership or is otherwise subject to tax on a flow through basis) for each of our taxable years ending with or within your taxable years, regardless of whether or not you receive cash distributions from us. See "-Risks Related to Our Company-In past vears, the U.S. Congress has considered legislation that would have: (i) in some cases after a ten-year transition period, precluded us from qualifying as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes or required us to hold carried interest through taxable subsidiary corporations; and (ii) taxed certain income and gains at increased rates. If any similar legislation were to be enacted and apply to us, the after tax income and gain related to our business, as well as our distributions to common unitholders and the market price of our common units, could be reduced." Our common unitholders may not receive cash distributions equal to their allocable share of our net taxable income or even the tax liability that results from that income. In addition, certain of our holdings, including holdings, if any, in a controlled foreign corporation ("CFC") and a passive foreign investment company ("PFIC") may produce taxable income prior to the receipt of cash relating to such income, and common unitholders that are U.S. taxpayers will be required to take such income into account in determining their taxable income. In the event of an inadvertent termination of our partnership status for which the IRS has granted us limited relief, each holder of our common units may be obligated to make such adjustments as the IRS may require in order to maintain our status as a partnership. Such adjustments may require persons holding our common units to recognize additional amounts in income during the years in which they hold such units.

The Carlyle Group L.P.'s interest in certain of our businesses will be held through Carlyle Holdings I GP Inc. and Carlyle Holdings III GP L.P., which will be treated as corporations for U.S. federal income tax purposes; such corporations may be liable for significant taxes and may create other adverse tax consequences, which could potentially adversely affect the value of your investment.

In light of the publicly traded partnership rules under U.S. federal income tax law and other requirements, The Carlyle Group L.P. holds its interest in certain of our businesses through Carlyle Holdings I GP Inc. and Carlyle Holdings III GP L.P., which are treated as corporations for U.S. federal income tax purposes. Carlyle Holdings I GP Inc. and Carlyle Holdings III GP L.P. could be liable for significant U.S. federal income taxes and applicable state, local and other taxes that would not otherwise be incurred, which could adversely affect the value of your investment. Complying with certain tax-related requirements may cause us to invest through foreign or domestic corporations subject to corporate income tax or enter into acquisitions, borrowings, financings or arrangements we may not have otherwise entered into.

In order for us to be treated as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes and not as an association or publicly traded partnership taxable as a corporation, we must meet the Qualifying Income Exception discussed above on a continuing basis and we must not be required to register as an investment company under the Investment Company Act. In order to effect such treatment, we (or our subsidiaries) may be required to invest through foreign or domestic corporations subject to corporate income tax, forgo attractive investment opportunities or enter into acquisitions, borrowings, financings or other transactions we may not have otherwise entered into. This may adversely affect our ability to operate solely to maximize our cash flow.

Our structure also may impede our ability to engage in certain corporate acquisitive transactions because we generally intend to hold all of our assets through the Carlyle Holdings partnerships. In addition, we may be unable to participate in certain corporate reorganization transactions that would be tax-free to our common unit holders if we were a corporation.

Tax gain or loss on disposition of our common units could be more or less than expected.

If you sell your common units, you will recognize a gain or loss equal to the difference between the amount realized and the adjusted tax basis in those common units. Prior distributions to you in excess of the total net taxable income allocated to you, which decreased the tax basis in your common units, will in effect become taxable income to you if the common units are

sold at a price greater than your tax basis in those common units, even if the price is less than the original cost. A portion of the amount realized, whether or not representing gain, may be ordinary income to you. Because we do not intend to make, or cause to be made, an otherwise available election under Section 754 of the Internal Revenue Code to adjust our asset basis or the asset basis of certain of the Carlyle Holdings partnerships, a holder of common units could be allocated more taxable income in respect of those common units prior to disposition

than if we had made such an election.

made a Section 754 election.

We have not made and currently do not intend to make, or cause to be made, an election to adjust asset basis under Section 754 of the Internal Revenue Code with respect to us or Carlyle Holdings II L.P. If no such election is made, there generally will be no adjustment to the basis of the assets of Carlyle Holdings II L.P. upon our acquisition of interests in Carlyle Holdings II L.P. in connection with our initial public offering, or to our assets or to the assets of Carlyle Holdings II L.P. upon a subsequent transferee's acquisition of common units from a prior holder of such common units, even if the purchase price for those interests or units, as applicable, is greater than the share of the aggregate tax basis of our assets or the assets of Carlyle Holdings II L.P. attributable to those interests or units immediately prior to the acquisition. Consequently, upon a sale of an asset by us or Carlyle Holdings II L.P. gain allocable to a holder of common units could include built-in gain in the asset existing at the time we acquired those interests, or such holder acquired such units, which built-in gain would otherwise generally be eliminated if we had

Non-U.S. persons face unique U.S. tax issues from owning common units that may result in adverse tax consequences to them.

In light of our intended investment activities, we generally do not expect to generate significant amounts of income treated as effectively connected income with respect to non-U.S. holders of our common units ("ECI"). However, there can be no assurance that we will not generate ECI currently or in the future and, subject to the qualifying income rules, we are under no obligation to minimize ECI. To the extent our income is treated as ECI, non-U.S. holders generally would be subject to withholding tax on their allocable shares of such income, would be required to file a U.S. federal income tax return for such year reporting their allocable shares of income effectively connected with such trade or business and any other income treated as ECI, and would be subject to U.S. federal income tax at regular U.S. tax rates on any such income (state and local income taxes and filings may also apply in that event). In addition, certain income of non-U.S. holders from U.S. sources not connected to any such U.S. trade or business conducted by us could be treated as ECI. Non-U.S. holders that are corporations may also be subject to a 30% branch profits tax on their allocable share of such income. In addition, certain income from U.S. sources that is not ECI allocable to non-U.S. holders will be reduced by withholding taxes imposed at the highest effective applicable tax rate. A portion of any gain recognized by a non-U.S. holder on the sale or exchange of common units could also be treated as ECI. Generally, under the Foreign Investment in Real Property Tax Act of 1980 ("FIRPTA") provisions of the Internal Revenue Code, certain non-U.S. persons are subject to U.S. federal income tax in the same manner as U.S. persons on any gain realized on the disposition of an interest, other than an interest solely as a creditor, in U.S. real property. In December 2015, the Protecting Americans from Tax Hikes Act of 2015 was signed into law providing some exemptions from FIRPTA tax for certain types of non-U.S. persons. An interest in U.S. real property includes stock in a U.S. corporation (except for certain stock of publicly traded U.S. corporations) if interests in U.S. real property constitute 50% or more by value of the sum of the corporation's assets used in a trade or business, its U.S. real property interests and its interests in real property located outside the United States (a "United States Real Property Holding Corporation" or "USRPHC"). The FIRPTA tax applies to certain non-U.S. holders holding an interest in a partnership that realizes gain in respect of an interest in U.S. real property or an interest in a USRPHC. We may, from time to time, make certain investments (other than direct investments in U.S. real property), for example, through one of our investment funds held by Carlyle Holdings II GP L.L.C. that could constitute investments in U.S. real property or USRPHCs. If we make such investments certain non U.S. holders will be subject to U.S. federal income tax under FIRPTA on such holder's allocable share of any gain we realize on the disposition of a FIRPTA interest and will be subject to the tax return filing requirements regarding ECI discussed above.

Tax-exempt entities face unique tax issues from owning common units that may result in adverse tax consequences to them.

In light of our intended investment activities, we generally do not expect to make investments directly in operating businesses that generate significant amounts of unrelated business taxable income for tax-exempt holders of our common units ("UBTI"). However, certain of our investments may be treated as debt-financed investments, which may give rise to debt-financed UBTI. Accordingly, no assurance can be given that we will not generate UBTI currently or in the future and, subject to the qualifying income rules, we are under no obligation to minimize UBTI. Consequently, a holder of common units that is a tax-exempt organization may be subject to "unrelated business income tax" to the extent that its allocable share of our income consists of UBTI. A tax-exempt partner of a partnership could be treated as earning UBTI if the partnership regularly engages in a trade or business that is unrelated to the exempt function of the tax-exempt partner, if the partnership derives income from debt-financed property or if the partnership interest itself is debt-financed.

We cannot match transferors and transferees of common units, and we will therefore adopt certain income tax accounting positions that may not conform to all aspects of applicable tax requirements. The IRS may challenge this treatment, which could adversely affect the value of our common units.

Because we cannot match transferors and transferees of common units, we will adopt depreciation, amortization and other tax accounting positions that may not conform to all aspects of existing Treasury regulations. A successful IRS challenge to those positions could adversely affect the amount of tax benefits available to our common unitholders. It also could affect the timing of these tax benefits or the amount of gain on the sale of common units and could have a negative impact on the value of our common units or result in audits of and adjustments to our common unitholders' tax returns.

In addition, our taxable income and losses will be determined and apportioned among investors using conventions we regard as consistent with applicable law. As a result, if you transfer your common units, you may be allocated income, gain, loss and deduction realized by us after the date of transfer. Similarly, a transferee may be allocated income, gain, loss and deduction realized by us prior to the date of the transferee's acquisition of our common units. A transferee may also bear the cost of withholding tax imposed with respect to income allocated to a transferor through a reduction in the cash distributed to the transferee.

The sale or exchange of 50% or more of our capital and profit interests will result in the termination of our partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes. We will be considered to have been terminated for U.S. federal income tax purposes if there is a sale or exchange of 50% or more of the total interests in our capital and profits within a twelve-month period. Our termination would, among other things, result in the closing of our taxable year for all common unitholders and could result in a deferral of depreciation deductions allowable in computing our taxable income.

We may be liable for adjustments to our tax returns as a result of partnership audit legislation.

Legislation was enacted in 2015 that significantly changed the rules for U.S. federal income tax audits of partnerships. Such audits will continue to be conducted at the partnership level, but with respect to tax returns for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017, and, unless a partnership qualifies for and affirmatively elects an alternative procedure, any adjustments to the amount of tax due (including interest and penalties) will be payable by the partnership. Under the elective alternative procedure, a partnership would issue information returns to persons who were partners in the audited year, who would then be required to take the adjustments into account in calculating their own tax liability, and the partnership would not be liable for the adjustments. If a partnership elects the alternative procedure for a given adjustment, the amount of taxes for which its partners would be liable would be increased by any applicable penalties and a special interest charge. There can be no assurance that we will be eligible to make such an election or that we will, in fact, make such an election for any given adjustment. If we do not or are not able to make such an election, then (1) our then-current common unitholders, in the aggregate, could indirectly bear income tax liabilities in excess of the aggregate amount of taxes that would have been due had we elected the alternative procedure, and (2) a given common unitholder may indirectly bear taxes attributable to income allocable to other common unitholders or former common unitholders, including taxes (as well as interest and penalties) with respect to periods prior to such holder's ownership of common units. Amounts available for distribution to our common unitholders may be reduced as a result of our obligation to pay any taxes associated with an adjustment. Many issues and the overall effect of this legislation on us are uncertain and still evolving and we will continue to assess the impact of this legislation.

Certain U.S. holders of common units are subject to additional tax on "net investment income."

U.S. holders that are individuals, estates or trusts are currently subject to a Medicare tax of 3.8% on "net investment income" (or undistributed "net investment income," in the case of estates and trusts) for each taxable year, with such tax applying to the lesser of such income or the excess of such person's adjusted gross income (with certain adjustments) over a specified amount. Net investment income includes net income from interest, dividends, annuities, royalties and rents and net gain attributable to the disposition of investment property. Net income and gain attributable to an investment in the Partnership will be included in a U.S. holder's "net investment income" subject to this Medicare tax.

Both Congress and the new administration have recently set forth proposals to repeal this Medicare tax. It is unclear whether a replacement or an additional tax will replace it.

Common unitholders may be subject to state and local taxes and return filing requirements as a result of investing in our common units.

In addition to U.S. federal income taxes, our common unitholders may be subject to other taxes, including state and local taxes, unincorporated business taxes and estate, inheritance or intangible taxes that are imposed by the various jurisdictions in which we do business or own property now or in the future, even if our common unitholders do not reside in

any of those jurisdictions. Our common unitholders may also be required to file state and local income tax returns and pay state and local income taxes in some or all of these jurisdictions. Further, common unitholders may be subject to penalties for failure to comply with those requirements. It is the responsibility of each common unitholder to file all U.S. federal, state and local tax returns that may be required of such common unitholder. Our counsel has not rendered an opinion on the state or local tax consequences of an investment in our common units.

We may not be able to furnish to each unitholder specific tax information within 90 days after the close of each calendar year, which means that holders of common units who are U.S. taxpayers should anticipate the need to file annually a request for an extension of the due date of their income tax return. In addition, it is possible that common unitholders may be required to file amended income tax returns.

As a publicly traded partnership, our operating results, including distributions of income, dividends, gains, losses or deductions and adjustments to carrying basis, will be reported on Schedule K-1 and distributed to each unitholder annually. Although we currently intend to distribute Schedule K-1s on or around 90 days after the end of our fiscal year, it may require longer than 90 days after the end of our fiscal year to obtain the requisite information from all lower-tier entities so that K-1s may be prepared for us. For this reason, holders of common units who are U.S. taxpayers should anticipate that they may need to file annually with the IRS (and certain states) a request for an extension past April 15 or the otherwise applicable due date of their income tax return for the taxable year.

In addition, it is possible that a common unitholder will be required to file amended income tax returns as a result of adjustments to items on the corresponding income tax returns of the partnership. Any obligation for a common unitholder to file amended income tax returns for that or any other reason, including any costs incurred in the preparation or filing of such returns, is the responsibility of each common unitholder.

We may hold or acquire certain investments through an entity classified as a PFIC or CFC for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

Certain of our investments may be in foreign corporations or may be acquired through a foreign subsidiary that would be classified as a corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes. Such an entity may be a PFIC or a CFC for U.S. federal income tax purposes. U.S. holders of common units indirectly owning an interest in a PFIC or a CFC may experience adverse U.S. tax consequences.

Changes in U.S. and foreign tax law could adversely affect our ability to raise funds from certain foreign investors. Under the Foreign Account Tax Compliance Act ("FATCA"), a broadly defined class of foreign financial institutions are required to comply with a complicated and expansive reporting regime or be subject to certain U.S. withholding taxes. In connection with this regulation, various foreign governments have entered into intergovernmental agreements, or IGAs, with the U.S. government. The reporting obligations imposed under FATCA require foreign financial institutions to enter into agreements with the IRS to obtain and disclose information about certain account holders and investors to the IRS (or in the case of certain foreign financial institutions that are resident in a jurisdiction that has entered into an IGA to implement this legislation, the foreign financial institutions may comply with revised diligence and reporting obligations of such IGA). Additionally, certain non-U.S. entities that are not foreign financial institutions are required to provide certain certifications or other information regarding their U.S. beneficial ownership or be subject to certain U.S. withholding taxes. Failure to comply with these requirements could expose us and/or our investors to a 30% withholding tax on certain U.S. payments (and beginning in 2019, a 30% withholding tax on gross proceeds from the sale of U.S. stocks and securities), and possibly limit our ability to open bank accounts and secure funding in the global capital markets. The administrative and economic costs of compliance with FATCA may discourage some foreign investors from investing in U.S. funds, which could adversely affect our ability to raise funds from these investors. In addition, we expect to incur additional expenses related to our compliance with such regulations. Other countries, such as the United Kingdom and the Cayman Islands, have implemented reporting regimes similar to that of FATCA.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

Our principal executive offices are located in leased office space at 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. We also lease the space for our other 34 offices, including our office in Arlington, Virginia, which houses our treasury, tax and finance functions. We do not own any real property. We consider these facilities to be suitable and adequate for the management and operation of our business.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

In the ordinary course of business, the Partnership is a party to litigation, investigations, inquiries, employment-related matters, disputes and other potential claims. Certain of these matters are described below. The Partnership is not currently able to estimate the reasonably possible amount of loss or range of loss, in excess of amounts accrued, for the matters that have not been resolved. The Partnership does not believe it is probable that the outcome of any existing litigation, investigations, disputes or other potential claims will materially affect the Partnership or these financial statements in excess of amounts accrued. The Partnership believes that the matters described below are without merit and intends to vigorously contest all such allegations for the matters that have not been resolved. Along with many other companies and individuals in the financial sector, the Partnership and Carlyle Mezzanine Partners, L.P. ("CMP") are named as defendants in Foy v. Austin Capital, a case filed in June 2009, pending in the State of New Mexico's First Judicial District Court, County of Santa Fe, which purports to be a gui tam suit on behalf of the State of New Mexico under the state Fraud Against Taxpayers Act ("FATA"). The suit alleges that investment decisions by New Mexico public investment funds were improperly influenced by campaign contributions and payments to politically connected placement agents. The plaintiffs seek, among other things, actual damages for lost income, rescission of the investment transactions described in the complaint and disgorgement of all fees received. In May 2011, the Attorney General of New Mexico moved to dismiss certain defendants including the Partnership and CMP on the grounds that separate civil litigation by the Attorney General is a more effective means to seek recovery for the State from these defendants, The Attorney General has brought two civil actions against certain of those defendants, not including the Partnership defendants. The Attorney General has stated that its investigation is continuing and it may bring additional civil actions. Foy v. Austin Capital was stayed while the plaintiff pursued an interlocutory appeal on the question of whether FATA could be applied retroactively to events that occurred prior to its effective date. In June 2015, the New Mexico Supreme Court ruled that FATA could be applied retroactively in certain circumstances, and activity related to the suit resumed in the fall of 2015. A new judge was appointed to hear the case and the Attorney General moved to dismiss the entire litigation so that the Attorney General can pursue its own recovery from the defendants in the action. A hearing on that motion to dismiss was held in April 2016.

Carlyle Capital Corporation Limited ("CCC") was a fund sponsored by the Partnership that invested in AAA-rated residential mortgage backed securities on a highly leveraged basis. In March of 2008, amidst turmoil throughout the mortgage markets and money markets, CCC filed for insolvency protection in Guernsey. The Guernsey liquidators who took control of CCC in March 2008 filed a suit on July 7, 2010 against the Partnership, certain of its affiliates and the former directors of CCC in the Royal Court of Guernsey seeking more than \$1.0 billion in damages in a case styled Carlyle Capital Corporation Limited v. Conway et al. The Guernsey liquidators allege that the Partnership and the CCC board of directors were negligent, grossly negligent or willfully mismanaged the CCC investment program and breached certain fiduciary duties allegedly owed to CCC and its shareholders. The liquidators further allege (among other things) that the directors and the Partnership put the interests of the Partnership ahead of the interests of CCC and its shareholders and gave priority to preserving and enhancing the Partnership's reputation and its "brand" over the best interests of CCC. The trial, in the Royal Court of Guernsey, began in June 2016 and ended in December 2016. A ruling is not expected until the second quarter of 2017.

The Partnership currently is and expects to continue to be, from time to time, subject to examinations, formal and informal inquiries and investigations by various U.S. and non-U.S. governmental and regulatory agencies, including but not limited to, the SEC, Department of Justice, state attorneys general, FINRA, National Futures Association and the U.K. Financial Conduct Authority. The Partnership routinely cooperates with such examinations, inquiries and investigations, and they may result in the commencement of civil, criminal, or administrative or other proceedings

against the Partnership or its personnel. For example, among various other requests for information, the SEC has requested information about: (i) the Partnership's historical practices relating to the acceleration of monitoring fees received from certain of the Partnership's funds' portfolio companies, (ii) the Partnership's relationship with a third-party investment adviser to a registered investment company that has invested in various investment funds sponsored by the Partnership, and (iii) a complaint allegedly made by a former employee who has filed a claim against the Partnership in district court for wrongful termination of his employment. The Partnership is cooperating fully with the SEC's inquiries.

A hedge fund and two structured finance vehicles managed by an affiliate of the Partnership invested approximately \$400 million in petroleum commodities that the Partnership believes were misappropriated by third parties outside the U.S. The Partnership is pursuing various remedies on behalf of these investment vehicles, including claims under property & casualty insurance policies and claims against parties believed to have been involved in the misappropriation (the "Recovery Efforts"), but the amount and timing of recovery by the investment vehicles is uncertain. Certain of these investment vehicles were also adversely impacted by losses on commodity price hedges and delays in repayment by another counterparty. In December 2016, the Partnership repurchased investor interests in the hedge fund for \$100 million, settling potential claims against the Partnership and acquiring rights to proceeds from the Recovery Efforts. Other investors also have asserted claims relating to these matters and the Partnership could incur significant additional costs or liabilities.

It is not possible to predict the ultimate outcome of all pending investigations and legal proceedings and employment-related matters, and some of the matters discussed above involve claims for potentially large and/or indeterminate amounts of damages. Based on information known by management, management does not believe that as of the date of this filing the final resolutions of the matters above will have a material effect upon the Partnership's consolidated financial statements. However, given the potentially large and/or indeterminate amounts of damages sought in certain of these matters and the inherent unpredictability of investigations and litigations, it is possible that an adverse outcome in certain matters could, from time to time, have a material effect on the Partnership's financial results in any particular period.

The Partnership accrues an estimated loss contingency liability when it is probable that such a liability has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. As of December 31, 2016, the Partnership had recorded liabilities aggregating to \$140 million for litigation-related contingencies, regulatory examinations and inquiries, and other matters. The Partnership evaluates its outstanding legal and regulatory proceedings and other matters each quarter to assess its loss contingency accruals, and makes adjustments in such accruals, upwards or downward, as appropriate, based on management's best judgment after consultation with counsel. There is no assurance that the Partnership's accruals for loss contingencies will not need to be adjusted in the future or that, in light of the uncertainties involved in such matters, the ultimate resolution of these matters will not significantly exceed the accruals that the Partnership has recorded.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES Not Applicable.

PART II.

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Our common units representing limited partner interests in The Carlyle Group L.P. are traded on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol "CG." Our common units began trading on the NASDAQ Global Select Market Exchange on May 3, 2012.

The number of holders of record of our common units as of February 10, 2017 was 28. This does not include the number of unitholders that hold shares in "street name" through banks or broker-dealers.

Cash Distribution Policy

It is Carlyle's intention to cause Carlyle Holdings to make quarterly distributions to its partners, including The Carlyle Group L.P.'s wholly owned subsidiaries, that will enable The Carlyle Group L.P. to pay a quarterly distribution of approximately 75% of Distributable Earnings per common unit, net of taxes and amounts payable under the tax receivable agreement, for the quarter. Carlyle's general partner may adjust the distribution for amounts determined to be necessary or appropriate to provide for the conduct of its business, to make appropriate investments in its business and its funds or to comply with applicable law or any of its financing agreements, or to provide for future cash

requirements such as tax-related payments, giveback obligations and distributions to unitholders for any ensuing quarter. The amount to be distributed could also be adjusted upward in any one quarter.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, the declaration and payment of any distributions will be at the sole discretion of our general partner, which may change our distribution policy at any time. Our general partner will take into account general economic and business conditions, our strategic plans and prospects, our business and investment opportunities, our financial condition and operating results, working capital requirements and anticipated cash needs, contractual restrictions and

obligations, legal, tax and regulatory restrictions, other constraints on the payment of distributions by us to our common unitholders or by our subsidiaries to us, and such other factors as our general partner may deem relevant.

Distributions for the 2014 fiscal year and prior years, including the fourth quarter 2014 distribution, were determined in accordance with Carlyle's distribution policy in effect for those years. For those periods, Carlyle Holdings made quarterly distributions to its partners, including The Carlyle Group L.P.'s wholly owned subsidiaries, that enabled The Carlyle Group L.P. to pay a quarterly distribution of \$0.16 per common unit for each of the first three quarters of each year and for the fourth quarter of each year, to pay a distribution of at least \$0.16 per common unit that, taken together with the prior quarterly distributions in respect of that year, represented its share, net of taxes and amounts payable under the tax receivable agreement, of Carlyle's Distributable Earnings in excess of the amount determined by Carlyle's general partner that was necessary or appropriate to provide for the conduct of Carlyle's business, to make appropriate investments in its business and its funds or to comply with applicable law or any of its financing agreements. The aggregate amount of our distributions for those years were less than our Distributable Earnings for that year due to these funding requirements.

Because The Carlyle Group L.P. is a holding partnership and has no material assets other than its ownership of partnership units in Carlyle Holdings held through wholly owned subsidiaries, we will fund distributions by The Carlyle Group L.P., if any, in three steps:

first, we will cause Carlyle Holdings to make distributions to its partners, including The Carlyle Group L.P.'s wholly owned subsidiaries. If Carlyle Holdings makes such distributions, the limited partners of Carlyle Holdings will be entitled to receive equivalent distributions pro rata based on their partnership interests in Carlyle Holdings;

second, we will cause The Carlyle Group L.P.'s wholly owned subsidiaries to distribute to The Carlyle Group L.P. their share of such distributions, net of taxes and amounts payable under the tax receivable agreement by such wholly owned subsidiaries; and

third, The Carlyle Group L.P. will distribute its net share of such distributions to our common unitholders on a prorata basis.

Because our wholly owned subsidiaries must pay taxes and make payments under the tax receivable agreement, the amounts ultimately distributed by us to our common unitholders are expected to be less, on a per unit basis, than the amounts distributed by the Carlyle Holdings partnerships to the other limited partners of the Carlyle Holdings partnerships in respect of their Carlyle Holdings partnership units.

In addition, the partnership agreements of the Carlyle Holdings partnerships will provide for cash distributions, which we refer to as "tax distributions," to the partners of such partnerships if the wholly owned subsidiaries of The Carlyle Group L.P. which are the general partners of the Carlyle Holdings partnerships determine that the taxable income of the relevant partnership will give rise to taxable income for its partners. Generally, these tax distributions will be computed based on our estimate of the net taxable income of the relevant partnership allocable to a partner multiplied by an assumed tax rate equal to the highest effective marginal combined U.S. federal, state and local income tax rate prescribed for an individual or corporate resident in New York, New York (taking into account the non-deductibility of certain expenses and the character of our income). The Carlyle Holdings partnerships will make tax distributions only to the extent distributions from such partnerships for the relevant year were otherwise insufficient to cover such tax liabilities. The Carlyle Group L.P. is not required to distribute to its common unitholders any of the cash that its wholly owned subsidiaries may receive as a result of tax distributions by the Carlyle Holdings partnerships. Under the Delaware Limited Partnership Act, we may not make a distribution to a partner if after the distribution all our liabilities, other than liabilities to partners on account of their partnership interests and liabilities for which the recourse of creditors is limited to specific property of the partnership, would exceed the fair value of our assets. If we were to make such an impermissible distribution, any limited partner who received a distribution and knew at the time of the distribution that the distribution was in violation of the Delaware Limited Partnership Act would be liable to us

for the amount of the distribution for three years. In addition, the terms of our credit facility provide certain limits on our ability to make distributions. See "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation—Liquidity and Capital Resources."

With respect to distribution year 2016, the Board of Directors of our general partner has declared cumulative distributions to common unitholders totaling approximately \$131.1 million, or \$1.55 per common unit, consisting of (i) \$0.16 per common unit in respect of the fourth quarter of 2016, which is payable on February 28, 2017 to common unitholders of record on February 21, 2017, (ii) \$0.50 per common unit in respect of the third quarter of 2016, which was paid on November

16, 2016, (iii) \$0.63 per common unit in respect of the second quarter of 2016, which was paid in August 2016, and (iv) \$0.26 per common unit in respect of the first quarter of 2016, which was paid in May 2016. Distributions to common unitholders paid during the calendar year ended December 31, 2016 were \$140.9 million, representing the distributions paid in March 2016 of \$0.29 per common unit with respect to the fourth quarter of 2015, \$0.26 per common unit with respect to the first quarter of 2016, \$0.63 per common unit with respect to the second quarter of 2016, and \$0.50 per common unit with respect to the third quarter of 2016.

With respect to distribution year 2015, the Board of Directors of our general partner has declared cumulative distributions to common unitholders totaling approximately \$163.7 million, or \$2.07 per common unit, consisting of (i) \$0.29 per common unit in respect of the fourth quarter of 2015, which is payable on March 2, 2016 to common unitholders of record on February 23, 2016, (ii) \$0.56 per common unit in respect of the third quarter of 2015, which was paid on November 24, 2015, (iii) \$0.89 per common unit in respect of the second quarter of 2015, which was paid in August 2015, and (iv) \$0.33 per common unit in respect of the first quarter of 2015, which was paid in May 2015. Distributions to common unitholders paid during the calendar year ended December 31, 2015 were \$251.0 million, representing the distributions paid in March 2015 of \$1.61 per common unit with respect to the fourth quarter of 2014, \$0.33 per common unit with respect to the first quarter of 2015, \$0.89 per common unit with respect to the second quarter of 2015, and \$0.56 per common unit with respect to the third quarter of 2015.

With respect to distribution year 2014, the Board of Directors of our general partner declared distributions to common unitholders totaling approximately \$143.2 million, or \$2.09 per common unit, consisting of \$0.16 per common unit in respect of each of the first three quarters of 2014 and an additional distribution in respect of the fourth quarter of 2014 of \$1.61 per common unit (approximately \$110.9 million), which is payable on March 6, 2015 to holders of record of common units at the close of business on February 23, 2015. Distributions to common unitholders paid during the calendar year ended December 31, 2014 were \$102.7 million, representing the amount paid in March 2014 of \$1.40 per common unit with respect to the fourth quarter of 2013 and the \$0.16 per common unit quarterly distributions paid in each of May, August and November of 2014.

With respect to distribution year 2016, we declared distributions to the other limited partners of Carlyle Holdings totaling approximately \$376.2 million, or \$1.55 per Carlyle Holdings unit, consisting of (i) \$0.16 per Carlyle Holdings unit in respect of the fourth quarter of 2016, which is payable on February 27, 2017 to Carlyle Holdings unitholders of record on February 21, 2017, (ii) \$0.50 per Carlyle Holdings unit in respect of the third quarter of 2016, which was paid in November 2016, (iii) \$0.63 per Carlyle Holdings unit in respect of the second quarter of 2016, which was paid in August 2016, and (iv) \$0.26 per Carlyle Holdings unit in respect of the first quarter of 2016, which was paid in May 2016. Distributions to the other limited partners of Carlyle Holdings paid during the calendar year ended December 31, 2016 were \$422.6 million, representing the distributions paid in March 2016 of \$0.35 per Carlyle Holdings unit with respect to the fourth quarter of 2015, \$0.26 per Carlyle Holdings unit with respect to the first quarter of 2016, \$0.63 per Carlyle Holdings unit with respect to the second quarter of 2016, and \$0.50 per Carlyle Holdings unit with respect to the third quarter of 2016.

With respect to distribution year 2015, we declared distributions to the other limited partners of Carlyle Holdings totaling approximately \$530.1 million, or \$2.17 per Carlyle Holdings unit, consisting of (i) \$0.35 per Carlyle Holdings unit in respect of the fourth quarter of 2015, which is payable on March 1, 2016 to Carlyle Holdings unitholders of record on February 23, 2016, (ii) \$0.60 per Carlyle Holdings unit in respect of the third quarter of 2015, which was paid in November 2015, (iii) \$0.89 per Carlyle Holdings unit in respect of the second quarter of 2015, which was paid in August 2015, and (iv) \$0.33 per Carlyle Holdings unit in respect of the first quarter of 2015, which was paid in May 2015. Distributions to the other limited partners of Carlyle Holdings paid during the calendar year ended December 31, 2015 were \$848.5 million, representing the distributions paid in March 2015 of \$1.61 per Carlyle Holdings unit with respect to the fourth quarter of 2014, \$0.33 per Carlyle Holdings unit with respect to the first quarter of 2015, \$0.89 per Carlyle Holdings unit with respect to the second quarter of 2015, and \$0.60 per Carlyle Holdings unit with respect to the third quarter of 2015.

With respect to distribution year 2014, we declared distributions to the other limited partners of Carlyle Holdings totaling approximately \$524.5 million, or \$2.09 per Carlyle Holdings unit, consisting of the distributions declared in

respect of the first three quarters of 2014 and an additional distribution in respect of the fourth quarter of 2014 of \$1.61 per Carlyle Holdings unit (approximately \$404.1 million), which is payable on March 5, 2015 to holders of record of Carlyle Holdings units at the close of business on February 23, 2015. Distributions to the other limited partners of Carlyle Holdings paid during the calendar year ended December 31, 2014 were \$486.9 million, representing the quarterly distributions paid in each of March, May, August, and November of 2014.

The following table sets forth the high and low sales prices per unit of our common units, for the periods indicated:

	Sales Price				
	2016		2015		
	High	Low	High	Low	
First Quarter	\$17.40	\$11.25	\$29.18	\$24.42	
Second Quarter	\$17.97	\$15.30	\$31.88	\$27.11	
Third Quarter	\$17.44	\$14.82	\$28.96	\$16.60	
Fourth Quarter	\$16.45	\$14.35	\$20.65	\$14.78	
Second Quarter Third Quarter	\$17.40 \$17.97 \$17.44	\$11.25 \$15.30 \$14.82	\$29.18 \$31.88 \$28.96	\$24.42 \$27.11 \$16.60	

As permitted by our policies and procedures governing transactions in our securities by our directors, executive officers and other employees, from time to time some of these persons may establish plans or arrangements complying with Rule 10b5-1 under the Exchange Act, and similar plans and arrangements relating to our common units and Carlyle Holdings partnership units.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The following table sets forth repurchases of our common units during the three months ended December 31, 2016 for the periods indicated:

Period	(a) Total number (b) Average price of units purchased	(c) Total number of units purchased as part of publicly announced plans or programs	(d) Maximum number (or approximate dollar value) of units that may yet be purchased under the plans or programs
	(Dollars in millions, excep	t unit and pe	er unit data)
October 1, 2016 to October 31, 2016 (1)(2)	<u>;</u> — \$—	_	\$146.4
November 1, 2016 to November 30, 2016 (1)(2)	210,222\$15.32	210,222	\$143.1
December 1, 2016 to December 31, 2016 (1)(2)(3)	132,177\$15.46	132,177	\$141.1
Total	342,399	342,399	

⁽¹⁾ In February 2016, the Board of Directors of the general partner of the Partnership authorized the repurchase of up to \$200 million of common units and/or Carlyle Holdings units. Under this unit repurchase program, which was publicly announced on February 10, 2016, units may be repurchased from time to time in open market transactions, in privately negotiated transactions or otherwise. We expect that the majority of repurchases under this program

will be done via open market transactions. No units will be repurchased from our executive officers under this program. The timing and actual number of common units and/or Carlyle Holdings units repurchased will depend on a variety of factors, including legal requirements, price, and economic and market conditions. This unit repurchase program may be suspended or discontinued at any time and does not have a specified expiration date.

For the periods from October 1, 2016 to October 31, 2016, November 1, 2016 to November 30, 2016, and

- (2) December 1, 2016 to December 31, 2016, all of the units purchased were common units purchased in open market and brokered transactions. All units purchased during these periods were subsequently retired.
- (3) The total number of units purchased shown in columns (a) and (c) in the table above excludes 11,490 common units that were pending settlement at December 31, 2016.

Sales of Unregistered Securities None.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following selected consolidated financial data presents selected data on the financial condition and results of operations of The Carlyle Group L.P. This financial data should be read together with "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and the historical financial statements and related notes included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

We derived the following selected consolidated financial data of The Carlyle Group L.P. as of December 31, 2016 and 2015 and for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015, and 2014 from the audited consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The selected consolidated financial data as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 were derived from the audited consolidated financial statements of The Carlyle Group L.P. which are not included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The selected consolidated financial data as of December 31, 2012 and for the year ended December 31, 2012 was derived from the historical audited combined and consolidated financial statements of Carlyle Group which are not included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Historical results are not necessarily indicative of results for any future period.

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012
	(Dollars in millions, except per unit data)				
Statement of Operations Data					
Revenues					
Fund management fees	\$1,076.1	\$1,085.2	•		
Total Performance fees	751.8	824.9	1,674.4	2,375.3	*
Investment income (loss)	160.5	15.2	,	18.8	36.4
Interest and other income and revenues	285.9	1,080.9	1,046.8	1,062.5	
Total Revenues	2,274.3	3,006.2	3,880.3	4,441.2	2,973.1
Total Expenses	2,242.1	3,468.4	3,775.4	3,693.9	2,291.2
Other Income	13.1	864.4	887.0	696.7	1,758.0
Income before provision for income taxes	45.3	402.2	991.9	1,444.0	2,439.9
Provision for income taxes	30.0	2.1	76.8	96.2	40.4
Net income	15.3	400.1	915.1	1,347.8	2,399.5
Net income attributable to non-controlling interests in consolidated entities	41.0	537.9	485.5	676.0	1,756.7
Net income (loss) attributable to Carlyle Holdings	(25.7)	(137.8) 429.6	671.8	\$642.8
Net income (loss) attributable to non-controlling interests in Carlyle Holdings	(32.1	(119.4	343.8	567.7	622.5
Net income (loss) attributable to The Carlyle Group L.P.	\$6.4	\$(18.4	\$85.8	\$104.1	20.3
Net income (loss) attributable to The Carlyle Group L.P. per common unit					
Basic	\$0.08	\$(0.24	\$1.35	\$2.24	\$0.48
Diluted	\$(0.08)	\$(0.30	\$1.23	\$2.05	\$0.41
Distributions declared per common unit	\$1.68	\$3.39	\$1.88	\$1.33	\$0.27

	2016	cember 31, 2015 n millions)	2014	2013	2012
Balance Sheet Data					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$670.9	\$991.5	\$1,242.0	\$966.6	\$567.1
Corporate treasury investments	\$190.2	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$
Investments and accrued performance fees	\$3,588.1	\$3,874.5	\$4,727.2	\$4,418.9	\$3,073.7
Investments of Consolidated Funds ⁽¹⁾	\$3,893.7	\$23,998.8	\$26,028.8	\$26,886.4	\$24,815.7
Total assets	\$9,973.0	\$32,181.6	\$35,994.3	\$35,622.3	\$31,566.6
Debt obligations	\$1,265.2	\$1,135.7	\$1,146.9	\$940.6	\$886.3
Loans payable of Consolidated Funds	\$3,866.3	\$17,064.7	\$16,052.2	\$15,220.7	\$13,656.7
Total liabilities	\$8,519.0	\$23,258.1	\$23,138.3	\$20,892.9	\$17,983.8
Redeemable non-controlling interests in consolidated entities			\$3,761.5		
Total partners' capital	\$1,454.0	\$6,077.6	\$9,094.5	\$10,377.4	\$10,695.4

The entities comprising our Consolidated Funds are not the same entities for all periods presented. On January 1, 2016, The Carlyle Group L.P. adopted ASU 2015-2, Consolidation (Topic 810): Amendments to the Consolidation Analysis, which provides a revised consolidation model to use in evaluating whether to consolidate certain types of (1) legal entities. As a result, The Carlyle Group L.P. deconsolidated a majority of its consolidated funds on January 1, 2016. The consolidation or deconsolidation of funds generally has the effect of grossing up or down, respectively, reported assets, liabilities, and cash flows, and has no effect on net income attributable to The Carlyle Group L.P. or partners' capital.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The Carlyle Group L.P. (the "Partnership") is a Delaware limited partnership formed on July 18, 2011. The Partnership is a holding partnership and its sole material assets are equity interests through wholly owned subsidiary entities representing partnership units in Carlyle Holdings I L.P., Carlyle Holdings II L.P. and Carlyle Holdings III L.P. (collectively," Carlyle Holdings"). Through wholly owned subsidiary entities, the Partnership is the sole general partner of Carlyle Holdings and operates and controls all of the business and affairs of Carlyle Holdings and, through Carlyle Holdings and its subsidiaries, continues to conduct the business now conducted by these subsidiaries. Carlyle Group Management L.L.C. is the general partner of the Partnership.

As the sole general partner of Carlyle Holdings, the Partnership consolidates the financial position and results of operations of Carlyle Holdings into its financial statements, and the ownership interests of the limited partners of the Carlyle Holdings partnerships are reflected as a non-controlling interest in the Partnership's financial statements. The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the related notes included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Overview

We conduct our operations through four reportable segments: Corporate Private Equity, Real Assets, Global Market Strategies, and Investment Solutions.

Corporate Private Equity — Our Corporate Private Equity segment advises our 20 buyout and 10 growth capital funds, which seek a wide variety of investments of different sizes and growth potentials. As of December 31, 2016, our Corporate Private Equity segment had approximately \$51 billion in AUM and approximately \$36 billion in Fee-earning AUM.

Real Assets — Our Real Assets segment advises our ten U.S. and internationally focused real estate funds, our infrastructure fund, our two power funds, our international energy fund, as well as our four Legacy Energy funds (funds that we jointly advise with Riverstone). The segment also includes five NGP management fee funds and three carry funds advised by NGP. As of December 31, 2016, our Real Assets segment had approximately \$34 billion in AUM and approximately \$27 billion in Fee-earning AUM.

Global Market Strategies — Our Global Market Strategies segment advises a group of 57 funds that pursue investment opportunities across structured credit, distressed debt, corporate and energy mezzanine debt, middle-market and senior debt. As of December 31, 2016, our Global Market Strategies segment had approximately \$29 billion in AUM and approximately \$24 billion in Fee-earning AUM.

Investment Solutions — Our Investment Solutions segment advises global private equity and real estate fund of funds programs and related co-investment and secondary activities across 168 fund vehicles. As of December 31, 2016, our Investment Solutions segment had approximately \$43 billion in AUM and approximately \$27 billion in Fee-earning AUM.

We earn management fees pursuant to contractual arrangements with the investment funds that we manage and fees for transaction advisory and oversight services provided to portfolio companies of these funds. We also typically receive a performance fee from an investment fund, which may be either an incentive fee or a special residual allocation of income, which we refer to as a carried interest, in the event that specified investment returns are achieved by the fund. Under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("U.S. GAAP"), we are required to consolidate some of the investment funds that we advise. However, for segment reporting purposes, we present revenues and expenses on a basis that deconsolidates these investment funds. Accordingly, our segment revenues primarily consist of fund management and related advisory fees, performance fees (consisting of incentive fees and carried interest allocations), investment income, including realized and unrealized gains on our investments in our funds and other trading securities, as well as interest and other income. Our segment expenses primarily consist of compensation and benefits

expenses, including salaries, bonuses, performance payment arrangements, and equity-based compensation excluding awards granted in our initial public offering or in connection with acquisitions and strategic investments, and general and administrative expenses. While our segment expenses include depreciation and interest expense, our segment expenses exclude acquisition-related charges and amortization of intangibles and impairment. Refer to Note 18 to the consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for more information on the differences between our financial results reported pursuant to U.S. GAAP and our financial results for segment reporting purposes.

Trends Affecting our Business

Our results of operations, particularly our quarterly results, are affected by a variety of factors, including global economic, market and financial conditions, particularly in the United States, Europe and Asia. In general, a climate of stable interest rates and liquidity in the debt and equity capital markets provides a positive environment for us to invest, create value and exit investments at attractive returns. Periods of volatility and dislocation in the capital markets also can present us with opportunities to invest in companies at reduced prices and at operating levels that are positioned for future revenue growth. While our business is subject to global economic and market factors, we believe that the long term focus of our diversified, multi-product global platform, which invests across numerous industries, asset classes and geographies, enhances the stability of our management fee revenues and earnings over extended periods. Our ability to exit fund investments when the market is stable or increasing also improves our ability to generate superior returns relative to public market investments. Our diversified platform mitigates our exposure to a negative event associated with any specific fund, investment or vintage. Whether in stable or more volatile economic conditions, of critical importance to the success of our investments is the quality of our investment professionals and portfolio company management teams as they actively work to monitor shifts in economic conditions and their impact on our investments.

During 2016, intensifying over the second half of the year and into 2017, significant events in the global political landscape, including the results of the U.S. Presidential election, the vote on the "Brexit" referendum in the United Kingdom and the populist movement more broadly, have introduced macroeconomic and political risks that are difficult to quantify and could have far-reaching implications for the United States and global economy. These events meaningfully increased the uncertainty surrounding the probable future direction of interest rates, inflation, foreign exchange rates, trade volumes and fiscal and monetary policy. As a result, the evolution of the global economy and regional economies is increasingly difficult to predict and there is a smaller margin of error for economic misjudgments by market participants.

Uncertainty also persists regarding global regulation, trade agreements and taxation, particularly in the United States where the new administration has suggested or proposed changes to existing regulations, some of which could have a material impact on personal and corporate tax rates and tax deductions. Similarly, in the United Kingdom, the effects on trade and taxation as a result of the Brexit vote remain unclear, but are likely to constrain significant investment until there is clarity around these issues. In Europe, increased focus on tax receipts and policy for local companies and multi-national corporations could have a substantial impact on investment structuring and domicile decisions. While few details have been finalized regarding potential tax reform, we continue to evaluate our fund, investment and partnership organizational structures in light of these potential changes in the regulatory and tax landscape.

In addition to increasing uncertainty, the general rebound in commodities prices during 2016 had far-reaching effects as the rebound caused inflationary pressures to re-emerge across the global economy. While this rebound in commodities prices, including those in oil and industrial metals, generally has benefited our energy and other commodities-related investments, both the actual increase in inflation and the perception and expectation of continuing increased inflation led to an increase in U.S. Treasury yields in the second half of 2016. The U.S. Federal Reserve raised its target for short-term interest rates by 25 basis points in December 2016, which followed a similar rate hike in December 2015. Rising rates also put upward pressure on property yields (cap rates), which depressed the market value of some categories of real estate in the latter part of 2016. While our U.S. real estate business invests a majority of its capital in assets with more sensitivity to demographic changes than to fluctuations in interest rates, it is possible that valuations for certain types of real estate investments such as commercial real estate and multifamily housing, could be negatively impacted if interest rates or inflation increase from current levels.

During 2016, we were able to take advantage of strong asset prices by exiting many investments at attractive prices, which resulted in favorable returns for our fund investors. Looking forward into 2017, however, we believe that there

is some risk that larger fiscal deficits in the U.S. or accelerating inflation tied to rising commodity prices may force the Federal Reserve to raise rates at a faster pace than currently expected by the futures markets. As a result, higher interest rates could depress valuations of private equity assets, real estate and net asset values of fixed income products, and potentially could increase the cost of financing for acquisition transactions. In addition, when the Federal Reserve increases interest rates at the same time as major central banks in the rest of the world are on hold or are easing monetary policy, the U.S. dollar is likely to appreciate relative to a basket of non-U.S. currencies. Since 2013, the U.S. dollar has appreciated by 30% on a trade-weighted basis.

The rising U.S. dollar has benefited some of our existing fund investments, but has created operational headwinds and increased risks in certain portfolio companies. For example, a strong dollar has enhanced margins at certain globally-focused portfolio companies that generate significant revenue in dollars, but whose cost structures are primarily denominated in a non-dollar currency. In contrast, a strong dollar has had a negative effect on certain U.S.-based portfolio companies that are large exporters because it reduces the domestic value of their foreign sales and earnings. A rising dollar also increases the default risk of dollar-denominated bonds issued by companies outside of the U.S. Across our buyout funds in Europe and Asia, several of our portfolio companies have issued dollar-denominated bonds, though none have defaulted on their debt obligations.

Since the U.S. election in early November 2016, global stocks have risen more than 7%, on average, alongside an approximate 70 basis point increase in 5- and 10-year Treasury yields. Taking advantage of the strong financial market conditions in the period before and after the U.S. election, we were able to sell several portfolio companies on beneficial terms in 2016 and realized \$29.6 billion for our carry fund investors during the year. We also liquidated a large portion of the public portfolio in our CPE, Real Assets and GMS carry funds at attractive prices over the course of the year, which decreased the portion of remaining fair value held in public securities to 17% as of December 31, 2016, from 26% as of December 31, 2015. As we had a record year in overall exits and returned \$30 billion in realized proceeds to our carry fund investors in 2016, we anticipate that our level of exit activity may decline in 2017 as compared to 2016, which could affect the amount of distributable earnings available for distributions to our unitholders in 2017.

Buoyed by strong equity markets and an active M&A environment, our largest carry funds appreciated significantly in 2016. Our latest vintage U.S. buyout and Asia buyout funds appreciated by 29% and 31%, respectively, and our opportunistic U.S. real estate funds collectively appreciated by 21%. The increase in oil prices had a positive effect on the latest fund sponsored by NGP, which also had exceptional performance during the year. While CPE funds currently generate a large percentage of our performance fees, we believe that over time our other business units will meaningfully contribute to our performance fees and earnings and we will decrease our reliance on these funds.

The same trends that bolster asset prices of our existing portfolio companies and foster attractive exit opportunities, can also create headwinds for investment activity due to high valuation multiples and rising interest rates that may increase the cost of financing if not offset by higher growth opportunities. Despite these conditions, during 2016 we were able to identify and close on many investments with strong underlying business fundamentals that met our strategic objectives and investment criteria and which we believe also have the potential to generate attractive returns for our fund investors. We made many of these investments in demographic driven industries such as consumer and healthcare, though our total mix of investments continues to span a diverse set of asset classes, industries and geographies. In 2016, we put \$17.9 billion in capital to work through our carry funds, an increase over the \$14.0 billion we invested in 2015. Although interest rates for corporate borrowing in the United States continued to rise modestly during the year, we were often able to obtain financing on better terms than were originally anticipated due primarily to the strong underlying fundamentals of the investments we made.

We expect that 2017 will be a transition year for our funds since many of our largest funds-in-carry have been harvesting their assets over the past few quarters and, during 2017, we expect that they will be in the final stages of selling down their portfolios. As a result, our net accrued carry balance has declined from \$1.8 billion as of December 31, 2014, to \$1.1 billion as of December 31, 2016. During 2017, we will seek to continue to invest capital through our current generation of large funds, including CP VI, CEP IV, CAP IV and CRP, as well as NGP X, to the generate strong returns that will facilitate the replenishment of our accrued carry balance. At the same time as we are completing the investment cycle for several of our large buyout funds, we will continue to work diligently to create value in the remaining investments in the portfolio and to move these funds (as well as others) into carry positions. While we continue to invest in new transactions and monetize our existing portfolio and create value for our investors, during 2017 another significant element of the transition will be our increased focus on fundraising for the next generation of our large buyout funds and several of our Real Assets funds, as well as for new fund strategies. In 2016, we commenced a four-year fundraising cycle (the pace of which we expect will accelerate through 2019) during which we are targeting to raise approximately \$100 billion in new capital commitments. Our ability to raise these funds and replenish our dry powder and the timing of such raises, will depend on our pace of capital deployment and the performance of our investments. At different parts of this fundraising cycle, some of our largest funds may overlap in terms of raising capital for their next generation of funds, which could impact our financial performance in various ways. For example, as we are raising these new funds, the current generation of our funds will continue to realize

existing investments and as a result, we could see a further reduction in our Fee-Earning AUM until fees on these new funds are activated. We also expect to see an increase in fundraising costs associated with these new capital commitments which will impact our earnings as we generally expense fundraising costs upon raising new capital.

Recent Transactions

Distribution

In February 2017, the Board of Directors of our general partner declared a quarterly distribution of \$0.16 per common unit to common unitholders of record at the close of business on February 21, 2017, payable on February 28, 2017. Transaction with Claren Road

On December 12, 2016, the Partnership signed an agreement with the founders of Claren Road Asset Management, LLC and its subsidiaries (collectively, "Claren Road"), to transfer the Partnership's 63% ownership interest in Claren Road to

its founders. This transaction closed on January 31, 2017. See Note 11 of the consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for more information.

Transaction with ESG

On October 31, 2016, the Partnership closed a transaction with the founders of Emerging Sovereign Group and its subsidiaries and affiliates (collectively, "ESG"), and transferred the Partnership's 55% ownership interest in ESG to its founders. See Note 11 of the consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for more information.

DGAM Restructuring

In February 2016, we decided to restructure our Investment Solutions segment to focus on private market secondaries, primary fund investments, co-investment and managed account activities and, to discontinue our fund of hedge funds and liquid alternative initiatives. See Note 11 of the consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for more information.

Commodities Products

During 2016, we decided to wind down our commodities products that were offered through Vermillion.

Key Financial Measures

Our key financial measures are discussed in the following pages. Additional information regarding these key financial measures and our other significant accounting policies can be found in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Revenues

Revenues primarily consist of fund management fees, performance fees, investment income, including realized and unrealized gains of our investments in our funds and other investments, as well as interest and other income. Fund Management Fees. Fund management fees include management fees and transaction and portfolio advisory fees. We earn management fees for advisory services we provide to funds in which we hold a general partner interest or with which we have an investment advisory or investment management agreement. Additionally, management fees include catch-up management fees, which are episodic in nature and represent management fees charged to fund investors in subsequent closings of a fund which apply to the time period between the fee initiation date and the subsequent closing date.

Management fees attributable to Carlyle Partners VI, L.P. ("CP VI"), our sixth U.S. buyout fund with approximately \$12.0 billion of Fee-earning AUM as of December 31, 2016, were approximately 15%, 15%, and 14% of total management fees recognized during the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015, and 2014, respectively. No other fund generated over 10% of total management fees in the periods presented.

Fund management fees exclude the reimbursement of any partnership expenses paid by the Partnership on behalf of the Carlyle funds pursuant to the limited partnership agreements, including amounts related to the pursuit of actual, proposed, or unconsummated investments, professional fees, expenses associated with the acquisition, holding and disposition of investments, and other fund administrative expenses.

Transaction and Portfolio Advisory Fees. Transaction and portfolio advisory fees are fees we receive for the transaction and portfolio advisory services we provide to our portfolio companies. When covered by separate contractual agreements, we recognize transaction and portfolio advisory fees for these services when the service has been provided and collection is reasonably assured. We are required to offset our fund management fees earned by a percentage of the transaction and advisory fees earned, which we refer to as the "rebate offsets." Such rebate offset percentages generally approximate 80% of the fund's portion of the transaction and advisory fees earned. The recognition of portfolio advisory fees and transaction fees can be volatile as they are primarily generated by investment activity within our funds, and therefore are impacted by our investment pace. We have received and expect to continue to receive requests from a variety of investors and groups representing investors to increase the percentage of transaction and advisory fees we share with our investors in future funds; to the extent that we accommodate such requests on future funds, the rebate offset percentages would increase relative to historical levels.

Performance Fees. Performance fees consist principally of the performance-based capital allocation from fund limited partners to us, commonly referred to as carried interest, from certain of our investment funds, which we refer to as the "carry funds." Carried interest revenue, which historically has comprised over 80% of all performance fees in our consolidated financial statements, is recognized by Carlyle upon appreciation of the valuation of our funds' investments above certain return hurdles as set forth in each respective partnership agreement and is based on the amount that would be due to us pursuant to the fund partnership agreement at each period end as if the funds were liquidated at such date. Accordingly, the amount of carried interest recognized as performance fees reflects our share of the fair value gains and losses of the associated funds' underlying investments measured at their then-current fair values relative to the fair values as of the end of the prior period. As a result, the performance fees earned in an applicable reporting period are not indicative of any future period, as fair values are based on conditions prevalent as of the reporting date. Refer to "— Trends Affecting our Business" for further discussion.

In addition to the performance fees from our Corporate Private Equity and Real Assets funds and closed-end carry funds in the Global Market Strategies segment, we are also entitled to receive performance fees from our Investment Solutions and NGP carry funds. The timing of performance fee realizations for these funds is typically later than in our other carry funds based on the terms of such arrangements.

Our performance fees are generated by a diverse set of funds with different vintages, geographic concentration, investment strategies and industry specialties. For an explanation of the fund acronyms used throughout this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations section, see "Item 1. Business — Our Family of Funds."

Performance fees in excess of 10% of the total for the year ended December 31, 2016 were generated from the following funds:

\$124.8 million from Carlyle Partners V, L.P. ("CP V") (with total AUM of approximately \$5.0 billion at December 31, 2016), and

\$89.4 million from Carlyle Realty Partners VII, L.P. ("CRP VII") (with total AUM of approximately \$4.5 billion at December 31, 2016).

Performance fees in excess of 10% of the total for the year ended December 31, 2015 were generated primarily from the following funds:

- •\$236.8 million from Carlyle Europe Partners III, L.P. ("CEP III"),
- •\$184.5 million from Carlyle Asia Partners III ("CAP III"),
- •\$101.1 million from Carlyle Realty Partners VI, L.P. ("CRP VI"), and
- •\$(100.3) million from Carlyle/Riverstone Global Energy and Power Fund III, L.P. ("Energy III").

Performance fees in excess of 10% of the total for the year ended December 31, 2014 were generated primarily from the following funds:

- •\$600.8 million from CP V, and
- •\$584.0 million from CEP III.

No other fund generated over 10% of performance fees in the periods presented above.

Under our arrangements with the historical owners and management team of AlpInvest, we generally do not retain any carried interest in respect of the historical investments and commitments to our fund vehicles that existed as of July 1, 2011 (including any options to increase any such commitments exercised after such date). We are entitled to 15% of the carried interest in respect of commitments from the historical owners of AlpInvest for the period between 2011 and 2020 and 40% of the carried interest in respect of all other commitments (including all future commitments from third parties). In certain instances, carried interest associated with the AlpInvest fund vehicles is subject to entity level income taxes in the Netherlands.

Realized carried interest may be clawed back or given back to the fund if the fund's investment values decline below certain return hurdles, which vary from fund to fund. When the fair value of a fund's investments remains constant or falls

below certain return hurdles, previously recognized performance fees are reversed. In all cases, each investment fund is considered separately in evaluating carried interest and potential giveback obligations. For any given period, performance fee revenue on our statement of operations may include reversals of previously recognized performance fees due to a decrease in the value of a particular fund that results in a decrease of cumulative performance fees earned to date. Since fund return hurdles are cumulative, previously recognized performance fees also may be reversed in a period of appreciation that is lower than the particular fund's hurdle rate. For the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015, and 2014, the reversals of performance fees were \$109.6 million, \$253.4 million, and \$255.4 million, respectively. Additionally, unrealized performance fees reverse when performance fees are realized, and unrealized performance fees can be negative if the amount of realized performance fees exceed total performance fees generated in the period.

As of December 31, 2016, accrued performance fees and accrued giveback obligations were approximately \$2.5 billion and \$160.8 million, respectively, after amounts eliminated related to the Consolidated Funds. Each balance assumes a hypothetical liquidation of the funds' investments at December 31, 2016 at their then current fair values. These assets and liabilities will continue to fluctuate in accordance with the fair values of the fund investments until they are realized. As of December 31, 2016, approximately \$102.3 million of the accrued giveback obligation is the responsibility of various current and former senior Carlyle professionals and other limited partners of the Carlyle Holdings partnerships, and the net accrued giveback obligation attributable to Carlyle Holdings is \$58.5 million. The Partnership uses "net accrued performance fees" to refer to the accrued performance fees net of accrued giveback obligations, accrued performance fee compensation, performance fee-related tax obligations, and accrued performance fees attributable to non-controlling interests and excludes any net accrued performance fees that have been realized but will be collected in subsequent periods. The net accrued performance fees as of December 31, 2016 are \$1.1 billion.

In addition, realized performance fees may be reversed in future periods to the extent that such amounts become subject to a giveback obligation. If at December 31, 2016, all investments held by our carry funds were deemed worthless, the amount of realized and previously distributed performance fees subject to potential giveback would be approximately \$1.1 billion, on an after-tax basis where applicable. See the related discussion of "Contingent Obligations (Giveback)" within "- Liquidity and Capital Resources." Since Carlyle's inception, we have realized a total of approximately \$218.6 million in aggregate giveback obligations. Approximately \$37.2 million of the \$218.6 million in aggregate realized giveback obligations was attributable to Carlyle Holdings. The funding for employee obligations and givebacks related to carry realized pre-IPO is primarily through a collection of employee receivables related to giveback obligations and from non-controlling interests for their portion of the obligation. The realization of giveback obligations for the Partnership's portion of such obligations reduces Distributable Earnings in the period realized and negatively impacts earnings available for distributions to unitholders in the period realized. Further, each individual recipient of realized carried interest typically signs a guarantee agreement or partnership agreement that personally obligates such person to return his/her pro rata share of any amounts of realized carried interest previously distributed that are later clawed back. Accordingly, carried interest as performance fee compensation is subject to return to the Partnership in the event a giveback obligation is funded. Generally, the actual giveback liability, if any, does not become due until the end of a fund's life.

Each investment fund is considered separately in evaluating carried interest and potential giveback obligations. As a result, performance fees within funds will continue to fluctuate primarily due to certain investments within each fund constituting a material portion of the carry in that fund. Additionally, the fair value of investments in our funds may have substantial fluctuations from period to period.

In addition, in our discussion of our non-GAAP results, we use the term "net performance fees" to refer to the performance fees from our funds net of the portion allocated to our investment professionals, if any, and certain tax expenses associated with carried interest attributable to certain partners and employees, which are reflected as performance fee related compensation expense. We use the term "realized net performance fees" to refer to realized performance fees from our funds, net of the portion allocated to our investment professionals, if any, and certain tax expenses associated with carried interest attributable to certain partners and employees, which are reflected as realized

performance fee related compensation expense. See "— Non-GAAP Financial Measures" for the amount of realized and unrealized performance fees recognized each period. See "— Segment Analysis" for the realized and unrealized performance fees by segment and related discussion for each period.

Fair Value Measurement. U.S. GAAP establishes a hierarchal disclosure framework which ranks the observability of market price inputs used in measuring financial instruments at fair value. The observability of inputs is impacted by a number of factors, including the type of financial instrument, the characteristics specific to the financial instrument and the state of the marketplace, including the existence and transparency of transactions between market participants. Financial instruments with readily available quoted prices, or for which fair value can be measured from quoted prices in active markets, will generally have a higher degree of market price observability and a lesser degree of judgment applied in determining fair value.

The table below summarizes the valuation of investments and other financial instruments included within our AUM, by segment and fair value hierarchy levels, as of December 31, 2016 (amounts in millions):

, ,	As of De	ecember 3	1, 2016	,	
	Corporat Private Equity	Real Assets	Global Market Strategies	Investment Solutions	Total
Consolidated Results					
Level I	\$1,850	\$2,099	\$ 170	\$692	\$4,811
Level II	371	304	121	268	1,064
Level III	29,750	19,753	22,309	28,393	100,205
Total Fair Value	31,971	22,156	22,600	29,353	106,080
Other Net Asset Value	1,394	523	25	(555)	1,387
Total AUM, Excluding Available Capital Commitments	33,365	22,679	22,625	28,798	107,467
Available Capital Commitments	17,499	11,573	6,774	14,294	50,140
Total AUM	\$50,864	\$34,252	\$ 29,399	\$43,092	\$157,607

Investment Income, Interest, and Other Income. Investment income, interest, and other income represent the unrealized and realized gains and losses on our principal investments, including our investments in Carlyle funds that are not consolidated, our equity method investments and other principal investments, as well as any interest and other income. Investment income (loss) also includes the related amortization of the basis difference between the carrying value of our investment and our share of the underlying net assets of the investee, as well as the compensation expense associated with compensatory arrangements provided by us to employees of our equity method investee. Realized investment income (loss) is recorded when we redeem all or a portion of our investment or when we receive or are due cash income, such as dividends or distributions. A realized investment loss is also recorded when an investment is deemed to be worthless. Unrealized investment income (loss) results from changes in the fair value of the underlying investment, as well as the reversal of previously recognized unrealized gains (losses) at the time an investment is realized.

Interest and Other Income of Consolidated Funds. Interest and other income of Consolidated Funds primarily represents the interest earned on CLO assets. The Consolidated Funds are not the same entities in all periods presented. On January 1, 2016, the Partnership adopted new consolidation accounting guidance that allowed the Partnership to deconsolidate the AlpInvest funds and vehicles, hedge funds, and the majority of its CLOs. Accordingly, for the year ended December 31, 2016 only the remaining Consolidated Funds are presented. The Consolidated Funds presented in future periods may change due to changes in fund terms, formation of new funds, and terminations of funds.

Revenue of a Consolidated Real Estate VIE. Revenue of a consolidated real estate VIE consists of revenue generated by Urbplan, which primarily is revenue earned for land development services using the completed contract method and investment income earned on Urbplan's investments. Under the completed contract method of revenue recognition, revenue is not recognized until the period in which the land development services contract is completed. Net Investment Gains (Losses) of Consolidated Funds. Net investment gains (losses) of Consolidated Funds measures the change in the difference in fair value between the assets and the liabilities of the Consolidated Funds. A gain (loss) indicates that the fair value of the assets of the Consolidated Funds appreciated more (less), or depreciated less (more), than the fair value of the liabilities of the Consolidated Funds. A gain or loss is not necessarily indicative of the investment performance of the Consolidated Funds and does not impact the management or incentive fees received by Carlyle for its management of the Consolidated Funds. The portion of the net investment gains (losses) of Consolidated Funds attributable to the limited partner investors is allocated to non-controlling interests. Therefore a gain or loss is not expected to have a material impact on the revenues or profitability of the Partnership. Moreover, although the assets of the Consolidated Funds are consolidated onto our balance sheet pursuant to U.S. GAAP, ultimately we do not have recourse to such assets and such liabilities are generally non-recourse to us. Therefore, a gain or loss from the Consolidated Funds generally does not impact the assets available to our equity holders.

Expenses

Compensation and Benefits. Compensation includes salaries, bonuses, equity-based compensation, and performance payment arrangements. Bonuses are accrued over the service period to which they relate.

We recognize as compensation expense the portion of performance fees that are due to our employees, senior Carlyle professionals, and operating executives in a manner consistent with how we recognize the performance fee revenue. These amounts are accounted for as compensation expense in conjunction with the related performance fee revenue and, until paid, are recognized as a component of the accrued compensation and benefits liability. Compensation in respect of performance fees is paid when the related performance fees are realized, and not when such performance fees are accrued. The funds do not have a uniform allocation of performance fees to our employees, senior Carlyle professionals and operating executives. Therefore, for any given period, the ratio of performance fee compensation to performance fee revenue may vary based on the funds generating the performance fee revenue for that period and their particular allocation percentages.

In addition, we have implemented various equity-based compensation arrangements that require senior Carlyle professionals and other employees to vest ownership of a portion of their equity interests over a service period of up to 60 months, which under U.S. GAAP will result in compensation charges over current and future periods. Further, in order to recruit and retain existing and future senior Carlyle professionals and other employees, we have implemented additional equity-based compensation programs that have resulted in increases to our equity-based compensation expenses, which is a trend that may continue in the future if we increase our issuance of deferred restricted common units as employee compensation. For example, in February 2017, we granted approximately 7.6 million deferred restricted common units across a significant number of our employees for a total estimated grant-date fair value of approximately \$106 million; these awards vest over a period of 18 to 60 months. Compensation charges associated with the equity-based compensation grants issued in our initial public offering in May 2012 or grants issued in acquisitions or strategic investments are excluded from our calculation of Economic Net Income. Compensation charges associated with all equity-based compensation grants are excluded from Fee Related Earnings and Distributable Earnings.

We may hire additional individuals and overall compensation levels may correspondingly increase, which could result in an increase in compensation and benefits expense. As a result of acquisitions, we have charges associated with contingent consideration taking the form of earn-outs and profit participation, some of which are reflected as compensation expense. A portion of our compensation expense relates to internal fundraising costs, and compensation will fluctuate based on increases or decreases in our fundraising activities. Amounts due to employees related to such fundraising will be expensed when earned even though the benefit of the new capital and related fees will be reflected in operations over the life of the related fund.

General, Administrative and Other Expenses. General, administrative, and other expenses include occupancy and equipment expenses and other expenses, which consist principally of professional fees, including those related to our global regulatory compliance program, external costs of fundraising, travel and related expenses, communications and information services, depreciation and amortization (including intangible asset amortization and impairment) and foreign currency transactions. We expect that general, administrative and other expenses will vary due to infrequently occurring or unusual items, such as impairment of intangible assets and expenses associated with litigation and contingencies. Also, in periods of significant fundraising, to the extent that we use third parties to assist in our fundraising efforts, our general, administrative and other expenses may increase accordingly. Additionally, we anticipate that general, administrative and other expenses will fluctuate from period to period due to the impact of foreign exchange transactions.

We also could incur additional expenses in the future related to our acquisitions including amortization of acquired intangibles, earn-outs to equity holders and fair value adjustments on contingent consideration issued, as well as related to our global compliance efforts. As discussed in Note 7 to the consolidated financial statements, we evaluate our intangible assets (including goodwill) for impairment and could record additional impairment losses in future periods.

Interest and Other Expenses of Consolidated Funds. The interest and other expenses of Consolidated Funds consist primarily of interest expenses related primarily to our CLO loans, professional fees and other third-party expenses. Interest and Other Expenses of a Consolidated Real Estate VIE. Interest and other expenses of a consolidated real estate VIE reflect expenses incurred by Urbplan, consisting primarily of interest expense, general and administrative expenses, compensation and benefits, and costs associated with land development services. Also included in this caption is the change in our estimate of the fair value of Urbplan's loans payable during the period.

Income Taxes. The Carlyle Holdings partnerships and their subsidiaries primarily operate as pass-through entities for U.S. income tax purposes and record a provision for state and local income taxes for certain entities based on applicable laws

and a provision for foreign income taxes for certain foreign entities. In addition, Carlyle Holdings I GP Inc. is subject to U.S. income taxes on only a portion of our income or loss. Depending on the sources of our taxable income or loss, our income tax provision or benefit can vary significantly from period to period.

Income taxes for foreign entities are accounted for using the asset and liability method of accounting. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the expected future tax consequences of differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities and their respective tax basis, using currently enacted tax rates. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period in which the change is enacted. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance when it is more likely than not that some or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized.

In the normal course of business, we are subject to examination by federal and certain state, local and foreign tax regulators. As of December 31, 2016, our U.S. federal income tax returns for the years 2013 through 2015 are open under the normal three-year statute of limitations and therefore subject to examination. State and local tax returns are generally subject to audit from 2012 to 2015. Foreign tax returns are generally subject to audit from 2009 to 2016. Certain of our affiliates are currently under audit by federal, state and foreign tax authorities.

Non-controlling Interests in Consolidated Entities. Non-controlling interests in consolidated entities represent the component of equity in consolidated entities not held by us. These interests are adjusted for general partner allocations and by subscriptions and redemptions in hedge funds which occur during the reporting period. Non-controlling interests related to hedge funds are subject to quarterly or monthly redemption by investors in these funds following the expiration of a specified period of time or may be withdrawn subject to a redemption fee in the hedge funds during the period when capital may not be withdrawn. As limited partners in these types of funds have been granted redemption rights, amounts relating to third-party interests in such consolidated funds are presented as redeemable non-controlling interests in consolidated entities within the consolidated balance sheets. When redeemable amounts become legally payable to investors, they are classified as a liability and included in other liabilities of Consolidated Funds in the consolidated balance sheets.

We record significant non-controlling interests in Carlyle Holdings relating to the ownership interests of the limited partners of the Carlyle Holdings partnerships. The Partnership, through wholly owned subsidiaries, is the sole general partner of Carlyle Holdings. Accordingly, the Partnership consolidates the financial position and results of operations of Carlyle Holdings into its financial statements, and the other ownership interests in Carlyle Holdings are reflected as a non-controlling interest in the Partnership's financial statements.

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

Economic Net Income. Economic net income, or "ENI," is a key performance benchmark used in our industry. ENI differs from income (loss) before provision for income taxes computed in accordance with U.S. GAAP in that it includes certain tax expenses associated with performance fees, and does not include net income (loss) attributable to non-Carlyle interests in Consolidated Funds or charges (credits) related to Carlyle corporate actions and non-recurring items. Charges (credits) related to Carlyle corporate actions and non-recurring items include: charges associated with equity-based compensation that was issued in the initial public offering in May 2012 or is issued in acquisitions or strategic investments, changes in the tax receivable agreement liability, amortization and any impairment charges associated with acquired intangible assets, transaction costs associated with acquisitions, charges associated with earnouts and contingent consideration including gains and losses associated with the estimated fair value of contingent consideration issued in conjunction with acquisitions or strategic investments, gains and losses from the retirement of debt, charges associated with contract terminations and employee severance. We believe the inclusion or exclusion of these items provides investors with a meaningful indication of our core operating performance. For segment reporting purposes, revenues and expenses, and, accordingly, segment net income, are presented on a basis that deconsolidates the Consolidated Funds. Total Segment ENI equals the aggregate of ENI for all segments. This measure supplements and should be considered in addition to and not in lieu of the results of operations discussed further under "Consolidated Results of Operations" prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

Fee Related Earnings. Fee Related Earnings, or "FRE," is a component of ENI and measures our operating profitability exclusive of performance fees, investment income from investments in our funds, performance fee-related

compensation, equity-based compensation expense, and certain general, administrative and other expenses when the timing of any future payment is uncertain. Accordingly, Fee Related Earnings reflect the ability of the business to cover direct base compensation and operating expenses from fee revenues other than performance fees. Fee Related Earnings are reported as part of our segment results. We use Fee Related Earnings from operations to measure our profitability from fund management fees.

Distributable Earnings. Distributable Earnings is FRE plus realized net performance fees and realized investment income. Distributable Earnings is intended to show the amount of net realized earnings without the effects of consolidation of the Consolidated Funds. Distributable Earnings is derived from our segment reported results and is an additional measure to assess performance and determine amounts potentially available for distribution from Carlyle Holdings to its unitholders. Distributable Earnings is evaluated regularly by management in making resource deployment and compensation decisions and in assessing performance of our four segments. We also use Distributable Earnings in our budgeting, forecasting, and the overall management of our segments. We believe that reporting Distributable Earnings is helpful to understanding our business and that investors should review the same supplemental financial measure that management uses to analyze our segment performance.

Operating Metrics

We monitor certain operating metrics that are common to the alternative asset management industry.

Fee-earning Assets under Management

Fee-earning assets under management or Fee-earning AUM refers to the assets we manage or advise from which we derive recurring fund management fees. Our Fee-earning AUM is generally based on one of the following, once fees have been activated:

the amount of limited partner capital commitments, generally for carry funds where the original investment period has not expired, for AlpInvest carry funds during the commitment fee period and for Metropolitan carry funds

- during the weighted-average investment period of the underlying funds (see "Fee-earning AUM based on capital commitments" in the table below for the amount of this component at each period);
 - the remaining amount of limited partner invested capital at cost, generally for carry funds and certain
- (b) co-investment vehicles where the original investment period has expired and Metropolitan carry funds after the expiration of the weighted-average investment period of the underlying funds (see "Fee-earning AUM based on invested capital" in the table below for the amount of this component at each period);
 - the amount of aggregate fee-earning collateral balance at par of our collateralized loan obligations ("CLOs"), as
- defined in the fund indentures (typically exclusive of equities and defaulted positions) as of the quarterly cut-off date for each CLO (see "Fee-earning AUM based on collateral balances, at par" in the table below for the amount of this component at each period);
 - the external investor portion of the net asset value of our hedge fund and fund of hedge funds vehicles (pre
- (d) redemptions and subscriptions), as well as certain carry funds (see "Fee-earning AUM based on net asset value" in the table below for the amount of this component at each period);
 - the gross assets (including assets acquired with leverage), excluding cash and cash equivalents of our business
- (e) development companies and certain carry funds (see "Fee-earning AUM based on lower of cost or fair value and other" in the table below for the amount of this component at each period); and
- the lower of cost or fair value of invested capital, generally for AlpInvest carry funds where the commitment fee
- (f) period has expired and certain carry funds where the investment period has expired, (see "Fee-earning AUM based on lower of cost or fair value and other" in the table below for the amount of this component at each period).

The table below details Fee-earning AUM by its respective components at each period.

The table below details fee carming from by its respective component	its at each h	orrou.	
	As of December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Consolidated Results	(Dollars in millions)		
Components of Fee-earning AUM			
Fee-earning AUM based on capital commitments (1)	\$51,455	\$47,745	\$38,956
Fee-earning AUM based on invested capital (2)	25,976	33,823	41,197
Fee-earning AUM based on collateral balances, at par (3)	16,999	17,896	17,631
Fee-earning AUM based on net asset value (4)	977	9,634	14,884
Fee-earning AUM based on lower of cost or fair value and other (5)	19,587	21,896	22,912
Balance, End of Period (6)	\$114,994	\$130,994	\$135,580

- (1) Reflects limited partner capital commitments where the original investment period, weighted-average investment period, or commitment fee period has not expired.
- Reflects limited partner invested capital at cost and includes amounts committed to or reserved for investments for certain Real Assets and Investment Solutions funds.
- (3) Represents the amount of aggregate Fee-earning collateral balances and principal balances, at par, for our CLOs/structured products.
- Reflects the net asset value (pre-redemptions and subscriptions) of our hedge funds, mutual fund and fund of hedge funds vehicles, as well as certain other carry funds.
- (5) Includes funds with fees based on gross asset value.

 Energy II, Energy III, Energy IV, Renew I, and Renew II (collectively, the "Legacy Energy Funds"), are managed with Riverstone Holdings LLC and its affiliates. Affiliates of both Carlyle and Riverstone act as investment advisers to each of the Legacy Energy Funds. With the exception of Energy IV and Renew II, where Carlyle has a minority representation on the funds' management committees, management of each of the Legacy Energy Funds is
- (6) vested in committees with equal representation by Carlyle and Riverstone, and the consent of representatives of both Carlyle and Riverstone is required for investment decisions. As of December 31, 2016, the Legacy Energy Funds had, in the aggregate, approximately \$6.1 billion in AUM and \$5.5 billion in Fee-earning AUM. We are no longer raising capital for the Legacy Energy Funds and expect these balances to continue to decrease over time as the funds wind down.

The table below provides the period to period rollforward of Fee-earning AUM.

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Consolidated Results	(Dollars in	millions)	
Fee-earning AUM Rollforward			
Balance, Beginning of Period	\$130,994	\$135,580	\$139,949
Acquisitions/(Divestments) (1)	(4,356)	_	2,894
Inflows, including Commitments (2)	11,799	22,950	16,893
Outflows, including Distributions (3)	(17,138)	(18,940)	(19,143)
Subscriptions, net of Redemptions (4)	(4,930)	(4,528)	(277)
Changes in CLO collateral balances (5)	(714)	850	1,887
Market Appreciation/(Depreciation) (6)	(73)	(1,147)	(957)
Foreign Exchange and other (7)	(588)	(3,771)	(5,666)
Balance, End of Period	\$114,994	\$130,994	\$135,580

Divestment activity in 2016 represents ESG assets which were transferred to the ESG founders in a transaction that (1)closed in October 2016 and Claren Road assets which were transferred to the Claren Road founders in a transaction that closed in January 2017.

Inflows represent limited partner capital raised and capital invested by our carry funds and NGP management fee (2) funds outside the investment period, weighted-average investment period or commitment fee period. Inflows do not include amounts raised of \$4.1 billion for which fees have not yet commenced.

Outflows represent limited partner distributions from our carry funds and NGP management fee funds, changes in

- (3) basis for our carry funds where the investment period, weighted-average investment period or commitment fee period has expired, and reductions for funds that are no longer calling for fees.
- (4) Represents the net result of subscriptions to and redemptions from our hedge funds, mutual fund and fund of hedge funds vehicles.
- (5) Represents the change in the aggregate Fee-earning collateral balances at par of our CLOs/structured products, as of the quarterly cut-off dates.
 - Market Appreciation/(Depreciation) represents changes in the net asset value of our hedge funds, mutual fund and
- (6) fund of hedge funds vehicles, and realized and unrealized gains (losses) on portfolio investments in our carry funds based on the lower of cost or fair value and net asset value.
 - Includes activity of funds with fees based on gross asset value. Represents the impact of foreign exchange rate
- (7) fluctuations on the translation of our non-U.S. dollar denominated funds. Activity during the period is translated at the average rate for the period. Ending balances are translated at the spot rate as of the period end.

Refer to "— Segment Analysis" for a detailed discussion by segment of the activity affecting Fee-earning AUM for each of the periods presented by segment.

Assets under Management

Assets under management or AUM refers to the assets we manage or advise. Our AUM equals the sum of the following:

- (a) the fair value of the capital invested in carry funds and related co-investment vehicles and NGP management fee funds plus the capital that Carlyle is entitled to call from investors in those funds and vehicles (including Carlyle commitments to those funds and vehicles and those of senior Carlyle professionals and employees) pursuant to the terms of their capital commitments to those funds and vehicles;
- (b) the amount of aggregate collateral balance and principal cash at par or aggregate principal amount of the notes of our CLOs and other structured products (inclusive of all positions);
- (c) the net asset value (pre-redemptions and subscriptions) of our long/short credit, emerging markets, multi-product macroeconomic, fund of hedge funds vehicles, mutual fund and other hedge funds; and
- (d) the gross assets (including assets acquired with leverage) of our business development companies.

We include in our calculation of AUM and Fee-earning AUM certain energy and renewable resources funds that we jointly advise with Riverstone Holdings L.L.C. ("Riverstone") and certain NGP management fee funds and carry funds that are advised by NGP.

For most of our carry funds, total AUM includes the fair value of the capital invested, whereas Fee-earning AUM includes the amount of capital commitments or the remaining amount of invested capital, depending on whether the original investment period for the fund has expired. As such, Fee-earning AUM may be greater than total AUM when the aggregate fair value of the remaining investments is less than the cost of those investments.

Our calculations of AUM and Fee-earning AUM may differ from the calculations of other alternative asset managers. As a result, these measures may not be comparable to similar measures presented by other alternative asset managers. In addition, our calculation of AUM (but not Fee-earning AUM) includes uncalled commitments to, and the fair value of invested capital in, our investment funds from Carlyle and our personnel, regardless of whether such commitments or invested capital are subject to management or performance fees. Our calculations of AUM or Fee-earning AUM are not based on any definition of AUM or Fee-earning AUM that is set forth in the agreements governing the investment funds that we manage or advise.

We generally use Fee-earning AUM as a metric to measure changes in the assets from which we earn recurring management fees. Total AUM tends to be a better measure of our investment and fundraising performance as it reflects assets at fair value plus available uncalled capital.

Available Capital

Available capital, commonly known as "dry powder," for our carry funds and NGP management fee funds refers to the amount of capital commitments available to be called for investments. Amounts previously called may be added back

to available capital following certain distributions. "Expired Available Capital" occurs when a fund has passed the investment and follow-on periods and can no longer invest capital into new or existing deals. Any remaining Available Capital, typically a

result of either recycled distributions or specific reserves established for the follow-on period that are not drawn, can only be called for fees and expenses and is therefore removed from the Total AUM calculation.

The table below provides the period to period rollforward of Available Capital and Fair Value of Capital, and the resulting rollforward of Total AUM.

3	Capitai	Fair Value of Capital millions)	Total AUM
Consolidated Results			
Balance, As of December 31, 2013	\$52,018	\$136,792	\$188,810
Acquisitions/(Divestments) (1)		2,993	2,993
Commitments (2)	20,306	_	20,306
Capital Called, net (3)	(16,415)	16,198	(217)
Distributions (4)	3,650	(34,230)	(30,580)
Subscriptions, net of Redemptions (5)		(160)	(160)
Changes in CLO collateral balances (6)	_	2,087	2,087
Market Appreciation/(Depreciation) (7)	_	19,227	19,227
Foreign exchange and other (8)	(1,688)	(6,305)	(7,993)
Balance, As of December 31, 2014	\$57,871	\$136,602	\$194,473
Acquisitions/(Divestments) (1)		_	
Commitments (2)	17,456	_	17,456
Capital Called, net (3)	(17,461)	17,278	(183)
Distributions (4)	1,305	(31,306)	(30,001)
Subscriptions, net of Redemptions (5)		(4,622)	(4,622)
Changes in CLO collateral balances (6)		1,602	1,602
Market Appreciation/(Depreciation) (7)		9,773	9,773
Foreign exchange and other (8)	(1,154)	(4,749)	(5,903)
Balance, As of December 31, 2015	\$58,017	\$124,578	\$182,595
Acquisitions/(Divestments) (1)		(4,707)	(4,707)
Commitments (2)	5,589	_	5,589
Capital Called, net (3)	(15,579)	14,508	(1,071)
Distributions (4)	2,211	(30,923)	(28,712)
Subscriptions, net of Redemptions (5)		(4,666)	(4,666)
Changes in CLO collateral balances (6)		(661)	(661)
Market Appreciation/(Depreciation) (7)		10,145	10,145
Foreign exchange and other (8)	(98)	(807)	(905)
Balance, As of December 31, 2016	\$50,140	\$107,467	\$157,607

Divestment activity in 2016 represents ESG assets which were transferred to the ESG founders in a transaction that (1) closed in October 2016 and Claren Road assets which were transferred to the Claren Road founders in a transaction that closed in January 2017.

- (2) Represents capital raised by our carry funds and NGP management fee funds, net of expired available capital. Represents capital called by our carry funds and NGP management fee funds, net of fund fees and expenses and
- (3) investments in our business development companies. Invested capital amounts may vary from capital called due to timing differences between investment acquisition and capital call dates.
 - Represents distributions from our carry funds and NGP management fee funds, net of amounts recycled and
- (4) distributions from our business development companies. Distributions are based on when proceeds are actually distributed to investors, which may differ from when they are realized.

- (5) Represents the net result of subscriptions to and redemptions from our hedge funds, mutual fund, and fund of hedge funds vehicles.
- (6) Represents the change in the aggregate collateral balance and principal cash at par of the CLOs/structured products.
 - Market Appreciation/(Depreciation) represents realized and unrealized gains (losses) on portfolio investments and changes in the net asset value of our hedge funds, mutual fund, and fund of hedge funds vehicles. Appreciation for
- (7) the fourth quarter of 2016 was driven by 4% appreciation in the public portfolio (\$0.5 billion) and 4% appreciation (\$1.3 billion) in the private portfolio of our Corporate Private Equity, Real Assets, and Global Market Strategies carry funds, in addition to \$2.5 billion of appreciation in our Investment Solutions carry funds. Appreciation for the twelve months

ended December 31, 2016 was primarily driven by appreciation in the private portfolio of our carry funds (excluding Investment Solutions) of \$3.8 billion (11%) and appreciation in the public portfolio of our carry funds (excluding Investment Solutions) of \$2.5 billion (17%). Remaining market appreciation was driven by appreciation of \$4.7 billion in our Investment Solutions carry funds, partially offset by depreciation in our hedge funds and NGP management fee funds.

Represents the impact of foreign exchange rate fluctuations on the translation of our non-U.S. dollar denominated (8) funds and other changes in AUM. Activity during the period is translated at the average rate for the period. Ending balances are translated at the spot rate as of the period end.

Please refer to "— Segment Analysis" for a detailed discussion by segment of the activity affecting Total AUM for each of the periods presented.

The table below presents the change in appreciation on portfolio investments of our carry funds. Please refer to "— Segment Analysis" for a detailed discussion by segment of the activity affecting Total AUM for each of the periods presented.

- Corporate Private Equity, Real Assets, and Global Market Strategies carry funds only, excluding external co-investment.
- For Carlyle returns, "Appreciation/Depreciation" represents realized and unrealized gain / loss for the period on a (2) total return basis before fees and expenses. The percentage of return is calculated as the sum of ending remaining investment fair market value ("FMV") and net investment outflow (sales proceeds less net purchases) less beginning remaining investment FMV.
- (3) Public portfolio includes initial public offerings ("IPO") that occurred in the quarter. Investments may be reported as private in quarters prior to the IPO quarter.
 - The MSCI ACWI All Cap Index represents the performance of the MSCI All Country World Index across all market capitalization sizes of the global equity market. There are significant differences between the types of securities and assets typically acquired by our carry funds and the investments covered by the MSCI All Country World Index. Specifically, our carry funds may make investments in securities and other assets that have a greater
- (4) degree of risk and volatility, and less liquidity, than those securities included in the MSCI All Country World Index. Moreover, investors in the securities included in the MSCI All Country World Index may not be subject to the management fees, carried interest or expenses to which investors in our carry funds are typically subject. Comparisons between the our carry fund appreciation and the MSCI All Country World Index are included for informational purposes only.

Consolidation of Certain Carlyle Funds and Variable Interest Entities

The Partnership consolidates all entities that it controls either through a majority voting interest or as the primary beneficiary of variable interest entities. On January 1, 2016, the Partnership adopted ASU 2015-2, Consolidation (Topic 810): Amendments to the Consolidation Analysis, which provides a revised consolidation model for all reporting entities to use in evaluating whether to consolidate certain types of legal entities. As a result, the Partnership deconsolidated the majority of the Partnership's consolidated funds on January 1, 2016. The entities we consolidate are referred to collectively as the Consolidated

Funds in our consolidated financial statements. For further information on our adoption of this revised consolidation guidance, see Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. As of December 31, 2016, our Consolidated Funds represent approximately 2% of our AUM; 1% of our fund management fees; and less than 1% of our performance fees for the year ended December 31, 2016. We are not required under the revised consolidation guidance to consolidate in our financial statements most of the investment funds we advise. However, we consolidate certain CLOs that we advise. As of December 31, 2016, our consolidated CLOs held approximately \$4.7 billion of total assets and comprised substantially all of the assets and loans payable of the Consolidated Funds. The assets and liabilities of the Consolidated Funds are generally held within separate legal entities and, as a result, the liabilities of the Consolidated Funds are non-recourse to us. For further information on consolidation of certain funds, see Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Generally, the consolidation of the Consolidated Funds has a gross-up effect on our assets, liabilities and cash flows but has no net effect on the net income attributable to the Partnership and partners' capital. The majority of the net economic ownership interests of the Consolidated Funds are reflected as non-controlling interests in consolidated entities, redeemable non-controlling interests in consolidated entities, and partners' capital appropriated for Consolidated Funds in the consolidated financial statements. For further information, see Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Because only a small portion of our funds are consolidated, the performance of the Consolidated Funds is not necessarily consistent with or representative of the combined performance trends of all of our funds. In addition, as described in Note 17 to the consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, we consolidate Urbplan, a Brazilian real estate portfolio company held by certain of our real estate investment funds. Due to the timing and availability of financial information of Urbplan, we consolidate the financial position and results of operations of Urbplan on a financial reporting lag of 90 days. As of December 31, 2016, our consolidated financial statements included approximately \$177 million of assets related to Urbplan.

Consolidated Results of Operations

The following table and discussion sets forth information regarding our consolidated results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014. Our consolidated financial statements have been prepared on substantially the same basis for all historical periods presented; however, the consolidated funds are not the same entities in all periods shown due to changes in U.S. GAAP, changes in fund terms and the creation and termination of funds. As further described below, the consolidation of these funds primarily had the impact of increasing interest and other income of Consolidated Funds, interest and other expenses of Consolidated Funds, and net investment gains (losses) of Consolidated Funds in the year that the fund is initially consolidated. The consolidation of these funds had no effect on net income attributable to the Partnership for the periods presented.

Decrees	Year Ended December 31, 2016 2015 2014 (Dollars in millions, except unit and per unit data)		
Revenues Fund management fees	\$1,076,1	\$ 1,085.2	\$1,166.3
Performance fees	\$1,070.1	\$ 1,065.2	\$ 1,100.5
Realized	1,129.5	1,441.9	1,328.7
Unrealized	(377.7	•	345.7
Total performance fees	751.8	824.9	1,674.4
Investment income (loss)	751.0	021.9	1,071.1
Realized	112.9	32.9	23.7
Unrealized	47.6		(30.9)
Total investment income (loss)	160.5	15.2	(7.2)
Interest and other income	23.9	18.6	20.6
Interest and other income of Consolidated Funds	166.9	975.5	956.0
Revenue of a consolidated real estate VIE	95.1	86.8	70.2
Total revenues	2,274.3	3,006.2	3,880.3
Expenses			
Compensation and benefits			
Base compensation	647.1	632.2	789.0
Equity-based compensation	334.6	378.0	344.0
Performance fee related			
Realized	580.5	650.5	590.7
Unrealized	(227.4) (139.6	282.2
Total compensation and benefits	1,334.8	1,521.1	2,005.9
General, administrative, and other expenses	521.1	712.8	526.8
Interest	61.3	58.0	55.7
Interest and other expenses of Consolidated Funds	128.5	1,039.3	1,042.0
Interest and other expenses of a consolidated real estate VIE	207.6	144.6	175.3
Other non-operating income			(30.3)
Total expenses	2,242.1	3,468.4	3,775.4
Other income	40.4	064.4	00=0
Net investment gains of Consolidated Funds	13.1	864.4	887.0
Income before provision for income taxes	45.3	402.2	991.9
Provision for income taxes	30.0	2.1	76.8
Net income	15.3	400.1	915.1
Net income attributable to non-controlling interests in consolidated entities	41.0	537.9	485.5
Net income (loss) attributable to Carlyle Holdings			429.6
Net income (loss) attributable to non-controlling interests in Carlyle Holdings	` .	, ,	343.8
Net income (loss) attributable to The Carlyle Group L.P.	\$6.4	\$ (18.4)	\$85.8
Net income (loss) attributable to The Carlyle Group L.P.			
per common unit	¢0.00	¢ (0.24	¢ 1 25
Basic Diluted	\$0.08		\$1.35
Diluted Weighted average common units	\$(0.08) \$ (0.30	\$1.23
Weighted-average common units	00 714 15	7074 502 025	62 700 624
Basic	82,/14,1	7874,523,935	62,788,634

Year Ended December 31, 2016 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2015 and Year Ended December 31, 2015 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2014.

Revenues

Total revenues decreased \$731.9 million, or 24%, for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to 2015 and decreased \$874.1 million, or 23%, for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014. The following table provides the components of the changes in total revenues for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015:

	Year Ended		
	December 31,		
	2016	2015	
	(Dollars in		
	Millions	s)	
Total Revenues, prior year	\$3,006.	2 \$3,880.	.3
Increases (decreases):			
Decrease in fund management fees	(9.1)(81.1)
Decrease in performance fees	(73.1)(849.5)
Increase in investment income	145.3	22.4	
(Decrease) increase in interest and other income of Consolidated Funds	(808.6)19.5	
Increase in revenue from a consolidated real estate VIE	8.3	16.6	
All other changes	5.3	(2.0)
Total decrease	(731.9)(874.1)
Total Revenues, current year	\$2,274.	3 \$3,006.	.2

Fund Management Fees. Fund management fees decreased \$9.1 million, or 1%, for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to 2015, and decreased \$81.1 million, or 7%, for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014, primarily due to the following:

Vear Ended

	rear Ended
	December 31,
	2016 2015
	(Dollars in
	Millions)
Management fees from funds that were deconsolidated	\$139.7 \$—
Higher management fees from the commencement of the investment period for certain newly raised funds	112.4 120.5
Lower management fees resulting from the change in basis for earning management fees from commitments to invested capital for certain funds and from distributions from funds whose management fees are based on invested capital	(104.2)(99.1)
(Decrease) increase in catch-up management fees from subsequent closes of funds that are in the fundraising period	(63.7)40.0
Lower management fees from lower assets under management in our hedge funds	(88.9)(82.7)
Lower management fees from lower assets under management in our Investment Solutions funds, including the wind down of DGAM	(13.6)(26.8)
Higher (lower) transaction and portfolio advisory fees	22.6 (48.1)
All other changes	(13.4)15.1
Total decrease in fund management fees	\$(9.1)\$(81.1)

Fund management fees include transaction and portfolio advisory fees, net of rebate offsets, of \$47.8 million, \$25.2 million, and \$73.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015, and 2014, respectively. The increase

in transaction and portfolio advisory fees for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to 2015 resulted primarily from a significant transaction related to one of our U.S. buyout funds, and the decrease in transaction and portfolio advisory fees for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014 is primarily due to lesser investment activity, mainly in our buyout funds, in 2015 as compared to 2014.

Performance Fees. Performance fees decreased \$73.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 compared to 2015 and decreased \$849.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014. Performance fees by segment for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014 comprised the following:

Year Ended December

31.

2016 2015 2014 (Dollars in Millions)

 Corporate Private Equity
 \$289.6\$698.2 \$1,340.2

 Real Assets
 321.1 49.3 66.5

 Global Market Strategies
 37.4 (41.0)81.7

 Investment Solutions
 103.7 118.4 186.0

 Total performance fees
 \$751.8\$824.9 \$1,674.4

Total carry fund appreciation 12% 12% 19%

Approximately \$214.2 million of our performance fees for the year ended December 31, 2016 were related to CP V and CRP VII, while approximately \$422.1 million of our performance fees for the year ended December 31, 2015 were related to CEP III, CAP III, CRP VI, and Energy III, and approximately \$1,184.8 million of our performance fees for the year ended December 31, 2014 were related to CP V and CEP III.

The measurement of our performance fees for any given period reflects the change in the valuation of our portfolios for funds that have exceeded their performance hurdles and are otherwise in accrued carry which is impacted by market volatility. Our carry fund portfolio valuations increased 12% during 2016, increased 12% during 2015, and increased 19% during 2014. During 2016, intensifying over the second half of the year and into 2017, significant events in the global political landscape, including the results of the United States Presidential election, the vote on the Brexit referendum in the United Kingdom and the populist movement more broadly, have introduced macroeconomic and political uncertainty for the United States and the global economy. However, since the United States Presidential election in early November 2016, global stocks have risen more than 7%, on average, alongside an approximate 70 basis point increase in 5- and 10-year Treasury yields. In addition to increasing uncertainty, the general rebound in commodities prices during 2016 had far-reaching effects as the rebound caused inflationary pressures to re-emerge across the global economy. While this rebound in commodities prices, including those in oil and industrial metals, generally has benefited our energy and other commodities-related investments, both the actual increase in inflation and the perception and expectation of continuing increased inflation led to a relatively sizable increase in U.S. Treasury yields in the second half of 2016. The U.S. Federal Reserve raised its target for short-term interest rates by 25 basis points in December 2016, which followed a similar rate hike in December 2015. Rising rates also put upward pressure on property yields (cap rates), which depressed the market value of some categories of real estate in the latter part of 2016.

For the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2014, greater market volatility, influenced by China, energy prices and credit markets contributed to lower appreciation in our carry funds. During 2015, the global economy weakened. We believe this weakness was attributable to three primary and interrelated factors: the economic climate in China, crude oil prices and the availability of credit. In China, there was a significant reduction in the capital spending of businesses operating in the energy, metals, and mining industries due to low commodity prices, which generally do not support new capital investment in businesses in these industries. The industrial sector of the U.S. also saw a significant reduction in energy-related capital spending. The industrial production index declined 1.8% in 2015, the first 12-month decline in U.S. industrial output since 2009. This downturn in the industrial sector created a tightening of credit availability for transactions, which resulted in market volatility. This volatility can impact our public portfolio valuations. Amongst these market conditions, our carry fund portfolio appreciated 12% for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to carry fund portfolio appreciation of 19% for the year ended December 31, 2014. Furthermore, approximately \$219 million in performance fees from our Legacy Energy funds and CEMOF funds were reversed during 2015.

In addition, performance fees from consolidated funds decreased \$33.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to 2015, and performance fees from consolidated funds decreased \$2.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014. These fees eliminate upon consolidation.

Investment Income (Loss). Investment income increased \$145.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to 2015, and increased \$22.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014, primarily due to the following:

Year Ended

	I cai I	Liiucu
	Decen	nber 31,
	2016	2015
	(Dolla	ırs in
	Millio	ons)
Increase in investment income, which includes performance fees, from the investments in NGP	\$103.	4 \$19.6
Increase (decrease) in investment income from our buyout and growth funds	32.5	(28.6)
Increase in losses on foreign currency hedges	(6.5)(9.0)
Increase in investment income from our real assets funds, excluding NGP	10.7	57.8
Increase in investment loss from our distressed debt funds, hedge funds, and energy mezzanine funds	(1.6)(1.7)
Decrease in investment income due to the absence in 2015 of a gain associated with the sale of a European real estate investment in 2014	_	(22.5)
Increase in investment income due to deconsolidation of certain CLOs	10.4	
All other changes	(3.6)6.8
Total increase in investment income	\$145.	3 \$22.4

Interest and Other Income of Consolidated Funds. Our CLOs generate interest income primarily from investments in bonds and loans inclusive of amortization of discounts and generate other income from consent and amendment fees. Also included in this balance for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 is interest income and dividend income recognized by the consolidated AlpInvest funds and vehicles and consolidated hedge funds. The consolidated AlpInvest funds and vehicles and consolidated hedge funds, as well as many of the CLOs, were deconsolidated on January 1, 2016 as part of the adoption of ASU 2015-2. See Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements for more information. Substantially all interest and other income of the CLOs and other consolidated funds together with interest expense of our CLOs and net investment gains (losses) of Consolidated Funds is attributable to the related funds' limited partners or CLO investors and therefore is allocated to non-controlling interests. Accordingly, such amounts have no material impact on net income attributable to the Partnership.

Interest and other income of consolidated funds decreased \$808.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to 2015, and increased \$19.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014. The following table provides explanations of the changes in interest and other income of consolidated funds for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015:

	Year Ended	
	Decem	ber 31,
	2016	2015
	(Dollar	s in
	Million	ıs)
(Decrease) increase in interest and other income from CLOs	\$(636.2	2)\$63.2
Decrease in interest and dividend income from consolidated AlpInvest funds and vehicles	(69.5)(25.9)
Decrease in interest and dividend income from consolidated hedge funds	(120.3)(22.5)
All other changes, primarily other income from other consolidated funds	17.4	4.7
Total (decrease) increase in interest and other income from Consolidated Funds	\$(808.6	5)\$19.5

Revenue of a Consolidated Real Estate VIE. Revenue of a consolidated real estate VIE was \$95.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to \$86.8 million in 2015 and \$70.2 million in 2014. The increase in revenue of a consolidated real estate VIE for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to 2015 and 2015 as compared to 2014 is primarily due to an increase in the number of land development projects completed in 2016 as compared to 2015 and 2014. The Partnership's funding to Urbplan has resulted in the completion of land development projects and, therefore, the increase in

revenue of a consolidated real estate VIE in 2016 and 2015. Urbplan recognizes revenue for land development services under the completed contract method.

Expenses

Total expenses decreased \$1,226.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to 2015, and decreased \$307.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014. The following table provides the components of the changes in total expenses for the year ended December 31, 2016 and 2015:

,		
Year Er	ided	
Decemb	er 31,	
2016	2015	
(Dollars	s in	
Millions	s)	
\$3,468.	4 \$3,775	.4
(186.3)(484.8)
(191.7)186.0	
(910.8)(2.7)
63.0	(30.7)
(0.5	125.2	
(,	,
-		
\$2,242.	1 \$3,468.	.4
	December 2016 (Dollars Millions \$3,468.) (186.3 (191.7 (910.8 63.0 (0.5 (1,226.3))	(Dollars in Millions) \$3,468.4 \$3,775. (186.3)(484.8 (191.7)186.0 (910.8)(2.7 63.0 (30.7

Total Compensation and Benefits. Total compensation and benefits decreased \$186.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to 2015, and decreased \$484.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014, due to the following:

Year Ended December 31, 2016 2015 (Dollars in Millions) \$14.9 Increase (decrease) in base compensation \$(156.8) (Decrease) increase in equity-based compensation (43.4) 34.0 Decrease in performance fee related compensation (157.8)(362.0) Total decrease in total compensation and benefits \$(186.3)\$(484.8)

Base compensation and benefits. Base compensation and benefits increased \$14.9 million, or 2%, for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to 2015, and decreased \$156.8 million, or 20%, for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014, primarily due to the following:

Year Ended December 31, 2016 2015 (Dollars in Millions) Decrease in headcount and bonuses \$(40.7)\$(73.6) Decrease in compensation costs associated with fundraising (7.2)—

activities

Higher (lower) expense associated with acquisition-related compensatory arrangements ¹ 62.8 (83.2)

Total increase (decrease) in base compensation and benefits \$14.9 \$(156.8)

1 Included in base compensation and benefits for the year ended December 31, 2016 is approximately \$25.0 million of additional compensation expense as part of the transaction associated with Claren Road in which we transferred our 63%

ownership interest in Claren Road to its founders (see Note 11 to the consolidated financial statements for more information on this transaction).

Equity-based compensation. Equity-based compensation decreased \$43.4 million, or 11%, for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to 2015. The decrease in equity-based compensation from 2015 to 2016 is due primarily to the settlement of the DGAM earnout agreement due to the wind down of DGAM in 2016, the reversal of an acquisition earnout, the forfeitures of equity-based awards due to employee terminations, and the absence in 2016 of a \$7.5 million cumulative catch-up expense recorded in 2015 associated with a change in the estimated forfeiture rates in 2015. These decreases were partially offset by the ongoing granting of deferred restricted common units to new and existing employees during 2015 and 2016.

Equity-based compensation increased \$34.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014. The increase in equity-based compensation from 2014 to 2015 is due to the ongoing granting of deferred restricted common units to new and existing employees during 2014 and 2015. Also contributing to the increase in equity-based compensation for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014 was a decrease to the estimated forfeiture rates in the first quarter of 2015.

Performance fee related compensation expense. Performance fee related compensation expense decreased \$157.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to 2015 and decreased \$362.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014. Performance fee related compensation expense as a percentage of performance fees was 47%, 62%, and 52% in the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The overall percentage of 62% for the year ended December 31, 2015 is primarily due to our private equity funds and vehicles in our Investment Solutions segment (which pay a higher ratio of performance fees as compensation) and the effect of our Legacy Energy funds in our Real Assets segment (which had carry reversals during the year without the corresponding reversal of performance fee compensation expense because the investment teams for the Legacy Energy funds are employed by Riverstone and not Carlyle). Performance fees earned from the Legacy Energy funds and from the NGP funds are allocated solely to Carlyle and are not otherwise shared or allocated with our investment professionals. For our largest segment, Corporate Private Equity, our performance fee related compensation expense as a percentage of performance fees is generally around 45%.

General, Administrative and Other Expenses. General, administrative and other expenses decreased \$191.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to 2015, and increased \$186.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014, primarily due to:

Year Ended

I cui L	iiaca	
Decem	ber 31,	
2016	2015	
(Dollar	s in	
Million	ns)	
\$(220.	3)\$220.	3
(33.8)(66.2)
125.8	60.0	
123.0	00.0	
(25.0))—	
9.8	(2.2))
(16.2)3.3	
(32.0)(29.2)
\$(191.	7)\$186.	0
	2016 (Dollar Million \$(220. (33.8 125.8 (25.0 9.8 (16.2 (32.0	(Dollars in Millions) \$(220.3)\$220. (33.8)(66.2 125.8 60.0 (25.0)— 9.8 (2.2 (16.2)3.3

Interest and Other Expenses of Consolidated Funds. Interest and other expenses of Consolidated Funds decreased \$910.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to 2015 and decreased \$2.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014. The decrease for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to 2015 is primarily due to lower interest expense on the consolidated CLOs and the absence in 2016 of interest and

other expenses of hedge funds and AlpInvest funds and vehicles that were deconsolidated on January 1, 2016. See Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements for more information on our adoption of the consolidation accounting guidance. The decrease for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014 is primarily due to lower interest expense on the consolidated hedge funds and lower other expenses on the consolidated AlpInvest funds and vehicles, substantially offset by higher interest expense on the consolidated CLOs.

The CLOs incur interest expense on their loans payable and incur other expenses consisting of trustee fees, rating agency fees and professional fees. Substantially all interest and other income of our CLOs together with interest expense of our CLOs and net investment gains (losses) of Consolidated Funds is attributable to the related funds' limited partners or CLO investors and therefore is allocated to non-controlling interests. Accordingly, such amounts have no material impact on net income attributable to the Partnership.

Interest and Other Expenses of a Consolidated Real Estate VIE. Interest and other expenses of a consolidated real estate VIE increased \$63.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to 2015 and decreased \$30.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014, primarily due to:

Year Ended

	December 31, 2016 2015 (Dollars in Millions)
(Lower) higher expenses associated with land development services	\$(17.2)\$6.6
Lower expenses related to fair market value adjustment for Urbplan loans *	(26.8)(37.9)
Higher interest expense	10.5 3.7
Lower compensation and benefits	(2.2)(0.5)
Higher (lower) general, administrative and other expenses, primarily due to asset impairments and litigation reserves in 2016	98.7 (2.6)
Total increase (decrease) in interest and other expenses of a Consolidated Real Estate VIE	\$63.0 \$(30.7)

^{*} The Partnership records the Urbplan loans at fair value at each reporting period and records the change in fair value to interest and other expenses of a consolidated real estate VIE.

Other Non-operating (Income) Expenses. For the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015, and 2014, this caption primarily represents the change in the fair value of contingent consideration associated with the Partnership's acquisitions.

During the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015, and 2014, the overall estimated fair value of the contingent consideration associated with the Partnership's acquisitions decreased based on management's assumptions in the probability-weighted discounted cash flow models used to estimate the fair value of these contingent consideration arrangements at December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively, as well as the separation from ESG and Claren Road and the associated decrease in value of their respective earnout arrangements. See Note 9 to the consolidated financial statements for more information on our contingent consideration arrangements.

Net Investment Gains of Consolidated Funds.

For the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015, and 2014 net investment gains of Consolidated Funds was \$13.1 million, \$864.4 million, and \$887.0 million, respectively. For periods prior to January 1, 2016, this activity is predominantly driven by our consolidated AlpInvest funds and vehicles, CLOs, and hedge funds. On January 1, 2016, the Partnership adopted new consolidation accounting guidance that allowed the Partnership to deconsolidate the AlpInvest funds and vehicles, hedge funds, and the majority of its CLOs. As a result, for the year ended December 31, 2016, net investment gains only comprise the activity of the remaining consolidated CLOs and certain other funds. See Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements for more information on the Partnership's adoption of the new consolidation accounting guidance. For the consolidated CLOs, the amount reflects the net gain or loss on the fair value adjustment of both the assets and liabilities. The components of net investment gains of consolidated funds for the respective periods are:

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2016	2015	2014	
	(Dollars in millions)			
Realized gains (losses)	\$(33.4)	\$1,114.7	\$1,107.4	
Net change in unrealized gains (losses)	85.1	(688.5)	(249.7)	
Total gains	51.7	426.2	857.7	
Gains (losses) on liabilities of CLOs	(40.5)	436.5	27.2	
Gains on other assets of CLOs	1.9	1.7	2.1	
Total investment gains of Consolidated Funds	\$13.1	\$864.4	\$887.0	

For periods prior to January 1, 2016, the unrealized investment gains/losses include the appreciation/depreciation of the equity investments within the consolidated AlpInvest funds and vehicles, the appreciation/depreciation of CLO investments in loans and bonds, as well as the appreciation/depreciation of investments made by our consolidated hedge funds and other consolidated funds. The gains/losses on the liabilities of the CLOs reflect the fair value adjustment on the debt of the CLOs. For the year ended December 31, 2016, the unrealized investment gains/losses primarily include the appreciation/depreciation of consolidated CLO investments in loans and bonds.

The net investment gains for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014 were due to the following:

```
Year Ended December
                                                         2016 2015
                                                                       2014
                                                         (Dollars in Millions)
Gains attributable to the consolidated AlpInvest funds and
                                                               $978.8 $1,428.7
vehicles
Losses attributable to the consolidated hedge funds
                                                               (138.4)(361.5)
Losses attributable to other consolidated funds
                                                         (0.1)(10.1)(8.3)
Net appreciation (depreciation) of CLOs
                                                         13.2 34.1
                                                                       (171.9)
Total net investment gains
                                                         $13.1 $864.4 $887.0
```

Net Income Attributable to Non-controlling Interests in Consolidated Entities

Net income attributable to non-controlling interests in consolidated entities was \$41.0 million, \$537.9 million, and \$485.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015, and 2014, respectively. These amounts are primarily attributable to the net earnings of the Consolidated Funds for each period, which are substantially all allocated to the related funds' limited partners or CLO investors. This balance also includes the allocation of Urbplan's net losses that are attributable to non-controlling interests and net income attributable to non-controlling interests in carried interest, giveback obligations, and cash held for carried interest distributions.

The net income of our Consolidated Funds for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015, and 2014 is comprised of the following:

Year Ended December
31,
2016 2015 2014
(Dollars in Millions)

Net income from the consolidated AlpInvest funds and vehicles

Net loss from the consolidated hedge funds

Net income (loss) from the consolidated CLOs

Net income (loss) from other consolidated funds

Total net income of our Consolidated Funds

Year Ended December
31,
2016 2015 2014
(Dollars in Millions)

- \$859.3 \$1,293.0

10.9 (57.6)(251.7)

10.9 (57.6)(251.7)

10.9 (57.6)(251.7)

10.9 (57.6)(251.7)

10.9 (57.6)(251.7)

Net Income (Loss) Attributable to The Carlyle Group L.P.

The net income (loss) attributable to the Partnership was \$6.4 million, \$(18.4) million, and \$85.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015, and 2014, respectively. The Partnership is allocated a portion of the monthly net income (loss) attributable to Carlyle Holdings based on the Partnership's ownership in Carlyle Holdings (which was approximately 26%, 25%, and 21% as of December 31, 2016, 2015, and 2014, respectively). Net income or loss attributable to the Partnership also includes 100% of the net income or loss attributable to the Partnership's wholly owned taxable subsidiary, Carlyle Holdings I GP Inc., which was \$17.8 million, \$29.3 million, and \$2.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015, and 2014, respectively. As a result, the total net income or loss attributable to the Partnership will vary as a percentage of the net income or loss attributable to Carlyle Holdings. Net income (loss) attributable to the Partnership per basic common unit was \$0.08, \$(0.24), and \$1.35 for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Net income (loss) attributable to the Partnership per diluted common unit was \$(0.08), \$(0.30), and \$1.23 for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. For purposes of the diluted earnings per common unit calculation, for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, Carlyle Holdings partnership units are assumed to have converted to common units of the Partnership and therefore, substantially all of the net income (loss) attributable to Carlyle Holdings is attributable to the Partnership resulting in a diluted loss per common unit.

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

The following table sets forth information in the format used by management when making resource deployment decisions and in assessing performance of our segments. These non-GAAP financial measures are presented for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014. The table below shows our total segment Economic Net Income which is the sum of Fee Related Earnings, Net Performance Fees, Investment Income (Loss), Reserve for Litigation and Contingencies and Equity-based compensation expense (excluding equity-based compensation grants issued in May 2012 upon the completion of the initial public offering or grants issued in acquisitions or strategic investments). Our Non-GAAP financial measures exclude the effect of consolidated funds, acquisition-related items including amortization and any impairment charges of acquired intangible assets and contingent consideration taking the form of earn-outs, charges associated with equity-based compensation grants issued in May 2012 upon completion of the initial public offering or grants issued in acquisitions or strategic investments, changes in the tax receivable agreement liability, corporate actions and infrequently occurring or unusual events.

	Year Ended December 31, 2016 2015 2014 (Dollars in millions)		
Segment Revenues			
Fund level fee revenues			
Fund management fees	\$1,085.8	\$1,197.9	\$1,229.3
Portfolio advisory fees, net	16.6	15.4	20.1
Transaction fees, net	31.2	9.8	53.2
Total fund level fee revenues	1,133.6	1,223.1	1,302.6
Performance fees			
Realized	1,215.8	1,434.8	1,323.7
Unrealized	(464.1)	(525.1)	384.2
Total performance fees	751.7	909.7	1,707.9
Investment income (loss)			
Realized	44.9	(64.8)	(6.1)
Unrealized	5.4	42.4	(5.0)
Total investment income (loss)	50.3	(22.4)	(11.1)
Interest income	10.2	4.8	2.2
Other income	12.8	17.2	20.4
Total revenues	1,958.6	2,132.4	3,022.0
Segment Expenses			
Compensation and benefits			
Direct base compensation	437.1	477.7	494.0
Indirect base compensation	164.2	172.1	188.5
Equity-based compensation	119.6	121.5	80.4
Performance fee related			
Realized	590.5	646.3	590.9
Unrealized	(232.5)	(128.3)	309.6
Total compensation and benefits	1,078.9	1,289.3	1,663.4
General, administrative, and other indirect expenses	483.5	362.8	318.1
Depreciation and amortization expense	29.0	25.6	22.4
Interest expense	61.3	58.1	55.7
Total expenses	1,652.7	1,735.8	2,059.6
Economic Net Income	\$305.9	\$396.6	\$962.4
(-) Net Performance Fees	393.7	391.7	807.4
(-) Investment Income (Loss)	50.3	(22.4)	(11.1)
(+) Equity-based Compensation	119.6	121.5	80.4
(+) Reserve for Litigation and Contingencies		50.0	
(=) Fee Related Earnings	\$(18.5)	\$198.8	\$246.5
(+) Realized Net Performance Fees	625.3	788.5	732.8
(+) Realized Investment Income (Loss)	44.9	(64.8)	(6.1)
(=) Distributable Earnings	\$651.7	\$922.5	\$973.2

Income (loss) before provision for income taxes is the GAAP financial measure most comparable to economic net income, fee related earnings, and distributable earnings. The following table is a reconciliation of income (loss) before provision for income taxes to economic net income, to fee related earnings, and to distributable earnings.

	Year Er	ided Dece	ember 31,
	2016	2015	2014
	(Dollars	in millio	ns)
Income (loss) before provision for income taxes	\$45.3	\$402.2	\$991.9
Adjustments:			
Equity-based compensation issued in conjunction with the initial public offering, acquisitions and strategic investments	223.4	259.8	269.2
Acquisition related charges and amortization of intangibles and impairment	94.2	288.8	242.5
Other non-operating (income) expenses	(11.2)	(7.4)	(30.3)
Tax expense associated with performance fee compensation	(15.1	(14.9)	(25.3)
Net income attributable to non-controlling interests in consolidated entities	(41.0	(537.9)	(485.5)
Severance and other adjustments	10.3	6.0	(0.1)
Economic Net Income	\$305.9	\$396.6	\$962.4
Net performance fees ⁽¹⁾	393.7	391.7	807.4
Investment income (loss) ⁽¹⁾	50.3	(22.4)	(11.1)
Equity-based compensation	119.6	121.5	80.4
Reserve for litigation and contingencies	_	50.0	_
Fee Related Earnings	\$(18.5)	\$198.8	\$246.5
Realized performance fees, net of related compensation	625.3	788.5	732.8
Realized investment income (loss) ⁽¹⁾	44.9	(64.8)	(6.1)
Distributable Earnings	\$651.7	\$922.5	\$973.2

(1) See reconciliation to most directly comparable U.S.		neasure below: ed December 3		2016	
	Carlyle Consolida	Adjustments ⁽	(2)	Total Reportab Segments	
	(Dollars in	(Dollars in millions)		Segment	,
Performance fees					
Realized	\$1,129.5	\$ 86.3		\$1,215.8	
Unrealized	(377.7)	(86.4)	(464.1)
Total performance fees	751.8	(0.1)	751.7	
Performance fee related compensation expense					
Realized	580.5	10.0		590.5	
Unrealized	(227.4)	(5.1)	(232.5)
Total performance fee related compensation expense	353.1	4.9		358.0	
Net performance fees					
Realized	549.0	76.3		625.3	
Unrealized	(150.3)	(81.3)	(231.6)
Total net performance fees	\$398.7	\$ (5.0)	\$393.7	
Investment income (loss)					
Realized	\$112.9	\$ (68.0)	\$44.9	
Unrealized	47.6	(42.2)	5.4	
Total investment income (loss)	\$160.5	\$ (110.2)	\$50.3	
	V E . 1	. 1 D	1	2015	
	Year Ende	ed December 3	31,		
				Total	1.
				Total Reportab	le
	Carlyle Consolida	Adjustments ⁽ ted		Total	le s
Performance fees	Carlyle Consolida			Total Reportab	ole s
Performance fees Realized	Carlyle Consolida (Dollars in	Adjustments(ted n millions)	(2)	Total Reportab Segments	3
Realized	Carlyle Consolida (Dollars in \$1,441.9	Adjustments ⁽ ited in millions) \$ (7.1	(2)	Total Reportab Segments \$1,434.8	3
Realized Unrealized	Carlyle Consolida (Dollars in \$1,441.9 (617.0)	Adjustments (namillions) \$ (7.1) 91.9	(2)	Total Reportab Segments \$1,434.8 (525.1	3
Realized Unrealized Total performance fees	Carlyle Consolida (Dollars in \$1,441.9	Adjustments ⁽ ited in millions) \$ (7.1	(2)	Total Reportab Segments \$1,434.8	3
Realized Unrealized Total performance fees Performance fee related compensation expense	Carlyle Consolida (Dollars in \$1,441.9 (617.0) 824.9	Adjustments (nation) \$ (7.1) 91.9 84.8)	Total Reportab Segments \$1,434.8 (525.1 909.7	3
Realized Unrealized Total performance fees Performance fee related compensation expense Realized	Carlyle Consolida (Dollars in \$1,441.9 (617.0 824.9	Adjustments (n millions) \$ (7.1)	Total Reportab Segments \$1,434.8 (525.1 909.7 646.3)
Realized Unrealized Total performance fees Performance fee related compensation expense Realized Unrealized	Carlyle Consolida (Dollars in \$1,441.9 (617.0) 824.9 650.5 (139.6)	Adjustments (1) n millions) \$ (7.1) 91.9 84.8 (4.2) 11.3)	Total Reportab Segments \$1,434.8 (525.1 909.7 646.3 (128.3	3
Realized Unrealized Total performance fees Performance fee related compensation expense Realized Unrealized Total performance fee related compensation expense	Carlyle Consolida (Dollars in \$1,441.9 (617.0) 824.9 650.5 (139.6)	Adjustments (n millions) \$ (7.1)	Total Reportab Segments \$1,434.8 (525.1 909.7 646.3)
Realized Unrealized Total performance fees Performance fee related compensation expense Realized Unrealized Total performance fee related compensation expense Net performance fees	Carlyle Consolida (Dollars in \$1,441.9 (617.0 824.9 650.5 (139.6) 510.9	Adjustments (1) In millions (1)	Total Reportab Segments \$1,434.8 (525.1 909.7 646.3 (128.3 518.0)
Realized Unrealized Total performance fees Performance fee related compensation expense Realized Unrealized Total performance fee related compensation expense Net performance fees Realized	Carlyle Consolida (Dollars in \$1,441.9 (617.0) 824.9 650.5 (139.6) 510.9	Adjustments (1) 1 millions) \$ (7.1)	Total Reportab Segments \$1,434.8 (525.1 909.7 646.3 (128.3 518.0 788.5)
Realized Unrealized Total performance fees Performance fee related compensation expense Realized Unrealized Total performance fee related compensation expense Net performance fees Realized Unrealized	Carlyle Consolida (Dollars in \$1,441.9 (617.0) 824.9 650.5 (139.6) 510.9	Adjustments (1) 1 (2.9) Adjustments (1) 1)	Total Reportab Segments \$1,434.8 (525.1 909.7 646.3 (128.3 518.0 788.5 (396.8)
Realized Unrealized Total performance fees Performance fee related compensation expense Realized Unrealized Total performance fee related compensation expense Net performance fees Realized Unrealized Total net performance fees	Carlyle Consolida (Dollars in \$1,441.9 (617.0) 824.9 650.5 (139.6) 510.9	Adjustments (1) 1 millions) \$ (7.1)	Total Reportab Segments \$1,434.8 (525.1 909.7 646.3 (128.3 518.0 788.5)
Realized Unrealized Total performance fees Performance fee related compensation expense Realized Unrealized Total performance fee related compensation expense Net performance fees Realized Unrealized Total net performance fees Investment income (loss)	Carlyle Consolida (Dollars in \$1,441.9 (617.0) 824.9 650.5 (139.6) 510.9 791.4 (477.4) \$314.0	Adjustments (1) Adjustments (1)	Total Reportab Segments \$1,434.8 (525.1 909.7 646.3 (128.3 518.0 788.5 (396.8 \$391.7)
Realized Unrealized Total performance fees Performance fee related compensation expense Realized Unrealized Total performance fee related compensation expense Net performance fees Realized Unrealized Total net performance fees Investment income (loss) Realized	Carlyle Consolidate (Dollars in \$1,441.9 (617.0) 824.9 650.5 (139.6) 510.9 791.4 (477.4) \$314.0 \$32.9	Adjustments (1) Adjustments (1	(2)	Total Reportab Segments \$1,434.8 (525.1 909.7 646.3 (128.3 518.0 788.5 (396.8 \$391.7 \$(64.8))
Realized Unrealized Total performance fees Performance fee related compensation expense Realized Unrealized Total performance fee related compensation expense Net performance fees Realized Unrealized Total net performance fees Investment income (loss)	Carlyle Consolidate (Dollars in \$1,441.9 (617.0) 824.9 650.5 (139.6) 510.9 791.4 (477.4) \$314.0 \$32.9	Adjustments (1) Adjustments (1	(2)	Total Reportab Segments \$1,434.8 (525.1 909.7 646.3 (128.3 518.0 788.5 (396.8 \$391.7)

	Year Ended December 31, 2014				
	Carlyle Consolida	Adjustments ⁽²⁾ ted	Total Reportable Segments		
	(Dollars in	C			
Performance fees					
Realized	\$1,328.7	\$ (5.0)	\$1,323.7		
Unrealized	345.7	38.5	384.2		
Total performance fees	1,674.4	33.5	1,707.9		
Performance fee related compensation expense					
Realized	590.7	0.2	590.9		
Unrealized	282.2	27.4	309.6		
Total performance fee related compensation expense	872.9	27.6	900.5		
Net performance fees					
Realized	738.0	(5.2)	732.8		
Unrealized	63.5	11.1	74.6		
Total net performance fees	\$801.5	\$ 5.9	\$807.4		
Investment income					
Realized	\$23.7	\$ (29.8)	\$(6.1)		
Unrealized	(30.9)	25.9	(5.0)		
Total investment income	\$(7.2)	\$ (3.9)	\$(11.1)		

Adjustments to performance fees and investment income (loss) relate to (i) amounts earned from the Consolidated Funds, which were eliminated in the U.S. GAAP consolidation but were included in the Non-GAAP results, (ii) amounts attributable to non-controlling interests in consolidated entities, which were excluded from the Non-GAAP results, (iii) the reclassification of NGP performance fees, which are included in investment income in the U.S. GAAP financial statements, and (iv) the reclassification of certain tax expenses associated with (2) performance fees. Adjustments to investment income (loss) also include the reclassification of earnings for the investment in NGP Management and its affiliates to the appropriate operating captions for the Non-GAAP results, the exclusion of charges associated with the investment in NGP Management and its affiliates that are excluded from the Non-GAAP results, and adjustments to reflect the Partnership's share of Urbplan's net losses as investment losses for the Non-GAAP results. Adjustments are also included in these financial statement captions to reflect the Partnership's economic interests in Claren Road, ESG (through June 2016) and Vermillion.

Economic Net Income and Distributable Earnings for our reportable segments are as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2016	2015	2014		
	(Dollars	ns)			
Economic Net Income (Loss)					
Corporate Private Equity	\$224.2	\$399.5	\$861.5		
Real Assets	216.5	32.9	(58.8)		
Global Market Strategies	(159.1)	(40.3)	115.0		
Investment Solutions	24.3	4.5	44.7		
Economic Net Income	\$305.9	\$396.6	\$962.4		
Distributable Earnings					
Corporate Private Equity	\$739.4	\$798.0	\$790.0		
Real Assets	49.3	72.8	47.7		
Global Market Strategies	(157.4)	38.8	91.4		

 Investment Solutions
 20.4
 12.9
 44.1

 Distributable Earnings
 \$651.7
 \$922.5
 \$973.2

Segment Analysis

Discussed below is our DE, FRE, and ENI for our segments for the periods presented. Our segment information is reflected in the manner used by our senior management to make operating and compensation decisions, assess performance and allocate resources.

For segment reporting purposes, revenues and expenses are presented on a basis that deconsolidates our Consolidated Funds. As a result, segment revenues from management fees, performance fees and investment income (loss) are different than those presented on a consolidated U.S. GAAP basis because fund management fees recognized in certain segments are received from Consolidated Funds and are eliminated in consolidation when presented on a consolidated U.S. GAAP basis. Furthermore, segment expenses are different than related amounts presented on a consolidated U.S. GAAP basis due to the exclusion of fund expenses that are paid by the Consolidated Funds. Segment revenue and expenses are also different than those presented on a consolidated U.S. GAAP basis because we present our segment revenues and expenses related to Claren Road, ESG, and, for periods prior to July 1, 2015, Vermillion based on our 55% economic interest in those entities. Beginning in July 2015 in connection with the departure of certain Vermillion principals and the restructuring of its operations, our economic interests were increased in stages to currently 88% (to the extent Vermillion exceeds certain performance hurdles). Otherwise, our economic interest, and share of management fees of Vermillion, is 100%. Effective January 1, 2016, our segment revenue and expenses related to Claren Road are based on our approximate 63% economic interest in that entity as a result of a reallocation of interest from a departing founder. Further, our economic interest in ESG was 55% through June 30, 2016 (see Note 11 to the consolidated financial statements). Also, ENI excludes expenses associated with equity-based compensation that was issued in our initial public offering or issued in acquisitions and strategic investments.

Corporate Private Equity

The following table presents our results of operations for our Corporate Private Equity segment:

Year Ended

	Year Ended		
	December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
	(Dollars	in million	ns)
Segment Revenues			
Fund level fee revenues			
Fund management fees	\$498.9	\$577.4	\$564.8
Portfolio advisory fees, net	14.5	14.3	18.4
Transaction fees, net	31.2	7.7	51.4
Total fund level fee revenues	544.6	599.4	634.6
Performance fees			
Realized	1,060.5	1,209.5	1,156.3
Unrealized	(777.5)	(523.1)	197.2
Total performance fees	283.0	686.4	1,353.5
Investment income (loss)			
Realized	60.3	23.3	17.7
Unrealized	(11.0)	(5.2)	13.9
Total investment income	49.3	18.1	31.6
Interest income	3.4	1.5	1.4
Other income	6.0	9.8	9.4
Total revenues	886.3	1,315.2	2,030.5
Segment Expenses			
Compensation and benefits			
Direct base compensation	210.8	224.2	222.4
Indirect base compensation	78.8	91.5	101.8
Equity-based compensation	69.3	65.1	42.5
Performance fee related			
Realized	472.1	540.9	512.5
Unrealized	(342.6)	(221.7)	97.1
Total compensation and benefits	488.4	700.0	976.3
General, administrative, and other indirect expenses	131.9	172.4	151.1
Depreciation and amortization expense	13.6	12.5	11.0
Interest expense	28.2	30.8	30.6
Total expenses	662.1	915.7	1,169.0
Economic Net Income	\$224.2	\$399.5	\$861.5
(-) Net Performance Fees	153.5	367.2	743.9
(-) Investment Income	49.3	18.1	31.6
(+) Equity-based Compensation	69.3	65.1	42.5
(+) Reserve for Litigation and Contingencies		26.8	
(=) Fee Related Earnings	\$90.7	\$106.1	\$128.5
(+) Realized Net Performance Fees	588.4	668.6	643.8
(+) Realized Investment Income	60.3	23.3	17.7
(=) Distributable Earnings	\$739.4	\$798.0	\$790.0

Year Ended December 31, 2016 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2015 and Year Ended December 31, 2015 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2014

Distributable Earnings

Distributable earnings decreased \$58.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to 2015, and increased \$8.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to the same period in 2014. The following table provides the components of the changes in distributable earnings for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015:

Year Ended December 31. 2016 2015 (Dollars in Millions) Distributable earnings, prior year \$798.0 \$790.0 Increases (decreases): (Decrease) increase in realized net performance fees (80.2)24.8 Increase in realized investment income 37.0 5.6 Decrease in fee related earnings (15.4)(22.4)Total (decrease) increase (58.6) 8.0 Distributable earnings, current year \$739.4 \$798.0

Realized Net Performance Fees. Realized net performance fees decreased \$80.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to 2015, and increased \$24.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014. The decrease in realized net performance fees for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to 2015 is primarily due to lower performance fee realizations in 2016, primarily with our Asia buyout funds, as compared to 2015. The increase in realized net performance fees for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014 is primarily due to higher realizations in 2015 from our Europe and Asia buyout funds. Realized net performance fees were primarily generated by the following funds for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively: Year Ended December 31,

2016 2015 2014
CP V CP V CP V
CEP III CEP III CEP III
CP IV CP IV CP IV
CEP II CAP II
CGFSP 1 CAP III
CETP II
CAP III

Realized Investment Income. Realized investment income increased \$37.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to 2015 and increased \$5.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014. The increase in realized investment income for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to 2015 was primarily from our investments in U.S. and Europe buyout funds as well as Europe growth funds. The increase in realized investment income for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014 was primarily due to higher realizations in our U.S. buyout funds.

Fee Related Earnings

Fee related earnings decreased \$15.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to 2015, and decreased \$22.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014. The following table provides the components of the change in fee related earnings for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015:

		nber 31, 2015 rs in	
Fee related earnings, prior year		118) [\$128.	5
Increases (decreases):	φ100.1	Ψ120.	
Decrease in fee revenues	(54.8)(35.2)
Decrease in direct and indirect base compensation	26.1	8.5	
Decrease in general, administrative and other expenses	13.7	5.5	
All other changes	(0.4)(1.2)
Total decrease	`)(22.4)
Fee related earnings, current year	\$90.7	/ `.	

Fee Revenues. Total fee revenues decreased \$54.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to 2015 and decreased \$35.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014, due to the following:

Year Ended December 31, 2016 2015 (Dollars in Millions) s \$(78.5)\$12.6 23.5 (43.7)

(Lower) higher fund management fees \$(78.5)\$12.6 Higher (lower) transaction fees 23.5 (43.7) Higher (lower) portfolio advisory fees 0.2 (4.1) Total decrease in fee revenues \$(54.8)\$(35.2)

The decrease in fund management fees for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to 2015 is due to a \$48.6 million decrease in catch-up management fees from \$49.1 million in 2015 to \$0.5 million in 2016. Catch-up management fees for the year ended December 31, 2016 were primarily driven by a subsequent closing for our second U.S. equity opportunity fund ("CEOF II"). Catch-up management fees for the year ended December 31, 2015 were primarily driven by subsequent closings for our fourth Europe buyout fund ("CEP IV"), CJP III, and our third Europe technology fund ("CETP III"). Furthermore, fund management fees decreased in 2016 due to the reduction in basis resulting from realizations in several large funds outside the investment period, including CP V and CAP III, totaling approximately \$58.6 million. These decreases were partially offset by an increase of \$28.7 million of management fees from CEOF II due to 2016 being the first full year of management fees from that fund.

The increase in fund management fees for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014 is primarily due to a \$23.4 million increase in catch-up management fees from \$25.7 million in 2014 to \$49.1 million in 2015. The increase in catch-up management fees was driven primarily by subsequent closings in 2015 from CEP IV, CJP III, and CETP III, as compared to subsequent closings in 2014 from our fourth Asia buyout fund ("CAP IV") and our second global financial services fund ("CGFSP II").

The weighted average management fee rate increased from 1.26% at December 31, 2015 to 1.28% at December 31, 2016. The increase in the weighted average management fee rate is driven by significant exit activity in funds outside

the investment period, which typically earn lower effective fee rates, while Fee-earning AUM for funds inside the investment period remained relatively flat. Fee-earning AUM was \$36.3 billion and \$40.9 billion as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively, reflecting an decrease of \$4.6 billion.

The weighted average management fee rate increased from 1.22% at December 31, 2014 to 1.26% at December 31, 2015. The increase in the weighted average management fee rate reflects new funds being raised with higher management fee

rates, namely CEP IV and CEOF II. Fee-earning AUM was \$40.9 billion and \$40.2 billion as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, reflecting an increase of \$0.7 billion.

The increase in transaction fees for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to 2015 is due to higher investment activity, primarily in our U.S. buyout funds, in 2016 as compared to 2015. The decrease in transaction fees for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014 is due to higher investment activity, primarily in our buyout funds, in 2014 as compared to 2015.

Direct and indirect compensation expense. Direct and indirect compensation expense decreased \$26.1 million, or 8%, for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to 2015, primarily due to lower compensation costs related to fundraising activities of approximately \$11.7 million and lower cash bonuses in 2016. These decreases were partially offset by a slight increase in headcount.

Direct and indirect compensation expense decreased \$8.5 million, or 3%, for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014, primarily due to foreign exchange rate fluctuations and lower bonuses in 2015. These decreases were partially offset by an increase in headcount as well as increased compensation costs related to fundraising activities of approximately \$2.3 million, primarily related to fundraising for CEOF II and CEP IV.

General, administrative and other indirect expenses. General, administrative and other indirect expenses decreased \$13.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to 2015 primarily due to \$13.9 million of lower external costs associated with fundraising activities in 2016 as compared to 2015 and lower information technology expenses. These decreases were partially offset by higher professional fees.

General, administrative and other indirect expenses decreased \$5.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014 primarily due to lower negative foreign currency adjustments and lower professional fees. Economic Net Income

Economic net income decreased \$175.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to 2015 and decreased \$462.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014. The following table provides the components of the change in economic net income for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015:

	Year Ended		
	December 31,		
	2016	2015	
	(Dollar	s in	
	Million	ns)	
Economic net income, prior year	\$399.5	\$861.	5
Increases (decreases):			
Decrease in net performance fees	(213.7)(376.7	')
Increase (decrease) in investment income	31.2	(13.5)
Increase in equity-based compensation	(4.2)(22.6)
Decrease in fee related earnings	(15.4)(22.4)
Decrease (increase) in reserve for litigation and	26.8	(26.9	`
contingencies	20.8	(26.8)
Total decrease	(175.3)(462.0))
Economic net income, current year	\$224.2	\$399.	5

Performance Fees. Performance fees (realized and unrealized) decreased \$403.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2015 and decreased \$667.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2014. The decrease in performance fees for 2016 as compared to 2015 is primarily due to lower fund appreciation from our buyout and growth capital funds. The decrease in performance fees for 2015 as compared to 2014 is primarily due to lower appreciation from our buyout funds. Performance fees are from the following types of funds:

Performance Fees
Year Ended December 31,
2016 2015 2014
(Dollars in millions)

Buyout funds \$298.8 \$636.7 \$1,258.2 Growth Capital funds (15.8) 49.7 95.3 Total performance fees \$283.0 \$686.4 \$1,353.5

The \$283.0 million of performance fees for the year ended December 31, 2016 was driven primarily by performance fees recognized from the following funds:

- •CP V of \$124.3 million,
- •CEP III of \$46.8 million,
- •CP VI of \$37.4 million,
- •CEP II of \$32.5 million,
- •CGFSP of \$27.9 million,
- •CBPF of \$24.7 million,
- •CAP III of \$(33.8) million, and
- •CEOF of \$(21.0) million.

The \$686.4 million of performance fees for the year ended December 31, 2015 was driven primarily by performance fees recognized from the following funds:

- •CEP III of \$235.9 million,
- •CAP III of \$184.2 million,
- •CP V of \$67.9 million,
- •CP IV of \$44.4 million, and
- •our first global financial services fund ("CGFSP I") of \$40.7 million.

The \$1,353.5 million of performance fees for the year ended December 31, 2014 was driven primarily by performance fees recognized from the following funds:

- •CP V of \$598.7 million, and
- •CEP III of \$580.2 million.

Performance fees of \$283.0 million, \$686.4 million, and \$1,353.5 million are inclusive of performance fees reversed of approximately \$86.6 million, \$12.3 million, and \$127.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. During the year ended December 31, 2016, the Partnership paid \$47.3 million to satisfy a giveback obligation related to our second Asia buyout fund ("CAP II"). Substantially all of the giveback obligation was paid by current and former senior Carlyle professionals.

The appreciation in remaining value of assets for this segment by type of fund are as follows:

Year Ended December 31, 201620152014

 Buyout funds
 12%
 13%
 23%

 Growth Capital funds
 3%
 14%
 25%

 Total
 11%
 13%
 23%

Net performance fees as a percentage of total performance fees are as follows:

Year Ended December 31, 2016 2015 2014 (Dollars in millions) \$153.5\$367.2\$743.9

Net Performance Fees

Percentage of Total Performance Fees 54% 53% 55%

Unrealized performance fees reflect the difference between total performance fees and realized performance fees. The recognition of realized performance fees results in a reversal of accumulated unrealized performance fees, generally resulting in minimal impact on total performance fees. Additionally, because unrealized performance fees can be reversed upon a realization event, in periods where the Partnership generates significant realized performance fees unrealized performance fees can be negative even in periods of portfolio appreciation.

Total Investment Income. Total investment income (realized and unrealized) for the year ended December 31, 2016 was \$49.3 million compared to investment income of \$18.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2015. The increase in total investment income from 2015 to 2016 relates primarily to higher realized gains on investments in the U.S. and Europe buyout funds as well as appreciation on investments in the Asia buyout funds for 2016 as compared to depreciation on investments in these Asia buyout funds for 2015. These increases were offset by unrealized losses on foreign currency hedges and depreciation on investments in our Europe buyout funds.

Total investment income (realized and unrealized) for the year ended December 31, 2015 was \$18.1 million compared to investment income of \$31.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. The decrease in investment income from 2014 to 2015 relates primarily to lower appreciation on investments in certain buyout funds.

Equity-based Compensation. Equity-based compensation was \$69.3 million, \$65.1 million, and \$42.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015, and 2014, respectively. The increase from 2015 to 2016 and the increase from 2014 to 2015 are due to the ongoing granting of deferred restricted common units to new and existing employees during 2014, 2015 and 2016, and a decrease in the estimated forfeiture rates during 2015.

Reserve for Litigation and Contingencies. Corporate Private Equity's share of a \$50.0 million reserve for litigation and contingencies was \$26.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2015. Since the timing of any payment is uncertain, this has not been included in fee related earnings and distributable earnings in 2015 or 2016. See Note 11 of the consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for more information.

Fee-earning AUM as of and for each of the Three Years in the Period Ended December 31, 2016.

Fee-earning AUM is presented below for each period together with the components of change during each respective period.

The table below breaks out Fee-earning AUM by its respective components at each period.

	As of Dec	ember 31,	
	2016	2015	2014
	(Dollars in	n millions)	
Corporate Private Equity			
Components of Fee-earning AUM (1)			
Fee-earning AUM based on capital commitments	\$25,390	\$25,438	\$22,228
Fee-earning AUM based on invested capital	9,377	13,647	16,709
Fee-earning AUM based on lower of cost or fair value and other	1,560	1,841	1,312
Total Fee-earning AUM	\$36,327	\$40,926	\$40,249

Edgar Filing: Carlyle Group L.P. - Form 10-K

Weighted	Average	Management	Fee	Rates ((2))
TT CIGITOU	riverage	1 Tullu Cillott	1 00	Tutob	· — ·	,

8 (-)				
All Funds	1.28	% 1.26	% 1.22	%
Funds in Investment Period	1.41	% 1.43	% 1.43	%

- (1) For additional information concerning the components of Fee-earning AUM, see "—Fee-earning Assets under Management."
- (2) Represents the aggregate effective management fee rate of each fund in the segment, weighted by each fund's Fee-earning AUM, as of the end of each period presented.

The table below provides the period to period rollforward of Fee-earning AUM.

Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2016 2015 2014 (Dollars in millions)

Corporate Private Equity

Fee-earning AUM Rollforward

Balance, Beginning of Period	\$40,926	\$40,249	\$43,033
Inflows, including Fee-paying Commitments (1)	1,171	6,425	4,824
Outflows, including Distributions (2)	(5,460)	(4,854)	(6,529)
Market Appreciation/Depreciation (3)	(220)	(267)	198
Foreign Exchange and other (4)	(90)	(627)	(1,277)
Balance, End of Period	\$36,327	\$40,926	\$40,249

- (1) Inflows represent limited partner capital raised and capital invested by carry funds outside the original investment period.
- Outflows represent distributions from funds outside the investment period and changes in fee basis for our carry funds where the original investment period has expired.
- (3) Market Appreciation/(Depreciation) represents realized and unrealized gains (losses) on portfolio investments in our carry funds based on the lower of cost or fair value.
- Represents the impact of foreign exchange rate fluctuations on the translation of our non-U.S. dollar denominated (4) funds. Activity during the period is translated at the average rate for the period. Ending balances are translated at the spot rate as of period end.

Fee-earning AUM was \$36.3 billion at December 31, 2016, a decrease of \$4.6 billion, or 11%, compared to \$40.9 billion at December 31, 2015. This was driven by outflows of \$5.5 billion which were principally a result of distributions from CP V, CEP III, and other buyout funds outside of their investment period. This decrease was partially offset by inflows of \$1.2 billion primarily related to equity invested by CGP which charges management fees based on invested capital. Investment and distribution activity by funds still in the investment period does not impact Fee-earning AUM as these funds are based on commitments.

Fee-earning AUM was \$40.9 billion at December 31, 2015, an increase of \$0.7 billion, or 2%, compared to \$40.2 billion at December 31, 2014. Inflows of \$6.4 billion were primarily related to limited partner commitments raised by CEP IV, CJP III, and the activation of commitments in CEOF II. This was offset by outflows of \$4.9 billion which were principally a result of distributions from several buyout funds that were outside of their investment period, in addition to the reduction in management fee basis from commitments to invested equity for funds at the end of their original investment period and \$0.6 billion in foreign exchange loss. As of December 31, 2015, approximately 15% of the total external commitments raised for our global buyout fund ("CGP") in current and prior periods are reflected in fee-earning AUM, as this fund is based on invested equity.

Fee-earning AUM was \$40.2 billion at December 31, 2014, a decrease of \$2.8 billion, or 6%, compared to \$43.0 billion at December 31, 2013. Outflows of \$6.5 billion were principally a result of distributions from several buyout funds that were outside of their investment period, in addition to the reduction in management fee basis for funds at the end of their original investment period and \$1.3 billion in foreign exchange loss. This was offset by inflows of \$4.8 billion, primarily related to limited partner commitments raised by CAP IV, CEP IV, CGFSP II, and CETP III.

Total AUM as of and for each of the Three Years in the Period Ended December 31, 2016. The table below provides the period to period rollforwards of Available Capital and Fair Value of Capital, and the resulting rollforward of Total AUM.

	Available	Fair Value of Capital Capital	Total AUM	
	(Dollars i	n millions)		
Corporate Private Equity				
Balance, As of December 31, 2013	\$24,743	\$ 40,122	\$ 64,865	
Commitments (1)	6,663	_	6,663	
Capital Called, net (2)	(7,380)	6,818	(562)	
Distributions (3)	997	(14,742)	(13,745)	
Market Appreciation/(Depreciation) (4)		9,330	9,330	
Foreign Exchange and other (5)	(584)	(1,299)	(1,883)	
Balance, As of December 31, 2014	\$24,439	\$ 40,229	\$ 64,668	
Commitments (1)	7,917		7,917	
Capital Called, net (2)	(8,355)	7,745	(610)	
Distributions (3)	693	(13,505)	(12,812)	
Market Appreciation/(Depreciation) (4)		5,359	5,359	
Foreign Exchange and other (5)	(482)	(896)	(1,378)	
Balance, As of December 31, 2015	\$24,212	\$ 38,932	\$ 63,144	
Commitments (1)	(2,118)		(2,118)	
Capital Called, net (2)	(5,866)	5,417	(449)	
Distributions (3)	1,266	(14,176)	(12,910)	
Market Appreciation/(Depreciation) (4)		3,224	3,224	
Foreign Exchange and other (5)	5	(32)	(27)	
Balance, As of December 31, 2016	\$17,499	\$ 33,365	\$ 50,864	

- (1) Represents capital raised by our carry funds, net of expired available capital.
- Represents capital called by our carry funds, net of fund fees and expenses. Equity invested amounts may vary from capital called due to the capital from capital called due to timing differences between acquisition and capital call dates.
- Represents distributions from our carry funds, net of amounts recycled. Distributions are based on when proceeds are actually distributed to investors, which may differ from when they are realized.
- (4) Market Appreciation/(Depreciation) represents realized and unrealized gains (losses) on portfolio investments. Represents the impact of foreign exchange rate fluctuations on the translation of our non-U.S. dollar denominated
- (5) funds. Activity during the period is translated at the average rate for the period. Ending balances are translated at the spot rate as of the period end.

Total AUM was \$50.9 billion at December 31, 2016, a decrease of \$12.2 billion, or 19%, compared to \$63.1 billion at December 31, 2015. This decrease was primarily driven by \$14.2 billion in distributions primarily in our large prior vintage buyout funds, of which \$1.3 billion is recallable, and a \$2.1 billion decrease in commitments. This decrease in commitments primarily related to the expiration of remaining commitments in CP V and CEP III, partially offset by fundraising in CGP and CAGP V. Partially offsetting this decrease was market appreciation of \$3.2 billion. Our public portfolio appreciated 10% (\$0.9 billion), our private portfolio appreciated 12% (\$2.7 billion), and the remaining depreciation was in our co-investment vehicles. The carry funds driving appreciation for the period included \$1.4 billion attributable to CP VI, \$0.7 billion attributable to CP V, and \$0.5 billion attributable to CAP IV. Total AUM was \$63.1 billion at December 31, 2015, a decrease of \$1.6 billion, or 2%, compared to \$64.7 billion at December 31, 2014. This decrease was primarily driven by \$13.5 billion in distributions, of which \$0.7 billion is recallable, and \$1.4 billion of foreign exchange loss. Offsetting this were increases of \$7.9 billion from new commitments driven by fundraising in CEP IV, CEOF II, CGP, CJP III and other funds and coinvestment vehicles and

\$5.4 billion of market appreciation, representing a 13% increase in our portfolio of carry funds for the period. Our public portfolio appreciated 22% (\$3.0 billion), our private portfolio appreciated 6% (\$1.3 billion), and the remainder of our appreciation was in our

coinvestment vehicles. The carry funds driving appreciation for the period included \$1.3 billion attributable to CEP III, \$1.0 billion attributable to CAP III, and \$0.4 billion attributable to CP V.

Total AUM was \$64.7 billion at December 31, 2014, a decrease of \$0.2 billion, or 0.30%, compared to \$64.9 billion at December 31, 2013. This decrease was primarily driven by (a) distributions of \$14.7 billion, of which \$1.0 billion is recallable, and (b) foreign exchange loss of \$1.9 billion. Offsetting this decrease were (a) commitments of \$6.7 billion in CAP IV, CEP IV, CETP III, CGFSP II and other funds and coinvestment vehicles, and (b) a total 2014 appreciation in remaining fair value of assets of 23%, leading to market appreciation in the segment of \$9.3 billion. Our public portfolio appreciated 36% (\$5.6 billion), our private portfolio appreciated 12% (\$2.2 billion), and the remainder of our appreciation was in our coinvestment vehicles. The carry funds driving appreciation for the period included \$3.2 billion attributable to CP V, \$2.8 billion attributable to CEP III, and \$0.4 billion attributable to CP IV. Fund Performance Metrics

Fund performance information for our investment funds that generally have at least \$1.0 billion in capital commitments, cumulative equity invested or total value as of December 31, 2016, which we refer to as our "significant funds," is included throughout this discussion and analysis to facilitate an understanding of our results of operations for the periods presented. The fund return information reflected in this discussion and analysis is not indicative of the performance of The Carlyle Group L.P. and is also not necessarily indicative of the future performance of any particular fund. An investment in The Carlyle Group L.P. is not an investment in any of our funds. There can be no assurance that any of our funds or our other existing and future funds will achieve similar returns. See "Item 1A. Risk Factors — Risks Related to Our Business Operations — The historical returns attributable to our funds, including those presented in this report, should not be considered as indicative of the future results of our funds or of our future results or of any returns expected on an investment in our common units."

The following tables reflect the performance of our significant funds in our Corporate Private Equity business. See "Item 1. Business — Our Family of Funds" for a legend of the fund acronyms listed below.

			TOTAL INVESTMENTS							REALIZED/PARTIALLY REALIZED INVESTMENTS(5)					
			As of Dogombor 21, 2016						. ,						
	Fund		As of December 31, 2016 Cumulative Total				Cmass			As of December 31, 2016					
		Committed	Invested	Fair	MOIC(4)	Gross		Net		CumulativeTotal Invested Fair		MOIC(4)	Gı		
	Inception Date (1)	Capital	Capital (2)		MOIC(4)	(7)		IRI	R (8)	Capital(2)		MOIC(4)	IR		
Corporate	. ,		•			(1)				_	in Local Cur	rency in			
Private Equity (Reported in Local Cu			rency, in Mi	llions)						Millions)	III Local Cal	rency, m			
Fully Invested	Funds (6)									TVIIIIOIIO)					
CP II	10/1994	\$1,331.1	\$1,362.4	\$4,072.2	3.0x	34	%	25	%	\$1,362.4	\$4,072.2	3.0x	34		
CP III	2/2000	\$3,912.7	\$4,031.6	\$10,146.9	2.5x	27		21		\$4,031.6	\$10,146.9	2.5x	27		
CP IV	12/2004	\$7,850.0	\$7,612.6	\$18,101.9	2.4x	16		13		\$7,612.6	\$18,101.9	2.4x	16		
CP V	5/2007	\$13,719.7	\$13,023.6	\$25,533.6	2.0x	17		13		\$8,190.5	\$21,616.2	2.6x	27		
CEP I	12/1997	€1,003.6	€981.6	€2,126.5	2.2x	18		11		€981.6	€2,126.5	2.2x	18		
CEP II	9/2003	€1,805.4	€2,048.8	€4,119.0	2.0x	36		20			€4,105.9	2.2x	43		
CEP III	12/2006	€5,294.9	€5,096.0	€11,196.6	2.2x	19		14		€3,605.5	€8,985.2	2.5x	21		
CAP I	12/1998	\$750.0	\$627.7	\$2,521.8	4.0x	25		18		\$627.7	\$2,521.8	4.0x	25		
CAP II	2/2006	\$1,810.0	\$1,628.2	\$2,912.6	1.8x	11	%			\$1,452.4	\$2,825.8	1.9x	12		
CAP III	5/2008	\$2,551.6	\$2,533.2	\$4,703.2	1.9x	19		13		\$1,709.9	\$2,912.7	1.7x	16		
CJP I	10/2001	-		¥138,902.1		61		37			¥138,902.1		61		
CJP II	7/2006	•	-	¥199,343.6		6	%			,	¥129,100.0		12		
CGFSP I	9/2008	\$1,100.2	\$1,080.7	\$2,276.5	2.1x	19		14		\$643.2	\$1,327.3	2.1x	22		
CEOF I	5/2011	\$1,119.1	\$1,153.0	\$1,494.9	1.3x	13	%			\$221.0	\$600.2	2.7x	48		
CETP II	2/2007	€521.6	€436.4	€1,096.5	2.5x	26		17		€216.9	€889.5	4.1x	36		
CAGP IV	6/2008	\$1,041.4	\$949.4	\$1,364.1	1.4x	11	%			\$303.1	\$598.0	2.0x	20		
All Other		, ,													
Funds (9)	Various		\$4,560.4	\$6,958.1	1.5x	17	%	7	%	\$3,343.2	\$5,679.1	1.7x	19		
Co-investment	S _x , .		ΦΩ ((()	ФОО 450 1	2.2	26	~	22	04	Φ. 6. 0.0.0.0	ф 10 27 г 2	2.0	26		
and Other (10)	Various		\$9,666.9	\$22,453.1	2.3x	36	%	33	%	\$6,098.8	\$18,275.2	3.0x	36		
Total Fully Inv		S	\$58,869.8	\$124,963.5	2.1x	26	%	19	%	\$43,653.8	\$107,938.9	2.5x	28		
Funds in the In															
CP VI (12)	5/2012	\$13,000.0	\$7,197.3	\$8,868.0	1.2x	NM		NN	1						
CEP IV (12)	8/2013	€3,669.5	€1,772.7	€1,777.3	1.0x	NM		NN	1						
CAP IV (12)	11/2012	\$3,880.4	\$2,067.6	\$2,544.1	1.2x	NM		NM	1						
CGP (12)	12/2014	\$3,588.0	\$1,113.1	\$1,095.8	1.0x	NM		NN	1						
CGFSP II	4/2013	\$1,000.0	\$801.5	\$902.2	1.1x	11%)	3%	3%						
CJP III (12)	8/2013	¥119,505.1	¥60,094.5	¥82,237.7	1.4x	NM		NN	1						
CEOF II (12)	3/2015	\$2,400.0	\$577.2	\$631.5	1.1x	NM		NN	1						
All Other	Vorious		\$022.7	¢ 1 002 5	1.15	NIM		NIX	1						
Funds (11)	Various		\$932.7	\$1,003.5	1.1x	NM		NM	1						
Total Funds in	the Investr	nent Period	\$15,071.7	\$17,621.9	1.2x	12	%	4	%	\$844.7	\$2,298.4	2.7x	65		
TOTAL CORPORATE PRIVATE EQUITY (13)		RIVATE	\$73,941.4	\$142,585.5	1.9x	26	%	18	%	\$44,498.5	\$110,237.3	2.5x	28		

⁽¹⁾ The data presented herein that provides "inception to date" performance results of our segments relates to the period following the formation of the first fund within each segment. For our Corporate Private Equity segment our first

- fund was formed in 1990.
- (2) Represents the original cost of all capital called for investments since inception of the fund.
- (3) Represents all realized proceeds combined with remaining fair value, before management fees, expenses and carried interest.
- (4) Multiple of invested capital ("MOIC") represents total fair value, before management fees, expenses and carried interest, divided by cumulative invested capital.
 - An investment is considered realized when the investment fund has completely exited, and ceases to own an interest in, the investment. An investment is considered partially realized when the total amount of proceeds received in respect of such investment, including dividends, interest or other distributions and/or return of capital,
- (5) represents at least 85% of invested capital and such investment is not yet fully realized. Because part of our value creation strategy involves pursuing best exit alternatives, we believe information regarding Realized/Partially Realized MOIC and Gross IRR, when considered together with the other investment performance metrics presented, provides investors with meaningful

information regarding our investment performance by removing the impact of investments where significant realization activity has not yet occurred. Realized/Partially Realized MOIC and Gross IRR have limitations as measures of investment performance, and should not be considered in isolation. Such limitations include the fact that these measures do not include the performance of earlier stage and other investments that do not satisfy the criteria provided above. The exclusion of such investments will have a positive impact on Realized/Partially Realized MOIC and Gross IRR in instances when the MOIC and Gross IRR in respect of such investments are less than the aggregate MOIC and Gross IRR. Our measurements of Realized/Partially Realized MOIC and Gross IRR may not be comparable to those of other companies that use similarly titled measures. We do not present Realized/Partially Realized performance information separately for funds that are still in the investment period because of the relatively insignificant level of realizations for funds of this type. However, to the extent such funds have had realizations, they are included in the Realized/Partially Realized performance information presented for Total Corporate Private Equity.

Fully Invested funds are past the expiration date of the investment period as defined in the respective limited

- (6) partnership agreement. In instances where a successor fund has had its first capital call, the predecessor fund is categorized as fully invested.
 - Gross Internal Rate of Return ("Gross IRR") represents the annualized IRR for the period indicated on Limited
- (7) Partner invested capital based on contributions, distributions and unrealized value before management fees, expenses and carried interest.
- Net Internal Rate of Return ("Net IRR") represents the annualized IRR for the period indicated on Limited Partner (8) invested capital based on contributions, distributions and unrealized value after management fees, expenses and
- carried interest.
- Aggregate includes the following funds: CP I, CMG, CVP I, CVP II, CUSGF III, CEVP, CETP I, CAVP I, CAVP II, CAGP III, CSABF, Mexico, CBPF, and MENA.
- (10) Includes co-investments and certain other stand-alone investments arranged by us.
- Aggregate, which is considered not meaningful, includes the following funds and their respective commencement dates: CSSAF (April 2012), CPF I (June 2012), CCI (December 2012), and CETP III (May 2014). Returns are not considered meaningful, as the investment period commenced in May 2012 for CP VI, November
- (12)2012 for CAP IV, August 2013 for CEP IV, August 2013 for CJP III, December 2014 for CGP, and March 2015
- For purposes of aggregation, funds that report in foreign currency have been converted to U.S. dollars at the reporting period spot rate.

	Remaining Fair Value (1)	Unrealized MOIC (2)	Total MOIC(3)	% Inves	In Accrued Carry/ ted(4) (Giveback) (5)	LTM Realized Carry (6)	Catch Rate	ure Initiation Date (7)	Original Quarters Investme Since Fee Period Initiation End Date
	As of Dece	ember 31, 20)16						
Corporate Private Equity	ý								
CP VI	\$6,896.5	1.1x	1.2x	55 %	$\sim X$		100%	Jun-13	15 May-18
CP V	\$4,668.6	1.0x	2.0x	95 %	$\sim X$	X	100%	Jun-07	39 May-13
CAP IV	\$2,380.3	1.2x	1.2x	53 %	, D		100%	Jul-13	14 Nov-18
CEP III	€1,941.9	1.5x	2.2x	96 %	$\sim X$	X	100%	Jul-07	38 Dec-12
CEP IV	€1,605.1	0.9x	1.0x	48 %	,)		100%	Sep-14	10 Aug-19
CAP III	\$1,613.5	2.1x	1.9x	99 9	$\sim X$	X	100%	Jun-08	35 May-14
CGP	\$1,184.1	1.0x	1.0x	31 %	,)		100%	Jan-15	8 Dec-20
CEOF I	\$992.6	1.0x	1.3x	103%	$\sim X$		80 %	Sep-11	22 May-17
CGFSP I	\$904.0	1.9x	2.1x	98 %	$\sim X$	X	100%	Oct-08	33 Sep-14
CAGP IV	\$786.1	1.2x	1.4x	91 %	ว		100%	Aug-08	34 Jun-14
CGFSP II	\$715.4	1.1x	1.1x	80 %	ว		100%	Jun-13	15 Dec-17
CJP III	¥79,273.2	1.3x	1.4x	50 %	X		100%	Sep-13	14 Feb-20

Edgar Filing: Carlyle Group L.P. - Form 10-K

CEOF II	\$657.2	1.1x	1.1x	24 %			80 %	Nov-15	5	Mar-21
CJP II	¥54,699.1	1.0x	1.4x	86 %			80 %	Oct-06	41	Jul-12
CP IV	\$362.5	3.7x	2.4x	97 % X	,	X	80 %	Apr-05	47	Dec-10
CEP II	€276.9	0.1x	2.0x	113% X	,	X	80 %	Sep-03	54	Sep-08
CETP II	€200.4	1.0x	2.5x	84 % X	,	X	100%	Jan-08	36	Jul-13
CAP II	\$183.0	0.9x	1.8x	90 %	((X)	80 %	Mar-06	44	Feb-12
All Other Funds (8)	\$2,427.8	1.1x	2.2x	NM	[]	NM				
Co-investment and Other (9)	\$4,192.9	1.3x	2.3x	NM	[]	NM				
Total Corporate Private Equity (10)	\$33,351.6	1.2x	1.9x							

- Net asset value of our carry funds. Reflects significant funds with remaining fair value of greater than \$100 million.
- (2) Unrealized multiple of invested capital ("MOIC") represents remaining fair market value, before management fees, expenses and carried interest, divided by investment cost.
 - Total MOIC represents total fair value, before management fees, expenses and carried interest, divided by
- cumulative invested capital. For certain funds, represents the original cost of investments net of investment-level recallable proceeds, which is adjusted to reflect recyclability of invested capital for the purpose of calculating the fund MOIC.
- Represents cumulative equity invested as of the reporting period divided by total commitments. Amount can be greater than 100% due to the re-investment of recallable distributions to fund investors.
- (5) Fund has accrued carry/(giveback) as of the reporting period.
- (6) Fund has realized carry in the last twelve months.
- (7) Represents the date of the first capital contribution for management fees.
 - Aggregate includes the following funds: CMG, CP I, CP II, CP III, CEP I, CAP I, CBPF, CJP I, CEVP,
 - CETP I, CETP III, CCI, CAVP I, CAVP II, CAGP III, Mexico, MENA, CSABF, CSSAF, CPF, CVP I,
- (8) CVP II, and CUSGF III. In Accrued Carry/(Giveback) and LTM Realized Carry not indicated because the indicator does not apply to each fund within the aggregate.
 - Includes co-investments, prefund investments and certain other stand-alone investments arranged by us. In
- (9) Accrued Carry/(Giveback) and LTM Realized Carry not indicated because the indicator does not apply to each fund within the aggregate.
- For purposes of aggregation, funds that report in foreign currency have been converted to U.S. dollars at the reporting period spot rate.

Real Assets

For purposes of presenting our results of operations for this segment, our earnings from our investments in NGP are presented in the respective operating captions and the net income or loss from the consolidation of Urbplan allocable to the Partnership (after consideration of amounts allocable to non-controlling interests) is presented within investment income. The following table presents our results of operations for our Real Assets segment:

Year Ended December 31,

	rear Ended December 31,			
	2016	2015	2014	
	(Dollars	ns)		
Segment Revenues				
Fund level fee revenues				
Fund management fees	\$251.1	\$255.9	\$223.8	
Portfolio advisory fees, net	0.2	0.4	0.8	
Transaction fees, net	_	2.1	1.6	
Total fund level fee revenues	251.3	258.4	226.2	
Performance fees				
Realized	53.1	163.2	88.5	
Unrealized	274.0	(42.5)	(39.5)	
Total performance fees	327.1	120.7	49.0	
Investment income (loss)				
Realized	(20.6)	(93.6)	(32.2)	
Unrealized	1.4	63.1	(15.7)	
Total investment income (loss)	(19.2)	(30.5)	(47.9)	
Interest income	1.7	0.3	0.3	
Other income	1.6	2.6	4.4	
Total revenues	562.5	351.5	232.0	
Segment Expenses				
Compensation and benefits				
Direct base compensation	72.1	70.0	75.2	
Indirect base compensation	39.1	39.3	48.5	
Equity-based compensation	26.3	25.0	19.2	
Performance fee related				
Realized	37.6	68.5	30.1	
Unrealized	81.9	26.3	32.1	
Total compensation and benefits	257.0	229.1	205.1	
General, administrative, and other indirect expenses	67.1	74.6	72.2	
Depreciation and amortization expense	5.9	4.3	3.6	
Interest expense	16.0	10.6	9.9	
Total expenses	346.0	318.6	290.8	
Economic Net Income (Loss)	\$216.5	\$32.9	\$(58.8)	
(-) Net Performance Fees	207.6	25.9	(13.2)	
(-) Investment Income (Loss)			(47.9)	
(+) Equity-based Compensation	26.3	25.0	19.2	
(+) Reserve for Litigation and Contingencies	_	9.2		
(=) Fee Related Earnings	\$54.4	\$71.7	\$21.5	
(+) Realized Net Performance Fees	15.5	94.7	58.4	
(+) Realized Investment Income (Loss)	(20.6)	(93.6)	(32.2)	
(=) Distributable Earnings	\$49.3	\$72.8	\$47.7	

Year Ended December 31, 2016 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2015 and Year Ended December 31, 2015 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2014

Distributable Earnings

Distributable earnings decreased \$23.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to 2015 and increased \$25.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014. The following table provides the components of the change in distributable earnings for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015:

> Year Ended December 31, 2016 2015 (Dollars in Millions) \$72.8 \$47.7

Distributable earnings, prior year

Increases (decreases):

(Decrease) increase in realized net performance fees (79.2) 36.3 Decrease (increase) in realized investment loss 73.0 (61.4) (Decrease) increase in fee related earnings (17.3)50.2Total (decrease) increase (23.5)25.1Distributable earnings, current year \$49.3 \$72.8

Realized Net Performance Fees. Realized net performance fees decreased \$79.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to 2015, and increased \$36.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014. The decrease in realized net performance fees for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2015 is primarily due to lower realizations in our U.S. real estate funds in 2016 as compared to 2015 and the absence in 2016 of realizations in our power opportunities fund. In addition, we realized the giveback obligations in 2016 on two of our Legacy Energy funds (Energy II and Energy III), which resulted in a decrease of \$35.9 million in realized net performance fees. The increase in realized net performance fees for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2014 was primarily due to increased realizations in 2015 from our U.S. real estate funds. The majority of realized net performance fees were generated by the following funds for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively:

Year Ended December 31.

2016 2015 2014 CRP VI CRP VI CRP VI

CEREP III - External CRP III

Co-invest **CRP III**

CPOCP

Realized Investment Loss. Realized investment loss decreased \$73.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to 2015, and realized investment loss increased \$61.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014. The decrease in realized investment loss for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to 2015 primarily relates to the absence in 2016 of the realized investment loss recorded in 2015 relating to the realization of Carlyle's cumulative losses from our guarantee of liabilities of Carlyle Europe Real Estate Partners I, L.P. ("CEREP I") associated with an adverse court judgment in a French tax court proceeding of \$80 million. See Note 11 to the consolidated financial statements for additional information. Additionally, there were higher realized investment losses of \$16.0 million in 2016 as compared to 2015 associated with Urbplan. These realized investment losses were partially offset by higher realized gains on investments in our real estate funds and the NGP funds.

The increase in realized investment loss for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014 primarily represented the realization of Carlyle's cumulative losses from our guarantee of liabilities of CEREP I associated with an adverse court judgment in a French tax court proceeding of \$80 million, offset by \$9.7 million of lower realized investment losses in 2015 associated with Urbplan.

Fee Related Earnings

Fee related earnings decreased \$17.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to 2015 and increased \$50.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014. The following table provides the components of the change in fee related earnings for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015:

	Year Ended		
	December 31,		
	2016 2015		
	(Dollars in		
	Millions)		
Fee related earnings, prior year	\$71.7 \$21.5		
Increases (decreases):			
(Decrease) increase in fee revenues	(7.1)32.2		
(Increase) decrease in direct and indirect base	(1.9)14.4		
compensation	(1.))14.4		
(Increase) decrease in general, administrative and	(1.7)6.8		
other expenses	(1.7)0.0		
Increase in interest expense	(5.4)(0.7)		
All other changes	(1.2)(2.5)		
Total (decrease) increase	(17.3)50.2		
Fee related earnings, current year	\$54.4 \$71.7		

Fee Revenues. Total fee revenues decreased \$7.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to 2015 and increased \$32.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to the same period in 2014, due to the following:

Year Ended
December
31,
2016 2015
(Dollars in
Millions)

(Lower) higher fund management fees
(Lower) higher transaction fees
(Lower portfolio advisory fees
(0.2)(0.4)

Total (decrease) increase in fee revenues
\$(7.1)\$32.2

The decrease in fund management fees for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to 2015 primarily reflects a \$15.2 million decrease in catch-up management fees from \$23.8 million in 2015 to \$8.6 million in 2016. The higher catch-up management fees in 2015 primarily related to subsequent closings in 2015 on our seventh U.S. real estate fund ("CRP VII"), our first international energy fund ("CIEP I") and our second power fund ("CPP II"). This decrease is partially offset by increased management fee revenues due to higher assets under management from additional commitments raised during 2015 and 2016 for CPP II, CRP VII, and CIEP I as well as the activation of management fees in NGP XI.

The increase in fund management fees for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014 primarily reflects a \$16.2 million increase in catch-up management fees from \$7.6 million in 2014 to \$23.8 million in 2015. The increase in catch-up management fees is primarily due from subsequent closings on CRP VII, CIEP I and CPP II. Also, the increase in fund management fees was due to the commencement of the investment period of CRP VII and CPP II in

June 2014 when both funds began to charge management fees at such time, as well as higher assets under management from additional commitments raised during 2014 and 2015 in CRP VII, CIEP I, and CPP II. These increases were partially offset by declines in fund management fees from distributions from certain other U.S. and European real estate funds outside of their investment periods, which had the effect of lowering the management fee base of those funds.

The total weighted average management fee rate increased to 1.26% at December 31, 2016 from 1.24% at December 31, 2015 primarily due to funds inside the investment period accounting for a larger portion of Fee-earning AUM in the segment. The weighted average management fee rate for funds in the investment period declined to 1.43% at December 31, 2016 from 1.44% at December 31, 2015.

The total weighted average management fee rate declined to 1.24% at December 31, 2015 from 1.31% at December 31, 2014 primarily due to a step down in management fee rate for CEREP III. The weighted average management fee rate for funds in the investment period declined to 1.44% at December 31, 2015 from 1.54% at December 31, 2014 primarily due to fundraising in CRP VII and CPP II.

Direct and indirect compensation expense. Direct and indirect compensation expense increased \$1.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to 2015 primarily due to increased headcount, partially offset by lower compensation associated with fundraising activities of \$3.3 million in 2016 versus 2015.

Direct and indirect compensation expense decreased \$14.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014 primarily due to lower bonuses in 2015 as well as lower compensation associated with fundraising of \$7.1 million in 2015 versus 2014, driven by fundraising activities in 2014 related to CRP VII and CIEP I. General, administrative and other indirect expenses. General, administrative and other indirect expenses increased \$1.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to 2015, primarily due to an increase in professional fees, partially offset by a decrease in external costs associated with fundraising activities.

General, administrative and other indirect expenses decreased \$6.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014, primarily due to a decrease of \$7.1 million in external costs associated with fundraising activities. Economic Net Income

Economic net income increased \$183.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to 2015 and economic net income increased \$91.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014. The following table provides the components of the change in economic net income for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015:

	Year Ended			
	December 31,			
	2016	2015		
	(Dollars in			
	Million	ıs)		
Economic net income (loss), prior year	\$32.9	\$(58.8)	
Increases (decreases):				
Increase in net performance fees	181.7	39.1		
Decrease in investment losses	11.3	17.4		
Increase in equity-based compensation	(1.3)(5.8)	
(Decrease) increase in fee related earnings	(17.3))50.2		
Decrease (increase) in reserve for litigation and contingencies	9.2	(9.2)	
Total increase	102 6	01.7		
	183.6	91.7		
Economic net income (loss), current year	\$216.5	\$32.9		

Performance Fees. Performance fees (realized and unrealized) increased \$206.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2015 primarily due to CRP VII exceeding its performance threshold in 2016 and, therefore recognizing performance fees, performance fees generated by the NGP funds in 2016 as compared to performance fee reversals in 2015, and a reduction in the giveback liability associated with certain of our Legacy Energy funds in 2016. Performance fees (realized and unrealized) increased \$71.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2014 primarily due to higher appreciation in 2015 from our real estate funds.

Performance fees are from the following types of funds:

The \$327.1 million of performance fees for the year ended December 31, 2016 was driven primarily by performance fees recognized from the following funds:

- •CRP VII of \$89.4 million,
- •our fifth U.S. real estate fund ("CRP V") of \$62.0 million,
- •NGP XI of \$35.9 million,
- •CRP VI of \$32.4 million, and
- •our third U.S. real estate fund ("CRP III") of \$22.1 million.

The \$120.7 million of performance fees for the year ended December 31, 2015 was driven primarily by performance fees recognized from the following funds:

- •CRP VI of \$101.1 million,
- •CRP V of \$73.9 million,
- •CRP III of \$22.9 million,
- •Energy III of \$(38.7) million, and
- •Carlyle/Riverstone Global Energy and Power Fund IV ("Energy IV") of \$(37.8) million.

The \$49.0 million of performance fees for the year ended December 31, 2014 was driven primarily by performance fees recognized from the following funds:

- •CRP VI of \$98.5 million, and
- •NGP Natural Resources X, L.P. of \$(39.2) million.

Performance fees of \$327.1 million, \$120.7 million, and \$49.0 million are inclusive of performance fees reversed of approximately \$4.7 million, \$102.8 million, and \$92.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

The appreciation (depreciation) in remaining value of assets for this segment by type of fund are as follows:

Year Ended
December 31,
2016 2015 2014

Real Estate funds 19% 27% 18%

Natural Resources funds 24% (3)% (13)%

Legacy Energy funds 0% (26)% (12)%

Total 15% (3)% (2)%

Net performance fees for the year ended December 31, 2016 were \$207.6 million, representing an increase of \$181.7 million from \$25.9 million in net performance fees for the year ended December 31, 2015. The increase in net performance fees primarily relates to increased performance fees from the U.S. real estate funds, the NGP funds, and reversals of performance fees in the Legacy Energy funds in 2015 as compared to a reduction of the giveback obligation in 2016.

Performance fees earned from the Legacy Energy funds and from NGP funds are allocated solely to Carlyle and are not otherwise shared or allocated with our investment professionals. Accordingly, performance fee compensation as a percentage of performance fees is generally not a comparable measurement for Real Assets from period to period.

Net performance fees for the year ended December 31, 2015 were \$25.9 million, representing an increase of \$39.1 million from \$(13.2) million in net performance fees for the year ended December 31, 2014. The increase in net performance fees primarily relates to our real estate funds which appreciated 27% for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to appreciation of 18% for the year ended December 31, 2014.

Total Investment Income (Loss). Total investment loss (realized and unrealized) for the year ended December 31, 2016 was \$19.2 million compared to total investment loss of \$30.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2015. The decrease in total investment loss for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2015 is primarily due to the absence in 2016 of approximately \$34 million in losses from our guarantee of liabilities of CEREP I associated with an adverse court judgment in a French tax court proceeding that was recorded in 2015. See Note 11 to the consolidated financial statements for additional information. The decrease in total investment loss is also due to investment gains of approximately \$0.8 million associated with our investments in NGP for 2016 as compared to approximately \$5.5 million of investment losses associated with our investments in NGP in 2015, partially offset by higher investment losses associated with Urbplan.

Total investment loss for the year ended December 31, 2015 was \$30.5 million compared to total investment loss of \$47.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. The 2015 investment loss of \$30.5 million was due primarily to \$34 million in losses from our guarantee of liabilities of CEREP I associated with an adverse court judgment in a French tax court proceeding related to a transaction that CEREP I exited between 2007 and 2009. See Note 11 to the consolidated financial statements for additional information. The 2014 investment loss of \$47.9 million was due primarily to unrealized losses associated with the guarantee of liabilities of CEREP I associated with the French tax court matter of \$11.2 million, investment losses on certain other European real estate investments of \$30.8 million, and losses associated with Urbplan of \$24.3 million, partially offset by an investment gain of \$22.5 million from the sale of another European real estate investment.

Equity-based Compensation. Equity-based compensation was \$26.3 million, \$25.0 million, and \$19.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015, and 2014, respectively. The increase from 2015 to 2016 and the increase from 2014 to 2015 are due to the ongoing granting of deferred restricted common units to new and existing employees during 2014, 2015 and 2016, and a decrease in the estimated forfeiture rates during 2015.

Reserve for Litigation and Contingencies. Real Assets' share of a \$50.0 million reserve for litigation and contingencies was \$9.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2015. Since the timing of any payment is uncertain, this has not been included in fee related earnings and distributable earnings in 2015 or 2016. See Note 11 of the consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for more information.

Fee-earning AUM as of and for each of the Three Years in the Period Ended December 31, 2016. Fee-earning AUM is presented below for each period together with the components of change during each respective period.

The table below breaks out Fee-earning AUM by its respective components at each period.

	As of Dec	s of December 31,			
	2016	2015	2014		
	(Dollars in	Dollars in millions)			
Real Assets					
Components of Fee-earning AUM (1)					
Fee-earning AUM based on capital commitments	\$13,008	\$12,588	\$5,143		
Fee-earning AUM based on invested capital (2)	13,878	17,353	22,528		

Fee-earning AUM based on net asset value	285		_		_	
Fee-earning AUM based on lower of cost or fair value and other (3)	316		964		680	
Total Fee-earning AUM (4)	\$27,487	7	\$30,905	5	\$28,35	1
Weighted Average Management Fee Rates (5)						
All Funds	1.26	%	1.24	%	1.31	%
Funds in Investment Period	1.43	%	1.44	%	1.54	%

- (1) For additional information concerning the components of Fee-earning AUM, See "—Fee-earning Assets under Management."
- (2) Includes amounts committed to or reserved for investments for certain real estate funds.
- (3) Includes certain funds that are calculated on gross asset value.

 Energy II, Energy III, Energy IV, Renew I, and Renew II (collectively, the "Legacy Energy Funds"), are managed with Riverstone Holdings LLC and its affiliates. Affiliates of both Carlyle and Riverstone act as investment advisers to each of the Legacy Energy Funds. With the exception of Energy IV and Renew II, where Carlyle has a minority representation on the funds' management committees, management of each of the Legacy Energy Funds is vested in committees with equal representation by Carlyle and Riverstone, and the consent of representatives of
- (4) both Carlyle and Riverstone is required for investment decisions. As of December 31, 2016, the Legacy Energy Funds had, in the aggregate, approximately \$6.1 billion in AUM and \$5.5 billion in Fee-earning AUM. NGP VII, NGP VIII, NGP IX, or in the case of NGP M&R, NGP ETP I, and NGP ETP II, certain affiliated entities (collectively, the "NGP management fee funds") and NGP X, NGP GAP and NGP XI (referred to herein as, "carry funds"), are managed by NGP Energy Capital Management. As of December 31, 2016, the NGP management fee funds and carry funds had, in the aggregate, approximately \$10.1 billion in AUM and \$10.0 billion in Fee-earning AUM.
- Represents the aggregate effective management fee rate of each fund in the segment, weighted by each fund's Fee-earning AUM, as of the end of each period presented. Calculation reflects Carlyle's 10% and 55% interest in management fees earned by the Legacy Energy funds, NGP management fee funds, and carry funds, respectively. Accounts based on gross asset base generally have an effective management fee rate of 0.5% or less.

The table below provides the period to period rollforward of Fee-earning AUM.

Twelve Months Ended
December 31,
2016 2015 2014
(Dollars in millions)

Real Assets

Fee-earning AUM Rollforward

1 cc-carning 7 CW Romoi ward			
Balance, Beginning of Period	\$30,905	\$28,351	\$28,438
Inflows, including Fee-paying Commitments (1)	1,514	8,426	6,126
Outflows, including Distributions (2)	(4,860)	(5,655)	(5,864)
Market Appreciation/(Depreciation) (3)	28	(1)	(6)
Foreign Exchange and other (4)	(100)	(216)	(343)
Balance, End of Period	\$27,487	\$30,905	\$28.351

- (1) Inflows represent limited partner capital raised and capital invested by funds outside the investment period.
- Outflows represent distributions from funds outside the investment period and changes in fee basis for our carry funds where the investment period has expired.
- (3) Market Appreciation/(Depreciation) represents realized and unrealized gains (losses) on portfolio investments in our carry funds based on the lower of cost or fair value and net asset value.
 - Represents the impact of foreign exchange rate fluctuations on the translation of our non-U.S. dollar denominated
- (4) funds. Activity during the period is translated at the average rate for the period. Ending balances are translated at the spot rate as of the period end.

Fee-earning AUM was \$27.5 billion at December 31, 2016, a decrease of \$3.4 billion, or 11%, compared to \$30.9 billion at December 31, 2015. This decrease was driven by outflows of \$4.9 billion primarily attributable to distributions in our Real Estate and NGP Energy funds outside of the investment period. Partially offsetting this decrease were inflows, including fee-paying commitments, of \$1.5 billion driven by additional commitments raised in

CPP II and capital invested by CPI, as well as additional capital invested by various funds outside of the original investment period. Investment and distribution activity by funds still in the original investment period do not impact Fee-earning AUM as these funds are based on commitments and not invested capital.

Fee-earning AUM was \$30.9 billion at December 31, 2015, an increase of \$2.5 billion, or 9%, compared to \$28.4 billion at December 31, 2014. This increase was driven by inflows of \$8.4 billion primarily related to new commitments in CRP VII, CPP II, and CIEP I as well as the activation of previously raised commitments in NGP XI. This increase was partially offset by outflows of \$5.7 billion, primarily related to distribution activity in our funds outside the original investment period in addition to the reduction in management fee basis for funds at the end of their original investment period.

Fee-earning AUM was relatively unchanged at \$28.4 billion for the periods ended December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013. Outflows of \$5.9 billion were principally due to distributions from our fully invested Legacy Energy funds, our U.S. and Europe real estate funds and foreign exchange loss of \$0.3 billion. Inflows of \$6.1 billion were primarily to commitments to CRP VII and CIEP I, in addition to investments made by our Legacy Energy funds, our U.S. real estate funds and NGP funds with fees based on invested equity.

Total AUM as of and for each of the Three Years in the Period Ended December 31, 2016. The table below provides the period to period rollforwards of Available Capital and Fair Value of Capital, and the resulting rollforward of Total AUM.

	Available	Fair Value of Capital Capital	Total AU	M
	(Dollars i	n millions)		
Real Assets				
Balance, As of December 31, 2013	\$8,754	\$ 29,910	\$ 38,664	
Commitments (1)	8,888		8,888	
Capital Called, net (2)	(3,612)	4,081	469	
Distributions (3)	1,751	(8,698)	(6,947)
Market Appreciation/(Depreciation) (4)	_	1,577	1,577	
Foreign Exchange and other (5)	(67)	(289)	(356)
Balance, As of December 31, 2014	\$15,714	\$ 26,581	\$ 42,295	
Commitments (1)	3,673	_	3,673	
Capital Called, net (2)	(3,530)	3,960	430	
Distributions (3)	47	(5,842)	(5,795)
Market Appreciation/(Depreciation) (4)	_	(2,357)	(2,357)
Foreign Exchange and other (5)	(43)	(212)	(255)
Balance, As of December 31, 2015	\$15,861	\$ 22,130	\$ 37,991	
Commitments (1)	296	_	296	
Capital Called, net (2)	(4,940)	4,443	(497)
Distributions (3)	356	(7,200)	(6,844)
Market Appreciation/(Depreciation) (4)	_	3,317	3,317	
Foreign Exchange and other (5)		(11)	(11)
Balance, As of December 31, 2016	\$11,573	\$ 22,679	\$ 34,252	

- (1) Represents capital raised by our carry funds and NGP management fee funds, net of expired available capital.
- Represents capital called by our carry funds and NGP management fee funds, net of fund fees and expenses. Equity invested amounts may vary from capital called due to timing differences between acquisition and capital call dates. Represents distributions from our carry funds and NGP management fee funds, net of amounts recycled.
- (3) Distributions are based on when proceeds are actually distributed to investors, which may differ from when they are realized.
- (4) Market Appreciation/(Depreciation) represents realized and unrealized gains (losses) on portfolio investments. Represents the impact of foreign exchange rate fluctuations on the translation of our non-U.S. dollar denominated
- (5) funds. Activity during the period is translated at the average rate for the period. Ending balances are translated at the spot rate as of the period end.

Total AUM was \$34.3 billion at December 31, 2016, a decrease of \$3.7 billion, or 10%, compared to \$38.0 billion at December 31, 2015. This decrease was driven by \$7.2 billion in distributions, of which \$0.4 billion is recallable, primarily related to our NGP and U.S. Real Estate funds. Partially offsetting this decrease was \$3.3 billion of market appreciation, representing a 18% increase in the value of our carry fund portfolio. Our public portfolio increased by 32% (\$1.5 billion) and our private portfolio increased by 12% (\$1.4 billion), with the remainder attributable to our

co-investment vehicles and NGP management fee funds. The carry funds driving appreciation for the period included \$0.5 billion attributable to NGP X , \$0.5 billion attributable to NGP XI, and \$0.4 billion attributable to CRP VII, Total AUM was \$38.0 billion at December 31, 2015, a decrease of \$4.3 billion, or 10%, compared to \$42.3 billion at December 31, 2014. This decrease was primarily driven by \$5.8 billion in distributions primarily related to our U.S. Real Estate, NGP Energy, and Legacy Energy funds and \$2.4 billion of market depreciation, representing a 3% decrease in the value

of our carry fund portfolio. Our public portfolio declined by 12% (\$0.5 billion) and our private portfolio increased slightly by \$0.1 billion with the remainder attributable to our coinvestment vehicles and NGP management fee funds. The carry funds driving depreciation for the period included \$1.0 billion attributable to Energy IV, \$0.6 billion attributable to Energy III, and \$0.4 billion attributable to NGP X, partially offset by \$0.5 billion of appreciation attributable to CRP VI . Offsetting this was \$3.7 billion of new commitments driven by fundraising in CRP VII, NGP XI, and CPP II.

Total AUM was \$42.3 billion at December 31, 2014, an increase of \$3.6 billion, or 9%, compared to \$38.7 billion at December 31, 2013. This increase is due to (a) commitments of \$8.9 billion in CRP VII, NGP XI, CIEP I, CPP II and related co-investment vehicles, and (b) market appreciation of \$1.6 billion, despite a 2% decrease in values across the real assets carry funds due to the inclusion of the NGP management fee funds in Total AUM. Our public portfolio declined by 26% (\$1.2 billion) and our private portfolio increased by 8% (\$1.0 billion) with the remainder attributable to our co-investment vehicles and NGP management fee funds. The carry funds driving depreciation for the period included \$0.6 billion attributable to Energy IV, \$0.4 billion attributable to Energy III, and \$0.2 billion attributable to Energy II, partially offset by \$0.5 billion of appreciation attributable to CRP VI and \$0.5 billion of appreciation attributable to NGP X. Offsetting this increase were net distributions of \$6.9 billion, primarily in our Natural Resources and Legacy Energy fund platforms.

Fund Performance Metrics

Fund performance information for our investment funds that have at least \$1.0 billion in capital commitments, cumulative equity invested or total value as of December 31, 2016 and excluding the NGP management fee funds, which we refer to as our "significant funds," is generally included throughout this discussion and analysis to facilitate an understanding of our results of operations for the periods presented. The fund return information reflected in this discussion and analysis is not indicative of the performance of The Carlyle Group L.P. and is also not necessarily indicative of the future performance of any particular fund. An investment in The Carlyle Group L.P. is not an investment in any of our funds. There can be no assurance that any of our funds or our other existing and future funds will achieve similar returns. See "Item 1A. Risk Factors — Risks Related to Our Business Operations — The historical returns attributable to our funds, including those presented in this report, should not be considered as indicative of the future results of our funds or of our future results or of any returns expected on an investment in our common units."

The following tables reflect the performance of our significant funds in our Real Assets business. See "Business — Our Family of Funds" for a legend of the fund acronyms listed below.

Ž	·		TOTAL IN	NVESTME	NTS						D/PARTIA D INVEST		S(5)
			As of Dece	ember 31, 2	016						ember 31, 2		(-)
	E d		Cumulativ	e						Cumulativ	e		
	Fund	Committe	edinvested	Total Fair	MOIC	Gross		Net		Invested	Total Fair	MOIC	Gross
	Inception Date (1)	Capital	Capital (2)	Value (3)	(4)	IRR (7))	IRR (8))	Capital (2)	Value (3)	(4)	IRR (
Real Assets			(Reported	in Local Cu	ırrency	, in Mill	ion	s)		(Reported Millions)	in Local Cu	ırrency	, in
Fully Invested Funds (6)													
CRP III	11/2000	\$564.1	\$522.5	\$1,699.1	3.3x	44	%	30	%	\$522.5	\$1,699.1	3.3x	44
CRP IV	12/2004	\$950.0	\$1,198.5	\$1,799.7	1.5x	7	%	4	%	\$885.0	\$1,527.0	1.7x	11
CRP V	11/2006	\$3,000.0	\$3,293.5	\$5,361.6	1.6x	12	%	9	%	\$2,917.3	\$4,836.3	1.7x	14
CRP VI	9/2010	\$2,340.0	\$2,093.7	\$3,838.3	1.8x	31	%	22	%	\$1,293.4	\$2,616.0	2.0x	35
CEREP I	3/2002	€426.6	€517.0	€698.6	1.4x	14	%			€517.0	€698.6	1.4x	14
CEREP II	4/2005	€762.7	€833.8	€128.1	0.2x	(100%))	(100%)		€798.2	€134.9	0.2x	(100%
CEREP III	5/2007	€2,229.5	€2,013.2	€2,302.7	1.1x	3	%		%	€1,355.9	€1,761.5	1.3x	6
CIP	9/2006	\$1,143.7	\$1,069.8	\$1,289.6	1.2x	4	%	1	%	\$757.0	\$913.1	1.2x	4%
NGP X	1/2012		\$3,201.0	\$3,891.4	1.2x	8	%	5	%	\$1,013.5	\$2,441.4	2.4x	63
Energy II	7/2002		\$1,334.8	\$3,149.5	2.4x	81		55		\$1,116.2	\$3,148.0	2.8x	94%
Energy III	10/2005		\$3,569.7	\$5,354.9	1.5x	9	%	7		\$2,510.6	\$5,058.5	2.0x	17
Energy IV	12/2007		\$6,200.5	\$7,942.6	1.3x	9	%	5		\$3,024.8	\$5,299.2	1.8x	22%
Renew II	3/2008		\$2,849.4	\$4,081.1	1.4x	9	%	6		\$1,414.3	\$2,205.9	1.6x	14
All Other	V 7	•	Φ2 020 5	Φ2.252.C	1 1	4	01	/1				1 1	F 01
Funds (9)	Various		\$2,939.5	\$3,253.6	1.1x	4	%	(1)%	\$2,592.1	\$2,921.1	1.1x	5%
Co-investment and Other(10)	^S Various		\$5,428.2	\$8,957.9	1.7x	17	%	13	%	\$3,836.3	\$7,014.4	1.8x	21
Total Fully Inv Funds	vested		\$37,244.2	\$53,915.3	1.4x	12	%	7	%	\$24,696.3	\$42,413.1	1.7x	18
Funds in the Ir	vestment												
Period (6)													
CRP VII (12)	3/2014	\$4,161.6	\$2,220.7	\$2,749.6	1.2x	NM		NM					
CIEP I (12)	9/2013	\$2,500.0		\$643.6	1.4x	NM		NM					
NGP XI (12)	6/2014		\$2,557.1	\$3,052.4	1.2x	NM		NM					
CPP II (12)	6/2014	\$1,526.9		\$526.5	1.1x	NM		NM					
All Other		+ -,											
Funds (11)	Various		\$642.0	\$684.8	1.1x	NM		NM					
Total Funds in	the Investr	nent	.							****	* * * * *		
Period			\$6,389.2	\$7,656.9	1.2x	24	%	11	%	\$218.8	\$468.5	2.1x	n/a
TOTAL Real Assets (13)			\$43,633.3	\$61,572.2	1.4x	12	%	7	%	\$24,915.1	\$42,881.6	1.7x	19

The data presented herein that provides "inception to date" performance results of our segments relates to the period (1) following the formation of the first fund within each segment. For our Corporate Private Equity segment our first fund was formed in 1990. For our Real Assets segment, our first fund was formed in 1997.

- (2) Represents the original cost of all capital called for investments since inception of the fund.
- Represents all realized proceeds combined with remaining fair value, before management fees, expenses and carried interest.
- Multiple of invested capital ("MOIC") represents total fair value, before management fees, expenses and carried interest, divided by cumulative invested capital.
 - An investment is considered realized when the investment fund has completely exited, and ceases to own an interest in, the investment. An investment is considered partially realized when the total amount of proceeds received in respect of such investment, including dividends, interest or other distributions and/or return of capital, represents at least 85% of invested capital and such investment is not yet fully realized. Because part of our value creation strategy involves pursuing best exit alternatives, we believe information regarding Realized/Partially Realized MOIC and Gross IRR, when considered together with the other investment performance metrics
- presented, provides investors with meaningful information regarding our investment performance by removing the (5). impact of investments where significant realization activity has not yet occurred. Realized/Partially Realized MOIC and Gross IRR have limitations as measures of investment performance, and should not be considered in isolation. Such limitations include the fact that these measures do not include the performance of earlier stage and other investments that do not satisfy the criteria provided above. The exclusion of such investments will have a positive impact on Realized/Partially Realized MOIC and Gross IRR in instances when the MOIC and Gross IRR in respect of such investments are less than the aggregate MOIC and Gross IRR. Our measurements of Realized/Partially Realized MOIC and Gross IRR may not be comparable to those of other

companies that use similarly titled measures. We do not present Realized/Partially Realized performance information separately for funds that are still in the investment period because of the relatively insignificant level of realizations for funds of this type. However, to the extent such funds have had realizations, they are included in the Realized/Partially Realized performance information presented for Total Corporate Private Equity and Total Real Assets.

Fully Invested funds are past the expiration date of the investment period as defined in the respective limited (6) partnership agreement. In instances where a successor fund has had its first capital call, the predecessor fund is categorized as fully invested.

Gross Internal Rate of Return ("Gross IRR") represents the annualized IRR for the period indicated on Limited (7)Partner invested capital based on contributions, distributions and unrealized value before management fees,

expenses and carried interest.

- Net Internal Rate of Return ("Net IRR") represents the annualized IRR for the period indicated on Limited Partner (8) invested capital based on contributions, distributions and unrealized value after management fees, expenses and carried interest.
- (9) Aggregate includes the following funds: CRP I, CRP II, CAREP I, CAREP II, CRCP I, CPOCP, Renew I and Energy I.
- (10) Includes co-investments and certain other stand-alone investments arranged by us.
- Aggregate includes NGP GAP, CPI, and CCR. Return is not considered meaningful, as the investment period commenced in December 2013 for NGP GAP, May 2016 for CPI, and October 2016 for CCR.
- Returns are not considered meaningful, as the investment period commenced in September 2013 for CIEP I, March 2014 for CRP VII, June 2014 for NGP XI, and June 2014 for CPP II.
- (13) For purposes of aggregation, funds that report in foreign currency have been converted to U.S. dollars at the reporting period spot rate.

	Remaining Fair Value(1)	Unrealized MOIC(2)	Total MOIC(3)	% Inve	stec	In Accrued Carry/	LTM Realized Carry (6)	Catch up Rate	Fee Initiation Date (7)	Since Fee	tensiginal Elinvestment Period End
	As of Dec	ember 31, 20	016								
Real Assets											
Energy IV	\$2,976.5	0.5x	1.3x	104	%	(X)		80%	Feb-08	36	Dec-13
CRP VII	\$2,738.7	1.2x	1.2x	53	%	X		80%	Jun-14	11	Mar-19
NGP XI	\$2,702.8	1.1x	1.2x	48	%	X		80%	Feb-15	8	Oct-19
Renew II	\$2,009.9	1.3x	1.4x	83	%	(X)		80%	Mar-08	36	May-14
NGP X	\$1,638.3	0.8x	1.2x	89	%			80%	Jan-12	20	May-17
CRP VI	\$1,255.9	1.5x	1.8x	89	%	X	X	50%	Mar-11	24	Mar-16
CRP V	\$1,089.5	1.8x	1.6x	110	%	X		50%	Nov-06	41	Nov-11
CRP IV	\$634.3	1.9x	1.5x	126	%			50%	Jan-05	48	Dec-09
CIEP I	\$627.0	1.3x	1.4x	19	%			80%	Oct-13	13	Sep-19
CPP II	\$515.3	1.1x	1.1x	33	%			80%	Sep-14	10	Apr-21
CEREP III	€479.1	0.8x	1.1x	90	%			67 %	Jun-07	39	May-11
CIP	\$352.0	1.2x	1.2x	94	%			80%	Oct-06	41	Sep-12
CRP III	\$328.1	97.8x	3.3x	93	%	X	X	50%	Mar-01	64	May-05
Energy III	\$301.5	0.2x	1.5x	94	%	(X)	(X)	80%	Nov-05	45	Oct-11
All Other Funds (8)	\$718.1	0.7x	1.3x			NM	NM				
Co-investmen and Other (9)	t \$2,126.0	1.3x	1.7x			NM	NM				

Total Real Assets (10) \$20,518.5 1.0x 1.4x

- Net asset value of our carry funds. Reflects significant funds with remaining fair value of greater than \$100 million.
- (2) Unrealized multiple of invested capital ("MOIC") represents remaining fair market value, before management fees, expenses and carried interest, divided by investment cost.
 - Total MOIC represents total fair value, before management fees, expenses and carried interest, divided by
- (3) cumulative invested capital. For certain funds, represents the original cost of investments net of investment-level recallable proceeds, which is adjusted to reflect recyclability of invested capital for the purpose of calculating the fund MOIC.
- (4) Represents cumulative equity invested as of the reporting period divided by total commitments. Amount can be greater than 100% due to the re-investment of recallable distributions to fund investors.
- (5) Fund has accrued carry/(giveback) as of the reporting period.
- (6) Fund has realized carry in the last twelve months.
- (7) Represents the date of the first capital contribution for management fees.

- Aggregate includes the following funds: CRP I, CRP II, CRCP I, CPI, CEREP I, CEREP II, CAREP II,
- (8) CCR, CPOCP I, NGP GAP, Energy I, Energy II and Renew I. In Accrued Carry/(Giveback) and LTM Realized Carry not indicated because the indicator does not apply to each fund within the aggregate.
 - Includes co-investments, prefund investments and certain other stand-alone investments arranged by us. In
- (9) Accrued Carry/(Giveback) and LTM Realized Carry not indicated because the indicator does not apply to each fund within the aggregate.
- For purposes of aggregation, funds that report in foreign currency have been converted to U.S. dollars at the reporting period spot rate.

Global Market Strategies

Profitability in our Global Markets Strategies segment has generally trended down since 2013 due to the lack of material performance fees from our hedge funds as well as from growing losses from some of our hedge funds and related commodities products. Investment in new product development in this segment has also contributed to lower earnings. As a result, we conducted a review of the segment in order to realign and reorganize certain of the segment's operations. We have exited our hedge fund business and are in the process of winding down our remaining commodities positions. This segment is now focused on building our global credit business. As such, on October 31, 2016 we closed a transaction to transfer our 55% ownership interest in ESG to its founders, and, on January 31, 2017, we closed a transaction to transfer our 63% ownership interest in Claren Road to its founders. Furthermore, we incurred approximately \$175 million in costs for litigation and contingencies related to our commodities business and approximately \$25 million in general and administrative costs related to the separation from Claren Road in 2016. All of these costs have been allocated to Global Market Strategies. (See Note 11 to the consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for more information on these transactions and legal matters.)

For purposes of presenting our results of operations for this segment, we include only our economic interests in the results of operations of Claren Road, ESG and, Vermillion. The following table presents our results of operations for our Global Market Strategies segment:

our Global Market Strategies segment.					
	Year Ended December				
	2016	2015	2014		
	(Dollars	in million	s)		
Segment Revenues					
Fund level fee revenues					
Fund management fees	\$195.5	\$210.7	\$259.3		
Portfolio advisory fees, net	1.1	0.7	0.9		
Transaction fees, net	_	_	0.2		
Total fund level fee revenues	196.6	211.4	260.4		
Performance fees					
Realized	36.6	38.0	36.0		
Unrealized	1.2	(63.1)	76.5		
Total performance fees	37.8	(25.1)	112.5		
Investment income (loss)					
Realized	5.1	5.4	8.4		
Unrealized	15.3	(15.7)	(3.6)		
Total investment income (loss)	20.4	(10.3)	4.8		
Interest income	4.7	2.8	0.3		
Other income	4.7	3.9	5.5		
Total revenues	264.2	182.7	383.5		
Segment Expenses					
Compensation and benefits					
Direct base compensation	87.4	101.2	110.6		
Indirect base compensation	32.6	28.3	24.6		
Equity-based compensation	17.6	19.0	13.9		
Performance fee related					
Realized	17.6	16.6	17.4		
Unrealized	0.6	(27.7)	35.4		
Total compensation and benefits	155.8	137.4	201.9		
General, administrative, and other indirect expenses	250.0	69.8	52.9		
Depreciation and amortization expense	6.2	5.0	4.0		
Interest expense	11.3	10.8	9.7		

Edgar Filing: Carlyle Group L.P. - Form 10-K

Total expenses	423.3	223.0	268.5
Economic Net Income (Loss)	\$(159.1)	\$(40.3)	\$115.0
(-) Net Performance Fees	19.6	(14.0)	59.7
(-) Investment Income (Loss)	20.4	(10.3)	4.8
(+) Equity-based Compensation	17.6	19.0	13.9
(+) Reserve for Litigation and Contingencies	_	9.0	_
(=) Fee Related Earnings	\$(181.5)	\$12.0	\$64.4
(+) Realized Net Performance Fees	19.0	21.4	18.6
(+) Realized Investment Income	5.1	5.4	8.4
(=) Distributable Earnings	\$(157.4)	\$38.8	\$91.4

Year Ended December 31, 2016 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2015 and Year Ended December 31, 2015 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2014

Distributable Earnings

Distributable earnings decreased \$196.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to 2015, and decreased \$52.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014. The following table provides the components of the changes in distributable earnings for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015:

	Year E	nded	
	Decem	ber 31,	
	2016	2015	
	(Dollar	s in	
	Million	s)	
Distributable earnings, prior year	\$38.8	\$91.4	
Increases (decreases):			
(Decrease) increase in realized net performance fees	(2.4)2.8	
Decrease in realized investment income	(0.3))(3.0)	
Decrease in fee related earnings	(193.5)(52.4)	
Total decrease	(196.2)(52.6)	
Distributable earnings, current year	\$(157.4	4)\$38.8	

Realized Net Performance Fees. Realized net performance fees decreased \$2.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to 2015 and increased \$2.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014. The majority of realized net performance fees were generated by the following funds for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively:

Year Ended December 31,

2016 2015 2014

Business Development Companies Structured Credit Funds Claren Road
Structured Credit Funds ESG ESG

Business Development Companies

Realized Investment Income. Realized investment income decreased \$0.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to 2015 and decreased \$3.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014. The decrease in realized investment income for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to 2015 was primarily due to greater realized losses on our hedge funds, partially offset by higher realizations on our structured credit funds and carry funds. The decrease in realized investment income for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014 was primarily due to lower realizations on our distressed debt funds.

Fee Related Earnings

Fee related earnings decreased \$193.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to 2015, and decreased \$52.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014. The following table provides the components of the change in fee related earnings for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015:

	Year Endown	
	2016	2015
	(Dollar	s in
	Million	s)
Fee related earnings, prior year	\$12.0	\$64.4
Increases (Decreases):		
Decrease in fee revenues	(14.8)(49.0)
Decrease in direct and indirect base compensation	9.5	5.7
Increase in general, administrative and other expenses	(189.2)(7.9)
All other changes	1.0	(1.2)
Total decrease)(52.4)
Fee related earnings, current year	\$(181.5	5)\$12.0

Fee Revenues. Total fee revenues decreased \$14.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to 2015 and decreased \$49.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014, due to the following:

Year Ended
December 31,
2016 2015
(Dollars in
Millions)

Lower fund management fees \$(15.2)\$(48.6)Lower transaction fees - (0.2)
Higher (lower) portfolio advisory fees 0.4 (0.2)
Total decrease in fee revenues \$(14.8)\$(49.0)

The decrease in fund management fees for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to 2015 is primarily due to lower hedge fund assets under management and lower basis on certain carry funds, partially offset by the activation of fees on our second energy mezzanine opportunity fund ("CEMOF II"). Assets under management reflects no material amounts for hedge funds at December 31, 2016, down from from \$8.3 billion at December 31, 2015, primarily due to the transactions related to ESG and Claren Road in which the Partnership transferred its interests in ESG and Claren Road back to their respective founders (see Note 11 to the consolidated financial statements), redemptions and investment depreciation.

The decrease in fund management fees for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014 is primarily from the hedge funds as a result of \$4.3 billion of net redemptions in 2015 and depreciation that reduced total hedge fund assets under management from approximately \$13.4 billion at December 31, 2014 to approximately \$8.3 billion at December 31, 2015.

The weighted average management fee rate on our carry funds decreased from 1.38% at December 31, 2015 to 1.37% at December 31, 2016 primarily due to a lower blended fee rate for CEMOF II upon completion of the fundraising process. Fee-earning AUM for our hedge funds was zero as of December 31, 2016. The weighted average management fee rate on our hedge funds decreased from 1.73% at December 31, 2014 to 1.59% at December 31, 2015 due to reduced AUM from net redemptions in our Claren Road funds, which charged a higher rate. The weighted

average management fee rate on our carry funds decreased from 1.48% at December 31, 2014 to 1.38% at December 31, 2015 due to the reduction in both the fee basis and rate of CSP III and CEMOF I as they switched from commitments to invested equity at the end of their respective original investment periods.

Direct and indirect compensation expense. Direct and indirect compensation expense decreased \$9.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to 2015 primarily due to lower headcount, partially offset by increased compensation costs of \$2.8 million related to fundraising activities.

Direct and indirect base compensation decreased \$5.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014 primarily due to lower headcount and bonuses in 2015, partially offset by incremental compensation costs of \$4.5 million related to fundraising activities, primarily associated with CEMOF II.

General, administrative and other indirect expenses. General, administrative and other indirect expenses increased \$189.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to 2015 due to the following:

Year

	1 Cai	
	Ended	
	December	r
	31, 2016	
	(Dollars	
	in	
	Millions)	
Commodities-related contingency reserves ¹	\$ 175.0	
Claren Road transaction ¹	25.0	
Insurance recoveries related to litigation	(25.0)	
Increase in professional fees, including legal costs related to commodities	11.5	
All other changes	2.7	
Total increase	\$ 189.2	

(1) See Note 11 to the consolidated financial statements for more information.

General, administrative and other indirect expenses increased \$7.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014. The increase is primarily due to higher external costs associated with fundraising activities of \$10.4 million, mostly related to CEMOF II, partially offset by lower negative foreign currency adjustments. Economic Net Income (Loss)

Economic net income (loss) decreased \$118.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to 2015, and decreased \$155.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014. The following table provides the components of the change in economic net income (loss) for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015:

	Year End December 2016 (Dollar Million	ber 31, 2015 s in	
Economic net income (loss), prior year	\$(40.3)\$115.0	0
Increases (decreases):			
Increase (decrease) in net performance fees	33.6	(73.7))
Increase (decrease) in investment income	30.7	(15.1)
Decrease (increase) in equity-based compensation	1.4	(5.1)
Decrease in fee related earnings	(193.5)(52.4)
Decrease (increase) in reserve for litigation and contingencies	9.0	(9.0)
Total decrease	(118.8)(155.3	3)
Economic net income (loss), current year	\$(159.1	1)\$(40.3	3)

Performance Fees. Performance fees (realized and unrealized) for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015, and 2014 are from the following types of funds:

Performance Fees Year Ended December 31, 2016 2015 2014 (Dollars in millions) Carry funds \$(62.9) \$84.6 \$0.3 Hedge funds 10.5 0.9 (3.0)) Structured credit funds 19.0 20.9 27.6 Business development companies 17.6 6.4 3.3 Total performance fees \$37.8 \$(25.1) \$112.5

The \$37.8 million of performance fees for the year ended December 31, 2016 was driven primarily by performance fees recognized from the following funds:

- •CLOs of \$19.0 million.
- •Business development companies of \$17.6 million,
- •Carlyle Strategic Partners III, L.P. ("CSP III") of \$3.5 million,
- •Carlyle Strategic Partners II, L.P. ("CSP II") of \$2.6 million, and
- •Carlyle Mezzanine Partners II, L.P. ("CMP II") of \$(5.8) million.

The \$(25.1) million of performance fees for the year ended December 31, 2015 was driven primarily by performance fees recognized from the following funds:

- •our first energy mezzanine fund ("CEMOF") of \$(43.1) million,
- •CMP II of \$(19.3) million, and
- •ESG funds of \$7.3 million.

The \$112.5 million of performance fees for the year ended December 31, 2014 was driven primarily by performance fees recognized from the following funds:

- •CSP III of \$34.4 million,
- •CEMOF of \$29.6 million, and
- •CMP II of \$27.9 million.

Performance fees of \$37.8 million, \$(25.1) million, and \$112.5 million are inclusive of performance fees reversed of approximately \$5.8 million, \$63.1 million, and \$12.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

The appreciation (depreciation) in remaining value of assets for this segment's carry funds are as follows:

Year Ended December 31, 2016 2015 2014

Carry funds (11)% (8)% 20%

Net performance fees as a percentage of total performance fees are as follows:

Edgar Filing: Carlyle Group L.P. - Form 10-K

Year Ended December

31,

2016 2015 2014 (Dollars in millions)

Net Performance Fees \$19.6 \$(14.0) \$59.7

Percentage of Total Performance Fees 52 %56 %53 %

The increase in net performance fees for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2015 is primarily due to increased performance fees generated from our business development companies in 2016 as compared to 2015. The decrease in net performance fees for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2014 is primarily due to lower performance fees generated from our carry funds in 2015 as compared to 2014.

Total Investment Income (Loss). Total investment income (realized and unrealized) for the year ended December 31, 2016 was \$20.4 million compared to total investment loss of \$10.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2015. The increase in investment income from 2015 to 2016 relates primarily to appreciation on certain U.S. and Euro-denominated collateralized loan obligations during 2016 a compared to depreciation on investments in U.S.-denominated collateralized loan obligations for 2015.

Total investment loss was \$10.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to investment income of \$4.8 million in 2014. The decrease in investment income from 2014 to 2015 relates primarily to depreciation on U.S.-denominated collateralized loan obligations as well as investment losses in 2015 in CEMOF as compared to investment income in 2014.

Equity-based Compensation. Equity-based compensation was \$17.6 million, \$19.0 million, and \$13.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015, and 2014, respectively. The decrease from 2015 to 2016 is primarily due to lower headcount in 2015 and 2016, partially offset by the ongoing granting of deferred restricted common units to employees during 2015 and 2016. The increase in equity-based compensation from 2014 to 2015 is due to the ongoing granting of deferred restricted common units to new and existing employees during 2014, 2015 and 2016, and a decrease in the estimated forfeiture rates during 2015.

Reserve for Litigation and Contingencies. Global Market Strategies' share of a \$50.0 million reserve for litigation and contingencies was \$9.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2015. Since the timing of any payment is uncertain, this has not been included in fee related earnings and distributable earnings in 2015 or 2016. See Note 11 of the consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for more information.

Fee-earning AUM as of and for each of the Three Years in the Period Ended December 31, 2016.

Fee-earning AUM is presented below for each period together with the components of change during each respective period.

The table below breaks out Fee-earning AUM by its respective components at each period.

	As of Dece		
	2016	2015	2014
	(Dollars in	millions)	
Global Market Strategies			
Components of Fee-earning AUM (1)			
Fee-earning AUM based on capital commitments	\$4,010	\$2,298	\$1,916
Fee-earning AUM based on invested capital	1,278	1,601	653
Fee-earning AUM based on collateral balances, at par	16,999	17,896	17,631
Fee-earning AUM based on net asset value	_	7,811	12,812
Fee-earning AUM based on other (2)	1,839	1,366	886
Total Fee-earning AUM	\$24,126	\$30,972	\$33,898
Weighted Average Management Fee Rates (3)			
All Funds, excluding CLOs	1.37 %	1.52 %	1.69 %

- For additional information concerning the components of Fee-earning AUM, see "—Fee-earning Assets under Management."
- (2) Includes funds with fees based on gross asset value.
- (3)

Represents the aggregate effective management fee rate for carry funds and hedge funds, weighted by each fund's Fee-earning AUM, as of the end of each period presented. Management fees for CLOs are based on the total par amount of the assets (collateral) and principal balance of the notes in the fund and are not calculated as a percentage of equity and are therefore not included.

The table below provides the period to period rollforward of Fee-earning AUM.

Twelve Months Ended
December 31,
2016 2015 2014
(Dollars in millions)

Global Market Strategies

Fee-earning AUM Rollforward

0				
Balance, Beginning of Period	\$30,972	\$33,898	\$33,411	
Acquisitions/(Divestments) (1)	(4,356)	_	_	
Inflows, including Fee-paying Commitments (2)	1,901	2,422	2	
Outflows, including Distributions (3)	(668)	(1,025)	(479)
Subscriptions, net of Redemptions (4)	(2,764)	(4,327)	767	
Changes in CLO collateral balances (5)	(714)	850	1,887	
Market Appreciation/(Depreciation) (6)	(475)	(674)	(1,548)
Foreign Exchange and other (7)	230	(172)	(142))
Balance, End of Period	\$24,126	\$30,972	\$33,898	

Divestment activity represents ESG assets which were transferred to the ESG founders in a transaction that closed in October 2016 and Claren Road assets which were transferred to the Claren Road founders in a transaction that closed in January 2017.

- (2) Inflows represent limited partner capital raised and capital invested by our carry funds outside the investment period.
- Outflows represent limited partner distributions from our carry funds, changes in fee basis for our carry funds where the investment period has expired, and reductions for funds that are no longer calling fees.
- (4) Represents the net result of subscriptions to and redemptions from our hedge funds and mutual fund.
- (5) Represents the change in the aggregate Fee-earning collateral balances and principal balances at par of our CLOs/structured products, as of the quarterly cut-off dates.
- (6) Market Appreciation/ (Depreciation) represents changes in the net asset value of our hedge funds and mutual fund. Represents the impact of foreign exchange rate fluctuations on the translation of our non-U.S. dollar denominated
- (7) funds and other changes in Total AUM. Activity during the period is translated at the average rate for the period. Ending balances are translated at the spot rate as of the period end.

Fee-earning AUM was \$24.1 billion at December 31, 2016, a decrease of \$6.9 billion, or 22%, compared to \$31.0 billion at December 31, 2015. This was primarily the result of the divestments of our ESG and Claren Road hedge fund businesses which were sold back to their founders in separate transactions which closed in October 2016 and January 2017, respectively, and resulted in a decline in Fee-earning AUM of \$4.4 billion. Also driving the decrease were net redemptions in our hedge funds of \$2.8 billion and decreases in our CLO collateral balances of \$0.7 billion. Partially offsetting this decrease was \$1.9 billion of inflows primarily related to new commitments raised in CSP IV and CEMOF II. Distributions from carry funds still in the investment period do not impact Fee-earning AUM as these funds are based on commitments and not invested capital.

Fee-earning AUM was \$31.0 billion at December 31, 2015, a decrease of \$2.9 billion, or 9%, compared to \$33.9 billion at December 31, 2014. This was driven by \$4.3 billion of net redemptions in our hedge funds, \$1.0 billion of net outflows including distributions, primarily due to the change in basis from commitments to invested equity in CSP III and our first energy mezzanine fund ("CEMOF I"), and \$0.7 billion in market depreciation. This was partially offset by \$2.4 billion of inflows, including commitments, primarily driven by new commitments in CEMOF II and a \$0.9 billion increase in the collateral balances of our CLO's.

Fee-earning AUM was \$33.9 billion at December 31, 2014, an increase of \$0.5 billion, or 1%, compared to \$33.4 billion at December 31, 2013. This was driven by a \$1.9 billion increase in the aggregate par value of our CLO collateral balances as a result of the eight new CLOs issued during the year and \$0.8 billion of subscriptions, net of redemptions in our hedge funds. These increases are offset by \$1.5 billion in market depreciation, primarily in our hedge funds and \$0.5 billion in distributions from our fully invested carry funds.

Total AUM as of and for each of the Three Years in the Period Ended December 31, 2016. The table below provides the period to period rollforwards of Available Capital and Fair Value of Capital, and the resulting rollforward of Total AUM.

	Capital	eFair Value of Capital in millions)	Total AU	M
Global Market Strategies				
Balance, As of December 31, 2013	\$1,458	\$ 34,019	\$ 35,477	
Acquisitions/(Divestments) (1)	_		_	
Commitments (2)	150		150	
Capital Called, net (3)	(566)	812	246	
Distributions (4)	474	(887)	(413)
Subscriptions, net of Redemptions (5)	_	924	924	
Changes in CLO collateral balances (6)	_	2,087	2,087	
Market Appreciation/(Depreciation) (7)	_	(1,237)	(1,237)
Foreign exchange and other (8)	(4)	(489)	(493)
Balance, As of December 31, 2014	\$1,512	\$ 35,229	\$ 36,741	
Acquisitions/(Divestments) (1)	_		_	
Commitments (2)	2,695		2,695	
Capital Called, net (3)	(668)	1,001	333	
Distributions (4)	186	(532)	(346)
Subscriptions, net of Redemptions (5)		(4,434)	(4,434)
Changes in CLO collateral balances (6)		1,602	1,602	
Market Appreciation/(Depreciation) (7)		(945)	(945)
Foreign exchange and other (8)	26	(417)	(391)
Balance, As of December 31, 2015	\$3,751	\$ 31,504	\$ 35,255	
Acquisitions/(Divestments) (1)		(4,707)	(4,707)
Commitments (2)	3,466		3,466	
Capital Called, net (3)	(620)	814	194	
Distributions (4)	177	(501)	(324)
Subscriptions, net of Redemptions (5)		(2,874)	(2,874)
Changes in CLO collateral balances (6)		(661)	(661)
Market Appreciation/(Depreciation) (7)		(1,046)	(1,046)
Foreign exchange and other (8)	_	96	96	
Balance, As of December 31, 2016 (9)	\$6,774	\$ 22,625	\$ 29,399	

Divestment activity represents ESG assets which were transferred to the ESG founders in a transaction that closed in October 2016 and Claren Road assets which were transferred to the Claren Road founders in a transaction that closed in January 2017.

- (2) Represents capital raised by our carry funds, net of expired available capital.
 - Represents capital called by our carry funds and business development companies, net of fund fees and expenses.
- (3) Equity invested amounts may vary from capital called due to timing differences between acquisition and capital call dates.
 - Represents distributions from our carry funds and business development companies, net of amounts recycled.
- (4) Distributions are based on when proceeds are actually distributed to investors, which may differ from when they are realized.
- (5) Represents the net result of subscriptions to and redemptions from our hedge funds and mutual fund.

(6)

Represents the change in the aggregate collateral balance and principal cash and principal notes at par of the CLOs/structured products.

(7) Market Appreciation/(Depreciation) represents realized and unrealized gains (losses) on portfolio investments and changes in the net asset value of our hedge funds and mutual fund.

Represents the impact of foreign exchange rate fluctuations on the translation of our non-U.S. dollar denominated (8) funds and other changes in AUM. Activity during the period is translated at the average rate for the period. Ending balances are translated at the spot rate as of the period end.

Ending balance is comprised of approximately \$18.6 billion from our structured credit/other structured product (9) funds, \$9.0 billion (including \$6.8 billion of Available Capital) in our carry funds, and \$1.8 billion from our business development companies.

Total AUM was \$29.4 billion at December 31, 2016, a decrease of \$5.9 billion, or 17%, compared to \$35.3 billion at December 31, 2015. This was primarily the result of the divestments of our ESG and Claren Road hedge fund businesses which were sold back to their founders in separate transactions which closed in October 2016 and January 2017, respectively, and resulted in a decline in Total AUM of \$4.7 billion. Also driving the decrease was \$2.9 billion in net redemptions from our hedge funds and market depreciation of \$1.0 billion. This depreciation represented a 11% (\$0.2 billion) decrease on our portfolio of carry funds for the period and declines in our hedge funds. Partially offsetting this decrease were new commitments of \$3.5 billion primarily in CSP IV and CEMOF II.

Total AUM was \$35.3 billion at December 31, 2015, a decrease of \$1.4 billion, or 4%, compared to \$36.7 billion at December 31, 2014. This decrease was primarily driven by \$4.4 billion in net redemptions from our hedge funds, \$0.9 billion of market depreciation, representing a 8% (\$0.2 billion) decrease on our portfolio of carry funds for the period and declines in our hedge funds, and \$0.4 billion of foreign exchange decreases in our Euro-denominated CLOs. Offsetting these decreases were \$2.7 billion of new commitments driven by fundraising in CEMOF II and \$1.6 billion increases in the par value of our CLO collateral balances.

Total AUM was \$36.7 billion at December 31, 2014, an increase of \$1.3 billion, or 4%, compared to \$35.5 billion at December 31, 2013. This increase was driven by (a) changes in the aggregate par value of our CLO collateral balances of \$2.1 billion, primarily due to eight new issue CLOs raised, and (b) commitments of approximately \$0.2 billion related to our carry funds and their related co-investments. These increases were partially offset by market depreciation of \$1.2 billion, representing declines in our hedge funds partially offset by a 20% (\$0.4 billion) increase on our portfolio of carry funds for the period, and distributions in our carry funds of \$0.9 billion, of which \$0.5 billion was recycled back into available capital. Increases due to subscriptions, net of redemptions, to our hedge funds of \$0.9 billion in 2014 are exclusive of approximately \$2.2 billion of net redemption notifications effective January 1, 2015. Fund Performance Metrics

Fund performance information for certain of our Global Market Strategies Funds is included throughout this discussion and analysis to facilitate an understanding of our results of operations for the periods presented. The fund return information reflected in this discussion and analysis is not indicative of the performance of The Carlyle Group L.P. and is also not necessarily indicative of the future performance of any particular fund. An investment in The Carlyle Group L.P. is not an investment in any of our funds. There can be no assurance that any of our funds or our other existing and future funds will achieve similar returns. See "Item 1A. Risk Factors — Risks Related to Our Business Operations — The historical returns attributable to our funds including those presented in this report should not be considered as indicative of the future results of our funds or of our future results or of any returns expected on an investment in our common units."

The following table reflects the performance of certain funds in our Global Market Strategies business. These tables separately present funds that, as of the periods presented, had at least \$1.0 billion in capital commitments, cumulative equity invested or total equity value. See "Business — Our Family of Funds" for a legend of the fund acronyms listed below.

		TOTAL 1	NVESTM	IENTS		
		As of Dec	cember 31	, 2016	Inception December	
		Cumulati	v E otal			
Fund Inception	Committed	Invested	Fair	MOIC (4)	Gross	Net IRR
Date (1)	Capital	Capital	Value	MOIC (4)	IRR (5)	(6)
	_	(2)	(3)			

Edgar Filing: Carlyle Group L.P. - Form 10-K

(Reported in Local Currency, in Millions)

CSP II	6/2007	\$ 1,352.3	\$1,352.3	\$2,447.2	1.8x	17	%	11	%
CSP III	8/2011	\$ 702.8	\$690.0	\$1,064.9	1.5x	33%		21%	
CSP IV (7)	3/2016	\$ 2,216.7	\$202.4	\$209.1	1.0x	NM		NM	
CEMOF I	12/2010	\$ 1,382.5	\$1,241.4	\$1,110.0	0.9x	(6)%	(11)%
CEMOF II (7)	2/2015	\$ 2,819.2	\$202.1	\$217.8	1.1x	NM		NM	

The data presented herein that provides "inception to date" performance results for CSP II, CSP III, CSP IV, CEMOF

- (1) I, and CEMOF II related to the period following the formation of the funds in June 2007, August 2011, March 2016, December 2010, and February 2015, respectively.
- (2) Represents the original cost of all capital called for investments since inception of the fund.
- (3) Represents all realized proceeds combined with remaining fair value, before management fees, expenses and carried interest.
- (4) Multiple of invested capital ("MOIC") represents total fair value, before management fees, expenses and carried interest, divided by cumulative invested capital.
 - Gross Internal Rate of Return ("Gross IRR") represents the annualized IRR for the period indicated on Limited
- (5) Partner invested capital based on contributions, distributions and unrealized value before management fees, expenses and carried interest.
 - Net Internal Rate of Return ("Net IRR") represents the annualized IRR for the period indicated on Limited Partner
- (6) invested capital based on contributions, distributions and unrealized value after management fees, expenses and carried interest.
- (7) Returns are not considered meaningful, as the investment period commenced in February 2015 for CEMOF II and March 2016 for CSP IV.

	Remaini	_	Total	%		In Accrued	LTM	Catch	n Fee	_	er@riginal
	Fair	Unrealized	MOIC	Inve	ste	Carry/	Realized	up	Initiation		Investment
	Value	MOIC (2)	(3)	(4)		(Giveback)	Carry (6)	•	Date (7)	Fee	Period End
	(1)		(3)	(1)		(5)	curry (o)	ruic	Bute (1)	Initiatidate	
	As of Do	ecember 31,	2016								
Global Market	Strategies	S									
CEMOF I	\$567.4	0.5x	0.9x	90	%			100%	Dec-10	25	Dec-15
CSP III	\$529.1	1.2x	1.5x	98	%	X		80 %	Dec-11	21	Aug-15
CEMOF II	\$211.2	1.0x	1.1x	7	%			100%	Dec-15	5	Feb-20
CSP II	\$152.2	0.9x	1.8x	100	%	X		80 %	Dec-07	37	Jun-11
All Other Fund	s \$306.6	0.9x	1.3x			NM	NM				
Co-investment and Other (9)	\$471.3	0.7x	0.9x			NM	NM				
Total Global											
Market	\$2,237.8	30.8x	1.3x								
Strategies											

- (1) Net asset value of our carry funds. Reflects significant funds with remaining fair value of greater than \$100 million.
- (2) Unrealized multiple of invested capital ("MOIC") represents remaining fair market value, before management fees, expenses and carried interest, divided by investment cost.
 - Total MOIC represents total fair value, before management fees, expenses and carried interest, divided by
- cumulative invested capital. For certain funds, represents the original cost of investments net of investment-level recallable proceeds, which is adjusted to reflect recyclability of invested capital for the purpose of calculating the fund MOIC.
- Represents cumulative equity invested as of the reporting period divided by total commitments. Amount can be greater than 100% due to the re-investment of recallable distributions to fund investors.
- (5) Fund has accrued carry/(giveback) as of the reporting period.
- (6) Fund has realized carry in the last twelve months.
- (7) Represents the date of the first capital contribution for management fees.

- Aggregate includes the following funds: CSP I, CSP IV, CMP I, CMP II, and CASCOF. In Accrued
- (8) Carry/(Giveback) and LTM Realized Carry not indicated because the indicator does not apply to each fund within the aggregate.
 - Includes co-investments, prefund investments and certain other stand-alone investments arranged by us. In
- (9) Accrued Carry/(Giveback) and LTM Realized Carry not indicated because the indicator does not apply to each fund within the aggregate.

Investment Solutions

In February 2016, we decided to restructure our Investment Solutions segment to focus on private market secondaries, primary fund investments, co-investment and managed account activities and, given the challenging market environment, discontinue our fund of hedge funds and liquid alternative initiatives. As a result, the Partnership commenced a wind down of the operations of DGAM. See Note 11 to our consolidated financial statements for details of the impact of the restructuring.

The following table presents our results of operations for our Investment Solutions segment:

The following more presents our results of operation	Year En	Year Ended			
	Decemb				
	2016	2015	2014		
	(Dollars	in milli	ons)		
Segment Revenues					
Fund level fee revenues					
Fund management fees	\$140.3	\$153.9	\$181.4		
Portfolio advisory fees, net	0.8	_			
Total fund level fee revenues	141.1	153.9	181.4		
Performance fees					
Realized	65.6	24.1	42.9		
Unrealized	38.2	103.6	150.0		
Total performance fees	103.8	127.7	192.9		
Investment income (loss)					
Realized	0.1	0.1			
Unrealized	(0.3)	0.2	0.4		
Total investment income (loss)	(0.2)	0.3	0.4		
Interest income	0.4	0.2	0.2		
Other income	0.5	0.9	1.1		
Total revenues	245.6	283.0	376.0		
Segment Expenses					
Compensation and benefits					
Direct base compensation	66.8	82.3	85.8		
Indirect base compensation	13.7	13.0	13.6		
Equity-based compensation	6.4	12.4	4.8		
Performance fee related					
Realized	63.2	20.3	30.9		
Unrealized	27.6	94.8	145.0		
Total compensation and benefits	177.7	222.8	280.1		
General, administrative, and other indirect expenses	34.5	46.0	41.9		
Depreciation and amortization expense	3.3	3.8	3.8		
Interest expense	5.8	5.9	5.5		
Total expenses	221.3	278.5	331.3		
Economic Net Income	\$24.3	\$4.5	\$44.7		
(-) Net Performance Fees	13.0	12.6	17.0		
(-) Investment Income (Loss)	(0.2)	0.3	0.4		
(+) Equity-based Compensation	6.4	12.4	4.8		
(+) Reserve for Litigation and Contingencies		5.0			
(=) Fee Related Earnings	\$17.9	\$9.0	\$32.1		
(+) Realized Net Performance Fees	2.4	3.8	12.0		
(+) Realized Investment Income	0.1	0.1			

(=) Distributable Earnings

\$20.4 \$12.9 \$44.1

Year Ended December 31, 2016 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2015 and Year Ended December 31, 2015 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2014

Distributable Earnings

Distributable earnings increased \$7.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to 2015, and decreased \$31.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014. The following table provides the components of the change in distributable earnings for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015:

	2016	mber 31, 2015
	(Dolla	ars in
	Millio	ons)
Distributable earnings, prior year	\$12.9	\$44.1
Increases (decreases):		
Decrease in realized net performance fees	(1.4)(8.2)
Increase in realized investment income		0.1
Increase (decrease) in fee related earnings	8.9	(23.1)
Total increase (decrease)	7.5	(31.2)
Distributable earnings, current year	\$20.4	\$12.9

Realized Net Performance Fees. Realized net performance fees decreased \$1.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to 2015, and decreased \$8.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014. Substantially all of the realized net performance fees were from the AlpInvest carry fund vehicles for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014.

Fee Related Earnings

Fee related earnings increased \$8.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to 2015, and decreased \$23.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014. The following table provides the components of the change in fee related earnings for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015:

	Year Ended	
	Decen	nber 31,
	2016	2015
	(Dolla	rs in
	Millio	ns)
Fee related earnings, prior year	\$9.0	\$32.1
Increases (decreases):		
Decrease in fee revenues	(12.8)	(27.5)
Decrease in direct and indirect base compensation	14.8	4.1
Decrease in general, administrative and other	6.5	0.9
expenses		
All other changes	0.4	(0.6)
Total increase (decrease)	8.9	(23.1)
Fee related earnings, current year	\$17.9	\$9.0

Fee Revenues. Total fee revenues decreased \$12.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to 2015, primarily due to the wind-down of DGAM and the related redemptions from its fund of hedge funds, which decreased our fee revenues by \$10.9 million in 2016.

Total fee revenues decreased \$27.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014, primarily due to the impact on management fees of the decline in the Euro exchange rate in 2015 as compared to 2014. Also contributing to the decrease in management fees was distributions from fund vehicles outside the commitment fee period or weighted-average

investment period, as well as changes in fee basis for fund vehicles where the commitment fee period or weighted-average investment period has expired.

Direct and indirect compensation expense. Direct and indirect compensation expense decreased \$14.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to 2015, primarily due to lower headcount in 2016 resulting from the wind-down of DGAM, partially offset by higher compensation associated with fundraising activities of \$4.1 million in 2016 versus 2015.

Direct and indirect base compensation expense decreased \$4.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014, primarily due to the decline in the Euro exchange rate in 2015 as compared to 2014 as well as lower headcount in 2015.

General, administrative and other indirect expenses. General, administrative and other indirect expenses decreased \$6.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to 2015, primarily due to lower professional fees, information technology and real estate costs, partially offset by lower foreign currency gains in 2016 as compared to 2015.

General, administrative and other indirect expenses decreased \$0.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014, primarily due to lower professional fees and positive foreign currency adjustments due to the decline in the Euro exchange rate in 2015. These decreases were partially offset by higher real estate costs. Economic Net Income

Economic net income increased \$19.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to 2015 and decreased \$40.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 2014. The following table provides a rollforward of economic net income for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015:

	Year Ended		
	Decen	nber 31,	
	2016	2015	
	(Dolla	rs in	
	Millio	ns)	
Economic net income, prior year	\$4.5	\$44.7	
Increases (decreases):			
Increase (decrease) in net performance fees	0.4	(4.4)	
Decrease in investment income	(0.5))(0.1)	
Decrease (increase) in equity-based compensation	6.0	(7.6)	
Increase (decrease) in fee related earnings	8.9	(23.1)	
Decrease (increase) in reserve for litigation and	5.0	(5.0.)	
contingencies	5.0	(5.0)	
Total increase (decrease)	19.8	(40.2)	
Economic net income, current year	\$24.3	\$4.5	

Performance Fees. Performance fees (realized and unrealized) for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015, and 2014 are from the following types of funds:

	Performance Fees				
	Year Ended December 31,				
	2016	2015	2014		
	(Dollars in millions)				
Private equity fund vehicles	\$103.3	\$125.6	\$185.7		
Fund of hedge fund vehicles	_	0.4	7.2		
Real estate fund vehicles	0.5	1.7			
Total performance fees	\$ 103.8	\$127.7	\$192.9		

Under our arrangements with the historical owners and management team of AlpInvest, we generally do not retain any carried interest in respect of the historical investments and commitments to our AlpInvest fund vehicles that existed as of July 1, 2011 (including any options to increase any such commitments exercised after such date). We are entitled to 15% of the carried interest in respect of commitments from the historical owners of AlpInvest for the period between 2011 and 2020 and 40% of the carried interest in respect of all other commitments (including all future commitments from third parties).

The decrease in performance fees in 2016 as compared to 2015 is primarily due to lower appreciation in our carry funds in 2016. Our carry funds appreciated 12% in 2016 as compared to 23% in 2015.

The decrease in performance fees in 2015 as compared to 2014 is primarily due to lower appreciation in our carry funds in 2015 and the continued strengthening of the U.S. dollar to the Euro in 2015. Our carry funds appreciated 23% in 2015 as compared to 26% in 2014 while the Euro fell approximately 10% relative to the U.S. dollar, further decreasing the performance fees earned in 2015 relative to 2014.

The \$103.8 million of performance fees for the year ended December 31, 2016 was driven primarily by performance fees recognized from the following funds:

- •Co-investment Fund & Secondaries Fund (2012-2013) of \$19.2 million,
- •Co-investment Fund & Secondaries Fund (2009-2010) of \$16.0 million,
- •Co-investment Fund & Secondaries Fund (2014-2015) of \$10.8 million, and
- •Co-investment Fund & Secondaries Fund (2010) of \$5.8 million.

The \$127.7 million of performance fees for the year ended December 31, 2015 was driven primarily by performance fees recognized from the following funds:

- •Co-investment Fund & Secondaries Fund (2012-2013) of \$27.1 million,
- •Co-investment Fund & Secondaries Fund (2009-2010) of \$22.6 million,
- •Partnership Fund (2008) of \$12.2 million, and
- •Co-investment Fund & Secondaries Fund (2011-2013) of \$11.8 million.

The \$192.9 million of performance fees for the year ended December 31, 2014 was driven primarily by performance fees recognized from the following funds:

- •Co-investment Fund & Secondaries Fund (2012-2013) of \$32.9 million,
- •Co-investment Fund & Secondaries Fund (2009-2010) of \$24.3 million,
- •Partnership Fund (2007) of \$14.5 million, and
- •Partnership Fund (2008) of \$13.8 million.

Performance fees of \$103.8 million, \$127.7 million, and \$192.9 million are inclusive of performance fees reversed of approximately \$2.4 million, \$11.5 million and \$0.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

The appreciation in remaining value of our Investment Solutions carry funds for this segment are as follows:

Year Ended December 31.

201620152014

Carry funds 12% 23% 26%

Note: Annual appreciation presented is a weighted average blend of the remaining investments in the respective carry funds within Investment Solutions. The private equity fund of funds will generally have lower expected performance than the co-investment and secondaries funds.

Net performance fees for the year ended December 31, 2016 were \$13.0 million, representing an increase of \$0.4 million from \$12.6 million in net performance fees for the year ended December 31, 2015. Net performance fees for the year ended December 31, 2015 were \$12.6 million, representing a decrease of \$4.4 million from \$17.0 million in net performance fees for the year ended December 31, 2014.

Equity-based Compensation. Equity-based compensation was \$6.4 million, \$12.4 million, and \$4.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015, and 2014, respectively. The decrease from 2015 to 2016 is primarily due to lower headcount in 2016, partially offset by the ongoing granting of deferred restricted common units to employees during 2015 and 2016. The increase from 2014 to 2015 is primarily due to the ongoing granting of deferred restricted common units to new and existing employees during 2014, 2015 and 2016, and a decrease in the estimated forfeiture rates during 2015.

Reserve for Litigation and Contingencies. Investment Solutions' share of a \$50.0 million reserve for litigation and contingencies was \$5.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2015. Since the timing of any payment is uncertain, this has not been included in fee related earnings and distributable earnings in 2015 or 2016. See Note 11 of the consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for more information.

Fee-earning AUM as of and for each of the Three Years Ended December 31, 2016.

Fee-earning AUM is presented below for each period together with the components of change during each respective period.

The table below breaks out Fee-earning AUM by its respective components during the period.

	As of December 31,			
	2016	2015	2014	
	(Dollars	in millio	ns)	
Investment Solutions				
Components of Fee-earning AUM (1)				
Fee-earning AUM based on capital commitments	\$9,047	\$7,421	\$9,669	
Fee-earning AUM based on invested capital (2)	1,443	1,222	1,307	
Fee-earning AUM based on net asset value	692	1,823	2,072	
Fee-earning AUM based on lower of cost or fair market value	15,872	17,725	20,034	

\$27,054 \$28,191 \$33,082

- (1) For additional information concerning the components of Fee-earning AUM, see "—Fee-earning Assets under Management."
- (2) Includes amounts committed to or reserved for certain AlpInvest and Metropolitan carry funds.

Twelve Months Ended December 31. 2016 2015 2014 (Dollars in millions)

Investment Solutions

Total Fee-earning AUM

Fee-earning AUM Rollforward

Balance, Beginning of Period	\$28,191	\$33,082	\$35,067
Acquisitions	_	_	2,894
Inflows, including Commitments (1)	7,213	5,677	5,941
Outflows, including Distributions (2)	(6,150)	(7,406)	(6,271)
Subscriptions, net of Redemptions (3)	(2,166)	(201)	(1,044)
Market Appreciation/(Depreciation) (4)	594	(205)	399
Foreign Exchange and other (5)	(628)	(2,756)	(3,904)
Balance, End of Period	\$27,054	\$28,191	\$33,082

- (1) Inflows represent mandates where commitment fee period was activated and capital invested by carry fund vehicles outside the commitment fee period or weighted-average investment period.
- (2) Outflows represent distributions from carry fund vehicles outside the commitment fee period or weighted-average investment period and changes in fee basis for carry fund vehicles where the commitment fee period or

weighted-average investment period has expired.

- (3) Represents subscriptions and redemptions in our fund of hedge funds vehicles.
- Market Appreciation/(Depreciation) represents changes in the net asset value of our fund of hedge funds vehicles and realized and unrealized gains (losses) on our carry fund vehicles based on the lower of cost or fair value.

Represents the impact of foreign exchange rate fluctuations on the translation of our non-U.S. dollar denominated (5) funds. Activity during the period is translated at the average rate for the period. Ending balances are translated at the spot rate as of the period end.

Fee-earning AUM was \$27.1 billion at December 31, 2016, a decrease of \$1.1 billion, or 4%, compared to \$28.2 billion at December 31, 2015. This decrease was driven by outflows of \$6.2 billion which were primarily due to distributions in the AlpInvest and Metropolitan funds outside of their commitment or weighted-average investment periods, in addition to the reduction in basis from commitments to invested equity for those funds that reached the end of their commitment period. Also driving the decrease was \$2.2 billion of net redemptions, and \$0.6 billion in foreign exchange attributable to the translation of our AlpInvest Fee-earning AUM from Euro to USD. Partially offsetting the decrease were inflows of \$7.2 billion primarily from fundraising efforts in our AlpInvest Primary and Secondaries product lines and market appreciation of \$0.6 billion in our AlpInvest and Metropolitan carry funds. Distributions from funds still in the commitment or weighted-average investment period do not impact Fee-earning AUM as these funds are based on commitments and not invested capital. Increases in fair value may have an impact on Fee-earning AUM for Investment Solutions as the management fees for many fully committed funds are based on fair value or on the lower of cost or fair value of the underlying investments. We expect to see further declines in Fee-earning AUM over the upcoming years as legacy commitments from former owners run off. However, we expect to continue to raise new commitments, as we did in 2016, at market fee rates which are higher than the rates earned on the legacy commitments.

Fee-earning AUM was \$28.2 billion at December 31, 2015, a decrease of \$4.9 billion, or 15%, compared to \$33.1 billion at December 31, 2014. This decrease was driven by outflows of \$7.4 billion which were primarily due to distributions in the AlpInvest and Metropolitan funds outside of their commitment or weighted-average investment periods, in addition to the reduction in basis from commitments to invested equity for those funds that reached the end of their commitment period. Also driving the decrease was \$2.8 billion in foreign exchange attributable to the translation of our AlpInvest fee-earning AUM from Euro to USD. Partially offsetting the decrease were inflows of \$5.7 billion in our AlpInvest and Metropolitan funds. Distributions from funds still in the commitment or weighted-average investment period do not impact Fee-earning AUM as these funds are based on commitments and not invested capital.

Fee-earning AUM was \$33.1 billion at December 31, 2014, a decrease of \$2.0 billion, or 6%, compared to \$35.1 billion at December 31, 2013. Outflows of \$6.3 billion were primarily due to distributions in the AlpInvest and Metropolitan funds outside of their commitment or weighted-average investment periods, in addition to the reduction in basis from commitments to invested equity for those funds that reached the end of their commitment period. Distributions from funds still in the commitment fee period do not impact Fee-earning AUM as these funds are based on commitments and not invested capital. In addition, there was \$3.8 billion in foreign exchange loss on our AlpInvest funds due to the decreasing value of the Euro in the translation to U.S. dollars as of the end of the period. Offsetting this decrease was the acquisition of DGAM in February 2014, with Fee-earning AUM of \$2.9 billion and its subsequent net redemptions of \$1.0 billion during the year. Inflows of \$5.9 billion were primarily related to the initiation of fees on several 2014 mandates that made their first investment during the year and do not include approximately \$3.3 billion of commitments raised in 2014 which have not yet activated their fees. Inflows also include amounts invested by funds outside of their commitment fee period that are based on the lower of cost or fair value of the underlying investments.

Total AUM as of and for each of the Three Years Ended December 31, 2016.

The table below provides the period to period rollforwards of Available Capital and Fair Value of Capital, and the resulting rollforward of Total AUM.

	Available	Fair Value of Capital Capital	Total AU	M
	(Dollars i	n millions)		
Investment Solutions				
Balance, As of December 31, 2013	\$17,063	\$ 32,741	\$ 49,804	
Acquisitions	_	2,993	2,993	
Commitments (1)	4,605		4,605	
Capital Called, net (2)	(4,857)	4,487	(370)
Distributions (3)	428	(9,903)	(9,475)
Subscriptions, net of Redemptions (4)	_	(1,084)	(1,084)
Market Appreciation/(Depreciation) (5)	· —	9,557	9,557	
Foreign Exchange and other (6)	(1,033)	(4,228)	(5,261)
Balance, As of December 31, 2014	\$16,206	\$ 34,563	\$ 50,769	
Commitments (1)	3,171		3,171	
Capital Called, net (2)	(4,908)	4,572	(336)
Distributions (3)	379	(11,427)	(11,048)
Subscriptions, net of Redemptions (4)	_	(188)	(188)
Market Appreciation/(Depreciation) (5)		7,716	7,716	
Foreign Exchange and other (6)	(655)	(3,224)	(3,879)
Balance, As of December 31, 2015	\$14,193	\$ 32,012	\$ 46,205	
Commitments (1)	3,945		3,945	
Capital Called, net (2)	(4,153)	3,834	(319)
Distributions (3)	412	(9,046)	(8,634)
Subscriptions, net of Redemptions (4)	_	(1,792)	(1,792)
Market Appreciation/(Depreciation) (5)	· —	4,650	4,650	
Foreign Exchange and other (6)	(103)	(860)	(963)
Balance, As of December 31, 2016	\$14,294	\$ 28,798	\$ 43,092	

- (1) Represents capital raised by our carry fund vehicles, including activation of new mandates, net of expired available capital.
- (2) Represents capital called by our carry fund vehicles, net of fund fees and expenses.
- (3) Represents distributions from our carry fund vehicles, net of amounts recycled.
- (4) Represents the net result of subscriptions to and redemptions from our fund of hedge funds vehicles.

 Market Appreciation/(Depreciation) represents changes in the net asset value of our fund of hedge funds vehicles and realized and unrealized gains (losses) on fund investments, secondary investments, co-investments, and real
- (5) estate fund vehicles. Fair market values for carry fund vehicles are based on the latest available valuations of the underlying limited partnership interests (in most cases as of September 30, 2016) as provided by their general partners, plus the net cash flows since the latest valuation, up to December 31, 2016.
- Represents the impact of foreign exchange rate fluctuations on the translation of our non-U.S. dollar denominated (6) funds. Activity during the period is translated at the average rate for the period. Ending balances are translated at the spot rate as of the period end.

Total AUM was \$43.1 billion as of December 31, 2016, a decrease of \$3.1 billion, or 7%, compared to \$46.2 billion as of December 31, 2015. This decrease was driven by \$9.0 billion in distributions, of which \$0.4 billion is recallable, primarily in our AlpInvest funds and \$1.8 billion in net redemptions. Partially offsetting these decreases was \$3.9 billion of new commitments primarily in our AlpInvest Primary and Secondaries product lines and \$4.7 billion of

market appreciation. Market appreciation was driven by 12% appreciation in our AlpInvest funds and 4% appreciation in our MRE funds.

Total AUM was \$46.2 billion as of December 31, 2015, a decrease of \$4.6 billion, or 9%, compared to \$50.8 billion as of December 31, 2014. This decrease was driven by \$11.4 billion in distributions, of which \$0.4 billion is recallable, and \$3.9 billion of decreases in foreign exchange, both primarily in our AlpInvest funds. Offsetting these decreases was \$3.2 billion of

new commitments primarily in our AlpInvest and Metropolitan funds and \$7.7 billion of market appreciation. Market appreciation was driven by appreciation of \$7.6 billion in our AlpInvest funds, \$0.1 billion in our Metropolitan funds, and \$0.1 billion of depreciation in our DGAM fund of hedge funds vehicles.

Total AUM was \$50.8 billion as of December 31, 2014, an increase of \$1.0 billion, or 2%, compared to \$49.8 billion as of December 31, 2013. This increase was primarily driven by (a) market appreciation of \$9.6 billion, due to appreciation of \$9.0 billion in our AlpInvest funds, \$0.3 billion of appreciation in our Metropolitan funds, and \$0.2 billion of appreciation in our DGAM fund of hedge funds vehicles, (b) activation of new mandates at AlpInvest and new commitments to Metropolitan during the year of approximately \$4.6 billion, and (c) \$3.0 billion from the DGAM acquisition. This was offset by (a) distributions of approximately \$9.5 billion in the quarter, net of amounts recycled, (b) loss on the translation to U.S. dollars for our Euro-denominated funds of \$5.3 billion, and (c) net redemptions of \$1.1 billion in our fund of hedge funds vehicles.

Fund Performance Metrics

Fund performance information for our investment funds that have at least \$1.0 billion in capital commitments, cumulative equity invested or total value as of December 31, 2016, which we refer to as our "significant funds," is generally included throughout this discussion and analysis to facilitate an understanding of our results of operations for the periods presented. The fund return information reflected in this discussion and analysis is not indicative of the performance of The Carlyle Group L.P. and is also not necessarily indicative of the future performance of any particular fund. An investment in The Carlyle Group L.P. is not an investment in any of our funds. There can be no assurance that any of our funds or our other existing and future funds will achieve similar returns. See "Item 1A. Risk Factors—Risks Related to Our Business Operations—The historical returns attributable to our funds, including those presented in this report, should not be considered as indicative of the future results of our funds or of our future results or of any returns expected on an investment in our common units."

The following tables reflect the performance of our significant funds in our Investment Solutions business.

TOTAL INVESTMENTS as of December 31, 2016 Cumulative Vintage Fund Size Invested Gross Net IRR Total Fair MOIC (4) IRR (6) (7) Year Capital Value (3)(8) (2)(8)(Reported in Local Currency, in Millions) Investment Solutions (1) Fully Committed Funds (5) Main Fund I - Fund Investments 2000 €,174.6 €4,533.2 €7,442.4 1.6x 12 % 11 % €5,126.2 €8,010.0 % 9 Main Fund II - Fund Investments 2003 €,545.0 1.6x10 % Main Fund III - Fund Investments 2005 **€**1,500.0 €13,563.9 €21,008.2 1.5x 10 % 9 % % 14 Main Fund IV - Fund Investments 2009 €,877.3 €4,962.6 €7,178.8 1.4x15 % % 8 Main Fund V - Fund Investments €,080.0 €3,103.4 €3,541.9 9 2012 1.1x% €506.1 59 % 56 Main Fund I - Secondary Investments 2002 €19.4 € 962.7 1.9x% 2003 Main Fund II - Secondary Investments €98.4 €1,075.2 €1,959.0 1.8x27 % 26 % Main Fund III - Secondary Investments % 10 2006 €,250.0 €2,483.5 €3,673.5 1.5x 10 % Main Fund IV - Secondary Investments % 18 2010 €,859.1 €2,029.9 €3,318.3 1.6x19 % Main Fund V - Secondary Investments 2011 **€**,272.8 €3,748.4 €5,124.8 1.4x21 % 19 % Main Fund II - Co-Investments €948.5 % 41 2003 €,090.0 € 2,605.3 2.7x44 % Main Fund III - Co-Investments 2006 €,760.0 €3,011.2 €4,023.5 1.3x5 % 4 % Main Fund IV - Co-Investments 2.5x 25 % 23 2010 €,475.0 €1,437.1 € 3,611.4 % Main Fund V - Co-Investments 2012 **€**,122.2 €1,094.8 €2,288.5 2.1x35 % 32 % Main Fund II - Mezzanine Investments 2004 7 % 7 €00.0 €807.0 € 1,090.3 1.4x% Main Fund III - Mezzanine Investments 2006 €,000.0 €2,103.2 €2,800.4 1.3x10 % 9 % All Other Funds (9) Various €2,033.2 €2,754.9 1.4x14 % 11 % **Total Fully Committed Funds** €52,567.2 €81,393.9 1.5x 13 % 12 % Funds in the Commitment Period (5) €196.6 0.9xMain Fund VI - Fund Investments (10) 2015 €,106.4 € 184.8 NM NM Main Fund VI - Secondary Investments (10) 2016 €,280.9 €14.4 € 14.3 1.0xNM NM Main Fund VI - Co-Investments 2014 €811.2 € 986.9 1.2x19 % 15 €,115.0 % All Other Funds (9) 17 Various €489.3 € 581.8 1.2x% 13 %

Includes private equity and mezzanine primary fund investments, secondary fund investments and co-investments originated by the AlpInvest team. Excluded from the performance information shown are a) investments that were

€1,511.5 €1,767.9

€54,078.7 €83,161.8

\$56,955.0 \$87,584.8

1.2x

1.5x

1.5x

17

13

% 12

% 12

%

%

(5)

Total Funds in the Commitment Period

TOTAL INVESTMENT SOLUTIONS (USD) (11)

TOTAL ALPINVEST

⁽¹⁾ not originated by AlpInvest, b) Direct Investments, which was spun off from AlpInvest in 2005, and c) Metropolitan Real Estate vehicles. As of December 31, 2016, these excluded investments represent \$0.3 billion of AUM at AlpInvest and \$1.7 billion of AUM at Metropolitan.

⁽²⁾ Represents the original cost of all capital called for investments since inception of the fund.

Represents all realized proceeds combined with remaining fair value, before management fees, expenses and carried interest.

⁽⁴⁾ Multiple of invested capital ("MOIC") represents total fair value, before management fees, expenses and carried interest, divided by cumulative invested capital.

Fully Committed funds are past the expiration date of the commitment period as defined in the respective limited partnership agreement.

Gross Internal Rate of Return ("Gross IRR") represents the annualized IRR for the period indicated on Limited (6) Partner invested capital based on contributions, distributions and unrealized value before management fees, expenses and carried interest.

- Net Internal Rate of Return ("Net IRR") represents the annualized IRR for the period indicated on Limited Partner (7) invested capital based on contributions, distributions and unrealized value after management fees, expenses and carried interest.
- (8) To exclude the impact of FX, all foreign currency cash flows have been converted to EUR at the reporting period spot rate.
 - Aggregate includes Main Fund VII Fund Investments, Main Fund I Co-Investments, Main Fund VII -
- (9) Co-Investments, Main Fund I Mezzanine Investments, Main Fund IV Mezzanine Investments, Main Fund V Mezzanine Investments, AlpInvest CleanTech Funds and funds which are not included as part of a main fund.
- Returns are not considered meaningful as the commitment period commenced in 2015 for Main Fund VI Fund Investments and in 2016 for Main Fund VI Secondary Investments.
- (11) Represents the U.S. dollar equivalent balance translated at the spot rate as of period end.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Historical Liquidity and Capital Resources

We have historically required limited capital resources to support the working capital and operating needs of our business. Our management fees have largely covered our operating costs and all realized performance fees, after covering the related compensation, are available for distribution to equityholders. Historically, approximately 95% of all capital commitments to our funds have been provided by our fund investors, with the remaining amount typically funded by our senior Carlyle professionals, advisors and other professionals.

Our Sources of Liquidity

We have multiple sources of liquidity to meet our capital needs, including cash on hand, annual cash flows, accumulated earnings and funds from our senior credit facility, including a term loan facility and a revolving credit facility with \$750.0 million available as of December 31, 2016. We believe these sources will be sufficient to fund our capital needs for at least the next twelve months. If we determine that market conditions are favorable after taking into account our liquidity requirements, including the amounts available under our senior credit facility, we may seek to issue and sell common units in a registered public offering or a privately negotiated transaction, or we may issue additional senior notes, other debt or preferred equity.

Cash and cash equivalents. Cash and cash equivalents were approximately \$0.7 billion at December 31, 2016. However, a portion of this cash is allocated for specific business purposes, including, but not limited to, (i) performance fee-related cash that has been received but not yet distributed as performance fee related compensation and amounts owed to non-controlling interests; (ii) proceeds received from realized investments that are allocable to non-controlling interests; and (iii) regulatory capital.

Corporate Treasury Investments. Corporate treasury investments were approximately \$190.2 million at December 31, 2016. These investments represent investments in U.S. Treasury and government agency obligations, commercial paper, certificates of deposit, other investment grade securities and other investments with original maturities of greater than three months when purchased.

After deducting cash amounts allocated to the specific requirements mentioned above, the remaining cash and cash equivalents, including corporate treasury investments, is approximately \$685 million as of December 31, 2016. This remaining amount will be used towards our primary liquidity needs, as outlined in the next section. This amount does not take into consideration ordinary course of business payables and reserves for specific business purposes.

Senior Credit Facility. The senior credit facility includes \$25.0 million in a term loan and \$750.0 million in a revolving credit facility. The term loan and revolving credit facility mature on May 5, 2020. Principal amounts outstanding under the amended term loan and revolving credit facility accrue interest, at the option of the borrowers, either (a) at an alternate base rate plus an applicable margin not to exceed 0.75%, or (b) at LIBOR plus an applicable margin not to exceed 1.75% (1.74% at December 31, 2016).

The senior credit facility is unsecured. We are required to maintain management fee earning assets (as defined in the amended senior credit facility) of at least \$65.3 billion and a total leverage ratio of less than 3.0 to 1.0, in each case, tested on a quarterly basis. Non-compliance with any of the financial or non-financial covenants without cure or waiver would constitute

an event of default under the senior credit facility. An event of default resulting from a breach of certain financial or non-financial covenants may result, at the option of the lenders, in an acceleration of the principal and interest outstanding, and a termination of the revolving credit facility. The senior credit facility also contains other customary events of default, including defaults based on events of bankruptcy and insolvency, nonpayment of principal, interest or fees when due, breach of specified covenants, change in control and material inaccuracy of representations and warranties.

Our balance sheet at December 31, 2016 reflects \$25.0 million outstanding under our senior credit facility, comprised of \$25.0 million of term loan balance outstanding. No amount was outstanding under the revolving credit facility of our senior credit facility.

CLO Term Loans. For certain of our CLOs, the Partnership finances a portion of its investment in the CLOs through the proceeds received from term loans with financial institutions. The Partnership's outstanding CLO term loans consist of the following (Dollars in millions):

	Borrowing	Borrowing	Interest	
Formation Data	Outstanding	Outstanding Maturity Data (2)	Rate as of	
Formation Date	December 31,	December 31, Maturity Date (2)	December	
	2016	2015	31, 2016	
October 3, 2013	\$ 13.2 (1)	\$ 13.7 (1) September 28, 2018	1.75% (3)	
June 7, 2016	20.6	— July 15, 2027	2.68% (4)	
	\$ 33.8	\$ 13.7		

- (1) Original borrowing amount of €12.6 million.
- (2) Maturity date is earlier of date indicated or the date that the CLO is dissolved.
- (3) Incurs interest at EURIBOR plus 1.75%.
- (4) Incurs interest at the weighted average rate of the underlying senior notes. Interest income on the underlying collateral approximated the amount of interest expense and was not significant for the year ended December 31, 2016. The CLO term loans are secured by the Partnership's investments in the respective CLO, have a general unsecured interest in the Carlyle entity that manages the CLO, and does not have recourse to any other Carlyle entity.

In January 2017, the Partnership entered into a master credit agreement with a financial institution under which the financial institution expects to provide term loans to the Partnership for the Partnership to purchase eligible interests in CLOs. This master credit agreement is available to the Partnership to purchase up to \$100 million of eligible interests in up to five CLOs. This agreement will terminate at the earlier of the last closing of the fifth CLO term loan under this agreement and January 2020. Any term loan to be issued under this master credit agreement will be secured by the Partnership's investment in the respective CLO as well as any senior management fee and subordinated management fee payable by each CLO. Any term loan will bear interest at LIBOR plus a weighted average spread and an applicable margin. Interest will be due quarterly. As of the date of this filing, no term loans have been issued under this master credit agreement.

3.875% Senior Notes. In January 2013, Carlyle Holdings Finance L.L.C., an indirect finance subsidiary of the Partnership issued \$500.0 million of 3.875% senior notes due February 1, 2023 at 99.966% of par. Interest is payable semi-annually on February 1 and August 1, beginning August 1, 2013. The notes are unsecured and unsubordinated obligations of Carlyle Holdings Finance L.L.C. and are fully and unconditionally guaranteed, jointly and severally, by The Carlyle Group L.P. and each of the Carlyle Holdings partnerships. The indenture governing the notes contains customary covenants that, among other things, limit Carlyle Holdings Finance L.L.C. and the guarantors' ability, subject to certain exceptions, to incur indebtedness secured by liens on voting stock or profit participating equity interests of their subsidiaries or merge, consolidate or sell, transfer or lease assets. The notes also contain customary events of default. All or a portion of the notes may be redeemed at our option, in whole or in part, at any time and from time to time, prior to their stated maturity, at the make-whole redemption price set forth in the notes. If a change of control repurchase event occurs, the notes are subject to repurchase at the repurchase price as set forth in the notes.

5.625% Senior Notes. In March 2013, Carlyle Holdings II Finance L.L.C., an indirect finance subsidiary of the Partnership, issued \$400.0 million of 5.625% Senior Notes due March 30, 2043 at 99.583% of par. Interest is payable semi-annually on March 30 and September 30, beginning September 30, 2013. The notes are unsecured and unsubordinated obligations of Carlyle Holdings Finance II L.L.C. and are fully and unconditionally guaranteed, jointly and severally, by The Carlyle Group L.P. and each of the Carlyle Holdings partnerships. The indenture governing the notes contains customary covenants and financial restrictions that, among other things, limit Carlyle Holdings Finance II L.L.C. and the guarantors' ability, subject to certain exceptions, to incur indebtedness secured by liens on voting stock or profit participating equity

interests of their subsidiaries or merge, consolidate or sell, transfer or lease assets. The notes also contain customary events of default. All or a portion of the notes may be redeemed at our option, in whole or in part, at any time and from time to time, prior to their stated maturity, at the make-whole redemption price set forth in the notes. If a change of control repurchase event occurs, the notes are subject to repurchase at the repurchase price as set forth in the notes. In March 2014, Carlyle Holdings II Finance L.L.C. issued \$200.0 million of 5.625% Senior Notes due March 30, 2043 at 104.315% of par. These notes were issued as additional 5.625% Senior Notes due March 30, 2043 and will be treated as a single class with the already outstanding \$400.0 million aggregate principal amount of these senior notes. Promissory Note. On January 1, 2016, the Partnership issued a \$120.0 million promissory note to BNRI as a result of a contingent consideration arrangement entered into in 2012 between the Partnership and BNRI as part of the Partnership's strategic investment in NGP (see Note 6 to the consolidated financial statements). Interest on the promissory note accrues at the three month LIBOR plus 2.50% (3.50% at December 31, 2016). The Partnership may prepay the promissory note in whole or in part at any time without penalty. The promissory note is scheduled to mature on January 1, 2022. In December 2016, the Partnership repurchased \$11.2 million of the promissory note. See Note 6 to the consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for more information on the promissory note buyback.

Obligations of CLOs. Loans payable of the Consolidated Funds represent amounts due to holders of debt securities issued by the CLOs. We are not liable for any loans payable of the CLOs. Several of the CLOs issued preferred shares representing the most subordinated interest, however these tranches are mandatorily redeemable upon the maturity dates of the senior secured loans payable, and as a result have been classified as liabilities under U.S. GAAP, and are included in loans payable of Consolidated Funds in our consolidated balance sheets.

Loans payable of the CLOs are collateralized by the assets held by the CLOs and the assets of one CLO may not be used to satisfy the liabilities of another. This collateral consists of cash and cash equivalents, corporate loans, corporate bonds and other securities.

Loans Payable of a Consolidated Real Estate VIE. Most of Urbplan's customer and other receivables and investments have been pledged as collateral for the loans. As of December 31, 2016, substantially all of the loans payable of Urbplan are not in compliance with their related debt covenants or are otherwise in technical default. These violations do not cause a default or event of default under the Partnership's senior credit facility or senior notes. Urbplan management is in discussions with the lenders to cure or re-negotiate the loans in default. Currently there are no outstanding notices of acceleration of payment on the loans in default. All of the loans payable of Urbplan are contractually non-recourse to us.

Realized performance fee revenue. Another source of liquidity we may use to meet our capital needs is the realized performance fee revenue generated by our investment funds. Carried interest is generally realized when an underlying investment is profitably disposed of and the fund's cumulative returns are in excess of the preferred return. For certain funds, carried interest is realized once all invested capital and expenses have been returned to the fund's investors and the fund's cumulative returns are in excess of the preferred return. Incentive fees earned on our CLO vehicles generally are paid upon the dissolution of such vehicles.

Our accrued performance fees by segment as of December 31, 2016, gross and net of accrued giveback obligations, are set forth below:

are set forth sero				
	Accrued	Accrued	Net Accru	ed
Asset Class	Performa	Performan	ce	
	Fees	Obligation	Fees	
	(Dollars	in millions)		
Corporate Private Equity	\$1,375.4	\$ (3.9)	\$ 1,371.5	
Real Assets	483.4	(156.9)	326.5	
Global Market Strategies	68.6	_	68.6	
Investment Solutions	553.7	_	553.7	
Total	\$2,481.1	\$(160.8)	\$ 2,320.3	
Plus: Accrued performance fees from NGP			44.7	
Less: Accrued performance fee-related com-	(1,307.4)		
Plus: Receivable for giveback obligations for	5.6			
former employees	3.0			
Less: Deferred taxes on accrued performance	(68.4)		
Less: Net accrued performance fees attribut	70.4			
non-controlling interests in consolidated en	/ 7.4			
Net accrued performance fees excluding co	mpensatio	n and	326.5 68.6 553.7 \$ 2,320.3 44.7 (1,307.4) 5.6	
non-controlling interests			1,074.2	
Less/Plus: Timing differences between the	period who	en accrued		
performance fees are realized and the period	d they are		(3.8)
collected/distributed				
Net accrued performance fees attributable to	o Carlyle l	Holdings,	¢ 1 070 4	
excluding realized amounts	\$ 1,070.4			

As of December 31, 2016, the net accrued performance fees attributable to Carlyle Holdings, excluding realized amounts, related to our carry funds and our other vehicles by segment were as follows (Dollars in millions): Carry fund-related

Corporate Private Equity:

1 2	
Buyout	\$668.6
Growth Capital	37.0
Total Corporate Private Equity	705.6
Real Assets:	
Real Estate	250.3
Natural Resources	51.8
Legacy Energy	(17.7)
Total Real Assets	284.4
Global Market Strategies	35.3
Investment Solutions and other non-carry funds	45.1
Net accrued performance fees attributable to Carlyle Holdings	\$1,070.4

Realized investment income. Another source of liquidity we may use to meet our capital needs is the realized investment income generated by our equity method investments and other principal investments. Investment income is realized when we redeem all or a portion of our investment or when we receive or are due cash income, such as dividends or distributions. Certain of the investments attributable to Carlyle Holdings (excluding certain general partner interests, strategic investments, and investments in certain CLOs) may be sold at our discretion as a source of liquidity.

Our Liquidity Needs

We generally use our working capital and cash flows to invest in growth initiatives, service our debt, fund the working capital needs of our business and investment funds and pay distributions to our unitholders. In the future, we expect that our primary liquidity needs will be to:

provide capital to facilitate the growth of our existing business lines;

provide capital to facilitate our expansion into new, complementary business lines, including acquisitions;

pay operating expenses, including compensation and compliance costs and other obligations as they arise;

fund costs of litigation and contingencies, including related legal costs;

fund the capital investments of Carlyle in our funds;

fund capital expenditures;

repay borrowings and related interest costs and expenses;

pay earnouts and contingent cash consideration associated with our acquisitions and strategic investments;

pay income taxes;

make distributions to our unitholders and the holders of the Carlyle Holdings partnership units in accordance with our distribution policy, and;

repurchase our units.

Distributions. With respect to distribution year 2016, the Board of Directors of our general partner has declared cumulative distributions to common unitholders totaling approximately \$131.1 million, or \$1.55 per common unit, consisting of (i) \$0.16 per common unit in respect of the fourth quarter of 2016, which is payable on February 28, 2017 to common unitholders of record on February 21, 2017, (ii) \$0.50 per common unit in respect of the third quarter of 2016, which was paid on November 16, 2016, (iii) \$0.63 per common unit in respect of the second quarter of 2016, which was paid in August 2016, and (iv) \$0.26 per common unit in respect of the first quarter of 2016, which was paid in May 2016. Distributions to common unitholders paid during the calendar year ended December 31, 2016 were \$140.9 million, representing the distributions paid in March 2016 of \$0.29 per common unit with respect to the fourth quarter of 2015, \$0.26 per common unit with respect to the first quarter of 2016, \$0.63 per common unit with respect to the second quarter of 2016, and \$0.50 per common unit with respect to the third quarter of 2016. With respect to distribution year 2015, the Board of Directors of our general partner declared cumulative distributions to common unitholders totaling approximately \$163.7 million, or \$2.07 per common unit, consisting of (i) \$0.29 per common unit in respect of the fourth quarter of 2015, which was paid in March 2016, (ii) \$0.56 per common unit in respect of the third quarter of 2015, which was paid in November 2015, (iii) \$0.89 per common unit in respect of the second quarter of 2015, which was paid in August 2015, and (iv) \$0.33 per common unit in respect of the first quarter of 2015, which was paid in May 2015. Distributions to common unitholders paid during the calendar year ended December 31, 2015 were \$251.0 million, representing the distributions paid in March 2015 of \$1.61 per common unit with respect to the fourth quarter of 2014, \$0.33 per common unit with respect to the first quarter of 2015, \$0.89 per common unit with respect to the second quarter of 2015, and \$0.56 per common unit with respect to the third quarter of 2015.

With respect to distribution year 2014, the Board of Directors of our general partner declared distributions to common unitholders totaling approximately \$143.2 million, or \$2.09 per common unit, consisting of \$0.16 per common unit in respect of each of the first three quarters of 2014 and an additional distribution in respect of the fourth quarter of 2014 of \$1.61 per common unit (approximately \$110.9 million), which was paid in March 2015. Distributions to common unitholders paid during the calendar year ended December 31, 2014 were \$102.7 million, representing the amount paid in March 2014 of \$1.40 per common unit with respect to the fourth quarter of 2013 and the \$0.16 per common unit quarterly distributions paid in each of May, August and November of 2014.

With respect to distribution year 2016, we declared distributions to the other limited partners of Carlyle Holdings totaling approximately \$376.2 million, or \$1.55 per Carlyle Holdings unit, consisting of (i) \$0.16 per Carlyle Holdings

unit in respect of the fourth quarter of 2016, which is payable on February 27, 2017 to Carlyle Holdings unitholders of record on February 21, 2017, (ii) \$0.50 per Carlyle Holdings unit in respect of the third quarter of 2016, which was paid in November 2016, (iii) \$0.63 per Carlyle Holdings unit in respect of the second quarter of 2016, which was paid in August 2016, and (iv) \$0.26 per Carlyle Holdings unit in respect of the first quarter of 2016, which was paid in May 2016. Distributions to the other limited partners of Carlyle Holdings paid during the calendar year ended December 31, 2016 were \$422.6 million, representing the distributions paid in March 2016 of \$0.35 per Carlyle Holdings unit with respect to the fourth quarter of 2015, \$0.26 per Carlyle Holdings unit with respect to the first quarter of 2016, \$0.63 per Carlyle Holdings unit with respect to the second quarter of 2016, and \$0.50 per Carlyle Holdings unit with respect to the third quarter of 2016.

With respect to distribution year 2015, we declared distributions to the other limited partners of Carlyle Holdings totaling approximately \$530.1 million, or \$2.17 per Carlyle Holdings unit, consisting of (i) \$0.35 per Carlyle Holdings unit in respect of the fourth quarter of 2015, which is payable on March 1, 2016 to Carlyle Holdings unitholders of record on February 23, 2016, (ii) \$0.60 per Carlyle Holdings unit in respect of the third quarter of 2015, which was paid in November 2015, (iii) \$0.89 per Carlyle Holdings unit in respect of the second quarter of 2015, which was paid in August 2015, and (iv) \$0.33 per Carlyle Holdings unit in respect of the first quarter of 2015, which was paid in May 2015. Distributions to the other limited partners of Carlyle Holdings paid during the calendar year ended December 31, 2015 were \$848.5 million, representing the distributions paid in March 2015 of \$1.61 per Carlyle Holdings unit with respect to the fourth quarter of 2014, \$0.33 per Carlyle Holdings unit with respect to the first quarter of 2015, \$0.89 per Carlyle Holdings unit with respect to the second quarter of 2015, and \$0.60 per Carlyle Holdings unit with respect to the third quarter of 2015.

With respect to distribution year 2014, we declared distributions to the other limited partners of Carlyle Holdings totaling approximately \$524.5 million, or \$2.09 per Carlyle Holdings unit, consisting of the distributions declared in respect of the first three quarters of 2014 and an additional distribution in respect of the fourth quarter of 2014 of \$1.61 per Carlyle Holdings unit (approximately \$404.1 million), which was paid on March 5, 2015 to holders of record of Carlyle Holdings units at the close of business on February 23, 2015. Distributions to the other limited partners of Carlyle Holdings paid during the calendar year ended December 31, 2014 were \$486.9 million, representing the quarterly distributions paid in each of March, May, August, and November of 2014. It is Carlyle's intention to cause Carlyle Holdings to make quarterly distributions to its partners, including The Carlyle Group L.P.'s wholly owned subsidiaries, that will enable The Carlyle Group L.P. to pay a quarterly distribution of approximately 75% of Distributable Earnings per common unit, net of taxes and amounts payable under the tax receivable agreement, for the quarter. Carlyle's general partner may adjust the distribution for amounts determined to be necessary or appropriate to provide for the conduct of its business, to make appropriate investments in its business and its funds or to comply with applicable law or any of its financing agreements, or to provide for future cash requirements such as tax-related payments, giveback obligations and distributions to unitholders for any ensuing quarter. The amount to be distributed could also be adjusted upward in any one quarter.

Distributions for the 2014 fiscal year, including the fourth quarter 2014 distribution, were determined in accordance with Carlyle's distribution policy in effect for that year. For that period, Carlyle Holdings made quarterly distributions to its partners, including The Carlyle Group L.P.'s wholly owned subsidiaries, that enabled The Carlyle Group L.P. to pay a quarterly distribution of \$0.16 per common unit for each of the first three quarters of the year and for the fourth quarter of that year, to pay a distribution of at least \$0.16 per common unit that, taken together with the prior quarterly distributions in respect of that year, represented its share, net of taxes and amounts payable under the tax receivable agreement, of Carlyle's Distributable Earnings in excess of the amount determined by Carlyle's general partner that was necessary or appropriate to provide for the conduct of Carlyle's business, to make appropriate investments in its business and its funds or to comply with applicable law or any of its financing agreements. The aggregate amount of our distribution for that year was less than our Distributable Earnings for that year due to these funding requirements. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the declaration and payment of any distributions will be at the sole discretion of our general partner, which may change our distribution policy at any time. Our general partner will take into account general economic and business conditions, our strategic plans and prospects, our business and investment opportunities, our financial condition and operating results, working capital requirements and anticipated cash needs, contractual restrictions and obligations, legal, tax and regulatory restrictions, other constraints on the payment of distributions by us to our common unitholders or by our subsidiaries to us, and such other factors as our general partner may deem relevant.

Because our wholly owned subsidiaries must pay taxes and make payments under the tax receivable agreement, the amounts ultimately distributed by us to our common unitholders are expected to be less, on a per unit basis, than the amounts distributed by the Carlyle Holdings partnerships to the other limited partners of the Carlyle Holdings partnership units.

Fund commitments. Generally, we intend to have Carlyle commit to fund approximately 1% of the capital commitments to our future carry funds, although we may elect to invest additional amounts in funds focused on new investment areas. We may, from time to time, exercise our right to purchase additional interests in our investment funds that become available in the ordinary course of their operations. We expect our senior Carlyle professionals and employees to continue to make significant capital contributions to our funds based on their existing commitments, and to make capital commitments to future funds consistent with the level of their historical commitments. We also intend to make investments in our open-end funds and our CLO vehicles. As discussed in "U.S. Risk Retention Rules" later in this section, we anticipate that our future investments in CLOs will increase as a result of the U.S. Risk Retention Rules.

Since our inception through December 31, 2016, we and our senior Carlyle professionals, operating executives and other professionals have invested or committed to invest in or alongside our funds. Approximately 5% of all capital commitments to our funds are funded collectively by us and our senior Carlyle professionals, operating executives and other professionals. The current invested capital and unfunded commitment of Carlyle and our senior Carlyle professionals, operating executives and other professionals to our investment funds as of December 31, 2016, consisted of the following:

Asset Class	Current Equity Invested	Unfunded Commitment	Total Current Equity Invested and Unfunded Commitment
	(Dollars i	n millions)	
Corporate Private Equity	\$1,921.2	\$ 1,519.4	\$ 3,440.6
Real Assets	869.5	522.5	1,392.0
Global Market Strategies	927.9	506.1	1,434.0
Investment Solutions	41.8	243.9	285.7
Total	\$3,760.4	\$ 2,791.9	\$ 6,552.3

A substantial majority of these investments have been funded by, and a substantial majority of the remaining commitments are expected to be funded by, senior Carlyle professionals, operating executives and other professionals through our internal co-investment program. Of the \$2.8 billion of unfunded commitments, approximately \$2.5 billion is subscribed individually by senior Carlyle professionals, operating executives and other professionals, with the balance funded directly by the Partnership.

Investments as of December 31, 2016 consist of the following (Dollars in millions):

Investments	\$1,107.	.0
Less: Amounts attributable to non-controlling interests in consolidated entities	(298.2)
Less: Strategic equity method investments in NGP Management	(399.7)
Less: Investment in NGP Management (accrued performance fees)	(44.7)
Investments excluding non-controlling interests and NGP	364.4	
Plus: investments in Consolidated Funds, eliminated in consolidation	165.2	
Total investments attributable to Carlyle Holdings, exclusive of NGP management	\$529.6	

Repurchase Program. In February 2016, the Board of Directors of the general partner of the Partnership authorized the repurchase of up to \$200 million of common units and/or Carlyle Holdings units. Under this unit repurchase program, units may be repurchased from time to time in open market transactions, in privately negotiated transactions or otherwise. We expect that the majority of repurchases under this program will be done via open market transactions. No units will be repurchased from our executive officers under this program. The timing and actual number of common units and/or Carlyle Holdings units repurchased will depend on a variety of factors, including legal requirements, price, and economic and market conditions. This unit repurchase program may be suspended or discontinued at any time and does not have a specified expiration date. For the year ended December 31, 2016, we have paid an aggregate of \$58.9 million to repurchase and retire 3,681,699 units (excluding \$0.2 million and 11,490 units that were pending settlement at December 31, 2016) with the majority of the repurchases done via open market transactions.

Cash Flows

The significant captions and amounts from our consolidated statements of cash flows which include the effects of our Consolidated Funds and CLOs in accordance with U.S. GAAP are summarized below. As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, on January 1, 2016, the Partnership adopted ASU 2015-2, Consolidation (Topic 810): Amendments to the Consolidation Analysis, which provides a revised consolidation model for all reporting entities to use in evaluating whether to consolidate certain types of legal

entities. As a result, the Partnership deconsolidated the majority of the Partnership's consolidated funds on January 1, 2016.

Year Ended December 31, 2016 2015 2014 (Dollars in millions)

Statements of Cash Flows Data

Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities \$(300.6) \$3,902.8 \$2,645.7

Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities (20.1) (21.5) 37.0

Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities 15.3 (4,011.2) (2,293.4)

Effect of foreign exchange rate change (15.2) (120.6) (113.9)

Net change in cash and cash equivalents \$(320.6) \$(250.5) \$275.4

Net Cash Provided by (used in) Operating Activities. Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities is primarily driven by our earnings in the respective periods after adjusting for significant non-cash activity, including non-cash performance fees, the related non-cash performance fee related compensation, non-cash equity-based compensation, and depreciation, amortization and impairments, all of which are included in earnings.

Operating cash inflows primarily include the receipt of management fees and realized performance fees, while operating cash outflows primarily include payments for operating expenses, including compensation and general, administrative, and other expenses. During the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, net cash provided by operating activities primarily includes the receipt of management fees and realized performance fees, totaling approximately \$2.2 billion, \$2.7 billion, and \$2.6 billion, respectively. These inflows were partially offset by payments for compensation and general, administrative, and other expenses of approximately \$1.8 billion, \$1.9 billion, and \$2.3 billion for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Cash used to purchase investments as well as the proceeds from the sale of such investments are also reflected in our operating activities as investments are a normal part of our operating activities. During the year ended December 31, 2016, investment proceeds were \$299.5 million while investment purchases were \$368.2 million. During the year ended December 31, 2015, investment proceeds were \$313.0 million while investment purchases were \$91.9 million. During the year ended December 31, 2014, investment proceeds were \$544.4 million while investment purchases were \$221.9 million.

The net cash used in operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2016 also reflects the investment activity of our Consolidated Funds. For the year ended December 31, 2016, proceeds from the sales and settlements of investments by the Consolidated Funds were \$1,282.9 million, while purchases of investments by the Consolidated Funds were \$2,739.4 million. For the year ended December 31, 2015, proceeds from the sales and settlements of investments by the Consolidated Funds were \$11,653.6 million, while purchases of investments by the Consolidated Funds were \$10,472.1 million. For the year ended December 31, 2014, proceeds from the sales and settlements of investments by the Consolidated Funds were \$10,685.6 million, while purchases of investments by the Consolidated Funds were \$10,566.3 million.

Net Cash Provided By (Used In) Investing Activities. Our investing activities generally reflect cash used for acquisitions, fixed assets and software for internal use, and changes in restricted cash. During the year ended December 31, 2016, cash used in investing activities principally reflects purchases of fixed assets, partially offset by a change in restricted cash balances. Purchases of fixed assets were \$25.4 million, \$62.3 million and \$29.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. During the year ended December 31, 2014, the acquisition of DGAM resulted in the use of cash, net of cash acquired, of \$3.1 million.

Net Cash Used in Financing Activities. Financing activities are a net cash inflow in 2016 and a net use of cash in 2015 and 2014. In 2016, we paid \$58.9 million to repurchase 3.7 million units under our unit repurchase program. In June 2015, the Partnership received net proceeds from the issuance of 7,000,000 common units of \$209.9 million. The Partnership used these net proceeds to acquire 7,000,000 Carlyle Holdings partnership units from the other limited partners of the Carlyle Holdings partnerships.

In March 2014, the Partnership received net proceeds from the issuance of 13,800,000 newly issued common units of \$449.5 million. The Partnership used \$303.4 million of these proceeds to acquire 9,300,000 Carlyle Holdings partnership units from the other limited partners of the Carlyle Holdings partnerships. The remaining net proceeds

were used by the Partnership to acquire 4,500,000 newly issued Carlyle Holdings partnership units. Carlyle Holdings is using the proceeds from the issuance of the 4,500,000 Carlyle Holdings partnership units for general corporate purposes, including investments in our funds as well as investment capital for acquisitions of new fund platforms and strategies or other growth initiatives, to drive innovation across the broader Carlyle platform. Also, during March 2014, we received net proceeds of \$210.8 million, net of

financing costs, from the issuance of \$200.0 million of 5.625% senior notes due 2043 at 104.315% of par. The net proceeds from this issuance is being used for general corporate purposes, including investments in our funds as well as investment capital for acquisitions of new fund platforms and strategies and other growth initiatives. Distributions to our common unitholders were \$140.9 million, \$251.0 million, and \$102.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015, and 2014, respectively. Distributions to the non-controlling interest holders in Carlyle Holdings were \$422.6 million, \$848.5 million, and \$486.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015, and 2014, respectively. The net borrowings (payments) on loans payable by our Consolidated Funds during the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015, and 2014 were \$594.2 million, \$734.3 million, and \$(1,033.4) million, respectively. For the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015, and 2014, contributions from non-controlling interest holders were \$113.0 million, \$2,376.6 million, and \$2,203.1 million, respectively, which relate primarily to contributions from the non-controlling interest holders in Consolidated Funds. For the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015, and 2014, distributions to non-controlling interest holders were \$109.4 million, \$5,267.0 million, and \$4,190.4 million,

respectively, which relate primarily to distributions to the non-controlling interest holders in Consolidated Funds.

Our Balance Sheet

Total assets were \$10.0 billion at December 31, 2016, a decrease of \$22.2 billion from December 31, 2015. The decrease in total assets was primarily attributable to decreases in investments of Consolidated Funds, cash and cash equivalents held at Consolidated Funds, and due from affiliates and other receivables of Consolidated Funds, net, of \$20.1 billion, \$0.9 billion, and \$0.7 billion, respectively, primarily due to the adoption of the revised consolidation guidance (see Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements). Cash and cash equivalents and corporate treasury investments totaled approximately \$0.9 billion, a decrease of \$0.1 billion from December 31, 2015.

Total liabilities were \$8.5 billion at December 31, 2016, a decrease of \$14.7 billion from December 31, 2015. The decrease in liabilities was primarily attributable to a decrease in loans payable of Consolidated Funds of \$13.2 billion and a decrease in other liabilities of Consolidated Funds of \$1.2 billion from December 31, 2015 to December 31, 2016, primarily due to the adoption of the revised consolidation guidance (see Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements).

The assets and liabilities of the Consolidated Funds are generally held within separate legal entities and, as a result, the assets of the Consolidated Funds are not available to meet our liquidity requirements and similarly the liabilities of the Consolidated Funds are non-recourse to us. For example, as previously discussed, the CLO term loan formed in June 2016 is secured by the Partnership's investment in the CLO, has a general unsecured interest in the Carlyle entity that manages the CLO, and does not have recourse to any other Carlyle entity. The assets and liabilities of the consolidated real estate VIE are also held in separate legal entities; we have not guaranteed or assumed any obligation for repayment of its liabilities nor are its assets available to meet our liquidity requirements.

Our balance sheet without the effect of the Consolidated Funds can be seen in Note 21 to the consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. At December 31, 2016, our total assets without the effect of the Consolidated Funds were \$5.5 billion, including cash and cash equivalents and corporate treasury investments totaling \$0.9 billion and accrued performance fees of \$2.5 billion.

Unconsolidated Entities

Our Corporate Private Equity funds have entered into lines of credit secured by their investors' unpaid capital commitments or by a pledge of the equity of the underlying investment which are used to fund liquidity needs in the interim between the date of an investment and the receipt of capital from the investing fund's investors. These funds also make direct or indirect investments in companies that utilize leverage in their capital structure. The degree of leverage employed varies among portfolio companies.

Certain of our real estate funds have entered into lines of credit secured by their investors' unpaid capital commitments or by a pledge of the equity of the underlying investment. Due to the relatively large number of investments made by these funds, the lines of credit are primarily employed to reduce the overall number of capital calls or for working capital needs. In certain instances, however, they may be used for other investment related activities, including serving

as bridge financing for investments. The degree of leverage employed varies among our real estate funds.

Off-balance Sheet Arrangements

In the normal course of business, we enter into various off-balance sheet arrangements including sponsoring and owning limited or general partner interests in consolidated and non-consolidated funds, entering into derivative transactions, entering into operating leases and entering into guarantee arrangements. We also have ongoing capital commitment arrangements with certain of our consolidated and non-consolidated funds. We do not have any other off-balance sheet arrangements that would require us to fund losses or guarantee target returns to investors in any of our other investment funds.

For further information regarding our off-balance sheet arrangements, see Note 2 and Note 11 to the consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Contractual Obligations

The following table sets forth information relating to our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2016 on a consolidated basis and on a basis excluding the obligations of the Consolidated Funds:

	2017	2018-2019	2020-2021	Thereafter	Total
	(Dollars in millions)				
Loans payable and senior notes ^(a)	\$ —	\$ 13.3	\$ 25.0	\$1,229.3	\$1,267.6
Interest payable ^(b)	58.5	118.8	118.4	741.6	1,037.3
Contingent cash consideration ^(c)	1.4	45.8	_	_	47.2
Operating lease obligations ^(d)	52.4	95.7	93.2	339.3	580.6
Capital commitments to Carlyle funds ^(e)	2,791.9		_	_	2,791.9
Tax receivable agreement payments ^(f)	2.7		26.8	108.3	137.8
Loans payable of Consolidated Funds ^(g)	90.2	229.4	178.4	4,275.7	4,773.7
Loans payable of a consolidated real estate VIE ^(h)	34.5	59.2	56.8	58.0	208.5
Unfunded commitments of the CLOs ⁽ⁱ⁾	3.7		_	_	3.7
Consolidated contractual obligations	3,035.3	562.2	498.6	6,752.2	10,848.3
Loans payable of Consolidated Funds ^(g)	(90.2)	(229.4)	(178.4)	(4,275.7)	(4,773.7)
Loans payable of a consolidated real estate VIE ^(h)	(34.5)	(59.2)	(56.8)	(58.0)	(208.5)
Capital commitments to Carlyle funds ^(e)	(2,472.3)		_	_	(2,472.2)
Unfunded commitments of the CLOs ⁽ⁱ⁾	(3.7)		_	_	(3.7)
Carlyle Operating Entities contractual obligations	\$434.7	\$ 273.6	\$ 263.4	\$2,418.5	\$3,390.2

The table above assumes that no prepayments are made on the term loans or senior notes and that the outstanding balance on the revolving credit facility is repaid on the maturity date of the senior credit facility, which is May 5, 2020. The term loan entered into during 2013 related to an investment in a CLO and matures on the earlier of 2013.

- (a) 2020. The term loan entered into during 2013 related to an investment in a CLO and matures on the earlier of 2018 or the date that the CLO is dissolved. The term loan entered into during 2016 related to a CLO that was formed in June 2016 and matures on the earlier of 2027 or the date that the CLO is dissolved. For purposes of the table above, it is assumed that the CLOs do not dissolve prior to 2018 or 2027, respectively.
 - The interest rate on the loans payable consist of 3.875% on \$500.0 million of senior notes, 5.625% on \$600.0 million of senior notes, approximately 1.74% on \$25.0 million of the term loan of our senior credit facility, and
- (b) approximately 1.75% on \$13.2 million of our 2013 CLO term loan, approximately 2.68% on \$20.6 million of our 2016 CLO retention term loan, and approximately 3.50% on \$108.8 million of our NGP promissory note. Interest payments assume that no prepayments are made and loans are held until maturity.
 - These obligations represent our probability-weighted estimate of amounts to be paid on the contingent cash consideration obligations associated with our business acquisitions and strategic investment in NGP Management.
- (c) The actual amounts to be paid under these agreements will not be determined until the specific performance conditions are met. Refer to "— Contingent Cash Payments for Business Acquisitions and Strategic Investments" below for the maximum amounts we may be required to pay under these arrangements and Note 6 and Note 9 to the consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for more information.

(d)

We lease office space in various countries around the world and maintain our headquarters in Washington, D.C., where we lease our primary office space under a non-cancelable lease agreement expiring on July 31, 2026. Our office leases in other locations expire in various years from 2017 through 2031. The amounts in this table represent the minimum lease payments required over the term of the lease.

These obligations represent commitments by us to fund a portion of the purchase price paid for each investment made by our funds. These amounts are generally due on demand and are therefore presented in the less than one year category. A substantial majority of these investments is expected to be funded by senior Carlyle professionals and other professionals through our internal co-investment program. Of the \$2.8 billion of unfunded commitments, approximately \$2.5 billion is subscribed individually by senior Carlyle professionals, advisors and other professionals, with the balance funded directly by the Partnership.

Represents obligations by the Partnership's corporate taxpayers to make payments under the tax receivable agreement. Holders of partnership units in Carlyle Holdings may exchange their Carlyle Holdings partnership units

for common units in The Carlyle Group L.P. on a one-for-one basis. These exchanges may reduce the amount of tax that the corporate taxpayers would be required to pay in the future. The corporate taxpayers will pay to the limited partner of Carlyle Holdings making the exchange 85% of the amount of cash savings that the corporate taxpayers realize upon an exchange. See "Tax Receivable Agreement" below.

These obligations represent amounts due to holders of debt securities issued by the consolidated CLO vehicles. These obligations include interest to be paid on debt securities issued by the consolidated CLO vehicles. Interest

- payments assume that no prepayments are made and loans are held until maturity. For debt securities with rights only to the residual value of the CLO and no stated interest, no interest payments were included in this calculation. Interest payments on variable-rate debt securities are based on interest rates in effect as of December 31, 2016, at spreads to market rates pursuant to the debt agreements, and range from 0.96% to 8.48%.
 - These obligations represent amounts owed to the lenders of Urbplan. These obligations include interest to be paid on the loans of Urbplan. Principal and interest payments shown herein assume that amounts will be paid according to the contractual maturities of the loans without acceleration due to default or covenant violation or other
- (h) Voluntarily prepayments. Interest payments on variable-rate debt are based on interest rates in effect as of December 31, 2016, at spreads to market rates pursuant to the loan agreements, and range from 15.1% to 21.3%. Due to the timing and availability of financial information from Urbplan, we consolidate the financial position and results of operations of Urbplan on a financial reporting lag of 90 days. The balances shown in this table are based on Urbplan's outstanding borrowings as of September 30, 2016.
- (i) These obligations represent commitments of the CLOs and Consolidated Funds to fund certain investments. These amounts are generally due on demand and are therefore presented in the less than one year category. Excluded from the table above are liabilities for uncertain tax positions of \$19.0 million at December 31, 2016 as we are unable to estimate when such amounts may be paid. Also excluded from the table above are outstanding commitments of Urbplan for land development services with an estimated \$10.0 million of future costs to be incurred; these amounts have been excluded as we are uncertain as to the timing and amount of such payments. Contingent Funding of the Consolidated Real Estate VIE

As described in Note 17 to the consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, we and certain of our senior Carlyle professionals have made and may make additional investments in and fundings for the benefit of Urbplan. From 2013 through December 31, 2016, \$369.1 million has been funded to Urbplan by us and our senior Carlyle professionals (including losses from related foreign currency forward contracts). We have funded \$121.6 million of the \$369.1 million and the remaining \$247.5 million has been funded by our senior Carlyle professionals indirectly through the Partnership. For the year ended December 31, 2016, \$47.6 million was funded to Urbplan, of which we funded \$43.7 million and the senior Carlyle professionals funded \$3.9 million indirectly through us.

No contractual or other obligations exist to provide additional financial support to Urbplan from the Partnership. Urbplan continues to seek restructuring of its existing debt obligations to reduce its cash requirements. The Partnership does not anticipate providing any additional financial support to satisfy Urbplan's debt obligations. The Partnership may consider providing additional financial support for other purposes depending on the circumstances at the time, including the ability of Urbplan to satisfactorily restructure its debt obligations with its financial creditors. The Partnership does not expect any such additional funding to exceed \$30 million.

We may not recover, in whole or in part, the capital that we have invested in or any additional capital that we may elect to invest in Urbplan in the future, and our results of operations could be adversely impacted by impairments, write-downs, lawsuits by customers or creditors, bankruptcy, other claims against Urbplan or us or other losses associated with our investment in Urbplan. Urbplan is currently a party to various litigation, disputes and other potential claims. We do not believe it is probable that the outcome of any existing Urbplan litigation, government investigations and proceedings, disputes, or other potential claims will materially affect us or our consolidated financial statements.

The assets and liabilities of Urbplan are held in legal entities separate from the Partnership; we have not guaranteed or assumed any obligation for repayment of Urbplan's liabilities nor are the assets of Urbplan available to meet our liquidity requirements. Urbplan has substantially completed construction of its development projects, and no new development projects have been approved. At this time, Urbplan's projected revenues and cash flow may be insufficient to timely satisfy all of Urbplan's debt obligations, necessitating a restructuring of its debt obligations or a potential bankruptcy reorganization or insolvency proceeding. Furthermore, while the Partnership has not expressly

assumed any of Urbplan's obligations or liabilities, in any such proceeding customers or other creditors in certain circumstances might seek to assert claims against the Partnership and its affiliate assets unrelated to Urbplan under certain consumer protection, labor or other laws.

Contingent Cash Payments For Business Acquisitions and Strategic Investments

We have certain contingent cash obligations associated with our business acquisitions and our strategic investment in NGP Management. For our business acquisitions, these contingent cash payments relate to performance-based contingent cash consideration payable to the sellers of the businesses, some of whom are Carlyle professionals. Certain of these payments to those Carlyle professionals require such Carlyle professionals to be employed by us at the time the performance conditions are met, while other payments are not contingent upon employment.

For our strategic investment in NGP Management, the contingent cash payments relate to performance-based contingent cash consideration payable to ECM Capital, L.P. and an affiliate of Barclays Bank PLC. The performance-based contingent cash consideration payable to an affiliate of Barclays Bank PLC totaling \$183.0 million, was paid in January 2016 with \$63.0 million in cash and \$120.0 million in a six year promissory note (accruing interest at the three month LIBOR plus 2.5%) issued by the Partnership. In December 2016, we repurchased approximately \$11.2 million of this promissory note. See Note 6 to the consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for more information.

Based on the terms of the underlying contracts, the maximum amounts that could be paid from contingent cash obligations associated with business acquisitions and the strategic investment in NGP Management as of December 31, 2016 is \$163.2 million versus the liabilities recognized on the balance sheet of \$37.6 million. Based on the historical and projected performance of our acquisitions, we believe that approximately \$116.0 million of the maximum amounts of the contingent cash obligations are unlikely to be paid.

Some of the employment-based contingent cash consideration agreements do not contain provisions limiting the amount that could be paid by us. For purposes of the estimate above, we have used our current estimate of the amount to be paid upon the determination dates for such payments. In our consolidated financial statements, we record the performance-based contingent cash consideration from our business acquisitions at fair value at each reporting period. For the employment-based contingent cash consideration, we accrue the compensation liability over the implied service period.

U.S. Risk Retention Rules

The Dodd-Frank Act requires sponsors of asset-backed securities, including CLOs, to retain at least 5% of the credit risk related to the assets that underlie asset-backed securities (referred to herein as the U.S. Risk Retention Rules). The U.S. Risk Retention Rules became effective on December 24, 2016 and apply to sponsors of CLOs issued thereafter. As a sponsor of CLOs issued in Europe, we currently comply with similar risk retention rules that have been in place since 2014. To comply with the U.S. Risk Retention Rules, we expect that we will contribute approximately \$750 million to new CLOs issued over the next five years. Our contribution to the new CLOs will be funded through a variety of sources, including direct funding from the Partnership, funding from senior Carlyle professionals, funding from third party investors, and limited recourse borrowing. The allocation of funding sources, and therefore the amount of capital required from the Partnership, will be determined in the future.

For additional information related to the U.S. Risk Retention Rules, see "-Regulatory changes in the United States could adversely affect our business and the possibility of increased regulatory focus could result in additional burdens and expenses on our business" within Item 1A.

Guarantees

See Note 11 to the consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for information related to our material guarantees.

Indemnifications

In many of our service contracts, we agree to indemnify the third-party service provider under certain circumstances. The terms of the indemnities vary from contract to contract, and the amount of indemnification liability, if any, cannot be determined and has not been included in the estimate above or recorded in our consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2016.

Tax Receivable Agreement

Holders of partnership units in Carlyle Holdings (other than The Carlyle Group L.P.'s wholly owned subsidiaries), subject to the vesting and minimum retained ownership requirements and transfer restrictions applicable to such holders as set forth in the partnership agreements of the Carlyle Holdings partnerships, may (subject to the terms of the exchange agreement) exchange their Carlyle Holdings partnership units for The Carlyle Group L.P. common units on a one-for-one basis. A Carlyle Holdings limited partner must exchange one partnership unit in each of the three

Carlyle Holdings partnerships to effect an exchange for a common unit. The exchanges are expected to result in increases in the tax basis of the tangible and intangible assets of Carlyle Holdings. These increases in tax basis may increase (for tax purposes) depreciation and amortization deductions and therefore reduce the amount of tax that Carlyle Holdings I GP Inc. and any other corporate taxpayers would

otherwise be required to pay in the future, although the IRS may challenge all or part of that tax basis increase, and a court could sustain such a challenge.

We have entered into a tax receivable agreement with the limited partners of the Carlyle Holdings partnerships that will provide for the payment by the corporate taxpayers to such parties of 85% of the amount of cash savings, if any, in U.S. federal, state and local income tax or franchise tax that the corporate taxpayers realize as a result of these increases in tax basis and of certain other tax benefits related to entering into the tax receivable agreement, including tax benefits attributable to payments under the tax receivable agreement. This payment obligation is an obligation of the corporate taxpayers and not of Carlyle Holdings. While the actual increase in tax basis, as well as the amount and timing of any payments under this agreement, will vary depending upon a number of factors, including the timing of exchanges, the price of our common units at the time of the exchange, the extent to which such exchanges are taxable and the amount and timing of our income, we expect that as a result of the size of the transfers and increases in the tax basis of the tangible and intangible assets of Carlyle Holdings, the payments that we may make under the tax receivable agreement will be substantial.

See Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for additional information related to our tax receivable agreement.

Contingent Obligations (Giveback)

Carried interest is ultimately realized when: (1) an underlying investment is profitably disposed of, (ii) certain costs borne by the limited partner investors have been reimbursed, (iii) the fund's cumulative returns are in excess of the preferred return and (iv) we have decided to collect carry rather than return additional capital to limited partner investors. Realized carried interest may be required to be returned by us in future periods if the funds' investment values decline below certain levels. When the fair value of a fund's investments remains constant or falls below certain return hurdles, previously recognized performance fees are reversed.

See Note 11 to the consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for additional information related to our contingent obligations (giveback).

Other Contingencies

is expected to

In the ordinary course of business, we are a party to litigation, investigations, inquiries, employment-related matters, disputes and other potential claims. We discuss certain of these matters in Note 11 to the consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Carlyle Holdings Partnership Units

Rollforwards of the outstanding Carlyle Group L.P. common units and Carlyle Holdings partnership units for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 are as follows:

years ended December 31, 2016 and 20	one as rond	ows:					
	Units as of	Units	Units	Units	Units	s Unit	s as of
	December 31	,Issued -			Repu	ırchasedDec	ember 31,
	2015	DRUs	Forfeited 1	Exchange	ea / Ret	ired 2016	ó
The Carlyle Group L.P. common units	80,408,702	6,860,931	<u> </u>	923,017	(3,58)	31,699)84,6	10,951
Carlyle Holdings partnership units	243,619,604	_	(748,791)	(923,017)(100	,000)241,	847,796
Total	324,028,306	6,860,931	(748,791)		(3,68	31,699) 326,	458,747
	Units as of	Units	Units Iss	sued Un	:+0	Linita	Units as of
	December 31	, Issued -	-	_		Units	December 31,
	2014	DRUs	Acquisiti	ions For	feited	Exchanged	2015
The Carlyle Group L.P. common units	67,761,012	5,455,88	1 82,046	(21	,451)	7,131,214	80,408,702
Carlyle Holdings partnership units	251,195,295		_	(44	4,477)	(7,131,214)	243,619,604
Total	318,956,307	5,455,88	1 82,046	(46	5,928)		324,028,306
The Carlyle Group L.P. common units	issued during	the period	from Decei	mber 31,	2015 tl	hrough Dece	mber 31, 2016
relate to the vesting of the Partnership's deferred restricted common units during the year ended December 31, 2016.							
Further, The Carlyle Group L.P. comm	on units in the	table abov	ve includes	38,911 c	ommor	n units that th	ne Partnership

acquire from Carlyle Holdings in future periods upon the vesting of certain of the Partnership's unvested common units associated with the acquisition of the remaining 40% equity interest in AlpInvest in August 2013.

The Carlyle Holdings partnership units forfeited during the period from December 31, 2015 through December 31, 2016 primarily relate to unvested Carlyle Holdings partnership units that were forfeited when the holder ceased to provide services to the Partnership.

The Carlyle Holdings partnership units exchanged relate to the exchange of Carlyle Holdings partnership units held by NGP and certain limited partners for common units on a one-for-one basis.

The Carlyle Group L.P. common units and Carlyle Holdings partnership units repurchased during the period from December 31, 2015 through December 31, 2016 relate to units repurchased and subsequently retired as part of our unit repurchase program that was initiated in February 2016.

The total units as of December 31, 2016 as shown above exclude approximately 1.1 million common units in connection with the vesting of deferred restricted common units subsequent to December 31, 2016 that will participate in the unitholder distribution that will be paid in March 2017 and include 11,490 common units repurchased that are pending settlement as of December 31, 2016.

The Carlyle Group L.P. common units issued during the period from December 31, 2014 through December 31, 2015 relate to: (i) the vesting of the Partnership's deferred restricted common units during the year ended December 31, 2015, and (iii) the satisfaction of certain performance-based vesting contingent consideration arrangements related to our acquisition of DGAM. Further, the Carlyle Group L.P. common units in the table above includes 716,404 common units that the Partnership is expected to acquire from Carlyle Holdings in future periods upon the vesting of certain of the Partnership's unvested common units associated with the acquisition of the remaining 40% equity interest in AlpInvest in August 2013.

The Carlyle Group L.P. common units and Carlyle Holdings partnership units forfeited during the period from December 31, 2014 through December 31, 2015 primarily relate to unvested Carlyle Holdings partnership units and unvested common units that were forfeited when the holder ceased to provide services to the Partnership.

The Carlyle Holdings partnership units exchanged relate to the exchange of Carlyle Holdings partnership units held by NGP for common units on a one-for-one basis and the Partnership's use of the net cash proceeds from the issuance of 7,000,000 common units in June 2015 to acquire 7,000,000 Carlyle Holdings partnership units from certain limited partners of Carlyle Holdings.

The total units as of December 31, 2015 as shown above exclude approximately 0.9 million common units in connection with the vesting of deferred restricted common units and an exchange of Carlyle Holdings partnership units that participated in the unitholder distribution that was paid in March 2016.

Critical Accounting Policies

Principles of Consolidation. The Partnership consolidates all entities that it controls either through a majority voting interest or as the primary beneficiary of variable interest entities ("VIEs"). The Partnership describes the policies and procedures it uses in evaluating whether an entity is consolidated in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. As part of its consolidation procedures, the Partnership evaluates: (1) whether it holds a variable interest in an entity, (2) whether the entity is a VIE, and (3) whether the Partnership's involvement would make it the primary beneficiary.

In evaluating whether the Partnership holds a variable interest, fees (including management fees and performance fees) that are customary and commensurate with the level of services provided, and where the Partnership does not hold other economic interests in the entity that would absorb more than an insignificant amount of the expected losses

or returns of the entity, are not considered variable interests. The Partnership considers all economic interests, including indirect interests, to determine if a fee is considered a variable interest.

For those entities where the Partnership holds a variable interest, the Partnership determines whether each of these entities qualifies as a VIE and, if so, whether or not the Partnership is the primary beneficiary. The assessment of whether the entity is a VIE is generally performed qualitatively, which requires judgment. These judgments include:

(a) determining whether the equity investment at risk is sufficient to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support, (b) evaluating whether the equity holders, as a group, can make decisions that have a significant effect on the economic performance of the entity, (c) determining

whether two or more parties' equity interests should be aggregated, and (d) determining whether the equity investors have proportionate voting rights to their obligations to absorb losses or rights to receive returns from an entity. For entities that are determined to be VIEs, the Partnership consolidates those entities where it has concluded it is the primary beneficiary. The primary beneficiary is defined as the variable interest holder with (a) the power to direct the activities of a VIE that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance and (b) the obligation to absorb losses of the entity or the right to receive benefits from the entity that could potentially be significant to the VIE. In evaluating whether the Partnership is the primary beneficiary, the Partnership evaluates its economic interests in the entity held either directly or indirectly by the Partnership.

Changes to these judgments could result in a change in the consolidation conclusion for a legal entity. Entities that do not qualify as VIEs are generally assessed for consolidation as voting interest entities. Under the voting interest entity model, the Partnership consolidates those entities it controls through a majority voting interest. Performance Fees. Performance fees consist principally of the allocation of profits from certain of the funds to which the Partnership is entitled (commonly known as carried interest). The Partnership is generally entitled to a 20% allocation (which can vary by fund) of the net realized income or gain as a carried interest after returning the invested capital, the allocation of preferred returns and return of certain fund costs (generally subject to catch-up provisions as set forth in the fund limited partnership agreement). Carried interest is ultimately realized when: (i) an underlying investment is profitably disposed of, (ii) certain costs borne by the limited partner investors have been reimbursed, (iii) the fund's cumulative returns are in excess of the preferred return and (iv) the Partnership has decided to collect carry rather than return additional capital to limited partner investors.

While carried interest is recognized upon appreciation of the funds' investment values above certain return hurdles set forth in each respective partnership agreement, the Partnership recognizes revenues attributable to performance fees based upon the amount that would be due pursuant to the fund partnership agreement at each period end as if the funds were terminated at that date. Accordingly, the amount recognized as performance fees reflects the Partnership's share of the gains and losses of the associated funds' underlying investments measured at their then-current fair values relative to the fair values as of the end of the prior period. Because of the inherent uncertainty, these estimated values may differ significantly from the values that would have been used had a ready market for the investments existed, and it is reasonably possible that the difference could be material. If, at December 31, 2016, all of the investments held by the Partnership's funds were deemed worthless, a possibility that management views as remote, the amount of realized and distributed carried interest subject to potential giveback would be \$1.1 billion, on an after-tax basis where applicable.

See Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for information related to performance fee allocations for various fund types, preferred return hurdle rates, the timing of performance fee revenue recognition, and the potential for performance fee revenue reversal.

Performance Fee Related Compensation. A portion of the performance fees earned is due to employees and advisors of the Partnership. These amounts are accounted for as compensation expense in conjunction with the recognition of the related performance fee revenue and, until paid, are recognized as a component of the accrued compensation and benefits liability. Accordingly, upon a reversal of performance fee revenue, the related compensation expense, if any, is also reversed.

Income Taxes. Certain of the wholly-owned subsidiaries of the Partnership and the Carlyle Holdings partnerships are subject to federal, state, local and foreign corporate income taxes at the entity level and the related tax provision attributable to the Partnership's share of this income is reflected in the consolidated financial statements. Based on applicable federal, foreign, state and local tax laws, the Partnership records a provision for income taxes for certain entities. Tax positions taken by the Partnership are subject to periodic audit by U.S. federal, state, local and foreign taxing authorities.

The Partnership accounts for income taxes using the asset and liability method, which requires the recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future consequences of events that have been included in the financial statements or tax returns. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the difference between the financial statement reporting and the tax basis of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates in

effect for the period in which the difference is expected to reverse. The effect of a change in tax rates on deferred tax assets and liabilities is recognized in the period of the change in the provision for income taxes. Further, deferred tax assets are recognized for the expected realization of available net operating loss and tax credit carry forwards. A valuation allowance is recorded on the Partnership's gross deferred tax assets when it is more likely than not that such asset will not be realized. When evaluating the

realizability of the Partnership's deferred tax assets, all evidence, both positive and negative, is evaluated. Items considered in this analysis include the ability to carry back losses, the reversal of temporary differences, tax planning strategies, and expectations of future earnings.

The Partnership has approximately \$234 million of deferred tax assets as of December 31, 2016. Changes in judgment as it relates to the realizability of these assets, as well as potential changes in corporate tax rates would have the effect of significantly reducing the value of the deferred tax assets.

Under U.S. GAAP for income taxes, the amount of tax benefit to be recognized is the amount of benefit that is more likely than not to be sustained upon examination. The Partnership analyzes its tax filing positions in all of the U.S. federal, state, local and foreign tax jurisdictions where it is required to file income tax returns, as well as for all open tax years in these jurisdictions. If, based on this analysis, the Partnership determines that uncertainties in tax positions exist, a liability is established, which is included in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities in the consolidated financial statements. The Partnership recognizes accrued interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax positions in the provision for income taxes. If recognized, the entire amount of unrecognized tax positions would be recorded as a reduction in the provision for income taxes.

Fair Value Measurement. U.S. GAAP establishes a hierarchal disclosure framework which ranks the observability of market price inputs used in measuring financial instruments at fair value. The observability of inputs is impacted by a number of factors, including the type of financial instrument, the characteristics specific to the financial instrument and the state of the marketplace, including the existence and transparency of transactions between market participants. Financial instruments with readily available quoted prices, or for which fair value can be measured from quoted prices in active markets, will generally have a higher degree of market price observability and a lesser degree of judgment applied in determining fair value.

Financial instruments measured and reported at fair value are classified and disclosed based on the observability of inputs used in the determination of fair values, as follows:

Level I — inputs to the valuation methodology are quoted prices available in active markets for identical instruments as of the reporting date. The type of financial instruments included in Level I include unrestricted securities, including equities and derivatives, listed in active markets. The Partnership does not adjust the quoted price for these instruments, even in situations where the Partnership holds a large position and a sale could reasonably impact the quoted price.

Level II — inputs to the valuation methodology are other than quoted prices in active markets, which are either directly or indirectly observable as of the reporting date. The type of financial instruments in this category includes less liquid and restricted securities listed in active markets, securities traded in other than active markets, government and agency securities, and certain over-the-counter derivatives where the fair value is based on observable inputs.

Level III — inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to overall fair value measurement. The inputs into the determination of fair value require significant management judgment or estimation. Financial instruments that are included in this category include investments in privately-held entities, non-investment grade residual interests in securitizations, collateralized loan obligations, and certain over-the-counter derivatives where the fair value is based on unobservable inputs.

In certain cases, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, the determination of which category within the fair value hierarchy is appropriate for any given financial instrument is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The Partnership's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment and considers factors specific to the financial instrument.

In the absence of observable market prices, the Partnership values its investments using valuation methodologies applied on a consistent basis. For some investments little market activity may exist. Management's determination of fair value is then based on the best information available in the circumstances and may incorporate management's own assumptions and involves a significant degree of judgment, taking into consideration a combination of internal and external factors, including the appropriate risk adjustments for non-performance and liquidity risks. Investments for

which market prices are not observable include private investments in the equity of operating companies and real estate properties, and certain debt positions. The valuation technique for each of these investments is described in Note 4 to the consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The valuation methodologies can involve subjective judgments, and the fair value of assets established pursuant to such methodologies may be incorrect, which could result in the misstatement of fund performance and accrued performance fees. Because there is significant uncertainty in the valuation of, or in the stability of the value of, illiquid investments, the fair values of such investments as reflected in an investment fund's net asset value do not necessarily reflect the prices that would be obtained by us on behalf of the investment fund when such investments are realized. Realizations at values significantly lower than the values at which investments have been reflected in prior fund net asset values would result in reduced earnings or losses for the applicable fund, the loss of potential carried interest and incentive fees and in the case of our hedge funds, management fees. Changes in values attributed to investments from quarter to quarter may result in volatility in the net asset values and results of operations that we report from period to period. Also, a situation where asset values turn out to be materially different than values reflected in prior fund net asset values could cause investors to lose confidence in us, which could in turn result in difficulty in raising additional funds. See "Risk Factors — Risks Related to Our Company — Valuation methodologies for certain assets in our funds can involve subjective judgments, and the fair value of assets established pursuant to such methodologies may be incorrect, which could result in the misstatement of fund performance and accrued performance fees." Equity-Method Investments. The Partnership accounts for all investments in which it has or is otherwise presumed to have significant influence, including investments in the unconsolidated funds and strategic investments, using the equity method of accounting. The carrying value of equity-method investments is determined based on amounts invested by the Partnership, adjusted for the equity in earnings or losses of the investee allocated based on the respective partnership agreement, less distributions received. The Partnership evaluates its equity-method investments for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amounts of such investments may not be recoverable.

Equity-based Compensation. Compensation expense relating to the issuance of equity-based awards to Carlyle employees is measured at fair value on the grant date. The compensation expense for awards that vest over a future service period is recognized over the relevant service period on a straight-line basis, adjusted for estimated forfeitures of awards that are not expected to vest. The compensation expense for awards that do not require future service is recognized immediately. Upon the end of the service period, compensation expense is adjusted to account for the actual forfeiture rate. Cash settled equity-based awards are classified as liabilities and are re-measured at the end of each reporting period. The compensation expense for awards that contain performance conditions is recognized when it is probable that the performance conditions will be achieved; in certain instances, such compensation expense may be recognized prior to the grant date of the award.

Equity-based awards issued to non-employees are recognized as general, administrative and other expenses. The grant-date fair value of equity-based awards granted to Carlyle's non-employee directors is expensed on a straight-line basis over the vesting period. The cost of services received in exchange for an equity-based award issued to non-employees who are not directors is measured at each vesting date, and is not measured based on the grant-date fair value of the award unless the award is vested at the grant date. Equity-based awards that require the satisfaction of future service criteria are recognized over the relevant service period, adjusted for estimated forfeitures of awards that are not expected to vest, based on the fair value of the award on each reporting date and adjusted for the actual fair value of the award at each vesting date. Accordingly, the measured value of the award will not be finalized until the vesting date.

In determining the aggregate fair value of any award grants, we make judgments, among others, as to the grant-date fair value and estimated forfeiture rates. Each of these elements, particularly the forfeiture assumptions used in valuing our equity awards, are subject to significant judgment and variability and the impact of changes in such elements on equity-based compensation expense could be material. The Partnership will adopt ASU 2016-9, Compensation - Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting on January 1, 2017. As a policy election to be made upon adoption, the Partnership plans to account for forfeitures when they occur rather than estimating the number of awards that are expected to vest. See Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements for more information on this new accounting guidance.

Intangible Assets and Goodwill. The Partnership's intangible assets consist of acquired contractual rights to earn future fee income, including management and advisory fees, customer relationships, and acquired trademarks. Finite-lived intangible assets are amortized over their estimated useful lives, which range from five to ten years, and are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the asset may not be recoverable. The Partnership's intangible assets include acquired contractual rights for fee income related to open-ended funds. Open-ended funds are subject to redemptions on a quarterly or more frequent basis and investors can generally decide to exit their fund investments at any time. The resulting inherent volatility in fee income derived from these contractual rights increases the degree of judgment and estimates used by management in evaluating such intangible assets for impairment. Actual results could differ from management's estimates and assumptions and such differences could result in a material impairment.

Goodwill represents the excess of cost over the identifiable net assets of businesses acquired and is recorded in the functional currency of the acquired entity. Goodwill is recognized as an asset and is reviewed for impairment annually as of October 1 and between annual tests when events and circumstances indicate that impairment may have occurred. Recent Accounting Pronouncements

We discuss the recent accounting pronouncements in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Our primary exposure to market risk is related to our role as general partner or investment advisor to our investment funds and the sensitivities to movements in the fair value of their investments, including the effect on management fees, performance fees and investment income.

Although our investment funds share many common themes, each of our alternative asset management asset classes runs its own investment and risk management processes, subject to our overall risk tolerance and philosophy. The investment process of our investment funds involves a comprehensive due diligence approach, including review of reputation of shareholders and management, company size and sensitivity of cash flow generation, business sector and competitive risks, portfolio fit, exit risks and other key factors highlighted by the deal team. Key investment decisions are subject to approval by both the fund-level managing directors, as well as the investment committee, which is generally comprised of one or more of the three founding partners, one "sector" head, one or more advisors and senior investment professionals associated with that particular fund. Once an investment in a portfolio company has been made, our fund teams closely monitor the performance of the portfolio company, generally through frequent contact with management and the receipt of financial and management reports.

Effect on Fund Management Fees

Management fees will only be directly affected by short-term changes in market conditions to the extent they are based on NAV or represent permanent impairments of value. These management fees will be increased (or reduced) in direct proportion to the effect of changes in the market value of our investments in the related funds. In addition, the terms of the governing agreements with respect to certain of our carry funds provide that the management fee base will be reduced when the aggregate fair market value of a fund's investments is below its cost. The proportion of our management fees that are based on NAV is dependent on the number and types of investment funds in existence and the current stage of each fund's life cycle. For the year ended December 31, 2016, approximately 7% of our fund management fees (7% of our fund management fees inclusive of management fees earned from Consolidated Funds) were based on the NAV of the applicable funds.

Effect on Performance Fees

Performance fees reflect revenue primarily from carried interest on our carry funds and incentive fees from our hedge funds. In our discussion of "Key Financial Measures" and "Critical Accounting Policies", we disclose that performance fees are recognized upon appreciation of the valuation of our funds' investments above certain return hurdles and are based upon the amount that would be due to Carlyle at each reporting date as if the funds were liquidated at their then-current fair values. Changes in the fair value of the funds' investments may materially impact performance fees depending upon the respective funds' performance to date as compared to its hurdle rate and the related carry waterfall.

The following table summarizes the incremental impact, including our Consolidated Funds, of a 10% change in total remaining fair value by segment as of December 31, 2016 on our performance fee revenue:

```
10% Increase
                                 10% Decrease
                         in
                                in Total
                         Total
                         RemainiRemaining
                                 Fair Value
                         Fair
                         Value
                         (Dollars in Millions)
Corporate Private Equity $542.9 $ (308.1)
Real Assets
                         202.2 (226.8
Global Market Strategies 21.0
                                 (17.1)
                                             )
Investment Solutions
                         93.1
                                 (76.3)
                                             )
                         $859.2 $ (628.3
Total
                                             )
```

The following table summarizes the incremental impact of a 10% change in Level III remaining fair value by segment as of December 31, 2016 on our performance fee revenue:

```
in
                                 10% Decrease
                         Level
                                 in Level III
                         Ш
                                .Remaining
                         Remaining Value
                         Fair
                         Value
                         (Dollars in Millions)
Corporate Private Equity $507.6 $ (276.8)
Real Assets
                         174.2 (229.6
Global Market Strategies 16.1
                                (12.7)
                                             )
Investment Solutions
                         90.4
                                 (73.5)
                                             )
Total
                         $788.3 $ (592.6
                                             )
```

10% Increase

The effect of the variability in performance fee revenue would be in part offset by performance fee related compensation. See also related disclosure in "Segment Analysis."

Effect on Assets Under Management

With the exception of our hedge funds and our fund of hedge funds, our Fee-earning assets under management are generally not affected by changes in valuation. However, total assets under management is impacted by valuation changes to net asset value. The table below shows the net asset value included in total assets under management by segment (excluding available capital), and the percentage amount classified as Level III investments as defined within the fair value standards of GAAP:

```
Total Assets Under
Percentage Amount
                                 Management, Classified as Level Excluding Available III Investments
                                 (Dollars in Millions)
Corporate Private Equity
                                 $ 33,365
                                                89
                                                             %
                                                             %
Real Assets
                                 $ 22,679
                                                87
Global Market Strategies (1) $ 22,626
                                                99
                                                             %
Investment Solutions
                                                99
                                 $ 28,798
                                                             %
```

Comprised of approximately \$18.6 billion (100% Level III Investments) in our structured credit/other structured products funds, \$2.2 billion (90% Level III Investments) in our carry funds, and \$1.8 billion (96% Level III Investments) in our business development companies.

Exchange Rate Risk

Our investment funds hold investments that are denominated in non-U.S. dollar currencies that may be affected by movements in the rate of exchange between the U.S. dollar and non-U.S. dollar currencies. Non-U.S. dollar denominated assets and liabilities are translated at year-end rates of exchange, and the consolidated statements of operations accounts are translated at rates of exchange in effect throughout the year. Additionally, a portion of our management fees are denominated in non-U.S.

dollar currencies. We estimate that as of December 31, 2016, if the U.S. dollar strengthened 10% against all foreign currencies, the impact on our consolidated results of operations for the year then ended would be as follows: (a) fund management fees would decrease by \$126.8 million, (b) performance fees would decrease by \$38.4 million and (c) investment income would decrease by \$14.1 million.

We have obligations under our term loan facility, a CLO term loan that was entered into in October 2013, a promissory note, and a CLO retention term loan that was entered into in June 2016 that accrue interest at variable rates. Interest rate changes may therefore affect the amount of interest payments, future earnings and cash flows. The term loan under our senior credit facility incurs interest at LIBOR plus an applicate rate. The CLO term loan (October 2013) incurs interest at EURIBOR plus an applicable rate. The promissory note incurs interest at the three month LIBOR plus an applicable rate. The CLO retention term loan (June 2016) incurs interest at the weighted average rate of its underlying senior notes. We do not have any interest rate swaps in place for these borrowings.

Based on our debt obligations payable as of December 31, 2016, we estimate that interest expense relating to variable rates would increase by approximately \$1.6 million on an annual basis in the event interest rates were to increase by one percentage point.

Credit Risk

Interest Rate Risk

Certain of our investment funds hold derivative instruments that contain an element of risk in the event that the counterparties are unable to meet the terms of such agreements. We minimize our risk exposure by limiting the counterparties with which we enter into contracts to banks and investment banks who meet established credit and capital guidelines. We do not expect any counterparty to default on its obligations and therefore do not expect to incur any loss due to counterparty default.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Unitholders of The Carlyle Group L.P.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of The Carlyle Group L.P. (the "Partnership") as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income, changes in partners' capital and redeemable non-controlling interests in consolidated entities, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2016. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Partnership's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of The Carlyle Group L.P. at December 31, 2016 and 2015, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2016, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), The Carlyle Group L.P.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2016, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework) and our report dated February 16, 2017 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, the Partnership adopted, on a modified retrospective basis, the amendments to the FASB Accounting Standards Codification resulting from Accounting Standards Update No. 2015-02, "Amendments to the Consolidation Analysis" (ASU 2015-02).

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP McLean, Virginia February 16, 2017

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Unitholders of The Carlyle Group L.P.

We have audited The Carlyle Group L.P.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2016, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework) (the COSO criteria). The Carlyle Group L.P.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, The Carlyle Group L.P. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2016, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of The Carlyle Group L.P. as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income, changes in partners' capital and redeemable non-controlling interests in consolidated entities, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2016 of The Carlyle Group L.P. and our report dated February 16, 2017 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP McLean, Virginia February 16, 2017

The Carlyle Group L.P.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Consolidated Balance Sheets

(Dollars in millions)

(2 onute in minimum)	December 31,	
	2016	2015
Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$670.9	\$991.5
Cash and cash equivalents held at Consolidated Funds	761.5	1,612.7
Restricted cash	13.1	18.9
Restricted cash and securities of Consolidated Funds	_	18.4
Corporate treasury investments	190.2	
Accrued performance fees	2,481.1	2,988.6
Investments	1,107.0	885.9
Investments of Consolidated Funds	3,893.7	23,998.8
Due from affiliates and other receivables, net	227.2	195.3
Due from affiliates and other receivables of Consolidated Funds, net	29.5	765.3
Receivables and inventory of a consolidated real estate VIE	145.4	143.6
Fixed assets, net	106.1	110.9
Deposits and other	39.4	49.0
Other assets of a consolidated real estate VIE	31.5	47.6
Intangible assets, net	42.0	135.7
Deferred tax assets	234.4	219.4
Total assets	\$9,973.0	\$32,181.6
Liabilities and partners' capital		
Debt obligations	\$1,265.2	\$1,135.7
Loans payable of Consolidated Funds	3,866.3	17,064.7
Loans payable of a consolidated real estate VIE at fair value (principal amount of \$144.4	79.4	75.4
million and \$125.6 million as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively)	19. 4	73.4
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities	369.8	463.8
Accrued compensation and benefits	1,661.8	1,953.2
Due to affiliates	223.6	245.9
Deferred revenue	54.0	40.9
Deferred tax liabilities	76.6	103.5
Other liabilities of Consolidated Funds	637.0	1,838.6
Other liabilities of a consolidated real estate VIE	124.5	84.4
Accrued giveback obligations	160.8	252.0
Total liabilities	8,519.0	23,258.1
Commitments and contingencies		
Redeemable non-controlling interests in consolidated entities	_	2,845.9
Partners' capital (common units, 84,610,951 and 80,408,702 issued and outstanding as of	403.1	485.9
December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively)		
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(95.2)	(90.1)
Partners' capital appropriated for Consolidated Funds	_	120.8
Non-controlling interests in consolidated entities	277.8	4,493.8
Non-controlling interests in Carlyle Holdings	868.3	1,067.2
Total partners' capital	1,454.0	6,077.6
Total liabilities and partners' capital	\$9,973.0	\$32,181.6

See accompanying notes.

The Carlyle Group L.P.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Consolidated Statements of Operations (Dollars in millions, except unit and per unit data)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Revenues			
Fund management fees	\$1,076.1	\$1,085.2	\$1,166.3
Performance fees			
Realized	1,129.5	1,441.9	1,328.7
Unrealized	(377.7)	(617.0)	345.7
Total performance fees	751.8	824.9	1,674.4
Investment income (loss)			
Realized	112.9	32.9	23.7
Unrealized	47.6	(17.7)	(30.9)
Total investment income (loss)	160.5	15.2	(7.2)
Interest and other income	23.9	18.6	20.6
Interest and other income of Consolidated Funds	166.9	975.5	956.0
Revenue of a consolidated real estate VIE	95.1	86.8	70.2
Total revenues	2,274.3	3,006.2	3,880.3
Expenses			
Compensation and benefits			
Base compensation	647.1	632.2	789.0
Equity-based compensation	334.6	378.0	344.0
Performance fee related			
Realized	580.5	650.5	590.7
Unrealized	(227.4)	(139.6)	282.2
Total compensation and benefits	1,334.8	1,521.1	2,005.9
General, administrative and other expenses	521.1	712.8	526.8
Interest	61.3	58.0	55.7
Interest and other expenses of Consolidated Funds	128.5	1,039.3	1,042.0
Interest and other expenses of a consolidated real estate VIE	207.6		