

LANCASTER COLONY CORP
Form DEF 14A
October 14, 2011

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, DC 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

(RULE 14a-101)

INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities

Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Confidential, For Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material Pursuant to Section 240.14a-12

LANCASTER COLONY CORPORATION

(Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if Other Than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required.

Edgar Filing: LANCASTER COLONY CORP - Form DEF 14A

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the form or schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount previously paid:

Edgar Filing: LANCASTER COLONY CORP - Form DEF 14A

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

37 West Broad Street

Columbus, Ohio 43215

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

To Be Held On November 21, 2011

The Annual Meeting of Shareholders (the Annual Meeting) of Lancaster Colony Corporation (the Corporation) will be held at 11:00 a.m., Eastern Standard Time, on November 21, 2011, in the Lilac meeting room at The Hilton Columbus at Easton, 3900 Chagrin Drive, Columbus, Ohio 43219.

The meeting will be held for the following purposes:

1. To elect three directors, each for a term that expires in 2014;
2. To approve, by non-binding vote, the compensation of the Corporation s named executive officers;
3. To recommend, by non-binding vote, the frequency of future non-binding votes on the compensation of the Corporation s named executive officers;
4. To ratify the selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP as the Corporation s independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending June 30, 2012; and
5. To transact such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting or any adjournments or postponements of the Annual Meeting.

By action of the Board of Directors, only persons who are holders of record of shares of the Corporation at the close of business on September 23, 2011 will be entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting.

If you do not expect to attend the Annual Meeting, please sign, date and return the enclosed proxy card, which is being solicited by the Corporation s Board of Directors. A self-addressed envelope which requires no postage is enclosed for your convenience in returning the proxy. Its prompt return would be appreciated. Alternatively, internet voting is available, as described in the proxy voting instructions on your proxy card. The giving of the proxy will not affect your right to vote in person should you find it convenient to attend the Annual Meeting. If you are the beneficial owner of shares held in street name by a broker, bank or other nominee, the broker, bank or nominee, as the record holder of the shares, should have enclosed a voting instruction card for you to use in directing it on how to vote your shares.

John B. Gerlach, Jr.
Chairman of the Board,

Chief Executive Officer

and President

October 14, 2011

LANCASTER COLONY CORPORATION

37 West Broad Street

Columbus, Ohio 43215

PROXY STATEMENT

General Information

This Proxy Statement is furnished to the shareholders of Lancaster Colony Corporation (the Corporation) in connection with the solicitation by the Board of Directors of the Corporation (the Board) of proxies to be used in voting at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held November 21, 2011, in the Lilac meeting room at The Hilton Columbus at Easton, 3900 Chagrin Drive, Columbus, Ohio 43219, at 11:00 a.m., Eastern Standard Time (the Annual Meeting). The enclosed proxy card, if completed and forwarded to the Corporation prior to the Annual Meeting, will be voted in accordance with the instructions contained therein. The proposals referred to on the enclosed proxy card are described in this Proxy Statement. This Proxy Statement and enclosed proxy card are first being mailed to shareholders on or about October 14, 2011.

A proxy may be revoked by the person giving it any time before it is exercised. Such revocation, to be effective, must be communicated to the Secretary or Assistant Secretary of the Corporation prior to the Annual Meeting. The presence of a shareholder at the Annual Meeting will not revoke his or her proxy unless specific notice thereof is given to the Secretary or Assistant Secretary of the Corporation.

The Corporation will bear the cost of solicitation of proxies, including any charges and expenses of brokerage firms and others for forwarding solicitation material to the beneficial owners of the Corporation's shares. Proxies may be solicited by personal interview, mail, telephone and electronic communications through the efforts of officers and regular employees of the Corporation.

The Board has fixed the close of business on September 23, 2011 as the record date for the determination of shareholders entitled to receive notice and to vote at the Annual Meeting or any adjournments or postponements thereof. At September 23, 2011, the Corporation had outstanding and entitled to vote 27,255,470 shares of Common Stock, without par value (Common Stock), with each share of Common Stock entitling its holder to one vote. The Corporation has no other class of stock outstanding.

The presence, in person or by proxy, of a majority of the outstanding shares of Common Stock of the Corporation is necessary to constitute a quorum for the transaction of business at the Annual Meeting. Proxies reflecting abstentions and broker non-votes are counted for purposes of determining the presence or absence of a quorum. Broker non-votes occur when brokers, who hold their customers' shares in street name, sign and submit proxies for those shares but fail to vote those shares on some matters.

If you are the beneficial owner of shares held in street name by a broker, bank or other nominee, the broker, bank or nominee, as the record holder of the shares, should have enclosed a voting instruction card for you to use in directing it on how to vote your shares.

Voting Requirements

The following are the voting requirements for the items of business listed on the Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders that are expected to be conducted at the Annual Meeting, along with an explanation of how broker non-votes and abstentions will be treated for purposes of each proposal:

1. Proposal One: The election of the director nominees requires the favorable vote of a plurality of all votes cast by the holders of the Common Stock at a meeting at which a quorum is present. Broker non-votes and proxies marked Withhold will not be counted toward the election of directors or toward the election of individual nominees specified in the form of proxy and, thus, will have no effect on the outcome of this proposal.
2. Proposal Two: The non-binding approval of the compensation of our named executive officers requires the favorable vote of a plurality of all votes cast by the holders of the Common Stock at a meeting at

which a quorum is present. Broker non-votes and abstentions will have no effect on the outcome of this proposal.

3. Proposal Three: The non-binding shareholder recommendation on the frequency of future votes on the compensation of our named executive officers requires the favorable vote of a plurality of all votes cast by the holders of the Common Stock at a meeting at which a quorum is present. The frequency (every year, every two years or every three years) that receives a plurality of all votes cast by the holders of the Common Stock at a meeting at which a quorum is present will be considered the frequency recommended by the shareholders. Broker non-votes and abstentions will have no effect on the outcome of this proposal.

4. Proposal Four: The ratification of the Corporation's independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending June 30, 2012 also requires the favorable vote of a plurality of all votes cast by the holders of the Common Stock at a meeting at which a quorum is present. Broker non-votes and abstentions will have no effect on the outcome of this proposal.

PROPOSAL ONE

NOMINATION AND ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

The Board currently consists of nine members and is divided into three classes of three members each. The members of the three classes are elected to serve for staggered terms of three years.

The names and ages of the Corporation's nominees for director and continuing directors; their principal occupations during the past five years; their specific experiences, qualifications, attributes or skills that qualify them to serve as directors; and certain other information are listed below. Each of the nominees is a director standing for re-election. Each nominee has consented to stand for election for a term expiring at the Corporation's 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. In the event that any of the nominees becomes unavailable to serve as a director before the Annual Meeting, the Board will designate a new nominee and the persons named as proxies will vote for that substitute nominee.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR the election of each of the nominees listed below by executing and returning the enclosed proxy card.

Nominees for Term to Expire in 2014

Name	Principal Occupation	Age	Director Since
Robert L. Fox	Financial Adviser for Wells Fargo Advisors, a stock brokerage firm, since July 2008; Financial Adviser for A.G. Edwards & Sons, Inc., a stock brokerage firm, from 2005 to July 2008; and Financial Adviser for Advest, Inc., a stock brokerage firm, from 1978 to 2005 Mr. Fox has over 30 years of experience in the securities industry analyzing and evaluating the financial, operational and managerial capabilities of public companies. Mr. Fox's experience brings an investor's perspective of the Corporation to the Board. As a member of the Board for 20 years, Mr. Fox has gained an extensive knowledge of our business, our history and the markets we serve.	62	1991
John B. Gerlach, Jr.	Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and President of the Corporation since 1997 Mr. Gerlach brings significant leadership and operational management experience to the Board. Mr. Gerlach has demonstrated strong executive leadership skills through over 20 years of executive officer service with the Corporation, including over 13 years as Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Gerlach is the Corporation's longest serving director. This experience, combined with his service on the board of Huntington Bancshares Incorporated and numerous non-profit organizations, provides Mr. Gerlach with vast board level leadership capabilities.	57	1985

Name	Principal Occupation	Age	Director Since
Edward H. Jennings	Retired since 2002; President Emeritus of The Ohio State University since 1990; Interim President of The Ohio State University from July 1, 2002 to September 30, 2002; and Professor of Finance at The Ohio State University from 1990 to 2002. Mr. Jennings is also a director of Clean Coal Technologies Inc. and a former director of Freedom Bank As President of The Ohio State University for nine years, Mr. Jennings was the chief executive of one of the nation's largest universities. This experience has enabled Mr. Jennings to understand the operations and issues encountered in a large organization. Further, Mr. Jennings background as a Professor of Finance provides expertise for the financial oversight of the Corporation.	74	1990

Continuing Directors

Name	Principal Occupation	Age	Term Expires	Director Since
James B. Bachmann	Retired since 2003; and Managing Partner of the Columbus, Ohio office of Ernst & Young LLP, a registered independent public accounting firm, from 1992 to 2003 Mr. Bachmann's significant public company accounting and financial experience has been immensely valuable to the Corporation and to the Audit Committee. He is also a director of Abercrombie & Fitch Co. and chair of its audit committee, providing additional experience overseeing the issues that face public companies operating in retail markets.	68	2012	2003
Neeli Bendapudi	Dean, School of Business, University of Kansas since August 1, 2011; Professor/Associate Professor of Marketing at The Ohio State University from 1996 to 2007 and from October 2008 to July 2011; and Executive Vice President and Chief Customer Officer of Huntington National Bank from April 2007 until October 2008 Ms. Bendapudi's extensive knowledge of marketing, brand strategies and consumer behavior provides considerable benefit in the Board's oversight of our retail marketing strategies in the Specialty Foods and Glassware and Candles segments. As a professor, Ms. Bendapudi adds to the diversity of experience the Corporation values in its leadership.	48	2012	2005

Name	Principal Occupation	Age	Term Expires	Director Since
John L. Boylan	Chief Financial Officer, Vice President and Assistant Secretary of the Corporation since 1996; and Treasurer of the Corporation since 1990 Mr. Boylan has been with the Corporation for over 25 years. Mr. Boylan has extensive leadership and financial management experience with the Corporation. Mr. Boylan provides the Board with valuable insight into management's perspective with respect to the Corporation's operations. As our Chief Financial Officer, Mr. Boylan also adds to the Board detailed knowledge of the Corporation's financial performance. Mr. Boylan has been a director of the Corporation for over 12 years.	56	2012	1998
Kenneth L. Cooke	Director, Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of Intermedix Corporation, an emergency healthcare services company, since March 2008; Global Chief Information Officer of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, a registered independent public accounting firm, from July 2001 to March 2008; and Partner, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP from 1987 to March 2008 Mr. Cooke has over 30 years of experience in public accounting during which time he advised and audited a variety of public and private corporations. At PricewaterhouseCoopers, Mr. Cooke served in a variety of senior positions specializing in tax, audit and other accounting services. His current position provides additional experience as an operations officer and director. Mr. Cooke also has significant experience in information technology as well as mergers and acquisitions and has worked with other food businesses. The breadth and depth of Mr. Cooke's experience enables Mr. Cooke to provide significant contributions to the Board.	62	2013	2010
Alan F. Harris	Retired since 2007; Executive Vice President and Chief Marketing and Customer Officer of Kellogg Company, a food products company, from 2003 to 2007; and Executive Vice President and President, Kellogg Company International Division from 2000 to 2003 With over 23 years of experience at Kellogg in a variety of positions, Mr. Harris possesses extensive domestic and international experience in the retail food industry, as well as considerable consumer marketing expertise. In addition, Mr. Harris embodies many other desirable qualities that contribute to the leadership of the Corporation, including strong general management breadth and experience and significant strategic acumen. Mr. Harris has made significant contributions in key areas of oversight, including strategic planning, risk assessment and product development.	57	2013	2008

Name	Principal Occupation	Age	Term Expires	Director Since
Zuheir Sofia	<p>Chairman, President, & CEO of Business Bank of Florida, Corp., a bank holding company, since April 2007; President and Chief Executive Officer of Florida Business Bank, from July 2009 to March 2010; Chairman of Sofia & Company, Inc., a financial advisory firm, since 1998; and President, Chief Operating Officer and Treasurer of Huntington Bancshares Incorporated, a financial holding company, from 1984 to 1998. Mr. Sofia is a former director of Dominion Homes, Inc.</p> <p>Mr. Sofia's extensive leadership experience with Huntington, Sofia & Company, Florida Business Bank and Business Bank of Florida, Corp. has allowed Mr. Sofia to bring to the Board his demonstrated management ability at the executive level. Mr. Sofia's business experience includes responsibility for treasury, operations, technology, capital markets and directing merger and acquisition activity. Mr. Sofia's current and past service as a director of several public and private companies and as chairman of non-profit organizations also provides invaluable leadership and business experience.</p>	67	2013	1998

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The Board has standing Audit, Compensation, Nominating and Governance and Executive Committees. In addition, the Board has adopted a Corporate Governance Program that includes Corporate Governance Principles, a Code of Business Ethics and Standards of Conduct. The charters of the Audit, Compensation and Nominating and Governance Committees and the Corporate Governance Principles, Code of Business Ethics and Standards of Conduct are posted on the corporate governance page of the Corporation's web site at www.lancastercolony.com.

Director Independence The Board and the Nominating and Governance Committee have reviewed and evaluated transactions and relationships with Board members to determine the independence of each of the members. The Board does not believe that any of its nonemployee members have relationships with the Corporation that would interfere with the exercise of independent judgment in carrying out his or her responsibilities as a director. The Board and the Nominating and Governance Committee have determined that a majority of the Board's members are independent directors, as that term is defined in the applicable Nasdaq Global Select Market (Nasdaq) listing standards. The Board has identified and determined that Ms. Bendapudi and Messrs. Bachmann, Cooke, Fox, Harris, Jennings and Sofia are independent directors or director nominees and that, prior to departing from the Board in November 2010, Mr. O'Neill was an independent director.

Board Attendance Each member of the Board is expected to make a reasonable effort to attend all meetings of the Board, all applicable committee meetings, and each annual meeting of shareholders. All members of the Board attended the 2010 Annual Meeting of Shareholders and each of the current members of the Board is expected to attend the 2011 Annual Meeting. The Board held a total of five meetings during fiscal 2011. Each director attended at least 75% of the aggregate meetings of the Board and the committees on which they served during fiscal 2011.

Board Leadership Structure Mr. John B. Gerlach, Jr., the Corporation's Chief Executive Officer (CEO), currently serves as the Corporation's Chairman of the Board. The Board believes that the Corporation and its shareholders are best served by retaining the Board's flexibility to allocate the responsibilities of Chairman of the Board and CEO in any way that is in the best interests of the Corporation at any future point in

time. Adopting a policy that restricts the Board's discretion in selecting the Chairman of the Board (as well as restricting the ability to combine the positions of Chairman and CEO) would deprive the Board of the ability to select the most qualified and appropriate individual to lead the Board as Chairman.

In November 2007, the Board amended its Corporate Governance Principles to provide that the Corporation shall have a Lead Independent Director at any time during which the positions of Chairman of the Board and CEO are held by the same person. At that time, Mr. James Bachmann was appointed Lead Independent Director. Under the amended Corporate Governance Principles, the Lead Independent Director:

Works closely with the Chairman to approve the information presented to the Board and set and approve meeting agendas and meeting schedules;

Chairs meetings of the Board in the absence of the Chairman;

Oversees meetings of the independent directors, including executive sessions of the nonemployee directors;

Serves as the principal liaison between the independent directors and the Chairman;

Takes a leading role in the Board evaluation process; and

Has the authority to call meetings of the independent directors from time to time.

Mr. Gerlach, in his capacities as Chairman and CEO, serves as a bridge between the Board and management and provides critical leadership for carrying out the Corporation's strategic initiatives and confronting its challenges, especially in light of the Corporation's recent and continuing transition to a food-focused company. In short, the Board believes that a Chairman who is a member of the management team is well situated to oversee and execute the Corporation's strategy and business plans to maximize shareholder value. The Board believes that Board independence and oversight of management are effectively maintained through the Board's current composition, committee system and the position of Lead Independent Director.

Board Role in Risk Oversight The Board, together with the Audit Committee and the Compensation Committee, are primarily responsible for overseeing the Corporation's risk management. Management of the Corporation has formed an Enterprise Risk Management Committee, or ERM Committee, consisting of the Chief Financial Officer, Director of Internal Audit and General Counsel. The primary responsibility of the ERM Committee is to promote the development of sound policies, procedures and practices for managing the Corporation's material risks and to report the results of the ERM Committee's activities to the Audit Committee. The ERM Committee provides the Audit Committee with reports on a regular basis and the full Board is provided an overview of key risks from various members of senior management. In addition, the Compensation Committee oversees risk requiring its expertise, such as those related to incentive compensation programs and policies.

Although the Board and its committees oversee risk management for the Corporation, management is responsible for the day-to-day management and mitigation of the Corporation's risks. We believe this division of responsibility reflects the appropriate roles of the Board and management in assessing and managing risks and has no effect on the Board's leadership structure.

Director Qualifications The Nominating and Governance Committee will look for candidates who possess qualifications that meet our strategic needs; possess the highest personal and professional ethics, integrity and values; have an understanding of our business; have diverse experiences in key business, financial and other challenges that are faced by a publicly held retail company; and represent the long-term interest of our shareholders. In particular, the Nominating and Governance Committee will look for candidates with special and diverse experience in areas such as management of public companies or other large organizations; consumer packaged goods, particularly retail food companies; investment banking or the banking industry; accounting and finance; and retail/mass marketing experience. We expect our directors to represent all shareholders rather than special interest groups or any group of shareholders.

Corporate Governance Principles The Board, on the recommendation of the Nominating and Governance Committee, adopted a set of Corporate Governance Principles in 2005. The Corporate Governance

Principles relate to the role, composition, structure and functions of the Board. The Nominating and Governance Committee is responsible for periodically reviewing these Corporate Governance Principles and recommending any changes to the Board.

Code of Business Ethics and Standards of Conduct The Corporation has adopted a Code of Business Ethics and Standards of Conduct that inform the Corporation's directors and employees of their legal and ethical obligations to the Corporation and set a high standard of business conduct. The Code of Business Ethics and Standards of Conduct apply to all employees and, where applicable, to directors of the Corporation. The Corporation intends to satisfy the disclosure requirement under Item 5.05 of Form 8-K regarding any amendment to, or waiver from, any provision (including the standards listed under Item 406(b) of Regulation S-K) of the Code of Business Ethics that applies to the Corporation's principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions by posting such information on the Corporation's web site, the address of which is www.lancastercolony.com.

Shareholder Communication with the Board Any of the directors may be contacted by writing to them at: Board of Directors, c/o Corporate Secretary's Office, Lancaster Colony Corporation, 37 West Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215. The independent directors have requested that the Secretary of the Corporation act as their agent in processing any communications received. All communications that relate to matters that are within the scope of responsibilities of the Board and its committees will be forwarded to the independent directors. Communications relating to matters within the responsibility of one of the committees of the Board will be forwarded to the Chairperson of the appropriate committee. Communications relating to ordinary business matters are not within the scope of the Board's responsibility and will be forwarded to the appropriate officer at the Corporation. Solicitations, advertising materials and frivolous or inappropriate communications will not be forwarded.

BOARD COMMITTEES AND MEETINGS

Audit Committee The Board has established an audit committee (the Audit Committee) in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, that currently consists of Messrs. Bachmann, Cooke, Harris and Jennings. Mr. Bachmann serves as Chairperson of the Audit Committee. It has been determined by the Board that each member of the Audit Committee meets Nasdaq independence requirements and that Mr. Bachmann is an Audit Committee financial expert, as defined in Item 407(d)(5) of Regulation S-K, due to his business experience and background described previously within this Proxy Statement. The Audit Committee operates pursuant to a charter that was approved by the Board in 2004 and amended in 2007 and 2010. The duties of the Audit Committee include the responsibility of reviewing financial information (both external and internal) about the Corporation and its subsidiaries so as to assure (i) that the overall audit coverage of the Corporation and its subsidiaries is satisfactory and appropriate to protect the shareholders from undue risks and (ii) that an adequate system of internal financial control has been designed and implemented throughout the Corporation and is being effectively maintained. Additionally, the Audit Committee has sole authority and direct responsibility with respect to the appointment, compensation, retention and oversight of the Corporation's independent registered public accounting firm, or independent auditor. Also, as part of its duties, the Audit Committee has adopted procedures for receiving and acting on complaints received by the Corporation regarding accounting, internal accounting controls and auditing issues. Such complaints should be sent to the attention of the Corporate Secretary's Office, Lancaster Colony Corporation, 37 West Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215. The Audit Committee held four meetings during fiscal 2011.

Compensation Committee The Board has established a compensation committee (the Compensation Committee) that currently consists of Messrs. Fox, Jennings and Sofia and Ms. Bendapudi. Mr. Jennings serves as Chairperson of the Compensation Committee. It has been determined by the Board that each member of the Compensation Committee meets Nasdaq independence requirements. The Compensation Committee operates pursuant to a charter that was approved by the Board in 2004 and amended in 2008 and 2010. The duties of the Compensation Committee include: annually determining the compensation of the Chief Executive Officer and reviewing and approving goals and objectives relevant to his activities; reviewing and approving the Chief Executive Officer's recommendations as to the compensation to be paid other executive officers of the

Corporation; reviewing and approving offers to potential executive officers to join the Corporation; reviewing and approving perquisite policies; reviewing and approving employment agreements, severance or retention plans or agreements and severance or termination payments; overseeing regulatory compliance regarding compensation matters; establishing and evaluating performance goals and the level of achievement of such goals; reviewing and offering advice regarding director compensation, equity-based compensation and retirement pay; administering equity-based compensation plans and approving equity awards; reporting activities to the Board; reviewing and discussing the Compensation Discussion and Analysis with the Corporation's management; determining whether to recommend to the Board that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in the Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K and Proxy Statement; preparing a Compensation Committee Report for inclusion in the Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K and Proxy Statement; annually reviewing the Compensation Committee charter; and annually evaluating the Compensation Committee's performance. The charter does not provide the Compensation Committee with any delegation authority regarding its duties, except for the ability to delegate authority to approve equity awards to a subcommittee of the Compensation Committee. See the discussion below under Compensation Discussion and Analysis and Compensation of Directors for more information about the Compensation Committee's processes and procedures. The Compensation Committee held four meetings during fiscal 2011.

Nominating and Governance Committee The Board has established a nominating and governance committee (the Nominating and Governance Committee) that currently consists of Messrs. Fox, Harris and Sofia and Ms. Bendapudi. Mr. Sofia serves as Chairperson of the Nominating and Governance Committee. It has been determined by the Board that each member of the Nominating and Governance Committee meets Nasdaq independence requirements. The Nominating and Governance Committee operates pursuant to a charter that was approved by the Board in 2004 and amended in 2005 and 2010. The duties of the Nominating and Governance Committee include identification and nominations to the Board of candidates for election as directors of the Corporation and the development and review of a set of Corporate Governance Principles. As part of its assigned duties, the Nominating and Governance Committee has reviewed the Corporate Governance Principles and found them to be acceptable in scope and application and has so reported to the Board. The Nominating and Governance Committee held four meetings during fiscal 2011.

The Nominating and Governance Committee uses different sources to identify Board candidates, including the Corporation's executive officers and current members of the Board. The Nominating and Governance Committee also considers the nomination of director candidates recommended by shareholders in conformance with the tests and standards outlined in the Nominating and Governance Committee's charter and the Corporation's Amended and Restated Code of Regulations. The Nominating and Governance Committee uses the same manner and process for evaluating every candidate for Board membership, regardless of the original source of the candidate's nomination. The Nominating and Governance Committee generally considers the subject of diversity as described above under Corporate Governance Director Qualifications. Recommendations to the Nominating and Governance Committee from shareholders regarding candidates must be delivered to the Corporation's Corporate Secretary no later than June 30 of the year in which such shareholder proposes that the recommended candidate stand for election. Section 2.03 of the Corporation's Code of Regulations authorizes director nominations to be made by shareholders if the conditions specified therein are met, including the giving of advance notice and the furnishing of certain personal background information and a written statement from the proposed candidate agreeing to be identified in the Proxy Statement as a nominee and, if elected, to serve as a director. The Nominating and Governance Committee currently has not set specific, minimum qualifications or criteria for nominees that it proposes for Board membership, but evaluates the entirety of each candidate's credentials. The Nominating and Governance Committee believes, however, that the Corporation will be best served if its directors bring to the Board a variety of experience and backgrounds and, among other things, demonstrated integrity, executive leadership and financial, marketing or business knowledge and experience.

Executive Committee The Board has established an executive committee (the Executive Committee) that currently consists of Messrs. Gerlach, Fox, and Bachmann. No particular director serves as Chairperson of the Executive Committee. The Executive Committee operates pursuant to resolutions that were adopted by the

Board in February 2008. The Executive Committee exercises the power and authority of the Board in managing the business and affairs of the Corporation (other than any power or authority specifically precluded by applicable law, the Corporation's Articles of Incorporation or Amended and Restated Code of Regulations, or by limiting resolutions of the Board), but the Executive Committee acts only in the intervals between meetings of the Board. Furthermore, all acts of the Executive Committee must be reported at the next Board meeting. The Executive Committee did not meet during fiscal 2011.

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

To the Corporation's knowledge, based solely on its review of copies of forms filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), all filing requirements applicable to the officers, directors and beneficial owners of more than 10% of the outstanding Common Stock under Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, were complied with during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS

The following shareholders have beneficial ownership, directly or indirectly, of more than five percent of the outstanding Common Stock as of September 23, 2011:

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Amount of Beneficial Ownership	Percent of Class(1)
John B. Gerlach, Jr.(2)(3)(4)(5)(6)(7) c/o Lancaster Colony Corporation 37 West Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43215	Direct and indirect	8,249,596	30.27%
Dareth A. Gerlach(8) c/o Lancaster Colony Corporation 37 West Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43215	Direct and indirect	5,946,083	21.82%
Royce & Associates, LLC(10) 745 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10151	Direct and indirect	1,999,078	7.33%
BlackRock, Inc.(9) 40 East 52nd Street New York, NY 10022	Direct and indirect	1,444,610	5.30%

- (1) Percentages based upon 27,255,470 shares outstanding as of September 23, 2011.
- (2) Holdings include shares owned by spouse and shares held in custodianship or as trustee. Mr. Gerlach disclaims beneficial ownership in such holdings with respect to 7,492,006 shares.
- (3) Mr. Gerlach, a trustee of Gerlach Foundation, Inc., shares voting and investment power in this foundation, which is a private charitable foundation. Gerlach Foundation, Inc. holds 327,326 shares. These shares are included in the above table. The FG Foundation, a supporting foundation (of which Mr. Fox and Mr. Gerlach serve as trustees) of a public charitable foundation, Fox Foundation, Inc. and Gerlach Foundation, Inc. together control an additional 620,122 shares held by Lehrs, Inc. The shares held by Lehrs, Inc. are also included in the total number of shares held by Mr. Gerlach. Mr. Gerlach is also an officer of Lancaster Lens, Inc. and shares voting and investment power with respect to the 144,649 shares owned by it. Mr. Gerlach disclaims beneficial ownership of any of these shares, all of which are also reported in footnote 2.
- (4) Mr. Gerlach, by virtue of his stock ownership and positions with the Corporation, may be deemed a control person of the Corporation.
- (5) Mr. Gerlach is trustee and his mother, Dareth A. Gerlach, is special trustee of the John B. Gerlach Marital Deduction Trust A-1. This trust presently holds 5,737,602 shares. Mr. Gerlach is also trustee and his mother, Dareth A. Gerlach, is a special trustee of the John B. Gerlach Taxable Irrevocable Trust. This trust presently holds 137,430 shares. These shares are included in the total number of shares held by Mr. Gerlach in the above table. Mr. Gerlach disclaims beneficial ownership of these shares, all of which are also reported in footnote 2.

Edgar Filing: LANCASTER COLONY CORP - Form DEF 14A

- (6) Includes 348,000 shares held by a family limited partnership and 12,500 shares held by a corporation which is the general partner of the family limited partnership. Mr. Gerlach shares indirect beneficial ownership of these shares.
- (7) Includes 12,770 shares held through the Lancaster Colony Corporation Employee Stock Ownership Plan and 787 shares held through the Lancaster Colony Corporation 401(k) Savings Plan.
- (8) Includes 5,737,602 shares that are held by the John B. Gerlach Marital Deduction Trust A-1 and 137,430 shares held by the John B. Gerlach Taxable Irrevocable Trust with respect to which Dareth A. Gerlach is special trustee and has sole voting power. See footnote 5.
- (9) BlackRock, Inc. filed a Schedule 13G with the SEC on February 7, 2011 indicating that, as of December 31, 2010 BlackRock, Inc. has sole voting and dispositive power with respect to 1,444,610 shares.

(10) Royce & Associates, LLC. filed a Schedule 13G with the SEC on January 14, 2011 indicating that, as of December 31, 2010 Royce & Associates, LLC has sole voting and dispositive power with respect to 1,999,078 shares.

The following information indicates the beneficial ownership by all executive officers and directors of the Corporation as a group, each individual director or director nominee and each individual officer named in the 2011 Summary Compensation Table below, of the outstanding Common Stock as of September 23, 2011:

Name of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percent of Class(1)
James B. Bachmann	5,870 shares(2)	*
Neeli Bendapudi	5,395 shares(2)	*
John L. Boylan	18,570 shares(3)(4)(9)(10)	*
Kenneth L. Cooke	3,665 shares(2)	*
Robert L. Fox	1,061,995 shares(2)(6)	3.90%
John B. Gerlach, Jr.	8,249,596 shares(3)(4)(7)	30.27%
Alan F. Harris	4,370 shares(2)	*
Edward H. Jennings	6,169 shares(2)	*
Bruce L. Rosa	65,827 shares(3)(4)(5)(9)(11)	*
Zuheir Sofia	11,069 shares(2)	*
All executive officers and directors as a group		
(10 persons)	8,812,404 shares(8)	32.33%

* Less than 1%

- (1) Individual percentages based upon 27,255,470 shares outstanding as of September 23, 2011. Percentage as a group is based on 27,258,371 outstanding shares, which includes the amounts noted in (10) and (11) below.
- (2) Includes for each nonemployee director 1,165 shares of restricted stock received pursuant to the terms of the 2005 Stock Plan. The restricted stock vests one year from the grant date, or earlier upon a change in control of the Corporation, or the death or disability of the recipient.
- (3) Includes the following number of shares held through the Lancaster Colony Corporation Employee Stock Ownership Plan: John L. Boylan 6,204; John B. Gerlach, Jr. 12,770; and Bruce L. Rosa 11,027.
- (4) Includes the following number of shares held through the Lancaster Colony Corporation 401(k) Savings Plan: John L. Boylan 770; John B. Gerlach, Jr. 787; and Bruce L. Rosa 794.
- (5) Holdings include 50,458 shares held in a trust of which Mr. Rosa has beneficial ownership.
- (6) Holdings include shares owned by spouse and children and shares held in custodianship or as trustee. Mr. Fox disclaims beneficial ownership in such holdings with respect to 132,320 shares. In addition, Mr. Fox, a trustee of Fox Foundation, Inc., shares voting and investment power with his foundation, which is a private charitable foundation. Fox Foundation, Inc. holds 60,269 shares. These shares are included in the above table. The FG Foundation, a supporting foundation (of which Mr. Fox and Mr. Gerlach serve as trustees) of a public charitable foundation, Fox Foundation, Inc. and Gerlach Foundation, Inc. together control an additional 620,122 shares held by Lehrs, Inc. The shares held by Lehrs, Inc. are also included in the total number of shares held by Mr. Fox. Mr. Fox disclaims beneficial ownership of any of these shares.

Edgar Filing: LANCASTER COLONY CORP - Form DEF 14A

- (7) See also the footnotes for Mr. Gerlach in the beneficial ownership table listed previously within this Proxy Statement.
- (8) For purposes of this calculation, the 620,122 shares held by Lehrs, Inc. have only been counted once.
- (9) Includes 1,300 shares of restricted stock received pursuant to the terms of the 2005 Stock Plan. The restricted stock vests three years from the grant date, or earlier upon a change in control of the Corporation, or the death or disability of the recipient.
- (10) Includes 2,798 shares available from vested stock appreciation rights, assuming exercise on September 23, 2011.
- (11) Includes 103 shares available from vested stock appreciation rights, assuming exercise on September 23, 2011.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

In this section, we discuss the principles underlying our executive compensation policies and decisions and the most important factors relevant to an analysis of these policies and decisions. We provide qualitative information regarding the manner and context in which compensation is awarded to and earned by our executive officers to give perspective to the data we present in the compensation tables below, as well as the narratives that follow the tables.

Executive Summary

During 2011, we continued our food-focused strategy that we believe will best enhance long-term shareholder value. The strategy involves growing our existing specialty food businesses, both organically and through acquisitions, while continuing to manage our remaining glassware and candles business, which we periodically reassess for strategic fit and contribution. Overall, the strategy has resulted in the Specialty Foods Segment providing 85% of our consolidated sales and a higher percentage of operating income.

During fiscal 2011, we achieved the following financial outcomes:

Overall sales increased 3.1% to \$1.09 billion, a record level for the Corporation.

Operating income declined by 15.6% in the face of significant increases in food commodity and wax costs.

Net income and net income per common share (fully diluted) declined by 7.5% and 5.7%, respectively, with the net income per common share figure reflecting our continuing buyback of outstanding shares.

Return on beginning shareholders' equity was 21.9%.

Our financial strength remained strong with a year-end cash balance of \$132.3 million and no debt.

As a result of these financial outcomes, our ongoing shareholders experienced a total shareholder return of 16.8% during fiscal 2011, reflecting a combination of share price gains and dividends.

Based primarily on the financial performance results noted above, we provided the following pay outcomes to our named executive officers (Messrs. Gerlach, Boylan and Rosa) for fiscal 2011:

We provided an average salary increase of 4.4% during 2011 with a range of 3.5% to 5.3%, reflecting that fiscal 2010 performance was the best in our Company's history.

Annual incentive payouts declined in the aggregate by 26.1%, reflecting relatively lower fiscal 2011 performance versus fiscal 2010 performance (figures are the combination of the Bonus and Non-Equity Incentive Compensation columns from our 2011 Summary Compensation Table).

The aggregate value of equity incentive grants (made only to Messrs. Boylan and Rosa) declined by 7.2% (figures are the combination of the Stock Awards and Option Awards column from our 2011 Summary Compensation Table).

Total compensation for the three executives declined by 8.1% in the aggregate, reflecting the Total column of our 2011 Summary Compensation Table.

Edgar Filing: LANCASTER COLONY CORP - Form DEF 14A

In addition, the named executive officers each have significant equity positions and shared in the overall gains experienced by shareholders in fiscal 2011. Our Compensation Committee believes the above pay outcomes were appropriate in the context of the financial and shareholder return performances described above.

Executive Compensation Program Philosophy and Objectives

Our executive compensation objective has been to reward our named executive officers (identified in our 2011 Summary Compensation Table) for their efforts in helping us achieve market or above-market financial

results, particularly within our Specialty Foods operations, and for helping us meet our strategic goals and increase long-term shareholder value. As a result, our basic executive compensation philosophy remains pay for performance.

For us, a pay for performance philosophy means providing market compensation packages when performance meets our expectations, but also realizing that results below our expectations may result in below-market compensation packages. To further this philosophy, we have designed our executive compensation program to achieve the following objectives:

incentivize our named executive officers to help us achieve superior financial and operational performance ;

continue to align our named executive officers compensation interests with our goal of creating long-term shareholder value; and

attract, motivate and retain key executive talent.

We believe our executive compensation program should promote long-term shareholder value and should not be overly influenced by the short-term performance of our stock. Our named executive officers are focused on promoting long-term shareholder value because they are significant shareholders of our Common Stock. Our experience, however, has been that salary, annual cash incentive awards and long-term equity-based awards, as the primary elements of our executive compensation program, are the best vehicles to align our executives interests with our goal of promoting long-term shareholder value. We also understand that our executive compensation programs provide a starting point, or baseline of comparison, for the compensation we pay to our other employees. For this reason, we believe our executive compensation program should strike an appropriate balance among rewards, incentives and expectations.

While these broad concepts generally govern our executive compensation program, we also take into account specific factors particular to each executive officer when making individual compensation decisions, which we describe in detail below. These factors include the executive s range of responsibilities and related performance measures and other individual factors affecting each executive s performance. We also engage in a general double-check of our executive compensation levels against amounts paid to executive officers with similar responsibilities in similarly situated companies, but we do not specifically benchmark compensation against percentiles or ranges of compensation provided by such companies.

Compensation Administration and Consultant

The Compensation Committee reviews and determines the compensation for our named executive officers. The compensation that we paid our named executive officers for fiscal year 2011 is disclosed in detail in the tables and narratives below under the heading Executive Compensation. Our Compensation Committee is also responsible for, among other things, structuring and administering the compensation programs and plans in which our named executive officers participate.

During fiscal year 2011, the Compensation Committee retained the services of an independent executive compensation consultant, Pearl Meyer & Partners, which we refer to as PM&P. PM&P reevaluated our peer group, and the Compensation Committee adopted changes to the peer group for 2011 based upon the information provided by PM&P. In addition, PM&P provided limited information regarding median compensation for certain executives, including Mr. Boylan and Mr. Rosa, which information was used by the Compensation Committee to obtain a general understanding of current compensation practices in our competitive market rather than benchmarking. Finally, PM&P provided an analysis regarding director compensation, and the information was considered in adopting changes to our director compensation package.

We implemented changes to our peer group for fiscal 2011 based upon information provided by and recommendations of PM&P. The criteria used to develop the peer group include comparisons to companies in similar industries, primarily the packaged foods and meats and personal products industrial classifications, and which were also of a similar size to our company, specifically with annual revenues between 50% and 200% of our annual revenues. Our fiscal 2011 revenues were near the median of the chosen peer company, while our

market capitalization was closer to the 75th percentile of the group. For 2011, we dropped two companies from the peer group used in 2010, American Italian Pasta Company and Bare Escentuals, because they were acquired by other companies during the course of the year. Our peer group for 2011 consists of the following companies:

Alberto-Culver Company	Imperial Sugar Company
B&G Foods, Inc.	J&J Snack Foods Corp.
Cal-Maine Foods, Inc.	Lance, Inc.
Central Garden & Pet Company	Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc.
Coca-Cola Bottling Co. Consolidated	Revlon, Inc.
Darling International Inc.	Sanderson Farms, Inc.
Diamond Foods, Inc.	Sanfilippo John B & Son, Inc.
Elizabeth Arden, Inc.	Seneca Foods Corporation
Green Mountain Coffee Roasters, Inc.	Tootsie Roll Industries, Inc.
Hain Celestial Group Inc.	TreeHouse Foods, Inc.
Hansen Natural Corporation	

In 2008, PM&P provided our Compensation Committee with recommendations regarding changes in our long-term equity incentive program based on characteristics of our competitive market, our goal to use equity compensation in a way that was more aligned with our compensation program philosophy and objectives and our overall corporate strategic objectives over subsequent years (including primarily our decision to increase our focus on our food business). We continued to implement the recommended changes to our long-term equity compensation program with grants of restricted stock and stock-settled stock appreciation rights in 2009, 2010 and 2011. Details of these grants with respect to our named executive officers are set forth below.

Compensation Processes, Procedures and Comparison to Peer Group

Generally, our Compensation Committee establishes salaries for the current fiscal year and annual cash incentive award payouts for the prior fiscal year at its regularly scheduled August meeting. Historically, at this meeting, our Compensation Committee first reviews the elements of each named executive officer's total compensation during the previous fiscal year. Our Chief Executive Officer then makes compensation recommendations to our Compensation Committee with respect to the executive officers who report to him, but those executive officers are not present in the meeting during compensation deliberations. The chairman of our Compensation Committee then makes compensation recommendations in executive session to our Compensation Committee with respect to our Chief Executive Officer, who is absent from the meeting at that time. Our Compensation Committee also compares our executive officers' compensation with that offered to executive officers employed by companies in our peer group, based on information about the peer group companies supplied by PM&P, during the first part of the review process as a double-check against market compensation practices rather than as a formal benchmarking process. For our 2011 compensation decisions, PM&P provided information regarding competitive pay for Mr. Boylan and Mr. Rosa that was presented at the Compensation Committee's August 2010 meeting.

Our Compensation Committee may accept or make adjustments to the recommendations it receives in establishing the final compensation for each of the named executive officers. In general, when setting each component of compensation for our named executive officers, our Compensation Committee considers the following performance factors:

our previous year's operating results and whether we achieved our performance objectives;

the relative value of the executive's unique skills, competencies and institutional knowledge;

the executive's performance of management and officer responsibilities; and

the executive's contribution toward our long-term strategic objectives and our goal of creating long-term shareholder value. Our Chief Executive Officer's compensation is also approved by all of our independent directors.

We granted new equity incentive awards in February 2011, and we discuss these grants in more detail below. We do not award equity compensation to Mr. Gerlach due to his already significant equity interest in our Corporation.

With the exception of our Chief Executive Officer, as discussed in more detail below, we believe the total cash compensation paid to our named executive officers (the combination of salary and annual cash incentives) for fiscal 2011 was in line with the median compensation paid for executives holding similar positions in our peer group based on the Compensation Committee's general understanding of current compensation practices in our competitive market.

Primary Elements of Compensation

We have established executive compensation objectives that are primarily focused on helping us create long-term shareholder value. We believe we can best achieve all of our executive compensation program objectives by offering competitive short-term cash compensation combined with appropriate long-term equity-based compensation tied to our operating results and our achievement of incremental shareholder value. To this end, the primary elements of our executive compensation program are salary, annual cash incentive awards and long-term equity-based incentive awards, which are described in detail below. Generally, we look at our named executive officers' compensation arrangements in total when establishing salaries, annual cash and long-term equity incentive awards.

Salaries. We provide our named executive officers with annual salaries both to attract and retain the executives and to provide them with a steady source of annual cash-based income. For each named executive officer, salary represents a non- at risk cash component of compensation. We establish our salaries at levels designed to reward our named executive officers for their overall level of expertise, responsibilities, experience and other factors unique to each individual executive officer, as determined by our Compensation Committee. However, our general policy is that salaries for our named executive officers should not exceed median salaries for executive officers with similar responsibilities within our peer group.

For fiscal year 2011, the amount of each named executive officer's salary increase, expressed as a percentage of such officer's fiscal year 2010 salary, was as follows: Mr. Gerlach, 5.26%; Mr. Boylan, 3.45% and Mr. Rosa, 3.75%. Salaries earned by our named executive officers for 2009, 2010 and 2011 appear below in the Salary column of our 2011 Summary Compensation Table. For fiscal year 2012, we have increased our named executive officers' salaries by 3.0%.

The Compensation Committee determined to increase Mr. Gerlach's salary for fiscal year 2011 based upon his lengthy experience with the Corporation and the Board's continued satisfaction with his performance, especially in light of pay levels for chief executive officers at peer companies. The Compensation Committee determined to increase Mr. Boylan's salary for 2011 after considering Mr. Boylan's lengthy experience handling financial matters for us and his in-depth knowledge of our business, and the Compensation Committee's and Mr. Gerlach's satisfaction with Mr. Boylan's job performance as Chief Financial Officer during 2010. The Compensation Committee determined to increase Mr. Rosa's salary for 2011 due to the Compensation Committee's ongoing desire to ensure retention of Mr. Rosa's services within our Specialty Foods operations. The Compensation Committee also considered Mr. Rosa's lengthy experience as President of our Specialty Foods Segment and his specific knowledge of our Specialty Foods operations and strategic plan and the Compensation Committee's and Mr. Gerlach's satisfaction with Mr. Rosa's job performance during 2010.

The Compensation Committee used its judgment in choosing to increase salaries for Messrs. Gerlach, Boylan and Rosa for 2011 by their respective amounts after taking into consideration Mr. Gerlach's recommendations, each executive's annual salary increases in prior years and the amount that our Compensation Committee understands to represent average salary increases among companies in our peer group over the past few years for officers holding similar positions.

Annual Cash Incentive Awards. We also provide our named executive officers with annual cash incentive awards designed to motivate them to help us achieve our annual financial goals. The annual cash incentive award represents a performance-based, variable and at-risk cash component of compensation for each named executive officer. Under this program, Messrs. Boylan and Rosa were each provided the opportunity to earn an annual cash incentive payment for fiscal 2011 based on our achievement of certain financial objectives. We granted this award to Mr. Rosa based on his responsibility for supervising the operations of our Specialty Foods segment and to Mr. Boylan based on his responsibilities as Chief Financial Officer.

Our Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Gerlach, does not participate in our annual cash incentive program. For fiscal 2011, however, the Compensation Committee awarded Mr. Gerlach a discretionary bonus of \$200,000 at the same time that bonuses were approved for our other named executive officers. The Compensation Committee granted a discretionary award this year to demonstrate the Board's high satisfaction with Mr. Gerlach's continued excellent performance as our Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Gerlach's total annual compensation remains well below the median of our peer group. Our Compensation Committee and Mr. Gerlach consider this result acceptable given his significant ownership interest and the resulting low probability of his leaving the Corporation.

Our Chief Executive Officer retains discretionary authority to modify the financial targets and raise or lower the computed incentive payment by up to 5% for Mr. Boylan and up to 20% for Mr. Rosa based on his qualitative assessment of the executive's overall development during the course of the fiscal year. Our Compensation Committee also retains authority to make further adjustments to the computed annual cash incentive payments. An annual cash incentive payment, if earned, is made in the fiscal year following the year in which it is earned. Annual cash incentive payments earned by our named executive officers for fiscal year 2011 appear below in the Bonus and/or Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation columns of our 2011 Summary Compensation Table.

For fiscal year 2011, Mr. Rosa received the opportunity to earn a cash incentive payment equal to 0.35%, rounded to the nearest hundred, of our Specialty Foods segment's value-added income for fiscal year 2011. Our Compensation Committee first established 0.35% of Specialty Foods value-added income as the annual incentive opportunity for Mr. Rosa in 2004, and we have continued to view this as a fair annual incentive opportunity from year to year since 2004. We define value-added income as the amount by which the fiscal year operating income of our Specialty Foods segment exceeds a target level of income. We determine the applicable target level of income by multiplying the segment's pre-tax cost of capital by the segment's average net assets (defined as including accounts receivable; inventory; prepaid expenses; property, plant and equipment; other assets; goodwill; current liabilities; deferred taxes and other non-current liabilities). We then calculate value-added income by subtracting target income from operating income. For our Specialty Foods segment in fiscal 2011, average net assets equaled approximately \$312 million, pre-tax cost of capital was approximately 18.75%, target income equaled approximately \$58.4 million, and operating income exceeded target income by approximately \$97 million. We utilized operating income and average net assets as the performance metrics for Mr. Rosa's award because we continue to believe that use of these metrics was the best way to incentivize him to employ the Specialty Foods segment's net assets efficiently.

Beginning in fiscal 2011, Mr. Rosa's bonus was subject to further modifications adopted by the Compensation Committee based upon the review and recommendations of PM&P during 2010. After calculating Mr. Rosa's bonus based on the formula described above, the resulting amount is adjusted between 85% and 115% of the calculated value depending on annual revenue growth in the Specialty Foods segment between 2.5% and 8.5%. For example, assuming a calculated bonus under the current formula of \$200,000, if annual revenue growth in 2011 was 2.5%, the calculated amount would be adjusted to 85% of its value, or \$170,000. If annual revenue growth was instead 8.5%, then the calculated amount would be adjusted to 115% of its value, or \$230,000. In addition, the bonus amount is subject to a further adjustment of plus or minus 20% based upon individual performance, rather than the 5% discretionary adjustment we have used historically. These changes were implemented not only for Mr. Rosa but for certain other key personnel in the Specialty Foods segment to provide an additional incentive to drive growth.

The baseline calculation of Mr. Rosa's bonus for fiscal 2011 was \$338,702. Revenue growth in the Specialty Foods segment for fiscal 2011 was 3.3%. Mr. Rosa's bonus was further adjusted, therefore, to approximately 89% of the baseline calculated value, for a resulting calculated incentive amount of \$301,700. For fiscal year 2011, our Chief Executive Officer and our Compensation Committee again exercised discretion to modify the annual cash incentive payment to Mr. Rosa by adding an additional discretionary payment of 15% onto the calculated incentive payment of \$301,700, resulting in a total bonus payment of \$346,934. Both our Chief Executive Officer and the Compensation Committee believe the additional discretionary bonus was appropriate in part to recognize Mr. Rosa's ongoing role in our strategic transition that emphasizes our food business.

Mr. Boylan's fiscal year 2011 award represented the opportunity to earn a cash incentive payment equal to 0.45%, rounded to the nearest hundred, of our consolidated value-added income for fiscal year 2011. For purposes of Mr. Boylan's award opportunity, we define value-added income as the amount by which fiscal year consolidated operating income exceeds a target level of income. We determine the applicable target level of income by multiplying consolidated pre-tax cost of capital by consolidated average net assets (defined as including accounts receivable; inventory; prepaid expenses; property, plant and equipment; other assets; goodwill; current liabilities; deferred taxes and other non-current liabilities). We then calculate value-added income by subtracting target income from operating income. For our consolidated operations in fiscal year 2011, average net assets equaled approximately \$389 million, pre-tax cost of capital was approximately 18.75%, target income equaled approximately \$72.8 million, and operating income exceeded target income by approximately \$74 million. We utilized consolidated operating income and average net assets as the performance metrics for Mr. Boylan's award because we believe use of these metrics was the best way to incentivize him to employ our consolidated net assets efficiently.

Under the foregoing formula, Mr. Boylan's cash incentive calculation for fiscal 2011 was \$333,700. After reviewing the final results of the calculations for fiscal 2011, our Chief Executive Officer and our Compensation Committee again exercised discretion to modify the annual cash incentive payment to Mr. Boylan by adding a payment of 5% onto the calculated incentive payment of \$333,700, resulting in a total bonus payment of \$350,385. Our Compensation Committee was pleased with the bonus resulting from Mr. Boylan's formula. However, both our Chief Executive Officer and the Compensation Committee believe the additional bonus was appropriate in part to recognize Mr. Boylan's ongoing role in our strategic transition that emphasizes our food business.

Long-Term Equity-Based Incentive Awards. Until 2008, we used stock options as the primary vehicle for providing our named executive officers with long-term incentives for their efforts in helping to create long-term shareholder value. We have also considered stock options as a retention tool for executive talent. Both of these factors have helped our Compensation Committee determine in past years the type of award and the number of underlying shares that it granted in connection with an equity incentive award.

However, during fiscal year 2008, with the assistance of PM&P, we moved away from our reliance on stock options as our equity incentive compensation instrument. We had historically believed that granting stock options was the best method for motivating named executive officers to manage our Corporation in a manner consistent with the long-term interests of our shareholders because of the direct relationship between the value of a stock option and the market price of our Common Stock. The following factors, however, caused us to reevaluate this approach:

the evolution of regulatory, tax and accounting treatment of equity incentive programs;

developments in our strategic objectives; and

the study of our equity-based incentive program that took place during fiscal year 2007.

Based on these factors, in February 2008 and continuing in February 2009, 2010 and 2011, we granted our long-term equity incentives in the form of time-based stock-settled stock appreciation rights, or appreciation rights and time-based restricted stock instead of stock options. Messrs. Boylan and Rosa each received 15,000 appreciation rights and 500 shares of restricted stock as part of our February 2011 grants pursuant to our form agreements for appreciation rights and restricted stock awards. These grants of appreciation rights and restricted

stock were made under our Amended and Restated 2005 Stock Plan previously approved by our shareholders. The Compensation Committee believes these awards represent an appropriate level of additional annual compensation that is aligned with the creation of long-term shareholder value and that provides an additional retention tool for executive talent.

Appreciation rights give holders the right to receive stock in our Corporation equal in market value to the difference between the closing market price of our stock on the day of exercise and the base price established for the appreciation rights, as set forth in the appreciation rights award agreement, multiplied by the number of appreciation rights exercised. The base price for appreciation rights equals the closing price of our stock on the date on which the appreciation rights are granted, which for the February 2011 grants was \$57.78. Appreciation rights cannot be exercised until they vest, and we have currently chosen for retention purposes that appreciation rights should vest over time as follows: one-third of the total award will vest on each of the first, second and third anniversaries of the grant date. The appreciation rights will vest earlier upon a change of control of the Corporation. The appreciation rights award agreement also provides that the appreciation rights will expire on the earlier of five years from the grant date or 90 days after the grantee's employment with the Corporation ceases other than as a result of his or her death, disability or retirement, as described in more detail in the award agreement. As a result, the appreciation rights granted in February 2011 must be exercised no later than February 22, 2016.

The Compensation Committee granted new awards of restricted stock on the same day as the appreciation rights awards. Unlike the appreciation rights, the shares of restricted stock do not vest ratably, but vest in total on the third anniversary of the grant date, although a portion of the shares may vest at retirement as described below. The restricted stock will vest earlier upon a change of control of the Corporation. Once vested, the restricted stock may be traded in the same manner as other shares. Each recipient of restricted stock will receive dividends on the restricted stock during the vesting period, but will forfeit all unvested restricted stock if his or her employment with the Corporation ceases other than as a result of his or her death or disability, as described in more detail in the award agreement. If an employee reaches the age of 63 and has at least 10 years of service with the Corporation, then a portion of the shares will vest upon the employee's retirement as follows: one-third will vest if the employee retires after the first anniversary of the grant date but before the second anniversary of the grant date; and two-thirds will vest if the employee retires after the second anniversary of the grant date but before full vesting of the award.

In total, we granted 94,000 appreciation rights and 14,905 shares of restricted stock under our Amended and Restated 2005 Stock Plan during fiscal year 2011. The Compensation Committee did not use any specific formulas, mathematical calculations or peer group comparisons when determining the amounts of appreciation rights and restricted stock that it granted to individual employees, including our named executive officers, during 2011. Instead, the 2011 grants, including the grants to Mr. Boylan and Mr. Rosa, were made solely in the Compensation Committee's judgment based on recommendations from Mr. Gerlach and motivated solely by the Compensation Committee's desire to award each employee enough value to achieve our retention and motivation objectives discussed above. In the Compensation Committee's view, the amounts awarded in 2011 were necessary to help us retain executive talent and provide reasonable incentives for our executive talent to work to create long-term shareholder value.

We did not make any grants of stock options during fiscal year 2011. At this time, it is our intention to continue to make long-term equity incentive awards in the form of only appreciation rights and restricted stock using the forms we have filed with the SEC because we believe these types of equity awards offer our employees, including our named executive officers, the best form of retention and motivation incentive that is also aligned with the long-term interests of our shareholders. We also currently expect the Compensation Committee will continue to use its judgment, based, in part, on recommendations by our Chief Executive Officer, to determine the appropriate level of appreciation rights and restricted stock awards because this gives the Compensation Committee the most flexibility to make awards in amounts necessary to help us achieve our long-term objectives. At this time, the Compensation Committee has not made any determinations about awards for fiscal year 2012 or future years. More detailed information about dividends on restricted stock for fiscal year 2011 is presented below in the All Other Compensation column of our 2011 Summary Compensation Table.

Other Benefits

Our named executive officers are also eligible to participate in our employee benefit plans available to all salaried employees, including our 401(k) savings plans, health insurance plan and group life insurance plan. These other benefits are discussed in detail below. In addition, our named executive officers participate in our deferred compensation program. We also make some post-termination payments and benefits available to our named executive officers, as described in detail below. The value of these benefits are reviewed annually by our Compensation Committee, but are not generally considered as part of the overall compensation program for purposes of allocating among cash, equity and other compensation.

Perquisites. We do not believe that providing perquisites to our named executive officers helps us achieve any of our compensation program objectives, including the promotion of long-term shareholder value. We limit the perquisites made available to our named executive officers that are not otherwise available to all salaried employees and believe that this arrangement is consistent with our pay for performance philosophy. During fiscal year 2011, we offered our named executive officers only the following perquisites: corporate automobile allocations and related insurance premium payments, except for the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer; and life insurance and travel insurance premium payments. More detailed information about perquisites for fiscal year 2011 is presented below in the All Other Compensation column of our 2011 Summary Compensation Table.

Executive Deferred Compensation Program. The Lancaster Colony Corporation Executive Employee 2005 Deferred Compensation Plan, which we refer to as our nonqualified deferred compensation plan, allows our named executive officers to defer up to \$50,000 of their annual base compensation for future payment. Under the nonqualified deferred compensation plan, amounts deferred by our named executive officers are maintained in separate book-entry accounts. Interest on the deferred amounts is credited semi-annually on June 30 and December 31 with an annual rate of interest equal to the prime interest rate reported in the Wall Street Journal on the first business day in January (for the June 30 credit) and July (for the December 31 credit). We do not match amounts that are deferred. Distributions from the nonqualified deferred compensation plan are paid upon termination of employment (including death or disability), and the named executive officer may elect to receive payments in either a lump sum or a series of installments upon termination. We do not fund the nonqualified deferred compensation plan and participants have only an unsecured contractual commitment from us to pay the amounts due. More detailed information about the nonqualified deferred compensation plan is presented below in our 2011 Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Table and related narrative.

Health and Welfare Benefits. We provide healthcare, life and disability insurance and other employee benefits programs to our employees, including our named executive officers. We believe that these benefits are competitive within our peer group and, while not separate incentives by themselves because they do not help us achieve any of our compensation program objectives, are essential and expected parts of any compensation program. Our benefits and risk management department is responsible for overseeing the administration of these programs. Our employee benefits programs are provided on a non-discriminatory basis to all employees. These benefits include vacation and personal time, paid holidays, medical and long and short-term disability insurance programs.

Retirement Benefits

Pension Benefits. We do not provide defined benefit pension arrangements or post-retirement health coverage for our named executive officers, as we do not believe that providing these types of benefits to our named executive officers helps us achieve any of our compensation program objectives, including the promotion of long-term shareholder value.

401(k) Savings Plan. All of our named executive officers participate in our Lancaster Colony Corporation 401(k) Savings Plan, a tax-qualified defined contribution plan that we refer to as our 401(k) Plan. We believe this benefit is competitive within our peer group and, while not a separate incentive by itself because it does not help us achieve any of our compensation program objectives, it is an essential and expected part of any compensation program. Under the 401(k) Plan, each employee may contribute up to 25% of eligible compensation on a before-tax basis into an individual account (subject to limits established by the Internal Revenue Service). In any

fiscal year, we will contribute to each participant's account a matching contribution equal to 40% of the first 4% of the participant's compensation that has been contributed to the 401(k) Plan. Partial withdrawals from the 401(k) Plan are permitted through a loan or based on financial hardship. Single lump sum withdrawals are permitted upon an employee's termination of employment.

Effective for calendar year 2011, the 401(k) Plan limits the annual additions that can be made to an employee's account to \$49,000 per year. Annual additions include matching contributions and before-tax contributions made by the employee. Of those annual additions, the current maximum before-tax contribution is \$16,500 per year and no more than \$245,000 of annual compensation may be taken into account in computing benefits under the 401(k) Plan.

Participants age 50 and over may also contribute, on a before-tax basis and without regard to the \$49,000 limitation on annual additions or the \$16,500 general limitation on before-tax contributions, a catch-up contribution of up to \$5,500 per year. Matching contributions from us that were paid to our named executive officers during fiscal year 2011 are included in the "All Other Compensation" column of our 2011 Summary Compensation Table.

Employee Stock Ownership Plan. The Lancaster Colony Corporation Employee Stock Ownership Plan, or ESOP, is another of our tax-qualified retirement plans. The ESOP was frozen on December 31, 1997 when it was amended to prevent further participation and contributions and to vest fully existing account balances. The ESOP was designed to invest primarily in employer securities as defined in Section 409(l) of the Internal Revenue Code. The ESOP continues to offer a pre-retirement diversification right, and dividends are distributed (upon election by the participant) in the form of cash or can be reinvested in our stock and credited to a participant's account. Distributions in the form of a single lump sum or in five annual installments are made upon a participant's termination of employment.

Employment and Severance Agreements

We do not maintain employment agreements with any of our named executive officers. We have entered into Key Employee Severance Agreements with Mr. Boylan and Mr. Rosa that specify cash payments in the event the named executive officer's employment is terminated other than for cause or terminated by the executive officer for good reason within one year after a change in control (the terms cause, good reason and change in control are each defined in the agreements). In addition, the named executive officer will be entitled to participate in any health, disability and life insurance plans in which the executive participated at the time of termination, on the same basis, for a period of one year following termination. The agreements do not require the named executive officers to mitigate the amount of benefits paid by seeking other employment, and the benefits payable under the agreements are not subject to reduction for other compensation earned by the named executive officers after termination. The agreements do not have an expiration date. We believe these agreements were necessary for us to attract and retain these two named executive officers. See further disclosure below under "Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control" for more information.

Stock Ownership Guidelines

As discussed above and as disclosed above in our beneficial ownership tables, our named executive officers already have a substantial equity interest in our Corporation. As a result, we do not have a formal policy requiring that our named executive officers own any predetermined amount of our stock. However, as indicated above, a primary objective of our "pay for performance" philosophy is to align our named executive officers' compensation interests with our goal of creating long-term shareholder value. We therefore encourage our current named executive officers to continue to maintain an equity ownership in the Corporation, which ownership further aligns their compensation interests with the interests of our shareholders.

Recoupment of Incentive Payments

We do not have a formal policy regarding adjusting or recovering annual cash incentive payments or long-term equity-based incentive awards if the relevant performance metrics upon which such awards or payments are based are later restated or otherwise adjusted in a manner that reduces the actual size of the award or payment.

Instead, we will consider making adjustments or recoveries on a case-by-case basis if those situations arise and expect to comply with all recoupment requirements imposed under the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act when such requirements are effective.

Accounting and Tax Considerations

Regulations issued under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code provide that compensation in excess of \$1 million paid to our named executive officers will not be deductible unless it meets specified criteria required for it to be performance based. In general, our Compensation Committee considers the potential impact of Section 162(m) in its review and establishment of compensation programs and payments. However, our Compensation Committee also reserves the right to provide compensation that does not meet the exemption criteria if, in its sole discretion, it determines that doing so advances our business objectives. Currently, our Chief Executive had non-performance based compensation paid in excess of the Internal Revenue Code Section 162(m) tax deduction limit.

Compensation-Related Risk Assessment

In 2011, the Compensation Committee reviewed and discussed the structure of our compensation program from the point of view of assessing whether any aspect of the program could potentially be expected to provide an incentive to our executive officers or other employees to take any unnecessary or inappropriate risks that could threaten our operating results, financial condition or impact long-term shareholder value. The Compensation Committee conducted an assessment of our incentive-based compensation plans (including the annual and long-term incentive programs) and our compensation practices. Further, the Compensation Committee discussed the structure of the compensation program with the Chairman of the Board and Lead Independent Director.

Based on our internal controls, policies and risk-mitigating components in our incentive arrangements currently in place, informal input from PM&P, discussions with the Chairman of the Board and Lead Independent Director, as well as the Compensation Committee's formal review and discussion, the Compensation Committee believes our compensation programs represent an appropriate balance of short-term and long-term compensation and do not encourage executive officers or other employees to take unnecessary or excessive risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Corporation.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Executive Officers

The following is a list of the names and ages of all of the executive officers of the Corporation indicating all positions and offices held by each such person and each person's principal occupation or employment during the past five years. No person other than those listed below has been chosen to become an executive officer. The executive officers are elected annually by the Board:

Name	Principal Occupation	Age	Executive Officer Since
John B. Gerlach, Jr.	Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and President of the Corporation since 1997	57	1982
John L. Boylan	Chief Financial Officer and Vice President of the Corporation since 1996; and Treasurer of the Corporation since 1990	56	1990
Bruce L. Rosa	President of T. Marzetti Company, a food processing subsidiary of the Corporation, since 2003; and Vice President - Development of the Corporation since 1998	62	1998

The following tables and narratives provide descriptions of the compensation paid by us for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011, to Mr. John B. Gerlach, Jr., Mr. John L. Boylan and Mr. Bruce L. Rosa. We refer to these

three individuals as our named executive officers. The 2011 Summary Compensation Table below also provides a summary description of the compensation we paid to our named executive officers for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009.

2011 Summary Compensation Table

The following table summarizes compensation earned during the 2011, 2010 and 2009 fiscal years by our named executive officers:

Name and Principal Position (a)	Fiscal Year (b)	Salary (\$)(1) (c)	Bonus (\$)(2) (d)	Stock Awards (\$)(3) (e)	Option Awards (\$)(4) (f)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)(5) (g)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation (\$)(h)	All Other Compensation (\$)(i)	Total (\$)(j)
John B. Gerlach, Jr., Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and President	2011	\$ 900,000	\$ 200,000	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 3,466(6)	\$ 1,103,466
	2010	\$ 855,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 3,628	\$ 1,158,628
	2009	\$ 825,000	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 3,449	\$ 828,449
John L. Boylan, Treasurer, Vice President, and Chief Financial Officer	2011	\$ 450,000	\$ 16,685	\$ 28,890	\$ 151,800	\$ 333,700	\$ 0	\$ 4,675(7)	\$ 985,750
	2010	\$ 435,000	\$ 0	\$ 29,395	\$ 165,340	\$ 468,400	\$ 0	\$ 4,480	\$ 1,102,615
	2009	\$ 420,000	\$ 0	\$ 11,958	\$ 82,680	\$ 335,000	\$ 0	\$ 3,493	\$ 853,131
Bruce L. Rosa, President, T. Marzetti Company and Vice President	2011	\$ 415,000	\$ 45,234	\$ 28,890	\$ 151,800	\$ 301,700	\$ 0	\$ 7,789(8)	\$ 950,413
	2010	\$ 400,000	\$ 21,220	\$ 29,395	\$ 165,340	\$ 424,400	\$ 0	\$ 7,537	\$ 1,047,892
	2009	\$ 380,000	\$ 15,695	\$ 11,958	\$ 82,680	\$ 313,900	\$ 0	\$ 7,703	\$ 811,936
Development									

- (1) The amounts shown in this column for 2011 include amounts deferred by our named executive officers under our nonqualified deferred compensation plan, which is further discussed above under Compensation Discussion and Analysis and below in the 2011 Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Table and accompanying narrative.
- (2) As discussed under Compensation Discussion and Analysis above, the amount reported for Mr. Gerlach for 2011 represents a discretionary bonus awarded by the Compensation Committee and the amounts reported for Messrs. Boylan and Rosa for 2011 represent discretionary increases under our annual cash incentive award program.
- (3) The amounts reported in the Stock Awards column reflect the aggregate grant date fair value computed in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718, or FASB ASC Topic 718, of the restricted stock granted during the reported years. The assumptions used in determining these valuations are the same as those used in our financial statements. For fiscal 2011, those assumptions can be found in footnote 6 to the financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011. See the 2011 Grants of Plan-Based Awards table below for additional information regarding the restricted stock awarded in fiscal 2011.
- (4) The amounts reported in the Option Awards column reflect the aggregate grant date fair value computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 of the stock-settled stock appreciation rights granted during the reported years. The assumptions used in determining these valuations are the same as those used in our financial statements. For fiscal 2011 those assumptions can be found in footnote 6 to the

Edgar Filing: LANCASTER COLONY CORP - Form DEF 14A

financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011. See the 2011 Grants of Plan-Based Awards table below for additional information regarding the stock-settled stock appreciation rights awarded in fiscal 2011.

- (5) The amounts shown in this column for 2011 represent amounts computed for fiscal year 2011 performance under our annual cash incentive award program. As discussed under Compensation Discussion and Analysis above, these amounts were based on our achievement of certain financial objectives. See Compensation Discussion and Analysis for more information about our annual cash incentive award program.
- (6) This amount consists of (A) \$2,737 in matching contributions to our 401(k) Savings Plan, (B) \$648 in life insurance premium payments and (C) \$81 in travel insurance premium payments.
- (7) This amount consists of (A) \$2,494 in matching contributions to our 401(k) Savings Plan, (B) \$648 in life insurance premium payments, (C) \$1,452 in dividends on unvested restricted stock and (D) \$81 in travel insurance premium payments.
- (8) This amount consists of (A) \$2,737 in matching contributions to our 401(k) Savings Plan, (B) \$2,128 allocated for personal use of a corporate automobile, (C) \$743 in automobile insurance premium payments, (D) \$648 in life insurance premium payments, (E) \$1,452 in dividends on unvested restricted stock and (F) \$81 in travel insurance premium payments.

2011 Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table

The following table shows all plan-based awards granted to our named executive officers during fiscal year 2011.

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Possible Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards			All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units	All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards (\$/Sh)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (\$)
		Threshold	Target	Maximum	Threshold	Target	Maximum				
(a)	(b)	(\$)(c)	(\$)(1)(d)	(\$)(e)	(#)(f)	(#)(g)	(#)(h)	(#)(2)(i)	(#)(3)(j)	(k)	(l)
John B. Gerlach, Jr.											
John L. Boylan		\$	468,400								
	2/22/11							500			\$ 28,890
	2/22/11								15,000	\$ 57.78	\$ 151,800
Bruce L. Rosa		\$	424,400								
	2/22/11							500			\$ 28,890
	2/22/11								15,000	\$ 57.78	\$ 151,800

- (1) As we described in Compensation Discussion and Analysis above, under our annual cash incentive program, Mr. Boylan and Mr Rosa each receive a fiscal year incentive opportunity, the amount of which is primarily determined by applying a percentage rate to either the value-added income attributable to the entire Corporation or the value-added income attributable to our Specialty Foods segment, as applicable for each named executive officer. The resulting cash incentive calculation is subject to, for Mr. Rosa, adjustment based on our Specialty Foods segment's achievement of annual revenue growth and, for both Messrs. Rosa and Boylan, discretionary adjustment on recommendation by our Chief Executive Officer and approval by our Compensation Committee, each as further described in Compensation Discussion and Analysis above. For fiscal year 2011, our Compensation Committee exercised discretion in increasing Mr. Boylan's payment by \$16,685 and Mr. Rosa's payment by first deducting \$37,002 based on Specialty Food's annual revenue growth for 2011, and then by adding \$45,234 as a discretionary adjustment, as more fully described in Compensation Discussion and Analysis above.

Edgar Filing: LANCASTER COLONY CORP - Form DEF 14A

Because value-added income changes from year-to-year, we are unable to determine in advance the target amounts for annual cash incentive awards under our annual cash incentive program. The amounts reflected in column (d) of the above table represent estimated possible payouts for fiscal year 2011 based on fiscal year 2010 actual performance, as required by applicable guidance. These amounts are not indicative of the actual amounts Messrs. Boylan and Rosa received under the annual cash incentive program for fiscal year

2011 for the reasons explained above in Compensation Discussion and Analysis. The total annual cash incentive payments for our named executive officers for our performance in fiscal year 2011 were determined by our Compensation Committee on August 17, 2011 and are reflected in columns (d) and/or (g) of our 2011 Summary Compensation Table above. For more information about our annual cash incentive program, see Compensation Discussion and Analysis above.

(2) These amounts represent shares of restricted stock that were granted on February 22, 2011 pursuant to our Amended and Restated 2005 Stock Plan. The restricted stock is expected to fully vest on February 22, 2014. The grant date fair value per share was \$57.78.

(3) These amounts represent stock-settled stock appreciation rights that were granted on February 22, 2011 pursuant to our Amended and Restated 2005 Stock Plan. The stock-settled stock appreciation rights vest ratably over a three-year period beginning on February 22, 2012, can be exercised for up to five years from the date of grant and are expected to fully vest on February 22, 2014. The Black-Scholes determined grant date fair value per right was \$10.12. The amounts reported in column (l) for these awards represent the grant date fair market value computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718.

None of our named executive officers is a party to an employment agreement with us, but Mr. Boylan and Mr. Rosa are parties to Key Employee Severance Agreements with us. For more information about these severance agreements, see Compensation Discussion and Analysis Employment and Severance Agreements above and the disclosure below under Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control. For more information about the other compensation arrangements in which our named executive officers participate and the proportion of our named executive officers total compensation represented by base salary and annual cash incentive payments or discretionary bonuses, also see Compensation Discussion and Analysis above.

Outstanding Equity Awards at 2011 Fiscal Year-End Table

The following table shows all outstanding equity awards held by our named executive officers at the end of fiscal year 2011.

Name (a)	Option Awards				Option Expiration Date (f)	Stock Awards		Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market Equity or Incentive Payout Plan Value Awards: of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested	
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Option Exercise Price (\$) (e)		Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#) (g)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$) (h)	Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (#) (i)	Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (\$) (j)
John B. Gerlach, Jr.									
John L. Boylan	8,000(1)	4,000(1)		\$ 39.86	Feb. 25, 2014				
	4,666(2)	9,334(2)		\$ 58.79	Feb. 24, 2015				
		15,000(3)		\$ 57.78	Feb. 22, 2016				
						300(4)	\$ 18,246		
						500(5)	\$ 30,410		
						500(6)	\$ 30,410		
	12,666	28,334				1,300	\$ 79,066		
Bruce L. Rosa		4,000(1)		\$ 39.86	Feb. 25, 2014				
	4,666(2)	9,334(2)		\$ 58.79	Feb. 24, 2015				
		15,000(3)		\$ 57.78	Feb. 22, 2016				
						300(4)	\$ 18,246		
						500(5)	\$ 30,410		
						500(6)	\$ 30,410		
	4,666	28,334				1,300	\$ 79,066		

Edgar Filing: LANCASTER COLONY CORP - Form DEF 14A

- (1) These stock-settled stock appreciation rights were granted on February 25, 2009 pursuant to our 2005 Stock Plan. The stock-settled stock appreciation rights vest ratably over a three-year period beginning on February 25, 2010, can be exercised for up to five years from the date of grant and are expected to fully vest on February 25, 2012.
- (2) These stock-settled stock appreciation rights were granted on February 24, 2010 pursuant to our 2005 Stock Plan. The stock-settled stock appreciation rights vest ratably over a three-year period beginning on February 24, 2011, can be exercised for up to five years from the date of grant and are expected to fully vest on February 24, 2013.
- (3) These stock-settled stock appreciation rights were granted on February 22, 2011 pursuant to our Amended and Restated 2005 Stock Plan. The stock-settled stock appreciation rights vest ratably over a three-year period beginning on February 22, 2012, can be exercised for up to five years from the date of grant and are expected to fully vest on February 22, 2014.
- (4) These shares of restricted stock were granted on February 25, 2009 pursuant to our 2005 Stock Plan. The restricted stock is expected to fully vest on February 25, 2012.
- (5) These shares of restricted stock were granted on February 24, 2010 pursuant to our 2005 Stock Plan. The restricted stock is expected to fully vest on February 24, 2013.
- (6) These shares of restricted stock were granted on February 22, 2011 pursuant to our Amended and Restated 2005 Stock Plan. The restricted stock is expected to fully vest on February 22, 2014.

2011 Option Exercises and Stock Vested Table

Name (a)	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares	Value Realized on Exercise (\$) (c)(1)	Number of Shares	Value Realized on Vesting (\$) (e)(2)
	Acquired on Exercise (#) (b)(1)		Acquired on Vesting (#) (d)(2)	
John B. Gerlach, Jr.				
John L. Boylan	4,354(3)	\$ 261,806	300(3)	\$ 17,262
Bruce L. Rosa	2,891(3)	\$ 176,958	300(3)	\$ 17,262

(1) The amounts reported in columns (b) and (c) reflect the exercise during fiscal year 2011 of stock-settled stock appreciation rights by the named executive officers. The amounts reported in column (c) were computed using the aggregate number of rights exercised and the closing price of our shares on the respective dates of exercise.

(2) The amounts reported in columns (d) and (e) reflect the vesting during fiscal year 2011 of restricted stock awards for the named executive officers. The amounts reported in column (e) were computed using the number of shares acquired on vesting and the closing price of our shares on the respective date of vesting.

(3) Shares reported reflect gross shares before tax settlement. Shares were withheld sufficient to cover the applicable taxes due upon exercise or vesting.

2011 Pension Benefits

We do not maintain any defined benefit plans or other plans with specified retirement benefits in which our named executive officers participate.

2011 Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Table

This table shows certain information for fiscal year 2011 for each of our named executive officers under our nonqualified deferred compensation plan.

Name (a)	Executive Contributions in Last FY	Registrant Contributions in Last FY	Aggregate Earnings in Last FY	Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions	Aggregate Balance at Last FYE
	(\$)(1) (b)	(\$) (c)	(\$)(2) (d)	(\$) (e)	(\$)(3) (f)
	John B. Gerlach, Jr.	\$ 25,000		\$ 13,942	
John L. Boylan			\$ 4,546		\$ 143,291
Bruce L. Rosa	\$ 12,500		\$ 8,731		\$ 281,325

(1) The amounts reported for our named executive officers in this column are fully reported as part of the salary for each named executive officer in column (c) of the 2011 Summary Compensation Table above.

(2)

Edgar Filing: LANCASTER COLONY CORP - Form DEF 14A

None of the amounts reported for our named executive officers in this column are reported in the 2011 Summary Compensation Table above.

(3) The following amounts reported for our named executive officers in this column have been previously reported as compensation in our Summary Compensation Table included in prior years proxy statements: Mr. Gerlach, \$87,500; Mr. Boylan, \$0; and Mr. Rosa, \$68,750. For more information about our nonqualified deferred compensation plan, see Compensation Discussion and Analysis above.

Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control

Our named executive officers may terminate employment with us under a number of different scenarios, including retirement, voluntary termination for good reason, voluntary termination without good reason, involuntary termination without cause, involuntary termination for cause, termination in connection with a

change in control, death and disability. Except as discussed below, we generally limit the payments or other forms of compensation that we will provide our named executive officers when their employment with us is terminated to compensation elements that we provide all our employees upon termination, namely payment of any earned but unpaid salary and accrued but unpaid vacation benefits.

During fiscal year 2011, we were a party to Key Employee Severance Agreements with Mr. Boylan and Mr. Rosa that provide for them to receive certain cash payments and other benefits if their employment with us is terminated by us other than for cause or they resign for good reason within one year of a change in control of our Corporation. The terms cause, good reason and change in control are defined under these agreements. Cause generally means the employee's willful engaging in malfeasance or felonious conduct that in any material respect impairs the reputation, goodwill or business position of our Corporation or involves misappropriation of our funds or other assets. Good reason generally means termination triggered by certain reductions in compensation, duties and responsibility and authority or certain changes in place of employment. Change in control generally means an event reportable by us on Form 8-K as a change in control and certain significant changes in the ownership of our Common Stock or in the makeup of our Board.

Upon such a termination or resignation within one year of a change in control, we will pay to the terminated named executive officer in a lump sum cash payment an amount equal to the lesser of:

the sum of:

the executive officer's highest annual salary within the immediately preceding three full fiscal years; plus

the executive officer's highest total annual incentive paid within the immediately preceding three full fiscal years; or

two times the executive officer's salary and annual incentive paid for the immediately preceding fiscal year.

We will also pay to the terminated named executive officer any accrued but unpaid base salary at the officer's then-current salary rate and will provide the terminated named executive officer with continued coverage under our health, disability and life insurance plans in which the named executive officer participated for one year. The terminated named executive officer has no duty to mitigate the amount of benefits paid by us while seeking other employment, and the benefits are not subject to reduction for other compensation earned by the terminated named executive officer after termination.

Upon a change in control, death or disability, all unvested restricted stock and stock-settled stock appreciation rights of the named executive officers will vest. As stated above, upon termination of employment for any reason regarding Mr. Gerlach, he would be entitled to his earned unpaid salary as well as his accrued unpaid vacation benefits.

Tabular Disclosure. The tables below summarize the estimated amounts of payments or compensation our named executive officers may receive under particular termination scenarios. The amounts shown in the tables below assume the named executive officer is terminated as of June 30, 2011 and the price per share of our common shares equals \$60.82, which was the closing price of our common shares on June 30, 2011, as reported on Nasdaq. Actual amounts we may pay to any named executive officer upon termination of employment, however, can only be determined at the time of such named executive officer's actual termination.

John B. Gerlach, Jr. The following table shows the potential payments upon termination under various circumstances for John B. Gerlach, Jr., our Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and President.

Benefits and Payments Upon Termination	Retirement on 06/30/11	Termination Without Cause or for Good Reason on 06/30/11	Termination for Cause or Without Good Reason on 06/30/11	Termination Subsequent to a Change in Control on 06/30/11	Termination by Death on 06/30/11	Termination by Disability on 06/30/11
Compensation:						
Salary(1)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Annual cash incentive compensation	 					