

Comstock Homebuilding Companies, Inc.

Form 10-Q

May 13, 2009

[Table of Contents](#)

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

**FORM 10-Q**

**x Quarterly Report Pursuant To Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**  
For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2009

**“ Transition Report Pursuant To Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**  
For the transition period from            to

Commission File Number 1-32375

**Comstock Homebuilding Companies, Inc.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: Comstock Homebuilding Companies, Inc. - Form 10-Q

**Delaware**  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

**20-1164345**  
(I.R.S. Employer

Identification No.)

**11465 Sunset Hills Road**

**5<sup>th</sup> Floor**

**Reston, Virginia 20190**

**(703) 883-1700**

(Address including zip code, and telephone number,  
including area code, of principal executive offices)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES  NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every interactive data file required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (Section 232.405) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). YES  NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). YES  NO

As of April 30, 2009, 15,211,499 shares of the Class A common stock, par value \$.01 per share, and 2,733,500 shares of Class B common stock, par value \$0.01, of the Registrant were outstanding.

**Table of Contents**

**COMSTOCK HOMEBUILDING COMPANIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**FORM 10-Q**

**INDEX**

	<b>Page</b>
<b><u>PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u></b>	<b>1</b>
ITEM 1. <u>FINANCIAL STATEMENTS:</u>	1
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets (unaudited) - March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008</u>	1
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations (unaudited) - Three Months Ended March 31, 2009 and 2008</u>	2
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited) - Three Months Ended March 31, 2009 and 2008</u>	3
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	4
ITEM 2. <u>MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</u>	14
ITEM 3. <u>QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK</u>	24
ITEM 4. <u>CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</u>	24
<b><u>PART II OTHER INFORMATION</u></b>	<b>24</b>
ITEM 1. <u>LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</u>	24
ITEM 1A. <u>RISK FACTORS</u>	25
ITEM 6. <u>EXHIBITS</u>	26
<b><u>SIGNATURES</u></b>	<b>27</b>

**Table of Contents****COMSTOCK HOMEBUILDING COMPANIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

(Amounts in thousands, except per share data)

	March 31, 2009	December 31, 2008
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 3,146	\$ 5,977
Restricted cash	4,028	3,859
Receivables		
Due from related parties	77	
Real estate held for development and sale	126,123	129,542
Inventory not owned - variable interest entities	19,250	19,250
Property, plant and equipment, net	661	829
Other assets	1,450	1,402
<b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>	<b>\$ 154,735</b>	<b>\$ 160,859</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 7,188	\$ 8,232
Obligations related to inventory not owned	19,050	19,050
Notes payable - secured by real estate	82,123	84,563
Notes payable - unsecured	18,209	18,266
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES</b>	<b>126,570</b>	<b>130,111</b>
Commitments and contingencies (Note 9)		
<b>SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Class A common stock, \$0.01 par value, 77,266,500 shares authorized, 15,608,438 and 15,608,438 issued and outstanding, respectively	156	156
Class B common stock, \$0.01 par value, 2,733,500 shares authorized, 2,733,500 issued and outstanding	27	27
Additional paid-in capital	157,119	157,058
Treasury stock, at cost (391,400 Class A common stock)	(2,439)	(2,439)
Accumulated deficit	(126,921)	(124,277)
<b>TOTAL COMSTOCK HOMEBUILDING COMPANIES, INC. SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>	<b>27,942</b>	<b>30,525</b>
Noncontrolling interest	223	223
<b>TOTAL EQUITY</b>	<b>28,165</b>	<b>30,749</b>
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>	<b>\$ 154,735</b>	<b>\$ 160,859</b>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents****COMSTOCK HOMEBUILDING COMPANIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

(Amounts in thousands, except per share data)

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
<b>Revenues</b>		
Revenue homebuilding	\$ 4,726	\$ 15,940
Revenue other	797	436
<b>Total revenue</b>	<b>5,523</b>	<b>16,376</b>
<b>Expenses</b>		
Cost of sales homebuilding	4,145	13,940
Cost of sales other		28
Impairments and write-offs		832
Selling, general and administrative	2,575	3,788
Interest, real estate taxes and indirect costs related to inactive projects	1,800	758
<b>Operating loss</b>	<b>(2,997)</b>	<b>(2,970)</b>
Loss (gain) on troubled debt restructuring		(8,325)
Other (income) loss, net	(353)	(1,185)
<b>Total pre tax (loss) income</b>	<b>(2,644)</b>	<b>6,540</b>
Income taxes expense	2	
<b>Net (loss) income</b>	<b>(2,646)</b>	<b>6,540</b>
Net (loss) income attributable to noncontrolling interest	1	2
<b>Net (loss) income attributable to Comstock Homebuilding Companies, Inc</b>	<b>\$ (2,645)</b>	<b>\$ 6,542</b>
<b>Basic (loss) earnings per share</b>	<b>(0.15)</b>	<b>\$ 0.40</b>
Basic weighted average shares outstanding	17,554	16,544
<b>Diluted (loss) earnings per share</b>	<b>(0.15)</b>	<b>\$ 0.39</b>
Diluted weighted average shares outstanding	17,554	16,589

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents****COMSTOCK HOMEBUILDING COMPANIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

(Amounts in thousands, except per share data)

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
<b>Cash flows from operating activities:</b>		
Net (loss) gain	\$ (2,646)	\$ 6,540
Adjustment to reconcile net (loss) gain to net cash provided by operating activities		
Amortization and depreciation	168	178
Impairments and write-offs		832
Gain on troubled debt restructuring		(8,325)
Gain on trade payable settlements	(333)	
Board of directors compensation		50
Amortization of stock compensation	61	25
<b>Changes in operating assets and liabilities:</b>		
Restricted cash	(169)	(455)
Receivables		(352)
Due from related parties	(77)	1
Real estate held for development and sale	3,419	(185)
Other assets	(48)	17,869
Accrued interest	1,233	
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(711)	(9,133)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	897	7,045
<b>Cash flows from investing activities:</b>		
Purchase of property, plant and equipment		
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities		
<b>Cash flows from financing activities:</b>		
Proceeds from notes payable	277	24,880
Payments on notes payable	(4,005)	(24,583)
Proceeds from shares issued under employee stock purchase plan		5
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(3,728)	302
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(2,831)	7,347
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	5,977	6,822
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 3,146	\$ 14,169
<b>Supplemental disclosure for non-cash activity:</b>		
Interest incurred but not paid in cash	\$ 1,233	\$ 290
Warrants issued in connection with troubled debt restructuring	\$	\$ 720

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

---

**Table of Contents**

**COMSTOCK HOMEBUILDING COMPANIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**(Amounts in thousands, except per share data)**

**1. ORGANIZATION AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION**

Comstock Companies, Inc. (the Company) was incorporated on May 24, 2004 as a Delaware corporation. On June 30, 2004, the Company changed its name to Comstock Homebuilding Companies, Inc.

On December 17, 2004, as a result of completing its initial public offering (IPO) of its Class A common stock, the Company acquired 100% of the outstanding capital stock of Comstock Holding Company, Inc. and subsidiaries (Comstock Holdings) by merger, which followed a consolidation that took place immediately prior to the closing of the IPO (the Consolidation). The Consolidation was effected through the mergers of Sunset Investment Corp., Inc. and subsidiaries and Comstock Homes, Inc. and subsidiaries and Comstock Service Corp., Inc. and subsidiaries (Comstock Service) with and into Comstock Holdings. Pursuant to the terms of the merger agreement, shares of Comstock Holdings were canceled and replaced by 4,333 and 2,734 shares Class A and B common stock of the Company, respectively. Both Class A and B common stock shares bear the same economic rights. However, for voting purposes, Class A stock holders are entitled to one vote for each share held while Class B stock holders are entitled to fifteen votes for each share held.

The mergers of Sunset Investment Corp., Inc. and subsidiaries and Comstock Homes, Inc. and subsidiaries with and into Comstock Holdings (collectively the Comstock Companies or Predecessor) and the Company's acquisition of Comstock Holdings was accounted for using the Comstock Companies' historical carrying values of accounting as these mergers were not deemed to be substantive exchanges. The merger of Comstock Service was accounted for using the purchase method of accounting (see Note 2) as this was deemed to be a substantive exchange due to the disparity in ownership.

The Company's Class A common stock is traded on the NASDAQ Global market (NASDAQ) under the symbol CHCI and has no public trading history prior to December 17, 2004. In January 2008 the Company was notified by NASDAQ that it was not in compliance with requirements related to its listing on the NASDAQ Global Market. The Company was granted 180 days to regain compliance. On July 9, 2008 the Company was notified that it had not regained compliance and was going to be delisted from the NASDAQ Global Market. The Company requested a hearing on September 4, 2008 to appeal this decision and seek an additional extension. On October 24, 2008 the Company received a notice from NASDAQ indicating that the NASDAQ Listing Qualifications Panel had granted the Company's request for continued listing. The notice from NASDAQ indicated that continued listing was subject to: 1) the Company evidencing a closing bid price of \$1.00 or more for a minimum of ten consecutive trading days on or before April 9, 2009, and 2) the Company evidencing a minimum market value of publicly held shares of \$5,000 on or before May 10, 2009. In January 2009 and again in March 2009 NASDAQ suspended compliance obligations with respect to these rules. Our deadlines for compliance are now estimated to be October 13, 2009 and November 10, 2009.

The Company develops, builds and markets single-family homes, townhouses and condominiums in the Washington D.C., Raleigh, North Carolina and Atlanta, Georgia metropolitan markets. The Company also provides certain management and administrative support services to certain related parties.

The homebuilding industry is cyclical and significantly affected by changes in national and local economic, business and other conditions. During 2006, new home sales in our markets began to slow and that trend has continued to worsen in 2009. In response to these conditions, the Company has significantly reduced selling, general and administrative expenses in an effort to align its cost structure with the current level of sales activity, slowed land acquisition, delayed land development and construction activities (except where required for near term sales). The Company has also offered for sale various developed lots and land parcels that the Company believes are not needed based on carrying costs and anticipated absorption rates. Additional reductions may be required as the downturn is expected to continue throughout 2009.

**Liquidity Developments**

During 2008 and continuing into 2009 the banking and credit markets experienced severe disruption as a result of a collapse in the sub-prime and securitized debt markets. As a result, commercial banks and other unregulated lenders have experienced a liquidity crisis which has made funding for real estate funding extremely difficult to secure. This tightening of the credit markets presents substantial risk to our ability to secure financing for our operations, construction and land development efforts. In addition, this disruption is affecting our customers' ability to secure mortgage financing for the purchase of our homes. This limitation on available credit is having a devastating effect on our sales and revenue in 2009 which will further undermine our ability to generate enough cash to fund our operations, meet our obligations and survive as a going

## Edgar Filing: Comstock Homebuilding Companies, Inc. - Form 10-Q

concern. This continuing erosion of our liquidity could result in our need to seek bankruptcy protections either for certain subsidiary entities or the Company as a whole.

Under normal market conditions it is customary for lenders in our industry to renew and extend debt obligations until a project or collection of projects is completed provided the obligations are kept current. This is no longer the case in our industry. Liquidity constraints among banks have limited their ability and willingness to renew loan facilities. As recently reported, and as further discussed in Note 14, several of the Company's loan facilities have matured with no extensions negotiated. At March 31, 2009 the Company and its subsidiaries had \$24.3 million of cash obligations to debt which had either already matured or have payment obligations during the remainder of 2009. The Company is the guarantor of \$79.1 million of debt, including that of subsidiaries. As a result, any significant failure to negotiate renewals and extensions to its debt obligations would severely compromise the Company's liquidity and would jeopardize the Company's ability to satisfy its capital requirements. This inability to meet our capital requirements could result in our need to seek bankruptcy protections either for certain subsidiary entities or the Company as a whole.

In response to changing conditions in the banking industry the Company retained external consultants in the second quarter of 2008 to act as a financial advisor to the Company in exploring debt restructuring and alternatives for raising additional capital for the Company. In connection with the exploration of available debt restructuring alternatives, the Company then elected to cease making certain scheduled interest and/or principal curtailment payments while it attempted to negotiate modifications or other satisfactory resolutions from its lenders. During 2008 the Company reported several loan covenant violations and notices of default from several of its lenders. As discussed further in Note 14, these violations and notices led to foreclosures of certain assets and have resulted in certain guarantee enforcement actions being initiated against the Company where no foreclosures have taken place. Many of the Company's loan facilities contain Material Adverse Effect clauses which, if invoked, could create an event of default under those loans. In the event certain of the Company's loans were deemed to be in default as a result of a Material Adverse Effect, the Company's ability to meet its cash flow and debt obligations would be compromised. During the fourth quarter of 2009 the Company discontinued its relationship with its external advisory consultants. The Company has continued to negotiate with its lenders into 2009 and has continued to report default notices and debt restructurings as they occur. The Company may consent to additional foreclosure actions in the future as a result of the continuing distress in the real estate and credit markets. The Company cannot at this time provide any assurances that it will be successful in its continuing efforts to work with its lenders on loan modifications. This inability to renegotiate debt could result in our need to seek bankruptcy protections either for certain subsidiary entities or the Company as a whole.

We require capital to operate, to post deposits on new deals, to purchase and develop land, to construct homes, to fund related carrying costs and overhead and to fund various advertising and marketing programs to generate sales. These expenditures include payroll, community engineering, entitlement, architecture, advertising, utilities and interest as well as the construction costs of our homes and related community amenities. Our current operations and inventory of owned and controlled home sites will require substantial capital to develop and construct. Our overall borrowing capacity is constrained by various loan covenants. There is no assurance either that we will return to compliance in the future or that our lenders will continue to refrain from exercising their rights related to our covenant violations. In the event our banks



## **Table of Contents**

discontinue funding, accelerate the maturities of their facilities, refuse to waive future covenant defaults or refuse to renew the facilities at maturity we could experience an unrecoverable liquidity crisis in the future. We can make no assurances that cash advances available under our credit facilities, refinancing of existing underleveraged projects or access to public debt and equity markets will provide us with access to sufficient capital to meet our existing and expected operating capital needs in 2009. If we fail to meet our cash flow requirements we may be required to seek bankruptcy protection or to liquidate.

At March 31, 2009 we had \$3.1 million in unrestricted cash and \$4.0 million of restricted cash. Included in our restricted cash balance is \$3.0 million on deposit with Wachovia as cash collateral for a \$3.0 million irrevocable letter of credit relating to a captive insurance program. We are working with the insurance provider to obtain a release of, or reduction to, the letter of credit. Our access to working capital is very limited and our debt service obligations and operating costs for 2009 exceed our current cash reserves. If we are unable to identify new sources of liquidity and/or successfully modify our existing facilities, we will likely deplete our cash reserves and be forced to file for bankruptcy protection. There can be no assurances that in that event we would be able to reorganize through bankruptcy, and we might be forced into a trustee managed liquidation of our assets.

## **2. REAL ESTATE HELD FOR DEVELOPMENT AND SALE**

Real estate held for development and sale includes land, land development costs, interest and other construction costs. Real estate held for sale is carried at the lower of cost or market less selling expenses. Land held for development is stated at cost, or when circumstances or events indicate that the land is impaired, at estimated fair value. Land, land development and indirect land development costs are accumulated by specific project and allocated to various lots or housing units within that project using specific identification and allocation based upon the relative sales value, unit or area methods. Direct construction costs are assigned to housing units based on specific identification. Construction costs primarily include direct construction costs and capitalized field overhead. Other costs are comprised of prepaid local government fees and capitalized interest and real estate taxes. Selling costs are expensed as incurred.

Estimated fair value is based on comparable sales of real estate in the normal course of business under existing and anticipated market conditions. The evaluation takes into consideration the current status of the property, various restrictions, carrying costs, costs of disposition and any other circumstances, which may affect fair value including management's plans for the property. Due to the large acreage of certain land holdings, disposition in the normal course of business is expected to extend over a number of years. A write-down to estimated fair value is recorded when the net carrying value of the property exceeds its estimated undiscounted fair value. These evaluations are made on a property-by-property basis as seen fit by management whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the net book value may not be recoverable.

Deteriorating market conditions, turmoil in the credit markets and increased price competition have continued to negatively impact the Company during 2008 and into the first quarter of 2009 resulting in reduced sales prices, increased customer concessions, reduced gross margins and extended estimates for project completion dates. The Company evaluates its projects on a quarterly basis to determine if recorded carrying amounts are recoverable. This quarter, the evaluation resulted in no impairment charges as compared to impairment charges of \$828 for the three months ended March 31, 2008. These impairment evaluations are based both on a discounted cash flow models and projected liquidation values. Discounted cash flow models are dependent upon several subjective factors, including the selection of an appropriate discount rate, estimated average sales prices and estimated sales pace. In performing its impairment modeling the Company must select what it believes is an appropriate discount rate based on current market cost of capital and returns expectations. The Company has used its best judgment in determining an appropriate discount rate based on anecdotal information it has received from marketing its deals for sale in recent months. The Company has elected to use a rate of 17% in its discounted cash flow model. While the selection of a 17% discount rate was subjective in nature, the Company believes it is an appropriate rate in the current market. The estimates used by the Company are based on the best information available at the time the estimates were made. In the past, the Company has resorted to friendly-foreclosure and/or deed-in-lieu transactions as a way to address bank loans that have matured where extensions are not available and loans where covenant violations cannot be cured. The Company may be forced to return to this strategy in the future if it cannot resolve certain existing loan maturities and loan defaults. When an asset is turned over to a bank in a foreclosure action, all carrying cost in excess of the debt (net carry value) is recorded as a loss. To the extent our net carry value is negative and there is no post foreclosure deficiency liability, the difference is recorded as a gain.

If market conditions continue to deteriorate, additional adverse changes to these estimates in future periods could result in further material impairment amounts to be recorded. In addition, and from time to time, the Company will write-off deposits it has made for options on land that it has decided not to purchase. These deposits and any related capitalized pre-acquisition feasibility or project costs are written off at the earlier of the option expiration or the decision to terminate the option.

## Edgar Filing: Comstock Homebuilding Companies, Inc. - Form 10-Q

The following table summarizes impairment charges and write-offs for the three months ended:

	March 31, 2009	March 31, 2008
Impairments	\$	\$ 828
Write-offs		4
	\$	\$ 832

After impairments and write-offs, real estate held for development and sale consists of the following:

	March 31, 2009	December 31, 2008
Land and land development costs	\$ 50,685	\$ 51,421
Cost of construction (including capitalized interest and real estate taxes)	75,438	78,121
	\$ 126,123	\$ 129,542

### 3. CONSOLIDATION OF VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES

The Company typically acquires land for development at market prices from various entities under fixed price purchase agreements. The purchase agreements require deposits that may be forfeited if the Company fails to perform under the agreements. The deposits required under the purchase agreements are in the form of cash or letters of credit in varying amounts. The Company may, at its option, choose for any reason and at any time not to perform under these purchase agreements by delivering notice of its intent not to acquire the land under contract. The Company's sole legal obligation and economic loss for failure to perform under these purchase agreements is typically limited to the amount of the deposit pursuant to the liquidated damages provision contained within the purchase agreement. As a result, none of the creditors of any of the entities with which the Company enters into forward fixed price purchase agreements have recourse to the general credit of the Company.

**Table of Contents****COMSTOCK HOMEBUILDING COMPANIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(Amounts in thousands, except per share data)**

The Company also does not share in an allocation of either the profit earned or loss incurred by any of these entities with which the Company has fixed price purchase agreements. The Company has concluded that whenever it options land or lots from an entity and pays a significant non-refundable deposit as described above, a variable interest entity is created under the provisions of Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Interpretation No. 46, *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities* (FIN 46-R). This is because the Company has been deemed to have provided subordinated financial support, which creates a variable interest which limits the equity holder's returns and may absorb some or all of an entity's expected theoretical losses if they occur. The Company, therefore, examines the entities with which it has fixed price purchase agreements for possible consolidation by the Company under FIN 46-R. This requires the Company to compute expected losses and expected residual returns based on the probability of future cash flows as outlined in FIN 46-R. This calculation requires substantial management judgments and estimates. In addition, because the Company does not have any contractual or ownership interests in the entities with which it contracts to buy the land, the Company does not have the ability to compel these development entities to provide financial or other data to assist the Company in the performance of the primary beneficiary evaluation.

The Company has evaluated its fixed price purchase agreement with Belmont Bay, LC relating to Phase II of Beacon Park and has determined that it is the primary beneficiary of that entity. See Note 9 for a status of the Company's litigation with Belmont Bay, LC related to the Beacon Park condominium purchase money promissory note. As a result, at March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the Company consolidated one entity in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. The effect of the consolidation was the inclusion of \$19,250 in Inventory not owned-variable interest entities with a corresponding inclusion of \$19,050 (net of land deposits paid of \$200) to Obligations related to inventory not owned. Creditors, if any, of this variable interest entity have no recourse against the Company relating to this purchase contract.

**4. WARRANTY RESERVE**

Warranty reserves for houses settled are established to cover potential costs for materials and labor with regard to warranty-type claims expected to arise during the one-year warranty period provided by the Company or within the five-year statutorily mandated structural warranty period. Since the Company subcontracts its homebuilding work, subcontractors are required to provide the Company with an indemnity and a certificate of insurance prior to receiving payments for their work. Claims relating to workmanship and materials are generally the primary responsibility of the subcontractors and product manufacturers. The warranty reserve is established at the time of closing, and is calculated based upon historical warranty cost experience and current business factors. Variables used in the calculation of the reserve, as well as the adequacy of the reserve based on the number of homes still under warranty, are reviewed on a periodic basis. Warranty claims are directly charged to the reserve as they arise. The following table is a summary of warranty reserve activity which is included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities:

	<b>March 31, 2009</b>	<b>December 31, 2008</b>
Balance at beginning period	\$ 1,031	\$ 1,537
Additions	24	432
Releases and or charges incurred	(124)	(938)
Balance at end of period	\$ 931	\$ 1,031

**Table of Contents****COMSTOCK HOMEBUILDING COMPANIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(Amounts in thousands, except per share data)****5. CAPITALIZED INTEREST AND REAL ESTATE TAXES**

Interest and real estate taxes incurred relating to the development of lots and parcels are capitalized to real estate held for development and sale during the active development period, which generally commences when borrowings are used to acquire real estate assets and ends when the properties are substantially complete or the property becomes inactive which means that development and construction activities have been suspended indefinitely. Interest is capitalized based on the interest rate applicable to specific borrowings or the weighted average of the rates applicable to other borrowings during the period. Interest and real estate taxes capitalized to real estate held for development and sale are expensed as a component of cost of sales as related units are sold. The following table is a summary of interest incurred and capitalized and interest expensed as units are settled:

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
Total interest incurred and capitalized	\$ 12	\$ 2,674
Interest expensed as a component of cost of sales	\$ 569	\$ 1,125

During the three months ended March 31, 2009 the majority of the Company's projects in Washington, DC and Atlanta, GA were determined to be inactive for accounting purposes as they were either substantially complete or management elected to suspend construction activities indefinitely. When a project becomes inactive, its interest, real estate taxes and indirect production overhead costs are no longer capitalized but rather expensed in the period in which they are incurred. Following is a breakdown of the interest, real estate taxes and indirect costs related to inactive projects reported on the statement of operations related to the inactivation of certain real estate projects held for development and sale:

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
Total interest incurred and expensed for inactive projects	\$ 1,233	\$ 601
Total real estate taxes incurred and expensed for inactive projects	293	157
Total production overhead incurred and expensed for inactive projects	274	
	\$ 1,800	\$ 758

**6. EARNINGS (LOSS) PER SHARE**

The following weighted average shares and share equivalents are used to calculate basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008:

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
<b>Basic earnings (loss) per share</b>		
Net income (loss) attributable to Comstock Homebuilding Companies, Inc.	\$ (2,645)	\$ 6,542
Basic weighted-average shares outstanding	17,554	16,544

## Edgar Filing: Comstock Homebuilding Companies, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Per share amounts	\$	(0.15)	\$	0.40
-------------------	----	--------	----	------

### Dilutive earnings (loss) per share

Net income (loss) attributable to Comstock Homebuilding Companies, Inc.	\$	(2,645)	\$	6,542
---	----	---------	----	-------

Basic weighted-average shares outstanding		17,554		16,544
---	--	--------	--	--------

Stock options and restricted stock grants				
---	--	--	--	--

Dilutive weighted-average shares outstanding		17,554		16,589
--	--	--------	--	--------

Per share amounts	\$	(0.15)	\$	0.39
-------------------	----	--------	----	------

As a result of net losses during the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, stock grant issuances were excluded from the computation of dilutive earnings per share because their inclusion would have been anti-dilutive. Options and warrants issued during these periods were also excluded due to the options and warrants having an exercise price greater than the average market price of the common shares.

### **Comprehensive income**

For the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, comprehensive income equaled net income; therefore, a separate statement of comprehensive income is not included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents****COMSTOCK HOMEBUILDING COMPANIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(Amounts in thousands, except per share data)****7. INCOME TAX**

Income taxes are accounted for under the asset and liability method in accordance with SFAS 109 *Accounting for Income Taxes*. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax basis. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on the deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date.

For the three months ended March 31, 2009, the Company generated a tax loss of approximately \$3.0 million for federal and state tax purposes. Further, the Company is projecting a tax loss for the twelve months ended December 31, 2009. Therefore, an effective tax rate of zero was assumed in calculating the current income tax expense at March 31, 2009. The Company's income tax (benefit) expense consists of the following:

	March 31,	
	2009	2008
Current:		
Federal	\$ 2	\$
State		
Deferred:		
Federal	(869)	(588)
State	(161)	(109)
	(1,030)	(697)
Other		
Valuation allowance	1,030	697
Total income tax (benefit) expense	\$ 2	\$

**Table of Contents****COMSTOCK HOMEBUILDING COMPANIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(Amounts in thousands, except per share data)**

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. Components of the Company's deferred tax assets and liabilities at March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 were as follows:

	March 31, 2009	December 31, 2008
Deferred tax assets:		
Inventory	\$ 19,093	\$ 19,047
Warranty	269	308
Investment in affiliates	38	38
Net operating loss and tax credit carry forwards	16,592	15,483
Cancellation of debt gain	2,475	2,505
Accrued expenses	(205)	(176)
Stock based compensation	(49)	(82)
	38,213	37,123
Less valuation allowance	(37,137)	(36,107)
Net deferred tax assets	1,076	1,016
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Depreciation and amortization	(1,076)	(1,016)
Net deferred tax liabilities	(1,076)	(1,016)
Net deferred tax assets (liabilities)	\$	\$

At December 31, 2007, the Company recorded valuation allowances for certain tax attributes and other deferred tax assets. At this time, sufficient uncertainty exists regarding the future realization of these deferred tax assets through future taxable income or carry back opportunities. If in the future the Company believes that it is more likely than not that these deferred tax benefits will be realized, the valuation allowances will be reversed.

The Company's ability to use its NOLs and, in certain circumstances, future built-in losses and depreciation deductions can be negatively affected if there is an ownership change as defined under Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code. In general, an ownership change occurs whenever there is a shift in ownership by more than 50 percentage points by one or more 5% shareholders over a specified time period (generally three years). Given Section 382's broad definition, an ownership change could be the unintended consequence of otherwise normal market trading in the Company's stock that is outside of the Company's control.

The Company currently has approximately \$40,364 in Federal and State NOLs and approximately \$862 of AMT credits, with a potential value of up to \$16,592 in tax savings over the next 20 years. Under Internal Revenue Code Section 382 rules, if a change of ownership is triggered, the Company's NOL asset and possibly certain other deferred tax assets may be impaired. We estimate that as of March 31, 2009, the cumulative shift in the Company's stock was at an approximately 32% level compared with the 50% level that would trigger impairment of our NOL asset. However, if an ownership change were to occur, due to the Company's valuation allowance on its net deferred tax assets a Section 382 limitation is not expected to materially impact the Company's financial position or results of operations as of March 31, 2009. However, if an ownership change were to occur, the application of Section 382 may require the Company to reduce its gross deferred tax assets related to its NOLs and

## Edgar Filing: Comstock Homebuilding Companies, Inc. - Form 10-Q

possibly other deferred tax asset balances.

We adopted the provisions of FIN 48 as of January 1, 2007. As a result of this adoption, the Company recorded a benefit to the opening accumulated deficit in the amount of \$1,663. The Company recognizes interest accrued related to unrecognized tax benefits in interest expense. Penalties, if incurred, would be recognized as a component of general and administrative expense. At March 31, 2009, the Company had gross unrecognized tax benefits of \$77, which was fully reserved. The reserve was limited to interest on the net timing difference. The unrecognized tax benefits of \$77 at March 31, 2009, would not reduce the Company annual effective tax rate if recognized. The Company has accrued interest and recorded a liability of \$77 related to these unrecognized tax benefits during 2009. The Company does not expect the unrecognized tax benefits to change significantly over the next 12 months.

The Company files U.S. and state income tax returns in jurisdictions with varying statutes of limitations. The 2004 through 2008 tax years generally remain subject to examination by federal and most state tax authorities.



---

**Table of Contents**

**COMSTOCK HOMEBUILDING COMPANIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**(Amounts in thousands, except per share data)**

**8. STOCK REPURCHASE PROGRAM**

In February 2006 the Company's Board of Directors authorized the Company to purchase up to 1,000 shares of the Company's Class A common stock in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions. The authorization did not include a specified time period in which the shares repurchase would remain in effect. During the twelve months ended December 31, 2006, the Company repurchased an aggregate of 391 shares of Class A common stock for a total of \$2,439 or \$6.23 per share. There were no shares repurchased in 2007, 2008 or during the three months ended March 31, 2009. The Company has no immediate plans to repurchase any additional shares under the existing authorization.

**9. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES**

**Litigation**

In April, 2008, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, Mathis Partners, LLC ( Mathis Partners ) received notice from Haven Trust Bank (Lender) that it filed a collection action against the Company pursuant to a guaranty agreement entered into by the Company for the outstanding balance of the indebtedness owed for the Gates of Luberon project in Atlanta, Georgia. In January 2009, prior to any substantive action taking place in the lawsuit, the Lender failed and was taken over by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). The FDIC sought a stay in the guaranty action through April of 2009. The carrying value of Mathis Partners assets at March 31, 2009 is approximately \$4,448. Cornerstone Bank, one of the banks to whom Haven Trust participated the loan has assumed control of the collection process and has reinstated the foreclosure and guarantee actions.

On July 3, 2008, the Company and Comstock Belmont Bay 8&9, L.C. (the Subsidiary ) were served with a complaint by Belmont Bay, LC ( Seller ) to enforce payment of a purchase money promissory note ( Purchase Note ) that matured on March 24, 2007, made by the Subsidiary and guaranteed by the Company with respect to our Beacon Park I Condominium (the Project ). Demand is made for \$1,993, plus accrued interest, costs and attorney fees. The Company and Subsidiary have filed answers to the action and the Subsidiary filed a counterclaim against the Seller as a result of its various breaches of the purchase contract that gave rise to the Purchase Note. On July 30, 2008, the Company and Subsidiary received notice of an additional complaint filed by Seller for breach of the purchase contract and on August 6, 2008, the Subsidiary was served with a third complaint by Seller and the master associations for the Belmont Bay development seeking injunctive relief to prohibit the Subsidiary from selling the Project. The Seller and master associations also joined together to file a lis pendens against the Project in October 2008 to notice the third complaint. The Subsidiary and the Company intend to vigorously defend all actions and have filed a complaint against the Seller, the master association and certain individual constituent members of the associations as a result of their various contractual and fiduciary breaches.

On July 29, 2008, Balfour Beatty Construction, LLC, successor in interest to Centex Construction ( Balfour ), the general contractor for a subsidiary of the Company, filed liens totaling approximately \$552 at The Eclipse on Center Park Condominium project ( Project ) in connection with its claim for amounts allegedly owed under the Project contract documents. In September 2008, the Company's subsidiary filed suit against Balfour to invalidate the liens and for its actual and liquidated damages in the approximate amount of \$13,800 due to construction delays and additional costs incurred by the Company's subsidiary with respect to the Project. In October 2008, Balfour filed counterclaims in the approximate amount of \$4,000. Subsequent to an expedited hearing filed by the Company's subsidiary to determine the validity of the liens that was ultimately heard in February, 2009, we received an order of the court in April, 2009 invalidating the liens. We anticipate a trial on the remainder of the litigation in the third or fourth quarter of 2009. The lender for the Company's subsidiary had not issued a default notice with respect to the liens but an adverse judgment with respect to the litigation could be considered an event of default under the Key Bank loan associated with the Project.

Other than the foregoing, we are not currently subject to any material legal proceedings. From time to time, however, we are named as a defendant in legal actions arising from our normal business activities. Although we cannot accurately predict the amount of our liability, if any, that could arise with respect to legal actions currently pending against us, we do not expect that any such liability will have a material adverse effect on our financial position, operating results or cash flows. We believe that we have obtained adequate insurance coverage, rights to indemnification, or where appropriate, have established reserves in connection with these legal proceedings.



**Table of Contents**

**COMSTOCK HOMEBUILDING COMPANIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**(Amounts in thousands, except per share data)**

**Letters of credit and performance bonds**

The Company has commitments as a result of contracts entered into with certain third parties to meet certain performance criteria as outlined in such contracts. The Company is required to issue letters of credit and performance bonds to these third parties as a way of ensuring that such commitments entered into are met by the Company. At March 31, 2009 the Company has issued \$3,976 in letters of credit and \$8,334 in performance and payment bonds to these third parties. No amounts have been drawn against these letters of credit and performance bonds.

**10. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS**

In April 2002 and January 2004, the Predecessor entered into lease agreements for approximately 7.7 and 8.8 square feet, respectively, for its corporate headquarters at 11465 Sunset Hills Road, Reston, Virginia from Comstock Partners, L.C. (now known as 11465 SH-I, LC), an affiliate of our Predecessor in which executive officers of the Company, Christopher Clemente, Gregory Benson, and others are principals. Christopher Clemente owns a 45% interest, Gregory Benson owns a 5% interest, an entity which is owned or controlled by Christopher Clemente's father-in-law, owns a 45% interest, and an unrelated third party owns a 5% interest in Comstock Partners. On September 30, 2004, the lease agreements were canceled and replaced with new leases for a total of 20.6 square feet with Comstock Asset Management, L.C., (CAM) an entity wholly owned by Christopher Clemente. On August 1, 2005, the lease agreement was amended for an additional 8.4 square feet. On March 31, 2007 the lease agreement was amended decreasing the total square footage from 29.0 to 24.1 and extending the term for two additional years. In October 2007, the lease agreement was amended decreasing the total square footage from 24.1 to 17.1 and extending the term to four years through September 2011. Total payments under this lease agreement were \$141 and \$148, respectively for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008.

On February 26, 2009 Comstock Homes of Washington, L.C., a wholly owned subsidiary of Comstock Homebuilding Companies, Inc. concurrently entered into a Fourth Amendment to Sub-Lease Agreement and a Services Agreement with CAM. Under the terms of the lease Amendment, CAM released Comstock Homes of Washington from its lease obligation with respect to 1.4 square feet of space at its headquarters in Reston, Virginia. In consideration of the release Comstock Homes of Washington agreed to pay a \$50 termination fee to CAM which is payable at a rate of \$5 per month for ten months. After the amendment, Comstock Homes of Washington had 15.8 square feet remaining under its sub-lease with CAM with annual rent of \$502. Under the terms of the Services Agreement, Comstock Homes of Washington agreed to provide project management and leasing services to CAM for a term of ten months at a rate of \$5 per month.

During 2003, the Predecessor entered into agreements with I-Connect, L.C., a company in which Investors Management, LLC, an entity wholly owned by Gregory Benson, holds a 25% interest, for information technology and website consulting services and the right to use certain customized enterprise software developed with input from the Company. The intellectual property rights associated with the software solution developed by I-Connect, along with any improvements made thereto by the Company, remain the property of I-Connect. For the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company paid \$22 and \$114, respectively to I-Connect.

The Company, in order to attract, retain, and motivate employees maintains a home ownership benefit program. Under the home ownership benefits, an employee receives certain cost benefits provided by the Company when purchasing a home or having one built by the Company. Sales of homes to employees for investment purposes do not qualify for any cost benefits. The Company did not enter into any sales contracts with employees during the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008.

In September 2005, Comstock Foundation, Inc. was created. Comstock Foundation is a not-for-profit organization organized exclusively for charitable purposes within the meaning of Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code and is an affiliate of the Company. The affairs of Comstock Foundation are managed by a five-person board of directors with Christopher Clemente, Gregory Benson, Bruce Labovitz and Tracy Schar (employee of the Company and spouse of Christopher Clemente) being four of the five. During the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 the Company donated \$0 and \$0, respectively, to Comstock Foundation.

In February 2008, the Company entered into a Loan and Security Agreement with Stonehenge Funding, LC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Comstock Asset Management, an entity wholly-owned by Christopher Clemente, the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Company.

## Edgar Filing: Comstock Homebuilding Companies, Inc. - Form 10-Q

The loan in the amount of \$4,000 was secured by the Company's anticipated federal income tax refund for tax year 2007. Terms of the loan included interest-only payments at a fixed rate of 12% per annum and an origination fee paid by the Company to Stonehenge Funding at closing of the loan totaling \$200. Participating in the loan were Gregory Benson (\$500) and Tracy Schar (\$1,500). In March 2008, the Company received its federal income tax refund and used a portion of the refund to repay the loan. Total interest payments of \$16 were made to Stonehenge Funding.

The Board previously approved the final sale of the retail (non-residential) condominium units at the Eclipse on Center Park Condominium ( the Project ) and previously unallocated and unresolved financial obligations between Comstock Potomac Yard, L.C. (Comstock) and Comstock Asset Management, L.C. (CAM) stemming from that certain agreement dated November 12, 2004, as amended on September 29, 2005 (the Agreement ). The conveyance of the retail units occurred on December 17, 2007. Pursuant to the Agreement, Comstock is required to bond off and indemnify CAM against any losses associated with the filing of mechanics liens against the retail units by Comstock's contractors or subcontractors. Balfour Beatty Construction, Comstock's general contractor for the Project, filed a mechanics lien against the entire Project in a total amount of approximately \$552 in July of 2008. The pro-rata portion of the bond allocated to the retail units owned by CAM was \$77 (the Cash Bond ). On August 1, 2008, CAM made demand for bonding over and/or indemnification pursuant to the Agreement and on January 28, 2009, Comstock paid \$77 to CAM for the sole purposes of bonding over the lien. On April 21, 2009 the associated lien was invalidated. As such, Comstock will request a return of the \$77 paid to CAM.

**Table of Contents****COMSTOCK HOMEBUILDING COMPANIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

(Amounts in thousands, except per share data)

**11. SEGMENT REPORTING**

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 131, *Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information* ( SFAS 131 ) establishes standards for the manner in which companies report information about operating segments. The Company determined it provides one single type of business activity, homebuilding, which operates in multiple geographic or economic environments. In addition, as a result of the Company's acquisitions in Georgia and North Carolina, which became fully integrated in the fourth quarter of 2006, the Company modified how it analyzes its business during the fourth quarter of 2006. The Company had, in years prior to 2009, determined that its homebuilding operations primarily involved three reportable geographic segments: Washington DC Metropolitan Area, Raleigh, North Carolina, and Atlanta, Georgia. Based on reduced activity in the Atlanta market, the Company elected to consolidate the Raleigh and Atlanta segments into one reportable segment, effective January 1, 2009. As such, the three months ended March 31, 2008 have been restated for presentation purposes only. The aggregation criteria are based on the similar economic characteristics of the projects located in each of these regions.

The table below summarizes revenue and income (loss) before income taxes for each of the Company's geographic segments (amounts in thousands):

	<b>Three months ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
<b>Revenues:</b>		
Washington DC Metropolitan region	\$ 4,957	\$ 6,498
Southeast region (1)	566	9,878
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 5,523</b>	<b>\$ 16,376</b>
<b>Operating (loss) income</b>		
Washington DC Metropolitan region	\$ (1,100)	\$ (540)
Southeast region (1)	(154)	(1,175)
<b>Segment operating (loss) income</b>	<b>(1,254)</b>	<b>(1,715)</b>
<b>Corporate expenses unallocated</b>	<b>(1,743)</b>	<b>(1,255)</b>
<b>Total operating (loss) income</b>	<b>(2,997)</b>	<b>(2,970)</b>
<b>Gain on debt restructuring</b>		<b>8,325</b>
<b>Other income</b>	<b>353</b>	<b>1,185</b>
<b>(Loss) income before income taxes</b>	<b>\$ (2,644)</b>	<b>\$ 6,540</b>

The table below summarizes total assets for the Company's segments as of:

	<b>March 31, 2009</b>	<b>December 31, 2008</b>
Washington DC Metropolitan region	\$ 112,401	\$ 116,483

Edgar Filing: Comstock Homebuilding Companies, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Southeast region (1)	34,971	34,924
Corporate	7,363	9,452
Total assets	\$ 154,735	\$ 160,859

(1) Atlanta, GA and Raleigh, N.C.

**Table of Contents****COMSTOCK HOMEBUILDING COMPANIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

(Amounts in thousands, except per share data)

**12. TROUBLED DEBT RESTRUCTURING**

On March 14, 2008, the Company executed an option to restructure its \$30,000 senior unsecured note. In connection therewith, the Company made a \$6,000 principal payment to the noteholder and executed an amended and restated indenture with the noteholder with a new principal balance of \$9,000 and a revised term of 5 years. The Company also issued the noteholder a warrant to purchase 1,500 shares of Class A common stock at \$0.70 per share. In exchange the noteholder agreed to cancel \$15,000 of the original outstanding principal balance.

This transaction has been accounted for as a troubled debt restructuring modification of terms pursuant to Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 15, *Accounting by Debtors and Creditors of Troubled Debt Restructurings* ( SFAS 15 ). Under SFAS 15, the amended and restated indenture was recorded at its principal amount plus the total estimated future interest payments of \$13,438.

Calculated in accordance with SFAS 15, the gain resulting from the execution of the amended and restated indenture was determined as follows:

Cash paid (including prepayment of interest through December 31, 2008)	\$ 6,651
Issuance of warrants, at fair value	720
Amended and restated indenture, principal plus future interest payments	13,438
Transaction costs	172
<b>Total consideration</b>	<b>20,981</b>
Amount outstanding under original indenture	(30,000)
Interest accrued under original indenture	(599)
Unamortized loan fees	1,293
<b>Gain on troubled debt restructuring</b>	<b>\$ (8,325)</b>

**13. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS**

On April 17, 2009, the Company received a notice of default from Wachovia Bank, National Association ( Wachovia ) regarding its Loan Modification and Forbearance Agreement (the Agreement ) and its Amended and Restated Promissory Note in the amount of \$8,000 (the Note and collectively with the Agreement the Loans ). Wachovia's notice is based on allegations of 1) Comstock's failure to timely pay amounts due under the Agreement and the Note and 2) the existence of certain mechanics liens and liens for unpaid taxes against the collateral securing the Loans. The Company's total outstanding indebtedness to Wachovia on April 23, 2009 was approximately \$18,900.

On April 27, 2009, the Company received a notice of payment default from Wells Fargo Bank, N.A as Trustee for an Amended and Restated Indenture between the Company and JP Morgan Ventures ( JPMV ) dated March 14, 2008. The notice of payment default indicated that the failure of the Company to make its quarterly interest payment within 30 days of March 30, 2009 would constitute an Event of Default under the Indenture. The Company's total outstanding indebtedness to JPMV on May 1, 2009 was approximately \$9,000 plus unpaid interest of \$216.

On April 21, 2009 the Company received a ruling from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia in which the court ruled to invalidate a \$552 mechanics lien filed by Balfour Beatty Construction at the Company's Eclipse at Potomac Yard project. As a result, the Company believes it has a claim against Balfour Beatty for damages and legal expenses associated with the liens and with defending the lien filing.

**14. CREDIT FACILITIES**

## Edgar Filing: Comstock Homebuilding Companies, Inc. - Form 10-Q

The Company has outstanding borrowings with various financial institutions and other lenders which have been used to finance the acquisition, development and construction of real estate property.

As of March 31, 2009, maturities and/or curtailment obligations of all of our borrowings are as follows:

Year ending December 31,	
Past Due(1)	\$ 20,932
2009	3,339
2010	32,729
2011	26,820
2012 and thereafter	16,512
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 100,332</b>

- (1) Past due is comprised of Haven Trust Bank (\$5,060), Royal Bank of Canada (\$5,602), M&T Bank (\$7,754), BB&T (\$721) and an unsecured seller financing related to Belmont Bay (\$1,797).

Notes payable consist of the following:

	March 31, 2009	December 31, 2008
Secured acquisition, development and construction notes (a)	\$ 63,209	\$ 64,647
Secured revolving credit line (b)	18,914	19,916
Senior unsecured term notes (c)	12,743	12,743
Unsecured term loans (d)	5,466	5,523
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 100,332</b>	<b>\$ 102,829</b>

### (a) Secured acquisition, development and construction notes

We have several loans with various banks that provide us with specific project financing. These loans are secured by one or more specific project assets and are used for land acquisition, development and construction. The loans bear interest at various rates, based on Prime or LIBOR benchmarks with a certain number of additional basis points added. At March 31, 2009 the weighted average stated rate was approximately 6.7%. In certain cases the Company is required to adhere to financial covenants under the terms of the agreements.

### (b) Secured revolving credit line

On May 26, 2006 the Company entered into \$40,000 Secured Revolving Borrowing Base Credit Facility with Wachovia Bank for the financing of entitled land, land under development, construction and project related letters of credit. Funding availability was to be limited by compliance with a periodic borrowing base calculation and certain financial covenants. The Company ceased making interest payments on this loan in June 2008, which was construed by the lender to have been an event of default under the loan agreement. On July 25, 2008 Wachovia issued the Company a notice of default with respect to this facility. In December 2008 we entered into loan modification agreements with Wachovia by which the single credit facility was split into three separate notes; an \$8,000 revolving construction loan, a \$7,000 term note and a \$3,000 outstanding project note. In connection with these modifications Wachovia wrote off \$4,200 with respect to our Tribble Road Project in Atlanta. This transaction has been accounted for as a troubled debt restructuring pursuant to Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 15, Accounting by Debtors and Creditors of Troubled Debt Restructurings ( SFAS 15 ). Under SFAS 15 we recorded a \$3,300 gain on troubled debt restructuring after accounting for future interest costs. The revolver and term notes mature in January 2009 and the project note matures in December 2011. The revolving loan requires us to meet certain settlement covenants by June 30, 2009 which we will likely not be able to achieve. At March 31, 2009 the outstanding balance under the three loans was \$18,914.



**(c) Senior unsecured note**

On May 4, 2006 the Company closed on a \$30,000 Junior Subordinated Note Offering. The term of the note was thirty years and it could be retired after five years with no penalty. The rate was fixed at 9.72% the first five years and LIBOR plus 420 basis points the remaining twenty-five years. In March 2007 the Company retired the Junior Subordinated Note with no penalty and entered into a new 10-year, \$30,000 Senior Unsecured Note Offering with the same lender at the same interest rate. During the third quarter of 2007, the lender's rights were assumed by the lender's creditor(s). On March 14, 2008, the Company executed an option to restructure the \$30,000 unsecured note. In connection therewith, the Company made a \$6,000 principal payment to the lender and executed an amended and restated indenture with a new principal balance of \$9,000, loosened financial covenants and a revised term of 5 years. The Company also issued the lender a seven-year warrant to purchase 1,500 shares of Class A common stock at \$0.70 per share. In exchange the lender agreed to cancel \$15,000 of the outstanding principal balance. This transaction has been accounted for as a troubled debt restructuring pursuant to Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 15, Accounting by Debtors and Creditors of Troubled Debt Restructurings (SFAS 15). Under SFAS 15, the amended and restated indenture was recorded at \$13,400 on March 31, 2008 which includes its principal amount plus the total estimated future interest payments of \$4,400 over the remaining life of the notes at March 31, 2008. Under SFAS 15 we recorded a \$8,325 gain on troubled debt restructuring after accounting for future interest costs. Interest on this senior unsecured debt is prepaid through December 31, 2008. As of March 31, 2009, \$12,743 was outstanding with this facility, which includes its principal amount of \$9,000 plus the total estimated future interest payments of \$3,743. At March 31, 2009 the Company elected not to make a scheduled interest payment in the amount of \$216. In connection therewith, the Company received a default notice as described in Note 13 herein.

**(d) Unsecured term loans**

At March 31, 2009 we had \$5,466 outstanding under unsecured loan agreements with three lenders. These unsecured loans have a weighted average stated rate of interest of approximately 3.7%. There are no financial covenants associated with these loans. The notes have either matured (\$1,797) or will mature between March 2009 and December 2018.

Many of the Company's loan facilities contain Material Adverse Effect clauses which, if invoked, could create an event of default under the loan. In the event all or substantially all of the Company's loans were deemed to be in default as a result of a Material Adverse Effect, the Company's ability to meet the capital and debt obligations would be compromised and the Company would not be able to continue operations without seeking bankruptcy protection.

The Company's senior management continues to work closely with its lenders on both temporary and permanent modifications to the lending facilities. These modifications are principally related to financial covenants and maturity dates. The Company cannot at this time provide any assurances that it will be successful in these efforts. In the event the Company is not successful it may not be able to continue operations without court imposed protections.

**15. CHANGE IN ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES**

The preparation of the financial statements, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Material estimates are utilized in the valuation of real estate held for development and sale, valuation of deferred tax assets, contingent liabilities, capitalization of costs, consolidation of variable interest entities, warranty reserves and incentive compensation accruals.

During the three months ended March 31, 2008, the Company recognized a reduction in selling, general and administrative expense of approximately \$1,417 related to the amount accrued at December 31, 2007 for 2007 employee incentive compensation payments. This transaction was a change in estimate due to the fact that after the completion and filing of the Company's form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007, the Company's CEO, with the approval of the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors, determined to forgo paying 2007 performance based bonuses. Instead, the Company elected to pay bonuses to retain key employees through 2008 and executives through 2009. The new facts and circumstances that came to light subsequent to the filing of form 10-K led management to conclude that this was a change in an accounting estimate. Accordingly, management has accounted for the change in estimate in the current period in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 154, *Accounting Changes and Error Corrections*. Subsequently, the 2009 retention bonuses were terminated.

**Table of Contents**

**COMSTOCK HOMEBUILDING COMPANIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND**  
**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

**ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**  
**FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS AND FACTORS THAT MAY AFFECT RESULTS**

The following discussion of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with the accompanying unaudited consolidated interim financial statements and the notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this report and our audited consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto for the year ended December 31, 2008, appearing in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year then ended (the 2008 Form 10-K).

This report includes forward-looking statements that are made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of words such as anticipate, believe, estimate, may, intend, expect, will, should, seeks or other similar expressions. Forward-looking statements are based largely on our expectations and involve inherent risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond our control. You should not place undue reliance on any forward-looking statement, which speaks only as of the date made. Some factors which may affect the accuracy of the forward-looking statements apply generally to the real estate industry, while other factors apply directly to us. Any number of important factors which could cause actual results to differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements include, without limitation: general economic and market conditions, including interest rate levels; our ability to service our substantial debt; inherent risks in investment in real estate; our ability to compete in the Washington, D.C. and Raleigh, North Carolina and Atlanta, Georgia real estate and home building markets; regulatory actions; fluctuations in operating results; our anticipated growth strategies; shortages and increased costs of labor or building materials; the availability and cost of land in desirable areas; natural disasters; our ability to raise debt and equity capital and grow our operations on a profitable basis; and our continuing relationships with affiliates. Additional information concerning these and other important risk and uncertainties can be found under the heading Risk Factors in our Form 10-K filed for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008. Our actual results could differ materially from these projected or suggested by the forward-looking statements.

**Table of Contents**

**COMSTOCK HOMEBUILDING COMPANIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND**  
**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

**Overview**

We are a residential real estate developer that has substantial experience building a diverse range of products including single-family homes, townhouses, mid-rise condominiums, high-rise multi-family buildings and mixed-use (residential and commercial) developments in suburban communities and high density urban infill areas. We have historically built projects with the intent that they be sold either as fee-simple properties, condominiums, or investment properties. We focus on geographic areas, products and price points where we believe there will be continuing demand for new housing and potential for attractive returns. We operate in the Washington, D.C., Raleigh, North Carolina, and Atlanta, Georgia markets where we target first-time, early move-up, secondary move-up, and empty nester move-down buyers. We focus on the middle-market meaning that we tend to offer products in the middle price points in each market, avoiding the very low-end and high-end products. We believe our middle market strategy positions our products such that they are affordable to a significant segment of potential home buyers in our markets. Since our founding in 1985, and as of December 31, 2008, we have built and delivered more than 5,170 homes generating revenue in excess of \$1.3 billion.

Our markets have historically been characterized by strong population and economic growth trends that have led to strong demand for traditional housing. However, the housing industry is in an unprecedented and prolonged cyclical downturn, suffering the effects of reduced demand brought on by significant increases in existing home inventory, resistance to appreciating prices of new homes, turmoil in the mortgage markets, reduced liquidity levels in the world financial markets, increasing unemployment and concerns about the health of the national and global economics. We believe over the past two decades we have gained experience that will be helpful to us as we seek to manage our business through the current difficult market environment. We believe we have taken, and are continuing to take, steps that will assist us in managing our business through the current cycle until market conditions stabilize and eventually improve. There can be no assurances, however, that we will be able to generate and maintain sufficient cash resources to survive long enough for market conditions to improve.

As a result of deteriorating market conditions, we have adjusted certain aspects of our business strategy. In 2008, we focused our energy on repositioning projects, reducing debt, reducing costs, managing liquidity, renegotiating loans with current period and near-term maturities, refinancing projects and enhancing our balance sheet. We have cancelled or postponed plans to start several new projects and either renegotiated or cancelled contracts to purchase certain other projects. As a result, we purchased no new land in 2008 or so far in 2009. We have sold certain land and other assets and taken steps to significantly reduce our inventory of speculative homes as well. Until market conditions stabilize, we will continue to focus on working through the land inventory we own. This will include continuing efforts to sell certain land parcels where we believe it is the best strategy relative to that particular asset.

While we have always preferred to purchase finished building lots that are developed by others, we have also been active in entitling and developing land for many of our home building projects. We believe it is important to have the in-house capabilities to manage the entitlement and development of land in order to position our company to be able to recognize opportunities to enhance the value of the real estate we develop and to be opportunistic in our approach to acquisitions. Nonetheless, our interest in acquiring new development projects will be focused on finished building lots until market conditions and circumstances warrant otherwise. As such, we have significantly reduced our in-house development staff.

During the past several years our business has included the development, redevelopment and construction of residential mid-rise and high-rise condominium complexes. The majority of our multi-family projects are in our core market of the greater Washington, D.C. area. We believe the demographics and housing trends in the Washington, DC area will continue to generate demand for high density housing and mixed-use developments over the long term. However, condominium sales in the greater Washington, D.C. area have declined significantly as a result of current economic conditions. In order to reduce the cost associated with carrying our condominium inventory in the Washington, DC region we are temporarily operating two of our multi-family projects as hybrid for-sale and for-rent properties. This approach provides us regular cash flow which we use to offset a portion of the carry costs associated with the applicable multi-family assets. In addition, we believe the value of the assets will increase over time as market conditions stabilize or improve. In Raleigh, North Carolina and Atlanta, Georgia, we continue to be focused on lower density housing principally single family homes.

In today's real estate market our general operating business strategy has the following key elements:

protect liquidity and maximize capital availability;

maximize the realized value of our real estate owned;

utilize technology to streamline operations, reduce costs, enhance customer communications and facilitate sales

rationalize overhead expenses;

focus on our core markets in the Mid-Atlantic and Southeast region of the United States;

focus on our current land inventory in our core markets;

focus on a broad segment of the home buying market, aka the middle market ;

create opportunities in areas overlooked by our competitors;

maximize our economies of scale;

aggressively prosecute existing litigation to recover costs and damages caused by others.

Our business was founded in 1985 by Christopher Clemente, our current Chief Executive Officer, as a residential land developer and home builder focused on the move-up home market in the Northern Virginia suburbs of the Washington, D.C area. Prior to our initial public offering in December 2004, we operated our business through four primary holding companies. In connection with our initial public offering, these primary holding companies were consolidated and merged into Comstock Homebuilding Companies, Inc., which was incorporated in Delaware in May 2004. Our principal executive offices are located at 11465 Sunset Hills Road, Suite 510, Reston, Virginia 20190, and our telephone number is (703) 883-1700. Our Web site is [www.comstockhomebuilding.com](http://www.comstockhomebuilding.com). References to Comstock, we, our and us refer to Comstock Homebuilding Companies, Inc. together in each case with our subsidiaries and any predecessor entities unless the context suggests otherwise.

**Table of Contents**

**COMSTOCK HOMEBUILDING COMPANIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND**

**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

At March 31, 2009, we either owned or controlled under option agreements approximately 1,800 building lots. The following table summarizes certain information related to new orders, settlements, and backlog for the three month period ended March 31, 2009 and 2008:

	Three months ended March 31, 2009			Total
	Washington DC Metro	North Carolina	Georgia	
Gross new orders	12	13		25
Cancellations		3		3
Net new orders	12	10		22
Gross new order revenue	\$ 5,614	\$ 2,319		\$ 7,933
Cancellation revenue	\$	\$ 523		\$ 523
Net new order revenue	\$ 5,614	\$ 1,796		\$ 7,410
Average gross new order price	\$ 468	\$ 178		\$ 317
Settlements	8	4		12
Settlement revenue - homebuilding	\$ 4,160	\$ 566		\$ 4,726
Average settlement price	\$ 520	\$ 142		\$ 394
Backlog units	7	12	1	20
Backlog revenue	\$ 2,189	\$ 2,983	\$ 386	\$ 5,558
Average backlog price	\$ 313	\$ 249	\$ 386	\$ 278

	Three months ended March 31, 2008			Total
	Washington DC	North Carolina	Georgia	
	Metro	Carolina		
Gross new orders	23	18	9	50
Cancellations	4	8	4	16
Net new orders	19	10	5	34
Gross new order revenue	\$ 8,159	\$ 4,194	\$ 2,773	\$ 15,125
Cancellation revenue	\$ 1,379	\$ 2,726	\$ 955	\$ 5,060
Net new order revenue	\$ 6,780	\$ 1,467	\$ 1,818	\$ 10,065
Average gross new order price	\$ 355	\$ 233	\$ 308	\$ 302
Settlements	16	22	10	48
Settlement revenue - homebuilding	\$ 6,062	\$ 6,474	\$ 3,404	\$ 15,940
Average settlement price	\$ 379	\$ 294	\$ 340	\$ 332
Backlog units	16	27	14	57
Backlog revenue	\$ 4,529	\$ 7,915	\$ 4,646	\$ 17,090
Average backlog price	\$ 283	\$ 293	\$ 332	\$ 300

**Table of Contents**

**COMSTOCK HOMEBUILDING COMPANIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND**

**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

We currently have communities under development in multiple counties throughout the markets we serve. The following table summarizes certain information for our current and planned communities as of March 31, 2009:

Project	State	Product Type (2)	Estimated Units at Completion	Units Settled	Backlog (3)	Lots Owned Unsold	Lots under Option Agreement Unsold	Average New Order Revenue to Date
<b>Status: Available for Sales (1)</b>								
Allen Creek	GA	SF	26	23		3		\$ 204,987
Arcanum	GA	SF	34	24		10		\$ 376,173
Falling Water	GA	SF	22	18		4		\$ 422,513
Gates at Luberon	GA	SF	31	3		28		\$ 618,259
Glenn Ivey	GA	SF	20	18		2		\$ 227,039
James Road	GA	SF	10	9		1		\$ 339,847
Post Road	GA	SF	60			60		n/a
Wyngate	GA	SF	4	3	1			\$ 409,160
Sub-Total / Weighted Average (4)			207	98	1	108		\$ 323,079
Emerald Farm	MD	SF	84	78		6		\$ 452,347
Sub-Total / Weighted Average (4)			84	78		6		\$ 452,347
Allyn's Landing (5)	NC	TH	108	81	3	24		\$ 237,231
Brookfield Station (5)	NC	SF	62	15		47		\$ 222,757
Haddon Hall	NC	Condo	90	29	1	60		\$ 158,399
Holland Road (5)	NC	SF	81	18	2	61		\$ 438,324
Providence-SF (5)	NC	SF	58	24	6	28		\$ 194,923
Riverbrooke	NC	SF	66	47		19		\$ 166,608
Wakefield Plantation (5)	NC	TH	77	49		28		\$ 483,042
Wheatleigh Preserve	NC	SF	28	18		10		\$ 279,204
Sub-Total / Weighted Average (4)			570	281	12	277		\$ 270,172
Commons on Potomac Sq	VA	Condo	191	86		105		\$ 233,546
Commons on Williams Sq	VA	Condo	180	141	2	37		\$ 338,271
Penderbrook	VA	Condo	424	301		123		\$ 257,029
River Club II	VA	Condo	112	9	4	99		\$ 253,542
The Eclipse on Center Park	VA	Condo	465	370	1	94		\$ 405,476
Sub-Total / Weighted Average (4)			1,372	907	7	458		\$ 327,736
<b>Total Available for Sales</b>			<b>2,233</b>	<b>1,364</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>849</b>		<b>\$ 322,239</b>
<b>Status: Development (1)</b>								
Shiloh Road I	GA	SF	60			60		n/a

Edgar Filing: Comstock Homebuilding Companies, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Tribble Lakes	GA	SF	167	167	n/a
Sub-Total / Weighted Average (4)			227	227	n/a
Massey Preserve	NC	SF	187	187	n/a
Providence-TH	NC	TH	18	18	n/a
Sub-Total / Weighted Average (4)			205	205	n/a
Beacon Park	VA	Condo	488	488	n/a
Station View	VA	TH	47	47	n/a
Sub-Total / Weighted Average (4)			535	47	488
<b>Total Development</b>			<b>967</b>	<b>479</b>	<b>488</b>
<b>Total Active &amp; Development</b>			<b>3,200</b>	<b>1,364</b>	<b>20</b>
			<b>1,328</b>	<b>488</b>	<b>\$ 322,239</b>

- (1) Available for Sales communities have built or partially built inventory available for sales. Development communities are in the development process or are on hold and have no building inventory available for sales.
- (2) SF means single family home, TH means townhouse and Condo means condominium.
- (3) Backlog means we have an executed order with a buyer but the settlement has not yet taken place.
- (4) Weighted Average means the weighted average new order sale price.
- (5) Considered active for accounting purposes see Note 5 of the accompanying financial statements

**Table of Contents**

**COMSTOCK HOMEBUILDING COMPANIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND**  
**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

**Results of Operations**

*Three months ended March 31, 2009 compared to three months ended March 31, 2008*

*Orders, cancellations and backlog*

Gross new order revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2009 decreased \$7.2 million, or 47.5%, to \$7.9 million on 25 homes as compared to \$15.1 million on 50 homes for the three months ended March 31, 2008. Net new order revenue for the quarter ended March 31, 2009 decreased \$2.7 million, or 26.3%, to \$7.4 million on 22 homes as compared to \$10.1 million on 34 homes for the quarter ended March 31, 2008. The 25 unit decrease in gross new orders and the 12 unit decrease in net new orders are attributable to current market conditions in the homebuilding industry which are characterized by a general excess supply of homes available for sale and reduced buyer confidence.

Average gross new order revenue per unit for the three months ended March 31, 2009 increased \$15,000 to \$317,000, as compared to \$302,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2008. This increase is due to the sale of a penthouse unit at our Eclipse project for approximately \$1.3 million.

For the three months ended March 31, 2009 we experienced 3 order cancellations totaling \$0.5 million of cancellation revenue as compared to 16 orders totaling \$5.1 million for the comparable period in 2008. All three cancellations in the first quarter of 2009 were in our Raleigh, N.C. market. This is in contrast to the first quarter of 2008 where we experienced cancellations in all three markets.

Our cancellation rate for the three months ended March 31, 2009 was 12.0% on 25 gross new orders compared to cancellation rate of 32.0% on 50 gross new orders for the comparable period in 2008. In the Raleigh market our cancellation rate was 23.0%, or 3 cancellations on 13 gross new orders. Cancellation rates in general are being fueled by the tightening of the mortgage credit markets and by extended selling periods for resale homes. Our buyers' inability to obtain mortgage financing and/or to resell their homes are significant contributors to cancellations. Our backlog at March 31, 2009 decreased \$11.5 million, or 67.4%, to \$5.6 million on 20 homes as compared to our backlog at March 31, 2008 of \$17.1 million on 57 homes. The reduction of backlog is indicative of the generally slow market conditions in the homebuilding industry.

*Revenue*

The number of homes delivered for the three months ended March 31, 2009 decreased by 75.0%, or 36 homes, to 12 as compared to 48 homes for the three months ended March 31, 2008. The reduction in new home deliveries was largely attributable to the overall real estate industry contraction. Average revenue per home delivered increased by approximately \$62,000 or 18.6% to \$394,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2009 as compared to \$332,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2008.

Revenue from homebuilding decreased by \$11.2 million, or 70.0%, to \$4.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009 as compared to \$15.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008. This reduction in revenue from homebuilding is attributable to lower overall volume of unit settlements which is in part the result of a smaller backlog of units at the beginning of the quarter.

*Other Revenue*

Other revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2009 increased by \$0.4 million, or 82.8%, to \$0.8 million, as compared to \$0.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008. Other revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2009 includes \$0.7 million of rental revenue from our Penderbrook and Eclipse communities. Other revenue during the first quarter of 2008 is primarily attributable to rental revenue from our Barrington Park and Penderbrook communities. During the third quarter of 2008, Barrington Park was foreclosed upon by the lender and we commenced rental operations at the Eclipse community.





**Table of Contents**

**COMSTOCK HOMEBUILDING COMPANIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND**  
**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

*Cost of Sales*

Cost of sales for the three months ended March 31, 2009 decreased by \$9.8 million, or 70.5%, to \$4.1 million, or 87.2% of homebuilding revenue, as compared to \$13.9 million, or 87.4% of revenue, for the three months ended March 31, 2008. This decrease is the result of reduced revenue from homebuilding.

*Impairments and write-offs*

As discussed in Note 2 in the accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements, we recorded impairment and write-off charges of zero and \$0.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Impairments in the first quarter of 2008 consisted of two communities in the greater Atlanta area. Based on management's assessment of current market conditions and estimates for the future, we believe there are no additional impairments warranted at this time. However, if market conditions deteriorate, actual costs are higher than budgeted or we consent to foreclosures by our lenders on certain assets, we would be required to re-evaluate the recoverability of our real estate held for development and sale and may incur additional impairment charges.

*Selling, general and administrative*

Selling general and administrative expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2009 decreased \$1.2 million or 31.6% to \$2.6 million, as compared to \$3.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008. The reduction is attributable to decreased salary, bonus and other personnel related expenses in conjunction with a continuing effort to make strategic reductions in personnel and related costs.

*Operating loss*

The operating loss for the three months ended March 31, 2009 of \$(2.9) million was unchanged compared to \$(2.9) million for the three months ended March 31, 2008. Operating margin for the three months ended March 31, 2009 was (54.5%) as compared to (18.3%) for the three months ended March 31, 2008. The decrease in operating margin is primarily a result of the reduction in revenue discussed above.

*Other (income) expense, net*

Other (income) expense, net for the three months ended March 31, 2009 decreased by \$0.8 million to \$(0.4) million as compared to \$(1.2) million for the three months ended March 31, 2008. For the three months ended March 31, 2008, approximately \$(1.0) million of income was generated from the forfeiture of buyer earnest money deposits at the Eclipse project. For the three months ended March 31, 2009, there was no income generated from forfeited deposits and approximately \$(0.3) million of gains recognized on agreements with trade vendors to settle obligations for amounts less than those carried in accounts payable.

**Table of Contents**

**COMSTOCK HOMEBUILDING COMPANIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND**  
**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

**Liquidity and Capital Resources**

We require capital to operate, to post deposits on new deals, to purchase and develop land, to construct homes, to fund related carrying costs and overhead and to fund various advertising and marketing programs to generate sales. These expenditures include payroll, community engineering, entitlement, architecture, advertising, utilities and interest as well as the construction costs of our homes and rent, insurance amenities. Our sources of capital include, and will continue to include, funds derived from various secured and unsecured borrowings, cash flow from operations which include the sale and delivery of constructed homes and finished and raw building lots, and the sale of equity and debt securities. Our current operations and inventory of owned and controlled home sites will require substantial capital to develop and construct.

In production home building, it is common for builders such as ourselves to employ revolving credit facilities under which the maximum funding available under the facility exceeds the maximum outstanding balance allowed at any given time. This revolving debt will typically provide for funding of an amount up to a pre-determined percentage of the cost of each asset funded. The balance of the funding for that asset is provided for by us as equity. The efficiency of revolving debt in production home building allows us to operate with less overall debt capital availability than would be required if we built each project with long-term amortizing debt. At March 31, 2009, we had approximately \$100.3 million of outstanding indebtedness and \$3.1 million of unrestricted cash.

During 2008 the banking and credit markets experienced severe disruption as a result of a collapse in the sub-prime and securitized debt markets. As a result, commercial banks and other unregulated lenders have experienced a liquidity crunch which has made funding for real estate lending extremely difficult to secure. This tightening of the credit markets presents substantial risk to our ability to secure financing for our operations, construction and land development efforts. In addition, this disruption is affecting our customers' ability to secure mortgage financing for the purchase of our homes. This limitation on available credit could have a devastating effect on our sales and revenue in 2009 which would further undermine our ability to generate enough cash to fund our operations, meet our obligations and survive as a going concern.

Our overall borrowing capacity is constrained by loan covenants which require maximum loan-to-value ratios, minimum ratios of interest to EBITDA, minimum tangible net worth, minimum unit settlements and maximum ratios of total liabilities to total equity. Our non-compliance with certain of these covenants has, for the period ending December 31, 2008, been waived eliminated or ignored by our lenders. There is no assurance either that we will return to compliance in the future or that our lenders will continue to provide us waivers of our covenants. In the event our banks discontinue funding, accelerate the maturities of their facilities, refuse to waive future covenant defaults or refuse to renew the facilities at maturity we could experience an unrecoverable liquidity crisis in the future. We can make no assurances that internally generated cash advances available under our credit facilities, refinancing of existing underleveraged projects or access to public debt and equity markets will provide us with access to sufficient cash flow to meet our existing and expected operating capital needs in 2009. If we fail to meet our cash requirements we may be required to seek bankruptcy protection or to liquidate.

Both the Company and its subsidiaries have secured debt of approximately \$20.9 million which matured prior to March 31, 2009 with another \$3.3 million of debt which has either curtailment covenants or interest obligations during 2009. In our industry it was customary for lenders to renew and extend project facilities until the project is complete provided the loans are kept current. That is no longer the case. Since we are the guarantor of a majority of our subsidiaries' debt, any significant failure to negotiate renewals and extensions to this debt would severely compromise our liquidity and could jeopardize our ability to satisfy our capital requirements. Our recently reported and cured loan covenant violations, may at some point negatively impact our ability to renew and extend our debt. Details regarding each of the Company's credit facilities and the current status are discussed in the section "Credit Facilities" below.

At March 31, 2009 we had \$3.1 million in unrestricted cash and \$4.0 million in restricted cash. Included in our restricted cash balance is \$3.0 million on deposit with Wachovia securing an irrevocable letter of credit relating to a captive insurance program. We are working with the insurance provider to obtain a release of the letter of credit. Our access to working capital is very limited and our debt service obligations and operating costs for 2009 exceed our current cash reserves. If we are unable to identify new sources of cash and cash flow and/or successfully modify our existing facilities, we will likely deplete our cash reserves and be forced to file for bankruptcy protection in the near future. There can be no assurances that in that event we would be able to reorganize through bankruptcy, and we might be forced to effect a liquidation of our assets.



**Table of Contents**

**COMSTOCK HOMEBUILDING COMPANIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND**

**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

**Credit Facilities**

The majority of the Company's debt is variable rate, based on LIBOR or the prime rate plus a specified number of basis points, typically ranging from 220 to 600 basis points over the LIBOR rate and from 25 to 200 basis points over the prime rate. As a result, we are exposed to market risk in the area of interest rate changes. At March 31, 2009, the one-month LIBOR and prime rates of interest were 0.50 % and 3.25%, respectively, and the interest rates in effect under the existing secured revolving development and construction credit facilities ranged from 3.50% to 15.19 %. During 2009 these rates have been relatively stable. Based on current operations, as of March 31, 2009, an increase/decrease in interest rates of 100 basis points on our variable rate debt would result in a corresponding increase/decrease in interest actually incurred by us of approximately \$1.0 million in a fiscal year. While some of that interest would be capitalized and included in cost of sales as homes are delivered, the majority of it would be expensed in the period incurred to the extent that it related to projects which are inactive by accounting standards.

In the past the Company has generally financed its development and construction activities on a single or multiple project basis so it is not uncommon for each project or collection of projects the Company develops and builds to have a separate credit facility. Accordingly, the Company has numerous credit facilities and lenders. In June 2008 the Company retained the services of FTI Consulting to assist in the restructuring of the Company's debts. In connection with this assignment, Brad Foster of FTI was appointed to the position of interim Chief Restructuring Officer of the Company. After evaluating its options with respect to restructuring its debts the Company elected to suspend making regularly scheduled cash interest payments on all of its debt excluding its senior unsecured notes to JP Morgan Ventures, its secured term loan with KeyBank relating to Potomac Yard and First Charter relating to Brookfield. During the second half of 2008 the Company was in discussions with substantially all of its lenders to negotiate amendments to its loan facilities and modifications to its guarantees that were more aligned with the evolving housing market downturn and the Company's limited liquidity. The Company was successful in renegotiating a significant portion of its debts and as such no longer needed the services of FTI Consulting or Brad Foster. The Company terminated its relationship with FTI Consulting in October 2008 and in connection therewith Brad Foster resigned as Chief Restructuring Officer.

As described in more detail below, at March 31, 2009 our outstanding debt by lender was as follows (dollars in 000s):

<b>Bank</b>	<b>Balance as of 03/31/09</b>	<b>Recourse</b>
Key Bank	\$ 28,339	Secured
Wachovia	18,914	Secured
Guggenheim Capital Partners	14,320	Secured
JP Morgan Ventures (1)	12,743	Unsecured
M&T Bank	7,754	Secured
Royal Bank of Canada	5,601	Secured
FDIC (Haven Trust)	5,059	Secured
Bank of America	3,670	Unsecured
Seller Belmont Bay	1,797	Unsecured
Fifth Third	1,315	Secured
Branch Banking & Trust	720	Secured
Seller Emerald Farm	100	Secured
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 100,332</b>	

On May 26, 2006 the Company entered into \$40.0 million Secured Revolving Borrowing Base Credit Facility with Wachovia Bank for the financing of entitled land, land under development, construction and project related letters of credit. Funding availability was to be limited by compliance with a borrowing base and certain financial covenants. The Company ceased making interest payments on this loan in June 2008, which may be construed by the lender as an event of default under the loan agreement. On July 25, 2008 Wachovia issued the Company a notice of default with respect to this facility. In December 2008 we entered into loan modification agreements with Wachovia by which the single

## Edgar Filing: Comstock Homebuilding Companies, Inc. - Form 10-Q

credit facility was split into three separate notes; an \$8.0 million revolving construction loan, a \$7.0 million term note and a \$3.0 million outstanding project note. In connection with these modifications Wachovia wrote off \$4.2 million with respect to our Tribble Road Project in Atlanta. This transaction has been accounted for as a troubled debt restructuring pursuant to Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 15, Accounting by Debtors and Creditors of Troubled Debt Restructurings ( SFAS 15 ). Under SFAS 15 we recorded a \$3.3 million gain on troubled debt restructuring after accounting for future interest costs. The revolver and term notes mature in January 10, 2010 and the project note matures on December 10, 2011. The revolving loan requires us to meet certain settlement covenants by June 30, 2009 which we will likely not be able to achieve.

At March 31, 2009 the Company had \$7.8 million outstanding to M&T Bank. Under the terms of the original loan agreements, the Company was required to maintain certain financial covenants. In March 2007 the Company entered into loan modification agreements lowering the minimum interest coverage ratio and the minimum tangible net worth covenants. On October 25, 2007 the Company entered into loan modification agreements which extended maturities and provided for forbearance with respect to all financial covenants. On June 30, 2008, the loans with M&T matured. The Company ceased making interest payments on these loans in July 2008, which was construed by the lender to have been an event of default under the loan agreement. In connection with a dispute between Comstock and the developers of Belmont Bay in Woodbridge, Virginia the developers of Belmont Bay have filed a lis pendens against the River Club II project which represents \$6.6 million of the outstanding balance with M&T. The Company believes this to be an illegal slander of title and is vigorously defending itself. Until such time as the title issue is resolved, the Company cannot transfer any of the units at River Club II and therefore cannot generate any loan paydown. Negotiations to modify the terms of the loans with M&T were suspended as a result of the actions of the developers.

At March 31, 2009 the Company had approximately \$0.7 million outstanding to Branch Bank & Trust Company ( BB&T ) relating to three construction loans in the Company's Atlanta market. On August 29, 2008 The Company entered into a foreclosure agreement with BB&T with respect to approximately \$31.4 million of debt secured by properties in Virginia and Atlanta, Georgia. Under the terms of the foreclosure agreement, the Company agreed to cooperate with BB&T with respect to its foreclosure on certain Company real estate assets and BB&T agreed to provide the Company with a full release from its related debt obligations. BB&T completed its foreclosure on the properties in September 2008. The Company retained three pre-sold lots in Atlanta which are not included in the foreclosure agreement. The Company is still awaiting its final release of liability associated with the foreclosures.

At March 31, 2009, the Company had \$3.7 million outstanding to Bank of America in a 10-year unsecured note. Under the terms of a friendly foreclosure Bank of America foreclosed on the Company's Highland Avenue, Brentwood Estates and Senators Ridge projects in Atlanta which served as collateral for other loans of the Company with Bank of America. In addition, Bank of America and Comstock modified the terms of the Company's existing unsecured note by extending the term to ten (10) year, establishing an interest accrual for the first two years and a six year curtailment schedule starting in year four of the loan's term.

As of March 31, 2009 the Company had \$1.8 million outstanding on a seller funded loan related to, but not secured by, the Company's River Club II Condominium project. The loan matured but remains unpaid. Discussions with the lender, who is also the Project's developer, regarding loan modifications and other project related contract modifications have proved unsuccessful, resulting in the Comstock Belmont Bay 8&9, LC and Belmont Bay, LC litigation discussed in Part II herein.

At March 31, 2009 Mathis Partners, LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company had approximately \$5.1 million of principal, accrued interest and fees outstanding to the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) relating to the Company's Gates at Luberon project. This loan matured in November 2007. Haven Trust Bank the originating lender and its participating lenders were unwilling to grant an extension on terms the Company felt were reasonable so this loan remains unpaid and unmodified. Haven Trust Bank initiated foreclosure proceedings and the Company protected the equity in the project by seeking bankruptcy protection for the entity which owns Gates at Luberon. The Company elected not to submit a plan of reorganization to the court by September 30, 2008 which resulted in Haven Trust filing a motion to lift the court imposed stay of foreclosure. In December 2008 Haven Trust Bank was closed by the FDIC and its loan portfolio was taken over by the FDIC. Litigation with respect to Haven Trust's guarantee action against Comstock was stayed with the court while the FDIC determines its intended course of action. Cornerstone Bank, one of the banks to which Haven Trust participated the loan has assumed control of the loan and has reinstated the guarantee and foreclosure actions.

At March 31, 2009 the Company had approximately \$14.3 million outstanding to Guggenheim Capital Partners relating to the Company's Penderbrook Condominium project. On August 20, 2008 Guggenheim issued a notice of default to the Company regarding a purported default. The Company has subsequently entered into a loan modification agreement with Guggenheim and cured the default.

At March 31, 2009 the Company had approximately \$5.6 million outstanding to Royal Bank of Canada ( RBC ) relating to three projects in the Atlanta market. The Company ceased making interest payments in July 2008. The Company's Comstock Homes of Atlanta, LLC subsidiary has received a notice of default from RBC. The Company is not a guarantor of this debt.

On May 4, 2006 the Company closed on a \$30.0 million Junior Subordinated Note Offering. The term of the note was thirty years and it could be retired after five years with no penalty. The rate was fixed at 9.72% the first five years and LIBOR plus 420 basis points the remaining twenty-five years. In March 2007 the Company retired the Junior Subordinated Note with no penalty and entered into a new 10-year, \$30.0

## Edgar Filing: Comstock Homebuilding Companies, Inc. - Form 10-Q

million Senior Unsecured Note Offering with the same lender at the same interest rate. During the third quarter of 2007, the lender's rights were assumed by the lender's creditor(s). On March 14, 2008, the Company executed an option to restructure the \$30.0 million unsecured note. In connection therewith, the Company made a \$6.0 million principal payment to the lender and executed an amended and restated indenture with a new principal balance of \$9.0 million, loosened financial covenants and a revised term of 5 years. The Company also issued the lender a seven-year warrant to purchase 1.5 million shares of Class A common stock at \$0.70 per share. In exchange the lender agreed to cancel \$15.0 million of the outstanding principal balance. This transaction has been accounted for as a troubled debt restructuring pursuant to Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 15, Accounting by Debtors and Creditors of Troubled Debt Restructurings (SFAS 15). Under SFAS 15, the amended and restated indenture was recorded at \$13.4 million on March 31, 2008 which includes its principal amount plus the total estimated future interest payments of \$4.4 million over the remaining life of the notes at March 31, 2008. Interest on this senior unsecured debt is prepaid through December 31, 2008. As of December 31, 2008, \$12.7 million was outstanding with this facility, which includes its principal amount of \$9.0 million plus the total estimated future interest payments of \$3.7 million. At March 31, 2009 the Company elected not to make a scheduled interest payment in the amount of \$0.2 million.

In December 2005 the Company entered into a \$147.0 million secured, limited recourse loan with Corus Bank related to the Company's Eclipse project. Under the terms of the loan there was a single deed of trust covering two loan tranches. This loan was repaid in full in March 2008.

At March 31, 2009 the Company had \$28.3 million outstanding to KeyBank under a revolving credit facility secured by the Company's Eclipse and Station View projects. Under the terms of the note there is an interest reserve which is increased as the outstanding balance is reduced. At March 31, 2009 the available balance in the interest reserve was approximately \$2.1 million. While there are no financial covenants associated with the loan, there are a series of curtailment requirements commencing March 31, 2009. The Company is in negotiations with Key Bank regarding a waiver to the March 31, 2009 minimum curtailment requirement.

As of March 31, 2009 the Company had \$1.3 million outstanding with Fifth Third Bank, successor to First Charter Bank. The loan matures on December 10, 2009. There are no financial covenants associated with this loan. The Company is not a guarantor of this debt.

From time to time, the Company has employed subordinated and unsecured credit facilities to supplement the capital resources or a particular project or group of projects. The Company's lenders under these credit facilities will typically charge interest rates that are substantially higher than those charged by the lenders under our senior and secured credit facilities. These credit facilities will vary with respect to terms and costs. As of March 31, 2009, there was approximately \$3.7 million of outstanding variable rate unsecured loans.

Many of the Company's loan facilities contain Material Adverse Effect clauses which, if invoked, could create an event of default under the loan. In the event all the Company's loans were deemed to be in default as a result of a Material Adverse Effect, the Company's ability to meet the capital and debt obligations would be compromised and the Company would not be able to continue operations without bankruptcy protection.

The Company's senior management continues to work closely with its lenders on both temporary and permanent modifications to the lending facilities. These modifications are principally related to financial covenants and maturity dates. The Company cannot at this time provide any assurances that it will be successful in these efforts. In the event the Company is not successful it may not be able to continue operations absent court imposed protections.

As illustrated by the following debt maturity schedule, we have a significant amount of debt which either has matured or will mature in the near future. In our industry, it was customary for secured debt to be renewed until a project is complete but we have no assurance that this will be the case with our debts. Our recently reported and cured loan covenant violations, may impact our ability to renew and extend our debt. Failure to meet our obligations as they come due could force us to have to use court protections under bankruptcy to continue to operate.

As of March 31, 2009, maturities and/or scheduled curtailments under our borrowings are as follows:

Year ending December 31,	
Past Due (1)	\$ 20,932
2009	3,339
2010	32,729
2011	26,820
2012 and thereafter	16,512
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 100,332</b>

## Edgar Filing: Comstock Homebuilding Companies, Inc. - Form 10-Q

- (1) Past due is comprised of Haven Trust Bank (\$5.1 million), Royal Bank of Canada (\$5.6 million), M&T Bank (\$7.8 million), BB&T (\$0.7 million) and an unsecured seller financing related to Belmont Bay (\$1.8 million).



**Table of Contents**

**COMSTOCK HOMEBUILDING COMPANIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND**  
**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

***Cash Flow***

Net cash provided by/(used in) operating activities was \$(0.3) million for the three months ended March 31, 2009 as compared to \$7.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008. The decrease is attributable primarily to our receipt of approximately \$13.0 million in federal and state tax refunds during the first quarter of 2008.

Net cash provided by/(used in) financing activities was \$(2.5) million for the three months ended March 31, 2009 as compared to \$0.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008. This is attributable to a net increase of payments over borrowings during the three months ended March 31, 2009.

**Table of Contents**

**COMSTOCK HOMEBUILDING COMPANIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND**  
**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

***Subsequent Events***

On April 17, 2009, the Company was issued a notice of default from Wachovia Bank, National Association ( Wachovia ) regarding its Loan Modification and Forbearance Agreement (the Agreement ) and its Amended and Restated Promissory Note in the amount of \$8.0 million (the Note ) and collectively with the Agreement the Loans ). Wachovia's notice is based on allegations of 1) Comstock's failure to timely pay amounts due under the Agreement and the Note and 2) the existence of certain mechanics liens and liens for unpaid taxes against the collateral securing the Loans. The Company's total outstanding indebtedness to Wachovia on April 23, 2009 is approximately \$18.9 million.

On April 27, 2009, the Company received a notice of payment default from Wells Fargo Bank, N.A as Trustee for an Amended and Restated Indenture between the Company and JP Morgan Ventures ( JPMV ) dated March 14, 2008. The notice of payment default indicated that the failure of the Company to make its quarterly interest payment within 30 days of March 30, 2009 would constitute an Event of Default under the Indenture. The Company's total outstanding indebtedness to JPMV on May 1, 2009 was approximately \$9.0 million plus unpaid interest of \$0.2 million.

On April 21, 2009 the Company received a ruling from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia in which the court ruled to invalidate a \$0.6 million mechanics lien filed by Balfour Beatty Construction at the Company's Eclipse at Potomac Yard project. As a result, the Company believes it has a claim against Balfour Beatty for damages and legal expenses associated with the lien and with defending the lien filing.

***Recent Accounting Pronouncements***

In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* ( SFAS 157 ), which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The adoption of this pronouncement has not had a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities - Including an amendment to FASB Statement No. 115* ( SFAS 159 ), which permits entities to measure various financial instruments and certain other items at fair value at specified election dates. The election must be made at the initial recognition of the financial instrument, and any unrealized gains or losses must be reported at each reporting date. SFAS 159 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The adoption of this pronouncement has not had a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141R, *Business Combinations* ( SFAS 141R ), which establishes principles and requirements for the reporting entity in a business combination, including recognition and measurement in the financial statements of the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, and any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree. This statement also establishes disclosure requirements to enable financial statement users to evaluate the nature and financial effects of the business combination. SFAS 141R applies prospectively to business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. The adoption of this pronouncement did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements, an Amendment of ARB No. 5* ( SFAS 160 ). SFAS 160 establishes accounting and reporting standards pertaining to ownership interests in subsidiaries held by parties other than the parent; the amount of net income attributable to the parent and to the noncontrolling interest; changes in a parent's ownership interest; and the valuation of any retained noncontrolling equity investment when a subsidiary is deconsolidated. SFAS 160 also establishes disclosure requirements that clearly identify and distinguish between the interests of the parent and the interests of the noncontrolling owners. SFAS 160 is required to be adopted prospectively for the first annual reporting period after December 15, 2008. The adoption of this pronouncement did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

## Edgar Filing: Comstock Homebuilding Companies, Inc. - Form 10-Q

In March 2008, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 161, *Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities – an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 133* ( SFAS 161 ). SFAS 161 enhances the current disclosure framework in SFAS 133 and requires enhanced disclosures about why an entity uses derivative instruments, how derivative instruments are accounted for under SFAS 133 and how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, financial performance and cash flows. SFAS 161 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008. The adoption of this pronouncement did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) Emerging Issues Task Force 03-6-1, *Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities*. Under the FSP, unvested share-based payment awards that contain non-forfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents are participating securities and, therefore, are included in computing earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method. The two-class method determines earnings per share for each class of common stock and participating securities according to dividends or dividend equivalents and their respective participation rights in undistributed earnings. The Company's outstanding restricted stock awards will be considered participating securities under the FSP. The FSP is effective for the Company's fiscal year beginning January 1, 2009, and requires retrospective application. The adoption of this pronouncement did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In October 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) No. FAS 157-3, *Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset When the Market for That Asset Is Not Active* ( FSP FAS 157-3 ). FSP FAS 157-3 clarifies the application of FASB Statement No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, in a market that is not active. FSP FAS 157-3 was effective upon issuance and adopted by the Company as of September 30, 2008. The adoption of FSP FAS 157-3 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) No. FAS 157-4, *Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly* ( FSP FAS 157-4 ). FSP FAS 157-4 provides additional for estimating fair value in accordance with FASB Statement No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, when the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability have significantly decreased. FSP FAS 157-4 is effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009. The adoption of this FSP is not expected to have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1, *Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments* ( FSP 107-1 ). FSP 107-1 relates to fair value disclosures in public entity financial statements for financial instruments that are within the scope of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 107, *Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments* ( SFAS 107 ). This guidance increases the frequency of those disclosures, requiring public entities to provide the disclosures on a quarterly basis (rather than just annually). The quarterly disclosures are intended to provide financial statement users with more timely information about the effects of current market conditions on an entity's financial instruments that are not otherwise reported at fair value. FSP 107-1 is effective for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2009. FSP 107-1 must be applied prospectively. The adoption of this FSP is not expected to have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) No. FAS 140-4 and FIN 46(R)-8, *Disclosures by Public Entities (Enterprises) about Transfers of Financial Assets and Interests in Variable Interest Entities*. The FSP requires additional disclosures by public companies about their involvement with variable interest entities and their continuing involvement with transferred financial assets. The FSP was effective for public entities with annual and interim periods ending after December 15, 2008, and was adopted by the Company as of December 31, 2008. The adoption of the FSP did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

### **Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

There have been no significant changes to our critical accounting policies and estimates during the three months ended March 31, 2009 compared with those disclosed in Item 7, *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations* included in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

**Table of Contents**

**COMSTOCK HOMEBUILDING COMPANIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND**  
**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

**ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

Market risk represents the risk of loss that may impact our financial position, results of operations or cash flows, due to adverse changes in financial and commodity market prices and interest rates. We are exposed to market risk in the area of interest rate changes. A majority of our debt is variable rate based on LIBOR and prime rate, and, therefore, affected by changes in market interest rates. Based on current operations, as of March 31, 2009, an increase/decrease in interest rates of 100 basis points on our variable rate debt would have resulted in a corresponding increase/decrease in interest actually incurred by us of approximately \$1.0 million in a fiscal year, most of which would be either capitalized into inventory if the project is active, or expensed as incurred if the project is inactive. As a result, the effect on net income would be deferred until the underlying units settled and the interest was released to cost of goods sold. Changes in the prices of commodities that are a significant component of home construction costs, particularly lumber, may result in unexpected short-term increases in construction costs. Because the sales price of our homes is fixed at the time a buyer enters into a contract to acquire a home and we generally contract to sell our homes before construction begins, any increase in costs in excess of those anticipated at the time of each sale may result in lower consolidated operating income for the homes in our backlog. We attempt to mitigate the market risks of the price fluctuation of commodities by entering into fixed price contracts with our subcontractors and material suppliers for a specified period of time, generally commensurate with the building cycle. These contracts afford us the option to purchase materials at fixed prices but do not obligate us to any specified level of purchasing.

**ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

As of the end of the period covered by this report, our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have reviewed and evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures, which included inquiries made to certain other employees. Based on their evaluation, our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have each concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective and sufficient to ensure that we record, process, summarize, and report information required to be disclosed by us in our periodic reports filed under the Securities Exchange Act within the time periods specified by the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms and are also effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to management, including our Chief Executive and Chief Financial Officers, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

We do not expect that our disclosure controls and internal controls will prevent all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

The design of any system of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions; over time, a control may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and may not be detected.

**PART II OTHER INFORMATION**

**ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.**

In April, 2008, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, Mathis Partners, LLC ( "Mathis Partners") received notice from Haven Trust Bank (Lender) that it filed a collection action against the Company pursuant to a guaranty agreement entered into by the Company for the outstanding balance of the indebtedness owed for the Gates of Luberon project in Atlanta, Georgia. In January 2009, prior to any substantive action taking place in the lawsuit, the Lender failed and was taken over by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). The FDIC has sought a stay in

## Edgar Filing: Comstock Homebuilding Companies, Inc. - Form 10-Q

the guaranty action through April of 2009. The carrying value of Mathis Partners assets at March 31, 2009 is approximately \$4.4 million. Cornerstone Bank, one of the banks to whom Haven Trust participated the loan has assumed control of the collection process and has reinstated the foreclosure and guarantee actions.

On July 3, 2008, the Company and Comstock Belmont Bay 8&9, L.C. (the Subsidiary ) were served with a complaint by Belmont Bay, LC ( Seller ) to enforce payment of a purchase money promissory note ( Purchase Note ) that matured on March 24, 2007, made by the Subsidiary and guaranteed by the Company with respect to our Beacon Park I Condominium (the Project ). Demand is made for \$2.0 million, plus accrued interest, costs and attorney fees. The Company and Subsidiary have filed answers to the action and the Subsidiary filed a counterclaim against the Seller as a result of its various breaches of the purchase contract that gave rise to the Purchase Note. On July 30, 2008, the Company and Subsidiary received notice of an additional complaint filed by Seller for breach of the purchase contract and on August 6, 2008, the Subsidiary was served with a third complaint by Seller and the master associations for the Belmont Bay development seeking injunctive relief to prohibit the Subsidiary from selling the Project. The Seller and master associations also joined together to file a lis pendens against the Project in October 2008 to notice the third complaint. The Subsidiary and the Company intend to vigorously defend all actions and have filed a complaint against the Seller, the master association and certain individual constituent members of the associations as a result of their various contractual and fiduciary breaches.

On July 29, 2008, Balfour Beatty Construction, LLC, successor in interest to Centex Construction ( Balfour ), the general contractor for a subsidiary of the Company, filed liens totaling approximately \$0.6 million at The Eclipse on Center Park Condominium project ( Project ) in connection with its claim for amounts allegedly owed under the Project contract documents. In September 2008, the Company s subsidiary filed suit against Balfour to invalidate the liens and for its actual and liquidated damages in the approximate amount of \$13.8 million due to construction delays and additional costs incurred by the Company s subsidiary with respect to the Project. In October 2008, Balfour filed counterclaims in the approximate amount of \$4.0 million. Subsequent to an expedited hearing filed by the Company s subsidiary to determine the validity of the liens that was ultimately heard in February, 2009, we received an order of the court in April, 2009 invalidating the liens. We anticipate a trial on the remainder of the litigation in the third or fourth quarter of 2009. The lender for the Company s subsidiary had not issued a default notice with respect to the liens but an adverse judgment with respect to the litigation could be considered an event of default under the Key Bank loan associated with the Project.

**Table of Contents**

**COMSTOCK HOMEBUILDING COMPANIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND**  
**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

Other than the foregoing, we are not currently subject to any material legal proceedings. From time to time, however, we are named as a defendant in legal actions arising from our normal business activities. Although we cannot accurately predict the amount of our liability, if any, that could arise with respect to legal actions currently pending against us, we do not expect that any such liability will have a material adverse effect on our financial position, operating results or cash flows. We believe that we have obtained adequate insurance coverage, rights to indemnification, or where appropriate, have established reserves in connection with these legal proceedings.

**ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS**

We previously disclosed risk factors under Item 1A. Risk Factors in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. There have been no material changes these risk factors.

**Table of Contents**

**ITEM 6. EXHIBITS**

**Exhibit**

<b>Number</b>	<b>Exhibit</b>
31.1	Certification of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a), promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a), promulgated under the Securities Act of 1934, as amended.
32.1	Certification of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

**Table of Contents**

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

COMSTOCK HOMEBUILDING COMPANIES, INC.

Date: May 13, 2009

By: /s/ Christopher Clemente  
Christopher Clemente  
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

By: /s/ Bruce J. Labovitz  
Bruce J. Labovitz  
Chief Financial Officer

27